

ISTAR INC.  
Form DEF 14A  
April 06, 2018

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549  
SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of  
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

iSTAR INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

(5) Total fee paid:

---

1114 Avenue of the Americas, 39th Floor  
New York, New York 10036  
April 6, 2018

Dear iStar Shareholder:

We cordially invite you to attend our 2018 annual meeting of shareholders. We will hold the meeting at the Harvard Club of New York City, 35 West 44th Street, 3rd Floor, New York, New York 10036 on Wednesday, May 16, 2018 at 9:00 a.m. local time.

Attached are a notice of meeting and proxy statement that contain information on the proposals to be voted on at the annual meeting and other important matters. We encourage you to read the proxy statement and attachments carefully.

**YOUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE:**

**FOR THE ELECTION OF THE SIX NOMINEES AS DIRECTORS**

**FOR THE RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP AS OUR  
INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

**FOR THE RESOLUTION APPROVING, ON A NON BINDING, ADVISORY BASIS, OUR EXECUTIVE  
COMPENSATION AS DESCRIBED IN THIS PROXY STATEMENT**

Every shareholder vote is important and we encourage you to vote as promptly as possible. All shareholders are invited to attend the annual meeting in person. Any shareholder attending the annual meeting may vote in person even if he or she previously returned a proxy.

As an iStar shareholder, you play an important role in our company by considering and taking action on the matters being presented, as set forth in the attached proxy statement. We appreciate the time and attention you invest in making thoughtful decisions.

Sincerely,

Jay Sugarman

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

---

**NOTICE OF 2018 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS**

**DATE:** Wednesday, May 16, 2018

**TIME:** 9:00 a.m. (Eastern time)

**LOCATION:** Harvard Club of New York City

35 West 44th Street, 3rd Floor

New York, New York 10036

**ITEMS OF BUSINESS:**

Proposal Election of Directors: Jay Sugarman, Clifford De Souza, Robert W. Holman, Jr., Robin Josephs, Dale Anne  
1: Reiss, and Barry W. Ridings

Proposal Ratification of the Appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our Independent Registered Public  
2: Accounting Firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018

Proposal 3: Non-binding, Advisory Vote to Approve Executive Compensation (“Say on Pay”)

In addition, at the annual meeting we will transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any postponement or adjournment of the meeting.

**RECORD DATE:**

The board has fixed the close of business on March 23, 2018 as the record date for the determination of shareholders entitled to receive notice of and to vote at the annual meeting or any postponement or adjournment of the meeting.

Only holders of record of our common stock, par value \$0.001 per share, and 8.00% Series D preferred stock, par value \$0.001 per share, at the close of business on that date will be entitled to vote at the annual meeting.

**IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON MAY 16, 2018:**

We make proxy materials available to our shareholders on the Internet. You can access proxy materials at [www.istar.com/annualreport2017](http://www.istar.com/annualreport2017). You also may authorize your proxy via the Internet or by telephone by following the instructions on that website. In order to authorize your proxy via the Internet or by telephone you must have the shareholder identification number that appears on the enclosed Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials. You also may request a paper or an e mail copy of our proxy materials and a paper proxy card by following the instructions included in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials.

---

By Order of the Board of Directors,  
Geoffrey M. Dugan  
General Counsel, Corporate and Secretary  
New York, NY  
April 6, 2018

WHETHER OR NOT YOU EXPECT TO ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING, TO ENSURE YOUR REPRESENTATION AT THE ANNUAL MEETING, PLEASE MARK, SIGN, DATE AND RETURN THE ATTACHED PROXY CARD AS PROMPTLY AS POSSIBLE

---

PROXY STATEMENT

Annual Meeting of Shareholders

To Be Held May 16, 2018

GENERAL

We are making this proxy statement available to holders of our common stock, par value \$0.001 per share, and holders of our 8.00% Series D preferred stock, par value \$0.001 per share, on or about April 6, 2018 in connection with the solicitation by our board of directors of proxies to be voted at our 2018 annual meeting of shareholders or at any postponement or adjournment of the annual meeting. Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, and is traded under the symbol "STAR."

This proxy statement is accompanied by a copy of our Annual Report to Shareholders for the year ended December 31, 2017. Additional copies of the Annual Report, including our financial statements at December 31, 2017, may be obtained from our website at [www.istar.com](http://www.istar.com), or by contacting our Investor Relations department at (212) 930 9400, 1114 Avenue of the Americas, 39 Floor, New York, NY 10036. Copies will be furnished at no additional expense. The information found on, or accessible through, our website is not incorporated into, and does not form a part of, this proxy statement or any other report or document we file with or furnish to the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC.

Who is entitled to vote at the meeting?

Only holders of record of our common stock and our Series D preferred stock at the close of business on March 23, 2018 are entitled to receive notice of and to vote at the annual meeting or at any postponement or adjournment of the meeting. On the record date, there were 67,773,942 shares of common stock and 4,000,000 shares of Series D preferred stock outstanding and entitled to vote.

What constitutes a quorum?

The presence, either in person or by proxy, of the holders of the outstanding common stock and Series D preferred stock entitled to cast a majority of all the votes entitled to be cast at the meeting, considered as a single class, on the record date is necessary to constitute a quorum at the annual meeting.

What are the voting rights of shareholders?

Each shareholder is entitled to one vote for each share of common stock and 0.25 votes for each share of Series D preferred stock registered in the shareholder's name on the record date.

What vote is needed to approve each proposal?

Assuming a quorum is present in person or by proxy at the annual meeting:

For Proposal 1,

the election of directors, the vote of a plurality of the votes cast by the holders of our common stock and Series D preferred stock, all voting as one class, is required. However, in an uncontested election of directors, notwithstanding being elected by a plurality of the votes cast, if an incumbent nominee for

director fails to receive the affirmative vote of a majority of the total votes cast “for” and affirmatively “withheld” as to such individual, such person must promptly tender his or her resignation to the Board following certification of the vote. See “INFORMATION REGARDING THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND ITS COMMITTEES - Director Resignation Policy”. Broker non-votes are not considered votes cast for the foregoing purpose, and will have no effect on the election of the nominees.

For Proposal 2, the ratification of the appointment of the independent registered public accounting firm, the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast by the holders of our common stock and Series D preferred stock, all voting as one class, is required.

For Proposal 3, the resolution to approve, on a non binding, advisory basis, our executive compensation as described in this proxy statement, the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast by the holders of our common stock and Series D preferred stock, all voting as one class, is required.

For the approval of any other matters properly presented at the meeting for shareholder approval, the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast by the holders of our common stock and Series D preferred stock, all voting as one class, is required.

What are broker non-votes and what is the effect of broker non votes and abstentions?

A “broker non vote” occurs when a broker, bank or other nominee returns a properly executed proxy, but indicates on the proxy that it does not have discretionary authority as to certain shares to vote on a particular matter and has not received voting instructions from the beneficial owner of such shares on that matter. Under current NYSE rules, a broker, bank or other nominee does not have discretionary authority to vote shares without specific voting instructions from the beneficial owner on the election of directors (Proposal 1) or the resolution to approve, on a non binding, advisory basis, our executive compensation (Proposal 3). A broker, bank or other nominee does, however, have discretionary authority to vote shares without specific voting instructions from the beneficial owner on the ratification of the appointment of the independent registered public accounting firm (Proposal 2).

For purposes of votes on all matters described in this proxy statement to be presented at the annual meeting, broker non votes and abstentions will not be counted as votes cast and will have no effect on the result of the vote. Both abstentions and broker non votes will be considered present for the purpose of determining the presence of a quorum. How is my vote counted?

If you properly execute a proxy in the accompanying form, and if we receive it prior to voting at the annual meeting, the shares that the proxy represents will be voted in the manner specified on the proxy. If no specification is made, the common stock or Series D preferred stock will be voted FOR the election of directors (Proposal 1), FOR the ratification of the appointment of the independent registered public accounting firm (Proposal 2) and FOR the resolution to approve, on a non binding, advisory basis, executive compensation (Proposal 3).

Votes cast in person or by proxy at the annual meeting will be tabulated by the election inspectors appointed for the meeting, who will determine whether or not a quorum is present. If your shares are held by a broker, bank or other nominee (i.e., in “street name”), you will receive instructions from your nominee which you must follow in order to have your shares voted. Such shareholders who wish to vote in person at the meeting will need to obtain a proxy from the broker, bank or other nominee that holds their shares of record.

Can I change my vote after I submit my proxy card?

If you authorize a proxy to vote your shares, you may revoke it at any time before it is voted by:

submitting voting instructions at a later time via Internet or telephone before the closing of these voting facilities;





giving written notice to our Secretary by any means bearing a date later than the date of the proxy expressly revoking the proxy;  
 signing and forwarding to us a proxy dated later; or  
 attending the annual meeting and personally voting the common stock or Series D preferred stock owned of record by you, although attendance at the annual meeting will not, by itself, revoke a proxy.

Who pays the costs of soliciting proxies?

We will pay the costs of soliciting proxies from our shareholders. In addition to solicitation by mail, certain of our directors, officers and regular employees may solicit the return of proxies by telephone, facsimile, personal interview or otherwise without being paid additional compensation. We will also reimburse brokerage firms and other persons representing the beneficial owners of our shares for their reasonable expenses in forwarding proxy solicitation material to the beneficial owners in accordance with the proxy solicitation rules and regulations of the SEC and the NYSE. Alliance Advisors LLC has been engaged to solicit proxies on our behalf in connection with our 2018 annual meeting of shareholders and provide other advisory services for a fee of \$17,500, plus expenses.

#### ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

At the 2018 annual meeting, six directors are to be elected to hold office for a term of one year, until the next annual meeting and until their successors have been elected and qualified. In accordance with the provisions of our charter, each member of our board is elected annually.

All of the nominees for election as a director are presently serving as directors. If a nominee becomes unavailable to serve as a director for any reason, the shares represented by any proxy will be voted for the person, if any, who may be designated by the board to replace that nominee. At this time, the board has no reason to believe that any nominee will be unavailable to serve as a director if elected.

All of the nominees for election as a director, other than Mr. Sugarman, are independent within the standards prescribed by the NYSE.

The following table sets forth summary information about each person nominated for election as a director:

Name	Age	Title	Director Since	Committee Memberships
Mr. Jay Sugarman	55	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer	1996	None
Mr. Clifford De Souza	56	Independent Director	2015	Audit Committee Nominating and Governance Committee
Mr. Robert W. Holman, Jr.	74	Independent Director	1999	Compensation Committee (Chair) Nominating and Governance Committee Compensation Committee
Ms. Robin Josephs	58	Lead Independent Director	1998	Nominating and Governance Committee (Chair)
Ms. Dale Anne Reiss	70	Independent Director	2008	Audit Committee (Chair) Nominating and Governance Committee
Mr. Barry W. Ridings	66	Independent Director	2011	Audit Committee Compensation Committee

#### Director Qualifications

Our Nominating and Governance Committee believes that our directors should possess a diverse range of attributes, including the following qualifications:



Education, background, skills and experience that provide knowledge of business, financial, governmental or legal matters relevant to our business or to our status as a publicly owned company;

A high level of personal and professional ethics, integrity and values;

Reputation for exercising good business judgment;

Commitment to representing the long-term interests of our shareholders; and

Sufficient available time to be able to fulfill his or her responsibilities as a member of the board and of any committees to which he or she may be appointed.

The committee endeavors to ensure our board represents a broad range of experience, qualifications, skills and other attributes and, as a whole, reflects an appropriate diversity of background, experience and perspectives. We believe that the nominees for election as a director have the qualifications necessary to ensure that we are taking appropriate steps to address the complex issues confronting us in a challenging business and economic environment. The nominees for election as a director have held leadership positions in business (and in particular the real estate, investment and financial services business sectors) and finance over an extended period of time. Each of the nominees has demonstrated a long record of professional integrity, intellectual acumen, analytic skills, a strong work ethic and the ability to maintain a constructive environment for discussion of matters considered by our board. Additionally, all of our directors have experience as board members of a diverse range of public and private companies.

#### Director Nominees

Jay Sugarman currently serves as our chairman and chief executive officer. He has served as a director of iStar Inc. (and our predecessors) since 1996 and chief executive officer since 1997. He also currently serves as chairman and chief executive officer of Safety, Income & Growth Inc., or Safety (NYSE: SAFE), the first public company focused on ground lease investments, which is externally-managed by our wholly owned subsidiary and of which we are the largest shareholder. Prior to forming iStar Inc. and its predecessors, Mr. Sugarman managed private investment funds on behalf of the Burden family (a branch of the Vanderbilt family) and the Ziff family. Mr. Sugarman received his undergraduate degree summa cum laude from Princeton University, where he was nominated for valedictorian and received the Paul Volcker Award in Economics, and his M.B.A. with high distinction from Harvard Business School, graduating as a Baker Scholar and recipient of the school's academic prizes for both finance and marketing. As founder of iStar Inc. and chief executive officer since 1997, Mr. Sugarman has demonstrated the leadership skills and extensive executive experience across a broad range of investment, financial and operational matters that are necessary to lead iStar, a fully integrated finance and investment company focused on the commercial real estate industry.

Clifford De Souza has served as one of our directors since 2015. Mr. De Souza is a member of our Audit Committee and our Nominating and Governance Committee. Previously, he was chairman of the board of directors and head of international business at Mitsubishi UFJ Securities International, or Mitsubishi, from 2012 to 2014 and served as its chief executive officer from 2008 to 2012. At Mitsubishi, Mr. De Souza was responsible for its securities and investment banking operations and served as the global head of fixed income and commodities for its securities business. From 2005 to 2007, Mr. De Souza served as the chief executive officer and chief investment officer of EMG Investment Management where he managed and developed an alternative asset management business. From 2001 to 2004, Mr. De Souza served as the head of the hedge fund group at Citigroup Alternative Investment where he managed over \$40 billion in private equity, real estate, structured product and hedge fund assets. From 1995 to 2000, Mr. De Souza served as global co head of the UBS Emerging Markets Debt and Currency Trading Franchise where he directed its global secondary debt, derivative, local instrument and foreign exchange trading functions. He holds a B.A. in Physics from the University of Cambridge and a Ph.D. in Theoretical Physics from the University of Maryland. Mr. De Souza's qualifications for election to our board include his experience as chairman and chief executive officer at Mitsubishi, his involvement and experience leading and developing the management of complex businesses and his in depth expertise as an investor and allocator of capital.



