CONGOLEUM CORP Form 10-Q May 11, 2007

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington DC 20549

	Washington, DC 20	549							
	FORM 10-Q								
X	QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 O EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934	R 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES							
	For the quarterly period ended March 31,	2007							
	or								
_	TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934	OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES							
	For the transition period from t	0							
	Commission File Number	1-13612							
	CONGOLEUM CORPORA (Exact name of registrant as speci								
		02-0398678 RS Employer Identification No.)							
	3500 Quakerbridge Road P.O. Box 3127 Mercerville, NJ 08619-0127 (Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)								
	(609) 584-3000 (Registrant's telephone number, i								
1934 regis	Indicate by check mark whether the regist ired to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of during the preceding 12 months (or for sucstrant was required to file such reports), ng requirements for the past 90 days. YES	the Securities Exchange Act of h shorter period that the and (2) has been subject to such							
	Indicate by check mark whether the regist r, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerat elerated filer and large accelerated filer"	ed filer. See definition of							
Large	e accelerated filer _ Accelerated filer	_ Non-accelerated filer X							
defin	Indicate by check mark whether the regist ned in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES								
of co	Indicate the number of shares outstanding ommon stock, as of the latest practicable d								
	Class	Outstanding at April 30, 2007							

Class A Common Stock

3,663,390

Class B Common Stock

4,608,945

1

CONGOLEUM CORPORATION

Index

		F	2age
PART	I.	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item	1.	Financial Statements:	
		Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2007 (unaudited) and December 31, 2006	4
		Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006 (unaudited)	5
		Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006 (unaudited)	
		Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)	7
Item	2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	27
Item	3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	34
Item	4.	Controls and Procedures	35
PART	II.	OTHER INFORMATION	
Item	1.	Legal Proceedings	35
Item	1A.	Risk Factors	35
Item	2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	43
Item	3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	43
Item	4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	44
Item	5.	Other Information	44
Item	6.	Exhibits	44
Signa	ature	es	45

2

Factors That May Affect Future Results

Some of the information presented in or incorporated by reference in this report constitutes "forward-looking statements," within the meaning of the

Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, that involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. These statements can be identified by the use of the words such as "anticipate," "believe," "estimate," "expect," "intend," "plan," "project" and other words of similar meaning. In particular, these include statements relating to intentions, beliefs or current expectations concerning, among other things, future performance, results of operations, the outcome of contingencies such as bankruptcy and other legal proceedings, and financial conditions. These statements do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. These forward-looking statements are based on the expectations of Congoleum Corporation (the "Company" or "Congoleum"), as of the date of this report, of future events, and the Company undertakes no obligation to update any of these forward-looking statements. Although the Company believes that these expectations are based on reasonable assumptions, within the bounds of its knowledge of its business and operations, there can be no assurance that actual results will not differ materially from its expectations. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. Any or all of these statements may turn out to be incorrect. By their nature, forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties because they relate to events and depend on circumstances that may or may not occur in the future. Any forward-looking statements made in this report speak only as of the date of such statement. It is not possible to predict or identify all factors that could potentially cause actual results to differ materially from expected and historical results. Factors that could cause or contribute to the Company's actual results differing from its expectations include those factors discussed elsewhere in this report, including in the section of this report entitled "Risk Factors" and in the Company's other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

3

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

CONGOLEUM CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET
(In thousands, except per share amounts)

2007 (Unaudite

March 31

	(10)	audit
-======================================		=====
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	17
Restricted cash		8
Accounts receivable, less allowances of \$1,141 and \$1,142 as of March 31, 2007		
and December 31, 2006, respectively		18
Inventories		34
Prepaid expenses and other current assets		24
Total current assets		103
Property, plant and equipment, net		65
Other assets, net		10
Total assets	\$	180

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)

11, 18, 10, 12,
90, 136,
 226,
 49, (65, (23,
(39 ,
 (46,
\$ 180,

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

4

CONGOLEUM CORPORATION

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2007	2006	
Net sales	\$ 49,315	\$ 57 , 237	
	37,316	43,960	
Cost of sales	31,310		

<pre>Income from operations Other income (expense):</pre>	2,548	2,881
Interest income	124	157
Interest expense	(2,981)	(2,734)
Other expense	(42)	(42)
(Loss) income before income taxes		 262
Provision for income taxes		51
Net (loss) income	 \$ (351)	\$ 211
Net (loss) income per common share	 	
Basic	\$ (0.04)	\$ 0.03
Diluted	 (0.04)	 0.03
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding:		
Basic	8,272	8,271
Diluted	 8,272	8,321

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

5

CONGOLEUM CORPORATION CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

		nths Ended ch 31,
	2007	2006
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net (loss) income	\$ (351)	\$ 211
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash used in		
operating activities:		
Depreciation	2,654	2,565
Amortization	96	96
Stock based compensation expense	5	55
Changes in certain assets and liabilities:		
Accounts and notes receivable	(651)	(5,745)
Inventories	(532)	(3,894)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	461	1,257
Insurance recovery (net) for oven replacement	1,561	·
Accounts payable	1,215	(99)
Accrued liabilities	(1,168)	1,027
Asbestos-related liabilities	(4,657)	•
Other	(406)	(382)

Net cash used in operating activities	(1,773)	(10,762)
Cash flows from investing activities: Capital expenditures	(384)	(,
Net cash used in investing activities	(384)	(502)
Cash flows from financing activities: Net short-term borrowings	236 873	1,886 (474)
Net cash provided by financing activities	1,108	1,412
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(1,048)	(9 , 852)
Beginning of period	18 , 591	24,511
End of period	\$ 17,543	\$ 14,659

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

6

CONGOLEUM CORPORATION NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS March 31, 2007 (Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation:

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for interim financial information and with Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal and recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair presentation of Congoleum Corporation's (the "Company" or "Congoleum") condensed consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows have been included. Operating results for the three month period ended March 31, 2007 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2007. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and related footnotes included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Based upon the nature of the Company's operations, facilities and management structure, the Company considers its business to constitute a single segment for financial reporting purposes.

Certain amounts appearing in the prior period's condensed consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current period's presentation.

The financial statements of Congoleum have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. Accordingly, the financial statements do not include any adjustments that might be necessary should the

Company be unable to continue as a going concern. As described more fully below and in Note 6, there is substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern unless it obtains relief from its substantial asbestos liabilities through a successful reorganization under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (the "Bankruptcy Code").

On December 31, 2003, Congoleum filed a voluntary petition with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of New Jersey (the "Bankruptcy Court") (Case No. 03-51524) seeking relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code as a means to resolve claims asserted against it related to the use of asbestos in its products decades ago. In January 2004, the Company filed its proposed plan of reorganization and disclosure statement with the Bankruptcy Court.

In November 2004, Congoleum filed a modified plan of reorganization and related documents (the "Fourth Plan") with the Bankruptcy Court reflecting the result of further negotiations with representatives of the Asbestos Claimants' Committee (the "ACC"), the Future Claimants' Representative (the "FCR") and other asbestos claimant representatives. The Bankruptcy Court approved the disclosure statement and plan voting procedures in December 2004 and Congoleum obtained the requisite votes of asbestos personal injury claimants necessary to seek approval of the Fourth Plan.

7

In April 2005, Congoleum announced that it had reached an agreement in principle with representatives of the ACC and the FCR to make certain modifications to its proposed plan of reorganization and related documents governing the settlement and payment of asbestos-related claims against Congoleum. Under the agreed-upon modifications, asbestos claimants with claims settled under Congoleum's pre-petition settlement agreements would agree to forbear from exercising the security interest they were granted and share on a pari passu basis with all other present and future asbestos claimants in insurance proceeds and other assets of the trust to be formed upon confirmation of the plan under Section 524(g) of the Bankruptcy Code to pay asbestos claims against Congoleum (the "Plan Trust").

In July 2005, Congoleum filed an amended plan of reorganization (the "Sixth Plan") and related documents with the Bankruptcy Court which reflected the result of these negotiations, as well as other technical modifications. The Bankruptcy Court approved the disclosure statement and voting procedures and Congoleum commenced solicitation of acceptances of the Sixth Plan in August 2005. In September 2005, Congoleum learned that certain asbestos claimants were unwilling to agree to forbear from exercising their security interest as contemplated by the Sixth Plan and subsequently withdrew the Sixth Plan.

In November 2005, the Bankruptcy Court denied a request to extend Congoleum's exclusive right to file a plan of reorganization and solicit acceptances thereof. In March 2006, Congoleum filed a new amended plan of reorganization (the "Eighth Plan"). In addition, an insurance company, Continental Casualty Company, and its affiliate, Continental Insurance Company (collectively, "CNA"), filed a plan of reorganization and the Official Bondholders' Committee (the "Bondholders' Committee") (representing holders of the Company's 8-5/8% Senior Notes due August 1, 2008 (the "Senior Notes")) also filed a plan of reorganization. In May 2006, the Bankruptcy Court ordered all parties in interest in Congoleum's reorganization proceedings to participate in global mediation discussions. Several mediation sessions took place from June through September 2006. During the initial mediation negotiations, Congoleum reached an agreement in principle, subject to mutually agreeable definitive documentation, with the ACC, the FCR and the Company's controlling shareholder, American Biltrite Inc. ("ABI"), on certain terms of an amended plan of

reorganization (the "Ninth Plan"), which Congoleum filed and proposed jointly with the ACC in August 2006. CNA and the Bondholders' Committee jointly filed a new, competing plan in August 2006 and each withdrew its prior plan of reorganization. Following further mediated negotiations, Congoleum, the ACC, the FCR, ABI and the Bondholders' Committee reached agreement on terms of a new amended plan (the "Tenth Plan"), which Congoleum filed jointly with the ACC in September 2006. Following the Bondholders' Committee's withdrawal of support for CNA's plan, CNA filed an amended plan of reorganization (the "CNA Plan"). In October 2006, Congoleum and the ACC jointly filed a revised version of the Tenth Plan (the "Eleventh Plan"), which reflected minor technical changes agreed to by the various parties supporting Congoleum's plan. In October 2006, the Bankruptcy Court held a hearing to consider the adequacy of the disclosure statements with respect to the Tenth Plan and the CNA Plan and to hear arguments on respective summary judgment motions that the Tenth Plan and the CNA Plan are not confirmable as a matter of law. The Bankruptcy Court provisionally approved

8

the disclosure statements for both the Tenth Plan and the CNA Plan subject to the Bankruptcy Court's ruling on the respective summary judgment motions. In February 2007, the Bankruptcy Court issued two separate opinions ruling that neither the Tenth Plan nor the CNA Plan is confirmable as a matter of law. Because the Tenth Plan and Eleventh Plan are substantially identical, the Company believes the ruling issued with respect to the Tenth Plan also applies to the Eleventh Plan. Following the Bankruptcy Court's rulings, in March 2007, Congoleum resumed global plan mediation discussions seeking to resolve the issues raised in the Bankruptcy Court's ruling with respect to the Tenth Plan. Congoleum has also appealed the ruling with respect to the Tenth Plan to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey (the "District Court").

