

TRANSCANADA CORP  
Form 6-K  
June 21, 2010

---

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 6-K

Report of Foreign Private Issuer

Pursuant to Rule 13a-16 or 15d-16 of  
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the month of June 2010

Commission File No. 1-31690

TransCanada Corporation  
(Translation of Registrant's Name into English)

450 – 1 Street S.W., Calgary, Alberta, T2P 5H1, Canada  
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant files or will file annual reports under cover of Form 20-F or Form 40-F:

F o r m  
20-F

F o r m  
40-F

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(1): "

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(7): "

Exhibit 99.1 to this report, furnished on Form 6-K, is furnished, not filed, and will not be incorporated by reference into any registration statement filed by the registrant under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: June 21, 2010

TRANSCANADA CORPORATION

By: /s/ Donald J. DeGrandis  
Donald J. DeGrandis  
Corporate Secretary

---

EXHIBIT INDEX

99.1 A copy of the registrant's News Release dated June 21, 2010.

---

ign="bottom" style="padding:0in 0in 0in 0in;width:1.32%;">

\$

**0.1**

Residential-first liens

**4.4**

**4.4**

With an allowance recorded:

Commercial-brick and mortar

**4.4**

**4.4**

**0.1**

Residential-home equity

**18.6**

**0.1**

**18.9**

**0.3**

Residential-first liens

**8.2**

**0.1**

**4**

8.4

0.1

Total:

Commercial

\$

5.3

\$

0.1

\$

15.6

\$

0.2

5

Residential

\$	31.2
\$	0.2
\$	31.7
\$	0.4

Table of Contents

**Principal Financial Group, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**  
**June 30, 2014**  
**(Unaudited)**

	For the three months ended June 30, 2013		For the six months ended June 30, 2013	
	Average recorded investment	Interest income recognized	Average recorded investment	Interest income recognized
	(in millions)			
With no related allowance recorded:				
Commercial-brick and mortar	\$ 27.5	\$	\$ 13.1	\$ 0.1
Residential-first liens	5.8		8.1	
With an allowance recorded:				
Commercial-brick and mortar	17.3	0.1	15.3	0.1
Residential-home equity	20.5	0.2	20.7	0.4
Residential-first liens	9.6	0.1	9.4	0.1
Total:				
Commercial	\$ 44.8	\$ 0.1	\$ 28.4	\$ 0.2
Residential	\$ 35.9	\$ 0.3	\$ 38.2	\$ 0.5

**Mortgage Loan Modifications**

Our commercial and residential mortgage loan portfolios include loans that have been modified. We assess loan modifications on a case-by-case basis to evaluate whether a TDR has occurred. The commercial mortgage loan TDRs were modified to delay or reduce principal payments and to increase, reduce or delay interest payments. For these TDR assessments, we have determined the loan rates are now considered below market based on current circumstances. The commercial mortgage loan modifications resulted in delayed cash receipts and a decrease in interest income. The residential mortgage loan TDRs include modifications of interest-only payment periods, delays in principal balloon payments, and interest rate reductions. Residential mortgage loan modifications resulted in delayed or decreased cash receipts and a decrease in interest income.

The following table includes information about outstanding loans that were modified and met the criteria of a TDR during the periods indicated. In addition, the table includes information for loans that were modified and met the criteria of a TDR within the past twelve months that were in payment default during the periods indicated:

	Number of contracts	For the three months ended June 30, 2014	
		TDRs Recorded investment (in millions)	TDRs in payment default Number of contracts Recorded investment (in millions)
Commercial-brick and mortar	1	\$ 0.7	\$
Residential-home equity	26	1.0	2
Residential-first liens	1	0.1	
Total	28	\$ 1.8	\$ 2

Edgar Filing: TRANSCANADA CORP - Form 6-K

	For the three months ended June 30, 2013			
	Number of contracts	TDRs Recorded investment (in millions)	Number of contracts	TDRs in payment default Recorded investment (in millions)
Commercial-brick and mortar	1	\$ 0.2		\$
Residential-home equity	21	0.8	1	
Residential-first liens	1	0.2	2	0.7
Total	23	\$ 1.2	3	\$ 0.7



Table of Contents

**Principal Financial Group, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**  
**June 30, 2014**  
**(Unaudited)**

	Number of contracts	TDRs	For the six months ended June 30, 2014	
			Recorded investment (in millions)	TDRs in payment default
			Number of contracts	Recorded investment (in millions)
Commercial-brick and mortar	2	\$	5.1	\$
Residential-home equity	39		1.4	2
Residential-first liens	1		0.1	
Total	42	\$	6.6	2 \$

	Number of contracts	TDRs	For the six months ended June 30, 2013	
			Recorded investment (in millions)	TDRs in payment default
			Number of contracts	Recorded investment (in millions)
Commercial-brick and mortar	2	\$	0.9	\$
Residential-home equity	53		2.8	13
Residential-first liens	3		0.6	2 0.7
Total	58	\$	4.3	15 \$ 0.7

Commercial mortgage loans that have been designated as a TDR have been previously reserved in the mortgage loan valuation allowance to the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral reduced by the cost to sell.

Residential mortgage loans that have been designated as a TDR are specifically reserved for in the mortgage loan valuation allowance if losses result from the modification. Residential mortgage loans that have defaulted or have been discharged through bankruptcy are reduced to the expected collectible amount.

### Securities Posted as Collateral

We posted \$1,305.2 million in fixed maturities, available-for-sale securities at June 30, 2014, to satisfy collateral requirements primarily associated with a reinsurance arrangement, our derivative credit support annex (collateral) agreements, Futures Commission Merchant ( FCM ) agreements, a lending arrangement and our obligation under funding agreements with the Federal Home Loan Bank of Des Moines ( FHLB Des Moines ). In addition, we posted \$2,210.9 million in commercial mortgage loans and home equity mortgages as of June 30, 2014, to satisfy collateral requirements associated with our obligation under funding agreements with the FHLB Des Moines. Since we did not relinquish ownership rights on these instruments, they are reported as fixed maturities, available-for-sale and mortgage loans, respectively, on our consolidated statements of financial position.



Table of Contents

**Principal Financial Group, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**  
**June 30, 2014**  
**(Unaudited)**

**Balance Sheet Offsetting**

We have financial instruments that are subject to master netting agreements or similar agreements. Financial assets subject to master netting agreements or similar agreements were as follows:

	Gross amount of recognized assets (1)	Gross amounts not offset in the Statement of Financial Position			
		Financial instruments (2)	Collateral received	(in millions)	Net amount
<b>June 30, 2014</b>					
Derivative assets	\$ 604.0	\$ (487.9)	\$ (100.0)		\$ 16.1
Reverse repurchase agreements	48.0		(48.0)		
Total	\$ 652.0	\$ (487.9)	\$ (148.0)		\$ 16.1
<b>December 31, 2013</b>					
Derivative assets	\$ 664.9	\$ (581.5)	\$ (82.1)		\$ 1.3
Reverse repurchase agreements	51.8		(51.8)		
Total	\$ 716.7	\$ (581.5)	\$ (133.9)		\$ 1.3

(1) The gross amount of recognized derivative and reverse repurchase agreement assets are reported with other investments and cash and cash equivalents on the consolidated statements of financial position. The above excludes \$0.2 million and \$0.2 million of derivative assets as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, that are not subject to master netting agreements or similar agreements. The gross amounts of derivative and reverse repurchase agreement assets are not netted against offsetting liabilities for presentation on the consolidated statements of financial position.

(2) Represents amount of offsetting derivative liabilities that are subject to an enforceable master netting agreement or similar agreement that are not netted against the gross derivative assets for presentation on the consolidated statements of financial position.

Financial liabilities subject to master netting agreements or similar agreements were as follows:

	Gross amount of recognized liabilities (1)	Statement of Financial Position			
		Financial instruments (2)	Collateral pledged	(in millions)	Net amount
<b>June 30, 2014</b>					
Derivative liabilities	\$ 860.7	\$ (487.9)	\$ (305.8)		\$ 67.0
<b>December 31, 2013</b>					
Derivative liabilities	\$ 1,022.0	\$ (581.5)	\$ (362.1)		\$ 78.4

- (1) The gross amount of recognized derivative liabilities are reported with other liabilities on the consolidated statements of financial position. The above excludes \$284.7 million and \$226.7 million of derivative liabilities as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, which are primarily embedded derivatives that are not subject to master netting agreements or similar agreements. The gross amounts of derivative liabilities are not netted against offsetting assets for presentation on the consolidated statements of financial position.
- (2) Represents amount of offsetting derivative assets that are subject to an enforceable master netting agreement or similar agreement that are not netted against the gross derivative liabilities for presentation on the consolidated statements of financial position.

The financial instruments that are subject to master netting agreements or similar agreements include right of setoff provisions. Derivative instruments include provisions to setoff positions covered under the agreements with the same counterparties and provisions to setoff positions outside of the agreements with the same counterparties in the event of default by one of the parties. Derivative instruments also include collateral provisions. Collateral received and pledged is generally settled daily with each counterparty. See Note 4, Derivative Financial Instruments, for further details.

Table of Contents

**Principal Financial Group, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**  
**June 30, 2014**  
**(Unaudited)**

Repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements include provisions to setoff other repurchase and reverse repurchase balances with the same counterparty. Repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements also include collateral provisions with the counterparties. For reverse repurchase agreements we require the counterparties to pledge collateral with a value greater than the amount of cash transferred. We have the right but do not sell or repledge collateral received in reverse repurchase agreements. Repurchase agreements are structured as secured borrowings for all counterparties. We pledge fixed maturities available-for-sale, which the counterparties have the right to sell or repledge. Interest incurred on repurchase agreements is reported as part of operating expense on the consolidated statements of operations. Net proceeds related to repurchase agreements are reported as a component of financing activities on the consolidated statements of cash flows. We did not have any outstanding repurchase agreements as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

**4. Derivative Financial Instruments**

Derivatives are generally used to hedge or reduce exposure to market risks associated with assets held or expected to be purchased or sold and liabilities incurred or expected to be incurred. Derivatives are used to change the characteristics of our asset/liability mix consistent with our risk management activities. Derivatives are also used in asset replication strategies.

**Types of Derivative Instruments**

***Interest Rate Contracts***

Interest rate risk is the risk we will incur economic losses due to adverse changes in interest rates. Sources of interest rate risk include the difference between the maturity and interest rate changes of assets with the liabilities they support, timing differences between the pricing of liabilities and the purchase or procurement of assets and changing cash flow profiles from original projections due to prepayment options embedded within asset and liability contracts. We use various derivatives to manage our exposure to fluctuations in interest rates.

