

JOSHUA GOLD RESOURCES INC  
Form 10-K  
April 15, 2013

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-K**

(mark one)

[ x ]

Annual Report Pursuant To Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

**For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012**

or

[ ]

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

**Commission file number: 000-53809**

**JOSHUA GOLD RESOURCES INC.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Nevada**  
(State or other jurisdiction  
of incorporation or organization)

**27-0531073**  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

**2368 Lakeshore Rd. W, Suite 300, Oakville, Ontario, Canada L6L 1H5**

**(Address of Principal Executive Offices)(Zip Code)**

**(877) 354-9991**

**(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

None

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act:

Common Stock, \$0.0001 par value per share

(Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.



Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer  (Do not check if smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act.)

Yes  No

The aggregate market value of the registrant's voting and non-voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant on June 30, 2012 was \$27,677,183.82 (computed by reference to the price at which the registrant's common stock was last sold).

As of April 3, 2013, the registrant had 79,015,563 shares of Common Stock, \$0.0001 par value, issued and outstanding.

NO DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

**JOSHUA GOLD RESOURCES INC.**

**2012 FORM 10-K ANNUAL REPORT**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

PART I		4
ITEM 1.	Business	5
ITEM 1A.	Risk Factors	8
ITEM 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	13
ITEM 2.	Properties	13
ITEM 3.	Legal Proceedings	28
ITEM 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	28
PART II		28
ITEM 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	28
ITEM 6.	Selected Financial Data	31
ITEM 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	32
ITEM 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	34
ITEM 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	34
ITEM 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	54
ITEM 9A.	Controls and Procedures	54
ITEM 9B.	Other Information	55
PART III		56
ITEM 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	56
ITEM 11.	Executive Compensation	59
ITEM 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	60
ITEM 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	61
ITEM 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	61
PART IV		62
ITEM 15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	62

**PART I**

**CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

This 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K, including the sections entitled "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and "Business," contains forward-looking statements that include information relating to future events, future financial performance, strategies, expectations, competitive environment, regulation and availability of resources. These forward-looking statements include, without limitation, statements regarding: proposed new products or services; our statements concerning litigation or other matters; statements concerning projections, predictions, expectations, estimates or forecasts for our business, financial and operating results and future economic performance; statements of management's goals and objectives; trends affecting our financial condition, results of operations or future prospects; our financing plans or growth strategies; and other similar expressions concerning matters that are not historical facts. Words such as "may," "will," "should," "could," "will predict," "potential," "continue," "expects," "anticipates," "future," "intends," "plans," "believes" and "estimates" expressions, as well as statements in future tense, identify forward-looking statements.

Forward-looking statements should not be read as a guarantee of future performance or results, and will not necessarily be accurate indications of the times at, or by which, that performance or those results will be achieved. Forward-looking statements are based on information available at the time they are made and/or management's good faith belief as of that time with respect to future events, and are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual performance or results to differ materially from those expressed in or suggested by the forward-looking statements. Important factors that could cause these differences include, but are not limited to:

- our inability to raise additional funds to support operations and capital expenditures;
- our inability to achieve greater and broader market acceptance of our products and services in existing and new market segments;
- our inability to successfully compete against existing and future competitors;
- our inability to manage and maintain the growth of our business;
- our inability to protect our intellectual property rights; and
- other factors discussed under the headings "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and "Business."

Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made. You should not put undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. We assume no obligation to update forward-looking statements to reflect actual results, changes in assumptions or changes in other factors affecting forward-looking information, except to the extent required by applicable securities laws. If we do update one or more forward-looking statements, no inference should be drawn that we will make additional updates with respect to those or other forward-looking statements.



## ITEM 1. BUSINESS

*With respect to this discussion, the terms we, us, our and the Company refer to Joshua Gold Resources Inc.*

(a)

### Corporate History and Background.

We were incorporated in the State of Nevada on July 10, 2009. Prior to the Stock Purchase transaction described below in this Item 1, our business purpose was to seek the acquisition of or merger with, an existing private company. Accordingly, we were engaged in organizational efforts in order to put us in a position where we could seek to target and eventually acquire an existing private company.

On June 4, 2010, Ben Fuschino, our sole officer and director at that time, sold his 35,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock, which shares represented 100% of our issued and outstanding common stock, to Luc Duchesne and Robert Cormier for a total purchase price of \$7,000 (the Stock Purchase). Upon closing of the Stock Purchase, (i) Mr. Duchesne and Mr. Cormier held a controlling 100% ownership in the Company, (ii) we changed our business and became a start-up carbon measuring company and (iii) we changed our name to Bio-Carbon Systems International Inc. to better reflect our new business enterprise.

Immediately after the closing of the Stock Purchase, on June 4, 2010, we entered into a license agreement (the Cormier License) with R&B Cormier Enterprises Inc. (Cormier Enterprises), an Ontario corporation and a license agreement (the GSN License) with GSN Dreamworks, Inc., an Ontario corporation (GSN). The Cormier License and GSN License (collectively, the License Agreements) granted the Company licensed intellectual property and technology to conduct airborne and other surveys of forested lands in areas that are difficult to access. Those surveys would have been conducted in a statistically verifiable process designed for use in carbon trading programs to assess the potential value of the surveyed lands as carbon sequestration land parcels in carbon trading, carbon sequestration, and other greenhouse gas emission control, offset and reduction programs.

Also, on June 4, 2010, the Company entered into consulting agreements (collectively, the Consulting Agreements) with Mr. Duchesne and Mr. Cormier, pursuant to which Mr. Duchesne and Mr. Cormier agreed to provide the Company with management and advisory services with respect to the intellectual property licensed to the Company under the Cormier and GSN Licenses.

On December 23, 2010, the Company elected to terminate the License Agreements and Consulting Agreements as the Company determined that conditions were not in place for the successful exploitation of the technology covered by the License Agreements. The termination did not give rise to any penalties against the Company as the termination was concluded through a mutual agreement of separation.

Upon termination of the aforementioned License and Consulting Agreements, the Company abandoned the carbon measuring business and became a mineral exploration company located in Oakville, Ontario through the acquisition of a mineral rights lease and other mineral properties, as described in further detail in Item 2 below. The Company's principal business activity now is the exploration of mineral property interests. The Company is considered to be in the exploration stage and substantially all of the Company's efforts are devoted to exploring mineral property interests. There has been no determination whether the Company's interests in unproven mineral properties contain mineral reserves which are economically recoverable.



(b)

Significant Business Transactions Overview.

On February 7, 2012, the Company entered into and closed a mineral property acquisition agreement, as amended and restated on February 13, 2012, with Shining Tree Resources Corp., to acquire an undivided fifty percent (50%) interest in and to certain mineral interests found on the Elijah Property (now known as the Lucas Property ) for a total purchase price of \$50,270 cash (to be paid over time), 1,000,000 common shares of the Company (subject to Board approval), and the promise to complete \$201,097 of Expenditures (as defined in the original and amended agreement) over a certain time period. Upon payment of \$50,000 of Expenditures, Shining Tree Resources Corp. will issue 1,000,000 common shares to the Company by July 30, 2012. The property and consideration are described in further detail in Item 2.

On September 4, 2012, Joshua Gold Resources Inc. (the Company) entered into a Consulting Agreement (the Agreement ) with John David Mason Limited, an entity formed under the laws of the province of Ontario, Canada ( Consultant ) and Mr. John David Mason. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Agreement, Company engaged Mr. Mason, through Consultant, to perform those services typically provided by a Chief Operating Officer of a business of comparable size, type and nature to Company, which services shall specifically and essentially include assisting in the restructuring and financing of the Company. Mr. Mason, in his individual capacity, will hold the title of Chief Operating Officer of the Company. Mr. Mason will earn a monthly consulting fee in an amount equal to US \$16,666.67 payable in either cash or common stock of the Company or a combination of both, at the sole election of Mr. Mason, through Consultant. Mr. Mason may earn certain additional fees (in cash or shares of the Company or both, at the sole election of Mr. Mason), paid through Consultant, for introducing investors who agree to invest in the Company. The description of the Agreement is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the Agreement, which was previously filed and incorporated herein by reference.

On October 4, 2012 the Company entered into an agreement to acquire mineral property in British Columbia known as the Kenty Gold Property. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company will acquire a 100% interest in the property for a total purchase price of \$1,500,000 in cash and 1,700,000 shares of common stock. The cash and stock will be paid and issued on various dates between the closing date of the agreement and April 4, 2015. The property and consideration are described in further detail in Item 2.

On December 13, 2012, the Company entered into a Mineral Property Acquisition Termination Agreement (the "Termination Agreement") with 2214098 Ontario Ltd. ("Ontario Ltd."), pursuant to which that certain Mineral Property Acquisition Agreement (the Acquisition Agreement ) entered into between the Parties on December 23, 2010 was terminated. Under the Acquisition Agreement, Ontario Ltd. agreed to sell and the Company agreed to purchase the Property (as such term is defined in the Acquisition Agreement, known as the Carson Property) pursuant to the terms and conditions contained therein. In accordance with the terms and conditions of the Termination Agreement, the Company agreed to surrender and return the Property to Ontario Ltd. and neither Company nor Ontario Ltd. shall have any further liability for performance or payment of any kind under the Acquisition Agreement. The Company determined that it was not in its economic interest to move forward under the Acquisition Agreement after evaluating the claims underlying the Property. The termination has not given rise to any penalties against the Company. The Company has recorded a loss of \$112,686 on the disposal of its rights pursuant to the Termination Agreement.



(c) Business of Issuer.

**Business Overview**

We intend to devote substantially all of our efforts on establishing our business plan and continuing to grow our operations in the mineral exploration sector. We do not have sufficient capital to operate our business and will require additional funding to sustain operations through December 2013. There is no assurance that we will be able to achieve revenues sufficient to become profitable.

**Employees**

As of March 1, 2013, we had a total of four full-time employees and one part-time employee. We expect no significant changes in the number of our employees in the next twelve (12) months.

**Competition**

We are an exploration stage mineral resource exploration company that competes with other mineral resource exploration companies for financing and for the acquisition of mineral properties. Many of the mineral resource exploration companies with whom we compete have greater financial and technical resources than those available to us. Accordingly, these competitors may be able to spend greater amounts on acquisitions of mineral properties of merit, on exploration of their mineral properties and on development of their mineral properties. In addition, they may be able to afford more geological expertise in the targeting and exploration of mineral properties. This competition could result in competitors having mineral properties of greater quality and interest to prospective investors who may finance additional exploration and development. This competition could adversely impact our ability to achieve the financing necessary for us to acquire mineral property interests and conduct exploration activities.

We will also compete with other mineral exploration companies for financing from a limited number of investors that are prepared to make investments in mineral exploration companies. The presence of competing mineral exploration companies may adversely impact on our ability to raise additional capital in order to fund our exploration programs if investors are of the view that investments in competitors are more attractive based on the merit of the mineral properties under investigation and the price of the investment offered to investors.

## **Government Regulation and Standards**

There are several governmental regulations that materially restrict the exploration for minerals and the extraction of minerals and any related mining activities in Canada and in the Northwest Territories. The Company will be subject to the mining laws and regulations in force in Canada and in the Northwest Territories (as well as any other jurisdiction wherein a future-acquired property is located). In order to comply with applicable regulations, the Company may be required to obtain work permits, post bonds and perform remediation work for any physical disturbance to land.

Additionally, the Dodd Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act signed into law in July of 2010, includes a requirement for certain companies using conflict minerals in the manufacturing of, or contracting to manufacture, products to publicly disclose the source of the conflict mineral. The conflict mineral list currently includes columbite-tantalite, cassiterite, wolframite, and gold mined in the Democratic Republic of Congo and several surrounding central African countries. These companies reporting obligations begin with the 2013 calendar year, with the initial reports due in May 2014. The Company currently has no business operations, nor intent to engage in business development activity, within the covered region. The Company is currently focused on development of mineral properties primarily in Canada.

However, the Company may incur costs related to its future customers' compliance with the law, including, but not limited to: (i) assist future mineral purchase customers by creating and maintaining adequate chain of custody records relating to minerals mined by the Company to establish that they are not conflict minerals, and (ii) provide information to customers for their own conflict mineral reports and audits.

As a general matter, management of the Company will attempt to ensure that all budgets for exploration programs include a contingency for regulatory compliance.

### **Reports to Security Holders**

We will be a reporting company and will comply with the requirements of the Exchange Act. We will file quarterly and annual reports and other information with the SEC, and we will send a copy of our annual report together with audited financial statements to each of our shareholders.

The public may read and copy any materials the Company files with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. Additionally, the SEC maintains an Internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC, which can be found at <http://www.sec.gov>.

## **ITEM RISK FACTORS**

### **1A.**

**We are an exploration stage company with a limited operating history and may never be able to effectuate our business plan or achieve sufficient revenues or profitability; at this stage of our business, even with our good faith efforts, potential investors have a high probability of losing their entire investment.**

We are subject to all of the risks inherent in an exploration stage company. In particular, potential investors should be aware that we have not proven that we can:

.

raise sufficient capital in the public and/or private markets;

.

have access to a line of credit in the institutional lending marketplace for the expansion of our business;

.

respond effectively to competitive pressures; or

.

recruit and build a management team to accomplish our business plan.

Accordingly, our prospects must be considered in light of the risks, expenses and difficulties frequently encountered in establishing a new business, and our Company is a highly speculative venture involving significant financial risk.



**We have a limited track record that would provide a basis for assessing our ability to conduct successful business activities. We may not be successful in carrying out our business objectives.**

The revenue and income potential of our proposed business and operations are unproven as a limited operating history makes it difficult to evaluate the future prospects of our business. There is limited information at this time on which to base an assumption that our business operations will prove to be successful or that we will ever be able to operate profitably. Accordingly, we have a limited track record of successful business activities, strategic decision making by management, fund-raising ability, and other factors that would allow an investor to assess the likelihood that we will be successful in marketing our services. As such, there is a substantial risk that we will not be successful in generating sufficient operating revenues or in achieving profitable operations, irrespective of competition.

**If we are unable to hire and retain key personnel, we may not be able to implement our business plan.**

Due to the specified nature of our business, having certain key personnel is essential to the development of our business plan and thus to the entire business itself. Consequently, the loss of any of those individuals may have a substantial effect on our future success or failure. We may have to recruit qualified personnel with competitive compensation packages, equity participation, and other benefits that may affect the working capital available for our operations. Management may have to seek to obtain outside independent professionals to assist them in assessing the merits and risks of any business proposals as well as assisting in the development and operation of many company projects. Our failure to attract additional qualified employees or to retain the services of key personnel could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition.

**If we are unable to obtain additional funding, our business operations will be harmed.**

We will require additional funds to operate our business and address all necessary infrastructure concerns. We anticipate that we will require a minimum of \$740,000 to fund our continued operations for the next twelve months. The inability to raise the required capital will restrict our ability to grow and may reduce our ability to continue to conduct business operations. If we are unable to obtain necessary financing, we will likely be required to curtail our development plans which could cause the Company to become dormant. Any additional equity financing may involve substantial dilution to our then existing shareholders.

**Due to numerous factors beyond our control which could affect the marketability of mineral resources, including their respective market price, we may have difficulty selling any mineral resources if commercially viable deposits are found to exist.**

The availability of markets and the volatility of market prices are beyond our control and represent a significant risk. Even if commercially viable deposits of mineral resources are found to exist on our property interests, a ready market may not exist for the sale of the reserves. Numerous factors beyond our control may affect the marketability of any substances discovered. These factors include market fluctuations, the proximity and capacity of markets and processing equipment, government regulations, including regulations relating to prices, taxes, royalties, land tenure, land use, importing and exporting of minerals and environmental protection. These factors could inhibit our ability to

sell the mineral resources in the event that commercially viable deposits are found to exist.