There can be no assurance that the Company will be successful in its appeal or in negotiating a new plan of reorganization that resolves the issues raised in the Bankruptcy Court's ruling with respect to the Tenth Plan, that the Company will obtain approval to solicit acceptances of a new plan of reorganization, that the Company will receive the acceptances necessary for confirmation of a plan of reorganization, that any proposed plan will not be modified further, that a plan will receive necessary court approvals from the Bankruptcy Court and the District Court, or that such approvals will be received in a timely fashion, that a plan will be confirmed, that a plan, if confirmed, will become effective, or that there will be sufficient funds to pay for continued litigation over any plan of reorganization. It also is unclear whether any other person will attempt to propose a plan or what any such plan would provide or propose, and whether the Bankruptcy Court would approve such a plan.

For more information regarding the Company's asbestos liability and plan for resolving that liability, please refer to Note 6.

American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Statement of Position 90-7, "Financial Reporting by Entities in Reorganization under the Bankruptcy Code" ("SOP 90-7") provides financial reporting guidance for entities that are reorganizing under the Bankruptcy Code. The Company implemented this guidance in consolidated financial statements for periods after December 31, 2003.

Pursuant to SOP 90-7, companies are required to segregate pre-petition liabilities that are subject to compromise and report them separately on the balance sheet. Liabilities that may be affected by a plan of reorganization are recorded at the amount of the expected allowed claims, even if they may be settled for lesser amounts. Substantially all of the Company's liabilities at December 31, 2003 have been reclassified as liabilities subject to compromise.

Obligations arising post-petition, and pre-petition obligations that are secured, are not classified as liabilities subject to compromise.

Additional pre-petition claims (liabilities subject to compromise) may arise due to the rejection of executory contracts or unexpired leases, or as a result of the allowance of contingent or disputed claims.

9

2. Recent Accounting Principles:

Pension and Other Postretirement Plans

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 158, Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans ("SFAS No. 158"), which amends SFAS No. 87, Employers Accounting for Pensions, SFAS No. 88, Employers' Accounting for Settlements and Curtailments of Defined Benefit Pension Plans and for Termination Benefits, SFAS No. 106, Employers' Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions, and SFAS No. 132R, Employers' Disclosures about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits (revised 2003). SFAS No. 158 requires companies to recognize an asset or liability for the overfunded or underfunded status of their benefit plans in their financial statements. SFAS No. 158 also requires the measurement date for plan assets and liabilities to coincide with the sponsor's year end. This standard provides two transition alternatives related to the change in measurement date provisions. The recognition of an asset and liability related to the funded status provision is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2006, and the change in measurement date provisions is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2008. The impact from the recognition at December 31, 2006 of previously unrecognized amounts reduced stockholders' equity by approximately \$3.8 million, net of \$0.0 million of taxes.

Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes

Effective January 1, 2007, Congoleum adopted FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 ("FIN 48"). FASB requires that Congoleum recognize the impact of a tax position taken, or expected to be taken, in tax returns if that position is more likely than not of being sustained on audit, based on the technical merits of the position. Under FIN 48, tax positions are evaluated for recognition using a "more-likely-than-not" threshold, and those tax positions requiring recognition are measured as the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than fifty percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement with a taxing authority that has full knowledge of all relevant information. Congoleum evaluated its tax positions in the tax returns filed, as well as unfiled tax positions and the amounts comprising deferred tax assets. Congoleum determined that the adoption of FIN 48 did not have a material impact on its financial position or results of operations.

Congoleum's policy is to report interest (and penalties, if applicable) as tax provision (benefit) in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Congoleum's federal income tax returns are open and subject to examination from the 2003 calendar year and later. Congoleum's various state income tax returns are generally open from the 2002 and later calendar years based on the statutes of limitations of the various states. Congoleum's tax return net operating loss carry forwards are significant. The calendar years in which losses arose may be subject to audit when such carry forwards are utilized to offset taxable income in future periods.

10

Reclassifications - Certain amounts appearing in the prior period's financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current period's presentation. In 2006, the Company netted its deferred tax assets and liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet, which in prior periods were reported separately in assets and liabilities.

Inventories

A summary of the major components of inventories is as follows (in thousands):

	March 31, 2007	December 31, 2006
Finished goods Work-in-process Raw materials and supplies	\$ 26,283 3,338 5,131	\$ 26,515 1,912 5,793
Total inventories	\$ 34,752 ======	\$ 34,220 ======

4. Income (Loss) Per Share

Basic net income (loss) per share is calculated by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income per share is calculated by dividing net income by the weighted average number of shares of common stock and common stock equivalents outstanding during the period, unless their effect is anti-dilutive.

5. Environmental and Other Liabilities

The Company records a liability for environmental remediation claims when a cleanup program or claim payment becomes probable and the costs can be reasonably estimated. As assessments and cleanup programs progress, these liabilities are adjusted based upon the progress in determining the timing and extent of remedial actions and the related costs and damages. The recorded liabilities, totaling \$4.4 million at March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, are not reduced by the amount of insurance recoveries. Such estimated insurance recoveries approximated \$2.2 million at March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, and are reflected in other non-current assets. Receivables for expected insurance recoveries are recorded if the related carriers are solvent and paying claims under a reservation of rights or under an obligation pursuant to coverage in place or a settlement agreement. Substantially all of Congoleum's recorded insurance asset for environmental matters is collectible from a single carrier.

11

The Company is named, together with a large number (in most cases, hundreds) of other companies, as a potentially responsible party ("PRP") in pending proceedings under the federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, as amended ("CERCLA"), and similar state laws. In addition, in four other instances, although not named as a PRP, the Company has received a request for information. The pending proceedings relate to eight

disposal sites in New Jersey, Pennsylvania, and Maryland in which recovery from generators of hazardous substances is sought for the cost of cleaning up the contaminated waste sites. The Company's ultimate liability and funding obligations in connection with those sites depends on many factors, including the volume of material contributed to the site, the number of other PRPs and their financial viability, the remediation methods and technology to be used and the extent to which costs may be recoverable from insurance. However, under CERCLA and certain other laws, the Company, as a PRP, can be held jointly and severally liable for all environmental costs associated with a site.

The most significant exposure for which the Company has been named a PRP relates to a recycling facility site in Elkton, Maryland (the "Galaxy/Spectron Superfund Site"). The PRP group at this site is made up of 81 companies, substantially all of which are large financially solvent entities. Two removal actions were substantially complete as of December 31, 1998 and a groundwater treatment system was installed thereafter. The Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") has selected a remedy for the soil and shallow groundwater ("Operable Unit 1" or "OU-1"); however, the remedial investigation/feasibility study related to the deep groundwater ("OU-2") has not been completed. The PRP group, of which the Company is a part, has entered into a Consent Decree to perform the remedy for OU-1 and resolve natural resource damage claims. The Consent Decree also requires the PRPs to perform the OU-2 remedy, assuming that the estimated cost of the remedy is not more than \$10 million. If the estimated cost of the OU-2 remedy is more than \$10 million, the PRPs may decline to perform it or they may elect to perform anyway. Cost estimates for the OU-1 and OU-2 work combined (including natural resource damages) range between \$22 million and \$34 million, with the Company's share ranging between approximately \$1.0 million and \$1.6 million. This assumes that all parties participate and that none cash-out and pay a premium; those two factors may account for some fluctuation in the Company's share. Fifty percent (50%) of Congoleum's share of the costs is presently being paid by one of its insurance carriers, Liberty Mutual Insurance Company, whose remaining policy limits for this claim are expected to cover approximately \$0.3 million in additional costs. Congoleum expects to fund the balance to the extent further insurance coverage is not available.

The Company filed a motion before the Bankruptcy Court seeking authorization and approval of the Consent Decree and related settlement agreements for the Galaxy/Spectron Superfund Site, as well authorization for Liberty Mutual Insurance Company and the Company to make certain payments that have been invoiced to the Company with respect to the Consent Decree and related settlement agreements. An order authorizing and approving the Consent Decree and related settlement agreements was issued by the Bankruptcy Court in August 2006.

12

The Company also accrues remediation costs for certain of the Company's owned facilities on an undiscounted basis. The Company has entered into an administrative consent order with the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and has established a remediation trust fund of \$100 thousand as financial assurance for certain remediation funding obligations. Estimated total cleanup costs of \$1.3 million, including capital outlays and future maintenance costs for soil and groundwater remediation, are primarily based on engineering studies. Of this amount, \$0.3 million is included in current liabilities subject to compromise and \$1.0 million is included in non-current liabilities subject to compromise.

The Company anticipates that these matters will be resolved over a period of years and that after application of expected insurance recoveries, funding the costs will not have a material adverse impact on the Company's liquidity or

financial position. However, unfavorable developments in these matters could result in significant expenses or judgments that could have a material adverse effect on the financial position of the Company.

6. Asbestos Liabilities

Claims Settlement and Chapter 11 Reorganization

In early 2003, the Company announced a strategy for resolving current and future asbestos claims liability through confirmation of a pre-packaged plan of reorganization under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Later in 2003, the Company entered into a settlement agreement with various asbestos personal injury claimants (the "Claimant Agreement"). As contemplated by the Claimant Agreement, the Company also entered into agreements establishing a pre-petition trust (the "Collateral Trust") to distribute funds in accordance with the terms of the Claimant Agreement and granting the Collateral Trust a security interest in the Company's rights under its applicable insurance coverage and payments from the Company's insurers for asbestos claims.

The Claimant Agreement established a compensable disease valuation matrix (the "Matrix") and allowed claimants who qualified to participate in the Claimant Agreement (the "Qualifying Claimants") to settle their claims for the Matrix value, secured in part (75%) by a security interest in the collateral granted to the Collateral Trust. The Collateral Trust provides for distribution of trust assets according to various requirements that give priority (subject to aggregate distribution limits) to participating claimants who had pre-existing unfunded settlement agreements ("Pre-Existing Settlement Agreements") with the Company and participating claimants who qualified for payment under unfunded settlement agreements entered into by the Company with plaintiffs that had asbestos claims pending against the Company and which claims were scheduled for trial after the effective date of the Claimant Agreement but prior to the commencement of the Company's anticipated Chapter 11 reorganization case ("Trial-Listed Settlement Agreements").

13

The Claimant Agreement incorporated Pre-Existing Settlement Agreements and the settlement of certain Trial-Listed Settlement Agreement claims for a fully secured claim against the Collateral Trust, and it settled all other claims for a secured claim against the Collateral Trust equal to 75% of the claim value and an unsecured claim for the remaining 25%. In December 2005, the Company commenced an omnibus avoidance action and a sealed avoidance action (collectively, the "Avoidance Actions") seeking to void the security interest granted to the Collateral Trust and such settlements.

In October 2003, the Company began soliciting acceptances for its proposed pre-packaged plan of reorganization and the Company received the votes necessary for acceptance of the plan in late December 2003. On December 31, 2003, Congoleum filed a voluntary petition with the Bankruptcy Court (Case No. 03-51524) seeking relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. In January 2004, the Company filed its proposed plan of reorganization and disclosure statement with the Bankruptcy Court.

In November 2004, Congoleum filed the Fourth Plan with the Bankruptcy Court reflecting the result of further negotiations with representatives of the ACC, the FCR and other asbestos claimant representatives. The Bankruptcy Court approved the disclosure statement and plan voting procedures in December 2004 and Congoleum obtained the requisite votes of asbestos personal injury claimants necessary to seek approval of the Fourth Plan.