Interest rate swaps are contracts in which we agree with other parties to exchange, at specified intervals, the difference between fixed rate and floating rate interest amounts based upon designated market rates or rate indices and an agreed upon notional principal amount. Generally, no cash is exchanged at the outset of the contract and no principal payments are made by any party. Cash is paid or received based on the terms of the swap. We use interest rate swaps primarily to more closely match the interest rate characteristics of assets and liabilities and to mitigate the risks arising from timing mismatches between assets and liabilities (including duration mismatches). We also use interest rate swaps to hedge against changes in the value of assets we anticipate acquiring and other anticipated transactions and commitments. Interest rate swaps are used to hedge against changes in the value of the guaranteed minimum withdrawal benefit ( GMWB ) liability. The GMWB rider on our variable annuity products provides for guaranteed minimum withdrawal benefits regardless of the actual performance of various equity and/or fixed income funds available with the product.

Interest rate options, including interest rate caps and interest rate floors, which can be combined to form interest rate collars, are contracts that entitle the purchaser to pay or receive the amounts, if any, by which a specified market rate exceeds a cap strike interest rate, or falls below a floor strike interest rate, respectively, at specified dates. We use interest rate collars to manage interest rate risk related to guaranteed minimum interest rate liabilities in our individual annuities contracts and lapse risk associated with higher interest rates.

A swaption is an option to enter into an interest rate swap at a future date. We purchase swaptions to offset or modify existing exposures. Swaptions provide us the benefit of the agreed-upon strike rate if the market rates for liabilities are higher, with the flexibility to enter into the current market rate swap if the market rates for liabilities are lower. Swaptions not only hedge against the downside risk, but also allow us to take advantage of any upside benefits.

In exchange-traded futures transactions, we agree to purchase or sell a specified number of contracts, the values of which are determined by the values of designated classes of securities, and to post variation margin on a daily basis in an amount equal to the difference in the daily market values of those contracts. We enter into exchange-traded futures with regulated futures commissions merchants who are members of a trading exchange. We have used exchange-traded futures to reduce market risks from changes in interest rates and to alter mismatches between the assets in a portfolio and the liabilities supported by those assets.

Table of Contents

**Principal Financial Group, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**  
**June 30, 2014**  
**(Unaudited)**

***Foreign Exchange Contracts***

Foreign currency risk is the risk we will incur economic losses due to adverse fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. This risk arises from foreign currency-denominated funding agreements we issue, foreign currency-denominated fixed maturities we invest in and the financial results of our international operations, including acquisition and divestiture activity. We use various derivatives to manage our exposure to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates.

Currency swaps are contracts in which we agree with other parties to exchange, at specified intervals, a series of principal and interest payments in one currency for that of another currency. Generally, the principal amount of each currency is exchanged at the beginning and termination of the currency swap by each party. The interest payments are primarily fixed-to-fixed rate; however, they may also be fixed-to-floating rate or floating-to-fixed rate. These transactions are entered into pursuant to master agreements that provide for a single net payment to be made by one counterparty for payments made in the same currency at each due date. We use currency swaps to reduce market risks from changes in currency exchange rates with respect to investments or liabilities denominated in foreign currencies that we either hold or intend to acquire or sell.

Currency forwards are contracts in which we agree with other parties to deliver or receive a specified amount of an identified currency at a specified future date. Typically, the price is agreed upon at the time of the contract and payment for such a contract is made at the specified future date. We use currency forwards to reduce market risks from changes in currency exchange rates with respect to investments or liabilities denominated in foreign currencies that we either hold or intend to acquire or sell and to hedge the currency risk associated with a business combination. We have also used currency forwards to hedge the currency risk associated with net investments in foreign operations. We did not use any currency forwards during 2014 or 2013 to hedge our net investment in foreign operations.

***Equity Contracts***

Equity risk is the risk that we will incur economic losses due to adverse fluctuations in common stock. We use various derivatives to manage our exposure to equity risk, which arises from products in which the interest we credit is tied to an external equity index as well as products subject to minimum contractual guarantees.

We purchase equity call spreads to hedge the equity participation rates promised to contractholders in conjunction with our fixed deferred annuity and universal life products that credit interest based on changes in an external equity index. We use exchange-traded futures and equity put options to hedge against changes in the value of the GMWB liability related to the GMWB rider on our variable annuity product, as previously explained. The premium associated with certain options is paid quarterly over the life of the option contract.

***Credit Contracts***

Credit risk relates to the uncertainty associated with the continued ability of a given obligor to make timely payments of principal and interest. We use credit default swaps to enhance the return on our investment portfolio by providing comparable exposure to fixed income securities that might not be available in the primary market. They are also used to hedge credit exposures in our investment portfolio. Credit derivatives are used to sell or buy credit protection on an identified name or names on an unfunded or synthetic basis in return for receiving or paying a quarterly premium. The premium generally corresponds to a referenced name's credit spread at the time the agreement is executed. In cases where we sell protection, we also buy a quality cash bond to match against the credit default swap, thereby entering into a synthetic transaction replicating a cash security. When selling protection, if there is an event of default by the referenced name, as defined by the agreement, we are obligated to pay the counterparty the referenced amount of the contract and receive in return the referenced security in a principal amount equal to the notional value of the credit default swap.

Total return swaps are contracts in which we agree with other parties to exchange, at specified intervals, an amount determined by the difference between the previous price and the current price of a reference asset based upon an agreed upon notional principal amount plus an additional amount determined by the financing spread. We currently use futures traded on an exchange ( exchange-traded ) and total return swaps referencing equity indices to hedge our portfolio from potential credit losses related to systemic events.

***Other Contracts***

***Embedded Derivatives.*** We purchase or issue certain financial instruments or products that contain a derivative instrument that is embedded in the financial instrument or product. When it is determined that the embedded derivative possesses economic



Table of Contents

**Principal Financial Group, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**  
**June 30, 2014**  
**(Unaudited)**

characteristics that are not clearly or closely related to the economic characteristics of the host contract and a separate instrument with the same terms would qualify as a derivative instrument, the embedded derivative is bifurcated from the host instrument for measurement purposes. The embedded derivative, which is reported with the host instrument in the consolidated statements of financial position, is carried at fair value.

We have investment-type insurance contracts in which the return is tied to a leveraged inflation index. In addition, we had an investment-type insurance contract in which the return was tied to an external equity index. We economically hedge the risk associated with these investment-type insurance contracts.

We offer group annuity contracts that have guaranteed separate accounts as an investment option. We also offer funds with embedded fixed-rate guarantees as investment options in our defined contribution plans in Hong Kong.

We have structured investment relationships with trusts we have determined to be VIEs, which are consolidated in our financial statements. The notes issued by these trusts include obligations to deliver an underlying security to residual interest holders and the obligations contain an embedded derivative of the forecasted transaction to deliver the underlying security.

We have fixed deferred annuities and universal life contracts that credit interest based on changes in an external equity index. We also have certain variable annuity products with a GMWB rider, which allows the customer to make withdrawals of a specified annual amount, either for a fixed number of years or for the lifetime of the customer, even if the account value is fully exhausted. Declines in the equity markets may increase our exposure to benefits under contracts with the GMWB. We economically hedge the exposure in these contracts, as previously explained.

**Exposure**

Our risk of loss is typically limited to the fair value of our derivative instruments and not to the notional or contractual amounts of these derivatives. We are also exposed to credit losses in the event of nonperformance of the counterparties. Our current credit exposure is limited to the value of derivatives that have become favorable to us. This credit risk is minimized by purchasing such agreements from financial institutions with high credit ratings and by establishing and monitoring exposure limits. We also utilize various credit enhancements, including collateral and credit triggers to reduce the credit exposure to our derivative instruments.

Derivatives may be exchange-traded or they may be privately negotiated contracts, which are usually referred to as over-the-counter ( OTC ) derivatives. Certain of our OTC derivatives are cleared and settled through central clearing counterparties ( OTC cleared ), while others are bilateral contracts between two counterparties ( bilateral OTC ). Our derivative transactions are generally documented under International Swaps

## Edgar Filing: TRANSCANADA CORP - Form 6-K

and Derivatives Association, Inc. ( ISDA ) Master Agreements. Management believes that such agreements provide for legally enforceable set-off and close-out netting of exposures to specific counterparties. Under such agreements, in connection with an early termination of a transaction, we are permitted to set off our receivable from a counterparty against our payables to the same counterparty arising out of all included transactions. For reporting purposes, we do not offset fair value amounts recognized for the right to reclaim cash collateral or the obligation to return cash collateral against fair value amounts recognized for derivative instruments executed with the same counterparties under master netting agreements.

We posted \$342.7 million and \$393.1 million in cash and securities under collateral arrangements as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, to satisfy collateral requirements associated with our derivative credit support agreements and FCM agreements. These amounts include initial margin requirements.

Certain of our derivative instruments contain provisions that require us to maintain an investment grade rating from each of the major credit rating agencies on our debt. If the ratings on our debt were to fall below investment grade, it would be in violation of these provisions and the counterparties to the derivative instruments could request immediate payment or demand immediate and ongoing full overnight collateralization on derivative instruments in net liability positions. The aggregate fair value, inclusive of accrued interest, of all derivative instruments with credit-risk-related contingent features that were in a liability position without regard to netting under derivative credit support annex agreements as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, was \$791.4 million and \$1,042.9 million, respectively. Cleared derivatives have contingent features that require us to post excess margin as required by the FCM. The terms surrounding excess margin vary by FCM agreement. With respect to derivatives containing collateral triggers, we posted collateral and initial margin of \$342.7 million and \$393.1 million as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, in the normal course of business, which reflects netting under derivative agreements. If the credit-risk-related contingent features underlying these agreements were triggered on June 30, 2014, we would be required to post an additional \$69.8 million of collateral to our counterparties.

Table of Contents

**Principal Financial Group, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**  
**June 30, 2014**  
**(Unaudited)**

As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, we had received \$51.5 million and \$32.5 million, respectively, of cash collateral associated with our derivative credit support annex agreements and FCM agreements, for which we recorded a corresponding liability reflecting our obligation to return the collateral.

