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This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains a number of forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). These statements may be identified by use of words such as "anticipate," "believe," "could," "estimate," "expect," "intend," "seek," "may," "outlook," "plan," "potential," "predict," "project," "should," "will," "would" and similar terms and phrases, including references to assumptions.

Forward-looking statements are based upon various assumptions and analyses made by the Company (as defined subsequently herein) in light of management's experience and its perception of historical trends, current conditions and expected future developments, as well as other factors it believes appropriate under the circumstances. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to risks, uncertainties and other factors (many of which are beyond the Company's control) that could cause actual conditions or results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. These factors include, without limitation, the following:
the timing and occurrence or non-occurrence of events may be subject to circumstances beyond the Company's control;

- there may be increases in competitive pressure among financial institutions or from non-financial institutions; changes in the interest rate environment may reduce interest margins;
- changes in deposit flows, loan demand or real estate values may adversely affect the business of The Dime Savings Bank of Williamsburgh (the "Bank");
changes in accounting principles, policies or guidelines may cause the Company's financial condition to be perceived differently;
- changes in corporate and/or individual income tax laws may adversely affect the Company's business or financial condition;
general economic conditions, either nationally or locally in some or all areas in which the Company conducts business, or conditions in the securities markets or the banking industry may be less favorable than the Company currently anticipates;
- legislation or regulatory changes may adversely affect the Company's business;
- technological changes may be more difficult or expensive than the Company anticipates;
- success or consummation of new business initiatives may be more difficult or expensive than the Company anticipates; or
litigation or other matters before regulatory agencies, whether currently existing or commencing in the future, may delay the occurrence or non-occurrence of events longer than the Company anticipates.

The Company has no obligation to update any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this document.

## PART I

Item 1. Business

## General

Dime Community Bancshares, Inc. (the "Holding Company," and together with its direct and indirect subsidiaries, the "Company") is a Delaware corporation and parent company of the Bank, a federally-chartered stock savings bank. The Bank maintains its headquarters in the Williamsburg section of the borough of Brooklyn, New York and operates twenty-three full-service retail banking offices located in the New York City ("NYC") boroughs of Brooklyn, Queens, and the Bronx, and in Nassau County, New York.

The Bank's principal business has been, and continues to be, gathering deposits from customers within its market area, and investing them primarily in multifamily residential mortgage loans, commercial real estate loans, one- to four-family residential mortgage loans, construction and land acquisition loans, consumer loans, mortgage-backed securities ("MBS"), obligations of the U.S. Government and Government Sponsored Entities ("GSEs"), and corporate debt and equity securities. The Bank's revenues are derived principally from interest on its loan and securities portfolios and other short-term investments. The Bank's primary sources of funds are deposits; loan amortization, prepayments and maturities; MBS amortization, prepayments and maturities; investment securities maturities and sales; advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York ("FHLBNY"); securities sold under agreement to repurchase ("REPOS"); and the sale of real estate loans to the secondary market.
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The primary business of the Holding Company is the operation of its wholly-owned subsidiary, the Bank. The Holding Company is a unitary savings and loan holding company, which, under existing law, is generally not restricted as to the types of business activities in which it may engage, provided that the Bank remains a qualified thrift lender ("QTL"). Pursuant to regulations of its primary regulator, the Office of Thrift Supervision ("OTS"), the Bank qualifies as a QTL if its ratio of qualified thrift investments to portfolio assets ("QTL Ratio") was $65 \%$ or more, on a monthly average basis, in nine of the previous twelve months. At December 31, 2008, the Bank's QTL Ratio was $69.2 \%$, and the Bank maintained more than $65 \%$ of its portfolio assets in qualified thrift investments throughout the year ended December 31, 2008.

The Holding Company neither owns nor leases any property but instead uses the premises and equipment of the Bank. The Holding Company does not employ any persons other than certain officers of the Bank, who receive no additional compensation as officers of the Holding Company. The Holding Company utilizes the support staff of the Bank from time to time, as required. Additional employees may be hired as deemed appropriate by Holding Company management.

The Company's website address is www.dime.com. The Company makes available free of charge through its website, by clicking the Investor Relations tab and selecting "SEC Filings," its Annual and Transition Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to these reports as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC").

## Market Area and Competition

The Bank has historically operated as a community-oriented financial institution providing financial services and loans primarily for multifamily housing within its market areas. The Bank maintains its headquarters in the Williamsburg section of the borough of Brooklyn, New York, and operates twenty-three full-service retail banking offices located in the NYC boroughs of Brooklyn, Queens, and the Bronx, and in Nassau County, New York. The Bank gathers deposits primarily from the communities and neighborhoods in close proximity to its branches. The Bank's primary lending area is the NYC metropolitan area, although its overall lending area is larger, extending approximately 150 miles in each direction from its corporate headquarters in Brooklyn. The majority of the Bank's mortgage loans are secured by properties located in its primary lending area, and approximately $75 \%$ of these loans were secured by real estate located in the NYC boroughs of Brooklyn, Queens and Manhattan on December 31, 2008.

The NYC banking environment is extremely competitive. The Bank's competition for loans exists principally from other savings banks, commercial banks, mortgage banks and insurance companies. The Bank has faced sustained competition for the origination of multifamily residential and commercial real estate loans, which together comprised $94 \%$ of the Bank's loan portfolio at December 31, 2008. Management anticipates that the current level of competition for multifamily residential and commercial real estate loans will continue for the foreseeable future, which may inhibit the Bank's ability to maintain its current level and pricing of such loans.

The Bank gathers deposits in direct competition with other savings banks, commercial banks and brokerage firms, many among the largest in the nation. It must additionally compete for deposit monies with the stock market and mutual funds, especially during periods of strong performance in the equity markets. Over the previous decade, consolidation in the financial services industry, coupled with the emergence of Internet banking, has dramatically altered the deposit gathering landscape. Facing increasingly larger and more efficient competitors, the Bank's strategy to attract depositors has increasingly utilized targeted marketing and delivery of technology-enhanced, customer-friendly banking services while controlling operating expenses.

Banking competition occurs within an economic and financial marketplace that is largely beyond the control of any individual financial institution. The interest rates paid to depositors and charged to borrowers, while affected by marketplace competition, are generally a function of broader-based macroeconomic and financial factors, including
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the level of U.S. Gross Domestic Product, the supply of, and demand for, loanable funds, and the impact of global trade and international financial markets. Within this environment, the Federal Open Market Committee ("FOMC") monetary policy and governance of short-term rates also significantly influence the interest rates paid and charged by financial institutions.

The Bank's success is additionally impacted by the overall condition of the economy, particularly in the NYC metropolitan area. As home to several national companies in the financial and business services industries, and as a popular destination for domestic and international travelers, the NYC economy is particularly sensitive to the health of both the national and global economies. Both the NYC and global economies were greatly challenged during the year ended December 31, 2008, and remain so currently. Although the significant proportion of Bank loans secured by rent-regulated multifamily residential dwellings, as well as management's measured growth business strategy, have provided the Bank's some insulation from these economic downturns, sustained recessionary conditions would be expected to adversely impact the performance of the Bank's assets and deposit customer relationships.
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Lending Activities
Loan Portfolio Composition. At December 31, 2008, the Bank's loan portfolio totaled $\$ 3.29$ billion, consisting primarily of mortgage loans secured by multifamily residential apartment buildings, including buildings organized under a cooperative form of ownership ("Underlying Cooperatives"); commercial properties; real estate construction and land acquisition; and one- to four-family residences and cooperative apartments. Within the loan portfolio, \$2.24 billion, or $68.2 \%$, were classified as multifamily residential loans; $\$ 848.2$ million, or $25.8 \%$, were classified as commercial real estate loans; $\$ 130.7$ million, or $4.0 \%$, were classified as one- to four-family residential, including condominium or cooperative apartments; $\$ 742,000$, or $0.02 \%$, were loans to finance multifamily residential and oneto four-family residential properties with full or partial credit guarantees provided by either the Federal Housing Administration (''FHA'') or the Veterans Administration (''VA''); and $\$ 53.0$ million, or $1.6 \%$, were loans to finance real estate construction and land acquisition within the NYC metropolitan area. Of the total mortgage loan portfolio outstanding on December 31, 2008, $\$ 2.77$ billion, or $84.3 \%$, were adjustable-rate loans (''ARMs') and $\$ 514.8$ million, or $15.7 \%$, were fixed-rate loans. Of the Bank's multifamily residential and commercial real estate loans, over $80 \%$ were ARMs at December 31, 2008, the majority of which were contracted to reprice no later than 7 years from their origination date and carried a total amortization period of no longer than 30 years. At December 31, 2008, the Bank's loan portfolio additionally included $\$ 2.2$ million in consumer loans, composed of passbook loans, consumer installment loans, overdraft loans and mortgagor advances. As of December 31, 2008, $\$ 2.65$ billion, or $80.5 \%$ of the loan portfolio, was scheduled to mature or reprice within five years.

The Bank does not originate or purchase loans, either whole loans or collateral underlying MBS, that would be considered subprime loans (i.e., mortgage loans advanced to borrowers who do not qualify for market interest rates because of problems with their income or credit history).

The types of loans the Bank may originate are subject to federal laws and regulations (See "Item 1. Business Regulation - Regulation of Federal Savings Associations").

At December 31, 2008, the Bank had $\$ 49.9$ million of loan commitments that were accepted by the borrowers. All of these commitments are expected to close during the year ending December 31, 2009. At December 31, 2007, the Bank had $\$ 102.4$ million of loan commitments that were accepted by the borrower. All of these commitments closed during 2008.

The Bank was servicing whole loans or loan participations totaling $\$ 659.4$ million at December 31, 2008 that it originated and sold to other financial institutions. The majority of this balance represented whole loans that were sold to, and are currently serviced for the Federal National Mortgage Association ("FNMA").
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The following table sets forth the composition of the Bank's real estate and other loan portfolios (including loans held for sale) in dollar amounts and percentages at the dates indicated:

|  | At December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | Percent of Total | 2007 | Percent of Total | 2006 | Percent of Total | 2005 | Percent of Total | 2004 | Percent of Total |
|  | Dollars in Thousands |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real Estate loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multifamily residential | \$2,241,800 | 68.18\% \$1,948,765 |  | 67.78\% \$1,855,080 |  | 68.64\% \$1,872,163 |  | 71.69\% \$1,917,447 |  | 76.63\% |
| Commercial real estate | 848,208 | 25.80 | 728,129 | 25.32 | 666,927 | 24.68 | 576,561 | 22.08 | 424,060 | 16.95 |
| One- to four-family | 130,663 | 3.97 | 139,541 | 4.85 | 146,613 | 5.42 | 135,622 | 5.19 | 126,225 | 5.04 |
| Cooperative apartment units | 11,632 | 0.35 | 6,172 | 0.21 | 7,224 | 0.27 | 10,115 | 0.39 | 11,853 | 0.47 |
| FHA/VA insured | 742 | 0.02 | 1,029 | 0.04 | 1,236 | 0.05 | 2,694 | 0.10 | 4,209 | 0.17 |
| Construction and land acquisition | 52,982 | 1.61 | 49,387 | 1.72 | 23,340 | 0.86 | 12,098 | 0.46 | 15,558 | 0.62 |
| Total mortgage loans | 3,286,027 | 99.93 | 2,873,023 | 99.92 | 2,700,420 | 99.92 | 2,609,253 | 99.91 | 2,499,352 | 99.88 |
| Other loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Studentloans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | \$61 | - |
| Depositor loans | \$1,059 | 0.03 | \$1,122 | 0.04 | \$1,172 | 0.04 | \$1,160 | 0.04 | 1,318 | 0.06 |
| Consumer installment and other | 1,132 | 0.04 | 1,047 | 0.04 | 1,033 | 0.04 | 1,181 | 0.05 | 1,537 | 0.06 |
| Total otherloans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2,191 | 0.07 | 2,169 | 0.08 | 2,205 | 0.08 | 2,341 | 0.09 | 2,916 | 0.12 |
| Gross loans | 3,288,218 | 100.00\% | 2,875,192 | 100.00\% | 2,702,625 | 100.00\% | 2,611,594 | 100.00\% | 2,502,268 | 100.00\% |
| Net unearned costs (fees) | 3,287 |  | 1,833 |  | 1,048 |  | 501 |  | (463) |  |
| Allowance for loan losses | $(17,454)$ |  | $(15,387)$ |  | $(15,514)$ |  | $(15,785)$ |  | $(15,543)$ |  |
| Loans, net Loans serviced for others: | \$3,274,051 |  | \$2,861,638 |  | \$2,688,159 |  | \$2,596,310 |  | \$2,486,262 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

One- to four-family and cooperative

| apartment | $\$ 19,181$ | $\$ 21,515$ | $\$ 24,395$ | $\$ 26,881$ | $\$ 29,524$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Multifamily <br> residential | 640,200 | 541,868 | 494,770 | 386,781 | 295,800 |
| Total loans <br> serviced for <br> others | $\$ 659,381$ | $\$ 563,383$ | $\$ 519,165$ | $\$ 413,662$ | $\$ 325,324$ |
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Loan Originations, Purchases, Sales and Servicing. For the year ended December 31, 2008, total loan originations were $\$ 1.09$ billion. The Bank originates both ARMs and fixed-rate loans, depending upon customer demand and market rates of interest. ARMs were approximately $96 \%$ of total loan originations during the period. The majority of both ARM and fixed-rate originations were multifamily residential and commercial real estate loans. Multifamily residential real estate loans are either retained in the Bank's portfolio or sold in the secondary market to FNMA, and occasionally to other third-party financial institutions. One- to four-family adjustable rate and fixed-rate mortgage loans with maturities up to 15 years are generally retained for the Bank's portfolio. Generally, the Bank sells its newly originated one- to four-family fixed-rate mortgage loans with maturities greater than fifteen years in the secondary market.

From December 2002 through December 31, 2008, the Bank sold multifamily residential loans to FNMA pursuant to a multifamily seller/servicing agreement entered into in December 2002. The Bank sold $\$ 27.5$ million, $\$ 71.4$ million and $\$ 144.7$ million of such loans to FNMA during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The Bank additionally sold one- to four- family loans totaling $\$ 8.2$ million and participation interests in multifamily loans totaling $\$ 114.6$ million to third party financial institutions during the year ended December 31, 2008. The Bank sold a $\$ 6.1$ million participation interest in one multifamily loan to a third party financial institution during the year ended December 31, 2007. At December 31, 2008, the Bank had an executed loan commitment to originate two loans totaling $\$ 3.4$ million that were intended for sale to FNMA. The Bank's contract for sale of new multifamily loans to FNMA expired on December 31, 2008.

The Bank currently has no arrangement pursuant to which it sells commercial real estate loans to the secondary market. During the year ended December 31, 2008, sales of fixed-rate one- to four-family mortgage loans totaled $\$ 8.8$ million, of which $\$ 580,000$ were sold to FNMA. The Bank also has an origination assistance agreement with an independent lending institution, PHH Mortgage ("PHH") whereby PHH processes and underwrites fixed-rate one- to four-family loans, the Bank funds the loans at origination and elects to either portfolio the loan or sell it to PHH. PHH retains full servicing of all loans, regardless of the Bank's ownership election. One to four-family loans sold to PHH totaled $\$ 8.2$ million during the year ended December 31, 2008.

The Bank generally retains the servicing rights in connection with loans it sells in the secondary market. As of December 31, 2008, the Bank was servicing $\$ 659.4$ million of loans for non-related institutions. The Bank generally receives a loan servicing fee equal to $0.25 \%$ of the outstanding principal balance on all loans sold to FNMA other than multifamily residential loans. The loan servicing fees on multifamily residential loans sold to FNMA vary as they are derived based upon the difference between the actual origination rate and contractual pass-through rate of the loans sold at the time of sale. At December 31, 2008, the Bank had recorded mortgage servicing rights ("MSR") of \$2.8 million associated with the sale of one- to four-family and multifamily residential loans to FNMA and other third party institutions.

The following table sets forth the Bank's loan originations (including loans held for sale), sales, purchases and principal repayments for the periods indicated:

|  | For the Year Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|  | Dollars in Thousands |  |  |  |  |
| Gross loans: |  |  |  |  |  |
| At beginning of period | \$2,875,192\$2,702,625\$2,611,594\$2,502,268\$2,194,157 |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate loans originated: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multifamily residential | 786,918 | 391,882 | 388,102 | 312,026 | 774,832 |
| Commercial real estate | 226,605 | 124,262 | 133,099 | 203,841 | 187,655 |
| One- to four-family (1) | 36,962 | 27,425 | 19,070 | 41,143 | 36,363 |


| Cooperative apartment units | 7,178 | - | 210 | 465 | 1,048 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equity lines of credit | 10,843 | 5,777 | 7,977 | 6,405 | 6,488 |
| Construction and land <br> acquisition | 18,215 | 25,180 | 14,768 | 10,331 | 6,844 |
| Total mortgage loans <br> originated | $1,086,721$ | 574,526 | 563,226 | 574,211 | $1,013,230$ |
| Other loans originated | 2,640 | 1,772 | 1,688 | 1,859 | 3,166 |
| Total loans originated | $1,089,361$ | 576,298 | 564,914 | 576,070 | $1,016,396$ |
| Less: |  |  |  | 58 |  |
| Principal repayments | 523,788 | 326,103 | 328,453 | 358,255 | 557,134 |
| Loans sold | 150,983 | 77,628 | 145,430 | 108,489 | 151,151 |
| Loans transferred to other |  |  |  |  |  |
| real estate owned <br> Gross loans at end of period $\$ 3,288,218$ | $2,875,192$ | $\$ 2,702,625 \$ 2,611,594 \$ 2,502,268$ |  |  |  |

(1) Includes Home Equity and Home Improvement Loans.

Loan Maturity and Repricing. The following table shows the earlier of the maturity or repricing period of the Bank's loan portfolio (including loans held for sale) at December 31, 2008. ARMs are shown as due in the period during which their interest rates are next scheduled to adjust. The table does not include prepayments or scheduled principal amortization. Scheduled loan repricing and estimated prepayment and amortization information is presented on an aggregate basis in "Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk - Interest Sensitivity Gap."
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At December 31, 2008
Real Estate Loans
One- to Construction
$\begin{array}{ccccccccrl}\text { Multifamily Commercial } & \text { Four- } & \text { Cooperative FHA/VA and Land } & \text { Other Total } \\ \text { Residential } & \text { Real Estate } & \text { Family } & \text { Apartment Insured Acquisition } & \text { Loans } & \text { Loans }\end{array}$
(Dollars In Thousands)

| Year Ending: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| December 31, 2009 | \$198,949 | \$43,831 | \$27,005 | \$3,598 | - | \$52,982 | \$2,191 | \$328,556 |
| December 31, 2010 | 348,482 | 96,227 | 13,692 | 26 | - |  | - | 458,427 |
| December 31, 2011 | 383,698 | 175,141 | 12,562 | 6,554 | - | - | - | 577,955 |
| December 31, 2012 | 282,437 | 142,525 | 20,952 | 37 | \$176 | - | - | 446,127 |
| December 31, 2013 | 633,045 | 184,163 | 18,861 | 247 | 566 | - | - | 836,882 |
| Sub-total | 1,846,611 | 641,887 | 93,072 | 10,462 | 742 | 52,982 | 2,191 | 2,647,947 |

December 31,
2014 through

| December 31, 2018 | 352,650 | 158,589 | 20,765 | 595 | - |  | - | - |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| December 31, 2019 <br> and beyond | 42,539 | 47,732 | 16,543 | 858 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\$ 2,241,800$ | $\$ 848,208$ | $\$ 130,380$ | $\$ 11,915$ | $\$ 742$ | $\$ 52,982$ | $\$ 2,191 \$ 3,288,218$ |  |

The following table sets forth the outstanding principal balance in each loan category (including loans held for sale) at December 31, 2008 that is due to mature or reprice after December 31, 2009, and whether such loans have fixed or adjustable interest rates:

|  | Due after December 31, 2009 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fixed | Adjustable | Total |
|  | (Dollars in Thousands) |  |  |
| Mortgage loans: |  |  |  |
| Multifamily residential | \$323,028 | \$1,719,823 | 2,042,851 |
| Commercial real estate | 129,625 | 674,752 | 804,377 |
| One- to four-family | 43,573 | 59,802 | 103,375 |
| Cooperative apartment | 1,813 | 6,504 | 8,317 |
| FHA/VA insured | 742 |  | 742 |
| Construction and land acquisition | - | - | - |
| Other loans | - | - | - |
| Total loans | \$498,781 | \$2,460,881 | \$2,959,662 |

Multifamily Residential Lending and Commercial Real Estate Lending. The majority of the Bank's lending activities consist of originating adjustable-rate and fixed-rate multifamily residential (i.e., buildings possessing a minimum of five residential units) and commercial real estate loans. The properties securing these loans are generally located in the Bank's primary lending area. At December 31, 2008, $\$ 2.24$ billion, or $68.2 \%$ of the Bank's gross loan portfolio, were multifamily residential loans. Of the multifamily residential loans, $\$ 2.13$ billion, or $95.2 \%$, were secured by apartment buildings and $\$ 107.4$ million, or $4.8 \%$, were secured by Underlying Cooperatives. The Bank also had $\$ 848.2$ million of commercial real estate loans in its portfolio at December 31, 2008, representing $25.8 \%$ of its total loan portfolio.

The Bank originated multifamily residential and commercial real estate loans totaling $\$ 1.01$ billion during the year ended December 31, 2008 and $\$ 516.1$ million during the year ended December 31, 2007. At December 31, 2008, the Bank had commitments accepted by borrowers to originate $\$ 46.8$ million of multifamily residential and commercial
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real estate loans, compared to $\$ 96.3$ million outstanding at December 31, 2007.
At December 31, 2008, multifamily residential and commercial real estate loans originated by the Bank were secured by three distinct property types: (1) fully residential apartment buildings; (2) "mixed-use" properties featuring a combination of residential and commercial units within the same building; and (3) fully commercial buildings. The underwriting procedures for each of these property types were substantially similar. Loans secured by fully residential apartment buildings were classified by the Bank as multifamily residential loans in all instances. Loans secured by fully commercial real estate were classified as commercial real estate loans in all instances. Loans secured by mixed-use properties were classified as either multifamily residential or commercial real estate loans based upon the percentage of the property's rental income received from its residential compared to its commercial tenants. If $50 \%$ or more of the rental income was received from residential tenants, the full balance of the loan was classified as multifamily residential. If less than $50 \%$ of the rental income was received from residential tenants, the full balance of the loan was classified as commercial real estate. At December 31, 2008, mixed use properties classified as multifamily residential or commercial real estate loans totaled $\$ 1.08$ billion.
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Multifamily residential and commercial real estate loans in the Bank's portfolio generally range in amount from $\$ 250,000$ to $\$ 4.0$ million, and, at December 31, 2008, had an average loan size of approximately $\$ 1.5$ million. Multifamily residential loans in this range are generally secured by buildings that possess between 5 and 100 apartments. As of December 31, 2008, the Bank had a total of $\$ 2.13$ billion of multifamily residential loans in its portfolio secured by buildings with under 100 units, representing approximately $65 \%$ of its real estate loan portfolio.

Multifamily residential loans are generally viewed as exposing the Bank to a greater risk of loss than one- to four-family residential loans and typically involve higher individual loan principal amounts. Repayment of multifamily residential loans is dependent, in significant part, on cash flow from the collateral property sufficient to satisfy operating expenses and debt service. Economic events and government regulations, such as rent control and rent stabilization laws, which are outside the control of the borrower or the Bank, could impair the future cash flow of such properties. As a result, rental income might not rise sufficiently over time to satisfy increases in the loan rate at repricing or in overhead expenses (e.g., utilities, taxes, and insurance).

The underwriting standards for multifamily residential and commercial real estate loans generally require: (1) a maximum loan-to-value ratio of $80 \%$ based upon an appraisal performed by an independent, state licensed appraiser, and (2) sufficient cash flow from the underlying property to adequately service the debt, represented by a minimum debt service ratio of $115 \%$. The average loan-to-value and debt service ratios were $59 \%$ and $150 \%$, respectively, on all multifamily and commercial real estate loans originated during the year ended December 31, 2008. The Bank additionally requires all multifamily and commercial real estate borrowers to represent that they are unaware of any environmental concerns related to the collateral. The Bank further considers the borrower's experience in owning or managing similar properties, the value of the collateral based upon the income approach, and the Bank's lending experience with the borrower. When originating multifamily residential and commercial real estate loans, the Bank utilizes rent or lease income and the borrower's credit history and business experience (See "Item 1. Business Lending Activities - Loan Approval Authority and Underwriting" for a discussion of the Bank's underwriting procedures utilized in originating multifamily residential and commercial real estate loans).

It is the Bank's policy to require appropriate insurance protection, including title and hazard insurance, on all real estate mortgage loans at closing. Borrowers generally are required to advance funds for certain expenses such as real estate taxes, hazard insurance and flood insurance.

At December 31, 2008, the Bank had 447 multifamily residential and commercial real estate loans in portfolio with principal balances greater than $\$ 2.0$ million, totaling $\$ 1.84$ billion. Within this total were nineteen loans totaling $\$ 271.0$ million with outstanding balances greater than $\$ 10.0$ million. These 447 loans, while underwritten to the same standards as all other multifamily residential and commercial real estate loans, tend to expose the Bank to a higher degree of risk due to the potential impact of losses from any one loan relative to the size of the Bank's capital position.

The typical multifamily residential and commercial real estate ARM carries a final maturity of 10 or 12 years, and an amortization period not exceeding 30 years. These loans generally have an interest rate that adjusts once after the fifth or seventh year, indexed to the 5 -year FHLBNY advance rate plus a spread typically approximating 225 basis points, but generally may not adjust below the initial interest rate of the loan. Prepayment fees are assessed throughout the majority of the life of the loans. The Bank also offers fixed-rate, self-amortizing, multifamily residential and commercial real estate loans with maturities of up to fifteen years.

Commercial real estate loans are generally viewed as exposing the Bank to a greater risk of loss than both one- to four-family and multifamily residential mortgage loans. Because payments on loans secured by commercial real estate are often dependent upon successful operation or management of the collateral properties, repayment of such loans are generally subject to a greater extent to prevailing conditions in the real estate market or the economy. Further, the collateral securing such loans may depreciate over time, be difficult to appraise, or fluctuate in value based upon the success of the business. This increased risk is partially compensated for in the following manners: (i) the Bank requires, in addition to the security interest in the commercial real estate, a security interest in the personal property
associated with the collateral and standby assignments of rents and leases from the borrower; and (ii) at December 31, 2008, approximately $\$ 360.1$ million of the Bank's commercial real estate loans were secured by mixed used properties that had some portion of residential units associated with the collateral property.

The Bank's three largest multifamily residential loans at December 31, 2008 were a $\$ 31.4$ million loan originated in September 2008 secured by seventeen mixed use buildings located in Manhattan, New York, containing, in aggregate, 401 residential units and 11 commercial units; a $\$ 23.5$ million loan originated in March 2004 secured by an eight-story, mixed-use
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building located in Flushing, New York, containing 137 residential units and 4 commercial units; and a $\$ 16.9$ million loan originated in December 2004 secured by a mixed use building located in Manhattan, New York that contains 67 residential units and twelve commercial units.

The Bank's two largest commercial real estate loans at December 31, 2008 were a $\$ 15.2$ million loan originated in May 2005 secured by a three-story building located in Manhattan, New York containing 10 retail stores; and a $\$ 13.5$ million loan originated in February 2007 secured by a professional office building with 12 office rental units located in White Plains, New York. The Bank also owned two commercial real estate loans with an outstanding principal balance of $\$ 12.5$ million each at December 31, 2008, both of which were originated during 2008 and are located in Manhattan, New York. One of the loans is secured by an office building containing twenty-seven office rental units while the other loan is secured by a mixed use building with fourteen commercial units and nine apartments.

The Bank's largest aggregate amount of loans to one borrower was $\$ 41.0$ million at December 31, 2008, compared to a regulatory limit of $\$ 45.5$ million. The individual loans made to the largest individual borrower were secured by four buildings located in Manhattan, New York, containing 104 residential units and one commercial unit.

Small Mixed-Use Lending (Small Investment Property Loans). In 2003, the Bank began originating small investment property loans. Small investment property loans are typically sourced through brokers. Generally, small investment properties include owner and non-owner occupied one- to four-family residential, multifamily, or mixed-use properties under $\$ 1.0$ million in value. In the majority of cases, the appraised value of small investment properties is based upon a "comparable sales" methodology rather than the income approach methodology used in underwriting commercial real estate loans. Small investment property loans are required to be personally guaranteed by the borrowers. The appraisal methodology chosen can vary depending upon the attributes of the underlying collateral and/or the availability of comparable sales data. In cases where the comparable sales method of appraisal is used, loans can have debt service coverage ratios below $100 \%$. In such cases, the Bank looks to the borrower's financial capacity to service the debt. Small investment property loans typically carry higher rates of interest in order to compensate the Bank for the assumed increased risk of default. Because these loans are required to be personally guaranteed, the Bank relies heavily on both the financial and credit information of borrowers in its' underwriting. Small commercial real estate loans can be underwritten to a maximum loan-to-value ratio of $80 \%$. In the minority of cases where the income approach to appraised value is used, loans can be underwritten to a minimum debt service ratio of $110 \%$. At December 31, 2008, the Bank held $\$ 80.7$ million of loans in portfolio classified as small investment property loans, or approximately $2.5 \%$ of the gross loan portfolio, with a weighted average FICO score of 711 and a weighted average loan-to-value ratio of $64 \%$.

One- to Four-Family Residential and Cooperative Apartment Lending. The Bank offers residential first and second mortgage loans secured primarily by owner-occupied, one- to four-family residences, including condominium and cooperative apartments. The majority of one- to four-family residential loans in the Bank's loan portfolio were obtained through the Bank's acquisitions of Financial Federal Savings Bank in 1999 and Pioneer Savings Bank, F.S.B. in 1996. The Bank originated $\$ 37.0$ million of one- to four-family mortgages during the year ended December 31, 2008, including home equity and home improvement loans. At December 31, 2008, $\$ 130.7$ million, or $4.0 \%$, of the Bank's loans consisted of one- to four-family residential and cooperative apartment loans. The Bank is a participating seller/servicer with FNMA and generally underwrites its one- to four-family residential mortgage loans to conform with FNMA standards.

Although the collateral securing cooperative apartment loans is composed of shares in a cooperative corporation (i.e., a corporation whose primary asset is the underlying building) and a proprietary lease in the borrower's apartment, cooperative apartment loans are treated as one- to four-family loans. The Bank's portfolio of cooperative apartment loans was $\$ 11.6$ million, or $0.4 \%$ of total loans, as of December 31, 2008. Originations of cooperative unit loans totaled $\$ 7.2$ million during the year ended December 31, 2008.

For all one- to four-family loans originated by the Bank, upon receipt of a completed loan application from a prospective borrower: (1) a credit report is reviewed; (2) income, assets, indebtedness and certain other information are reviewed; (3) if necessary, additional financial information is required of the borrower; and (4) an appraisal of the real estate intended to secure the proposed loan is obtained from an independent appraiser approved by the Board of Directors. Loans underwritten by PHH additionally utilize these underwriting criteria (with the exception that the appraisals are completed by a rotating appraisal group certified by PHH). One to four-family loans sold to PHH totaled $\$ 8.2$ million during the year ended December 31, 2008.

The Bank generally sells its newly originated conforming fixed-rate one- to four-family mortgage loans with maturities in excess of 15 years. During the year ended December 31, 2008, the Bank sold one- to four-family mortgage loans totaling $\$ 8.8$ million to non-affiliates, of which $\$ 8.2$ were sold to PHH , and $\$ 580,000$ were sold to FNMA with servicing retained by
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the Bank. As of December 31, 2008, the Bank's portfolio of one- to four-family fixed-rate mortgage loans serviced for others totaled $\$ 19.2$ million.

Home Equity and Home Improvement Loans. Home equity loans and home improvement loans, the majority of which are included in one- to four-family loans, are originated to a maximum of $\$ 500,000$. At the time of origination, the combined balance of the first mortgage and home equity or home improvement loan may not exceed $75 \%$ of the appraised value of the collateral property at origination of the home equity or home improvement loan. On home equity and home improvement loans, the borrower pays an initial interest rate equal to the prime interest rate at the time of origination. After six months, the interest rate adjusts and ranges from the prime interest rate to 100 basis points above the prime interest rate in effect at the time. The interest rate on the loan can never fall below the rate at origination The combined outstanding balance of the Bank's home equity and home improvement loans was $\$ 31.3$ million at December 31, 2008.

Equity Lines of Credit on Multifamily Residential and Commercial Real Estate Loans. Equity credit lines are available on multifamily residential and commercial real estate loans. These loans are underwritten in the same manner as first mortgage loans on these properties, except that the combined loan-to-value ratio of the first mortgage and the equity line may be as high as $80 \%$ and the minimum debt service coverage ratio is $115 \%$. On multifamily residential and commercial real estate equity lines of credit, the borrower pays an interest rate generally ranging from 100 to 200 basis points above the prime rate, based upon the loan-to-value ratio of the combined first mortgage and equity line at the time of origination of the equity line of credit. The outstanding balance of these equity loans (which are included in the $\$ 31.3$ million of total outstanding home equity and home improvement loans discussed in the previous paragraph) was $\$ 14.2$ million at December 31, 2008, on outstanding total lines of $\$ 43.3$ million.

Construction Lending. The Bank participates in various real estate construction loans. All of these construction projects are located in the NYC metropolitan area, and in most instances, involve multifamily residential properties that are underwritten to support the permanent debt with rental units. Although it has assumed up to $90 \%$ participation on some construction loan commitments, the Bank generally does not act as primary underwriting agent for any of these loans. The Bank does, however, carefully review the underwriting of these construction loans, and regularly inspects the construction progress and engineering reports prior to advancing funds. During the year ended December 31, 2008, the Bank funded $\$ 18.2$ million of construction loans. At December 31, 2008, the Bank had $\$ 13.4$ million in unfunded construction loan commitments.

Land Acquisition Loans. The Bank, in rare instances, funds the purchase of land by a borrower for either rehabilitation or development. These loans require that the loan to value ratio not exceed $70 \%$ at origination, and require a separate construction or permanent loan to be negotiated by the borrower for any future development activity. Land acquisition loans totaled $\$ 7.7$ million at December 31, 2008.

Loan Approval Authority and Underwriting. The Board of Directors of the Bank establishes lending authorities for individual officers related to the various types of loan products offered by the Bank. In addition, the Bank maintains a Loan Operating Committee entrusted with loan approval authority. The Chief Executive Officer, President, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Investment Officer and Chief Lending Officer are members of the Loan Operating Committee. The Loan Operating Committee has authority to approve portfolio loan originations in amounts up to $\$ 3.0$ million and loans originated and sold to PHH in amounts up to $\$ 4.0$ million (although loans in excess of $\$ 1.5$ million are not currently offered by the Bank through the PHH program). Both the Loan Operating Committee and the Bank's Board of Directors must approve all portfolio loan originations exceeding $\$ 3.0$ million. All loans approved by the Loan Operating Committee are presented to the Bank's Board of Directors for its review.

Regulatory restrictions imposed on the Bank's lending activities limit the amount of credit that may be extended to any one borrower to $15 \%$ of unimpaired capital and unimpaired surplus. A single borrower may exceed the initial $15 \%$ limit, up to a final limit of $25 \%$, if he or she secures the full amount of the outstanding loan balance in excess of the
initial $15 \%$ limit with collateral in the form of readily marketable securities that have a reliable and continuously available price quotation. The Bank's highest individual borrower fell significantly below this limitation at December 31, 2008. (See "Item 1. Business - Regulation - Regulation of Federal Savings Associations - Loans to One Borrower").

## Asset Quality

## General

At both December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, the Company had neither whole loans nor collateral underlying MBS that would be considered subprime loans, i.e., mortgage loans advanced to borrowers who do not qualify for market interest rates because of problems with their income or credit history. (See "Item I - Business - Lending Activities" for a
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further discussion of the Bank's underwriting standards).
Monitoring and Collection of Delinquent Loans
Management of the Bank reviews delinquent loans on a monthly basis and reports to its Board of Directors regarding the status of all non-performing and otherwise delinquent loans in the Bank's portfolio.

The Bank's loan servicing policies and procedures require that an automated late notice be sent to a delinquent borrower as soon as possible after a payment is ten days late, in the case of a multifamily residential or commercial real estate loan, or fifteen days late in connection with a one- to four-family or consumer loan. A second letter is sent to the borrower if payment has not been received within 30 days of the due date. Thereafter, periodic letters are mailed and phone calls are placed to the borrower until payment is received. When contact is made with the borrower at any time prior to foreclosure, the Bank will attempt to obtain the full payment due or negotiate a repayment schedule with the borrower to avoid foreclosure.

Accrual of interest is generally discontinued on loans that have missed three consecutive monthly payments, at which time the Bank does not recognize the interest from the third month and evaluates whether the accrual of interest associated with the first two missed payments should be reversed. Payments on nonaccrual loans are generally applied to principal. Management may elect to continue the accrual of interest when a loan is in the process of collection and the estimated fair value of the collateral is sufficient to satisfy the outstanding principal balance (including any outstanding advances related to the loan) and accrued interest. Loans are returned to accrual status once the doubt concerning collectibility has been removed and the borrower has demonstrated performance in accordance with the loan terms and conditions for a period of at least six months.

Generally, the Bank initiates foreclosure proceedings when a loan enters non-accrual status. After initiating foreclosure proceedings, the Bank procures current appraisal information in order to prepare an estimate of the fair value of the underlying collateral. If a foreclosure action is instituted and the loan is not brought current, paid in full, or refinanced before the foreclosure action is completed, the property securing the loan is generally sold. It is the Bank's general policy to dispose of OREO properties as quickly and prudently as possible in consideration of market conditions, the physical condition of the property and any other mitigating circumstances.

Non-accrual loans
Within the Bank's portfolio, non-accrual loans totaled $\$ 7.4$ million and $\$ 2.9$ million at December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively. During the year ended December 31, 2008, twelve loans totaling $\$ 7.0$ million were added to non-accrual status. Partially offsetting this increase were three loans totaling $\$ 1.6$ million that were transferred to other real estate owned ("OREO") and two non-accrual loans totaling $\$ 876,000$ that were satisfied during the period. The difficulties experienced in both the national real estate and financial services marketplaces combined to adversely impact the metropolitan NYC area multifamily and commercial real estate markets during 2008.

## Impaired Loans

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") 114, "Accounting By Creditors for Impairment of a Loan," as amended by SFAS 118, "Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan - Income Recognition and Disclosures an amendment of FASB Statement No. 114" ("Amended SFAS 114"), provides guidelines for determining and measuring impairment in loans. A loan is considered impaired when it is probable that all contractual amounts due will not be collected in accordance with the terms of the loan. A loan is not deemed to be impaired, even during a period of delayed payment by the borrower, if the Bank ultimately expects to collect all amounts due, including interest accrued at the contractual rate. Generally, the Bank considers non-accrual and troubled-debt restructured
multifamily residential and commercial real estate loans, along with non-accrual one- to four-family loans exceeding $\$ 625,500$, to be impaired. Non-accrual one-to four-family loans of $\$ 625,500$ or less, as well as all consumer loans, are considered homogeneous loan pools and are not required to be evaluated individually for impairment. Impairment is measured by the amount that the carrying balance of the loan, including all accrued interest, exceeds the estimate of the fair value of the collateral. A specific reserve is established on all impaired loans to the extent of impairment and comprises a portion of the allowance for loan losses. The recorded investment in loans deemed impaired was approximately $\$ 8.9$ million, consisting of fifteen loans, at December 31, 2008, compared to $\$ 2.8$ million, consisting of six loans, at December 31, 2007, and $\$ 3.5$ million, consisting of six loans, at December 31, 2006. During the year ended December 31, 2008, fourteen impaired loans totaling $\$ 8.5$ million were added to impaired status, while five loans totaling $\$ 2.4$ million were removed from impaired status. Of the $\$ 8.5$ million added during the year, $\$ 2.1$ million represented problematic loans that remained on accrual status at December 31, 2008. The combination of their problem payment history and concerns over their realizable disposal value in the event of foreclosure, resulted in their
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being deemed impaired at December 31, 2008, with a specific reserve being allocated to them within the allowance for loan losses. Of the $\$ 2.4$ million removed from impaired status, $\$ 1.6$ million represented transfers to OREO and $\$ 876,000$ represented satisfactions. During the year ended December 31, 2007, three impaired loans totaling $\$ 2.0$ million were removed from impaired status while three loans totaling $\$ 1.2$ million were added to impaired status. Since much of the activity occurred during the final six months of 2007, while the period-end balance of impaired loans declined from December 31, 2006 to December 31, 2007, the average balance was higher during the year ended December 31, 2007, as $\$ 3.5$ million of impaired loans added during the year ended December 31, 2006 had a greater impact on the average balance of impaired loans during 2007 than 2006. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, reserves totaling $\$ 1.1$ million and $\$ 348,000$, respectively, were allocated within the allowance for loan losses for impaired loans. At December 31, 2008, impaired loans exceeded non-accrual loans by $\$ 1.5$ million due to the $\$ 2.1$ million of impaired loans that remained on accrual status at December 31, 2008, which were partially offset by $\$ 597,000$ of one- to four-family and consumer loans, which, while on non-accrual status, were not deemed impaired since they had individual outstanding balances of $\$ 625,500$ or less.

## Troubled-Debt Restructurings

Under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"), the Bank is required to account for certain loan modifications or restructurings as "troubled-debt restructurings." In general, the modification or restructuring of a loan constitutes a troubled-debt restructuring if the Bank, for economic or legal reasons related to the borrower's financial difficulties, grants a concession to the borrower that it would not otherwise consider. Current OTS regulations require that troubled-debt restructurings remain classified as such until either the loan is repaid or returns to its original terms. The Bank had no loans classified as troubled-debt restructurings at December 31, 2008 and 2007.

## OREO

Property acquired by the Bank as a result of a foreclosure on a mortgage loan or deed in lieu of foreclosure is classified as OREO and recorded at the lower of the recorded investment in the related loan or the fair value of the property on the date of acquisition, with any resulting write down charged to the allowance for loan losses and any disposition expenses charged to the valuation allowance for possible losses on OREO. The Bank obtains a current appraisal on OREO property as soon as practicable after it takes possession and will generally reassess the value of OREO at least annually thereafter. At December 31, 2008, the Bank owned one OREO property with a recorded balance of $\$ 300,000$. At December 31, 2007, the Bank owned no OREO properties with a recorded balance.

The following table sets forth information regarding non-accrual loans, OREO, and troubled-debt restructurings at the dates indicated:

|  | At December 31, |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Non-accrual loans | (Dollars in Thousands) |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family | \$566 | \$11 | \$60 | \$317 | \$475 |
| Multifamily residential | 776 | 2,236 | 1,655 | 384 | 830 |
| Commercial real estate | 3,439 | 577 | 1,859 |  |  |
| Mixed Use | 2,590 | - | - | - | - |
| Cooperative apartment | 26 | 27 | 26 | 229 | - |
| Other | 5 | 5 | 6 | 28 | 154 |
| Total non-accrual loans | 7,402 | 2,856 | 3,606 | 958 | 1,459 |
| OREO | 300 | - | - | - | - |
| Total non-performing assets | 7,702 | 2,856 | 3,606 | 958 | 1,459 |
| Troubled-debt restructurings | - | - | - | - | - |


| Total non-performing assets and <br> troubled-debt restructurings | $\$ 7,702$ | $\$ 2,856$ | $\$ 3,606$ | $\$ 958$ | $\$ 1,459$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Impaired loans | $\$ 8,900$ | $\$ 2,814$ | $\$ 3,514$ | $\$ 384$ | $\$ 830$ |
| Ratios:      <br> Total non-accrual loans to total loans $0.22 \%$ $0.10 \%$ $0.13 \%$ $0.04 \%$ $0.06 \%$ <br> Total non-accrual loans and troubled-debt <br> restructurings to total loans <br> Total non-performing assets to total assets 0.22 0.10 0.13 0.04 0.06 <br> Total non-performing assets and troubled-debt <br> restructurings to total assets 0.19 0.08 0.11 0.03 0.04$\quad 0.19$ | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.04 |  |
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On January 30, 2009, the Bank re-acquired four loans with an aggregate carrying balance of $\$ 5.8$ million (net of aggregate specific reserves of $\$ 3.0$ million recognized on these loans). This $\$ 5.8$ million carrying balance is expected to be reflected as non-accrual loans / non-performing assets at March 31, 2009. (See "Item 1 - Business - Reserve Liability on the Recourse Exposure on Multifamily Loans Serviced for FNMA") for a further discussion of this re-acquisition.

## Loans Delinquent 60 to 89 Days

The Bank had a total of 10 real estate and consumer loans, totaling $\$ 4.8$ million, delinquent 60-89 days (two consecutive missed payments) at December 31, 2008, compared to 7 such delinquent loans, totaling $\$ 1.9$ million, at December 31, 2007. The majority of the dollar amount of both non-accrual loans and loans delinquent 60-89 days were real estate loans. The growth in the dollar amount delinquent 60-89 days from December 31, 2007 to December 31,2008 resulted primarily from a net increase of $\$ 3.1$ million of 60 to 89 day delinquent real estate loans during the period. The $60-89$ day delinquency levels fluctuate monthly, and are generally considered a less accurate indicator of credit quality trends than non-accrual loans. However, given the considerable challenges facing the NYC area multifamily and commercial real estate markets at December 31, 2008, it is reasonable to expect that these delinquencies will remain above their December 31, 2007 level for the foreseeable future.

## Classified Assets

OTS regulations and Bank policy require that loans and other assets possessing certain negative characteristics be classified as "Substandard," "Doubtful" or "Loss" assets. An asset is considered "Substandard" if it is inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or the collateral pledged, if any. "Substandard" assets have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses and are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Bank will sustain "some loss" if deficiencies are not corrected. Assets classified as "Doubtful" have all of the weaknesses inherent in those classified "Substandard" with the added characteristic that the weaknesses present make "collection or liquidation in full," on the basis of current existing facts, conditions, and values, "highly questionable and improbable." Assets classified as "Loss" are those considered "uncollectible" and of such little value that their continuance as assets without the establishment of a specific loss reserve is not warranted. Assets which do not expose the Bank to risk sufficient to warrant classification in one of the aforementioned categories, but possess potential weaknesses that deserve management's attention, are designated "Special Mention."

The Bank's Loan Loss Reserve Committee, subject to approval of the Bank's Board of Directors, establishes policies relating to the internal classification of loans. The Bank believes that its classification policies are consistent with regulatory requirements. All non-accrual and impaired loans and OREO are considered classified assets. In addition, the Bank maintains a "watch list," comprised of loans that, while performing, are characterized by weaknesses requiring special attention from management and are considered to be potential problem loans. This list can include loans that have either been classified as Substandard or Special Mention in previous years but have remained current for at least 6 months, loans that have experienced irregular payment histories, or troubled-debt restructurings to the extent that they do fall into either of the previous groups.

The Loan Loss Reserve Committee reviews all loans in the Bank's portfolio quarterly, with particular emphasis on problem loans, in order to determine whether any loans require reclassification in accordance with applicable regulatory guidelines. The Loan Loss Reserve Committee reports its conclusions to the Bank's Board of Directors on a quarterly basis.

At December 31, 2008, the Bank's watch list was comprised of 11 loans totaling $\$ 2.7$ million that remained on accrual status, compared to 7 such loans totaling $\$ 1.8$ million at December 31, 2007. The increase resulted from the addition of seven loans totaling $\$ 1.9$ million to the watch list during 2008, which were partially offset by two loans totaling $\$ 1.1$ million that entered non accrual status during the period.

At both December 31, 2008 and 2007, the Bank had no loans classified as either Doubtful or Loss. At December 31, 2008, the Bank had 27 loans totaling $\$ 2.7$ million designated Special Mention, compared to 31 loans totaling $\$ 1.2$ million at December 31, 2007, reflecting the increase in the watch list loan balance during the year ended December 31, 2008. At December 31, 2008, the Bank had $\$ 7.3$ million of assets classified as Substandard, compared to $\$ 3.7$ million at December 31, 2007.

At December 31, 2008 and 2007, no Company-held investment securities, MBS or other assets were classified as Special Mention, Substandard or Doubtful. GAAP rules that require the immediate recognition of unrealized losses on these assets that are deemed other-than temporary enerally prevent them from satisfying the criteria of a classified asset under OTS regulations and Bank policy.
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The following table sets forth the Bank's aggregate carrying value of assets classified as either Substandard or Special Mention at December 31, 2008:

|  | Special |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mention |  |  |  |  | Substandard |
|  | Number |  |  | Number |  |  |
|  | (Dollars in Thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage Loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multifamily residential |  | 3 | \$1,550 |  | 2 | \$1,553 |
| One- to four-family |  | 1 | 57 |  | 6 | 1,473 |
| Cooperative apartment |  | 4 | 153 |  | 1 | 26 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 3 | 920 |  | 5 | 3,955 |
| Total Mortgage Loans |  | 11 | 2,680 |  | 14 | \$7,007 |
| Other loans |  | 16 | 8 |  | 9 | 5 |
| OREO |  | - | - |  | 1 | 300 |
| Total |  | 27 | \$2,688 |  | 24 | \$7,312 |

## Problem Loans Serviced for Other Financial Institutions That are Subject to Recourse Exposure

The Bank services a pool of multifamily loans sold to FNMA with an outstanding principal balance of $\$ 519.8$ million at December 31, 2008. This pool of loans was subject to a recourse exposure totaling $\$ 21.9$ million at December 31, 2008. Within this pool of loans, the Bank had not received a payment from the borrower in excess of 90 days on loans totaling $\$ 23.7$ million at December 31, 2008, and has identified another $\$ 3.6$ million of other problem loans. Under the terms of the servicing agreement with FNMA, the Bank is obligated to fund FNMA all monthly principal and interest payments under the original terms of the loans until the earlier of the following events: (1) the loans have been fully satisfied or enter OREO status; or (2) the recourse exposure is fully exhausted.