In April 2005, Congoleum announced that it had reached an agreement in principle with representatives of the ACC and the FCR to make certain modifications to its proposed plan of reorganization and related documents governing the settlement and payment of asbestos-related claims against Congoleum. Under the agreed-upon modifications, asbestos claimants with claims settled under Congoleum's pre-petition settlement agreements would agree to forbear from exercising the security interest they were granted and share on a pari passu basis with all other present and future asbestos claimants in insurance proceeds and other assets of the Plan Trust.

In July 2005, Congoleum filed the Sixth Plan and related documents with the Bankruptcy Court which reflected the result of these negotiations, as well as other technical modifications. The Bankruptcy Court approved the disclosure statement and voting procedures and Congoleum commenced solicitation of acceptances of the Sixth Plan in August 2005. In September 2005, Congoleum learned that certain asbestos claimants were unwilling to agree to forbear from exercising their security interest as contemplated by the Sixth Plan and subsequently withdrew the Sixth Plan.

In November 2005, the Bankruptcy Court denied a request to extend Congoleum's exclusive right to file a plan of reorganization and solicit acceptances thereof. In March 2006, Congoleum filed the Eighth Plan. In addition, an insurance company, CNA, filed a plan of reorganization and the Bondholders' Committee also filed a plan of reorganization. In May 2006, the Bankruptcy Court ordered all parties in interest in Congoleum's reorganization proceedings to participate in global mediation discussions. Several mediation sessions took place from June through September 2006. During the initial mediation negotiations, Congoleum reached an agreement in principle, subject to mutually agreeable definitive documentation, with the ACC, the FCR and the

14

Company's controlling shareholder, ABI, on certain terms of the Ninth Plan, which Congoleum filed and proposed jointly with the ACC in August 2006. CNA and the Bondholders' Committee jointly filed a new, competing plan in August 2006 and each withdrew its prior plan of reorganization. Following further mediated negotiations, Congoleum, the ACC, the FCR, ABI and the Bondholders' Committee reached agreement on terms of the Tenth Plan, which Congoleum filed jointly with the ACC in September 2006. Following the Bondholders' Committee's withdrawal of support for CNA's plan, CNA filed the CNA Plan. In October 2006, Congoleum and the ACC jointly filed a revised version of the Tenth Plan, the Eleventh Plan, which reflected minor technical changes agreed to by the various parties supporting Congoleum's plan. In October 2006, the Bankruptcy Court held a hearing to consider the adequacy of the disclosure statements with respect to the Tenth Plan and the CNA Plan and to hear arguments on respective summary judgment motions that the Tenth Plan and the CNA Plan were not confirmable as a matter of law. The Bankruptcy Court provisionally approved the disclosure statements for both the Tenth Plan and the CNA Plan subject to the Bankruptcy Court's ruling on the respective summary judgment motions. In February 2007, the Bankruptcy Court issued two separate opinions ruling that neither the Tenth Plan nor the CNA Plan is confirmable as a matter of law. Because the Tenth Plan and Eleventh Plan are substantially identical, the Company believes the ruling issued with respect to the Tenth Plan also applies to the Eleventh Plan. Following the Bankruptcy Court's rulings, March 2007, Congoleum resumed global plan mediation discussions seeking to resolve the issues raised in the Bankruptcy Court's ruling with respect to the Tenth Plan. Congoleum has also appealed the ruling with respect to the Tenth Plan to the District Court.

There can be no assurance that the Company will be successful in its appeal or in negotiating a new plan of reorganization that resolves the issues

raised in the Bankruptcy Court's ruling with respect to the Tenth Plan, that the Company will obtain approval to solicit acceptances of a new plan of reorganization, that the Company will receive the acceptances necessary for confirmation of a plan of reorganization, that any proposed plan will not be modified further, that a plan will receive necessary court approvals from the Bankruptcy Court and the District Court, or that such approvals will be received in a timely fashion, that a plan will be confirmed, that a plan, if confirmed, will become effective, or that there will be sufficient funds to pay for continued litigation over a plan of reorganization. It also is unclear whether any other person will attempt to propose a plan or what any such plan would provide or propose, and whether the Bankruptcy Court would approve such a plan.

Congoleum is presently involved in litigation with certain insurance carriers related to disputed insurance coverage for asbestos related liabilities, and certain insurance carriers filed various objections to Congoleum's previously proposed plans of reorganization and related matters and are expected to file objections to any future plan. Certain other parties have also filed various objections to Congoleum's previously proposed plans of reorganization and may file objections to any future plan.

15

During 2005 and 2006, Congoleum entered into a number of settlement agreements with excess insurance carriers over coverage for asbestos-related claims. In May 2005, certain AIG companies agreed to pay approximately \$103 million over ten years to the Plan Trust. This settlement resolves coverage obligations of policies with a total of \$114 million in liability limits for asbestos bodily injury claims. Payment is subject to various conditions, including without limitation, the effectiveness of a plan of reorganization that provides AIG with certain specified relief including a channeling injunction pursuant to Section 524(g) of the Bankruptcy Code. An insurer appealed the approval order granted by the Bankruptcy Court to the District Court. The District Court, however, entered an order in September 2006 that administratively terminated the appeal. The AIG settlement provides that any party may declare that the settlement agreement is null and void if the Confirmation Order fails to become a final order by May 12, 2007, and AIG may terminate the settlement agreement pursuant to this provision. At this time, it is not known whether AIG will seek to terminate the settlement agreement after May 12, 2007. In June 2005, the Company entered into a settlement agreement with certain underwriters at Lloyd's, London, pursuant to which the certain underwriters paid approximately \$20 million into an escrow account in exchange for a release of insurance coverage obligations. The escrow agent will transfer the funds to the Plan Trust once a plan of reorganization with the Section 524(g) protection specified in the settlement agreement goes effective and the Bankruptcy Court approves the transfer of the funds. The settlement provided that any party may declare that the settlement was null and void if the confirmation order fails to become a final order by June 22, 2007. At this time, it is not known whether those certain underwriters will seek to terminate the settlement agreement after June 22, 2007. In August 2005, the Company entered into a settlement agreement with Federal Insurance Company pursuant to which Federal will pay \$4 million to the Plan Trust, subject to certain adjustments, once a plan of reorganization with the Section 524(q) protection specified in the settlement agreement goes effective and the Bankruptcy Court approves the transfer of the funds. The FCR appealed the approval order granted by the Bankruptcy Court to the District Court. The FCR, Federal and the Company have reached an agreement to resolve the appeal pursuant to which the Federal settlement agreement will be amended to fix the settlement amount payable by Federal at \$2.1 million and to delete from the settlement agreement the adjustment mechanism, which operated under certain circumstances to reduce the settlement amount, and the Bankruptcy Court has approved this treatment. In

October 2005, Congoleum entered into a settlement agreement with Mt. McKinley Insurance Company and Everest Reinsurance Company pursuant to which Mt. McKinley and Everest paid \$21.5 million into an escrow account. The escrow agent will transfer the funds to the Plan Trust once a plan of reorganization with the Section 524(g) protection specified in the settlement agreement goes effective and the Bankruptcy Court approves the transfer of the funds. An insurer and the FCR have appealed the approval order granted by the Bankruptcy Court to the District Court, but the appeal has been administratively terminated by agreement. In March 2006, Congoleum entered into a settlement agreement with Harper Insurance Limited. Under the terms of this settlement, Harper will pay approximately \$1.4 million to Congoleum or the Plan Trust once certain conditions are satisfied, including the effectiveness of a plan of reorganization containing the Section 524(g) protection specified in the settlement agreement. The Bankruptcy Court approved this settlement in April 2006. In April 2006, Congoleum entered into a settlement agreement with Travelers Casualty and Surety Company and St. Paul Fire and Marine Insurance Company (collectively, "Travelers"). Under the terms of this settlement, Travelers will pay \$25 million in two installments over thirteen months to the Plan Trust once a plan of reorganization with the Section 524(g) protection specified in the settlement agreement goes effective and the Bankruptcy Court approves the transfer of the funds. The FCR sought, and was granted, limited discovery with respect to the Travelers settlement to which the FCR has objected. A hearing to consider approval of the Travelers settlement was held in

16

April 2007 and a ruling of the Bankruptcy Court is pending. In April 2006, Congoleum also entered into a settlement agreement with Fireman's Fund Insurance Company. Under the terms of this settlement, Fireman's Fund will pay \$1 million to the Plan Trust once a plan of reorganization with the Section 524(g) protection specified in the settlement agreement becomes effective and the Bankruptcy Court approves the transfer of the funds. The settlement was approved by the Bankruptcy Court in September 2006. In August 2006, Congoleum entered into a settlement agreement with Century Indemnity Company and its affiliates ("Century"). Under the terms of this settlement, Century will pay \$16.95 million to the Plan Trust in four installments over a three-year period commencing 60 days after all conditions to the agreement have been satisfied. The Bankruptcy Court approved this settlement in September 2006. Certain insurance companies appealed the Bankruptcy Court approval order to the District Court. Upon the entry of stipulations with the appellants, the Century appeal was dismissed. It is possible that one or more of the settling insurers may argue temporal, Plan-related, and other conditions to payment have not been satisfied and therefore such insurer is relieved of certain of its settlement obligations. If the Company is unable to confirm a plan of reorganization with Section 524(g)protection, the settlements described in this paragraph are subject to termination.

The terms of any new plan of reorganization are likely to be materially different from the Eleventh Plan, and could be amended or modified as a result of further negotiations with various parties. The Company expects that it will take until some time late in the fourth quarter of 2007 at the earliest to obtain confirmation of any plan of reorganization.

Under plans prior to the Tenth Plan, Congoleum's assignment of insurance recoveries to the Plan Trust was net of costs incurred by Congoleum in connection with insurance coverage litigation, and Congoleum was entitled to withhold from recoveries, or seek reimbursement from the Plan Trust, for coverage litigation costs incurred after January 1, 2003 and for \$1.3 million in claims processing fees paid in connection with claims settled under the Claimant Agreement. A receivable was recorded for these costs as they were paid. Under

the Eleventh Plan, Congoleum would have been entitled to reimbursement of only the \$1.3 million in claims processing fees and would not have collected the balance of these receivables (\$22.8 million at March 31, 2007). The write-off, as well as forgiveness of indebtedness income pursuant to any future plan and any other applicable charges or credits are expected to be recorded at a future date, the net effect of which cannot be determined. Congoleum is unable to predict whether it will be reimbursed for claims processing fees and coverage litigation costs to the extent not already reimbursed.

There were no asbestos related property damage claims asserted against the Company at the time of its bankruptcy filing. The Bankruptcy Court approved an order establishing a bar date of May 3, 2004 for the filing of asbestos property damage claims. The claims agent appointed in the Company's bankruptcy proceeding advised the Company that, as of the bar date, it received 35 timely filed asbestos property damage claims asserting liquidated damages in the amount of approximately \$0.8 million plus additional unspecified amounts. The Company objected to certain claims on various grounds, and the Bankruptcy Court ultimately allowed 19 claims valued at \$133 thousand. It is anticipated that any plan of reorganization will provide for payment of those claims in full from certain insurance proceeds.

17

Based on the Eighth Plan, the Company has made provision in its financial statements for the minimum amount of the range of estimates for its contribution to effect its plan to settle asbestos liabilities through a Plan Trust. The Company recorded charges aggregating approximately \$51.3 million in prior years, and is not yet able to determine the amount of the additional cost that will be required to complete any future plan of reorganization. Amounts that may be contributed to any Plan Trust and costs for pursuing and implementing any plan of reorganization could be materially higher than currently recorded or previously estimated. Delays in proposing, filing or obtaining approval of a new amended plan of reorganization, or the proposal or solicitation of additional plans by other parties could result in a proceeding that takes longer and is more costly than the Company has previously estimated. The Company may record significant additional charges in connection with its reorganization proceedings.

