

TREX CO INC
Form 10-Q
July 27, 2012
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

X **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012

OR

.. **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

Commission File Number: 001-14649

Trex Company, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: TREX CO INC - Form 10-Q

| | |
|--|--|
| Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) | 54-1910453 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.) |
| 160 Exeter Drive | |
| Winchester, Virginia (Address of principal executive offices) | 22603-8605 (Zip Code) |
| Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (540) 542-6300 | |

Not Applicable

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.:

| | |
|--|---|
| Large accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> | Accelerated filer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Non-accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> | Smaller reporting company <input type="checkbox"/> |

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act): Yes No

The number of shares of the registrant's common stock, par value \$.01 per share, outstanding at July 17, 2012 was 16,797,749 shares.

Table of Contents

TREX COMPANY, INC.

INDEX

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| <u>PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u> | 1 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2012 (unaudited) and December 31, 2011</u> | 1 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 (unaudited) and 2011</u> | 2 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 (unaudited) and 2011</u> | 3 |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u> | 4 |
| <u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 11 |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 14 |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u> | 14 |
| <u>PART II OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | 16 |
| <u>Item 5. Other Information</u> | 16 |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | 18 |

Table of Contents**PART I****FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements****TREX COMPANY, INC.****Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets**

(In thousands)

| | June 30, 2012 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2011 |
|--|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 50,571 | \$ 4,526 |
| Restricted cash | 45,938 | 37,000 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 48,852 | 29,192 |
| Inventories | 13,438 | 28,896 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 1,597 | 2,118 |
| Income taxes receivable | 597 | 322 |
| Total current assets | 160,993 | 102,054 |
| Property, plant, and equipment, net | 109,830 | 115,212 |
| Goodwill and other intangibles | 10,554 | 10,558 |
| Other assets | 1,205 | 266 |
| Total assets | \$ 282,582 | \$ 228,090 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 13,584 | \$ 11,892 |
| Accrued expenses | 20,980 | 16,187 |
| Accrued warranty | 5,840 | 6,000 |
| Deferred income taxes | 124 | 124 |
| Line of credit | 25,000 | |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 91,875 | 86,425 |
| Total current liabilities | 157,403 | 120,628 |
| Deferred income taxes | 2,819 | 2,819 |
| Non-current accrued warranty | 8,101 | 10,345 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 1,740 | 1,799 |
| Total liabilities | 170,063 | 135,591 |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 3,000,000 shares authorized; none issued and outstanding | | |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 40,000,000 shares authorized; 15,729,152 and 15,602,132 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively | 157 | 156 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 99,254 | 99,885 |
| Retained earnings (deficit) | 13,108 | (7,542) |

Edgar Filing: TREX CO INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|------------|------------|
| Total stockholders' equity | 112,519 | 92,499 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 282,582 | \$ 228,090 |

See Accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated

Financial Statements (Unaudited).

Table of Contents**TREX COMPANY, INC.****Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income**

(Unaudited)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|------------|------------------------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net sales | \$ 94,279 | \$ 78,405 | \$ 190,379 | \$ 147,412 |
| Cost of sales | 60,689 | 54,863 | 121,369 | 100,840 |
| Gross profit | 33,590 | 23,542 | 69,010 | 46,572 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 20,866 | 17,364 | 39,467 | 34,021 |
| Income from operations | 12,724 | 6,178 | 29,543 | 12,551 |
| Interest expense, net | 4,299 | 4,010 | 8,710 | 7,974 |
| Income before income taxes | 8,425 | 2,168 | 20,833 | 4,577 |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | 86 | 62 | 182 | (2,586) |
| Net income | \$ 8,339 | \$ 2,106 | \$ 20,651 | \$ 7,163 |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$ 0.54 | \$ 0.14 | \$ 1.33 | \$ 0.47 |
| Basic weighted average common shares outstanding | 15,571,207 | 15,397,476 | 15,522,492 | 15,345,529 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$ 0.48 | \$ 0.12 | \$ 1.22 | \$ 0.42 |
| Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding | 17,210,177 | 17,153,179 | 16,964,082 | 16,982,058 |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 8,339 | \$ 2,106 | \$ 20,651 | \$ 7,347 |

See Accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated

Financial Statements (Unaudited).

Table of Contents**TREX COMPANY, INC.****Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**

(Unaudited)

(In thousands)

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Operating Activities | | |
| Net income | \$ 20,651 | \$ 7,163 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 8,704 | 9,839 |
| Debt discount amortization | 5,450 | 4,645 |
| Stock-based compensation | 1,693 | 1,581 |
| Deferred income taxes | | 232 |
| Loss on disposal of property, plant and equipment | 16 | 27 |
| Other non-cash adjustments | (314) | (127) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accounts receivable | (19,654) | 6,349 |
| Inventories | 15,459 | (10,015) |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 185 | (1,208) |
| Accounts payable | 1,692 | (96) |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 2,129 | (15,069) |
| Income taxes receivable/payable | (64) | (52) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 35,947 | 3,269 |
| Investing Activities | | |
| Expenditures for property, plant and equipment | (2,938) | (4,864) |
| Purchase of acquired company, net of cash acquired | (11) | (2,002) |
| Notes receivable, net | 57 | 36 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (2,892) | (6,830) |
| Financing Activities | | |
| Financing costs | (750) | |
| Principal payments under mortgages and notes | | (2,542) |
| Borrowings under line of credit | 77,700 | |
| Principal payments under line of credit | (52,700) | |
| Change in restricted cash | (8,938) | |
| Repurchases of common stock | (2,784) | (3,037) |
| Proceeds from employee stock purchase and option plans | 462 | 1,286 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 12,990 | (4,293) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 46,045 | (7,854) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 4,526 | 27,270 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 50,571 | \$ 19,416 |

Edgar Filing: TREX CO INC - Form 10-Q

Supplemental Disclosure:

| | | |
|---|----------|----------|
| Cash paid for interest, net of capitalized interest | \$ 2,931 | \$ 3,374 |
| Cash paid for income taxes, net | \$ 293 | \$ 360 |

See Accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated

Financial Statements (Unaudited).

Table of Contents

TREX COMPANY, INC.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

(Unaudited)

1. BUSINESS AND ORGANIZATION

Trex Company, Inc. (the Company) is the largest manufacturer of wood-alternative decking and railing products, which are marketed under the brand name Trex®. The Company is incorporated in Delaware. The principal executive offices are located at 160 Exeter Drive, Winchester, Virginia 22603, and the telephone number at that address is (540) 542-6300. The Company operates in one business segment.

2. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for interim financial information and the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal and recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements. The consolidated results of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the full fiscal year. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011 included in the annual report of Trex Company, Inc. on Form 10-K, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The Company's critical accounting policies are included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

In 2011, the Company completed the acquisition of substantially all of the assets of Iron Deck Corporation, a manufacturer of steel deck-framing systems located in Denver, Colorado, for approximately \$2 million in cash plus the assumption of certain liabilities. As a result of the acquisition, the Company recorded an increase of \$3.7 million to Goodwill. The provisions of the purchase agreement allow for future payments contingent upon certain future sales targets. The contingent payments were estimated as purchase consideration at the acquisition date and may be revised if actual sales differ from projected sales.

3. NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

Accounting Pronouncements Recently Adopted

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-05, *Presentation of Comprehensive Income*. ASU 2011-05 requires the components of net income and other comprehensive income to be either presented in one continuous statement, referred to as the statement of comprehensive income, or in two separate, but consecutive statements. The current option to report other comprehensive income and its components in the statement of stockholders' equity is eliminated. An entity is also required to present on the face of the financial statements reclassification adjustments for items that are reclassified from other comprehensive income to net income in the statement(s) where the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income are presented. In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-12, *Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in ASU No. 2011-05*, which defers the effective date for the portion of ASU 2011-05 that pertains to the presentation of reclassification adjustments out of accumulated other comprehensive income. While ASU 2011-05 changes the presentation of comprehensive income, there are no changes to the components that are recognized in net income or other comprehensive income under current accounting guidance. The Company adopted ASU 2011-05 effective January 1, 2012. As this guidance only amends the presentation of the components of comprehensive income, the adoption did not have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

4. INVENTORIES

Inventories, at LIFO (last-in, first-out) value, consist of the following (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: TREX CO INC - Form 10-Q

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| Finished goods | \$ 22,055 | \$ 29,980 |
| Raw materials | 18,721 | 27,134 |
| Total FIFO inventories | 40,776 | 57,114 |
| Reserve to adjust inventories to LIFO value | (27,338) | (28,218) |
| Total LIFO inventories | \$ 13,438 | \$ 28,896 |

Table of Contents

Due to the liquidation of certain inventories, a portion of the Company's cost of sales is based on prior year costs rather than current year costs. As a result, the Company recognized a benefit of \$0.9 million during six months ended June 30, 2012.

An actual valuation of inventory under the LIFO method can be made only at the end of each year based on the inventory levels and costs at that time. Accordingly, interim LIFO calculations are based on management's estimates of expected year-end inventory levels and costs. Since inventory levels and costs are subject to factors beyond management's control, interim results are subject to the final year-end LIFO inventory valuation.

5. ACCRUED EXPENSES

Accrued expenses consist of the following (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Accrued compensation and benefits | \$ 6,159 | \$ 2,116 |
| Accrued interest | 2,775 | 2,807 |
| Accrued rent obligations | 1,880 | 1,821 |
| Accrued sales and marketing | 5,177 | 5,831 |
| Other | 4,989 | 3,612 |
| Total accrued expenses | \$ 20,980 | \$ 16,187 |

6. DEBT

Long-term debt consists of the following (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|--------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Convertible notes | \$ 91,875 | \$ 91,875 |
| Less unamortized debt discount | | (5,450) |
| | 91,875 | 86,425 |
| Less current portion | (91,875) | (86,425) |
| Total long-term debt | \$ | \$ |

The Company's outstanding debt consists of a revolving credit facility and convertible notes.

Revolving Credit Facility. On January 6, 2012, the Company entered into an Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the "Revolving Credit Facility") with BB&T, Wells Fargo Capital Finance, LLC and BB&T Capital Markets (the "Lenders"). Under the Amended Credit Agreement, the Lenders agreed to provide the Company with one or more revolving loans in a collective maximum principal amount of \$100,000,000. The Revolving Credit Facility replaces the previous revolving credit facility in its entirety. Amounts drawn under the Revolving Credit Facility are subject to a borrowing base consisting of certain accounts receivables, inventories, machinery and equipment and real estate.

At June 30, 2012, the Company had \$25.0 million of outstanding borrowings under its revolving credit facility and additional available borrowing capacity of approximately \$55.8 million.

Convertible Notes Offering. The Company's convertible notes mature on July 2, 2012.

On July 2, 2012 the Company repaid the \$91.9 million principal balance on the notes and, in accordance with the conversion feature of the notes, issued 1,061,745 shares of common stock to the note-holders.

Table of Contents

The following table provides additional information regarding the Company's convertible notes (in thousands, except conversion price):

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|--|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| Principal amount of the liability component | \$ 91,875 | \$ 91,875 |
| Unamortized discount of liability component | | (5,450) |
| Net carrying amount of liability component | 91,875 | 86,425 |
| Carrying amount of the equity component | 23,360 | 23,360 |
| Remaining amortization period of discount | 0 months | 6 months |
| Conversion price | \$ 21.78 | \$ 21.78 |
| Effective interest rate on liability component | 18.41% | 18.41% |
| If-converted value in excess of principal amount (a) | \$ 34,681 | \$ 3,454 |
| If-converted number of shares to be issued (a) | 1,156 | 153 |

- (a) If-converted value amounts are for disclosure purposes only. The if-converted value in excess of the principal amount and the if-converted number of shares to be issued illustrated above are based on the average stock price of \$30.00 during the three months ended June 30, 2012, which exceeded the conversion price of \$21.78. The actual number of shares issued upon conversion was determined by the Company's stock price during the 40 trading-day observation period defined by the debt agreement.

Interest expense relating to the Company's convertible notes for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 is as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--|-----------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Interest expense at coupon rate (6.0%) | \$ 1,378 | \$ 1,462 | \$ 2,756 | \$ 2,925 |
| Non-cash interest in accordance with ASC 470 | 2,725 | 2,322 | 5,450 | 4,645 |
| Total interest expense recognized on convertible debt instruments | \$ 4,103 | \$ 3,784 | \$ 8,206 | \$ 7,570 |

Compliance with Debt Covenants and Restrictions. The Company's ability to make scheduled principal and interest payments and to borrow and repay amounts under any outstanding revolving credit facility, and continue to comply with any loan covenants depends primarily on the Company's ability to generate substantial cash flow from operations.

As of June 30, 2012, the Company was in compliance with all of the covenants contained in its debt agreements. Failure to comply with the loan covenants might cause lenders to accelerate the repayment obligations under the credit facility, which may be declared payable immediately based on a default and which could result in a cross-default under the convertible notes.

7. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The Company considers the recorded value of its financial assets and liabilities, consisting primarily of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities to approximate the fair value of the respective assets and liabilities at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. At June 30, 2012, the fair value of the Company's 6.00% convertible notes due 2012 was estimated at \$123.8 million based on quoted market prices and is a Level 1 fair value measurement.

Table of Contents**8. EARNINGS PER SHARE**

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share (in thousands, except share and per share data):

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Numerator: | | | | |
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$ 8,339 | \$ 2,106 | \$ 20,651 | \$ 7,163 |
| Denominator: | | | | |
| Basic weighted average shares outstanding | 15,571,207 | 15,397,476 | 15,522,492 | 15,345,529 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | | | |
| SARs and options | 445,178 | 546,672 | 447,932 | 557,531 |
| Convertible notes | 1,155,920 | 1,148,303 | 965,967 | 1,029,066 |
| Restricted stock | 37,872 | 60,728 | 27,691 | 49,932 |
| Diluted weighted average shares outstanding | 17,210,177 | 17,153,179 | 16,964,082 | 16,982,058 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 0.54 | \$ 0.14 | \$ 1.33 | \$ 0.47 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ 0.48 | \$ 0.12 | \$ 1.22 | \$ 0.42 |

Diluted earnings per share is computed using the weighted average number of shares determined for the basic earnings per share computation plus the dilutive effect of common stock equivalents using the treasury stock method. For the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, 127,014 and 157,934 shares of restricted stock and stock options, respectively, and 177,758 and 88,677 stock appreciation rights, respectively, were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share because the effect would be anti-dilutive. For the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, 131,267 and 174,588 shares of restricted stock and stock options, respectively, and 157,084 and 66,073 stock appreciation rights, respectively, were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share because the effect would be anti-dilutive.

9. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company has one stock-based compensation plan, the 2005 Stock Incentive Plan (the 2005 Plan), which was amended by its shareholders on May 7, 2008. The 2005 Plan is administered by the Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors. Stock-based compensation is granted to officers, directors and certain key employees in accordance with the provisions of the 2005 Plan. The 2005 Plan provides for grants of stock options, stock appreciation rights (SARs), restricted stock and performance share awards. As of June 30, 2012, the total aggregate number of shares of the Company's common stock that may be issued under the 2005 Plan is 3,150,000.

The fair value of each SAR is estimated on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option-pricing formula. For SARs issued in the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, the assumptions shown in the following table were used:

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Weighted-average fair value of grants | \$ 14.01 | \$ 14.57 |
| Dividend yield | 0% | 0% |
| Average risk-free interest rate | 0.8% | 2.0% |
| Expected term (years) | 5 | 5 |
| Expected volatility | 66% | 65% |

The following table summarizes the Company's stock-based compensation grants for the six months ended June 30, 2012:

Edgar Filing: TREX CO INC - Form 10-Q

| | Stock Awards Granted | Weighted-Average Grant Price Per Share |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| Stock appreciation rights | 94,797 | \$ 25.56 |
| Restricted stock | 66,210 | \$ 25.56 |

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the Company's stock-based compensation expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|
| | June 30, 2012 | June 30, 2011 | June 30, 2012 | June 30, 2011 |
| Stock appreciation rights | \$ 418 | \$ 358 | \$ 720 | \$ 739 |
| Restricted stock | 530 | 417 | 933 | 806 |
| Employee stock purchase plan | 9 | 9 | 40 | 36 |
| Total stock-based compensation | \$ 957 | \$ 784 | \$ 1,693 | \$ 1,581 |

Total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested awards as of June 30, 2012 totaled \$4.4 million. The cost of these unvested awards is being recognized over the requisite vesting period of 36 months from date of grant.

10. INCOME TAXES

The Company's effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 was 0.9% and (56.5%) respectively, which resulted in an expense of \$0.2 million and a benefit of \$2.6 million, respectively. During the first quarter of 2011, the Company recognized an income tax benefit of approximately \$2.6 million related to the favorable resolution of uncertain tax positions.

The Company continues to maintain a valuation allowance against its net deferred tax asset, the effect of which is to substantially reduce the Company's effective tax rate as the tax expense or benefit recorded at the statutory tax rate is offset by a corresponding expense or benefit resulting from the change in the valuation allowance. Accordingly, the Company's effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, excluding the impact from the aforementioned one-time benefit, was 0.9% and 3.0% respectively.

The Company operates in multiple tax jurisdictions and, in the normal course of business, its tax returns are subject to examination by various taxing authorities. Such examinations may result in future assessments by these taxing authorities, and the Company has accrued a liability when it believes that it is more likely than not that benefits of tax positions will not be realized. The Company believes that adequate provisions have been made for all tax returns subject to examination. As of June 30, 2012, tax years 2008 through 2012 remain subject to examination by federal and certain state tax jurisdictions.

The Company has taken tax positions in certain taxing jurisdictions for which it is reasonably possible that the total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits may decrease within the next 12 months. The Company does not expect any material change to the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits within the next 12 months.

11. SEASONALITY

The Company's operating results have historically varied from quarter to quarter, in part due to seasonal trends in the demand for Trex®. The Company has historically experienced lower net sales during the fourth quarter because holidays and adverse weather conditions in certain regions reduce the level of home improvement and construction activity.

12. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES*Contract Termination Costs*

In anticipation of relocating its corporate headquarters, the Company entered into a lease agreement in 2005. The Company reconsidered and decided not to move its headquarters. The lease, which extends through June 30, 2019, obligates the Company to lease 55,047 square feet. The Company has executed subleases for the entire 55,047 square feet it currently leases. The terms of the existing subleases expire in years 2012 to 2014. The Company estimates that the present value of the estimated future sublease rental receipts, net of transaction costs, will be less than the Company's remaining minimum lease payment obligations under its lease for the office space. Accordingly, the Company accounts for the expected shortfall as contract termination costs and has recorded a liability in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 420, *Exit or Disposal Cost Obligations*.

Edgar Filing: TREX CO INC - Form 10-Q

To estimate future sublease receipts for the periods beyond the term of the existing subleases, the Company has assumed that the existing subleases will be renewed or new subleases will be executed at rates consistent with rental rates in the current subleases. However, management cannot be certain that the timing of future subleases or the rental rates contained in future subleases will not differ from current estimates. Factors such as the delivery of a significant amount of new office space or poor economic conditions could have a negative effect on vacancy rates and rental rates in the area. The inability to sublet the office space in the future or unfavorable changes to key management assumptions used in the estimate of the future sublease receipts may result in material charges to selling, general and administrative expenses in future periods.