Allowance for Loan Losses
GAAP requires the Bank to maintain an appropriate allowance for loan losses. The Loan Loss Reserve Committee is charged with, among other functions, responsibility for monitoring the appropriateness of the loan loss reserve. The Loan Loss Reserve Committee's findings, along with recommendations for changes to loan loss reserve provisions, if any, are reported directly to the Bank's senior management and Board of Directors. The following table sets forth activity in the Bank's allowance for loan losses at or for the dates indicated:


| Total charge-offs | $(612)$ | $(28)$ | $(50)$ | $(76)$ | (158) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Recoveries | 29 | 19 | 23 | 31 | 25 |
| Reserve for loan commitments <br> transferred (to) from other |  |  |  |  |  |
| liabilities | 644 | $(358)$ | $(484)$ | $(53)$ | 378 |
| Balance at end of period | $\$ 17,454$ | $\$ 15,387$ | $\$ 15,514$ | $\$ 15,785$ | $\$ 15,543$ |
| Allowance for loan losses to total <br> loans at end of period | $0.53 \%$ | $0.53 \%$ | $0.57 \%$ | $0.60 \%$ | $0.62 \%$ |
| Allowance for loan losses to total <br> non-performing loans at end of <br> period | 235.80 | 538.76 | 430.23 | $1,647.70$ | $1,065.32$ |
| Allowance for loan losses to total <br> non-performing loans and <br> troubled-debt <br> restructurings at end of period | 235.80 | 538.76 | 430.23 | $1,647.70$ | $1,065.32$ |
| Ratio of net charge-offs to average <br> loans outstanding during the period | $0.02 \%$ | - | - | - | - |

(1) Total loans represent gross loans, net of deferred loan fees and discounts.
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Based upon its evaluation of the loan portfolio, management believes that the Bank maintained its allowance for loan losses at a level appropriate to absorb losses inherent within the Bank's loan portfolio as of the balance sheet dates. Factors considered in determining the appropriateness of the allowance for loan losses include the Bank's past loan loss experience, known and inherent risks in the portfolio, existing adverse situations which may affect a borrower's ability to repay, estimated value of underlying collateral and current economic conditions in the Bank's lending area. Although management uses available information to estimate losses on loans, future additions to, or reductions in, the allowance may be necessary based on changes in economic conditions beyond management's control. In addition, various regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination processes, periodically review the Bank's allowance for loan losses. Such agencies may require the Bank to recognize additions to, or reductions in, the allowance based upon judgments different from those of management.

The allowance for loan losses was $\$ 17.5$ million at December 31, 2008 compared to $\$ 15.4$ million at December 31, 2007. During the year ended December 31, 2008, the Bank recorded a provision of $\$ 2.0$ million to the allowance for loan losses. In addition at December 31, 2008, the Bank re-designated $\$ 644,000$ of its reserves on loan origination commitments to its allowance for loan losses due to a decrease in loan commitments outstanding at December 31, 2008. The Bank also recorded net charge-offs of $\$ 583,000$ during the year ended December 31, 2008. The Bank did not make any changes to the major assumptions underlying the determination of its allowance for loan losses during the year ended December 31, 2008. Both the provision and the increase in the allowance for loan losses during the year ended December 31, 2008 primarily reflected the following items: 1) the significant growth in the Bank's loan portfolio that occurred during the year ended December 31, 2008; and 2) the increase in non-accrual and other problem loans from December 31, 2007 to December 31, 2008, coupled with deteriorating conditions in the Bank's local real estate marketplace that resulted in a higher level of estimated loan loss reserves on these non-accrual and other problem loans.

The deterioration in the overall real estate market in the NYC metropolitan area that occurred late in 2008, particularly in relation to commercial and office building properties, may result in higher expected loss allocations being applied on all loans in the determination of the allowance for loan losses during the year ending December 31, 2009 than were applied at December 31, 2008.

The following table sets forth the Bank's allowance for loan losses allocated by loan category and the percent of loans in each category to total loans at the dates indicated:

|  | At December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  | 2007 |  | 2006 |  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  |
|  | Percent of Loans in Each Category |  | Percent of Loans in Each Category |  |  |  | Allocated to <br> Amount | Percent f Loans in Each Category o Total oans(1) | Allocated Amount | Percent f Loans in Each Category o Total oans(1) |
| Impaired loans | \$1,056 | 0.27\% | \$348 | 0.10\% | \$351 | 0.13\% | \$38 | 0.01\% | \$83 | 0.04\% |
| Multifamily residential | 10,583 | 68.08 | 9,381 | 67.72 | 8,948 | 68.62 | 10,137 | 71.75 | 11,753 | 76.72 |
| Commercial real estate | 4,695 | 25.65 | 4,449 | 25.31 | 5,208 | 24.61 | 4,759 | 22.10 | 3,161 | 16.98 |
| One-to fourfamily | 306 | 3.97 | 347 | 4.86 | 496 | 5.43 | 496 | 5.20 | 436 | 5.05 |
| Cooperative apartment | 95 | 0.35 | 33 | 0.21 | 45 | 0.27 | 59 | 0.39 | 65 | 0.47 |
|  | 680 | 1.61 | 764 | 1.72 | 392 | 0.86 | 196 | 0.46 | - | 0.62 |

## Construction

and
land
acquisition

| Other | 39 | 0.07 | 65 | 0.08 | 74 | 0.08 | 100 | 0.09 | 45 | 0.12 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total | $\$ 17,454$ | $100.00 \%$ | $\$ 15,387$ | $100.00 \%$ | $\$ 15,514$ | $100.00 \%$ | $\$ 15,785$ | $100.00 \%$ | $\$ 15,543$ | $100.00 \%$ |

(1) Total loans represent gross loans less FHA and VA guaranteed loans.

Reserve Liability on the Recourse Exposure on Multifamily Loans Serviced for FNMA
The Bank has a recourse exposure associated with multifamily loans that it sold to FNMA between December 2002 and December 31, 2008, and maintains a related reserve liability. The reserve liability reflects the estimate of future losses that are deemed probable to occur on this loan pool at each period end. In determining the estimate of probable future losses, the Bank utilizes a methodology similar to the calculation of its allowance for loan losses. For all performing loans within the FNMA serviced pool, the reserve recognized is the present value of the estimated future losses calculated based upon the historical loss experience for comparable multifamily loans owned by the Bank. For problem loans within the pool, the estimated future losses are determined in a manner consistent with impaired or classified loans within the Bank's loan portfolio.
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The following is a summary of the aggregate balance of multifamily loans serviced for FNMA, the period-end balance of total recourse exposure associated with these loans, and activity related to the reserve liability:

|  | At or for the Year Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|  | (Dollars in Thousands) |  |  |  |  |
| Outstanding balance of multifamily loans serviced for FNMA at period end | \$519,831 \$535,793\$494,770\$386,781\$295,800 |  |  |  |  |
| Total recourse exposure at end of period | 21,865 | 20,409 | 18,495 | 15,564 | 12,754 |
| Reserve Liability on the Recourse Exposure |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$2,436 | \$2,223 | \$1,771 | \$1,543 | \$761 |
| Additions for loans sold during the period 1 | 101 | 213 | 452 | 228 | 782 |
| Provision for losses on problem loans1 | 3,946 | - | - | - | - |
| Charge-offs | (910) | - | - | - | - |
| Balance at period end | \$5,573 | \$2,436 | \$2,223 | \$1,771 | \$1,543 |

1 Amount recognized as a portion of mortgage banking income during the period.
Absent extenuating circumstances, the $\$ 910,000$ of charge-offs recognized in 2008, and the full reserve liability balance of $\$ 5.6$ million at December 31, 2008, would have represented likely future loss claims, and upon ultimate settlement of the loans, the Bank would have sought to reduce the $\$ 21.9$ million total recourse exposure. However, of the $\$ 5.6$ million reserve liability that existed at December 31, 2008, $\$ 1.4$ million related to a loan that the Bank was required to repurchase under the terms of its seller/servicer agreement with FNMA at the initial purchase price. This loan also accounted for $\$ 146,000$ of the $\$ 910,000$ in charge-offs recognized during 2008. The re-acquisition of this loan was completed on January 30, 2009, and the Bank understands that no losses associated with this loan shall reduce the $\$ 21.9$ million total recourse exposure.

In addition, on January 30, 2009, the Bank re-acquired three other problem loans from FNMA (all associated with one common borrower), on which aggregate charge-offs of $\$ 701,000$ were recognized during the year ended December 31,2008 , and on which a specific reserve of $\$ 1.6$ million was included in the $\$ 5.6$ million reserve liability at December 31, 2008. Under the terms of the re-acquisition agreement, upon ultimate resolution of these loans, $50 \%$ of their aggregate losses will reduce the $\$ 21.9$ million total recourse exposure. In exchange for this concession, the Bank received the potential right to reduce the $\$ 21.9$ total recourse exposure commencing on January 1, 2015.

Subsequent to the re-acquisition of these four loans, the reserve liability on the recourse exposure had a balance approximating $\$ 2.6$ million.

Reserve for Loan Commitments

At December 31, 2008, the Bank maintained a reserve of $\$ 572,000$ associated with loan commitments accepted by the borrower at December 31, 2008. This reserve is determined based upon the historical loss experience of similar loans owned by the Bank at each period end. Any increases in this reserve are achieved via a transfer of reserves from the Bank's allowance for loan losses, with any subsequent resulting shortfall in the allowance for loan losses satisfied through the quarterly provision for loan losses. Any decreases in the loan commitment reserve are recognized as a transfer of reserve balances back to the allowance for loans losses at each period end.

## Investment Activities

Investment Strategies of the Holding Company. At December 31, 2008, the Holding Company's principal asset was its $\$ 349.7$ million investment in the Bank's common stock. Other Holding Company investments are intended primarily to provide future liquidity which may be utilized for general business activities. These may include, but are not limited to: (1) purchases of the Holding Company's common stock into treasury; (2) repayment of principal and
interest on the Holding Company's $\$ 25.0$ million subordinated note obligation and $\$ 72.2$ million trust preferred securities borrowing; (3) subject to applicable dividend restriction limitations, the payment of dividends on the Holding Company's common stock; and/or (4) investments in the equity securities of other financial institutions and other investments not permitted to the Bank. The Holding Company's investment policy calls for investments in relatively short-term, liquid securities similar to those permitted by the securities investment policy of the Bank. The Holding Company cannot assure that it will engage in any of these activities in the future.

Investment Policy of the Bank. The investment policy of the Bank, which is adopted by its Board of Directors, is designed to help achieve the Bank's overall asset/liability management objectives while complying with applicable OTS regulations. Generally, when selecting investments for the Bank's portfolio, the policy calls for management to emphasize principal preservation, liquidity, diversification, short maturities and/or repricing terms, and a favorable return on investment. The policy permits investments in various types of liquid
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assets, including obligations of the U.S. Treasury and federal agencies, investment grade corporate debt, various types of MBS, commercial paper, certificates of deposit ("CDs") and overnight federal funds sold to financial institutions. The Bank's Board of Directors periodically approves all financial institutions to which the Bank sells federal funds.

Investment strategies are implemented by the Asset and Liability Management Committee ("ALCO"), which is comprised of the Chief Financial Officer, Chief Investment Officer, Treasurer and other senior officers. The strategies take into account the overall composition of the Bank's balance sheet, including loans and deposits, and are intended to protect and enhance the Bank's earnings and market value, and effectively manage both interest rate risk and liquidity. The strategies are reviewed monthly by the ALCO and reported regularly to the Board of Directors.

The Holding Company or the Bank may, with respective Board approval, engage in hedging transactions utilizing derivative instruments. During the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, neither the Holding Company nor the Bank held any derivative instruments or embedded derivative instruments that required bifurcation.

MBS. MBS provide the portfolio with investments offering desirable repricing, cash flow and credit quality characteristics. MBS yield less than the loans that underlie the securities as a result of the cost of payment guarantees and credit enhancements which reduce credit risk to the investor. Although MBS guaranteed by federally sponsored agencies carry a reduced credit risk compared to whole loans, such securities remain subject to the risk that fluctuating interest rates, along with other factors such as the geographic distribution of the underlying mortgage loans, may alter the prepayment rate of such loans and thus affect both the prepayment speed and value of such securities. MBS, however, are more liquid than individual mortgage loans and may readily be used to collateralize borrowings. The MBS portfolio also provides the Holding Company and the Bank with important interest rate risk management features, as the entire portfolio provides monthly cash flow for re-investment at current market interest rates. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, all MBS owned by the Company possessed the highest possible investment credit rating.

The Company's consolidated investment in MBS totaled $\$ 301.4$ million, or $7.4 \%$ of total assets, at December 31, 2008, the majority of which was owned by the Bank. The majority of the MBS portfolio was comprised of pass-through securities guaranteed by the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("FHLMC"), Government National Mortgage Agency ("GNMA") or FNMA. These securities approximated $68 \%$ of the total MBS portfolio at December 31, 2008. At December 31, 2008, this portion of the portfolio was comprised of $\$ 117.5$ million of FHLMC or FNMA securities that are fixed for a period of five, seven or ten years and then reset annually thereafter, $\$ 88.2$ million of seasoned fixed-rate FNMA pass-through securities with an average estimated duration of less than 3.0 years, $\$ 1.0$ million of GNMA ARM pass-through securities with a weighted average term to next rate adjustment of less than one year, and a $\$ 1.4$ million FNMA 18-year balloon MBS.

At December 31, 2008, included in the MBS portfolio were $\$ 93.2$ million in Collateralized Mortgage Obligations ("CMOs") and Real Estate Mortgage Investment Conduits ("REMICs") owned by the Bank. All of the CMOs and REMICs were U.S agency guaranteed obligations, with the exception of three CMOs that were issued by highly rated private financial institutions. All of the non-agency guaranteed obligations were rated in the highest ratings category by at least one nationally recognized rating agency at the time of purchase. None of the CMOs and REMICs had stripped principal and interest components and all occupied priority tranches within their respective issues. As of December 31, 2008, the aggregate fair value of the agency guaranteed CMOs and REMICs approximated their cost basis. The three private financial institution issued CMOs had an aggregate cost basis of $\$ 8.4$ million and an aggregate unrealized loss of $\$ 539,000$ at December 31, 2008.

The MBS portfolio included one pass-through security issued by a private financial institution with a cost basis of $\$ 4.5$ million and a fair value of $\$ 4.2$ million at December 31, 2008. This security possessed the highest possible credit rating at December 31, 2008, and continues to perform in accordance with its contractual terms. The credit rating of
this security was downgraded subsequent to December 31, 2008. Despite this downgrade, the security continues to perform in accordance with its contractual terms, and is expected to return all contractual principal and interest.

GAAP requires that investments in equity securities have readily determinable fair values and investments in debt securities be classified in one of the following three categories and accounted for accordingly: trading securities, securities available-for-sale or securities held-to-maturity. Neither the Holding Company nor the Bank owned any securities classified as trading securities during the twelve months ended December 31, 2008, nor do they presently anticipate establishing a trading portfolio. Unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities are reported as a separate component of stockholders' equity referred to as accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of deferred taxes. At December 31, 2008, the Holding Company and the Bank owned, on a combined basis, $\$ 318.0$ million of securities classified as available-for-sale, which represented $7.8 \%$ of total assets. Based upon the size of the available-for-sale portfolio, future variations in the market value of the available-for-sale portfolio could result in fluctuations in the Company's consolidated stockholders' equity.
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The Company typically classifies MBS as available-for-sale, in recognition of the greater prepayment uncertainty associated with these securities, and carries them at fair market value. The fair value of MBS available-for-sale was $\$ 1.6$ million above their amortized cost at December 31, 2008.

The following table sets forth activity in the MBS portfolio for the periods indicated:

|  | For the Year Ended December 31, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|  | Dollars in Thousands |  |  |
| Amortized cost at beginning of period | \$164,503 | \$160,096 | \$199,931 |
| (Sales) Purchases, net | 183,849 | 37,992 | - |
| Principal repayments | $(48,155)$ | $(33,329)$ | $(39,420)$ |
| Premium amortization, net | (469) | (256) | (415) |
| Amortized cost at end of period | \$299,728 | \$164,503 | \$160,096 |

Corporate Debt Obligations. Both the Holding Company and the Bank may invest in investment-grade debt obligations of various corporations. The Bank's investment policy limits new investments in corporate debt obligations to companies rated single " A " or better by one of the nationally recognized rating agencies, and limits investments in any one corporate entity to the lesser of $1 \%$ of total assets or $15 \%$ of the Bank's stockholders' equity.

At December 31, 2008, the Company's investment in corporate debt obligations was comprised solely of eight securities that were secured by the preferred debt obligations of a pool of U.S. banks (with a small portion secured by debt obligations of insurance companies). These pooled trust preferred securities had an aggregate cost basis of \$16.6 million and a recorded balance of $\$ 10.9$ million. On September 1, 2008, the Bank transferred these eight securities from its available-for-sale portfolio to its held-to-maturity portfolio. Based upon the lack of an orderly market for these securities, management determined that a formal election to hold these securities to maturity was consistent with its initial investment decision. On the date of transfer, the unrealized loss of $\$ 8.4$ million that existed on these securities continued to be recognized as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss within the Company's consolidated stockholders' equity (net of the deferred tax benefit), and was expected to be amortized over the remaining average life of the securities, which approximated 25.7 years on a weighted average basis. During the period September 1, 2008 through December 31, 2008, amortization of this unrealized loss totaled $\$ 134,000$, and $\$ 2.6$ million was reversed related to two securities (discussed in the following paragraph) for which an other-than temporary impairment charge was recognized during the period. At December 31, 2008, the remaining unrealized loss will be amortized during the remaining contractual life of these securities, which have contractual maturities ranging from April 3, 2032 through September 22, 2037.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company recorded a pre-tax other-than temporary impairment charge of $\$ 3.2$ million related to two of these pooled trust preferred securities. As of December 31, 2008, these securities were performing in accordance with their contractual terms and had paid all contractual cash flows since the Bank's initial investment. In management's judgment, however, the credit quality of the collateral pool underlying the two securities had deteriorated to the point that full recovery of the Bank's initial investment was considered uncertain. Consequently, an other-than temporary impairment charge was deemed warranted as of December 31, 2008. This pre-tax other-than temporary impairment charge was reflected in the Company's consolidated results of operations.

Subsequent to the recognition of the impairment on the two securities, the total remaining cost basis of the Company's investment in pooled trust preferred debt obligations of banks or insurance companies totaled $\$ 16.6$ million at December 31, 2008. There was no orderly market for pooled trust preferred securities at December 31, 2008. As a result, the fair value of the eight securities was estimated utilizing a cash flow valuation methodology, and was
determined to be $\$ 7.5$ million below the $\$ 16.6$ million aggregate cost basis. Despite the significant decline in the market value of these securities, management believes that the $\$ 10.8$ million of unrealized losses on the pooled trust preferred securities at December 31, 2008 were temporary, and that the full value of these investments will be realized once the market dislocations have been removed or as the securities continue to satisfy their contractual payments of principal and interest. In making this determination, management considered the following:
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In addition to satisfying all contractual payments since inception, each of the securities that possessed an unrealized loss at December 31, 2008 demonstrated the following beneficial credit characteristics:

All securities have maintained an investment grade rating since inception from at least one rating agency

- Each security has a diverse pool of underlying issuers
None of the securities have exposure to real estate investment trust issued debt (which has experienced high default rates)
- Each security features either a mandatory auction or a de-leveraging mechanism that could result in principal repayments to the Bank prior to the stated maturity of the security
. Each security is characterized by some level of over-collateralization
Based upon an internal review of the collateral backing these securities, which accounted for current and prospective deferrals, each of the securities can reasonably be expected to continue making contractual payments.

Municipal Agencies. At December 31, 2008, the Bank had an investment in municipal agency obligations totaling $\$ 10.1$ million, all of which were acquired during 2007. At December 31, 2008, the aggregate market value of these securities exceeded their amortized cost basis by $\$ 202,000$, and the securities possessed a weighted average tax-adjusted yield approximating $5.6 \%$. In February 2009, the Company sold its entire portfolio of municipal agency obligations, recognizing a pre-tax net gain of $\$ 431,000$ on the sale.

Equity Investments. The Company's consolidated investment in equity securities totaled $\$ 5.4$ million at December 31, 2008, comprised of various equity mutual fund investments. At December 31, 2008, the aggregate fair value of these mutual fund investments was $\$ 2.6$ million below their cost basis. Three of these mutual funds, which totaled $\$ 2.1$ million of the $\$ 2.6$ million aggregate equity investment unrealized loss at December 31, 2008, were in a continuous unrealized loss position for a period in excess of twelve months as of December 31, 2008. Two of these three mutual funds were comprised solely of U.S. equities and carried a high correlation to the performance of the Standard and Poors 500 Equity Index. The third mutual fund was comprised of international equities and bears a high correlation to the performance of the MSCI Equity index. Each of these mutual funds have regularly demonstrated the ability to recover to their cost basis during periods in which the correlating equity market indeces performed favorably. Management performed an historical analysis of the average period for which a declining (or "bear") market has continued for both the Standard and Poors 500 and MSCI Equity indeces. Based upon this analysis, management believes that each of these securities were not other than temporarily impaired at December 31, 2008, as the correlating indeces to be reasonably expected to recover within a period permitting the unrealized losses could be deemed temporary (less than two years based upon historical experience). The Company has the intent and ability to hold the securities until recovery.

The following table sets forth the amortized cost and fair value of the total portfolio of investment securities and MBS at the dates indicated:

|  | At December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  | 2007 |  | 2006 |  |
|  | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair <br> Value |
| MBS: | Dollars in Thousands |  |  |  |  |  |
| FHLMC pass through certificates | 144,688 | 146,358 | 31,174 | 31,611 | - | - |
| FNMA pass through certificates | 55,526 | 56,569 | 12,677 | 12,646 | 9,862 | 9,488 |
| GNMA pass through certificates | 1,057 | 1,041 | 1,266 | 1,279 | 1,773 | 1,792 |


| Private issuer MBS | 4,474 | 4,138 | - | - | - | - |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Agency issued CMOs and |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| REMICs | 85,631 | 85,432 | 107,725 | 105,716 | 133,404 | 128,520 |
| Private issuer CMOs and |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| REMICs | 8,352 | 7,813 | 11,661 | 11,512 | 15,057 | 14,637 |
| Total MBS | 299,728 | 301,351 | 164,503 | 162,764 | 160,096 | 154,437 |
| Investment securities: | 1,035 | 1,036 | - | - | - | - |
| U.S. Treasury and agency <br> Municipal agencies | 9,931 | 10,133 | 10,031 | 10,108 | 235 | 235 |
| Corporate debt obligations and |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| mutual funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total investment securities | 24,618 | 14,515 | 24,750 | 24,067 | 29,503 | 29,548 |
| Net unrealized loss (1) | $7798)$ | 25,684 | 34,781 | 34,175 | 29,738 | 29,783 |
| Total securities, net | $\$ 334,514$ | $\$ 327,035$ | $\$ 196,939$ | $\$ 196,939$ | $\$ 184,220$ | $\$ 184,220$ |
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(1) The net unrealized loss relates to available-for-sale securities in accordance with SFAS 115, "Accounting for Investments in Debt and Equity Securities." ("SFAS 115"). The net unrealized loss is presented in order to reconcile the amortized cost of the available-for-sale securities portfolio to the recorded value reflected in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition.

The following table sets forth the amortized cost and fair value of the total portfolio of investment securities and MBS, by accounting classification and type of security, at the dates indicated:

|  | At December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  | 2007 |  | 2006 |  |
|  | AmortizedFair |  | AmortizedFair |  | AmortizedFair |  |
|  | Cost | Value | Cost | Value | Cost | Value |
| Held-to-Maturity: | Dollars in Thousands |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pooled trust preferred securities | \$16,561 | \$9,082 | - |  |  |  |
| Municipal agency | - | - | 80 | 80 | 235 | 235 |
| Total Held-to-Maturity | \$16,561 | \$9,082 | \$80 | \$80 | \$235 | \$235 |
| Available-for-Sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MBS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agency issued MBS | \$201,271 | \$203,968 | \$45,117 | \$45,536 | \$11,635 | \$11,280 |
| Private issuer MBS | 4,474 | 4,138 | - | - | - | - |
| Agency issued CMOs and |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| REMICs | 85,631 | 85,432 | 107,725 | 105,716 | 133,404 | 128,520 |
| Private issuer CMOs and |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| REMICs | 8,352 | 7,813 | 11,661 | 11,512 | 15,057 | 14,637 |
| Total MBS available-for-sale | 299,728 | 301,351 | 164,503 | 162,764 | 160,096 | 154,437 |
| Investment securities | 19,023 | 16,602 | 34,701 | 34,095 | 29,503 | 29,548 |
| Net unrealized loss (1) | (798) | - | $(2,345)$ |  | $(5,614)$ |  |
| Total Available-for-Sale | \$317,953 | \$317,953 | \$196,859 | \$196,859 | \$183,985 | \$183,985 |
| Total securities, net | \$334,514 | \$327,035 | \$196,939 | \$196,939 | \$184,220 | \$184,220 |

(1)The net unrealized loss relates to available-for-sale securities in accordance with SFAS 115. The net unrealized loss is presented in order to reconcile the amortized cost of the available-for-sale securities portfolio to the recorded value reflected in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Condition.

The following table presents the amortized cost, fair value and weighted average yield of available-for-sale investment securities and MBS (exclusive of equity investments) at December 31, 2008, categorized by remaining period to contractual maturity. With respect to MBS, the entire carrying amount of each security at December 31, 2008 is reflected in the maturity period that includes the final security payment date and, accordingly, no effect has been given to periodic repayments or possible prepayments. The investment policies of both the Holding Company and the Bank call for the purchase of only priority tranches when investing in MBS. As a result, the weighted average duration of the Company's MBS approximated 2.7 years as of December 31, 2008 when giving consideration to anticipated repayments or possible prepayments, which is significantly less than their calculated average maturity in the table below. Other than obligations of federal agencies and GSEs, neither the Holding Company nor the Bank had a combined investment in securities issued by any one entity in excess of the lesser of $1 \%$ of total assets or $15 \%$ of the Bank's equity at December 31, 2008.
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|  | Amortized Cost | Fair | Weighted Average Tax EquivalentYield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Dollars in Thousands) |  |  |
| MBS: |  |  |  |
| Due within 1 year | - | - | - |
| Due after 1 year but within 5 years | - | - | - |
| Due after 5 years but within |  |  |  |
| 10 years | \$112,448 | \$112,789 | 4.17\% |
| Due after ten years | 187,280 | 188,562 | 4.90 |
| Total | 299,728 | 301,351 | 4.63 |
| Municipal agency: |  |  |  |
| Due within 1 year | - | - | - |
| Due after 1 year but within 5 years | 348 | 361 | 5.72 |
| Due after 5 years but within 10 years | 9,583 | 9,772 | 5.62 |
| Due after ten years | - | - | - |
| Total | 9,931 | 10,133 | 5.62 |
| Agency obligations: |  |  |  |
| Due within 1 year | - | - | - |
| Due after 1 year but within 5 years | - | - | - |
| Due after 5 years but within 10 years | 1,035 | 1,036 | 1.75 |
| Due after ten years | - | - | - |
| Total | 1,035 | 1,036 | 1.75 |
| Total: |  |  |  |
| Due within 1 year | - | - | - |
| Due after 1 year but within 5 years | 348 | 351 | 5.72 |
| Due after 5 years but within |  |  |  |
| 10 years | 123,066 | 123,597 | 4.26 |
| Due after ten years | 187,280 | 188,562 | 4.90 |
| Total | \$310,694 | \$312,520 | - $4.65 \%$ |

## Sources of Funds

General. The Bank's primary sources of funding for its lending and investment activities include deposits, repayments of loans and MBS, investment security maturities and redemptions, FHLBNY advances and borrowings in the form of REPOS entered into with various financial institutions, including the FHLBNY. From December 2002 through December 31, 2008, the Bank also sold selected multifamily residential and mixed-use loans (or participations in such loans) to either FNMA or third party financial institutions, and all long-term, one- to four-family residential real estate loans directly to FNMA or PHH. The Company may additionally issue debt under
appropriate circumstances.
Deposits. The Bank offers a variety of deposit accounts possessing a range of interest rates and terms. At December 31, 2008, the Bank offered, and presently offers, savings, money market, interest bearing and non-interest bearing checking accounts, and CDs. The flow of deposits is influenced significantly by general economic conditions, changes in prevailing interest rates, and competition from other financial institutions and investment products. Traditionally, the Bank has relied upon direct marketing, customer service, convenience and long-standing relationships with customers to generate deposits. The communities in which the Bank maintains branch offices have historically provided nearly all of its deposits. At December 31, 2008, the Bank had deposit liabilities of $\$ 2.26$ billion, up $\$ 80.1$ million from December 31, 2007 (See "Part II - Item 7 - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources"). Within total deposits at December 31, 2008, \$410.7 million, or $18.2 \%$, consisted of CDs with a minimum denomination of one-hundred thousand dollars. Individual Retirement Accounts totaled $\$ 131.5$ million, or $5.8 \%$ of total deposits on that date.

The Bank is authorized to accept brokered CDs up to an aggregate limit of $\$ 120.0$ million. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, the Bank had no brokered CDs.
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The following table presents the deposit activity of the Bank for the periods indicated:

|  | Year Ended December 31, |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2008 |  | 2007 |
|  | (Dollars in Thousands) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | $\$ 3,158,031$ | $\$ 3,098,739$ | $\$ 1,826,641$ |
| Deposits | $3,137,956$ | $3,003,034$ | $1,789,552$ |
| Withdrawals |  |  |  |
| Deposits greater than | $\$ 20,075$ | $\$ 95,705$ | $\$ 37,089$ |
| Withdrawals | 59,978 | 75,761 | 56,671 |
| Interest credited | $\$ 80,053$ | $\$ 171,466$ | $\$ 93,760$ |

At December 31, 2008, the Bank had $\$ 410.7$ million in CDs with a minimum denomination of one-hundred thousand dollars as follows:

| Maturity Period Amount |  | Weighted Average Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in Thousands) |  |  |
| Within three months | \$79,692 | 3.37\% |
| After three but within six months | 96,310 | 3.79 |
| After six but within twelve months | 181,777 | 3.94 |
| After 12 months | 52,932 | 3.94 |
| Total | \$410,711 | 3.79\% |

The following table sets forth the distribution of the Bank's deposit accounts and the related weighted average interest rates at the dates indicated:

|  | At December 31, 2008 |  |  | At December 31, 2007 |  |  | At December 31, 2006 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | Percent of Total Deposits | Weighted <br> Average <br> Rate | Amount | Percent of Total Deposits | Weighted Average Rate | Amount | Percent of Total Deposits | Weighted Average Rate |
| (Dollars in Thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Savings accounts | \$270,321 | 11.96\% | 0.57\% | \$274,067 | 12.57\% | 0.55\% | \$298,522 | 14.86\% | 0.59\% |
| Certificates of deposit | 1,153,166 | 51.02 | 3.69 | 1,077,087 | 49.41 | 4.61 | 1,064,669 | 53.01 | 4.76 |
| Money market accounts | 633,167 | 28.02 | 2.63 | 678,759 | 31.14 | 4.04 | 514,607 | 25.62 | 3.56 |
| Interest bearing checking accounts | 112,687 | 4.99 | 2.10 | 58,414 | 2.68 | 2.28 | 35,519 | 1.77 | 1.08 |
| Non-interest bearing checking accounts | 90,710 | 4.01 | - | 91,671 | 4.20 | 0.15 | 95,215 | 4.74 | - |
| Totals | \$2,260,051 | 100.00\% | 2.79\% | \$2,179,998 | 100.00\% | 3.67\% | \$2,008,532 | 100.00\% | 3.54\% |

The following table presents, by interest rate ranges, the dollar amount of CDs outstanding at the dates indicated and the period to maturity of the CDs outstanding at December 31, 2008:

| Period to Maturity at December 31, 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest Rate One Year Range or Less |  | Over |  |  | Total at December31,$2008$ | Total at December 31, 2007 | Total at December$\begin{gathered} 31, \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | Over One <br> Year to <br> Three <br> Years | Three Years to Five Years | Over Five Years |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Dollars in Thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2.00 \%$ and below |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$40,814 | \$112 | \$4 | - | \$40,930 | \$21,824 | \$69,396 |
| $2.01 \%$ to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.00\% | 230,151 | 6,919 | - | - | 237,070 | 21,927 | 77,401 |
| $3.01 \%$ to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.00\% | 391,944 | 100,849 | 11,602 | 2 - | 504,395 | 230,593 | 63,645 |
| $4.01 \%$ to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.00\% | 308,016 | 13,417 | 32,819 | - | 354,252 | 509,360 | 142,657 |
| $5.01 \%$ and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| above | 15,301 | 1,138 | 80 | - | 16,519 | 293,383 | 711,570 |
| Total | \$986,226 | \$122,435 | \$44,505 | \$- | \$1,153,166 | \$1,077,087 | \$1,064,669 |

Borrowings. The Bank has been a member and shareholder of the FHLBNY since 1980. One of the privileges offered to FHLBNY shareholders is the ability to secure advances from the FHLBNY under various lending programs at competitive interest rates. The Bank's borrowing line equaled $\$ 1.42$ billion at December 31, 2008.

F-23

## Edgar Filing: DIME COMMUNITY BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

The Bank had FHLBNY advances totaling $\$ 1.02$ billion and $\$ 706.5$ million at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. At December 31, 2008, the Bank maintained sufficient collateral, as defined by the FHLBNY (principally in the form of real estate loans), to secure such advances.

REPOS totaled $\$ 230.0$ million and $\$ 155.1$ million, respectively, at December 31, 2008 and 2007. REPOS involve the delivery of securities to broker-dealers as collateral for borrowing transactions. The securities remain registered in the name of the Bank, and are returned upon the maturities of the agreements. Funds to repay the Bank's REPOS at maturity are provided primarily by cash received from the maturing securities.

Presented below is information concerning REPOS and FHLBNY advances for the periods presented:
REPOS:

|  | At or for the Year Ended December 31, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|  | (Dollars in Thousands) |  |  |
| Balance outstanding at end of period | \$230,000 | \$155,080 | \$120,235 |
| Average interest cost at end of period | 4.32\% | - 4.53\% | 3.54\% |
| Average balance outstanding during the period | \$227,764 | \$132,685 | \$134,541 |
| Average interest cost during the period | 3.80\% | 4.11\% | 1.95\%(1) |
| Carrying value of underlying collateral at end of period | \$251,744 | \$163,116 | \$126,830 |
| Estimated fair value of underlying collateral | \$251,744 | \$163,116 | \$126,830 |
| Maximum balance outstanding at month end during period | \$265,000 | \$155,160 | \$205,455 |

(1) During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company prepaid certain REPOS, resulting in a reduction of $\$ 2,176$ in interest expense. Excluding this reduction, the average cost of REPOS would have been $3.56 \%$ during the year ended December 31, 2006.

FHLBNY Advances:

|  | At or for the Year Ended December 31, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|  | (Dollars in Thousands) |  |  |
| Balance outstanding at end of period | \$1,019,675 | \$706,500 | \$571,500 |
| Average interest cost at end of period | 3.85\% | 4.07\% | 4.37\% |
| Weighted average balance outstanding during the period | \$877,651 | \$520,972 | \$565,612 |
| Average interest cost during the period | 4.00\% | 4.30\% | 4.69\%(1) |
| Maximum balance outstanding at month end during period | \$1,066,675 | \$706,500 | \$596,500 |

(1) Amounts include the effects of prepayment expenses paid on FHLBNY advances. Excluding prepayment expenses of $\$ 1.4$ million, the average interest cost on FHLBNY advances was $4.45 \%$ during the year ended December 31, 2006. The Bank did not prepay any FHLBNY advances during the years ended December 31, 2008 or 2007.

During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company engaged in two separate borrowing restructuring transactions. In the initial transaction, the Company restructured $\$ 145.0$ million of its borrowings in order to lower their average cost. Since portions of the original borrowings were satisfied at a discount, the Company recorded a non-recurring reduction of $\$ 43,200$ in interest expense related to the prepayment.

In the second transaction, the Company restructured $\$ 170.0$ million of wholesale borrowings. Under this restructuring, $\$ 120.0$ million of REPOS and $\$ 50.0$ million in FHLBNY advances were prepaid and replaced. The prepaid borrowings had a weighted average interest rate of $4.53 \%$, and were replaced with a combination of REPOS and FHLBNY advances having an initial weighted average interest rate of $3.79 \%$. The replacement FHLBNY advances have a $4.4 \%$ fixed rate of interest, a final maturity of ten years and are callable by the FHLBNY after an initial period (the "Lockout Period") of one, two or three years. The replacement REPOS have a ten-year maturity and a Lockout Period of either one or two years. During the Lockout Period, the REPOS are variable rate (indexed to 3-month LIBOR), and have embedded interest rate caps and floors that ensure their reset interest rate will not exceed their initial interest rate. After the Lockout Period, if not called by the lender, the REPOS convert to an average fixed rate of $4.90 \%$. The Company recorded a non-recurring reduction of $\$ 764,000$ in interest expense related to the prepayment.

Subsidiary Activities
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In addition to the Bank, the Holding Company's direct and indirect subsidiaries consist of eight wholly-owned corporations, two of which are directly owned by the Holding Company and six of which are directly owned by the Bank. Havemeyer Equities Inc., a corporation formerly owned by the Bank, was dissolved in 2007. The following table presents an overview of the Holding Company's subsidiaries, other than the Bank, as of December 31, 2008:

| Subsidiary | Year/ State of Incorporation | Primary Business Activities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct Subsidiaries of the Holding Company: |  |  |
| 842 Manhattan Avenue Corp. | 1995/ New York | Management and ownership of real estate. Currently inactive |
| Dime Community Capital Trust I | 2004/ Delaware | Statutory Trust (1) |
| Direct Subsidiaries of the Bank: |  |  |
| Boulevard Funding Corp. | 1981 / New York | Management and ownership of real estate |
| Havemeyer Investments, Inc. | 1997 / New York | Sale of non-FDIC insured investment products |
| DSBW Preferred Funding Corp. | 1998 / Delaware | Real Estate Investment Trust investing in multifamily residential and commercial real estate loans |
| DSBW Residential Preferred Funding 1998 / Delaware Corp. |  | Real Estate Investment Trust investing in one- to four-family real estate loans |
| Dime Reinvestment Corporation | 2004 / Delaware | Community Development Entity. Currently inactive. |
| 195 Havemeyer Corp. | 2008 / New York | Management and ownership of real estate |

(1) Dime Community Capital Trust I was established for the exclusive purpose of issuing and selling $\$ 72.2$ million of capital securities and using the proceeds to acquire $\$ 72.2$ million of junior subordinated debt securities issued by the Holding Company. The junior subordinated debt securities (referred to later in this Annual Report as "trust preferred securities payable," bear an interest rate of $7.0 \%$, mature on April 14, 2034 and are the sole assets of Dime Community Capital Trust I. In accordance with revised interpretation No. 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an interpretation of ARB No. 51," Dime Community Capital Trust I is not consolidated with the Holding Company for financial reporting purposes.

## Personnel

As of December 31, 2008, the Company had 363 full-time employees and 90 part-time employees. The employees are not represented by a collective bargaining unit, and the Holding Company and all of its subsidiaries consider their relationships with their employees to be good.

Federal, State and Local Taxation

## Federal Taxation

The following is a general description of material tax matters and does not purport to be a comprehensive review of the tax rules applicable to the Company.

General. The Company was last audited by the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") for its taxable year ended December 31, 1988. For federal income tax purposes, the Company files a consolidated income tax return on a December 31st fiscal year basis using the accrual method of accounting and is subject to federal income taxation in the same manner as other corporations with some exceptions, including particularly the Bank's tax reserve for bad debts, discussed below.

Tax Bad Debt Reserves. The Bank, as a "large bank" under IRS classifications (i.e., one with assets having an adjusted basis in excess of $\$ 500$ million), is: (i) unable to make additions to its tax bad debt reserve, (ii) permitted to deduct bad debts only as they occur, and (iii) required to recapture (i.e., take into income) over a multi-year period a portion of the balance of its tax bad debt reserves as of June 30, 1997. At the time of enactment of the recapture requirement, the Bank had already provided a deferred income tax liability for the bad debt reserve for financial reporting purposes. There was thus no adverse impact to the Bank's financial condition or results of operations as a result of the legislation.

Distributions. Non-dividend distributions to shareholders of the Bank are considered distributions from the Bank's "base year tax bad debt reserve" (i.e., its reserve as of December 31, 1987, to the extent thereof), and then from its supplemental reserve for losses on loans. Non-dividend distributions include distributions: (i) in excess of the Bank's current and accumulated earnings and profits, as calculated for federal income tax purposes; (ii) for redemption of stock; and (iii) for partial or complete liquidation.

An amount based on the total non-dividend distributions paid will be included in the Bank's taxable income in the year of distribution. The amount of additional taxable income
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created from a non-dividend distribution is the amount that, when reduced by the amount of the tax attributable to this income, is equal to the amount of the distribution. Thus, assuming a $35 \%$ federal corporate income tax rate, approximately one and one-half times the amount of such distribution (but not in excess of the amount of the above-mentioned reserves) would be includable in income for federal income tax purposes. (See "Item 1 - Business Regulation - Regulation of Federal Savings Associations - Limitation on Capital Distributions," for a discussion of limits on the payment of dividends by the Bank). The Bank does not intend to pay dividends that would result in a recapture of any portion of its base year tax bad debt reserves. Dividends paid out of current or accumulated earnings and profits will not be included in the Bank's income.

Corporate Alternative Minimum Tax. The Bank's current federal rate is $35 \%$ of taxable income. The Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code") imposes a tax on alternative minimum taxable income ("AMTI") at a rate of $20 \%$. AMTI is adjusted by determining the tax treatment of certain items in a manner that negates the deferral or deduction of income resulting from the customary tax treatment of those items. Thus, the Bank's AMTI is increased by $75 \%$ of the amount by which the Bank's adjusted current earnings exceed its AMTI (determined without regard to this adjustment and prior to reduction for net operating losses).

State and Local Taxation
State of New York. The Company is subject to New York State ("NYS") franchise tax based on one of several alternative methods, whichever results in the greatest tax. These methods are as follows: 1) entire net income, which is federal taxable income with adjustments; 2 ) $1 \%$ of assets; or 3 ) the alternative minimum tax of $3 \%$ (after the exclusion of certain preferential items).

For NYS tax purposes, as long as the Bank continues to satisfy certain definitional tests relating to its assets and the nature of its business, it will be permitted deductions, within specified formula limits, for additions to its tax bad debt reserves for purposes of computing its entire net income.

The Bank is permitted a deduction with respect to "qualifying loans," which are generally loans secured by certain interests in real property. The deduction may be computed using an amount based on the Bank's actual loss experience (the "Experience Method") or $32 \%$ of the Bank's entire net income, computed without regard to this deduction and reduced by the amount of any permitted addition to the Bank's reserve for non-qualifying loans. The Bank's deduction with respect to non-qualifying loans must be computed pursuant to the Experience Method. The Bank reviews the most appropriate method of calculating the deduction attributable to an addition to the tax bad debt reserves each year.

The portion of the NYS tax bad debt reserve in excess of a reserve amount computed pursuant to the Experience Method is subject to recapture upon a non-dividend distribution in a manner similar to the recapture of the federal tax bad debt reserves for such distributions. The tax bad debt reserve is additionally subject to recapture in the event that the Bank fails either to satisfy a thrift definitional test relating to the composition of its assets or to maintain a thrift charter.

In general, the Holding Company is not required to pay NYS tax on dividends and interest received from the Bank.
The statutory NYS tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2008 approximated $8.63 \%$ of taxable income. This rate included a metropolitan commuter transportation district surcharge.

City of New York. The Holding Company and the Bank are both subject to a NYC banking corporation tax based on one of several methods, whichever results in the greatest tax. These methods are as follows: 1) entire net income allocated to NYC, which is federal taxable income with adjustments; 2 ) $1 \%$ of assets; or 3 ) the alternative minimum tax of $3 \%$ (after the exclusion of certain preferential items).

NYC generally conforms its tax law to NYS tax law in the determination of taxable income (including the laws relating to tax bad debt reserves). NYC tax law, however, does not allow a deduction for the carryover of a net operating loss of a banking company.

State of Delaware. As a Delaware holding company not earning income in Delaware, the Holding Company is exempt from Delaware corporate income tax, however, is required to file an annual report and pay an annual franchise tax to the State of Delaware.

Regulation
General
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The Bank is subject to extensive regulation, examination, and supervision by the OTS, as its chartering agency, and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC"), as its deposit insurer. The Bank's deposit accounts are insured up to applicable limits by the FDIC under the Deposit Insurance Fund ("DIF"). The Bank must file reports with the OTS concerning its activities and financial condition, and must obtain regulatory approval prior to entering into certain transactions, such as mergers with, or acquisitions of, other depository institutions. The OTS conducts periodic examinations to assess the Bank's safety and soundness and compliance with various regulatory requirements. This regulation and supervision establishes a comprehensive framework of activities in which a savings association may engage and is intended primarily for the protection of the DIF and depositors. As a publicly-held unitary savings and loan holding company, the Holding Company is required to file certain reports with, and otherwise comply with the rules and regulations of, both the SEC, under the federal securities laws, and the OTS.

The OTS and the FDIC have significant discretion in connection with their supervisory and enforcement activities and examination policies, including policies with respect to the classification of assets and the establishment of adequate loan loss reserves for regulatory purposes. Any change in such policies, whether by the OTS, the FDIC or the United States Congress, could have a material adverse impact on the operations of the Company.

The following discussion is intended to be a summary of the material statutes and regulations applicable to savings associations and savings and loan holding companies, and does not purport to be a comprehensive description of all such statutes and regulations.

## Regulation of Federal Savings Associations

Business Activities. The Bank derives its lending and investment powers from the Home Owners' Loan Act, as amended ("HOLA"), and the regulations of the OTS enacted thereunder. Pursuant thereto, the Bank may invest in mortgage loans secured by residential and commercial real estate, commercial and consumer loans, certain types of debt securities, and certain other assets. The Bank may also establish service corporations that may engage in activities not otherwise permissible for the Bank, including certain real estate equity investments and securities and insurance brokerage activities. The investment powers are subject to various limitations, including a: (i) prohibition against the acquisition of any corporate debt security not rated in one of the four highest rating categories; (ii) limit of $400 \%$ of capital on the aggregate amount of loans secured by non-residential real property; (iii) limit of $20 \%$ of assets on commercial loans, with the amount of commercial loans in excess of $10 \%$ of assets being limited to small business loans; (iv) limit of $35 \%$ of assets on the aggregate amount of consumer loans and commercial paper and corporate debt securities; (v) limit of 5\% of assets on non-conforming loans (i.e., loans in excess of specified amounts); and (vi) limit of the greater of $5 \%$ of assets or capital on certain construction loans made for the purpose of financing property which is, or is expected to become, residential.

## Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (the "EESA")

The U.S. and global economies are experiencing significantly reduced activity as a result of, among other factors, disruptions in the financial system during the past year as well as a various other recessionary conditions. Reflecting concern about the stability of the financial markets, many lenders and institutional investors have reduced, and in some cases ceased to provide, funding to borrowers, including other financial institutions. The availability of credit, confidence in the financial sector, and level of volatility in the financial markets have been significantly adversely affected as a result.

In response to the financial crises affecting the banking system and financial markets, the EESA was enacted on October 3, 2008. Pursuant to the EESA, the U.S. Department of Treasury ("Treasury") was granted the authority to, among others, establish the Troubled Asset Relief Program ("TARP") to purchase up to $\$ 700$ billion of certain troubled assets, including mortgages, MBS and certain other financial instruments from financial institutions for the purpose of stabilizing and providing liquidity to the U.S. financial markets.

In the case of a publicly-traded financial institution that sells troubled assets into the TARP, the Treasury must receive a warrant giving the Treasury the right to receive nonvoting common stock or preferred stock in such financial institution, or voting stock with respect to which the Treasury agrees not to exercise voting power, subject to certain de minimis exceptions. Further, all financial institutions that sell troubled assets to the TARP and satisfy certain conditions will also be subject to certain executive compensation restrictions, which differ depending on how the troubled assets are acquired under the TARP.

In addition to establishing the TARP, the EESA also requires that the Secretary of the Treasury establish a program that will guarantee the principal of, and interest on, troubled assets originated or issued prior to March 14, 2008 in order to help restore liquidity and stability to the financial system. The Secretary of the Treasury will establish premiums for
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financial institutions that participate in this program and may provide for variations in such rates in accordance with the credit risk associated with the particular troubled asset being guaranteed.

## Troubled Asset Relief Program Capital Purchase Program (the "TARP Capital Purchase Program")

Under the TARP, on October 14, 2008, the Treasury announced the TARP Capital Purchase Program to strengthen the capital and liquidity positions of viable institutions and encourage banks and thrifts to increase lending to creditworthy borrowers. Under the TARP Capital Purchase Program, qualifying financial institutions are able to sell senior preferred shares to the Treasury, which will qualify as Tier 1 capital for regulatory capital purposes. The minimum amount of preferred shares that may be issued is equal to $1 \%$ of the institution's risk-weighted assets, and the maximum is the lesser of $\$ 25$ billion or $3 \%$ of the institution's risk-weighted assets. The Treasury would also receive warrants to purchase common stock of the participating institution with an aggregate market price equal to $15 \%$ of the senior preferred investment. In addition, qualifying financial institutions would also be required to adopt the Treasury's standards for executive compensation and corporate governance for the period during which the Treasury holds equity issued under the program.

On January 5, 2009, after receiving approval of its application from the Treasury, the Company announced that it had decided to forego participation in the TARP Capital Purchase Program. The Company conducted extensive financial analysis, and concluded that the benefits of the TARP Capital Purchase Program to the Company and its shareholders were mitigated by several factors, including the Company's strong capital levels and historically prudent investment and underwriting practices, and the potential dilution to both earnings and book value that participation in the TARP Capital Purchase Program would have created over the next three to five years.

## Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program

On November 21, 2008, the FDIC adopted the Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program ("TLGP") pursuant to its authority to prevent "systematic risk" in the U.S banking system. The TLGP was announced by the FDIC on October 14,2008 as an initiative to counter the system-wide crisis in the nation's financial sector. Under the TLGP, the FDIC will (i) guarantee, through the earlier of maturity or June 30, 2012, certain newly issued senior unsecured debt issued by participating institutions on or after October 14, 2008 and before June 30, 2009 under the Debt Guarantee Program ("DGP") and (ii) fully insure non-interest bearing transaction deposit accounts held at participating FDIC-insured institutions, through December 31, 2009 under the Transaction Account Guarantee Program ("TAGP"). The Company elected not to participate in the TLGP.

