

BONE CARE INTERNATIONAL INC

Form PRE 14A

September 28, 2004

Table of Contents

**SCHEDULE 14A
(RULE 14a-101)**

INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

PROXY STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 14(a) OF THE

**SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
(AMENDMENT NO.)**

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Under Rule 14a-12

BONE CARE INTERNATIONAL, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

- Fee paid previously with preliminary materials:

Edgar Filing: BONE CARE INTERNATIONAL INC - Form PRE 14A

o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the form or schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount previously paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

Preliminary Copy Dated September 27, 2004

Bone Care International, Inc.

**1600 Aspen Commons
Middleton, Wisconsin 53562
(608) 662-7800**

October , 2004

Dear Shareholder:

You are invited to attend the 2004 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Bone Care International, Inc. to be held on Tuesday, November 23, 2004 at 9:00 a.m., local time, at

At this year's meeting you will be asked to: (i) elect three directors; (ii) approve an amendment to our 2003 Stock Incentive Plan; (iii) approve an increase in our authorized shares of common and preferred stock; (iv) approve an agreement and plan of merger in which our state of incorporation would be changed from Wisconsin to Delaware; and (v) ratify the selection of our independent auditors. The accompanying Notice of Meeting and Proxy Statement describe these matters. We urge you to read this information carefully.

Your board of directors unanimously believes that election of its nominees for directors, approval of the amendment to our 2003 Stock Incentive Plan, approval of an increase in our authorized shares of common and preferred stock, approval of the agreement and plan of merger effecting our reincorporation in Delaware and ratification of the selection of our independent auditors are in the best interests of Bone Care International and its shareholders, and, accordingly, recommends a vote **FOR** each of those matters.

In addition to the formal business to be transacted, management will make a presentation on developments of the past year and respond to comments and questions of general interest to shareholders.

It is important that your shares be represented and voted whether or not you plan to attend the annual meeting in person. You may vote by completing and mailing the enclosed proxy card. Voting by written proxy will ensure your shares are represented at the annual meeting. Please review the instructions on the proxy card or the information forwarded by your bank, broker or other holder or record regarding each of these voting options.

Sincerely,

Paul L. Berns
President and Chief Executive Officer

Table of Contents

Bone Care International, Inc.

1600 Aspen Commons
Middleton, Wisconsin 53562
(608) 662-7800

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

TO BE HELD NOVEMBER 23, 2004

The 2004 annual meeting of shareholders of Bone Care International, Inc. will be held at _____, on Tuesday, November 23, 2004, at 9:00 a.m., local time, for the following purposes:

- (1) To elect three directors to serve until the 2007 annual meeting of shareholders;
- (2) To approve an amendment to our 2003 Stock Incentive Plan;
- (3) To approve an amendment to our articles of incorporation to increase the number of our authorized shares of common stock from 28 million to 75 million and to increase the number of our authorized shares of preferred stock from 2 million to 10 million;
- (4) To approve an agreement and plan of merger of Bone Care International, Inc., a Wisconsin corporation, into Bone Care International, Inc., a Delaware corporation, to effect our reincorporation in Delaware and to increase the number of our authorized shares of common and preferred stock as provided in proposal (3);
- (5) To ratify the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent auditors for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2005; and
- (6) To transact any other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournments thereof.

Only shareholders of record at the close of business on October 12, 2004, the record date for the meeting, will be entitled to notice of and to vote at the meeting or any adjournments thereof.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Paul L. Berns
President and Chief Executive Officer

Middleton, Wisconsin
October , 2004

IMPORTANT

WHETHER OR NOT YOU PLAN TO ATTEND THE MEETING IN PERSON, PLEASE SIGN AND DATE THE ENCLOSED PROXY AND RETURN IT PROMPTLY IN THE ENCLOSED POSTAGE PAID ENVELOPE. EVEN IF YOU HAVE VOTED BY PROXY, YOU MAY STILL VOTE IN PERSON IF YOU ATTEND THE MEETING. ANY PROXY MAY BE REVOKED AT ANY TIME BEFORE IT IS EXERCISED BY FOLLOWING THE INSTRUCTIONS SET FORTH ON PAGE 2 OF THE ACCOMPANYING PROXY STATEMENT. PLEASE NOTE, HOWEVER, THAT IF YOUR SHARES ARE HELD OF RECORD BY A BROKER, BANK OR OTHER NOMINEE AND YOU WISH TO VOTE AT THE MEETING, YOU MUST OBTAIN A PROXY ISSUED IN YOUR NAME FROM THAT RECORD HOLDER.

THE COMPANY S 2004 ANNUAL REPORT TO SHAREHOLDERS ACCOMPANIES THE PROXY STATEMENT AND FORM OF PROXY.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PROXY STATEMENT

SECURITIES BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY PRINCIPAL SHAREHOLDERS, DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

PROPOSAL 1 -- ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

COMMON STOCK PRICE PERFORMANCE GRAPH

PROPOSAL 2 -- APPROVAL OF AMENDMENTS TO THE 2003 STOCK INCENTIVE PLAN

PROPOSAL 3 -- APPROVAL OF AMENDMENT TO OUR ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION TO INCREASE THE AUTHORIZED COMMON AND PREFERRED STOCK

PROPOSAL 4 -- APPROVAL OF THE AGREEMENT AND PLAN OF MERGER TO EFFECT THE REINCORPORATION OF THE COMPANY UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

PROPOSAL 5 -- RATIFICATION OF THE SELECTION OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

OTHER BUSINESS

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS

COSTS OF SOLICITATION

Table of Contents

Bone Care International, Inc.

**1600 Aspen Commons
Middleton, Wisconsin 53562
(608) 662-7800**

PROXY STATEMENT

Proxy Solicitation

This proxy statement is furnished in connection with the solicitation of the accompanying proxy by the board of directors of Bone Care International, Inc. (sometimes referred to as the Company, Bone Care, we, our or us) for use at the 2004 annual meeting of shareholders to be held at _____, on Tuesday, November 23, 2004, at 9:00 a.m., local time, and at any adjournments thereof.

At the meeting, shareholders will consider proposals to: (1) elect three directors to serve until the 2007 annual meeting of shareholders, (2) approve an amendment to our 2003 Stock Incentive Plan, (3) approve an amendment to our articles of incorporation to increase the number of our authorized shares of common stock from 28 million to 75 million and to increase the number of our authorized shares of preferred stock from 2 million to 10 million, (4) approve an agreement and plan of merger to effect a change of our state of incorporation from Wisconsin to Delaware and to increase the number of our authorized shares of common and preferred stock as provided in proposal (3), and (5) ratify the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent auditors for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2005. The board of directors does not know of any other matters to be brought before the meeting; however, if other matters should properly come before the meeting, it is intended that the persons named in the accompanying proxy will vote on such matters at their discretion.

Shareholders who execute proxies retain the right to revoke them at any time prior to the voting thereof by attending the meeting and voting in person or by advising our secretary of such revocation in writing (by later-dated proxy which is voted at the meeting or otherwise).

The notice of the annual meeting, this proxy statement, the accompanying form of proxy, and our 2004 annual report, including financial statements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004, were first mailed to shareholders on or about October _____, 2004.

Shareholders Entitled to Vote

Only holders of record of the shares of our common stock at the close of business on October 12, 2004, the record date for the annual meeting, are entitled to notice of and to vote at the annual meeting and at any adjournments thereof. Shareholders will be entitled to one vote for each full share held. On September 30, 2004, there were 19,415,338 of common stock outstanding and entitled to vote at the annual meeting. Appearance at the annual meeting in person or by proxy of the holders of common stock entitled to cast at least 9,707,670 votes is required for a quorum. Shares represented by abstentions or broker non-votes will be counted as shares that are present and entitled to vote for purposes of determining the presence of a quorum. A broker non-vote occurs when a registered broker holding a customer's shares in the name of the broker has not received voting instructions on the matter from the customer and is barred by applicable rules from exercising discretionary voting authority in the matter.

Voting Information

With respect to Proposal No. 1, the election of three directors, directors will be elected by a plurality of the votes cast. This means that the director nominees with the most affirmative votes are elected. Abstentions and withholding authority to vote for a nominee will not affect whether a nominee receives a plurality of votes. Brokers who have not received voting instructions from beneficial owners by ten days prior to the annual meeting are permitted to vote the shares of such holders in their discretion, subject to any instructions subsequently given by such holders prior to the annual meeting.

With respect to Proposal No. 2, the approval of an amendment to our 2003 Stock Incentive Plan, action of shareholders will be taken by a majority of the votes cast, excluding abstentions. Abstentions will be treated

Table of Contents

as not voted and will not be counted as votes for or against the proposal. Brokers who have not received voting instructions from beneficial owners by ten days prior to the annual meeting are permitted to vote the shares of such holders in their discretion, subject to any instructions subsequently given by such holders prior to the annual meeting.

With respect to Proposal No. 3, the amendment to our articles of incorporation to increase the number of authorized shares of common and preferred stock, action of shareholders will be taken by a majority of the votes cast, excluding abstentions. Abstentions will be treated as not voted and will not be counted as votes for or against the proposal. Brokers who have not received voting instructions from beneficial owners by ten days prior to the annual meeting are permitted to vote the shares of such holders in their discretion, subject to any instructions subsequently given by such holders prior to the annual meeting.

With respect to Proposal No. 4, the approval of the agreement and plan of merger will require the affirmative vote of 60% of all of the shares of common stock outstanding on the record date. Accordingly, abstentions and broker non-votes will have the same effect as votes against the proposal. Brokers who have not received voting instructions from beneficial owners by ten days prior to the annual meeting are permitted to vote the shares of such holders in their discretion, subject to any instructions subsequently given by such holders prior to the annual meeting.

With respect to Proposal No. 5, ratification of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent auditors for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2005, action of the shareholders will be taken by a majority of the votes cast, excluding abstentions. Abstentions will be treated as not voted and will not be counted as votes for or against the proposal. Brokers who have not received voting instructions from beneficial owners by ten days prior to the annual meeting are permitted to vote the shares of such holders in their discretion, subject to any instructions subsequently given by such holders prior to the annual meeting.

Proxies in the accompanying form, properly executed and received by us at or prior to the meeting and not revoked, will be voted as directed therein on all matters presented at the meeting. In the absence of a specific direction from the shareholder as to a proposal, the shareholder's proxy will be voted FOR the election of the director nominees named in this proxy statement, FOR the amendment of our 2003 Stock Incentive Plan, FOR the amendment of our articles of incorporation to increase the number of our authorized shares of common and preferred stock, FOR the agreement and plan of merger to effect our reincorporation and to effect such increases in our authorized shares and FOR ratification of the selection of our independent auditors.

If any additional matters should properly come before the annual meeting, then, except as otherwise provided by law or by our articles of incorporation or bylaws with respect to particular types of matters, action of the shareholders would be taken by a majority of the votes cast at the annual meeting, excluding abstentions which would not be counted as votes for or against.

Your vote is important. Accordingly, you are asked to complete, sign and return the accompanying proxy card (or voting instruction sheet for your broker or other nominee), whether or not you plan to attend the annual meeting. If you plan to attend the annual meeting to vote in person and your shares are registered with our transfer agent in the name of a broker or other nominee, you must secure a proxy card from the broker or other nominee assigning voting rights to you for your shares.

Important Notice Regarding Delivery of Security Holder Documents: Future Householding of Mailings to Shareholders who Share the same Residential Address and hold Their Stock in Street Name

In December 2000, the SEC enacted rules allowing multiple shareholders residing at the same address the convenience of receiving a single copy of proxy and information statements, annual reports and prospectuses if they consent to do so. This is known as householding. Individual companies may seek the consent of their shareholders to householding. Brokers and banks maintaining accounts for shareholders may also seek consent to householding. The process of householding allows companies, brokers and banks to reduce the number of copies of materials which must be printed and mailed; this saves printing and mailing costs and reduces the mailbox congestion which results from multiple copies of the same material. Under householding, each shareholder continues to receive a separate proxy card or, in the case of securities held in street name accounts, a separate voting instruction form.

Table of Contents

Some Bone Care stock is held in street name accounts, i.e., by brokers or banks or other intermediaries who maintain accounts for their clients. This year ADP, the agent for most of the brokers and banks who maintain accounts for our shareholders, is soliciting our shareholder consent to future householding. We consent to this future householding. It is expected to result in convenience to shareholders and cost savings to us and the intermediaries.

If you hold your Bone Care stock in street name, and if your broker or other intermediary uses ADP as its agent, and if you have not previously consented directly or indirectly that ADP household materials for the companies whose stock you beneficially own through your street name account, you will receive with this year's Bone Care proxy statement and annual report a separate notice explaining householding. The ADP Voting Instruction Form enclosed with these materials contains a section in which you can indicate your consent to, or objection to, future householding of our mailings. If you do not indicate objection to householding by either checking **Against** on the Voting Instruction Form or calling the number listed on the Voting Instruction Form, you will be deemed to have given your implied consent to future householding, and householding for your account will start 60 days after the date of the ADP mailing of this year's materials to you. Affirmative or implied consent to householding remains in effect until you revoke it by calling the telephone number supplied in the householding election section of the Voting Instruction Form. If you revoke the householding election, you will begin receiving individual copies within 30 days after the revocation.

