

Edgar Filing: Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc.
Form 10-K
November 21, 2012
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2012

OR
TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission file No. 001-34757
SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 27-2166630
(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer
incorporation or organization) Identification Number)

601 Rayovac Drive, Madison, Wisconsin 53711
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (608) 275-3340

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Title of each class

Common Stock, Par Value \$.01

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$728,534,901 based upon the closing price on the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter (March 29, 2012).* As of November 16, 2012, there were outstanding 51,516,300 shares of the registrant's Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement to be filed within 120 days of September 30, 2012 are incorporated by reference in this Annual Report on Form 10-K in response to Part III, Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14.

For purposes of this calculation only, shares of the registrant's Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, held by *directors and executive officers and by Harbinger Group Inc., Harbinger Capital Partners Master Fund I, Ltd. and Harbinger Capital Partners Special Situations Fund, L.P. have been treated as owned by affiliates.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PART I	
ITEM 1. BUSINESS	<u>3</u>
ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS	<u>10</u>
ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS	<u>25</u>
ITEM 2. PROPERTIES	<u>25</u>
ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	<u>26</u>
ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES	<u>27</u>
PART II	
ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES	<u>28</u>
ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA	<u>28</u>
ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	<u>31</u>
ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	<u>58</u>
ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA	<u>59</u>
ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE	<u>59</u>
ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	<u>59</u>
ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION	<u>59</u>
PART III	
ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	<u>60</u>
ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	<u>60</u>
ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS	<u>60</u>

ITEM 13.	CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE	<u>61</u>
ITEM 14.	PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES	<u>61</u>
	PART IV	
ITEM 15.	EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SCHEDULES	<u>62</u>
	INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULE	<u>62</u>
	SIGNATURES	<u>115</u>
	EXHIBIT INDEX	<u>117</u>

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

General

Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation (“SB Holdings”), is a diversified global branded consumer products company and was created in connection with the combination of Spectrum Brands, Inc. (“Spectrum Brands”), a global branded consumer products company and Russell Hobbs, Inc. (“Russell Hobbs”), a global branded small appliance company, to form a new combined company (the “Merger”). The Merger was consummated on June 16, 2010. As a result of the Merger, both Spectrum Brands and Russell Hobbs are wholly-owned subsidiaries of SB Holdings and Russell Hobbs is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Spectrum Brands. SB Holdings' common stock trades on the New York Stock Exchange (the “NYSE”) under the symbol “SPB.”

Unless the context indicates otherwise, the terms the “Company,” “Spectrum,” “we,” “our” or “us” are used to refer to SB Holdings and its subsidiaries subsequent to the Merger and Spectrum Brands prior to the Merger.

We manufacture and market alkaline, zinc carbon and hearing aid batteries, herbicides, insecticides and repellants and specialty pet supplies. We design and market rechargeable batteries, battery-powered lighting products, electric shavers and accessories, grooming products and hair care appliances. With the addition of Russell Hobbs we design, market and distribute a broad range of branded small household appliances and personal care products. Our manufacturing and product development facilities are located in the United States, Europe, Latin America and Asia. Substantially all of our rechargeable batteries and chargers, shaving and grooming products, small household appliances, personal care products and portable lighting products are manufactured by third-party suppliers, primarily located in Asia.

We sell our products in approximately 140 countries through a variety of trade channels, including retailers, wholesalers and distributors, hearing aid professionals, industrial distributors and original equipment manufacturers (“OEMs”) and enjoy strong name recognition in our markets under the Rayovac, VARTA and Remington brands, each of which has been in existence for more than 80 years, and under the Tetra, 8-in-1, Dingo, Nature's Miracle, Spectracide, Cutter, Hot Shot, Black & Decker, George Foreman, Russell Hobbs, Farberware, Black Flag, FURminator and various other brands.

Our diversified global branded consumer products have positions in six major product categories: consumer batteries; pet supplies; home and garden control products; electric shaving and grooming products; small appliances; and electric personal care products. Our chief operating decision-maker manages the businesses in three vertically integrated, product-focused reporting segments: (i) Global Batteries & Appliances, which consists of our worldwide battery and portable lighting, electric shaving and grooming, electric personal care businesses and small appliances primarily in the kitchen and home product categories (“Global Batteries & Appliances”); (ii) Global Pet Supplies, which consists of our worldwide pet supplies business (“Global Pet Supplies”); and (iii) Home and Garden Business, which consists of our home and garden and insect control business (the “Home and Garden Business”). Management reviews our performance based on these segments. For information pertaining to our business segments, see Note 11, “Segment Information”, in Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Global and geographic strategic initiatives and financial objectives are determined at the corporate level. Each business segment is responsible for implementing defined strategic initiatives and achieving certain financial objectives and has a general manager responsible for sales and marketing initiatives and the financial results for all product lines within that business segment.

Our operating performance is influenced by a number of factors including: general economic conditions; foreign exchange fluctuations; trends in consumer markets; consumer confidence and preferences; our overall product line mix, including pricing and gross margin, which vary by product line and geographic market; pricing of certain raw materials and commodities; energy and fuel prices; and our general competitive position, especially as impacted by our competitors' advertising and promotional activities and pricing strategies.

On October 8, 2012, we entered into an agreement with Stanley Black & Decker, Inc. (“Stanley Black & Decker”) to acquire the residential hardware and home improvement business (the “HHI Business”) currently operated by Stanley

Black & Decker and certain of its subsidiaries for \$1.4 billion, consisting of (i) the equity interests of certain subsidiaries of Stanley Black & Decker engaged in the business and (ii) certain assets of Stanley Black & Decker used or held for use in connection with the business (the "Hardware Acquisition"). The Hardware Acquisition includes the purchase of shares and assets of certain subsidiaries of Stanley Black & Decker involved in the HHI Business. The Hardware Acquisition will also include the purchase of certain assets of Tong Lung Metal Industry Co. Ltd., a Taiwan Corporation ("TLM Taiwan"), involved in the production of

Table of Contents

residential locksets (the "TLM Residential Business"). Stanley Black & Decker is currently in the process of completing the acquisition of all of the issued and outstanding shares of TLM Taiwan.

The consummation of the Hardware Acquisition will take place in two separate closing. The first closing (the "First Closing") will involve the acquisition of the HHI Business. The second closing will involve the acquisition of the TLM Residential Business (the "Second Closing").

On November 16, 2012, our wholly owned subsidiary, Spectrum Brands Escrow Corp. issued \$520 million aggregate principal amount of 6.375% Senior Notes due 2020 (that "2020 Notes") and \$570 million aggregate principal amount of 6.625% Senior Notes due 2022 (the "2022 Notes"). The 2020 Notes and the 2022 Notes will be assumed by Spectrum Brands upon the First Closing. Spectrum Brands intends to use the net proceeds from the offering to fund a portion of the purchase price and related fees and expenses for the Hardware Acquisition. Spectrum Brands intends to finance the remaining portion of the Hardware Acquisition, as well as refinance its existing Term Loan (defined below) with a new \$800 million senior secured term loan, which is expected to close concurrently with the First Closing.

Our Products

We compete in six major product categories: consumer batteries; pet supplies; electric shaving and grooming; electric personal care products; home and garden control products and small appliances. Our broad line of products includes: consumer batteries, including alkaline and zinc carbon batteries, rechargeable batteries and chargers and hearing aid batteries, other specialty batteries and portable lighting products; pet supplies, including aquatic equipment and supplies, dog and cat treats, small animal foods, clean up and training aids, health and grooming products and bedding; home and garden control products including household insect controls, insect repellents and herbicides; electric and wet shaving and grooming devices; small appliances, including small kitchen appliances and home product appliances; and electric personal care and styling devices.

Net sales of each product category sold, as a percentage of net sales of our consolidated operations, is set forth below.

	Percentage of Total Company Net Sales for the Fiscal Year Ended September 30,			
	2012	2011	2010	
	Consumer batteries	29	% 30	% 38
Small appliances	24	% 24	% 9	%
Pet supplies	19	% 18	% 22	%
Home and garden control products	12	% 11	% 13	%
Electric shaving and grooming	8	% 9	% 10	%
Electric personal care products	8	% 8	% 8	%
	100	% 100	% 100	%

Consumer Batteries

We market and sell a full line of alkaline batteries (AA, AAA, C, D and 9-volt sizes) to both retail and industrial customers. Our alkaline batteries are marketed and sold primarily under the Rayovac and VARTA brands. We also manufacture alkaline batteries for third parties who sell the batteries under their own private labels. Our zinc carbon batteries are also marketed and sold primarily under the Rayovac and VARTA brands and are designed for low and medium drain battery powered devices.

We believe that we are currently the largest worldwide marketer and distributor of hearing aid batteries. We sell our hearing aid batteries through retail trade channels and directly to professional audiologists under several brand names and private labels, including Beltone, Miracle Ear and Starkey.

We also sell Nickel Metal Hydride (NiMH) rechargeable batteries and a variety of battery chargers under the Rayovac and VARTA brands.

Our other specialty battery products include camera batteries, lithium batteries, silver oxide batteries, keyless entry batteries and coin cells for use in watches, cameras, calculators, communications equipment and medical instruments.

4

Table of Contents

We also offer a broad line of battery-powered, portable lighting products, including flashlights and lanterns for both retail and industrial markets. We sell our portable lighting products under the Rayovac and VARTA brand names, under other proprietary brand names and pursuant to licensing arrangements with third parties.

Pet Supplies

In the pet supplies product category we market and sell a variety of leading branded pet supplies for fish, dogs, cats, birds and other small domestic animals. We have a broad line of consumer and commercial aquatics products, including integrated aquarium kits, standalone tanks and stands, filtration systems, heaters, pumps, and other equipment, fish food and water treatment products. Our largest aquatics brands are Tetra, Marineland, Whisper, Jungle and Instant Ocean. We also sell a variety of specialty pet products, including dog and cat treats, small animal food and treats, clean up and training aid products, health and grooming aids, bedding products, and consumable accessories including privacy tents, litter carpets, crystal litter cartridges, charcoal filters, corn-based litter and replaceable waste receptacles. Our largest specialty pet brands include FURminator, 8-in-1, Dingo, Firstrax, Nature's Miracle, Wild Harvest and Littermaid.

Home and Garden Control Products

In the home and garden control products category we currently sell and market several leading home and garden care products, including household insecticides, insect repellent, herbicides, garden and indoor plant foods and plant care treatments. We offer a broad array of household insecticides such as spider, roach and ant killer, flying insect killer, insect foggers, wasp and hornet killer, flea and tick control products and roach and ant baits. We also manufacture and market a complete line of insect repellent products that provide protection from insects, especially mosquitoes. These products include both personal repellents, such as aerosols, pump sprays and wipes as well as area repellents, such as yard sprays, citronella candles and torches. Our largest brands in the insect control category include Hot Shot, Cutter, Repel, Black Flag and TAT. Our herbicides brands include Spectracide, Real-Kill and Garden Safe. We have positioned ourselves as the value alternative for consumers who want products that are comparable to, but sold at lower prices than, premium-priced brands.

Electric Shaving and Grooming

We market and sell a broad line of electric shaving and grooming products under the Remington brand name, including men's rotary and foil shavers, beard and mustache trimmers, body trimmers and nose and ear trimmers, women's shavers and haircut kits.

Small Appliances

We market and sell a broad range of products in the branded small household appliances category under the George Foreman, Black & Decker, Russell Hobbs, Farberware, Juiceman, Breadman and Toastmaster brands, including grills, bread makers, sandwich makers, kettles, toaster ovens, toasters, blenders, juicers, can openers, coffee grinders, coffeemakers, electric knives, deep fryers, food choppers, food processors, hand mixers, rice cookers and steamers. We also market small home product appliances, including hand-held irons, vacuum cleaners, air purifiers, clothes shavers and heaters, primarily under the Black & Decker and Russell Hobbs brands.

Electric Personal Care Products

Our electric personal care products, marketed and sold under the Remington, Russell Hobbs, Carmen and Andrew Collinge brand names, include hand-held dryers, curling irons, straightening irons, brush irons, hair setters, facial brushes, skin appliances and electric toothbrushes.

Sales and Distribution

We sell our products through a variety of trade channels, including retailers, wholesalers and distributors, hearing aid professionals, industrial distributors and OEMs. Our sales generally are made through the use of individual purchase orders, consistent with industry practice. Retail sales of the consumer products we market have been increasingly consolidated into a small number of regional and national mass merchandisers. This trend towards consolidation is occurring on a worldwide basis. As a result of this consolidation, a significant percentage of our sales are attributable to a very limited group of retailer customers, including Wal-Mart, The Home Depot, Carrefour, Target, Lowe's, PetSmart, Canadian Tire, PetCo and Gigante. Our sales to Wal-Mart represented approximately 23% of our consolidated net sales for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012. No other customer accounted for more than 10%

of our consolidated net sales in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012.

Segment information as to revenues, profit and total assets as well as information concerning our revenues and long-lived assets by geographic location for the last three fiscal years is set forth in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of

Table of Contents

Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Note 11, Segment Information, in Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Sales and distribution practices in each of our reportable segments are as set forth below.

Global Batteries & Appliances

We manage our Global Batteries & Appliances sales force by geographic region and product group. Our sales team is divided into three major geographic territories, North America, Latin America and Europe and the rest of the world (“Europe/ROW”). Within each major geographic territory, we have additional subdivisions designed to meet our customers’ needs.

We manage our sales force in North America by distribution channel. We maintain separate sales groups to service (i) our retail sales and distribution channel, (ii) our hearing aid professionals channel and (iii) our industrial distributors and OEM sales and distribution channel. In addition, we utilize a network of independent brokers to service participants in selected distribution channels.

We manage our sales force in Latin America by distribution channel and geographic territory. We sell primarily to large retailers, wholesalers, distributors, food and drug chains and retail outlets. In countries where we do not maintain a sales force, we sell to distributors who market our products through all channels in the market.

The sales force serving our customers in Europe/ROW is supplemented by an international network of distributors to promote the sale of our products. Our sales operations throughout Europe/ROW are organized by geographic territory and the following sales channels: (i) food/retail, which includes mass merchandisers, discounters and drug and food stores; (ii) specialty trade, which includes clubs, consumer electronics stores, department stores, photography stores and wholesalers/distributors; and (iii) industrial, government, hearing aid professionals and OEMs.

Global Pet Supplies

Our Global Pet Supplies sales force is aligned by customer, geographic region and product group. We sell pet supply products to mass merchandisers, grocery and drug chains, pet superstores, independent pet stores and other retailers.

Home and Garden Business

The sales force of the Home and Garden Business is aligned by customer. We sell primarily to home improvement centers, mass merchandisers, hardware stores, home and garden distributors, and food and drug retailers in the U.S.

Manufacturing, Raw Materials and Suppliers

The principal raw materials used in manufacturing our products—zinc powder, electrolytic manganese dioxide powder and steel—are sourced either on a global or regional basis. The prices of these raw materials are susceptible to price fluctuations due to supply and demand trends, energy costs, transportation costs, government regulations and tariffs, changes in currency exchange rates, price controls, general economic conditions and other unforeseen circumstances. We have regularly engaged in forward purchase and hedging derivative transactions in an attempt to effectively manage the raw material costs we expect to incur over the next 12 to 24 months.

Substantially all of our rechargeable batteries and chargers, portable lighting products, hair care and other personal care products and our electric shaving and grooming products and small appliances are manufactured by third party suppliers that are primarily located in the Asia/Pacific region. We maintain ownership of most of the tooling and molds used by our suppliers.

We continually evaluate our manufacturing facilities’ capacity and related utilization. As a result of such analyses, we have closed a number of manufacturing facilities during the past five years. In general, we believe our existing facilities are adequate for our present and foreseeable needs.

Research and Development

Our research and development strategy is focused on new product development and performance enhancements of our existing products. We plan to continue to use our strong brand names, established customer relationships and significant research and development efforts to introduce innovative products that offer enhanced value to consumers through new designs and improved functionality.

In our fiscal years ended September 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010, we invested \$33.1 million, \$32.9 million and \$31.0 million, respectively, in product research and development.

Table of Contents

Patents and Trademarks

We own or license from third parties a significant number of patents and patent applications throughout the world relating to products we sell and manufacturing equipment we use. We hold a license that expires in March 2022 for certain alkaline battery designs, technology and manufacturing equipment from Matsushita Electrical Industrial Co., Ltd. (“Matsushita”), to whom we pay a royalty.

We also use and maintain a number of trademarks in our business, including DINGO, JUNGLETALK, MARINELAND, RAYOVAC, REMINGTON, TETRA, VARTA, 8IN1, CUTTER, HOT SHOT, GARDEN SAFE, NATURE’S MIRACLE, REPEL, SPECTRACIDE, SPECTRACIDE TERMINATE, GEORGE FOREMAN, RUSSELL HOBBS and BLACK & DECKER. We seek trademark protection in the U.S. and in foreign countries by all available means, including registration.

As a result of the October 2002 sale by VARTA AG of substantially all of its consumer battery business to us and VARTA AG’s subsequent sale of its automotive battery business to Johnson Controls, Inc. (“Johnson Controls”), we acquired rights to the VARTA trademark in the consumer battery category and Johnson Controls acquired rights to the trademark in the automotive battery category. VARTA AG continues to have rights to use the trademark with travel guides and industrial batteries and VARTA Microbattery GmbH has the right to use the trade mark with micro batteries. We are party to a Trademark and Domain Names Protection and Delimitation Agreement that governs ownership and usage rights and obligations of the parties relative to the VARTA trademark.

As a result of the common origins of the Remington Products, L.L.C. (“Remington Products”) business we acquired in September 2003 and the Remington Arms Company, Inc. (“Remington Arms”), the REMINGTON trademark is owned by us and by Remington Arms each with respect to its principal products as well as associated products. Accordingly, we own the rights to use the REMINGTON trademark for electric shavers, shaver accessories, grooming products and personal care products, while Remington Arms owns the rights to use the trademark for firearms, sporting goods and products for industrial use, including industrial hand tools. In addition, the terms of a 1986 agreement between Remington Products and Remington Arms provides for the shared rights to use the REMINGTON trademark on products which are not considered “principal products of interest” for either company. We retain the REMINGTON trademark for nearly all products which we believe can benefit from the use of the brand name in our distribution channels.

We license the Black & Decker brand in North America, Latin America (excluding Brazil) and the Caribbean for four core categories of household appliances: beverage products, food preparation products, garment care products and cooking products. Russell Hobbs has licensed the Black & Decker brand since 1998 for use in marketing various household small appliances. In July 2011, Russell Hobbs and The Black & Decker Corporation (“BDC”) extended the trademark license agreement for a fourth time through December 2015. Under the agreement as extended, Russell Hobbs agreed to pay BDC royalties based on a percentage of sales, with minimum annual royalty payments of \$15.0 million from calendar year 2011 through calendar year 2015. The agreement also requires us to comply with maximum annual return rates for products.

If BDC does not agree to renew the license agreement, we have 18 months to transition out of the brand name. No minimum royalty payments will be due during such transition period. BDC has agreed not to compete in the four core product categories for a period of five years after the termination of the license agreement. Upon request, BDC may elect to extend the license to use the Black & Decker brand to certain additional product categories. BDC has approved several extensions of the license to additional categories and geographies.

Competition

In our retail markets, we compete for limited shelf space and consumer acceptance. Factors influencing product sales include brand name recognition, perceived quality, price, performance, product packaging, design innovation, and consumer confidence and preferences as well as creative marketing, promotion and distribution strategies.

The battery product category is highly competitive. Most consumer batteries manufactured throughout the world are sold by one of four global companies: Spectrum Brands (manufacturer/seller of Rayovac and VARTA brands); Energizer Holdings, Inc. (“Energizer”) (manufacturer/seller of the Energizer brand); The Procter & Gamble Company

(“Procter & Gamble”) (manufacturer/seller of the Duracell brand); and Matsushita (manufacturer/seller of the Panasonic brand). We also face competition from the private label brands of major retailers, particularly in Europe. The offering of private-label batteries by retailers may create pricing pressure in the consumer battery market. Typically, private-label brands are not supported by advertising or promotion, and retailers sell these private label offerings at prices below competing name-brands. The main barriers to entry for new competitors are investment in technology research, cost of building manufacturing capacity and the expense of building retail distribution channels and consumer brands.

Table of Contents

In the U.S. alkaline battery category, the Rayovac brand is positioned as a value brand, which is typically defined as a product that offers comparable performance at a lower price. In Europe, the VARTA brand is competitively priced with other premium brands. In Latin America, where zinc carbon batteries outsell alkaline batteries, the Rayovac brand is competitively priced. Our primary competitors in the portable lighting product category are Energizer and Mag Instrument, Inc.

The pet supply product category is highly fragmented with over 500 manufacturers in the U.S. alone, consisting primarily of small companies with limited product lines. Our largest competitors in this product category are Mars Corporation (“Mars”), The Hartz Mountain Corporation (“Hartz”) and Central Garden & Pet Company (“Central Garden & Pet”). Both Hartz and Central Garden & Pet sell a comprehensive line of pet supplies and compete with a majority of the products we offer. Mars sells primarily aquatics products.

Products we sell in the home and garden product category through the Home and Garden Business face competition from The Scotts Miracle-Gro Company (“Scotts Company”), which markets home and garden products under the Scotts, Ortho, Roundup and Miracle-Gro brand names; Central Garden & Pet, which markets garden products under the AMDRO and Sevin brand names; and Bayer A.G., which markets home and garden products under the Bayer Advanced brand name.

Products we sell in the household insect control product category through the Home and Garden Business face competition from S.C. Johnson & Son, Inc. (“S.C. Johnson”), which markets insecticide and repellent products under the Raid and OFF! brands; Scotts Company, which markets household insect control products under the Ortho brand; and Henkel KGaA, which markets insect control products under the Combat brand.

Our primary competitors in the electric shaving and grooming product category are Norelco, a division of Koninklijke Philips Electronics NV (“Philips”), which sells and markets rotary shavers, and Braun, a division of Procter & Gamble, which sells and markets foil shavers. Through our Remington brand, we sell both foil and rotary shavers.

Primary competitive brands in the small appliance category include Hamilton Beach, Proctor Silex, Sunbeam, Mr. Coffee, Oster, General Electric, Rowenta, DeLonghi, Kitchen Aid, Cuisinart, Krups, Braun, Rival, Europro, Kenwood, Philips, Morphy Richards, Breville and Tefal. The key competitors of Russell Hobbs in this market in the U.S. and Canada include Jarden Corporation, DeLonghi America, Euro-Pro Operating LLC, Metro Thebe, Inc., d/b/a HWI Breville, NACCO Industries, Inc. (Hamilton Beach) and SEB S.A. In addition, Russell Hobbs competes with retailers who use their own private label brands for household appliances (for example, Wal-Mart).

Our major competitors in the electric personal care product category are Conair Corporation, Wahl Clipper Corporation and Helen of Troy Limited (“Helen of Troy”).

Some of our major competitors have greater resources and greater overall market share than we do. They have committed significant resources to protect their market shares or to capture market share from us and may continue to do so in the future. In some key product lines, our competitors may have lower production costs and higher profit margins than we do, which may enable them to compete more aggressively in advertising and in offering retail discounts and other promotional incentives to retailers, distributors, wholesalers and, ultimately, consumers.

Seasonality

On a consolidated basis our financial results are approximately equally weighted between quarters, however, sales of certain product categories tend to be seasonal. Sales in the consumer battery, electric shaving and grooming and electric personal care product categories, particularly in North America, tend to be concentrated in the December holiday season (Spectrum’s first fiscal quarter). Demand for pet supplies products remains fairly constant throughout the year. Demand for home and garden control products sold through the Home and Garden Business typically peaks during the first six months of the calendar year (Spectrum’s second and third fiscal quarters). Small Appliances peaks from July through December primarily due to the increased demand by customers in the late summer for “back-to-school” sales and in the fall for the holiday season. For a more detailed discussion of the seasonality of our product sales, see Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—“Seasonal Product Sales.”

Governmental Regulations and Environmental Matters

Due to the nature of our operations, our facilities are subject to a broad range of federal, state, local and foreign legal and regulatory provisions relating to the environment, including those regulating the discharge of materials into the environment, the handling and disposal of solid and hazardous substances and wastes and the remediation of contamination associated with the releases of hazardous substances at our facilities. We believe that compliance with the federal, state, local and foreign laws and regulations to which we are subject will not have a material effect upon our capital expenditures, financial condition, earnings or competitive position.

Table of Contents

From time to time, we have been required to address the effect of historic activities on the environmental condition of our properties. We have not conducted invasive testing at all facilities to identify all potential environmental liability risks. Given the age of our facilities and the nature of our operations, it is possible that material liabilities may arise in the future in connection with our current or former facilities. If previously unknown contamination of property underlying or in the vicinity of our manufacturing facilities is discovered, we could incur material unforeseen expenses, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, capital expenditures, earnings and competitive position. Although we are currently engaged in investigative or remedial projects at some of our facilities, we do not expect that such projects, taking into account established accruals, will cause us to incur expenditures that are material to our business, financial condition or results of operations; however, it is possible that our future liability could be material.

We have been, and in the future may be, subject to proceedings related to our disposal of industrial and hazardous material at off-site disposal locations or similar disposals made by other parties for which we are held responsible as a result of our relationships with such other parties. In the U.S., these proceedings are under the Federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (“CERCLA”) or similar state laws that hold persons who “arranged for” the disposal or treatment of such substances strictly liable for costs incurred in responding to the release or threatened release of hazardous substances from such sites, regardless of fault or the lawfulness of the original disposal. Liability under CERCLA is typically joint and several, meaning that a liable party may be responsible for all costs incurred in investigating and remediating contamination at a site. As a practical matter, liability at CERCLA sites is shared by all of the viable responsible parties. We occasionally are identified by federal or state governmental agencies as being a potentially responsible party for response actions contemplated at an off-site facility. At the existing sites where we have been notified of our status as a potentially responsible party, it is either premature to determine whether our potential liability, if any, will be material or we do not believe that our liability, if any, will be material. We may be named as a potentially responsible party under CERCLA or similar state laws for other sites not currently known to us, and the costs and liabilities associated with these sites may be material. It is difficult to quantify with certainty the potential financial impact of actions regarding expenditures for environmental matters, particularly remediation, and future capital expenditures for environmental control equipment. Nevertheless, based upon the information currently available, we believe that our ultimate liability arising from such environmental matters, taking into account established accruals of \$5.4 million for estimated liabilities at September 30, 2012 should not be material to our business or financial condition.

Electronic and electrical products that we sell in Europe, particularly products sold under the Remington brand name, VARTA battery chargers, certain portable lighting and all of our batteries, are subject to regulation in European Union (“EU”) markets under three key EU directives. The first directive is the Restriction of the Use of Hazardous Substances in Electrical and Electronic Equipment (“RoHS”) which took effect in EU member states beginning July 1, 2006. RoHS prohibits companies from selling products which contain certain specified hazardous materials in EU member states. We believe that compliance with RoHS will not have a material effect on our capital expenditures, financial condition, earnings or competitive position. The second directive is entitled the Waste of Electrical and Electronic Equipment (“WEEE”). WEEE makes producers or importers of particular classes of electrical goods financially responsible for specified collection, recycling, treatment and disposal of past and future covered products. WEEE assigns levels of responsibility to companies doing business in EU markets based on their relative market share. WEEE calls on each EU member state to enact enabling legislation to implement the directive. To comply with WEEE requirements, we have partnered with other companies to create a comprehensive collection, treatment, disposal and recycling program. As EU member states pass enabling legislation we currently expect our compliance system to be sufficient to meet such requirements. Our current estimated costs associated with compliance with WEEE are not significant based on our current market share. However, we continue to evaluate the impact of the WEEE legislation as EU member states implement guidance and as our market share changes and, as a result, actual costs to our company could differ from our current estimates and may be material to our business, financial condition or results of operations. The third directive is the Directive on Batteries and Accumulators and Waste Batteries, which was adopted in September 2006

and went into effect in September 2008 (the “Battery Directive”). The Battery Directive bans heavy metals in batteries by establishing maximum quantities of those heavy metals in batteries and mandates waste management of batteries, including collection, recycling and disposal systems. The Battery Directive places the costs of such waste management systems on producers and importers of batteries. The Battery Directive calls on each EU member state to enact enabling legislation to implement the directive. We currently believe that compliance with the Battery Directive will not have a material effect on our capital expenditures, financial condition, earnings or competitive position. However, until such time as the EU member states adopt enabling legislation, a full evaluation of these costs cannot be completed. We will continue to evaluate the impact of the Battery Directive and its enabling legislation as EU member states implement guidance.

Certain of our products and facilities in each of our business segments are regulated by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (the “EPA”) and the United States Food and Drug Administration (the “FDA”) or other federal consumer protection and product safety agencies and are subject to the regulations such agencies enforce, as well as by similar state,

Table of Contents

foreign and multinational agencies and regulations. For example, in the U.S., all products containing pesticides must be registered with the EPA and, in many cases, similar state and foreign agencies before they can be manufactured or sold. Our inability to obtain or the cancellation of any registration could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. The severity of the effect would depend on which products were involved, whether another product could be substituted and whether our competitors were similarly affected. We attempt to anticipate regulatory developments and maintain registrations of, and access to, substitute chemicals and other ingredients. We may not always be able to avoid or minimize these risks.

The Food Quality Protection Act (“FQPA”) established a standard for food-use pesticides, which is that a reasonable certainty of no harm will result from the cumulative effect of pesticide exposures. Under the FQPA, the EPA is evaluating the cumulative effects from dietary and non-dietary exposures to pesticides. The pesticides in certain of our products continue to be evaluated by the EPA as part of this program. It is possible that the EPA or a third party active ingredient registrant may decide that a pesticide we use in our products will be limited or made unavailable to us. We cannot predict the outcome or the severity of the effect of the EPA’s continuing evaluations of active ingredients used in our products.

Certain of our products and packaging materials are subject to regulations administered by the FDA. Among other things, the FDA enforces statutory prohibitions against misbranded and adulterated products, establishes ingredients and manufacturing procedures for certain products, establishes standards of identity for certain products, determines the safety of products and establishes labeling standards and requirements. In addition, various states regulate these products by enforcing federal and state standards of identity for selected products, grading products, inspecting production facilities and imposing their own labeling requirements.

Employees

We had approximately 5,850 full-time employees worldwide as of September 30, 2012. Approximately 33% of our total labor force is covered by collective bargaining agreements. There are three collective bargaining agreements that will expire during our fiscal year ending September 30, 2013, which cover approximately 64% of the labor force under collective bargaining agreements, or approximately 21% of our total labor force. We believe that our overall relationship with our employees is good.

Available Information

Our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to reports filed pursuant to Sections 13(a) and 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”), are made available free of charge on or through our website at www.spectrumbrands.com as soon as reasonably practicable after such reports are filed with, or furnished to, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”). You may read and copy any materials we file with the SEC at the SEC’s Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC also maintains an Internet site that contains our reports, proxy statements and other information at www.sec.gov. In addition, copies of our (i) Corporate Governance Guidelines, (ii) charters for the Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, (iii) Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and (iv) Code of Ethics for the Principal Executive Officer and Senior Financial Officers are available at our Internet site at www.spectrumbrands.com under “Investor Relations—Corporate Governance.” Copies will also be provided to any stockholder upon written request to the Vice President, Investor Relations & Corporate Communications, Spectrum Brands, Inc. at 601 Rayovac Drive, Madison, Wisconsin 53711 or via electronic mail at investorrelations@spectrumbrands.com, or by contacting the Vice President, Investor Relations & Corporate Communications by telephone at (608) 275-3340.

ITEM 1A.

RISK FACTORS

Forward-Looking Statements

We have made or implied certain forward-looking statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. All statements, other than statements of historical facts included in this Annual Report, including the statements under Item 7.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations regarding our business strategy, future operations, financial condition, estimated revenues, projected costs, projected synergies, prospects, plans and objectives of management, as well as information concerning expected actions of third parties, are forward-looking statements. When used in this Annual Report, the words "anticipate," "intend," "plan," "estimate," "believe," "expect," "project," "could," "will," "should," "may" and similar expressions are also intended to identify forward-looking statements, although not all forward-looking statements contain such identifying words.

Since these forward-looking statements are based upon our current expectations of future events and projections and are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control and some of which may change rapidly,

Table of Contents

actual results or outcomes may differ materially from those expressed or implied herein, and you should not place undue reliance on these statements. Important factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied herein include, without limitation:

- the impact of our substantial indebtedness on our business, financial condition and results of operations;
- the impact of restrictions in our debt instruments on our ability to operate our business, finance our capital needs or pursue or expand business strategies;
- any failure to comply with financial covenants and other provisions and restrictions of our debt instruments;
- our ability to successfully integrate the business acquired in connection with the combination with the HHI Business and achieve the expected synergies from that integration at the expected costs;
- the impact of expenses resulting from the implementation of new business strategies, divestitures or current and proposed restructuring activities;
- the impact of fluctuations in commodity prices, costs or availability of raw materials or terms and conditions available from suppliers, including suppliers' willingness to advance credit;
- interest rate and exchange rate fluctuations;
- the loss of, or a significant reduction in, sales to a significant retail customer(s);
- competitive promotional activity or spending by competitors or price reductions by competitors;
- the introduction of new product features or technological developments by competitors and/or the development of new competitors or competitive brands;
- the effects of general economic conditions, including inflation, recession or fears of a recession, depression or fears of a depression, labor costs and stock market volatility or changes in trade, monetary or fiscal policies in the countries where we do business;
- changes in consumer spending preferences and demand for our products;
- our ability to develop and successfully introduce new products, protect our intellectual property and avoid infringing the intellectual property of third parties;
- our ability to successfully implement, achieve and sustain manufacturing and distribution cost efficiencies and improvements, and fully realize anticipated cost savings;
 - the cost and effect of unanticipated legal, tax or regulatory proceedings or new laws or regulations (including environmental, public health and consumer protection regulations);
- public perception regarding the safety of our products, including the potential for environmental liabilities, product liability claims, litigation and other claims;
- the impact of pending or threatened litigation;
- changes in accounting policies applicable to our business;
- government regulations;
- the seasonal nature of sales of certain of our products;
- the effects of climate change and unusual weather activity;
- the effects of political or economic conditions, terrorist attacks, acts of war or other unrest in international markets;
- the risk that synergies will not be realized following the consummation of the Hardware Acquisition; and
 - the ability to consummate the Hardware Acquisition.

Some of the above-mentioned factors are described in further detail in the section entitled "Risk Factors" set forth below. You should assume the information appearing in this Annual Report on Form 10-K is accurate only as of September 30, 2012 or as otherwise specified, as our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects may have changed since that date. Except as required by applicable law, including the securities laws of the U.S. and the rules and regulations of the SEC, we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise to reflect actual results or changes in factors or assumptions affecting such forward-looking statements.

RISK FACTORS

Any of the following factors could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations and the risks described below are not the only risks that we may face. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently view as immaterial may also materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Risks Related To Our Business

We are a parent company and our primary source of cash is and will be distributions from our subsidiaries.

We are a parent company with limited business operations of our own. Our main asset is the capital stock of our subsidiaries. We conduct most of our business operations through our direct and indirect subsidiaries. Accordingly, our primary sources of cash are dividends and distributions with respect to our ownership interests in our subsidiaries that are derived from

Table of Contents

their earnings and cash flow. Our subsidiaries might not generate sufficient earnings and cash flow to pay dividends or distributions in the future. Our subsidiaries' payments to us will be contingent upon their earnings and upon other business considerations. In addition, our senior credit facilities, the indentures governing our notes and other agreements limit or prohibit certain payments of dividends or other distributions to us. We expect that future credit facilities will contain similar restrictions.

Our substantial indebtedness may limit our financial and operating flexibility, and we may incur additional debt, which could increase the risks associated with our substantial indebtedness.

We have, and we expect to continue to have, a significant amount of indebtedness. As of September 30, 2012, we had total indebtedness under our Term Loan, 9.5% Notes and ABL Facility (together the "Senior Secured Facilities"), the 6.75% Notes and other debt of approximately \$1.7 billion. Our substantial indebtedness has had, and could continue to have, material adverse consequences for our business, and may:

require us to dedicate a large portion of our cash flow to pay principal and interest on our indebtedness, which will reduce the availability of our cash flow to fund working capital, capital expenditures, research and development expenditures and other business activities;

increase our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions;

limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we operate;

restrict our ability to make strategic acquisitions, dispositions or to exploit business opportunities;

place us at a competitive disadvantage compared to our competitors that have less debt; and

limit our ability to borrow additional funds (even when necessary to maintain adequate liquidity) or dispose of assets.

Under the Senior Secured Facilities and the indenture governing the 6.75% Notes (the "2020 Indenture"), we may incur additional indebtedness. If new debt is added to our existing debt levels, the related risks that we now face would increase.

Furthermore, a portion of our debt bears interest at variable rates. If market interest rates increase, the interest rate on our variable rate debt will increase and will create higher debt service requirements, which would adversely affect our cash flow and could adversely impact our results of operations. While we may enter into agreements limiting our exposure to higher debt service requirements, any such agreements may not offer complete protection from this risk. Restrictive covenants in the Senior Secured Facilities and the 2020 Indenture may restrict our ability to pursue our business strategies.

The Senior Secured Facilities and the 2020 Indenture each restrict, among other things, asset dispositions, mergers and acquisitions, dividends, stock repurchases and redemptions, other restricted payments, indebtedness and preferred stock, loans and investments, liens and affiliate transactions. The Senior Secured Facilities and the 2020 Indenture also contain customary events of default. These covenants, among other things, limit our ability to fund future working capital and capital expenditures, engage in future acquisitions or development activities, or otherwise realize the value of our assets and opportunities fully because of the need to dedicate a portion of cash flow from operations to payments on debt. In addition, the Senior Secured Facilities contain financial covenants relating to maximum leverage and minimum interest coverage. Such covenants could limit the flexibility of our restricted entities in planning for, or reacting to, changes in the industries in which they operate. Our ability to comply with these covenants is subject to certain events outside of our control. If we are unable to comply with these covenants, the lenders under our Senior Secured Facilities or 6.75% Notes could terminate their commitments and the lenders under our Senior Secured Facilities or 6.75% Notes could accelerate repayment of our outstanding borrowings and, in either case, we may be unable to obtain adequate refinancing of outstanding borrowings on favorable terms. If we are unable to repay outstanding borrowings when due, the lenders under the Senior Secured Facilities or 6.75% Notes will also have the right to proceed against the collateral granted to them to secure the indebtedness owed to them. If our obligations under the Senior Secured Facilities and the 6.75% Notes are accelerated, we cannot assure you that our assets would be sufficient to repay in full such indebtedness.

The sale or other disposition by Harbinger Group Inc., the holder of a majority of the outstanding shares of our common stock, to non-affiliates of a sufficient amount of the common stock of SB Holdings would constitute a change of control under the agreements governing Spectrum Brands' debt.

Harbinger Group Inc. (“HRG”) owns a majority of the outstanding shares of the common stock of SB Holdings. The sale or other disposition by HRG to non-affiliates of a sufficient amount of the common stock of SB Holdings could constitute a change of control under certain of the agreements governing Spectrum Brands' debt, including any foreclosure on or sale of SB Holdings' common stock pledged as collateral by HRG pursuant to the indenture governing HRG's 10.625% Senior Secured Notes due 2015. A change in control under Spectrum Brands' debt could also result from a change in control of HRG following the sale or other disposition by Harbinger Capital Partners Master Fund I, Ltd., Harbinger Capital Partners Special Situations Fund, L.P. or Global Opportunities Breakaway Ltd. (together the “Harbinger Parties”) to non-affiliates of a sufficient amount of

Table of Contents

the common stock of HRG. Such a disposition could include any foreclosure on or sale of HRG common stock pledged as collateral by the Harbinger Parties. One of the Harbinger Parties has pledged all of the shares of HRG common stock that it owns (representing a majority of the outstanding common stock of HRG), together with securities of other issuers, to secure portfolio financing. The 2020 Indenture provides a different definition of “Change of Control” than in the Senior Secured Facilities and a sale by Harbinger Capital of its shares in HRG or a foreclosure on our stock by the HRG noteholders would generally not be a change of control. Under the Term Loan and the ABL Revolving Credit Facility, a change of control is an event of default and, if a change of control were to occur, Spectrum Brands would be required to get an amendment to these agreements to avoid a default. If Spectrum Brands was unable to get such an amendment, the lenders could accelerate the maturity of each of the Spectrum Brands Term Loan and the ABL Revolving Credit Facility. In addition, under the indentures governing the 9.5% Notes and the 6.75% Notes, upon a change of control of SB Holdings, Spectrum Brands is required to offer to repurchase such notes from the holders at a price equal to 101% of the principal amount of the notes plus accrued interest or obtain a waiver of default from the holders of such notes. If Spectrum Brands was unable to make the change of control offer, or to obtain a waiver of default, it would be an event of default under the indentures that could allow holders of such notes to accelerate the maturity of the notes. See “Risks Related to SB Holdings' Common Stock-The Harbinger Parties and HRG exercise significant influence over us and their interests in our business may be different from the interests of our stockholders” in this Form 10-K.

On June 27, 2012, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) filed two civil actions in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, asserting claims against Harbinger Capital Partners Special Situations GP, L.L.C. (“Harbinger Capital”), Harbinger Capital Partners Offshore Manager, L.L.C., and certain of their current and former affiliated entities and persons, including Philip A. Falcone. Mr. Falcone is the Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors of HRG, our parent. Investment funds managed by Harbinger Capital are the controlling stockholders of HRG, the majority stockholder of our direct parent company, SB Holdings. One civil action alleges that the defendants violated the anti-fraud provisions of the federal securities laws by engaging in market manipulation in connection with the trading of the debt securities of a particular issuer from 2006 to 2008. The other civil action alleges that the defendants violated the anti-fraud provisions of the federal securities laws in connection with a loan made by Harbinger Capital Partners Special Situations Fund, L.P. to Mr. Falcone in October 2009 and alleges further violations in connection with the circumstances and disclosure regarding alleged preferential treatment of, and agreements with, certain fund investors. Harbinger Capital and certain of its affiliates received “Wells Notices” in December 2011 with respect to the matters addressed by these actions.

We understand that Harbinger Capital and its affiliates deny the charges in the SEC's complaints and intend to vigorously defend against them. It is not possible at this time to predict the outcome of these actions, including whether the matters will result in settlements on any or all of the issues involved. However, in these actions the SEC is seeking a range of remedies, including permanent injunctive relief, disgorgement, civil penalties and pre-judgment interest and an order prohibiting Mr. Falcone from serving as an officer and director of any public company. If, following the outcome of these investigations, Harbinger Capital determines to dispose of the stock of HRG, or HRG determines to dispose of the stock of SB Holdings, this could constitute a change of control under the agreements governing our debt as discussed above.

We face risks related to the current economic environment.

The current economic environment and related turmoil in the global financial system has had and may continue to have an impact on our business and financial condition.

In the U.S., the uncertainty regarding significant mandated tax increases and government spending cuts beginning in January 2013, (the “Fiscal Cliff”) poses a serious risk for the U.S. economy and consumer confidence. In the event that the U.S. federal government is unable to achieve a resolution that would mitigate the impact of the Fiscal Cliff to a meaningful degree, there could be an adverse impact on the U.S. economy with a decrease in consumer spending, which could negatively impact our revenues and earnings. In addition, if the impact of the Fiscal Cliff results in a recessionary environment in the U.S., this could affect the global economy in a manner that negatively affects our international business and financial performance and results.

