EATON VANCE MICHIGAN MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST Form N-CSR January 27, 2014

#### **UNITED STATES**

#### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

#### Form N-CSR

#### CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED

#### MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

**Investment Company Act File Number: 811-09153** 

**Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Income Trust** 

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Maureen A. Gemma

### Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Name and Address of Agent for Services)

(617) 482-8260

(Registrant s Telephone Number)

November 30

**Date of Fiscal Year End** 

**November 30, 2013** 

**Date of Reporting Period** 

## **Item 1. Reports to Stockholders**

# **Municipal Income Trusts**

# Annual Report

November 30, 2013

California (CEV) Massachusetts (MMV) Michigan (EMI) New Jersey (EVJ)

New York (EVY) Ohio (EVO) Pennsylvania (EVP)

Commodity Futures Trading Commission Registration. Effective December 31, 2012, the Commodity Futures Trading Commission ( CFTC ) adopted certain regulatory changes that subject registered investment companies and advisers to regulation by the CFTC if a fund invests more than a prescribed level of its assets in certain CFTC-regulated instruments (including futures, certain options and swap agreements) or markets itself as providing investment exposure to such instruments. Each Fund has claimed an exclusion from the definition of the term commodity pool operator under the Commodity Exchange Act and is not subject to the CFTC regulation. Because of its management of other strategies, each Fund s adviser is registered with the CFTC as a commodity pool operator and a commodity trading advisor.

Fund shares are not insured by the FDIC and are not deposits or other obligations of, or guaranteed by, any depository institution. Shares are subject to investment risks, including possible loss of principal invested.

### Annual Report November 30, 2013

## Eaton Vance

# **Municipal Income Trusts**

#### **Table of Contents**

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance	2
Performance and Fund Profile	
California Municipal Income Trust	4
Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust	5
Michigan Municipal Income Trust New Jersey Municipal Income Trust	6 7
New York Municipal Income Trust	8
Ohio Municipal Income Trust	9
Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust	10
Endnotes and Additional Disclosures	11
Financial Statements	12
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	60
Federal Tax Information	61
Dividend Reinvestment Plan	62
Management and Organization	64
Important Notices	67

## **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2013

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance

#### **Economic and Market Conditions**

During the first five months of the fiscal year ended November 30, 2013, interest rates declined to historic lows, driven by highly accommodative monetary policies instituted by central banks around the world. In December 2012, the U.S. Federal Reserve (the Fed) replaced Operation Twist, the central bank s swapping of its short-term holdings for long-term Treasury bonds, with outright purchases of \$45 billion of Treasurys each month. This was in addition to the monthly purchase of approximately \$40 billion of agency mortgage-backed securities that it had begun in September 2012. These actions combined to put continuing downward pressure on long-term bond yields, driving investors to look for other sources of income. One beneficiary was the municipal bond market, which rallied during the first five months of the period in response to strong investor demand.

In late May 2013, however, Fed Chairman Ben Bernanke surprised the markets by indicating that the Fed s \$85 billion in monthly asset purchases, known collectively as quantitative easing (QE), could be tapered sooner than most investors had expected. The negative effect on the bond market was swift and dramatic. Investors rushed to sell fixed-income assets in anticipation of rising interest rates, causing nearly every fixed-income asset class to decline in value.

Outflows had a particularly significant effect on the municipal bond market because, unlike other domestic fixed-income asset classes, the municipal market is primarily retail-based and is generally impacted more by the actions of small individual investors than other fixed-income asset classes. Even after the Fed tried to temper its comments and calm the markets, moderating the outflows in other fixed-income classes, heavy selling in municipals continued through August 2013, causing a significant increase in municipal bond yields. The selling abated somewhat in September 2013, after the Fed surprised the markets again by postponing its tapering of QE that many investors had thought was imminent. Municipal markets, however, continued to experience net outflows through the end of the period on November 30, 2013. The Barclays Municipal Bond Index<sup>2</sup> an unmanaged broad index of municipal bonds traded in the United States declined 3.51% for the one-year period.

During the period, additional pressure on the municipal market came from the City of Detroit s bankruptcy filing on July 18, 2013 and heightened attention to Puerto

Rico s fiscal woes throughout September 2013. Although Detroit s bankruptcy was not a surprise, because the city s fiscal problems had been well documented for many years, the bankruptcy s negative headlines injected additional fear into the municipal bond market. That fear, combined with Puerto Rico s well-publicized fiscal challenges, drove both institutional and retail investors to sell Puerto Rico bonds, exerting additional downward pressure on the market value of the bonds in late August and September 2013. Prices of Puerto Rico bonds remained at depressed levels through the end of the period on November 30, 2013.

#### Fund Performance

For the fiscal year ending November 30, 2013, Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Income Trust shares at net asset value (NAV) outperformed the -7.18% return of the Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index (the Index). All of the other Funds shares at NAV underperformed the Index.

The Funds overall strategy is to invest primarily in bonds with maturities of 10 years or more in order to capture their generally higher yields and a greater income stream. Management hedges to various degrees against the greater potential risk of volatility at the long end of the yield curve by using Treasury futures in seeking to provide downside protection.

In managing the Funds, management employs leverage<sup>6</sup> to seek to enhance the Funds tax-exempt income. The use of leverage has the effect of achieving additional exposure to the municipal market. While increasing the Funds distributions to shareholders, leverage magnifies the Funds exposure to their underlying investments in both up and down markets. For all of the Funds, leverage was the most significant detractor from the Funds performance relative to the unleveraged Index during this period of negative performance by municipal bonds.

In contrast, the Funds hedging strategy aided the Funds performance versus the Index for all Funds during the period. As a risk management tactic within the overall Fund strategy mentioned above, interest-rate hedging is intended to moderate the Funds performance on both the upside and the downside of the market. Although municipal bonds underperformed Treasurys during the period, the Funds Treasury hedges moderated a portion of the Funds negative performance.

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

## **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2013

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance continued

#### State-specific Results

Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust shares at NAV returned -8.69%, underperforming the -7.18% return of the Index. Leverage was the major detractor from the Fund s performance versus the Index. Contributors to the Fund s performance relative to the Index included the Fund s hedging strategy, security selection in BBB-ratedbonds, and an underweighting and security selection in Puerto Rico bonds.

Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust shares at NAV returned -10.34%, trailing the -7.18% return of the Index. Detractors from the Fund s performance versus the Index included leverage, security selection in AA-rated issues, and an overweight and security selection in education sector bonds. The Fund s hedging strategy was a key contributor to the Fund s performance versus the Index, along with an underweight in Puerto Rico bonds and security selection in BBB-rated issues.

Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Income Trust shares at NAV returned -10.49%, underperforming the -7.18% return of the Index. Leverage was a key detractor from the Fund s performance relative to the Index. An overweight in Michigan local government general obligation (GO) bonds relative to the Index, which, unlike the Fund, is not Michigan-centric hurt relative results versus the Index as well because bonds of many Michigan municipalities sold off in the wake of Detroit s bankruptcy filing. In contrast, the Fund s performance versus the Index was helped by the Fund s hedging strategy, security selection in BBB-rated bonds and an underweight in Puerto Rico bonds.

Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Income Trust shares at NAV returned -6.96%, outperforming the -7.18% return of the Index. The Fund s relative outperformance versus the Index was driven by the Fund s hedging strategy, an underweight in Puerto Rico bonds and security selection in BBB-rated issues. Primary detractors from the Fund s performance versus the Index included leverage, security selection in AAA-rated bonds and an overweight in zero-coupon

bonds which were among the worst-performing issues during the period due to their high sensitivity to rising interest rates.

Eaton Vance New York Municipal Income Trust shares at NAV returned -8.99%, lagging the -7.18% return of the Index. Leverage hurt the Fund s performance relative to the

Index, as did an overweight in zero-coupon bonds and security selection in bonds with 30 or more years remaining to maturity. Contributors to the Fund s performance versus the Index included the Fund s hedging strategy, an underweight in Puerto Rico bonds, and security selection in A-rated and BBB-rated bonds.

Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Income Trust shares at NAV returned -10.01%, underperforming the -7.18% return of the Index. Detractors from the Fund s performance results versus the Index included leverage, an overweight in zero-coupon bonds and an overweight in GO bonds. The Fund s performance versus the Index was helped by the Fund s hedging strategy, an underweight in Puerto Rico bonds and security selection in BBB-rated bonds.

Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust shares at NAV returned -8.07%, trailing the -7.18% return of the Index. Leverage, security selection in

BBB-rated bonds and security selection in the special tax sector detracted from the Fund s performance versus the Index. Contributors to the Fund s performance relative to the Index included the Fund s hedging strategy and an overweight in prerefunded bonds. And while selection in BBB bonds hurt the Fund s performance versus the Index, a relative underweight in the same area, BBB-rated bonds, aided relative results versus the Index.

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

## California Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2013

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Craig R. Brandon, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	<b>Inception Date</b>	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	01/29/1999	8.69%	12.35%	4.34%
Fund at Market Price		19.84	11.21	3.26
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		7.18%	8.69%	4.74%
% Premium/Discount to NAV <sup>4</sup>				
				12.08%

Distributions <sup>5</sup>	
Total Distributions per share for the period	\$ 0.796
Distribution Rate at NAV	6.25%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	12.74%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	7.11%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	14.49%

% Total Leverage <sup>6</sup>	
Auction Preferred Shares (APS)	32.04%
Residual Interest Bond (RIB)	9.41
Fund Profile	

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.<sup>6</sup> Absent such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:<sup>7</sup>

AAA	5.7%	BBB	10.4%
AA	57.6	BB	0.7
A	22.5	Not Rated	3.1

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

4

## Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2013

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Craig R. Brandon, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	01/29/1999	10.34%	13.00%	4.74%
Fund at Market Price		22.55	12.80	3.30
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		7.18%	8.69%	4.74%
% Premium/Discount to NAV <sup>4</sup>				
// I Tellium/Discount to IVA V				12.82%
				12.62/0
Distributions <sup>5</sup>				
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$ 0.776
Distribution Rate at NAV				5.36%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV				9.99%
Distribution Rate at Market Price				6.15%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price				11.47%

% Total Leverage<sup>6</sup> APS

APS 32.76% RIB 5.53

Fund Profile

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.<sup>6</sup> Absent such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:<sup>7</sup>

AAA	18.7%	BB	1.3%
AA	44.2	В	1.4
A	23.6	Not Rated	0.9
BBB	9.9		

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

## Michigan Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2013

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager William H. Ahern, Jr., CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	<b>Inception Date</b>	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	01/29/1999	10.49%	10.52%	4.47%
Fund at Market Price		20.51	14.01	2.55
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		7.18%	8.69%	4.74%
% Premium/Discount to NAV <sup>4</sup>				
				14.79%
Distributions <sup>5</sup>				
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$ 0.749
Distribution Rate at NAV				5.49%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV				10.13%
Distribution Rate at Market Price				6.45%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price				11.90%
% Total Leverage <sup>6</sup>				
APS				39.04%
Fund Profile				

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

## New Jersey Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2013

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Adam Weigold, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	01/29/1999	6.96%	13.93%	4.62%
Fund at Market Price		25.85	13.39	3.17
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		7.18%	8.69%	4.74%

% Premium/Discount to NAV <sup>4</sup>	
	11.73%
Distributions <sup>5</sup>	
Total Distributions per share for the period	\$ 0.788
Distribution Rate at NAV	6.08%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	11.80%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	6.89%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	13.37%

% Total Leverage <sup>6</sup>	
APS	34.16%
RIR	3.86

Fund Profile

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.<sup>6</sup> Absent such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:<sup>7</sup>

AAA	11.5%	BBB	10.4%
AA	25.8	В	2.1
A	48.0	Not Rated	2.2

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

## New York Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2013

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Craig R. Brandon, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	<b>Inception Date</b>	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	01/29/1999	8.99%	14.80%	4.63%
Fund at Market Price		20.09	16.58	3.91
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		7.18%	8.69%	4.74%
% Premium/Discount to NAV <sup>4</sup>				
				8.75%
Distributions <sup>5</sup>				

Distributions	
Total Distributions per share for the period	\$ 0.883
Distribution Rate at NAV	6.56%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	12.71%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	7.19%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	13.93%

%	Total	Leverage
ΛE	OC O	

APS 26.84% RIB 15.37

Fund Profile

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.<sup>6</sup> Absent such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:<sup>7</sup>

AAA	11.9%	BBB	13.9%
AA	32.8	BB	3.5
A	28.6	Not Rated	9.3

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

## Ohio Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2013

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager William H. Ahern, Jr., CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ten	Years
Fund at NAV	01/29/1999	10.01%	12.04%		4.87%
Fund at Market Price	******	25.59	13.59		3.06
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		7.18%	8.69%		4.74%
% Premium/Discount to NAV <sup>4</sup>					
					12.36%
Distributions <sup>5</sup>					
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$	0.739
Distribution Rate at NAV					5.41%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV					10.11%
Distribution Rate at Market Price					6.17%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price					11.53%
% Total Leverage <sup>6</sup>					
APS					36.20%
RIB					2.32
Fund Profile					

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

## Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2013

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Adam Weigold, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	01/29/1999	8.07%	11.32%	4.39%
Fund at Market Price		22.84	9.52	2.30
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		7.18%	8.69%	4.74%
% Premium/Discount to NAV <sup>4</sup>				
				14.25%
Distributions <sup>5</sup>				
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$ 0.787
Distribution Rate at NAV				6.08%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV				11.08%
Distribution Rate at Market Price				7.10%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price				12.94%
% Total Leverage <sup>6</sup>				
APS				36.79%
RIB Fund Profile				2.87

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.<sup>6</sup> Absent such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:<sup>7</sup>

AAA	3.6%	BBB	3.5%
AA	41.1	Not Rated	2.8
A	49.0		

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

## **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2013

**Endnotes and Additional Disclosures** 

- The views expressed in this report are those of the portfolio manager(s) and are current only through the date stated at the top of this page. These views are subject to change at any time based upon market or other conditions, and Eaton Vance and the Fund(s) disclaim any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied upon as investment advice and, because investment decisions are based on many factors, may not be relied upon as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any Eaton Vance fund. This commentary may contain statements that are not historical facts, referred to as forward looking statements. The Fund s actual future results may differ significantly from those stated in any forward looking statement, depending on factors such as changes in securities or financial markets or general economic conditions, the volume of sales and purchases of Fund shares, the continuation of investment advisory, administrative and service contracts, and other risks discussed from time to time in the Fund s filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.
- <sup>2</sup> Barclays Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. with maturities of 22 years or more. Unless otherwise stated, index returns do not reflect the effect of any applicable sales charges, commissions, expenses, taxes or leverage, as applicable. It is not possible to invest directly in an index.
- <sup>3</sup> Performance results reflect the effects of leverage. Performance since inception for an index, if presented, is the performance since the Fund s or oldest share class inception, as applicable.
- <sup>4</sup> The shares of the Fund often trade at a discount or premium from their net asset value. The discount or premium of the Fund may vary over time and may be higher or lower than what is quoted in this report. For up-to-date premium/discount information, please refer to http://eatonvance.com/closedend.
- <sup>5</sup> The Distribution Rate is based on the Fund s last regular distribution per share in the period (annualized) divided by the Fund s NAV or market price at the end of the period. The Fund s distributions may be comprised of amounts characterized for federal income tax purposes as tax-exempt income, ordinary income and net realized capital gains. The Fund will determine the federal income tax character of distributions paid to a shareholder after the end of the calendar year. This is reported on the IRS form 1099-DIV and provided to the shareholder shortly after each year-end. For information about the tax character of distributions made in prior calendar years, please refer to Performance-Tax Character of Distributions on the Fund s webpage available at www.eatonvance.com. The Fund s distributions are determined by the investment adviser based on its current assessment of the Fund s long-term return potential. As portfolio and market conditions change, the rate of distributions paid by the Fund could change. Taxable-equivalent performance is based on the highest combined federal and state income tax rates, where applicable. Lower tax rates would result in lower tax-equivalent performance. Actual
- tax rates will vary depending on your income, exemptions and deductions. Rates do not include local taxes.

  6 Fund employs RIB financing and/or APS leverage. The leverage created by RIB investments and APS provides an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater price volatility). The cost of leverage rises and falls with changes in short-term interest rates. See Floating Rate Notes Issued in Conjunction with Securities Held in the notes to the financial statements for more information about RIB financing. RIB leverage represents the amount of Floating Rate Notes outstanding at period end as a percentage of Fund net assets applicable to common shares plus APS and Floating Rate Notes. APS leverage represents the liquidation value of the Fund s APS outstanding at period end as a percentage of Fund net assets applicable to common shares plus APS and Floating Rate Notes. The Fund may be required to maintain prescribed asset coverage for its

leverage and may be required to reduce its leverage at an inopportune time. Floating Rate Notes in both calculations reflect the effect of RIBs purchased in secondary market transactions, if applicable.

<sup>7</sup> Ratings are based on Moody s, S&P or Fitch, as applicable. Ratings, which are subject to change, apply to the creditworthiness of the issuers of the underlying securities and not to the Fund or its shares. Credit ratings measure the quality of a bond based on the issuer s creditworthiness, with ratings ranging from AAA, being the highest, to D, being the lowest based on S&P s measures. Ratings of BBB or higher by Standard and Poor s or Fitch (Baa or higher by Moody s) are considered to be investment grade quality. Credit ratings are based largely on the rating agency s analysis at the time of rating. The rating assigned to any particular security is not necessarily a reflection of the issuer s current financial condition and does not necessarily reflect its assessment of the volatility of a

security s market value or of the liquidity of an investment in the security. If securities are rated differently by the rating agencies, the higher rating is applied. Holdings designated as Not Rated are not rated by the national rating agencies stated above.

Fund profile subject to change due to active management.

#### Important Notices to Shareholders

Effective January 1, 2014, the California Municipal Income Trust is managed by Craig R. Brandon, CFA.

## California Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 163.5%

Security		Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
California Educational Facilities Authority, (Claremont McKenna College), 5.00%, 1/1/39 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvey Mudd College), 5.25%, 12/1/31 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvey Mudd College), 5.25%, 12/1/36 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Loyola Marymount University), 5.00%, 10/1/30 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Lutheran University), 5.00%, 10/1/29 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), 5.00%, 9/1/23 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Stanford University), 5.00%, 6/1/43 California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of San Francisco), 6.125%, 10/1/36 California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Southern California), 5.25%, 10/1/39 California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of the Pacific), 5.00%, 11/1/30 California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.00%, 10/1/31 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/26 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/27 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/28 University of California, 5.25%, 5/15/39	\$	3,135 195 330 745 2,440 1,600 2,100 235 2,490 630 415 285 810 850 895 1,250	\$	3,270,620 208,662 347,615 770,859 2,441,732 1,884,128 2,419,809 268,156 2,658,175 662,344 430,218 289,227 884,633 919,360 960,872 1,334,038
			\$ 1	19,750,448
Electric Utilities 15.1%  Chula Vista, (San Diego Gas and Electric), 5.875%, 2/15/34  Chula Vista, (San Diego Gas and Electric), (AMT), 5.00%, 12/1/27  Colton Public Financing Authority, Electric System Revenue, 5.00%, 4/1/27  Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, Electric System Revenue, 5.25%, 7/1/32  Northern California Power Agency, 5.25%, 8/1/24  Sacramento Municipal Utility District, 5.00%, 8/15/27  Sacramento Municipal Utility District, 5.00%, 8/15/28  Southern California Public Power Authority, (Tieton Hydropower), 5.00%, 7/1/35	\$ (000	270 2,275 1,500 2,170 1,500 1,335 1,795 680 Principal Amount s omitted)	\$	300,278 2,371,733 1,589,565 2,344,924 1,642,035 1,459,569 1,947,700 698,353 Value
Electric Utilities (continued) Vernon, Electric System Revenue, 5.125%, 8/1/21	\$	1,300	\$	1,407,822

\$ 13,761,979

General Obligations 22.2%		
California, 5.50%, 11/1/35	\$ 1,600	\$ 1,758,016
California, 6.00%, 4/1/38	750	851,880
California, (AMT), 5.05%, 12/1/36	475	469,267
Cupertino Union School District, (Election of 2012), 5.00%, 8/1/35	1,000	1,063,570
Cupertino Union School District, (Election of 2012), 5.00%, 8/1/36	1,630	1,729,952
Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/34	205	199,086
Palo Alto, (Election of 2008), 5.00%, 8/1/40	3,655	3,860,411
San Bernardino Community College District, 4.00%, 8/1/30	2,890	2,724,894
San Dieguito Union High School District, (Election of 2012), 4.00%, 8/1/30	2,545	2,502,269
San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, (Election of 2010), 5.00%, 8/1/33	740	797,113
San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, (Election of 2010), 5.00%, 8/1/35	860	917,904
Santa Clara County, (Election of 2008), 5.00%, 8/1/39 <sup>(1)(2)</sup>	3,180	3,400,183

#### \$ 20,274,545

#### Hospital 18.5%

California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/27	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,063,200
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/28	190	199,986
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.625%, 7/1/32	1,000	1,019,250
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (City of Hope), 5.00%, 11/15/32	635	646,233
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (City of Hope), 5.00%, 11/15/35	910	912,912
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Memorial Health Services), 5.00%, 10/1/27	2,000	2,159,940
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Memorial Health Services), 5.00%, 10/1/33	1,000	1,020,710
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Providence Health System), 6.50%, 10/1/38	1,475	1,686,722
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (St. Joseph Health System), 5.00%, 7/1/33	1,145	1,181,938
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (St. Joseph Health System), 5.00%, 7/1/37	535	541,891
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (John Muir Health), 5.00%, 8/15/34	600	601,686

12

See Notes to Financial Statements.

## California Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Hospital (continued) California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (The Redwoods, a Community of Seniors), 5.125%, 11/15/35 Torrance, (Torrance Memorial Medical Center), 5.50%, 6/1/31 Washington Township Health Care District, 5.00%, 7/1/32 Washington Township Health Care District, 5.25%, 7/1/29	\$	535 1,900 2,780 700	\$	538,098 1,902,033 2,686,814 699,993
			\$	16,861,406
Industrial Development Revenue 1.4% California Pollution Control Financing Authority, (Waste Management, Inc.), (AMT), 5.125%, 11/1/23	\$	1,235		1,275,347 1,275,347
Insured Education 1.6% California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), (NPFG), 5.00%, 9/1/23	\$	1,250		1,471,975 <b>1,471,975</b>
Insured Electric Utilities 3.2% Glendale, Electric System Revenue, (AGC), 5.00%, 2/1/31	\$	2,790	\$	2,912,425
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 3.7% Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency, Toll Road Bonds, (AGM), (RADIAN), Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 1/1/26	\$	5,130	\$ \$	2,912,425 3,416,888
Insured General Obligations 9.3%  Coast Community College District, (Election of 2002), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/34  Coast Community College District, (Election of 2002), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/35  Riverside Community College District, (Election of 2004), (AGM), (NPFG), 5.00%, 8/1/32  Sweetwater Union High School District, (Election of 2000), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/25	\$	6,485 4,825 2,005 4,720		2,116,769 1,482,481 2,106,774 2,805,615

\$ 8,511,639

Insured Hospital 9.5% California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Kaiser Permanente), (BHAC), 5.00%, 4/1/37 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Kaiser Permanente), (BHAC), 5.00%, 3/1/41 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	2,900 750 <b>Principal</b>	\$	2,910,585 759,383
Security	(000	Amount s omitted)		Value
Insured Hospital (continued) California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Sutter Health), (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/38(1)	\$	5,000	\$	5,007,750 <b>8,677,718</b>
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 10.7%  Anaheim Public Financing Authority, (Public Improvements), (AGM), 0.00%, 9/1/17  Puerto Rico Public Finance Corp., (AMBAC), Escrowed to Maturity, 5.50%, 8/1/27  San Diego County Water Authority, Certificates of Participation, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/38(1)	\$	4,410 1,710 3,500	\$ \$	4,099,492 2,023,203 3,666,180 <b>9,788,875</b>
Insured Special Tax Revenue 0.6% Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$	4,850	\$ <b>\$</b>	569,245 <b>569,245</b>
Insured Transportation 11.3% Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 10/1/29 Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority, (NPFG), 0.00%, 10/1/31 Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, (AGC), (CIFG), 5.25%, 7/1/41(1)(2) San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency, (NPFG), 0.00%, 1/15/32 San Jose Airport, (AGM), (AMBAC), (BHAC), (AMT), 5.00%, 3/1/37 San Jose Airport, (AGM), (AMBAC), (BHAC), (AMT), 6.00%, 3/1/47	\$	5,000 4,500 740 10,000 1,275 1,350	<b>\$</b>	2,056,050 1,745,460 631,220 3,147,300 1,285,187 1,454,504 <b>10,319,721</b>
Insured Water and Sewer 4.1% East Bay Municipal Utility District, Water System Revenue, (NPFG), 5.00%, 6/1/32 <sup>(1)</sup> Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, (NPFG), 3.00%, 7/1/30	\$	2,000 1,830	\$ <b>\$</b>	2,131,960 1,587,397 3,719,357
Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 1.0% California Public Works Board, 5.00%, 11/1/38	\$	915	\$ <b>\$</b>	916,382 <b>916,382</b>

13

See Notes to Financial Statements.