**The nature of mineral exploration and production activities involves a high degree of risk that could materially and adversely affect our operations.**

Exploration for minerals is highly speculative and involves greater risk than many other businesses. Many exploration programs do not result in the discovery of mineralization and any mineralization discovered may not be of sufficient quantity or quality to be profitably mined. Few properties that are explored are ultimately advanced to the stage of producing mines. Our current exploration efforts are, and any future development or mining operations we may elect to conduct will be, subject to all of the operating hazards and risks normally incident to exploring for and developing mineral properties, such as, but not limited to:

- economically insufficient mineralized material;
- fluctuations in production costs that may make mining uneconomical;
- labor disputes;
- unanticipated variations in grade and other geologic problems;
- environmental hazards;
- water conditions;
- difficult surface or underground conditions;
- industrial accidents;
- metallurgical and other processing problems;
- mechanical and equipment performance problems;
- failure of pit walls or dams;
- unusual or unexpected rock formations;

- personal injury, fire, flooding, cave-ins, and landslides; and
- decrease in reserves due to a lower gold price.

Any of these risks can materially and adversely affect, among other things, the development of properties, production quantities and rates, costs and expenditures, and production commencement dates. All of these factors may result in losses in relation to amounts spent which are not recoverable.

**In the event that we are unable to successfully compete within the mineral exploration and development business, we may not be able to achieve profitable operations.**

The mineral exploration and development business is highly competitive. This industry has a multitude of competitors and many competitors dominate this industry. Many of our competitors have greater financial resources than us. As a result, we may experience difficulty competing with other businesses when conducting mineral exploration and development activities or in the retention of qualified personnel. No assurances can be given that we will be able to compete effectively.

**Our due diligence activities with respect to our property interests cannot assure that these properties will ultimately prove to be commercially viable.**

Our due diligence activities have been limited, and to a great extent, we have relied upon information provided to us by third-party advisors. Accordingly, no assurances can be given that the properties or mining rights we possess will contain adequate amounts of mineral resources. Further, even if we recover mineral resources from such mining properties, we cannot guarantee that we will make a profit. If we cannot acquire or locate commercially exploitable mineral resources, or if it is not economical to recover the mineral resources, our business and operations will be materially adversely affected. The mining areas presently being assessed by us may not contain economically recoverable volumes of minerals or metals. We have relied and may continue to rely, upon consultants and others for operating expertise.

**If we are unable to obtain all of our required governmental permits, our operations could be negatively impacted.**

Our future operations, including exploration and development activities, required permits from various governmental authorities. Such operations are and will be governed by laws and regulations governing prospecting, development, mining, production, exports, taxes, labor standards, occupational health, waste disposal, toxic substances, land use, environmental protection, mine safety and other matters. There can be no assurance that we will be able to acquire all required licenses or permits or to maintain continued operations at economically justifiable costs.

**Because new legislation, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, increases the cost of compliance with federal securities regulations as well as the risks of liability to officers and directors, we may find it more difficult for us to retain or attract officers and directors.**

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 was enacted in response to public concerns regarding corporate accountability in connection with recent accounting scandals. The stated goals of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act are to increase corporate responsibility, to provide for enhanced penalties for accounting and auditing improprieties at publicly traded companies, and to protect investors by improving the accuracy and reliability of corporate disclosures pursuant to the securities laws. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act generally applies to all companies that file or are required to file periodic reports with the SEC, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Upon becoming a public company, we will be required to comply with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and it is costly to remain in compliance with the federal securities regulations. Additionally, we may be unable to attract and retain qualified officers, directors and members of board committees required to provide for our effective management as a result of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

The enactment of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 has resulted in a series of rules and regulations by the SEC that increase responsibilities and liabilities of directors and executive officers. The perceived increased personal risk

associated with these recent changes may make it more costly or deter qualified individuals from accepting these roles. Significant costs incurred as a result of becoming a public company could divert the use of finances from our operations resulting in our inability to achieve profitability.

**There is a limited trading market for our common stock, which will limit the ability of our stockholders to liquidate their investment.**

Our common stock currently trades on the OTCQB exchange and is listed under the symbol: JSHG. Outstanding shares of our common stock cannot be offered, sold, pledged or otherwise transferred unless subsequently registered pursuant to, or exempt from registration under, the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act ) and any other applicable federal or state securities laws or regulations. These restrictions will limit the ability of our stockholders to liquidate their investment.

**We may, in the future, issue additional common shares, which would reduce investors' percent of ownership and may dilute our share value.**

Our Articles of Incorporation authorize the issuance of 400,000,000 shares of common stock. The future issuance of common stock may result in substantial dilution in the percentage of our common stock held by our then existing shareholders. We may value any common stock issued in the future on an arbitrary basis. The issuance of common stock for future services or acquisitions or other corporate actions may have the effect of diluting the value of the shares held by our investors, and might have an adverse effect on any trading market for our common stock.

**The issuance of preferred stock could adversely affect the voting power or other rights of the holders of our common stock.**

Our Articles of Incorporation authorizes the issuance of up to 100,000,000 shares of preferred stock with designations, rights and preferences determined from time to time by our directors. Accordingly, our directors are empowered, without stockholder approval, to issue preferred stock with dividend, liquidation, conversion, voting, or other rights which could adversely affect the voting power or other rights of the holders of the common stock. In the event of issuance, the preferred stock could be utilized, under certain circumstances, as a method of discouraging, delaying or preventing a change in control of the Company.

**Our common shares may be subject to the Penny Stock Rules of the SEC and the trading market in our securities is limited, which makes transactions in our stock cumbersome and may reduce the value of an investment in our stock.**

The Securities and Exchange Commission has adopted Rule 15c-9 which establishes the definition of a penny stock, for the purposes relevant to us, as any equity security that has a market price of less than \$5.00 per share or with an exercise price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to certain exceptions. For any transaction involving a penny stock, unless exempt, the rules require:

that a broker or dealer approve a person's account for transactions in penny stocks; and

the broker or dealer receive from the investor a written agreement to the transaction, setting forth the identity and quantity of the penny stock to be purchased.

In order to approve a person's account for transactions in penny stocks, the broker or dealer must:

obtain financial information and investment experience objectives of the person; and

make a reasonable determination that the transactions in penny stocks are suitable for that person and the person has sufficient knowledge and experience in financial matters to be capable of evaluating the risks of transactions in penny stocks.

The broker or dealer must also deliver, prior to any transaction in a penny stock, a disclosure schedule prescribed by the Commission relating to the penny stock market, which, in highlight form:

sets forth the basis on which the broker or dealer made the suitability determination; and

that the broker or dealer received a signed, written agreement from the investor prior to the transaction.



Generally, brokers may be less willing to execute transactions in securities subject to the penny stock rules. This may make it more difficult for investors to dispose of our common shares and cause a decline in the market value of our stock.

Disclosure also has to be made about the risks of investing in penny stocks in both public offerings and in secondary trading and about the commissions payable to both the broker-dealer and the registered representative, current quotations for the securities and the rights and remedies available to an investor in cases of fraud in penny stock transactions. Finally, monthly statements have to be sent disclosing recent price information for the penny stock held in the account and information on the limited market in penny stocks.

**Because we do not presently intend to pay any cash dividends on our common stock, our stockholders will not be able to receive a return on their shares unless they sell them.**

For the indefinite future, we intend to retain any future earnings to finance the development and expansion of our business. We do not anticipate paying any cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. Unless we pay dividends, our stockholders will not be able to receive a return on their shares unless they sell them.

**You should consider the United States federal income tax consequences of owning our securities.**

There are risks associated with the United States federal income tax consequences of owning our common stock. Because the tax consequences of owning our common stock are complex and certain tax consequences may differ depending on the holder's particular tax circumstances, each potential investor should consult with and rely on its own tax advisor about the tax consequences. In addition, there can be no assurance that the United States federal income tax treatment currently applicable to owning our common stock will not be modified by legislative, administrative, or judicial action that may have a retroactive effect. No representation or warranty of any kind is made with respect to the acceptance by the Internal Revenue Service or any court of law regarding the treatment of any item of income, deduction, gain, loss or credit by an investor on its tax return.

## **ITEM UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS**

### **1B.**

None.

## **ITEM 2. PROPERTIES**

### *Glossary of Terms:*

Calc-alkalic: Said of a series of igneous rocks in which the weight percentage of silica is between 56 and 61 when the weight percentages of CaO and of K<sub>2</sub>O + Na<sub>2</sub>O are equal.

Felsic: A mnemonic adj. derived from (fe) for feldspar, (l) for lenad or feldspathoid, and (s) for silica, and applied to light-colored rocks containing an abundance of one or all of these constituents. Also applied to the minerals themselves, the chief felsic minerals being quartz, feldspar, feldspathoid, and muscovite.

Komatiite: Magnesium-rich ultramafic volcanic rock of high temperature origin. The term was originally applied by Viljoen and Viljoen (1969) to basaltic and ultramafic lavas near the Komati river, Barberton Mountain Land, Transvaal, South Africa. Nickel-copper sulfide mineral deposits may be associated with komatiites.

**Mafic:** Pertaining to or composed dominantly of the ferromagnesian rock-forming silicates; said of some igneous rocks and their constituent minerals.

**Tholeiite:** A silica-oversaturated (quartz-normative) basalt, characterized by the presence of low-calcium pyroxenes (orthopyroxene and/or pigeonite) in addition to clinopyroxene and calcic plagioclase. Olivine may be present in the mode, but neither olivine nor nepheline appear in the norm.

**Ultramafic:** Said of an igneous rock composed chiefly of mafic minerals, e.g., monomineralic rocks composed of hypersthene, augite, or olivine.

### ***Garrett Property***

On June 25, 2011, the Company entered into a mineral property acquisition with Firelake Resources Inc. ( Firelake ) whereby the Company agreed to purchase certain mineral interests located in the townships of Eric and Huffman in the Province of Ontario, Canada (the Huffman Property , later renamed the Garrett Property ) in consideration for the sum of \$50,765 (\$50,000 CDN) and 2,000,000 shares of common stock of the Company to be paid by Company to Firelake as follows: \$25,000 CDN on or before January 31, 2012; \$25,000 CDN on or before January 31, 2013; and delivery of the shares on or before January 31, 2012. Additionally, upon commencement of commercial production of the Garrett Property, the Company will pay to Firelake a royalty equal to 2% of all net smelter returns on minerals from the Property.

Mineral rights were acquired at the Garrett Property location through claim staking. The Garrett mining claims are an area of open Crown land or Crown minerals rights that a licensed prospector marked out with a series of claim posts and blazed lines. The mining claims were staked in square or rectangular share with boundaries running north, south, east and west astronomically. Claim posts are erected at the corner of each mining claim, and claim boundaries between each post are marked by blazes cut into trees and by cut underbrush. Claim corner post tags identifying the individual claim number are affixed by nails to each corner post.

The Ontario Mining Act authorizes the staking of mineral claims (such as those claims comprising the Garrett property) where the Crown owns the minerals and the carrying out of assessment/exploration work on the mining claims by the claim holder. Mining (i.e. extraction of the minerals) cannot take place until the claims are brought to lease. Mining leases are issued for the express purpose of undertaking mineral, development or mining. The claim holder is entitled to a lease upon fulfilling the requirements of the Mining Act. Currently, Fire Lake is the holder of the mineral rights to the Garrett Property, and the Crown is the holder of the surface rights.

Upon recording of the mineral claims with the provincial mining recorder s office, the claims will remain good standing for a period of two years. The claims can be renewed by performing the minimum specified assessment work within two years of the recording date for the claim. Specifically, at the time of acquisition, the claims for the Garrett property were to remain in good standing until the dates set out below:

MINING DISTRICT

	CLAIM NUMBER	DATE RECORDED	DUE DATE
(Ontario)			
Porcupine	4246907	November 30, 2010	November 30, 2012
Porcupine	4246908	November 30, 2010	November 30, 2012
Porcupine	4246912	November 12, 2010	November 12, 2012
Porcupine	4246913	November 12, 2010	November 12, 2012
Porcupine	4246916	November 22, 2010	November 22, 2012
Porcupine	4246919	November 22, 2010	November 22, 2012

In addition to the property payments described above, Joshua must undertake minimum exploration assessment work on each claim and file reports of this work with the Ministry of Mines and Northern Development on or before the due dates provided below in order to keep the claims of the Garrett property in good standing:

CLAIM NUMBER	DUE DATE	AMOUNT OF WORK (CDN \$)
4246907	November 30, 2012	6,400.00
4246908	November 30, 2012	4,000.00
4246912	November 12, 2012	4,800.00
4246913	November 12, 2012	6,400.00
4246916	November 22, 2012	4,800.00
4246919	November 22, 2012	6,400.00

As of October 31, 2012, the Company has applied exploration work in the amount of CDN \$32,909. The Ministry of Northern Development and Mines has applied this amount to the property, leaving a reserve of CDN \$109. All claims will remain in good standing until the dates set out below:

CLAIM NUMBER	DUE DATE
4246907	November 30, 2013
4246908	November 30, 2013
4246912	November 12, 2013
4246913	November 12, 2013
4246916	November 22, 2013
4246919	November 22, 2013

The mineral claims comprising the Garrett property are exploration claims only and allow for the carrying out of assessment/exploration work on the claims by the claim holder. Mining (i.e. extraction of the minerals) cannot take place until the claims are brought to lease. Mining leases are issued for the express purpose of undertaking mineral, development or mining. The claim holder is entitled to a lease upon fulfilling the requirements of the Mining Act.

The area of the original Garrett Property is approximately 1,312 hectares and consists of 82 mining claim units.

On October 10, 2011 the company completed claim staking of an additional six claims and changed the name of the Property to the Garrett Property. These claims are comprised of:



## MINING DISTRICT

	CLAIM NUMBER	DATE RECORDED	DUE DATE
(Ontario)			
Porcupine	4246915	October 4, 2011	October 4, 2013
Porcupine	4246922	October 4, 2011	October 4, 2013
Porcupine	4246923	October 4, 2011	October 4, 2013
Porcupine	4246930	October 24, 2011	October 24, 2013
Porcupine	4246931	October 24, 2011	October 24, 2013
Porcupine	4246932	October 24, 2011	October 24, 2013

The Company must undertake minimum exploration assessment work on each claim and file reports of this work with the Ministry of Mines and Northern Development on or before the due dates provided below in order to keep the claims of the Garrett property in good standing:

CLAIM NUMBER	DUE DATE	AMOUNT OF WORK (CDN \$)
4246915	October 4, 2013	2,400.00
4246922	October 4, 2013	6,000.00
4246923	October 4, 2013	6,400.00
4246930	October 24, 2013	6,400.00
4246931	October 24, 2013	6,400.00
4246932	October 24, 2013	2,400.00

Work on the Garrett Property is ongoing, on an intermittent basis. At present the Ministry of Northern Development, Mines and Forestry (MNDMF) has granted a one year extension (to all mineral exploration and mining companies in Ontario) for the recording of the exact Global Positioning System coordinates for each claim post as recorded. At present, the company has an inventory of this information and will submit this information to the MNDMF to receive a one year extension and credit for work requirements.

The Garrett Property is located in the northwest portion of Huffman Township, and the southwest corner of Eric Township, Porcupine Mining District, Province of Ontario, Canada. The Garrett Property can be accessed via pickup truck by travelling 81 kilometers along bush/logging roads west and north of the intersection of Highway 144 and Regional Road 560 in north central Ontario. The City of Sudbury is located approximately 140 kilometers south of this highway intersection along Highway 144. The approximate centre of the Garrett property has UTM co-ordinate 412156 m E and 5277530 m N, Zone 17.