Eligible institutions were covered under the TLGP at no cost for the first 30 days. Institutions that did not desire to continue to participate in one or both parts of the TLGP were required to notify the FDIC of their election to opt out on or before December 5, 2008. Institutions that did not opt out are subject to a fee of 50 to 100 basis points per annum based on the length of maturity of senior unsecured debt issued under the DGP. Under the TAGP, a 10 basis point surcharge will be added to the institution's current insurance assessment, quarterly, for balances in non-interest bearing transaction accounts that exceed the existing deposit insurance limit of $\$ 250,000$. The TLGP was scheduled to expire in June of 2009, however, on February 10, 2009 the FDIC announced its intention to extend the TLGP through October 2009 for an additional premium.

On January 16, 2009, in an effort to further strengthen the financial system and U.S economy, the FDIC announced that it will soon propose rule changes to the TLGP to extend the maturity of the guarantee from three to up to 10 years where the debt is supported by collateral and the issuance supports new consumer lending. Until the details of this extended program are finalized and published, management cannot determine to what extent, if any, the Company would participate in this program. The Company did not elect to participate in the TLGP.

It is presently unclear what impact the EESA, the TARP Capital Purchase Program, the TLGP, other previously announced liquidity and funding initiatives of the Federal Reserve and other agencies, and any additional programs that may be initiated in the future will have on the financial markets and the other difficulties described above, including the current extreme levels of volatility and limited credit availability, or on the U.S. banking and financial industries and the broader U.S. and global economies. Further negative effects could have an adverse impact on the Company and its business.

Interagency Guidance on Nontraditional Mortgage Product Risks. On October 4, 2006, the OTS and other federal bank regulatory authorities published the Interagency Guidance on Nontraditional Mortgage Product Risks (the "Nontraditional Mortgage Product Guidance"). The Nontraditional Mortgage Product Guidance describes sound practices for managing risk, as well as marketing, originating and servicing nontraditional mortgage products, which include, among other things, interest only loans. The Nontraditional Mortgage Product Guidance sets forth supervisory expectations with respect to loan terms and underwriting standards, portfolio and risk management practices and consumer protection. For example, the Nontraditional Mortgage Product Guidance indicates that originating interest only loans with reduced documentation is considered a layering of risk and that institutions
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are expected to demonstrate mitigating factors to support their underwriting decision and the borrower's repayment capacity. Specifically, the Nontraditional Mortgage Product Guidance indicates that a lender may accept a borrower's statement as to the borrower's income without obtaining verification only if there are mitigating factors that clearly minimize the need for direct verification of repayment capacity and that, for many borrowers, institutions should be able to readily document income.

Statement on Subprime Lending. On June 29, 2007, the OTS and other federal bank regulatory agencies issued a final Statement on Subprime Mortgage Lending (the "Subprime Mortgage Statement") to address the growing concerns facing the subprime mortgage market, particularly with respect to rapidly rising subprime default rates that may indicate borrowers do not have the ability to repay adjustable-rate subprime loans originated by financial institutions. In particular, the agencies express concern in the Subprime Mortgage Statement that current underwriting practices do not take into account that many subprime borrowers are not prepared for "payment shock" and that current subprime lending practices compound the risk for financial institutions. The Subprime Mortgage Statement describes the prudent safety and soundness and consumer protection standards that financial institutions should follow to ensure borrowers obtain loans that they can afford to repay. These standards include a fully indexed, fully amortized qualification for borrowers and cautions on risk-layering features, including expectation that stated income and reduced documentation should be accepted only if there are documented mitigating factors that clearly minimize the need for verification of a borrower's repayment capacity. Consumer protection standards include clear and balanced product disclosures to customers and limits on prepayment penalties that allow for a reasonable period of time, typically at least 60 days, for borrowers to refinance prior to the expiration of the initial fixed interest rate period without penalty. The Subprime Mortgage Statement also reinforces the April 17, 2007 Interagency Statement on Working with Mortgage Borrowers, in which the federal bank regulatory agencies encouraged institutions to work constructively with residential borrowers who are financially unable or reasonably expected to be unable to meet their contractual payment obligations on their home loans.

The Company has never originated subprime loans. The Company has evaluated the Nontraditional Mortgage Product Guidance and the Subprime Mortgage Statement and determined its risk management practices, underwriting guidelines and consumer protection standards to be in compliance.

Loans to One Borrower. Under HOLA, savings associations are generally subject to limits on loans to one borrower identical to those imposed on national banks. Generally, pursuant to these limits, a savings association may not advance a loan or extend credit to a single or related group of borrowers in excess of $15 \%$ of the association's unimpaired capital and unimpaired surplus. Additional amounts may be advanced, not in excess of $10 \%$ of unimpaired capital and unimpaired surplus, if such loans or extensions of credit are fully secured by readily-marketable collateral. Such collateral is defined to include certain debt and equity securities and bullion, but generally does not include real estate. At December 31, 2008, the Bank's limit on loans to one borrower was $\$ 45.5$ million. The Bank's largest aggregate amount of loans to one borrower on that date was $\$ 41.0$ million and the second largest borrower had an aggregate loan balance of $\$ 37.4$ million.

QTL Test. HOLA requires savings associations to satisfy a QTL test. A savings association may satisfy the QTL test by maintaining at least $65 \%$ of its "portfolio assets" in certain "qualified thrift investments" during at least nine months of the most recent twelve-month period. "Portfolio assets" means, in general, an association's total assets less the sum of: (i) specified liquid assets up to $20 \%$ of total assets, (ii) certain intangibles, including goodwill, credit card relationships and purchased MSR, and (iii) the value of property used to conduct the association's business. "Qualified thrift investments" include various types of loans made for residential and housing purposes; investments related to such purposes, including certain mortgage-backed and related securities; and small business, education, and credit card loans. A savings association may additionally satisfy the QTL test by qualifying as a "domestic building and loan association" as defined in the Code. At December 31, 2008, the Bank maintained $69.2 \%$ of its portfolio assets in qualified thrift investments. The Bank also satisfied the QTL test in each month during 2008, and, therefore, was a QTL.

A savings association that fails the QTL test must either operate under certain restrictions on its activities or convert to a bank charter. The initial restrictions include prohibitions against (i) engaging in any new activity not permissible for a national bank, (ii) paying dividends not permissible under national bank regulations, and (iii) establishing any new branch office in a location not permissible for a national bank in the association's home state. In addition, within one year of the date a savings association ceases to satisfy the QTL test, any company controlling the association must register under, and become subject to the requirements of, the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended ("BHCA"). A savings association that has failed the QTL test may requalify under the QTL test and be relieved of the limitations; however, it may do so only once. If the savings association does not requalify under the QTL test within three years after failing the QTL test, it will be required to terminate any activity, and dispose of any investment, not permissible for a national bank.

Capital Requirements. OTS regulations require savings associations to satisfy three minimum capital standards: (i) a tangible capital ratio of $1.5 \%$; (ii) a risk-based capital ratio of $8 \%$; and (iii) a leverage capital ratio. For depository institutions that have been assigned the highest composite rating of 1 under the Uniform Financial Institutions Rating System, the minimum required leverage capital ratio is $3 \%$. For any other depository institution, the minimum required leverage capital ratio is $4 \%$, unless a higher leverage capital ratio is warranted by the particular circumstances or risk profile of the depository institution. In assessing an institution's capital adequacy, the OTS takes into consideration not only these numeric factors but qualitative factors as well, and possesses the authority to establish increased capital requirements for individual institutions when necessary.

The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act ("FDICIA") requires that the OTS and other federal banking agencies revise their risk-based capital standards, with appropriate transition rules, to ensure that they take into account interest rate risk ("IRR"), concentration of risk and the risks of non-traditional activities. Current OTS regulations do not include a specific IRR component of the risk-based capital requirement; however, the OTS monitors the IRR of individual institutions through a variety of methods, including an analysis of the change in net portfolio value ("NPV"). NPV is the difference between the present value of the expected future cash flows of the Bank's assets and liabilities, plus the value of net expected cash flows from either loan origination commitments or purchases of securities and, therefore, hypothetically represents the value of an institution's net worth. The OTS has also used the NPV analysis as part of its evaluation of certain applications or notices submitted by thrift institutions. In addition, OTS Thrift Bulletin 13a provides guidance on the management of IRR and the responsibility of boards of directors in that area. The OTS, through its general oversight of the safety and soundness of savings associations, retains the right to impose minimum capital requirements on individual institutions to the extent they are not in compliance with certain written OTS guidelines regarding NPV analysis. The OTS has not imposed any such requirements on the Bank.

The table below presents the Bank's regulatory capital compared to OTS regulatory capital requirements:

\left.|  | As of December 31, 2008 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum Capital |  |  |  |  |
| Requirement |  |  |  |  |$\right]$

The following is a reconciliation of GAAP capital to regulatory capital for the Bank:

|  | At December 31, 2008 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tangible Leverage Risk-Based |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Capital | Capital | Capital |
|  | (Dollars in Thousands) |  |  |
| GAAP capital | \$350,715 | \$350,715 | \$350,715 |
| Non-allowable assets: |  |  |  |
| MSR | (281) | (281) | (281) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive |  |  |  |
| loss | 9,659 | 9,659 | 9,659 |
| Goodwill | $(55,638)$ | $(55,638)$ | $(55,638)$ |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital | 304,455 | 304,455 | 304,455 |
| Adjustment for recourse provision on |  |  |  |
| loans sold | - | - | $(18,876)$ |


| General valuation allowance | - | - | 17,454 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total (Tier 2) risk based capital | 304,455 | 304,455 | 303,033 |
| Minimum capital requirement | 59,873 | 159,662 | 212,140 |
| Regulatory capital excess | $\$ 244,582$ | $\$ 144,793$ | $\$ 90,893$ |

Limitation on Capital Distributions. OTS regulations impose limitations upon capital distributions by savings associations, such as cash dividends, payments to purchase or otherwise acquire its shares, payments to shareholders of another institution in a cash-out merger, and other distributions charged against capital.

As the subsidiary of a savings and loan holding company, the Bank is required to file a notice with the OTS at least 30 days prior to each capital distribution. However, if the total amount of all capital distributions (including each proposed capital distribution) for the applicable calendar year exceeds net income for that year plus the retained net income for the
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preceding two years, the Bank must file an application for OTS approval of a proposed capital distribution. In addition, the OTS can prohibit a proposed capital distribution otherwise permissible under the regulation if it determines that the association is in need of greater than customary supervision or that a proposed distribution would constitute an unsafe or unsound practice. Further, under OTS prompt corrective action regulations, the Bank would be prohibited from making a capital distribution if, after the distribution, the Bank would fail to satisfy its minimum capital requirements, as described above (See "Item 1 - Business - Regulation - Regulation of Federal Savings Associations - Prompt Corrective Regulatory Action"). In addition, pursuant to the Federal Deposit Insurance Act ("FDIA"), an insured depository institution such as the Bank is prohibited from making capital distributions, including the payment of dividends, if, after making such distribution, the institution would become "undercapitalized" as defined in the FDIA.

Liquidity. Pursuant to OTS regulations, the Bank is required to maintain sufficient liquidity to ensure its safe and sound operation (See "Part II - Item 7 - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources" for further discussion). At December 31, 2008, the Bank's liquid assets approximated $14.12 \%$ of total assets.

Assessments. Savings associations are required by OTS regulation to pay semi-annual assessments to the OTS to fund its operations. The regulations base the assessment for individual savings associations, other than those with total assets never exceeding $\$ 100.0$ million, on three components: the size of the association (on which the basic assessment is based); the association's supervisory condition, which results in percentage increases for any savings institution with a composite rating of 3,4 or 5 in its most recent safety and soundness examination; and the complexity of the association's operations, which results in percentage increases for a savings association that managed over $\$ 1$ billion in trust assets, serviced loans for other institutions aggregating more than $\$ 1$ billion, or had certain off-balance sheet assets aggregating more than $\$ 1$ billion. Savings and loan holding companies are also required to pay semi-annual assessments to the OTS. For the year ended December 31, 2008, assessments paid for the Bank and Holding Company totaled $\$ 622,000$.

Branching. Subject to certain limitations, HOLA and OTS regulations permit federally chartered savings associations to establish branches in any state of the United States. The authority to establish such a branch is available: (i) in states that expressly authorize branches of savings associations located in another state, and (ii) to an association that either satisfies the QTL test or qualifies as a "domestic building and loan association" under the Code, which imposes qualification requirements similar to those for a QTL under HOLA (See "Item 1 - Business - Regulation - Regulation of Federal Savings Associations - QTL Test"). HOLA and OTS regulations preempt any state law purporting to regulate branching by federal savings associations.

Community Reinvestment. Under the Community Reinvestment Act ("CRA"), as implemented by OTS regulations, a savings association possesses a continuing and affirmative obligation, consistent with its safe and sound operation, to help satisfy the credit needs of its entire community, including low and moderate income neighborhoods. The CRA does not establish specific lending requirements or programs for financial institutions nor does it limit an institution's discretion to develop the types of products and services it believes are most appropriate to its particular community. The CRA requires the OTS, in connection with its examination of a savings association, to assess the association's record of satisfying the credit needs of its community and consider such record in its evaluation of certain applications by the association. The assessment is composed of three tests: (i) a lending test, to evaluate the institution's record of making loans in its service areas; (ii) an investment test, to evaluate the institution's record of investing in community development projects, affordable housing, and programs benefiting low or moderate income individuals and businesses; and (iii) a service test, to evaluate the institution's delivery of services through its branches, automated teller machines and other offices. The CRA also requires all institutions to make public disclosure of their CRA ratings. The Bank received an "Outstanding" CRA rating in its most recent examination. Regulations additionally require that the Bank publicly disclose certain agreements that are in fulfillment of the CRA. The Bank has no such agreements.

## Edgar Filing: DIME COMMUNITY BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

Transactions with Related Parties. The Bank's authority to engage in transactions with its "affiliates" is limited by OTS regulations, Sections 23A, 23B, 22(g) and 22(h) of the Federal Reserve Act ("FRA"), Regulation W issued by the Federal Reserve Board ("FRB"), as well as additional limitations adopted by the Director of the OTS. OTS regulations regarding transactions with affiliates conform to Regulation W. These provisions, among other matters, prohibit, limit or place restrictions upon a savings institution extending credit to, or entering into certain transactions with, its affiliates, which, for the Bank, would include the Holding Company, principal shareholders, directors and executive officers.

OTS regulations include additional restrictions on savings associations under Section 11 of HOLA, including provisions prohibiting a savings association from: (i) advancing a loan to an affiliate engaged in non-bank holding company activities; and (ii) purchasing or investing in securities issued by an affiliate that is not a subsidiary. OTS regulations also include certain exemptions from these prohibitions. The FRB and the OTS require each depository institution that is subject to Sections 23A and 23B to implement policies and procedures to ensure compliance with Regulation W and the OTS regulations regarding transactions with affiliates.
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Section 402 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 ("Sarbanes-Oxley") prohibits the extension of personal loans to directors and executive officers of issuers (as defined in Sarbanes-Oxley). The prohibition, however, does not apply to any loan by an insured depository institution, such as the Bank, if the loan is subject to the insider lending restrictions of Section 22(h) of the FRA, as implemented by Regulation O (12 CFR 215).

The Bank's authority to extend credit to its directors, executive officers, and shareholders owning $10 \%$ or more of the Holding Company's outstanding common stock, as well as to entities controlled by such persons, is additionally governed by the requirements of Sections 22(g) and 22(h) of the FRA and Regulation O of the FRB enacted thereunder. Among other matters, these provisions require that extensions of credit to insiders: (i) be made on terms substantially the same as, and follow credit underwriting procedures not less stringent than, those prevailing for comparable transactions with unaffiliated persons and that do not involve more than the normal risk of repayment or present other unfavorable features; and (ii) not exceed certain amount limitations individually and in the aggregate, which limits are based, in part, on the amount of the association's capital. Regulation O additionally requires that extensions of credit in excess of certain limits be approved in advance by the association's board of directors. The Holding Company and Bank both presently prohibit loans to Directors and executive management.

Enforcement. Under FDICIA, the OTS possesses primary enforcement responsibility over federally-chartered savings associations and has the authority to bring enforcement action against all "institution-affiliated parties," including any controlling stockholder or any shareholder, attorney, appraiser or accountant who knowingly or recklessly participates in any violation of applicable law or regulation, breach of fiduciary duty or certain other wrongful actions that cause, or are likely to cause, more than minimal loss or other significant adverse effect on an insured savings association. Civil penalties cover a wide series of violations and actions and range from $\$ 5,000$ for each day during which violations of law, regulations, orders, and certain written agreements and conditions continue, up to $\$ 1$ million per day if the person obtained a substantial pecuniary gain as a result of such violation or knowingly or recklessly caused a substantial loss to the institution. Criminal penalties for certain financial institution crimes include fines of up to $\$ 1$ million and imprisonment for up to 30 years. In addition, regulators possess substantial discretion to take enforcement action against an institution that fails to comply with regulatory structure, particularly with respect to capital requirements. Possible enforcement actions range from the imposition of a capital plan and capital directive to receivership, conservatorship, or the termination of deposit insurance. Under FDICIA, the FDIC has the authority to recommend to the Director of the OTS that enforcement action be taken with respect to a particular savings association. If action is not taken by the Director, the FDIC possesses authority to take such action under certain circumstances.

Standards for Safety and Soundness. Pursuant to FDICIA, as amended by the Riegle Community Development and Regulatory Improvement Act of 1994, the OTS, together with the other federal bank regulatory agencies, has adopted guidelines prescribing safety and soundness standards relating to internal controls and information systems, internal audit systems, loan documentation, credit underwriting, interest rate risk exposure, asset growth, asset quality, earnings and compensation, fees and benefits. In general, the guidelines require, among other features, appropriate systems and practices to identify and manage the risks and exposures specified in the guidelines. The guidelines prohibit excessive compensation as an unsafe and unsound practice and describe compensation as excessive when the amounts paid are unreasonable or disproportionate to the services performed by an executive officer, employee, director or principal shareholder. In addition, the OTS has adopted regulations pursuant to FDICIA that authorize, but do not require, the OTS to order an institution that has been given notice by the OTS that it is not satisfying any of such safety and soundness standards to submit a compliance plan. If, after being so notified, an institution fails to submit an acceptable compliance plan or fails in any material respect to implement an accepted compliance plan, the OTS must issue an order directing action to correct the deficiency and may issue an order directing other actions of the types to which an undercapitalized association is subject under the "prompt corrective action" provisions of FDICIA (See "Item 1 - Business - Regulation - Regulation of Savings Associations - Prompt Corrective Regulatory Action"). If an institution fails to comply with such an order, the OTS may seek enforcement in judicial proceedings and the imposition of civil money penalties.

Real Estate Lending Standards. The OTS and the other federal banking agencies have adopted regulations prescribing standards for extensions of credit that are (i) secured by real estate, or (ii) made for the purpose of financing the construction of improvements on real estate. The regulations require each savings association to establish and maintain written internal real estate lending standards that are consistent with safe and sound banking practices and appropriate to the size of the association and the nature and scope of its real estate lending activities. The standards must additionally conform to accompanying OTS guidelines, which include loan-to-value ratios for the different types of real estate loans. Associations are permitted to make a limited amount of loans that do not conform to the loan-to-value limitations provided such exceptions are reviewed and justified appropriately. The guidelines additionally contain a number of lending situations in which exceptions to the loan-to-value standards are permitted.

In 2006, the OTS adopted guidance entitled "Concentrations in Commercial Real Estate (CRE) Lending, Sound Risk Management Practices" (the "CRE Guidance"), to address concentrations of commercial real estate loans in savings associations. The CRE Guidance reinforces and enhances the OTS existing regulations and guidelines for real estate lending
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and loan portfolio management, but does not establish specific commercial real estate lending limits. Rather, the CRE Guidance seeks to promote sound risk management practices that will enable savings associations to continue to pursue commercial real estate lending in a safe and sound manner. The CRE Guidance applies to savings associations with an accumulation of credit concentration exposures and asks that the associations quantify the additional risk such exposures may pose. Such quantification should include the stratification of the commercial real estate portfolio by, among other qualities, property type, geographic market, tenant concentrations, tenant industries, developer concentrations and risk rating. In addition, an institution should perform periodic market analyses for the various property types and geographic markets represented in its portfolio. Further, an institution with commercial real estate concentration risk should also perform portfolio level stress tests or sensitivity analysis to quantify the impact of changing economic conditions on asset quality, earnings and capital. The Bank commenced implementation of the requirements and suggestions set forth in the CRE Guidance during 2007 and 2008, and will expand this process in 2009.

Prompt Corrective Regulatory Action. Under the OTS prompt corrective action regulations, the OTS is required to take certain, and authorized to take other, supervisory actions against undercapitalized savings associations. For this purpose, a savings association is placed in one of five categories based on its capital: "well capitalized," "adequately capitalized," "undercapitalized," "significantly undercapitalized," and "critically undercapitalized." Generally, a capital restoration plan must be filed with the OTS within 45 days of the date an association receives notice that it is "undercapitalized," "significantly undercapitalized" or "critically undercapitalized," and the plan must be guaranteed by any parent holding company. In addition, the institution becomes subject to various mandatory supervisory actions, including restrictions on growth of assets and other forms of expansion. Generally, under the OTS regulations, a federally chartered savings association is treated as well capitalized if its total risk-based capital ratio is $10 \%$ or greater, its Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio is $6 \%$ or greater, its leverage ratio is $5 \%$ or greater, and it is not subject to any order or directive by the OTS to meet a specific capital level. As of December 31, 2008, the Bank satisfied all criteria necessary to be categorized "well capitalized" under the prompt corrective action regulatory framework.

When appropriate, the OTS can require corrective action by a savings association holding company under the "prompt corrective action" provisions of FDICIA.

Insurance of Deposit Accounts. Traditionally, the FDIC provided insurance of up to $\$ 100,000$ per depositor. On October 3, 2008, the FDIC announced a temporary increase in deposit insurance from $\$ 100,000$ to $\$ 250,000$ per depositor through December 31, 2009, in response to the problems affecting the banking system and financial markets.

Savings associations are required to pay a deposit insurance premium. The amount of the premium is determined based upon a risk-based assessment system, which was amended effective January 1, 2007. During the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, the Bank was not required to pay any assessments on its deposits under the previously existing FDIC policies. Under the amended system, the FDIC assigns an institution to one of four risk categories entitled Risk Category I, II, III and IV, with Risk Category I considered most favorable and Risk Category IV considered least favorable. Risk Category I contains all well capitalized institutions with capital adequacy, asset quality, management, earnings, and liquidity component ratings ("CAMEL Component Ratings") of either 1 or 2. Risk Category II contains all institutions that are adequately capitalized and possess CAMEL Component Ratings of either 1, 2 or 3. Risk Category III contains either undercapitalized institutions that have CAMEL Composite Ratings of 1,2 or 3 or adequately capitalized institutions that have CAMEL Composite Ratings of 4 or 5. Risk Category IV contains all institutions that are undercapitalized and have a CAMEL Composite Ratings of 4 or 5. The Bank currently falls within Risk Category I. Base assessment rates for institutions within Risk Category I range from 2 to 4 basis points, depending upon a combination of the institution's CAMEL Component Ratings and financial ratios. The base assessment rates are fixed at 7 basis points, 25 basis points and 40 basis points for institutions within Risk Categories II, III and IV, respectively. The FDIC has the flexibility to adjust rates, without further
notice-and-comment rulemaking, provided that no such adjustment can be greater than 3 basis points from one quarter to the next, adjustments cannot result in rates more than 3 basis points above or below the base rates and rates cannot be negative. Effective January 1, 2007, the FDIC set the assessment rates at 3 basis points above the base rates. Assessment rates, therefore, currently range from 5 to 43 basis points of deposits. The assessment rate for the Bank's deposits approximated 5 basis points.

In November 2006, the FDIC notified the Bank that it was granted a credit of $\$ 1.6$ million to apply against its insurance premiums commencing in 2007. This credit resulted from final implementation of a provision of the Federal Deposit Insurance Reform Act of 2005 that compensated financial institutions such as the Bank that were required to pay insurance premiums prior to 1996 while other financial institutions that had units that operated under industrial loan company and thrift charters were not. This credit was used to offset $100 \%$ of the 2007 deposit insurance assessment. The $\$ 466,000$ remaining credit was utilized to offset a portion of the deposit insurance assessments in 2008. Total FDIC deposit insurance costs recognized by the Bank in excess of the credit were $\$ 643,000$ during the year ended December 31, 2008.
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The Deposit Insurance Funds Act of 1996 amended the FDIA to recapitalize the SAIF (which was merged with the BIF into the newly-formed DIF on March 31, 2006) and expand the assessment base for the payments of Financing Corporation ("FICO") bonds. FICO bonds were sold by the federal government in order to finance the recapitalization of the SAIF and BIF insurance funds that was necessitated following payments from the funds to compensate depositors of federally-insured depository institutions that experienced bankruptcy and dissolution during the 1980's and 1990's. The assessment rate is adjusted quarterly and was $0.0114 \%$ of total deposits of the Bank for the fourth quarter of 2007 and the first quarter of 2008. The Bank's total expense in 2008 for the FICO bonds assessment was \$257,000.

The FDIC established $1.25 \%$ of estimated insured deposits as the designated reserve ratio of the DIF. The FDIC is authorized to change the assessment rates as necessary, subject to the previously discussed limitations, to maintain the required reserve ratio of $1.25 \%$. As a result of the recent failures of a number of banks and thrifts, there has been a significant increase in the loss provisions of the DIF of the FDIC. This has resulted in a decline in the DIF reserve ratio. Because the DIF reserve ratio declined below $1.15 \%$ and is expected to remain below $1.15 \%$, the FDIC was required to establish a restoration plan in October, 2008 to restore the reserve ratio to $1.15 \%$ within five years., which term has now been extended to 7 years pursuant to a final rule adopted by the FDIC on February 27, 2009. In order to restore the reserve ratio to $1.15 \%$, the FDIC adopted a final rule in October, 2008 that increased risk-based assessment rates uniformly by 7 basis points (annualized) for the first quarter of 2009. In addition, on February 27, 2009, the FDIC adopted a final rule further modifying the risk-based assessment system and setting initial base assessment rates beginning April 1, 2009, at 12 to 45 basis points depending on an institution's risk category, with adjustments resulting in increased assessment rates generally for institutions with a significant reliance on secured liabilities and brokered deposits. The Bank estimates that its total assessments will range between 15 and 17 basis points during the year ending December 31, 2009.

On February 27, 2009, the FDIC also adopted an interim rule imposing a 20 basis point emergency special assessment on the industry on June 30, 2009, to be collected on September 30, 2009. The interim rule would also permit the FDIC to impose an emergency special assessment of up to 10 basis points after June 30, 2009, if necessary to maintain public confidence in federal deposit insurance.

Based upon the Bank's deposit insured balances at December 31, 2008, the adopted increases in assessments will result in pre-tax assessment expense of approximately $\$ 3.5$ million to $\$ 4.0$ million during 2009, and the 20 basis point proposed special assessment would result in aggregate additional pre-tax expense of approximately $\$ 4.5$ million.

Privacy and Security Protection. The OTS has adopted regulations implementing the privacy protection provisions of The Gramm- Leach-Bliley Act of 1999 ("Gramm-Leach"). The regulations require financial institutions to adopt procedures to protect customers and their "non-public personal information." The regulations require the Bank to disclose its privacy policy, including identifying with whom it shares "non-public personal information," to customers at the time of establishing the customer relationship and annually thereafter. In addition, the Bank is required to provide its customers the ability to "opt-out" of the sharing of their personal information with unaffiliated third parties, if the sharing of such information does not satisfy any of the permitted exceptions. The Bank's existing privacy protection policy complies with the regulations.

The Bank is additionally subject to regulatory guidelines establishing standards for safeguarding customer information. The guidelines describe the federal banking agencies' expectations for the creation, implementation and maintenance of an information security program, including administrative, technical and physical safeguards appropriate to the size and complexity of the institution and the nature and scope of its activities. The standards set forth in the guidelines are intended to insure the security and confidentiality of customer records and information, and protect against anticipated threats or hazards to the security or integrity of such records and unauthorized access to or use of such records or information that could result in substantial customer harm or inconvenience.

Gramm-Leach additionally permits each state to enact legislation that is more protective of consumers' personal information. Currently, there are a number of privacy bills pending in the New York legislature. Management of the Company cannot predict the impact, if any, of these bills if enacted.

Internet Banking. Technological developments are dramatically altering the methods by which most companies, including financial institutions, conduct their business. The growth of the Internet is prompting banks to reconsider business strategies and adopt alternative distribution and marketing systems. The federal banking regulatory agencies have conducted seminars and published materials targeted at various aspects of Internet banking and have indicated their intention to re-evaluate their regulations to ensure they encourage bank efficiency and competitiveness consistent with safe and sound banking practices. The Company cannot assure that federal bank regulatory agencies will not adopt new regulations that will materially affect or restrict the Bank's Internet operations.
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Insurance Activities. As a federal savings association, the Bank is generally permitted to engage in certain insurance activities through subsidiaries. OTS regulations prohibit depository institutions from conditioning the extension of credit to individuals upon either the purchase of an insurance product or annuity or an agreement by the consumer not to purchase an insurance product or annuity from an entity not affiliated with the depository institution. The regulations additionally require prior disclosure of this prohibition if such products are offered to credit applicants.

Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") System. The Bank is a member of the FHLBNY, which is one of the twelve regional FHLB's composing the FHLB System. Each FHLB provides a central credit facility primarily for its member institutions. Any advances from the FHLBNY must be secured by specified types of collateral, and long-term advances may be obtained only for the purpose of providing funds for residential housing finance. The Bank, as a member of the FHLBNY, is currently required to acquire and hold shares of FHLBNY Class B stock. The Class B stock has a par value of $\$ 100$ per share and is redeemable upon five years notice, subject to certain conditions. The Class B stock has two subclasses, one for membership stock purchase requirements and the other for activity-based stock purchase requirements. The minimum stock investment requirement in the FHLBNY Class B stock is the sum of the membership stock purchase requirement, determined on an annual basis at the end of each calendar year, and the activity-based stock purchase requirement, determined on a daily basis. For the Bank, the membership stock purchase requirement is $0.2 \%$ of "mortgage-related assets," as defined by the FHLBNY, which consist primarily of residential mortgage loans and MBS held by the Bank. The activity-based stock purchase requirement for the Bank is equal to the sum of: (i) $4.5 \%$ of outstanding borrowings from the FHLBNY; (ii) $4.5 \%$ of the outstanding principal balance of the "acquired member assets," as defined by the FHLBNY, and delivery commitments for acquired member assets; (iii) a specified dollar amount related to certain off-balance sheet items, which for the Bank is zero; and (iv) a specific percentage range from $0 \%$ to $5 \%$ of the carrying value on the FHLBNY's balance sheet of derivative contracts between the FHLBNY and its members, which is also zero for the Bank. The Bank was in compliance with these requirements with an investment in FHLBNY Class B stock of $\$ 55.6$ million at December 31, 2008. The FHLBNY can adjust the specific percentages and dollar amount periodically within the ranges established by the FHLBNY capital plan.

Federal Reserve System. The Bank is subject to provisions of the FRA and FRB regulations pursuant to which savings associations are required to maintain non-interest-earning cash reserves against their transaction accounts (primarily NOW and regular checking accounts). FRB regulations generally require that reserves be maintained in the amount of $3 \%$ of the aggregate of transaction accounts in excess of $\$ 10.3$ million through $\$ 44.4$ million (subject to adjustment by the FRB) plus a reserve of $10 \%$ (subject to adjustment by the FRB between $8 \%$ and $14 \%$ ) against the portion of total transaction accounts in excess of $\$ 44.4$ million. The initial $\$ 10.3$ million of otherwise reservable balances are currently exempt from the reserve requirements, however, the exemption is adjusted by the FRB at the end of each year. The Bank is in compliance with the foregoing reserve requirements.

Because required reserves must be maintained in the form of either vault cash, a non-interest-bearing account at a Federal Reserve Bank, or a pass-through account as defined by the FRB, the effect of this reserve requirement is to reduce the Bank's interest-earning assets. The balances maintained to satisfy the FRB reserve requirements may be used to satisfy liquidity requirements imposed by the OTS.

Pursuant to the EESA, the FRB announced on October 6, 2008, that the Federal Reserve Banks will begin to pay interest on depository institutions' required and excess reserve balances. Paying interest on required reserve balances should essentially eliminate the opportunity cost of holding required reserves, promoting efficiency in the banking sector. The interest rate paid on required reserve balances is currently the average target federal funds rate over the reserve maintenance period. The rate on excess balances will be set equal to the lowest FOMC target rate in effect during the reserve maintenance period. The payment of interest on excess reserves will permit the Federal Reserve to expand its balance sheet as necessary to provide the liquidity necessary to support financial stability.

Depository institutions are additionally authorized to borrow from the Federal Reserve "discount window," however, FRB regulations require such institutions to hold reserves in the form of vault cash or deposits with Federal Reserve

Banks in order to borrow.

Anti-Money Laundering and Customer Identification. The Company is subject to OTS regulations implementing the Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act of 2001 ("PATRIOT Act"). The PATRIOT Act provides the federal government with powers to address terrorist threats through enhanced domestic security measures, expanded surveillance powers, increased information sharing and broadened anti-money laundering requirements. By way of amendments to the Bank Secrecy Act, Title III of the PATRIOT Act enacted measures intended to encourage information sharing among bank regulatory and law enforcement agencies. In addition, certain provisions of Title III and the related OTS regulations impose affirmative obligations on a broad range of financial institutions, including banks and

F-35

## Edgar Filing: DIME COMMUNITY BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K

thrifts. Title III imposes the following requirements, among others, with respect to financial institutions: (i) establishment of anti-money laundering programs; (ii) establishment of procedures for obtaining identifying information from customers opening new accounts, including verifying their identity within a reasonable period of time; (iii) establishment of enhanced due diligence policies, procedures and controls designed to detect and report money laundering; and (iv) prohibition on correspondent accounts for foreign shell banks and compliance with recordkeeping obligations with respect to correspondent accounts of foreign banks.

In addition, bank regulators are directed to consider a holding company's effectiveness in preventing money laundering when ruling on FRA and Bank Merger Act applications.

## Regulation of Holding Company

The Holding Company is a non-diversified unitary savings and loan holding company within the meaning of HOLA. As such, it is required to register with the OTS and is subject to OTS regulations, examinations, supervision and reporting requirements. In addition, the OTS has enforcement authority over the Holding Company's non-savings association subsidiaries. Among other effects, this authority permits the OTS to restrict or prohibit activities that are determined to be a serious risk to the financial safety, soundness, or stability of a subsidiary savings association.

HOLA prohibits a savings association holding company, directly or indirectly, or through one or more subsidiaries, from acquiring another savings association or holding company thereof, without prior written approval of the OTS; acquiring or retaining, with certain exceptions, more than $5 \%$ of a non-subsidiary savings association, non-subsidiary holding company, or non-subsidiary company engaged in activities other than those permitted by HOLA; or acquiring or retaining control of a depository institution that is not insured by the FDIC. In evaluating an application by a holding company to acquire a savings association, the OTS must consider the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of the company and savings association involved, the effect of the acquisition on the risk to the insurance funds, the convenience and needs of the community, and competitive factors.

Gramm-Leach additionally restricts the powers of new unitary savings and loan association holding companies. A unitary savings and loan holding company that is "grandfathered," i.e., became a unitary savings and loan holding company pursuant to an application filed with the OTS prior to May 4, 1999, such as the Holding Company, retains the authority it possessed under the law in existence as of May 4, 1999. All other savings and loan holding companies are limited to financially related activities permissible for bank holding companies, as defined under Gramm-Leach. Gramm-Leach also prohibits non-financial companies from acquiring grandfathered savings and loan association holding companies.

Upon any non-supervisory acquisition by the Holding Company of another savings association or a savings bank that satisfies the QTL test and is deemed to be a savings association by the OTS and that will be held as a separate subsidiary, the Holding Company will become a multiple savings association holding company and will be subject to limitations on the types of business activities in which it may engage. HOLA currently limits the activities of a multiple savings association holding company and its non-insured association subsidiaries primarily to activities permissible under Section 4(c)(8) of the BHCA, subject to prior approval of the OTS, and to other activities authorized by OTS regulation. Effective in April 2008, however, all savings and loan association holding companies became permitted, with the prior approval of the OTS, to engage in all activities in which bank holding companies may engage under any regulation the FRB has promulgated under Section 4(c) of the BHCA.

The OTS is prohibited from approving any acquisition that would result in a multiple savings association holding company controlling savings associations in more than one state, subject to two exceptions: an acquisition of a savings association in another state (i) in a supervisory transaction, or (ii) pursuant to authority under the laws of the state of the association to be acquired that specifically permit such acquisitions. The conditions imposed upon interstate acquisitions by those states that have enacted authorizing legislation vary.

The Bank must file a notice with the OTS prior to the payment of any dividends or other capital distributions to the Holding Company (See "Item 1 - Business - Regulation - Regulation of Federal Savings Associations - Limitation on Capital Distributions").

Federal Securities Laws
The Holding Company's common stock is registered with the SEC under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act. It is subject to the periodic reporting, proxy solicitation, insider trading restrictions and other requirements under the Exchange Act.

Item 1A. Risk Factors
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The Bank's focus on multifamily and commercial real estate lending may subject it to greater risk of an adverse impact on operations from a decline in the economy.

The majority of loans in the Bank's portfolio are secured by multifamily residential property. Multifamily loans have traditionally been viewed as exposing lenders to a greater risk of loss than one- to four-family residential loans, due to the following concerns: 1) They typically involve higher loan principal amounts and thus expose the Bank to a greater potential impact of losses from any one loan or concentration of loans to one borrower relative to the size of the Bank's capital position; 2) their borrowers often own several properties, and often a borrower experiencing financial difficulties in connection with one income producing property may default on all of his or her outstanding loans, even if the properties securing the other loans are generating positive cash flow. See "Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk" for a further discussion.

As part of the Company's strategic plan, it increased its emphasis on commercial real estate loans from 2002 through 2007. Loans secured by commercial real estate are generally larger and involve a greater degree of risk than one- to four-family and multifamily residential mortgage loans. Because payments on loans secured by commercial real estate are often dependent upon successful operation or management of the collateral properties, repayment of such loans is generally subject to a greater extent to prevailing conditions in the real estate market or the economy. Further, the collateral securing such loans may depreciate over time, may be difficult to appraise and may fluctuate in value based upon the success of the business.

Multifamily and commercial real estate loans additionally involve a greater risk than one- to four- family residential mortgage loans because economic and real estate conditions, and government regulations such as rent control and rent stabilization laws, which are outside the control of the borrower or the Bank, could impair the value of the security for the loan or the future cash flow of such properties. As a result, rental income might not rise sufficiently over time to satisfy increases in the loan rate at repricing or increases in overhead expenses (i.e., utilities, taxes, etc.). Impaired loans are thus difficult to identify before they become problematic. In addition, if the cash flow from a collateral property is reduced (e.g., if leases are not obtained or renewed), the borrower's ability to repay the loan and the value of the security for the loan may be impaired.

Dependence on economic and real estate conditions and geographic concentration in market area.
The Bank gathers deposits primarily from the communities and neighborhoods in close proximity to its branches. The Bank lends primarily in the NYC metropolitan area, although its overall lending area is much larger, and extends approximately 150 miles in each direction from its corporate headquarters in Brooklyn. The majority of the Bank's mortgage loans are secured by properties located in its primary lending area, approximately $75 \%$ of which are located in the NYC boroughs of Brooklyn, Queens and Manhattan. As a result of this geographic concentration, the Bank's results of operations depend largely upon economic conditions in this area. A deterioration in economic conditions in the NYC metropolitan area could have a material adverse impact upon the quality of the Bank's loan portfolio and the demand for its products and services, and, accordingly, on the Company's results of operations, cash flows, business, financial condition and prospects.

Conditions in the real estate markets in which the collateral for the Bank's mortgage loans are located strongly influence the level of the Bank's non-performing loans and the value of its collateral. Real estate values are affected by, among other items, fluctuations in general or local economic conditions, supply and demand, changes in governmental rules or policies, the availability of loans to potential purchasers and acts of nature. Declines in real estate markets have in the past, and may in the future, negatively impact the Company's results of operations, cash flows, business, financial condition and prospects.

The Bank's allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level considered adequate by management to absorb losses inherent in its loan portfolio. The amount of inherent loan losses which could be ultimately realized is susceptible to changes in economic, operating and other conditions, including changes in interest rates, that could be beyond the

Bank's control. Such losses could exceed current estimates. Although management believes that the Bank's allowance for loan losses is adequate, there can be no assurance that the allowance will be sufficient to satisfy actual loan losses should such losses be realized.

Increases in interest rates may reduce the Company's profitability.
The Bank's primary source of income is its net interest income, which is the difference between the interest income earned on its interest earning assets and the interest expense incurred on its interest bearing liabilities. The one-year interest rate sensitivity gap is the difference between interest rate sensitive assets maturing or repricing within one year and interest rate sensitive liabilities maturing or repricing within one year, expressed as a percentage of total assets. In a rising interest rate environment, an institution with a negative gap would generally be expected, absent the effects of other factors, to experience a greater increase in its cost of liabilities relative to its yield on assets, and thus decrease its net interest income.
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Based upon historical experience, if interest rates were to rise, the Bank would expect the demand for multifamily loans to decline. Decreased loan origination volume would likely negatively impact the Bank's interest income. In addition, if interest rates were to rise rapidly and result in an economic decline, the Bank would expect its level of non-performing loans to increase. Such an increase in non-performing loans may result in an increase to the allowance for loan losses and possible increased charge-offs, which would negatively impact the Company's net income.

Further, the actual amount of time before mortgage loans and MBS are repaid can be significantly impacted by changes in mortgage redemption rates and market interest rates. Mortgage prepayment, satisfaction and refinancing rates will vary due to several factors, including the regional economy in the area where the underlying mortgages were originated, seasonal factors, and other demographic variables. However, the most significant factors affecting prepayment, satisfaction and refinancing rates are prevailing interest rates, related mortgage refinancing opportunities and competition. The level of mortgage and MBS prepayment, satisfaction and refinancing activity impacts the Company's earnings due to its effect on fee income earned on prepayment and refinancing activities, along with liquidity levels the Company will experience to fund new investments or ongoing operations.

As a federally-chartered savings bank, the Bank is required to monitor changes in its NPV, which is the difference between the estimated market value of its assets and liabilities. In addition, the Bank monitors its NPV ratio, which is the NPV divided by the estimated market value of total assets. The NPV ratio can be viewed as a corollary to the Bank's capital ratios. To monitor its overall sensitivity to changes in interest rates, the Bank simulates the effect of instantaneous changes in interest rates of up to 200 basis points on its assets and liabilities. Interest rates do and will continue to fluctuate, and the Bank cannot predict future FOMC actions or other factors that will cause interest rates to vary.

Risks related to changes in laws, government regulation and monetary policy.
The Holding Company and the Bank are subject to extensive supervision, regulation and examination by the OTS, as the Bank's chartering agency, and the FDIC, as its deposit insurer. Such regulation limits the manner in which the Holding Company and the Bank conduct business, undertake new investments and activities and obtain financing. This regulation is designed primarily for the protection of the deposit insurance funds and the Bank's depositors, and not to benefit the Bank or its creditors. The regulatory structure also provides the regulatory authorities extensive discretion in connection with their supervisory and enforcement activities and examination policies, including policies with respect to capital levels, the classification of assets and the establishment of adequate loan loss reserves for regulatory purposes. For further information regarding the laws and regulations that affect the Holding Company and the Bank, see "Item 1. Business - Regulation - Regulation of Federal Savings Associations," and "Item 1. Business Regulation - Regulation of Holding Company."

Financial institution regulation has been the subject of significant legislation in recent years, and may be the subject of further significant legislation in the future, none of which is within the control of the Holding Company or the Bank. Significant new laws or changes in, or repeals of, existing laws may cause the Company's results of operations to differ materially. Further, federal monetary policy, particularly as implemented through the OTS, significantly affects credit conditions for the Company, primarily through open market operations in United States government securities, the discount rate for bank borrowings and reserve requirements for liquid assets. A material change in any of these conditions would have a material impact on the Bank, and therefore, on the Company's results of operations.

Competition from other financial institutions in originating loans and attracting deposits may adversely affect profitability.

The Bank's retail banking and a significant portion of its lending business are concentrated in the NYC metropolitan area. The NYC banking environment is extremely competitive. The Bank's competition for loans exists principally from savings banks, commercial banks, mortgage banks and insurance companies. The Bank has faced sustained competition for the origination of multifamily residential and commercial real estate loans. Management anticipates
that the current level of competition for multifamily residential and commercial real estate loans will continue for the foreseeable future, and this competition may inhibit the Bank's ability to maintain its current level and pricing of such loans.

The Bank gathers deposits in direct competition with commercial banks, savings banks and brokerage firms, many among the largest in the nation. In addition, it must also compete for deposit monies against the stock markets and mutual funds, especially during periods of strong performance in the equity markets. Over the previous decade, consolidation in the financial services industry, coupled with the emergence of Internet banking, has altered the deposit gathering landscape and may increase competitive pressures on the Bank.
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The impact of recently enacted and proposed legislation and government programs to stabilize the financial markets cannot be predicted at this time.

On October 3, 2008, President Bush signed the EESA into law in response to the problems affecting the banking system and financial markets. Pursuant to the EESA, Treasury was granted the authority to, among other things, purchase up to $\$ 700$ billion of troubled assets (including mortgages, MBS and certain other financial instruments) from financial institutions for the purpose of stabilizing and providing liquidity to the U.S. financial markets. On October 14, 2008, Treasury, the FRB and the FDIC issued a joint statement announcing additional steps aimed at stabilizing the financial markets. Initially, Treasury announced the TARP Capital Purchase Program, a $\$ 250$ billion voluntary capital purchase program available to qualifying financial institutions that sell preferred shares to Treasury. In addition, the FDIC announced that its Board of Directors, under the authority to prevent "systemic risk" in the U.S. banking system, approved the TLGP, intended to strengthen confidence and encourage liquidity in the banking system by permitting the FDIC to (i) guarantee certain newly issued senior unsecured debt issued by participating institutions under the DGP, and (ii) fully insure non-interest bearing transaction deposit accounts held at participating FDIC-insured institutions, regardless of dollar amount under the TAGP. Third, to further increase access to funding for businesses in all sectors of the economy, the FRB announced further details of its Commercial Paper Funding Facility program ("CPFF"), which provides a broad backstop for the commercial paper market. The Company does not currently participate in the TAGP, CPP, DGP or CPFF.

On February 10, 2009, in a statement to the Senate Banking Committee Hearing, Treasury Secretary Timothy Geithner outlined a Financial Stability Plan to restore stability to the U.S. financial system. The Financial Stability Plan includes a variety of measures aimed at the broader credit markets and includes the creation of a comprehensive housing program to forestall foreclosures and stabilize the residential mortgage market. In addition, on February 11, 2009, the OTS urged OTS-regulated institutions to suspend foreclosures on owner-occupied homes until the Financial Stability Plan's "home loan modification program" is finalized in the next few weeks. On February 18, 2009, President Obama announced the Administration's Homeowner Affordability and Stability Plan, (the "HASP"). The HASP aims to accomplish the following three key objectives: (i) refinance mortgages of up to 4 to 5 million "responsible homeowners" to prevent additional foreclosures; (ii) provide a $\$ 75$ billion initiative to help up to 3 to 4 million "at-risk homeowners" primarily through the use of uniform loan modifications; and (iii) help maintain low mortgage rates by strengthening confidence in FNMA and Freddie Mac. Mortgage lenders may participate in the program on a voluntary basis.

On January 27, 2009, the House Judiciary Committee approved H.R. 200, the "Helping Families Save Their Homes in Bankruptcy Act of 2009" ("Bankruptcy Legislation"). The Bankruptcy Legislation would grant a judge the ability to modify the terms of a mortgage for a homeowner in Chapter 13 bankruptcy. Under the proposed Bankruptcy Legislation, borrowers would be eligible to have a bankruptcy judge reduce the principal balance on their home loan. If any such borrower resells his or her home within five years, the borrower will be required to share the proceeds with the lender.

The Company cannot predict the actual impact that the foregoing or any other governmental program will have on the financial markets. The failure of the financial markets to stabilize and a continuation or worsening of current financial market conditions could materially and adversely affect the Company's business, financial condition, results of operations, access to credit or the trading price of the Holding Company's common stock. In addition, the initiatives of President Obama's administration, and the possible enactment of the Bankruptcy Legislation as proposed, could materially and adversely affect the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments
Not applicable.
Item 2. Properties

The headquarters of both the Holding Company and the Bank are located at 209 Havemeyer Street, Brooklyn, New York 11211. The headquarters building is fully owned by the Bank. The Bank conducts its business through twenty-three full-service retail banking offices located throughout Brooklyn, Queens, the Bronx and Nassau County, New York.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings
In the ordinary course of business, the Company is routinely named as a defendant in or party to various pending or threatened legal actions or proceedings. Certain of these matters may seek substantial monetary damages. In the opinion of management, the Company is involved in no actions or proceedings that will have a material adverse impact on its consolidated financial condition and results of operations.
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Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders
None.

## PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The Holding Company's common stock is traded on the Nasdaq National Market and quoted under the symbol "DCOM." Prior to June 15, 1998, the Holding Company's common stock was quoted under the symbol "DIME."

The following table indicates the high and low sales price for the Holding Company's common stock, and dividends declared, during the periods indicated. The Holding Company's common stock began trading on June 26, 1996, the date of the initial public offering.

|  | Twelve Months Ended <br> December 31, 2008 |  | Twelve Months Ended |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | December 31, 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |

On December 31, 2008, the final trading date in the fiscal year, the Holding Company's common stock closed at \$13.30.

Management estimates that the Holding Company had approximately 5,400 shareholders of record as of March 1, 2009, including persons or entities holding stock in nominee or street name through various brokers and banks. There were 34,179,900 shares of Holding Company common stock outstanding at December 31, 2008.

