

CHIPOTLE MEXICAN GRILL INC
Form DEF 14A
April 09, 2012

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

(Rule 14a-101)

INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No. __)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

CHIPOTLE MEXICAN GRILL, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

Edgar Filing: CHIPOTLE MEXICAN GRILL INC - Form DEF 14A

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

.. Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

.. Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc.

1401 Wynkoop Street, Suite 500

Denver, CO 80202

April 9, 2012

DEAR SHAREHOLDER:

You are cordially invited to attend the annual meeting of shareholders of Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc., which will be held on May 31, 2012 at 8:00 a.m. local time at The Westin Denver Downtown, 1672 Lawrence Street, Denver, Colorado. Details of the business to be conducted at the annual meeting are given in the notice of meeting and proxy statement that follow.

Please vote promptly by following the instructions in this proxy statement or in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials that was mailed to you.

Sincerely,

/s/ Steve Ells
Chairman of the Board and Co-Chief Executive Officer

NOTICE OF MEETING

The 2012 annual meeting of shareholders of Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc. will be held on May 31, 2012 at 8:00 a.m. local time at The Westin Denver Downtown, 1672 Lawrence Street, Denver, Colorado, 80202. Shareholders will consider and take action on the following matters:

1. Election of the two directors named in this proxy statement, Steve Ells and Pat Flynn, each to serve a three-year term (Proposal A);
 2. An advisory vote to approve the compensation of our executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement (or say-on-pay, Proposal B);
 3. Ratification of the selection of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2012 (Proposal C);
 4. A shareholder proposal, if properly presented at the meeting (Proposal D); and
 5. Such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournments or postponements of the meeting.
- Information with respect to the above matters is set forth in the proxy statement that accompanies this notice.

The record date for the meeting has been fixed by the Board of Directors as the close of business on April 2, 2012. Shareholders of record at that time are entitled to vote at the meeting.

By order of the Board of Directors

/s/ Monty Moran
Co-Chief Executive Officer, Secretary and Director

April 9, 2012

Please execute your vote promptly by following the instructions included on the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials that was provided to you, or as described under "How do I vote?" on page 1 of the accompanying proxy statement.

CHIPOTLE MEXICAN GRILL, INC.

1401 Wynkoop Street, Suite 500

Denver, CO 80202

PROXY STATEMENT

ANNUAL MEETING INFORMATION

This proxy statement contains information related to the annual meeting of shareholders of Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc. to be held on Thursday, May 31, 2012, beginning at 8:00 a.m. at The Westin Denver Downtown, 1672 Lawrence Street, Denver, Colorado. This proxy statement was prepared under the direction of Chipotle's Board of Directors to solicit your proxy for use at the annual meeting. It will be made available to shareholders on or about April 9, 2012.

Who is entitled to vote and how many votes do I have?

If you were a shareholder of record of our common stock on April 2, 2012, you are entitled to vote at the annual meeting, or at any postponement or adjournment of the annual meeting. On each matter to be voted on, you may cast one vote for each share of common stock you hold. As of April 2, 2012 there were 31,681,713 shares of common stock outstanding and entitled to vote.

What am I voting on?

You will be asked to vote on four proposals:

- Proposal A** Election of two directors: Steve Ells and Patrick J. Flynn.
- Proposal B** An advisory vote to approve the compensation of our executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement (say-on-pay).
- Proposal C** Ratification of the selection of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2012.
- Proposal D** A shareholder proposal, if properly presented at the meeting.

The Board of Directors is not aware of any other matters to be presented for action at the meeting.

How does the Board of Directors recommend I vote on the proposals?

The Board of Directors recommends a vote **FOR** each candidate for director, **FOR** proposals B and C, and **AGAINST** proposal D.

How do I vote?

If you hold your shares through a broker, bank, or other nominee in street name, you need to submit voting instructions to your broker, bank or other nominee in order to cast your vote. In most instances you can do this over the Internet. The Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials that was mailed to you has specific instructions for how to submit your vote, or if you have received or request a hard copy of this proxy statement you may mark, sign, date and mail the accompanying voting instruction form in the postage-paid envelope provided. Your vote is revocable by following the procedures outlined in this proxy statement. However, since you are not a shareholder of record you may not vote your shares in person at the meeting without obtaining authorization from your broker, bank or other nominee.

If you are a shareholder of record, you can vote your shares over the Internet as described in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials that was mailed to you, or if you have received or request a hard copy of

this proxy statement and accompanying form of proxy card you may vote by telephone as described on the proxy card, or by mail by marking, signing, dating and mailing your proxy card in the postage-paid envelope provided. Your designation of a proxy is revocable by following the procedures outlined in this proxy statement. The method by which you vote will not limit your right to vote in person at the annual meeting.

If you receive hard copy materials and sign and return your proxy card without specifying choices, your shares will be voted as recommended by the Board of Directors.

Will my shares held in street name be voted if I do not provide voting instructions?

Under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, on voting matters characterized by the NYSE as routine, NYSE member firms have the discretionary authority to vote shares for which their customers do not provide voting instructions. On non-routine proposals, such as uninstructed shares may not be voted by member firms. Only the proposal to ratify the appointment of our independent registered public accounting firm is considered a routine matter for this purpose. As a result of an NYSE rule change and unlike at annual meetings prior to 2011, election of directors is not considered a routine matter. The say-on-pay vote in proposal B and the shareholder proposal in proposal D are also not considered routine matters. Accordingly, if you hold your shares through a brokerage firm and do not provide timely voting instructions, your shares will only be voted on proposal C. **Because of the impact of NYSE rules on share voting, we strongly encourage you to exercise your right to vote in the election of directors and other matters to be voted on at the annual meeting.**

Can I change my vote?

You can change your vote or revoke your proxy at any time before it is voted at the annual meeting by:

re-submitting your vote on the Internet;

if you are a shareholder of record, by sending a written notice of revocation to our corporate Secretary at our principal offices, 1401 Wynkoop Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado, 80202; or

if you are a shareholder of record, by attending the annual meeting and voting in person.

Attendance at the annual meeting will not by itself revoke your proxy. If you hold shares in street name and wish to cast your vote in person at the meeting, you must contact your broker, bank or other nominee to obtain authorization to vote.

What constitutes a quorum?

A quorum is necessary to conduct business at the annual meeting. At any meeting of our shareholders, the holders of a majority in voting power of our outstanding shares of common stock entitled to vote at the meeting, present in person or by proxy, constitutes a quorum for all purposes. You are part of the quorum if you have voted by proxy. Abstentions, broker non-votes and votes withheld from director nominees count as shares present at the meeting for purposes of determining whether a quorum exists. A broker non-vote occurs when a broker, bank or other nominee who holds shares for another does not vote on a particular item because the nominee has not received instructions from the owner of the shares and does not have discretionary voting authority for that item.

What vote is required to approve each proposal?

Proposal A The two nominees for director receiving the highest number of votes cast in person or by proxy at the annual meeting will be elected. If you mark your proxy to withhold your vote for a particular nominee on your proxy card, your vote will not count for the nominee. Broker non-votes will also not count as for any nominee.

Proposals B and D Approval of the say-on-pay vote and the shareholder proposal (if properly presented at the meeting) each require the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the annual meeting in order to be approved. Because these votes are advisory, they will not be binding on the Board or Chipotle. However, the Board will review the voting results and take them into consideration when making future decisions regarding the matters subject to the votes. Abstentions and broker non-votes are not counted as votes cast and will have no effect on the outcome of these proposals.

Proposal C Approval of the ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2012 requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the annual meeting in order to be approved. Ratification by the shareholders of our appointment of independent auditors is not required and therefore this vote is advisory only. See proposal C for additional information about the effect of the voting outcome on this proposal. Abstentions and broker non-votes are not counted as votes cast and will have no effect on the outcome of this proposal.

How is this proxy statement being delivered?

We have elected to deliver our proxy materials electronically over the Internet as permitted by rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC. As required by those rules, we are distributing to our shareholders of record and beneficial owners as of the close of business on April 2, 2012 a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials. On the date of distribution of the notice, all shareholders and beneficial owners will have the ability to access all of the proxy materials at the URL address included in the notice. These proxy materials are also available free of charge upon request at 1-800-690-6903, or by e-mail at sendmaterial@proxyvote.com, or by writing to Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc., c/o Broadridge, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, NY 11717. Requests by e-mail or in writing should include the 12-digit control number included on the notice you received.

If you would like to receive the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials via e-mail rather than regular mail in future years, please follow the instructions on the notice, or enroll on the Investors page of our web site at www.chipotle.com. Delivering future notices by e-mail will help us reduce the cost and environmental impact of our annual meeting.

Who is bearing the cost of this proxy solicitation?

We will bear the cost of preparing, assembling and mailing the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials; of making these proxy materials available on the Internet and providing hard copies of the materials to shareholders who request them; and of reimbursing brokers, nominees, fiduciaries and other custodians for the out-of-pocket and clerical expenses of transmitting copies of the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials and the proxy materials themselves to the beneficial owners of the shares. A few of our officers and employees may participate in the solicitation of proxies, without additional compensation, by telephone, e-mail or other electronic means or in person.

BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF OUR COMMON STOCK

The following tables set forth information as of April 2, 2012, as to the beneficial ownership of shares of our common stock by:

each person (or group of affiliated persons) known to us to beneficially own more than 5 percent of our common stock;

each of the executive officers listed in the Summary Compensation Table appearing later in this proxy statement;

each of our directors; and

all of our current executive officers and directors as a group.

The number of shares beneficially owned by each shareholder is determined under SEC rules and generally includes shares for which the holder has voting or investment power. The information does not necessarily indicate beneficial ownership for any other purpose. The percentage of beneficial ownership shown in the following tables is based on 31,681,713 outstanding shares of common stock as of April 2, 2012. For purposes of calculating each person's or group's percentage ownership, shares of common stock issuable pursuant to the terms of stock options, stock appreciation rights or restricted stock units exercisable or vesting within 60 days after April 2, 2012 are included as outstanding and beneficially owned for that person or group, but are not treated as outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of any other person or group.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Total Shares Beneficially Owned	Percentage of Class Beneficially Owned
<u>Beneficial holders of 5% or more of outstanding common stock</u>		
BlackRock, Inc. (1)	1,735,805	5.48%
Capital World Investors (2)	3,128,182	9.87%
FMR LLC (3)	4,297,151	13.56%
Jennison Associates LLC (4)	1,594,155	5.03%
Prudential Financial, Inc. (4)	1,637,957	5.17%
T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc. (5)	3,024,841	9.55%
The Vanguard Group, Inc. (6)	1,657,938	5.23%
<u>Directors and executive officers</u>		
Steve Ells (7)	246,802	*
Montgomery Moran (7)(8)	148,837	*
John Hartung (9)	34,930	*
Bob Blessing	6,000	*
Mark Crumpacker (10)	9,500	*
Albert Baldocchi (7)(11)(12)	89,874	*
John Charlesworth (11)	9,573	*
Neil Flanzraich (11)	2,767	*
Patrick Flynn (11)	11,275	*
Darlene Friedman (7)(11)(13)	6,775	*
All directors and executive officers as a group (10 people) (14)	566,333	1.79%

* Less than one percent (1 percent)

(1) Based solely on a report on Schedule 13G filed on February 9, 2012. The address of BlackRock, Inc. is 40 East 52nd Street, New York, New York, 10022.

- (2) Based solely on a report on Schedule 13G/A filed on February 10, 2012. The address of Capital World Investors is 333 South Hope Street, Los Angeles, California, 90071.

- (3) Based solely on a report on Schedule 13G/A filed on February 14, 2012. Various persons have the right to receive or the power to direct the receipt of dividends from, or the proceeds from the sale of, the shares of common stock reflected as beneficially owned by FMR LLC. The interest of one person, Fidelity Contrafund, an investment company registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, in the shares of common stock reflected as beneficially owned by FMR LLC amounted to 2,810,954 shares or 8.9% of the total outstanding common stock at April 2, 2012. The address of FMR LLC is 82 Devonshire Street, Boston, Massachusetts, 02109.
- (4) Based solely on reports on Schedule 13G filed by each of Jennison Associates LLC and Prudential Financial, Inc. on February 13, 2012. The address of Jennison Associates LLC is 466 Lexington Avenue, New York, New York, 10017 and the address of Prudential Financial, Inc. is 751 Broad Street, Newark, New Jersey, 07102-3777. Prudential Financial, Inc. indirectly owns 100% of equity interests of Jennison Associates LLC. As a result, Prudential may be deemed to have the power to exercise or to direct the exercise of such voting and/or dispositive power that Jennison may have with respect to the common stock held by Jennison; as such, shares reported on Jennison's 13G may be included in the shares reported on the 13G filed by Prudential.
- (5) Based solely on a report on Schedule 13G/A filed on February 13, 2012. Shares beneficially owned by T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc. (Price Associates) are owned by various individual and institutional investors which Price Associates serves as investment adviser with power to direct investments and/or sole power to vote the securities. For purposes of the reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Price Associates is deemed to be a beneficial owner of such securities; however, Price Associates expressly disclaims that it is, in fact, the beneficial owner of such securities. The address of Price Associates is 100 E. Pratt Street, Baltimore, Maryland, 21202.
- (6) Based solely on a report on Schedule 13G filed on February 10, 2012. The address of The Vanguard Group, Inc. is 100 Vanguard Blvd., Malvern, Pennsylvania, 19355.
- (7) A portion of the shares beneficially owned by Mr. Ells, Mr. Moran, Mr. Baldocchi and Ms. Friedman are entitled to piggyback registration rights.
- (8) Shares beneficially owned by Mr. Moran include 15,000 shares underlying vested stock appreciation rights with an exercise price of \$103.79 and an expiration date of February 16, 2017.
- (9) Shares beneficially owned by Mr. Hartung include: 10,681 shares jointly owned by Mr. Hartung and his spouse; 148 shares beneficially owned by his minor children; and 15,000 shares underlying vested stock appreciation rights with an exercise price of \$103.79 and an expiration date of February 16, 2017. Mr. Hartung disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares beneficially owned by his children.
- (10) Shares beneficially owned by Mr. Crumpacker include 6,500 shares underlying vested stock appreciation rights with an exercise price of \$103.79 and an expiration date of February 16, 2017.
- (11) Shares beneficially owned by Messrs. Baldocchi, Charlesworth, Flanzraich and Flynn and Ms. Friedman include 1,696 shares underlying unvested restricted stock units, which are deemed to be beneficially owned because each director is eligible for retirement and the vesting of the awards accelerates in the event of the director's retirement from the Board.
- (12) Shares beneficially owned by Mr. Baldocchi include 85,810 shares owned jointly by Mr. Baldocchi and his spouse.
- (13) Shares beneficially owned by Ms. Friedman include 4,000 shares held by a revocable trust of which Ms. Friedman is a co-trustee.
- (14) See Notes (7) through (13).

PROPOSAL A

ELECTION OF TWO DIRECTORS

Our Board of Directors has seven members divided into three classes. Each director serves a three year term and will continue in office until a successor has been elected and qualified, subject to the director's earlier resignation, retirement or removal from office. The current term of office of our Class I directors will end at this year's annual meeting of shareholders. The current term of office of our Class II directors will end at the annual meeting in 2013 and the term of our Class III directors will end at the annual meeting in 2014.

Steve Ells and Patrick J. Flynn are currently serving as Class I directors and are the nominees for election as directors to serve for a three year term expiring at the 2015 annual meeting of shareholders. Each of the nominees was nominated by the Board upon the recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, and has consented to serve if elected. If any nominee is unable to serve or will not serve for any reason, the persons designated on the accompanying form of proxy will vote for other candidates in accordance with their judgment. We are not aware of any reason why the nominees would not be able to serve if elected.

The two nominees receiving a plurality of votes cast at the meeting will be elected as Class I directors. Abstentions, withheld votes and broker non-votes will not be treated as a vote for any particular director and will not affect the outcome of the election of directors.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the election of Messrs. Ells and Flynn as Class I directors.

INFORMATION REGARDING THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS
Biographical Information

The following is biographical information about each of the two nominees and each other current director, including a description of the experience, qualifications and skills that have led the Board to determine that each director should serve on the Board. The respective current terms of all directors expire on the dates set forth below or until their successors are elected and have qualified.