Notional amounts are used to express the extent of our involvement in derivative transactions and represent a standard measurement of the volume of our derivative activity. Notional amounts represent those amounts used to calculate contractual flows to be exchanged and are not paid or received, except for contracts such as currency swaps. Credit exposure represents the gross amount owed to us under derivative contracts as of the valuation date. The notional amounts and credit exposure of our derivative financial instruments by type were as follows:

	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
	(in millions)	
<b>Notional amounts of derivative instruments</b>		
<b>Interest rate contracts:</b>		
Interest rate swaps	\$ 19,204.2	\$ 20,570.8
Interest rate options	4,100.0	4,100.0
Swaptions	260.0	325.0
Interest rate futures	105.0	92.5
<b>Foreign exchange contracts:</b>		
Currency swaps	2,048.0	2,367.5
Currency forwards	261.6	247.4
<b>Equity contracts:</b>		
Equity options	2,740.4	2,010.4
Equity futures	371.6	273.3
<b>Credit contracts:</b>		
Credit default swaps	1,150.6	1,153.2
Total return swaps	90.0	90.0
Futures	9.5	9.1
<b>Other contracts:</b>		
Embedded derivative financial instruments	8,796.0	7,601.1
Total notional amounts at end of period	\$ 39,136.9	\$ 38,840.3
<b>Credit exposure of derivative instruments</b>		
<b>Interest rate contracts:</b>		
Interest rate swaps	\$ 431.1	\$ 435.5
Interest rate options	31.7	42.5
Swaptions	0.2	1.0
<b>Foreign exchange contracts:</b>		
Currency swaps	137.7	200.9
Currency forwards	0.2	0.6
<b>Equity contracts:</b>		
Equity options	17.1	30.0
<b>Credit contracts:</b>		
Credit default swaps	11.5	9.5
Total return swaps	0.1	0.1

Edgar Filing: TRANSCANADA CORP - Form 6-K

Total gross credit exposure		<b>629.5</b>		720.1
Less: collateral received		<b>100.0</b>		115.9
Net credit exposure	\$	<b>529.5</b>	\$	604.2

Table of Contents

**Principal Financial Group, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**  
**June 30, 2014**  
**(Unaudited)**

The fair value of our derivative instruments classified as assets and liabilities was as follows:

	Derivative assets (1)		Derivative liabilities (2)	
	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
(in millions)				
<b>Derivatives designated as hedging instruments</b>				
Interest rate contracts	\$ 2.7	\$ 0.1	\$ 229.0	\$ 285.4
Foreign exchange contracts	96.5	121.6	44.0	51.2
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments	\$ 99.2	\$ 121.7	\$ 273.0	\$ 336.6
<b>Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments</b>				
Interest rate contracts	\$ 429.5	\$ 452.2	\$ 358.3	\$ 489.6
Foreign exchange contracts	46.9	51.6	22.3	17.9
Equity contracts	17.1	30.0	187.7	145.0
Credit contracts	11.5	9.6	22.5	35.5
Other contracts			281.6	224.1
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments	505.0	543.4	872.4	912.1
Total derivative instruments	\$ 604.2	\$ 665.1	\$ 1,145.4	\$ 1,248.7

(1) The fair value of derivative assets is reported with other investments on the consolidated statements of financial position.

(2) The fair value of derivative liabilities is reported with other liabilities on the consolidated statements of financial position, with the exception of certain embedded derivative liabilities. Embedded derivative liabilities with a fair value of \$37.6 million and \$6.9 million as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, are reported with contractholder funds on the consolidated statements of financial position.

### Credit Derivatives Sold

When we sell credit protection, we are exposed to the underlying credit risk similar to purchasing a fixed maturity security instrument. The majority of our credit derivative contracts sold reference a single name or reference security (referred to as single name credit default swaps). The remainder of our credit derivatives reference either a basket or index of securities. These instruments are either referenced in an over-the-counter credit derivative transaction, or embedded within an investment structure that has been fully consolidated into our financial statements.

## Edgar Filing: TRANSCANADA CORP - Form 6-K

These credit derivative transactions are subject to events of default defined within the terms of the contract, which normally consist of bankruptcy, failure to pay, or modified restructuring of the reference entity and/or issue. If a default event occurs for a reference name or security, we are obligated to pay the counterparty an amount equal to the notional amount of the credit derivative transaction. As a result, our maximum future payment is equal to the notional amount of the credit derivative. In certain cases, we also have purchased credit protection with identical underlyings to certain of our sold protection transactions. The effect of this purchased protection would reduce our total maximum future payments by \$44.9 million as of June 30, 2014 and \$44.9 million as of December 31, 2013. These purchased credit derivative transactions had a net asset (liability) fair value of \$(0.5) million as of June 30, 2014 and \$(0.5) million as of December 31, 2013. In certain circumstances, our potential loss could also be reduced by any amount recovered in the default proceedings of the underlying credit name.

We purchased an investment structure with embedded credit features that is fully consolidated into our financial statements. This consolidation results in recognition of the underlying credit derivatives and collateral within the structure, typically high quality fixed maturities that are owned by a special purpose vehicle. These credit derivatives reference several names in a basket structure. In the event of default, the collateral within the structure would typically be liquidated to pay the claims of the credit derivative counterparty.

Table of Contents

**Principal Financial Group, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**  
**June 30, 2014**  
**(Unaudited)**

The following tables show our credit default swap protection sold by types of contract, types of referenced/underlying asset class and external agency rating for the underlying reference security. The maximum future payments are undiscounted and have not been reduced by the effect of any offsetting transactions, collateral or recourse features described above.

	June 30, 2014			
	Notional amount	Fair value (in millions)	Maximum future payments	Weighted average expected life (in years)
<b>Single name credit default swaps</b>				
Corporate debt				
AAA	\$ 20.0	\$ 0.6	\$ 20.0	4.5
AA	79.0	1.5	79.0	3.6
A	269.5	4.7	269.5	3.6
BBB	290.0	3.2	290.0	3.9
BB	15.0	(0.3)	15.0	8.0
Total single name credit default swaps	673.5	9.7	673.5	3.9
<b>Basket and index credit default swaps</b>				
Corporate debt				
Near default (1)	110.4	(12.3)	110.4	2.7
Government/municipalities				
AA	30.0	(2.4)	30.0	3.2
Structured finance				
BBB	25.0	(0.2)	25.0	3.0
Total basket and index credit default swaps	165.4	(14.9)	165.4	2.9
Total credit default swap protection sold	\$ 838.9	\$ (5.2)	\$ 838.9	3.7

	December 31, 2013			
	Notional amount	Fair value (in millions)	Maximum future payments	Weighted average expected life (in years)
<b>Single name credit default swaps</b>				
Corporate debt				
AAA	\$ 10.0	\$ 0.3	\$ 10.0	4.7
AA	84.0	1.8	84.0	4.0
A	294.5	4.2	294.5	4.0
BBB	265.0	(1.2)	265.0	3.9
Total single name credit default swaps	653.5	5.1	653.5	4.0
<b>Basket and index credit default swaps</b>				
Corporate debt				
Near default (1)	110.4	(19.9)	110.4	3.2

Edgar Filing: TRANSCANADA CORP - Form 6-K

Government/municipalities				
AA	30.0	(3.5)	30.0	3.7
Structured finance				
BBB	25.0	(0.9)	25.0	3.5
Total basket and index credit default swaps	165.4	(24.3)	165.4	3.4
Total credit default swap protection sold	\$ 818.9	\$ (19.2)	\$ 818.9	3.9

---

(1) Includes \$88.0 million notional of derivatives in consolidated collateralized private investment vehicle VIEs where the credit risk is borne by third-party investors.



Table of Contents

**Principal Financial Group, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**  
**June 30, 2014**  
**(Unaudited)**

We also have invested in fixed maturities classified as available-for-sale that contain credit default swaps that do not require bifurcation and fixed maturities classified as trading that contain credit default swaps. These securities are subject to the credit risk of the issuer, normally a special purpose vehicle, which consists of the underlying credit default swaps and high quality fixed maturities that serve as collateral. A default event occurs if the cumulative losses exceed a specified attachment point, which is typically not the first loss of the portfolio. If a default event occurs that exceeds the specified attachment point, our investment may not be fully returned. We would have no future potential payments under these investments. The following tables show, by the types of referenced/underlying asset class and external rating, our fixed maturities with embedded credit derivatives.

	June 30, 2014		Weighted average expected life (in years)
	Amortized cost	Carrying value (in millions)	
<b>Corporate debt</b>			
A	\$ 23.8	\$ 23.8	2.5
Total corporate debt	23.8	23.8	2.5
<b>Structured finance</b>			
A	6.3	6.3	16.1
BB	5.8	5.8	3.0
B	4.3	4.3	2.8
CCC	9.1	9.1	5.4
Total structured finance	25.5	25.5	7.1
Total fixed maturities with credit derivatives	\$ 49.3	\$ 49.3	4.9

	December 31, 2013		Weighted average expected life (in years)
	Amortized cost	Carrying value (in millions)	
<b>Corporate debt</b>			
BBB	\$ 23.4	\$ 23.4	3.0
Total corporate debt	23.4	23.4	3.0
<b>Structured finance</b>			
A	18.1	16.7	4.8
BB	5.5	5.5	3.3
B	4.1	4.1	3.1
CCC	23.5	23.5	4.8
Total structured finance	51.2	49.8	4.5
Total fixed maturities with credit derivatives	\$ 74.6	\$ 73.2	4.0

**Fair Value Hedges**

We use fixed-to-floating rate interest rate swaps to more closely align the interest rate characteristics of certain assets and liabilities. In general, these swaps are used in asset and liability management to modify duration, which is a measure of sensitivity to interest rate changes.

We enter into currency exchange swap agreements to convert certain foreign denominated assets and liabilities into U.S. dollar floating-rate denominated instruments to eliminate the exposure to future currency volatility on those items.

The net interest effect of interest rate swap and currency swap transactions for derivatives in fair value hedges is recorded as an adjustment to income or expense of the underlying hedged item in our consolidated statements of operations.

Table of Contents

**Principal Financial Group, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**  
**June 30, 2014**  
**(Unaudited)**

Hedge effectiveness testing for fair value relationships is performed utilizing a regression analysis approach for both prospective and retrospective evaluations. This regression analysis will consider multiple data points for the assessment that the hedge continues to be highly effective in achieving offsetting changes in fair value. In certain periods, the comparison of the change in value of the derivative and the change in the value of the hedged item may not be offsetting at a specific period in time due to small movements in value. However, any amounts recorded as fair value hedges have shown to be highly effective in achieving offsetting changes in fair value both for present and future periods.

The following table shows the effect of derivatives in fair value hedging relationships and the related hedged items on the consolidated statements of operations. All gains or losses on derivatives were included in the assessment of hedge effectiveness.