On August 21, 2001, the Holding Company paid a $50 \%$ common stock dividend to all shareholders of record as of July 31, 2001. On April 24, 2002, the Holding Company paid a $50 \%$ common stock dividend to all shareholders of record as of April 1, 2002. On March 16, 2004, the Holding Company paid a $50 \%$ common stock dividend to all shareholders of record as of March 1, 2004. Each of these dividends had the effect of a three-for-two stock split.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, the Holding Company paid cash dividends totaling $\$ 18.3$ million, representing $\$ 0.56$ per outstanding common share. During the year ended December 31, 2007, the Holding Company paid cash dividends totaling $\$ 19.0$ million, representing $\$ 0.56$ per outstanding common share.

On January 22, 2009, the Board of Directors declared a cash dividend of $\$ 0.14$ per common share to all shareholders of record as of February 2, 2009. This dividend was paid on February 17, 2009.

The Holding Company is subject to the requirements of Delaware law, which generally limits dividends to an amount equal to the excess of net assets (i.e., the amount by which total assets exceed total liabilities) over statutory capital, or if no such excess exists, to net profits for the current and/or immediately preceding fiscal year.

As the principal asset of the Holding Company, the Bank could be called upon to provide funds for the Holding Company's payment of dividends (See "Item 1 - Business - Regulation - Regulation of Federal Savings Associations Limitation on Capital Distributions"). (See also Note 2 to the Company's Audited Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of limitations on distributions from the Bank to the Holding Company).

In April 2000, the Holding Company issued $\$ 25.0$ million in subordinated notes payable, with a stated annual coupon rate of $9.25 \%$. Pursuant to the provisions of the notes, the Holding Company is required to first satisfy the interest obligation on the notes, which approximates $\$ 2.4$ million annually, prior to the authorization and payment of common stock cash dividends. Management of the Holding Company does not believe that this requirement will materially affect its ability to pay dividends to its common shareholders.
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In March 2004, the Holding Company issued $\$ 72.2$ million in trust preferred debt, with a stated annual coupon rate of $7.0 \%$. Pursuant to the provisions of the debt, the Holding Company is required to first satisfy the interest obligation on the debt, which approximates $\$ 5.1$ million annually, prior to the authorization and payment of common stock cash dividends. Management of the Holding Company does not believe that this requirement will materially affect its ability to pay dividends to its common shareholders.

The Holding Company did not purchase any shares of its common stock into treasury during the three months ended December 31, 2008.

A summary of the shares repurchased by month is as follows:
ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid Per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Programs (1) | Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Programs (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| October |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | - | - | - | 1,124,549 |
| November |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | - | - | - | 1,124,549 |
| December |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | - | - | - | 1,124,549 |

(1) No existing repurchase programs expired during the three months ended December 31, 2008, nor did the Company terminate any repurchase programs prior to expiration during the quarter. The $1,124,549$ shares that remained eligible for repurchase at December 31, 2008 are available under the Company's twelfth stock repurchase program, which was publicly announced in June 2007. The twelfth stock repurchase program authorized the purchase of up to $1,787,665$ shares of the Holding Company's common stock, and has no expiration.

## Performance Graph

Pursuant to regulations of the SEC, the graph below compares the Company's stock performance with that of the total return for the U.S. Nasdaq Stock Market and an index of all thrift stocks as reported by SNL Securities L.C. from January 1, 2004 through December 31, 2008. The graph assumes the reinvestment of dividends in additional shares of the same class of equity securities as those listed below.

|  | Period Ending December <br> 31, |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Index | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
| Dime Community |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bancshares, Inc. | 100.00 | 90.15 | 76.25 | 76.02 | 72.33 |
| NASDAQ Composite | 100.00 | 108.59110 .08 | 120.56132 .39 | 78.72 |  |
| SNL Thrift Index | 100.00111 .42115 .35134 .46 | 80.67 | 51.34 |  |  |
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Item 6. Selected Financial Data

## Financial Highlights

(Dollars in Thousands, except per share data)
The consolidated financial and other data of the Company as of and for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007, 2006, 2005 and 2004 set forth below is derived in part from, and should be read in conjunction with, the Company's audited Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto. Amounts as of and for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, 2005 and 2004 have been reclassified to conform to the December 31, 2008 presentation.

|  | At or for the Year Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |  |
| Selected Financial Condition Data: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total assets | $4,055,598$ | $\$ 3,501,175$ | $\$ 3,173,377$ | $\$ 3,126,226$ | $\$ 3,377,266$ |  |
| Loans and loans held for sale (net of deferred costs or |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| fees and the allowancfor loan losses) | $3,274,051$ | $2,861,638$ | $2,688,159$ | $2,596,310$ | $2,486,262$ |  |
| MBS | 301,351 | 162,764 | 154,437 | 193,453 | 519,885 |  |
| Investment securities (including FHLBNY capital stock) | 80,898 | 73,204 | 61,078 | 74,750 | 80,750 |  |
| Federal funds sold and other short-term investments | - | 128,014 | 78,752 | 60,014 | 103,291 |  |
| Goodwill | 55,638 | 55,638 | 55,638 | 55,638 | 55,638 |  |
| Deposits | $2,260,051$ | $2,179,998$ | $2,008,532$ | $1,914,772$ | $2,210,049$ |  |
| Borrowings | $1,346,840$ | 958,745 | 788,900 | 834,120 | 809,249 |  |
| Stockholders' equity | 276,964 | 268,852 | 290,631 | 291,713 | 281,721 |  |
| Tangible Stockholders' equity | 232,156 | 217,238 | 241,829 | 239,169 | 229,013 |  |
| Selected Operating Data: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income | $\$ 202,654$ | $\$ 182,160$ | $\$ 170,810$ | $\$ 169,712$ | $\$ 173,758$ |  |
| Interest expense | 111,302 | 11,147 | 93,340 | 77,341 | 67,776 |  |
| Net interest income | 91,352 | 71,013 | 77,470 | 92,371 | 105,982 |  |
| Provision for loan losses | 2,006 | 240 | 240 | 340 | 280 |  |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 89,346 | 70,773 | 77,230 | 92,031 | 105,702 |  |
| Non-interest income | 2,814 | 10,420 | 12,390 | 5,151 | 10,376 |  |
| Non-interest expense | 49,973 | 45,502 | 41,976 | 40,742 | 42,407 |  |
| Income before income tax | 42,187 | 35,691 | 47,644 | 56,440 | 73,671 |  |
| Income tax expense | 14,159 | 13,248 | 17,052 | 20,230 | 27,449 |  |
| Net income | $\$ 28,028$ | $\$ 22,443$ | $\$ 30,592$ | $\$ 36,210$ | $\$ 46,222$ |  |
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|  | At or for the Year Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| SELECTED FINANCIAL RATIOS AND |  |  |  |  |  |
| OTHER DATA (1): |  |  |  |  |  |
| Return on average assets | 0.76\% | 0.69\% | 0.98\% | 1.11\% | 1.38\% |
| Return on average stockholders' equity | 10.29 | 8.11 | 10.43 | 12.65 | 16.76 |
| Stockholders' equity to total assets at end of period | 6.83 | 7.68 | 9.16 | 9.33 | 8.34 |
| Tangible equity to tangible assets at end of period | 5.79 | 6.29 | 7.74 | 7.78 | 6.88 |
| Loans to deposits at end of period | 145.64 | 131.97 | 134.61 | 136.42 | 113.20 |
| Loans to interest-earning assets at end of period | 89.60 | 88.77 | 90.18 | 88.82 | 78.04 |
| Net interest spread (2) | 2.34 | 1.88 | 2.19 | 2.66 | 3.09 |
| Net interest margin (3) | 2.60 | 2.29 | 2.60 | 2.96 | 3.32 |
| Average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities | 108.35 | 111.48 | 113.07 | 111.88 | 110.79 |
| Non-interest expense to average assets | 1.35 | 1.39 | 1.34 | 1.24 | 1.27 |
| Efficiency ratio (4) | 51.25 | 55.88 | 48.36 | 40.03 | 36.67 |
| Effective tax rate | 33.56 | 37.12 | 35.79 | 35.84 | 37.26 |
| Dividend payout ratio | 65.88 | 83.58 | 64.37 | 54.90 | 42.97 |
| Per Share Data: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$0.85 | \$0.67 | \$0.87 | \$1.02 | \$1.28 |
| Cash dividends paid per share | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.55 |
| Book value per share | 8.10 | 7.93 | 7.97 | 7.89 | 7.58 |
| Tangible book value per share | 6.79 | 6.41 | 6.63 | 6.47 | 6.16 |
| Asset Quality Ratios and Other Data(1): |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net charge-offs | \$584 | \$9 | \$27 | \$45 | \$133 |
| Total non-performing loans | 7,402 | 2,856 | 3,606 | 958 | 1,459 |
| OREO | 300 | - | - | - | - |
| Non-performing loans to total loans | 0.22\% | 0.10\% | 0.13\% | 0.04\% | 0.06\% |
| Non-performing loans and OREO to total assets | 0.19 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.04 |
| Allowance for Loan Losses to: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-performing loans | 235.80\% | 538.76\% | $430.23 \% 1$ | ,647.70\% | ,065.32\% |
| Total loans (5) | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.57 | 0.60 | 0.62 |
| Regulatory Capital Ratios: (Bank only)(1) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tangible capital | 7.63\% | 7.88\% | 9.05\% | 9.84\% | 7.88\% |
| Leverage capital | 7.63 | 7.88 | 9.05 | 9.84 | 7.88 |
| Total risk-based capital | 11.43 | 11.92 | 12.61 | 14.30 | 12.83 |
| Earnings to Fixed Charges Ratios (6) (7): |  |  |  |  |  |
| Including interest on deposits | 1.41x | 1.32 x | 1.51 x | 1.73x | 2.09x |
| Excluding interest on deposits | 1.81 | 1.99 | 2.30 | 2.56 | 3.46 |
| Full Service Branches | 23 | 21 | 21 | 20 | 20 |

(1) With the exception of end of period ratios, all ratios are based on average daily balances during the indicated periods. Asset Quality Ratios and Regulatory Capital Ratios are end of period ratios.
(2) The net interest spread represents the difference between the weighted-average yield on interest-earning assets and the weighted-average cost of interest-bearing liabilities.
(3)The net interest margin represents net interest income as a percentage of average interest-earning assets.
(4)The efficiency ratio represents non-interest expense as a percentage of the sum of net interest income and non-interest income, excluding any gains or losses on sales of assets.
(5) Total loans represent loans and loans held for sale, net of deferred fees and costs, and excluding (thus not reducing the aggregate balance for) the allowance for loan losses.
(6)For purposes of computing the ratios of earnings to fixed charges, earnings represent income before taxes, extraordinary items and the cumulative effect of accounting changes plus fixed charges. Fixed charges represent total interest expense, including and excluding interest on deposits.
(7) Interest on unrecognized tax benefits totaling $\$ 480,000$ and $\$ 509,000$ is included in the calculation of fixed charges for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

## Executive Summary

The Holding Company's primary business is the operation of the Bank. The Company's consolidated results of operations are dependent primarily on net interest income, which is the difference between the interest income earned on interest-earning assets, such as loans and securities, and the interest expense paid on interest-bearing liabilities, such as deposits and borrowings. The Bank additionally generates non-interest income such as service charges and other fees, as well as income associated with Bank Owned Life Insurance ("BOLI"). Non-interest expense primarily consists of employee compensation and benefits, federal deposit insurance premiums, data processing costs, and occupancy and equipment,
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marketing and other operating expenses. The Company's consolidated results of operations are also significantly affected by general economic and competitive conditions (particularly fluctuations in market interest rates), government policies, changes in accounting standards and actions of regulatory agencies.

The Bank's primary strategy is generally to seek to increase its product and service utilization for each individual depositor, and to increase its household and deposit market shares in the communities that it serves. In addition, the Bank's primary strategy includes the origination of, and investment in, mortgage loans, with an emphasis on multifamily residential and mixed use real estate loans. During much of 2006 and 2007, growth was restricted as a result of the interest rate environment, which management deemed unfavorable for significant balance sheet growth. During 2008, the Company grew assets due to continued loan demand and favorable marketplace conditions surrounding the origination of multifamily residential real estate loans. By the end of 2008, the Company had begun restricting its plans for future growth due to concerns over the long-term credit quality of the real estate loans, and the desire to retain sufficient capital levels to accommodate these concerns.

The Company believes that multifamily residential and mixed use loans provide advantages as investment assets. Initially, they offer a higher yield than investment securities of comparable maturities or terms to repricing. In addition, origination and processing costs for the Bank's multifamily residential and mixed loans are lower per thousand dollars of originations than comparable one-to four-family loan costs. Further, the Bank's market area has generally provided a stable flow of new and refinanced multifamily residential and mixed use loan originations. In order to address the credit risk associated with multifamily residential and mixed use lending, the Bank has developed underwriting standards that it believes are reliable in order to maintain consistent credit quality for its loans.

The Bank also strives to provide a stable source of liquidity and earnings through the purchase of investment grade securities; seeks to maintain the asset quality of its loans and other investments; and uses appropriate portfolio and asset/liability management techniques in an effort to manage the effects of interest rate volatility on its profitability and capital.

The year ended December 31, 2008 was dominated by a global real estate and economic recession fueled by significant weakness and/or failure in many of the world's largest financial institutions. These events led to historically high dislocations in credit markets, causing origination spreads from the benchmark origination interest rate to increase significantly during the year ended December 31, 2008. This increase, coupled with the reduction in benchmark short-term interest rates by the FOMC (which greatly impact the pricing of the Bank's retail deposits), resulted in significant increases in both net interest spread and net interest margin during the year ended December 31, 2008, thus favorably impacting the Company's consolidated earnings during the period. Partially offsetting this benefit were higher credit costs recognized on loans owned by the Bank, loans sold to FNMA with recourse, and pooled trust preferred security investments.

## Critical Accounting Policies

Various elements of the Company's accounting policies are inherently subject to estimation techniques, valuation assumptions and other subjective assessments. The Company's policies with respect to the methodologies it uses to determine the allowance for loan losses, reserves for loan commitments and FNMA recourse exposure, the valuation of MSR, asset impairments (including the valuation of goodwill and other than temporary declines in the valuation of securities), the recognition of deferred tax assets and unrecognized tax positions, the recognition of loan income, the valuation of financial instruments and accounting for defined benefit plans are its most critical accounting policies because they are important to the presentation of the Company's consolidated financial condition and results of operations, involve a significant degree of complexity and require management to make difficult and subjective judgments which often necessitate assumptions or estimates about highly uncertain matters. The use of different judgments, assumptions and estimates could result in material variations in the Company's consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

The following are descriptions of the Company's critical accounting policies and explanations of the methods and assumptions underlying their application.

Allowance for Loan Losses. GAAP requires the Bank to maintain an appropriate allowance for loan losses. Management uses available information to estimate losses on loans and believes that the Bank maintains its allowance for loan losses at appropriate levels. Adjustments may be necessary, however, if future economic, market or other conditions differ from the current operating environment.

Although the Bank believes it utilizes the most reliable information available, the level of the allowance for loan losses remains an estimate subject to significant judgment. These evaluations are inherently subjective because, although based upon objective data, it is management's interpretation of the data that determines the amount of the appropriate allowance. The Company, therefore, periodically reviews the actual performance and charge-offs of its portfolio and compares them to the previously determined allowance coverage percentages. In doing so, the Company evaluates the impact that the variables discussed below may have on the portfolio to determine whether or not changes should be made to the assumptions and analyses.
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The Bank's loan loss reserve methodology consists of several components, including a review of the two elements of its loan portfolio: problem loans (i.e., classified loans and impaired loans under Amended SFAS 114") and performing loans. The Bank applied the process of determining the allowance for loan losses consistently throughout the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007.

## Performing Loans

At December 31, 2008, the majority of the allowance for loan losses was allocated to performing loans, which represented the overwhelming majority of the Bank's loan portfolio. Performing loans are reviewed at least quarterly based upon the premise that there are losses inherent within the loan portfolio that have not been identified as of the review date. The Bank thus calculates an allowance for loan losses related to its performing loans by deriving an expected loan loss percentage and applying it to its performing loans. In deriving the expected loan loss percentage, the Bank generally considers, among others, the following criteria: the Bank's historical loss experience; the age and payment history of the loans (commonly referred to as their "seasoned quality"); the type of loan (i.e., one- to four-family, multifamily residential, commercial real estate, cooperative apartment, construction and land acquisition or consumer); the underwriting history of the loan (i.e., whether it was underwritten by the Bank or a predecessor institution acquired by the Bank and, therefore, originally subjected to different underwriting criteria); both the current condition and recent history of the overall local real estate market (in order to determine the accuracy of utilizing recent historical charge-off data to derive the expected loan loss percentages); the level of, and trend in, non-performing loans; the level and composition of new loan activity; and the existence of geographic loan concentrations (as the overwhelming majority of the Bank's loans are secured by real estate located in the NYC metropolitan area) or specific industry conditions within the portfolio segments. Since these criteria affect the expected loan loss percentages that are applied to performing loans, changes in any of them may affect the amounts of the allowance and the provision for loan losses.

## Problem Loans

OTS regulations and Bank policy require that loans possessing certain weaknesses be classified as Substandard, Doubtful or Loss assets. Assets that do not expose the Bank to risk sufficient to justify classification in one of these categories, however, which possess potential weaknesses that deserve management's attention, are designated Special Mention. Loans classified as Special Mention, Substandard or Doubtful are reviewed individually on a quarterly basis by the Bank's Loan Loss Reserve Committee to determine the level of possible loss, if any, that should be provided for within the Bank's allowance for loan losses.

The Bank's policy is to charge-off immediately all balances classified as "Loss" and record a reduction of the allowance for loan losses for the full amount of the outstanding loan balance. The Bank applied this process consistently throughout the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007.

Under the guidance established by Amended SFAS 114, loans determined to be impaired are evaluated at least quarterly in order to establish impairment. For each loan that the Bank determines to be impaired, impairment is measured by the amount that the carrying balance of the loan, including all accrued interest, exceeds the estimated fair value of the collateral. A specific reserve is established on all impaired loans to the extent of impairment and comprises a portion of the allowance for loan losses. (See "Item1 - Business - Asset Quality - Impaired Loans" for a discussion of impaired loans.

Non-performing one- to four-family loans of $\$ 625,500$ or less are not required to be evaluated for impairment, and are classified as Substandard, Doubtful or Loss, and reviewed and reserved for in the manner discussed above for loans of such classification.

See also "Item 1 - Business - Asset Quality."

Reserve for Loan Commitments. The Bank maintains a separate reserve within other liabilities associated with commitments to fund future loans that have been accepted by the borrower. This reserve is determined based upon the historical loss experience of similar loans owned by the Bank at each period end. Any increases in this reserve amount are obtained via a transfer of reserves from the Bank's allowance for loan losses, with any resulting shortfall in the Bank's allowance for loan losses being satisfied through the quarterly provision for loan losses. Any decreases in this reserve amount are recognized as a transfer of reserve balances back to the allowance for loans losses at each period end.
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Reserve For the Recourse Exposure on Multifamily Loans Sold to FNMA. A reserve is also recorded related to certain multifamily residential real estate loans sold with recourse under an agreement with FNMA. This reserve, which is included in other liabilities, is determined in a manner similar to the Company's allowance for loan losses related to loans held in portfolio. See "Item 1 - Business - Reserve Liability on the Recourse Exposure on Multifamily Loans Serviced for FNMA" for a further discussion of this item.

Valuation of MSR. The cost of mortgage loans sold with servicing rights retained by the Bank is allocated between the loans and the servicing rights based on their estimated fair values at the time of the loan sale. In accordance with GAAP, MSR are carried at the lower of cost or fair value and are amortized in proportion to, and over the period of, anticipated net servicing income. The Company adopted SFAS No. 156, "Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets" ("SFAS 156") effective January 1, 2007. SFAS 156 requires all separately recognized MSR to be initially measured at fair value, if practicable. The estimated fair value of MSR is determined by calculating the present value of estimated future net servicing cash flows, using estimated prepayment, default, servicing cost and discount rate assumptions. All estimates and assumptions utilized in the valuation of MSR are derived based upon actual historical results for the Bank, or, in the absence of such data, from historical results for the Bank's peers.

The fair value of MSR is sensitive to changes in assumptions. Fluctuations in prepayment speed assumptions have the most significant impact on the estimated fair value of MSR. In the event that loan prepayment activities exceed the assumed amount (generally due to increased loan refinancing), the fair value of MSR would likely decline. In the event that loan prepayment activities fall below the assumed amount (generally due to a decline in loan refinancing), the fair value of MSR would likely increase. Any measurement of the value of MSR is limited by the existing conditions and assumptions utilized at a particular point in time, and would not necessarily be appropriate if applied at a different point in time.

Assumptions utilized in measuring the fair value of MSR for the purpose of evaluating impairment additionally include the stratification based on predominant risk characteristics of the underlying loans. Increases in the risk characteristics of the underlying loans from the assumed amounts would result in a decline in the fair value of the MSR. A valuation allowance is established in the event the recorded value of an individual stratum exceeds its fair value for the full amount of the difference.

Asset Impairment Adjustments. Certain assets are carried in the Company's consolidated statements of financial condition at fair value or at the lower of cost or fair value. Management periodically performs analyses to test for impairment of these assets. Two significant impairment analyses relate to the value of goodwill and other than temporary declines in the value of the Company's securities. In the event that an impairment of goodwill or an other than temporary decline in the value of the Company's securities is determined to exist, it is recognized as a charge to earnings.

Goodwill. Goodwill is accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" ("SFAS 142"). SFAS 142 eliminates amortization of goodwill and instead requires performance of an annual impairment test at the reporting unit level. As of December 31, 2008, the Company had goodwill totaling $\$ 55.6$ million.

The Company identified a single reporting unit for purposes of its goodwill impairment testing, and thus performs its impairment test on a consolidated basis. The impairment test has two potential stages. In the initial stage, the Holding Company's market capitalization (reporting unit fair value) is compared to its outstanding equity (reporting unit carrying value). The Company utilizes closing price data for the Holding Company's common stock as reported on the Nasdaq National Market in order to compute market capitalization. The Company has designated the last day of its fiscal year as the annual date for impairment testing. The Company performed its annual impairment test as of December 31, 2008 and concluded that no potential impairment of goodwill existed since the fair value of the Company's reporting unit exceeded its carrying value. However, subsequent to December 31, 2008, the price of the Holding Company's common stock declined to such a level that the Company's total market capitalization has, on
occasion, fallen below its consolidated stockholders' equity. As a result, no assurance can be given that the Company will not recognize an impairment loss on goodwill during the year ending December 31, 2009. In the event that an impairment is recognized, it will not impact the Company's consolidated tangible equity or capital ratios, nor will it impact any of the Bank's requisite capital levels or ratios.

Valuation of Financial Instruments.
Debt securities are classified as held-to-maturity, and carried at amortized cost, only if the Company has a positive intent and ability to hold them to maturity.

At December 31, 2008, the Company owned eight pooled trust preferred securities classified as held-to-maturity. During the year ended December 31, 2008, the market for these securities was deemed to be illiquid. Prior to December 31, 2008, the valuation of these securities was obtained utilizing market quotations reflecting likely marketplace transaction prices. However, due to the lack of an active market for these securities, management elected to determine their fair value utilizing a cash flow valuation approach at December 31, 2008. Under the cash flow valuation methodology utilized, for five of the eight securities, three independent cash flow model valuations were averaged and given a $50 \%$ weighting. A
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separate cash flow valuation for each of five these securities performed utilizing default, cash flow and discount rate assumptions determined by the Company's management (the "Internal Cash Flow Valuation") was given a $50 \%$ weighting. For the remaining three securities, only one independent cash flow valuation was available and was given a $50 \%$ weighting along with the Internal Cash Flow Valuation.

The major assumptions utilized in the Internal Cash Flow Valuation were as follows:
Discount rate - The discount rate utilized was derived from the Bloomberg fair market value curve for debt offerings of similar credit rating. In the event that a security had a split investment rating, separate cash flow valuations were made utilizing the appropriate discount rate and were averaged in order to determine the Internal Cash Flow Valuation.

Defaults - All underlying issuers having Fitch bank rating of 5.0 were assumed to default. Underlying issuers with a Fitch bank rating of 3.5 through 4.5 were assumed to default at levels ranging from $5 \%$ to $75 \%$ based upon both their rating as well as whether they had been granted approval to receive funding under the TARP Capital Purchase Program.

Cash flows - The actual cash flows for the Company's investment tranche of each security, adjusted to assume that all estimated defaults occurred on January 1, 2009, and an estimated recovery of $6 \%$ over the cash flow period (i.e., the remaining life of the security).

Two of the three independent cash flow valuations were made utilizing a similar methodology from the Internal Cash Flow Valuation, differing only in the underlying assumptions deriving their estimated cash flows, individual bank defaults and discount rate. The third independent cash flow valuation was derived from a different methodology in which the actual cash flow estimate based upon the underlying collateral of the securities (including default estimates) was not considered. Instead, this cash flow valuation was determined utilizing a discount rate determined from the Bloomberg fair market value curve for similar assets that still continue to trade actively, with adjustments made for the illiquidity of the pooled trust preferred market.
(See "Item 1 - Business - Investment Activities - Corporate Debt Obligations" for a further discussion of these securities).

Debt securities that are not classified as held-to-maturity, along with all equity securities, are classified as available-for-sale. The Company owned no securities classified as trading securities during the year ended December 31, 2008. Available-for-sale debt and equity securities that have readily determinable fair values are carried at fair value. All of the Company's available-for-sale securities at December 31, 2008 had readily determinable fair values, which were based on published or securities dealers' market values.

The Company conducts a periodic review and evaluation of its securities portfolio, taking into account the severity and duration of each unrealized loss, as well as management's intent and ability to hold the security until the unrealized loss is substantially eliminated, in order to determine if a decline in market value of any security below its carrying value is either temporary or other than temporary. Unrealized losses on held-to-maturity securities that are deemed temporary are disclosed but not recognized. Unrealized losses on debt or equity securities available-for-sale that are deemed temporary are excluded from net income and reported net of deferred taxes as other comprehensive income or loss. All unrealized losses that are deemed other than temporary on either available-for-sale or held-to-maturity securities are recognized immediately as a reduction of the carrying amount of the security, with a charge recorded in the Company's consolidated statements of operations. During the year ended December 31, 2008, unrealized losses of $\$ 3.2$ million were deemed other than temporary associated with two held-to-maturity pooled trust preferred securities. (See "Item 1. Business - Investment Activities - Corporate Debt Obligations"). No other than temporary impairments were recognized in the Company's securities portfolio during the year ended December 31,
2007. Total unrealized holding losses on securities were $\$ 6.5$ million at December 31, 2008, and included $\$ 5.7$ million of unamortized unrealized holding losses on securities that were transferred from available-for-sale to held-to-maturity on September 1, 2008. Unrealized holding gains totaled \$733,000 at December 31, 2007. See "Item 1 - Business - Investment Activities" for further discussion utilized in determining whether unrealized losses on securities were deemed other-than temporary.

Recognition of Deferred Tax Assets. Management reviews all deferred tax assets periodically. Upon such review, in the event that there is a greater likelihood that the deferred tax asset will not be fully realized, a valuation allowance is recognized against the deferred tax asset in the amount for which realization is determined to be more unlikely than likely to occur.
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Unrecognized Tax Positions - The Company performs two levels of evaluation for all uncertain tax positions. Initially, a determination is made as to whether it is more likely than not that a tax position will be sustained upon examination, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation, based on the technical merits of the position. In conducting this evaluation, management is required to presume that the position will be examined by the appropriate taxing authority possessing full knowledge of all relevant information. The second level of evaluation is the measurement of a tax position that satisfies the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold. This measurement is performed in order to determine the amount of benefit to recognize in the financial statements. The tax position is measured at the largest amount of benefit that is greater than 50 percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. In making its evaluation, management reviews applicable tax rulings and other advice provided by reputable tax professionals.

Loan Income Recognition. Interest income on loans is recorded using the level yield method. Loan origination fees and certain direct loan origination costs are deferred and amortized as yield adjustments over the contractual loan terms.

Accrual of interest is generally discontinued on loans that have missed three consecutive monthly payments, at which time the Bank does not recognize the interest from the third month and evaluates whether the accrual of interest associated with the first two missed payments should be reversed. Payments on nonaccrual loans are generally applied to principal. Management may elect to continue the accrual of interest when a loan is in the process of collection and the estimated fair value of the collateral is sufficient to satisfy the outstanding principal balance (including any outstanding advances related to the loan) and accrued interest. Loans are returned to accrual status once the doubt concerning collectibility has been removed and the borrower has demonstrated performance in accordance with the loan terms and conditions for a period of at least 6 months.

Accounting for Defined Benefit Plans - Defined benefit plans are accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 158, "Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans - an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and $132($ R)" ("SFAS 158"). SFAS 158 requires an employer sponsoring a single employer defined benefit plan to recognize the funded status of a benefit plan in its statements of financial condition, measured as the difference between plan assets at fair value (with limited exceptions) and the benefit obligation. The Company utilizes the services of trained actuaries employed at an independent benefits plan administration entity in order to assist in measuring the funded status of its defined benefit plans.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Board of Directors of the Bank has approved a liquidity policy that it reviews and updates at least annually. Senior management is responsible for implementing the policy. The Bank's ALCO is responsible for general oversight and strategic implementation of the policy, and management of the appropriate departments are designated responsibility for implementing any strategies established by ALCO. On a daily basis, senior management receives a current cash position report and one-week forecast to ensure that all short-term obligations are satisfied timely and that adequate liquidity exists to fund future activities. On a monthly basis, reports detailing the Bank's liquidity reserves and forecasted cash flows are presented to both senior management and the Board of Directors. In addition on a monthly basis, a twelve-month liquidity forecast is presented to ALCO in order to assess potential future liquidity concerns. A summary of the financial plan, including cash flow data for the upcoming 12 months, is presented to the Board of Directors on an annual basis.

The Bank's primary sources of funding for its lending and investment activities include deposits, loan and MBS payments, investment security maturities, advances from the FHLBNY, and REPOS entered into with various financial institutions, including the FHLBNY. The Bank also sells selected multifamily residential, mixed use and one- to four-family residential real estate loans, to either FNMA or other private sector secondary market purchasers. The Company may additionally issue debt under appropriate circumstances. Although maturities and scheduled amortization of loans and investments are predictable sources of funds, deposit flows and prepayments on mortgage loans and MBS are influenced by interest rates, economic conditions and competition.

The Bank gathers deposits in direct competition with commercial banks, savings banks and brokerage firms, many among the largest in the nation. It must additionally compete for deposit monies against the stock and bond markets, especially during periods of strong performance in those arenas. The Bank's deposit flows are affected primarily by the pricing and marketing of its deposit products compared to its competitors, as well as the market performance of depositor investment alternatives such as the U.S. bond or equity markets. To the extent that the Bank is responsive to general market increases or declines in interest rates, its deposit flows should not be materially impacted, however, favorable performance of the equity or bond markets could adversely impact the Bank's deposit flows.

Retail branch and Internet banking deposits increased $\$ 80.1$ million during the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to an increase of $\$ 171.5$ million during the year ended
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December 31, 2007. During the year ended December 31, 2008, CDs increased $\$ 76.1$ million and interest bearing checking accounts increased $\$ 51.0$ million. In September 2008, the Bank commenced a deposit gathering campaign offering a highly competitive short-term CD, coupled with the requirement that the customer establish and retain an active, minimum balance "Prime Dime" checking account. While initially resulting in higher deposit costs during the campaign period, the Bank's long-term goal is to establish a more cost effective and stable component of deposit funding and build core retail customer relationships. The success of this campaign resulted in the increases in CDs and interest bearing checking accounts during the year ended December 31, 2008. Partially offsetting these increases was a decline of $\$ 45.6$ million in money market deposits, as the Bank did not aggressively price these deposits for the great majority of 2008. During the majority of the year ended December 31, 2007, management elected to seek deposit growth as its primary source of funding, and thus the Company experienced an increase of $\$ 12.4$ million in CDs and $\$ 164.2$ million in money market accounts in 2007, due primarily to successful promotional campaigns.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, principal repayments totaled $\$ 522.4$ million on real estate loans and $\$ 48.2$ million on MBS. During the year ended December 31, 2007, principal repayments totaled $\$ 324.4$ million on real estate loans and $\$ 33.3$ million on MBS. The increase in principal repayments on loans related to an increase in borrower refinance activities (as loans originated in 2003 and 2004 approached their contractual interest rate reset dates), coupled with growth in the portfolio during the year ended December 31, 2008. The increase in principal paydowns on MBS resulted from the purchase of $\$ 183.8$ million of MBS during the year ended December 31, 2008 that increased their average balance by $\$ 124.8$ million compared to the year ended December 31, 2007. The Company does not presently believe that its future levels of principal repayments will be materially impacted by problems currently experienced in the residential mortgage market. See "Item 2 - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Asset Quality" for a further discussion of the Bank's asset quality.

From December 2002 through December 31, 2008, the Bank originated and sold multifamily residential mortgage and mixed use loans in the secondary market to FNMA while retaining servicing and generating fee income while it services the loans. The Bank underwrote these loans using its customary underwriting standards, funded the loans, and sold them to FNMA at agreed upon pricing. Typically, the Bank sought to sell loans with terms to maturity or repricing in excess of seven years from the origination date since it did not desire to retain such loans in portfolio as a result of the heightened interest rate risk they possessed. Under the terms of the sales program, the Bank retains a recourse exposure on these sold loans. Once established, such amount continued to increase as long as the Bank sold loans to FNMA under the program. The Bank retains this exposure until the portfolio of loans sold to FNMA is satisfied in its entirety or the Bank funds claims by FNMA for the maximum loss exposure. During the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, the Bank sold FNMA $\$ 27.5$ million and $\$ 71.4$ million of loans, respectively, pursuant to this program. The reduction in sales activity during the year ended December 31, 2008 reflected less favorable offering rates by FNMA on new loans during the period. The Bank's contract to sell multifamily loans to FNMA expired on December 31, 2008.

In addition, during the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, the Bank sold participation interests in multifamily loans totaling $\$ 114.6$ million and $\$ 6.1$ million, respectively, to a third party financial institution. All of these loan participations remain fully serviced by the Bank, and were sold at par and without recourse, with a gain recognized for the value of the net servicing rights associated with the loans. These sales were made in order to permit the Bank to achieve its desired volume of lending without excessively leveraging capital.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company increased its REPO borrowings by $\$ 74.9$ million and FHLBNY advances by $\$ 313.2$ million, respectively. These borrowings were added in order to fund real estate loan originations and purchases of MBS during the period, both of which significantly exceeded their respective 2007 levels. These borrowings enabled management to extend the average duration of the Company's liabilities, as the average cost of the new REPOS and FHLBNY advances was significantly lower than the cost of raising new, or retaining existing, retail deposit funding of similar durations. In addition, embedded within a portion of the added REPOS and FHLBNY advances were interest rate caps that provide a significant benefit to their average cost in the event of an increase in short-term interest rates. During the year ended December 31, 2007, the Company increased
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its REPO borrowings by $\$ 34.8$ million and FHLBNY advances by $\$ 135.0$ million, respectively. The REPO borrowings were added in order to fund real estate loan originations and ongoing Bank operations. The majority of the additional FHLBNY advances were undertaken late in 2007 when favorable pricing made that form of funding more desirable than promotional deposits.

In the event that the Bank should require funds beyond its ability to generate them internally, an additional source of funds is available through use of its borrowing line at the FHLBNY. At December 31, 2008, the Bank had an additional potential borrowing capacity of $\$ 395.6$ million available, provided it owned the minimum required level of FHLBNY common stock. The Holding Company additionally possessed a $\$ 15.0$ million committed line of credit agreement from a reputable financial institution. That agreement expired on December 31, 2008, and management is currently reviewing its replacement options. In addition, the Bank maintains an uncommitted line of credit for up to $\$ 50.0$ million with a reputable financial institution.

The Bank is subject to minimum regulatory capital requirements imposed by the OTS, which, as a general matter, are based on the amount and composition of an institution's assets. At December 31, 2008, the Bank was in compliance with all applicable regulatory capital requirements and was considered "well-capitalized" for all regulatory purposes.

The Company generally utilizes its liquidity and capital resources primarily to fund the origination of real estate loans, the purchase of mortgage-backed and other securities, the repurchase of Holding Company common stock into treasury and the payment of dividends on Holding Company common stock. During the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, real estate loan originations totaled $\$ 1.09$ billion and $\$ 574.5$ million, respectively. Purchases of investment securities (excluding short-term investments and federal funds sold) and MBS totaled $\$ 189.3$ million during the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to $\$ 52.2$ million during the year ended December 31, 2007. The increase in real estate loan originations resulted, in part, from increased borrower refinance activity, as real estate loans originated during 2003 and 2004 approached their contractual interest rate repricing dates. The increase in investment security and MBS purchases resulted from a decision to add these assets in order to achieve additional net interest income from the positive spread between the average yield on the securities and the average cost of the REPOS and FHLBNY advances utilized to fund the purchases. Purchases of investment securities and MBS were lower during the year ended December 31, 2007 as the Company elected to retain excess funds in federal funds sold and other short-term investments while short-term rates equaled or exceeded medium and long-term rates.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, the Holding Company repurchased 51,000 shares of its common stock into treasury. All shares repurchased were recorded at the acquisition cost, which totaled $\$ 654,000$ during the period. Share repurchase levels were significantly lower during the year ended December 31, 2008 than the year ended December 31, 2007 as management elected to retain additional capital while resuming loan growth during the year ended December 31, 2008. As of December 31, 2008, up to 1,124,549 shares remained available for purchase under authorized share purchase programs. Based upon the $\$ 13.30$ per share closing price of its common stock as of December 31, 2008, the Holding Company would utilize $\$ 15.0$ million in order to purchase all of the remaining authorized shares. For the Holding Company to complete these share purchases, it would likely require dividend distributions from the Bank.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company paid $\$ 18.3$ million in cash dividends on its common stock, compared to $\$ 19.0$ million during the year ended December 31, 2007. The reduction reflected a decline of 814,000 in the average basic shares of common stock outstanding during the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to the year ended December 31, 2007, that resulted primarily from 2.3 million shares of treasury stock repurchased during 2007.

## Contractual Obligations

The Bank has outstanding at any time, a significant number of borrowings in the form of FHLBNY advances or REPOS, as well as fixed interest obligations on CDs. The Holding Company also has an outstanding $\$ 25.0$ million non-callable subordinated note payable due to mature in 2010, and $\$ 72.2$ million of trust preferred borrowings due to mature in April 2034, which are callable at any time after April 2009. The Holding Company currently does not intend to call this debt.

The Bank is obligated under leases for certain rental payments due on its branches and equipment. A summary of CDs, borrowings and lease obligations at December 31, 2008 is as follows:


| Weighted average interest rate of |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CDs (1) | $\$ 230,000$ | $\$ 289,900$ | $\$ 379,775$ | $\$ 447,165$ | $\$ 1,346,840$ |
| Borrowings |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weighted average interest rate of <br> borrowings | $4.03 \%$ | $4.40 \%$ | $3.72 \%$ | $4.57 \%$ | $4.20 \%$ |
| Operating lease obligations | $\$ 2,062$ | $\$ 4,056$ | $\$ 3,706$ | $\$ 17,272$ | $\$ 27,096$ |
| Minimum data processing system <br> obligation | $\$ 752$ | $\$ 1,004$ | - | -- | $\$ 1,756$ |

(1) The weighted average cost of CDs, inclusive of their contractual compounding of interest, was $3.69 \%$ at December 31, 2008.

The Company had a reserve recorded related to unrecognized income tax benefits totaling $\$ 1.2$ million at December 31, 2008. Due to the uncertainty of the amounts to be ultimately paid as well as the timing of such payments, all liabilities pursuant to FASB Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes" that were not paid by December 31, 2008 have been excluded from the tabular disclosure of contractual obligations.

F-50

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Bank implemented a program in December 2002 to originate and sell multifamily residential mortgage loans in the secondary market to FNMA while retaining servicing. The Bank is required to retain a recourse obligation on all loans sold under this program, which will remain in effect until either the entire portfolio of loans sold to FNMA is satisfied or the Bank funds claims by FNMA for the full balance of the recourse obligation.

In addition, as part of its loan origination business, the Bank generally has outstanding commitments to extend credit to third parties, which are subject to strict credit control assessments. Since many of these loan commitments expire prior to funding, in whole or in part, the contract amounts are not estimates of future cash flows. The following table presents off-balance sheet arrangements as of December 31, 2008:

|  | Less than <br> One Year | One Year to <br> Three Years | Over Three <br> Years <br> to Five Years Years <br> (Dollars in thousands) | Total |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

## Analysis of Net Interest Income

The Company's profitability, like that of most banking institutions, is dependent primarily upon net interest income, which is the difference between interest income on interest-earnings assets, such as loans and securities, and interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities, such as deposits or borrowings. Net interest income depends on the relative amounts of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, and the interest rate earned or paid on them. The following tables set forth certain information relating to the Company's consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, and reflect the average yield on interest-earning assets and average cost of interest-bearing liabilities for the periods indicated. Such yields and costs are derived by dividing interest income or expense by the average balance of interest-earning assets or interest-bearing liabilities, respectively, for the periods indicated. Average balances are derived from daily balances. The yields and costs include fees that are considered adjustments to yields. All material changes in average balances and interest income or expense are discussed in the sections entitled "Interest Income" and "Interest Expense" in the comparison of operating results commencing on page F-54.
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Net interest income/

| interest margin (3) | $\$ 91,352$ | $2.60 \%$ | $\$ 71,013$ | $2.29 \%$ | $\$ 77,470$ | $2.60 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Net interest-earning assets \$270,678
Ratio of interest-earning assets to interest-bearing liabilities
108.35\%
\$319,758
$108.35 \%$
\$344,335
$111.48 \%$
113.07\%
(1) In computing the average balance of real estate loans, non-performing loans have been included. Interest income on real estate loans includes loan fees as defined under SFAS 91, "Accounting for Nonrefundable Fees and Costs Associated with Originating or Acquiring Loans and Initial Direct Costs of Leases-an amendment of FASB Statements No. 13, 60, and 65 and a rescission of FASB Statement No. 17." Interest income on real estate loans also includes applicable prepayment fees and late charges under SFAS 91.
(2) Net interest spread represents the difference between the average yield on interest-earning assets and the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities.
(3) The interest margin represents net interest income as a percentage of average interest-earning assets.
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Rate/Volume Analysis. The following table represents the extent to which variations in interest rates and the volume of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities have affected interest income and interest expense during the periods indicated. Information is provided in each category with respect to: (i) variances attributable to fluctuations in volume (change in volume multiplied by prior rate), (ii) variances attributable to rate (changes in rate multiplied by prior volume), and (iii) the net change. Variances attributable to the combined impact of volume and rate have been allocated proportionately to the changes due to volume and the changes due to rate.


Comparison of Financial Condition at December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007

Assets. Assets totaled $\$ 4.06$ billion at December 31, 2008, an increase of $\$ 554.4$ million from total assets of $\$ 3.50$ billion at December 31, 2007.

Real estate loans increased $\$ 415.3$ million during the year ended December 31, 2008, due primarily to originations of $\$ 1.09$ billion during the period (as marketplace competition diminished and new origination rates remained favorable for the Bank to pursue a higher lending volume compared to 2007), that were partially offset by amortization of $\$ 522.4$ million and sales of $\$ 151.0$ million.

MBS available-for-sale increased $\$ 138.6$ million during the year ended December 31, 2008, as purchases of $\$ 183.8$ million and an increase in their fair value of $\$ 3.4$ million were partially offset by principal repayments of $\$ 48.2$ million during the period.

Cash and due from banks increased $\$ 109.3$ million during the year ended December 31, 2008. The reduction in yields offered on federal funds investments coupled with deposit inflows late in 2008 resulted in an unusually high level of cash balances at December 31, 2008. These balances are expected to be deployed in some capacity during 2009.

Federal funds sold and other short-term investments declined $\$ 128.0$ million, as the reduction in yield offered on these short-term investments made them undesirable.

The Company acquired an additional $\$ 14.4$ million of FHLBNY common stock during the year ended December 31, 2008 in order to satisfy the requisite ownership levels necessary to obtain additional FHLBNY advances during the period. (See "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources" for a discussion of requisite ownership of FHLBNY common stock).

Liabilities. Total liabilities grew $\$ 546.3$ million during the year ended December 31, 2008, reflecting increases of $\$ 74.9$ million in REPOS, $\$ 313.2$ million in FHLBNY advances, $\$ 80.1$ million in retail branch and Internet banking deposits, and $\$ 77.9$ million in escrow and other deposits during the period. The increase in escrow and other deposits resulted from the significant increase in real estate loans, on which the Bank maintains escrow and other related deposits, during the year ended December 31, 2008. (See "Item 7. Management's
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Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources" for a discussion of increases in REPOS, FHLBNY advances and retail branch and Internet banking deposits during the period).

Stockholders' Equity. Stockholders' equity increased $\$ 8.1$ million during the year ended December 31, 2008, due primarily to net income of $\$ 28.0$ million, amortization of stock benefit plans of $\$ 2.9$ million, and $\$ 2.5$ million of proceeds received in consideration for shares issued in connection with the exercise of stock options, all of which were partially offset by dividend payments of $\$ 18.3$ million, treasury stock repurchases of $\$ 654,000$, and an increase of $\$ 6.8$ million in the accumulated other comprehensive loss component of stockholders' equity. The increase in accumulated other comprehensive loss related to both an increase in the unfunded status of the Bank's defined benefit plans during the year ended December 31, 2008, as well as an unrealized loss on trust preferred securities that were classified as available-for-sale prior to being transferred to held-to-maturity during the period.

Comparison of Financial Condition at December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006
Assets. Assets totaled $\$ 3.50$ billion at December 31, 2007, an increase of $\$ 327.8$ million from total assets of $\$ 3.17$ billion at December 31, 2006.

Real estate loans increased $\$ 173.7$ million during the year ended December 31, 2007, due primarily to originations of $\$ 574.5$ million during the period (as interest rates offered on new loans continued to stimulate origination activity), that were partially offset by amortization of $\$ 324.4$ million and sales to third parties of $\$ 77.6$ million.

Cash and due from banks and federal funds sold and other short-term investments increased by $\$ 75.4$ million and $\$ 49.3$ million, respectively, during the year ended December 31, 2007, as the Company added FHLBNY advances late in the year ended December 31, 2007, and held the funds in cash and due from banks and federal funds sold and other short-term investments at year-end. In future periods, these funds are anticipated to be invested in higher-yielding investment securities, MBS and real estate loans to the extent permissible under the Bank's regulations.

Liabilities. During the year ended December 31, 2007, total liabilities increased $\$ 349.6$ million, reflecting increases of $\$ 171.5$ million in deposits, $\$ 34.8$ million in REPOS and $\$ 135.0$ million in FHLBNY advances during the period. (See "Item 7. - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources" for a discussion of the deposit, FHLBNY advances and REPO increases during the period).

Stockholders' Equity. Stockholders' equity decreased $\$ 21.8$ million during the year ended December 31, 2007, due to treasury stock repurchases of $\$ 29.6$ million, cash dividends on the Holding Company's common stock of $\$ 19.0$ million and a reduction to equity of $\$ 1.7$ million related to an additional reserve recorded by the Company upon adoption of FASB Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes."

Partially offsetting these items were increases to equity during the period resulting from the following: (i) net income of $\$ 22.4$ million; (ii) $\$ 2.0$ million related to amortization of the Employee Stock Ownership Plan of Dime Community Bancshares, Inc. and Certain Affiliates (the "ESOP") and restricted stock awards issued under other stock benefit plans; and (iii) $\$ 958,000$ of cash dividends re-assumed through the liquidation of the Recognition and Retention Plan for Outside Directors, Officers and Employees of Dime Community Bancshares, Inc. The ESOP and restricted stock awards are initially recorded as reductions in stockholders' equity ("Contra Equity Balances"). As compensation expense is recognized on the ESOP and restricted stock awards, the Contra Equity Balances are reduced in a corresponding amount, resulting in an increase to their respective equity balances. This increase to equity offsets the decline in the Company's retained earnings related to the periodic recorded ESOP and restricted stock award expenses.

Comparison of Operating Results for the Years Ended December 31, 2008 and 2007
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General. Net income was $\$ 28.0$ million during the year ended December 31, 2008, an increase of $\$ 5.6$ million from net income of $\$ 22.4$ million during the year ended December 31, 2007. During the comparative period, net interest income increased $\$ 20.3$ million, the provision for loan losses increased $\$ 1.8$ million, non-interest income declined $\$ 7.6$ million and non-interest expense increased $\$ 4.5$ million, resulting in an increase in pre-tax net income of $\$ 6.5$ million. Income tax expense increased $\$ 911,000$ during the comparative period due to the increased pre-tax earnings.

Net Interest Income. The discussion of net interest income for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 presented below should be read in conjunction with the tables on pages F-52 and F-53, which set forth certain information related to the condensed consolidated statements of operations for those periods, and which also present the average yield on assets and average cost of liabilities for the periods indicated. The yields and costs were derived by dividing income or expense by the average balance of their related assets or liabilities during the periods represented. Average balances were derived from average daily balances. The yields include fees that are considered adjustments to yields.
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During the year ended December 31, 2008, FOMC monetary policies resulted in a 425 basis point reduction of the overnight federal funds rate from $4.25 \%$ to near zero percent. This reduction significantly exceeded the decline in medium- and long-term interest rates offered throughout the financial markets, thus creating a steeper market interest rate yield curve during the period. This trend favorably impacted the Company's net interest income and net interest margin during the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to the year ended December 31, 2007.

Net interest income for the year ended December 31, 2008 increased $\$ 20.3$ million to $\$ 91.4$ million, from $\$ 71.1$ million during the year ended December 31, 2007. The increase was attributable to an increase of $\$ 20.5$ million in interest income that was partially offset by an increase of $\$ 155,000$ in interest expense. The net interest spread increased 46 basis points, from $1.88 \%$ for the year ended December 31, 2007 to $2.34 \%$ for the year ended December 31,2008 , and the net interest margin increased 31 basis points, from $2.29 \%$ to $2.60 \%$ during the same period.

