

WEC ENERGY GROUP, INC.
Form 10-K
February 26, 2019

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D. C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

☒ ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018

OR
☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission Registrant; State of Incorporation; IRS Employer
File Number Address; and Telephone Number Identification No.

001-09057 WEC ENERGY GROUP, INC. 39-1391525
 (A Wisconsin Corporation)
 231 West Michigan Street
 P. O. Box 1331
 Milwaukee, WI 53201
 414-221-2345

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:
Title of Each Class Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
Common Stock, \$.01 Par Value New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Yes ☐ No ☒

Edgar Filing: WEC ENERGY GROUP, INC. - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically every Interactive Data File required to be submitted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit such files).

Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, a smaller reporting company, or an emerging growth company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company," and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer ☒ Accelerated filer ☐
Non-accelerated filer ☐ Smaller reporting company ☐
Emerging growth company ☐

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act. ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes ☐ No ☒

The aggregate market value of the common stock of WEC Energy Group, Inc. held by non-affiliates was \$20.4 billion based upon the reported closing price of such securities as of June 30, 2018.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date (January 31, 2019):

Common Stock, \$.01 par value, 315,455,323 shares outstanding

Documents incorporated by reference:

Portions of WEC Energy Group, Inc.'s Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A for its Annual Meeting of Shareholders, to be held on May 2, 2019, are incorporated by reference into Part III hereof.

Table of Contents

WEC ENERGY GROUP, INC.
ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K
For the Year Ended December 31, 2018
TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION</u>	<u>Page</u> <u>1</u>
<u>PART I</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>ITEM 1. BUSINESS</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>A. INTRODUCTION</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>B. UTILITY ENERGY OPERATIONS</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>C. NON-UTILITY OPERATIONS</u>	<u>18</u>
<u>D. REGULATION</u>	<u>19</u>
<u>E. ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE</u>	<u>22</u>
<u>F. EMPLOYEES</u>	<u>23</u>
<u>ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS</u>	<u>24</u>
<u>ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS</u>	<u>34</u>
<u>ITEM 2. PROPERTIES</u>	<u>35</u>
<u>ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u>	<u>37</u>
<u>ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES</u>	<u>38</u>
<u>EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>PART II</u>	<u>41</u>
<u>MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY.</u>	
<u>ITEM 5. RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES</u>	<u>41</u>
<u>ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA</u>	<u>41</u>
<u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF</u>	
<u>ITEM 7. FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	<u>42</u>
<u>QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES</u>	
<u>ITEM 7A. ABOUT MARKET RISK</u>	<u>73</u>
<u>ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA</u>	<u>74</u>
<u>A. Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>74</u>
<u>B. Consolidated Income Statements</u>	<u>76</u>
<u>C. Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income</u>	<u>77</u>
<u>D. Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	<u>78</u>
<u>E. Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	<u>79</u>
<u>F. Consolidated Statements of Equity</u>	<u>80</u>
<u>G. Consolidated Statements of Capitalization</u>	<u>81</u>
<u>H. Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>83</u>
<u>Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies</u>	<u>83</u>
<u>Note 2 Acquisitions</u>	<u>93</u>
<u>Note 3 Dispositions</u>	<u>95</u>
<u>Note 4 Operating Revenues</u>	<u>95</u>
<u>Note 5 Regulatory Assets and Liabilities</u>	<u>98</u>
<u>Note 6 Property, Plant, and Equipment</u>	<u>100</u>
<u>Note 7 Jointly Owned Utility Facilities</u>	<u>101</u>
<u>Note 8 Asset Retirement Obligations</u>	<u>102</u>

Edgar Filing: WEC ENERGY GROUP, INC. - Form 10-K

<u>Note 9 Goodwill</u>	<u>103</u>
<u>Note 10 Common Equity</u>	<u>103</u>
<u>Note 11 Preferred Stock</u>	<u>106</u>
<u>Note 12 Short-Term Debt and Lines of Credit</u>	<u>106</u>
<u>Note 13 Long-Term Debt and Capital Lease Obligations</u>	<u>107</u>
<u>Note 14 Income Taxes</u>	<u>110</u>
<u>Note 15 Fair Value Measurements</u>	<u>113</u>
<u>Note 16 Derivative Instruments</u>	<u>114</u>
<u>Note 17 Guarantees</u>	<u>116</u>

2018 Form 10-K i WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

<u>Note 18 Employee Benefits</u>	<u>116</u>
<u>Note 19 Investment in Transmission Affiliates</u>	<u>122</u>
<u>Note 20 Segment Information</u>	<u>123</u>
<u>Note 21 Variable Interest Entities</u>	<u>125</u>
<u>Note 22 Commitments and Contingencies</u>	<u>126</u>
<u>Note 23 Supplemental Cash Flow Information</u>	<u>131</u>
<u>Note 24 Regulatory Environment</u>	<u>133</u>
<u>Note 25 Other Income, Net</u>	<u>137</u>
<u>Note 26 Quarterly Financial Information (Unaudited)</u>	<u>137</u>
<u>Note 27 New Accounting Pronouncements</u>	<u>137</u>
<u>CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH</u>	
<u>ITEM 9. ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL</u>	<u>139</u>
<u>DISCLOSURE</u>	
<u>ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u>	<u>139</u>
<u>ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION</u>	<u>139</u>
<u>PART III</u>	<u>140</u>
<u>ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, AND</u>	<u>140</u>
<u>CORPORATE GOVERNANCE OF THE REGISTRANT</u>	
<u>ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	<u>140</u>
<u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL</u>	
<u>ITEM 12. OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED</u>	<u>140</u>
<u>STOCKHOLDER MATTERS</u>	
<u>ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED</u>	<u>141</u>
<u>TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE</u>	
<u>ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES</u>	<u>141</u>
<u>PART IV</u>	<u>142</u>
<u>ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT</u>	<u>142</u>
<u>SCHEDULES</u>	
<u>ITEM 16. FORM 10-K SUMMARY</u>	<u>147</u>
<u>SCHEDULE I — CONDENSED PARENT COMPANY FINANCIAL</u>	<u>148</u>
<u>STATEMENTS</u>	
<u>A. Income Statements</u>	<u>148</u>
<u>B. Statements of Comprehensive Income</u>	<u>149</u>
<u>C. Balance Sheets</u>	<u>150</u>
<u>D. Statements of Cash Flows</u>	<u>151</u>
<u>E. Notes to Parent Company Financial Statements</u>	<u>152</u>
<u>SCHEDULE II — VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS</u>	<u>154</u>
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	<u>155</u>

Table of Contents

GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

The abbreviations and terms set forth below are used throughout this report and have the meanings assigned to them below:

Subsidiaries and Affiliates

ATC	American Transmission Company LLC
ATC Holdco	ATC Holdco, LLC
ATC Holding	ATC Holding LLC
Bishop Hill III	Bishop Hill Energy III LLC
Bluewater	Bluewater Natural Gas Holding, LLC
Bluewater Gas Storage	Bluewater Gas Storage, LLC
Bostco	Bostco LLC
Coyote Ridge	Coyote Ridge Wind, LLC
Integrys	Integrys Holding, Inc.
ITF	Integrys Transportation Fuels, LLC
MERC	Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation
MGU	Michigan Gas Utilities Corporation
NSG	North Shore Gas Company
PDL	WPS Power Development, LLC
PELLC	Peoples Energy, LLC
PGL	The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company
UMERC	Upper Michigan Energy Resources Corporation
Upstream	Upstream Wind Energy LLC
WBS	WEC Business Services LLC
WE	Wisconsin Electric Power Company
We Power	W.E. Power, LLC
WEC Energy Group	WEC Energy Group, Inc.
WECC	Wisconsin Energy Capital Corporation
WG	Wisconsin Gas LLC
Wispark	Wispark LLC
Wisvest	Wisvest LLC
WPS	Wisconsin Public Service Corporation
WRPC	Wisconsin River Power Company

Federal and State Regulatory Agencies

EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
ICC	Illinois Commerce Commission
IRS	United States Internal Revenue Service
MDEQ	Michigan Department of Environmental Quality
MPSC	Michigan Public Service Commission
MPUC	Minnesota Public Utilities Commission
PSCW	Public Service Commission of Wisconsin
SEC	Securities and Exchange Commission
WDNR	Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources

2018 Form 10-K iii WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Accounting Terms

AFUDC	Allowance for Funds Used During Construction
ARO	Asset Retirement Obligation
ASC	Accounting Standards Codification
ASU	Accounting Standards Update
CWIP	Construction Work in Progress
FASB	Financial Accounting Standards Board
GAAP	Generally Accepted Accounting Principles
LIFO	Last-In, First-Out
OPEB	Other Postretirement Employee Benefits

Environmental Terms

ACE	Affordable Clean Energy
Act 141	2005 Wisconsin Act 141
CAA	Clean Air Act
CO ₂	Carbon Dioxide
CPP	Clean Power Plan
GHG	Greenhouse Gas
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NOV	Notice of Violation
NO _x	Nitrogen Oxide
SO ₂	Sulfur Dioxide
WPDES	Wisconsin Pollutant Discharge Elimination System

Measurements

Dth	Dekatherm
MDth	One thousand Dekatherms
MW	Megawatt
MWh	Megawatt-hour

Other Terms and Abbreviations

2006 Junior Notes	Integrus's 2006 Junior Subordinated Notes Due 2066
2007 Junior Notes	WEC Energy Group, Inc.'s 2007 Junior Subordinated Notes Due 2067
ALJ	Administrative Law Judge
ARR	Auction Revenue Right
CNG	Compressed Natural Gas
Compensation Committee	Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors
DATC	Duke-American Transmission Company
D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals	United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit
ERGS	Elm Road Generating Station
ER 1	Elm Road Generating Station Unit 1
ER 2	Elm Road Generating Station Unit 2
Exchange Act	Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended
FTR	Financial Transmission Right
GCRM	Gas Cost Recovery Mechanism
LMP	Locational Marginal Price
MCPP	Milwaukee County Power Plant
MISO	Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc.
MISO Energy Markets	MISO Energy and Operating Reserves Market

NYMEX

New York Mercantile Exchange

OCP

Oak Creek Power Plant

OC 5

Oak Creek Power Plant Unit 5

2018 Form 10-K iv WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

OC 6	Oak Creek Power Plant Unit 6
OC 7	Oak Creek Power Plant Unit 7
OC 8	Oak Creek Power Plant Unit 8
Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan	WEC Energy Group 1993 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan, Amended and Restated Effective as of January 1, 2016
PIPP	Presque Isle Power Plant
Point Beach	Point Beach Nuclear Power Plant
PWGS	Port Washington Generating Station
PWGS 1	Port Washington Generating Station Unit 1
PWGS 2	Port Washington Generating Station Unit 2
QIP	Qualifying Infrastructure Plant
ROE	Return on Equity
RTO	Regional Transmission Organization
SMP	Natural Gas System Modernization Program
SMRP	System Modernization and Reliability Project
SSR	System Support Resource
Supreme Court	United States Supreme Court
Tax Legislation	Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017
Tilden	Tilden Mining Company
VAPP	Valley Power Plant
VITA	Variable Income Tax Adjustment Rider

2018 Form 10-K v WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

In this report, we make statements concerning our expectations, beliefs, plans, objectives, goals, strategies, and future events or performance. These statements are "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Exchange Act. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements may be identified by reference to a future period or periods or by the use of terms such as "anticipates," "believes," "could," "estimates," "expects," "forecasts," "goals," "guidance," "intends," "may," "objectives," "plans," "possible," "potential," "projects," "seeks," "should," "targets," "will," or variations of these terms.

Forward-looking statements include, among other things, statements concerning management's expectations and projections regarding earnings, completion of capital projects, sales and customer growth, rate actions and related filings with regulatory authorities, environmental and other regulations and associated compliance costs, legal proceedings, dividend payout ratios, effective tax rates, pension and OPEB plans, fuel costs, sources of electric energy supply, coal and natural gas deliveries, remediation costs, environmental matters, liquidity and capital resources, and other matters.

Forward-looking statements are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied in the statements. These risks and uncertainties include those described in Item 1A. Risk Factors and those identified below:

Factors affecting utility operations such as catastrophic weather-related damage, environmental incidents, unplanned facility outages and repairs and maintenance, and electric transmission or natural gas pipeline system constraints;

Factors affecting the demand for electricity and natural gas, including political developments, unusual weather, changes in economic conditions, customer growth and declines, commodity prices, energy conservation efforts, and continued adoption of distributed generation by customers;

The timing, resolution, and impact of rate cases and negotiations, including recovery of deferred and current costs and the ability to earn a reasonable return on investment, and other regulatory decisions impacting our regulated operations;

The ability to obtain and retain customers, including wholesale customers, due to increased competition in our electric and natural gas markets from retail choice and alternative electric suppliers, and continued industry consolidation;

The timely completion of capital projects within budgets, as well as the recovery of the related costs through rates;

The impact of federal, state, and local legislative and/or regulatory changes, including changes in rate-setting policies or procedures, deregulation and restructuring of the electric and/or natural gas utility industries, transmission or distribution system operation, the approval process for new construction, reliability standards, pipeline integrity and safety standards, allocation of energy assistance, energy efficiency mandates, and tax laws that affect our ability to use production tax credits and investment tax credits;

The remaining uncertainty surrounding the Tax Legislation enacted in December 2017, including implementing regulations and IRS interpretations, the amount to be returned to our ratepayers, and any further impact on our and our subsidiaries' credit ratings;

Federal and state legislative and regulatory changes relating to the environment, including climate change and other environmental regulations impacting generation facilities and renewable energy standards, the enforcement of these

laws and regulations, changes in the interpretation of regulations or permit conditions by regulatory agencies, and the recovery of associated remediation and compliance costs;

• Factors affecting the implementation of our generation reshaping plan, including related regulatory decisions, the cost of materials, supplies, and labor, and the feasibility of competing projects;

• Increased pressure on us by investors and other stakeholder groups to take more aggressive action to reduce future GHG emissions in order to limit future global temperature increases;

The risks associated with changing commodity prices, particularly natural gas and electricity, and the availability of sources of fossil fuel, natural gas, purchased power, materials needed to operate environmental controls at our electric generating facilities,

2018 Form 10-K 1 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

or water supply due to high demand, shortages, transportation problems, nonperformance by electric energy or natural gas suppliers under existing power purchase or natural gas supply contracts, or other developments;

Changes in credit ratings, interest rates, and our ability to access the capital markets, caused by volatility in the global credit markets, our capitalization structure, and market perceptions of the utility industry, us, or any of our subsidiaries;

Costs and effects of litigation, administrative proceedings, investigations, settlements, claims, and inquiries;

Restrictions imposed by various financing arrangements and regulatory requirements on the ability of our subsidiaries to transfer funds to us in the form of cash dividends, loans or advances, that could prevent us from paying our common stock dividends, taxes, and other expenses, and meeting our debt obligations;

The risk of financial loss, including increases in bad debt expense, associated with the inability of our customers, counterparties, and affiliates to meet their obligations;

Changes in the creditworthiness of the counterparties with whom we have contractual arrangements, including participants in the energy trading markets and fuel suppliers and transporters;

The direct or indirect effect on our business resulting from terrorist attacks and cyber security intrusions, as well as the threat of such incidents, including the failure to maintain the security of personally identifiable information, the associated costs to protect our utility assets, technology systems, and personal information, and the costs to notify affected persons to mitigate their information security concerns and to comply with state notification laws;

The financial performance of ATC and its corresponding contribution to our earnings, as well as the ability of ATC and DATC to obtain the required approvals for their transmission projects;

The investment performance of our employee benefit plan assets, as well as unanticipated changes in related actuarial assumptions, which could impact future funding requirements;

- Factors affecting the employee workforce, including loss of key personnel, internal restructuring, work stoppages, and collective bargaining agreements and negotiations with union employees;
- Advances in technology, and related legislation or regulation supporting the use of that technology, that result in competitive disadvantages and create the potential for impairment of existing assets;

The risk associated with the values of goodwill and other intangible assets and their possible impairment;

Potential business strategies to acquire and dispose of assets or businesses, which cannot be assured to be completed timely or within budgets, and legislative or regulatory restrictions or caps on non-utility acquisitions, investments or projects, including the State of Wisconsin's public utility holding company law;

The timing and outcome of any audits, disputes, and other proceedings related to taxes;

The ability to maintain effective internal controls in accordance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, while both integrating and continuing to consolidate our enterprise systems;

The effect of accounting pronouncements issued periodically by standard-setting bodies; and

Other considerations disclosed elsewhere herein and in other reports we file with the SEC or in other publicly disseminated written documents.

We expressly disclaim any obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise.

2018 Form 10-K 2 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

A. INTRODUCTION

In this report, when we refer to "WEC Energy Group," "the Company," "us," "we," "our," or "ours," we are referring to WEC Energy Group, Inc. and all of its subsidiaries. The term "utility" refers to the regulated activities of the electric and natural gas utility companies, while the term "non-utility" refers to the activities of the electric and natural gas companies that are not regulated, as well as We Power and Bluewater. The term "nonregulated" refers to activities at Bishop Hill III, Coyote Ridge, WEC Energy Group holding company, the Integrys holding company, the PELLC holding company, Wispark, Bostco, Wisvest, WECC, WBS, PDL, and ITF. References to "Notes" are to the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

For more information about our business operations, see Note 20, Segment Information, and Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Results of Operations.

WEC Energy Group, Inc.

We were incorporated in the state of Wisconsin in 1981 and became a diversified holding company in 1986. We maintain our principal executive offices in Milwaukee, Wisconsin. On June 29, 2015, we acquired 100% of the outstanding common shares of Integrys and changed our name to WEC Energy Group, Inc. Our wholly owned subsidiaries provide regulated natural gas and electricity, as well as nonregulated renewable energy. Another subsidiary, ITF, provided CNG products and services prior to its sale in the first quarter of 2016. See Note 3, Dispositions, for more information on this sale. We have an approximately 60% equity interest in ATC (an electric transmission company operating in Illinois, Michigan, Minnesota, and Wisconsin). At December 31, 2018, we had six reportable segments, which are discussed below. For additional information about our reportable segments, see Note 20, Segment Information.

Available Information

Our annual and periodic filings with the SEC are available, free of charge, on our website, www.wecenergygroup.com, as soon as reasonably practicable after they are filed with or furnished to the SEC. You may also obtain materials we filed with or furnished to the SEC on their website at www.sec.gov.

B. UTILITY ENERGY OPERATIONS

Wisconsin Segment

The Wisconsin segment includes the electric and natural gas utility operations of WE, WG, WPS, and UMER, which includes WE's former electric operations and WPS's former electric and natural gas operations in the state of Michigan that were transferred to UMER effective January 1, 2017.

In December 2016, both the MPSC and the PSCW approved the operation of UMER as a stand-alone utility in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan. See Note 24, Regulatory Environment, for more information. UMER became operational effective January 1, 2017, and WE and WPS transferred customers and property, plant, and equipment as of that date. WE transferred approximately 27,500 retail electric customers and 50 electric distribution-only customers to UMER, along with approximately 2,500 miles of electric distribution lines. WPS transferred approximately 9,000 retail electric customers and 5,300 natural gas customers to UMER, along with approximately 600 miles of electric

distribution lines and approximately 100 miles of natural gas distribution mains. WE and WPS also transferred related electric distribution substations in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan and all property rights for the distribution assets to UMER. The book value of net assets, including the related deferred income tax liabilities, transferred to UMER from WE and WPS as of January 1, 2017, was \$61.1 million and \$20.6 million, respectively. This transaction was a non-cash equity transfer recorded to additional paid in capital between entities under common control, and therefore, did not result in the recognition of a gain or loss.

2018 Form 10-K 3 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Electric Utility Operations

For the periods presented in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, our electric utility operations included operations of WE and WPS for all periods, and operations for UMERC beginning January 1, 2017, due to the transfer of customers and assets located in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan from WE and WPS.

WE, which is the largest electric utility in the state of Wisconsin, generates and distributes electric energy to customers located in southeastern Wisconsin (including the metropolitan Milwaukee area), east central Wisconsin, and northern Wisconsin, and serves an iron ore mine customer, Tilden, in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan. This customer will become a customer of UMERC once the new generation solution in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan begins commercial operation, which is expected to occur during the second quarter of 2019.

WPS generates and distributes electric energy to customers located in northeastern and central Wisconsin.

UMERC distributes electric energy to customers located in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan. UMERC currently meets its market obligations through power purchase agreements with WE and WPS. UMERC will begin to generate electricity when its new generation solution in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan begins commercial operation. For more information on UMERC's new generation solution, see the discussion below under the heading "Natural Gas-Fired Generation."

Operating Revenues

The following table shows electric utility operating revenues, including steam operations. For information about our operating revenues disaggregated by customer class for the year ended December 31, 2018, see Note 4, Operating Revenues. For more information about our significant accounting policies related to the recognition of revenues, see Note 1(d), Operating Revenues.

	Year Ended December 31	
(in millions)	2017	2016
Operating revenues		
Residential	\$1,581.5	\$1,620.7
Small commercial and industrial ⁽¹⁾	1,400.9	1,418.1
Large commercial and industrial ⁽¹⁾	913.7	949.5
Other	30.5	29.8
Retail ⁽¹⁾	3,926.6	4,018.1
Wholesale	233.4	231.2
Resale	270.6	247.1
Steam	23.3	27.2
Other operating revenues ⁽²⁾	105.1	104.5
Total operating revenues ⁽¹⁾	\$4,559.0	\$4,628.1

⁽¹⁾ Includes distribution sales for customers who have purchased power from an alternative electric supplier in Michigan.

⁽²⁾ Includes SSR revenues, amounts collected from (refunded to) customers for certain fuel and purchased power costs that exceed a 2% price variance from costs included in rates, and other revenues, partially offset by revenues from Tilden that are being deferred until a future rate proceeding. For more information, see the discussion below under the heading "Large Electric Retail Customers."

Electric Sales

Our electric energy deliveries included supply and distribution sales to retail and wholesale customers and distribution sales to those customers who switched to an alternative electric supplier. In 2018, retail electric revenues accounted for 90.0% of total electric operating revenues, while wholesale and resale electric revenues accounted for 9.1% of total electric operating revenues. See Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Results of Operations – Wisconsin Segment Contribution to Operating Income for information on MWh sales by customer class.

Our electric utilities are authorized to provide retail electric service in designated territories in the state of Wisconsin, as established by indeterminate permits and boundary agreements with other utilities, and in certain territories in the state of Michigan pursuant to franchises granted by municipalities.

2018 Form 10-K 4WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Our electric utilities buy and sell wholesale electric power by participating in the MISO Energy Markets. The cost of our individual generation offered into the MISO Energy Markets compared to our competitors affects how often our generating units are dispatched and whether we buy or sell power, based on our customers' needs. For more information, see D. Regulation.

Steam Sales

WE has a steam utility that generates, distributes, and sells steam supplied by VAPP to customers in metropolitan Milwaukee, Wisconsin. Steam is used by customers for processing, space heating, domestic hot water, and humidification. Annual sales of steam fluctuate from year to year based on system growth and variations in weather conditions. In April 2016, we sold the MCPPE steam generation and distribution assets, located in Wauwatosa, Wisconsin. MCPPE primarily provided steam to the Milwaukee Regional Medical Center hospitals and other campus buildings. See Note 3, Dispositions, for more information.

Electric Sales Forecast

Our service territories experienced growth in weather-normalized retail electric sales in 2018 due to customer growth. We currently forecast retail electric sales volumes and the associated peak demand, excluding the Tilden mine located in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan, to grow between flat and 0.5% over the next five years, assuming normal weather.

Customers

	Year Ended December 31		
(in thousands)	2018	2017	2016
Electric customers – end of year			
Residential	1,441.3	1,431.4	1,421.7
Small commercial and industrial	173.2	172.2	171.1
Large commercial and industrial	0.9	0.9	0.9
Other	2.7	2.6	2.6
Total electric customers – end of year	1,618.1	1,607.1	1,596.3
Steam customers – end of year	0.4	0.4	0.4

Large Electric Retail Customers

We provide electric utility service to a diversified base of customers in industries such as paper, metals and other manufacturing, governmental, food products, municipalities, cooperatives, and marketers, health services, retail, mining, and education.

In February 2015, Tilden, along with another affiliated iron ore mine located in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan, returned as customers after choosing an alternative electric supplier in September 2013. For more information on alternative electric suppliers, see Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Factors Affecting Results, Liquidity, and Capital Resources – Competitive Markets. WE entered into a contract with each of the mines to provide full requirements electric service through December 31, 2019. Since 2015, we have been deferring, and expect to continue to defer, the revenues less costs of sales from the mine sales and will apply these amounts for the benefit of Wisconsin retail electric customers in a future rate proceeding, as ordered by the PSCW.

In 2016, one of the iron ore mines closed, and the related contract for full requirements electric service was terminated. In August 2016, we entered into a new agreement with Tilden under which it will purchase electric power from UMERG for 20 years for the remaining mine, contingent upon UMERG's construction of natural gas-fired generation in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan. Tilden will continue to receive full requirements electric service from WE under the existing contract until UMERG's generation solution in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan begins commercial operation, which is expected to occur during the second quarter of 2019. See Note 24, Regulatory Environment, for more information, as well as the discussion under the heading "Natural Gas-Fired Generation" below.

2018 Form 10-K 5 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Wholesale Customers

We provide wholesale electric service to various customers, including electric cooperatives, municipal joint action agencies, other investor-owned utilities, municipal utilities, and energy marketers. Wholesale sales accounted for 7.7%, 7.6%, and 7.4% of total electric energy sales volumes during 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively. Wholesale revenues accounted for 4.8%, 5.1%, and 5.0% of total electric operating revenues during 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively.

Resale

The majority of our sales for resale are sold into an energy market operated by MISO at market rates based on availability of our generation and market demand. Resale sales accounted for 12.8%, 18.2%, and 17.5% of total electric energy sales volumes during 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively. Resale revenues accounted for 4.3%, 5.9%, and 5.3% of total electric operating revenues during 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively. Retail fuel costs are reduced by the amount that revenue exceeds the costs of sales derived from these opportunity sales.

Electric Generation and Supply Mix

Our electric supply strategy is to provide our customers with energy from plants using a diverse fuel mix that is expected to maintain a stable, reliable, and affordable supply of electricity. Through our participation in the MISO Energy Markets, we supply a significant amount of electricity to our customers from power plants that we own. We supplement our internally generated power supply with long-term power purchase agreements, including the Point Beach power purchase agreement discussed under the heading "Power Purchase Commitments," and through spot purchases in the MISO Energy Markets. We also sell excess capacity into the MISO Energy Markets when it is economical, which reduces net fuel costs by offsetting costs of purchased power.

Our rated capacity by fuel type as of December 31 is shown below. For more information on our electric generation facilities, see Item 2. Properties.

	Rated Capacity in MW ⁽¹⁾		
	2018	2017	2016
Coal	3,518	4,935	4,933
Natural gas:			
Combined cycle	1,799	1,753	1,697
Steam turbine ⁽²⁾	347	314	320
Natural gas/oil peaking units ⁽³⁾	1,444	1,458	1,413
Renewables ⁽⁴⁾	220	273	273
Total rated capacity	7,328	8,733	8,636

⁽¹⁾ Rated capacity is the net power output under average operating conditions with equipment in an average state of repair as of a given month in a given year. We have summer peaking electric utilities, and amounts are primarily based on expected capacity ratings for the following summer. The values were established by tests and may change slightly from year to year.

⁽²⁾ The natural gas steam turbine represents the rated capacity associated with VAPP as well as Weston Unit 2.

⁽³⁾ Certain dual-fueled facilities generally burn oil only if natural gas is not available due to constraints on the natural gas pipeline and/or at the local natural gas distribution company that delivers natural gas to the plants.

⁽⁴⁾ Includes hydroelectric, biomass, and wind generation.

2018 Form 10-K 6 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

The table below indicates our sources of electric energy supply as a percentage of sales for the three years ended December 31, as well as estimates for 2019:

	Estimate		Actual					
	2019		2018		2017		2016	
Company-owned generation units:								
Coal *	35.9	%	44.7	%	48.5	%	45.7	%
Natural gas:								
Combined cycle	23.9	%	19.7	%	16.5	%	18.2	%
Steam turbine	0.8	%	0.6	%	0.8	%	0.9	%
Natural gas/oil peaking units	1.1	%	1.7	%	1.1	%	1.1	%
Renewables	4.2	%	4.1	%	4.1	%	3.9	%
Total company-owned generation units	65.9	%	70.8	%	71.0	%	69.8	%
Power purchase contracts:								
Nuclear	19.0	%	18.6	%	17.7	%	17.5	%
Natural gas	3.0	%	1.5	%	1.3	%	1.7	%
Renewables	3.1	%	2.4	%	2.9	%	2.8	%
Other	1.8	%	1.7	%	1.6	%	2.1	%
Total power purchase contracts	26.9	%	24.2	%	23.5	%	24.1	%
Purchased power from MISO	7.2	%	5.0	%	5.5	%	6.1	%
Total purchased power	34.1	%	29.2	%	29.0	%	30.2	%
Total electric utility supply	100.0	%	100.0	%	100.0	%	100.0	%

Although the generation of PIPP has been included as a source of our electric energy supply for the three years ended December 31, we have only included this generation facility as a source of our estimated 2019 electric energy supply through its expected retirement date on or before May 31, 2019. See Note 6, Property, Plant, and Equipment, for more information.

Reshaping our Generation Fleet

The following discussion summarizes information about our generation facilities, including the planned reshaping of our generation fleet to balance reliability and customer cost with environmental stewardship. Generation reshaping includes retiring older fossil fuel generation units, building state-of-the-art natural gas generation, and investing in cost-effective zero-carbon generation with a goal of reducing CO₂ emissions by approximately 40% and 80% below 2005 levels by 2030 and 2050, respectively.

Coal-Fired Generation

As of December 31, 2018, our coal-fired generation consists of five operating plants with a rated capacity of 3,518 MW. For more information about our operating plants, see Item 2. Properties.

We plan to retire approximately 1,800 MW of coal-fired generation by 2020 as a result of WEC Energy Group's generation reshaping plan. As part of this effort during 2018, we retired approximately 1,500 MW of coal-fired generation, including the Pleasant Prairie power plant, Pulliam power plant, and the jointly-owned Edgewater Unit 4. We are required to retire PIPP by May 31, 2019. For more information about the retirement of these plants, see Note 6, Property, Plant, and Equipment.

Natural Gas-Fired Generation

Our natural gas-fired generation currently consists of nine operating plants, including peaking units, with a rated capacity of 3,400 MW as of December 31, 2018. For more information about our operating plants, see Item 2. Properties.

In October 2017, the MPSC approved UMER's application for a certificate of necessity to begin construction of a long-term generation solution for electric reliability in the region. UMER is constructing and will operate approximately 180 MW of natural gas-fired generation in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan. The new generation is expected to begin commercial operation during the second quarter of 2019. See Note 24, Regulatory Environment, for more information.

2018 Form 10-K 7WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Oil-Fired Generation

Our oil-fired generation had a rated capacity of 190 MW as of December 31, 2018. We also have natural gas-fired peaking units with a rated capacity of 1,239 MW, which have the ability to burn oil if natural gas is not available due to delivery constraints. For more information about our operating plants, see Item 2. Properties.

Renewable Generation

Our electric utilities meet a portion of their electric generation supply with various renewable energy resources. This helps our electric utilities maintain compliance with renewable energy legislation in Wisconsin and Michigan. These renewable energy resources also help us maintain diversity in our generation portfolio, which effectively serves as a price hedge against future fuel costs, and will help mitigate the risk of potential unknown costs associated with any future carbon restrictions for electric generators. For more information about our renewable generation, see Item 2. Properties.

In December 2018, WE received approval from the PSCW for the Dedicated Renewable Energy Resource pilot program, a program for customers who wish to access a large-scale renewable project located in Wisconsin that WE would operate. The project will contribute toward meeting WE's peak demand, adding up to 150 MW of renewables to WE's portfolio.

Solar

As part of our commitment to invest in zero-carbon generation, we plan to invest in utility scale solar of up to 350 MW within our Wisconsin segment. In May 2018, WPS, along with an unaffiliated utility, filed an application with the PSCW for approval to acquire ownership interests in two proposed solar projects in Wisconsin. Badger Hollow Solar Farm will be located in Iowa County, Wisconsin, and Two Creeks Solar Project will be located in Manitowish County, Wisconsin. If approved, WPS will own 100 MW of the output of each project for a total of 200 MW.

In December 2018, WE received approval from the PSCW for the Solar Now pilot program, which is expected to add 35 MW of renewables to WE's portfolio and will allow commercial and industrial customers to site solar arrays on their property.

Hydroelectric

Our hydroelectric generating system consists of 30 operating plants with a total installed capacity of 173 MW and a rated capacity of 102 MW as of December 31, 2018. All of our hydroelectric facilities follow FERC guidelines and/or regulations.

Wind

We have six wind sites, consisting of 352 turbines, with an installed capacity of 576 MW and a rated capacity of 72 MW as of December 31, 2018. In April 2018, WPS, along with two other non-affiliated utilities, completed the purchase of Forward Wind Energy Center, which consists of 86 wind turbines located in Wisconsin with a total capacity of 138 MW. WPS's proportionate share of Forward Wind Energy Center is 44.6%. See Note 2, Acquisitions, for more information.

Biomass

We have a biomass-fueled power plant at a Rothschild, Wisconsin paper mill site. Wood waste and wood shavings are used to produce a rated capacity of approximately 46 MW of electric power as well as steam to support the paper mill's operations. Fuel for the power plant is supplied by both the paper mill and through contracts with biomass suppliers. The plant also has the ability to burn natural gas if wood waste and wood shavings are not available.

Electric System Reliability

The PSCW requires us to maintain a planning reserve margin above our projected annual peak demand forecast to help ensure reliability of electric service to our customers. These planning reserve requirements are consistent with the MISO calculated planning reserve margin. In 2008, the PSCW established a 14.5% reserve margin requirement for long-term planning (planning years two through ten). For short-term planning (planning year one), the PSCW requires Wisconsin utilities to follow the planning reserve margin established by MISO. MISO has a 17.1% installed capacity reserve margin requirement for the planning year from June 1, 2018, through May 31, 2019, and a 16.8% installed capacity reserve margin requirement for the planning year from June 1, 2019,

2018 Form 10-K 8WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

through May 31, 2020. MISO's short-term reserve margin requirements experience year-to-year fluctuations, primarily due to changes in the average forced outage rate of generation within the MISO footprint.

Michigan legislation requires all electric providers to demonstrate to the MPSC that they have enough resources to serve the anticipated needs of their customers for a minimum of four consecutive planning years beginning in the upcoming planning year June 1, 2019, through May 31, 2020. The MPSC has established future planning reserve margin requirements based on the same study conducted by MISO that determines the short-term reserve margin requirements.

In both of our Wisconsin and Michigan jurisdictions, we have adequate capacity through company-owned generation units and power purchase contracts to meet the MISO calculated planning reserve margin during the current planning year. We also fully anticipate that we will have adequate capacity to meet the planning reserve margin requirements for the upcoming planning year in both jurisdictions. However, extremely hot weather, unexpected equipment failure, or unavailability across the 15-state MISO footprint could require us to call upon load management procedures. Load management procedures allow for the reduction of energy use through agreements with customers to directly shut off their equipment or through interruptible service, where customers agree to reduce their load in the case of an emergency interruption.

Fuel and Purchased Power Costs

Our retail electric rates in Wisconsin are established by the PSCW and include base amounts for fuel and purchased power costs. The electric fuel rules set by the PSCW allow us to defer, for subsequent rate recovery or refund, under- or over-collections of actual fuel and purchased power costs that exceed a 2% price variance from the costs included in the rates charged to customers. Prudently incurred fuel and purchased power costs are recovered dollar-for-dollar from our Michigan retail electric customers. For more information about the fuel rules, see D. Regulation.

Our average fuel and purchased power costs per MWh by fuel type were as follows for the years ended December 31:

	2018	2017	2016
Coal	\$23.54	\$23.05	\$23.09
Natural gas combined cycle	21.69	22.65	18.79
Natural gas/oil peaking units	49.06	53.91	45.08
Biomass	97.33	118.76	103.24
Purchased power	42.85	42.12	40.11

WE and WPS purchase coal under long-term contracts, which helps with price stability. In the past, coal and associated transportation services were exposed to volatility in pricing due to changing domestic and world-wide demand for coal and diesel fuel. To moderate the volatility, WE and WPS were both given PSCW approval for a hedging program, which allowed them to hedge up to 75% of their potential risks related to rail transportation fuel surcharge exposure. However, due to decreased volatility over the last few years, we suspended the fuel surcharge hedging program in 2017.

We purchase natural gas for our plants on the spot market from natural gas marketers, utilities, and producers, and we arrange for transportation of the natural gas to our plants. We have firm and interruptible transportation, as well as balancing and storage agreements, intended to support our plants' variable usage. WE and WPS also have PSCW-approved programs that allow them to hedge up to 75% of their estimated natural gas use for electric generation in order to help manage their natural gas price risk.

Our hedging programs are generally implemented on a 36-month forward-looking basis. The results of these programs are reflected in the average costs of natural gas and purchased power.

Coal Supply

We diversify the coal supply for our electric generating facilities and jointly-owned plants by purchasing coal from several mines in Wyoming, as well as from various other states. For 2019, approximately 85% of our total projected coal requirements of 8.9 million tons are contracted under fixed-price contracts. See Note 22, Commitments and Contingencies, for more information on amounts of coal purchases and coal deliveries under contract.

2018 Form 10-K 9WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

The annual tonnage amounts contracted for the next two years are as follows. We have not entered into any coal contracts for years after 2020.

(in thousands)	Annual Tonnage
2019	7,545
2020	2,317

Coal Deliveries

All of our 2019 coal requirements are expected to be shipped by our owned or leased unit trains under existing transportation agreements. The unit trains transport the coal for electric generating facilities from mines in Wyoming, Pennsylvania, and Montana. The coal is transported by train to our rail-served electric-generating facilities and to dock storage in Superior, Wisconsin, until needed by our lake vessel-served facility, PIPP. See Note 6, Property, Plant, and Equipment, for more information about the planned retirement of PIPP. Additional small volume agreements may also be used to supplement the normal coal supply for our facilities.

Midcontinent Independent System Operator Costs

In connection with its status as a FERC approved RTO, MISO developed and operates the MISO Energy Markets, which include its bid-based energy and ancillary services markets. We are participants in the MISO Energy Markets. For more information on MISO, see D. Regulation.

Power Purchase Commitments

We enter into short and long-term power purchase commitments to meet a portion of our anticipated electric energy supply needs. Our power purchase commitments with unaffiliated parties are 1,387 MW per year for 2019 and 2020, 1,379 MW for 2021, and 1,133 MW per year for 2022 and 2023, which exclude planning capacity purchases. These amounts include 1,033 MW per year related to a long-term power purchase agreement for electricity generated by Point Beach. Due to the actual and planned retirement of generation resources, we have entered into purchase agreements to procure additional planning capacity in order to maintain our compliance with planning reserve requirements as established by the PSCW, MPSC, and MISO.

Other Matters

Seasonality

Our electric utility sales are impacted by seasonal factors and varying weather conditions. We sell more electricity during the summer months because of the residential cooling load. We continue to upgrade our electric distribution system, including substations, transformers, and lines, to meet the demand of our customers. Our generating plants performed as expected during the warmest periods of the summer, and all power purchase commitments under firm contract were received. During this period, WE did not require public appeals for conservation, and it did not interrupt or curtail service to non-firm customers who participate in load management programs. In addition, WPS did not require any public appeals for conservation, and it did not interrupt or curtail service to non-firm customers who participate in load management programs for capacity reasons. However, WPS did have service curtailments for economic interruptions. Economic interruptions are declared during times in which the price of electricity in the regional market exceeds the cost of operating the Company's peaking generation. During this time, interruptible customers can choose to continue using electricity at a price based on wholesale market prices.

Competition

Our electric utilities face competition from various entities and other forms of energy sources available to customers, including self-generation by large industrial customers and alternative energy sources. Our electric utilities compete with other utilities for sales to municipalities and cooperatives as well as with other utilities and marketers for wholesale electric business.

For more information on competition in our service territories, see Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Factors Affecting Results, Liquidity, and Capital Resources – Competitive Markets.

2018 Form 10-K 10WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Environmental Matters

For information regarding environmental matters, especially as they relate to coal-fired generating facilities, see Note 22, Commitments and Contingencies.

Natural Gas Utility Operations

We are authorized to provide retail natural gas distribution service in designated territories in the state of Wisconsin, as established by indeterminate permits and boundary agreements with other utilities. We also transport customer-owned natural gas. Together our natural gas distribution utilities are the largest in Wisconsin, and we operate throughout the state, including the City of Milwaukee and surrounding areas, northeastern Wisconsin, and in large areas of both central and western Wisconsin.

Effective January 1, 2017, WPS transferred its natural gas customers and natural gas distribution assets located in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan to UMERG, which is included in our Wisconsin segment. More information about UMERG is included at the beginning of the Wisconsin segment section.

We provide natural gas utility service to a diversified base of industrial customers who are largely within our electric service territory. Major industries served include governmental, food products, paper, education, and metals manufacturing. See Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Results of Operations – Wisconsin Segment Contribution to Operating Income for information on natural gas sales volumes by customer class in Wisconsin and the Upper Peninsula of Michigan.

Operating Revenues

The following table shows natural gas utility operating revenues for our Wisconsin segment. For information about our operating revenues disaggregated by customer class for the year ended December 31, 2018, see Note 4, Operating Revenues. For more information about our significant accounting policies related to the recognition of revenues, see Note 1(d), Operating Revenues.

	Year Ended December 31	
(in millions)	2017	2016
Operating revenues		
Residential	\$809.3	\$763.2
Commercial and industrial	395.5	355.3
Total retail revenues	1,204.8	1,118.5
Transport	72.6	69.7
Other operating revenues *	(7.2)	(10.6)
Total operating revenues	\$1,270.2	\$1,177.6

*Includes amounts refunded to customers for purchased gas adjustment costs.

Natural Gas Sales Forecast

Our combined Wisconsin service territories experienced growth in weather-normalized retail natural gas deliveries (excluding natural gas deliveries for electric generation) in 2018 due to customer growth. We currently forecast retail natural gas delivery volumes to grow at a rate between 0.5% and 1.0% over the next five years, assuming normal weather.

Customers

	Year Ended December		
	31		
(in thousands)	2018	2017	2016
Customers – end of year			
Residential	1,329.6	1,318.3	1,306.3
Commercial and industrial	130.6	129.7	129.0
Transport	3.0	2.8	2.6
Total customers	1,463.2	1,450.8	1,437.9

2018 Form 10-K 11 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Natural Gas Supply, Pipeline Capacity and Storage

We have been able to meet our contractual obligations with both our suppliers and our customers. For more information on our natural gas utility supply and transportation contracts, see Note 22, Commitments and Contingencies.

Pipeline and Storage Capacity

The interstate pipelines serving Wisconsin originate in major natural gas producing areas of North America: the Oklahoma and Texas basins, western Canada, and the Rocky Mountains. We have contracted for long-term firm capacity from a number of these sources. This strategy reflects management's belief that overall supply security is enhanced by geographic diversification of the supply portfolio.

Due to variations in natural gas usage in Wisconsin, we have also contracted for substantial underground storage capacity, primarily in Michigan. We target storage inventory levels at approximately 40% of forecasted demand for November through March. Diversity of natural gas supply enables us to manage significant changes in demand and to optimize our overall natural gas supply and capacity costs. We generally inject natural gas into storage during the spring and summer months and withdraw it in the winter months.

In June 2017, we completed the acquisition of Bluewater. Bluewater owns natural gas storage facilities in Michigan that provide approximately one-third of the current storage needs for our Wisconsin natural gas utilities. See Note 2, Acquisitions, for more information on this transaction.

We hold daily transportation and storage capacity entitlements with interstate pipeline companies as well as other service providers under varied-length long-term contracts.

Pipeline and storage capacity and natural gas supplies under contract can be resold in secondary markets. Peak or near-peak demand generally occurs only a few times each year. The secondary markets facilitate utilization of capacity and supply during times when the contracted capacity and supply are in excess of utility demand. The proceeds from these transactions are passed through to customers, subject to our approved GCRMs. For information on the GCRMs, see Note 1(d), Operating Revenues.

To ensure a reliable supply of natural gas during peak winter conditions, we have liquefied natural gas and propane facilities located within our distribution system. These facilities are typically utilized during extreme demand conditions to ensure reliable supply to our customers.

Combined with our storage capability, management believes that the volume of natural gas under contract is sufficient to meet our forecasted firm peak-day and seasonal demand. Our Wisconsin natural gas utilities' forecasted design peak-day throughput is 32.5 million therms for the 2018 through 2019 heating season. Our peak daily send-out during 2018 was 24.2 million therms on January 4, 2018.

Natural Gas Supply

We have contracts for firm supplies with terms of 3–5 months with suppliers for natural gas acquired in the Chicago, Illinois market hub and in the producing areas discussed above. The pricing of the term contracts is based upon first of the month indices.

We expect to continue to make natural gas purchases in the spot market as price and other circumstances dictate. We have supply relationships with a number of sellers from whom we purchase natural gas in the spot market.

Hedging Natural Gas Supply Prices

WE, WPS, and WG have PSCW approval to hedge up to 60% of planned winter demand and up to 15% of planned summer demand using a mix of NYMEX-based natural gas options and futures contracts. These approvals allow these companies to pass 100% of the hedging costs (premiums and brokerage fees) and proceeds (gains and losses) to customers through their respective GCRMs.

To the extent that opportunities develop and physical supply operating plans are supportive, WE, WG, and WPS also have PSCW approval to utilize NYMEX-based natural gas derivatives to capture favorable forward-market price differentials. These approvals provide for 100% of the related proceeds to accrue to these companies' respective GCRMs.

2018 Form 10-K 12 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Seasonality

Since the majority of our customers use natural gas for heating, customer use is sensitive to weather and is generally higher during the winter months. Accordingly, we are subject to some variations in earnings and working capital throughout the year as a result of changes in weather.

The seasonality of natural gas revenues causes the timing of cash collections to be concentrated from January through June. A portion of the winter natural gas supply needs is typically purchased and stored from April through November. Also, planned capital spending on our natural gas distribution facilities is concentrated in April through November. Because of these timing differences, the cash flow from customers is typically supplemented with temporary increases in short-term borrowings (from external sources) during the late summer and fall. Short-term debt is typically reduced over the January through June period.

Competition

We face varying degrees of competition from other entities and other forms of energy available to consumers. Many large commercial and industrial customers have the ability to switch between natural gas and alternative fuels. Commercial and industrial customers have the opportunity to choose a natural gas supplier other than us. We offer both natural gas transportation service and interruptible natural gas sales to enable customers to better manage their energy costs. Transportation customers purchase natural gas directly from third-party natural gas suppliers and use our distribution systems to transport the natural gas to their facilities. We earn a distribution charge for transporting the natural gas for these customers. As such, the loss of revenue associated with the cost of natural gas that our transportation customers purchase from third-party suppliers has little impact on our net income, as it is offset by an equal reduction to natural gas costs. Customers continue to switch between firm system supply, interruptible system supply, and transportation service each year as the economics and service options change.

Illinois Segment

Our Illinois segment includes the natural gas utility operations of PGL and NSG. PGL and NSG, both Illinois corporations, began operations in 1855 and 1900, respectively. Our customers are located in Chicago and the northern suburbs of Chicago. See Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Results of Operations – Illinois Segment Contribution to Operating Income for information on natural gas sales volumes by customer class.

Illinois Utilities Operating Statistics

Operating Revenues

The following table shows natural gas operating revenues for our Illinois utilities. For information about our operating revenues disaggregated by customer class for the year ended December 31, 2018, see Note 4, Operating Revenues. For more information about our significant accounting policies related to the recognition of revenues, see Note 1(d), Operating Revenues.

	Year Ended	
	December 31	
(in millions)	2017	2016
Operating revenues		
Residential	\$934.8	\$839.2
Commercial and industrial	156.7	136.5
Total retail revenues	1,091.5	975.7

Edgar Filing: WEC ENERGY GROUP, INC. - Form 10-K

Transport	246.9	239.4
Other operating revenues	17.1	27.1
Total operating revenues	\$1,355.5	\$1,242.2

2018 Form 10-K 13 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Customers

	Year Ended December 31		
(in thousands)	2018	2017	2016
Customers – end of year			
Residential	863.2	849.8	822.6
Commercial and industrial	72.1	72.9	71.3
Transport	97.5	107.5	109.5
Total customers	1,032.8	1,030.2	1,003.4

Natural Gas Supply, Pipeline Capacity, and Storage

We manage portfolios of natural gas supply contracts, storage services, and pipeline transportation services designed to meet varying customer use patterns with safe, reliable natural gas supplies at the best value. For more information on our natural gas utility supply and transportation contracts, see Note 22, Commitments and Contingencies.

Pipeline Capacity and Storage

We contract with local distribution companies and interstate pipelines to purchase firm transportation services. We believe that having multiple pipelines that serve our natural gas service territory benefits our customers by improving reliability, providing access to a diverse supply of natural gas, and fostering competition among these service providers. These benefits can lead to favorable conditions for our Illinois utilities when negotiating new agreements for transportation and storage services.

We own a 38.8 Bcf storage field (Manlove Field in central Illinois) and contract with various other underground storage service providers for additional storage services. Storage allows us to manage significant changes in daily natural gas demand and to purchase steady levels of natural gas on a year-round basis, which provides a hedge against supply cost volatility. We also own a natural gas pipeline system that connects Manlove Field to Chicago and nine major interstate pipelines. These assets are directed primarily to serving rate-regulated retail customers and are included in our regulatory rate base. We also use a portion of these company-owned storage and pipeline assets as a natural gas hub, which consists of providing transportation and storage services in interstate commerce to our wholesale customers. Customers deliver natural gas to us for storage through an injection into the storage reservoir, and we return the natural gas to the customers under an agreed schedule through a withdrawal from the storage reservoir. Title to the natural gas does not transfer to us. We recognize service fees associated with the natural gas hub services provided to wholesale customers. These service fees reduce the cost of natural gas and services charged to retail customers in rates.

We had adequate capacity to meet all firm natural gas demand obligations during 2018 and expect to have adequate capacity to meet all firm demand obligations during 2019. Our Illinois utilities' forecasted design peak-day throughput is 24.8 million therms for the 2018 through 2019 heating season.

Natural Gas Supply

Our natural gas supply requirements are met through a combination of fixed-price purchases, index-priced purchases, contracted and owned storage, peak-shaving facilities, and natural gas supply call options. We contract for fixed-term firm natural gas supply each year to meet the demand of firm system sales customers. To supplement natural gas supply and manage risk, we purchase additional natural gas supply on the monthly and daily spot markets.

Hedging Natural Gas Supply Prices

Our Illinois utilities further reduce their supply cost volatility through the use of financial instruments, such as commodity futures, swaps, and options as part of their hedging programs. Their hedging programs are approved by the ICC. They hedge between 25% and 50% of natural gas purchases, with a target of 37.5%.

Natural Gas System Modernization Program

PGL is continuing work on the SMP, a project to replace approximately 2,000 miles of Chicago's aging natural gas pipeline infrastructure that began in 2011. PGL currently recovers these costs through a surcharge on customer bills pursuant to an ICC approved QIP rider, which is in effect through 2023. For information on regulatory proceedings related to the SMP, see Note 24, Regulatory Environment.

2018 Form 10-K 14 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Seasonality

Since the majority of our customers use natural gas for heating, customer use is sensitive to weather and is generally higher during the winter months. Accordingly, we are subject to variations in earnings and working capital throughout the year as a result of changes in weather.

Our Illinois utilities' working capital needs are met by cash generated from operations and debt (both long-term and short-term). The seasonality of natural gas revenues causes the timing of cash collections to be concentrated from January through June. A portion of the winter natural gas supply needs is typically purchased and stored from April through November. Also, planned capital spending on our natural gas distribution facilities is concentrated in April through November. Because of these timing differences, the cash flow from customers is typically supplemented with temporary increases in short-term borrowings (from external sources) during the late summer and fall. Short-term debt is typically reduced over the January through June period.

Competition

Although our Illinois utilities' rates are regulated by the ICC, we still face varying degrees of competition from other entities and other forms of energy available to consumers. Absent extraordinary circumstances, potential competitors are not allowed to construct competing natural gas distribution systems in our service territory due to a judicial doctrine known as the "first in the field." In addition, we believe it would be impractical to construct competing duplicate distribution facilities due to the high cost of installation.

Since 2002, all our Illinois utilities' natural gas customers have had the opportunity to choose a natural gas supplier other than us. As a result, we offer natural gas transportation service to enable customers to directly manage their energy costs. Transportation customers purchase natural gas directly from third-party natural gas suppliers and use our distribution system to transport the natural gas to their facilities. We still earn a distribution charge for transporting the natural gas for these customers. As such, the loss of revenue associated with the cost of natural gas that our transportation customers purchase from third-party suppliers has little impact on our net income, as it is offset by an equal reduction to natural gas costs.

An interstate pipeline may seek to provide transportation service directly to end users, which would bypass our natural gas transportation service. However, we have a bypass rate approved by the ICC, which allows us to negotiate rates with customers that are potential bypass candidates to help ensure that such customers use our transportation service.

Other States Segment

Our other states segment includes the natural gas utility operations of MERC and MGU. MERC serves customers in various cities and communities throughout Minnesota, and MGU serves customers in southern and western Michigan. See Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Results of Operations – Other States Segment Contribution to Operating Income for information on natural gas sales volumes by customer class for this segment.

Table of Contents

Other States Utilities Operating Statistics

Operating Revenues

The following table shows natural gas operating revenues for our other states utilities. For information about our operating revenues disaggregated by customer class for the year ended December 31, 2018, see Note 4, Operating Revenues. For more information about our significant accounting policies related to the recognition of revenues, see Note 1(d), Operating Revenues.

	Year Ended	
	December 31	
(in millions)	2017	2016
Operating revenues		
Residential	\$220.2	\$209.3
Commercial and industrial	123.9	110.7
Total retail revenues	344.1	320.0
Transport	31.4	31.7
Other operating revenues	35.7	24.8
Total operating revenues	\$411.2	\$376.5

Customers

	Year Ended		
	December 31		
(in thousands)	2018	2017	2016
Customers – end of year			
Residential	356.5	353.0	348.1
Commercial and industrial	34.9	34.5	34.1
Transport	24.7	24.2	24.8
Total customers	416.1	411.7	407.0

Natural Gas Supply, Pipeline Capacity and Storage

We manage portfolios of natural gas supply contracts, storage services, and pipeline transportation services designed to meet varying customer use patterns with safe, reliable natural gas supplies at the best value. For more information on our natural gas utility supply and transportation contracts, see Note 22, Commitments and Contingencies.

Pipeline Capacity and Storage

We own a storage field (Partello in Michigan) and contract with various other underground storage service providers for additional storage services. We contract with local distribution companies and interstate pipelines to purchase firm transportation services. We believe that having diverse capacity and storage benefits our customers.

Combined with our storage capability, management believes that the volume of gas under contract is sufficient to meet our forecasted firm peak-day and seasonal demand. Forecasted design peak-day throughput for our other states utilities is 8.7 million therms for the 2018 through 2019 heating season.

Natural Gas Supply

Our natural gas supply requirements are met through a combination of fixed-price purchases, index-priced purchases, contracted and owned storage, and natural gas supply call options. We contract for fixed-term firm natural gas supply

each year to meet the demand of firm system sales customers. To supplement natural gas supply and manage risk, we purchase additional natural gas supply on the monthly and daily spot markets.

Hedging Natural Gas Supply Prices

Our other states utilities further reduce their supply cost volatility through the use of financial instruments, such as commodity futures, swaps, and options as part of their hedging programs. MERC has MPUC approval to hedge up to 30% of planned winter

2018 Form 10-K 16WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

demand using NYMEX financial instruments. MGU has MPSC approval to hedge up to 20% of its planned annual purchases using NYMEX financial instruments.

Seasonality

Since the majority of our customers use natural gas for heating, customer use is sensitive to weather and is generally higher during the winter months. Accordingly, we are subject to variations in earnings and working capital throughout the year as a result of changes in weather.