Derivatives in fair value hedging relationships	Amount of gain (loss) recognized in net income on derivatives for the three months ended June 30, (1)		Hedged items in fair value hedging relationships	Amount of gain (loss) recognized in net income on related hedged item for the three months ended June 30, (1)	
	2014	2013		2014	2013
	(in millions)			(in millions)	
Interest rate contracts	\$ 1.8	\$ 65.9	Fixed maturities, available-for-sale	\$ (2.7)	\$ (62.7)
Interest rate contracts	2.0		Investment-type insurance contracts	(1.9)	
Foreign exchange contracts	0.5		Fixed maturities, available-for-sale	(0.4)	0.1
Foreign exchange contracts		(10.0)	Investment-type insurance contracts		9.9
Total	\$ 4.3	\$ 55.9	Total	\$ (5.0)	\$ (52.7)

Derivatives in fair value hedging relationships	Amount of gain (loss) recognized in net income on derivatives for the six months ended June 30, (1)		Hedged items in fair value hedging relationships	Amount of gain (loss) recognized in net income on related hedged item for the six months ended June 30, (1)	
	2014	2013		2014	2013
	(in millions)			(in millions)	
Interest rate contracts	\$ 8.7	\$ 96.3	Fixed maturities, available-for-sale	\$ (10.1)	\$ (91.3)
Interest rate contracts	2.6		Investment-type insurance contracts	(2.5)	
Foreign exchange contracts	0.8	1.3	Fixed maturities, available-for-sale	(0.7)	(1.2)
Foreign exchange contracts	0.2	(74.1)	Investment-type insurance contracts	(0.2)	73.6
Total	\$ 12.3	\$ 23.5	Total	\$ (13.5)	\$ (18.9)

Edgar Filing: TRANSCANADA CORP - Form 6-K

(1) The gain (loss) on both derivatives and hedged items in fair value relationships is reported in net realized capital gains (losses) on the consolidated statements of operations. The net amount represents the ineffective portion of our fair value hedges.

Table of Contents

**Principal Financial Group, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**  
**June 30, 2014**  
**(Unaudited)**

The following table shows the periodic settlements on interest rate contracts and foreign exchange contracts in fair value hedging relationships.

Hedged item	Amount of gain (loss) for the three months ended June 30,		Amount of gain (loss) for the six months ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(in millions)			
Fixed maturities, available-for-sale (1)	\$ (23.1)	\$ (29.7)	\$ (49.3)	\$ (61.2)
Investment-type insurance contracts (2)	0.9	10.0	2.4	19.3

(1) Reported in net investment income on the consolidated statements of operations.

(2) Reported in benefits, claims and settlement expenses on the consolidated statements of operations.

**Cash Flow Hedges**

We utilize floating-to-fixed rate interest rate swaps to eliminate the variability in cash flows of recognized financial assets and liabilities and forecasted transactions.

We enter into currency exchange swap agreements to convert both principal and interest payments of certain foreign denominated assets and liabilities into U.S. dollar denominated fixed-rate instruments to eliminate the exposure to future currency volatility on those items.

The net interest effect of interest rate swap and currency swap transactions for derivatives in cash flow hedges is recorded as an adjustment to income or expense of the underlying hedged item in our consolidated statements of operations.

The maximum length of time we are hedging our exposure to the variability in future cash flows for forecasted transactions, excluding those related to the payments of variable interest on existing financial assets and liabilities, is 6.0 years. At June 30, 2014, we had \$68.7 million of net gains reported in AOCI on the consolidated statements of financial position related to active hedges of forecasted transactions. If a hedged forecasted transaction is no longer probable of occurring, cash flow hedge accounting is discontinued. If it is probable that the hedged forecasted transaction will not occur, the deferred gain or loss is immediately reclassified from AOCI into net income. We reclassified \$0.0 million and \$0.2 million from AOCI into net realized capital gains (losses) as a result of the determination that hedged cash flows were probable of not occurring during the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Edgar Filing: TRANSCANADA CORP - Form 6-K

The following table shows the effect of derivatives in cash flow hedging relationships on the consolidated statements of operations and consolidated statements of financial position. All gains or losses on derivatives were included in the assessment of hedge effectiveness.

Derivatives in cash flow hedging relationships	Related hedged item	Amount of gain (loss) recognized in AOCI on derivatives (effective portion) for the three months ended June 30,		Location of gain (loss) reclassified from AOCI into net income (effective portion)	Amount of gain (loss) reclassified from AOCI on derivatives (effective portion) for the three months ended June 30,	
		2014	2013		2014	2013
		(in millions)		(in millions)		
Interest rate contracts	Fixed maturities, available-for-sale	\$ (1.7)	\$ (17.5)	Net investment income	\$ 3.4	\$ 2.9
Interest rate contracts	Investment-type insurance contracts	1.5	0.1	Benefits, claims and settlement expenses		
Interest rate contracts	Debt			Operating expense	(1.8)	(1.6)
Foreign exchange contracts	Fixed maturities, available-for-sale	(4.2)	0.6	Net realized capital losses		(1.5)
Foreign exchange contracts	Investment-type insurance contract	3.4	3.0	Benefits, claims and settlement expenses		
Total		\$ (1.0)	\$ (13.8)	Total	\$ 1.6	\$ (0.2)

Table of Contents

**Principal Financial Group, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**  
**June 30, 2014**  
**(Unaudited)**

Derivatives in cash flow hedging relationships	Related hedged item	Amount of gain (loss) recognized in AOCI on derivatives (effective portion) for the six months ended June 30,		Location of gain (loss) reclassified from AOCI into net income (effective portion)	Amount of gain (loss) reclassified from AOCI on derivatives (effective portion) for the six months ended June 30,	
		2014	2013		2014	2013
		(in millions)		(in millions)		
Interest rate contracts	Fixed maturities, available-for-sale	\$ 2.0	\$ (41.2)	Net investment income	\$ 6.6	\$ 5.6
Interest rate contracts	Investment-type insurance contracts	2.4	1.1	Benefits, claims and settlement expenses		
Interest rate contracts	Debt			Operating expense	(3.6)	(3.2)
Foreign exchange contracts	Fixed maturities, available-for-sale	(0.2)	41.6	Net realized capital losses	(11.1)	(2.1)
Foreign exchange contracts	Investment-type insurance contract	8.5	(0.6)	Benefits, claims and settlement expenses		
Total		\$ 12.7	\$ 0.9	Total	\$ (8.1)	\$ 0.3

The following table shows the periodic settlements on interest rate contracts and foreign exchange contracts in cash flow hedging relationships.

Hedged item	Amount of gain (loss) for the three months ended June 30,		Amount of gain (loss) for the six months ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
(in millions)				
Fixed maturities, available-for-sale (1)	\$ 1.3	\$ 1.9	\$ 2.8	\$ 4.3
Investment-type insurance contracts (2)	(2.9)	(2.6)	(5.8)	(5.5)

(1) Reported in net investment income on the consolidated statements of operations.

(2) Reported in benefits, claims and settlement expenses on the consolidated statements of operations.

The ineffective portion of our cash flow hedges is reported in net realized capital gains (losses) on the consolidated statements of operations. The net gain (loss) resulting from the ineffective portion of foreign currency contracts in cash flow hedging relationships was \$(0.1) million and \$0.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The net gain (loss) resulting from the ineffective portion of foreign currency contracts in cash flow hedging relationships was \$(0.1) million and \$0.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

We expect to reclassify net gains of \$1.8 million from AOCI into net income in the next 12 months, which includes both net deferred gains on discontinued hedges and net losses on periodic settlements of active hedges. Actual amounts may vary from this amount as a result of market

conditions.

**Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments**

Our use of futures, certain swaptions and swaps, collars, options and forwards are effective from an economic standpoint, but they have not been designated as hedges for financial reporting purposes. As such, periodic changes in the market value of these instruments, which includes mark-to-market gains and losses as well as periodic and final settlements, primarily flow directly into net realized capital gains (losses) on the consolidated statements of operations.



Table of Contents

**Principal Financial Group, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**  
**June 30, 2014**  
**(Unaudited)**

The following table shows the effect of derivatives not designated as hedging instruments, including fair value changes of embedded derivatives that have been bifurcated from the host contract, on the consolidated statements of operations.

Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments	Amount of gain (loss) recognized in net income on derivatives for the three months ended June 30,		Amount of gain (loss) recognized in net income on derivatives for the six months ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(in millions)			
Interest rate contracts	\$ 33.1	\$ (62.5)	\$ 96.4	\$ (92.1)
Foreign exchange contracts	0.4	(16.1)	(12.9)	(11.8)
Equity contracts	(12.6)	(16.9)	(37.6)	(71.5)
Credit contracts	15.8	7.3	10.9	22.4
Other contracts	(19.8)	42.3	(46.5)	99.5
Total	\$ 16.9	\$ (45.9)	\$ 10.3	\$ (53.5)

**5. Income Taxes**

The effective income tax rate for the three months ended June 30, 2014, was lower than the U.S. corporate income tax rate of 35% ( U.S. statutory rate ) primarily due to income tax deductions allowed for corporate dividends received, the presentation of taxes on our share of earnings generated from equity method investments reflected in net investment income and lower tax rates of foreign jurisdictions.

The effective income tax rate for the three months ended June 30, 2013, was lower than the U.S. statutory rate primarily due to income tax deductions allowed for corporate dividends received, a Chilean tax benefit resulting from a foreign currency loss on a U.S. denominated loan and the presentation of taxes on our share of earnings generated from equity method investments reflected in net investment income.

The effective income tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2014, was lower than the U.S. statutory rate primarily due to income tax deductions allowed for corporate dividends received, the presentation of taxes on our share of earnings generated from equity method investments reflected in net investment income and tax credits.

The effective income tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2013, was lower than the U.S. statutory rate primarily due to income tax deductions allowed for corporate dividends received, the presentation of taxes on our share of earnings generated from equity method investments reflected in net investment income and a Chilean tax benefit resulting from a foreign currency loss on a U.S. denominated loan.

## Edgar Filing: TRANSCANADA CORP - Form 6-K

We are a U.S. shareholder in various foreign entities classified as controlled foreign corporations ( CFCs ) for U.S. tax purposes. U.S. shareholders of CFCs are generally required to take into account as gross income in the U.S. certain passive income earned by the CFCs ( Subpart F income ) even if the income is not currently distributed. Temporary exceptions (the active financing and look through exceptions) were applicable for tax years beginning before January 1, 2014 to avoid the current recognition of Subpart F income derived in either the active conduct of a banking, financing, insurance or similar business or for certain payments between related corporations in different foreign jurisdictions. The U.S. Congress and the President have yet to enact extenders legislation as of June 30, 2014. Therefore, current tax expense has increased by an immaterial amount associated with the U.S. recognition of Subpart F income from our foreign operations. We will reverse any tax expense subject to the active financing and look through exceptions during the 2014 quarter extenders legislation is enacted, assuming the legislation is retroactive to January 1, 2014.

The U.S. Court of Federal Claims issued a summary judgment determination in the case of Principal Life Insurance Company and Subsidiaries ( Principal Life ) v. the United States on May 9, 2014. The court ruled against Principal Life s timing of recognition of gains and losses associated with the purchase and sale of principal-only certificates. The ruling caused a re-evaluation of our uncertain tax positions, which resulted in a \$47.5 million reduction in net income in the second quarter of 2014. We do not believe there is a reasonable possibility the total amount of uncertain tax benefits will significantly increase or decrease in the next twelve months.