The increases in both net interest spread and net interest margin reflected a decrease of 56 basis points in the average cost of interest bearing liabilities. This decrease resulted primarily from declines in the average cost of money market deposits and CDs of 102 basis points and 87 basis points, respectively, during the comparative period, reflecting the aforementioned reduction in short-term interest rates during 2008.

Interest Income. Interest income was $\$ 202.7$ million during the year ended December 31, 2008, an increase of $\$ 20.5$ million, from $\$ 182.2$ million, during the year ended December 31, 2007. This resulted primarily from increases in interest income of $\$ 17.7$ million and $\$ 6.3$ million on real estate loans and MBS, respectively, that were partially offset by a decline of $\$ 3.5$ million in interest income on federal funds sold and other short-term investments.

The increase in interest income on real estate loans resulted from growth in their average balance of $\$ 312.8$ million during the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to the year ended December 31, 2007, reflecting originations of $\$ 1.09$ billion during 2008, which were partially offset by principal repayments of $\$ 522.4$ million and loan sales of $\$ 151.0$ million during the same period.

The increase in interest income on MBS resulted from an increase of $\$ 124.8$ million in their average balance coupled with an increase of 45 basis points in their average yield during the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to the year ended December 31, 2007. The increase in average balance resulted from $\$ 183.8$ million of MBS purchases during the period October 2007 through September 2008, that were partially offset by $\$ 48.2$ million in principal repayments during the same period. The increase in average yield on MBS reflected the steeper yield curve during the year ended December 31, 2008, as increases in yields on these securities that resulted from tightening of monetary policy by the FOMC during 2006 and 2007 were not adversely impacted by the reduction in short-term interest rates that resulted from FOMC monetary policy during 2008.

The decrease in interest income on federal funds sold and other short-term investments resulted from a decline of $\$ 35.9$ million in their average balance (as these liquid investments were utilized to fund real estate loans and MBS purchases during the year ended December 31, 2008), along with a reduction of 129 basis points in their average yield (reflecting lower federal funds and benchmark short-term interest rates during the year ended December 31, 2008 as a result of FOMC monetary policy actions).

Interest Expense. Interest expense increased $\$ 155,000$, to $\$ 111.3$ million, during the year ended December 31, 2008, from $\$ 111.1$ million during the year ended December 31, 2007. The additional expense resulted primarily from increased interest expense of $\$ 15.9$ million on borrowed funds and $\$ 1.4$ million on interest bearing checking accounts, that was largely offset by declines in interest expense of $\$ 5.7$ million and $\$ 11.4$ million on CDs and money market accounts, respectively.

The increase in interest expense on borrowed funds resulted from $\$ 451.8$ million of growth in their average balance during the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to the year ended December 31, 2007, as the Company added
$\$ 668.0$ million of REPOS and FHLBNY advances during the period October 1, 2007 through December 31, 2008 in order to fund operational requirements and help maintain pricing discipline on deposits.

The increase of $\$ 1.4$ million in interest expense on interest bearing checking accounts resulted from an increase of $\$ 47.6$ million in their average balance, coupled with an
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increase of 51 basis points in their average cost during the period, both of which reflected growth in Prime Dime interest bearing checking accounts that began in the second half of 2007 and continued during the year ended December 31, 2008, as these accounts have traditionally carried a higher cost than other interest bearing checking accounts.

The decline in interest expense on CDs resulted from decreases of both $\$ 54.7$ million in their average balance and 87 basis points in their average cost during the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to the year ended December 31, 2007. The decline in average cost reflected lower offering rates during the year ended December 31, 2008, as short-term market interest rates, which influence the pricing of CDs, declined by 425 basis points during the year ended December 31, 2008. The decline in average balance of CDs reflected deposit pricing strategies implemented by the Bank during the majority of the year ended December 31, 2008 which de-emphasized the use of CDs as a funding source.

The decrease in interest expense on money market accounts was due to a decline of 102 basis points in their average rate, as the Bank lowered offering rates on money market accounts from March through September 2008 in response to the reduction in benchmark short-term interest rates during 2008. The decrease in average rate was partially offset by a $\$ 25.5$ million increase in the average balance of money markets during the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to the year ended December 31, 2007, that was attributable to a combination of two factors. The balance of money markets increased during 2007 through successful promotional activities. In addition, the Bank's offering rates on money market accounts lagged the decline in short-term interest rates in the financial markets during most of the first six months of 2008. As a result, the Bank retained a large portion of its money market balances during this period, contributing to their increased average balance during the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to the year ended December 31, 2007.

Provision for Loan Losses. The provision for loan losses was $\$ 2.0$ million during the year ended December 31, 2008, an increase of $\$ 1.8$ million over the provision of $\$ 240,000$ recorded during the year ended December 31, 2007. The increase in the provision for loan losses during the year ended December 31, 2008 primarily reflected the following items: 1) the significant growth in the Bank's loan portfolio during the year ended December 31, 2008; and 2) the increase in non-accrual and other problem loans from December 31, 2007 to December 31, 2008, along with deteriorating conditions in the Bank's local real estate marketplace that resulted in a higher level of estimated loan loss reserves on these non-accrual and other problem loans.

Non-Interest Income. Non-interest income decreased $\$ 7.6$ million, from $\$ 10.4$ million during the year ended December 31, 2007 to $\$ 2.8$ million during the year ended December 31, 2008. The decline resulted primarily from a reduction in net mortgage banking income of $\$ 3.7$ million attributable to provisions to net mortgage banking income of $\$ 3.9$ million recognized during the year ended December 31, 2008 for an increase to the reserve liability for losses on loans sold to FNMA with recourse. (See "Item 1 - Business - Reserve Liability on the Recourse Exposure on Multifamily Loans Serviced for FNMA" for a further discussion of the provisions to the book reserve for losses on loans sold with partial recourse).

In addition, during the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company recognized an other-than temporary impairment charge of $\$ 3.2$ million related to two pooled trust preferred securities, and a loss of $\$ 129,000$ on the sale of two OREO properties. There were no other-than temporary impairment charges recognized on securities or sales of either securities or OREO during the year ended December 31, 2007.

The remainder of the decline in non-interest income resulted primarily from a non-recurring $\$ 546,000$ BOLI settlement the Bank received during the year ended December 31, 2007.

Non-Interest Expense. Non-interest expense was $\$ 50.0$ million during the year ended December 31, 2008, an increase of $\$ 4.5$ million from $\$ 45.5$ million during the year ended December 31, 2007.

Salaries and employee benefits increased $\$ 2.3$ million during the comparative period as a result of regular increases to existing employee compensation levels, along with management and staff positions required for two retail branch openings in 2008 and other general staff increases during the period. Stock benefit plan amortization expense increased $\$ 906,000$, reflecting equity awards granted to officers in July 2008 along with higher ESOP expense resulting from an increase in the Holding Company's common stock price during the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to the year ended December 31, 2007.

Occupancy and equipment expense increased by $\$ 536,000$ during the comparative period, due primarily to the opening of the Borough Park branch in March 2008 and the Brooklyn Heights branch in December 2008 (for which the Bank paid rental expense commencing in January 2008), along with a substantial increase in the monthly rental cost of the Bank's Bronx branch commencing in late 2007. Federal deposit insurance costs increased $\$ 641,000$ as a result of an insurance fund re-capitalization plan implemented by the FDIC in late 2006.

Other non-interest expenses (including advertising expenses) increased $\$ 223,000$, primarily as a result of additional professional fees related to various consultation matters.

Non-interest expense was $1.35 \%$ of average assets during the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to $1.39 \%$ during the year ended December 31, 2007. This ratio declined despite the increase in non-interest expense during the comparative period due to growth of $\$ 446.9$ million in average assets.

Income Tax Expense. Income tax expense increased $\$ 911,000$ during the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to the year ended December 31, 2007, due to an increase of $\$ 6.5$ million in pre-tax income during the period. Partially offsetting this increase were non-recurring reductions to income tax expense during the year ended December 31, 2008 of $\$ 662,000$ from the reduction in the reserve for unrecognized tax benefits, and $\$ 275,000$ from adjustments related to completion of the June 2007 and December 2007 tax returns. These non-recurring items reduced the actual effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2008 to 33.5\%.

Comparison of Operating Results for the Year Ended December 31, 2007 and 2006
General. Net income was $\$ 22.4$ million during the year ended December 31, 2007, a decrease of $\$ 8.1$ million from net income of $\$ 30.6$ million during the year ended December 31, 2006. During the comparative period, net interest income declined $\$ 6.5$ million, non-interest income decreased $\$ 2.0$ million due primarily to a change in the net gains or losses on the disposal of assets, and non-interest expense increased $\$ 3.5$ million, resulting in a reduction in pre-tax net income of $\$ 12.0$ million. Income tax expense decreased $\$ 3.8$ million during the comparative period, primarily as a result of the decrease in pre-tax net income.

Net Interest Income. The discussion of net interest income for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 presented below should be read in conjunction with the tables on pages F-52 and F-53, which set forth certain information related to the condensed consolidated statements of operations for those periods, and which also present the average yield on assets and average cost of liabilities for the periods indicated. The yields and costs were derived by dividing income or expense by the average balance of their related assets or liabilities during the periods represented. Average balances were derived from average daily balances. The yields include fees that are considered adjustments to yields.

Net interest income for the year ended December 31, 2007 decreased $\$ 6.5$ million to $\$ 71.0$ million, from $\$ 77.5$ million during the year ended December 31, 2006. The decrease was attributable to an increase of $\$ 17.8$ million in interest expense that was partially offset by an increase of $\$ 11.4$ million in interest income. The net interest spread decreased 31 basis points, from $2.19 \%$ for the year ended December 31, 2006 to $1.88 \%$ for the year ended December 31, 2007, and the net interest margin decreased 31 basis points, from $2.60 \%$ to $2.29 \%$ during the same period.

The increase in funding costs resulting from the tightening of monetary policy by the FOMC during the first six months of 2006 that remained in effect for the majority of 2007, in combination with various market factors suppressing increases in both general long-term interest rates and interest rates offered on real estate loans within the Bank's lending market, resulted in a narrowing spread between short and long-term interest rates during the great majority of the year ended December 31, 2007, which negatively impacted net interest income during the year ended December 31, 2007. While these conditions improved late in 2007, the benefit occurred too late in the year to provide any significant favorable impact during the year ended December 31, 2007.

The decreases in both the net interest spread and net interest margin reflected an increase of 45 basis points in the average cost of interest bearing liabilities. The increase resulted primarily from increases in the average cost of money market deposits and CDs of 125 basis points and 41 basis points, respectively, during the comparative period, reflecting increases in short-term interest rates during the first six months of 2006 that remained in effect throughout the great majority of 2007. (See "Interest Expense" below).

Interest Income. Interest income was $\$ 182.2$ million during the year ended December 31, 2007, an increase of $\$ 11.4$ million from $\$ 170.8$ million during the year ended December 31, 2006. This resulted primarily from increases of $\$ 9.7$ million and $\$ 2.4$ million in interest income on real estate loans and other short-term investments, respectively, that were partially offset by decreases in interest income on MBS and investment securities of $\$ 506,000$ and $\$ 266,000$, respectively, during the period.

The increase in interest income on real estate loans resulted, in part, from growth in their average balance of $\$ 125.8$ million during the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to the year ended December 31, 2006. The increase reflected originations of $\$ 574.5$ million in 2007, which were partially offset by principal repayments of $\$ 324.4$ million and loan sales of $\$ 77.6$
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million during the period. The increase in interest income on real estate loans additionally resulted from an increase in the average yield from $5.87 \%$ during the year ended December 31, 2006 to $5.95 \%$ during the year ended December 31, 2007, that was attributable to higher medium- and long-term interest rates throughout much of the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to the year ended December 31, 2006.

The increase in interest income on other short-term investments resulted from growth in their average balance of $\$ 29.6$ million during the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to the year ended December 31, 2006 coupled with an increase of 61 basis points in their average yield during the same period. The increase in average balance reflected cash flows from deposit growth during 2007 that were retained in short-term securities and federal funds sold, since the flattened yield curve provided benefits to retaining the funds in short-term investments. The increase in average yield reflected increases in short-term interest rates throughout 2006 that remained in effect throughout the great majority of 2007. The actions of the FOMC during the last few months of 2007 resulting in lower short-term interest rates had only a minor effect upon short-term investment yields during the year ended December 31, 2007 since they occurred so late in the period.

The decline in interest income on MBS during the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to the year ended December 31, 2006 resulted from a decreased average balance of $\$ 22.0$ million (resulting from $\$ 33.3$ million and $\$ 39.4$ million in principal repayments during the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, that were partially offset by purchases of $\$ 38.0$ million during 2007), that was partially offset by an increase of 22 basis points in average yield during the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to the year ended December 31, 2006 (resulting from increases in short and medium-term interest rates throughout 2006 which remained in effect throughout the great majority of 2007). The decline in interest income on investment securities reflected a decrease in their average balance of $\$ 5.9$ million during the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to the year ended December 31, 2006, as cash flows from maturing investment securities were utilized to fund real estate loan originations or Bank operations.

Interest Expense. Interest expense increased $\$ 17.8$ million, to $\$ 111.1$ million, during the year ended December 31, 2007 , from $\$ 93.3$ million during the year ended December 31, 2006. The growth resulted primarily from increased interest expense of $\$ 12.2$ million related to money markets and $\$ 6.7$ million related to CDs, that was partially offset by a decline of $\$ 1.3$ million in interest expense on borrowings.

The increase in interest expense on money markets was due to increases of 125 basis points in their average cost and $\$ 166.5$ million in their average balance during the comparative period. During the year ended December 31, 2007, the Bank increased the rates offered on both promotional and non-promotional money market accounts, which led to the increase in average cost during the period. In addition, the Bank grew its balance of money markets during 2007 through successful promotional activities.

The increase in interest expense on CDs resulted, in part, from an increase in their average cost of 41 basis points during the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to the year ended December 31, 2006. The increase in average cost resulted from increases in short-term interest rates throughout 2006 that remained in effect throughout the great majority of 2007, as a significant majority of the Bank's CDs re-priced during 2007. In addition, the average balance of CDs increased $\$ 53.1$ million during the comparative period, reflecting successful gathering of new CDs from promotional activities during 2007. (See "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources").

The decrease in interest expense on borrowed funds during the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to the year ended December 31, 2006 was due to a decline of $\$ 46.5$ million in average balance during the period as the Company elected not to replace maturing borrowings throughout much of 2007 while deposit balances were increasing. The average cost of borrowed funds increased 11 basis points during the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to the year ended December 31, 2006, due primarily to a reduction of $\$ 807,000$ in borrowing expense recorded during 2006 related to borrowing restructurings. (See "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources" for a discussion of the change in borrowing balances
during the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006).
Provision for Loan Losses. The provision for loan losses was $\$ 240,000$ during the years ended both December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, as the Bank provided for additional inherent losses in the portfolio.

Non-Interest Income. Non-interest income, excluding gains or losses on the sale of assets, increased $\$ 337,000$ from $\$ 9.3$ million during the year ended December 31, 2006 to $\$ 9.7$ million during the year ended December 31, 2007. This increase resulted primarily from a $\$ 546,000$ BOLI benefit payment received by the Bank during 2007.

Net gains on the sale of loans and other assets (which were recorded as non-interest income) declined from \$3.1 million during the year ended December 31, 2006 to $\$ 750,000$ during
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the year ended December 31, 2007. The Company sold loans to FNMA totaling $\$ 71.6$ million and $\$ 145.4$ million during the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The gains recorded on these sales were $\$ 750,000$ and $\$ 1.5$ million during the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. During the year ended December 31,2006 , the Company additionally recorded non-recurring pre-tax gains of $\$ 478,000$ on the sale of a property obtained in its 1999 acquisition of Financial Bancorp, Inc. and $\$ 1.1$ million on the sale of mutual fund investments associated with its Benefit Maintenance Plan.

Non-Interest Expense. Non-interest expense was $\$ 45.5$ million during the year ended December 31, 2007, an increase of $\$ 3.5$ million from the year ended December 31, 2006.

Salaries and employee benefits increased $\$ 1.3$ million during the comparative period as a result of regular increases to existing employee compensation levels. Stock benefit plan amortization expense increased $\$ 671,000$ as a result of stock option awards granted on May 1, 2007 to outside directors and certain officers of the Company.

Occupancy and equipment expense increased $\$ 669,000$ during the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to the comparable period of 2006 due to general increases in rental costs and real estate taxes, the expansion of administrative office space during 2007, and a $\$ 239,000$ charge related to the early termination of leased equipment .

Data processing expense increased $\$ 37,000$ during the comparative period as a result of increased loan and deposit account activity during the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to the year ended December 31, 2006. Other expenses increased $\$ 835,000$ due primarily to increased advertising costs of $\$ 452,000$ resulting from increased promotional activities and an aggregate increase of $\$ 499,000$ in accounting and legal fees related primarily to a change in tax year-end along with added legal costs associated with new proxy compensation disclosures implemented in 2007.

Non-interest expense to average assets was $1.39 \%$ for the year ended December 31 2007, compared to $1.34 \%$ for the year ended December 31, 2006. The increase reflected the growth in non-interest expense during the comparative period.

Income Tax Expense. Income tax expense decreased $\$ 3.8$ million during the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to the year ended December 31, 2006, due primarily to a decline of $\$ 12.0$ million in pre-tax net income during the period. The effective tax rate increased from $35.8 \%$ during the year ended December 31, 2006 to $37.1 \%$ during the year ended December 31, 2007 due primarily to the dissolution of a subsidiary in 2007.

## Comparison of Operating Results for the Years Ended December 31, 2006 and 2005

General. Net income was $\$ 30.6$ million during the year ended December 31, 2006, a decrease of $\$ 5.6$ million from net income of $\$ 36.2$ million during the year ended December 31, 2005. Net interest income decreased $\$ 14.9$ million, non-interest income increased $\$ 7.2$ million and non-interest expense increased $\$ 1.2$ million, resulting in a decline in pre-tax net income of $\$ 8.8$ million. Income tax expense decreased $\$ 3.2$ million as a result of the decline in pre-tax net income.

Net Interest Income. The discussion of net interest income for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 presented below should be read in conjunction with the tables on pages F-52 and F-53, which set forth certain information related to the condensed consolidated statements of operations for those periods, and which also present the average yield on assets and average cost of liabilities for the periods indicated. The yields and costs were derived by dividing income or expense by the average balance of their related assets or liabilities during the periods represented. Average balances were derived from average daily balances. The yields include fees that are considered adjustments to yields.

Net interest income for the year ended December 31, 2006 decreased $\$ 14.9$ million to $\$ 77.5$ million, from $\$ 92.4$ million during the year ended December 31, 2005. The decrease was attributable to an increase of $\$ 16.0$ million in interest expense that was slightly offset by an increase of $\$ 1.1$ million in interest income. The net interest spread decreased 47 basis points, from $2.66 \%$ for the year ended December 31, 2005 to $2.19 \%$ for the year ended December 31, 2006, and the net interest margin decreased 36 basis points, from $2.96 \%$ to $2.60 \%$ during the same period.

The tightening of monetary policy by the FOMC from the second half of 2004 through June 30, 2006, in combination with various market factors suppressing increases in both general long-term interest rates and interest rates offered on real estate loans within the Bank's lending market, resulted in a narrowing spread between short and long-term interest rates, which negatively impacted net interest income during the year ended December 31, 2006.

The decrease in both the net interest spread and net interest margin reflected an increase of 77 basis points in the average cost of interest bearing liabilities. The increase resulted
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primarily from the following: (i) borrowings, which generally possess a higher average cost than deposits, became a larger percentage of the Bank's total interest bearing liabilities as a result of runoff in average deposit balances during 2006, and (ii) the average cost of money market deposits and CDs increased by 100 basis points and 121 basis points, respectively, during the comparative period, reflecting increases in short-term interest rates during 2006. (See "Interest Expense" below).

Partially offsetting the increase in the average cost of interest bearing liabilities was an increase of 31 basis points in the average yield on interest earning assets during the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to the year ended December 31, 2005. This increase resulted primarily from an increase in the average balance of real estate loans (the Bank's highest yielding interest earning asset) as a percentage of total interest earning assets, which was coupled with an increase in the average yields on real estate loans and MBS of 1 basis point and 25 basis points, respectively, during the comparative period. The increase in the composition of real estate loans as a percentage of interest earning assets resulted from both loan origination activity during 2006 coupled with a reduction in the level of investment securities and MBS during the same period, as cash flows from maturing investment securities and MBS were utilized to fund both loan originations and ongoing operations of the Company. The increase in average yield on real estate loans reflected ongoing increases in medium- and long-term interest rates during 2006. The increase in average yield on MBS reflected ongoing increases in short- and medium-term interest rates during 2006.

Interest Income. Interest income was $\$ 170.8$ million during the year ended December 31, 2006, an increase of $\$ 1.1$ million from the year ended December 31, 2005. Interest income on real estate loans increased $\$ 7.1$ million and was partially offset by decreases in interest income on MBS, investment securities and other short-term investments of $\$ 4.8$ million, $\$ 326,000$ and $\$ 771,000$, respectively, during the period.

The increase in interest income on real estate loans resulted primarily from growth in their average balance of $\$ 116.4$ million during the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to the year ended December 31, 2005. The growth reflected real estate loan originations of $\$ 563.2$ during 2006, which were partially offset by principal repayments and loan sales during the period.

The one basis point increase in average yield on real estate loans during the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to the year ended December 31, 2005 resulted from ongoing increases in medium and long-term interest rates from October 2005 through June 2006, which resulted in an increase in the average origination rate on real estate loans from $5.77 \%$ during the year ended December 31, 2005 to $6.43 \%$ during the year ended December 31, 2006.

The decline in interest income on MBS during the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to the year ended December 31, 2005 resulted from a decreased average balance of $\$ 146.5$ million (resulting primarily from the sale of $\$ 236.9$ million of MBS in May 2005 and principal repayments on MBS of $\$ 39.4$ million during 2006), that was partially offset by an increase of 25 basis points in average yield during the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to the year ended December 31, 2005 (resulting from increases in short and medium-term interest rates during 2006). The decline in interest income on investment securities and other short-term investments reflected declines in their average balances of $\$ 35.7$ million and $\$ 81.4$ million, respectively, during the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to the year ended December 31, 2005, as cash flows from maturing investment securities and other short-term investments were utilized to fund both loan originations and ongoing operations of the Company.

Interest Expense. Interest expense increased $\$ 16.0$ million, to $\$ 93.3$ million, during the year ended December 31, 2006, from $\$ 77.3$ million during the year ended December 31, 2005. The growth resulted primarily from increased interest expense of $\$ 13.5$ million related to CDs and $\$ 2.3$ million related to money market accounts.

The increase in interest expense on CDs resulted from an increase in their average cost of 121 basis points during the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to the year ended December 31, 2005. The increase in average cost resulted from increases in short-term interest rates during 2006, as most of the Bank's CDs outstanding at December 2005 matured during this timeframe. In addition, the average balance of CDs increased $\$ 37.5$ million during the period,
reflecting successful gathering of new CDs from promotional activities during 2006. The increase of $\$ 2.3$ million in interest expense on money market accounts resulted from an increase of 100 basis points in average cost during 2006 that was attributable to increases in short-term interest rates during 2006. Partially offsetting the increased cost was a $\$ 147.8$ million decline in the average balance of money market accounts during 2006 that resulted primarily from a $\$ 173.2$ million decrease in money market accounts from June 30, 2005 through June 30, 2006, as management elected not to compete aggressively for money market balances during this time period.

Provision for Loan Losses. The provision for loan losses was $\$ 240,000$ during the year ended December 31, 2006, down from $\$ 340,000$ during the year ended December 31,
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2005. The decline reflected an additional provision of $\$ 100,000$ taken during 2005 related to consumer loans. Otherwise the provisions taken in 2006 and 2005 reflected inherent losses in the Bank's real estate loan portfolio that resulted from ongoing originations.

Non-Interest Income. Non-interest income, excluding gains or losses on the sale of assets, totaled $\$ 9.3$ million during the year ended December 31, 2006, compared to $\$ 9.4$ million during the year ended December 31, 2005. There were no material changes in any individual item during the comparable period.

The Company sold loans to FNMA totaling $\$ 145.4$ million and $\$ 108.5$ million during the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The gains recorded on these sales were $\$ 1.5$ million and $\$ 924,000$, respectively, during the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005. The majority of the loans sold during both of these periods were designated for sale upon origination.

During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company recorded a pre-tax gain of $\$ 1.1$ million on the sale of mutual fund investments associated with the Benefit Maintenance Plan of Dime Community Bancshares, Inc. During the year ended December 31, 2005, the Company incurred a pre-tax loss of $\$ 5.2$ million related to the sale of $\$ 274.2$ million of investment and mortgage-backed securities under a restructuring of its securities portfolio. During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company sold a parcel of real estate obtained in its acquisition of Financial Bancorp, Inc. in 1999, recognizing a pre-tax gain of $\$ 478,000$.

Non-Interest Expense. Non-interest expense was $\$ 42.0$ million during the year ended December 31, 2006, an increase of $\$ 1.2$ million from the year ended December 31, 2005.

Salaries and employee benefits increased $\$ 591,000$ during the comparative period, reflecting normal salary increases as well as the filling of open and new staffing and management positions. Additions to staff occurred primarily in the retail division of the Bank, where initiatives included product and sales development for business and professional banking.

Occupancy and equipment expense increased $\$ 369,000$ during the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to the year ended December 31, 2005 due to both general increases in utility costs and real estate taxes as well as the addition of the Valley Stream branch in March 2006.

Data systems expense increased $\$ 339,000$ during the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to the year ended December 31, 2005, resulting from the expiration of promotional pricing the Company received throughout the first six months of 2005 from its new data systems vendor.

Non-interest expense to average assets was $1.34 \%$ during the year ended the December 31, 2006, compared to $1.24 \%$ for the year ended December 31, 2005. Average assets decreased by $\$ 149.9$ million during 2006 as a result of the previously discussed declines in the average balance of investment securities, MBS and other short-term investments during 2006.

Income Tax Expense. Income tax expense decreased $\$ 3.2$ million during the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to the year ended December 31, 2005, due primarily to a decline of $\$ 8.8$ million in pre-tax net income during the period.

## Impact of Inflation and Changing Prices

The consolidated financial statements and notes thereto presented herein have been prepared in accordance with GAAP, which requires the measurement of financial position and operating results in terms of historical dollars without considering the changes in the relative purchasing power of money over time due to inflation. The impact of inflation is reflected in the increased costs of operations. Unlike industrial companies, nearly all of the Company's
consolidated assets and liabilities are monetary in nature. As a result, interest rates have a greater impact on the Company's consolidated performance than do the effects of general levels of inflation. Interest rates do not necessarily fluctuate in the same direction or to the same extent as the price of goods and services.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards
For a discussion of the impact of recently issued accounting standards, please see Note 1 to the Company's consolidated financial statements that commence on page F-72.
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Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk
As a depository financial institution, the Bank's primary source of market risk is interest rate volatility. Fluctuations in interest rates will ultimately impact the level of interest income recorded on, and the market value of, a significant portion of the Bank's assets. Fluctuations in interest rates will also ultimately impact the level of interest expense recorded on, and the market value of, a significant portion of the Bank's liabilities. In addition, the Bank's real estate loan portfolio, concentrated primarily within the NYC metropolitan area, is subject to risks associated with the local economy.

Real estate loans, the largest component of the Bank's interest earning assets, traditionally derived their current interest rates primarily from either the five- or seven-year constant maturity Treasury index. As a result, the Bank's interest earning assets were historically most sensitive to these benchmark interest rates. Dislocations in the credit markets during the year ended December 31, 2008 resulted in a lower level of sensitivity of the Bank's multifamily loans to these benchmark interest rates. Since the majority of the Bank's interest bearing liabilities mature within one year, its interest bearing liabilities are most sensitive to fluctuations in short-term interest rates.

Neither the Holding Company nor the Bank is subject to foreign currency exchange or commodity price risk. In addition, the Company owned no trading assets, nor did it engage in any hedging transactions utilizing derivative instruments (such as interest rate swaps and caps) or embedded derivative instruments that required bifurcation during the years ended December 31, 2008 or 2007. In the future, the Company may, with appropriate Board approval, engage in hedging transactions utilizing derivative instruments.

Since a majority of the Company's consolidated interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities are located at the Bank, virtually all of the interest rate risk exposure exists at the Bank level. As a result, all of the significant interest rate risk management procedures are performed at the Bank level. The Bank's interest rate risk management strategy is designed to limit the volatility of net interest income and preserve capital over a broad range of interest rate movements and has the following three primary components:

Assets. The Bank's largest single asset type is the adjustable-rate multifamily residential loan. Multifamily residential loans typically carry shorter average terms to maturity than one- to four-family residential loans, thus significantly reducing the overall level of interest rate risk. Over $90 \%$ of multifamily residential loans originated during the years ended both December 31, 2008 and 2007 were adjustable rate, with repricing typically occurring after five or seven years. In addition, the Bank has sought to include in its portfolio various types of adjustable-rate one- to four-family loans and adjustable and floating-rate investment securities, which generally have repricing terms of three years or less. At December 31, 2008, adjustable-rate real estate and consumer loans totaled $\$ 2.77$ billion, or $68.4 \%$ of total assets, and adjustable-rate investment securities (CMOs, REMICs, MBS issued by GSEs and other securities) totaled $\$ 128.3$ million, or $3.2 \%$ of total assets. At December 31, 2007, adjustable-rate real estate and consumer loans totaled $\$ 2.41$ billion, or $68.8 \%$ of total assets, and adjustable-rate investment securities (CMOs, REMICs, MBS issued by GSEs and other securities) totaled $\$ 53.6$ million, or $1.5 \%$ of total assets.

Deposit Liabilities. As a traditional community-based savings bank, the Bank is largely dependent upon its base of competitively priced core deposits to provide stability on the liability side of the balance sheet. The Bank has retained many loyal customers over the years through a combination of quality service, convenience, and a stable and experienced staff. Core deposits, at December 31, 2008, were $\$ 1.11$ billion, or $49.0 \%$ of total deposits. The balance of CDs as of December 31, 2008 was $\$ 1.16$ billion, or $51.0 \%$ of total deposits, of which $\$ 996.2$ million, or $85.5 \%$, were to mature within one year. The weighted average maturity of the Bank's CDs at December 31, 2008 was 8.8 months compared to 5.8 months at December 31, 2007. The Bank generally prices its CDs in an effort to encourage the extension of the average maturities of deposit liabilities beyond one year, and the increase in the average maturity of CDs during the year ended December 31, 2008 reflected promotional CDs with maturities of 12 months and higher
that were added throughout 2008.
Wholesale Funds. The Bank is a member of the FHLBNY, which provided the Bank with a borrowing line of up to $\$ 1.42$ billion at December 31, 2008. The Bank borrows from the FHLBNY for various purposes. At December 31, 2008, the Bank had outstanding advances of $\$ 1.02$ billion from the FHLBNY, all of which were secured by a blanket lien on the Bank's loan portfolio.

The Bank has authority to accept brokered deposits as a source of funds and considers them a potential funding source. The Bank had no outstanding brokered deposits at either December 31, 2008 or December 31, 2007.

Interest Sensitivity Gap
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The Bank regularly monitors its interest rate sensitivity through the calculation of an interest sensitivity gap. The interest sensitivity gap is the difference between the amount of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities anticipated to mature or reprice within a specific period. The interest sensitivity gap is considered positive when the amount of interest-earning assets anticipated to mature or reprice within a specified time frame exceeds the amount of interest-bearing liabilities anticipated to mature or reprice within the same period. Conversely, the interest sensitivity gap is considered negative when the amount of interest-bearing liabilities anticipated to mature or reprice within a specific time frame exceeds the amount of interest-earning assets anticipated to mature or reprice within the same period. In a rising interest rate environment, an institution with a positive interest sensitivity gap would generally be expected, absent the effects of other factors, to experience a greater increase in the yields of its assets relative to the costs of its liabilities and thus an increase in its net interest income, whereas an institution with a negative interest sensitivity gap would generally be expected to experience a decline in net interest income. Conversely, in a declining interest rate environment, an institution with a positive interest sensitivity gap would generally be expected, absent the effects of other factors, to experience a greater decline in the yields of its assets relative to the costs of its liabilities and thus a decrease in its net interest income, whereas an institution with a negative interest sensitivity gap would generally be expected to experience an increase in net interest income.

The following table sets forth the amounts of the Company's consolidated interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities outstanding at December 31, 2008 which are anticipated, based upon certain assumptions, to reprice, prepay or mature in each of the time periods shown. Except as stated below, the amounts of assets and liabilities shown repricing or maturing during a particular period reflect the earlier of term to repricing or maturity of the asset or liability. The table is intended to provide an approximation of the projected repricing of assets and liabilities which existed at December 31, 2008 on the basis of contractual maturities, anticipated prepayments, and scheduled rate adjustments within a three-month period and selected subsequent time intervals. For purposes of presentation in the table, the Bank utilized its own historical deposit attrition experience ("Deposit Decay Rate") for savings accounts, which it believes to be the most accurate measure. For NOW, Super NOW and money market accounts, it utilized the Deposit Decay Rates published by the OTS. All amounts calculated in the table for both loans and MBS reflect principal balances expected to reprice as a result of contractual interest rate adjustments or from reinvestment of cash flows generated from anticipated principal repayments (inclusive of early prepayments).

There are certain limitations inherent in the method of analysis presented in the table. For example, although certain assets and liabilities may possess similar maturities or periods to repricing, they are impacted by different market forces, and may therefore react differently to changes in interest rates. Also, the interest rates on certain types of assets and liabilities may fluctuate with changes in market interest rates, while interest rates on other types of assets may lag behind changes in market rates. Additionally, certain assets, such as adjustable-rate loans, have features, like annual and lifetime rate caps, which restrict changes in the interest rates charged, both on a short-term basis and over the life of the asset. Further, in the event of a change in interest rates, prepayment and early withdrawal levels would likely deviate from those assumed in the table. Finally, the ability of certain borrowers to make scheduled payments on their adjustable-rate loans may decrease in the event of an interest rate increase.
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| At December 31, 2008 | 3 Months or Less | More than <br> 3 Months <br> to <br> 6 Months | More than 6 Months to 1 Year | More than <br> 1 Year to 3 Years <br> (Dollars in T | More than 3 Years to 5 Years Thousands) | More than 5 Years | on-interest bearing | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest-Earning Assets (1): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgages and other loans | \$331,084 | \$181,401 | \$288,047 | \$1,270,151 | \$896,478 | \$324,344 |  | 3,291,505 |
| Investment securities | 10,861 | - | - | - | 361 | 16,241 | - | 27,463 |
| MBS (2) | 11,916 | 11,916 | 23,832 | 72,273 | 94,546 | 86,868 | - | 301,351 |
| Cash and due from banks (3) | 193,872 | - |  | - | - | - | - | 193,872 |
| FHLBNY capital stock | 53,435 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 53,435 |
| Total interest-earning assets | 601,168 | 193,317 | 311,879 | 1,342,424 | 991,385 | 427,453 |  | 3,867,626 |
| Less: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Allowance for loan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest-earning assets | 601,168 | 193,317 | 311,879 | 1,342,424 | 991,385 | 427,453 | $(17,454)$ | 3,850,172 |
| Non-interest-earning assets | - | - | - | - | - | - | 205,426 | 205,426 |
| Total assets | \$601,168 | \$193,317 | \$311,879 | \$1,342,424 | \$991,385 | \$427,453 | \$187,972 | 4,055,598 |
| Interest-Bearing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Savings accounts | \$9,286 | \$8,967 | \$17,020 | \$57,337 | \$43,351 | \$134,360 | - | \$270,321 |
| Interest bearing checking accounts | 22,256 | 17,860 | 25,835 | 22,224 | 11,656 | 12,856 | - | 112,687 |
| Money market accounts | 125,050 | 100,353 | 145,161 | 124,872 | 65,493 | 72,238 | - | 633,167 |
| CDs | 247,047 | 276,444 | 462,735 | 122,435 | 44,505 | - | - | 1,153,166 |
| Borrowed funds | 40,000 | 45,000 | 145,000 | 264,900 | 379,775 | 375,000 | - | 1,249,675 |
| Subordinated notes | - | - | - | 25,000 | - | - |  | 25,000 |
| Trust preferred securities | - | - | - | - | - | 72,165 |  | 72,165 |
| Interest-bearing escrow | - | - | - | - | - | 1,278 | - | 1,278 |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 443,639 | 448,624 | 795,751 | 616,768 | 544,780 | 667,897 | - | 3,517,459 |
| Non-interest bearing checking accounts | - | - | - | - | - | - | \$90,710 | 90,710 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| liabilities | - | - | - | - | - | - | 170,465 | 170,465 |
| Stockholders' equity | - | - | - | - | - | - | 276,964 | 276,964 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$443,639 | \$448,624 | \$795,751 | \$616,768 | \$544,780 | \$667,897 | \$538,139 | 4,055,598 |
| Positive (Negative) interest sensitivity gap | \$157,529 | \$ $(255,307)$ | \$(483,872) | \$725,656 | \$446,605 \$ | \$(240,444) | - |  |

per period
Positive (Negative)
cumulative interest
sensitivity gap $\quad \$ 157,529 \quad \$(97,778) \$(581,650) \quad \$ 144,006 \$ 590,611 \quad \$ 350,167$
Positive (Negative)
cumulative interest
sensitivity gap
as a percent of total
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { assets } & 3.88 \% & (2.41) \% & (14.34) \% & 3.55 \% & 14.56 \% & 8.63 \%\end{array} \quad-$
Cumulative total
interest-earning assets as
a percent
of cumulative total
interest-bearing
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { liabilities } & 135.51 \% & 89.04 \% & 65.54 \% & 106.25 \% & 120.73 \% & 109.96 \% & -\end{array}$
(1) Interest-earning assets are included in the period in which the balances are expected to be redeployed and/or repriced as a result of anticipated prepayments, scheduled rate adjustments, or contractual maturities or calls.
(2) Based upon historical repayment experience, and, where applicable, balloon payment dates.
(3) Amount represents funds placed on deposit with the Federal Reserve Bank of New York earning a nominal rate of interest that was higher than the federal funds sold offering rate at December 31, 2008. These balances are not included in the population of interest-earning assets in the net interest income table on page F-52.
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At December 31, 2008, the Company's consolidated balance sheet was comprised primarily of assets that were estimated to mature or reprice within five years, with a significant portion maturing or repricing within one year. In addition, the Bank's deposit base was comprised primarily of savings accounts, money market accounts, interest and non-interest bearing checking accounts, and CDs with maturities of five years or less. At December 31, 2008, interest-bearing liabilities estimated to mature or reprice within one year totaled $\$ 1.69$ billion, while interest-earning assets estimated to mature or reprice within one year totaled $\$ 1.11$ billion, resulting in a negative one-year interest sensitivity gap of $\$ 581.7$ million, or negative $14.3 \%$ of total assets. In comparison, at December 31, 2007, interest-bearing liabilities estimated to mature or reprice within one year totaled $\$ 1.52$ billion, while interest-earning assets estimated to mature or reprice within one year totaled $\$ 765.8$ million, resulting in a negative one-year interest sensitivity gap of $\$ 752.7$ million, or negative $21.5 \%$ of total assets. The decrease in the magnitude of the one-year negative interest sensitivity gap resulted from an increase in the level of real estate loans scheduled to mature or reprice within one year (as loans originated during the refinance boom period of 2002 through 2004 approached their contractual repricing date) coupled with a decline in CDs maturing or repricing within one year, as a portion of the Bank's customers became more willing to accept CDs with maturities in excess of one year in order to lock in a fixed return while short-term interest rates were declining during 2008.

Under interest rate scenarios other than that which existed on December 31, 2008, the interest sensitivity gap for assets and liabilities could differ substantially based upon different assumptions about the manner in which core Deposit Decay Rates and loan prepayments would change. For example, the interest rate risk management model assumes that in a rising rate scenario, by paying competitive rates on non-core deposits, a portion of core deposits will transfer to CDs and be retained, although at higher cost. Also, in a rising interest rate environment, loan and MBS prepayment rates would be expected to slow, as borrowers postpone loan refinancings until rates again decline.

## Interest Rate Risk Exposure (NPV) Compliance

Under guidelines established by OTS Thrift Bulletin 13a, the Bank also measures its interest rate risk through an analysis of the change in its NPV under several interest rate scenarios. NPV is the difference between the present value of the expected future cash flows of the Bank's assets and liabilities, plus the value of net expected cash flows from either commitments to originate or sell loans or purchase securities.

Traditionally, the fair value of fixed-rate instruments fluctuates inversely with changes in interest rates. Increases in interest rates thus result in decreases in the fair value of interest-earning assets, which could adversely affect the Company's consolidated results of operations in the event they were to be sold, or, in the case of interest-earning assets classified as available-for-sale, reduce the Company's consolidated stockholders' equity, if retained. During the year ended December 31, 2008, dislocations in the credit markets resulted in a significantly lower correlation between changes in interest rates and changes in these fair values. The changes in the value of assets and liabilities due to fluctuations in interest rates reflect the interest rate sensitivity of those assets and liabilities. Under GAAP, changes in the unrealized gains and losses, net of taxes, on securities classified as available-for-sale are reflected in stockholders' equity through other comprehensive income. As of December 31, 2008, the Company's consolidated securities portfolio included $\$ 318.0$ million in securities classified as available- for-sale, which possessed a gross unrealized loss of $\$ 798,000$. Neither the Holding Company nor the Bank owned any trading assets as of December 31, 2008 or 2007.

In order to measure the Bank's sensitivity to changes in interest rates, NPV is calculated under market interest rates prevailing at a given quarter-end ("Pre-Shock Scenario"), and under various other interest rate scenarios ("Rate Shock Scenarios") representing immediate, permanent, parallel shifts in the term structure of interest rates from the actual term structure observed in the Pre-Shock Scenario. The changes in NPV between the Pre-Shock Scenario and various Rate Shock Scenarios due to fluctuations in interest rates reflect the interest rate sensitivity of the Bank's assets, liabilities, and commitments to either originate or sell loans and/or purchase or sell securities that are included in the

NPV. The NPV ratio under any interest rate scenario is defined as the NPV in that scenario divided by the present value of the assets in the same scenario (the "NPV Ratio").

An interest rate risk exposure compliance report is presented to the Bank's Board of Directors on a quarterly basis. The report, prepared in accordance with Thrift Bulletin 13a, compares the Bank's estimated Pre-Shock Scenario NPV to the estimated NPVs calculated under the various Rate Shock Scenarios. The calculated estimates of the resulting NPV Ratios are compared to current limits established by management and approved by the Board of Directors.
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The analysis that follows presents the estimated NPV in the Pre-Shock Scenario and three Rate Shock Scenarios and measures the dollar amount and percentage by which each of the Rate Shock Scenario NPVs changes from the Pre-Shock Scenario NPV. Interest rate sensitivity is measured by the changes in the various Rate Shock Scenario NPV Ratios from the Pre-Shock Scenario NPV Ratio. The greater the change, the greater the sensitivity of the Bank's assets and liabilities to changes in interest rates.

At December 31, 2008

|  | Net Portfolio Value |  |  | At December$31,2007$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dollar <br> Amount | Dollar Change | Percentage Change | NPV Ratio | Basis <br> Point Change in NPV Ratio | NPV Ratio | Basis Point Change in NPV Ratio | Board <br> Approved NPV Ratio Limit |
| (Dollars in Thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rate Shock Scenario |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| +200 Basis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Points | \$236,751 | \$(60,083) | -20.24\% | 6.02\% | (126) | 7.79\% | (211) | 5.0\% |
| +100 Basis <br> Points | 270,905 | $(25,929)$ | -8.74 | 6.77 | (51) | 9.00 | (90) | 6.0 |
| Pre-Shock |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scenario | 296,834 | - | - | 7.28 | - | 9.90 | - | 7.0 |
| - 100 Basis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Points | 312,334 | 15,500 | 5.22 | 7.54 | 26 | 10.25 | 35 | 7.0 |
| - 200 Basis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Points | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 10.14 | 24 | 7.0 |

The NPVs presented above incorporate some asset and liability values derived from the Bank's valuation model, such as those for mortgage loans and time deposits, and some asset and liability values obtained from reputable independent sources, such as values for the Bank's MBS and CMO portfolios, as well as its putable borrowings. The Bank's valuation model makes various estimates regarding cash flows from principal repayments on loans and passbook Deposit Decay Rates at each level of interest rate change. The Bank's estimates for loan repayment levels are influenced by the recent history of prepayment activity in its loan portfolio as well as the interest-rate composition of the existing portfolio, especially vis-à-vis the current interest rate environment. In addition, the Bank considers the amount of fee protection inherent in the loan portfolio when estimating future repayment cash flows.

Regarding passbook Deposit Decay Rates, the Bank tracks and analyzes the decay rate of its passbook deposits over time and over various interest rate scenarios and then makes estimates of its passbook Deposit Decay Rate for use in the valuation model. No matter the care and precision with which the estimates are derived, actual cash flows for passbooks, as well as loans, could differ significantly from the Bank's estimates, resulting in significantly different NPV calculations.

The Bank also generates a series of spot discount rates that are integral to the valuation of the projected monthly cash flows of its assets and liabilities. The Bank's valuation model employs discount rates that are representative of prevailing market rates of interest, with appropriate adjustments suited to the heterogeneous characteristics of the Bank's various asset and liability portfolios.

The Pre-Shock Scenario NPV declined from $\$ 346.9$ million at December 31, 2007 to $\$ 296.8$ million at December 31, 2008. The NPV Ratio at December 31, 2008 was $7.28 \%$ in the Pre-Shock Scenario, a decrease from the NPV Ratio of $9.90 \%$ in that Scenario at December 31, 2007. The decrease in the Pre-Shock Scenario NPV was due primarily to an
increase in the valuation of borrowings (which negatively impact NPV) that resulted from both increased volume and from declines in short and medium-term term interest rates at December 31, 2008 compared to December 31, 2007. This was partially offset by an increase in the valuation of real estate loans during the same period, resulting primarily from their increased spread above the benchmark interest rate.

The Bank's +200 basis point Rate Shock Scenario NPV decreased from $\$ 263.7$ million at December 31, 2007 to $\$ 236.8$ million at December 31, 2008. The decrease resulted primarily from the growth in the loan portfolio during the year ended December 31, 2008, including the loan commitment pipeline at December 31, 2008. The growth in the loan portfolio that resulted from the new loans originated during the year ended December 31, 2008 created a longer term to next interest rate repricing for assets at December 31, 2008 compared to December 31, 2007. Assets with a longer term to next interest rate repricing generate a less favorable NPV in a rising rate interest rate environment. As a result, the decline in the NPV of total assets from the Pre-Shock Scenario to the +200 basis point Rate Shock Scenario was greater at December 31, 2008 than December 31, 2007.

The NPV Ratio was $6.02 \%$ in the +200 basis point Rate Shock Scenario at December 31, 2008, a decrease from the NPV Ratio of $7.79 \%$ in the +200 basis point Rate Shock Scenario at December 31, 2007. The decrease reflected the aforementioned decrease in the +200 basis point Rate Shock Scenario NPV during the comparative period.
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At December 31, 2008, the interest rate sensitivity (i.e., the basis point change in the NPV Ratio calculated under the various Rate Shock Scenarios compared to the Pre-Shock Scenario) in the +200 basis point Rate Shock Scenario was negative 126 basis points, compared to negative 211 basis points in the +200 basis point Rate Shock Scenario at December 31, 2007. The reduction in sensitivity was due primarily to the favorable valuation of borrowings in the +200 basis point Rate Shock Scenario NPV compared to the Pre-Shock Scenario NPV at December 31, 2008 versus these valuations at December 31, 2007. This favorable valuation resulted from an increase in the average contractual term to next interest rate repricing on the Bank's borrowings as a result of borrowings added during the year ended December 31, 2008, as well as interest rate caps purchased with a portion of the borrowings added during the period that provide protection in the event that interest rates rise.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data
For the Company's consolidated financial statements, see index on page F-72.
Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure
None.
Item 9A. Controls and Procedures
Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Management of the Company, with the participation of its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness as of December 31, 2008, of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15(d)-15(e) under the Exchange Act. Based upon this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2008 in ensuring that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting
There was no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company's last fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

## Management's Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for the Company. The Company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance to the Company's management and Board of Directors regarding the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements.

Because of inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. In addition, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

The Company's management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, utilizing the criteria established by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in "Internal Controls - Integrated Framework." Based upon its assessment, management believes that, as
of December 31, 2008, the Company's internal control over financial reporting is effective.
Deloitte \& Touche LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm that audited the consolidated financial statements included in the Annual Report, has issued an audit report on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, which is included below.

Item 9B.
Other Information

None.
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## REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Dime Community Bancshares, Inc. \& Subsidiaries
Brooklyn, New York
We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Dime Community Bancshares, Inc. and Subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2008, based on criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, based on the criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2008 of the Company and our report dated March 16, 2009 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

## /s/ DELOITTE \& TOUCHE LLP

New York, New York
March 16, 2009

## PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance
Information regarding directors and executive officers of the Company is presented under the headings "Proposal 1 Election of Directors," "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" and "Executive Officers" in the Holding Company's definitive Proxy Statement for its Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 21, 2009 (the "Proxy Statement") which will be filed with the SEC within 120 days of December 31, 2008, and is incorporated herein by reference.
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Information regarding the audit committee of the Holding Company's Board of Directors, including information regarding audit committee financial experts serving on the audit committee, is presented under the headings, "Meetings and Committees of the Company's Board of Directors," and "Report of the Audit Committee" in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

The Holding Company has adopted a written Code of Business Ethics that applies to its principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions. The Code of Business Ethics is published on the Company's website, www.dime.com. The Company will provide to any person, without charge, upon request, a copy of such Code of Business Ethics. Such request should be made in writing to: Dime Community Bancshares, Inc., 209 Havemeyer Street, Brooklyn, New York 11211, attention Investor Relations.