Class I directors whose terms expire at the 2012**annual meeting of shareholders and who are nominees**

for terms expiring at the 2015 annual meeting		Age	Director Since
Steve Ells	Mr. Ells founded Chipotle in 1993. He is Co-Chief Executive Officer and was appointed Chairman of the Board in 2005. Prior to launching Chipotle, Mr. Ells worked for two years at Stars restaurant in San Francisco. Mr. Ells's vision that food served fast doesn't have to be low quality and that delicious food doesn't have to be expensive is the foundation on which Chipotle is based. This visionary thinking has led to Chipotle accomplishing great things, such as growing from a single restaurant to over 1,200 in just 19 years, and serving more naturally-raised meat than any other restaurant company. This thinking has also resulted in Mr. Ells remaining a principal driving force behind making our company innovative and striving for constant improvement, and he continues to provide important leadership to our executive officers, management team, and Board of Directors. He is also one of the largest individual shareholders of our company. Mr. Ells graduated from the University of Colorado with a Bachelor of Arts degree in art history, and is also a 1990 Culinary Institute of America graduate.	46	1996
Patrick J. Flynn	Prior to retiring in 2001, Mr. Flynn spent 39 years at McDonald's where he held a variety of executive and management positions, most recently as Executive Vice President responsible for strategic planning and acquisitions. From his background as a senior-level restaurant industry executive, Mr. Flynn developed strong capabilities in guiding corporate strategy, and tremendous knowledge of the operational aspects of the restaurant business as well. In addition, Mr. Flynn's past experience as a director of a publicly-held financial institution, and his background in analyzing financial statements of businesses he has led and companies he has considered for acquisition, have given him strong financial analysis skills.	69	1998

**Class II directors whose terms expire at the 2013
annual meeting of shareholders**

Albert S. Baldocchi

Mr. Baldocchi has been self-employed since 2000 as a financial consultant and strategic advisor for and investor in a variety of privately-held companies. His extensive involvement with restaurant companies over a period of 17 years has given Mr. Baldocchi an in-depth knowledge of restaurant company finance, operations and strategy. He also has considerable experience with high-growth companies in the restaurant industry and in other industries, and his experience as a senior investment banker at a number of prominent institutions, including Morgan Stanley, Solomon Brothers and Montgomery Securities, helped him develop solid capabilities in accounting and finance as well. Mr. Baldocchi holds a Bachelor of Science degree in chemical engineering from the University of California at Berkeley and an MBA from Stanford University.

Age
58Director
Since
1997

Neil W. Flanzraich

Mr. Flanzraich has been a private investor since February 2006, and as an executive board member also runs a number of privately-owned pharmaceutical companies. From 1998 through its sale in January 2006 to TEVA Pharmaceuticals Industries, Ltd., he served as Vice Chairman and President of IVAX Corporation, an international pharmaceutical company. From 1995 to 1998, Mr. Flanzraich served as Chairman of the Life Sciences Legal Practice Group of Heller Ehrman LLP, a law firm, and from 1981 to 1994, served in various capacities at Syntex Corporation, a pharmaceutical company. Mr. Flanzraich's executive experience has helped him develop outstanding skills in leading and managing strong teams of employees, and in oversight of the growth and financing of businesses in a rapidly-evolving market. His legal background also is valuable to us in the risk management area, and Mr. Flanzraich brings to us extensive experience serving as an independent director of other public and privately-held companies. He is a director of Equity One Inc. (NYSE:EQY) and BELLUS Health Inc. (TSX:BLUS). Mr. Flanzraich was a director of Continucare Corporation until October 2011, a director of Javelin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. until July 2010, and a director of RAE Systems, Inc. until March 2009. Mr. Flanzraich received an A.B. from Harvard College and a J.D. from Harvard Law School.

68

2007

Class II directors whose terms expire at the 2013 annual meeting of shareholders (cont d)

Darlene J. Friedman

Prior to retiring in 1995, Ms. Friedman spent 19 years at Syntex Corporation where she held a variety of management positions, most recently as Senior Vice President of Human Resources. While at Syntex, Ms. Friedman was a member of the corporate executive committee and the management committee, and was responsible for the analysis, recommendation and administration of the company's executive compensation programs and worked directly with the compensation committee of Syntex's board. This experience and Ms. Friedman's talent in these areas are invaluable in connection with her service as a director and as a member of our Compensation Committee. Ms. Friedman holds a Bachelor of Arts degree in psychology from the University of California at Berkeley and an MBA from the University of Colorado.

Age
68

Director Since
1995

Class III directors whose terms expire at the 2014 annual meeting of shareholders

John S. Charlesworth

Mr. Charlesworth is currently the sole owner/member of Hunt Business Enterprises LLC and EZ Street LLC, which own commercial properties and own and operate car care facilities. Before retiring in 2000, Mr. Charlesworth worked for McDonald's for 26 years, most recently as President of the Midwest Division of McDonald's USA from July 1997 to December 2000. Prior to that, he served as a Senior Vice President in Southeast Asia from April 1995 to July 1997. His international experience included strategic planning and risk assessment for the growth and development of McDonald's across Southeast Asia, as well as serving as the McDonald's partner representative to seven Southeast Asian joint ventures. His experience with McDonald's included responsibility for managing a large and diverse employee workforce similar in many ways to Chipotle's, and also gave him a detailed knowledge of restaurant operations, site selection and related matters. He also has developed strong financial acumen through his experience at McDonald's as well as running his own business interests. He holds a Bachelor of Science degree in business, majoring in economics, from Virginia Polytechnic Institute.

Age
65

Director Since
1999

Class III directors whose terms expire at the 2014 annual meeting of shareholders (cont d)

Montgomery F. (Monty) Moran

Mr. Moran is our Co-Chief Executive Officer. He was appointed to this position on January 1, 2009, after serving as President and Chief Operating Officer since March 2005. Mr. Moran previously served as chief executive officer of the Denver law firm Messner & Reeves, LLC, where he was employed since 1996, and as general counsel of Chipotle. His experience as our general counsel from the time we had only a few restaurants through our growth to several hundred restaurants at the time he joined us, has given him an in-depth knowledge and understanding of every aspect of our business. His legal experience ran from trial and employment matters to real estate and other transactional matters, as well as general corporate counseling. As a result he has an outstanding skill set in such areas as risk management and crisis handling, and also is thoroughly familiar with management personnel throughout our organization. In addition, Mr. Moran was the visionary and creator of our Restaurateur program and other aspects of instilling a culture of high performers throughout Chipotle, and his leadership in this area has been critical to our success. Mr. Moran holds a Bachelor of Arts degree in communications from the University of Colorado and a J.D., cum laude, from Pepperdine University School of Law.

Age
45Director
Since
2006

The Board of Directors held four meetings in 2011 and acted by written consent two times. All directors attended at least 75 percent of the meetings of the Board and of committees of which they were members during 2011. The Board has requested that each member of the Board attend our annual shareholder meetings absent extenuating circumstances, and all directors attended the 2011 annual meeting of shareholders.

A Majority of our Board Members are Independent

Our Board of Directors, under direction of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, reviews the independence of our directors to determine whether any relationships, transactions or arrangements involving any director or any family member or affiliate of a director may be deemed to compromise the director's independence from us, including under the independence standards contained in the rules of the NYSE. Based on that review, in March 2012 the Board determined that none of our directors have any relationships, transactions or arrangements that would compromise their independence, except Messrs. Eells and Moran, our Co-Chief Executive Officers. In particular, the Board determined that the registration rights granted to Mr. Baldocchi and Ms. Friedman, as described below under Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions, and payments of \$750 per semester to Mr. Flanzraich's son, a college student, as part of a marketing program we maintain on college campuses throughout the country, do not constitute relationships that would create material conflicts of interest or otherwise compromise the independence of Messrs. Baldocchi or Flanzraich or Ms. Friedman in attending to their duties as directors. Accordingly, the Board concluded that each director other than Messrs. Eells and Moran qualifies as an independent director.

Committees of the Board

Our Board of Directors has three standing committees: (1) the Audit Committee, (2) the Compensation Committee, and (3) the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, each composed entirely of persons the Board has determined to be independent as described above, and for members of the Audit Committee, under the definition included in SEC Rule 10A-3(b)(1). Each committee operates pursuant to a written charter adopted by our Board of Directors which sets forth the committee's role and responsibilities and provides for an annual evaluation of its performance. The charters of all three standing committees are available on the Investors page of our corporate website at www.chipotle.com under the Corporate Governance link.

Audit Committee

In accordance with its charter, the Audit Committee acts to oversee the integrity of our financial statements and system of internal controls, the annual independent audit of our financial statements, the performance of our internal audit services function, our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, the implementation and effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, and the evaluation and management of risk issues, and also acts to ensure open lines of communication among our independent auditors, accountants, internal audit and financial management. The committee's responsibilities also include review of the qualifications, independence and performance of the independent auditors, who report directly to the Audit Committee. The committee retains, determines the compensation of, evaluates, and when appropriate replaces our independent auditors and pre-approves audit and permitted non-audit services provided by our independent auditors. The Audit Committee has adopted the *Policy Relating to Pre-Approval of Audit and Permitted Non-Audit Services* under which audit and non-audit services to be provided to us by our independent auditors are pre-approved. This policy is summarized on page 18 of this proxy statement.

The Audit Committee is required to establish procedures to handle complaints received regarding our accounting, internal controls or auditing matters. It is also required to ensure the confidentiality of employees who have provided information or expressed concern regarding questionable accounting or auditing practices. The committee also fulfills the oversight function of the Board with respect to risk management, as described under *Corporate Governance Role of the Board of Directors in Risk Oversight*. The committee may retain independent advisors at our expense that it considers necessary for the completion of its duties.

The Audit Committee held nine meetings in 2011. The members of the Audit Committee are Messrs. Baldocchi (Chairperson), Charlesworth and Flanzraich. Our Board of Directors has determined that all of the Audit Committee members meet the enhanced independence requirements required of audit committee members by regulations of the SEC, and are financially literate as defined in the listing standards of the NYSE. The Board has further determined that Mr. Baldocchi qualifies as an *Audit Committee Financial Expert* as defined in SEC regulations.

No member of the Audit Committee served on more than three audit or similar committees of publicly held companies, including Chipotle, in 2011. A report of the Audit Committee is found under the heading *Audit Committee Report* on page 20.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee oversees our executive compensation policies and programs. In accordance with its charter, the committee determines the compensation of our Co-Chief Executive Officers based on an evaluation of their performance, and approves the compensation level of our other executive officers following an evaluation of their performance and recommendation by the Co-Chief Executive Officers. The manner in which the committee makes determinations as to the compensation of our executive officers is described in more detail below under *Executive Officers and Compensation Compensation Discussion and Analysis Overview of Executive Compensation Determinations*.

The Compensation Committee charter also grants the committee the authority to: review and make recommendations to the Board with respect to the establishment of any new incentive compensation and equity-based plans; review and approve the terms of written employment agreements and post-service arrangements for executive officers; review our compensation programs generally to confirm that those plans provide reasonable benefits to us; recommend compensation to be paid to our outside directors; review disclosures to be filed with the SEC and distributed to our shareholders regarding executive compensation and recommend to the Board the filing of such disclosures; assist the Board with its functions relating to our compensation and benefits programs generally; and other administrative matters with regard to our compensation programs and policies. The committee may delegate any of its responsibilities to a subcommittee comprised of one or more members of the committee, except where such delegation is not allowed by legal or regulatory requirements.

The Compensation Committee has also been appointed by the Board to administer our 2011 Stock Incentive Plan and to make awards under the plan, including as described below under *Executive Officers and Compensation Compensation Discussion and Analysis Components of Compensation Long-Term Incentives*. The committee has in some years, including 2011, delegated its authority under the plan to our executive officers to make grants to non-executive officer level employees, within limitations specified by the committee in its delegation of authority.

The Compensation Committee retains outside executive compensation consulting firms to provide the committee with advice regarding compensation matters and to conduct an annual review of our executive compensation programs. For 2011 the committee worked with Compensation Strategies, Inc. on executive compensation matters. Compensation Strategies also occasionally works with our senior human resources staff to provide us with advice on the design of our company-wide compensation programs and policies and other matters relating to compensation, in addition to working with the committee on executive compensation matters. All of the fees paid to Compensation Strategies during 2011 were in connection with the firm's work on executive compensation matters on behalf of the committee. Compensation Strategies was retained pursuant to an engagement letter with the Compensation Committee, and the committee considers the firm to have sufficient independence from our company and executive officers to allow it to offer objective advice.

The Compensation Committee held five meetings in 2011 and acted by written consent three times. A report of the committee is found under the heading *Executive Officers and Compensation Compensation Discussion and Analysis Compensation Committee Report* on page 36.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

The members of our Compensation Committee are Ms. Friedman (Chairperson) and Mr. Flynn. There are no relationships between the members of the committee and our executive officers of the type contemplated in the SEC's rules requiring disclosure of compensation committee interlocks. Neither member of the committee is our employee and neither member has ever been an officer of our company. The Board has determined that each of them qualifies as a Non-Employee Director under SEC Rule 16b-3 and as an Outside Director under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. Neither member of the committee nor any organization of which either member of the committee is an officer or director received any payments from us during 2011, other than the payments disclosed under *Compensation of Directors* below. See *Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions* for a description of agreements we have entered into with members of the committee.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

The responsibilities of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee include reviewing, at least annually, the adequacy of our corporate governance principles and recommending to the Board any changes to such principles as deemed appropriate, and recommending to the Board appropriate guidelines and criteria to determine the qualifications to serve and continue to serve as a director. The Nominating and Corporate

Governance Committee identifies and reviews the qualifications of, and recommends to the Board, (i) individuals to be nominated by the Board for election to the Board by our shareholders at each annual meeting, (ii) individuals to be nominated and elected to fill any vacancy on the Board which occurs for any reason (including increasing the size of the Board) and (iii) appointments to committees of the Board.

The committee, at least annually, reviews the size, composition and organization of the Board and its committees and recommends any policies, changes or other action it deems necessary or appropriate, including recommendations to the Board regarding retirement age, resignation or removal of a director, independence requirements, frequency of Board meetings and terms of directors. A number of these matters are covered in our Corporate Governance Guidelines, which the committee also reviews at least annually. The committee also reviews the nomination by our shareholders of candidates for election to the Board if such nominations are within the time limits and meet other requirements established by our bylaws. The committee oversees the annual evaluation of the performance of the Board and its committees and reviews and makes recommendations regarding succession plans for positions held by executive officers.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee held three meetings in 2011. The members of the committee are Mr. Flynn (Chairperson) and Ms. Friedman.

Director Compensation

Directors who are also employees of Chipotle do not receive compensation for their services as directors. Directors who are not employees of Chipotle receive an annual retainer of \$135,000, of which \$50,000 is paid in cash and \$85,000 is paid in restricted stock units representing shares of our common stock, based on the closing price of the stock on the grant date, which is the date of our annual shareholders meeting each year. Each director who is not an employee of Chipotle also receives a \$2,000 cash payment for each meeting of the Board of Directors he or she attends and \$1,500 for each meeting of a committee of the Board of Directors he or she attends (\$750 in the case of telephonic attendance at an in-person committee meeting). Annual cash retainers are paid to the chairperson of each committee of the Board of Directors as follows: \$20,000 for the Audit Committee Chairperson, \$10,000 for the Compensation Committee Chairperson, \$7,500 for the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Chairperson, and \$3,000 for the chairperson of any other committee established by the Board of Directors unless otherwise specified by the Board. Directors are also reimbursed for expenses incurred in connection with their service as directors, including travel expenses for meetings. We have also adopted a requirement that each non-employee director is expected to own Chipotle common stock with a market value of five times the annual cash retainer within five years of the director's appointment or election to the Board. All directors met this requirement as of December 31, 2011. Unvested restricted stock units received as compensation for Board service count as shares owned for purposes of this requirement.

The compensation of each of our independent directors in 2011 is set forth below.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash	Stock Awards (1)	Total
Albert S. Baldocchi	\$ 91,500	\$ 85,233	\$ 176,733
John S. Charlesworth	\$ 71,500	\$ 85,233	\$ 156,733
Neil W. Flanzraich	\$ 71,500	\$ 85,233	\$ 156,733
Patrick J. Flynn	\$ 77,500	\$ 85,233	\$ 162,733
Darlene J. Friedman	\$ 80,000	\$ 85,233	\$ 165,233

- (1) Reflects the grant date fair value under FASB Topic 718 of restricted stock units representing 300 shares of common stock, granted to each non-employee director on May 25, 2011. The restricted stock units were valued at \$284.11 per share, the closing price of our common stock on the grant date, and vest on the third anniversary of the grant date subject to the director's continued service as a director through that date. Vesting accelerates in the event of the retirement of a director who has served for a total of six years

(including any breaks in service), or in the event the director leaves the Board following certain changes in control of Chipotle. Directors may elect in advance to defer receipt upon vesting of the shares underlying the restricted stock units. Each director held 1,696 unvested restricted stock units as of December 31, 2011.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Our Board of Directors has adopted a number of policies to support our values and provide for good corporate governance, including our Corporate Governance Guidelines, which set forth our principles of corporate governance; our Board committee charters; the Chipotle Mexican Grill Code of Conduct, which applies to all Chipotle officers, directors and employees; and separate Codes of Ethics for our directors, our Co-Chief Executive Officers and our Chief Financial Officer/principal accounting officer. The Corporate Governance Guidelines, Code of Conduct, and each of the Codes of Ethics are available on the Investors page of our corporate website at www.chipotle.com under the Corporate Governance link.