Table of Contents

**Principal Financial Group, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**  
**June 30, 2014**  
**(Unaudited)**

**Unrecognized Tax Benefits**

A summary of the changes in unrecognized tax benefits follows:

	For the six months ended June 30, 2014		For the year ended December 31, 2013	
	(in millions)			
Balance at beginning of period	\$	108.9	\$	119.5
Additions based on tax positions related to the current year		1.5		10.5
Additions for tax positions of prior years		61.4		10.9
Reductions for tax positions related to the current year				(3.3)
Reductions for tax positions of prior years				(28.7)
Balance at end of period (1)	\$	171.8	\$	108.9

(1) Of this amount, \$58.3 million, if recognized, would reduce the 2014 effective income tax rate. We recognize interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions in operating expenses.

As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, we had recognized \$99.8 million and \$37.0 million of accumulated pre-tax interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits, respectively.

**6. Employee and Agent Benefits****Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost**

	Pension benefits			Other postretirement benefits		
	For the three months ended June 30,			For the three months ended June 30,		
	2014	2013		2014	2013	
	(in millions)					
Service cost	\$	13.5	\$	14.3	\$	0.3
Interest cost		29.3		25.9		1.6
Expected return on plan assets		(33.0)		(31.9)		(7.2)
Amortization of prior service benefit		(1.2)		(2.1)		(6.5)

Edgar Filing: TRANSCANADA CORP - Form 6-K

Recognized net actuarial (gain) loss		<b>12.5</b>		29.6		<b>(0.7)</b>		0.3
Net periodic benefit cost (income)	\$	<b>21.1</b>	\$	35.8	\$	<b>(12.1)</b>	\$	(11.7)

	Pension benefits			Other postretirement benefits				
	For the six months ended June 30,			For the six months ended June 30,				
	2014		2013		2014		2013	
	(in millions)							
Service cost	\$	<b>27.0</b>	\$	28.6	\$	<b>0.7</b>	\$	0.6
Interest cost		<b>58.6</b>		51.8		<b>3.3</b>		2.8
Expected return on plan assets		<b>(66.0)</b>		(63.8)		<b>(16.3)</b>		(14.4)
Amortization of prior service benefit		<b>(2.4)</b>		(4.2)		<b>(10.2)</b>		(13.0)
Recognized net actuarial (gain) loss		<b>25.3</b>		59.1		<b>(1.7)</b>		0.6
Net periodic benefit cost (income)	\$	<b>42.5</b>	\$	71.5	\$	<b>(24.2)</b>	\$	(23.4)

**Contributions**

Our funding policy for our qualified pension plan is to fund the plan annually in an amount at least equal to the minimum annual contribution required under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act ( ERISA ) and, generally, not greater than the maximum amount that can be deducted for federal income tax purposes. The minimum annual contribution for 2014 will be zero so we will not be required to fund our qualified pension plan during 2014. However, it is possible that we may fund the qualified and

Table of Contents

**Principal Financial Group, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**  
**June 30, 2014**  
**(Unaudited)**

nonqualified pension plans in 2014 for a combined total of \$125.0 million to \$175.0 million. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, we contributed \$17.2 million and \$57.5 million to these plans, respectively.

**7. Contingencies, Guarantees and Indemnifications**

**Litigation and Regulatory Contingencies**

We are regularly involved in litigation, both as a defendant and as a plaintiff, but primarily as a defendant. Litigation naming us as a defendant ordinarily arises out of our business operations as a provider of asset management and accumulation products and services; individual life insurance, specialty benefits insurance and our investment activities. Some of the lawsuits may be class actions, or purport to be, and some may include claims for unspecified or substantial punitive and treble damages.

We may discuss such litigation in one of three ways. We accrue a charge to income and disclose legal matters for which the chance of loss is probable and for which the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. We may disclose contingencies for which the chance of loss is reasonably possible and provide an estimate of the possible loss or range of loss or a statement that such an estimate cannot be made. Finally, we may voluntarily disclose loss contingencies for which the chance of loss is remote in order to provide information concerning matters that potentially expose us to possible losses.

In addition, regulatory bodies such as state insurance departments, the SEC, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, the Department of Labor and other regulatory agencies regularly make inquiries and conduct examinations or investigations concerning our compliance with, among other things, insurance laws, securities laws, ERISA and laws governing the activities of broker-dealers. We receive requests from regulators and other governmental authorities relating to industry issues and may receive additional requests, including subpoenas and interrogatories, in the future.

On March 18, 2014, McCaffree Financial Corp. Employee Retirement Program ( McCaffree ) filed a putative class action lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Iowa against Principal Life. The complaint alleged, among other things, breach of duty of loyalty, breach of duty of prudence and prohibited transactions under ERISA. McCaffree seeks a nationwide class action on behalf of all participants and beneficiaries of defined contribution retirement plans that invested in any Principal Separate Account in the last six years. McCaffree seeks disgorgement of all fees it alleges Principal Life improperly retained in addition to other general claims for relief. Principal Life has filed a motion to dismiss the case and is aggressively defending the case.

## Edgar Filing: TRANSCANADA CORP - Form 6-K

On August 29, 2013, American Chemicals & Equipment, Inc. 401(k) Retirement Plan ( ACE ) filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama against Principal Management Corporation and Principal Global Investors, LLC (the ACE Defendants ). The lawsuit alleges the ACE Defendants breached their fiduciary duty under Section 36(b) of the Investment Company Act by charging excessive fees on certain of the LifeTime series target date funds. On January 24, 2014, the court granted the motion filed by the ACE Defendants to transfer the case to the Southern District of Iowa. The ACE Defendants have filed a motion to dismiss the case and are aggressively defending the lawsuit.

On December 2, 2009 and December 4, 2009, two plaintiffs, Cruise and Mullaney, each filed putative class action lawsuits in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York against us; Principal Life; Principal Global Investors, LLC; Principal Management Corporation; and Principal Real Estate Investors, LLC (the Cruise/Mullaney Defendants ). The lawsuits alleged the Cruise/Mullaney Defendants failed to manage the Principal U.S. Property Separate Account ( PUSPSA ) in the best interests of investors, improperly imposed a withdrawal freeze on September 26, 2008, and instituted a withdrawal queue to honor withdrawal requests as sufficient liquidity became available. The two lawsuits, as well as two subsequently filed complaints asserting similar claims, have been consolidated and are now known as In re Principal U.S. Property Account Litigation. Plaintiffs request for permission to appeal the denial of class certification was denied by the U.S. Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals on December 31, 2013. The Cruise/Mullaney Defendants are aggressively defending the lawsuit.

In 2008, Principal Life received approximately \$440.0 million in connection with the termination of certain structured transactions and the resulting prepayment of Principal Life s investment in those transactions. The transactions involved Lehman Brothers Special Financing Inc. and Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. (collectively, Lehman ) in various capacities. Subsequent to Lehman s 2008 bankruptcy filing, its bankruptcy estate initiated several lawsuits seeking to recover from numerous sources significant amounts to which it claims entitlement under various theories. The estate is attempting to recover from Principal Life an amount, including interest, of approximately \$500.0 million. We are one of numerous defendants to this action, which has been stayed by the bankruptcy court. We believe that we have meritorious defenses to Lehman s claims and intend to aggressively defend against them once the stay is lifted and we are allowed to do so.

Table of Contents

**Principal Financial Group, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**  
**June 30, 2014**  
**(Unaudited)**

While the outcome of any pending or future litigation or regulatory matter cannot be predicted, management does not believe that any such matter will have a material adverse effect on our business or financial position. As of June 30, 2014, there were no estimated losses accrued related to the legal matters discussed above because we believe the loss from these matters is not probable and cannot be reasonably estimated.

We believe all of the litigation contingencies discussed above involve a chance of loss that is either remote or reasonably possible. Unless otherwise noted, all of these matters involve unspecified claim amounts, in which the respective plaintiffs seek an indeterminate amount of damages. To the extent such matters present a reasonably possible chance of loss, we are generally not able to estimate the possible loss or range of loss associated therewith.

The outcome of such matters is always uncertain, and unforeseen results can occur. It is possible that such outcomes could require us to pay damages or make other expenditures or establish accruals in amounts that we could not estimate at June 30, 2014.

**Guarantees and Indemnifications**

In the normal course of business, we have provided guarantees to third parties primarily related to former subsidiaries and joint ventures. These agreements generally expire through 2019. The maximum exposure under these agreements as of June 30, 2014, was approximately \$284.0 million. At inception, the fair value of such guarantees was insignificant. In addition, we believe the likelihood is remote that material payments will be required. Therefore, any liability accrued within our consolidated statements of financial position is insignificant. Should we be required to perform under these guarantees, we generally could recover a portion of the loss from third parties through recourse provisions included in agreements with such parties, the sale of assets held as collateral that can be liquidated in the event that performance is required under the guarantees or other recourse generally available to us; therefore, such guarantees would not result in a material adverse effect on our business or financial position. While the likelihood is remote, such outcomes could materially affect net income in a particular quarter or annual period.

We manage mandatory privatized social security funds in Chile. By regulation, we have a required minimum guarantee on the funds relative return. Because the guarantee has no limitation with respect to duration or amount, the maximum exposure of the guarantee in the future is indeterminable.

We are also subject to various other indemnification obligations issued in conjunction with divestitures, acquisitions and financing transactions whose terms range in duration and often are not explicitly defined. Certain portions of these indemnifications may be capped, while other portions are not subject to such limitations; therefore, the overall maximum amount of the obligation under the indemnifications cannot be reasonably estimated. At inception, the fair value of such indemnifications was insignificant. In addition, we believe the likelihood is remote that material payments will be required. Therefore, any liability accrued within our consolidated statements of financial position is insignificant. While we are unable to estimate with certainty the ultimate legal and financial liability with respect to these indemnifications, we believe that performance under these indemnifications would not result in a material adverse effect on our business or financial position. While the

likelihood is remote, performance under these indemnifications could materially affect net income in a particular quarter or annual period.



Table of Contents

**Principal Financial Group, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**  
**June 30, 2014**  
**(Unaudited)**

**8. Stockholders Equity****Reconciliation of Outstanding Shares**

	Series A preferred stock	Series B preferred stock (in millions)	Common stock
Outstanding shares at January 1, 2013	3.0	10.0	293.8
Shares issued			3.0
Treasury stock acquired			(2.9)
Outstanding shares at June 30, 2013	3.0	10.0	293.9
Outstanding shares at January 1, 2014	<b>3.0</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>295.2</b>
Shares issued			<b>1.9</b>
Treasury stock acquired			<b>(3.3)</b>
Outstanding shares at June 30, 2014	<b>3.0</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>293.8</b>

Our Board of Directors has authorized various repurchase programs under which we are allowed to purchase shares of our outstanding common stock. Shares repurchased under these programs are accounted for as treasury stock, carried at cost and reflected as a reduction to stockholders equity.

In May 2012, our Board of Directors authorized a share repurchase program of up to \$200.0 million of our outstanding common stock. We completed this program in February 2013. In February 2013, our Board of Directors authorized a share repurchase program of up to \$150.0 million of our outstanding common stock, which was completed in March 2014. In February 2014, our Board of Directors authorized a share repurchase program of up to \$200.0 million of our outstanding common stock.