Global economic conditions have significantly impacted economic markets within certain sectors, with financial services and retail businesses being particularly impacted. Our ability to generate revenue depends significantly on discretionary consumer spending. It is difficult to predict new general economic conditions that could impact consumer and customer demand for our products or our ability to manage normal commercial relationships with our customers, suppliers and creditors. The recent continuation of a number of negative economic factors, including constraints on the supply of credit to households, uncertainty and weakness in the labor market and general consumer fears of a continuing economic downturn could have a negative impact on discretionary consumer spending. If the economy continues to deteriorate or fails to improve, our business could be negatively impacted, including as a result of reduced demand for our products or supplier or customer disruptions. Any weakness in discretionary consumer spending could have a material adverse effect on our revenues, results of operations

Table of Contents

and financial condition. In addition, our ability to access the capital markets may be restricted at a time when it could be necessary or beneficial to do so, which could have an impact on our flexibility to react to changing economic and business conditions.

In 2011 and 2012, concern over sovereign debt in Greece, Spain, Italy and certain other European Union countries caused significant fluctuations of the Euro relative to other currencies, such as the U.S. Dollar. Destabilization of the European economy could lead to a decrease in consumer confidence, which could cause reductions in discretionary spending and demand for our products. Furthermore, sovereign debt issues could also lead to further significant, and potentially longer-term, economic issues such as reduced economic growth and devaluation of the Euro against the U.S. Dollar, any of which could adversely affect our business, financial conditions and operating results.

We depend on key personnel and may not be able to retain those employees or recruit additional qualified personnel. We are highly dependent on the continuing efforts of our senior management team and other key personnel. Our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected if we lose any of these persons and are unable to attract and retain qualified replacements.

We participate in very competitive markets and we may not be able to compete successfully, causing us to lose market share and sales.

The markets in which we participate are very competitive. In the consumer battery market, our primary competitors are Duracell (a brand of Procter & Gamble), Energizer and Panasonic (a brand of Matsushita). In the electric shaving and grooming and electric personal care product markets, our primary competitors are Braun (a brand of Procter & Gamble), Norelco (a brand of Philips), and Vidal Sassoon and Revlon (brands of Helen of Troy). In the pet supplies market, our primary competitors are Mars, Hartz and Central Garden & Pet. In the Home and Garden Business, our principal national competitors are Scotts, Central Garden & Pet and S.C. Johnson. Our principal national competitors within our small appliances product category include Jarden Corporation, DeLonghi America, Euro-Pro Operating LLC, Metro Thebe, Inc., d/b/a HWI Breville, NACCO Industries, Inc. (Hamilton Beach) and SEB S.A. In each of these markets, we also face competition from numerous other companies. In addition, in a number of our product lines, we compete with our retail customers, who use their own private label brands, and with distributors and foreign manufacturers of unbranded products. Significant new competitors or increased competition from existing competitors may adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of our operations.

We compete with our competitors for consumer acceptance and limited shelf space based upon brand name recognition, perceived product quality, price, performance, product features and enhancements, product packaging and design innovation, as well as creative marketing, promotion and distribution strategies, and new product introductions. Our ability to compete in these consumer product markets may be adversely affected by a number of factors, including, but not limited to, the following:

- We compete against many well-established companies that may have substantially greater financial and other resources, including personnel and research and development, and greater overall market share than us.

- In some key product lines, our competitors may have lower production costs and higher profit margins than us, which may enable them to compete more aggressively in offering retail discounts, rebates and other promotional incentives.

- Product improvements or effective advertising campaigns by competitors may weaken consumer demand for our products.

- Consumer purchasing behavior may shift to distribution channels where we do not have a strong presence.

- Consumer preferences may change to lower margin products or products other than those we market.

- We may not be successful in the introduction, marketing and manufacture of any new products or product innovations or be able to develop and introduce, in a timely manner, innovations to our existing products that satisfy customer needs or achieve market acceptance.

- Some competitors may be willing to reduce prices and accept lower profit margins to compete with us. As a result of this competition, we could lose market share and sales, or be forced to reduce our prices to meet competition. If our product offerings are unable to compete successfully, our sales, results of operations and financial condition could be materially and adversely affected.

- We may not be able to realize expected benefits and synergies from future acquisitions of businesses or product lines.

We may acquire partial or full ownership in businesses or may acquire rights to market and distribute particular products or lines of products. The acquisition of a business or the rights to market specific products or use specific product names may involve a financial commitment by us, either in the form of cash or equity consideration. In the case of a new license, such commitments are usually in the form of prepaid royalties and future minimum royalty payments. There is no guarantee that we will acquire businesses or product distribution rights that will contribute positively to our earnings. Anticipated synergies may

Table of Contents

not materialize, cost savings may be less than expected, sales of products may not meet expectations, and acquired businesses may carry unexpected liabilities.

Sales of certain of our products are seasonal and may cause our operating results and working capital requirements to fluctuate.

On a consolidated basis our financial results are approximately equally weighted between quarters, however, sales of certain product categories tend to be seasonal. Sales in the consumer battery, electric shaving and grooming and electric personal care product categories, particularly in North America, tend to be concentrated in the December holiday season (Spectrum's first fiscal quarter). Demand for pet supplies products remains fairly constant throughout the year. Demand for home and garden control products sold through the Home and Garden Business typically peaks during the first six months of the calendar year (Spectrum's second and third fiscal quarters). Small Appliances peaks from July through December primarily due to the increased demand by customers in the late summer for "back-to-school" sales and in the fall for the holiday season. As a result of this seasonality, our inventory and working capital needs fluctuate significantly during the year. In addition, orders from retailers are often made late in the period preceding the applicable peak season, making forecasting of production schedules and inventory purchases difficult. If we are unable to accurately forecast and prepare for customer orders or our working capital needs, or there is a general downturn in business or economic conditions during these periods, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

We are subject to significant international business risks that could hurt our business and cause our results of operations to fluctuate.

Approximately 46% of our net sales for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012 were from customers outside of the U.S. Our pursuit of international growth opportunities may require significant investments for an extended period before returns on these investments, if any, are realized. Our international operations are subject to risks including, among others:

- currency fluctuations, including, without limitation, fluctuations in the foreign exchange rate of the Euro;
- changes in the economic conditions or consumer preferences or demand for our products in these markets;
- the risk that because our brand names may not be locally recognized, we must spend significant amounts of time and money to build brand recognition without certainty that we will be successful;
- labor unrest;
- political and economic instability, as a result of terrorist attacks, natural disasters or otherwise;
- lack of developed infrastructure;
- longer payment cycles and greater difficulty in collecting accounts;
- restrictions on transfers of funds;
- import and export duties and quotas, as well as general transportation costs;
- changes in domestic and international customs and tariffs;
- changes in foreign labor laws and regulations affecting our ability to hire and retain employees;
- inadequate protection of intellectual property in foreign countries;
- unexpected changes in regulatory environments;
- difficulty in complying with foreign law;
- difficulty in obtaining distribution and support; and
- adverse tax consequences.

The foregoing factors may have a material adverse effect on our ability to increase or maintain our supply of products, financial condition or results of operations.

Adverse weather conditions during our peak selling season for our home and garden control products could have a material adverse effect on our Home and Garden Business.

Weather conditions in the U.S. have a significant impact on the timing and volume of sales of certain of our lawn and garden and household insecticide and repellent products. Periods of dry, hot weather can decrease insecticide sales, while periods of cold and wet weather can slow sales of herbicides.

Our products utilize certain key raw materials; any increase in the price of, or change in supply and demand for, these raw materials could have a material and adverse effect on our business, financial condition and profits.

The principal raw materials used to produce our products—including zinc powder, electrolytic manganese dioxide powder, petroleum-based plastic materials, steel, aluminum, copper and corrugated materials (for packaging)—are sourced either on a global or regional basis by us or our suppliers, and the prices of those raw materials are susceptible to price fluctuations due to supply and demand trends, energy costs, transportation costs, government regulations, duties and tariffs, changes in currency exchange rates, price controls, general economic conditions and other unforeseen circumstances. In

Table of Contents

particular, during 2011 and 2012, we experienced extraordinary price increases for raw materials, particularly as a result of strong demand from China. Although we may increase the prices of certain of our goods to our customers, we may not be able to pass all of these cost increases on to our customers. As a result, our margins may be adversely impacted by such cost increases. We cannot provide any assurance that our sources of supply will not be interrupted due to changes in worldwide supply of or demand for raw materials or other events that interrupt material flow, which may have an adverse effect on our profitability and results of operations.

We regularly engage in forward purchase and hedging derivative transactions in an attempt to effectively manage and stabilize some of the raw material costs we expect to incur over the next 12 to 24 months; however, our hedging positions may not be effective, or may not anticipate beneficial trends, in a particular raw material market or may, as a result of changes in our business, no longer be useful for us. In addition, for certain of the principal raw materials we use to produce our products, such as electrolytic manganese dioxide powder, there are no available effective hedging markets. If these efforts are not effective or expose us to above average costs for an extended period of time, and we are unable to pass our raw materials costs on to our customers, our future profitability may be materially and adversely affected. Furthermore, with respect to transportation costs, certain modes of delivery are subject to fuel surcharges which are determined based upon the current cost of diesel fuel in relation to pre-established agreed upon costs. We may be unable to pass these fuel surcharges on to our customers, which may have an adverse effect on our profitability and results of operations.

In addition, we have exclusivity arrangements and minimum purchase requirements with certain of our suppliers for the Home and Garden Business, which increase our dependence upon and exposure to those suppliers. Some of those agreements include caps on the price we pay for our supplies and in certain instances, these caps have allowed us to purchase materials at below market prices. When we attempt to renew those contracts, the other parties to the contracts may not be willing to include or may limit the effect of those caps and could even attempt to impose above market prices in an effort to make up for any below market prices paid by us prior to the renewal of the agreement. Any failure to timely obtain suitable supplies at competitive prices could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We may not be able to fully utilize our U.S. net operating loss carryforwards.

As of September 30, 2012, Spectrum Brands has U.S. federal and state net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$1,305 million and \$1,341 million, respectively. These net operating loss carryforwards expire through years ending in 2032. As of September 30, 2012, our management determined that it continues to be more likely than not that the U.S. net deferred tax asset, excluding certain indefinite-lived assets, will not be realized in the future and as such recorded a full valuation allowance to offset the net U.S. deferred tax asset, including Spectrum Brands' net operating loss carryforwards. In addition, Spectrum Brands has had changes of ownership, as defined under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "IRC"), that continue to subject a significant amount of Spectrum Brands' U.S. net operating losses and other tax attributes to certain limitations.

As a consequence of the Salton-Applica merger, as well as earlier business combinations and issuances of common stock consummated by both companies, use of the tax benefits of Russell Hobbs' U.S. loss carryforwards is also subject to limitations imposed by Section 382 of the IRC. We expect that a significant portion of these carryforwards will not be available to offset future taxable income, if any. In addition, use of Russell Hobbs' net operating loss and credit carryforwards is dependent upon both Russell Hobbs and us achieving profitable results in the future. The Russell Hobbs' U.S. net operating loss carryforwards are subject to a full valuation allowance at September 30, 2012. We estimate that approximately \$301 million of the Spectrum and Russell Hobbs U.S. federal net operating losses and \$385 million of the Spectrum and Russell Hobbs state net operating losses would expire unused even if the Company generates sufficient income to otherwise use all its net operating losses, due to the limitation in Section 382 of the IRC.

If we are unable to fully utilize our net operating losses, other than those restricted under Section 382 of the IRC, as discussed above, to offset taxable income generated in the future, our results of operations could be materially and negatively impacted.

Consolidation of retailers and our dependence on a small number of key customers for a significant percentage of our sales may negatively affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

As a result of consolidation of retailers and consumer trends toward national mass merchandisers, a significant percentage of our sales are attributable to a very limited group of customers. Our largest customer accounted for approximately 23% of our consolidated net sales for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012. As these mass merchandisers and retailers grow larger and become more sophisticated, they may demand lower pricing, special packaging, or impose other requirements on product suppliers. These business demands may relate to inventory practices, logistics, or other aspects of the customer-supplier relationship. Because of the importance of these key customers, demands for price reductions or promotions,

Table of Contents

reductions in their purchases, changes in their financial condition or loss of their accounts could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Although we have long-established relationships with many of our customers, we do not have long-term agreements with them and purchases are generally made through the use of individual purchase orders. Any significant reduction in purchases, failure to obtain anticipated orders or delays or cancellations of orders by any of these major customers, or significant pressure to reduce prices from any of these major customers, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Additionally, a significant deterioration in the financial condition of the retail industry in general could have a material adverse effect on our sales and profitability.

In addition, as a result of the desire of retailers to more closely manage inventory levels, there is a growing trend among them to purchase products on a “just-in-time” basis. Due to a number of factors, including (i) manufacturing lead-times, (ii) seasonal purchasing patterns and (iii) the potential for material price increases, we may be required to shorten our lead-time for production and more closely anticipate our retailers’ and customers’ demands, which could in the future require us to carry additional inventories and increase our working capital and related financing requirements. This may increase the cost of warehousing inventory or result in excess inventory becoming difficult to manage, unusable or obsolete. In addition, if our retailers significantly change their inventory management strategies, we may encounter difficulties in filling customer orders or in liquidating excess inventories, or may find that customers are cancelling orders or returning products, which may have a material adverse effect on our business. Furthermore, we primarily sell branded products and a move by one or more of our large customers to sell significant quantities of private label products, which we do not produce on their behalf and which directly compete with our products, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

As a result of our international operations, we face a number of risks related to exchange rates and foreign currencies. Our international sales and certain of our expenses are transacted in foreign currencies. During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012, approximately 46% of our net sales and 46% of our operating expenses were denominated in foreign currencies. We expect that the amount of our revenues and expenses transacted in foreign currencies will increase as our Latin American, European and Asian operations grow and, as a result, our exposure to risks associated with foreign currencies could increase accordingly. Significant changes in the value of the U.S. dollar in relation to foreign currencies will affect our cost of goods sold and our operating margins and could result in exchange losses or otherwise have a material effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Changes in currency exchange rates may also affect our sales to, purchases from and loans to our subsidiaries as well as sales to, purchases from and bank lines of credit with our customers, suppliers and creditors that are denominated in foreign currencies. We source many products from China and other Asian countries. To the extent the Chinese Renminbi (“RMB”) or other currencies appreciate with respect to the U.S. dollar, we may experience fluctuations in our results of operations. Since 2005, the RMB has no longer been pegged to the U.S. dollar at a constant exchange rate and instead fluctuates versus a basket of currencies. Although the People’s Bank of China regularly intervenes in the foreign exchange market to prevent significant short-term fluctuations in the exchange rate, the RMB may appreciate or depreciate within a flexible peg range against the U.S. dollar in the medium to long term. Moreover, it is possible that in the future Chinese authorities may lift restrictions on fluctuations in the RMB exchange rate and lessen intervention in the foreign exchange market.

While we may enter into hedging transactions in the future, the availability and effectiveness of these transactions may be limited, and we may not be able to successfully hedge our exposure to currency fluctuations. Further, we may not be successful in implementing customer pricing or other actions in an effort to mitigate the impact of currency fluctuations and, thus, our results of operations may be adversely impacted.

A deterioration in trade relations with China could lead to a substantial increase in tariffs imposed on goods of Chinese origin, which potentially could reduce demand for and sales of our products.

We purchase a number of our products and supplies from suppliers located in China. China gained Permanent Normal Trade Relations (“PNTR”) with the U.S. when it acceded to the World Trade Organization (“WTO”), effective January 2002. The U.S. imposes the lowest applicable tariffs on exports from PNTR countries to the U.S. In order to

maintain its WTO membership, China has agreed to several requirements, including the elimination of caps on foreign ownership of Chinese companies, lowering tariffs and publicizing its laws. China may not meet these requirements and, as a result, it may not remain a member of the WTO, and its PNTR trading status may not be maintained. If China's WTO membership is withdrawn or if PNTR status for goods produced in China were removed, there could be a substantial increase in tariffs imposed on goods of Chinese origin entering the U.S. which could have a material adverse effect on our sales and gross margin. Furthermore, on October 11, 2011, the U.S. Senate approved a bill to impose sanctions against China for its currency valuation, although the

Table of Contents

future status of this bill is uncertain. If this bill is enacted into law, the U.S. government may impose duties on products from China and other countries found to be subsidizing their exports by undervaluing their currencies, which may increase the costs of goods produced in China, or prompt China to retaliate with other tariffs or other actions. Any such series of events could have a material negative adverse effect on our sales and gross margin.

Our international operations may expose us to risks related to compliance with the laws and regulations of foreign countries.

We are subject to three EU Directives that may have a material impact on our business: Restriction of the Use of Hazardous Substances in Electrical and Electronic Equipment, Waste of Electrical and Electronic Equipment and the Directive on Batteries and Accumulators and Waste Batteries, discussed below. Restriction of the Use of Hazardous Substances in Electrical and Electronic Equipment requires us to eliminate specified hazardous materials from products we sell in EU member states. Waste of Electrical and Electronic Equipment requires us to collect and treat, dispose of or recycle certain products we manufacture or import into the EU at our own expense. The EU Directive on Batteries and Accumulators and Waste Batteries bans heavy metals in batteries by establishing maximum quantities of heavy metals in batteries and mandates waste management of these batteries, including collection, recycling and disposal systems, with the costs imposed upon producers and importers such as us. The costs associated with maintaining compliance or failing to comply with the EU Directives may harm our business. For example:

• Although contracts with our suppliers address related compliance issues, we may be unable to procure appropriate Restriction of the Use of Hazardous Substances in Electrical and Electronic Equipment compliant material in sufficient quantity and quality and/or be able to incorporate it into our product procurement processes without compromising quality and/or harming our cost structure.

• We may face excess and obsolete inventory risk related to non-compliant inventory that we may hold for which there is reduced demand, and we may need to write down the carrying value of such inventories.

• We may be unable to sell certain existing inventories of our batteries in Europe.

Many of the developing countries in which we operate do not have significant governmental regulation relating to environmental safety, occupational safety, employment practices or other business matters routinely regulated in the U.S. or may not rigorously enforce such regulation. As these countries and their economies develop, it is possible that new regulations or increased enforcement of existing regulations may increase the expense of doing business in these countries. In addition, social legislation in many countries in which we operate may result in significantly higher expenses associated with labor costs, terminating employees or distributors and closing manufacturing facilities. Increases in our costs as a result of increased regulation, legislation or enforcement could materially and adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We may not be able to adequately establish and protect our intellectual property rights, and the infringement or loss of our intellectual property rights could harm our business.

To establish and protect our intellectual property rights, we rely upon a combination of national, foreign and multi-national patent, trademark and trade secret laws, together with licenses, confidentiality agreements and other contractual arrangements. The measures that we take to protect our intellectual property rights may prove inadequate to prevent third parties from infringing or misappropriating our intellectual property. We may need to resort to litigation to enforce or defend our intellectual property rights. If a competitor or collaborator files a patent application claiming technology also claimed by us, or a trademark application claiming a trademark, service mark or trade dress also used by us, in order to protect our rights, we may have to participate in expensive and time consuming opposition or interference proceedings before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office or a similar foreign agency. Similarly, our intellectual property rights may be challenged by third parties or invalidated through administrative process or litigation. The costs associated with protecting intellectual property rights, including litigation costs, may be material. Furthermore, even if our intellectual property rights are not directly challenged, disputes among third parties could lead to the weakening or invalidation of our intellectual property rights, or our competitors may independently develop technologies that are substantially equivalent or superior to our technology. Obtaining, protecting and defending intellectual property rights can be time consuming and expensive, and may require us to incur substantial costs, including the diversion of the time and resources of management and technical personnel.

Moreover, the laws of certain foreign countries in which we operate or may operate in the future do not protect, and the governments of certain foreign countries do not enforce, intellectual property rights to the same extent as do the laws and government of the U.S., which may negate our competitive or technological advantages in such markets. Also, some of the technology underlying our products is the subject of nonexclusive licenses from third parties. As a result, this technology could be made available to our competitors at any time. If we are unable to establish and then adequately protect our intellectual property rights, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

Table of Contents

We license various trademarks, trade names and patents from third parties for certain of our products. These licenses generally place marketing obligations on us and require us to pay fees and royalties based on net sales or profits. Typically, these licenses may be terminated if we fail to satisfy certain minimum sales obligations or if we breach the terms of the license. The termination of these licensing arrangements could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In our Global Batteries & Appliances segment, we license the use of the Black & Decker brand for marketing in certain small household appliances in North America, South America (excluding Brazil) and the Caribbean. In July 2011, The Black & Decker Corporation ("BDC") extended the license agreement through December 2015. The failure to renew the license agreement with BDC or to enter into a new agreement on acceptable terms could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, liquidity and results of operations.

Claims by third parties that we are infringing their intellectual property and other litigation could adversely affect our business.

From time to time in the past we have been subject to claims that we are infringing the intellectual property of others. We currently are the subject of such claims and it is possible that third parties will assert infringement claims against us in the future. An adverse finding against us in these or similar trademark or other intellectual property litigations may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Any such claims, with or without merit, could be time consuming and expensive, and may require us to incur substantial costs, including the diversion of the resources of management and technical personnel, cause product delays or require us to enter into licensing or other agreements in order to secure continued access to necessary or desirable intellectual property. If we are deemed to be infringing a third party's intellectual property and are unable to continue using that intellectual property as we had been, our business and results of operations could be harmed if we are unable to successfully develop non-infringing alternative intellectual property on a timely basis or license non-infringing alternatives or substitutes, if any exist, on commercially reasonable terms. In addition, an unfavorable ruling in intellectual property litigation could subject us to significant liability, as well as require us to cease developing, manufacturing or selling the affected products or using the affected processes or trademarks. Any significant restriction on our proprietary or licensed intellectual property that impedes our ability to develop and commercialize our products could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Our dependence on a few suppliers and one of our U.S. facilities for certain of our products makes us vulnerable to a disruption in the supply of our products.

Although we have long-standing relationships with many of our suppliers, we generally do not have long-term contracts with them. An adverse change in any of the following could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations:

- our ability to identify and develop relationships with qualified suppliers;
- the terms and conditions upon which we purchase products from our suppliers, including applicable exchange rates, transport costs and other costs, our suppliers' willingness to extend credit to us to finance our inventory purchases and other factors beyond our control;
- the financial condition of our suppliers;
- political instability in the countries in which our suppliers are located;
- our ability to import outsourced products;
- our suppliers' noncompliance with applicable laws, trade restrictions and tariffs; or
- our suppliers' ability to manufacture and deliver outsourced products according to our standards of quality on a timely and efficient basis.

If our relationship with one of our key suppliers is adversely affected, we may not be able to quickly or effectively replace such supplier and may not be able to retrieve tooling, molds or other specialized production equipment or processes used by such supplier in the manufacture of our products.

In addition, we manufacture the majority of our foil cutting systems for our shaving product lines, using specially designed machines and proprietary cutting technology, at our Portage, Wisconsin facility. Damage to this facility, or

prolonged interruption in the operations of this facility for repairs, as a result of labor difficulties or for other reasons, could have a material adverse effect on our ability to manufacture and sell our foil shaving products which could in turn harm our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We face risks related to our sales of products obtained from third-party suppliers.

We sell a significant number of products that are manufactured by third party suppliers over which we have no direct control. While we have implemented processes and procedures to try to ensure that the suppliers we use are complying with all

Table of Contents

applicable regulations, there can be no assurances that such suppliers in all instances will comply with such processes and procedures or otherwise with applicable regulations. Noncompliance could result in our marketing and distribution of contaminated, defective or dangerous products which could subject us to liabilities and could result in the imposition by governmental authorities of procedures or penalties that could restrict or eliminate our ability to purchase products from non-compliant suppliers. Any or all of these effects could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Class action and derivative action lawsuits and other investigations, regardless of their merits, could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We and certain of our officers and directors have been named in the past, and, may be named in the future, as defendants of class action and derivative action lawsuits. In the past, we have also received requests for information from government authorities. Regardless of their subject matter or merits, class action lawsuits and other government investigations may result in significant cost to us, which may not be covered by insurance, may divert the attention of management or may otherwise have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. We may be exposed to significant product liability claims which our insurance may not cover and which could harm our reputation.

In the ordinary course of our business, we may be named as a defendant in lawsuits involving product liability claims. In any such proceeding, plaintiffs may seek to recover large and sometimes unspecified amounts of damages and the matters may remain unresolved for several years. Any such matters could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition if we are unable to successfully defend against or settle these matters or if our insurance coverage is insufficient to satisfy any judgments against us or settlements relating to these matters. Although we have product liability insurance coverage and an excess umbrella policy, our insurance policies may not provide coverage for certain, or any, claims against us or may not be sufficient to cover all possible liabilities. Additionally, we do not maintain product recall insurance. We may not be able to maintain such insurance on acceptable terms, if at all, in the future. Moreover, any adverse publicity arising from claims made against us, even if the claims were not successful, could adversely affect the reputation and sales of our products. In particular, product recalls or product liability claims challenging the safety of our products may result in a decline in sales for a particular product. This could be true even if the claims themselves are ultimately settled for immaterial amounts. This type of adverse publicity could occur and product liability claims could be made in the future.

We may incur material capital and other costs due to environmental liabilities.

We are subject to a broad range of federal, state, local, foreign and multi-national laws and regulations relating to the environment. These include laws and regulations that govern:

- discharges to the air, water and land;
- the handling and disposal of solid and hazardous substances and wastes; and
- remediation of contamination associated with release of hazardous substances at our facilities and at off-site disposal locations.

Risk of environmental liability is inherent in our business. As a result, material environmental costs may arise in the future. In particular, we may incur capital and other costs to comply with increasingly stringent environmental laws and enforcement policies, such as the EU Directives: Restriction of the Use of Hazardous Substances in Electrical and Electronic Equipment, Waste of Electrical and Electronic Equipment and the Directive on Batteries and Accumulators and Waste Batteries, discussed above. Moreover, there are proposed international accords and treaties, as well as federal, state and local laws and regulations, that would attempt to control or limit the causes of climate change, including the effect of greenhouse gas emissions on the environment. In the event that the U.S. government or foreign governments enact new climate change laws or regulations or make changes to existing laws or regulations, compliance with applicable laws or regulations may result in increased manufacturing costs for our products, such as by requiring investment in new pollution control equipment or changing the ways in which certain of our products are made. We may incur some of these costs directly and others may be passed on to us from our third-party suppliers. Although we believe that we are substantially in compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations at

our facilities, we may not always be in compliance with such laws and regulations or any new laws and regulations in the future, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. From time to time, we have been required to address the effect of historic activities on the environmental condition of our properties or former properties. We have not conducted invasive testing at all of our facilities to identify all potential environmental liability risks. Given the age of our facilities and the nature of our operations, material liabilities may arise in the future in connection with our current or former facilities. If previously unknown contamination of property underlying or in the

Table of Contents

vicinity of our manufacturing facilities is discovered, we could be required to incur material unforeseen expenses. If this occurs, it may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. We are currently engaged in investigative or remedial projects at a few of our facilities and any liabilities arising from such investigative or remedial projects at such facilities may have a material effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We are also subject to proceedings related to our disposal of industrial and hazardous material at off-site disposal locations or similar disposals made by other parties for which we are responsible as a result of our relationship with such other parties. These proceedings are under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA") or similar state or foreign jurisdiction laws that hold persons who "arranged for" the disposal or treatment of such substances strictly liable for costs incurred in responding to the release or threatened release of hazardous substances from such sites, regardless of fault or the lawfulness of the original disposal. Liability under CERCLA is typically joint and several, meaning that a liable party may be responsible for all of the costs incurred in investigating and remediating contamination at a site. We occasionally are identified by federal or state governmental agencies as being a potentially responsible party for response actions contemplated at an off-site facility. At the existing sites where we have been notified of our status as a potentially responsible party, it is either premature to determine if our potential liability, if any, will be material or we do not believe that our liability, if any, will be material. We may be named as a potentially responsible party under CERCLA or similar state or foreign jurisdiction laws in the future for other sites not currently known to us, and the costs and liabilities associated with these sites may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Compliance with various public health, consumer protection and other regulations applicable to our products and facilities could increase our cost of doing business and expose us to additional requirements with which we may be unable to comply.

Certain of our products sold through, and facilities operated under, each of our business segments are regulated by the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), the Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") or other federal consumer protection and product safety agencies and are subject to the regulations such agencies enforce, as well as by similar state, foreign and multinational agencies and regulations. For example, in the U.S., all products containing pesticides must be registered with the EPA and, in many cases, similar state and foreign agencies before they can be manufactured or sold. Our inability to obtain, or the cancellation of, any registration could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. The severity of the effect would depend on which products were involved, whether another product could be substituted and whether our competitors were similarly affected. We attempt to anticipate regulatory developments and maintain registrations of, and access to, substitute chemicals and other ingredients, but we may not always be able to avoid or minimize these risks.

As a distributor of consumer products in the U.S., certain of our products are also subject to the Consumer Product Safety Act, which empowers the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission (the "Consumer Commission") to exclude from the market products that are found to be unsafe or hazardous. Under certain circumstances, the Consumer Commission could require us to repair, replace or refund the purchase price of one or more of our products, or we may voluntarily do so. For example, Russell Hobbs, in cooperation with the Consumer Commission, voluntarily recalled approximately 9,800 units of a thermal coffeemaker sold under the Black & Decker brand in August 2009 and approximately 584,000 coffeemakers in June 2009. Any additional repurchases or recalls of our products could be costly to us and could damage the reputation or the value of our brands. If we are required to remove, or we voluntarily remove our products from the market, our reputation or brands could be tarnished and we may have large quantities of finished products that could not be sold. Furthermore, failure to timely notify the Consumer Commission of a potential safety hazard can result in significant fines being assessed against us. Additionally, laws regulating certain consumer products exist in some states, as well as in other countries in which we sell our products, and more restrictive laws and regulations may be adopted in the future.

The Food Quality Protection Act ("FQPA") established a standard for food-use pesticides, which is that a reasonable certainty of no harm will result from the cumulative effect of pesticide exposures. Under the FQPA, the EPA is

evaluating the cumulative effects from dietary and non-dietary exposures to pesticides. The pesticides in certain of our products that are sold through the Home and Garden Business continue to be evaluated by the EPA as part of this program. It is possible that the EPA or a third party active ingredient registrant may decide that a pesticide we use in our products will be limited or made unavailable to us. We cannot predict the outcome or the severity of the effect of the EPA's continuing evaluations of active ingredients used in our products.

In addition, the use of certain pesticide products that are sold through our Home and Garden Business may, among other things, be regulated by various local, state, federal and foreign environmental and public health agencies. These regulations may require that only certified or professional users apply the product, that users post notices on properties where products have been or will be applied or that certain ingredients may not be used. Compliance with such public health regulations could increase our cost of doing business and expose us to additional requirements with which we may be unable to comply.

Table of Contents

Any failure to comply with these laws or regulations, or the terms of applicable environmental permits, could result in us incurring substantial costs, including fines, penalties and other civil and criminal sanctions or the prohibition of sales of our pest control products. Environmental law requirements, and the enforcement thereof, change frequently, have tended to become more stringent over time and could require us to incur significant expenses.

Most federal, state and local authorities require certification by Underwriters Laboratory, Inc. (“UL”), an independent, not-for-profit corporation engaged in the testing of products for compliance with certain public safety standards, or other safety regulation certification prior to marketing electrical appliances. Foreign jurisdictions also have regulatory authorities overseeing the safety of consumer products. Our products may not meet the specifications required by these authorities. A determination that any of our products are not in compliance with these rules and regulations could result in the imposition of fines or an award of damages to private litigants.

Public perceptions that some of the products we produce and market are not safe could adversely affect us.

On occasion, customers and some current or former employees have alleged that some products failed to perform up to expectations or have caused damage or injury to individuals or property. Public perception that any of our products are not safe, whether justified or not, could impair our reputation, damage our brand names and have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

If we are unable to negotiate satisfactory terms to continue existing or enter into additional collective bargaining agreements, we may experience an increased risk of labor disruptions and our results of operations and financial condition may suffer.

Approximately 33% of our total labor force is covered by collective bargaining agreements. There are three collective bargaining agreements that will expire during our fiscal year ending September 30, 2013, which cover approximately 64% of the labor force under collective bargaining agreements, or approximately 21% of our total labor force. While we currently expect to negotiate continuations to the terms of these agreements, there can be no assurances that we will be able to obtain terms that are satisfactory to us or otherwise to reach agreement at all with the applicable parties. In addition, in the course of our business, we may also become subject to additional collective bargaining agreements. These agreements may be on terms that are less favorable than those under our current collective bargaining agreements. Increased exposure to collective bargaining agreements, whether on terms more or less favorable than our existing collective bargaining agreements, could adversely affect the operation of our business, including through increased labor expenses. While we intend to comply with all collective bargaining agreements to which we are subject, there can be no assurances that we will be able to do so and any noncompliance could subject us to disruptions in our operations and materially and adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition. Significant changes in actual investment return on pension assets, discount rates and other factors could affect our results of operations, equity and pension contributions in future periods.

Our results of operations may be positively or negatively affected by the amount of income or expense we record for our defined benefit pension plans. U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (“GAAP”) requires that we calculate income or expense for the plans using actuarial valuations. These valuations reflect assumptions about financial market and other economic conditions, which may change based on changes in key economic indicators. The most significant year-end assumptions we used to estimate pension income or expense are the discount rate and the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets. In addition, we are required to make an annual measurement of plan assets and liabilities, which may result in a significant change to equity. Although pension expense and pension funding contributions are not directly related, key economic factors that affect pension expense would also likely affect the amount of cash we would contribute to pension plans as required under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended.

If our goodwill, indefinite-lived intangible assets or other long-term assets become impaired, we will be required to record additional impairment charges, which may be significant.

A significant portion of our long-term assets consist of goodwill, other indefinite-lived intangible assets and finite-lived intangible assets recorded as a result of past acquisitions as well as through fresh start reporting. We do not amortize goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets, but rather review them for impairment on a periodic basis

or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying value may not be recoverable. We consider whether circumstances or conditions exist which suggest that the carrying value of our goodwill and other long-lived intangible assets might be impaired. If such circumstances or conditions exist, further steps are required in order to determine whether the carrying value of each of the individual assets exceeds its fair value. If analysis indicates that an individual asset's carrying value does exceed its fair value, the next step is to record a loss equal to the excess of the individual asset's carrying value over its fair value.

Table of Contents

The steps required by GAAP entail significant amounts of judgment and subjectivity. Events and changes in circumstances that may indicate that there may be an impairment and which may indicate that interim impairment testing is necessary include, but are not limited to: strategic decisions to exit a business or dispose of an asset made in response to changes in economic, political and competitive conditions; the impact of the economic environment on the customer base and on broad market conditions that drive valuation considerations by market participants; our internal expectations with regard to future revenue growth and the assumptions we make when performing impairment reviews; a significant decrease in the market price of our assets; a significant adverse change in the extent or manner in which our assets are used; a significant adverse change in legal factors or the business climate that could affect our assets; an accumulation of costs significantly in excess of the amount originally expected for the acquisition of an asset; and significant changes in the cash flows associated with an asset. As a result of such circumstances, we may be required to record a significant charge to earnings in our financial statements during the period in which any impairment of our goodwill, indefinite-lived intangible assets or other long-term assets is determined. Any such impairment charges could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and operating results.

If we are unable to protect the confidentiality of our proprietary information and know-how, the value of our technology, products and services could be harmed significantly.

We rely on trade secrets, know-how and other proprietary information in operating our business. If this information is not adequately protected, then it may be disclosed or used in an unauthorized manner. To the extent that consultants, key employees or other third parties apply technological information independently developed by them or by others to our proposed products, disputes may arise as to the proprietary rights to such information, which may not be resolved in our favor. The risk that other parties may breach confidentiality agreements or that our trade secrets become known or independently discovered by competitors, could harm us by enabling our competitors, who may have greater experience and financial resources, to copy or use our trade secrets and other proprietary information in the advancement of their products, methods or technologies. The disclosure of our trade secrets would impair our competitive position, thereby weakening demand for our products or services and harming our ability to maintain or increase our customer base.

Disruption or failures of our information technology systems could have a material adverse effect on our business. Our information technology systems are susceptible to security breaches, operational data loss, general disruptions in functionality, and may not be compatible with new technology. We depend on our information technology systems for the effectiveness of our operations and to interface with our customers, as well as to maintain financial records and accuracy. Disruption or failures of our information technology systems could impair our ability to effectively and timely provide our services and products and maintain our financial records, which could damage our reputation and have a material adverse effect on our business.

The consummation of the Hardware Acquisition is subject to certain conditions including, among others, required regulatory approvals, obtaining certain third party consents and other customary closing conditions, some of which are out of our control.

The closing of the Hardware Acquisition is subject to certain conditions including, among others, obtaining required regulatory approvals, obtaining certain third party consents and other customary closing conditions, some of which are out of our control. The Second Closing will take place after the completion of the first closing and is subject to certain additional conditions, including among others, obtaining required regulatory approvals, the consummation of the acquisition by Stanley Black & Decker of all of the issued and outstanding shares of TLM Taiwan (with which we have no involvement) and other customary closing conditions, some of which are out of our control. There is no guarantee that these conditions will be satisfied or that the Hardware Acquisition will be consummated.

Failure to complete the Hardware Acquisition could, under certain circumstances, result in us being required to pay a termination fee to Stanley Black & Decker.

Stanley Black & Decker has certain termination rights under the Acquisition Agreement that, if exercised by Stanley Black & Decker (subject to the satisfaction of certain specified requirements in the Acquisition Agreement), may result in the payment by us to Stanley Black & Decker of a termination fee. In the event that the debt financing

required to consummate the Hardware Acquisition is not funded at the time the First Closing would otherwise occur pursuant to the Acquisition Agreement, we may be required (subject to the satisfaction of certain specified requirements in the Acquisition Agreement) to pay to Stanley Black & Decker a termination fee of \$56 million. In the event that the Hardware Acquisition is not consummated due to certain material breaches of the Acquisition Agreement by us, we may be required (subject to the satisfaction of certain specified requirements in the Acquisition Agreement) to pay to Stanley Black & Decker a termination fee of \$78 million.

Risks Related to SB Holdings' Common Stock

Table of Contents

The Harbinger Parties and HRG exercise significant influence over us and their interests in our business may be different from the interests of our stockholders.

The Harbinger Parties and Harbinger Group, Inc. ("HRG"), both separately and together, have the ability to influence the outcome of any corporate action by us, that requires stockholder approval, including, but not limited to, the election of directors, approval of merger transactions and the sale of all or substantially all of our assets. In addition, we are a party to a stockholder agreement with HRG and the Harbinger Parties.

This influence and actual control may have the effect of discouraging offers to acquire SB Holdings because any such consummation would likely require the consent of HRG and perhaps HRG and the Harbinger Parties. HRG and the Harbinger Parties may also delay or prevent a change in control of SB Holdings. See "Risks Related to our Business-The sale or other disposition by Harbinger Group Inc., the holder of a majority of the outstanding shares of our common stock, to non-affiliates of a sufficient amount of the common stock of SB Holdings would constitute a change of control under the agreements governing Spectrum Brands' debt."

In addition, because, as of the date HRG and the Harbinger Parties own more than 50% of the voting power of SB Holdings, SB Holdings is considered a controlled company under the NYSE listing standards. As such, the NYSE corporate governance rules requiring that a majority of SB Holdings' board of directors and SB Holdings' entire compensation committee be independent do not apply. As a result, the ability of SB Holdings' independent directors to influence its business policies and affairs may be reduced.

If HRG and/or the Harbinger Parties sell substantial amounts of SB Holdings' common stock in the public market, or investors perceive that these sales could occur, the market price of SB Holdings' common stock could be adversely affected. SB Holdings has entered into a registration rights agreement (the "Registration Rights Agreement") with HRG, the Harbinger Parties and certain other stockholders. If requested properly under the terms of the Registration Rights Agreement, these stockholders have the right to require SB Holdings to register all or some of such shares for sale under the Securities Act in certain circumstances, and also have the right to include those shares in a registration initiated by SB Holdings. If SB Holdings is required to include the shares of its common stock held by these stockholders pursuant to these registration rights in a registration initiated by SB Holdings, sales made by such stockholders may adversely affect the price of SB Holdings' common stock and SB Holdings' ability to raise needed capital. In addition, if these stockholders exercise their demand registration rights and cause a large number of shares to be registered and sold in the public market or demand that SB Holdings register their shares on a shelf registration statement, such sales or shelf registration may have an adverse effect on the market price of SB Holdings' common stock.

The interests of HRG and the Harbinger Parties, which have investments in other companies, may from time to time diverge from the interests of other SB Holdings stockholders and from each other, particularly with regard to new investment opportunities. Neither HRG nor the Harbinger Parties are restricted from investing in other businesses involving or related to the marketing or distribution of household products, pet and pest products and personal care products. Both HRG and the Harbinger Parties may also engage in other businesses that compete or may in the future compete with SB Holdings.

Even though SB Holdings' common stock is currently traded on the NYSE, it has less liquidity than many other stocks quoted on a national securities exchange.

The trading volume in SB Holdings' common stock on the NYSE has been relatively low when compared with larger companies listed on the NYSE or other stock exchanges. Because of this, it may be more difficult for stockholders to sell a substantial number of shares for the same price at which stockholders could sell a smaller number of shares. We cannot predict the effect, if any, that future sales of SB Holdings' common stock in the market, or the availability of shares of its common stock for sale in the market, will have on the market price of SB Holdings' common stock. We can give no assurance that sales of substantial amounts of SB Holdings' common stock in the market, or the potential for large amounts of sales in the market, would not cause the price of SB Holdings' common stock to decline or impair SB Holdings' future ability to raise capital through sales of its common stock. Furthermore, because of the limited market and generally low volume of trading in SB Holdings' common stock that could occur, the share price of its common stock could be more likely to be affected by broad market fluctuations, general market conditions,

fluctuations in our operating results, changes in the market's perception of our business, and announcements made by SB Holdings, its competitors or parties with whom SB Holdings has business relationships. The lack of liquidity in SB Holdings' common stock may also make it difficult for us to issue additional securities for financing or other purposes, or to otherwise arrange for any financing we may need in the future. In addition, we may experience other adverse effects, including, without limitation, the loss of confidence in us by current and prospective suppliers, customers, employees and others with whom we have or may seek to initiate business relationships.

Table of Contents

The market price of SB Holdings' common stock is likely to be highly volatile and could fluctuate widely in price in response to various factors, many of which are beyond our control.

Factors that may influence the price of the common stock include, without limitation, the following:

- loss of any of our key customers or suppliers;
- additions or departures of key personnel;
- sales of the common stock;
- our ability to execute our business plan;
- operating results that fall below expectations;
- additional issuances of the common stock;
- low volume of sales due to concentrated ownership of the common stock;
- intellectual property disputes;
- industry developments;
- economic and other external factors;
- period-to-period fluctuations in our financial results; and
- market concerns with respect to the potential indirect impact of matters not directly involving SB Holdings but impacting HRG or the Harbinger Parties.

In addition, the securities markets have from time to time experienced significant price and volume fluctuations that are unrelated to the operating performance of particular companies. These market fluctuations may also materially and adversely affect the market price of SB Holdings' common stock. You should also be aware that price volatility might be worse if the trading volume of shares of the common stock is low.

Additional issuances of SB Holdings' common stock may result in dilution to its existing stockholders.