Table of Contents

As of June 30, 2012, the minimum payments remaining under the Company's lease relating to its reconsidered corporate relocation over the years ending December 31, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015 and 2016 are \$0.8 million, \$1.7 million, \$1.7 million, \$1.7 million and \$1.8 million, respectively, and \$4.5 million thereafter. The minimum receipts remaining under the Company's existing subleases over the years ending December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014 are \$0.7 million, \$1.3 million and \$1.0 million, respectively, and \$0.0 million thereafter.

The following table provides information about the Company's liability related to the lease (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|--------|--------|
| Balance as of January 1 | \$ 452 | \$ 567 |
| Net rental receipts (payments) | (19) | 20 |
| Accretion of discount | 18 | 22 |
| Increase in estimated contract termination costs | 39 | |
| | | |
| Balance as of June 30 | \$ 490 | \$ 609 |

Product Warranty

The Company warrants that its products will be free from material defects in workmanship and material and will not check, split, splinter, rot or suffer structural damage from termites or fungal decay. This warranty extends for a period of 25 years for residential use and 10 years for commercial use. With respect to the Company's Transcend and Enhance product, the Company further warrants that the product will not fade in color more than a certain amount and will be resistant to permanent staining from food substances or mold (provided the stain is cleaned within seven days of appearance). This warranty extends for a period of 25 years for residential use of the Transcend product, 20 years for residential use of the Enhance product, and 10 years for commercial use of either product. If there is a breach of such warranties, the Company has an obligation either to replace the defective product or refund the purchase price. The Company establishes warranty reserves to provide for estimated future expenses as a result of product defects that result in claims. Management reviews and adjusts these estimates, if necessary, on a quarterly basis based on the differences between actual experience and historical estimates.

The Company continues to receive and settle claims related to material produced at its Nevada facility prior to 2007 that exhibit surface flaking. The number of claims received related to this material has declined significantly since 2007 and cash payments for related claims have decreased from \$28 million in 2007 to \$8 million in 2011. However, in 2011, the rate of decline in claims received fell short of previous projections, which led the Company to revise its estimates and record an increase to the warranty reserve of \$10.0 million in 2011. In addition, during the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company recorded a \$1.5 million increase to the warranty reserve to reflect the settlement of claims during the period that exceeded previous estimates.

Reserve estimates are based on management's judgment, considering such factors as cost per claim, historical experience, anticipated rates of claims, and other available information. However, due to the uncertainty of projecting future events such as new claims to be filed each year and the average cost of resolving each claim, the actual warranty liabilities may be higher or lower than those projected which could materially affect our financial condition, results of operations or cash flow. The cost per claim may vary due to a number of factors, including the average size of affected decks, the type of replacement material used and the method of claim settlement. The Company estimates that the number of claims received will continue to decline over time. If the level of claims does not diminish consistent with the Company's expectations, it could result in additional increases to the warranty reserve and reduced earnings in future periods. The Company estimates that a 10% change in the expected number of remaining claims or the expected cost to settle claims may result in approximately a \$1.4 million change in the warranty reserve.

The following is a reconciliation of the Company's warranty reserve (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Beginning balance, January 1 | \$ 16,345 | \$ 14,472 |
| Provision for estimated warranties | 1,487 | |
| Settlements made during the period | (3,891) | (3,476) |
| | | |
| Ending balance, June 30 | \$ 13,941 | \$ 10,996 |

Legal Matters

As reported in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, on January 19, 2009, a purported class action case was commenced against the Company in the Superior Court of California, Santa Cruz County, by the lead law firm of Lief, Cabraser, Heimann & Bernstein, LLP and certain other law firms (the "Lief Cabraser Group") on

Table of Contents

behalf of Eric Ross and Bradley S. Hureth and similarly situated plaintiffs. These plaintiffs generally allege certain defects in the Company's products, and that the Company has failed to provide adequate remedies for defective products. On February 13, 2009, the Company removed this case to the United States District Court, Northern District of California. On January 21, 2009, a purported class action case was commenced against the Company in the United States District Court, Western District of Washington by the law firm of Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP (the Hagens Berman Firm) on behalf of Mark Okano and similarly situated plaintiffs, generally alleging certain product defects in the Company's products, and that the Company has failed to provide adequate remedies for defective products. This case was transferred by the Washington Court to the California Court as a related case to the Lief Cabraser Group's case.

On July 30, 2009, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California preliminarily approved a settlement of the claims of the lawsuit commenced by the Lief Cabraser Group involving surface flaking of the Company's product, and on March 15, 2010, it granted final approval of the settlement. On April 14, 2010, the Hagens Berman Firm filed a notice to appeal the District Court's ruling to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. On July 9, 2010, the Hagens Berman Firm dismissed their appeal, effectively making the settlement final.

On March 25, 2010, the Lief Cabraser Group amended its complaint to add claims relating to alleged defects in the Company's products and alleged misrepresentations relating to mold growth. The Hagens Berman firm has alleged similar claims in its original complaint. In its Final Order approving the surface flaking settlement, the District Court consolidated these pending actions relating to the mold claims, and appointed the Hagens Berman Firm as lead counsel in this case. The Company believes that these claims are without merit, and will vigorously defend this lawsuit.

On December 15, 2010, a purported class action case was commenced against the Company in the United States District Court, Western District of Kentucky, by the lead law firm of Cohen & Malad, LLP (Cohen & Malad) on behalf of Richard Levin and similarly situated plaintiffs, and on June 13, 2011, a purported class action was commenced against the Company in the Marion Circuit/Superior Court of Indiana by Cohen & Malad on behalf of Ellen Kopetsky and similarly situated plaintiffs. On June 28, 2011, the Company removed the Kopetsky case to the United States District Court, Southern District of Indiana. On August 11, 2011, a purported class action was commenced against the Company in the 50th Circuit Court for the County of Chippewa, Michigan on behalf of Joel and Lori Peffers and similarly situated plaintiffs. On August 26, 2011, the Company removed the Peffers case to the United States District Court, Western District of Michigan. On April 4, 2012, a purported class action was commenced against the Company in Superior Court of New Jersey, Essex County on behalf of Caryn Borger, M.D. and similarly situated plaintiffs. On May 1, 2012, the Company removed the Borger case to the United States District Court, District of New Jersey. The plaintiffs in these purported class actions generally allege certain defects in the Company's products and alleged misrepresentations relating to mold growth. The Company believes that these claims are without merit, and will vigorously defend these lawsuits.