Table of Contents

**Principal Financial Group, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**  
**June 30, 2014**  
**(Unaudited)**

**Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)**

	For the three months ended June 30, 2014			For the six months ended June 30, 2014		
	Pre-Tax	Tax	After-Tax	Pre-Tax	Tax	After-Tax
	(in millions)					
Net unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities during the period	\$ 651.2	\$ (221.2)	\$ 430.0	\$ 1,311.7	\$ (444.5)	\$ 867.2
Reclassification adjustment for gains included in net income (1)	(38.7)	12.6	(26.1)	(61.7)	20.2	(41.5)
Adjustments for assumed changes in amortization patterns	(44.9)	15.7	(29.2)	(99.2)	34.7	(64.5)
Adjustments for assumed changes in policyholder liabilities	(290.0)	93.6	(196.4)	(584.5)	193.8	(390.7)
Net unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities	277.6	(99.3)	178.3	566.3	(195.8)	370.5
Noncredit component of impairment losses on fixed maturities, available-for-sale during the period	37.8	(13.8)	24.0	67.9	(24.3)	43.6
Adjustments for assumed changes in amortization patterns	(5.2)	1.8	(3.4)	(8.4)	3.1	(5.3)
Adjustments for assumed changes in policyholder liabilities	(0.4)	0.2	(0.2)	(0.6)	0.2	(0.4)
Noncredit component of impairment losses on fixed maturities, available-for-sale (2)	32.2	(11.8)	20.4	58.9	(21.0)	37.9
Net unrealized gains on derivative instruments during the period	9.6	(3.4)	6.2	19.3	(6.8)	12.5
Reclassification adjustment for (gains) losses included in net income (3)	(1.6)	0.5	(1.1)	8.1	(3.0)	5.1
Adjustments for assumed changes in amortization patterns	(0.3)	0.2	(0.1)	(0.5)	0.2	(0.3)
Adjustments for assumed changes in policyholder liabilities	(1.2)	0.3	(0.9)	(6.5)	2.3	(4.2)
Net unrealized gains on derivative instruments	6.5	(2.4)	4.1	20.4	(7.3)	13.1
Foreign currency translation adjustment	34.5	(1.5)	33.0	(20.9)	8.3	(12.6)
Amortization of prior service cost and actuarial loss included in net periodic benefit cost (4)	5.5	(1.9)	3.6	11.0	(3.9)	7.1
Net unrecognized postretirement benefit obligation	5.5	(1.9)	3.6	11.0	(3.9)	7.1
Other comprehensive income	\$ 356.3	\$ (116.9)	\$ 239.4	\$ 635.7	\$ (219.7)	\$ 416.0



Table of Contents

**Principal Financial Group, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**  
**June 30, 2014**  
**(Unaudited)**

	For the three months ended June 30, 2013			For the six months ended June 30, 2013		
	Pre-Tax	Tax	After-Tax	Pre-Tax	Tax	After-Tax
	(in millions)					
Net unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities during the period	\$ (1,405.2)	\$ 456.5	\$ (948.7)	\$ (1,537.5)	\$ 502.5	\$ (1,035.0)
Reclassification adjustment for losses included in net income (1)	24.3	(8.1)	16.2	58.4	(19.8)	38.6
Adjustments for assumed changes in amortization patterns	168.1	(58.8)	109.3	211.8	(74.1)	137.7
Adjustments for assumed changes in policyholder liabilities	543.5	(174.0)	369.5	451.6	(145.9)	305.7
Net unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities	(669.3)	215.6	(453.7)	(815.7)	262.7	(553.0)
Noncredit component of impairment losses on fixed maturities, available-for-sale during the period	2.1	(0.3)	1.8	(18.1)	6.8	(11.3)
Adjustments for assumed changes in amortization patterns	(1.1)	0.2	(0.9)	0.1		0.1
Adjustments for assumed changes in policyholder liabilities	(0.4)	0.2	(0.2)	1.0	(0.4)	0.6
Noncredit component of impairment losses on fixed maturities, available-for-sale (2)	0.6	0.1	0.7	(17.0)	6.4	(10.6)
Net unrealized gains (losses) on derivative instruments during the period	(18.6)	6.6	(12.0)	14.0	(4.2)	9.8
Reclassification adjustment for (gains) losses included in net income (3)	0.2	(0.1)	0.1	(0.3)		(0.3)
Adjustments for assumed changes in amortization patterns	5.4	(1.8)	3.6	6.4	(2.2)	4.2
Adjustments for assumed changes in policyholder liabilities	12.6	(4.6)	8.0	12.6	(4.6)	8.0
Net unrealized gains (losses) on derivative instruments	(0.4)	0.1	(0.3)	32.7	(11.0)	21.7
Foreign currency translation adjustment	(200.4)	10.0	(190.4)	(145.3)	9.3	(136.0)
Amortization of prior service cost and actuarial loss included in net periodic benefit cost (4)	21.3	(7.5)	13.8	42.5	(14.9)	27.6
Net unrecognized postretirement benefit obligation	21.3	(7.5)	13.8	42.5	(14.9)	27.6
Other comprehensive loss	\$ (848.2)	\$ 218.3	\$ (629.9)	\$ (902.8)	\$ 252.5	\$ (650.3)

- 
- (1) Pre-tax reclassification adjustments relating to available-for-sale securities are reported in net realized capital gains (losses) on the consolidated statements of operations.
- (2) Represents the net impact of (1) unrealized gains resulting from reclassification of previously recognized noncredit impairment losses from OCI to net realized capital gains (losses) for fixed maturities with bifurcated OTTI that had additional credit losses or

Table of Contents

**Principal Financial Group, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**  
**June 30, 2014**  
**(Unaudited)**

fixed maturities that previously had bifurcated OTTI that have now been sold or are intended to be sold and (2) unrealized losses resulting from reclassification of noncredit impairment losses for fixed maturities with bifurcated OTTI from net realized capital gains (losses) to OCI.

(3) See Note 4, Derivative Financial Instruments – Cash Flow Hedges, for further details.

(4) Pre-tax amortization of prior service cost and actuarial loss included in net periodic benefit cost, which is comprised of amortization of prior service cost (benefit) and recognized net actuarial (gain) loss, is reported in operating expenses on the consolidated statements of operations. See Note 6, Employee and Agent Benefits – Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost, for further details.

**Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)**

	Net unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities	Noncredit component of impairment losses on fixed maturities available-for-sale	Net unrealized gains (losses) on derivative instruments (in millions)	Foreign currency translation adjustment	Unrecognized postretirement benefit obligation	Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)
<b>Balances at January 1, 2013</b>	\$ 1,418.3	\$ (173.9)	\$ (8.7)	\$ (106.9)	\$ (488.5)	\$ 640.3
Other comprehensive loss during the period, net of adjustments	(591.6)	(10.6)	22.0	(126.5)		(706.7)
Amounts reclassified from AOCI	38.6		(0.3)		27.6	65.9
Other comprehensive loss	(553.0)	(10.6)	21.7	(126.5)	27.6	(640.8)
<b>Balances at June 30, 2013</b>	\$ 865.3	\$ (184.5)	\$ 13.0	\$ (233.4)	\$ (460.9)	\$ (0.5)
<b>Balances at January 1, 2014</b>	\$ 878.1	\$ (167.0)	\$ (10.5)	\$ (361.5)	\$ (155.9)	\$ 183.2
Other comprehensive income during the period, net of adjustments	412.0		8.0	(12.4)		407.6
Amounts reclassified from AOCI	(41.5)	37.9	5.1		7.1	8.6
Other comprehensive income	370.5	37.9	13.1	(12.4)	7.1	416.2
<b>Balances at June 30, 2014</b>	\$ 1,248.6	\$ (129.1)	\$ 2.6	\$ (373.9)	\$ (148.8)	\$ 599.4

**Noncontrolling Interest**

Interest held by unaffiliated parties in consolidated entities are reflected in noncontrolling interest, which represents the noncontrolling partners share of the underlying net assets of our consolidated subsidiaries. Noncontrolling interest that is not redeemable is reported in the equity section of the consolidated statements of financial position.

The noncontrolling interest holders in certain of our subsidiaries maintain an equity interest that is redeemable at the option of the holder, which may be exercised on varying dates. Since redemption of the noncontrolling interest is outside of our control, this interest is presented on the consolidated statements of financial position line item titled Redeemable noncontrolling interest. If the interest were to be redeemed, we would be required to purchase such interest at a redemption value based on fair value or a formula that management intended to reasonably approximate fair value based on a fixed multiple of earnings over a measurement period. As such, the carrying value of the redeemable noncontrolling interest is compared to the redemption value at each reporting period. Any adjustments to the carrying amount of the redeemable noncontrolling interest for changes in redemption value prior to exercise of the redemption option are determined after the attribution of net income or loss of the subsidiary and are recognized in the redemption value as they occur. Adjustments to the carrying value of redeemable noncontrolling interest result in adjustments to additional paid-in capital and/or retained earnings. Adjustments are recorded in retained earnings to the extent the redemption value of the redeemable noncontrolling interest exceeds its fair value and will impact the numerator in our earnings per share calculations. All other adjustments to the redeemable noncontrolling interest are recorded in additional paid-in capital.

Table of Contents

**Principal Financial Group, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**  
**June 30, 2014**  
**(Unaudited)**

Following is a reconciliation of the changes in the redeemable noncontrolling interest (in millions):

<b>Balance at January 1, 2013</b>	\$	60.4
Net income attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interest		1.1
Distributions to redeemable noncontrolling interest		(1.5)
Foreign currency translation adjustment		(4.0)
Purchase of subsidiary shares from redeemable noncontrolling interest		(2.4)
<b>Balance at June 30, 2013</b>	\$	53.6
<b>Balance at January 1, 2014</b>	\$	247.2
Net income attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interest		5.2
Distributions to redeemable noncontrolling interest		(8.3)
Purchase of subsidiary shares from redeemable noncontrolling interest		(1.1)
Change in redemption value of redeemable noncontrolling interest		36.5
Foreign currency translation adjustment		2.9
<b>Balance at June 30, 2014</b>	\$	282.4

**9. Fair Value Measurements**

We use fair value measurements to record fair value of certain assets and liabilities and to estimate fair value of financial instruments not recorded at fair value but required to be disclosed at fair value. Certain financial instruments, particularly policyholder liabilities other than investment-type insurance contracts, are excluded from these fair value disclosure requirements.

**Valuation Hierarchy**

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (an exit price). The fair value hierarchy prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three levels. The level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety falls is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety considering factors specific to the asset or liability.

- **Level 1** Fair values are based on unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Our Level 1 assets and liabilities primarily include exchange traded equity securities, mutual funds and U.S. Treasury bonds.