Prior to October 21, 2010, we had two active equity incentive plans under which shares of the Company could be issued, the 2009 Spectrum Brands Inc. Incentive Plan (the "2009 Plan") and the Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. 2007 Omnibus Equity Award Plan (the "RH Plan"). On October 21, 2010, the Company's Board of Directors adopted the Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. 2011 Omnibus Equity Award Plan (the "2011 Plan"), which was approved at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders on March 1, 2011. As a result of shareholder approval of the 2011 Plan, no further awards will be granted under the 2009 Plan and the 2007 RH Plan. Up to 4,625,676 shares of common stock of the Company, net of cancellations, may be issued under the 2011 Plan. As of November 16, 2012, we have issued 667,933 restricted shares and 2,721,937 restricted stock units under the 2009 Plan, the RH Plan and the 2011 Plan and are authorized to issue up to a total of 2,174,740 shares of our common stock, or options or restricted stock units exercisable for shares of common stock.

In addition, our board of directors has the authority to issue additional shares of capital stock to provide additional financing or for other purposes in the future. The issuance of any such shares or exercise of any such options may result in a reduction of the book value or market price of the outstanding shares of common stock. If we do issue any such additional shares or any such options are exercised, such issuance or exercise also will cause a reduction in the proportionate ownership and voting power of all other stockholders. As a result of such dilution, the proportionate ownership interest and voting power of a holder of shares of common stock could be decreased. Further, any such issuance or exercise could result in a change of control. Under our certificate of incorporation, holders of 5% or more of the outstanding common stock or capital stock into which any shares of common stock may be converted have certain rights to purchase their pro rata share of certain future issuances of securities.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2.

PROPERTIES

The following table lists our principal owned or leased manufacturing, packaging, and distribution facilities at September 30, 2012:

Facility	Function
Global Batteries & Appliances Fennimore, Wisconsin(1)	Alkaline Battery Manufacturing
Portage, Wisconsin(1)	Zinc Air Button Cell and Lithium Coin Cell Battery, Foil Shaver Component Manufacturing
Deforest, Wisconsin(2)	Distribution>Returns Center
Dischingen, Germany(1)	Alkaline Battery Manufacturing
Washington, UK(2)	Zinc Air Button Cell Battery Manufacturing & Distribution
Guatemala City, Guatemala(1)	Zinc Carbon Battery Manufacturing
Jaboatao, Brazil(1)	Zinc Carbon Battery Manufacturing
Dixon, Illinois(2)	Battery & Lighting Device Packaging & Distribution
Ellwangen-Neunheim, Germany(2)	Battery & Lighting Device, Electric Shaver & Personal Care Product Distribution
Redlands, California(2)	Warehouse, Electric Shaver & Personal Care Product Distribution
Manchester, England(1)	Warehouse and Sales and administrative office
Wolverhampton, England(1)	Warehouse
Wolverhampton, England(2)	Warehouse
Global Pet Supplies	
Noblesville, Indiana(1)	Pet Supply Manufacturing & Distribution
Bridgeton, Missouri(2)	Pet Supply Manufacturing
Blacksburg, Virginia(1)	Pet Supply Manufacturing
Melle, Germany(1)	Pet Supply Manufacturing
Melle, Germany(2)	Pet Supply Distribution
Edwardsville, Illinois(2)	Pet Supply Distribution
Grand Rapids, Michigan(2)	Pet Supply Manufacturing & Distribution
Roanoke, Virginia(2)	Pet Supply Distribution
Home and Garden Business	
Vinita Park, Missouri(2)	Household & Controls and Contract Manufacturing
Earth City, Missouri(2)	Household & Controls Manufacturing

(1)Facility is owned.

(2)Facility is leased.

We also own, operate or contract with third parties to operate distribution centers, sales offices and administrative offices throughout the world in support of our business. We lease our administrative headquarters and primary research and development facility located in Madison, Wisconsin.

We believe that our existing facilities are suitable and adequate for our present purposes and that the productive capacity in such facilities is substantially being utilized or we have plans to utilize it.

ITEM 3.

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Litigation

We are a defendant in various other matters of litigation generally arising out of the ordinary course of business.

26

Table of Contents

We do not believe that any other matters or proceedings presently pending will have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition, liquidity or cash flows.

Environmental

We have provided for the estimated costs associated with environmental remediation activities at some of our current and former manufacturing sites. We believe that any additional liability that may result from the resolution of these matters in excess of the amounts provided of approximately \$5.4 million, will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

We are subject to various federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations. We believe we are in substantial compliance with all such environmental laws that are applicable to our operations. See also the discussion captioned “Governmental Regulations and Environmental Matters” under Item 1 above.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

27

Table of Contents

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

SB Holdings' common stock (the "SBH Common Stock") is traded on the NYSE under the symbol "SPB."

As of November 16, 2012, there were approximately 4 holders of record based upon data provided by the transfer agent for the SBH Common Stock. We believe the number of beneficial holders of our New Common Stock is significantly in excess of this amount.

The following table sets forth the reported high and low bid prices per share of SBH Common Stock as reported on the NYSE Composite Transaction Tape, for the fiscal period indicated:

	High	Low
Fiscal 2012		
Quarter ended September 30, 2012	\$42.12	\$32.85
Quarter ended July 1, 2012	\$35.73	\$32.11
Quarter ended April 1, 2012	\$34.96	\$27.91
Quarter ended January 1, 2012	\$28.02	\$22.17
Fiscal 2011		
Quarter ended September 30, 2011	\$32.25	\$20.11
Quarter ended July 3, 2011	\$36.38	\$27.51
Quarter ended April 3, 2011	\$36.61	\$25.51
Quarter ended January 2, 2011	\$32.79	\$25.86

On August 7, 2012, SB Holdings declared a one-time special dividend of \$1.00 per share to shareholders of record as of August 27, 2012. A total dividend payment of \$51,450 was made on September 18, 2012. Furthermore, the Board of Directors approved plans to initiate a regular quarterly common stock dividend starting in Fiscal 2013 of \$0.25 per share.

Information regarding our equity compensation plans is set forth in Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters-Equity Compensation Plan Information.

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

We did not repurchase any of our own securities during the last quarter of the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012. On October 18, 2011 the Board of Directors approved a \$30 million common stock repurchase program, which was completed in the second quarter of Fiscal 2012.

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that may Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
Quarter Ended September 30, 2012				
July 2, 2012 - July 29, 2012	—	—	—	—
July 30, 2012 - August 26, 2012	—	—	—	—
August 27, 2012 - September 30, 2012	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

28

Table of Contents

The following selected historical financial data is derived from our audited consolidated financial statements. Only our Consolidated Statements of Financial Position as of September 30, 2012 and 2011 and our Consolidated Statements of Operations, Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity (Deficit) and Comprehensive Income (Loss) and Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended September 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010 are included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The information presented below as of and for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010 also includes that of Russell Hobbs since the Merger on June 16, 2010.

The following selected financial data, which may not be indicative of future performance, should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto and the information contained in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included elsewhere herein. On February 3, 2009, we and our wholly owned U.S. subsidiaries (the "Debtors") filed petitions under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Western District of Texas. On August 28, 2009 (the "Effective Date"), the Debtors emerged from Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. Effective as of the Effective Date and pursuant to the Debtors' confirmed plan of reorganization, we converted from a Wisconsin corporation to a Delaware corporation.

The term "Predecessor Company" refers to Spectrum Brands, our Wisconsin predecessor, and its subsidiaries prior to the Effective Date. The term "Successor Company" refers to Spectrum Brands, the Delaware successor, and its subsidiaries from the Effective Date forward.

Financial information in our financial statements prepared after August 29, 2009 will not be comparable to financial information from prior periods.

Table of Contents

	Successor Company			Period from August 31, 2009 through September 30, 2009	Predecessor Company	
	2012	2011	2010		Period from October 1, 2008 through August 30, 2009	2008
Statement of Operations Data:						
Net sales	\$3,252.4	\$3,186.9	\$2,567.0	\$219.9	\$2,010.6	\$2,426.6
Gross profit	1,115.7	1,128.9	921.4	64.4	751.8	920.1
Operating income (loss)(1)	301.7	227.9	168.8	0.1	156.8	(684.6)
Interest expense (12)	191.9	208.3	277.0	17.0	172.9	229.0
Other expense (income), net	0.9	2.5	12.3	(0.8)	3.3	1.2
Reorganization items expense (income), net	—	—	3.6	4.0	(1,142.8)	—
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	109.0	17.1	(124.2)	(20.0)	1,123.4	(914.8)
Income tax expense (benefit)	60.4	92.3	63.2	51.2	22.6	(9.5)
(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax(2)	—	—	(2.7)	0.4	(86.8)	(26.2)
Net income (loss)(3)(4)(5)(6)(7)	48.6	(75.2)	(190.1)	(70.8)	1,013.9	(931.5)
Restructuring and related charges—cost of goods sold(8)	\$9.8	\$7.8	\$7.2	\$0.2	\$13.2	\$16.5
Restructuring and related charges—operating expenses(8)	9.8	20.8	17.0	1.6	30.9	22.8
Per Share Data:						
Net income (loss) per common share						
Basic	\$0.94	\$(1.47)	\$(5.28)	\$(2.36)	\$19.76	\$(18.29)
Diluted	0.91	(1.47)	(5.28)	(2.36)	19.76	(18.29)
Average shares outstanding						
Basic	51.6	51.1	36.0	30.0	51.3	50.9
Diluted (9)	53.3	51.1	36.0	30.0	51.3	50.9
Cash Flow and Related Data:						
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities	\$254.8	\$227.4	\$57.3	\$75.0	\$1.6	\$(10.2)
Capital expenditures(10)	46.8	36.2	40.3	2.7	8.1	18.9
Depreciation and amortization (excluding amortization of debt issuance costs)(10)	133.8	135.1	117.4	8.6	58.5	85.0
Statement of Financial Position Data (at period end):						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$158.0	\$142.4	\$170.6	\$97.8		\$104.8
Working capital(11)	450.8	441.4	536.9	323.7		371.5
Total assets	3,751.6	3,626.7	3,873.7	3,020.7		2,247.5

Edgar Filing: Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

Total long-term debt, net of current maturities	1,652.9	1,535.5	1,723.1	1,530.0	2,474.8
Total debt	1,669.3	1,551.6	1,743.8	1,583.5	2,523.4
Total shareholders' equity (deficit)	989.1	1,018.5	1,046.4	660.9	(1,027.2)

Pursuant to the guidance in Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 350: "Intangibles-Goodwill and Other," we conduct annual impairment testing of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible (1) assets. As a result of these analyses we recorded non-cash pretax impairment charges of approximately \$32 million, \$34 million and \$861 million in Fiscal 2011, the period from October 1, 2008 through August 30, 2009 and our fiscal year

Table of Contents

ended September 30, 2008, ("Fiscal 2008"), respectively. No non-cash impairment charges were recorded during Fiscal 2012, Fiscal 2010 and the period from August 31, 2009 through September 30, 2009. See the "Critical Accounting Policies—Valuation of Assets and Asset Impairment" section of Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations as well as Note 2(i), Significant Accounting Policies—Intangible Assets, of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for further details on impairment charges.

On November 5, 2008, Spectrum Brands' board of directors committed to the shutdown of the growing products portion of the Home and Garden Business, which includes the manufacturing and marketing of fertilizers, enriched soils, mulch and grass seed, following an evaluation of the historical lack of profitability and the projected input costs and significant working capital demands for the growing product portion of the Home and Garden Business during Fiscal 2009. During the second quarter of Fiscal 2009, we completed the shutdown of the growing products (2) portion of the Home and Garden Business and, accordingly, began reporting the results of operations of this business as discontinued operations. Therefore, the presentation of all historical continuing operations has been changed to exclude the growing products portion of the Home and Garden Business. Fiscal 2008 loss from discontinued operations, net of tax, includes a non-cash pretax impairment charge of approximately \$8 million to reduce the carrying value of intangible assets relating to the growing products portion of our Home and Garden Business to reflect its estimated fair value.

(3) Fiscal 2012 income tax expense of \$60 million includes a non-cash charge of approximately \$14 million resulting from an increase in the valuation allowance against certain net deferred tax assets.

(4) Fiscal 2011 income tax expense of \$92 million includes a non-cash charge of approximately \$65 million resulting from an increase in the valuation allowance against certain net deferred tax assets.

(5) Fiscal 2010 income tax expense of \$63 million includes a non-cash charge of approximately \$92 million resulting from an increase in the valuation allowance against certain net deferred tax assets.

Included in the period from August 31, 2009 through September 30, 2009 for the Successor Company is a non-cash tax charge of \$58 million related to the residual U.S. and foreign taxes on approximately \$166 million of actual and deemed distributions of foreign earnings. Income tax expense for the Predecessor Company for the period from October 1, 2008 through August 30, 2009 includes a non-cash adjustment of approximately \$52 million resulting from a reduction in the valuation allowance against certain deferred tax assets. Included in income tax expense for the period from October 1, 2008 through August 30, 2009 for the Predecessor Company is a non-cash charge of \$104 million related to the tax effects of the fresh start adjustments. In addition, income tax expense for the (6) Predecessor Company for this period includes the tax effect of the gain on the cancellation of debt from the extinguishment of the then existing senior subordinated notes as well as the modification of the then existing senior term credit facility. The tax effect of these gains increased the Company's U.S. net deferred tax asset exclusive of indefinite lived intangibles by approximately \$124 million. However, due to the Company's full valuation allowance on the U.S. net deferred tax assets exclusive of indefinite lived intangibles as of August 30, 2009, the tax effect of the gain on the cancellation of debt and the modification of the senior secured credit facility was offset by a corresponding adjustment to increase the valuation allowance for deferred tax assets by \$124 million. The tax effect of the fresh start adjustments, the gain on the cancellation of debt and the modification of the senior secured credit facility, net of corresponding adjustments to the valuation allowance, are netted against reorganization items.

(7) Fiscal 2008 income tax benefit of \$10 million includes a non-cash charge of approximately \$189 million resulting from an increase in the valuation allowance against certain net deferred tax assets.

(8) See Note 14, Restructuring and Related Charges, of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for further discussion.

Diluted average shares outstanding for each of Fiscal 2011, Fiscal 2010, the period from August 31, 2009 through (9) September 30, 2009, the period from October 1, 2008 through August 30, 2009 and Fiscal 2008 does not assume the exercise of common stock equivalents as the impact would be antidilutive.

(10) Amounts reflect the results of continuing operations only.

(11) Working capital is defined as current assets less current liabilities.

Fiscal 2012 includes a non-cash charge of \$2 million related to the write-off of unamortized debt issuance costs and unamortized premiums in connection with the extinguishment and refinancing of the Company's 12% Notes. Fiscal 2011 includes a non-cash charge of \$24 million related to the write-off of unamortized debt issuance costs (12) and unamortized discounts in conjunction with the refinancing of the Company's Term Debt facility. Fiscal 2010 includes a non-cash charge of \$83 million related to the write-off of unamortized debt issuance costs and unamortized discounts and premiums in connection with the extinguishment and refinancing of debt that was completed in conjunction with the Merger.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Introduction

The following is management's discussion of the financial results, liquidity and other key items related to our performance and should be read in conjunction with Item 6. Selected Financial Data and our Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. All references to Fiscal 2012, Fiscal 2011 and Fiscal 2010 refer to fiscal year periods ended September 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("SB Holdings"), is a diversified global branded consumer products company and was created in connection with the combination of Spectrum Brands, Inc. ("Spectrum Brands"), a global branded consumer products company and Russell Hobbs, Inc. ("Russell Hobbs"), a global branded small appliance company, to form a new combined company (the "Merger"). The Merger was consummated on June 16, 2010. As a result of the Merger, both Spectrum Brands and Russell Hobbs are wholly-owned subsidiaries of SB Holdings and Russell Hobbs is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Spectrum Brands. SB Holdings' common stock trades on the New York Stock Exchange (the "NYSE") under the symbol "SPB."

Unless the context indicates otherwise, the terms "Company," "Spectrum," "we," "our" or "us" are used to refer to SB Holdings and its subsidiaries subsequent to the Merger and Spectrum Brands prior to the Merger.

On October 8, 2012, we entered into an agreement with Stanley Black & Decker, Inc. ("Stanley Black & Decker") to acquire the residential hardware and home improvement business (the "HHI Business") currently operated by Stanley Black & Decker and certain of its subsidiaries for \$1.4 billion, consisting of (i) the equity interests of certain subsidiaries of Stanley Black & Decker engaged in the business and (ii) certain assets of Stanley Black & Decker used or held for use in connection with the business (the "Hardware Acquisition"). The Hardware Acquisition includes the purchase of shares and assets of certain subsidiaries of Stanley Black & Decker involved in the HHI Business. The Hardware Acquisition will also include the purchase of certain assets of Tong Lung Metal Industry Co. Ltd., a Taiwan Corporation ("TLM Taiwan"), which is involved in the production of residential locksets (the "TLM Residential Business"). Stanley Black & Decker is currently in the process of completing the acquisition of all of the issued and outstanding shares of TLM Taiwan.

The consummation of the Hardware Acquisition will take place in two separate closings. The first closing (the "First Closing") will involve the acquisition of the HHI Business. The second closing will involve the acquisition of the TLM Residential Business (the "Second Closing").

On November 16, 2012, our wholly owned subsidiary, Spectrum Brands Escrow Corp., issued \$520 million aggregate principal amount of 6.375% Senior Notes due 2020 (that "2020 Notes") and \$570 million aggregate principal amount of 6.625% Senior Notes due 2022 (the "2022 Notes"). The 2020 Notes and the 2022 Notes will be assumed by Spectrum Brands upon the First Closing. Spectrum Brands intends to use the net proceeds from the offering to fund a portion of the purchase price and related fees and expenses for the Hardware Acquisition. Spectrum Brands intends to finance the remaining portion of the Hardware Acquisition, as well as to refinance its existing Term Loan (defined below), with a new \$800 million senior secured term loan, which is expected to close concurrently with the First Closing.

Business Overview

We manufacture and market alkaline, zinc carbon and hearing aid batteries, herbicides, insecticides and repellants and specialty pet supplies. We design and market rechargeable batteries, battery-powered lighting products, electric shavers and accessories, grooming products and hair care appliances. With the addition of Russell Hobbs we design, market and distribute a broad range of branded small household appliances and personal care products. Our manufacturing and product development facilities are located in the United States, Europe and Latin America. Substantially all of our rechargeable batteries, chargers and portable lighting products, shaving and grooming products, small household appliances and personal care products are manufactured by third-party suppliers, primarily located in Asia.

We sell our products in approximately 140 countries through a variety of trade channels, including retailers, wholesalers and distributors, hearing aid professionals, industrial distributors and original equipment manufacturers

("OEMs") and enjoy strong name recognition in our markets under the Rayovac, VARTA and Remington brands, each of which has been in existence for more than 80 years, and under the Tetra, 8-in-1, Dingo, Nature's Miracle, Spectracide, Cutter, Hot Shot, Black & Decker, George Foreman, Russell Hobbs, Farberware, Black Flag, FURminator and various other brands.

Table of Contents

Our diversified global branded consumer products have positions in six major product categories: consumer batteries; pet supplies; home and garden control products; electric shaving and grooming products; small appliances and electric personal care products. Our chief operating decision-maker manages the businesses in three vertically integrated, product-focused reporting segments: (i) Global Batteries & Appliances, which consists of our worldwide battery, electric shaving and grooming, electric personal care, and small appliances primarily in the kitchen and home product categories (“Global Batteries & Appliances”); (ii) Global Pet Supplies, which consists of our worldwide pet supplies business (“Global Pet Supplies”); and (iii) Home and Garden Business, which consists of our home and garden and insect control business (the “Home and Garden Business”). Management reviews our performance based on these segments. For information pertaining to our business segments, see Note 11, “Segment Information” of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for further information on our operating segments.

Global and geographic strategic initiatives and financial objectives are determined at the corporate level. Each business segment is responsible for implementing defined strategic initiatives and achieving certain financial objectives and has a general manager responsible for sales and marketing initiatives and the financial results for all product lines within that business segment.

Our operating performance is influenced by a number of factors including: general economic conditions; foreign exchange fluctuations; trends in consumer markets; consumer confidence and preferences; our overall product line mix, including pricing and gross margin, which vary by product line and geographic market; pricing of certain raw materials and commodities; energy and fuel prices; and our general competitive position, especially as impacted by our competitors’ advertising and promotional activities and pricing strategies.

Cost Reduction Initiatives

We continually seek to improve our operational efficiency, match our manufacturing capacity and product costs to market demand and better utilize our manufacturing resources. We have undertaken various initiatives to reduce manufacturing and operating costs.

Fiscal 2009. In connection with our announcement of a plan to reduce headcount within each of our segments and to exit certain facilities in the U.S. related to the Global Pet Supplies segment, we implemented a number of cost reduction initiatives (the “Global Cost Reduction Initiatives”). These initiatives also included consultation, legal and accounting fees related to the evaluation of our capital structure.

Meeting Consumer Needs through Technology and Development

We continue to focus our efforts on meeting consumer needs for our products through new product development and technology innovations. Research and development efforts associated with our electric shaving and grooming products allow us to deliver to the market unique cutting systems. Research and development efforts associated with our electric personal care products allow us to deliver to our customers products that save them time, provide salon alternatives and enhance their in-home personal care options. We are continuously pursuing new innovations for our shaving, grooming and hair care products including foil and rotary shaver improvements, trimmer enhancements and technologies that deliver skin and hair care benefits.

During Fiscal 2012 in our Home and Garden Business segment, we purchased and updated several Black Flag products while also launching the new Black Flag Flying Insect Trap, the Wasp, Hornet & Yellow Jacket Lures and the Fly Lures. We also introduced several innovative products for indoor use such as the Hot Shot Ant & Roach Plus Germ Killer and the do-it-yourself Hot Shot Bedbug Mattress & Luggage Treatment Kit. Innovative products for outdoor use include the Cutter Backyard Bug Control Mosquito Repellent Lantern and Cutter Scented Citronella Candles, the two-in-one Spectracide Bug & Weed Killer and the Spectracide Fire Ant Killer Yard Protection Granules. Under the Remington brand we launched the next generation Professional i-Light intense pulsed light (“IPL”) device, including a full roll-out of the i-Light in North America, successfully expanded to a full line of hair care accessories and introduced Keratin smart products in all of our haircare lines. Additionally in consumer batteries, we launched the new Indestructible line of Rayovac flashlights, developed everyday rechargeable batteries and the Platinum LCD charger. During Fiscal 2012, our Global Pet Supplies segment purchased the FURminator business, the patented global leader in deshedding tools, launched a series of exciting new GloFish products in North America, and

expanded our leading Nature's Miracle line of stain and odor products.

During Fiscal 2011, we introduced the new Spectracide Easy Action Pump delivery system, which makes application over larger areas quick and easy by providing consumers up to five minutes of continuous spray. We also launched the Cutter Natural and Repel Natural insect repellents that offer highly effective, DEET-free protection and are priced like other repellents. Additionally, under the Remington brand we introduced the Mb Touch, a precision beard trimmer with LED touch screen controls, expanded into a pearl line of hair care accessories and began marketing the i-Light IPL device, which uses cutting edge intense pulsed light technology to remove hair for up to six months and has been approved by the FDA in the

Table of Contents

United States. Furthermore, we launched coffee machines using new fast brew technology under the Farberware tradename. For the North American Aquatic business we launched energy efficient Marineland LED reef capable lights. These LEDs produce a high quality, natural-looking light that shimmers, adding depth and dimension to the aquarium. In the Companion Animal business we expanded the popular Nature's Miracle product line to include: litter and accessories, shampoo, waste management and pet crates.

During Fiscal 2010, we launched our Rayovac Platinum Nickel Metal Hydride rechargeable batteries. These batteries are ready to use directly out of the package, and stay charged up to 3 times longer than other rechargeable batteries. We also introduced Instant Ocean aquatic food and chemical products and additional products under the Dingo and Nature's Miracle brands.

During Fiscal 2009, we introduced the Roughneck Flex 360 flashlight. We also launched a long lasting zero-mercury hearing aid battery. This product provides the same long lasting performance as conventional hearing aid batteries, but with an environmentally friendly formula. During Fiscal 2009, we also introduced a line of Tetra marine aquatic products, new dog treat items and enhanced Nature's Miracle Stain & Odor products.

During Fiscal 2008, we introduced longer lasting alkaline batteries in cell sizes AA and AAA. We also launched several new products targeted at specific niche markets such as Hot Shot Spider Trap, Cutter Mosquito Stakes, Spectracide Destroyer Wasp & Hornet and Spectracide Weed Stop. We also introduced a new line of men's rotary shavers with "360° Flex & Pivot Technology." The flex and pivot technology allows the cutting blades to follow the contour of a person's face and neck. In addition, we added Teflon® coated heads to our blades to reduce redness and irritation from shaving. We also introduced "The Short Cut Clipper." The product is positioned as the world's first clipper with exclusive curved cutting technology. We also launched "Shine Therapy," a hair straightener with vitamin conditioning technology: Vitamin E, Avocado Oil and conditioners infused into the ceramic plates.

Competitive Landscape

We compete in six major product categories: consumer batteries, pet supplies, home and garden control products, electric shaving and grooming products, small appliances, and electric personal care products.

The consumer battery product category consists of non-rechargeable alkaline or zinc carbon batteries in cell sizes of AA, AAA, C, D and 9-volt, specialty batteries, which include rechargeable batteries, hearing aid batteries, photo batteries and watch/calculator batteries, and portable lighting products. Most consumer batteries are marketed under one of the following brands: Rayovac/VARTA, Duracell, Energizer or Panasonic. In addition, some retailers market private label batteries, particularly in Europe. The majority of consumers in North America and Europe purchase alkaline batteries. The Latin America market consists primarily of zinc carbon batteries but is gradually converting to higher-priced alkaline batteries as household disposable income grows. Our major competitors in the consumer batteries product category are Energizer Holdings, Inc., The Procter & Gamble Company and Matsushita.

We believe that we are the largest worldwide marketer of hearing aid batteries and that we continue to maintain a leading global market position. We believe that our close relationship with hearing aid manufacturers and other customers, as well as our product performance improvements and packaging innovations, position us for continued success in this category.

Our global pet supplies business comprises aquatics equipment (aquariums, filters, pumps, etc.), aquatics consumables (fish food, water treatments and conditioners, etc.) and specialty pet products for dogs, cats, birds and other small domestic animals. The pet supply market is extremely fragmented, with no competitor holding a market share greater than twenty percent. We believe that our brand positioning, including the leading global aquatics brand in Tetra, our diverse array of innovative and attractive products and our strong retail relationships and global infrastructure will allow us to remain competitive in this fast growing industry. Our largest competitors in the pet supplies product category are Mars Corporation, The Hartz Mountain Corporation and Central Garden & Pet Company.

Products in our home and garden category are sold through the Home and Garden Business. The Home and Garden Business manufactures and markets outdoor and indoor insect control products, rodenticides, herbicides and plant foods. The Home and Garden Business operates in the U.S. market under the brand names Spectracide, Hot Shot, Cutter, Real Kill, Black Flag and Garden Safe. The Home and Garden Business' marketing position is primarily that of

a value brand, enhanced and supported by innovative products and packaging to drive sales at the point of purchase. The Home and Garden Business' primary competitors include The Scotts Miracle-Gro Company, Central Garden & Pet Company and S.C. Johnson & Son, Inc.

We also operate in the shaving and grooming and personal care product category, consisting of electric and wet shavers and accessories, electric grooming products and hair care appliances. Electric shavers include men's and women's shavers (both rotary and foil design) and electric shaver accessories consisting of shaver replacement parts (primarily foils and cutters),

Table of Contents

pre-shave products and cleaning agents. Electric shavers are marketed primarily under our Remington brand. Our primary competitors in the electric shaving and grooming category are Procter & Gamble, makers of Braun, and Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V., makers of Norelco. Electric grooming products include beard and mustache trimmers, nose and ear trimmers, body groomers and haircut kits and related accessories. Hair care appliances include hair dryers, straightening irons, styling irons and hair-setters. Europe and North America account for the majority of our worldwide electric personal care product category sales. Our major competitors in the electric personal care product category are Conair Corporation, Wahl Clipper Corporation and Helen of Troy Limited.

Products in our small appliances category consist of small electrical appliances primarily in the kitchen and home product categories. Primary competitor brands in the small appliance category include Hamilton Beach, Procter Silex, Sunbeam, Mr. Coffee, Oster, General Electric, Rowenta, DeLonghi, Kitchen Aid, Cuisinart, Krups, Braun, Rival, Europro, Kenwood, Philips, Morphy Richards, Breville and Tefal.

The following factors contribute to our ability to succeed in these highly competitive product categories:

Strong Diversified Global Brand Portfolio. We have a global portfolio of well-recognized consumer product brands.

We believe that the strength of our brands positions us to extend our product lines and provide our retail customers with strong sell-through to consumers.

Strong Global Retail Relationships. We have well-established business relationships with many of the top global retailers, distributors and wholesalers, which have assisted us in our efforts to expand our overall market penetration and promote sales.

Expansive Distribution Network. We distribute our products in approximately 140 countries through a variety of trade channels, including retailers, wholesalers and distributors, hearing aid professionals, industrial distributors and Original Equipment Manufacturers.

Innovative New Products, Packaging and Technologies. We have a long history of product and packaging innovations in each of our six product categories and continually seek to introduce new products both as extensions of existing product lines and as new product categories.

Experienced Management Team. Our management team has substantial consumer products experience. On average, each senior management team member has more than 20 years of experience at Spectrum, VARTA, Remington, Russell Hobbs or other branded consumer product companies such as Newell Rubbermaid, H.J. Heinz and Schering-Plough.

Seasonal Product Sales

On a consolidated basis our financial results are approximately equally weighted between quarters, however, sales of certain product categories tend to be seasonal. Sales in the consumer battery, electric shaving and grooming and electric personal care product categories, particularly in North America, tend to be concentrated in the December holiday season (Spectrum's first fiscal quarter). Demand for pet supplies products remains fairly constant throughout the year. Demand for home and garden control products sold through the Home and Garden Business typically peaks during the first six months of the calendar year (Spectrum's second and third fiscal quarters). Small Appliances peaks from July through December primarily due to the increased demand by customers in the late summer for "back-to-school" sales and in the fall for the holiday season.

The seasonality of our sales during the last three fiscal years is as follows:

Percentage of Annual Sales

Fiscal Quarter Ended	Fiscal Year Ended			
	September 30,			
	2012	2011	2010	
December	26	% 27	% 23	%
March	23	% 22	% 21	%
June	25	% 25	% 25	%
September	26	% 26	% 31	%

Edgar Filing: Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2011

Highlights of Consolidated Operating Results

Net Sales. Net sales for Fiscal 2012 increased to \$3,252 million from \$3,187 million in Fiscal 2011, a 2% increase. The following table details the principal components of the change in net sales from Fiscal 2011 to Fiscal 2012 (in millions):

35

Table of Contents

	Net Sales
Fiscal 2011 Net Sales	\$3,187
Increase in pet supplies	45
Increase in home and garden control products	33
Increase in consumer batteries	31
Increase in electric shaving and grooming products	12
Increase in electric personal care products	9
Increase in small appliances	8
Foreign currency impact, net	(73)
Fiscal 2012 Net Sales	\$3,252

Consolidated net sales by product line for Fiscal 2012 and Fiscal 2011 are as follows (in millions):

	Fiscal Year	
	2012	2011
Product line net sales		
Consumer batteries	\$949	\$954
Small appliances	772	778
Pet supplies	615	579
Home and garden control products	387	354
Electric shaving and grooming products	279	274
Electric personal care products	250	248
Total net sales to external customers	\$3,252	\$3,187

Global consumer battery sales during Fiscal 2012 decreased \$5 million compared to Fiscal 2011. Excluding negative foreign exchange impacts of \$36 million, global consumer battery sales increased \$31 million, or 3%. The growth of global consumer battery sales on a constant currency basis was driven by new customer listings as well as increased shelf space at existing customers, coupled with price increases, primarily in Latin America, and geographic expansion. Small appliances sales decreased \$6 million during Fiscal 2012 compared to Fiscal 2011. Excluding negative foreign exchange impacts of \$14 million, small appliances sales increased \$8 million, or 1%. Latin American and European constant currency sales increases of \$16 million and \$12 million, respectively, were tempered by a \$19 million decrease in North American sales. Latin American sales gains resulted from distribution gains with existing customers as well as price increases. European sales increases were attributable to market share gains in the United Kingdom and expansion of the Russell Hobbs brand throughout Europe. Decreased North American sales were a result of a concerted effort to eliminate certain low margin promotions.

Pet supply product sales during Fiscal 2012 increased \$36 million, or 6%, compared to Fiscal 2011, led by increases in companion animal and aquatics sales of \$34 million and \$11 million, respectively, tempered by \$8 million in negative foreign currency impacts. Gains in companion animal sales were due to the FURminator acquisition, distributional gains and growth in the Nature's Miracle brand in the U.S. Aquatics sales gains resulted from increases in North American aquarium starter kits and pond related sales, including new distribution at major retailers, which were tempered by lower European aquatics sales.

Sales of home and garden control products during Fiscal 2012 versus Fiscal 2011 increased \$33 million, or 9%, driven by increased household insect controls sales of \$30 million resulting from the Black Flag acquisition and strong retail distribution gains with existing customers. Lawn and garden controls sales increased \$3 million in Fiscal 2012 compared to Fiscal 2011 due to increased distribution with existing customers.

Electric shaving and grooming product sales during Fiscal 2012 increased \$5 million, or 2%, compared to Fiscal 2011 led by a \$14 million increase in European sales and a \$4 million increase in Latin American sales. These gains were

tempered by a \$6 million decline in North American sales and negative foreign exchange impacts of \$7 million. European sales gains were driven by successful promotions for new product launches, while the increase in Latin American sales was due to distribution and customer gains. North American declines resulted from the elimination of lower margin promotions as well as distribution declines.

Table of Contents

Electric personal care product sales in Fiscal 2012 increased \$2 million compared to Fiscal 2011 driven by gains in North America and Latin America of \$11 million and \$7 million, respectively, which were tempered by a \$8 million decline in European sales and negative foreign exchange impacts of \$8 million. The gains in North America and Latin America were attributable to the continued success in new product categories and distribution gains in Latin America, whereas the decrease in European sales was a result of declining women's hair straightener sales due to a shift in fashion trends combined with decreased promotions in the fourth quarter of Fiscal 2012.

Gross Profit. Gross profit for Fiscal 2012 was \$1,116 million versus \$1,129 million during Fiscal 2011, representing a \$13 million decrease. Our gross profit margin for Fiscal 2012 decreased to 34.3% from 35.4% in Fiscal 2011. The decrease in gross profit and gross profit margin was driven by \$36 million of negative foreign exchange impacts, a \$17 million increase in commodity prices and higher costs for sourced goods, primarily from Asia, a \$12 million increase in costs due to changes in product mix and a \$2 million increase in Restructuring and related charges. These factors contributing to the decline in gross profit were tempered by increased organic sales which contributed \$31 million of gross profit and Fiscal 2012 acquisitions which contributed \$23 million of gross profit.

Operating Expense. Operating expenses for Fiscal 2012 totaled \$814 million versus \$901 million during Fiscal 2011. The \$87 million decrease in operating expenses for Fiscal 2012 versus Fiscal 2011 was driven by synergies recognized subsequent to the Merger of \$25 million, decreased asset impairment charges of \$32 million, decreased Acquisition and integration charges of \$6 million, positive foreign exchange impacts of \$20 million and savings from our cost reduction initiatives. See “Acquisition and Integration Related Charges” below, as well as Note 2, Significant Accounting Policies—Acquisition and Integration Related Charges, of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional information regarding our Acquisition and integration charges.

Operating Income. Operating income was approximately \$302 million in Fiscal 2012 compared to \$228 million recognized in Fiscal 2011, representing an increase of \$74 million. The increase is primarily attributable to the decreased operating expenses discussed above, which were slightly offset by the decline in gross profit as detailed above.

Adjusted EBITDA. Management believes that certain non-GAAP financial measures may be useful in certain instances to provide additional meaningful comparisons between current results and results in prior operating periods. Adjusted earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (“Adjusted EBITDA”) is a metric used by management and frequently used by the financial community. Adjusted EBITDA provides insight into an organization’s operating trends and facilitates comparisons between peer companies, since interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization can differ greatly between organizations as a result of differing capital structures and tax strategies. Adjusted EBITDA can also be a useful measure of a company’s ability to service debt and is one of the measures used for determining our debt covenant compliance. Adjusted EBITDA excludes certain items that are unusual in nature or not comparable from period to period. While management believes that non-GAAP measurements are useful supplemental information, such adjusted results are not intended to replace our GAAP financial results.

Adjusted EBITDA was \$485 million for Fiscal 2012 compared with \$457 million for Fiscal 2011.

Segment Results. As discussed under “Business Overview” above we manage our business in three reportable segments: (i) Global Batteries & Appliances, (ii) Global Pet Supplies; and (iii) Home and Garden Business.

Operating segment profits do not include restructuring and related charges, acquisition and integration related charges, interest expense, interest income, impairment charges, reorganization items and income tax expense. Expenses associated with global operations, consisting of research and development, manufacturing management, global purchasing, quality operations and inbound supply chain are included in the determination of operating segment profits. Expenses associated with certain general and administrative functions have been excluded in the determination of reportable segment profits and are included in corporate expenses. These corporate expenses primarily include general and administrative expenses and the costs of global long-term incentive compensation plans which are evaluated on a consolidated basis and not allocated to our operating segments.

All depreciation and amortization included in income from operations is related to operating segments or corporate expense. Costs are allocated to operating segments or corporate expense according to the function of each cost center.

All capital expenditures are related to operating segments. Variable allocations of assets are not made for segment reporting.

Global strategic initiatives and financial objectives for each reportable segment are determined at the corporate level. Each reportable segment is responsible for implementing defined strategic initiatives and achieving certain financial objectives and has a general manager responsible for the sales and marketing initiatives and financial results for product lines within that segment. Financial information pertaining to our reportable segments is contained in Note 11, Segment Information, of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Below are reconciliations of GAAP Net Income (Loss) from Continuing Operations to Adjusted EBIT and Adjusted EBITDA by segment and for Consolidated Spectrum Brands for Fiscal 2012 and Fiscal 2011:

	Fiscal 2012				
	Global Batteries & Appliances (in millions)	Global Pet Supplies	Home and Garden Business	Corporate / Unallocated Items(a)	Consolidated SB Holdings
Net income (loss), as adjusted (a)	\$221	\$70	\$71	\$(313)) \$49
Income tax expense	—	—	—	60	60
Interest expense	—	—	—	192	192
Acquisition and integration related charges	15	5	2	9	31
Restructuring and related charges	7	10	1	1	19
Adjusted EBIT	\$243	\$85	\$74	\$(51)) \$351
Depreciation and amortization (d)	64	28	13	29	134
Adjusted EBITDA	\$307	\$113	\$87	\$(22)) \$485
	Fiscal 2011				
	Global Batteries & Appliances (in millions)	Global Pet Supplies	Home and Garden Business	Corporate / Unallocated Items(a)	Consolidated SB Holdings
Net income (loss), as adjusted (a)	\$180	\$50	\$62	\$(367)) \$(75)
Income tax expense	—	—	—	92	92
Interest expense	—	—	—	184	184
Write-off unamortized discounts and financing fees (b)	—	—	—	24	24
Restructuring and related charges	6	17	2	4	29
Acquisition and integration related charges	31	—	—	6	37
Intangible asset impairment	23	8	1	—	32
Accelerated depreciation and amortization (c)	(1)) —	—	—	(1)
Adjusted EBIT	\$239	\$75	\$65	\$(57)) \$322
Depreciation and amortization (d)	68	24	12	31	135
Adjusted EBITDA	\$307	\$99	\$77	\$(26)) \$457

(a) It is our policy to record income tax expense and interest expense on a consolidated basis. Accordingly, such amounts are not reflected in the operating results of the operating segments.

(b) Adjustment reflects the write-off of unamortized deferred financing fees and discounts related to the refinancing of our Term loan facility.

(c) Adjustment reflects restricted stock amortization and accelerated depreciation associated with certain restructuring initiatives. Inasmuch as this amount is included within Restructuring and related charges, this adjustment negates the impact of reflecting the add-back of depreciation and amortization.

(d) Included within depreciation and amortization is amortization of unearned restricted stock compensation.

Global Batteries & Appliances

Table of Contents

	2012	2011		
	(in millions)			
Net sales to external customers	\$2,250	\$2,254		
Segment profit	\$244	\$239		
Segment profit as a % of net sales	10.8	% 10.6		%
Segment Adjusted EBITDA	\$307	\$307		
Assets as of September 30,	\$2,243	\$2,275		

Segment net sales to external customers in Fiscal 2012 decreased \$4 million to \$2,250 million from \$2,254 million during Fiscal 2011, driven by unfavorable foreign currency exchange translation which impacted Fiscal 2012 net sales by approximately \$65 million. Excluding foreign exchange, segment sales increased by \$61 million, led by increased consumer batteries sales of \$31 million. The growth of global consumer battery sales on a constant currency basis was driven by new customer listings as well as increased shelf space at existing customers, coupled with price increases, primarily in Latin America, and geographic expansion. Excluding foreign exchange, electric shaving and grooming sales increased \$12 million, driven by an increase of \$14 million due to successful new product launches in Europe and \$4 million of distribution gains with existing customers in Latin America, tempered by a \$6 million decrease in North American sales. Electric personal care product sales increased \$9 million, excluding foreign exchange impacts, led by North American and Latin American sales increases of \$11 million and \$7 million, respectively, resulting from successful new product introductions and distribution gains in Latin America. The gains in electric personal care product sales were tempered by an \$8 million decrease in European sales driven by declining women's hair straightener sales which is attributed to a change in fashion trends combined with decreased promotions in the fourth quarter of Fiscal 2012. Excluding foreign exchange impacts, small appliances sales increased \$8 million.

Geographically, small appliance sales increased \$16 million in Latin America and \$12 million in Europe, tempered by a \$19 million decrease in North American small appliance sales. Latin American sales gains were attributable to price increases, distribution gains with existing customers and new customer gains, whereas European sales increases resulted from market share gains in the United Kingdom and expansion of the Russell Hobbs brand throughout Europe. The decline in North American small appliances sales resulted from a concerted effort to eliminate certain low margin promotions.

Segment profitability during Fiscal 2012 increased \$5 million to \$244 million from \$239 million in Fiscal 2011. Segment profitability as a percentage of net sales increased slightly to 10.8% in Fiscal 2012 compared to 10.6% in Fiscal 2011. The increase is primarily attributable to favorable changes in product mix, and synergies recognized following the Merger, tempered by decreased sales and increased commodity prices.

Segment Adjusted EBITDA in Fiscal 2012 remained flat at \$307 million, due to favorable changes in product mix which were offset by decreased sales and increased commodity costs.

Segment assets at September 30, 2012 decreased to \$2,243 million from \$2,275 million at September 30, 2011 primarily resulting from the amortization of intangible assets. Goodwill and intangible assets, which are directly a result of the revaluation impacts of fresh-start reporting and subsequent acquisitions, decreased to \$1,261 million at September 30, 2012 from \$1,295 million at September 30, 2011.

Global Pet Supplies

	2012	2011		
	(in millions)			
Net sales to external customers	\$615	\$579		
Segment profit	\$86	\$75		
Segment profit as a % of net sales	14.0	% 13.0		%
Segment Adjusted EBITDA	\$113	\$99		
Assets as of September 30,	\$956	\$828		

Segment sales to external customers in Fiscal 2012 increased to \$615 million from \$579 million in Fiscal 2011, representing an increase of \$36 million or 6%, driven by increased companion animal sales and aquatics sales of \$34

million and \$11 million, respectively. Companion animal sales increases resulted from the FURminator acquisition in Fiscal 2012, which contributed \$30 million in sales, and expansion of the Nature's Miracle brand in the U.S. Strong North American aquarium starter kits and pond related sales drove the increase in aquatics sales, which was tempered by lower European aquatics sales. Foreign exchange negatively impacted Fiscal 2012 pet supplies sales by \$8 million.