## California Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	,	Value
Other Revenue 0.8% California Infrastructure and Economic Development Bank, (Performing Arts Center of Los Angeles), 5.00%, 12/1/32 California Infrastructure and Economic Development Bank, (Performing Arts Center of Los Angeles), 5.00%, 12/1/37	\$	385 315	\$	388,053 314,562
			\$	702,615
Senior Living / Life Care 1.9% ABAG Finance Authority for Nonprofit Corporations, (Episcopal Senior Communities), 6.00%, 7/1/31 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Southern California Presbyterian Homes), 4.75%, 11/15/26 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Southern California Presbyterian Homes), 4.875%, 11/15/36 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Southern California Presbyterian Homes), 7.25%, 11/15/41	\$	290 175 700 600	\$	307,081 163,714 598,626 649,728
			\$ 1	,719,149
Special Tax Revenue 12.0% Brentwood Infrastructure Financing Authority, 5.00%, 9/2/26 Brentwood Infrastructure Financing Authority, 5.00%, 9/2/34 Corona Public Financing Authority, 5.80%, 9/1/20 Eastern Municipal Water District, Community Facilities District No. 2004-27, (Cottonwood Ranch), Special Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 9/1/27 Eastern Municipal Water District, Community Facilities District No. 2004-27, (Cottonwood Ranch), Special Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 9/1/36 Fontana Redevelopment Agency, (Jurupa Hills), 5.60%, 10/1/27 Los Angeles County Community Facilities District No. 3, (Valencia/Newhall Area), 5.00%, 9/1/23 Los Angeles County Community Facilities District No. 3, (Valencia/Newhall Area), 5.00%, 9/1/24 Los Angeles County Community Facilities District No. 3, (Valencia/Newhall Area), 5.00%, 9/1/24 Los Angeles County Community Facilities District No. 3, (Valencia/Newhall Area), 5.00%, 9/1/25 Los Angeles County Community Facilities District No. 3, (Valencia/Newhall Area), 5.00%, 9/1/26 San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 7/1/28 Santaluz Community Facilities District No. 2, 6.10%, 9/1/21 Santaluz Community Facilities District No. 2, 6.20%, 9/1/30 Temecula Unified School District, 5.00%, 9/1/27		285 460 925 190 480 1,590 240 480 240 335 240 2,400 250 490 250 ncipal mount		277,123 410,775 928,718 188,680 443,746 ,593,100 267,530 528,163 259,954 359,050 255,139 2,610,864 251,343 491,073 251,417
Security	(000 s o	omitted)		value
Special Tax Revenue (continued) Temecula Unified School District, 5.00%, 9/1/37 Tustin Community Facilities District, 6.00%, 9/1/37 Whittier Public Financing Authority, (Greenleaf Avenue Redevelopment), 5.50%, 11/1/23	\$	400 \$ 500 1,000	1	383,340 503,110 ,000,580

		\$	11,003,705
Transportation 12.1% Bay Area Toll Authority, Toll Bridge Revenue, (San Francisco Bay Area), 5.25%, 4/1/29 Bay Area Toll Authority, Toll Bridge Revenue, (San Francisco Bay Area), Prerefunded to 4/1/16, 5.00%, 4/1/31 Los Angeles Department of Airports, (Los Angeles International Airport), 5.00%, 5/15/35 <sup>(1)(2)</sup> Los Angeles Department of Airports, (Los Angeles International Airport), (AMT), 5.375%, 5/15/30 Port of Redwood City, (AMT), 5.125%, 6/1/30 San Francisco City and County Airport Commission, (San Francisco International Airport), 5.00%, 5/1/35	\$ 1,000 2,000 2,120 1,500 1,170 2,760	\$ <b>\$</b>	1,110,980 2,215,940 2,202,913 1,623,690 1,089,761 2,820,693 <b>11,063,977</b>
Water and Sewer 2.9% California Department of Water Resources, 5.00%, 12/1/29 San Mateo, Sewer Revenue, 5.00%, 8/1/36	\$ 740 1,700	\$ <b>\$</b>	817,670 1,789,454 <b>2,607,124</b>
Total Tax-Exempt Investments 163.5% (identified cost \$146,226,964)		\$	149,324,520
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (54.7)%		\$	(49,976,074)
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (8.8)%		\$	(8,015,160)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%		\$	91,333,286

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp. AMBAC AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

AMT Interest earned from these securities may be considered a tax preference item for purposes of the Federal Alternative Minimum Tax.

14

BHAC Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.
CIFG CIFG Assurance North America, Inc.
NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

RADIAN Radian Group, Inc.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

## California Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments continued

The Trust invests primarily in debt securities issued by California municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at November 30, 2013, 33.1% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution or financial guaranty assurance agency ranged from 0.4% to 15.0% of total investments.

- (1) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).
- (2) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$1,889,316.

15

See Notes to Financial Statements.

## Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 156.0%

So annotation		Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Security	(000	s omittea)	value
Bond Bank 5.8%  Massachusetts Water Pollution Abatement Trust, 5.25%, 8/1/33  Massachusetts Water Pollution Abatement Trust, 5.25%, 8/1/34	\$	910 990	\$ 1,046,973 1,137,847
			\$ 2,184,820
Education 29.1%  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Milton Academy), 5.00%, 9/1/35  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (New England Conservatory of Music), 5.25%, 7/1/38  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Berklee College of Music), 5.00%, 10/1/32  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Boston College), 5.50%, 6/1/35  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvard University), 5.00%, 10/1/38(1)  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Massachusetts Institute of Technology), 5.00%, 7/1/38  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Northeastern University), 5.00%, 10/1/35  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Tufts University), 5.375%, 8/15/38  University of Massachusetts Building Authority, 5.00%, 11/1/39	\$	1,080 625 1,500 1,640 1,500 415 1,350 1,420 750	1,133,536 628,256 1,576,635 1,892,937 1,582,965 437,817 1,392,484 1,552,316 785,137
			\$ 10,982,083
General Obligations 17.0% Boston, 4.00%, 4/1/24 Cambridge, 4.00%, 2/15/21 Danvers, 5.25%, 7/1/36 Lexington, 4.00%, 2/1/21 Lexington, 4.00%, 2/1/22 Lexington, 4.00%, 2/1/23 Newton, 5.00%, 4/1/36 Plymouth, 5.00%, 5/1/31 Plymouth, 5.00%, 5/1/32 Wayland, 5.00%, 2/1/36 Winchester, 5.00%, 4/15/36	\$	300 595 885 415 430 355 750 345 315 510 770 245	\$ 324,657 671,345 952,561 467,323 481,041 395,974 791,595 369,702 336,089 550,407 822,507 262,123

\$ 6,425,324

Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Berkshire Health Systems), 5.00%, 10/1/31 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Tufts Medical Center), 7.25%, 1/1/32  Security	1,000 600 Principal Amount s omitted)	\$	1,012,550 691,110 <b>Value</b>
Hospital (continued)  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (UMass Memorial), 5.50%, 7/1/31  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Baystate Medical Center, Inc.), 5.75%, 7/1/36  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Children s Hospital), 5.25%, 12/1/39  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Dana-Farber Cancer Institute), 5.00%, 12/1/37  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Jordan Hospital), 6.75%, 10/1/33  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Lowell General Hospital), 5.125%, 7/1/35  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Partners Healthcare Systems), 5.00%, 7/1/32  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (South Shore Hospital), 5.75%, 7/1/29  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Southcoast Health System), 5.00%, 7/1/29	\$ 1,150 1,210 500 1,135 755 970 2,000 675 350		1,192,872 1,281,814 509,790 1,147,258 755,400 944,023 2,070,780 677,410 356,384
Housing 6.8% Massachusetts Housing Finance Agency, (AMT), 4.75%, 12/1/48 Massachusetts Housing Finance Agency, (AMT), 5.00%, 12/1/28	\$ 2,100 650		1,926,414 654,075 <b>2,580,489</b>
Industrial Development Revenue 1.9% Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Covanta Energy), (AMT), 4.875%, 11/1/27	\$ 800	\$ <b>\$</b>	716,520 <b>716,520</b>
Insured Education 7.1%  Massachusetts College Building Authority, (XLCA), 5.50%, 5/1/39  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (College of the Holy Cross), (AMBAC), 5.25%, 9/1/32 <sup>(1)(2)</sup>	\$ 1,000 1,365		1,131,930 1,531,639 <b>2,663,569</b>
Insured Electric Utilities 1.2% Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/29	\$ 550	\$ <b>\$</b>	459,508 <b>459,508</b>

16

See Notes to Financial Statements.

## Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Insured General Obligations 3.2% Massachusetts, (AMBAC), 5.50%, 8/1/30	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,221,720 \$ 1,221,720
Insured Hospital 0.9%  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Cape Cod Healthcare), (AGC), 5.00%, 11/15/25	\$ 335	\$ 352,521 \$ 352,521
Insured Other Revenue 1.7%  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (WGBH Educational Foundation), (AMBAC), 5.75%, 1/1/42	\$ 590	\$ 645,578 \$ 645,578
Insured Special Tax Revenue 10.9% Martha s Vineyard Land Bank, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 5/1/32 Massachusetts, Special Obligation, Dedicated Tax Revenue, (NPFG), 5.50%, 1/1/29 Massachusetts School Building Authority, Dedicated Sales Tax Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 8/15/37 <sup>(1)</sup> Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$ 1,450 1,000 1,340 1,105	\$ 1,453,929 1,139,340 1,394,525 129,694 \$ 4,117,488
Insured Student Loan 4.7%  Massachusetts Educational Financing Authority, (AGC), (AMT), 6.35%, 1/1/30  Massachusetts Educational Financing Authority, (AMBAC), (AMT), 4.70%, 1/1/33	\$ 320 1,590	\$ 337,350 1,444,595 <b>\$ 1,781,945</b>
Insured Transportation 0.8%  Massachusetts Port Authority, (Bosfuel Project), (NPFG), (AMT), 5.00%, 7/1/32	\$ 315	\$ 316,011 \$ 316,011

Other Revenue 3.0%  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Isabella Stewart Gardner Museum), 5.00%, 5/1/22  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Isabella Stewart Gardner Museum), 5.00%, 5/1/25	\$ 500 500		\$ 560,650 560,545
		(	\$ 1,121,195
Senior Living / Life Care 6.2%  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Berkshire Retirement Community, Inc.), 5.15%, 7/1/31  Security	250 Principal Amount s omitted)	0 5	\$ 228,842 <b>Value</b>
Senior Living / Life Care (continued)  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Berkshire Retirement Community, Inc.), 5.625%, 7/1/29  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Carleton-Willard Village), 5.625%, 12/1/30  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (VOA Concord Assisted Living, Inc.), 5.125%, 11/1/27  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (VOA Concord Assisted Living, Inc.), 5.20%, 11/1/41	\$ 1,500 125 140 475	\$	1,471,710 129,108 125,310 381,582
		\$	2,336,552
Special Tax Revenue 8.6%  Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, 5.25%, 7/1/34  Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, 0.00%, 7/1/31  Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, 0.00%, 7/1/34  Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, 6.75%, 10/1/37	\$ 140 1,665 5,195 335	\$	152,597 743,606 1,991,503 364,024
		\$	3,251,730
Transportation 7.4%  Massachusetts Department of Transportation, (Metropolitan Highway System), 5.00%, 1/1/37  Massachusetts Port Authority, 5.00%, 7/1/28  Massachusetts Port Authority, 5.00%, 7/1/34	\$ 1,500 500 670	\$ <b>\$</b>	1,535,490 551,695 701,095 <b>2,788,280</b>
		Þ	2,788,280
Water and Sewer 11.5% Boston Water and Sewer Commission, 5.00%, 11/1/26 Boston Water and Sewer Commission, 5.00%, 11/1/29 Boston Water and Sewer Commission, 5.00%, 11/1/31 Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, 5.00%, 8/1/28	\$ 2,005 495 225 1,195	<b>\$</b>	2,242,512 543,268 244,535 1,317,523 4,347,838
Total Tax-Exempt Investments (identified cost \$57,556,570)		\$	58,932,562
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (53.1)%		\$ (	20,050,332)
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (2.9)%		\$	(1,108,406)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%		\$	37,773,824

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

17

## Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments continued

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.
AMBAC AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

AMT Interest earned from these securities may be considered a tax preference item for purposes of the Federal Alternative Minimum Tax.

NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

XLCA XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Trust invests primarily in debt securities issued by Massachusetts municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at November 30, 2013, 19.6% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution or financial guaranty assurance agency ranged from 1.2% to 13.1% of total investments.

- (1) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).
- (2) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$621,639.

18

# Michigan Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2013

#### Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 157.1%

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Bond Bank 4.4% Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, 5.00%, 10/1/29 Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, 5.00%, 10/1/30	\$ 600 500	\$ 639,618 546,325
		\$ 1,185,943
Education 15.5% Grand Valley State University, 5.625%, 12/1/29 Grand Valley State University, 5.75%, 12/1/34 Michigan State University, 5.00%, 2/15/40 Michigan State University, 5.00%, 2/15/44 Michigan Technological University, 4.00%, 10/1/36 Oakland University, 5.00%, 3/1/42 Wayne State University, 5.00%, 11/15/40	\$ 525 525 1,000 460 700 500	\$ 564,764 565,267 1,021,330 467,328 614,824 501,305 507,440
		\$ 4,242,258
Electric Utilities 4.8% Lansing Board of Water and Light, 5.50%, 7/1/41 Michigan Public Power Agency, 5.00%, 1/1/43	\$ 500 800	\$ 533,810 787,904
		\$ 1,321,714
Escrowed / Prerefunded 1.2% Gaylord Hospital Finance Authority, (Otsego Memorial Hospital Association), Prerefunded to 1/1/15, 6.20%, 1/1/25 Gaylord Hospital Finance Authority, (Otsego Memorial Hospital Association), Prerefunded to 1/1/15, 6.50%, 1/1/37	\$ 185 125	\$ 196,825 133,398 \$ 330,223
General Obligations 42.1% Allegan Public Schools, 5.00%, 5/1/31 Ann Arbor Public Schools, 4.50%, 5/1/24 Bloomfield Hills Schools, 4.00%, 5/1/37 Comstock Park Public Schools, 5.00%, 5/1/28	\$ 1,000 350 750 230	\$ 1,035,050 363,877 711,660 243,124

Comstock Park Public Schools, 5.125%, 5/1/31 Comstock Park Public Schools, 5.25%, 5/1/33 HealthSource Saginaw, Inc., Saginaw County, 4.00%, 5/1/29 Howell Public Schools, 4.50%, 5/1/29 Jenison Public Schools, 5.00%, 5/1/28 Jenison Public Schools, 5.00%, 5/1/30 Kent County, 5.00%, 1/1/25 Kent County, (AMT), 5.00%, 1/1/28 Livingston County, 4.00%, 6/1/30 Michigan, 5.00%, 1/1/20  Security	275 220 500 620 500 1,500 1,000 305 1,000 <b>Principal</b> <b>Amount</b> s omitted)		285,205 230,164 489,275 635,271 519,445 513,385 1,614,870 1,061,500 298,500 1,179,180 Value
General Obligations (continued) Michigan, 5.50%, 11/1/25 Northview Public Schools, 5.00%, 5/1/32 Northview Public Schools, 5.00%, 5/1/41 St. Clair County, (Convention Center), 3.75%, 4/1/42 Whitmore Lake Public School District, 4.00%, 5/1/32	\$ 270 725 275 375 750	\$ <b>\$ 1</b>	303,885 747,852 278,149 297,469 701,565 <b>1,509,426</b>
Hospital 23.2%  Kent Hospital Finance Authority, (Spectrum Health), 5.50% to 1/15/15 (Put Date), 1/15/47  Michigan Finance Authority, (McLaren Health Care), 5.00%, 6/1/35  Michigan Finance Authority, (Oakwood Obligated Group), 5.00%, 11/1/32  Michigan Finance Authority, (Trinity Health Corp.), 5.00%, 12/1/27  Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Henry Ford Health System), 5.00%, 11/15/38  Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Henry Ford Health System), 5.25%, 11/15/46  Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (McLaren Health Care), 5.00%, 8/1/35  Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (MidMichigan Obligated Group), 6.125%, 6/1/39  Monroe County Hospital Finance Authority, (Mercy Memorial Hospital Corp.), 5.375%, 6/1/26  Saginaw Hospital Finance Authority, (Covenant Medical Center, Inc.), 5.00%, 7/1/30	\$ 275 250 500 1,000 250 1,000 1,080 500 425 1,000		290,408 245,795 494,255 1,064,340 240,180 956,680 1,082,246 525,430 434,550 997,160 <b>6,331,044</b>
Housing 0.9% Michigan Housing Development Authority, 4.60%, 12/1/26	\$ 235	\$ <b>\$</b>	235,139 235,139
Industrial Development Revenue 2.4% Detroit Local Development Finance Authority, (Chrysler Corp.), 5.375%, 5/1/21	\$ 750	\$ <b>\$</b>	665,775 665,775
Insured Education 5.2% Ferris State University, (AGC), 5.125%, 10/1/33 Ferris State University, (AGC), 5.25%, 10/1/38 Wayne State University, (AGM), 5.00%, 11/15/35	\$ 570 500 300	\$ <b>\$</b>	592,031 521,210 307,254 <b>1,420,495</b>

# Michigan Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Insured Electric Utilities 3.1%  Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/29  Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/32  Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/34	\$	630 250 155	\$ 526,346 204,430 125,350
			\$ 856,126
Insured General Obligations 18.8% Battle Creek School District, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/37 Bay City Brownfield Redevelopment Authority, (BAM), 5.375%, 10/1/38 Byron Center Public Schools, (AGM), 3.75%, 5/1/26 Byron Center Public Schools, (AGM), 4.00%, 5/1/28 Detroit School District, (AGM), 5.25%, 5/1/32 Hartland Consolidated Schools, (AGM), 5.25%, 5/1/29 Van Dyke Public Schools, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/38 Westland Tax Increment Finance Authority, (BAM), 5.25%, 4/1/34	\$	500 150 240 300 1,000 1,250 500	\$ 1,121,288 507,210 148,542 235,860 297,888 1,067,860 1,259,812 497,490 \$ 5,135,950
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 8.2% Michigan Building Authority, (AGM), (FGIC), 0.00%, 10/15/29 Michigan Building Authority, (NPFG), 0.00%, 10/15/30	\$	4,300	\$ 457,670 1,781,361 \$ 2,239,031
Insured Special Tax Revenue 0.4% Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$	895	\$ 105,046 <b>\$ 105,046</b>
Insured Transportation 3.9% Wayne County Airport Authority, (AGC), (AMT), 5.375%, 12/1/32	\$	•	\$ 1,057,170 <b>\$ 1,057,170</b>

Insured Water and Sewer 11.3%  Detroit, Sewage Disposal System, (AGC), (FGIC), 5.00%, 7/1/36  Detroit, Water Supply System, (NPFG), 5.00%, 7/1/30  Grand Rapids, Water Supply System, (AGC), 5.10%, 1/1/39	\$	5 560 1,650 1,000	)	5 524,009 1,527,042 1,046,410
			4	3,097,461
Special Tax Revenue 5.2% Guam, Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.625%, 12/1/29 Guam, Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.75%, 12/1/34 Michigan Trunk Line Fund, 5.00%, 11/15/36 Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, 6.75%, 10/1/37	\$	\$ 115 125 1,000 110	5 )	5 119,353 129,811 1,041,280 119,530
			4	5 1,409,974
Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Water and Sewer 6.5% Detroit, Water Supply System, 5.25%, 7/1/41 Grand Rapids, Sanitary Sewer System, 5.00%, 1/1/28 Port Huron, Water Supply System, 5.25%, 10/1/31	\$	750 735 250	\$	686,797 842,641 256,603
			\$	1,786,041
Total Tax-Exempt Investments 157.1% (identified cost \$43,215,104)			\$	42,928,816
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (64.0)%			\$ (	17,500,093)
Other Assets, Less Liabilities 6.9%			\$	1,898,892
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%			\$ 1	27,327,615

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.

AMT Interest earned from these securities may be considered a tax preference item for purposes of the Federal Alternative Minimum Tax.

BAM Build America Mutual Assurance Co. FGIC Financial Guaranty Insurance Company NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

The Trust invests primarily in debt securities issued by Michigan municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at November 30, 2013, 32.4% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution or financial guaranty assurance agency ranged from 2.3% to 11.4% of total investments.

# New Jersey Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Municipal Securities 154.4%

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)	Va	lue
Education 21.3%  Camden County Improvement Authority, (Rowan University School of Osteopathic Medicine), 5.00%, 12/1/32  New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Georgian Court University), 5.00%, 7/1/27  New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Georgian Court University), 5.00%, 7/1/33  New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Georgian Court University), 5.25%, 7/1/37  New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Kean University), 5.50%, 9/1/36  New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Ramapo College), 5.00%, 7/1/37  New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Stevens Institute of Technology), 5.00%, 7/1/27  New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Medicine and Dentistry), 7.50%, 12/1/32  New Jersey Institute of Technology, 5.00%, 7/1/42  Rutgers State University, 5.00%, 5/1/39  Rutgers State University, 5.00%, 5/1/39	\$ 1,270 250 250 220 1,730 640 1,650 965 1,295 1,000 2,900	\$ 1,315,5 254,4 249,3 220,5 1,829,3 657,5 1,679,1 1,268,3 1,326,7 1,076,2 3,032,5	423 370 559 302 510 188 396 740 200
		\$ 12,909,7	148
Electric Utilities 1.0% Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, 5.25%, 7/1/27	\$ 865	\$ 633,6 \$ 633,6	
General Obligations 8.1%  Burlington County Bridge Commission, 4.00%, 8/15/23  Monmouth County Improvement Authority, 5.00%, 1/15/28  Monmouth County Improvement Authority, 5.00%, 1/15/30  Monmouth County Improvement Authority, 5.00%, 8/1/33	\$ 320 1,850 1,795 500	\$ 339,7 2,038,3 1,964,6 541,8 \$ 4,884,6	312 563 340
Hospital 25.2% Camden County Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System), 5.00%, 2/15/35 Camden County Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System), 5.75%, 2/15/34 Camden County Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System), 5.75%, 2/15/42 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (AHS Hospital Corp.), 5.00%, 7/1/27 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Atlanticare Regional Medical Center), 5.00%, 7/1/37 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Chilton Memorial Hospital), 5.75%, 7/1/39 Security	\$ 85 1,335 650 2,290 2,090 1,415	\$ 78,9 1,339,6 649,4 2,378,7 2,127,3 1,458,8 <b>Va</b>	058 487 737 306

	Principal Amount s omitted)		
Hospital (continued) New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Meridian Health System), 5.00%, 7/1/21 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Meridian Health System), 5.00%, 7/1/26 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Palisades Medical Center), 5.25%, 7/1/31 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital), 5.00%, 7/1/31 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (South Jersey Hospital), 5.00%, 7/1/46 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Virtua Health), 5.75%, 7/1/33	\$ 645 620 250 2,055 2,440 1,075	\$ <b>\$</b> 1	725,909 660,728 242,315 2,094,312 2,365,507 1,137,705 15,258,844
Housing 2.7%  New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency, (Single Family Housing), (AMT), 4.70%, 10/1/37  New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency, (Single Family Housing), (AMT), 5.00%, 10/1/37	\$ 595 1,050	\$ <b>\$</b>	583,255 1,049,926 <b>1,633,181</b>
Industrial Development Revenue 5.7%  New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Continental Airlines), (AMT), 5.125%, 9/15/23  New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Continental Airlines), (AMT), 5.25%, 9/15/29  New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Continental Airlines), (AMT), 5.50%, 6/1/33  New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (New Jersey-American Water Co., Inc.), (AMT), 5.10%, 6/1/23  New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (New Jersey-American Water Co., Inc.), (AMT), 5.70%, 10/1/39	\$ 50 135 750 220 2,235	\$	48,115 125,658 699,885 239,789 2,359,489 <b>3,472,936</b>
Insured Electric Utilities 1.6% Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/29 Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/34 Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/35	\$ 135 490 595	\$	112,788 396,268 478,767 <b>987,823</b>
Insured Gas Utilities 6.0%  New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (New Jersey Natural Gas Co.), (NPFG), (AMT), 4.90% to 10/1/25 (Put Date), 10/1/40	\$ 3,540		3,614,517 <b>3,614,517</b>

21

# New Jersey Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	A	incipal .mount omitted)	Value
Insured General Obligations 5.3% Hudson County Improvement Authority, (Harrison Parking), (AGC), 5.25%, 1/1/39 Lakewood Township, (AGC), 5.75%, 11/1/31 Paterson, (BAM), 5.00%, 1/15/26	\$	1,015 1,240 750	\$ 1,056,727 1,350,099 811,410
			\$ 3,218,236
Insured Hospital 5.6%  New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Hillcrest Health Service System), (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/20  New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Hillcrest Health Service System), (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/21  New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Hackensack University Medical Center), (AGC), 5.25%, 1/1/36  New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Meridian Health System), Series II, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38  New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Meridian Health System), Series V, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38(1)  New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Virtua Health), (AGC), 5.50%, 7/1/38	\$	300 750 385 500 1,380	\$ 80,762 228,303 775,717 390,733 507,445 1,423,732
			\$ 3,406,692
Insured Industrial Development Revenue 3.3%  New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (United Water New Jersey, Inc.), (AMBAC), (AMT), 4.875%, 11/1/25	\$	1,940	\$ 1,991,236
			\$ 1,991,236
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 4.6%  New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (School Facilities Construction), (AGC), 5.50%, 12/15/34  New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (School Facilities Construction), (NPFG), 5.50%, 9/1/28	\$	1,500 1,000	\$ 1,640,280 1,141,830
			\$ 2,782,110
Insured Other Revenue 1.6%  New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (The Goethals Bridge Replacement), (AGM), (AMT), 5.125%, 1/1/39	\$	1,000	\$ 993,670 <b>\$ 993,670</b>
Insured Special Tax Revenue 11.6% Garden State Preservation Trust, (AGM), 0.00%, 11/1/25	\$	5,250	\$ 3,282,983

New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Motor Vehicle Surcharges), (XLCA), 0.00%, 7/1/26		4,300 Principal Amount	2,448,162
Security	(000	s omitted)	Value
Insured Special Tax Revenue (continued) New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Motor Vehicle Surcharges), (XLCA), 0.00%, 7/1/27 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$	2,020 2,020	\$ 1,074,458 237,087 \$ <b>7,042,690</b>
Insured Student Loan 3.8%  New Jersey Higher Education Student Assistance Authority, (AGC), (AMT), 6.125%, 6/1/30	\$	2,215	\$ 2,326,237 \$ 2,326,237
Insured Transportation 0.6% South Jersey Transportation Authority, (AGC), 5.50%, 11/1/33	\$	315	\$ 337,286 \$ 337,286
Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 5.9%  New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (School Facilities Construction), 5.25%, 12/15/33  New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Hospital Asset Transformation Program), 5.25%, 10/1/38  New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Hospital Asset Transformation Program), 5.75%, 10/1/31	\$	1,500 1,700 250	\$ 1,583,220 1,726,333 278,378 \$ 3,587,931
Other Revenue 5.9% Children's Trust Fund, PR, Tobacco Settlement, 0.00%, 5/15/55 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Duke Farms Foundation), 5.00%, 7/1/48 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (The Seeing Eye, Inc.), 5.00%, 6/1/32 Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp., 5.00%, 6/1/41	\$	13,280 2,040 500 900	\$ 327,219 2,101,506 504,830 647,658 \$ 3,581,213
Senior Living / Life Care 4.2%  New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Cranes Mill, Inc.), 5.875%, 7/1/28  New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Cranes Mill, Inc.), 6.00%, 7/1/38  New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Seabrook Village), 5.25%, 11/15/36  New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (United Methodist Homes of New Jersey), 4.50%, 7/1/38	\$	465 770 815 700	\$ 472,431 772,548 729,531 601,580 \$ 2,576,090

22

# New Jersey Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Special Tax Revenue 3.0%  New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Newark Downtown District Management Corp.), 5.125%, 6/15/27  New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Newark Downtown District Management Corp.), 5.125%, 6/15/37  Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., 5.00%, 8/1/40  Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., 5.75%, 8/1/37  Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, 6.75%, 10/1/37	\$	100 175 750 500 500	\$ 101,240 172,524 609,900 401,360 543,320 \$ 1,828,344
Student Loan 5.3%  New Jersey Higher Education Student Assistance Authority, (AMT), 1.211%, 6/1/36(1)(2)(3)  New Jersey Higher Education Student Assistance Authority, (AMT), 4.75%, 12/1/43	\$	2,500 740	\$ 2,524,950 658,896 \$ 3,183,846
Transportation 19.9%  Delaware River Port Authority of Pennsylvania and New Jersey, 5.00%, 1/1/35  Delaware River Port Authority of Pennsylvania and New Jersey, 5.00%, 1/1/40  New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, (Transportation System), 0.00%, 12/15/26  New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, (Transportation System), 5.50%, 6/15/31  New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, (Transportation System), 5.875%, 12/15/38  New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, (Transportation System), 6.00%, 12/15/38  New Jersey Turnpike Authority, 5.25%, 1/1/40  Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, (AMT), 5.75%, 3/15/35(1)	\$	1,060 1,080 2,000 1,850 250 530 3,600 1,995	\$ 1,099,909 1,108,436 1,086,060 1,996,650 278,728 595,784 3,764,736 2,145,223 \$ 12,075,526
Water and Sewer 2.2% North Hudson Sewerage Authority, 5.00%, 6/1/29	\$	1,275	\$ 1,340,803 <b>\$ 1,340,803</b>
Total Tax-Exempt Municipal Securities 154.4% (identified cost \$91,744,836)  Taxable Municipal Securities 1.5%			\$ 93,667,181

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Transportation 1.5% Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, 4.458%, 10/1/62	\$ 1,000	\$	889,210
Total Taxable Municipal Securities 1.5% (identified cost \$989,064)		\$	889,210
Total Investments 155.9% (identified cost \$92,733.900)		\$ 9	4,556,391
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (55.1)%		\$ (3	3,425,718)
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (0.8)%		\$	(478,106)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%		\$ 6	0,652,567

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.
AMBAC AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

AMT Interest earned from these securities may be considered a tax preference item for purposes of the Federal Alternative Minimum Tax.