The Company has other lawsuits, as well as other claims, pending against it which are ordinary routine litigation and claims incidental to the business. Management has evaluated the merits of these other lawsuits and claims, and believes that their ultimate resolution will not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial condition, results of operations, liquidity or competitive position.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

This management's discussion and analysis contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. All statements regarding our expected financial position and operating results, our business strategy, our financing plans, forecasted demographic and economic trends relating to our industry and similar matters are forward-looking statements. These statements can sometimes be identified by our use of forward-looking words such as may, will, anticipate, estimate, expect, intend or similar expressions. We cannot promise you that our expectations in such forward-looking statements will turn out to be correct. Our actual results could be materially different from our expectations because of various factors, including the factors discussed under Item 1A. Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year 2011 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. These statements are also subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause the Company's actual operating results to differ materially. Such risks and uncertainties include the extent of market acceptance of the Company's products; the costs associated with the development and launch of new products and the market acceptance of such new products; the sensitivity of the Company's business to general economic conditions; the Company's ability to obtain raw materials at acceptable prices; the Company's ability to maintain product quality and product performance at an acceptable cost; the level of expenses associated with product replacement and consumer relations expenses related to product quality; and the highly competitive markets in which the Company operates.

Overview

General. Trex Company, Inc. is the largest manufacturer of wood-alternative decking and railing products, which are marketed under the brand name Trex®. We offer a comprehensive set of aesthetically durable, low maintenance product offerings and believe that the range and variety of our product offerings allow consumers to design much of their outdoor living space using Trex brand products.

We have four principal decking products: Trex Transcend®, Trex Enhance®, Trex Accents®, and Trex Escapes®; two railing products: Trex Designer Series Railing® and Trex Transcend Railing; a porch product, Trex Transcend Porch Flooring and Railing System; a steel deck framing system, Trex Elevations®; two fencing products: Trex Seclusion® and Trex Surroundings®; a deck lighting system, Trex DeckLighting®; and a cellular PVC outdoor trim product, TrexTrim®. In addition, we offer Trex Hideaway®, which is a hidden fastening system for specially grooved boards.

Highlights related to the second quarter of 2012 include:

We experienced an increase in net sales of 20.2% in the quarter, compared to the second quarter of 2011, primarily due to increased sales volumes.

We achieved gross margins of 35.6% in the quarter.

Net Sales. Net sales consists of sales and freight, net of returns and discounts. The level of net sales is principally affected by sales volume and the prices paid for Trex products. Our branding and product differentiation strategy enables us to command premium prices over wood products.

Sales Incentives / Early Buy Program: As part of our normal business practice and consistent with industry practices, we have historically provided our distributors and dealers incentives to build inventory levels before the start of the prime deck-building season to ensure adequate availability of product to meet anticipated seasonal consumer demand and to enable production planning. These incentives, which together we reference as our early buy program, include prompt payment discounts and favorable payment terms. In addition, from time to time we may offer price discounts or volume rebates on specified products and other incentives based on increases in distributor purchases as part of specific promotional programs.

We launched our early buy program for the 2012 decking season in December 2011. The timing and terms of the 2012 program were generally consistent with the timing and terms of the 2011 program launched in December 2010. To qualify for early buy program incentives, customers must commit to the terms of the program which specify eligible products and quantities, order deadlines and available terms, discounts and rebates. There are no product return rights granted to our distributors except those granted pursuant to the warranty provisions of our agreements with distributors. In addition, our products are not susceptible to rapid changes in technology that may cause them to become obsolete. The early buy program can have a significant impact on our sales, receivables and inventory levels. We have provided further discussion of our receivables and inventory in the liquidity and capital resources section.

Gross Profit. Gross profit represents the difference between net sales and cost of sales. Cost of sales consists of raw materials costs, direct labor costs, manufacturing costs and freight. Raw materials costs generally include the costs to purchase and transport waste wood fiber, reclaimed

polyethylene, or PE material, and pigmentation for coloring Trex products. Direct labor

Table of Contents

costs include wages and benefits of personnel engaged in the manufacturing process. Manufacturing costs consist of costs of depreciation, utilities, maintenance supplies and repairs, indirect labor, including wages and benefits, and warehouse and equipment rental activities.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. The largest components of selling, general and administrative expenses are branding and other sales and marketing costs, which we use to build brand awareness of Trex. Sales and marketing costs consist primarily of salaries, commissions and benefits paid to sales and marketing personnel, consumer relations, advertising expenses and other promotional costs. General and administrative expenses include salaries and benefits of personnel engaged in research and development, procurement, accounting and other business functions, office occupancy costs attributable to these functions, and professional fees. As a percentage of net sales, selling, general and administrative expenses have varied from quarter to quarter due, in part, to the seasonality of our business.

Results of Operations

The following table shows, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, selected statement of comprehensive income data as a percentage of net sales:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|-----------------------------|--------|---------------------------|--------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net sales | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Cost of sales | 64.4 | 70.0 | 63.8 | 68.4 |
| Gross profit | 35.6 | 30.0 | 36.2 | 31.6 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 22.1 | 22.1 | 20.7 | 23.1 |
| Income from operations | 13.5 | 7.9 | 15.5 | 8.5 |
| Interest expense, net | 4.6 | 5.1 | 4.6 | 5.4 |
| Income before income taxes | 8.9 | 2.8 | 10.9 | 3.1 |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | (1.8) |
| Net income | 8.8% | 2.7% | 10.8% | 4.9% |

Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 Compared With Three Months Ended June 30, 2011

Net Sales. Net sales in the quarter ended June 30, 2012 (the 2012 quarter) increased 20.2% to \$94.3 million from \$78.4 million in the quarter ended June 30, 2011 (the 2011 quarter). The increase in net sales was due primarily to a 22.6% increase in sales volume. We attribute the increase in sales volumes in the 2012 quarter compared to the 2011 quarter to various factors, including:

Favorable weather conditions in the 2012 quarter as compared to the 2011 quarter has allowed for a more favorable deck-building season, and;

Increased market share.

Gross Profit. Gross profit increased 42.7% to \$33.6 million in the 2012 quarter from \$23.5 million in the 2011 quarter. Gross profit as a percentage of net sales, gross margin, increased to 35.6% in the 2012 quarter from 30.0% in the 2011 quarter. The increase in gross margin was primarily due to increased manufacturing efficiencies and a shift in sales mix toward our shelled products, offset by a \$1.1 million increase to the warranty reserve and \$0.8 million related to start up expenses on our shelled products.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$3.5 million, or 20.2% to \$20.9 million in the 2012 quarter from \$17.4 million in the 2011 quarter. The increase in selling, general and administrative expenses in the 2012 quarter was primarily related to a \$3.8 million increase in personnel related expenses due to increased incentive compensation, sales commissions and severance costs. As a percentage of net sales, total selling, general and administrative expenses were 22.1% of net sales in both the 2012 and

2011 quarter.