Table of Contents

Segment profitability increased \$11 million in Fiscal 2012 to \$86 million from \$75 million in Fiscal 2011. Segment profitability as a percentage of sales in Fiscal 2012 also increased to 14.0% from 13.0% during Fiscal 2011. The increase in segment profit is attributable to increased sales and North American pricing improvements in Fiscal 2012, partially offset by negative foreign exchange impacts and a slowing European economy. The higher segment profit as a percentage of sales is primarily a result of the acquisition of FURminator which contributes a higher margin compared to other products within the segment, coupled with savings from our restructuring initiatives. See "Restructuring and Related Charges" below, as well as Note 14, Restructuring and Related Charges, of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional information regarding our restructuring and related charges.

Segment Adjusted EBITDA in Fiscal 2012 increased \$14 million, to \$113 million, from \$99 million in Fiscal 2011. The increase in Adjusted EBITDA is due to the factors driving increased segment profitability discussed above. Segment assets as of September 30, 2012 increased to \$956 million from \$828 million at September 30, 2011. Goodwill and intangible assets, which are directly a result of the revaluation impacts of fresh-start reporting and subsequent acquisitions, increased to \$715 million at September 30, 2012 from \$595 million at September 30, 2011, driven by the goodwill and intangible assets added with the FURminator acquisition.

Home and Garden Business

	2012		2011	
	(in millions)			
Net sales to external customers	\$387		\$354	
Segment profit	\$74		\$65	
Segment profit as a % of net sales	19.1	%	18.4	%
Segment Adjusted EBITDA	\$87		\$77	
Assets as of September 30,	\$508		\$476	

Segment net sales to external customers increased \$33 million, or 9%, during Fiscal 2012, to \$387 million, compared to \$354 million in Fiscal 2011. Household insect control sales increased \$30 million in Fiscal 2012 resulting from the Black Flag acquisition, which contributed \$24 million in additional sales, coupled with retail distribution gains. Lawn and garden controls sales increased \$3 million in Fiscal 2012, compared to Fiscal 2011, driven by increased distribution with existing customers.

Segment profitability in Fiscal 2012 improved \$9 million, to \$74 million, from \$65 million in Fiscal 2011, driven by increased sales in Fiscal 2012. Segment profitability as a percentage of sales increased to 19.1% in Fiscal 2012, from 18.4% in Fiscal 2011. This increase in segment profitability was due to the increased sales for the period, coupled with strong control over operating expenses which positively impacted segment profitability as a percentage of sales. Segment Adjusted EBITDA was \$87 million in Fiscal 2012, an increase of \$10 million, compared to segment Adjusted EBITDA of \$77 million in Fiscal 2011. The increase in segment Adjusted EBITDA is attributable to the same factors that led to the increase in segment profit discussed above.

Segment assets as of September 30, 2012 increased to \$508 million from \$476 million at September 30, 2011. Goodwill and intangible assets, which are directly a result of the revaluation impacts of fresh-start reporting and subsequent acquisitions, increased to \$433 million at September 30, 2012 from \$404 million at September 30, 2011, driven by the Black Flag acquisition.

Corporate Expense. Our corporate expense in Fiscal 2012 decreased to \$52 million from \$54 million in Fiscal 2011. This decrease is attributable to a \$1 million decrease in stock based compensation expense during Fiscal 2012 compared to Fiscal 2011 coupled with savings from expense management. Corporate expense as a percentage of consolidated net sales for Fiscal 2012 was 1.6% compared to 1.7% during Fiscal 2011.

Restructuring and Related Charges. See Note 14, "Restructuring and Related Charges", of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional information regarding our restructuring and related charges.

The following table summarizes all restructuring and related charges we incurred in Fiscal 2012 and Fiscal 2011 (in millions):

40

Table of Contents

	2012	2011
Costs included in cost of goods sold:		
Global Cost Reduction Initiatives:		
Termination benefits	\$2.9	\$1.6
Other associated costs	6.9	5.9
Other Cost Reduction Initiatives:		
Other associated costs	—	0.3
Total included in cost of goods sold	\$9.8	\$7.8
Costs included in operating expenses:		
Global Cost Reduction Initiatives:		
Termination benefits	3.1	10.2
Other associated costs	5.8	7.8
Other Cost Reduction Initiatives:		
Termination benefits	—	0.9
Other associated costs	0.9	1.9
Total included in operating expenses	\$9.8	\$20.8
Total restructuring and related charges	\$19.6	\$28.6

In connection with the Global Cost Reduction Initiatives, we recorded \$19 million and \$25 million of pretax restructuring and related charges during Fiscal 2012 and Fiscal 2011, respectively. Costs associated with these initiatives, which are expected to be incurred through January 31, 2015, are projected at approximately \$89 million, approximately \$83 million of which had been incurred through September 30, 2012.

Acquisition and integration related charges. Acquisition and integration related charges reflected in Operating expenses include, but are not limited to, transaction costs such as banking, legal and accounting professional fees directly related to both consummated acquisitions and acquisition targets, employee termination charges, integration related professional fees and other post business combination related expenses.

We incurred \$31 million of Acquisition and integration related charges during Fiscal 2012 primarily in connection with the Merger and the acquisitions of Black Flag and FURminator, and the expected acquisition of the HHI Business, which consisted of: (i) \$16 million of integration costs; (ii) \$6 million of employee termination charges; and (iii) \$9 million of legal and professional fees. We incurred \$37 million of Acquisition and integration related charges during Fiscal 2011, which consisted of the following: (i) \$23 million of integration costs; (ii) \$8 million of employee termination charges; and (iii) \$6 million of legal and professional fees.

Goodwill and Intangibles Impairment. Accounting standards require companies to test goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment annually, or more often if an event or circumstance indicates that an impairment loss may have been incurred. In Fiscal 2012 and Fiscal 2011, we tested our goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets as required. As a result of this testing, no impairment was identified in Fiscal 2012 while we recorded a non-cash pretax impairment charge of \$32 million in Fiscal 2011. The \$32 million non-cash pretax impairment charge incurred in Fiscal 2011 reflects trade name intangible asset impairments of the following: \$23 million related to the Global Batteries and Appliances segment; \$8 million related to Global Pet Supplies; and \$1 million related to the Home and Garden Business. See Note 2(i), "Significant Accounting Policies and Practices—Intangible Assets", of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for further details on goodwill and intangibles impairment charges.

Interest Expense. Interest expense in Fiscal 2012 decreased to \$192 million from \$208 million in Fiscal 2011. The decrease in interest expense was primarily attributable to lower expense from the replacement of our 12% Notes with our 6.75% Notes in Fiscal 2012, reduced principal and lower effective interest rates related to our Term Loan and lower expenses for interest rate swaps and other fees and expenses. The cost savings were tempered by higher expense from increased principal primarily related to our 9.5% Notes, and expenses related to the refinancing of our 12%

Notes and the amendment of our ABL Revolving Credit Facility. See Note 6, "Debt," to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional information regarding our outstanding debt. Income Taxes. In Fiscal 2012, we recorded income tax expense of \$60 million on pretax income from continuing operations of \$109 million, and in Fiscal 2011, we recorded income tax expense of \$92 million on a pretax loss from continuing operations of \$17 million. Our effective tax rate on income from continuing operations was approximately 55% for

Table of Contents

Fiscal 2012. Our effective tax rate on our loss from continuing operations was approximately 539% for Fiscal 2011. There are four significant factors impacting our book income tax rate. First, we are profitable in the foreign jurisdictions in which we operate and therefore must provide foreign income taxes even while we have a book loss in the United States. Our book loss in the U.S. is the result of substantially all of our debt and restructuring costs being incurred in our U.S. entities. Second, since there is a valuation allowance against U.S. deferred tax assets, we are unable to record any financial statement benefit related to our U.S. domestic losses. This impact is further exacerbated by the tax amortization of certain domestic indefinite lived intangible assets. The deferred tax liabilities created by the tax amortization of these intangibles cannot be used to offset corresponding increases in net operating loss deferred tax assets in determining the Company's domestic valuation allowance. This results in additional net domestic tax expense despite the U.S. domestic book losses. Third, in Fiscal 2012, we recognized a \$14 million tax benefit from the release of a portion of our U.S. valuation allowance, as discussed below, in connection with the purchase of FURminator. Finally, in Fiscal 2011, our income was close to break even, which created a high effective tax rate as this rate is calculated by dividing tax expense into pre-tax income (loss) from operations.

As of September 30, 2012, we have U.S. federal and state net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$1,305 million and \$1,341 million, respectively. These net operating loss carryforwards expire through years ending in 2032. We also have foreign loss carryforwards of approximately \$119 million, which will expire beginning in 2016. Certain of the foreign net operating losses have indefinite carryforward periods. We have had multiple changes of ownership, as defined under Internal Revenue Code ("IRC") Section 382, that subject our U.S. federal and state net operating losses and other tax attributes to certain limitations. The annual limitation on our use of these carryforwards is based on a number of factors including the value of our stock (as defined for tax purposes) on the date of the ownership change, our net unrealized built in gain position on that date, the occurrence of realized built in gains in years subsequent to the ownership change, and the effects of subsequent ownership changes (as defined for tax purposes), if any. In addition, separate return year limitations apply to limit our utilization of the acquired Russell Hobbs U.S. federal and state net operating losses to future income of the Russell Hobbs subgroup. Based on these factors, we estimate that \$301 million of the total U.S. federal and \$385 million of the state net operating loss would expire unused even if the Company generates sufficient income to otherwise use all its NOLs. In addition, we project that \$111 million of the total foreign net operating loss carryforwards will expire unused. We have provided a full valuation allowance against these deferred tax assets as well.

The ultimate realization of our deferred tax assets depends on our ability to generate sufficient taxable income of the appropriate character in the future and in the appropriate taxing jurisdictions. We establish valuation allowances for deferred tax assets when we estimate it is more likely than not that the tax assets will not be realized. We base these estimates on projections of future income, including tax planning strategies, in certain jurisdictions. Changes in industry conditions and other economic conditions may impact our ability to project future income. Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 740: "Income Taxes" ("ASC 740") requires the establishment of a valuation allowance when it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. In accordance with ASC 740, we periodically assess the likelihood that our deferred tax assets will be realized and determine if adjustments to the valuation allowance are required.

Our total valuation allowance for the tax benefit of deferred tax assets that may not be realized is approximately \$385 million at September 30, 2012. Of this amount, approximately \$350 million relates to U.S. net deferred tax assets and approximately \$35 million relates to foreign net deferred tax assets. Our total valuation allowance was approximately \$374 million at September 30, 2011. Of this amount, approximately \$339 million related to U.S. net deferred tax assets and approximately \$35 million related to foreign net deferred tax assets. As a result of the purchase of FURminator, we were able to release \$15 million of U.S. valuation allowance during Fiscal 2012. The release was attributable to \$15 million of net deferred tax liabilities recorded on the FURminator opening balance sheet that offset other U.S. net deferred tax assets. During Fiscal 2011, we also determined that a valuation allowance is required against deferred tax assets related to net operating losses in Brazil and thus recorded a \$26 million charge.

ASC 740, which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in tax positions, requires that we recognize in our financial statements the impact of a tax position if that position is more likely than not to be sustained on audit based on the

technical merits of the position. As of September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect the effective income tax rate in future periods was \$6 million and \$9 million, respectively. See Note 9, "Income Taxes", of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional information.

Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2011 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2010

Highlights of Consolidated Operating Results

Year over year historical comparisons are influenced by the acquisition of Russell Hobbs, which is included in our Fiscal 2010 Consolidated Statements of Operations from June 16, 2010, the date of the Merger, through the end of the period. See

Table of Contents

Note 15, Acquisitions, of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for supplemental pro forma information providing additional year over year comparisons of the impact of the acquisition.

Net Sales. Net sales for Fiscal 2011 increased to \$3,187 million from \$2,567 million in Fiscal 2010, a 24.2% increase. The following table details the principal components of the change in net sales from Fiscal 2010 to Fiscal 2011 (in millions):

	Net Sales	
Fiscal 2010 Net Sales	\$2,567	
Addition of Russell Hobbs—small appliances	548	
Addition of Russell Hobbs—pet supplies	7	
Addition of Russell Hobbs—home and garden control products	4	
Increase in electric personal care products	28	
Increase in electric shaving and grooming products	13	
Increase in home and garden control products	7	
Decrease in pet supplies	(3)
Decrease in consumer batteries	(12)
Foreign currency impact, net	28	
 Fiscal 2011 Net Sales	 \$3,187	

Consolidated net sales by product line for Fiscal 2011 and Fiscal 2010 are as follows (in millions):

	Fiscal Year	
	2011	2010
Product line net sales		
Consumer batteries	\$954	\$954
Small appliances	778	231
Pet supplies	579	566
Home and garden control products	354	343
Electric shaving and grooming products	274	257
Electric personal care products	248	216
Total net sales to external customers	\$3,187	\$2,567

Global consumer battery sales during Fiscal 2011 were flat compared to Fiscal 2010, primarily driven by decreased sales in Latin America of \$41 million, which were tempered by increased sales in North America and Europe of \$24 million and \$5 million, respectively, coupled with favorable foreign exchange impacts of \$12 million. Sales decreases in Latin America were driven by decreased alkaline battery sales of \$11 million, zinc carbon battery sales of \$26 million and portable lighting sales of \$4 million primarily due to decreased volumes in Brazil as a result of competitive pressures in the region. North American sales increased as a result of strong holiday sales during our first fiscal quarter, distribution gains throughout the year, incremental sales due to strong weather patterns during Fiscal 2011 and a successful new product line launch at a major customer. The sales increases in Europe were primarily attributable to the successful promotion of our Varta value sub-brands as well as customer gains.

Pet product sales during Fiscal 2011 increased \$13 million, or 2%, compared to Fiscal 2010. The increase of \$13 million is attributable to increased companion animal product sales of \$15 million, \$7 million of which was a direct result of the Merger, with the remaining \$8 million being driven by the Birdola acquisition, successful product launches and continued expansion in Europe. Favorable foreign exchange impacted sales by \$8 million. These gains were partially offset by decreased aquatics sales of \$10 million resulting from overall global macroeconomic

conditions.

Sales of home and garden control products during Fiscal 2011 versus Fiscal 2010 increased \$11 million, or 3%. This increase is a result of increased household insect controls sales of \$14 million, of which \$4 million related to the Merger. The remaining growth in household insect control sales was driven by increased distribution and product placements with major customers. These gains were partially offset by a \$3 million decrease in lawn and garden control sales due to unseasonable weather conditions in the U.S. which negatively impacted the lawn and garden season.

43

Table of Contents

Electric shaving and grooming product sales during Fiscal 2011 increased \$17 million, or 7%, compared to Fiscal 2010 due to increased sales within North America, Europe and Latin America of \$6 million, \$4 million and \$3 million, respectively, coupled with favorable foreign exchange translation of \$4 million. North American and European sales increases were driven by distribution and customer gains and increased online sales. Latin American sales increases were driven by distribution gains.

Electric personal care product sales during Fiscal 2011 increased \$32 million, or 15%, when compared to Fiscal 2010. The increase of \$32 million during Fiscal 2011 was attributable to increases in North America, Europe and Latin America of \$12 million, \$14 million and \$2 million, respectively, coupled with favorable foreign exchange impacts of \$4. The increases in North American and European sales were a result of successful new product launches, distribution and customer gains and increased online sales, while increases in Latin American sales were driven by distribution gains.

Small appliances contributed \$778 million or 24% of total net sales for Fiscal 2011 compared to \$231 million or 9% of sales in Fiscal 2010. This represents a full year of sales related to Russell Hobbs during Fiscal 2011 as compared to Fiscal 2010 in which we realized sales of the acquired business from the date of the Merger, June 16, 2010, through September 30, 2010, the close of our Fiscal 2010.

Gross Profit. Gross profit for Fiscal 2011 was \$1,129 million versus \$921 million during Fiscal 2010, representing a \$208 million increase. Our gross profit margin for Fiscal 2011 decreased slightly to 35.4% from 35.9% in Fiscal 2010. The increase in gross profit is primarily attributable to increased sales coupled with the non-recurrence of a \$34 million increase in cost of goods sold that resulted from the sale of inventory that was revalued in connection with our adoption of fresh-start reporting upon emergence from Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, that we recognized during the first quarter of Fiscal 2010. The increased sales due to the Merger accounted for a gross profit increase of \$152 million during Fiscal 2011 as compared to Fiscal 2010. The decrease in gross profit margin is attributable to the change in overall product mix as a result of the Merger as well as increasing commodity prices during Fiscal 2011.

Operating Expense. Operating expenses for Fiscal 2011 totaled \$901 million versus \$753 million during Fiscal 2010. The \$148 million increase in operating expenses for Fiscal 2011 versus Fiscal 2010 was driven by the Merger, which accounted for \$111 million of the increase, coupled with the Fiscal 2011 impairment charge of \$32 million and increased stock compensation expense of \$13 million. These increases were tempered by savings from our integration and global cost reduction initiatives. See “Restructuring and Related Charges” below, as well as Note 14, Restructuring and Related Charges, of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional information regarding our restructuring and related charges.

Operating Income. Operating income of approximately \$228 million was recognized in Fiscal 2011 compared to \$169 million recognized in Fiscal 2010, representing an increase of \$59 million. The Merger accounted for a \$41 million increase in operating income. Additionally, savings from our integration efforts, our global cost reduction initiatives and favorable foreign exchange translation impacted operating income by \$17 million, \$16 million and \$11 million, respectively. These profit improvements were partially offset by a \$32 million impairment charge, \$14 million increase in stock compensation expense and increased commodity costs during Fiscal 2011.

Adjusted EBITDA. Management believes that certain non-GAAP financial measures may be useful in certain instances to provide additional meaningful comparisons between current results and results in prior operating periods. Adjusted earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (“Adjusted EBITDA”) is a metric used by management and frequently used by the financial community. Adjusted EBITDA provides insight into an organization’s operating trends and facilitates comparisons between peer companies, since interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization can differ greatly between organizations as a result of differing capital structures and tax strategies. Adjusted EBITDA can also be a useful measure of a company’s ability to service debt and is one of the measures used for determining our debt covenant compliance. Adjusted EBITDA excludes certain items that are unusual in nature or not comparable from period to period. While management believes that non-GAAP measurements are useful supplemental information, such adjusted results are not intended to replace the Company’s GAAP financial results.

Adjusted EBITDA, which includes the results of Russell Hobbs' businesses as if it was combined with Spectrum for all periods presented (see reconciliation of GAAP Net Income (Loss) from Continuing Operations to Adjusted EBIT and to Adjusted EBITDA by .segment below) was \$457 million for Fiscal 2011 compared with \$432 million for Fiscal 2010.

Segment Results. As discussed under "Business Overview" above we manage our business in three reportable segments: (i) Global Batteries & Appliances, (ii) Global Pet Supplies; and (iii) Home and Garden Business.

Operating segment profits do not include restructuring and related charges, acquisition and integration related charges, interest expense, interest income, impairment charges, reorganization items and income tax expense. Expenses associated with global operations, consisting of research and development, manufacturing management, global purchasing, quality operations

Table of Contents

and inbound supply chain are included in the determination of operating segment profits. In connection with the realignment of reportable segments discussed above, expenses associated with certain general and administrative functions necessary to reflect the operating segments on a standalone basis, have been excluded in the determination of reportable segment profits. The costs associated with these functions were previously reflected in operating segment profits. Corporate expenses primarily include general and administrative expenses and the costs of global long-term incentive compensation plans which are evaluated on a consolidated basis and not allocated to our operating segments.

All depreciation and amortization included in income from operations is related to operating segments or corporate expense. Costs are allocated to operating segments or corporate expense according to the function of each cost center. All capital expenditures are related to operating segments. Variable allocations of assets are not made for segment reporting.

Global strategic initiatives and financial objectives for each reportable segment are determined at the corporate level. Each reportable segment is responsible for implementing defined strategic initiatives and achieving certain financial objectives and has a general manager responsible for the sales and marketing initiatives and financial results for product lines within that segment. Financial information pertaining to our reportable segments is contained in Note 11, Segment Information, of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for further information relating to our operating segments.

Below are reconciliations of GAAP Net Income (Loss) from Continuing Operations to Adjusted EBIT and Adjusted EBITDA by segment and for Consolidated Spectrum Brands for Fiscal 2011 and Fiscal 2010:

	Fiscal 2011				
	Global Batteries & Appliances (in millions)	Global Pet Supplies	Home and Garden Business	Corporate / Unallocated Items(a)	Consolidated SB Holdings
Net income (loss), as adjusted (a)	\$180	\$50	\$62	\$(367)	\$(75)
Income tax expense	—	—	—	92	92
Interest expense	—	—	—	184	184
Write-off unamortized discounts and financing fees (b)	—	—	—	24	24
Restructuring and related charges	6	17	2	4	29
Acquisition and integration related charges	31	—	—	6	37
Intangible asset impairment	23	8	1	—	32
Accelerated depreciation and amortization (c)	(1)	—	—	—	(1)
Adjusted EBIT	\$239	\$75	\$65	\$(57)	\$322
Depreciation and amortization (d)	68	24	12	31	135
Adjusted EBITDA	\$307	\$99	\$77	\$(26)	\$457

-

Table of Contents

	Fiscal 2010				
	Global Batteries & Appliances (in millions)	Global Pet Supplies	Home and Garden Business	Corporate / Unallocated Items(a)	Consolidated SB Holdings
Net income (loss), as adjusted (a)	\$143	\$51	\$40	\$(424)	\$(190)
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax	—	—	3	—	3
Income tax expense	—	—	—	63	63
Interest expense	—	—	—	195	195
Write-off unamortized discounts and financing fees (e)	—	—	—	82	82
Pre-acquisition earnings	61	4	1	—	66
Restructuring and related charges	4	7	8	5	24
Acquisition and integration related charges	15	—	—	24	39
Reorganization items	—	—	—	3	3
Accelerated depreciation and amortization (c)	—	—	(1)	(2)	(3)
Fresh-start inventory fair value adjustment	18	14	2	—	34
Russell Hobbs inventory fair value adjustment	3	—	—	—	3
Brazilian IPI credit/other	(5)	—	—	—	(5)
Adjusted EBIT	\$239	\$76	\$53	\$(54)	\$314
Depreciation and amortization (d)	58	28	15	17	118
Adjusted EBITDA	\$297	\$104	\$68	\$(37)	\$432

(a) It is our policy to record income tax expense and interest expense on a consolidated basis. Accordingly, such amounts are not reflected in the operating results of the operating segments.

(b) Adjustment reflects the write-off of unamortized deferred financing fees and discounts related to the refinancing of our Term loan facility.

(c) Adjustment reflects restricted stock amortization and accelerated depreciation associated with certain restructuring initiatives. Inasmuch as this amount is included within Restructuring and related charges, this adjustment negates the impact of reflecting the add-back of depreciation and amortization.

(d) Included within depreciation and amortization is amortization of unearned restricted stock compensation.

Adjustment reflects the following: (i) \$61 million write-off of unamortized deferred financing fees and discounts associated with our restructured capital structure, refinanced on June 16, 2010; (ii) \$17 million related to the termination of interest rate swaps and commitment fees; and (iii) \$4 million related to pre-payment premiums associated with the paydown of our old asset based revolving credit facility and supplemental loan extinguished on June 16, 2010.

Global Batteries & Appliances

	2011 (in millions)	2010	
Net sales to external customers	\$2,254	\$1,658	
Segment profit	\$239	\$171	
Segment profit as a % of net sales	10.6	% 10.3	%
Segment Adjusted EBITDA	\$307	\$297	

Assets as of September 30, \$2,275 \$2,477
Segment sales to external customers in Fiscal 2011 increased \$596 million to \$2,254 million from \$1,658 million during Fiscal 2010, representing a 36% increase. The Merger accounted for \$547 million of the increase due to a full year of small appliances sales of \$778 million in Fiscal 2011 compared to \$231 million during Fiscal 2010, which only includes sales after

46

Table of Contents

the Merger. Favorable foreign currency exchange translation impacted sales in Fiscal 2011 by approximately \$37 million when compared to Fiscal 2010.

Consumer battery sales for Fiscal 2011 remained flat at \$954 million. The decrease is attributable to a decline in specialty battery sales of \$24 million, which was tempered by increased alkaline battery sales of \$9 million, increased portable lighting sales of \$4 million and favorable foreign exchange translation of \$11 million. The \$24 million decrease in specialty battery sales was driven by a decrease in Latin American sales of \$26 million, primarily due to decreased volume in Brazil as a result of competitive pressures in the region tempered by increased sales of \$3 million in North America, predominantly driven by distribution gains. The \$9 million increase in alkaline sales is primarily attributable to increased sales in North America of \$14 million resulting from distribution gains, strong holiday sales in the first quarter of Fiscal 2011 and incremental sales due to severe weather during the year coupled with increased European sales of \$6 million driven by successful promotions and customer gains in the region. The alkaline battery sales growth in these regions was tempered by a decline of \$11 million in Latin America due to decreased volumes in Brazil as a result of competitive pressures. The portable lighting product \$4 million sales increase was primarily driven by increased sales in North America of \$7 million, which were attributable to distribution gains, including multiple online retailers, and a successful new product line launch at a major customer, coupled with favorable foreign exchange of \$1 million. These gains were tempered by decreased sales in Latin America of \$4 million driven by competitive pressures in the region.

Sales of electric shaving and grooming products in Fiscal 2011 increased by \$17 million, a 7% increase, compared to Fiscal 2010. This increase was driven by increases of \$6 million in North America, \$4 million in Europe, \$3 million in Latin America and favorable foreign exchange translation of \$4 million. The increases Latin America resulted from distribution gains, whereas the increases in European and North American sales were driven by increased online sales and distribution gains.

Electric personal care sales increased by \$32 million to \$248 million an increase of 15% over Fiscal 2010 sales. The \$32 million Fiscal 2011 sales growth was attributable to increased North American and European sales of \$12 million and \$14 million, respectively, as well as modest sales increases in Latin America coupled with favorable foreign exchange impacts of \$4 million. The sales increases in North America and Europe were both due to a combination of successful new product launches, distribution gains in each region and increased online sales.

Segment profitability during Fiscal 2011 increased \$68 million to \$239 million from \$171 million in Fiscal 2010. The Merger accounted for a \$42 million increase in segment profit. The remaining increase in segment profitability during Fiscal 2011 was attributable to increased sales which contributed \$12 million of profit, cost saving from integration and cost reduction initiatives of \$12 million, favorable foreign exchange of \$11 million and the non-recurrence of a \$18 million increase in cost of goods sold that resulted from the sale of inventory that was revalued in connection with our adoption of fresh-start reporting upon emergence from Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, that we recognized during the first quarter of Fiscal 2010. Partially offsetting these increases to segment profitability was a \$29 million decrease in margins resulting from higher commodity costs and product mix. Segment profitability as a percentage of sales increased slightly to 10.6% in Fiscal 2011 compared to 10.3% in Fiscal 2010. See "Restructuring and Related Charges" below, as well as Note 14, Restructuring and Related Charges, of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional information regarding our restructuring and related charges.

Segment Adjusted EBITDA in Fiscal 2011 was \$307 million compared to \$297 million in Fiscal 2010, an increase of \$10 million. The increase in Adjusted EBITDA is mainly driven the increased sales, cost savings and foreign exchange impacts mentioned above, tempered by the decreased margins mentioned above.

Segment assets at September 30, 2011 increased to \$2,275 million from \$2,477 million at September 30, 2010.

Goodwill and intangible assets, which are directly a result of the revaluation impacts of fresh-start reporting and subsequent acquisitions, decreased to \$1,295 million at September 30, 2011 from \$1,355 million at September 30, 2010. The decrease is due to a \$23 million intangible impairment as well as amortization of definite lived intangible assets of \$33 million and foreign exchange impacts of \$3 million.

Foreign Currency Translation—Venezuela Impacts

The Global Batteries & Appliances segment does business in Venezuela through a Venezuelan subsidiary. At January 4, 2010, the beginning of our second quarter of Fiscal 2010, we determined that Venezuela met the definition of a highly inflationary economy under GAAP. As a result, beginning January 4, 2010, the U.S. dollar became the functional currency for our Venezuelan subsidiary. Accordingly, currency remeasurement adjustments for this subsidiary's financial statements and other transactional foreign exchange gains and losses are reflected in earnings from January 4, 2010. Through January 3, 2010,

Table of Contents

prior to being designated as highly inflationary, translation adjustments related to the Venezuelan subsidiary were reflected in Shareholders' equity as a component of AOCI.

The designation of our Venezuela entity as a highly inflationary economy and the devaluation of the Bolivar fuerte resulted in a \$1 million reduction to our operating income during Fiscal 2010. We also reported a foreign exchange loss in Other expense (income), net, of \$10 million during Fiscal 2010.

As of September 30, 2011, we are no longer exchanging our Bolivar Fuertes for U.S. dollars through the SITME mechanism and the SITME is no longer the most likely method of exchanging our Bolivar fuertes for U.S. dollars. Therefore, we changed the rate used to remeasure Bolivar fuerte denominated transactions as of September 30, 2011 from the 5.3 SITME rate to the 4.3 official exchange rate as it is the expected rate at which exchanges of our Bolivar fuertes to U.S. dollars will be settled. We reported a foreign exchange gain in Other expense (income), net, of \$(1) million during Fiscal 2011 related to the change to the official exchange rate.

Global Pet Supplies

	2011	2010		
	(in millions)			
Net sales to external customers	\$579	\$566		
Segment profit	\$75	\$58		
Segment profit as a % of net sales	13.0	% 10.2		%
Segment Adjusted EBITDA	\$99	\$104		
Assets as of September 30,	\$828	\$839		

Segment sales to external customers in Fiscal 2011 increased to \$579 million from \$566 million in Fiscal 2010, representing an increase of \$13 million or 2%. The increase of \$13 million is attributable to increased companion animal product sales of \$15 million, \$7 million of which was a direct result of the Merger, with the remaining \$8 million being driven by successful product launches and continued expansion in Europe, coupled with \$8 million of favorable foreign exchange. These gains were partially offset by decreased aquatics sales of \$10 million resulting from overall global macroeconomic conditions.

Segment profitability in Fiscal 2011 increased to \$75 million from \$58 million in Fiscal 2010. Segment profitability as a percentage of sales in Fiscal 2011 also increased to 13.0% from 10.2% during Fiscal 2010. The increase in segment profitability and profitability margin was primarily attributable to cost savings of \$12 million related to integration and cost reduction initiatives, in addition to the non-recurrence of a \$14 million increase in cost of goods sold that resulted from the sale of inventory that was revalued in connection with our adoption of fresh-start reporting upon emergence from Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, that we recognized during the first quarter of Fiscal 2010. These gains were slightly offset by decreased margins primarily due to closeout sales during the fourth quarter of Fiscal 2011. See "Restructuring and Related Charges" below, as well as Note 14, Restructuring and Related Charges, of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional information regarding our restructuring and related charges.

Segment Adjusted EBITDA in Fiscal 2011 was \$99 million compared to \$104 million in Fiscal 2010. The decrease in Adjusted EBITDA was driven by a lower EBITDA realized from products acquired in the Merger, as Fiscal 2010 Adjusted EBITDA includes preacquisition earnings.

Segment assets as of September 30, 2011 decreased to \$828 million from \$839 million at September 30, 2010. Goodwill and intangible assets, which are directly a result of the revaluation impacts of fresh-start reporting and subsequent acquisitions, decreased to \$595 million at September 30, 2011 from \$602 million at September 30, 2010. The decrease is due to a \$9 million intangible impairment as well as amortization of definite lived intangible assets of \$16 million, slightly offset by increases due to acquisitions that resulted in increased goodwill and intangible assets of \$17 million.

Table of Contents

Home and Garden Business

	2011 (in millions)	2010	
Net sales to external customers	\$354	\$343	
Segment profit	\$65	\$51	
Segment profit as a % of net sales	18.4	% 14.9	%
Segment Adjusted EBITDA	\$77	\$68	
Assets as of September 30,	\$476	\$496	

Segment sales to external customers of home and garden control products during Fiscal 2011 increased \$11 million, or 3% versus Fiscal 2010, driven by increased household insect controls sales of \$14 million, of which \$4 million related to the Merger. The remaining growth in household insect control sales was driven by increased distribution and product placements with major customers. These gains were partially offset by a \$3 million decrease in lawn and garden control sales due to unseasonable weather conditions in the U.S., which negatively impacted the lawn and garden season.

Segment profitability in Fiscal 2011 increased to \$65 million compared to \$51 million in Fiscal 2010. This increase in segment profitability was attributable to increased sales as well as savings from our global cost reduction initiatives announced in Fiscal 2009 in addition to the non-recurrence of a \$2 million increase in cost of goods sold that resulted from the sale of inventory that was revalued in connection with our adoption of fresh-start reporting upon emergence from Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, that we recognized during the first quarter of Fiscal 2010. Segment profitability as a percentage of sales in Fiscal 2011 increased to 18.4% from 14.9% in Fiscal 2010. The increase in segment profitability was also due to the factors mentioned above, as well as margin improvements as a result of expense management. See "Restructuring and Related Charges" below, as well as Note 14, Restructuring and Related Charges, of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional information regarding our restructuring and related charges.

Segment Adjusted EBITDA in Fiscal 2011 was \$77 million compared to \$68 million in Fiscal 2010. The increase in Adjusted EBITDA during Fiscal 2011 was mainly driven by product distribution gains, cost improvement initiatives and expense management as mentioned above.

Segment assets as of September 30, 2011 decreased to \$476 million from \$496 million at September 30, 2010.

Goodwill and intangible assets, which are directly a result of the revaluation impacts of fresh-start reporting and subsequent acquisitions, decreased to \$404 million at September 30, 2011 from \$413 million at September 30, 2010. The decrease of \$9 million is driven by amortization associated with definite lived intangible assets of \$9 million and an intangible asset impairment of \$1 million slightly tempered by additions due to acquisitions.

Corporate Expense. Our corporate expense in Fiscal 2011 increased to \$54 million from \$49 million in Fiscal 2010. This increase is attributable to a \$14 million increase in stock based compensation expense during Fiscal 2011 compared to Fiscal 2010, partially offset by savings resulting from the relocation of the corporate office back to Madison, Wisconsin, as well as synergies realized from the Merger. Corporate expense as a percentage of consolidated net sales for Fiscal 2011 was 1.7% compared to 1.9% during Fiscal 2010.

Restructuring and Related Charges. See Note 14, "Restructuring and Related Charges", of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional information regarding our restructuring and related charges.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes all restructuring and related charges we incurred in Fiscal 2011 and Fiscal 2010 (in millions):

	2011	2010
Costs included in cost of goods sold:		
Global Realignment Initiatives:		
Termination benefits	\$—	\$0.2
Other associated costs	—	(0.1)
Ningbo Exit Plan:		
Other associated costs	0.3	2.1
Global Cost Reduction Initiatives:		
Termination benefits	1.6	2.6
Other associated costs	5.9	2.3
Total included in cost of goods sold	\$7.8	\$7.1
Costs included in operating expenses:		
European Initiatives:		
Termination benefits	\$(0.3)	\$(0.1)
Global Realignment Initiatives:		
Termination benefits	1.2	5.4
Other associated costs	1.9	(1.9)
Global Cost Reduction Initiatives:		
Termination benefits	10.2	4.3
Other associated costs	7.8	9.3
Total included in operating expenses	\$20.8	\$17.0
Total restructuring and related charges	\$28.6	\$24.1

We have implemented a series of initiatives in the Global Batteries & Personal Care segment in Europe to reduce operating costs and rationalize our manufacturing structure (the “European Initiatives”). In connection with the European Initiatives, which are substantially complete, we implemented a series of initiatives within the Global Batteries & Personal Care segment in Europe to reduce operating costs and rationalize our manufacturing structure. These initiatives included the relocation of certain operations at our Ellwangen, Germany packaging center to our Dischingen, Germany battery plant, transferring private label battery production at our Dischingen, Germany battery plant to our manufacturing facility in China and restructuring Europe’s sales, marketing and support functions. In connection with the European Initiatives, we recorded de minimis pretax restructuring and related charges during Fiscal 2011 and Fiscal 2010, representing the true-up of reserve balances.

In Fiscal 2007, we began managing our business in three vertically integrated, product-focused reporting segments; Global Batteries & Personal Care, Global Pet Supplies and the Home and Garden Business. As part of this realignment, our global operations organization, consisting of research and development, manufacturing management, global purchasing, quality operations and inbound supply chain, was transferred to the operating segments. In connection with these changes we undertook a number of cost reduction initiatives, primarily headcount reductions at the corporate and operating segment levels (the “Global Realignment Initiatives”). We recorded approximately \$3 million and \$4 million of pretax restructuring and related charges during Fiscal 2011 and Fiscal 2010, respectively, in connection with the Global Realignment Initiatives. Costs associated with these initiatives, which are expected to be incurred through June 30, 2013, relate primarily to severance and are projected at approximately \$92 million. During Fiscal 2008, we implemented an initiative within the Global Batteries & Personal Care segment to reduce operating costs and rationalize our manufacturing structure. These initiatives, which are substantially complete, include the exit of our battery manufacturing facility in Ningbo Baowang China (“Ningbo”) (the “Ningbo Exit Plan”). We recorded de minimis pretax restructuring and related charges during Fiscal 2011 and \$2 million of pretax restructuring

and related charges during Fiscal 2010, in connection with the Ningbo Exit Plan. We have recorded pretax restructuring and related charges of approximately \$30 million since the inception of the Ningbo Exit Plan. During Fiscal 2009, we implemented a series of initiatives within the Global Batteries & Personal Care segment and the Global Pet Supplies segment to reduce operating costs as well as evaluate our opportunities to improve our capital structure

50

Table of Contents

(the “Global Cost Reduction Initiatives”). These initiatives included headcount reductions within all our segments and the exit of certain facilities in the U.S. related to the Global Pet Supplies segment. These initiatives also included expenditures for banking and legal and accounting consultation fees related to the evaluation of our capital structure. We recorded \$25 million and \$18 million of pretax restructuring and related charges during Fiscal 2011 and Fiscal 2010, respectively, related to the Global Cost Reduction Initiatives. Costs associated with these initiatives, which are expected to be incurred through January 31, 2015, are projected at approximately \$78 million.

Acquisition and integration related charges. Acquisition and integration related charges reflected in Operating expenses include, but are not limited to, transaction costs such as banking, legal and accounting professional fees directly related to acquisitions, employee termination charges, integration related professional fees and other post business combination related expenses.

We incurred \$37 million of Acquisition and integration related charges during Fiscal 2011 primarily in connection with the Merger, which consisted of: (i) \$23 million of integration costs; (ii) \$8 million of employee termination charges; and (iii) \$6 million of legal and professional fees. We incurred \$38 million of Acquisition and integration related charges during Fiscal 2010, which consisted of the following: (i) \$25 million of legal and professional fees; (ii) \$10 million of employee termination charges; and (iii) \$4 million of integration costs.

Goodwill and Intangibles Impairment. Accounting standards require companies to test goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment annually, or more often if an event or circumstance indicates that an impairment loss may have been incurred. In Fiscal 2011 and 2010, we tested our goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets as required. As a result of this testing, we recorded a non-cash pretax impairment charge of \$32 million in Fiscal 2011. The \$32 million non-cash pretax impairment charge incurred in Fiscal 2011 reflects trade name intangible asset impairments of the following: \$23 million related to the Global Batteries and Appliances segment; \$8 million related to Global Pet Supplies; and \$1 million related to the Home and Garden Business. See Note 2(i), "Significant Accounting Policies and Practices—Intangible Assets", of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for further details on this impairment charge.

Interest Expense. Interest expense in Fiscal 2011 decreased to \$208 million from \$277 million in Fiscal 2010. The decrease was driven primarily by lower unusual items in Fiscal 2011 of \$29 million compared to \$78 million in Fiscal 2010, and lower effective interest rates on outstanding debt. Unusual items in Fiscal 2011 included (i) \$15 million related to the write off of unamortized debt issuance costs related to our former term loan that was refinanced on February 1, 2011, a non-cash charge; (ii) a \$9 million write off of unamortized original issue discount related to the refinanced term loan facility, a non-cash charge; and (iii) a prepayment premium of \$5 million related to the refinanced term loan facility. Unusual items for Fiscal 2010 included (i) \$55 million representing the write-off of the unamortized portion of discounts and premiums related to debt that was paid off in conjunction with our refinancing on June 16, 2010, a non-cash charge; (ii) a \$9 million cash charge related to bridge commitment fees we paid while we were refinancing our debt; (iii) \$6 million representing the write-off of the unamortized debt issuance costs related to debt that was paid off, a non-cash charge; (iv) \$4 million cash charge related to a prepayment premium; and (v) \$3 million of cash charges related to the termination of a Euro-denominated interest rate swap.

Reorganization Items. During Fiscal 2010, we, in connection with our reorganization under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, recorded Reorganization items expense (income), net of approximately \$4 million, which primarily consisted of legal and professional fees.

Income Taxes. In Fiscal 2011, we recorded income tax expense of \$92 million on pretax income from continuing operations of \$17 million, and in Fiscal 2010, we recorded income tax expense of \$63 million on a pretax loss from continuing operations of \$124 million. Our effective tax rate on income from continuing operations was approximately 539% for Fiscal 2011. Our effective tax rate on our loss from continuing operations was approximately (50.9)% for Fiscal 2010. There are four significant factors impacting our book income tax rate. First, we are profitable in the foreign jurisdictions in which we operate and therefore must provide foreign income taxes even while we have a book loss in the United States. Our book loss in the U.S. is the result of substantially all of our debt and restructuring costs being incurred in our U.S. entities. Second, since there is a valuation allowance against US deferred tax assets,

we are unable to book any financial statement benefit related to our U.S. domestic losses. This impact is further exacerbated by the tax amortization of certain domestic indefinite lived intangible assets. The deferred tax liabilities created by the tax amortization of these intangibles cannot be used to offset corresponding increases in net operating loss deferred tax assets in determining the Company's domestic valuation allowance. This results in additional net domestic tax expense despite the US domestic book losses. Third, we recorded a valuation allowance against NOLs in Brazil during fiscal 2011 of \$26 million. Fourth, the closer we are to break even, the higher the effective tax rate becomes as the taxes are divided by a lower book income. In addition to these recurring factors, our income tax provision for the year ended September 30, 2011 reflects the correction of a prior period error which increases our income tax provision by approximately \$5 million. Our income tax provision for the year ended September 30, 2010 reflected the correction of a prior period error which increased our income tax provision by approximately \$6 million.

Table of Contents

As of September 30, 2011, we have U.S. federal and state net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$1,163 million and \$1,197 million, respectively. These net operating loss carryforwards expire through years ending in 2032. We also have foreign loss carryforwards of approximately \$140 million, which will expire beginning in 2012. Certain of the foreign net operating losses have indefinite carryforward periods. We have had multiple changes of ownership, as defined under Internal Revenue Code (“IRC”) Section 382, that subject our U.S. federal and state net operating losses and other tax attributes to certain limitations. The annual limitation on our use of these carryforwards is based on a number of factors including the value of our stock (as defined for tax purposes) on the date of the ownership change, our net unrealized built in gain position on that date, the occurrence of realized built in gains in years subsequent to the ownership change, and the effects of subsequent ownership changes (as defined for tax purposes), if any. In addition, separate return year limitations apply to limit our utilization of the acquired Russell Hobbs U.S. federal and state net operating losses to future income of the Russell Hobbs subgroup. Based on these factors, we estimate that \$302 million of the total U.S. federal and \$385 million of the state net operating loss would expire unused even if the Company generates sufficient income to otherwise use all its NOLs. In addition, we project that \$35 million of the total foreign net operating loss carryforwards will expire unused. We have provided a full valuation allowance against these deferred tax assets as well.