Our other states utilities' working capital needs are met by cash generated from operations and debt (both long-term and short-term). The seasonality of natural gas revenues causes the timing of cash collections to be concentrated from January through June. A portion of the winter natural gas supply needs is typically purchased and stored from April through November. Also, planned capital spending on our natural gas distribution facilities is concentrated in April through November. Because of these timing differences, the cash flow from customers is typically supplemented with temporary increases in short-term borrowings (from external sources) during the late summer and fall. Short-term debt is typically reduced over the January through June period.

Competition

Although our other states utilities' rates are regulated by the MPUC and MPSC, we still face varying degrees of competition from other entities and other forms of energy available to consumers. Natural gas utilities in the state of Minnesota do not have exclusive franchise service territories and, as a matter of law and policy, natural gas utilities may compete for new customers. However, natural gas utilities have customarily avoided competing for existing customers of other utilities, as there would be duplicative utility facilities and/or increased costs to customers. If this approach were to change, it could lead to a greater level of utility to utility competition for customers.

Many large commercial and industrial customers have the ability to switch between natural gas and alternative fuels. In addition, MERC commercial and industrial customers and all MGU customers have the opportunity to choose a natural gas supplier other than us. We offer natural gas transportation service and also offer interruptible natural gas sales to enable customers to better manage their energy costs. Transportation customers purchase natural gas directly from third-party natural gas suppliers and use our distribution systems to transport the natural gas to their facilities. We still earn a distribution charge for transporting the natural gas for these customers. As such, the loss of revenue associated with the cost of natural gas that our transportation customers purchase from third-party suppliers has little impact on our net income, as it is offset by an equal reduction to natural gas costs. Customers continue to switch between firm system supply, interruptible system supply, and transportation service each year as the economics and service options change.

Electric Transmission Segment

ATC is a regional transmission company that owns, maintains, monitors, and operates electric transmission systems in Wisconsin, Michigan, Illinois, and Minnesota. ATC is expected to provide comparable service to all customers, including WE, WPS, and UMER, and to support effective competition in energy markets without favoring any market participant. ATC is regulated by the FERC for all rate terms and conditions of service and is a transmission-owning member of MISO. MISO maintains operational control of ATC's transmission system, and WE, WPS, and UMER are non-transmission owning members and customers of MISO. As of December 31, 2018, our ownership interest in ATC was approximately 60%. In addition, we own approximately 75% of ATC Holdco, a separate entity formed in December 2016 to invest in transmission-related projects outside of ATC's traditional footprint.

In April 2011, ATC and Duke Energy announced the creation of a joint venture, DATC, that seeks opportunities to acquire, build, own, and operate new electric transmission infrastructure in North America to address increasing demand for affordable, reliable transmission capacity. In April 2013, DATC acquired a 72% interest in California's Path 15 transmission rights. DATC continues to evaluate new projects and opportunities, along with participating in the competitive bidding process on projects it considers viable. These projects are located in the service territories of several different RTOs around the country. See Note 19, Investment in Transmission Affiliates, for more information.

ATC is currently named as one of several parties to a complaint filed with the FERC requesting a reduction in the base ROE used by MISO transmission owners. See Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Factors Affecting Results, Liquidity, and Capital Resources – Other Matters – American Transmission Company Allowed Return on Equity Complaints, for more information.

2018 Form 10-K 17 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

C. NON-UTILITY OPERATIONS

Non-Utility Energy Infrastructure Segment

The non-utility energy infrastructure segment includes We Power, which owns and leases generating facilities to WE; Bluewater, which owns underground natural gas storage facilities in Michigan; our 90% membership interest in Bishop Hill III, a wind generating facility; our 80% membership interest in Coyote Ridge, a wind generating facility under construction; and our 80% membership interest in Upstream, a wind generating facility acquired in January 2019. See Note 2, Acquisitions, for more information.

We Power, through wholly owned subsidiaries, designed and built approximately 2,450 MW of generation in Wisconsin. This generation is made up of capacity from the ERGS units, ER 1 and ER 2, which were placed in service in February 2010 and January 2011, respectively, and the PWGS units, PWGS 1 and PWGS 2, which were placed in service in July 2005 and May 2008, respectively. Two unaffiliated entities collectively own approximately 17%, or approximately 211 MW, of ER 1 and ER 2. We Power's share of the ERGS units and both PWGS units are being leased to WE under long-term leases (the ERGS units have 30-year leases and the PWGS units have 25-year leases), and are positioned to provide a significant portion of our future generation needs.

Because of the significant investment necessary to construct these generating units, we constructed the plants under Wisconsin's Leased Generation Law, which allows a non-utility affiliate to construct an electric generating facility and lease it to the public utility. The law allows a public utility that has entered into a lease approved by the PSCW to recover fully in its retail electric rates that portion of any payments under the lease that the PSCW has allocated to the public utility's Wisconsin retail electric service, and all other costs that are prudently incurred in the public utility's operation and maintenance of the electric generating facility allocated to the utility's Wisconsin retail electric service. In addition, the PSCW may not modify or terminate a lease it has approved under the Leased Generation Law except as specifically provided in the lease or the PSCW's order approving the lease. This law effectively created regulatory certainty in light of the significant investment being made to construct the units. All four units were constructed under leases approved by the PSCW.

We are recovering our costs of these units, including subsequent capital additions, through lease payments that are billed from We Power to WE and then recovered in WE's rates as authorized by the PSCW, the MPSC, and the FERC. Under the lease terms, our return is calculated using a 12.7% ROE and the equity ratio is assumed to be 55% for the ERGS units and 53% for the PWGS units.

Bluewater, located in Michigan, provides natural gas storage and hub services for our Wisconsin natural gas utilities. WE, WG, and WPS have entered into long-term service agreements for natural gas storage with a wholly owned subsidiary of Bluewater.

Bishop Hill III is a 132 MW wind generating facility consisting of 53 wind turbines located in Henry County, Illinois. Bishop Hill III has a 22-year offtake agreement with an unaffiliated company for the sale of all energy produced by the facility. We have a 90% membership interest in Bishop Hill III. Under the Tax Legislation, our investment in Bishop Hill III qualifies for production tax credits and 100% bonus depreciation.

Coyote Ridge is a wind generating facility under construction in Brookings County, South Dakota. The wind generating facility is expected to be in service by the end of 2019. The Coyote Ridge site will consist of 39 wind turbines with a combined capacity of 97.5 MW. The project has a 12-year offtake agreement with an unaffiliated third party for all energy produced by the facility. We have an 80% membership interest in Coyote Ridge. Under the Tax Legislation, our investment in Coyote Ridge is expected to qualify for production tax credits and 100% bonus

depreciation. We are entitled to 99% of the tax benefits related to this facility.

In January 2019, we purchased an 80% membership interest in Upstream, a commercially operational 202.5 MW wind generating facility consisting of 81 wind turbines located in Antelope County, Nebraska, which supplies energy to the Southwest Power Pool. Upstream's revenue will be substantially fixed over a 10-year period through an agreement with an unaffiliated third party. Under the Tax Legislation, our investment in Upstream qualifies for production tax credits and 100% bonus depreciation.

Corporate and Other Segment

The corporate and other segment includes the operations of the WEC Energy Group holding company, the Integrys holding company, and the PELLC holding company, as well as the operations of Wispark, Bostco (prior to the sale of substantially all of its remaining assets in the first quarter of 2017 and its dissolution in October 2018), Wisvest (prior to the sale of its assets in the second quarter of

2018 Form 10-K 18 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

2016), WECC, WBS, PDL, and ITF (prior to the sale of this business in the first quarter of 2016). See Note 3, Dispositions, for more information on the sale of Wisvest's and Bostco's assets and ITF.

Wispark develops and invests in real estate, primarily in southeastern Wisconsin. Wispark had \$40.7 million in real estate holdings at December 31, 2018.

Bostco was originally formed to develop and invest in real estate. In March 2017, we sold the remaining real estate holdings of Bostco located in downtown Milwaukee, Wisconsin. See Note 3, Dispositions, for more information. In October 2018, Bostco was dissolved.

Wisvest was originally formed to develop, own, and operate electric generating facilities and to invest in other energy-related entities. However, Wisvest discontinued its development activity several years ago. In April 2016, we sold the chilled water generation and distribution assets of Wisvest, which provided chilled water services to the Milwaukee Regional Medical Center. Wisvest no longer has significant operations. See Note 3, Dispositions, for more information.

WECC was originally formed to invest in non-utility projects, such as low income housing developments. However, due to a focus on our regulated utility business, WECC sold many of its non-utility investments and no longer has significant operations.

WBS is a wholly owned centralized service company that provides administrative and general support services to our regulated entities. WBS also provides certain administrative and support services to our nonregulated entities.

PDL owns distributed renewable solar projects. As part of our asset management strategy, in 2016, PDL sold its natural gas-fired cogeneration facility and its landfill gas facility, and in 2018, PDL sold three of its distributed commercial and industrial solar projects. These facilities were not considered core to our operations. PDL's solar facilities rely on solar irradiance, a renewable energy resource. There is no market price risk associated with the fuel supply of these solar projects. However, production at these facilities can be intermittent due to the variability of solar irradiance.

D. REGULATION

We are a holding company and are subject to the requirements of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 2005 (PUHCA 2005). We also have various subsidiaries that meet the definition of a holding company under PUHCA 2005 and are also subject to its requirements.

Pursuant to the non-utility asset cap provisions of Wisconsin's public utility holding company law, the sum of certain assets of all non-utility affiliates in a holding company system generally may not exceed 25% of the assets of all public utility affiliates. However, among other items, the law exempts energy-related assets, including the generating plants constructed by We Power and the other assets in our non-utility energy infrastructure segment, from being counted against the asset cap provided that they are employed in qualifying businesses. We report to the PSCW annually our compliance with this law and provide supporting documentation to show that our non-utility assets are below the non-utility asset cap.

Regulated Utility Operations

In addition to the specific regulations noted above and below, our utilities are also subject to regulations, where applicable, of the EPA, the WDNR, the MDEQ, the Michigan Department of Natural Resources, the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, the United States Army Corps of Engineers, the Minnesota Department of Natural

Resources, and the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency.

2018 Form 10-K 19 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Rates

Our utilities' rates were regulated by the various commissions shown in the table below during 2018. These commissions have general supervisory and regulatory powers over public utilities in their respective jurisdictions.

Regulated Rates	Regulatory Commission
WE	
Retail electric, natural gas, and steam	PSCW
Retail electric	MPSC
Wholesale power	FERC
WPS	
Retail electric and natural gas	PSCW
Wholesale power	FERC
WG	
Retail natural gas	PSCW
UMERC	
Retail electric and natural gas	MPSC
Wholesale power	FERC
PGL	
Retail natural gas	ICC
NSG	
Retail natural gas	ICC
MERC	
Retail natural gas	MPUC
MGU	
Retail natural gas	MPSC

Embedded within our electric utilities' rates is an amount to recover fuel and purchased power costs. The Wisconsin retail fuel rules require a utility to defer, for subsequent rate recovery or refund, any under-collection or over-collection of fuel and purchased power costs that are outside of the utility's symmetrical fuel cost tolerance, which the PSCW typically sets at plus or minus 2% of the utility's approved fuel and purchased power cost plan. The deferred fuel and purchased power costs are subject to an excess revenues test. If the utility's ROE in a given year exceeds the ROE authorized by the PSCW, the recovery of under-collected fuel and purchased power costs would be reduced by the amount by which the utility's return exceeds the authorized amount. Prudently incurred fuel and purchased power costs are recovered dollar-for-dollar from our Michigan retail electric customers and our wholesale electric customers.

Our natural gas utilities operate under GCRMs as approved by their respective state regulator. Generally, the GCRMs allow for a dollar-for-dollar recovery of prudently incurred natural gas costs.

See Note 1(d), Operating Revenues, for additional information on the significant mechanisms our utilities had in place in 2018 that allowed them to recover or refund changes in prudently incurred costs from rate case-approved amounts.

WE, WG, and WPS are each subject to an earnings sharing mechanism through 2019. WE and WG have been subject to the earnings sharing mechanism since January 2016, and WPS adopted it in January 2018 pursuant to its settlement agreement with the PSCW. See Note 24, Regulatory Environment, for more information.

For information on how rates are set for our regulated entities, see Note 24, Regulatory Environment. Orders from our respective regulators can be viewed at the following websites:

Regulatory Commission Website

Edgar Filing: WEC ENERGY GROUP, INC. - Form 10-K

PSCW	https://psc.wi.gov/
ICC	https://www.icc.illinois.gov/
MPSC	http://www.michigan.gov/mpsc/
MPUC	http://mn.gov/puc/
FERC	http://www.ferc.gov/

2018 Form 10-K 20 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

The material and information contained on these websites are not intended to be a part of, nor are they incorporated by reference into, this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The following table compares our utility operating revenues by regulatory jurisdiction for each of the three years ended December 31:

(in millions)	2018		2017		2016	
	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent
Electric						
Wisconsin	\$3,890.4	87.7 %	\$3,909.1	85.7 %	\$3,974.8	85.9 %
Michigan	152.4	3.4 %	145.9	3.2 %	175.0	3.8 %
FERC – Wholesale	396.1	8.9 %	504.0	11.1 %	478.3	10.3 %
Total	4,438.9	100.0 %	4,559.0	100.0 %	4,628.1	100.0 %
Natural Gas						
Wisconsin	1,351.8	42.3 %	1,266.4	41.7 %	1,174.2	42.0 %
Illinois	1,400.0	43.8 %	1,355.5	44.6 %	1,242.2	44.4 %
Minnesota	289.8	9.1 %	272.6	9.0 %	249.4	8.9 %
Michigan	152.4	4.8 %	142.4	4.7 %	130.5	4.7 %
Total	3,194.0	100.0 %	3,036.9	100.0 %	2,796.3	100.0 %
Total utility operating revenues	\$7,632.9		\$7,595.9		\$7,424.4	

Electric Transmission, Capacity, and Energy Markets

In connection with its status as a FERC approved RTO, MISO operates bid-based energy markets. MISO has been able to assume significant balancing area responsibilities such as frequency control and disturbance control.

In MISO, base transmission costs are currently being paid by load-serving entities located in the service territories of each MISO transmission owner. The FERC has previously confirmed the use of the current transmission cost allocation methodology. Certain additional costs for new transmission projects are allocated throughout the MISO footprint.

As part of MISO, a market-based platform is used for valuing transmission congestion premised upon the LMP system that is used in certain northeastern and mid-Atlantic states. The LMP system includes the ability to hedge transmission congestion costs through ARRs and FTRs. ARRs are allocated to market participants by MISO, and FTRs are purchased through auctions. A new allocation and auction were completed for the period of June 1, 2018, through May 31, 2019. The resulting ARR valuation and the secured FTRs are expected to mitigate our transmission congestion risk for that period.

MISO has an annual zonal resource adequacy requirement to ensure there is sufficient generation capacity to serve the MISO market. To meet this requirement, capacity resources can be acquired through MISO's annual capacity auction, bilateral contracts for capacity, or provided from generating or demand response resources. All of our capacity requirements during the planning year from June 1, 2018, through May 31, 2019 were met.

Other Electric Regulations

Our electric utilities are subject to the Federal Power Act and the corresponding regulations developed by certain federal agencies. The Energy Policy Act amended the Federal Power Act in 2005 to, among other things, make electric utility industry consolidation more feasible, authorize the FERC to review proposed mergers and the

acquisition of generation facilities, change the FERC regulatory scheme applicable to qualifying cogeneration facilities, and modify certain other aspects of energy regulations and Federal tax policies applicable to us. Additionally, the Energy Policy Act created an Electric Reliability Organization to be overseen by the FERC, which established mandatory electric reliability standards and has the authority to levy monetary sanctions for failure to comply with these standards.

WE and WPS are subject to Act 141 in Wisconsin, and WE and UMERCA are subject to Public Acts 295 and 342 in Michigan, which contain certain minimum requirements for renewable energy generation.

2018 Form 10-K 21 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

All of our hydroelectric facilities follow FERC guidelines and/or regulations.

Other Natural Gas Regulations

Almost all of the natural gas we distribute is transported to our distribution systems by interstate pipelines. The pipelines' transportation and storage services, including PGL's natural gas hub, are regulated by the FERC under the Natural Gas Act and the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978. In addition, the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration and the state commissions are responsible for monitoring and enforcing requirements governing our natural gas utilities' safety compliance programs for our pipelines under the United States Department of Transportation regulations. These regulations include 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 191 (Transportation of Natural and Other Gas by Pipeline; Annual Reports, Incident Reports, and Safety-Related Condition Reports), 49 CFR Part 192 (Transportation of Natural and Other Gas by Pipeline: Minimum Federal Safety Standards), and 49 CFR Part 195 (Transportation of Hazardous Liquids by Pipeline).

We are required to provide natural gas service and grant credit (with applicable deposit requirements) to customers within our service territories. We are generally not allowed to discontinue natural gas service during winter moratorium months to residential heating customers who do not pay their bills. Federal and certain state governments have programs that provide for a limited amount of funding for assistance to low-income customers of our utilities.

Non-Utility Energy Infrastructure Operations

The generation facilities constructed by wholly owned subsidiaries of We Power are being leased on a long-term basis to WE. Environmental permits necessary for operating the facilities are the responsibility of the operating entity, WE. We Power received determinations from the FERC that upon the transfer of the facilities by lease to WE, We Power's subsidiaries would not be deemed public utilities under the Federal Power Act and thus would not be subject to the FERC's jurisdiction.

Bluewater is regulated by the FERC under the Natural Gas Act and the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978. In addition, the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration is responsible for monitoring and enforcing requirements governing Bluewater's safety compliance programs for its pipelines under the United States Department of Transportation regulations. These regulations include 49 CFR Parts 191, 192, and 195. Given that Bluewater is required to route some of its natural gas through Canada, applicable reporting and licensing with the United States Department of Energy and the Canadian National Energy Board are also required, along with routine reporting related to imports and exports.

Bishop Hill III and Upstream, which was acquired in January 2019, are both subject to the FERC's regulation of wholesale energy under the Federal Power Act.

E. ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE

Our operations are subject to extensive environmental regulation by state and federal environmental agencies governing air and water quality, hazardous and solid waste management, environmental remediation, and management of natural resources. Costs associated with complying with these requirements are significant. Additional future environmental regulations or revisions to existing laws, including for example, additional regulation of GHG emissions, coal combustion products, air emissions, or wastewater discharges, could significantly increase these environmental compliance costs.

Anticipated expenditures for environmental compliance and remediation issues for the next three years are included in the estimated capital expenditures described in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition

and Results of Operations – Liquidity and Capital Resources – Capital Requirements. For a discussion of matters related to manufactured gas plant sites and air and water quality, see Note 22, Commitments and Contingencies.

2018 Form 10-K 22 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

F. EMPLOYEES

As of December 31, 2018, we had the following number of employees:

	Total Employees
WE	2,739
WPS	1,189
WG	411
PGL	1,566
NSG	166
MERC	221
MGU	149
WBS	1,437
Total employees	7,878

As of December 31, 2018, we had employees represented under labor agreements with the following bargaining units:

	Number of Employees	Expiration Date of Current Labor Agreement
WE		
Local 2150 of International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers	1,611	August 15, 2020
Local 420 of International Union of Operating Engineers	360	September 30, 2021
Local 2006 Unit 1 of United Steel Workers of America	114	October 31, 2021
Local 510 of International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers	75	October 31, 2020
Total WE	2,160	
WPS		
Local 420 of International Union of Operating Engineers	850	April 16, 2021
WG		
Local 2150 of International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers	81	August 15, 2020
Local 2006 Unit 1 of United Steel Workers of America	209	October 31, 2021
Total WG	290	
PGL		
Local 18007 of Utility Workers Union of America	990	April 30, 2023
Local 18007(C) of Utility Workers Union of America	92	July 31, 2021
Total PGL	1,082	
NSG		
Local 2285 of International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers ⁽¹⁾	121	June 30, 2019
MERC		
Local 31 of International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers	43	May 31, 2020
Local 49 of International Union of Operating Engineers ⁽²⁾	3	January 1, 2022
Total MERC	46	

MGU

Local 12295 of United Steelworkers of America	70	January 15, 2020
Local 417 of Utility Workers Union of America	25	February 15, 2022
Total MGU	95	

Total represented employees 4,644

- (1) We anticipate that Local 2285 negotiations will begin in spring 2019 and will conclude before the expiration of the current agreement.
- (2) A three year contract was ratified between MERC and the International Union of Operating Engineers, Local 49, on January 10, 2019.

2018 Form 10-K 23 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

We are subject to a variety of risks, many of which are beyond our control, that may adversely affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations. You should carefully consider the following risk factors, as well as the other information included in this report and other documents filed by us with the SEC from time to time, when making an investment decision.

Risks Related to Legislation and Regulation

Our business is significantly impacted by governmental regulation and oversight.

We are subject to significant state, local, and federal governmental regulation, including regulation by the various utility commissions in the states where we serve customers. These regulations significantly influence our operating environment, may affect our ability to recover costs from utility customers, and cause us to incur substantial compliance and other costs. Changes in regulations, interpretations of regulations, or the imposition of new regulations could also significantly impact us, including requiring us to change our business operations. Many aspects of our operations are regulated and impacted by government regulation, including, but not limited to: the rates we charge our retail electric, natural gas, and steam customers; the authorized rates of return of our utilities; construction and operation of electric generating facilities and electric and natural gas distribution systems, including the ability to recover such costs; decommissioning generating facilities, the ability to recover the related costs, and continuing to recover the return on the carrying value of these facilities; wholesale power service practices; electric reliability requirements and accounting; participation in the interstate natural gas pipeline capacity market; standards of service; issuance of securities; short-term debt obligations; transactions with affiliates; and billing practices. Failure to comply with any applicable rules or regulations may lead to customer refunds, penalties, and other payments, which could materially and adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

The rates, including adjustments determined under riders, we are allowed to charge our customers for retail and wholesale services have the most significant impact on our financial condition, results of operations, and liquidity. Rate regulation provides us an opportunity to recover prudently incurred costs and earn a reasonable rate of return on invested capital. However, our ability to obtain rate adjustments in the future is dependent upon regulatory action, and there is no assurance that our regulators will consider all of our costs to have been prudently incurred. In addition, our rate proceedings may not always result in rates that fully recover our costs or provide for a reasonable ROE. We defer certain costs and revenues as regulatory assets and liabilities for future recovery from or refund to customers, as authorized by our regulators. Future recovery of regulatory assets is not assured and is subject to review and approval by our regulators. If recovery of regulatory assets is not approved or is no longer deemed probable, these costs would be recognized in current period expense and could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition.

We believe we have obtained the necessary permits, approvals, authorizations, certificates, and licenses for our existing operations, have complied with all of their associated terms, and that our businesses are conducted in accordance with applicable laws. These permits, approvals, authorizations, certificates, and licenses may be revoked or modified by the agencies that granted them if facts develop that differ significantly from the facts assumed when they were issued. In addition, discharge permits and other approvals and licenses are often granted for a term that is less than the expected life of the associated facility. Licenses and permits may require periodic renewal, which may result in additional requirements being imposed by the granting agency. In addition, existing regulations may be revised or reinterpreted by federal, state, and local agencies, or these agencies may adopt new laws and regulations that apply to us. We cannot predict the impact on our business and operating results of any such actions by these agencies.

If we are unable to recover costs of complying with regulations or other associated costs in customer rates in a timely manner, or if we are unable to obtain, renew, or comply with these governmental permits, approvals, authorizations, certificates, or licenses, our results of operations and financial condition could be materially and adversely affected.

We face significant costs to comply with existing and future environmental laws and regulations.

Our operations are subject to numerous federal and state environmental laws and regulations. These laws and regulations govern, among other things, air emissions (including, but not limited to: CO₂, methane, mercury, SO₂, and NO_x), water quality, wastewater discharges, and management of hazardous, toxic, and solid wastes and substances. We incur significant costs to comply with these environmental requirements, including costs associated with the installation of pollution control equipment, environmental monitoring, emissions fees, and permits at our facilities. In addition, if we fail to comply with environmental laws and regulations, even if caused by factors beyond our control, that failure may result in the assessment of civil or criminal penalties and fines.

2018 Form 10-K 24 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

The EPA adopted and implemented (or is in the process of implementing) regulations governing the emission of NO_x, SO₂, fine particulate matter, mercury, and other air pollutants under the CAA through the NAAQS, the Mercury and Air Toxics Standards rule, the CPP, the Cross-State Air Pollution Rule, and other air quality regulations. In addition, the EPA finalized regulations under the Clean Water Act that govern cooling water intake structures at our power plants and revised the effluent guidelines for steam electric generating plants. The EPA and the United States Army Corps of Engineers (Army Corps) have also adopted a final rule that would expand traditional federal jurisdiction over navigable waters and related wetlands for permitting and other regulatory matters. However, this rule has been stayed, and the EPA and the Army Corps have proposed revisions to it. We continue to assess the potential cost of complying, and to explore different alternatives in order to comply, with these and other environmental regulations. In addition, as a result of the actions taken by the sitting President and Federal Executive Branch since taking office in January 2017, as well as its announced future plans and other factors, there is uncertainty as to what capital expenditures or additional costs may ultimately be required to comply with existing and future environmental laws and regulations.

Existing environmental laws and regulations may be revised or new laws or regulations may be adopted at the federal or state level that could result in significant additional expenditures for our generation units or distribution systems, including, without limitation, costs to further limit GHG emissions from our operations; operating restrictions on our facilities; and increased compliance costs. In addition, the operation of emission control equipment and compliance with rules regulating our intake and discharge of water could increase our operating costs and reduce the generating capacity of our power plants. Any such regulation may also create substantial additional costs in the form of taxes or emission allowances and could affect the availability and/or cost of fossil fuels.

As a result, certain of our coal-fired electric generating facilities have become uneconomical to maintain and operate, which has resulted in some of these units being retired or converted to an alternative type of fuel. For example, as part of our goal to retire approximately 1,800 MW of coal-fired generation by 2020, we retired the Pleasant Prairie power plant, Pulliam power plant, and the jointly-owned Edgewater Unit 4 generating unit during 2018, representing approximately 1,500 MW, and are required to retire PIPP by May 31, 2019. Certain of our remaining coal-fired electric generating facilities may also be retired or converted in the future. If other generation facility owners in the Midwest retire a significant number of older coal-fired generation facilities, a potential reduction in the region's capacity reserve margin below acceptable risk levels may result. This could impair the reliability of the grid in the Midwest, particularly during peak demand periods. A reduction in available future capacity could also adversely affect our ability to serve our customers' needs.

Our electric and natural gas utilities are also subject to significant liabilities related to the investigation and remediation of environmental impacts at certain of our current and former facilities and at third-party owned sites. We accrue liabilities and defer costs (recorded as regulatory assets) incurred in connection with our former manufactured gas plant sites. These costs include all costs incurred to date that we expect to recover, management's best estimates of future costs for investigation and remediation, related legal expenses, and are net of amounts recovered by or that may be recovered from insurance or other third parties. Due to the potential for the imposition of stricter standards and greater regulation in the future, the possibility that other potentially responsible parties may not be financially able to contribute to cleanup costs, a change in conditions or the discovery of additional contamination, our remediation costs could increase, and the timing of our capital and/or operating expenditures in the future may accelerate or could vary from the amounts currently accrued.

In the event we are not able to recover all of our environmental expenditures and related costs from our customers in the future, our results of operations and financial condition could be adversely affected. Further, increased costs recovered through rates could contribute to reduced demand for electricity and natural gas, which could adversely affect our results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition.

Litigation over environmental issues and claims of various types, including property damage, personal injury, common law nuisance, and citizen enforcement of environmental laws and regulations, has increased generally throughout the United States. In particular, personal injury, property damage, and other claims for damages alleged to have been caused by environmental impacts and alleged exposure to hazardous materials have become more frequent. In addition to claims relating to our current facilities, we may also be subject to potential liability in connection with the environmental condition of facilities that we previously owned and operated, regardless of whether the liabilities arose before, during, or after the time we owned or operated these facilities. If we fail to comply with environmental laws and regulations or cause (or caused) harm to the environment or persons, that failure or harm may result in the assessment of civil penalties and damages against us. The incurrence of a material environmental liability or a material judgment in any action for personal injury or property damage related to environmental matters could have a significant adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

2018 Form 10-K 25 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

We may face significant costs to comply with the regulation of greenhouse gas emissions.

Management believes it is reasonably likely that the scientific and political attention to issues concerning the existence and extent of climate change, and the role of human activity in it, will continue, with the potential for further regulation that affects our operations. In 2015, the EPA issued a final rule regulating GHG emissions from existing generating units, referred to as the CPP, and final performance standards for modified and reconstructed generating units and new fossil-fueled power plants. In February 2016, the Supreme Court stayed the effectiveness of the CPP until disposition of certain litigation in the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals challenging the rule and, to the extent that further appellate review is sought, at the Supreme Court.

In April 2017, pursuant to motions made by the EPA, the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals ordered the challenges to the CPP, as well as related performance standards for new, reconstructed, and modified fossil-fueled power plants, be held in abeyance, which remains the case. In August 2018, the EPA issued a proposed replacement rule for the CPP, the ACE rule. The proposed ACE rule would require the EPA to develop emission guidelines for states to use to develop their individual state plans. The state plans would focus on reducing GHG emissions by improving the efficiency of fossil-fueled power plants. In December 2018, the EPA proposed to revise the regulations related to new, modified, and reconstructed fossil-fueled power plants. We are continuing to analyze the GHG emission profile of our electric generation resources and to work with other stakeholders to determine the potential impacts to our operations of the CPP, the proposed ACE rule, and federal GHG regulations in general.

There is no guarantee that we will be allowed to fully recover costs incurred to comply with these and other federal regulations or that cost recovery will not be delayed or otherwise conditioned. GHG regulations that may be adopted in the future, at either the federal or state level, may cause our environmental compliance spending to differ materially from the amounts currently estimated. In December 2016, Michigan enacted Act 342, which retains the 10% renewable energy portfolio requirement through 2018, increases the requirement to 12.5% for years 2019 through 2020, and increases the requirement to 15.0% for 2021. These regulations, as well as changes in the fuel markets and advances in technology, could make additional electric generating units uneconomic to maintain or operate, may impact how we operate our existing fossil-fueled power plants and biomass facility, and could affect unit retirement and replacement decisions in the future. These regulations could also adversely affect our future results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition.

In addition, our natural gas delivery systems and natural gas storage fields may generate fugitive gas as a result of normal operations and as a result of excavation, construction, and repair. Fugitive gas typically vents to the atmosphere and consists primarily of methane. CO₂ is also a byproduct of natural gas consumption. As a result, future regulation of GHG emissions could increase the price of natural gas, restrict the use of natural gas, and adversely affect our ability to operate our natural gas facilities. A significant increase in the price of natural gas may increase rates for our natural gas customers, which could reduce natural gas demand.

We also continue to monitor efforts by investors and other stakeholders to increase pressure on us and others to take more aggressive action to reduce future GHG emissions in order to limit future global temperature increases to less than two degrees Celsius. These efforts could impact how we operate our electric generating units and natural gas facilities and lead to increased competition and regulation, all of which could have a material adverse effect on our operations and financial condition.

Changes in federal income tax policy may adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows, as well as our or our subsidiaries' credit ratings.

We and our subsidiaries have invested or will be investing in renewable energy generating facilities, several of which generate production tax credits and investment tax credits that we use to reduce our federal tax obligations. The

amount of tax credits we earn depends on the level of electricity generated, the applicable tax credit rate, and the amount of the investment in qualifying property. If our tax credits were disallowed in whole or in part as a result of an IRS audit or changes in tax law, we could owe tax liabilities for previously recognized tax credits that could significantly impact our earnings and cash flows.

In addition, if corporate tax rate or policies are changed with future federal or state legislation, we may be required to take material charges against earnings. For example, the United States federal income tax legislation enacted in December 2017 significantly changed the United States Internal Revenue Code, including taxation of United States corporations, by, among other things, reducing the federal corporate income tax rate, limiting interest deductions, and altering the expensing of capital expenditures. Parts of the Tax Legislation still remain unclear and will require interpretations and implementing regulations by the Treasury Department and the IRS, as well as state income tax authorities, and the Tax Legislation could be subject to potential amendments and technical corrections, any of which could lessen or increase certain adverse impacts of the Tax Legislation. In addition, the regulatory treatment of the impacts of the Tax Legislation will be subject to the discretion of the FERC and state public utility commissions. State

2018 Form 10-K 26 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

and local taxing authorities continue to evaluate the impact of federal income tax reform, and any changes on the state or local level could lessen or increase the impacts of the Tax Legislation.

There is still uncertainty as to when or how credit rating agencies, capital markets, the FERC, or state public utility commissions will treat any additional impacts of the Tax Legislation. These impacts could subject us or any of our subsidiaries to further credit rating downgrades. It is unclear whether additional opportunities may evolve for us to manage the adverse impacts of the Tax Legislation. In addition, certain financial metrics used by credit rating agencies, such as our funds from operations-to-debt percentage, could be negatively impacted by future rulings related to the Tax Legislation.

In addition, the FERC and state public utility commissions continue to engage with our utility subsidiaries to determine how certain tax savings will be returned to ratepayers. In December 2017, our regulated utilities deferred the estimated tax benefits for return to ratepayers through bill credits or reductions in regulatory assets. We have received written orders from the MPSC, the MPUC, and the PSCW addressing the refunding of certain of these tax benefits to ratepayers in Michigan, Minnesota, and Wisconsin, respectively, and the ICC has approved the VITA in Illinois. Despite receiving these written orders, the amount of tax benefits we must return to ratepayers could change if state commissions take additional action. Furthermore, if the amounts our regulators order our regulated utility subsidiaries to return to ratepayers exceeds the actual amount of tax savings realized, or our regulators require the tax savings to be applied in a manner other than we had expected, it could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, and cash flow.

While our analysis and interpretation of the Tax Legislation is ongoing, based on our current evaluation, we do not expect the limitations on interest deductions to materially adversely affect our earnings per share. Any amendments to the Tax Legislation or interpretations or implementing regulations by the Treasury Department and/or the IRS contrary to our interpretation of the Tax Legislation could limit our ability to deduct the interest on some of our outstanding debt.

There may be other material adverse effects resulting from the Tax Legislation that we have not yet identified. If we are unable to successfully take actions to manage any adverse impacts of the Tax Legislation, or if additional interpretations, regulations, amendments, or technical corrections exacerbate the adverse impacts of the Tax Legislation, the Tax Legislation could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flows, and on the value of investments in our debt securities and common stock, and could result in credit rating agencies placing our or our subsidiaries' credit ratings on negative outlook or further downgrading our or our subsidiaries' credit ratings.

Failure to maintain effective internal controls in accordance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act could have a material effect on our results of operations and stock price.

We are subject to reporting, disclosure control, and other obligations under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act (SOX). SOX contains provisions requiring our management to report on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting and requires our independent registered public accounting firm to attest to the effectiveness of our internal controls. We have undertaken, or will undertake, a variety of initiatives to integrate, standardize, centralize, and streamline our operations with technology, including, but not limited to, an enterprise resource planning system and a customer information and billing system. There is a risk that we will not be able to conclude that our internal control over financial reporting is effective because of the discovery of material weaknesses, with either our current controls and processes or with the implementation of new controls and processes around these new technologies. Any failure to maintain effective internal controls or a determination by our independent registered public accounting firm that we have a material weakness in our internal controls could cause investors to lose confidence in the accuracy or completeness of our financial reports, cause a decline in the market price of our common stock, restrict our access to

the capital markets, or subject us to investigations by the SEC or other regulatory authorities.

Our electric utilities could be subject to higher costs and penalties as a result of mandatory reliability standards.

Our electric utilities are subject to mandatory reliability and critical infrastructure protection standards established by the North American Electric Reliability Corporation and enforced by the FERC. The critical infrastructure protection standards focus on controlling access to critical physical and cyber security assets. Compliance with the mandatory reliability standards could subject our electric utilities to higher operating costs. If our electric utilities were ever found to be in noncompliance with the mandatory reliability standards, they could be subject to sanctions, including substantial monetary penalties.

2018 Form 10-K 27 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Provisions of the Wisconsin Utility Holding Company Act limit our ability to invest in non-utility businesses and could deter takeover attempts by a potential purchaser of our common stock that would be willing to pay a premium for our common stock.

Under the Wisconsin Utility Holding Company Act (Holding Company Act), we remain subject to certain restrictions that have the potential of limiting our diversification into non-utility businesses. Under the Holding Company Act, the sum of certain assets of all non-utility affiliates in a holding company system generally may not exceed 25% of the assets of all public utility affiliates in the system, subject to certain exceptions.

In addition, the Holding Company Act precludes the acquisition of 10% or more of the voting shares of a holding company of a Wisconsin public utility unless the PSCW has first determined that the acquisition is in the best interests of utility customers, investors, and the public. This provision and other requirements of the Holding Company Act may delay or reduce the likelihood of a sale or change of control of WEC Energy Group. As a result, shareholders may be deprived of opportunities to sell some or all of their shares of our common stock at prices that represent a premium over market prices.

Risks Related to the Operation of Our Business

Our operations are subject to risks arising from the reliability of our electric generation, transmission, and distribution facilities, natural gas infrastructure facilities, and other facilities, as well as the reliability of third-party transmission providers.

Our financial performance depends on the successful operation of our electric generation and natural gas and electric distribution facilities. The operation of these facilities involves many risks, including operator error and the breakdown or failure of equipment or processes. Potential breakdown or failure may occur due to severe weather; catastrophic events (i.e., fires, earthquakes, explosions, tornadoes, floods, droughts, pandemic health events, etc.); significant changes in water levels in waterways; fuel supply or transportation disruptions; accidents; employee labor disputes; construction delays or cost overruns; shortages of or delays in obtaining equipment, material, and/or labor; performance below expected levels; operating limitations that may be imposed by environmental or other regulatory requirements; terrorist attacks; or cyber security intrusions. Any of these events could lead to substantial financial losses.

Because our electric generation facilities are interconnected with third-party transmission facilities, the operation of our facilities could also be adversely affected by events impacting their systems. Unplanned outages at our power plants may reduce our revenues, cause us to incur significant costs if we are required to operate our higher cost electric generators or purchase replacement power to satisfy our obligations, and could result in additional maintenance expenses.

Insurance, warranties, performance guarantees, or recovery through the regulatory process may not cover any or all of these lost revenues or increased expenses, which could adversely affect our results of operations and cash flows.

Our operations are subject to various conditions that can result in fluctuations in energy sales to customers, including customer growth and general economic conditions in our service areas, varying weather conditions, and energy conservation efforts.

Our results of operations and cash flows are affected by the demand for electricity and natural gas, which can vary greatly based upon:

-

Fluctuations in customer growth and general economic conditions in our service areas. Customer growth and energy use can be negatively impacted by population declines as well as economic factors in our service territories, including workforce reductions, stagnant wage growth, changing levels of support from state and local government for economic development, business closings, and reductions in the level of business investment. Our electric and natural gas utilities are impacted by economic cycles and the competitiveness of the commercial and industrial customers we serve. Any economic downturn, disruption of financial markets, or reduced incentives by state government for economic development could adversely affect the financial condition of our customers and demand for their products or services. These risks could directly influence the demand for electricity and natural gas as well as the need for additional power generation and generating facilities. We could also be exposed to greater risks of accounts receivable write-offs if customers are unable to pay their bills.

Weather conditions. Demand for electricity is greater in the summer and winter months when cooling and heating is necessary. In addition, demand for natural gas peaks in the winter heating season. As a result, our overall results may fluctuate substantially on a seasonal basis. In addition, milder temperatures during the summer cooling season and during the winter heating season may result in lower revenues and net income.

Our customers' continued focus on energy conservation and ability to meet their own energy needs. Our customers' use of electricity and natural gas has decreased as a result of continued individual conservation efforts, including the use of more energy

2018 Form 10-K 28 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

efficient technologies. Customers could also voluntarily reduce their consumption of energy in response to decreases in their disposable income and increases in energy prices. Conservation of energy can be influenced by certain federal and state programs that are intended to influence how consumers use energy. For example, several states, including Wisconsin and Michigan, have adopted energy efficiency targets to reduce energy consumption by certain dates.

As part of our planning process, we estimate the impacts of changes in customer growth and general economic conditions, weather, and customer energy conservation efforts, but risks still remain. Any of these matters, as well as any regulatory delay in adjusting rates as a result of reduced sales from effective conservation measures or the adoption of new technologies, could adversely impact our results of operations and financial condition.

We are actively involved with several significant capital projects, which are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties that could adversely affect project costs and completion of construction projects.

Our business requires substantial capital expenditures for investments in, among other things, capital improvements to our electric generating facilities, electric and natural gas distribution infrastructure, natural gas storage, and other projects, including projects for environmental compliance. We also expect to invest in renewable energy generating facilities as part of our generation reshaping plan and as part of our non-utility energy infrastructure segment. In addition, WBS continues to invest in technology and the development of software applications to support our utilities.

Achieving the intended benefits of any large construction project is subject to many uncertainties, some of which we will have limited or no control over, that could adversely affect project costs and completion time. These risks include, but are not limited to, the ability to adhere to established budgets and time frames; the availability of labor or materials at estimated costs; the ability of contractors to perform under their contracts; strikes; adverse weather conditions; potential legal challenges; changes in applicable laws or regulations; other governmental actions; continued public and policymaker support for such projects; and events in the global economy. In addition, certain of these projects require the approval of our regulators. If construction of commission-approved projects should materially and adversely deviate from the schedules, estimates, and projections on which the approval was based, our regulators may deem the additional capital costs as imprudent and disallow recovery of them through rates, and otherwise available production tax credits and investment tax credits for renewable energy projects could be lost.

To the extent that delays occur, costs become unrecoverable, tax credits are lost, or we (or third parties with whom we invest and/or partner) otherwise become unable to effectively manage and complete our (or their) capital projects, our results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition may be adversely affected.

Advances in technology could make our electric generating facilities less competitive.

Advances in new technologies that produce power or reduce power consumption are ongoing and include renewable energy technologies, customer-oriented generation, energy storage devices, and energy efficiency technologies. We generate power at central station power plants to achieve economies of scale and produce power at a competitive cost. There are distributed generation technologies that produce power, including fuel cells, microturbines, wind turbines, and solar cells, which have become more cost competitive than they were in the past. It is possible that legislation or regulations could be adopted supporting the use of these technologies. There is also a risk that advances in technology will continue to reduce the costs of these alternative methods of producing power to a level that is competitive with that of central station power production. If these technologies become cost competitive and achieve economies of scale, our market share could be eroded, and the value of our generating facilities could be reduced. Advances in technology could also change the channels through which our electric customers purchase or use power, which could reduce our sales and revenues or increase our expenses.

Our operations are subject to risks beyond our control, including but not limited to, cyber security intrusions, terrorist attacks, acts of war, or unauthorized access to personally identifiable information.

We have been subject to attempted cyber attacks from time to time, but these attacks have not had a material impact on our system or business operations. Despite the implementation of security measures, all assets and systems are potentially vulnerable to disability, failures, or unauthorized access due to physical or cyber security intrusions caused by human error, vendor bugs, terrorist attacks, or other malicious acts. These threats against our generation facilities, electric and natural gas distribution infrastructure, our information and technology systems, and network infrastructure, including that of third parties on which we rely, could result in a full or partial disruption of our ability to generate, transmit, purchase, or distribute electricity or natural gas or cause environmental repercussions. If our assets or systems were to fail, be physically damaged, or be breached, and were not recovered in a timely manner, we may be unable to perform critical business functions, and data, including sensitive information, could be compromised.

2018 Form 10-K 29 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

We operate in an industry that requires the use of sophisticated information technology systems and network infrastructure, which control an interconnected system of generation, distribution, and transmission systems shared with third parties. A successful physical or cyber security intrusion may occur despite our security measures or those that we require our vendors to take, which include compliance with reliability standards and critical infrastructure protection standards. Successful cyber security intrusions, including those targeting the electronic control systems used at our generating facilities and electric and natural gas transmission, distribution, and storage systems, could disrupt our operations and result in loss of service to customers. These intrusions may cause unplanned outages at our power plants, which may reduce our revenues or cause us to incur significant costs if we are required to operate our higher cost electric generators or purchase replacement power to satisfy our obligations, and could result in additional maintenance expenses. The risk of such intrusions may also increase our capital and operating costs as a result of having to implement increased security measures for protection of our information technology and infrastructure.

Our continued efforts to integrate, consolidate, and streamline our operations have also resulted in increased reliance on current and recently completed projects for technology systems, including an enterprise resource planning system, a customer information and billing system, automated meter reading systems, and other similar technological tools and initiatives. We implement procedures to protect our systems, but we cannot guarantee that the procedures we have implemented to protect against unauthorized access to secured data and systems are adequate to safeguard against all security breaches. The failure of any of these or other similarly important technologies, or our inability to support, update, expand, and/or integrate these technologies across our subsidiaries could materially and adversely impact our operations, diminish customer confidence and our reputation, materially increase the costs we incur to protect against these risks, and subject us to possible financial liability or increased regulation or litigation.

Our business requires the collection and retention of personally identifiable information of our customers, shareholders, and employees, who expect that we will adequately protect such information. Security breaches may expose us to a risk of loss or misuse of confidential and proprietary information. A significant theft, loss, or fraudulent use of personally identifiable information may lead to potentially large costs to notify and protect the impacted persons, and/or could cause us to become subject to significant litigation, costs, liability, fines, or penalties, any of which could materially and adversely impact our results of operations as well as our reputation with customers, shareholders, and regulators, among others. In addition, we may be required to incur significant costs associated with governmental actions in response to such intrusions or to strengthen our information and electronic control systems. We may also need to obtain additional insurance coverage related to the threat of such intrusions.

Any operational disruption or environmental repercussions caused by these on-going threats to our assets and technology systems could result in a significant decrease in our revenues or significant reconstruction or remediation costs, which could materially and adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition, and cash flows. The costs of repairing damage to our facilities, operational disruptions, protecting personally identifiable information, and notifying impacted persons, as well as related legal claims, may also not be recoverable in rates, may exceed the insurance limits on our insurance policies, or, in some cases, may not be covered by insurance.

Transporting, distributing, and storing natural gas involves numerous risks that may result in accidents and other operating risks and costs.

Inherent in natural gas distribution activities are a variety of hazards and operational risks, such as leaks, accidental explosions, and mechanical problems, which could materially and adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition, and cash flows. In addition, these risks could result in serious injury to employees and non-employees, loss of human life, significant damage to property, environmental pollution, impairment of operations, and substantial losses to us. The location of natural gas pipelines and storage facilities near populated areas, including residential areas, commercial business centers, and industrial sites, could increase the level of damages resulting from these risks.

These activities may subject us to litigation and/or administrative proceedings from time to time, which could result in substantial monetary judgments, fines, or penalties against us, or be resolved on unfavorable terms.

We are a holding company and rely on the earnings of our subsidiaries to meet our financial obligations.

As a holding company with no operations of our own, our ability to meet our financial obligations including, but not limited to, debt service, taxes, and other expenses, as well as pay dividends on our common stock, is dependent upon the ability of our subsidiaries to pay amounts to us, whether through dividends or other payments. Our subsidiaries are separate legal entities that are not required to pay any of our obligations or to make any funds available for that purpose or for the payment of dividends on our common stock. The ability of our subsidiaries to pay amounts to us depends on their earnings, cash flows, capital requirements, and general financial condition, as well as regulatory limitations. Prior to distributing cash to us, our subsidiaries have financial obligations

2018 Form 10-K 30 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

that must be satisfied, including, among others, debt service and preferred stock dividends. In addition, each subsidiary's ability to pay amounts to us depends on any statutory, regulatory, and/or contractual restrictions and limitations applicable to such subsidiary, which may include requirements to maintain specified levels of debt or equity ratios, working capital, or other assets. Our utility subsidiaries are regulated by various state utility commissions, which generally possess broad powers to ensure that the needs of the utility customers are being met.

We may fail to attract and retain an appropriately qualified workforce.

We operate in an industry that requires many of our employees to possess unique technical skill sets. Events such as an aging workforce without appropriate replacements, the mismatch of skill sets to future needs, or the unavailability of contract resources may lead to operating challenges or increased costs. These operating challenges include lack of resources, loss of knowledge, and a lengthy time period associated with skill development. In addition, current and prospective employees may determine that they do not wish to work for us. Failure to hire and obtain replacement employees, including the ability to transfer significant internal historical knowledge and expertise to the new employees, may adversely affect our ability to manage and operate our business. If we are unable to successfully attract and retain an appropriately qualified workforce, our results of operations could be adversely affected.

Failure of our counterparties to meet their obligations, including obligations under power purchase, natural gas supply, and transportation agreements, could have an adverse impact on our results of operations.

We are exposed to the risk that counterparties to various arrangements who owe us money, electricity, natural gas, or other commodities or services will not be able to perform their obligations. Should the counterparties to these arrangements fail to perform, we may be required to replace the underlying commitment at current market prices or we may be unable to meet all of our customers' electric and natural gas requirements unless or until alternative supply arrangements are put in place. In such event, we may incur losses, and our results of operations, financial position, or liquidity could be adversely affected.

We have entered into several power purchase, natural gas supply, and transportation agreements with non-affiliated companies, and continue to look for additional opportunities to enter into these agreements. Revenues are dependent on the continued performance by the counterparties of their obligations under the power purchase, natural gas supply, and transportation agreements. Although we have a comprehensive credit evaluation process and contractual protections, it is possible that one or more counterparties could fail to perform their obligations under these agreements. If this were to occur, we generally would expect that any operating and other costs that were initially allocated to a defaulting customer's power purchase, natural gas supply, or transportation agreement would be reallocated among our retail customers. To the extent these costs are not allowed to be reallocated by our regulators or there is any regulatory delay in adjusting rates, a customer default under these agreements could have a negative impact on our results of operations and cash flows.

We may not be able to fully use tax credits, net operating losses, and/or charitable contribution carryforwards.

We have significantly reduced our consolidated federal and state income tax liability in the past through tax credits, net operating losses, and charitable contribution deductions available under the applicable tax codes. We have not fully used the allowed tax credits, net operating losses, and charitable contribution deductions in our previous tax filings. We may not be able to fully use the tax credits, net operating losses, and charitable contribution deductions available as carryforwards if our future federal and state taxable income and related income tax liability is insufficient to permit their use. In addition, any future disallowance of some or all of those tax credits, net operating losses, or charitable contribution carryforwards as a result of legislation or an adverse determination by one of the applicable taxing jurisdictions could materially affect our tax obligations and financial results.

We have recorded goodwill that could become impaired and adversely affect financial results.

We assess goodwill for impairment on an annual basis or whenever events or circumstances occur that indicate a potential for impairment. If goodwill is deemed to be impaired, we may be required to incur non-cash charges that could materially adversely affect our results of operations. At December 31, 2018, our goodwill was \$3,052.8 million.

2018 Form 10-K 31 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Risks Related to Economic and Market Volatility

Our business is dependent on our ability to successfully access capital markets.

We rely on access to credit and capital markets to support our capital requirements, including expenditures for our utility infrastructure and to comply with future regulatory requirements, to the extent not satisfied by the cash flow generated by our operations. We have historically secured funds from a variety of sources, including the issuance of short-term and long-term debt securities. Successful implementation of our long-term business strategies, including capital investment, is dependent upon our ability to access the capital markets, including the banking and commercial paper markets, on competitive terms and rates. In addition, we rely on committed bank credit agreements as back-up liquidity, which allows us to access the low cost commercial paper markets.

Our or our subsidiaries' access to the credit and capital markets could be limited, or our or our subsidiaries' cost of capital significantly increased, due to any of the following risks and uncertainties:

- A rating downgrade;
- An economic downturn or uncertainty;
- Prevailing market conditions and rules;
- Concerns over foreign economic conditions;
- Changes in tax policy;
- Changes in investment criteria of institutional investors;
- War or the threat of war; and
- The overall health and view of the utility and financial institution industries.

If any of these risks or uncertainties limit our access to the credit and capital markets or significantly increase our cost of capital, it could limit our ability to implement, or increase the costs of implementing, our business plan, which, in turn, could materially and adversely affect our results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition, and could limit our ability to sustain our current common stock dividend level.

A downgrade in our or any of our subsidiaries' credit ratings could negatively affect our or our subsidiaries' ability to access capital at reasonable costs and/or require the posting of collateral.

There are a number of factors that impact our and our subsidiaries' credit ratings, including, but not limited to, capital structure, regulatory environment, the ability to cover liquidity requirements, and other requirements for capital. We or any of our subsidiaries could experience a downgrade in ratings if the rating agencies determine that the level of business or financial risk of us, our utilities, or the utility industry has deteriorated. Changes in rating methodologies by the rating agencies could also have a negative impact on credit ratings.

Any downgrade by the rating agencies could:

- Increase borrowing costs under certain existing credit facilities;
- Require the payment of higher interest rates in future financings and possibly reduce the pool of creditors;
- Decrease funding sources by limiting our or our subsidiaries' access to the commercial paper market;
- Limit the availability of adequate credit support for our subsidiaries' operations; and
- Trigger collateral requirements in various contracts.

See the risk factor titled "Changes in federal income tax policy may adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows, as well as our or our subsidiaries' credit ratings" above for information about how the Tax Legislation could impact our or our subsidiaries' credits ratings.

Fluctuating commodity prices could negatively impact our electric and natural gas utility operations.

Our operating and liquidity requirements are impacted by changes in the forward and current market prices of natural gas, coal, electricity, renewable energy credits, and ancillary services.

2018 Form 10-K 32 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Our electric utilities burn natural gas in several of their electric generation plants and as a supplemental fuel at several coal-fired plants. In many instances the cost of purchased power is tied to the cost of natural gas. The cost of natural gas may increase because of disruptions in the supply of natural gas due to a curtailment in production or distribution, international market conditions, the demand for natural gas, and the availability of shale gas and potential regulations affecting its accessibility.

For Wisconsin retail electric customers, our utilities bear the risk for the recovery of fuel and purchased power costs within a symmetrical 2% fuel tolerance band compared to the forecast of fuel and purchased power costs established in their respective rate structures. Prudently incurred fuel and purchased power costs are recovered dollar-for-dollar from our Michigan retail electric customers and our wholesale electric customers. Our natural gas utilities receive dollar-for-dollar recovery of prudently incurred natural gas costs from their natural gas customers.

Changes in commodity prices could result in:

- Higher working capital requirements, particularly related to natural gas inventory, accounts receivable, and cash collateral postings;
- Reduced profitability to the extent that lower revenues, increased bad debt, and interest expense are not recovered through rates;
- Higher rates charged to our customers, which could impact our competitive position;
- Reduced demand for energy, which could impact revenues and operating expenses; and
- Shutting down of generation facilities if the cost of generation exceeds the market price for electricity.

We may not be able to obtain an adequate supply of coal, which could limit our ability to operate our coal-fired facilities.

We own and operate several coal-fired electric generating units. Although we generally carry sufficient coal inventory at our generating facilities to protect against an interruption or decline in supply, there can be no assurance that the inventory levels will be adequate. While we have coal supply and transportation contracts in place, we cannot assure that the counterparties to these agreements will be able to fulfill their obligations to supply coal to us or that we will be able to take delivery of all the coal volume contracted for. If we are unable to obtain our coal requirements under our coal supply and transportation contracts, we may be required to purchase coal at higher prices or we may be forced to reduce generation at our coal-fired units, which could lead to increased fuel costs. The increase in fuel costs could result in either reduced margins on net sales into the MISO Energy Markets, a reduction in the volume of net sales into the MISO Energy Markets, and/or an increase in net power purchases in the MISO Energy Markets. There is no guarantee that we would be able to fully recover any increased costs in rates or that recovery would not otherwise be delayed, either of which could adversely affect our cash flows.

The use of derivative contracts could result in financial losses.

We use derivative instruments such as swaps, options, futures, and forwards to manage commodity price exposure. We could recognize financial losses as a result of volatility in the market value of these contracts or if a counterparty fails to perform. These risks are managed through risk management policies, which might not work as planned and cannot entirely eliminate the risks associated with these activities. In addition, although the hedging programs of our utilities must be approved by the various state commissions, derivative contracts entered into for hedging purposes might not offset the underlying exposure being hedged as expected, resulting in financial losses. In the absence of actively quoted market prices and pricing information from external sources, the value of these financial instruments can involve management's judgment or use of estimates. Changes in the underlying assumptions or use of alternative valuation methods could affect the reported fair value of these contracts.