## Item 11. Executive Compensation

Information regarding executive and director compensation and the Compensation Committee of the Holding Company's Board of Directors is presented under the headings, "Directors' Compensation," "Compensation Executive Compensation, "Compensation Discussion and Analysis," "Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation," and "Compensation Committee Report" in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters
Information regarding security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management is included under the heading "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management" in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

The following table presents information as of December 31, 2008 with respect to compensation plans under which equity securities of the Holding Company are authorized for issuance:

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION
Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation
Plans [Excluding Securities
Reflected in
Column (a)] (c)

Equity compensation plans approved by the Holding Company's shareholders

Weighted
Average
Exercise
Price of
Outstanding
Options (b)
\$14.97

1,133,027(1)

Equity compensation plans not approved by the Holding Company's shareholders
(1) Amount comprised of 75,866 stock options that remain available for future issuance under the 2001 Stock Option Plan for Outside Directors, Officers and Employees of Dime Community Bancshares, Inc., and 1,057,161 equity awards that remain available for future issuance under the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan for Outside Directors,

Officers and Employees of Dime Community Bancshares, Inc.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence
Information regarding certain relationships and related transactions is included under the heading "Transactions with Certain Related Persons" in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference. Information regarding director independence is included under the heading "Information as to Nominees and Continuing Directors" in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services
Information regarding principal accounting fees and services, as well as the Audit Committee's pre-approval policies and procedures, is included under the heading "Proposal 2 - Ratification of Appointment of Independent Auditors," in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

## PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules
(a) (1) Financial Statements

See index to Consolidated Financial Statements on page F-72.
(2) Financial Statement Schedules

Financial statement schedules have been omitted because they are not applicable or not required or the required information is shown in the Consolidated Financial Statements or Notes thereto under "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data."
(3) Exhibits Required by Item 601 of SEC Regulation S-K

See Index of Exhibits on pages F-119 and F-120.
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## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized on March 16, 2009.

DIME COMMUNITY BANCSHARES, INC.
By: /s/ VINCENT F. PALAGIANO
Vincent F. Palagiano
Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer
Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below on March 16, 2009 by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated.

| Name | Title |
| :--- | :--- |
| /s/ VINCENT F. PALAGIANO | Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer |
| Vincent F. Palagiano | (Principal Executive Officer) |
| /s/ MICHAEL P. DEVINE | President and Chief Operating Officer and Director |
| Michael P. Devine |  |$\quad$| First Executive Vice President and Chief Financial |
| :--- |
| /s/ KENNETH J. MAHON |
| Kenneth J. Mahon |
| Princer and Director (Principal Financial Officer and |
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# REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM 

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Dime Community Bancshares, Inc. \& Subsidiaries
Brooklyn, NY
We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of financial condition of Dime Community Bancshares, Inc. and Subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity and comprehensive income, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Dime Community Bancshares, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, based on the criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 16, 2009 expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting.
/s/ DELOITTE \& TOUCHE LLP
New York, New York
March 16, 2009

[^0]DIME COMMUNITY BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION
(Dollars in thousands except share amounts)

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { December } & \text { December } \\
31,2008 & 31,2007
\end{array}
$$

ASSETS:

| Cash and due from banks | \$211,020 | \$101,708 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Federal funds sold and other short-term investments | - | 128,014 |
| Investment securities held-to-maturity (estimated fair value of \$9,082 and $\$ 80$ at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively) <br> (Encumbered at December 31, 2007, Unencumbered at December |  |  |
| 31, 2008) (Note 3) | 10,861 | 80 |
| Investment securities available-for-sale, at fair value (fully unencumbered) (Note 3) | 16,602 | 34,095 |
| Mortgage-backed securities available-for-sale, at fair value (Note 4): |  |  |
| Encumbered | 251,744 | 160,821 |
| Unencumbered | 49,607 | 1,943 |
|  | 301,351 | 162,764 |
| Loans (Note 5): |  |  |
| Real estate, net | 3,289,314 | 2,873,966 |
| Other loans | 2,191 | 2,169 |
| Less allowance for loan losses (Note 6) | $(17,454)$ | $(15,387)$ |
| Total loans, net | 3,274,051 | 2,860,748 |
| Loans held for sale | - | 890 |
| Premises and fixed assets, net (Note 8) | 30,426 | 23,878 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank of New York capital stock (Note 9) | 53,435 | 39,029 |
| Other real estate owned | 300 | - |
| Goodwill (Note 1) | 55,638 | 55,638 |
| Other assets (Notes 7, 14 and 15) | 101,914 | 94,331 |
| Total Assets | \$4,055,598 | \$3,501,175 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY |  |  |

Liabilities:
Due to depositors (Note 10):
Interest bearing deposits
\$2,169,341 \$2,091,600
$\begin{array}{lrr}\text { Non-interest bearing deposits } & 90,710 & 88,398\end{array}$
Total deposits 2,260,051 2,179,998
Escrow and other deposits (Note 7) 130,121 52,209
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase (Note 11) 230,000 $\quad 155,080$
Federal Home Loan Bank of New York advances (Note 12) 1,019,675 706,500
Subordinated notes payable (Note 13) 25,000 25,000
Trust Preferred securities payable (Note 13) $\quad 72,165 \quad 72,165$
Other liabilities (Note 14 and 15) 41,622 41,371
Total Liabilities $\quad 3,778,634 \quad 3,232,323$
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 16)
Stockholders' Equity:
Preferred stock ( $\$ 0.01$ par, $9,000,000$ shares authorized, none issued
or outstanding at December 31, 2008 and 2007)
Common stock ( $\$ 0.01$ par, $125,000,000$ shares authorized
$511 \quad 509$
$51,122,319$ shares and $50,906,278$ shares issued at December 31,

| 2008 and 2007, |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| respectively, and 34,179,900 shares and 33,909,902 shares <br> outstanding at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively) |  |  |
| Additional paid-in capital | 213,917 | 208,369 |
| Retained earnings (Note 2) | 297,848 | 288,112 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of deferred taxes | $(11,111)$ | $(4,278)$ |
| Unallocated common stock of Employee Stock Ownership Plan | $(3,933)$ | $(4,164)$ |
| ("ESOP") (Note 15) | $(1,790)$ | $(634)$ |
| Unearned Restricted Stock Award common stock (Note 15) | $(8,007)$ | $(7,941)$ |
| Common stock held by Benefit Maintenance Plan ("BMP") (Note 15) |  |  |
| Treasury stock, at cost (16,942,419 shares and 16,996,376 shares at | $(210,471)$ | $(211,121)$ |
| December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively) (Note 18) | 276,964 | 268,852 |
| Total Stockholders' Equity | $\$ 4,055,598$ | $\$ 3,501,175$ |
| Total Liabilities And Stockholders' Equity |  |  |
| See notes to consolidated financial statements. |  |  |
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## DIME COMMUNITY BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Dollars in thousands except per share amounts)

|  | Year Ended December 31, |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Interest income: | $\$ 182,934$ | $\$ 165,221$ | $\$ 155,510$ |
| Loans secured by real estate | 166 | 178 | 190 |
| Other loans | 12,685 | 6,344 | 6,850 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 1,950 | 2,011 | 2,276 |
| Investment securities | 4,919 | 8,406 | 5,984 |
| Federal funds sold and other short-term investments | 202,654 | 182,160 | 170,810 |


| Interest expense: |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Deposits and escrow | 59,978 | 75,761 | 56,659 |
| Borrowed funds | 51,324 | 35,386 | 36,681 |
| Total interest expense | 111,302 | 111,147 | 93,340 |
| Net interest income | 91,352 | 71,013 | 77,470 |
| Provision for loan losses | 2,006 | 240 | 240 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 89,346 | 70,773 | 77,230 |


| Non-interest income: |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Service charges and other fees | 4,766 | 4,780 | 5,273 |
| Mortgage banking (loss) income (Note 7) | $(2,190)$ | 1,512 | 2,228 |
| Other-than temporary Impairment charge on securities |  |  |  |
| (Note 3) | $(3,209)$ | - | - |
| Net (loss) gain on sales of securities and other real |  |  |  |
| estate owned | $(129)$ | - | 1,541 |
| Income from Bank Owned Life Insurance ("BOLI") | 1,999 | 2,513 | 1,868 |
| Other | 1,577 | 1,615 | 1,480 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total non-interest income | 2,814 | 10,420 | 12,390 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Non-interest expense: | 24,922 | 22,620 | 21,307 |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 3,702 | 2,796 | 2,125 |
| Stock benefit plan compensation expense | 6,967 | 6,431 | 5,762 |
| Occupancy and equipment | 3,067 | 3,204 | 3,167 |
| Data processing costs | 2,364 | 2,638 | 2,186 |
| Advertising and marketing | 899 | 258 | 257 |
| Federal deposit insurance premiums | 8,052 | 7,555 | 7,172 |
| Other |  |  |  |
|  | 49,973 | 45,502 | 41,976 |
| Total non-interest expense |  |  |  |
| Income before income taxes | 42,187 | 35,691 | 47,644 |
| Income tax expense | 14,159 | 13,248 | 17,052 |


| Net income | $\$ 28,028$ | $\$ 22,443$ | $\$ 30,592$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Earnings per Share:

| Basic | $\$ 0.85$ | $\$ 0.67$ | $\$ 0.88$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Diluted | $\$ 0.85$ | $\$ 0.67$ | $\$ 0.87$ |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

## DIME COMMUNITY BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(Dollars in thousands)

|  | Year Ended December 31, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Common Stock (Par Value \$0.01): |  |  |  |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$509 | \$509 | \$506 |
| Shares issued in exercise of options | 2 |  | 3 |
| Balance at end of period | 511 | 509 | 509 |
| Additional Paid-in Capital: |  |  |  |
| Balance at beginning of period | 208,369 | 206,601 | 204,083 |
| Stock options exercised | 2,471 | 136 | 907 |
| Excess tax benefit of stock benefit plans | 518 | 174 | 621 |
| Amortization of excess fair value over cost - ESOP stock | 1,011 | 813 | 882 |
| Stock option expense | 1,079 | 630 |  |
| Release from treasury stock for restricted stock award shares | 469 | 15 | 108 |
| Balance at end of period | 213,917 | 208,369 | 206,601 |
| Retained Earnings: |  |  |  |
| Balance at beginning of period | 288,112 | 285,420 | 274,579 |
| Net income for the period | 28,028 | 22,443 | 30,592 |
| Cash dividends re-assumed through liquidation of Recognition and Retention Plan ("RRP") | - | 958 |  |
| Cumulative effect adjustment for the adoption of FASB Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes" ("FIN 48") | - | $(1,703)$ |  |
| Cumulative effect adjustment for the adoption of the transition requirements of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards <br> ("SFAS") No. 158, "Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other |  |  |  |
| Postretirement Plans - an amendment of FASB <br> Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R)" ("SFAS 158") |  |  |  |
| Cash dividends declared and paid | $(18,269)$ | $(19,006)$ | $(19,751)$ |
| Balance at end of period | 297,848 | 288,112 | 285,420 |
| Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss, Net of Deferred Taxes: |  |  |  |
| Balance at beginning of period | $(4,278)$ | $(7,100)$ | $(3,328)$ |
| (Increase) Decrease in unrealized loss on available-for-sale securities during the period, net of deferred benefit (taxes) of $\$ 1,964$, <br> $(\$ 1,469)$ and $\$ 11$, respectively | $(2,246)$ | 1,800 | (14) |
| Minimum pension liability, net of deferred taxes of \$(123) |  |  | 148 |
| Cumulative effect adjustment for the adoption of the transition requirements of SFAS 158 | (64) | - | - |
| Increase in defined benefit plan liability from the adoption of SFAS 158, net of deferred benefit of $\$ 3,246$ | - | - | $(3,906)$ |
| Unrecognized (loss) gain of pension and other postretirement obligations, net of deferred benefit (tax) of \$3,776 and \$(874) | $(4,523)$ | 1,022 | - |
| Balance at end of period | $(11,111)$ | $(4,278)$ | $(7,100)$ |
| Unallocated Common Stock of ESOP: |  |  |  |
| Balance at beginning of period | $(4,164)$ | $(4,395)$ | $(4,627)$ |


| Amortization of earned portion of ESOP stock | 231 | 231 | 232 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at end of period | $(3,933)$ | $(4,164)$ | $(4,395)$ |
| Unearned Restricted Stock Award and RRP Common Stock: |  |  |  |
| Balance at beginning of period | (634) | $(3,452)$ | $(2,979)$ |
| Release from treasury stock for restricted stock award shares | $(1,773)$ | (165) | (770) |
| Transfer of common stock to treasury upon liquidation of RRP |  | 2,611 |  |
| Amortization of earned portion of RRP stock | 617 | 372 | 297 |
| Balance at end of period | $(1,790)$ | (634) | $(3,452)$ |
| Common Stock Held by BMP: |  |  |  |
| Balance at beginning of period | $(7,941)$ | $(7,941)$ | $(7,941)$ |
| Plan contributions | (66) | - |  |
| Common stock acquired |  |  | - |
| Balance at end of period | $(8,007)$ | $(7,941)$ | $(7,941)$ |
| Treasury Stock, at cost: |  |  |  |
| Balance at beginning of period | $(211,121)$ | $(179,011)$ | $(168,579)$ |
| Release of treasury stock for allocated restricted stock awards and shares acquired by |  |  |  |
| BMP | 1,304 | 151 | 592 |
| Transfer of common stock to treasury upon liquidation of RRP | - | $(2,611)$ | - |
| Purchase of treasury shares, at cost | (654) | $(29,650)$ | $(11,024)$ |
| Balance at end of period | $(210,471)$ | $(211,121)$ | $(179,011)$ |
| TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY AT THE END OF PERIOD | \$276,964 \$ | \$268,852 \$ | \$290,631 |
| CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME: |  |  |  |
| Net Income | \$28,028 \$ | 22,443 \$30 | 0,592 |
| Increase in Actuarial Gain or Reduction in Actuarial Loss on defined benefit plans, net of taxes of $\$(123)$ during the year ended December 31, 2006 | - | - | 148 |
| Change in pension and other postretirement obligations, net of deferred benefit (taxes) of \$3,776 during the year ended |  |  |  |
| December 31, 2008 and \$(874) during the year ended December 31, 2007 | $(4,523)$ | 1,022 | - |
| Amortization and reversal of net unrealized loss on securities transferred from available-for- sale to held-to-maturity, net of tax of $\$(1,224)$ during the year ended December 31, 2008 | 1,496 | - | - |
| Reclassification adjustment for securities sold, net of taxes of \$(489) during the year ended December 31, 2006 | - | - | (575) |
| Net unrealized securities (loss) gain arising during the period, net of benefit (taxes) of $\$ 3,188, \$(1,469)$ and $\$(478)$ during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively | $(3,742)$ | 1,800 | 561 |
| Comprehensive Income | \$21,259 \$25,265 \$30,726 |  |  |
| See notes to consolidated financial statements. |  |  |  |
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## DIME COMMUNITY BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Dollars in thousands)

|  | Year Ended December 31, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: |  |  |  |
| Net Income | \$28,028 | \$22,443 | \$30,592 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities |  |  |  |
| Net gain on investment and mortgage backed securities sold | - | - | $(1,063)$ |
| Net gain on sale of loans held for sale | $(1,012)$ | (750) | $(1,516)$ |
| Net loss (gain) on sales and disposals of other assets | 129 | - | (478) |
| Net depreciation, amortization and accretion | 1,757 | 1,252 | 1,265 |
| Stock plan compensation expense (excluding ESOP) | 1,696 | 372 | 296 |
| ESOP compensation expense | 1,242 | 1,674 | 1,115 |
| Provision for loan losses | 2,006 | 240 | 240 |
| Charge to net mortgage banking income - provision to increase the |  |  |  |
| liability for loans sold with recourse | 3,946 | - | - |
| Impairment charge on mortgage servicing rights | 60 | - | - |
| Other-than temporary impairment charge on investment securities held-to-maturity | 3,209 | - |  |
| Increase in cash surrender value of BOLI | $(1,999)$ | $(1,965)$ | $(1,868)$ |
| Deferred income tax provision (credit) | $(3,054)$ | (834) | 103 |
| Excess tax benefits of stock plans | (518) | (174) | (621) |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: |  |  |  |
| Originations of loans sold during the period | $(149,081)$ | $(76,568)$ | $(145,430)$ |
| Proceeds from sales of loans held for sale | 150,983 | 77,628 | 146,646 |
| Decrease (Increase) in other assets | (143) | $(6,368)$ | 929 |
| (Decrease) Increase in other liabilities | $(8,327)$ | 823 | 840 |
| Net cash provided by Operating Activities | 28,922 | 17,773 | 31,050 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: |  |  |  |
| Decrease (increase) in federal funds sold and other short-term investments | 128,014 | $(49,262)$ | $(18,734)$ |
| Proceeds from maturities of investment securities held-to-maturity | 242 | 155 | 220 |
| Proceeds from maturities and calls of investment securities available-for-sale | 1,729 | 1,000 | 17,075 |
| Proceeds from sales of investment securities available-for-sale | - | - | 3,032 |
| Proceeds from sales and calls of mortgage backed securities available-for-sale | - | 8,542 | - |
| Purchases of investment securities available-for-sale | $(5,464)$ | $(14,162)$ | $(4,002)$ |
| Purchases of mortgage backed securities available-for-sale | $(183,849)$ | $(37,992)$ | - |
| Principal collected on mortgage backed securities available-for-sale | 48,155 | 33,329 | 39,420 |
| Net increase in loans | $(416,504)$ | $(174,029)$ | $(91,789)$ |
| Proceeds from the sale of other real estate owned ("OREO") and real estate investment property owned | 767 | - | 908 |
| Proceeds from BOLI benefit payment | - | 631 | - |
| Purchases of fixed assets, net | $(8,356)$ | $(2,566)$ | $(7,818)$ |
| Purchase of Federal Home Loan Bank of New York capital stock | $(14,406)$ | $(7,734)$ | $(1,378)$ |
| Net cash used in Investing Activities | $(449,672)$ | $(242,088)$ | $(63,066)$ |

CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:

| Increase in due to depositors | 80,053 | 171,466 | 93,760 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Increase (decrease) in escrow and other deposits | 77,912 | 5,836 | $(1,145)$ |
| Increase (Decrease) in securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 74,920 | 34,845 | $(85,220)$ |
| Proceeds from Federal Home Loan Bank of New York advances | 313,175 | 135,000 | 40,000 |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 2,473 | 136 | 910 |
| Excess tax benefits of stock plans | 518 | 174 | 621 |
| Cash dividends re-assumed through liquidation of RRP |  | 958 | - |
| Purchase of common stock by the RRP and BMP | (66) |  | (70) |
| Cash dividends paid to stockholders and cash disbursed in payment of stock dividends | $(18,269)$ | $(19,006)$ | $(19,751)$ |
| Purchase of treasury stock | (654) | $(29,650)$ | $(11,024)$ |
| Net cash provided by Financing Activities | 530,062 | 299,759 | 18,081 |
| INCREASE(DECREASE) IN CASH AND DUE FROM BANKS | 109,312 | 75,444 | $(13,935)$ |
| CASH AND DUE FROM BANKS, BEGINNING OF PERIOD | 101,708 | 26,264 | 40,199 |
| CASH AND DUE FROM BANKS, END OF PERIOD | \$211,020 | \$101,708 | \$26,264 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Cash paid for income taxes | \$20,196 | \$20,622 | \$15,531 |
| Cash paid for interest | \$109,787 | \$110,508 | \$93,530 |
| Loans transferred to OREO | \$1,564 |  |  |
| Transfer of securities from available-for-sale to held-to-maturity (at fair value) | \$11,501 | - | - |
| Amortization of unrealized loss on securities transferred from available-for-sale to held-to-maturity | \$134 | - | - |
| Reversal of unrealized loss on securities transferred from available-for-sale to held-to-maturity | \$2,586 | - | - |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.
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# DIME COMMUNITY BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Dollars In Thousands except for share amounts) 

## 1. NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Nature of Operations - Dime Community Bancshares, Inc. (the "Holding Company" and together with its direct and indirect subsidiaries, the "Company") is a Delaware corporation organized by The Dime Savings Bank of Williamsburgh (the "Bank") for the purpose of acquiring all of the capital stock of the Bank issued in the Bank's conversion to stock ownership on June 26, 1996. At December 31, 2008, the significant assets of the Holding Company were the capital stock of the Bank, the Holding Company's loan to the ESOP and investments retained by the Holding Company. The liabilities of the Holding Company were comprised primarily of a $\$ 25,000$ subordinated note payable maturing in May 2010 and $\$ 72,165$ of trust preferred securities payable maturing in 2034. The Company is subject to the financial reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

The Bank was originally founded in 1864 as a New York State-chartered mutual savings bank. In November 1995, the Bank converted to a federally chartered stock savings bank. The Bank has been a community-oriented financial institution providing financial services and loans for housing within its market areas. The Bank maintains its headquarters in the Williamsburg section of the borough of Brooklyn, New York. The Bank has twenty-three retail banking offices located throughout the boroughs of Brooklyn, Queens, and the Bronx, and in Nassau County, New York.

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies - Management believes that the accounting and reporting policies of the Company conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). The following is a description of the significant policies.

Principles of Consolidation - The accompanying 2008, 2007 and 2006 consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Holding Company, and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, the Bank and 842 Manhattan Avenue Corporation. 842 Manhattan Avenue Corporation previously owned and managed a real estate property that housed a former branch office of Financial Federal Savings Bank, F.S.B. ("FFSB"), a subsidiary of Financial Bancorp, Inc. ("FIBC"), which the Holding Company acquired on January 21, 1999. The property was sold in 2006, and as a result, 842 Manhattan Avenue Corporation held no real estate at December 31, 2008. All financial statements presented also include the accounts of the Bank's five wholly-owned subsidiaries, Boulevard Funding Corp. ("BFC"), Havemeyer Investments, Inc., DSBW Preferred Funding Corporation ("DPFC"), DSBW Residential Preferred Funding Corporation ("DRPFC"), Dime Reinvestment Company ("DRC") and 195 Havemeyer Corp. DPFC and DRPFC were both established in March 1998 and are intended to qualify as real estate investment trusts for federal tax purposes. DPFC invests in multifamily and commercial real estate loans, while DRPFC invests in one- to four-family real estate loans. BFC was established in order to invest in real estate joint ventures and other real estate assets. As of December 31, 2008, BFC owned a property that entered OREO status in December 2008 and had some other minor financial investments. DRC was established in 2004 in order to function as a Qualified Community Development Entity as defined in the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"). DRC is currently inactive. 195 Havemeyer Corp. was established in 2008 and owns and manages a real estate property currently intended, in whole or in part, for Bank use. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The financial statements presented for the year ended December 31, 2006 and for the period January 1, 2007 through June 30, 2007, include the accounts of Havemeyer Equities Corp. ("HEC"). HEC was originally established in order to invest in real estate joint ventures and other real estate assets. In June 1998, HEC assumed direct ownership of DPFC. HEC ceased operations effective the close of business on June 30, 2007, and was legally dissolved prior to December 31, 2007. All of the assets and liabilities of HEC, including the direct ownership of

DPFC, were assumed by the Bank.
Cash and Due from Banks -Cash and due from banks represents cash held by the Bank (including cash on hand at its branches), as well as cash held by the Holding Company and other subsidiary companies that is not subject to elimination in consolidation.

Federal Funds Sold and Other Short-term Investments - Purchases and sales of federal funds sold and other short-term investments are recorded on trade date. Federal funds sold and other short-term investments are carried at cost, which approximates market value due to the short-term nature of the investment.

Investment Securities and Mortgage-Backed Securities ("MBS") - Purchases and sales of investment and mortgage-backed securities are recorded on trade date. Gains and losses on sales of investment and mortgage-backed securities are recorded on the specific identification basis.
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Debt and equity securities that have readily determinable fair values are carried at fair value unless they are held-to-maturity. Debt securities are classified as held-to-maturity and carried at amortized cost only if the Company has a positive intent and ability to hold them to maturity. If not classified as held-to-maturity, such securities are classified as securities available-for-sale or as trading securities. Unrealized holding gains or losses on securities available-for-sale that are deemed temporary are excluded from net income and reported net of income taxes as other comprehensive income or loss. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, all equity securities were classified as available-for-sale. Neither the Holding Company nor the Bank has acquired securities for the purpose of engaging in trading activities.

The Company conducts a quarterly review and evaluation of its securities portfolio taking into account the severity and duration of a decline in market value, as well as its intent with regard to the securities in order to determine if a decline in market value of any security below its amortized cost basis is other than temporary. If such decline is deemed other than temporary, the carrying amount of the security is adjusted through a charge to net income in the amount of the decline in value.

Loans Held for Sale - Mortgage loans originated and intended for sale in the secondary market are carried at the lower of aggregate cost or estimated fair value. Multifamily residential and mixed use loans sold are generally sold with servicing rights retained.

Allowance for Loan Losses and Reserve for Loan Commitments - The Company provides a valuation allowance for estimated losses inherent in its loan portfolio. The valuation allowance for estimated losses on loans is based on the Bank's past loan loss experience, known and inherent risks in the portfolio, existing adverse situations which may affect a borrower's ability to repay, estimated value of underlying collateral and current economic conditions in the Bank's lending area. The allowance is increased by provisions for loan losses charged to operations and is reduced by charge-offs, net of recoveries. Although management uses available information to estimate losses on loans, future additions to, or reductions in, the allowance may be necessary based on changes in economic conditions beyond management's control. Management believes, based upon all relevant and available information, that the allowance for loan losses is appropriate to absorb losses inherent in the portfolio.

SFAS No. 114, "Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan," as amended by SFAS 118, "Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan - Income Recognition and Disclosures, an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 114," ("Amended SFAS 114"), requires all creditors to account for impaired loans, except those loans that are accounted for at fair value or at the lower of cost or fair value, at the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate. As an expedient, creditors may account for impaired loans at the fair value of the collateral or at the observable market price of the loan if one exists. If the estimated fair value of an impaired loan is less than the recorded amount, a specific valuation allowance is established. If the impairment is considered to be permanent, a write-down is charged against the allowance for loan losses. In accordance with Amended SFAS 114, homogeneous loans are not individually considered for impairment. The Company considers individual one- to four-family residential mortgage and cooperative apartment loans having a balance of $\$ 625.5$ or less and all consumer loans to be small balance homogenous loan pools and, accordingly, not covered by Amended SFAS 114.

The Bank maintains a separate reserve within other liabilities associated with commitments to fund future loans that have been accepted by the borrower. This reserve is determined based upon the historical loss experience of similar loans owned by the Bank at each period end. Any increases in this reserve amount are achieved via a transfer of reserves from the Bank's allowance for loan losses, with any resulting shortfall in the allowance for loan losses satisfied through the quarterly provision for loan losses. Any decreases in the loan commitment reserve are recognized as a transfer of reserve balances back to the allowance for loans losses at each period end.

Reserve For the Recourse Exposure on Multifamily Loans Sold to Fannie Mae ("FNMA"). A reserve is also recorded in other liabilities related to certain multifamily residential real estate loans sold with recourse under an agreement
with FNMA. Consistent with the methodology utilized in determining the allowance for loan losses, for all performing loans within the FNMA serviced pool, the reserve recognized is the present value of the estimated future losses calculated based upon the historical loss experience for comparable multifamily loans owned by the Bank. For problem loans within the pool, the estimated future losses are determined in a manner consistent with impaired and classified loans within the Bank's loan portfolio.

Loans - Loans are reported at the principal amount outstanding, net of unearned fees or costs and the allowance for loan losses. Interest income on loans is recorded using the level yield method. Under this method, discount accretion and premium amortization are included in interest income. Loan origination fees and certain direct loan origination costs are deferred and amortized as a yield adjustment over the contractual loan terms.
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Accrual of interest is generally discontinued on loans that have missed three consecutive monthly payments, at which time the Bank generally does not recognize the interest from the third month and evaluates whether the accrual of interest associated with the first two missed payments should be reversed. Payments on nonaccrual loans are generally applied to principal. Management may elect to continue the accrual of interest when a loan is in the process of collection and the estimated fair value of the collateral is sufficient to satisfy the outstanding principal balance (including any outstanding advances related to the loan) and accrued interest. Loans are returned to accrual status once the doubt concerning collectibility has been removed and the borrower has demonstrated performance in accordance with the loan terms and conditions for a period of at least six months.

Mortgage Servicing Rights - The cost of mortgage loans sold with servicing rights retained by the Bank is allocated between the loans and the servicing rights based on their estimated fair values at the time of the loan sale. Servicing assets are carried at the lower of cost or fair value and are amortized in proportion to, and over the period of, anticipated net servicing income. The Company adopted SFAS No. 156, "Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets" ("SFAS 156") effective January 1, 2007. SFAS 156 requires all separately recognized mortgage servicing rights ("MSR") to be initially measured at fair value, if practicable. The estimated fair value of loan servicing assets is determined by calculating the present value of estimated future net servicing cash flows, using assumptions of prepayments, defaults, servicing costs and discount rates derived based upon actual historical results for the Bank, or, in the absence of such data, from historical results for the Bank's peers. Capitalized loan servicing assets are stratified based on predominant risk characteristics of the underlying loans (i.e., collateral, interest rate, servicing spread and maturity) for the purpose of evaluating impairment. A valuation allowance is then established in the event the recorded value of an individual stratum exceeds its fair value. Third party valuations of the loan servicing asset are performed on a quarterly basis, and were performed as of December 31, 2008 and 2007. In accordance with the provisions of SFAS 156, the Bank elected to continue its practice of amortizing its MSR in proportion to and over the period of estimated net servicing income or net servicing loss.

OREO - Properties acquired as a result of foreclosure on a mortgage loan or a deed in lieu of foreclosure are classified as OREO and are recorded at the lower of the recorded investment in the related loan or the fair value of the property on the date of acquisition, with any resulting write down charged to the allowance for loan losses. Subsequent write downs are charged directly to operating expenses.

Premises and Fixed Assets, Net - Land is stated at original cost. Buildings and furniture, fixtures and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed by the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the properties as follows:

| Buildings | $2.22 \%$ to <br> $2.50 \%$ per <br> year |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | Furniture, <br> Fixtures and |
| $10 \%$ per <br> equipment | year |
| Computer |  |
| equipment | $33.33 \%$ |
| per year |  |

Leasehold improvements are amortized over the lesser of their useful lives or the remaining non-cancelable terms of the related leases.

Earnings Per Share ("EPS") - EPS are calculated and reported in accordance with SFAS 128, "Earnings Per Share." SFAS 128 requires disclosure of basic EPS and diluted EPS for entities with complex capital structures on the face of the income statement, along with a reconciliation of the numerator and denominator of basic and diluted EPS.

Basic EPS is computed by dividing net income by the weighted-average common shares outstanding during the year. In determining the weighted average shares outstanding for basic EPS, treasury stock and unallocated ESOP shares are excluded. All restricted stock award shares, vested or unvested, are included in the calculation of the weighted average shares outstanding for basic EPS. Diluted EPS is computed using the same method as basic EPS, but reflects the potential dilution that would occur if "in the money" stock options were exercised and converted into common stock.
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The following is a reconciliation of the numerator and denominator of basic EPS and diluted EPS for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006:

|  |  | Year Ended <br> December 31, |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Numerator: |  |  |  |
| Net Income per the Consolidated <br> Statements of Operations | $\$ 28,028$ | $\$ 22,443$ | $\$ 30,592$ |
| Denominator: |  |  |  |
| Weighted average shares outstanding <br> utilized in the calculation of basic EPS | $32,676,282$ | $33,522,224$ | $34,904,225$ |
| Common stock equivalents resulting <br> from the dilutive effect of |  |  |  |
| "in-the-money" stock options | 259,905 | 112,183 | 250,602 |
| Anti-dilutive effect of tax benefits <br> associated with "in-the-money" <br> non-qualified stock options | $(111,385)$ | $(27,381)$ | $(79,918)$ |
| Weighted average shares outstanding <br> utilized in the calculation of diluted EPS | $32,824,802$ | $33,607,026$ | $35,074,909$ |

Common stock equivalents resulting from the dilutive effect of "in-the-money" stock options are calculated based upon the excess of the average market value of the Company's common stock over the exercise price of outstanding options.

There were approximately 812,421 weighted average options, $2,053,104$ weighted average options, and 1,077,676 weighted average options for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007, and 2006, respectively, that were not considered in the calculation of diluted EPS since their exercise prices exceeded the average market price during the relevant period.

Accounting for Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets - SFAS 142 "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," established standards for goodwill acquired in a business combination. SFAS 142 eliminated amortization of goodwill and instead required the performance of a transitional goodwill impairment test at least annually. In accordance with SFAS 142, the Company performed impairment tests of goodwill as of December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006. In each instance, the Company concluded that no potential impairment of goodwill existed. As of December 31, 2008 and 2007, the Company had goodwill totaling $\$ 55.6$ million.

Changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the periods presented are as follows:

|  | Year Ended December 31, |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |  |
| Original Amount | $\$ 73,107$ | $\$ 73,107$ | $\$ 73,107$ |  |
| A c c u m u la t e d |  |  |  |  |
| Amortization | $(17,469)$ | $(17,469)$ | $(17,469)$ |  |
| Net Carrying Value | $\$ 55,638$ | $\$ 55,638$ | $\$ 55,638$ |  |
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Income Taxes - Income taxes are accounted for in accordance with SFAS 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes," which requires that deferred taxes be provided for temporary differences between the book and tax bases of assets and liabilities. A valuation allowance is recognized against deferred tax assets in the event that it is more likely than not that the deferred tax asset will not be fully realized.

The Company adopted FIN 48 on January 1, 2007. Provisions of FIN 48 were clarified by FASB Staff Position FIN 48-1 "Definition of Settlement in FASB Interpretation No. 48." Please refer to Note 14 for a discussion of FIN 48.

Employee Benefits - The Bank maintains The Dime Savings Bank of Williamsburgh 401(k) Plan [the "401(k) Plan"] for substantially all of its employees, and the Retirement Plan of The Dime Savings Bank of Williamsburgh (the "Employee Retirement Plan"), both of which are tax qualified under the "Code".

The Bank also maintains the Postretirement Welfare Plan of The Dime Savings Bank of Williamsburgh (the "Postretirement Benefit Plan."), providing additional postretirement benefits to certain employees that are recorded in accordance with SFAS 106, "Employers' Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions." SFAS 106 requires accrual of postretirement benefits (such as health care benefits) during the years an employee provides services.
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The Company adopted SFAS 158 effective December 31, 2006. SFAS 158 requires an employer sponsoring a single employer defined benefit plan to do the following: (1) recognize the funded status of a benefit plan in its statements of financial condition, measured as the difference between plan assets at fair value (with limited exceptions) and the benefit obligation. For a pension plan, the benefit obligation is the projected benefit obligation; for any other postretirement benefit plan, such as a retiree health care plan, the benefit obligation is the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation; (2) recognize as a component of other comprehensive income, net of tax, the gains or losses and prior service costs or credits that arise during the period but are not recognized as components of net periodic benefit or cost pursuant to SFAS 87, "Employers' Accounting for Pensions," or SFAS 106, "Employers’ Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions." Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income, including the gains or losses, prior service costs or credits, and the transition asset or obligation remaining from the initial application of SFAS 87 and SFAS 106, are adjusted as they are subsequently recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost pursuant to the recognition and amortization provisions of those Statements; (3) measure defined benefit plan assets and obligations as of the date of the employer's fiscal year-end statements of financial condition (with limited exceptions); and (4) disclose in the notes to financial statements additional information about certain effects on net periodic benefit cost for the next fiscal year that arise from delayed recognition of the gains or losses, prior service costs or credits, and transition asset or obligation. Effective January 1, 2008, in compliance with applicable provisions of SFAS 158, the Company changed the measurement date for its defined benefit plans from October 1st to December 31st. As a result of this change, the Company recorded a transition adjustment on January 1, 2008 that reduced its consolidated stockholders' equity by $\$ 87$.

The Holding Company and Bank maintain the ESOP. Compensation expense related to the ESOP is recorded in accordance with Statement of Position No. 93-6, which requires the compensation expense to be recorded during the period in which the shares become committed to be released to participants. The compensation expense is measured based upon the fair market value of the stock during the period, and, to the extent that the fair value of the shares committed to be released differs from the original cost of such shares, the difference is recorded as an adjustment to additional paid-in capital.

The Holding Company and Bank maintain the Dime Community Bancshares, Inc. 1996 Stock Option Plan for Outside Directors, Officers and Employees (the "1996 Stock Option Plan"), the Dime Community Bancshares, Inc. 2001 Stock Option Plan for Outside Directors, Officers and Employees (the "2001 Stock Option Plan") and the Dime Community Bancshares, Inc. 2004 Stock Incentive Plan for Outside Directors, Officers and Employees (the "2004 Stock Incentive Plan," and collectively the "Stock Plans"); which are discussed more fully in Note 15. Grants of stock options during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 were accounted for at fair value in accordance with SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004) "Share Based Payment" ("SFAS 123R").

Grants of restricted stock awards during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 were accounted for at fair value in accordance with SFAS 123R.

Derivative Instruments - All derivatives are recognized at fair value as either assets or liabilities in the consolidated statements of financial condition. A derivative may be designated as a hedge against exposure to changes in either: (i) the fair value of a recognized asset, liability or firm commitment, (ii) cash flows of a recognized or forecasted transaction, or (iii) foreign currencies of a net investment in foreign operations, firm commitments, available-for-sale securities or a forecasted transaction. Depending upon the effectiveness of the hedge and/or the transaction being hedged, any fluctuations in the fair value of the derivative instrument are required to be either recognized in earnings in the current year, deferred to future periods, or recognized in other comprehensive income. Changes in the fair value of derivative instruments not receiving hedge accounting recognition are recorded in current year earnings.

During the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, neither the Holding Company nor the Bank held any derivative instruments or any embedded derivative instruments that required bifurcation.

BOLI - BOLI is carried at its contract value. Increases in the contract value are recorded as non-interest income in the consolidated statements of operations and insurance proceeds received are recorded as a reduction of the contract value.

Comprehensive Income - Comprehensive income for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 was determined in accordance with SFAS 130, "Reporting Comprehensive Income." Comprehensive income includes changes in the unrealized gain or loss on available-for-sale securities and minimum pension liability, which, under GAAP, bypass net income and are typically reported as components of stockholders' equity. All comprehensive income adjustment items are presented net of applicable tax effect.
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Disclosures About Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information - The Company has one reportable segment, "Community Banking." All of the Company's activities are interrelated, and each activity is dependent and assessed based on the manner in which it supports the other activities of the Company. For example, lending is dependent upon the ability of the Bank to fund itself with retail deposits and other borrowings and to manage interest rate and credit risk. Accordingly, all significant operating decisions are based upon analysis of the Company as one operating segment or unit. The Chief Executive Officer is considered the chief decision maker for this reportable segment.

For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, there was no customer that accounted for more than $10 \%$ of the Company's consolidated revenue.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards
In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements" ("SFAS 157"), which defined fair value, established a framework for measuring fair value under GAAP, and expanded disclosures about fair value measurements. Other current accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements require application of SFAS 157. SFAS 157 does not require any new fair value measurements, however, changes the definition of, and methods used to measure, fair value. SFAS 157 emphasizes fair value as a market-based, not entity-specific, measurement. Under SFAS 157, a fair value measurement should be based on the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. SFAS 157 further establishes a fair value hierarchy that distinguishes between (i) market participant assumptions developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity (observable inputs), and (ii) the reporting entity's own assumptions about market participant assumptions developed based on the best information available in the circumstances. SFAS 157 also expands disclosures about the use of fair value to measure assets and liabilities in interim and annual periods subsequent to initial recognition. The Company adopted SFAS 157 on January 1, 2008. Disclosures required as a result of the adoption of SFAS 157 are included in Note 17.

In February 2008, the FASB issued Staff Position FAS 157-2, "Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157, Fair Value Measurements" ("FSP 157-2"). FSP 157-2 delays the effective date of SFAS 157 for all nonrecurring fair value measurements of non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities until fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008.

On October 10, 2008, the FASB issued Staff Position FAS 157-3, "Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset Is Not Active." ("FSP 157-3"). FSP 157-3 clarified the application of SFAS 157 in a market that is not active. FSP 157-3 reiterated several key principles of SFAS 157, such as the requirement that a fair value measurement represent the price at which a transaction would occur between market participants as of the measurement date. FSP 157-3 was deemed effective upon issuance, including prior periods for which financial statements have not been issued. The Company considered the relevant provisions of FSP 157-3 in its election to change its method of valuation for its investment in pooled trust preferred securities during the year ended December 31, 2008.

In June 2008, the FASB finalized Staff Position EITF 03-6-1, "Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities" ("FSP EITF 03-6-1"). FSP EITF 03-6-1 affects entities that accrue cash dividends on share-based payment awards during the service period when the dividends need not be returned if the employees forfeit the awards. Under FSP EITF 03-6-1, all share-based payment awards that accrue cash dividends (whether paid or unpaid) any time the common shareholders receive dividends are considered participating securities if the dividends need not be returned to the entity if the employee forfeits the award. Because the awards are considered participating securities, the issuing entity is required to apply the two-class method of computing basic and diluted EPS under SFAS 128. FSP EITF 03-6-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. FSP EITF 03-6-1 requires an entity to retroactively adjust all prior-period EPS computations to reflect its provisions. Early adoption of the FSP EITF 03-6-1 is not permitted. Adoption of FSP EITF 03-6-1 is not expected to have a material impact upon the Company's consolidated
financial statements.
In May 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 162, "The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles" ("SFAS 162 "). SFAS 162 identifies the sources of accounting principles and the framework for selecting the principles used in the preparation of financial statements of non-governmental entities that are presented in conformity with GAAP. SFAS 162 became effective on November 15, 2008. Adoption of SFAS 162 is not expected to have a material impact upon the Company's consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, "Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities-an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133" ("SFAS 161"). SFAS 161 changes the disclosure requirements for derivative instruments and hedging activities by requiring enhanced disclosures about (i) the manner in which and reason that an entity
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uses derivative instruments, with particular emphasis upon underlying risk, (ii) the manner in which derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS 133 and its related interpretations, and (iii) (in tabular form) the manner in which derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. SFAS 161 further requires enhanced disclosures of credit-risk-related contingent features of derivative instruments. This Statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008, with early application encouraged. This Statement encourages, but does not require, comparative disclosures for earlier periods at initial adoption. Adoption of SFAS 161 is not expected to have a material impact upon the Company's consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

In February 2008, the FASB issued Staff Position FAS 140-3, "Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets and Repurchase Financing Transactions" ("FSP 140-3"). FSP 140-3 provides guidance on accounting for a transfer of a financial asset and repurchase financing. FSP 140-3 presumes that an initial transfer of a financial asset and a repurchase financing are considered part of the same arrangement (linked transaction) under SFAS No. 140, "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities" ("SFAS 140"), however, if certain criteria are satisfied, the initial transfer and repurchase financing shall not be evaluated as a linked transaction and shall be evaluated separately under SFAS 140. Under FSP 140-3, a transferor and transferee shall not separately account for a transfer of a financial asset and a related repurchase financing unless: (i) the two transactions have a valid and distinct business or economic purpose for being entered into separately, and (ii) the repurchase financing does not result in the initial transferor regaining control over the financial asset. FSP 140-3 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, of the adoption of FSP 140-3 on its consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), "Business Combinations" ("SFAS 141R"), which replaces FASB Statement No. 141. SFAS 141R establishes principles and requirements governing the manner in which an acquirer of a business recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, liabilities assumed, any non-controlling interest in the acquiree, and goodwill acquired. SFAS 141R also establishes disclosure requirements intended to enable users to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. SFAS 141R is effective for business combinations occurring during a fiscal year beginning after December 15, 2008.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, "Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statementsan amendment of ARB No. 51" ("SFAS 160"). SFAS 160 requires that, for purposes of accounting and reporting, minority interests be re-characterized as non-controlling interests and classified as a component of equity. SFAS 160 also requires financial reporting disclosures that clearly identify and distinguish between the interests of the parent and the non-controlling owners. SFAS 160 applies to all entities that prepare consolidated financial statements other than not-for-profit organizations, however, will affect only those entities that have an outstanding non-controlling interest in one or more subsidiaries or that deconsolidate a subsidiary. SFAS 160 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. Adoption of SFAS 160 is not expected to have a material impact upon the Company's consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

In November 2007, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 109, "Written Loan Commitments Recorded at Fair Value Through Earnings" ("SAB 109"). SAB 109 provides guidance on accounting for loan commitments recorded at fair value under GAAP. SAB 109 supersedes SAB No. 105, "Application of Accounting Principles to Loan Commitments." SAB 109 requires that the expected net future cash flows related to the associated servicing of a loan be included in the measurement of all written loan commitments that are accounted for at fair value. The provisions of SAB 109 are applicable on a prospective basis to written loan commitments recorded at fair value that are issued or modified in fiscal quarters beginning after December 15, 2007. The Company adopted SAB 109 on January 1, 2008. Adoption of SAB 109 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial condition or
results of operations.
In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities" ("SFAS 159"). SFAS 159 permits companies to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. SFAS 159 seeks to improve the overall quality of financial reporting by providing companies the opportunity to mitigate volatility in reported earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently without requiring the application of complex hedge accounting provisions. The Company adopted SFAS 159 on January 1, 2008. The adoption of SFAS 159 did not have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial condition or results of operations, as the Company did not elect to apply the fair value method of accounting to any of its assets or liabilities.

On January 12, 2009, the FASB issued Staff Position EITF 99-20-1, "Amendments to the Impairment Guidance of EITF Issue No. 99-20" ("FSP EITF 99-20-1"). FSP EITF 99-20-1 amends the impairment guidance in EITF Issue No. 99-20, "Recognition of Interest Income and Impairment on Purchased Beneficial Interests and Beneficial Interests That Continue to Be Held
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by a Transferor in Securitized Financial Assets" ("EITF 99-20"), to achieve more consistent determination of whether an other-than-temporary impairment has occurred. GAAP provides two different models for determining whether the impairment of a debt security is other than temporary. EITF 99-20 requires the use of market participant assumptions about future cash flows. This cannot be overcome by management judgment of the probability of collecting all cash flows previously projected. SFAS 115, "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities," ("SFAS 115 ") does not require exclusive reliance on market participant assumptions about future cash flows. Rather, SFAS 115 permits the use of reasonable management judgment of the probability that the holder will be unable to collect all amounts due. FSP EITF 99-20-1 retains and emphasizes the objective of an other-than temporary impairment assessment and the related disclosure requirements in SFAS 115, and permits the evaluation of impaired assets under the jurisdiction of EITF 99-20 to be evaluated in accordance with the other-than temporary impairment methodology of SFAS 115. EITF 99-20-1 was effective immediately upon issuance. Adoption of EITF 99-20-1 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

On September 12, 2008, the FASB issued Staff Position FAS 133-1 and FIN 45-4, "Disclosures about Credit Derivatives and Certain Guarantees: An Amendment of FASB Statement No. 133 and FASB Interpretation No. 45; and Clarification of the Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 161" ("FSP FIN 45-4"). FSP FIN 45-4 amends FASB Statement No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," to require disclosures by sellers of credit derivatives, including credit derivatives embedded in a hybrid instrument. This FSP also amends FASB Interpretation No. 45, "Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others," to require an additional disclosure about the current status of the payment/performance risk of a guarantee. Further, this FSP clarifies the Board's intent about the effective date of SFAS 161. FSP FIN 45-4 is effective for reporting periods ending after November 15, 2008. Application of FSP FIN 45-4 resulted in expanded disclosure of the Company's FNMA recourse exposure. Adoption of FSP FIN 45-4 did not have a material effect upon the Company's consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

In December 2008, the FASB issued Staff Position FAS 140-4 and FIN 46(R)-8, "Disclosures by Public Entities (Enterprises) about Transfers of Financial Assets and Interests in Variable Interest Entities" ("FSP 140-4"). FSP 140-4 amends SFAS 14 to require public entities to provide additional disclosures about transfers of financial assets. It also amends FASB Interpretation No. 46 (revised December 2003), "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities," to require public enterprises, including sponsors that have a variable interest in a variable interest entity, to provide additional disclosures about their involvement with variable interest entities. Additionally, FSP 140-4 requires certain disclosures to be provided by a public enterprise that is (a) a sponsor of a qualifying special purpose entity ("SPE") that holds a variable interest in the qualifying SPE but was not the transferor (nontransferor) of financial assets to the qualifying SPE, or (b) a servicer of a qualifying SPE that holds a significant variable interest in the qualifying SPE but was not the transferor (nontransferor) of financial assets to the qualifying SPE. FSP 140-4 is effective for reporting periods ending after December 15, 2008. FSP 140-4 is applicable to the Company's MSR. The adoption of FSP 140-4 did not have a material effect upon the Company's consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

In December 2008, the FASB issued Staff Position FAS 132(R)-1, "Employers' Disclosures About Postretirement Benefit Plan Assets" ("FSP 132R-1"). FSP 132R-1 requires additional disclosure regarding investment allocations, major categories, valuation techniques and concentrations of risk related to plan assets held in an employer's defined benefit pension or postretirement plan. FSP 132R-1 further requires disclosure of any effects of utilizing significant unobservable inputs (as defined in SFAS 157) upon the overall change in the fair value of the plan assets during the reporting period. FSP 132R-1 is effective for years ending after December 31, 2009. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adoption.

Use of Estimates in the Preparation of the Consolidated Financial Statements - Various elements of the Company's accounting policies are inherently subject to estimation techniques, valuation assumptions and other subjective assessments. The Company's policies with respect to the methodologies it uses to determine the allowance for loan losses, reserves for loan commitments and FNMA recourse exposure, the valuation of MSR, asset impairments
(including the valuation of goodwill and other than temporary declines in the valuation of securities), the recognition of deferred tax assets and unrecognized tax positions, the recognition of loan income, the valuation of financial instruments and accounting for defined benefit plans, are its most critical accounting policies because they are important to the presentation of the Company's consolidated financial condition and results of operations, involve a significant degree of complexity and require management to make difficult and subjective judgments which often necessitate assumptions or estimates about highly uncertain matters. The use of different judgments, assumptions and estimates could result in material variations in the Company's consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

Reclassification - Certain amounts as of and for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 have been reclassified to conform to their presentation as of and for the year ended December 31, 2008. In particular, the Company reclassified the gains or losses recorded on sales of loans, along with servicing fees on loans sold to third parties, into a separate line item within non-interest income entitled "Mortgage Banking Income." The effects of this reclassification are presented in Note 7.
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## 2. CONVERSION TO STOCK FORM OF OWNERSHIP

On November 2, 1995, the Board of Directors of the Bank adopted a Plan of Conversion to convert from mutual to stock form of ownership. At the time of conversion, the Bank established a liquidation account in an amount equal to the retained earnings of the Bank as of the date of the most recent financial statements contained in the final conversion prospectus. The liquidation account is reduced annually to the extent that eligible account holders have reduced their qualifying deposits as of each anniversary date. Subsequent increases in deposits do not restore an eligible account holder's interest in the liquidation account. In the event of a complete liquidation, each eligible account holder will be entitled to receive a distribution from the liquidation account in an amount proportionate to the adjusted qualifying balances on the date of liquidation for accounts held at conversion.