If you are in a householding group for your street name account and would like, nonetheless, to receive a separate copy of our proxy statement and/or annual report, please write to us at 1600 Aspen Commons, Middleton, WI 53562, Attention: Investor Relations, or call us at 608-662-7800, and we will send you a copy. If you are in a householding group for your street name account and, in the future, would like to stop householding for your street name account or if you initially objected to householding but later decide to consent to householding please call the number provided to you on the ADP Voting Instruction Form.

SECURITIES BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY PRINCIPAL SHAREHOLDERS,**DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS**

The following table lists all institutions and individuals known by us to beneficially own more than five percent of our common stock as of October 12, 2004. The table also summarizes information for our directors and executive officers, individually and as a group.

Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC. Beneficial ownership generally includes voting or investment power with respect to securities. Common stock subject to an option that is exercisable within 60 days of October 12, 2004, is deemed to be beneficially owned by the person holding the option when computing ownership but is not treated as outstanding when computing the ownership of any other person. We have determined each beneficial owner's percentage ownership by assuming that stock options held by such person which are exercisable within 60 days of October 12, 2004 have been exercised. Except as indicated by the footnotes to the table below, we believe, based on information provided to us, that the persons and entities named in the table below have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock shown as beneficially owned by them. Applicable percentage of beneficial ownership is based on 19,415,338 of common stock outstanding as of October 12, 2004.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Class
State of Wisconsin Investment Board(1) P.O. Box 7842 Madison, WI 53707	2,560,600	13.2
T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc. and T. Rowe Price Small-Cap Value Fund, Inc.(2) 100 E. Pratt Street Baltimore, MD 21202	1,411,000	7.3
Richard B. Mazess, Ph.D.(3) Michael Appelbaum, J.D., CPA	2,052,670	10.6
Paul L. Berns(4)	320,555	1.6

Table of Contents

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Class
James V. Caruso(5)	165,833	*
Michael D. Casey(10)	43,000	*
Herbert J. Conrad		
Carmine J. Durham(12)	54,722	*
Jeffrey J. Freitag, M.D.(12)	75,000	*
Brian J. Hayden(12)	62,500	*
Charles R. Klimkowski, CFA(6)	144,300	*
R. Andrew Morgan, R.Ph.(12)	53,333	*
C. Basil Mundy II(8)	53,833	*
Gary E. Nei(7)	58,333	*
Edward Staiano, Ph.D.(9)	58,000	*
Klaus R. Veitinger, M.D., Ph.D., M.B.A.		
All Directors and Executive Officers as a Group (15 persons)(11)	3,148,079	15.4

* Less than 1 percent.

Unless otherwise indicated, the business address of each beneficial owner is Bone Care International, Inc., 1600 Aspen Commons, Middleton, WI 53567.

- (1) Based on Amendment No. 7 to Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on August 9, 2004.
- (2) Based on Amendment No. 7 to Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 4, 2004.
- (3) Includes 1,449,870 shares of common stock held by Dr. Mazess in joint tenancy with his wife, 587,500 shares of common stock held by Dr. Mazess as custodian for his daughters, and 15,300 shares of common stock subject to options exercisable within 60 days of October 12, 2004.
- (4) Includes 315,555 shares of common stock subject to options exercisable within 60 days of October 12, 2004.
- (5) Includes 163,333 shares of common stock subject to options exercisable within 60 days of October 12, 2004.
- (6) Includes 88,500 shares of common stock subject to options exercisable within 60 days of October 12, 2004.
- (7) Includes 53,333 shares of common stock subject to options exercisable within 60 days of October 12, 2004.
- (8) Includes 53,333 shares of common stock subject to options exercisable within 60 days of October 12, 2004.
- (9) Includes 48,000 shares of common stock subject to options exercisable within 60 days of October 12, 2004.
- (10) Includes 40,000 shares of common stock subject to options exercisable within 60 days of October 12, 2004.
- (11) Includes 1,022,909 shares of common stock subject to options exercisable within 60 days of October 12, 2004.
- (12) Represents shares of common stock subject to options exercisable within 60 days of October 12, 2004.

PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Edgar Filing: BONE CARE INTERNATIONAL INC - Form PRE 14A

Our by-laws authorize the board of directors to fix the number of directors, provided that the number shall not be less than five nor more than twelve. Currently, the number is fixed at nine. The by-laws stagger the board of directors by dividing the number of directors into three classes, with one class being elected each year for a term of three years. For the 2004 annual meeting, three directors, Michael A. Appelbaum, Michael D. Casey and Herbert J. Conrad are nominees for election. Effective at the 2004 annual meeting, Richard B.

Table of Contents

Mazess and Gary Nei are retiring from our board of directors. We expect that after the 2004 annual meeting the size of the board of directors will be reduced to seven to reflect those retirements.

The table below sets forth certain information with respect to the nominees for election as directors of Bone Care to serve until the 2007 annual meeting of shareholders. Unless otherwise specified, the shares of common stock represented by the proxies we are soliciting will be voted FOR the election as a director of the persons named below, who have been nominated by the board of directors. If, at or prior to a person's election, any nominee is unwilling or unable to serve, it is presently intended that the proxies being solicited will be voted for a substitute nominee designated by the board of directors. The board of directors has no reason to believe any nominee will be unwilling or unable to serve.

Nominees for Election of a Three Year Term Expiring at the 2007 Annual Meeting:

MICHAEL A. APPELBAUM, J.D., CPA (age 58) has been a director of the Company since September 2004. Mr. Appelbaum was the President and Chief Operating Officer of GenPharm International, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Medarex, Inc., a public biotechnology company. From July, 1991 until October, 2000, Mr. Appelbaum was Executive Vice President, Finance and Administration, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer of Medarex, Inc. Mr. Appelbaum was Executive Vice President of Medarex until his retirement on December 31, 2003. Mr. Appelbaum is a director of Medarex, Inc.

MICHAEL D. CASEY (age 58) has been a director of the Company since November 2001. Mr. Casey was the Chairman, President, and Chief Executive Officer and a director of Matrix Pharmaceutical, Inc., a publicly traded cancer therapy company prior to its acquisition by Chiron Corporation in March 2002. Mr. Casey joined Matrix in October 1997 from Schein Pharmaceutical, Inc., a generic and ethical pharmaceutical company, where he was Executive Vice President from November 1995 to December 1996. In 1996 he was appointed President of the retail and specialty products division of Schein. From June 1993 to November 1995, he served as President and Chief Operating Officer of Genetic Therapy, Inc., a biopharmaceutical company. Mr. Casey was President of McNeil Pharmaceutical (a unit of Johnson & Johnson) from 1989 to June 1993 and Vice President, Sales and Marketing, for the Ortho Pharmaceutical Corp. (a subsidiary of Johnson & Johnson) from 1985 to 1989. Mr. Casey is a director of Allos Therapeutics Inc., Celgene Corporation, Cholestech Corporation, Durect Corporation and Orthologic Corporation.

HERBERT J. CONRAD (age 71) has been chairman of our board of directors since February 2004. Mr. Conrad is former President of the U.S. Pharmaceuticals Division of Hoffman-La Roche, Inc. He served as the Chairman of the board of directors of GenVec, Inc. from 1996 to 2003, and was a co-founder of Reliant Pharmaceuticals, LLC. Mr. Conrad has served on the boards of professional associations such as The National Pharmaceutical Council and The Industrial Biotechnology Association. Mr. Conrad is a director of Savient Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Celltec.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS YOU VOTE FOR THE NOMINEES NAMED ABOVE TO SERVE ON THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF BONE CARE.

The following sets forth certain information about our directors whose terms of office will continue after the 2004 annual meeting.

Incumbent Directors Terms Expiring at the 2005 Annual Meeting of Shareholders:

CHARLES R. KLIMKOWSKI, CFA (age 68) has been a director of the Company since 1999. Prior to his retirement in 1998, Mr. Klimkowski served as Chief Operating Officer and Chief Investment Officer of ABN AMRO Asset Management (USA) Inc. Mr. Klimkowski was a director of Theragenics Corp. from 1992 to 2000, Chairman of Theragenics from 1994 to 1997, and Co-chairperson from 1997 to 1998. Theragenics is a publicly traded company producing and selling implantable radiation devices for the treatment of cancer.

KLAUS R. VEITINGER, M.D., Ph.D., M.B.A. (age 42) has been a director of the Company since March 2004. Dr. Veitinger is a member of the Board of Management for SCHWARZ PHARMA AG and Chief Executive Officer for the company's operations in North America and Asia, positions he has held since 2000. In 1999, Dr. Veitinger was elected to serve on the Board of Pharmaceutical Research and Manufactur-

Table of Contents

ers Association (PhRMA). Since joining Schwarz Pharma in 1990, Dr. Veitinger has held a variety of management posts in the U.S. and Germany in the areas of drug development, strategic planning, mergers and acquisitions, business development and general management.

Incumbent Directors Terms Expiring at the 2006 Annual Meeting of Shareholders:

PAUL L. BERNIS (age 37) has been a director of the Company since June 2002. Mr. Bernis has been director, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company since June 2002. From March 2001 to April 2002, Mr. Bernis served as Vice President and General Manager of Abbott Labs Immunology, Oncology and Pain. From June 2000 to March 2001, he served as Vice President, Marketing of BASF Pharmaceuticals. From March 1990 to June 2000, Mr. Bernis held various positions of increasing responsibility at Bristol Meyer Squibb with the last position held being Vice President, Neuroscience Marketing.

EDWARD STAIANO, Ph.D. (age 68) has been a director of the Company since November 2001. Dr. Staiano is currently Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Sorrento Investment Group, a private investment company. From 1996 to 1999, Dr. Staiano was Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Iridium World Communication Limited, a publicly traded company which subsequently sold all of its assets to Iridium Satellite LLC. From 1973 to 1996, he held various positions at Motorola, a publicly traded electronics corporation where Dr. Staiano last served as the President of General Systems Sector.

Board of Directors Committees and Meetings

The board of directors maintains charters for select committees. To view key committee charters, please visit the Company's website at www.bonecare.com.

The board of directors, which held seven meetings during the year ended June 30, 2004, has an audit committee, a compensation committee and a nominating and corporate governance committee. The following is a brief description of the functions performed by these committees.

Audit Committee

The audit committee of the board of directors oversees our corporate accounting and financial reporting process and assists the board in fulfilling its oversight responsibility to the shareholders and others relating to:

The integrity of our financial statements and the financial reporting process;

The systems of internal controls regarding finance, accounting, legal compliance and ethics;

The performance of our independent auditors;

The annual independent audit and quarterly reviews of our financial statements; and

The independent auditors' qualifications and independence.

In connection with this oversight role, the audit committee performs several functions, including among other things:

Selecting our independent auditors;

Monitoring the rotation of partners of the independent auditors on the Company's engagement team as required by law;

Discussing with management and the independent auditors the adequacy and effectiveness of our accounting and financial controls;

Reviewing the financial statements to be included in our reports filed with the SEC on Forms 10-K and 10-Q; and

Discussing with management and the independent auditors the results of the annual audit and the results of our quarterly financial statements.

Edgar Filing: BONE CARE INTERNATIONAL INC - Form PRE 14A

The audit committee operates under a charter adopted by the board of directors. Under its charter, the audit committee must have at least three members, each of whom satisfy the independence, financial literacy and experience requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and the rules and regulations of the SEC, Nasdaq, and any other applicable regulatory requirements. The audit committee is

Table of Contents

currently comprised of Mr. Klimkowski (Chair), Dr. Staiano, Mr. Nei and Mr. Appelbaum, each of whom satisfies those requirements. The audit committee met nine times during the year ended June 30, 2004. The board of directors has determined that Mr. Klimkowski meets the requirements of an audit committee financial expert as defined by the SEC.

Compensation Committee

The compensation committee reviews and approves the compensation for senior management, as well as compensation strategy and compensation policies for the Company. In addition, the compensation committee performs the following functions:

Reviewing and approving our performance objectives relevant to the compensation of the executive officers;

Reviewing and approving the compensation and other terms of employment for our chief executive officer;

Administering our stock option plans and other similar programs; and

Reviewing and determining the officers, employees and consultants to whom stock options should be granted, the number of options and the option price.

The compensation committee is comprised of Mr. Casey (Chair), Dr. Staiano and Dr. Veitinger. During the year ended June 30, 2004, the compensation committee met six times.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

The nominating and corporate governance committee oversees the identification, evaluation and recommendation of individuals qualified to be directors of the Company. The major responsibilities of the nominating and corporate governance committee are:

Recommending to the board individuals to serve as directors;

Reviewing the performance of incumbent non-employee directors and making recommendations for re-election to the board;

Making recommendations on the size and composition of the board and any committee thereof; and

Overseeing the corporate governance guidelines.

The nominating and corporate governance committee operates under a charter adopted by the board, a copy of which is available on the Company's website at www.bonecare.com. Under its charter, the nominating and corporate governance committee must have at least three members, each of whom satisfy the independence requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and the rules and regulations of the SEC, Nasdaq, and any other applicable regulatory requirements. The nominating and corporate governance committee is comprised of Mr. Nei (Chair), Dr. Conrad and Messrs. Casey and Klimkowski, each of whom satisfies those requirements. During the year ended June 30, 2004, the nominating and corporate governance committee met six times.

During the year ended June 30, 2004, all of the directors attended at least 75% of the total number of meetings of the board of directors and committees on which they served. It is the policy of the board to meet in executive session without the presence of management at the time of each regularly scheduled board meeting. The board has the authority to hire its own legal, accounting and other advisors. Our policy is to encourage directors to attend our annual meetings of shareholders. All of the directors attended our 2003 annual meeting of shareholders.