BAM Build America Mutual Assurance Co. NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

XLCA XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Trust invests primarily in debt securities issued by New Jersey municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at November 30, 2013, 28.2% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution or financial guaranty assurance agency ranged from 0.9% to 10.4% of total investments.

- (1) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).
- (2) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$524,950.

23

(3) Variable rate security. The stated interest rate represents the rate in effect at November 30, 2013.

# New York Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 168.5%

Security		Principal Amount s omitted	Value
Bond Bank 6.2%  New York Environmental Facilities Corp., 5.00%, 10/15/39  New York Environmental Facilities Corp., (New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority), 5.00%, 6/15/37 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	1,730 2,535	\$ 1,829,458 2,690,598
			\$ 4,520,056
Cogeneration 1.5% Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency, (Nissequogue Cogeneration Partners Facility), (AMT), 5.50%, 1/1/23	\$	1,150	\$ 1,077,171
	7	-,	\$ 1,077,171
Education 28.8%  Hempstead Local Development Corp., (Adelphi University), 5.00%, 6/1/31  Monroe County Industrial Development Corp., (St. John Fisher College), 5.00%, 6/1/23  New York City Cultural Resources Trust, (The Juilliard School), 5.00%, 1/1/34  New York City Cultural Resources Trust, (The Juilliard School), 5.00%, 1/1/39  New York Dormitory Authority, (Brooklyn Law School), 5.75%, 7/1/33  New York Dormitory Authority, (Columbia University), 5.00%, 7/1/38  New York Dormitory Authority, (Cornell University), 5.00%, 10/1/41  New York Dormitory Authority, (Cornell University), 5.00%, 7/1/34  New York Dormitory Authority, (Culinary Institute of America), 5.50%, 7/1/33  New York Dormitory Authority, (Fordham University), 5.50%, 7/1/33  New York Dormitory Authority, (Rochester Institute of Technology), Prerefunded to 7/1/18, 6.00%, 7/1/33  New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University), 5.00%, 7/1/40  New York Dormitory Authority, (Skidmore College), 5.00%, 7/1/27  New York Dormitory Authority, (Skidmore College), 5.00%, 7/1/29  New York Dormitory Authority, (St. Francis College), 5.00%, 10/1/40  New York Dormitory Authority, (The New School), 5.50%, 7/1/40		310 150 1,490 325 510 1,000 725 510 2,000 2,250 2,500 325 400 1,695 2,000 Principal	\$ 320,977 163,435 1,579,758 340,704 528,676 1,058,270 770,204 541,222 2,097,880 226,934 1,064,970 2,742,097 2,632,975 349,606 429,724 1,706,594 2,095,440
Security		Amount s omitted)	Value
Education (continued) Onondaga Civic Development Corp., (Le Moyne College), 5.20%, 7/1/29 Onondaga Civic Development Corp., (Le Moyne College), 5.375%, 7/1/40	\$	280 735	\$ 287,204 742,468

Onondaga County Cultural Resources Trust, (Syracuse University), 5.00%, 12/1/38	1,205	1,255,08	0
		\$ 20,934,21	8
Electric Utilities 5.8%  Long Island Power Authority, Electric System Revenue, 6.00%, 5/1/33  Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, 5.25%, 7/1/31  Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency, (KeySpan-Port Jefferson Energy Center, LLC), (AMT), 5.25%, 6/1/27	\$ 1,420 1,330 1,645	\$ 1,599,38 965,87 1,657,07 \$ <b>4,222,33</b>	'3 '4
General Obligations 8.0%  Dutchess County Water and Wastewater Authority, 0.00%, 10/1/34  Dutchess County Water and Wastewater Authority, 0.00%, 10/1/35  New York, 5.00%, 2/15/34 <sup>(1)</sup> New York City, 6.25%, 10/15/28	\$ 585 325 4,000 1,000	\$ 221,73 116,08 4,276,64 1,167,58 \$ 5,782,03	67 -0 60
Health Care Miscellaneous 0.2% Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency, (Alliance of Long Island Agencies), Series A, Class H, 7.50%, 9/1/15 Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency, (Alliance of Long Island Agencies), Series A, Class I, 7.50%, 9/1/15	\$ 50 100	\$ 50,30 100,60 \$ <b>150,90</b>	6
Hospital 24.7%  Dutchess County Local Development Corp., (Health Quest Systems, Inc.), 5.75%, 7/1/30  Dutchess County Local Development Corp., (Health Quest Systems, Inc.), 5.75%, 7/1/40  Fulton County Industrial Development Agency, (Nathan Littauer Hospital), 6.00%, 11/1/18  Monroe County Industrial Development Agency, (Highland Hospital), 5.00%, 8/1/25  Nassau County Local Economic Assistance Corp., (South Nassau Communities Hospital), 5.00%, 7/1/37  New York Dormitory Authority, (Methodist Hospital), 5.25%, 7/1/33	\$ 130 960 870 2,490 1,000 2,000	\$ 139,97 1,014,00 870,85 2,567,56 954,00 2,007,78	00 3 3 00

24

# New York Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted) Value
Hospital (continued)  New York Dormitory Authority, (Mount Sinai Hospital), 5.00%, 7/1/26  New York Dormitory Authority, (North Shore-Long Island Jewish Obligated Group), 5.00%, 5/1/32  New York Dormitory Authority, (North Shore-Long Island Jewish Obligated Group), 5.00%, 11/1/34  New York Dormitory Authority, (NYU Hospital Center), 5.00%, 7/1/36  New York Dormitory Authority, (NYU Hospital Center), 5.625%, 7/1/37  New York Dormitory Authority, (Orange Regional Medical Center), 6.125%, 12/1/29  New York Dormitory Authority, (Orange Regional Medical Center), 6.25%, 12/1/37  Oneida County Industrial Development Agency, (St. Elizabeth Medical Center), 5.75%, 12/1/19  Onondaga Civic Development Corp., (St. Joseph's Hospital Health Center), 4.50%, 7/1/32  Onondaga Civic Development Corp., (St. Joseph's Hospital Health Center), 5.00%, 7/1/42  Saratoga County Industrial Development Agency, (Saratoga Hospital), 5.25%, 12/1/32  Suffolk County Economic Development Corp., (Catholic Health Services of Long Island Obligated Group), 5.00%, 7/1/28	\$ 1,000 \$ 1,068,440 1,000 1,016,380 845 849,183 750 756,457 1,250 1,282,537 415 416,502 835 824,780 1,085 1,086,335 395 328,747 1,000 842,520 650 657,358 1,250 1,276,450
	\$ 17,959,861
Housing 14.6%  New York City Housing Development Corp., MFMR, (AMT), 5.05%, 11/1/39  New York City Housing Development Corp., MFMR, (AMT), 5.20%, 11/1/40  New York Housing Finance Agency, 5.25%, 11/1/41  New York Housing Finance Agency, (FNMA), (AMT), 5.40%, 11/15/42  New York Mortgage Agency, (AMT), 4.875%, 10/1/30  New York Mortgage Agency, (AMT), 4.90%, 10/1/37	\$ 1,500 \$ 1,501,980 2,620 2,635,379 1,000 1,012,180 2,625 2,666,029 1,500 1,508,850 1,325 1,299,348 \$ 10,623,766
Industrial Development Revenue 4.9% Essex County Industrial Development Agency, (International Paper Company), (AMT), 6.625%, 9/1/32 New York Liberty Development Corp., (Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.), 5.25%, 10/1/35 Niagara Area Development Corp., (Covanta Energy), (AMT), 5.25%, 11/1/42  Security	\$ 1,000 \$ 1,104,830 980 1,029,666 1,350 1,196,573 Principal Amount (000 s omitted) Value
Industrial Development Revenue (continued) Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, (Continental Airlines), (AMT), 9.125%, 12/1/15	\$ 195 \$ 199,130

\$ 3,530,199

Insured Education 6.9%  New York Dormitory Authority, (City University), (AMBAC), 5.50%, 7/1/35  New York Dormitory Authority, (State University), (BHAC), 5.00%, 7/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup> Oneida County Industrial Development Agency, (Hamilton College), (NPFG), 0.00%, 7/1/33	\$ 1,250 1,500 5,365	\$ 1,257,813 1,531,725 2,208,073
		\$ 4,997,611
Insured Electric Utilities 2.1% Long Island Power Authority, Electric System Revenue, (BHAC), 5.75%, 4/1/33	\$ 1,365	\$ 1,527,244
		\$ 1,527,244
Insured Other Revenue 3.5%		
New York City Industrial Development Agency, (Yankee Stadium), (AGC), 0.00%, 3/1/31 New York City Industrial Development Agency, (Yankee Stadium), (AGC), 0.00%, 3/1/32	\$ 2,645 3,625	\$ 1,114,841 1,437,856
		\$ 2,552,697
Insured Special Tax Revenue 0.4%		
Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$ 2,475	\$ 290,491
		\$ 290,491
Insured Transportation 2.0% Niagara Frontier Airport Authority, (Buffalo Niagara International Airport), (NPFG), (AMT), 5.625%, 4/1/29	\$ 1,475	\$ 1,485,959
		\$ 1,485,959
Insured Water and Sewer 1.4%		
Nassau County Industrial Development Agency, (New York Water Services Corp.), (AMBAC), (AMT), 5.00%, 12/1/35	\$ 1,000	\$ 993,400
		\$ 993,400
Other Revenue 7.3%		
Brooklyn Arena Local Development Corp., (Barclays Center), 0.00%, 7/15/31 Brooklyn Arena Local Development Corp., (Barclays Center), 6.25%, 7/15/40	\$ 3,120 380	\$ 1,174,461 400,072

25

# New York Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Other Revenue (continued) New York City Cultural Resources Trust, (Museum of Modern Art), 5.00%, 4/1/31 New York City Transitional Finance Authority, (Building Aid), 5.50%, 7/15/31 New York Liberty Development Corp., (7 World Trade Center), 5.00%, 3/15/44	\$	625 1,000 2,000	\$ 678,919 1,099,210 1,924,420
			\$ 5,277,082
Senior Living / Life Care 6.8%  Mount Vernon Industrial Development Agency, (Wartburg Senior Housing, Inc.), 6.20%, 6/1/29  New York Dormitory Authority, (Miriam Osborn Memorial Home Association), 5.00%, 7/1/29  New York Dormitory Authority, (Miriam Osborn Memorial Home Association), 5.00%, 7/1/42  Suffolk County Economic Development Corp., (Peconic Landing at Southold, Inc.), 6.00%, 12/1/40  Tompkins County Development Corp., (Kendal at Ithaca, Inc.), 4.25%, 7/1/32  Tompkins County Development Corp., (Kendal at Ithaca, Inc.), 4.50%, 7/1/42  Westchester County Local Development Corp., (Kendal on Hudson), 5.00%, 1/1/34	\$	1,450 280 120 905 230 230 1,800	1,428,033 286,493 120,721 940,331 201,533 187,257 1,754,280 4,918,648
Special Tax Revenue 22.6%  Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Dedicated Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 11/15/34  New York City Transitional Finance Authority, Future Tax Revenue, 5.50%, 11/1/35(1)(2)  New York Dormitory Authority, Personal Income Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 3/15/33  New York Dormitory Authority, Personal Income Tax Revenue, (University & College Improvements), 5.25%, 3/15/38  New York Dormitory Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 3/15/34  New York Thruway Authority, Fuel Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 4/1/30(1)  New York Urban Development Corp., Personal Income Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 3/15/32  Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, 6.75%, 10/1/37	\$	1,500 2,100 1,000 1,000 2,380 6,000 900 545	1,561,065 2,303,385 1,063,320 1,056,120 2,547,814 6,382,200 931,122 592,219 16,437,245
Transportation 14.6% Metropolitan Transportation Authority, 5.00%, 11/15/37 Metropolitan Transportation Authority, 5.00%, 11/15/38	\$	790 1,500 Principal Amount	\$ 801,305 1,525,995
Security	(000	s omitted)	Value

Transportation (continued)

New York Thruway Authority, 5.00%, 1/1/37 New York Thruway Authority, 5.00%, 1/1/42 Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, 5.00%, 11/15/37 <sup>(1)</sup> Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, (AMT), 5.75%, 3/15/35 <sup>(1)</sup> Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority, 5.25%, 11/15/34 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 1,200 1,000 1,900 990 2,740	\$ 1,236,156 1,020,630 1,991,922 1,064,547 2,958,679
Water and Sewer 6.2%  New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), 5.75%, 6/15/40 <sup>(1)(2)</sup> Saratoga County Water Authority, 5.00%, 9/1/48	\$ 3,105 1,000	\$ 10,599,234 \$ 3,445,308 1,018,500 \$ 4,463,808
Total Tax-Exempt Investments 168.5% (identified cost \$117,803,472)		\$ 122,343,974
Miscellaneous 1.2%		
Security	Units	Value
Security  Real Estate 1.2%  CMS Liquidating Trust(3)(4)(5)	Units 257	<b>Value</b> \$ 887,164
Real Estate 1.2%		
Real Estate 1.2% CMS Liquidating Trust(3)(4)(5)  Total Miscellaneous 1.2%		\$ 887,164
Real Estate 1.2% CMS Liquidating Trust(3)(4)(5)  Total Miscellaneous 1.2% (identified cost \$822,400)  Total Investments 169.7%		\$ 887,164 \$ 887,164
Real Estate 1.2% CMS Liquidating Trust(3)(4)(5)  Total Miscellaneous 1.2% (identified cost \$822,400)  Total Investments 169.7% (identified cost \$118,625,872)		\$ 887,164 \$ 887,164 \$ 123,231,138

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.
AMBAC AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

AMT Interest earned from these securities may be considered a tax preference item for purposes of the Federal Alternative Minimum Tax.

26

BHAC Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.
FNMA Federal National Mortgage Association
MFMR Multi-Family Mortgage Revenue
NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

## New York Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2013

_				
Ľ	Ortfoli	io of l	nvestments	continued

The Trust invests primarily in debt securities issued by New York municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at November 30, 2013, 9.6% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution or financial guaranty assurance agency ranged from 1.8% to 3.2% of total investments.

- (1) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).
- (2) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$2,103,693.
- (3) Security exempt from registration pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933. These securities may be sold in certain transactions (normally to qualified institutional buyers) and remain exempt from registration. At November 30, 2013, the aggregate value of these securities is \$887,164 or 1.2% of the Trust s net assets applicable to common shares.
- (4) Non-income producing.
- (5) For fair value measurement disclosure purposes, security is categorized as Level 3 (see Note 10).

27

## Ohio Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 156.5%

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Bond Bank 6.6%  Ohio Economic Development Commission, (Ohio Enterprise Bond Fund), (AMT), 5.85%, 12/1/22  Ohio Water Development Authority, Water Pollution Control Loan Fund, (Water Quality), 5.00%, 12/1/28  Ohio Water Development Authority, Water Pollution Control Loan Fund, (Water Quality), 5.00%, 6/1/30  Rickenbacker Port Authority, (OASBO Expanded Asset Pooled Financing Program), 5.375%, 1/1/32 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	1,020 250 210 1,010	\$ 1,053,558 283,593 232,489 983,750 \$ 2,553,390
Education 20.9%  Miami University, 5.00%, 9/1/33  Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, (Kenyon College), 5.00%, 7/1/44  Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, (Kenyon College), 5.25%, 7/1/44  Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, (Oberlin College), 5.00%, 10/1/33  Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, (University of Dayton), 5.50%, 12/1/36  Ohio State University, 5.00%, 12/1/28  Ohio State University, 5.00%, 12/1/30  University of Cincinnati, 5.00%, 6/1/34  Wright State University, 5.00%, 5/1/31	\$	1,000 440 1,250 500 1,000 480 1,605 500 750	\$ 1,051,070 441,448 1,271,512 522,905 1,092,400 551,707 1,815,464 524,060 776,287 \$ 8,046,853
Electric Utilities 2.6% American Municipal Power, Inc., (AMP Fremont Energy Center), 5.00%, 2/15/32 Ohio Air Quality Development Authority, (Buckeye Power, Inc.), 6.00%, 12/1/40	\$	470 500	\$ 484,326 528,940 \$ 1,013,266
Escrowed / Prerefunded 2.3%  Central Ohio Solid Waste Authority, Prerefunded to 9/1/18, 5.125%, 9/1/27  Columbus, Prerefunded to 7/1/14, 5.00%, 7/1/23  Maple Heights City School District, Prerefunded to 1/15/17, 5.00%, 1/15/37  Ohio State University, Escrowed to Maturity, 5.00%, 12/1/28  Ohio State University, Escrowed to Maturity, 5.00%, 12/1/30	\$	65 500 180 20 70	\$ 76,983 514,315 204,186 23,933 83,023 \$ 902,440

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
General Obligations 23.6%  Apollo Career Center Joint Vocational School District, 5.25%, 12/1/33  Barberton City School District, 4.50%, 12/1/33  Beavercreek City School District, 5.00%, 12/1/30  Central Ohio Solid Waste Authority, 5.125%, 9/1/27  Columbus City School District, 5.00%, 12/1/29  Huber Heights City School District, 4.75%, 12/1/25  Maple Heights City School District, 5.00%, 1/15/37  Oregon City School District, 4.00%, 12/1/30  Symmes Township, Hamilton County, (Parkland Acquisition and Improvement), 5.25%, 12/1/37	\$ 335 900 1,750 1,025 1,000 595 820 1,250 1,000	\$ 355,663 903,636 1,869,560 1,119,054 1,107,830 643,617 839,967 1,208,025 1,070,670
		\$ 9,118,022
Hospital 19.8%  Akron, Bath and Copley Joint Township Hospital District, (Children's Hospital Medical Center of Akron), 5.00%, 11/15/38  Butler County, (Kettering Health Network Obligated Group), 5.25%, 4/1/31  Franklin County, (Nationwide Children's Hospital), 5.00%, 11/1/34  Hancock County, (Blanchard Valley Regional Health Center), 6.25%, 12/1/34  Miami County, (Upper Valley Medical Center), 5.25%, 5/15/26  Middleburg Heights, (Southwest General Health Center), 5.25%, 8/1/36  Middleburg Heights, (Southwest General Health Center), 5.25%, 8/1/41  Montgomery County, (Catholic Health Initiatives), 5.50%, 5/1/34  Muskingum County, (Genesis HealthCare System Obligated Group), 5.00%, 2/15/33  Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, (Cleveland Clinic Health System), 5.50%, 1/1/39  Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, (University Hospitals Health System, Inc. Obligated Group), 5.00%, 1/15/29(2)  Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, (University Hospitals Health System, Inc. Obligated Group), 5.00%, 1/15/29(2)	\$ 560 500 800 750 500 800 500 155 1,000 555 565 185	\$ 560,616 510,490 813,496 807,825 511,795 502,000 799,360 547,355 129,833 1,087,500 575,096 588,255 189,002
Housing 7.4% Ohio Housing Finance Agency, (Residential Mortgage-Backed Securities), (FNMA), (GNMA), (AMT), 4.625%, 9/1/27	\$ 300	\$ 302,205

28

# Ohio Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Housing (continued) Ohio Housing Finance Agency, (Uptown Community Partners), (AMT), (GNMA), 5.25%, 4/20/48	\$	2,500	\$ 2,540,225
			\$ 2,842,430
Industrial Development Revenue 1.3% Cleveland, (Continental Airlines), (AMT), 5.375%, 9/15/27	\$	555	\$ 511,938
			\$ 511,938
Insured Education 12.8% Hamilton County, (University Heights Community Urban Development Corp.), (AGM), 5.00%, 6/1/30 Kent State University, (AGC), 5.00%, 5/1/26 Kent State University, (AGC), 5.00%, 5/1/29 Miami University, (AMBAC), 3.25%, 9/1/26 University of Akron, Series A, (AGM), 5.00%, 1/1/38 University of Akron, Series B, (AGM), 5.00%, 1/1/38	\$	750 1,000 465 580 1,500 500	\$ 783,847 1,092,520 491,631 555,530 1,518,450 506,150 \$ 4,948,128
Insured Electric Utilities 12.3%  American Municipal Power-Ohio, Inc., (Prairie State Energy Campus), (AGC), 5.75%, 2/15/39  Cleveland Public Power System, (NPFG), 0.00%, 11/15/27  Cleveland Public Power System, (NPFG), 0.00%, 11/15/38  Ohio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, (NPFG), 0.00%, 2/15/25  Ohio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, (NPFG), 0.00%, 2/15/26  Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/26  Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/29  Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/34	\$	1,000 710 2,000 815 3,000 305 200 155	\$ 1,059,800 364,415 478,820 509,750 1,767,720 263,788 167,094 125,350 \$ 4,736,737
Insured General Obligations 19.2% Brooklyn City School District, (AGM), 5.00%, 12/1/38 Buckeye Valley Local School District, (AGC), 5.00%, 12/1/36 Canal Winchester Local School District, (NPFG), 0.00%, 12/1/30 Cincinnati School District, (NPFG), 5.25%, 12/1/30	\$	555 500 2,455 1,000	\$ 562,526 530,390 1,134,726 1,155,160

Madeira City School District, (AGM), 3.50%, 12/1/27 Milford Exempt Village School District, (AGC), 5.25%, 12/1/36 St. Marys City School District, (AGM), 5.00%, 12/1/35	1,500 1,750 750	1,	,416,045 ,851,342 767,070
		\$ 7,	,417,259
Security	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Insured Hospital 6.1% Hamilton County, (Cincinnati Children s Hospital), (NPFG), 5.00%, 5/15/32 Hamilton County, (Cincinnati Children s Hospital), (NPFG), 5.125%, 5/15/28 Lorain County, (Catholic Healthcare Partners), (AGM),	\$ 280 1,500	1,	278,491 ,502,640
18.303%, 2/1/29(3)(4)(5)	485		557,983
		\$ 2,	,339,114
Insured Special Tax Revenue 0.2% Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$ 540	\$	63,380
		\$	63,380
Insured Transportation 7.8%  Cleveland, Airport System Revenue, (AGM), 5.00%, 1/1/30  Ohio Turnpike Commission, (NPFG), 5.50%, 2/15/24  Ohio Turnpike Commission, (NPFG), 5.50%, 2/15/26	\$ 600 1,000 1,000	1,	609,546 ,208,640 ,199,660
		\$ 3,	,017,846
Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 1.4% Franklin County Convention Facilities Authority, 5.00%, 12/1/27	\$ 500		548,930 <b>548,930</b>
Other Revenue 3.7% Riversouth Authority, (Lazarus Building Redevelopment), 5.75%, 12/1/27 Summit County Port Authority, 5.00%, 12/1/31	\$ 1,000 445		952,350 462,328
		<b>\$</b> 1,	,414,678
Senior Living / Life Care 2.3% Hamilton County, (Life Enriching Communities), 5.00%, 1/1/32 Lorain County Port Authority, (Kendal at Oberlin), 5.00%, 11/15/30 Warren County, (Otterbein Homes Obligated Group), 5.75%, 7/1/33	\$ 375 230 275		362,632 234,241 283,674
		\$	880,547
Special Tax Revenue 2.5% Green, Income Tax Revenue, (Community Learning Centers), 5.00%, 12/1/26 Green, Income Tax Revenue, (Community Learning Centers), 5.00%, 12/1/28 Guam, Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.625%, 12/1/29 Guam, Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.75%, 12/1/34	\$ 180 290 155 170		201,844 320,073 160,867 176,543

## Ohio Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Special Tax Revenue (continued) Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, 6.75%, 10/1/37	\$ 110	\$	119,530
		\$	978,857
Transportation 0.3% Ohio Turnpike and Infrastructure Commission, 0.00%, 2/15/43	\$ 690	\$	124,814
		\$	124,814
Water and Sewer 3.4% Hamilton County, Sewer System, 5.00%, 12/1/32 Hamilton County, Sewer System, 5.00%, 12/1/38	\$ 750 500	\$ <b>\$</b>	784,710 526,140 <b>1,310,850</b>
Total Tax-Exempt Investments 156.5% (identified cost \$58,082,295)		\$	60,392,102
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (58.9)%		\$ (	22,725,244)
Other Assets, Less Liabilities 2.4%		\$	921,193
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%		\$	38,588,051

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.
AMBAC AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

AMT Interest earned from these securities may be considered a tax preference item for purposes of the Federal Alternative Minimum Tax.

FNMA Federal National Mortgage Association
GNMA Government National Mortgage Association
NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

The Trust invests primarily in debt securities issued by Ohio municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at November 30, 2013, 37.3% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution or financial guaranty assurance agency ranged from 0.9% to 16.9% of total investments.