The rock formations on the Garrett property are predominantly composed of Early Archean supracrustal rocks, dominantly of greenschist facies metamorphic rank, and are deformed by an east-southeast trending syncline or synclinorium. These rocks are part of larger belt of greenschist metamorphic rocks referred to as the Swayze Greenstone Belt, which is the southwestern extension of the prolific Abitibi Greenstone Belt that is host to several world-class mining camps.

Locally, rock formations strike generally at 300 degrees, and dip steeply to the southwest. Rocks within the central claim area are predominantly composed of tholeiitic metavolcanics that structurally vary from massive to foliated flows. Quartz veins or pods within flow units are common. Bordering the tholeiitic metavolcanics along southwest boundary of the property are calc-alkalic metavolcanics frequently containing quartz veins and felsic lapilli.



Bordering the tholeiitic metavolcanics to the northeast are the Late Archean orthogneissic rocks of the Kenogamissi Granitic Complex. These rocks are quite heterogeneous and are of amphibolite metamorphic rank. Numerous batholiths and plutons of tonalite, granodiorite and granitic composition are found with the complex. The complex was emplaced into the greenstone rocks of the Swayze belt as a dome and caused a deformation aureole of severe flattening and recumbent folding. It is within these highly strained rocks that the gold mineralization of the St. Jerome mine immediately southwest of the Garrett property, and the gold mineralization of the Iamgold Cote Lake Deposit to the southeast is found.

The company has completed exploration work on the property, inclusive of 60 kilometers of line cutting, Geophysical Survey in the form of both Very Low Frequency Electro Magnetic Survey and MAG Survey in the areas that have been subject to line cutting to establish a visible grid for data interpretation. The present condition of the property is grass roots in that much of the property area is covered by undisturbed boreal forest and muskeg typical of the Canadian north. At present infrastructure exists on the property in the form of an exploration camp for housing workers on the property, inclusive of a power generation station, semi-permanent exploration camp with housing for up to 24 individual workers at a time, a dining and sanitary tent, complete with indoor bath facilities and satellite communications equipment. The property contains a logging road with structural improvements, including bridges and culverts to make the area easily accessible (apart from these improvements, no other subsurface improvements are required at the property to complete additional exploration work). Exploration work is currently underway and drill targets will be determined and recommended, subject to interpretation of surface sampling and interpretation of geophysical surveys. An estimate of diamond drilling cost is unknown at this time and can only be determined based on a review of data generated from the initial prospecting and geophysical surveying work.

Sources of water locally are from the abundant local creeks, rivers and small lakes. Local power sources are not available presently. Power (when required) can be provided via portable generators transported to the property. The Garrett Property is presently without known mineral reserves and the proposed work program is exploratory in nature. A map of the property is provided below:



***Lucas Property (formerly known as Elijah Property)***

On February 7, 2012, the Company entered into and closed a mineral property acquisition agreement (the *Original Agreement* ), as amended and restated on February 13, 2012 (the *Amended Agreement* ), with Shining Tree Resources Corp. ( *Shining Tree* ), pursuant to which Shining Tree agreed to sell to Company an undivided fifty percent (50%) interest in and to certain mineral interests found on the Lucas Property (known at the time as the *Elijah Property* ; as described in further detail below) located in the Townships of Churchill and Asquith, Ontario, Canada (the *Conveyed Property* ). As consideration for the sale of the Conveyed Property, the Company agreed to deliver the following to Shining Tree in the manner set forth below:

1)

\$50,270 (\$50,000 CDN) according to the following schedule:

(a)

\$10,054 (\$10,000 CDN) upon execution of the Agreement;

(b)

\$10,054 (\$15,000 CDN) due on March 30, 2012;

(c)

\$10,054 (\$15,000 CDN) due on June 30, 2012; and

(d)

\$10,054 (\$10,000 CDN) due on July 30, 2012.

2)

subject to the approval of the Board of Directors of Joshua Gold Resources Inc., One Million (1,000,000) common shares of Company on or before March 30, 2012; and

3)

complete \$201,097 (\$200,000 CDN) of Expenditures (as defined in the Original and Amended Agreement) on the Conveyed Property on or before February 10, 2014. Upon completion of payment for the Conveyed Property in the aggregate amount of \$50,270 (\$50,000 CDN) of Expenditures on the Conveyed Property, Shining Tree will issue to Company 1,000,000 common shares of Shining Tree on or before July 30, 2012.

### **Description of Lucas Property**

The Lucas Property consists of four (4) unpatented mining claims (38 units approximately 1,520 acres) in Asquith and Churchill Townships, Larder Lake Mining District, Ontario, Canada. The property lies approximately 3km northeast of the hamlet of Shining Tree along Regional Highway 560, which is a paved road. Access to the property is well maintained throughout all seasons, with former lumber gravel roads intersecting the property.

The Lucas Property is located in the south central portion of Asquith Township, with claim 4251805 located in contiguous Asquith Township in the north central portion, Larder Lake Mining District, Province of Ontario, Canada. The Lucas Property can be accessed via motor vehicle by travelling 136km North Northwest from The City of Sudbury on Highway 144, then travelling 56 km North Northeast on Highway 560 in north central Ontario, Canada. The approximate centre of the Lucas Property has UTM co-ordinate 479000 m E and 5271000 m N.

There has been no historical work on the Property. The property is a grass roots exploration prospect, no infrastructure or facilities are found on the property, apart from the gravel logging road providing access to the property. As of this filing, a site visit has been completed by a Qualified Person within the meaning of National Instrument 43-101 and surface sampling has been completed, but no work has been applied against the claims.

The Lucas Property is located within a mineral rich portion of Shining Tree Greenstone Belt that forms the southern portion of the Abitibi Sub-Province of the Superior Province. The Larder lake dominant structural feature is the Larder Lake Cadillac Fault, which extends through the Shining Tree area, the Kirkland Lake area and into the neighboring eastern Province of Quebec, Canada. Due to the strong special relationship between gold deposits and regional faults, numerous world class gold deposits are found along the Kirkland Lake Cadillac Fault system.

Calc-Alkaline Tholeiitic volcanic rocks and minor Komatiitic rocks are interpreted to underly the immediate property area. The most significant geological feature is the gold bearing Gosselin Rift Zone . The Gosselin Rift Zone is a southwest trending feature along claim number 4251803 and runs westward, northwestward into claim 4251801, which are in the Lucas Property. It includes an extensive quartz vein system, altered mafic-ultramafic rocks and altered felsic intrusive and extrusive rocks. Extensive faulting fracturing and shearing are evident in this zone over widths of approximately 200 meters. Gold mineralization in the Gosselin Rift Zone tends to be erratic and is associated with Pyrite mineralization varying in concentrations from trace to levels of 4-5% in the altered volcanic rocks and quartz vein systems.

Claim Registration:

Claim Number	Registered Owner(s)	Due Date	Township	Unit Size
4251801	Shining Tree Resources Corp.	December 1, 2013	Churchill	15
4251802	Shining Tree Resources Corp.	December 1, 2013	Churchill	9
4251803	Shining Tree Resources Corp.	December 1, 2013	Churchill	2
4251805	Shining Tree Resources Corp.	December 1, 2013	Asquith	12

Small scale maps of the Lucas Property are shown below:

***Kenty Gold Property***

On October 4, 2012, the Company entered into and closed a mineral property acquisition agreement (the *McClay Agreement* ) with Brian McClay, a British Columbia, Canada resident ( *McClay* ), pursuant to which McClay agreed to sell to Company an undivided one hundred percent (100%) interest in and to certain mineral interests found on the Kenty Gold Property (as described in further detail below) located in the Townships of Swayze and Dore, Ontario, Canada (the *McClay Conveyed Property* ). As consideration for the sale of the McClay Conveyed Property, the Company agreed to deliver the following to McClay in the manner set forth below:

(a)

Closing Date. Fifty Thousand and No/100 Dollars (CDN\$50,000.00\*) within three (3) business days following the closing date.

(b)

February 4, 2013.

(i)

One Hundred Thousand and No/100 Dollars (CDN\$100,000.00\*\*) on or before February 4, 2013; and

(ii)

Two Hundred Thousand (200,000) common shares of Company on or before February 4, 2013.

(c)

April 4, 2013.

(i)

One Hundred Fifty Thousand and No/100 Dollars (CDN\$150,000.00\*\*\*) on or before April 4, 2013; and

(ii)

Two Hundred Thousand (200,000) common shares of Company on or before April 4, 2013.

(d)

October 4, 2013.

(i)

Three Hundred Thousand and No/100 Dollars (CDN\$300,000.00\*\*\*\*) on or before October 4, 2013; and

(ii)

Two Hundred and Fifty Thousand (250,000) common shares of Company on or before October 4, 2013.

(e)

April 4, 2014.

(i)

Three Hundred Thousand and No/100 Dollars (CDN\$300,000.00\*\*\*\*) on or before April 4, 2014; and

(ii)

Two Hundred and Fifty Thousand (250,000) common shares of Company on or before April 4, 2014.

(f)

October 4, 2014.

(i)

Three Hundred Thousand and No/100 Dollars (CDN\$300,000.00\*\*\*\*) on or before October 4, 2014; and



(ii)

Two Hundred and Fifty Thousand (250,000) common shares of Company on or before October 4, 2014.

(g)

April 4, 2015.

(i)

Three Hundred Thousand and No/100 Dollars (CDN\$300,000.00\*\*\*\*\*) on or before April 4, 2015; and

(ii)

Five Hundred and Fifty Thousand (550,000) common shares of Company on or before April 4, 2015.

(h)

Reserve. Upon completion of a NI 43-101 compliant Indicated Reserve of One Million (1,000,000) Troy Ounces of Gold (Aurum Metal) on the McClay Conveyed Property, Company shall pay One Million and No/100 Dollars (CDN\$1,000,000.00\*\*\*\*\*) to McClay.

(i)

Production.

(i)

Upon production of One Million (1,000,000) Troy Ounces of Gold (Aurum Metal) from the McClay Conveyed Property, Company shall pay One Million and No/100 Dollars (CDN\$1,000,000.00\*\*\*\*\*) to McClay.

(ii)

Upon production of Three Million (3,000,000) Troy Ounces of Gold (Aurum Metal) from the McClay Conveyed Property, Company shall pay Two Million and No/100 Dollars (CDN\$2,000,000.00\*\*\*\*\*) to McClay.

(iii)

Upon production of Five Million (5,000,000) Troy Ounces of Gold (Aurum Metal) from the McClay Conveyed Property, Company shall pay Two Million and No/100 Dollars (CDN\$2,000,000.00\*\*\*\*\*) to McClay.

(j)

Early Buyout Option. Company shall have the option of early buyout within one year of execution for a cash payment of CDN\$750,000\*\*\*\*\* and 750,000 common shares.

In addition, upon the Commencement of Commercial Production (as defined in the McClay Agreement), Company shall pay to McClay a royalty in an amount equal to three percent (3%) of all Net Smelter Returns (as defined in the McClay Agreement) on minerals mined from the McClay Conveyed Property (the Seller NSR ) on the terms and conditions as set out in the McClay Agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, at any point in time following the closing date and upon Company's sole election, McClay shall sell to Company fifty percent (50%) of the Seller NSR for a purchase price of CDN\$1,500,000.00\*\*\*\*\*.

\*CDN \$50,000 is approximately USD \$49,472 as of the date of this filing.

\*\*CDN \$100,000 is approximately USD \$98,944 as of the date of this filing.

\*\*\*CDN \$150,000 is approximately USD \$148,414 as of the date of this filing.

\*\*\*\* CDN \$300,000 is approximately USD \$296,828 as of the date of this filing.

\*\*\*\*\* CDN \$750,000 is approximately USD \$742,070 as of the date of this filing.

\*\*\*\*\* CDN \$1,000,000 is approximately USD \$989,434 as of the date of this filing.

\*\*\*\*\* CDN \$1,500,000 is approximately USD \$1,484,082 as of the date of this filing.

\*\*\*\*\* CDN \$2,000,000 is approximately USD \$1,978,776 as of the date of this filing.



**Description of Kenty Gold Property**

**1. Location and Means of Access to the Property**

The Kenty Gold Property consists of a contiguous block of 16 patented mining claims located on the Swayze – Dore Townships boundary line, Porcupine Mining Division, Province of Ontario, Canada. Fifteen of the Claims lie in the Northeast of Swazye Township, while one Claim is in Dore Township. The Claim group lies 76 air-miles southwest of the mining center of Timmins, Ontario, Canada. Access is either from paved Highway 101 South on the Palette Lumber Haulage Road, or North from the Eddy Paper Haulage Road, also along the New Mallette Lumber Road.

A small scale map of the Kenty Gold Property is shown below:



**Description of the Property**

**PROVINCE OF ONTARIO, CANADA    PORCUPINE MINING DISTRICT**

**RECORDED HOLDER**

<b><u>TOWNSHIP</u></b>	<b><u>MINING LEASE/CLAIM NUMBER #</u></b>
SWAYZE	S20704
SWAYZE	S20703
SWAYZE	S20702
SWAYZE	S20707
SWAYZE	S20706
SWAYZE	S20705
SWAYZE	S20710
SWAYZE	S20709
SWAYZE	S20708
SWAYZE	S20713
SWAYZE	S20712
SWAYZE	S20711
SWAYZE	S20716
SWAYZE	S20715
SWAYZE	S20714
DORE	S20835

## **2. Description of Title, Claim, Lease or Option**

The Kenty Gold Property is a block of 16 contiguous patented mining claims, registered in the name of the beneficial and 100% owner, Brian A. McClay of Vancouver, Canada.

On October 4, 2012 Joshua Gold Resources Inc. entered into the option agreement to acquire 100% of the Kenty Gold Property from Brian A. McClay for consideration of: \$1,500,000 (CAD) in payments over the course of 30 months and 1,700,000 common shares of the Company. After the completion of the option, Joshua Gold Resources Inc. will be the beneficial owner of the Property and Title to the Property will be transferred from Brian A. McClay to Joshua Gold Resources Inc.

The patent mining claims making up the Kenty Gold Property require payment of annual taxes. However, there is no expiration date nor is there a work requirement to maintain these claims in good standing.

## **3. Description of Previous Operations**

Gold was initially discovered in the Swayze Greenstone Belt in 1910 and later, in 1930, by the Kenty Brothers on the Kenty Gold Property, this discovery led to the formation of Kenty Gold Mines Ltd. in an attempt to develop the property via two 500 foot plus vertical shafts, which were followed by extensive drifting and underground sampling. In 1936 a five ton stamp mill was operated.

From 1947-1949, Erndale Mines Limited installed a 100 ton per day mill, Erndale re-sampled the surface showings de-watered the number 1 shaft, re-sampled the underground workings, slashed the underground sections available and raised from the 375 foot level, bulk sampled 500 pounds of vein material from surface and underground. The sample averaged 0.82 Ounces per ton Au (Aurum Metal/Gold). Mill testing at Lakefield at the same time indicated an 82 percent recovery of the gold by amalgamation alone. In 1950 Erndale Mines Limited completed an exploration work program after which, from 1950-1983, the property lay idle. From 1983-1984, Heron Resources Ltd acquired the Property and completed de-watering of the Number 1 Shaft, underground surface sampling, surface stripping and completed additional Geophysical, Geochemical and Geological Surveys, Heron Resources Ltd. also completed a total of 15 surface Diamond Drill Holes (totaling 5031 Feet), further work was recommended, but the company lost control of the property. At least 21 Gold-Quartz-Carbonate Vein Systems were discovered on the property through historical exploration through to 1984 and are mapped and known on the property. In 1986-1987 Emerald Isle Resources Inc. commenced an extensive gold exploration program on the Kenty Gold Property consisting of extensive stripping, mapping, surface chip and bulk sampling program plus a 28 hole surface diamond drilling program (totaling 9589.1 Feet), this program is documented in reports filed with the former Ontario Ministry of Mines, now the Ontario Ministry of Northern Development Mines and Forestry. A Bulk Muck Sample of 80 tons returned an average grade of 34.7 g/t Au from work recorded in 1987 (CONSTABLE REPORT, 1987).