Code of Ethics

We have adopted a code of business conduct and ethics that applies to our board of directors, officers (including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer) and employees. We encourage all employees, officers and directors to promptly report any violations of the code to the appropriate persons identified in the code. In the event that an amendment to, or a waiver from, a provision of the code of ethics that applies to our directors or executive officers is necessary, we intend to post that

Table of Contents

information on our website. A copy of our code of business conduct and ethics is posted on our website at www.bonecare.com.

Communication with the Board of Directors

The board has established a process for shareholders and other interested parties to communicate with the board or an individual director. A shareholder may contact the board of directors or an individual director by writing to their attention at our principal executive offices at Bone Care International, Inc., 1600 Aspen Commons, Middleton, Wisconsin 53562.

Nomination of Directors

It is the policy of the nominating and corporate governance committee to consider candidates for director that are recommended by shareholders. The board seeks members from diverse professional backgrounds who combine a broad spectrum of experience and expertise with a reputation for integrity. Directors should have experience in positions with a high degree of responsibility, be leaders in the organizations with which they are affiliated, be selected based on contributions they can make to the board and management and be free from relationships or conflicts of interest that could interfere with the directors duties to the Company and its shareholders.

In identifying and evaluating nominees for director, the committee takes into account the applicable requirements for directors under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and the listing standards of the Nasdaq Stock Market. In addition, the committee may take into consideration such factors and criteria as it deems appropriate, including the nominee's judgment, skill, integrity, diversity, and business or other experience. The committee may (but is not required to) consider candidates suggested by management or other members of the board. The committee evaluates candidates recommended for director by shareholders in the same way it evaluates any other nominee. The committee may from time to time (but is not required to) hire consultants or third party search firms to help the committee identify and/or evaluate potential nominees.

In order to recommend a candidate, shareholders must submit nominations to the Company in accordance with the procedures contained in our bylaws as described under Shareholder Proposals .

Compensation of Directors

Directors of the Company who are also employees of the Company are not separately compensated for their service as directors. Non-employee directors are paid a retainer of \$8,000 per year plus \$2,500 per meeting attended in person or \$1,500 if attended by phone. Committee members are paid \$1,500 per committee meeting attended in person or \$750 if attended by phone. The annual retainer is doubled for the non-employee chairman of the board and meeting attendance fees are doubled for the non-employee chairman of the board and non-employee committee chairmen. Non-employee directors also receive an annual stock option grant to purchase 10,000 shares of common stock, which would be increased to 20,000 shares of common stock if Proposal No. 2 is adopted. Newly elected directors receive an initial one-time grant of a stock option to purchase 20,000 shares upon election to the board, plus a one-time matching option to purchase a number of shares of common stock equal to the number of shares purchased by the director within 90 days of initial election to the board, up to a maximum of 30,000 shares, plus a pro-rated portion of the annual 10,000 share grant. The stock options described in this paragraph expire ten years after their grant date.

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

This Audit Committee Report does not constitute soliciting material and should not be deemed filed or incorporated by reference into any of our other filings under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, except to the extent that we specifically incorporate this Report by reference therein.

The audit committee of the board oversees our corporate accounting and financial reporting process and assists the board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities to the shareholders. Management of the Company prepares financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States

Table of Contents

and establishes the system of internal controls. The Company's independent auditors are responsible for auditing our financial statements.

The audit committee has reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements of the Company with management and our independent auditors, Deloitte & Touche LLP, prior to their issuance. Additionally, the audit committee has reviewed and discussed all interim financial statements reported on Form 10-Q with management and our auditors prior to their issuance.

The audit committee has discussed with Deloitte & Touche LLP the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards 61, Communication with Audit Committees and has received and reviewed the written disclosures and the letter from Deloitte & Touche LLP required by Independence Standards Board Statement No. 1, Independence Discussions with Audit Committees, and has discussed with Deloitte & Touche LLP their independence, including a review of fees.

The audit committee meetings include, whenever appropriate, executive sessions with Deloitte & Touche LLP with and without the management of the Company present.

Based on the reviews and discussions referred to above, we recommend to the board of directors that the audited financial statements referred to above be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2004, for filing with the SEC.

The Audit Committee (as of September 15, 2004):

Charles R. Klimkowski, CFA, Chairman

Edward Staiano, Ph.D.

Gary E. Nei

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR

The following table presents fees billed to us by Deloitte & Touche LLP, our independent auditors, for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2004 and 2003:

	<u>2004</u>	<u>2003</u>
	(In thousands)	
Audit Fees	\$ 62	\$ 67
Audit-Related Fees	2	
Tax Fees	50	48
All Other Fees	44	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total Fees	\$ 158	\$ 115
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

We did not retain Deloitte & Touche LLP for financial information system design and implementation during the year ended June 30, 2004. Audit-related fees for the year ended June 30, 2004 consisted of consulting fees related to the implementation of an internal control structure and procedures for financial reporting as contemplated by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Tax fees for the years ended June 30, 2003 and June 30, 2004 consisted of tax consultation and tax compliance services. All other fees for the year ended June 30, 2004 consisted of fees related to our offering of common stock. The audit committee considered whether, and has determined that, the provision of non-audit services is compatible with maintaining the independent auditors' independence.

The audit committee's policies and procedures require pre-approval for all audit and permissible non-audit service (subject to a de minimus exception for up to \$25,000 of permissible non-audit services annually) to be performed by our independent auditors. These services are generally pre-approved by the entire audit committee. In the year ended June 30, 2004, less than 1% of the services performed by Deloitte & Touche LLP were not pre-approved. Such services related to tax compliance/preparation work and were subsequently approved by the audit committee in accordance with the rules of the SEC.

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE OFFICERS**

As of October 1, 2004, our executive officers are as follows:

Name	Age	Position
Paul L. Berns	37	President and CEO
James V. Caruso	44	Senior Vice President Sales and Marketing
Carmine J. Durham	38	Vice President Corporate Development
Jeffrey J. Freitag	57	Vice President Research & Development
Brian J. Hayden	52	Vice President Finance
R. Andrew Morgan	46	Vice President Regulatory Affairs, Quality and Compliance
C. Basil Mundy II	57	Vice President Government Affairs

Paul L. Berns has served as our President and CEO and as a director since June 2002. Mr. Berns served as Vice President and General Manager of Abbott Labs Immunology, Oncology and Pain from March 2001 to April 2002. From June 2000 to March 2001, he served as Vice President, Marketing of BASF Pharmaceuticals. From March 1990 to June 2000, Mr. Berns held various positions of increasing responsibility at Bristol Meyer Squibb with the last position held being Vice President, Neuroscience Marketing.

James V. Caruso has served as our Senior Vice President Sales and Marketing since November 2003 and as our Vice President Sales since August 2002. Mr. Caruso was Vice President of Sales of the Neuroscience Business Unit at Novartis from June 2001 to August 2002. Mr. Caruso was Vice President of Sales at BASF Pharmaceuticals from June 2000 to June 2001 and from 1988 to June 2000; Mr. Caruso held several positions at Bristol-Myers Squibb including Director of Sales West Coast and Senior Director of Marketing.

Carmine J. Durham has served as our Vice President Corporate Development since July 2004 and prior to that time as our Vice President Marketing since November 2002 and previously served as Senior Director of Marketing beginning in September 2002. Mr. Durham was formerly Business Unit Director, Marketing at Abbott Laboratories from March 2001 to September 2002. In addition, Mr. Durham held several positions at BASF Pharma from November 1997 to March 2001 including Director of Marketing and several positions at Boehringer Mannheim Corporation Therapeutics from 1992 to 1997 including Manager of Sales Operations and Marketing Manager of Corporate Accounts.

Jeffrey J. Freitag, M.D., has served as our Vice President Research and Development since June 2003. Dr. Freitag held senior Clinical Research positions at PharmaNet Inc. from 1997 until May 2003, including Senior Vice President, Medical and Scientific Affairs. His prior appointments include Vice President, New Clinical Drug Development at The Liposome Company from 1994 to 1997, Director, Clinical Research at Wallace Laboratories from 1987 to 1994 and Associate Director, Clinical Research, Cardiovascular Drugs at Wyeth Laboratories from 1985 to 1987. Dr. Freitag is board-certified in Internal Medicine and Nephrology.

Brian J. Hayden has served as our Vice President Finance since October 2003. Mr. Hayden was formerly Vice President, Finance, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of Cell Pathways, Inc. from November 1997 until its acquisition by OSI Pharmaceuticals, Inc. in June 2003. Since 1985, Mr. Hayden has served as the senior financial executive in five different life science companies, both public and private. From 1976 to 1985, Mr. Hayden served in senior financial management positions for Hoffmann-La Roche, Inc. From 1975 to 1976, he served on the audit staff of Coopers and Lybrand LLP (now PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP).

R. Andrew Morgan, R.Ph., has served as our Vice President Regulatory Affairs, Quality and Compliance since April 2002. Mr. Morgan was Director of Regulatory Affairs for Celltech Pharmaceuticals from November 1997 to March 2002. His prior appointments include Manager of Regulatory Affairs for Medeva, Inc. from May 1994 to November 1997 and Senior Regulatory Affairs Associate for Adams Laboratories from June 1991 to May 1994. Mr. Morgan also worked seven years as a clinical Pharmacist and Manager at All Saints Hospital.

Table of Contents

C. Basil Mundy II has served as our Vice President Governmental Affairs since July 2004 and prior to that time was our Vice President Corporate Development since November 2002 and was formerly Vice President Marketing beginning in January 2002. Mr. Mundy held several senior marketing positions at Celltech Pharmaceuticals from July 2000 to December 2001. His prior appointments include Vice President, Marketing at MGI Pharma from December 1997 to March 1999, Director, INFED Sales at Schein Pharmaceutical from January 1996 to December 1997, and Marketing Director for the National Kidney Foundation from May 1995 to January 1996. Mr. Mundy was previously employed by Johnson and Johnson, Ortho Biotech Inc. for 27 years.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation of Executive Officers

Compensation Committee Report on Executive Compensation

This Compensation Committee Report on Executive Compensation does not constitute soliciting material and should not be deemed filed or incorporated by reference into any of our other filings under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, except to the extent that we specifically incorporate this Report by reference therein.

Compensation Philosophy and Policies. Our fundamental executive compensation philosophy is to enable us to attract and retain key executive personnel and to motivate those executives to achieve our objectives. Prior to our fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2004, the Company had not achieved profitability; therefore, some of the traditional methods of evaluating executive performance, such as profitability and return on equity, were not appropriate. Accordingly, assessment of each executive's performance has been based on attainment of his specific personal objectives in light of our overall strategic goals. Among other things, we review three specific areas in formulating the compensation packages of our executive officers. These areas are as follows:

Our Company's overall performance:

The extent to which our key sales, research, clinical, development, manufacturing and financial objectives have been met during the preceding fiscal year.

Accessing capital to fund our research, development, operations and other business activities.

Executive performance:

An executive's involvement in and responsibility for the development and implementation of strategic plans and the attainment of our strategic and operating objectives, along with achievement of agreed upon personal objectives.

The involvement of an executive in personnel recruitment, retention and morale.

The responsibility of the executive in working within operating budgets, controlling costs and other aspects of expense management.

Other factors:

We consider the necessity of being competitive with companies in the pharmaceutical and biotechnology industry, taking into account relative company size, stage of development, performance and geographic location as well as individual responsibilities and performance.

We consider the need to provide reasonable incentives to management based on performance, independent of market conditions that may be beyond our control.

Each executive officer's compensation package is reviewed annually and has been comprised of up to three components: base salary, cash bonuses and stock options and/or restricted stock units. In addition to these components, executive officers generally are eligible to participate in all employee benefit programs generally available to all of our employees.

Table of Contents

Base Salary: In setting the base salary levels for each executive officer, we review surveys and other available information on the base salaries of executive officers in comparable positions at other pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies. Factors considered include, but are not limited to, company size, stage of development and geographic location. We also consider the individual experience level and actual performance of each executive officer in light of our needs and objectives.

Bonus Awards: As part of the review and setting of annual compensation, cash bonuses tied to the achievement of certain corporate objectives and certain specific personal objectives have been awarded to executive officers. For fiscal year 2005, we have adopted a defined plan whereby certain specific company objectives must be attained before the executive officers are eligible for bonuses.

Stock Incentive Awards: The compensation committee has the authority to grant to the executive officers incentive and non-qualified stock options and other equity-based awards, including restricted stock units. Awards generally vest at various times in excess of one year from their date of grant, and are intended as incentive and motivation for our executive officers, as well as to align the interest of those officers more closely with those of our shareholders in advancing corporate objectives. All of our executive officers have been granted awards under the stock incentive plans.

Compensation of the Chief Executive Officer. Since his hire in June 2002 through fiscal year end 2003, Mr. Berns' annual base salary was \$350,000. Mr. Berns' salary was determined in accordance with the criteria outlined above. Also, in fiscal years 2003 and 2004, Mr. Berns received a \$600,000 sign-on payment, in connection with Mr. Berns' acceptance of employment with the Company. Mr. Berns' annual base salary for the year ended June 30, 2004 was \$360,500.

Based on its evaluation of Mr. Berns' performance, the compensation committee believes that Mr. Berns' compensation level appropriately reflects his performance and is consistent with his peers in the industry.