Restructuring in the regulated energy industry and competition in the retail and wholesale markets could have a negative impact on our business and revenues.

The regulated energy industry continues to experience significant structural changes. Increased competition in the retail and wholesale markets, which may result from restructuring efforts, could have a significant adverse financial impact on us.

Certain jurisdictions in which we operate, including Michigan and Illinois, have adopted retail choice. Under Michigan law, our retail customers may choose an alternative electric supplier to provide power supply service. The law limits customer choice to 10% of our Michigan retail load. The iron ore mine located in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan is excluded from this cap. When a customer switches to an alternative electric supplier, we continue to provide distribution and customer service functions for the customer. Although Illinois has adopted retail choice, there is currently little or no impact on the net income of our Illinois utilities as they still

2018 Form 10-K 33 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

earn a distribution charge for transporting the natural gas for these customers. It is uncertain whether retail choice might be implemented in Wisconsin or Minnesota.

The FERC continues to support the existing RTOs that affect the structure of the wholesale market within these RTOs. In connection with its status as a FERC approved RTO, MISO implemented bid-based energy markets that are part of the MISO Energy Markets. All market participants, including us, must submit day-ahead and/or real-time bids and offers for energy at locations across the MISO region. MISO then calculates the most efficient solution for all of the bids and offers made into the market that day and establishes an LMP that reflects the market price for energy. We are required to follow MISO's instructions when dispatching generating units to support MISO's responsibility for maintaining the stability of the transmission system. MISO also implemented an ancillary services market for operating reserves that schedules energy and ancillary services at the same time as part of the energy market, allowing for more efficient use of generation assets in the MISO Energy Markets. These market designs continue to have the potential to increase the costs of transmission, the costs associated with inefficient generation dispatching, the costs of participation in the MISO Energy Markets, and the costs associated with estimated payment settlements.

The FERC rules related to transmission are designed to facilitate competition in the wholesale electricity markets among regulated utilities, non-utility generators, wholesale power marketers, and brokers by providing greater flexibility and more choices to wholesale customers, including initiatives designed to encourage the integration of renewable sources of supply. In addition, along with transactions contemplating physical delivery of energy, financial laws and regulations impact hedging and trading based on futures contracts and derivatives that are traded on various commodities exchanges, as well as over-the-counter. Technology changes in the power and fuel industries also have significant impacts on wholesale transactions and related costs. We currently cannot predict the impact of these and other developments or the effect of changes in levels of wholesale supply and demand, which are driven by factors beyond our control.

We may experience poor investment performance of benefit plan holdings due to changes in assumptions and market conditions.

We have significant obligations related to pension and OPEB plans. If we are unable to successfully manage our benefit plan assets and medical costs, our cash flows, financial condition, or results of operations could be adversely impacted. Our cost of providing these plans is dependent upon a number of factors, including actual plan experience, changes made to the plans, and assumptions concerning the future. Types of assumptions include earnings on plan assets, discount rates, the level of interest rates used to measure the required minimum funding levels of the plans, future government regulation, estimated withdrawals by retirees, and our required or voluntary contributions to the plans. Plan assets are subject to market fluctuations and may yield returns that fall below projected return rates. In addition, medical costs for both active and retired employees may increase at a rate that is significantly higher than we currently anticipate. Our funding requirements could be impacted by a decline in the market value of plan assets, changes in interest rates, changes in demographics (including the number of retirements), or changes in life expectancy assumptions.

In addition, we maintain rabbi trusts to fund our deferred compensation plans, which from time to time, hold equity and debt investments that are subject to market fluctuations. Decreases in investment performance of these assets could materially adversely affect our results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition.

We may be unable to obtain insurance on acceptable terms or at all, and the insurance coverage we do obtain may not provide protection against all significant losses.

Our ability to obtain insurance, as well as the cost and coverage of such insurance, could be affected by developments affecting our business; international, national, state, or local events; and the financial condition of insurers and our

contractors that are required to acquire and maintain insurance for our benefit. Insurance coverage may not continue to be available at all or at rates or terms similar to those presently available to us. In addition, our insurance may not be sufficient or effective under all circumstances and against all hazards or liabilities to which we may be subject. Any losses for which we are not fully insured or that are not covered by insurance at all could materially adversely affect our results of operations, cash flows, and financial position.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

2018 Form 10-K 34 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

We own our principal properties outright, except the major portion of our electric utility distribution lines, steam utility distribution mains, and natural gas utility distribution mains and services are located, for the most part, on or under streets and highways, and on land owned by others and are generally subject to granted easements, consents, or permits.

A. REGULATED

Electric Facilities

The following table summarizes information on our electric generation facilities, including owned and jointly owned facilities, as of December 31, 2018:

Name	Location	Fuel	Number of Generating Units	Rated Capacity In MW (1)	
Coal-fired plants					
Columbia	Portage, WI	Coal	2	315	(2)
ERGS	Oak Creek, WI	Coal	2	1,057	(3) (4)
PIPP	Marquette, MI	Coal	5	353	(5)
OCPP	Oak Creek, WI	Coal	4	1,079	
Weston	Rothschild, WI	Coal	2	714	(2)
Total coal-fired plants			15	3,518	
Natural gas-fired plants					
Concord Combustion Turbines	Watertown, WI	Natural Gas/Oil	4	359	
De Pere Energy Center	De Pere, WI	Natural Gas/Oil	1	165	
Fox Energy Center	Wrightstown, WI	Natural Gas	3	567	
Germantown Combustion Turbines	Germantown, WI	Natural Gas/Oil	5	270	
Paris Combustion Turbines	Union Grove, WI	Natural Gas/Oil	4	360	
PWGS	Port Washington, WI	Natural Gas	2	1,232	(4)
Pulliam	Green Bay, WI	Natural Gas/Oil	1	80	
VAPP	Milwaukee, WI	Natural Gas	2	269	
West Marinette	Marinette, WI	Natural Gas/Oil	3	150	
Weston	Rothschild, WI	Natural Gas/Oil	3	138	
Total natural gas-fired plants			28	3,590	
Renewables					
Hydro Plants (30 in number)	WI and MI	Hydro	81	102	(6)
Rothschild Biomass Plant	Rothschild, WI	Biomass	1	46	
Blue Sky Green Field	Fond du Lac, WI	Wind	88	17	
Byron Wind Turbines	Fond du Lac, WI	Wind	2	—	
Crane Creek	Howard County, IA	Wind	66	17	
Glacier Hills	Cambria, WI	Wind	90	26	
Forward Wind Energy Center	Fond du Lac County, WI	Wind	86	9	(7)
Montfort Wind Energy Center	Montfort, WI	Wind	20	3	
Total renewables			434	220	
Total system			477	7,328	

(1)

Values are primarily based on the net dependable capacity ratings for summer 2019 using historical generation. The summer period is the most relevant for capacity planning purposes. This is a result of continually reaching demand peaks in the summer months, primarily due to air conditioning demand.

- (2) These facilities are jointly owned by WPS and various other utilities. The capacity indicated for each of these units is equal to WPS's portion of total plant capacity based on its percent of ownership.

Wisconsin Power and Light Company, an unaffiliated utility, operates the Columbia units. WPS holds a 28.1% ownership interest in Columbia. See Note 7, Jointly Owned Utility Facilities, for more information on the decrease in WPS's ownership interest in the Columbia unit.

2018 Form 10-K 35 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

WPS operates the Weston 4 facility and holds a 70.0% ownership interest in this facility. Dairyland Power Cooperative holds the remaining 30.0% interest.

- (3) This facility is jointly owned by We Power and two other unaffiliated entities. The capacity indicated for the facility is equal to We Power's portion of total plant capacity based on its 83.34% ownership.
- (4) These facilities are part of the Company's non-utility energy infrastructure segment. See B. Non-Utility Energy Infrastructure Segment below.
- (5) We are required to retire the PIPP units during the second quarter of 2019. See Note 6, Property, Plant, and Equipment, for more information on the plant retirement.
- (6) WRPC owns and operates the Castle Rock and Petenwell units. WPS holds a 50.0% ownership interest in WRPC and is entitled to 50.0% of the total capacity at Castle Rock and Petenwell. WPS's share of capacity for Castle Rock is 8.4 MW, and WPS's share of capacity for Petenwell is 10.2 MW.

- (7) In April 2018, WPS, along with two other unaffiliated utilities, purchased Forward Wind Energy Center, which consists of 86 wind turbines located in Wisconsin with a total capacity of 138 MW. The capacity indicated for the facility is equal to WPS's portion of total plant capacity based on its 44.6% ownership. See Note 2, Acquisitions, for more information on the acquisition.

As of December 31, 2018, we operated approximately 36,800 miles of overhead distribution lines and 33,300 miles of underground distribution cable, as well as approximately 500 electric distribution substations and 500,450 line transformers.

Natural Gas Facilities

At December 31, 2018, our natural gas properties were located in Illinois, Wisconsin, Minnesota, and Michigan, and consisted of the following:

- Approximately 48,900 miles of natural gas distribution mains,
- Approximately 1,100 miles of natural gas transmission mains,
- Approximately 2.3 million natural gas lateral services,
- Approximately 520 natural gas distribution and transmission gate stations,
- Approximately 68.2 billion cubic feet of working gas capacities in underground natural gas storage fields:
 - Bluewater, 26.5 billion cubic feet of fields located in southeastern Michigan,
 - Manlove, a 38.8 billion-cubic-foot field located in central Illinois,
 - Partello, a 2.9 billion-cubic-foot field located in southern Michigan,
 - A 2.0 billion-cubic-foot liquefied natural gas plant located in central Illinois,
 - A peak-shaving facility that can store the equivalent of approximately 80 MDth in liquefied petroleum gas located in Illinois,
 - Peak propane air systems providing approximately 2,960 Dth per day, and
 - Liquefied natural gas storage plants with a total send-out capability of 73,600 Dth per day.

Our natural gas distribution and gas storage systems included distribution mains and transmission mains connected to the pipeline transmission systems of ANR Pipeline Company, Centra Pipelines, Consumers Energy, Great Lakes Transmission Company, Guardian Pipeline L.L.C., Michigan Consolidated Gas Company, Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America, Northern Natural Pipeline Company, Union Gas, Vector Pipeline Company, and Viking Gas Transmission. Our liquefied natural gas storage plants convert and store, in liquefied form, natural gas received during

periods of low consumption.

We also own office buildings, natural gas regulating and metering stations, and major service centers, including garage and warehouse facilities, in certain communities we serve. Where distribution lines and services, and natural gas distribution mains and services occupy private property, we have in some, but not all instances, obtained consents, permits, or easements for these installations from the apparent owners or those in possession of those properties, generally without an examination of ownership records or title.

Steam Facilities

As of December 31, 2018, the steam system supplied by the VAPP consisted of approximately 40 miles of both high pressure and low pressure steam piping, approximately four miles of walkable tunnels, and other pressure regulating equipment.

2018 Form 10-K 36 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

General

Substantially all of PGL's and NSG's properties are subject to the lien of the respective company's mortgage indenture for the benefit of bondholders.

B. NON-UTILITY ENERGY INFRASTRUCTURE SEGMENT

Bluewater and We Power are considered non-utility energy infrastructure operations, however, their facilities are shown in the regulated section. We Power owns and leases generating facilities to WE. We Power's share of the ERGS units and both PWGS units are being leased to WE under long-term leases. Bluewater provides natural gas storage and hub services to WE, WG, and WPS.

In January 2019, we completed the acquisition of an 80% ownership interest in Upstream, a wind generation facility located in Antelope County, Nebraska. The Upstream site consists of 81 wind turbines with a combined capacity of 202.5 MW. See Note 2, Acquisitions, for more information.

In December 2018, we completed the acquisition of an 80% ownership interest in Coyote Ridge, a wind generation facility under construction in Brookings County, South Dakota. Coyote Ridge is expected to be in service by the end of 2019. The Coyote Ridge site will consist of 39 wind turbines with a combined capacity of 97.5 MW. See Note 2, Acquisitions, for more information.

In August 2018, we completed the acquisition of an 80% ownership interest in Bishop Hill III, which consists of 53 wind turbines located in Henry County, Illinois with a total capacity of 132 MW. In December 2018, we acquired an additional 10% membership interest in this wind farm. See Note 2, Acquisitions, for more information.

C. CORPORATE AND OTHER

As of December 31, 2018, the corporate and other segment facilities consisted of energy asset facilities owned by PDL.

The energy asset facilities owned by PDL include a portfolio of residential solar facilities and a portfolio of commercial and industrial solar facilities. The solar facilities consist of distributed solar projects ranging from small residential roof top systems up to commercial and industrial solar systems of 4.5 MW in size. The total capacity of these solar projects is 22.2 MW, a decrease from December 31, 2017 resulting from the sale of three PDL distributed commercial and industrial solar projects in 2018, including one that was jointly owned by PDL and Duke Energy Generation Services. These facilities were not significant to our operations.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The following should be read in conjunction with Note 22, Commitments and Contingencies, and Note 24, Regulatory Environment, in this report for additional information on material legal proceedings and matters related to us and our subsidiaries.

In addition to those legal proceedings discussed in Note 22, Commitments and Contingencies, Note 24, Regulatory Environment, and below, we are currently, and from time to time, subject to claims and suits arising in the ordinary course of business. Although the results of these additional legal proceedings cannot be predicted with certainty, management believes, after consultation with legal counsel, that the ultimate resolution of these proceedings will not have a material effect on our financial statements.

Environmental Matters

Manlove Field Matter

In September 2017, the Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Office of Oil and Gas Resource Management, issued an NOV to PGL related to a leak of natural gas that PGL identified at its Manlove Gas Storage Field in December 2016. PGL quickly contained the leak after it was discovered. The leak resulted in the migration of natural gas from a well located at the facility to the Mahomet Aquifer located in central Illinois, which impacted residential freshwater wells. PGL has been working with residents potentially impacted by the natural gas leak, and the Illinois state agencies to investigate and remediate the impacts of the natural gas leak to the Mahomet Aquifer. In October 2017, the Illinois Attorney General (AG) filed a complaint against PGL alleging certain violations of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act and the Oil and Gas Act. PGL entered into an interim agreed order with the State of Illinois in October 2017 whereby PGL agreed, among other things, to continue actions it was already undertaking proactively. In addition, in December 2017, the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency served an NOV to PGL alleging the same violations as the AG, and in January

2018 Form 10-K 37 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

2018, served an NOV alleging certain violations of Illinois air emission rules arising from the construction and operation of flaring equipment at the leak site. Both matters have been referred to the AG for enforcement.

In the complaint, as is customary in these types of actions, the AG cited to the statutory penalties allowed by law. Ultimately, the pursuit of any civil penalties is at the AG's discretion. In the event the AG wishes to consider such penalties, we believe that PGL's high level of cooperation and quick action to remedy the situation and to work with the potentially impacted homeowners would be taken into account. At this time, we believe that civil penalties, if any, will not have a material impact on our financial statements.

Presque Isle Power Plant Matter

In March 2018, the EPA issued a Finding of Violation to WE regarding alleged violations of mercury emission limits for PIPP Units 5, 6, 8, and 9, as well as failure to conduct mercury tests on its low-emitting electric generating units once every 12 months. WE is cooperating with the EPA, and we do not expect this matter to have a material impact on our financial statements.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

2018 Form 10-K 38 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

The names, ages, and positions of our executive officers at December 31, 2018 are listed below along with their business experience during the past five years. All officers are appointed until they resign, die, or are removed pursuant to our Bylaws. There are no family relationships among these officers, nor is there any agreement or understanding between any officer and any other person pursuant to which the officer was selected.

Gale E. Klappa.⁽¹⁾ Age 68.

WEC Energy Group — Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer since October 2017, and from May 2004 to May 2016. Non-Executive Chairman of the Board from May 2016 to October 2017. Director since December 2003. President from April 2003 to August 2013.

WE — Chairman of the Board since January 2018, and from May 2004 to May 2016. Chief Executive Officer since January 2018, and from August 2003 to May 2016. Director since January 2018, and from December 2003 to May 2016. President from August 2003 to June 2015.

J. Kevin Fletcher.⁽²⁾ Age 60.

WEC Energy Group — President since October 2018.

WE — President from May 2016 to November 2018. Director since June 2015. Executive Vice President - Customer Service and Operations from June 2015 to April 2016. Senior Vice President - Customer Operations from October 2011 to June 2015.

Robert M. Garvin. Age 52.

WEC Energy Group — Executive Vice President - External Affairs since June 2015. Senior Vice President - External Affairs from April 2011 to June 2015.

WE — Executive Vice President - External Affairs since June 2015. Senior Vice President - External Affairs from April 2011 to June 2015.

William J. Guc. Age 49.

WEC Energy Group — Controller since October 2015. Vice President since June 2015.

- WE — Vice President and Controller since October 2015.

Integrus Energy Group — Vice President and Treasurer from December 2010 to June 2015.

Margaret C. Kelsey. Age 54.

WEC Energy Group — Executive Vice President, Corporate Secretary and General Counsel since January 2018. Executive Vice President from September 2017 to January 2018.

WE — Executive Vice President, Corporate Secretary and General Counsel since January 2018. Director since January 2018.

Modine Manufacturing Company - General Counsel, Corporate Secretary, and Vice President - Legal from April 2008 to August 2017. Vice President - Corporate Communications from April 2014 to August 2017.

Frederick D. Kuester. Age 68.

WEC Energy Group — Senior Executive Vice President since March 2018. Executive Vice President from May 2004 to January 2013.

WE — Executive Vice President from May 2004 to January 2013.

Scott J. Lauber.⁽³⁾ Age 53.

WEC Energy Group — Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer since October 2018. Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from April 2016 to October 2018. Vice President and Treasurer from

February 2013 to March 2016.

WE — Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer since October 2018. Director since April 2016.
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from April 2016 to October 2018. Vice President and Treasurer from February 2013 to March 2016.

Charles R. Matthews. Age 62.

PELLC — President since June 2015.

PGL — Director, President, and Chief Executive Officer since June 2015.

NSG — Director, President, and Chief Executive Officer since June 2015.

WE — Senior Vice President - Wholesale Energy and Fuels from January 2012 to June 2015.

2018 Form 10-K 39 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Tom Metcalfe. Age 51.

WE — President since November 2018. Director since January 2018. Executive Vice President - Generation from April 2016 to November 2018. Senior Vice President - Power Generation from January 2014 to March 2016.

Mary Beth Straka. Age 54.

WEC Energy Group — Senior Vice President - Corporate Communications and Investor Relations since June 2015.

WE — Senior Vice President - Corporate Communications and Investor Relations from June 1 to June 28, 2015.

Barclays — Vice President of Equity Research Power and Utilities Group from September 2008 to May 2015.

Certain executive officers also hold officer and/or director positions at our other significant subsidiaries.

Effective February 1, 2019, Mr. Klappa was appointed Executive Chairman of WEC Energy Group. Also, effective

(1) February 1, 2019, Mr. Fletcher succeeded Mr. Klappa as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of WE. Mr Klappa remains a Director of WE.

Effective February 1, 2019, Mr. Fletcher was appointed President and Chief Executive Officer and a Director of

(2) WEC Energy Group. Also effective February 1, 2019, Mr. Fletcher was appointed Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of WE.

(3) Effective February 1, 2019, Mr. Lauber was named Senior Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of WEC Energy Group.

2018 Form 10-K 40 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Number of Common Shareholders

As of January 31, 2019, based upon the number of WEC Energy Group shareholder accounts (including accounts in our dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan), we had approximately 50,000 registered shareholders.

Common Stock Listing and Trading

Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the ticker symbol "WEC."

Common Stock Dividends of WEC Energy Group

We review our dividend policy on a regular basis. Subject to any regulatory restrictions or other limitations on the payment of dividends, future dividends will be at the discretion of the Board of Directors and will depend upon, among other factors, earnings, financial condition, and other requirements. For more information on our dividends, including restrictions on the ability of our subsidiaries to pay us dividends, see Note 10, Common Equity.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

WEC ENERGY GROUP, INC.

COMPARATIVE FINANCIAL DATA AND OTHER STATISTICS

As of or for Year Ended December 31

(in millions, except per share information)	2018	2017 ⁽¹⁾	2016	2015 ⁽²⁾	2014
Operating revenues	\$7,679.5	\$7,648.5	\$7,472.3	\$5,926.1	\$4,997.1
Net income attributed to common shareholders	1,059.3	1,203.7	939.0	638.5	588.3
Total assets	33,475.8	31,590.5	30,123.2	29,355.2	14,905.0
Preferred stock of subsidiary	30.4	30.4	30.4	30.4	30.4
Long-term debt (excluding current portion)	9,994.0	8,746.6	9,158.2	9,124.1	4,170.7
Weighted average common shares outstanding					
Basic	315.5	315.6	315.6	271.1	225.6
Diluted	316.9	317.2	316.9	272.7	227.5
Earnings per share					
Basic	\$3.36	\$3.81	\$2.98	\$2.36	\$2.61
Diluted	\$3.34	\$3.79	\$2.96	\$2.34	\$2.59
Dividends per share of common stock	\$2.21	\$2.08	\$1.98	\$1.74	\$1.56

⁽¹⁾ Includes a \$206.7 million increase in net income attributed to common shareholders related to a re-measurement of our deferred taxes as a result of the Tax Legislation. See Note 14, Income Taxes, for more information.

⁽²⁾ Includes the impact of the Integrys acquisition for the last two quarters of 2015.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

CORPORATE DEVELOPMENTS

Introduction

We are a diversified holding company with natural gas and electric utility operations (serving customers in Wisconsin, Illinois, Michigan, and Minnesota), an approximately 60% equity ownership interest in American Transmission Company LLC (ATC) (a for-profit electric transmission company regulated by the FERC and certain state regulatory commissions), and non-utility energy infrastructure operations through We Power (which owns generation assets in Wisconsin), Bluewater (which owns underground natural gas storage facilities in Michigan), and a 90% ownership interest in Bishop Hill III (a wind generating facility in Illinois).

In December 2018, WEC Energy Group acquired an 80% ownership interest in Coyote Ridge, a 97.5 MW wind farm under construction in Brookings County, South Dakota. This wind farm is expected to be in service by the end of 2019, and is included in the non-utility energy infrastructure segment. See Note 2, Acquisitions, for more information.

Corporate Strategy

Our goal is to continue to build and sustain long-term value for our shareholders and customers by focusing on the fundamentals of our business: reliability; operating efficiency; financial discipline; customer care; and safety.

Reshaping Our Generation Fleet

The planned reshaping of our generation fleet will balance reliability and customer cost with environmental stewardship. Taken as a whole, this plan should reduce costs to customers, preserve fuel diversity, and lower carbon emissions. Generation reshaping includes retiring older fossil fuel generation units, building state-of-the-art natural gas generation, and investing in cost-effective zero-carbon generation with a goal of reducing CO₂ emissions by approximately 40% below 2005 levels by 2030. In addition, we set a new long-term goal of reducing CO₂ emissions by approximately 80% below 2005 levels by 2050. We expect to retire a total of approximately 1,800 MW of coal-fired generation by 2020, and add additional natural gas-fired generating units and renewable generation, including utility-scale solar projects. Our 1,190 MW Pleasant Prairie power plant was retired in April 2018. The physical dismantlement of the Pleasant Prairie power plant will not occur immediately. It may take several years to finalize long-term plans for the site. The jointly owned Edgewater 4 generating unit was retired in September 2018 (our share of the capacity from this plant was 100 MW), and our 200 MW Pulliam power plant was retired in October 2018. See Note 6, Property, Plant, and Equipment, for more information related to these power plant retirements and the planned retirement of the Presque Isle power plant (PIPP).

As part of our commitment to invest in zero-carbon generation, we plan to invest in utility scale solar of up to 350 MW within our Wisconsin segment. Wisconsin Public Service Corporation (WPS) has partnered with an unaffiliated utility to acquire ownership interests in two proposed solar projects in Wisconsin. Badger Hollow Solar Farm will be located in Iowa County, Wisconsin, and Two Creeks Solar Project will be located in Manitowoc County, Wisconsin. Subject to Public Service Commission of Wisconsin (PSCW) approval, WPS will own 100 MW of the output of each project for a total of 200 MW. Commercial operation for both projects is targeted for the end of 2020.

In December 2018, Wisconsin Electric Power Company (WE) received approval from the PSCW for two renewable energy pilot programs. The Solar Now pilot is expected to add 35 MW of solar to WE's portfolio, allowing commercial and industrial customers to site solar arrays on their property. The second program, the Dedicated

Renewable Energy Resource pilot, would allow large commercial and industrial customers to access renewable resources that WE would operate, adding up to 150 MW of renewables to WE's portfolio, and allowing these larger customers to meet their sustainability and renewable energy goals.

As the cost of renewable energy generation installations continues to decline, both the WPS solar projects and the WE pilots have become cost effective opportunities for WEC Energy Group and our customers to participate in renewable energy.

Reliability

We have made significant reliability-related investments in recent years, and plan to continue strengthening and modernizing our generation fleet and distribution networks to further improve reliability. Our investments, coupled with our commitment to

2018 Form 10-K 42 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

operating efficiency and customer care, resulted in We Energies being recognized by PA Consulting Group, an independent consulting firm, as the most reliable utility in the Midwest for the eighth year in a row.

Below are a few examples of reliability projects that are currently underway.

Upper Michigan Energy Resources Corporation (UMERC), our Michigan electric and natural gas utility, is moving forward with its long-term generation solution for electric reliability in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan. The plan calls for UMEREC to construct and operate approximately 180 MW of natural gas-fueled generation located in the Upper Peninsula. The new generation is expected to achieve commercial operation during the second quarter of 2019 and provide the region with affordable, reliable electricity that generates less emissions than the PIPP. Pursuant to a written approval letter received from the Midcontinent Independent System Operator, we must retire PIPP by May 31, 2019.

The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company continues to work on its Natural Gas System Modernization Program, which primarily involves replacing old cast and ductile iron pipes and facilities in Chicago's natural gas delivery system with modern polyethylene pipes to reinforce the long-term safety and reliability of the system.

WPS continues work on its System Modernization and Reliability Project, which involves modernizing parts of its electric distribution system, including burying or upgrading lines. The project focuses on constructing facilities to improve the reliability of electric service WPS provides to its customers. WPS, WE, and Wisconsin Gas LLC also continue to upgrade their electric and natural gas distribution systems to enhance reliability.

Operating Efficiency

We continually look for ways to optimize the operating efficiency of our company. For example, we are making progress on our Advanced Metering Infrastructure program, replacing aging meter-reading equipment on both our network and customer property. An integrated system of smart meters, communication networks, and data management programs enables two-way communication between our utilities and our customers. This program reduces the manual effort for disconnects and reconnects and enhances outage management capabilities.

We continue to focus on integrating and improving business processes and consolidating our IT infrastructure across all of our companies. We expect these efforts to continue to drive operational efficiency and to put us in position to effectively support plans for future growth.

Financial Discipline

A strong adherence to financial discipline is essential to meeting our earnings projections and maintaining a strong balance sheet, stable cash flows, a growing dividend, and quality credit ratings.

We follow an asset management strategy that focuses on investing in and acquiring assets consistent with our strategic plans, as well as disposing of assets, including property, plants, equipment, and entire business units, that are no longer strategic to operations, are not performing as intended, or have an unacceptable risk profile.

See Note 2, Acquisitions, for information about our acquisitions of natural gas storage facilities in Michigan and portions of wind energy generation facilities in Wisconsin, Illinois, Nebraska, and South Dakota.

See Note 3, Dispositions, for information on recent dispositions. In the first quarter of 2017, we sold substantially all of the remaining assets of Bostco LLC, and, in October 2018, Bostco was dissolved. In the second quarter of 2016, we sold certain assets of Wisvest LLC. The sale of Integrys Transportation Fuels, LLC was completed in the first quarter of 2016.

Our investment focus remains in our regulated utility and non-utility energy infrastructure businesses, as well as our investment in ATC. We expect total capital expenditures for our regulated utility and non-utility energy infrastructure businesses to be almost \$12.7 billion from 2019 to 2023. Specific projects are discussed in more detail below under Liquidity and Capital Resources.

From 2019 to 2023, we expect capital contributions to ATC and ATC Holdco, LLC to be approximately \$250 million. ATC Holdco is a separate entity formed in December 2016 to invest in transmission-related projects outside of ATC's traditional footprint. Capital investments at ATC and ATC Holdco will be funded utilizing these capital contributions, in addition to cash generated from operations

2018 Form 10-K 43 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

and debt. We currently forecast that our share of ATC's and ATC Holdco's projected capital expenditures over the next five years will be \$1.2 billion inside the traditional ATC footprint and \$250 million outside of the traditional ATC footprint.

Exceptional Customer Care

Our approach is driven by an intense focus on delivering exceptional customer care every day. We strive to provide the best value for our customers by embracing constructive change, demonstrating personal responsibility for results, leveraging our capabilities and expertise, and using creative solutions to meet or exceed our customers' expectations.

One example of how we obtain feedback from our customers is through our "We Care" calls, through which employees of our utility subsidiaries contact customers after a completed service call. Customer satisfaction is a priority, and making "We Care" calls is one of the main methods we use to gauge our performance to improve customer satisfaction.

Safety

We have a long-standing commitment to both workplace and public safety, and under our "Target Zero" mission, we have an ultimate goal of zero incidents, accidents, and injuries. We also set goals around injury-prevention activities that raise awareness and facilitate conversations about employee safety. Our corporate safety program provides a forum for addressing employee concerns, training employees and contractors on current safety standards, and recognizing those who demonstrate a safety focus.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**Consolidated Earnings**

The following table compares our consolidated results:

	Year Ended December 31		
(in millions, except per share data)	2018	2017	2016
Wisconsin	\$800.2	\$1,055.2	\$1,017.8
Illinois	255.8	279.9	261.1
Other states	68.8	54.4	51.2
Non-utility energy infrastructure	365.8	400.5	375.6
Corporate and other	(22.2)	(13.9)	(9.4)
Total operating income	1,468.4	1,776.1	1,696.3
Equity in earnings of transmission affiliates	136.7	154.3	146.5
Other income, net	70.3	73.7	66.6
Interest expense	445.1	415.7	402.7
Income before income taxes	1,230.3	1,588.4	1,506.7
Income tax expense	169.8	383.5	566.5
Preferred stock dividends of subsidiary	1.2	1.2	1.2
Net income attributed to common shareholders	\$1,059.3	\$1,203.7	\$939.0
Diluted earnings per share	\$3.34	\$3.79	\$2.96

Table of Contents

2018 Compared with 2017

Earnings decreased \$144.4 million during 2018, compared with 2017. The table below shows the year-over-year income statement impacts associated with the flow through of tax repairs beginning January 1, 2018 and the Tax Legislation signed into law in December 2017. As shown in the table below, the changes related to these items resulted in a decrease in net income attributed to common shareholders of \$223.2 million during 2018, compared with 2017. This decrease was driven by the \$206.7 million one-time net reduction in income tax expense recorded in 2017 related to the revaluation of our deferred taxes, primarily on our non-utility energy infrastructure and corporate and other segments, as a result of the enactment of the Tax Legislation. See Note 14, Income Taxes, and Note 24, Regulatory Environment, for more information.

(in millions)	2018 Compared with 2017 B (W)	Change Related to Flow Through of Tax Repairs	Change Related to Tax Legislation	Remaining Change B (W)
Wisconsin	\$ (255.0)	\$ (165.9)	\$ (142.2)	\$ 53.1
Illinois	(24.1)	—	(29.5)	5.4
Other states	14.4	—	(8.0)	22.4
Non-utility energy infrastructure	(34.7)	—	(50.4)	15.7
Corporate and other	(8.3)	—	—	(8.3)
Total operating income	(307.7)	(165.9)	(230.1)	88.3
Equity in earnings of transmission affiliates	(17.6)	—	(34.3)	16.7
Other income, net	(3.4)	—	—	(3.4)
Interest expense	(29.4)	—	—	(29.4)
Income before income taxes	(358.1)	(165.9)	(264.4)	72.2
Income tax expense	213.7	165.9	41.2	6.6
Preferred stock dividends of subsidiary	—	—	—	—
Net income attributed to common shareholders	\$ (144.4)	\$ —	\$ (223.2)	\$ 78.8

Absent the effect of the Tax Legislation, earnings increased by \$78.8 million. The significant factors impacting this \$78.8 million increase in earnings were:

A \$53.1 million remaining increase in operating income at the Wisconsin segment, driven by an increase in electric and natural gas margins related to higher retail sales volumes as a result of favorable weather and higher weather-normalized use per customer. This increase in margins was partially offset by higher operating expenses during 2018, which were driven by the earnings sharing mechanisms in place at our Wisconsin utilities. See Note 24, Regulatory Environment, for more information on our earnings sharing mechanisms.

A \$22.4 million remaining increase in operating income at the other states segment. The increase was driven by higher natural gas margins, which were primarily a result of the colder winter weather in 2018 as well as customer growth and an interim rate increase at MERC. See Note 24, Regulatory Environment, for more information on the interim rate increase.

A \$16.7 million remaining increase in earnings from our ownership interests in transmission affiliates. The increase was driven by expenses recorded in 2017 by ATC related to the refund ATC was required to provide customers as a result of its FERC financial audit. Continued capital investment by our transmission affiliates also contributed to the increase.

A \$15.7 million remaining increase in operating income at the non-utility energy infrastructure segment, primarily driven by the inclusion of a full year of operations of Bluewater following its acquisition on June 30, 2017.

These increases in earnings were partially offset by a \$29.4 million increase in interest expense, driven by higher debt balances, primarily used to fund capital investments, and higher interest rates on both short-term and long-term debt.

2018 Form 10-K 45 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

2017 Compared with 2016

Earnings increased \$264.7 million during 2017, compared with 2016. The significant factors impacting the increase in earnings were:

A \$206.7 million one-time net reduction in income tax expense related to the revaluation of our deferred taxes primarily on our non-utility energy infrastructure and corporate and other segments at December 31, 2017, as a result of the enactment of the Tax Legislation.

A \$37.4 million pre-tax increase in operating income at the Wisconsin segment, driven by lower operating expenses. A decrease in electric margins, driven by lower sales volumes, partially offset the decrease in operating expenses.

A \$24.9 million pre-tax increase in operating income at the non-utility energy infrastructure segment. The increase was driven by higher revenues in connection with capital additions to the plants We Power owns and leases to WE and the inclusion of the operations of Bluewater following its acquisition on June 30, 2017.

An \$18.8 million pre-tax increase in operating income at the Illinois segment. The increase was driven by higher natural gas margins at PGL due to continued capital investment in the SMP project under its QIP rider and lower operating expenses.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

The discussions below address the operating income contribution of each of our segments and include financial information prepared in accordance with GAAP, as well as electric margins and natural gas margins, which are not measures of financial performance under GAAP. Electric margin (electric revenues less fuel and purchased power costs) and natural gas margin (natural gas revenues less cost of natural gas sold) are non-GAAP financial measures because they exclude other operation and maintenance expense, depreciation and amortization, and property and revenue taxes.

We believe that electric and natural gas margins provide a useful basis for evaluating utility operations since the majority of prudently incurred fuel and purchased power costs, as well as prudently incurred natural gas costs, are passed through to customers in current rates. As a result, management uses electric and natural gas margins internally when assessing the operating performance of our segments as these measures exclude the majority of revenue fluctuations caused by changes in these expenses. Similarly, the presentation of electric and natural gas margins herein is intended to provide supplemental information for investors regarding our operating performance.

Our electric margins and natural gas margins may not be comparable to similar measures presented by other companies. Furthermore, these measures are not intended to replace operating income as determined in accordance with GAAP as an indicator of our segment operating performance. Operating income for each of the last three fiscal years for each of our segments is presented in the “Consolidated Earnings” table above.

Each applicable segment operating income discussion below includes a table that provides the calculation of electric margins and natural gas margins, as applicable, along with a reconciliation to segment operating income.

Table of Contents

Wisconsin Segment Contribution to Operating Income

For the periods presented in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, our Wisconsin operations included operations of WE, WG, and WPS for all periods, and operations for UMERG beginning January 1, 2017, due to the transfer of customers and assets in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan from WE and WPS.

	Year Ended December 31		
(in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Electric revenues	\$4,438.9	\$4,559.0	\$4,628.1
Fuel and purchased power	1,418.1	1,467.0	1,473.1
Total electric margins	3,020.8	3,092.0	3,155.0
Natural gas revenues	1,355.8	1,270.2	1,177.6
Cost of natural gas sold	792.1	701.8	621.2
Total natural gas margins	563.7	568.4	556.4
Total electric and natural gas margins	3,584.5	3,660.4	3,711.4
Other operation and maintenance	2,076.1	1,923.2	2,034.6
Depreciation and amortization	546.6	523.9	496.6
Property and revenue taxes	161.6	158.1	162.4
Operating income	\$800.2	\$1,055.2	\$1,017.8

The following table shows a breakdown of other operation and maintenance:

	Year Ended December 31		
(in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Operation and maintenance not included in line items below	\$769.5	\$833.3	\$891.1
We Power ⁽¹⁾	506.9	513.0	513.2
Transmission ⁽²⁾	420.7	407.4	423.2
Transmission expense related to the flow through of tax repairs ⁽³⁾	77.8	—	—
Transmission expense related to Tax Legislation ⁽⁴⁾	67.7	—	—
Regulatory amortizations and other pass through expenses ⁽⁵⁾	159.1	158.1	157.4
Earnings sharing mechanisms ⁽⁶⁾	67.5	2.9	24.4
Other	6.9	8.5	25.3
Total other operation and maintenance	\$2,076.1	\$1,923.2	\$2,034.6

Represents costs associated with the We Power generation units, including operating and maintenance costs incurred by WE, as well as the lease payments that are billed from We Power to WE and then recovered in WE's ⁽¹⁾ rates. During 2018, 2017, and 2016, \$485.3 million, \$535.1 million, and \$528.4 million, respectively, of both lease and operating and maintenance costs were billed to or incurred by WE, with the difference in costs billed or incurred and expenses recognized, either deferred or deducted from the regulatory asset.

The PSCW has approved escrow accounting for ATC and MISO network transmission expenses for our Wisconsin electric utilities. As a result, WE and WPS defer as a regulatory asset or liability the differences between actual ⁽²⁾ transmission costs and those included in rates until recovery or refund is authorized in a future rate proceeding. During 2018, 2017, and 2016, \$438.2 million, \$451.4 million, and \$486.0 million, respectively, of costs were billed to our electric utilities by transmission providers.

⁽³⁾ Represents additional transmission expense associated with WE's flow through of tax benefits of its repair-related deferred tax liabilities starting in 2018, in accordance with a settlement agreement with the PSCW, to maintain

certain regulatory asset balances at their December 31, 2017 levels. See Note 24, Regulatory Environment, for more information.

- Represents additional transmission expense associated with the May 2018 PSCW order requiring WE to use 80% of its current 2018 tax benefit, including the amortization associated with the revaluation of deferred taxes, to reduce its transmission regulatory asset balance. See Note 24, Regulatory Environment, for more information.
- (5) Regulatory amortizations and other pass through expenses are substantially offset in margins and therefore do not have a significant impact on operating income.
- (6) See Note 24, Regulatory Environment, for more information about our earnings sharing mechanisms.

2018 Form 10-K 47 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

The following tables provide information on delivered volumes by customer class and weather statistics:

	Year Ended December 31		
	MWh (in thousands)		
Electric Sales Volumes	2018	2017	2016
Customer class			
Residential	11,195.0	10,636.3	10,998.9
Small commercial and industrial *	13,186.7	12,932.1	13,113.1
Large commercial and industrial *	12,946.5	12,822.0	13,418.6
Other	169.0	175.6	172.2
Total retail *	37,497.2	36,566.0	37,702.8
Wholesale	3,612.7	3,768.0	3,704.6
Resale	6,019.3	9,000.3	8,761.6
Total sales in MWh *	47,129.2	49,334.3	50,169.0

* Includes distribution sales for customers who have purchased power from an alternative electric supplier in Michigan.

	Year Ended December 31		
	Therms (in millions)		
Natural Gas Sales Volumes	2018	2017	2016
Customer class			
Residential	1,131.1	1,028.3	1,004.0
Commercial and industrial	733.1	654.7	621.4
Total retail	1,864.2	1,683.0	1,625.4
Transport	1,411.5	1,316.4	1,270.6
Total sales in therms	3,275.7	2,999.4	2,896.0

	Year Ended December 31		
	Degree Days		
Weather	2018	2017	2016
WE and WG ⁽¹⁾			
Heating (6,515 normal)	6,685	5,908	6,068
Cooling (731 normal)	929	772	991

WPS ⁽²⁾			
Heating (7,324 normal)	7,554	6,942	6,715
Cooling (507 normal)	678	450	572

UMERC ⁽³⁾			
Heating (8,326 normal)	8,611	8,145	N/A
Cooling (325 normal)	478	235	N/A

⁽¹⁾ Normal degree days are based on a 20-year moving average of monthly temperatures from Mitchell International Airport in Milwaukee, Wisconsin.

⁽²⁾ Normal degree days are based on a 20-year moving average of monthly temperatures from the Green Bay, Wisconsin weather station.

- (3) Normal degree days are based on a 20-year moving average of monthly temperatures from the Iron Mountain, Michigan weather station.

2018 Form 10-K 48 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

2018 Compared with 2017

Electric Utility Margins

Electric utility margins at the Wisconsin segment decreased \$71.2 million during 2018, compared with 2017. The significant factors impacting the lower electric utility margins were:

An \$88.1 million decrease in margins associated with WE's flow through of tax benefits of its repair-related deferred tax liabilities starting in 2018, in accordance with a settlement agreement with the PSCW to maintain certain regulatory assets at their December 31, 2017 levels. See Note 24, Regulatory Environment, for more information.

A \$30.0 million decrease in margins related to savings from the Tax Legislation that we are required to return to customers through bill credits or reductions in other regulatory assets. See Note 14, Income Taxes, and Note 24, Regulatory Environment, for more information.

A \$29.7 million decrease in wholesale margins driven both by lower sales volumes and reduced capacity rates due in part to the Tax Legislation.

A \$9.1 million year-over-year negative impact from collections of fuel and purchased power costs compared with costs approved in rates. Under the Wisconsin fuel rules, the margins of our electric utilities are impacted by under- or over-collections of certain fuel and purchased power costs that are less than a 2% price variance from the costs included in rates, and the remaining variance that exceeds the 2% variance is deferred.

These decreases in electric utility margins were partially offset by:

A \$67.5 million increase related to higher retail sales volumes during 2018, primarily driven by favorable weather and higher overall use per retail customer due in part to a stronger economy. Colder winter weather and a warmer summer in 2018 contributed to the increase. As measured by heating degree days, 2018 was 13.2% and 8.8% colder than 2017 in the Milwaukee and Green Bay areas, respectively. As measured by cooling degree days, 2018 was 20.3% and 50.7% warmer than 2017 in the Milwaukee area and Green Bay area, respectively.

A \$25.9 million increase related to SSR payments WE refunded to MISO in 2017 as directed by a FERC order received in October 2017. The FERC order reduced the costs eligible for reimbursement to WE for the operation and maintenance of its PIPP units under an SSR agreement between MISO and WE. A portion of these payments was returned to WE through the MISO allocation process and reduced transmission expense in 2017 as discussed below.

Natural Gas Utility Margins

Natural gas utility margins at the Wisconsin segment decreased \$4.7 million during 2018, compared with 2017. The most significant factor impacting the lower natural gas utility margins was \$39.0 million of savings from the Tax Legislation that we are required to return to customers through bill credits. See Note 14, Income Taxes, and Note 24, Regulatory Environment, for more information. This decrease in natural gas utility margins was partially offset by a \$34.5 million increase related to higher sales volumes, primarily driven by colder winter weather, customer growth, and higher use per retail customer due in part to a stronger economy.

Operating Income

Operating income at the Wisconsin segment decreased \$255.0 million during 2018, compared with 2017. This decrease was driven by \$179.1 million of higher operating expenses (which include other operation and maintenance,

depreciation and amortization, and property and revenue taxes), and the \$75.9 million decrease in margins discussed above.

The significant factors impacting the increase in operating expenses during 2018, compared with 2017, were:

- A \$77.8 million increase in transmission expense related to the flow through of tax repairs, as discussed in the other operation and maintenance table above.

A \$67.7 million increase in transmission expense associated with the May 2018 order from the PSCW related to our required treatment of the benefits associated with the Tax Legislation, as discussed in the other operation and maintenance table above.

2018 Form 10-K 49 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

A \$64.6 million increase in expense related to the earnings sharing mechanisms in place at our Wisconsin utilities. See Note 24, Regulatory Environment, for more information.

A \$22.7 million increase in depreciation and amortization, driven by an increase in capital expenditures as we continue to execute on our capital plan. This increase in depreciation and amortization was partially offset by a decrease related to the reduction of certain WPS regulatory deferrals as a result of the PSCW's May 2018 order addressing the Tax Legislation.

A \$13.3 million increase in transmission expense in 2018, driven by lower expense in 2017 related to a FERC order received in October 2017 to reduce SSR costs related to PIPP. A portion of the payments we initially refunded to MISO were returned to us, as discussed under electric utility margins.

These increases in operating expenses were partially offset by a \$69.9 million decrease in expenses across all of our plants, in part due to the retirements of the Pleasant Prairie power plant in April 2018, Edgewater Unit 4 in September 2018, and Pulliam Units 7 and 8 in October 2018. This resulted in lower maintenance and labor costs during 2018. See Note 6, Property, Plant, and Equipment, for more information on the plant retirements.

2017 Compared with 2016

Electric Utility Margins

Electric utility margins at the Wisconsin segment decreased \$63.0 million during 2017, compared with 2016. The significant factors impacting the lower electric utility margins were:

A \$72.6 million decrease related to lower sales volumes during 2017, primarily driven by unfavorable weather as well as lower overall retail use per customer. Cooler summer and warmer winter weather in 2017, and an additional day of sales during 2016 due to leap year, contributed to the decrease. As measured by cooling degree days, 2017 was 22.1% and 21.3% cooler than 2016 in the Milwaukee and Green Bay areas, respectively. As measured by heating degree days, 2017 was 2.6% warmer than 2016 in the Milwaukee area.

A \$25.9 million decrease related to SSR payments WE refunded to MISO as directed by a FERC order received in October 2017. The FERC order reduced the costs eligible for reimbursement to WE for the operation and maintenance of its PIPP units under an SSR agreement between MISO and WE. A portion of these payments was returned to WE through the MISO allocation process and reduced transmission expense as discussed below. See Note 24, Regulatory Environment, for more information.

A \$3.5 million decrease in steam margins driven by the sale of the MCPP in April 2016. See Note 3, Dispositions, for more information.

A \$3.3 million period-over-period negative impact from collections of fuel and purchased power costs compared with costs approved in rates. Under the Wisconsin fuel rules, the margins of our electric utilities are impacted by under- or over-collections of certain fuel and purchased power costs that are less than a 2% price variance from the costs included in rates, and the remaining variance that exceeds the 2% variance is deferred.

These decreases in electric utility margins were partially offset by \$36.5 million of lower capacity payments to a counterparty during 2017, related to improved contract terms.

Natural Gas Utility Margins

Natural gas utility margins at the Wisconsin segment increased \$12.0 million during 2017, compared with 2016. The most significant factor impacting the higher natural gas utility margins was higher retail sales volumes, primarily driven by higher overall retail use per customer and customer growth. The higher retail sales volumes in 2017 were partially offset by an additional day of sales during 2016 due to leap year.

2018 Form 10-K 50WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Operating Income

Operating income at the Wisconsin segment increased \$37.4 million during 2017, compared with 2016. This increase was driven by \$88.4 million of lower operating expenses (which include other operation and maintenance, depreciation and amortization, and property and revenue taxes), partially offset by the \$51.0 million net decrease in margins discussed above.

The Wisconsin segment experienced lower overall operating expenses related to synergy savings resulting from the Integrys acquisition. The significant factors impacting the decrease in operating expenses during 2017, compared with 2016, which were due in part to synergy savings, were:

- A \$29.1 million decrease in electric and natural gas distribution expenses, primarily related to lower metering costs and other cost savings.

- A \$21.5 million decrease in expenses related to the earnings sharing mechanisms in place at WE and WG. See Note 24, Regulatory Environment, for more information.

- A \$16.8 million decrease in expenses related to charitable projects supporting our customers and the communities within our service territories.

- A \$15.8 million decrease in transmission expenses, driven by a FERC order received in October 2017 to reduce SSR costs related to PIPP. A portion of the payments we initially refunded to MISO were returned to us, as discussed under electric utility margins.

An \$11.5 million decrease in expenses related to an information technology project completed in 2016 to improve the billing, call center, and credit collection functions of certain WEC Energy Group subsidiaries. Lower expenses were due in part to a decrease in asset usage charges from WBS, driven by the transfer of this project from WBS to certain WEC Energy Group subsidiaries, including WPS, during 2017. The portion of these lower expenses related to the transfer was offset through higher depreciation and amortization, discussed below.

A \$10.5 million decrease in operation and maintenance expenses at our plants, primarily related to the seasonal operation of the Pleasant Prairie power plant during 2017, lower operating costs at the plants, the timing of planned outages and maintenance, and the sale of the MCPP in April 2016. See Note 3, Dispositions, for more information on the sale of the MCPP. These decreases were partially offset by severance costs related to planned plant retirements. See Note 6, Property, Plant, and Equipment, for more information.

- A \$5.7 million decrease in customer service expenses, partially related to lower contracted meter reading rates and cost savings.

These decreases in operating expenses were partially offset by:

- A \$27.3 million increase in depreciation and amortization, driven by an overall increase in utility plant in service, the completion of the ReACT™ multi-pollutant control system at Weston Unit 3 during the fourth quarter of 2016, and WBS's transfer of the information technology project to WPS during 2017.

- A \$10.9 million gain recorded in April 2016 related to the sale of the MCPP.

Table of Contents

Illinois Segment Contribution to Operating Income

Since the majority of PGL and NSG customers use natural gas for heating, operating income is sensitive to weather and is generally higher during the winter months.

	Year Ended December 31		
(in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Natural gas revenues	\$1,400.0	\$1,355.5	\$1,242.2
Cost of natural gas sold	480.5	438.9	365.2
Total natural gas margins	919.5	916.6	877.0
Other operation and maintenance	472.3	464.2	463.6
Depreciation and amortization	170.3	152.6	134.0
Property and revenue taxes	21.1	19.9	18.3
Operating income	\$255.8	\$279.9	\$261.1

The following table shows a breakdown of other operation and maintenance:

	Year Ended December 31		
(in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Operation and maintenance not included in the line items below	\$372.9	\$361.5	\$363.8
Riders *	95.3	98.1	82.3
Regulatory amortizations *	(1.4)	1.0	2.7
Other	5.5	3.6	14.8
Total other operation and maintenance	\$472.3	\$464.2	\$463.6

* These riders and regulatory amortizations are substantially offset in margins and therefore do not have a significant impact on operating income.

The following tables provide information on delivered volumes by customer class and weather statistics:

	Therms (in millions)		
Natural Gas Sales Volumes	2018	2017	2016
Customer Class			
Residential	896.2	759.6	771.8
Commercial and industrial	358.3	313.9	321.4
Total retail	1,254.5	1,073.5	1,093.2
Transport	905.1	853.4	855.3
Total sales in therms	2,159.6	1,926.9	1,948.5

	Degree Days		
Weather *	2018	2017	2016
Heating (6,059 normal)	6,327	5,470	5,713

* Normal heating degree days are based on a 12-year moving average of monthly temperatures from Chicago's O'Hare Airport.

2018 Compared with 2017

Natural Gas Utility Margins

Natural gas utility margins at the Illinois segment, net of the \$2.8 million impact of the riders referenced in the table above, increased \$5.7 million during 2018, compared with 2017. The increase was primarily driven by an increase in revenue at PGL due to continued capital investment in the SMP project under its QIP rider. PGL currently recovers the costs related to the SMP through a surcharge on customer bills pursuant to an ICC approved QIP rider, which is in effect through 2023. This increase was substantially offset by a decrease in margins related to savings from the Tax Legislation that we are required to return to customers through the VITA. See Note 14, Income Taxes, and Note 24, Regulatory Environment, for more information.

2018 Form 10-K 52 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Operating Income

Operating income at the Illinois segment decreased \$24.1 million during 2018, compared with 2017. This decrease was driven by \$29.8 million of higher operating expenses (which include other operation and maintenance, depreciation and amortization, and property and revenues taxes), net of the impact of the riders referenced in the table above, partially offset by the \$5.7 million increase in margins discussed above.

The significant factors impacting the increase in operating expenses during 2018, compared with 2017, were:

- A \$17.7 million increase in depreciation expense primarily driven by PGL's continued capital investment in the SMP project.

- An \$11.4 million increase in natural gas maintenance costs related to our Illinois utilities' distribution systems.

2017 Compared with 2016

Natural Gas Utility Margins

Natural gas utility margins at the Illinois segment, net of the \$15.8 million impact of the riders referenced in the table above, increased \$23.8 million during 2017, compared with 2016. The increase was primarily driven by an increase in revenue at PGL due to continued capital investment in the SMP project under its QIP rider.

Operating Income

Operating income at the Illinois segment increased \$18.8 million during 2017, compared with 2016. This increase was due to the \$23.8 million increase in margins discussed above, partially offset by \$5.0 million of higher operating expenses (which include other operation and maintenance, depreciation and amortization, and property and revenues taxes), net of the impact of the riders referenced in the table above.

The significant factors impacting the increase in operating expenses during 2017, compared with 2016, were:

- An \$18.6 million increase in depreciation and amortization expense, driven by continued capital investment at PGL in the SMP project and the transfer of an information technology project to PGL and NSG in 2017. This information technology project was created to improve the billing, call center, and credit collection facilities of certain WEC subsidiaries.

- An increase in natural gas distribution expenses, driven by increased repair activity in 2017.

These increases were partially offset by:

- A \$9.8 million decrease in expenses related to charitable projects supporting our customers and the communities within our service territories.

- A \$6.5 million decrease in benefit related expenses driven by lower pension costs.

- A \$6.0 million decrease in expenses related to the information technology project completed in 2016 to improve certain functions of some WEC Energy Group subsidiaries. Lower expenses were due in part to a decrease in asset usage charges from WBS, driven by the transfer of this project from WBS to certain WEC Energy Group subsidiaries, including PGL and NSG, during 2017. The portion of these lower expenses related to the transfer are offset through

higher depreciation and amortization, discussed above.

2018 Form 10-K 53 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Other States Segment Contribution to Operating Income

Since the majority of MERC and MGU customers use natural gas for heating, operating income is sensitive to weather and is generally higher during the winter months.

	Year Ended December 31		
(in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Natural gas revenues	\$438.2	\$411.2	\$376.5
Cost of natural gas sold	232.8	215.3	182.3
Total natural gas margins	205.4	195.9	194.2
Other operation and maintenance	101.0	101.1	108.8
Depreciation and amortization	24.1	24.8	21.1
Property and revenue taxes	11.5	15.6	13.1
Operating income	\$68.8	\$54.4	\$51.2

The following table shows a breakdown of other operation and maintenance:

	Year Ended December 31		
(in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Operation and maintenance not included in line items below	\$76.1	\$78.1	\$85.1
Regulatory amortizations and other pass through expenses *	24.8	23.0	23.6
Other	0.1	—	0.1
Total other operation and maintenance	\$101.0	\$101.1	\$108.8

* Regulatory amortizations and other pass through expenses are substantially offset in margins and therefore do not have a significant impact on operating income.

The following tables provide information on delivered volumes by customer class and weather statistics:

	Therms (in millions)		
Natural Gas Sales Volumes	2018	2017	2016
Customer Class			
Residential	336.1	285.6	278.5
Commercial and industrial	218.5	199.4	178.2
Total retail	554.6	485.0	456.7
Transport	738.7	693.3	696.2
Total sales in therms	1,293.3	1,178.3	1,152.9

	Degree Days		
Weather *	2018	2017	2016
MERC			
Heating (7,864 normal)	8,490	7,625	7,188

MGU			
Heating (6,240 normal)	6,368	5,707	5,712

* Normal heating degree days for MERC and MGU are based on a 20-year moving average and 15-year moving average, respectively, of monthly temperatures from various weather stations throughout their respective territories.

