

CVS CAREMARK CORP  
Form 10-Q  
November 03, 2011  
[Table of Contents](#)

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-Q**

**Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the**  
**Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

For the Quarterly Period Ended September 30, 2011

Commission File Number 001-01011

**CVS CAREMARK CORPORATION**

*(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)*

Delaware  
*(State of Incorporation)*

05-0494040  
*(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)*

Edgar Filing: CVS CAREMARK CORP - Form 10-Q

One CVS Drive, Woonsocket, Rhode Island 02895

*(Address of principal executive offices)*

Telephone: (401) 765-1500

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One):

Large accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer  (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Accelerated filer

Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, issued and outstanding at October 31, 2011:

1,301,630,309 shares

Table of Contents

**INDEX**

	<b>Page</b>
<b><u>Part I</u></b>	
Item 1.	
Financial Statements	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited) - Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 and 2010</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited) - As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) - Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 and 2010</u>	5
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	6
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	16
Item 2.	
<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	17
Item 3.	
<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	29
Item 4.	
<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	29
<b><u>Part II</u></b>	
Item 1.	
<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	30
Item 2.	
<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	31
Item 6.	
<u>Exhibits</u>	32
<u>Signatures</u>	33
	2

Table of Contents

<b>Part I</b>	<b>Item 1</b>
---------------	---------------

**CVS Caremark Corporation****Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income**

(Unaudited)

<i>In millions, except per share amounts</i>	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Net revenues	\$ 26,674	\$ 23,711	\$ 78,783	\$ 71,189
Cost of revenues	21,496	18,696	63,777	56,424
Gross profit	5,178	5,015	15,006	14,765
Operating expenses	3,594	3,537	10,633	10,389
Operating profit	1,584	1,478	4,373	4,376
Interest expense, net	155	137	437	399
Income before income tax provision	1,429	1,341	3,936	3,977
Income tax provision	562	526	1,547	1,575
Income from continuing operations	867	815	2,389	2,402
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax		(7)	5	(3)
Net income	867	808	2,394	2,399
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	1	1	3	2
Net income attributable to CVS Caremark	\$ 868	\$ 809	\$ 2,397	\$ 2,401
Basic earnings per common share:				
Income from continuing operations attributable to CVS Caremark	\$ 0.65	\$ 0.60	\$ 1.77	\$ 1.76
Income (loss) from discontinued operations attributable to CVS Caremark		(0.01)	0.01	(0.01)
Net income attributable to CVS Caremark	\$ 0.65	\$ 0.59	\$ 1.78	\$ 1.75
Weighted average basic common shares outstanding	1,332	1,360	1,350	1,368
Diluted earnings per common share:				
Income from continuing operations attributable to CVS Caremark	\$ 0.65	\$ 0.60	\$ 1.76	\$ 1.75
Income (loss) from discontinued operations attributable to CVS Caremark		(0.01)	0.01	(0.01)
Net income attributable to CVS Caremark	\$ 0.65	\$ 0.59	\$ 1.77	\$ 1.74
Weighted average diluted common shares outstanding	1,340	1,368	1,356	1,378
Dividends declared per common share	\$ 0.1250	\$ 0.0875	\$ 0.3750	\$ 0.2625

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.



Table of Contents

<b>Part I</b>	<b>Item 1</b>
---------------	---------------

**CVS Caremark Corporation**  
**Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets**

(Unaudited)

<u>In millions, except per share amounts</u>	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
<b>Assets:</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,707	\$ 1,427
Short-term investments	5	4
Accounts receivable, net	5,901	4,925
Inventories	10,379	10,695
Deferred income taxes	444	511
Other current assets	502	144
Total current assets	18,938	17,706
Property and equipment, net	8,642	8,322
Goodwill	26,506	25,669
Intangible assets, net	9,947	9,784
Other assets	1,218	688
Total assets	\$ 65,251	\$ 62,169
<b>Liabilities:</b>		
Accounts payable	\$ 4,387	\$ 4,026
Claims and discounts payable	3,103	2,569
Accrued expenses	4,259	3,070
Short-term debt	530	300
Current portion of long-term debt	71	1,105
Total current liabilities	12,350	11,070
Long-term debt	10,167	8,652
Deferred income taxes	3,868	3,655
Other long-term liabilities	1,348	1,058
Commitments and contingencies (Note 12)		
Redeemable noncontrolling interest	31	34
<b>Shareholders' equity:</b>		
Preferred stock, par value \$0.01: 0.1 shares authorized; none issued or outstanding		
Common stock, par value \$0.01: 3,200 shares authorized; 1,636 shares issued and 1,308 shares outstanding at September 30, 2011 and 1,624 shares issued and 1,363 shares outstanding at December 31, 2010	16	16
Treasury stock, at cost: 326 shares at September 30, 2011 and 259 shares at December 31, 2010	(11,500)	(9,030)
Shares held in trust: 2 shares at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010	(56)	(56)
Capital surplus	27,987	27,610
Retained earnings	21,192	19,303
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(152)	(143)
Total shareholders' equity	37,487	37,700
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 65,251	\$ 62,169

Edgar Filing: CVS CAREMARK CORP - Form 10-Q

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

<b>Part I</b>	<b>Item 1</b>
---------------	---------------

**CVS Caremark Corporation****Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**

(Unaudited)

<i>In millions</i>	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Cash receipts from customers	\$ 72,875	\$ 68,524
Cash paid for inventory and prescriptions dispensed by retail network pharmacies	(55,625)	(52,953)
Cash paid to other suppliers and employees	(10,092)	(10,346)
Interest received	3	3
Interest paid	(454)	(439)
Income taxes paid	(1,672)	(1,785)
Net cash provided by operating activities	5,035	3,004
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchases of property and equipment	(1,168)	(1,379)
Proceeds from sale-leaseback transactions	11	124
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	1	24
Acquisitions (net of cash acquired) and other investments	(1,406)	(158)
Purchase of short-term investments	(3)	
Maturity of short-term investments	2	1
Net cash used in investing activities	(2,563)	(1,388)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Increase in short-term debt	230	1,069
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	1,463	991
Repayments of long-term debt	(1,149)	(2,102)
Dividends paid	(508)	(360)
Derivative settlements	(19)	(5)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	341	171
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	12	13
Repurchase of common stock	(2,553)	(1,500)
Other	(9)	
Net cash used in financing activities	(2,192)	(1,723)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	280	(107)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	1,427	1,086
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 1,707	\$ 979
Reconciliation of net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 2,394	\$ 2,399
Adjustments required to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	1,172	1,096
Stock-based compensation	100	112
Deferred income taxes and other non-cash items	134	43
Change in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions:		
Accounts receivable, net	(479)	346



Edgar Filing: CVS CAREMARK CORP - Form 10-Q

Inventories	316	(242)
Other current assets	(173)	(41)
Other assets	(52)	11
Accounts payable and claims and discounts payable	716	(112)
Accrued expenses	980	(567)
Other long-term liabilities	(73)	(41)
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 5,035	\$ 3,004

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

<b>Part I</b>	<b>Item 1</b>
---------------	---------------

**CVS Caremark Corporation**

**Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements**

(Unaudited)

**Note 1 Accounting Policies**

*Basis of Presentation*

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of CVS Caremark Corporation and its majority owned subsidiaries ( CVSCaremark or the Company ) have been prepared, in accordance with the rules and regulations of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ) regarding interim financial reporting. In accordance with such rules and regulations, certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ( GAAP ) have been condensed or omitted, although the Company believes the disclosures included herein are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto, which are included in Exhibit 13 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 (the 2010 Form 10-K ).

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include all adjustments consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of the results for the interim periods presented. Because of the influence of various factors on the Company s operations, including business combinations, certain holidays and other seasonal influences, net income for any interim period may not be comparable to the same interim period in previous years or necessarily indicative of income for the full fiscal year.

*Principles of Consolidation*

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its majority owned subsidiaries. All material intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

*Fair Value of Financial Instruments*

## Edgar Filing: CVS CAREMARK CORP - Form 10-Q

The Company utilizes the three-level valuation hierarchy for the recognition and disclosure of fair value measurements. The categorization of assets and liabilities within this hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the measurement of fair value. The three levels of the hierarchy consist of the following:

§ Level 1 Inputs to the valuation methodology are unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access at the measurement date.

§ Level 2 Inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, quoted prices in markets that are not active or inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the instrument.

§ Level 3 Inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable inputs based upon management's best estimate of inputs market participants could use in pricing the asset or liability at the measurement date, including assumptions about risk.

As of September 30, 2011, the carrying value of cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments, accounts receivable and short-term debt approximated their fair value due to the short-term nature of these financial instruments. The Company invests in money market funds, commercial paper and time deposits that are classified as cash and cash equivalents within the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets, as these funds are highly liquid and readily convertible to known amounts of cash. These investments are classified within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy because they are valued using quoted market prices. The Company's short-term investments consist of certificates of deposit with initial maturities of greater than three months when purchased. These investments, which are classified within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy, are carried at historical cost, which approximated fair value at September 30, 2011. The carrying amount and estimated fair value of the Company's total long-term debt was \$10.2 billion and \$11.5 billion, respectively, as of September 30, 2011. The fair value of the Company's total long-term debt was estimated based on rates currently offered to the Company for debt with

Table of Contents

identical terms and maturities, which is considered Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy.

***New Accounting Pronouncements***

In May 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued Accounting Standards Update ( ASU ) 2011-05, *Presentation of Comprehensive Income* ( ASU 2011-05 ). ASU 2011-05 requires entities to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income in either (1) a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or (2) two separate but consecutive statements. ASU 2011-05 does not change the items that are required to be reported in other comprehensive income. ASU 2011-05 is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011 and should be applied retrospectively. The Company is still evaluating which of the two alternatives it will apply in reporting comprehensive income. Neither alternative is expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated results of operations and neither alternative will have an impact on the Company's financial condition or cash flows.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-08, *Testing Goodwill for Impairment* ( ASU 2011-08 ). ASU 2011-08 allows entities to use a qualitative approach to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying value. If after performing the qualitative assessment an entity determines it is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, then performing the two-step goodwill impairment test is unnecessary. However, if an entity concludes otherwise, then it is required to perform the first step of the two-step goodwill impairment test. ASU 2011-08 is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company does not expect the adoption of ASU 2011-08 will have a material impact on the Company's consolidated results of operations, financial condition or cash flows.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-09, *Disclosures about an Employer's Participation in a Multiemployer Plan* ( ASU 2011-09 ). ASU 2011-09 requires additional quantitative and qualitative disclosures of entities who participate in multiemployer pension and other postretirement plans. ASU 2011-09 is effective for annual periods ending after December 15, 2011 and should be applied retrospectively. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting ASU 2011-09.