Deductibility of Compensation Expenses. Current U.S. tax law has a \$1 million annual tax deduction limit on compensation we pay to our Chief Executive Officer and our four other most highly compensated executive officers. The limit does not apply to qualified performance-based compensation, as defined under the United States Tax Code and related regulations and as described under Proposal No. 2 Approval of Amendments to the 2003 Stock Incentive Plan Section 162(m) of the Code. Compensation is performance-based if we can pay it only if objective pre-established performance criteria set by the compensation committee are met. The compensation committee may use its discretion to set actual compensation below the maximum amount calculated by application of our performance criteria.

The compensation committee's general policy is to structure compensation programs that allow us to fully deduct the compensation under the above described \$1 million limit rules. The compensation committee also believes that we need flexibility to meet our incentive and retention objectives, even if we may not deduct all compensation.

Respectfully submitted,

The Compensation Committee:

Michael D. Casey, *Chairman*

Edward Staiano, Ph.D.

Klaus R. Veitinger, M.D., Ph.D., M.B.A.

Table of Contents**Summary Compensation Table**

The following table summarizes information regarding compensation during the fiscal years ended June 30, 2004, 2003 and 2002 for our President and Chief Executive Officer and our four other most highly compensated executive officers.

Name and Principal Position	Fiscal Year	Annual Compensation			Long-Term Compensation		All Other Compensation (\$)
		Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)(1)	Other Annual Compensation (\$)	Restricted Stock Awards (\$)	Securities Underlying Options (#)	
Paul L. Berns	2004	360,500	180,250	600,000(7)	739,200(9)	80,000	106,000(10)
President and Chief Executive Officer	2003	350,000	175,000	600,000(7)		360,000	191,863(11)
	2002	20,192(2)					70,000(11)
James V. Caruso	2004	285,050	146,000	100,000(8)	422,400(9)	45,000	9,501(12)
Senior Vice President Sales & Marketing	2003	249,231(3)	135,000	300,000(8)		200,000	2,492(12)
	2002						
Jeffrey J. Freitag, M.D.	2004	290,004	101,500		211,200(9)	5,000	106,081(13)
Vice President Research & Development	2003	16,731(4)				150,000	
	2002						
Brian J. Hayden	2004	202,884(5)	87,500		316,800(9)	160,000	155,758(14)
Vice President Finance	2003						
	2002						
R. Andrew Morgan	2004	192,250	68,338		316,800(9)	25,000	8,929(12)
Vice President Regulatory Affairs, Quality and Compliance	2003	175,000	25,000			60,000	87,600(11)
	2002	32,088(6)					10,000(11)

- (1) A portion of the named executive officer's compensation for each fiscal year shown was paid in the first quarter of the year following the year shown and is reported in this table as bonus.
- (2) Paul L. Berns began serving as President and Chief Executive Officer in June 2002.
- (3) James V. Caruso began serving as Vice President Sales in August 2002.
- (4) Jeffrey J. Freitag began serving as Vice President Research & Development in June 2003.
- (5) Brian J. Hayden began serving as Vice President Finance in October 2003.
- (6) R. Andrew Morgan began serving as Vice President Regulatory Affairs, Quality and Compliance in April 2002.
- (7) Represents a sign-on payment in connection with Mr. Berns accepting employment with the Company.
- (8) Represents a sign-on payment in connection with Mr. Caruso accepting employment with the Company.
- (9) Represents the dollar value of the grant of restricted stock units of our common stock on June 22, 2004 using the fair market value our common stock on the date of grant, which was \$21.12 per share. The number of restricted stock units granted for Messrs. Berns, Caruso, Freitag, Hayden and Morgan were 35,000, 20,000, 10,000, 15,000 and 15,000, respectively. One-third of the restricted stock units granted vest annually on each of June 22, 2005, 2006 and 2007.
- (10) Represents payments for relocation expenses and the Company's matching contribution to a 401(k) plan of \$100,000 and \$6,000, respectively.
- (11) Represent payments for relocation expenses.

- (12) Represents the Company's matching contribution to a 401(k) plan.
- (13) Represents payment for relocation expenses and the Company's matching contribution to a 401(k) plan of \$100,000 and \$6,081, respectively.
- (14) Represents payment for relocation expenses and the Company's matching contribution to a 401(k) plan of \$150,000 and \$5,578, respectively.

Stock Option Grants in 2004. The following table presents information concerning stock options granted during the year ended June 30, 2004 to each of the executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table. In addition, in accordance with the rules of the SEC, the table shows the hypothetical gains for such

Table of Contents

options based on assumed rates of annual compound stock price appreciation of 5% and 10% from the date the options were granted over the full option term.

Option Grants in Fiscal Year 2004

Name	Number of Options Granted(1)	Percent of Total Options Granted to Employees in Fiscal Year	Exercise Price (\$/Share)	Expiration Date(1)	Potential Realizable Value at Assumed Annual Rates of Stock Price Appreciation for Option Term(2)	
					5%	10%
Paul L. Berns	50,000	5.9%	\$ 12.25	7/23/13	\$ 997,698	\$ 1,588,667
	30,000	3.5	21.12	6/22/14	1,032,068	1,643,395
James V. Caruso	30,000	3.5	12.25	7/23/13	598,619	953,200
	15,000	1.8	21.12	6/22/14	516,034	821,698
Jeffrey J. Freitag	5,000	0.6	21.12	6/22/14	172,011	273,899
Brian J. Hayden	150,000	17.6	12.50	8/29/13	3,054,177	4,863,267
	10,000	1.2	21.12	6/22/14	344,023	547,798
R. Andrew Morgan	20,000	2.3	12.25	7/23/13	399,079	635,467
	5,000	0.6	21.12	6/22/14	172,011	273,899

- (1) Options granted pursuant to the Plan expire ten years after the date of grant. The options granted in fiscal year 2004, in general, vest over three years with one-third vesting on the one-year anniversary date and monthly thereafter.
- (2) The potential realizable value is calculated assuming that the fair market value of the common stock, on the date of the grant, appreciates at the indicated annual rate compounded annually for the entire term of the option. The 5% and 10% rates of appreciation are mandated by the rules of the SEC and do not represent the Company's estimate or projection of future increases in the price of common stock.

Aggregated Option Exercises in 2004 and 2004 Fiscal Year-End Option Values. The following table presents the number and value of exercised options and the number and value of unexercised stock options as of June 30, 2004, held by the executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table, distinguishing between options that are exercisable and those that are not exercisable.

Aggregated Option Exercises in Last Fiscal Year and Fiscal Year-end Option Values

Name	Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized (#)(2)	Number of Unexercised Options at Fiscal Year End (#)(1)		Value of Unexercised In-the-Money Options at Fiscal Year End \$(2)	
			Exercisable	Unexercisable	Exercisable	Unexercisable
Paul L. Berns			251,667	223,333	\$5,038,373	\$3,616,027
James V. Caruso			122,222	142,778	2,437,107	2,388,893
Jeffrey J. Freitag			50,000	115,000	625,500	1,496,700
Brian J. Hayden				175,000		2,012,300
R. Andrew Morgan			35,556	64,444	647,531	1,011,269

- (1) All options have been granted at exercise prices equal to the fair market value per share of common stock on the date of the grant. The closing price of the common stock at June 30, 2004 was \$23.42 per share.
- (2) This value is calculated in accordance with the rules of the SEC and does not represent value realized by the optionee.

Table of Contents**Equity Compensation Plan Information**

The following table summarizes the number of outstanding options granted to employees and directors, as well as the number of securities remaining available for future issuance, under our equity compensation plans as of June 30, 2004.

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (a)	Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (b)	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column(a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders(1)	2,371,135	\$9.32	224,252
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders			
Total	2,371,135	\$9.32	224,252

(1) Consists of options outstanding under the Company's 1996 Stock Option Plan, the 2002 Stock Incentive Plan and the 2003 Stock Incentive Plan.

Executive Employment and Severance Agreements

We have entered into an employment agreement with each of our executive officers listed under "Executive Officers" in this proxy statement. Each employment agreement provides for an initial base salary for the executive officer which will be reviewed annually by the compensation committee, eligibility to receive an annual incentive bonus as determined by the compensation committee, and participation in other benefit plans during the executive officer's employment. Each employment agreement is terminable at any time except that if the agreement is terminated other than as a result of the executive officer's death or disability or other than for cause (as defined in the employment agreement), the executive officer will be entitled to severance payments of the executive officer's salary for twelve months and payment of health care premiums for up to twelve months. The employment agreement for Mr. Berns provides that in the event of such a termination of the agreement, Mr. Berns would be entitled to receive severance payments of his salary for twenty-four months, payment of health care premiums for up to twelve months, a lump sum cash payment equal to two times his annual bonus for the fiscal year in which the termination occurs and outplacement assistance services for a period of twelve months at a cost to the company not to exceed \$15,000.

We have also entered into a change in control severance agreement with each of our executive officers listed under "Executive Officers" in this proxy statement. Each of those agreements provides that if, within two years following a change in control of the company (as described under "Proposal No. 2 Approval of Amendments to the 2003 Stock Incentive Plan Description of the 2003 Plan Change in Control"), the executive officer terminates his employment for "good reason" (as defined in the agreement) or the executive officer's employment terminates for any other reason, other than death or disability, or "cause" (as defined in the agreement), the company will pay to the executive a lump sum cash payment equal to (i) the executive officer's highest annual base salary in effect during the twelve months prior to the date of termination and (ii) the executive officer's highest annualized bonus in the five fiscal years preceding the fiscal year in which the change in control occurs. The agreement for Mr. Berns provides for a lump sum cash payment equal to two times the amounts described in clauses (i) and (ii). In addition to the lump sum cash payment, each agreement provides that the company will continue for eighteen months all policies of medical, accident, disability and life insurance and provide outplacement assistance services for a period of twelve months at a cost to the company not to exceed \$15,000. Each agreement also provides that if any payment by the company would be subject to excise tax imposed by section 4999 of the Code, the executive officer would be entitled generally to an additional payment in an amount necessary to reimburse the executive officer for all excise taxes, and any other taxes that may be imposed on such reimbursement.

Table of Contents

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires our directors, officers and persons who own more than ten percent of a registered class of our equity securities to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the SEC and to furnish us with copies of these reports. Based on our review of the copies of those reports which we have received, and written representations from our directors and officers, we believe that all filings required to be made by our directors and officers since July 1, 2003 were made on a timely basis except as noted below. With respect to Richard B. Mazess, two Form 4 s were not timely filed; Form 4 s for both transactions were subsequently filed. With respect to Michael D. Casey, Charles R. Klimkowski, Gary E. Nei and Edward Staiano, Form 4 s, each for one transaction, were not timely filed; Form 4 s for each transaction were subsequently filed.

Table of Contents**COMMON STOCK PRICE PERFORMANCE GRAPH**

The Common Stock Price Performance Graph does not constitute soliciting material and should not be deemed filed or incorporated by reference into any of our other filings under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, except to the extent that we specifically incorporate this Report by reference therein.

The graph below compares the cumulative total shareholder return on our common stock for the five-year period commencing June 1999 and ending June 2004 with the cumulative total shareholder return of Standard & Poor's 500 Stock Index and Standard & Poor's 600 Biotechnology Index.

	June 99	June 00	June 01	June 02	June 03	June 04
Bone Care International, Inc.	\$ 100	\$ 239	\$ 268	\$ 59	\$ 141	\$ 237
S&P 500 Index	\$ 100	\$ 107	\$ 91	\$ 75	\$ 75	\$ 89
S&P 600 BioTechnology Index	\$ 100	\$ 306	\$ 336	\$ 199	\$ 209	\$ 273

The graph above assumes \$100 was invested on June 30, 1999, in each of our common stock, the S&P 500 Index, and the S&P 600 BioTechnology Index. The graph also assumes the reinvestment of dividends.

PROPOSAL 2 APPROVAL OF AMENDMENTS TO THE 2003 STOCK INCENTIVE PLAN

The shareholders of Bone Care approved the Bone Care International, Inc. 2003 Stock Incentive Plan (the "Original 2003 Plan") at the annual meeting of shareholders in 2003. As of the date of this proxy statement, there are a total of approximately 224,250 shares remaining available for grants under the Original 2003 Plan and Bone Care's 1996 Stock Option Plan and 2002 Stock Incentive Plan. Bone Care has determined that additional shares will be needed for future grants. The board of directors has approved, and recommends for the shareholders for their approval, an amendment to the Original 2003 Plan to (i) increase the number of shares available under the Original 2003 Plan to a total of 1,400,000 shares, of which not more than an additional 400,000 shares may be used for grants of restricted stock, restricted stock units or unrestricted stock after the date of the amendment, (ii) provide specifically for the grant of restricted stock units under the Original 2003 Plan, (iii) increase from 10,000 to 20,000 the number of shares of common stock that non-employee directors receive pursuant to their annual stock option grant, and (iv) clarify that these annual stock option grants vest on the date of the annual shareholders meeting next following the date of grant. If the amendment to the Original 2003 Plan is approved by the shareholders of Bone Care, no additional

Table of Contents

grants will be made under Bone Care's 1996 Stock Option Plan or Bone Care's 2002 Stock Incentive Plan. The Original 2003 Plan, as amended by the proposed amendment, is referred to herein as the 2003 Plan.