If we make any substantive amendment to, or grant a waiver from, a provision of the Code of Conduct or our Codes of Ethics that apply to our executive officers, we will satisfy the applicable SEC disclosure requirement by promptly disclosing the nature of the amendment or waiver on the Investors page of our website at www.chipotle.com under the Corporate Governance link.

Chairman of the Board

Mr. Ells, our founder and Co-Chief Executive Officer, also serves as Chairman of the Board. The Chairman of the Board presides at all meetings of the Board and exercises and performs such other powers and duties as may be periodically assigned to him in that capacity by the Board or prescribed by our bylaws. We believe it is not only appropriate but also important for Mr. Ells to serve as Chairman in addition to serving as Co-Chief Executive Officer. As the founder of our company, he has since our inception been the principal architect of our corporate strategy and vision, and continues to be a primary driving force to keep our company innovative and striving for constant improvement. The Board believes that its oversight responsibilities can be most effectively fulfilled if the Board is led by that same driving force, and also believes that it is appropriate for Mr. Ells to lead the Board due to his being one of the largest individual shareholders of our company.

Lead Director

Mr. Baldocchi has served as the Lead Director since December 2006. The Board believes that maintaining a Lead Director position held by an independent director ensures that our outside directors remain independent of management and provide objective oversight of our business and strategy. The Lead Director chairs Board meetings during any sessions conducted as executive sessions without employee members of management being present, and also consults with the Chairman, the Co-Chief Executive Officers and the Chief Financial Officer on business issues and with the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee on Board management. Mr. Baldocchi's term as Lead Director was renewed in December 2009 by all of the independent directors, upon recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

How to Contact the Board of Directors

Any shareholder or other interested party may contact the Board of Directors, including the Lead Director or the non-employee directors as a group, or any individual director or directors, by writing to the intended recipient(s) in care of Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc., 1401 Wynkoop Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado, 80202, Attention: Corporate Secretary. Any communication to report potential issues regarding accounting, internal controls and other auditing matters will be directed to the Audit Committee. Our corporate Secretary or general counsel will review and sort communications before forwarding them to the addressee(s), although communications that do not, in the opinion of the Secretary or our general counsel, deal with the functions of the Board or a committee or do not otherwise warrant the attention of the addressees may not be forwarded.

Executive Sessions

Non-management directors met in executive session without management at the end of each regularly-scheduled Board meeting during 2011. Mr. Baldocchi, as Lead Director, chaired the non-employee executive sessions of the Board held during 2011. The Board expects to conduct an executive session limited to non-employee Board members at each regularly-scheduled Board meeting during 2012, and independent directors may schedule additional sessions in their discretion.

At regularly-scheduled meetings of the Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, executive sessions are generally held at the end of each meeting, with only the committee members or the committee members and their advisors present, to discuss any topics the committee members deem necessary or appropriate.

Director Nomination Process

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for establishing criteria for nominees to serve on our Board, screening candidates, and recommending for approval by the full Board candidates for vacant Board positions and for election at each annual meeting of shareholders. The committee's policies and procedures for consideration of Board candidates are described below. Messrs. Ells and Flynn, the nominees for election as directors at this year's annual meeting, were recommended to the Board as nominees by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

The committee considers candidates suggested by its members, other directors, senior management and shareholders. The committee is authorized under its charter to retain, at our expense, search firms, consultants, and any other advisors it may deem appropriate to identify and screen potential candidates. The committee may also retain a search firm to evaluate and perform background reviews on director candidates, including those recommended by shareholders. Any advisors retained by the committee will report directly to the committee.

Candidate Qualifications and Considerations

The committee seeks to identify candidates of high integrity who have a strong record of accomplishment and who display the independence of mind and strength of character necessary to make an effective contribution to the Board and to represent the interests of all shareholders. Candidates are selected for their ability to exercise good judgment and to provide practical insights and diverse perspectives. In addition to considering the Board's and Chipotle's needs at the time a particular candidate is being considered, the committee considers candidates in light of the entirety of their credentials, including:

Their integrity and business ethics;

Their strength of character and judgment;

Their ability and willingness to devote sufficient time to Board duties;

Their potential contribution to the diversity and culture of the Board;

Their educational background;

Their business and professional achievements and experience and industry background, particularly in light of our principal business and strategies;

Their independence from management, including under requirements of applicable law and listing standards; and

Edgar Filing: CHIPOTLE MEXICAN GRILL INC - Form DEF 14A

Relevant provisions of our Corporate Governance Guidelines.

These factors may be weighted differently depending on the individual being considered or the needs of the Board at the time. We do not have a particular policy regarding the diversity of nominees or Board members;

rather, the Nominating and Governance Committee believes that diversity (whether based on factors commonly associated with diversity such as race, gender, national origin, religion or sexual orientation or identity, or on broader principles such as diversity of perspective and experience) is one of many elements to be considered in evaluating a particular candidate.

Consideration of Shareholder-Recommended Candidates and Procedure for Shareholder Nominations

Shareholders wishing to recommend candidates for consideration by the committee must submit to our corporate Secretary the following information: a recommendation identifying the candidate, including the candidate's contact information; a detailed resume of the candidate and an autobiographical statement explaining the candidate's interest in serving on our Board; and a statement of whether the candidate meets applicable law and listing requirements pertaining to director independence. Candidates recommended by shareholders for consideration will be evaluated in the same manner as any other candidates, as described below under Candidate Evaluation Process, and in view of the qualifications and factors identified above under Candidate Qualifications and Considerations.

Under our bylaws, shareholders may also nominate candidates for election as a director at our annual meeting. To do so, a shareholder must comply with the provisions of our bylaws regarding shareholder nomination of directors, including compliance with the deadlines described under Other Business and Miscellaneous Shareholder Proposals and Nominations for 2012 Annual Meeting Bylaw Requirements for Shareholder Submission of Nominations and Proposals on page 47.

Candidate Evaluation Process

The committee initially evaluates candidates in view of the qualifications and factors identified above under Candidate Qualifications and Considerations, and in doing so may consult with the Chairman, the Lead Director, other directors, senior management or outside advisors regarding a particular candidate. The committee also takes into account the results of recent Board and Board committee self-evaluations and the current size and composition of the Board, including expected retirements and anticipated vacancies. In the course of this evaluation, some candidates may be eliminated from further consideration because of conflicts of interest, unavailability to attend Board or committee meetings or other reasons. Following the initial evaluation, if one or more candidates were deemed worthy of further consideration, the committee would arrange for interviews of the candidates. To the extent feasible, candidates would be interviewed by the Chairman, the Co-Chief Executive Officers and a majority of committee members, and potentially other directors as well. The results of these interviews would be considered by the committee in its decision to recommend a candidate to the Board. Those candidates approved by the Board as nominees are named in the proxy statement for election by the shareholders at the annual meeting (or, if between annual meetings, one or more nominees may be elected by the Board itself if needed to fill vacancies, including vacancies resulting from an increase in the number of directors).

Policies and Procedures for Review and Approval of Transactions with Related Persons

We recognize that transactions in which our executive officers, directors or principal shareholders, or family members or other associates of our executive officers, directors or principal shareholders, have an interest may raise questions as to whether those transactions are consistent with the best interests of Chipotle and our shareholders. Accordingly, our Board has adopted written policies and procedures requiring the Audit Committee to approve in advance, with limited exceptions, any transactions in which any person or entity in the categories named above has any material interest, whether direct or indirect, unless the value of all such transactions in which a related party has an interest during a year total less than \$10,000. We refer to such transactions as related person transactions. Current related person transactions to which we are a party are described on page 46.

A related person transaction will only be approved by the Audit Committee if the committee determines that the related person transaction is beneficial to us and the terms of the related person transaction are fair to us. No

member of the Audit Committee may participate in the review, consideration or approval of any related person transaction with respect to which such member or any of his or her immediate family members is the related person.

Role of the Board of Directors in Risk Oversight

While our executive officers and various other members of management are responsible for the day-to-day management of risk, the Board of Directors exercises an oversight role with respect to risk issues facing our company, principally through considering risks associated with our company strategy as part of its oversight of our overall strategic direction, as well as delegation to the Audit Committee of the responsibility for evaluating enterprise risk issues. Under the terms of its charter, the Audit Committee discusses with management, our internal auditors and our independent auditors our major risk exposures, whether financial, operating or otherwise, as well as the adequacy and effectiveness of steps management has taken to monitor and control such exposures (including, for instance, our internal control over financial reporting). The Audit Committee's oversight of risk management includes its review each year of an annual risk assessment conducted by our internal audit department, which functionally reports to the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee also recommends from time to time that key identified risk areas be considered by the full Board, and individual Board members also periodically ask the full Board to consider an area of risk. In those cases the Board considers the identified risk areas at its regularly-scheduled meetings, including receiving reports from and conducting discussions with the appropriate management personnel.

The Board believes our current leadership structure facilitates its oversight of risk by combining independent leadership through the Lead Director, independent Board committees, and majority independent Board composition, with an experienced Chairman and Co-Chief Executive Officer and additional Co-Chief Executive Officer with intimate knowledge of our business, industry and challenges. The Co-Chief Executive Officers' in-depth understanding of these matters and levels of involvement in the day-to-day management of Chipotle allow them to promptly identify and raise key risks to the Board, call special meetings of the Board when necessary to address critical issues, and focus the Board's attention on areas of concern. This is effectively balanced by the independent oversight of the Lead Director, independent Board committees, and independent directors as a whole, who can objectively assess the risks identified by the Board or by management, as well as management's effectiveness in managing such risks.

PROPOSAL B

AN ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE THE COMPENSATION

OF OUR EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AS DISCLOSED IN THIS PROXY STATEMENT

As required by section 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and in accordance with the advisory votes of our shareholders at our 2011 annual meeting of shareholders, we are asking you to cast an advisory vote to approve the compensation of our executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement. This proposal, commonly known as a say-on-pay proposal, gives you the opportunity to endorse or not endorse our executive compensation programs and policies and the compensation paid to our executive officers.

The say-on-pay vote is advisory and therefore will not be binding on the Compensation Committee, the Board of Directors, or Chipotle. However, the Compensation Committee and Board will review the voting results and take them into consideration when making future decisions regarding executive compensation. We have committed to holding say on pay votes at each year's annual meeting, until at least the annual meeting to occur in 2017.

Please read the Executive Compensation section of this proxy statement before determining how to vote on this proposal. As described in more detail in that section, and particularly under the heading Compensation Discussion and Analysis, we believe our compensation programs emphasize performance and accountability while maintaining alignment with shareholder interests.

Our Compensation Committee, which is advised by an independent compensation consultant that does not perform other work for Chipotle, has structured and implemented executive compensation programs that encourage achievement of our core objectives, which we believe will build long-term shareholder value. During 2011, we grew significantly and generated extremely strong financial performance. Our achievement of both sales growth and total shareholder return for 2011 was at or above the 96th percentile of our industry peer group, and net income growth for 2011 was at the 72nd percentile. In addition, our sales growth in each of the past three years has been above the 91st percentile and our net income growth has been above the 71st percentile. Against that backdrop, we believe the compensation of our executive officers during 2011 was appropriate.

It is important to note that, although the total compensation of each of our executive officers as reflected in the Summary Compensation Table has increased significantly over the past three years, that amount does not reflect compensation actually realized by each officer. Rather, the increase from 2009 to 2010 was attributable in large part to awards of performance shares that will be paid out, if at all, in 2013, as well as to a significant increase in our stock price over the relevant period, which had the effect of substantially increasing the economic value computed for stock appreciation rights awarded in 2010. Additional stock price appreciation caused an even further increase in the economic value computed for stock appreciation rights awarded in 2011, which resulted in a substantial majority of the increases in total compensation reported from 2010 to 2011. The economic value of stock appreciation rights, which is used for the accounting expense to be recognized in connection with the awards as well as the amount of compensation to be reported under the SEC's rules in connection therewith, does not reflect amounts actually realized by the recipients. Instead, amounts will only be realizable in respect of those awards following a vesting period, and only if our stock price increases from the grant date to the date of exercise. Our Compensation Committee believes that this makes stock appreciation rights an inherently performance-based form of compensation, and therefore makes these awards one of the principal foundations of the compensation of our executive officers, consistent with our pay-for-performance philosophy.

Accordingly, our Board asks that you vote in favor of the following shareholder resolution:

RESOLVED, that the compensation of the executive officers of Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc. as disclosed pursuant to the Securities and Exchange Commission's compensation disclosure rules, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section, compensation tables and related material in the company's proxy statement, are hereby approved.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the say-on-pay proposal.

PROPOSAL C**RATIFICATION OF SELECTION OF****INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

The Audit Committee has engaged Ernst & Young LLP as independent auditors to audit our consolidated financial statements for the year ending December 31, 2012 and to perform other permissible, pre-approved services. As a matter of good corporate governance, we are requesting that shareholders ratify the Audit Committee's appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as independent auditors. If shareholders do not ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP, the committee will evaluate the shareholder vote when considering the selection of a registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2013.

The committee has adopted a policy which sets out procedures that the committee must follow when retaining the independent auditor to perform audit, review and attest engagements and any engagements for permitted non-audit services. This policy is summarized below under **Policy for Pre-Approval of Audit and Permitted Non-Audit Services** and will be reviewed by the Audit Committee periodically, but no less frequently than annually, for purposes of assuring continuing compliance with applicable law. All fees paid to Ernst & Young LLP for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 were pre-approved by the Audit Committee in accordance with this policy.

Ernst & Young LLP has served as our independent auditors since 1997. Representatives of Ernst & Young LLP are expected to be present at the annual meeting and will have an opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so, and are expected to be available to respond to appropriate questions.

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' FEE

The aggregate fees and related reimbursable expenses for professional services provided by Ernst & Young LLP for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 were:

Fees for Services	2011	2010
Audit Fees (1)	\$ 523,453	\$ 517,140
Audit-Related Fees (2)	2,149	1,995
Tax Fees		
All Other Fees		
Total Fees	\$ 525,602	\$ 519,135

(1) Includes fees and expenses related to the fiscal year audit and interim reviews, notwithstanding when the fees and expenses were billed or when the services were rendered. Audit fees also include fees and expenses, if any, related to SEC filings, comfort letters, consents, comment letters and accounting consultations.

(2) Represents fees for a subscription to an Ernst & Young online service used for accounting research purposes.

The Board of Directors unanimously recommends a vote FOR the ratification of the selection of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2012.

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

With regard to the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, the Audit Committee (i) reviewed and discussed with management our audited consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2011 and for the year then ended; (ii) discussed with Ernst & Young LLP, the independent auditors, the matters required by the Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, Communication with Audit Committees, as amended, as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, or PCAOB, in Rule 3200T; (iii) received the written disclosures and the letter from Ernst & Young LLP required by applicable requirements of the PCAOB regarding Ernst & Young LLP's communications with the Audit Committee regarding independence; and (iv) discussed with Ernst & Young LLP their independence.

Based on the review and discussions described above, the Audit Committee recommended to our Board of Directors that our audited financial statements be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 for filing with the SEC.

The Audit Committee:

Albert S. Baldocchi, Chairperson

Neil W. Flanzraich

John S. Charlesworth

POLICY FOR PRE-APPROVAL OF AUDIT AND PERMITTED NON-AUDIT SERVICES

The Board of Directors has adopted a policy for the pre-approval of all audit and permitted non-audit services proposed to be provided to Chipotle by its independent auditors. This policy provides that the Audit Committee must pre-approve all audit, review and attest engagements and may do so on a case-by-case basis or on a class basis if the relevant services are predictable and recurring. Any internal control-related service may not be approved on a class basis, but must be individually pre-approved by the committee. The policy prohibits the provision of any services that the auditor is prohibited from providing under applicable law or the standards of the PCAOB.