2018 Compared with 2017

Natural Gas Utility Margins

Natural gas utility margins increased \$9.5 million during 2018, compared with 2017. The increase was primarily driven by colder winter weather as well as customer growth and an interim rate increase at MERC, partially offset by an \$8.0 million decrease in margins related to savings from the Tax Legislation that we are required to return to customers through bill credits or reductions in

2018 Form 10-K 54 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

future rates, related to the Tax Legislation signed into law in December 2017. See Note 14, Income Taxes, and Note 24, Regulatory Environment, for more information.

Operating Income

Operating income at the other states segment increased \$14.4 million during 2018, compared with 2017. The increase was due to the \$9.5 million increase in margins discussed above and a \$4.9 million decrease in operating expenses (which include other operation and maintenance, depreciation and amortization, and property and revenue taxes). The decrease in operating expenses was primarily driven by lower property and revenue taxes resulting from a favorable judgment that MERC received related to a property tax matter. Because property taxes were under-recovered from rate payers in prior years, MERC will receive \$4.8 million of the judgment, with any remaining amount passed back to customers through the property tax tracker that is now in place. The property tax tracker will allow for any future over- or under-recovered property tax expense to be recorded as a regulatory asset or liability. The balance in the regulatory asset or liability account will be reflected in the revenue requirement calculation in MERC's next general rate case.

2017 Compared with 2016

Operating Income

Operating income at the other states segment increased \$3.2 million during 2017, compared with 2016. The increase was primarily driven by lower operation and maintenance expense due to effective cost control measures, partially offset by higher depreciation and amortization due to an increase in capital investment.

Non-Utility Energy Infrastructure Segment Contribution to Operating Income

	Year Ended December		
	31		
(in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Operating income	\$365.8	\$400.5	\$375.6

2018 Compared with 2017

Operating income at the non-utility energy infrastructure segment decreased \$34.7 million during 2018, compared with 2017. The decrease was driven by a \$50.3 million decrease in revenue related to the Tax Legislation signed into law in December 2017. As a result of the Tax Legislation, the lease payments charged by We Power to WE were reduced to account for the lower tax rate. The reduction in the lease payments was offset by a decrease in income tax expense, resulting in no impact on net income. See Note 14, Income Taxes for more information. Partially offsetting the impact of the Tax Legislation was a \$22.0 million contribution to operating income from Bluewater in 2018, compared to an \$8.4 million contribution in 2017. Bluewater was acquired on June 30, 2017. See Note 2, Acquisitions, for more information.

2017 Compared with 2016

Operating income at the non-utility energy infrastructure segment increased \$24.9 million during 2017, compared with 2016. Bluewater, which was acquired on June 30, 2017, contributed \$8.4 million to 2017 operating income. The remaining increase of \$16.5 million was driven by higher revenues in connection with capital additions to the plants We Power owns and leases to WE.

Corporate and Other Segment Contribution to Operating Income

	Year Ended December		
	31		
(in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Operating loss	\$(22.2)	\$(13.9)	\$(9.4)

2018 Compared with 2017

The operating loss at the corporate and other segment increased \$8.3 million during 2018, compared with 2017, driven by a \$4.0 million impairment loss recorded in 2018 on certain nonregulated assets that were acquired as a part of the acquisition of Integrys. Also contributing to the increase in operating loss was the transfer of assets from WBS, our centralized services company, to

2018 Form 10-K 55 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

our regulated utilities in mid-2017 and early 2018. As a result of these transfers, the return on these assets is now recognized within our regulated utility operations.

2017 Compared with 2016

The operating loss at the corporate and other segment increased \$4.5 million during 2017, compared with 2016, driven by the transfer of assets from WBS to our regulated utilities in mid-2017. As a result of these transfers, the return on these assets is now recognized within our regulated utility operations. Partially offsetting this increase in operating loss was the impact from \$3.5 million of costs incurred in 2016 related to the acquisition of Integrys.

Electric Transmission Segment Operations

	Year Ended December 31		
(in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Equity in earnings of transmission affiliates	\$136.7	\$154.3	\$146.5

2018 Compared with 2017

Earnings from our ownership interests in transmission affiliates decreased \$17.6 million during 2018, compared with 2017, driven by the Tax Legislation signed into law in December 2017. The \$34.3 million decrease in our equity earnings from ATC due to the Tax Legislation did not affect our net income as it was offset by an equal reduction in our income tax expense. See Note 14, Income Taxes, for more information. The negative impact of the Tax Legislation was partially offset by expenses recorded in 2017 by ATC related to the refund ATC was required to provide customers as a result of its FERC financial audit. Continued capital investment by our transmission affiliates also increased our equity earnings year over year.

2017 Compared with 2016

Earnings from our ownership interests in transmission affiliates increased \$7.8 million during 2017, compared with 2016. The lower earnings during 2016 as compared to 2017 were primarily the result of an ALJ recommendation related to the FERC ROE complaints. See Factors Affecting Results, Liquidity, and Capital Resources – Other Matters – American Transmission Company Allowed Return on Equity Complaints for more information.

Consolidated Other Income, Net

	Year Ended December 31		
(in millions)	2018	2017	2016
AFUDC – Equity	\$15.2	\$11.4	\$25.1
Non-service credit (cost) components of net periodic benefit costs	26.0	9.1	(14.2)
Gain on repurchase of notes	—	—	23.6
Other, net	29.1	53.2	32.1
Other income, net	\$70.3	\$73.7	\$66.6

2018 Compared with 2017

Other income, net decreased \$3.4 million during 2018, compared with 2017. A decrease of \$23.3 million was due to \$1.8 million of net losses from investments held in our rabbi trust during 2018, compared with net gains of \$21.5 million during 2017. Partially offsetting this decrease was a \$16.9 million increase in income due to higher net credits from the non-service components of our net periodic pension and OPEB costs. See Note 18, Employee Benefits, for

more information on our benefit costs.

2017 Compared with 2016

Other income, net increased \$7.1 million during 2017, compared with 2016, driven by the year-over-year increase in income from the non-service components of our net periodic pension and OPEB costs. Also contributing to the increase were higher gains on investments held in our rabbi trust during 2017, compared with 2016. These increases were partially offset by a \$23.6 million gain recorded in February 2016 on the repurchase of a portion of Integrys's 2006 Junior Notes at a discount and lower AFUDC in 2017

2018 Form 10-K 56 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

largely due to the ReACT™ emission control technology project at Weston Unit 3 going into service during the fourth quarter of 2016.

Consolidated Interest Expense

	Year Ended December 31		
(in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Interest expense	\$445.1	\$415.7	\$402.7

2018 Compared with 2017

Interest expense increased \$29.4 million during 2018, compared with 2017. The increase was primarily due to higher debt balances and higher interest rates on both short-term and long-term debt. This increase in debt balances was primarily related to continued capital investments.

2017 Compared with 2016

Interest expense increased \$13.0 million during 2017, compared with 2016. The increase was primarily due to higher debt levels in 2017 to fund continued capital investments and lower capitalized interest during 2017, primarily as a result of the completion of the ReACT™ emission control project in 2016.

Consolidated Income Tax Expense

	Year Ended December 31		
	2018	2017	2016
Effective tax rate	13.8%	24.1%	37.6%

2018 Compared with 2017

Our effective tax rate was 13.8% in 2018, compared to 24.1% in 2017. This decrease was primarily due to the flow through of tax repairs in connection with the Wisconsin rate settlement. See Note 14, Income Taxes, and Note 24, Regulatory Environment, for more information.

We expect our 2019 annual effective tax rate to be between 10.5% and 11.5%, which includes an estimated 9.5% effective tax rate benefit due to the flow through of tax repairs in connection with the Wisconsin rate settlement. Excluding the impact of the tax repairs, the expected 2019 range would be between 20% and 21%.

2017 Compared with 2016

Our effective tax rate was 24.1% in 2017, compared to 37.6% in 2016. The 13.5% decrease in the effective tax rate was driven by a \$206.7 million one-time net reduction in income tax expense related to the revaluation of our deferred taxes primarily on our non-utility energy infrastructure and corporate and other segments at December 31, 2017, as a result of the enactment of the Tax Legislation. Our effective tax rate in 2017 excluding the one-time net reduction in income tax expense due to revaluation of our deferred taxes was 37.2%.

Table of Contents

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Cash Flows

The following table summarizes our cash flows during the years ended December 31:

(in millions)	2018	2017	2016	Change in 2018 Over 2017	Change in 2017 Over 2016
Cash provided by (used in):					
Operating activities	\$2,445.5	\$2,078.6	\$2,103.8	\$366.9	\$(25.2)
Investing activities	(2,384.4)	(2,254.1)	(1,354.2)	(130.3)	(899.9)
Financing activities	26.4	161.4	(845.7)	(135.0)	1,007.1

Operating Activities

2018 Compared with 2017

Net cash provided by operating activities increased \$366.9 million during 2018, compared with 2017, driven by:

- A \$396.1 million increase in cash related to higher overall collections from customers, primarily due to favorable weather during 2018, compared with 2017.

A \$97.5 million increase in cash from lower payments for other operation and maintenance expenses. During 2018, our payments related to plant maintenance and labor costs decreased, due in part to the retirements in 2018 of the Pleasant Prairie power plant, Edgewater Unit 4, and Pulliam Units 7 and 8. See Note 6, Property, Plant, and Equipment, for more information about the retirement of our plants. In addition, our payments for transmission costs decreased during 2018.

These increases in net cash provided by operating activities were partially offset by a \$127.6 million decrease in cash resulting from higher payments during 2018, compared with 2017, for natural gas we purchased at the end of 2017 and during 2018 to meet the requirements of our customers during the colder winter weather.

2017 Compared with 2016

Net cash provided by operating activities decreased \$25.2 million during 2017, compared with 2016, driven by:

- A \$217.9 million decrease in cash resulting from higher payments for natural gas and fuel and purchased power in 2017, primarily due to higher commodity prices. The average per-unit cost of natural gas sold increased 13.6% during 2017, compared with 2016.

- A \$91.8 million increase in contributions and payments to our pension and OPEB plans during 2017, compared with 2016.

A \$34.5 million net decrease in cash received from income taxes during 2017, compared with 2016. This decrease in cash was primarily due to the extension of bonus depreciation in December 2015, which resulted in the receipt of an income tax refund during 2016.

-

A \$26.5 million decrease in cash due to higher collateral requirements during 2017, compared with 2016, driven by a decrease in the fair value of our derivative instruments. See Note 16, Derivative Instruments, for more information.

These decreases in net cash provided by operating activities were partially offset by:

A \$158.7 million increase in cash from lower payments for operating and maintenance expenses. During 2017, our payments related to transmission, electric and natural gas distribution, charitable projects, employee benefits, and electric generation decreased.

A \$129.2 million increase in cash related to higher overall collections from customers, primarily due to higher commodity prices during 2017, compared with 2016.

2018 Form 10-K 58 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

▲ \$49.6 million increase in cash distributions provided by ATC during 2017, compared with 2016.

Investing Activities

2018 Compared with 2017

Net cash used in investing activities increased \$130.3 million during 2018, compared with 2017, driven by:

• The acquisition of a 90% ownership interest in Bishop Hill III during 2018 for \$162.9 million, which is net of restricted cash acquired of \$4.5 million. See Note 2, Acquisitions, for more information.

• A \$156.2 million increase in cash paid for capital expenditures during 2018, compared with 2017, which is discussed in more detail below.

• The acquisition of a portion of Forward Wind Energy Center during April 2018 for \$77.1 million. See Note 2, Acquisitions, for more information.

• The acquisition of an 80% ownership interest in Coyote Ridge during December 2018 for \$61.4 million. See Note 2, Acquisitions, for more information.

These increases in net cash used in investing activities were partially offset by:

• The acquisition of Bluewater during June 2017 for \$226.0 million. See Note 2, Acquisitions, for more information.

A \$56.1 million decrease in our capital contributions to ATC and ATC Holdco during 2018, compared with 2017, due to the restructuring of DATC's ownership. During the fourth quarter of 2017, ATC Holdco purchased ATC's ownership interest in DATC, which resulted in higher capital contributions during 2017. Our capital contributions also decreased due to the refunds ATC paid in 2017 as a result of the ATC ROE complaints filed with the FERC, which were partially funded by capital contributions. See Factors Affecting Results, Liquidity, and Capital Resources – Other Matters – American Transmission Company Allowed Return on Equity Complaints for more information on the ATC ROE complaints.

A \$48.6 million net increase in restricted cash during 2018, compared with 2017, due to a \$109.9 million increase in the proceeds received from the sale of investments held in the Integrys rabbi trust, partially offset by a \$61.3 million increase in the purchase of investments held in the rabbi trust.

2017 Compared with 2016

Net cash used in investing activities increased \$899.9 million during 2017, compared with 2016, driven by:

• A \$535.8 million increase in cash paid for capital expenditures during 2017, compared with 2016, which is discussed in more detail below.

• The acquisition of Bluewater during June 2017 for \$226.0 million. See Note 2, Acquisitions, for more information.

• A \$142.3 million decrease in the proceeds received from the sale of assets and businesses during 2017, compared with 2016. See Note 3, Dispositions, for more information.

•

A \$67.3 million increase in our capital contributions to ATC and ATC Holdco during 2017, compared with 2016, due to the continued investment in equipment and facilities by ATC to improve reliability and the restructuring of DATC's ownership. In addition, the refunds paid by ATC in 2017 and ATC's lower earnings in 2016, as a result of the ATC ROE complaints filed with the FERC, also contributed to the year-over-year increase in our capital contributions.

These increases in net cash used in investing activities were partially offset by a \$62.5 million increase in restricted cash during 2017, compared with 2016, due to a \$55.5 million decrease in the purchase of investments held in the Integrys rabbi trust and a \$7.0 million increase in the proceeds received from the sale of investments held in the rabbi trust.

2018 Form 10-K 59 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Capital Expenditures

Capital expenditures by segment for the years ended December 31 were as follows:

Reportable Segment (in millions)	2018	2017	2016	Change in 2018 Over 2017	Change in 2017 Over 2016
Wisconsin	\$1,389.0	\$1,152.3	\$910.9	\$236.7	\$241.4
Illinois	547.1	545.2	293.2	1.9	252.0
Other states	103.6	74.5	59.5	29.1	15.0
Non-utility energy infrastructure	36.3	35.4	62.3	0.9	(26.9)
Corporate and other	39.7	152.1	97.8	(112.4)	54.3
Total capital expenditures	\$2,115.7	\$1,959.5	\$1,423.7	\$156.2	\$535.8

2018 Compared with 2017

The increase in cash paid for capital expenditures at the Wisconsin segment during 2018, compared with 2017, was primarily driven by the construction of the new natural gas-fired generation facility in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan and an advanced metering infrastructure program. An information technology project created to improve WE's and WG's billing, call center, and credit collection functions, a natural gas lateral project at WPS's Fox Energy Center, and various other software projects also contributed to the increase in our capital expenditures.

The increase in cash paid for capital expenditures at the other states segment during 2018, compared with 2017, was primarily driven by upgrades to MERC's natural gas distribution systems.

The decrease in cash paid for capital expenditures at the corporate and other segment during 2018, compared with 2017, was primarily driven by the implementation of a new enterprise resource planning system during the first quarter of 2018. The 2017 completion of an information technology project created to improve the billing, call center, and credit collection functions of the Integrys subsidiaries reduced our capital expenditures as well. Various other software projects, the majority of which were completed during 2017, also contributed to the decrease in our capital expenditures.

See Capital Resources and Requirements – Capital Requirements – Capital Expenditures and Significant Capital Projects below for more information.

2017 Compared with 2016

The increase in cash paid for capital expenditures at the Wisconsin segment during 2017, compared with 2016, was primarily driven by upgrades to our electric and natural gas distribution systems, including main replacement projects and an advanced metering infrastructure program, as well as WPS's SMRP and various projects at the OCPP. These increases in capital expenditures were partially offset by reduced construction activity at WPS related to the ReACT™ emission control technology project at Weston Unit 3, which was completed in 2016, and the combustion turbine project at the Fox Energy Center, which was completed in June 2017.

The increase in cash paid for capital expenditures at the Illinois segment during 2017, compared with 2016, was primarily driven by increased construction activity related to PGL's SMP, its natural gas storage field, and a project to relocate one of PGL's service facilities.

The increase in cash paid for capital expenditures at the other states segment during 2017, compared with 2016, was primarily driven by upgrades to MERC's natural gas distribution systems and mains as well as the construction of an office building due to the relocation of MERC's headquarters during 2017.

The decrease in cash paid for capital expenditures at the non-utility energy infrastructure segment during 2017, compared with 2016, was primarily driven by reduced construction activity for We Power's fuel flexibility project at the Oak Creek Expansion units, which was completed during December 2017.

The increase in cash paid for capital expenditures at the corporate and other segment during 2017, compared with 2016, was primarily driven by a project to implement a new enterprise resource planning system and various other software projects.

2018 Form 10-K 60 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Financing Activities

2018 Compared with 2017

Net cash provided by financing activities decreased \$135.0 million during 2018, compared with 2017, driven by:

▲ \$798.8 million decrease in cash related to higher repayments of long-term debt during 2018, compared with 2017.

- A \$588.9 million net decrease in cash due to \$4.5 million of net repayments of commercial paper during 2018, compared with \$584.4 million of net borrowings of commercial paper during 2017.

A \$40.8 million decrease in cash due to higher dividends paid on our common stock during 2018, compared with 2017. In January 2018, our Board of Directors increased our quarterly dividend by \$0.0325 per share (6.25%) effective with the first quarter of 2018 dividend payment.

These decreases in net cash provided by financing activities were partially offset by a \$1,305.0 million increase in cash due to the issuance of more long-term debt during 2018, compared with 2017.

2017 Compared with 2016

Net cash related to financing activities increased \$1,007.1 million during 2017, compared with 2016, driven by:

• An \$819.2 million net increase in cash due to \$584.4 million of net borrowings of commercial paper during 2017, compared with \$234.8 million of net repayments of commercial paper during 2016.

• A \$151.5 million increase in cash related to lower repayments of long-term debt during 2017, compared with 2016. In February 2016, we repurchased a portion of Integrity's 2006 Junior Notes at a discount.

• A \$36.7 million increase in cash due to fewer shares of our common stock purchased during 2017, compared with 2016, to satisfy requirements of our stock-based compensation plans.

▲ \$35.0 million increase in cash due to the issuance of more long-term debt during 2017, compared with 2016.

These increases in net cash related to financing activities were partially offset by a \$31.6 million decrease in cash related to higher dividends paid on our common stock during 2017, compared with 2016. In January 2017, our Board of Directors increased our quarterly dividend by \$0.025 per share effective with the first quarter of 2017 dividend payment.

Significant Financing Activities

For more information on our financing activities, see Note 12, Short-Term Debt and Lines of Credit, and Note 13, Long-Term Debt and Capital Lease Obligations.

Capital Resources and Requirements

Capital Resources

Liquidity

We anticipate meeting our capital requirements for our existing operations through internally generated funds and short-term borrowings, supplemented by the issuance of intermediate or long-term debt securities, depending on market conditions and other factors.

We currently have access to the capital markets and have been able to generate funds internally and externally to meet our capital requirements. Our ability to attract the necessary financial capital at reasonable terms is critical to our overall strategic plan. We currently believe that we have adequate capacity to fund our operations for the foreseeable future through our existing borrowing arrangements, access to capital markets, and internally generated cash.

2018 Form 10-K 61 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

WEC Energy Group, WE, WG, WPS, and PGL maintain bank back-up credit facilities, which provide liquidity support for each company's obligations with respect to commercial paper and for general corporate purposes. We review our bank back-up credit facility needs on an ongoing basis and expect to be able to maintain adequate credit facilities to support our operations. See Note 12, Short-Term Debt and Lines of Credit, for more information about these credit facilities.

The following table shows our capitalization structure as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, as well as an adjusted capitalization structure that we believe is consistent with how a majority of the rating agencies currently view our 2007 Junior Notes:

	2018		2017	
(in millions)	Actual	Adjusted	Actual	Adjusted
Common equity	\$9,788.9	\$10,038.9	\$9,461.4	\$9,711.4
Preferred stock of subsidiary	30.4	30.4	30.4	30.4
Long-term debt (including current portion)	10,359.0	10,109.0	9,588.7	9,338.7
Short-term debt	1,440.1	1,440.1	1,444.6	1,444.6
Total capitalization	\$21,618.4	\$21,618.4	\$20,525.1	\$20,525.1
 Total debt	 \$11,799.1	 \$11,549.1	 \$11,033.3	 \$10,783.3
 Ratio of debt to total capitalization	 54.6	 % 53.4	 % 53.8	 % 52.5

Included in long-term debt on our balance sheets as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, is \$500.0 million principal amount of 2007 Junior Notes. The adjusted presentation attributes \$250.0 million of the 2007 Junior Notes to common equity and \$250.0 million to long-term debt.

The adjusted presentation of our consolidated capitalization structure is included as a complement to our capitalization structure presented in accordance with GAAP. Management evaluates and manages our capitalization structure, including our total debt to total capitalization ratio, using the GAAP calculation as adjusted by certain rating agencies' treatment of the 2007 Junior Notes. Therefore, we believe the non-GAAP adjusted presentation reflecting this treatment is useful and relevant to investors in understanding how management and the rating agencies evaluate our capitalization structure.

For a summary of the interest rate, maturity, and amount outstanding of each series of our long-term debt on a consolidated basis, see our capitalization statements.

As described in Note 10, Common Equity, certain restrictions exist on the ability of our subsidiaries to transfer funds to us. We do not expect these restrictions to have any material effect on our operations or ability to meet our cash obligations.

At December 31, 2018, we were in compliance with all covenants related to outstanding short-term and long-term debt. We expect to be in compliance with all such debt covenants for the foreseeable future. See Note 12, Short-Term Debt and Lines of Credit, for more information about our credit facilities and other short-term credit agreements. See Note 13, Long-Term Debt and Capital Lease Obligations, for more information about our long-term debt.

Working Capital

As of December 31, 2018, our current liabilities exceeded our current assets by \$1,084.1 million. We do not expect this to have any impact on our liquidity since we believe we have adequate back-up lines of credit in place for our

ongoing operations. We also believe that we can access the capital markets to finance our construction programs and to refinance current maturities of long-term debt, if necessary.

Credit Rating Risk

We do not have any credit agreements that would require material changes in payment schedules or terminations as a result of a credit rating downgrade. However, we have certain agreements in the form of commodity contracts and employee benefit plans that could require collateral or a termination payment in the event of a credit rating change to below BBB- at S&P Global Ratings and/or Baa3 at Moody's Investors Service. We also have other commodity contracts that, in the event of a credit rating downgrade, could result in a reduction of our unsecured credit granted by counterparties.

2018 Form 10-K 62 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

In addition, access to capital markets at a reasonable cost is determined in large part by credit quality. Any credit ratings downgrade could impact our ability to access capital markets.

In January 2018, Moody's downgraded the rating outlook for WG to negative from stable as a result of the new Tax Legislation. The change in rating outlook has not had, and we do not believe that it will have, a material impact on our ability to access capital markets.

In July 2018, Moody's downgraded the ratings of WEC Energy Group (senior unsecured), WECC (senior unsecured), and Integrys (senior unsecured) to Baa1 from A3. Moody's also downgraded the ratings of WEC Energy Group (junior subordinated) and Integrys (junior subordinated) to Baa2 from Baa1. Reduced cash flow due to Tax Legislation, which impacted the majority of companies in our industry, was a catalyst for the downgrade. Moody's affirmed the commercial paper ratings of WEC Energy Group (senior unsecured, P-2), and Integrys (senior unsecured, P-2) and changed the rating outlook for WEC Energy Group, WECC, and Integrys, to stable from rating under review.

Subject to other factors affecting the credit markets as a whole, we believe our current ratings should provide a significant degree of flexibility in obtaining funds on competitive terms. However, these security ratings reflect the views of the rating agency only. An explanation of the significance of these ratings may be obtained from the rating agency. Such ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold securities. Any rating can be revised upward or downward or withdrawn at any time by a rating agency.

If we are unable to successfully take actions to manage any additional adverse impacts of the Tax Legislation, or if additional interpretations, regulations, amendments or technical corrections exacerbate the adverse impacts of the Tax Legislation, the legislation could result in credit rating agencies placing our or our subsidiaries' credit ratings on negative outlook or additional downgrading of our or our subsidiaries' credit ratings. Any such actions by credit rating agencies may make it more difficult and costly for us and our subsidiaries to issue future debt securities and certain other types of financing and could increase borrowing costs under our and our subsidiaries' credit facilities.

Capital Requirements

Contractual Obligations

We have the following contractual obligations and other commercial commitments as of December 31, 2018:

(in millions)	Payments Due by Period ⁽¹⁾				
	Total	Less Than 1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	More Than 5 Years
Long-term debt obligations ⁽²⁾	\$19,244.9	\$810.8	\$2,854.8	\$820.0	\$14,759.3
Capital lease obligations ⁽³⁾	56.7	15.5	33.6	7.6	—
Operating lease obligations ⁽⁴⁾	86.9	8.7	15.5	14.0	48.7
Energy and transportation purchase obligations ⁽⁵⁾	12,002.8	1,211.9	1,926.7	1,755.2	7,109.0
Purchase orders ⁽⁶⁾	834.2	411.3	260.4	85.6	76.9
Pension and OPEB funding obligations ⁽⁷⁾	69.7	12.6	57.1	—	—
Total contractual obligations	\$32,295.2	\$2,470.8	\$5,148.1	\$2,682.4	\$21,993.9

⁽¹⁾ The amounts included in the table are calculated using current market prices, forward curves, and other estimates.

⁽²⁾ Principal and interest payments on long-term debt (excluding capital lease obligations). The interest due on our variable rate debt is based on the interest rates that were in effect on December 31, 2018.

- (3) Capital lease obligations for power purchase commitments. This amount does not include We Power leases to WE which are eliminated upon consolidation.
- (4) Operating lease obligations for office space, land, and rail car leases.
- (5) Energy and transportation purchase obligations under various contracts for the procurement of fuel, power, gas supply, and associated transportation related to utility and non-utility operations.
- (6) Purchase obligations related to normal business operations, information technology, and other services.

2018 Form 10-K 63 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

(7) Obligations for pension and OPEB plans cannot reasonably be estimated beyond 2021.

The table above does not include liabilities related to the accounting treatment for uncertainty in income taxes because we are not able to make a reasonably reliable estimate as to the amount and period of related future payments at this time. For additional information regarding these liabilities, refer to Note 14, Income Taxes.

The table above also does not reflect estimated future payments related to the manufactured gas plant remediation liability of \$616.4 million at December 31, 2018, as the amount and timing of payments are uncertain. We expect to incur costs annually to remediate these sites. See Note 22, Commitments and Contingencies, for more information about environmental liabilities.

AROs in the amount of \$461.4 million are not included in the above table. Settlement of these liabilities cannot be determined with certainty, but we believe the majority of these liabilities will be settled in more than five years. See Note 8, Asset Retirement Obligations, for more information.

Obligations for utility operations have historically been included as part of the rate-making process and therefore are generally recoverable from customers.

Capital Expenditures and Significant Capital Projects

We have several capital projects that will require significant capital expenditures over the next three years and beyond. All projected capital requirements are subject to periodic review and may vary significantly from estimates, depending on a number of factors. These factors include environmental requirements, regulatory restraints and requirements, impacts from the Tax Legislation, additional changes in tax laws and regulations, acquisition and development opportunities, market volatility, and economic trends. Our estimated capital expenditures and acquisitions for the next three years are as follows:

(in millions)	2019	2020	2021
Wisconsin	\$1,344.9	\$1,677.5	\$1,559.1
Illinois	765.2	684.0	602.4
Other states	155.4	135.8	105.5
Non-utility energy infrastructure	424.2	418.8	242.8
Corporate and other	15.7	11.0	1.1
Total	\$2,705.4	\$2,927.1	\$2,510.9

WPS is continuing work on the SMRP. This project includes modernizing parts of its electric distribution system, including burying or upgrading lines. The project focuses on constructing facilities to improve the reliability of electric service WPS provides to its customers. WPS expects to invest approximately \$185 million between 2019 and 2022 on this project. WE, WPS, and WG will also continue to upgrade their electric and natural gas distribution systems to enhance reliability. These upgrades include the advanced metering infrastructure (AMI) program. AMI is an integrated system of smart meters, communication networks and data management systems that enable two-way communication between utilities and customers.

As part of our commitment to invest in zero-carbon generation, we plan to invest in utility scale solar of up to 350 MW within our Wisconsin segment. WPS has partnered with an unaffiliated utility to acquire ownership interests in two proposed solar projects in Wisconsin. Badger Hollow Solar Farm will be located in Iowa County, Wisconsin, and Two Creeks Solar Project will be located in Manitowoc County, Wisconsin. WPS will own 100 MW of the output of each project for a total of 200 MW. WPS's share of the cost of both projects is estimated to be \$260 million. Subject to the receipt of PSCW approval, commercial operation for both projects is targeted for the end of 2020. Solar generation technology has greatly improved, has become more cost-effective, and it complements our summer demand curve.

In connection with the formation of UMER, we entered into an agreement with Tilden under which it will purchase electric power from UMER for 20 years, contingent upon UMER's construction of approximately 180 MW of natural gas-fired generation in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan. The new generation is expected to begin commercial operation during the second quarter of 2019. The estimated cost of this project is approximately \$266 million (\$277 million with AFUDC), 50% of which is expected to be recovered from Tilden, with the remaining 50% expected to be recovered from UMER's other utility customers.

PGL is continuing work on the SMP, a project under which PGL is replacing approximately 2,000 miles of Chicago's aging natural gas pipeline infrastructure. PGL currently recovers these costs through a surcharge on customer bills pursuant to an ICC approved QIP

2018 Form 10-K 64 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

rider, which is in effect through 2023. PGL's projected average annual investment through 2021 is between \$280 million and \$300 million. See Note 24, Regulatory Environment, for more information on the SMP.

The non-utility energy infrastructure segment includes our investments in Bishop Hill III, Coyote Ridge, and Upstream. See Note 2, Acquisitions, for more information on these wind projects.

We expect to provide capital contributions to ATC and ATC Holdco (not included in the above table) of approximately \$185 million from 2019 through 2021.

Common Stock Matters

For information related to our common stock matters, see Note 10, Common Equity.

On January 17, 2019, our Board of Directors increased our quarterly dividend to \$0.59 per share effective with the first quarter of 2019 dividend payment, which equates to an annual dividend of \$2.36 per share. In addition, the Board of Directors affirmed our dividend policy that continues to target a dividend payout ratio of 65-70% of earnings.

Investments in Outside Trusts

We use outside trusts to fund our pension and certain OPEB obligations. These trusts had investments of approximately \$3.5 billion as of December 31, 2018. These trusts hold investments that are subject to the volatility of the stock market and interest rates. We contributed \$77.6 million, \$120.5 million, and \$28.7 million to our pension and OPEB plans in 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively. Future contributions to the plans will be dependent upon many factors, including the performance of existing plan assets and long-term discount rates. For additional information, see Note 18, Employee Benefits.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We are a party to various financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk as a part of our normal course of business, including financial guarantees and letters of credit that support construction projects, commodity contracts, and other payment obligations. We believe that these agreements do not have, and are not reasonably likely to have, a current or future material effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures, or capital resources. For additional information, see Note 12, Short-Term Debt and Lines of Credit, Note 17, Guarantees, and Note 21, Variable Interest Entities.

FACTORS AFFECTING RESULTS, LIQUIDITY, AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Market Risks and Other Significant Risks

We are exposed to market and other significant risks as a result of the nature of our businesses and the environments in which those businesses operate. These risks, described in further detail below, include but are not limited to:

Regulatory Recovery

Our utilities account for their regulated operations in accordance with accounting guidance under the Regulated Operations Topic of the FASB ASC. Our rates are determined by various regulatory commissions. See Item 1. Business – D. Regulation for more information on these commissions.

Regulated entities are allowed to defer certain costs that would otherwise be charged to expense if the regulated entity believes the recovery of those costs is probable. We record regulatory assets pursuant to specific orders or by a generic order issued by our regulators. Recovery of the deferred costs in future rates is subject to the review and approval by those regulators. We assume the risks and benefits of ultimate recovery of these items in future rates. If the recovery of the deferred costs, including those referenced below, is not approved by our regulators, the costs would be charged to income in the current period. In general, our regulatory assets are recovered over a period of between one to six years. Regulators can impose liabilities on a prospective basis for amounts previously collected from customers and for amounts that are expected to be refunded to customers. We record these items as regulatory liabilities. As of December 31, 2018, our regulatory assets were \$3,855.8 million, and our regulatory liabilities were \$4,288.4 million.

2018 Form 10-K 65 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Due to the Tax Legislation signed into law in December 2017, our regulated utilities remeasured their deferred taxes and recorded a tax benefit of \$2,450 million. Our utilities have been returning this tax benefit to ratepayers through refunds, bill credits, riders, and reductions to other regulatory assets, which we expect to continue. See Note 14, Income Taxes, and Note 24, Regulatory Environment, for more information.

We expect to request or have requested recovery of the costs related to the following projects discussed in recent or pending rate proceedings, orders, and investigations involving our utilities:

In June 2016, the PSCW approved the deferral of costs related to WPS's ReACT™ project above the originally authorized \$275.0 million level through 2017. The total cost of the ReACT™ project, excluding \$51 million of AFUDC, was \$342 million. In September 2017, the PSCW approved an extension of this deferral through 2019 as part of a settlement agreement. See Note 24, Regulatory Environment, for more information. WPS will be required to obtain a separate approval for collection of these deferred costs in a future rate case.

Prior to its acquisition by us, Integrys initiated an information technology project with the goal of improving the customer experience at its subsidiaries. Specifically, the project is expected to provide functional and technological benefits to the billing, call center, and credit collection functions. As of December 31, 2018, we had not received any significant disallowances of the costs incurred for this project. We will be required to obtain approval for the recovery of additional costs incurred through the completion of this long-term project.

In January 2014, the ICC approved PGL's use of the QIP rider as a recovery mechanism for costs incurred related to investments in QIP. This rider is subject to an annual reconciliation whereby costs are reviewed for accuracy and prudence. In March 2018, PGL filed its 2017 reconciliation with the ICC, which, along with the 2016 and 2015 reconciliations, are still pending. In 2018, PGL agreed to a settlement of the 2014 reconciliation, which included a rate base reduction of \$5.4 million and a \$4.7 million refund to ratepayers. As of December 31, 2018, there can be no assurance that all costs incurred under the QIP rider during the open reconciliation years will be deemed recoverable by the ICC.

See Note 24, Regulatory Environment, for more information regarding recent and pending rate proceedings, orders, and investigations involving our utilities.

Commodity Costs

In the normal course of providing energy, we are subject to market fluctuations in the costs of coal, natural gas, purchased power, and fuel oil used in the delivery of coal. We manage our fuel and natural gas supply costs through a portfolio of short and long-term procurement contracts with various suppliers for the purchase of coal, natural gas, and fuel oil. In addition, we manage the risk of price volatility through natural gas and electric hedging programs.

Embedded within our utilities' rates are amounts to recover fuel, natural gas, and purchased power costs. Our utilities have recovery mechanisms in place that allow them to recover or refund all or a portion of the changes in prudently incurred fuel, natural gas, and purchased power costs from rate case-approved amounts. See Item 1. Business – D. Regulation for more information on these mechanisms.

Higher commodity costs can increase our working capital requirements, result in higher gross receipts taxes, and lead to increased energy efficiency investments by our customers to reduce utility usage and/or fuel substitution. Higher commodity costs combined with slower economic conditions also expose us to greater risks of accounts receivable write-offs as more customers are unable to pay their bills. See Note 1(d), Operating Revenues, for more information on riders and other mechanisms that allow for cost recovery or refund of uncollectible expense.

Weather

Our utilities' rates are based upon estimated normal temperatures. Our electric utility margins are unfavorably sensitive to below normal temperatures during the summer cooling season and, to some extent, to above normal temperatures during the winter heating season. Our natural gas utility margins are unfavorably sensitive to above normal temperatures during the winter heating season. PGL, NSG, and MERC have decoupling mechanisms in place that help reduce the impacts of weather. Decoupling mechanisms differ by state and allow utilities to recover or refund certain differences between actual and authorized margins. A

2018 Form 10-K 66WEC Energy Group, Inc.

summary of actual weather information in our utilities' service territories during 2018, 2017, and 2016, as measured by degree days, may be found in Results of Operations.

Interest Rates

We are exposed to interest rate risk resulting from our short-term and long-term borrowings and projected near-term debt financing needs. We manage exposure to interest rate risk by limiting the amount of our variable rate obligations and continually monitoring the effects of market changes on interest rates. When it is advantageous to do so, we enter into long-term fixed rate debt. We may also enter into derivative financial instruments, such as swaps, to mitigate interest rate exposure.

Based on the variable rate debt outstanding at December 31, 2018, and December 31, 2017, a hypothetical increase in market interest rates of one percentage point would have increased annual interest expense by \$16.9 million and \$20.6 million in 2018 and 2017, respectively. This sensitivity analysis was performed assuming a constant level of variable rate debt during the period and an immediate increase in interest rates, with no other changes for the remainder of the period.

Marketable Securities Return

We use various trusts to fund our pension and OPEB obligations. These trusts invest in debt and equity securities. Changes in the market prices of these assets can affect future pension and OPEB expenses. Additionally, future contributions can also be affected by the investment returns on trust fund assets. We believe that the financial risks associated with investment returns would be partially mitigated through future rate actions by our various utility regulators.

The fair value of our trust fund assets and expected long-term returns were approximately:

	As of	Expected
(in millions)	December	Return
	31, 2018	on
		Assets in
		2019
Pension trust funds	\$ 2,690.8	7.12 %
OPEB trust funds	\$ 771.7	7.25 %

Fiduciary oversight of the pension and OPEB trust fund investments is the responsibility of an Investment Trust Policy Committee. The Committee works with external actuaries and investment consultants on an ongoing basis to establish and monitor investment strategies and target asset allocations. Forecasted cash flows for plan liabilities are regularly updated based on annual valuation results. Target asset allocations are determined utilizing projected benefit payment cash flows and risk analyses of appropriate investments. The targeted asset allocations are intended to reduce risk, provide long-term financial stability for the plans, and maintain funded levels which meet long-term plan obligations while preserving sufficient liquidity for near-term benefit payments. Investment strategies utilize a wide diversification of asset types and qualified external investment managers.

We consult with our investment advisors on an annual basis to help us forecast expected long-term returns on plan assets by reviewing actual historical returns and calculating expected total trust returns using the weighted-average of long-term market returns for each of the major target asset categories utilized in the funds.

Economic Conditions

We have electric and natural gas utility operations that serve customers in Wisconsin, Illinois, Michigan, and Minnesota. As such, we are exposed to market risks in the regional Midwest economy. In addition, any economic downturn or disruption of national or international markets could adversely affect the financial condition of our customers and demand for their products, which could affect their demand for our products.

Inflation

We continue to monitor the impact of inflation, especially with respect to the costs of medical plans, fuel, transmission access, construction costs, and regulatory and environmental compliance in order to minimize its effects in future years through pricing strategies, productivity improvements, and cost reductions. We do not believe the impact of general inflation will have a material impact on our future results of operations.

2018 Form 10-K 67 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

For additional information concerning risk factors, including market risks, see the Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Information at the beginning of this report and Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Competitive Markets

Electric Utility Industry

The regulated energy industry continues to experience significant changes. The FERC continues to support large RTOs, which affects the structure of the wholesale market. To this end, MISO implemented the MISO Energy Markets, including the use of LMP to value electric transmission congestion and losses. Increased competition in the retail and wholesale markets, which may result from restructuring efforts, could have a significant and adverse financial impact on us. It is uncertain when, if at all, retail choice might be implemented in Wisconsin. However, Michigan has adopted a limited retail choice program.

Wisconsin

Electric utility revenues in Wisconsin are regulated by the PSCW. The PSCW continues to maintain the position that the question of whether to implement electric retail competition in Wisconsin should ultimately be decided by the Wisconsin legislature. No such legislation has been introduced in Wisconsin to date.

Michigan

Under Michigan law, our retail customers may choose an alternative electric supplier to provide power supply service. As a result, some of our small retail customers have switched to an alternative electric supplier. At December 31, 2018, Michigan law limited customer choice to 10% of an electric utility's Michigan retail load, but this cap could potentially be reduced in future years due to the December 2016 passage of Michigan Act 341. Based on current law, our iron ore mine customer, Tilden, is exempt from the 10% cap. In addition, certain load increases by facilities already using an alternative electric supplier can still be serviced by their alternative electric supplier, when various conditions exist, even if the cap has already been met. When a customer switches to an alternative electric supplier, we continue to provide distribution and customer service functions for the customer.

Natural Gas Utility Industry

We offer natural gas transportation services to our customers that elect to purchase natural gas from an alternative retail natural gas supplier. Since these transportation customers continue to use our distribution systems to transport natural gas to their facilities, we earn distribution revenues from them. As such, there is little impact on our net income from customers purchasing natural gas from an alternative retail natural gas supplier as natural gas costs are passed through to customers in rates on a one-for-one basis.

Wisconsin

The PSCW previously instituted generic proceedings to consider how its regulation of natural gas distribution utilities should change to reflect a competitive environment in the natural gas industry. To date, the PSCW has made a policy decision to provide customer classes with competitive markets the option to choose an alternative retail natural gas supplier. The PSCW has also adopted standards for transactions between a utility and its natural gas marketing affiliates. All of our Wisconsin customer classes have competitive market choices and, therefore, can purchase natural gas directly from either an alternative retail natural gas supplier or their local natural gas utility. We are currently unable to predict the impact of potential future industry restructuring on our results of operations or financial position.

Illinois

Since 2002, PGL and NSG have provided their customers with the option to choose an alternative retail natural gas supplier. We are not required by the ICC or state law to make this option available to customers, but since this option is currently provided to our Illinois customers, we would need ICC approval to eliminate it.

2018 Form 10-K 68WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Minnesota

MERC has provided its commercial and industrial customers with the option to choose an alternative retail natural gas supplier since 2006. We are not required by the MPUC or state law to make this option available to customers, but since this option is currently provided to our Minnesota commercial and industrial customers, we would need MPUC approval to eliminate it.

Michigan

The option to choose an alternative retail natural gas supplier has been provided to UMEREC's customers (formerly WPS's Michigan customers) since the late 1990s and MGU's customers since 2005. We are not required by the MPSC or state law to make this option available to customers, but since this option is currently provided to our Michigan customers, we would need MPSC approval to eliminate it.

Environmental Matters

See Note 22, Commitments and Contingencies, for a discussion of certain environmental matters affecting us, including rules and regulations relating to air quality, water quality, land quality, and climate change.

Other Matters

Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017

In December 2017, the Tax Legislation was signed into law. The PSCW and the MPSC have issued written orders regarding how to refund certain tax savings from the Tax Legislation to ratepayers in Wisconsin and Michigan, respectively, and the ICC has approved the VITA in Illinois. In Minnesota, the MPUC addressed the various impacts of the Tax Legislation in MERC's 2018 rate case. We are also working with the FERC to modify our formula rate tariffs for the impacts of the Tax Legislation, and we expect to receive FERC approval for the modified tariffs in 2019. See Note 24, Regulatory Environment, for more information.

American Transmission Company Allowed Return on Equity Complaints

In November 2013, a group of MISO industrial customer organizations filed a complaint with the FERC requesting to reduce the base ROE used by MISO transmission owners, including ATC, from 12.2% to 9.15%. In October 2014, the FERC issued an order to hear the complaint on ROE and set a refund effective date retroactive to November 2013. In December 2015, the ALJ issued an initial decision recommending that ATC and all other MISO transmission owners be authorized to collect a base ROE of 10.32%, as well as the 0.5% incentive adder approved by the FERC in January 2015 for MISO transmission owners. The incentive adder only applies to revenues collected after January 6, 2015. In September 2016, the FERC issued an order related to this complaint affirming the use of the ROE stated in the ALJ's initial decision, effective as of the order date, on a going-forward basis. The order also required ATC to provide refunds, with interest, for the 15-month refund period from November 12, 2013, through February 11, 2015. The \$28.3 million refund that ATC provided to WE and WPS for transmission costs paid during the refund period reduced the regulatory assets recorded under the PSCW-approved escrow accounting for transmission expense and resulted in a net regulatory liability for WPS.

In February 2015, a second complaint was filed with the FERC requesting a reduction in the base ROE used by MISO transmission owners, including ATC, to 8.67%, with a refund effective date retroactive to February 12, 2015. In June 2016, the ALJ issued an initial decision recommending that ATC and all other MISO transmission owners be authorized to collect a base ROE of 9.7%, as well as the 0.5% incentive adder approved for MISO transmission

owners. The ALJ's initial decision is not binding on the FERC and applies to revenues collected from February 12, 2015, through May 11, 2016. We are uncertain when a FERC order related to this matter will be issued.

The MISO transmission owners have filed various appeals related to several of the FERC orders with the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals as well as requests for rehearing.

In November 2018, the FERC issued an order directing MISO transmission owners, including ATC, to submit briefs on a proposed change to the methodology used to calculate their base ROE. If the proposed methodology is approved, ATC's base ROE for the period from November 12, 2013 through February 11, 2015 would be 10.28% instead of the 10.32% approved by the FERC in September 2016. The proposed methodology would also impact the second complaint filed in February 2015 and ATC's base ROE going forward. We are uncertain when a final FERC order related to the proposed methodology will be issued.

2018 Form 10-K 69 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Bonus Depreciation Provisions

Bonus depreciation is an additional amount of first-year tax deductible depreciation that is awarded above what would normally be available. The bonus depreciation deduction available for public utility property subject to rate-making by a government entity or public utility commission was modified by the Tax Legislation signed into law on December 22, 2017. Based on the provisions of the Tax Legislation, bonus depreciation can no longer be deducted for public utility property acquired and placed in service after December 31, 2017. The provisions of the Tax Legislation regarding the repeal of bonus depreciation do not apply to some of our non-utility investments.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Preparation of financial statements and related disclosures in compliance with GAAP requires the application of appropriate technical accounting rules and guidance as well as the use of estimates. The application of these policies necessarily involves judgments regarding future events, including the likelihood of success of particular projects, legal and regulatory challenges, and anticipated recovery of costs. These judgments, in and of themselves, could materially impact the financial statements and disclosures based on varying assumptions. In addition, the financial and operating environment may also have a significant effect, not only on the operation of our business, but on our results reported through the application of accounting measures used in preparing the financial statements and related disclosures, even if the nature of the accounting policies applied have not changed.

The following is a list of accounting policies that are most significant to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations and that require management's most difficult, subjective, or complex judgments.

Goodwill

We completed our annual goodwill impairment tests for all of our reporting units that carried a goodwill balance as of July 1, 2018. No impairments were recorded as a result of these tests. For all of our reporting units, the fair values calculated in step one of the test were greater than their carrying values. The fair values for the reporting units were calculated using a combination of the income approach and the market approach.

For the income approach, we used internal forecasts to project cash flows. Any forecast contains a degree of uncertainty, and changes in these cash flows could significantly increase or decrease the calculated fair value of a reporting unit. Since all of our reporting units containing goodwill are regulated, a fair recovery of and return on costs prudently incurred to serve customers is assumed. An unfavorable outcome in a rate case could cause the fair values of our reporting units to decrease.

Key assumptions used in the income approach include ROEs, long-term growth rates used to determine terminal values at the end of the discrete forecast period, and discount rates. The discount rate is applied to estimated future cash flows and is one of the most significant assumptions used to determine fair value under the income approach. As interest rates rise, the calculated fair values will decrease. The discount rate is based on the weighted-average cost of capital for each reporting unit, taking into account both the after-tax cost of debt and cost of equity. The terminal year ROE for each utility is driven by its current allowed ROE. The terminal growth rate is based primarily on a combination of historical and forecasted statistics for real gross domestic product and personal income for each utility service area.

For the market approach, we used an equal weighting of the guideline public company method and the guideline merged and acquired company method. The guideline public company method uses financial metrics from similar publicly traded companies to determine fair value. The guideline merged and acquired company method calculates fair

value by analyzing the actual prices paid for recent mergers and acquisitions in the industry. We applied multiples derived from these two methods to the appropriate operating metrics for our reporting units to determine fair value.

The underlying assumptions and estimates used in the impairment tests were made as of a point in time. Subsequent changes in these assumptions and estimates could change the results of the tests.

For all of our reporting units, fair value exceeded carrying value by over 50%. Based on these results, our reporting units are not at risk of failing step one of the goodwill impairment test.

2018 Form 10-K 70WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Our reporting units had the following goodwill balances at July 1, 2018:

(in millions, except percentages)	Goodwill	Percentage	
		of Total Goodwill	
Wisconsin	\$2,104.3	68.9	%
Illinois	758.7	24.9	%
Other states	183.2	6.0	%
Bluewater	6.6	0.2	%
Total goodwill	\$3,052.8	100.0	%

See Note 9, Goodwill, for more information.

Long-Lived Assets

In accordance with ASC 360, Property, Plant, and Equipment, we periodically assess the recoverability of certain long-lived assets when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of those long-lived assets may not be recoverable. Examples of events or changes in circumstances include, but are not limited to, a significant decrease in the market price, a significant change in use, adverse legal factors or a change in business climate, operating or cash flow losses, or an expectation that the asset might be sold. These assessments require significant assumptions and judgments by management. The long-lived assets assessed for impairment generally include certain assets within regulated operations that may not be fully recovered from our customers as a result of regulatory decisions that will be made in the future, and assets within nonregulated operations that are proposed to be sold or are currently generating operating losses.

We have evaluated future plans for our older and less efficient fossil fuel generating units and have either retired or announced the retirement of certain generating units. In accordance with ASC 980-360, Regulated Operations – Property, Plant, and Equipment, when it becomes probable that a generating unit will be retired before the end of its useful life, we assess whether the generating unit meets the criteria for abandonment accounting. Generating units that are considered probable of abandonment are expected to cease operations in the near term, significantly before the end of their original estimated useful lives. As a result, the remaining net book value of these assets can be significant. If a generating unit meets applicable criteria to be considered probable of abandonment, and the unit has been abandoned, we assess the likelihood of recovery of the remaining carrying value of that generating unit at the end of each reporting period. If it becomes probable that regulators will disallow full recovery as well as a return on the remaining net book value of a generating unit that is either abandoned or probable of being abandoned, an impairment loss may be required. An impairment loss would be recorded if the remaining carrying value of the generating unit is greater than the present value of the amount expected to be recovered from ratepayers.

Pleasant Prairie power plant, Pulliam Units 7 and 8, and the jointly-owned Edgewater 4 generating unit were retired during 2018. PIPP continued to meet the criteria to be considered probable of abandonment as of December 31, 2018. We plan to ask for full cost recovery of and a full return on the remaining book value of these generating units and have concluded that no impairment was required related to these assets as of December 31, 2018. See Note 6, Property, Plant, and Equipment, for more information on our retired generating units, including various approvals we have received from the FERC.

Pension and Other Postretirement Employee Benefits

The costs of providing non-contributory defined pension benefits and OPEB, described in Note 18, Employee Benefits, are dependent upon numerous factors resulting from actual plan experience and assumptions of future experience.

Pension and OPEB costs are impacted by actual employee demographics (including age, compensation levels, and employment periods), the level of contributions made to the plans, and earnings on plan assets. Pension and OPEB costs may also be significantly affected by changes in key actuarial assumptions, including anticipated rates of return on plan assets, mortality and discount rates, and expected health care cost trends. Changes made to the plan provisions may also impact current and future pension and OPEB costs.

Pension and OPEB plan assets are primarily made up of equity and fixed income investments. Fluctuations in actual equity and fixed income market returns, as well as changes in general interest rates, may result in increased or decreased benefit costs in future periods. We believe that such changes in costs would be recovered or refunded at our utilities through the rate-making process.

2018 Form 10-K 71 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

The following table shows how a given change in certain actuarial assumptions would impact the projected benefit obligation and the reported net periodic pension cost. Each factor below reflects an evaluation of the change based on a change in that assumption only.

Actuarial Assumption (in millions, except percentages)	Percentage-Point Change in Assumption	Impact on Projected Benefit Obligation	Impact on 2018 Pension Cost
Discount rate	(0.5)	\$ 178.3	\$ 19.9
Discount rate	0.5	(159.8)	(13.6)
Rate of return on plan assets	(0.5)	N/A	13.6
Rate of return on plan assets	0.5	N/A	(13.6)

The following table shows how a given change in certain actuarial assumptions would impact the accumulated OPEB obligation and the reported net periodic OPEB cost. Each factor below reflects an evaluation of the change based on a change in that assumption only.

Actuarial Assumption (in millions, except percentages)	Percentage-Point Change in Assumption	Impact on Postretirement Benefit Obligation	Impact on 2018 Postretirement Benefit Cost
Discount rate	(0.5)	\$ 36.6	\$ 2.8
Discount rate	0.5	(33.0)	(1.1)
Health care cost trend rate	(0.5)	(18.9)	(3.9)
Health care cost trend rate	0.5	21.7	4.5
Rate of return on plan assets	(0.5)	N/A	4.1
Rate of return on plan assets	0.5	N/A	(4.1)

The discount rates are selected based on hypothetical bond portfolios consisting of noncallable (or callable with make-whole provisions), noncollateralized, high-quality corporate bonds across the full maturity spectrum. The bonds are generally rated "Aa" with a minimum amount outstanding of \$50.0 million. From the hypothetical bond portfolios, a single rate is determined that equates the market value of the bonds purchased to the discounted value of the plans' expected future benefit payments.

We establish our expected return on assets based on consideration of historical and projected asset class returns, as well as the target allocations of the benefit trust portfolios. The assumed long-term rate of return on pension plan assets was 7.12%, 7.11%, and 7.12%, in 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively. The actual rate of return on pension plan assets, net of fees, was (4.30)%, 13.74%, and 7.75%, in 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively.

In selecting assumed health care cost trend rates, past performance and forecasts of health care costs are considered. For more information on health care cost trend rates and a table showing future payments that we expect to make for our pension and OPEB, see Note 18, Employee Benefits.

Regulatory Accounting

Our utility operations follow the guidance under the Regulated Operations Topic of the FASB ASC. Our financial statements reflect the effects of the rate-making principles followed by the various jurisdictions regulating us. Certain items that would otherwise be immediately recognized as revenues and expenses are deferred as regulatory assets and regulatory liabilities for future recovery or refund to customers, as authorized by our regulators.

Future recovery of regulatory assets is not assured and is generally subject to review by regulators in rate proceedings for matters such as prudence and reasonableness. Once approved, the regulatory assets and liabilities are amortized into earnings over the rate recovery period. If recovery or refund of costs is not approved or is no longer considered probable, these regulatory assets or liabilities are recognized in current period earnings. Management regularly assesses whether these regulatory assets and liabilities are probable of future recovery or refund by considering factors such as changes in the regulatory environment, earnings from our electric and natural gas utility operations, and the status of any pending or potential deregulation legislation.

The application of the Regulated Operations Topic of the FASB ASC would be discontinued if all or a separable portion of our utility operations no longer met the criteria for application. Our regulatory assets and liabilities would be written off to income as an unusual or infrequently occurring item in the period in which discontinuation occurred. As of December 31, 2018, we had

2018 Form 10-K 72 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

\$3,855.8 million in regulatory assets and \$4,288.4 million in regulatory liabilities. See Note 5, Regulatory Assets and Liabilities, for more information.

Unbilled Revenues

We record utility operating revenues when energy is delivered to our customers. However, the determination of energy sales to individual customers is based upon the reading of their meters, which occurs on a systematic basis throughout the month. At the end of each month, amounts of energy delivered to customers since the date of their last meter reading are estimated and corresponding unbilled revenues are calculated. This unbilled revenue is estimated each month based upon actual generation and throughput volumes, recorded sales, estimated customer usage by class, weather factors, estimated line losses, and applicable customer rates. Significant fluctuations in energy demand for the unbilled period or changes in the composition of customer classes could impact the accuracy of the unbilled revenue estimate. Total utility operating revenues during 2018 of approximately \$7.6 billion included accrued utility revenues of \$497.7 million as of December 31, 2018.

Income Tax Expense

We are required to estimate income taxes for each of the jurisdictions in which we operate as part of the process of preparing consolidated financial statements. This process involves estimating current income tax liabilities together with assessing temporary differences resulting from differing treatment of items, such as depreciation, for income tax and accounting purposes. These differences result in deferred income tax assets and liabilities, which are included within our balance sheets. We also assess the likelihood that our deferred income tax assets will be recovered through future taxable income. To the extent we believe that realization is not likely, we establish a valuation allowance, which is offset by an adjustment to income tax expense in our income statements.