**Note 2 Acquisition**

On April 29, 2011, the Company acquired the Medicare prescription drug business of Universal American Corp. (the UAM Medicare Part D Business ) for approximately \$1.3 billion. The UAM Medicare Part D Business offers prescription drug plan benefits to Medicare beneficiaries throughout the United States through its Community CCRxSM prescription drug plan. The fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed were \$2.4 billion and \$1.1 billion, respectively, which included identifiable intangible assets of approximately \$0.4 billion and goodwill of approximately \$1.0 billion that were recorded in the Company's Pharmacy Services segment. The allocation of the purchase price is preliminary and is based on information that was available to management at the time the condensed consolidated financial statements were prepared, accordingly, the allocation may change. The Company's results of operations and cash flows include the UAM Medicare Part D Business beginning on April 29, 2011.

**Note 3 Discontinued Operations**

On September 26, 2011, the Company entered into an agreement with AmerisourceBergen Corporation ( ABC ) to sell its TheraCom, L.L.C. ( TheraCom ) subsidiary for \$250 million. On November 1, 2011, the Company completed the sale of TheraCom to ABC. TheraCom is a provider of commercialization support services to the biotech and pharmaceutical industry. As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, TheraCom had approximately \$0.1 billion of current assets (consisting primarily of accounts receivable) and \$0.1 billion of current liabilities (consisting primarily of accounts payable). In connection with the anticipated sale, as of September 30, 2011, the Company reclassified approximately \$0.2 billion of goodwill expected to be derecognized as part of the sale to other current assets. The TheraCom business has historically been part of the Company's Pharmacy Services segment. The results of the TheraCom business are presented as discontinued operations and have been excluded from both continuing operations and segment results for all periods presented.

In connection with certain business dispositions completed between 1991 and 1997, the Company retained guarantees on store lease obligations for a number of former subsidiaries, including Linens n Things which filed for

Table of Contents

bankruptcy in 2008. The Company's income (loss) from discontinued operations includes lease-related costs which the Company believes it will likely be required to satisfy pursuant to its Linens n Things lease guarantees.

Below is a summary of the results of discontinued operations:

<i>In millions</i>	Three Months Ended September 30, 2011			Three Months Ended September 30, 2010		
	TheraCom	Linens n Things	Total	TheraCom	Linens n Things	Total
Net revenues	\$ 181	\$	\$ 181	\$ 164	\$	\$ 164
Income from operations	\$ 4	\$	\$ 4	\$ 6	\$	\$ 6
Loss on disposal		(4)	(4)		(18)	(18)
Income tax benefit (provision)	(1)	1		(2)	7	5
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	\$ 3	\$ (3)	\$	\$ 4	\$ (11)	\$ (7)
	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011			Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010		
	TheraCom	Linens n Things	Total	TheraCom	Linens n Things	Total
Net revenues	\$ 582	\$	\$ 582	\$ 452	\$	\$ 452
Income from operations	\$ 16	\$	\$ 16	\$ 18	\$	\$ 18
Loss on disposal		(8)	(8)		(22)	(22)
Income tax benefit (provision)	(6)	3	(3)	(7)	8	1
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	\$ 10	\$ (5)	\$ 5	\$ 11	\$ (14)	\$ (3)

**Note 4 Goodwill and Intangible Assets**

Goodwill and indefinitely-lived trademarks are not amortized, but are subject to annual impairment reviews, or more frequent reviews if events or circumstances indicate there may be impairment. During the three months ended September 30, 2011, the Company performed its required annual impairment tests and concluded there was no impairment of goodwill or trademarks.

The carrying amount of goodwill was \$26.5 billion and \$25.7 billion as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The \$0.8 billion increase in goodwill for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was primarily due to an increase of approximately \$1.0 billion related to the acquisition of the UAM Medicare Part D Business, partially offset by an approximately \$0.2 billion reclassification of goodwill associated with the TheraCom business held for sale, which was reclassified to other current assets as a result of the anticipated sale. Intangible assets with finite useful lives are amortized over their estimated useful life.

Edgar Filing: CVS CAREMARK CORP - Form 10-Q

The following is a summary of the Company's intangible assets as of the respective balance sheet dates:

<u>In millions</u>	As of September 30, 2011			As of December 31, 2010		
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount
Trademarks (indefinitely-lived)	\$ 6,398	\$ —	\$ 6,398	\$ 6,398	\$ —	\$ 6,398
Customer contracts and relationships and covenants not to compete	5,376	(2,284)	3,092	4,903	(1,982)	2,921
Favorable leases and other	785	(328)	457	762	(297)	465
	\$ 12,559	\$ (2,612)	\$ 9,947	\$ 12,063	\$ (2,279)	\$ 9,784

The amortization expense related to finite-lived intangible assets for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 was \$118 million and \$338 million, respectively. The amortization expense related to finite-lived intangible assets for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 was \$108 million and \$319 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

**Note 5 Segment Reporting**

The Company has three segments: Pharmacy Services, Retail Pharmacy and Corporate. The Company's segments maintain separate financial information for which operating results are evaluated on a regular basis by the Company's chief operating decision maker in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. The Company evaluates its Pharmacy Services and Retail Pharmacy segments' performance based on net revenue, gross profit and operating profit before the effect of nonrecurring charges and gains and certain intersegment activities. The Company evaluates the performance of its Corporate segment based on operating expenses before the effect of nonrecurring charges and gains and certain intersegment activities.

The Pharmacy Services segment provides a full range of pharmacy benefit management (PBM) services including mail order pharmacy services, specialty pharmacy services, plan design consultation and administration, formulary management and claims processing. The Company's customers are primarily employers, insurance companies, unions, government employee groups, managed care organizations, other sponsors of health benefit plans and individuals throughout the United States. In addition, through our SilverScript Insurance Company, Accendo Insurance Company, and Pennsylvania Life Insurance Company subsidiaries, we are a national provider of drug benefits to eligible beneficiaries under the Federal Government's Medicare Part D program. The Pharmacy Services business operates under the CVS Caremark® Pharmacy Services, Caremark®, CVS Caremark®, CarePlus CVS/pharmacy®, CarePlus, RxAmerica®, Memberhealth®, MHRx® and Accordant® names. As of September 30, 2011, the Pharmacy Services segment operated 31 retail specialty pharmacy stores, 13 specialty mail order pharmacies and four mail service pharmacies located in 23 states, Puerto Rico and the District of Columbia.

The Retail Pharmacy segment sells prescription drugs and a wide assortment of general merchandise, including over-the-counter drugs, beauty products and cosmetics, photo finishing, seasonal merchandise, greeting cards and convenience foods through our CVS/pharmacy® and Longs Drug® retail stores, our CarePlus and CarePlus CVS/pharmacy® onsite stores and apothecaries, and online through CVS.com®. As of September 30, 2011, the Retail Pharmacy segment included 7,304 retail drugstores, of which 7,247 operated a pharmacy, the online retail website, CVS.com, 32 retail apothecary pharmacies and 645 retail health care clinics. The retail drugstores are located in 41 states, Puerto Rico and the District of Columbia. The retail health care clinics operate under the MinuteClinic® name, of which 635 are located within CVS/pharmacy stores. MinuteClinics utilize nationally recognized medical protocols to diagnose and treat minor health conditions, perform health screenings, monitor chronic conditions and deliver vaccinations. The clinics are staffed by board-certified nurse practitioners and physician assistants who provide access to affordable care without appointment.

The Corporate segment provides management and administrative services to support the Company. The Corporate segment consists of certain aspects of our executive management, corporate relations, legal, compliance, human resources, corporate information technology and finance departments.



Edgar Filing: CVS CAREMARK CORP - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

<u>In millions</u>	Pharmacy Services Segment(1)	Retail Pharmacy Segment	Corporate Segment	Intersegment Eliminations(2)	Consolidated Totals
<b>Three Months Ended</b>					
September 30, 2011:					
Net revenues	\$ 14,798	\$ 14,693	\$ —	\$ (2,817)	\$ 26,674
Gross profit	914	4,306	—	(42)	5,178
Operating profit (loss)	657	1,123	(154)	(42)	1,584
September 30, 2010(3):					
Net revenues	11,762	14,159	—	(2,210)	23,711
Gross profit	873	4,181	—	(39)	5,015
Operating profit (loss)	646	1,039	(168)	(39)	1,478
<b>Nine Months Ended</b>					
September 30, 2011:					
Net revenues	43,000	44,106	—	(8,323)	78,783
Gross profit	2,263	12,860	—	(117)	15,006
Operating profit (loss)	1,496	3,459	(465)	(117)	4,373
September 30, 2010(3):					
Net revenues	35,151	42,448	—	(6,410)	71,189
Gross profit	2,460	12,397	—	(92)	14,765
Operating profit (loss)	1,763	3,164	(459)	(92)	4,376
Total assets:					
September 30, 2011	35,817	28,774	1,203	(543)	65,251
December 31, 2010	32,254	28,927	1,439	(451)	62,169
Goodwill:					
September 30, 2011	19,705	6,801	—	—	26,506
December 31, 2010	18,868	6,801	—	—	25,669

(1) Net revenues of the Pharmacy Services segment include approximately \$1.9 billion and \$1.6 billion of retail co-payments for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, as well as \$6.0 billion and \$5.0 billion of retail co-payments for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

(2) Intersegment eliminations relate to two types of transactions: (i) Intersegment revenues that occur when Pharmacy Services segment customers use Retail Pharmacy segment stores to purchase covered products. When this occurs, both the Pharmacy Services and Retail Pharmacy segments record the revenue on a standalone basis, and (ii) Intersegment revenues, gross profit and operating profit that occur when Pharmacy Services segment customers, through the Company's intersegment activities (such as the Maintenance Choice program), elect to pick-up their maintenance prescriptions at Retail Pharmacy segment stores instead of receiving them through the mail. When this occurs, both the Pharmacy Services and Retail Pharmacy segments record the revenue, gross profit and operating profit on a standalone basis. As a result, both the Pharmacy Services and the Retail Pharmacy segments include the following results associated with this activity: net revenues of \$657 million and \$489 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and \$1.8 billion and \$1.3 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively; gross profit and operating profit of \$42 million and \$39 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and \$117 million and \$92 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

(3) The results of the Pharmacy Services segment for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 have been revised to reflect the results of TheraCom as discontinued operations. See Note 3 to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

**Note 6 Long-Term Debt**

## Edgar Filing: CVS CAREMARK CORP - Form 10-Q

On May 12, 2011, the Company issued \$550 million of 4.125% unsecured senior notes due May 15, 2021 and issued \$950 million of 5.75% unsecured senior notes due May 15, 2041 (collectively, the 2011 Notes ). The 2011 Notes pay interest semi-annually and may be redeemed, in whole at any time, or in part from time to time, at the Company's option at a defined redemption price plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date. The net proceeds of the 2011 Notes were used to repay commercial paper borrowings and certain other corporate debt, and may also be used for general corporate purposes.