The ultimate realization of our deferred tax assets depends on our ability to generate sufficient taxable income of the appropriate character in the future and in the appropriate taxing jurisdictions. We establish valuation allowances for deferred tax assets when we estimate it is more likely than not that the tax assets will not be realized. We base these estimates on projections of future income, including tax planning strategies, in certain jurisdictions. Changes in industry conditions and other economic conditions may impact our ability to project future income. ASC Topic 740: “Income Taxes” (“ASC 740”) requires the establishment of a valuation allowance when it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. In accordance with ASC 740, we periodically assess the likelihood that our deferred tax assets will be realized and determine if adjustments to the valuation allowance are required.

Our total valuation allowance for the tax benefit of deferred tax assets that may not be realized is approximately \$374 million at September 30, 2011. Of this amount, approximately \$339 million relates to U.S. net deferred tax assets and approximately \$35 million relates to foreign net deferred tax assets. During Fiscal 2011, we also determined that a valuation allowance is required against deferred tax assets related to net operating losses in Brazil and thus recorded a \$26 million charge. Our total valuation allowance was approximately \$331 million at September 30, 2010. Of this amount, approximately \$300 million related to U.S. net deferred tax assets and approximately \$31 million related to foreign net deferred tax assets.

ASC 740, which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in tax positions, requires that we recognize in our financial statements the impact of a tax position, if that position is more likely than not to be sustained on audit, based on the technical merits of the position. As of September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010, the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect the effective income tax rate in future periods was \$9 million and \$13 million, respectively. See Note 9, “Income Taxes”, of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional information.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Operating Activities. Cash provided by operating activities totaled \$255 million during Fiscal 2012 compared to \$227 million during Fiscal 2011. The \$28 million increase in cash provided by operating activities was primarily due to:

- Higher income before income tax expense, interest expense and impairment charges of \$42 million and;
- Lower cash acquisition, integration and restructuring related costs of \$12 million and;
- Lower cash payments for interest of \$11 million (See Note 6, “Debt”, of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K), partially offset by;
- A \$21 million use of cash from working capital and other items driven by higher inventories, partially offset by lower accounts receivable, higher accounts payable, higher accrued salaries and employee benefit obligations and;
- Higher cash payments for income taxes of \$2 million and;

Other items totaling a use of \$14 million.

We expect to fund our cash requirements, including capital expenditures, interest and principal payments due in our fiscal year ending September 30, 2013 ("Fiscal 2013"); including if the Hardware Acquisition is completed, through a combination of cash on hand, cash flow from operations and funds available for borrowings under our ABL Revolving Credit Facility. Going forward, our ability to satisfy financial and other covenants in our senior credit agreements and senior unsecured indenture and to make scheduled payments or prepayments on our debt and other financial obligations will depend on our future financial and operating performance. There can be no assurances that our business will generate sufficient cash flows from operations or that future borrowings under our ABL Revolving Credit Facility will be available in an amount sufficient to satisfy our debt maturities or to fund our other liquidity needs.

Table of Contents

We are not treating Fiscal 2012 and future earnings as permanently reinvested. At September 30, 2012, there are no significant foreign cash balances available for repatriation. For Fiscal 2013, we expect to generate between \$60 million and \$90 million of foreign cash, which does not include the HHI Business, that will be repatriated for general corporate purposes.

See Item 1A. Risk Factors, for further discussion of the risks associated with our ability to service all of our existing indebtedness, our ability to maintain compliance with financial and other covenants related to our indebtedness and the impact of the current economic crisis.

Investing Activities. Net cash used by investing activities was \$231 million during Fiscal 2012 compared to a net cash use of \$46 million during Fiscal 2011. The \$185 million increase in cash used by investing activities in Fiscal 2012 is driven by an increase in cash used for acquisitions of \$172 million, which related to the \$139 million, net of cash acquired, purchase of FURminator and the \$44 million acquisition of Black Flag, which was partially offset by the \$11 million acquisition of Seed Resources, Inc., net of cash acquired, in Fiscal 2011. Also contributing to the increase in cash used in investing activities in Fiscal 2012 was an \$10 million increase in capital expenditures and the non-recurrence of a \$7 million cash inflow related to the sale of assets held for sale in Fiscal 2011, partially offset by a decrease in other investing activity of approximately \$4 million in Fiscal 2012 compared to Fiscal 2011.

We expect to, including if the Hardware Acquisition is completed, to make investments in capital projects similar to historical levels, as well as incremental investments in high return cost reduction projects slightly above historical levels.

Financing Activities

Debt Financing

At September 30, 2012, we had the following debt instruments: (i) a senior secured term loan (the "Term Loan") pursuant to a senior credit agreement (the "Senior Credit Agreement"); (ii) 9.5% secured notes (the "9.5% Notes"); (iii) 6.75% unsecured notes (the "6.75% Notes"); and (iv) a \$300 million asset based lending revolving credit facility (the "ABL Facility," and, together with the Term Loan, the 9.5% Notes and the 6.75% Notes, (the "Senior Credit Facilities").

At September 30, 2012, the aggregate amount of principal outstanding under our debt instruments was as follows: (i) \$370 million under the Term Loan, maturing June 17, 2016; (ii) \$950 million under the 9.5% Notes, maturing June 15, 2018; (iii) \$300 million under the 6.75% Notes, maturing March 15, 2020; and (iv) \$0 million under the ABL Revolving Credit Facility, expiring May 3, 2016.

At September 30, 2012, we were in compliance with all covenants under the Senior Credit Agreement, the indenture governing the 9.5% Notes, the indenture governing the 6.75% Notes and the credit agreement governing the ABL Revolving Credit Facility (the "ABL Credit Agreement").

On November 16, 2012, Spectrum Brands Escrow Corp. issued \$520 million aggregate principal amount of 2020 Notes and \$570 million aggregate principal amount of 2022 Notes. The 2020 Notes and the 2022 Notes will be assumed by Spectrum Brands upon the First Closing. Spectrum Brands intends to use the net proceeds from the offering to fund a portion of the purchase price and related fees and expenses for the Hardware Acquisition. Spectrum Brands intends to finance the remaining portion of the Hardware Acquisition, as well as refinance its existing Term Loan with a new \$800 million senior secured term loan, which is expected to close concurrently with the First Closing.

See Note 17, "Subsequent Events," to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional information regarding our 2020 Notes and 2022 Notes.

From time to time we may repurchase our existing indebtedness, including outstanding securities of Spectrum Brand or its subsidiaries, in the open market or otherwise.

See Note 6, "Debt," to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional information regarding our outstanding debt.

Interest Payments and Fees

In addition to principal payments on our Senior Credit Facilities, we have annual interest payment obligations of approximately \$129 million in the aggregate. This includes interest under our 9.5% Notes of approximately \$90

million and interest under our 6.75% Notes of approximately \$20 million. Based on amounts currently outstanding and using market interest rates in effect at September 30, 2012, this also includes interest under our Term Loan of approximately \$19 million. Additionally, upon issuance of the 2020 Notes and the 2022 Notes, we will have annual interest payments of approximately \$34 million and \$38 million, respectively. Such interest obligations would increase borrowings under the ABL Facility if cash were

Table of Contents

not otherwise available for such payments. Interest on our debt is payable in cash. Interest on the 9.5% Notes and interest on the 6.75% Notes is payable semi-annually in arrears and interest under the Term Loan and the ABL Facility is payable on various interest payment dates as provided in the Senior Credit Agreement and the ABL Credit Agreement.

We are required to pay certain fees in connection with the Senior Credit Facilities. Such fees include a quarterly commitment fee of up to 0.375% on the unused portion of the ABL Facility and certain additional fees with respect to the letter of credit sub-facility under the ABL Facility.

Equity Financing Activities

During Fiscal 2012, we granted approximately 0.9 million shares of restricted stock units to our employees and our directors. All vesting dates are subject to the recipient's continued employment with us, except as otherwise permitted by our Board of Directors or in certain cases if the employee is terminated without cause. The total market value of the restricted shares on the date of grant was approximately \$24 million, which represented unearned restricted stock compensation. Unearned compensation is amortized to expense over the appropriate vesting period.

During Fiscal 2012, we completed a \$30 million stock repurchase program which was approved by the Board of Directors on October 18, 2011.

From time to time we may repurchase our outstanding shares of Common Stock in the open market or otherwise.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that are material to investors.

Contractual Obligations & Other Commercial Commitments**Contractual Obligations**

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations as of September 30, 2012 and the effect such obligations are expected to have on our liquidity and cash flow in future periods (in millions):

	Contractual Obligations						Total
	Payments due by Fiscal Year						
	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Thereafter	
Debt:							
Debt, excluding capital lease obligations(1)	\$13	\$10	\$6	\$359	\$—	\$1,250	\$1,638
Capital lease obligations(2)	3	3	2	2	2	15	27
	\$16	\$13	\$8	\$361	\$2	\$1,265	\$1,665
Employee benefit obligations(3)	10	9	9	9	10	56	103
Operating lease obligations	33	28	22	21	17	43	164
Other liabilities	—	2	1	1	1	7	12
Total Contractual Obligations(4)	\$59	\$52	\$40	\$392	\$30	\$1,371	\$1,944

On November 16, 2012, Spectrum Brands Escrow Corp. issued \$520 million aggregate principal amount of 2020 Notes and \$570 million aggregate principal amount of 2022 Notes, which will be assumed by Spectrum Brands upon the First Closing. The net proceeds from the offering will be used to fund a portion of the purchase price and related fees and expenses for the Hardware Acquisition. Spectrum Brands intends to finance the remaining portion of the Hardware Acquisition, as well as refinance its existing Term Loan with a new \$800 million senior secured term loan, which is expected to close concurrently with the First Closing. See Note 17, "Subsequent Events," to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional information regarding our 2020 Notes and 2022 Notes.

(2)

Capital lease payments due by fiscal year include executory costs and imputed interest not reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Employee benefit obligations represent the sum of our estimated future minimum required funding for our (3) qualified defined benefit plans based on actuarially determined estimates and projected future benefit payments from our

Table of Contents

unfunded postretirement plans. For additional information about our employee benefit obligations, see Note 10, "Employee Benefit Plans", of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

At September 30, 2012, our consolidated balance sheet includes tax reserves for uncertain tax positions. However, it is not possible to predict or estimate the timing of payments for these obligations. The Company cannot predict (4) the ultimate outcome of income tax audits currently in progress for certain of our companies; however, it is reasonably possible that during the next 12 months some portion of our unrecognized tax benefits could be recognized.

Other Commercial Commitments

The following table summarizes our other commercial commitments as of September 30, 2012, consisting entirely of standby letters of credit that back the performance of certain of our entities under various credit facilities, insurance policies and lease arrangements (in millions):

	Other Commercial Commitments						Total
	Amount of Commitment Expiration by Fiscal Year						
	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Thereafter	
Letters of credit	\$19	\$7	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$26
Total Other Commercial Commitments	\$19	\$7	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$26

Critical Accounting Policies

Our Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K have been prepared in accordance with GAAP and fairly present our financial position and results of operations. We believe the following accounting policies are critical to an understanding of our financial statements. The application of these policies requires management's judgment and estimates in areas that are inherently uncertain.

Valuation of Assets and Asset Impairment

We evaluate certain long-lived assets to be held and used, such as property, plant and equipment and definite-lived intangible assets for impairment based on the expected future cash flows or earnings projections associated with such assets. Impairment reviews are conducted at the judgment of management when it believes that a change in circumstances in the business or external factors warrants a review. Circumstances such as the discontinuation of a product or product line, a sudden or consistent decline in the sales forecast for a product, changes in technology or in the way an asset is being used, a history of operating or cash flow losses or an adverse change in legal factors or in the business climate, among others, may trigger an impairment review. An asset's value is deemed impaired if the discounted cash flows or earnings projections generated do not support the carrying value of the asset. The estimation of such amounts requires management's judgment with respect to revenue and expense growth rates, changes in working capital and selection of an appropriate discount rate, as applicable. The use of different assumptions would increase or decrease discounted future operating cash flows or earnings projections and could, therefore, change impairment determinations.

ASC 350 requires companies to test goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment annually, or more often if an event or circumstance indicates that an impairment loss may have been incurred. In Fiscal 2012, Fiscal 2011 and Fiscal 2010, we tested our goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets as required. As a result of this testing, we recorded no impairment charges in Fiscal 2012 and Fiscal 2010, and non-cash pretax impairment charges of approximately \$32 million in Fiscal 2011. The \$32 million impairment charge incurred in Fiscal 2011 reflects an impairment of trade name intangible assets consisting of the following: (i) \$23 million related to Global Batteries and Appliances; (ii) \$8 million related to Global Pet Supplies; and (iii) \$1 million related to the Home and Garden Business.

We used a discounted estimated future cash flows methodology, third party valuations and negotiated sales prices to determine the fair value of our reporting units (goodwill). Fair value of indefinite-lived intangible assets, which

represent trade names, was determined using a relief from royalty methodology. Assumptions critical to our fair value estimates were: (i) the present value factors used in determining the fair value of the reporting units and trade names or third party indicated fair values for assets expected to be disposed; (ii) royalty rates used in our trade name valuations; (iii) projected average revenue growth rates used in the reporting unit and trade name models; and (iv) projected long-term growth rates used in the derivation of terminal year values. We also tested the aggregate estimated fair value of our reporting unites for reasonableness by comparison to our total market capitalization, which includes both our equity and debt securities. These and other assumptions are impacted by economic conditions and expectations of management and will change in the future based on period specific facts and circumstances.

Table of Contents

As of September 30, 2012 the fair value of our Global Batteries & Appliances, Global Pet Supplies and Home and Garden Business reporting units, which are also our segments, exceeded their carry values by 71%, 55% and 30%, respectively, as of the date of our latest annual impairment testing.

See Note 2(h), "Significant Accounting Policies and Practices—Property, Plant and Equipment", Note 2(i), "Significant Accounting Policies and Practices—Intangible Assets"; Note 4, "Property, Plant and Equipment"; Note 5, "Goodwill and Intangible Assets"; and Note 16, "Discontinued Operations", of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for more information about these assets.

Revenue Recognition and Concentration of Credit Risk

We recognize revenue from product sales generally upon delivery to the customer or the shipping point in situations where the customer picks up the product or where delivery terms so stipulate. This represents the point at which title and all risks and rewards of ownership of the product are passed, provided that: there are no uncertainties regarding customer acceptance; there is persuasive evidence that an arrangement exists; the price to the buyer is fixed or determinable; and collectibility is deemed reasonably assured. We are generally not obligated to allow for, and our general policy is not to accept, product returns for battery sales. We do accept returns in specific instances related to our electric shaving and grooming, electric personal care, home and garden, small appliances and pet supply products. The provision for customer returns is based on historical sales and returns and other relevant information. We estimate and accrue the cost of returns, which are treated as a reduction of net sales.

We enter into various promotional arrangements, primarily with retail customers, including arrangements entitling such retailers to cash rebates from us based on the level of their purchases, which require us to estimate and accrue the costs of the promotional programs. These costs are generally treated as a reduction of net sales.

We also enter into promotional arrangements that target the ultimate consumer. Such arrangements are treated as either a reduction of net sales or an increase in cost of sales, based on the type of promotional program. The income statement presentation of our promotional arrangements complies with ASC Topic 605: "Revenue Recognition." Cash consideration, or an equivalent thereto, given to a customer is generally classified as a reduction of net sales. If we provide a customer anything other than cash, the cost of the consideration is classified as an expense and included in cost of sales.

For all types of promotional arrangements and programs, we monitor our commitments and use statistical measures and past experience to determine the amounts to be recorded for the estimate of the earned, but unpaid, promotional costs. The terms of our customer-related promotional arrangements and programs are tailored to each customer and are generally documented through written contracts, correspondence or other communications with the individual customers.

We also enter into various arrangements, primarily with retail customers, which require us to make an upfront cash, or "slotting" payment, to secure the right to distribute through such customer. We capitalize slotting payments, provided the payments are supported by a time or volume based arrangement with the retailer, and amortize the associated payment over the appropriate time or volume based term of the arrangement. The amortization of slotting payments is treated as a reduction in net sales and a corresponding asset is reported in Deferred charges and other in our Consolidated Statements of Financial Position included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Our trade receivables subject us to credit risk which is evaluated based on changing economic, political and specific customer conditions. We assess these risks and make provisions for collectibility based on our best estimate of the risks presented and information available at the date of the financial statements. The use of different assumptions may change our estimate of collectibility. We extend credit to our customers based upon an evaluation of the customer's financial condition and credit history and generally do not require collateral. Our credit terms generally range between 30 and 90 days from invoice date, depending upon the evaluation of the customer's financial condition and history. We monitor our customers' credit and financial condition in order to assess whether the economic conditions have changed and adjust our credit policies with respect to any individual customer as we determine appropriate. These adjustments may include, but are not limited to, restricting shipments to customers, reducing credit limits, shortening credit terms, requiring cash payments in advance of shipment or securing credit insurance.

See Note 2(b), "Significant Accounting Policies and Practices—Revenue Recognition"; Note 2(c), "Significant Accounting Policies and Practices—Use of Estimates" and Note 2(e), "Significant Accounting Policies and Practices—Concentrations of Credit Risk and Major Customers and Employees"; of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for more information about our revenue recognition and credit policies.

Pensions

56

Table of Contents

Our accounting for pension benefits is primarily based on a discount rate, expected and actual return on plan assets and other assumptions made by management, and is impacted by outside factors such as equity and fixed income market performance. Our pension liability is principally the estimated present value of future benefits, net of plan assets. In calculating the estimated present value of future benefits, net of plan assets, we used discount rates of 4.0% to 13.5% in Fiscal 2012 and of 4.2% to 13.6% in Fiscal 2011. In adjusting the discount rates from Fiscal 2011 to 2012, we considered the change in the general market interest rates of debt and solicited the advice of our actuary. We believe the discount rates used are reflective of the rates at which the pension benefits could be effectively settled. Pension expense is principally the sum of interest and service cost of the plan, less the expected return on plan assets and the amortization of the difference between our assumptions and actual experience. The expected return on plan assets is calculated by applying an assumed rate of return to the fair value of plan assets. We used expected returns on plan assets of 4.0% to 7.8% in Fiscal 2012 and 3.0% to 7.8% in Fiscal 2011. Based on the advice of our independent actuary, we believe the expected rates of return are reflective of the long-term average rate of earnings expected on the funds invested. If such expected returns were overstated, it would ultimately increase future pension expense and required funding contributions. Similarly, an understatement of the expected return would ultimately decrease future pension expense and required funding contributions. If plan assets decline due to poor performance by the markets and/or interest rates decline resulting in a lower discount rate, our pension liability will increase, ultimately increasing future pension expense and required funding contributions.

See Note 10, "Employee Benefit Plans", of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for a more complete discussion of our employee benefit plans.

Restructuring and Related Charges

Restructuring charges are recognized and measured according to the provisions of ASC Topic 420: "Exit or Disposal Cost Obligations," ("ASC 420"). Under ASC 420, restructuring charges include, but are not limited to, termination and related costs consisting primarily of severance costs and retention bonuses, and contract termination costs consisting primarily of lease termination costs. Related charges, as defined by us, include, but are not limited to, other costs directly associated with exit and integration activities, including impairment of property and other assets, departmental costs of full-time incremental integration employees, and any other items related to the exit or integration activities. Costs for such activities are estimated by us after evaluating detailed analyses of the cost to be incurred. We present restructuring and related charges on a combined basis.

Liabilities from restructuring and related charges are recorded for estimated costs of facility closures, significant organizational adjustments and measures undertaken by management to exit certain activities. Costs for such activities are estimated by management after evaluating detailed analyses of the costs to be incurred. Such liabilities could include amounts for items such as severance costs and related benefits (including settlements of pension plans), impairment of property and equipment and other current or long term assets, lease termination payments and any other items directly related to the exit activities. While the actions are carried out as expeditiously as possible, restructuring and related charges are estimates. Changes in estimates resulting in an increase to or a reversal of a previously recorded liability may be required as management executes a restructuring plan.

We report restructuring and related charges associated with manufacturing and related initiatives in cost of goods sold. Restructuring and related charges reflected in cost of goods sold include, but are not limited to, termination and related costs associated with manufacturing employees, asset impairments relating to manufacturing initiatives and other costs directly related to the restructuring initiatives implemented.

We report restructuring and related charges associated with administrative functions in operating expenses, such as initiatives impacting sales, marketing, distribution or other non-manufacturing related functions. Restructuring and related charges reflected in operating expenses include, but are not limited to, termination and related costs, any asset impairments relating to the administrative functions and other costs directly related to the initiatives implemented.

See Note 14, "Restructuring and Related Charges", of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for a more complete discussion of our restructuring initiatives and related costs.

Acquisition and Integration Related Charges

The costs of plans to (i) exit an activity of an acquired company, (ii) involuntarily terminate employees of an acquired company or (iii) relocate employees of an acquired company are measured and recorded in accordance with the provisions of the ASC 805. Under ASC 805, if certain conditions are met, such costs are recognized as a liability assumed as of the consummation date of the purchase business combination and included in the allocation of the acquisition cost. Costs related to terminated activities or employees of the acquired company that do not meet the conditions prescribed in ASC 805 are treated as acquisition and integration related charges and expensed as incurred.

Table of Contents

Loss Contingencies

Loss contingencies are recorded as liabilities when it is probable that a loss has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. The outcome of existing litigation, the impact of environmental matters and pending or potential examinations by various taxing authorities are examples of situations evaluated as loss contingencies. Estimating the probability and magnitude of losses is often dependent upon management's judgment of potential actions by third parties and regulators. It is possible that changes in estimates or an increased probability of an unfavorable outcome could materially affect our business, financial condition or results of operations. See further discussion in Item 3, Legal Proceedings, and Note 12, "Commitments and Contingencies", of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Other Significant Accounting Policies

Other significant accounting policies, primarily those with lower levels of uncertainty than those discussed above, are also critical to understanding the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K contain additional information related to our accounting policies, including recent accounting pronouncements, and should be read in conjunction with this discussion.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market Risk Factors

We have market risk exposure from changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates and commodity prices. We use derivative financial instruments for purposes other than trading to mitigate the risk from such exposures. A discussion of our accounting policies for derivative financial instruments is included in Note 7, Derivative Financial Instruments, of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Interest Rate Risk

We have bank lines of credit at variable interest rates. The general level of U.S. interest rates, LIBOR and EURIBOR affect interest expense. We have historically used interest rate swaps to manage such risk. The net amounts to be paid or received under interest rate swap agreements are accrued as interest rates change, and are recognized over the life of the swap agreements as an adjustment to interest expense from the underlying debt to which the swap is designated. The related amounts payable to, or receivable from, the contract counter-parties are included in accrued liabilities or accounts receivable. As of September 30, 2012, there were no outstanding interest rate derivative instruments.

Foreign Exchange Risk

We are subject to risk from sales and loans to and from our subsidiaries as well as sales to, purchases from and bank lines of credit with, third-party customers, suppliers and creditors, respectively, denominated in foreign currencies. Foreign currency sales and purchases are made primarily in Euro, Pounds Sterling, Canadian Dollars, Australian Dollars and Brazilian Reals. We manage our foreign exchange exposure from anticipated sales, accounts receivable, intercompany loans, firm purchase commitments, accounts payable and credit obligations through the use of naturally occurring offsetting positions (borrowing in local currency), forward foreign exchange contracts, foreign exchange rate swaps and foreign exchange options. The related amounts payable to, or receivable from, the contract counter-parties are included in accounts payable or accounts receivable.

Commodity Price Risk

We are exposed to fluctuations in market prices for purchases of zinc used in the manufacturing process. We use commodity swaps and calls to manage such risk. The maturity of, and the quantities covered by, the contracts are closely correlated to our anticipated purchases of the commodities. The cost of calls are amortized over the life of the contracts and are recorded in cost of goods sold, along with the effects of the swap and call contracts. The related amounts payable to, or receivable from, the counter-parties are included in accounts payable or accounts receivable.

Sensitivity Analysis

The analysis below is hypothetical and should not be considered a projection of future risks. Earnings projections are before tax.

As of September 30, 2012, there were no outstanding interest rate derivative instruments.

Table of Contents

As of September 30, 2012, the potential change in fair value of outstanding foreign exchange derivative instruments, assuming a 10% unfavorable change in the underlying exchange rates, would be a loss of \$36.2 million. The net impact on reported earnings, after also including the effect of the change in the underlying foreign currency-denominated exposures, would be a net gain of \$18.1 million.

As of September 30, 2012, the potential change in fair value of outstanding commodity price derivative instruments, assuming a 10% unfavorable change in the underlying commodity prices, would be a loss of \$3.1 million. The net impact on reported earnings, after also including the reduction in cost of one year's purchases of the related commodities due to the same change in commodity prices, would be a net loss of \$0.7 million.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The information required for this Item is included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K within Item 15, Exhibits, Financial Statements and Schedules, inclusive and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. Our management, with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) pursuant to Rule 13a-15(b) under the Exchange Act as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of such date, our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in applicable SEC rules and forms, and is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. The Company's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act). The Company's management assessed the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2012. In making this assessment, the Company's management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO") in the Internal Control- Integrated Framework. The Company's management has concluded that, as of September 30, 2012, its internal control over financial reporting is effective based on these criteria. The Company's independent registered public accounting firm, KPMG LLP, has issued an audit report on the Company's internal control over financial reporting, which is included herein.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) that occurred during our fourth fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls. The Company's management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures or the Company's internal controls over financial reporting will prevent all errors and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any,

within the Company have been detected.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

59

Table of Contents

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information required by Item 401 of Regulation S-K concerning the directors of Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. (“SB Holdings”) and the nominees for re-election as directors of SB Holdings at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on January 29, 2013 (the “2013 Annual Meeting”) is incorporated herein by reference from the disclosures which will be included under the caption “BOARD OF DIRECTORS,” “PROPOSAL NUMBER 1-ELECTION OF DIRECTORS,” and “EXECUTIVE OFFICERS WHO ARE NOT DIRECTORS” in SB Holdings’ definitive Proxy Statement relating to the 2013 Annual Meeting (the “SB Holdings Definitive Proxy Statement”), which will be filed not later than 120 days after the end of SB Holdings’ fiscal year ended September 30, 2012.

Audit Committee Financial Expert and Audit Committee

The information required by Items 407(d)(4) and 407(d)(5) of Regulation S-K is incorporated herein by reference from the disclosure which will be included under the caption “BOARD ACTIONS; BOARD MEMBER INDEPENDENCE; COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS - Committees Established by Our Board of Directors - Audit Committee” in the SB Holdings Definitive Proxy Statement.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

The information required by Item 405 of Regulation S-K is incorporated herein by reference from the disclosure which will be included under the caption “SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE” in the SB Holdings Definitive Proxy Statement.

Code of Ethics

We have adopted the Code of Ethics for the Principal Executive Officer and Senior Financial Officers, a code of ethics that applies to our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and other senior finance organization employees.

The Code of Ethics for the Principal Executive Officer and Senior Financial Officers is publicly available on our website at www.spectrumbrands.com under “Investor Relations—Corporate Governance.” We intend to disclose amendments to, and, if applicable, waivers of, this code of ethics on that section of our website.

We have also adopted the Spectrum Brands Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, a code of ethics that applies to all of our directors, officers and employees. The Spectrum Brands Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is publicly available on our website at www.spectrumbrands.com under “Investor Relations—Corporate Governance.” Any amendments to this code of ethics or any waiver of this code of ethics for executive officers or directors may be made only by our Board of Directors as a whole or our Audit Committee and will be promptly disclosed to our shareholders via that section of our website.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Report of the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors

The information required by Item 407(e)(5) of Regulation S-K is incorporated herein by reference from the disclosure which will be included under caption “COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT” in SB Holdings Definitive Proxy Statement.

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

The information required by Item 402 of Regulation S-K is incorporated herein by reference from disclosures which will be included under the captions “EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION - Report of the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors” in the SB Holdings Definitive Proxy Statement.

Executive Compensation

The information required by Item 402 of Regulation S-K is incorporated herein by reference from disclosures which will be included under the caption “EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION” in the SB Holdings Definitive Proxy Statement.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Table of Contents

Ownership of Common Shares of Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc.

The information required by Item 403 of Regulation S-K is incorporated herein by reference from the disclosure which will be included under the caption “SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS” in the SB Holdings Definitive Proxy Statement.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The information required by Item 201(d) of Regulation S-K is incorporated herein by reference from the disclosure which will be included under the caption “EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION” in the SB Holdings Definitive Proxy Statement.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Certain Relationships and Related Person Transactions

The information required by Item 404 of Regulation S-K is incorporated herein by reference from the disclosures which will be included under the caption “CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE” in the SB Holdings Definitive Proxy Statement.

Director Independence

The information required by Item 407(a) of Regulation S-K is incorporated herein by reference from the disclosures which will be included under the captions “CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTORS INDEPENDENCE - Director Independence” and “BOARD ACTIONS; BOARD MEMBER INDEPENDENCE; COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS” in the SB Holdings Definitive Proxy Statement.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this Item 14 is incorporated herein by reference from the disclosures which will be included under the captions “PROPOSAL 2: RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR FISCAL 2013 - Independent Auditor Fees” and “Pre-Approval of Independent Auditor Services and Fees” in the SB Holdings Definitive Proxy Statement.

Table of Contents

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SCHEDULES

(a) The following documents are filed as part of or are included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K:

1. The financial statements listed in the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedule, filed as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.
2. The financial statement schedule listed in the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedule, filed as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.
3. The exhibits listed in the Exhibit Index filed as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULE

	Page
Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	<u>63</u>
Consolidated Statements of Financial Position	<u>65</u>
Consolidated Statements of Operations	<u>67</u>
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity (Deficit) and Comprehensive Income (Loss)	<u>68</u>
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	<u>68</u>
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>70</u>
Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts	<u>114</u>

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
The Board of Directors and Stockholders
Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of financial position of Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of September 30, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity (deficit) and comprehensive income (loss), and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended September 30, 2012. In connection with our audits of the consolidated financial statements, we have also audited the financial statement schedule II. These consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. and subsidiaries as of September 30, 2012 and 2011, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended September 30, 2012, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated November 21, 2012 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ KPMG LLP
Milwaukee, Wisconsin
November 21, 2012

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc.:

We have audited Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. and subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited the accompanying consolidated statements of financial position of Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. and subsidiaries as of September 30, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity (deficit) and comprehensive income (loss), and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended September 30, 2012, along with the financial statement schedule II, and our report dated November 21, 2012 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Milwaukee, Wisconsin

November 21, 2012

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Financial Position

September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

	2012	2011
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 157,961	\$ 142,414
Receivables:		
Trade accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$21,870 and \$14,128, respectively	335,301	356,605
Other	38,116	37,678
Inventories	452,633	434,630
Deferred income taxes	28,143	28,170
Prepaid expenses and other	49,273	48,792
Total current assets	1,061,427	1,048,289
Property, plant and equipment, net	214,017	206,389
Deferred charges and other	27,711	36,824
Goodwill	694,245	610,338
Intangible assets, net	1,714,929	1,683,909
Debt issuance costs	39,320	40,957
Total assets	\$3,751,649	\$3,626,706
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$ 16,414	\$ 16,090
Accounts payable	325,023	323,171
Accrued liabilities:		
Wages and benefits	82,119	70,945
Income taxes payable	30,272	31,606
Accrued interest	30,473	30,467
Other	126,330	134,633
Total current liabilities	610,631	606,912
Long-term debt, net of current maturities	1,652,886	1,535,522
Employee benefit obligations, net of current portion	89,994	83,802
Deferred income taxes	377,465	337,336
Other	31,578	44,637
Total liabilities	2,762,554	2,608,209
Commitments and contingencies		
Shareholders' equity:		
Common stock, \$.01 par value, authorized 200,000 shares; issued 52,799 and 52,431 shares; outstanding 51,483 and 52,226 shares at September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively	528	525
Additional paid-in capital	1,399,261	1,374,097
Accumulated deficit	(340,647) (336,063
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(33,435) (14,446
	1,025,707	1,024,113
Less treasury stock at cost, 1,316 and 205 shares, respectively	(36,612) (5,616

Edgar Filing: Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

Total shareholders' equity	989,095	1,018,497
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$3,751,649	\$3,626,706
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.		

66

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Operations

Years ended September 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

	2012	2011	2010	
Net sales	\$3,252,435	\$3,186,916	\$2,567,011	
Cost of goods sold	2,126,922	2,050,208	1,638,451	
Restructuring and related charges	9,835	7,841	7,150	
Gross profit	1,115,678	1,128,867	921,410	
Operating expenses:				
Selling	521,191	536,535	466,813	
General and administrative	218,832	241,631	199,386	
Research and development	33,087	32,901	31,013	
Acquisition and integration related charges	31,066	36,603	38,452	
Restructuring and related charges	9,756	20,803	16,968	
Intangible asset impairment	—	32,450	—	
	813,932	900,923	752,632	
Operating income	301,746	227,944	168,778	
Interest expense	191,911	208,329	277,015	
Other expense, net	878	2,491	12,300	
Income (loss) from continuing operations before reorganization items and income taxes	108,957	17,124	(120,537))
Reorganization items expense, net	—	—	3,646	
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	108,957	17,124	(124,183))
Income tax expense	60,385	92,295	63,189	
Income (loss) from continuing operations	48,572	(75,171)	(187,372))
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax	—	—	(2,735))
Net income (loss)	\$48,572	\$(75,171)	\$(190,107))
Basic net income (loss) per common share:				
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$0.94	\$(1.47)	\$(5.20))
Loss from discontinued operations	—	—	(0.08))
Net income (loss)	\$0.94	\$(1.47)	\$(5.28))
Weighted average shares of common stock outstanding	51,608	51,092	36,000	
Diluted net income (loss) per common share:				
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$0.91	\$(1.47)	\$(5.20))
Loss from discontinued operations	—	—	(0.08))
Net income (loss)	\$0.91	\$(1.47)	\$(5.28))
Weighted average shares of common stock and common stock equivalents outstanding	53,309	51,092	36,000	
Cash dividends declared per common share	1.00	—	—	
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.				

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity (Deficit) and Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Years ended September 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010

(In thousands)

	Common Stock		Additional Paid-In	Accumulated Deficit	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), net of tax	Treasury Stock	Total Shareholders' Equity (Deficit)
	Shares	Amount	Capital	Deficit	net of tax	Stock	(Deficit)
Balances at September 30, 2009	30,000	\$300	\$724,796	\$ (70,785)	\$ 6,568	\$—	\$660,879
Net loss	—	—	—	(190,107)	—	—	(190,107)
Adjustment of additional minimum pension liability	—	—	—	—	(17,773)	—	(17,773)
Valuation allowance adjustment	—	—	—	—	(2,398)	—	(2,398)
Translation adjustment	—	—	—	—	12,596	—	12,596
Other unrealized loss	—	—	—	—	(6,490)	—	(6,490)
Comprehensive loss	—	—	—	—	—	—	(204,172)
Issuance of common stock	20,433	205	574,998	—	—	—	575,203
Issuance of restricted stock	939	9	(9)	—	—	—	—
Unvested restricted stock units, not issued or outstanding	(271)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Treasury shares surrendered	(81)	—	—	—	—	(2,207)	(2,207)
Amortization of unearned compensation	—	—	16,676	—	—	—	16,676
Balances at September 30, 2010	51,020	\$514	\$1,316,461	\$ (260,892)	\$ (7,497)	\$ (2,207)	\$1,046,379
Net loss	—	—	—	(75,171)	—	—	(75,171)
Adjustment of additional minimum pension liability	—	—	—	—	(4,299)	—	(4,299)
Valuation allowance adjustment	—	—	—	—	2,706	—	2,706
Translation adjustment	—	—	—	—	(10,115)	—	(10,115)
Other unrealized gains	—	—	—	—	4,759	—	4,759
Comprehensive loss	—	—	—	—	—	—	(82,120)
Issuance of common stock	1,150	11	29,840	—	—	—	29,851
Vesting of restricted stock units	180	—	—	—	—	—	—
Treasury shares surrendered	(124)	—	—	—	—	(3,409)	(3,409)
Amortization of unearned compensation	—	—	30,389	—	—	—	30,389
Restricted stock units surrendered	—	—	(2,593)	—	—	—	(2,593)
	52,226	\$525	\$1,374,097	\$ (336,063)	\$ (14,446)	\$ (5,616)	\$1,018,497

Edgar Filing: Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

Balances at September 30, 2011								
Net income	—	—	—	48,572	—	—	48,572	
Adjustment of additional minimum pension liability	—	—	—	—	(11,150)	—	(11,150)	
Valuation allowance adjustment	—	—	—	—	126	—	126	
Translation adjustment	—	—	—	—	(8,602)	—	(8,602)	
Other unrealized gains	—	—	—	—	637	—	637	
Comprehensive income							29,583	
Vesting of restricted stock units	368	3	(3)	—	—	—	—	
Treasury stock purchases	(1,111)	—	—	—	—	(30,996)	(30,996)	
Amortization of unearned compensation	—	—	29,164	—	—	—	29,164	
Restricted stock units surrendered	—	—	(3,997)	—	—	—	(3,997)	
Dividend declared	—	—	—	(53,156)	—	—	(53,156)	
Balances at September 30, 2012	51,483	\$528	\$1,399,261	\$(340,647)	\$(33,435)	\$(36,612)	\$989,095	

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Table of Contents

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
 Years ended September 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010
 (In thousands)

	2012	2011	2010	
Cash flows from operating activities:				
Net income (loss)	\$48,572	\$(75,171)	\$(190,107))
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax	—	—	(2,735))
Income (loss) from continuing operations	48,572	(75,171)	(187,372))
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:				
Depreciation	40,950	47,065	54,822	
Amortization of intangibles	63,666	57,695	45,920	
Amortization of unearned restricted stock compensation	29,164	30,389	16,676	
Amortization of debt issuance costs	9,922	13,198	9,030	
Intangible asset impairment	—	32,450	—	
Administrative related reorganization items	—	—	3,646	
Payments for administrative related reorganization items	—	—	(47,173))
Non-cash increase to cost of goods sold due to fresh-start reporting inventory valuation	—	—	34,865	
Non-cash interest expense on 12% Notes	—	—	24,555	
Write off of unamortized (premium) discount on retired debt	(466)) 8,950	59,162	
Write off of debt issuance costs	2,946	15,420	6,551	
Non-cash restructuring and related charges	5,195	15,143	16,359	
Non-cash debt accretion	722	4,773	18,302	
Changes in assets and liabilities:				
Accounts receivable	22,892	12,969	12,702	
Inventories	(11,642)) 96,406	(66,127))
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	561	815	2,025	
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	1,424	(60,505)) 86,497	
Deferred taxes and other	40,909	27,792	(21,881))
Net cash provided by operating activities of continuing operations	254,815	227,389	68,559	
Net cash used by operating activities of discontinued operations	—	—	(11,221))
Net cash provided by operating activities	254,815	227,389	57,338	
Cash flows from investing activities:				
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(46,809)) (36,160)) (40,316))
Acquisition of Black Flag	(43,750)) —	—)
Acquisition of FURminator, net of cash acquired	(139,390)) —	—)
Acquisition of Seed Resources, net of cash acquired	—	(11,053)) —)
Proceeds from sale of assets held for sale	—	6,997	—	
Other investing activity	(1,545)) (5,480)) (2,189))
Net cash used by investing activities	(231,494)) (45,696)) (42,505))

Table of Contents

Cash flows from financing activities:				
Proceeds from issuance of 6.75% Notes	300,000	—	—	
Payment of 12% Notes, including tender and call premium	(270,431) —	—	
Proceeds from issuance of 9.5% Notes, including premium	217,000	—	—	
Proceeds from senior credit facilities, excluding ABL revolving credit facility, net of discount	—	—	1,474,755	
Payment of senior credit facilities, excluding ABL revolving credit facility	(155,061) (224,763) (1,278,760)
Prepayment penalty of term loan facility	—	(5,653) —	
Reduction of other debt	(4,112) —	(8,456)
Other debt financing, net	392	5,788	13,688	
Debt issuance costs, net of refund	(11,231) (12,616) (55,024)
ABL revolving credit facility, net	—	—	(33,225)
Payments of supplemental loan	—	—	(45,000)
Cash dividends paid	(51,450) —	—	
Treasury stock purchases	(30,996) (3,409) (2,207)
Net proceeds from equity offering	—	29,851	—	
Other financing activities	(953) —	—	
Net cash (used) provided by financing activities	(6,842) (210,802) 65,771	
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents due to Venezuela hyperinflation	—	—	(8,048)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(932) 909	258	
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	15,547	(28,200) 72,814	
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	142,414	170,614	97,800	
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 157,961	\$ 142,414	\$ 170,614	
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:				
Cash paid for interest	\$ 185,384	\$ 171,577	\$ 136,429	
Cash paid for income taxes, net	39,173	37,171	36,951	
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.				

+

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

(1) Description of Business

Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation (“SB Holdings”), is a diversified global branded consumer products company and was created in connection with the combination of Spectrum Brands, Inc. (“Spectrum Brands”), a global branded consumer products company and Russell Hobbs, Inc. (“Russell Hobbs”), a global branded small appliance company, to form a new combined company (the “Merger”). The Merger was consummated on June 16, 2010. As a result of the Merger, both Spectrum Brands and Russell Hobbs are wholly-owned subsidiaries of SB Holdings and Russell Hobbs is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Spectrum Brands. SB Holdings' common stock trades on the New York Stock Exchange (the “NYSE”) under the symbol “SPB.”

Unless the context indicates otherwise, the term the “Company,” is used to refer to SB Holdings and its subsidiaries subsequent to the Merger and Spectrum Brands prior to the Merger.

The Company’s operations include the worldwide manufacturing and marketing of alkaline, zinc carbon and hearing aid batteries, as well as aquariums and aquatic health supplies and the designing and marketing of rechargeable batteries, battery-powered lighting products, electric shavers and accessories, grooming products and hair care appliances. The Company’s operations also include the manufacturing and marketing of specialty pet supplies. The Company also manufactures and markets herbicides, insecticides and insect repellents in North America. The Company also designs, markets and distributes a broad range of branded small appliances and personal care products. The Company’s operations utilize manufacturing and product development facilities located in the United States (“U.S.”), Europe, Latin America and Asia.

The Company sells its products in approximately 140 countries through a variety of trade channels, including retailers, wholesalers and distributors, hearing aid professionals, industrial distributors and original equipment manufacturers and enjoys name recognition in its markets under the Rayovac, VARTA and Remington brands, each of which has been in existence for more than 80 years, and under the Tetra, 8-in-1, Dingo, Nature's Miracle, Spectracide, Cutter, Hot Shot, Black & Decker, George Foreman, Russell Hobbs, Farberware, Black Flag, FURminator and various other brands.