Pending Asbestos Claims

In 2003, the Company was one of many defendants in approximately 22 thousand pending lawsuits (including workers' compensation cases) involving approximately 106 thousand individuals, alleging personal injury or death from exposure to asbestos or asbestos-containing products. Claims involving approximately 80 thousand individuals were settled pursuant to the Claimant Agreement and litigation related to unsettled or new claims is presently stayed by the Bankruptcy Code. The Company expects unsettled and future claims to be handled in accordance with the terms of a plan of reorganization and a Plan Trust. In December 2005, Congoleum commenced the Avoidance Actions seeking to void the security interest granted to the Collateral Trust and such settlements. In March 2006, Congoleum filed a motion for summary judgment in the Avoidance Actions seeking to avoid the Claimant Agreement settlements and liens under various bankruptcy theories, which motion was denied in June 2006. In April 2007, the Company filed a new motion for summary judgment in the Avoidance Actions, seeking to avoid the security interests in insurance allegedly securing the Claimant Agreement settlements. A hearing on this summary judgment motion is set for June 4, 2007.

Nearly all asbestos-related claims that have been brought against the Company to date allege that various diseases were caused by exposure to

asbestos-containing products, including resilient sheet vinyl and tile manufactured by the Company (or, in the workers' compensation cases, exposure to asbestos in the course of employment with the Company). The Company discontinued the manufacture of asbestos-containing sheet products in 1983 and asbestos-containing tile products in 1974. In general, governmental authorities have determined that asbestos-containing materials in the sheet and tile products are non-friable (i.e., cannot be crumbled by hand pressure) because the asbestos was encapsulated in the products during the manufacturing process. Thus, governmental authorities have concluded that these products do not pose a health risk when they are properly maintained in place or properly removed so that they remain non-friable. The Company has issued warnings not to remove asbestos-containing flooring by sanding or other methods that may cause the product to become friable.

18

Status of Insurance Coverage

During the period that Congoleum produced asbestos-containing products, until claims for asbestos were excluded under insurance policies, the Company purchased primary and excess insurance policies providing in excess of \$1 billion of coverage for general and product liability claims. Through August 2002, substantially all asbestos-related claims and defense costs were paid through primary insurance coverage. In August 2002, the Company received notice that its primary insurance limits had been paid in full. The payment of limits in full by one of the primary insurance companies was based on its contention that limits in successive policies were not cumulative for asbestos claims and that Congoleum was limited to only one policy limit for multiple years of coverage. Certain excess insurance carriers claimed that the non-cumulation provisions of the primary policies were not binding on them and that there remained an additional \$13 million in primary insurance limits plus related defense costs before their policies were implicated. There is insurance coverage litigation currently pending in New Jersey state court (the "State Court") between Congoleum and its excess insurance carriers, and the guaranty funds and associations for the State of New Jersey. The litigation was initiated in September 2001, by one of Congoleum's excess insurers (the "Coverage Action"). In April 2003, the New Jersey Supreme Court ruled in another case involving the same non-cumulation provisions as in the Congoleum primary policies (the "Spaulding Case") that the non-cumulation provisions are invalid under New Jersey law and that the primary policies provide coverage for the full amount of their annual limits for all successive policies. Congoleum has reached a settlement agreement (the "Liberty Settlement") with the insurance carrier whose policies contained the non-cumulation provisions, pursuant to which the insurance carrier will pay Congoleum \$15.4 million in full satisfaction of the applicable policy limits, of which \$14.5 million has been paid to date. Pursuant to the terms of the Security Agreement, the Company is obligated to pay any insurance proceeds it receives under the Liberty Settlement, net of any fees and expenses it may be entitled to deduct, to the Collateral Trust or Plan Trust. Payment of such fees and expenses are subject to Bankruptcy Court order or approval. As of December 31, 2002, the Company had already entered into settlement agreements with asbestos claimants exceeding the amount of this previously disputed primary coverage. Based on these settlements, the Company contended that, even allowing for annual limits of all primary policies, primary coverage was exhausted and the excess policies triggered. The excess carriers have objected to the reasonableness of several of these settlements, and Congoleum believes that they will continue to dispute the reasonableness of the settlements and contend that their policies still are not implicated and will dispute their coverage for that and other various reasons in ongoing coverage litigation.

The excess insurance carriers have objected to the global settlement of the asbestos claims currently pending against Congoleum as contemplated by the Claimant Agreement on the grounds that, among other things, the negotiations leading to the settlement and the Claimant Agreement violate provisions in their insurance policies, including but not limited to the carriers' right to associate in the defense of the asbestos cases, the duty of Congoleum to cooperate with the carriers and the right of the carriers to consent to any settlement. The excess insurance carriers also contend the settlement terms in the Claimant Agreement are not fair or reasonable and/or that the

19

Claimant Agreement was not negotiated at arm's length or in good faith. Additionally, certain insurers have argued that Congoleum's entering into the Claimant Agreement voids the insurance for the underlying claims in their entirety. Certain insurers also have claimed that the Claimant Agreement voids their entire policy obligations. Congoleum has disputed the allegations and contentions of the excess insurance carriers. In November 2003, the State Court denied a motion for summary judgment by the excess insurance carriers that the Claimant Agreement was not fair, reasonable or in good faith, ruling that material facts concerning these issues were in dispute. In April 2004, the State Court denied motions for summary judgment by the excess carriers that the Claimant Agreement was not binding on them because Congoleum had breached the consent and cooperation clauses of their insurance policies by, among other things, entering into the Claimant Agreement without their consent. Congoleum has argued, among other things, that it was entitled to enter into the Claimant Agreement and/or the Claimant Agreement was binding on the excess insurance carriers because they were in breach of their policies and/or had denied coverage and/or had created a conflict with Congoleum by reserving rights to deny coverage and/or the Claimant Agreement was fair, reasonable and in good faith and/or there was and is no prejudice to the excess insurance carriers from the Claimant Agreement and/or the excess insurance carriers had breached their duties of good faith and fair dealing.

In August 2004, the State Court entered a case management order that divided the trial into three phases. A new judge was assigned to the case in February 2005 and the schedule was modified as a result.

In February 2005, the State Court ruled on a series of summary judgment motions filed by various insurers. The State Court denied a motion for summary judgment filed by certain insurers, holding that there were disputed issues of fact regarding whether the Claimant Agreement and other settlement agreements between Congoleum and the claimants had released Congoleum and the insurers from any liability for the asbestos bodily injury claims of the claimants who signed the Claimant Agreement and the other settlement agreements.

The State Court also denied another motion for summary judgment filed by various insurers who argued that they did not have to cover the liability arising from the Claimant Agreement because they had not consented to it.

The State Court granted summary judgment regarding Congoleum's bad faith claims against excess insurers (other than first-layer excess insurers), holding that the refusal of these excess insurers to cover the Claimant Agreement was at least fairly debatable and therefore not in bad faith.

In March 2005, the Company filed a motion in the Bankruptcy Court asking the Bankruptcy Court to vacate its prior order lifting the automatic stay in bankruptcy to permit the Coverage Action to proceed. The Company requested that the Coverage Action proceedings be stayed until the Company has completed its plan confirmation process in the Bankruptcy Court. A hearing on the Company's

motion was held in April 2005 and the motion was denied.

20

The first phase of the trial began in August 2005. Three months into the trial, in October 2005, a federal appeals court ruled that the law firm of Gilbert Heintz & Randolph ("GHR"), which had been acting as the Company's insurance co-counsel in the Coverage Action, had other representations which were in conflict with its representation of Congoleum. As a result of this ruling, with Bankruptcy Court approval, Congoleum retained the firm of Covington & Burling to represent it as co-counsel with Dughi & Hewit in the insurance coverage litigation and insurance settlement matters previously handled by GHR.

In the middle of Congoleum presenting its case, in or about mid-November 2005, and in early December 2005, certain insurers filed motions for summary judgment on the grounds, inter alia, that the decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit reversing the Bankruptcy Court's order approving the retention of GHR in In re Congoleum, 426 F.3d 675 (3d Cir. 2005), and/or Congoleum's filing of the Avoidance Actions in the Bankruptcy Court, entitled them to judgment as a matter of law on the Phase 1 issues. Congoleum opposed the motions. The motions were argued in January 2006, and in March 2006 the State Court denied the motions for summary judgment.

Congoleum completed the presentation of its case in April 2006. Certain insurers moved for a directed verdict in their favor during the first week of May 2006. Hearings of arguments on the directed verdict motion took place in June 2006. In July 2006 the State Court denied the motion for a directed verdict. The trial resumed in September 2006. Defendant insurers presented their case, for the most part, through documents and deposition designations. Post trial briefs were submitted by the parties in November 2006. The parties have completed Phase 1; all evidence has been submitted to the court and the parties have also filed all post-trial briefs. The State Court has not decided Phase 1, and the parties await the court's decision.

Phase 1 is limited to deciding whether the insurers are obligated to provide coverage under the policies at issue in this litigation for the asbestos claims settled under the terms of the global Claimant Agreement.

Some insurers contend that, if there is a ruling in Phase 1 that there is no coverage for the claims submitted by asbestos claimants and settled under the Claimant Agreement, and/or depending on the factual and legal basis for such ruling, then the insurers will also not owe coverage for any claims of such Claimant Agreement claimants even if Congoleum and such claimants agreed to amend the Claimant Agreement and/or to settle their claims under other claims payment standards, including bankruptcy Trust Distribution Procedures (TDPs). The Company believes, however, that even if the insurers were to succeed in the first phase of the Coverage Action, such result would not prohibit individual claimants and Congoleum from negotiating new and/or different settlements, and / or amending the Claimant Agreement, and then seeking payment from its insurers for such settlements. In addition, the Company does not believe that it would be deprived of coverage-in-place insurance for non-settled asbestos claims. However, there can be no assurances of the outcome of these matters or their potential effect on the Company's ability to obtain approval of a plan of reorganization. Congoleum intends to contest any attempt by the insurers to enlarge or expand upon a Phase 1 ruling that is adverse to Congoleum. However, there can be no assurances of the outcome of these matters.

The second phase of the trial will address all coverage issues, including but not limited to whether certain trial listed settlements were fair, reasonable and negotiated in good faith and covered by insurance as well as trigger and allocation of asbestos losses to insurance policies. Any additional discovery, and scheduling of pre-trial motions and trial dates for Phase 2 will be addressed by the State Court after the Phase 1 trial decision.

The third and final phase of the trial will address bad faith punitive damages, if appropriate.

Given the actions of its excess insurance carriers, the Company believes it likely that it would currently have to fund any asbestos-related expenses for defense expense and indemnity itself. However, litigation by asbestos claimants against the Company is stayed pursuant to the Company's bankruptcy proceedings, and should the Company obtain a channeling injunction in a plan of reorganization, the Company would not anticipate its future expenditures for defense and indemnity of asbestos-related claims, other than expenditures pursuant to a plan of reorganization, will be significant.