Table of Contents

**Note 7 Share Repurchase Programs**

On June 14, 2010, the Company's Board of Directors authorized a share repurchase program for up to \$2.0 billion of outstanding common stock (the 2010 Repurchase Program). The share repurchase authorization, which was effective immediately and expires at the end of 2011, permits the Company to effect repurchases from time to time through a combination of open market repurchases, privately negotiated transactions, accelerated share repurchase transactions, and/or other derivative transactions. The 2010 Repurchase Program may be modified, extended or terminated by the Board of Directors at any time. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company repurchased an aggregate of 16.3 million and 43.8 million shares of common stock for approximately \$579 million and \$1.5 billion, respectively, pursuant to the 2010 Repurchase Program. During 2010, the Company did not make any share repurchases under the 2010 Repurchase Program. As of September 30, 2011, there was approximately \$450 million available for repurchases under the 2010 Repurchase Program.

On August 23, 2011, the Company's Board of Directors authorized a new share repurchase program for up to \$4.0 billion of outstanding common stock (the 2011 Repurchase Program). The share repurchase authorization, which was effective immediately, permits the Company to effect repurchases from time to time through a combination of open market repurchases, privately negotiated transactions, accelerated share repurchase transactions, and/or other derivative transactions. The 2011 Repurchase Program may be modified or terminated by the Board of Directors at any time.

Pursuant to the authorization under the 2011 Repurchase Program, on August 24, 2011, the Company entered into a \$1.0 billion fixed dollar accelerated share repurchase (ASR) agreement with Barclays Bank PLC (Barclays). The ASR agreement contains provisions that establish the minimum and maximum number of shares that will be repurchased during its term. Pursuant to the ASR agreement, on August 25, 2011, the Company paid \$1.0 billion to Barclays in exchange for Barclays delivering 20.3 million shares of common stock to the Company. On September 16, 2011, upon establishment of the minimum number of shares to be repurchased, Barclays delivered an additional 5.4 million shares of common stock to the Company. The aggregate 25.7 million shares of common stock delivered to the Company by Barclays, were placed into treasury stock. The Company may receive up to 3.5 million additional shares of common stock, depending on the market price of common stock over the term of the ASR agreement, which is currently expected to conclude during the fourth quarter of 2011.

**Note 8 Stock-Based Compensation**

Compensation expense related to stock options for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 totaled \$28 million and \$83 million, respectively, compared to \$30 million and \$95 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. Compensation expense related to restricted stock awards for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 totaled \$6 million and \$16 million, respectively, compared to \$6 million and \$17 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. The Company had 63.9 million stock options outstanding as of September 30, 2011 with a weighted average exercise price of \$32.91 and a weighted average contractual term of 4.2 years.

**Note 9 Interest Expense**

The following are the components of net interest expense:

Edgar Filing: CVS CAREMARK CORP - Form 10-Q

<i>In millions</i>	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Interest expense	\$ 156	\$ 138	\$ 440	\$ 402
Interest income	(1)	(1)	(3)	(3)
Interest expense, net	\$ 155	\$ 137	\$ 437	\$ 399

Table of Contents**Note 10 Comprehensive Income**

The following are the components of comprehensive income:

<u>In millions</u>	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Net income	\$ 867	\$ 808	\$ 2,394	\$ 2,399
Net cash flow hedges, net of tax	1		(9)	(2)
Pension adjustment, net of tax				4
Comprehensive income	868	808	2,385	2,401
Comprehensive loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	1	1	3	2
Comprehensive income attributable to CVS Caremark	\$ 869	\$ 809	\$ 2,388	\$ 2,403

**Note 11 Earnings Per Share**

Basic earnings per common share attributable to CVS Caremark is computed by dividing: (i) net income attributable to CVS Caremark by (ii) the weighted average number of common shares outstanding in the period (the Basic Shares ).

Diluted earnings per common share attributable to CVS Caremark is computed by dividing: (i) net income attributable to CVS Caremark by (ii) Basic Shares plus the additional shares that would be issued assuming that all dilutive stock awards are exercised. Options to purchase approximately 34.1 million and 40.9 million shares of common stock were outstanding, but were not included in the calculation of diluted earnings per share for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, because the options' exercise prices were greater than the average market price of the common shares and, therefore, the effect would be antidilutive. For the same reason, options to purchase approximately 38.4 million and 33.4 million shares of common stock were outstanding, but were not included in the calculation of diluted earnings per share for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively.

The following is a reconciliation of basic and diluted earnings per common share for the respective periods:

<u>In millions, except per share amounts</u>	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Numerators for earnings per common share calculations:				
Income from continuing operations	\$ 867	\$ 815	\$ 2,389	\$ 2,402
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	1	1	3	2
Income from continuing operations attributable to CVS Caremark	868	816	2,392	2,404
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	-	(7)	5	(3)
Net income attributable to CVS Caremark, basic and diluted	\$ 868	\$ 809	\$ 2,397	\$ 2,401

Edgar Filing: CVS CAREMARK CORP - Form 10-Q

Denominators for earnings per common share calculations:

Weighted average common shares, basic	1,332	1,360	1,350	1,368
Effect of dilutive securities:				
Stock options	6	6	5	7
Restricted stock units	2	2	1	3
Weighted average common shares, diluted	1,340	1,368	1,356	1,378
Basic earnings per common share:				
Income from continuing operations attributable to CVS Caremark	\$ 0.65	\$ 0.60	\$ 1.77	\$ 1.76
Income (loss) from discontinued operations attributable to CVS Caremark		(0.01)	0.01	(0.01)
Net income attributable to CVS Caremark	\$ 0.65	\$ 0.59	\$ 1.78	\$ 1.75
Diluted earnings per common share:				
Income from continuing operations attributable to CVS Caremark	\$ 0.65	\$ 0.60	\$ 1.76	\$ 1.75
Income (loss) from discontinued operations attributable to CVS Caremark		(0.01)	0.01	(0.01)
Net income attributable to CVS Caremark	\$ 0.65	\$ 0.59	\$ 1.77	\$ 1.74

Table of Contents

**Note 12 Commitments and Contingencies**

***Lease Guarantees***

Between 1991 and 1997, the Company sold or spun off a number of subsidiaries, including Bob's Stores, Linens 'n Things, Marshalls, Kay-Bee Toys, Wilsons, This End Up and Footstar. In many cases, when a former subsidiary leased a store, the Company provided a guarantee of the store's lease obligations. When the subsidiaries were disposed of, the Company's guarantees remained in place, although each initial purchaser has indemnified the Company for any lease obligations the Company was required to satisfy. If any of the purchasers or any of the former subsidiaries were to become insolvent and failed to make the required payments under a store lease, the Company could be required to satisfy these obligations. As of September 30, 2011, the Company guaranteed approximately 84 such store leases (excluding the lease guarantees related to Linens 'n Things, which are discussed in Note 3), with the maximum remaining lease term extending through 2021. Management believes the ultimate disposition of any of the remaining guarantees will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial condition, results of operations or future cash flows.

***Legal Matters***

The Company cannot predict with certainty the timing or outcome of the legal matters described below, but we do not believe that any of these matters will have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, operating results or financial condition. However, the Company can give no assurances that our business, operating results or financial condition will not be materially adversely affected, or that we will not be required to materially change our business practices, based on (i) adverse developments or outcomes in any of the matters described below, (ii) enactment of new health care or other laws or regulations; (iii) interpretation or application of existing laws or regulations, as they may relate to our business or to the pharmacy services or retail pharmacy industry; (iv) pending or future federal or state governmental investigations or enforcement actions related to our business or to the pharmacy services or retail pharmacy industry; or (v) adverse developments or outcomes in other pending or future legal proceedings, including sealed and unsealed *qui tam* actions, affecting us or affecting the pharmacy services or retail pharmacy industry.

We believe that our business practices comply in all material respects with applicable laws and regulations, and we are vigorously defending the actions described below.

Caremark (the term "Caremark" being used herein to generally refer to any one or more of the PBM subsidiaries of the Company, as applicable) is a defendant in a *qui tam* lawsuit initially filed by a relator on behalf of various state and federal government agencies in Texas federal court in 1999. The case was unsealed in May 2005. The case seeks monetary damages and alleges that Caremark's processing of Medicaid and certain other government claims on behalf of its clients (which allegedly resulted in underpayments from our clients to the applicable government agencies) on one of Caremark's adjudication platforms violates applicable federal or state false claims acts and fraud statutes. The United States and the States of Texas, Tennessee, Florida, Arkansas, Louisiana and California intervened in the lawsuit, but Tennessee and Florida withdrew from the lawsuit in August 2006 and May 2007, respectively. Thereafter, in 2008, the Company prevailed on several motions for partial summary judgment and, following an appellate ruling from the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals in 2011 which affirmed in part and reversed in part these prior rulings, the claims asserted in the case against Caremark have been substantially narrowed. In April 2009, the State of Texas filed a purported civil enforcement action against Caremark for injunctive relief, damages and civil penalties in Travis County, Texas alleging that Caremark violated the Texas Medicaid Fraud Prevention Act and other state laws based on our processing of Texas Medicaid claims on behalf of PBM clients. In September 2011, the Company prevailed on a motion for partial summary judgment against the State of Texas and

## Edgar Filing: CVS CAREMARK CORP - Form 10-Q

narrowed the remaining claims in the lawsuit. The claims and issues raised in this lawsuit are related to the claims and issues pending in the federal *qui tam* lawsuit described above.

In December 2007, the Company received a document subpoena from the Office of Inspector General, United States Department of Health and Human Services (OIG), requesting information relating to the processing of Medicaid and other government agency claims on a different adjudication platform of Caremark. In October 2009 and October 2010, the Company received civil investigative demands from the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas requesting, respectively, information produced under this OIG subpoena, and other information related to the processing of Medicaid claims. The civil investigative demands state that the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas is investigating allegations currently pending under seal relating to two of Caremark's adjudication platforms. The Company has been producing documents on a rolling basis in response to the requests for



Table of Contents

information contained in the OIG subpoena and in these civil investigative demands. The Company cannot predict with certainty the timing or outcome of any review of such information.

Caremark was named in a putative class action lawsuit filed in October 2003 in Alabama state court by John Lauriello, purportedly on behalf of participants in the 1999 settlement of various securities class action and derivative lawsuits against Caremark and others. Other defendants include insurance companies that provided coverage to Caremark with respect to the settled lawsuits. The Lauriello lawsuit seeks approximately \$3.2 billion in compensatory damages plus other non-specified damages based on allegations that the amount of insurance coverage available for the settled lawsuits was misrepresented and suppressed. A similar lawsuit was filed in November 2003 by Frank McArthur, also in Alabama state court, naming as defendants Caremark, several insurance companies, attorneys and law firms involved in the 1999 settlement. This lawsuit was stayed as a later-filed class action, but McArthur was subsequently allowed to intervene in the Lauriello action. The attorneys and law firms named as defendants in McArthur's intervention pleadings have been dismissed from the case, and discovery on class certification and adequacy issues is underway.