- (1) Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover payable for when-issued securities.
   (2) When-issued security.
   (3) Security exempt from registration pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933. These securities may be sold in certain transactions (normally to qualified institutional buyers) and remain exempt from registration. At November 30, 2013, the aggregate value of these securities is \$557,983 or 1.4% of the Trust s net assets applicable to common shares.
- (4) Security has been issued as a leveraged residual interest bond with a variable interest rate. The stated interest rate represents the rate in effect at November 30, 2013.
- (5) Security is subject to a shortfall agreement which may require the Trust to pay amounts to a counterparty in the event of a significant decline in the market value of the security held by the trust that issued the residual interest bond. In case of a shortfall, the maximum potential amount of payments the Trust could ultimately be required to make under the agreement is \$1,455,000. However, such shortfall payment would be reduced by the proceeds from the sale of the security held by the trust that issued the residual interest bond.

30

## Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Municipal Securities 162.6%

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Cogeneration 1.5%  Northampton County Industrial Development Authority, (Northampton Generating), 5.00%, 12/31/23 <sup>(1)</sup> Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, (Colver), (AMT), 5.125%, 12/1/15	\$	420 175	\$	351,622 172,473
			\$	524,095
Education 29.4% Allegheny County Higher Education Building Authority, (Duquesne University), 5.50%, 3/1/31 Bucks County Industrial Development Authority, (George School), 5.00%, 9/15/39 Cumberland County Municipal Authority, (Dickinson College), 5.00%, 11/1/39 Northampton County General Purpose Authority, (Lafayette College), 5.00%, 11/1/32 Northampton County General Purpose Authority, (Lehigh University), 5.00%, 11/15/39 Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Saint Joseph's University), 5.00%, 11/140 Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Temple University), 5.00%, 4/1/35 Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Thomas Jefferson University), 5.00%, 3/1/40 Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Thomas Jefferson University), 5.00%, 3/1/42 Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Ursinus College), 5.00%, 1/1/29 Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Ursinus College), 5.00%, 1/1/30 State Public School Building Authority, (Northampton County Area Community College), 5.50%, 3/1/31 Swarthmore Borough Authority, (Swarthmore College), 5.00%, 9/15/38 University of Pittsburgh, 5.25%, 9/15/29 Washington County Industrial Development Authority, (Washington and Jefferson College), 5.25%, 11/1/30	\$	1,050 500 1,200 750 500 440 750 625 600 750 750 250 500 575	\$	1,131,133 512,340 1,230,852 803,917 514,170 440,493 772,110 638,756 606,312 579,942 770,370 798,323 263,853 550,020 600,432
			\$ :	10,213,023
General Obligations 13.0% Chester County, 5.00%, 7/15/27 Daniel Boone Area School District, 5.00%, 8/15/32 Delaware Valley Regional Finance Authority, 5.75%, 7/1/32 Philadelphia School District, 6.00%, 9/1/38 West York Area School District, 5.00%, 4/1/33	\$	500 1,000 1,000 1,000 750	\$	548,660 1,038,310 1,053,960 1,069,670 789,397
			\$	4,499,997
		Principal Amount		
Security	(00	0 s omitted	)	Value

Hospital 23.8% Allegheny County Hospital Development Authority, (University of Pittsburgh Medical Center), 5.50%, 8/15/34 Chester County Health and Education Facilities Authority, (Jefferson Health System), 5.00%, 5/15/40 Dauphin County General Authority, (Pinnacle Health System), 6.00%, 6/1/29 Lehigh County General Purpose Authority, (Lehigh Valley Health Network), 4.00%, 7/1/33 Lycoming County Authority, (Susquehanna Health System), 5.75%, 7/1/39 Monroe County Hospital Authority, (Pocono Medical Center), 5.25%, 1/1/43 Montgomery County Higher Education and Health Authority, (Abington Memorial Hospital Obligated Group), 5.00%, 6/1/31 Northampton County General Purpose Authority, (Saint Luke s Hospital), 5.50%, 8/15/33 Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Pennsylvania Health System), 6.00%, 8/15/26 <sup>(2)</sup> Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (UPMC Health System), 5.00%, 5/15/31 South Fork Municipal Authority, (Conemaugh Health System), 5.50%, 7/1/29	\$ 500 750 750 750 750 1,500 1,095 250 1,000 675 250	\$ 535,445 752,032 812,490 445,755 762,660 1,468,170 1,122,824 252,560 1,152,110 688,041 256,158 \$ 8,248,245
Housing 10.2% Allegheny County Residential Finance Authority, SFMR, (AMT), 4.95%, 11/1/37 Allegheny County Residential Finance Authority, SFMR, (AMT), 5.00%, 5/1/35 Pennsylvania Housing Finance Agency, SFMR, (AMT), 4.70%, 10/1/37 Pennsylvania Housing Finance Agency, SFMR, (AMT), 4.75%, 10/1/25 Pennsylvania Housing Finance Agency, SFMR, (AMT), 4.875%, 4/1/26 Pennsylvania Housing Finance Agency, SFMR, (AMT), 4.875%, 10/1/31	\$ 310 875 615 500 765 495	\$ 309,988 876,549 590,258 513,950 770,347 496,262 \$ 3,557,354
Industrial Development Revenue 8.5% Luzerne County Industrial Development Authority, (Pennsylvania-American Water Co.), 5.50%, 12/1/39 Montgomery County Industrial Development Authority, (Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc.), (AMT), 5.25%, 7/1/42 Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, (Pennsylvania-American Water Co.), 6.20%, 4/1/39 Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, (Procter & Gamble Paper Products Co.), (AMT), 5.375%, 3/1/31	\$ 200 750 250 1,115	\$ 207,652 761,250 271,112 1,216,365

31

# Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2013

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security		Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Industrial Development Revenue (continued) Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, (Waste Management, Inc.), (AMT), 5.10%, 10/1/27	\$	500	\$ 510,705
			\$ 2,967,084
Insured Education 8.4% Lycoming County Authority, (Pennsylvania College of Technology), (AGC), 5.50%, 10/1/37 Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Drexel University), (NPFG), 5.00%, 5/1/37 State Public School Building Authority, (Delaware County Community College), (AGM), 5.00%, 10/1/29 State Public School Building Authority, (Delaware County Community College), (AGM), 5.00%, 10/1/32	\$	500 1,105 375 875	\$ 519,875 1,112,227 387,968 899,727
			\$ 2,919,797
Insured Electric Utilities 2.5% Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/34	\$	1,080	\$ 873,407
			\$ 873,407
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 10.0% Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, Oil Franchise Tax, (AMBAC), Escrowed to Maturity, 4.75%, 12/1/27 Westmoreland Municipal Authority, (FGIC), Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 8/15/19	\$	1,600 2,000	\$ 1,672,848 1,800,940
			\$ 3,473,788
Insured General Obligations 6.1%  Beaver County, (AGM), 5.55%, 11/15/31  Bethlehem Area School District, (AGM), 5.25%, 1/15/25  Laurel Highlands School District, (AGM), 5.00%, 2/1/37	\$	500 750 750	\$ 545,135 812,167 768,450
			\$ 2,125,752
Insured Hospital 5.0%	<b>.</b>	220	ф. 201 17°
Allegheny County Hospital Development Authority, (UPMC Health System), (NPFG), 6.00%, 7/1/24 Lehigh County General Purpose Authority, (Lehigh Valley Health Network), (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/35	\$	250 1,440	\$ 301,450 1,446,552

\$ 1,748,002 Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 5.0% Commonwealth Financing Authority, (AGC), 5.00%, 6/1/31 \$ 500 \$ 513,540 Philadelphia Authority for Industrial Development, (One Benjamin Franklin), (AGM), 4.75%, 2/15/27 1,195 1,216,713 \$ 1,730,253 Principal Amount Security (000 s omitted) Value Insured Special Tax Revenue 2.2% Pittsburgh and Allegheny County Sports & Exhibition Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, (AGM), 5.00%, 2/1/31 \$ 610 \$ 634,504 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45 1,235 144,952 779,456 Insured Transportation 8.9% Philadelphia, Airport Revenue, (AGM), (AMT), 5.00%, 6/15/27 \$ 525 \$ 546,509 Philadelphia Parking Authority, (AMBAC), 5.25%, 2/15/29 1,005 1,007,935 Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, (AGC), (CIFG), 5.25%, 7/1/41(2)(3) 1,800 1,535,400 \$ 3,089,844 Insured Water and Sewer 3.0% Bucks County Water and Sewer Authority, (AGM), 5.00%, 12/1/35 \$ 500 \$ 517,310 Delaware County Industrial Development Authority, (Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc.), (NPFG), (AMT), 5.00%, 11/1/36 525 527,699 \$ 1,045,009 Senior Living / Life Care 2.3% Cliff House Trust, (AMT), 6.625%, 6/1/27<sup>(4)</sup> \$ 1,000 \$ 384,700 Montgomery County Industrial Development Authority, (Foulkeways at Gwynedd), 5.00%, 12/1/24 200 203,244 200 Montgomery County Industrial Development Authority, (Foulkeways at Gwynedd), 5.00%, 12/1/30 198,416 786,360 Special Tax Revenue 0.4% Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, 6.75%, 10/1/37 \$ 110 \$ 119,530 119,530 Transportation 16.7% Delaware River Port Authority of Pennsylvania and New Jersey, 5.00%, 1/1/35 \$ 465 \$ 482,507 Delaware River Port Authority of Pennsylvania and New Jersey, 5.00%, 1/1/40 285 292,504 Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, (Amtrak), (AMT), 5.00%, 11/1/41 450 428,535 Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, 5.25%, 6/1/39 1,000 1,017,190 Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, 5.35%, (0.00% until 12/1/15), 12/1/30 1.430 1,332,989 Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, 5.625%, 6/1/29 750 818,085 410 Philadelphia, Airport Revenue, (AMT), 5.00%, 6/15/23 437,970

Philadelphia, Airport Revenue, (AMT), 5.00%, 6/15/27

67

994,929

\$ 5,804,709

970

## Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2013

Security

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	s offitted)		value
Utilities 1.7% Philadelphia Gas Works, 5.25%, 8/1/40	\$	600	\$ <b>\$</b>	601,962 <b>601,962</b>
Water and Sewer 4.0% Harrisburg Water Authority, 5.25%, 7/15/31 Philadelphia, Water and Wastewater Revenue, 5.00%, 1/1/36	\$	750 750	\$ <b>\$</b>	624,833 764,760 <b>1,389,593</b>
Total Tax-Exempt Municipal Securities 162.6% (identified cost \$55,934,387)			\$	56,497,260
Taxable Municipal Securities 0.0%				
Security		Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Security  Cogeneration 0.0%  Northampton County Industrial Development Authority, (Northampton Generating), 5.00%, 12/31/23 <sup>(1)</sup>		Amount	\$	Value 0
Cogeneration 0.0%	(000	Amount s omitted)	\$	
Cogeneration 0.0% Northampton County Industrial Development Authority, (Northampton Generating), 5.00%, 12/31/23 <sup>(1)</sup> Total Taxable Municipal Securities 0.0%	(000	Amount s omitted)	\$	0
Cogeneration 0.0% Northampton County Industrial Development Authority, (Northampton Generating), 5.00%, 12/31/23 <sup>(1)</sup> Total Taxable Municipal Securities 0.0% (identified cost \$5,427)  Total Investments 162.6%	(000	Amount s omitted)	\$	0

Principal Amount (000 s omitted)

Value

Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%

\$ 34,736,027

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.
AMBAC AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

AMT Interest earned from these securities may be considered a tax preference item for purposes of the Federal Alternative Minimum Tax.

CIFG CIFG Assurance North America, Inc.
FGIC Financial Guaranty Insurance Company
NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.
SFMR Single Family Mortgage Revenue

The Trust invests primarily in debt securities issued by Pennsylvania municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at November 30, 2013, 31.5% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution or financial guaranty assurance agency ranged from 2.7% to 13.8% of total investments.

- (1) Represents a payment-in-kind security which may pay all or a portion of interest in additional par.
- (2) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).
- (3) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$635,400.
- (4) Defaulted bond.

33

# Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2013

Statements of Assets and Liabilities

	C	alifornia	November 30, 2013 Michigan					
Assets	_	rust	Ma	ssachusetts Trust		ust	Nev	v Jersey Trust
Investments								•
Identified cost	\$	146,226,964	\$	57,556,570	\$	43,215,104	\$	92,733,900
Unrealized appreciation (depreciation)		3,097,556		1,375,992		(286,288)		1,822,491
Investments, at value		149,324,520	\$	58,932,562	\$	42,928,816	\$	94,556,391
Cash	\$	3,900,248	\$	1,430,093	\$	1,400,060	\$	1,662,906
Restricted cash*		195,000		102,000		41,500		275,000
Interest receivable Receivable for investments sold		1,689,373 1,052,275		846,499		514,085 30,000		1,505,629
Receivable for variation margin on open financial futures contracts		4.156				30,000		
Deferred debt issuance costs		26,608		1,478				552
Total assets	\$	156,192,180	\$	61,312,632	\$	44,914,461	\$	98,000,478
1 our about	Ψ	100,172,100	Ψ	01,012,002	Ψ	11,511,101	Ψ	30,000,170
Liabilities	_		_		_		_	
Payable for floating rate notes issued	\$	14,680,000	\$	3,385,000	\$		\$	3,780,000
Payable to affiliates:		70.022		21 140		22.526		51 002
Investment adviser fee Administration fee		79,022 24,694		31,148 9,734		23,526 7,352		51,903 16,220
Trustees fees		1,093		9,734 482		7,332 387		748
Interest expense and fees payable		17,807		5,091		367		5,502
Accrued expenses		80,204		57,021		55,488		67,820
Total liabilities	\$	14,882,820	\$	3,488,476	\$	86,753	\$	3,922,193
Auction preferred shares at liquidation value plus cumulative	_	,,	-	2,122,112	-	,	-	- ,- ==,=-
unpaid dividends	\$	49,976,074	\$	20,050,332	\$	17,500,093	\$	33,425,718
Net assets applicable to common shares	\$	91,333,286	\$	37,773,824	\$	27,327,615	\$	60,652,567
Sources of Net Assets								
Common shares, \$0.01 par value, unlimited number of shares authorized	\$	72,611	\$	27,505	\$	21,163	\$	46,792
Additional paid-in capital		104,203,764		39,728,155		29,106,720		67,036,426
Accumulated net realized loss		(16,261,487)		(3,394,116)		(1,550,524)		(8,394,394)
Accumulated undistributed net investment income		247,709		46,444		40,726		168,135
Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation)		3,070,689		1,365,836		(290,470)		1,795,608
Net assets applicable to common shares	\$	91,333,286	\$	37,773,824	\$	27,327,615	\$	60,652,567
Auction Preferred Shares Issued and Outstanding								
(Liquidation preference of \$25,000 per share)		1,999		802		700		1,337
Common Shares Outstanding		7,261,075		2,750,521		2,116,294		4.679.158
Common Shares Outstanding		1,201,013		2,730,321		2,110,294		4,079,130
Net Asset Value Per Common Share								
Net assets applicable to common shares ÷ common shares issued	\$	12.58	\$	13.73	\$	12.91	\$	12.96
and outstanding	Ф	12.50	Ф	13./3	Φ	12.91	Ф	12.90

\* Represents restricted cash on deposit at the broker for open financial futures contracts.

34

# Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2013

Statements of Assets and Liabilities continued

		November 30, 2	013	
Assets	New York Trust	Ohio Trust	Ponn	sylvania Trust
Investments	Trust	Omo 11 ust	1 Cili	syrvama 11ust
Identified cost	\$ 118,625,872	\$ 58,082,295	\$	55,939,814
Unrealized appreciation	4,605,266	2,309,807		557,446
Investments, at value	\$ 123,231,138	\$ 60,392,102	\$	56,497,260
Cash	\$ 738,217	\$	\$	90,299
Restricted cash*	129,250	47,000		150,000
Interest receivable	1,652,044	994,592		830,141
Receivable for investments sold	85,000	763,313		100,000
Total assets	\$ 125,835,649	\$ 62,197,007	\$	57,667,700
Liabilities				
Payable for floating rate notes issued	\$ 19,315,000	\$	\$	1,650,000
Payable for when-issued securities	\$ 17,515,000	775,654	Ψ	1,030,000
Due to custodian		9,581		
Payable to affiliates:		>,501		
Investment adviser fee	61,547	32,223		30,084
Administration fee	19,233	10,070		9,401
Trustees fees	873	495		470
Interest expense and fees payable	28,400	175		7,524
Accrued expenses	74,483	55,689		58,843
Total liabilities	\$ 19,499,536	\$ 883,712	\$	1,756,322
Auction preferred shares at liquidation value plus cumulative unpaid dividends	\$ 33,725,181	\$ 22,725,244	\$	21,175,351
Net assets applicable to common shares	\$ 72,610,932	\$ 38,588,051	\$	34,736,027
Sources of Net Assets				
Common shares, \$0.01 par value, unlimited number of shares authorized	\$ 54,746	\$ 28,572	\$	27,204
Additional paid-in capital	79,374,935	39,573,731		37,694,604
Accumulated net realized loss	(11,688,628)	(3,464,741)		(3,598,690)
Accumulated undistributed net investment income	277,457	145,461		70,398
Net unrealized appreciation	4,592,422	2,305,028		542,511
Net assets applicable to common shares	\$ 72,610,932	\$ 38,588,051	\$	34,736,027
Auction Preferred Shares Issued and Outstanding				
(Liquidation preference of \$25,000 per share)	1,349	909		847
Common Shares Outstanding	5,474,636	2,857,157		2,720,414
Net Asset Value Per Common Share				
Net assets applicable to common shares ÷ common shares issued and outstanding	\$ 13.26	\$ 13.51	\$	12.77

\* Represents restricted cash on deposit at the broker for open financial futures contracts.

35

See Notes to Financial Statements.

# Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2013

Statements of Operations

	Year Ended November 30, 2013								
Investment Income	C	California Trust Massachusetts Trust			Mi	chigan Trust	New Jersey Trust		
Interest	\$	7,201,009	\$	2,792,402	\$	2,102,084	\$	4,709,923	
Total investment income	\$	7,201,009	\$	2,792,402	\$	2,102,084	\$	4,709,923	
Expenses									
Investment adviser fee	\$	1,012,060	\$	400,091	\$	302,989	\$	661,409	
Administration fee	_	313,114	-	123,778	-	93,735	-	204,641	
Trustees fees and expenses		6,659		2,940		2,354		4,540	
Custodian fee		77,796		40,245		35,815		55,164	
Transfer and dividend disbursing agent fees		18,275		18,535		18,433		18,339	
Legal and accounting services		57,512		41,262		42,027		50,397	
Printing and postage		12,479		8,317		7,384		10,029	
Interest expense and fees		97,968		30,874		7,504		53,126	
Preferred shares service fee		69,843		29,182		23,554		48,968	
Miscellaneous		45,689		35,888		34,308		38,338	
Total expenses	\$	1,711,395	\$	731,112	\$	<b>560,599</b>	\$	1,144,951	
Deduct	Ψ	1,711,575	Ψ	751,112	Ψ	300,377	Ψ	1,144,751	
Reduction of custodian fee	\$	825	\$	290	\$	177	\$	693	
Total expense reductions	φ <b>\$</b>	825	\$	290 290	\$	177	\$	<b>693</b>	
Total expense reductions	Φ	023	Ф	290	Ф	1//	Ф	093	
Net expenses	\$	1,710,570	\$	730,822	\$	560,422	\$	1,144,258	
Net investment income	\$	5,490,439	\$	2,061,580	\$	1,541,662	\$	3,565,665	
Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss)									
Net realized gain (loss)									
Investment transactions	\$	(163,119)	\$	(105,123)	\$	(70,827)	\$	(507,358)	
Extinguishment of debt	_	(,)	-	(,)	-	(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	-	(2,352)	
Financial futures contracts		779,319		482,226		72,926		2,033,683	
Net realized gain	\$	616,200	\$	377,103	\$	2,099	\$	1,523,973	
Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	Ψ.	010,200	Ψ	0,100	Ψ	_,0>>	Ψ	1,020,570	
Investments	\$	(15,340,757)	\$	(7,037,277)	\$	(4,988,738)	\$	(9,922,786)	
Financial futures contracts	Ψ	16.546	Ψ	(7,703)	Ψ	(3,748)	Ψ	47.015	
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	\$	(15,324,211)	\$	(7,044,980)	\$	(4,992,486)	\$	(9,875,771)	
1 (ct change in am canzea approcaution (acprocaution)	Ψ	(10,021,211)	Ψ	(7,011,500)	Ψ	(1,552,100)	Ψ	(>,070,771)	
Net realized and unrealized loss	\$	(14,708,011)	\$	(6,667,877)	\$	(4,990,387)	\$	(8,351,798)	
Distributions to preferred shareholders									
From net investment income	\$	(86,193)	\$	(34,378)	\$	(29,679)	\$	(57,651)	
Net decrease in net assets from operations	\$	(9,303,765)	\$	(4,640,675)	\$	(3,478,404)	\$	(4,843,784)	

# Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2013

Statements of Operations continued

	Year Ended November 30, 2013					3	
Investment Income	New York Trust				Pennsylvania Trust		
Interest	\$	6,029,410	_	<b>hio Trust</b> 2,904,071	\$	2,741,107	
Total investment income	\$	6,029,410		<b>2,904,071</b>	\$	2,741,107 2,741,107	
Total investment income	Ψ	0,022,410	Ψ	2,704,071	Ψ	2,741,107	
Expenses							
Investment adviser fee	\$	789,418	\$	412,494	\$	384,497	
Administration fee	Ψ	244,232	Ψ	127,616	Ψ	118,962	
Trustees fees and expenses		5,318		3,017		2,847	
Custodian fee		63,967		41,293		39,508	
Transfer and dividend disbursing agent fees		18,444		18,554		18,219	
Legal and accounting services		52,602		39,584		46,324	
Printing and postage		12,779		8,635		8,350	
Interest expense and fees		127,173		0,000		16,698	
Preferred shares service fee		48,917		32,624		30,196	
Miscellaneous		42,500		37,048		36,260	
Total expenses	\$	1,405,350	\$	720,865	\$	701,861	
Deduct	-	_,,		1-1,011	*	,	
Reduction of custodian fee	\$	406	\$	297	\$	229	
Total expense reductions	\$	406	\$	297	\$	229	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			·		·		
Net expenses	\$	1,404,944	\$	720,568	\$	701,632	
Net investment income	\$	4,624,466	\$	2,183,503	\$	2,039,475	
Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss)							
Net realized gain (loss)							
Investment transactions	\$	(1,103,829)	\$	(339,867)	\$	(750,512)	
Financial futures contracts	-	609,874	_	231,608	Ť	687,168	
Net realized loss	\$	(493,955)	\$	(108,259)	\$	(63,344)	
Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)		` , ,		` / /		` , ,	
Investments	\$	(11,673,826)	\$	(6,619,957)	\$	(5,268,177)	
Financial futures contracts		(9,742)		(3,480)		10,547	
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	\$	(11,683,568)	\$	(6,623,437)	\$	(5,257,630)	
Net realized and unrealized loss	\$	(12,177,523)	\$	(6,731,696)	\$	(5,320,974)	
Distributions to preferred shareholders	4	(55.000)		(20.40.6)		(2 < 2 < 7	
From net investment income	\$	(57,302)	\$	(38,194)	\$	(36,307)	
Net decrease in net assets from operations	\$	(7,610,359)	\$	(4,586,387)	\$	(3,317,806)	

See Notes to Financial Statements.

# Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2013

Statements of Changes in Net Assets

Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets From operations	_	alifornia rust	M	Year Ended Nove	Mi	er 30, 2013 Ichigan ust	Nev	v Jersey Trust
Net investment income	\$	5,490,439	\$	2,061,580	\$	1,541,662	\$	3,565,665
Net realized gain from investment transactions, extinguishment of debt and		2,.,0,.2,	Ψ	2,001,000	Ψ	1,0 .1,002	Ψ	2,202,002
financial futures contracts		616,200		377,103		2,099		1,523,973
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments and	l							
financial futures contracts		(15,324,211)		(7,044,980)		(4,992,486)		(9,875,771)
Distributions to preferred shareholders								
From net investment income		(86,193)		(34,378)		(29,679)		(57,651)
Net decrease in net assets from operations	\$	(9,303,765)	\$	(4,640,675)	\$	(3,478,404)	\$	(4,843,784)
Distributions to common shareholders								
From net investment income	\$	(5,779,391)	\$	(2,134,388)	\$	(1,585,116)	\$	(3,686,470)
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$	(5,779,391)	\$	(2,134,388)	\$	(1,585,116)	\$	(3,686,470)
Capital share transactions								
Reinvestment of distributions to common shareholders	\$	49,584	\$		\$		\$	47,846
Net increase in net assets from capital share transactions	\$	49,584	\$		\$		\$	47,846
Net decrease in net assets	\$	(15,033,572)	\$	(6,775,063)	\$	(5,063,520)	\$	(8,482,408)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares								
At beginning of year	\$	106,366,858	\$	44,548,887	\$	32,391,135	\$	69,134,975
At end of year	\$	91,333,286	\$	37,773,824	\$	27,327,615	\$	60,652,567
Accumulated undistributed net investment income included in net assets applicable to common shares  At end of year	\$	247,709	\$	46,444	\$	40.726	\$	168,135
	Ψ	211,100	Ψ	10,177	Ψ	10,720	Ψ	100,100

# Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2013

Statements of Changes in Net Assets continued

	Year Ended November 30, 2013					
		ew York			_	
Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets	T	rust	OI	nio Trust	Penn	sylvania Trust
From operations						
Net investment income	\$	4,624,466	\$	2,183,503	\$	2,039,475
Net realized loss from investment transactions and financial futures contracts		(493,955)		(108,259)		(63,344)
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments and financial futures						
contracts		(11,683,568)		(6,623,437)		(5,257,630)
Distributions to preferred shareholders						
From net investment income		(57,302)		(38,194)		(36,307)
Net decrease in net assets from operations	\$	(7,610,359)	\$	(4,586,387)	\$	(3,317,806)
Distributions to common shareholders						
From net investment income	\$	(4,835,003)	\$	(2,112,450)	\$	(2,140,917)
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$	(4,835,003)	\$	(2,112,450)	\$	(2,140,917)
Capital share transactions						
Reinvestment of distributions to common shareholders	\$	55,392	\$	3,154	\$	7,155
Net increase in net assets from capital share transactions	\$	55,392	\$	3,154	\$	7,155
Net decrease in net assets	\$	(12,389,970)	\$	(6,695,683)	\$	(5,451,568)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares						
At beginning of year	\$	85,000,902	\$ 4	15,283,734	\$	40,187,595
At end of year				88,588,051	\$	34,736,027
At thu of year	φ	72,010,932	φ.	,500,051	Ψ	34,730,027
Accumulated undistributed net investment income						
included in net assets applicable to common shares						
At end of year	\$	277,457	\$	145,461	\$	70,398

39

# Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2013

Statements of Changes in Net Assets continued

	C	alifornia	Year Ended November 30, 2012 ornia Michigan					
Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets	T	rust	N	Assachusetts Trust		ust	Nev	Jersey Trust
From operations								•
Net investment income	\$	5,734,651	\$	2,118,603	\$	1,609,283	\$	3,745,238
Net realized loss from investment transactions and financial								
futures contracts		(974,992)		(427,235)		(347,036)		(970,208)
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments and								
financial futures contracts		17,774,223		6,690,665		4,443,394		9,281,456
Distributions to preferred shareholders								
From net investment income		(127,665)		(51,813)		(44,571)		(84,946)
Net increase in net assets from operations	\$	22,406,217	\$	8,330,220	\$	5,661,070	\$	11,971,540
Distributions to common shareholders								
From net investment income	\$	(6,086,435)	\$	(2,212,744)	\$	(1,636,265)	\$	(3,723,547)
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$	(6,086,435)	\$	(2,212,744)	\$	(1,636,265)	\$	(3,723,547)
Capital share transactions								
Reinvestment of distributions to common shareholders	\$	185,370	\$		\$		\$	152,504
Net increase in net assets from capital share transactions	\$	185,370	\$	59,017	\$		\$	152,504
Net increase in net assets	\$	16,505,152	\$	6,176,493	\$	4,024,805	\$	8,400,497
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares								
At beginning of year	\$	89,861,706	\$	38,372,394	\$	28,366,330	\$	60,734,478
At end of year	\$	106,366,858	\$	44,548,887	\$	32,391,135	\$	69,134,975
Accumulated undistributed net investment income included in net assets applicable to common shares <b>At end of year</b>	\$	663,177	\$	169,079	\$	117,612	\$	359,123

40

# Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2013

Statements of Changes in Net Assets continued

	Year Ended November 30, 2012				
	New York				
Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets	Trust	Ohio Trust	Pennsylvania Trust		
From operations					
Net investment income	\$ 4,678,805	\$ 2,244,337	\$ 2,137,052		
Net realized loss from investment transactions and financial futures contracts	(423,975)	(546,102)	(718,314)		
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments and financial futures contracts	12,995,092	7,610,893	5,022,434		
Distributions to preferred shareholders					
From net investment income	(86,286)	(58,080)	(54,721)		
Net increase in net assets from operations	\$ 17,163,636	\$ 9,251,048	\$ 6,386,451		
Distributions to common shareholders					
From net investment income	\$ (4,974,981)	\$ (2,373,528)	\$ (2,248,125)		
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (4,974,981)	\$ (2,373,528)	\$ (2,248,125)		
Capital share transactions					
Reinvestment of distributions to common shareholders	\$ 134,042	\$ 27,594	\$ 38,280		
Net increase in net assets from capital share transactions	\$ 134,042	\$ 27,594	\$ 38,280		
Net increase in net assets	\$ 12,322,697	\$ 6,905,114	\$ 4,176,606		
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares					
At beginning of year	\$ 72,678,205	\$ 38.378.620	\$ 36,010,989		
At end of year	\$ 85,000,902	\$ 45,283,734	\$ 40,187,595		
The child of your	\$ 02,000,20 <b>2</b>	Ψ 12,202,73 1	ψ 10,107,650		
Accumulated undistributed net investment income					
included in net assets applicable to common shares					
At end of year	\$ 563,208	\$ 129,262	\$ 210,754		

41

## **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2013

Statement of Cash Flows\*

Cash Flows From Operating Activities Net decrease in net assets from operations Distributions to preferred shareholders	Nover	Ended nber 30, 2013 York Trust (7,610,359) 57,302
Net decrease in net assets from operations excluding distributions to preferred shareholders	\$	(7,553,057)
Adjustments to reconcile net decrease in net assets from operations to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Investments purchased		(12,852,409)
Investments sold		13,913,328
Net amortization/accretion of premium (discount)  Decrease in restricted cash		(100,297) 20,750
Decrease in interest receivable		24,332
Decrease in payable for variation margin on open financial futures contracts		(6,718)
Decrease in payable to affiliate for investment adviser fee		(6,908)
Decrease in payable to affiliate for administration fee		(1,669)
Decrease in payable to affiliate for Trustees fees		(14)
Decrease in interest expense and fees payable		(4,792)
Decrease in accrued expenses		(718)
Net change in unrealized (appreciation) depreciation from investments		11,673,826
Net realized loss from investments		1,103,829
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	6,209,483
Cash Flows From Financing Activities	\$	(4 770 611)
Distributions paid to common shareholders, net of reinvestments  Cash distributions paid to preferred shareholders	Ф	(4,779,611) (57,373)
Decrease in due to custodian		(634,282)
Net cash used in financing activities	\$	(5,471,266)
Net increase in cash	\$	738,217
Cook at harinning of year	¢	
Cash at beginning of year	\$	
Cash at end of year	\$	738,217
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		
Noncash financing activities not included herein consist of:		
Reinvestment of dividends and distributions	\$	55.392
Cash paid for interest and fees	Ψ	131,965
F		101,700

<sup>\*</sup> Statement of Cash Flows is not required for California Trust, Massachusetts Trust, Michigan Trust, New Jersey Trust, Ohio Trust and Pennsylvania Trust.

## **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2013

#### Financial Highlights

Selected data for a common share outstanding during the periods stated

	California Trust Year Ended November 30,						
No. 1 Police (Co. 1)	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009		
Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares)	\$ 14.660	\$ 12.410	\$ 12.390	\$ 12.330	\$ 9.890		
Income (Loss) From Operations Net investment income <sup>(1)</sup> Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) Distributions to preferred shareholders	\$ 0.756 (2.028)	\$ 0.791 2.316	\$ 0.926 0.002	\$ 0.945 0.026	\$ 0.947 2.321		
From net investment income <sup>(1)</sup>	(0.012)	(0.018)	(0.022)	(0.028)	(0.047)		
Total income (loss) from operations	\$ (1.284)	\$ 3.089	\$ 0.906	\$ 0.943	\$ 3.221		
Less Distributions to Common Shareholders From net investment income	\$ (0.796)	\$ (0.839)	\$ (0.886)	\$ (0.883)	\$ (0.781)		
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (0.796)	\$ (0.839)	\$ (0.886)	\$ (0.883)	\$ (0.781)		
Net asset value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 12.580	\$ 14.660	\$ 12.410	\$ 12.390	\$ 12.330		
Market value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 11.060	\$ 14.680	\$ 12.770	\$ 12.400	\$ 12.170		
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value <sup>(2)</sup>	(8.69)%	25.59%	7.99%	7.73%	34.24%		
Total Investment Return on Market Value <sup>(2)</sup>	(19.84)%	22.22%	11.04%	9.25%	43.19%		
Ratios/Supplemental Data  Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted)  Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares): <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 91,333	\$ 106,367	\$ 89,862	\$ 89,395	\$ 88,720		
Expenses excluding interest and fees <sup>(4)</sup>	1.66%	1.66%	1.83%	1.78%	1.93%		
Interest and fee expense <sup>(5)</sup>	0.10%	0.11%	0.17%	0.18%	0.23%		
Total expenses <sup>(4)</sup>	1.76%	1.77%	2.00%	1.96%	2.16%		
Net investment income Portfolio Turnover	5.64% 8%	5.77% 17%	7.81% 22%	7.34% 14%	8.35% 18%		
The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares.							
are as follows: Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and preferred shares): <sup>(3)</sup>	aros based on ne	t assets, merudi	ig amounts reia	icu to preferrec	i shares,		
Expenses excluding interest and fees <sup>(4)</sup>	1.09%	1.11%	1.15%	1.16%	1.19%		
Interest and fee expense <sup>(5)</sup>	0.07%	0.07%	0.11%	0.11%	0.15%		
Total expenses <sup>(4)</sup>	1.16%	1.18%	1.26%	1.27%	1.34%		
Net investment income	3.73%	3.84%	4.93%	4.77%	5.18%		
Senior Securities:							
Total preferred shares outstanding	1,999	1,999	1,999	1,999	1,999		
Asset coverage per preferred share <sup>(6)</sup>	\$ 70,690	\$ 78,210	\$ 69,954	\$ 69,721	\$ 69,383		
Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred share <sup>(7)</sup>	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000		
Approximate market value per preferred share <sup>(7)</sup>	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000		

(1)	Computed using average common shares outstanding.
(2)	Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested. Distributions are assumed to be reinvested at prices obtained under the Trust s dividend reinvestment plan.
(3)	Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.
(4)	Excludes the effect of custody fee credits, if any, of less than 0.005%.
(5)	Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).
(6)	Calculated by subtracting the Trust s total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Trust s total assets, and dividing the result by the number of preferred shares outstanding.
(7)	Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.
	43 See Notes to Financial Statements

## **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2013

Financial Highlights continued

Selected data for a common share outstanding during the periods stated

	Massachusetts Trust Year Ended November 30,						
Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares)	<b>2013</b> \$ 16.200	<b>2012</b> \$ 13.970	<b>2011</b> \$ 13.790	<b>2010</b> \$ 13.590	<b>2009</b> \$ 10.160		
Income (Loss) From Operations Net investment income <sup>(1)</sup> Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) Distributions to preferred shareholders	\$ 0.750 (2.432)	\$ 0.771 2.283	\$ 0.890 0.219	\$ 0.926 0.210	\$ 0.948 3.356		
From net investment income <sup>(1)</sup>	(0.012)	(0.019)	(0.023)	(0.030)	(0.049)		
Total income (loss) from operations	<b>\$ (1.694)</b>	\$ 3.035	\$ 1.086	\$ 1.106	\$ 4.255		
Less Distributions to Common Shareholders From net investment income Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (0.776) <b>\$ (0.776)</b>	\$ (0.805) <b>\$ (0.805</b> )	\$ (0.906) <b>\$ (0.906</b> )	\$ (0.906) <b>\$ (0.906)</b>	\$ (0.825) <b>\$ (0.825</b> )		
Net asset value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 13.730	\$ 16.200	\$ 13.970	\$ 13.790	\$ 13.590		
Market value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 11.970	\$ 16.350	\$ 14.810	\$ 13.980	\$ 13.260		
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value <sup>(2)</sup>	(10.34)%	22.28%	8.49%	8.16%	43.29%		
Total Investment Return on Market Value <sup>(2)</sup>	(22.55)%	16.41%	13.45%	12.38%	58.91%		
Ratios/Supplemental Data Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted) Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares):(3)	\$ 37,774	\$ 44,549	\$ 38,372	\$ 37,735	\$ 37,011		
Expenses excluding interest and fees Interest and fee expense <sup>(5)</sup>	1.73% 0.08%	1.73% 0.09%	1.87% 0.11%	1.83% 0.09%	2.02% 0.14%		
Total expenses before custodian fee reduction  Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees  Net investment income	1.81% 1.73% 5.12%	1.82% 1.73% 5.06%	1.98% 1.87% 6.70%	1.92% 1.82% 6.51%	2.16% 2.02% 7.77%		
Portfolio Turnover	1%	11%	15%	16%	24%		
The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The rate as follows:  Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and preferred shares): <sup>(3)</sup>	atios based on net	assets, includin	g amounts relat	ed to preferred	1 shares,		
Expenses excluding interest and fees <sup>(4)</sup> Interest and fee expense <sup>(5)</sup> Total expenses <sup>(4)</sup> Net investment income	1.16% 0.05% 1.21% 3.42%	1.17% 0.06% 1.23% 3.42%	1.21% 0.07% 1.28% 4.32%	1.20% 0.06% 1.26% 4.29%	1.26% 0.09% 1.35% 4.85%		
Senior Securities: Total preferred shares outstanding Asset coverage per preferred share <sup>(6)</sup> Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred share <sup>(7)</sup> Approximate market value per preferred share <sup>(7)</sup>	802 \$ 72,100 \$ 25,000 \$ 25,000	802 \$ 80,548 \$ 25,000 \$ 25,000	802 \$ 72,846 \$ 25,000 \$ 25,000	802 \$ 72,051 \$ 25,000 \$ 25,000	802 \$ 71,150 \$ 25,000 \$ 25,000		

(1)	Computed using average common shares outstanding.
(2)	Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested. Distributions are assumed to be reinvested at prices obtained under the Trust s dividend reinvestment plan.
(3)	Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.
(4)	Excludes the effect of custody fee credits, if any, of less than 0.005%.
(5)	Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).
(6)	Calculated by subtracting the Trust s total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Trust s total assets, and dividing the result by the number of preferred shares outstanding.
(7)	Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.
	44 See Notes to Financial Statement.

## **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2013

Financial Highlights continued

Selected data for a common share outstanding during the periods stated

Part				chigan Trust ded November	r 30,	
Not investment income(1)	Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares)					
From net investment income(1)   (0.014)   (0.021)   (0.025)   (0.033)   (0.056)     Total income (loss) from operations   (3.1651)   (3.1651)   (3.1652)   (3.1652)   (3.1652)     Less Distributions to Common Shareholders     From net investment income   (3.0749)   (3.0773)   (3.0839)   (3.0859)   (3.0772)     Total distributions to common shareholders   (3.0749)   (3.0773)   (3.0839)   (3.0859)   (3.0772)     Total distributions to common shareholders   (3.0749)   (3.0773)   (3.0839)   (3.0859)   (3.0772)     Net asset value   End of year (Common shares)   (3.1400	Net investment income <sup>(1)</sup> Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)					
Prom net investment income   \$ (0,749)   \$ (0,737)   \$ (0,839)   \$ (0,859)   \$ (0,772)	1	(0.014)	(0.021)	(0.025)	(0.033)	(0.056)
From net investment income   \$ (0.749)   \$ (0.773)   \$ (0.839)   \$ (0.773)	Total income (loss) from operations	\$ (1.651)	\$ 2.683	\$ 1.359	\$ 0.799	\$ 2.852
Net asset value   End of year (Common shares)   \$12.910   \$15.310   \$13.400   \$12.800   \$12.800   \$11.50	From net investment income	,	,	,	/	
Narket value   End of year (Common shares)   \$11.000   \$14.690   \$12.470   \$12.100   \$11.530     Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value(2)   (10.49)%   20.92%   11.66%   6.57%   28.08%     Total Investment Return on Market Value(2)   (20.51)%   24.67%   10.60%   12.36%   56.49%     Ratios/Supplemental Data   Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted)   \$27,328   \$32,391   \$28,366   \$27,262   \$27,392     Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares. The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares and preferrered shares; (3)   1.91%   1.89%   2.04%   1.98%   2.14%     Portfolio Turnover   1.91%   1.89%   2.04%   1.59%   2.24%   1.23%   1.23%     The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares and preferred shares; (3)   1.20%   1.20%   1.20%   1.24%   1.22%   1.29%     Interest and fee expense(5)   1.20%   1.20%   1.24%   1.22%   1.29%     Interest and fee expense(5)   1.20%   1.20%   1.24%   1.22%   1.33%     Net investment income   3.29%   3.35%   3.93%   4.06%   4.52%     Senior Securities:   700		,				
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value <sup>(2)</sup>	Net asset value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 12.910	\$ 15.310	\$ 13.400	\$ 12.880	\$ 12.940
Ratios/Supplemental Data	Market value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 11.000	\$ 14.690	\$ 12.470	\$ 12.100	\$ 11.530
Ratios/Supplemental Data           Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted)         \$ 27,328         \$ 32,391         \$ 28,366         \$ 27,262         \$ 27,392           Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares): (3)         1.91%         1.89%         2.04%         1.98%         2.18%           Interest and fee expense (5)         0.066%	Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value <sup>(2)</sup>	(10.49)%	20.92%	11.66%	6.57%	28.08%
Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted)   \$27,328   \$32,391   \$28,366   \$27,262   \$27,392   \$28,205   \$27,392   \$28,205   \$27,392   \$28,205   \$27,392   \$28,205   \$27,392   \$28,205   \$27,392   \$28,205   \$27,392   \$28,205   \$27,392   \$28,205   \$27,392   \$28,205   \$27,392   \$28,205   \$28,	Total Investment Return on Market Value <sup>(2)</sup>	(20.51)%	24.67%	10.60%	12.36%	56.49%
1.91%   1.89%   2.04%   1.98%   2.18%   1.91%   1.89%   2.04%   1.98%   2.18%   1.91%   1.89%   1.89%   1.91%   1.89%   1.91%   1.89%   1.91%   1.89%   2.04%   1.98%   2.24%   1.91%   1.89%   1.91%   1.89%   2.04%   1.98%   2.24%   1.91%   1.89%   1.91%   1.89%   1.91%   1.89%   2.04%   1.98%   2.24%   1.91%   1.89%   1.91%   1.89%   1.91%   1.89%   1.91%   1.91%   1.91%   1.91%   1.89%   1.91	Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted)	\$ 27,328	\$ 32,391	\$ 28,366	\$ 27,262	\$ 27,392
Net investment income         5.26%         5.26%         6.49%         6.57%         7.61%           Portfolio Turnover         11%         14%         18%         14%         23%           The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The ratios based on net assets, including amounts related to preferred shares, are as follows:         8.25%         8.25%         8.25%         8.25%         8.25%         8.25%         8.25%         8.25%         8.25%         8.25%         8.25%         8.25%         8.25%         9.2	Expenses excluding interest and fees <sup>(4)</sup>	1.91%	1.89%	2.04%	1.98%	
Portfolio Turnover         11%         14%         18%         14%         23%           The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The ratios based on net assets, including amounts related to preferred shares, are as follows:         Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and preferred shares):(3)         1.20%         1.20%         1.24%         1.22%         1.29%           Expenses excluding interest and fees(4)         1.20%         1.20%         1.24%         1.22%         1.29%           Interest and fee expense(5)         1.20%         1.20%         1.24%         1.22%         1.33%           Net investment income         3.29%         3.35%         3.93%         4.06%         4.52%           Senior Securities:         700         700         700         700         700           Asset coverage per preferred share(6)         \$64,040         \$71,273         \$65,524         \$63,948         \$64,132           Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred share(7)         \$25,000         \$25,000         \$25,000         \$25,000         \$25,000         \$25,000         \$25,000						
The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The ratios based on net assets, including amounts related to preferred shares, are as follows:  Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and preferred shares):  Expenses excluding interest and fees <sup>(4)</sup> Interest and fee expense <sup>(5)</sup> Total expenses <sup>(4)</sup> Net investment income Senior Securities:  Total preferred shares outstanding Asset coverage per preferred share <sup>(6)</sup> Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred share <sup>(7)</sup> Asset coverage per preferred share <sup>(7)</sup> Ratios (as a percentage to common shares and season as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and preferred shares, including amounts related to preferred shares, are as follows:  8 1.20% 1.20% 1.20% 1.20% 1.20% 1.20% 1.24% 1.22% 1.29% 1.29% 1.29% 1.29% 1.29% 1.20%						
are as follows: Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and preferred shares):(3)  Expenses excluding interest and fees(4) 1.20% 1.20% 1.24% 1.22% 1.29% Interest and fee expense(5)						
Expenses excluding interest and fees(4)         1.20%         1.20%         1.24%         1.22%         1.29%           Interest and fee expense(5)         0.04%           Total expenses(4)         1.20%         1.20%         1.24%         1.22%         1.33%           Net investment income         3.29%         3.35%         3.93%         4.06%         4.52%           Senior Securities:         Total preferred shares outstanding         700         700         700         700           Asset coverage per preferred share(6)         \$64,040         \$71,273         \$65,524         \$63,948         \$64,132           Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred share(7)         \$25,000         \$25,000         \$25,000         \$25,000         \$25,000	are as follows: Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and	nos based on net	assets, merdum	g amounts reia	ica to preferred	i shares,
Net investment income         3.29%         3.35%         3.93%         4.06%         4.52%           Senior Securities:         Total preferred shares outstanding         700         700         700         700         700           Asset coverage per preferred share(6)         \$64,040         \$71,273         \$65,524         \$63,948         \$64,132           Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred share(7)         \$25,000         \$25,000         \$25,000         \$25,000	Expenses excluding interest and fees <sup>(4)</sup>	1.20%	1.20%	1.24%	1.22%	
Senior Securities:         700         700         700         700         700         700         700         700         700         700         700         700         700         864,040         871,273         \$65,524         \$63,948         \$64,132           Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred share(7)         \$25,000         \$25,000         \$25,000         \$25,000         \$25,000         \$25,000	Total expenses <sup>(4)</sup>					
Total preferred shares outstanding         700         700         700         700         700           Asset coverage per preferred share <sup>(6)</sup> \$64,040         \$71,273         \$65,524         \$63,948         \$64,132           Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred share <sup>(7)</sup> \$25,000         \$25,000         \$25,000         \$25,000         \$25,000		3.29%	3.35%	3.93%	4.06%	4.52%
Asset coverage per preferred share <sup>(6)</sup> $\$64,040$ $\$71,273$ $\$65,524$ $\$63,948$ $\$64,132$ Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred share <sup>(7)</sup> $\$25,000$ $\$25,000$ $\$25,000$ $\$25,000$		700	700	700	700	700
Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred share <sup>(7)</sup> \$25,000 \$25,000 \$25,000 \$25,000						

(1)	Computed using average common shares outstanding.
(2)	Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested. Distributions are assumed to be reinvested at prices obtained under the Trust s dividend reinvestment plan.
(3)	Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.
(4)	Excludes the effect of custody fee credits, if any, of less than 0.005%.
(5)	Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).
(6)	Calculated by subtracting the Trust s total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Trust s total assets, and dividing the result by the number of preferred shares outstanding.
(7)	Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.
	45 See Notes to Financial Statements

## **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2013

Financial Highlights continued

Selected data for a common share outstanding during the periods stated

			v Jersey Trust ded November	30,	
Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares)	<b>2013</b> \$ 14.790	<b>2012</b> \$ 13.020	<b>2011</b> \$ 13.260	<b>2010</b> \$ 13.570	<b>2009</b> \$ 9.400
Income (Loss) From Operations Net investment income <sup>(1)</sup> Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) Distributions to preferred shareholders From net investment income <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 0.762 (1.792) (0.012)	\$ 0.802 1.783	\$ 0.890 (0.185)	\$ 0.957 (0.290)	\$ 0.971 4.091 (0.048)
Total income (loss) from operations	\$ (1.042)	\$ 2.567	\$ 0.683	\$ 0.638	\$ 5.014
Total income (loss) from operations	\$ (1.042)	\$ 2.307	\$ 0.00 <i>3</i>	\$ 0.036	\$ 5.01 <del>4</del>
Less Distributions to Common Shareholders From net investment income	\$ (0.788)	\$ (0.797)	\$ (0.923)	\$ (0.948)	\$ (0.844)
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (0.788)	<b>\$</b> (0.797)	\$ (0.923)	\$ (0.948)	\$ (0.844)
Net asset value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 12.960	\$ 14.790	\$ 13.020	\$ 13.260	\$ 13.570
Market value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 11.440	\$ 16.380	\$ 13.370	\$ 13.520	\$ 14.040
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value <sup>(2)</sup>	(6.96)%	20.18%	5.64%	4.62%	55.43%
Total Investment Return on Market Value <sup>(2)</sup>	(25.85)%	29.62%	6.39%	3.10%	77.84%
Ratios/Supplemental Data  Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted)  Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares):(3)	\$ 60,653	\$ 69,135	\$ 60,734	\$ 61,717	\$ 62,792
Expenses excluding interest and fees <sup>(4)</sup>	1.70%	1.71%	1.81%	1.79%	1.99%
Interest and fee expense <sup>(5)</sup>	0.08%	0.11%	0.15%	0.18%	0.24%
Total expenses <sup>(4)</sup>	1.78%	1.82%	1.96%	1.97%	2.23%
Net investment income Portfolio Turnover	5.55% 16%	5.70% 14%	6.96% 11%	6.87% 9%	8.16% 48%
The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The rat					
are as follows: Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and preferred shares): <sup>(3)</sup>	ios based on net	assets, merdum	g amounts relai	ed to preferred	i shares,
Expenses excluding interest and fees <sup>(4)</sup>	1.12%	1.14%	1.16%	1.18%	1.24%
Interest and fee expense <sup>(5)</sup>	0.05%	0.07%	0.09%	0.12%	0.15%
Total expenses <sup>(4)</sup>	1.17%	1.21%	1.25%	1.30%	1.39%
Net investment income	3.65%	3.78%	4.46%	4.53%	5.08%
Senior Securities:					
Total preferred shares outstanding	1,337	1,337	1,337	1,337	1,337
Asset coverage per preferred share <sup>(6)</sup>	\$ 70,365	\$ 76,709	\$ 70,427	\$ 71,162	\$ 71,966
Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred share <sup>(7)</sup> Approximate market value per preferred share <sup>(7)</sup>	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000 \$ 25,000	\$ 25,000 \$ 25,000	\$ 25,000 \$ 25,000
Approximate market value per preferred share	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 43,000	\$ 23,000	\$ 23,000

(1)	Computed using average common shares outstanding.
(2)	Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested. Distributions are assumed to be reinvested at prices obtained under the Trust s dividend reinvestment plan.
(3)	Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.
(4)	Excludes the effect of custody fee credits, if any, of less than 0.005%.
(5)	Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).
(6)	Calculated by subtracting the Trust s total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Trust s total assets, and dividing the result by the number of preferred shares outstanding.
(7)	Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.
	46 See Notes to Financial Statement.