The Company’s global branded consumer products have positions in six major product categories: consumer batteries; small appliances; pet supplies; electric shaving and grooming; electric personal care; and home and garden controls. Effective October 1, 2010, the Company’s chief operating decision-maker manages the businesses of the Company in three vertically integrated, product-focused reporting segments: (i) Global Batteries & Appliances, which consists of the Company’s worldwide battery, electric shaving and grooming, electric personal care and small appliances primarily in the kitchen and home product categories (“Global Batteries & Appliances”); (ii) Global Pet Supplies, which consists of the Company’s worldwide pet supplies business (“Global Pet Supplies”); and (iii) Home and Garden Business, which consists of the Company’s home and garden and insect control business (the “Home and Garden Business”). The current reporting segment structure reflects the combination of the former Global Batteries & Personal Care segment (“Global Batteries & Personal Care”), which consisted of the worldwide battery, electric shaving and grooming and electric personal care products, with substantially all of the former Small Appliances segment (“Small Appliances”), which consisted of the Russell Hobbs business acquired on June 16, 2010, to form the Global Batteries & Appliances segment. In addition, certain pest control and pet products included in the former Small Appliances segment have been reclassified into the Home and Garden Business and Global Pet Supplies segments, respectively. Management reviews the performance of the Company based on these segments. The presentation of all historical segment data herein has been changed to conform to this segment reporting structure, which reflects the manner in which the Company’s management monitors performance and allocates resources. For information pertaining to our business segments, see Note 11, “Segment Information”.

On October 8, 2012, the Company entered into an agreement with Stanley Black & Decker, Inc. (“Stanley Black & Decker”) to acquire the residential hardware and home improvement business (the “HHI Business”) currently operated by Stanley Black & Decker and certain of its subsidiaries for \$1,400,000, consisting of (i) the equity interests of

certain subsidiaries of Stanley Black & Decker engaged in the business and (ii) certain assets of Stanley Black & Decker used or held for use in connection with the business (the "Hardware Acquisition"). The Hardware Acquisition, when completed, will include the purchase of shares and assets of certain subsidiaries of Stanley Black & Decker involved in the HHI Business. Furthermore, the Hardware Acquisition, when completed, will also include the purchase of certain assets of Tong Lung Metal Industry Co. Ltd., a Taiwan Corporation ("TLM Taiwan"), which is involved in the production of residential locksets. For further information pertaining to this transaction, see Note 17, "Subsequent Events".

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

(2) Significant Accounting Policies and Practices

(a) Principles of Consolidation and Fiscal Year End

The consolidated financial statements include the financial statements of Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. and its subsidiaries and are prepared in accordance with U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (“GAAP”). All intercompany transactions have been eliminated. The Company’s fiscal year ends September 30. References herein to Fiscal 2012, 2011 and 2010 refer to the fiscal years ended September 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

(b) Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue from product sales generally upon delivery to the customer or the shipping point in situations where the customer picks up the product or where delivery terms so stipulate. This represents the point at which title and all risks and rewards of ownership of the product are passed, provided that: there are no uncertainties regarding customer acceptance; there is persuasive evidence that an arrangement exists; the price to the buyer is fixed or determinable; and collectibility is deemed reasonably assured. The Company is generally not obligated to allow for, and its general policy is not to accept, product returns for battery sales. The Company does accept returns in specific instances related to its shaving, grooming, personal care, home and garden, small appliances and pet products. The provision for customer returns is based on historical sales and returns and other relevant information. The Company estimates and accrues the cost of returns, which are treated as a reduction of Net sales.

The Company enters into various promotional arrangements, primarily with retail customers, including arrangements entitling such retailers to cash rebates from the Company based on the level of their purchases, which require the Company to estimate and accrue the estimated costs of the promotional programs. These costs are treated as a reduction of Net sales.

The Company also enters into promotional arrangements that target the ultimate consumer. The costs associated with such arrangements are treated as either a reduction of Net sales or an increase of Cost of goods sold, based on the type of promotional program. The income statement presentation of the Company’s promotional arrangements complies with Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Topic 605: “Revenue Recognition.” For all types of promotional arrangements and programs, the Company monitors its commitments and uses various measures, including past experience, to determine amounts to be recorded for the estimate of the earned, but unpaid, promotional costs. The terms of the Company’s customer-related promotional arrangements and programs are tailored to each customer and are documented through written contracts, correspondence or other communications with the individual customers. The Company also enters into various arrangements, primarily with retail customers, which require the Company to make upfront cash, or “slotting” payments, in order to secure the right to distribute through such customers. The Company capitalizes slotting payments; provided the payments are supported by a time or volume based arrangement with the retailer, and amortizes the associated payment over the appropriate time or volume based term of the arrangement. The amortization of slotting payments is treated as a reduction in Net sales and a corresponding asset is reported in Deferred charges and other in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Financial Position.

(c) Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

(d) Cash Equivalents

For purposes of the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Financial Position and Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, the Company considers all highly liquid debt instruments purchased with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

(e) Concentrations of Credit Risk and Major Customers

Trade receivables subject the Company to credit risk. Trade accounts receivable are carried at net realizable value. The Company extends credit to its customers based upon an evaluation of the customer's financial condition and credit history, but generally does not require collateral. The Company monitors its customers' credit and financial condition based on changing economic conditions and will make adjustments to credit policies as required. Provisions for losses on uncollectible trade

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

receivables are determined based on ongoing evaluations of the Company's receivables, principally on the basis of historical collection experience and evaluations of the risks of nonpayment for a given customer.

The Company has a broad range of customers including many large retail outlet chains, one of which accounts for a significant percentage of its sales volume. This major customer represented approximately 23%, 24% and 22% of the Company's Net sales during Fiscal 2012, Fiscal 2011 and Fiscal 2010. This major customer also represented approximately 13% and 16% of the Company's Trade accounts receivable, net as of September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively.

Approximately 46%, 44% and 44% of the Company's Net sales during Fiscal 2012, Fiscal 2011 and Fiscal 2010, respectively, occurred outside of the United States. These sales and related receivables are subject to varying degrees of credit, currency, and political and economic risk. The Company monitors these risks and makes appropriate provisions for collectibility based on an assessment of the risks present.

(f) Displays and Fixtures

Temporary displays are generally disposable cardboard displays shipped to customers to facilitate display of the Company's products. Temporary displays are generally disposed of after a single use by the customer.

Permanent fixtures are more permanent in nature, are generally made from wire or other longer-lived materials, and are shipped to customers for use in displaying the Company's products. These permanent fixtures are restocked with the Company's product multiple times over the fixture's useful life.

The costs of both temporary and permanent displays are capitalized as a prepaid asset until shipped to the customer and are included in Prepaid expenses and other in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Financial Position. The costs of temporary displays are expensed in the period in which they are shipped to customers and the costs of permanent fixtures are amortized over an estimated useful life of one to two years from the date they are shipped to customers and are reflected in Deferred charges and other in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Financial Position.

(g) Inventories

The Company's inventories are valued at the lower of cost or net realizable value. Cost of inventories is determined using the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method.

(h) Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment are recorded at cost or at fair value if acquired in a purchase business combination. Depreciation on plant and equipment is calculated on the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Depreciable lives by major classification are as follows:

Building and improvements	20	-	40 years
Machinery, equipment and other	2	-	15 years

Plant and equipment held under capital leases are amortized on a straight-line basis over the shorter of the lease term or estimated useful life of the asset and is included in depreciation expense.

The Company reviews long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. The Company evaluates recoverability of assets to be held and used by comparing the carrying amount of an asset to future net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. Assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell.

(i) Intangible Assets

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

Intangible assets are recorded at cost or at fair value if acquired in a purchase business combination. In connection with fresh-start reporting, Intangible Assets were recorded at their estimated fair value on August 30, 2009. Customer lists, proprietary technology and certain trade name intangibles are amortized, using the straight-line method, over their estimated useful lives of approximately 1 to 20 years. Excess of cost over fair value of net assets acquired (goodwill) and indefinite-lived intangible assets (certain trade name intangibles) are not amortized. Goodwill is tested for impairment at least annually, at the reporting unit level with such groupings being consistent with the Company's reportable segments. If impairment is indicated, a write-down to fair value (normally measured by discounting estimated future cash flows) is recorded. Indefinite-lived trade name intangibles are tested for impairment at least annually by comparing the fair value, determined using a relief from royalty methodology, with the carrying value. Any excess of carrying value over fair value is recognized as an impairment loss in income from operations.

ASC Topic 350: "Intangibles-Goodwill and Other," ("ASC 350") requires that goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets be tested for impairment annually, or more often if an event or circumstance indicates that an impairment loss may have been incurred. The Company's management uses its judgment in assessing whether assets may have become impaired between annual impairment tests. Indicators such as unexpected adverse business conditions, economic factors, unanticipated technological change or competitive activities, loss of key personnel, and acts by governments and courts may signal that an asset has become impaired.

During Fiscal 2012, Fiscal 2011 and Fiscal 2010, the Company's goodwill and trade name intangibles were tested for impairment as of the Company's August financial period end, the Company's annual testing date, as well as in certain interim periods where an event or circumstance occurred that indicated an impairment loss may have been incurred.

Intangibles with Indefinite Lives

In accordance with ASC 350, the Company conducts impairment testing on the Company's goodwill. To determine fair value during Fiscal 2012, Fiscal 2011 and Fiscal 2010, the Company used the discounted estimated future cash flows methodology and third party valuations. Assumptions critical to the Company's fair value estimates under the discounted estimated future cash flows methodology are: (i) the present value factors used in determining the fair value of the reporting units and trade names; (ii) projected average revenue growth rates used in estimating future cash flows for the reporting unit; and (iii) projected long-term growth rates used in the derivation of terminal year values. These and other assumptions are impacted by economic conditions and expectations of management and will change in the future based on period specific facts and circumstances. The Company also tested the aggregate estimated fair value of its reporting units for reasonableness by comparison to the total market capitalization of the Company, which includes both its equity and debt securities.

In addition, in accordance with ASC 350, as part of the Company's annual impairment testing, the Company tested its indefinite-lived trade name intangible assets for impairment by comparing the carrying amount of such trade names to their respective fair values. Fair value was determined using a relief from royalty methodology. Assumptions critical to the Company's fair value estimates under the relief from royalty methodology were: (i) royalty rates, (ii) projected average revenue growth rates, and (iii) applicable discount rates.

In connection with the Company's annual goodwill impairment testing performed during Fiscal 2012, Fiscal 2011 and Fiscal 2010 the first step of such testing indicated that the fair value of the Company's reporting segments were in excess of their carrying amounts and, accordingly, no further testing of goodwill was required.

During Fiscal 2012, the Company concluded that the fair value of its intangible assets exceeded their carrying value. Additionally, during Fiscal 2012 the Company reclassified \$3,450 of certain trade names from indefinite lived to definite lived. These trade names are being amortized over the remaining useful lives, which have been estimated to be 1-3 years.

A triggering event occurred in Fiscal 2011 which required the Company to test its indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment between annual impairment dates. As more fully discussed above in Note 1, Description of Business, on October 1, 2010, the Company realigned its operating segments into three vertically integrated, product-focused reporting segments. The realignment of the Company's operating segments constituted a triggering event for

impairment testing. In connection with this interim test, the Company compared the fair value of its reporting segments to their carrying amounts both before and after the change in segment composition, and determined the fair values were in excess of their carrying amounts and, accordingly, no further testing of goodwill was required. The Company also tested the recoverability of its identified indefinite-lived intangibles in connection with the realignment of its operating segments and concluded that the fair values of these assets exceeded their carrying values.

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

In connection with its annual impairment testing of indefinite-lived intangible assets during Fiscal 2011, the Company concluded that the fair values of certain trade name intangible assets were less than the carrying amounts of those assets. As a result, during Fiscal 2011 the Company recorded a non-cash pretax intangible asset impairment charge of approximately \$32,450 which was equal to the excess of the carrying amounts of the intangible assets over the fair value of such assets. This non-cash impairment of trade name intangible assets has been recorded as a separate component of Operating expenses. During Fiscal 2010 the Company concluded that the fair value of its intangible assets exceeded their carrying value.

The above impairment of trade name intangible assets was primarily attributed to lower current and forecasted profits, reflecting more conservative growth rates versus those originally assumed by the Company at the time of acquisition or upon adoption of fresh start reporting.

Intangibles with Definite or Estimable Useful Lives

The Company assesses the recoverability of intangible assets with definite or estimable useful lives whenever an event or circumstance occurs that indicates an impairment loss may have been incurred. The Company assesses the recoverability of these intangible assets by determining whether their carrying value can be recovered through projected undiscounted future cash flows. If projected undiscounted future cash flows indicate that the carrying value of the assets will not be recovered, an adjustment would be made to reduce the carrying value to an amount equal to estimated fair value determined based on projected future cash flows discounted at the Company's incremental borrowing rate. The cash flow projections used in estimating fair value are based on historical performance and management's estimate of future performance, giving consideration to existing and anticipated competitive and economic conditions.

Impairment reviews are conducted at the judgment of management when it believes that a change in circumstances in the business or external factors warrants a review. Circumstances such as the discontinuation of a product or product line, a sudden or consistent decline in the sales forecast for a product, changes in technology or in the way an asset is being used, a history of operating or cash flow losses, or an adverse change in legal factors or in the business climate, among others, may trigger an impairment review.

(j) Debt Issuance Costs

Debt issuance costs are capitalized and amortized to interest expense using the effective interest method over the lives of the related debt agreements.

(k) Accounts Payable

Included in accounts payable are book overdrafts, net of deposits on hand, on disbursement accounts that are replenished when checks are presented for payment.

(l) Income Taxes

Income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

The Company recognizes the effect of income tax positions only if those positions are more likely than not of being sustained. Recognized income tax positions are measured at the largest amount that is greater than 50% likely of being realized. Changes in recognition or measurement are reflected in the period in which the change in judgment occurs. Accrued interest expense and penalties related to uncertain tax positions are recorded in Income tax expense.

(m) Foreign Currency Translation

Local currencies are considered the functional currencies for most of the Company's operations outside the United States. Assets and liabilities of the Company's foreign subsidiaries are translated at the rate of exchange existing at

year-end, with revenues, expenses, and cash flows translated at the average of the monthly exchange rates. Adjustments resulting from translation of the financial statements are recorded as a component of Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) ("AOCI"). Also included in AOCI are the effects of exchange rate changes on intercompany balances of a long-term nature.

75

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

As of September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, accumulated (losses) gains related to foreign currency translation adjustments of \$(225) and \$8,377, respectively, were reflected in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Financial Position in AOCI.

Foreign currency transaction gains and losses related to assets and liabilities that are denominated in a currency other than the functional currency are reported in the Consolidated Statements of Operations in the period they occur.

Exchange losses on foreign currency transactions aggregating \$1,654, \$3,370 and \$13,336 for Fiscal 2012, Fiscal 2011 and Fiscal 2010, respectively, are included in Other expense (income), net, in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

(n) Shipping and Handling Costs

The Company incurred shipping and handling costs of \$198,152, \$201,480 and \$161,148 during Fiscal 2012, Fiscal 2011 and Fiscal 2010, respectively. Shipping and handling costs, which are included in Selling expenses in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations, include costs incurred with third-party carriers to transport products to customers and salaries and overhead costs related to activities to prepare the Company's products for shipment at the Company's distribution facilities.

(o) Advertising Costs

The Company incurred advertising costs of \$20,706, \$30,673 and \$37,520 during Fiscal 2012, Fiscal 2011 and Fiscal 2010, respectively. Such advertising costs are included in Selling expenses in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations and include agency fees and other costs to create advertisements, as well as costs paid to third parties to print or broadcast the Company's advertisements.

(p) Research and Development Costs

Research and development costs are charged to expense in the period they are incurred.

(q) Net Income (Loss) Per Common Share

Basic net income (loss) per common share is computed by dividing net income (loss) available to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Basic net income (loss) per common share does not consider the effect of dilutive common stock equivalents. As long as their effect is not anti-dilutive, diluted net income (loss) per common share reflects the dilution that would occur if employee stock units and restricted stock awards were exercised or converted into common shares or resulted in the issuance of common shares that then shared in the net income (loss) of the entity. The computation of diluted net income (loss) per common share uses the "treasury stock" method to reflect dilution. The difference between the number of shares used in the calculations of basic and diluted net income (loss) per share is due to the effects of restricted stock and assumed conversion of employee stock unit awards.

Net income (loss) per common share is calculated based upon the following shares:

	Year Ended		
	September 30, 2012	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010
Basic	51,608	51,092	36,000
Effect of restricted stock	1,701	—	—
Diluted	53,309	51,092	36,000

During Fiscal 2011 and Fiscal 2010, the Company has not assumed the exercise of common stock equivalents as the impact would be antidilutive.

On June 16, 2010, the Company issued 20,433 shares of its common stock in conjunction with the Merger.

Additionally, all shares of its wholly owned subsidiary Spectrum Brands, were converted to shares of SB Holdings on

June 16, 2010. On July

76

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

20, 2011, the Company issued an additional 1,150 shares of its common stock. See also, Note 15, Acquisitions, for additional discussion of the Merger.

(r) Environmental Expenditures

Environmental expenditures that relate to current ongoing operations or to conditions caused by past operations are expensed or capitalized as appropriate. The Company determines its liability for environmental matters on a site-by-site basis and records a liability at the time when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and such liability can be reasonably estimated. The estimated liability is not reduced for possible recoveries from insurance carriers. Estimated environmental remediation expenditures are included in the determination of the net realizable value recorded for assets held for sale.

(s) Reclassifications

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. These reclassifications had no effect on previously reported results of operations or accumulated deficit.

(t) Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income includes foreign currency translation gains and losses on assets and liabilities of foreign subsidiaries, effects of exchange rate changes on intercompany balances of a long-term nature and transactions designated as a hedge of a net investment in a foreign subsidiary, deferred gains and losses on derivative financial instruments designated as cash flow hedges and additional minimum pension liabilities associated with the Company's pension plans. Except for gains and losses resulting from exchange rate changes on intercompany balances of a long-term nature, and prior to September 30, 2011, the Company did not provide income taxes on currency translation adjustments, as earnings from international subsidiaries were considered to be permanently reinvested. As of the beginning of Fiscal 2012, the Company is no longer permanently reinvested on current and future earnings from international subsidiaries, except for locations precluded by certain restrictions from repatriating earnings.

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

The following is a roll forward of the amounts recorded in AOCI:

	Unrealized Gains (Losses)	Adjustment of minimum pension liability	Translation Adjustments	Total
Balance at September 30, 2009	\$851	\$(190)	\$5,907	\$6,568
Gross change before reclassification adjustment	(15,621)	(28,032)	11,511	(32,142)
Net reclassification adjustment for losses (gains) included in earnings	6,356	1,355	—	7,711
Gross change after reclassification adjustment	\$(9,265)	\$(26,677)	\$11,511	\$(24,431)
Deferred tax effect	2,775	8,904	1,085	12,764
Deferred tax valuation allowance	(116)	(2,763)	481	(2,398)
Net adjustment to AOCI	\$(6,606)	\$(20,536)	\$13,077	\$(14,065)
Balance at September 30, 2010	\$(5,755)	\$(20,726)	\$18,984	\$(7,497)
Gross change before reclassification adjustment	(5,992)	(6,344)	(12,857)	(25,193)
Net reclassification adjustment for losses (gains) included in earnings	13,422	8	—	13,430
Gross change after reclassification adjustment	\$7,430	\$(6,336)	\$(12,857)	\$(11,763)
Deferred tax effect	(2,671)	2,037	2,742	2,108
Deferred tax valuation allowance	(331)	3,529	(492)	2,706
Net adjustment to AOCI	\$4,428	\$(770)	\$(10,607)	\$(6,949)
Balance at September 30, 2011	\$(1,327)	\$(21,496)	\$8,377	\$(14,446)
Gross change before reclassification adjustment	(1,824)	(15,682)	(8,602)	(26,108)
Net reclassification adjustment for losses (gains) included in earnings	3,097	900	—	3,997
Gross change after reclassification adjustment	\$1,273	\$(14,782)	\$(8,602)	\$(22,111)
Deferred tax effect	(636)	3,632	—	2,996
Deferred tax valuation allowance	908	(782)	—	126
Net adjustment to AOCI	\$1,545	\$(11,932)	\$(8,602)	\$(18,989)
Balance at September 30, 2012	\$218	\$(33,428)	\$(225)	\$(33,435)

(u) Stock Compensation

The Company measures the cost of its stock-based compensation plans, which include restricted stock awards and restricted stock units, based on the fair value of its employee stock awards at the date of grant and recognizes these costs over the requisite service period of the awards.

In September 2009, SB Holdings' board of directors (the "Board") adopted the 2009 Spectrum Brands Inc. Incentive Plan (the "2009 Plan"). In conjunction with the Merger the 2009 Plan was assumed by SB Holdings. Prior to October 21, 2010, up to 3,333 shares of common stock, net of forfeitures and cancellations, could have been issued under the 2009 Plan. After October 21, 2010, no further awards may be made under the 2009 Plan.

In conjunction with the Merger, SB Holdings adopted the Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. 2007 Omnibus Equity Award Plan (formerly known as the Russell Hobbs Inc. 2007 Omnibus Equity Award Plan, as amended on June 24, 2008) (the "2007 RH Plan"). Prior to October 21, 2010, up to 600 shares of common stock, net of forfeitures and cancellations, could have been issued under the RH Plan. After October 21, 2010, no further awards may be made under the 2007 RH Plan.

On October 21, 2010, SB Holdings' Board of Directors adopted the Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. 2011 Omnibus Equity Award Plan (the "2011 Plan"), which was approved at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders on March 1, 2011.

Up to 4,626 shares of common stock of SB Holdings, net of cancellations, may be issued under the 2011 Plan. Total stock compensation expense associated with restricted stock awards recognized by the Company during Fiscal 2012 was \$29,164 or \$18,956, net of taxes. The amounts before tax are included in General and administrative expenses in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations, of which \$131 or \$85 net of taxes, related to the accelerated vesting of certain awards to terminated employees.

78

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

Total stock compensation expense associated with restricted stock units recognized by the Company during Fiscal 2011 was \$30,389 or \$19,753, net of taxes. The amounts before tax are included in General and administrative expenses in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations, of which \$467 or \$304 net of taxes, related to the accelerated vesting of certain awards to terminated employees.

Total stock compensation expense associated with restricted stock awards recognized by the Company during Fiscal 2010 was \$16,676 or \$10,839, net of taxes. The amounts before tax are included in General and administrative expenses and Restructuring and related charges in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations, of which \$2,141 or \$1,392 net of taxes, was included in Restructuring and related charges primarily related to the accelerated vesting of certain awards to terminated employees.

The Company granted approximately 863 restricted stock units during Fiscal 2012. Of these grants, 160 restricted stock units are time-based and vest over a period ranging from one year to two years. The remaining 703 restricted stock units are both performance and time-based and vest over a one year performance-based period followed by a one year time-based period. The total market value of the restricted stock units on the date of the grant was approximately \$24,408.

The Company granted approximately 1,674 restricted stock units during Fiscal 2011. Of these grants, 93 restricted stock units are time-based and vest over a period ranging from one year to three years. The remaining 1,581 restricted stock units are both performance and time-based and vest as follows: (i) 699 stock units vest over a one year performance-based period followed by a one year time-based period and (ii) 882 stock units vest over a two year performance-based period followed by a one year time-based period. The total market value of the restricted stock units on the date of the grant was approximately \$48,530.

The fair value of restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards is determined based on the market price of the Company's shares on the grant date. A summary of the Company's restricted stock and restricted stock unit award activity for Fiscal 2012 and Fiscal 2011, and the non-vested awards outstanding as of September 30, 2012 is as follows:

Restricted Stock Awards	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	Fair Value at Grant Date
Restricted stock awards at September 30, 2010	446	\$23.56	\$10,508
Vested	(323) 23.32	(7,531
Restricted stock awards at September 30, 2011	123	\$24.20	\$2,977
Vested	(110) 23.75	(2,613
Restricted stock awards at September 30, 2012	13	\$28.00	\$364
Restricted Stock Units	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	Fair Value at Grant Date
Restricted stock units at September 30, 2010	249	\$28.22	\$7,028
Granted	1,674	28.99	48,530
Forfeited	(43) 29.47	(1,267
Vested	(235) 28.23	(6,635
Restricted stock units at September 30, 2011	1,645	\$28.97	\$47,656
Granted	863	28.28	24,408
Forfeited	(57) 28.49	(1,624

Edgar Filing: Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

Vested	(520) 29.83	(15,509)
Restricted stock units at September 30, 2012	1,931	\$28.45	\$54,931	

(v) Restructuring and Related Charges

Restructuring charges are recognized and measured in accordance with the provisions of ASC Topic 420: "Exit or Disposal Cost Obligations," ("ASC 420"). Under ASC 420, restructuring charges include, but are not limited to, termination and related costs consisting primarily of one-time termination benefits such as severance costs and retention bonuses, and contract termination costs consisting primarily of lease termination costs. Related charges, as defined by the Company, include, but are not limited to, other costs directly associated with exit and integration activities, including impairment of property and other

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

assets, departmental costs of full-time incremental integration employees, and any other items related to the exit or integration activities. Costs for such activities are estimated by management after evaluating detailed analyses of the costs to be incurred. The Company presents restructuring and related charges on a combined basis. (See also Note 14, Restructuring and Related Charges, for a more complete discussion of restructuring initiatives and related costs).

(w) Acquisition and Integration Related Charges

Acquisition and integration related charges reflected in Operating expenses include, but are not limited to, transaction costs such as banking, legal, accounting and other professional fees directly related to both consummated acquisitions and acquisition targets, termination and related costs for transitional and certain other employees, integration related professional fees and other post business combination expenses associated with mergers and acquisitions.

The following table summarizes acquisition and integration related charges incurred by the Company during Fiscal 2012, Fiscal 2011 and Fiscal 2010:

	2012	2011	2010
Russell Hobbs			
Integration costs	\$ 10,168	\$ 23,084	\$ 3,777
Employee termination charges	3,900	8,105	9,713
Legal and professional fees	1,495	4,883	24,962
Merger related Acquisition and integration related charges	\$ 15,563	\$ 36,072	\$ 38,452
FURminator	7,938	—	—
Black Flag	3,379	—	—
Other	4,186	531	—
Total Acquisition and integration related charges	\$ 31,066	\$ 36,603	\$ 38,452

(3) Inventory

Inventories consist of the following:

	September 30,	
	2012	2011
Raw materials	\$ 58,515	\$ 59,928
Work-in-process	23,434	25,465
Finished goods	370,684	349,237
	\$ 452,633	\$ 434,630

(4) Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment consist of the following:

	September 30,	
	2012	2011
Land, buildings and improvements	\$ 88,580	\$ 101,303
Machinery, equipment and other	247,065	202,309
Construction in progress	18,366	10,134
	354,011	313,746
Less accumulated depreciation	139,994	107,357
	\$ 214,017	\$ 206,389

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

(5) Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Intangible assets consist of the following:

	Global Batteries & Appliances	Global Pet Supplies	Home and Garden Business	Total	
Goodwill:					
Balance at September 30, 2010	\$ 268,420	\$ 159,985	\$ 171,650	\$ 600,055	
Additions	—	10,029	255	10,284	
Effect of translation	(272) 271	—	(1)
Balance at September 30, 2011	\$ 268,148	\$ 170,285	\$ 171,905	\$ 610,338	
Additions	—	70,023	15,852	85,875	
Effect of translation	408	(2,376) —	(1,968)
Balance at September 30, 2012	\$ 268,556	\$ 237,932	\$ 187,757	\$ 694,245	
Intangible Assets:					
Trade names Not Subject to Amortization					
Balance at September 30, 2010	\$ 569,945	\$ 211,533	\$ 76,000	\$ 857,478	
Additions	—	2,630	150	2,780	
Intangible asset impairment	(23,200) (8,600) (650) (32,450)
Effect of translation	(941) (72) —	(1,013)
Balance at September 30, 2011	\$ 545,804	\$ 205,491	\$ 75,500	\$ 826,795	
Additions	—	14,000	8,000	22,000	
Reclassification to intangible assets subject to amortization	(920) (2,530) —	(3,450)
Effect of translation	542	(4,819) —	(4,277)
Balance at September 30, 2012	\$ 545,426	\$ 212,142	\$ 83,500	\$ 841,068	
Intangible Assets Subject to Amortization					
Balance at September 30, 2010, net	\$ 516,324	\$ 230,248	\$ 165,310	\$ 911,882	
Additions	—	4,193	—	4,193	
Amortization during period	(33,184) (15,599) (8,912) (57,695)
Effect of translation	(1,667) 401	—	(1,266)
Balance at September 30, 2011, net	\$ 481,473	\$ 219,243	\$ 156,398	\$ 857,114	
Additions	—	65,118	17,000	82,118	
Reclassification from intangible assets not subject to amortization	920	2,530	—	3,450	
Amortization during period	(32,892) (19,503) (11,271) (63,666)
Effect of translation	(2,389) (2,766) —	(5,155)
Balance at September 30, 2012, net	\$ 447,112	\$ 264,622	\$ 162,127	\$ 873,861	
Total Intangible Assets, net at September 30, 2012	\$ 992,538	\$ 476,764	\$ 245,627	\$ 1,714,929	

Intangible assets subject to amortization include proprietary technology, customer relationships and certain trade names, which were recognized in connection with acquisitions and from the application of fresh-start reporting. The useful life of the Company's intangible assets subject to amortization are 4 to 9 years for technology assets related to the Global Pet Supplies segment, 9 to 17 years for technology assets associated with the Global Batteries & Appliances segment, 15 to 20 years for customer relationships of the Global Batteries & Appliances segment, 20 years for customer relationships of the Home and Garden Business and Global Pet Supplies segments, 1 to 12 years for trade names within the Global Batteries & Appliances segment and 3 years for trade names within the Global Pet

Supplies segment.

81

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

The carrying value and accumulated amortization for intangible assets subject to amortization are as follows:

	September 30, 2012	September 30, 2011
Technology Assets Subject to Amortization:		
Gross balance	\$90,924	\$71,805
Accumulated amortization	(22,768) (13,635)
Carrying value, net	\$68,156	\$58,170
Trade Names Subject to Amortization:		
Gross balance	\$150,829	\$149,700
Accumulated amortization	(28,347) (16,320)
Carrying value, net	\$122,482	\$133,380
Customer Relationships Subject to Amortization:		
Gross balance	\$796,235	\$738,937
Accumulated amortization	(113,012) (73,373)
Carrying value, net	\$683,223	\$665,564
Total Intangible Assets Subject to Amortization	\$873,861	\$857,114

ASC 350 requires companies to test goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment annually, or more often if an event or circumstance indicates that an impairment loss may have incurred. During Fiscal 2012, Fiscal 2011 and Fiscal 2010 the Company conducted impairment testing of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets. As a result of this testing, the Company recorded non-cash pretax intangible asset impairment charges of approximately \$32,450 during Fiscal 2011 related to impaired trade name intangible assets. (See also Note 2(i), Significant Accounting Policies—Intangible Assets, for further details on the impairment charges).

The amortization expense related to intangible assets subject to amortization for Fiscal 2012, Fiscal 2011 and Fiscal 2010 is as follows:

	2012	2011	2010
Proprietary technology amortization	\$9,133	\$6,817	\$6,305
Trade names amortization	14,347	12,558	3,750
Customer relationship amortization	40,186	38,320	35,865
	\$63,666	\$57,695	\$45,920

The Company estimates annual amortization expense for the next five fiscal years will approximate \$63,600 per year.

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

(6) Debt

Debt consists of the following:

	September 30, 2012		September 30, 2011		
	Amount	Rate	Amount	Rate	
Term Loan, U.S. Dollar, expiring June 17, 2016	\$370,175	5.1	% \$525,237	5.1	%
9.5% Notes, due June 15, 2018	950,000	9.5	% 750,000	9.5	%
6.75% Notes, due March 15, 2020	300,000	6.8	% —	—	%
12% Notes, due August 28, 2019	—	—	% 245,031	12.0	%
ABL Facility, expiring May 3, 2016	—	4.3	% —	2.5	%
Other notes and obligations	18,059	10.9	% 19,333	10.5	%
Capitalized lease obligations	26,683	6.2	% 24,911	6.2	%
	1,664,917		1,564,512		
Original issuance premiums (discounts) on debt	4,383		(12,900)	
Less current maturities	16,414		16,090		
Long-term debt	\$1,652,886		\$1,535,522		

The Company's aggregate scheduled maturities of debt and capital lease payments as of September 30, 2012 are as follows:

	Maturities of Debt
2013	\$16,414
2014	13,164
2015	8,063
2016	361,222
2017	1,500
Thereafter	1,264,554
	\$1,664,917

The Company's aggregate capitalized lease obligations included in the amounts above are payable in installments of \$3,097 in 2013, \$3,153 in 2014, \$2,513 in 2015, \$1,866 in 2016, \$1,500 in 2017 and \$14,554 thereafter.

The Company has the following debt instruments outstanding at September 30, 2012: (i) a senior secured U.S. dollar term loan (the "Term Loan") pursuant to a senior credit agreement (the "Senior Credit Agreement"); (ii) 9.5% secured notes (the "9.5% Notes"); (iii) 6.75% unsecured notes (the "6.75% Notes"); and (iv) a \$300,000 asset based lending revolving credit facility (the "ABL Facility") and, together with the Term Loan, the 9.5% Notes and the 6.75% Notes, (the "Senior Credit Facilities").

The 9.5% Notes were issued by Spectrum Brands. SB/RH Holdings, LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of SB Holdings, and the wholly owned domestic subsidiaries of Spectrum Brands are the guarantors under the 9.5% Notes. SB Holdings is not an issuer or guarantor of the 9.5% Notes. SB Holdings is also not a borrower or guarantor under the Company's Term Loan or the ABL Facility. Spectrum Brands is the borrower under the Term Loan and its wholly owned domestic subsidiaries along with SB/RH Holdings, LLC are the guarantors under that facility. Spectrum Brands and its wholly owned domestic subsidiaries are the borrowers under the ABL Facility and SB/RH Holdings, LLC is a guarantor of that facility.

Term Loan

On December 15, 2011 and June 14, 2012, the Company amended its Term Loan. As a result, the aggregate incremental amount by which the Company, subject to compliance with financial covenants and certain other conditions, may increase the amount

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

of the commitment under the Term Loan has been increased from \$100,000 to \$250,000. Certain covenants in respect to indebtedness, liens and interest coverage were also amended to provide for dollar limits more favorable to the Company and, subject to compliance with financial covenants and certain other conditions, to allow for the incurrence of incremental unsecured indebtedness.

On February 1, 2011, the Company completed the refinancing of its Term Loan, which was initially established in connection with the Merger and had an aggregate amount outstanding of \$680,000 upon refinancing, with an amended and restated credit agreement. In connection with the refinancing, the Term Loan was issued at par with a maturity date of June 17, 2016. Subject to certain mandatory prepayment events, the Term Loan is subject to repayment according to a scheduled amortization, with the final payment of all amounts outstanding, plus accrued and unpaid interest, due at maturity. Among other things, the Term Loan provides for interest at a rate per annum equal to, at the Company's option, the LIBO rate (adjusted for statutory reserves) subject to a 1.00% floor plus a margin equal to 4.00%, or an alternate base rate plus a margin equal to 3.00%.

The Term Loan contains financial covenants with respect to debt, including, but not limited to, a maximum leverage ratio and a minimum interest coverage ratio, which covenants, pursuant to their terms, become more restrictive over time. In addition, the Term Loan contains customary restrictive covenants, including, but not limited to, restrictions on the Company's ability to incur additional indebtedness, create liens, make investments or specified payments, give guarantees, pay dividends, make capital expenditures and merge or acquire or sell assets. Pursuant to a guarantee and collateral agreement, the Company and its domestic subsidiaries have guaranteed the respective obligations under the Term Loan and related loan documents and have pledged substantially all of their respective assets to secure such obligations. The Term Loan also provides for customary events of default, including payment defaults and cross-defaults on other material indebtedness.

In connection with the amendments, the Company recorded \$792 of fees in connection with the Term Loan during Fiscal 2012. The fees are classified as Debt issuance costs within the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Financial Position and are amortized as an adjustment to interest expense over the remaining life of the Term Loan. In connection with the amendments, the Company also recorded cash charges of \$531 as an increase to interest expense during Fiscal 2012. In connection with voluntary prepayments of \$150,000 of the Term Loan during Fiscal 2012, the Company recorded accelerated amortization of portions of the unamortized discount totaling \$2,824 as an adjustment to increase interest expense.

The Company recorded \$10,545 of fees in connection with the refinancing of the Term Loan during Fiscal 2011. The fees are classified as Debt issuance costs within the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Financial Position and are amortized as an adjustment to interest expense over the remaining life of the Term Loan. In connection with the refinancing, included in Fiscal 2011 Interest expense are cash charges of \$4,954 and accelerated amortization of portions of the unamortized discount and unamortized Debt issuance costs totaling \$24,370. In connection with voluntary prepayments of \$220,000 of the Term Loan during Fiscal 2011, the Company recorded cash charges of \$700 and accelerated amortization of portions of the unamortized discount and unamortized Debt issuance costs totaling \$7,521 as an adjustment to increase interest expense.

9.5% Notes

On November 2, 2011, the Company offered \$200,000 aggregate principal amount of 9.5% Notes at a price of 108.5% of the par value; these notes are in addition to the \$750,000 aggregate principal amount of 9.5% Notes that were already outstanding. The additional notes are guaranteed by SB/RH Holdings, LLC, as well as by existing and future domestic restricted subsidiaries and secured by liens on substantially all of the Company's and the guarantors' assets. The additional notes will vote together with the existing 9.5% Notes.

The Company may redeem all or a part of the 9.5% Notes, upon not less than 30 or more than 60 days notice, at specified redemption prices. Further, the indenture governing the 9.5% Notes (the "2018 Indenture") requires the Company to make an offer, in cash, to repurchase all or a portion of the applicable outstanding notes for a specified

redemption price, including a redemption premium, upon the occurrence of a change of control of the Company, as defined in such indenture.

The 2018 Indenture contains customary covenants that limit, among other things, the incurrence of additional indebtedness, payment of dividends on or redemption or repurchase of equity interests, the making of certain investments, expansion into unrelated businesses, creation of liens on assets, merger or consolidation with another company, transfer or sale of all or substantially all assets, and transactions with affiliates.

In addition, the 2018 Indenture provides for customary events of default, including failure to make required payments, failure to comply with certain agreements or covenants, failure to make payments on or acceleration of certain other indebtedness, and certain events of bankruptcy and insolvency. Events of default under the 2018 Indenture arising from certain

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

events of bankruptcy or insolvency will automatically cause the acceleration of the amounts due under the 9.5% Notes. If any other event of default under the 2018 Indenture occurs and is continuing, the trustee for the 2018 Indenture or the registered holders of at least 25% in the then aggregate outstanding principal amount of the 9.5% Notes may declare the acceleration of the amounts due under those notes.

The 9.5% Notes offered in Fiscal 2010 were issued at a 1.37% discount and were recorded net of the \$10,245 amount incurred. The discount is reflected as an adjustment to the carrying value of principal, and is being amortized with a corresponding charge to interest expense over the remaining life of the 9.5% Notes. During Fiscal 2012 and Fiscal 2010, the Company recorded \$3,581 and \$20,823, respectively, of fees in connection with the issuance of the 9.5% Notes. The fees are classified as Debt issuance costs within the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Financial Position and are amortized as an adjustment to interest expense over the remaining life of the 9.5% Notes.

6.75% Notes

On March 15, 2012, the Company offered \$300,000 aggregate principal amount of 6.75% Notes at a price of 100% of the par value. The 6.75% Notes are unsecured and guaranteed by SB/RH Holdings, LLC, as well as by existing and future domestic restricted subsidiaries.

The Company may redeem all or a part of the 6.75% Notes, upon not less than 30 or more than 60 days notice, at specified redemption prices. Further, the indenture governing the 6.75% Notes (the “2020 Indenture”) requires the Company to make an offer, in cash, to repurchase all or a portion of the applicable outstanding notes for a specified redemption price, including a redemption premium, upon the occurrence of a change of control of the Company, as defined in such indenture.

In addition, the 2020 Indenture contains customary covenants that limit, among other things, the incurrence of additional indebtedness, payment of dividends on or redemption or repurchase of equity interests, the making of certain investments, expansion into unrelated businesses, creation of liens on assets, merger or consolidation with another company, transfer or sale of all or substantially all assets, and transactions with affiliates.

In addition, the 2020 Indenture provides for customary events of default, including failure to make required payments, failure to comply with certain agreements or covenants, failure to make payments when due or on acceleration of certain other indebtedness, and certain events of bankruptcy and insolvency. Events of default under the 2020 Indenture arising from certain events of bankruptcy or insolvency will automatically cause the acceleration of the amounts due under the 6.75% Notes. If any other event of default under the 2020 Indenture occurs and is continuing, the trustee for the 2020 Indenture or the registered holders of at least 25% in the then aggregate outstanding principal amount of the 6.75% Notes may declare the acceleration of the amounts due under those notes.

The Company recorded \$6,265 of fees in connection with the offering of the 6.75% Notes during Fiscal 2012. The fees are classified as Debt issuance costs within the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Financial Position and are amortized as an adjustment to interest expense over the remaining life of the 6.75% Notes.

12% Notes

On March 1, 2012, the Company launched a cash tender offer (the “Tender Offer”) and consent solicitation (the “Consent Solicitation”) with respect to any and all of its outstanding 12% Senior Subordinated Toggle Notes due 2019 (the “12% Notes”). Pursuant to the Consent Solicitation, the Company received consents to the adoption of certain amendments to the indenture governing the 12% Notes to, among other things, eliminate substantially all of the restrictive covenants, certain events of default and other related provisions. The terms of the Tender Offer provided that holders of the 12% Notes who tendered their 12% Notes prior to the expiration of a consent solicitation period, which ended March 14, 2012, would receive tender offer consideration and a consent payment. Holders tendering their 12% Notes subsequent to expiration of the consent solicitation period, but prior to the March 28, 2012 expiration of the Tender Offer period, would receive only tender offer consideration. As of the expiration of the consent solicitation period, holders of the 12% Notes had tendered approximately \$231,421 of the 12% Notes. Following the expiration of the Tender Offer period, an additional \$88 of the 12% Notes were tendered. Following expiration of the Tender Offer period, the Company paid the trustee principal, interest and a call premium sufficient to redeem the remaining approximately

\$13,522 of the 12% Notes not tendered on the first redemption date, August 28, 2012. The trustee under the indenture governing the 12% Notes accepted those funds in trust for the benefit of the holders of the 12% Notes and has acknowledged the satisfaction and discharge of the 12% Notes and the indenture governing the 12% Notes.

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

In connection with the Tender Offer, the Company recorded \$23,777 of fees and expenses as a cash charge to Interest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations during Fiscal 2012. In connection with the satisfaction and discharge process, the Company recorded cash charges of \$1,623 to Interest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations during Fiscal 2012. In addition, \$2,097 of debt issuance costs and unamortized premium related to the 12% Notes were written off as a non-cash charge to Interest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations during Fiscal 2012.

ABL Facility

On May 24, 2012, the Company amended its ABL Facility. As a result, the maturity date was extended from April 21, 2016 to May 3, 2016.

The amended facility carries an interest rate at the option of the Company, which is subject to change based on availability under the facility, of either: (a) the base rate plus (currently) 0.75% per annum or (b) the reserve-adjusted LIBOR rate plus (currently) 1.75% per annum. No principal amortizations are required with respect to the ABL Facility. Pursuant to the credit and security agreement, the obligations under the ABL Facility are secured by certain current assets of the guarantors, including, but not limited to, deposit accounts, trade receivables and inventory. The ABL Facility is governed by a credit agreement (the “ABL Credit Agreement”) with Bank of America as administrative agent. The ABL Facility consists of revolving loans (the “Revolving Loans”), with a portion available for letters of credit and a portion available as swing line loans, in each case subject to the terms and limits described therein.