Interest Expense. Net interest expense increased \$0.3 million to \$4.3 million in the 2012 quarter from \$4.0 million in the 2011 quarter. Net interest expense included \$2.9 million and \$2.6 million of charges to the 2012 and 2011 quarters, respectively, in non-cash interest related primarily to the amortization of the convertible debt discount and financing costs. As a percentage of net sales, interest expense decreased to 4.6% in the 2012 quarter from 5.1% in the 2011 quarter.

Provision for Income Taxes. The effective tax rate for the 2012 quarter and 2011 quarter was 1.0% and 2.9%, respectively, which resulted in an expense of \$86 and \$62 thousand for the respective quarters. The effective tax rate was substantially lower than the statutory rate in both quarters due to the effect of the valuation allowance the Company maintains against its net deferred tax assets which substantially offsets statutory income tax.

Table of Contents

Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 Compared With Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

Net Sales. Net sales in the six months ended June 30, 2012 (the 2012 six-month period) increased 29.1% to \$190.4 million from \$147.4 million in the six months ended June 30, 2011 (the 2011 six-month period). The increase in net sales was due primarily to a 28.5% increase in sales volume. We attribute the increase in sales volumes in the 2012 six-month period compared to the 2011 six-month period to various factors, including:

Sales volumes in the 2011 six-month period were depressed as a result of customers purchasing product in late 2010 to avoid an announced 2011 Transcend price increase;

Favorable weather conditions throughout 2012 compared to 2011 has allowed for a more favorable deck-building season, and;

Increased market share.

Gross Profit. Gross profit increased 48.2% to \$69.0 million in the 2012 six-month period from \$46.6 million in the 2011 six-month period. Gross profit as a percentage of net sales, gross margin, increased to 36.2% in the 2012 six-month period from 31.6% in the 2011 six-month period. The increase in gross margin was primarily due to increased manufacturing efficiencies, a shift in sales mix toward our shelled products and a LIFO benefit recognized due to inventory liquidations during the 2012 six-month period, offset by increased warranty expense of \$1.5 million.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$5.5 million, or 16.0% to \$39.5 million in the 2012 six-month period from \$34.0 million in the 2011 six-month period. The increase in selling, general and administrative expenses in the 2012 six-month period was primarily related to a \$5.3 million increase in personnel related expenses due to increased incentive compensation, sales commissions and severance costs. As a percentage of net sales, total selling, general and administrative expenses decreased to 20.7% in the 2012 six-month period from 23.1% in the 2011 six-month period.

Interest Expense. Net interest expense increased \$0.7 million to \$8.7 million for the 2012 six-month period from \$8.0 million in the 2011 six-month period. Net interest expense included \$5.8 million and \$5.2 million of charges to the 2012 and 2011 six-month periods, respectively, related primarily to the amortization of the convertible debt discount and financing costs. As a percentage of net sales, interest expense decreased to 4.6% in the 2012 six-month period from 5.4% in the 2011 six-month period.

Provision for Income Taxes. The Company's effective tax rate for the 2012 and 2011 six-month periods was 0.9% and (56.5%), respectively, which resulted in an expense of \$0.2 million and a benefit of \$2.6 million, in the respective six-month periods. The effective tax rate for the 2011 six-month period was primarily the result of benefits recorded in the first quarter related to the favorable resolution of uncertain tax positions. Excluding these benefits, the effective tax rate for the six-months ended June 30, 2011 was approximately 3.0%. The effective tax rate was substantially lower than the statutory rate in both six-month periods due to the effect of the valuation allowance the Company maintains against its net deferred tax assets which substantially offsets statutory income tax.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We finance operations and growth primarily with cash flow from operations, borrowings under our revolving credit facility and other loans, operating leases and normal trade credit terms from operating activities.

At June 30, 2012, we had \$96.5 million of cash on hand, \$45.9 million of which is restricted cash set aside to pay off our convertible notes due on July 2, 2012.

Sources and Uses of Cash. Cash provided by operating activities for the 2012 six-month period was \$35.9 million compared to cash provided by operating activities of \$3.3 million for the 2011 six-month period. The \$32.6 million increase in cash provided by operating activities was primarily related to higher net income and a decrease of \$25.5 million in inventory. Furthermore, an additional \$17.2 million in cash was used to pay accrued expenses during the 2011 six-month period compared to the 2012 six-month period. These favorable effects were partially offset by an increase of \$19.7 million in accounts receivable balances during the first six months of 2012. We expect to collect substantially all outstanding accounts receivable balances during the third quarter of 2012.

Edgar Filing: TREX CO INC - Form 10-Q

Cash used in investing activities totaled \$2.9 million in the 2012 six-month period compared to cash used in investing activities of \$6.8 million in the 2011 six-month period. The decrease is attributable to the acquisition of substantially all of the assets of Iron Deck Corporation in the 2011 six-month period and a decrease in capital expenditures in the 2012 six-month period compared to the 2011 six-month period. Capital expenditures in the 2012 six-month period consisted primarily of manufacturing equipment for process and productivity improvements, including retrofitting lines to produce new products.

Table of Contents

Cash provided by financing activities was \$13.0 million in the 2012 six-month period compared to cash used in financing activities of \$4.3 million in the 2011 six-month period. Our net borrowings from the revolving credit facility were \$25.0 million in the 2012 six-month period compared to no borrowings in the 2011 six-month period. In the 2012 six-month period, the restricted deposit account increased \$8.9 million in order to maintain on deposit a balance of 50% of the outstanding principal balance of the convertible notes until the notes were retired in full on July 2, 2012.

Capital Requirements. Capital expenditures in the 2012 six-month period totaled \$2.9 million, primarily for manufacturing equipment. We currently estimate that our capital expenditures in 2012 will be approximately \$10 to \$15 million.

Indebtedness. At June 30, 2012, our indebtedness totaled \$116.9 million and the annualized weighted average interest rate of such indebtedness was 5.3%.

Our ability to borrow under our revolving credit facility is tied to a borrowing base that consists of certain accounts receivables, inventories, machinery and equipment and real estate. At June 30, 2012, we had \$25.0 million of outstanding borrowings under the revolving credit facility and additional available borrowing capacity of approximately \$55.8 million.