Pre-approvals on a class basis for specified predictable and recurring services are granted annually at or about the start of each fiscal year. In considering all pre-approvals, the committee may take into account whether the level of non-audit services, even if permissible under applicable law, is appropriate in light of the independence of the auditor. The committee reviews the scope of services to be provided within each class of services and imposes fee limitations and budgetary guidelines in appropriate cases.

The committee may pre-approve a class of services for the entire fiscal year. Pre-approval on an individual service basis may be given or effective only up to six months prior to commencement of the services.

The committee periodically reviews a schedule of fees paid and payable to the independent auditor by type of covered service being performed or expected to be provided. Our Chief Financial Officer is also required to report to the committee any non-compliance with this policy of which he becomes aware. The committee may delegate pre-approval authority for individual services or a class of services to any one of its members, provided that delegation is not allowed in the case of a class of services where the aggregate estimated fees for all future and current periods would exceed \$500,000. Any class of services projected to exceed this limit or individual service that would cause the limit to be exceeded must be pre-approved by the full committee. The individual member of the committee to whom pre-approval authorization is delegated reports the grant of any pre-approval by the individual member at the next scheduled meeting of the committee.

PROPOSAL D

AN ADVISORY VOTE ON A SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL

Proposal D is a shareholder proposal. If the shareholder proponent, or representative who is qualified under state law, is present at the annual meeting and submits the proposal for a vote, then the proposal will be voted upon. The shareholder proposal and related supporting statement is included in this proxy statement as submitted by the proponent and we accept no responsibility for its contents. The Board's statement in opposition to the proposal is presented immediately following the proposal. The proponent's address is 180 North LaSalle Street, Suite 2015, Chicago, Illinois 60601. The proponent represented to us that as of October 11, 2011, it owned 2,581 shares of our common stock.

Proposal to Repeal Classified Board

RESOLVED, that shareholders of Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc. urge the Board of Directors to take all necessary steps (other than any steps that must be taken by shareholders) to eliminate the classification of the Board of Directors and to require that all directors elected at or after the annual meeting held in 2013 be elected on an annual basis. Implementation of this proposal should not prevent any director elected prior to the annual meeting held in 2013 from completing the term for which such director was elected.

Supporting Statement

This resolution was submitted by the Illinois State Board of Investment. The Harvard Law School Shareholder Rights Project represented and advised the Illinois State Board of Investment in connection with this resolution.

The resolution urges the board of directors to facilitate a declassification of the board. Such a change would enable shareholders to register their views on the performance of all directors at each annual meeting. Having directors stand for elections annually makes directors more accountable to shareholders, and could thereby contribute to improving performance and increasing firm value.

Over the past decade, many S&P 500 companies have declassified their board of directors. According to data from FactSet Research Systems, the number of S&P 500 companies with classified boards declined by more than 50%; and the average percentage of votes cast in favor of shareholder proposals to declassify the boards of S&P 500 companies during the period January 1, 2010 – June 30, 2011 exceeded 75%.

The significant shareholder support for proposals to declassify boards is consistent with empirical studies reporting that classified boards could be associated with lower firm valuation and/or worse corporate decision-making. Studies report that:

Classified boards are associated with lower firm valuation (Bebchuk and Cohen, 2005; confirmed by Faleye (2007) and Frakes (2007));

Takeover targets with classified boards are associated with lower gains to shareholders (Bebchuk, Coates, and Subramanian, 2002);

Firms with classified boards are more likely to be associated with value-decreasing acquisition decisions (Masulis, Wang, and Xie, 2007); and

Classified boards are associated with lower sensitivity of compensation to performance and lower sensitivity of CEO turnover to firm performance (Faleye, 2007).

Please vote for this proposal to make directors more accountable to shareholders.

Statement in Opposition by our Board of Directors

Underlying this proposal to de-classify our Board of Directors is a viewpoint that one size fits all. The shareholder proponent and its representative conceded to us that this proposal is not aimed specifically at Chipotle, but rather is a part of a broader initiative to cause S&P 500 companies to employ annual elections for all directors. Our Board, however, has always believed that a classified Board is in the best interests of Chipotle, its shareholders and our long-term value creation, and careful consideration by the Board of this proposal has not changed that belief. Accordingly, the Board recommends that you vote AGAINST the proposal. A more detailed explanation of the Board's views follows.

The Board believes that any decisions relating to corporate governance matters should not be made without considering the specific circumstances of the company involved. In the case of Chipotle, there are a number of factors that make us unique. From our vision to change the way the world thinks about and eats fast food, to our unique corporate strategy based on top-performing employees who are promoted from within our company serving freshly-prepared food from high quality ingredients, we believe we're different than just about anyone else in our industry. Our growth strategy to grow organically by opening exclusively company-owned restaurants rather than franchising is also unique in the restaurant world.

These factors, while differentiating Chipotle from most of our peers, could be questioned by those who believe in a more traditional way of running and growing a restaurant company. It is certainly foreseeable that one or more investors may believe that growing faster through franchising, or that decreasing food costs by serving lower quality ingredients, would improve our business over the short term. Such investors might be emboldened to try to force these kinds of strategies on us, including by taking control of the Board. Our Board believes that, while in some circumstances there might be potential short-term gains to be made by pursuing these or other changes to our unique strategy, such changes would be detrimental to our company and our shareholders over the long term. That being the case, the Board believes that it is important to protect the stability of the Board, and by extension our management team, and an effective way to maintain that stability is by continuing classified elections for seats on the Board. The unique aspects of Chipotle, our business model and our culture may well be lost on the shareholder proponent, which informed us that, as a fund managed by an appointed external manager, it did not make its own decision to invest in Chipotle stock.

[Continued on following page]

The proponent of this proposal also espouses the theory that classified boards could be associated with lower firm valuation. Leaving aside that the proponents do not claim that classified boards ARE associated with lower valuations, but only that they COULD be, Chipotle's performance relative to its peer group suggests that in the case of Chipotle, our classified Board structure has not had an adverse impact on our valuation. As reflected in the graph below, our total shareholder returns have significantly outpaced the S&P 500, the S&P 500 Restaurants Index, and the S&P SmallCap 600 Restaurants Index over the past five years. In fact, an investor who had purchased our stock at the closing market price on the day trading in our stock commenced on the NYSE would have earned total returns of over 660% as of December 31, 2011.

This extraordinary stock price performance is reflective of the strong business performance we've enjoyed under our current Board and management team. Our research has shown that over the past three years, our sales growth has ranked us in the 98th, 100th, and 92nd percentiles of our restaurant industry peer group (the composition of which is further described on page 28), and our net income growth has ranked us in the 72nd, 77th, and 84th percentiles. In light of this record of performance, the Board believes that our shareholders' best interest is in maintaining the stability of Chipotle's top level leadership, allowing the Board and management to remain focused on building long-term value for years to come.

The proponent also asserts that having directors stand for elections annually makes directors more accountable to shareholders. Having a classified Board does not mean our Board is unaccountable to shareholders. Our directors are required by law to fulfill fiduciary duties owed to Chipotle and to our shareholders, regardless of the length of their terms. Moreover, it appears the proponent's belief is that added accountability will result from directors needing to be constantly mindful that their tenure is solely on a year-to-year basis. The result of that mindset may well be, rather than increased accountability, an increased

focus on short term results. We believe that our Board and management team should be focused instead on long-term value creation, a focus that our Board believes is more easily maintained in the framework of staggered three year terms for directors.

Finally, we note the proponent's assertion that declassification of our Board would enable shareholders to register their views on the performance of all directors at each annual meeting. There is of course nothing inherent in our classified Board structure that prohibits shareholders from registering their views on the performance of any or all of our directors at any time, including at any annual meeting. We provide on page 14 a means for shareholders to communicate with the Board or any member thereof, and welcome any constructive feedback for the Board on any matters related to Chipotle, including the performance of the Board.

Because of our Board's commitment to increasing long-term shareholder value, as evidenced by our performance for as long as we've been a public company, and in view of the proponent's arguments in support of its proposal, which are at best, vague, and at worst, misguided, our Board recommends that you vote AGAINST the proposal.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote AGAINST the shareholder proposal.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND COMPENSATION

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

In addition to Steve Ells, our Chairman of the Board and Co-Chief Executive Officer, and Monty Moran, our Co-Chief Executive Officer, each of whose biographies are included under the heading Information Regarding the Board of Directors, our executive officers are as follows:

John R. (Jack) Hartung, 54, is Chief Financial Officer and has served in this role since 2002. In addition to having responsibility for all of our financial and reporting functions, Mr. Hartung also oversees IT, training, safety, security and risk, and corporate people support. Mr. Hartung joined Chipotle after spending 18 years at McDonald's where he held a variety of management positions, most recently as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of its Partner Brands Group. Mr. Hartung has a Bachelor of Science degree in accounting and economics as well as an MBA from Illinois State University.

Robert (Bob) N. Blessing Jr., 68, was appointed Chief Development Officer as of February 1, 2010. Mr. Blessing came to Chipotle in 1999 as a regional director, and opened our first restaurant in the Northeast region. His role expanded thereafter to include responsibility for additional markets, and from 2005 to 2008 he led our entire Northeast and Central regions. From May 2008 through February 1, 2010, he served as our Restaurant Support Officer, providing field support for our marketing as well as overseeing our five regional directors and our purchasing function. Before coming to Chipotle, he served in executive leadership roles at a number of food service and restaurant companies, including Vie de France Retail and Restaurant Bakery, Franchise Management Corporation (an Arby's franchisee), and Thompson Hospitality (a contract food service company). Mr. Blessing has Bachelors and Masters degrees in business administration/economics from the University of Cincinnati.

Mark Crumpacker, 49, was appointed Chief Marketing Officer in January 2009. From December 2002 until December 2008 Mr. Crumpacker was Creative Director for Sequence, LLC, a strategic design and marketing consulting firm he co-founded in 2002, and prior to that served as creative director and in other leadership roles for a variety of design and media companies. Mr. Crumpacker attended the University of Colorado and received his B.F.A. from the Art College of Design in Pasadena, California.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis describes the objectives and principles underlying our executive compensation programs, outlines the material elements of the compensation of our executive officers, and explains the Compensation Committee's determinations as to the actual compensation of our executive officers for 2011. In addition, this Compensation Discussion and Analysis is intended to put into perspective the tables and related narratives which follow it regarding the compensation of our executive officers.

Executive Summary

To make decisions regarding the compensation of our executive officers, the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors considers company performance. Determinations of base salaries and long-term incentive awards for 2011 were made early in the year and were formulated in light of our past performance, including in particular our extremely strong company and stock price performance during 2010. The compensation awarded included significant grants of SOSARs, which ensure that the executive officers will only be rewarded if we continue to achieve gains for our shareholders, and which also align executive and shareholder interests by reserving for our executive officers a small share of shareholder value created by our company over time. Our performance in 2011 also resulted in payouts under our Annual Incentive Plan that were well above target.

2011 also marked completion of the first year of the three-year performance period associated with performance shares awarded in December 2010. Those awards vest only if we achieve performance goals established for the three-year performance period associated with the awards, which will be completed on September 30, 2013.

Additional detail regarding our executive compensation programs, policies and procedures, as well as the actual compensation of our executive officers in 2011, follows.

Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

Our philosophy with regard to the compensation of our employees, including our executive officers, is to reinforce the importance of performance and accountability at the corporate, regional and individual levels. We strive to provide our employees with meaningful rewards while maintaining alignment with shareholder interests, corporate values, and important management initiatives. In setting and overseeing the compensation of our executive officers, the Compensation Committee believes our compensation philosophy to be best effectuated by designing compensation programs and policies to achieve the following specific objectives:

Attracting, motivating, and retaining highly capable executives who are vital to our short- and long-term success, profitability, and growth;

Aligning the interests of our executives and shareholders by rewarding executives for the achievement of strategic and other goals that we believe will enhance shareholder value; and

Differentiating executive rewards based on actual performance.

The committee believes that these objectives are most effectively advanced when a significant portion of each executive officer's overall compensation is in the form of at-risk elements such as incentive bonuses and long-term incentive-based compensation, which should be structured to closely align compensation with actual performance and shareholder interests.

The committee's philosophy in structuring executive compensation rewards is that performance should be measured by comparing our company performance to market-wide performance in our industry, as well as subjectively evaluating each executive officer's performance. See [Overview of Executive Compensation Determinations](#) [Market Data](#) below.

In structuring and approving our executive compensation programs, as well as policies and procedures relating to compensation throughout our company, the committee also considers risks that may be inherent in such programs, policies and procedures. The committee has determined that it is not likely that our compensation programs, policies and procedures will have a material adverse effect on our company.

Overview of Executive Compensation Determinations

In setting compensation for our executive officers, the committee begins with an assessment of our performance over the prior three years, focusing in particular on our growth and shareholder return in relation to other companies in our industry. This assessment is described in more detail below under [Discussion of Executive Officer Compensation Decisions](#) [Assessment of Company Performance](#). In conjunction with its review of our performance, the committee also reviews each executive officer's individual circumstances, including tally sheet information reflecting the cash and equity-based compensation paid to each executive officer in each year since the officer started work with us (or since 1998 in the case of Mr. Ells, our Chairman and Co-Chief Executive Officer), as well as the accumulated value of all cash and equity-based compensation awarded to each executive officer. The committee also conducts discussions with our Co-Chief Executive Officers regarding the performance of our other executive officers, and meets in executive sessions to discuss the performance of the Co-Chief Executive Officers.

The committee does not benchmark the compensation of any of our executive officers in the traditional sense. Rather, to supplement its review of each executive officer's historical compensation and performance, the committee also refers to market data on executive compensation. From this data, the committee determines what it believes to be competitive market practice and approves individual compensation levels by reference to its assessment of market compensation, together with historical compensation levels, individual performance and other subjective factors.

At our annual meeting in May 2011, we held our first say-on-pay vote, an advisory vote on the compensation disclosed for our executive officers. A substantial majority 94% of the votes cast on the say-on-pay proposal at that meeting were in favor of the proposal. The Compensation Committee believes the level of support evidenced by the say-on-pay vote reflects shareholders' belief that our compensation programs are appropriate and are consistent with our pay for performance philosophy. Accordingly, the Committee does not believe the say-on-pay vote in 2011 warrants any changes to our determinations of executive compensation. The Committee will continue to consider the outcome of the Company's say-on-pay votes when making future compensation decisions for the named executive officers.

The committee's outside compensation consultant, Compensation Strategies, also provides input on compensation decisions, including providing comparisons to market levels of compensation as described below under Market Data.

Market Data

The committee believes the investment community generally assesses our company performance by reference to other companies in the restaurant industry, and our management team and Board also reference such peer company performance in analyzing and evaluating our business. Accordingly, calibrating compensation by reference to our relative performance against, and levels of executive compensation at, companies in the restaurant industry allows for the most meaningful comparisons of our actual performance against our peers and of our executive compensation programs and practices against competitive market practice. The committee further believes that this ensures that compensation packages for our executive officers are structured in a manner rewarding superior operating performance and the creation of shareholder value.

The restaurant peer group used for these purposes is comprised of all publicly-traded companies in the Global Industry Classification Standard, or GICS, restaurant industry with annual revenues greater than \$600 million, excluding McDonald's Corporation due to its substantially greater size than us. At the time the committee made its initial executive compensation decisions for 2011 the companies included in the peer group were as follows: Biglari Holdings, Inc., Bob Evans Farms, Inc., Brinker International, Inc., Buffalo Wild Wings, Inc., Carrols Restaurant Group, Inc., CEC Entertainment, Inc., The Cheesecake Factory Incorporated, Cracker Barrel Old Country Store, Inc., Darden Restaurants, Inc., Denny's Corp., Domino's Pizza Inc., Jack In The Box Inc., O'Charley's Inc., P.F. Chang's China Bistro, Inc., Panera Bread Company, Papa John's International Inc., Red Robin Gourmet Burgers, Inc., Ruby Tuesday, Inc., Sonic Corp., Starbucks Corporation, Texas Roadhouse Inc., Wendy's/Arby's Group, Inc. and Yum! Brands, Inc. The committee reviews the composition of the restaurant industry peer group periodically and will make adjustments to the peer group in response to changes in the size or business operations of companies in the peer group, other companies in the GICS restaurant industry, and us.

Data drawn from the restaurant peer group is adjusted by using regression analysis to eliminate variations in compensation level attributable to differences in size of the component companies. Compensation Strategies, the committee's independent executive compensation consultant, performs this analysis.