Robert W. Holman, Jr. has served as one of our directors since 1999. He is chairman of our Compensation Committee and a member of our Nominating and Governance Committee. Mr. Holman was co-founder of TriNet Corporate Realty Trust, Inc., or TriNet, a NYSE-listed company that we acquired in 1999, and served as its chief executive officer and chairman of the board. He was chief executive officer and chairman of TriNet's predecessor, Holman/Shidler Corporate Capital, Inc., for ten years. Mr. Holman has structured, acquired, financed and managed several billion dollars of commercial and corporate assets in 40 states and Canada. He co-founded and was a senior executive and director of Watkins Pacific Corporation, a public multi-national conglomerate. Mr. Holman has previously served as a director and member of the audit and investment committees of the Parasol Tahoe Community Foundation, as chairman of the board of directors of Amerivest Properties, Inc., an American Stock Exchange-listed company, and as a senior executive, director, owner or board advisor for investment and operating companies in the United States, Great Britain, Australia and Mexico. He holds a B.A. degree in international economics from the University of California at Berkeley, an M.A. degree with honors from Lancaster University in England, where he was a British Council Fellow, and did post-graduate work at Harvard University where he was awarded a Loeb Fellowship. Mr. Holman's experience as a founder, chief executive and director of TriNet, a public real estate investment firm focused on corporate tenant leasing that remains a key aspect of our business, his involvement in leadership capacities in other companies and organizations engaged in a broad range of business, finance and investment activities and his experience as a private investor all bring valuable skills and qualifications to our board.

Robin Josephs has served as one of our directors since 1998. Ms. Josephs serves as our Lead Director, with duties that include presiding at all executive sessions of the independent directors and serving as principal liaison between the chairman and the independent directors. Ms. Josephs is chair of our Nominating and Governance Committee and a member of our Compensation Committee. She currently serves as a director of Safety, the first public company focused on ground lease investments, which is externally-managed by our wholly owned subsidiary and of which we are the largest shareholder. Ms. Josephs also serves as a director, chair of the compensation committee and a member of the audit committee of MFA Financial, Inc. (NYSE: MFA), which is primarily engaged in investing in residential mortgage-backed securities, and as a director and member of the audit committee and compensation committee of QuinStreet, Inc. (NASDAQ: QNST), a vertical marketing and online media company. Ms. Josephs previously served until 2016 as a director and member of the audit and compensation committees of Plum Creek Timber Company, Inc. (NYSE: PCL), which conducted operations in the land, wood products, natural resource and energy businesses. From 2005 to 2007, Ms. Josephs was a managing director of Starwood Capital Group L.P., a private equity firm specializing in real estate investments. Previously, Ms. Josephs was a senior executive with Goldman Sachs & Co. in various capacities. Ms. Josephs is a trustee of the University of Chicago Cancer Research Foundation. Ms. Josephs received a B.S. degree in economics magna cum laude from the Wharton School (Phi Beta Kappa) and an M.B.A. degree from Columbia University. Ms. Josephs' employment as an investment banking professional brings valuable knowledge of finance and capital markets to our board. Her background working as a managing director of Starwood Capital Group, where she evaluated and managed numerous real estate investments, adds knowledge and expertise in this area of vital importance to our company. Ms. Josephs' extensive experience as a director of public companies also brings to our board valuable skills and insights into the governance of real estate, investment and operating companies.

Dale Anne Reiss has served as one of our directors since 2008. Ms. Reiss is chair of our Audit Committee and a member of our Nominating and Governance Committee. Until her retirement in 2008, she served as Global and Americas Director of Real Estate at Ernst & Young LLP, was a Senior Partner there from 1995 through 2008, and subsequently was a senior consultant to the Global Real Estate Center of Ernst & Young LLP from 2008 to 2011. Ms. Reiss serves as Senior Managing Director of Brock Capital Group LLC and Chairperson of Brock Real Estate LLC, its equity and mezzanine financing arm, as well as Managing Director of Artemis Advisors, LLC. Ms. Reiss currently serves as a director and chair of the audit committee of Tutor Perini Corporation (NYSE: TPC), a leading civil and building construction company, and as a director and a member of the compensation and nominating and governance committees of CYS Investments, Inc. (NYSE: CYS), a specialty finance company that invests in residential mortgage-backed securities. Ms. Reiss also serves on the boards of Educational Housing Services, Inc. and

the Police Pension Board of the City of Sanibel, FL. She previously served as a director and member of the audit committee of Post Properties, Inc., and as a director, chair of the compensation committee and a member of the nominating and governance and executive committees of Care Capital Properties, Inc., a healthcare

real estate investment trust. Ms. Reiss is a former member of the boards of directors of the Pension Real Estate Association and ULI-the Urban Land Institute, where she continues to serve as a governor. Ms. Reiss is a Certified Public Accountant. She received a B.S. from the Illinois Institute of Technology and an M.B.A. from the University of Chicago. Ms. Reiss' qualifications for election to our board include extensive expertise in financial and accounting matters from her experience over an extended period at several major public accounting firms, her leadership experience in management and operations at those firms and her experience as a director of other public and private companies.

Barry W. Ridings has served as one of our directors since 2011. Mr. Ridings is a member of our Audit Committee and our Compensation Committee. He is a senior advisor at Lazard Frères & Co. LLC, having previously served as vice chairman of the firm, where he has been employed since 1999. Mr. Ridings serves as chairman of LMDC Holdings LLC and chairman of Lazard Middle Market LLC. He previously served as managing director of BT Alex Brown from 1990 to 1999. Mr. Ridings has over 40 years of experience in debt and equity offerings, mergers and acquisitions and corporate restructurings. He serves as a director of Siem Industries Inc., a company with interests in oil, gas and shipping, and as a director of Republic Airways Holdings, a regional airline in the United States operating as American Eagle, Delta Connection and United Express. Mr. Ridings is chairman of the Advisory Council for the Cornell University Johnson Graduate School of Business. He serves as a trustee of the Mu of Delta Kappa Epsilon Foundation, a charitable fraternal organization associated with Colgate University, a trustee of The Montclair Kimberley Academy and a director of the Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of New York. Mr. Ridings has a B.A. in Religion from Colgate University and an M.B.A. in Finance from Cornell University. Mr. Ridings' distinguished career in the finance industry, his experience in helping companies access debt and equity capital and navigate challenging market conditions and his service as a director of other public and private companies demonstrate the valuable skills and attributes Mr. Ridings brings to our board.

#### INFORMATION REGARDING THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND ITS COMMITTEES

##### Board Leadership Structure

Our board has the authority to select the leadership structure it considers appropriate for us. In making leadership structure determinations, the board considers many factors, including the specific needs of our business and what is in the best interests of our shareholders. Our current leadership structure consists of a combined chairman of the board and chief executive officer position, a lead independent director, or Lead Director, an active and involved board, a majority of which consists of independent directors, and board committees chaired by independent directors.

Under our bylaws, the chairman of the board presides over the meetings of the board and of the shareholders. The chairman of the board shall perform such other duties as may be assigned to him by the board of directors. The chief executive officer has general responsibility for implementation of our policies, as determined by the board, and for the management of our business and affairs. Jay Sugarman serves as both chairman of the board and chief executive officer.

Our board, by vote of its independent members, designates a Lead Director from among the independent directors, whose duties include the following:

- Preside at all meetings of the board at which the chairman is not present and all executive sessions of the independent directors;
- Serve as principal liaison between the chairman and the independent directors;
- Approve agendas for board meetings;
- Approve information presented to the board;
- Approve the schedule of meetings of the board to assure that there is sufficient time for discussion of agenda items;





• Call meetings of the independent directors, if deemed necessary or appropriate by the Lead Director;  
• If requested by major shareholders, be available for consultation and direct communication with major shareholders and their representatives; and  
• Such other duties as the board may determine from time to time.

Robin Josephs currently serves as our Lead Director.

The board believes that this leadership structure—a combined chairman and chief executive officer, a lead independent director, active and involved independent directors, and board committees led by independent directors—is the most appropriate and effective arrangement for us at this time. Due to the varied and complex nature of our business, the board believes the chief executive officer is in the best position to serve in the critical role of chairman of the board and lead us and the board effectively. Having a chairman who also serves as chief executive officer facilitates timely communication with directors on critical business matters. The board believes that leadership of both the board and the company by Mr. Sugarman is the optimal structure to guide us and maintain the focus needed to achieve our business goals, while also providing for effective oversight by an independent board through an independent lead director. The board also believes the current board leadership structure functions very well and provides an effective balance between strong company leadership and appropriate oversight by independent directors. The board recognizes that circumstances may change, however, and will periodically review its leadership structure.

**No Staggered Board**

All of our directors are elected annually.

**Director Resignation Policy**

In an uncontested election of directors, if an incumbent nominee for director fails to receive the affirmative vote of a majority of the total votes cast “for” and affirmatively “withheld” as to such individual at a meeting of shareholders duly called and at which a quorum is present in accordance with our Bylaws, he or she shall offer to resign from the board promptly following certification of the results of the shareholder vote.

The Nominating and Governance Committee will consider such offer to resign, will determine whether to recommend acceptance of such director’s resignation and will submit such recommendation for consideration by the board. The director whose offer to resign is under consideration shall not participate in any deliberation or vote of the Nominating and Governance Committee or board regarding that offer to resign. Notwithstanding the foregoing, in the event that all directors offer to resign in accordance with this policy, the Nominating and Governance Committee shall make a final determination as to whether to recommend that the board accept any or all offers to resign, including those offers to resign from members of the Nominating and Governance Committee. The Nominating and Governance Committee and the board may consider any factors they deem relevant in deciding whether to accept a director’s resignation.

Within 90 days after the date of certification of the results of the shareholder vote, the board will disclose its decision in a press release, filing with the SEC or by other public announcement. If such director’s offer to resign is not accepted by the board, such director will continue to serve until his or her successor is elected and qualifies, or his or her death, resignation, retirement or removal, whichever event shall occur first. If a director’s offer to resign is accepted by the board, then the board, in its sole discretion, may fill any resulting vacancy pursuant to the Bylaws.

A director’s acceptance of the position, or continued service as, a director of the Company constitutes such director’s agreement to abide by this policy.

#### Board Composition and Diversity

Our board recognizes the value of nominating for election to the board individuals who bring a variety of diverse opinions, perspectives, skills, experiences, backgrounds and orientations to its discussions and decision-making processes. An overriding principle is that all nominations to the board should be based upon merit and suitability of the candidate. Subject to this overriding principle, the board recognizes the need to consider director candidates from different backgrounds, including, but not limited to, geography, race, ethnicity and gender.

The Nominating and Governance Committee regularly assesses the size and composition of our board to help ensure that our board functions in an effective manner given the size, diversity and complexity of our business and the range of business segments and markets in which we operate. The committee believes it is important to have a mix of experienced directors with a deep understanding of our business and others who bring fresh perspectives. The committee engages in discussions of potential additions to our board on an ongoing basis. In seeking to maintain an engaged, independent board possessing broad experience and judgment and committed to representing the long term interests of our shareholders, the committee takes into account the various factors described above in the section of this proxy statement captioned "ELECTION OF DIRECTORS-Director Qualifications".

#### Director Independence

Our board has determined that all of our current directors, other than our chairman and chief executive officer, are independent. In determining director independence, the board considers all relevant facts and circumstances and the NYSE listing standards. Under the NYSE listing standards, no director qualifies as independent unless the board affirmatively determines that the director has no material relationship with us, either directly or as a partner, stockholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with us.

#### Board and Committee Annual Assessments

Our directors engage in an annual assessment of the board and committee performance, for the purpose of ensuring the effectiveness of the board as a whole and its committees. An independent party interviews each director individually on a wide range of topics relating to board structure and composition, communications, information furnished to the board, the board's relationship with management and the effectiveness of the board and its committees, and then summarizes the individual comments and assessments in an oral report to the board in executive session. The board utilizes the results of this process to help refine and improve the operations of the board and its committees.

#### Board Meetings Held during 2017

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017, the board held 15 meetings, including meetings held in person and by telephone conference call. All directors are expected to attend a majority of the board meetings. All directors attended at least 75% of all of the board meetings and applicable committee meetings held during 2017. The board also acts by unanimous written consent in appropriate circumstances. Five of our six directors who were elected at the 2017 annual meeting were present in person at that annual meeting.

#### Executive Sessions

Our board of directors meets in executive session at least quarterly without management present. Our audit committee also meets in executive session at least quarterly, without management present, with representatives of our independent registered public accounting firm and with representatives with the accounting firm engaged to assist us in the preparation of our documentation, testing and evaluation of internal controls over financial reporting.

#### Committees Established by the Board

Our board has standing Audit, Compensation and Nominating and Governance Committees. These standing committees are comprised entirely of independent directors. Our board appoints special committees from time to time, as deemed necessary or appropriate.

#### Audit Committee

The Audit Committee is responsible, among other things, for the following matters:

- appointment, compensation, retention and oversight over the work of our independent registered public accounting firm;
- ensuring that procedures are established for handling complaints regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters, including the confidential and anonymous submission of “whistleblower” reports by our employees regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters.
- meeting periodically with management and our independent registered public accounting firm to review and discuss the Company’s annual audited financial statements and quarterly financial statements;
- meeting separately, on a periodic basis, with management, with internal auditors or our personnel responsible for the internal audit function, and with our independent registered public accounting firm;
- receiving reports from our management of (i) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of our internal controls and (ii) any fraud that involving management or other employees who have a significant role in our internal controls;
- reviewing analyses of significant financial reporting issues and judgments made in connection with the preparation of the Company’s financial statements
- reviewing any accounting adjustments, any communications between the audit team and the audit firm’s national office respecting auditing or accounting and any “management” or “internal control” letter issued, or proposed to be issued, by the auditing firm;
- reviewing our hedging policy and the status of hedging transactions on a quarterly basis;
- reviewing our credit loss reserve policy and establishment of reserves on a quarterly basis;
- discussing policies with respect to risk assessment and risk management;
- discussing any material legal matters;
- ensuring that policies are established regarding hiring employees or former employees of the independent auditors;
- reviewing annually internal and external audits, if any, of our employee benefit plans and pension plans.

As of the date of this proxy statement, the members of the Audit Committee are Dale Anne Reiss (chair), Clifford De Souza and Barry W. Ridings. Each of the current members of the Audit Committee is independent, as defined by the Audit Committee’s charter and the NYSE listing standards. The board has determined that Ms. Reiss, Mr. De Souza and Mr. Ridings each qualifies as an “audit committee financial expert” as defined by the SEC. The Audit Committee met 14 times during 2017, including meetings held in person and by telephone conference call.

#### Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee is responsible for overseeing our executive compensation programs. The principal responsibilities of the committee are to:

- review management’s recommendations and advise management and the board on broad compensation programs and policies such as salary ranges, annual incentive bonuses and long-term incentive plans,

including equity-based compensation programs, as well as other group benefit programs offered to employees generally;

approve performance objectives that may be established for our senior executives and evaluate the performance of such executives relative to these objectives in connection with the committee's overall review of executive compensation;

approve, either as a committee or together with the other independent directors based on a recommendation of the committee, the base salary, annual incentive awards, long-term incentive awards and other compensation for our chief executive officer;

approve base salaries, annual incentive awards, long-term incentive awards and other compensation for our other officers and employees with base salaries in excess of \$200,000 per year (which include all officers who are subject to Section 16(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended);

administer the issuance of any award under our long-term incentive plans and other equity compensation programs;

retain and oversee third party consultants to assist with the committee's activities, from time to time;

consider and evaluate "Say on Pay" resolutions and recommend to the board the frequency with which "Say on Pay" resolutions should be voted on by the shareholders;

perform such other duties and responsibilities pertaining to compensation matters as may be assigned to the committee by the board; and

review the Compensation Discussion and Analysis and recommend to the full board that it be included in our proxy statement.