The Holding Company acquired Conestoga Bancorp, Inc. ("Conestoga") on June 26, 1996. The liquidation account previously established by Conestoga's subsidiary, Pioneer Savings Bank, F.S.B., during its initial public offering in March 1993, was assumed by the Company in the acquisition.

The Holding Company acquired FIBC on January 21, 1999. The liquidation account previously established by FIBC's subsidiary, FFSB, during its initial public offering, was assumed by the Company in the acquisition.

The Holding Company may not declare or pay cash dividends on, or repurchase any of, its shares of common stock if the effect thereof would cause stockholders' equity to be reduced below either applicable regulatory capital maintenance requirements, or the amount of the liquidation account, or if such declaration or payment or repurchase would otherwise violate regulatory requirements.

## 3. INVESTMENT SECURITIES HELD-TO-MATURITY AND AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE

On September 1, 2008, the Bank transferred eight investment securities with an amortized cost of $\$ 19,922$ that were primarily secured by the preferred debt obligations of a pool of U.S. banks (with a small portion secured by debt obligations of insurance companies) from its available-for-sale portfolio to its held-to-maturity portfolio. Based upon the lack of an orderly market for these securities, management determined that a formal election to hold these securities to maturity was consistent with its initial investment decision. On the date of transfer, the unrealized loss of $\$ 8,420$ on these securities continued to be recognized as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss within the Company's consolidated stockholders' equity (net of income tax benefit), and was expected to be amortized over the remaining average life of the securities, which approximated 25.7 years on a weighted average basis. During the period September 1, 2008 through December 31, 2008, amortization of this unrealized loss totaled $\$ 134$. In addition, $\$ 2,586$ of this unrealized loss was reversed related to two securities for which an other-than temporary impairment charge was recognized during the period. At December 31, 2008, the remaining unrealized loss will be amortized during the remaining contractual life of these securities, which have contractual maturities ranging from April 3, 2032 through September 22, 2037.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company recorded a pre-tax other-than temporary impairment charge of $\$ 3,209$ related to two of these pooled trust preferred securities. As of December 31, 2008, these securities were performing in accordance with their contractual terms, and had paid all contractual cash flows since the Bank's initial investment. In management's judgment, however, the credit quality of the collateral pool underlying the two securities had deteriorated to the point that full recovery of the Bank's initial investment was considered uncertain. Consequently, an other-than temporary impairment charge was deemed to be warranted as of December 31, 2008. The pre-tax other-than temporary impairment charge was reflected in the Company's consolidated results of operations.

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses and estimated fair value of investment securities held-to-maturity at December 31, 2008 were as follows:

|  | Investment Securities Held-to-Maturity |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carrying Amount(1) | Amortized Cost(2) | Gross Unrealized Gains | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Gross } \\ \text { Unrealized(Losses) } \end{array}$ | Estimated Fair Value |
| Debt Securities: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pooled trust preferred securities | \$10,861 | \$16,561 | \$- | \$(7,479) | \$9,082 |

(1) Amount reflects a remaining unrealized loss of $\$ 5,700$ that existed when the securities were transferred from available-for-sale to held-to-maturity on September 1, 2008.
(2) Amount has been reduced by an other-than temporary impairment charge of \$3,209 recognized during the year ended December 31, 2008.

The balance of unrealized losses shown in the above table related to six pooled trust preferred securities, each of which have been in an unrealized loss position for 12 or more months at December 31, 2008. Despite both the decline in market value and the period for which the securities have remained in an unrealized loss position, management believes that the $\$ 7,479$ of unrealized losses on the six pooled trust preferred securities at December 31, 2008 were temporary, and that the full value of these investments will be realized once the market dislocations have been removed or as the securities continue to satisfy their contractual payments of principal and interest. In making this determination, management considered the following:

In addition to satisfying all contractual payments since inception, each of the six securities demonstrated the following beneficial credit characteristics:

All securities have maintained an investment grade rating since inception from at least one rating agency

- Each security has a diverse pool of underlying issuers

None of the securities have exposure to real estate investment trust issued debt (which has experienced high default rates)

- Each security features either a mandatory auction or a de-leveraging mechanism that could result in principal repayments to the Bank prior to the stated maturity
of the security

> Each security is characterized by some level of over-collateralization

Based upon an internal review of the collateral backing these securities, which accounted for current and prospective deferrals, each of the securities can reasonably be expected to continue making contractual payments.

The amortized/historical cost, gross unrealized gains and losses and estimated fair value of investment securities available-for-sale at December 31, 2008 were as follows:

|  | Investment Securities Available-for-Sale |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Amortized/ <br> Historical <br> Cost | Gross | Gross <br> Unrealized <br> Gains | Unrealized <br> (Losses) |
|  | Estimated Fair Value |  |  |  |

Equity securities:

| Mutual fund investments | 8,057 | - | $(2,624)$ | 5,433 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\$ 19,023$ | $\$ 217$ | $\$(2,638)$ | $\$ 16,602$ |

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of the debt securities component of investment securities available-for-sale at December 31, 2008, are shown below by contractual maturity. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment fees.

|  | Amortized <br> Cost | Estimated <br> Fair Value |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Due after one year through five <br> years | $\$ 347$ | $\$ 361$ |
| Due after five years through ten <br> years | 10,619 | 10,808 |
|  | $\$ 10,966$ | $\$ 11,169$ |

The following summarizes the gross unrealized losses and fair value of investment securities available-for-sale as of December 31, 2008, aggregated by investment category and the length of time that the securities were in a continuous unrealized loss position:

(1) At December 31, 2008, the Bank owned one municipal security that possessed unrealized losses for 12 or more consecutive months. This security was sold in February 2009, with a gain recognized on the sale.
(2) The mutual fund investments that possessed unrealized losses for 12 or more consecutive months were three managed mutual funds that declined significantly in 2008 as a result of problems encountered by the U.S. and international equity markets. Two of these mutual funds were comprised solely of U.S. equities and carried a high correlation to the performance of the Standard and Poors 500 Equity Index. The third fund was comprised of international equities and bears a high correlation to the performance of the MSCI Equity index. Each of these mutual funds have regularly demonstrated the ability to recover to their cost basis during periods in which the correlating equity market indeces performed favorably. Management performed an historical analysis of the average period for which a declining (or "bear") market has continued for both the Standard and Poors 500 and MSCI Equity indeces. Based upon this analysis, management believes that each of these securities were not other than temporarily impaired at December 31, 2008, as the correlating indeces to be reasonably expected to recover within a period permitting the unrealized losses could be deemed temporary (less than two years based upon historical experience). The Company has the intent and ability to hold the securities until recovery.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, there were no sales of investment securities available-for-sale.
The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses and estimated fair value of investment securities held-to-maturity at December 31, 2007 were as follows:

|  | Investment Securities Held-to-Maturity |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amortized Cost | Gross <br> Unrealized Gains | Gross <br> Unrealized (Losses) | Estimated Fair Value |
| Debt Securities: |  |  |  |  |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions, maturity of less than one year | \$80 | \$- | \$- | \$80 |

The amortized/historical cost, gross unrealized gains and losses and estimated fair value of investment securities available-for-sale at December 31, 2007 were as follows:

|  | Investment Securities Available-for-Sale |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Amortized/ <br> Historical <br> Cost | Gross <br> Unrealized <br> Gains | Gross <br> Unrealized <br> (Losses) | Estimated Fair Value |
|  | $\$ 9,951$ | $\$ 94$ | $\$(17)$ | $\$ 10,028$ |
| Debt securities: | 17,177 | 1 | $(223)$ | 16,955 |
| Municipal agencies | 27,128 | 95 | $(240)$ | 26,983 |
| Pooled trust preferred securities | 7,573 | 111 | $(572)$ | 7,112 |
| Total debt securities | $\$ 34,701$ | $\$ 206$ | $\$(812)$ | $\$ 34,095$ |
| Equity securities: |  |  |  |  |
| Mutual fund investments |  |  |  |  |

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of the debt securities component of investment securities available-for-sale at December 31, 2007, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment fees.

|  | Amortized Cost | Estimated <br> Fair Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Due in one year or less | \$5,218 | \$5,190 |
| Due after one year through five years | 348 | 351 |
| Due after five years through ten years | 9,603 | 9,677 |
| Due after ten years | 11,959 | 11,765 |
|  | \$27,128 | \$26,983 |

The following summarizes the gross unrealized losses and fair value of investment securities available-for-sale as of December 31, 2007, aggregated by investment category and the length of time that the securities were in a continuous unrealized loss position:

|  | Less than 12 Months Consecutive Unrealized Losses |  | 12 Months or More Consecutive Unrealized Losses |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fair Value | Gross <br> Unrealized <br> Losses | Fair Value | Gross <br> Unrealized Loss | Fair Value | Gross <br> Unrealized <br> Losses |
| Municipal agencies | \$2,679 | \$17 | 7 |  | \$2,679 | \$17 |
| Pooled trust preferred securities | 15,512 | 223 | 3 | - | 15,512 | 223 |
| Mutual fund investments | 1,245 | 58 | \$3,213 | \$514 | 4,458 | 572 |
|  | \$19,436 | \$298 | \$3,213 | \$514 | \$22,649 | \$812 |

At December 31, 2007, the Company had two investment security positions that possessed 12 months or more of consecutive unrealized losses, one of which was a diversified mutual fund investment. The other security was a minor equity investment in a financial institution that possessed an unrealized loss of less than $\$ 2$ as of December 31,
2007. Management does not believe that any of the unrealized losses in the above table qualified as other-than temporary impairments at December 31, 2007. In making this determination, management considered the severity and duration of the loss, as well as management's intent and ability to hold the securities until substantial recovery of the loss.

During the year ended December 31, 2007, there were no sales of investment securities available-for-sale.

## 4. MBS AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses and estimated fair value of MBS available-for-sale at December 31, 2008 were as follows:


At December 31, 2008, MBS available-for-sale possessed a weighted average contractual maturity of 18.1 years and a weighted average estimated duration of 2.7 years. During the year ended December 31, 2008, there were no sales of MBS available-for-sale.

The following summarizes the gross unrealized losses and fair value of MBS available-for-sale at December 31, 2008, aggregated by investment category and the length of time that the securities were in a continuous unrealized loss position:

|  | Less than 12 Months Consecutive Unrealized Losses |  | 12 Months or More Consecutive Unrealized Losses |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fair U Value | Gross <br> Unrealized <br> Losses | Fair Value | Gross nrealized Loss |  | Gross Unrealized Losses |
| Government Sponsored Entity ("GSE") CMOs (1) | \$13,506 | \$15 | \$54,433 | \$214 | \$67,939 | \$229 |
| Private label CMOs (1) | - |  | 7,813 | 539 | 7,813 | 539 |
| FHLMC pass-through certificates | 22,409 | 105 | - | - | 22,409 | 105 |
| FNMA pass-through certificates | 1,412 | 6 |  |  | 1,412 | 6 |
| GNMA pass-through certificates | 1,041 | 16 | - |  | 1,041 | 16 |
| Private label pass-through certificates | 4,138 | 336 | - | - | 4,138 | 336 |
|  | \$42,506 | \$478 | \$62,246 | \$753\$ | 104,752 | \$1,231 |

(1) At December 31, 2008, each of the ten GSE sponsored CMOs, and three private label CMOs that possessed unrealized losses for 12 or more consecutive months had the highest possible credit quality rating. Since inception, virtually all unrealized losses on these securities have resulted from interest rate fluctuations. These securities were not deemed to be other than temporarily impaired at December 31, 2008 due to the following: (1) their credit quality rating remained superior; (2) the Company's investment was within the highest available tranche (or repayment pool); and (3) the Company had the intent and ability to hold the securities until recovery.

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses and estimated fair value of MBS available-for-sale at December 31, 2007 were as follows:

|  | Mortgage-Backed Securities Available-for-Sale |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross |  |
|  |  |  | Unrealized | Estimated |
|  |  |  | (Losses) | Fair Value |
| CMOs | \$119,386 | \$- | \$ $(2,158)$ | \$117,228 |
| FHLMC pass-through certificates | 31,174 | 437 | - | 31,611 |
| FNMA pass-through certificates | 12,677 | 77 | (108) | 12,646 |
| GNMA pass-through certificates | 1,266 | 13 | - | 1,279 |
|  | \$164,503 | \$527 | \$ $(2,266)$ | \$162,764 |

At December 31, 2007, MBS available-for-sale possessed a weighted average contractual maturity of 15.8 years and a weighted average estimated duration of 2.5 years. During the year ended December 31, 2007, there were no sales of MBS available-for-sale.

The following summarizes the gross unrealized losses and fair value of MBS available-for-sale at December 31, 2007, aggregated by investment category and the length of time that the securities were in a continuous unrealized loss position:


At December 31, 2007, there were twenty-three MBS positions that possessed 12 months or more of consecutive unrealized losses. The unrealized loss for all twenty-three securities resulted solely from changes in interest rates subsequent to acquisition of the security. Management does not believe that any of the unrealized losses in the above table qualified as other-than temporary impairments at December 31, 2007. In making this determination, management considered the severity and duration of the loss, as well as management's intent and ability to hold the securities until substantial recovery of the loss. At December 31, 2007, all of the FNMA pass-through certificates and CMOs that possessed unrealized losses for 12 or more consecutive months had the highest possible credit quality rating.

## 5. LOANS

The Bank's real estate loans were composed of the following:

|  | December | December |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | 31,2008 | 31,2007 |
| One- to four-family | $\$ 130,663$ | $\$ 139,416$ |
| Multifamily residential | $2,241,800$ | $1,948,000$ |


| Commercial real estate | 848,208 | 728,129 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Construction and land acquisition | 52,982 | 49,387 |
| Federal Housing Authority and Veterans |  |  |
| Administration Insured mortgage loans | 742 | 1,029 |
| Cooperative apartment unit loans | 11,632 | 6,172 |
|  | $3,286,027$ | $2,872,133$ |
| Net unearned costs | 3,287 | 1,833 |
|  | $\$ 3,289,314$ | $\$ 2,873,966$ |

The Bank originates both adjustable and fixed interest rate real estate loans. At December 31, 2008, the approximate composition of these loans was as follows:
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| Fixed Rate |  | Adjustable Rate |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  |  | Earlier of Period to <br> Maturity or Next |  |
| Period to Maturity | Book Value | Repricing | Book Value |
| 1 year or less | $\$ 16,004$ | 1 year or less | $\$ 310,361$ |
| $>1$ year-3 years | 27,025 | $>1$ year-3 years | $1,009,357$ |
| $>3$ years-5 years | 182,898 | $>3$ years-5 years | $1,100,111$ |
| $>5$ years-10 years | 181,453 | $>5$ years-10 years | 351,146 |
| $>10$ years | 107,404 | $>10$ years | 268 |
|  | $\$ 514,784$ |  | $\$ 2,771,243$ |

The adjustable-rate loans are generally indexed to the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York ("FHLBNY") five-year borrowing rate, or the one- or three-year constant maturity Treasury index. The contractual terms of adjustable rate multifamily residential and commercial real estate loans provide that their interest rate, upon repricing, cannot fall below their rate at the time of origination. The Bank's one- to four-family residential adjustable-rate loans are subject to periodic and lifetime caps and floors on interest rate changes that typically range between 200 and 650 basis points.

A concentration of credit risk existed within the Bank's loan portfolio at December 31, 2008, as the majority of real estate loans on that date were collateralized by properties located in the New York City metropolitan area.

At December 31, 2008, the Bank had $\$ 492,999$ of loans in its portfolio that featured interest only payments. These loans subject the Bank to additional risk since their principal balance will not be reduced significantly prior to contractual maturity. In addition at December 31, 2008, the Bank possessed a loss exposure of up to $\$ 21,865$ in connection with $\$ 50,957$ of interest only loans sold to FNMA.

The Bank's other loans were composed of the following:

| December | December |
| :---: | :---: |
| 31,2008 | 31,2007 |


| Passbook loans (secured by savings |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| and time deposits) | $\$ 1,059$ | $\$ 1,122$ |
| Consumer installment and other |  |  |
| loans | 1,132 | 1,047 |
|  | $\$ 2,191$ | $\$ 2,169$ |

Loans on which the accrual of interest was discontinued were $\$ 7,402$ and $\$ 2,856$ at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Interest income foregone on nonaccrual loans was $\$ 302$ during the year ended December 31, 2008, \$108 during the year ended December 31, 2007, and $\$ 131$ during the year ended December 31, 2006.

The Bank had no loans considered troubled-debt restructurings at December 31, 2008 and 2007.
At December 31, 2008, there were fifteen loans totaling $\$ 8,900$ deemed impaired under Amended SFAS 114, compared to six loans totaling $\$ 2,814$ as of December 31, 2007. The average balance of impaired loans was approximately $\$ 5,106$ during the year ended December 31, 2008, $\$ 2,717$ during the year ended December 31, 2007, and $\$ 1,920$ during the year ended December 31, 2006. During the year ended December 31, 2008, write-downs of principal totaling $\$ 586$ were recognized on impaired loans. There were no write-downs on impaired loans during the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, reserves allocated within the allowance for loan losses for impaired loans totaled $\$ 1,056$ and $\$ 348$, respectively. Net principal received on impaired loans totaled $\$ 293$ and net interest received on impaired loans totaled $\$ 63$ during the year ended December 31, 2008. Net principal received on impaired loans totaled $\$ 1,950$ and net interest received on impaired loans totaled $\$ 326$ during the year ended December 31, 2007. Net principal received on impaired loans totaled $\$ 628$ and net interest received on
impaired loans totaled \$132 during the year ended December 31, 2006.
The following assumptions were utilized in evaluating the loan portfolio pursuant to the provisions of Amended SFAS 114:

Homogenous Loans - Individual one- to four-family residential mortgage loans and cooperative apartment loans having a balance of $\$ 625.5$ or less and all consumer loans were considered to be small balance homogenous loan pools and, accordingly, not subject to Amended SFAS 114.
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Loans Evaluated for Impairment - All non-homogeneous loans were individually evaluated for potential impairment. Additionally, individual one- to four-family residential and cooperative apartment unit mortgage loans exceeding $\$ 625.5$ and delinquent in excess of 60 days were evaluated for impairment. A loan is considered impaired when it is probable that all contractual amounts due will not be collected in accordance with the terms of the loan. A loan is not deemed to be impaired, even during a period of delayed payment by the borrower, if the Bank ultimately expects to collect all amounts due, including interest accrued at the contractual rate. At December 31, 2007, all impaired loans were on nonaccrual status. In addition, at December 31, 2008 and 2007, approximately $\$ 597$ and $\$ 42$, respectively, of one- to four-family residential and cooperative apartment loans with a balance of $\$ 625.5$ or less and consumer loans were on nonaccrual status. These loans are considered as a homogeneous loan pool not subject to Amended SFAS 114. At December 31, 2008, loans totaling $\$ 2,096$, while on accrual status, were deemed impaired due to concerns over their payment history coupled with the potential shortfall of the value of their underlying collateral in the event of foreclosure.

Reserves and Charge-Offs - The Bank allocates a portion of its total allowance for loan losses to loans deemed impaired under Amended SFAS 114. All charge-offs on impaired loans are recorded as a reduction in both loan principal and the allowance for loan losses. Management evaluates the adequacy of its allowance for loan losses on a regular basis. Management believes that its allowance for impaired loans was adequate at December 31, 2008 and 2007.

Measurement of Impairment - Since all impaired loans are secured by real estate properties, the fair value of the collateral is utilized to measure impairment. The fair value of the collateral is measured as soon as practicable after the loan becomes impaired and periodically thereafter.

Income Recognition - Accrual of interest is generally discontinued on loans that have missed three consecutive monthly payments, at which time the Bank does not recognize the interest from the third month and evaluates whether the accrual of interest associated with the first two missed payments should be reversed. Payments on nonaccrual loans are generally applied to principal. Management may elect to continue the accrual of interest when a loan is in the process of collection and the estimated fair value of the collateral is sufficient to satisfy the outstanding principal balance (including any outstanding advances made related to the loan) and accrued interest. Loans are returned to accrual status once the doubt concerning collectibility has been removed and the borrower has demonstrated performance in accordance with the loan terms and conditions for a period of at least six months.

## Delinquent Serviced Loans Subject to a Recourse Exposure

The Bank has a recourse exposure associated with multifamily loans that it sold to FNMA between December 2002 and December 31, 2008. Under the terms of its seller/servicer agreement with FNMA, the Bank is obligated to fund FNMA all monthly principal and interest payments under the original terms of the loans until the earlier of the following events: (1) the loans have been fully satisfied or enter OREO status; or (2) the recourse exposure is fully exhausted.

Within the pool of multifamily loans sold to FNMA, the Bank had not received a payment from the borrower in excess of 90 days on loans totaling $\$ 23,749$ at December 31, 2008, and has identified an additional $\$ 3,555$ of other problem loans.

## 6. ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES AND RESERVE FOR RECOURSE EXPOSURE ON MULTIFAMILY LOANS SOLD TO FNMA

Changes in the allowance for loan losses for loans owned by the Bank were as follows:
Year Ended
December 31,

|  | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | $\$ 15,387$ | $\$ 15,514$ | $\$ 15,785$ |
| Balance at beginning of period | 2,006 | 240 | 240 |
| Provision for loan losses | $(612)$ | $(28)$ | $(50)$ |
| Loans charged off | 29 | 19 | 23 |
| Recoveries |  |  |  |
| Transfer from (to) reserves on loan | 644 | $(358)$ | $(484)$ |
| commitments | $\$ 17,454$ | $\$ 15,387$ | $\$ 15,514$ |

The Bank maintains a reserve liability in relation to the recourse exposure on multifamily loans sold to FNMA that reflects estimated future losses on this loan pool at each period end. In determining the estimate of probable future losses, the Bank utilizes a methodology similar to the calculation of its allowance for loan losses. For all performing loans within the
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FNMA serviced pool, the reserve recognized is the present value of the estimated future losses calculated based upon the historical loss experience for comparable multifamily loans owned by the Bank. For problem loans within the pool, the estimated future losses are determined in a manner consistent with impaired or classified loans within the Bank's loan portfolio.

The following is a summary of the aggregate balance of multifamily loans serviced for FNMA, the period-end balance of total recourse exposure associated with these loans, and activity related to the reserve liability.

|  | At or for the Year Ended December 31, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Outstanding balance of multifamily loans serviced for FNMA at period end | \$519,831 | \$535,793 | \$494,770 |
| Total recourse exposure at end of period | 21,865 | 20,409 | 18,495 |
| Reserve Liability on the Recourse Exposure |  |  |  |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$2,436 | \$2,223 | \$1,771 |
| Additions for loans sold during the period 1 | 101 | 213 | 452 |
| Provision for losses on problem loans1 | 3,946 | - | - |
| Charge-offs | (910) | - | - |
| Balance at period end | \$5,573 | \$2,436 | \$2,223 |

1 Amount recognized as a portion of mortgage banking income during the period.
Absent extenuating circumstances, the $\$ 910$ of charge-offs recognized in 2008, and the full reserve liability balance of $\$ 5,573$ at December 31, 2008, would have represented likely future loss claims, and upon ultimate settlement of the loans, the Bank would have sought to reduce the $\$ 21,865$ total recourse exposure. However, of the $\$ 5,573$ million reserve liability that existed at December $31,2008, \$ 1,361$ related to a loan that the Bank was required to repurchase under the terms of its seller/servicer agreement with FNMA at the initial purchase price. This loan also accounted for $\$ 146$ of the $\$ 910$ in charge-offs recognized during 2008. The re-acquisition of this loan was completed on January 30, 2009, and the Bank understands that no losses associated with this loan shall reduce the $\$ 21,865$ total recourse exposure.

In addition, on January 30, 2009, the Bank re-acquired three other problem loans from FNMA (all associated with one common borrower), on which aggregate charge-offs of $\$ 701$ were recognized during the year ended December 31, 2008, and on which a specific reserve of $\$ 1,593$ was included in the $\$ 5,573$ reserve liability at December 31 , 2008. Under the terms of the re-acquisition agreement, upon ultimate resolution of these loans, $50 \%$ of their aggregate losses will reduce the $\$ 21,865$ total recourse exposure. In exchange for this concession, the Bank received the potential right to reduce the $\$ 21,865$ total recourse exposure commencing on January $1,2015$.

## 7. MORTGAGE SERVICING ACTIVITIES

At December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, the Bank was servicing loans for others having principal balances outstanding of approximately $\$ 659,381, \$ 563,383$, and $\$ 519,165$, respectively. Servicing loans for others generally consists of collecting mortgage payments, maintaining escrow accounts, disbursing payments to investors, paying taxes and insurance, and processing foreclosure. In connection with loans serviced for others, the Bank held borrowers' escrow balances of approximately $\$ 10,550$ and $\$ 7,121$ at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

There are no restrictions on the Company's consolidated assets or liabilities related to loans that were sold with servicing rights retained. Upon sale of these loans, the Company recognized MSR, and has elected to account for the MSR under the "amortization method" prescribed in SFAS No. 156. The MSR related to these loans totaled $\$ 2,778$ and $\$ 2,496$ at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. MSR recognized from loan sales were $\$ 974, \$ 493$ and
$\$ 815$ during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. A reserve of $\$ 60$ was recognized during the year ended December 31, 2008 related to the decline in the fair value of a portion of the MSR below its amortized cost basis. There were no such reserves recognized related to MSR during the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006. Amortization of servicing rights was $\$ 632$, $\$ 589$ and $\$ 569$ during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Key economic assumptions and the sensitivity of the current fair value of residual cash flows to immediate 10 and 20 percent adverse changes in those assumptions used to value the MSR were as follows:

|  | At <br> December <br> 31,2008 | At <br> December <br> 31,2007 | At <br> December <br> 31,2006 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net carrying value of the servicing asset | $\$ 2,778$ | $\$ 2,496$ | $\$ 2,592$ |
| Fair value of the servicing asset | 2,841 | 3,914 | 3,556 |
| Weighted average life (in years) <br> Prepayment speed assumptions (annual <br> rate) | 6.29 | 8.25 | 8.25 |
| Impact on fair value of $10 \%$ adverse <br> change | $\$(45)$ | $\$(89)$ | $\$(76)$ |
| Impact on fair value of $20 \%$ adverse <br> change | $\$(90)$ | $\$(174)$ | $\$(150)$ |
| Expected credit losses (annual rate) | $\$ 13$ | $\$ 13$ | $\$ 65$ |
| Impact on fair value of $10 \%$ adverse <br> change | $\$(9)$ | $\$(1)$ | $\$(1)$ |
| Impact on fair value of $20 \%$ adverse <br> change | $\$(18)$ | $\$(3)$ | $\$(3)$ |
| Residual cash flows discount rate <br> (annual rate) <br> Impact on fair value of $10 \%$ adverse <br> change | $13.75 \%$ | $12.75 \%$ | $13.75 \%$ |
| Impact on fair value of $20 \%$ adverse <br> change | $\$(60)$ | $\$(107)$ | $\$(101)$ |
| Average Interest rate on adjustable rate <br> loans | $\$(117)$ | $\$(207)$ | $\$(195)$ |
| Impact on fair value of $10 \%$ adverse <br> change | $5.74 \%$ | $5.66 \%$ | $5.62 \%$ |
| Impact on fair value of $20 \%$ adverse <br> change | - | - | - |

Net mortgage banking income presented in the consolidated statements of operations was comprised of the following items:

|  | Year Ended <br> December 31, <br> 2007 |  |  | 2006 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Gain on the sale of loans originated for sale <br> (1) | 2008 |  |  |  |
| Provision to increase the liability for loans |  |  |  |  |
| sold with recourse |  |  |  |  |

(1) These amounts for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 have been reclassified to conform to their presentation for the year ended December 31, 2008. These amounts were included in non-interest income during the
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years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006. The reclassification thus does not result in a materially different presentation.

## 8. PREMISES AND FIXED ASSETS, NET

The following is a summary of premises and fixed assets, net:

|  | December 31, December <br>  <br>  <br> Land$r 008$ | 31,2007 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Buildings | $\$ 7,237$ | $\$ 7,237$ |
| Leasehold improvements | 25,986 | 21,532 |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment | 16,488 | 5,209 |
|  | 56,892 | 48,558 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation and |  |  |
| amortization | $(26,466)$ | $(24,658)$ |
|  | $\$ 30,426$ | $\$ 23,878$ |

Depreciation and amortization expense amounted to approximately $\$ 1,808, \$ 1,574$ and $\$ 1,459$ during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

## 9. FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK OF NEW YORK CAPITAL STOCK

The Bank is a Savings Bank Member of the FHLBNY. Membership requires the purchase of shares of FHLBNY capital stock at $\$ 100$ per share. The Bank owned 534,346 shares and 390,294 shares at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The Bank recorded dividends on the FHLBNY capital stock of $\$ 2,647, \$ 2,169$ and $\$ 1,688$ during the years ended December 31,
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2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The FHLBNY satisfied all stock redemptions at par during 2008, and has guaranteed a minimum quarterly dividend between $2.5 \%$ and $3.0 \%$ for the fourth quarter of 2008, which will be paid during the quarter ending March 31, 2009.

## 10. DUE TO DEPOSITORS

Deposits are summarized as follows:

|  | At December$31,2008$ |  | December 31, 2007 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Effective |  | Effective |  |
|  | Cost | Liability | Cost | Liability |
| Savings accounts | 0.57\% | \$270,321 | 0.55\% | \$274,067 |
| Certificates of deposit | 3.69 | 1,153,166 | 4.61 | 1,077,087 |
| Money market accounts | 2.63 | 633,167 | 4.04 | 678,759 |
| Interest bearing checking accounts | 2.10 | 112,687 | 2.38 | 61,687 |
| Non-interest bearing checking accounts | - | 90,710 | - | 88,398 |
|  | 2.79\% | \$2,260,051 | 3.67\% | \% \$2,179,998 |

The distribution of certificates of deposit by remaining maturity was as follows:

|  | At December | At December |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
|  | 31,2008 | 31,2007 |
| Maturity in one year or less | $\$ 986,226$ | $\$ 968,128$ |
| Over one year through three |  |  |
| years | 122,435 | 99,928 |
| Over three years to five years | 44,505 | 9,021 |
| Over five years | - | 10 |
| Total certificates of deposit | $\$ 1,153,166$ | $\$ 1,077,087$ |

The aggregate amount of certificates of deposit with a minimum denomination of one-hundred thousand dollars was approximately $\$ 410,711$ and $\$ 364,591$ at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

## 11. SECURITIES SOLD UNDER AGREEMENTS TO REPURCHASE

Presented below is information concerning securities sold under agreements to repurchase:

|  | At or for the |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year Ended December 31, |  |  |
|  | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Balance outstanding at end of period | $\$ 230,000$ | $\$ 155,080$ | $\$ 120,235$ |
| Average interest cost at end of period | $4.32 \%$ | $4.53 \%$ | $3.54 \%$ |
| Average balance outstanding during the period | $\$ 227,828$ | $\$ 132,685$ | $\$ 134,541$ |
| Average interest cost during the period | $3.80 \%$ | $4.11 \%$ | $1.95 \%(a)$ |
| Carrying amount of underlying collateral | $\$ 251,744$ | $\$ 163,116$ | $\$ 126,830$ |
| Estimated fair value of underlying collateral | $\$ 251,744$ | $\$ 163,116$ | $\$ 126,830$ |
| Maximum balance outstanding at month end |  |  |  |
| during the year | $\$ 265,000$ | $\$ 155,160$ | $\$ 205,455$ |

(a) During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company recorded a reduction of $\$ 2,176$ in interest expense on securities sold under agreements to repurchase that were prepaid. Excluding this reduction, the average cost of securities sold under agreements to repurchase would have been $3.56 \%$ during the year ended December 31, 2006.

## 12. FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK OF NEW YORK ADVANCES

The Bank had borrowings ("Advances") from the FHLBNY totaling \$1,019,675 and \$706,500 at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The average interest cost of FHLBNY Advances was $4.02 \%, 4.30 \%$, and $4.69 \%$ during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company incurred $\$ 1,369$ in additional interest expense related to the prepayment of FHLBNY Advances. Excluding this increase, the average cost of FHLBNY Advances would have been $4.45 \%$ during the year ended December 31, 2006. The average interest rate on outstanding FHLBNY Advances was $3.85 \%$ and $4.07 \%$ at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. At December 31, 2008, in
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accordance with its Advances, Collateral Pledge and Security Agreement with the FHLBNY, the Bank maintained the requisite qualifying collateral with the FHLBNY (principally real estate loans), as defined by the FHLBNY, to secure such Advances. At December 31, 2008, the FHLBNY Advances had contractual maturities ranging from January 2009 through December 2017. Certain of the FHLBNY Advances outstanding at December 31, 2008 contained call features that may be exercised by the FHLBNY.

## 13. SUBORDINATED NOTES PAYABLE AND TRUST PREFERRED SECURITIES PAYABLE

On April 12, 2000, the Holding Company issued subordinated notes in the aggregate amount of $\$ 25,000$. The notes have a $9.25 \%$ fixed rate of interest and mature on May 1, 2010. Interest expense recorded on the notes, inclusive of amortization of related issuance costs, was $\$ 2,396$ during each of the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006.

On March 19, 2004, the Holding Company completed an offering of an aggregate amount of $\$ 72,165$ of trust preferred securities through Dime Community Capital Trust I, an unconsolidated special purpose entity formed for the purpose of the offering. Of the total amount offered, the Holding Company retained ownership of $\$ 2,165$ of the securities. The trust preferred securities bear a fixed interest rate of $7.0 \%$, mature on April 14, 2034, and are callable without penalty at any time on or after April 15, 2009. The Holding Company currently does not intend to call this debt.

Interest expense recorded on the trust preferred securities totaled $\$ 5,129$ during each of the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006. Of the total interest payments, $\$ 152$ was paid to the Holding Company in each of the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 related to its $\$ 2,165$ investment in the securities, and was recorded in other non-interest income.

## 14. INCOME TAXES

The Company's consolidated Federal, State and City income tax provisions were comprised of the following:

|  | Year Ended December 31, 2008 |  |  | Year Ended December 31, 2007 |  |  | Year Ended December 31, 2006 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | State and |  |  | State and |  |  | State and |  |  |
|  | Federal | City | Total | Federal | City | Total | Federal | City | Total |
| Current | \$15,906 | \$1,307 | \$17,213 | \$11,976 | \$2,106 | \$14,082 | \$15,385 | \$1,564 | \$16,949 |
| Deferred | $(1,936)$ | (1,118) | ) $(3,054)$ | (204) | (630) | (834) | (176) | 279 | 103 |
|  | \$13,970 | \$189 | \$14,159 | \$11,772 | \$1,476 | \$13,248 | \$15,209 | \$1,843 | \$17,052 |

The preceding table excludes tax effects recorded directly to stockholders' equity in connection with unrealized gains and losses on securities available-for-sale, stock-based compensation plans, and adjustments to other comprehensive income relating to the minimum pension liability, unrecognized gains of pension and other postretirement obligations and the adoption of SFAS 158. These tax effects are disclosed as part of the presentation of the consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income.

The provision for income taxes differed from that computed at the Federal statutory rate as follows:

|  | Year Ended |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | December 31, |  |  |
| Tax at Federal statutory rate | $\$ 14,765$ | $\$ 007$ | 2006 |
| State and local taxes, net of Federal |  |  |  |
| income tax benefit | 1,058 | 959 | $\$ 16,675$ |


| Benefit plan differences | 48 | (37) | (159) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjustments for prior period tax <br> returns | $(317)$ | 641 | $(42)$ |
| Investment in BOLI | $(700)$ | $(880)$ | $(654)$ |
| Recovery of reserve for unrecognized |  |  |  |
| tax benefits | $(581)$ | $(183)$ | - |
| Other, net | $(114)$ | 256 | 34 |
|  | $\$ 14,159$ | $\$ 13,248$ | $\$ 17,052$ |
| Effective tax rate | $33.56 \%$ | $37.12 \%$ | $35.79 \%$ |

In accordance with SFAS 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes," deferred tax assets and liabilities are recorded for temporary differences between the book and tax bases of assets and liabilities.

The components of Federal and State and City deferred income tax assets and liabilities were as follows:
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|  | At |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | December 31, |  |
| Deferred tax assets: | 2008 | 2007 |
| Excess book bad debt over tax bad debt reserve | $\$ 7,890$ | $\$ 7,156$ |
| Employee benefit plans | 11,468 | 7,063 |
| Tax effect of other comprehensive income on securities |  |  |
| available-for-sale | 2,987 | 1,079 |
| Other-than temporary impairment on securities | 1,449 | - |
| State net operating loss carryforwards expiring in 2027 and |  |  |
| 2026 | - | 873 |
| Other | 691 | 504 |
| Total deferred tax assets |  | 16,675 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | 541 | 600 |
| Difference in book and tax carrying value of fixed assets | 174 | 179 |
| Tax effect of purchase accounting fair value adjustments | 94 | 103 |
| Other | 809 | 882 |
| Total deferred tax liabilities |  |  |
| Valuation Allowance for State net operating loss | - | 873 |
| carryforwards | $\$ 23,676$ | $\$ 14,920$ |

At December 31, 2007, a valuation allowance of $\$ 873$ was established against the deferred tax asset associated with State net operating loss carryforwards. This deferred tax asset and the valuation allowance were eliminated during the year ended December 31, 2008, as the Company determined that the benefit of the net operating loss will not be recognized. No other valuation allowances were recognized during the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, since, at each period end, it was more likely than not that the deferred tax assets would be fully realized. At December 31,2008 , the Company had capital loss carryforwards totaling $\$ 2,036$, which expire beginning in the tax year ending December 31, 2011. The Company believes that the full amount of these carryforwards will be fully utilized prior to expiration.

At December 31, 2008, the Bank had approximately $\$ 60,000$ of bad debt reserves for New York State and New York City income tax purposes for which no provision for income tax was required to be recorded. However, these bad debt reserves could be subject to recapture into taxable income under certain circumstances. New York State and federal recapture liabilities could be triggered by certain actions, including a distribution of these bad debt benefits to the Holding Company or the failure of the Bank to qualify as a bank for federal or New York State and New York City tax purposes.

In order for the Bank to permissibly maintain a New York State and New York City tax bad debt reserve for thrifts, certain thrift definitional tests must be satisfied on an ongoing basis. These include maintaining at least $60 \%$ of assets in thrift qualifying assets, as defined for tax purposes, and maintaining a thrift charter. If the Bank fails to satisfy these definitional tests, it would be required to transition to the reserve method permitted to commercial banks under New York State and New York City income tax law, which would result in an increase in the New York State and New York City income tax provision, and a deferred tax liability would be established to reflect the eventual recapture of some or all of the New York State and New York City bad debt reserve.

The Company expects to take no action in the foreseeable future that would require the establishment of a tax liability associated with these bad debt reserves.

The Company is subject to regular examination by various tax authorities in jurisdictions in which the Company conducts significant business operations. The Company regularly assesses the likelihood of additional assessments in each of the tax jurisdictions resulting from ongoing assessments.

The Company adopted FIN 48 on January 1, 2007. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in financial statements prepared in accordance with SFAS 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes." FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on de-recognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. Pursuant to FIN 48, a tax position adopted is subjected to two levels of evaluation. Initially, a determination is made as to whether it is more likely than not that a tax position
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will be sustained upon examination, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits of the position. In conducting this evaluation, management is required to presume that the position will be examined by the appropriate taxing authority possessing full knowledge of all relevant information. The second level of evaluation is the measurement of a tax position that satisfies the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold. This measurement is performed in order to determine the amount of benefit to recognize in the financial statements. The tax position is measured at the largest amount of benefit that is greater than 50 percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. FIN 48 further requires tabular disclosure of material activity related to unrecognized tax benefits that do not satisfy the recognition provisions established under FIN 48. The adoption of FIN 48 on January 1, 2007 resulted in an increase of $\$ 1,703$ in the liability for unrecognized tax benefits, which was accounted for as a reduction of the Company's consolidated January 1, 2007 retained earnings.

The following table reconciles the Company's gross unrecognized tax benefits:

|  | Year Ended December31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 |
| Gross unrecognized tax benefits at the beginning of the period | \$2,274 | \$2,716 |
| Lapse of statue of limitations |  | (183) |
| Gross increases - current period tax positions | - | 73 |
| Gross decreases - prior period tax positions | (866) | (332) |
| Gross unrecognized tax benefits at the end of the period | \$1,408 | \$2,274 |

If recognized, the net unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2008 would have reduced the Company's consolidated income tax expense by $\$ 915$ (excluding interest of $\$ 312$ ) all of which would have favorably impacted the Company's consolidated effective tax rate.

Interest associated with unrecognized tax benefits approximated $\$ 480$ and $\$ 509$ at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The Company recognizes interest accrued related to unrecognized tax benefits and penalties as income tax expense. Related to the unrecognized tax benefits noted above, the Company reversed interest of $\$ 29$ during 2008 and $\$ 139$ during 2007 and in total, as of December 31, 2008, had an unrecognized tax liability for interest of \$312, and no unrecognized tax liability for penalties. The Company is currently under audit by taxing jurisdictions. As a result of these examinations, the entire amount of the unrecognized tax benefits (including interest) could be impacted within the next twelve months.

All entities for which unrecognized tax benefits existed as of December 31, 2008 currently possess a December 31st tax year. These entities changed their tax year end from June 30th to December 31st effective December 31, 2007. As of December 31, 2008, the tax year ended June 30, 2007, the tax period July 1, 2007 through December 31, 2007 and the tax year ended December 31, 2008 remained subject to examination by all of the Company's relevant tax jurisdictions, while the tax years ended June 30, 2005 and 2006 additionally remained subject to examination by both the Internal Revenue Service and the New York State Department of Taxation. The Company is currently under audit by taxing jurisdictions.

## 15. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

Employee Retirement Plan - The Bank sponsors the Employee Retirement Plan, a tax-qualified, noncontributory, defined-benefit retirement plan. Prior to April 1, 2000, substantially all full-time employees of at least 21 years of age were eligible for participation after one year of service. Effective April 1, 2000, the Bank froze all participant benefits under the Employee Retirement Plan.

The net periodic (credit)cost for the Employee Retirement Plan included the following components:

|  | Ended December 31, Year |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|  | 1,117 | 1,071 | 1,041 |
| Interest cost | $(1,942)$ | $(1,799)$ | $(1,753)$ |
| Expected return on plan <br> assets | 268 | 470 | 580 |
| Net amortization and <br> deferral | $\$(557)$ | $\$(258)$ | $\$(132)$ |

The funded status of the Employee Retirement Plan was as follows:

|  | December 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 |
| Accumulated benefit obligation at end of period | \$17,660 | \$18,357 |
| Reconciliation of Projected benefit obligation: |  |  |
| Projected benefit obligation at beginning of period | \$18,357 | \$18,753 |
| Adjustment for change in measurement date | 279 | - |
| Interest cost | 1,117 | 1,070 |
| Actuarial gain | (266) | (430) |
| Benefit payments | $(1,287)$ | $(1,036)$ |
| Settlements | (540) | - |
| Projected benefit obligation at end of period | 17,660 | 18,357 |
| Plan assets at fair value (investments in trust funds managed by trustee) |  |  |
| Balance at beginning of period | 22,172 | 20,509 |
| Return on plan assets | $(6,190)$ | 2,699 |
| Contributions | - | - |
| Benefit payments | $(1,287)$ | $(1,036)$ |
| Settlements | (540) | - |
| Balance at end of period | 14,155 | 22,172 |
| Funded status: |  |  |
| (Deficiency) Excess of plan assets over projected benefit obligation | $(3,505)$ | 3,815 |
| Unrecognized loss from experience different from that assumed | N/A | N/A |
| (Accrued) Prepaid retirement expense included in other (liabilities) assets | \$ 3,505 ) | \$3,815 |

At December 31, 2008, an unfunded pension liability of $\$ 7,019$ was recognized as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss, related to the pre-tax unfunded pension obligation of $\$ 12,796$ on the Employee Retirement Plan. For the year ended December 31, 2008, the Bank used December 31st as its measurement date for the Employee Retirement Plan. For the year ended December 31, 2007, the Bank used October 1st as its measurement date for the Employee Retirement Plan. Due to recent changes in pension funding law and sharp declines in asset values, the Company cannot provide a current estimate of expected contributions to the Employee Retirement Plan in 2009. During the year ending December $31,2009, \$ 1,162$ in actuarial losses are anticipated to be recognized as a component of net periodic cost.

Major assumptions utilized to determine the net periodic cost (credit) or the benefit obligations were as follows:

|  | At |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | December 31, |  |
|  | 2008 | 2007 |
| Discount rate | $6.09 \%$ | $6.29 \%$ |
| Expected long-term return on plan <br> assets | 9.00 | 9.00 |
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Employee Retirement Plan assets are invested in six diversified investment funds of RSI Retirement Trust (the "Trust"), a no-load series open-ended mutual fund. The investment funds include four equity mutual funds and two bond mutual funds, each with its own investment objectives, strategies and risks, as detailed in the Trust's prospectus. All of the investment funds have quoted market prices. The Trust has been given discretion by the Employee Retirement Plan sponsor to determine the appropriate strategic asset allocation versus plan liabilities, as governed by the Trust's Statement of Investment Objectives and Guidelines (the "Guidelines").

The long-term investment objective is to be invested $65 \%$ in equity mutual funds and $35 \%$ in bond mutual funds. If the Employee Retirement Plan is underfunded under the Guidelines, the bond fund portion will be temporarily increased to $50 \%$ in the manner prescribed under the Guidelines, in order to lessen asset value volatility. When the Employee Retirement Plan is no longer underfunded, the bond fund portion will be returned to $35 \%$. Asset rebalancing is performed at least annually, with interim adjustments performed when the investment mix varies in excess of $10 \%$ from the target.

The investment goal is to achieve investment results that will contribute to the proper funding of the Employee Retirement Plan by exceeding the rate of inflation over the long-term. In addition, investment managers for the Trust are expected to provide above average performance when compared to their peer managers. Performance volatility is also monitored. Risk/volatility is further managed by the distinct investment objectives of each of the Trust funds and the diversification within each fund.
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The weighted average allocation by asset category of the assets of the Employee Retirement Plan were summarized as follows:

|  |  | At December 31, |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 |
| Asset Category | $59 \%$ | $70 \%$ |
| Equity securities <br> Debt securities (bond <br> mutual funds) | 41 | 30 |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

The allocation percentages in the above table are consistent with future planned allocation percentages as of December 31, 2008.

The expected long-term rate of return assumptions on Employee Retirement Plan assets were established based upon historical returns earned by equities and fixed income securities, adjusted to reflect expectations of future returns as applied to the Employee Retirement Plan's target allocation of asset classes. Equities and fixed income securities were assumed to earn real rates of return in the ranges of $5 \%$ to $9 \%$ and $2 \%$ to $6 \%$, respectively. The long-term inflation rate was estimated to be $3 \%$. When these overall return expectations were applied to the Employee Retirement Plan's target allocation, the expected rate of return was determined to be $9.0 \%$, which approximates the midpoint of the range of the expected return.

Benefit payments, which reflect expected future service (as appropriate), are anticipated to be made as follows:

| Year Ending December 31, | $\$ 1,178$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2009 | 1,180 |
| 2010 | 1,186 |
| 2011 | 1,200 |
| 2012 | 1,206 |
| 2013 | 6,060 |

BMP - The Holding Company and Bank maintain the BMP, which exists in order to compensate executive officers for any curtailments in benefits due to statutory limitations on benefit plans. As of December 31, 2008 and 2007, the BMP had investments in the Holding Company's common stock of $\$ 9,788$ and $\$ 9,352$, respectively. Benefit accruals under the defined benefit portion of the BMP were suspended on April 1, 2000, when they were suspended under the Employee Retirement Plan.

Retirement Plan for Board Members of Dime Community Bancshares, Inc. ("Directors' Retirement Plan") - Effective July 1, 1996, the Bank established the Directors' Retirement Plan, to provide benefits to each eligible outside director commencing upon termination of their Board service or at age 75. The Directors' Retirement Plan was frozen on March 31, 2005, and only outside directors who were in service prior to that date are eligible for benefits.

The combined net periodic cost for the defined benefit portions of the BMP and the Directors' Retirement Plan included the following components:

|  | Year Ended December 31, |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |  |
| Service cost | $\$-$ | $\$-$ | $\$-$ |  |
| Interest cost | 315 | 281 | 269 |  |


| Net amortization and <br> deferral |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Net periodic cost | - | - | 38 |
|  | $\$ 315$ | $\$ 281$ | $\$ 307$ |

The defined contribution costs incurred by the Company related to the BMP were $\$ 28$ and $\$ 75$ for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. There is no defined contribution cost incurred by the Holding Company or Bank under the Directors' Retirement Plan.
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The combined funded status of the defined benefit portions of the BMP and Directors' Retirement Plan was as follows:

|  | At December 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 |
| Accumulated benefit obligation at end of period | \$5,174 | \$5,166 |
| Reconciliation of projected benefit obligation: |  |  |
| Projected benefit obligation at beginning of period | \$5,134 | \$4,910 |
| Adjustment for change in measurement date | 79 | - |
| Service cost | - | - |
| Interest cost | 315 | 281 |
| Benefit payments | (128) | (128) |
| Actuarial (gain) loss | (226) | 71 |
| Projected benefit obligation at end of period | 5,174 | 5,134 |
| Plan assets at fair value: |  |  |
| Balance at beginning of period | - | - |
| Contributions | 128 | 128 |
| Benefit payments | (128) | (128) |
| Balance at end of period | - | - |
| Funded status: |  |  |
| Deficiency of plan assets over projected benefit obligation | $(5,174)$ | $(5,134)$ |
| Contributions by employer | N/A | N/A |
| Unrecognized (gain) loss from experience different from that assumed | N/A | N/A |
| Unrecognized net past service liability | N/A | N/A |
| Accrued expense included in other liabilities | \$(5,174) | \$(5,134) |

Major assumptions utilized to determine the net periodic cost and benefit obligation for the BMP were as follows:

|  | Year Ended December 31, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |  |
| Discount rate | $6.29 \%$ | $5.875 \%$ | $5.50 \%$ |

Major assumptions utilized to determine the net periodic cost and benefit obligation for the Directors' Retirement Plan were as follows:

|  | Year Ended December 31, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Discount rate | 6.29\% | 5.875\% | 5.50\% |
| Rate of increase in fee compensation levels | - | - | 4.0 |

As of December 31, 2008, the Bank used December 31st as its measurement date for both the BMP and Directors' Retirement Plan. As of December 31, 2007, the Bank used October 1st as its measurement date for both the BMP and Directors' Retirement Plan. Both the BMP and Directors' Retirement Plan are unfunded non-qualified benefit plans that are not anticipated to ever hold assets for investment. Any contributions made to either the BMP or Directors' Retirement Plan are expected to be used immediately to pay benefits that come due.