The Revolving Loans may be drawn, repaid and re-borrowed without premium or penalty. The proceeds of borrowings under the ABL Facility are to be used for costs, expenses and fees in connection with the ABL Facility, working capital requirements of the Company and its subsidiaries, restructuring costs, and for other general corporate purposes.

The ABL Credit Agreement contains various representations and warranties and covenants, including, without limitation, enhanced collateral reporting, and a maximum fixed charge coverage ratio. The ABL Credit Agreement also provides for customary events of default, including payment defaults and cross-defaults on other material indebtedness.

During Fiscal 2010, the Company recorded \$9,839 of fees in connection with the ABL Facility. During Fiscal 2011 and Fiscal 2012, the Company recorded \$2,071 and \$525, respectively, of fees in connection with the amendments to the ABL Facility. The fees are classified as Debt issuance costs within the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Financial Position and are amortized as an adjustment to interest expense over the remaining life of the ABL Facility. In connection with the amendment, the Company also recorded cash charges of \$482 as an increase to interest expense during Fiscal 2012. In addition, \$382 of debt issuance costs were written off in connection with the amendment as a non-cash charge to Interest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations during Fiscal 2012. Pursuant to the credit and security agreement, the obligations under the ABL Credit Agreement are secured by certain current assets of the guarantors, including, but not limited to, deposit accounts, trade receivables and inventory.

As a result of borrowings and payments under the ABL Facility at September 30, 2012, the Company had aggregate borrowing availability of approximately \$198,209, net of lender reserves of \$7,942 and outstanding letters of credit of \$25,302.

At September 30, 2011, the Company had aggregate borrowing availability of approximately \$176,612, net of lender reserves of \$48,769 and outstanding letters of credit of \$32,962.

(7) Derivative Financial Instruments

Derivative financial instruments are used by the Company principally in the management of its interest rate, foreign currency exchange rate and raw material price exposures. The Company does not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading purposes. When hedge accounting is elected at inception, the Company formally designates the financial instrument as a hedge of a specific underlying exposure if such criteria are met, and documents both the risk

management objectives and strategies for undertaking the hedge. The Company formally assesses, both at the inception and at least quarterly thereafter, whether the financial instruments that are used in hedging transactions are effective at offsetting changes in the forecasted cash flows of the related underlying exposure. Because of the high degree of effectiveness between the hedging instrument and the underlying exposure being hedged, fluctuations in the value of the derivative instruments are generally offset by changes in the forecasted cash flows of the underlying exposures being hedged. Any ineffective portion of a financial instrument's change in fair value is immediately recognized in earnings. For derivatives that are not designated as cash flow hedges, or do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment, the change in the fair value is also immediately recognized in earnings.

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

The Company discloses its derivative instruments and hedging activities in accordance with ASC Topic 815: “Derivatives and Hedging,” (“ASC 815”).

The fair value of outstanding derivative contracts recorded as assets in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Financial Position were as follows:

Asset Derivatives		September 30, 2012	September 30, 2011
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments under ASC 815:			
Commodity contracts	Receivables—Other	\$985	\$274
Commodity contracts	Deferred charges and other	1,017	—
Foreign exchange contracts	Receivables—Other	1,194	3,189
Total asset derivatives designated as hedging instruments under ASC 815		\$3,196	\$3,463
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under ASC 815:			
Foreign exchange contracts	Receivables—Other	41	—
Total asset derivatives		\$3,237	\$3,463

The fair value of outstanding derivative contracts recorded as liabilities in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Financial Position were as follows:

Liability Derivatives		September 30, 2012	September 30, 2011
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments under ASC 815:			
Interest rate contracts	Accounts payable	\$—	\$1,246
Interest rate contracts	Accrued interest	—	708
Commodity contracts	Accounts payable	9	1,228
Commodity contracts	Other long term liabilities	—	4
Foreign exchange contracts	Accounts payable	3,063	2,698
Total liability derivatives designated as hedging instruments under ASC 815		\$3,072	\$5,884
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under ASC 815:			
Foreign exchange contracts	Accounts payable	3,967	10,945
Foreign exchange contracts	Other long term liabilities	2,926	12,036
Total liability derivatives		\$9,965	\$28,865

Changes in AOCI from Derivative Instruments

For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative is reported as a component of AOCI and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. Gains and losses on the derivative representing either hedge ineffectiveness or hedge components excluded from the assessment of effectiveness are recognized in current earnings.

The following table summarizes the impact of derivative instruments on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations for Fiscal 2012:

87

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

Derivatives in ASC 815 Cash Flow Hedging Relationships	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in AOCI on Derivatives (Effective Portion)	Location of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Amount of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing)	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing)
Commodity contracts	\$ 1,606	Cost of goods sold	\$ (1,148) Cost of goods sold	\$ 94
Interest rate contracts	15	Interest expense	(864) Interest expense	—
Foreign exchange contracts	61	Net sales	(474) Net sales	—
Foreign exchange contracts	(3,506) Cost of goods sold	(611) Cost of goods sold	—
Total	\$ (1,824)	\$ (3,097)	\$ 94

The following table summarizes the impact of derivative instruments on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations for Fiscal 2011:

Derivatives in ASC 815 Cash Flow Hedging Relationships	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in AOCI on Derivatives (Effective Portion)	Location of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Amount of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing)	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing)	
Commodity contracts	\$ (1,750) Cost of goods sold	\$ 2,617	Cost of goods sold	\$ (47)
Interest rate contracts	(88) Interest expense	(3,319) Interest expense	(205) (A)
Foreign exchange contracts	(487) Net sales	(131) Net sales	—	
Foreign exchange contracts	(3,667) Cost of goods sold	(12,384) Cost of goods sold	—	
Total	\$ (5,992)	\$ (13,217)	\$ (252)

(A) Reclassified from AOCI associated with the prepayment of portions of the Senior Credit Facility. See also Note 6, Debt, for a more complete discussion of the Company's refinancing of its Senior Credit Facility.

The following table summarizes the impact of derivative instruments on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations for Fiscal 2010:

88

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

Derivatives in ASC 815 Cash Flow Hedging Relationships	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in AOCI on Derivatives (Effective Portion)	Location of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Amount of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing)	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing)
Commodity contracts	\$ 3,646	Cost of goods sold	\$ 719	Cost of goods sold	\$ (1)
Interest rate contracts	(13,955)	Interest expense	(4,439)	Interest expense	(6,112) (A)
Foreign exchange contracts	(752)	Net sales	(812)	Net sales	—
Foreign exchange contracts	(4,560)	Cost of goods sold	2,481	Cost of goods sold	—
Total	\$ (15,621)		\$ (2,051)		\$ (6,113)

(A) Includes \$(4,305) reclassified from AOCI associated with the refinancing of the Senior Credit Facility. (See also Note 6, Debt, for a more complete discussion of the Company's refinancing of its Senior Credit Facility.)

Other Changes in Fair Value of Derivative Contracts

For derivative instruments that are used to economically hedge the fair value of the Company's third party and intercompany payments and interest rate payments, the gain (loss) associated with the derivative contract is recognized in earnings in the period of change.

During Fiscal 2012 the Company recognized the following gains on derivative contracts:

	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives	Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ 5,916	Other expense, net

During Fiscal 2011 the Company recognized the following losses on derivative contracts:

	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives	Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ (5,052)	Other expense, net

During Fiscal 2010 the Company recognized the following gains (losses) on derivative contracts:

	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives	Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives

Commodity contracts	\$153	Cost of goods sold
Foreign exchange contracts	(42,039) Other expense, net
Total	\$(41,886)

Credit Risk

The Company is exposed to the risk of default by the counterparties with which it transacts and generally does not require collateral or other security to support financial instruments subject to credit risk. The Company monitors counterparty credit risk on an individual basis by periodically assessing each such counterparty's credit rating exposure. The maximum loss due to credit risk equals the fair value of the gross asset derivatives which are primarily concentrated with two foreign financial institution counterparties. The Company considers these exposures when measuring its credit reserve on its derivative assets, which was \$46 and \$18, respectively, at September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011.

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

The Company's standard contracts do not contain credit risk related contingencies whereby the Company would be required to post additional cash collateral as a result of a credit event. However, the Company is typically required to post collateral in the normal course of business to offset its liability positions. At September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, the Company had posted cash collateral of \$50 and \$418, respectively, related to such liability positions. At September 30, 2012, the Company had no standby letters of credit, compared to posted letters of credit of \$2,000 at September 30, 2011, related to such liability positions. The cash collateral is included in Receivables—Other within the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Financial Position.

Derivative Financial Instruments

Cash Flow Hedges

The Company has used interest rate swaps to manage its interest rate risk. The swaps are designated as cash flow hedges with the changes in fair value recorded in AOCI and as a derivative hedge asset or liability, as applicable. The swaps settle periodically in arrears with the related amounts for the current settlement period payable to, or receivable from, the counter-parties included in accrued liabilities or receivables, respectively, and recognized in earnings as an adjustment to interest expense from the underlying debt to which the swap is designated. At September 30, 2012, the Company did not have any interest rate swaps outstanding. At September 30, 2011, the Company had a portfolio of U.S. dollar-denominated interest rate swaps outstanding which effectively fixed the interest on floating rate debt, exclusive of lender spreads as follows: 2.25% for a notional principal amount of \$200,000 through December 2011 and 2.29% for a notional principal amount of \$300,000 through January 2012. During Fiscal 2010, in connection with the refinancing of its senior credit facilities, the Company terminated a portfolio of Euro-denominated interest rate swaps at a cash loss of \$3,499 which was recognized as an adjustment to interest expense. At September 30, 2012, the Company did not have any unrecognized gains or losses related to interest rate swaps recorded in AOCI. The derivative net loss on the U.S. dollar swap contracts recorded in AOCI by the Company at September 30, 2011 was \$879, net of tax benefit of \$0. At September 30, 2012, no derivative net losses are estimated to be reclassified from AOCI into earnings by the Company over the next 12 months.

In connection with the Company's merger with Russell Hobbs and the refinancing of the Company's existing senior credit facilities associated with the closing of the Merger, the Company assessed the prospective effectiveness of its interest rate cash flow hedges during Fiscal 2010. As a result, during Fiscal 2010, the Company ceased hedge accounting and recorded a loss of \$1,451 as an adjustment to interest expense for the change in fair value of its U.S. dollar swaps from the date of de-designation until the U.S. dollar swaps were re-designated. The Company also evaluated whether the amounts recorded in AOCI associated with the forecasted U.S. dollar swap transactions were probable of not occurring and determined that occurrence of the transactions was still reasonably possible. Upon the refinancing of the existing senior credit facility associated with the closing of the Merger, the Company re-designated the U.S. dollar swaps as cash flow hedges of certain scheduled interest rate payments on the new \$750,000 U.S. Dollar Term Loan expiring June 17, 2016.

The Company's interest rate swap derivative financial instruments at September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 are summarized as follows:

	2012		2011	
	Notional Amount	Remaining Term	Notional Amount	Remaining Term
Interest rate swaps-fixed	\$—	—	\$200,000	.28 years
Interest rate swaps-fixed	\$—	—	\$300,000	.36 years

The Company periodically enters into forward foreign exchange contracts to hedge the risk from forecasted foreign denominated third party and intercompany sales or payments. These obligations generally require the Company to exchange foreign currencies for U.S. Dollars, Euros, Pounds Sterling, Australian Dollars, Brazilian Reals, Canadian Dollars or Japanese Yen. These foreign exchange contracts are cash flow hedges of fluctuating foreign exchange

related to sales of product or raw material purchases. Until the sale or purchase is recognized, the fair value of the related hedge is recorded in AOCI and as a derivative hedge asset or liability, as applicable. At the time the sale or purchase is recognized, the fair value of the related hedge is reclassified as an adjustment to Net sales or purchase price variance in Cost of goods sold.

At September 30, 2012 the Company had a series of foreign exchange derivative contracts outstanding through September 2013 with a contract value of \$202,453. At September 30, 2011 the Company had a series of foreign exchange derivative contracts outstanding through September 2012 with a contract value of \$223,417. The derivative loss on these contracts recorded in AOCI by the Company at September 30, 2012 was \$1,409, net of tax benefit of \$565. The derivative net

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

gain on these contracts recorded in AOCI by the Company at September 30, 2011 was \$238, net of tax expense of \$148. At September 30, 2012, the portion of derivative net losses estimated to be reclassified from AOCI into earnings by the Company over the next 12 months is \$1,409, net of tax.

The Company is exposed to risk from fluctuating prices for raw materials, specifically zinc used in its manufacturing processes. The Company hedges a portion of the risk associated with these materials through the use of commodity swaps. The hedge contracts are designated as cash flow hedges with the fair value changes recorded in AOCI and as a hedge asset or liability, as applicable. The unrecognized changes in fair value of the hedge contracts are reclassified from AOCI into earnings when the hedged purchase of raw materials also affects earnings. The swaps effectively fix the floating price on a specified quantity of raw materials through a specified date. At September 30, 2012 the Company had a series of such swap contracts outstanding through September 2014 for 15 tons with a contract value of \$29,207. At September 30, 2011 the Company had a series of such swap contracts outstanding through December 2012 for 9 tons with a contract value of \$18,858. The derivative net gain on these contracts recorded in AOCI by the Company at September 30, 2012 was \$1,627, net of tax expense of \$320. The derivative net loss on these contracts recorded in AOCI by the Company at September 30, 2011 was \$686, net of tax benefit of \$121. At September 30, 2012, the portion of derivative net gains estimated to be reclassified from AOCI into earnings by the Company over the next 12 months is \$796, net of tax.

Derivative Contracts

The Company periodically enters into forward and swap foreign exchange contracts to economically hedge the risk from third party and intercompany payments resulting from existing obligations. These obligations generally require the Company to exchange foreign currencies for U.S. Dollars, Euros or Australian Dollars. These foreign exchange contracts are economic hedges of a related liability or asset recorded in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Financial Position. The gain or loss on the derivative hedge contracts is recorded in earnings as an offset to the change in value of the related liability or asset at each period end. At September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 the Company had \$172,581 and \$265,974, respectively, of such foreign exchange derivative notional value contracts outstanding.

(8) Fair Value of Financial Instruments

ASC Topic 820: “Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures” (“ASC 820”), establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands related disclosures. Broadly, the ASC 820 framework requires fair value to be determined based on the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. ASC 820 establishes market or observable inputs as the preferred source of values, followed by assumptions based on hypothetical transactions in the absence of market inputs. The Company utilizes valuation techniques that attempt to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. The determination of the fair values considers various factors, including closing exchange or over-the-counter market pricing quotations, time value and credit quality factors underlying options and contracts. The fair value of certain derivative financial instruments is estimated using pricing models based on contracts with similar terms and risks. Modeling techniques assume market correlation and volatility, such as using prices of one delivery point to calculate the price of the contract’s different delivery point. The nominal value of interest rate transactions is discounted using applicable forward interest rate curves. In addition, by applying a credit reserve which is calculated based on credit default swaps or published default probabilities for the actual and potential asset value, the fair value of the Company’s derivative financial instrument assets reflects the risk that the counterparties to these contracts may default on the obligations. Likewise, by assessing the requirements of a reserve for non-performance which is calculated based on the probability of default by the Company, the Company adjusts its derivative contract liabilities to reflect the price at which a potential market participant would be willing to assume the Company’s liabilities. The Company has not changed its valuation techniques in measuring the fair value of any financial assets and liabilities during the year.

The valuation techniques required by ASC 820 are based upon observable and unobservable inputs. Observable inputs reflect market data obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect market assumptions made by the Company. These two types of inputs create the following fair value hierarchy:

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

Level 1 - Unadjusted quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets.

Level 2 - Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active; and model-derived valuations whose inputs are observable or whose significant value drivers are observable.

Level 3 - Significant inputs to the valuation model are unobservable.

The Company maintains policies and procedures to value instruments using the best and most relevant data available. In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety falls must be determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and considers factors specific to the asset or liability. In addition, the Company has risk management teams that review valuation, including independent price validation for certain instruments. Further, in other instances, the Company retains independent pricing vendors to assist in valuing certain instruments.

The Company's derivatives are valued on a recurring basis using internal models, which are based on market observable inputs including interest rate curves and both forward and spot prices for currencies and commodities. The Company's net derivative portfolio as of September 30, 2012, contains Level 2 instruments and consists of commodity and foreign exchange contracts. The fair values of these instruments as of September 30, 2012 were as follows:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Commodity contracts, net	\$—	\$1,993	\$—	\$1,993
Total Assets	\$—	\$1,993	\$—	\$1,993
Liabilities:				
Foreign exchange contracts, net	\$—	\$(8,721)	\$—	\$(8,721)
Total Liabilities	\$—	\$(8,721)	\$—	\$(8,721)

The Company's net derivative portfolio as of September 30, 2011, contains Level 2 instruments and consists of commodity, interest rate and foreign exchange contracts. The fair values of these instruments as of September 30, 2011 were as follows:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Total Assets	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Liabilities:				
Interest rate contracts	\$—	\$(1,954)	\$—	\$(1,954)
Commodity contracts	—	(958)	—	(958)
Foreign exchange contracts, net	—	(22,490)	—	(22,490)
Total Liabilities	\$—	\$(25,402)	\$—	\$(25,402)

The carrying values of cash and cash equivalents, accounts and notes receivable, accounts payable and short-term debt approximate fair value. The fair values of long-term debt and derivative financial instruments are generally based on quoted or observed market prices.

The carrying values of goodwill, intangible assets and other long-lived assets are tested annually, or more frequently if an event occurs that indicates an impairment loss may have been incurred, using fair value measurements with unobservable inputs (Level 3). The Company recorded impairment charges related to intangible assets during Fiscal

2011. (See also Note 2(i), Significant Accounting Policies—Intangible Assets, for further details on impairment testing.)

92

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

The carrying amounts and fair values of the Company's financial instruments are summarized as follows ((liability)/asset):

	September 30, 2012		September 30, 2011	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
Total debt	\$(1,669,300)	\$(1,804,831)	\$(1,551,612)	\$(1,635,528)
Interest rate swap agreements	—	—	(1,954)	(1,954)
Commodity swap and option agreements	1,993	1,993	(958)	(958)
Foreign exchange forward agreements	(8,721)	(8,721)	(22,490)	(22,490)

(9) Income Taxes

Income tax expense was calculated based upon the following components of income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax:

	2012	2011	2010
Pretax income (loss):			
United States	\$(66,102)	\$(119,984)	\$(230,262)
Outside the United States	175,059	137,108	106,079
Total pretax income (loss)	\$108,957	\$17,124	\$(124,183)

The components of income tax expense are as follows:

	2012	2011	2010
Current:			
Foreign	\$38,113	\$32,649	\$44,481
State	(361)	2,332)	2,907
Total current	37,752	34,981	47,388
Deferred:			
Federal	20,884	20,247	22,119
Foreign	5,190	28,054	(6,514)
State	(3,441)	9,013)	196
Total deferred	22,633	57,314	15,801
Income tax expense	\$60,385	\$92,295	\$63,189

The following reconciles the total income tax expense, based on the Federal statutory income tax rate of 35%, with the Company's recognized income tax expense:

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

	2012	2011	2010	
Statutory federal income tax expense (benefit)	\$38,135	\$5,994	\$(43,464))
Permanent items	8,595	10,607	4,828	
Exempt foreign income	(5,760)) (380)) (9))
Foreign statutory rate vs. U.S. statutory rate	(15,211)) (14,132)) (9,601))
State income taxes, net of federal benefit	(2,164)) 1,242	(4,979))
Residual tax on foreign earnings	29,844	18,943	6,609	
FURminator purchase accounting benefit	(14,511)) —	—	
Valuation allowance	26,003	68,615	90,977	
Reorganization items	—	—	7,553	
Unrecognized tax (benefit) expense	(4,386)) (2,793)) 3,234	
Inflationary adjustments	(803)) (1,472)) 3,409	
Correction of immaterial prior period error	—	4,873	5,900	
Other, net	643	798	(1,268))
Income tax expense	\$60,385	\$92,295	\$63,189	

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

The tax effects of temporary differences, which give rise to significant portions of the deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities, are as follows:

	September 30,	
	2012	2011
Current deferred tax assets:		
Employee benefits	\$ 16,399	\$ 14,188
Restructuring	8,054	10,682
Inventories and receivables	22,495	21,521
Marketing and promotional accruals	8,270	8,911
Other	14,440	14,742
Valuation allowance	(29,808) (28,772
Total current deferred tax assets	39,850	41,272
Current deferred tax liabilities:		
Inventories and receivables	(2,618) (5,015
Unrealized gains	(1,153) (2,382
Other	(7,936) (5,705
Total current deferred tax liabilities	(11,707) (13,102
Net current deferred tax assets	\$ 28,143	\$ 28,170
Noncurrent deferred tax assets:		
Employee benefits	\$ 34,927	\$ 30,177
Restructuring and purchase accounting	371	2,269
Net operating loss and credit carry forwards	572,857	525,394
Prepaid royalty	7,006	7,346
Property, plant and equipment	3,255	5,240
Unrealized losses	2,521	9,000
Long-term debt	3,976	22,602
Intangibles	4,282	4,749
Other	7,866	5,743
Valuation allowance	(354,992) (345,121
Total noncurrent deferred tax assets	282,069	267,399
Noncurrent deferred tax liabilities:		
Property, plant, and equipment	(15,337) (16,593
Unrealized gains	(15,803) (11,619
Intangibles	(596,199) (571,454
Taxes on unremitted foreign earnings	(29,231) —
Other	(2,964) (5,069
Total noncurrent deferred tax liabilities	(659,534) (604,735
Net noncurrent deferred tax liabilities	\$ (377,465) \$ (337,336
Net current and noncurrent deferred tax liabilities	\$ (349,322) \$ (309,166

Effective October 1, 2012, the Company began recording residual U.S. and foreign taxes on current foreign earnings as a result of its change in position regarding future repatriation and the requirements of ASC 740. To the extent necessary, the Company intends to utilize earnings of foreign subsidiaries generated after September 30, 2011, to support management's plans to voluntarily accelerate pay down of U.S. debt, fund distributions to shareholders, fund

U.S. acquisitions, and satisfy ongoing U.S. operational cash flow requirements. As a result, earnings of the Company's non-U.S. subsidiaries after September 30, 2011 are not considered to be permanently reinvested, except in jurisdiction where repatriation is either precluded or restricted by law. Accordingly, the Company is providing residual U.S. and foreign deferred taxes to these earnings to the extent they

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

cannot be repatriated in a tax-free manner. Accordingly during Fiscal 2012, the Company has provided residual taxes on approximately \$97,638 of foreign earnings resulting in an increase in tax expense, net of a corresponding adjustment to the Company's domestic valuation allowance, of approximately \$3,278, including \$2,465 of expected tax on \$76,475 of earnings not yet taxed in the U.S. During Fiscal 2011, the Company recorded residual U.S. and foreign taxes on approximately \$39,391 of distributions of foreign earnings resulting in an increase in tax expense, net of a corresponding adjustment to the Company's domestic valuation allowance, of approximately \$771. The Fiscal 2011 distributions were primarily non-cash deemed distributions under U.S. tax law. During Fiscal 2010, the Company recorded residual U.S. and foreign taxes on approximately \$26,600 of actual and deemed distributions of foreign earnings resulting in an increase in tax expense, net of a corresponding adjustment to the Company's domestic valuation allowance, of approximately \$0. The Fiscal 2010 distributions were primarily non-cash deemed distributions under U.S. tax law.

Remaining undistributed earnings of the Company's foreign operations are approximately \$415,713 at September 30, 2012, and are intended to remain permanently invested. Accordingly, no residual income taxes have been provided on those earnings at September 30, 2012. If at some future date these earnings cease to be permanently invested, the Company may be subject to U.S. income taxes and foreign withholding and other taxes on such amounts, which cannot be reasonably estimated at this time.

The Company, as of September 30, 2012, has U.S. federal and state net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$1,304,763 and \$1,340,761, respectively. These net operating loss carryforwards expire through years ending in 2032. The Company has foreign loss carryforwards of approximately \$119,100 which will expire beginning in 2016. Certain of the foreign net operating losses have indefinite carryforward periods. The Company is subject to an annual limitation on the use of its net operating losses that arose prior to its emergence from bankruptcy. The Company has had multiple changes of ownership, as defined under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, that subject the Company's U.S. federal and state net operating losses and other tax attributes to certain limitations. The annual limitation is based on a number of factors including the value of the Company's stock (as defined for tax purposes), on the date of the ownership change, its net unrealized built in gain position on that date, the occurrence of realized built in gains in years subsequent to the ownership change, and the effects of subsequent ownership changes (as defined for tax purposes) if any. Due to these limitations, the Company estimates that \$301,202 of the total U.S. federal and \$385,159 of the state net operating loss would expire unused even if the Company generates sufficient income to otherwise use all its NOLs. In addition, separate return year limitations apply to limit the Company's utilization of the acquired Russell Hobbs U.S. federal and state net operating losses to future income of the Russell Hobbs subgroup. The Company also projects that \$110,794 of the total foreign loss carryforwards will expire unused. The Company has provided a full valuation allowance against these deferred tax assets.

A valuation allowance is recorded when it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of the deferred tax assets depends on the ability of the Company to generate sufficient taxable income of the appropriate character in the future and in the appropriate taxing jurisdictions. As of September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, the Company's valuation allowance, established for the tax benefit that may not be realized, totaled approximately \$384,800 and \$373,893, respectively. As of September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, approximately \$349,316 and \$338,538, respectively, related to U.S. net deferred tax assets, and approximately \$35,484 and \$35,354, respectively, related to foreign net deferred tax assets. The increase in the valuation allowance for deferred tax assets during Fiscal 2012 totaled approximately \$10,907, of which approximately \$10,778 related to an increase in the valuation allowance against U.S. net deferred tax assets, and approximately \$130 related to an increase in the valuation allowance against foreign net deferred tax assets. As a result of the purchase of FURminator, the Company was able to release \$14,511 of U.S. valuation allowance during Fiscal 2012. The release was attributable to \$14,511 of net deferred tax liabilities recorded on the FURminator acquisition date balance sheet that offset other U.S. net deferred tax assets. During Fiscal 2011, the Company determined that a valuation allowance was required against deferred tax assets related to net operating losses in Brazil, and thus recorded a \$25,877 charge to

increase the valuation allowance.

The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits on the Company's Consolidated Statements of Financial Position at September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 are \$5,877 and \$9,013, respectively. If recognized in the future, the entire amount of unrecognized tax benefits will affect the effective tax rate. The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions in income tax expense. As of September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 the Company had approximately \$3,564 and \$4,682, respectively, of accrued interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions. The impact related to interest and penalties on the Consolidated Statement of Operations for Fiscal 2012 was a net decrease to income tax expense of \$(1,184). The impact related to interest and penalties on the Consolidated Statement of Operations for Fiscal 2011 was a net decrease to income tax expense of \$(1,422). The impact related to interest and penalties on the Consolidated

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

Statement of Operations for Fiscal 2010 was a net increase to income tax expense of \$1,527. In connection with the Merger, the Company recorded additional unrecognized tax benefits of approximately \$3,299 as part of purchase accounting.

As of September 30, 2012, certain of the Company's legal entities are undergoing income tax audits. The Company cannot predict the ultimate outcome of the examinations; however, it is reasonably possible that during the next 12 months some portion of previously unrecognized tax benefits could be recognized.

The following table summarizes the changes to the amount of unrecognized tax benefits of Company for Fiscal 2012, Fiscal 2011, and Fiscal 2010:

Unrecognized tax benefits at September 30, 2009	\$7,765	
Russell Hobbs acquired unrecognized tax benefits	3,251	
Gross decrease – tax positions in prior period	(904)
Gross increase – tax positions in current period	3,390	
Lapse of statutes of limitations	(694)
Unrecognized tax benefits at September 30, 2010	\$12,808	
Gross increase – tax positions in prior period	1,658	
Gross decrease – tax positions in prior period	(823)
Gross increase – tax positions in current period	596	
Settlements	(1,850)
Lapse of statutes of limitations	(3,376)
Unrecognized tax benefits at September 30, 2011	\$9,013	
Gross increase – tax positions in prior period	773	
Gross decrease – tax positions in prior period	(1,308)
Gross increase – tax positions in current period	776	
Settlements	(1,737)
Lapse of statutes of limitations	(1,640)
Unrecognized tax benefits at September 30, 2012	\$5,877	

The Company files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and various state, local and foreign jurisdictions and is subject to ongoing examination by the various taxing authorities. The Company's major taxing jurisdictions are the U.S., United Kingdom, and Germany. In the U.S., federal tax filings for years prior to and including the Company's fiscal year ended September 30, 2008 are closed. However, the federal net operating loss carryforwards from the Company's fiscal years ended September 30, 2008 and prior are subject to Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") examination until the year that such net operating loss carryforwards are utilized and those years are closed for audit. The Company's fiscal years ended September 30, 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 remain open to examination by the IRS. Filings in various U.S. state and local jurisdictions are also subject to audit and to date no significant audit matters have arisen.

In the U.S., federal tax filings for years prior to and including Russell Hobbs year ended June 30, 2008 are closed. However, the federal net operating loss carryforwards for Russell Hobbs fiscal years ended June 30, 2008 and prior are subject to examination by the IRS until the year that such net operating losses are utilized and those years are closed for audit.

During Fiscal 2011 we recorded the correction of an immaterial prior period error in our consolidated financial statements related to the effective state income tax rates for certain U.S. subsidiaries. During Fiscal 2010 we recorded the correction of an immaterial prior period error in our consolidated financial statements related to deferred taxes in certain foreign jurisdictions. We believe the correction of these errors to be both quantitatively and qualitatively immaterial to our annual results for Fiscal 2011, Fiscal 2010 or to the financial statements of any previous period. The impact of the corrections was an increase to income tax expense and an increase to deferred tax liabilities in Fiscal 2011 of approximately \$4,873 and an increase to income tax expense and a decrease to deferred tax assets in Fiscal 2010 of approximately \$5,900.

(10) Employee Benefit Plans

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

Pension Benefits

The Company has various defined benefit pension plans covering some of its employees in the United States and certain employees in other countries, primarily the United Kingdom and Germany. Plans generally provide benefits of stated amounts for each year of service. The Company funds its U.S. pension plans in accordance with the requirements of the defined benefit pension plans and, where applicable, in amounts sufficient to satisfy the minimum funding requirements of applicable laws. Additionally, in compliance with the Company's funding policy, annual contributions to non-U.S. defined benefit plans are equal to the actuarial recommendations or statutory requirements in the respective countries.

The Company also sponsors or participates in a number of other non-U.S. pension arrangements, including various retirement and termination benefit plans, some of which are covered by local law or coordinated with government-sponsored plans, which are not significant in the aggregate and therefore are not included in the information presented below. The Company also has various nonqualified deferred compensation agreements with certain of its employees. Under certain of these agreements, the Company has agreed to pay certain amounts annually for the first 15 years subsequent to retirement or to a designated beneficiary upon death. It is management's intent that life insurance contracts owned by the Company will fund these agreements. Under the remaining agreements, the Company has agreed to pay such deferred amounts in up to 15 annual installments beginning on a date specified by the employee, subsequent to retirement or disability, or to a designated beneficiary upon death.

Other Benefits

Under the Rayovac postretirement plan, the Company provides certain health care and life insurance benefits to eligible retired employees. Participants earn retiree health care benefits after reaching age 40 over the next 10 succeeding years of service, and remain eligible until reaching age 65. The plan is contributory; retiree contributions have been established as a flat dollar amount with contribution rates expected to increase at the active medical trend rate. The plan is unfunded. The Company is amortizing the transition obligation over a 20-year period.

The following tables provide additional information on the Company's pension and other postretirement benefit plans:

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

	Pension and Deferred Compensation Benefits		Other Benefits	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Change in benefit obligation				
Benefit obligation, beginning of year	\$ 209,472	\$ 214,977	\$ 542	\$ 527
Service cost	2,048	2,543	12	11
Interest cost	10,593	10,380	27	27
Actuarial (gain) loss	29,834	(9,027)	(14)	(21)
Participant contributions	182	189	—	—
Benefits paid	(9,354)	(8,685)	(1)	(2)
Foreign currency exchange rate changes	(1,969)	(905)	—	—
Benefit obligation, end of year	\$ 240,806	\$ 209,472	\$ 566	\$ 542
Change in plan assets				
Fair value of plan assets, beginning of year	\$ 130,641	\$ 125,566	\$—	\$—
Actual return on plan assets	20,112	(100)	—	—
Employer contributions	12,587	14,486	1	2
Employee contributions	182	189	—	—
Benefits paid	(9,354)	(8,685)	(1)	(2)
Plan expenses paid	—	(226)	—	—
Foreign currency exchange rate changes	(241)	(589)	—	—
Fair value of plan assets, end of year	\$ 153,927	\$ 130,641	\$—	\$—
Accrued Benefit Cost	\$(86,879)	\$(78,831)	\$(566)	\$(542)
Range of assumptions:				
Discount rate	4.0 % - 13.5 %	4.2 % - 13.6 %	4.0 %	5.0 %
Expected return on plan assets	4.0 % - 7.8 %	3.0 % - 7.8 %	N/A	N/A
Rate of compensation increase	2.3 % - 5.5 %	0.0 % - 5.5 %	N/A	N/A

The net underfunded status as of September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 of \$86,879 and \$78,831, respectively, is recognized in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Financial Position within Employee benefit obligations, net of current portion. Included in the Company's AOCI as of September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 are unrecognized net losses of \$33,428, net of tax benefit of \$4,392 and \$21,496, net of tax benefit of \$1,542, respectively, which have not yet been recognized as components of net periodic pension cost. The net loss in AOCI expected to be recognized during Fiscal 2013 is \$2,084.

At September 30, 2012, the Company's total pension and deferred compensation benefit obligation of \$240,806 consisted of \$75,580 associated with U.S. plans and \$165,226 associated with international plans. The fair value of the Company's pension and deferred compensation benefit assets of \$153,927 consisted of \$51,721 associated with U.S. plans and \$102,206 associated with international plans. The weighted average discount rate used for the Company's domestic plans was approximately 4.3% and approximately 5.3% for its international plans. The weighted average expected return on plan assets used for the Company's domestic plans was approximately 7.8% and approximately 5.4% for its international plans.

At September 30, 2011, the Company's total pension and deferred compensation benefit obligation of \$209,472 consisted of \$67,611 associated with U.S. plans and \$141,861 associated with international plans. The fair value of the Company's pension and deferred compensation benefit assets of \$130,641 consisted of \$43,582 associated with U.S. plans and \$87,059 associated with international plans. The weighted average discount rate used for the Company's domestic plans was approximately 5.0% and approximately 4.9% for its international plans. The weighted average expected return on plan assets used for the Company's domestic plans was approximately 7.6% and approximately

5.4% for its international plans.

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

	Pension and Deferred Compensation Benefits			Other Benefits		
	2012	2011	2010	2012	2011	2010
Components of net periodic benefit cost						
Service cost	\$2,048	\$2,543	\$2,479	\$12	\$11	\$9
Interest cost	10,593	10,380	8,239	27	27	26
Expected return on assets	(8,225)	(7,829)	(5,774)	—	—	—
Amortization of prior service cost	72	—	535	—	—	—
Amortization of transition obligation	—	—	207	—	—	—
Recognized net actuarial (gain) loss	828	8	613	(54)	(52)	(58)
Net periodic cost (benefit)	\$5,316	\$5,102	\$6,299	\$(15)	\$(14)	\$(23)

The discount rate is used to calculate the projected benefit obligation. The discount rate used is based on the rate of return on government bonds as well as current market conditions of the respective countries where such plans are established.

Below is a summary allocation of all pension plan assets as of the measurement date.

Asset Category	Weighted Average Allocation					
	Target			Actual		
	2012			2012	2011	
Equity Securities	0 - 60	%		49 %	46 %	
Fixed Income Securities	0 - 40	%		20 %	21 %	
Other	0 - 100	%		31 %	33 %	
Total	100%			100 %	100 %	

The weighted average expected long-term rate of return on total assets is 6.2%.

The Company has established formal investment policies for the assets associated with these plans. Policy objectives include maximizing long-term return at acceptable risk levels, diversifying among asset classes, if appropriate, and among investment managers, as well as establishing relevant risk parameters within each asset class. Specific asset class targets are based on the results of periodic asset/liability studies. The investment policies permit variances from the targets within certain parameters. The weighted average expected long-term rate of return is based on a Fiscal 2012 review of such rates. The plan assets currently do not include holdings of SB Holdings common stock.

The following table sets forth the fair value of the Company's pension plan assets as of September 30, 2012 segregated by level within the fair value hierarchy. See Note 8, "Fair Value of Financial Instruments", for discussion of the fair value hierarchy and fair value principles:

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
U.S. Defined Benefit Plan Assets:				
Common collective trust—equity	\$20,520	\$16,667	\$—	\$37,187
Common collective trust—fixed income	—	14,534	—	14,534
Total U.S. Defined Benefit Plan Assets	\$20,520	\$31,201	\$—	\$51,721
International Defined Benefit Plan Assets:				
Common collective trust—equity	\$—	\$38,507	\$—	\$38,507
Common collective trust—fixed income	—	15,661	—	15,661
Insurance contracts—general fund	—	40,651	—	40,651
Other	—	7,387	—	7,387
Total International Defined Benefit Plan Assets	\$—	\$102,206	\$—	\$102,206

The Company's Fixed Income Securities portfolio is invested primarily in commingled funds and managed for overall return expectations rather than matching duration against plan liabilities; therefore, debt maturities are not significant to the plan performance.

The Company's Other portfolio consists of all pension assets, primarily insurance contracts, in the United Kingdom, Germany and the Netherlands.

The Company's expected future pension benefit payments for Fiscal 2013 through its fiscal year 2022 are as follows:

2013	\$9,697
2014	8,783
2015	9,122
2016	9,492
2017	9,775
2018-2022	56,072

The Company sponsors a defined contribution pension plan for its domestic salaried employees, which allows participants to make contributions by salary reduction pursuant to Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code. The Company also sponsors defined contribution pension plans for employees of certain foreign subsidiaries. Company contributions charged to operations, including discretionary amounts, for Fiscal 2012, Fiscal 2011 and Fiscal 2010 were \$1,935, \$4,999 and \$3,464, respectively.

(11) Segment Information

The Company manages its business in three vertically integrated, product-focused reporting segments: (i) Global Batteries & Appliances; (ii) Global Pet Supplies; and (iii) the Home and Garden Business. See Note 1, "Description of Business", for additional information regarding the Company's realignment of its reporting segments.

Global strategic initiatives and financial objectives for each reportable segment are determined at the corporate level. Each reportable segment is responsible for implementing defined strategic initiatives and achieving certain financial objectives, and has a general manager responsible for the sales and marketing initiatives and financial results for product lines within that segment.

Net sales and Cost of goods sold to other business segments have been eliminated. The gross contribution of intersegment sales is included in the segment selling the product to the external customer. Segment net sales are based upon the segment from which the product is shipped.

The operating segment profits do not include restructuring and related charges, acquisition and integration related charges, impairment charges, reorganization items expense, net, interest expense, interest income and income tax expense. Expenses associated with certain general and administrative functions necessary to reflect the operating

segments on a standalone basis have also been excluded in the determination of reportable segment profits. Corporate expenses primarily

101

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

include general and administrative expenses and the costs of global long-term incentive compensation plans which are evaluated on a consolidated basis and not allocated to the Company's operating segments. All depreciation and amortization included in income from operations is related to operating segments or corporate expense. Costs are identified to operating segments or corporate expense according to the function of each cost center.

All capital expenditures are related to operating segments. Variable allocations of assets are not made for segment reporting.

Segment information for the Company for Fiscal 2012, Fiscal 2011 and Fiscal 2010, is as follows:

Net sales to external customers

	2012	2011	2010
Global Batteries & Appliances	\$2,249,939	\$2,254,153	\$1,658,123
Global Pet Supplies	615,508	578,905	566,335
Home and Garden Business	386,988	353,858	342,553
Total segments	\$3,252,435	\$3,186,916	\$2,567,011
Depreciation and amortization			

	2012	2011	2010
Global Batteries & Appliances	\$63,618	\$68,084	\$57,557
Global Pet Supplies	27,702	24,274	28,538
Home and Garden Business	13,296	12,375	14,418
Total segments	104,616	104,733	100,513
Corporate	29,164	30,416	16,905
Total Depreciation and amortization	\$133,780	\$135,149	\$117,418

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

Segment profit

	2012	2011	2010
Global Batteries & Appliances	\$244,442	\$238,864	\$171,298
Global Pet Supplies	85,866	75,564	57,675
Home and Garden Business	73,609	65,180	51,192
Total segments	403,917	379,608	280,165
Corporate expenses	51,514	53,967	48,817
Acquisition and integration related charges	31,066	36,603	38,452
Restructuring and related charges	19,591	28,644	24,118
Intangible asset impairment	—	32,450	—
Interest expense	191,911	208,329	277,015
Other expense, net	878	2,491	12,300
Income (loss) from continuing operations before reorganization items and income taxes	\$108,957	\$17,124	\$(120,537)

The Global Batteries & Appliances segment does business in Venezuela through a Venezuelan subsidiary. At January 4, 2010, the beginning of the Company's second quarter of Fiscal 2010, the Company determined that Venezuela met the definition of a highly inflationary economy under GAAP. As a result, beginning January 4, 2010, the U.S. dollar is the functional currency for the Company's Venezuelan subsidiary. Accordingly, subsequent to January 4, 2010, currency remeasurement adjustments for this subsidiary's financial statements and other transactional foreign exchange gains and losses are reflected in earnings. Through January 3, 2010, prior to being designated as highly inflationary, translation adjustments related to the Venezuelan subsidiary were reflected in Shareholders' equity as a component of AOCI.

In addition, on January 8, 2010, the Venezuelan government announced its intention to devalue its currency, the Bolivar fuerte, relative to the U.S. dollar. As a result, the Company remeasured the local statement of financial position of its Venezuela entity during the second quarter of Fiscal 2010 to reflect the impact of the devaluation to the official exchange rate of 4.3 Bolivar fuerte per U.S. dollar. Based on actual exchange activity as of September 30, 2010, the Company determined that the most likely method of exchanging its Bolivar fuertes for U.S. dollars would be to formally apply with the Venezuelan government to exchange through commercial banks at the SITME rate specified by the Central Bank of Venezuela. The SITME rate as of September 30, 2010 was quoted at 5.3 Bolivar fuerte per U.S. dollar. Therefore, the Company changed the rate used to remeasure Bolivar fuerte denominated transactions as of September 30, 2010 from the official exchange rate to the 5.3 SITME rate in accordance with ASC Topic 830: "Foreign Currency Matters" ("ASC 830) as it was the expected rate at which exchanges of Bolivar fuerte to U.S. dollars would be settled.

The designation of the Company's Venezuela entity as a highly inflationary economy and the devaluation of the Bolivar fuerte resulted in a \$1,486 reduction to the Company's operating income during Fiscal 2010. The Company also reported a foreign exchange loss in Other expense, net, of \$10,102 during Fiscal 2010 related to Bolivar fuerte denominated transactions.