As of the date of this proxy statement, the members of the Compensation Committee are Robert W. Holman, Jr. (chairman), Robin Josephs and Barry W. Ridings. Each of the current members of the Compensation Committee is independent as defined by the Compensation Committee's charter and the NYSE listing standards. The Compensation Committee met seven times during 2017, including meetings held in person and by telephone conference call.

#### Nominating and Governance Committee

The Nominating and Governance Committee is responsible for:

providing counsel to the board of directors with respect to the organization, function and composition of the board of directors and its committees;

overseeing the self-evaluation of our board of directors as a whole and of the individual directors and the board's evaluation of management and report thereon to the board;

periodically reviewing and, if appropriate, recommending to the board of directors changes to, our corporate governance policies and procedures;

identifying and recommending to our board of directors potential director candidates for nomination; and

- recommending to the full board of directors the appointment of each of our executive officers.

In recommending potential director candidates for nomination to our board of directors, the Nominating and Governance Committee considers such factors as it deems appropriate. These factors may include judgment, skill and experience with businesses and other organizations comparable to us. The charter of our Nominating and Governance Committee also identifies diversity as one factor which the committee may consider when nominating a candidate for election to the board. Diversity includes not only factors such as gender, race and age, but also

background, experience, skills, accomplishments, personal qualities and other traits desirable in achieving an appropriate mix of qualified individuals.

The Nominating and Governance Committee may solicit and consider suggestions of the directors or management regarding possible nominees, may consider nominees suggested by shareholders and generally shall guide the process of recruiting new directors. The committee may employ professional search firms or consultants to assist us in identifying potential members of the board with the desired skills and disciplines. Nominations made by shareholders should be made in accordance with the procedures set forth below in this section under “Shareholder Nominations for the Board.” Candidates proposed by shareholders will be considered using the same criteria and in the same manner as all other candidates are considered.

As of the date of this proxy statement, the members of the Nominating and Governance Committee are Robin Josephs (chair), Clifford De Souza, Robert W. Holman, Jr. and Dale Anne Reiss. Each of the current members of the Nominating and Governance Committee is independent as defined by the applicable NYSE listing standards. The Nominating and Governance Committee met seven times during 2017, including meetings held in person and by telephone conference call.

#### Committee Charters

Our Audit, Compensation and Nominating and Governance Committees have adopted charters that meet the standards established by the NYSE. Copies of these charters are available on our website at [www.istar.com](http://www.istar.com) and will be provided in print, without charge, to any shareholder who requests copies.

#### Service on Other Boards

In view of the commitment of time and effort that is required of a director of a public company, our board has established a guideline that its directors should not serve on the boards of more than five public companies.

#### No Special Arrangements for Service as Directors

No arrangement or understanding exists between any director or executive officer and any other person or persons pursuant to which any director or executive officer was, or is, to be selected as a director or nominee.

#### Board’s Role in Risk Oversight

Our management is charged with assessing and managing risks associated with our business on a day to day basis. The board’s role is to oversee management’s execution of these responsibilities and to assess our approach to risk management. In our view, it is not possible or desirable to eliminate risk from our activities. We believe that our focus should be on identifying, pricing, managing and monitoring risk with the objective of achieving attractive, long term, risk adjusted returns for the benefit of the company and our shareholders. We have robust internal processes and a strong internal control environment designed to identify, manage and mitigate material risks and to communicate with the board. The board exercises its oversight role periodically as part of its regular meetings and also through its committees, which examine various elements of risk as part of their responsibilities. The full board, or the appropriate board committee in the case of risks under the purview of a particular committee, receives regular reports from members of senior management on areas of material risk to us, including operational, financial, legal, regulatory, strategic and reputational risk, in order to review and understand risk identification, risk management and risk mitigation strategies. The board’s role in risk oversight is consistent with our leadership structure generally, with the chief executive officer and other members of senior management having responsibility for assessing and managing our risk exposure, and the board and its committees providing oversight in connection with those efforts.

#### Shareholder Nominations for the Board

Shareholder nominations for election to the board should be sent to the attention of our corporate secretary at the address appearing on the notice accompanying this proxy statement, describing the candidate’s qualifications and accompanied by the candidate’s written statement of willingness and affirmative desire to serve in a manner



representing the interests of all shareholders. Shareholders may also make nominations directly by following the procedure specified in our bylaws.

Candidates proposed by shareholders will be considered using the same criteria and in the same manner utilized by the Nominating and Governance Committee of the board in considering all candidates for election to the board, set forth above in this section under “Nominating and Governance Committee.”

#### Communications with the Board

We provide the opportunity for interested parties, including shareholders, to communicate with members of the board. Interested parties may communicate with our Lead Director, the other independent board members or the chair of any of the committees of the board by e-mail or regular mail. All communications by e-mail should be sent to CorporateSecretary@istar.com. Communications sent by regular mail should be sent to the attention of the Lead Director, the independent directors, the Audit Committee chair, the Compensation Committee chair or the Nominating and Governance Committee chair, as the case may be, in each instance in care of our corporate secretary at our headquarters at 1114 Avenue of the Americas, 39th Floor, New York, NY 10036.

Our chief legal officer and our secretary will review each communication received in accordance with this process to determine whether the communication requires immediate action. These officers will forward all appropriate communications received, or a summary of such communications, to the appropriate board member(s). However, we reserve the right to disregard any communication that our chief legal officer and our secretary determine is unduly hostile, threatening, or illegal, does not reasonably relate to us or our business, or is similarly inappropriate. These officers have the authority to disregard any inappropriate communications or to take other appropriate actions with respect to any such inappropriate communications.

#### EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

##### Executive Officers

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to each of our current executive officers and Geoffrey Jervis, who stepped down as an executive officer on March 30, 2018:

Executive officer	Age	Position
Jay Sugarman	55	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
Nina B. Matis	70	Vice Chairman and Chief Legal Officer
Marcos Alvarado	37	Chief Investment Officer
Andrew C. Richardson <sup>(1)</sup>	51	Interim Chief Financial Officer and President, Land and Development Portfolio
Geoffrey G. Jervis <sup>(1)</sup>	46	Former Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer

<sup>(1)</sup> Mr. Richardson became interim Chief Financial Officer on March 30, 2018 when Mr. Jervis stepped down from his positions with us. See our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 27, 2018.

#### Biographical Information

Information for Jay Sugarman, our chairman and chief executive officer, is contained above under the heading “ELECTION OF DIRECTORS.” Information is set forth below with regard to our other executive officers identified in this proxy statement. We have determined we have three executive officers who served during 2017: Mr. Sugarman, our chairman and chief executive officer, Nina Matis, who served as our chief legal officer and chief investment officer during 2017, and Geoffrey Jervis, who served as our chief operating officer and chief financial officer during 2017. Mr. Jervis stepped down from those roles effective March 30, 2018 and will remain as an

advisor during a transition period. During the first quarter of 2018, Marcos Alvarado joined us as chief investment officer and Andrew Richardson joined us as president, land and development portfolio, and interim chief financial officer. All of our officers serve at the pleasure of the board of directors and are customarily appointed as officers at the annual organizational meeting of the board held following each annual meeting of shareholders.

Nina B. Matis, age 70, currently serves as our vice chairman, executive vice president and chief legal officer. She assumed her current position as vice chairman in January 2018 and, prior to that time, also served as our chief investment officer. She assumed the positions of executive vice president, chief legal officer and chief investment officer in February 2008 after serving as our general counsel since 1996, executive vice president since November 1999 and chief investment officer since April 2007. In her current positions, Ms. Matis' core responsibilities include key corporate legal decisions and litigation strategy, helping shepherd strategic initiatives across multiple functions and helping develop succession talent. During 2017, when she also served as our chief investment officer, Ms. Matis was responsible for overseeing and managing the strategic consideration and execution of our investment and financing transactions, restructurings and resolutions of loans and other problem assets, significant operational responsibilities and litigation and other legal matters. Ms. Matis previously served as a partner in the law firm of Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP, one of our principal outside law firms, and was an inactive special capital partner of the firm until her withdrawal from this position during 2010. Ms. Matis previously served as a director of New Plan Excel Realty Trust, Inc. She is a director of Signature Theater Company, Thomas Cole House, a National Historic Landmark that includes the home and the studio of painter Thomas Cole, and National Partnership for Women & Families, a nonprofit, nonpartisan 501(c)(3) organization. Ms. Matis received a B.A. degree, with honors, from Smith College and a J.D. degree from New York University School of Law.

Marcos Alvarado, age 37, joined the firm during the first quarter of 2018 and currently serves as our chief investment officer. Mr. Alvarado is a member of our senior executive team, responsible for overseeing originations and driving growth across the company's diversified \$5 billion investment portfolio. Throughout his career, Mr. Alvarado has closed more than \$25 billion of investments across all parts of the capital structure. He was previously Head of Acquisitions & Business Operations for Cadre, a technology-enabled real estate investment platform, and a Managing Director at Starwood Capital. Prior to Starwood Capital, Mr. Alvarado served as Vice President in Lehman Brothers' Global Real Estate Group. He started his career in Morgan Stanley's CMBS group. Mr. Alvarado holds a B.A. from Dartmouth College.

Andrew C. Richardson, age 51, joined us on March 30, 2018 as our interim chief financial officer. In addition, Mr. Richardson serves as president of our land and development portfolio, with primary responsibility for leading a focused portfolio of longer-term land and development assets as part of our ongoing efforts to monetize and efficiently manage those assets. Mr. Richardson has significant public company experience in the real estate finance and land development sectors. He most recently served as chief financial officer of The Howard Hughes Corporation (NYSE: HHC), one of the largest land development companies in the United States, and previously served as chief financial officer of Northstar Realty Finance Corp., an NYSE-listed real estate finance company. Prior to Northstar, Mr. Richardson served as an executive vice president and head of capital markets at iStar. Mr. Richardson holds a B.B.A. in accountancy from the University of Notre Dame and an M.B.A. from the University of Chicago.

Geoffrey G. Jervis, age 46, served as our chief operating officer and chief financial officer throughout 2017. He stepped down from those roles effective March 30, 2018 and will remain as an advisor during a transition period. Prior to joining iStar in 2016, Mr. Jervis served as the chief financial officer of STAG Industrial (NYSE: STAG), chief financial officer of The Blackstone Group's real estate debt business and chief financial officer of Blackstone Mortgage Trust (NYSE: BXMT), and chief financial officer of Capital Trust (NYSE: CT). Mr. Jervis received a B.A. from Vanderbilt University and an M.B.A. with honors from Columbia University.





## REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

The Audit Committee oversees the financial reporting process of iStar Inc., or Company, on behalf of the Board of Directors of the Company in accordance with our Audit Committee charter. The board, in its judgment, has determined that all members of our Audit Committee meet the independence requirements of the SEC, or SEC, and the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE. The board has also determined that the Chair of the Audit Committee and the other members of the Committee all are “audit committee financial experts” within the meaning of the rules of the SEC and are financially literate and have accounting or related financial management expertise, as such qualifications are defined under the rules of the NYSE. We operate under a written charter approved by the board, consistent with the corporate governance rules issued by the SEC and the NYSE. Our charter is available on the Company’s website at [www.istar.com](http://www.istar.com) and will be provided in print, without charge, to any shareholder who requests a copy.

The Company’s management is responsible for the financial reporting process and preparation of the quarterly and annual consolidated financial statements, including maintaining a system of internal controls over financial reporting, as well as disclosure controls and procedures.

We are directly responsible for the appointment, compensation, retention, oversight and termination of the Company’s external auditors. We have appointed Deloitte & Touche LLP, or Deloitte, an independent registered public accounting firm, to audit the consolidated financial statements of the Company for the year ending December 31, 2018.

In the second half of 2017, we conducted a competitive process to determine the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm for the Company’s fiscal year ending December 31, 2018. We invited several independent registered public accounting firms to participate in this process, including PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, or PwC, the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm since 1998.

All of the independent registered public accounting firms invited by the Company to participate in the process submitted proposals and presented their proposals to us and Company representatives. Following a comprehensive review of those proposals, on November 21, 2017, we approved the engagement of Deloitte as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm for the Company’s fiscal year ending December 31, 2018. PwC continued to serve as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm through the date of the issuance by PwC of its report on the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2017.

PwC’s reports on the Company’s consolidated financial statements as of and for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2015 and 2016 did not contain any adverse opinion or disclaimer of opinion, nor were they qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope, or accounting principle.

During the fiscal years ended December 31, 2015 and 2016, and the subsequent interim periods through November 21, 2017, there were: (i) no disagreements within the meaning of Item 304(a)(1)(iv) of Regulation S-K and the related instructions between the Company and PwC on any matters of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedure which disagreements, if not resolved to PwC’s satisfaction, would have caused PwC to make reference thereto in their reports; and (ii) no “reportable events” within the meaning of Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K. At our request, PwC furnished a letter addressed to the Securities and Exchange Commission stating whether or not it agrees with the above statements. A copy of PwC’s letter was filed as Exhibit 16.1 to the Company’s Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 28, 2017.

During the fiscal years ended December 31, 2015 and 2016 and the subsequent interim periods through November 21, 2017, neither the Company nor anyone on its behalf consulted with Deloitte regarding: (i) the application of accounting principles to a specified transaction, either completed or proposed, or the type of audit opinion that might be rendered on the Company’s financial statements, and neither a written report nor oral advice was provided to the Company that Deloitte concluded was an important factor considered by the Company in reaching a decision as to any accounting, auditing, or financial reporting issue; (ii) any matter that was the subject of a disagreement within the meaning of Item 304(a)(1)(iv) of Regulation S-K and the related instructions; or (iii) any



reportable event within the meaning of Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K.

The independent registered public accounting firm is responsible for auditing the effectiveness of the Company's internal controls over financial reporting and for expressing its opinion thereon, in addition to auditing the annual consolidated financial statements and expressing an opinion on the conformity of those financial statements with generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP, in the United States. We also approve the engagement of an accounting firm to assist the Company in the preparation of its documentation, testing and evaluation of internal controls over financial reporting and reviewed their performance. We do not prepare financial statements or conduct audits.

In its capacity as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the 2017 fiscal year, PwC issued a report on the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2017. In connection with the December 31, 2017 audited consolidated financial statements, we have:

reviewed and discussed with management and the independent registered public accounting firm the Company's internal controls over financial reporting, including a review of management's and the independent registered public accounting firm's assessments of and reports on the effectiveness of internal controls over financial reporting and any significant deficiencies or material weaknesses;

reviewed and discussed with management and the independent registered public accounting firm the Company's audited financial statements, including discussions regarding critical accounting policies, other financial accounting and reporting principles and practices appropriate for the Company, the quality of such principles and practices, and the reasonableness of significant judgments;

discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm the items that are required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, Communication with Audit Committees, as amended by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 90, Audit Committee Communications; and

reviewed and considered the written disclosures in the letter received from PwC, as required by the PCAOB regarding the independent accountant's communications with the Audit Committee regarding independence, including a discussion about its independence from the Company and management.