Uncertainty associated with the application of tax statutes and regulations and the outcomes of tax audits and appeals requires that judgments and estimates be made in the accrual process and in the calculation of effective tax rates. Only income tax benefits that meet the "more likely than not" recognition threshold may be recognized or continue to be recognized. Unrecognized tax benefits are re-evaluated quarterly and changes are recorded based on new information, including the issuance of relevant guidance by the courts or tax authorities and developments occurring in the examinations of our tax returns.

Significant management judgment is required in determining our provision for income taxes, deferred income tax assets and liabilities, the liability for unrecognized tax benefits, and any valuation allowance recorded against deferred income tax assets. The assumptions involved are supported by historical data, reasonable projections, and interpretations of applicable tax laws and regulations across multiple taxing jurisdictions. Significant changes in these assumptions could have a material impact on our financial condition and results of operations. See Note 1(m), Income Taxes, and Note 14, Income Taxes, for a discussion of accounting for income taxes.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

See Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Factors Affecting Results, Liquidity, and Capital Resources – Market Risks and Other Significant Risks, as well as Note 1(n), Fair Value Measurements, Note 1(o), Derivative Instruments, and Note 17, Guarantees, for information concerning potential market risks to which we are exposed.

Table of Contents

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

A. REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the shareholders and the Board of Directors of WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Opinion on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and statements of capitalization of WEC Energy Group, Inc. and subsidiaries (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, equity, and cash flows, for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2018, and the related notes and the schedules listed in the Index at Item 15 (collectively referred to as the “financial statements”). In our opinion, the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2018, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB), the Company’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2018, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 26, 2019, expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company’s internal control over financial reporting.

Basis for Opinion

These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company’s financial statements based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the PCAOB and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. Our audits included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Milwaukee, Wisconsin
February 26, 2019

We have served as the Company's auditor since 2002.

2018 Form 10-K 74 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

A. REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the shareholders and the Board of Directors of WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Opinion on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of WEC Energy Group, Inc. and subsidiaries (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2018, based on the criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2018, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework (2013) issued by COSO.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB), the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules as of and for the year ended December 31, 2018, of the Company and our report dated February 26, 2019, expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules.

Basis for Opinion

The Company’s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management’s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company’s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit. We are a public accounting firm registered with the PCAOB and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Definition and Limitations of Internal Control over Financial Reporting

A company’s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company’s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company’s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may

deteriorate.

/s/DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Milwaukee, Wisconsin
February 26, 2019

2018 Form 10-K 75 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

B. CONSOLIDATED INCOME STATEMENTS

Year Ended December 31

(in millions, except per share amounts)

	2018	2017	2016
Operating revenues	\$7,679.5	\$7,648.5	\$7,472.3
Operating expenses			
Cost of sales	2,897.9	2,822.8	2,647.4
Other operation and maintenance	2,270.5	2,056.1	2,171.3
Depreciation and amortization	845.8	798.6	762.6
Property and revenue taxes	196.9	194.9	194.7
Total operating expenses	6,211.1	5,872.4	5,776.0
Operating income	1,468.4	1,776.1	1,696.3
Equity in earnings of transmission affiliates	136.7	154.3	146.5
Other income, net	70.3	73.7	66.6
Interest expense	445.1	415.7	402.7
Other expense	(238.1)	(187.7)	(189.6)
Income before income taxes	1,230.3	1,588.4	1,506.7
Income tax expense	169.8	383.5	566.5
Net income	1,060.5	1,204.9	940.2
Preferred stock dividends of subsidiary	1.2	1.2	1.2
Net income attributed to common shareholders	\$1,059.3	\$1,203.7	\$939.0
Earnings per share			
Basic	\$3.36	\$3.81	\$2.98
Diluted	\$3.34	\$3.79	\$2.96
Weighted average common shares outstanding			
Basic	315.5	315.6	315.6
Diluted	316.9	317.2	316.9

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these financial statements.

2018 Form 10-K 76WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

C. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Year Ended December 31

(in millions)

	2018	2017	2016
Net income	\$1,060.5	\$1,204.9	\$940.2
Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax			
Derivatives accounted for as cash flow hedges			
Net derivative losses, net of tax	(2.1) —	—
Reclassification of net gains to net income, net of tax	(1.2) (1.3) (1.3)
Cumulative effect adjustment from adoption of ASU 2018-02	1.6	—	—
Cash flow hedges, net	(1.7) (1.3) (1.3)
Defined benefit plans			
Pension and OPEB adjustments arising during the period, net of tax of \$(1.2), \$0.6, and \$0.1, respectively	(3.1) 0.9	(0.8)
Amortization of pension and OPEB costs included in net periodic benefit cost, net of tax	0.3	0.4	0.4
Cumulative effect adjustment from adoption of ASU 2018-02	(1.0) —	—
Defined benefit plans, net	(3.8) 1.3	(0.4)
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax	(5.5) —	(1.7)
Comprehensive income	1,055.0	1,204.9	938.5
Preferred stock dividends of subsidiary	1.2	1.2	1.2
Comprehensive income attributed to common shareholders	\$1,053.8	\$1,203.7	\$937.3

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these financial statements.

2018 Form 10-K 77 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

D. CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

At December 31		
(in millions, except share and per share amounts)	2018	2017
Assets		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$84.5	\$38.9
Accounts receivable and unbilled revenues, net of reserves of \$149.2 and \$143.2, respectively	1,280.9	1,350.7
Materials, supplies, and inventories	548.2	539.0
Prepayments	256.8	210.0
Other	77.2	74.9
Current assets	2,247.6	2,213.5
Long-term assets		
Property, plant, and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$8,515.9 and \$8,618.5, respectively	22,000.9	21,347.0
Regulatory assets	3,805.1	2,803.2
Equity investment in transmission affiliates	1,665.3	1,553.4
Goodwill	3,052.8	3,053.5
Other	704.1	619.9
Long-term assets	31,228.2	29,377.0
Total assets	\$33,475.8	\$31,590.5
Liabilities and Equity		
Current liabilities		
Short-term debt	\$1,440.1	\$1,444.6
Current portion of long-term debt	365.0	842.1
Accounts payable	876.4	859.9
Accrued payroll and benefits	185.4	169.1
Other	464.8	553.6
Current liabilities	3,331.7	3,869.3
Long-term liabilities		
Long-term debt	9,994.0	8,746.6
Deferred income taxes	3,388.1	2,999.8
Deferred revenue, net	520.4	543.3
Regulatory liabilities	4,251.6	3,718.6
Environmental remediation liabilities	616.4	617.4
Pension and OPEB obligations	422.8	397.4
Other	1,108.1	1,206.3
Long-term liabilities	20,301.4	18,229.4
Commitments and contingencies (Note 22)		
Common shareholders' equity		
Common stock – \$0.01 par value; 325,000,000 shares authorized; 315,523,192 and 315,574,624 shares outstanding, respectively	3.2	3.2
Additional paid in capital	4,250.1	4,278.5
Retained earnings	5,538.2	5,176.8

Edgar Filing: WEC ENERGY GROUP, INC. - Form 10-K

Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	(2.6) 2.9
Common shareholders' equity	9,788.9	9,461.4
Preferred stock of subsidiary	30.4	30.4
Noncontrolling interests	23.4	—
Total liabilities and equity	\$33,475.8	\$31,590.5

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these financial statements.

2018 Form 10-K 78 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

E. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Year Ended December 31 (in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Operating activities			
Net income	\$1,060.5	\$1,204.9	\$940.2
Reconciliation to cash provided by operating activities			
Depreciation and amortization	845.8	798.6	762.6
Deferred income taxes and investment tax credits, net	297.3	271.7	493.8
Contributions and payments related to pension and OPEB plans	(77.6)	(120.5)	(28.7)
Equity income in transmission affiliates, net of distributions	(18.6)	(4.8)	(46.6)
Change in –			
Accounts receivable and unbilled revenues	23.5	(86.4)	(180.7)
Materials, supplies, and inventories	(8.8)	49.3	100.0
Other current assets	(10.0)	(7.1)	103.2
Accounts payable	110.6	8.5	34.4
Other current liabilities	(67.6)	161.8	(20.8)
Other, net	290.4	(197.4)	(53.6)
Net cash provided by operating activities	2,445.5	2,078.6	2,103.8
Investing activities			
Capital expenditures	(2,115.7)	(1,959.5)	(1,423.7)
Acquisition of Bishop Hill III, net of restricted cash acquired of \$4.5	(162.9)	—	—
Acquisition of Forward Wind Energy Center	(77.1)	—	—
Acquisition of Coyote Ridge	(61.4)	—	—
Acquisition of Bluewater	—	(226.0)	—
Capital contributions to transmission affiliates	(53.5)	(109.6)	(42.3)
Proceeds from the sale of assets and businesses	12.1	24.0	166.3
Proceeds from the sale of investments held in rabbi trust	118.6	8.7	1.7
Purchase of investments held in rabbi trust	(65.0)	(3.7)	(59.2)
Other, net	20.5	12.0	3.0
Net cash used in investing activities	(2,384.4)	(2,254.1)	(1,354.2)
Financing activities			
Exercise of stock options	29.1	30.8	41.6
Purchase of common stock	(72.4)	(71.3)	(108.0)
Dividends paid on common stock	(697.3)	(656.5)	(624.9)
Issuance of long-term debt	1,740.0	435.0	400.0
Retirement of long-term debt	(953.3)	(154.5)	(306.0)
Change in short-term debt	(4.5)	584.4	(234.8)
Other, net	(15.2)	(6.5)	(13.6)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	26.4	161.4	(845.7)
Net change in cash, cash equivalents, and restricted cash	87.5	(14.1)	(96.1)
Cash, cash equivalents, and restricted cash at beginning of year	58.6	72.7	168.8
Cash, cash equivalents, and restricted cash at end of year	\$146.1	\$58.6	\$72.7

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these financial statements.

2018 Form 10-K 79 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

F. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY

(in millions, except per share amounts)	WEC Energy Group Common Shareholders' Equity							
	Common Stock	Additional Paid In Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total Common Shareholders' Equity	Preferred Stock of Subsidiary	Non-controlling Interests	Total Equity
Balance at December 31, 2015	\$3.2	\$4,347.2	\$4,299.8	\$ 4.6	\$ 8,654.8	\$ 30.4	\$ —	\$8,685.2
Net income attributed to common shareholders	—	—	939.0	—	939.0	—	—	939.0
Other comprehensive loss	—	—	—	(1.7)	(1.7)	—	—	(1.7)
Common stock dividends of \$1.98 per share	—	—	(624.9)	—	(624.9)	—	—	(624.9)
Exercise of stock options	—	41.6	—	—	41.6	—	—	41.6
Purchase of common stock	—	(108.0)	—	—	(108.0)	—	—	(108.0)
Stock-based compensation and other	—	29.0	—	—	29.0	—	—	29.0
Balance at December 31, 2016	\$3.2	\$4,309.8	\$4,613.9	\$ 2.9	\$ 8,929.8	\$ 30.4	\$ —	\$8,960.2
Net income attributed to common shareholders	—	—	1,203.7	—	1,203.7	—	—	1,203.7
Common stock dividends of \$2.08 per share	—	—	(656.5)	—	(656.5)	—	—	(656.5)
Exercise of stock options	—	30.8	—	—	30.8	—	—	30.8
Purchase of common stock	—	(71.3)	—	—	(71.3)	—	—	(71.3)
Cumulative effect adjustment from ASU 2016-09 adoption	—	—	15.7	—	15.7	—	—	15.7
Stock-based compensation and other	—	9.2	—	—	9.2	—	—	9.2
Balance at December 31, 2017	\$3.2	\$4,278.5	\$5,176.8	\$ 2.9	\$ 9,461.4	\$ 30.4	\$ —	\$9,491.8
Net income attributed to common shareholders	—	—	1,059.3	—	1,059.3	—	—	1,059.3
Other comprehensive loss	—	—	—	(6.1)	(6.1)	—	—	(6.1)
Common stock dividends of \$2.21 per share	—	—	(697.3)	—	(697.3)	—	—	(697.3)
Exercise of stock options	—	29.1	—	—	29.1	—	—	29.1
Purchase of common stock	—	(72.4)	—	—	(72.4)	—	—	(72.4)
Cumulative effect adjustment from ASU 2018-02 adoption	—	—	(0.6)	0.6	—	—	—	—
Acquisition of noncontrolling interests	—	—	—	—	—	—	23.8	23.8
Stock-based compensation and other	—	14.9	—	—	14.9	—	(0.4)	14.5
Balance at December 31, 2018	\$3.2	\$4,250.1	\$5,538.2	\$ (2.6)	\$ 9,788.9	\$ 30.4	\$ 23.4	\$9,842.7

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

G. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CAPITALIZATION

At December 31

(in millions)

			2018	2017
Common shareholder's equity (see accompanying statement)			\$9,788.9	\$9,461.4
Preferred stock of subsidiary (Note 11)			30.4	30.4
Long-term debt	Interest Rate	Year Due		
WEC Energy Group Senior Notes (unsecured)	1.65%	2018	—	300.0
	2.45%	2020	400.0	400.0
	3.375%	2021	600.0	—
	3.55%	2025	500.0	500.0
	6.20%	2033	200.0	200.0
WEC Energy Group Junior Notes (unsecured) ⁽¹⁾	4.853%	2067	500.0	500.0
WE Debentures (unsecured)	1.70%	2018	—	250.0
	4.25%	2019	250.0	250.0
	2.95%	2021	300.0	300.0
	3.10%	2025	250.0	250.0
	6.50%	2028	150.0	150.0
	5.625%	2033	335.0	335.0
	5.70%	2036	300.0	300.0
	3.65%	2042	250.0	250.0
	4.25%	2044	250.0	250.0
	4.30%	2045	250.0	250.0
	4.30%	2048	300.0	—
	6.875%	2095	100.0	100.0
WPS Senior Notes (unsecured)	1.65%	2018	—	250.0
	3.35%	2021	400.0	—
	6.08%	2028	50.0	50.0
	5.55%	2036	125.0	125.0
	3.671%	2042	300.0	300.0
	4.752%	2044	450.0	450.0
WG Debentures (unsecured)	3.53%	2025	200.0	200.0
	5.90%	2035	90.0	90.0
	3.71%	2046	200.0	200.0
PGL First and Refunding Mortgage Bonds (secured) ⁽²⁾	8.00%	2018	—	5.0
	4.63%	2019	75.0	75.0
	3.87%	2028	150.0	—
	3.90%	2030	50.0	50.0
	1.875%	2033	50.0	50.0
	4.00%	2033	50.0	50.0
	3.98%	2042	100.0	100.0
	3.96%	2043	220.0	220.0
	4.21%	2044	200.0	200.0
	3.65%	2046	50.0	50.0
	3.65%	2046	150.0	150.0
	3.77%	2047	100.0	100.0
NSG First Mortgage Bonds (secured) ⁽³⁾	3.43%	2027	28.0	28.0
	3.87%	2028	50.0	—
	3.96%	2043	54.0	54.0

Edgar Filing: WEC ENERGY GROUP, INC. - Form 10-K

MGU Senior Notes (unsecured)	3.11%	2027	30.0	30.0
	3.41%	2032	30.0	30.0
	4.01%	2047	30.0	30.0
MERC Senior Notes (unsecured)	3.11%	2027	40.0	40.0
	3.41%	2032	40.0	40.0
	4.01%	2047	40.0	40.0
Bluewater Gas Storage Senior Notes (unsecured)	3.76%	2019-2047	122.7	125.0

2018 Form 10-K 81 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Long-term debt (continued)	Interest Rate	Year Due	2018	2017
We Power Subsidiaries Notes (secured, nonrecourse)	4.91%	(4) 2019-2030	95.1	101.0
	5.209%	(5) 2019-2030	182.7	194.1
	4.673%	(5) 2019-2031	153.5	162.4
	6.00%	(4) 2019-2033	116.6	121.5
	6.09%	(5) 2030-2040	275.0	275.0
	5.848%	(5) 2031-2041	215.0	215.0
WECC Notes (unsecured)	6.94%	2028	50.0	50.0
Integrus Senior Notes (unsecured)	4.17%	2020	250.0	250.0
Integrus Junior Notes (unsecured)	3.60%	2066	—	114.9
	6.00%	2073	400.0	400.0
ATC Holding Senior Notes (unsecured)	4.18%	2025	85.0	—
	4.37%	2028	56.5	—
	4.47%	2030	98.5	—
Obligations under capital leases			23.3	27.0
Total			10,410.9	9,627.9
Integrus acquisition fair value adjustment			20.6	26.9
Unamortized debt issuance costs			(44.7)	(38.0)
Unamortized discount, net and other			(27.8)	(28.1)
Total long-term debt, including current portion			10,359.0	9,588.7
Current portion of long-term debt and capital lease obligations			(365.0)	(842.1)
Total long-term debt			9,994.0	8,746.6
Total long-term capitalization			\$19,813.3	\$18,238.4

Variable interest rate reset quarterly. The rate was 4.73% as of December 31, 2018. On July 12, 2018 we executed two interest rate swaps that provided a fixed rate of 4.9765% on \$250.0 million of the outstanding notes. The effective rate of 4.853% is a blended rate of the variable and fixed portions. The rate was 3.53% as of December 31, 2017 and, prior to May 15, 2017, the fixed rate was 6.25%.

PGL's First Mortgage Bonds are subject to the terms and conditions of PGL's First Mortgage Indenture dated January 2, 1926, as supplemented. Under the terms of the Indenture, substantially all property owned by PGL is pledged as collateral for these outstanding debt securities.

PGL has used certain First Mortgage Bonds to secure tax exempt interest rates. The Illinois Finance Authority has issued Tax Exempt Bonds, and the proceeds from the sale of these bonds were loaned to PGL. In return, PGL issued equal principal amounts of certain collateralized First Mortgage Bonds.

NSG's First Mortgage Bonds are subject to the terms and conditions of NSG's First Mortgage Indenture dated April 1, 1955, as supplemented. Under the terms of the Indenture, substantially all property owned by NSG is pledged as collateral for these outstanding debt securities.

We Power senior notes, secured by a collateral assignment of the leases between PWGS and WE related to PWGS 1 and PWGS 2.

We Power senior notes, secured by a collateral assignment of the leases between Elm Road Generating Station Supercritical, LLC and WE related to ER 1 and ER 2.

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these financial statements.

2018 Form 10-K 82 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

H. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1—SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

(a) Nature of Operations—WEC Energy Group serves approximately 1.6 million electric customers and 2.9 million natural gas customers, and it owns approximately 60% of ATC.

As used in these notes, the term "financial statements" refers to the consolidated financial statements. This includes the income statements, statements of comprehensive income, balance sheets, statements of cash flows, statements of equity, and statements of capitalization, unless otherwise noted. On our financial statements, we consolidate our majority-owned subsidiaries and reflect noncontrolling interests for the portion of entities that we do not own as a component of consolidated equity separate from the equity attributable to our shareholders. The noncontrolling interests that we reported as equity on our balance sheet as of December 31, 2018 related to the minority interests at Bishop Hill III and Coyote Ridge held by third parties.

Our financial statements include the accounts of WEC Energy Group, a diversified energy holding company, and the accounts of our subsidiaries in the following reportable segments:

Wisconsin segment – Consists of WE, WG, and WPS, which are engaged primarily in the generation of electricity and the distribution of electricity and natural gas in Wisconsin, and UMER, which includes WE's former electric operations and WPS's former electric and natural gas operations in the state of Michigan that were transferred to UMER effective January 1, 2017.

Illinois segment – Consists of PGL and NSG, which are engaged primarily in the distribution of natural gas in Illinois.

Other states segment – Consists of MERC and MGU, which are engaged primarily in the distribution of natural gas in Minnesota and Michigan, respectively.

Electric transmission segment – Consists of our approximate 60% ownership interest in ATC, a for-profit, electric transmission company regulated by the FERC and certain state regulatory commissions, and our approximate 75% ownership interest in ATC Holdco, which invests in transmission-related projects outside of ATC's traditional footprint.

Non-utility energy infrastructure segment – Consists of We Power, which is principally engaged in the ownership of electric power generating facilities for long-term lease to WE, and Bluewater, which owns underground natural gas storage facilities in Michigan. Our 90% membership interest in Bishop Hill III, a wind generating facility located in Henry County, Illinois, and our 80% membership interest in Coyote Ridge, a wind generating facility under construction in Brookings County, South Dakota, are also included in this segment. See Note 2, Acquisitions, for more information on Coyote Ridge, Bishop Hill III, and Bluewater.

Corporate and other segment – Consists of the WEC Energy Group holding company, the Integrys holding company, the PELL holding company, Wispark, Bostco, Wisvest, WECC, WBS, PDL, and ITF. In the first quarter of 2017, we sold substantially all of the remaining assets of Bostco, and, in October 2018, Bostco was dissolved. In the second quarter of 2016, we sold certain assets of Wisvest, which no longer has significant operations, and, in the first quarter of 2016, the sale of ITF was completed. See Note 3, Dispositions, for more information on these sales.

Our financial statements also reflect our proportionate interests in certain jointly owned utility facilities. See Note 7, Jointly Owned Utility Facilities, for more information. Investments in companies not controlled by us, but over which we have significant influence regarding the operating and financial policies of the investee, are accounted for using the

equity method.

(b) Basis of Presentation—We prepare our financial statements in conformity with GAAP. We make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results may differ from these estimates.

(c) Cash and Cash Equivalents—Cash and cash equivalents include marketable debt securities with an original maturity of three months or less.

2018 Form 10-K 83 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

(d) Operating Revenues—The following discussion includes our significant accounting policies related to operating revenues, including our adoption of ASU 2014-09, Revenues from Contracts with Customers. For additional required disclosures on disaggregation of operating revenues as required by this ASU, see Note 4, Operating Revenues.

Adoption of ASU 2014-09, Revenues from Contracts with Customers

On January 1, 2018, we adopted ASU 2014-09, Revenues from Contracts with Customers, and the related amendments. In accordance with the guidance, we recognize revenues when control of the promised goods or services is transferred to our customers in an amount that reflects the consideration we expect to be entitled to receive in exchange for those goods or services. These revenues include unbilled revenues, which are estimated using the amount of energy delivered to our customers but not billed until after the end of the period.

We adopted this standard using the modified retrospective method. Results for reporting periods beginning after January 1, 2018, are presented under the new standard. The comparative information has not been restated and continues to be reported under the accounting standards in effect for those periods. Adoption of the standard did not result in an adjustment to our opening retained earnings balance as of January 1, 2018, and we do not expect the adoption of the standard to have a material impact on our net income in future periods.

We adopted the following practical expedients and optional exemptions for the implementation of this standard:

- We elected to exclude from the transaction price any amounts collected from customers for all sales taxes and other similar taxes.

- When applicable, we elected to apply the standard to a portfolio of contracts with similar characteristics, primarily our tariff-based contracts, as we reasonably expect that the effects on the financial statements of applying this guidance to the portfolio would not differ materially from applying this guidance to the individual contracts.

- We elected to recognize revenue in the amount we have the right to invoice for performance obligations satisfied over time when the consideration received from a customer corresponds directly with the value provided to the customer during the same period.

- We elected to not disclose the remaining performance obligations of a contract that has an original expected duration of one year or less.

- We elected to apply this standard only to contracts that are not completed as of the date of initial application.

Revenues from Contracts with Customers

Electric Utility Operating Revenues

Electricity sales to residential and commercial and industrial customers are generally accomplished through requirements contracts, which provide for the delivery of as much electricity as the customer needs. These contracts represent discrete deliveries of electricity and consist of one distinct performance obligation satisfied over time, as the electricity is delivered and consumed by the customer simultaneously. For our Wisconsin residential and commercial and industrial customers and the majority of our Michigan residential and commercial and industrial customers, our performance obligation is bundled to consist of both the sale and the delivery of the electric commodity. In our Michigan service territory, a limited number of residential and commercial and industrial customers can purchase the commodity from a third party. In this case, the delivery of the electricity represents our sole performance obligation.

The transaction price of the performance obligations for residential and commercial and industrial customers is valued using the rates, charges, terms, and conditions of service included in the tariffs of our regulated electric utilities, which have been approved by state regulators. These rates often have a fixed component customer charge and a usage-based variable component charge. We recognize revenue for the fixed component customer charge monthly using a

time-based output method. We recognize revenue for the usage-based variable component charge using an output method based on the quantity of electricity delivered each month. Our retail electric rates in Wisconsin include base amounts for fuel and purchased power costs, which also impact our revenues. The electric fuel rules set by the PSCW allow us to defer, for subsequent rate recovery or refund, under- or over-collections of actual fuel and purchased power costs that exceed a 2% price variance from the costs included in the rates charged to customers. Our electric utilities monitor the deferral of under-collected costs to ensure that it does not cause them to earn a greater ROE than authorized by the PSCW. In contrast, the rates of our Michigan retail electric customers include recovery of fuel and purchased power costs on a one-for-one basis. In addition, the Wisconsin residential tariffs of WE include a mechanism for cost recovery or refund of uncollectible expense based on the difference between actual uncollectible write-offs and the amounts recovered in rates.

2018 Form 10-K 84 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Wholesale customers who resell power can choose to either bundle capacity and electricity services together under one contract with a supplier or purchase capacity and electricity separately from multiple suppliers. Furthermore, wholesale customers can choose to have our utilities provide generation to match the customer's load, similar to requirements contracts, or they can purchase specified quantities of electricity and capacity. Contracts with wholesale customers that include capacity bundled with the delivery of electricity contain two performance obligations, as capacity and electricity are often transacted separately in the marketplace at the wholesale level. When recognizing revenue associated with these contracts, the transaction price is allocated to each performance obligation based on its relative standalone selling price. Revenue is recognized as control of each individual component is transferred to the customer. Electricity is the primary product sold by our electric utilities and represents a single performance obligation satisfied over time through discrete deliveries to a customer. Revenue from electricity sales is generally recognized as units are produced and delivered to the customer within the production month. Capacity represents the reservation of an electric generating facility and conveys the ability to call on a plant to produce electricity when needed by the customer. The nature of our performance obligation as it relates to capacity is to stand ready to deliver power. This represents a single performance obligation transferred over time, which generally represents a monthly obligation. Accordingly, capacity revenue is recognized on a monthly basis.

The transaction price of the performance obligations for wholesale customers is valued using the rates, charges, terms, and conditions of service, which have been approved by the FERC. These wholesale rates include recovery of fuel and purchased power costs from customers on a one-for-one basis. For the majority of our wholesale customers, the price billed for energy and capacity is a formula-based rate. Formula-based rates initially set a customer's current year rates based on the previous year's expenses. This is a predetermined formula derived from the utility's costs and a reasonable rate of return. Because these rates are eventually trued up to reflect actual, current-year costs, they represent a form of variable consideration in certain circumstances. The variable consideration is estimated and recognized over time as wholesale customers receive and consume the capacity and electricity services.

We are an active participant in the MISO Energy Markets, where we bid our generation into the Day Ahead and Real Time markets and procure electricity for our retail and wholesale customers at prices determined by the MISO Energy Markets. Purchase and sale transactions are recorded using settlement information provided by MISO. These purchase and sale transactions are accounted for on a net hourly position. Net purchases in a single hour are recorded as purchased power in cost of sales and net sales in a single hour are recorded as resale revenues on our income statements. For resale revenues, our performance obligation is created only when electricity is sold into the MISO Energy Markets.

For all of our customers, consistent with the timing of when we recognize revenue, customer billings generally occur on a monthly basis, with payments typically due in full within 30 days.

Natural Gas Utility Operating Revenues

We recognize natural gas utility operating revenues under requirements contracts with residential, commercial and industrial, and transportation customers served under the tariffs of our regulated utilities. Tariffs provide our customers with the standard terms and conditions, including rates, related to the services offered. Requirements contracts provide for the delivery of as much natural gas as the customer needs. These requirements contracts represent discrete deliveries of natural gas and constitute a single performance obligation satisfied over time. Our performance obligation is both created and satisfied with the transfer of control of natural gas upon delivery to the customer. For most of our customers, natural gas is delivered and consumed by the customer simultaneously. A performance obligation can be bundled to consist of both the sale and the delivery of the natural gas commodity. In certain of our service territories, customers can purchase the commodity from a third party. In this case, the performance obligation only includes the delivery of the natural gas to the customer.

The transaction price of the performance obligations for our natural gas customers is valued using the rates, charges, terms, and conditions of service included in the tariffs of our regulated utilities, which have been approved by state regulators. These rates often have a fixed component customer charge and a usage-based variable component charge. We recognize revenue for the fixed component customer charge monthly using a time-based output method. We recognize revenue for the usage-based variable component charge using an output method based on natural gas delivered each month.

The tariffs of our natural gas utilities include various rate mechanisms that allow them to recover or refund changes in prudently incurred costs from rate case-approved amounts. The rates for all of our natural gas utilities include one-for-one recovery mechanisms for natural gas commodity costs. We defer any difference between actual natural gas costs incurred and costs recovered through rates as a current asset or liability. The deferred balance is returned to or recovered from customers at intervals throughout the year. In addition, the rates of PGL and NSG, and the residential tariffs of WE and WG, include riders or other mechanisms for cost

2018 Form 10-K 85 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

recovery or refund of uncollectible expense based on the difference between actual uncollectible write-offs and the amounts recovered in rates. The rates of PGL and NSG include riders for cost recovery of both environmental cleanup costs, energy conservation and management program costs, and income tax expense changes resulting from the Tax Legislation. Finally, PGL's rates include a cost recovery mechanism for SMP costs.

Consistent with the timing of when we recognize revenue, customer billings generally occur on a monthly basis, with payments typically due in full within 30 days.

Other Non-Utility Operating Revenues

As part of the construction of the We Power electric generating units, we capitalized interest during construction, which is included in property, plant, and equipment. As allowed by the PSCW, we collected these carrying costs from WE's utility customers during construction. The equity portion of these carrying costs was recorded as deferred revenue, and we continually amortize the deferred carrying costs to revenues over the life of the related lease term that We Power has with WE. During the twelve months ended December 31, 2018, we recorded \$25.3 million of revenue related to these deferred carrying costs, which were included in the contract liability balance at the beginning of the period. This contract liability is presented as deferred revenue, net on our balance sheets.

Non-utility operating revenues are also derived from servicing appliances for customers at MERC. These contracts customarily have a duration of one year or less and consist of a single performance obligation satisfied over time. We use a time-based output method to recognize revenues monthly for the service fee.

Revenues from distributed renewable solar projects consist primarily of sales of renewable energy and solar renewable energy certificates (SRECs) generated by PDL. The sale of SRECs is a distinct performance obligation as they are often sold separately from the renewable energy generated. Although the performance obligation for the sale of renewable energy is recognized over time and the performance obligation for SRECs is recognized at a point-in-time, the timing of revenue recognition is the same, as the generation of renewable energy and sales of SREC's occur concurrently.

On August 31, 2018, we completed the acquisition of an 80% membership interest in a commercially operational 132 MW wind generating facility located in Henry County, Illinois, known as Bishop Hill III. In December 2018, we completed the purchase of an additional 10% membership interest in Bishop Hill III. See Note 2, Acquisitions, for more information on this acquisition. Bishop Hill III has a 22-year offtake agreement with an unaffiliated company for the sale of all energy produced by the facility. The contract consists of one distinct performance obligation satisfied over time, as the electricity is delivered and consumed by the customer simultaneously. We recognize revenue as energy is produced and delivered to the customer within the production month.

Other Operating Revenues

Alternative Revenues

Alternative revenues are created from programs authorized by regulators that allow our utilities to record additional revenues by adjusting rates in the future, usually as a surcharge applied to future billings, in response to past activities or completed events. Alternative revenue programs allow compensation for the effects of weather abnormalities, other external factors, or demand side management initiatives. Alternative revenue programs can also provide incentive awards if the utility achieves certain objectives and in other limited circumstances. We record alternative revenues when the regulator-specified conditions for recognition have been met. We reverse these alternative revenues as the customer is billed, at which time this revenue is presented as revenues from contracts with customers.

Below is a summary of the alternative revenue programs at our utilities:

The rates of PGL, NSG, and MERC include decoupling mechanisms. These mechanisms differ by state and allow the utilities to recover or refund the differences between actual and authorized margins for certain customer classes. See Note 24, Regulatory Environment, for more information.

MERC's rates include a conservation improvement program rider, which includes a financial incentive for meeting energy savings goals.

WE and WPS provide wholesale electric service to customers under market-based rates and FERC formula rates. The customer is charged a base rate each year based upon a formula using prior year actual costs and customer demand. A true-up is calculated based on the difference between the amount billed to customers for the demand component of their rates and what the actual

2018 Form 10-K 86 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

cost of service was for the year. The true-up can result in an amount that we will recover from or refund to the customer. We consider the true-up portion of the wholesale electric revenues to be alternative revenues.

(e) Materials, Supplies, and Inventories—Our inventory as of December 31 consisted of:

(in millions)	2018	2017
Natural gas in storage	\$232.9	\$209.0
Materials and supplies	226.6	211.2
Fossil fuel	88.7	118.8
Total	\$548.2	\$539.0

PGL and NSG price natural gas storage injections at the calendar year average of the costs of natural gas supply purchased. Withdrawals from storage are priced on the LIFO cost method. Inventories stated on a LIFO basis represented approximately 16% and 15% of total inventories at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. The estimated replacement cost of natural gas in inventory at December 31, 2018 and 2017, exceeded the LIFO cost by \$72.4 million and \$152.1 million, respectively. In calculating these replacement amounts, PGL and NSG used a Chicago city-gate natural gas price per Dth of \$3.08 at December 31, 2018, and \$4.68 at December 31, 2017.

Substantially all other natural gas in storage, materials and supplies, and fossil fuel inventories are recorded using the weighted-average cost method of accounting.

(f) Regulatory Assets and Liabilities—The economic effects of regulation can result in regulated companies recording costs and revenues that have been or are expected to be allowed in the rate-making process in a period different from the period in which the costs or revenues would be recognized by a nonregulated company. When this occurs, regulatory assets and regulatory liabilities are recorded on the balance sheet. Regulatory assets represent probable future revenues associated with certain costs or liabilities that have been deferred and are expected to be recovered through rates charged to customers. Regulatory liabilities represent amounts that are expected to be refunded to customers in future rates or amounts that are collected in rates for future costs.

Recovery or refund of regulatory assets and liabilities is based on specific periods determined by the regulators or occurs over the normal operating period of the assets and liabilities to which they relate. If at any reporting date a previously recorded regulatory asset is no longer probable of recovery, the regulatory asset is reduced to the amount considered probable of recovery with the reduction charged to expense in the reporting period the determination is made. See Note 5, Regulatory Assets and Liabilities, for more information.

(g) Property, Plant, and Equipment—We record property, plant, and equipment at cost. Cost includes material, labor, overhead, and both debt and equity components of AFUDC. Additions to and significant replacements of property are charged to property, plant, and equipment at cost; minor items are charged to other operation and maintenance expense. The cost of depreciable utility property less salvage value is charged to accumulated depreciation when property is retired.

We record straight-line depreciation expense over the estimated useful life of utility property using depreciation rates approved by the applicable regulators. Annual utility composite depreciation rates are shown below:

Annual Utility Composite Depreciation Rates	2018	2017	2016
WE	3.18%	2.95%	3.00%
WPS	2.50%	2.55%	2.58%
WG	2.30%	2.30%	2.34%
UMERC ⁽¹⁾	2.50%	2.46%	N/A
PGL	3.25%	3.29%	3.31%
NSG	2.45%	2.43%	2.44%

MERC ⁽²⁾	1.95%	2.51%	2.53%
MGU	2.61%	2.61%	2.63%

⁽¹⁾ UMERG became operational effective January 1, 2017. See Note 1(a), Nature of Operations, for more information.

The 2018 rate reflects the impact of a new depreciation study approved by the MPUC in May 2018. The rates

⁽²⁾ approved were effective retroactive to January 2017. An approximate \$1.4 million reduction in depreciation expense was recorded in 2018 related to this depreciation study.

2018 Form 10-K 87WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

We depreciate our We Power assets over the estimated useful life of the various property components. The components have useful lives of between 10 to 45 years for PWGS 1 and PWGS 2 and 10 to 55 years for ER 1 and ER 2.

We capitalize certain costs related to software developed or obtained for internal use and record these costs to amortization expense over the estimated useful life of the related software, which ranges from 3 to 15 years. If software is retired prior to being fully amortized, the difference is recorded as a loss on the income statement.

Third parties reimburse the utilities for all or a portion of expenditures for certain capital projects. Such contributions in aid of construction costs are recorded as a reduction to property, plant, and equipment.

See Note 6, Property, Plant, and Equipment, for more information.

(h) Allowance for Funds Used During Construction—AFUDC is included in utility plant accounts and represents the cost of borrowed funds (AFUDC – Debt) used during plant construction, and a return on shareholders' capital (AFUDC – Equity) used for construction purposes. AFUDC – Debt is recorded as a reduction of interest expense, and AFUDC – Equity is recorded in other income, net.

The majority of AFUDC is recorded at WE, WPS, WBS, UMERG and WG. Approximately 50% of WE's, WPS's, WBS's, UMERG's, and WG's retail jurisdictional CWIP expenditures are subject to the AFUDC calculation. The AFUDC calculation for WBS uses the WPS AFUDC retail rate, while our other utilities' AFUDC rates are determined by their respective state commissions, each with specific requirements. Based on these requirements, the other utilities did not record significant AFUDC for 2018, 2017, or 2016. Average AFUDC rates are shown below:

	2018	
	Average AFUDC Retail Rate	Average AFUDC Wholesale Rate
WE	8.45%	3.63%
WPS	7.72%	1.96%
WBS	7.72%	N/A
WG	8.33%	N/A
UMERG	6.28%	N/A

Our regulated utilities and WBS recorded the following AFUDC for the years ended December 31:

(in millions)	2018	2017	2016
AFUDC – Debt			
WE	\$1.5	\$1.2	\$1.7
WPS	1.9	1.6	8.1
WBS	0.2	1.1	0.3
WG	0.2	0.3	0.2
UMERG	2.4	0.1	N/A
Other	0.7	0.6	0.6
Total AFUDC – Debt	\$6.9	\$4.9	\$10.9

AFUDC – Equity			
WE	\$3.9	\$3.1	\$4.2
WPS	4.6	4.1	19.5
WBS	0.6	3.0	0.9
WG	0.6	0.9	0.5
UMERG	5.4	0.2	N/A

Other	0.1	0.1	—
Total AFUDC – Equity	\$15.2	\$11.4	\$25.1

(i) Asset Impairment—Goodwill and other intangible assets with indefinite lives are subject to an annual impairment test. Interim impairment tests are performed when impairment indicators are present. Our reporting units containing goodwill perform annual goodwill impairment tests during the third quarter of each year. The carrying amount of the reporting unit's goodwill is considered

2018 Form 10-K 88 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

not recoverable if the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds the reporting unit's fair value. An impairment loss is recorded for the excess of the carrying amount of the goodwill over its implied fair value. See Note 9, Goodwill, for more information. Intangible assets with definite lives are reviewed for impairment on a quarterly basis.

We periodically assess the recoverability of certain long-lived assets when factors indicate the carrying value of such assets may be impaired or such assets are planned to be sold. These assessments require significant assumptions and judgments by management. The long-lived assets assessed for impairment generally include certain assets within regulated operations that may not be fully recovered from our customers as a result of regulatory decisions that will be made in the future, as well as assets within nonregulated operations that are proposed to be sold or are currently generating operating losses. An impairment loss is recognized when the carrying amount of an asset is not recoverable and exceeds the fair value of the asset. The carrying amount of an asset is not recoverable if it exceeds the sum of the undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use and eventual disposition of the asset. An impairment loss is measured as the excess of the carrying amount of the asset in comparison to the fair value of the asset.

When it becomes probable that a generating unit will be retired before the end of its useful life, we assess whether the generating unit meets the criteria for abandonment accounting. Generating units that are considered probable of abandonment are expected to cease operations in the near term, significantly before the end of their original estimated useful lives. If a generating unit meets the applicable criteria to be considered probable of abandonment, and the unit has been abandoned, we assess the likelihood of recovery of the remaining carrying value of that generating unit at the end of each reporting period. If it becomes probable that regulators will disallow full recovery as well as a return on the remaining net book value of a generating unit that is either abandoned or probable of being abandoned, an impairment loss may be required. An impairment loss would be recorded if the remaining carrying value of the generating unit is greater than the present value of the amount expected to be recovered from ratepayers. See Note 6, Property, Plant, and Equipment, for more information.

The carrying amounts of equity method investments are assessed for impairment by comparing the fair values of these investments to their carrying amounts if a fair value assessment was completed or by reviewing for the presence of impairment indicators. If an impairment exists, and it is determined to be other-than-temporary, an impairment loss is recognized equal to the amount by which the carrying amount exceeds the investment's fair value.

(j) Asset Retirement Obligations—We recognize, at fair value, legal obligations associated with the retirement of long-lived assets that result from the acquisition, construction, development, and normal operation of the assets. An ARO liability is recorded, when incurred, for these obligations as long as the fair value can be reasonably estimated, even if the timing or method of settling the obligation is unknown. The associated retirement costs are capitalized as part of the related long-lived asset and are depreciated over the useful life of the asset. The ARO liabilities are accreted each period using the credit-adjusted risk-free interest rates associated with the expected settlement dates of the AROs. These rates are determined when the obligations are incurred. Subsequent changes resulting from revisions to the timing or the amount of the original estimate of undiscounted cash flows are recognized as an increase or a decrease to the carrying amount of the liability and the associated capitalized retirement costs. For our regulated entities, we recognize regulatory assets or liabilities for the timing differences between when we recover an ARO in rates and when we recognize the associated retirement costs. See Note 8, Asset Retirement Obligations, for more information.

(k) Stock-Based Compensation— In accordance with the shareholder approved Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan, we provide long-term incentives through our equity interests to our non-employee directors, officers, and other key employees. The plan provides for the granting of stock options, restricted stock, performance shares, and other stock-based awards. Awards may be paid in common stock, cash, or a combination thereof. The number of shares of common stock authorized for issuance under the plan is 34.3 million.

We recognize stock-based compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period. Awards classified as equity awards are measured based on their grant-date fair value. Awards classified as liability awards are recorded at fair value each reporting period.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-09, Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting, which modified certain aspects of the accounting for stock-based compensation awards. This ASU became effective for us on January 1, 2017. Under the new guidance, all excess tax benefits and tax deficiencies are recognized as income tax expense or benefit in the income statement on a prospective basis. Prior to January 1, 2017, these amounts were recorded in additional paid in capital on the balance sheet, and excess tax benefits could only be recognized to the extent they reduced taxes payable. In the first quarter of 2017, we recorded a \$15.7 million cumulative-effect adjustment to increase retained earnings for excess tax benefits that had not been recognized in prior years as they did not reduce taxes payable.

2018 Form 10-K 89WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

ASU 2016-09 also requires excess tax benefits to be classified as an operating activity on the statement of cash flows. As we elected to apply this provision on a prospective basis, the 2016 excess tax benefits continue to be reflected as a financing activity. As allowed under this ASU, we also elected to account for forfeitures as they occur, rather than estimating potential future forfeitures and recording them over the vesting period.

Stock Options

We grant non-qualified stock options that generally vest on a cliff-basis after a three-year period. The exercise price of a stock option under the plan cannot be less than 100% of our common stock's fair market value on the grant date. Historically, all stock options have been granted with an exercise price equal to the fair market value of our common stock on the date of the grant. Options may not be exercised within six months of the grant date except in the event of a change in control. Options expire no later than 10 years from the date of the grant.

Our stock options are classified as equity awards. The fair value of our stock options was calculated using a binomial option-pricing model. The following table shows the estimated weighted-average fair value per stock option granted along with the weighted-average assumptions used in the valuation models:

	2018	2017	2016
Stock options granted	710,710	552,215	794,764

Estimated weighted-average fair value per stock option	\$7.71	\$7.45	\$5.14
--	--------	--------	--------

Assumptions used to value the options:

Risk-free interest rate	1.6% – 2.8%	0.7% – 2.5%	0.4% – 2.2%
Dividend yield	3.5 %	3.5 %	4.0 %
Expected volatility	18.0 %	19.0 %	18.1 %
Expected life (years)	5.9	6.8	6.1

The risk-free interest rate was based on the United States Treasury interest rate with a term consistent with the expected life of the stock options. The dividend yield was based on our dividend rate at the time of the grant and historical stock prices. Expected volatility and expected life assumptions were based on our historical experience.

Restricted Shares

Restricted shares granted to employees generally have a three-year vesting period with one-third of the award vesting on each anniversary of the grant date. This same vesting schedule is followed for restricted shares that were granted to non-employee directors prior to 2017. Restricted shares granted to certain officers and all non-employee directors after January 1, 2017, fully vest on the one-year anniversary of the grant date.

Our restricted shares are classified as equity awards.

Performance Units

Officers and other key employees are granted performance units under the WEC Energy Group Performance Unit Plan. Under the plan, the ultimate number of units that will be awarded is dependent on our total shareholder return (stock price appreciation plus dividends) as compared to the total shareholder return of a peer group of companies over a three-year period, and beginning in 2017, other performance metrics as determined by the Compensation Committee. Under the terms of the award, participants may earn between 0% and 175% of the performance unit award, as adjusted pursuant to the terms of the plan. Performance units also accrue forfeitable dividend equivalents in

the form of additional performance units.

All grants of performance units are settled in cash and are accounted for as liability awards accordingly. The fair value of the performance units reflects our estimate of the final expected value of the awards, which is based on our stock price and performance achievement under the terms of the award. Stock-based compensation costs are recorded over the three-year performance period.

See Note 10, Common Equity, for more information on our stock-based compensation plans.

2018 Form 10-K 90WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

(l) Earnings Per Share—We compute basic earnings per share by dividing our net income attributed to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is computed in a similar manner, but includes the exercise and/or conversion of all potentially dilutive securities. Such dilutive securities include in-the-money stock options. The calculation of diluted earnings per share for the year ended December 31, 2016 excluded 181,709 stock options that had an anti-dilutive effect. There were no securities that had an anti-dilutive effect for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017.

(m) Income Taxes—We follow the liability method in accounting for income taxes. Accounting guidance for income taxes requires the recording of deferred assets and liabilities to recognize the expected future tax consequences of events that have been reflected in our financial statements or tax returns and the adjustment of deferred tax balances to reflect tax rate changes. We are required to assess the likelihood that our deferred tax assets would expire before being realized. If we conclude that certain deferred tax assets are likely to expire before being realized, a valuation allowance would be established against those assets. GAAP requires that, if we conclude in a future period that it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets would be realized before expiration, we reverse the related valuation allowance in that period. Any change to the allowance, as a result of a change in judgment about the realization of deferred tax assets, is reported in income tax expense.

Investment tax credits associated with regulated operations are deferred and amortized over the life of the assets. Production tax credits are recognized in the period in which such credits are generated. The amount of the credit is based upon power production from our qualifying generation facilities. We file a consolidated Federal income tax return. Accordingly, we allocate Federal current tax expense benefits and credits to our subsidiaries based on their separate tax computations and our ability to monetize all credits on our consolidated Federal return. See Note 14, Income Taxes, for more information.

We recognize interest and penalties accrued, related to unrecognized tax benefits, in income tax expense in our income statements.

In February 2018, the FASB issued ASU 2018-02, Income Statement – Reporting Comprehensive Income. The amendments in this update allow entities to reclassify the income tax effects that are stranded in accumulated other comprehensive income as a result of the Tax Legislation to retained earnings. These amendments are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2018, with early adoption permitted. We early adopted the amendments in the fourth quarter of 2018 and reclassified the stranded tax effects associated with the Tax Legislation from accumulated other comprehensive income to retained earnings. As of December 31, 2018, our accumulated other comprehensive income decreased \$0.6 million as a result of adopting ASU 2018-02. The adoption of this guidance had no impact on our results of operations or cash flows.

(n) Fair Value Measurements—Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (exit price).

Fair value accounting rules provide a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurement) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurement). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are defined as follows:

Level 1 – Quoted prices are available in active markets for identical assets or liabilities as of the reporting date. Active markets are those in which transactions for the asset or liability occur in sufficient frequency and volume to provide pricing information on an ongoing basis.

Level 2 – Pricing inputs are observable, either directly or indirectly, but are not quoted prices included within Level 1. Level 2 includes those financial instruments that are valued using external inputs within models or other valuation methods.

Level 3 – Pricing inputs include significant inputs that are generally less observable from objective sources. These inputs may be used with internally developed methods that result in management's best estimate of fair value. Level 3 instruments include those that may be more structured or otherwise tailored to customers' needs.

Assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. We use a mid-market pricing convention (the mid-point price between bid and ask prices) as a practical measure for valuing certain derivative assets and liabilities. We primarily use a market approach for recurring fair value measurements and attempt to use valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs.

2018 Form 10-K 91 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

When possible, we base the valuations of our derivative assets and liabilities on quoted prices for identical assets and liabilities in active markets. These valuations are classified in Level 1. The valuations of certain contracts not classified as Level 1 may be based on quoted market prices received from counterparties and/or observable inputs for similar instruments. Transactions valued using these inputs are classified in Level 2. Certain derivatives are categorized in Level 3 due to the significance of unobservable or internally-developed inputs.

We recognize transfers between levels of the fair value hierarchy at their value as of the end of the reporting period.

See Note 15, Fair Value Measurements, for more information.

(o) Derivative Instruments—We use derivatives as part of our risk management program to manage the risks associated with the price volatility of interest rates, purchased power, generation, and natural gas costs for the benefit of our customers and shareholders. Our approach is non-speculative and designed to mitigate risk. Regulated hedging programs are approved by our state regulators.

We record derivative instruments on our balance sheets as assets or liabilities measured at fair value unless they qualify for the normal purchases and sales exception, and are so designated. We continually assess our contracts designated as normal and will discontinue the treatment of these contracts as normal if the required criteria are no longer met. Changes in the derivative's fair value are recognized currently in earnings unless specific hedge accounting criteria are met or we receive regulatory treatment for the derivative. For most energy-related physical and financial contracts in our regulated operations that qualify as derivatives, our regulators allow the effects of fair value accounting to be offset to regulatory assets and liabilities.

We classify derivative assets and liabilities as current or long-term on our balance sheets based on the maturities of the underlying contracts. Cash flows from derivative activities are presented in the same category as the item being hedged within operating activities on our statements of cash flows.

Derivative accounting rules provide the option to present certain asset and liability derivative positions net on the balance sheets and to net the related cash collateral against these net derivative positions. We elected not to net these items. On our balance sheets, cash collateral provided to others is reflected in other current assets, and cash collateral received is reflected in other current liabilities. See Note 16, Derivative Instruments, for more information.

(p) Guarantees— We follow the guidance of the Guarantees Topic of the FASB ASC, which requires, under certain circumstances, that the guarantor recognize a liability for the fair value of the obligation undertaken in issuing the guarantee at its inception. See Note 17, Guarantees, for more information.

(q) Employee Benefits—The costs of pension and OPEB are expensed over the periods during which employees render service. These costs are distributed among our subsidiaries based on current employment status and actuarial calculations, as applicable. Our regulators allow recovery in rates for the utilities' net periodic benefit cost calculated under GAAP. See Note 18, Employee Benefits, for more information.

(r) Customer Deposits and Credit Balances—When utility customers apply for new service, they may be required to provide a deposit for the service. Customer deposits are recorded within other current liabilities on our balance sheets.

Utility customers can elect to be on a budget plan. Under this type of plan, a monthly installment amount is calculated based on estimated annual usage. During the year, the monthly installment amount is reviewed by comparing it to actual usage. If necessary, an adjustment is made to the monthly amount. Annually, the budget plan is reconciled to actual annual usage. Payments in excess of actual customer usage are recorded within other current liabilities on our balance sheets.

(s) Environmental Remediation Costs—We are subject to federal and state environmental laws and regulations that in the future may require us to pay for environmental remediation at sites where we have been, or may be, identified as a potentially responsible party. Loss contingencies may exist for the remediation of hazardous substances at various potential sites, including coal combustion product landfill sites and manufactured gas plant sites. See Note 8, Asset Retirement Obligations, for more information regarding coal combustion product landfill sites and Note 22, Commitments and Contingencies, for more information regarding manufactured gas plant sites.

2018 Form 10-K 92 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

We record environmental remediation liabilities when site assessments indicate remediation is probable and we can reasonably estimate the loss or a range of losses. The estimate includes both our share of the liability and any additional amounts that will not be paid by other potentially responsible parties or the government. When possible, we estimate costs using site-specific information but also consider historical experience for costs incurred at similar sites. Remediation efforts for a particular site generally extend over a period of several years. During this period, the laws governing the remediation process may change, as well as site conditions, potentially affecting the cost of remediation.

Our utilities have received approval to defer certain environmental remediation costs, as well as estimated future costs, through a regulatory asset. The recovery of deferred costs is subject to the applicable state Commission's approval.

We review our estimated costs of remediation annually for our manufactured gas plant sites and coal combustion product landfill sites. We adjust the liabilities and related regulatory assets, as appropriate, to reflect the new cost estimates. Any material changes in cost estimates are adjusted throughout the year.

(t) Customer Concentrations of Credit Risk—We provide regulated electric service to customers in Wisconsin and Michigan and regulated natural gas service to customers in Wisconsin, Illinois, Minnesota, and Michigan. The geographic concentration of our customers did not contribute significantly to our overall exposure to credit risk. We periodically review customers' credit ratings, financial statements, and historical payment performance and require them to provide collateral or other security as needed. Credit risk exposure at WE, WG, PGL, and NSG is mitigated by their recovery mechanisms for uncollectible expense discussed in Note 1(d), Operating Revenues. As a result, we did not have any significant concentrations of credit risk at December 31, 2018. In addition, there were no customers that accounted for more than 10% of our revenues for the year ended December 31, 2018.

NOTE 2—ACQUISITIONS

On January 1, 2018, we adopted ASU 2017-01, Business Combinations (Topic 805): Clarifying the Definition of a Business (ASU 2017-01). The amendments in this update clarify the definition of a business and provide guidance on evaluating whether transactions should be accounted for as acquisitions (or disposals) of assets or businesses. ASU 2017-01 also clarifies that transaction costs are capitalized in an asset acquisition but expensed in a business combination.

Acquisition of a Wind Generation Facility in South Dakota

In December 2018, we acquired an 80% ownership interest in Coyote Ridge, a 97.5 MW wind generating facility under construction in Brookings County, South Dakota, for \$61.4 million, which includes transaction costs. This wind generating facility is expected to be in service by the end of 2019. The project has a 12-year offtake agreement with an unaffiliated third party for all of the energy produced. Under the Tax Legislation, our investment in Coyote Ridge is expected to qualify for production tax credits and 100% bonus depreciation. We are entitled to 99% of the tax benefits related to this facility. Coyote Ridge is included in the non-utility energy infrastructure segment.

The table below shows the allocation of the purchase price to the assets acquired at the date of the acquisition. (in millions)

Net property, plant, and equipment	\$66.4
Noncontrolling interest	(5.0)
Total purchase price	\$61.4

Acquisition of a Wind Generation Facility in Illinois

In August 2018, we completed the acquisition of an 80% membership interest in a commercially operational 132 MW wind generating facility located in Henry County, Illinois, known as Bishop Hill III, for \$144.7 million, which includes transaction costs and is net of restricted cash acquired of \$4.5 million. In December 2018, we completed the acquisition of an additional 10% membership interest in Bishop Hill III, for \$18.2 million. Bishop Hill III has a 22-year offtake agreement with an unaffiliated company for the sale of all energy produced by the facility. Under the Tax Legislation, our investment in Bishop Hill III qualifies for production tax credits and 100% bonus depreciation. Bishop Hill III is included in the non-utility energy infrastructure segment.

2018 Form 10-K 93 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

The table below shows the allocation of the purchase price to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the date of the acquisition.

(in millions)

Current assets	\$1.4
Net property, plant, and equipment	190.2
Other long-term assets *	4.5
Current liabilities	(1.6)
Long-term liabilities	(8.3)
Noncontrolling interest	(18.8)
Total purchase price	\$167.4

* Represents restricted cash.