#### **4. Description of the present condition of the Kenty Gold Property**

(i) The subject Kenty Gold Property lies in the Canadian Precambrian Shield, Superior Province and has a typical topography; consisting of low rounded hills interrupted by frequent irregular areas of cedar and/or alder swamps, the swampy areas contain assorted grasses, cedar and alders, while the highland s cover is a mixture of mature and immature stands of jackpine, whitepine, poplar, spruce, birch and tamarack. Overburden is generally thin, seldom exceeding 20 feet. Outcrop coverage is less than 5 percent of the total area. At present there is access through lumber roads to the Property, and overgrown gravel roads on the property. There are two covered and caged mine shafts, Shaft 1 and Shaft 2 respectively that are watered. The Property contains a historical pit used for surface sampling. To date the registrant has only completed a site visit to the property. No other work has been completed by the Company to date. A site visit by a qualified engineer coupled with amalgamation of historical data and records will result in a work plan going forward. There are both underground and above ground historical mining operations. The property contains historical reserves only, which are not eligible under SEC Industry Guidelines as quantifiable reserves, therefore there are no known technically compliant reserves on the property at present.

(ii) Historical equipment has been remediated from the site. Electricity is sourced through Diesel Generators on site in an equipment facility.

#### **5. Description of the Rock Formations and Mineralization of existing or potential economic significance on the property.**

The Kenty Gold Property lies in the Swayze Greenstone Belt, part of the Superior Province of the Canadian Precambrian Shield. The Swayze Greenstone Belt consists of a sequence of intercalated archean metavolcanic and metasediments intruded by younger archean felsic and mafic intrusions. This belt represents the probable western extremity of the Abitibi Super Greenstone Belt, which has been the locus of much of Canada's base metal and Gold production; and several World-Class Gold Deposits, such as the Kerr Addison, Holling, Dome and McIntyre Mines. The stratigraphic sequence of the Swayze Belt is dominated by Sub-Aqueous Mafic flows and assorted fragmentals with several intermediate-felsic-eruptive centres, one such eruptive centre is located in Swayze Township and a second in the Kenogaming-Penhowrood Townships. Extensive amounts of the clastic and chemical interflow and distal sediments are included in the Stratigraphy, including major banded iron formations. A variety of synvolcanic to post-volcanic intrusions have intruded the supercrustal rocks. The volcanics represent a cycle from older Tholeiitic and Komatiitic flows upward. The rocks of the Swayze belt strike dominantly east-west and dip steeply, at least one major fold episode has affected the rocks, folding them tightly around east-west axes. This folding has caused a pervasive S1 cleavage plane in some rock units. Faults are dominantly North to Northwest-Trending and steeply to vertically dipping, any East-West fault zones are/of necessity, difficult to delineate. Faulting is likely Syn- or Post-Folding, Regional Metamorphism is generally lower or upper greenschist facies, but in detail may be overprinted by contact metamorphic haloes related to later intrusions. Gold in the Swayze belt occurs in several geological environments:

- (A) Native gold in quartz veins in volcanics, sediments and intrusions
- (B) Gold in pyritized shear zones, related and unrelated to intrusive contacts
- (C) Gold in replacement zones in intrusions
- (D) Gold in iron formations and other chemical sediments
- (E) Gold in carbonated pyritized tuffs and sediments

The Kenty Gold Property consists of, North to South, Intermediate and Mafic Iron Tholeiites to Felsic Flows and Tuffs and finally, a Paraconformable Feldspar Porphyry, extensive interflow Wackes and Argillites are also present on the property as well as numerous feldspar Porphyry Dykes, Mafic Dykes of Dioritic to Gabbroic composition intrude all other rock unit. There are at least 21 distinct Gold-Quartz-Carbonate veins on the Kenty Gold Property. They can be hosted by most rock types but are most frequently hosted by Magnetite-rich Mafic Flows (Iron-Rich Tholeiites) or a Fine-Grained contact phase of the Feldspar Porphyry Intrusion. The gold veins have two distinct strike-trends; A North 60 East set with steep to moderate southerly dips and a North-striking set which dips steeply. The former vein set is most common and economically most important, the veins bifucate, coalesce, pinch and swell. But nowhere was fault displacement exposed, the Veins widths range from inches to several feet, averaging 3 or 4 feet. The veins contain white quartz, calcite, ankerite, pyrite, chalcopryrite, galena, specular hematite, sphalerite, molybdenite, tourmaline and native gold. Coarse to fine native gold was observed in the main veins and in narrow veinlets in the wallrock. The veins may also be complex or simple veins or even quartz stockworks. Within the main quartz veins historically there are erratic, coarse grained native gold pods which run several tens of ounces to the ton gold. Gold occurs in the veins as coarse grains of gold erratically concentrated in high grade pods within the extensive vein systems. Certificates of assay from the Ontario Departments of Mines Laboratories in 1946 on Kenty vein material, ranged from 0.40 to 117.70 ounces per ton gold (CONSTABLE REPORT, 1987).

The Constable Report, as cited herein, was prepared by David Constable in May of 1987 and is on file with the Government of the Province of Ontario Ministry of Northern Development, Mines and Forestry (the Constable Report ). The Constable Report is attached hereto as Exhibit 99.1 and is incorporated herein by reference.

### ***Other Property Transactions***

On December 13, 2012 (the Effective Date ), Joshua Gold Resources Inc. (the "Company") entered into a Mineral Property Acquisition Termination Agreement (the "Termination Agreement") with 2214098 Ontario Ltd. ("Ontario Ltd."; Company and Ontario Ltd. are collectively referred to herein as the Parties ), pursuant to which the Parties agreed to terminate, effective as of the Effective Date at 11:59 p.m., that certain Mineral Property Acquisition Agreement (the Acquisition Agreement ) entered into between the Parties on December 23, 2010.

Under the Acquisition Agreement, Ontario Ltd. agreed to sell and Company agreed to purchase the Property (as such term is defined in the Acquisition Agreement) pursuant to the terms and conditions contained therein. A copy of the Acquisition Agreement was attached as an exhibit to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission on December 23, 2010.

In accordance with the terms and conditions of the Termination Agreement, Company agreed to surrender and return the Property to Ontario Ltd. and neither Company nor Ontario Ltd. shall have any further liability for performance or payment of any kind under the Acquisition Agreement.

It was not in the Company's economic interest to move forward under the Acquisition Agreement after evaluating the claims underlying the Property. The termination has not given rise to any penalties against the Company. The Company has recorded a loss of \$112,686 on the disposal of its rights pursuant to the Termination Agreement.

The description of the Termination Agreement above is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the Termination Agreement, which was previously filed.

### **ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

There are no legal proceedings that have occurred within the past year concerning our directors, or control persons which involved a criminal conviction, a criminal proceeding, an administrative or civil proceeding limiting one's participation in the securities or banking industries, or a finding of securities or commodities law violations.

### **ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES**

As the mines operated by the Company are not located in the United States, we are not subject to the provisions of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977 and are thus not required to provide the information required by this Item 4.

## **PART II**

### **ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

#### **Market Information**

Our Common Stock trades on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol **JSHG** as of February 4, 2013. The Company is not aware of any market activity in its stock since its inception and through the end of the most recent fiscal year, December 31, 2012.

#### **Reverse Stock Split**

Effective as of December 18, 2012, Article Three of the Articles of Incorporation of the Company was amended to: (i) effect a reverse stock split of the Company's issued and outstanding shares of Common Stock based upon a ratio of 1 for 3 (the Reverse Stock Split) and (ii) immediately following the effectiveness of the Reverse Stock Split, increase the authorized Common Stock of the Company, par value \$0.0001 per share, from approximately 133,333,333 shares to 400,000,000 shares.

#### **Security Holders**

As of April 3, 2013, there were 135 record holders of 79,015,563 shares of our common stock.

#### **Dividends**

Dividends, if any, will be contingent upon our revenues and earnings, capital requirements and financial conditions. The payment of dividends, if any, will be within the sole discretion of our Board of Directors. Other than the cumulative dividends on our preferred shares, we presently intend to retain all earnings, if any, for use in our business operations.

**Securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans**

We have never and have no current plans to issue securities under any equity compensation plans. However, during 2012, securities were issued to employees under individual employment agreements as further detailed below in Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities and Item 13.

## **Common Stock**

The authorized capital stock of our Company consists of 400,000,000 shares of Common Stock, par value \$0.0001 per share, of which there are 79,015,563 issued and outstanding.

During the year the Company issued flow-through common shares. The issuance of flow-through shares requires the renunciation of Canadian Exploration Expenditures (CEE) in the same tax year and in an amount of equal value to the shares issued for the benefit of those shareholders that purchased those flow-through shares. In accordance with the Income Tax Act (Canada), the Company must incur CEE in the year of renunciation or in the subsequent year. Part XII.6 tax is calculated monthly on any unspent balance in the subsequent year beginning January 1, 2013. Under the terms of the Company's flow-through shares agreements, the Company is required to spend and renounce expenditures for exploration that are qualifying CEE, as defined by the Income Tax Act (Canada) in the next calendar year.

All outstanding shares of Common Stock are of the same class and have equal rights and attributes, except as noted for the flow-through common shares. The holders of Common Stock are entitled to one vote per share on all matters submitted to a vote of our stockholders. Our stockholders are entitled to share equally in dividends, if any, as may be declared from time to time by our Board of Directors out of funds legally available. In the event of liquidation, the holders of our Common Stock are entitled to share ratably in all assets remaining after payment of all liabilities. Our stockholders do not have cumulative or preemptive rights.

## **Preferred Stock**

Our Certificate of Incorporation authorizes the issuance of up to 100,000,000 shares of Preferred Stock, par value \$0.0001 per share, with designations, rights and preferences including rights to dividend, liquidation, conversion, voting, or other rights determined from time to time by our Board of Directors, without shareholder approval. As of the date of this filing, there are 240,000 shares of our Preferred Stock issued and outstanding.

## **Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities**

Pursuant to the terms and conditions of that certain Mineral Property Acquisition Agreement entered into on October 4, 2012 with Brian McClay, we agreed to issue to Brian McClay: (i) 200,000 shares of common stock of Company on or before February 4, 2013; (ii) 200,000 shares of common stock of Company on or before April 4, 2013; (iii) 250,000 shares of common stock of Company on or before October 4, 2013; (iv) 250,000 shares of common stock of Company on or before April 4, 2014; (v) 250,000 shares of common stock of Company on or before October 4, 2014; and (vi) 550,000 shares of common stock of Company on or before April 4, 2015. Pursuant to this agreement, the shares due on February 4, 2013, and April 4, 2013, were issued on those respective dates.

On December 12, 2012, the Company sold 66,667 shares of Common Stock at a price of \$0.30 per share for a total purchase price of \$20,000 to a certain employee of the Company.

On December 12, 2012, the Company sold 22,223 shares of Common Stock at a price of \$0.30 per share for a total purchase price of \$6,667 to a certain employee of the Company.

On December 20, 2012, the Company sold 75,000 shares of Common Stock at a price of \$0.30 per share for a total purchase price of \$22,500 to a certain advisor of the Company.





On December 20, 2012, the Company sold 16,667 shares of Common Stock at a price of \$0.30 per share for a total purchase price of \$5,000 to a certain advisor of the Company.

On December 21, 2012, the Company sold 113,339 shares of Common Stock to a service provider of the Company in consideration of \$34,001.50 of invoiced services rendered.

The transactions described above were exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

On October 11, 2012, the Company sold 210,526 units (comprised of one share of Common Stock and one warrant exercisable within 12 months of issuance for one share of Common Stock at \$ 0.15 per share) at a price of \$0.095 per share for a total purchase price of \$20,000 to a certain accredited investor.

On October 11, 2012, the Company sold 105,263 units (comprised of one share of Common Stock and one warrant exercisable within 12 months of issuance for one share of Common Stock at \$ 0.15 per share) at a price of \$0.095 per share for a total purchase price of \$10,000 to a certain accredited investor.

On October 30, 2012, the Company sold 263,158 units (comprised of one share of Common Stock and one warrant exercisable within 12 months of issuance for one share of Common Stock at \$ 0.15 per share) at a price of \$0.095 per share for a total purchase price of \$25,000 to a certain accredited investor.

On December 12, 2012, the Company sold 506,667 units (comprised of one share of Common Stock and one warrant exercisable within 12 months of issuance for one share of Common Stock at \$ 0.10 per share) at a price of \$0.075 per share for a total purchase price of \$38,000 to a certain accredited investor.

On December 20, 2012, the Company sold 33,333 flow-through shares of Common Stock at a price of \$0.30 per share and 33,333 purchase warrants (exercisable within 12 months of issuance for one share of Common Stock each at \$ 0.45 per share) for a total purchase price of \$10,000 to a certain accredited investor.

On December 20, 2012, the Company sold 33,333 flow-through shares of Common Stock at a price of \$0.30 per share and 33,333 purchase warrants (exercisable within 12 months of issuance for one share of Common Stock each at \$ 0.45 per share) for a total purchase price of \$10,000 to a certain accredited investor.

On December 20, 2012, the Company sold 33,333 flow-through shares of Common Stock at a price of \$0.30 per share and 33,333 purchase warrants (exercisable within 12 months of issuance for one share of Common Stock each at \$ 0.45 per share) for a total purchase price of \$10,000 to a certain accredited investor.

On December 20, 2012, the Company sold 33,333 units (comprised of one share of Common Stock and one warrant exercisable within 12 months of issuance for one share of Common Stock at \$ 0.45 per share) at a price of \$0.30 per share for a total purchase price of \$10,000 to a certain accredited investor.

On December 21, 2012, the Company sold 33,333 flow-through shares of Common Stock at a price of \$0.30 per share and 33,333 purchase warrants (exercisable within 12 months of issuance for one share of Common Stock each at \$ 0.45 per share) for a total purchase price of \$10,000 to a certain accredited investor.



On December 28, 2012, the Company sold 33,333 units (comprised of one share of Common Stock and one warrant exercisable within 12 months of issuance for one share of Common Stock at \$ 0.45 per share) at a price of \$0.30 per share for a total purchase price of \$10,000 to a certain accredited investor.

On December 28, 2012, the Company sold 33,333 units (comprised of one share of Common Stock and one warrant exercisable within 12 months of issuance for one share of Common Stock at \$ 0.45 per share) at a price of \$0.30 per share for a total purchase price of \$10,000 to a certain accredited investor.

On December 28, 2012, the Company sold 500,000 units (comprised of one share of Common Stock and one warrant exercisable within 12 months of issuance for one share of Common Stock at \$ 0.45 per share) at a price of \$0.30 per share for a total purchase price of \$150,000 to a certain accredited investor.

Exemption is claimed for the sale of the immediately aforementioned securities pursuant to Rule 506 of Regulation D promulgated under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

**Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers.**

<b>Period Covered</b>	<b>Total Number Of Shares Purchased (1)</b>	<b>Average Price Paid Per Share</b>	<b>Total Number Of Shares (Or Units) Purchased As Part Of Publicly Announced Plans Or Programs</b>	<b>Maximum Number (Or Approximate Dollar Value) Of Shares (Or Units) That May Yet Be Purchased Under The Plans Or Programs</b>
Oct. 1-31, 2012	35,325,000	\$ 0.00		
Nov. 1-30, 2012	33,800,000	0.000769		
Dec. 1-31, 2012	0	0.00		
Total	69,125,000	0.000376		

(1) In October of 2012, the Company, pursuant to certain private transactions, redeemed an aggregate of 35,325,000 shares of the Company's common stock from certain shareholders of the Company at no cost. All of the redeemed shares were subsequently cancelled by the Company.