- **Level 2** Fair values are based on inputs other than quoted prices within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Our Level 2 assets and liabilities primarily include fixed maturities (including public and private bonds), equity securities, derivatives and other investments for which public quotations are not available but that are priced by third-party pricing services or internal models using substantially all observable inputs.
- **Level 3** Fair values are based on at least one significant unobservable input for the asset or liability. Our Level 3 assets and liabilities include certain assets and liabilities priced using broker quotes or other valuation methods that utilize at least one significant unobservable input. These include fixed maturities, private equity securities, real estate and commercial mortgage loan investments of our separate accounts, commercial mortgage loan investments and obligations of consolidated VIEs for which the fair value option was elected, complex derivatives, embedded derivatives and equity method real estate investments for which the fair value option was elected.

#### **Determination of Fair Value**

The following discussion describes the valuation methodologies and inputs used for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis or disclosed at fair value. The techniques utilized in estimating the fair values of financial instruments are reliant on the assumptions used. Care should be exercised in deriving conclusions about our business, its value or financial position based on the fair value information of financial instruments presented below.

Fair value estimates are made based on available market information and judgments about the financial instrument at a specific point in time. Such estimates do not consider the tax impact of the realization of unrealized gains or losses. In addition, the disclosed fair value may not be realized in the immediate settlement of the financial instrument. We validate prices through an investment

Table of Contents

**Principal Financial Group, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**  
**June 30, 2014**  
**(Unaudited)**

analyst review process, which includes validation through direct interaction with external sources, review of recent trade activity or use of internal models. In circumstances where broker quotes are used to value an instrument, we generally receive one non-binding quote. Broker quotes are validated through an investment analyst review process, which includes validation through direct interaction with external sources and use of internal models or other relevant information. We did not make any significant changes to our valuation processes during 2014.

***Fixed Maturities***

Fixed maturities include bonds, ABS, redeemable preferred stock and certain nonredeemable preferred securities. When available, the fair value of fixed maturities is based on quoted prices of identical assets in active markets. These are reflected in Level 1 and primarily include U.S. Treasury bonds and actively traded redeemable corporate preferred securities.

When quoted prices of identical assets in active markets are not available, our first priority is to obtain prices from third party pricing vendors. We have regular interaction with these vendors to ensure we understand their pricing methodologies and to confirm they are utilizing observable market information. Their methodologies vary by asset class and include inputs such as estimated cash flows, benchmark yields, reported trades, broker quotes, credit quality, industry events and economic events. Fixed maturities with validated prices from pricing services, which includes the majority of our public fixed maturities in all asset classes, are generally reflected in Level 2. Also included in Level 2 are corporate bonds where quoted market prices are not available, for which an internal model using substantially all observable inputs or a matrix pricing valuation approach is used. In the matrix approach, securities are grouped into pricing categories that vary by sector, rating and average life. Each pricing category is assigned a risk spread based on studies of observable public market data from the investment professionals assigned to specific security classes. The expected cash flows of the security are then discounted back at the current Treasury curve plus the appropriate risk spread. Although the matrix valuation approach provides a fair valuation of each pricing category, the valuation of an individual security within each pricing category may actually be impacted by company specific factors.

If we are unable to price a fixed maturity security using prices from third party pricing vendors or other sources specific to the asset class, we may obtain a broker quote or utilize an internal pricing model specific to the asset utilizing relevant market information, to the extent available and where at least one significant unobservable input is utilized, which are reflected in Level 3 and can include fixed maturities across all asset classes. As of June 30, 2014, less than 1% of our fixed maturities were valued using internal pricing models, which were classified as Level 3 assets accordingly.

The primary inputs, by asset class, for valuations of the majority of our Level 2 investments from third party pricing vendors or our internal pricing valuation approach are described below.

***U.S. Government and Agencies/Non-U.S. Governments.*** Inputs include recently executed market transactions, interest rate yield curves, maturity dates, market price quotations and credit spreads relating to similar instruments.

**States and Political Subdivisions.** Inputs include Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board reported trades, U.S. Treasury and other benchmark curves, material event notices, new issue data and obligor credit ratings.

**Corporate.** Inputs include recently executed transactions, market price quotations, benchmark yields, issuer spreads and observations of equity and credit default swap curves related to the issuer. For private placement corporate securities valued through the matrix valuation approach inputs include the current Treasury curve and risk spreads based on sector, rating and average life of the issuance.

**RMBS, CMBS, Collateralized Debt Obligations and Other Debt Obligations.** Inputs include cash flows, priority of the tranche in the capital structure, expected time to maturity for the specific tranche, reinvestment period remaining and performance of the underlying collateral including prepayments, defaults, deferrals, loss severity of defaulted collateral and, for RMBS, prepayment speed assumptions. Other inputs include market indices and recently executed market transactions.

#### **Equity Securities**

Equity securities include mutual funds, common stock, nonredeemable preferred stock and mandatory regulatory required investments. Fair values of equity securities are determined using quoted prices in active markets for identical assets when available, which are reflected in Level 1. When quoted prices are not available, we may utilize internal valuation methodologies appropriate for the specific asset that use observable inputs such as underlying share prices or the net asset value ( NAV ), which are reflected in

Table of Contents

**Principal Financial Group, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**  
**June 30, 2014**  
**(Unaudited)**

Level 2. Fair values might also be determined using broker quotes or through the use of internal models or analysis that incorporate significant assumptions deemed appropriate given the circumstances and consistent with what other market participants would use when pricing such securities, which are reflected in Level 3.

*Derivatives*

The fair values of exchange-traded derivatives are determined through quoted market prices, which are reflected in Level 1. Exchange-traded derivatives include futures that are settled daily such that their fair value is not reflected in the consolidated statements of financial position. The fair values of derivative instruments cleared through centralized clearinghouses are determined through market prices published by the clearinghouses, which are reflected in Level 2. The clearinghouses may utilize the overnight indexed swap ( OIS ) curve in their valuation. The fair values of bilateral OTC derivative instruments are determined using either pricing valuation models that utilize market observable inputs or broker quotes. The majority of our bilateral OTC derivatives are valued with models that use market observable inputs, which are reflected in Level 2. Significant inputs include contractual terms, interest rates, currency exchange rates, credit spread curves, equity prices and volatilities. These valuation models consider projected discounted cash flows, relevant swap curves and appropriate implied volatilities. Certain bilateral OTC derivatives utilize unobservable market data, primarily independent broker quotes that are nonbinding quotes based on models that do not reflect the result of market transactions, which are reflected in Level 3.

Our non-cleared derivative contracts are generally documented under ISDA Master Agreements, which provide for legally enforceable set-off and close-out netting of exposures to specific counterparties. Collateral arrangements are bilateral and based on current ratings of each entity. We utilize the LIBOR interest rate curve to value our positions, which includes a credit spread. This credit spread incorporates an appropriate level of nonperformance risk into our valuations given the current ratings of our counterparties, as well as the collateral agreements in place. Counterparty credit risk is routinely monitored to ensure our adjustment for non-performance risk is appropriate. Our centrally cleared derivative contracts are conducted with regulated centralized clearinghouses, which provide for daily exchange of cash collateral equal to the difference in the daily market values of those contracts that eliminates the non-performance risk on these trades.

**Interest Rate Contracts.** For non-cleared contracts we use discounted cash flow valuation techniques to determine the fair value of interest rate swaps using observable swap curves as the inputs. These are reflected in Level 2. For centrally cleared contracts we use published prices from clearinghouses. These are reflected in Level 2. In addition, we have a limited number of complex inflation-linked interest rate swaps, interest rate collars and swaptions that are valued using broker quotes. These are reflected in Level 3.

**Foreign Exchange Contracts.** We use discounted cash flow valuation techniques that utilize observable swap curves and exchange rates as the inputs to determine the fair value of foreign currency swaps. These are reflected in Level 2. Currency forwards are valued using observable market inputs, including forward currency exchange rates. These are reflected in Level 2. In addition, we have a limited number of non-standard currency swaps that are valued using broker quotes. These are reflected within Level 3.

## Edgar Filing: TRANSCANADA CORP - Form 6-K

**Equity Contracts.** We use an option pricing model using observable implied volatilities, dividend yields, index prices and swap curves as the inputs to determine the fair value of equity options. These are reflected in Level 2.

**Credit Contracts.** We use either the ISDA Credit Default Swap Standard discounted cash flow model that utilizes observable default probabilities and recovery rates as inputs or broker prices to determine the fair value of credit default swaps. These are reflected in Level 3. In addition, we have a limited number of total return swaps that are valued based on the observable quoted price of underlying equity indices. These are reflected in Level 2.

### **Other Investments**

Other investments reported at fair value include seed money investments, other investment funds, commercial mortgage loans of consolidated VIEs and equity method real estate investments for which the fair value option was elected.

The fair value of seed money and other investment funds is determined using the NAV of the fund. The NAV of the funds represents the price at which we feel we would be able to initiate a transaction. Seed money investments in mutual funds for which the NAV is published are reflected in Level 1. Seed money investments in mutual funds in markets that do not have a published NAV and other investment funds, which are relatively illiquid due to restrictions on sale, are reflected in Level 2.

Table of Contents

**Principal Financial Group, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**  
**June 30, 2014**  
**(Unaudited)**

Commercial mortgage loans of consolidated VIEs and equity method real estate investments for which the fair value option was elected are reflected in Level 3. Fair value of the commercial mortgage loans is computed utilizing a discount rate based on the current market. The market discount rate is then adjusted based on various factors that differentiate it from our pool of loans. The equity method real estate investments consist of underlying real estate and debt. The real estate fair value is estimated using a discounted cash flow valuation model that utilizes public real estate market data inputs such as transaction prices, market rents, vacancy levels, leasing absorption, market cap rates and discount rates. The debt fair value is estimated using a discounted cash flow analysis based on our incremental borrowing rate for similar borrowing arrangements.

***Cash and Cash Equivalents***

Certain cash equivalents are reported at fair value on a recurring basis and include money market instruments and other short-term investments with maturities of less than three months. Fair values of these cash equivalents may be determined using public quotations, when available, which are reflected in Level 1. When public quotations are not available, because of the highly liquid nature of these assets, carrying amounts may be used to approximate fair values, which are reflected in Level 2.

***Separate Account Assets***

Separate account assets include equity securities, debt securities and derivative instruments, for which fair values are determined as previously described, and are reflected in Level 1, Level 2 and Level 3. Separate account assets also include commercial mortgage loans, for which the fair value is estimated by discounting the expected total cash flows using market rates that are applicable to the yield, credit quality and maturity of the loans. The market clearing spreads vary based on mortgage type, weighted average life, rating and liquidity. These are reflected in Level 3. Finally, separate account assets include real estate, for which the fair value is estimated using discounted cash flow valuation models that utilize public real estate market data inputs such as transaction prices, market rents, vacancy levels, leasing absorption, market cap rates and discount rates. In addition, each property is appraised annually by an independent appraiser. The real estate included in separate account assets is recorded net of related mortgage encumbrances for which the fair value is estimated using discounted cash flow analysis based on our incremental borrowing rate for similar borrowing arrangements. The real estate within the separate accounts is reflected in Level 3.