Various lawsuits have been filed alleging that Caremark has violated applicable antitrust laws in establishing and maintaining retail pharmacy networks for client health plans. In August 2003, Bellevue Drug Co., Robert Schreiber, Inc. d/b/a Burns Pharmacy and Rehn-Huerbinger Drug Co. d/b/a Parkway Drugs #4, together with Pharmacy Freedom Fund and the National Community Pharmacists Association filed a putative class action against Caremark in Pennsylvania federal court, seeking treble damages and injunctive relief. In October 2003, two independent pharmacies, North Jackson Pharmacy, Inc. and C&C, Inc. d/b/a Big C Discount Drugs, Inc. filed a putative class action complaint in Alabama federal court against Caremark and two PBM competitors, seeking treble damages and injunctive relief. The North Jackson Pharmacy case was transferred to Illinois federal court, and the Bellevue case was sent to arbitration based on contract terms between the pharmacies and Caremark. The Bellevue arbitration was then stayed by the parties pending developments in the North Jackson Pharmacy court case.

In August 2006, the Bellevue case and the North Jackson Pharmacy case were both transferred to Pennsylvania federal court by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation for coordinated and consolidated proceedings with other cases before the panel, including cases against other PBMs. Caremark appealed a decision vacating the order compelling arbitration and staying the proceedings in the Bellevue case and, following the appeal, the Court of Appeals reinstated the order compelling arbitration of the Bellevue case. Motions for class certification in the coordinated cases within the multidistrict litigation, including the North Jackson Pharmacy case, remain pending, and in 2011 were reassigned to a new judge who ordered supplemental briefing of the class certification. The consolidated action is now known as the In Re Pharmacy Benefit Managers Antitrust Litigation.

In August 2009, the Company was notified by the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) that it is conducting a non-public investigation under the Federal Trade Commission Act into certain of the Company's business practices. In March 2010, the Company learned that various State Attorneys General offices and certain other government agencies are conducting a multi-state investigation of the Company regarding issues similar to those being investigated by the FTC. At this time, 24 states, the District of Columbia, and the County of Los Angeles are known to be participating in this multi-state investigation. The Company has been cooperating in these investigations, and has provided documents and other information as requested. The Company is not able to predict with certainty the timing or outcome of these investigations. However, it remains confident that its business practices and service offerings (which are designed to reduce health care costs and expand consumer choice) are being conducted in compliance with the antitrust laws.

In March 2009, the Company received a subpoena from the OIG requesting information concerning the Medicare Part D prescription drug plans of RxAmerica, the PBM subsidiary of Longs Drug Stores Corporation which was acquired by the Company in October 2008. The Company continues to respond to this request for information and has been producing responsive documents on a rolling basis. The Company cannot predict with certainty the timing or outcome of any review by the government of such information.

Since March 2009, the Company has been named in a series of putative collective and class action lawsuits filed in federal courts around the country, purportedly on behalf of current and former assistant store managers working in the Company's stores at various locations outside California. The lawsuits allege that the Company failed to pay overtime to assistant store managers as required under the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) and under certain state statutes. The lawsuits also seek other relief, including liquidated damages, punitive damages, attorneys' fees, costs and injunctive relief arising out of the state and federal claims for overtime pay. Notice was issued to over 13,000 current and former assistant store managers offering them the opportunity to opt in to certain of the FLSA collective actions and about 2,000 have elected to participate in these lawsuits. The Company has aggressively challenged both the merits of the lawsuits and the allegation that the cases should be certified as class or collective actions. In light of the cost and uncertainty involved in this litigation, however, the Company has negotiated an

Table of Contents

agreement with plaintiffs' counsel on the key terms of a global settlement. Any final resolution of these matters will be subject to approval by a court, and as yet the parties have not finalized a settlement agreement or submitted any agreement for court approval. The Company has established legal reserves related to these matters at September 30, 2011 to cover the estimated settlement payments.

In March 2009, the Company received a subpoena from the OIG requesting information about programs under which the Company has offered customers remuneration conditioned upon the transfer of prescriptions for drugs or medications to our pharmacies in the form of gift cards, cash, non-prescription merchandise or discounts or coupons for non-prescription merchandise. The subpoena relates to an investigation of possible false or otherwise improper claims for payment under the Medicare and Medicaid programs. The Company continues to respond to this request for information and has been producing responsive documents on a rolling basis. We cannot predict with certainty the timing or outcome of any reviews by the government of such information.

In November 2009, a securities class action lawsuit was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Rhode Island purportedly on behalf of purchasers of CVS Caremark Corporation stock between May 5, 2009 and November 4, 2009. The lawsuit names the Company and certain officers as defendants and includes allegations of securities fraud relating to public disclosures made by the Company concerning the PBM business and allegations of insider trading. In addition, a shareholder derivative lawsuit was filed in December 2009 in the same court against the directors and certain officers of the Company. A derivative lawsuit is a lawsuit filed by a shareholder purporting to assert claims on behalf of a corporation against directors and officers of the corporation. This lawsuit includes allegations of, among other things, securities fraud, insider trading and breach of fiduciary duties and further alleges that the Company was damaged by the purchase of stock at allegedly inflated prices under its share repurchase program. In January 2011, both lawsuits were transferred to the United States District Court for the District of New Hampshire. The Company believes these lawsuits are without merit, and the Company plans to defend them vigorously. The Company received a subpoena dated February 28, 2011 from the SEC requesting, among other corporate records, information relating to public disclosures made by the Company in 2009 concerning its PBM and Medicare Part D businesses and information concerning ownership and transactions in the Company's securities by certain officers of the Company. The Company received a related subpoena dated September 20, 2011 from the SEC seeking, among other things, additional information concerning securities transactions by certain employees of the Company and public disclosures made by the Company during 2009. The Company is cooperating with these requests for information and has been providing documents and other information to the SEC as requested.

In addition to the legal matters described above, the Company is also a party to other legal proceedings and inquiries arising in the normal course of its business, none of which is expected to be material to the Company.

Table of Contents

<b>Part I</b>		<b>Item 1</b>
---------------	--	---------------

**Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

The Board of Directors and Shareholders

CVS Caremark Corporation:

We have reviewed the condensed consolidated balance sheet of CVS Caremark Corporation (the Company) as of September 30, 2011, and the related condensed consolidated statements of income for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, and the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our review in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the condensed consolidated financial statements referred to above for them to be in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet of CVS Caremark Corporation as of December 31, 2010, and the related consolidated statements of income, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for the year then ended not presented herein, and in our report dated February 18, 2011, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2010, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

November 3, 2011

Boston, Massachusetts



Table of Contents

<b>Part I</b>		<b>Item 2</b>
---------------	--	---------------

## Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

### Overview of Our Business

CVS Caremark Corporation (CVS Caremark, the Company, we or us), together with its subsidiaries, is the largest pharmacy health care provider in the United States. As a fully integrated pharmacy services company, we believe we can drive value for our customers by effectively managing pharmaceutical costs and improving health care outcomes through our pharmacy benefit management (PBM), mail order and specialty pharmacy division; our approximately 7,300 CVS/pharmacy® retail stores; our retail-based health clinic subsidiary, MinuteClinic®; and our online pharmacy, CVS.com®.

We currently have three reportable segments: Pharmacy Services, Retail Pharmacy and Corporate.

#### *Pharmacy Services Segment*

Our Pharmacy Services segment provides a full range of PBM services including mail order pharmacy services, specialty pharmacy services, plan design and administration, formulary management and claims processing. Our clients are primarily employers, insurance companies, unions, government employee groups, managed care organizations and other sponsors of health benefit plans and individuals throughout the United States. As a PBM, we manage the dispensing of pharmaceuticals through our mail order pharmacies and national network of approximately 65,000 retail pharmacies (which include our CVS/pharmacy stores) to eligible members in the benefit plans maintained by our clients and utilize our information systems to perform, among other things, safety checks, drug interaction screenings and brand to generic substitutions.

Our specialty pharmacies support individuals that require complex and expensive drug therapies. Our specialty pharmacy business includes mail order and retail specialty pharmacies that operate under the CVS Caremark® and CarePlus CVS/pharmacy® names. We also provide health management programs, which include integrated disease management for 28 conditions, through our strategic alliance with Alere, L.L.C. and our Accordant® health management offering. In addition, through our SilverScript Insurance Company, Accendo Insurance Company, and Pennsylvania Life Insurance Company subsidiaries, we are a national provider of drug benefits to eligible beneficiaries under the Federal Government's Medicare Part D program. The Pharmacy Services business operates under the CVS Caremark® Pharmacy Services (Caremark), Caremark®, CVS Caremark®, CarePlus CVS/pharmacy®, RxAmerica®, Memberhealth®, MHRx® and Accordant® names. As of September 30, 2011, the Pharmacy Services segment operated 31 retail specialty pharmacy stores, 13 specialty mail order pharmacies and four mail service pharmacies located in 23 states, Puerto Rico and the District of Columbia.

On April 29, 2011, we acquired the Medicare prescription drug business of Universal American Corp. (the UAM Medicare Part D Business) for approximately \$1.3 billion. The UAM Medicare Part D Business offers prescription drug plan benefits to Medicare beneficiaries throughout the United States through its Community CCRxsm prescription drug plan. Subsequent to this acquisition, we now provide Medicare benefits to over

3 million beneficiaries.

*Retail Pharmacy Segment*

Our Retail Pharmacy segment sells prescription drugs and a wide assortment of general merchandise, including over-the-counter drugs, beauty products and cosmetics, photo finishing, seasonal merchandise, greeting cards and convenience foods through our CVS/pharmacy® and Longs Drugs® retail stores, our CarePlus and CarePlus CVS/pharmacy® onsite stores and apothecaries, and online through CVS.com®. Our Retail Pharmacy segment derives the majority of its revenues through the sale of prescription drugs, which are dispensed by our more than 21,000 retail pharmacists. Our Retail Pharmacy segment also provides health care services through our MinuteClinic health care clinics. MinuteClinics are staffed by nurse practitioners and physician assistants who utilize nationally recognized protocols to diagnose and treat minor health conditions, perform health screenings, monitor chronic conditions, and deliver vaccinations. As of September 30, 2011, our Retail Pharmacy segment included 7,304 retail drugstores (of which 7,247 operated a pharmacy) located in 41 states, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico operating primarily under the CVS/pharmacy or Longs Drugs names, our online retail website, CVS.com, 32 retail apothecary pharmacy stores and 645 retail health care clinics operating under the MinuteClinic name (of which 635 were located in CVS/pharmacy stores).