(2) In November of 2012, the Company, pursuant to certain private transactions, agreed to purchase an aggregate of 33,800,000 shares of the Company's common stock from certain shareholders of the Company for a total purchase price of \$26,000. All of the purchased shares were subsequently cancelled by the Company.

**ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

As a smaller reporting company, we are not required to provide the information required by this item.

**ITEM 7.**

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND  
ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION  
AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

(a) Liquidity and Capital Resources.

We are an exploration stage company focused on developing our business in the mineral exploration sector. Our principal business objective for the next twelve (12) months will be to continue to develop our business plan in this sector.

As of December 31, 2012, we had cash on hand of \$11,091 and current liabilities of \$1,249,385. We do not have sufficient capital to operate our business and will require additional funding to sustain operations through December 2013. There is no assurance that we will be able to achieve revenues sufficient to become profitable.

We have incurred losses since inception and our ability to continue as a going-concern depends upon our ability to develop profitable operations and to continue to raise adequate financing. We are actively targeting sources of additional financing to provide continuation of our operations. In order for us to meet our liabilities as they come due and to continue our operations, we are solely dependent upon our ability to generate such financing.

There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to continue to raise funds, in which case we may be unable to meet our obligations and we may cease operations.

*Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities.* During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, net cash used in operating activities was \$(541,689) compared with \$(337,149) used in operating activities for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011. The cash flow used in operating activities in the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 was primarily the result of incurred operating losses. The cash flow used in operating activities in the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 was primarily the result of incurred operating losses.

*Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities.* During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, net cash used in investing activities was \$(10,000) compared with \$(6,622) used in investing activities for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011. The cash flow used in investing activities in the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 was primarily the result of the acquisition of mineral rights. The cash flow used in investing activities in the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 was primarily the result of equipment acquisitions.

*Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities.* During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, net cash provided by financing activities was \$575,200 compared with \$414,652 provided by financing activities for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011. The cash flow provided by financing activities in the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 was primarily derived from proceeds on the issuance of capital stock. The cash flow provided by financing activities in the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 was primarily attributable to advances from shareholders and proceeds on issuance of capital stock.

(b) Results of operations.



**Comparison of Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2012 to Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2011**

We did not earn any revenues during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011. We do not anticipate earning revenues until such time as we have entered into commercial production of our mineral properties. We are presently in the exploration stage of our business and we can provide no assurance that we will discover commercially exploitable levels of mineral resources on our properties, or if such resources are discovered, that we will enter into commercial production of our mineral properties.

*Management Fees.* Management fees increased to \$457,171 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 from \$380,563 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011. The increase in management fees was primarily attributable to company growth and expansion of operations over the prior year.

*Consulting Fees.* Consulting fees increased to \$841,178 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 from \$362,619 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011. The increase in consulting fees was primarily attributable to company growth and expansion of operations over the prior year.

*Exploration Expenses.* Exploration expenses increased to \$121,030 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 from \$102,742 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011. The increase in exploration expenses was due to company growth and expansion of operations over the prior year.

*General and Administrative Expenses.* General and administrative expenses increased to \$139,571 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 from \$55,531 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011. The increase in general and administrative expenses is primarily related to company growth and expansion of operations over the prior year.

*Professional Fees.* Professional fees increased to \$216,894 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 from \$51,147 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011. The increase in professional fees was primarily due to company growth and expansion of operations over the prior year.

*Interest Expense.* Interest expense increased to \$25,542 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 compared with \$18,104 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011. The increase in interest expense was primarily due to company growth and expansion of operations over the prior year.

*Depreciation.* Depreciation expenses decreased to \$1,346 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 from \$15,220 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011. The decrease in depreciation expenses was primarily due to company growth and expansion of operations over the prior year.

*Loss on Disposal of Mineral Rights.* Loss on disposal of mineral rights increased to \$112,686 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 from \$Nil for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011. The increase in loss on disposal of mineral rights was primarily due to a one-time loss recorded for the disposal of the Carson Property in relation to the termination of the acquisition agreement.

*Net loss.* For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, we incurred a net loss of \$(1,915,418) as compared to a net loss of \$(985,926) for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011. The increase in net loss was primarily a result of the Company still being in exploration stage and, not yet having commenced its mining operations, does not have any revenue.





(c) Off-balance sheet arrangements.

We have not entered into any off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources and would be considered material to investors.

(d) Inflation.

We do not believe that inflation has had in the past or will have in the future any significant negative impact on our operations.

(e) Contractual Obligations.

Below is a table which presents our contractual obligations and commitments as of December 31, 2012:

Contractual Obligation	Total	Less than 1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	After 5 Years
Long-term debt Obligations	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Capital Lease Obligations					
Operating Lease Obligations					
Purchase Obligations					
Mineral Rights Acquisition Obligations(1)	1,534,000	634,000	900,000		
Total contractual cash obligations	\$ 1,534,000	\$ 634,000	\$ 900,000	\$	\$

(1) Mineral Rights Acquisition Obligations: Amounts due under various mining property and mineral rights acquisition contracts

**ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

As a smaller reporting company, we are not required to provide the information required by this item.

**ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA**



**Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Joshua Gold Resources Inc.

(an Exploration Stage Company)

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Joshua Gold Resources Inc. (the "Company") (an exploration stage company) as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related statements of operations and comprehensive loss, changes in stockholders' equity (deficit) and cash flows for the years then ended and for the cumulative period from inception (July 10, 2009) through December 31, 2012. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Joshua Gold Resources Inc. (an exploration stage company) as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the results of its operations and cash flows for the years then ended and for the cumulative period of inception (July 10, 2009) through December 31, 2012 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements, the Company has not generated any revenue to date, however, has been successful in raising funds in their private placements. The lack of profitable operations and the need to continue to raise funds raise significant doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in this regard are described in Note 2. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

*/s/ DNTW Toronto LLP*

Licensed Public Accountants

Markham, Ontario, Canada

April 15, 2013

**Joshua Gold Resources Inc.****(An Exploration Stage Company)****Balance Sheets****As Of**

	<b>December 31,</b>	<b>December 31,</b>
	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>Current Assets</b>		
Cash	\$ 11,091	\$ 24,566
Sales tax receivable	18,643	27,582
Notes receivable	11,250	14,750
<b>Total Current Assets</b>	<b>40,984</b>	<b>66,898</b>
<b>Other Assets</b>		
Equipment	5,143	6,380
Mineral properties	2,321,000	378,753
<b>Total Other Assets</b>	<b>2,326,143</b>	<b>385,133</b>
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$ 2,367,127</b>	<b>\$ 452,031</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
<b>Current Liabilities</b>		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 261,855	\$ 46,031
Dividends payable	62,400	38,825
Advances from stockholders	291,130	129,153
Due on mineral properties acquisition - current portion	634,000	43,265
<b>Total Current Liabilities</b>	<b>1,249,385</b>	<b>257,274</b>
<b>Long Term Liabilities</b>		
Due on mineral properties acquisition	900,000	78,664
<b>Total Long Term Liabilities</b>	<b>900,000</b>	<b>78,664</b>
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>2,149,385</b>	<b>335,938</b>
<b>Stockholders' Equity</b>		
Preferred stock, \$0.0001 par value; 100,000,000 shares authorized; 240,000 shares issued and outstanding (December 31, 2011 - 240,000)	24	24
Common stock, \$0.0001 par value; 400,000,000 shares authorized; 75,552,988 shares issued and outstanding (December 31, 2011 - 92,859,893)	7,555	9,286
Additional paid-in capital	3,013,477	1,376,115
Stock to be issued	2,046,455	303,190
Deferred stock-based compensation	(1,217,254)	-
Subscriptions receivable	(195,000)	(103,247)
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	(3,647)	25,175
Deficit accumulated during the development stage	(3,433,868)	(1,494,450)
<b>Total Stockholders' Equity</b>	<b>217,742</b>	<b>116,093</b>
<b>Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity</b>	<b>\$ 2,367,127</b>	<b>\$ 452,031</b>

See accompanying notes to the financial statements

**Joshua Gold Resources Inc.****(An Exploration Stage Company)****Statements of Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)****For the Period Inception (July 10, 2009) to December 31, 2012**

	Preferred Stock		Common Stock			Additional Paid-in Capital	Stock to be Issued	Deferred Stock-based Compensation	Subscriptions Receivable	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Accumulated Deficit
	Shares	Par Value	Shares	Par Value							
Issuance of stock for cash			11,666,667	1,167	2,333						
Net loss											(1,919)
<b>Balance December 31, 2009</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>11,666,667</b>	<b>1,167</b>	<b>2,333</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(1,919)</b>
Issuance of stock for cash			32,183,333	3,218	76,955						
Issuance of stock for notes receivable			16,666,667	1,667	12,768						
Issuance of stock for services	240,000	24	27,880,139	2,788	265,819						
Stock to be issued for acquisition of mineral properties						98,450					
Foreign currency translation										1,919	
Net loss											(45,247)
<b>Balance December 31, 2010</b>	<b>240,000</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>88,396,806</b>	<b>8,840</b>	<b>357,875</b>	<b>98,450</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>1,919</b>	<b>(46,166)</b>
			1,976,754	198	452,876			(103,247)			

Issuance of  
stock for  
cash

Issuance of  
stock for  
services

2,486,333 248 565,364

Stock to be  
issued for  
services

53,081

Stock to be  
issued for  
acquisition  
of mineral  
properties

151,659

Foreign  
currency  
translation

23,256

Net loss

(98

Dividends

(3

**Balance**

\$

\$

\$

\$

\$

\$

\$

\$

**December**

**31, 2011**

**240,000**

**24**

**92,859,893**

**9,286**

**1,376,115**

**303,190**

**-**

**(103,247)**

**25,175**

**(1,49**

Issuance of  
stock for  
cash

2,006,001 200 578,300 10,000

(91,753)

Issuance of  
stock for  
services

2,728,712 273 832,858 1,412,265 (1,217,254)

Stock  
repurchased  
and  
cancelled

(23,041,667)(2,304) (23,696)

Issuance of  
stock for  
acquisition  
of mineral  
properties

1,000,000 100 249,900 (250,000)

Stock to be  
issued for  
acquisition  
of mineral  
properties

571,000

Foreign  
currency  
translation

(28,822)

Net loss

(1,91

Dividends

(2

**Balance**

\$

\$

\$

\$

\$

\$

\$

\$

**December**

**31, 2012**

**240,000**

**24**

**75,552,939**

**7,555**

**3,013,477**

**2,046,455**

**(1,217,254)**

**(195,000)**

**(3,647)**

**(3,43**



See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

**Joshua Gold Resources Inc.****(An Exploration Stage Company)****Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss**

	<b>Year Ended December 31, 2012</b>	<b>Year Ended December 31, 2011</b>	<b>Period from Inception (July 10, 2009) to December 31, 2012</b>
<b>OPERATING EXPENSES</b>	\$	\$	\$
Consulting fees	841,178	362,619	1,203,797
Management fees	457,171	380,563	837,734
Professional fees	216,894	51,147	292,665
General and administrative	139,571	55,531	198,691
Exploration	121,030	102,742	223,772
Interest	25,542	18,104	43,646
Depreciation	1,346	15,220	16,566
Loss on disposal of mineral properties	112,686	-	112,686
<b>TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES</b>	1,915,418	985,926	2,929,557
<b>LOSS FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS</b>	(1,915,418)	(985,926)	(2,929,557)
Loss from discontinued operations	-	-	(441,486)
	\$	\$	\$
<b>NET LOSS</b>	(1,915,418)	(985,926)	(3,371,043)
<b>OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME</b>			
Foreign currency translation	(28,822)	23,256	(3,647)
	\$	\$	\$
<b>COMPREHENSIVE LOSS</b>	(1,944,240)	(962,670)	(3,374,690)
<b>LOSS PER WEIGHTED NUMBER OF SHARES OUTSTANDING - BASIC AND DILUTED</b>			
	\$	\$	
Continuing operations	(0.02)	(0.01)	
	\$	\$	
Discontinued operations	(0.02)	(0.01)	
<b>WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF SHARES OUTSTANDING - BASIC AND DILUTED</b>	92,054,038	89,760,258	

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

**Joshua Gold Resources Inc.****(An Exploration Stage Company)****Statements of Cash Flows**

	<b>Year Ended December 31, 2012</b>	<b>Year Ended December 31, 2011</b>	<b>Period from Inception  (July 10, 2009) to December 31, 2012</b>
<b>CASH FLOWS FOR CONTINUING OPERATIONS</b>			
<b>OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>	\$	\$	\$
Loss from continuing operations	(1,915,418)	(985,926)	(2,929,557)
<b>Adjustments for non-cash items:</b>			
Depreciation	1,346	15,220	16,566
Accrued interest	25,542	-	43,646
Loss on disposal of mineral properties	112,686	-	112,686
Stock-based compensation	1,028,142	618,693	1,646,835
Impairment of notes receivable	3,750	-	-
<b>Adjustments for changes in working capital:</b>			
Sales taxes receivable	8,939	(26,583)	(18,643)
Prepaid expenses	-	12,997	-
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	193,324	28,450	261,855
<b>NET CASH USED IN OPERATING ACTIVITIES FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS</b>	<b>(541,689)</b>	<b>(337,149)</b>	<b>(866,612)</b>
<b>FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>			
Notes receivable	-	-	(11,250)
Due on mineral properties acquisition	(7,500)	(20,163)	(27,663)
Advances from stockholders	87,700	84,988	212,399
Proceeds on issuance of capital stock	498,500	349,827	1,044,316
Repurchase of common stock	(3,500)	-	-
<b>NET CASH PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS</b>	<b>575,200</b>	<b>414,652</b>	<b>1,217,802</b>
<b>INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>			
Acquisition of mineral properties	(10,000)	-	(243,250)
Acquisition of equipment	-	(6,622)	(6,622)
<b>NET CASH USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS</b>	<b>(10,000)</b>	<b>(6,622)</b>	<b>(249,872)</b>
<b>NET INCREASE IN CASH FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS</b>	<b>23,511</b>	<b>70,881</b>	<b>101,318</b>
<b>CASH FLOWS FOR DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS</b>			
<b>OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>			
Loss from discontinued operations	-	-	(441,486)
<b>Adjustments for non-cash items:</b>			
Stock-based compensation	-	-	270,859

Edgar Filing: JOSHUA GOLD RESOURCES INC - Form 10-K

Interest accrued on the long term loan for discontinued operations	-	-	1,213
<b>Adjustments for changes in working capital:</b>			
Accounts receivable from discontinued operations	-	10,942	-
Liabilities from discontinued operations	-	(105,547)	4,454
<b>NET CASH USED IN OPERATING ACTIVITIES FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS</b>	-	(94,605)	(164,960)
<b>NET DECREASE IN CASH FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS</b>	-	(94,605)	(164,960)
<b>EFFECT OF EXCHANGE RATE CHANGES ON CASH</b>	(36,986)	23,504	74,733
<b>NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN CASH</b>	(13,475)	(220)	11,091
<b>CASH, BEGINNING OF YEAR</b>	24,566	24,786	-
	\$	\$	\$
<b>CASH, END OF YEAR</b>	11,091	24,566	11,091
<b>SUPPLEMENTARY CASH FLOW INFORMATION</b>	\$	\$	
Income taxes paid	-	-	-
	\$	\$	
Interest paid	-	-	-
	\$	\$	
Stock issuances to acquire mineral properties	571,000	250,000	

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

**Joshua Gold Resources Inc.**

**(An Exploration Stage Company)**

**Notes to Financial Statements**

**For the Period Inception (July 10, 2009) to December 31, 2012**

**1.**

**Nature of Operations**

Joshua Gold Resources Inc. (referred to herein as "Joshua", or the "Company") was incorporated on July 10, 2009 in the State of Nevada.