The purposes of the 2003 Plan are to align the interests of our shareholders and the recipients of awards under the 2003 Plan by increasing the proprietary interest of recipients in Bone Care's growth and success, to advance the interests of Bone Care by attracting and retaining directors, officers and other employees and to motivate those persons to act in the long-term best interests of Bone Care and its shareholders. Under the 2003 Plan, officers and other employees of the Company and its subsidiaries may be granted non-qualified stock options, incentive stock options (within the meaning of Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code)) stock appreciation rights (SARs), restricted stock, unrestricted stock, restricted stock units and performance shares. All employees of Bone Care and its subsidiaries (persons as of October 1, 2004) and the six non-employee directors continuing after the annual meeting will be eligible to participate in the 2003 Plan.

The following is a summary of the 2003 Plan, which is qualified in its entirety by reference to the complete text of the 2003 Plan.

Description of the 2003 Plan

Administration. The 2003 Plan is administered by the compensation committee of the board of directors (the Committee) consisting of at least two directors who are non-employee directors within the meaning of Rule 16b-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and outside directors within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the Code. Subject to the express provisions of the 2003 Plan, and except for options granted automatically to non-employee directors, the Committee has the authority to select eligible officers and other employees to receive awards under the 2003 Plan and to determine the terms and conditions of each award. Each award will be evidenced by a written agreement between Bone Care and the recipient of the award setting forth the terms and conditions of the award. The Committee also has authority to prescribe rules and regulations for administering the 2003 Plan and to decide questions of interpretation or application of any provision of the 2003 Plan. In addition, the Committee may impose, incidental to the grant of an award, conditions with respect to the award, such as limiting competitive employment or other activities, and may accelerate the exercisability or vesting of outstanding awards. Except with respect to awards to persons whose compensation is likely to be subject to the \$1 million deduction limit under Section 162(m) of the Code, and persons subject to Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the Committee may delegate some or all of its power and authority to administer the 2003 Plan to our chief executive officer or other executive officer.

Except in connection with a change in our capital structure or similar event, the Committee may not, without shareholder approval, reduce the exercise price of an outstanding option or base price of an outstanding SAR or cancel outstanding options or SARs and grant substitute awards with lower exercise or base prices.

Available Shares. As of the date of this proxy statement, under the Original 2003 Plan, our 1996 Stock Option Plan and our 2002 Stock Incentive Plan, a total of approximately 224,250 shares were available for grant. If the amendment to the Original 2003 Plan is approved by the shareholders of Bone Care, (i) a total of 1,400,000 shares would be available for the grant of awards under the 2003 Plan, of which not more than an additional 400,000 shares may be used for grants of restricted stock, restricted stock units or unrestricted stock after the date of the amendment, and (ii) no additional grants will be made under our 1996 Stock Option Plan or our 2002 Stock Incentive Plan.

The number of shares of common stock available for awards under the 2003 Plan and the terms of each outstanding award will be adjusted in the event of a stock split, stock dividend, recapitalization, reorganization, merger, consolidation, combination, exchange of shares, liquidation, spin-off or other similar change in capitalization or event, or any distribution to holders of common stock other than a regular cash dividend. To the extent that shares of common stock subject to an outstanding option (except to the extent shares of common stock are issued or delivered by Bone Care in connection with the exercise of a tandem SAR), free-standing SAR, unrestricted stock award, restricted stock award, restricted stock unit award or performance share award are not issued or delivered by reason of the expiration, termination, cancellation or forfeiture of

Table of Contents

the award or by reason of the delivery or withholding of shares of common stock to pay all or a portion of the exercise price of an award, if any, or to satisfy all or a portion of the tax withholding obligations relating to an award, then those shares of common stock again will be available for awards under the 2003 Plan.

The maximum number of shares of common stock with respect to which options or SARs or a combination thereof may be granted under the 2003 Plan during any calendar year to any participant is 200,000, subject to adjustment as described above. The maximum number of shares of common stock with respect to which restricted stock awards or restricted stock unit awards subject to performance measures, or with respect to which performance share awards, may be granted under the 2003 Plan during any calendar year to any participant is 200,000, subject to adjustment as described above.

Change in Control. In the event of (i) certain acquisitions of 50% or more of the then outstanding shares of common stock, (ii) a change in the board of directors resulting in the incumbent directors ceasing to constitute at least a majority of the board of directors, (iii) the consummation of a reorganization, merger or consolidation or sale or disposition of all or substantially all of the assets of Bone Care (unless, among other conditions, Bone Care's shareholders receive more than 50% of the stock of the resulting company) or (iv) the consummation of a liquidation or dissolution of Bone Care, all outstanding awards will be surrendered to Bone Care in exchange for a cash payment except that, in the case of a merger or similar transaction in which the shareholders receive publicly traded common stock, all outstanding options and SARs immediately will become exercisable in full, all other awards immediately will vest, all performance measures will be deemed satisfied at the maximum level and each option, SAR and other award will represent a right to acquire the appropriate number of shares of common stock received in the merger or similar transaction.

Effective Date, Termination and Amendment. The Original 2003 Plan was effective as of November 19, 2003. The 2003 Plan will terminate on November 19, 2013, unless terminated earlier by the board of directors. The board of directors may amend the 2003 Plan at any time, subject to any requirement of shareholder approval required by applicable law and provided that no amendment may be made without shareholder approval if the amendment would (i) increase the number of shares of common stock available under the 2003 Plan, (ii) effect any change inconsistent with Section 422 of the Code or (iii) extend the term of the 2003 Plan.

Stock Options and Stock Appreciation Rights - General. Under the 2003 Plan, the Committee may grant to eligible participants non-qualified stock options and incentive stock options to purchase shares of common stock. The Committee may also grant SARs either independently of, or in tandem with, a stock option. The exercise of an SAR entitles the holder to receive shares of common stock (which may be restricted stock), cash or a combination thereof with a value equal to the difference between the fair market value of the common stock on the exercise date and base price of the SAR. Except for non-qualified stock options automatically granted to non-employee directors, the Committee will determine the terms of each option and SAR, including the number and exercise price or base price of the shares subject to the option or SAR, the term of the option or SAR and the conditions to the exercisability of the option or SAR, subject to the limitations set forth below. Upon exercise of an option, the purchase price must be paid in the manner set forth in the agreement relating to the option. The agreement may provide for payment in cash, by delivery of certain previously acquired shares of common stock, by delivery of an irrevocable notice of exercise to a broker-dealer acceptable to us or by a combination of cash and delivery of certain previously acquired shares of common stock.

Non-Qualified Stock Options and Stock Appreciation Rights. The exercise price of a non-qualified stock option and the base price of an SAR will be determined by the Committee, except that the price per share will not be less than 100% of the fair market value of a share of common stock on the date of grant and except that the base price of an SAR granted in tandem with an option will be the exercise price of the option.

If the holder of a non-qualified stock option or SAR terminates employment or service by reason of disability or death, unless otherwise specified in the agreement relating to the option or SAR, the option or SAR will be fully exercisable and may thereafter be exercised until the earlier of one year after the date of termination (or any other period set forth in the award agreement) and the expiration of the option or SAR. If the holder of a non-qualified stock option or SAR terminates employment or service by reason of retirement on or after age 60, unless otherwise specified in the agreement relating to the option or SAR, the option or

Table of Contents

SAR will be exercisable only to the extent that the option or SAR is exercisable on the effective date of the termination and may thereafter be exercised until the earlier of two years after the date of the termination (or any other period set forth in the award agreement) and the expiration of the option or SAR. If the employment or service of a holder of a non-qualified stock option or SAR is terminated by Bone Care for cause, the option or SAR automatically will be canceled on the date of termination. If the holder of a non-qualified stock option or SAR terminates employment or service for any reason other than disability, death, retirement on or after age 60 or for cause, unless otherwise specified in the award agreement, the option or SAR will be exercisable only to the extent that it is exercisable on the effective date of termination and may thereafter be exercised until the earlier of three months after the date of the termination (or any other period set forth in the award agreement) and the expiration of the option or SAR.

Incentive Stock Options. No incentive stock option will be exercisable later than ten years after its date of grant, and in the case of a recipient of an incentive stock option who owns more than 10% of the voting power of all shares of capital stock of Bone Care (a ten percent holder), the option must be exercised no later than five years after its date of grant. The exercise price of an incentive stock option will not be less than 100% of the fair market value of the common stock on the date of grant of the option, except that if the recipient of an incentive stock option is a ten percent holder, the exercise price will be not less than the price required by the Code, currently 110% of the fair market value of the common stock on its date of grant. To the extent that the aggregate fair market value of common stock with respect to which an incentive stock option is exercisable for the first time by an individual during a calendar year exceeds the amount established by the Code, currently \$100,000, the option will be treated as a non-qualified stock option.

If the holder of an incentive stock option terminates employment by reason of permanent and total disability (as defined in Section 22(e)(3) of the Code), unless otherwise specified in the option agreement, the option will be fully exercisable and may thereafter be exercised until the earlier of one year after the date of termination (or any shorter period set forth in the option agreement) and the expiration of the option. If the holder of an incentive stock option terminates employment by reason of death, unless otherwise specified in the option agreement, the option will be fully exercisable and may thereafter be exercised until the earlier of one year after the date of death (or any other period set forth in the option agreement) and the expiration of such option. If the employment of a holder of an incentive stock option is terminated by Bone Care for cause, the option automatically will be canceled on the date of the termination. If the holder of an incentive stock option terminates employment for any reason other than permanent and total disability, death or for cause, unless otherwise specified in the option agreement, the option will be exercisable to the same extent as set forth above with respect to non-qualified stock options and may thereafter be exercised until the earlier of three months after the date of termination and the expiration of the option. If the holder of an incentive stock option dies during the period of exercisability of the option following termination of employment by reason of permanent and total disability or for any other reason other than for cause, unless otherwise set forth in the option agreement, the option will be exercisable only to the extent that it is exercisable on the date of the holder's death and may thereafter be exercised until the earlier of one year after the date of the holder's death (or any other period set forth in the option agreement) and the expiration of the option.

Non-Employee Director Options. Under the 2003 Plan, on the date of each annual meeting of Bone Care shareholders, each person who is a non-employee director of Bone Care on that date will be granted a non-qualified stock option to purchase 20,000 shares of common stock. Under the Original 2003 Plan, non-employee directors received an annual grant of a non-qualified stock option to purchase 10,000 shares of common stock. Each non-employee director of Bone Care who becomes such on a date other than the date of an annual shareholder meeting will be granted a non-qualified option to purchase a pro-rated portion of 20,000 shares. Each annual option grant and each pro-rated annual option grant will become exercisable on the date of the annual shareholder meeting following the date of grant. Each new non-employee director of Bone Care will be granted a non-qualified option to purchase 20,000 shares of common stock and a non-qualified option to purchase a number of shares of common stock (not to exceed 30,000) equal to the number of shares purchased by the non-employee director during the first 90 days after the director first becomes a director. Each such option will become exercisable in equal annual installments over the non-employee director's initial term. Each option granted to a non-employee director will have an exercise price equal to 100% of the fair market value of a share of common stock on the date of grant and will expire 10 years after

Table of Contents

the date of grant. The number of shares subject to the options granted to a non-employee director are subject to adjustment in the event of a change in Bone Care's capital structure or similar event.

If the holder of a non-employee director option ceases to be a director of Bone Care by reason of disability or death, the non-employee director option will be fully exercisable and may thereafter be exercised until the earlier of one year after the date the holder ceased to be a director and the expiration of the option. If the holder of a non-employee director option ceases to be a director of Bone Care on or after age 60, the non-employee director option will be exercisable only to the extent that it is exercisable on the effective date of the holder's ceasing to be a director and may thereafter be exercised until the earlier of two years after the date the holder ceased to be a director and the expiration of the option. If the holder of a non-employee director option ceases to be a director of Bone Care for any other reason, the non-employee director option will be exercisable only to the extent that it is exercisable on the effective date of the holder's ceasing to be a director and may thereafter be exercised until the earlier of three months after the date the holder ceased to be a director and the expiration of the option. If the holder of a non-employee director option dies during any of the periods of exercisability following the holder's ceasing to be a director of Bone Care as described above, the non-employee director option will be exercisable only to the extent that it is exercisable on the date of the holder's death and may thereafter be exercised until the earlier of one year after the date of the holder's death and the expiration of the option.

Unrestricted Stock and Restricted Stock Awards. Under the 2003 Plan, the Committee may grant (i) unrestricted stock awards, which are vested upon grant, and (ii) stock awards which are subject to a restriction period (restricted stock). An award of restricted stock may be conditioned upon, or subject to, attainment of pre-established performance measures. Shares of restricted stock generally are subject to forfeiture if the holder does not remain continuously in the employment of or service to Bone Care during the restriction period or, if the restricted stock is subject to performance measures, if the performance measures are not attained during the restriction period, except that in the event of the termination of employment or service of a holder of a restricted stock award, any cancellation or forfeiture of the portion of the restricted stock award which is then subject to a restriction period will be subject to the terms set forth in the agreement relating to the award. Unless otherwise set forth in an award agreement, the holder of a restricted stock award will have rights as a shareholder of the Company, including the right to vote and receive dividends with respect to the shares of restricted stock.

Restricted Stock Units. Under the 2003 Plan, the Committee may grant restricted stock unit awards, which are subject to a restriction period (restricted stock units). An award of restricted stock units may be conditioned upon, or subject to, attainment of pre-established performance measures. Restricted stock units generally are subject to forfeiture if the holder does not remain continuously in the employment of or service to Bone Care during the restriction period or, if the restricted stock units are subject to performance measures, if the performance measures are not attained during the restriction period, except that in the event of the termination of employment or service of a holder of a restricted stock unit award, any cancellation or forfeiture of the portion of the restricted stock unit award which is then subject to a restriction period will be subject to the terms set forth in the agreement relating to the award. Upon termination of the restrictions related thereto, each restricted stock unit will be settled by delivery of one share of common stock. A participant holding restricted stock units will have no rights of a holder of common stock.