Components of Compensation

The committee believes that by including in each executive officer's compensation package incentive-based cash bonuses tied to individual performance and our financial and operating performance, as well as equity-based compensation where the reward to the executive is based on the value of our common stock, it can reward achievement of our corporate goals and the creation of shareholder value. Accordingly, the elements of our executive compensation are base salary, annual incentives, long-term incentives, and certain benefits and perquisites. The committee seeks to allocate compensation among these various components for each executive officer to emphasize pay-at-risk elements, consistent with market practice, in order to promote our pay-for-performance philosophy.

Base Salaries

We pay a base salary to compensate our executive officers for services rendered during the year, and also to provide them with income regardless of our stock price performance, which helps avoid incentives to create short-term stock price fluctuations and mitigates the impact of forces beyond our control such as general economic and stock market conditions. We do not have written employment agreements with any of our executive officers and therefore do not have contractual commitments to pay any particular level of base salary. Rather, the committee reviews the base salary of each executive officer at least annually and adjusts salary levels as the committee deems necessary or appropriate, based on the recommendations of our Co-Chief Executive Officers for each of the other officers. Base salaries are typically adjusted during the first quarter of each year. Base salaries are administered in a range around the 50th percentile of the market, while also taking into account an individual's performance, experience, development and potential, and internal equity issues. The committee anticipates that this range could extend from the 25th percentile and below for executive officers newer to their role, in a developmental period, or not meeting expectations, to the 90th percentile or higher for truly exceptional performers in critical roles who consistently exceed expectations.

The base salaries set for the executive officers for 2011 are discussed below under [Discussion of Executive Officer Compensation Decisions](#) [Base Salaries](#).

Annual Incentives

We have designed, and the Compensation Committee oversees, an annual performance-based cash bonus program for all of our full-time regional and corporate employees, including our executive officers. We call this program our Annual Incentive Plan, or AIP. Bonuses under the AIP are based on the achievement of pre-established performance measures that the committee determines to be important to the success of our operations and financial performance, and therefore to the creation of shareholder value.

Early in each year, we set a target AIP bonus for each eligible employee, including approval by the committee of the target bonus for each executive officer. Consistent with our overall compensation policies and philosophy, target AIP bonuses as a percent of each executive officer's base salary are set in a range around the 50th percentile of the market. Individual targeted amounts can also be increased or decreased based on individual considerations such as level of responsibility, experience and internal equity issues.

Following completion of our year-end financial statements and each executive officer's annual performance evaluation, actual bonuses are determined by applying to each executive officer's target bonus a formula that increases or decreases the payout amount based on performance against the AIP measures approved by the committee.

The committee may in some years also approve discretionary bonuses to reward particularly strong individual achievement or overall performance. In some years this is accomplished via a discretionary adjustment to the AIP terms at the time final payouts are determined, and in some years discretionary bonuses are determined outside the parameters of the AIP.

See [Discussion of Executive Officer Compensation Decisions](#) [Annual Incentives](#) [2011 AIP Payouts](#) below for a discussion of AIP bonuses for 2011.

Long-Term Incentives

We use long-term incentives as determined by the committee to be appropriate to motivate and reward our executive officers for superior levels of performance, to align the interests of the executive officers with those of the shareholders through the delivery of equity, and to add a retention element to the executive officers' compensation. Eligibility for long-term incentives is generally limited to individuals who can have a substantial impact on our long-term success, as well as high potential individuals who may be moving into roles that may have a substantial impact.

Long-term incentive awards in the first part of 2011 and for prior years were made under our Amended and Restated 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, and awards are now made under our 2011 Stock Incentive Plan, which was approved at last year's annual meeting. Under our stock incentive plans, we are authorized to issue stock options, restricted stock or other equity-based awards denominated in shares of our common stock. The plans are administered by the Compensation Committee, and the committee makes grants directly to our executive officers, and is authorized to delegate the authority to make awards to employees other than the executive officers. The committee also sets the standard terms for awards under the plans each year.

The long-term incentive awards made in 2011 are described below under "Discussion of Executive Officer Compensation Decisions" "Stock Appreciation Rights Granted during 2011" and "Performance Shares Granted during 2010."

One portion of our long-term incentive awards consists of stock-only stock appreciation rights, or "SOSARs." We believe SOSARs align the economic interests of our employees, including our executive officers, with those of our shareholders by reserving a portion of shareholder value creation for our employees. SOSARs also closely tie compensation to corporate performance because these awards do not offer value unless our stock price increases. We also believe that the terms the committee has set for our SOSARs strike an appropriate balance between rewarding our employees for building shareholder value and limiting the dilutive effect to our shareholders of our equity compensation programs. SOSARs require the issuance of fewer shares in respect of each award than do stock options, because only the shares representing the appreciation over the base price of the SOSARs are issued upon exercise, whereas upon the exercise of a stock option all of the shares subject to the option are issued. As a result, SOSARs minimize dilution as compared to equivalent grants of stock options. All options and SOSARs granted subsequent to our initial public offering, and all SOSARs we grant in the future, have or will have an exercise or base price equal to no less than the closing market price of our common stock on the date of the grant.

The other portion of each executive officer's long-term incentive award consists of performance shares. 2011 marked completion of the first year of the three-year performance period associated with performance shares awarded in 2010. See "Outstanding Equity Awards at December 31, 2011" below, which reflects the performance share awards to each executive officer.

The committee's policy is generally to make SOSAR grants only on an annual basis, within five business days following our public release of financial results for the previous year. SOSARs are granted outside of this annual award cycle only in exceptional circumstances, such as in the case of certain key hires. The committee intends that future performance share awards will continue to be made in conjunction with the vesting or expiration of the previous performance share award. The committee may in exceptional circumstances determine to make additional equity awards at other times during the year.

Because our practice has been to make periodic performance share awards designed to compensate performance over a multi-year performance period, the compensation reported for each executive officer in the Summary Compensation Table below will reflect additional compensation expense in the years in which performance share are granted. In light of these fluctuations, and because we have most recently made performance share awards with a fixed three year performance period, the committee believes the compensation of our executive officers should be reviewed and evaluated on a three-year basis in order to assess the relative mixture of each officer's equity and cash compensation.

Benefits and Perquisites

We provide our executive officers with access to the same benefits we provide all of our full-time employees. We also provide our officers with perquisites and other personal benefits that we believe are reasonable and consistent with our compensation objectives, and with additional benefit programs that are not available to all employees throughout our company.

Perquisites are generally provided to help us attract and retain top performing employees for key positions, and in some cases perquisites are designed to facilitate our executive officers bringing maximum focus to what we believe to be demanding job duties. In addition to the perquisites identified in notes to the Summary Compensation Table below, we have occasionally allowed executive officers to be accompanied by a guest when traveling for business on an airplane chartered by us. Executive officers have also used airplanes that are available to us through our charter relationship for personal trips; in those cases the executive officer has fully reimbursed us for the cost of personal use of the airplane. Our executive officers are also provided with personal administrative services by company employees from time to time, including scheduling of personal appointments and performing personal errands. We believe that the perquisites we provide our executive officers are currently consistent with market practices, and are reasonable and consistent with our compensation objectives.

We have also established a non-qualified deferred compensation plan for our senior employees, including our executive officers. The plan allows participants to defer the obligation to pay taxes on certain elements of their compensation while also potentially receiving earnings on deferred amounts. We believe this plan is an important retention and recruitment tool because it helps facilitate retirement savings and financial flexibility for our key employees, and because many of the companies with which we compete for executive talent provide a similar plan to their key employees.

Discussion of Executive Officer Compensation Decisions

Assessment of Company Performance

The committee generally sets the base salaries of, and makes long-term incentive awards to, the executive officers in February of each year. In making these decisions, the committee references our company performance primarily by comparing our sales growth, net income growth and total shareholder return over the preceding three-year period to the same measures for the restaurant peer group described above. In February 2011, the committee referred to these performance measures for the preceding three years, weighting 2010 performance at 50 percent, 2009 performance at one-third and 2008 performance at one-sixth. On that basis, the committee determined that our sales growth was at the 96th percentile of the peer group, our growth in net income was at the 78th percentile, and total return to our shareholders was at the 68th percentile. This assessment of company performance is only one factor used by the committee in making compensation decisions, as described in more detail below, but does play a significant role in the committee's decision-making, consistent with our pay-for-performance philosophy. Because of our strong performance in 2010 and prior years relative to market-wide performance in our industry, the committee generally set compensation levels for our executive officers for 2011 in the upper end of the ranges that the committee believed to be appropriate for each executive officer.

Base Salaries

To set base salary levels for 2011 for our executive officers, the committee considered the existing base salary of each officer, as well as each officer's contribution level and effectiveness in his role, and the range of base salaries at our peer companies. As a result of our strong performance in 2010 and prior years as compared to the restaurant peer group as described above under Assessment of Company Performance, and additionally based on the committee's subjective determinations as to each officer's individual performance and contribution to our significant growth, the committee made a determination to increase each executive's base salary. The committee set Mr. Ells's 2011 base salary at \$1,300,000, Mr. Moran's at \$1,100,000, Mr. Hartung's at \$561,600, Mr. Blessing's at \$371,000, and Mr. Crumpacker's at \$333,000. The difference in the base salaries of Mr. Moran and Mr. Ells is attributable to Mr. Moran serving in the office of Co-Chief Executive Officer only since the beginning of 2009, whereas Mr. Ells has served as Chief Executive Officer since our inception. The differences in salary between the Co-Chief Executive Officers and the other executive officers are attributable to the committee's belief in the tremendous importance of strong leadership at the chief executive officer level as well as to the level of impact of the contributions made by the Co-Chief Executive Officers to our success.

Annual Incentives AIP Structure

The formula to determine payouts under the AIP consists of a company performance factor, a team performance factor, and an individual performance factor, each stated as a percentage by which an executive officer's target payout amount will be adjusted to determine actual cash bonuses. In most years, each of the company, team and individual performance factors could be adjusted downward to zero based on company, team or individual performance, which could result in no AIP bonuses being paid or an individual's AIP bonus being significantly reduced. This ensures that AIP bonuses are not paid if our performance falls far short of our expectations, and avoids unduly rewarding employees not contributing to our success.

We include the company performance factor in the calculation to reward participating employees when our company performs well, which we believe focuses employees on improving corporate performance and aligns the interests of our employees with those of our shareholders. We include the team performance factor to promote teamwork and to provide rewards based on the areas of the company in which a participant can make the most impact. We include the individual performance factor to emphasize individual performance and accountability. Each of these components can reduce award levels when we, one of our team units, or an employee participating in the AIP don't perform well, which further promotes accountability. We believe that as a whole, this structure results in the AIP rewarding our top performers, consistent with our goal of building shareholder value.

To determine the company and team performance factors for each year, during the first quarter of the year the committee approves targeted performance levels for a number of financial or operating measures (on a company-wide basis for the company performance factor and for each of our operating regions for the team performance factor), and key initiatives for improving our company during the year. The AIP formulas are structured so that achievement of the targeted financial and operating measures and achievement (as determined by the committee) of the key initiatives would result in company and team performance factors that would result in payout at the targeted bonus levels. Achievement above or below the targeted financial and operating measures, and over- or under-achievement of the key initiatives as subjectively determined by the committee, results in company and team performance factors that increase or decrease actual bonuses as compared to target, on a scale for each measure approved by the committee at the beginning of the year. The company and team performance factors to determine payouts are calculated after the conclusion of the year by referencing actual company and regional performance on each of the relevant financial and operating measures, and on the key initiatives, to the scales approved by the committee, with any adjustments that the committee deems to be appropriate to account for unforeseen factors during the year. The team performance factor for most corporate-level employees, including the executive officers other than the Chief Development Officer, is the average of the regional team performance factors, subject to adjustment based on other variables considered by the committee relating to our corporate employees. The team performance factor for our Chief Development Officer is based on company-wide measures established specifically for the development department.

The individual performance factor is a function of the individual employee's performance rating for the year. The precise individual performance factor is set following completion of the employee's performance review, within a range of percentages associated with the employee's performance rating. The committee evaluates the performance of the Co-Chief Executive Officers to determine each of their individual performance factors, and approves individual performance factors for each other executive officer after considering recommendations from the Co-Chief Executive Officers, in each case based on a subjective review of each officer's performance for the year.

The committee also sets maximums each year for the company, team and individual performance factors. The committee may, in its discretion, authorize a deviation from the parameters set for any particular performance factor in order to account for exceptional circumstances and ensure that AIP bonuses further the objectives of our compensation programs.

Annual Incentives 2011 AIP Payouts

The committee set the target annual AIP payouts during the first quarter of 2011, based in part by reference to the historical compensation of each executive officer, each officer's performance during the year, and median target bonuses for comparable positions within the restaurant industry peer group. The AIP parameters were set to generally allow for maximum payouts equal to 204 percent of the target award, which the committee believes is adequate to reward achievement of outstanding results and motivate our employees to drive superior performance. The AIP parameters for development employees (including Mr. Blessing), which place a greater weight on team performance and allow for a higher team performance factor in recognition of the coordinated group effort needed to effectively drive strong new restaurant openings, were set to allow a maximum payout to Mr. Blessing of 294 percent of his target award.

For 2011, as with past years, the four measures the committee selected to be used in determining the company and team performance factors were income from operations (prior to accrual for AIP payouts), new restaurant average daily sales, comparable restaurant sales increases, and new restaurant weeks of operation. Targeted performance for each measure (which would result in no adjustment to the company performance factor) was set at \$357.8 million for operating income, \$4,028 for new restaurant average daily sales, comparable restaurant sales increases of 7.1 percent, and 2,974 new weeks of operation. Consistent with our pay-for-performance philosophy these targets represented stretch goals, the achievement of which would have generally resulted in our financial results exceeding the base-level forecast results in our 2011 operating plan and equaling or exceeding the full-year 2011 guidance we publicly issued to investors. Performance on operating income was weighted most heavily in the computation of the company performance factor, because we believe profitability is the most important measure of our success and driver of shareholder value.

In order to provide a strong incentive towards superior performance, the adjustment scales for the company performance factor were set such that overachievement against each goal would have resulted in upward adjustments at a higher rate than the rate at which equivalent levels of underachievement would have resulted in downward adjustments.

The targeted performance and adjustments for each of these measures on a regional level, other than new restaurant weeks of operation, were used to calculate the team performance factor for corporate-level employees as well, except that the team performance factor for development employees, including Mr. Blessing, was based on four company-wide measures specific to the development department. The regional performance targets and variance adjustments were set at the regional level consistent with the scales reflected above for the company performance factor. We do not disclose operating results on a region-by-region basis. The measures used for the development department's team performance factor were new restaurant average daily sales and new weeks of operation (at the same target levels described above), as well as new restaurant development costs, which were targeted at \$762,429, and measures of the number of potential restaurant sites added to our pipeline. Disclosure of the targeted number of restaurant sites added to our pipeline would subject us to competitive harm. The performance target for this measure represents an expansion of our real estate pipeline to a level that would enable us to open restaurants at a higher rate than, and at a rate that we believe would allow our profit growth to exceed the profit growth of, our competitors. It would also represent an ability to capitalize on a relatively high percentage of the suitable restaurant sites that we believe become available in a given year. As such, we believe this target represented a challenge to our development team members, including Mr. Blessing, and although achievable, we believe meeting this target was substantially uncertain at the time it was set.

The key initiatives targeted for 2011 were developing great managers, developing outstanding crew, increasing effectiveness of field support staff, improving restaurant throughput, treasuring every customer, and development of our A model restaurants. The committee's discretionary determination of our level of achievement against these initiatives results in specified adjustments to the company performance factor, though the impact of adjustments attributable to the key initiatives is designed to be less than the other metrics impacting the company performance factor.

As a result of our strong performance during 2011, we exceeded the targeted operating income measure by about 17 percent, and greatly exceeded the targets for comparable restaurant sales and new restaurant average daily sales. Performance on these measures was strong enough to greatly offset our performance just below target on new restaurant weeks of operation. As a result, 2011 AIP bonuses throughout the company were based on a company performance factor at the capped level of 150 percent.

With regard to the team performance factor, the strong regional performance that led to our overachieving versus the applicable targets at the company level also led to a team performance factor at the maximum level of 150 percent for corporate employees (including each executive officer other than Mr. Blessing), and our strong performance with respect to new restaurant sales and the development of our new restaurant pipeline led to a team performance factor of 149 percent for corporate employees in our development group (including Mr. Blessing).

The committee determined the individual performance factor for each executive officer in view of the strong performance we achieved versus our targets and relative to our peers during 2011, and taking into account our continued restaurant growth and extraordinary growth in profitability in the midst of an operating environment for restaurant and other retail companies that remained uncertain. Using its subjective assessment of each executive's performance and overall contributions to our results and to positioning us for continued success, the committee arrived at individual performance factors that were used to calculate the final AIP payouts.