The Company operates as a mineral exploration business headquartered in Oakville, Ontario, Canada. Its principal business activity is the acquisition, exploration and development of mineral property interests in Canada. The Company is considered to be in the exploration stage and substantially all of the Company's efforts are devoted to financing and developing these property interests.

The Company has the rights to three mineral properties, the Kenty Property in Ontario Canada, the Garrett Property in Ontario, Canada, and the Elijah Property in Shining Tree Ontario, Canada. There has been no determination whether the Company's interests in unproven mineral properties contain mineral reserves, which are economically recoverable.

**2.**

**Going Concern**

The financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis. The going concern basis of presentation assumes that the Company will continue operations for the foreseeable future and will be able to realize its assets and discharge its liabilities and commitments in the normal course of operation.

The Company has incurred a net loss of \$1,915,418 for the year ended December 31, 2012, and a working capital deficit of \$1,208,401. As an exploration stage entity, the Company has not yet commenced its mining operations and accordingly does not have any revenue. This casts doubt on the Company's ability to continue as a going concern

unless it can begin to generate net profit and raise adequate financing.

The Company has been seeking additional debt or equity financing to support its operations until it becomes cash flow positive. There can be no assurances that action and plan such as above will be sufficient for the Company to continue operating as a going concern.

The financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded asset amounts or amounts classified as liabilities that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue in existence. These adjustments could be material.

### **3.**

#### **Significant Accounting Policies**

These financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The significant accounting policies followed in the preparation of these financial statements are as follows:

### **Exploration Stage Company**

The Company is an exploration stage company. The Company is still devoting substantially all of its efforts on establishing the business. All losses accumulated, since inception, have been considered as part of the Company's exploration stage activities.

### **Mineral Properties and Exploration and Development Costs**

The costs of acquiring mineral properties are capitalized at the date of acquisition. After acquisition, various factors can affect the recoverability of the capitalized costs. If, after review, management concludes that the carrying amount of a mineral property is impaired, it will be written down to estimated fair value. Exploration costs incurred on mineral properties are expensed as incurred. Development costs incurred on proven and probable reserves will be capitalized. Upon commencement of production, capitalized costs will be amortized using the unit-of-production method over the estimated life based on proven and probable reserves (which exclude non-recoverable reserves and anticipated processing losses). When the Company receives an option payment related to a property, the proceeds of the payment are applied to reduce the carrying value of the exploration asset.

### **Carrying Value of Mineral Property Interests**

The cost of acquiring mineral property interests is capitalized. Capitalized acquisition costs are expensed in the period in which it is determined that the mineral property has no future economic value. Capitalized amounts may be written down if future cash flows, including potential sales proceeds related to the property, are estimated to be less than the carrying value of the property. The Company reviews the carrying value of mineral property interests periodically and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable, reductions in the carrying value of each property would be recorded to the extent the carrying value of the investment exceeds the property's estimated fair value.

### **Foreign Currency Translation**

The Company's accounts have been translated into U.S. dollars in accordance with the provisions of Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) No. 830 *Foreign Currency Matters*. Management has determined that the functional currency of the Company is the Canadian dollar ("CAD"). Certain assets and liabilities of the Company are denominated in U.S. dollars. In accordance with the provisions of ASC No. 830, transaction gains and losses on these assets and liabilities are included in the determination of income for the relevant years. Adjustments resulting from the translation of the financial statements from their functional currencies to U.S. dollars are accumulated as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income and have not been included in the determination of income for the relevant years.

### **Discontinued Operations**



The discontinued operations has been reported separately in accordance with the provisions of ASC 205-20, *Presentation of Financial Statements - Discontinued Operations*, which provides guidance on when the results of operations of a component of an entity that either has been disposed of or is classified as held for sale would be reported as a discontinued operation in the financial statements. A component of an entity comprises operations and cash flows that can be clearly distinguished, operationally and for financial reporting purposes, from the rest of the entity. A component of an entity may be a reportable segment or an operating segment, a reporting unit.

## Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Some of the Company's more significant estimates include those related to uncollectible receivables, the fair value of stock-based compensation and other equity instruments, and the recoverability of mineral properties. These estimates are reviewed periodically, and, as adjustments become necessary, they are reported in earnings in the period in which they become known.

## Comprehensive Income

The Company follows the guidance in ASC 220, *Comprehensive Income*. ASC 220 establishes standards for the reporting and presentation of comprehensive income and its components in a full set of financial statements. Comprehensive income is presented in the statements of changes in stockholders' deficit, and consists of foreign currency translation adjustments. ASC 220 requires only additional disclosures in the financial statements and does not affect the Company's financial position or results of operations.

## Fair Value of Financial Instruments

In accordance with ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurement*, fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (i.e., the exit price) in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date.

In determining fair value, the Company uses various valuation approaches. A fair value hierarchy for inputs is used in measuring fair value that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that the most observable inputs be used when available. Observable inputs are those that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability based on market data obtained from sources independent of the Fund. Unobservable inputs reflect the Fund's assumptions about the inputs market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on the best information available in the circumstances.

The fair value hierarchy is categorized into three levels based on the inputs as follows:

- Level 1 - inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.
- Level 2 - inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs that are observable for

the assets or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instruments.

Level 3 - inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value.

## **Income Taxes**

The Company accounts for income taxes pursuant to ASC 740, *Income Taxes*. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recorded for differences between the financial statements and tax basis of the assets and liabilities that will result in taxable or deductible amounts in the future based on enacted tax laws and rates. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized. Income tax expense is recorded for the amount of income tax payable or refundable for the period increased or decreased by the change in deferred tax assets and liabilities during the period.

## **Equipment**

Equipment is recorded at cost and is amortized over its estimated useful lives, at the following annual rates and methods:

Furniture and equipment

5 years straight line

## **Stock-based Compensation**

The Company accounts for Stock-Based Compensation in accordance with ASC 718, *Compensation - Stock Compensation*. ASC 718 establishes standards for the accounting for transactions in which an entity exchanges its equity instruments for goods or services. It also addresses transactions in which an entity incurs liabilities in exchange for goods or services that are based on the fair value of the entity's equity instruments or that may be settled by the issuance of those equity instruments. ASC 718 focuses primarily on accounting for transactions in which an entity obtains employee services in share-based payment transactions. ASC 718 requires that the compensation cost relating to share-based payment transactions be recognized in the financial statements. That cost is measured based on the fair value of the equity or liability instruments issued.

## **Net Earnings (Loss) Per Share**

The Company accounts for loss per share pursuant ASC 260, *Earnings Per Share*, which requires disclosure on the financial statements of basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share. Basic earnings (loss) per share is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the year. Diluted earnings (loss) per share is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding plus common stock equivalents (if dilutive) related to stock options and warrants for each year. The weighted average number of shares outstanding has been adjusted for the effects of stock dividends, stock splits, and reverse stock splits.

There were no dilutive financial instruments for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 and the period from inception (July 10, 2009) to December 31, 2012.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-11, Topic 210 - Balance Sheet: Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities ( ASU 2011-11 ). ASU 2011-11 requires an entity to disclose information about offsetting and related arrangements to enable users of its financial statements to understand the effect of those arrangements on its financial position. ASU 2011-11 will be effective for fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 2013, with retrospective application for all comparable periods presented. The Company does not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material effect on the Company's financial statements.

In July 2012, the FASB issued ASU 2012-02 - Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment ( ASU 2012-02 ), which amends the guidance in ASC 350-30 on testing indefinite-lived intangible assets, other than goodwill, for impairment. FASB issued ASU 2012-12 in response to feedback on ASU 2011-08, which amended the goodwill impairment testing requirements by allowing an entity to perform a qualitative impairment assessment before proceeding to the two-step impairment test. Similarly, under ASU 2012-02, an entity testing an indefinite-lived intangible asset for impairment has the option of performing a qualitative assessment before calculating the fair value of the asset. If the entity determines, on the basis of qualitative factors, that the fair value of the indefinite-lived intangible asset is not more likely than not (i.e. a likelihood of more than 50 percent) impaired, the entity would not need to calculate the fair value of the asset. ASU 2012-02 does not revise the requirement to test indefinite-lived intangible assets annually for impairment. In addition, the ASU 2012-02 does not amend the requirement to test these assets for impairment between annual tests if there is a change in events or circumstances; however, it does revise the examples of events and circumstances that an entity should consider in interim periods. ASU 2012-02 is effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012. Early adoption is permitted. The Company does not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material effect on the Company's financial statements.

In January 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-01, Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities ( ASU 2013-01 ), which clarifies that the scope of ASU 2011-11 applies to derivatives accounted for in accordance with ASU Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging, including bifurcated embedded derivatives, repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and securities lending transactions that are either offset in accordance with Section 210-20-45 or Section 815-10-45 or subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement. The adoption of this ASU is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-02, Topic 220 Comprehensive Income: Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income ( ASU 2013-02 ). ASU 2013-02 changes the presentation requirements of significant reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income in their entirety and their corresponding effect on net income. For other significant amounts that are not required to be reclassified in their entirety, the standard requires the company to cross-reference to related footnote disclosures. ASU 2013-02 became effective for the company on January 1, 2013. The Company does not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material effect on the Company's financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-04, Liabilities (Topic 405): Obligations Resulting from Joint and Several Liability Arrangements for Which the Total Amount of the Obligation Is Fixed at the Reporting Date ( ASU 2013-04 ). ASU 2013-04 provides guidance for the recognition, measurement and disclosure of obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements for which the total amount of the obligation within the scope of this ASU is fixed at the reporting date. The guidance requires an entity to measure those obligations as the sum of the amount the reporting entity agreed to pay on the basis of its arrangement among its co-obligors as well as any additional amount the reporting entity expects to pay on behalf of its co-obligors. ASU 2013-04 also requires an entity to disclose the nature and amount of those obligations. The amendments in this ASU are effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2013, with early adoption permitted. Retrospective application is required. The adoption of ASU 2013-04 is not expected to have a material impact on our financial statements.



In March 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-05 Topic 830 Foreign Currency Matters ( ASU 2013-05 ). ASU 2013-05 resolves the diversity in practice about whether Subtopic 810-10, Consolidation Overall, or Subtopic 830-30, ASU 2013-05 applies to the release of the cumulative translation adjustment into net income when a parent either sells a part or all of its investment in a foreign entity or no longer holds a controlling financial interest in a subsidiary or group of assets that is a nonprofit activity or a business (other than a sale of in substance real estate or conveyance of oil and gas mineral rights) within a foreign entity. In addition, the amendments in this Update resolve the diversity in practice for the treatment of business combinations achieved in stages (sometimes also referred to as step acquisitions) involving a foreign entity. ASU 2013-02 became effective for the company prospectively for fiscal years (and interim reporting periods within those years) beginning after December 15, 2013. The Company does not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material effect on the Company's financial statement.

4.

**Mineral Properties**

	<b>Mineral Properties</b>
Carson Property acquisition (a)	\$ 185,186
Garrett Property acquisition (b)	200,000
<b>Balance at December 31, 2011</b>	<b>385,186</b>
Elijah Property acquisition (c)	145,000
Kenty Property acquisition (d)	1,976,000
Carson Property disposition (a)	(185,186)
<b>Balance at December 31, 2012</b>	<b>\$ 2,321,000</b>

(a)

**Carson Property**

On December 23, 2010, the Company entered into a mineral property acquisition agreement with 2214098 Ontario Ltd. pursuant to which the Company acquired the mining lease to the Carson Property. Under the acquisition agreement, the Company is required to pay:

1.

Cash consideration of \$99,060 (\$100,000 CAD) to be paid according to an installment schedule between April 30, 2011 and September 30, 2015;

2.



Equity consideration of 1,000,000 shares of common stock to be issued on or before March 30, 2011; and

3.

Royalty of 3% of all net smelter returns upon commencement of commercial production of the property.

The Carson Property is 1,812 acres in area and is located north-north-west of the City of Yellowknife, in the Northwest Territories, Canada. The Company's interest in the property consists of a 21 year mining lease, which expires on June 30, 2024 and for which the Company is responsible for making annual lease payment of \$1,141, in order to keep the lease in good standing.

In accordance with the Company's accounting policy, the only costs related to the property that can be capitalized are the costs of acquisition of a mineral interest from a third party. As such, annual lease payments are expensed as incurred. The capital cost of the lease is amortized on the straight-line basis over the remaining term of the lease. For the year ended December 31, 2011, amortization on the Carson Property totaled \$14,978.

On December 13, 2010, the Company terminated its acquisition agreement for the Carson Lake Property with 2214098 Ontario Ltd. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company returned the property to the vendor, and both parties are released from any further obligation under the agreement. The Company has reflected the termination as a loss on disposal of mineral property on the statement of operations of \$112,686.

### **Garrett Property**

On June 25, 2011 the Company entered into a mineral property acquisition agreement with Firelake Resources Inc. whereby it acquired certain mineral interests in the Garrett Property. Consideration for the mineral interests is as follows:

1.

Cash consideration of \$50,000 to be paid in two equal installments of \$25,000 on January 31, 2012 and January 31, 2013.

2.

Equity consideration of 2,000,000 shares of common stock to be issued on or before January 31, 2012

3.

Royalty of 2% of all net smelter returns upon commencement of commercial production at the property.

As of December 31, 2012, the Company paid \$6,000 of the balance due on the Garrett Property.

The Garrett Property is 8,900 acres in area and is located north of the City of Sudbury, in Ontario, Canada. The Company's interest in the property consists of 157 mineral claim units staked by a prospector. Mining cannot take place until the claims are brought to lease. In order to keep the claims in good standing, the Company is required to perform \$30,000 of exploration work before October 2013 and \$32,800 of exploration work before November 2013.

In accordance with the Company's accounting policy, the only costs related to the property that can be capitalized are the costs of acquisition of a mineral interest from a third party. As such, claim staking and exploration work has been expensed as incurred. As of December 31, 2012, management determined that there were no events or changes in circumstances which may have impaired the carrying value of the Garrett Property.

(b)

### **Elijah Property**

On February 13, 2012, the Company finalized a mineral property acquisition agreement with Shining Tree Resources Corp. ( Shining Tree ), under which the Company would acquire a 50% interest in the Elijah Property in the townships of Churchill and Asquith in the Province of Ontario, Canada. In exchange for the interest in the property, the Company will:

1.

Pay cash consideration of \$50,000 according to an installment schedule between February and July 2012;

2.

Issue 1,000,000 shares of common stock to Shining Tree; and

3.

Complete exploration expenditures having a value of \$200,000 on the conveyed property before February 10, 2014. Upon completion of payment for the conveyed property in the aggregate amount of \$50,000 and of exploration expenditures on the conveyed property, Shining Tree will issue to the Company 1,000,000 common shares of Shining Tree common stock, on or before July 30, 2012.

As of December 31, 2012, the Company paid \$10,000 of the balance due on the Elijah Property and the 1,000,000 common shares have yet to be issued.

The Elijah Property consists of four unpatented mining claims (38 units - approximately 1,520 acres) in Asquith and Churchill Townships, Larder Lake Mining District, Ontario, Canada. The property lies approximately 3 kilometers northeast of the hamlet of Shining Tree.

In accordance with the Company's accounting policy, the only costs related to the property that can be capitalized are the costs of acquisition of a mineral interest from a third party. As such, claim staking and exploration work has been expensed as incurred. As of December 31, 2012, management determined that there were no events or changes in circumstances which may have impaired the carrying value of the Elijah Property.

(c)

#### **Kenty Property**

On October 4, 2012, the Company entered into a mineral property acquisition agreement with Brian McClay, pursuant to which McClay agreed to sell to the Company a 100% interest in certain mineral interests found on the Kenty Property located in the Townships of Swayze and Dore, Ontario, Canada.