Accounting for Asbestos-Related Claims

Under the terms of the Claimant Agreement, the Company's claims processing agent processed 79,630 claims meeting the requirements of the Claimant Agreement with a settlement value in excess of \$466 million. In addition, Pre-Existing Settlement Agreements and Trial-Listed Settlement Agreements with claims secured by the Collateral Trust total approximately \$25 million. As a result of tabulating ballots on its Fourth Plan, the Company is also aware of claims by claimants whose claims were not determined under the Claimant Agreement but who have submitted claims with a value of approximately \$512 million based on the settlement values applicable in the Sixth Plan.

The Company's gross liability of in excess of \$491 million for these settlements and contingent liability for the additional approximately \$512 million in unsettled claims is substantially in excess of the total assets of the Company. The Company believes that it does not have the necessary financial resources to litigate and/or fund judgments and/or settlements of the asbestos claims in the ordinary course of business. Therefore, the Company believes the most meaningful measure of its probable loss due to asbestos litigation is the amount it will have to contribute to a Plan Trust plus the costs to effect its reorganization under Chapter 11. Congoleum cannot presently determine the amount of fees, expenses, and trust contributions it may incur in connection with obtaining confirmation of its plan of reorganization. Required expenditures could be materially higher than amounts recorded in the financial statements or previous estimates.

In February 2006, the Bankruptcy Court ordered GHR to disgorge all fees and certain expenses it was paid by Congoleum. The amount of the disgorgement is approximately \$9.6 million. In October 2006, Congoleum and GHR entered into a settlement agreement (the "GHR Settlement") under which GHR would pay Congoleum approximately \$9.2 million in full satisfaction of the disgorgement order. The payment would be secured by assets of GHR and would be made over time according to a formula based on GHR's earnings. The Bankruptcy Court approved the GHR settlement in April 2007. Treatment of funds received pursuant to the GHR Settlement under a future amended plan of reorganization may differ from the treatment accorded by any prior plans.

prior years, and is not yet able to determine the amount of the additional cost that will be required to complete its reorganization. Additional charges may be required in the future should the minimum estimated cost increase. The maximum amount of the range of possible asbestos-related losses is limited to the going concern or liquidation value of the Company, an amount which the Company believes is substantially less than the minimum gross liability for the known claims against it.

The Company has not attempted to make an estimate of its probable insurance recoveries for financial statement purposes given the accounting for its estimate of future asbestos-related costs. Substantially all future insurance recoveries will be assigned to the Collateral Trust or a Plan Trust.

23

Amounts Recorded in Financial Statements

The table below provides an analysis of changes in the Company's asbestos reserves and related receivables from December 31, 2006 to March 31, 2007:

(In thousands)		Additions (Deletions)	Spending Against Reserve	From	Bal 3/3
Reserves Current	\$ 7,800	\$	\$ (3,654)	\$	\$
Long-Term					
Receivables Current Long-Term	(21,813)	 	\$ (1,003) 		(2
Net Asbestos Liability (Asset)	\$(14,013) ======	\$ ======	\$ (4,657) ======	\$ ======	\$(1 ===
Restricted Cash Insurance Proceeds	\$ 6,149 ======	\$ ======	\$ 77 	\$ ======	\$ ===

The table below provides an analysis of changes in the Company's asbestos reserves and insurance receivables from December 31, 2005 to March 31, 2006:

(In thousands)	Balance at 12/31/2005	tions tions)	Spending Against Reserve	F	veries rom urance	Balance at 3/31/2006
Reserves						
Current Long-Term	\$ 19 , 469 	\$ 	\$ (2,261) 	\$		\$ 17 , 208

Receivables Current Long-Term	(14,793) 	 	(3 , 592) 		(18,385)
Net Asbestos Liability	\$ 4,676 ======	\$ ======	\$ (5 , 853)	\$ 	\$ (1,177) ======
Restricted Cash Insurance Proceeds	\$ 8,901 =====	\$ ======	\$ ======	\$ 534 ======	\$ 9,435

24

7. Product Warranties

The Company provides product warranties for specific product lines and accrues for estimated future warranty cost in the period in which the revenue is recognized. The following table sets forth activity in the Company's warranty reserves (in thousands):

	Three months Ende	2006
Beginning balance	\$ 1,953	\$ 2,121
Accruals	660	1,048
Charges	(767) 	(1,048)
Ending balance	\$ 1,846 ======	\$ 2,121 ======

8. Liabilities Subject to Compromise

As a result of the Company's Chapter 11 filing (see Notes 1 and 6), pursuant to SOP 90-7, the Company is required to segregate pre-petition liabilities that are subject to compromise and report them separately on the consolidated balance sheet. Liabilities that may be affected by a plan of reorganization are recorded at the amount of the expected allowed claims, even if they may be settled for lesser amounts. Substantially all of the Company's pre-petition debt is recorded at face value and is classified within liabilities subject to compromise. In addition, the Company's accrued interest expense on its Senior Notes is also recorded in liabilities subject to compromise.

Liabilities subject to compromise at March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006 are as follows (in thousands):

	March 31, 2007	December 31, 2006
Current		
Pre-petition other payables and accrued interest	\$ 37,377	\$ 34,602

Non-current		
Debt (at face value)	100,000	100,000
Pension liability	15,004	15,494
Other post-retirement benefit obligation	9,361	9,249
Pre-petition other liabilities	11,761	11,790
Non Current	136,126	136,533
Total liabilities subject to compromise	\$173 , 503	\$171 , 135

Additional pre-petition claims (liabilities subject to compromise) may arise due to the rejection of executory contracts or unexpired leases, or as a result of the allowance of contingent or disputed claims.

25

9. Accrued Liabilities

A summary of the significant components of accrued liabilities consists of the following (in thousands):

	March 31, 2007	December 31, 2006
Accrued warranty, marketing and sales promotion Employee compensation and related benefits Other	\$14,240 3,673 343	\$18,429 3,333 501
Total accrued liabilities	\$18,256	\$22,263

As a result of the Company's Chapter 11 bankruptcy filing and in accordance with SOP 90-7, certain liabilities are included in liabilities subject to compromise on the balance sheet as of March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006 (see Note 8).

10. Pensions and Other Postretirement Plans

The Company sponsors several non-contributory defined benefit pension plans covering most of the Company's employees. Benefits under the plans are based on years of service and employee compensation. Amounts funded annually by the Company are actuarially determined using the projected unit credit and unit credit methods and are equal to or exceed the minimum required by government regulations. The Company also maintains health and life insurance programs for retirees (reflected in the table below in "Other Benefits").

The following summarizes the components of the net periodic benefit cost for the Pension and Other Benefit Plans for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006 (in thousands):

	nths Ended 31, 2007		nths Ended 31, 2006
	Other		Other
Pension	Benefits	Pension	Benefits

Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost:				
Service cost	\$ 366	\$ 53	\$ 361	\$ 48
Interest cost	(1,206)	142	1,113	132
Expected return on plan assets	(1,146)		(997)	
Recognized net actuarial loss	349	18	365	16
Amortization of transition obligation				
Amortization of prior service cost	11	3	(54)	9
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 786	\$ 216	\$ 788	\$ 205
	======	======	======	

26

The weighted average assumptions used to determine net periodic benefit cost were as follows:

	March 31, 2007		March 31, 2006	
	Pension	Other Benefits	Pension	Other Benefits
Discount rate Expected long-term return on plan assets Rate of compensation increase	6.00% 7.00% 5.00%	6.00% 	6.00% 7.00% 4.00%	6.00%

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto contained in Item 1 of Part I of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Results of Operations

The Company's business is cyclical and is affected by the same economic factors that affect the remodeling and housing industries in general, including the availability of credit, consumer confidence, changes in interest rates, market demand and general economic conditions.

In addition to external economic factors, the Company's results are sensitive to sales and manufacturing volume, competitors' pricing, consumer preferences for flooring products, raw material costs and the mix of products sold. The manufacturing process is capital intensive and requires substantial investment in facilities and equipment. The cost of operating these facilities generally does not vary in direct proportion to production volume and, consequently, operating results fluctuate disproportionately with changes in sales volume.

On December 31, 2003, Congoleum filed a voluntary petition with the Bankruptcy Court seeking relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code as a means to resolve claims asserted against it related to the use of asbestos in its products decades ago. During 2003, Congoleum had obtained the requisite votes of asbestos personal injury claimants necessary to seek approval of a

proposed, pre-packaged Chapter 11 plan of reorganization. In January 2004, the Company filed its proposed plan of reorganization and disclosure statement with the Bankruptcy Court. In November 2004, Congoleum filed the Fourth Plan reflecting the result of further negotiations with representatives of the ACC, the FCR and other asbestos claimant representatives. The Bankruptcy Court approved the disclosure statement and plan voting procedures in December 2004 and Congoleum obtained the requisite votes of asbestos personal injury claimants necessary to seek approval of the Fourth Plan. In April 2005, Congoleum announced that it had reached an agreement in principle with representatives of the ACC and the FCR to make certain modifications to its proposed plan of

27

reorganization and related documents governing the settlement and payment of asbestos-related claims against Congoleum. Under the agreed-upon modifications, asbestos claimants with claims settled under Congoleum's pre-petition settlement agreements would agree to forbear from exercising the security interest they were granted and share on a pari passu basis with all other present and future asbestos claimants in insurance proceeds and other assets of the Plan Trust. In July 2005, Congoleum filed the Sixth Plan and related documents with the Bankruptcy Court which reflected the result of these negotiations, as well as other technical modifications. The Bankruptcy Court approved the disclosure statement and voting procedures and Congoleum commenced solicitation of acceptances of the Sixth Plan in August 2005. In September 2005, Congoleum learned that certain asbestos claimants were unwilling to agree to forbear from exercising their security interest as contemplated by the Sixth Plan and the Sixth Plan was subsequently withdrawn. In November 2005, the Bankruptcy Court denied a request to extend Congoleum's exclusive right to file a plan of reorganization and solicit acceptances thereof. In March 2006, Congoleum filed the Eighth Plan. In addition, an insurance company, CNA, filed a plan of reorganization and the Bondholders' Committee also filed a plan of reorganization. In May 2006, the Bankruptcy Court ordered all parties in interest in Congoleum's reorganization proceedings to participate in global mediation discussions. Several mediation sessions took place from June through September 2006. During the initial mediation negotiations, Congoleum reached an agreement in principle, subject to mutually agreeable definitive documentation, with the ACC, the FCR and the Company's controlling shareholder, ABI, on certain terms of the Ninth Plan, which Congoleum filed and proposed jointly with the ACC in August 2006. CNA and the Bondholders' Committee jointly filed a new, competing plan in August 2006 and each withdrew its prior plan of reorganization. Following further mediated negotiations, Congoleum, the ACC, the FCR, ABI and the Bondholders' Committee reached agreement on terms of the Tenth Plan, which Congoleum filed jointly with the ACC in September 2006. Following the Bondholders' Committee's withdrawal of support for CNA's plan, CNA filed the CNA Plan. In October 2006, Congoleum and the ACC jointly filed a revised version of the Tenth Plan, the Eleventh Plan, which reflected minor technical changes agreed to by the various parties supporting Congoleum's plan. In October 2006, the Bankruptcy Court held a hearing to consider the adequacy of the disclosure statements with respect to the Tenth Plan and the CNA Plan and to hear arguments on respective summary judgment motions that the Tenth Plan and the CNA Plan are not confirmable as a matter of law. The Bankruptcy Court provisionally approved the disclosure statements for both the Tenth Plan and the CNA Plan subject to the Bankruptcy Court's ruling on the respective summary judgment motions. In February 2007, the Bankruptcy Court issued two separate opinions ruling that neither the Tenth Plan nor the CNA Plan is confirmable as a matter of law. Because the Tenth Plan and Eleventh Plan are substantially identical, the Company believes the ruling issued with respect to the Tenth Plan also applies to the Eleventh Plan. Following the Bankruptcy Court's rulings, in March 2007, Congoleum resumed global plan mediation discussions seeking to resolve the issues raised in the Bankruptcy Court's ruling with respect to the Tenth Plan.