Performance Share Awards. Under the 2003 Plan, the Committee also may grant performance share awards. Each performance share represents a right, contingent upon the attainment of pre-established performance measures within a specified performance period, to receive one share of common stock, which may be restricted stock, or the fair market value of one share of common stock in cash. Prior to the settlement of a performance share award in shares of common stock, the holder of the award will have no rights as a shareholder of Bone Care with respect to the shares of common stock subject to the award. Performance shares generally are subject to forfeiture if the pre-established performance measures are not attained during the performance period, except that in the event of the termination of employment or service of a holder of a performance share award, any cancellation or forfeiture of the portion of the performance share award which is then subject to a performance period will be subject to the terms set forth in the agreement relating to such award.

Table of Contents

Performance Measures. Under the 2003 Plan, the vesting or payment of performance share awards and the vesting of certain restricted stock awards or restricted stock unit awards will be subject to the satisfaction of performance measures. The exercisability of stock options or SARs also may be subject to the satisfaction of performance measures. Under the 2003 Plan, performance measures may include the following: common stock value, earnings per share, return to shareholders (including dividends), return on assets, return on equity, earnings of the Company, revenues, market share, cash flow, cost reduction goals or any combination of the foregoing. The performance measures applicable to a particular award will be determined by the Committee. No performance measures currently have been designated by the Committee in connection with any award to be granted under the 2003 Plan.

Nontransferability. Unless otherwise specified in the agreement relating to an award, no award granted under the 2003 Plan will be transferable other than by will, the laws of descent and distribution or pursuant to beneficiary designation procedures approved by Bone Care.

Federal Income Tax Consequences

The following is a brief summary of the United States federal income tax consequences of awards made under the 2003 Plan. The following should not be relied upon as being a complete description of those consequences and does not address the state, local or other tax consequences of awards made under the 2003 Plan.

Stock Options. A participant will not recognize taxable income at the time of grant of a stock option. A participant will recognize compensation taxable as ordinary income upon exercise of a non-qualified stock option equal to the excess of the fair market value, on the date of exercise, of the shares purchased over their exercise price, and Bone Care generally will be entitled to a corresponding deduction. A participant will not recognize taxable income (except for purposes of the alternative minimum tax) upon exercise of an incentive stock option. If the shares acquired by exercise of an incentive stock option are held for the longer of two years from the date the option was granted and one year from the date the shares were transferred to the participant, any gain or loss arising from a subsequent disposition of those shares will be taxed as long-term capital gain or loss, and Bone Care will not be entitled to any deduction. If, however, the shares are disposed of within the above-described period, then in the year of the disposition the participant will recognize compensation taxable as ordinary income equal to the excess of the lesser of the amount realized upon the disposition and the fair market value of the shares on the date of exercise over the exercise price, and Bone Care generally will be entitled to a corresponding deduction.

SARs. A participant will not recognize taxable income at the time of grant of an SAR. A participant will recognize compensation taxable as ordinary income upon exercise of an SAR equal to the fair market value of any shares delivered and the amount of any cash paid by Bone Care upon that exercise, and Bone Care generally will be entitled to a corresponding deduction.

Restricted Stock. A participant will not recognize taxable income at the time of grant of shares of restricted stock and Bone Care will not be entitled to a deduction at that time, unless the participant makes an election to be taxed at the time the shares of restricted stock are granted. If that election is not made, the participant will recognize compensation taxable as ordinary income at the time the restrictions lapse in an amount equal to the excess of the fair market value of the shares at that time over the amount, if any, paid for the shares. The amount of ordinary income recognized by a participant by making the above-described election or upon the lapse of the restrictions is deductible by Bone Care as a compensation expense, except to the extent the deduction limits of Section 162(m) of the Code apply. In addition, a participant receiving dividends with respect to restricted stock for which the above-described election has not been made and prior to the time the restrictions lapse will recognize compensation taxable as ordinary income, rather than dividend income, in an amount equal to the dividends paid and Bone Care will be entitled to a corresponding deduction, except to the extent the deduction limits of Section 162(m) of the Code apply.

Unrestricted Stock. A participant will recognize compensation taxable as ordinary income at the time of grant of shares of unrestricted stock in an amount equal to the then fair market value of the shares, and Bone Care will be entitled to a corresponding deduction, except to the extent the deduction limits of Section 162(m) of the Code apply.

Table of Contents

Restricted Stock Units. A participant will not recognize taxable income at the time a restricted stock unit award is granted and Bone Care will not be entitled to a tax deduction at that time. Upon the payment or settlement of any such award with unrestricted shares of common stock, the participant will recognize compensation taxable as ordinary income (subject to income tax withholding) in an amount equal to the fair market value of any shares delivered and the amount of cash paid by Bone Care. This amount is deductible by Bone Care as compensation expense, except to the extent the deduction limit of Section 162(m) of the Code applies.

Performance Shares. A participant will not recognize taxable income at the time of grant of performance shares. Upon the settlement of performance shares, a participant will recognize compensation taxable as ordinary income equal to the fair market value of any shares delivered and the amount of any cash paid by Bone Care and Bone Care generally will be entitled to a corresponding deduction.

Tax Withholding. The compensation taxable as ordinary income recognized by a participant (other than a non-employee director) in connection with an award under the 2003 Plan will be subject to withholding of tax by Bone Care.

Section 162(m) of the Code. Section 162(m) of the Code generally limits to \$1 million the amount that a publicly held corporation is allowed each year to deduct for the compensation paid to each of the corporation's chief executive officer and the corporation's four most highly compensated executive officers other than the chief executive officer. However, certain types of compensation paid to those executives are not subject to the \$1 million deduction limit. One type is qualified performance-based compensation. Qualified performance-based compensation must satisfy all of the following requirements: (i) the compensation must be payable solely on account of the attainment of preestablished objective performance measures, (ii) the performance measures must be determined by a committee consisting solely of two or more outside directors, (iii) the material terms under which the compensation is to be paid, including the performance measures, must be approved by a majority of the corporation's shareholders and (iv) the committee administering the plan must certify that the applicable performance measures were satisfied before payment of any performance-based compensation is made. The Committee will consist solely of two or more outside directors as defined for purposes of Section 162(m) of the Code. As a result, and based on regulations published by the United States Department of the Treasury, certain compensation under the 2003 Plan, such as that payable with respect to options, SARs, restricted stock with restrictions based upon the attainment of performance measures and performance awards, is not expected to be subject to the \$1 million deduction limit under Section 162(m) of the Code, but other compensation under the 2003 Plan, such as that payable with respect to unrestricted stock and restricted stock with restrictions not based upon the attainment of performance measures, is expected to be subject to such limit.

The following table sets forth the aggregate number of shares of common stock underlying options which would be granted automatically to non-employee directors each year:

Position	Number of Shares
All Non-Employee Directors as a Group (6 persons)	120,000

The exercise price per share would be 100% of the fair market value of a share of common stock on the date of grant. On October 1, 2004, the closing sale price of common stock on the Nasdaq National Market was \$ 1.00 per share. The general terms of each option are described above under Non-Employee Director Options in Proposal 2 Approval of Amendment to the 2003 Stock Incentive Plan.

The options to be granted to the persons listed under Summary Compensation Table during the fiscal year ending June 30, 2005 are not determinable. The options granted to those persons during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004 are listed under Option Grants in 2004 .

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS YOU VOTE FOR APPROVAL OF THE AMENDMENT TO OUR 2003 STOCK INCENTIVE PLAN.

Table of Contents

**PROPOSAL 3 APPROVAL OF AMENDMENT TO OUR ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION
TO INCREASE THE AUTHORIZED COMMON AND PREFERRED STOCK**

Currently our articles of incorporation authorize us to issue up to 28 million shares of common stock and 2 million shares of preferred stock. As of September 30, 2004, we had outstanding 19,415,338 shares of common stock and no shares of preferred stock, and had reserved for issuance approximately 2.6 million shares of common stock pursuant to our stock incentive plans (which would be increased to approximately 4 million shares if Proposal No. 2 is approved by the shareholders). Accordingly, as of September 30, 2004, a balance of less than 6 million shares of common stock was available and unreserved for future issuance (which would be reduced to less than 5 million shares if Proposal No. 2 is approved by the shareholders.)

Our board of directors believes that it is in the best interests of our company and its shareholders that the company have the flexibility to issue or reserve additional shares of common stock, without the expense and delay of a special shareholders meeting. The availability of additional shares will enhance our ability to issue stock in connection with stock issuances to raise capital or effect acquisitions, stock splits, stock dividends, employee benefit programs or for other corporate purposes.

Accordingly, the board of directors has approved, and recommends to shareholders that they adopt, an amendment to our articles of incorporation to increase the number of authorized shares of common stock from 28 million shares to 75 million shares and to increase the number of authorized shares of preferred stock from 2 million shares to 10 million shares.

The first sentence of ARTICLE III of the articles of incorporation of Bone Care would be amended to read in its entirety as follows:

The number of shares of capital stock which the corporation shall be authorized to issue is Eighty Five Million (85,000,000) shares, divided into Seventy Five Million (75,000,000) shares of common stock, no par value per share, and Ten Million (10,000,000) shares of preferred stock, \$.001 par value per share.

While the increase in the authorized shares is not being proposed for this reason, the availability of the additional shares could be used to render more difficult or discourage a merger, tender offer or proxy contest, the assumption of control by a holder of a large block of our securities, or the removal of incumbent management by increasing the aggregate outstanding shares, and therefore the number of shares required to accomplish such a transaction. The board of directors may approve the issuance of previously authorized shares of common or preferred stock at such times and to such persons as it may determine to be in the best interests of our company and our shareholders, except for certain transactions involving the issuance of stock for which the Nasdaq rules require prior shareholder approval. The proposed amendment therefore may have the effect of discouraging unsolicited takeover attempts, even if the transaction were favored by the current shareholders. The issuance of additional shares could also have a dilutive effect on earnings per share and, because the shareholders of Bone Care have no preemptive rights, on the equity ownership of the current holders of common stock.

As described under Proposal No. 4, the certificate of incorporation for Bone Care Delaware authorizes 75 million shares of common stock and 10 million shares of preferred stock. Accordingly, if Proposal No 4 is approved by the shareholders, it will not be necessary to amend our articles of incorporation as described in this Proposal No. 3 and the proposed amendment will not be effected.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS YOU VOTE FOR APPROVAL OF THE AMENDMENT TO OUR ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION TO INCREASE THE AUTHORIZED SHARES OF COMMON AND PREFERRED STOCK.

PROPOSAL 4 APPROVAL OF THE AGREEMENT AND PLAN OF MERGER

TO EFFECT THE REINCORPORATION OF THE COMPANY UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

The board of directors has unanimously approved, and for the reasons described below recommends that the shareholders approve, a reorganization in which the company's state of incorporation would be changed from Wisconsin to Delaware. This change would be accomplished by merging the company (referred to in this

Table of Contents

proposal as Bone Care Wisconsin) into a wholly-owned Delaware subsidiary (referred to in this proposal as Bone Care Delaware), which will be formed for this purpose, with each share of Bone Care Wisconsin s outstanding common stock being exchanged for one share of common stock of Bone Care Delaware. Upon completion of the merger, all of the previously outstanding shares of common stock of Bone Care Wisconsin will be automatically converted into shares of common stock of Bone Care Delaware. The proposed reorganization will be accomplished pursuant to the terms of an agreement and plan of merger between Bone Care Wisconsin and Bone Care Delaware, a copy of which is attached to this Proxy Statement as Exhibit B.

At and after the effective date of the merger, each certificate that previously represented shares of common stock of Bone Care Wisconsin will represent shares of common stock of Bone Care Delaware into which those shares of common stock of Bone Care Wisconsin have been converted as a result of the merger. **IT WILL NOT BE NECESSARY FOR SHAREHOLDERS OF BONE CARE WISCONSIN TO HAVE THEIR STOCK CERTIFICATES EXCHANGED FOR STOCK CERTIFICATES REPRESENTING THE SHARES OF BONE CARE DELAWARE.** The shares of common stock of the company are listed for trading on the Nasdaq National Market and will continue to be traded on the Nasdaq National Market, without interruption, after the reincorporation. The reorganization will not result in any change in the name, business, management, assets, liabilities or net worth of Bone Care, and it will not cause the relocation of its corporate offices, management, or employees. The directors of Bone Care Wisconsin, including those elected at the annual meeting will become the directors of Bone Care Delaware upon completion of the reincorporation. Bone Care Delaware will be governed by Delaware law, and a new certificate of incorporation and bylaws will take effect, resulting in certain changes in the rights of shareholders as described below.

Shareholders should note that approval of the proposed agreement and plan of merger to effect the reorganization will constitute approval of the assumption by Bone Care Delaware of the 2003 Stock Incentive Plan (including the amendment to that plan if it is approved at the meeting) and the 2003 and 1996 Stock Incentive Plans of the Company, and approval of the Certificate of Incorporation of Bone Care Delaware.