Table of Contents*Corporate Segment*

The Corporate segment provides management and administrative services to support the Company. The Corporate segment consists of certain aspects of our executive management, corporate relations, legal, compliance, human resources, corporate information technology and finance departments.

**Results of Operations**

The following discussion explains the material changes in our results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, and the significant developments affecting our financial condition since December 31, 2010. We strongly recommend that you read our audited consolidated financial statements and footnotes and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included as Exhibit 13 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 (the 2010 Form 10-K) along with this report.

**Summary of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Results:**

<i>In millions</i>	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Net revenues	\$ 26,674	\$ 23,711	\$ 78,783	\$ 71,189
Cost of revenues	21,496	18,696	63,777	56,424
Gross profit	5,178	5,015	15,006	14,765
Operating expenses	3,594	3,537	10,633	10,389
Operating profit	1,584	1,478	4,373	4,376
Interest expense, net	155	137	437	399
Income before income tax provision	1,429	1,341	3,936	3,977
Income tax provision	562	526	1,547	1,575
Income from continuing operations	867	815	2,389	2,402
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	-	(7)	5	(3)
Net income	867	808	2,394	2,399
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	1	1	3	2
Net income attributable to CVS Caremark	\$ 868	\$ 809	\$ 2,397	\$ 2,401

***Net Revenues***

Net revenues increased \$3.0 billion, or 12.5% and \$7.6 billion, or 10.7% in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, as compared to the prior year periods. Net revenues were positively impacted by revenue associated with our previously announced long-term contract to provide PBM services to a large health insurance carrier, which became effective January 1, 2011, activity resulting from our



acquisition of the UAM Medicare Part D Business, as well as the positive same store and new store sales in our Retail Pharmacy segment.

Please see the section entitled *Segment Analysis* below for additional information regarding net revenues.

***Gross Profit***

Gross profit dollars increased \$163 million, or 3.3% and \$241 million, or 1.6% in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, as compared to the prior year periods. Gross profit as a percentage of net revenues decreased approximately 170 basis points to 19.4% and approximately 170 basis points to 19.0% in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, as compared to the prior year periods. Gross profit as a percentage of net revenues decreased due to a decline in gross profit margins primarily in the Pharmacy Services segment.

Please see the section entitled *Segment Analysis* below for additional information regarding gross profit.

Table of Contents

***Operating Expenses***

Operating expenses increased \$57 million, or 1.6% and \$244 million, or 2.3% in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to the prior year periods. Operating expenses as a percent of net revenues improved approximately 150 basis points to 13.5% and approximately 110 basis points to 13.5% in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011. The increase in operating expenses in the three months ended September 30, 2011 was primarily due to incremental store operating costs associated with a higher store count as compared to the prior year period, as well as normal operating expenses associated with the UAM Medicare Part D Business. The increase in operating expenses in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was primarily due to incremental store operating costs associated with a higher store count as compared to the prior year period, as well as costs associated with changes designed to streamline our Pharmacy Services segment and expenses associated with the acquisition and integration of the UAM Medicare Part D Business.

Please see the section entitled *Segment Analysis* below for additional information regarding operating expenses.

***Interest Expense, net***

Interest expense, net increased \$18 million and \$38 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, as compared to the prior year periods. This increase resulted from a higher average interest rate during the periods as the Company shifted from short-term debt to long-term debt.

For additional information on our financing activities, please see the *Liquidity and Capital Resources* section later in *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*.

***Income Tax Provision***

Our effective income tax rate was 39.3% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to 39.2% and 39.6% in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. The fluctuation in the effective income tax rate is primarily related to changes in state income tax expense and non-deductible items.

***Income (Loss) from Discontinued Operations***

Income (loss) from discontinued operations for the three months ended September 30, 2011 consisted of \$3 million (\$4 million, net of a \$1 million income tax benefit) of lease-related costs associated with guarantees of store lease obligations of Linens 'n Things, a former subsidiary of the Company that became insolvent subsequent to its disposition. These costs were offset by \$3 million (\$4 million, net of \$1 million income tax expense) of income related to the operations of our TheraCom subsidiary. The loss from discontinued operations for the three months ended

## Edgar Filing: CVS CAREMARK CORP - Form 10-Q

September 30, 2010 of \$7 million consisted of \$11 million (\$18 million, net of a \$7 million income tax benefit) of lease-related costs related to Linens n Things lease guarantees, partially offset by \$4 million (\$6 million, net of \$2 million income tax expense) of income related to the operations of our TheraCom subsidiary.

Income from discontinued operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 of \$5 million consisted of \$10 million (\$16 million, net of \$6 million income tax expense) of income related to the operations of our TheraCom subsidiary, partially offset by \$5 million (\$8 million, net of a \$3 million income tax benefit) of lease-related costs related to Linens n Things lease guarantees. The loss from discontinued operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 of \$3 million consisted of \$14 million (\$22 million, net of a \$8 million income tax benefit) of lease-related costs related to Linens n Things lease guarantees, partially offset by \$11 million (\$18 million, net of \$7 million income tax expense) of income related to the operations of our TheraCom subsidiary.

See Note 3 to the condensed consolidated financial statements for additional information about discontinued operations and see Note 12 to the condensed consolidated financial statements for additional information about our lease guarantees.

### *Net Loss Attributable to Noncontrolling Interest*

Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest represents the minority shareholders' portion of the net loss from our majority owned subsidiary, Generation Health, Inc. The net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 was approximately \$1 million and \$3 million, respectively, as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 of approximately \$1 million and \$2 million, respectively.

Table of Contents**Segment Analysis**

We evaluate the performance of our Pharmacy Services and Retail Pharmacy segments based on net revenue, gross profit and operating profit before the effect of nonrecurring charges and gains and certain intersegment activities. We evaluate the performance of our Corporate segment based on operating expenses before the effect of nonrecurring charges and gains and certain intersegment activities. The following is a reconciliation of our segments to the condensed consolidated financial statements:

<i>In millions</i>	Pharmacy Services Segment(1)	Retail Pharmacy Segment	Corporate Segment	Intersegment Eliminations(2)	Consolidated Totals
<b>Three Months Ended</b>					
September 30, 2011:					
Net revenues	\$ 14,798	\$ 14,693	\$ —	\$ (2,817)	\$ 26,674
Gross profit	914	4,306	—	(42)	5,178
Operating profit (loss)	657	1,123	(154)	(42)	1,584
September 30, 2010(3):					
Net revenues	11,762	14,159	—	(2,210)	23,711
Gross profit	873	4,181	—	(39)	5,015
Operating profit (loss)	646	1,039	(168)	(39)	1,478
<b>Nine Months Ended</b>					
September 30, 2011:					
Net revenues	43,000	44,106	—	(8,323)	78,783
Gross profit	2,263	12,860	—	(117)	15,006
Operating profit (loss)	1,496	3,459	(465)	(117)	4,373
September 30, 2010(3):					
Net revenues	35,151	42,448	—	(6,410)	71,189
Gross profit	2,460	12,397	—	(92)	14,765
Operating profit (loss)	1,763	3,164	(459)	(92)	4,376

(1) Net revenues of the Pharmacy Services segment include approximately \$1.9 billion and \$1.6 billion of retail co-payments for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, as well as \$6.0 billion and \$5.0 billion of retail co-payments for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

(2) Intersegment eliminations relate to two types of transactions: (i) Intersegment revenues that occur when Pharmacy Services segment customers use Retail Pharmacy segment stores to purchase covered products. When this occurs, both the Pharmacy Services and Retail Pharmacy segments record the revenue on a standalone basis and (ii) Intersegment revenues, gross profit and operating profit that occur when Pharmacy Services segment customers, through the Company's intersegment activities (such as the Maintenance Choice program), elect to pick-up their maintenance prescriptions at Retail Pharmacy segment stores instead of receiving them through the mail. When this occurs, both the Pharmacy Services and Retail Pharmacy segments record the revenue, gross profit and operating profit on a standalone basis. As a result, both the Pharmacy Services and the Retail Pharmacy segments include the following results associated with this activity: net revenues of \$657 million and \$489 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively and \$1.8 billion and \$1.3 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively; gross profit and operating profit of \$42 million and \$39 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively and \$117 million and \$92 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

(3) The results of the Pharmacy Services segment for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 have been revised to reflect the results of TheraCom as discontinued operations. See Note 3 to the condensed consolidated financial statements.



Table of Contents**Pharmacy Services Segment**

The following table summarizes our Pharmacy Services segment's performance for the respective periods:

<i>In millions</i>	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010(4)	2011	2010(4)
Net revenues	\$ 14,798	\$ 11,762	\$ 43,000	\$ 35,151
Gross profit	914	873	2,263	2,460
Gross profit % of net revenues	6.2%	7.4%	5.3%	7.0%
Operating expenses	257	227	767	697
Operating expense % of net revenues	1.7%	1.9%	1.8%	2.0%
Operating profit	657	646	1,496	1,763
Operating profit % of net revenues	4.4%	5.5%	3.5%	5.0%
Net revenues(1):				
Mail choice(2)	\$ 4,741	\$ 4,071	\$ 13,715	\$ 12,010
Pharmacy network(3)	10,003	7,615	29,116	22,915
Other	54	76	169	226
Pharmacy claims processed(1):				
Total	196.7	144.4	563.7	436.0
Mail choice(2)	17.5	16.2	52.8	47.6
Pharmacy network(3)	179.2	128.2	510.9	388.4
Generic dispensing rate(1):				
Total	74.3%	72.0%	74.0%	71.1%
Mail choice(2)	65.0%	62.4%	64.4%	60.8%
Pharmacy network(3)	75.3%	73.1%	75.0%	72.3%
Mail choice penetration rate	21.8%	26.3%	22.8%	25.7%

(1) Pharmacy network net revenues, claims processed and generic dispensing rates do not include Maintenance Choice, which are included within the mail choice category.

(2) Mail choice is defined as claims filled at a Pharmacy Services mail facility, which includes specialty mail claims, as well as 90-day claims filled at retail under the Maintenance Choice program.

(3) Pharmacy network is defined as claims filled at retail pharmacies, including our retail drugstores, but excluding Maintenance Choice activity.

(4) The results of the Pharmacy Services segment for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 have been revised to reflect the results of TheraCom as discontinued operations. See Note 3 to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

**Net Revenues**

## Edgar Filing: CVS CAREMARK CORP - Form 10-Q

Net revenues increased \$3.0 billion, or 25.8%, to \$14.8 billion in the three months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to the prior year period. The increase in net revenues was primarily due to the addition of the previously announced long-term contract with a large health insurance carrier, which became effective on January 1, 2011, as well as activity resulting from our April 29, 2011 acquisition of the UAM Medicare Part D Business.