Based on the reviews and discussions above, and subject to the limitations on the role and responsibilities of the Audit Committee referred to above and in the Audit Committee charter in effect in 2017, we recommended to the board that the audited consolidated financial statements for 2017 be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017 (the "2017 10-K Report"), for filing with the SEC. The board approved our recommendation.

Submitted by the Audit Committee:

Dale Anne Reiss (Chair)

Clifford De Souza

Barry W. Ridings

The above report will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing by us under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, except to the extent that we specifically incorporate the same by reference.

#### **OTHER CORPORATE GOVERNANCE MATTERS**

In addition to matters discussed elsewhere in this proxy statement, including above under "INFORMATION REGARDING THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND ITS COMMITTEES", we have implemented the following practices and policies regarding corporate governance:

#### Corporate Governance Guidelines

Our board has approved a set of general guidelines that provide the framework for our corporate governance. The board reviews these guidelines and other aspects of our corporate governance periodically, as necessary. Our corporate governance guidelines may be found on our website at [www.istar.com](http://www.istar.com) and will be provided in print, without charge, to any shareholder who requests a copy.

#### Amendment to Bylaws

At our annual meeting held in May 2017, the shareholders approved a shareholder's non-binding proposal recommending that our board take steps to provide shareholders with the right to amend our Bylaws. In response to this proposal, and after conducting comprehensive shareholder outreach and engagement (described on page 17), on March 29, 2018 the board amended our Bylaws to add a provision that permits shareholders, in addition to the board, to amend the Bylaws.

As amended, Article XV of the Bylaws permits shareholders to amend the Bylaws by the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of our outstanding common shares pursuant to a binding proposal submitted for approval at a duly called annual meeting or special meeting of shareholders.

#### Opt Out from MUTA Provisions

Pursuant to an amendment to our charter approved by our board in 2015, we are prohibited from electing to be subject to the provisions of Sections 3-803, 3-804 and 3-805 of the Maryland General Corporation Law (MGCL). Subtitle 8, Title 3 of the MGCL is commonly referred to as the Maryland Unsolicited Takeover Act, or MUTA, which would otherwise allow our board to unilaterally classify itself into staggered classes and adopt certain other takeover defense measures. The opt out from MUTA provisions may not be repealed unless the repeal is approved by shareholders by the affirmative vote of at least a majority of the votes cast on the matter by shareholders which are entitled to vote generally in the election of directors.

#### Code of Conduct

Our Code of Conduct documents the principles of conduct and ethics to be followed by our directors, officers and employees. The purpose of the Code of Conduct is to promote honest and ethical conduct, compliance with applicable governmental rules and regulations, full, fair, accurate, timely and understandable disclosure in periodic reports, prompt internal reporting of violations of the Code of Conduct and a culture of honesty and accountability. A copy of the Code of Conduct has been provided to each of our directors, officers and employees, who are required to acknowledge that they have received and will comply with the Code of Conduct. We will disclose any material changes to the Code of Conduct, and any waivers that are approved for directors or executive officers, in our public SEC filings and on our website within four business days of such an event. A copy of our Code of Conduct may be found on our website at [www.istar.com](http://www.istar.com) and will be provided in print, without charge, to any shareholder who requests a copy.

#### “Whistleblower” Policy

Our Code of Conduct includes a policy on reporting suspected misconduct (a “whistleblower” policy) that describes how employees can report any concerns or suspected violations of our standards of conduct, policies, or laws and regulations to a named Compliance Officer, any other member of our Compliance Committee, our chief executive officer or the chair of the Audit Committee. This reporting may be done on an anonymous basis. We have also established an independent “hotline” telephone service that may be used by employees who wish to report any concerns or suspected violations, on an anonymous basis or otherwise. It is our policy that retaliation against employees who report actual or suspected Code violations is prohibited, and anyone who attempts to retaliate will be subject to disciplinary action, up to and including termination. Reports of misconduct made in bad faith and false or misleading information provided in the course of an investigation will be subject to disciplinary action, up to and including termination.



#### Disclosure Committee

We maintain a Disclosure Committee consisting of members of our executive management and senior staff. The purpose of the Disclosure Committee is to oversee our system of disclosure controls and assist and advise the chief executive officer and chief financial officer in making the required certifications in SEC reports. The Disclosure Committee was established to bring together on a regular basis representatives from our core business lines and employees involved in the preparation of our financial statements to discuss any issues or matters of which the members are aware that should be considered for disclosure in our public SEC filings and review our draft periodic SEC reports prior to filing. The Disclosure Committee reports to our chief executive officer and, as appropriate, to our Audit Committee. The Disclosure Committee meets quarterly and otherwise as needed. The Disclosure Committee has adopted a written charter to memorialize the Committee's purpose and procedures. A copy of the charter may be found on our website at [www.istar.com](http://www.istar.com) and will be provided in print, without charge, to any shareholder who requests a copy.

#### Shareholder Outreach and Communication; Shareholder Responsiveness

On a regular basis throughout the year, our management engages in communications with our significant investors to ensure that management and the board understand and consider the issues that are important to our shareholders. We regularly discuss with our investors matters relating to our business, strategic plans, financial results, corporate governance issues, compensation program and related matters. Our Lead Director and other independent directors have participated in direct conversations with significant investors regarding our business, strategic plans and other matters of interest. In these discussions, our shareholders have not expressed concerns or dissatisfaction with our executive compensation program.

In particular, during the past year we have engaged in extensive discussions with significant shareholders regarding issues surrounding amending our Bylaws, in light of the non-binding shareholder proposal that received support of 76% of the votes cast at the 2017 annual meeting recommending that the our board take steps to provide shareholders with the right to amend our Bylaws. We contacted our largest shareholders and held discussions with investors that hold in aggregate in excess of 48% of our outstanding shares. In these discussions, our shareholders expressed general support for giving shareholders power to amend our Bylaws and we exchanged views on a variety of matters, including the significance of shareholders' power to amend the Bylaws as a matter of shareholders' rights and possible limitations on shareholders' ability to propose Bylaw amendments, including requiring an ownership threshold to make a proposal, the scope of any such ownership threshold, the required votes needed to approve a shareholder-proposed amendment and whether shareholders should not have the power to amend certain Bylaw provisions without concurrent approval by our board. Following these discussions with shareholders, our board considered the issues at length and determined to amend our Bylaws to add a provision that permits shareholders to amend the Bylaws, as described above on page 16.

We plan to continue these types of discussions with our shareholders on a wide range of matters, as they provide valuable feedback and enable us to address shareholder concerns and interests in designing and implementing our programs and practices.

#### No Poison Pill

We do not currently have a shareholder rights plan, commonly known as a "poison pill," in effect.

#### Compensation Related Matters

See "Compensation Discussion and Analysis-Key Compensation Practices" and "Other Compensation Design Practices" for additional information on our policies on matters such as our stock ownership guidelines, hedging and pledging restrictions, compensation clawbacks and similar matters.





## EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

### Compensation Discussion and Analysis

This compensation discussion and analysis describes the key principles and factors underlying our executive compensation policies and decisions for 2017 for our executive officers identified in this proxy statement. The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the other information presented in this proxy statement, including the information in the compensation tables and the footnotes to those tables.

#### Introduction

Our compensation program reflects our pay-for-performance philosophy and is designed to create a strong connection between executive pay, our business performance and shareholder value creation. The compensation program has the following objectives:

- Attracting, retaining, motivating and rewarding key executives who contribute to achieving our short-term and long-term strategic goals.
- Encouraging our key executives to improve business performance and increase shareholder value by providing a mix of compensation that is primarily variable (only earned when performance goals are achieved).
- Aligning executive interests with those of shareholders by denominating portions of annual bonus and long-term incentive compensation in iStar equity.

To promote these objectives, a majority of our executives' compensation is at risk and directly tied to accomplishments that improve the performance of the Company and increase the Company's value. We believe this approach helps us achieve our objectives and promote the interests of our shareholders. Our compensation actions have taken into account the performance and accomplishments of our management team during 2017 towards achieving our current and long term strategic, business and financial goals, and reflect our continuing efforts to enhance the alignment between our executive incentive compensation and results realized by our shareholders.

#### Compensation Program Design

Our compensation program seeks to enhance the linkage and measurement of employee performance and shareholder value creation through the design of our annual incentive and long term incentive compensation programs. Our Compensation Committee (Committee), with the assistance of Pay Governance LLC (Pay Governance), an independent compensation consultant engaged by the Committee, regularly reviews the design and implementation of the compensation programs to achieve this purpose.

In our regular communications with significant shareholders regarding our business, strategic plans, financial results and related matters, we have elicited our shareholders' views regarding our compensation program and our efforts to enhance the alignment between our performance, our shareholders' results and our executives' incentives. The results of our recent Say on Pay votes have indicated strong shareholder support of our compensation programs. We continue to work to enhance our overall compensation program to provide appropriate incentive compensation opportunities for our executives that are aligned with our financial performance and results for our shareholders.

#### Key Compensation Practices

Our key executive compensation practices are summarized below. We believe these practices promote good governance and serve the interests of our shareholders. Certain of these practices are described in more detail elsewhere in this proxy statement.

#### WHAT WE DO

We emphasize variable pay over fixed pay, with the majority of the compensation of our executive officers identified in this proxy statement being long term performance based pay

We provide a mix of cash and equity compensation, with equity incentive compensation for our executive officers identified in this proxy statement consisting substantially of performance based awards that also require continued service

We include a variety of objective performance metrics in our incentive compensation program

Under our annual incentive bonus plan, the size of the annual incentive bonus pool is determined based on our performance in achieving predetermined financial performance goals approved by the Committee

Under the iStar Performance Incentive Plan, or iPIP, which is the primary long-term incentive program for our executive officers identified in this proxy statement and key investment professionals, payouts to participants are based upon the performance of pools of new investments originated during a two-year period

No payouts to participants will occur until the company has realized a full return of our invested capital in the assets included in the pool plus a return based on both our corporate leverage ratio and borrowing rate from time to time (or asset specific leverage if applicable to a particular investment) and a fixed preferred return rate

Any earned payouts will be reduced if our total shareholder return, or TSR, underperforms the TSR of two selected market indices

iPIP Points vest over 6 years

We impose stock ownership guidelines for our senior executives and directors

We impose sale restrictions on vested annual incentive awards delivered in the form of shares

- We prohibit hedging and significant pledging of our shares by our senior executives and directors

We include “double trigger” change in control provisions in incentive award agreements, which require termination of employment following a change in control for compensation to be paid

We impose “clawback” provisions in compensation awards, which enable us to recoup incentive compensation in the event of misconduct directly related to a material restatement of our financial or operating results

We utilize an independent compensation consultant to advise the Committee on compensation program design, key compensation trends and internal and external competitiveness of our compensation program

#### WHAT WE DON'T DO

No employment agreements

No “change in control” agreements

No excise tax gross-ups on any compensation paid as a result of a change in control

No repricing of underwater stock options or granting of stock options at a price less than 100% of fair market value on grant date

No dividends or dividend equivalents paid on restricted stock units unless and until they vest

No preferential retirement plan, severance arrangements or perquisites available to executives that are not generally available to all employees

#### Primary Compensation Elements

Our executives are compensated through a combination of the following types of compensation: base salaries, annual incentive awards (bonus), which for our senior officers are paid in a mix of cash and equity, long term incentive awards, in the form of points in the iPIP and/or equity based awards under the LTIP, and group benefits available to employees generally, including 401(k) retirement plan and group health and welfare benefits

#### 2017 Company Performance

As noted, our compensation program reflects a pay-for-performance philosophy. Our 2017 performance reflects key accomplishments and developments described below.

As described more fully in our 2017 Annual Report on Form 10-K, in 2017, our real estate finance, net lease and land and development business segments all contributed positively to earnings. In our continuing effort to find untapped investment opportunities in real estate, we conceived and ultimately launched a new, publicly traded REIT, Safety, the first public company focused exclusively on the ground lease asset class, which is externally managed by our wholly-owned subsidiary and of which we are the largest shareholder. We received upgrades to our corporate credit ratings from all three major ratings agencies when we completed a comprehensive set of capital markets transactions designed to enhance our capital structure and improve our earnings profile. Lastly, we successfully resolved a long-standing litigation dispute over the purchase and sale of Bevard, a master planned community located in Maryland, pursuant to which we conveyed the property and received more than \$230 million of net proceeds, comprised of the remaining purchase price of \$114.0 million and \$123.4 million of interest and real estate taxes, net of costs (with a portion of the net proceeds received by us paid to the third party which holds a 4.3% participation interest.) We are also entitled to our attorneys' fees in achieving this recovery and have applied to the court for attorney's fees in excess of \$17.0 million.

#### Alignment of CEO Realized Pay and Company Performance

The Committee believes our executive compensation program should reward success when the management team's efforts improve company performance and/or increase shareholder value, and limit earned compensation when shareholder value declines and/or our goals are not achieved. The majority of the compensation opportunity for our chief executive officer is delivered through allocations of participation interests, or points, in iStar Inc. Performance Incentive Plan, or iPIP. In addition to iPIP points, Mr. Sugarman receives a base salary (which has not been increased since 2001).

As a result of the iPIP structure, including the long-term performance goals and six-year vesting requirements (see "Long Term Incentive Compensation: iStar Performance Incentive Plan (iPIP)" discussion on page 23), the realized value of Mr. Sugarman's pay (i.e., the actual value realized by Mr. Sugarman through actual compensation paid and/or vesting of long-term incentive, or LTI, awards) has been significantly less than the value of the total compensation that SEC rules require we disclose in the Summary Compensation Table on page 27:

The realized value of the total compensation (excluding amounts listed as "All Other Compensation") paid to our chief executive officer for the last three fiscal years combined (2015-2017) was \$4.2 million, or approximately 26.5% of the total compensation value for our chief executive officer for that period that is reported in the Summary Compensation Table.

The realized value of LTI awarded to our chief executive officer over the last three fiscal years combined (2015-2017) was estimated to be \$0 at the end of 2017. During this period, no value was realized, or paid to, Mr. Sugarman from these awards.



### 2017 Compensation Process and Actions

Compensation decisions for our executives are made annually, after reviewing our performance as a business and evaluating individuals' performance and contributions during the year, leadership qualities, business responsibilities, career with us, current compensation arrangements, long term potential to enhance shareholder value and other relevant performance and market data. For 2017, Mr. Sugarman, our chief executive officer, made specific compensation recommendations to the Committee based on the objectives and approach set by the Committee, as well as current business conditions and other factors. Specifically, for each executive other than himself, Mr. Sugarman made recommendations regarding base salaries for the following year, annual incentive awards and long-term incentive awards, for review and discussion with and approval by the Committee. As part of its evaluation, the Committee considered various factors and data, including compensation levels and practices at other companies considered to be relevant for purposes of comparison, but did not engage in a formal benchmarking process. Mr. Sugarman attended meetings of the Committee at the request of the Committee chair, but did not attend executive sessions and did not participate in any Committee or Board discussions relating to the final determination of his own compensation.