Acquisition of a Wind Generation Facility in Wisconsin

In April 2018, WPS, along with two unaffiliated utilities, completed the purchase of Forward Wind Energy Center, which consists of 86 wind turbines located in Wisconsin with a total capacity of 138 MW. The aggregate purchase price was \$172.9 million of which WPS's proportionate share was 44.6%, or \$77.1 million. In addition, we incurred transaction costs that are recorded to a regulatory asset. Since 2008 and up until the acquisition, WPS purchased 44.6% of the facility's energy output under a power purchase agreement.

The table below shows the allocation of the purchase price to the assets acquired at the date of the acquisition, which are included in rate base.

(in millions)

Current assets	\$0.2
Net property, plant, and equipment	76.9
Total purchase price	\$77.1

Under a joint ownership agreement with the two other utilities, WPS is entitled to its share of generating capability and output of the facility equal to its ownership interest. WPS is also paying its ownership share of additional capital expenditures and operating expenses. Forward Wind Energy Center is included in the Wisconsin segment.

Acquisition of Natural Gas Storage Facilities in Michigan

In June 2017, we completed the acquisition of Bluewater for \$226.0 million. Bluewater owns natural gas storage facilities in Michigan that provide approximately one-third of the current storage needs for our Wisconsin natural gas utilities. In addition, we incurred \$4.9 million of acquisition related costs that are recorded as a regulatory asset.

The table below shows the allocation of the purchase price to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the date of the acquisition. The excess of the purchase price over the estimated fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed was recognized as goodwill. Bluewater is included in the non-utility energy infrastructure segment.

(in millions)

Current assets	\$2.0
Net property, plant, and equipment	217.6
Goodwill	7.3
Current liabilities	(0.9)
Total purchase price	\$226.0

Acquisition of a Wind Generation Facility in Nebraska

In January 2019, we completed the acquisition of an 80% membership interest in Upstream, a commercially operational 202.5 MW wind generating facility, for \$276.0 million. Upstream is located in Antelope County, Nebraska and supplies energy to the Southwest Power Pool. Upstream's revenue will be substantially fixed over a 10-year period through an agreement with an unaffiliated third party. Under the Tax Legislation, our investment in Upstream qualifies for production tax credits and 100% bonus depreciation. Upstream is included in the non-utility energy infrastructure segment.

2018 Form 10-K 94 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

NOTE 3—DISPOSITIONS

Wisconsin Segment

Sale of Milwaukee County Power Plant

In April 2016, we sold the MCPP steam generation and distribution assets, located in Wauwatosa, Wisconsin. MCPP primarily provided steam to the Milwaukee Regional Medical Center hospitals and other campus buildings. During the second quarter of 2016, we recorded a pre-tax gain on the sale of \$10.9 million (\$6.5 million after tax), which was included in other operation and maintenance on our income statements. The assets included in the sale were not material and, therefore, were not presented as held for sale. The results of operations of this plant remained in continuing operations through the sale date as the sale did not represent a shift in our corporate strategy and did not have a major effect on our operations and financial results.

Corporate and Other Segment

Sale of Bostco LLC Real Estate Holdings

In March 2017, we sold the remaining real estate holdings of Bostco located in downtown Milwaukee, Wisconsin, which included retail, office, and residential space, and in October 2018, Bostco was dissolved. During the first quarter of 2017, we recorded an insignificant gain on the sale, which was included in other income, net on our income statements. The assets included in the sale were not material and, therefore, were not presented as held for sale. The results of operations associated with these assets remained in continuing operations through the sale date as the sale did not represent a shift in our corporate strategy and did not have a major effect on our operations and financial results.

Sale of Certain Assets of Wisvest LLC

In April 2016, as part of the MCPP sale transaction, we sold the chilled water generation and distribution assets of Wisvest, which are used to provide chilled water services to the Milwaukee Regional Medical Center hospitals and other campus buildings. During the second quarter of 2016, we recorded a pre-tax gain on the sale of \$19.6 million (\$11.8 million after tax), which was included in other income, net on our income statements. The assets included in the sale were not material and, therefore, were not presented as held for sale. The results of operations associated with these assets remained in continuing operations through the sale date as the sale did not represent a shift in our corporate strategy and did not have a major effect on our operations and financial results.

Sale of Integrys Transportation Fuels, LLC

Through a series of transactions in the fourth quarter of 2015 and the first quarter of 2016, we sold ITF, a provider of CNG fueling services and a single-source provider of CNG fueling facility design, construction, operation, and maintenance. There was no gain or loss recorded on the sales, as ITF's assets and liabilities were adjusted to fair value through purchase accounting. The results of operations of ITF remained in continuing operations through the sale date as the sale of ITF did not represent a shift in our corporate strategy and did not have a major effect on our operations and financial results. The pre-tax profit or loss of this component was not material through the sale date in 2016.

NOTE 4—OPERATING REVENUES

Disaggregation of Operating Revenues

The following tables present our operating revenues disaggregated by revenue source. We disaggregate revenues into categories that depict how the nature, amount, timing, and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows are affected by economic factors. For our segments, revenues are further disaggregated by electric and natural gas operations and then by customer class. Each customer class within our electric and natural gas operations have different expectations of service, energy and demand requirements, and are impacted by regulatory activities within their jurisdictions.

2018 Form 10-K 95 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Comparable amounts have not been presented for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, due to our adoption of ASU 2014-09, Revenues from Contracts with Customers, under the modified retrospective method. See Note 1(d), Operating Revenues, for more information about our significant accounting policies related to operating revenues.

(in millions)	Wisconsin	Illinois	Other States	Total Utility Operations	Electric Transmission	Non-Utility Energy Infrastructure	Corporate and Other	Reconciling Eliminations	WEC Energy Group Consolidated
Year ended December 31, 2018									
Electric	\$4,432.4	\$—	\$—	\$4,432.4	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$4,432.4
Natural gas	1,350.6	1,406.9	428.4	3,185.9	—	45.4	*—	(36.4)	3,194.9
Total utility revenues	5,783.0	1,406.9	428.4	7,618.3	—	45.4	—	(36.4)	7,627.3
Other non-utility revenues	—	0.2	16.1	16.3	—	34.6	7.9	(5.8)	53.0
Total revenues from contracts with customers	5,783.0	1,407.1	444.5	7,634.6	—	80.0	7.9	(42.2)	7,680.3
Other operating revenues	11.7	(7.1)	(6.3)	(1.7)	—	388.4	0.8	(388.3)	(0.8)
Total operating revenues	\$5,794.7	\$1,400.0	\$438.2	\$7,632.9	\$—	\$468.4	\$8.7	\$(430.5)	\$7,679.5

* Represents natural gas operating revenues from Bluewater.

Revenues from Contracts with Customers

Electric Utility Operating Revenues

The following table disaggregates electric utility operating revenues into customer class:

(in millions)	Electric Utility Operating Revenues Year ended December 31, 2018
Residential	\$1,636.3
Small commercial and industrial	1,408.6
Large commercial and industrial	912.2
Other	29.9
Total retail revenues	3,987.0
Wholesale	210.1
Resale	192.2
Steam	24.1
Other utility revenues	19.0
Total electric utility operating revenues	\$4,432.4

Table of Contents

Natural Gas Utility Operating Revenues

The following table disaggregates natural gas utility operating revenues into customer class:

(in millions)	Wisconsin	Illinois	Other States	Total Natural Gas Utility Operating Revenues
Year Ended December 31, 2018				
Residential	\$ 834.5	\$ 877.5	\$ 263.3	\$ 1,975.3
Commercial and industrial	436.7	266.9	140.0	843.6
Total retail revenues	1,271.2	1,144.4	403.3	2,818.9
Transport	70.8	244.1	31.8	346.7
Other utility revenues *	8.6	18.4	(6.7)	20.3
Total natural gas utility operating revenues	\$ 1,350.6	\$ 1,406.9	\$ 428.4	\$ 3,185.9

* Includes amounts collected from (refunded to) customers for purchased gas adjustment costs.

Other Non-Utility Operating Revenues

Other non-utility operating revenues consist primarily of the following:

(in millions)	Year Ended December 31, 2018
We Power revenues	\$ 25.3
Appliance service revenues	15.9
Distributed renewable solar project revenues	8.0
Wind generation revenues	3.6
Other	0.2
Total other non-utility operating revenues	\$ 53.0

Other Operating Revenues

Other operating revenues consist primarily of the following:

(in millions)	Year Ended December 31, 2018
Alternative revenues *	\$ (45.6)
Late payment charges	40.3
Leases	4.5
Total other operating revenues	\$ (0.8)

Negative amounts can result from alternative revenues being reversed to revenues from contracts with customers as the customer is billed for these alternative revenues. Negative amounts can also result from revenues to be refunded to customers subject to decoupling mechanisms and wholesale true-ups, as discussed in Note 1(d), Operating Revenues.

2018 Form 10-K 97 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

NOTE 5—REGULATORY ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

The following regulatory assets were reflected on our balance sheets as of December 31:

(in millions)	2018	2017	See Note
Regulatory assets ^{(1) (2)}			
Pension and OPEB costs ⁽³⁾	\$1,193.5	\$1,142.0	18
Plant retirements	832.3	15.1	6
Environmental remediation costs ⁽⁴⁾	687.1	676.6	22
Income tax related items ⁽⁵⁾	369.1	15.7	14
SSR	316.7	298.9	24
AROs	185.4	192.2	8
Electric transmission costs	58.1	221.0	24
We Power generation ⁽⁶⁾	43.0	71.3	
Uncollectible expense ⁽⁷⁾	38.7	35.1	1(d)
Energy efficiency programs ⁽⁸⁾	14.0	24.6	
Other, net	117.9	147.9	
Total regulatory assets	\$3,855.8	\$2,840.4	

Balance Sheet Presentation

Current assets	\$50.7	\$37.2
Regulatory assets	3,805.1	2,803.2
Total regulatory assets	\$3,855.8	\$2,840.4

Based on prior and current rate treatment, we believe it is probable that our utilities will continue to recover from customers the regulatory assets in this table. In accordance with GAAP, our regulatory assets do not include the allowance for ROE that is capitalized for regulatory purposes. This allowance was \$18.2 million and \$17.7 million at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

As of December 31, 2018, we had \$125.4 million of regulatory assets not earning a return, \$104.1 million of regulatory assets earning a return based on short-term interest rates, and \$316.7 million of regulatory assets earning a return based on long-term interest rates. The regulatory assets not earning a return primarily relate to certain environmental remediation costs, the recovery of which depends on the timing of the actual expenditures, as well as uncollectible expense, unamortized loss on reacquired debt, and our electric real-time market pricing program. The other regulatory assets in the table either earn a return or the cash has not yet been expended, in which case the regulatory assets are offset by liabilities.

Primarily represents the unrecognized future pension and OPEB costs related to our defined benefit pension and OPEB plans. We are authorized recovery of these regulatory assets over the average remaining service life of each plan.

As of December 31, 2018, we had made cash expenditures of \$70.7 million related to these environmental remediation costs. The remaining \$616.4 million represents our estimated future cash expenditures.

For information on the flow through of tax repairs and the regulatory treatment of the impacts of the Tax Legislation in our various jurisdictions, see Note 24, Regulatory Environment.

Represents amounts recoverable from customers related to WE's costs of the generating units leased from We Power, including subsequent capital additions.

- Represents amounts recoverable from customers related to our uncollectible expense tracking mechanisms and
- (7) riders. These mechanisms allow us to recover or refund the difference between actual uncollectible write-offs and the amounts recovered in rates.
- (8) Represents amounts recoverable from customers related to programs at the utilities designed to meet energy efficiency standards.

2018 Form 10-K 98 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

The following regulatory liabilities were reflected on our balance sheets as of December 31:

(in millions)	2018	2017	See Note
Regulatory liabilities			
Income tax related items ⁽¹⁾	\$2,406.6	\$2,134.1	14
Removal costs ⁽²⁾	1,329.6	1,294.9	
Pension and OPEB costs ⁽³⁾	238.3	114.2	18
Mines deferral ⁽⁴⁾	120.8	95.1	
Energy costs refundable through rate adjustments ⁽⁵⁾	39.6	42.0	
Energy efficiency programs ⁽⁶⁾	31.7	21.1	
Uncollectible expense ⁽⁷⁾	30.5	24.7	1(d)
Decoupling	30.5	1.8	24
Earnings sharing mechanisms	30.0	2.5	24
Derivatives	16.4	11.0	1(o)
Other, net	14.4	19.0	
Total regulatory liabilities	\$4,288.4	\$3,760.4	
Balance Sheet Presentation			
Current liabilities	\$36.8	\$41.8	
Regulatory liabilities	4,251.6	3,718.6	
Total regulatory liabilities	\$4,288.4	\$3,760.4	

⁽¹⁾ For information on the regulatory treatment of the impacts of the Tax Legislation in our various jurisdictions, see Note 24, Regulatory Environment.

Represents amounts collected from customers to cover the future cost of property, plant, and equipment removals
⁽²⁾ that are not legally required. Legal obligations related to the removal of property, plant, and equipment are recorded as AROs.

Primarily represents the unrecognized future pension and OPEB benefits related to our defined benefit pension and
⁽³⁾ OPEB plans. We will amortize these regulatory liabilities into net periodic benefit cost over the average remaining service life of each plan.

Represents the deferral of revenues less the associated cost of sales related to Tilden, which were not included in
⁽⁴⁾ the PSCW's 2015 rate order. We intend to request that this deferral be applied for the benefit of Wisconsin retail electric customers in a future rate proceeding.

Represents an over-collection of energy costs that will be refunded to customers in the future. When the rates we
⁽⁵⁾ charge to customers include energy costs that are higher than our actual energy costs, any over-collection outside of the allowable energy cost price variance is refunded to customers.

⁽⁶⁾ Represents amounts refundable to customers related to programs at the utilities designed to meet energy efficiency standards.

Represents amounts refundable to customers related to our uncollectible expense tracking mechanisms and riders.
⁽⁷⁾ These mechanisms allow us to recover or refund the difference between actual uncollectible write-offs and the amounts recovered in rates.

Table of Contents

NOTE 6—PROPERTY, PLANT, AND EQUIPMENT

Property, plant, and equipment consisted of the following at December 31:

(in millions)	2018	2017
Electric – generation	\$6,410.6	\$6,071.8
Electric – distribution	6,534.6	6,137.5
Natural gas – distribution, storage, and transmission	10,766.3	10,055.9
Property, plant, and equipment to be retired, net	174.8	930.6
Other	1,649.1	1,381.5
Less: Accumulated depreciation	7,573.6	7,021.8
Net	17,961.8	17,555.5
CWIP	707.5	508.2
Net utility property, plant, and equipment	18,669.3	18,063.7
We Power generation	3,244.4	3,215.9
Renewable generation	193.3	—
Natural gas storage	244.8	244.8
Net non-utility energy infrastructure	3,682.5	3,460.7
Corporate services	171.0	169.6
Other	127.1	166.9
Less: Accumulated depreciation	731.5	671.3
Net	3,249.1	3,125.9
CWIP	82.5	157.4
Net non-utility and other property, plant, and equipment	3,331.6	3,283.3
Total property, plant, and equipment	\$22,000.9	\$21,347.0

Wisconsin Segment Plant to be Retired

We have evaluated future plans for our older and less efficient fossil fuel generating units and have either retired or announced the retirement of the plants identified below. In December 2017, a severance liability in the amount of \$29.4 million was recorded in other current liabilities on our balance sheets within the Wisconsin segment related to these plant retirements.

(in millions)	
Severance liability at December 31, 2017	\$29.4
Severance payments	(10.7)
Other	(3.0)
Total severance liability at December 31, 2018	\$15.7

Pleasant Prairie Power Plant

The Pleasant Prairie power plant was retired effective April 10, 2018. The carrying value of this plant was \$645.9 million at December 31, 2018. This amount included the net book value of \$749.5 million, which was classified as a regulatory asset on our balance sheet. In addition, a \$103.6 million cost of removal reserve related to the Pleasant Prairie power plant was classified as a regulatory liability at December 31, 2018. WE continues to amortize this regulatory asset on a straight-line basis using the composite depreciation rates approved by the PSCW before this plant was retired. Amortization is included in depreciation and amortization in the income statement. WE has FERC approval to continue to collect the carrying value of the Pleasant Prairie power plant using the approved composite depreciation rates, in addition to a return on the remaining carrying value. However, this approval is subject to refund

while the FERC completes its prudence review. WE will address the accounting and regulatory treatment related to the retirement of Pleasant Prairie with the PSCW in conjunction with its anticipated 2019 rate case. The physical dismantlement of the plant will not occur immediately. It may take several years to finalize long-term plans for the site. See Note 22, Commitments and Contingencies, for more information.

2018 Form 10-K 100WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Presque Isle Power Plant

In October 2017, the MPSC approved UMERCE's application to construct and operate approximately 180 MW of natural gas-fired generation in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan. Upon receiving this approval, retirement of the PIPP generating units became probable. Pursuant to MISO's April 2018 approval of the retirement of the plant, the PIPP units are required to be retired on or before May 31, 2019. The carrying value of the PIPP units was \$174.8 million at December 31, 2018. This amount included net book value of \$185.4 million, which was classified as plant to be retired within property, plant, and equipment on our balance sheet. In addition, a \$10.6 million cost of removal reserve related to the PIPP units was classified as a regulatory liability at December 31, 2018. These units are included in rate base, and WE continues to depreciate them on a straight-line basis using the composite depreciation rates approved by the PSCW. Upon retirement of PIPP, WE will file with the FERC for approval to continue to collect the carrying value of the PIPP using the current approved composite depreciation rates, in addition to a return on the remaining carrying value. WE will address the accounting and regulatory treatment related to the retirement of the PIPP with the PSCW in conjunction with its anticipated 2019 Wisconsin rate case, and also expects that the retirement will be addressed by the MPSC. See Note 24, Regulatory Environment, for more information regarding the new natural gas-fired generation.

Pulliam Power Plant

In connection with a MISO ruling, WPS retired Pulliam Units 7 and 8 effective October 21, 2018. The carrying value of the Pulliam units was \$33.8 million at December 31, 2018. This amount included the net book value of \$57.2 million, which was classified as a regulatory asset on our balance sheet. In addition, a \$23.4 million cost of removal reserve related to the Pulliam units was classified as a regulatory liability at December 31, 2018. WPS continues to amortize this regulatory asset on a straight-line basis using the composite depreciation rates approved by the PSCW before these generating units were retired. Amortization is included in depreciation and amortization in the income statement. WPS has FERC approval to continue to collect the carrying value of the Pulliam power plant using the approved composite depreciation rates, in addition to a return on the remaining carrying value. FERC has completed its prudency review of Pulliam, concluding that the retirement of this plant was prudent. WPS will address the accounting and regulatory treatment related to the retirement of the Pulliam power plant with the PSCW in conjunction with its anticipated 2019 rate case. See Note 22, Commitments and Contingencies, for more information.

Edgewater Unit 4

The Edgewater 4 generating unit was retired effective September 28, 2018. The carrying value of the generating unit was \$8.1 million at December 31, 2018. This amount included the net book value of WPS's ownership share of this generating unit of \$10.0 million, which was classified as a regulatory asset on our balance sheet. In addition, a \$1.9 million cost of removal reserve related to the Edgewater 4 generating unit was classified as a regulatory liability at December 31, 2018. WPS continues to amortize this regulatory asset on a straight-line basis using the composite depreciation rates approved by the PSCW before this generating unit was retired. Amortization is included in depreciation and amortization in the income statement. WPS has FERC approval to continue to collect the carrying value of the Edgewater 4 generating unit using the approved composite depreciation rates, in addition to a return on the remaining carrying value. FERC has completed its prudency review of Edgewater 4, concluding that the retirement of this plant was prudent. WPS will address the accounting and regulatory treatment related to the retirement of the Edgewater 4 generating unit with the PSCW in conjunction with its anticipated 2019 rate case. See Note 22, Commitments and Contingencies, for more information.

NOTE 7—JOINTLY OWNED UTILITY FACILITIES

We Power and WPS hold joint ownership interests in certain electric generating facilities. They are entitled to their share of generating capability and output of each facility equal to their respective ownership interest. They pay their ownership share of additional construction costs and have supplied their own financing for all jointly owned projects. We record We Power's and WPS's proportionate share of significant jointly owned electric generating facilities as property, plant, and equipment on the balance sheets.

We Power leases its ownership interest in ER 1 and ER 2 to WE, and WE operates these units. WE and WPS record their respective share of fuel inventory purchases and operating expenses, unless specific agreements have been executed to limit their maximum exposure to additional costs. WE's and WPS's proportionate share of direct expenses for the joint operation of these plants is recorded in operating expenses in the income statements.

2018 Form 10-K 101 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Information related to jointly owned utility facilities at December 31, 2018 was as follows:

	We Power Elm Road Generating Station Units 1 and 2	WPS Weston Unit 4	Columbia Energy Center Units 1 and 2 ⁽²⁾	Forward Wind Energy Center
(in millions, except for percentages and MW)				
Ownership	83.34	% 70.0	% 28.1	% 44.6
Share of rated capacity (MW) ⁽¹⁾	1,056.8	384.9	314.8	8.7
In-service date	2010 and 2011	2008	1975 and 1978	2008
Property, plant, and equipment	\$2,450.6	\$615.4	\$438.8	\$123.7
Accumulated depreciation	\$(394.1)	\$(205.2)	\$(132.2)	\$(43.7)
CWIP	\$1.8	\$1.9	\$0.3	\$0.1

Values are primarily based on the net dependable capacity ratings for summer 2019 using historical generation.

⁽¹⁾ The summer period is the most relevant for capacity planning purposes. This is a result of continually reaching demand peaks in the summer months, primarily due to air conditioning demand.

Columbia Energy Center (Columbia) is jointly owned by Wisconsin Power and Light (WPL), Madison Gas and Electric (MGE), and WPS. In October 2016, WPL received an order from the PSCW approving amendments to the

⁽²⁾ Columbia joint operating agreement between the parties allowing WPS and MGE to forgo certain capital expenditures at Columbia. As a result, WPL will incur these capital expenditures in exchange for a proportional increase in its ownership share of Columbia. Based upon the additional capital expenditures WPL expects to incur through June 1, 2020, WPS's ownership interest would decrease to 27.5%.

NOTE 8—ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS

Our utilities have recorded AROs primarily for the removal of natural gas distribution mains and service pipes (including asbestos and polychlorinated biphenyls [PCBs]); asbestos abatement at certain generation and substation facilities, office buildings, and service centers; the removal and dismantlement of biomass and hydro generation facilities; the dismantling of wind generation projects; the disposal of PCB-contaminated transformers; the closure of fly-ash landfills at certain generation facilities; and the removal of above ground storage tanks. Regulatory assets and liabilities are established by our utilities to record the differences between ongoing expense recognition under the ARO accounting rules and the rate-making practices for retirement costs authorized by the applicable regulators.

ARO have also been recorded at Bishop Hill III and PDL for the dismantling of wind generation projects and the removal of solar equipment components, respectively.

On our balance sheets, AROs are recorded within other long-term liabilities. The following table shows changes to our AROs during the years ended December 31:

(in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Balance as of January 1	\$573.7	\$557.7	\$571.2
Accretion	28.0	27.5	28.3
Additions and revisions to estimated cash flows	(104.5) ⁽¹⁾	26.5 ⁽²⁾	—
Liabilities settled	(35.8)	(38.0)	(41.8)
Balance as of December 31	\$461.4	\$573.7	\$557.7

- ARO decreased \$127.3 million in 2018 due to revisions made to estimated cash flows primarily for changes in the cost to retire natural gas distribution pipe at PGL. Also in 2018, AROs increased \$10.7 million as a result of revisions made to estimated cash flows for the abatement of asbestos at WPS's Pulliam power plant, and a \$10.9 million ARO was recorded for the legal requirement to dismantle, at retirement, the wind generation projects known as Forward Wind Energy Center and Bishop Hill III. See Note 2, Acquisitions, for more information on Forward Wind Energy Center and Bishop Hill III.
- (1)
- (2) AROs increased \$20.5 million in 2017 due to revisions made to estimated cash flows primarily for changes in the cost to retire natural gas distribution pipe at PGL and NSG.

2018 Form 10-K 102 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

NOTE 9—GOODWILL

Goodwill represents the excess of the cost of an acquisition over the fair value of the identifiable net assets acquired. The following table shows changes to our goodwill balances by segment during the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017:

	Wisconsin		Illinois		Other States		Non-Utility Energy Infrastructure		Total	
(in millions)	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017
Goodwill balance as of January 1	\$2,104.3	\$2,104.3	\$758.7	\$758.7	\$183.2	\$183.2	\$7.3	\$—	\$3,053.5	\$3,046.2
Acquisition of Bluewater ⁽¹⁾	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	7.3	—	7.3
Adjustment to Bluewater purchase price allocation ⁽¹⁾	—	—	—	—	—	—	(0.7)	—	(0.7)	—
Goodwill balance as of December 31 ⁽²⁾	\$2,104.3	\$2,104.3	\$758.7	\$758.7	\$183.2	\$183.2	\$6.6	\$7.3	\$3,052.8	\$3,053.5

⁽¹⁾ See Note 2, Acquisitions, for more information on the acquisition of Bluewater.

⁽²⁾ We had no accumulated impairment losses related to our goodwill as of December 31, 2018.

In the third quarter of 2018, annual impairment tests were completed at all of our reporting units that carried a goodwill balance as of July 1, 2018. No impairments resulted from these tests.

NOTE 10—COMMON EQUITY

Stock-Based Compensation Plans

The following table summarizes our pre-tax stock-based compensation expense and the related tax benefit recognized in income for the years ended December 31:

(in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Stock options	\$5.2	\$3.4	\$3.5
Restricted stock	10.7	5.4	5.8
Performance units	20.2	20.2	8.7
Stock-based compensation expense	\$36.1	\$29.0	\$18.0
Related tax benefit	\$9.9	\$11.6	\$7.2

Stock-based compensation costs capitalized during 2018, 2017, and 2016 were not significant.

Stock Options

The following is a summary of our stock option activity during 2018:

Stock Options	Number of Options	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Weighted-Average Life (in years)	Remaining Contractual	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in millions)
Outstanding as of January 1, 2018	4,644,214	\$ 43.11			
Granted	710,710	\$ 65.59			

Edgar Filing: WEC ENERGY GROUP, INC. - Form 10-K

Exercised	(899,391)	\$	32.39		
Forfeited	(3,000)	\$	57.99		
Outstanding as of December 31, 2018	4,452,533	\$	48.86	6.1	\$ 90.8
Exercisable as of December 31, 2018	2,838,609	\$	42.77	4.9	\$ 75.2

The aggregate intrinsic value of outstanding and exercisable options in the above table represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value that would have been received by the option holders had they exercised all of their options on December 31, 2018. This is calculated as the difference between our closing stock price on December 31, 2018, and the option exercise price, multiplied by the number of in-the-money stock options. The intrinsic value of options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016 was \$32.4 million, \$33.8 million, and \$55.4 million, respectively. The actual tax benefit from option exercises for the same periods was approximately \$8.9 million, \$13.5 million, and \$22.2 million, respectively.

2018 Form 10-K 103 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2018, approximately \$3.0 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested and outstanding stock options was expected to be recognized over the next 1.7 years on a weighted-average basis.

During the first quarter of 2019, the Compensation Committee awarded 476,418 non-qualified stock options with a weighted-average exercise price of \$68.18 and a weighted-average grant date fair value of \$8.60 per option to certain of our officers and other key employees under its normal schedule of awarding long-term incentive compensation.

Restricted Shares

The following restricted stock activity occurred during 2018:

Restricted Shares	Number of Shares	Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value
Outstanding and unvested as of January 1, 2018	204,488	\$ 54.94
Granted	156,340	\$ 64.20
Released	(121,060)	\$ 54.97
Forfeited	(5,141)	\$ 58.68
Outstanding and unvested as of December 31, 2018	234,627	\$ 61.01

The intrinsic value of restricted stock released was \$7.9 million, \$5.4 million, and \$7.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively. The actual tax benefit from released restricted shares for the same years was \$2.2 million, \$2.1 million, and \$3.1 million, respectively.

As of December 31, 2018, approximately \$3.2 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested and outstanding restricted stock was expected to be recognized over the next 1.5 years on a weighted-average basis.

During the first quarter of 2019, the Compensation Committee awarded 73,571 restricted shares to certain of our directors, officers, and other key employees under its normal schedule of awarding long-term incentive compensation. The grant date fair value of these awards was \$68.18 per share.

Performance Units

During 2018, 2017, and 2016, the Compensation Committee awarded 217,560; 237,650; and 297,305 performance units, respectively, to officers and other key employees under the WEC Energy Group Performance Unit Plan.

Performance units with an intrinsic value of \$9.7 million, \$6.7 million, and \$19.1 million were settled during 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively. The actual tax benefit from the distribution of performance units for the same years was \$2.2 million, \$2.1 million, and \$6.8 million, respectively.

At December 31, 2018, we had 618,822 performance units outstanding, including dividend equivalents. A liability of \$38.1 million was recorded on our balance sheet at December 31, 2018 related to these outstanding units. As of December 31, 2018, approximately \$18.4 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested and outstanding performance units was expected to be recognized over the next 1.3 years on a weighted-average basis.

During the first quarter of 2019, we settled performance units with an intrinsic value of \$18.6 million. The actual tax benefit from the distribution of these awards was \$4.3 million. In January 2019, the Compensation Committee also awarded 148,036 performance units to certain of our officers and other key employees under its normal schedule of awarding long-term incentive compensation.

Restrictions

Our ability as a holding company to pay common stock dividends primarily depends on the availability of funds received from our utility subsidiaries and our non-utility subsidiaries, We Power and ATC Holding. Various financing arrangements and regulatory requirements impose certain restrictions on the ability of our subsidiaries to transfer funds to us in the form of cash dividends, loans, or advances. All of our utility subsidiaries, with the exception of UMERG and MGU, are prohibited from loaning funds to us, either directly or indirectly.

2018 Form 10-K 104 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

In accordance with their most recent rate orders, WE, WG, and WPS may not pay common dividends above the test year forecasted amounts reflected in their respective rate cases, if it would cause their average common equity ratio, on a financial basis, to fall below their authorized levels of 51%, 49.5%, and 51%, respectively. A return of capital in excess of the test year amount can be paid by each company at the end of the year provided that their respective average common equity ratios do not fall below the authorized levels.

WE may not pay common dividends to us under WE's Restated Articles of Incorporation if any dividends on its outstanding preferred stock have not been paid. In addition, pursuant to the terms of WE's 3.60% Serial Preferred Stock, WE's ability to declare common dividends would be limited to 75% or 50% of net income during a twelve month period if its common stock equity to total capitalization, as defined in the preferred stock designation, is less than 25% and 20%, respectively.

NSG's long-term debt obligations contain provisions and covenants restricting the payment of cash dividends and the purchase or redemption of its capital stock.

ATC Holding's and Bluewater Gas Storage's long-term debt obligations contain a provision requiring them to maintain a total funded debt to capitalization ratio of 65% or less.

WEC Energy Group and Integrys have the option to defer interest payments on their junior subordinated notes, from time to time, for one or more periods of up to 10 consecutive years per period. During any period in which they defer interest payments, they may not declare or pay any dividends or distributions on, or redeem, repurchase or acquire, their respective common stock.

See Note 12, Short-Term Debt and Lines of Credit, for discussion of certain financial covenants related to short-term debt obligations.

As of December 31, 2018, restricted net assets of our consolidated subsidiaries totaled approximately \$6.8 billion. Our equity in undistributed earnings of investees accounted for by the equity method were approximately \$383 million.

We do not believe that these restrictions will materially affect our operations or limit any dividend payments in the foreseeable future.

Share Purchases

We have instructed our independent agents to purchase shares on the open market to fulfill obligations under various stock-based employee benefit and compensations plans and to provide shares to participants in our dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan. As a result, no new shares of common stock were issued in 2018, 2017, or 2016.

The following is a summary of shares purchased to fulfill exercised stock options and restricted stock awards during the years ended December 31:

(in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Shares purchased	1.1	1.1	1.8
Cost of shares purchased	\$72.4	\$71.3	\$108.0

Common Stock Dividends

During the year ended December 31, 2018, our Board of Directors declared common stock dividends which are summarized below:

Date Declared	Date Payable	Per Share	Period
January 18, 2018	March 1, 2018	\$0.5525	First quarter

Edgar Filing: WEC ENERGY GROUP, INC. - Form 10-K

April 19, 2018	June 1, 2018	\$0.5525	Second quarter
July 19, 2018	September 1, 2018	\$0.5525	Third quarter
October 18, 2018	December 1, 2018	\$0.5525	Fourth quarter

On January 17, 2019, our Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.59 per share, which equates to an annual dividend of \$2.36 per share. The dividend is payable on March 1, 2019, to shareholders of record on February 14, 2019. In addition, the Board of Directors affirmed our dividend policy that continues to target a dividend payout ratio of 65-70% of earnings.

2018 Form 10-K 105 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

NOTE 11—PREFERRED STOCK

The following table shows preferred stock authorized and outstanding at December 31, 2018 and 2017:

(in millions, except share and per share amounts)	Shares Authorized	Shares Outstanding	Redemption Price Per Share	Total
WEC Energy Group \$.01 par value Preferred Stock	15,000,000	—	—	\$—
WE \$100 par value, Six Per Cent. Preferred Stock	45,000	44,498	—	4.4
\$100 par value, Serial Preferred Stock 3.60% Series	2,286,500	260,000	\$ 101	26.0
\$25 par value, Serial Preferred Stock	5,000,000	—	—	—
WPS \$100 par value, Preferred Stock	1,000,000	—	—	—
PGL \$100 par value, Cumulative Preferred Stock	430,000	—	—	—
NSG \$100 par value, Cumulative Preferred Stock	160,000	—	—	—
Total				\$30.4

NOTE 12—SHORT-TERM DEBT AND LINES OF CREDIT

The following table shows our short-term borrowings and their corresponding weighted-average interest rates as of December 31:

(in millions, except percentages)	2018	2017
Commercial paper		
Amount outstanding at December 31	\$1,440.1	\$1,444.6
Average interest rate on amounts outstanding at December 31	2.92 %	1.77 %

Our average amount of commercial paper borrowings based on daily outstanding balances during 2018, was \$1,350.7 million with a weighted-average interest rate during the period of 2.32%.

WEC Energy Group, WE, WPS, WG, and PGL have entered into bank back-up credit facilities to maintain short-term credit liquidity which, among other terms, require them to maintain, subject to certain exclusions, a total funded debt to capitalization ratio of 70.0%, 65.0%, 65.0%, 65.0%, and 65.0% or less, respectively. As of December 31, 2018, all companies were in compliance with their respective ratio.

Table of Contents

The information in the table below relates to our revolving credit facilities used to support our commercial paper borrowing program, including remaining available capacity under these facilities as of December 31:

(in millions)	Maturity	2018
WEC Energy Group	October 2022	\$1,200.0
WE	October 2022	500.0
WPS	October 2022	400.0
WG	October 2022	350.0
PGL	October 2022	350.0
Total short-term credit capacity		\$2,800.0

Less:

Letters of credit issued inside credit facilities	\$3.0
Commercial paper outstanding	1,440.1
Available capacity under existing agreements	\$1,356.9

Each of these facilities has a renewal provision for two one-year extensions, subject to lender approval.

The bank back-up credit facilities contain customary covenants, including certain limitations on the respective companies' ability to sell assets. The credit facilities also contain customary events of default, including payment defaults, material inaccuracy of representations and warranties, covenant defaults, bankruptcy proceedings, certain judgments, Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 defaults, and change of control. In addition, pursuant to the terms of our credit agreement, we must ensure that certain of our subsidiaries comply with several of the covenants contained therein.

NOTE 13—LONG-TERM DEBT AND CAPITAL LEASE OBLIGATIONS

See our statements of capitalization for details on our long-term debt.

WEC Energy Group, Inc.

In July 2018, we executed two interest rate swaps with a combined notional value of \$250.0 million to hedge the variable interest rate risk associated with our 2007 Junior Notes. The swaps will provide a fixed interest rate of 4.9765% on \$250.0 million of the \$500.0 million outstanding of 2007 Junior Notes through November 15, 2021.

In June 2018, we issued \$600.0 million of 3.375% Senior Notes due June 15, 2021. We used the net proceeds to repay short-term debt, including short-term debt used to redeem at par all \$114.9 million outstanding principal amount of Integrys's 2006 Junior Notes, to repay all \$300.0 million of our 1.65% Senior Notes that matured in June 2018, and for working capital and general corporate purposes.

Wisconsin Electric Power Company

In October 2018, WE issued \$300.0 million of 4.30% Debentures due October 15, 2048, and used the net proceeds to repay short-term debt and for working capital and other corporate purposes.

In July 2018, WE redeemed all \$80.0 million of its series of tax-exempt pollution control refunding bonds. From August 2009 until they were called, the bonds were not reported in our long-term debt because they were previously repurchased by WE.

Edgar Filing: WEC ENERGY GROUP, INC. - Form 10-K

In June 2018, WE's \$250.0 million of 1.70% Debentures matured, and the outstanding principal was paid with proceeds received from issuing commercial paper.

Integrus Holding, Inc.

In May 2018, Integrus redeemed at par all \$114.9 million outstanding of its 2006 Junior Notes.

2018 Form 10-K 107 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Wisconsin Public Service Corporation

In November 2018, WPS issued \$400.0 million of 3.35% Senior Notes due November 21, 2021. WPS used the net proceeds to pay all \$250.0 million outstanding principal amount of its 1.65% Senior Notes at maturity in December 2018, to repay short-term debt, and for working capital and other corporate purposes.

The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company

In November 2018, PGL issued \$150.0 million of 3.87% Series FFF Bonds due November 1, 2028. The net proceeds were used for general corporate purposes, including funding capital expenditures and the refinancing of short-term debt.

In November 2018, PGL's \$5.0 million of 8.00% Series TT Bonds matured, and the outstanding principal was repaid with proceeds from issuing commercial paper.

North Shore Gas Company

In November 2018, NSG issued \$50.0 million of 3.87% Series R Bonds due November 1, 2028. The net proceeds were used for general corporate purposes, including funding capital expenditures and the refinancing of short-term debt.

ATC Holding LLC

In December 2018, ATC Holding issued \$240.0 million of senior notes. The senior notes were issued in three tranches: \$85.0 million of 4.18% Senior Notes due December 20, 2025; \$56.5 million of 4.37% Senior Notes due December 20, 2028; and \$98.5 million of 4.47% Senior Notes due December 20, 2030. Net proceeds were used to make a special distribution to WEC Energy Group in order to balance ATC Holding's capital structure.

Bluewater Gas Storage, LLC

The long-term debt of Bluewater Gas Storage, a wholly owned subsidiary of Bluewater, amortizes on a mortgage-style basis. During 2019, \$2.4 million of Bluewater Gas Storage's outstanding \$122.7 million of 3.76% Senior Notes will mature. As a result, this balance was included in the current portion of long-term debt on our balance sheet at December 31, 2018.

W.E. Power, LLC

We Power's outstanding long-term debt below amortizes on a mortgage-style basis.

During 2019, \$6.2 million of We Power's outstanding \$95.1 million of 4.91% secured notes will mature. As a result, this balance was included in the current portion of long-term debt on our balance sheet at December 31, 2018.

During 2019, \$5.2 million of We Power's outstanding \$116.6 million of 6.00% secured notes will mature. As a result, this balance was included in the current portion of long-term debt on our balance sheet at December 31, 2018.

During 2019, \$12.0 million of We Power's outstanding \$182.7 million of 5.209% secured notes will mature. As a result, this balance was included in the current portion of long-term debt on our balance sheet at December 31, 2018.

During 2019, \$9.3 million of We Power's outstanding \$153.5 million of 4.673% secured notes will mature. As a result, this balance was included in the current portion of long-term debt on our balance sheet at December 31, 2018.

2018 Form 10-K 108 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Bonds and Notes

The following table shows the future maturities of our long-term debt outstanding (excluding obligations under capital leases) as of December 31, 2018:

(in millions) Payments

2019	\$360.1
2020	686.9
2021	1,338.8
2022	40.8
2023	42.8
Thereafter	7,918.2
Total	\$10,387.6

We amortize debt premiums, discounts, and debt issuance costs over the life of the debt and we include the costs in interest expense.

In connection with our outstanding 2007 Junior Notes, we executed a Replacement Capital Covenant dated May 11, 2007 (RCC), which we amended on June 29, 2015, for the benefit of persons that buy, hold, or sell a specified series of our long-term indebtedness (covered debt). Our 6.20% Senior Notes due April 1, 2033 have been designated as the covered debt under the RCC. The RCC provides that we may not redeem, defease, or purchase, and that our subsidiaries may not purchase, any 2007 Junior Notes on or before May 15, 2037, unless, subject to certain limitations described in the RCC, we have received a specified amount of proceeds from the sale of qualifying securities.

Effective August 2023, Integrys's \$400.0 million of 2013 6.00% Junior Subordinated Notes due 2073 will bear interest at the three-month LIBOR plus 322 basis points and will reset quarterly.

Certain long-term debt obligations contain financial and other covenants. Failure to comply with these covenants could result in an event of default, which could result in the acceleration of outstanding debt obligations.

Obligations Under Capital Leases

In 1997, WE entered into a 25-year power purchase contract with an unaffiliated independent power producer. The contract, for 236 MW of firm capacity from a natural gas-fired cogeneration facility, includes zero minimum energy requirements. When the contract expires in 2022, WE may, at its option and with proper notice, renew for another 10 years or purchase the generating facility at fair value or allow the contract to expire. We account for this contract as a capital lease and recorded the leased facility and corresponding obligation under the capital lease at the estimated fair value of the plant's electric generating facilities. We are amortizing the leased facility on a straight-line basis over the original 25-year term of the contract.

We treat the long-term power purchase contract as an operating lease for rate-making purposes and we record our minimum lease payments as cost of sales on our income statements. We paid a total of \$7.7 million, \$7.2 million, and \$37.6 million in minimum lease payments during 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively. We record the difference between the minimum lease payments and the sum of imputed interest and amortization costs calculated under capital lease accounting as a deferred regulatory asset on our balance sheets. Minimum lease payments are a function of the 236 MW of firm capacity we receive from the plant and the fixed monthly capacity rate published in the lease. Due to the timing and the amounts of the minimum lease payments, the regulatory asset increased to approximately \$78.5 million during 2009, at which time the regulatory asset began to be reduced to zero over the remaining life of the contract. The total obligation under the capital lease was \$23.3 million as of December 31, 2018, and will decrease to

zero over the remaining life of the contract.

For information on how the implementation of ASU 2016-02, Leases (Topic 842), is expected to impact the classification of lease expense effective January 1, 2019, for this capital lease, see Note 27, New Accounting Pronouncements.

2018 Form 10-K 109 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

The following is a summary of our capitalized leased facilities as of December 31:

(in millions)	2018	2017
Long-term power purchase commitment	\$140.3	\$140.3
Accumulated amortization	(120.9)	(115.2)
Total leased facilities	\$19.4	\$25.1

Future minimum lease payments under our capital lease and the present value of our net minimum lease payments as of December 31, 2018 are as follows:

(in millions)	Payments
2019	\$ 15.5
2020	16.4
2021	17.2
2022	7.6
Thereafter	—
Total minimum lease payments	56.7
Less: Estimated executory costs	(26.1)
Net minimum lease payments	30.6
Less: Interest	(7.3)
Present value of net minimum lease payments	23.3
Less: Due currently	(4.9)
Long-term obligations under capital lease	\$ 18.4

NOTE 14—INCOME TAXES

Income Tax Expense

The following table is a summary of income tax expense for the years ended December 31:

(in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Current tax (benefit) expense	\$(127.5)	\$111.8	\$72.7
Deferred income taxes, net	300.1	274.4	498.7
Investment tax credit, net	(2.8)	(2.7)	(4.9)
Total income tax expense	\$169.8	\$383.5	\$566.5

Statutory Rate Reconciliation

The provision for income taxes for each of the years ended December 31 differs from the amount of income tax determined by applying the applicable United States statutory federal income tax rate to income before income taxes as a result of the following:

	2018			2017 ⁽²⁾			2016		
	Amount	Effective Tax Rate		Amount	Effective Tax Rate		Amount	Effective Tax Rate	
(in millions)									
Expected tax at statutory federal tax rates	\$258.1	21.0 %		\$555.5	35.0 %		\$526.4	35.0 %	
State income taxes net of federal tax benefit	71.8	5.8 %		100.8	6.4 %		72.8	4.8 %	
Tax repairs ⁽¹⁾	(120.7)	(9.8)%		—	— %		—	— %	
Federal excess amortization	(16.8)	(1.4)%		—	— %		—	— %	
Production tax credits	(12.1)	(1.0)%		(16.8)	(1.1)%		(15.7)	(1.1)%	
AFUDC – Equity	(3.2)	(0.3)%		(4.0)	(0.3)%		(8.8)	(0.6)%	
Investment tax credit restored	(2.8)	(0.2)%		(2.7)	(0.2)%		(4.9)	(0.3)%	
Federal tax reform	—	— %		(226.9)	(14.3)%		—	— %	

Edgar Filing: WEC ENERGY GROUP, INC. - Form 10-K

Other, net	(4.5)	(0.3)%	(22.4)	(1.4)%	(3.3)	(0.2)%
Total income tax expense	\$169.8	13.8 %	\$383.5	24.1 %	\$566.5	37.6 %

In accordance with a settlement agreement with the PSCW, WE will flow through the tax benefit of its repair
⁽¹⁾ related deferred tax liabilities in 2018 and 2019, to maintain certain regulatory asset balances at their December 31, 2017 levels. The flow through treatment of the repair

2018 Form 10-K 110WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

related deferred tax liabilities offsets the negative income statement impact of holding the regulatory assets level, resulting in no change to net income. See Note 24, Regulatory Environment, for more information about the impact of the Tax Legislation and the Wisconsin rate settlement

- (2) In 2017, the net impact of tax reform in the amount of \$206.7 million is represented in both the Federal tax reform and State income taxes net of federal tax benefit lines above.

Deferred Income Tax Assets and Liabilities

On December 22, 2017, the Tax Legislation was signed into law. For businesses, the Tax Legislation reduced the corporate federal tax rate from a maximum of 35% to a 21% rate effective January 1, 2018. In December 2017, we recorded a tax benefit related to the re-measurement of our deferred taxes in the amount of \$2,657 million. Accordingly, the tax benefit related to our regulated utilities was recorded as both an increase to regulatory liabilities as well as a decrease to certain existing regulatory assets as of December 31, 2017. The effects of the Tax Legislation primarily at our non-utility energy infrastructure and corporate and other segments resulted in the recording of an income tax benefit of approximately \$206.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2017. This tax benefit was primarily due to a re-measurement of deferred tax assets and liabilities.

On December 22, 2017, the SEC staff issued guidance in Staff Accounting Bulletin 118 (SAB 118), Income Tax Accounting Implications of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, which provided for a measurement period of up to one year from the enactment date to complete accounting under GAAP for the tax effects of the legislation. Due to the complex and comprehensive nature of the enacted tax law changes, and their application under GAAP, certain amounts related to bonus depreciation and future tax benefit utilization recorded in the financial statements as a result of the Tax Legislation were considered "provisional" and subject to revision at December 31, 2017, and through 2018, as discussed in SAB 118.

In 2018, we considered all available guidance from industry and income tax authorities related to these tax items, and revised our Alternative Minimum Tax Credit valuation allowance, and revised our estimates for re-measurement of deferred income taxes related to guidance on bonus depreciation. At December 31, 2018, we no longer considered any amounts related to bonus depreciation and future tax benefit utilization "provisional." However, any further amendments or technical corrections to the Tax Legislation could subject these tax items to revision.

The components of deferred income taxes as of December 31 were as follows:

(in millions)	2018	2017
Deferred tax assets		
Tax gross up – regulatory items	\$579.2	\$585.8
Deferred revenues	129.3	128.8
Future tax benefits	70.6	303.9
Employee benefits and compensation	—	164.2
Property-related	—	24.4
Other	194.4	185.0
Total deferred tax assets	973.5	1,392.1
Valuation allowance	(11.4)	(15.7)
Net deferred tax assets	\$962.1	\$1,376.4
Deferred tax liabilities		
Property-related	\$3,436.9	\$3,464.6
Investment in transmission affiliate	420.6	321.2
Deferred costs – Pleasant Prairie	176.0	—

Edgar Filing: WEC ENERGY GROUP, INC. - Form 10-K

Employee benefits and compensation	121.2	285.8
Deferred transmission costs	55.4	60.1
Other	140.1	244.5
Total deferred tax liabilities	4,350.2	4,376.2
Deferred tax liability, net	\$3,388.1	\$2,999.8

Consistent with rate-making treatment, deferred taxes related to our regulated utilities in the table above are offset for temporary differences that have related regulatory assets and liabilities.

2018 Form 10-K 111 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

The components of net deferred tax assets associated with federal and state tax benefit carryforwards as of December 31, 2018 and 2017 are summarized in the tables below:

2018 (in millions)	Gross Value	Deferred Tax Effect	Valuation Allowance	Earliest Year of Expiration
Future tax benefits as of December 31, 2018				
Federal foreign tax credit	\$—	\$ 9.7	\$ (9.7)	2018
Other federal tax credit	—	39.3	(1.7)	2038
State net operating loss	275.9	17.0	—	2023
State tax credit	—	4.6	—	2018
Balance as of December 31, 2018	\$275.9	\$ 70.6	\$ (11.4)	

2017 (in millions)	Gross Value	Deferred Tax Effect	Valuation Allowance	Earliest Year of Expiration
Future tax benefits as of December 31, 2017				
Federal foreign tax credit	\$—	\$ 13.5	\$ (13.5)	2018
Other federal tax credit	—	259.6	(0.1)	2025
Charitable contribution and capital loss	21.7	8.6	(2.1)	2017
State net operating loss	282.7	17.2	—	2025
State tax credit	—	5.0	—	2017
Balance as of December 31, 2017	\$304.4	\$ 303.9	\$ (15.7)	

Valuation allowances of \$11.4 million have been established for certain tax benefit carryforwards obtained in the Integrys acquisition based on our projected ability to realize such benefits by offsetting future tax liabilities. Realization is dependent on generating sufficient tax liabilities prior to expiration of the tax benefit carryforwards.

Unrecognized Tax Benefits

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits is as follows:

(in millions)	2018	2017
Balance as of January 1	\$17.3	\$14.5
Additions for tax positions of prior years	2.8	7.9
Additions based on tax positions related to the current year	0.1	0.5
Reductions for tax positions of prior years	(0.2)	(5.6)
Balance as of December 31	\$20.0	\$17.3

The amount of unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, excludes deferred tax assets related to uncertainty in income taxes of \$2.0 million and \$2.1 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2018 and 2017, the net amount of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would impact the effective tax rate for continuing operations was \$18.0 million and \$15.2 million, respectively.

For the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016, we recognized \$0.5 million of interest expense, \$0.6 million of interest income, and \$0.2 million of interest expense, respectively, related to unrecognized tax benefits in our income statements. For the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016, we recognized no penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in our income statements. For the year ended December 31, 2018, we had \$0.7 million of interest accrued and no penalties accrued related to unrecognized tax benefits on our balance sheets. For the year ended December 31, 2017, we had \$0.2 million of interest accrued and no penalties accrued related to unrecognized tax benefits on our balance sheets.

Although analysis of our unrecognized tax benefits is ongoing, the potential estimated decrease in the total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits within the next 12 months are approximately \$3.0 million associated with statutes of limitations on certain tax years. We do not anticipate any significant increases in the total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits within the next 12 months.

We file income tax returns in the United States federal jurisdiction and state tax returns based on income in our major state operating jurisdictions of Wisconsin, Illinois, Michigan, and Minnesota. We also file tax returns in other state and local jurisdictions

2018 Form 10-K 112 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

with varying statutes of limitations. As of December 31, 2018, with a few exceptions, we were subject to examination by federal and state or local tax authorities for the 2013 through 2018 tax years in our major operating jurisdictions as follows:

Jurisdiction	Years
Federal	2015–2018
Illinois	2013–2018
Michigan	2014–2018
Minnesota	2014–2018
Wisconsin	2014–2018

NOTE 15—FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The following tables summarize our financial assets and liabilities that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis, categorized by level within the fair value hierarchy:

(in millions)	December 31, 2018			Total
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Derivative assets				
Natural gas contracts	\$6.3	\$ 1.8	\$ —	\$8.1
FTRs	—	—	7.4	7.4
Coal contracts	—	0.4	—	0.4
Total derivative assets	\$6.3	\$ 2.2	\$ 7.4	\$15.9
Investments held in rabbi trust	\$65.0	\$ —	\$ —	\$65.0
Derivative liabilities				
Natural gas contracts	\$4.7	\$ 0.8	\$ —	\$5.5
Coal contracts	—	0.1	—	0.1
Interest rate swaps	—	2.3	—	2.3
Total derivative liabilities	\$4.7	\$ 3.2	\$ —	\$7.9
(in millions)	December 31, 2017			Total
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Derivative assets				
Natural gas contracts	\$1.8	\$ 3.9	\$ —	\$5.7
Petroleum products contracts	1.2	—	—	1.2
FTRs	—	—	4.4	4.4
Coal contracts	—	1.1	—	1.1
Total derivative assets	\$3.0	\$ 5.0	\$ 4.4	\$12.4
Investments held in rabbi trust	\$120.7	\$ —	\$ —	\$120.7
Derivative liabilities				
Natural gas contracts	\$7.0	\$ 3.8	\$ —	\$10.8
Coal contracts	—	0.8	—	0.8
Total derivative liabilities	\$7.0	\$ 4.6	\$ —	\$11.6

The derivative assets and liabilities listed in the tables above include options, swaps, futures, physical commodity contracts, and other instruments used to manage market risks related to changes in commodity prices and interest rates. They also include FTRs, which are used to manage electric transmission congestion costs in the MISO Energy Markets.

We hold investments in the Integrys rabbi trust. These investments are restricted as they can only be withdrawn from the trust to fund participants' benefits under the Integrys deferred compensation plan and certain Integrys non-qualified pension plans. These investments are included in other long-term assets on our balance sheets. The net unrealized gains included in earnings related to the investments held at the end of the period were \$18.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2017. The net unrealized gains included in earnings for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2016 were not significant.

2018 Form 10-K 113 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the changes to derivatives classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy at December 31:

(in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Balance at the beginning of the period	\$4.4	\$5.1	\$3.6
Realized and unrealized losses	—	—	(0.2)
Purchases	18.4	13.8	15.2
Sales	—	—	(0.2)
Settlements	(15.4)	(14.5)	(13.3)
Balance at the end of the period	\$7.4	\$4.4	\$5.1

Unrealized gains and losses on Level 3 derivatives are deferred as regulatory assets or liabilities. Therefore, these fair value measurements have no impact on earnings. Realized gains and losses on these instruments flow through cost of sales on the income statements.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The following table shows the financial instruments included on our balance sheets that are not recorded at fair value at December 31:

(in millions)	2018		2017	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
Preferred stock	\$30.4	\$ 28.3	\$30.4	\$ 30.5
Long-term debt, including current portion *	10,335.7	10,554.9	9,561.7	10,341.9

* The carrying amount of long-term debt excludes capital lease obligations of \$23.3 million and \$27.0 million at December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively.