- Our mail choice claims processed increased 8.0% to 17.5 million claims in the three months ended September 30, 2011, compared to 16.2 million claims in the prior year period. The increase in mail choice claim volume was primarily due to the addition of the previously announced long-term contract with a large health insurance carrier, which became effective on January 1, 2011.
- Our average revenue per mail choice claim increased by 7.7%, compared to the prior year period. This increase was primarily due to drug cost inflation, partially offset by increases in the percentage of generic prescription drugs dispensed and changes in client pricing.
- Our mail choice generic dispensing rate increased to 65.0% in the three months ended September 30, 2011, compared to 62.4% in the prior year period. This increase was primarily due to new generic prescription drug introductions and our continuous effort to encourage plan members to use generic prescription drugs when they are available.
- Our pharmacy network claims processed increased 39.8% to 179.2 million claims in the three months ended September 30, 2011, compared to 128.2 million claims in the prior year period. The increase in the pharmacy

Table of Contents

network claim volume was primarily due to higher claims activity associated with our Medicare Part D program as a result of our acquisition of the UAM Medicare Part D Business completed during the second quarter of 2011, as well as the addition of the previously announced long-term contract with a large health insurance carrier. Additionally, we experienced an increase in covered lives under our legacy Medicare Part D program.

- Our average revenue per pharmacy network claim processed decreased 6.0%, as compared to the prior year period. This decrease was primarily due to the impact of increases in the percentage of generic prescription drugs dispensed, changes in client pricing and the impact of our acquisition of the UAM Medicare Part D Business, partially offset by our previously announced long-term contract with a large health insurance carrier which became effective on January 1, 2011.

- Our pharmacy network generic dispensing rate increased to 75.3% in the three months ended September 30, 2011, compared to 73.1% in the prior year period. This increase was primarily due to new generic prescription drug introductions and our continuous effort to encourage plan members to use generic prescription drugs when they are available.

Net revenues increased \$7.8 billion, or 22.3%, to \$43.0 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to the prior year period. The increase in net revenues was primarily due to the addition of the previously announced long-term contract with a large health insurance carrier, which became effective on January 1, 2011, as well as activity resulting from our April 29, 2011 acquisition of the UAM Medicare Part D Business.

- Our mail choice claims processed increased 10.9% to 52.8 million claims in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to 47.6 million claims in the prior year period. The increase in mail choice claim volume was primarily due to the addition of the previously announced long-term contract with a large health insurance carrier, which became effective on January 1, 2011.

- Our average revenue per mail choice claim increased by 3.0%, compared to the prior year period. This increase was primarily due to drug cost inflation, partially offset by increases in the percentage of generic prescription drugs dispensed and changes in client pricing.

- Our mail choice generic dispensing rate increased to 64.4% in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to 60.8% in the prior year period. This increase was primarily due to new generic prescription drug introductions and our continuous effort to encourage plan members to use generic prescription drugs when they are available.

- Our pharmacy network claims processed increased 31.5% to 510.9 million claims in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to 388.4 million claims in the prior year period. The increase in the pharmacy network claim volume was primarily due to the addition of the previously announced long-term contract with a large health insurance carrier. Additionally, we experienced higher claims activity associated with our Medicare Part D program as a result of our acquisition of the UAM Medicare Part D Business completed during the second quarter and increases in covered lives under our legacy Medicare Part D program.



## Edgar Filing: CVS CAREMARK CORP - Form 10-Q

- Our average revenue per pharmacy network claim processed decreased 3.4%, as compared to the prior year period. This decrease was primarily due to increases in the percentage of generic prescription drugs dispensed and changes in client pricing, and the impact of our acquisition of the UAM Medicare Part D Business, partially offset by our previously announced long-term contract with a large health insurance carrier which became effective on January 1, 2011.
- Our pharmacy network generic dispensing rate increased to 75.0% in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to 72.3% in the prior year period. This increase was primarily due to new generic prescription drug introductions and our continuous effort to encourage plan members to use generic prescription drugs when they are available.

### ***Gross Profit***

Gross profit in our Pharmacy Services segment includes net revenues less cost of revenues. Cost of revenues primarily includes (i) the cost of pharmaceuticals dispensed, either directly through our mail service and specialty retail pharmacies or indirectly through our national pharmacy network, (ii) shipping and handling costs and (iii) the operating costs of our mail service pharmacies, customer service operations and related information technology support.

Table of Contents

Gross profit increased \$41 million, or 4.7%, to \$914 million in the three months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to the prior year period. Gross profit as a percentage of net revenues was 6.2% in the three months ended September 30, 2011, compared to 7.4% in the prior year period. Gross profit decreased \$197 million, or 8.0%, to \$2.3 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to the prior year period. Gross profit as a percentage of net revenues was 5.3% in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to 7.0% in the prior year period.

The increase in gross profit dollars in the three month period ended September 30, 2011 was driven primarily by the activity associated with our recently acquired UAM Medicare Part D Business, the addition of the previously announced long-term contract with a large health insurance carrier, as well as new client starts and increased enrollment in our legacy Medicare Part D plans, offset by client pricing erosion compared to the prior year period. The decrease in gross profit dollars in the nine month period ended September 30, 2011 was primarily driven by pricing compression relating to contract renewals and in particular the renewal of a large government client contract that took effect during the third quarter of 2010 partially offset by activity associated with our recently acquired UAM Medicare Part D Business.

In both the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011, the decrease in gross profit as a percentage of net revenues was driven by the aforementioned client pricing compression, as well as the profitability associated with our previously announced long-term contract with a large health insurance carrier which became effective on January 1, 2011. In both the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011, gross profit was positively impacted by the above mentioned increases in our generic dispensing rates as compared to the prior year periods.

As you review our Pharmacy Services segment's performance in this area, we believe you should consider the following important information that impacted the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011:

- Our gross profit dollars and gross profit as a percentage of net revenues continued to be impacted by our efforts to (i) retain existing clients, (ii) obtain new business and (iii) maintain or improve the purchase discounts we received from manufacturers, wholesalers and retail pharmacies. In particular, competitive pressures in the

PBM industry has caused us and other PBMs to continue to share a larger portion of rebates and/or discounts received from pharmaceutical manufacturers. In addition, market dynamics and regulatory changes have impacted our ability to offer plan sponsors pricing that includes retail network differential or spread. We expect these trends to continue.

- Our gross profit as a percentage of revenues benefited from the increase in our total generic dispensing rate, which increased to 74.3% and 74.0% in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, compared to our generic dispensing rate of 72.0% and 71.1% in the prior year periods, respectively. These increases were primarily due to new generic drug introductions and our continued efforts to encourage plan members to use generic drugs when they are available.

***Operating Expenses***

Operating expenses in our Pharmacy Services segment include selling, general and administrative expenses, depreciation and amortization related to selling, general and administrative activities and specialty pharmacy store and administrative payroll, employee benefits and

occupancy costs.

Operating expenses increased \$30 million to \$257 million, or 1.7% as a percentage of net revenues in the three months ended September 30, 2011, compared to \$227 million, or 1.9% as a percentage of net revenues in the prior year period. The increase in operating expenses during the three months ended September 30, 2011 is primarily related to the normal operating expenses of the UAM Medicare Part D Business, partially offset by disciplined expense management.

Operating expenses increased \$70 million to \$767 million, or 1.8% as a percentage of net revenues in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to \$697 million, or 2.0% as a percentage of net revenues in the prior year period. The increase in operating expenses is primarily related to costs associated with changes designed to streamline our business, expenses associated with the acquisition and integration of the UAM Medicare Part D Business, as well as normal operating expenses of the UAM Medicare Part D Business, partially offset by disciplined expense management.

Table of Contents**Retail Pharmacy Segment**

The following table summarizes our Retail Pharmacy segment's performance for the respective periods:

<i>In millions</i>	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Net revenues	\$14,693	\$14,159	\$44,106	\$42,448
Gross profit	4,306	4,181	12,860	12,397
Gross profit % of net revenues	29.3%	29.5%	29.2%	29.2%
Operating expenses	3,183	3,142	9,401	9,233
Operating expense % of net revenues	21.7%	22.2%	21.3%	21.8%
Operating profit	1,123	1,039	3,459	3,164
Operating profit % of net revenues	7.6%	7.3%	7.8%	7.5%
Net revenue increase:				
Total	3.8%	4.1%	3.9%	3.8%
Pharmacy	3.6%	4.3%	4.2%	4.4%
Front store	4.2%	3.6%	3.3%	2.5%
Same store sales increase:				
Total	2.3%	2.5%	2.3%	2.3%
Pharmacy	2.4%	3.0%	2.8%	3.2%
Front store	2.0%	1.4%	1.1%	0.4%
Generic dispensing rate	75.7%	73.5%	75.5%	72.8%
Pharmacy % of total revenues	68.5%	68.7%	68.5%	68.4%
Third party % of pharmacy revenue	97.9%	97.3%	97.8%	97.3%
Retail prescriptions filled	161.0	157.7	488.9	472.5

As of September 30, 2011, we operated 7,304 retail drugstores compared to 7,152 retail drugstores on September 30, 2010.

**Net Revenues**

Net revenues increased \$534 million, or 3.8%, to \$14.7 billion in the three months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to the prior year period. This increase was primarily driven by a same store sales increase of 2.3% and net revenues from new stores, which accounted for approximately 130 basis points of our total net revenue percentage increase in the three months ended September 30, 2011. Net revenues increased \$1.7 billion, or 3.9%, to \$44.1 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to the prior year period. This increase was primarily driven by a same store sales increase of 2.3% and net revenues from new stores, which accounted for approximately 140 basis points of our total net revenue percentage increase in the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

As you review our Retail Pharmacy segment's performance in this area, we believe you should consider the following important information that impacted the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011:

- Pharmacy revenues continued to benefit from incremental prescription volume associated with our Maintenance Choice program. Pharmacy same store sales rose 2.4% and 2.8% in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, as compared to the prior year periods. The three months ended September 30, 2011, includes a positive impact from Maintenance Choice of approximately 140 basis points on a net basis, (i.e., a positive impact of approximately 170 basis points on a gross basis, net of approximately 30 basis points from the conversion of 30-day prescriptions at retail to 90-day prescriptions under the Maintenance Choice program).
- Pharmacy revenues continue to be negatively impacted by the conversion of brand name drugs to equivalent generic drugs, which typically have a lower selling price. Pharmacy same store sales were negatively impacted by approximately 200 and 210 basis points for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, due to recent generic introductions. In addition, our pharmacy growth has also been adversely affected by the lack of significant new brand name drug introductions, higher consumer co-payments and co-insurance arrangements and an increase in the number of over-the-counter remedies that were historically only available by prescription.