To determine the final amount of 2011 AIP bonus payouts, each executive officer's (and each other AIP participant's) targeted bonus amount was multiplied by the 150 percent company performance factor to arrive at an adjusted targeted award amount. The adjusted targeted award amount was then adjusted based on the applicable team performance factor, which was weighted at 30 percent, and the applicable individual performance factor, which was weighted at 70 percent, except for Mr. Blessing, for whom as with all of our development employees the team factor was weighted at 60 percent and the individual factor was weighted at 40 percent. As a result of these calculations, total 2011 AIP bonus payouts to the executive officers were 204 to 212 percent of targeted bonuses. The actual bonuses paid to the executive officers under the AIP are reflected in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table below.

Annual Incentives 2012 AIP Structure

At its meeting on February 6, 2012, the committee approved the parameters of the AIP for 2012, with the structure of the 2012 AIP remaining substantially the same as described above. The operating and financial performance targets and key initiatives to be used to determine the company and team performance factors for 2012 were set at or above the levels included in the internal projections we relied on in issuing publicly-stated guidance regarding our company performance expectations for 2012.

In addition, the committee reconfirmed the target AIP bonus for 2012 at 100 percent of base salary for Mr. Ells and Mr. Moran, 75 percent of base salary for Mr. Hartung, and 50 percent of base salary for Mr. Blessing and Mr. Crumacker.

Long-Term Incentives SOSAR Grants, Stock Vesting and Additional Performance Shares Granted during 2011

On February 11, 2011, the committee approved annual SOSAR grants to the executive officers as well as a broader population of key employees and top performers. The base price of the SOSARs is \$268.73, the closing price of our common stock on the date the committee approved the grants.

The committee based the number of SOSARs awarded to each executive officer on its determination of company performance and each officer's individual performance. In evaluating company performance, the committee considered our outperformance of substantially all of the companies in the restaurant industry peer group on the basis of sales growth, our extremely strong performance as compared to the peer group with respect

to net income growth, and our above-average total shareholder return as compared to the peer group, in each case for the time periods and based on the methodology as described above under Assessment of Company Performance. Evaluation of each officer's individual performance involved a subjective assessment by the committee of each executive officer's impact on and value to our business, as well as the individual's position and length of tenure.

Based on these determinations, and taking into consideration the survey data on competitive market practice, the committee made a subjective determination of the appropriate award size for each officer. The committee considered in particular that the economic value computed for these awards, which is used for the accounting expense to be recognized and the amount of compensation to be reported under the SEC's rules in connection with the awards, would be above the top end of our restaurant industry peer group. The committee believed that to be appropriate in light of the remarkable period of profitable growth over which our executive officers have presided, and the committee's belief that that growth was attributable substantially to the contributions of the officers. Moreover, rather than considering the value of these awards solely on the basis of the computed economic value for accounting and SEC reporting purposes (which has nothing to do with the amount of reward actually realized from the award), it is also important to consider that SOSARs reserve for the recipients a portion of the shareholder value created subsequent to receipt of the award. Because rewards from SOSARs will only be received if the stock price appreciates, they only result in realized rewards if shareholder value is created. The awards to each executive equate to the potential for each executive to share in between 0.48% (for our co-CEOs) and 0.04% (for our Chief Marketing Officer) of the overall value created over the term of the award, based on the percentage of total outstanding shares of common stock represented by each SOSAR award. We believe that this is a fair and reasonable allocation of shareholder value creation as between our overall shareholder base and the executive officer team. As a result of the committee's analysis, it approved awards of 150,000 SOSARs to Mr. Ells and Mr. Moran, 50,000 SOSARs to Mr. Hartung, 16,000 SOSARs to Mr. Blessing, and 14,000 SOSARs to Mr. Crumpacker.

In order to introduce an additional performance element to the SOSARs, the committee determined to impose performance vesting criteria on half of the SOSARs awarded to each executive officer. Vesting for these Performance SOSARs is contingent upon our achievement of stated levels of cumulative cash flow from operations prior to the fourth and fifth fiscal year-ends following the award date, with vesting to occur no sooner than the second and third anniversary of the grant date (with half of each Performance SOSAR subject to each such limit date). The committee believes that the cumulative cash flow from operations targets add an additional performance-based element to awards that, as discussed above, are already dependent on performance in order to return value to the recipient. This further reinforces the pay for performance philosophy on which our compensation programs are based.

Executive Stock Ownership Guidelines

Our Board of Directors has adopted stock ownership guidelines for our executive officers. These guidelines are intended to ensure that our executive officers retain ownership of a sufficient amount of Chipotle stock to align their interests in a meaningful way with those of our shareholders. Alignment of our employees' interests with those of our shareholders is a principal purpose of the equity component of our compensation program.

The ownership guidelines, reflected as a targeted number of shares to be owned, are presented below. The guidelines are reviewed for possible adjustment each year and may be adjusted by the committee at any time.

Position	# of shares
Co-Chief Executive Officers	31,000
Chief Financial Officer	7,000
Other executive officers	3,000

Shares underlying unvested restricted stock or restricted stock units count towards satisfaction of the guidelines, while shares underlying SOSARs (whether vested or unvested) and unearned performance shares do not count. Executive officers who do not meet the guidelines are allowed five years to acquire the requisite number of shares to comply. All of our executive officers meet the stock ownership guidelines.

Tax and Other Regulatory Considerations

Code Section 162(m)

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code provides that compensation of more than \$1,000,000 paid to the chief executive officer or to certain other executive officers of a public company will not be deductible for federal income tax purposes unless amounts above \$1,000,000 qualify for one of several exceptions. The committee's primary objective in designing executive compensation programs is to support and encourage the achievement of our company's strategic goals and to enhance long-term shareholder value. For these and other reasons, the committee has determined that it will not necessarily seek to limit executive compensation to the amount that will be fully deductible under Section 162(m). However, a substantial portion of each covered executive officer's compensation remains deductible under Section 162(m).

We have implemented a 2006 Cash Incentive Plan as an umbrella plan under which the AIP bonuses are paid in order to ensure that we can deduct the amount of the payouts from our reported income under Section 162(m). Under the 2006 Cash Incentive Plan, the committee sets maximum bonuses for each executive officer and other key employees. If the bonus amount determined under the AIP for participants in the 2006 Cash Incentive Plan is lower than the maximum bonus set under the 2006 Cash Incentive Plan, the committee has historically exercised discretion to pay the lower AIP bonus rather than the maximum bonus payable under the 2006 Cash Incentive Plan. In instances where the committee has determined to pay bonuses in excess of those determined under the AIP, such additional bonuses were paid under the 2006 Cash Incentive Plan and, in combination with AIP bonuses, were less than the maximum bonuses fixed under the 2006 Cash Incentive Plan.

Code Section 409A

Section 409A of the U.S. tax code generally changes the tax rules that affect most forms of deferred compensation that were not earned and vested prior to 2005. The committee takes Section 409A into account in determining the form and timing of compensation paid to our executive officers.

Accounting Rules

Various rules under generally accepted accounting principles determine the manner in which we account for equity-based compensation in our financial statements. The committee may consider the accounting treatment under Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718 (FASB Topic 718) of alternative grant proposals when determining the form and timing of equity compensation grants to our executive officers. The accounting treatment of such grants, however, is not generally determinative of the type, timing, or amount of any particular grant of equity-based compensation the committee determines to make.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation Committee reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis included in this Proxy Statement with management. Based on such review and discussion, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement for filing with the SEC.

The Compensation Committee.

Darlene J. Friedman, Chairperson

Patrick J. Flynn

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

The table below presents the total compensation we paid to each of our executive officers for services provided to us during the years presented. Amounts in the columns titled *Salary* and *Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation* include amounts deferred at the election of each executive officer and paid into one or more deferred compensation plans. Amounts in the columns titled *Stock Awards* and *Option Awards* reflect the grant date fair value of the identified stock-based compensation awards during the relevant year. Amounts in the column titled *Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation* were paid out (to the extent not deferred by the executive officer) under the AIP in March of the following year, including as described above under *Compensation Discussion and Analysis Discussion of Executive Officer Compensation Decisions Annual Incentives 2011 AIP Payouts*.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary	Bonus (1)	Stock Awards (2)	Option Awards (3)	Non-Equity		Total
						Incentive Plan Compensation (4)	All Other Compensation (5)	
Steve Ells <i>Chairman and Co-Chief Executive Officer</i>	2011	\$ 1,280,769			\$ 15,286,500	\$ 2,652,000	\$ 172,302	\$ 19,391,571
	2010	\$ 1,180,769		\$ 4,400,800	\$ 5,902,500	\$ 2,448,000	\$ 163,801	\$ 14,095,870
	2009	\$ 1,080,769	\$ 156,200		\$ 2,827,500	\$ 2,244,000	\$ 106,229	\$ 6,414,698
Monty Moran <i>Co-Chief Executive Officer</i>	2011	\$ 1,080,769			\$ 15,286,500	\$ 2,244,000	\$ 148,458	\$ 18,759,728
	2010	\$ 971,154		\$ 4,400,800	\$ 5,902,500	\$ 2,040,000	\$ 135,052	\$ 13,449,506
	2009	\$ 834,231	\$ 85,200		\$ 2,827,500	\$ 1,734,000	\$ 73,306	\$ 5,554,237
Jack Hartung <i>Chief Financial Officer</i>	2011	\$ 553,600			\$ 5,095,500	\$ 859,248	\$ 147,656	\$ 6,656,004
	2010	\$ 510,385		\$ 2,200,400	\$ 1,967,500	\$ 795,600	\$ 146,654	\$ 5,620,539
	2009	\$ 461,346	\$ 56,800		\$ 1,048,060	\$ 719,100	\$ 125,515	\$ 2,410,821
Bob Blessing (6) <i>Chief Development Officer</i>	2011	\$ 366,962			\$ 1,630,560	\$ 393,446	\$ 78,987	\$ 2,469,955
	2010	\$ 345,192		\$ 605,110	\$ 629,600	\$ 370,286	\$ 98,415	\$ 2,048,603
	2009	\$ 320,192			\$ 452,400	\$ 322,969	\$ 107,411	\$ 1,202,972
Mark Crumpacker <i>Chief Marketing Officer</i>	2011	\$ 328,961			\$ 1,426,740	\$ 339,660	\$ 78,927	\$ 2,174,288
	2010	\$ 309,692		\$ 605,110	\$ 511,550	\$ 301,860	\$ 78,273	\$ 1,806,485
	2009	\$ 288,462	\$ 250,000	\$ 850,680	\$ 377,000	\$ 282,375	\$ 128,763	\$ 2,177,279

- (1) Amounts under Bonus for 2009 for Mr. Ells, Mr. Moran and Mr. Hartung reflect discretionary bonuses paid to those officers in addition to their AIP bonus. The amount under Bonus for 2009 for Mr. Crumpacker reflects a hiring bonus paid in connection with his joining us as Chief Marketing Officer in January 2009.
- (2) Amounts under Stock Awards in 2010 represent the grant date fair value under FASB Topic 718 of performance shares awarded in 2010 and for which vesting was considered probable as of the grant date. The amount under Stock Awards in 2009 for Mr. Crumpacker represents the grant date fair value under FASB Topic 718 of performance shares awarded to Mr. Crumpacker at the time he joined us in January 2009, which vested in January 2012, based on the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant.
- (3) Amounts under Option Awards represent the grant date fair value under FASB Topic 718 of SOSARs awarded in the relevant year. See Note 5 to our financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2011, which are included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 10, 2012, for descriptions of the methodologies and assumptions we use to value SOSAR awards and the manner in which we recognize the related expense pursuant to FASB ASC Topic 718.
- (4) Amounts under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation represent the amounts earned under the AIP for the relevant year, as described under *Compensation Discussion and Analysis Discussion of Executive Officer Compensation Decisions Annual Incentives AIP Structure* and *2011 AIP Payouts*.
- (5) Amounts under All Other Compensation for 2011 include the following:

Matching contributions we made on the executive officers' behalf to the Chipotle Mexican Grill 401(K) plan as well as the Chipotle Supplemental Deferred Investment Plan, in the aggregate amounts of \$149,164 for Mr. Ells, \$124,844 for Mr. Moran, \$54,088 for Mr. Hartung, and \$29,691 for

Edgar Filing: CHIPOTLE MEXICAN GRILL INC - Form DEF 14A

Mr. Blessing. See Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation for 2011 below for a description of the Chipotle Supplemental Deferred Investment Plan.

Company car costs, which include the depreciation expense recognized on company-owned cars or lease payments on leased cars (in either case less employee payroll deductions), insurance premiums, and maintenance and fuel costs, or a monthly car allowance for officers who elect under the standard terms of our company-wide company car program to receive an allowance rather than a company car. Company car costs for Mr. Hartung were \$30,671, and for each other officer were less than \$25,000.

Housing costs, including monthly rent and utilities payments, of \$30,836 for Mr. Hartung, \$30,000 for Mr. Blessing, and \$39,611 for Mr. Crumpacker, as well as payments for reimbursement of taxes payable in connection with this benefit totaling \$9,077 for Mr. Hartung, \$2,911 for Mr. Blessing and \$21,155 for Mr. Crumpacker.

Commuting expenses, which include air fare, airport parking and ground transportation relating to travel between home and our company headquarters, for Mr. Hartung.

Term life insurance premium payments for each executive officer.

(6) Mr. Blessing became Chief Development Officer in February 2010.

GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS IN 2011

Name	Grant Date	Award Description	Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards (1)			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards (2)			All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (2)	Exercise or Base Price of Option (\$/Sh)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (3)
			Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (# shares)	Target (# shares)	Maximum (# shares)			
Steve Ells	2/11/11	SOSARs Performance							75,000	\$ 268.73	\$ 7,643,250
	2/11/11	SOSARs							75,000	\$ 268.73	\$ 7,643,250
	2/11/11	AIP	\$ 0	\$ 1,300,000	\$ 2,652,000						
Monty Moran	2/11/11	SOSARs Performance							75,000	\$ 268.73	\$ 7,643,250
	2/11/11	SOSARs							75,000	\$ 268.73	\$ 7,643,250
	2/11/11	AIP	\$ 0	\$ 1,100,000	\$ 2,244,000						
Jack Hartung	2/11/11	SOSARs Performance							25,000	\$ 268.73	\$ 2,547,750
	2/11/11	SOSARs							25,000	\$ 268.73	\$ 2,547,750
	2/11/11	AIP	\$ 0	\$ 421,200	\$ 859,248						
Bob Blessing	2/11/11	SOSARs Performance							8,000	\$ 268.73	\$ 815,280
	2/11/11	SOSARs							8,000	\$ 268.73	\$ 815,280
	2/11/11	AIP	\$ 0	\$ 185,500	\$ 545,370						
Mark Crumpacker	2/11/11	SOSARs Performance							7,000	\$ 268.73	\$ 713,370
	2/11/11	SOSARs							7,000	\$ 268.73	\$ 713,370
	2/11/11	AIP	\$ 0	\$ 166,500	\$ 339,660						

(1) Each executive officer was entitled to a cash award to be paid under our Amended and Restated 2006 Cash Incentive Plan, although as a matter of practice the Compensation Committee exercises discretion to pay each executive officer a lesser amount determined under the AIP as described under Compensation Discussion and Analysis Components of Compensation Annual Incentives, as adjusted in the committee's discretion when determined to be appropriate. Amounts under Threshold reflect that no payouts would be paid under the AIP if achievement against company targets under the AIP were sufficiently below target. Amounts under Target reflect the target AIP bonus, which would have been paid to the executive officer if each of the company performance factor, team performance factor and individual performance factor under the AIP had been set at 100 percent. Amounts under Maximum reflect the AIP bonus which would have been payable had each of the company performance factor, team performance factor and individual performance factor been at the maximum level. Actual AIP bonuses paid are reflected in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column of the table labeled Summary Compensation Table above.

Edgar Filing: CHIPOTLE MEXICAN GRILL INC - Form DEF 14A

- (2) All equity awards are denominated in shares of common stock, and were granted under the Amended and Restated Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan. See Terms of 2011 Equity-Based Awards below for a description of the vesting terms for the SOSARs and Performance SOSARs granted during 2011.
- (3) See Note 5 to our financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2011, which are included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 10, 2012, for descriptions of the methodologies and assumptions we use to value SOSAR and performance share awards pursuant to FASB Topic 718. The grant date fair value of equity awards is included in the Stock Awards or Option Awards columns of the Summary Compensation Table for each executive officer for 2011.