The fair values of long-term debt and preferred stock are categorized within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

NOTE 16—DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

None of our derivatives are designated as hedging instruments, with the exception of our interest rate swaps, which have been designated as cash flow hedges. The following table shows our derivative assets and derivative liabilities:

(in millions)	December 31, 2018		December 31, 2017	
	Derivative Assets	Derivative Liabilities	Derivative Assets	Derivative Liabilities
Other current				
Natural gas contracts	\$ 7.7	\$ 5.3	\$ 5.6	\$ 9.4
Petroleum products contracts	—	—	1.2	—
FTRs	7.4	—	4.4	—
Coal contracts	0.2	0.1	0.6	0.6
Interest rate swaps	—	0.4	—	—
Total other current	\$ 15.3	\$ 5.8	\$ 11.8	\$ 10.0
Other long-term				
Natural gas contracts	\$ 0.4	\$ 0.2	\$ 0.1	\$ 1.4

Edgar Filing: WEC ENERGY GROUP, INC. - Form 10-K

Coal contracts	0.2	—	0.5	0.2
Interest rate swaps	—	1.9	—	—
Total other long-term	\$ 0.6	\$ 2.1	\$ 0.6	\$ 1.6
Total	\$ 15.9	\$ 7.9	\$ 12.4	\$ 11.6

2018 Form 10-K 114 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Realized gains (losses) on derivatives not designated as hedging instruments are primarily recorded in cost of sales on the income statements. Our estimated notional sales volumes and realized gains (losses) were as follows for the years ended:

	December 31, 2018		December 31, 2017		December 31, 2016	
(in millions)	Volume	Gains	Volume	Gains (Losses)	Volume	Gains (losses)
Natural gas contracts	173.2 Dth	\$24.6	123.1 Dth	\$ (8.0)	151.1 Dth	\$(59.6)
Petroleum products contracts	6.0 gallons	1.6	18.0 gallons	(1.3)	14.7 gallons	(3.2)
FTRs	30.5 MWh	15.9	36.2 MWh	14.0	33.7 MWh	13.3
Total		\$42.1		\$ 4.7		\$(49.5)

The following table shows derivative assets and derivative liabilities if derivative instruments by counterparty were presented net on our balance sheets:

	December 31, 2018		December 31, 2017	
(in millions)	Derivative Assets	Derivative Liabilities	Derivative Assets	Derivative Liabilities
Gross amount recognized on the balance sheet	\$15.9	\$ 7.9	\$12.4	\$ 11.6
Gross amount not offset on the balance sheet	(4.0) ⁽¹⁾	(4.9) ⁽²⁾	(4.9)	(9.0) ⁽³⁾
Net amount	\$11.9	\$ 3.0	\$7.5	\$ 2.6

⁽¹⁾ Includes cash collateral received of \$0.2 million.

⁽²⁾ Includes cash collateral posted of \$1.1 million.

⁽³⁾ Includes cash collateral posted of \$4.1 million.

At December 31, 2018 and 2017, we had posted cash collateral of \$2.7 million and \$16.2 million, respectively, in our margin accounts. At December 31, 2018, we had also received cash collateral of \$0.2 million in our margin accounts. Certain of our derivative and non-derivative commodity instruments contain provisions that could require "adequate assurance" in the event of a material change in our creditworthiness, or the posting of additional collateral for instruments in net liability positions, if triggered by a decrease in credit ratings. We did not have any derivative instruments with specific credit risk-related contingent features that were in a net liability position at December 31, 2018. The aggregate fair value of all derivative instruments with these features that were in a net liability position at December 31, 2017 was \$3.7 million. At December 31, 2017, we had not posted any cash collateral related to the credit risk-related contingent features of these commodity instruments. If all of the credit risk-related contingent features contained in derivative instruments in a net liability position had been triggered at December 31, 2017, we would have been required to post collateral of \$2.7 million.

Cash Flow Hedges

In July 2018, we executed two interest rate swap agreements with a combined notional value of \$250.0 million to hedge the variable interest rate risk associated with our 2007 Junior Notes. The swap agreements will provide a fixed interest rate of 4.9765% on \$250.0 million of the \$500.0 million of outstanding 2007 Junior Notes through November 15, 2021. As these agreements qualified for cash flow hedge accounting treatment, the related gains and losses are being deferred in accumulated other comprehensive income (OCI) and are being amortized to interest expense as interest is accrued on the 2007 Junior Notes.

During 2015, we settled several forward interest rate swap agreements entered into to mitigate interest rate risk associated with the issuance of \$1.2 billion of long-term debt related to the acquisition of Integrys. As these agreements qualified for cash flow hedge accounting treatment, the proceeds of \$19.0 million received upon settlement were deferred in accumulated OCI and are being amortized as a decrease to interest expense over the periods in which the interest costs are recognized in earnings.

The table below shows the amounts related to these cash flow hedges recorded in OCI and in earnings at December 31:

(in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Amount of net derivative loss recognized in OCI	\$(2.9)	\$ —	—
Amount of net derivative gain reclassified from accumulated OCI to interest expense	1.6	2.2	2.2

2018 Form 10-K 115 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

We estimate that during the next twelve months, \$1.8 million will be reclassified from accumulated OCI as a reduction to interest expense.

Effective January 1, 2019, we adopted ASU 2017-12, Targeted Improvements to Accounting for Hedging Activities. The amendments in this update expand the strategies that qualify for hedge accounting, amend the presentation and disclosure requirements related to hedging activities, and provide overall targeted improvements to simplify hedge accounting in certain situations. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on our financial statements.

NOTE 17—GUARANTEES

The following table shows our outstanding guarantees:

(in millions)	Total Amounts Committed at December 31, 2018	Expiration		
		Less Than 1 Year	1 to 3 Years	Over 3 Years
Guarantees				
Guarantees supporting commodity transactions of subsidiaries ⁽¹⁾	\$ 5.6	\$5.6	\$ —	\$ —
Standby letters of credit ⁽²⁾	92.6	13.9	0.2	78.5
Surety bonds ⁽³⁾	9.2	9.1	0.1	—
Other guarantees ⁽⁴⁾	11.9	0.5	0.9	10.5
Total guarantees	\$ 119.3	\$29.1	\$ 1.2	\$ 89.0

⁽¹⁾ Consists of \$5.6 million to support the business operations of Bluewater.

At our request or the request of our subsidiaries, financial institutions have issued standby letters of credit for the ⁽²⁾ benefit of third parties that have extended credit to our subsidiaries. These amounts are not reflected on our balance sheets.

⁽³⁾ Primarily for workers compensation self-insurance programs and obtaining various licenses, permits, and rights-of-way. These amounts are not reflected on our balance sheets.

⁽⁴⁾ Consists of \$11.9 million related to other indemnifications, for which a liability of \$10.5 million related to workers compensation coverage was recorded on our balance sheets.

NOTE 18—EMPLOYEE BENEFITS

Pension and Other Postretirement Employee Benefits

We and our subsidiaries have defined benefit pension plans that cover substantially all of our employees, as well as several unfunded non-qualified retirement plans. In addition, we and our subsidiaries offer multiple OPEB plans to employees. The benefits for a portion of these plans are funded through irrevocable trusts, as allowed for income tax purposes. We also offer medical, dental, and life insurance benefits to active employees and their dependents. We expense the costs of these benefits as incurred.

Generally, former Wisconsin Energy Corporation employees who started with the company after 1995 receive a benefit based on a percentage of their annual salary plus an interest credit, while employees who started before 1996 receive a benefit based upon years of service and final average salary. New Wisconsin Energy Corporation management employees hired after December 31, 2014, and certain new represented employees hired after May 1, 2017, receive an annual company contribution to their 401(k) savings plan instead of being enrolled in the defined benefit plans.

For former Integrys employees, the defined benefit pension plans are closed to all new hires. In addition, the service accruals for the defined benefit pension plans were frozen for non-union employees as of January 1, 2013. These employees receive an annual company contribution to their 401(k) savings plan, which is calculated based on age, wages, and full years of vesting service as of December 31 each year.

We use a year-end measurement date to measure the funded status of all of our pension and OPEB plans. Due to the regulated nature of our business, we have concluded that substantially all of the unrecognized costs resulting from the recognition of the funded status of our pension and OPEB plans qualify as a regulatory asset.

2018 Form 10-K 116 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

The following tables provide a reconciliation of the changes in our plans' benefit obligations and fair value of assets:

(in millions)	Pension Costs		OPEB Costs	
	2018	2017	2018	2017
Change in benefit obligation				
Obligation at January 1	\$3,163.7	\$3,058.8	\$818.5	\$818.4
Service cost	47.1	44.6	23.7	24.1
Interest cost	114.3	121.8	29.9	32.9
Participant contributions	—	—	15.5	13.4
Plan amendments	—	—	(3.5)	(36.4)
Actuarial loss (gain)	(171.8)	162.6	(222.6)	12.9
Benefit payments	(226.1)	(224.1)	(55.4)	(48.8)
Federal subsidy on benefits paid	N/A	N/A	1.0	2.0
Transfer	—	—	1.1	—
Obligation at December 31	\$2,927.2	\$3,163.7	\$608.2	\$818.5

Change in fair value of plan assets				
Fair value at January 1	\$2,966.8	\$2,709.2	\$841.5	\$773.5
Actual return on plan assets	(122.2)	368.7	(35.2)	95.9
Employer contributions	72.3	113.0	5.3	7.5
Participant contributions	—	—	15.5	13.4
Benefit payments	(226.1)	(224.1)	(55.4)	(48.8)
Fair value at December 31	\$2,690.8	\$2,966.8	\$771.7	\$841.5
Funded status at December 31	\$(236.4)	\$(196.9)	\$163.5	\$23.0

The amounts recognized on our balance sheets at December 31 related to the funded status of the benefit plans were as follows:

(in millions)	Pension Costs		OPEB Costs	
	2018	2017	2018	2017
Other long-term assets	\$139.1	\$143.0	\$210.8	\$80.5
Pension and OPEB obligations	375.5	339.9	47.3	57.5
Total net (liabilities) assets	\$(236.4)	\$(196.9)	\$163.5	\$23.0

The accumulated benefit obligation for all defined benefit pension plans was \$2,804.9 million and \$3,057.7 million as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

The following table shows information for pension plans with an accumulated benefit obligation in excess of plan assets. Amounts presented are as of December 31:

(in millions)	2018	2017
Projected benefit obligation	\$1,930.8	\$679.5
Accumulated benefit obligation	1,882.2	630.3
Fair value of plan assets	1,572.7	339.6

Table of Contents

The following table shows the amounts that have not yet been recognized in our net periodic benefit cost as of December 31:

(in millions)	Pension Costs		OPEB Costs	
	2018	2017	2018	2017
Pre-tax accumulated other comprehensive loss (income) ⁽¹⁾				
Net actuarial loss (gain)	\$ 14.5	\$ 10.0	\$(1.6)	\$(1.0)
Prior service credits	—	—	(0.1)	(0.1)
Total	\$ 14.5	\$ 10.0	\$(1.7)	\$(1.1)
Net regulatory assets (liabilities) ⁽²⁾				
Net actuarial loss (gain)	\$ 1,184.1	\$ 1,136.8	\$(133.0)	\$(4.7)
Prior service costs (credits)	4.9	7.5	(100.0)	(111.8)
Total	\$ 1,189.0	\$ 1,144.3	\$(233.0)	\$(116.5)

⁽¹⁾ Amounts related to the nonregulated entities are included in accumulated other comprehensive loss (income).

⁽²⁾ Amounts related to the utilities and WBS are recorded as net regulatory assets or liabilities.

The following table shows the estimated amounts that will be amortized into net periodic benefit cost during 2019:

(in millions)	Pension Costs	OPEB Costs
Net actuarial loss (gain)	\$ 76.1	\$(5.7)
Prior service costs (credits)	2.2	(15.4)
Total 2019 – estimated amortization	\$ 78.3	\$(21.1)

The components of net periodic benefit cost (including amounts capitalized to our balance sheets) for the years ended December 31 were as follows:

(in millions)	Pension Costs			OPEB Costs		
	2018	2017	2016	2018	2017	2016
Service cost	\$ 47.1	\$ 44.6	\$ 45.4	\$ 23.7	\$ 24.1	\$ 26.1
Interest cost	114.3	121.8	130.8	29.9	32.9	37.0
Expected return on plan assets	(196.5)	(195.7)	(195.9)	(59.5)	(55.5)	(52.7)
Plan settlement	1.0	9.0	16.5	—	—	—
Amortization of prior service cost (credit)	2.7	2.9	3.4	(15.4)	(12.3)	(9.4)
Amortization of net actuarial loss	94.0	86.1	82.9	1.0	3.1	8.5
Net periodic benefit cost (credit)	\$ 62.6	\$ 68.7	\$ 83.1	\$(20.3)	\$(7.7)	\$ 9.5

Effective January 1, 2018, we adopted ASU 2017-07, Improving the Presentation of Net Periodic Pension Cost and Net Periodic Postretirement Benefit Cost, which modifies certain aspects of the accounting for employee benefit costs. Under the new guidance, only the service cost component can be included in total operating expenses. The remaining components of net periodic benefit cost are required to be presented in the income statement separately from the service cost component, outside of operating income. As required, this change was applied retrospectively to all prior periods presented. Accordingly, for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016, we have presented the service cost component of our retirement benefit plans in other operation and maintenance on the income statements, while presenting the non-service cost components in other income, net.

Table of Contents

As required by ASU 2017-07, our income statements for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, were retroactively restated from what was previously presented in our 2017 Annual Report on Form 10-K. The impacts to our income statements from adoption of this standard are reflected in the table below.

(in millions)	Year Ended December 31, 2017			Year Ended December 31, 2016		
	Form 10-K Income Statement	Impact of ASU 2017-07	Income Statement After Adoption	Form 10-K Income Statement	Impact of ASU 2017-07	Income Statement After Adoption
Operating expenses						
Other operation and maintenance	\$2,047.0	\$ 9.1	\$ 2,056.1	\$2,185.5	\$ (14.2)	\$ 2,171.3
Other expense						
Other income, net	64.6	9.1	73.7	80.8	(14.2)	66.6

In addition, under ASU 2017-07, only the service cost component of net periodic benefit cost is eligible for capitalization to property, plant, and equipment. In prior periods, a portion of all net benefit cost components was capitalized to property, plant, and equipment. As required, this amendment was applied prospectively, beginning January 1, 2018. As a result of the application of accounting principles for rate regulated entities, the non-service cost components of the net benefit cost that are no longer eligible for capitalization under this standard, but are capitalized under the regulatory framework, will be presented as regulatory assets or liabilities rather than property, plant, and equipment.

The weighted-average assumptions used to determine the benefit obligations for the plans were as follows for the years ended December 31:

	Pension		OPEB	
	2018	2017	2018	2017
Discount rate	4.30%	3.66%	4.27%	3.63%
Rate of compensation increase	3.66%	3.61%	N/A	N/A
Assumed medical cost trend rate (Pre 65)	N/A	N/A	6.25%	6.50%
Ultimate trend rate (Pre 65)	N/A	N/A	5.00%	5.00%
Year ultimate trend rate is reached (Pre 65)	N/A	N/A	2024	2024
Assumed medical cost trend rate (Post 65)	N/A	N/A	6.01%	6.09%
Ultimate trend rate (Post 65)	N/A	N/A	5.00%	5.00%
Year ultimate trend rate is reached (Post 65)	N/A	N/A	2028	2028

The weighted-average assumptions used to determine the net periodic benefit cost for the plans were as follows for the years ended December 31:

	Pension Costs		
	2018	2017	2016
Discount rate	3.71%	4.11%	4.35%
Expected return on plan assets	7.12%	7.11%	7.12%
Rate of compensation increase	3.66%	3.60%	3.75%

	OPEB Costs		
	2018	2017	2016
Discount rate	3.63%	4.04%	4.38%
Expected return on plan assets	7.25%	7.25%	7.25%
Assumed medical cost trend rate (Pre 65)	6.50%	7.00%	7.50%

Edgar Filing: WEC ENERGY GROUP, INC. - Form 10-K

Ultimate trend rate (Pre 65)	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%
Year ultimate trend rate is reached (Pre 65)	2024	2021	2021
Assumed medical cost trend rate (Post 65)	6.09%	7.00%	7.50%
Ultimate trend rate (Post 65)	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%
Year ultimate trend rate is reached (Post 65)	2028	2021	2021

2018 Form 10-K 119WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

We consult with our investment advisors on an annual basis to help us forecast expected long-term returns on plan assets by reviewing historical returns as well as calculating expected total trust returns using the weighted-average of long-term market returns for each of the major target asset categories utilized in the fund. For 2019, the expected return on assets assumption is 7.12% for the pension plans and 7.25% for the OPEB plans.

Assumed health care cost trend rates have a significant effect on the amounts reported by us for health care plans. For the year ended December 31, 2018, a one-percentage-point change in assumed health care cost trend rates would have had the following effects:

(in millions)	1%	1%
	Increase	Decrease
Effect on total of service and interest cost components of net periodic postretirement health care benefit cost	\$ 7.5	\$ (5.9)
Effect on health care component of the accumulated postretirement benefit obligations	44.3	(37.1)

Plan Assets

Current pension trust assets and amounts which are expected to be contributed to the trusts in the future are expected to be adequate to meet pension payment obligations to current and future retirees.

The Investment Trust Policy Committee oversees investment matters related to all of our funded benefit plans. The Committee works with external actuaries and investment consultants on an on-going basis to establish and monitor investment strategies and target asset allocations. Forecasted cash flows for plan liabilities are regularly updated based on annual valuation results. Target allocations are determined utilizing projected benefit payment cash flows and risk analyses of appropriate investments. They are intended to reduce risk, provide long-term financial stability for the plans and maintain funded levels which meet long-term plan obligations while preserving sufficient liquidity for near-term benefit payments.

The legacy Wisconsin Energy Corporation pension trust target asset allocations are 35% equity investments, 55% fixed income investments, and 10% private equity and real estate investments. The legacy Integrys pension trust target asset allocation is 45% equity investments, 45% fixed income investments, and 10% private equity and real estate investments. The two legacy Wisconsin Energy Corporation OPEB trusts' target asset allocations are 50% equity investments and 50% fixed income investments, and 70% equity investments and 30% fixed income investments, respectively. The two largest legacy OPEB trusts for Integrys have target asset allocations of 45% equity investments and 55% fixed income, and 50% equity investments and 50% fixed income, respectively. Equity securities include investments in large-cap, mid-cap, and small-cap companies. Fixed income securities include corporate bonds of companies from diversified industries, mortgage and other asset backed securities, commercial paper, and United States Treasuries.

Pension and OPEB plan investments are recorded at fair value. See Note 1(n), Fair Value Measurements, for more information regarding the fair value hierarchy and the classification of fair value measurements based on the types of inputs used.

The following tables provide the fair values of our investments by asset class:

(in millions)	December 31, 2018				OPEB Assets			
	Pension Plan Assets			Total				Total
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Asset Class								
Equity securities:								

Edgar Filing: WEC ENERGY GROUP, INC. - Form 10-K

United States Equity	\$281.7	\$—	\$	—\$281.7	\$88.2	\$—	\$	—\$88.2
International Equity	279.7	0.7	—	280.4	92.2	0.2	—	92.4
Fixed income securities: *								
United States Bonds	123.7	838.8	—	962.5	119.6	150.8	—	270.4
International Bonds	16.1	85.5	—	101.6	7.1	8.9	—	16.0
	\$701.2	\$925.0	\$	—\$1,626.2	\$307.1	\$159.9	\$	—\$467.0
Investments measured at net asset value				\$1,064.6				\$304.7
Total	\$701.2	\$925.0	\$	—\$2,690.8	\$307.1	\$159.9	\$	—\$771.7

* This category represents investment grade bonds of United States and foreign issuers denominated in United States dollars from diverse industries.

2018 Form 10-K 120 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

(in millions)	December 31, 2017 Pension Plan Assets				OPEB Assets			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Asset Class								
Cash and cash equivalents	\$—	\$53.6	\$—	\$53.6	\$19.6	\$2.3	\$—	\$21.9
Equity securities:								
United States Equity	345.0	0.1	—	345.1	101.0	—	—	101.0
International Equity	352.1	—	0.8	352.9	115.3	—	0.2	115.5
Fixed income securities: *								
United States Bonds	138.6	892.9	—	1,031.5	121.0	148.1	—	269.1
International Bonds	17.8	86.8	—	104.6	7.2	9.1	—	16.3
Private Equity and Real Estate	—	154.1	100.1	254.2	—	6.6	7.7	14.3
	\$853.5	\$1,187.5	\$100.9	\$2,141.9	\$364.1	\$166.1	\$7.9	\$538.1
Investments measured at net asset value				\$824.9				\$303.4
Total	\$853.5	\$1,187.5	\$100.9	\$2,966.8	\$364.1	\$166.1	\$7.9	\$841.5

* This category represents investment grade bonds of United States and foreign issuers denominated in United States dollars from diverse industries.

The following tables set forth a reconciliation of changes in the fair value of pension and OPEB plan assets categorized as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy:

(in millions)	Private Equity and Real Estate		International Equity	
	Pension	OPEB	Pension	OPEB
Beginning balance at January 1, 2018	\$100.1	\$7.7	\$0.8	\$0.2
Realized and unrealized gains (losses)	8.0	1.1	(0.1)	—
Purchases	18.3	1.5	—	—
Liquidations	(1.7)	(0.2)	—	—
Transfers out of level 3	(124.7)	(10.1)	(0.7)	(0.2)
Ending balance at December 31, 2018	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—

(in millions)	Private Equity and Real Estate		International Equity		U.S. Bonds
	Pension	OPEB	Pension	OPEB	Pension
Beginning balance at January 1, 2017	\$14.6	\$1.3	\$—	\$—	\$0.8
Realized and unrealized gains (losses)	2.8	0.3	(0.2)	—	(0.8)
Purchases	55.5	3.6	1.0	0.2	—
Transfers into level 3	27.2	2.5	—	—	—
Ending balance at December 31, 2017	\$100.1	\$7.7	\$0.8	\$0.2	\$—

Cash Flows

We expect to contribute \$11.9 million to the pension plans and \$0.7 million to the OPEB plans in 2019, dependent upon various factors affecting us, including our liquidity position and the effects of the new Tax Legislation.

The following table shows the payments, reflecting expected future service, that we expect to make for pension and OPEB:

(in millions)	Pension Costs	OPEB Costs
2019	\$ 239.0	\$ 35.4
2020	233.0	39.6
2021	230.9	41.3
2022	225.7	41.6
2023	215.8	42.5
2024-2028	985.5	213.6

2018 Form 10-K 121 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Savings Plans

We sponsor 401(k) savings plans which allow employees to contribute a portion of their pre-tax and/or after-tax income in accordance with plan-specified guidelines. A percentage of employee contributions are matched by us through a contribution into the employee's savings plan account, up to certain limits. The 401(k) savings plans include an Employee Stock Ownership Plan. Certain employees receive an employer retirement contribution, in which amounts are contributed to the employee's savings plan account based on the employee's wages, age, and years of service. Total costs incurred under all of these plans were \$49.3 million, \$47.9 million, and \$44.3 million in 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively.

NOTE 19—INVESTMENT IN TRANSMISSION AFFILIATES

We own approximately 60% of ATC, a for-profit, transmission-only company regulated by the FERC for cost of service and certain state regulatory commissions for routing and siting of transmission projects. We also own approximately 75% of ATC Holdco, a separate entity formed in December 2016 to invest in transmission-related projects outside of ATC's traditional footprint. The corporate managers for ATC and ATC Holdco each have an eleven-member board of directors. We have one representative on each board. Each member of the board has only one vote. Due to voting requirements, each individual board member has less than 10% of the voting control. The following tables provide a reconciliation of the changes in our investments in ATC and ATC Holdco:

	2018		
(in millions)	ATC	ATC Holdco	Total
Balance at January 1	\$1,515.8	\$37.6	\$1,553.4
Add: Earnings (loss) from equity method investment	139.6	(2.9)	136.7
Add: Capital contributions	48.2	5.3	53.5
Less: Distributions	78.2	—	78.2
Less: Other	0.1	—	0.1
Balance at December 31	\$1,625.3	\$40.0	\$1,665.3

	2017		
(in millions)	ATC	ATC Holdco	Total
Balance at January 1	\$1,443.9	\$—	\$1,443.9
Add: Earnings (loss) from equity method investment	166.0	(11.7)	154.3
Add: Capital contributions	60.3	49.3	109.6
Less: Distributions	154.2	*—	154.2
Less: Other	0.2	—	0.2
Balance at December 31	\$1,515.8	\$37.6	\$1,553.4

*Of this amount, \$39.9 million was recorded as a receivable from ATC in other current assets at December 31, 2017.

	ATC
(in millions)	2016
Balance at January 1	\$1,380.9
Add: Earnings from equity method investment	146.5
Add: Capital contributions	42.3
Add: Acquisition of Integrys's investment in ATC	(1.0)
Add: Equity method goodwill from the acquisition of Integrys ⁽¹⁾	10.4
Less: Distributions ⁽²⁾	135.1
Less: Other	0.1

Balance at December 31

\$1,443.9

- (1) Represents an adjustment to the purchase price allocated to Integrys's investment in ATC in excess of the recorded value.
- (2) Of this amount, \$35.2 million was recorded as a receivable from ATC in other current assets at December 31, 2016.

We pay ATC for network transmission and other related services it provides. In addition, we provide a variety of operational, maintenance, and project management work for ATC, which is reimbursed by ATC. We are required to pay the cost of needed transmission infrastructure upgrades for new generation projects while the projects are under construction. ATC reimburses us for these costs when the new generation is placed in service.

2018 Form 10-K 122 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes our significant related party transactions with ATC during the years ended December 31:

(in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Charges to ATC for services and construction	\$21.8	\$17.1	\$18.5
Charges from ATC for network transmission services	338.1	349.3	357.3
Refund from ATC related to a FERC audit	22.0	—	—
Refund from ATC per FERC ROE order	—	28.3	—

As of December 31, 2018 and 2017, our balance sheets included the following receivables and payables related to ATC:

(in millions)	2018	2017
Accounts receivable		
Services provided to ATC	\$ 3.4	\$ 1.5
Other current assets		
Dividends receivable from ATC	—	39.9
Accounts payable		
Services received from ATC	28.2	31.2

Summarized financial data for ATC is included in the tables below:

(in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Income statement data			
Operating revenues	\$690.5	\$721.7	\$650.8
Operating expenses	358.7	345.0	322.5
Other expense, net	108.3	104.1	95.5
Net income	\$223.5	\$272.6	\$232.8

(in millions)	December 31, 2018	December 31, 2017
Balance sheet data		
Current assets	\$ 87.2	\$ 87.7
Noncurrent assets	4,928.8	4,598.9
Total assets	\$ 5,016.0	\$ 4,686.6
Current liabilities	\$ 640.0	\$ 767.2
Long-term debt	2,014.0	1,790.6
Other noncurrent liabilities	295.3	240.3
Shareholders' equity	2,066.7	1,888.5
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 5,016.0	\$ 4,686.6

NOTE 20—SEGMENT INFORMATION

We use operating income to measure segment profitability and to allocate resources to our businesses. At December 31, 2018, we reported six segments, which are described below.

- The Wisconsin segment includes the electric and natural gas utility operations of WE, WG, WPS, and UMERG.

¶The Illinois segment includes the natural gas utility and non-utility operations of PGL and NSG.

•The other states segment includes the natural gas utility and non-utility operations of MERC and MGU.

The electric transmission segment includes our approximate 60% ownership interest in ATC, a for-profit, transmission-only company regulated by the FERC for cost of service and certain state regulatory commissions for routing and siting of transmission projects, and our approximate 75% ownership interest in ATC Holdco, which invests in transmission-related projects outside of ATC's traditional footprint.

2018 Form 10-K 123 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

The non-utility energy infrastructure segment includes We Power, which owns and leases generating facilities to WE, Bluewater, which owns underground natural gas storage facilities in Michigan that provide approximately one-third of the current storage needs for our Wisconsin natural gas utilities, our 90% membership interest in Bishop Hill III, a wind generating facility located in Henry County, Illinois, and our 80% membership interest in Coyote Ridge, a wind generating facility under construction in Brookings County, South Dakota. See Note 2, Acquisitions, for more information on Bluewater, Bishop Hill III, and Coyote Ridge.

The corporate and other segment includes the operations of the WEC Energy Group holding company, the Integrys holding company, the PELLC holding company, Wispark, Bostco, Wisvest, WECC, WBS, PDL, and ITF. In the first quarter of 2017, we sold substantially all of the remaining assets of Bostco, and, in October 2018, Bostco was dissolved. In the second quarter of 2016, we sold certain assets of Wisvest, which no longer has significant operations, and in the first quarter of 2016, the sale of ITF was completed. See Note 3, Dispositions, for more information on these sales.

All of our operations and assets are located within the United States. The following tables show summarized financial information related to our reportable segments for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016.

Utility Operations

2018 (in millions)	Wisconsin	Illinois	Other States	Total Utility Operations	Electric Transmission	Non-Utility Energy Infrastructure	Corporate and Other	Reconciliation Eliminations	WEC Energy Group Consolidated
External revenues	\$5,794.7	\$1,400.0	\$438.2	\$7,632.9	\$ —	\$ 37.9	\$ 8.7	\$ —	\$ 7,679.5
Intersegment revenues	—	—	—	—	—	430.5	—	(430.5)	—
Other operation and maintenance	2,076.1	472.3	101.0	2,649.4	—	12.6	1.8	(393.3)	2,270.5
Depreciation and amortization	546.6	170.3	24.1	741.0	—	75.7	29.1	—	845.8
Operating income (loss)	800.2	255.8	68.8	1,124.8	—	365.8	(22.2)	—	1,468.4
Equity in earnings of transmission affiliates	—	—	—	—	136.7	—	—	—	136.7
Interest expense	200.7	51.2	8.7	260.6	0.3	63.7	125.8	(5.3)	445.1
Capital expenditures and asset acquisitions	1,466.1	547.1	103.6	2,116.8	—	260.6	39.7	—	2,417.1
Total assets *	23,407.0	6,483.3	1,147.9	31,038.2	1,665.3	3,227.2	959.6	(3,414.5)	33,475.8

* Total assets at December 31, 2018 reflect an elimination of \$1,968.5 million for all lease activity between We Power and WE.

Utility Operations

2017 (in millions)	Wisconsin	Illinois	Other States	Total Utility Operations	Electric Transmission	Non-Utility Energy Infrastructure	Corporate and Other	Reconciliation Eliminations	WEC Energy Group Consolidated
External revenues	\$5,829.2	\$1,355.5	\$411.2	\$7,595.9	\$ —	\$ 38.9	\$ 13.7	\$ —	\$ 7,648.5
Intersegment revenues	—	—	—	—	—	446.3	—	(446.3)	—
Other operation and maintenance ⁽¹⁾	1,923.2	464.2	101.1	2,488.5	—	7.3	1.4	(441.1)	2,056.1
Depreciation and amortization	523.9	152.6	24.8	701.3	—	71.4	25.9	—	798.6

Edgar Filing: WEC ENERGY GROUP, INC. - Form 10-K

Operating income (loss) ⁽¹⁾	1,055.2	279.9	54.4	1,389.5	—	400.5	(13.9)	—	1,776.1
Equity in earnings of transmission affiliates	—	—	—	—	154.3	—	—	—	154.3
Interest expense	193.7	45.0	8.7	247.4	—	62.8	107.3	(1.8)	415.7
Capital expenditures	1,152.3	545.2	74.5	1,772.0	—	35.4	152.1	—	1,959.5
Total assets ⁽²⁾	22,237.1	6,144.7	1,067.8	29,449.6	1,593.4	2,992.8	953.6	(3,398.9)	31,590.5

2018 Form 10-K 124 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

- (1) Includes the retroactive restatement impacts of the implementation of ASU 2017-07. See Note 18, Employee Benefits, for more information on this new standard.
- (2) Total assets at December 31, 2017 reflect an elimination of \$2,038.1 million for all lease activity between We Power and WE.

2016 (in millions)	Utility Operations				Non-Utility				WEC Energy Group	
	Wisconsin	Illinois	Other States	Total Utility Operations	Electric Transmission	Energy Infrastructure	Corporate and Other	Reconciliation Eliminations	Consolidated	Consolidated
External revenues	\$5,805.4	\$1,242.2	\$376.5	\$7,424.1	\$ —	\$ 24.9	\$ 23.3	\$ —	\$ 7,472.3	
Intersegment revenues	0.3	—	—	0.3	—	423.3	—	(423.6)	—	
Other operation and maintenance ⁽¹⁾	2,034.6	463.6	108.8	2,607.0	—	4.3	(16.4)	(423.6)	2,171.3	
Depreciation and amortization	496.6	134.0	21.1	651.7	—	68.3	42.6	—	762.6	
Operating income (loss) ⁽¹⁾	1,017.8	261.1	51.2	1,330.1	—	375.6	(9.4)	—	1,696.3	
Equity in earnings of transmission affiliate	—	—	—	—	146.5	—	—	—	146.5	
Interest expense	180.9	38.9	8.5	228.3	—	62.1	120.9	(8.6)	402.7	
Capital expenditures	910.9	293.2	59.5	1,263.6	—	62.3	97.8	—	1,423.7	
Total assets ⁽²⁾	21,730.7	5,714.6	995.1	28,440.4	1,476.9	2,777.1	778.0	(3,349.2)	30,123.2	

- (1) Includes the retroactive restatement impacts of the implementation of ASU 2017-07. See Note 18, Employee Benefits, for more information on this new standard.
- (2) Total assets at December 31, 2016 reflect an elimination of \$2,029.5 million for all lease activity between We Power and WE.

NOTE 21—VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

The primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity must consolidate the entity's assets and liabilities. In addition, certain disclosures are required for significant interest holders in variable interest entities.

We assess our relationships with potential variable interest entities, such as our coal suppliers, natural gas suppliers, coal transporters, natural gas transporters, and other counterparties related to power purchase agreements, investments, and joint ventures. In making this assessment, we consider, along with other factors, the potential that our contracts or other arrangements provide subordinated financial support, the obligation to absorb the entity's losses, the right to receive residual returns of the entity, and the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance.

Investment in Transmission Affiliates

We own approximately 60% of ATC, a for-profit, electric transmission company regulated by the FERC and certain state regulatory commissions. We have determined that ATC is a variable interest entity but that consolidation is not required since we are not ATC's primary beneficiary. As a result of our limited voting rights, we do not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact ATC's economic performance. Therefore, we account for

ATC as an equity method investment. The significant assets and liabilities related to ATC recorded on our balance sheets were our equity investment, distributions receivable, and accounts payable. At December 31, 2018 and 2017, our equity investment was \$1,625.3 million and \$1,515.8 million, respectively, which approximates our maximum exposure to loss as a result of our involvement with ATC. In addition, we had a receivable of \$39.9 million recorded at December 31, 2017 for distributions from ATC. We also had \$28.2 million and \$31.2 million of accounts payable due to ATC at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively, for network transmission services.

We also own approximately 75% of ATC Holdco, a separate entity formed in December 2016 to invest in transmission-related projects outside of ATC's traditional footprint. We have determined that ATC Holdco is a variable interest entity but that consolidation is not required since we are not ATC Holdco's primary beneficiary. As a result of our limited voting rights, we do not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact ATC Holdco's economic performance. Therefore, we account for ATC Holdco as an

2018 Form 10-K 125 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

equity method investment. The only significant asset or liability related to ATC Holdco recorded on our balance sheets was our equity investment of \$40.0 million and \$37.6 million at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. Our equity investment approximates our maximum exposure to loss as a result of our involvement with ATC Holdco.

See Note 19, Investment in Transmission Affiliates, for more information.

Purchased Power Agreement

We have a purchased power agreement that represents a variable interest. This agreement is for 236 MW of firm capacity from a natural gas-fired cogeneration facility, and we account for it as a capital lease. The agreement includes no minimum energy requirements over the remaining term of approximately three years. We have examined the risks of the entity, including operations, maintenance, dispatch, financing, fuel costs, and other factors, and have determined that we are not the primary beneficiary of the entity. We do not hold an equity or debt interest in the entity, and there is no residual guarantee associated with the purchased power agreement.

We have \$56.7 million of required capacity payments over the remaining term of this agreement. We believe that the required capacity payments under this contract will continue to be recoverable in rates, and our maximum exposure to loss is limited to the capacity payments under the contract.

NOTE 22—COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

We and our subsidiaries have significant commitments and contingencies arising from our operations, including those related to unconditional purchase obligations, operating leases, environmental matters, and enforcement and litigation matters.

Unconditional Purchase Obligations

Our electric utilities have obligations to distribute and sell electricity to their customers, and our natural gas utilities have obligations to distribute and sell natural gas to their customers. The utilities expect to recover costs related to these obligations in future customer rates. In order to meet these obligations, we routinely enter into long-term purchase and sale commitments for various quantities and lengths of time.

Our non-utility energy infrastructure generation facilities have obligations to distribute and sell electricity through long-term offtake agreements with their customers for all of the energy produced. These projects also enter into related easements and other agreements associated with the generating facilities.

The following table shows our minimum future commitments related to these purchase obligations as of December 31, 2018, including those of our subsidiaries.

			Payments Due By Period					
(in millions)	Date Contracts Extend Through	Total Amounts Committed	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Later Years
Electric utility:								
Nuclear	2033	\$ 8,764.4	\$445.4	\$475.1	\$501.1	\$531.2	\$563.0	\$6,248.6
Purchased power	2043	494.0	92.8	62.6	58.4	51.5	46.6	182.1
Coal supply and transportation	2024	1,123.8	348.6	228.5	177.8	182.4	185.8	0.7
Natural gas utility:								
Supply and transportation	2048	1,564.7	324.1	258.3	162.1	116.7	75.0	628.5

Non-utility energy
infrastructure:

Purchased power	2049	55.9	1.0	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.5	49.1
Total		\$ 12,002.8	\$ 1,211.9	\$ 1,025.9	\$ 900.8	\$ 883.3	\$ 871.9	\$ 7,109.0

Operating Leases

We lease property, plant, and equipment under various terms. The operating leases generally require us to pay property taxes, insurance premiums, and maintenance costs associated with the leased property. Many of our leases contain one of the following options upon the end of the lease term: (a) purchase the property at the current fair market value, or (b) exercise a renewal option, as set forth in the lease agreement.

2018 Form 10-K 126 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Rental expense attributable to operating leases was \$11.7 million, \$13.2 million, and \$15.1 million in 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively.

Future minimum payments under noncancelable operating leases are payable as follows:

Year Ending December 31	Payments (in millions)
2019	\$ 8.7
2020	8.7
2021	6.8
2022	6.9
2023	7.1
Later years	48.7
Total	\$ 86.9

Environmental Matters

Consistent with other companies in the energy industry, we face significant ongoing environmental compliance and remediation obligations related to current and past operations. Specific environmental issues affecting us include, but are not limited to, current and future regulation of air emissions such as SO₂, NO_x, fine particulates, mercury, and GHGs; water intake and discharges; disposal of coal combustion products such as fly ash; and remediation of impacted properties, including former manufactured gas plant sites.

We have continued to pursue a proactive strategy to manage our environmental compliance obligations, including:

- the development of additional sources of renewable electric energy supply;
- the addition of improvements for water quality matters such as treatment technologies to meet regulatory discharge limits and improvements to our cooling water intake systems;
- the addition of emission control equipment to existing facilities to comply with ambient air quality standards and federal clean air rules;
- the protection of wetlands and waterways, threatened and endangered species, and cultural resources associated with utility construction projects;
- the retirement of old coal-fired power plants and conversion to modern, efficient, natural gas generation, super-critical pulverized coal generation, and/or replacement with renewable generation;
- the beneficial use of ash and other products from coal-fired and biomass generating units; and
- the remediation of former manufactured gas plant sites.

Air Quality

National Ambient Air Quality Standards

After completing its review of the 2008 ozone standard, the EPA released a final rule in October 2015, which lowered the limit for ground-level ozone, creating a more stringent standard than the 2008 NAAQS. The EPA issued final nonattainment area designations on May 1, 2018. The following counties within our service territories were designated as partial nonattainment: Door, Kenosha, Manitowoc, Northern Milwaukee/Ozaukee, and Sheboygan shorelines. The state of Wisconsin will need to develop a state implementation plan to bring these areas back into attainment. We will be required to comply with this state implementation plan no earlier than 2020. We believe we are well positioned to meet the requirements associated with the ozone standard and do not expect to incur significant

costs to comply.

Mercury and Air Toxics Standards

In December 2018, the EPA proposed to revise the Supplemental Cost Finding for the mercury and air toxics standards (MATS) rule as well as the CAA required risk and technology review (RTR). The EPA was required by the Supreme Court to review both costs and benefits of complying with the MATS rule. After its review of costs, the EPA determined that it is not appropriate and necessary to regulate hazardous air pollutant emissions from power plants under Section 112 of the CAA. As a result, under the proposed rule, the emission standards and other requirements of the MATS rule first enacted in 2012 would remain in place. The EPA is not proposing to

2018 Form 10-K 127 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

remove coal and oil fired power plants from the list of sources that are regulated under Section 112. The EPA also proposes that no revisions to MATS are warranted based on the results of the RTR. As a result, we do not expect the proposed rule to have a material impact on our financial condition or operations.

Climate Change

In 2015, the EPA issued a final rule regulating GHG emissions from existing generating units, referred to as the Clean Power Plan, and final performance standards for modified and reconstructed generating units and new fossil-fueled power plants. In February 2016, the Supreme Court stayed the effectiveness of the CPP until disposition of certain litigation in the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals challenging the rule and, to the extent that further appellate review is sought, at the Supreme Court. In April 2017, pursuant to motions made by the EPA, the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals ordered the challenges to the CPP, as well as related performance standards for new, reconstructed, and modified fossil-fueled power plants, to be held in abeyance, which remains the case.

In December 2017, the EPA issued an advanced notice of proposed rulemaking to solicit input on whether it is appropriate to replace the CPP. Then, in August 2018, the EPA issued a proposed replacement rule for the CPP, the ACE rule. The proposed ACE rule would require the EPA to develop emission guidelines for states to use to develop their individual state plans. The state plans would focus on reducing GHG emissions by improving the efficiency of fossil-fueled power plants.

In December 2018, the EPA proposed to revise the New Source Performance Standards for GHG emissions from new, modified, and reconstructed fossil fueled power plants. The EPA determined that the best system of emission reduction (BSER) for new, modified, and reconstructed coal units is highly efficient generation that would be equivalent to supercritical steam conditions for larger units and subcritical steam conditions for smaller units. This proposed BSER would replace the determination from the 2015 rule, which identified BSER as partial carbon capture and storage.

In addition, we are evaluating our goals, and possible subsequent actions, with respect to national and international efforts to reduce future GHG emissions in order to limit future global temperature increases to less than two degrees Celsius. We are working with industry members to evaluate potential GHG reduction pathways.

We continue to evaluate opportunities and actions that preserve fuel diversity, lower costs for our customers, and contribute towards long-term GHG reductions. Our plan is to work with our industry partners, environmental groups, and the State of Wisconsin, with goals of reducing CO₂ emissions by approximately 40% and 80% below 2005 levels by 2030 and 2050, respectively. We have implemented and continue to evaluate numerous options in order to meet our CO₂ reduction goals. As a result of our generation reshaping plan, we expect to retire approximately 1,800 MW of coal generation by 2020, including PIPP, which we are required to retire by May 31, 2019. This plan included the 2018 retirement of the Pleasant Prairie power plant, the Pulliam power plant, and the jointly-owned Edgewater Unit 4 generation units. See Note 6, Property, Plant, and Equipment, for more information.

We are required to report our CO₂ equivalent emissions from our electric generating facilities under the EPA Greenhouse Gases Reporting Program. For 2017, we reported aggregated CO₂ equivalent emissions of approximately 29.2 million metric tonnes to the EPA. Based upon our preliminary analysis of the data, we estimate that we will report CO₂ equivalent emissions of approximately 26.4 million metric tonnes to the EPA for 2018. The level of CO₂ and other GHG emissions varies from year to year and is dependent on the level of electric generation and mix of fuel sources, which is determined primarily by demand, the availability of the generating units, the unit cost of fuel consumed, and how our units are dispatched by MISO.

We are also required to report CO₂ equivalent amounts related to the natural gas that our natural gas utilities distribute and sell. For 2017, we reported aggregated CO₂ equivalent emissions of approximately 26.5 million metric tonnes to the EPA. Based upon our preliminary analysis of the data, we estimate that we will report CO₂ equivalent emissions of approximately 29.5 million metric tonnes to the EPA for 2018.

Water Quality

Clean Water Act Cooling Water Intake Structure Rule

In August 2014, the EPA issued a final regulation under Section 316(b) of the Clean Water Act, that requires the location, design, construction, and capacity of cooling water intake structures at existing power plants to reflect the Best Technology Available (BTA) for minimizing adverse environmental impacts. The rule became effective in October 2014 and applies to all of our existing generating facilities with cooling water intake structures, except for the ERGS units, which were permitted under the rules governing new facilities.

2018 Form 10-K 128 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

The rule requires state permitting agencies to make BTA determinations, subject to EPA oversight, over the next several years as facility permits are reissued. Based on our assessment, we believe that existing technologies at our generating facilities, except for Weston Unit 2, satisfy the BTA requirements. WPS retired Pulliam Units 7 and 8 effective October 21, 2018. See Note 6, Property, Plant, and Equipment, for more information on the retirement of the Pulliam generating units. Therefore, WPS will not be required to make alterations to the existing water intake at these units. Based on the March 2018 reissued WPDES permit for Weston, the WDNR will not require physical modifications to the Weston Unit 2 intake structure to meet the BTA requirements based on low capacity use of the unit.

We have received a BTA determination by the WDNR, with EPA concurrence, for our intake modification at the VAPP. There has also been an interim BTA determination made by the WDNR as part of the March 2018 reissued WPDES permit for Weston Units 3 and 4. We expect that the WDNR will conclude, in the next permit reissuance, that the existing cooling tower systems for Weston Units 3 and 4 are BTA. Due to the retirements of the Pleasant Prairie power plant, Pulliam Units 7 and 8, and our plans to retire PIPP, we do not believe that BTA determinations will be necessary for these units. Although we currently believe that existing technologies at PWGS and OC 5 through OC 8 satisfy the BTA requirements, final determinations will not be made until discharge permits are renewed for these units. Until that time, we cannot determine what, if any, intake structure or operational modifications will be required to meet the new BTA requirements for these units.

We also have provided information to the WDNR and the MDEQ about planned unit retirements. Following discussions with the MDEQ, in January 2019, we submitted a signed certification stating that PIPP will be retired no later than June 1, 2019. Based on this submittal, the MDEQ has authority to waive any remaining BTA requirements applicable to the PIPP units.

As a result of past capital investments completed to address 316(b) compliance at WE and WPS, we believe our fleet overall is well positioned to meet the new regulation and do not expect to incur significant costs to comply with this regulation.

Steam Electric Effluent Limitation Guidelines

The EPA's final steam electric effluent limitation guidelines (ELG) rule took effect in January 2016. This rule created new requirements for several types of power plant wastewaters. The two new requirements that affect WE and WPS relate to discharge limits for bottom ash transport water (BATW) and wet flue gas desulfurization (FGD) wastewater. Various petitions challenging the rule were consolidated and are pending in the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit. In April 2017, the EPA issued an administrative stay of certain compliance deadlines while further reviewing the rule. In September 2017, the EPA issued a final rule (Postponement Rule) to postpone the earliest compliance date to November 1, 2020 for the BATW and wet FGD wastewater requirements. The latest ELG rule compliance date remains December 31, 2023 for any new wastewater treatment requirements contained in power plant discharge permits. This rule applies to wastewater discharges from our power plant processes in Wisconsin. Litigation over various aspects of the final ELG rule and the Postponement Rule is pending in several federal courts.

As a result of past capital investments completed to address ELG compliance at WE and WPS, we believe our fleet overall is well positioned to meet this new regulation. Our power plant facilities already have advanced wastewater treatment technologies installed that meet many of the discharge limits established by this rule. However, as currently constructed, the ELG rule will require additional wastewater treatment retrofits as well as installation of other equipment to minimize process water use. Due to completed and pending generating unit retirements, we believe the only facilities that will require bottom ash system modifications are Weston Unit 3 and Oak Creek Units 7 and 8. One wastewater treatment system modification may be required for the wet FGD discharges from the six units that make

up the OCPP and ERGS. Based on preliminary engineering, the estimated rule compliance cost is approximately \$70 million.

Land Quality

Manufactured Gas Plant Remediation

We have identified sites at which our utilities or a predecessor company owned or operated a manufactured gas plant or stored manufactured gas. We have also identified other sites that may have been impacted by historical manufactured gas plant activities. Our natural gas utilities are responsible for the environmental remediation of these sites, some of which are in the EPA Superfund Alternative Approach Program. We are also working with various state jurisdictions in our investigation and remediation planning. These sites are at various stages of investigation, monitoring, remediation, and closure.

2018 Form 10-K 129 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

In addition, we are coordinating the investigation and cleanup of some of these sites subject to the jurisdiction of the EPA under what is called a "multisite" program. This program involves prioritizing the work to be done at the sites, preparation and approval of documents common to all of the sites, and use of a consistent approach in selecting remedies. At this time, we cannot estimate future remediation costs associated with these sites beyond those described below.

The future costs for detailed site investigation, future remediation, and monitoring are dependent upon several variables including, among other things, the extent of remediation, changes in technology, and changes in regulation. Historically, our regulators have allowed us to recover incurred costs, net of insurance recoveries and recoveries from potentially responsible parties, associated with the remediation of manufactured gas plant sites. Accordingly, we have established regulatory assets for costs associated with these sites.

We have established the following regulatory assets and reserves related to manufactured gas plant sites as of December 31:

(in millions)	2018	2017
Regulatory assets	\$687.1	\$676.6
Reserves for future remediation	616.4	617.2

Renewables, Efficiency, and Conservation

Wisconsin Legislation

In 2005, Wisconsin enacted Act 141, which established a goal that 10% of all electricity consumed in Wisconsin be generated by renewable resources by December 31, 2015. WE and WPS have achieved renewable energy percentages of 8.27% and 9.74%, respectively, and met their compliance requirements by constructing various wind parks, a biomass facility, and by also relying on renewable energy purchases. WE and WPS continue to review their renewable energy portfolios and acquire cost-effective renewables as needed to meet their requirements on an ongoing basis. The PSCW administers the renewable program related to Act 141, and each utility funds the program based on 1.2% of its annual operating revenues.

Michigan Legislation

In 2008, Michigan enacted Act 295, which required 10% of the state's electric energy to come from renewables by 2015 and energy optimization (efficiency) targets up to 1% annually by 2015. In December 2016, Michigan revised this legislation with Act 342, which requires additional renewable energy requirements beyond 2015. The revised legislation retained the 10% renewable energy portfolio requirement through 2018, increased the requirement to 12.5% for years 2019 through 2020, and increased the requirement to 15.0% for 2021. WE and UMERL were in compliance with these requirements as of December 31, 2018. The revised legislation continues to allow recovery of costs incurred to meet the standards and provides for ongoing review and revision to assure the measures taken are cost-effective. Upon the commercial operation of the new generating solution in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan and Tilden becoming a customer of UMERL, WE will no longer be subject to Michigan's renewable energy requirements. See Note 24, Regulatory Environment, for more information regarding the new natural gas-fired generation.

Enforcement and Litigation Matters

We and our subsidiaries are involved in legal and administrative proceedings before various courts and agencies with respect to matters arising in the ordinary course of business. Although we are unable to predict the outcome of these matters, management believes that appropriate reserves have been established and that final settlement of these actions will not have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Consent Decrees

Wisconsin Public Service Corporation Consent Decree – Weston and Pulliam Power Plants

In November 2009, the EPA issued an NOV to WPS, which alleged violations of the CAA's New Source Review requirements relating to certain projects completed at the Weston and Pulliam power plants from 1994 to 2009. WPS entered into a Consent Decree with the EPA resolving this NOV. This Consent Decree was entered by the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin in March 2013.

2018 Form 10-K 130 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

The final Consent Decree includes:

- the installation of emission control technology, including ReACT™ on Weston 3,
- changed operating conditions,
- limitations on plant emissions,
- beneficial environmental projects totaling \$6.0 million, and
- a civil penalty of \$1.2 million.

The Consent Decree also contains requirements to refuel, repower, and/or retire certain Weston and Pulliam units. Effective June 1, 2015, WPS retired Weston Unit 1 and Pulliam Units 5 and 6. In May 2016, the EPA approved WPS's proposed revision to update requirements reflecting the conversion of Weston Unit 2 from coal to natural gas fuel, and also proposed revisions to the list of beneficial environmental projects required by the Consent Decree. WPS retired Pulliam Units 7 and 8 on October 21, 2018. See Note 6, Property, Plant, and Equipment, for more information about the retirement. WPS completed the mitigation projects required and received a completeness letter from the EPA in October 2018. We plan to request termination of the WPS Consent Decree during 2019.

WPS received approval from the PSCW in its 2015 rate order to defer and amortize the undepreciated book value of the retired plant related to Weston Unit 1 and Pulliam Units 5 and 6 starting June 1, 2015, and concluding by 2023. Therefore, in June 2015, WPS recorded a regulatory asset of \$11.5 million for the undepreciated book value. In addition, WPS received approval from the PSCW in its rate orders to recover prudently incurred costs as a result of complying with the terms of the Consent Decree, with the exception of the civil penalty.

Joint Ownership Power Plants Consent Decree – Columbia and Edgewater

In December 2009, the EPA issued an NOV to Wisconsin Power and Light, the operator of the Columbia and Edgewater plants, and the other joint owners of these plants, including Madison Gas and Electric, WE (former co-owner of an Edgewater unit), and WPS. The NOV alleged violations of the CAA's New Source Review requirements related to certain projects completed at those plants. WPS, along with Wisconsin Power and Light, Madison Gas and Electric, and WE, entered into a Consent Decree with the EPA resolving this NOV. This Consent Decree was entered by the United States District Court for the Western District of Wisconsin in June 2013. WE paid an immaterial portion of the assessed penalty but has no further obligations under the Consent Decree.

The final Consent Decree includes:

- the installation of emission control technology, including scrubbers at the Columbia plant,
- changed operating conditions,
- limitations on plant emissions,
- beneficial environmental projects, with WPS's portion totaling \$1.3 million, and
- WPS's portion of a civil penalty and legal fees totaling \$0.4 million.

As a result of the continued implementation of the Consent Decree related to the jointly owned Columbia and Edgewater plants, the Edgewater 4 generating unit was retired on September 28, 2018. See Note 6, Property, Plant, and Equipment, for more information about the retirement.

NOTE 23—SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

	Year Ended December 31		
(in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Cash (paid) for interest, net of amount capitalized	\$(441.5)	\$(413.7)	\$(411.9)
Cash (paid) received for income taxes, net	(16.3)	5.2	39.7

Edgar Filing: WEC ENERGY GROUP, INC. - Form 10-K

Significant non-cash transactions:

Accounts payable related to construction costs	65.9	169.2	170.1
Receivable related to corporate-owned life insurance proceeds	7.7	—	—
Portion of Bostco real estate holdings sale financed with note receivable *	—	7.0	—

*See Note 3, Dispositions, for more information on this sale.

2018 Form 10-K 131 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Effective January 1, 2018, we adopted ASU 2016-18, Restricted Cash. Under this ASU, amounts generally described as restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents are included with cash and cash equivalents when reconciling the beginning-of-the period and end-of-the period total amounts shown on the statements of cash flows. As a result, we no longer present transfers between cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents in the statements of cash flows. Instead, changes in restricted cash are classified as either operating activities, investing activities, or financing activities.

The majority of our restricted cash consists of amounts held in the Integrys rabbi trust, which are used to fund participants' benefits under the Integrys deferred compensation plan and certain Integrys non-qualified pension plans. All assets held within the rabbi trust are restricted as they can only be withdrawn from the trust to make qualifying benefit payments.

Our statements of cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 were retroactively restated from what was previously presented in our 2017 Annual Report on Form 10-K to reflect the adoption of ASU 2016-18. The impacts to our statements of cash flows from adoption of this standard are reflected in the table below.

(in millions)	Year Ended December 31, 2017			Year Ended December 31, 2016		
	Form 10-K Cash Flows	Impact of ASU 2016-18	Cash Flows After Adoption	Form 10-K Cash Flows	Impact of ASU 2016-18	Cash Flows After Adoption
Operating Activities						
Change in –						
Other current assets	\$ (6.0)	\$ (1.1)	\$ (7.1)	\$ 103.1	\$ 0.1	\$ 103.2
Other, net	(197.5)	0.1	(197.4)	(53.8)	0.2	(53.6)
Net cash provided by operating activities	2,079.6	(1.0)	2,078.6	2,103.5	0.3	2,103.8
Investing Activities						
Withdrawal of restricted cash from rabbi trust for qualifying payments	19.5	(19.5)	—	26.6	(26.6)	—
Proceeds from the sale of investments held in rabbi trust	—	8.7	8.7	—	1.7	1.7
Purchase of investments held in rabbi trust	—	(3.7)	(3.7)	—	(59.2)	(59.2)
Net cash used in investing activities	(2,239.6)	(14.5)	(2,254.1)	(1,270.1)	(84.1)	(1,354.2)
Net change in cash, cash equivalents, and restricted cash	1.4	(15.5)	(14.1)	(12.3)	(83.8)	(96.1)
Cash, cash equivalents, and restricted cash at beginning of year	37.5	35.2	72.7	49.8	119.0	168.8
Cash, cash equivalents, and restricted cash at end of year	\$ 38.9	\$ 19.7	\$ 58.6	\$ 37.5	\$ 35.2	\$ 72.7

The following table provides a reconciliation of cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash reported within the balance sheets to the sum of the total of the same amounts shown in the statements of cash flows at December 31:

(in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 84.5	\$ 38.9	\$ 37.5
Restricted cash included in other current assets	2.5	—	0.8
Restricted cash included in other long term assets	59.1	19.7	34.4
Cash, cash equivalents, and restricted cash	\$ 146.1	\$ 58.6	\$ 72.7

Effective January 1, 2018, we retrospectively adopted ASU 2016-15, Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments. There are eight main provisions of this ASU for which current GAAP either was unclear or did not include specific guidance. The adoption of this guidance had no impact on our financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016.