The Bank expects to contribute $\$ 198$ to the BMP and $\$ 131$ to the Directors' Retirement Plan during the year ending December 31, 2009 in order to pay benefits due under the respective plans. During the year ending December 31, 2009, no actuarial gains or losses are anticipated to be recognized as a component of net periodic cost.
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Combined benefit payments under the BMP and Directors' Retirement Plan, which reflect expected future service (as appropriate), are anticipated to be made as follows:

| Year Ending December 31, | $\$ 328$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2009 | 350 |
| 2010 | 377 |
| 2011 | 473 |
| 2012 | 469 |
| 2013 | $\$ 2,322$ |

Postretirement Benefit Plan - The Bank offers the Postretirement Benefit Plan to its retired employees who provided at least five consecutive years of credited service and were active employees prior to April 1, 1991, as follows:
(1) Qualified employees who retired prior to April 1, 1991 receive the full medical coverage in effect at the time of retirement until their death at no cost to such retirees;
(2) Qualified employees retiring on or after after April 1, 1991 are eligible for continuation of the medical coverage in effect at the time of retirement until their death. Throughout retirement, the Bank will continue to pay the premiums for the coverage not to exceed the premium amount paid for the first year of retirement coverage. Should the premiums increase, the employee is required to pay the differential to maintain full medical coverage.

Postretirement Benefit Plan benefits are available only to full-time employees who commenced collecting retirement benefits immediately upon termination of service from the Bank. The Bank reserves the right at any time, to the extent permitted by law, to change, terminate or discontinue any of the group benefits, and can exercise the maximum discretion permitted by law in administering, interpreting, modifying or taking any other action with respect to the plan or benefits.

The Postretirement Benefit Plan net periodic cost included the following components:

|  | Year Ended December 31, |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Service cost | $\$ 83$ | $\$ 83$ | $\$ 82$ |
| Interest cost | 261 | 245 | 227 |
| Unrecognized past service <br> liability | $(26)$ | $(29)$ | $(29)$ |
| Amortization of unrealized | 16 | 29 | 40 |
| loss | $\$ 334$ | $\$ 328$ | $\$ 320$ |
| Net periodic cost |  |  |  |

Major assumptions utilized to determine the net periodic cost were as follows:

|  | Year Ended December 31, |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Discount rate | $6.29 \%$ | $5.875 \%$ | $5.50 \%$ |
| Rate of increase in compensation <br> levels | 4.00 | 3.50 | 3.00 |

As of December 31, 2008, an escalation in the assumed medical care cost trend rates by $1 \%$ in each year would increase the net periodic cost by approximately $\$ 20$. A decline in the assumed medical care cost trend rates by $1 \%$ in each year would decrease the net periodic cost by approximately $\$ 18$.
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The funded status of the Postretirement Benefit Plan was as follows:

|  | At December 31, 2008 | At December 31, 2007 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accumulated benefit obligation at end of period | \$5,066 | \$4,236 |
| Reconciliation of projected benefit obligation: |  |  |
| Projected benefit obligation at beginning of period | \$4,236 | \$4,244 |
| Adjustment for change in measurement date | 86 | - |
| Service cost | 83 | 83 |
| Interest cost | 261 | 244 |
| Actuarial (gain) loss | 566 | (166) |
| Benefit payments | (166) | (169) |
| Projected benefit obligation at end of period | 5,066 | 4,236 |
| Plan assets at fair value: |  |  |
| Balance at beginning of period | - | - |
| Contributions | 167 | 169 |
| Benefit payments | (167) | (169) |
| Balance at end of period | - | - |
| Funded status: |  |  |
| (Deficiency) of plan assets over projected benefit obligation | $(5,066)$ | $(4,236)$ |
| Unrecognized loss from experience different from that assumed | N/A | N/A |
| Unrecognized net past service liability | N/A | N/A |
| Accrued expense included in other liabilities | \$(5,066) | \$(4,236) |

At December 31, 2008, an unfunded pension liability of $\$ 637$ was recognized as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss related to the pre-tax unfunded pension obligation of $\$ 1,162$ on the Postretirement Benefit Plan. As of December 31, 2008, the Bank used December 31st as its measurement date for the Postretirement Benefit Plan. As of December 31, 2007, the Bank used October 1st as its measurement date for the Postretirement Benefit Plan. The assumed medical care cost trend rate used in computing the accumulated Postretirement Benefit Plan obligation was $9.0 \%$ in 2008 and was assumed to decrease gradually to $5.00 \%$ in 2013 and remain at that level thereafter. An escalation in the assumed medical care cost trend rates by $1 \%$ in each year would increase the accumulated Postretirement Benefit Plan obligation by approximately $\$ 262$. A decline in the assumed medical care cost trend rates by $1 \%$ in each year would decrease the accumulated Postretirement Benefit Plan obligation by approximately $\$ 240$. The assumed discount rate and rate of compensation increase used to measure the accumulated Postretirement Benefit Plan obligation were $6.29 \%$ and $4.0 \%$, respectively, at December 31, 2008. The assumed discount rate and rate of compensation increase used to measure the accumulated Postretirement Benefit Plan obligation were $5.875 \%$ and $3.5 \%$, respectively, at December 31, 2007. The assumed discount rate and rate of compensation increase used to measure the accumulated Postretirement Benefit Plan obligation at December 31, 2006 were $5.50 \%$ and $3.00 \%$, respectively.

In May 2004, the FASB issued FSP 106-2 ("FSP 106-2"), "Accounting and Disclosure Requirements Related to the Medicare Prescription Drug Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 (the "Act")," to provide guidance on accounting for the effects of the Act to employers that sponsor postretirement health care plans which provide prescription drug benefits. FSP 106-2 provides guidance on measuring the accumulated postretirement benefit
obligation ("APBO") and net periodic postretirement benefit cost, and the effects of the Act on the APBO. The Company determined that the benefits provided by the Postretirement Benefit Plan are actuarially equivalent to Medicare Part D under the Act. The effects of the subsidy were treated as an actuarial gain for purposes of calculating the APBO as of December 31, 2008 and 2007. The Company is still in the process of claiming this subsidy from the government, and, as a result, the Bank cannot determine the amount of subsidy it will ultimately receive.

The Postretirement Benefit Plan is an unfunded non-qualified benefit plan that is not anticipated to ever hold assets for investment. Any contributions made to the Postretirement Benefit Plan are expected to be used immediately to pay benefits that come due.

The Bank expects to contribute $\$ 161$ to the Postretirement Benefit Plan during the year ending December 31, 2009 in order to pay benefits due under the plan. During the year ending December 31, 2009, $\$ 57$ of actuarial losses are anticipated to be recognized as components of net periodic cost.
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Benefit payments under the Postretirement Benefit Plan, which reflect expected future service (as appropriate), are expected to be made as follows:

| Year Ending December 31, | $\$ 161$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2009 | 166 |
| 2010 | 176 |
| 2011 | 189 |
| 2012 | 198 |
| 2013 | 1,160 |

401(k) Plan - The Bank also maintains the 401 (k) Plan which covers substantially all of its employees. During the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, an employer contribution equal to $3 \%$ of "covered compensation" [defined as total W-2 compensation including amounts deducted from W-2 compensation for pre-tax benefits such as health insurance premiums and contributions to the 401 (k) Plan] up to applicable Internal Revenue Service limits, was awarded to all employees who were eligible to participate in the 401(k) Plan regardless of whether or not they participated in the $401(\mathrm{k})$ Plan during 2008 and 2007. During the year ended December 31, 2006, the 401(k) Plan received the proceeds from a $100 \%$ vested cash contribution to all participants in the ESOP in the amount of $3 \%$ of "covered compensation" up to applicable Internal Revenue Service limits. 401(k) Plan participants possess the ability to invest this contribution in any of the investment options offered under the 401(k) Plan. The Bank makes no other contributions to the $401(\mathrm{k})$ Plan. Expenses associated with this contribution totaled $\$ 480, \$ 383$ and $\$ 397$ during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

The 401(k) Plan owned participant investments in the Holding Company's common stock for the accounts of participants totaling $\$ 6,006$ and $\$ 7,498$ at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

ESOP - The Holding Company adopted the ESOP in connection with the Bank's June 26, 1996 conversion to stock ownership. The ESOP borrowed $\$ 11,638$ from the Holding Company and used the funds to purchase $3,927,825$ shares of the Holding Company's common stock. The loan was originally to be repaid principally from the Bank's discretionary contributions to the ESOP over a period of time not to exceed 10 years from the date of the conversion. Effective July 1, 2000, the loan agreement was amended to extend the repayment period to thirty years from the date of the conversion, with the right of optional prepayment. In exchange for the extension of the loan agreement, various benefits were offered to participants, including the addition of pre-tax employee contributions to the 401 (k) Plan, a 3\% annual employer contribution to the ESOP [which is automatically transferred to the $401(\mathrm{k})$ Plan], and the pass-through of cash dividends received by the ESOP to the individual participants. The loan had an outstanding balance of $\$ 4,325$ and $\$ 4,444$ at December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively, and a fixed rate of $8.0 \%$.

Shares purchased with the loan proceeds are held in a suspense account for allocation among participants as the loan is repaid. Shares released from the ESOP suspense account are allocated among participants on the basis of compensation, as defined in the plan, in the year of allocation. ESOP distributions vest at a rate of $25 \%$ per year of service, beginning after two years, with full vesting after five years, or upon attainment of age 65 , death, disability, retirement or in the event of a "change of control" of the Holding Company as defined in the ESOP. Common stock allocated to participating employees totaled 78,155 shares during each of the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006. The ESOP benefit expense recorded in accordance with Statement of Position 93-6 for allocated shares totaled $\$ 2,005, \$ 1,794$ and $\$ 1,829$, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006.

As indicated previously, effective July 1, 2000, the Holding Company or Bank became required to make a $100 \%$ vested cash contribution annually to all ESOP participants in the amount of $3 \%$ of "covered compensation" as defined in the ESOP. This contribution was guaranteed until December 31, 2006 (unless the ESOP was terminated prior thereto) and became discretionary after that date. This annual contribution was made in January of each year based
upon the total covered compensation through December 31st of the previous year. The participant possesses the ability to invest this contribution in any of the investment options offered under the 401(k) Plan.

## Stock Option Activity

1996 Stock Option Plan - In November 1996, the Holding Company adopted the 1996 Stock Option Plan, which permitted the Company to grant up to $4,909,781$ incentive or non-qualified stock options to outside directors, certain officers and other employees of the Holding Company or the Bank. The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors administers the 1996 Stock Option Plan and authorized all option grants.
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On December 26, 1996, 4,702,796 stock options were granted to outside directors, certain officers and certain employees under the 1996 Stock Option Plan, all of which were fully exercisable at December 31, 2006. On January 20, 2000, 224,435 stock options remaining under the 1996 Stock Option Plan were granted to certain officers and employees. All of these stock options expire on January 20, 2010. One-fifth of the shares granted to participants under this grant vested on January 20, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005, respectively. No stock options may be granted under the 1996 Stock Option Plan after December 26, 2006.

On January 21, 1999, holders of stock options which had been granted by FIBC to purchase 327,290 shares of FIBC common stock were converted into options to purchase 598,331 shares of the Holding Company's common stock under the 1996 Stock Option Plan (the "Converted Options"). The expiration dates on all Converted Options remained unchanged from the initial grant by FIBC, and all Converted Options were fully exercisable at December 31, 2005.

During the year ended December 31, 2007, 25,075 unissued options under the 1996 Stock Option Plan were deemed ineligible for future grant.

2001 Stock Option Plan - In September 2001, the Holding Company adopted the 2001 Stock Option Plan, which permitted the Company to grant up to $1,771,875$ incentive or non-qualified stock options to officers and other employees of the Holding Company or the Bank and 253,125 non-qualified stock options to outside directors of the Holding Company or Bank. The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors administered the 2001 Stock Option Plan and authorized all option grants.

On November 21, 2001, 540,447 stock options were granted to certain officers and employees under the 2001 Stock Option Plan. All of these stock options expire on November 21, 2011. One-fourth of the options under this grant vested on November 21, 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005, respectively. On November 21, 2001, 67,500 stock options were granted to outside directors under the 2001 Stock Option Plan. All of these stock options will expire on November 21, 2011 and vested on November 21, 2002.

On February 1, 2003, a grant of 604,041 stock options was made to certain officers and employees under the 2001 Stock Option Plan. All of these stock options expire on February 1, 2013. When originally granted, one-fourth of the options under this grant were to vest on February 1, 2004, 2005, 2006 and 2007, respectively. On December 30, 2005, vesting was accelerated for all unvested options issued under this grant. On February 1, 2003, 75,000 stock options were granted to outside directors under the 2001 Stock Option Plan. All of these stock options will expire on February 1, 2013 and vested on February 1, 2004.

On January 27, 2004, a grant of 632,874 stock options was made to certain officers and employees under the 2001 Stock Option Plan. All of these stock options expire on January 27, 2014. When originally granted, one-fourth of the options under this grant were to vest on January 27, 2005, 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively. On December 30, 2005, vesting was accelerated for all unvested options issued under this grant. On January 27, 2004, 81,000 stock options were granted to outside directors under the 2001 Stock Option Plan. All of these stock options will expire on January 27, 2014 and vested on January 27, 2005. On March 3, 2008, 34,425 stock options were granted to an officer under the 2001 Stock Option Plan. All of these stock options will expire on March 3, 2018 and vest to the recipient in equal installments on May 1, 2009, 2010, 2011, and 2012 respectively.

2004 Stock Incentive Plan - In November 2004, the Company adopted the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan, which permits the Company to grant up to a total of $1,496,300$ restricted stock awards, incentive or non-qualified stock options or stock appreciation rights to outside directors, officers and other employees of the Holding Company or the Bank. Of the total shares eligible for grant under the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan, only up to 374,075 may be granted as restricted stock awards. The full amount of $1,496,300$ shares may be issued either fully as stock options or stock appreciation rights, or a combination thereof. The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors administers the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan and authorizes all equity grants.

On January 31, 2005, a grant of 76,320 options was made to outside directors under the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan. These options expire on January 31, 2015, and, upon grant, were to vest on January 31, 2006. On May 31, 2005, a grant of 318,492 stock options was made to certain officers of the Company under the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan. All of the options issued under this grant expire on May 31, 2015. When originally granted, one-fourth of the options under this grant were to vest on May 31, 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009, respectively. On December 30, 2005, vesting was accelerated for all unvested options issued under both of these grants. On May 1, 2007, a grant of 90,000 options was made to outside directors of the Company under the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan. These options expire on May 1, 2017, and vested on May 1, 2008. On May 1, 2007, a grant of 906,500 stock options was made to certain officers of the Company under the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan. All of the options issued under this grant expire on May 1, 2017. One-fourth of the options under this grant vested on May 1, 2008, with the remainder vesting in installments on May 1, 2009, 2010 and 2011, respectively. On May 1, 2008, a grant of 90,000 options was made to outside directors of the F-106

Company under the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan. In December 2008, 10,000 of these options became exercisable due to the death of the award recipient, and will expire if not exercised by the first anniversary of the recipient's death. The remaining 80,000 options expire on May 1, 2018, and vest on May 1, 2009. On July 31, 2008, 61,066 stock options were granted to certain executive officers under the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan. All of these stock options will expire on July 31, 2018 and vest to the recipient in equal installments on May 1, 2009, 2010, 2011, and 2012 respectively.

Combined stock option activity related to the Stock Plans was as follows:

|  | or for the Year Ended December 31, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Options outstanding - beginning of pe | d3,165,997 | 2,250,747 | 2,503,103 |
| Options granted | 185,491 | 996,500 |  |
| Weighted average exercise price of grants | \$17.10 | \$13.74 |  |
| Options exercised | 230,424 | 56,540 | 246,169 |
| Weighted average exercise price of exercised options | \$11.91 | \$5.64 | \$4.75 |
| Options forfeited | 4,500 | 24,710 | 6,187 |
| Weighted average exercise price of forfeited options | \$19.90 | \$18.88 | \$19.90 |
| Options outstanding - end of period | 3,116,564 | 3,165,997 | 2,250,747 |
| Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options - end of period | \$14.97 | \$14.63 | \$14.85 |
| Remaining options available for grant | 1,133,027 | 118,975 | 1,127,840 |
| Vested options at end of period | 2,261,198 | 2,169,497 | 2,250,747 |
| Weighted average exercise price of vested options - end of period | \$15.18 | \$15.04 | \$14.85 |
| Cash received for option exercise cost | 2,473 | 244 | 1,086 |
| Income tax benefit recognized | 506 | 177 | 839 |
| Compensation expense recognized | 1,079 | 629 |  |
| Remaining unrecognized compensation expense | 2,069 | 2,377 |  |
| Weighted average remaining years for which compensation expense is to be recognized | 2.3 | 3.2 | - |

The range of exercise prices and weighted-average remaining contractual lives of both options outstanding and vested options as of December 31, 2008 were as follows:

|  | Outstanding Options as of December 31, 2008 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Range of ExercisePrices | Amount | Weighted | Weighted Ex | ExercisableOptions |
|  |  | Average | Average | as of |
|  |  | Exercise | Contractual | December 31, |
|  |  | Price | Years Remaining | 2008 |
| \$4.50-\$5.00 | 9,465 | \$4.56 | 1.1 | 9,465 |
| \$10.50-\$11.00 | 380,351 | 10.91 | 2.9 | 380,351 |
| \$13.00-\$13.50 | 530,278 | 13.16 | 4.1 | 530,278 |
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| $\$ 13.51-\$ 14.00$ | 958,875 | 13.74 | 8.3 | 279,000 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| $\$ 14.50-\$ 15.00$ | 34,425 | 14.92 | 9.3 | - |
| $\$ 15.00-\$ 15.50$ | 318,492 | 15.10 | 6.4 | 318,492 |
| $\$ 16.00-\$ 16.50$ | 76,320 | 16.45 | 6.1 | 76,320 |
| $\$ 16.51-\$ 17.00$ | 61,066 | 16.73 | 9.6 | - |
| $\$ 18.00-\$ 18.50$ | 90,000 | 18.18 | 9.4 | 10,000 |
| $\$ 19.50-\$ 20.00$ | 657,292 | 19.90 | 5.1 | 657,292 |
| Total | $3,116,564$ | $\$ 14.97$ | 6.1 | $2,261,198$ |

F-107

There were no stock options granted during the year ended December 31, 2006. The weighted average fair value per option on the date of grant for stock options granted during the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 were estimated as follows:

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year Ended December 31, |  |
|  | 2008 | 2007 |
| Total options granted | 185,491 | 996,500 |
| Estimated fair value on date of grant | $\$ 4.16$ | $\$ 3.06$ |
|  | Black- | Black- |
| Pricing methodology utilized | Scholes | Scholes |
| Expected life (in years) | 6.36 | 6.2 |
| Interest rate | $3.37 \%$ | $4.56 \%$ |
| Volatility | 30.09 | 28.39 |
| Dividend yield | 3.29 | 4.08 |

Other Stock Awards

RRP - On May 17, 2002, 67,500 RRP shares were granted to certain officers of the Bank. These shares vested as follows: $20 \%$ on November 25, 2002, and $20 \%$ each on April 25, 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2006. The fair value of the Holding Company's common stock on May 17,2002 was $\$ 16.19$. The Company accounts for compensation expense under the RRP in accordance with SFAS 123R. During the year ended December 31, 2007, the Company determined that the shares held by the RRP were no longer eligible for grant. On September 14, 2007, all of the assets of the RRP were liquidated, and the 303,137 unallocated shares of common stock previously held by the RRP were retired into treasury.

The following is a summary of activity related to the RRP for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006:

|  | At or for the Year Ended December 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2006 |
| Shares acquired (a) | - | 5,023 |
| Shares vested | - | 13,500 |
| Shares allocated | - |  |
| Shares transferred to the Holding Company | 303,137 |  |
| Unallocated shares - end of period | - | 303,137 |
| Unvested allocated shares - end of period | - |  |
| Compensation recorded to expense | - | \$45 |
| Income recognized upon transfer of assets | 109 | - |
| Income tax benefit recognized | - | 134 |

(a) Represents shares re-acquired from either participant sales of vested shares in order to satisfy income tax obligations or participant forfeitures.

Restricted Stock Awards - On March 17, 2005, a grant of 31,804 restricted stock awards was made to certain officers of the Bank under the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan. One-fourth of these awards vested to the respective recipients on May 1, 2006, 2007, and 2008, respectively, with the remainder vesting on May 1, 2009. The fair value of the Company's common stock on March 17,2005 was $\$ 15.44$. On January 3, 2006, a grant of 30,000 restricted stock awards was made to certain officers of the Bank under the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan. One-fifth of these awards
vested to the respective recipients on February 1, 2007, 2008 and 2009, respectively, with the remainder to vest in equal installments on February 1, 2010 and 2011, respectively. The fair value of the Company's common stock on January 3, 2006 was $\$ 14.61$ (the opening price on the grant date). On March 16, 2006, a grant of 18,000 restricted stock awards was made to certain officers of the Bank under the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan. One-fifth of these awards vested to the respective recipients on May 1, 2007 and 2008, respectively, with the remainder vesting in equal installments on May 1, 2009, 2010 and 2011, respectively. The fair value of the Company's common stock on March 16 , 2006 was $\$ 14.48$. On May 1, 2007, a grant of 12,000 restricted stock awards was made to outside directors of the Bank under the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan. All of these awards vested to the respective recipients on May 1, 2008. The fair value of the Company's common stock on May 1, 2007 was $\$ 13.74$. On May 30, 2008, a grant of 12,000 restricted stock awards was made to outside Directors of the Bank under the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan. The awards will fully vest to the respective recipients on May 30, 2009. The fair value of the Holding Company's common stock on May 30, 2008 was $\$ 18.18$. On July 31, 2008, a grant of 92,957 restricted stock awards was made to certain officers of the Company under the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan. The awards will fully vest to the respective recipients in equal installments on May 1, 2009, 2010, 2011, and 2012 respectively. The fair value of the Holding Company's common stock on July 31, 2008 was $\$ 16.73$.
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In accordance with SFAS 123R, compensation expense was recorded on these restricted stock awards based upon the fair value of the shares on the respective dates of grant for all periods presented.

The following is a summary of activity related to the restricted stock awards granted under the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan:

|  | At or for the Year Ended <br> December 31, |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 |  | 2006 |
| Unvested allocated shares - beginning <br> of period | 66,304 | 71,855 | 31,804 |  |
| Shares granted | 104,957 | 12,000 | 48,000 |  |
| Shares vested | 29,551 | 17,551 | 7,949 |  |
| Unvested allocated shares - end of <br> period | 141,710 | 66,304 | 71,855 |  |
| Unallocated shares - end of period | - | - | - |  |
| Compensation recorded to expense | $\$ 618$ | $\$ 372$ | $\$ 252$ |  |
| Income tax (benefit) recognized | 12 | $(1)$ | 16 |  |

Long Term Cash Incentive Payment Plan - On October 16, 2008, pursuant to authority granted under the Dime Community Bancshares, Inc. Annual Incentive Plan, the Compensation Committee made an incentive award to the Company's Chief Executive Officer.

The threshold, target and maximum award opportunities are $\$ 214, \$ 428$ and $\$ 643$, respectively, and are earned based on performance relative to three performance goals measured over the period beginning August 1, 2008 and ending December 31, 2010. The three performance measures and their relative weights are as follows:

| Goal | Weight | Threshold | Target | Maximum |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Shareholder Return Relative <br> to Compensation Peer Group | $50 \%$ | 40th <br> Percentile | 50th Percentile | 74th Percentile |
| Cumulative Core Earnings per | $25 \%$ | $\$ 2.23$ | $\$ 2.48$ | $\$ 2.73$ |
| Share | $25 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | $12.1 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ |

At December 31, 2008, based upon actual results for the period August 1, 2008 through December 31, 2008, the Company determined that the Target payment has the greatest probability of ultimately being made, and thus established a reserve of $\$ 76$ related to this future award. During the year ended December 31, 2008, total expense recognized related to this award was $\$ 76$.

## 16. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Mortgage Loan Commitments and Lines of Credit - At December 31, 2008 and 2007, the Bank had outstanding commitments to make real estate loans aggregating approximately $\$ 49,928$ and $\$ 102,397$, respectively. At December 31,2008 , all of the commitments were to originate adjustable-rate real estate loans. Substantially all of the Bank's commitments expire within three months of their acceptance by the prospective borrower. A concentration risk exists with these commitments as virtually all of them involve multifamily and underlying cooperative properties located within the New York City metropolitan area.

At December 31, 2008, unused lines of credit available on one- to four-family residential, multifamily residential and commercial real estate loans totaled $\$ 38,728$. At December 31, 2008, unused commitments to fund construction loans and overdraft checking accounts totaled $\$ 13,446$ and $\$ 2,923$, respectively.

At December 31, 2008, the Bank had available unused lines of credit with the FHLBNY totaling $\$ 100,000$ expiring on July 31, 2009.
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Lease Commitments - At December 31, 2008, aggregate minimum annual rental commitments on operating leases were as follows:

|  | Year Ending <br> December 31, | Amount <br> 2009 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 2,062$ |  |  |
| 2010 | 2,093 |  |
| 2011 | 1,963 |  |
| 2012 | 1,848 |  |
| 2013 | 1,858 |  |
| Thereafter | 17,272 |  |
| Total | $\$ 27,096$ |  |

Rental expense for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 totaled $\$ 1,957, \$ 1,794$, and $\$ 1,417$, respectively.

Litigation - The Company is subject to certain pending and threatened legal actions which arise out of the normal course of business. Litigation is inherently unpredictable, particularly in proceedings where claimants seek substantial or indeterminate damages, or which are in their early stages. The Company cannot predict with certainty the actual loss or range of loss related to such legal proceedings, the manner in which they will be resolved, the timing of final resolution or the ultimate settlement. Consequently, the Company cannot estimate losses or ranges of losses related to such legal matters, even in instances where it is reasonably possible that a future loss will be incurred. In the opinion of management, after consultation with counsel, the resolution of all ongoing legal proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial condition or results of operations of the Company. The Company accounts for potential losses related to litigation in accordance with SFAS 5 "Accounting for Contingencies." As of December 31, 2008 and 2007, reserves provided for potential losses related to litigation matters were not material.

## 17. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The Company adopted SFAS 157 on January 1, 2008. The fair value hierarchy established under SFAS 157 is summarized as follows:

Level 1 Inputs - Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 Inputs - Significant other observable inputs such as any of the following; (1) quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, (2) quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, (3) inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability (e.g., interest rates and yield curves observable at commonly quoted intervals, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks, and default rates), or (4) inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means (market-corroborated inputs).

Level 3 Inputs - Unobservable inputs for the asset or liability. Unobservable inputs reflect the reporting entity's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability (including assumptions about risk). Unobservable inputs shall be used to measure fair value to the extent that observable inputs are not available, thereby allowing for situations in which there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability at the measurement date.

The following tables present the assets that are reported on the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition at fair value as of December 31, 2008 by level within the fair value hierarchy. As required by SFAS 157, financial assets are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

## Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

Fair Value Measurements Using

| Description | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total at } \\ \text { December 31, } \\ 2008 \end{gathered}$ | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Investment securities available-for-sale | \$16,602 | \$5,433 | \$11,169 | \$- |
| MBS available-for-sale | 301,351 | - | 301,351 |  |
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## Available-For-Sale Investment Securities and MBS

The Company's available-for-sale investment securities and MBS are reported at fair value, which is determined utilizing prices obtained from independent parties. The valuations obtained are based upon market data, and often utilize evaluated pricing models that vary by asset and incorporate available trade, bid and other market information. For securities that do not trade on a daily basis, pricing applications apply available information such as benchmarking and matrix pricing. The market inputs normally sought in the evaluation of securities include benchmark yields, reported trades, broker/dealer quotes (obtained only from market makers or broker/dealers recognized as market participants), issuer spreads, two-sided markets, benchmark securities, bid, offers and reference data. For certain securities, additional inputs may be used or some market inputs may not be applicable. Prioritization of inputs may vary on any given day based on market conditions.

The Company's available-for-sale investment securities and MBS at December 31, 2008 were categorized as follows:

|  | Percentage of <br> Total | Valuation Level Under SFAS <br> 157 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Investment Category | $91.0 \%$ | Two |  |
| Pass Through MBS or CMOs issued by GSEs |  |  |  |
| Pass Through MBS or CMOs issued by entities other than | 3.8 | Two |  |
| GSEs | 0.3 | Two |  |
| Agency securities | 1.7 | One |  |
| Mutual fund investments | 3.2 | Two |  |
| Municipal securities |  |  |  |

The agency securities possessed the highest possible credit rating published by multiple established credit rating agencies as of December 31, 2008. Obtaining a market value as of December 31, 2008 for these securities utilizing significant observable inputs as defined under SFAS 157 was not difficult due to their continued marketplace demand. The pass through MBS and CMOs (issued either by GSEs or entities other than GSEs), which comprised approximately $94.8 \%$ of the Company's total available-for-sale investment securities and MBS at December 31, 2008, all possessed the highest possible credit rating published by multiple established credit rating agencies as of December 31, 2008. Obtaining a market value as of December 31, 2008 for these securities utilizing significant observable inputs as defined under SFAS 157 was not difficult due to their demand even in a financial marketplace challenged with reduced liquidity levels such as existed at December 31, 2008. For the municipal securities, which in aggregate were less than $1 \%$ of the Company's consolidated assets at December 31, 2008, obtaining a market value utilizing significant observable inputs as defined under SFAS 157 was slightly more difficult due to the lack of regular trading activity as of December 31, 2008. For these securities, the Company obtained market values from at least two credible market sources, and verified that these values were prepared utilizing significant observable inputs as defined under SFAS 157. In accordance with established policies and procedures, the Company utilized a midpoint value obtained as its recorded fair value for securities that were valued with significant observable inputs.

Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Non-Recurring Basis
Fair Value Measurements Using

| Description | Total at December 31, 2008 | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Losses for the Year Ended December 31, 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MSR | \$713 | - | - | \$713 | \$60 |
| Pooled trust preferred securities | 2,138 | - |  | 2,138 | 3,209 |

MSR
Mortgage Servicing assets are carried at the lower of cost or estimated fair value. The estimated fair value is obtained through independent third party valuation, and is derived from estimates of future cash flows that incorporate estimates of assumptions utilized by market participants in determining fair value, including, but not limited to, market discount rates, prepayment speeds, servicing income, servicing costs, default rates and other market driven data, such as perception of future interest rate movements. Several of these assumptions are noted in Note 7 to these financial statements. Since several of these assumptions qualify as significant unobservable inputs, the valuation of the mortgage servicing asset is determined to be Level 3 under SFAS 157.

Pooled Trust Preferred Securities, Held to Maturity
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At December 31, 2008, the Company owned eight pooled trust preferred securities classified as held-to-maturity. During the year ended December 31, 2008, the market for these securities was deemed to be illiquid. As a result, while the valuation of these securities had previously been obtained utilizing significant observable inputs as defined in SFAS 157, at December 31, 2008, their estimated fair value was obtained using a cash flow valuation approach (Level 3 pricing as defined by SFAS 157). Under the cash flow valuation methodology utilized, for five of the eight securities, three independent cash flow model valuations were averaged and given a $50 \%$ weighting. A separate cash flow valuation for each of these five securities performed utilizing default, cash flow and discount rate assumptions determined by the Company's management (the "Internal Cash Flow Valuation") was given a $50 \%$ weighting. For the remaining three securities, only one independent cash flow valuation was available and was given a $50 \%$ weighting along with the Internal Cash Flow Valuation.

The major assumptions utilized (each of which represent significant unobservable inputs as defined in SFAS 157) in the Internal Cash Flow Valuation were as follows:

Discount rate - The discount rate utilized was derived from the Bloomberg fair market value curve for debt offerings of similar credit rating. In the event that a security had a split investment rating, separate cash flow valuations were made utilizing the appropriate discount rate and were averaged in order to determine the Internal Cash Flow Valuation.

Defaults - All underlying issuers with a Fitch bank rating of 5.0 were assumed to default. Underlying issuers with a Fitch bank rating of 3.5 through 4.5 were assumed to default at levels ranging from $5 \%$ to $75 \%$ based upon both their rating as well as whether they had been granted approval to receive funding under the U.S. Department of Treasury's Troubled Asset Relief Program Capital Purchase Program.

Cash flows - The actual cash flows for the Company's investment tranche of each security, adjusted to assume that all estimated defaults occurred on January 1, 2009, and an estimated recovery of $6 \%$ over the cash flow period (i.e. the remaining life of the security).

Two of the three independent cash flow valuations were made utilizing a methodology similar to the Internal Cash Flow Valuation, differing only in the underlying assumptions deriving estimated cash flows, individual bank defaults and discount rate. The third independent cash flow valuation was derived from a different methodology in which the actual cash flow estimate based upon the underlying collateral of the securities (including default estimates) was not considered. Instead, this cash flow valuation was determined utilizing a discount rate determined from the Bloomberg fair market value curve for similar assets that still continue to trade actively, with adjustments made for the illiquidity of the pooled trust preferred market. Because of the significant judgment underlying each of the pricing assumptions, management elected to recognize each of the independent valuations and apply a weighting system to all of the valuations, including the Internal Cash Flow Valuation, as all of these valuations were determined utilizing a valid and objective pricing methodology.

Impaired Loans
Loans with certain characteristics are evaluated individually for impairment. A loan is considered impaired when, based upon current information and events, it is probable that the Bank will be unable to collect all amounts due, including principal and interest, according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. The Bank's impaired loans at December 31, 2008 were collateralized by real estate and were thus carried at the lower of the outstanding principal balance or the estimated fair value of the real estate collateral less estimated selling costs. Fair value is estimated through current appraisals, where practical, or a drive-by inspection and a comparison of the real estate collateral with similar properties in the area by either a licensed appraiser or real estate broker and adjusted as deemed necessary by management to reflect current market conditions. At December 31, 2008, no impaired loans were carried at fair value. Net charge-offs recognized on impaired loans were $\$ 557$ during the year ended December 31, 2008. The recoveries and losses were charged against the allowance for loans losses. All of the loans for which losses or
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recoveries were recognized were satisfied or transferred to OREO during he year ended December 31, 2008.
While quoted market prices available in active trading marketplaces are generally recognized under SFAS 157 as the best evidence of fair value of financial instruments, several of the Company's financial instruments are not bought or sold in active trading marketplaces. Accordingly, their fair values are derived or estimated based on a variety of alternative valuation techniques. All such fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time, based on relevant market information about the financial instrument. These estimates do not reflect any possible tax ramifications, estimated transaction costs, or any premium or discount that could result from a one time sale of the entire holdings of a particular financial instrument. In addition, their estimates are based on assumptions of future loss experience, current economic conditions, risk characteristics, and other such factors. These assumptions are subjective in nature and involve inherent uncertainty. Changes in these assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.
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Methods and assumptions used to estimate fair values for financial instruments that are not valued utilizing formal marketplace quotations (other than those previously discussed) are summarized as follows:

Cash and Due From Banks - The fair value is assumed to be equal to their carrying value as these amounts are due upon demand.

Federal Funds Sold and Other Short Term Investments - As a result of their short duration to maturity, the fair value of these assets, principally overnight deposits, is assumed to be equal to their carrying value due.

FHLBNY Capital Stock - The fair value of FHLBNY stock is assumed to be equal to the carrying value as the stock is carried at par value and redeemable at par value by the FHLBNY.

Loans and Loans Held for Sale - The fair value of loans receivable is determined by discounting anticipated future cash flows, net of anticipated prepayments of the loans, using a discount rate reflecting current market rates for loans with similar terms. This methodology is applied to all loans, inclusive of non-accrual loans, as well as impaired loans for which a write-down to the current fair market value of the underlying collateral is not determined to be warranted under the criteria discussed above. In addition, the valuation of loans reflects the consideration of secondary market prices for loan types that have traditionally facilitated marketplace sales (over $80 \%$ of the outstanding loan portfolio). Due to significant market dislocation, the secondary market prices were given little weighting in deriving the loan valuation at December 31, 2008.

Deposits - The fair value of savings, money market, and checking accounts is assumed to be their carrying amount. The fair value of certificates of deposit is based upon the present value of contractual cash flows using current interest rates for instruments of the same remaining maturity.

Escrow and Other Deposits - The estimated fair value of escrow and other deposits is assumed to be their carrying amount payable.

Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase and FHLBNY Advances - The fair value is measured by the discounted anticipated cash flows through contractual maturity or next interest repricing date, or an earlier call date if, as of the valuation date, the borrowing is expected to be called. The carrying amount of accrued interest payable is their fair value.

Commitments to Extend Credit - The fair value of commitments to extend credit is estimated using the fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the present creditworthiness of the counterparties. For fixed-rate loan commitments, fair value also considers the difference between current interest rates and the committed rates.
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Based upon the aforementioned valuation methodologies, the estimated carrying amount and estimated fair values of all the Company's financial instruments were as follows:

|  | Carrying <br> Amount | Fair Value |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| At December 31, 2008 |  |  |
| Assets: | $\$ 211,020$ | $\$ 211,020$ |
| Cash and due from banks |  |  |
| Investment securities held to maturity (pooled trust | 10,861 | 9,082 |
| preferred securities) | 16,602 | 16,602 |
| Investment securities available-for-sale | 301,351 | 301,351 |
| MBS available-for-sale | $3,274,051$ | $3,300,154$ |
| Loans, net | - | - |
| Loans held for sale | 2,778 | 2,841 |
| MSR | - | - |
| Federal funds sold and other short-term investments | 53,435 | 53,435 |
| FHLBNY capital stock |  |  |
| Liabilities: | $1,106,885$ | $1,106,885$ |
| Savings, money market and checking accounts | 130,166 | $1,160,436$ |
| Certificates of deposit | 230,000 | 130,121 |
| Escrow and other deposits | $1,019,675$ | $1,077,350$ |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 25,000 | 23,875 |
| FHLBNY advances | 72,165 | 40,412 |
| Subordinated notes payable1 | 272 | 272 |
| Trust Preferred securities payable1 |  |  |
| Commitments to extend credit |  |  |

At December 31, 2007 Carrying Amount Fair Value

Assets:

| Cash and due from banks | $\$ 101,708$ | $\$ 101,708$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Investment securities held-to-maturity | 80 | 80 |
| Investment securities available-for-sale | 34,095 | 34,095 |
| MBS available-for-sale | 162,764 | 162,764 |
| Loans, net | $2,860,748$ | $2,848,863$ |
| Loans held for sale | 890 | 890 |
| MSR | 2,496 | 3,914 |
| Federal funds sold and other short-term investments | 128,014 | 128,014 |
| FHLBNY capital stock | 39,029 | 39,029 |
| Liabilities: | $1,102,911$ | $1,102,911$ |
| Savings, money market and checking accounts | $1,077,087$ | $1,076,362$ |
| Certificates of deposit | 52,209 | 52,209 |
| Escrow and other deposits | 155,080 | 166,745 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 706,500 | 719,452 |
| FHLBNY advances | 25,000 | 25,750 |
| Subordinated notes payable | 72,165 | 57,732 |
| Trust Preferred securities payable1 | 590 | 590 |
| Commitments to extend credit |  |  |

1 The fair value of this liability is measured by independent market quotations obtained based upon transactions occurring in the market as of the disclosure date.

Assets Owned By the Employee Retirement Plan - The fair value of the assets owned by the Employee Retirement Plan, which, while not owned by the Company, were an integral part of the determination of the plan's funded status (which is recognized as an asset or liability by the Company) at December 31, 2008. The fair value of these assets was determined in accordance with the valuation hierarchy established by SFAS 157.

Non-financial Assets and Liabilities. The provisions of SFAS 157 related to disclosures surrounding non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities such as goodwill and OREO have not been applied since the Company elected the deferral rules of FSP 157-2 (discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements).
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## 18. TREASURY STOCK

The Holding Company purchased 51,000 shares, $2,298,726$ shares and 777,539 shares of its common stock into treasury during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. All shares were purchased in accordance with applicable regulations of the Office of Thrift Supervision ("OTS") and the SEC.

## 19. REGULATORY MATTERS

The Bank is subject to various regulatory capital requirements established by the federal banking agencies. Failure to satisfy minimum capital requirements may result in certain mandatory, and possibly additional discretionary, actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Bank must satisfy specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of its assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated pursuant to regulatory accounting practices. The Bank's capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors.

Quantitative measures that have been established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Bank to maintain minimum capital amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below). The Bank's primary regulatory agency, the OTS, requires that the Bank maintain minimum ratios of tangible capital (as defined in the regulations) of $1.5 \%$, and total risk-based capital (as defined in the regulations) of $8 \%$. In addition, insured institutions in the strongest financial and managerial condition, with a rating of one (the highest rating of the OTS under the Uniform Financial Institutions Rating System) are required to maintain a Leverage Capital Ratio (the "Leverage Capital Ratio") of not less than $3.0 \%$ of total assets. For all other banks, the minimum Leverage Capital Ratio requirement is $4.0 \%$, unless a higher leverage capital ratio is warranted by the particular circumstances or risk profile of the institution. As of December 31, 2008, the Bank satisfied all capital adequacy requirements to which it was subject.

As of December 31, 2008 and 2007, the Bank satisfied all criteria necessary to be categorized as "well capitalized" under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as "well capitalized," the Bank was required to maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier I risk-based, and Tier I leverage ratios as set forth in the following tables:

|  |  |  | For Capital <br> Adequacy |  | To Be Categorized <br> as "Well |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Actual | Purposes |  |  |  |


|  |  |  | For Capital <br> Adequacy <br> Purposes | To Be Categorized <br> as "Well <br> Capitalized" |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| As of December 31, 2007 | Amount | Actual | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio


| Total risk-based capital (to risk <br> weighted assets) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tier I risk-based capital (to risk <br> weighted assets) | 251,258 | 11.23 | 89,477 | $4.0 \%$ | 134,216 | 6.00 |
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The following is a reconciliation of stockholders' equity to regulatory capital for the Bank:

|  | At December 31, 2008 |  |  | At December 31, 2007 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  | Tangible Leverage Risk-Based |  |  | Tangible LeverageRisk-Based |  |  |
|  | Capital | Capital | Capital | Capital | Capital | Capital |
| Stockholders' equity | \$350,715 | \$350,715 | \$350,715 | \$321,091 | \$321,091 | \$321,091 |
| Non-allowable assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MSR | (281) | (281) | (281) | (254) | (254) | (254) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| loss | 9,659 | 9,659 | 9,659 | 4,032 | 4,032 | 4,032 |
| Goodwill | $(55,638)$ | $(55,638)$ | $(55,638)$ | $(55,638)$ | $(55,638)$ | $(55,638)$ |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital | 304,455 | 304,455 | 304,455 | 269,231 | 269,231 | 269,231 |
| Adjustment for recourse provision on loans sold | - | - | $(18,876)$ | - | - | $(17,973)$ |
| General valuation allowance | - | - | 17,454 | - | - | 15,387 |
| Total (Tier 2) risk based capital | 304,455 | 304,455 | 303,033 | 269,231 | 269,231 | 266,645 |
| Minimum capital requirement | 59,873 | 159,662 | 212,140 | 51,228 | 136,609 | 178,954 |
| Regulatory capital excess | \$244,582 | \$144,793 | \$90,893 | \$218,003 | \$132,622 | \$87,691 |

## 20. UNAUDITED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The following represents the unaudited condensed consolidated results of operations for each of the quarters during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007:

|  | For the three months ended |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March } 31, \\ 2008 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 30, \\ 2008 \end{gathered}$ | September 30, 2008 | $\begin{gathered} \text { December } \\ 31,2008 \end{gathered}$ |
| Net interest income | \$19,231 | \$23,110 | \$25,182 | \$23,829 |
| Provision for loan losses | 60 | 310 | 596 | 1,040 |
| Net interest income after provision for | 19,171 | 22,800 | 24.586 | 2.789 |
| Non-interest (loss) income | 2,167 | 1,860 | 1,677 | $(2,890)$ |
| Non-interest expense | 12,280 | 12,258 | 12,913 | 12,522 |
| Income before income taxes | 9,058 | 12,402 | 13,350 | 7,377 |
| Income tax expense | 3,101 | 3,977 | 4,997 | 2,084 |
| Net income | \$5,957 | \$8,425 | \$8,353 | \$5,293 |
| Earnings per share (1): |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$0.18 | \$0.26 | \$0.26 | \$0.16 |
| Diluted | \$0.18 | \$0.26 | \$0.25 | \$0.16 |
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|  |  | For the three months ended |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | March 31, | June 30, | September | December |
|  | 2007 | 2007 | 30,2007 | 31,2007 |
| Net interest income | $\$ 17,886$ | $\$ 17,669$ | $\$ 17,378$ | $\$ 18,080$ |
| Provision for loan losses | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Net interest income after provision for |  |  |  |  |
| loan losses | 17,826 | 17,609 | 17,318 | 18,020 |
| Non-interest income | 2,490 | 2,387 | 3,131 | 2,411 |
| Non-interest expense | 11,248 | 11,199 | 11,717 | 11,337 |
| Income before income taxes | 9,068 | 8,797 | 8,732 | 9,094 |
| Income tax expense | 3,251 | 3,152 | 3,188 | 3,657 |
| Net income | $\$ 5,817$ | $\$ 5,645$ | $\$ 5,544$ | $\$ 5,437$ |
| Earnings per share (1): | $\$ 0.17$ | $\$ 0.17$ | $\$ 0.17$ | $\$ 0.17$ |
| Basic | $\$ 0.17$ | $\$ 0.17$ | $\$ 0.17$ | $\$ 0.17$ |

(1) The quarterly earnings per share amounts, when added, may not coincide with the full fiscal year earnings per share reported on the Consolidated Statements of Operations due to differences in the computed weighted average shares outstanding as well as rounding differences.

## 21. CONDENSED PARENT COMPANY ONLY FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following statements of condition as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the related statements of operations and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, reflect the Holding Company's investment in its wholly-owned subsidiaries, the Bank, 842 Manhattan Avenue Corp., and its unconsolidated subsidiary, Dime Community Capital Trust I, using, as deemed appropriate, the equity method of accounting:

## DIME COMMUNITY BANCSHARES, INC. CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION

|  | At December  <br> At December  <br>  31,2008 | 31,2007 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| ASSETS: |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | $\$ 8,419$ | $\$ 5,103$ |
| Investment securities available-for-sale | 5,433 | 7,112 |
| MBS available-for-sale | 1,041 | 1,279 |
| Federal funds sold and other short term |  |  |
| investments | - | 22,733 |
| ESOP loan to subsidiary | 3,325 | 4,444 |
| Investment in subsidiaries | 5,575 | 321,737 |
| Other assets | $\$ 376,153$ | $\$ 368,098$ |
| Total assets |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' | $\$ 25,000$ | $\$ 25,000$ |
| EQUITY: | 72,165 | 72,165 |
| Subordinated notes payable | 2,024 | 2,081 |

Stockholders' equity
276,964
268,852
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity
\$376,153 \$368,098
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## DIME COMMUNITY BANCSHARES, INC. CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

|  | Year Ended |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|  | $\$(6,658)$ | $\$(5,902)$ | $\$(5,178)$ |
| Net interest loss | - | 35,000 | 58,012 |
| Dividends received from Bank | 513 | 516 | 1,215 |
| Non-interest income | $(408)$ | $(424)$ | $(484)$ |
| Non-interest expense |  |  |  |
| Income (Loss) before income taxes and equity |  |  |  |
| in | $(6,553)$ | 29,190 | 53,565 |
| $\quad$ undistributed earnings of direct subsidiaries | 2,751 | 2,143 | 698 |
| Income tax credit |  |  |  |
| Income (Loss) before equity in undistributed <br> earnings | $(3,802)$ | 31,333 | 54,263 |
| of direct subsidiaries | 31,830 | $(8,890)$ | $(23,671)$ |
| Equity in (overdistributed) undistributed <br> earnings of subsidiaries | $\$ 28,028$ | $\$ 22,443$ | $\$ 30,592$ |

## DIME COMMUNITY BANCSHARES, INC. CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

|  | Year Ended <br> December 31, |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Cash flows from Operating Activities: | $\$ 28,028$ | $\$ 22,443$ | $\$ 30,592$ |
| Net income |  |  |  |

Cash flows from Financing Activities:

| Cash dividends re-assumed through liquidation of RRP | - | 958 | - |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Common stock issued for exercise of stock options | 2,473 | 136 | 910 |
| Purchase of common stock by the BMP | $(66)$ | - | - |
| Cash dividends paid to stockholders | $(18,256)$ | $(18,991)$ | $(19,751)$ |
| Purchase of treasury stock | $(654)$ | $(29,650)$ | $(11,024)$ |
| Benefit plan payments reimbursed by subsidiary | - | - | - |
| Net cash used in financing activities | $(16,503)$ | $(47,547)$ | $(29,865)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Net increase in cash and due from banks | 3,316 | 676 | 1,754 |
| Cash and due from banks, beginning of period | 5,103 | 4,427 | 2,673 |
| Cash and due from banks, end of period | $\$ 8,419$ | $\$ 5,103$ | $\$ 4,427$ |
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