As consideration for the sale of the McClay conveyed property, the Company agreed to pay:

1.

Cash consideration of \$1,500,000 to be paid according to an installment schedule between October 4, 2012 and April 4, 2015;

2.

Equity consideration of 1,700,000 shares of common stock to be issued according to an installment schedule between October 4, 2012 and April 4, 2015; and

3.

Royalty of 3% of all net smelter returns upon commencement of commercial production of the property.

In addition, the Company has also agreed to the following conditional payments in respect of its purchase of the property:

2.

Upon completion of a NI 43-101 compliant report with indicated reserves of 1,000,000 troy ounces of gold on the property, the Company shall pay \$1,000,000 to McClay.

3.

Upon production of 1,000,000 troy ounces of gold property, the Company shall pay \$1,000,000 to McClay.

4.

Upon production of 3,000,000 troy ounces of gold property, the Company shall pay \$2,000,000 to McClay.

5.

Upon production of 5,000,000 troy ounces of gold property, the Company shall pay \$2,000,000 to McClay.

6.

Company shall have the option of early buyout within one year of execution for a cash payment of \$750,000 and 750,000 common shares of Company.

The Kenty Property consists of a contiguous block of 16 patented mining claims. The patent mining claims making up the Kenty Gold Property require payment of annual taxes. However, there is no expiration date nor is there a work requirement in order to maintain these claims in good standing.

In accordance with the Company's accounting policy, the only costs related to the property that can be capitalized are the costs of acquisition of a mineral interest from a third party. As such, claim staking and exploration work has been expensed as incurred. As of December 31, 2012, management determined that there were no events or changes in circumstances which may have impaired the carrying value of the Kenty Property.

**4.**

**Advances From Stockholders**

The Company has advances from stockholders due to various individuals and corporations who are not related parties. These amounts are unsecured, interest-bearing at 12% per annum, and are due on demand.

**5.**

**Due On Mineral Properties Acquisition**

The Company is required to make certain payments in respect of its 2011 acquisition of the Garrett Property and its 2012 acquisitions of the Elijah Property and the Kenty Property. These payments are due to Firelake Resources Inc., Shining Tree Resources Corp., and Brian McClay, respectively, the companies and individual from which the properties were acquired. The amounts due are unsecured, non-interest bearing, and are due as follows:

2013	\$ 634,000
2014	600,000
2015	300,000
Total	\$ 1,534,000

As of December 31, 2012, the Company is in arrears on its payments by \$59,000. This amount has been included above in the installments due for 2013.

**6.**

**Income Taxes**

**Current Income Taxes**

Reconciliation of the effective combined federal and provincial tax rate of 26.5% (2011 28.25%) to current income tax expense is as follows:

<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>
-------------	-------------

Income taxes on accounting income	(507,586)	(278,524)
Tax effect of items which are not deductible for tax purposes	272,458	173,368
Losses available to be carried forward	235,128	105,156
Income tax expense	-	-

**Deferred Income Taxes**

The components of deferred income taxes have been determined at the combined Canadian federal and provincial statutory rate of 26.5% (2011 25%) are as follows:

	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>
Deferred income tax asset:		
Losses and exploration expenditures available to be carried forward	386,462	142,768
Valuation allowance	(386,462)	(142,768)
	-	-

The Company has approximately \$1,450,000 of income tax losses and exploration expenditures available to be carried forward for use in future years, which begin to expire in 2029.

## **7. Capital Stock**

**a)**

### **Common Stock**

For the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company issued 11,666,667 shares of common stock to founders at par value for cash proceeds of \$3,500.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company issued 32,183,333 shares of common stock pursuant to private placement transactions at prices between \$0.0009 to \$0.30 per share and for total cash proceeds of \$80,173.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company issued 16,666,667 shares of common stock in exchange for notes receivable of \$14,435.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company issued 27,880,139 shares of common stock and 240,000 shares of preferred stock for director and consulting services rendered. These transactions were recorded as stock-based compensation having a total value of \$268,631.

For the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company issued 1,976,754 shares of common stock pursuant to private placement transactions at prices between \$0.075 to \$0.10 per share and for total cash proceeds of \$ 349,827.

For the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company issued 2,486,333 shares of common stock to directors and employees of the Company as signing bonuses and for services rendered. These transactions have been recorded as stock-based compensation having a total value of \$565,612.

On December 14, 2012 the Company effected a reverse split of its common stock at a ratio of 1 new share for every 3 existing shares held. The also Company filed articles of amendment with the State of Nevada to increase the



authorized common stock to 400,000 common shares. All per share amounts have been retroactively restated to reflect the reverse split.

For the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company issued 2,006,001 shares of common stock pursuant to private placement transactions at prices between \$0.225 to \$0.30 per share and for total cash proceeds of \$393,500 and subscriptions receivable of \$195,000. Of these issued shares 299,999 were Flow-Through Common shares. The issuance of flow-through shares requires the renunciation of Canadian Exploration Expenditures (CEE) in the same tax year and in an amount of equal value to the shares issued for the benefit of those shareholders that purchased those flow-through shares. In accordance with the Income Tax Act (Canada), the Company must incur CEE in the year of renunciation or in the subsequent year. Part XII.6 tax is calculated monthly on any unspent balance in the subsequent year beginning January 1, 2013. Under the terms of the Company's flow-through shares agreements, the Company is required to spend and renounce expenditures for exploration that are qualifying CEE, as defined by the Income Tax Act (Canada) in the next calendar year.

For the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company issued 2,728,712 shares of common stock and 4,975,218 shares became issuable to directors and employees of the Company as signing bonuses and for services rendered. Of the shares issued, 2,495,378 shares are for services rendered in 2012 and 2,333,334 shares are to settle shares to be issued as of December 31, 2011. Of the 4,975,218 shares to be issued to directors and employees of the Company as signing bonuses and for services rendered. These transactions have been recorded as stock-based compensation having a total value of \$1,028,141.

For the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company entered into an agreement to repurchase 23,041,667 previously issued common shares for \$26,000. As at December 31, 2012 payment of \$22,500 remains outstanding and is reflected as an accrued liability.

For the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company issued 1,000,000 shares of common stock to 2214098 Ontario Ltd. and Firelake Resources Inc. (note 4) in relation to previous mineral property acquisitions. As of December 31, 2011, these shares were recorded within shares to be issued for \$250,576.

b)

#### **Stock To Be Issued**

On February 7, 2012, the Company entered into an agreement to issue 333,333 shares of common stock to Shining Tree Resources Corp. in connection with its acquisition of the mineral rights to the Elijah Property. As of December 31, 2012, 333,333 shares had not yet been issued and are reported as stock to be issued for \$95,000.

On December 25, 2012, the Company entered into an agreement to issue 1,700,000 shares of common stock to Bryan McClay in connection with its acquisition of the mineral rights to the Kenty Property. As of December 31, 2012, the shares had not yet been issued, and accordingly the Company recorded stock to be issued for \$476,000.

As of December 31, 2012, the Company was obligated to issue 4,975,218 shares of common stock to directors and employees for current and future services. The Company has recorded stock to be issued of \$1,465,454 in respect of these obligations. Of the 4,975,218 shares to be issued as of December 31, 2012, 4,057,513 shares are for deferred stock-based compensation.

As of December 31, 2012, the Company was obligated to issue 44,444 shares of common stock in connection with a private placement. The Company has recorded stock to be issued of \$10,000 in respect of this obligation.

c)

**Preferred Stock**

The Company has authorized Class A preferred stock available to be issued for \$1.00 per share, are non-participating and non-voting and accrue cumulative dividends at the rate of 10% per annum. The Company may retract the stock at any time upon the payment of \$1.00 per share plus any unpaid dividends. In the event of any wind-up of the Company, the Class A preferred stock has a priority distribution of \$1.00 per share plus any unpaid dividends before any distribution to the common stockholders.

On June 4, 2010 the Company issued 240,000 shares of Class A preferred stock to directors and advisors in exchange for services rendered. Stock-based compensation of \$230,952 was recorded in respect of this issuance and has been classified as consulting fees on the statement of operations.

d)

**Dividends**

On December 31, 2012, the Company declared dividends of \$24,000 at a cumulative rate of 10% per annum on the preferred stock for the year ended December 31, 2012. As of December 31, 2012, the Company has dividends payable of \$62,400, or \$0.26 per share of preferred stock.

Preferred dividends for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 had an effect of \$0.00 and \$0.00, respectively on loss per share available to common stockholders.

e)

**Warrants**

The below table summarizes the Company's activity with respect to warrants:

	<b>Number of Warrants</b>	<b>Weighted-Average Exercise Price</b>	<b>Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term</b>
<b>Balance December 31, 2010</b>	-	-	-
Granted	3,723,397	0.387	-
Expired	-	-	-
Cancelled	-	-	-
Exercised	-	-	-
<b>Balance December 31, 2011</b>	-	-	-
Granted	2,002,580	0.446	0.727
Expired	(3,723,397)	0.387	-
Cancelled	-	-	-
Exercised	-	-	-
<b>Balance December 31, 2012</b>	2,002,580	\$ 0.446	0.727

During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company issued 2,002,580 warrants in connection with its private placements of common stock. Each warrant entitles the holder to purchase one share of common stock of the Company at exercise prices ranging from \$0.30 to \$0.60 per share for a term of one year from the issue date.

The warrants described above were not included in the calculation of loss per share as they would have been antidilutive.

f)

### **Stock-Based Compensation**

The Company incurred stock-based compensation expense in connection with its compensation agreements for its directors, management, and employees. Under these agreements, common stock may be issued as a signing bonus or at certain benchmark dates within an individual's period of service. Stock-based compensation is calculated as the fair value of the stock issued or to be issued to an individual and is recorded at the time the stock becomes owing to the individual. Stock issued to a director, manager, or employee is deferred in the event that their contract requires the individual to remain employed with the Company for a specified time period after issuance. For the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company issued 2,728,712 shares of common stock and a further 4,975,218 shares became issuable in the year in connection with stock-based compensation arrangements. These shares were valued at amounts ranging from \$0.0009 to \$0.30 per share and resulted in compensation expense of \$362,971 as a component of management fees and \$665,170 as a component of consulting fees on the statement of operations.

### **8. Related Party Transactions**

The following transactions with related parties were in the normal course of operations and were measured at the exchange value which represented the amount of consideration established and agreed to by the parties.

For the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company issued 2,302,138 shares of common stock as compensation to directors and officers of the Company. As of December 31, 2012, an additional 4,975,218 shares of common stock are due to be issued to directors and officers of the Company. Stock-based compensation of \$263,500 was recorded in relation to these shares, and is presented within management fees and consulting fees on the statement of operations.

On September 25, 2012, the Company issued 133,333 flow-through shares of common stock at a price of \$0.30 per share to an officer of the Company for proceeds of \$40,000 cash.

### **9. Financial Instruments**

#### **Fair Values**

The Company's financial instruments consist of cash, sales tax receivable, notes receivable, subscriptions receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities, dividends payable, advances from stockholders, and amounts due on mineral rights acquisition. The fair values of these financial instruments approximate their carrying values due to the

short-term maturity of these instruments. The Company's only financial instruments carried at fair value on the balance sheet is cash, which is classified at Level 1 and is measured using quoted market prices. Furthermore, there were no transfers of financial instruments between Levels 1, 2, and 3 during the year ended December 31, 2012.

### **Foreign Currency Risk**

Foreign currency risk is the risk that changes in the rates of exchange on foreign currencies will impact the financial position or cash flows of the Company. The Company's functional currency is the Canadian dollar, thus the Company is exposed to foreign currency risks in relation to certain payables that are to be settled in US funds. Management monitors its foreign currency exposure regularly to minimize the risk of an adverse impact on its cash flows.

### **Concentration of Credit Risk**

Concentration of credit risk is the risk of loss in the event that certain counterparties are unable to fulfill its obligations to the Company. The Company limits its exposure to credit loss on its cash by placing its cash with high credit quality financial institutions. The Company does not have any cash in excess of federally insured limits. Sales taxes receivable are due from the Canadian government and notes receivable are due from stockholders with whom the Company also has advances payable. Subscriptions receivable are collateralized by the shares for which the subscriptions are paid.

### **Liquidity Risk**

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Company's cash flows from operations will not be sufficient for the Company to continue operating and discharge its liabilities. The Company is exposed to liquidity risk as its continued operation is dependent upon its ability to obtain financing, either in the form of debt or equity, or achieving profitable operations in order to satisfy its liabilities as they come due.

### **Market Risk**

Market risk is the risk that fluctuations in the market prices of minerals will impact the Company's future cash flows. The Company is exposed to market risk on the price of gold, which will determine its ability to build and achieve profitable operations, the amount of exploration and development work that the Company will be able to perform, and the number of financing opportunities that will be available. Management believes that it would be premature at this point to enter into any hedging or forward contracts to mitigate its exposure to specific market price risks.

## **10. Subsequent Events**

On January 4, 2013, the Company issued 133,333 shares of common stock in satisfaction of shares to be issued for private placements as of December 31, 2012.

On February 14, 2013, the Company paid \$100,000 in cash and issued 200,000 shares of common stock as required for its purchase of the Kenty Property.

On February 21, 2013, the Company paid \$25,000 in cash and issued 250,000 shares of common stock as the first installment on its purchase of the mineral property from Red Pine Explorations Inc.



Between January 1, 2013 and April 15, 2013, the Company issued 183,333 shares in connection with private placement transactions and received total cash proceeds of \$55,000.

Between January 1, 2013 and April 15, 2013, the Company issued 2,695,909 shares for consulting services rendered. Of these shares issued, 2,576,825 were recorded during the year ended December 31, 2012 as shares to be issued.

On February 11, 2013, the Company entered into a mineral property acquisition agreement with Red Pine Exploration Inc., under which the Company acquired a 100% interest in certain mineral interests found contiguous to the Kenty Property (the Mortimer Claims ). The area of the Kenty Property Mortimer Claims is approximately 35,859 acres or approximately 14,512 hectares and consists of 907 mining claim units. As consideration for the sale of the Mortimer Claims, the Company agreed to:

1.

Payment of \$25,000 in cash on the closing date and a further \$100,000 on March 15, 2013;

2.

Issuance of 250,000 shares of common stock on March 15, 2013, with an implied value of \$100,000, and subject to a top-up provision for issuance of additional shares if the market value is below the implied value on July 15, 2013;

3.

A 3% net smelter returns royalty payable to Red Pine Explorations Inc.; and

4.

A 2% net smelter returns royalty payable to Charlie Mortimer.

## **ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE**

At this time, we do not have any changes in and disagreements with accountants and financial disclosure to report.

### **ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

(a) Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures.

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e)) that are designed to assure that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. As required by Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(b), as of the end of the period covered by this report, under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure and concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are ineffective as of the date of filing this Form 10-K due to limited accounting and reporting personnel and a lack of segregation of duties as a result of limited financial resources and the size of our company. To address these material weaknesses, we will need to adopt additional disclosure controls and procedures.

(b) Management's annual report on internal control over financial reporting.

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15 (f) and 15d-15 (f) under the Exchange Act, for the Company.

Internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that: (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of its management and directors; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisitions, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Management recognizes that there are inherent limitations in the effectiveness of any system of internal control, and accordingly, even effective internal control can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and may not prevent or detect material misstatements. In addition, effective internal control at a point in time may become ineffective in future periods because of change in conditions or due to deterioration in the degree of compliance with our established policies and procedures.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that result in there being a more than remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, as of December 31, 2012, based on the framework set forth in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on its evaluation under this framework, management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2012.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of our registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by the our registered public accounting firm pursuant to rules of the SEC that permit is the Company to provide only management's report in the annual report.