Congoleum has also appealed the ruling with respect to the Tenth Plan to the District Court.

28

There can be no assurance that the Company will be successful in its appeal or in negotiating a new plan of reorganization that resolves the issues raised in the Bankruptcy Court's ruling with respect to the Tenth Plan, that the Company will obtain approval to solicit acceptances of a new plan of reorganization, that the Company will receive the acceptances necessary for confirmation of a plan of reorganization, that any proposed plan will not be modified further, that a plan will receive necessary court approvals from the Bankruptcy Court and the District Court, or that such approvals will be received in a timely fashion, that a plan will be confirmed, that a plan, if confirmed, will become effective, or that there will be sufficient funds to pay for continued litigation over any plan of reorganization. It also is unclear whether any other person will attempt to propose a plan or what any such plan would provide or propose, and whether the Bankruptcy Court would approve such a plan.

The terms of any new plan of reorganization are likely to be materially different from the Tenth and Eleventh Plans, and could be amended or modified as a result of further negotiations with various parties. The Company expects that it will take until some time late in the fourth quarter of 2007 at the earliest to obtain confirmation of any plan of reorganization.

Congoleum is presently involved in litigation with certain insurance carriers related to disputed insurance coverage for asbestos related liabilities, and certain insurance carriers filed various objections to Congoleum's previously proposed plans of reorganization and related matters and are expected to file objections to any future plan. Certain other parties have also filed various objections to Congoleum's previously proposed plans of reorganization and may file objections to any future plan.

In anticipation of Congoleum's commencement of the Chapter 11 cases, Congoleum entered into the Claimant Agreement, which provides for an aggregate settlement value of at least \$466 million as well as an additional number of individually negotiated trial listed settlements with an aggregate value of approximately \$25 million, for total settlements in excess of \$491 million. As contemplated by the Claimant Agreement, Congoleum also entered into agreements establishing the Collateral Trust to distribute funds in accordance with the terms of the Claimant Agreement and granting the Collateral Trust a security interest in Congoleum's rights under its applicable insurance coverage and payments from Congoleum's insurers for asbestos claims. In December 2005, Congoleum commenced the Avoidance Actions seeking to void the security interest granted to the Collateral Trust and such settlements. In March 2006, Congoleum filed a motion for summary judgment in the Avoidance Actions seeking to avoid the Claimant Agreement settlements and liens under various bankruptcy theories, which motion was denied in June 2006 and the Avoidance Actions remain pending. Due to, among other things, the ongoing Avoidance Actions, the liability associated with the asbestos personal injury claims against Congoleum may be materially different than the present estimates of such items. As a result of tabulating ballots on the Fourth Plan, the Company is also aware of claims by claimants whose claims were not determined under the Claimant Agreement but who have submitted claims with a value of approximately \$512 million based on the settlement values applicable in the Sixth Plan.

For more information regarding the Company's asbestos liability and plan for resolving that liability, please refer to Notes 1 and 17 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Item 8 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. In addition, please refer to "Risk Factors - The Company has significant asbestos liability and funding exposure, and its most recent proposed amended plan of reorganization has been ruled unconfirmable as a matter of law" contained in Part II, Item 1A, of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for a discussion of certain factors that could cause actual results to differ from the Company's goals for resolving its asbestos liability through a plan of reorganization.

Three months ended March 31, 2007 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2006:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2007	Ended
		nousands)
Net sales Cost of sales	\$ 49,315 37,316	\$ 57,237 43,960
Gross profit Selling, general and	11,999 24.3%	13,277 23.2%
administrative expenses	9,451 19.2% 	10,396 18.2%
Operating income Interest expense, net Other expense, net	2,548 (2,857) 42	2,881 (2,577) 42
(Loss) income before taxes Provision for income taxes	(351)	262 51
Net (loss) income	\$ (351) ======	\$ 211 ======

Net sales for the three months ended March 31, 2007 were \$49.3 million as compared to \$57.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006, a decrease of \$7.9 million or 13.8%. The decrease primarily reflected lower sales to the manufactured housing industry which benefited from hurricane-related demand during the first quarter of 2006. Additionally, the Company experienced weaker sales in builder and trade-up resilient sheet products reflecting a slowdown in the new housing construction market coupled with distributors reducing inventories in light of market conditions. Offsetting these declines was the impact of price increases instituted in the second half of 2006 (3.5%).

30

Gross profit for the three months ended March 31, 2007 totaled \$12.0 million, or 24.3% of net sales, compared to \$13.3 million, or 23.2% of net sales, for the same period last year. The decline in gross margin dollars was volume-related. The major factors leading to the improvement in gross margin percent were the price increases instituted in the second half of 2006 (3.5% of net sales) coupled with cost reduction initiatives implemented in January 2007 across all manufacturing facilities, which helped offset the negative impact on absorption of lower production levels. In addition, the Company experienced

manufacturing inefficiencies in the first quarter of 2006 due to the need to obtain certain raw materials from alternative supplier.

Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$9.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 as compared to \$10.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006, a decrease of \$0.9 million. The reduction in expenses reflects lower merchandising and sales support related costs (down by \$0.8 million), lower compensation and related benefit costs reflecting workforce reductions in January 2007 (\$0.4 million) and lower depreciation expense (\$0.1 million) partially offset by a \$0.4 million charge for severance. As a percent of net sales, selling, general and administrative costs were 19.2% for the three months ended March 31, 2007 compared to 18.2% for the same period last year.

Income from operations was \$2.5 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2007 compared to income of \$2.9 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2006. The reduction in operating income reflected the lower sales and gross margins, partially offset by lower selling, general and administrative expenses.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of the Company have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. Accordingly, the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements do not include any adjustments that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern. As described more fully in the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Part I, Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, there is substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern unless it obtains relief from its substantial asbestos liabilities through a successful reorganization under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.

On December 31, 2003, Congoleum filed a voluntary petition with the Bankruptcy Court (Case No. 03-51524) seeking relief under the Bankruptcy Code. See Notes 1 and 6 of the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, contained in Part I, Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, for a discussion of the Company's bankruptcy proceedings. These matters continue to have a material adverse impact on liquidity and capital resources. During the first three months of 2007, the Company paid \$4.7 million in fees and expenses related to implementation of its planned reorganization under Chapter 11 and litigation with certain insurance companies. Furthermore, at March 31, 2007 the Company had incurred but not paid approximately \$6.3 million in additional fees and expenses for services rendered through that date.

31

Under plans prior to the Tenth Plan, Congoleum's assignment of insurance recoveries to the Plan Trust was net of costs incurred by Congoleum in connection with insurance coverage litigation, and Congoleum was entitled to withhold from recoveries, or seek reimbursement from the Plan Trust, for coverage litigation costs incurred after January 1, 2003 and for \$1.3 million in claims processing fees paid in connection with claims settled under the Claimant Agreement. A receivable was recorded for these costs as they were paid. Under the Eleventh Plan, Congoleum would have been entitled to reimbursement of only the \$1.3 million in claims processing fees and would not have collected the balance of these receivables (\$22.8 million at March 31, 2007). The write-off, as well as forgiveness of indebtedness income pursuant to any future plan and any other applicable charges or credits is expected to be recorded at a future date, the net effect of which cannot be determined. Congoleum is unable to predict whether it will be reimbursed for claims processing fees and coverage

litigation costs to the extent not already reimbursed. Congoleum cannot presently determine the amount of fees, expenses, and trust contributions it may incur in connection with obtaining confirmation of a plan of reorganization.

Due to the Chapter 11 proceedings, the Company has been precluded from making interest payments on its outstanding Senior Notes since January 1, 2004. The amount of accrued interest that is due but has not been paid on the Senior Notes at March 31, 2007 is approximately \$34.6 million, including interest on the unpaid interest due, of which \$3.6 million was owed at the time of the Chapter 11 filing.

In February 2006, the Bankruptcy Court ordered GHR to disgorge all fees and certain expenses it was paid by Congoleum. In October 2006, Congoleum and GHR entered into the GHR Settlement under which GHR will pay Congoleum approximately \$9.2 million in full satisfaction of the disgorgement order. In April 2007, the Bankruptcy Court approved the GHR Settlement. The payment is secured by assets of GHR and is to be made over time according to a formula based on GHR's earnings. Treatment of funds received pursuant to the GHR Settlement under a future amended plan of reorganization may differ from the treatment accorded by any prior plans.

Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents, including short-term investments at March 31, 2007, were \$17.5 million, a decrease of \$1.0 million from December 31, 2006. Under the terms of its revolving credit agreement, payments on the Company's accounts receivable are deposited in an account assigned by the Company to its lender and the funds in that account are used by the lender to pay down any loan balance. Funds deposited in this account but not yet applied to the loan balance, which amounted to \$2.6 million and \$3.6 million at March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively, are recorded as restricted cash. Additionally, \$6.2 million remaining from a \$14.5 million settlement received in August 2004 from an insurance carrier, which is subject to the purported lien of the Collateral Trust, is included as restricted cash at March 31, 2007. The Company expects to contribute these funds to the Plan Trust. Working capital was \$12.9 million at March 31, 2007, up from \$11.5 million at December 31, 2006. The ratio of current assets to current liabilities at March 31, 2007 was 1.1 to 1.0, which is unchanged from December 31, 2006. Net cash used in operations during the first three months of 2007 was \$1.8 million, as compared to net cash used in operations of \$10.8 in the first three months of 2006. The reduction in cash used in operations was primarily due to lower working capital requirements for inventory and receivables.

32

Capital expenditures for the three months ended March 31, 2007 totaled \$0.4 million. The Company is currently planning capital expenditures of approximately \$5.0 million in 2007 and between \$5 million and \$7 million in 2008, primarily for maintenance and improvement of plants and equipment, which it expects to fund with cash from operations and credit facilities.

In January 2004, the Bankruptcy Court authorized entry of a final order approving Congoleum's debtor-in-possession financing, which replaced its pre-petition credit facility on substantially similar terms. The debtor-in-possession financing agreement (as amended and approved by the Bankruptcy Court to date) provides a revolving credit facility expiring on the earlier of (i) June 30, 2007 and (ii) the date the plan of reorganization in Congoleum's bankruptcy cases as confirmed by the Bankruptcy Court becomes effective. Total borrowing under the facility may not exceed \$30 million. Interest is based on 0.25% above the prime rate. This financing agreement contains certain covenants, which include the maintenance of minimum earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA"). It also

includes restrictions on the incurrence of additional debt and limitations on capital expenditures. The covenants and conditions under this financing agreement must be met in order for the Company to borrow from the facility. The Company was in compliance with these covenants at March 31, 2007. Borrowings under this facility are collateralized by inventory and receivables. At March 31, 2007, based on the level of receivables and inventory, \$20.5 million was available under the facility, of which \$4.3 million was utilized for outstanding letters of credit and \$13.0 million was utilized by the revolving loan. The Company anticipates that its debtor-in-possession financing facility (including anticipated extensions thereof) together with cash from operations, will provide it with sufficient liquidity to operate during 2007 while under Chapter 11 protection. There can be no assurances that the Company will continue to be in compliance with the required covenants under this facility or that the debtor-in-possession facility (as extended) will be renewed prior to its expiration if a plan of reorganization is not confirmed before that time. For a plan of reorganization to be confirmed, the Company will need to obtain and demonstrate the sufficiency of exit financing. The Company cannot presently determine the terms of such financing, nor can there be any assurances of its success in obtaining it.