ASU 2016-15 provides an accounting policy election for classifying distributions received from equity method investments. We adopted the cumulative earnings approach for classifying distributions received in the statements of cash flows. Under the cumulative earnings approach, we compare the distributions received to cumulative equity method earnings since inception. Any distributions received up to the amount of cumulative equity earnings are considered a return on investment and classified in operating activities. Any excess distributions are considered a return of investment and classified in investing activities. We did not receive any excess distributions during the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016.

2018 Form 10-K 132 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

NOTE 24—REGULATORY ENVIRONMENT

Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017

In December 2017, our regulated utilities deferred for return to ratepayers, through future refunds, bill credits, riders, or reductions in other regulatory assets, the estimated tax benefit of \$2,450 million related to the Tax Legislation that was signed into law in December 2017. This tax benefit resulted from the revaluation of deferred taxes. The Tax Legislation also reduced the corporate federal tax rate from a maximum of 35% to a 21% rate, effective January 1, 2018. We have received written orders from the PSCW and the MPSC addressing the refunding of certain of these tax benefits to ratepayers in Wisconsin and Michigan, respectively. The ICC has approved the VITA in Illinois, and the MPUC addressed the impacts to MERC in its 2018 rate order. See the Variable Income Tax Adjustment Rider discussion and the 2018 Minnesota Rate Case discussion below for more information. A summary of the Wisconsin and Michigan orders is outlined below.

Wisconsin

In May 2018, the PSCW issued an order regarding the benefits associated with the Tax Legislation. The PSCW order requires WE's and WPS's electric utility operations to use 80% and 40%, respectively, of the current 2018 and 2019 tax benefits to reduce certain regulatory assets. The remaining 20% and 60% at WE and WPS, respectively, is to be returned to electric customers in the form of bill credits. For our Wisconsin natural gas utility operations, the PSCW indicated that 100% of the current 2018 and 2019 tax benefits should be returned to natural gas customers in the form of bill credits. Regarding the net tax benefit associated with the revaluation of deferred taxes, amortization required in accordance with normalization accounting is to be used to reduce certain regulatory assets for our electric utilities and is being deferred at our natural gas utilities. The timing and method of returning the remaining net tax benefit associated with the revaluation of deferred taxes at our electric and natural gas utilities was not addressed and will be determined in a future rate proceeding.

Michigan

In February 2018, the MPSC issued an order requiring Michigan utilities to make three filings related to the Tax Legislation. The first of those filings, which was filed in March 2018, prospectively addressed the impact on base rates for the change in tax expense resulting from the federal tax rate reduction from 35% to 21%. UMER and MGU proposed providing a volumetric bill credit, subject to reconciliation and true up. In May 2018, the MPSC issued orders approving settlements that resulted in volumetric bill credits for all of UMER's and MGU's customers effective July 1, 2018.

The second filing, which was filed in July 2018, addressed the impact on base rates for the change in tax expense resulting from the federal tax rate reduction from 35% to 21% from January 1, 2018 until July 1, 2018. UMER and MGU proposed to return the tax savings from these months to customers via volumetric bill credits over multiple months. The MPSC issued orders approving settlements in September 2018. In accordance with the settlement orders, the savings were returned to UMER's and MGU's customers via volumetric bill credits that were in effect from October 1, 2018 through December 31, 2018.

The third filing was filed in October 2018 and addressed the remaining impacts of the Tax Legislation on base rates – most notably the re-measurement of deferred tax balances. UMER and MGU proposed providing a volumetric bill credit, subject to reconciliation and true up, to return these remaining impacts of the Tax Legislation to customers. The MPSC has not yet issued an order with respect to this filing.

WE, which serves one retail electric customer in Michigan, has reached a settlement with that customer. That settlement was approved by the MPSC in May 2018 and addressed all base rate impacts of the Tax Legislation, which are being returned to the customer through bill credits.

Wisconsin Electric Power Company, Wisconsin Gas LLC, and Wisconsin Public Service Corporation

2018 and 2019 Rates

During April 2017, WE, WG, and WPS filed an application with the PSCW for approval of a settlement agreement they made with several of their commercial and industrial customers regarding 2018 and 2019 base rates. In September 2017, the PSCW issued an order that approved the settlement agreement, which freezes base rates through 2019 for electric, natural gas, and steam customers

2018 Form 10-K 133 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

of WE, WG, and WPS. Based on the PSCW order, the authorized ROE for WE, WG, and WPS remains at 10.2%, 10.3%, and 10.0%, respectively, and the current capital cost structure for all of our Wisconsin utilities will remain unchanged through 2019.

In addition to freezing base rates, the settlement agreement extends and expands the electric real-time market pricing program options for large commercial and industrial customers and mitigates the continued growth of certain escrowed costs at WE during the base rate freeze period by accelerating the recognition of certain tax benefits. WE will flow through the tax benefit of its repair-related deferred tax liabilities in 2018 and 2019, to maintain certain regulatory asset balances at their December 31, 2017 levels. While WE would typically follow the normalization accounting method and utilize the tax benefits of the deferred tax liabilities in rate-making as an offset to rate base, benefiting customers over time, the federal tax code does allow for passing these tax repair-related benefits to ratepayers much sooner using the flow through accounting method. The flow through treatment of the repair-related deferred tax liabilities offsets the negative income statement impact of holding the regulatory assets level, resulting in no change to net income.

The agreement also allows WPS to extend through 2019, the deferral for the revenue requirement of ReACT™ costs above the authorized \$275.0 million level, and other deferrals related to WPS's electric real-time market pricing program and network transmission expenses. The total cost of the ReACT™ project, excluding \$51 million of AFUDC, was \$342 million.

Pursuant to the settlement agreement, WPS also agreed to adopt, beginning in 2018, the earnings sharing mechanism that has been in place for WE and WG since January 2016, and all three utilities agreed to keep the mechanism in place through 2019. Under this earnings sharing mechanism, if WE, WG, or WPS earns above its authorized ROE, 50% of the first 50 basis points of additional utility earnings must be shared with customers. All utility earnings above the first 50 basis points must also be shared with customers.

As required in the settlement agreement, WE, WG, and WPS anticipate initiating a rate proceeding with the PSCW by April 1, 2019.

Acquisition of a Wind Energy Generation Facility in Wisconsin

In October 2017, WPS, along with two other unaffiliated utilities, entered into an agreement to purchase Forward Wind Energy Center, which consists of 86 wind turbines located in Wisconsin with a total capacity of 138 MW. The FERC approved the transaction in January 2018, and the PSCW approved the transaction in March 2018. The transaction closed on April 2, 2018. See Note 2, Acquisitions, for more information.

Wisconsin Public Service Corporation Proposed Solar Generation Projects

On May 31, 2018, WPS, along with an unaffiliated utility, filed an application with the PSCW for approval to acquire ownership interests in two proposed solar projects in Wisconsin. Badger Hollow Solar Farm will be located in Iowa County, Wisconsin, and Two Creeks Solar Project will be located in Manitowoc County, Wisconsin. Subject to receipt of the PSCW's approval, WPS will own 100 MW of the output of each project for a total of 200 MW. WPS's share of the cost of both projects is estimated to be \$260 million.

Natural Gas Storage Facilities in Michigan

In January 2017, we signed an agreement for the acquisition of Bluewater. Bluewater owns natural gas storage facilities in Michigan that provide approximately one-third of the current storage needs for the natural gas operations of WE, WG, and WPS. As a result of this agreement, WE, WG, and WPS filed a request with the PSCW in February

2017 for a declaratory ruling on various items associated with the storage facilities. In the filing, WE, WG, and WPS requested that the PSCW review and confirm the reasonableness and prudence of their potential long-term storage service agreements and interstate natural gas transportation contracts related to the storage facilities. WE, WG, and WPS also requested approval to amend our Affiliated Interest Agreement to ensure WBS and our other subsidiaries could provide services to the storage facilities. During June 2017, the PSCW granted, subject to various conditions, these declarations and approvals, and we acquired Bluewater on June 30, 2017. In September 2017, WE, WG, and WPS entered into the long-term service agreements for the natural gas storage, which were approved by the PSCW in November 2017. See Note 2, Acquisitions, for more information.

2016 Wisconsin Public Service Corporation Rate Order

In April 2015, WPS initiated a rate proceeding with the PSCW. In December 2015, the PSCW issued a final written order for WPS, effective January 1, 2016. The order, which reflected a 10.0% ROE and a common equity component average of 51.0%, authorized a net retail electric rate decrease of \$7.9 million (-0.8%) and a net retail natural gas rate decrease of \$6.2 million (-2.1%). The decrease

2018 Form 10-K 134 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

in retail electric rates was due to lower monitored fuel costs in 2016 compared with 2015. Absent the adjustment for electric fuel costs, WPS would have realized an electric rate increase. Based on the order, the PSCW allowed WPS to escrow ATC and MISO network transmission expenses through 2016. In addition, SSR payments are escrowed until a future rate proceeding. The order directed WPS to defer as a regulatory asset or liability the differences between actual transmission expenses and those included in rates. In addition, the PSCW approved a deferral for ReACT™, which required WPS to defer the revenue requirement of ReACT™ costs above the authorized \$275.0 million level through 2016. Fuel costs will continue to be monitored using a 2% tolerance window.

In March 2016, WPS requested extensions from the PSCW through 2017 for the deferral of the revenue requirement of ReACT™ costs above the authorized \$275.0 million level as well as escrow accounting of ATC and MISO network transmission expenses. In April 2016, WPS also requested to extend through 2017 the previously approved deferral of the revenue requirement difference between the Real Time Market Pricing and the standard tariffed rates for any of WPS's large commercial and industrial customers who entered into a service agreement with WPS under Real Time Market Pricing prior to April 15, 2016. These requests were approved by the PSCW in June 2016.

The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company and North Shore Gas Company

Illinois Proceedings

In December 2015, the ICC ordered a series of stakeholder workshops to evaluate PGL's SMP. This ICC action did not impact PGL's ongoing work to modernize and maintain the safety of its natural gas distribution system, but it instead provided the ICC with an opportunity to analyze long-term elements of the program through the stakeholder workshops. The workshops were completed in March 2016. In July 2016, the ICC initiated a proceeding to review, among other things, the planning, reporting, and monitoring of the program, including the target end date for the program, and issued a final order in January 2018. The order did not have a significant impact on PGL's existing SMP design and execution. An appeal related to the final order was filed by the Illinois Attorney General in April 2018.

Qualifying Infrastructure Plant Rider

In July 2013, Illinois Public Act 98-0057, The Natural Gas Consumer, Safety & Reliability Act, became law. This law provides PGL with a cost recovery mechanism that allows collection, through a surcharge on customer bills, of prudently incurred costs to upgrade Illinois natural gas infrastructure. In September 2013, PGL filed with the ICC requesting the proposed rider, which was approved in January 2014.

PGL's QIP rider is subject to an annual reconciliation whereby costs are reviewed for accuracy and prudence. In March 2018, PGL filed its 2017 reconciliation with the ICC, which, along with the 2016 and 2015 reconciliations, are still pending. In February 2018, PGL agreed to a settlement of the 2014 reconciliation, which included a rate base reduction of \$5.4 million and a \$4.7 million refund to ratepayers.

As of December 31, 2018, there can be no assurance that all costs incurred under PGL's QIP rider during the open reconciliation years will be deemed recoverable by the ICC.

Variable Income Tax Adjustment Rider

In April 2018, the ICC approved the VITA proposed by PGL and NSG. The VITA recovers or refunds changes in income tax expense resulting from differences in income tax rates and amortization of deferred tax excesses and deficiencies (in accordance with the Tax Legislation) from the amounts used in the last PGL and NSG rate case, effective January 25, 2018.

Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation

2018 Minnesota Rate Case

In October 2017, MERC initiated a rate proceeding with the MPUC. In November 2017, the MPUC approved an interim rate order, effective January 1, 2018, authorizing a retail natural gas rate increase of \$9.5 million (3.78%). In March 2018, to reflect changes in MERC's effective tax rate as a result of the enactment of the Tax Legislation, the MPUC approved a \$2.5 million reduction in interim

2018 Form 10-K 135 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

retail natural gas rates to \$7.0 million (2.81%), effective April 1, 2018. The interim rates reflect a 9.11% ROE and a common equity component average of 50.9%.

In December 2018, the MPUC issued a final written order for MERC. The order authorized a retail natural gas rate increase of \$3.1 million (1.26%). The rates reflect a 9.7% ROE and a common equity component average of 50.9%. In January 2019, the Minnesota Attorney General filed a petition for reconsideration requesting the MPUC reconsider its decision to set the ROE at 9.7%. The MPUC's order is stayed while the petition for rehearing is pending, and interim rates remain in effect. MERC's customers will be entitled to a refund to the extent the interim rate increase exceeds the final approved rate increase.

The final order addressed the various impacts of the Tax Legislation, including the remeasurement of deferred tax balances. All of the impacts from the Tax Legislation will be included in base rates. The order also approved MERC's continued use of its decoupling mechanism for residential customers. Effective January 1, 2019, MERC's small commercial and industrial customers will no longer be included in the decoupling mechanism.

2016 Minnesota Rate Order

In September 2015, MERC initiated a rate proceeding with the MPUC. In October 2016, the MPUC issued a final written order for MERC, effective March 1, 2017. The order authorized a retail natural gas rate increase of \$6.8 million (3.0%). The rates reflected a 9.11% ROE and a common equity component average of 50.32%. The order approved MERC's request to continue the use of its decoupling mechanism for another three years. The final approved rate increase was lower than the interim rates collected from customers during 2016. Therefore, we refunded \$4.1 million to MERC's customers in 2017.

Michigan Gas Utilities Corporation

2016 Michigan Rate Order

In June 2015, MGU initiated a rate proceeding with the MPSC. In December 2015, the MPSC issued a final written order, effective January 1, 2016, approving a settlement agreement for MGU. The order authorized a retail natural gas rate increase of \$3.4 million (2.4%), a 9.9% ROE, and a common equity component average of 52.0%. Based on the settlement agreement, MGU discontinued the use of its decoupling mechanism after December 31, 2015. In addition, since bonus depreciation was in effect in 2016, MGU established a regulatory liability for the resulting cost savings and must refund the liability in its next general rate case.

Upper Michigan Energy Resources Corporation

Formation of Upper Michigan Energy Resources Corporation

In December 2016, both the MPSC and the PSCW approved the operation of UMERC as a stand-alone utility in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan, and UMERC became operational effective January 1, 2017. This utility holds the electric and natural gas distribution assets, previously held by WE and WPS, located in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan.

In August 2016, we entered into an agreement with Tilden under which Tilden will purchase electric power from UMERC for its iron ore mine for 20 years, contingent upon UMERC's construction of approximately 180 MW of natural gas-fired generation in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan.

In October 2017, the MPSC approved both the agreement with Tilden and UMEREC's application for a certificate of necessity to begin construction of the proposed generation. The estimated cost of this project is \$266 million (\$277 million with AFUDC), 50% of which is expected to be recovered from Tilden, with the remaining 50% expected to be recovered from UMEREC's other utility customers. The new units are expected to begin commercial operation during the second quarter of 2019. Upon receiving the MPSC's approval, retirement of WE's PIPP generating units became probable. Pursuant to MISO's April 2018 approval of the retirement of the plant, the PIPP units are required to be retired on or before May 31, 2019. Tilden will remain a customer of WE until this new generation begins commercial operation.

2018 Form 10-K 136 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

NOTE 25—OTHER INCOME, NET

Total other income, net was as follows for the years ended December 31:

(in millions)	2018	2017	2016
AFUDC – Equity	\$15.2	\$11.4	\$25.1
Non-service credit (cost) components of net periodic benefit costs	26.0	9.1	(14.2)
Gain on repurchase of notes	—	—	23.6
Other, net	29.1	53.2	32.1
Other income, net	\$70.3	\$73.7	\$66.6

NOTE 26—QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION (Unaudited)

(in millions, except per share amounts)	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Total
2018					
Operating revenues	\$2,286.5	\$1,672.5	\$1,643.7	\$2,076.8	\$7,679.5
Operating income	545.1	330.8	302.7	289.8	1,468.4
Net income attributed to common shareholders	390.1	231.0	233.2	205.0	1,059.3
Earnings per share ⁽¹⁾					
Basic	\$1.24	\$0.73	\$0.74	\$0.65	\$3.36
Diluted	1.23	0.73	0.74	0.65	3.34
2017					
Operating revenues	\$2,304.5	\$1,631.5	\$1,657.5	\$2,055.0	\$7,648.5
Operating income ⁽²⁾	614.7	362.2	392.2	407.0	1,776.1
Net income attributed to common shareholders	356.6	199.1	215.4	432.6	1,203.7
Earnings per share ⁽¹⁾					
Basic	\$1.13	\$0.63	\$0.68	\$1.37	\$3.81
Diluted	1.12	0.63	0.68	1.36	3.79

Earnings per share for the individual quarters may not total the year ended earnings per share amount because of

⁽¹⁾ changes to the average number of shares outstanding and changes in incremental issuable shares throughout the year.

⁽²⁾ Includes the retroactive restatement impacts of the implementation of ASU 2017-07. See Note 18, Employee Benefits, for more information on this new standard.

NOTE 27—NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Leases

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, Leases (Topic 842), which revised the previous guidance (Topic 840) regarding accounting for leases. Revisions include requiring a lessee to recognize a lease asset and a lease liability on its balance sheet for each lease, including operating leases with an initial term greater than 12 months. In addition, required quantitative and qualitative disclosures related to lease agreements were expanded. For lessors however, accounting for leases was largely unchanged from previous provisions of GAAP.

We have finalized our inventory of leases, documented our technical accounting issues, and implemented required changes to internal controls and processes as a result of the new lease guidance. In addition, we continue to finalize the related financial disclosures that will be incorporated into our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended

March 31, 2019.

As required, we adopted Topic 842 for interim and annual periods beginning January 1, 2019. We utilized the following practical expedients, which were available under ASU 2016-02, in our adoption of the new lease guidance.

• We did not reassess whether any expired or existing contracts were leases or contained leases.

We did not reassess the lease classification for any expired or existing leases (that is, all leases that were classified as operating leases in accordance with Topic 840 continue to be classified as operating leases, and all leases that were classified as capital leases in accordance with Topic 840 continue to be classified as capital leases).

2018 Form 10-K 137 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

❖We did not reassess the accounting for initial direct costs for any existing leases.

We did not elect the practical expedient allowing entities to account for the nonlease components in lease contracts as part of the single lease component to which they were related. Instead, in accordance with ASC 842-10-15-31, our policy is to account for each lease component separately from the nonlease components of the contract.

We did not elect the practical expedient to use hindsight in determining the lease term and in assessing impairment of our right-of-use assets. No impairment losses were included in the measurement of our right-of-use assets upon our adoption of Topic 842.

In January 2018, the FASB issued ASU 2018-01, Leases (Topic 842): Land Easement Practical Expedient for Transition to Topic 842, which is an amendment to ASU 2016-02. Land easements (also commonly referred to as rights of way) represent the right to use, access or cross another entity's land for a specified purpose. This new guidance permits an entity to elect a transitional practical expedient, to be applied consistently, to not evaluate under Topic 842 land easements that were already in existence or had expired at the time of the entity's adoption of Topic 842. Once Topic 842 is adopted, an entity is required to apply Topic 842 prospectively to all new (or modified) land easements to determine whether the arrangement should be accounted for as a lease. We elected this practical expedient upon our adoption of Topic 842, resulting in none of our land easements being treated as leases.

In July 2018, the FASB issued ASU 2018-11, Leases (Topic 842): Targeted Improvements, which amends ASU 2016-02 and allows entities the option to initially apply Topic 842 at the adoption date and recognize a cumulative-effect adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings in the period of adoption, if required. We used the optional transition method to apply the new guidance as of January 1, 2019, rather than as of the earliest period presented.

While we are still refining our estimates, we expect that the right of use asset and related lease liability that we will record related to our operating leases will be in the range of \$40 million to \$60 million. Regarding our capital lease, while the adoption of Topic 842 changed the classification of expense related to this lease on a prospective basis, it had no impact on the total amount of lease expense recorded, and did not impact the capital lease asset and related liability amounts recorded on our balance sheets. Prior to January 1, 2019, all lease expense related to our capital lease, which relates to a long-term power purchase commitment, was recorded in cost of sales, as a component of operating income. Subsequent to our adoption of Topic 842, lease expense related to this capital lease is divided between depreciation and amortization and interest expense, as required by the new guidance. We did not require a cumulative-effect adjustment upon adoption of Topic 842, and the new guidance is not expected to have any impact on future net income or cash flows.

Financial Instruments Credit Losses

In June 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-13, Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments. This guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2019. This ASU introduces a new impairment model known as the current expected credit loss model. The ASU requires a financial asset measured at amortized cost to be presented at the net amount expected to be collected. Previously, recognition of the full amount of credit losses was generally delayed until the loss was probable of occurring. We are currently assessing the effects this guidance may have on our financial statements.

Cloud Computing

In August 2018, the FASB issued ASU 2018-15, Customer's Accounting for Implementation Costs Incurred in a Cloud Computing Arrangement That Is a Service Contract. The standard allows entities who are customers in hosting

arrangements that are service contracts to apply the existing internal-use software guidance to determine which implementation costs to capitalize as an asset related to the service contract and which costs to expense. The guidance specifies classification for capitalizing implementation costs and related amortization expense within the financial statements and requires additional disclosures. The guidance will be effective for annual reporting periods, including interim reporting within those periods, beginning after December 15, 2019. Early adoption is permitted and can be applied either retrospectively or prospectively. We are currently evaluating the transition methods and the impact the adoption of this standard may have on our consolidated financial statements.

2018 Form 10-K 138 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon such evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that, as of the end of such period, our disclosure controls and procedures are effective (i) in recording, processing, summarizing and reporting, on a timely basis, information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act and (ii) to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f). Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our and our subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control – Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on its evaluation, our management concluded that our and our subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2018.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

During 2018, we completed an enterprise resource planning (ERP) system integration project to bring all of our subsidiaries onto a consolidated ERP system. Accordingly, we are modifying the design and documentation of certain internal control processes and procedures related to the integrated ERP system. We do not believe that the implementation of the ERP system will have an adverse effect on our internal control over financial reporting.

With the exception of the ERP system implementation described above, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) during the fourth quarter of 2018 that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

For Deloitte & Touche LLP's Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, attesting to the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting, see Section A of Item 8.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

2018 Form 10-K 139 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE OF THE REGISTRANT

The information under "Proposal 1: Election of Directors – Terms Expiring in 2020," "2019 Director Nominees for Election," "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance," "Information Related to the Annual Meeting – Stockholder Nominees and Proposals," and "Governance – Board Committees – Audit and Oversight," in our Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A to be filed with the SEC for our Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held May 2, 2019 (the "2019 Annual Meeting Proxy Statement") is incorporated herein by reference. Also see "Executive Officers of the Registrant" in Part I of this report.

We have adopted a written code of ethics, referred to as our Code of Business Conduct, with which all of our directors, executive officers, and employees, including the principal executive officer, principal financial officer, and principal accounting officer, must comply with. We have posted our Code of Business Conduct on our website, www.wecenergygroup.com. We have not provided any waiver to the Code for any director, executive officer, or other employee. Any amendments to, or waivers for directors and executive officers from, the Code of Business Conduct will be disclosed on our website or in a current report on Form 8-K.

Our website, www.wecenergygroup.com, also contains our Corporate Governance Guidelines and the charters of our Audit and Oversight, Corporate Governance, and Compensation Committees.

Our Code of Business Conduct, Corporate Governance Guidelines, and committee charters are also available without charge to any shareholder of record or beneficial owner of our common stock by writing to the corporate secretary, Margaret C. Kelsey, at our principal business office, 231 West Michigan Street, P.O. Box 1331, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information under "Compensation Discussion and Analysis," "Executive Compensation Tables," "Governance – Director Compensation," "Governance – Board Committees – Compensation," "Compensation Committee Report," "Pay Ratio Disclosure," "Risk Analysis of Compensation Policies and Practices," and "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions – Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation" in the 2019 Annual Meeting Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The security ownership information called for by Item 12 of Form 10-K is incorporated herein by reference to this information included under "WEC Energy Group Common Stock Ownership" in the 2019 Annual Meeting Proxy Statement.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table sets forth information about our equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2018:

Plan Type	Number of Securities		Weighted		Number of Securities	
	to be Issued	Average	Exercise Price of	Outstanding Options	Remaining Available	for Future Issuance
				Under Equity	Under Equity	Compensation Plans

Edgar Filing: WEC ENERGY GROUP, INC. - Form 10-K

	Warrants, and Rights (a)	Warrants, and Rights (b)	(Excluding Shares Reflected in Column (a)) (c)	
Equity Compensation Plans Approved by Security Holders	4,452,533	\$ 48.86	26,900,950	*
Equity Compensation Plans Not Approved by Security Holders	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total	4,452,533	\$ 48.86	26,900,950	

Includes shares available for future issuance under our Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan, all of which could be granted
*as awards of stock options, stock appreciation rights, performance units, restricted stock, or other stock based
awards.

2018 Form 10-K 140 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information under "Proposal 1: Election of Directors – Terms Expiring in 2020 – Director Independence," "Governance – Board Committees," "Governance – Corporate Governance Framework – Related Party Transactions/Conflicts of Interest," and "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" in the 2019 Annual Meeting Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference. A full description of the guidelines our Board uses to determine director independence is located in Appendix A of our Corporate Governance Guidelines, which can be found on the Corporate Governance section of our Company's website at www.wecenergygroup.com/govern/governance.htm.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The information regarding the fees paid to, and services performed by, our independent auditors and the pre-approval policy of our audit and oversight committee under "Independent Auditors' Fees and Services" in the 2019 Annual Meeting Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

2018 Form 10-K 141 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

1. Financial Statements and Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Included in Part II of This Report

Description	Page in 10-K
<u>Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.</u>	<u>74</u>
<u>Consolidated Income Statements for the three years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016.</u>	<u>76</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016.</u>	<u>77</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2018 and 2017.</u>	<u>78</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016.</u>	<u>79</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Equity for the three years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016.</u>	<u>80</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Capitalization at December 31, 2018 and 2017.</u>	<u>81</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.</u>	<u>83</u>

2. Financial Statement Schedules Included in Part IV of This Report

<u>Schedule I. Condensed Parent Company Financial Statements, including Income Statements, Statements of Comprehensive Income, and Statements of Cash Flows for the three years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016 and Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2018 and 2017.</u>	<u>148</u>
<u>Schedule II. Valuation and Qualifying Accounts, for the three years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016.</u>	<u>154</u>

Other schedules are omitted because of the absence of conditions under which they are required or because the required information is given in the financial statements or notes thereto.

3. Exhibits and Exhibit Index

The following exhibits are filed or furnished with or incorporated by reference in the report with respect to WEC Energy Group, Inc. (File No. 001-09057). An asterisk (*) indicates incorporation by reference pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 12b-32. Each management contract and compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed as an exhibit to this report pursuant to Item 15(b) of Form 10-K is identified below by two asterisks (**) following the description of the exhibit.

Number Exhibit

2 Plan of Acquisition, Reorganization, Arrangement, Liquidation, or Succession

2.1*

Edgar Filing: WEC ENERGY GROUP, INC. - Form 10-K

Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of June 22, 2014, by and between Wisconsin Energy Corporation (n/k/a WEC Energy Group, Inc.) and Integrys Energy Group, Inc. (Exhibit 2.1 to Wisconsin Energy Corporation's 06/22/14 Form 8-K.)

3 Articles of Incorporation and By-laws

3.1* Restated Articles of Incorporation of WEC Energy Group, Inc., as amended effective May 21, 2012. (Exhibit 3.1 to Wisconsin Energy Corporation's 06/30/12 Form 10-Q.)

2018 Form 10-K 142 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Number Exhibit

- 3.2* Articles of Amendment to the Restated Articles of Incorporation of WEC Energy Group, Inc., as amended. (Exhibit 3.1 to WEC Energy Group's 06/29/15 Form 8-K.)
- 3.3* Bylaws of WEC Energy Group, Inc., as amended to October 20, 2016. (Exhibit 3.1 to WEC Energy Group's 10/20/16 Form 8-K.)

4 Instruments defining the rights of security holders, including indentures

- 4.1* Reference is made to Article III of the Restated Articles of Incorporation and the Bylaws of WEC Energy Group, Inc. (See Exhibits 3.1 and 3.3 above.)
- 4.2* Replacement Capital Covenant, dated May 11, 2007, by Wisconsin Energy Corporation for the benefit of certain debtholders named therein. (Exhibit 4.2 to Wisconsin Energy Corporation's 05/08/07 Form 8-K.)
- 4.3* Amendment to Replacement Capital Covenant, dated as of June 29, 2015. (Exhibit 4.1 to Wisconsin Energy Corporation's 06/29/15 Form 8-K.)

Indentures and Securities Resolutions:

- 4.4* Indenture for Debt Securities of Wisconsin Electric Power Company (the "Wisconsin Electric Indenture"), dated December 1, 1995. (Exhibit (4)-1 under File No. 1-1245, WE's 12/31/95 Form 10-K.)
- 4.5* Securities Resolution No. 1 of Wisconsin Electric under the Wisconsin Electric Indenture, dated December 5, 1995. (Exhibit (4)-2 under File No. 1-1245, WE's 12/31/95 Form 10-K.)
- 4.6* Securities Resolution No. 3 of Wisconsin Electric under the Wisconsin Electric Indenture, dated May 27, 1998. (Exhibit (4)-1 under File No. 1-1245, WE's 06/30/98 Form 10-Q.)
- 4.7* Securities Resolution No. 5 of Wisconsin Electric under the Wisconsin Electric Indenture, dated as of May 1, 2003. (Exhibit 4.47 filed with Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 to Wisconsin Electric's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (File No. 333-101054), filed May 6, 2003.)
- 4.8* Securities Resolution No. 7 of Wisconsin Electric under the Wisconsin Electric Indenture, dated as of November 2, 2006. (Exhibit 4.1 under File No. 1-1245, WE's 11/02/06 Form 8-K.)
- 4.9* Securities Resolution No. 10 of Wisconsin Electric under the Wisconsin Electric Indenture, dated as of December 8, 2009. (Exhibit 4.1 under File No. 1-1245, WE's 12/08/09 Form 8-K.)
- 4.10* Securities Resolution No. 11 of Wisconsin Electric under the Wisconsin Electric Indenture, dated as of September 7, 2011. (Exhibit 4.1 under File No. 1-1245, WE's 09/07/11 Form 8-K.)
- 4.11* Securities Resolution No. 12 of Wisconsin Electric under the Wisconsin Electric Indenture, dated as of December 5, 2012. (Exhibit 4.1 under File No. 1-1245, WE's 12/05/12 Form 8-K.)
- 4.12* Securities Resolution No. 14 of Wisconsin Electric under the Wisconsin Electric Indenture, dated as of May 12, 2014. (Exhibit 4.1 under File No. 1-1245, WE's 05/12/14 Form 8-K.)

4.13* Securities Resolution No. 15 of Wisconsin Electric under the Wisconsin Electric Indenture, dated as of May 14, 2015. (Exhibit 4.1 under File No. 1-1245, WE's 05/14/15 Form 8-K.)

4.14* Securities Resolution No. 16 of Wisconsin Electric under the Wisconsin Electric Indenture, dated as of November 13, 2015. (Exhibit 4.1 under File No. 1-1245, WE's 11/13/15 Form 8-K.)

4.15* Securities Resolution No. 17 of Wisconsin Electric under the Wisconsin Electric Indenture, dated as of October 1, 2018. (Exhibit 4.1 under File No. 1-1245, WE's 10/01/18 Form 8-K.)

4.16* Indenture for Debt Securities of Wisconsin Energy Corporation (the "Wisconsin Energy Indenture"), dated as of March 15, 1999, between WEC Energy Group and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. (as successor to First National Bank of Chicago), as Trustee. (Exhibit 4.46 to Wisconsin Energy Corporation's 03/25/99 Form 8-K.)

2018 Form 10-K 143 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Number Exhibit

- 4.17* Securities Resolution No. 4 of Wisconsin Energy Corporation under the Wisconsin Energy Indenture, dated as of March 17, 2003. (Exhibit 4.12 filed with Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 to Wisconsin Energy Corporation's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (File No. 333-69592), filed March 20, 2003.)
- 4.18* Securities Resolution No. 5 of Wisconsin Energy Corporation under the Wisconsin Energy Indenture, dated as of May 8, 2007. (Exhibit 4.1 to Wisconsin Energy Corporation's 05/08/07 Form 8-K.)
- 4.19* Securities Resolution No. 6 of Wisconsin Energy Corporation under the Wisconsin Energy Indenture, dated as of June 4, 2015. (Exhibit 4.1 to Wisconsin Energy Corporation's 06/04/15 Form 8-K.)
- 4.20* Securities Resolution No. 7 of WEC Energy Group under the Wisconsin Energy Indenture, dated as of June 4, 2018. (Exhibit 4.1 to WEC Energy Group's 06/04/18 Form 8-K.)
- 4.21* Indenture, dated as of December 1, 1998, between Wisconsin Public Service Corporation ("WPS") and U.S. Bank National Association (successor to Firstar Bank Milwaukee, N.A., National Association) (Exhibit 4A to Form 8-K filed December 18, 1998) (File No. 1-3016).
- 4.22* First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of December 1, 1998, between WPS and Firstar Bank Milwaukee, N.A., National Association (Exhibit 4C to Form 8-K filed December 18, 1998) (File No. 1-3016).
- 4.23* Fifth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of December 1, 2006, by and between WPS and U.S. Bank National Association (Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8-K filed November 30, 2006) (File No. 1-3016).
- 4.24* Ninth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of December 1, 2012, by and between WPS and U.S. Bank National Association (Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8-K filed November 29, 2012) (File No. 1-3016).
- 4.25* Tenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 1, 2013, by and between WPS and U.S. Bank National Association (Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8-K filed November 18, 2013) (File No. 1-3016).
- 4.26* Twelfth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 21, 2018, by and between WPS and U.S. Bank National Association (Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8-K filed November 21, 2018) (File No. 1-3016).
- Certain agreements and instruments with respect to unregistered long-term debt not exceeding 10 percent of the total assets of the Registrant and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis have been omitted as permitted by related instructions. The Registrant agrees pursuant to Item 601(b)(4) of Regulation S-K to furnish to the Securities and Exchange Commission, upon request, a copy of all such agreements and instruments.

10 Material Contracts

- 10.1 WEC Energy Group Supplemental Pension Plan, Amended and Restated Effective as of January 1, 2018.**
- 10.2 Legacy Wisconsin Energy Corporation Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, Amended and Restated as of January 1, 2018.**

- 10.3 WEC Energy Group Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, Amended and Restated Effective as of January 1, 2018.**
- 10.4* Legacy Wisconsin Energy Corporation Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan, Amended and Restated Effective as of January 1, 2017. (Exhibit 10.4 to WEC Energy Group's 12/31/16 Form 10-K.)**
- 10.5* WEC Energy Group Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan, Amended and Restated Effective as of January 1, 2017. (Exhibit 10.5 to WEC Energy Group's 12/31/16 Form 10-K.)**
- 10.6 WEC Energy Group Non-Qualified Retirement Savings Plan, Amended and Restated Effective as of January 1, 2018.**
- 10.7 WEC Energy Group Supplemental Long Term Disability Plan, Amended and Restated Effective as of January 1, 2017.**

2018 Form 10-K 144 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Number Exhibit

- 10.8 WEC Energy Group Short-Term Performance Plan, Amended and Restated Effective as of January 1, 2019.**
- 10.9* Wisconsin Energy Corporation 2014 Rabbi Trust by and between Wisconsin Energy Corporation and The Northern Trust Company dated February 23, 2015, regarding the trust established to provide a source of funds to assist in meeting the liabilities under various nonqualified deferred compensation plans made between Wisconsin Energy Corporation or its subsidiaries and various plan participants. (Exhibit 10.13 to Wisconsin Energy Corporation's 12/31/14 Form 10K.)**
- 10.10* Letter Agreement by and between WEC Energy Group, Inc. and Gale E. Klappa, dated as of December 7, 2017. (Exhibit 10.1 to WEC Energy Group's 12/08/17 Form 8-K.)**
- 10.11* Terms and Conditions Governing Gale Klappa's and Frederick Kuester's Restricted Stock Awards Under the 1993 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan. (Exhibit 10.2 to WEC Energy Group's 12/08/17 Form 8-K.)**
- 10.12* Letter Agreement by and between Gale E. Klappa and WEC Energy Group, Inc., dated as of January 17, 2019. (Exhibit 10.1 to WEC Energy Group's 01/22/2019 Form 8-K.)**
- 10.13* Amended and Restated Senior Officer Employment and Non-Compete Agreement between Wisconsin Energy Corporation and Allen L. Leverett, dated as of December 30, 2008. (Exhibit 10.26 to Wisconsin Energy Corporation's 12/31/08 Form 10-K.)**
- 10.14* Terms of Employment for J. Patrick Keyes. (Exhibit 10.1 to Wisconsin Energy Corporation's 09/30/12 Form 10-Q.)**
- 10.15* Letter Agreement by and between Wisconsin Energy Corporation and J. Patrick Keyes, dated as of December 20, 2010. (Exhibit 10.20 to Wisconsin Energy Corporation's 12/31/12 Form 10-K.)**
- 10.16* Amendment to the Letter Agreement by and between Wisconsin Energy Corporation and J. Patrick Keyes, dated as of August 15, 2011. (Exhibit 10.21 to Wisconsin Energy Corporation's 12/31/12 Form 10-K.)**
- 10.17* Letter Agreement by and between Wisconsin Energy Corporation and Robert Garvin, dated January 31, 2011. (Exhibit 10.1 to Wisconsin Energy Corporation's 03/31/11 Form 10-Q.)**
- 10.18* Letter Agreement by and between Wisconsin Energy Corporation and Joseph Kevin Fletcher, dated as of August 17, 2011. (Exhibit 10.1 to Wisconsin Energy Corporation's 09/30/11 Form 10-Q.)**
- 10.19* Letter Agreement by and between WEC Energy Group, Inc. and Margaret C. Kelsey, dated as of July 19, 2017. (Exhibit 10.1 to WEC Energy Group's 09/30/17 Form 10-Q.)**
- 10.20* Consulting Agreement between Wisconsin Energy Corporation and Frederick D. Kuester, dated January 7, 2013 ("Kuester Consulting Agreement"). (Exhibit 10.19 to WEC Energy Group's 12/31/17 Form 10-K.)**
- 10.21* Amendment to the Kuester Consulting Agreement, dated January 18, 2018. (Exhibit 10.20 to WEC Energy Group's 12/31/17 Form 10-K.)**

10.22* Letter Agreement by and between WEC Energy Group, Inc. and Frederick D. Kuester, dated as of February 23, 2018. (Exhibit 10.1 to WEC Energy Group's 03/31/2018 Form 10-Q.)**

10.23* WEC Energy Group 1993 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan, Amended and Restated effective as of January 1, 2016 (Exhibit 10.19 to WEC Energy Group's 12/31/15 Form 10-K.)**

10.24* Terms and Conditions Governing Non-Qualified Stock Option Award under the 1993 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan. (Exhibit 10.1 to Wisconsin Energy Corporation's 09/30/07 Form 10-Q.)**

10.25* 2016 WEC Energy Group Terms and Conditions Governing Director Restricted Stock Awards under the 1993 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan. (Exhibit 10.24 to WEC Energy Group's 12/31/15 Form 10-K.)**

10.26* Director Restricted Stock Award Terms and Conditions under the 1993 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan. (Exhibit 10.2 to WEC Energy Group's 12/01/16 Form 8-K.)**

2018 Form 10-K 145 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Number Exhibit

- 10.27* WEC Energy Group Performance Unit Plan, amended and restated effective as of January 1, 2017. (Exhibit 10.1 to WEC Energy Group's 12/01/16 Form 8-K.)**
- 10.28* 2016 WEC Energy Group Restricted Stock Award Terms and Conditions governing awards under the 1993 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan. (Exhibit 10.27 to WEC Energy Group's 12/31/15 Form 10-K.)**
- 10.29* Wisconsin Energy Corporation Terms and Conditions Governing Non-Qualified Stock Option Award for option awards under the 1993 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan, approved December 4, 2014. (Exhibit 10.3 to Wisconsin Energy Corporation's 12/04/14 Form 8-K.)**
- 10.30* 2016 WEC Energy Group Terms and Conditions Governing Non-Qualified Stock Option Award for option awards under the 1993 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan. (Exhibit 10.29 to WEC Energy Group's 12/31/15 Form 10-K.)**
- 10.31* Port Washington I Facility Lease Agreement between Port Washington Generating Station, LLC, as Lessor, and Wisconsin Electric Power Company, as Lessee, dated as of May 28, 2003. (Exhibit 10.7 to WE's 06/30/03 Form 10-Q (File No. 001-01245).)
- 10.32* Port Washington II Facility Lease Agreement between Port Washington Generating Station, LLC, as Lessor, and Wisconsin Electric Power Company, as Lessee, dated as of May 28, 2003. (Exhibit 10.8 to WE's 06/30/03 Form 10-Q (File No. 001-01245).)
- 10.33* Elm Road I Facility Lease Agreement between Elm Road Generating Station Supercritical, LLC, as Lessor, and Wisconsin Electric Power Company, as Lessee, dated as of November 9, 2004. (Exhibit 10.56 to Wisconsin Energy Corporation's 12/31/04 Form 10-K.)
- 10.34* Elm Road II Facility Lease Agreement between Elm Road Generating Station Supercritical, LLC, as Lessor, and Wisconsin Electric Power Company, as Lessee, dated as of November 9, 2004. (Exhibit 10.57 to Wisconsin Energy Corporation's 12/31/04 Form 10-K.)
- 10.35* Point Beach Nuclear Plant Power Purchase Agreement between FPL Energy Point Beach, LLC and Wisconsin Electric Power Company, dated as of December 19, 2006 (the "PPA"). (Exhibit 10.1 to Wisconsin Energy Corporation's 03/31/08 Form 10-Q.)
- 10.36* Letter Agreement between Wisconsin Electric Power Company and FPL Energy Point Beach, LLC dated October 31, 2007, which amends the PPA. (Exhibit 10.45 to Wisconsin Energy Corporation's 12/31/07 Form 10-K.)
- 10.37* Integrys Energy Group, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan, as Amended and Restated Effective January 1, 2016. (Exhibit 10.1 to WEC Energy Group's 06/30/16 Form 10-Q.)**
- 10.38* Integrys Energy Group, Inc. Pension Restoration and Supplemental Retirement Plan, as Amended and Restated Effective January 1, 2017. (Exhibit 10.1 to WEC Energy Group's 06/30/17 Form 10-Q.)**
- 10.39* PELLC Directors Deferred Compensation Plan as amended and restated April 7, 2004. (Exhibit 10(a) under File No. 1-5540, PELLC's 06/30/04 Form 10-Q.)**

10.40* Amended and Restated Trust under PELLC Directors Deferred Compensation Plan, Directors Stock and Option Plan, Executive Deferred Compensation Plan and Supplemental Retirement Benefit Plan, dated as of August 13, 2003. (Exhibit 10(a) under File No. 1-5540, PELLC's 09/30/03 Form 10-Q.)**

10.41* Amendment Number One to the Amended and Restated Trust under PELLC Directors Deferred Compensation Plan, Directors Stock and Option Plan, Executive Deferred Compensation Plan and Supplemental Retirement Benefit Plan, dated as of July 24, 2006. (Exhibit 10(e) under File No. 1-5540, PELLC's 09/30/06 Form 10-Q.)**

2018 Form 10-K 146 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Number Exhibit

21 Subsidiaries of the registrant

21.1 Subsidiaries of WEC Energy Group.

23 Consents of experts and counsel

23.1 Deloitte & Touche LLP – Milwaukee, WI, Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for WEC Energy Group.

31 Rule 13a-14(a) / 15d-14(a) Certifications

31.1 Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a), as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

31.2 Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a), as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

32 Section 1350 Certifications

32.1 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

32.2 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

101 Interactive Data File

ITEM 16. FORM 10-K SUMMARY

None.

2018 Form 10-K 147 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

SCHEDULE I – CONDENSED
PARENT COMPANY FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
WEC ENERGY GROUP, INC. (PARENT COMPANY ONLY)

A. INCOME STATEMENTS

Year Ended December 31			
(in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Operating expenses	\$5.0	\$6.0	\$7.0
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	1,108.3	1,234.7	996.5
Other income, net	6.8	2.1	2.7
Interest expense	104.1	82.0	90.0
Income before income taxes	1,006.0	1,148.8	902.2
Income tax benefit	53.3	54.9	36.8
Net income attributed to common shareholders	\$1,059.3	\$1,203.7	\$939.0

The accompanying Notes to Condensed Parent Company Financial Statements are an integral part of these financial statements.

2018 Form 10-K 148 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

B. STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Year Ended December 31

(in millions)

	2018	2017	2016
Net income attributed to common shareholders	\$1,059.3	\$1,203.7	\$939.0
Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax			
Derivatives accounted for as cash flow hedges			
Net derivative losses, net of tax	(2.1) —	—
Reclassification of net gains to net income, net of tax	(1.2) (1.3) (1.3)
Cumulative effect adjustment from adoption of ASU 2018-02	1.6	—	—
Cash flow hedges, net	(1.7) (1.3) (1.3)
Defined benefit plans			
Pension and OPEB costs arising during the period, net of tax	(0.9) (0.1) (1.0)
Amortization of pension and OPEB costs included in net periodic benefit cost, net of tax	0.2	0.2	0.3
Cumulative effect adjustment from adoption of ASU 2018-02	(0.3) —	—
Defined benefit plans, net	(1.0) 0.1	(0.7)
Other comprehensive (loss) income from subsidiaries, net of tax	(2.8) 1.2	0.3
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax	(5.5) —	(1.7)
Comprehensive income attributed to common shareholders	\$1,053.8	\$1,203.7	\$937.3

The accompanying Notes to Condensed Parent Company Financial Statements are an integral part of these financial statements.

2018 Form 10-K 149 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

C. BALANCE SHEETS

At December 31		
(in millions)	2018	2017
Assets		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$32.8	\$4.0
Accounts receivable from related parties	4.0	1.9
Notes receivable from related parties	71.0	64.1
Prepaid taxes	—	17.5
Other	0.6	0.6
Current assets	108.4	88.1
Long-term assets		
Investments in subsidiaries	12,682.5	12,101.9
Notes receivable from UMERG	150.0	50.0
Other	31.8	47.7
Long-term assets	12,864.3	12,199.6
Total assets	\$12,972.7	\$12,287.7
Liabilities and Equity		
Current liabilities		
Short-term debt	\$548.4	\$494.8
Current portion of long-term debt	—	300.0
Accounts payable to related parties	7.7	2.7
Notes payable to related parties	398.9	406.0
Other	14.0	8.9
Current liabilities	969.0	1,212.4
Long-term liabilities		
Long-term debt	2,190.8	1,592.3
Other	24.0	21.6
Long-term liabilities	2,214.8	1,613.9
Common shareholders' equity	9,788.9	9,461.4
Total liabilities and equity	\$12,972.7	\$12,287.7

The accompanying notes to Condensed Parent Company Financial Statements are an integral part of these financial statements.

2018 Form 10-K 150 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

D. STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Year Ended December 31

(in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Operating activities			
Net income attributed to common shareholders	\$1,059.3	\$1,203.7	\$939.0
Reconciliation to cash provided by operating activities			
Equity income in subsidiaries, net of distributions	(419.4)	(686.1)	(271.1)
Deferred income taxes	14.4	89.5	23.2
Change in –			
Prepaid taxes	17.5	28.4	(47.6)
Other current assets	(2.1)	(0.1)	13.0
Accrued taxes	3.6	—	(75.6)
Other current liabilities	5.7	(1.9)	(5.6)
Other, net	5.6	0.9	6.3
Net cash provided by operating activities	684.6	634.4	581.6
Investing activities			
Acquisition of Bluewater	—	(226.0)	—
Capital contributions to subsidiaries	(448.7)	(173.4)	(55.8)
Return of capital from subsidiaries	290.2	—	9.0
Short-term notes receivable from related parties, net	(6.9)	167.8	46.8
Issuance of long-term notes receivable from UMERG	(100.0)	(50.0)	—
Purchase of subsidiary's common stock	—	—	(66.4)
Other, net	6.4	4.5	(0.4)
Net cash used in investing activities	(259.0)	(277.1)	(66.8)
Financing activities			
Exercise of stock options	29.1	30.8	41.6
Purchase of common stock	(72.4)	(71.3)	(108.0)
Dividends paid on common stock	(697.3)	(656.5)	(624.9)
Issuance of long-term debt	600.0	—	—
Retirement of long-term debt	(300.0)	—	—
Change in short-term debt	53.6	173.0	13.9
Short-term notes payable to related parties, net	(6.2)	169.5	162.3
Other, net	(3.6)	—	0.2
Net cash used in financing activities	(396.8)	(354.5)	(514.9)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	28.8	2.8	(0.1)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	4.0	1.2	1.3
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$32.8	\$4.0	\$1.2

The accompanying Notes to Condensed Parent Company Financial Statements are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

SCHEDULE I – CONDENSED
PARENT COMPANY FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
WEC ENERGY GROUP, INC. (PARENT COMPANY ONLY)

E. NOTES TO PARENT COMPANY FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1—SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

For Parent Company only presentation, investments in subsidiaries are accounted for using the equity method. The condensed Parent Company financial statements and notes should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes of WEC Energy Group, Inc. appearing in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

NOTE 2—CASH DIVIDENDS RECEIVED FROM SUBSIDIARIES

Dividends received from our subsidiaries during the years ended December 31 were as follows:

(in millions)	2018	2017	2016
WE	\$310.0	\$240.0	\$455.0
We Power	223.0	181.0	188.9
ATC Holding	105.8	82.6	6.5
WG	50.0	45.0	75.0
Wisvest	0.1	—	—
Total	\$688.9	\$548.6	\$725.4

In accordance with ASU 2016-15, on January 1, 2018, we retrospectively adopted the cumulative earnings approach for classifying distributions received from equity method investments on our statements of cash flows. Due to our adoption of this approach, We Power distributions of \$9.0 million were reclassified from operating activities to a return of capital within investing activities on our statement of cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2016. For more information on ASU 2016-15 and the cumulative earnings approach, see Note 23, Supplemental Cash Flow Information, of WEC Energy Group, Inc. in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

NOTE 3—LONG-TERM DEBT

The following table shows the future maturities of our long-term debt outstanding as of December 31, 2018:

(in millions)	
2020	\$400.0
2021	600.0
Thereafter	1,200.0
Total	\$2,200.0

WECC is our subsidiary and has \$50.0 million of long-term notes outstanding. In a Support Agreement between WECC and us, we agreed to make sufficient liquid asset contributions to WECC to permit WECC to service its debt obligations as they become due.

NOTE 4—FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The following table shows the financial instruments included on our balance sheets that are not recorded at fair value as of December 31:

	2018	2017
(in millions)		

Edgar Filing: WEC ENERGY GROUP, INC. - Form 10-K

	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
Long-term notes receivable from UMERCA	\$ 150.0	\$ 145.5	\$ 50.0	\$ 49.5
Long-term debt, including current portion	2,190.8	2,132.8	1,892.3	1,941.5

The fair values of our long-term notes receivable and long-term debt are categorized within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

2018 Form 10-K 152 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

NOTE 5—SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

(in millions)	2018	2017	2016
Cash (paid) for interest	\$(102.9)	\$(82.5)	\$(89.6)
Cash received (paid) for income taxes, net	85.9	169.9	(62.9)
Significant non-cash equity transactions			
Issuance of short-term note receivable to Bluewater	—	115.0	—
Issuance of short-term note receivable to UMERG	—	40.5	—
Settlement of short-term note payable with Bostco	—	4.8	—
Settlement of short-term note payable with Wisvest	0.9	—	40.0

NOTE 6—SHORT-TERM NOTES RECEIVABLE FROM RELATED PARTIES

The following table shows our outstanding short-term notes receivable from related parties as of December 31:

(in millions)	2018	2017
UMERG	\$42.5	\$38.1
Wispark	28.5	26.0
Total	\$71.0	\$64.1

NOTE 7—SHORT-TERM NOTES PAYABLE TO RELATED PARTIES

The following table shows our outstanding short-term notes payable to related parties as of December 31:

(in millions)	2018	2017
Integrus	\$139.5	\$278.2
WBS	123.5	16.4
WECC	110.3	110.2
Bluewater Gas Storage	25.6	0.3
Wisvest	—	0.9
Total	\$398.9	\$406.0

2018 Form 10-K 153 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

SCHEDULE II
WEC ENERGY GROUP, INC.
VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts (in millions)	Balance at Beginning of Period	Expense (1)	Deferral	Net Write-offs (2)	Balance at End of Period
December 31, 2018	\$ 143.2	\$ 94.7	\$ (5.5)	\$ (83.2)	\$ 149.2
December 31, 2017	108.0	96.7	16.4	(77.9)	143.2
December 31, 2016	113.3	87.4	(5.9)	(86.8)	108.0

(1) Net of recoveries.

(2) Represents amounts written off to the reserve, net of adjustments to regulatory assets.

2018 Form 10-K 154 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

WEC ENERGY GROUP, INC.

By /s/ J. KEVIN FLETCHER

Date: February 26, 2019 J. Kevin Fletcher
Chief Executive Officer and President

2018 Form 10-K 155 WEC Energy Group, Inc.

Table of Contents

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

/s/ J. KEVIN FLETCHER February 26, 2019

J. Kevin Fletcher, Chief Executive Officer and President and
Director -- Principal Executive Officer

/s/ SCOTT J. LAUBER February 26, 2019

Scott J. Lauber, Senior Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, and
Treasurer -- Principal Financial Officer

/s/ WILLIAM J. GUC February 26, 2019

William J. Guc, Vice President and
Controller -- Principal Accounting Officer

/s/ GALE E. KLAPPA February 26, 2019

Gale E. Klappa, Executive Chairman and Director

/s/ JOHN F. BERGSTROM February 26, 2019

John F. Bergstrom, Director

/s/ BARBARA L. BOWLES February 26, 2019

Barbara L. Bowles, Director

/s/ WILLIAM J. BRODSKY February 26, 2019

William J. Brodsky, Director

/s/ ALBERT J. BUDNEY, JR. February 26, 2019

Albert J. Budney, Jr., Director

/s/ PATRICIA W. CHADWICK February 26, 2019

Patricia W. Chadwick, Director

/s/ CURT S. CULVER February 26, 2019

Curt S. Culver, Director

/s/ DANNY L. CUNNINGHAM February 26, 2019

Danny L. Cunningham, Director

/s/ WILLIAM M. FARROW, III February 26, 2019

William M. Farrow, III, Director

/s/ THOMAS J. FISCHER February 26, 2019

Thomas J. Fischer, Director

/s/ HENRY W. KNUEPPEL February 26, 2019

Henry W. Knueppel, Director

/s/ ALLEN L. LEVERETT February 26, 2019

Allen L. Leverett, Director

/s/ ULICE PAYNE, JR.
Ulice Payne, Jr., Director

February 26, 2019

/s/ MARY ELLEN STANEK
Mary Ellen Stanek, Director

February 26, 2019

2018 Form 10-K 156 WEC Energy Group, Inc.