Following the end of our second quarter, on July 2, 2012, we used cash on hand (including amounts classified as restricted cash at June 30, 2012) to repay the \$91.9 million principal balance on our convertible notes.

Debt Covenants. To remain in compliance with covenants contained within our debt agreements, we must maintain specified financial ratios based on levels of debt, capital, net worth, fixed charges, and earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization. At June 30, 2012, we were in compliance with these covenants. Failure to comply with our loan covenants might cause our lenders to accelerate our repayment obligations under our credit facility, which may be declared payable immediately based on a default and which could result in a cross-default under our convertible notes.

We believe that cash on hand, cash from operations and borrowings expected to be available under our revolving credit facility will provide sufficient funds to fund planned capital expenditures, make scheduled principal and interest payments, fund the warranty reserve and meet other cash requirements. We currently expect to fund future capital expenditures from operations and financing activities. The actual amount and timing of future capital requirements may differ materially from our estimate depending on the demand for Trex and new market developments and opportunities.

Inventory in Distribution Channels. We sell our products through a tiered distribution system. We have approximately 20 distributors and two mass merchandisers to which we sell our products. These distributors in turn sell the products to approximately 3,100 dealers who in turn sell the products to end users. While we do not typically receive information regarding inventory in the distribution channel from dealers, we occasionally receive limited information from some but not all of our distributors regarding their inventory. Because few distributors provide us with any information regarding their inventory, we cannot definitively determine the level of inventory in the distribution channels at any time. We believe that distributor inventory levels as of June 30, 2012 are generally lower than distributor inventory levels as of June 30, 2011. Significant changes in inventory levels in the distribution channel without a corresponding change in end-use demand could have an adverse effect on future sales.

Product Warranty. We continue to receive and settle claims related to material produced at our Nevada facility prior to 2007 that exhibit surface flaking, which has had a material adverse effect on cash flow from operations. We estimate that the number of claims received will continue to decline over time. If the level of claims does not diminish consistent with our expectations, it could result in additional increases to the warranty reserve and reduced earnings and cash flow in future periods.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

For information regarding our exposure to certain market risks, see Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk, in Part II, Item 7A of the Company's 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. There were no material changes to the Company's market risk exposure during the six months ended June 30, 2012.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Edgar Filing: TREX CO INC - Form 10-Q

The Company's management, with the participation of its Chief Executive Officer, who is the Company's principal executive officer, and its Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, who is the Company's principal financial officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2012. Based on this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective. In addition, there

Table of Contents

have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended June 30, 2012 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents**PART II****OTHER INFORMATION****Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

As reported in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, on January 19, 2009, a purported class action case was commenced against the Company in the Superior Court of California, Santa Cruz County, by the lead law firm of Lief, Cabraser, Heimann & Bernstein, LLP and certain other law firms (the Lief Cabraser Group) on behalf of Eric Ross and Bradley S. Hureth and similarly situated plaintiffs. These plaintiffs generally allege certain defects in the Company's products, and that the Company has failed to provide adequate remedies for defective products. On February 13, 2009, the Company removed this case to the United States District Court, Northern District of California. On January 21, 2009, a purported class action case was commenced against the Company in the United States District Court, Western District of Washington by the law firm of Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP (the Hagens Berman Firm) on behalf of Mark Okano and similarly situated plaintiffs, generally alleging certain product defects in the Company's products, and that the Company has failed to provide adequate remedies for defective products. This case was transferred by the Washington Court to the California Court as a related case to the Lief Cabraser Group's case.

On July 30, 2009, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California preliminarily approved a settlement of the claims of the lawsuit commenced by the Lief Cabraser Group involving surface flaking of the Company's product, and on March 15, 2010, it granted final approval of the settlement. On April 14, 2010, the Hagens Berman Firm filed a notice to appeal the District Court's ruling to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. On July 9, 2010, the Hagens Berman Firm dismissed their appeal, effectively making the settlement final.

On March 25, 2010, the Lief Cabraser Group amended its complaint to add claims relating to alleged defects in the Company's products and alleged misrepresentations relating to mold growth. The Hagens Berman firm has alleged similar claims in its original complaint. In its Final Order approving the surface flaking settlement, the District Court consolidated these pending actions relating to the mold claims, and appointed the Hagens Berman Firm as lead counsel in this case. The Company believes that these claims are without merit, and will vigorously defend this lawsuit.

On December 15, 2010, a purported class action case was commenced against the Company in the United States District Court, Western District of Kentucky, by the lead law firm of Cohen & Malad, LLP (Cohen & Malad) on behalf of Richard Levin and similarly situated plaintiffs, and on June 13, 2011, a purported class action was commenced against the Company in the Marion Circuit/Superior Court of Indiana by Cohen & Malad on behalf of Ellen Kopetsky and similarly situated plaintiffs. On June 28, 2011, the Company removed the Kopetsky case to the United States District Court, Southern District of Indiana. On August 11, 2011, a purported class action was commenced against the Company in the 50th Circuit Court for the County of Chippewa, Michigan on behalf of Joel and Lori Peffers and similarly situated plaintiffs. On August 26, 2011, the Company removed the Peffers case to the United States District Court, Western District of Michigan. On April 4, 2012, a purported class action was commenced against the Company in Superior Court of New Jersey, Essex County on behalf of Caryn Borger, M.D. and similarly situated plaintiffs. On May 1, 2012, the Company removed the Borger case to the United States District Court, District of New Jersey. The plaintiffs in these purported class actions generally allege certain defects in the Company's products and alleged misrepresentations relating to mold growth. The Company believes that these claims are without merit, and will vigorously defend these lawsuits.

The Company has other lawsuits, as well as other claims, pending against it which are ordinary routine litigation and claims incidental to the business. Management has evaluated the merits of these other lawsuits and claims, and believes that their ultimate resolution will not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial condition, results of operations, liquidity or competitive position.

Item 5. Other Information**Amendment of Incentive Plan for Outside Directors**

On July 24, 2012, Trex Company, Inc. (the Company) amended the Trex Company, Inc. Amended and Restated 1999 Incentive Plan for Outside Directors, or Outside Director Plan, in the following respects:

Edgar Filing: TREX CO INC - Form 10-Q

The annual cash retainer for service on the Board was increased from \$27,500 to \$40,000. The provision providing for meeting fees of \$1,000 for each Board meeting held personally and \$500 for each Board meeting held telephonically was deleted. The annual cash retainer for any non-employee director serving as Chairman of the Board was increased from \$54,000 to \$70,000 (which is paid in lieu of the \$40,000 otherwise payable).