## **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2013

Financial Highlights continued

Selected data for a common share outstanding during the periods stated

			v York Trust ded November	30,	
Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares)	<b>2013</b> \$ 15.540	<b>2012</b> \$ 13.310	<b>2011</b> \$ 13.110	<b>2010</b> \$ 12.920	<b>2009</b> \$ 9.350
Income (Loss) From Operations Net investment income <sup>(1)</sup> Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) Distributions to preferred shareholders From net investment income <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 0.845 (2.232) (0.010)	\$ 0.856 2.300 (0.016)	\$ 0.950 0.179 (0.019)	\$ 0.954 0.166 (0.025)	\$ 0.960 3.493 (0.042)
Total income (loss) from operations	\$ (1.397)	\$ 3.140	\$ 1.110	\$ 1.095	\$ 4.411
Less Distributions to Common Shareholders From net investment income Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (0.883) \$ (0.883)	\$ (0.910) <b>\$ (0.910)</b>	\$ (0.910) <b>\$ (0.910)</b>	\$ (0.905) <b>\$ (0.905</b> )	\$ (0.841) <b>\$ (0.841)</b>
Net asset value	\$ 13.260	\$ 15.540	\$ 13.310	\$ 13.110	\$ 12.920
Market value	\$ 12.100	\$ 16.150	\$ 13.450	\$ 13.350	\$ 13.200
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value <sup>(2)</sup>	(8.99)%	24.30%	9.06%	8.48%	49.00%
Total Investment Return on Market Value <sup>(2)</sup>	(20.09)%	27.89%	8.18%	8.16%	80.12%
Ratios/Supplemental Data  Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted)  Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares): <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 72,611	\$ 85,001	\$ 72,678	\$ 71,372	\$ 69,857
Expenses excluding interest and fees <sup>(4)</sup> Interest and fee expense <sup>(5)</sup> Total expenses <sup>(4)</sup>	1.65% 0.16% 1.81%	1.66% 0.18% 1.84%	1.78% 0.22% 2.00%	1.74% 0.21% 1.95%	1.98% 0.24% 2.22%
Net investment income Portfolio Turnover	5.97% 10%	5.90% 17%	7.40% 13%	7.02% 13%	8.40% 20%
The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The rate as follows:  Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and preferred shares): <sup>(3)</sup>	atios based on net	assets, includin	g amounts relat	ed to preferred	d shares,
Expenses excluding interest and fees <sup>(4)</sup> Interest and fee expense <sup>(5)</sup> Total expenses <sup>(4)</sup> Net investment income	1.15% 0.11% 1.26% 4.16%	1.16% 0.13% 1.29% 4.14%	1.20% 0.15% 1.35% 5.00%	1.18% 0.15% 1.33% 4.82%	1.28% 0.15% 1.43% 5.43%
Senior Securities: Total preferred shares outstanding Asset coverage per preferred share <sup>(6)</sup> Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred share <sup>(7)</sup>	1,349 \$ 78,826 \$ 25,000	1,349 \$ 88,010 \$ 25,000	1,349 \$ 78,877 \$ 25,000	1,349 \$ 77,909 \$ 25,000	1,349 \$ 76,785 \$ 25,000
Approximate market value per preferred share <sup>(7)</sup>	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000

(1)	Computed using average common shares outstanding.
(2)	Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested. Distributions are assumed to be reinvested at prices obtained under the Trust s dividend reinvestment plan.
(3)	Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.
(4)	Excludes the effect of custody fee credits, if any, of less than 0.005%.
(5)	Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).
(6)	Calculated by subtracting the Trust s total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Trust s total assets, and dividing the result by the number of preferred shares outstanding.
(7)	Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.
	47 See Notes to Financial Statements

## **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2013

Financial Highlights continued

Selected data for a common share outstanding during the periods stated

			Ohio Trust ded November	30,	
Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares)	<b>2013</b> \$ 15.850	<b>2012</b> \$ 13.440	<b>2011</b> \$ 13.170	<b>2010</b> \$ 13.520	<b>2009</b> \$ 10.450
Income (Loss) From Operations Net investment income <sup>(1)</sup> Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) Distributions to preferred shareholders	\$ 0.764 (2.352)	\$ 0.786 2.475	\$ 0.851 0.305	\$ 0.899 (0.325)	\$ 0.945 2.974
From net investment income <sup>(1)</sup>	(0.013)	(0.020)	(0.025)	(0.033)	(0.055)
Total income (loss) from operations	<b>\$ (1.601)</b>	\$ 3.241	\$ 1.131	\$ 0.541	\$ 3.864
Less Distributions to Common Shareholders From net investment income  Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (0.739) <b>\$ (0.739)</b>	\$ (0.831) <b>\$ (0.831)</b>	\$ (0.861) <b>\$ (0.861)</b>	\$ (0.891) <b>\$ (0.891)</b>	\$ (0.794) <b>\$ (0.794)</b>
Net asset value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 13.510	\$ 15.850	\$ 13.440	\$ 13.170	\$ 13.520
•	,	,		•	•
Market value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 11.840	\$ 16.800	\$ 13.320	\$ 13.420	\$ 13.430
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value <sup>(2)</sup>	(10.01)%	24.71%	9.21%	3.96%	38.58%
Total Investment Return on Market Value <sup>(2)</sup>	(25.59)%	33.34%	6.25%	6.64%	68.25%
Ratios/Supplemental Data  Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted)  Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares): <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 38,588	\$ 45,284	\$ 38,379	\$ 37,463	\$ 38,295
Expenses excluding interest and fees <sup>(4)</sup> Interest and fee expense <sup>(5)</sup>	1.76%	1.76%	1.93% 0.01%	1.85% 0.02%	2.08% 0.02%
Total expenses <sup>(4)</sup>	1.76%	1.76%	1.94%	1.87%	2.10%
Net investment income	5.33%	5.31%	6.64%	6.53%	7.77%
Portfolio Turnover The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares.	10%	11%	11%	17% ted to preferred	20%
are as follows: Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and preferred shares):(3)	atios based on her	assets, metudin	g amounts rela	ica to preferred	i shares,
Expenses excluding interest and fees <sup>(4)</sup> Interest and fee expense <sup>(5)</sup>	1.13%	1.15%	1.19% 0.01%	1.17% 0.01%	1.26% 0.01%
Total expenses <sup>(4)</sup>	1.13%	1.15%	1.20%	1.18%	1.27%
Net investment income	3.43%	3.45%	4.09%	4.13%	4.68%
Senior Securities:	909	000	000	000	909
Total preferred shares outstanding Asset coverage per preferred share <sup>(6)</sup>	909 \$ 67,451	909 \$ 74,818	909 \$ 67,221	909 \$ 66,215	\$ 67,131
Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred share <sup>(7)</sup>	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000
Approximate market value per preferred share <sup>(7)</sup>	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000

(1)	Computed using average common shares outstanding.
(2)	Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested. Distributions are assumed to be reinvested at prices obtained under the Trust s dividend reinvestment plan.
(3)	Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.
(4)	Excludes the effect of custody fee credits, if any, of less than 0.005%.
(5)	Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).
(6)	Calculated by subtracting the Trust s total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Trust s total assets, and dividing the result by the number of preferred shares outstanding.
(7)	Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.
	48 See Notes to Financial Statement.

## **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2013

Financial Highlights continued

Selected data for a common share outstanding during the periods stated

	Pennsylvania Trust Year Ended November 30,				
No. 1 Print ( (C)	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares)	\$ 14.780	\$ 13.250	\$ 13.330	\$ 13.380	\$ 10.320
Income (Loss) From Operations					
Net investment income <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 0.750	\$ 0.786	\$ 0.873	\$ 0.912	\$ 0.928
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)	(1.960)	1.591	(0.062)	(0.063)	2.973
Distributions to preferred shareholders					
From net investment income <sup>(1)</sup>	(0.013)	(0.020)	(0.024)	(0.032)	(0.053)
Total income (loss) from operations	\$ (1.223)	\$ 2.357	\$ 0.787	\$ 0.817	\$ 3.848
Less Distributions to Common Shareholders					
From net investment income	\$ (0.787)	\$ (0.827)	\$ (0.867)	\$ (0.867)	\$ (0.788)
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (0.787)	\$ (0.827)	\$ (0.867)	\$ (0.867)	\$ (0.788)
Net asset value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 12.770	\$ 14.780	\$ 13.250	\$ 13.330	\$ 13.380
Market value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 10.950	\$ 15.100	\$ 13.660	\$ 12.930	\$ 13.050
Market value End of year (Common shares)	φ 10./30	φ 13.100	φ 13.000	φ 12./50	φ 13.030
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value <sup>(2)</sup>	(8.07)%	18.20%	6.53%	6.13%	39.16%
Total Investment Return on Market Value <sup>(2)</sup>	(22.84)%	17.23%	13.15%	5.57%	45.88%
Ratios/Supplemental Data					
Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted)	\$ 34,736	\$ 40,188	\$ 36,011	\$ 36,210	\$ 36,255
Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares):(3)					
Expenses excluding interest and fees <sup>(4)</sup>	1.85%	1.85%	1.93%	1.88%	2.11%
Interest and fee expense <sup>(5)</sup>	0.05%	0.04%	0.05%	0.06%	0.21%
Total expenses <sup>(4)</sup>	1.90%	1.89%	1.98%	1.94%	2.32%
Net investment income	5.53%	5.57%	6.71%	6.61%	7.61%
Portfolio Turnover	11%	15%	8%	17%	23%
The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares.	atios based on net	assets, includin	g amounts relat	ted to preferred	d shares,
are as follows:  Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and					
preferred shares):(3)					
Expenses excluding interest and fees <sup>(4)</sup>	1.18%	1.20%	1.21%	1.20%	1.28%
Interest and fee expense <sup>(5)</sup>	0.03%	0.02%	0.03%	0.04%	0.13%
Total expenses <sup>(4)</sup>	1.21%	1.22%	1.24%	1.24%	1.41%
Net investment income	3.51%	3.59%	4.19%	4.22%	4.63%
Senior Securities:					
Total preferred shares outstanding	847	847	847	847	847
Asset coverage per preferred share <sup>(6)</sup>	\$ 66,011	\$ 72,448	\$ 67,516	\$ 67,752	\$ 67,806
Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred share <sup>(7)</sup>	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000
Approximate market value per preferred share <sup>(7)</sup>	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000

(1)	Computed using average common shares outstanding.
(2)	Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested. Distributions are assumed to be reinvested at prices obtained under the Trust s dividend reinvestment plan.
(3)	Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.
(4)	Excludes the effect of custody fee credits, if any, of less than 0.005%.
(5)	Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).
(6)	Calculated by subtracting the Trust s total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Trust s total assets, and dividing the result by the number of preferred shares outstanding.
(7)	Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.
	49 See Notes to Financial Statements

#### **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements

#### 1 Significant Accounting Policies

Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust (California Trust), Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust (Massachusetts Trust), Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Income Trust (Michigan Trust), Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Income Trust (New Jersey Trust), Eaton Vance New York Municipal Income Trust (New York Trust), Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Income Trust (Ohio Trust) and Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust (Pennsylvania Trust) (each individually referred to as the Trust, and collectively, the Trusts), are Massachusetts business trusts registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act), as non-diversified, closed-end management investment companies. The Trusts investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax and taxes in its specified state.

The following is a summary of significant accounting policies of the Trusts. The policies are in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

A Investment Valuation The following methodologies are used to determine the market value or fair value of investments.

Debt Obligations. Debt obligations (including short-term obligations with a remaining maturity of more than sixty days) are generally valued on the basis of valuations provided by third party pricing services, as derived from such services—pricing models. Inputs to the models may include, but are not limited to, reported trades, executable bid and asked prices, broker/dealer quotations, prices or yields of securities with similar characteristics, benchmark curves or information pertaining to the issuer, as well as industry and economic events. The pricing services may use a matrix approach, which considers information regarding securities with similar characteristics to determine the valuation for a security. Short-term obligations purchased with a remaining maturity of sixty days or less are generally valued at amortized cost, which approximates market value.

Derivatives. Financial futures contracts are valued at the closing settlement price established by the board of trade or exchange on which they are traded.

Fair Valuation. Investments for which valuations or market quotations are not readily available or are deemed unreliable are valued at fair value using methods determined in good faith by or at the direction of the Trustees of a Trust in a manner that fairly reflects the security s value, or the amount that the Trust might reasonably expect to receive for the security upon its current sale in the ordinary course. Each such determination is based on a consideration of relevant factors, which are likely to vary from one pricing context to another. These factors may include, but are not limited to, the type of security, the existence of any contractual restrictions on the security s disposition, the price and extent of public trading in similar securities of the issuer or of comparable entities, quotations or relevant information obtained from broker/dealers or other market participants, information obtained from the issuer, analysts, and/or the appropriate stock exchange (for exchange-traded securities), an analysis of the entity s financial condition, and an evaluation of the forces that influence the issuer and the market(s) in which the security is purchased and sold.

B Investment Transactions and Related Income Investment transactions for financial statement purposes are accounted for on a trade date basis. Realized gains and losses on investments sold are determined on the basis of identified cost. Interest income is recorded on the basis of interest accrued, adjusted for amortization of premium or accretion of discount.

C Federal Taxes Each Trust s policy is to comply with the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code applicable to regulated investment companies and to distribute to shareholders each year substantially all of its taxable, if any, and tax-exempt net investment income, and all or substantially all of its net realized capital gains. Accordingly, no provision for federal income or excise tax is necessary. Each Trust intends to satisfy conditions which will enable it to designate distributions from the interest income generated by its investments in non-taxable municipal securities, which are exempt from regular federal income tax when received by each Trust, as exempt-interest dividends. The portion of such interest, if any, earned on private activity bonds issued after August 7, 1986, may be considered a tax preference item to shareholders.

At November 30, 2013, the following Trusts, for federal income tax purposes, had capital loss carryforwards and deferred capital losses which will reduce the respective Trust s taxable income arising from future net realized gains on investment transactions, if any, to the extent permitted by the Internal Revenue Code, and thus will reduce the amount of distributions to shareholders, which would otherwise be necessary to relieve the Trusts of any liability for federal income or

excise tax. The deferred capital losses are treated as arising on the first day of the Trusts next taxable year and are treated as realized prior to the utilization of the capital loss carryforward. The amounts and expiration dates of the capital loss carryforwards and the amounts of the deferred capital losses are as follows:

<b>Expiration Date</b>	California Trust	Ma Tru	nssachusetts ust	Michigan Trust	New Jersey Trust	New York Trust	Ohio Trust	nnsylvania rust
November 30, 2016	\$ 6,689,345	\$	692,532	\$ 517,712	\$	\$ 2,354,581	\$ 736,482	\$ 800,874
November 30, 2017	4,084,290		991,790	337,540	2,795,679	3,171,310	840,450	
November 30, 2018	355,871			34,334	1,512,852	671,928	41,243	329,527
November 30, 2019	5,299,748		1,780,081	345,052	4,137,608	3,607,489	1,169,431	1,724,760
Total capital loss carryforward	\$ 16,429,254	\$	3,464,403	\$ 1,234,638	\$ 8,446,139	\$ 9,805,308	\$ 2,787,606	\$ 2,855,161
Deferred capital losses	\$ 271,835	\$	75,959	\$ 364,043	\$	\$ 1,548,393	\$ 715,886	\$ 851,298

#### **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements continued

During the year ended November 30, 2013, capital loss carryforwards of \$389,464 were utilized to offset net realized gains by New Jersey Trust.

As of November 30, 2013, the Trusts had no uncertain tax positions that would require financial statement recognition, de-recognition, or disclosure. Each Trust files a U.S. federal income tax return annually after its fiscal year-end, which is subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service for a period of three years from the date of filing.

- D Expense Reduction State Street Bank and Trust Company (SSBT) serves as custodian of the Trusts. Pursuant to the custodian agreement, SSBT receives a fee reduced by credits, which are determined based on the average daily cash balance each Trust maintains with SSBT. All credit balances, if any, used to reduce each Trust s custodian fees are reported as a reduction of expenses in the Statements of Operations.
- E Legal Fees Legal fees and other related expenses incurred as part of negotiations of the terms and requirement of capital infusions, or that are expected to result in the restructuring of, or a plan of reorganization for, an investment are recorded as realized losses. Ongoing expenditures to protect or enhance an investment are treated as operating expenses.
- F Use of Estimates The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of income and expense during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.
- G Indemnifications Under each Trust s organizational documents, its officers and Trustees may be indemnified against certain liabilities and expenses arising out of the performance of their duties to each Trust. Under Massachusetts law, if certain conditions prevail, shareholders of a Massachusetts business trust (such as a Trust) could be deemed to have personal liability for the obligations of the Trust. However, each Trust s Declaration of Trust contains an express disclaimer of liability on the part of Trust shareholders and the By-laws provide that the Trust shall assume the defense on behalf of any Trust shareholders. Moreover, the By-laws also provide for indemnification out of Trust property of any shareholder held personally liable solely by reason of being or having been a shareholder for all loss or expense arising from such liability. Additionally, in the normal course of business, each Trust enters into agreements with service providers that may contain indemnification clauses. Each Trust s maximum exposure under these arrangements is unknown as this would involve future claims that may be made against each Trust that have not yet occurred.
- H Floating Rate Notes Issued in Conjunction with Securities Held The Trusts may invest in residual interest bonds, also referred to as inverse floating rate securities, whereby a Trust may sell a variable or fixed rate bond to a broker for cash. At the same time, the Trust buys a residual interest in the assets and cash flows of a Special-Purpose Vehicle (the SPV), (which is generally organized as a trust), set up by the broker. The broker deposits a bond into the SPV with the same CUSIP number as the bond sold to the broker by the Trust, and which may have been, but is not required to be, the bond purchased from the Trust (the Bond). The SPV also issues floating rate notes (Floating Rate Notes) which are sold to third-parties. The residual interest bond held by a Trust gives the Trust the right (1) to cause the holders of the Floating Rate Notes to generally tender their notes at par, and (2) to have the broker transfer the Bond held by the SPV to the Trust, thereby terminating the SPV. Should the Trust exercise such right, it would generally pay the broker the par amount due on the Floating Rate Notes and exchange the residual interest bond for the underlying Bond. Pursuant to generally accepted accounting principles for transfers and servicing of financial assets and extinguishment of liabilities, the Trusts account for the transaction described above as a secured borrowing by including the Bond in their Portfolio of Investments and the Floating Rate Notes as a liability under the caption Payable for floating rate notes issued in their Statement of Assets and Liabilities. The Floating Rate Notes have interest rates that generally reset weekly and their holders have the option to tender their notes to the broker for redemption at par at each reset date. Accordingly, the fair value of the payable for floating rate notes issued approximates its carrying value. If measured at fair value, the payable for floating rate notes would have been considered as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy (see Note 10) at November 30, 2013. Interest expense related to the Trusts liability with respect to Floating Rate Notes is recorded as incurred. The SPV may be terminated by the Trust, as noted above, or by the broker upon the occurrence of certain termination events as defined in the trust agreement, such as a downgrade in the credit quality of the underlying Bond, bankruptcy of or payment failure by the issuer of the underlying Bond, the inability to remarket Floating Rate Notes that have been tendered due to insufficient buyers in the market, or the failure by the SPV to obtain renewal of the liquidity agreement under which liquidity support is provided for the Floating Rate Notes up to one year. Structuring fees paid to the liquidity provider upon the creation of an SPV have been recorded as debt issuance costs and are being amortized as interest expense to the expected maturity of the related trust. Unamortized structuring fees related to a terminated SPV are recorded as a realized loss on extinguishment of debt. At November 30, 2013, the amounts of the Trusts Floating Rate Notes and related interest rates and collateral were as follows:

	California Trust			New York Trust	Pennsylvania Trust		
Floating Rate Notes Outstanding Interest Rate or Range of Interest Rates (%)	\$ 14,680,000 0.05 - 1.20	\$ 3,385,000 0.05 - 0.07	\$ 3,780,000 0.10 - 0.20	\$ 19,315,000 0.05 - 0.10	\$ 1,650,000 0.06 - 1.20		
Collateral for Floating Rate Notes Outstanding	\$ 17,799,589	\$ 4,509,129	\$ 5,177,618	\$ 26,645,004	\$ 2,687,510		

### **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements continued

For the year ended November 30, 2013, the Trusts average Floating Rate Notes outstanding and the average interest rate including fees and amortization of deferred debt issuance costs were as follows:

	California Trust	Massachusetts Trust	New Jersey Trust	New York Trust	Pennsylvania Trust
Average Floating Rate Notes Outstanding	\$ 14,680,000	\$ 4,383,630	\$ 7,886,342	\$ 19,315,000	\$ 1,650,000
Average Interest Rate	0.67%	0.70%	0.67%	0.66%	1.01%

The Trusts may enter into shortfall and forbearance agreements with the broker by which a Trust agrees to reimburse the broker, in certain circumstances, for the difference between the liquidation value of the Bond held by the SPV and the liquidation value of the Floating Rate Notes, as well as any shortfalls in interest cash flows. The Trusts had no shortfalls as of November 30, 2013.

The Trusts may also purchase residual interest bonds from brokers in a secondary market transaction without first owning the underlying bond. Such transactions are not required to be treated as secured borrowings. Shortfall agreements, if any, related to residual interest bonds purchased in a secondary market transaction are disclosed in the Portfolio of Investments.

The Trusts investment policies and restrictions expressly permit investments in residual interest bonds. Such bonds typically offer the potential for yields exceeding the yields available on fixed rate bonds with comparable credit quality and maturity. These securities tend to underperform the market for fixed rate bonds in a rising long-term interest rate environment, but tend to outperform the market for fixed rate bonds when long-term interest rates decline. The value and income of residual interest bonds are generally more volatile than that of a fixed rate bond. The Trusts investment policies do not allow the Trusts to borrow money except as permitted by the 1940 Act. Management believes that the Trusts restrictions on borrowing money and issuing senior securities (other than as specifically permitted) do not apply to Floating Rate Notes issued by the SPV and included as a liability in the Trusts Statement of Assets and Liabilities. As secured indebtedness issued by an SPV, Floating Rate Notes are distinct from the borrowings and senior securities to which the Trusts restrictions apply. Residual interest bonds held by the Trusts are securities exempt from registration under Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933.

- I Financial Futures Contracts Upon entering into a financial futures contract, a Trust is required to deposit with the broker, either in cash or securities, an amount equal to a certain percentage of the contract amount (initial margin). Subsequent payments, known as variation margin, are made or received by the Trust each business day, depending on the daily fluctuations in the value of the underlying security, and are recorded as unrealized gains or losses by the Trust. Gains (losses) are realized upon the expiration or closing of the financial futures contracts. Should market conditions change unexpectedly, the Trust may not achieve the anticipated benefits of the financial futures contracts and may realize a loss. Futures contracts have minimal counterparty risk as they are exchange traded and the clearinghouse for the exchange is substituted as the counterparty, guaranteeing counterparty performance.
- J When-Issued Securities and Delayed Delivery Transactions The Trusts may purchase or sell securities on a delayed delivery or when-issued basis. Payment and delivery may take place after the customary settlement period for that security. At the time the transaction is negotiated, the price of the security that will be delivered is fixed. The Trusts maintain security positions for these commitments such that sufficient liquid assets will be available to make payments upon settlement. Securities purchased on a delayed delivery or when-issued basis are marked-to-market daily and begin earning interest on settlement date. Losses may arise due to changes in the market value of the underlying securities or if the counterparty does not perform under the contract.
- K Statement of Cash Flows The cash amount shown in the Statement of Cash Flows of a Trust is the amount included in the Trust s Statement of Assets and Liabilities and represents the unrestricted cash on hand at its custodian and does not include any short-term investments.
- 2 Auction Preferred Shares

Each Trust issued Auction Preferred Shares (APS) on March 1, 1999 in a public offering. The underwriting discounts and other offering costs incurred in connection with the offering were recorded as a reduction of the paid-in capital of the common shares of each respective Trust. Dividends on the APS, which accrue daily, are cumulative at rates which are reset every seven days by an auction, unless a special dividend period has been set. If the APS auctions do not successfully clear, the dividend payment rate over the next period for the APS holders is set at a specified maximum applicable rate until such time as the APS auctions are successful. The maximum applicable rate on the APS is 110% (150% for taxable distributions) of the greater of the 1) AA Financial Composite Commercial Paper Rate or 2) Taxable Equivalent of the Short-Term Municipal Obligation Rate on the date of the auction. The stated spread over the reference benchmark rate is determined based on the credit rating of the APS.

The APS are redeemable at the option of each Trust at a redemption price equal to \$25,000 per share, plus accumulated and unpaid dividends, on any dividend payment date. The APS are also subject to mandatory redemption at a redemption price equal to \$25,000 per share, plus accumulated and unpaid dividends, if a Trust is in default for an extended period on its asset maintenance requirements with respect to the APS. If the dividends on the APS remain unpaid in an amount equal to two full years dividends, the holders of the APS as a class have the right to elect a majority of the Board of Trustees. In general, the holders of the APS and the common shares have equal voting rights of one vote per share, except that the holders of the APS, as a separate class, have the right to elect at least two members of the Board of Trustees. The APS have a liquidation preference of \$25,000 per share, plus

### **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements continued

accumulated and unpaid dividends. Each Trust is required to maintain certain asset coverage with respect to the APS as defined in the Trusts By-laws and the 1940 Act. Each Trust pays an annual fee up to 0.15% of the liquidation value of the APS to broker/dealers as a service fee if the auctions are unsuccessful; otherwise, the annual fee is 0.25%.

#### 3 Distributions to Shareholders

Each Trust intends to make monthly distributions of net investment income to common shareholders, after payment of any dividends on any outstanding APS. In addition, at least annually, each Trust intends to distribute all or substantially all of its net realized capital gains (reduced by available capital loss carryforwards). Distributions to common shareholders are recorded on the ex-dividend date. Distributions to preferred shareholders are recorded daily and are payable at the end of each dividend period. The dividend rates for APS at November 30, 2013, and the amount of dividends accrued (including capital gains, if any) to APS shareholders, average APS dividend rates, and dividend rate ranges for the year then ended were as follows:

	Cal Tru	lifornia ıst	assachusetts rust						New York Trust		io ıst	Pen Tru	nsylvania st
APS Dividend Rates at November 30, 2013 Dividends Accrued to APS		0.10%	0.12%		0.10%		0.10%		0.10%		0.10%		0.12%
Shareholders Average APS Dividend Rates Dividend Rate Ranges (%)	\$	86,193 0.17% .08 - 0.38	\$ 34,378 0.17% 0.08 - 0.38	\$	29,679 0.17% .08 - 0.38	\$ 0.	57,651 0.17% .08 - 0.38	\$	57,302 0.17% .08 - 0.38	\$	38,194 0.17% .08 - 0.38	\$ 0	36,307 0.17% .08 - 0.38

Beginning February 13, 2008 and consistent with the patterns in the broader market for auction-rate securities, the Trusts APS auctions were unsuccessful in clearing due to an imbalance of sell orders over bids to buy the APS. As a result, the dividend rates of the APS were reset to the maximum applicable rates. The table above reflects such maximum dividend rates for each Trust as of November 30, 2013.

The Trusts distinguish between distributions on a tax basis and a financial reporting basis. Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that only distributions in excess of tax basis earnings and profits be reported in the financial statements as a return of capital. Permanent differences between book and tax accounting relating to distributions are reclassified to paid-in capital. For tax purposes, distributions from short-term capital gains are considered to be from ordinary income.