As of September 30, 2011, the Company no longer exchanged its Bolivar fuertes for U.S. dollars through the SITME mechanism as the SITME was no longer the most likely method of exchanging its Bolivar fuertes for U.S. dollars. Therefore, the Company changed the rate used to remeasure Bolivar fuerte denominated transactions as of September 30, 2011 from the 5.3 SITME rate to the 4.3 official exchange rate in accordance with ASC 830, as it is the expected exchange rate of Bolivar fuertes to U.S. dollars. The Company reported a foreign exchange gain in Other expense, net, of \$(1,293) during Fiscal 2011 related to the change to the official exchange rate.

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

Segment total assets

	September 30,	
	2012	2011
Global Batteries & Appliances	\$2,243,472	\$2,275,076
Global Pet Supplies	956,043	828,202
Home and Garden Business	508,083	476,381
Total segments	3,707,598	3,579,659
Corporate	44,051	47,047
Total assets at year end	\$3,751,649	\$3,626,706

Segment long-lived assets (A)

	September 30,	
	2012	2011
Global Batteries & Appliances	\$1,434,392	\$1,468,617
Global Pet Supplies	768,140	647,953
Home and Garden Business	445,774	417,078
Total segments	2,648,306	2,533,648
Corporate	41,916	44,770
Long-lived assets at year end	\$2,690,222	\$2,578,418

(A) Includes all of the Company's non-current assets.

Capital expenditures

	2012	2011	2010
Global Batteries & Appliances	\$36,271	\$25,471	\$28,496
Global Pet Supplies	7,447	7,059	7,920
Home and Garden Business	3,091	3,630	3,890
Total segments	46,809	36,160	40,306
Corporate	—	—	10
Total Capital expenditures	\$46,809	\$36,160	\$40,316

Geographic Disclosures—Net sales to external customers

	2012	2011	2010
United States	\$1,772,138	\$1,780,127	\$1,444,779
Outside the United States	1,480,297	1,406,789	1,122,232
Total net sales to external customers	\$3,252,435	\$3,186,916	\$2,567,011

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

Geographic Disclosures—Long-lived assets (A)

	September 30,	
	2012	2011
United States	\$ 1,988,632	\$ 1,843,869
Outside the United States	701,590	734,549
Long-lived assets at year end	\$ 2,690,222	\$ 2,578,418

(A) Includes all of the Company's non-current assets.

(12) Commitments and Contingencies

The Company has provided for the estimated costs associated with environmental remediation activities at some of its current and former manufacturing sites. The Company believes that any additional liability in excess of the amounts provided of approximately \$5,432, which may result from resolution of these matters, will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition, results of operations or cash flows of the Company.

The Company is a defendant in various other matters of litigation generally arising out of the ordinary course of business. The Company does not believe that any of these other matters or proceedings presently pending will have a material adverse effect on its results of operations, financial condition, liquidity or cash flows.

The Company's minimum rent payments under operating leases are recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. Future minimum rental commitments under non-cancelable operating leases, principally pertaining to land, buildings and equipment, are as follows:

2013	\$ 32,623
2014	27,731
2015	22,296
2016	21,549
2017	16,823
Thereafter	43,199
Total minimum lease payments	\$ 164,221

All of the leases expire between October 2012 and July 2023. The Company's total rent expense was \$34,327, \$40,298 and \$30,218 during Fiscal 2012, Fiscal 2011 and Fiscal 2010, respectively.

(13) Related Party Transactions

Merger Agreement and Exchange Agreement

On June 16, 2010 (the "Closing Date"), SB Holdings completed the Merger pursuant to the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of February 9, 2010, as amended on March 1, 2010, March 26, 2010 and April 30, 2010, by and among SB Holdings, Russell Hobbs, Spectrum Brands, Battery Merger Corp., and Grill Merger Corp. (the "Merger Agreement"). As a result of the Merger, each of Spectrum Brands and Russell Hobbs became a wholly-owned subsidiary of SB Holdings. At the effective time of the Merger, (i) the outstanding shares of Spectrum Brands common stock were canceled and converted into the right to receive shares of SB Holdings common stock, and (ii) the outstanding shares of Russell Hobbs common stock and preferred stock were canceled and converted into the right to receive shares of SB Holdings common stock.

Pursuant to the terms of the Merger Agreement, on February 9, 2010, Spectrum Brands entered into support agreements with Harbinger Capital Partners Master Fund I, Ltd., Harbinger Capital Partners Special Situations Fund, L.P. and Global Opportunities Breakaway Ltd. (together the "Harbinger Parties") and Avenue International Master,

L.P. and certain of its affiliates (the “Avenue Parties”), in which the Harbinger Parties and the Avenue Parties agreed to vote their shares of Spectrum Brands common stock acquired before the date of the Merger Agreement in favor of the Merger and against any alternative proposal that would impede the Merger.

105

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

Immediately following the consummation of the Merger, the Harbinger Parties owned approximately 64% of the outstanding SB Holdings common stock and the stockholders of Spectrum Brands (other than the Harbinger Parties) owned approximately 36% of the outstanding SB Holdings common stock.

On January 7, 2011, the Harbinger Parties contributed 27,757 shares of SB Holdings common stock to Harbinger Group Inc. (“HRG”) and received in exchange for such shares an aggregate of 119,910 shares of HRG common stock (such transaction, the “Share Exchange”), pursuant to a Contribution and Exchange Agreement (the “Exchange Agreement”). Immediately following the Share Exchange, (i) HRG owned approximately 54.4% of the outstanding shares of SB Holding’s common stock and the Harbinger Parties owned approximately 12.7% of the outstanding shares of SB Holdings common stock, and (ii) the Harbinger Parties owned 129,860 shares of HRG common stock, or approximately 93.3% of the outstanding HRG common stock.

On June 28, 2011 the Company filed a Form S-3 registration statement with the SEC under which 1,150 shares of its common stock and 6,320 shares of the Company’s common stock held by Harbinger Capital Partners Master Fund I, Ltd. were offered to the public.

In November 2011, HRG announced a stock purchase program for the Company's common stock, with an authorization of \$30,000 under the program. This purchase program was completed in March 2012. Following the completion of the secondary offering of the Company's common stock in August 2011 by Harbinger Capital Partners Master Fund I, Ltd. and the completion of the HRG stock purchase program for the Company's common stock noted above, HRG owned approximately 57% of the Company's common stock, and the Harbinger Parties owned less than 1 percent of the Company's common stock.

In August 2012, HRG announced a share repurchase program of up to 1,000 shares of the Company's common stock. In connection with the Merger, the Harbinger Parties and SB Holdings entered into a stockholder agreement, dated February 9, 2010 (the “Stockholder Agreement”), which provides for certain protective provisions in favor of minority stockholders and provides certain rights and imposes certain obligations on the Harbinger Parties, including: for so long as the Harbinger Parties and their affiliates beneficially own 40% or more of the outstanding voting securities of SB Holdings, the Harbinger Parties and the Company will cooperate to ensure, to the greatest extent possible, the continuation of the structure of the SB Holdings board of directors as described in the Stockholder Agreement;

the Harbinger Parties will not effect any transfer of equity securities of SB Holdings to any person that would result in such person and its affiliates owning 40% or more of the outstanding voting securities of SB Holdings, unless specified conditions are met; and

the Harbinger Parties will be granted certain access and informational rights with respect to SB Holdings and its subsidiaries.

Pursuant to a joinder to the Stockholder Agreement entered into by the Harbinger Parties and HRG, upon consummation of the Share Exchange, HRG became a party to the Stockholder Agreement, and is subject to all of the covenants, terms and conditions of the Stockholder Agreement to the same extent as the Harbinger Parties were bound thereunder prior to giving effect to the Share Exchange.

Certain provisions of the Stockholder Agreement terminate on the date on which the Harbinger Parties or HRG no longer constitutes a Significant Stockholder (as defined in the Stockholder Agreement). The Stockholder Agreement terminates when any person (including the Harbinger Parties or HRG) acquires 90% or more of the outstanding voting securities of SB Holdings.

Also in connection with the Merger, the Harbinger Parties and SB Holdings entered into a registration rights agreement, dated as of February 9, 2010 (the “SB Holdings Registration Rights Agreement”), pursuant to which the Harbinger Parties have, among other things and subject to the terms and conditions set forth therein, certain demand and so-called “piggy back” registration rights with respect to their shares of SB Holdings common stock. On September 10, 2010, the Harbinger Parties and HRG entered into a joinder to the SB Holdings Registration Rights

Agreement, pursuant to which, effective upon the consummation of the Share Exchange, HRG became a party to the SB Holdings Registration Rights Agreement, entitled to the rights and subject to the obligations of a holder thereunder.

106

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

Other Agreements

In connection with the Merger, Russell Hobbs and Harbinger Master Fund entered into an indemnification agreement, dated as of February 9, 2010 (the “Indemnification Agreement”), by which Harbinger Master Fund agreed, among other things and subject to the terms and conditions set forth therein, to guarantee the obligations of Russell Hobbs to pay (i) a reverse termination fee to Spectrum Brands under the merger agreement and (ii) monetary damages awarded to Spectrum Brands in connection with any willful and material breach by Russell Hobbs of the Merger Agreement. The maximum amount payable by Harbinger Master Fund under the Indemnification Agreement was \$50,000 less any amounts paid by Russell Hobbs or the Harbinger Parties, or any of their respective affiliates, as damages under any documents related to the Merger. No such amounts became due under the Indemnification Agreement. Harbinger Master Fund also agreed to indemnify Russell Hobbs, SB Holdings and their subsidiaries for out-of-pocket costs and expenses above \$3,000 in the aggregate that became payable after the consummation of the Merger and that related to the litigation arising out of Russell Hobbs’ business combination transaction with Applica. In February 2011, the parties to the litigation reached a full and final settlement of their disputes. Neither the Company, Applica or any other subsidiary of the Company was required to make any payments in connection with the settlement.

(14) Restructuring and Related Charges

The Company reports restructuring and related charges associated with manufacturing and related initiatives in Cost of goods sold. Restructuring and related charges reflected in Cost of goods sold include, but are not limited to, termination, compensation and related costs associated with manufacturing employees, asset impairments relating to manufacturing initiatives, and other costs directly related to the restructuring or integration initiatives implemented. The Company reports restructuring and related charges relating to administrative functions in Operating expenses, such as initiatives impacting sales, marketing, distribution, or other non-manufacturing functions. Restructuring and related charges reflected in Operating expenses include, but are not limited to, termination and related costs, any asset impairments relating to the functional areas described above, and other costs directly related to the initiatives. The following table summarizes restructuring and related charges incurred by segment:

	2012	2011	2010
Cost of goods sold:			
Global Batteries & Appliances	\$5,094	\$756	\$3,275
Global Pet Supplies	4,741	7,085	3,837
Home and Garden Business	—	—	38
Total restructuring and related charges in cost of goods sold	\$9,835	\$7,841	\$7,150
Operating expense:			
Global Batteries & Appliances	\$2,487	\$5,338	\$251
Global Pet Supplies	5,395	9,567	2,917
Home and Garden Business	912	2,704	8,419
Corporate	962	3,194	5,381
Total restructuring and related charges in operating expense	\$9,756	\$20,803	\$16,968
Total restructuring and related charges	\$19,591	\$28,644	\$24,118

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

The following table summarizes restructuring and related charges incurred by type of charge:

	2012	2011	2010
Costs included in cost of goods sold:			
Global Cost Reduction initiatives:			
Termination benefits	\$2,941	\$1,679	\$2,630
Other associated costs	6,894	5,889	2,273
Other restructuring initiatives:			
Termination benefits	—	—	201
Other associated costs	—	273	2,046
Total included in cost of goods sold	\$9,835	\$7,841	\$7,150
Costs included in operating expenses:			
Global Cost Reduction initiatives:			
Termination benefits	\$3,079	\$10,155	\$4,268
Other associated costs	5,776	7,761	9,272
Other restructuring initiatives:			
Termination benefits	—	956	5,269
Other associated costs	901	1,931	(1,841)
Total included in operating expenses	\$9,756	\$20,803	\$16,968
Total restructuring and related charges	\$19,591	\$28,644	\$24,118

Global Cost Reduction Initiatives Summary

During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009, the Company implemented a series of initiatives within the Global Batteries & Appliances segment, the Global Pet Supplies segment and the Home and Garden Business segment to reduce operating costs, and to evaluate opportunities to improve the Company's capital structure (the "Global Cost Reduction Initiatives"). These initiatives included headcount reductions and the exit of certain facilities within each of the Company's segments. These initiatives also included consultation, legal and accounting fees related to the evaluation of the Company's capital structure. Costs associated with these initiatives, which are expected to be incurred through January 31, 2015, are projected to total approximately \$88,700.

The Company recorded \$18,690, \$25,484 and \$18,443 of pretax restructuring and related charges during Fiscal 2012, Fiscal 2011 and Fiscal 2010, respectively, related to the Global Cost Reduction Initiatives.

The following table summarizes the remaining accrual balance associated with the Global Cost Reduction Initiatives and activity that occurred during Fiscal 2012:

	Termination Benefits	Other Costs	Total
Accrual balance at September 30, 2011	\$8,795	\$3,021	\$11,816
Provisions	2,095	(169)) 1,926
Cash expenditures	(7,765)) (1,353)) (9,118)
Non-cash items	127	(404)) (277)
Accrual balance at September 30, 2012	\$3,252	\$1,095	\$4,347
Expensed as incurred(A)	\$3,926	\$12,838	\$16,764

(A) Consists of amounts not impacting the accrual for restructuring and related charges.

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

The following table summarizes the expenses incurred by the Company during Fiscal 2012, the cumulative amount incurred from inception of the initiative through September 30, 2012 and the total future costs expected to be incurred associated with the Global Cost Reduction Initiatives by operating segment:

	Global Batteries and Appliances	Global Pet Supplies	Home and Garden	Corporate	Total
Restructuring and related charges incurred during Fiscal 2012	\$7,642	\$10,136	\$912	\$—	\$18,690
Restructuring and related charges incurred since initiative inception	\$20,809	\$36,998	\$17,620	\$7,591	\$83,018
Total future estimated restructuring and related charges expected to be incurred	\$1,501	\$2,575	\$1,521	\$—	\$5,597

In connection with other restructuring efforts, the Company recorded \$901, \$3,160 and \$5,675 of pretax restructuring and related charges during Fiscal 2012, Fiscal 2011 and Fiscal 2010, respectively.

(15) Acquisitions

In accordance with ASC Topic 805, “Business Combinations” (“ASC 805”), the Company accounts for acquisitions by applying the acquisition method of accounting. The acquisition method of accounting requires, among other things, that the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a business combination be measured at their fair values as of the closing date of the acquisition.

Russell Hobbs

On June 16, 2010, the Company consummated the Merger, pursuant to which Spectrum Brands became a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company and Russell Hobbs became a wholly owned subsidiary of Spectrum Brands. Russell Hobbs is a designer, marketer and distributor of a broad range of branded small household appliances. Russell Hobbs markets and distributes small kitchen and home appliances, pet and pest products and personal care products. Russell Hobbs has a broad portfolio of recognized brand names, including Black & Decker, George Foreman, Russell Hobbs, Toastmaster, LitterMaid, Farberware, Breadman and Juiceman. Russell Hobbs’ customers include mass merchandisers, specialty retailers and appliance distributors primarily in North America, South America, Europe and Australia.

The results of Russell Hobbs operations since June 16, 2010 are included in the Company’s Consolidated Statements of Operations. Effective October 1, 2010, substantially all of the financial results of Russell Hobbs are reported within the Global Batteries & Appliances segment. In addition, certain pest control and pet products included in the former Small Appliances segment have been reclassified into the Home and Garden Business and Global Pet Supplies segments, respectively.

Supplemental Pro Forma Information (Unaudited)

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

The following reflects the Company's pro forma results had the results of Russell Hobbs been included for the entirety of Fiscal 2010.

	2010	
Net sales:		
Reported Net sales	\$2,567,011	
Russell Hobbs adjustment	543,952	
Pro forma Net sales	\$3,110,963	
Loss from continuing operations:		
Reported loss from continuing operations	\$(187,372)
Russell Hobbs adjustment	(5,504)
Pro forma loss from continuing operations	\$(192,876)
Basic and diluted earnings per share from continuing operations (A) :		
Reported basic and diluted loss per share from continuing operations	\$(5.20)
Russell Hobbs adjustment	(0.16)
Pro forma basic and diluted loss per share from continuing operations	\$(5.36)

(A) The Company has not assumed the exercise of common stock equivalents as the impact would be antidilutive.

Black Flag

On October 31, 2011, the Company completed the \$43,750 cash acquisition of the Black Flag and TAT trade names from The Homax Group, Inc. ("Black Flag"), a portfolio company of Olympus Partners. The Black Flag and TAT product lines consist of liquids, aerosols, baits and traps that control ants, spiders, wasps, bedbugs, fleas, flies, roaches, yellow jackets and other insects. This acquisition was not significant individually.

The results of Black Flag's operations since October 31, 2011 are included in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Operations and are reported as part of the Home and Garden Business segment.

Acquisition Accounting

The assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the Black Flag acquisition have been measured at their fair values at October 31, 2011 as set forth below. The excess of the purchase price over the fair values of the net tangible assets and identifiable intangible assets was recorded as goodwill. The amounts recorded in connection with the acquisition of Black Flag are as follows:

Inventory	\$2,509
Property, plant and equipment	301
Intangible assets	25,000
Goodwill	15,852
Other assets	88
Total consideration	\$43,750

The Company performed a valuation of the acquired assets of Black Flag at October 31, 2011. Significant matters related to the determination of the fair values of the acquired identifiable intangible assets are summarized as follows:

• Certain indefinite-lived intangible assets were valued using a relief from royalty methodology. Customer relationships and certain definite-lived intangible assets were valued using a multi-period excess earnings method. The total fair

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

value of indefinite and definite lived intangibles was \$25,000 as of October 31, 2011. A summary of the significant key inputs is as follows:

The Company valued customer relationships using the income approach, specifically the multi-period excess earnings method. In determining the fair value of the customer relationship, the multi-period excess earnings approach values the intangible asset at the present value of the incremental after-tax cash flows attributable only to the customer relationship after deducting contributory asset charges. The incremental after-tax cash flows attributable to the subject intangible asset are then discounted to their present value. Only expected sales from current customers were used, which included an expected growth rate of 3%. The Company assumed a customer retention rate of approximately 95%, which was supported by historical retention rates. Income taxes were estimated at 40% and amounts were discounted using a rate of 13.5%. The customer relationships were valued at \$17,000 under this approach and will be amortized over 20 years.

The Company valued trade names using the income approach, specifically the relief from royalty method. Under this method, the asset value was determined by estimating the hypothetical royalties that would have to be paid if the trade name was not owned. Royalty rates were selected based on consideration of several factors, including other similar trademark licensing and transaction agreements and the relative profitability and perceived contribution of the trademarks and trade names. Royalty rates used in the determination of the fair values of trade names were in the range of 2%-4% of expected net sales related to the respective trade name. The Company anticipates using the trade names for an indefinite period as demonstrated by the sustained use of each subject trademark. In estimating the fair value of the trade names, net sales for the trade names were estimated to grow at a rate of (15)%-8% annually with a terminal year growth rate of 3%. Income taxes were estimated at 40% and amounts were discounted using a rate of 13.5%. Trade names were valued at \$8,000 under this approach.

The Company's estimates and assumptions for Black Flag are subject to change as the Company obtains additional information for its estimates during the measurement period. The primary areas of acquisition accounting that are not yet finalized relate to certain legal matters and residual goodwill.

FURminator

On December 22, 2011, the Company completed the \$141,745 cash acquisition of FURminator, Inc. from HKW Capital Partners III, L.P. ("FURminator"). FURminator is a leading worldwide provider of branded and patented pet deshedding products. This acquisition was not significant individually.

The results of FURminator operations since December 22, 2011 are included in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Operations and are reported as part of the Global Pet Supplies business segment.

Acquisition Accounting

The assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the FURminator acquisition have been measured at their fair values at December 22, 2011 as set forth below. The excess of the purchase price over the fair values of the net tangible assets and identifiable intangible assets was recorded as goodwill. The amounts recorded in connection with the acquisition of FURminator are as follows:

Current assets	\$9,240
Property, plant and equipment	648
Intangible assets	79,000
Goodwill	68,531
Total assets acquired	\$157,419
Current liabilities	758
Long-term liabilities	14,916
Total liabilities assumed	\$15,674

Total consideration \$141,745

The Company performed a valuation of the assets and liabilities of FURminator at December 22, 2011. Significant matters related to the determination of the fair values of the acquired identifiable intangible assets are summarized as follows:

111

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

Certain indefinite-lived intangible assets were valued using a relief from royalty methodology. Customer relationships and certain definite-lived intangible assets were valued using a multi-period excess earnings method. The total fair value of indefinite and definite lived intangibles was \$79,000 as of December 22, 2011. A summary of the significant key inputs is as follows:

The Company valued customer relationships using the income approach, specifically the multi-period excess earnings method. In determining the fair value of the customer relationship, the multi-period excess earnings approach values the intangible asset at the present value of the incremental after-tax cash flows attributable only to the customer relationship after deducting contributory asset charges. The incremental after-tax cash flows attributable to the subject intangible asset are then discounted to their present value. Only expected sales from current customers were used, which included an expected growth rate of 3%. The Company assumed a customer retention rate of approximately 95%, which was supported by historical retention rates. Income taxes were estimated at 40% and amounts were discounted using a rate of 14%. The customer relationships were valued at \$46,000 under this approach and will be amortized over 20 years.

The Company valued trade names using the income approach, specifically the relief from royalty method. Under this method, the asset value was determined by estimating the hypothetical royalties that would have to be paid if the trade name was not owned. Royalty rates were selected based on consideration of several factors, including other similar trademark licensing and transaction agreements and the relative profitability and perceived contribution of the trademarks and trade names. Royalty rates used in the determination of the fair values of trade names were in the range of 4%-5% of expected net sales related to the respective trade name. The Company anticipates using the trade names for an indefinite period as demonstrated by the sustained use of each subject trade name. In estimating the fair value of the trade names, net sales for the trade names were estimated to grow at a rate of 2%-12% annually with a terminal year growth rate of 3%. Income taxes were estimated at 40% and amounts were discounted using a rate of 14%. Trade names were valued at \$14,000 under this approach.

The Company valued technology using the income approach, specifically the relief from royalty method. Under this method, the asset value was determined by estimating the hypothetical royalties that would have to be paid if the technology was not owned. Royalty rates used in the determination of the fair values of technologies were 10%-12% of expected net sales related to the respective technology. The Company anticipates using these technologies through the legal life of the underlying patent and therefore the expected life of these technologies was equal to the remaining legal life of the underlying patents, which is approximately 9 years. In estimating the fair value of the technologies, net sales were estimated to grow at a rate of 2%-12% annually. Income taxes were estimated at 40% and amounts were discounted using a rate of 14%. The technology assets were valued at \$19,000 under this approach.

The Company's estimates and assumptions for FURminator are subject to change as the Company obtains additional information for its estimates during the measurement period. The primary areas of acquisition accounting that are not yet finalized relate to certain legal matters, income and non-income based taxes and residual goodwill.

(16) New Accounting Pronouncements

Fair Value Measurement

In May 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the "FASB") issued amended accounting guidance to achieve a consistent definition of and common requirements for measurement of and disclosure concerning fair value between GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards. This amended guidance was effective for the Company beginning in the second quarter of its fiscal year ended September 30, 2012. The new accounting guidance did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Presentation of Comprehensive Income

In June 2011, the FASB issued new accounting guidance which requires entities to present net income and other comprehensive income in either a single continuous statement or in two separate, but consecutive, statements of net income and other comprehensive income. This accounting guidance is effective for the Company for the fiscal year beginning October 1, 2012. Early adoption is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this new accounting guidance on its consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – (Continued)

(Amounts in thousands, except per share figures)

Impairment Testing

During September 2011, the FASB issued new accounting guidance intended to simplify how an entity tests goodwill for impairment. The guidance will allow an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is necessary to perform the two-step quantitative goodwill impairment test. An entity will no longer be required to calculate the fair value of a reporting unit unless the entity determines, based on a qualitative assessment, that it is more likely than not that its fair value is less than its carrying amount. This accounting guidance is effective for the Company for the annual and any interim goodwill impairment tests performed for the fiscal year beginning October 1, 2012. Early adoption is permitted. The Company does not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a significant impact on its consolidated financial statements.

Additionally, in July 2012, the FASB issued new accounting guidance intended to simplify how an entity tests indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment. The guidance will allow an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is necessary to perform the quantitative impairment test. An entity will no longer be required to calculate the fair value of an indefinite-lived intangible asset unless the entity determines, based on a qualitative assessment, that it is more likely than not that its fair value is less than its carrying amount. This accounting guidance is effective for the Company for the annual and any interim indefinite-lived intangible asset impairment tests performed for the fiscal year beginning October 1, 2012. Early adoption is permitted. The Company does not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a significant impact on its consolidated financial statements.

(17) Subsequent Events

ASC 855, "Subsequent Events," ("ASC 855"), establishes general standards of accounting and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. ASC 855 requires the Company to evaluate events that occur after the balance sheet date through the date the Company's financial statements are issued, and to determine whether adjustments to or additional disclosures in the financial statements are necessary. The Company has evaluated subsequent events through the date these financial statements were issued.

Hardware Acquisition and Acquisition Financing

On October 8, 2012, the Company entered into an agreement (the "Acquisition Agreement") with Stanley Black & Decker to acquire the HHI Business currently operated by Stanley Black & Decker and certain of its subsidiaries for \$1,400,000, consisting of (i) the equity interests of certain subsidiaries of Stanley Black & Decker engaged in the business and (ii) certain assets of Stanley Black & Decker used or held for use in connection with the business. The acquisition, when completed, includes (i) the purchase of shares and assets of certain subsidiaries of Stanley Black & Decker involved in the HHI Business and (ii) the purchase of certain assets of TLM Taiwan, which is involved in the production of residential locksets.

The Acquisition Agreement contains certain termination rights for each of Stanley Black & Decker and the Company that upon termination of the Acquisition Agreement under specified circumstances, requires the Company to pay Stanley Black & Decker a termination fee of up to \$78,000.

The Company will account for the acquisition in accordance with ASC 805 which requires, among other things, that the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a business combination be measured at their fair values as of the closing date of the acquisition.

On November 16, 2012, the Company issued at par \$520,000 aggregate principal amount of 6.375% Senior Notes due 2020 (the "2020 Notes") and \$570,000 aggregate principal amount of 6.625% Senior Notes due 2022 (the "2022 Notes" and together with the 2020 Notes, the "Notes"). Spectrum Brands will assume and unconditionally guarantee, together with certain of its subsidiaries, the obligations under the Notes and intends to use the proceeds of the Notes to fund a portion of the Hardware Acquisition purchase price and related fees and expenses.

Additionally, Spectrum Brands has obtained debt financing commitments for approximately \$1,840,000, inclusive of the Notes, to fund the Hardware Acquisition and refinance a portion of Spectrum Brands' indebtedness outstanding as

of September 30, 2012.

Shaser Acquisition

On November 8, 2012, the Company completed a \$50,000 cash acquisition of an approximately 56% interest in Shaser Biosciences, Inc. ("Shaser"), together with terms relating to a potential buyout of the remaining minority interest in Shaser. The

113

Table of Contents

Company will account for the acquisition in accordance with ASC 805. The Company is in the process of completing the preliminary purchase accounting.

(18) Quarterly Results (unaudited)

Fiscal 2012:

	Quarter Ended September 30, 2012	July 1, 2012	April 1, 2012	January 1, 2012
Net sales	\$832,576	\$824,803	\$746,285	\$848,771
Gross profit	279,925	291,696	260,031	284,026
Net income (loss)	5,513	58,649	(28,660) 13,070
Basic net income (loss) per common share	\$0.11	\$1.14	\$(0.56) \$0.25
Diluted net income (loss) per common share	\$0.10	\$1.13	\$(0.56) \$0.25

Fiscal 2011:

	Quarter Ended September 30, 2011	July 3, 2011	April 3, 2011	January 2, 2011
Net sales	\$827,329	\$804,635	\$693,885	\$861,067
Gross profit	280,495	293,694	255,439	299,239
Net (loss) income	(33,831) 28,604	(50,186) (19,758
Basic net (loss) income per common share	\$(0.65) \$0.56	\$(0.99) \$(0.39
Diluted net (loss) income per common share	\$(0.65) \$0.56	\$(0.99) \$(0.39

Table of Contents

SPECTRUM BRANDS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

SCHEDULE II

VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

For the years ended September 30, 2012, September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010

(In thousands)

Column A Descriptions	Column B Balance at Beginning of Period	Column C Additions Charged to Costs and Expenses	Column D Deductions Deductions	Column E Deductions Other Adjustments(A)	Column E Balance at End of Period
September 30, 2012:					
Accounts receivable allowances	\$ 14,128	\$ 7,742	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 21,870
September 30, 2011:					
Accounts receivable allowances	\$ 4,351	\$ 9,777	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 14,128
September 30, 2010:					
Accounts receivable allowances	\$ 1,011	\$ 3,340	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 4,351
See accompanying Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm					

Table of Contents

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

SPECTRUM BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

By: /s/ David R. Lumley

David R.
Lumley
Chief
Executive
Officer and
Director

DATE: November 21, 2012

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated and on the above-stated date.

Signature	Title
/s/ David R. Lumley David R. Lumley	Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer)
/s/ Anthony L. Genito Anthony L. Genito	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Accounting Officer (Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)
/s/ David M. Maura David M. Maura	Chairman of the Board
/s/ Kenneth C. Ambrecht Kenneth C. Ambrecht	Director
/s/ Eugene I. Davis Eugene I. Davis	Director
/s/ Marc S. Kirschner Marc S. Kirschner	Director
/s/ Norman S. Matthews Norman S. Matthews	Director
/s/ Terry L. Polistina Terry L. Polistina	Director
/s/ Hugh R. Rovit Hugh R. Rovit	Director
/s/ Omar Asali Omar Asali	Director
/s/ Virginia A. Kamansky Virginia A. Kamansky	Director

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit 2.1	Purchase Agreement, dated February 21, 2004, by and among Rayovac Corporation, ROV Holding, Inc., VARTA AG, Intereletrica Administração e Participações Ltda., and Tabriza Brasil Empreendimentos Ltda. (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Spectrum Brands, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on June 14, 2004).
Exhibit 2.2	Joint Plan of Reorganization of Spectrum Jungle Labs Corporation, et al., Debtors (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 99.T3E.2 to Spectrum Brands, Inc.'s Form T-3, filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on April 28, 2009).
Exhibit 2.3	First Modification to Joint Plan of Reorganization (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to Spectrum Brands, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on July 16, 2009).
Exhibit 2.4	Second Modification to Joint Plan of Reorganization (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 99.3 to Spectrum Brands, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on July 16, 2009).
Exhibit 2.5	Agreement and Plan of Merger by and among SB/RH Holdings, Inc., Battery Merger Corp., Grill Merger Corp., Spectrum Brands, Inc. and Russell Hobbs, Inc. dated as of February 9, 2010 (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on February 12, 2010).
Exhibit 2.6	Amendment to Agreement and Plan of Merger dated as of March 1, 2010 by and among SB/RH Holdings, Inc., Battery Merger Corp., Grill Merger Corp., Spectrum Brands, and Russell Hobbs, Inc. (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on March 2, 2010).
Exhibit 2.7	Second Amendment to Agreement and Plan of Merger dated as of March 26, 2010 by and among Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc., Battery Merger Corp., Grill Merger Corp., Spectrum Brands, Inc., and Russell Hobbs, Inc. (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on March 29, 2010).
Exhibit 2.8	Third Amendment to Agreement and Plan of Merger dated as of April 30, 2010 by and among Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc., Battery Merger Corp., Grill Merger Corp., Spectrum Brands, Inc., and Russell Hobbs, Inc. (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on May 3, 2010).
Exhibit 2.9	Acquisition Agreement, dated October 8, 2012, by and between Spectrum Brands, Inc. and Stanley Black & Decker, Inc., (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on October 12, 2012).
Exhibit 3.1	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc., dated June 16, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed with the SEC on June 16, 2010).

Edgar Filing: Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

- Exhibit 3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc., adopted as of June 16, 2010 (incorporated by reference to the Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed with the SEC on June 16, 2010).
- Exhibit 4.1 Specimen certificate for shares of common stock (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registration Statement on Form 8-A filed with the SEC on May 27, 2010).
- Exhibit 4.2 Indenture governing Spectrum Brands, Inc.'s 9.5% Senior Secured Notes due 2018, dated as of June 16, 2010, among Spectrum Brands, Inc., the guarantors named therein and US Bank National Association, as trustee (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on August 18, 2010).

Table of Contents

Exhibit 4.3	Supplemental Indenture, dated December 13, 2010, to the Indenture governing Spectrum Brands, Inc.'s 9.5% Senior Secured Notes due 2018, dated as of June 16, 2010, among Spectrum Brands, Inc., the guarantors named therein and US Bank National Association, as trustee (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 4.8 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on December 14, 2010).
Exhibit 4.4	Indenture governing Spectrum Brands, Inc.'s 6.75% Senior Notes due 2020, dated as of March 20, 2012, among Spectrum Brands, Inc., the guarantors named therein and US Bank National Association, as trustee (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on May 9, 2012).
Exhibit 10.1	2009 Spectrum Brands, Inc. Incentive Plan (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on August 31, 2009).
Exhibit 10.2	Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of August 28, 2009, by and among Spectrum Brands, Inc. and the investors listed on the signature pages thereto, with respect to Spectrum Brands, Inc.'s equity (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on August 31, 2009).
Exhibit 10.3	Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of March 20, 2012, by and among Spectrum Brands, Inc. and the investors listed on the signature pages thereto, with respect to Spectrum Brands, Inc.'s 6.75% Senior Notes due 2020 (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on May 9, 2012).
Exhibit 10.4	Form of Spectrum Brands, Inc. Restricted Stock Award Agreement under the 2009 Incentive Plan (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on October 28, 2009).
Exhibit 10.5	Stockholder Agreement, dated as of February 9, 2010, by and among Harbinger Capital Partners Master Fund I, Ltd., Harbinger Capital Partners Special Situations Funds, L.P., Global Opportunities Breakaway Ltd., and SB/RH Holdings, Inc. (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Current Report on form 8-K filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on February 12, 2010).
Exhibit 10.6	Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of February 9, 2010, by and among Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc., Harbinger Capital Partners Master Fund I, Ltd., Harbinger Capital Partners Special Situations Fund, L.P., Global Opportunities Breakaway Ltd., Avenue International Master, L.P., Avenue Investments, L.P., Avenue Special Situations Fund IV, L.P., Avenue Special Situations Fund V, L.P. and Avenue-CDP Global Opportunities Fund, L.P. (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registration Statement on Form S-4 filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. on March 29, 2010).
Exhibit 10.7	Separation and Consulting Agreement between Spectrum Brands, Inc. and Kent J. Hussey, dated April 14, 2010 (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on form 8-K filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on April 15, 2010).
Exhibit 10.8	Amendment and Consent and Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of February 1, 2011, by and among Spectrum Brands, Inc. and certain of its domestic subsidiaries, as borrowers,

Edgar Filing: Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

the lenders party thereto and Credit Suisse AG, as administrative agent (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on February 11, 2011) and First Amendment to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of December 15, 2011, among Spectrum Brands, Inc., SB/RH Holdings, LLC, Credit Suisse AG and the lenders party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on December 21, 2011).

Exhibit 10.9

Subsidiary Guaranty dated as of June 16, 2010, by and among the subsidiaries of Spectrum Brands, Inc. party thereto, certain additional subsidiary guarantors described therein and Credit Suisse AG, as administrative agent (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on August 18, 2010).

Exhibit 10.10

Subsidiary Guaranty Supplement dated as of December 13, 2010, by and among Seed Resources, L.L.C. and Credit Suisse AG, as administrative agent (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on December 14, 2010).

Table of Contents

Exhibit 10.11	Guaranty dated as of June 16, 2010, by and among SB/RH Holdings, LLC and Credit Suisse AG, as administrative agent (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on August 18, 2010).
Exhibit 10.12	Security Agreement dated as of June 16, 2010, by and among Spectrum Brands, Inc., SB/RH Holdings, LLC, the other grantors party thereto and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as collateral trustee (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on August 18, 2010).
Exhibit 10.13	Security Agreement Supplement dated as of December 13, 2010, by and among Seed Resources, L.L.C. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as collateral trustee (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on December 14, 2010).
Exhibit 10.14	Loan and Security Agreement dated as of June 16, 2010, by and among Spectrum Brands, Inc. and certain of its domestic subsidiaries, as borrowers, the lenders party thereto and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on August 18, 2010).
Exhibit 10.15	Second Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement, dated as of March 4, 2011, by and among Spectrum Brands, Inc. and certain of its domestic subsidiaries, as borrowers, the lenders party thereto and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on May 12, 2011).
Exhibit 10.16	Third Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement, dated as of April 21, 2011, by and among Spectrum Brands, Inc. and certain of its domestic subsidiaries, as borrowers, the lenders party thereto and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on May 12, 2011).
Exhibit 10.17	Fourth Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement, dated as of May 24, 2012, by and among Spectrum Brands, Inc. and certain of its domestic subsidiaries, as borrowers, the lenders party thereto and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on August 7, 2012).
Exhibit 10.18	Joinder Agreement to Loan and Security Agreement and Other Loan Documents dated as of December 13, 2010, by and among Seed Resources, L.L.C., Spectrum Brands, Inc., Russell Hobbs, Inc., the subsidiaries of Spectrum Brands, Inc. party to the Loan and Security Agreement as borrowers, SB/RH Holdings, LLC and Bank of America, N.A. (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on December 14, 2010).
Exhibit 10.19	Guaranty dated as of June 16, 2010, by and among the guarantors described therein and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on August 18, 2010).

Edgar Filing: Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

- Exhibit 10.20 Collateral Trust Agreement dated as of June 16, 2010, by and among Spectrum Brands, Inc., SB/RH Holdings, LLC, the other grantors party thereto, Credit Suisse AG, Cayman Islands Branch, as administrative agent, U.S. Bank National Association, as indenture trustee, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as collateral trustee (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on August 18, 2010).
- Exhibit 10.21 Intercreditor Agreement dated as of June 16, 2010, by and among Spectrum Brands, Inc., SB/RH Holdings, LLC, the other grantors party thereto, Bank of America, N.A., as ABL agent, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as term/notes agent (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on August 18, 2010).
- Exhibit 10.22 Joinder and Supplement to Intercreditor Agreement dated as of December 13, 2010, by and among Seed Resources, L.L.C., Spectrum Brands, Inc., Bank of America, N.A., as collateral agent and administrative agent, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as collateral agent and trustee (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on December 14, 2010).

Table of Contents

- Exhibit 10.23 Trademark Security Agreement dated as of June 16, 2010, by and among the loan parties party thereto and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as collateral trustee (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on August 18, 2010).
- Exhibit 10.24 Trademark Security Agreement dated as of December 13, 2010, by and among Seed Resources, L.L.C. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as collateral trustee (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on December 14, 2010).
- Exhibit 10.25 Trademark Security Agreement dated as of December 13, 2010, by and among Seed Resources, L.L.C. and Bank of America, N.A., as collateral agent and administrative agent (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.26 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on December 14, 2010).
- Exhibit 10.26 Copyright Security Agreement dated as of June 16, 2010, by and among the loan parties party thereto and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as collateral trustee (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on August 18, 2010).
- Exhibit 10.27 Patent Security Agreement dated as of June 16, 2010, by and among the loan parties party thereto and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as collateral trustee (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on August 18, 2010).
- Exhibit 10.28 Patent Security Agreement dated as of December 13, 2010, by and among Seed Resources, L.L.C. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as collateral trustee (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.29 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on December 14, 2010).
- Exhibit 10.29 Patent Security Agreement dated as of December 13, 2010, by and among Seed Resources, L.L.C. and Bank of America, N.A., as collateral agent and administrative agent (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.30 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on December 14, 2010).
- Exhibit 10.30 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, entered into as of August 11, 2010, by and among Spectrum Brands, Inc., Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. and David R. Lumley (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. on August 17, 2010).
- Exhibit 10.31 First Amendment, dated as of November 16, 2010, to the Employment Agreement, dated as of August 11, 2010, by and among Spectrum Brands, Inc., Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. and David R. Lumley (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. on November 22, 2010).
- Exhibit 10.32 Retention Agreement, entered into as of August 11, 2010, by and between Spectrum Brands, Inc. and Anthony Genito (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. on August 17, 2010).

- Exhibit 10.33 Employment Agreement, effective June 9, 2008, by and between Spectrum Brands, Inc. and Anthony L. Genito (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 29, 2008, filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on August 8, 2008).
- Exhibit 10.34 Amendment to the Employment Agreement, effective as of February 24, 2009, by and between Spectrum Brands, Inc. and Anthony L. Genito (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010, filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on December 29, 2009).
- Exhibit 10.35 Description of Second Amendment to the Employment Agreement, effective as of August 28, 2009, by and between Spectrum Brands, Inc. and Anthony L. Genito (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on December 29, 2009).

Table of Contents

Exhibit 10.36	Third Amendment, dated as of November 16, 2010, to the Employment Agreement, dated as of June 9, 2008, by and among Spectrum Brands, Inc. and Anthony L. Genito (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. on November 22, 2010).
Exhibit 10.37	Employment Agreement, entered into as of August 16, 2010, by and between Spectrum Brands, Inc. and Terry L. Polistina (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. on August 18, 2010).
Exhibit 10.38	First Amendment, dated as of November 16, 2010, to the Employment Agreement, dated as of August 16, 2010, by and among Spectrum Brands, Inc. and Terry L. Polistina (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. on November 22, 2010).
Exhibit 10.39	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, effective as of January 16, 2007, by and between Spectrum Brands, Inc. and John A. Heil (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on January 19, 2007).
Exhibit 10.40	Amendment to the Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of November 10, 2008, by and between Spectrum Brands, Inc. and John A. Heil (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on December 10, 2008).
Exhibit 10.41	Second Amendment to the Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, effective as of February 24, 2009, by and between Spectrum Brands, Inc. and John A. Heil (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on December 29, 2009).
Exhibit 10.42	Description of Third Amendment to the Amended and Restated Employment Agreement of John A. Heil, effective as of August 28, 2009 (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on December 29, 2009).
Exhibit 10.43	Fourth Amendment, dated as of November 16, 2010, to the Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of January 16, 2007, by and among Spectrum Brands, Inc. and John A. Heil (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. on November 22, 2010).
Exhibit 10.44	Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. 2007 Omnibus Equity Award Plan (formerly known as the Russell Hobbs, Inc. 2007 Omnibus Equity Award Plan) (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. on June 16, 2010).
Exhibit 10.45	Separation Agreement, dated as of March 2, 2011, by and between Spectrum Brands, Inc. and John T. Wilson (filed by incorporation reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-k filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. on March 7, 2011).
Exhibit 10.46	

Edgar Filing: Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-K

Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. 2011 Omnibus Equity Award Plan (filed by incorporation by reference to Annex A to the Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. on January 28, 2011).

- Exhibit 10.47 Severance Agreement, dated as of November 19, 2012, by and between Spectrum Brands, Inc. and Nathan E. Fagre (filed by incorporation by reference to Exhibit 10.47 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC by Spectrum Brands, Inc. on November 21, 2012).
- Exhibit 21.1 Subsidiaries of Registrant.*
- Exhibit 23.1 Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.*
- Exhibit 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.*
- Exhibit 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.*

Table of Contents

Exhibit 32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.*
Exhibit 32.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.*
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document**
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document**
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document**
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Document**
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document**
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document**

* Filed herewith

** In accordance with Regulation S-T, the XBRL-related information in Exhibit 101 to this Annual Report on Form 10-K shall be deemed to be “furnished” and not “filed.”