Terms of 2011 Equity-Based Awards

Each SOSAR represents the right to receive shares of common stock in an amount equal to (i) the excess of the market price of the common stock at the time of exercise over the base price of the SOSAR, divided by (ii) the market price of the common stock at the time of exercise. The base price of the SOSARs, \$268.73, was equal to the closing price of our common stock on the date the committee approved the grants. One half of the SOSARs granted to each officer are subject to vesting in equal amounts on the second and third anniversary of the grant date, and the remaining half are subject to vesting contingent upon our achievement of stated levels of cumulative cash flow from operations prior to the fourth and fifth fiscal year-ends following the award date, with

vesting to occur no sooner than the second and third anniversary of the awards (with half of each Performance SOSAR subject to each such time-based vesting date). Vesting of all of the SOSARs may also accelerate as described in the footnotes to the Equity Award Vesting table appearing below under Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-in-Control. We filed the form of SOSAR Agreements for these grants as an exhibit to our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on February 17, 2011.

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT DECEMBER 31, 2011

Name	Option Awards				Number of Shares of Stock That Have Not Vested	Market Value of Shares of Stock That Have Not Vested	Stock Awards	
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options	Option Exercise Price	Option Expiration Date			Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested
Steve Ells		75,000(1)	\$ 53.36	2/17/2016			10,000(2)	\$ 3,377,400(3)
		150,000(4)	\$ 103.79	2/16/2017				
		75,000(5)	\$ 268.73	2/11/2018				
		75,000(6)	\$ 268.73	2/11/2018(6)				
Monty Moran	16,000		\$ 102.65	2/20/2015			10,000(2)	\$ 3,377,400(3)
	10,000	75,000(1)	\$ 53.36	2/17/2016				
		150,000(4)	\$ 103.79	2/16/2017				
		75,000(5)	\$ 268.73	2/11/2018				
		75,000(6)	\$ 268.73	2/11/2018(6)				
Jack Hartung	24,500		\$ 102.65	2/20/2015			5,000(2)	\$ 1,688,700(3)
		27,800(1)	\$ 53.36	2/17/2016				
		50,000(4)	\$ 103.79	2/16/2017				
		25,000(5)	\$ 268.73	2/11/2018				
		25,000(6)	\$ 268.73	2/11/2018(6)				
Bob Blessing		12,000(1)	\$ 53.36	2/17/2016			1,375(2)	\$ 464,393(3)
		16,000(4)	\$ 103.79	2/16/2017				
		8,000(5)	\$ 268.73	2/11/2018				
		8,000(6)	\$ 268.73	2/11/2018(6)				
Mark Crumacker		10,000(1)	\$ 53.36	2/17/2016	13,600(7)	\$ 4,593,264(3)	1,375(2)	\$ 464,393(3)
		13,000(4)	\$ 103.79	2/16/2017				
		7,000(5)	\$ 268.73	2/11/2018				
		7,000(6)	\$ 268.73	2/11/2018(6)				

(1) SOSARs vested in full on February 17, 2012.

(2) Represents shares issuable under the 2010 performance share awards, assuming achievement at the threshold level of cumulative total cash flow from operations through September 30, 2013.

(3) Based on the closing stock price of our common stock on December 30, 2011 of \$337.74 per share.

(4) SOSARs vested or will vest in equal amounts on February 16, 2012 and 2013, subject to potential accelerated vesting of the unvested SOSARs as described in the footnotes to the table below under Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-in-Control.

Edgar Filing: CHIPOTLE MEXICAN GRILL INC - Form DEF 14A

- (5) SOSARs will vest in equal amounts on February 11, 2013 and 2014, subject to potential accelerated vesting of the unvested SOSARs as described in the footnotes to the table below under Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-in-Control.

- (6) Vesting of Performance SOSARs is contingent upon our achievement of stated levels of cumulative cash flow from operations prior to the fourth and fifth fiscal year-ends following the award date, with vesting to occur no sooner than February 11, 2013 and 2014 (with half of each Performance SOSAR subject to each such time-based vesting date). Vesting of Performance SOSARs may accelerate as described in the footnotes to the table below under Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-in-Control.

- (7) Represents shares of common stock remaining unvested from a performance share award received January 5, 2009. Performance criteria underlying the award were satisfied in October 2010, but under the terms of the awards the shares remained subject to time-based vesting until January 1, 2012, on which date they vested in full.

OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED IN 2011

The following table provides summary information about SOSARs exercised by our executive officers during 2011.

Name	Option Awards	
	Number of	Value
	Shares Acquired on Exercise	Realized on Exercise (1)
Steve Ells	165,500	\$ 36,355,405
Monty Moran	115,000	\$ 26,824,850
Jack Hartung	35,000	\$ 8,152,262
Bob Blessing	26,000	\$ 4,926,160
Mark Crumpacker	10,000	\$ 2,121,800

(1) Based upon the amount by which the closing price of our common stock on the date of exercise exceeded the base price of the SOSARs.

NON-QUALIFIED DEFERRED COMPENSATION FOR 2011

Our Supplemental Deferred Investment Plan permits eligible management employees who elect to participate in the plan, including our executive officers, to make contributions to deferral accounts once the participant has maximized his or her contributions to our 401(k) plan. Contributions are made on the participant's behalf through payroll deductions from 1 percent to 50 percent of the participant's monthly base compensation, which are credited to the participant's Supplemental Account, and from 1 percent to 100 percent of awards under the AIP, which are credited to the participant's Deferred Bonus Account. We also match contributions at the rate of 100 percent on the first 3 percent of compensation contributed and 50 percent on the next 2 percent of compensation contributed. Amounts contributed to a participant's deferral accounts are not subject to federal income tax at the time of contribution. Amounts credited to a participant's deferral accounts fluctuate in value to track a variety of available investment choices selected by the participant (which may be changed by the participant at any time), and are fully vested at all times following contribution.

Participants may elect to receive distribution of amounts credited to either or both of the participant's Supplemental Account or Deferred Bonus Account, in either (1) a lump sum amount paid from two to six years following the end of the year in which the deferral is made, subject to a one-time opportunity to postpone such lump sum distribution, or (2) a lump sum or installment distribution following termination of the participant's service with us, with installment payments made in accordance with the participant's election on a monthly, quarterly or annual basis over a period of up to 15 years following termination, subject to a one-time opportunity to change such distribution election within certain limitations. Distributions in respect of one or both of a participant's deferral accounts are subject to federal income tax as ordinary income in the year the distribution is made.

Amounts credited to participants' deferral accounts are un-funded, unsecured general obligations of ours to pay in the future the value of the accounts.

The table below presents contributions by each executive officer, and our matching contributions, to the Chipotle Supplemental Deferred Investment Plan during 2011, as well as each executive officer's earnings under the plan and ending balances in the plan on December 31, 2011.

Name	Executive Contributions in Last FY (1)	Registrant Contributions in Last FY (2)	Aggregate Earnings/(Losses) in Last FY (3)	Aggregate Withdrawals/Distributions	Aggregate Balance at Last FYE (4)
Steve Ells	\$ 174,205	\$ 139,364	\$ (29,581)	\$ 225,220	\$ 815,915
Monty Moran	\$ 337,605	\$ 115,044	\$ (45,161)	\$ 110,236	\$ 886,489
Jack Hartung	\$ 594,058	\$ 51,768	\$ (5,424)		\$ 2,220,702
Bob Blessing	\$ 67,035	\$ 19,891	\$ (5,105)		\$ 265,134
Mark Crumpacker					

- (1) These amounts are reported in the Summary Compensation Table as part of each executive's Salary for 2011.
- (2) These amounts are reported in the Summary Compensation Table as part of each executive's All Other Compensation for 2011.
- (3) These amounts are not reported as compensation in the Summary Compensation Table because none of the earnings are above market as defined in SEC rules.
- (4) These amounts include amounts previously reported in the Summary Compensation Table as Salary or All Other Compensation for years prior to 2011 (ignoring for purposes of this footnote any investment losses on balances in the plan), in the following aggregate amounts: \$535,893 for Mr. Ells, \$461,433 for Mr. Moran, \$1,153,733 for Mr. Hartung, and \$131,034 for Mr. Blessing.

McDonald's Excess Non-Qualified Plan and Non-Qualified Supplemental Plan

Prior to our separation from McDonald's in October 2006, our executive officers and other key employees were permitted to participate in non-qualified deferred compensation plans maintained by McDonald's. The McDonald's Excess Non-Qualified Plan and Non-Qualified Supplemental Plan provide substantially similar benefits to participants as our Supplemental Deferred Investment Plan, except that the investment and distribution options in the McDonald's plans are different than those in our plan. Effective with our separation from McDonald's, our employees' service with McDonald's was deemed to have terminated, and the balances in these plans will be distributed in accordance with each participant's distribution elections. Our employees are no longer permitted to contribute to these plans, but the balances remaining in the plans in respect of our executive officers are attributable in part to service as one of our employees.

The table below presents, for each executive officer with a balance remaining in either McDonald's plan, the officer's aggregate earnings under and aggregate withdrawals from the plans during 2011, as well as each executive officer's aggregate ending balances in the plans as of December 31, 2011.

Name	Executive Contributions in Last FY	Registrant Contributions in Last FY	Aggregate Earnings in Last FY (1)	Aggregate Withdrawals/Distributions	Aggregate Balance at Last FYE (2)
Steve Ells			\$ 121	\$ 13,512	
Jack Hartung			\$ 67,610	\$ 34,471	\$ 1,589,300

- (1) These amounts are not reported as compensation in the Summary Compensation Table because none of the earnings are above market as defined in SEC rules.

Edgar Filing: CHIPOTLE MEXICAN GRILL INC - Form DEF 14A

- (2) These amounts include amounts previously reported in the Summary Compensation Table as Salary or All Other Compensation for 2006 (ignoring for purposes of this footnote any investment losses on balances in the plans), in the following aggregate amounts: \$55,652 for Mr. Ells and \$140,647 for Mr. Hartung.

POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE-IN-CONTROL

We have not entered into written employment, change-in-control, severance or similar agreements with any of our employees, including our executive officers. Accordingly, we do not have any written agreements requiring that we make post-employment severance payments to the executive officers in the event their employment terminates. In addition, payouts under the AIP are conditioned on the employee being employed as of the end of the year for which the payout relates. We have in the past paid severance to executives or other key employees who have left us, and we may negotiate individual severance arrangements with any executive officer whose employment with us terminates, depending on the circumstances of the executive's termination.

The terms of the equity-based awards made to our executive officers do provide for post-employment benefits in certain circumstances. The table below reflects the dollar value, based on the closing price of our common stock on December 31, 2011, of the amount of each listed type of equity award which would have been realizable by each executive officer (including as a result of acceleration of vesting) had the executive's employment terminated as of December 31, 2011 for the reasons identified in the table.

Potential Amounts Realizable Upon Termination Under Equity Awards

Name	Termination for Cause (1)	Termination Without Cause (1)	Voluntary Resignation Without Good Reason (2)	Voluntary Resignation with Good Reason (2)	Retirement (3)	Qualifying Termination Following Change in Control (4)	Death/ Disability (5)
Steve Ells							
SOSARs (6)					N/A	\$ 66,772,500	\$ 66,772,500
Performance Shares					N/A	\$ 6,754,800	\$ 2,468,542
Total	\$	\$	\$	\$	N/A	\$ 73,527,300	\$ 69,241,042
Monty Moran							
SOSARs (6)					N/A	\$ 66,772,500	\$ 66,772,500
Performance Shares					N/A	\$ 6,754,800	\$ 2,468,542
Total	\$	\$	\$	\$	N/A	\$ 73,527,300	\$ 69,241,042
Jack Hartung							
SOSARs (6)					\$ 23,053,764	\$ 23,053,764	\$ 23,053,764
Performance Shares					\$ 1,234,440	\$ 3,377,400	\$ 1,234,440
Total	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 24,288,204	\$ 26,431,164	\$ 24,288,204
Bob Blessing							
SOSARs (6)					\$ 8,259,920	\$ 8,259,920	\$ 8,259,920
Performance Shares					\$ 339,429	\$ 928,785	\$ 339,429
Total	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 8,599,349	\$ 9,188,705	\$ 8,599,349
Mark Crumpacker							
SOSARs (6)					N/A	\$ 9,695,090	\$ 9,695,090
Performance Shares					N/A	\$ 5,522,049	\$ 4,928,640
Total	\$	\$	\$	\$	N/A	\$ 15,217,139	\$ 14,623,730

(1) In the event of termination for cause, as defined in the plan under which the award was granted, of the employment of the holder of an equity award, all unvested equity awards, as well as vested SOSARs, terminate immediately. Cause under our 2011 Stock Incentive Plan generally means an award holder's failure to perform his or her duties, willful misconduct or gross negligence, breach of fiduciary duties to us, unauthorized use of company information, or commission of a felony involving moral turpitude.

(2) Under our 2011 Stock Incentive Plan, good reason generally means a reduction in an employee's responsibilities or pay, or a change by more than 30 miles in the location of an employee's job.

(3)

Edgar Filing: CHIPOTLE MEXICAN GRILL INC - Form DEF 14A

Certain outstanding equity awards provide that the holder is eligible for retirement when the employee reaches a combined age and years-of-service with us (and with McDonald's Corporation unless there was a break in service prior to joining us from McDonald's) of 70. Of the executive officers, only Mr. Hartung and Mr. Blessing are eligible for retirement.

In the event the employment with us of a holder of SOSARs terminates as a result of the holder's retirement, provided we receive six months' prior written notice of the retirement and the holder executes an agreement not to engage in any competitive activity with us for a period of at least two years following retirement, any SOSARs scheduled to vest on or before the third anniversary of the retirement date vest immediately and any remaining unvested SOSARs are terminated. Because our currently-outstanding SOSARs have a three year vesting term, all unvested SOSARs held by retirement-eligible employees would vest upon the holder's retirement. SOSARs vested on or before the holder's retirement remain exercisable for a period of three years following the holder's retirement.

In the event the employment with us of a holder of performance shares terminates as a result of the holder's retirement, the performance shares will be paid out on the payout date, with the number of shares issuable to be based on actual performance over the performance period and pro-rated in an amount equal to the period of the holder's service with us following the grant of the award as a percentage of the time period from the grant of the award until the end of the performance period. The amounts reflected in the table as realizable in respect of the performance shares as a result of the retirement of the retirement-eligible officers assumes that the performance shares actually paid out at target.

- (4) The award agreement for SOSARs granted in 2009 and 2010 provides that in the event of a change in control under our 2011 Stock Incentive Plan, unless the SOSARs are replaced with an award meeting the criteria described below under Equity Award Vesting Upon Change in Control, the SOSARs immediately vest. One of the provisions required to be included in a replacement award in order to avoid vesting of the SOSARs immediately upon occurrence of a change in control is that the replacement award must provide that if the employment of the holder is terminated without cause or by the holder for good reason, in each case as defined in the plan, the award will vest.

A change in control would generally be deemed to occur under the plan in the event any person or group acquires shares of our common stock representing greater than 25 percent of the combined voting power of our outstanding common stock, or in the event our current directors, or persons we nominate to replace current directors, do not constitute at least a majority of our Board, or in the event of certain mergers, liquidations, or sales of substantially all of our assets by us.

The award agreement for our outstanding performance shares provides that in the event of a change in control under the plan that also constitutes a change in the ownership or effective control of a corporation, or a change in the ownership of a substantial portion of the assets of a corporation under applicable U.S. Treasury Regulations, the performance shares remain outstanding and vesting will accelerate (with payout at target level performance) in the event the employment of the holder is terminated without cause or by the holder for good reason within two years following the change in control. In the event of a change in control under the plan that also constitutes a change in the ownership of a corporation or a change in the ownership of a substantial portion of a corporation's assets under applicable U.S. Treasury Regulations, unless the performance shares are replaced with an award meeting the criteria described below under Equity Award Vesting Upon Change in Control, the performance shares immediately vest at target level performance. One of the provisions required to be included in a replacement award in order to avoid vesting of the performance shares immediately upon occurrence of such a change in control is that the replacement award must provide that if the employment of the holder is terminated without cause or due to death or disability of the holder, or by the holder for good reason, in each case as defined in our 2011 Stock Incentive Plan, the award will vest.

- (5) In the event the employment with us of a holder of SOSARs terminates as a result of the holder's death or disability (that is, a medically diagnosed permanent physical or mental inability to perform his or her job), all of the holder's unvested SOSARs will vest and become immediately exercisable, and will remain outstanding and exercisable for a period of three years following the holder's death or disability.