(c) Changes in internal control over financial reporting.

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the last fiscal quarter covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**ITEM OTHER INFORMATION**

**9B.**

None.

**PART III****ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, AND CONTROL PERSONS**

Our current executive officers and directors and their ages are as follows:

Name	Age	Position(s)
Benjamin Ward	33	CEO, President and Director
John David Mason	72	COO
Dino Micacchi	58	CFO
Dr. Cam Cheriton	86	Director
Benedetto Fuschino	52	Director

Set forth below is information relating to the business experience of each of our directors and executive officers.

Benjamin Ward

Mr. Ward, age 33, is a management professional who has gained extensive experience in international development and business development initiatives within multinational organizations over the course of his career. Mr. Ward has served as a member of the Company's Board of Directors since June 4, 2010, and from December 23, 2010 through June 27, 2011, Mr. Ward served as the Company's CFO and Treasurer. Since June 28, 2011, Mr. Ward has served as President and CEO of the Company. Mr. Ward has acted as a Project Management Professional in project implementation roles in challenging international geo-political environments, including Papua New Guinea, the Republic of Chad, and Haiti. Mr. Ward holds a (H)BA from Heritage Baptist and a Postgraduate Certificate of Management and Master of Business Administration with a dual concentration of finance and operations from the Bradford University School of Management located in England (Cand.)

J. David Mason

Mr. Mason, age 72, has over 52 years of experience within the corporate finance and mineral exploration sector. Mr. Mason served as CEO, Chairman and Founder of Augen Gold Corp., a mineral exploration company. During his tenure at Augen Gold Corp., Mr. Mason served as CEO, Chairman and Founder of Energy Fuels Inc., a uranium development company and its predecessor. During his tenure at Energy Fuels Inc., Mr. Mason was primarily responsible for managing the daily operations of the company and raising funds for business development purposes. From October 1995 to April 2010, Mr. Mason served as CEO, Chairman and Founder of Augen Capital, a resource investment bank. At Augen Capital, Mr. Mason was primarily responsible for managing the daily operations of the company, raising flow-through funding in excess of \$500,000,000.00 (Canadian tax advantaged) and assisting in the financing of over 300 public companies. Mr. Mason earned a B.A. Sc. in Applied Geology in 1967 from the University of Toronto. Mr. Mason also earned a M. Eng degree (mining) from McGill University in 1969.

Dino Micacchi

Mr. Micacchi, age 58, has over thirty years of experience within the corporate accounting sector and private practice. Since September 2011, Mr. Micacchi has served as a partner and officer for Micacchi Warnick & Company Professional Corporation Chartered Accountants. From April 1989 to September 2011, Mr. Micacchi served as a partner for VMSW Chartered Accountants and its predecessor Public Accounting firms. Mr. Micacchi holds a Bachelor of Arts degree from the University of Western Ontario, London, Canada. Mr. Micacchi achieved his designation as a Chartered Accountant from the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants in 1985.

Dr. Cam Cheriton

Dr. Cheriton, Ph.D., age 85, has over 50 years of experience in the mining industry, working for companies including: Atapa Minerals, Consolidated Mining Company of Canada, Anaconda Copper Mining Company, Texas Gulf Sulphur, the Conwest Exploration Company, and the Geological Survey of Canada. Dr. Cheriton studied at the University of Saskatchewan, the University of British Columbia, and Harvard University where he completed a Ph.D. in Economic Geology. Dr. Cheriton is a registered Professional Engineer of the Province of Ontario and is a "qualified person" within the meaning of National Instrument 43-101. Dr. Cheriton is directly responsible for the discovery of a number of ore deposits; one of note is the Caribou Mine in New Brunswick, Canada. Dr. Cheriton is responsible for the veracity and accuracy of Geological information as represented by the Company, unless stated otherwise.

Benedetto Fuschino

Mr. Fuschino, age 52, currently serves as a member of the board of directors of the Company. Mr. Fuschino is the President of Friggi N.A. Inc. a sector leader and partner to the most important international players of the steel and aluminum cutting industry. Friggi's worldwide market share within the sector includes: 30% Italy, 40% Europe and 30% North America and Japan. During his tenure with Friggi, Mr. Fuschino formulated the business plan to introduce Italian products to North America, set up a distribution network, and managed and maintained network and customer relations. Mr. Fuschino studied business at the University of Western Ontario, and is currently studying contractual law there. He has also studied marketing and communications at the University of Windsor, Odette School of Business.

**Significant Employees**

None

**Family Relationships**

None

**Involvement in Certain Legal Proceedings**

There have been no events under any bankruptcy act, no criminal proceedings and no judgments, injunctions, orders or decrees material to the evaluation of the ability and integrity of any director, executive officer, promoter or control person of our Company during the past five years.

**Audit Committee**

Our board of directors has established an Audit Committee, comprised of Garry Honcoop and Benedetto Fuschino. Mr. Honcoop, age 60, currently serves as the independent, non-director Chair of the Audit Committee of the Company. Mr. Honcoop has over 30 years of experience representing clients by leading tax and compliance departments of numerous public firms. Mr. Honcoop currently serves as a principal for the public accounting firm Micacchi Warnick & Company Professional Corporation Chartered Accountants. Mr. Honcoop attended Wilfrid Laurier University and has completed the in-depth tax and audit program from the ICAO and is a member of STEP.

Mr. Honcoop is experienced in oversight and audit functions of numerous companies and is charged with oversight and transparency of the Company's financial reporting. Our board of directors has determined that Mr. Honcoop is an audit committee financial expert as defined in Item 407(d)(5)(ii) of Regulation S-K.



Our Audit Committee is authorized, among other duties and powers as provided for in its Charter, to:

provide assistance to our board of directors in its oversight of the integrity of our accounting and financial reporting processes and of the audits of our financial statements;

provide assistance to our board of directors in its oversight of our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements;

provide assistance to our board of directors in its oversight of our outside auditors' independence and qualifications;

provide assistance to the board of directors in its oversight of the performance of our internal audit function and outside auditors;

directly appoint, retain or terminate, compensate, and oversee and evaluate our outside auditors, and to approve all audit engagement fees and terms;

prior to the initial engagement of any public accounting firm to be our outside auditors, to obtain and review a written report from such firm regarding all relationships between such firm or its affiliates and the Company or persons in a financial reporting oversight role at the Company;

pre-approve and/or adopt policies governing audit committee pre-approval of, all audit services to be provided by our outside auditor;

pre-approve and/or adopt policies governing audit committee pre-approval of, all permitted non-audit services and related fees to be provided by the outside auditors;

review with management, our outside auditors and our internal auditors the adequacy of our internal controls, including computerized information system controls and security; and

review with management, our outside auditors and our internal auditors any related significant finding and recommendations of the outside auditors and/or the internal auditors together with management's responses thereto.

**Code of Ethics**

To date, the Company has not adopted a formal code of ethics and business conduct due to the startup nature of the Company and lack of appropriate resources. The Company is considered to be in the exploration stage and is devoting substantially all of its efforts and limited resources to the exploration and development of mineral property interests.

**ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION****Summary Compensation Table**

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	Non-Equity	Non-	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
						Incentive Plan Earnings (\$)	Qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)		
Benjamin Ward, CEO, President and Director	2012	78,300	-	378,871	-	-	-	-	457,171
	2011	47,100	-	345,000	-	-	-	2,400	394,500
Dino Micacchi, CFO	2012	-	-	168,000	-	-	-	-	168,000
	2011	-	-	22,500	-	-	-	-	22,500
John David Mason, COO	2012	-	-	176,758	-	-	-	-	176,758
	2011	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Jason Epstien (1)	2012	56,968	-	72,105	-	-	-	-	129,073
	2011	124,335	-	-	-	-	-	-	124,335
Nathalie Pignatiello (1)	2012	38,628	-	9,500	-	-	-	-	48,128
	2011	15,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	15,000

(1) Non-executive employees

**Director Compensation Table**

Name	Year	Fees earned or paid in cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	Non-Equity	Non-	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
					Incentive Plan Earnings (\$)	Qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)		
Michelle Stanford, Director (1)	2012	4,000	4,000	-	-	-	-	8,000
	2011	4,000	75,000	-	-	-	-	79,000
Dr. Cam Cheriton, Director	2012	-	16,000	-	-	-	-	16,000
	2011	4,000	37,500	-	-	-	-	41,500
Benedetto Fuschino, Director	2012	-	91,330	-	-	-	-	91,330
	2011	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(1) Ms. Stanford resigned as a Director of the Company effective June 15, 2012.

No retirement, pension, profit sharing, stock option or insurance programs or other similar programs have been adopted by our Company for the benefit of our employees.



**ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS**

The following table sets forth, as of April 3, 2013, the number of shares of Common Stock owned of record and beneficially by executive officers, directors and persons who hold 5% or more of the outstanding Common Stock of the Company. Applicable percentages are based on 79,015,563 shares of common stock outstanding as of April 3, 2013.

<b>Name and Address</b>	<b>Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership</b>	<b>Percentage of Class</b>
Benjamin Ward (1) 18 Melville St. Dundas, Ontario, Canada L9H 1Z8	4,048,743	5.12%
John David Mason (1) 83 Ellis Park Road #604 Toronto, ON, Canada M65 5B2	491,708	0.62%
Cam Cheriton (1) 6 Foxdale Court Toronto, Ontario, Canada M2K 2P2	166,667	0.21%
Benedetto Fuschino (1) 883 Isabel St. Woodstock, Ontario, Canada N4S 2A7	4,362,281	5.52%
Friggi N A Inc 883 Isabel St.	13,083,334	16.56%

Woodstock, Ontario, Canada

N4S 2A7

Dino Micacchi (1)	545,615	0.69%
-------------------	---------	-------

35 Perry St.

Woodstock, Ontario, Canada

N4S 3C4

All officers, directors, and beneficial owners as a group	9,615,014	12.17%
--	-----------	--------

(1)

The person listed is an officer and/or director of the Company

### ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

For the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company issued 2,302,138 shares of common stock as compensation to directors and officers of the Company. As of December 31, 2012, an additional 4,975,218 shares of common stock are due to be issued to directors and officers of the Company. Stock-based compensation of \$263,500 was recorded in relation to these shares, and is presented within management fees and consulting fees on the statement of operations.

The Company executed a consulting agreement with John David Mason Limited (the Consultant) on September 4, 2012. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Agreement, John David Mason, through Consultant, will earn a monthly consulting fee in an amount equal to US \$16,666.67 payable in either cash or common stock of the Company or a combination of both, at the sole election of Mr. Mason, through Consultant. Mr. Mason may earn certain additional fees (in cash or shares of the Company or both, at the sole election of Mr. Mason), paid through Consultant, for introducing investors who agree to invest in the Company. Additional details regarding this agreement are provided in Item 1 of this filing. The Company did not make any payments of cash or stock under this agreement during 2012. Mr. Mason is the Chief Operating Officer of the Company.

### ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The following table sets forth the aggregate fees billed to us by DNTW Chartered Accountants, LLP, the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011:

	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>
Audit Fees (1)	\$ 28,800	\$ 13,831.20
Audit Related Fees (2)		
Tax Fees (3)		
All Other Fees (4)		
Total Fees paid to auditor	\$ 28,800	\$ 13,831.20

(1) Audit fees consist of fees billed for professional services rendered for the audit of the Company's annual financial statements and review of the interim consolidated financial statements included in quarterly reports and services in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements.

(2) Audit-Related fees consist of fees billed for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Company's consolidated financial statements and are not reported under "Audit Fees".

(3) Tax fees consist of fees billed for professional services rendered for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning (domestic and international). These services include assistance regarding federal, state and international tax compliance, acquisitions and international tax planning.



(4) There were no fees that were classified as All Other Fees as of the fiscal years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, all audit and non-audit services performed by our independent accountants must now be approved in advance by our Audit Committee to assure that such services do not impair the accountants independence from us. The Audit Committee has adopted an Audit and Non-Audit Services Pre-Approval Policy (the Policy ) which sets forth the procedures and the conditions pursuant to which services to be performed by the independent accountants are to be pre-approved. Pursuant to the Policy, certain services described in detail in the Policy may be pre-approved on an annual basis together with pre-approved maximum fee levels for such services. The services eligible for annual pre-approval consist of services that would be included under the categories of Audit Fees, Audit-Related Fees and Tax Fees in the above table as well as services for limited review of actuarial reports and calculations. If not pre-approved on an annual basis, proposed services must otherwise be separately approved prior to being performed by independent accountants. In addition, any services that receive annual pre-approval but exceed the pre-approved maximum fee level also will require separate approval by the entire Board acting as our Audit Committee prior to being performed. The Audit Committee, may delegate authority to pre-approve audit and non-audit services to any member, but may not delegate such authority to management.

#### **PART IV**

##### **ITEM 15.EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES**

- (a) 1. The information required by this item is included in Item 8 of Part II of this transition report.
2. The information required by this item is included in Item 8 of Part II of this transition report.
3. Exhibits: See Index to Exhibits, which is incorporated by reference in this Item. The Exhibits listed in the accompanying Index to Exhibits are filed or incorporated by reference as part of this transition report.
- (b) Exhibits. See Index to Exhibits, which is incorporated by reference in this Item. The Exhibits listed in the accompanying Index to Exhibits are filed or incorporated by reference as part of this transition report.
- (c) Not applicable.



## INDEX TO EXHIBITS

**Exhibit****Number Description**

3.1*	Articles of Incorporation.
3.2*	Certificate of Amendment to Articles of Incorporation.
3.3*	By-Laws.
10.1*	Mineral Property Acquisition Agreement, by and between Company and Firelake Resources Inc., entered into on June 25, 2011.
10.2*	Mineral Property Acquisition Agreement, by and between Company and McClay, entered into on October 4, 2012.
10.3*	Mineral Property Acquisition Agreement, by and between Company and Shining Tree Resources Corp., entered into on February 7, 2012.
10.4*	Amended and Restated Mineral Property Acquisition Agreement, by and between Company and Shining Tree Resources Corp., entered into on February 13, 2012.
10.5*	Termination Agreement entered into by and between Company and 2214098 Ontario Ltd. on December 13, 2012.
10.6*	License Agreement, by and between Company and GSN Dreamworks, Inc., entered into on June 4, 2010.
10.7*	License Agreement, by and between Company and R&B Cormier Enterprises Inc., entered into on June 4, 2010.
10.8*	Consulting Agreement, by and between Company and Mr. Luc Duchesne, entered into on June 4, 2010.
10.9*	Consulting Agreement, by and between Company and Mr. Rob Cormier, entered into on June 4, 2010.
10.10*	Consulting Agreement, by and between Joshua Gold Resources Inc. and John David Mason Limited and John David Mason, entered into on September 4, 2012
31.1	Certification of our Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13(a)-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
31.2	Certification of our Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13(a)-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
32.1	Certification of our Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of our Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS**	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

\* Included in previously filed reporting documents.

\*\* Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, is deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.



**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

**Joshua Gold Resources Inc.**

Dated: April 15, 2013      By:      */s/ Benjamin Ward*  
Benjamin Ward  
CEO, President, and Director

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

<b>Signatures</b>	<b>Title(s)</b>	<b>Date</b>
<i>/s/ Benjamin Ward</i> Benjamin Ward	President, Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer), and Director	April 15, 2013
<i>/s/ Dino Micacchi</i> Dino Micacchi	Chief Financial Officer (principal financial officer)	April 15, 2013
<i>/s/ John David Mason</i> John David Mason	Chief Operating Officer	April 15, 2013
<i>/s/ Dr. Cam Cheriton</i> Dr. Cam Cheriton	Director	April 15, 2013
<i>/s/ Benedetto Fuschino</i> Benedetto Fuschino	Director	April 15, 2013