The affirmative vote of holders of 60% of all of the outstanding shares of common stock outstanding on the record date will be required to approve the agreement and plan of merger. If approved by the shareholders, it is anticipated that the reorganization would be completed within 30 days of shareholder approval. However, the reorganization may be delayed or abandoned, either before or after shareholder approval, if circumstances arise which, in the opinion of the board of directors, make it inadvisable to proceed.

Important aspects of the agreement and plan of merger and the resulting reorganization and reincorporation of the company in Delaware are outlined below.

For many years Delaware has encouraged incorporation in that state by maintaining comprehensive, modern, and flexible corporate laws, which are periodically updated and revised to meet changing business needs. The Delaware courts have developed considerable expertise in dealing with corporate issues, and a substantial body of case law has developed construing Delaware law and establishing public policies with respect to corporate legal affairs. As a result, Delaware corporate law has a high degree of predictability and flexibility. In addition, an increasing number of major corporations are now incorporated in Delaware, and investors from all parts of the country and internationally are more familiar with Delaware law than with the corporate law of most other states, including Wisconsin. Accordingly, the board of directors of the company believes the company s continued growth will be aided by its reincorporation in Delaware.

In addition to these general reasons, the board of directors has recommended the proposed reorganization of the company under Delaware law because it will permit the Company to limit the liability of its shareholders and directors and to provide indemnification to its officers, directors, and employees with greater certainty than is presently possible under Wisconsin law. The company seeks to retain the most capable individuals available to serve as its officers and directors. The board of directors believes that the adoption of the reincorporation could be a significant factor in attracting such individuals and in encouraging existing directors and officers to continue to serve in these capacities and freeing them to make corporate decisions on the merits rather than out of a desire to avoid personal liability. It should be noted that there may be an inherent conflict of interest in the board of directors recommendation of the proposed reorganization due to the interest of the members of the board of directors in obtaining the protection of such limited liability provisions.

Table of Contents

Wisconsin law provides that shareholders of a Wisconsin corporation are personally liable for, in the case of shares without par value (such as our shares of common stock), up to an amount equal to the price for which shares were issued, for all debts owing to employees for services performed for the corporation, although shareholders of a Wisconsin corporation are not liable for wages to employees in excess of six months service for any individual employee. Delaware law contains no similar provision.

The proposed reorganization does not result from any pending legal action against the officers, directors or employees of the company. Similarly, the board of directors has no present knowledge of any proposed tender offer or other attempt to change the control of the company, and no tender offer or other type of change of control is presently pending or has occurred in the past. Nonetheless, if a takeover were attempted in the future, the laws of Delaware may improve the company's ability to defend itself and/or negotiate the highest possible return for shareholders.

Changes in Bone Care's Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws to be Effected by Reincorporation

The following discussion summarizes the material differences between the current articles of incorporation and bylaws of Bone Care Wisconsin and the proposed certificate of incorporation and bylaws of Bone Care Delaware (collectively and sometimes referred to hereafter as the "charter documents") and between the applicable corporate laws of Wisconsin and Delaware. A copy of the certificate of incorporation of Bone Care Delaware is attached hereto as Exhibit C, and all statements herein concerning such document are qualified by reference to the exact provisions thereof. The certificate of incorporation and bylaws of Bone Care Delaware would govern Bone Care Delaware following completion of the merger. Approval of the reorganization by the shareholders and completion of the merger by Bone Care Wisconsin will result in the adoption of all the provisions set forth in the Bone Care Delaware certificate of incorporation.

Limitation of Liability for Directors

Although both the Wisconsin Business Corporation Law (Wisconsin corporation law) and the Delaware General Corporation Law (Delaware corporation law) limit the personal liability of directors to the corporation and its shareholders, but not third parties, there are, nonetheless, certain minor differences, as noted below.

Unless a company's articles of incorporation specifically provide otherwise, and Bone Care Wisconsin's articles do not, Wisconsin corporation law provides an automatic limitation on liability which provides that directors are not liable to the corporation, its shareholders, or any person asserting rights on behalf of the corporation or its shareholders except in the following circumstances: (i) a willful failure to deal fairly with the corporation or its shareholders in a matter in which the director has a material conflict of interest; (ii) a violation of criminal law, unless the director had no reasonable cause to believe his or her conduct was unlawful; (iii) a transaction from which the director derived an improper personal profit; or (iv) willful misconduct.

Delaware corporation law permits a corporation to include a provision in its certificate of incorporation which eliminates the personal liability of a director for monetary damages arising from breaches of his or her fiduciary duties to the corporation or its shareholders, except for liability (i) for a breach of the director's duty of loyalty to the company or its shareholders, (ii) for acts or omissions not in good faith or involving intentional misconduct or a knowing violation of the law; (iii) under Section 174 of Delaware General Corporation Law, relating to unlawful payments of dividends or unlawful stock repurchases or redemptions and (iv) for any transaction from which the director derived an improper personal benefit. Such a provision is included in the proposed certificate of incorporation for Bone Care Delaware. The limitation of liability does not apply to any liabilities arising under federal or state securities laws and does not affect the availability of equitable remedies such as injunctive relief or rescission. The limitation of liability with respect to Bone Care Delaware will not extend to acts or omissions of a director which occurred before the date on which the certificate of incorporation became effective.

In general, under both Wisconsin and Delaware corporation law and the respective charter documents, a director may not be held liable to the company or its shareholders for monetary damages arising out of the director's negligence, gross negligence, or lack of due care in carrying out his or her fiduciary duties as a

Table of Contents

director. After the reincorporation, and under Delaware corporation law and the proposed certificate of incorporation for Bone Care Delaware, a director could be held liable to the company or its shareholders only if the director either acted in bad faith or in breach of the director's duty of loyalty to the company, engaged in intentional misconduct, knowingly derived an improper personal benefit, or approved an illegal dividend or stock repurchase. Although not significantly different than the willful failure to deal fairly and willful misconduct exceptions to limited liability under Wisconsin corporation law, the effect of including the permitted limitation of liability provisions in the certificate of incorporation for Bone Care Delaware may be to limit or eliminate an effective remedy that would otherwise be available to a shareholder dissatisfied with decisions of the board of directors. The company believes, however, that directors are primarily motivated to exercise due care in managing the company's affairs by concern for the best interests of the company and its shareholders, not the fear of potential monetary damage awards. Accordingly, the company believes that the reincorporation would not diminish the board of directors' high standard of corporate governance or the accountability of directors to the company and its shareholders.

Indemnification for Directors, Officers, Employees, and Agents

Under their respective and corresponding charter provisions, both Bone Care Wisconsin and Bone Care Delaware, are required to indemnify their directors and officers. Additionally, the charter documents of both companies provide that such indemnification shall not be exclusive of such other rights to indemnification as may be provided to the directors and officers by written agreement, vote of either the shareholders or directors, or otherwise. As discussed below, however, there are a number of differences between the indemnification provided by Wisconsin corporation law and the bylaws of Bone Care Wisconsin and that provided by Delaware corporation law and the proposed bylaws of Bone Care Delaware.

Wisconsin corporation law and Bone Care Wisconsin's bylaws require indemnification of the company's directors and officers to the extent that they are successful on the merits in the defense of the proceeding. In all other cases, Bone Care Wisconsin's bylaws also require indemnification unless liability was incurred because the director or officer breached or failed to perform a duty that he or she owed to the corporation and the breach or failure to perform constituted any of the following: (i) a willful failure to deal fairly with the corporation or its shareholders in a matter in which the director has a material conflict of interest; (ii) a violation of criminal law, unless the director had no reasonable cause to believe his or her conduct was unlawful; (iii) a transaction from which the director derived an improper personal profit; or (iv) willful misconduct. Bone Care Wisconsin cannot, under Wisconsin corporation law, indemnify its officers and directors for conduct falling into one of the foregoing excepted categories even if such indemnification were to be set forth in a separate agreement or a resolution of the board of directors or the shareholders of the company. Under Bone Care Wisconsin's bylaws, the officer or director seeking indemnification, other than in cases where he or she has prevailed upon the merits, may select one of the following methods for determining his or her right to indemnification: (i) majority vote of disinterested directors; (ii) independent legal counsel selected by the board of directors; (iii) a panel of three arbitrators selected by the board of directors; (iv) shareholder vote; (v) court order or (vi) any other method provided for in any additional right to indemnification. Even where an officer or director would not be entitled to indemnification because of a breach or failure to perform, they may still obtain court-ordered indemnification if the court determines that they are fairly and reasonably entitled to indemnification in view of all the relevant circumstances.

Wisconsin corporation law allows, and the bylaws of Bone Care Wisconsin require, advancement of expenses as incurred to officers and directors upon submission of their written request and written affirmation of their good faith belief that they have not breached or failed to perform their duties to the corporation and a written undertaking that they will repay the corporation the amounts advanced to them if indemnification is ultimately disallowed. Wisconsin corporation law requires indemnification of employees who are not officers or directors, to the extent that they are successful on the merits. Bone Care Wisconsin's bylaws also require indemnification of its employees and agents to the same extent provided with respect to its officers and directors.

As in Wisconsin, Delaware corporation law requires indemnification of directors and officers where they have been successful on the merits. In all other circumstances, Delaware corporation law generally permits indemnification for expenses incurred in the defense or settlement of a derivative or third-party action if there

Table of Contents

is a determination by majority vote of the directors who are not parties to such action, even though less than a quorum, or, if there are no such directors or if such directors so direct, by independent legal counsel in a written opinion, or by the shareholders, that the person seeking indemnification acted in good faith and in a manner reasonably believed to be in or not opposed to the best interests of the corporation, and with respect to any criminal action, had no reasonable cause to believe their conduct was unlawful. Without court approval, however, no indemnification may be made in respect of any derivative action in which such person is adjudged liable for negligence or misconduct in the performance of his or her duty to the corporation.

The bylaws of Bone Care Delaware require indemnification of directors and officers of the corporation to the fullest extent permitted by Delaware corporation law as currently in effect or as it may be amended, except that in an action initiated by the officer or director, the company is only required to indemnify if the action was first authorized by the board of directors. The bylaws of Bone Care Delaware further provide that expenses incurred by an individual in his or her capacity as a director of Bone Care Delaware or in certain other capacities in defending a civil or criminal action shall be paid by Bone Care Delaware in advance of the final disposition of the matter upon receipt of an undertaking from the director to repay the sum advanced if it shall ultimately be determined that he or she is not entitled to be indemnified by Bone Care Delaware as authorized by its bylaws.

Special Meetings of Shareholders

Wisconsin corporation law and the bylaws of Bone Care Wisconsin provide that a special meeting of the shareholders may be called by the board of directors, the president or secretary of the company, or by the president upon receipt of a request in writing for a special meeting signed by the holders of at least ten percent (10%) of all votes entitled to be cast on any issue proposed be considered at the special meeting.

The bylaws of Bone Care Delaware provide that only the board of directors, the chairman of the board, the president, or the holders of a majority of the shares entitled to vote, may call a special meeting of the shareholders. Because only the shareholders holding a majority of the voting shares have the right to call a special meeting, shareholders in the minority cannot force shareholder consideration of a proposal prior to such time as the board believes such consideration to be appropriate.

Increase in Number of Authorized Shares of Common Stock

As described under Proposal No. 3, currently the articles of incorporation of Bone Care Wisconsin authorize us to issue 28 million shares of common stock and 2 million shares of preferred stock. As of September 30, 2004, we had outstanding 19,415,338 shares of common stock and no shares of preferred stock, and has reserved for issuance approximately 2.6 million shares of common stock pursuant to our stock incentive plans (which would be increased to approximately 4 million shares if Proposal No. 2 is approved by the shareholders. Accordingly, as of September 30, 2004, a balance of less than 6 million shares of common stock was available and unreserved for future issuance (which would be reduced to less than 5 million shares if Proposal No. 2 is approved by the shareholders).

Our board of directors believes that it is in the best interests of our company and its shareholders that the company have the flexibility to issue or reserve additional shares of common stock, without the expense and delay of a special shareholders meeting. The availability of additional shares will enhance our ability to issue stock in connection with stock issuances to raise capital or effect acquisitions, stock splits, stock dividends, employee benefit programs or for other corporate purposes.

Accordingly, the proposed certificate of incorporation of Bone Care Delaware authorizes 75 million shares of common stock and 10 million shares of preferred stock.

While the increase in the authorized shares reflected in the certificate of incorporation of Bone Care Delaware is not being proposed for this reason, the availability of the additional shares could be used to render more difficult or discourage a merger, tender offer or proxy contest, the assumption of control by a holder of a large block of our securities, or the removal of incumbent management by increasing the aggregate outstanding shares, and therefore, the number of shares required to accomplish such a transaction. The board of directors may approve the issuance of previously authorized shares of common or preferred stock at such times and to such persons as it may determine to be in the best interest of our company and our shareholders,

Table of Contents

except for certain transactions involving the issuance of stock for which the Nasdaq rules require prior shareholder approval. This increase in authorized shares therefore may have the effect of discouraging unsolicited takeover attempts, even if the transaction were favored by the current shareholders. The issuance of additional shares could also have a dilutive effect on earnings per share and, because the shareholders of the company have no preemptive rights, on the equity ownership of the current holders of common stock.