In the event the employment with us of a holder of performance shares terminates as a result of the holder's death or disability, the performance shares will be paid out on the payout date, with the number of shares issuable to be based on actual performance over the performance period and pro-rated in an amount equal to the period of the holder's service with us following the grant of the award as a percentage of the time period from the grant of the award until the end of the performance period. The amounts reflected in the table as realizable in respect of the performance shares as a result of the death or disability of each executive officer assumes that the performance shares actually paid out at target.

Edgar Filing: CHIPOTLE MEXICAN GRILL INC - Form DEF 14A

Additionally, the performance conditions on the performance shares issued to Mr. Crumpacker at the time he joined us in January 2009 have been satisfied but the award was subject to time-based vesting that expired on January 1, 2012. The additional amount reflected for performance shares in this column for Mr. Crumpacker reflects the value of the pro-rated award issuable to him based on his service from his start date through December 31, 2011.

- (6) The dollar values reflected in the table are based on the excess of the closing price of our common stock on December 31, 2011 over the exercise price of the applicable SOSARs.

Equity Award Vesting Upon Change in Control

In addition to the provisions described above relating to equity-based awards for which vesting may accelerate in connection with a termination of the holder's employment, our outstanding SOSARs and performance shares have provisions providing for the acceleration of vesting in connection with certain changes in control of Chipotle.

SOSARs

The award agreement for outstanding SOSARs provides that in the event of a change in control under our 2011 Stock Incentive Plan, any unvested SOSARs will automatically vest as of the date of the change in control, unless the SOSARs are replaced with an award meeting the following criteria:

the replacement award must be denominated in securities listed on a national securities exchange;

the replacement award must have a value equal to the SOSARs being replaced, including an aggregate exercise or base price equal to the aggregate base price of such SOSARs, an aggregate spread equal to the aggregate spread of such SOSARs as determined immediately prior to the relevant change in control, and a ratio of exercise price or base price to the fair market value of the securities subject to such replacement award that is equal to the ratio of base price of such SOSARs to the price of our common stock at the time of the change in control;

the vesting date(s) of the replacement award must be the same as the vesting date(s) of the performance-contingent restricted stock, subject to full acceleration of vesting of the replacement award in the event that the holder's employment is terminated by the surviving or successor entity without cause or by the holder for good reason, in each case as defined in the plan; and

the replacement award must provide for immediate vesting upon any transaction with respect to the surviving or successor entity (or parent or subsidiary company thereof) of substantially similar character to a change in control as defined in the plan, or upon the securities constituting such replacement award ceasing to be listed on a national securities exchange.

In the event of a change in control under the plan as of December 31, 2011, if SOSARs outstanding on that date were not replaced with replacement awards meeting the criteria specified above, the executive officers would have had vesting accelerated on awards with the following dollar values as of that date:

Executive Officer	Value of Vested Award
Steve Ells	\$ 66,772,500
Monty Moran	\$ 66,772,500
Jack Hartung	\$ 23,053,764
Bob Blessing	\$ 8,259,920
Mark Crumpacker	\$ 9,695,090

Performance Shares

The award agreement for our outstanding performance share awards provide that in the event of a change in control under the plan that also constitutes a change in the ownership or effective control of a corporation, or a change in the ownership of a substantial portion of the assets of a corporation under applicable U.S. Treasury Regulations, the performance share awards remain outstanding and vesting will only accelerate in the event the employment of the holder is terminated without cause or by the holder for good reason within two years following the change in control.

In the event of a change in control under the plan that also constitutes a change in the ownership of a corporation or a change in the ownership of a substantial portion of a corporation's assets under applicable U.S. Treasury Regulations, the performance share awards immediately vest unless they are replaced with an award meeting the following criteria:

the replacement award must consist of securities listed on a national securities exchange;

the replacement award must have a value equal to the value of the unvested performance share assuming the target level of performance, calculated as if each unvested share were exchanged for the consideration (including all stock, other securities or assets, including cash) payable for one share of common stock in the change in control transaction;

the vesting date of the replacement award must be September 30, 2012, subject to full acceleration of vesting of the replacement award in the event that the holder's employment is terminated by the surviving or successor entity without cause or by the holder for good reason, in each case as defined in the plan, or the holder's employment terminates due to the holder's medically diagnosed permanent physical or mental inability to perform his or her job duties; and

the replacement award must provide for immediate vesting upon any transaction with respect to the surviving or successor entity (or parent or subsidiary company thereof) of substantially similar character to a change in control as defined in the plan, or the securities constituting such replacement award ceasing to be listed on a national securities exchange.

In the event of such a change in control under the plan as of December 31, 2011, if the outstanding performance share awards were not replaced with a replacement award meeting the criteria specified above, the executive officers would have had vesting accelerated on awards with the following dollar values as of that date:

Executive Officer	Value of Vested Award
Steve Ells	\$ 6,754,800
Monty Moran	\$ 6,754,800
Jack Hartung	\$ 3,377,400
Bob Blessing	\$ 928,785
Mark Crumpacker	\$ 5,522,049(1)

- (1) In addition to the performance share award described above, Mr. Crumpacker also holds an award of performance shares issued at the time he joined us in January 2009, on which the performance criteria have been satisfied but which remained subject to time-based vesting as of December 31, 2011. The terms regarding change of control are substantially the same as described above, except that the number of shares underlying such award is fixed, such that the replacement award described above must have a value equal to the value of the number of shares underlying the award (rather than the number of shares assuming target level performance as described in the second bullet above), and the vesting date for such award must have been March 1, 2012. These performance shares vested in full on January 1, 2012.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires our officers and directors and holders of greater than 10 percent of our outstanding common stock to file initial reports of their ownership of our equity securities and reports of changes in ownership with the SEC. Based solely on a review of the copies of such reports furnished to us and written representations from our officers and directors, we believe that all Section 16(a) filing requirements were complied with on a timely basis in 2011, except that a Form 4 reporting two exercises of stock appreciation rights and sales of the underlying shares of common stock by Steve Ells, a Form 4 reporting two exercises of stock appreciation rights and sales of the underlying shares of common stock by Monty Moran, and a Form 4 reporting an exercise of stock appreciation rights and sale of the underlying shares of common stock by Jack Hartung, were each erroneously filed one day late by a service provider.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Transactions Related to ANGR Holdings, LLC

During 2010, we agreed to be the prize sponsor for the network television program America's Next Great Restaurant. Our founder, Chairman and Co-Chief Executive Officer, Steve Eells, served as a judge on the America's Next Great Restaurant program, and as part of the terms of his involvement with the program was a co-investor in ANGR Holdings, LLC, the entity formed to operate the restaurants awarded as a prize on the program. From the time of Mr. Eells's agreement to serve as a judge on the program, our intent was for Chipotle to ultimately hold the entire interest in ANGR Holdings, and accordingly, in June 2011, we purchased his interest in the entity for \$220,000, the amount of the cash contribution originally made by Mr. Eells.

Agreements with Sequence LLC

Mark Crumpacker, our Chief Marketing Officer, served as Creative Director for Sequence, LLC, a strategic design and marketing consulting firm he co-founded in 2002, prior to joining us in January 2009. Sequence provided us with a variety of marketing consulting services during 2011 under a master services agreement, and we expect to continue to work with Sequence during 2012. Sequence has issued Mr. Crumpacker a promissory note in connection with his separation from them, has agreed to license certain intellectual property from him, and he also retains a call right to purchase a minority interest in Sequence at any time prior to 2012. We paid Sequence a total of \$736,785 in fees during 2011, and \$84,215 in fees in the first two months of 2012.

Registration Rights

Prior to our initial public offering, certain of our current shareholders, including Steve Eells, our Chairman and Co-Chief Executive Officer, Monty Moran, our Co-Chief Executive Officer and member of our Board of Directors, and Albert S. Baldocchi and Darlene J. Friedman, members of our Board, entered into a registration rights agreement with us relating to shares of common stock they held at the time the agreement was executed. Under the agreement, these directors are entitled to piggyback registration rights with respect to registration statements we file under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, subject to customary restrictions and pro rata reductions in the number of shares to be sold in an offering. We would be responsible for the expenses of any such registration.

Director and Officer Indemnification

We have entered into agreements to indemnify our directors and executive officers, in addition to the indemnification provided for in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws. These agreements, among other things, provide for indemnification of our directors and executive officers for certain expenses (including attorneys' fees), judgments, fines and settlement amounts incurred by any such person in any action or proceeding, including any action by or in the right of our company, arising out of such person's services as a director or executive officer of ours, any subsidiary of ours or any other company or enterprise to which the person provided services at our request. We believe that these provisions and agreements are necessary to attract and retain qualified persons as directors and executive officers.

OTHER BUSINESS AND MISCELLANEOUS

The Board and our management do not know of any other matters to be presented at the annual meeting. If other matters do properly come before the annual meeting, it is intended that the persons named in the accompanying proxy vote the proxy in accordance with their best judgment on such matters.

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS AND NOMINATIONS FOR 2013 ANNUAL MEETING

Inclusion of Proposals in Our Proxy Statement and Proxy Card under the SEC's Rules.

Any proposal of a shareholder intended to be included in our proxy statement and form of proxy/voting instruction card for the 2013 annual meeting of shareholders pursuant to SEC Rule 14a-8 must be received by us no later than December 10, 2012, unless the date of our 2013 annual meeting is more than 30 days before or after May 31, 2013, in which case the proposal must be received a reasonable time before we begin to print and send our proxy materials. All proposals should be addressed to Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc., 1401 Wynkoop Street, Suite 500, Denver, CO 80202, Attn: Corporate Secretary.

Bylaw Requirements for Shareholder Submission of Nominations and Proposals.

A shareholder nomination of a person for election to our Board of Directors or a proposal for consideration at our 2013 annual meeting must be submitted in accordance with the advance notice procedures and other requirements set forth in Article II of our bylaws. These requirements are separate from, and in addition to, the requirements discussed above to have the shareholder nomination or other proposals included in our proxy statement and form of proxy/voting instruction card pursuant to the SEC's rules. Our bylaws require that the proposal or nomination must be received by our corporate Secretary at the above address no earlier than the close of business on January 31, 2013, and no later than the close of business on March 2, 2013, unless the date of the 2013 annual meeting is more than 30 days before or after May 31, 2013. If the date of the 2013 annual meeting is more than 30 days before or after May 31, 2013, we must receive the proposal or nomination no earlier than the 120th day before the meeting date and no later than the 90th day before the meeting date, or if the date of the meeting is announced less than 100 days prior to the meeting date, no later than the tenth day following the day on which public disclosure of the date of the 2013 annual meeting is made.

AVAILABILITY OF SEC FILINGS, CORPORATE GOVERNANCE GUIDELINES, CODE OF CONDUCT, CODES OF ETHICS AND COMMITTEE CHARTERS

Copies of our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Current Reports on Form 8-K and all amendments to those reports filed with the SEC, our Code of Conduct, Codes of Ethics, Corporate Governance Guidelines, the charters of the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, and any reports of beneficial ownership of our common stock filed by executive officers, directors and beneficial owners of more than 10 percent of the outstanding shares of either class of our common stock are posted on and may be obtained on the Investors page of our website at www.chipotle.com without charge, or may be requested (exclusive of exhibits), at no cost by mail to Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc., 1401 Wynkoop Street, Suite 500, Denver, CO 80202, Attn: Corporate Secretary.

DELIVERY OF MATERIALS TO SHAREHOLDERS WITH SHARED ADDRESSES

Beneficial holders who own their shares through a broker, bank or other nominee and who share an address with another such beneficial owner are only being sent one Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or set of proxy materials, unless such holders have provided contrary instructions. If you wish to receive a separate copy of these materials or if you are receiving multiple copies and would like to receive a single copy, please contact Chipotle investor relations by phone at (303) 222-2552, by writing to Investor Relations, Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc., 1401 Wynkoop Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado, or by email to ir@chipotle.com.

MISCELLANEOUS

If you request physical delivery of these proxy materials, we will mail along with the proxy materials our 2011 Annual Report, including our Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year 2011 (and the financial statements included in that report) as filed with the SEC; however, it is not intended that the Annual Report or Form 10-K be a part of the proxy statement or a solicitation of proxies.

You are respectfully urged to enter your vote instruction via the Internet as explained on the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials that was mailed to you, or if you are a holder of record and have received a proxy card, via telephone as explained on the proxy card. We will appreciate your prompt response.

By order of the Board of Directors

/s/ Monty Moran
Co-Chief Executive Officer, Secretary and Director

April 9, 2012

VOTE BY INTERNET - www.proxyvote.com

Use the Internet to transmit your voting instructions and for electronic delivery of information up until 11:59 P.M. Eastern Time the day before the cut-off date or meeting date. Have your proxy card in hand when you access the web site and follow the instructions to obtain your records and to create an electronic voting instruction form.

VOTE BY PHONE - 1-800-690-6903

Use any touch-tone telephone to transmit your voting instructions up until 11:59 P.M. Eastern Time the day before the cut-off date or meeting date. Have your proxy card in hand when you call and then follow the instructions.

VOTE BY MAIL

Mark, sign and date your proxy card and return it in the postage-paid envelope we have provided or return it to Vote Processing, c/o Broadridge, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, NY 11717.

TO VOTE, MARK BLOCKS BELOW IN BLUE OR BLACK INK AS FOLLOWS:

x
KEEP THIS PORTION FOR YOUR RECORDS
DETACH AND RETURN THIS PORTION ONLY

THIS PROXY CARD IS VALID ONLY WHEN SIGNED AND DATED.

	For All	Withhold All	For All Except	To withhold authority to vote for any individual nominee(s), mark For All Except and write the number(s) of the nominee(s) on the line below.
The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR the following:	

1. Election of Directors

Nominees

01 Steve Ells 02 Patrick J. Flynn

The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR proposals 2 and 3.

For Against Abstain

2 An advisory vote to approve the compensation of our executive officers as disclosed in our proxy statement.
---	----	----	----

3 Ratification of the selection of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31,2012.
---	----	----	----

The Board of Directors recommends you vote AGAINST the following proposal:

For Against Abstain

4 Proposal to declassify the Board of Directors.
--	----	----	----

Edgar Filing: CHIPOTLE MEXICAN GRILL INC - Form DEF 14A

NOTE: The shares represented by this proxy when properly executed will be voted in the manner directed herein by the undersigned Shareholder(s). If any other matters properly come before the meeting, or if cumulative voting is required, the person named in this proxy will vote in their discretion.

Please sign exactly as your name(s) appear(s) hereon. When signing as attorney, executor, administrator, or other fiduciary, please give full title as such. Joint owners should each sign personally. All holders must sign. If a corporation or partnership, please sign in full corporate or partnership name, by authorized officer.

For address change/comments, mark here.
(see reverse for instructions)

Yes No

Please indicate if you plan to attend this meeting

.. ..

Materials Election - Check this box if you want to receive a complete set of future proxy materials by mail, at no extra cost. If you do not take action you may receive only a Notice to inform you of the Internet availability of proxy materials.

..

Investor Address Line 1

Investor Address Line 2

Investor Address Line 3

Investor Address Line 4

Investor Address Line 5

John Sample

1234 ANYWHERE STREET

ANY CITY, ON A1A 1A1

Signature [PLEASE SIGN WITHIN BOX]	Date	JOB #	Signature (Joint Owners)	Date	SHARES
					CUSIP #
					SEQUENCE #



Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting:

The Notice and Proxy Statement, Annual Report to Shareholders and Form 10-K are available at www.proxyvote.com.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting: The Combined Document is/are available at www.proxyvote.com.



SOLICITED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

May 31, 2012

The shareholder(s), revoking all prior proxies, hereby appoint(s) Steve Ells, Monty Moran, and Jack Hartung, or any of them, as proxies, each with the power to appoint his substitute, and hereby authorizes them to represent and to vote, as designated on the reverse side of this ballot, all of the shares of common stock of Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc. that the shareholder(s) is/are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held at 8:00 A.M. Mountain Time on May 31, 2012, at The Westin Denver Downtown, 1672 Lawrence Street, Denver, Colorado, and any adjournment or postponement thereof.

THIS PROXY, WHEN PROPERLY EXECUTED, WILL BE VOTED AS DIRECTED BY THE SHAREHOLDER(S). IF NO SUCH DIRECTIONS ARE MADE, THIS PROXY WILL BE VOTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDATION.

PLEASE MARK, SIGN, DATE, AND RETURN THIS PROXY PROMPTLY USING THE ENCLOSED REPLY ENVELOPE

Address change / comments:

(If you noted any Address Changes and/or Comments above, please mark corresponding box on the reverse side.)

CONTINUED AND TO BE SIGNED ON REVERSE SIDE