In connection with its oversight of our 2017 compensation decisions, the Committee engaged Pay Governance as its independent compensation consultant to assist the Committee on a range of executive compensation matters. The Committee has considered the independence of Pay Governance in light of SEC rules and NYSE listing standards. The Committee reviewed a report from Pay Governance addressing the consultant's independence and concluded that the work of Pay Governance did not raise any concerns regarding independence, conflicts of interest or related matters.

Pay Governance provided information and advice regarding compensation levels for our executives and generally assisted the Committee in its consideration of (a) compensation for the chief executive officer, (b) recommendations made by the chief executive officer for the other executive officers identified in this proxy statement and other employees, and (c) an appropriate overall structure and mix of compensation. The consultant conferred with the Committee members, as a group and individually, to discuss our recent compensation history and other relevant matters. Pay Governance met with the Committee regularly, including in executive sessions as requested by the Committee, to discuss guiding compensation principles, competitive market trends and potential pay frameworks.

#### Base Salaries

The Committee reviews the base salaries of our executive officers identified in this proxy statement every year. The base salaries of our named executive officers who served during 2017 were not changed and remained as follows: Mr. Sugarman - \$1,000,000; Ms. Matis - \$500,000; and Mr. Jervis - \$500,000.

#### Annual Incentive Awards

Under our annual incentive program, our total annual incentive pool, or bonus pool, is funded based on how we perform compared to a specific performance metric, as determined by the Committee.

The Committee selected Target Adjusted Income per diluted share as the appropriate performance measure for determining the size of the annual incentive pool to be funded for payment of annual incentive bonuses to all employees. Target Adjusted Income is calculated as Adjusted Income less actual economic losses realized on assets. (See Exhibit A attached to this proxy statement for our calculation of Adjusted Income.)

In addition, to comply with Section 162(m) of the Code for 2017, the Committee established a separate performance metric and applicable performance target which was required to be achieved in order for bonuses paid for such fiscal year to the employees covered by Section 162(m) to be tax deductible. For 2017, the Section 162(m) performance target selected by the Committee was \$194 million of Adjusted EBITDA, defined as net income (loss) plus interest expense, depreciation and amortization, stock based compensation expense, provision for loans losses and impairments, and income tax expense, and less gains (losses) on early extinguishment of debt. (See Exhibit A attached to this proxy statement for our calculation of Adjusted EBITDA.) As noted in the discussion of "Tax



Considerations” on page 25, Section 162(m) has been amended and the exception for performance-based compensation has been repealed, subject to certain grandfathered provisions. Accordingly, going forward, the Committee will not establish a separate performance metric for purposes of complying with Section 162(m). The Committee has reserved the right to pay non-deductible compensation.

During 2017, the Committee reviewed the Target Adjusted Income per diluted share forecast for the coming year, established target ranges for potential outcomes, and determined projected Threshold, Target and Maximum annual incentive pool amounts to be funded (using a linear scale of performance targets), as follows:

	Below Threshold	Threshold	Target	Maximum
2017 Target Adjusted Income/ Diluted Share	≤\$1.09	\$1.09	\$1.55	\$1.86+
2017 Bonus Payout (\$mil)	\$0.0	\$10.0	\$20.0	\$25.0
Performance as % of Target	<70%	70 %	100 %	120 %

To account for unanticipated circumstances and external economic factors, including the impact of shifts in timing of our asset transactions, the Committee has discretion to adjust the size of the total pool up or down by 25% based on its assessment of our overall performance.

Following the end of the year, the Committee reviewed our Adjusted Income for 2017 in the amount of \$214.6 million, or \$2.57 per diluted common share (see Exhibit A attached to this proxy statement), considered the further adjustments used to determine Target Adjusted Income per diluted share, and determined that the amount of Target Adjusted Income per diluted share achieved for 2017 was far in excess of the pre-determined range for funding the annual incentive pool at the maximum level of \$25 million. The Committee took into consideration various factors that contributed to our 2017 performance, including the successful launch and initial public offering, or IPO, of Safety, which generated a significant economic gain for iStar, realization of \$360 million of proceeds from legacy assets (significantly, the resolution of the Bevard litigation), and a series of capital market transactions that resulted in upgraded corporate credit ratings from all three major ratings agencies, among other developments, and approved a total annual incentive pool of \$25 million.

In making these determinations relating to the annual incentive pool for 2017, the Committee conferred with Pay Governance regarding competitive market data and competitive target bonus award levels for individuals, reviewed our historical annual incentive awards levels and other factors, and consulted with the chief executive officer. Once the total funding of the annual incentive pool was determined by the Committee, individual payouts for employees with annual base salaries in excess of \$200,000 from the pool were determined on a discretionary basis by the Committee based on recommendations from the chief executive officer following an assessment of individual performance. The Committee gave special consideration to the extraordinary efforts involved in the successful launch and IPO of Safety and approved supplemental bonuses for selected executives and officers based on those efforts, subject to the requirement that these individuals use the after-tax amounts of such supplemental bonuses to acquire Safety shares in the open market and retain these shares for up to two years.

The Committee made its determinations in early 2018 regarding annual incentive awards for the executive officers identified in this proxy statement for services performed in 2017, based on the total amount of the available annual incentive pool and the Committee’s assessment of each officer’s individual contributions to our financial and operating achievements during the year, as follows:

Mr. Sugarman - No regular annual incentive bonus was awarded, based on a determination to emphasize the long term incentives in his total compensation in the form of Points in the 2017 2018 iPIP pool described below, and a supplemental cash bonus was awarded in the amount of \$1.0 million for extraordinary efforts in connection with the successful launch and IPO of Safety.





Ms. Matis - A regular annual incentive bonus of \$1.5 million was awarded, of which she received \$1.2 million in cash and \$300,000 in the form of shares of iStar common stock, which are fully-vested but subject to restrictions on selling the shares prior to June 30, 2019, and a supplemental cash bonus was awarded in the amount of \$750,000 for extraordinary efforts in connection with the successful launch and IPO of Safety.

Mr. Jervis - We agreed to pay a regular annual incentive bonus of \$2.0 million, payable \$1.9 million in cash and \$100,000 in the form of shares of iStar common stock, which are fully-vested but subject to restrictions on selling the shares prior to June 30, 2019, and a supplemental cash bonus in the amount of \$1.0 million for extraordinary efforts in connection with the successful launch and IPO of Safety.

Pursuant to the SEC's disclosure rules and regulations, in the Summary Compensation Table below, the value of equity awards granted in 2018, even if granted in respect of 2017 performance, is not reported as part of the compensation for 2017 for our executive officers identified in this proxy statement but is reported as 2018 compensation. As a result, the equity portion of the annual incentive awards described above are not reported in the Summary Compensation Table of this proxy statement, but will be reported in next year's proxy statement.

#### Long Term Incentive Compensation: iStar Performance Incentive Plan (iPIP)

The iPIP is the primary vehicle for providing, primarily to senior executives and select professionals engaged in our investment activities, long term incentive compensation that has a direct relationship to the realized returns on our new investments. The iPIP creates compensation pools (which may be short term and/or long term pools depending on the nature of the investment assets included in the iPIP compensation pools) every two years that track the investment performance of the new investments made by us during those periods. Meaningful hurdles must be achieved before iPIP participants receive any payout from the iPIP compensation pools. Specifically, all payouts from each iPIP compensation pool are fully subordinated to a complete return of our invested capital in the assets included in that pool, together with a return partially based on a leverage component and partially based on a preferred return hurdle rate (which is 9% for all of the iPIP pools allocated to date). In addition, there is another test designed to further align management and shareholder interests, which has the potential to reduce payouts from an iPIP compensation pool in the event that our long term TSR is below the average of the median of two market indices equally weighted between REITs (the FTSE NAREIT All REITs Index) and small cap stocks (the Russell 2000 Index).

iPIP participants are generally subject to a six year vesting period. To promote a further alignment of interests, 50% of iPIP compensation will be payable in shares of our common stock, provided there are available shares for issuance under our LTIP, and the balance will be paid in cash.

For services in 2017, the Committee approved long term incentive compensation in the form of total supplemental allocations of 37.08 Points in the 2017-2018 iPIP pool, which includes investments made in 2017-2018 (consisting of a long term investment pool and a short term investment pool), and one supplemental allocation of 0.50 Points in the 2013-2014 iPIP pool.

The iPIP allocations made in March 2018 for services in 2017 as long term incentive awards to our chief executive officer and our other named executive officers who served during 2017 were approved by the Committee based on the Committee's assessment of each officer's individual contributions to our investment and origination activities in particular and our financial and operating achievements in general, as follows:

Mr. Sugarman: 20.0 Points in the 2017-2018 iPIP pool (20% of the authorized Points in the 2017-2018 iPIP pool)

Ms. Matis: 1.56 Points in the 2017-2018 iPIP pool (1.56% of the authorized Points in the 2017-2018 iPIP pool)

Mr. Jervis: 2.2 Points in the 2017-2018 iPIP pool (2.2% of the authorized Points in the 2017-2018 iPIP pool)  
 Long-Term Incentive Compensation: Equity-Based Awards under Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP)

As noted above, while our iPIP is intended to serve as the primary vehicle for providing long-term incentive compensation to the named executive officers, other senior executives and investment professionals, we will continue to utilize equity-based awards under our 2009 Long-Term Incentive Plan, or LTIP, which are typically in the form of restricted stock units (Units). In 2017, certain employees were granted long-term incentive equity-based awards under our LTIP program in the form of time-based Units that provide for “cliff” vesting in one installment at the end of a three-year vesting period if the employee remains employed on the vesting date. Dividends will accrue but will not be paid unless and until time-based Units vest and are settled.

**Other Compensation Program Design Features**

We have also adopted the following policies as part of the overall design of our compensation program:

**Minimum Stock Ownership Guidelines for Non-Employee Directors and Senior Officers**

Our non-employee directors, executive officers and other senior officers are expected to maintain equity ownership interests having a value at least equal to a specified minimum ownership level determined by reference to each such individual’s position, as set forth below:

Position	Minimum Ownership Level
Non-Employee Directors	5X annual cash retainer
Chief Executive Officer (CEO)	5X base salary
Chief Legal Officer and Chief Investment Officer	3X base salary
Chief Financial Officer and Other CEO Direct Reports (including Executive Vice Presidents)	1.5X base salary
Senior Vice Presidents (or equivalent)	1X base salary

For purposes of these stock ownership guidelines, unvested time-based Units are counted. Each non-employee director and officer has five years from the date of his or her election to the board or appointment to an officer position, as the case may be, whichever is later, to satisfy the ownership guidelines. All of our non-employee directors and executive officers identified in this proxy statement are currently in compliance with the guidelines.

**Clawback Policy**

We have a “clawback” policy that is reflected in the provisions of our incentive compensation awards. If we determine that an employee has engaged in fraud, willful misconduct or violation of a company policy that causes or contributes to a material restatement or adjustment of financial results within two years after the period presented, or causes a material negative revision of a financial measure used to award incentive compensation, the Compensation Committee will review performance-based compensation awarded to the employee and, if appropriate, seek recoupment of an appropriate portion of such performance-based compensation.

**Prohibition on Hedging Transactions**

We have adopted a policy that prohibits directors, officers and other employees from trading in financial instruments or engaging in hedging transactions involving our securities that are designed to hedge or offset the risks of price fluctuations in the value of our securities (including but not limited to collars or forward sale contracts, puts, calls or other exchange traded options, or short sales of our shares).

#### Prohibition on Pledged Securities and Margin Accounts

We prohibit directors, officers and other employees from pledging our securities as collateral for a loan or holding iStar securities in a margin account, except with prior approval in accordance with guidelines approved by our board from time to time. Exceptions may be granted and approval given on a case-by-case basis in circumstances where an individual clearly demonstrates the financial capacity to meet a margin call or repay the loan without resort to the pledged shares, or where the amount of pledged shares or shares held in a margin account is not significant in comparison to the individual's total ownership of our shares, or where the aggregate amount of pledged shares by all insiders is not significant compared to our total outstanding shares.

#### “Double Trigger” Change in Control Provision for Long Term Incentive Compensation

All long-term incentive compensation awards for our executive officers, in the form of iPIP Points or LTIP Units, include a “double trigger” change in control provision, meaning that a change in control of the Company alone will not cause any acceleration of vesting of the incentive compensation awards. Only if the change in control transaction is followed by termination of the executive's employment or constructive termination, such as material reduction in position, responsibilities, compensation or other significant terms of employment, will the incentive compensation awards continue to vest, either in full or on prorated basis.

#### Tax Considerations

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code (Code) generally limits tax deductibility of compensation paid by a public company to its chief executive officer and certain other highly compensated executive officers (excluding the chief financial officer) to \$1 million in the year compensation becomes taxable to the executive. Prior to enactment of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act in November 2017, Section 162(m) included an exception for performance based compensation that meets specific requirements. This exception has now been repealed, which means employers lose the deduction for compensation to covered executives in excess of \$1 million, subject to certain grandfathered provisions. The Committee reserves the right to pay non-deductible compensation. However, notwithstanding the loss of the exception for performance-based compensation, the Committee intends to continue to utilize the transition rule under the Act where available and to support performance-based pay when developing and implementing our executive compensation programs.

#### Risk and Compensation

As noted above in the discussion of the board's role in risk oversight, in our view, it is not possible or desirable to eliminate risk from our business activities. We believe that both the company and our individual employees should focus on identifying, pricing, managing and monitoring risk with the objective of achieving attractive, long term, risk adjusted returns for our shareholders. We believe that our compensation program should support and motivate our employees in achieving this objective, but should not encourage excessive risk taking. We believe that our compensation program does not create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on us, based in part on the following attributes of our program:

• We have no employment agreements with executive officers. All of our executives are employed on an “at will” basis and may be terminated with or without cause at any time.

• Compensation is variable and performance-based. No individual's compensation is guaranteed.

• A significant portion of the compensation we pay our senior executives consists of long term equity incentive awards which vest over multiple years, and a substantial portion of the LTIP award opportunity will only vest if our shareholder value creation is above market, measured by comparison to two market indices.

• Our executives have no “golden parachute” or “golden coffin” arrangements.



Our equity awards include clawback provisions which enable us to recover the awards in the event of gross negligence or misconduct directly related to a material restatement of our financial or operating results.

Taken as a whole, our compensation arrangements reward executives for appropriately identifying and managing risks, but provide no guaranteed “safety net” if they are ineffective in doing so. Moreover, the structure of our incentive compensation program ensures that any loss of value to our shareholders is shared by our management.

Compensation Committee Report

In connection with our oversight of the compensation programs of iStar Inc., a Maryland corporation (the Company), we, the members of the Compensation Committee listed below, have reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis set forth in this proxy statement. Based upon the review and discussion, the Compensation Committee has recommended to the board of directors of the Company that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement and incorporated by reference in the Company’s 2017 Form 10 K Report.

Submitted by the Compensation Committee:

Robert W. Holman, Jr. (Chairman)

Robin Josephs

Barry W. Ridings

The above report will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing by us under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, except to the extent that we specifically incorporate the same by reference.

## Summary Compensation Table

The following table, and the accompanying footnotes, sets forth compensation information for the past three years for our named executive officers who served during 2017: Jay Sugarman, our chairman and chief executive officer, Geoffrey Jervis, who served as our chief operating officer and chief financial officer during 2017 and stepped down from these positions on March 30, 2018; and Nina Matis, our chief investment officer and chief legal officer during 2017.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$) <sup>(1)</sup>	Total (\$)
Jay Sugarman Chairman and Chief Executive Officer	2017	\$1,000,000	\$ —	\$3,640,000 <sup>(2)</sup>	\$1,000,000 <sup>(4)</sup>	\$12,142	\$5,652,142
	2016	1,000,000	—	2,913,872 <sup>(2)</sup>	— <sup>(3)</sup>	11,331	3,925,203
	2015	1,000,000	—	5,360,000 <sup>(2)</sup>	— <sup>(3)</sup>	11,345	6,371,345
Geoffrey Jervis Former Chief Financial Officer and Chief Operating Officer	2017	500,000	—	964,600 <sup>(2)</sup>	2,900,000 <sup>(4)</sup>	10,967	4,375,567
	2016	272,727	—	1,271,125 <sup>(6)</sup>	450,000 <sup>(4)</sup>	1,042	1,994,894
Nina Matis Chief Legal Officer and Chief Investment Officer	2017	500,000	—	1,152,080 <sup>(2)</sup>	1,950,000 <sup>(4)</sup>	18,989	3,621,069
	2016	500,000	—	919,847 <sup>(2)</sup>	1,056,250 <sup>(4)</sup>	15,699	2,491,796
	2015	500,000	—	2,001,503 <sup>(2)(5)</sup>	1,056,250 <sup>(4)</sup>	15,712	3,573,465

Amounts reported in the “All Other Compensation” column include our matching contributions to the accounts of our (1) named executive officers in our 401(k) Plan and additional compensation attributable to certain life and disability insurance premiums.

Amounts reported in the “Stock Awards” column for 2017 include the dollar value of iPIP points granted in 2017 to our named executive officers in the 2013-2014 iPIP compensation pool, the 2015-2016 iPIP compensation pool (2) and/or the 2017-2018 iPIP compensation pool. Amounts reported in the “Stock Awards” column for 2016 and 2015 include the dollar value of iPIP points granted in 2016 and 2015, respectively, to the executive officers identified in this proxy statement in the 2013-2014 iPIP compensation pool and/or the 2015-2016 iPIP compensation pool. The values of the iPIP awards are based on the grant date fair value calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 (without regard to forfeitures) based on various assumptions with respect to forecasted investment originations, expected realization dates of investments (including maturities or sale dates), asset-specific leverage, corporate leverage, investment returns, credit losses and other relevant factors. These assumptions are subject to risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results or outcomes to differ materially from these assumptions. Refer to Note 14 of our consolidated financial statements in our 2017 10 K Report for further details.

No annual incentive awards were paid to Mr. Sugarman for services in 2016 and 2015 in consideration of the iPIP (3) points granted to him in 2017 and 2016, respectively. The iPIP points granted in 2018 will be reported in next year’s proxy statement. The iPIP points awarded in 2017, 2016 and 2015 are reported under the “Stock Awards” column of this Summary Compensation Table in the respective year they were granted.

(4) As described more fully in “Compensation Discussion and Analysis-Annual Incentive Awards,” the annual incentive awards for our named executive officers for services in 2017, 2016 and 2015 consist of cash, fully-vested shares of our common stock issued subject to trading restrictions and/or allocations of iPIP points in the 2013-2014 iPIP pool. Pursuant to the SEC’s disclosure rules and regulations, these annual incentive awards are reported as follows: the cash portion of the annual incentive awards is reported under the “Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation” column of this Summary Compensation Table for the year in which services were performed, the shares portion

and the iPIP points portion granted in January 2017 for services in 2016 is reported in the 2017 “Stock Awards” column, and the shares portion and/or the iPIP points portion granted in February 2018 for services in 2017 will be reported in next year’s proxy statement in the 2018 “Stock Awards” column.

Amounts reported in the “Stock Awards” column for 2015 also include fully-vested shares of our common stock (5) issued in January 2015 subject to trading restrictions as part of the annual incentive awards for services rendered in 2014.

(6) Amounts reported in the “Stock Awards” column for 2016 for Mr. Jervis include the dollar value of LTIP awards and the dollar value of iPIP points in the 2015-2016 iPIP pool granted to Mr. Jervis on commencement of his employment on June 15, 2016 based on the grant date fair value of such awards calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The fair value of the time-based Units was calculated based upon the share price at the date of grant. Refer to Note 14 of our consolidated financial statements in our 2017 10-K Report, for further details.

## Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The following table includes information on plan based awards granted to our named executive officers who served during 2017.

Name	Grant Date	Target (#)	Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards		All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#)	Grant Date Fair Value (\$) <sup>(1)(2)</sup>
			Threshold (#)	Target Maximum (#)		
Jay Sugarman	2/22/17	(2)		(3)		\$3,640,000
Geoffrey Jervis	2/22/17	(2)		(3)		964,600
Nina Matis	2/22/17	(2)		(3)		626,080
	2/22/17			(4)		214,000
	2/22/17			(5)		312,000

Amounts reported in the “Grant Date Fair Value” column include the dollar value of iPIP points granted to our named executive officers. As described elsewhere in this proxy statement, under the iPIP, participants are awarded points that represent percentage allocations in compensation pools that include a specified group of investments. Participants are eligible to realize compensation benefits from the returns generated by investments included in the compensation pools. However, no payouts to participants from the iPIP compensation pools will occur until there is a full return of our invested capital in the assets included in a particular pool and the required return on that capital and, therefore, the payouts of an iPIP compensation pool are not expected to occur for an extended period of time and depend on many unknown variables. Further, iPIP participants are generally subject to a six year vesting period. The fair values of the iPIP points were calculated as of the grant date based on various assumptions with respect to forecasted investment originations, expected realization dates of investments (including maturities or sale dates), asset specific leverage, corporate leverage, investment returns, credit losses and other relevant factors. These assumptions are subject to risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results or outcomes to differ materially from these assumptions. Refer to Note 14 of our consolidated financial statements in our 2017 10-K Report for further details.

(2) As described more fully in “Compensation Discussion and Analysis-Annual Incentive Awards,” at the beginning of each year, the Compensation Committee establishes a performance measure and determines the target amount of our total annual incentive pool, for all employees, assuming that the target performance measure is achieved. The total annual incentive pool is funded after year-end based on how we perform compared to the specific performance measure. Individual employees’ payouts from the pool are determined on a discretionary basis by the



Committee. There are no Threshold, Target or Maximum payout amounts established under the annual incentive award program. The annual incentive awards for our executive officers identified in this proxy statement for services in 2017 typically consist of cash and fully-vested shares of our common stock subject to trading restrictions.

The 2017 allocations of iPIP points for our chief executive officer and our other named executive officers for the 2017-2018 iPIP pool were as follows: Mr. Sugarman-20.00 points (20.00% of the authorized points in 2017-2018 (3) iPIP pool); Ms. Matis-3.44 points (3.44% of the authorized points in 2017-2018 iPIP pool) and Mr. Jervis 5.3 points (5.3% of the authorized points in 2017-2018 iPIP pool). There are no Threshold, Target or Maximum payout amounts established under the iPIP.

The 2017 allocation of iPIP points for Ms. Matis in the 2015-2016 iPIP pool was 2.0 points (2.0% of the authorized (4) points in 2015-2016 iPIP pool). Mr. Sugarman and Mr. Jervis did not receive any allocations in the 2015-2016 iPIP pool during 2017. There are no Threshold, Target or Maximum payout amounts established under the iPIP.

The 2017 allocation of iPIP points for Ms. Matis in the 2013-2014 iPIP pool was 1.5 points (1.5% of the authorized (5) points in 2013-2014 iPIP pool). Mr. Sugarman and Mr. Jervis did not receive any allocations in the 2013-2014 iPIP pool during 2017. There are no Threshold, Target or Maximum payout amounts established under the iPIP.

#### Outstanding Equity Awards

The following table shows all outstanding equity awards at the end of 2017 held by our named executive officers who served during 2017, which include unearned iPIP points and unvested Units.

## OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL 2017 YEAR-END

Name	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$) <sup>(1)</sup>	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Equity Incentive Plan of Awards: Unearned Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)	
			Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (#)	
Jay Sugarman	(2)			\$23,456,225 (2)
Geoffrey Jervis	60,000 (3)	678,000 (3)		1,717,500 (2)
Nina Matis	(2)			6,151,000 (2)

(1) The market value of unvested Units is calculated by multiplying the number of Units by \$11.30, the closing market price of our common stock on December 31, 2017.

## (2) 2017 iPIP Allocations

The allocations of iPIP Points in the 2017-2018 iPIP pool granted during 2017 for our named executive officers were as follows:

Mr. Sugarman-20.0 Points (20% of the Points initially authorized in the 2017 2018 iPIP pool)

Ms. Matis-3.44 Points (3.44% of the Points in the 2017 2018 iPIP pool)

Mr. Jervis-5.3 Points (5.3% of the Points in the 2017 2018 iPIP pool)

The allocations of iPIP Points in the 2015 2016 iPIP pool granted during 2017 for our named executive officers were as follows:

Ms. Matis-2.0 Points (2.0% of the Points in the 2015 2016 iPIP pool)

Mr. Sugarman and Mr. Jervis did not receive any allocations in the 2015-2016 iPIP pool during 2017.

The allocations of iPIP Points in the 2013 2014 iPIP pool granted during 2017 for our named executive officers were as follows:

Ms. Matis-1.5 Points pool (1.5% of the authorized Points in the 2013 2014 iPIP pool)

Mr. Sugarman and Mr. Jervis did not receive any allocations in the 2013 2014 iPIP pool during 2017.

## 2016 iPIP Allocations

The allocations of iPIP Points in the 2015 2016 iPIP pool granted during 2016 for our named executive officers were as follows:

Mr. Sugarman-20.0 Points (20% of the Points initially authorized in the 2015 2016 iPIP pool)

Ms. Matis-3.5 Points (3.5% of the Points in the 2015 2016 iPIP pool)

Mr. Jervis-2.5 Points (2.5% of the Points in the 2015 2016 iPIP pool)

The allocations of iPIP Points in the 2013 2014 iPIP pool granted during 2016 for our named executive were as follows:

Ms. Matis-2.0 iPIP (2.0% of the authorized Points in the 2013 2014 iPIP pool) that were granted during 2016.  
Mr. Sugarman and Mr. Jervis did not receive any allocations in the 2013 2014 iPIP pool during 2016.

2015 iPIP Allocations

The allocations of iPIP Points in the 2015 2016 iPIP pool granted during 2015 for our named executive officers were as follows:

Mr. Sugarman-20.0 Points (20% of the Points initially authorized in the 2015 2016 iPIP pool)

Ms. Matis-3.5 Points (3.5% of the Points in the 2015 2016 iPIP pool)

The allocations of iPIP Points in the 2013 2014 iPIP pool granted during 2015 for our named executive officers were as follows:

Ms. Matis-2.0 Points (2.0% of the authorized Points in the 2013 2014 iPIP pool).

2014 iPIP Allocations

The allocations of iPIP Points in the 2013 2014 iPIP pool granted during 2014 for our named executive were as follows:

Mr. Sugarman-44.0 Points (44% of the authorized Points in the 2013 2014 iPIP pool)

Ms. Matis-8.5 Points (8.5% of the authorized Points in the 2013 2014 iPIP pool).

The terms of the iPIP, including compensation benefits that may be payable to participants, are described elsewhere in this proxy statement in “Compensation Discussion and Analysis-Long Term Incentive Compensation: iStar Performance Incentive Plan (iPIP)”. The estimated fair values of iPIP Points were calculated as of December 31, 2017 based on various assumptions with respect to forecasted investment originations, expected realization dates of investments (including maturities or sale dates), asset specific leverage, corporate leverage, investment returns, credit losses and other relevant factors. These assumptions are subject to risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results or outcomes to differ materially from these assumptions. Refer to Note 14 of our consolidated financial statements in our 2017 10 K Report for further details.

See “Compensation Discussion and Analysis-Long-Term Incentive Compensation: Equity-Based Awards under (3)Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP)” for a discussion of these time-based Units that will cliff vest in four equal installments on the anniversary of his employment date if he is employed by us on the vesting dates.

STOCK VESTED IN FISCAL 2017

The following table presents information for the named executive officers who served during 2017 relating to stock awards that vested during 2017.

Name	Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)
Geoffrey Jervis	20,000(1)	\$245,000(1)

(1) Mr. Jervis received 12,606 net shares upon vesting of these awards, after deduction of shares withheld by us to cover associated tax liabilities.

The terms of the iPIP, including compensation benefits that may be payable to participants, are described elsewhere in this proxy statement in “Compensation Discussion and Analysis-Long Term Incentive Compensation: iStar Performance Incentive Plan (iPIP)”. During 2017, iPIP points previously granted to our named executive officers became vested to the extent provided in the iPIP. The estimated fair values of vested iPIP Points as of January 1, 2017 (including Points vested previously and Points that vested on that date) for our named executive officers who served during 2017 were as follows:

2013-2014 iPIP Pool

Mr. Sugarman - 44 Points (70% vested)	\$6,406,400
Ms. Matis - 14 Points (70% vested)	\$2,038,000
Mr. Jervis - 0 Points	0

2015-2016 iPIP Pool

Mr. Sugarman - 15.97 Points (40% vested)	\$1,708,790
Ms. Matis - 3.6 Points (40% vested)	385,200
Mr. Jervis - 1.0 Points (40% vested)	107,000

These estimated values were calculated based on various assumptions with respect to forecasted investment originations, expected realization dates of investments (including maturities or sale dates), asset-specific leverage, corporate leverage, investment returns, credit losses and other relevant factors. These assumptions are subject to risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results or outcomes to differ materially from these assumptions. Refer to Note 14 of our consolidated financial statements in our 2017 10 K Report for further details.

As described elsewhere herein, participants do not realize compensation benefits when points become vested; rather, payouts occur with respect to vested iPIP Points only after there is a full return of our invested capital in the assets included in a particular pool and the required return on that capital.

Pension Benefits; Deferred Compensation

We do not maintain any tax qualified defined benefit plans, supplemental executive retirement plans or similar plans for which information is required to be reported in a pension benefits table. Similarly, we do not maintain any non qualified deferred compensation plans for which information is required to be reported.

Employment Agreements with Executive Officers

We do not have employment agreements with any of our executive officers identified in this proxy statement.



Severance, Change-in-Control or Similar Arrangements

We do not maintain any severance, change-in-control or similar programs or arrangements that provide for payments to our named executive officers following termination of employment or a change in control of the company, except as described herein. In addition, none of our named executive officers have any “single trigger” change in control arrangements that provide for compensation, including accelerated vesting of stock awards, in the event of a change in control. All long-term incentive compensation awards and other arrangements for our executive officers identified in this proxy statement include a “double trigger” change in control provision, meaning that a change in control of the Company alone will not cause any acceleration of vesting of the incentive compensation awards. Only if the change in control transaction is followed by termination of the executive’s employment or constructive termination, such as material reduction in position, responsibilities, compensation or other significant terms of employment, will the incentive compensation awards provide for vesting and payment to the executive, as described herein.

The LTIP and the terms of applicable award agreements granted to Mr. Jervis provide that if, on December 31, 2017, Mr. Jervis’ employment had been terminated without cause, a prorated number of unvested Units would have vested on the termination date and he would have received shares of our common stock on the termination date having the value set forth below:

Name	Market Value of Earned Units Upon Termination Without Cause (\$) <sup>(1)</sup>	Vesting/Delivery Date
Geoffrey Jervis	\$ 131,833	12/31/17

(1) Based on the \$11.30 per share NYSE closing price of our common stock as of December 31, 2017.

The LTIP and the terms of applicable award agreements granted to Mr. Jervis provide that if, on December 31, 2017, Mr. Jervis’ employment had been terminated without cause, or he had resigned for good reason, following a change in control, a prorated number of unvested Units would have vested on the termination date and he would have received shares of our common stock on the termination date having the value set forth below:

Name	Market Value of Earned Units Upon Termination Without Cause, or Resignation for Good Reason, Following Change of Control(\$) <sup>(1)</sup>	Vesting/Delivery Date
Geoffrey Jervis	\$ 131,833	12/31/17

(1) Based on the \$11.30 per share NYSE closing price of our common stock as of December 31, 2017.

The iPIP and the terms of applicable award agreements granted to our named executive officers include certain provisions relating a termination of employment, as follows:

- (i) if the participant's employment is terminated for "cause", then all Points, whether vested or unvested, shall be forfeited;
  - if the participant's employment is terminated due to the participant's death or disability, then the participant's amount of vested Points shall be increased as of the date of such termination to the next vesting level (for example, if the participant was not yet vested in any Points at the time of such termination, the participant's vested Points shall be
- (ii) increased to 40%); if this circumstance had occurred on December 31, 2017, the vested Points of our executive officers identified in this proxy statement would have increased to the following amounts (and the incremental value of the increased amounts of vested Points would have been as indicated): Mr. Sugarman- 37.4 Points in 2013 2014 iPIP Pool (incremental value of \$1,372,800) and 21.96 Points in 2015 2016 iPIP Pool

(incremental value of \$640,796); Ms. Matis- 11.9 Points in 2013 2014 iPIP Pool (incremental value of \$436,800) and 4.95 Points in 2015 2016 iPIP Pool (incremental value of \$144,450);

if the participant's employment is terminated as a result of the participant's "retirement" (i.e., retirement from the company after age 60, and with a sum of age plus years of service equal to at least 70) following the first anniversary of the commencement of an iPIP compensation pool, then 50% of the participant's unvested Points in such pool shall continue to vest on the same schedule as if the participant had not incurred such termination (with such vesting occurring pro rata at each vesting level), except that any such Points that vested following retirement (iii) shall be forfeited if the participant competes with us (provided, that the participant shall not be required to repay any amounts previously received unless the provisions referred to below under "Clawback" apply); if Ms. Matis (our only executive officer identified in this proxy statement who qualified for "retirement" as defined) had retired on December 31, 2017, 2.1 of her unvested Points in 2013 2014 iPIP Pool, 2.7 of her unvested Points in 2015 2016 iPIP Pool and 1.88 of her unvested Points in 2017 2018 iPIP Pool would continue to vest on the same schedule as if she had not retired; and

if, after a change in control, the participant's employment is terminated by us without cause or by the participant (iv) for "good reason", then the participant's unvested Points shall continue to vest on the same schedule as if the participant had not incurred such termination.

Except as set forth above, upon a termination of employment, all unvested Points shall be forfeited. Following a formal determination by the Board to proceed with a liquidation of the company, a participant shall become 100% vested in his or her Points if the participant's employment is terminated by us without cause or by the participant for good reason.

The iStar Inc. Severance Plan provides separation benefits in the event an employee is terminated without cause, on terms that are available generally to all salaried employees.

#### Chief Executive Officer Pay Ratio

For the 2017 fiscal year, the ratio of the annual total compensation of Mr. Sugarman, our chief executive officer ("CEO Compensation"), to the median of the annual total compensation of all of our employees other than our chief executive officer ("Median Annual Compensation") was 33 to 1. This ratio is a reasonable estimate calculated in a manner consistent with Item 402(u) of Regulation S-K using the data and assumptions summarized below. In this summary, we refer to the employee who received such Median Annual Compensation as the "Median Employee." For purposes of this disclosure, the date used to identify the Median Employee was December 31, 2017 (the "Determination Date").

CEO Compensation for purposes of this disclosure represents the total compensation reported for Mr. Sugarman under "Summary Compensation Table" for the 2017 fiscal year. For purposes of this disclosure, Median Annual Compensation was \$170,779, and was calculated by totaling for our Median Employee all applicable elements of compensation for the 2017 fiscal year in accordance with Item 402(c)(2)(x) of Regulation S-K.

To identify the Median Employee, we first determined our employee population as of the Determination Date. We had 184 employees, representing all of our full-time and part-time employees, including our consolidated subsidiaries, as of the Determination Date. This number does not include any independent contractors or "leased" workers, in accordance with applicable SEC rules. We then measured compensation for the period beginning on January 1, 2017 and ending on December 31, 2017 for these employees. This compensation measurement was calculated by totaling, for each employee, gross taxable earnings, including salary, bonuses and the value of stock awards granted during 2017. A portion of our employee workforce (full-time and part-time) worked for less than the full fiscal year due to commencing employment after the beginning of the fiscal year. In determining the Median Employee, we annualized the compensation for such individuals.





#### Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

As of the date of this proxy statement, the members of the Compensation Committee are Robert W. Holman, Jr. (Chair), Robin Josephs and Barry W. Ridings. No member of the Compensation Committee is or was formerly an officer or an employee of the company. None of our executive officers serves as a member of the board of directors or compensation committee of any entity that has one or more executive officers serving as a member of the our board, nor has such interlocking relationship existed in the past.

#### Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires our directors, executive officers and persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of our equity securities, to file with the SEC initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of common stock and other of our equity securities. Directors, officers and greater than 10% shareholders are required to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file. To our knowledge, based solely on a review of the copies of such reports furnished to us, during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017, all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to our directors, officers and greater than 10% beneficial owners were met.

#### DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Our compensation program for our non employee directors provides for payments of annual retainers for directors, committee chairs and committee members and annual equity awards for directors. Directors do not receive additional fees for board or committee meetings attended. Currently, we pay all non employee directors an annual retainer of \$100,000, paid in quarterly cash installments. The chairs of our board committees receive the following annual retainers, paid in quarterly cash installments: Audit Committee-\$40,000; Compensation Committee-\$40,000; and other committees-\$16,000. Committee members receive the following annual retainers, paid in quarterly cash installments: Audit Committee-\$15,000; Compensation Committee-\$15,000; and other committees-\$10,000. Each non employee director receives an annual equity award of \$125,000, payable at their election in common stock equivalents (CSEs) or restricted shares of our common stock. The number of CSEs or restricted shares is based on the average NYSE closing price for our common stock for the 20 days prior to the date of the annual shareholders meeting. Our Lead Director receives an additional award of \$75,000, in consideration of her services as Lead Director, payable at her election in CSEs or restricted shares based on the average NYSE closing price for our common stock for the 20 days prior to the date of the annual shareholders meeting. The CSEs and restricted shares generally vest at the time of the next subsequent annual shareholders meeting. An amount equal to the dividends paid on an equivalent number of shares of our common stock is paid on the CSEs and restricted shares from the date of grant, as and when dividends are paid on the common stock. Under the Non Employee Directors' Deferral Plan, directors have the opportunity to defer the receipt of some or all of their compensation in accordance with the provisions of the plan.

The table below summarizes the compensation information for our non employee directors for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017. Jay Sugarman, our chairman and chief executive officer, is not included in this table as he is our employee and receives no compensation for his services as a director.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$) <sup>(1)</sup>	All Other Compensation (\$) <sup>(2)</sup>	Total (\$)
Clifford De Souza	\$123,333	\$120,332	\$ —	\$243,665
Robert W. Holman, Jr.	150,000	120,332	—	270,332
Robin Josephs	131,000	192,523	5,000	328,523
Dale Anne Reiss	148,333	120,332	5,000	273,665
Barry W. Ridings	130,000	120,332	5,000	255,332

Amounts included in the “Stock Awards” column reflect the grant date fair value of restricted share awards made to directors in 2017 computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 (without regard to forfeitures). These awards were made to the directors under the Non Employee Directors’ Deferral Plan. The restricted share awards are valued using the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant. Restricted shares are subject to a one-year (1) vesting period from the grant date. As of December 31, 2017, the directors held the following aggregate amounts of common stock equivalents, or CSEs, previously granted and/or restricted shares: Clifford De Souza-10,146 restricted shares; Robert W. Holman, Jr.-43,591 CSEs and 10,146 restricted shares; Robin Josephs-75,513 CSEs and 16,233 restricted shares; Dale Anne Reiss-43,591 CSEs and 10,146 restricted shares; and Barry W. Ridings-6,670 CSEs and 10,146 restricted shares.

Our directors are eligible to participate in our broad based matching gifts program under which we will donate funds equal to contributions made by directors or employees to qualified nonprofit organizations, up to a maximum (2) annual matching contribution per individual of \$5,000 for directors and senior officers, \$2,500 for other officers and \$1,500 for other employees. Our directors are also eligible for reimbursement of the costs of attending continuing director education programs. Amounts included in the “All Other Compensation” column include any matching gifts made by us on behalf of the director and any education costs reimbursed by us to the director.

#### INDEMNIFICATION

We have entered into indemnification agreements with each of our directors and executive officers. The indemnification agreements provide that we will indemnify the directors and the executive officers to the fullest extent permitted by our charter and Maryland law against certain liabilities (including settlements) and expenses actually and reasonably incurred by them in connection with any threatened or pending legal action, proceeding or investigation to which any of them is, or is threatened to be, made a party by reason of their status as our director, officer or agent, or by reason of their serving as a director, officer or agent of another company at our request. The Maryland General Corporation Law, or MGCL, permits a corporation to indemnify its present and former directors and officers, among others, against judgments, penalties, fines, settlements and reasonable expenses actually incurred by them in connection with any proceeding to which they may be made, or threatened to be made, a party by reason of their service in those or other capacities unless it is established that (a) the act or omission of the director or officer was material to the matter giving rise to the proceeding and (i) was committed in bad faith or (ii) was the result of active and deliberate dishonesty, (b) the director or officer actually received an improper personal benefit in money, property or services or (c) in the case of any criminal proceeding, the director or officer had reasonable cause to believe that the act or omission was unlawful. However, under the MGCL, a Maryland corporation may not indemnify for an adverse judgment in a suit by or in the right of the corporation or for a judgment of liability on the basis that personal benefit was improperly received, unless in either case a court orders indemnification and then only for expenses. Under the MGCL, a Maryland corporation is required to indemnify any director or officer who has been successful, on the merits or otherwise, in the defense of any proceeding to which he or she is made, or threatened to be made, a party by

reason of his or her service in that capacity, unless the charter requires otherwise, which our charter does not. In addition, the MGCL permits a corporation to advance reasonable expenses to a director or officer upon the corporation's receipt of (a) a written affirmation by the director or officer of his or her good faith belief that he or she has met the standard of conduct necessary for indemnification by the corporation and (b) a written undertaking by him or her or on his or her behalf to repay the amount paid or reimbursed by the corporation if it is ultimately determined that the standard of conduct was not met. Our charter requires us to indemnify and advance expenses to our directors and officers to the full extent required or permitted

by Maryland law. In addition, we have obtained directors and officers liability insurance, which covers our directors and executive officers.

#### ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

Fees paid to PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, or PwC, our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, during the last two fiscal years were as follows:

**Audit Fees:** The aggregate fees incurred during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 for professional services rendered by PwC in connection with its integrated audits of our consolidated financial statements and our internal control over financial reporting and its limited reviews of our unaudited consolidated interim financial statements were approximately \$2,350,000 and \$1,969,000, respectively.

**Audit-Related Fees:** The aggregate fees incurred during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 for assurance and related services rendered by PwC that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of our financial statements and are not disclosed under “Audit Fees” above, were approximately \$997,670 and \$1,101,216, respectively. These audit-related fees included fees related primarily to the audit of Safety prior to its IPO in June 2017 and the issuance of mortgage servicing compliance reports.

**Tax Fees:** The aggregate fees incurred during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 for professional services rendered by PwC for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning were approximately \$309,968 and \$329,099, respectively. These services included income tax compliance and related tax services.

**All Other Fees:** The aggregate fees incurred during the year ended December 31, 2017 for other professional services rendered by PwC primarily for insurance claims were approximately \$12,964. No fees were incurred during the year ended December 31, 2016 for other professional services rendered by PwC.

Our Audit Committee is responsible for retaining and terminating our independent registered public accounting firm (subject, if applicable, to shareholder ratification) and for approving the performance of any non-audit services by the independent registered public accounting firm. In addition, the Audit Committee is responsible for reviewing and evaluating the qualifications, performance and independence of the lead partner of the independent registered public accounting firm and for presenting its conclusions with respect to the independent registered public accounting firm to the full board.

The Audit Committee has the sole authority to approve all audit engagement fees and terms, as well as significant non-audit services, with the independent registered public accounting firm. During fiscal 2017, the Audit Committee approved all audit engagement fees and terms with PwC, as well as all significant non-audit services performed by PwC.

## SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth certain information available to us as of March 23, 2018 (except as otherwise indicated) with respect to any common stock and Series D preferred stock owned by our directors, nominees for director and executive officers, and any individual or group of shareholders known to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of our issued and outstanding common stock and Series D preferred stock. This table includes options, if any, that are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of the date of this proxy statement and CSEs and restricted shares of our common stock awarded to non-employee directors under the iStar Inc. Non Employee Directors Deferral Plan which are or will be fully vested within 60 days.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner <sup>(1)</sup>	Common Stock Beneficially Owned <sup>(1)</sup>	% of Basic Common Stock Outstanding <sup>(2)</sup>	Series D Preferred Stock Beneficially Owned <sup>(1)</sup>	% of Series D Preferred Stock Outstanding <sup>(2)</sup>
Clifford De Souza <sup>(3)</sup>	30,593	(4) *		
Robert W. Holman, Jr. <sup>(3)</sup>	141,868	(5) *		
Geoffrey G. Jervis <sup>(3)</sup>	44,636	(6) *		
Robin Josephs <sup>(3)</sup>	184,130	(7) *		
Nina Matis <sup>(3)</sup>	299,176	(8) *		
Dale Anne Reiss <sup>(3)</sup>	84,033	(9) *	3,300	*
Barry W. Ridings <sup>(3)</sup>	64,707	(10) *		
Jay Sugarman <sup>(3)</sup>	2,554,998	(11) 3.76 %	2,000	*
BlackRock, Inc.	6,573,871	(12) 9.68 %		
Diamond Hill Capital Management, Inc.	7,131,601	(13) 10.51 %		
FMR LLC	7,795,908	(14) 11.48 %		
UBS	5,484,783	(15) 8.08 %		
The Vanguard Group	10,286,422	(16) 15.15 %		
Vanguard Specialized Funds- Vanguard REIT Index Fund	4,375,230	(17) 6.44 %		
OppenheimerFunds, Inc.	4,944,912	(18) 7.28 %		
All executive officers, directors and nominees for director as a group (8 persons)	3,404,141	5.01 %		

\*Less than 1%.

- (1) Except as otherwise indicated and subject to applicable community property laws and similar statutes, the person listed as the beneficial owner of shares has sole voting power and dispositive power with respect to the shares.
- (2) As of March 23, 2018, 67,886,494 shares of common stock were deemed outstanding for purposes of this table, of which 67,773,942 were entitled to vote, and 4,000,000 shares of Series D preferred stock were outstanding and entitled to vote.
- (3) c/o iStar Inc., 1114 Avenue of the Americas, 39th Floor, New York, NY 10036.
- (4) Includes 20,447 shares owned directly by Mr. DeSouza and 10,146 restricted shares of common stock owned directly by Mr. De Souza which are or will be fully vested within 60 days.
- (5) Includes 40,240 shares owned indirectly by Mr. Holman through a partnership, 47,891 shares owned directly, 43,591 CSEs held under the iStar Inc. Non Employee Directors Deferral Plan which are fully vested and 10,146 restricted shares of common stock which are or will be fully vested within 60 days.
- (6) Includes 24,636 shares owned directly by Mr. Jervis and 20,000 restricted stock units which are or will be fully vested within 60 days representing the right to receive an equivalent number of shares of common stock.



(7) Includes 79,244 shares of common stock owned indirectly by Ms. Josephs through a family trust, 13,140 shares owned indirectly through an Individual Retirement Account, 75,513 CSEs held under the iStar Inc. Non Employee Directors Deferral Plan which are fully vested and 16,233 restricted shares of common stock which are or will be fully vested within 60 days.

(8) Includes 299,176 shares of common stock owned directly by Ms. Matis.

(9) Includes 30,296 shares held directly by Ms. Reiss, 43,591 CSEs held under the iStar Inc. Non Employee Directors Deferral Plan which are fully vested and 10,146 restricted shares of common stock which are or will be fully vested within 60 days.

(10) Includes 47,891 shares held directly by Mr. Ridings, 6,670 CSEs held under the iStar Inc. Non Employee Directors Deferral Plan which are fully vested and 10,146 restricted shares of common stock which are or will be fully vested within 60 days.

(11) Includes 2,514,454 shares of common stock owned directly by Mr. Sugarman and 40,544 shares owned indirectly through Mr. Sugarman's spouse.

(12) This beneficial ownership information is based solely on a Schedule 13G, dated December 31, 2017, as amended, filed with the SEC by BlackRock, Inc. and a review of public filings by the funds reported as beneficial owners in that Schedule 13G. This shareholder's address is 55 E. 52nd Street, New York, NY 10055.

(13) This beneficial ownership information is based solely on a Schedule 13G, dated December 31, 2017, as amended, filed with the SEC by Diamond Hill Capital Management, Inc. This shareholder's address is 325 John H. McConnell Blvd., Suite 200, Columbus, OH 43215.

(14) This beneficial ownership information is based solely on a Schedule 13G, dated December 29, 2017, as amended, filed with the SEC by FMR LLC. This shareholder's address is 245 Summer Street, Boston, MA 02210.

(15) This beneficial ownership information is based solely on a Schedule 13G, dated December 29, 2017, filed with the SEC by UBS Group AP. This shareholder's address is Bahnhofstrasse 45, PO Box CH-8021, Zurich, Switzerland.

(16) This beneficial ownership information is based solely on a Schedule 13G, dated December 31, 2017, as amended, filed with the SEC by The Vanguard Group. This shareholder's address is 100 Vanguard Blvd., Malvern, PA 19355.

(17) This beneficial ownership information is based solely on a Schedule 13G, dated December 31, 2017, as amended, filed with the SEC by Vanguard Specialized Funds - Vanguard REIT Index Fund. This shareholder's address is 100 Vanguard Blvd., Malvern, PA 19355.

(18) This beneficial ownership information is based solely on a Schedule 13G, dated December 31, 2017, as amended, filed with the SEC by OppenheimerFunds, Inc. This shareholder's address is 225 Liberty Street, New York, NY 10281.

## CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

### Policies and Procedures With Respect to Related Party Transactions

It is the policy of our board of directors that all transactions between us and a related party must be approved or ratified by at least a majority of the members of our board who have no financial or other interest in the transaction. A related party includes any director or executive officer, any nominee for director, any shareholder owning 5% of more of our outstanding shares, and any immediate family member of such person.

In determining whether to approve or ratify a related party transaction, the board will take into account, among other factors it deems appropriate, whether the related party transaction is on terms no less favorable than terms generally available to an unaffiliated third party under the same or similar circumstances and the extent of the related party's interest in the transaction. No director will participate in any discussion or approval of a related party transaction for which he or she is a related party, except that the director will provide all material information concerning the related party transaction to our board.



If a related party transaction will be ongoing, our board may establish guidelines for our management to follow in its ongoing dealings with the related party. The board may delegate to our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee the authority to review and assess, on at least an annual basis, any such ongoing relationships with the related party to see that they are in compliance with the board's guidelines.

All related party transactions will be disclosed in our applicable filings with the SEC as required under SEC rules.

**PROPOSALS**

**PROPOSAL 1:**

**ELECTION OF DIRECTORS**

The Board has nominated directors Sugarman, De Souza, Holman, Josephs, Reiss and Ridings to be elected to hold office for a term of one year, until the next annual meeting and until their successors have been elected and qualified.

**Recommendation Regarding the Election of Directors**

The board recommends that you vote FOR electing the six named nominees as our directors.

**PROPOSAL 2:**

**RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

The Audit Committee of the board of directors, with the concurrence of the board, has selected Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, to be our auditors for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018, subject to ratification by our shareholders. We expect a representative of Deloitte & Touche LLP to attend the annual meeting to make a statement, if he or she desires, and to respond to appropriate questions. We do not expect a representative of PwC to attend the meeting.

**Recommendation Regarding Ratification of Appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP**

The board recommends that you vote FOR ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, to be our auditors for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018.

**PROPOSAL 3:**

**NON BINDING ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

Pursuant to the Dodd Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, or the Dodd Frank Act, and rules adopted by the SEC thereunder, our shareholders are entitled to cast a non binding, advisory vote to approve the compensation of our executive officers identified in this proxy statement, commonly referred to as the Say on Pay vote. We conduct an annual, non binding Say on Pay vote consistent with the recommendation of a majority of our shareholders expressed by vote at our 2017 Annual Meeting.

Shareholders are urged to read the section of this proxy statement captioned "EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION", and especially the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, which discusses our compensation philosophy and how our compensation policies and practices implement our philosophy.

We are requesting your non binding vote on the following resolution:

RESOLVED, that the Company's shareholders approve, on a non binding advisory basis, the compensation of the executive officers identified in the Proxy Statement for the 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareholders pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the SEC, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the Summary Compensation Table and the other related tables and narrative disclosure

Although your vote is non binding and advisory, the board and the Compensation Committee will take into account the outcome of the vote when considering future executive compensation arrangements.

#### Recommendation Regarding the Shareholder Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation

The board recommends that you vote FOR the Say on Pay resolution to approve the compensation of the executive officers identified in this proxy statement.

#### OTHER MATTERS

##### When Are Shareholder Proposals Due for the 2019 Annual Meeting?

In accordance with Rule 14a-8 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, shareholder proposals intended to be presented at the annual meeting to be held in 2019 must be sent in writing, by certified mail, return receipt requested, to us at our principal office, addressed to our Secretary, and must be received by us no later than December 5, 2018 for inclusion in the 2018 proxy materials. In order for a shareholder proposal submitted outside of Rule 14a-8 to be considered at our 2018 annual meeting, the proposal must contain the information required by our bylaws and be received by us in accordance with our bylaws. Pursuant to our current bylaws, shareholder proposals made outside of Rule 14a-8 under the Exchange Act must be submitted not later than December 5, 2018 and not earlier than November 5, 2018; provided, however, in the event that the date of the 2019 annual meeting is advanced more than 30 days prior to or delayed more than 30 days after May 16, 2019, in order for a proposal by a shareholder to be timely, such proposal must be delivered not earlier than the 150th day prior to the date of the 2019 annual meeting and not later than 5:00 p.m., Eastern time, on the later of (1) the 120th day prior to the date of the 2019 annual meeting or (2) the tenth day following the date on which public announcement of the date of the 2019 annual meeting of shareholders is first made.

##### Householding of Proxy Materials

The SEC has adopted rules that permit companies and intermediaries (such as banks and brokers) to satisfy the delivery requirements for proxy statements and annual reports with respect to two or more shareholders sharing the same address by delivering a single proxy statement addressed to those shareholders. This process, which is commonly referred to as “householding,” potentially means extra convenience for stockholders and cost savings for companies.

This year, a number of brokers with account holders who are our shareholders will be “householding” our proxy materials. A single proxy statement will be delivered to multiple shareholders sharing an address unless contrary instructions have been received from the impacted shareholders. Once you have received notice from your broker that they will be “householding” communications to your address, “householding” will continue until you are notified otherwise or until you revoke your consent. If, at any time, you no longer wish to participate in “householding” and would prefer to receive a separate proxy statement and annual report, please notify us by (1) directing your written request to: iStar Inc., 1114 Avenue of the Americas, 39th Floor, New York, New York 10036, Attn: Investor Relations or (2) contacting our Investor Relations department at (212) 930-9400. Shareholders who currently receive multiple copies of the proxy statement at their address and would like to request “householding” of their communications should contact us as specified above.

##### Are there any other matters coming before the 2018 Annual Meeting?

Our management does not intend to bring any other matters before the annual meeting and knows of no other matters that are likely to come before the meeting. In the event any other matters properly come before the annual meeting or any postponement of the meeting, the persons named in the accompanying proxy will vote the shares represented by such proxy in accordance with their discretion.

We urge you to authorize a proxy to vote your shares by completing, signing, dating and returning the accompanying proxy card in the accompanying postage paid return envelope at your earliest convenience, whether or not you presently plan to attend the meeting in person.

Availability of Annual Report on Form 10 K

Our 2017 Annual Report to Shareholders, including our audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017, is being made available to you along with this proxy statement. You may obtain, without charge, a copy of our 2017 10 K Report, without exhibits, by writing to us at iStar Inc., 1114 Avenue of the Americas, 39th Floor, New York, NY 10036, Attention: Investor Relations, or by visiting our website at [www.istar.com](http://www.istar.com). The 2017 10 K Report is not part of the proxy solicitation materials, however, and the information found on, or accessible through, our website is not incorporated into, and does not form a part of, this proxy statement or any other report or document we file with or furnish to the SEC.

By Order of the Board of Directors  
Geoffrey M. Dugan  
General Counsel, Corporate and Secretary  
New York, NY  
April 6, 2018

## EXHIBIT A

## Adjusted Income

In addition to net income (loss) prepared in conformity with GAAP, we use adjusted income, a non-GAAP financial measure, to measure our operating performance. Adjusted income is used internally as a supplemental performance measure adjusting for certain non-cash GAAP measures to give management a view of income more directly derived from current period activity. Until the second quarter 2016, adjusted income was calculated as net income (loss) allocable to common shareholders, prior to the effect of depreciation and amortization, provision for (recovery of) loan losses, impairment of assets, stock-based compensation expense, and the non-cash portion of gain (loss) on early extinguishment of debt. Effective in the second quarter 2016, we modified our presentation of adjusted income to reflect the effect of gains or losses on charge-offs and dispositions on carrying value gross of loan loss reserves and impairments ("Adjusted Income"). In the third quarter of 2017, we modified our presentation of Adjusted Income to exclude the imputed non-cash interest expense recognized for the conversion feature of our senior convertible notes and the effect of the amount of the liquidation preference that was recorded as a premium above book value on the redemption of preferred stock. (Refer to Notes 10 and 13, respectively, of our consolidated financial statements in our 2017 10-K Report for further details.)

	For the Years Ended	
	December 31,	
	2017	2016
	(in thousands)	
Adjusted Income		
Net income (loss) allocable to common shareholders	\$ 110,924	\$ 43,972
Add: Depreciation and amortization <sup>(1)</sup>	60,828	64,447
Add/Less: (Recovery of) provision for loan losses	(5,828 )	(12,514 )
Add: Impairment of assets <sup>(2)</sup>	32,379	18,999
Add: Stock-based compensation expense	18,812	10,889
Add: Loss on early extinguishment of debt, net	3,065	1,619
Add: Non-cash interest expense on senior convertible notes	1,255	—
Add: Premium on redemption of preferred stock	16,314	—
Less: Losses on charge-offs and dispositions <sup>(3)</sup>	(23,130 )	(14,827 )
Less: HPU/Participating Security allocation	—	(23 )
Adjusted Income allocable to common shareholders	\$ 214,619	\$ 112,562

Depreciation and amortization also includes our proportionate share of depreciation and amortization expense for (1) equity method investments and excludes the portion of depreciation and amortization expense allocable to noncontrolling interests.

(2) For the year ended December 31, 2016, impairment of assets includes impairments on equity method investments.

Represents the impact of charge-offs and dispositions realized during the period. These charge-offs and

(3) dispositions were on assets that were previously impaired for GAAP and reflected in net income but not in Adjusted Income.

## Adjusted EBITDA

Adjusted EBITDA, a non-GAAP financial measure, represents net income (loss) plus the sum of interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization, provision for (recovery of) loan losses, impairment of assets, stock-based compensation expense and the non-cash portion of gain (loss) on early extinguishment of debt.

	For the Years Ended	
	December 31,	
	2017	2016
	(in thousands)	
Adjusted EBITDA		
Net income (loss)	\$ 180,208	\$ 100,182
Add: Interest expense <sup>(1)</sup>	206,113	231,812
Add: Income tax (benefit) expense	(948 )	(10,166 )
Add: Depreciation and amortization <sup>(2)</sup>	62,345	66,023
EBITDA	447,718	387,851
Add/Less: (Recovery of) provision for loan losses	(5,828 )	(12,514 )
Add: Impairment of assets <sup>(3)</sup>	32,379	18,999
Add: Stock-based compensation expense	18,812	10,889
Add: Loss on early extinguishment of debt, net	3,065	1,619
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 496,146	\$ 406,844

(1) Interest expense also includes our proportionate share of interest for equity method investments.

(2) Depreciation and amortization includes our proportionate share of depreciation and amortization expense for equity method investments.

(3) For the year ended December 31, 2016, impairment of assets includes impairments on equity method investments.