Other Provisions

The certificate of incorporation of Bone Care Delaware contains other provisions which are similar to those currently contained in the articles of incorporation of Bone Care Wisconsin, including provisions (i) permitting the board of directors to fix the designation, powers, preferences and rights of one or more series of preferred stock, (ii) providing for the classification of the board of directors into three classes serving staggered terms of three years each and the removal of directors only upon an affirmative vote of 80% of the outstanding voting securities, (iii) requiring an affirmative vote of 60% of the outstanding voting securities for approval of business combinations and (iv) requiring an affirmative vote of 80% of the outstanding voting securities for certain amendments to the certificate of incorporation. In addition, the certificate of incorporation of Bone Care Delaware will provide for a series of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock pursuant to the terms of the preferred stock purchase rights of Bone Care Delaware, which will reflect the same terms as the preferred stock purchase rights of Bone Care Wisconsin.

Other Significant Differences Between the Corporation Laws of Wisconsin and Delaware

In addition to the foregoing changes in the charter documents of the company, the reincorporation will also effect a transfer from the jurisdiction of Wisconsin corporation law to Delaware corporation law as controlling on other aspects of corporate governance. The following provides a summary of the major substantive differences, other than those already discussed above under the changes to the charter documents, between the corporate laws of Wisconsin and Delaware. It is not an exhaustive description of the differences between the two states' laws, and all statements herein are qualified by reference to the complete texts of the Wisconsin Business Corporation Law and Delaware General Corporation Law.

Liability for Shareholders

Wisconsin law provides that shareholders of a Wisconsin corporation are personally liable for, in the case of shares without par value (such as our shares of common stock), up to an amount equal to the price for which shares were issued, for all debts owing to employees for services performed for the corporation, although shareholders of a Wisconsin corporation are not liable for wages to employees in excess of six months service for any individual employee. Delaware law contains no similar provision.

Restrictions on Certain Business Combinations

Both Wisconsin and Delaware have enacted laws designed to make certain kinds of hostile corporate takeovers, or other transactions involving one or more of a corporation's significant shareholders more difficult. Generally, under the corporate laws of Wisconsin and Delaware, resident corporations are prohibited from engaging in a business combination with an interested shareholder for three years following the date that such person or entity became an interested shareholder. In Wisconsin, an interested shareholder is generally defined as a person or entity owning 10% or more of the corporation's outstanding voting stock. In Delaware, the threshold is 15% or more of the outstanding voting stock. There are also certain exceptions to the three year moratorium, which exceptions vary between Wisconsin and Delaware. Wisconsin also places certain restrictions on business combinations occurring more than three years after the date on which the interested shareholder acquired the stock and requires that all shareholders receive the same price for their shares unless the transaction is first approved by 80% of all outstanding shares and two-thirds of all shares other than those owned by a shareholder who is a party to the transaction. Delaware does not impose such additional restrictions on business combinations.

Cumulative Voting

Wisconsin corporation law prohibits cumulative voting for directors unless it is specifically authorized in the corporation's articles of incorporation. Bone Care Wisconsin's articles of incorporation do not contain any

Table of Contents

such authorization. Under Delaware corporation law, the certificate of incorporation may provide for cumulative voting in the election of directors. The proposed certificate of incorporation of Bone Care Delaware does not provide for cumulative voting and specifically denies the shareholders the right to cumulate their votes for directors or for any other matter. Thus, following reincorporation, shareholders will continue not to have cumulative voting rights unless the certificate of incorporation is amended to provide such rights, and under the proposed Delaware Certificate of Incorporation, an amendment to the article denying cumulative voting rights requires a super-majority of 66 and 2/3 percent of all outstanding shares. The continued absence of cumulative voting rights limits the ability of minority shareholders to obtain representation on the board of directors.

Inspection of Shareholder List

Both Wisconsin and Delaware require corporations to allow shareholders to inspect the shareholder list. Wisconsin corporation law requires that the list be made available to shareholders, their agents, or attorneys, beginning two business days after notice of the meeting is given and continuing to the date of the meeting and at the meeting. Delaware corporation law requires the list be made available to any shareholder for any purpose germane to the meeting beginning at least 10 days prior to the meeting and continuing to the date of and at the meeting. Wisconsin specifically provides that shareholders are entitled to copy the list and that if the list is not made available at the required times, the shareholder may petition the circuit court for the county in which the corporation has its principal offices for an order requiring the list be made available and/or to postpone the meeting. Delaware does not include these same terms but does make directors who refuse to provide the list ineligible for election at the meeting.

Dividends and Repurchase of Shares

Wisconsin corporation law permits a corporation to authorize and make distributions, including dividends, redemptions, or other acquisitions of its shares, but only if the corporation is able to pay its debts as they become due and the corporation's total assets are equal to or greater than its total liabilities plus the amount that would be needed, if the corporation were to be dissolved at the time of the distribution, to satisfy the preferential rights of shareholders whose preferential rights are superior to those receiving the distribution.

Delaware corporation law permits a corporation to declare and pay dividends out of surplus or, if there is no surplus, out of net profits for the fiscal year in which the dividend is declared and/or for the preceding fiscal year as long as payment of the dividend is not less than the aggregate amount of the capital represented by the issued and outstanding stock of all classes having a preference upon the distribution of assets. In addition, Delaware corporation law generally provides that a corporation may redeem or repurchase its shares only if the capital of the corporation is not impaired and such redemption or repurchase would not impair its capital.

Dissenters' Appraisal Rights

Under both Wisconsin and Delaware corporation law, a shareholder of a corporation participating in certain major transactions may, under varying circumstances, be entitled to receive appraisal rights pursuant to which such shareholder may receive cash in the amount of the fair market value of his or her shares in lieu of the consideration that he or she would otherwise receive in the transaction. In both states, the fair market value is determined exclusive of any element of value arising from the accomplishment or expectation of the proposed transaction. Under Wisconsin corporation law, such appraisal rights are not available if (a) the corporation is subject to a court-ordered plan of reorganization under federal statute; or (b) the class or series of the shareholders' shares are listed on either a national securities exchange or quoted on the NASDAQ system. Under Delaware corporation law, appraisal rights are not available: (a) with respect to the sale, lease or exchange of all or substantially all of the assets of a corporation; or (b) if the shares are either listed on a national securities exchange, or designated as a national market system security on NASDAQ, or are held of record by more than 2,000 holders.

Dissolution

Under Wisconsin corporation law, a corporation's board of directors must first propose dissolution for submission to the shareholders who must approve the proposal by a majority of all the votes entitled to be cast

Table of Contents

on the proposal. Under Delaware corporation law, unless the board of directors approves the proposal to dissolve, the dissolution must be unanimously approved by all the shareholders entitled to vote thereon. If the dissolution is approved by the board of directors, it may be approved by a simple majority of all the votes entitled to be cast on the proposal.

Federal Income Tax Consequences

The reorganization provided for in the agreement and plan of merger is intended to be tax free under the Internal Revenue Code. Assuming that the reincorporation qualifies as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, no gain or loss will be recognized to the holders of Bone Care Wisconsin shares as a result of the consummation of the reorganization, and no gain or loss will be recognized by Bone Care Wisconsin or Bone Care Delaware. Each former holder of Bone Care Wisconsin shares will have the same basis in the Bone Care Delaware stock received by such holder pursuant to the reorganization as the holder had in the Bone Care Wisconsin shares held by such holder at the time of the consummation of the reorganization, and his or her holding period with respect to such Bone Care Delaware stock will include the period during which the holder held the corresponding Bone Care Wisconsin shares, provided the latter were held by the holder as capital assets at the time of the consummation of the reorganization.

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR APPROVAL OF THE
AGREEMENT AND PLAN OF MERGER.**

PROPOSAL 5 RATIFICATION OF THE SELECTION OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

The audit committee of the board of directors has selected Deloitte & Touche LLP to audit our financial statements for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2005. Deloitte & Touche LLP audited our financial statements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004.

It is expected that a representative of Deloitte & Touche LLP will attend the 2004 annual meeting, with the opportunity to make a statement if they should desire, and will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

Approval of the ratification of the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP will require that the number of votes cast favoring approval exceeds the number of votes cast opposing the proposal. Unless otherwise specified, the shares of common stock represented by the proxies being solicited will be voted FOR the proposal.

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS YOU VOTE FOR APPROVAL OF THE RATIFICATION OF THE SELECTION
OF DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP.**

OTHER BUSINESS

The board knows of no other business which will come before the annual meeting. If any other matters shall properly come before the annual meeting, your authorized proxies will vote on such matters in accordance with their best judgment on such matters.

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS

The bylaws of the Company provide that no business may be conducted at an annual meeting (including proposed nominations of persons for election to the board) unless properly brought before the meeting. For business to be properly brought before an annual meeting by a shareholder, the shareholder must have given written notice to the corporate secretary of Bone Care, received at our principal executive offices, not less than 60 days nor more than 90 days prior to the meeting or, if less than 70 days notice of the meeting or prior public disclosure of the date of the meeting is given or made to shareholders, not later than the close of business on the tenth day following the day on which the notice of the meeting was mailed or, if earlier, the day on which the public disclosure was made. Our Bylaws also contain requirements for the information that must be contained in the shareholder's notice. Copies of the applicable Bylaw provisions may be obtained, without charge, upon written request to the corporate secretary of the Company at its principal executive offices. The 2005 annual meeting is expected to be held on November , 2005. Accordingly, a shareholder

Table of Contents

proposal or nomination intended to be brought before the 2005 annual meeting must be received by the secretary on or after August , 2005 and on or prior to September , 2005.

In order to be considered for inclusion in our proxy materials for the 2005 annual meeting of shareholders, written notice of any shareholder proposal must be delivered or mailed to and received at our principal executive offices by June , 2005, at 1600 Aspen Commons, Middleton, Wisconsin 53562.

COSTS OF SOLICITATION

We will pay the costs of soliciting proxies, including printing, handling and mailing of this proxy statement, the proxy and related material furnished to shareholders. Copies of solicitation materials will be furnished to banks, brokerage houses, custodians, nominees and fiduciaries holding shares of common stock in their names which are beneficially owned by others to forward to such beneficial owners. We may reimburse persons representing beneficial owners for their costs of forwarding proxy material to the beneficial owners. Certain officers, directors and regular employees of the Company may solicit proxies by telephone, telegraph, and facsimile or in person. These persons will receive no extra compensation for their services.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Paul L. Berns
President and Chief Executive Officer

Middleton, Wisconsin
October , 2004

Table of Contents

Preliminary Copy Dated September 27, 2004

**BONE CARE INTERNATIONAL, INC.
ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS**

1600 ASPEN COMMONS
MIDDLETON, WISCONSIN

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 23, 2004
9:00 A.M.

Bone Care International Inc. 1600 Aspen Commons Middleton Wisconsin 53562
PROXY

The undersigned shareholder of Bone Care International, Inc. (the Company), hereby acknowledges receipt of the Notice of the 2004 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and Proxy Statement of the Company, and hereby appoints Paul L. Berns and Brian J. Hayden, and each of them as proxies, with full power of substitution, to vote on behalf of the undersigned the number of shares which the undersigned is then entitled to vote, at the Annual Meeting of the Shareholders of Bone Care International, Inc., to be held at _____, on Tuesday, November 23, 2004 at 9:00 A.M., local time, and any adjournments or postponements thereof, upon the matters set forth on the reverse side, with all the powers which the undersigned would possess if personally present.

The undersigned hereby revokes all previous proxies relating to the shares covered hereby.

THIS PROXY IS SOLICITED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS. It will be voted on the matters set forth on the reverse side of this form as directed by the shareholder, but if no direction is made in the space provided, it will be voted FOR proposals 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5.

See reverse for voting instructions.

VOTE BY MAIL

Mark, sign and date your proxy card and return it in the postage-paid envelope provided or return it to Bone Care International, Inc., c/o Shareowner Services, P.O. Box 64873, St. Paul, MN 55164-0873.

Please detach here

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR ITEMS 1, 2, 3, 4 AND 5.

Proposal 1.	Election of directors	<input type="radio"/> Vote FOR all nominees	<input type="radio"/> Vote WITHHELD from all nominees	<input type="radio"/> Vote FOR All Nominees Except
-------------	-----------------------	---	---	--

- 01 Michael A. Appelbaum
- 02 Michael D. Casey
- 03 Herbert J. Conrad

(INSTRUCTIONS: TO WITHHOLD AUTHORITY TO VOTE FOR ANY INDICATED NOMINEE, MARK FOR ALL NOMINEES EXCEPT AND WRITE THE NOMINEE'S NAME IN THE BOX PROVIDED TO THE RIGHT.)

Proposal 2. Approval of the amendment of the 2003 Stock Incentive Plan.
For Against Abstain

Proposal 3. Approval of the amendment to the Articles of Incorporation to increase the number of authorized shares of common stock from 28 million to 75 million and to increase the number of authorized shares of preferred stock from 2 million to 10 million.
For Against Abstain

Proposal 4. Approval of the agreement and plan of merger of Bone Care International, Inc., a Wisconsin corporation, into Bone Care International, Inc., a Delaware corporation, thereby effecting our reincorporation in Delaware and increasing the number of our authorized shares of common stock as provided in Proposal 3.
For Against Abstain

Proposal 5. Ratify the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent auditors for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2005.
For Against Abstain

Table of Contents

THIS PROXY WHEN PROPERLY EXECUTED WILL BE VOTED AS DIRECTED OR, IF NO DIRECTION IS GIVEN, WILL BE VOTED FOR EACH PROPOSAL Address Change? Mark Box o Date Indicate changes below:

o Signature(s) in Box Shareholder must sign exactly as the name appears at left. When signed as a corporate officer, executor, administrator, trustee, guardian, etc., please give full title as such. Both joint tenants must sign.