The tax character of distributions declared for the years ended November 30, 2013 and November 30, 2012 was as follows:

	Year Ended November 30, 2013										
	California		Michigan		New York	Ohio	Pennsylvania				
	Trust	Massachusetts Trust		New Jersey Trust	Trust	Trust	Trust				
Distributions declared from:											
Tax-exempt income	\$ 5,855,845	\$ 2,167,331	\$ 1,608,391	\$ 3,706,060	\$ 4,890,627	\$ 2,147,761	\$ 2,175,637				
Ordinary income	\$ 9,739	\$ 1,435	\$ 6,404	\$ 38,061	\$ 1,678	\$ 2,883	\$ 1,587				

#### Year Ended November 30, 2012

								Ohio					
	Calif Trus	ornia t	Ma Tr	nssachusetts ust	Michigan Trust	Ne Tr	w Jersey ust	New Tru	York st	Tru	st		nnsylvania rust
Distributions declared from:													
Tax-exempt income	\$ 6,2	13,859	\$	2,262,741	\$ 1,680,836	\$ 3	3,783,341	\$ 5,0	)57,060	\$ 2,4	128,655	\$	2,302,056
Ordinary income	\$	241	\$	1,816	\$	\$	25,152	\$	4,207	\$	2,953	\$	790

### **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements continued

During the year ended November 30, 2013, the following amounts were reclassified due to expired capital loss carryforwards and differences between book and tax accounting, primarily for accretion of market discount and defaulted bond interest:

									Ohio					
	_	alifornia rust	Mas Trus	sachusetts st		Iichigan rust		ew Jersey rust		ew York rust	T	'rust	Per Tr	nnsylvania ust
Change in:														
Paid-in capital	\$		\$		\$	(224,050)	\$		\$		\$	(588,403)	\$	(389,289)
Accumulated net realized loss	\$	40,323	\$	15,449	\$	227,803	\$	12,532	\$	17,912	\$	605,063	\$	391,896
Accumulated undistributed net investment														
income	\$	(40,323)	\$	(15,449)	\$	(3,753)	\$	(12,532)	\$	(17,912)	\$	(16,660)	\$	(2,607)
These reclassifications had no effect on the net assets or net asset value per share of the Trusts.														

As of November 30, 2013, the components of distributable earnings (accumulated losses) and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on a tax basis were as

	California				Michigan						Ohio			
	Trust		assachusetts rust	Tr	rust		ew Jersey rust		ew York eust	Tı	rust		ennsylvania rust	
Undistributed tax-exempt income Capital loss carryforward and deferred	\$ 248,783	\$	46,776	\$	40,819	\$	168,853	\$	277,638	\$	145,705	\$	113,631	
capital losses	\$ (16,701,089)	\$	(3,540,362)	\$ (	(1,598,681)	\$ (	8,446,139)	\$ (	11,353,701)	\$ (	(3,503,492)	\$	(3,706,459)	
Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	\$ 3,510,291	\$	1,512,082	\$	(242,313)	\$	1,847,353	\$	4,257,495	\$	2,343,779	\$	607,398	
Other temporary differences	\$ (1,074)	\$	(332)	\$	(93)	\$	(718)	\$	(181)	\$	(244)	\$	(351)	

The differences between components of distributable earnings (accumulated losses) on a tax basis and the amounts reflected in the Statements of Assets and Liabilities are primarily due to wash sales, residual interest bonds, futures contracts, accretion of market discount, defaulted bond interest and the timing of recognizing distributions to shareholders.

#### 4 Investment Adviser Fee and Other Transactions with Affiliates

The investment adviser fee is earned by Eaton Vance Management (EVM) as compensation for investment advisory services rendered to each Trust. The fee is computed at an annual rate of 0.640% (0.655% prior to May 1, 2013) of each Trust s average weekly gross assets and is payable monthly. Pursuant to a fee reduction agreement between each Trust and EVM that commenced on May 1, 2010, the annual adviser fee is reduced by 0.015% every May 1 thereafter for the next nineteen years. The fee reduction cannot be terminated or reduced without the approval of a majority vote of the Trustees of the Trusts who are not interested persons of EVM or each Trust and by the vote of a majority of shareholders. Average weekly gross assets include the principal amount of any indebtedness for money borrowed, including debt securities issued by a Trust, and the amount of any outstanding APS issued by the Trust. Pursuant to a fee reduction agreement with EVM, average weekly gross assets are calculated by adding to net assets the liquidation value of a Trust s APS then outstanding and the amount payable by the Trust to floating rate note holders, such adjustment being limited to the value of the APS outstanding prior to any APS redemptions by the Trust. The administration fee is earned by EVM for administering the business affairs of each Trust and is computed at an annual rate of 0.20% of each Trust s average weekly gross assets. For the year ended November 30, 2013, the investment adviser fees and administration fees were as follows:

	California Trust	Massachusetts Trust		Michigan Trust	ew Jersey rust	New York Trust	Ohio Trust	Per Tru	nnsylvania 1st
Investment Adviser Fee	\$ 1,012,060	\$	400,091	\$ 302,989	\$ 661,409	\$ 789,418	\$ 412,494	\$	384,497
Administration Fee	\$ 313,114	\$	123,778	\$ 93,735	\$ 204,641	\$ 244,232	\$ 127,616	\$	118,962

Trustees and officers of the Trusts who are members of EVM s organization receive remuneration for their services to the Trusts out of the investment adviser fee. Trustees of the Trusts who are not affiliated with the investment adviser may elect to defer receipt of all or a percentage of their annual fees in accordance with the terms of the Trustees Deferred Compensation Plan. For the year ended November 30, 2013, no significant amounts have been deferred. Certain officers and Trustees of the Trusts are officers of EVM.

## Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements continued

#### 5 Purchases and Sales of Investments

Purchases and sales of investments, other than short-term obligations, for the year ended November 30, 2013 were as follows:

							Ohio					
	California Trust	Ma Tru	ssachusetts ist	Michigan Trust	New Jersey Trust	New York Trust	Trust		nnsylvania rust			
Purchases	\$ 13,094,998	\$	950,167	\$ 5,283,838	\$ 16,670,202	\$ 12,852,409	\$ 6,497,091	\$	6,588,463			
Sales	\$ 18,675,568	\$	3,223,728	\$ 6,193,816	\$ 22,236,472	\$ 13,206,703	\$ 6,597,115	\$	6,202,921			
6 Common Shares of	Beneficial Interest											

Common shares issued pursuant to the Trusts dividend reinvestment plan for the years ended November 30, 2013 and November 30, 2012 were as follows:

	California	Massachusetts	New Jersey	New York	Ohio	Pennsylvania
	Trust	Trust	Trust	Trust	Trust	Trust
Year Ended November 30, 2013	3,484	3,879	3,303	3,692	203	496
Year Ended November 30, 2012	13,698		10,907	9,350	1,890	2,720

On November 11, 2013, the Board of Trustees of the Trusts authorized the repurchase by each Trust of up to 10% of its then currently outstanding common shares in open-market transactions at a discount to net asset value. The repurchase program does not obligate a Trust to purchase a specific amount of shares. There were no repurchases of common shares by the Trusts for the year ended November 30, 2013.

#### 7 Federal Income Tax Basis of Investments

The cost and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments of each Trust at November 30, 2013, as determined on a federal income tax basis, were as follows:

	California	California								
	Trust	Massachusetts Trust	Michigan Trust	New Jersey Trust	New York Trust	Trust	Pennsylvania Trust			
Aggregate cost	\$ 131,134,229	\$ 54,035,480	\$ 43,171,129	\$ 88,929,038	\$ 99,658,643	\$ 58,048,323	\$ 54,239,862			
Gross unrealized appreciation Gross unrealized depreciation	\$ 7,221,765 (3,711,474)	\$ 2,556,350 (1,044,268)	\$ 1,177,080 (1,419,393)	\$ 3,998,413 (2,151,060)	\$ 6,252,183 (1,994,688)	\$ 3,062,974 (719,195)	\$ 2,148,879 (1,541,481)			
Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation)  8. Overdraft Advances	\$ 3,510,291	\$ 1,512,082	\$ (242,313)	\$ 1,847,353	\$ 4,257,495	\$ 2,343,779	\$ 607,398			

Pursuant to the custodian agreement, SSBT may, in its discretion, advance funds to the Trusts to make properly authorized payments. When such payments result in an overdraft, the Trusts are obligated to repay SSBT at the current rate of interest charged by SSBT for secured loans (currently, the Federal Funds rate plus 2%). This obligation is payable on demand to SSBT. SSBT has a lien on a Trust s assets to the extent of any overdraft. At November 30, 2013, Ohio Trust had a payment due to SSBT pursuant to the foregoing arrangement of \$9,581. Based on the short-term nature of these payments and the variable interest rate, the carrying value of the overdraft advances approximated its fair value at November 30, 2013. If measured at fair value, overdraft advances would have been considered as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy (see Note 10) at November 30, 2013. The Trusts average overdraft advances during the year ended November 30, 2013 were not significant.

#### 9 Financial Instruments

The Trusts may trade in financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of their investing activities. These financial instruments may include financial futures contracts and may involve, to a varying degree, elements of risk in excess of the amounts recognized for financial statement purposes. The notional or contractual amounts of these instruments represent the investment a Trust has in particular classes of financial instruments and

## Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements continued

do not necessarily represent the amounts potentially subject to risk. The measurement of the risks associated with these instruments is meaningful only when all related and offsetting transactions are considered.

A summary of obligations under these financial instruments at November 30, 2013 is as follows:

#### **Futures Contracts**

Trust	Expiration Month/Year	Contracts	Position	Aggregate Cost	Value	alized eciation
California	3/14	38 U.S. 10-Year Treasury Note 43	Short	\$ (4,750,227)	\$ (4,764,250)	\$ (14,023)
Massachusetts	3/14	U.S. Long Treasury Bond 34	Short	(5,609,406)	(5,622,250)	(12,844)
Michigan	3/14	U.S. Long Treasury Bond 14	Short	\$ (4,435,344)	\$ (4,445,500)	\$ (10,156)
New Jersey	3/14	U.S. Long Treasury Bond 90	Short	\$ (1,826,318)	\$ (1,830,500)	\$ (4,182)
New York	3/14	U.S. Long Treasury Bond 43	Short	\$ (11,740,617)	\$ (11,767,500)	\$ (26,883)
Ohio	3/14	U.S. Long Treasury Bond 16	Short	\$ (5,609,407)	\$ (5,622,251)	\$ (12,844)
Pennsylvania	3/14	U.S. Long Treasury Bond 50	Short	\$ (2,087,221)	\$ (2,092,000)	\$ (4,779)
	3/14	U.S. Long Treasury Bond	Short	\$ (6,522,565)	\$ (6,537,500)	\$ (14,935)

At November 30, 2013, the Trusts had sufficient cash and/or securities to cover commitments under these contracts.

Each Trust is subject to interest rate risk in the normal course of pursuing its investment objective. Because the Trusts hold fixed-rate bonds, the value of these bonds may decrease if interest rates rise. The Trusts purchase and sell U.S. Treasury futures contracts to hedge against changes in interest rates.

The fair values of open derivative instruments (not considered to be hedging instruments for accounting disclosure purposes) and whose primary underlying risk exposure is interest rate risk at November 30, 2013 were as follows:

							Ohio	
	California Trust	Ma Tr	nssachusetts ust	Michigan Trust	lew Jersey 'rust	New York Trust	Trust	ennsylvania rust
Liability Derivative: Futures Contracts	\$ (26.867)(1)	\$	(10.156)(1)	\$ (4,182)(1)	\$ (26.883)(1)	\$ (12,844)(1)	\$ (4,779)(1)	\$ (14.935)(1)
Total	\$ (26,867)	\$	(10,156)	\$ (4,182)	\$ (26,883)	\$ (12,844)	\$ (4,779)	\$ (14,935)

<sup>(1)</sup> Amount represents cumulative unrealized depreciation on futures contracts in the Futures Contracts table above. Only the current day s variation margin on open futures contracts is reported within the Statement of Assets and Liabilities as Receivable or Payable for variation margin, as applicable.

# **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements continued

The effect of derivative instruments (not considered to be hedging instruments for accounting disclosure purposes) on the Statement of Operations and whose primary underlying risk exposure is interest rate risk for the year ended November 30, 2013 was as follows:

	California Trust	Massachusetts Trust	Michigan Trust	New Jersey Trust	New York Trust	Ohio Trust	Pennsylvania Trust
Realized Gain (Loss) on Derivatives Recognized in Income Change in Unrealized Appreciation	\$ 779,319(1)	\$ 482,226 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 72,926 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 2,033,683(1)	\$ 609,874 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 231,608(1)	\$ 687,168 <sup>(1)</sup>
(Depreciation) on Derivatives Recognized in Income	\$ 16,546 <sup>(2)</sup>	\$ (7,703)(2)	\$ (3,748)(2)	\$ 47,015 <sup>(2)</sup>	\$ (9,742)(2)	\$ (3,480)(2)	\$ 10,547 <sup>(2)</sup>

<sup>(1)</sup> Statement of Operations location: Net realized gain (loss) Financial futures contracts.

<sup>(2)</sup> Statement of Operations location: Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) Financial futures contracts.

The average notional amount of futures contracts outstanding during the year ended November 30, 2013, which is indicative of the volume of this derivative type, was approximately as follows:

	California	California				New York	Ohio	
	Trust		assachusetts ust	Michigan Trust	Trust	Trust	Trust	Pennsylvania Trust
Average Notional Amount:								
Futures Contracts 10 Fair Value Measurements	\$ 10,254,000	\$	3,400,000	\$ 669,000	\$ 12,923,000	\$ 4,300,000	\$ 1,554,000	\$ 5,000,000

Under generally accepted accounting principles for fair value measurements, a three-tier hierarchy to prioritize the assumptions, referred to as inputs, is used in valuation techniques to measure fair value. The three-tier hierarchy of inputs is summarized in the three broad levels listed below.

Level 1 quoted prices in active markets for identical investments

Level 2 other significant observable inputs (including quoted prices for similar investments, interest rates, prepayment speeds, credit risk, etc.)

Level 3 significant unobservable inputs (including a fund s own assumptions in determining the fair value of investments)

In cases where the inputs used to measure fair value fall in different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level disclosed is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The inputs or methodology used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities.

At November 30, 2013, the hierarchy of inputs used in valuing the Trusts investments and open derivative instruments, which are carried at value, were as follows:

California Trust Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Tota	al
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 149,324,520	\$	\$ 149	9,324,520
Total Investments	\$	\$ 149,324,520	\$	\$ 149	9,324,520
Liability Description					
Futures Contracts	\$ (26,867)	\$	\$	\$	(26,867)
Total	\$ (26,867)	\$	\$	\$	(26,867)

# **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements continued

Massachusetts Trust Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 58,932,562	\$	\$ 58,932,562
Total Investments	\$	\$ 58,932,562	\$	\$ 58,932,562
Liability Description				
Futures Contracts	\$ (10,156)	\$	\$	\$ (10,156)
Total	<b>\$ (10,156)</b>	\$	\$	\$ (10,156)
Michigan Trust Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 42,928,816	\$	\$ 42,928,816
Total Investments	\$	\$ 42,928,816	\$	\$ 42,928,816
Liability Description				
Futures Contracts	\$ (4,182)	\$	\$	\$ (4,182)
Total	\$ (4,182)	\$	\$	\$ (4,182)
New Jersey Trust Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Tax-Exempt Municipal Securities Taxable Municipal Securities	\$	\$ 93,667,181 889,210	\$	\$ 93,667,181 889,210
Total Investments	\$	\$ 94,556,391	\$	\$ 94,556,391
Liability Description				
Futures Contracts	\$ (26,883)	\$	\$	\$ (26,883)
Total	\$ (26,883)	\$	\$	\$ (26,883)
New York Trust Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3*	Total
Tax-Exempt Investments Miscellaneous	\$	\$ 122,343,974	\$ 887,164	\$ 122,343,974 887,164
Total Investments	\$	\$ 122,343,974	\$ 887,164	\$ 123,231,138
Liability Description				
Futures Contracts	\$ (12,844)	\$	\$	\$ (12,844)
Total	\$ (12,844)	\$	\$	\$ (12,844)

# **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements continued

Ohio Trust				
Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 60,392,102	\$	\$ 60,392,102
Total Investments	\$	\$ 60,392,102	\$	\$ 60,392,102
Liability Description				
Futures Contracts	\$ (4,779)	\$	\$	\$ (4,779)
Total	\$ (4,779)	\$	\$	\$ (4,779)
Pennsylvania Trust				
Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Tax-Exempt Municipal Securities Taxable Municipal Securities	\$	\$ 56,497,260 0	\$	\$ 56,497,260 0
Total Investments	\$	\$ 56,497,260	\$	\$ 56,497,260
Liability Description				
Futures Contracts	\$ (14,935)	\$	\$	\$ (14,935)
Total	\$ (14,935)	\$	\$	\$ (14,935)

<sup>\*</sup> None of the unobservable inputs for Level 3 assets, individually or collectively, had a material impact on the Trust.

California Trust, Massachusetts Trust, Michigan Trust, New Jersey Trust, Ohio Trust and Pennsylvania Trust held no investments or other financial instruments as of November 30, 2012 whose fair value was determined using Level 3 inputs.

Level 3 investments held by New York Trust at the beginning and/or end of the period in relation to net assets applicable to common shares were not significant and accordingly, a reconciliation of Level 3 assets for the year ended November 30, 2013 is not presented.

At November 30, 2013, there were no investments transferred between Level 1 and Level 2 during the year then ended.

#### 11 Subsequent Event

On December 10, 2013, five U.S. federal agencies published final rules implementing section 619 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Volcker Rule ). The Volcker Rule prohibits banking entities from engaging in proprietary trading of certain instruments and limits such entities investments in, and relationships with, covered funds, as defined in the rules. The compliance date for the Volcker Rule is July 21, 2015. The Volcker Rule may preclude banking entities and their affiliates from (i) sponsoring residual interest bond programs (as such programs are presently structured) and (ii) continuing relationships with or services for existing residual interest bond programs. As a result, residual interest bond trusts may need to be restructured or unwound. There can be no assurances that residual interest bond trusts can be restructured, that new sponsors of residual interest bond programs will develop, or that alternative forms of leverage will be available to the Trusts. The effects of the Volcker Rule may make it more difficult for a Trust to maintain current or desired levels of leverage and may cause the Trusts to incur additional expenses to maintain its leverage.

## **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2013

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Trustees and Shareholders of Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance New York Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Income Trust and Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust:

We have audited the accompanying statements of assets and liabilities of Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance New York Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Income Trust, and Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust (collectively the Trusts), including the portfolios of investments, as of November 30, 2013, and the related statements of operations for the year then ended, the statement of cash flows of Eaton Vance New York Municipal Income Trust for the year then ended, the statements of changes in net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of the Trusts management.

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial highlights based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. The Trusts are not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of their internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Trusts internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of November 30, 2013, by correspondence with the custodian and brokers; where replies were not received from brokers, we performed other auditing procedures. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements and financial highlights referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial positions of Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Income Trust, and Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust as of November 30 2013, the results of their operations for the year then ended, the cash flows of Eaton Vance New York Municipal Income Trust for the year then ended, the changes in their net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Boston, Massachusetts

January 14, 2014

# Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2013

Federal Tax Information (Unaudited)

The Form 1099-DIV you receive in January 2014 will show the tax status of all distributions paid to your account in calendar year 2013. Shareholders are advised to consult their own tax adviser with respect to the tax consequences of their investment in the Trusts. As required by the Internal Revenue Code and/or regulations, shareholders must be notified regarding exempt-interest dividends.

Exempt-Interest Dividends. The Trusts designate the following percentages of distributions as exempt-interest dividends:

Eaton V	ance California Municipal Income Trust	99.83%
Eaton V	Vance Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust	99.93%
Eaton V	Vance Michigan Municipal Income Trust	99.60%
Eaton V	ance New Jersey Municipal Income Trust	98.98%
Eaton V	Vance New York Municipal Income Trust	99.97%
Eaton V	Vance Ohio Municipal Income Trust	99.87%
Eaton V	Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust	99.93%

# **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2013

Dividend Reinvestment Plan

Each Trust offers a dividend reinvestment plan (Plan) pursuant to which shareholders automatically have distributions reinvested in common shares (Shares) of the Trust unless they elect otherwise through their investment dealer. On the distribution payment date, if the NAV per Share is equal to or less than the market price per Share plus estimated brokerage commissions, then new Shares will be issued. The number of Shares shall be determined by the greater of the NAV per Share or 95% of the market price. Otherwise, Shares generally will be purchased on the open market by American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, the Plan agent (Agent). Distributions subject to income tax (if any) are taxable whether or not Shares are reinvested.

If your Shares are in the name of a brokerage firm, bank, or other nominee, you can ask the firm or nominee to participate in the Plan on your behalf. If the nominee does not offer the Plan, you will need to request that the Trust s transfer agent re-register your Shares in your name or you will not be able to participate.

The Agent s service fee for handling distributions will be paid by the Trust. Plan participants will be charged their pro rata share of brokerage commissions on all open-market purchases.

Plan participants may withdraw from the Plan at any time by writing to the Agent at the address noted on the following page. If you withdraw, you will receive Shares in your name for all Shares credited to your account under the Plan. If a participant elects by written notice to the Agent to sell part or all of his or her Shares and remit the proceeds, the Agent is authorized to deduct a \$5.00 fee plus brokerage commissions from the proceeds.

If you wish to participate in the Plan and your Shares are held in your own name, you may complete the form on the following page and deliver it to the Agent. Any inquiries regarding the Plan can be directed to the Agent at 1-866-439-6787.

# Eaton Vance **Municipal Income Trusts** November 30, 2013 Application for Participation in Dividend Reinvestment Plan This form is for shareholders who hold their common shares in their own names. If your common shares are held in the name of a brokerage firm, bank, or other nominee, you should contact your nominee to see if it will participate in the Plan on your behalf. If you wish to participate in the Plan, but your brokerage firm, bank, or nominee is unable to participate on your behalf, you should request that your common shares be re-registered in your own name which will enable your participation in the Plan. The following authorization and appointment is given with the understanding that I may terminate it at any time by terminating my participation in the Plan as provided in the terms and conditions of the Plan. Please print exact name on account Date Shareholder signature Shareholder signature Date Please sign exactly as your common shares are registered. All persons whose names appear on the share certificate must sign. YOU SHOULD NOT RETURN THIS FORM IF YOU WISH TO RECEIVE YOUR DISTRIBUTIONS IN CASH. THIS IS NOT A PROXY. This authorization form, when signed, should be mailed to the following address: Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trusts c/o American Stock Transfer & Trust Company P.O. Box 922 Wall Street Station

New York, NY 10269-0560

#### **Number of Employees**

Each Trust is organized as a Massachusetts business trust and is registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, as a closed-end management investment company and has no employees.

#### **Number of Shareholders**

As of November 30, 2013, Trust records indicate that there are 24, 32, 12, 33, 27, 26 and 29 registered shareholders for California Municipal Income Trust, Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust, Michigan Municipal Income Trust, New Jersey Municipal Income Trust, New York Municipal Income Trust, Ohio Municipal Income Trust and Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust, respectively, and approximately 2,195, 1,184, 1,222, 1,769, 2,138, 1,456 and 1,451 shareholders owning the Trust shares in street name, such as through brokers, banks, and financial intermediaries for California Municipal Income Trust, Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust, Michigan Municipal Income Trust, New Jersey Municipal Income Trust, New York Municipal Income Trust, Ohio Municipal Income Trust and Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust, respectively.

If you are a street name shareholder and wish to receive Trust reports directly, which contain important information about a Trust, please write or call:

Eaton Vance Distributors, Inc.

Two International Place

Boston, MA 02110

1-800-262-1122

#### NYSE MKT symbols

California Municipal Income Trust
Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust
Michigan Municipal Income Trust
New Jersey Municipal Income Trust
New York Municipal Income Trust
Ohio Municipal Income Trust
Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust

CEV

MMV

EMI

EVJ

EVY

**EVO** 

**EVP** 

# **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2013

Management and Organization

Fund Management. The Trustees of Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust (CEV), Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust (MMV), Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Income Trust (EMI), Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Income Trust (EVJ), Eaton Vance New York Municipal Income Trust (EVY), Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Income Trust (EVO) and Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust (EVP) (collectively, the Trusts) are responsible for the overall management and supervision of the Trusts affairs. The Trustees and officers of the Trusts are listed below. Except as indicated, each individual has held the office shown or other offices in the same company for the last five years. The Noninterested Trustees consist of those Trustees who are not interested persons of the Trusts, as that term is defined under the 1940 Act. The business address of each Trustee and officer is Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110. As used below, EVC refers to Eaton Vance Corp., EV refers to Eaton Vance, Inc., EVM refers to Eaton Vance Management, BMR refers to Boston Management and Research and EVD refers to Eaton Vance Distributors, Inc. EVC and EV are the corporate parent and trustee, respectively, of EVM and BMR. EVD is a wholly-owned subsidiary of EVC. Each officer affiliated with Eaton Vance may hold a position with other Eaton Vance affiliates that is comparable to his or her position with EVM listed below. Each Trustee oversees 186 portfolios in the Eaton Vance Complex (including all master and feeder funds in a master feeder structure). Each officer serves as an officer of certain other Eaton Vance funds. Each Trustee serves for a three year term. Each officer serves until his or her successor is elected.

	Position(s)		
	with the	Term of Office;	Principal Occupation(s) and Directorships
Name and Year of Birth Interested Trustee	Trusts	Length of Service	During Past Five Years and Other Relevant Experience
Thomas E. Faust Jr.	Class II	Until 2016.	Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President of EVC, Director and President of EV, Chief Executive Officer and President of EVM and BMR, and Director of EVD.
1958	Trustee	3 years.	Trustee and/or officer of 186 registered investment companies. Mr. Faust is an interested person because of his positions with EVM, BMR, EVD, EVC and EV,
		Trustee since 2007.	which are affiliates of the Trusts.
		2007.	Directorships in the Last Five Years. (1) Director of EVC and Hexavest Inc.
Noninterested Trustees			
Scott E. Eston	Class II	Until 2016.	Private investor. Formerly held various positions at Grantham, Mayo, Van Otterloo and Co., L.L.C. (investment management firm) (1997-2009), including Chief Operating
1956	Trustee	3 years.	Officer (2002-2009), Chief Financial Officer (1997-2009) and Chairman of the Executive Committee (2002-2008); President and Principal Executive Officer, GMO
		Trustee since 2011.	Trust (open-end registered investment company) (2006-2009). Former Partner, Coopers and Lybrand L.L.P. (now PricewaterhouseCoopers) (public accounting firm) (1987-1997).
			Directorships in the Last Five Years. None.
Allen R. Freedman	Class II	Until 2016.	Private Investor. Former Chairman (2002-2004) and a Director (1983-2004) of Systems & Computer Technology Corp. (provider of software to higher education).
1940	Trustee	3 years.	Formerly, a Director of Loring Ward International (fund distributor) (2005-2007). Former Chairman and a Director of Indus International, Inc. (provider of enterprise management software to the power generating industry) (2005-2007). Former Chief

		Trustee since 2007.	Executive Officer of Assurant, Inc. (insurance provider) (1979-2000).
			<b>Directorships in the Last Five Years.</b> (1) Director of Stonemor Partners, L.P. (owner and operator of cemeteries). Formerly, Director of Assurant, Inc. (insurance provider) (1979-2011).
Valerie A. Mosley <sup>(2)</sup>	Class I	Until 2015.	Chairwoman and Chief Executive Officer of Valmo Ventures (a consulting and investment firm). Former Partner and Senior Vice President, Portfolio Manager and
1960	Trustee	1 year.	Investment Strategist at Wellington Management Company, LLP (investment management firm) (1992-2012). Former Chief Investment Officer, PG Corbin Asset
		Trustee since 2014.	Management (1990-1992). Formerly worked in institutional corporate bond sales at Kidder Peabody (1986-1990).
			Directorships in the Last Five Years. None.
William H. Park	Class III	Until 2014.	Consultant and private investor. Formerly, Chief Financial Officer, Aveon Group L.P. (investment management firm) (2010-2011). Formerly, Vice Chairman, Commercial
1947	Trustee	3 years.	Industrial Finance Corp. (specialty finance company) (2006-2010). Formerly, President and Chief Executive Officer, Prizm Capital Management, LLC (investment
		Trustee since 2003.	management firm) (2002-2005). Formerly, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, United Asset Management Corporation (investment management firm) (1982-2001). Formerly, Senior Manager, Price Waterhouse (now PricewaterhouseCoopers) (an independent registered public accounting firm)
			(1972-1981).