***Investment-Type Insurance Contracts***

Certain annuity contracts and other investment-type insurance contracts include embedded derivatives that have been bifurcated from the host contract and that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis, which are reflected in Level 3. The key assumptions for calculating the fair value of the embedded derivative liabilities are market assumptions (such as equity market returns, interest rate levels, market volatility and correlations) and policyholder behavior assumptions (such as lapse, mortality, utilization and withdrawal patterns). Risk margins are included in the policyholder behavior assumptions. The assumptions are based on a combination of historical data and actuarial judgment. The embedded

derivative liabilities are valued using stochastic models that incorporate a spread reflecting our own creditworthiness.

The assumption for our own non-performance risk for investment-type insurance contracts and any embedded derivatives bifurcated from certain annuity and investment-type insurance contracts is based on the current market credit spreads for debt-like instruments that we have issued and are available in the market.

***Other Liabilities***

Certain obligations reported in other liabilities include embedded derivatives to deliver underlying securities of structured investments to third parties. The fair value of the embedded derivatives is calculated based on the value of the underlying securities that are valued based on prices obtained from third party pricing vendors as utilized and described in our discussion of how fair value is determined for fixed maturities, which are reflected in Level 2.

Additionally, obligations of consolidated VIEs for which the fair value option was elected are included in other liabilities. These obligations are valued either based on prices obtained from third party pricing vendors as utilized and described in our discussion of how fair value is determined for fixed maturities, which are reflected in Level 2, or broker quotes, which are reflected in Level 3.

Table of Contents

**Principal Financial Group, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**  
**June 30, 2014**  
**(Unaudited)**

**Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis**

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below.

	Assets/ (liabilities) measured at fair value	As of June 30, 2014			
		Level 1	Fair value hierarchy level		Level 3
			Level 2	Level 3	
(in millions)					
<b>Assets</b>					
Fixed maturities, available-for-sale:					
U.S. government and agencies	\$ 780.3	\$ 417.7	\$ 362.6	\$ 39.6	
Non-U.S. governments	980.0		940.4	39.6	
States and political subdivisions	4,106.3		4,104.6	1.7	
Corporate	32,712.1	40.1	32,502.0	170.0	
Residential mortgage-backed securities	2,902.3		2,902.3		
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	4,043.4		4,036.7	6.7	
Collateralized debt obligations	443.5		375.0	68.5	
Other debt obligations	4,305.7		4,258.6	47.1	
Total fixed maturities, available-for-sale	50,273.6	457.8	49,482.2	333.6	
Fixed maturities, trading	647.8		488.2	159.6	
Equity securities, available-for-sale	117.4	31.2	68.7	17.5	
Equity securities, trading	805.8	115.4	690.4		
Derivative assets (1)	604.2		541.9	62.3	
Other investments (2)	365.2	7.2	223.4	134.6	
Cash equivalents (3)	855.8		855.8		
Sub-total excluding separate account assets	53,669.8	611.6	52,350.6	707.6	
Separate account assets	139,088.0	72,437.3	61,117.1	5,533.6	
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 192,757.8</b>	<b>\$ 73,048.9</b>	<b>\$ 113,467.7</b>	<b>\$ 6,241.2</b>	
<b>Liabilities</b>					
Investment-type insurance contracts (4)	\$ (37.6)	\$	\$	\$ (37.6)	
Derivative liabilities (1)	(863.7)		(839.0)	(24.7)	
Other liabilities (4)	(343.3)		(263.4)	(79.9)	
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>\$ (1,244.6)</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ (1,102.4)</b>	<b>\$ (142.2)</b>	
<b>Net assets</b>	<b>\$ 191,513.2</b>	<b>\$ 73,048.9</b>	<b>\$ 112,365.3</b>	<b>\$ 6,099.0</b>	



Table of Contents

**Principal Financial Group, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**  
**June 30, 2014**  
**(Unaudited)**

As of December 31, 2013

Assets/ (liabilities) measured at fair value	Fair value hierarchy level			Level 3
	Level 1	Level 2	(in millions)	
<b>Assets</b>				
Fixed maturities, available-for-sale:				
U.S. government and agencies	\$ 780.5	\$ 409.3	\$ 371.2	\$
Non-U.S. governments	996.8		949.3	47.5
States and political subdivisions	3,658.0		3,656.2	1.8
Corporate	31,919.0	40.3	31,714.7	164.0
Residential mortgage-backed securities	2,845.2		2,845.2	
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	4,026.4		4,024.8	1.6
Collateralized debt obligations	363.4		325.6	37.8
Other debt obligations	4,167.8		4,083.7	84.1
Total fixed maturities, available-for-sale	48,757.1	449.6	47,970.7	336.8
Fixed maturities, trading	563.1		393.2	169.9
Equity securities, available-for-sale	110.5	38.1	55.5	16.9
Equity securities, trading	716.9	105.1	611.8	
Derivative assets (1)	665.1		590.9	74.2
Other investments (2)	361.1	6.8	211.4	142.9
Cash equivalents (3)	1,459.0		1,459.0	
Sub-total excluding separate account assets	52,632.8	599.6	51,292.5	740.7
Separate account assets	130,018.4	67,215.1	57,538.1	5,265.2
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 182,651.2</b>	<b>\$ 67,814.7</b>	<b>\$ 108,830.6</b>	<b>\$ 6,005.9</b>
<b>Liabilities</b>				
Investment-type insurance contracts (4)	\$ (6.9)	\$	\$	\$ (6.9)
Derivative liabilities (1)	(1,024.6)		(985.0)	(39.6)
Other liabilities (4)	(322.1)		(248.2)	(73.9)
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>\$ (1,353.6)</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ (1,233.2)</b>	<b>\$ (120.4)</b>
<b>Net assets</b>	<b>\$ 181,297.6</b>	<b>\$ 67,814.7</b>	<b>\$ 107,597.4</b>	<b>\$ 5,885.5</b>

(1) Within the consolidated statements of financial position, derivative assets are reported with other investments and derivative liabilities are reported with other liabilities. Refer to Note 4, Derivative Financial Instruments, for further information on fair value by class of derivative instruments. Our derivatives are primarily Level 2, with the exception of certain credit default swaps and other swaps that are Level 3.

(2) Primarily includes seed money investments, other investment funds, commercial mortgage loans of consolidated VIEs and equity method investments reported at fair value.

(3) Includes money market instruments and short-term investments with a maturity date of three months or less when purchased.

(4) Includes bifurcated embedded derivatives that are reported at fair value within the same line item in the consolidated statements of financial position in which the host contract is reported. Other liabilities also include obligations of consolidated VIEs reported at fair value.

Table of Contents

**Principal Financial Group, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**  
**June 30, 2014**  
**(Unaudited)**

**Changes in Level 3 Fair Value Measurements**

The reconciliation for all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) are summarized as follows:

	Beginning asset/ (liability) balance as of March 31, 2014	For the three months ended June 30, 2014					Ending asset/ (liability) balance as of June 30, 2014	Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income relating to positions still held (1)
		Total realized/unrealized gains (losses) Included in net income (1)	Included in other comprehensive income	Net purchases, sales, issuances and settlements (4) (in millions)	Transfers into Level 3	Transfers out of Level 3		
<b>Assets</b>								
Fixed maturities, available-for-sale:								
Non-U.S. governments	\$ 50.1	\$ (0.1)	\$ 0.3	\$ (10.7)	\$	\$	\$ 39.6	\$
States and political subdivisions	1.8			(0.1)			1.7	
Corporate	166.0	(0.1)	1.2	(21.4)	30.4	(6.1)	170.0	(0.1)
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	7.7	0.1	(0.1)	0.1		(1.1)	6.7	
Collateralized debt obligations	30.4		1.2	36.9			68.5	
Other debt obligations	47.7		0.7	(1.3)			47.1	
Total fixed maturities, available-for-sale	303.7	(0.1)	3.3	3.5	30.4	(7.2)	333.6	(0.1)
Fixed maturities, trading	174.6	1.1		(16.1)			159.6	(0.4)
Equity securities, available-for-sale	17.6		(0.3)		0.2		17.5	
Derivative assets	65.1	(2.9)		0.1			62.3	(2.7)
Other investments	133.6	3.2		(2.2)			134.6	3.3
Separate account assets (2)	5,341.0	89.2	0.2	104.8	0.1	(1.7)	5,533.6	93.1
<b>Liabilities</b>								
Investment-type insurance contracts	(25.8)	(19.6)		7.8			(37.6)	(19.4)
Derivative liabilities	(34.4)	8.9	1.0	(0.2)			(24.7)	8.6
Other liabilities (3)	(75.9)	(4.0)					(79.9)	(4.0)



Table of Contents

**Principal Financial Group, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**  
**June 30, 2014**  
**(Unaudited)**

	Beginning asset/ (liability) balance as of March 31, 2013	For the three months ended June 30, 2013				Transfers into Level 3	Transfers out of Level 3	Ending asset/ (liability) balance as of June 30, 2013	Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income relating to positions still held (1)
		Total realized/unrealized gains (losses) Included in net income (1)	Included in other comprehensive income	Net purchases, sales, issuances and settlements (4)	(in millions)				
<b>Assets</b>									
Fixed maturities, available-for-sale:									
Non-U.S. governments	\$ 40.4	\$ (0.1)	\$ (0.8)	\$ 5.2	\$	\$	\$ 44.7	\$	
States and political subdivisions	1.9		(0.1)	(0.1)			1.7		
Corporate	167.6	(1.7)	0.4	(24.8)	53.1	(26.5)	168.1	(1.9)	
Collateralized debt obligations	75.2		0.4	(23.0)	10.3	(22.2)	40.7		
Other debt obligations	15.9		0.7	(0.4)	2.2		18.4		
Total fixed maturities, available-for-sale	301.0	(1.8)	0.6	(43.1)	65.6	(48.7)	273.6	(1.9)	
Fixed maturities, trading	169.5	1.2					170.7	1.1	
Equity securities, available-for-sale	16.1		0.8				16.9	(0.1)	
Derivative assets	67.2	(10.8)		0.5			56.9	(10.6)	
Other investments	110.9	5.9		(0.3)			116.5	5.9	
Separate account assets (2)	4,659.2	173.6	0.1	2.6	6.4	(0.2)	4,841.7	173.5	
<b>Liabilities</b>									
Investment-type insurance contracts	(117.8)	41.9		1.7			(74.2)	41.5	
Derivative liabilities									