Table of Contents

- Pharmacy revenue growth continued to benefit from the introduction of a prescription drug benefit under Medicare Part D, our ability to attract and retain managed care customers and favorable industry trends. These trends include an aging American population; many baby boomers are now in their fifties and sixties and are consuming a greater number of prescription drugs. In addition, the increased use of pharmaceuticals as the first line of defense for individual health care also contributed to the growing demand for pharmacy services. We believe these favorable industry trends will continue.

**Gross Profit**

Gross profit in our Retail Pharmacy segment includes net revenues less the cost of merchandise sold in the period and the related purchasing costs, warehousing costs, delivery costs and actual and estimated inventory losses.

Gross profit increased \$125 million, or 3.0%, to \$4.3 billion in the three months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to the prior year period. Gross profit as a percentage of net revenues decreased to 29.3% in the three months ended September 30, 2011, compared to 29.5% in the prior year period. The increase in gross profit dollars in the three months ended September 30, 2011, was primarily driven by same store sales increases. The decrease in gross profit as a percentage of revenue was primarily driven by lower pharmacy margins due to continued reimbursement pressure partially offset by the positive impact of increased generic drugs dispensed. Additionally, the lower pharmacy margins were partially offset by higher front store margins as a result of higher private label sales as a percentage of our front store revenues. Front store revenues as a percentage of total revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was 31.5%, as compared to 31.3% in the prior year period. Pharmacy revenues as a percentage of total revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2011 were 68.5%, compared to 68.7% in the prior year period.

Gross profit increased \$463 million, or 3.7%, to \$12.9 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to the prior year period. Gross profit as a percentage of net revenues remained constant at 29.2% in both the nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010. The increase in gross profit dollars in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, was primarily driven by same store sales increases. Gross profit as a percentage of revenue was negatively impacted during the nine months by lower pharmacy margins due to continued reimbursement pressure which was entirely offset by the positive impact of increased generic drugs dispensed, and additionally offset by the positive impact of private label sales as a percentage of our front store revenues. Front store revenues as a percentage of total revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was 31.5%, as compared to 31.6% in the prior year period. Pharmacy revenues as a percentage of total revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 were 68.5%, compared to 68.4% in the prior year period.

As you review our Retail Pharmacy segment's performance in this area, we believe you should consider the following important information that impacted the three and nine month period ended September 30, 2011:

- Sales to customers covered by third party insurance programs are a significant component of our retail pharmacy business. On average, our gross profit on third party pharmacy revenues is lower than our gross profit on cash pharmacy revenues. Third party revenues were 97.9% and 97.8% in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, compared to 97.3% in both prior year periods. We expect this trend to continue.

## Edgar Filing: CVS CAREMARK CORP - Form 10-Q

- Our pharmacy gross profit rates have been adversely affected by the efforts of managed care organizations, pharmacy benefit managers and governmental and other third-party payors to reduce their prescription drug costs. In the event this trend continues, we may not be able to sustain our current rate of revenue growth and gross profit dollars could be adversely impacted.
  
- The increased use of generic drugs has positively impacted our gross profit margins but has resulted in third party payors augmenting their efforts to reduce reimbursement payments to retail pharmacies for prescriptions. This trend, which we expect to continue, reduces the benefit we realize from brand to generic product conversions.

Table of Contents

***Operating Expenses***

Operating expenses in our Retail Pharmacy segment include store payroll, store employee benefits, occupancy costs, selling expenses, advertising expenses, depreciation and amortization expense and certain administrative expenses.

Operating expenses increased \$41 million to \$3.2 billion, or 21.7% as a percentage of net revenues, in the three months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to \$3.1 billion, or 22.2% as a percentage of net revenues, in the prior year period. Operating expenses increased \$168 million to \$9.4 billion, or 21.3% as a percentage of net revenues, in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to \$9.2 billion, or 21.8% as a percentage of net revenues, in the prior year period. The improvement in operating expenses as a percentage of net revenues for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, was primarily due to improved expense leverage from our same store sales growth and expense control initiatives.

**Corporate Segment**

***Operating Expenses***

Operating expenses in our Corporate segment include executive management, corporate relations, legal, compliance, human resources, corporate information technology and finance departments. Operating expenses decreased \$14 million, or 8.3%, to \$154 million during the three months ended September 30, 2011, compared to the prior year. The decrease in operating expenses was primarily related to lower legal and consulting expenses.

Operating expenses increased \$6 million, or 1.3%, to \$465 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to the prior year period. The increase in operating expenses was primarily related to higher payroll and benefit related costs.

**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

The majority of our cash and cash equivalents at any given time represent cash in transit and amounts set aside in our insurance subsidiaries to pay claims. We maintain a level of liquidity sufficient to allow us to cover our cash needs in the short-term. Over the long-term, we manage our cash and capital structure to maximize shareholder return, strengthen our financial position and maintain flexibility for future strategic initiatives. We continuously assess our working capital needs, debt and leverage levels, capital expenditure requirements, dividend payouts, potential share repurchases and future investments or acquisitions. We believe our operating cash flows, commercial paper program, sale-leaseback program, as well as any potential future borrowings, will be sufficient to fund these future payments and long-term initiatives.



## Edgar Filing: CVS CAREMARK CORP - Form 10-Q

*Net cash provided by operating activities* was \$5.0 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to \$3.0 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2010. This increase was related to improvements in inventory and accounts payable management, increases in accrued expenses due to the timing of payments and growth in claims payable due to increased volume of activity in our Pharmacy Services segment, partially offset by increased accounts receivable compared to the prior year period.

*Net cash used in investing activities* was \$2.6 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to \$1.4 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The \$1.2 billion increase in cash used in investing activities was primarily due to the cash paid to acquire the UAM Medicare Part D Business which closed on April 29, 2011. Gross capital expenditures totaled \$1.2 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to \$1.4 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2010. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we opened 137 new retail drugstores and one new retail apothecary pharmacy store and we closed 15 retail drugstores, two retail specialty pharmacy stores, one retail apothecary pharmacy store and five specialty mail order pharmacies. In addition, we relocated 81 retail drugstores. In 2011, for the full year, we plan to open a total of approximately 245 new or relocated retail drugstores.

*Net cash used in financing activities* was \$2.2 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to net cash used in financing activities of \$1.7 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increase in net cash used in financing activities was primarily due to repurchases of common stock.

On May 12, 2011, we issued \$550 million of 4.125% unsecured senior notes due May 15, 2021 and issued \$950 million of 5.75% unsecured senior notes due May 15, 2041 (collectively, the 2011 Notes ). The 2011 Notes pay interest semi-annually and may be redeemed, in whole at any time, or in part from time to time, at our option at a

Table of Contents

defined redemption price plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date. The net proceeds of the 2011 Notes were used to repay commercial paper borrowings and certain other corporate debt, and may also be used for general corporate purposes.

On June 14, 2010, our Board of Directors authorized a share repurchase program for up to \$2.0 billion of our outstanding common stock (the 2010 Repurchase Program ). The share repurchase authorization, which was effective immediately and expires at the end of 2011, permits us to effect repurchases from time to time through a combination of open market repurchases, privately negotiated transactions, accelerated share repurchase transactions and/or other derivative transactions. The 2010 Repurchase Program may be modified, extended or terminated by the Board of Directors at any time. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we repurchased 43.8 million shares for approximately \$1.5 billion pursuant to the 2010 Repurchase Program. As of September 30, 2011, there was approximately \$450 million available for repurchases under the 2010 Repurchase Program.

On August 23, 2011, our Board of Directors authorized a new share repurchase program for up to \$4.0 billion of outstanding common stock (the 2011 Repurchase Program ). The share repurchase authorization, which was effective immediately, permits us to effect repurchases from time to time through a combination of open market repurchases, privately negotiated transactions, accelerated share repurchase transactions, and/or other derivative transactions. The 2011 Repurchase Program may be modified or terminated by the Board of Directors at any time.

Pursuant to the authorization under the 2011 Repurchase Program, on August 24, 2011, we entered into a \$1.0 billion fixed dollar accelerated share repurchase ( ASR ) agreement with Barclays Bank PLC ( Barclays ). The ASR agreement contains provisions that establish the minimum and maximum number of shares that will be repurchased during its term. Pursuant to the ASR agreement, on August 25, 2011, we paid \$1.0 billion to Barclays in exchange for Barclays delivering 20.3 million shares of common stock to us. On September 16, 2011, upon establishment of the minimum number of shares to be repurchased, Barclays delivered an additional 5.4 million shares of common stock to us. The aggregate 25.7 million shares of common stock delivered to us by Barclays, were placed into treasury stock. We may receive up to 3.5 million additional shares of common stock, depending on the market price of common stock over the term of the ASR agreement, which is currently expected to conclude during the fourth quarter of 2011.

We had \$530 million of commercial paper borrowings outstanding at a weighted average interest rate of 0.3% as of September 30, 2011. In connection with our commercial paper program, we maintain a \$1.25 billion, five-year unsecured back-up credit facility, which expires on March 12, 2012, a \$1.0 billion, three-year unsecured back-up credit facility, which expires on May 27, 2013, and a \$1.25 billion, four-year unsecured back-up credit facility which expires on May 12, 2015. The credit facilities allow for borrowings at various rates depending on our public debt ratings and require us to pay a weighted average quarterly facility fee of approximately 0.04%, regardless of usage. As of September 30, 2011, we had no outstanding borrowings against the back-up credit facilities.

Our back-up credit facilities, unsecured senior notes and enhanced capital advantaged preferred securities contain customary restrictive financial and operating covenants. These covenants do not include a requirement for the acceleration of our debt maturities in the event of a downgrade in our credit rating. We do not believe the restrictions contained in these covenants materially affect our financial or operating flexibility.

As of September 30, 2011, our long-term debt was rated Baa2 by Moody's with a stable outlook and BBB+ by Standard & Poor's with a stable outlook and our commercial paper program was rated P-2 by Moody's and A-2 by Standard & Poor's. In assessing our credit strength, we believe that both Moody's and Standard & Poor's considered, among other things, our capital structure and financial policies as well as our consolidated balance sheet, our historical acquisition activity and other financial information. Although we currently believe our long-term debt ratings will remain investment grade, we cannot guarantee the future actions of Moody's and/or Standard & Poor's. Our debt ratings have a direct impact on our future borrowing costs, access to capital markets and new store operating lease costs.

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

In connection with executing operating leases, we provide a guarantee of the lease payments. We also finance a portion of our new store development through sale-leaseback transactions, which involve selling stores to unrelated parties and then leasing the stores back under leases that qualify and are accounted for as operating leases. We do not have any retained or contingent interests in the stores and we do not provide any guarantees, other than a guarantee of the lease payments, in connection with the transactions. In accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ( GAAP ), such operating leases are not reflected in our condensed consolidated balance sheet. We refer you to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our

Table of Contents

Annual Report to Stockholders included as Exhibit 13 to our 2010 Form 10-K for a detailed discussion of these guarantees.