The annual equity award for service on the Board was increased from a total value of \$30,000, split evenly between restricted shares and stock appreciation rights or stock options, to \$55,000, to be comprised entirely of restricted shares. The provision providing that any non-employee director serving as Chairman of the Board would receive \$25,361 in cash in lieu of the equity grant was deleted.

Table of Contents

The annual fee for membership on the Audit Committee was increased from \$6,500 to \$7,500, the annual fee for membership on the Compensation Committee was increased from \$4,000 to \$5,000, and the annual fee for membership on the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee was increased from \$3,500 to \$5,000. The provision providing for meeting fees of \$1,000 for each Committee meeting held personally and \$500 for each Committee meeting held telephonically, in each case not held in conjunction with a Board meeting, was deleted.

The initial equity grant to directors when first elected to the Board, comprised of stock appreciation rights or stock options, was increased from \$28,800 to \$55,000.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Board of Directors of the Company amended the Outside Director Plan as described above based upon a Board of Directors compensation study undertaken by the Hay Group, which is the Company's independent compensation consultant.

Retention Agreements

On July 24, 2012, the Company entered into Retention Agreements with four (4) of the Company's executive officers (the Retention Agreements or individually a Retention Agreement), pursuant to which the Company will: (i) award shares of the Company's common stock as restricted stock to certain executive officers; and (ii) grant cash retention payment awards to such executive officers, with the restricted stock vesting and the cash payment being made only if certain retention conditions are met. The executive officers named in the Retention Agreements (the Recipients or individually a Recipient) are Ronald W. Kaplan, the Company's Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer; James E. Cline, the Company's Vice President and Chief Financial Officer; F. Timothy Reese, the Company's Vice President, Operations, and William R. Gupp, the Company's Chief Administrative Officer, General Counsel & Secretary.

The Retention Agreements for Mr. Kaplan, Mr. Cline and Mr. Reese are substantially similar in form and provide that in the event the Recipient is actively employed by the Company on August 16, 2015, January 1, 2017, and June 15, 2017, for Mr. Kaplan, Mr. Cline and Mr. Reese respectively, the restricted stock will vest, and the cash payment will be made, to such Recipient. The Retention Agreement for Mr. Gupp provides that the restricted stock will vest, and the cash payment will be made, upon resolution of certain legal matters currently being managed by Mr. Gupp, provided that the earliest that the vesting and payment could be made is August 16, 2015, and further provided that if Mr. Gupp is still actively employed by the Company on August 16, 2019, the vesting and payment shall be made regardless of the status of the specified legal matters.

The aggregate value of the retention award and the cash bonus for each of the Recipients is two (2) times their current base salary and target bonus, with 50% of such amount being reflected in restricted shares and 50% of such amount being reflected in a cash payment. The current value and number (based upon the closing market price of the stock on July 24, 2012) of restricted shares that will vest, and the cash payment that will be paid, if the retention conditions are met, are as follows:

| Recipient | Current Value of Restricted Shares/ Number of Restricted Shares | Cash Retention Bonus |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| Ronald W. Kaplan | \$ 1,055,800 /37,242 | \$ 1,055,800 |
| James E. Cline | \$ 491,470 /17,336 | \$ 491,470 |
| F. Timothy Reese | \$ 491,470 /17,336 | \$ 491,470 |
| William R. Gupp | \$ 437,920 /15,447 | \$ 437,920 |

The restricted shares will be granted pursuant to the Trex Company, Inc. 2005 Stock Incentive Plan.

The Retention Agreements will provide that the restricted stock shall vest, and the cash payment will be made, in the event of the death or disability of the Recipient, if the Company terminates the Recipient's employment without cause, or if the Recipient resigns for good reason. For this purpose, cause and good reason includes events specified in the Recipients Amended and Restated Change of Control Severance Agreements, each dated August 3, 2011, and include, for cause, the Recipient's willful or grossly negligent misconduct that is materially injurious to the Company, embezzlement or misappropriation of funds or property of the Company, conviction of a felony or any crime involving fraud, dishonesty, moral turpitude or breach of trust, or willful failure or refusal to devote full business time and attention to the performance of duties, and for good reason, a material and adverse change in the Recipient's status or position with the Company, a 10% or

Edgar Filing: TREX CO INC - Form 10-Q

greater reduction in the Recipient's aggregate base salary and targeted bonus other than as part of general reduction in executive compensation, and the relocation of the Recipient's office that is both more than 50 miles from his current office and further than his then-current residence.

The Company entered into Retention Agreements with Mr. Kaplan, Mr. Cline and Mr. Reese in order to ensure an orderly transition in the future of their successors. Each of such Recipients is age 60 or older, and the Board was concerned about the risk that each Recipient would retire from the Company on or around the same time. The Company entered into a Retention Agreement with Mr. Gupp because of his long-time involvement in the legal matters specified in his Retention Agreement, and the risk to the Company should Mr. Gupp leave the Company prior to the resolution of such matters.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

The Company files herewith the following exhibits:

- 3.1 Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Trex Company, Inc. (the Company). Filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-1 (No. 333-63287) and incorporated herein by reference.
- 3.2 Amended and Restated By-Laws of the Company. Filed as Exhibit 3.2 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 7, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer of Trex Company, Inc. pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Filed herewith.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer of Trex Company, Inc. pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Filed herewith.
- 32 Certifications pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and 18 U.S.C. § 1350. Filed herewith.
- 101 The following financial statements from the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012, formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) condensed consolidated balance sheets, (ii) condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income, (iii) condensed consolidated statements of cash flows, and (iv) the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements. Under Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, this exhibit is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, is deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise is not subject to liability under those sections. Filed herewith.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

TREX COMPANY, INC.

Date: July 27, 2012

By: /s/ James E. Cline

James E. Cline
Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Duly Authorized Officer and Principal Financial Officer)

Table of Contents**EXHIBIT INDEX****Exhibit**

| Number | Exhibit Description |
|---------------|---|
| 3.1 | Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Trex Company, Inc. (the Company). Filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-1 (No. 333-63287) and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated By-Laws of the Company. Filed as Exhibit 3.2 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 7, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer of Trex Company, Inc. pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Filed herewith. |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer of Trex Company, Inc. pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Filed herewith. |
| 32 | Certifications pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and 18 U.S.C. § 1350. Filed herewith. |
| 101 | The following financial statements from the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012, formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) condensed consolidated balance sheets, (ii) condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income, (iii) condensed consolidated statements of cash flows, and (iv) the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements. Under Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, this exhibit is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, is deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise is not subject to liability under those sections. Filed herewith. |