In addition to the provision for asbestos litigation discussed previously, the Company has also recorded what it believes are adequate provisions for environmental remediation and product-related liabilities (other than asbestos-related claims), including provisions for testing for potential remediation of conditions at its own facilities. The Company is subject to federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations and certain legal and administrative claims are pending or have been asserted against the Company. Among these claims, the Company is a named party in several actions associated with waste disposal sites (more fully discussed in Note 5 of the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Part I, Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q). These actions include possible obligations to remove or mitigate the effects on the environment of wastes deposited at various sites, including Superfund sites and certain of the Company's owned and previously owned facilities. The contingencies also include claims for personal injury and/or property damage. The exact amount of such future costs and timing of payments are indeterminable due to such unknown factors as the magnitude of cleanup costs, the timing and extent of the remedial

33

actions that may be required, the determination of the Company's liability in proportion to other potentially responsible parties, and the extent to which costs may be recoverable from insurance. The Company has recorded provisions in its financial statements for the estimated probable loss associated with all known general and environmental contingencies. While the Company believes its estimate of the future amount of these liabilities is reasonable, and that they will be paid over a period of five to ten years, the timing and amount of such payments may differ significantly from the Company's assumptions. Although the effect of future government regulation could have a significant effect on the Company's costs, the Company is not aware of any pending legislation which would reasonably have such an effect. There can be no assurances that the costs of any future government regulations could be passed along to its customers. Estimated insurance recoveries related to these liabilities are reflected in other non-current assets.

The outcome of these environmental matters could result in significant expenses incurred by or judgments assessed against the Company.

The Company's principal sources of capital are net cash provided by operating activities and borrowings under its financing agreement. Congoleum

cannot presently determine the amount of fees, expenses, and trust contributions it may incur in connection with obtaining confirmation of its plan of reorganization. The Company believes that its existing cash (including restricted cash), cash generated from operations, and debtor-in-possession credit arrangements should be sufficient to provide adequate working capital for operations during 2007. Congoleum's ability to emerge from Chapter 11 will depend on obtaining sufficient exit financing to settle administrative expenses of the reorganization and any other related obligations, and to provide adequate future liquidity.

Item 3. OUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company is exposed to changes in prevailing market interest rates affecting the return on its investments but does not consider this risk exposure to be material to its financial condition or results of operations. The Company invests primarily in highly liquid debt instruments with strong credit ratings and short-term (less than one year) maturities. The carrying amount of these investments approximates fair value due to the short-term maturities. Over 90% of the Company's outstanding long-term debt as of March 31, 2007 consisted of indebtedness with a fixed rate of interest which is not subject to change based upon changes in prevailing market interest rates. Under its current policies, the Company does not use derivative financial instruments, derivative commodity instruments or other financial instruments to manage its exposure to changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices or equity prices and does not hold any instruments for trading purposes.

34

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

- (a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. The Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, (the "Exchange Act")) as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report (the "Evaluation Date"). Based on this evaluation, such officers have concluded that, as of the Evaluation Date, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective in alerting them on a timely basis to material information relating to the Company required to be included in the Company's reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act.
- (b) Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. There have not been any changes in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting during the last quarter covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings:

The information contained in Note 5, "Environmental and Other Liabilities", and Note 6, "Asbestos Liabilities", of the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 1A. Risk Factors:

The Company has significant asbestos liability and funding exposure, and its most recent proposed amended plan of reorganization has been ruled unconfirmable as a matter of law.

As more fully set forth in Notes 1 and 6 of the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, which are included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, the Company has significant liability and funding exposure for asbestos claims. The Company has entered into settlement agreements with various asbestos claimants totaling in excess of \$491 million. The Bankruptcy Court issued a ruling in February 2007 stating that these claimants cannot receive more value as a result of their pre-petition settlements than is afforded to other, unsettled, asbestos claimants. The Company has resumed global plan mediation discussions to resolve this and certain other plan issues, and has also appealed the Bankruptcy Court ruling to the District Court.

35

There can be no assurance that the Company will be successful in its appeal or in negotiating a new plan of reorganization that resolves the issues raised in the Bankruptcy Court's ruling with respect to the Tenth Plan, that the Company will obtain approval to solicit acceptances of a new plan of reorganization, that the Company will receive the acceptances necessary for confirmation of a plan of reorganization, that any proposed plan will not be modified further, that a plan will receive necessary court approvals from the Bankruptcy Court and the District Court, or that such approvals will be received in a timely fashion, that a plan will be confirmed, that a plan, if confirmed, will become effective, or that there will be sufficient funds to pay for continued litigation over any plan of reorganization. It also is unclear whether any other person will attempt to propose a plan or what any such plan would provide or propose, and whether the Bankruptcy Court would approve such a plan.

The terms of any new plan of reorganization are likely to be materially different from the Tenth and Eleventh Plans, and could be amended or modified as a result of further negotiations with various parties. The Company expects that it will take until some time late in the fourth quarter of 2007 at the earliest to obtain confirmation of any plan of reorganization.

Under the terms of the Eleventh Plan, on the effective date of the Eleventh Plan (the "Effective Date"), the Plan Trust would have provided a loan to Congoleum, which loan was intended, when combined with cash on hand and available drawings under the revolving credit facility, to provide Congoleum with \$18 million of total liquidity, on a pro forma basis as of December 31, 2006 (the "Plan Trust Note"). The total liquidity required by Congoleum, and thus the amount of the Plan Trust Note, would have been as mutually agreed among the ACC, the FCR, the representatives of holders of pre-petition secured asbestos claims (the "Claimants' Representative") and Congoleum. The proceeds of the Plan Trust Note would only have been used for working capital and general corporate purposes. The Plan Trust Note would have been due and payable on December 31, 2011, would have borne interest at 10% per annum payable semi-annually until the maturity date, and would have contained such covenants, warranties, and representations as agreed

among Congoleum, the ACC, the FCR and the Claimants' Representative. The principal amount of the Plan Trust Note, which would have been subject to review and approval by the FCR and the ACC, could not have exceeded \$14 million unless both the FCR and ACC agreed. There can be no assurance that a new amended plan will provide Congoleum a similar liquidity source, or that Congoleum will be able to obtain such liquidity from an alternative source.

36

The Eleventh Plan and any alternative plan of reorganization pursued by the Company or another plan proponent or confirmed by the Bankruptcy Court and the District Court could materially differ from the description of the Eleventh Plan contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Furthermore, the estimated costs and contributions to effect the Eleventh Plan or an alternative plan could be significantly greater than currently estimated. Any plan of reorganization pursued by the Company will be subject to numerous conditions, approvals and other requirements, including Bankruptcy Court and District Court approvals, and there can be no assurance that such conditions, approvals and other requirements will be satisfied or obtained.

Confirmation of a plan of reorganization will depend on the Company obtaining exit financing to provide it with sufficient liquidity to fund obligations upon the plan becoming effective. If the Company's cash flow from operations is materially less than anticipated, and/or if the costs in connection with seeking confirmation of the Company's plan of reorganization or in connection with the State Court insurance coverage litigation discussed elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are materially more than anticipated, or if sufficient funds from insurance proceeds or other sources are not available at confirmation, the Company may be unable to obtain exit financing, when combined with net cash provided from operating activities, that would provide it with sufficient funds, which would likely result in the Company not being able to confirm an amended plan of reorganization or have such plan become effective.

Some additional factors that could cause actual results to differ from the Company's goals for resolving its asbestos liability through an amended plan of reorganization include: (i) the future cost and timing of estimated asbestos liabilities and payments, (ii) the availability of insurance coverage and reimbursement from insurance companies that underwrote the applicable insurance policies for the Company for asbestos-related claims, (iii) the costs relating to the execution and implementation of any plan of reorganization pursued by the Company, (iv) timely agreement with other creditors, or classes of creditors, that exist or may emerge, (v) satisfaction of the conditions and obligations under the Company's outstanding debt instruments, (vi) the response from time to time of the lenders, customers, suppliers and other constituencies of the Company and ABI to the ongoing process arising from the Company's strategy to settle its asbestos liability, (vii) the Company's ability to maintain debtor-in-possession financing sufficient to provide it with funding that may be needed during the pendency of its Chapter 11 case and to obtain exit financing sufficient to provide it with funding that may be needed for its operations after emerging from the bankruptcy process, in each case, on reasonable terms, (viii) timely creditor and court approval (including the results of any relevant appeals) of any

reorganization plan pursued by the Company and the court overruling any objections to the $\,$

37

Company's reorganization plan that may be filed, (ix) costs of, developments in and the outcome of insurance coverage litigation pending in the State Court involving Congoleum and certain insurers, (x) compliance with the Bankruptcy Code, including Section 524(g), and (xi) the possible adoption of another party's plan of reorganization which may prove to be unfeasible. In any event, if the Company is not successful in obtaining sufficient creditor and court approval of its amended plan of reorganization, such failure would have a material adverse effect upon its business, results of operations and financial condition.

In addition, federal legislation has been proposed that, if adopted, would establish a national trust to provide compensation to victims of asbestos-related injuries and channel all current and future asbestos-related personal injury claims to that trust. Due to the uncertainties involved with the pending legislation, the Company does not know what effects any such legislation, if adopted, may have upon its business, results of operations or financial condition, or upon any plan of reorganization it may decide to pursue. To date, the Company has expended significant amounts to resolve its asbestos liability relating to its proposed amended plan of reorganization. To the extent any federal legislation is enacted, which does not credit the Company for amounts paid by the Company pursuant to a plan of reorganization or requires the Company to pay significant amounts to any national trust or otherwise, such legislation could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, results of operations and financial condition. As a result of the Company's significant liability and funding exposure for asbestos claims, there can be no assurance that if it were to incur any unforecasted or unexpected liability or disruption to its business or operations it would be able to withstand that liability or disruption and continue as an operating company.

For further information regarding the Company's asbestos liability, insurance coverage and strategy to resolve its asbestos liability, please see Notes 1 and 17 of Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, which are included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006, and Notes 1 and 6 of Notes to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

The American Stock Exchange has notified Congoleum that it does not meet the minimum income and stockholders' equity requirements for continued listing of its Class A Common Stock.