## **Critical Accounting Policies**

We prepare our consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP, which requires management to make certain estimates and apply judgments. We base our estimates and judgments on historical experience, current trends and other factors that management believes to be important at the time the condensed consolidated financial statements are prepared. On a regular basis, we review our accounting policies and how they are applied and disclosed in our condensed consolidated financial statements.

While we believe that the historical experience, current trends and other factors considered support the preparation of our condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP, actual results could differ from our estimates and such differences could be material. For a full description of our critical accounting policies, please refer to Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in our 2010 Form 10-K.

During the three months ended September 30, 2011, we performed our required annual impairment tests of goodwill and indefinitely-lived trademarks. The results of the impairment tests indicated that there was no impairment of goodwill or trademarks. The goodwill impairment test resulted in the fair value of our Retail Pharmacy reporting unit exceeding its carrying value by a substantial margin and the fair value of our Pharmacy Services reporting unit exceeding its carrying value by approximately 15%.

## **Cautionary Statement Concerning Forward-Looking Statements**

The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the Reform Act) provides a safe harbor for forward-looking statements made by or on behalf of CVS Caremark Corporation. The Company and its representatives may, from time to time, make written or verbal forward-looking statements, including statements contained in the Company's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission and in its reports to stockholders. Generally, the inclusion of the words believe, expect, intend, estimate, project, anticipate, will, should and similar expressions identify statements that constitute forward-looking statements. All statements addressing operating performance of CVS Caremark Corporation or any subsidiary, events or developments that the Company expects or anticipates will occur in the future, including statements relating to revenue growth, earnings or earnings per common share growth, adjusted earnings or adjusted earnings per common share growth, free cash flow, debt ratings, inventory levels, inventory turn and loss rates, store development, relocations and new market entries, as well as statements expressing optimism or pessimism about industry developments or trends, future operating results and/or events, are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Reform Act.

The forward-looking statements are and will be based upon management's then-current views and assumptions regarding future events and operating performance, and are applicable only as of the dates of such statements. The Company undertakes no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise.

## Edgar Filing: CVS CAREMARK CORP - Form 10-Q

By their nature, all forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties. Actual results may differ materially from those contemplated by the forward-looking statements for a number of reasons, including, but not limited to:

- Our business is affected by the economy in general including changes in consumer purchasing power, preferences and/or spending patterns. These changes could affect drug utilization trends, the number of covered lives and the financial health of our PBM clients. Further, interest rate fluctuations, changes in capital market conditions and regulatory changes may affect our ability to obtain necessary financing on acceptable terms, our ability to secure suitable store locations under acceptable terms and our ability to execute future sale-leaseback transactions under acceptable terms;
- Our ability to realize the anticipated long-term strategic benefits from our integrated pharmacy services model;
- Our ability to realize the planned benefits associated with our acquisition of the UAM Medicare Part D Business in accordance with the expected timing;
- The continued efforts of health maintenance organizations, managed care organizations, pharmacy benefit management companies and other third party payors to reduce prescription drug costs and pharmacy reimbursement rates, particularly with respect to generic pharmaceuticals;

Table of Contents

- The possibility of client loss and/or the failure to win new client business;
- Risks related to the frequency and rate of the introduction of generic drugs and brand name prescription products;
- The effect on our Pharmacy Services business of a declining margin environment attributable to increased competition in the pharmacy benefit management industry and increased client demands for lower prices, enhanced service offerings and/or higher service levels;
- Risks related to our ability to obtain and retain purchase discounts and/or rebates from pharmaceutical manufacturers, wholesalers and retail pharmacies and to earn and retain retail network differential or spread ;
- Risks regarding the impact of the Medicare prescription drug benefit on our business;
- Risks related to the change in industry pricing benchmarks that could adversely affect our financial performance;
- Increased competition from other drugstore chains, supermarkets, discount retailers, membership clubs and Internet companies, as well as changes in consumer preferences or loyalties;
- Risks related to the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act and other current and future health care reform laws and regulations;
- Legal, legislative and regulatory risks associated with the health care or pharmaceutical industry or with our business or the retail pharmacy, retail clinic and/or pharmacy benefit management businesses generally, including, but not limited to, risks associated with investigations conducted by any governmental authority;
- Risks relating to changes in laws and regulations, including changes in accounting standards and taxation requirements (including tax rate changes, new tax laws and revised tax law interpretations) and other current and future health care reform laws and regulations;
- Risks relating to other adverse developments that may occur in the health care or pharmaceutical industry generally; and

- Other risks and uncertainties detailed from time to time in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The foregoing list is not exhaustive. There can be no assurance that the Company has correctly identified and appropriately assessed all factors affecting its business. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to the Company or that it currently believes to be immaterial may also adversely impact the Company. Should any risks and uncertainties develop into actual events, these developments could have material adverse effects on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations. For these reasons, you are cautioned not to place undue reliance on the Company's forward-looking statements.

### **Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

As of September 30, 2011, the Company had no derivative financial instruments or derivative commodity instruments in place and believes its exposure to market risk associated with other financial instruments, principally interest rate risk inherent in its debt portfolio, is not material.

### **Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

**Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures:** The Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, after evaluating the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-15 (f) and 15d-15(f)) as of September 30, 2011, have concluded that as of such date the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were adequate and effective and designed to ensure that material information relating to the Company and its subsidiaries would be made known to such officers on a timely basis.

**Changes in internal control over financial reporting:** There have been no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation required by paragraph (d) of Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-15 or Rule 15d-15 that occurred in the three months ended September 30, 2011 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

<b>Part II</b>	<b>Item 1</b>
<b>Legal Proceedings</b>	

Certain legal proceedings in which we are involved are discussed in Part I, Item 3 of our 2010 Form 10-K. The following discussion is limited to certain recent developments concerning our legal proceedings and should be read in conjunction with those earlier reports.

1. Caremark is a defendant in a *qui tam* lawsuit initially filed by a relator on behalf of various state and federal government agencies in Texas federal court in 1999. The case was unsealed in May 2005. The case seeks monetary damages and alleges that Caremark's processing of Medicaid and certain other government claims on behalf of its clients (which allegedly resulted in underpayments from our clients to the applicable government agencies) on one of Caremark's adjudication platforms violates applicable federal or state false claims acts and fraud statutes. The United States and the States of Texas, Tennessee, Florida, Arkansas, Louisiana and California intervened in the lawsuit, but Tennessee and Florida withdrew from the lawsuit in August 2006 and May 2007, respectively. Thereafter, in 2008, the Company prevailed on several motions for partial summary judgment and, following an appellate ruling from the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals in 2011 which affirmed in part and reversed in part these prior rulings, the claims asserted in the case against Caremark have been substantially narrowed. In April 2009, the State of Texas filed a purported civil enforcement action against Caremark for injunctive relief, damages and civil penalties in Travis County, Texas alleging that Caremark violated the Texas Medicaid Fraud Prevention Act and other state laws based on our processing of Texas Medicaid claims on behalf of PBM clients. In September 2011, the Company prevailed on a motion for partial summary judgment against the State of Texas and narrowed the remaining claims in the lawsuit. The claims and issues raised in this lawsuit are related to the claims and issues pending in the federal *qui tam* lawsuit described above.

2. In November 2009, a securities class action lawsuit was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Rhode Island purportedly on behalf of purchasers of CVS Caremark Corporation stock between May 5, 2009 and November 4, 2009. The lawsuit names the Company and certain officers as defendants and includes allegations of securities fraud relating to public disclosures made by the Company concerning the PBM business and allegations of insider trading. In addition, a shareholder derivative lawsuit was filed in December 2009 in the same court against the directors and certain officers of the Company. A derivative lawsuit is a lawsuit filed by a shareholder purporting to assert claims on behalf of a corporation against directors and officers of the corporation. This lawsuit includes allegations of, among other things, securities fraud, insider trading and breach of fiduciary duties and further alleges that the Company was damaged by the purchase of stock at allegedly inflated prices under its share repurchase program. In January 2011, both lawsuits were transferred to the United States District Court for the District of New Hampshire. The Company believes these lawsuits are without merit, and the Company plans to defend them vigorously. The Company received a subpoena dated February 28, 2011 from the SEC requesting, among other corporate records, information relating to public disclosures made by the Company in 2009 concerning its PBM and Medicare Part D businesses and information concerning ownership and transactions in the Company's securities by certain officers of the Company. The Company received a related subpoena dated September 20, 2011 from the SEC seeking, among other things, additional information concerning securities transactions by certain employees of the Company and public disclosures made by the Company during 2009. The Company is cooperating with these requests for information and has been providing documents and other information to the SEC as requested.



Table of Contents

<b>Part II</b>		<b>Item 2</b>
----------------	--	---------------

### Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

## (c) Stock Repurchases

The following table presents the total number of shares purchased in the three months ended September 30, 2011, the average price paid per share and the approximate dollar value of shares that still could have been purchased at the end of the applicable fiscal period, pursuant to the 2010 and 2011 Repurchase Programs. See Note 7 to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Fiscal Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
July 1, 2011 through July 31, 2011	3,640,903	\$ 37.34	3,640,903	\$ 893,059,194
August 1, 2011 through August 31, 2011	27,782,130	\$ 37.63	27,782,130	\$ 3,847,581,448
September 1, 2011 through September 30, 2011	10,631,858	\$ 37.35	10,631,858	\$ 3,450,428,748

Table of Contents

<b>Part II</b>		<b>Item 6</b>
----------------	--	---------------

**Exhibits****Item 6. Exhibits**Exhibits:

Exhibits marked with an asterisk (\*) are hereby incorporated by reference to exhibits or appendices previously filed by the Registrant as indicated in brackets following the description of the exhibit.

- 3.1\* Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant [incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1996 (Commission File No. 001-01011)].
- 3.1A\* Certificate of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, effective May 13, 1998 [incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1A to Registrant's Registration Statement No. 333-52055 on Form S-3/A dated May 18, 1998 (Commission File No. 001-01001)].
- 3.1B\* Certificate of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation [incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 22, 2007 (Commission File No. 001-01011)].
- 3.1C\* Certificate of Merger dated May 9, 2007 [incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1C to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q dated November 1, 2007 (Commission File No. 001-01011)].
- 3.1D\* Certificate of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation [incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 12, 2010 (Commission File No. 001-01011)].
- 3.2\* By-laws of the Registrant, as amended and restated [incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 13, 2011 (Commission File No. 001-01011)].
- 15.1 Letter re: Unaudited Interim Financial Information.
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 101 The following materials from the CVS Caremark Corporation Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the three months ended September 30, 2011 formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income, (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and (iv) related Footnotes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.



Table of Contents

Signatures:

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

CVS Caremark Corporation

*(Registrant)*

/s/ David M. Denton

David M. Denton

Executive Vice President and

Chief Financial Officer

November 3, 2011