Directorships in the Last Five Years.  $^{(1)}$  None.

64

# **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2013

Management and Organization continued

	Position(s)		
	with the	Term of Office;	Principal Occupation(s) and Directorships
Name and Year of Birth Noninterested Trustees (cor	Trusts	Length of Service	During Past Five Years and Other Relevant Experience
Ronald A. Pearlman	Class I	Until 2015.	Professor of Law, Georgetown University Law Center. Formerly, Deputy Assistant Secretary (Tax Policy) and Assistant Secretary (Tax Policy), U.S. Department of the
1940	Trustee	3 years.	Treasury (1983-1985). Formerly, Chief of Staff, Joint Committee on Taxation, U.S. Congress (1988-1990).
		Trustee since 2003.	Directorships in the Last Five Years. (1) None.
Helen Frame Peters	Class III	Until 2014.	Professor of Finance, Carroll School of Management, Boston College. Formerly, Dean, Carroll School of Management, Boston College (2000-2002). Formerly, Chief
1948	Trustee	3 years.	Investment Officer, Fixed Income, Scudder Kemper Investments (investment management firm) (1998-1999). Formerly, Chief Investment Officer, Equity and Fixed
		Trustee since 2008.	Income, Colonial Management Associates (investment management firm) (1991-1998).
			<b>Directorships in the Last Five Years.</b> (1) Formerly, Director of BJ s Wholesale Club, Inc. (wholesale club retailer) (2004-2011). Formerly, Trustee of SPDR Index Shares Funds and SPDR Series Trust (exchange traded funds) (2000-2009). Formerly, Director of Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston (a bank for banks) (2007-2009).
Lynn A. Stout	Class I	Until 2015.	Distinguished Professor of Corporate and Business Law, Jack G. Clarke Business Law Institute, Cornell University Law School. Formerly, the Paul Hastings Professor of
1957	Trustee	3 years.	Corporate and Securities Law (2006-2012) and Professor of Law (2001-2006), University of California at Los Angeles School of Law.
		Trustee since 1998.	Directorships in the Last Five Years. (1) None.
Harriett Tee Taggart	Class III	Until 2014.	Managing Director, Taggart Associates (a professional practice firm). Formerly, Partner and Senior Vice President, Wellington Management Company, LLP
1948	Trustee	3 years.	(investment management firm) (1983-2006).
		Trustee since 2011.	<b>Directorships in the Last Five Years.</b> Director of Albemarle Corporation (chemicals manufacturer) (since 2007) and The Hanover Group (specialty property and casualty insurance company) (since 2009). Formerly, Director of Lubrizol Corporation (specialty chemicals) (2007-2011).
Ralph F. Verni <sup>(A)</sup>	Chairman of the Board and	Until 2013 <sup>(3)</sup> .	Consultant and private investor. Formerly, Chief Investment Officer (1982-1992), Chief Financial Officer (1988-1990) and Director (1982-1992), New England Life.
1943	Class II	3 years.	Formerly, Chairperson, New England Mutual Funds (1982-1992). Formerly, President and Chief Executive Officer, State Street Management & Research (1992-2000).
	Trustee	Chairman of the Board since 2007	Formerly, Chairperson, State Street Research Mutual Funds (1992-2000). Formerly, Director, W.P. Carey, LLC (1998-2004) and First Pioneer Farm Credit Corp.

and Trustee since 2005.

(2002-2006).

Directorships in the Last Five Years.  $^{(1)}$  None.

# Principal Officers who are not Trustees **Position(s)**

	with the	Length of	Principal Occupation(s)
Name and Year of Birth Payson F. Swaffield <sup>(4)</sup>	<b>Trusts</b> President	Service Since 2014	During Past Five Years Vice President and Chief Income Investment Officer of EVM and BMR.
1956			
Maureen A. Gemma	Vice President,	Vice President	Vice President of EVM and BMR.
1960	Secretary and Chief Legal Officer	since 2011, Secretary since 2007 and Chief Legal Officer since 2008	
James F. Kirchner <sup>(5)</sup>	Treasurer	Since 2005	Vice President of EVM and BMR.
1957			

65

# **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2013

Management and Organization continued

Position(s)

	with the	Length of	Principal Occupation(s)
Name and Year of Birth Principal Officers who ar	<b>Trusts</b> e not Trustees (continue	Service ed)	During Past Five Years
Paul M. O Neil	Chief Compliance Officer	Since 2004	Vice President of EVM and BMR.

<sup>(1)</sup> During their respective tenures, the Trustees (except Mr. Eston and Mmes. Mosley and Taggart) also served as Board members of one or more of the following Eaton Vance funds (which operated in the years noted): Eaton Vance Credit Opportunities Fund (launched in 2005 and terminated in 2010); Eaton Vance Insured Florida Plus Municipal Bond Fund (launched in 2002 and terminated in 2009); and Eaton Vance National Municipal Income Trust (launched in 1998 and terminated in 2009).

- $^{\left(2\right)}$  Effective January 1, 2014, Ms. Mosley became a Trustee of each Trust.
- (3) Due to a lack of quorum of APS, each Trust was unable to act on election of Mr. Verni. Accordingly, Mr. Verni will remain in office and continue to serve as Trustee of each Trust.
- (4) Prior to 2014, Mr. Swaffield served as Vice President to each Trust since 2011.
- (5) Prior to 2013, Mr. Kirchner served as Assistant Treasurer of each Trust since 2007.
- (A) APS Trustee.

## Eaton Vance Funds

#### IMPORTANT NOTICES

**Privacy.** The Eaton Vance organization is committed to ensuring your financial privacy. Each of the financial institutions identified below has in effect the following policy ( Privacy Policy ) with respect to nonpublic personal information about its customers:

Only such information received from you, through application forms or otherwise, and information about your Eaton Vance fund transactions will be collected. This may include information such as name, address, social security number, tax status, account balances and transactions.

None of such information about you (or former customers) will be disclosed to anyone, except as permitted by law (which includes disclosure to employees necessary to service your account). In the normal course of servicing a customer s account, Eaton Vance may share information with unaffiliated third parties that perform various required services such as transfer agents, custodians and broker-dealers.

Policies and procedures (including physical, electronic and procedural safeguards) are in place that are designed to protect the confidentiality of such information.

We reserve the right to change our Privacy Policy at any time upon proper notification to you. Customers may want to review our Privacy Policy periodically for changes by accessing the link on our homepage: www.eatonvance.com.

Our pledge of privacy applies to the following entities within the Eaton Vance organization: the Eaton Vance Family of Funds, Eaton Vance Management, Eaton Vance Investment Counsel, Eaton Vance Distributors, Inc., Eaton Vance Trust Company, Eaton Vance Management s Real Estate Investment Group and Boston Management and Research. In addition, our Privacy Policy applies only to those Eaton Vance customers who are individuals and who have a direct relationship with us. If a customer s account (i.e., fund shares) is held in the name of a third-party financial advisor/broker-dealer, it is likely that only such advisor s privacy policies apply to the customer. This notice supersedes all previously issued privacy disclosures. For more information about Eaton Vance s Privacy Policy, please call 1-800-262-1122.

Delivery of Shareholder Documents. The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) permits funds to deliver only one copy of shareholder documents, including prospectuses, proxy statements and shareholder reports, to fund investors with multiple accounts at the same residential or post office box address. This practice is often called householding and it helps eliminate duplicate mailings to shareholders. Eaton Vance, or your financial advisor, may household the mailing of your documents indefinitely unless you instruct Eaton Vance, or your financial advisor, otherwise. If you would prefer that your Eaton Vance documents not be householded, please contact Eaton Vance at 1-800-262-1122, or contact your financial advisor. Your instructions that householding not apply to delivery of your Eaton Vance documents will be effective within 30 days of receipt by Eaton Vance or your financial advisor.

Portfolio Holdings. Each Eaton Vance Fund and its underlying Portfolio(s) (if applicable) will file a schedule of portfolio holdings on Form N-Q with the SEC for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year. The Form N-Q will be available on the Eaton Vance website at www.eatonvance.com, by calling Eaton Vance at 1-800-262-1122 or in the EDGAR database on the SEC s website at www.sec.gov. Form N-Q may also be reviewed and copied at the SEC s public reference room in Washington, D.C. (call 1-800-732-0330 for information on the operation of the public reference room).

Proxy Voting. From time to time, funds are required to vote proxies related to the securities held by the funds. The Eaton Vance Funds or their underlying Portfolios (if applicable) vote proxies according to a set of policies and procedures approved by the Funds and Portfolios Boards. You may obtain a description of these policies and procedures and information on how the Funds or Portfolios voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent 12-month period ended June 30, without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-262-1122 and by accessing the SEC s website at www.sec.gov.

Share Repurchase Program. On November 11, 2013, the Funds Board of Trustees approved a share repurchase program authorizing each Fund to repurchase up to 10% of its currently outstanding common shares in open-market transactions at a discount to net asset value. The repurchase program does not obligate a Fund to purchase a specific amount of shares. The Funds repurchase activity, including the number of shares purchased, average price and average discount to net asset value, are disclosed in the Funds annual and semi-annual reports to shareholders.

Additional Notice to Shareholders. If applicable, a Fund may redeem or purchase its outstanding auction preferred shares (APS) in order to maintain compliance with regulatory requirements, borrowing or rating agency requirements or for other purposes as it deems appropriate or necessary.

Closed-End Fund Information. Eaton Vance closed-end funds make fund performance data and certain information about portfolio characteristics available on the Eaton Vance website shortly after the end of each month. The funds net asset value per share is readily accessible on the Eaton Vance website. Portfolio holdings for the most recent month-end are also posted to the website approximately 30 days following the end of the month. This information is available at www.eatonvance.com on the fund information pages under Individual Investors Closed-End Funds .

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

#### Investment Adviser and Administrator

### **Eaton Vance Management**

Two International Place

Boston, MA 02110

Custodian

## **State Street Bank and Trust Company**

200 Clarendon Street

Boston, MA 02116

Transfer Agent

## **American Stock Transfer & Trust Company**

6201 15th Avenue

Brooklyn, NY 11219

Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

### Deloitte & Touche LLP

200 Berkeley Street

Boston, MA 02116-5022

Fund Offices

Two International Place

Boston, MA 02110

147 11.30.13

#### Item 2. Code of Ethics

The registrant has adopted a code of ethics applicable to its Principal Executive Officer, Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer. The registrant undertakes to provide a copy of such code of ethics to any person upon request, without charge, by calling 1-800-262-1122.

### **Item 3. Audit Committee Financial Expert**

The registrant s Board has designated William H. Park, an independent trustee, as its audit committee financial expert. Mr. Park is a certified public accountant who is a consultant and private investor. Previously, he served as the Chief Financial Officer of Aveon Group, L.P. (an investment management firm), as the Vice Chairman of Commercial Industrial Finance Corp. (specialty finance company), as President and Chief Executive Officer of Prizm Capital Management, LLC (investment management firm), as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of United Asset Management Corporation (an institutional investment management firm) and as a Senior Manager at Price Waterhouse (now PricewaterhouseCoopers) (an independent registered public accounting firm).

### **Item 4. Principal Accountant Fees and Services**

(a)-(d)

The following table presents the aggregate fees billed to the registrant for the registrant s fiscal years ended November 30, 2012 and November 30, 2013 by the Fund s principal accountant, Deloitte & Touche LLP ( D&T ), for professional services rendered for the audit of the registrant s annual financial statements and fees billed for other services rendered by D&T during such periods.

Fiscal Years Ended	11/30/12	11/30/13
Audit Fees	\$ 25,030	\$ 26,430
Audit-Related Fees <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 3,915	\$ 3,915
Tax Fees <sup>(2)</sup>	\$ 7,660	\$ 7,760
All Other Fees <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 0	\$ 0
Total	\$ 36,605	\$ 38,105

- (1) Audit-related fees consist of the aggregate fees billed for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit of financial statements and are not reported under the category of audit fees and specifically include fees for the performance of certain agreed-upon procedures relating to the registrant s auction preferred shares.
- (2) Tax fees consist of the aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered by the principal accountant relating to tax compliance, tax advice, and tax planning and specifically include fees for tax return preparation and other related tax compliance/planning matters.
- (3) All other fees consist of the aggregate fees billed for products and services provided by the principal accountant other than audit, audit-related, and tax services.
- (e)(1) The registrant s audit committee has adopted policies and procedures relating to the pre-approval of services provided by the registrant s principal accountant (the Pre-Approval Policies). The Pre-Approval Policies establish a framework intended to assist the audit committee in the proper discharge of its pre-approval responsibilities. As a general matter, the Pre-Approval Policies (i) specify certain types of audit, audit-related, tax, and other services determined to be pre-approved by the audit committee; and (ii) delineate specific procedures governing the mechanics of the pre-approval process, including the approval and monitoring of audit and non-audit service fees. Unless a service is specifically pre-approved under the Pre-Approval Policies, it must be separately pre-approved by the audit committee.

The Pre-Approval Policies and the types of audit and non-audit services pre-approved therein must be reviewed and ratified by the registrant s audit committee at least annually. The registrant s audit committee maintains full responsibility for the appointment, compensation, and oversight of the work of the registrant s principal accountant.

- (e)(2) No services described in paragraphs (b)-(d) above were approved by the registrant s audit committee pursuant to the de minimis exception set forth in Rule 2-01(c)(7)(i)(C) of Regulation S-X.
- (f) Not applicable.

(g) The following table presents (i) the aggregate non-audit fees (i.e., fees for audit-related, tax, and other services) billed to the registrant by D&T for the registrant s fiscal years ended November 30, 2012 and November 30, 2013; and (ii) the aggregate non-audit fees (i.e., fees for audit-related, tax, and other services) billed to the Eaton Vance organization by D&T for the same time periods.

Fiscal Years Ended	11/30/12	11/30/13
Registrant	\$ 11,575	\$ 11,675
Eaton Vance <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 662,119	\$ 526,385

- (1) Eaton Vance Management, a subsidiary of Eaton Vance Corp., acts as the registrant s investment adviser and administrator.
- (h) The registrant s audit committee has considered whether the provision by the registrant s principal accountant of non-audit services to the registrant s investment adviser and any entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the adviser that provides ongoing services to the registrant that were not pre-approved pursuant to Rule 2-01(c)(7)(ii) of Regulation S-X is compatible with maintaining the principal accountant s independence.

#### **Item 5. Audit Committee of Listed Registrants**

The registrant has a separately-designated standing audit committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. William H. Park (Chair), Scott E. Eston, Ronald A. Pearlman, Helen Frame Peters and Ralph F. Verni are the members of the registrant s audit committee.

### Item 6. Schedule of Investments

Please see schedule of investments contained in the Report to Stockholders included under Item 1 of this Form N-CSR.

# Item 7. Disclosure of Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures for Closed-End Management Investment Companies

The Board of Trustees of the Trust has adopted a proxy voting policy and procedure (the Fund Policy), pursuant to which the Trustees have delegated proxy voting responsibility to the Fund s investment adviser and adopted the investment adviser s proxy voting policies and procedures (the Policies) which are described below. The Trustees will review the Fund s proxy voting records from time to time and will annually consider approving the Policies for the upcoming year. In the event that a conflict of interest arises between the Fund s shareholders and the investment adviser, the administrator, or any of their affiliates or any affiliate of the Fund, the investment adviser will generally refrain from voting the proxies related to the companies giving rise to such conflict until it consults with the Board s Special Committee except as contemplated under the Fund Policy. The Board s Special Committee will instruct the investment adviser on the appropriate course of action.

The Policies are designed to promote accountability of a company s management to its shareholders and to align the interests of management with those shareholders. An independent proxy voting service (Agent), currently Institutional Shareholder Services, Inc., has been retained to assist in the voting of

proxies through the provision of vote analysis, implementation and recordkeeping and disclosure services. The investment adviser will generally vote proxies through the Agent. The Agent is required to vote all proxies and/or refer them back to the investment adviser pursuant to the Policies. It is generally the policy of the investment adviser to vote in accordance with the recommendation of the Agent. The Agent shall refer to the investment adviser proxies relating to mergers and restructurings, and the disposition of assets, termination, liquidation and mergers contained in mutual fund proxies. The investment adviser will normally vote against anti-takeover measures and other proposals designed to limit the ability of shareholders to act on possible transactions, except in the case of closed-end management investment companies. The investment adviser generally supports management on social and environmental proposals. The investment adviser may abstain from voting from time to time where it determines that the costs associated with voting a proxy outweighs the benefits derived from exercising the right to vote or the economic effect on shareholders interests or the value of the portfolio holding is indeterminable or insignificant.

In addition, the investment adviser will monitor situations that may result in a conflict of interest between the Fund s shareholders and the investment adviser, the administrator, or any of their affiliates or any affiliate of the Fund by maintaining a list of significant existing and prospective corporate clients. The investment adviser s personnel responsible for reviewing and voting proxies on behalf of the Fund will report any proxy received or expected to be received from a company included on that list to the personnel of the investment adviser identified in the Policies. If such personnel expects to instruct the Agent to vote such proxies in a manner inconsistent with the guidelines of the Policies or the recommendation of the Agent, the personnel will consult with members of senior management of the investment adviser to determine if a material conflict of interests exists. If it is determined that a material conflict does exist, the investment adviser will seek instruction on how to vote from the Special Committee.

Information on how the Fund voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent 12 month period ended June 30 is available (1) without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-262-1122, and (2) on the Securities and Exchange Commission s website at <a href="http://www.sec.gov">http://www.sec.gov</a>.

### Item 8. Portfolio Managers of Closed-End Management Investment Companies

William H. Ahern, Jr., portfolio manager of Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Income Trust and Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Income Trust, Craig R. Brandon, portfolio manager of Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Income Trust and Adam A. Weigold, portfolio manager of Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Income Trust and Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust are responsible for the overall and day-to-day management of each Fund s investments.

Mr. Ahern has been an Eaton Vance portfolio manager since 1993 and is a Vice President of Eaton Vance Management (EVM). Mr. Brandon has been an Eaton Vance analyst since 1998 and a portfolio manager since 2004, and is co-director of the Boston Municipal Group and a Vice President of EVM. Mr. Weigold has been a credit analyst with Eaton Vance since 1991 and a portfolio manager since 2007, and is a Vice President of EVM. This information is provided as of the date of filing of this report.

The following table shows, as of each Fund s most recent fiscal year end, the number of accounts each portfolio manager managed in each of the listed categories and the total assets (in millions of dollars) in the accounts managed within each category. The table also shows the number of accounts with respect to which the advisory fee is based on the performance of the account, if any, and the total assets (in millions of dollars) in those accounts.

	Number of All Accounts	1000	al Assets of All	Number of Accounts Paying a Performance Fee	Account	n mance
William H. Ahern, Jr.						
Registered Investment Companies	14	\$	3,133.3	0	\$	0
Other Pooled Investment Vehicles	0	\$	0	0	\$	0
Other Accounts	0	\$	0	0	\$	0
Craig R. Brandon						
Registered Investment Companies	15	\$	6,084.3	0	\$	0
Other Pooled Investment Vehicles	0	\$	0	0	\$	0
Other Accounts	0	\$	0	0	\$	0
Adam A. Weigold						
Registered Investment Companies	14	\$	1,350.3	0	\$	0
Other Pooled Investment Vehicles	0	\$	0	0	\$	0
Other Accounts	0	\$	0	0	\$	0

The following table shows the dollar range of Fund shares beneficially owned by each portfolio manager as of each Fund s most recent fiscal year end.

	Dollar Range of Equity Securities Owned in the
Portfolio Manager and Fund Name	Fund
William H. Ahern, Jr.	
Michigan Municipal Income Trust	None
Ohio Municipal Income Trust	None
Craig R. Brandon	
California Municipal Income Trust	None
Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust	None
New York Municipal Income Trust	None
Adam A. Weigold	
New Jersey Municipal Income Trust	None
Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust	None

Potential for Conflicts of Interest. It is possible that conflicts of interest may arise in connection with a portfolio manager s management of the Fund s investments on the one hand and investments of other accounts for which a portfolio manager is responsible on the other. For example, a portfolio manager may have conflicts of interest in allocating management time, resources and investment opportunities among the Fund and other accounts he or she advises. In addition, due to differences in the investment strategies or restrictions between the Fund and the other accounts, a portfolio manager may take action with respect to another account that differs from the action taken with respect to the Fund. In some cases, another account managed by a portfolio manager may compensate the investment adviser based on the performance of the securities held by that account. The existence of such a performance based fee may create additional conflicts of interest for a portfolio manager in the allocation of management time, resources and investment opportunities. Whenever conflicts of interest arise, a portfolio manager will endeavor to exercise his or her discretion in a manner that he or she believes is equitable to all interested persons. EVM has adopted several policies and procedures designed to address these potential conflicts including a code of ethics and policies which govern the investment adviser s trading practices, including among other things the aggregation and allocation of trades among clients, brokerage allocation, cross trades and best execution.

### **Compensation Structure for EVM**

Compensation of EVM s portfolio managers and other investment professionals has three primary components: (1) a base salary, (2) an annual cash bonus, and (3) annual stock-based compensation consisting of options to purchase shares of EVC s nonvoting common stock and/or restricted shares of EVC s nonvoting common stock. EVM s investment professionals also receive certain retirement, insurance and other benefits that are broadly available to EVM s employees. Compensation of EVM s investment professionals is reviewed primarily on an annual basis. Cash bonuses, stock-based compensation awards, and adjustments in base salary are typically paid or put into effect at or shortly after the October 31st fiscal year end of EVC.

Method to Determine Compensation. EVM compensates its portfolio managers based primarily on the scale and complexity of their portfolio responsibilities and the total return performance of managed funds and accounts versus the benchmark(s) stated in the prospectus, as well as an appropriate peer group (as described below). In addition to rankings within peer groups of funds on the basis of absolute performance, consideration may also be given to relative risk-adjusted performance. Risk-adjusted performance measures include, but are not limited to, the Sharpe Ratio. Performance is normally based on periods ending on the September 30th preceding fiscal year end. Fund performance is normally evaluated primarily versus peer groups of funds as determined by Lipper Inc. and/or Morningstar, Inc. When a fund s peer group as determined by Lipper or Morningstar is deemed by EVM s management not to provide a fair comparison, performance may instead be evaluated primarily against a custom peer group or market index. In evaluating the performance of a fund and its manager, primary emphasis is normally placed on three-year performance, with secondary consideration of performance over longer and shorter periods. For funds that are tax-managed or otherwise have an objective of after-tax returns, performance is measured net of taxes. For other funds, performance is evaluated on a pre-tax basis. For funds with an investment objective other than total return (such as current income), consideration will also be given to the fund s success in achieving its objective. For managers responsible for multiple funds and accounts, investment performance is evaluated on an aggregate basis, based on averages or weighted averages among managed funds and accounts. Funds and accounts that have performance-based advisory fees are not accorded disproportionate weightings in measuring aggregate portfolio manager performance.

The compensation of portfolio managers with other job responsibilities (such as heading an investment group or providing analytical support to other portfolios) will include consideration of the scope of such responsibilities and the managers performance in meeting them.

EVM seeks to compensate portfolio managers commensurate with their responsibilities and performance, and competitive with other firms within the investment management industry. EVM participates in investment-industry compensation surveys and utilizes survey data as a factor in determining salary, bonus and stock-based compensation levels for portfolio managers and other investment professionals. Salaries, bonuses and stock-based compensation are also influenced by the operating performance of EVM and its parent company. The overall annual cash bonus pool is based on a substantially fixed percentage of pre-bonus operating income. While the salaries of EVM s portfolio managers are comparatively fixed, cash bonuses and stock-based compensation may fluctuate significantly from year to year, based on changes in manager performance and other factors as described herein. For a high performing portfolio manager, cash bonuses and stock-based compensation may represent a substantial portion of total compensation.

# Item 9. Purchases of Equity Securities by Closed-End Management Investment Company and Affiliated Purchasers

No such purchases this period.

## Item 10. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No material changes.

#### **Item 11. Controls and Procedures**

- (a) It is the conclusion of the registrant s principal executive officer and principal financial officer that the effectiveness of the registrant s current disclosure controls and procedures (such disclosure controls and procedures having been evaluated within 90 days of the date of this filing) provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by the registrant has been recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period specified in the Commission s rules and forms and that the information required to be disclosed by the registrant has been accumulated and communicated to the registrant s principal executive officer and principal financial officer in order to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.
- (b) There have been no changes in the registrant s internal controls over financial reporting during the second fiscal quarter of the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant s internal control over financial reporting.

#### Item 12. Exhibits

(a)(1)	Registrant s Code of Ethics Not applicable (please see Item 2).
(a)(2)(i)	Treasurer s Section 302 certification.
(a)(2)(ii)	President s Section 302 certification.
(b)	Combined Section 906 certification.

### **Signatures**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

# Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Income

**Trust** 

By: /s/ Payson F. Swaffield Payson F. Swaffield

President

Date: January 8, 2014

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

/s/ James F. Kirchner James F. Kirchner

Treasurer

Date: January 8, 2014

By: /s/ Payson F. Swaffield Payson F. Swaffield

President

Date: January 8, 2014