In April 2006, Congoleum received a letter from the American Stock Exchange (the "Amex") indicating that Congoleum is not in compliance with Section 1003(a)(i) of the Amex Company Guide, with stockholders' equity of less than \$2,000,000 and losses from continuing operations and/or net losses in two of its three most recent fiscal years; and Section 1003(a)(ii) of the Amex Company Guide, with stockholders' equity of less than \$4,000,000 and losses from continuing operations and/or net losses in three of its four most recent fiscal years. The letter also stated that the Company must submit a plan by May 2006 advising the Amex of actions it has

taken or will take to achieve compliance with the continued listing standards within eighteen months of receipt of the letter, and that this plan must be approved by the Amex, for Congoleum to maintain

38

its listing. Congoleum submitted such a plan which was accepted by the Amex, who will continue to monitor Congoleum's progress toward compliance. There can be no assurance that Congoleum will be able to achieve such compliance, or that the Amex will remain satisfied with Congoleum's progress toward compliance. If Congoleum's Class A common stock is delisted on the Amex, it may have an adverse impact on the price and liquidity of the Company's Class A common stock.

The Company may incur substantial liability for environmental, product and general liability claims in addition to asbestos-related claims, and its insurance coverage and its likely recoverable insurance proceeds may be substantially less than the liability incurred by the Company for these claims.

Environmental Liabilities. Due to the nature of the Company's business and certain of the substances which are or have been used, produced or discharged by the Company, the Company's operations are subject to extensive federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to the generation, storage, disposal, handling, emission, transportation and discharge into the environment of hazardous substances. The Company has historically expended substantial amounts for compliance with existing environmental laws or regulations, including environmental remediation costs at both third-party sites and Company-owned sites. The Company will continue to be required to expend amounts in the future for costs related to prior activities at its facilities and third party sites, and for ongoing costs to comply with existing environmental laws and such amounts may be substantial. There is no certainty that these amounts will not have a material adverse effect on its business, results of operations and financial condition because, as a result of environmental requirements becoming increasingly strict, the Company is unable to determine the ultimate cost of compliance with environmental laws and enforcement policies. Moreover, in addition to potentially having to pay substantial amounts for compliance, future environmental laws or regulations may require or cause the Company to modify or curtail its operations, which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, results of operations and financial condition.

Product and General Liabilities. In the ordinary course of its business, the Company becomes involved in lawsuits, administrative proceedings, product liability claims (in addition to asbestos-related claims) and other matters. In some of these proceedings, plaintiffs may seek to recover large and sometimes unspecified amounts and the matters may remain unresolved for several years. These matters could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, results of operations and financial condition if the Company is unable to successfully defend against or settle these matters, its insurance coverage is insufficient to satisfy unfavorable judgments or settlements relating to these matters, or the Company is unable to collect insurance proceeds relating to these matters.

39

The Company is dependent upon a continuous supply of raw materials from third party suppliers and would be harmed if there were a significant, prolonged disruption in supply or increase in its raw material costs.

The Company's business is dependent upon a continuous supply of raw materials from third party suppliers. The principal raw materials used by the Company in its manufacture of sheet and tile flooring are vinyl resins, plasticizers, latex, limestone, stabilizers, cellulose paper fibers, urethane and transfer print film. The Company purchases most of these raw materials from multiple sources. Although the Company has historically been able to obtain its requirements for these materials, it has occasionally experienced significant price increases for some of these materials, and has periodically needed to find new sources for cost, quality or sufficiency of supply. Raw material prices in 2004 and 2005 increased significantly, and supplies of certain materials, particularly vinyl resins, remained tight in the first half of 2006 due to several factors, including the effect of hurricanes in 2005. Although the Company has been able to obtain sufficient supplies of specialty resins and other raw materials, there can be no assurances that it may not experience difficulty in the future, particularly if global supply conditions deteriorate, which could have a material adverse effect on profit margins.

The Company believes that suitable alternative suppliers are generally available for substantially all of its raw material requirements, although quantities of certain materials available from alternative suppliers may be in limited supply and production trials may be required to qualify new materials for use. The Company does not have readily available alternative sources of supply for specific designs of transfer print film, which are produced utilizing print cylinders engraved to the Company's specifications. Although no loss of this source of supply is anticipated, replacement could take a considerable period of time and interrupt production of some of the Company's products. In an attempt to protect against this risk of loss of supply, the Company maintains a raw material inventory and continually seeks to develop new sources which will provide continuity of supply for its raw material requirements. However, there is no certainty that the Company's maintenance of its raw material inventory or its ongoing efforts to develop new sources of supply would be successful in avoiding a material adverse effect on its business, results of operations and financial condition if it were to realize an extended interruption in the supply of its raw materials.

In addition, the Company could incur significant increases in the costs of its raw materials. Although the Company generally attempts to pass on increases in the costs of its raw materials to its customers, the Company's ability to do so is, to a large extent, dependent upon the rate and magnitude of any increase, competitive pressures and market conditions for its products. There have been in the past, and may be in the future, periods of time during which increases in these costs cannot be recovered. During those periods of time, there could be a material adverse effect on the Company's business, results of operations and financial condition.

The Company operates in a highly competitive flooring industry and some of its competitor have greater resources and broader distribution channels than the Company.

The market for the Company's products is highly competitive. The Company encounters competition from three other manufacturers in North America and, to a lesser extent, foreign manufacturers. Some of the Company's competitors have greater financial and other resources and access to capital than the Company. Furthermore, one of the Company's major competitors has successfully confirmed a plan of reorganization under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Having shed much of its pre-filing asbestos and other liabilities, that competitor may have a competitive cost advantage over the Company. In addition, in order to maintain its competitive position, the Company may need to make substantial investments in its business, including its product development, manufacturing facilities, distribution network and sales and marketing activities. Competitive pressures may also result in decreased demand for the Company's products and in the loss of the Company's market share for its products. Moreover, due to the competitive nature of the Company's industry, the Company may be commercially restricted from raising or even maintaining the sales prices of its products, which could result in the Company incurring significant operating losses if its expenses were to increase or otherwise represent an increased percentage of the Company's sales.

The Company's business is subject to general economic conditions and conditions specific to the remodeling and housing industries.

The Company is subject to the effects of general economic conditions. A sustained general economic slowdown could have serious negative consequences for the Company's business, results of operations and financial condition. Moreover, the Company's business is cyclical and is affected by the economic factors that affect the remodeling and housing industries in general and the manufactured housing industry specifically, including the availability of credit, consumer confidence, changes in interest rates, market demand and general economic conditions. The Company has experienced a significant decline in sales as a result of weakness in the housing market. The Company may experience further sales declines resulting from this weakness, which may be compounded by contraction in the subprime lending industry.

41

The Company could realize shipment delays, depletion of inventory and increased production costs resulting from unexpected disruptions of operations at any of the Company's facilities.

The Company's business depends upon its ability to timely manufacture and deliver products that meet the needs of its customers and the end users of the Company's products. If the Company were to realize an unexpected, significant and prolonged disruption of its operations at any of its facilities, including disruptions in its manufacturing operations, it could result in shipment delays of its products, depletion of its inventory as a result of reduced production and increased production costs as a result of taking actions in an attempt to cure the disruption or carry on its business while the disruption remains. Any resulting

delay, depletion or increased production cost could result in increased costs, lower revenues and damaged customer and product end user relations, which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, results of operations and financial condition

The Company offers limited warranties on its products which could result in the Company incurring significant costs as a result of warranty claims.

The Company offers a limited warranty on all of its products against manufacturing defects. In addition, as a part of its efforts to differentiate mid- and high-end products through color, design and other attributes, the Company offers enhanced warranties with respect to wear, moisture discoloration and other performance characteristics, which generally increase with the price of such products. If the Company were to incur a significant number of warranty claims, the resulting warranty costs could be substantial

The Company is heavily dependent upon its distributors to sell the Company's products and the loss of a major distributor of the Company could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, results of operations and financial condition.

The Company currently sells its products through approximately 13 distributors providing approximately 74 distribution points in the United States and Canada, as well as directly to a limited number of mass market retailers. The Company considers its distribution network very important to maintaining its competitive position. Although the Company has more than one distributor in some of its distribution territories and actively manages its credit exposure to its distributors, the loss of a major distributor could have a materially adverse impact on the Company's business, results of operations and financial condition. The Company derives a significant percentage of its sales from two of its distributors, LaSalle-Bristol Corporation and Mohawk Industries, Inc. LaSalle-Bristol Corporation serves as the Company's manufactured housing market distributor, and Mohawk Industries, Inc. serves as its retail market distributor. These two distributors accounted for 65% and 67% of the Company's net sales for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

42

Stockholder votes are controlled by ABI; Congoleum's interests may not be the same as ABI's interests.

ABI owns a majority (approximately 55% as of December 31, 2006) of the outstanding shares of the Company's common stock, representing a 69.4% voting interest. As a result, ABI can elect all of the Company's directors and can control the vote on all matters, including determinations such as: approval of mergers or other business combinations, sales of all or substantially all of the Company's assets, any matters submitted to a vote of the Company's stockholders, issuance of any additional common stock or other equity securities, incurrence of debt other than in the ordinary course of business, the selection and tenure of the Company's Chief Executive Officer, payment of dividends with respect to common stock or other equity securities, and other matters that might be favorable to ABI. ABI's ability to prevent an unsolicited bid for Congoleum or any other change in control could have an adverse effect on the market price for the Company's common stock. In

addition, certain officers of Congoleum are officers of ABI and members of the family group that owns a controlling interest in ABI.

Possible future sales of shares by ABI could adversely affect the market for Congoleum's stock.

ABI may sell shares of the Company's common stock in compliance with the federal securities laws. By virtue of ABI's current control of Congoleum, ABI could sell large amounts of shares of the Company's common stock by causing the Company to file a registration statement that would allow them to sell shares more easily. In addition, ABI could sell shares of the Company's common stock without registration. Although the Company can make no prediction as to the effect, if any, that such sales would have on the market price of the Company's common stock, sales of substantial amounts of the Company's common stock, or the perception that such sales could occur, could adversely affect the market price of the Company's common stock. If ABI sells or transfers shares of the Company's common stock as a block, another person or entity could become the Company's controlling stockholder.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds: None

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities:

The commencement of the Chapter 11 proceedings constituted an event of default under the indenture governing the Company's Senior Notes. In addition, due to the Chapter 11 proceedings, the Company was precluded from making the interest payments due February 1, 2004, August 1, 2004, February 1, 2005, August 1, 2005, February 1, 2006, August 1, 2006 and February 1, 2007, on the Senior Notes. The aggregate amount of accrued interest that was not paid on the Senior

43

Notes on those dates is approximately \$34.6 million. As of March 31, 2007, the principal amount of the Senior Notes, net of unamortized original issue discount, was \$99.8 million. These amounts, which include \$4.3 million of accrued interest on the interest due but not paid from February 1, 2004, August 1, 2004, February 1, 2005, August 1, 2005, February 1, 2006, August 1, 2006 and February 1, 2007, are included in "Liabilities Subject to Compromise." (See Note 8 of the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.)

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders: None.

Item 5. Other Information: None

Item 6. Exhibits:

Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Exhibits
31.1	Rule $13a-14(a) / 15d-14(a)$ Certification of Chief Executive Officer
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a) / 15d-14(a) Certification of Chief

Financial Officer

- 32.1 Section 1350 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer.
- 32.2 Section 1350 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer.

44

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

CONGOLEUM CORPORATION (Registrant)

Date: May 11, 2007 By: /s/ Howard N. Feist III

Howard N. Feist III Chief Financial Officer (Duly Authorized Officer and

Principal Financial & Accounting Officer)

45

Exhibit Index

Exhibit Number	Exhibits
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a) / 15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a) / 15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer
32.1	Section 1350 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer.
32.2	Section 1350 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer.