

FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP

Form 10-Q

August 05, 2011

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011

Or

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 001-15185

First Horizon National Corporation

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Tennessee

62-0803242

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**165 Madison Avenue
Memphis, Tennessee**

38103

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code) **(901) 523-4444**

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE ISSUERS:

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

| Class | Outstanding on June 30, 2011 |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Common Stock, \$.625 par value | 263,698,516 |

FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORPORATION
INDEX

| | |
|--|-----|
| <u>Part I. Financial Information</u> | 2 |
| <u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u> | 2 |
| <u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition</u> | 69 |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | 128 |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u> | 128 |
| | |
| <u>Part II. Other Information</u> | 129 |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | 129 |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u> | 129 |
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and use of Proceeds</u> | 130 |
| <u>Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities</u> | 130 |
| <u>Item 4. [Reserved]</u> | 130 |
| <u>Item 5. Other Information</u> | 130 |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | 131 |
| | |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 133 |
| | |
| <u>Exhibit Index</u> | 134 |
| <u>EX-31.A</u> | |
| <u>EX-31.B</u> | |
| <u>EX-32.A</u> | |
| <u>EX-32.B</u> | |

Table of Contents

PART I.
FINANCIAL INFORMATION

| | |
|---|----|
| Item 1. <u>Financial Statements</u> | 2 |
| <u>The Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition</u> | 3 |
| <u>The Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income</u> | 4 |
| <u>The Consolidated Condensed Statements of Equity</u> | 5 |
| <u>The Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows</u> | 6 |
| <u>The Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements</u> | 7 |
| <u>Note 1 Financial Information</u> | 7 |
| <u>Note 2 Acquisitions and Divestitures</u> | 10 |
| <u>Note 3 Investment Securities</u> | 11 |
| <u>Note 4 Loans</u> | 13 |
| <u>Note 5 Mortgage Servicing Rights</u> | 21 |
| <u>Note 6 Intangible Assets</u> | 22 |
| <u>Note 7 Other Income and Other Expense</u> | 24 |
| <u>Note 8 Earnings Per Share</u> | 25 |
| <u>Note 9 Contingencies and Other Disclosures</u> | 26 |
| <u>Note 10 Pension, Savings, and Other Employee Benefits</u> | 35 |
| <u>Note 11 Business Segment Information</u> | 37 |
| <u>Note 12 Preferred Stock and Other Capital</u> | 39 |
| <u>Note 13 Loan Sales and Securitizations</u> | 40 |
| <u>Note 14 Variable Interest Entities</u> | 44 |
| <u>Note 15 Derivatives</u> | 49 |
| <u>Note 16 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities</u> | 55 |
| <u>Note 17 Restructuring, Repositioning, and Efficiency Initiatives</u> | 67 |

This financial information reflects all adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position and results of operations for the interim periods presented.

Table of Contents**CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CONDITION**

| | First Horizon National Corporation | | |
|---|---|---------------|---------------|
| | June 30 | | December |
| <i>(Dollars in thousands except restricted and share amounts)(Unaudited)</i> | 2011 | 2010 | 31 2010 |
| Assets: | | | |
| Cash and due from banks (Restricted \$1.8 million on June 30, 2011; \$0 on June 30, 2010; and \$3.1 million on December 31, 2010) | \$ 313,416 | \$ 364,857 | \$ 344,384 |
| Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell | 598,000 | 602,910 | 424,390 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents (Restricted \$1.8 million on June 30, 2011; \$0 on June 30, 2010; and \$3.1 million on December 31, 2010) | 911,416 | 967,767 | 768,774 |
| Interest-bearing cash | 263,441 | 275,148 | 517,739 |
| Trading securities | 1,196,380 | 1,806,789 | 769,750 |
| Loans held for sale | 397,931 | 505,237 | 375,289 |
| Securities available for sale (Note 3) | 3,230,477 | 2,489,819 | 3,031,930 |
| Loans, net of unearned income (Restricted \$0.7 billion on June 30, 2011; \$0.8 billion on June 30, 2010; and \$0.8 billion on December 31, 2010) (Note 4) | 16,061,646 | 17,154,050 | 16,782,572 |
| Less: Allowance for loan losses (Restricted \$33.0 million on June 30, 2011; \$50.1 million on June 30, 2010; and \$47.5 million on December 31, 2010) (Note 4) | 524,091 | 781,269 | 664,799 |
| Total net loans (Restricted \$0.7 billion on June 30, 2011; \$0.8 billion on June 30, 2010; and \$0.7 billion on December 31, 2010) | 15,537,555 | 16,372,781 | 16,117,773 |
| Mortgage servicing rights (Note 5) | 186,958 | 201,746 | 207,319 |
| Goodwill (Note 6) | 135,683 | 162,180 | 162,180 |
| Other intangible assets, net (Note 6) | 28,384 | 35,645 | 32,881 |
| Capital markets receivables | 625,243 | 828,866 | 146,091 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 330,392 | 307,452 | 322,319 |
| Real estate acquired by foreclosure | 92,662 | 122,548 | 125,401 |
| Other assets (Restricted \$13.9 million on June 30, 2011; \$24.7 million on June 30, 2010; and \$19.7 million on December 31, 2010) | 2,117,544 | 2,178,248 | 2,121,506 |
| Total assets (Restricted \$0.7 billion on June 30, 2011; \$0.8 billion on June 30, 2010; and \$0.7 billion on December 31, 2010) | \$ 25,054,066 | \$ 26,254,226 | \$ 24,698,952 |
| Liabilities and equity: | | | |
| Deposits: | | | |
| Savings | \$ 6,382,963 | \$ 5,385,698 | \$ 6,036,895 |
| Time deposits | 1,277,905 | 1,545,475 | 1,390,995 |
| Other interest-bearing deposits | 2,784,787 | 3,237,183 | 2,842,306 |
| Certificates of deposit \$100,000 and more | 513,269 | 623,955 | 561,750 |
| Interest-bearing | 10,958,924 | 10,792,311 | 10,831,946 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|--|----------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Noninterest-bearing (Restricted \$.9 million on June 30, 2011; \$.9 million on June 30, 2010; and \$1.2 million on December 31, 2010) | 4,937,103 | 4,409,505 | 4,376,285 |
| Total deposits (Restricted \$.9 million on June 30, 2011; \$.9 million on June 30, 2010; and \$1.2 million on December 31, 2010) | 15,896,027 | 15,201,816 | 15,208,231 |
| Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 2,005,999 | 2,278,890 | 2,114,908 |
| Trading liabilities | 498,915 | 481,477 | 361,920 |
| Other short-term borrowings and commercial paper | 187,902 | 487,449 | 180,735 |
| Term borrowings (Restricted \$.7 billion on June 30, 2011; \$.8 billion on June 30, 2010; and \$.8 billion on December 31, 2010) | 2,502,517 | 2,926,675 | 3,228,070 |
| Capital markets payables | 464,993 | 754,079 | 65,506 |
| Other liabilities (Restricted \$0 million on June 30, 2011; \$.1 million on June 30, 2010; and \$.1 million on December 31, 2010) | 816,331 | 836,607 | 861,577 |
| Total liabilities (Restricted \$.7 billion on June 30, 2011; \$.8 billion on June 30, 2010; and \$.8 billion on December 31, 2010) | 22,372,684 | 22,966,993 | 22,020,947 |
| Equity: | | | |
| First Horizon National Corporation Shareholders' Equity: | | | |
| Preferred stock - no par value (shares authorized - 5,000,000; no shares issued on June 30, 2011 or December 31, 2010; shares issued - series CPP 866,540 on June 30, 2010) (Note 12) | | 806,856 | |
| Common stock - \$.625 par value (shares authorized - 400,000,000; shares issued - 263,698,516 on June 30, 2011; 236,839,568 on June 30, 2010; and 263,366,429 on December 31, 2010) ^(a) | 164,812 | 143,021 | 164,604 |
| Capital surplus | 1,638,423 | 1,296,484 | 1,630,210 |
| Capital surplus common stock warrant - CPP (Note 12) | | 83,860 | 83,860 |
| Undivided profits | 691,490 | 767,769 | 631,712 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net | (108,508) | (105,922) | (127,546) |
| Total First Horizon National Corporation Shareholders' Equity | 2,386,217 | 2,992,068 | 2,382,840 |
| Noncontrolling interest (Note 12) | 295,165 | 295,165 | 295,165 |
| Total equity | 2,681,382 | 3,287,233 | 2,678,005 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ 25,054,066 | \$ 26,254,226 | \$ 24,698,952 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated condensed financial statements.

(a) Outstanding shares have been restated to reflect stock dividends distributed through January 1, 2011.

Table of Contents**CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

| | First Horizon National Corporation | | | |
|--|---|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
| | June 30 | | June 30 | |
| <i>(Dollars in thousands except per share data)(Unaudited)</i> | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Interest income: | | | | |
| Interest and fees on loans | \$ 160,928 | \$ 173,472 | \$ 324,431 | \$ 346,815 |
| Interest on investment securities | 31,250 | 29,092 | 60,442 | 60,247 |
| Interest on loans held for sale | 3,267 | 5,565 | 6,924 | 10,533 |
| Interest on trading securities | 11,224 | 11,450 | 22,068 | 21,164 |
| Interest on other earning assets | 88 | 786 | 497 | 1,102 |
| Total interest income | 206,757 | 220,365 | 414,362 | 439,861 |
| Interest expense: | | | | |
| Interest on deposits: | | | | |
| Savings | 7,019 | 8,095 | 14,269 | 15,513 |
| Time deposits | 7,783 | 9,894 | 15,815 | 20,488 |
| Other interest-bearing deposits | 1,638 | 2,654 | 3,190 | 5,172 |
| Certificates of deposit \$100,000 and more | 2,613 | 3,414 | 5,322 | 6,788 |
| Interest on trading liabilities | 4,102 | 5,043 | 7,893 | 10,458 |
| Interest on short-term borrowings | 1,468 | 1,747 | 3,009 | 3,669 |
| Interest on term borrowings | 9,274 | 7,454 | 19,249 | 15,314 |
| Total interest expense | 33,897 | 38,301 | 68,747 | 77,402 |
| Net interest income | 172,860 | 182,064 | 345,615 | 362,459 |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,000 | 70,000 | 2,000 | 175,000 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 171,860 | 112,064 | 343,615 | 187,459 |
| Noninterest income: | | | | |
| Capital markets | 77,921 | 100,876 | 167,978 | 215,447 |
| Mortgage banking | 32,101 | 63,301 | 59,827 | 98,185 |
| Deposit transactions and cash management | 35,060 | 39,018 | 67,697 | 74,785 |
| Trust services and investment management | 6,684 | 6,850 | 13,044 | 13,173 |
| Brokerage management fees and commissions | 6,139 | 6,006 | 13,028 | 12,345 |
| Insurance commissions | 835 | 1,358 | 1,591 | 2,511 |
| Debt securities gains/(losses), net | 1 | | 772 | |
| Equity securities gains/(losses), net | - | 75 | 27 | (1,831) |
| All other income and commissions (Note 7) | 30,031 | 26,453 | 62,350 | 72,571 |
| Total noninterest income | 188,772 | 243,937 | 386,314 | 487,186 |
| Adjusted gross income after provision for loan losses | 360,632 | 356,001 | 729,929 | 674,645 |
| Noninterest expense: | | | | |

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|------------------|-----------|------------------|-------------|
| Employee compensation, incentives, and benefits | 151,880 | 161,381 | 309,059 | 338,374 |
| Repurchase and foreclosure provision | 24,563 | 56,188 | 61,767 | 96,894 |
| Operations services | 13,973 | 15,310 | 27,901 | 29,907 |
| Occupancy | 13,110 | 15,367 | 28,020 | 29,829 |
| Legal and professional fees | 20,624 | 18,083 | 39,182 | 32,010 |
| FDIC premium expense | 8,839 | 9,196 | 16,894 | 17,689 |
| Computer software | 8,380 | 7,337 | 16,470 | 14,419 |
| Contract employment | 8,177 | 7,274 | 15,098 | 13,448 |
| Equipment rentals, depreciation, and maintenance | 8,503 | 7,572 | 16,419 | 13,534 |
| Foreclosed real estate | 5,803 | 5,137 | 12,592 | 15,607 |
| Communications and courier | 5,084 | 5,835 | 10,331 | 12,024 |
| Miscellaneous loan costs | 859 | 4,546 | 2,351 | 8,658 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 1,032 | 1,078 | 2,064 | 2,156 |
| All other expense (Note 7) | 74,999 | 23,007 | 102,825 | 50,741 |
| Total noninterest expense | 345,826 | 337,311 | 660,973 | 675,290 |
| Income/(loss) before income taxes | 14,806 | 18,690 | 68,956 | (645) |
| Provision/(benefit) for income taxes | (4,242) | (1,659) | 7,866 | (18,177) |
| Income from continuing operations | 19,048 | 20,349 | 61,090 | 17,532 |
| Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | 3,788 | 129 | 4,748 | (6,947) |
| Net income | \$ 22,836 | \$ 20,478 | \$ 65,838 | \$ 10,585 |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest | 2,844 | 2,844 | 5,688 | 5,688 |
| Net income attributable to controlling interest | \$ 19,992 | \$ 17,634 | \$ 60,150 | \$ 4,897 |
| Preferred stock dividends | - | 14,938 | | 29,856 |
| Net income/(loss) available to common shareholders | \$ 19,992 | \$ 2,696 | \$ 60,150 | \$ (24,959) |
| Basic earnings/(loss) per share from continuing operations (Note 8) | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.21 | \$ (0.08) |
| Diluted earnings/(loss) per share from continuing operations (Note 8) | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.21 | \$ (0.08) |
| Basic earnings/(loss) per share available to common shareholders (Note 8) | \$ 0.08 | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.23 | \$ (0.11) |
| Diluted earnings/(loss) per share available to common shareholders (Note 8) | \$ 0.08 | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.23 | \$ (0.11) |
| Weighted average common shares (Note 8) | 261,289 | 234,556 | 261,233 | 234,513 |
| Diluted average common shares (Note 8) | 262,756 | 240,968 | 263,690 | 234,513 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated condensed financial statements.
 Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

Table of Contents**CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY**

| <i>Dollars in thousands except per share data</i> (Unaudited) | First Horizon National Corporation | | | | | |
|--|---|----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|
| | 2011 | | | 2010 | | |
| | Controlling Interest | Noncontrolling Interest | Total | Controlling Interest | Noncontrolling Interest | Total |
| Balance, January 1 | \$ 2,382,840 | \$ 295,165 | \$ 2,678,005 | \$ 3,007,303 | \$ 295,165 | \$ 3,302,468 |
| Adjustment to reflect adoption of amendments to ASC 810 | | | | (10,562) | | (10,562) |
| Net income | 60,150 | 5,688 | 65,838 | 4,897 | 5,688 | 10,585 |
| Other comprehensive income: | | | | | | |
| Unrealized fair value adjustments, net of tax: | | | | | | |
| Securities available for sale | 12,702 | | 12,702 | 3,264 | | 3,264 |
| Recognized pension and other employee benefit plans net periodic benefit costs | 6,336 | | 6,336 | 5,022 | | 5,022 |
| Comprehensive income | 79,188 | 5,688 | 84,876 | 13,183 | 5,688 | 18,871 |
| Preferred stock (CPP) accretion | | | | 8,172 | | 8,172 |
| Preferred stock (CPP) dividends | | | | (29,835) | | (29,835) |
| Common stock repurchased | (887) | | (887) | (481) | | (481) |
| Cash dividends declared (\$.01/share) | (5,151) | | (5,151) | | | |
| Common stock issued related to stock-based compensation arrangements | | | | 179 | | 179 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 5,147 | | 5,147 | 4,116 | | 4,116 |
| Dividends paid to noncontrolling interest of subsidiary preferred stock | | (5,688) | (5,688) | | (5,688) | (5,688) |
| Repurchase of common stock warrant CPP | (79,700) | | (79,700) | | | |
| Other changes in equity | 4,780 | | 4,780 | (7) | | (7) |
| Balance, June 30 | \$ 2,386,217 | \$ 295,165 | \$ 2,681,382 | \$ 2,992,068 | \$ 295,165 | \$ 3,287,233 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents**CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

| | First Horizon National Corporation | |
|---|---|-------------|
| | Six Months Ended June 30 | |
| <i>(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)</i> | 2011 | 2010 |
| Operating Activities | | |
| Net income | \$ 65,838 | \$ 10,585 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash used by operating activities: | | |
| Provision for loan losses | 2,000 | 175,000 |
| Provision for deferred income tax | 27,290 | 134,163 |
| Depreciation and amortization of premises and equipment | 17,166 | 15,054 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 2,368 | 2,762 |
| Net other amortization and accretion | 25,289 | 21,232 |
| Increase in derivatives, net | (394) | (21,757) |
| Market value adjustment on mortgage servicing rights | 7,359 | 57,356 |
| Repurchase and foreclosure provision | 61,767 | 96,894 |
| Fair value adjustment to foreclosed real estate | 9,651 | 9,376 |
| Goodwill impairment | 10,100 | 3,348 |
| Loss accruals from litigation and regulatory matters | 40,585 | 1,270 |
| Gains on divestitures ^(a) | (753) | |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 5,147 | 4,116 |
| Excess tax provision from stock-based compensation arrangements | | 17 |
| Equity securities (gains)/losses, net | (27) | 1,831 |
| Debt securities gains, net | (772) | |
| Gains on extinguishment of debt | (5,761) | (17,060) |
| Net losses on disposal of fixed assets | 152 | 1,107 |
| Net (increase)/decrease in: | | |
| Trading securities | (430,692) | (1,116,647) |
| Loans held for sale | (22,642) | (52,736) |
| Capital markets receivables | (479,152) | (494,462) |
| Interest receivable | 3,305 | 2,583 |
| Mortgage servicing rights bulk sales | | 24,558 |
| Other assets | (31,880) | 60,442 |
| Net increase/(decrease) in: | | |
| Capital markets payables | 399,487 | 461,104 |
| Interest payable | (4,712) | (3,351) |
| Other liabilities | (135,160) | (82,037) |
| Trading liabilities | 136,995 | 188,090 |
| Total adjustments | (363,284) | (527,747) |
| Net cash used by operating activities | (297,446) | (517,162) |
| Investing Activities | | |
| Available for sale securities: | | |
| Sales | 458,414 | 56,240 |
| Maturities | 410,961 | 554,320 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|-------------|------------|
| Purchases | (1,049,026) | (401,747) |
| Premises and equipment: | | |
| Purchases | (25,543) | (9,789) |
| Net (increase)/decrease in: | | |
| Interests retained from securitizations classified as trading securities | 4,062 | 4,983 |
| Loans | 596,708 | 853,278 |
| Interest-bearing cash | 254,298 | 264,152 |
| Cash receipts related to divestitures | 16,368 | |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | 666,242 | 1,321,437 |
| Financing Activities | | |
| Common stock: | | |
| Exercise of stock options | | 93 |
| Cash dividends paid | (2,712) | |
| Repurchase of shares | (887) | (481) |
| Repurchase of common stock warrant CPP | (79,700) | |
| Excess tax provision from stock-based compensation arrangements | | (17) |
| Cash dividends paid preferred stock CPP | | (21,664) |
| Cash dividends paid preferred stock noncontrolling interest | (5,556) | (5,688) |
| Term borrowings: | | |
| Payments/maturities | (623,353) | (104,335) |
| Net cash paid for extinguishment of debt | (100,000) | (87,840) |
| Net increase/(decrease) in: | | |
| Deposits | 687,796 | 334,601 |
| Short-term borrowings | (101,742) | (869,772) |
| Net cash used by financing activities | (226,154) | (755,103) |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 142,642 | 49,172 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 768,774 | 918,595 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 911,416 | \$ 967,767 |
| Supplemental Disclosures | | |
| Total interest paid | \$ 73,144 | \$ 80,405 |
| Total income taxes paid | 13,527 | 716 |
| Transfer from loans to other real estate owned | 33,118 | 100,551 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated condensed financial statements.

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

(a) Net of tax, gains on divestitures are \$4.2 million for 2011.

Table of Contents**Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements****Note 1 Financial Information**

The unaudited interim Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements of First Horizon National Corporation (FHN), including its subsidiaries, have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and follow general practices within the industries in which it operates. This preparation requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. These estimates and assumptions are based on information available as of the date of the financial statements and could differ from actual results. In the opinion of management, all necessary adjustments have been made for a fair presentation of financial position and results of operations for the periods presented. These adjustments are of a normal recurring nature unless otherwise disclosed in this filing. The operating results for the interim 2011 periods are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected going forward. For further information, refer to the audited consolidated financial statements in the 2010 Annual Report to shareholders.

Accounting Changes. Effective January 1, 2011, FHN adopted the provisions of FASB Accounting Standards Update 2010-20, Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses (ASU 2010-20), for certain disclosures about activity that occurs during a reporting period. Effective December 31, 2010, FHN adopted the provisions of ASU 2010-20 related to disclosures as of the end of a reporting period, and the amendments to the rollforward of the allowance for credit losses. Additionally, in January 2011, FASB Accounting Standards Update 2011-01, Deferral of the Effective Date of Disclosures About Troubled Debt Restructurings in Update No. 2010-20 , was issued which temporarily delays the disclosure requirements related to modifications to be effective concurrent with the effective date of Accounting Standards Update 2011-02, A Creditor's Determination of Whether a Restructuring is a Troubled Debt Restructuring . ASU 2010-20 provides enhanced disclosures related to the credit quality of financing receivables and the allowance for credit losses, and provides that new and existing disclosures should be disaggregated based on how an entity develops its allowance for credit losses and how it manages credit exposures. Under the provisions of ASU 2010-20, additional disclosures required for financing receivables include information regarding the aging of past due receivables, credit quality indicators, and modifications of financing receivables. Comparative disclosures are required only for periods ending subsequent to initial adoption. Upon adoption of the provisions of ASU 2010-20 for certain disclosures about activity that occurs during a reporting period on January 1, 2011, and related to disclosures as of the end of a reporting period, and the amendments to the rollforward of the allowance for credit losses, on December 31, 2010, FHN revised its disclosures accordingly.

Effective January 1, 2011, FHN adopted the provisions of FASB Accounting Standards Update 2010-06, Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements (ASU 2010-06), related to the requirement to provide the activity of purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements related to recurring Level 3 measurements on a gross basis in the Level 3 reconciliation. Effective January 1, 2010, FHN adopted all other provisions of ASU 2010-06. ASU 2010-06 updates FASB Accounting Standards Codification 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (ASC 820) to require disclosure of significant transfers into and out of Level 1 and Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, as well as disclosure of an entity's policy for determining when transfers between all levels of the hierarchy are recognized. The updated provisions of ASC 820 also require that fair value measurement disclosures be provided by each class of assets and liabilities, and that disclosures providing a description of the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value be included for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements classified as either Level 2 or Level 3. Under ASC 820, as amended, separate disclosure is required in the Level 3 reconciliation of total gains and losses recognized in other comprehensive income. Comparative disclosures are required only for periods ending subsequent to initial adoption. Upon adoption of the amendments to ASC 820 on January 1, 2011 and January 1, 2010, respectively, FHN revised its disclosures accordingly.

Accounting Changes Issued but Not Currently Effective. In June 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-05, Presentation of Comprehensive Income (ASU 2011-05). ASU 2011-05 requires that net income and other comprehensive income be presented either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. ASU 2011-05 also provides that regardless of the method used to present comprehensive income, presentation is required on the face of the financial statements of reclassification adjustments

for items that are reclassified from other comprehensive income to net income. ASU 2011-05 does not change the current option for entities to present components of other comprehensive income gross or net of the effect of income taxes, provided that such tax effects are presented in the statement in which other comprehensive income is presented or disclosed in the notes to the financial statements. The provisions of ASU 2011-05 are effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2011, with retrospective application to all periods presented in the financial statements required. Early adoption of the provisions in ASU 2011-05 is permitted, and no transition disclosures are required upon adoption. FHN is currently assessing the effects of adopting the provisions of ASU 2011-05.

Table of Contents**Note 1 Financial Information (continued)**

In May 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-04, Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs (ASU 2011-04). ASU 2011-04 provides that the highest-and-best use and valuation-premise concepts included in ASC 820 are only relevant when measuring the fair value of nonfinancial assets, thereby prohibiting the grouping of financial instruments for purposes of determining their fair values when the unit of account is specified in other guidance. However, under ASU 2011-04 an exception is permitted which allows an entity to measure the fair value of financial instruments that are managed on the basis of the entity's net exposure to a particular market risk, or to the credit risk of a particular counterparty, on a net basis when certain criteria are met. Such criteria include that there is evidence that the entity manages its financial instruments in that way, the entity applies such accounting policy election consistently from period to period, and the entity is required or has elected to measure those financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value in the statement of financial position at the end of each reporting period. Additionally, to qualify for the exception to the valuation premise, the market risks that are being offset must be substantially the same. ASU 2011-04 also extends ASC 820's prohibition on the use of blockage factors in fair value measurements to all three levels of the fair value hierarchy except for fair value measurements of Level 2 and 3 measurements when market participants would incorporate the premium or discount into the measurement at the level of the unit of account specified in other guidance. ASU 2011-04 also provides that an entity should measure the fair value of its own equity instruments from the perspective of a market participant that holds the instruments as assets. Under ASC 820, as amended, expanded disclosures are required including disclosure of quantitative information about significant unobservable inputs used in Level 3 fair value measurements, a description of the valuation processes used by the entity, and a qualitative discussion about the sensitivity of the measurements. Additional disclosures required under ASU 2011-04 include disclosure of fair value by level for each class of assets and liabilities not recorded at fair value but for which fair value is disclosed, and disclosure of any transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy and the reasons for those transfers. The provisions of ASU 2011-04 are effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2011, with disclosure of the change, if any, in valuation technique and related inputs resulting from application of the amendments to ASC 820 required upon adoption, along with quantification of the total effect of the change, if practicable. FHN is currently assessing the effects of adopting the provisions of ASU 2011-04.

In April 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-03, Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements (ASU 2011-03). For entities that enter into agreements to transfer financial assets that both entitle and obligate the transferor to repurchase or redeem the financial assets before their maturity, ASU 2011-03 removes from the assessment of effective control under ASC 860, Transfers and Servicing, the criterion requiring the transferor to have the ability to repurchase or redeem the financial assets on substantially the agreed terms, even in the event of default by the transferee, as well as the collateral maintenance implementation guidance related to that criterion. Under ASC 860-10, as amended, the remaining criteria related to whether effective control over transferred financial assets has been maintained would still need to be evaluated, including whether the financial assets to be repurchased or redeemed are the same or substantially the same as those transferred, the agreement is to repurchase or redeem them before maturity at a fixed or determinable price, and whether the agreement is entered into contemporaneously with, or in contemplation of, the transfer. The provisions of ASU 2011-03 are effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2011, with prospective application to transactions or modifications of existing transactions that occur on or after the effective date. Since FHN accounts for all of its repurchase agreements as secured borrowings, adopting the provisions of ASU 2011-03 will not have an effect on FHN's statement of condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

In April 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-02, A Creditor's Determination of Whether a Restructuring is a Troubled Debt Restructuring (ASU 2011-02). ASU 2011-02 provides that a situation in which a market rate is not readily available is an indicator of a troubled debt restructuring, but not a determinative factor, and that an assessment should consider all modified terms of the restructuring when making a final determination regarding a troubled debt restructuring designation. ASU 2011-02 also provides that a modification that results in a temporary or permanent increase to the contractual interest rate cannot be presumed to be a rate that is at or above market. ASU 2011-02 explicitly precludes creditors from using the borrower's effective rate test in FASB Accounting

Standards Codification 470-60, Debt-Troubled Debt Restructuring by Debtors (ASC 470-60) in its evaluation of whether a modification was executed at a market rate. Under the provisions of ASU 2011-02, a borrower that is not currently in default may still be considered to be experiencing financial difficulty when default is probable in the foreseeable future. ASU 2011-02 provides factors that an entity should consider when determining whether a delay in amount of payments is significant, as insignificant delays in cash flows would not be considered a concession to a borrower under its provisions. The provisions of ASU 2011-02 are effective for periods beginning after June 15, 2011, with retrospective application to the beginning of the annual period of adoption for identification and disclosure purposes, and prospective application for impairment purposes.

Table of Contents

Note 1 Financial Information (continued)

Disclosure of the total amount of loans and the associated reserves related to those loans that are considered impaired under ASC 310, Receivables, as a result of the clarification in guidance is required upon initial application. FHN is currently assessing the effects of adopting the provisions of ASU 2011-02.

Table of Contents

Note 2 Acquisitions and Divestitures

In first quarter 2011, FHN contracted to sell First Horizon Insurance, Inc. (FHI), the former subsidiary of First Tennessee Bank, a property and casualty insurance agency that serves customers in over 40 states and Highland Capital Management Corporation (Highland), the former subsidiary of First Horizon National Corporation which provides trust and asset management services. Both divestitures closed in second quarter 2011. In connection with the agreement to sell FHI, FHN incurred a pre-tax goodwill impairment of \$10.1 million which was more than offset by \$11.1 million of tax benefits recognized in first quarter. Upon closing of the FHI and Highland sales in second quarter 2011, FHN recognized \$4.2 million after-tax gains on the sales. The financial results of these businesses, the goodwill impairment, the gains on sales, and associated tax effects are reflected in the Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax line on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income for all periods presented.

In first quarter 2010, FHN exited its institutional research business, FTN Equity Capital Markets (FTN ECM), and incurred a pre-tax goodwill impairment of \$3.3 million (approximately \$2.0 million after taxes). FHN exited this business through an immediate cessation of operations on February 1, 2010. Additional charges, primarily representing severance and contract terminations, of \$6.1 million are included within the Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax line on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income in first quarter 2010 and relate to the effects of closing FTN ECM. These charges are included with the amounts described in Note 17 Restructuring, Repositioning, and Efficiency. FHN had initially reached an agreement for the sale of this business which resulted in a pre-tax goodwill impairment of \$14.3 million (approximately \$9 million after taxes) in 2009; however, the contracted sale failed to close and was terminated in early 2010. The financial results of this business, including the goodwill impairments, are reflected in the Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax line on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income for all periods presented.

In addition to the divestitures mentioned above, FHN acquires or divests assets from time to time in transactions that are considered business combinations or divestitures but are not material to FHN individually or in the aggregate.

Table of Contents**Note 3 Investment Securities**

The following tables summarize FHN's available for sale (AFS) securities on June 30, 2011 and 2010:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | On June 30, 2011 | | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
| Securities available for sale: | | | | |
| U.S. Treasuries | \$ 46,073 | \$ 177 | \$ | \$ 46,250 |
| Government agency issued mortgage-backed securities (MBS) | 1,445,021 | 56,746 | | 1,501,767 |
| Government agency issued collateralized mortgage obligations (CMO) | 1,386,962 | 36,932 | | 1,423,894 |
| Other U.S. government agencies | 18,791 | 1,136 | | 19,927 |
| States and municipalities | 19,365 | | | 19,365 |
| Equity ^(a) | 218,715 | 19 | | 218,734 |
| Other | 511 | 29 | | 540 |
| Total securities available for sale ^(b) | \$ 3,135,438 | \$ 95,039 | \$ | \$ 3,230,477 |

(a) Includes restricted investments in FHLB-Cincinnati stock of \$125.5 million and FRB stock of \$66.1 million. The remainder is money market, venture capital, and cost method investments.

(b) Includes \$2.9 billion of securities pledged to secure public deposits, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, and for other purposes. As of June 30, 2011, FHN had pledged \$1.1 billion of the \$2.9 billion pledged available for sale securities as collateral for securities sold under repurchase agreements.

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | On June 30, 2010 | | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
| Securities available for sale: | | | | |
| U.S. Treasuries | \$ 68,315 | \$ 253 | \$ | \$ 68,568 |
| Government agency issued MBS | 852,915 | 62,686 | | 915,601 |
| Government agency issued CMO | 1,054,579 | 41,927 | | 1,096,506 |
| Other U.S. government agencies | 101,355 | 6,268 | | 107,623 |
| States and municipalities | 41,875 | | | 41,875 |
| Equity ^(a) | 258,667 | 437 | (4) | 259,100 |
| Other | 511 | 35 | | 546 |
| Total securities available for sale ^(b) | \$ 2,378,217 | \$ 111,606 | \$ (4) | \$ 2,489,819 |

(a) Includes restricted investments in FHLB-Cincinnati stock of \$125.5 million and FRB stock of \$66.2 million. The remainder is money market, venture capital, and cost method investments. Additionally, \$31.2 million is restricted pursuant to reinsurance contract agreements.

(b)

Includes \$2.1 billion of securities pledged to secure public deposits, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, and for other purposes. As of June 30, 2010, FHN had pledged \$1.3 billion of the \$2.1 billion pledged available for sale securities as collateral for securities sold under repurchase agreements.

National banks chartered by the federal government are, by law, members of the Federal Reserve System. Each member bank is required to own stock in its regional Federal Reserve Bank (FRB). Given this requirement, Federal Reserve stock may not be sold, traded, or pledged as collateral for loans. Membership in the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) network requires ownership of capital stock. Member banks are entitled to borrow funds from the FHLB and are required to pledge mortgage loans as collateral. Investments in the FHLB are non-transferable and, generally, membership is maintained primarily to provide a source of liquidity as needed.

Table of Contents**Note 3 Investment Securities (continued)**

The amortized cost and fair value by contractual maturity for the available for sale securities portfolio on June 30, 2011 are provided below:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Available for Sale Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
|--------------------------------------|---|---------------------|
| Within 1 year | \$ 46,073 | \$ 46,250 |
| After 1 year; within 5 years | 18,791 | 19,927 |
| After 5 years; within 10 years | 2,795 | 2,795 |
| After 10 years | 16,570 | 16,570 |
| Subtotal | 84,229 | 85,542 |
| Government agency issued MBS and CMO | 2,831,983 | 2,925,661 |
| Equity and other securities | 219,226 | 219,274 |
| Total | \$ 3,135,438 | \$ 3,230,477 |

Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

The table below provides information on realized gross gains and realized gross losses on sales from the available for sale portfolio for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|------|------------------|-----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Gross gains on sales of securities | \$1 | \$76 | \$ 9,422 | \$ 196 |
| Gross (losses) on sales of securities | - | (1) | (8,623) | (1) |
| Net gain/(loss) on sales of securities ^(a) | \$1 | \$75 | \$ 799 | \$ 195 |
| Venture capital investments ^(b) | | | | (1,838) |
| Net other than temporary impairment (OTTI) recorded ^(c) | | | | (188) |
| Total securities gain/(loss) | \$1 | \$75 | \$ 799 | \$(1,831) |

(a) Proceeds related to these sales were \$35.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, and were \$458.3 million and \$35.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Proceeds from sales during second quarter 2011 were immaterial.

(b) Generally includes write-offs and/or unrealized fair value adjustments related to venture capital investments.

(c) OTTI recorded in 2010 is related to equity securities.

There were no unrealized losses within the available for sale portfolio on June 30, 2011. The following table provides information on investments within the available for sale portfolio that have unrealized losses on June 30, 2010:

On June 30, 2010

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Less than 12 months | | 12 months or longer | | Total | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| Equity | \$ 40 | \$ (4) | \$ | \$ | \$ 40 | \$ (4) |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$ 40 | \$ (4) | \$ | \$ | \$ 40 | \$ (4) |

FHN has reviewed investment securities that are in unrealized loss positions in accordance with its accounting policy for OTTI and does not consider them other-than-temporarily impaired. FHN does not intend to sell the debt securities and it is more-likely-than-not that FHN will not be required to sell the securities prior to recovery. The decline in value is primarily attributable to interest rates and not credit losses. For equity securities, FHN has both the ability and intent to hold these securities for the time necessary to recover the amortized cost. There were no other-than-temporary impairments of debt securities for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, or for the six months ended June 30, 2011. FHN recognized a \$.2 million other-than-temporary impairment of an equity investment for the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Table of Contents**Note 4- Loans**

The following table provides the balance of loans by portfolio on June 30, 2011, June 30, 2010, and December 31, 2010:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | 2011 | June 30 2010 | December 31 2010 |
|---|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Commercial: | | | |
| Commercial, financial, and industrial | \$ 7,180,261 | \$ 7,003,798 | \$ 7,338,155 |
| Commercial real estate | | | |
| Income CRE | 1,310,779 | 1,609,835 | 1,406,646 |
| Residential CRE | 182,857 | 396,934 | 263,878 |
| Retail: | | | |
| Consumer real estate | 5,383,223 | 5,935,536 | 5,617,619 |
| Permanent mortgage | 1,015,122 | 1,018,887 | 1,086,859 |
| Credit card & other | 295,373 | 355,230 | 311,924 |
| Restricted real estate loans ^(a) | 694,031 | 833,830 | 757,491 |
| Loans, net of unearned income | \$16,061,646 | \$17,154,050 | \$16,782,572 |
| Allowance for loan losses | 524,091 | 781,269 | 664,799 |
| Total net loans | \$15,537,555 | \$16,372,781 | \$16,117,773 |

(a) Balances as of June 30, 2011 and 2010, and December 30, 2010, include \$649.2 million, \$770.3 million, and \$701.8 million of consumer real estate loans and \$44.9 million, \$63.5 million, and \$55.7 million of permanent mortgage loans, respectively.

FHN has a concentration of loans secured by residential real estate (45 percent of total loans), the majority of which is in the consumer real estate portfolio (34 percent of total loans). Additionally, on June 30, 2011, FHN had bank-related and trust preferred loans (TRUPs) (i.e., loans to bank and insurance-related businesses) totaling \$.7 billion (10 percent of the C&I portfolio, or 4 percent of total loans). Due to the higher credit losses encountered throughout the financial services industry, limited availability of market liquidity, and the impact from economic conditions on these borrowers these loans have experienced stress throughout the economic downturn.

Components of the Loan Portfolio. For purposes of the disclosures required pursuant to the adoption of amendments to ASC 310, the loan portfolio was disaggregated into segments and then further disaggregated into classes for certain disclosures. A portfolio segment is defined as the level at which an entity develops and documents a systematic method for determining its allowance for credit losses. A class is generally determined based on the initial measurement attribute (i.e., amortized cost or purchased credit impaired), risk characteristics of the loan, and an entity's method for monitoring and assessing credit risk. Commercial loan portfolio segments include commercial, financial, and industrial (C&I) and commercial real estate (CRE). Commercial classes within C&I include general C&I, loans to mortgage companies, and the TRUPs portfolio. Loans to mortgage companies includes commercial lines of credit to qualified mortgage companies exclusively for the temporary warehousing of eligible mortgage loans prior to the borrower's sale of those mortgage loans to third party investors. Commercial classes within commercial real estate include income CRE and residential CRE. Retail loan portfolio segments include consumer real estate, permanent mortgage, and the combined credit card and other portfolios. Retail classes include HELOC and real estate (R/E) installment loans within the consumer real estate segment, permanent mortgage (which is both a segment and a class), and credit card and other. Restricted real estate loans include HELOCs that were previously securitized on balance sheet as well as HELOC and some permanent mortgages that were consolidated on January 1, 2010 in conjunction with the adoption of amendments to ASC 810. Due to the winding down nature and decreasing size of the

OTC residential construction portfolio, in most cases the remaining balances and activity of this portfolio has been combined with and included within the other retail class.

Allowance for Loan Losses. The allowance for loan losses (ALLL) includes the following components: reserves for commercial loans evaluated based on pools of credit graded loans and reserves for pools of smaller-balance homogeneous retail loans, both determined in accordance with the ASC Topic related to Contingencies (ASC 450-20-50). The reserve factors applied to these pools are an estimate of probable incurred losses based on management's evaluation of historical net losses from loans with similar characteristics and are subject to adjustment by management to reflect current events, trends, and conditions (including economic considerations and trends). The slow economic recovery, weak housing market, and elevated unemployment levels are examples of additional factors considered by management in determining the allowance for loan losses. Also included are reserves, determined in accordance with the Receivables Topic (ASC 310-10-45), for loans determined by management to be individually impaired.

Key components of the estimation process are as follows: (1) commercial loans determined by management to be individually impaired loans are evaluated individually and specific reserves are determined based on the difference between the outstanding loan amount and the estimated net realizable value of the collateral (if collateral dependent) or the present value of expected future cash flows; (2) individual

Table of Contents**Note 4 Loans (continued)**

commercial loans not considered to be individually impaired are segmented based on similar credit risk characteristics and evaluated on a pool basis; (3) reserve rates for the commercial segment are calculated based on historical net charge-offs and are subject to adjustment by management to reflect current events, trends, and conditions (including economic considerations and trends); (4) management's estimate of probable incurred losses reflects the reserve rate applied against the balance of loans in the commercial segment of the loan portfolio; (5) retail loans are segmented based on loan type; (6) reserve amounts for each retail portfolio segment are calculated using analytical models based on net loss experience and are subject to adjustment by management to reflect current events, trends, and conditions (including economic considerations and trends); and (7) the reserve amount for each retail portfolio segment reflects management's estimate of probable incurred losses in the retail segment of the loan portfolio.

Commercial. For commercial loans, reserves are established using historical net loss factors by grade level, loan product, and business segment. An assessment of the quality of individual commercial loans is made utilizing credit grades assigned internally based on a dual grading system which estimates both the probability of default (PD) and loss severity in the event of default. PD grades range from 1-16 while estimated loss severities, or loss given default (LGD), grades range from 1-12. This credit grading system is intended to identify and measure the credit quality of the loan portfolio by analyzing the migration of loans between grading categories. It is also integral to the estimation methodology utilized in determining the allowance for loan losses since an allowance is established for pools of commercial loans based on the credit grade assigned. The appropriate relationship team performs the process of categorizing commercial loans into the appropriate credit grades, initially as a component of the approval of the loan, and subsequently throughout the life of the loan as part of our servicing regimen. The proper loan grade for larger exposures is confirmed by a senior credit officer in the approval process. To determine the most appropriate credit grade for each loan, the credit risk grading system employs scorecards for particular categories of loans that consist of a number of objective and subjective measures that are weighted in a manner that produces a rank ordering of risk within pass-graded credits. Loan grades are frequently reviewed by Credit Risk Assurance to determine if the process continues to result in accurate loan grading across the portfolio.

FHN may utilize availability of guarantors/sponsors to support lending decisions during the credit underwriting process and when determining the assignment of internal loan grades. Where guarantor contributions are determined to be a source of repayment, an assessment of the guarantee is made. This guarantee assessment would include but not be limited to factors such as type and feature of the guarantee, consideration for the guarantee, key provisions of the guarantee agreement, and ability of the guarantor to be a viable secondary source of repayment.

Reliance on the guarantee as a viable secondary source of repayment is a function of an analysis proving capability to pay factoring in, among other things, liquidity, and direct/indirect debt cash flows. Therefore, a proper evaluation of each guarantor is critical. FHN establishes a guarantor's ability (financial wherewithal) to support a credit based on an analysis of recent information on the guarantor's financial condition. This would generally include income and asset information from sources such as recent tax returns, credit reports, and personal financial statements. In analyzing this information FHN seeks to assess a combination of liquidity, global cash flow, cash burn rate, and contingent liabilities to demonstrate the guarantor's capacity to sustain support for the credit and fulfill the obligation. FHN also considers the volume and amount of guarantees provided for all global indebtedness and the likelihood of realization. Guarantor financial information is periodically updated throughout the life of the loan. FHN presumes a guarantor's willingness to perform until financial support becomes necessary or if there is any current or prior indication or future expectation that the guarantor may not willingly and voluntarily perform under the terms of the guarantee.

In FHN's risk grading approach, it is deemed that financial support becomes necessary generally at a point when the loan would otherwise be graded substandard, reflecting a well-defined weakness. At that point, provided willingness is appropriately demonstrated, a strong, legally enforceable guarantee can mitigate the risk of default or loss, justify a less severe rating, and consequently reduce the level of allowance or charge-off that might otherwise be deemed appropriate. FHN establishes guarantor willingness to support the credit through documented evidence of previous and ongoing support of the credit. Previous performance under a guarantor's obligation to pay is not considered if the performance was involuntary.

Retail. The ALLL for smaller-balance homogenous retail loans is determined based on pools of similar loan types that have similar credit risk characteristics. FHN manages retail loan credit risk on a class basis. Reserves by portfolio are determined using segmented roll-rate models that incorporate various factors including historical delinquency trends, experienced loss frequencies, and experienced loss severities. Generally, reserves for retail loans reflect inherent losses in the portfolio that are expected to be recognized over the following twelve months.

Table of Contents**Note 4 Loans (continued)**

Individually Impaired. Under ASC 310-10, individually impaired loans are measured based on the present value of expected future payments discounted at the loan's effective interest rate (the DCF method), observable market prices, or for loans that are solely dependent on the collateral for repayment, the estimated fair value of the collateral less estimated costs to sell (net realizable value). For loans measured using the DCF method or by observable market prices, if the recorded investment in the impaired loan exceeds this amount, a specific allowance is established as a component of the allowance for loan and lease losses; however, for impaired collateral-dependent loans, FHN will charge off the full difference between the book value and the best estimate of net realizable value.

The following table provides a rollforward of the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment for the first six months of 2011 and 2010:

| | | Commercial | | Consumer | Permanent | Credit | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| | | Real | Real | Real | Mortgage | Card | |
| | | Estate | Estate | Estate | | and | |
| | | | | | | Other ^(a) | Total |
| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | C&I | | | | | | |
| Balance as of January 1, 2010 | \$ 276,648 | \$ 205,724 | \$ 215,087 | \$ 123,897 | \$ 75,558 | \$ | 896,914 |
| Adjustment due to amendments of ASC 810 | | | 16,106 | 8,472 | | | 24,578 |
| Charge-offs | (54,941) | (89,307) | (114,399) | (46,903) | (34,393) | | (339,943) |
| Recoveries | 7,213 | 5,793 | 7,990 | 776 | 2,948 | | 24,720 |
| Provision | 48,115 | 76,137 | 80,968 | (8,929) | (21,291) | | 175,000 |
| Balance as of June 30, 2010 ^{(b) (c)} | 277,035 | 198,347 | 205,752 | 77,313 | 22,822 | | 781,269 |
| Allowance individually evaluated for impairment | 52,091 | 28,158 | 11,873 | 8,922 | 1,163 | | 102,207 |
| Allowance collectively evaluated for impairment | 224,944 | 170,189 | 193,879 | 68,391 | 21,659 | | 679,062 |
| Loans, net of unearned as of June 30, 2010: | | | | | | | |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | 171,947 | 294,836 | 41,337 | 64,384 | 634 | | 573,138 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | 6,831,851 | 1,711,933 | 6,664,513 | 1,018,019 | 354,596 | | 16,580,912 |
| Total loans, net of unearned ^{(b) (c)} | \$ 7,003,798 | \$ 2,006,769 | \$ 6,705,850 | \$ 1,082,403 | \$ 355,230 | \$ | 17,154,050 |
| Balance as of January 1, 2011 | \$ 239,469 | \$ 155,085 | \$ 192,350 | \$ 65,009 | \$ 12,886 | \$ | 664,799 |
| Charge-offs | (24,852) | (23,711) | (91,764) | (20,784) | (9,584) | | (170,695) |
| Recoveries | 8,837 | 5,066 | 8,436 | 3,534 | 2,114 | | 27,987 |
| Provision | (17,176) | (36,973) | 53,633 | (1,157) | 3,673 | | 2,000 |
| Balance as of June 30, 2011 ^{(b) (c)} | 206,278 | 99,467 | 162,655 | 46,602 | 9,089 | | 524,091 |
| | 50,673 | 9,797 | 23,656 | 14,586 | 752 | | 99,464 |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Allowance individually evaluated for impairment | | | | | | |
| Allowance collectively evaluated for impairment | 155,605 | 89,670 | 138,999 | 32,016 | 8,337 | 424,627 |
| Loans, net of unearned as of June 30, 2011: | | | | | | |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | 222,896 | 174,379 | 91,117 | 111,979 | 1,186 | 601,557 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | 6,957,365 | 1,319,257 | 5,941,284 | 947,996 | 294,187 | 15,460,089 |
| Total loans, net of unearned ^(b) ^(c) | \$ 7,180,261 | \$ 1,493,636 | \$ 6,032,401 | \$ 1,059,975 | \$ 295,373 | \$ 16,061,646 |

(a) Includes OTC.

(b) Q2 2011 and Q2 2010 include \$29.9 million and \$43.0 million of reserves, respectively, and \$649.2 million and \$770.3 million of balances in restricted consumer real estate loans, respectively.

(c) Q2 2011 and Q2 2010 include \$3.1 million and \$7.1 million of reserves, respectively, and \$44.9 million and \$63.5 million of balances in restricted permanent mortgage loans, respectively.

Impaired Loans. Generally, classified non-accrual commercial loans over \$1 million are deemed to be impaired and are assessed for impairment measurement in accordance with ASC 310-10. Also, all commercial and retail consumer loans classified as troubled debt restructurings are deemed to be impaired and are assessed for impairment measurement in accordance with ASC 310-10.

When a loan is placed on nonaccrual status, accrued interest is reversed through interest income. FHN's policy is that interest payments received on impaired and nonaccrual loans are recognized as a payment of principal. Once all principal has been received, additional payments are recognized as interest income on a cash basis.

Table of Contents**Note 4 Loans (continued)**

The average balance of impaired loans was \$610.5 million and \$612.2 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, and \$1.8 million and \$3.1 million of interest income was recognized during the respective periods related to such impaired loans. The average balance of impaired loans was \$613.4 million and \$568.7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, and \$.7 million and \$1.3 million of interest income was recognized during the respective periods related to such impaired loans. The following tables provide loan classes with the period-end and quarterly average amount of recorded investment in impaired loans for which there is a related allowance for loan loss, the period-end and quarterly average amount of recorded investment in impaired loans where there is no related allowance for loan loss, the total unpaid principal balance of the impaired loans, and amount of interest income recognized on the impaired loans:

| | June 30, 2011 | | | Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 | | Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 | |
|---|------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| | Recorded Investment | Unpaid Principal Balance | Related Allowance | Average Recorded Investment | Interest Recognized | Average Recorded Investment | Interest Recognized |
| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | | | | | | | |
| Impaired loans with no related allowance recorded: | | | | | | | |
| Commercial: | | | | | | | |
| General C&I | \$ 96,198 | \$ 117,608 | \$ | \$ 89,618 | \$ 232 | \$ 70,328 | \$ 489 |
| TRUPs | 52,742 | 57,000 | | 43,857 | | 39,074 | |
| Income CRE | 96,935 | 173,878 | | 110,102 | 392 | 102,411 | 531 |
| Residential CRE | 42,920 | 93,746 | | 53,571 | 269 | 51,161 | 344 |
| Total | \$288,795 | \$ 442,232 | \$ | \$297,148 | \$ 893 | \$262,974 | \$ 1,364 |
| Impaired loans with related allowance recorded: | | | | | | | |
| Commercial: | | | | | | | |
| General C&I | \$ 46,690 | \$ 53,258 | \$23,980 | \$ 57,790 | \$ 81 | \$ 81,482 | \$ 140 |
| TRUPs | 27,266 | 30,000 | 26,693 | 27,266 | | 27,266 | |
| Income CRE | 12,129 | 12,314 | 3,550 | 11,866 | 11 | 23,335 | 11 |
| Residential CRE | 22,395 | 22,631 | 6,247 | 22,351 | | 31,354 | |
| Total | \$108,480 | \$ 118,203 | \$60,470 | \$119,273 | \$ 92 | \$163,437 | \$ 151 |
| Retail: | | | | | | | |
| HELOC | \$ 36,617 | \$ 36,617 | \$11,818 | \$ 33,507 | \$ 180 | \$ 30,996 | \$ 321 |
| R/E Installment Loans | 54,500 | 54,500 | 11,837 | 51,337 | 182 | 49,450 | 344 |
| Permanent Mortgage | 111,979 | 111,979 | 14,586 | 107,935 | 434 | 104,372 | 914 |
| Credit Card & Other | 1,186 | 1,186 | 752 | 1,250 | 12 | 975 | 24 |
| Total | \$204,282 | \$ 204,282 | \$38,993 | \$194,029 | \$ 808 | \$185,793 | \$ 1,603 |
| Total commercial | \$397,275 | \$ 560,435 | \$60,470 | \$416,421 | \$ 985 | \$426,411 | \$ 1,515 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|-----------|------------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|
| Total retail | \$204,282 | \$ 204,282 | \$38,993 | \$194,029 | \$ 808 | \$185,793 | \$ 1,603 |
| Total impaired loans | \$601,557 | \$ 764,717 | \$99,463 | \$610,450 | \$ 1,793 | \$612,204 | \$ 3,118 |

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Recorded Investment | June 30, 2010 Unpaid Principal Balance | Related Allowance |
|---|------------------------|---|----------------------|
| Impaired loans with no related allowance recorded: | | | |
| Commercial: | | | |
| General C&I | \$ 25,552 | \$ 32,233 | \$ |
| TRUPs | 36,756 | 42,700 | |
| Income CRE | 98,816 | 190,519 | |
| Residential CRE | 96,584 | 202,797 | |
| Total | \$257,708 | \$ 468,249 | \$ |
| Impaired loans with related allowance recorded: | | | |
| Commercial: | | | |
| General C&I | \$ 82,373 | \$ 118,120 | \$ 38,069 |
| TRUPs | 27,266 | 30,000 | 14,022 |
| Income CRE | 45,841 | 52,735 | 12,107 |
| Residential CRE | 53,595 | 60,319 | 16,051 |
| Total | \$209,075 | \$ 261,174 | \$ 80,249 |
| Retail: | | | |
| HELOC | \$ 17,493 | \$ 17,493 | \$ 5,025 |
| R/E Installment Loans | 23,844 | 23,844 | 6,849 |
| Permanent Mortgage | 64,384 | 64,384 | 9,793 |
| OTC, Credit Card, & Other | 634 | 634 | 292 |
| Total | \$106,355 | \$ 106,355 | \$ 21,959 |
| Total commercial | \$466,783 | \$ 729,423 | \$ 80,249 |
| Total retail | \$106,355 | \$ 106,355 | \$ 21,959 |
| Total impaired loans | \$573,138 | \$ 835,778 | \$102,208 |

Table of Contents**Note 4 Loans (continued)**

Asset Quality Indicators. As previously discussed, FHN employs a dual-grade commercial risk grading methodology to assign an estimate for PD and the LGD for each commercial loan. FHN utilizes these grades to measure, monitor, and assess credit risk within the commercial loan portfolio. The methodology utilizes multiple scorecards that have been developed using a combination of objective and subjective factors specific to various industry, portfolio, or product segments that result in a rank ordering of risk and the assignment of grades PD 1 to PD 16. Each PD grade corresponds to an estimated one-year default probability percentage; a PD 1 has the lowest expected default probability, and probabilities increase as grades progress down the scale. PD 1 through PD 12 are pass grades. Prior to second quarter 2011, all loans with an assigned PD grade of 12 which is the lowest pass grade were included on the Watch list. In second quarter 2011, FHN implemented an enhanced process for determining which loans warrant additional oversight and monitoring. The identification of Watch List loans is now determined by the appropriate relationship team and is generally driven by specific events that may impact borrowers, rather than being driven solely by the assigned PD grade. This process enhancement did not have a material impact on the allowance for loan and lease losses. PD grades 13-16 corresponds to the regulatory-defined categories of special mention (13), substandard (14), doubtful (15), and loss (16). Pass loan grades are required to be re-assessed annually or whenever there has been a material change in the financial condition of the borrower or structure of the relationship. Loans graded 13 or worse are re-assessed on a quarterly basis. LGD grades are assigned based on a scale of 1-12 and represent FHN's expected recovery based on collateral type in the event a loan defaults.

The following table provides the balances of commercial loan portfolio classes, disaggregated by PD grade as of June 30, 2011:

| <i>(Dollars in millions)</i> | June 30, 2011 | | | | | |
|--|---------------|----------------------|--------------|----------|-------------|----------|
| | General | Loans to Mortgage | | Income | Residential | |
| | C&I | Companies | TRUPS (a) | CRE | CRE | Total |
| PD Grade: | | | | | | |
| 1 | \$ 110 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 110 |
| 2 | 125 | | | 3 | | 128 |
| 3 | 169 | | | 13 | | 182 |
| 4 | 184 | | | 7 | | 191 |
| 5 | 300 | | | 17 | | 317 |
| 6 | 798 | 62 | | 70 | 1 | 931 |
| 7 | 852 | 179 | | 111 | 3 | 1,145 |
| 8 | 1,128 | 256 | | 174 | 7 | 1,565 |
| 9 | 542 | 84 | | 119 | 3 | 748 |
| 10 | 435 | | | 146 | 2 | 583 |
| 11 | 530 | | | 111 | 1 | 642 |
| 12 | 99 | | | 17 | 5 | 121 |
| 13 | 348 | | 329 | 125 | 10 | 812 |
| 14,15,16 | 406 | 1 | 20 | 289 | 86 | 802 |
| Total loans collectively evaluated for impairment | 6,026 | 582 | 349 | 1,202 | 118 | 8,277 |
| Total loans individually evaluated for impairment | 143 | | 80 | 109 | 65 | 397 |
| Total commercial loans | \$ 6,169 | \$ 582 | \$ 429 | \$ 1,311 | \$ 183 | \$ 8,674 |

- (a) Presented net of a \$35.6 million lower of cost or market (LOCOM) valuation allowance. Based on the underlying structure of the notes, the highest possible internal grade is 13 . Portfolio reserve estimate considers recent financial performance of individual borrowers and other factors.

The retail portfolio is comprised primarily of smaller balance loans which are very similar in nature in that most are standard products and are backed by residential real estate. Because of the similarities of retail loan-types, FHN is able to utilize the Fair Isaac s (FICO) score, among other attributes, to assess the quality of consumer borrowers. FICO scores are refreshed on a quarterly basis and attempt to reflect the recent risk profile of the borrowers. Accruing delinquency amounts are also other indicators of retail portfolio asset quality.

Table of Contents**Note 4 Loans (continued)**

The following tables reflect period-end balances and various asset quality indicators by origination vintage for the HELOC, real estate installment, and permanent mortgage classes of loans as of June 30, 2011. Beginning with the first quarter Form 10-Q filing, the permanent mortgage asset quality indicators have been updated to allow a consistent presentation of the retail real estate loan portfolios.

HELOC

| Origination Vintage | Period End Balance ^(a) | Origination Characteristics | | | | | Avg Refreshed FICO |
|------------------------|---|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------|---------|------------------|--------------------------|
| | | Avg orig CLTV | Avg orig FICO | % Broker | % TN | % 1st Lien | |
| pre-2003 | \$ 206 | 75.4% | 723 | 14.6% | 41.4% | 22.8% | 720 |
| 2003 | 298 | 76.1% | 733 | 24.0% | 25.8% | 16.0% | 726 |
| 2004 | 637 | 79.6% | 728 | 32.0% | 17.4% | 19.1% | 720 |
| 2005 | 789 | 79.5% | 734 | 16.1% | 16.7% | 12.1% | 721 |
| 2006 | 593 | 76.6% | 742 | 6.9% | 23.6% | 13.8% | 726 |
| 2007 | 599 | 77.5% | 746 | 13.4% | 29.3% | 14.8% | 732 |
| 2008 | 307 | 74.0% | 755 | 8.7% | 69.7% | 36.5% | 749 |
| 2009 | 192 | 71.6% | 755 | 0.0% | 86.3% | 44.9% | 755 |
| 2010 | 192 | 73.2% | 756 | 0.0% | 94.9% | 45.8% | 758 |
| 2011 | 72 | 72.7% | 756 | 0.0% | 94.4% | 46.9% | 758 |
| Total | \$ 3,885 | 77.0% | 740 | 14.9% | 34.7% | 20.6% | 730 |

(a) Includes \$649.2 million of restricted loan balances.

R/E Installment Loans

| Origination Vintage | Period End Balance | Origination Characteristics | | | | | Avg Refreshed FICO |
|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------|---------|------------------|--------------------------|
| | | Avg orig CLTV | Avg orig FICO | % Broker | % TN | % 1st Lien | |
| pre-2003 | \$ 69 | 77.5% | 693 | 17.9% | 62.4% | 67.1% | 689 |
| 2003 | 192 | 72.5% | 723 | 3.2% | 44.4% | 77.6% | 732 |
| 2004 | 113 | 74.0% | 712 | 7.3% | 50.9% | 72.1% | 712 |
| 2005 | 304 | 83.0% | 721 | 25.9% | 21.1% | 27.7% | 716 |
| 2006 | 334 | 78.9% | 722 | 4.6% | 24.6% | 25.1% | 707 |
| 2007 | 465 | 81.9% | 731 | 15.8% | 24.0% | 24.6% | 715 |
| 2008 | 182 | 77.1% | 737 | 5.7% | 77.8% | 79.2% | 733 |
| 2009 | 118 | 71.4% | 752 | 0.0% | 89.2% | 82.2% | 754 |
| 2010 | 212 | 85.0% | 748 | 0.0% | 89.1% | 97.2% | 749 |
| 2011 | 160 | 85.1% | 757 | 0.0% | 91.5% | 97.0% | 755 |
| Total | \$ 2,149 | 79.8% | 731 | 9.5% | 47.7% | 54.0% | 724 |

Permanent Mortgage

| Origination | Period End | Origination Characteristics | | | | | Avg |
|-------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------|---------|------------------|-------------------|
| | | Avg orig CLTV | Avg orig FICO | % Broker | % TN | % 1st Lien | Refreshed FICO |
| Vintage | Balance ^(a) | | | | | | |
| pre-2004 | \$ 196 | 67.6% | 726 | 50.9% | 8.9% | 100.0% | 732 |
| 2004 | 14 | 82.6% | 724 | 18.5% | 26.0% | 100.0% | 700 |
| 2005 | 71 | 79.8% | 740 | 36.2% | 3.0% | 98.8% | 720 |
| 2006 | 142 | 77.9% | 732 | 40.1% | 2.1% | 96.9% | 686 |
| 2007 | 407 | 76.9% | 731 | 55.5% | 0.9% | 95.6% | 678 |
| 2008 | 230 | 80.0% | 736 | 55.3% | 0.4% | 100.0% | 679 |
| Total | \$ 1,060 | 76.4% | 732 | 50.8% | 2.9% | 97.9% | 691 |

(a) Includes \$44.9 million of restricted loan balances and first lien mortgages recognized through the exercise of cleanup calls for certain proprietary first lien securitization trusts.

The following table reflects accruing delinquency amounts for the credit card and other portfolio classes.

| <i>(Dollars in millions)</i> | June 30, 2011 | |
|-------------------------------|----------------|--------|
| | Credit Card | Other |
| Accruing delinquent balances: | | |
| 30-89 days past due | \$ 1.4 | \$ 0.9 |
| 90+ days past due | 1.1 | |
| Total | \$ 2.5 | \$ 0.9 |

Table of Contents**Note 4 Loans (continued)**

Non-accrual and Past Due Loans. Loans are placed on non-accrual status if it becomes evident that full collection of principal and interest is at risk, impairment has been recognized as a partial charge-off of principal balance, or if the terms of a loan have been modified through troubled debt restructuring efforts. When a loan is placed on nonaccrual status, FHN applies the entire amount of any subsequent payments (including interest) to the outstanding principal balance.

The following table reflects accruing and non-accruing loans by class on June 30, 2011:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Current | Accruing | | | Total Accruing | Non-Accruing | | | Non-Accruing Total Loans |
|--|--------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|
| | | 30-89 Days Past Due | 90 + Days Past Due | Total | | 30-89 Days Past Due | 90 + Days Past Due | Total | |
| Commercial (C&I) : | | | | | | | | | |
| General C&I | \$ 5,999,416 | \$ 36,859 | \$ 398 | \$ 6,036,673 | \$ 70,532 | \$ 8,229 | \$ 52,773 | \$ 131,534 | \$ 6,168,207 |
| Loans to mortgage companies | 581,871 | | | 581,871 | | | 656 | 656 | 582,527 |
| TRUPS ^(a) | 349,519 | | | 349,519 | | | 80,008 | 80,008 | 429,527 |
| Total commercial (C&I) | 6,930,806 | 36,859 | 398 | 6,968,063 | 70,532 | 8,229 | 133,437 | 212,198 | 7,180,261 |
| Commercial real estate: | | | | | | | | | |
| Income CRE | 1,184,361 | 14,322 | 181 | 1,198,864 | 35,221 | 6,552 | 70,142 | 111,915 | 1,310,779 |
| Residential CRE | 103,254 | 9,392 | | 112,646 | 30,430 | 1,105 | 38,676 | 70,211 | 182,857 |
| Total commercial real estate | 1,287,615 | 23,714 | 181 | 1,311,510 | 65,651 | 7,657 | 108,818 | 182,126 | 1,493,636 |
| Consumer real estate: | | | | | | | | | |
| HELOC ^(b) | 3,794,849 | 41,577 | 25,909 | 3,862,335 | 13,332 | 1,550 | 7,542 | 22,424 | 3,884,759 |
| R/E installment loans | 2,099,708 | 22,627 | 10,656 | 2,132,991 | 10,429 | 1,168 | 3,054 | 14,651 | 2,147,642 |
| Total consumer real estate | 5,894,557 | 64,204 | 36,565 | 5,995,326 | 23,761 | 2,718 | 10,596 | 37,075 | 6,032,401 |
| Permanent mortgage ^(b) | 877,922 | 20,865 | 20,674 | 919,461 | 27,813 | 2,537 | 110,164 | 140,514 | 1,059,975 |
| Credit card & other | | | | | | | | | |
| Credit card | 189,180 | 1,480 | 1,141 | 191,801 | | | | | 191,801 |
| Other ^(c) | 93,173 | 908 | 10 | 94,091 | 6 | | 9,475 | 9,481 | 103,572 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Total credit card & other | 282,353 | 2,388 | 1,151 | 285,892 | 6 | 9,475 | 9,481 | 295,373 |
| Total loans, net of unearned | \$ 15,273,253 | \$ 148,030 | \$ 58,969 | \$ 15,480,252 | \$ 187,763 | \$ 21,141 | \$ 372,490 | \$ 581,394 |
| | | | | | | | | \$ 16,061,646 |

(a) Includes LOCOM valuation allowance \$35.6 million.

(b) Includes restricted loans.

(c) Includes OTC and non real estate installment loans.

Table of Contents**Note 4 Loans (continued)**

The following table reflects accruing and non-accruing loans by class on June 30, 2010:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Current | Accruing | | | Total Accruing | Current | Non-Accruing | | | Total Non-Accruing | Total Loans |
|--|---------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| | | 30-89 Days Past Due | 90 + Days Past Due | Total | | | 30-89 Days Past Due | 90 + Days Past Due | Total | | |
| Commercial (C&I) : | | | | | | | | | | | |
| General C&I | \$ 5,548,007 | \$ 69,396 | \$ 2,125 | \$ 5,619,528 | \$ 67,980 | \$ 19,035 | \$ 52,184 | \$ 139,199 | \$ 5,758,727 | | |
| Loans to mortgage companies | 813,457 | | | 813,457 | | | 2,083 | 2,083 | 815,540 | | |
| TRUPS ^(a) | 365,700 | | | 365,700 | | | 63,831 | 63,831 | 429,531 | | |
| Total commercial (C&I) | 6,727,164 | 69,396 | 2,125 | 6,798,685 | 67,980 | 19,035 | 118,098 | 205,113 | 7,003,798 | | |
| Commercial real estate: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Income CRE | 1,431,439 | 20,394 | 633 | 1,452,466 | 25,131 | 4,777 | 127,461 | 157,369 | 1,609,835 | | |
| Residential CRE | 210,329 | 4,239 | 5,633 | 220,201 | 32,340 | 659 | 143,734 | 176,733 | 396,934 | | |
| Total commercial real estate | 1,641,768 | 24,633 | 6,266 | 1,672,667 | 57,471 | 5,436 | 271,195 | 334,102 | 2,006,769 | | |
| Consumer real estate: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HELOC ^(b) | 4,188,397 | 65,836 | 33,548 | 4,287,781 | 5,509 | 1,279 | 5,360 | 12,148 | 4,299,929 | | |
| R/E installment loans | 2,336,231 | 39,585 | 19,405 | 2,395,221 | 5,556 | 958 | 4,186 | 10,700 | 2,405,921 | | |
| Total consumer real estate | 6,524,628 | 105,421 | 52,953 | 6,683,002 | 11,065 | 2,237 | 9,546 | 22,848 | 6,705,850 | | |
| Permanent mortgage ^(b) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 907,103 | 23,734 | 27,582 | 958,419 | 12,732 | 1,509 | 109,743 | 123,984 | 1,082,403 | | |
| Credit card & other | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Credit card | 185,222 | 2,091 | 1,840 | 189,153 | | | | | 189,153 | | |
| Other ^(c) | 111,867 | 651 | 98 | 112,616 | | | 53,461 | 53,461 | 166,077 | | |
| Total credit card & other | 297,089 | 2,742 | 1,938 | 301,769 | | | 53,461 | 53,461 | 355,230 | | |
| | \$ 16,097,752 | \$ 225,926 | \$ 90,864 | \$ 16,414,542 | \$ 149,248 | \$ 28,217 | \$ 562,043 | \$ 739,508 | \$ 17,154,050 | | |

**Total loans, net of
unearned**

(a) Includes LOCOM valuation allowance \$35.6 million.

(b) Includes restricted loans.

(c) Includes OTC. All nonaccruing balances reflect OTC.

On June 30, 2011 and 2010, FHN had loans classified as troubled debt restructurings of \$306.2 million and \$142.4 million, respectively. Additionally, FHN had restructured \$70.1 million and \$34.7 million of loans held for sale as of June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. For restructured loans in the portfolio, FHN had loan loss reserves of \$45.2 million, or 15 percent of the recorded investment amount, as of June 30, 2011. On June 30, 2011 and 2010, there were no significant outstanding commitments to advance additional funds to customers whose loans had been restructured.

Table of Contents**Note 5 Mortgage Servicing Rights**

FHN recognizes all classes of mortgage servicing rights (MSR) at fair value. Classes of MSR are established based on market inputs used to determine the fair value of the servicing asset and FHN's risk management practices. See Note 16 Fair Value, the Determination of Fair Value section for a discussion of FHN's MSR valuation methodology and Note 15 Derivatives for a discussion of how FHN hedges the fair value of MSR. The balance of MSR included on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition represents the rights to service approximately \$26 billion of mortgage loans on June 30, 2011, for which a servicing right has been capitalized.

In first quarter 2010, FHN adopted the amendments to ASC 810 which resulted in the consolidation of loans FHN previously sold through proprietary securitizations but retained MSR and significant subordinated interests subsequent to the transfer. In conjunction with the consolidation of these loans, FHN derecognized the associated servicing assets which are reflected in the rollforward below. Following is a summary of changes in capitalized MSR for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | First Liens | Second Liens | HELOC | Total |
|---|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Fair value on January 1, 2010 | \$ 296,115 | \$ 1,174 | \$ 5,322 | \$ 302,611 |
| Adjustment due to adoption of amendments to ASC 810 | (197) | (928) | (1,168) | (2,293) |
| Reductions due to loan payments | (16,031) | (16) | (611) | (16,658) |
| Reductions due to sale | (24,558) | | | (24,558) |
| Changes in fair value due to: | | | | |
| Changes in valuation model inputs or assumptions | (58,366) | | | (58,366) |
| Other changes in fair value | 990 | 12 | 8 | 1,010 |
| Fair value on June 30, 2010 | \$ 197,953 | \$ 242 | \$ 3,551 | \$ 201,746 |
| Fair value on January 1, 2011 | \$ 203,812 | \$ 262 | \$ 3,245 | \$ 207,319 |
| Reductions due to loan payments | (12,685) | (21) | (101) | (12,807) |
| Reductions due to exercise of cleanup calls | (195) | | | (195) |
| Changes in fair value due to: | | | | |
| Changes in valuation model inputs or assumptions | (7,418) | | | (7,418) |
| Other changes in fair value | 16 | 10 | 33 | 59 |
| Fair value on June 30, 2011 | \$ 183,530 | \$ 251 | \$ 3,177 | \$ 186,958 |

Servicing, late, and other ancillary fees recognized within mortgage banking income were \$19.2 million and \$26.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and \$40.1 million and \$53.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Servicing, late, and other ancillary fees recognized within other income and commissions were \$.6 million and \$1.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and \$1.3 million and \$2.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. FHN services a portfolio of mortgage loans related to transfers by other parties utilizing securitization trusts. The servicing assets represent FHN's sole interest in these transactions. The total MSR recognized by FHN related to these transactions was \$3.6 million and \$5.1 million at June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The aggregate principal balance serviced by FHN for these transactions was \$.5 billion and \$.7 billion at June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. FHN has no obligation to provide financial support and has not provided any form of support to the related trusts. The MSR recognized by FHN has been included in the first lien mortgage loans column within the rollforward of MSR. In prior periods, FHN transferred MSR to third parties in transactions that did not qualify for sales treatment due to certain recourse provisions that were included within the sale agreements. On June 30, 2011 and 2010, FHN had \$23.6 million and \$25.9 million, respectively, of MSR related to these transactions. These MSR are included within

the first liens mortgage loans column within the rollforward of MSR. The proceeds from these transfers have been recognized within Other short term borrowings and commercial paper in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition.

Table of Contents**Note 6 Intangible Assets**

The following is a summary of intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization, included in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Goodwill | Other Intangible Assets ^(a) |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| December 31, 2009 | \$ 165,528 | \$ 38,256 |
| Amortization expense ^(b) | | (2,762) |
| Impairment ^{(c)(d)} | (3,348) | |
| Additions | | 151 |
| June 30, 2010 | \$ 162,180 | \$ 35,645 |
| December 31, 2010 | \$ 162,180 | \$ 32,881 |
| Amortization expense ^(b) | | (2,368) |
| Impairment ^{(c)(d)} | (10,100) | |
| Divestitures | (16,397) | (2,129) |
| June 30, 2011 | \$ 135,683 | \$ 28,384 |

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

(a) Represents customer lists, acquired contracts, premium on purchased deposits, and covenants not to compete.

(b) Amortization expense of \$.3 million related to First Horizon Insurance is included in Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax on the Consolidated

Condensed Statements of Income.

(c) See Note 17 Restructuring, Repositioning, and Efficiency for further details related to goodwill impairments.

(d) See Note 2 Acquisitions and Divestitures for further details regarding goodwill related to divestitures.

The gross carrying amount of other intangible assets subject to amortization is \$106.8 million on June 30, 2011, net of \$78.4 million of accumulated amortization. Estimated aggregate amortization expense is expected to be \$2.1 million for the remainder of 2011, and \$3.9 million, \$3.7 million, \$3.5 million, \$3.3 million, and \$3.1 million for the twelve-month periods of 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, and 2016, respectively.

The agreement to sell FHI resulted in a pre-tax goodwill impairment of \$10.1 million in first quarter 2011. In second quarter 2011, the remaining \$16.4 million of goodwill was removed in conjunction with the divestiture. In first quarter 2010, FHN exited its institutional research business, FTN Equity Capital Markets (FTN ECM), and incurred a pre-tax goodwill impairment of \$3.3 million. The recognition of intangible asset impairment losses will have no effect on FHN's debt covenants.

Table of Contents**Note 6 Intangible Assets (continued)**

The following is a summary of gross goodwill and accumulated impairment losses and write-offs detailed by reportable segments included in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition through June 30, 2011. Gross goodwill and accumulated impairments and divestiture-related write-offs were determined beginning on January 1, 2002, when a change in accounting requirements resulted in goodwill being assessed for impairment rather than being amortized. Consistent with historical practices, FHN moved FHI's historical goodwill activity to the non-strategic segment in first quarter 2011.

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Non-Strategic | Regional Banking | Capital Markets | Total |
|--|---------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Gross goodwill | \$ 197,971 | \$ 38,262 | \$ 97,421 | \$ 333,654 |
| Accumulated impairments | (100,675) | | | (100,675) |
| Accumulated divestiture related write-offs | (67,451) | | | (67,451) |
| December 31, 2009 | \$ 29,845 | \$ 38,262 | \$ 97,421 | \$ 165,528 |
| Additions | | | | |
| Impairments | (3,348) | | | (3,348) |
| Divestitures | | | | |
| Net change in goodwill during 2010 | (3,348) | | | (3,348) |
| Gross goodwill | \$ 197,971 | \$ 38,262 | \$ 97,421 | \$ 333,654 |
| Accumulated impairments | (104,023) | | | (104,023) |
| Accumulated divestiture related write-offs | (67,451) | | | (67,451) |
| June 30, 2010 | \$ 26,497 | \$ 38,262 | \$ 97,421 | \$ 162,180 |
| Gross goodwill | \$ 197,971 | \$ 38,262 | \$ 97,421 | \$ 333,654 |
| Accumulated impairments | (104,023) | | | (104,023) |
| Accumulated divestiture related write-offs | (67,451) | | | (67,451) |
| December 31, 2010 | \$ 26,497 | \$ 38,262 | \$ 97,421 | \$ 162,180 |
| Additions | | | | |
| Impairments | (10,100) | | | (10,100) |
| Divestitures | (16,397) | | | (16,397) |
| Net change in goodwill during 2011 | (26,497) | | | (26,497) |
| Gross goodwill | \$ 197,971 | \$ 38,262 | \$ 97,421 | \$ 333,654 |
| Accumulated impairments | (114,123) | | | (114,123) |
| Accumulated divestiture related write-offs | (83,848) | | | (83,848) |
| June 30, 2011 | \$ | \$ 38,262 | \$ 97,421 | \$ 135,683 |

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

Table of Contents**Note 7 Other Income and Other Expense**

Following is detail of All other income and commissions and All other expense as presented in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|
| | June 30 | | June 30 | |
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| All other income and commissions: | | | | |
| Bankcard income | \$ 5,151 | \$ 5,271 | \$ 9,871 | \$ 9,819 |
| Bank owned life insurance | 4,920 | 5,784 | 9,735 | 12,253 |
| ATM interchange fees | 3,791 | 3,232 | 7,326 | 6,889 |
| Other service charges | 2,821 | 2,382 | 5,675 | 4,765 |
| Letter of credit fees | 1,869 | 1,802 | 3,645 | 3,441 |
| Electronic banking fees | 1,536 | 1,887 | 3,070 | 3,612 |
| Deferred compensation | 221 | (771) | 1,200 | 239 |
| Gains on extinguishment of debt | | | 5,761 | 17,060 |
| Other | 9,722 | 6,866 | 16,067 | 14,493 |
| Total | \$ 30,031 | \$ 26,453 | \$ 62,350 | \$ 72,571 |
| All other expense: | | | | |
| Losses from litigation and regulatory matters | \$ 38,260 | \$ 1,270 | \$ 40,585 | \$ 1,270 |
| Low income housing expense | 4,973 | 5,364 | 9,670 | 10,830 |
| Advertising and public relations | 3,631 | 5,574 | 7,493 | 10,824 |
| Other insurance and taxes | 3,511 | 3,589 | 6,986 | 6,742 |
| Travel and entertainment | 2,178 | 2,627 | 3,979 | 4,998 |
| Employee training and dues | 1,350 | 965 | 2,601 | 2,407 |
| Customer relations | 1,155 | 1,838 | 2,425 | 3,805 |
| Bank examination costs | 1,117 | 1,142 | 2,235 | 2,284 |
| Supplies | 801 | 1,083 | 1,782 | 2,231 |
| Loan insurance expense ^(a) | 706 | 682 | 1,487 | (2,192) |
| Federal services fees | 291 | 712 | 755 | 1,619 |
| Other ^(b) | 17,026 | (1,839) | 22,827 | 5,923 |
| Total | \$ 74,999 | \$ 23,007 | \$ 102,825 | \$ 50,741 |

(a) First quarter 2010 includes the cancellation of an HLTV insurance contract and return of \$3.8 million of premiums.

(b) Second quarter 2011 includes \$9.0 million charge to terminate a technology services contract. Second quarter 2010 includes \$5.0 million expense reversal related to the Visa contingent liability.

Table of Contents**Note 8 Earning per Share**

The following tables show a reconciliation of the numerators used in calculating basic and diluted earnings/(loss) per share attributable to common shareholders:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|-----------|------------------|-------------|
| | June 30 | | June 30 | |
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 19,048 | \$ 20,349 | \$ 61,090 | \$ 17,532 |
| Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | 3,788 | 129 | 4,748 | (6,947) |
| Net income | 22,836 | 20,478 | 65,838 | 10,585 |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest | 2,844 | 2,844 | 5,688 | 5,688 |
| Net income attributable to controlling interest | 19,992 | 17,634 | 60,150 | 4,897 |
| Preferred stock dividends | | 14,938 | | 29,856 |
| Net income/(loss) available to common shareholders | \$ 19,992 | \$ 2,696 | \$ 60,150 | \$ (24,959) |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 19,048 | \$ 20,349 | \$ 61,090 | \$ 17,532 |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest | 2,844 | 2,844 | 5,688 | 5,688 |
| Preferred stock dividends | | 14,938 | | 29,856 |
| Net income/(loss) from continuing operations available to common shareholders | \$ 16,204 | \$ 2,567 | \$ 55,402 | \$ (18,012) |

The following table provides a reconciliation of weighted average common shares to diluted average common shares:

| <i>(In thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|---------|------------------|---------|
| | June 30 | | June 30 | |
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding basic (a) | 261,289 | 234,556 | 261,233 | 234,513 |
| Effect of dilutive securities (a) | 1,467 | 6,412 | 2,457 | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding diluted (a) | 262,756 | 240,968 | 263,690 | 234,513 |

(a) All share data has been restated to reflect stock dividends distributed through January 1, 2011.

The following table provides a reconciliation of earnings/(loss) per common and diluted shares:

| Earnings/(loss) per common share: | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|---------|------------------|-----------|
| | June 30 | | June 30 | |
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Earnings/(loss) per share from continuing operations available to common shareholders | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.21 | \$ (0.08) |

| | | | | |
|---|----------------|---------|----------------|-----------|
| Earnings/(loss) per share from discontinued operations, net of tax | 0.02 | | 0.02 | (0.03) |
| Net earnings/(loss) per share available to common shareholders | \$ 0.08 | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.23 | \$ (0.11) |
| Diluted earnings/(loss) per common share: | | | | |
| Diluted earnings/(loss) per share from continuing operations available to common shareholders | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.21 | \$ (0.08) |
| Diluted earnings/(loss) per share from discontinued operations, net of tax | 0.02 | | 0.02 | (0.03) |
| Net diluted earnings/(loss) per share available to common shareholders | \$ 0.08 | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.23 | \$ (0.11) |

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, the dilutive effect for all potential common shares was 1.5 million and 2.5 million, respectively. For the three months ended June 30, 2010, the dilutive effect for all potential common shares was 6.4 million. For the six months ended June 30, 2010, all potential common shares were antidilutive due to the net loss attributable to common shareholders for that period. Stock options of 10.8 million and 10.9 million with a weighted average exercise price of \$24.44 and \$28.23 per share for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, were excluded from diluted shares because including such shares would have been antidilutive. Stock options of 10.2 million and 12.8 million with a weighted average exercise price of \$26.12 and \$26.74 per share for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, were excluded also from diluted shares. Other equity awards of .4 million and .3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, were excluded from diluted shares, while other equity awards of .2 million and 3.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, were excluded from diluted shares because including such shares would have been antidilutive. Additionally, 14.8 million potential common shares related to the capital purchase program (CPP) common stock warrant were excluded from the computation of diluted loss per common share for the six months ended June 30, 2010, because such shares would have been antidilutive. The CPP common stock warrant was repurchased in first quarter 2011. The warrant resulted in .9 million dilutive shares for the six-month period ended June 30, 2011. There was no impact on diluted shares for the three months ended June 30, 2011.

Table of Contents**Note 9 Contingencies and Other Disclosures**

Contingencies. Contingent liabilities arise in the ordinary course of business, including those related to lawsuits, arbitration, mediation, and other forms of litigation. Various litigation matters are pending against FHN and its subsidiaries. In view of the inherent difficulty of predicting the outcome of these matters, particularly where the claimants seek very large or indeterminate damages, or where the cases present novel legal theories or involve a large number of parties, FHN cannot reasonably determine what the eventual outcome of the pending matters will be, what the timing of the ultimate resolution of these matters may be, or what the eventual loss or impact related to each pending matter may be. FHN establishes loss contingency liabilities for litigation matters when loss is both probable and reasonably estimable as prescribed by applicable financial accounting guidance. A liability generally is not established when a loss contingency either is not probable or its amount is not reasonably estimable. If loss for a matter is probable and a range of possible loss outcomes is the best estimate available, accounting guidance generally requires a liability to be established at the low end of the range. Based on current knowledge, and after consultation with counsel, management is of the opinion that loss contingencies related to pending matters should not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial condition of FHN, but may be material to FHN's operating results for any particular reporting period depending, in part, on the results from that period.

Set forth below are discussions of certain pending matters which fall into three categories: matters as to which material loss is probable; matters as to which material loss is not probable but as to which there is a more than slight chance of a material loss outcome; and matters as to which material loss is not probable but which could be viewed as material primarily for non-financial reasons. As mentioned above, most pending or threatened litigation matters fall into none of these categories.

Matters as to which Material Loss is Probable. At June 30, 2011, based on the foregoing, there were no pending or threatened litigation matters as to which FHN had determined that material loss was probable or had established a material loss liability in accordance with applicable financial accounting guidance except for the following matter.

The Chapter 11 Liquidation Trustee (the Trustee) of Sentinel Management Group, Inc. (Sentinel) has filed two complaints in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division (Case Nos. 1:08-cv-06587 and 1:09-cv-02258) against two subsidiaries, First Tennessee Bank National Association (FTBNA) and FTN Financial Securities Corp. (FTN), and two former FTN employees. The Trustee's claims related to Sentinel's purchases of Preferred Term Securities Limited (PreTSL) products and other securities from FTN and/or the FTN Financial Capital Markets division of FTBNA from March 2005 to August 2007. On July 28, 2011 the parties reached an agreement to settle the dispute, subject to court approval. Under the terms of the settlement the Trustee would receive a total of \$38.5 million dollars. After considering the terms of the settlement, First Horizon has recognized a pre-tax expense of \$36.7 million for the second quarter of 2011 related to this settlement. Although First Horizon believes that certain insurance policies provide coverage for this expense, the insurers have denied coverage. First Horizon has brought suit against the insurers to enforce the policies. The case is in U.S. District Court for the Western District of Tennessee styled as *First Horizon National Corporation, et al. v. Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's Syndicate Nos. 2987, et al.*, No. 2:11-cv-02608. As a result of the uncertainties arising from this dispute, insurance coverage has not been taken into consideration in determining the amount of the expense recognized in the second quarter for the Sentinel settlement.

Matters as to which Material Loss is Not Probable but as to which there is a More than Slight Chance of a Material Loss Outcome. Set out below are discussions of certain pending or threatened litigation matters. Except as mentioned specifically for a particular matter, as to these matters FHN has determined, under applicable financial accounting guidance, that although material loss is not probable there is more than a slight chance of a material loss outcome for FHN in excess of currently established loss liabilities. FHN cannot determine probable loss or estimate a range of reasonably possible losses in excess of established liabilities, if any, at this time that may result from these matters because of the uncertainty of the potential outcomes of the legal proceedings associated with these matters and also due to significant uncertainties regarding: amounts claimed in cases as to which claims are noted as unspecified; potential remedies that might be available or awarded; the value of assets FHN may be required to repurchase for those cases involving asset repurchase demands; and the incomplete status of the discovery process for those cases where discovery is not substantially complete. In all such matters that involve claims in active litigation, and in those

matters not in litigation where possible allegations can be anticipated, FHN believes it has meritorious defenses and intends to pursue those defenses vigorously. For the foregoing reasons, in each matter mentioned below except as otherwise noted, there is a more than slight chance that: the plaintiff will substantially prevail; the defense will substantially prevail; the plaintiff will prevail in part; or the matter will be settled by the parties. FHN expects to reassess the liability for these matters each quarter as they progress.

Manufacturers & Traders Trust Company (M&T) has filed an arbitration claim against FTBNA. The claim arises out of FTBNA's sale of certain branch assets to M&T in 2007. The original demand for arbitration claims that FTBNA violated its obligations to repurchase home equity lines of credit (HELOCs) that it sold to M&T as part of the asset sale agreement. M&T alleges that the loans either are not in conformity with FTBNA's representations about them or are insured and sold due to mutual mistake or both. At this time, as a result of rulings to date, the claim has become a demand that FTBNA repurchase certain HELOCs having an original principal balance

Table of Contents**Note 9 Contingencies and Other Disclosures (continued)**

of \$45.5 million. At June 30, 2011, the HELOCs at issue included loans with an unpaid principal balance of \$24.8 million and also included charged-off loans of \$9.9 million.

FTN and FTBNA, along with a former executive officer and two former employees, have received written Wells notices from the Staff of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) stating that the Staff intends to recommend that the SEC bring enforcement actions for allegedly aiding and abetting a former FTN customer, Sentinel, in violations of the federal securities laws. The subject of the Wells notices is a 2006 year-end securities repurchase transaction entered into by FTN with Sentinel. A Wells notice by the SEC Staff is neither a formal allegation of wrongdoing nor a determination by the SEC that there has been wrongdoing. A Wells notice generally provides the recipient with an opportunity to provide his, her, or its perspective to address the Staff's concerns prior to enforcement action being taken by the SEC. FHN is actively engaged in working within the Wells process to try to resolve this matter. Based on the current status of discussions, FHN has determined that resolution of this matter with the SEC is probable and that the loss outcome amount is reasonably estimable. Accordingly, FHN established a liability in the first quarter 2011 in an amount that is not material to the financial statements. Any settlement that results from these discussions is subject to SEC review and approval and judicial review and approval. If FHN is unable to resolve this matter and enforcement action is brought, FHN believes there are meritorious defenses and intends to advance those defenses vigorously.

Matters as to which Material Loss is Not Probable but which could be viewed as Material Primarily for

Non-Financial Reasons. The following are pending litigation matters as to which directors or senior executives of FHN are named as defendants in connection with the performance of their duties on behalf of FHN.

A shareholder, Cranston Reid, has filed a putative derivative lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Tennessee, Western Division (Case No. 2:10cv02413-STA-cgc) against various former and current officers and directors of FHN. FHN is named as a nominal defendant, though no relief is sought against it. The complaint alleges the following causes of action: breach of fiduciary duty, abuse of control, gross mismanagement, and unjust enrichment. The claimed breach of fiduciary duty and other causes of action stem from a number of alleged events, including: certain litigation matters, both pending and previously disposed, unrelated to this plaintiff; certain matters that allegedly could become litigation matters, unrelated to this plaintiff; a matter that previously had been investigated and concluded, unrelated to this plaintiff; and an alleged general use of allegedly unlawful and high-risk banking practices. In March 2011 the court dismissed all claims. The plaintiff has appealed the dismissal. FHN continues to believe the defendants have meritorious defenses to this complaint including that the complaint fails to state any legally cognizable claim and intends to advance those defenses vigorously on appeal.

A shareholder, Troy Sims, has filed a class action lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Tennessee, Western Division (Case No. 2:08-cv-02293-STA-cgc) against FHN and various former and current officers and directors of FHN. The complaint alleges causes of action under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended (ERISA), related to FHN's Savings Plan, which is a 401(k) savings plan offered to eligible employees. Specifically, the complaint alleges that defendants breached fiduciary duties owed to Plan participants by: (1) failure to prudently and loyally manage the Plan's investment in First Horizon stock and certain proprietary mutual funds; (2) failure to provide accurate information to participants and beneficiaries; (3) failure to monitor other Plan fiduciaries; and (4) breach of co-fiduciary obligations. For these alleged violations, plaintiffs seek to require defendants to pay Plan participants unspecified damages resulting from the decline in value of First Horizon stock between January 2006 and July 14, 2008 and associated with participants' investment in proprietary mutual funds offered by the Plan between May 2002 and January 2006. FHN believes the defendants have meritorious defenses to this complaint and intends to advance those defenses vigorously.

Visa Matters. FHN is a member of the Visa USA network. On October 3, 2007, the Visa organization of affiliated entities completed a series of global restructuring transactions to combine its affiliated operating companies, including Visa USA, under a single holding company, Visa Inc. (Visa). Upon completion of the reorganization, the members of the Visa USA network remained contingently liable for certain Visa litigation matters. Based on its proportionate membership share of Visa USA, FHN recognized a contingent liability in fourth quarter 2007 related to this

contingent obligation. In March 2008, Visa completed its initial public offering (IPO) and funded an escrow account from its IPO proceeds to be used to make payments related to the Visa litigation matters. FHN received approximately 2.4 million Class B shares in conjunction with Visa s IPO.

FHN sold 440,000 of its shares, reducing its holdings to approximately 2.0 million shares in December 2010. FHN s Visa shares are included in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition at their historical cost of \$0.

Conversion of these shares into class A shares of Visa and, with limited exceptions, transfer of these shares is restricted until the later of the third anniversary of the IPO or the final resolution of the covered litigation. The final conversion ratio, which was estimated to approximate 49 percent as of June 30, 2011, will fluctuate based on the

Table of Contents**Note 9 Contingencies and Other Disclosures (continued)**

ultimate settlement of the Visa litigation matters for which FHN has a proportionate contingent obligation. Future funding of the escrow will dilute this exchange rate by an amount that is yet to be determined.

In conjunction with the sale of a portion of its Visa class B shares in December 2010, FHN and the purchaser entered into a derivative transaction whereby FHN will make, or receive, cash payments whenever the conversion ratio of the Visa class B shares into Visa class A shares is adjusted. FHN determined that the initial fair value of the derivative was equal to a pro rata portion of the previously accrued contingent liability for Visa litigation matters attributable to the Visa class B shares sold in 2010. This amount was determined to be a liability of \$1.0 million as of December 31, 2010.

In March 2011, Visa deposited an additional \$400 million into the escrow account. Accordingly, FHN reduced its contingent liability by \$3.3 million to \$1.4 million through a credit to noninterest expense in first quarter 2011. The associated decline in the conversion ratio to 49 percent resulted in FHN making a payment to the counterparty of \$.7 million in second quarter 2011. As of June 30, 2011, the derivative liability was \$1.3 million.

Other Disclosures Indemnification Agreements and Guarantees. In the ordinary course of business, FHN enters into indemnification agreements for legal proceedings against its directors and officers and standard representations and warranties for underwriting agreements, merger and acquisition agreements, loan sales, contractual commitments, and various other business transactions or arrangements. The extent of FHN's obligations under these agreements depends upon the occurrence of future events; therefore, it is not possible to estimate a maximum potential amount of payouts that could be required with such agreements.

FHN is subject to potential liabilities and losses in relation to loans that it services, and in relation to loans that it originated and sold. FHN evaluates those potential liabilities and maintains reserves for potential losses. In addition, FHN has agreements with the purchaser of its national home loan origination and servicing platforms that create obligations and potential liabilities.

Servicing. FHN services a predominately first lien mortgage loan portfolio with an unpaid principal balance of approximately \$26 billion as of June 30, 2011. A substantial portion of the first lien portfolio is serviced through a subservicer. The first lien portfolio is held primarily by Fannie Mae (FNMA) and private security holders, with less significant portions held by Ginnie Mae (GNMA) and Freddie Mac (FHLMC). In connection with its servicing activities, FHN collects and remits the principal and interest payments on the underlying loans for the account of the appropriate investor. In the event of delinquency or non-payment on a loan in a private or agency securitization: (1) the terms of the private securities agreements require FHN, as servicer, to continue to make monthly advances of principal and interest (P&I) to the trustee for the benefit of the investors; and (2) the terms of the majority of the agency agreements may require the servicer to make advances of P&I, or to repurchase the delinquent or defaulted loan out of the trust pool. In the event payments are ultimately made by FHN to satisfy this obligation, P&I advances and servicer advances are recoverable from: (1) the liquidation proceeds of the property securing the loan, in the case of private securitizations and (2) the proceeds of the foreclosure sale by the government agency, in the case of government agency-owned loans. As of June 30, 2011, FHN has recognized servicing and P&I advances of \$281.3 million. Servicing and P&I advances are included in Other assets on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition.

FHN is also subject to losses in its loan servicing portfolio due to loan foreclosures. Foreclosure exposure arises from certain government agency agreements which limit the agency's repayment guarantees on foreclosed loans, resulting in certain foreclosure costs being borne by servicers. Foreclosure exposure also includes real estate costs, marketing costs, and costs to maintain properties, especially during protracted resale periods in geographic areas of the country negatively impacted by declining home values.

FHN is also subject to losses due to unreimbursed servicing expenditures made in connection with the administration of current loss mitigation and loan modification programs. Additionally, FHN is required to repurchase GNMA loans prior to modification in connection with its modification program.

Other Disclosures Home Loans Originated and Sold. Prior to 2009, as a means to provide liquidity for its legacy mortgage banking business, FHN originated loans through its legacy mortgage business, primarily first lien home loans, with the intention of selling them. Sales typically were effected either as non-recourse whole loan sales or

through non-recourse proprietary securitizations. Conventional conforming and federally insured single-family residential mortgage loans were sold predominately to government sponsored enterprises (GSEs). Many mortgage loan originations, especially those that did not meet criteria for whole loan sales to GSEs (nonconforming mortgage loans) were sold to investors predominantly through proprietary securitizations but also, to a lesser extent, through whole loan sales to private non-GSE purchasers. In addition, FHN originated with the intent to sell and sold HELOCs and second lien mortgages through whole loan sales to private purchasers and, to a lesser extent, through proprietary securitizations. Regarding these past loan sale activities, FHN has exposure to potential loss primarily through two avenues. First, investors/purchasers of these mortgage loans may request that FHN repurchase loans or make the

Table of Contents**Note 9 Contingencies and Other Disclosures (continued)**

investor whole for economic losses incurred if it is determined that FHN violated certain contractual representations and warranties made at the time of these sales. Contractual representations and warranties differ based on deal structure and counterparty. Second, investors in securitizations may attempt to achieve rescission of their investments or damages through litigation by claiming that the applicable offering documents were materially deficient. From 2005 through 2008, FHN originated and sold \$69.5 billion of such loans without recourse to GSEs. Although additional GSE sales occurred in earlier years, a substantial majority of GSE repurchase requests have come from that period. In addition, from 2000 through 2007, FHN securitized \$47.0 billion of such loans without recourse in proprietary transactions. Of the amount originally securitized, \$36.7 billion relates to securitization trusts that are still active as approximately 30 securitization trusts have become inactive due to clean-up calls exercised by FHN. The exercise of clean-up calls results in termination of the Pooling and Servicing Agreements and reacquisition of the related mortgage loans remaining unpaid.

Loans Sold With Full or Limited Recourse. FHN has sold certain agency mortgage loans with full recourse under agreements to repurchase the loans upon default. Loans sold with full recourse generally include mortgage loans sold to investors in the secondary market which are uninsurable under government guaranteed mortgage loan programs due to issues associated with underwriting activities, documentation, or other concerns. For mortgage insured single-family residential loans, in the event of borrower nonperformance, FHN would assume losses to the extent they exceed the value of the collateral and private mortgage insurance, Federal Housing Administration (FHA) insurance, or The Veterans Administration (VA) guaranty. On June 30, 2011 and 2010, the current UPB of single-family residential loans that were sold on a full recourse basis with servicing retained was \$52.3 million and \$64.2 million, respectively.

Loans sold with limited recourse include loans sold under government guaranteed mortgage loan programs including the Federal Housing Administration and Veterans Administration. FHN may absorb losses due to uncollected interest and foreclosure costs but has limited risk of credit losses in the event of foreclosure of the mortgage loan sold. Generally, the amount of recourse liability in the event of foreclosure is determined based upon the respective government program and/or the sale or disposal of the foreclosed property collateralizing the mortgage loan. Another instance of limited recourse is the VA/No bid. In this case, the VA guarantee is limited and FHN may be required to fund any deficiency in excess of the VA guarantee if the loan goes to foreclosure. On June 30, 2011 and 2010, the outstanding principal balance of loans sold with limited recourse arrangements where some portion of the principal is at risk and serviced by FHN was \$3.1 billion and \$3.2 billion, respectively (primarily VA loans). Additionally, on June 30, 2011 and 2010, \$0.7 billion and \$0.9 billion, respectively, of mortgage loans (primarily FHA insured) were outstanding which were sold under limited recourse arrangements where the risk is limited to interest and servicing advances.

Loans Sold Without Recourse – GSE Whole Loan Sales. Substantially all of the conforming mortgage loans were sold to GSE such as Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA or Ginnie Mae) for federally insured loans and Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA or Fannie Mae) and Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (FHLMC or Freddie Mac) for conventional loans. Each GSE has specific guidelines and criteria for sellers and servicers of loans backing their respective securities, and the risk of credit loss with regard to the principal amount of the loans sold was generally transferred to investors upon sale to the secondary market.

Generally these loans were sold without recourse. However, if it is determined that the loans sold were in breach of representations or warranties required by the GSE and made by FHN at the time of sale, FHN has obligations to either repurchase the loan for the UPB or make the purchaser whole for the economic loss experienced by the purchaser for such loan. Such representations and warranties required by the GSEs typically include those made regarding the existence and sufficiency of file documentation and the absence of fraud by borrowers or other third parties such as appraisers in connection with obtaining the loan.

At the time of sale, FHN retained servicing rights to a majority of these mortgage loans sold. However, FHN has since sold (through the 2008 divestiture and various bulk sales) servicing rights on a significant amount of the loans that were sold to GSEs. As of June 30, 2011, FHN services only \$9.7 billion of loans sold to GSEs (primarily Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac). A substantial amount of FHN's existing repurchase obligations from outstanding requests relate to

conforming conventional mortgage loans that were sold to GSEs. Since the divestiture of the national mortgage banking business in third quarter 2008 through June 30, 2011, GSEs (primarily Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac) have accounted for the vast majority of repurchase/make-whole claims received.

Loans Sold Without Recourse – Proprietary Securitizations. FHN originated and sold certain non-agency, nonconforming mortgage loans, Jumbo and Alternative-A (Alt A) first lien mortgage loans, to private investors through over 140 proprietary securitization trusts. Over 110 of these proprietary securitization trusts were active as of June 30, 2011. FHN also originated six HELOC securitizations and one second lien installment securitization, all of which were active as of June 30, 2011, discussed in Other Proprietary Securitizations below. Securitized loans generally were sold indirectly to investors as interests, commonly known as certificates, in trusts or other vehicles. The certificates

Table of Contents**Note 9 Contingencies and Other Disclosures (continued)**

were sold to a variety of investors, including GSEs in some cases, through securities offerings under a prospectus or other offering documents. In most cases, the certificates were tiered into different risk classes, with junior classes exposed to trust losses first and senior classes exposed only after junior classes were exhausted. Unlike servicing on loans sold to GSEs, FHN still services substantially all of the remaining loans sold through proprietary securitizations. As of June 30, 2011, the remaining UPB balance in active proprietary securitizations was \$13.2 billion consisting of \$7.7 billion Alt-A mortgage loans and \$5.5 billion Jumbo mortgage loans. Representations and warranties were made to the trustees for the benefit of investors. As such, FHN has exposure for repurchase of loans arising from claims that FHN breached its representations and warranties made at closing, and exposure for investment rescission or damages arising from claims by investors that the offering documents under which the loans were securitized were materially deficient. As of June 30, 2011, the repurchase request pipeline contained no repurchase requests related to securitized loans based on representations and warranties.

Unlike loans sold to GSEs, contractual representations and warranties for proprietary securitizations do not include general representations regarding the absence of third party fraud or negligence in the underwriting or origination of the mortgage loans. Securitization documents typically provide the investors with a right to request that the trustee investigate and initiate repurchase of a mortgage loan if FHN breached certain representations and warranties made at the time the securitization closed and such breach materially and adversely affects the interests of the investors in such mortgage loan. However, the securitization documents do not require the trustee to make an investigation into the facts or matters stated in any request or notice unless requested in writing to do so by the holders of certificates evidencing not less than 25 percent of the voting rights allocated to each class of certificates. The certificate holders may also be required to indemnify the trustee for its costs related to investigations made in connection with repurchase actions. FHN has no knowledge of any investor requests to the trustee to investigate mortgage loans for possible breach of representations and warranties. GSEs were among the purchasers of certificates in securitizations. As such, they are entitled to the benefits of the same representations and warranties as other investors. However, the GSEs, acting through their conservator under federal law, are permitted to undertake, independently of other investors, reviews of FHN's mortgage loan origination and servicing files. Such reviews are commenced using a subpoena process. If, because of such reviews, the GSEs determine there has been a breach of a representation or warranty that has had a material and adverse affect on the interests of the investors in any mortgage loan, the GSEs may seek to cause the Trustee to enforce a repurchase obligation against FHN.

Also unlike loans sold to GSEs through nonrecourse whole loan sales, interests in securitized loans were sold as securities under prospectuses or other offering documents subject to the disclosure requirements of applicable federal and state securities laws. As an alternative to pursuing a claim for breach of representations and warranties through the trustee as mentioned above, investors could pursue a claim alleging that the prospectus or other disclosure documents were deficient by containing materially false or misleading information or by omitting material information. Claims for such disclosure deficiencies typically could be brought under applicable federal or state securities statutes, and the statutory remedies typically could include rescission of the investment or monetary damages measured in relation to the original investment made. If a plaintiff properly made and proved its allegations, the plaintiff might attempt to claim that damages could include loss of market value on the investment even if there were little or no credit loss in the underlying loans. Claims based on alleged disclosure deficiencies also could be brought as traditional fraud or negligence claims with a wider scope of damages possible. Each investor could bring such a claim individually, without acting through the trustee to pursue a claim for breach of representations and warranties, and investors could attempt joint claims or attempt to pursue claims on a class-action basis. Claims of this sort are likely to be resolved in a litigation context in most cases, unlike most of the GSE repurchase requests. The analysis of loss content and establishment of appropriate reserves in those cases would follow principles and practices associated with litigation matters, including an analysis of available procedural and substantive defenses in each particular case and an estimation of the probability of ultimate loss, if any. FHN expects most litigation claims to take much longer to resolve than repurchase requests typically have taken.

None of FHN's proprietary first lien securitizations involved the use of monoline insurance for the benefit of all classes of security holders. Monoline insurance is a form of credit enhancement provided to a securitization by a third party

insurer. Subject to the terms and conditions of the policy, the insurer guarantees payments of accrued interest and principal due to the investors. In certain limited situations, insurance was provided for a specific senior retail class of holders within individual securitizations. The aggregate insured certificates totaled \$128.4 million of original certificate balance. FHN's exercise of cleanup calls contained some of these insured certificates; therefore, the original certificate balance of insured certificates related to active securitization trusts was \$103.4 million as of June 30, 2011. The trustee statement dated June 27, 2011 reported to FHN that the remaining outstanding certificate balance for these classes was \$98.3 million. FHN understands that some monoline insurers have commenced lawsuits against others in the industry seeking to rescind policies of this sort due to alleged misrepresentations as to the quality of the loan portfolio insured. FHN has not received notice of a lawsuit from the monoline insurers of the senior retail level classes.

FHN, among others, has been subpoenaed by the FHFA, Conservator for Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, related to investments made by the two GSEs in six Alt-A proprietary securitizations issued in 2005 and early 2006. The subpoenas relate to ongoing reviews which may result in claims against FHN. The original and current (as of the June 27, 2011 trust statements) combined certificate balances of the related pools

Table of Contents**Note 9 Contingencies and Other Disclosures (continued)**

in which Fannie Mae invested were \$443.2 million and \$176.3 million, respectively. The original and current (as of the June 27, 2011 trust statements) combined certificate balances of the related pools in which Freddie Mac invested were \$842.0 million and \$367.2 million, respectively. Since the reviews at this time are neither repurchase claims nor litigation, the associated loans are not considered part of the repurchase pipeline. As of June 30, 2011, and at the time this report is filed, FHN is unable to determine a probable loss or estimate a range of reasonably possible loss due to the uncertainty related to these matters; no liability has been established.

FHN, among others, has been subpoenaed by the federal regulator of credit unions, the National Credit Union Administration (NCUA), related to investments by two federal credit unions in three first lien and one HELOC securitization. The subpoenas relate to ongoing reviews which may result in claims against FHN. The original and current (as of the June 27, 2011 trust statements) combined first lien certificate balances of the related pools in which the two credit unions invested were \$321.6 million and \$162.7 million, respectively. The original and current (as of the June 27, 2011 trust statements) combined HELOC certificate balances of the related pools in which the two credit unions invested were \$299.8 million and \$129.9 million, respectively. Since the reviews at this time are neither repurchase claims nor litigation, the associated loans are not considered part of the repurchase pipeline. As of June 30, 2011, and at the time this report is filed, FHN is unable to determine a probable loss or estimate a range of reasonably possible loss due to the uncertainty related to these matters; no liability has been established.

At the time this report is filed, FHN is one of multiple defendants in three lawsuits brought by investors in seven securitizations which claim that the offering documents under which certificates were sold to them were materially deficient. The plaintiffs in these suits are Charles Schwab Corp., Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago, and Western & Southern Life Insurance Co, among others. Two of those investors brought suit in the second half of 2010. FHN received notice on July 20, 2011 of the Western & Southern suit. A fourth investor, Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis, filed a suit against FHN and others in 2010 but withdrew the suit as to FHN in July 2011. The plaintiffs in the three pending suits claim to have purchased a total of \$216.6 million of certificates in seven separate securitizations and demand that FHN repurchase their investments, among other remedies sought. Details concerning the original dollar amounts of the investments at issue are set forth below. Senior and Junior refer to the ranking of the investments in broad terms; in many cases the securitization provided for sub-classifications within the Senior or Junior groups.

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Alt-A | | Jumbo | |
|-------------------------------|----------|--------|------------|-----------|
| | Senior | Junior | Senior | Junior |
| Vintage | | | | |
| 2005 | \$ | \$ | \$ 60,000 | \$ |
| 2006 | | | 84,659 | 9,793 |
| 2007 | 5,050 | | 50,000 | 7,084 |
| Total | \$ 5,050 | \$ | \$ 194,659 | \$ 16,877 |

Although these suits are in early stages, FHN intends to defend itself vigorously. These lawsuit matters have been analyzed and treated as litigation matters under applicable accounting standards. As of June 30, 2011, and at the time this report was filed, FHN is unable to determine a probable loss or estimate a range of reasonably possible loss due to the uncertainty related to these matters; no liability has been established. Similar claims may be pursued by other investors.

At June 30, 2011, FHN had not recognized a liability for exposure for repurchase of loans arising from claims that FHN breached its representations and warranties made in securitizations at closing, nor for exposure for investment rescission or damages arising from claims by investors that the offering documents under which the loans were securitized were materially deficient.

Other Proprietary Securitizations. FHN also originated and sold home equity lines and loans through seven proprietary securitization trusts. The trusts issued notes backed by the loans and publicly offered the asset-backed notes to investors pursuant to a prospectus. FHN services all of the loans backing the notes in these proprietary securitizations pursuant to the terms of the sale and servicing agreements. The Trustee statements dated June 27, 2011, reported that the cumulative original and current outstanding note balances of the HELOC securitizations were \$2.5 billion and \$.6 billion, respectively. The original and current outstanding balance of the closed-end second lien securitization was \$236.3 million and \$26.3 million, respectively.

These securitization trusts have been consolidated; consequently, these loans and the associated credit risk are reflected in FHN's consolidated financial statements. As of June 30, 2011, the loans and associated ALLL are reflected as restricted on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition.

Table of Contents**Note 9 Contingencies and Other Disclosures (continued)**

The asset-backed notes issued in the HELOC securitizations were wrapped by monoline insurers. FHN understands that some monoline insurers have commenced lawsuits against other originators of asset-backed securities seeking to cancel policies of this sort due to alleged misrepresentations as to the quality of the loan portfolio insured. FHN has not received notice from a monoline insurer of any such lawsuit. The monoline insurers also have certain contractual rights to pursue repurchase and indemnification. In response to unreimbursed insurance draws resulting from insufficient investor remittances, the monoline insurer of two of FHN's HELOC securitizations exercised its rights to review the performance of these HELOC securitizations and, with respect to charged off loans, to review loan origination and servicing files, underwriting guidelines and payment histories. The demands were made pursuant to the terms of the applicable insurance and indemnity and sale and servicing agreements. This review of FHN's HELOC loan files at this time is neither a repurchase claim nor litigation. As of June 30, 2011, and at the time this report is filed, FHN is unable to determine a probable loss or estimate a range of reasonably possible loss due to the uncertainty related to these matters; no liability has been established for amounts other than for advances made by monoline insurers. Advances made by monoline insurers for the benefit of security holders have been recognized within restricted term borrowings in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition.

Loans Sold Without Recourse - Other Whole Loan Sales. FHN originated through its former national retail and wholesale channels and subsequently sold HELOC and second lien mortgages through whole loan sales. These loans were underwritten to the guidelines of that channel as either combination transactions with first lien mortgages or stand alone transactions. The whole loan sales were generally done on a servicing retained basis and contained representations and warranties customary to such loan sales and servicing agreements in the industry with specific reference to seller's underwriting and servicing guidelines. Loans were subject to repurchase in the event of early payment defaults and for breaches of representations and warranties. In 2009, FHN settled a substantial portion of its repurchase obligations for these loans through an agreement with the primary purchaser of HELOC and second lien loans. This settlement included the transfer of servicing rights associated with the applicable second lien and HELOC loan sales. FHN does not guarantee the receipt of the scheduled principal and interest payments on the underlying loans but does have an obligation to repurchase the loans excluded from the above settlement for which there is a breach of representations and warranties provided to the buyers. The remaining repurchase reserve for these loans is minimal, reflecting the settlement discussed above.

FHN has also sold first lien mortgages without recourse through whole loan sales to non-GSE purchasers. As of June 30, 2011, 2 percent of repurchase/make-whole claims relate to private whole loan sales. These claims are included in FHN's liability methodology and the assessment of the adequacy of the repurchase and foreclosure liability.

Private Mortgage Insurance. PMI was required by GSE rules for certain of the loans sold to GSEs and was also provided for certain of the loans that were securitized. PMI generally was provided for the first lien loans sold or securitized having a loan-to-value ratio at origination of greater than 80 percent. Although unresolved PMI cancellation notices are not formal repurchase requests, FHN includes these in the active repurchase request pipeline when analyzing and estimating loss content in relation to the loans sold to GSEs. For purposes of estimating loss content, FHN also considers reviewed PMI cancellation notices where coverage has been cancelled for all loan sales and securitizations. In determining the adequacy of the repurchase reserve, FHN considered \$208.9 million in UPB of loans sold where PMI coverage was cancelled for all loan sales and securitizations. To date, a majority of PMI cancellation notices have involved loans sold to GSEs. At June 30, 2011, all estimated loss content arising from PMI cancellation matters related to loans sold to GSEs.

Repurchase Obligations Related to M&T Branch Sale. FHN also sold loans as part of branch sales that were executed during 2007 as part of a strategic decision to exit businesses in markets FHN considered non-strategic. Unlike the loans sold to GSEs or sold privately as discussed above, these loans were originated to be held to maturity as part of the loan portfolio. FHN has received repurchase requests related to HELOCs from M&T, one of the purchasers of these branches. These HELOCs are not included in the repurchase pipeline as potential repurchase obligations are evaluated separately based on specific facts and circumstances related to this loan sale. These requests currently are the subject of an arbitration proceeding. Additional information concerning this matter is presented in this Note above

under the caption Contingencies and concerns arbitration claims filed by M&T.

Repurchase and Foreclosure Liability. Based on its experience to date, FHN has evaluated its loan repurchase exposure as mentioned above and has accrued for losses of \$171.9 million and \$164.6 million as of June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. A vast majority of this liability relates to obligations associated with the sale of first lien mortgages to GSEs through the legacy mortgage banking business. Accrued liabilities for FHN's estimate of these obligations are reflected in Other liabilities on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition. Charges to increase the repurchase and foreclosure liability are included within Repurchase and foreclosure provision on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.

Other Disclosures Foreclosure Practices. The focus on judicial foreclosure practices of financial institutions nationwide has expanded to include non-judicial foreclosure and loss mitigation practices including the effective coordination by servicers of foreclosure and loss

Table of Contents**Note 9 Contingencies and Other Disclosures (continued)**

mitigation activities, which could impact FHN through increased operational and legal costs. FHN owns and services residential loans. By the end of 2010, FHN had reviewed its processes relating to foreclosure on loans it owns and services and no material issues were identified. FHN has continued to review and revise, as appropriate, its foreclosure processes in coordination with loss mitigation practices and to continue to monitor these processes with the goal of conforming them to changing servicing requirements. FHN's national mortgage and servicing platforms were sold in August 2008 and the related servicing activities, including foreclosure and loss mitigation practices, of the still-owned portion of FHN's mortgage servicing portfolio has been outsourced through a three year subservicing arrangement (the 2008 subservicing agreement) with the platform buyer (the 2008 subservicer). The 2008 subservicing agreement expires in August 2011. FHN has entered into a replacement agreement (the 2011 subservicing agreement) with a new subservicer (the 2011 subservicer). The transition from the 2008 to the 2011 subservicer is expected to be substantially completed by August 2011.

By the end of first quarter 2011, federal banking regulators had completed examinations of foreclosure and loss mitigation practices of large, federally regulated mortgage servicers, including FHN's 2008 subservicer, and more recently have entered into consent decrees with several of the institutions requiring comprehensive revision of loan modification and foreclosure processes, including the remediation of borrowers that have experienced financial harm. The 2008 subservicer is subject to a consent decree and has advised FHN that it has implemented or is in the process of implementing the new standards. As a result of the above examinations, on June 30, 2011 the OCC issued Supervisory Guidance relating to Foreclosure Management in OCC Bulletin 2011-29 setting forth the OCC's expectations for the oversight and management of mortgage foreclosure activities for national banks, and requiring self-assessments of foreclosure management practices including compliance with legal requirements, and testing and file reviews. FHN is reviewing the Supervisory Guidance and anticipates utilizing a third party for its self-assessment of its foreclosure management process relating to all its mortgage servicing, including that conducted by the 2011 subservicer. Also in connection with the 2008 subservicer's self-assessment, FHN will cooperate with the 2008 subservicer to identify any servicing deficiencies in foreclosure or loss mitigation that might impact FHN's subserviced loans.

Under FHN's 2008 subservicing agreement, the 2008 subservicer has the contractual right to follow FHN's prior servicing practice as they existed 180 days prior to August 2008 until subservicer becomes aware that such practices do not comply with applicable servicing requirements, subject to subservicer's obligation to follow accepted servicing practices, applicable law, and new requirements, including evolving interpretations of such practices, law and requirements. FHN cannot predict the amount of additional operating costs related to foreclosure delays, including required process changes, increased default services, extended periods of servicing advances and the recoverability of such advances, legal expenses, or other costs that may be incurred as a result of the internal reviews or external actions. In the event of a dispute between FHN and subservicer over any liabilities for subservicer's servicing and management of foreclosure processes, FHN cannot predict the costs that maybe incurred. Accordingly, FHN is unable to determine a probable loss or estimate a range of reasonably possible loss due to uncertainty related to these matters. No liability has been established.

Other Disclosures Reinsurance Arrangements. A wholly-owned subsidiary of FHN entered into agreements with several providers of private mortgage insurance whereby the subsidiary agreed to accept insurance risk for specified loss corridors for pools of loans originated in each contract year in exchange for a portion of the PMI premiums paid by borrowers (i.e., reinsurance arrangements). The loss corridors varied for each primary insurer for each contract year. The estimation of FHN's exposure to losses under these arrangements involved the determination of FHN's maximum loss exposure by applying the low and high ends of the loss corridor range to a fixed amount that is specified in each contract. FHN then performed an estimation of total loss content within each insured pool of loans to determine the degree to which its loss corridor had been penetrated. Management obtained the assistance of a third-party actuarial firm in developing its estimation of loss content. This process included consideration of factors such as delinquency trends, default rates, and housing prices which were used to estimate both the frequency and severity of losses. No new reinsurance arrangements were initiated after 2008. As of June 30, 2011, FHN had settled all of its reinsurance obligations with primary insurers through termination of the related reinsurance agreement and

transfer of the associated trust assets.

Other Disclosures 2008 Sale of National Origination and Servicing Platforms. In conjunction with the sale of its servicing platform in August 2008, FHN entered into the 2008 subservicing agreement with the 2008 subservicer for the unsold portion of FHN's servicing portfolio. As part of the 2008 subservicing agreement, FHN agreed to a make-whole arrangement whereby if the number of loans subserviced by the 2008 subservicer falls below specified levels and the direct servicing cost per loan is greater than a specified amount (determined using loans serviced on behalf of both FHN and the 2008 subservicer), FHN will make a payment according to a contractually specified formula. The make-whole payment is subject to a cap, which is \$15.0 million if triggered during the eight quarters following the first anniversary of the agreement. As part of the 2008 transaction, FHN recognized a contingent liability of \$1.2 million representing the estimated fair value of its performance obligation under the make-whole arrangement. The 2008 subservicing agreement will expire in August 2011 and FHN has entered into the 2011 subservicing agreement with the 2011 subservicer that will take over the servicing of these mortgage loans for FHN. As FHN expects to continue compliance with all thresholds under the make-whole arrangement through August 2011,

Table of Contents

Note 9 Contingencies and Other Disclosures (continued)

FHN expects to reverse the \$1.2 million contingent liability associated with the make-whole provisions of the 2008 subservicing agreement in third quarter 2011.

34

Table of Contents**Note 10 Pension, Savings, and Other Employee Benefits**

Pension plan. FHN sponsors a noncontributory, qualified defined benefit pension plan to employees hired or re-hired on or before September 1, 2007. Pension benefits are based on years of service, average compensation near retirement or other termination, and estimated social security benefits at age 65. The contributions are based upon actuarially determined amounts necessary to fund the total benefit obligation. FHN did not make any contributions to the qualified pension plan in 2010 or the first two quarters of 2011. Future decisions will be based upon pension funding requirements under the Pension Protection Act, the maximum deductible under the Internal Revenue Code, and the actual performance of plan assets. At this time, FHN does not expect to make a contribution to the qualified pension plan in 2011.

FHN also maintains non-qualified plans including a supplemental retirement plan that covers certain employees whose benefits under the pension plan have been limited. These other non-qualified pension plans are unfunded, and contributions to these plans cover all benefits paid under the non-qualified plans. Contributions to non-qualified plans were \$4.5 million for 2010, and FHN anticipates making a \$5.1 million contribution in 2011.

Savings plan. Effective January 1, 2008, a special Employee Non-voluntary Elective Contribution (ENEC) program was added under the FHN savings plan and is provided only to employees who are not eligible for the pension plan. With the ENEC program, FHN will generally make contributions to eligible employees' savings plan accounts based upon company performance. Contribution amounts will be a percentage of each employee's base salary (as defined in the savings plan) earned the prior year. FHN contributed \$1.2 million for the plan in 2010 related to the 2009 plan year, and FHN expects to contribute \$1.3 million for the plan in 2011 related to the 2010 plan year.

Other employee benefits. FHN provides postretirement life insurance benefits to certain employees and also provides postretirement medical insurance to retirement-eligible employees. The postretirement medical plan is contributory with retiree contributions adjusted annually and is based on criteria that are a combination of the employee's age and years of service. For any employee retiring on or after January 1, 1995, FHN contributes a fixed amount based on years of service and age at the time of retirement. FHN's postretirement benefits include prescription drug benefits. The Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003 (the Act) introduced a prescription drug benefit under Medicare Part D as well as a federal subsidy to sponsors of retiree health care that provide a benefit that is actuarially equivalent to Medicare Part D. FHN currently anticipates receiving a prescription drug subsidy under the Act through 2015.

The components of net periodic benefit cost for the three months ended June 30 are as follows:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Pension Benefits | | Other Benefits | |
|--|------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Components of net periodic benefit cost | | | | |
| Service cost | \$ 4,301 | \$ 3,778 | \$ 144 | \$ 136 |
| Interest cost | 8,147 | 7,836 | 552 | 595 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (11,724) | (11,879) | (297) | (287) |
| Amortization of unrecognized: | | | | |
| Transition obligation | | | 246 | 247 |
| Prior service cost/(credit) | 104 | 67 | (2) | (2) |
| Actuarial (gain)/loss | 5,027 | 3,772 | (260) | (216) |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 5,855 | \$ 3,574 | \$ 383 | \$ 473 |

Table of Contents**Note 10 Pension, Savings, and Other Employee Benefits (continued)**

The components of net periodic benefit cost for the six months ended June 30 are as follows:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Pension Benefits | | Other Benefits | |
|--|------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Components of net periodic benefit cost | | | | |
| Service cost | \$ 8,602 | \$ 7,556 | \$ 288 | \$ 272 |
| Interest cost | 16,294 | 15,673 | 1,104 | 1,190 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (23,446) | (23,759) | (596) | (575) |
| Amortization of unrecognized: | | | | |
| Transition obligation | | | 492 | 494 |
| Prior service cost/(credit) | 208 | 134 | (4) | (4) |
| Actuarial (gain)/loss | 10,054 | 7,543 | (520) | (431) |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 11,712 | \$ 7,147 | \$ 764 | \$ 946 |

Table of Contents**Note 11 Business Segment Information**

Periodically, FHN adapts its segments to reflect managerial or strategic changes. FHN may also modify its methodology of allocating expenses among segments which could change historical segment results. In first quarter 2011, FHN agreed to sell First Horizon Insurance, Inc. (FHI), the former subsidiary of First Tennessee Bank, which provided property and casualty insurance to customers in over 40 states, and Highland Capital Management Corporation (Highland), the former subsidiary of First Horizon National Corporation, which provided asset management services. The results of operations for both businesses have been included in the Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax line on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income for all periods presented. Consistent with historical practice, these businesses were moved to the non-strategic segment with other exited businesses and discontinued products. For comparability, previously reported items have been revised to reflect these changes. Both divestitures closed in second quarter 2011.

FHN has four business segments: regional banking, capital markets, corporate, and non-strategic. The regional banking segment offers financial products and services, including traditional lending and deposit taking, to retail and commercial customers in Tennessee and surrounding markets. Regional banking provides investments, financial planning, trust services and asset management, health savings accounts, credit card, cash management, and first lien mortgage originations within the Tennessee footprint. Additionally, the regional banking segment includes correspondent banking which provides credit, depository, and other banking related services to other financial institutions. The capital markets segment consists of fixed income sales, trading, and strategies for institutional clients in the U.S. and abroad, as well as loan sales, portfolio advisory, and derivative sales. The corporate segment consists of gains on the extinguishment of debt, unallocated corporate expenses, expense on subordinated debt issuances and preferred stock, bank-owned life insurance, unallocated interest income associated with excess equity, net impact of raising incremental capital, revenue and expense associated with deferred compensation plans, funds management, low income housing investment activities, and various charges related to restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency. The non-strategic segment consists of the wind-down national consumer and construction lending activities, legacy mortgage banking elements including servicing fees, and the associated ancillary revenues and expenses related to these businesses. Non-strategic also includes the wind-down trust preferred loan portfolio and exited businesses along with the associated restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency charges.

Total revenue, expense, and asset levels reflect those which are specifically identifiable or which are allocated based on an internal allocation method. Because the allocations are based on internally developed assignments and allocations, they are to an extent subjective. This assignment and allocation has been consistently applied for all periods presented. The following table reflects the amounts of consolidated revenue, expense, tax, and assets for each segment for the three and six month periods ended June 30:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended June 30 | | Six Months Ended June 30 | |
|---|-------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Consolidated | | | | |
| Net interest income | \$ 172,860 | \$ 182,064 | \$ 345,615 | \$ 362,459 |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,000 | 70,000 | 2,000 | 175,000 |
| Noninterest income | 188,772 | 243,937 | 386,314 | 487,186 |
| Noninterest expense | 345,826 | 337,311 | 660,973 | 675,290 |
| Income/(loss) before income taxes | 14,806 | 18,690 | 68,956 | (645) |
| Provision/(benefit) for income taxes | (4,242) | (1,659) | 7,866 | (18,177) |
| Income from continuing operations | 19,048 | 20,349 | 61,090 | 17,532 |
| Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | 3,788 | 129 | 4,748 | (6,947) |

| | | | | |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Net income | \$ 22,836 | \$ 20,478 | \$ 65,838 | \$ 10,585 |
| Average assets | \$ 24,518,015 | \$ 25,600,578 | \$ 24,543,948 | \$ 25,580,107 |

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

37

Table of Contents**Note 11 Business Segment Information (continued)**

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended June 30 | | Six Months Ended June 30 | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Regional Banking | | | | |
| Net interest income | \$ 137,080 | \$ 138,179 | \$ 272,582 | \$ 272,036 |
| Provision for loan losses | (13,743) | 27,975 | (26,143) | 80,022 |
| Noninterest income | 68,881 | 74,652 | 136,251 | 145,577 |
| Noninterest expense | 146,892 | 153,964 | 298,013 | 310,587 |
| Income before income taxes | 72,812 | 30,892 | 136,963 | 27,004 |
| Provision for income taxes | 26,680 | 11,213 | 50,135 | 9,427 |
| Net income | \$ 46,132 | \$ 19,679 | \$ 86,828 | \$ 17,577 |
| Average assets | \$ 11,090,295 | \$ 11,237,708 | \$ 11,073,610 | \$ 11,264,974 |
| Capital Markets | | | | |
| Net interest income | \$ 5,564 | \$ 4,824 | \$ 11,140 | \$ 7,188 |
| Noninterest income | 77,925 | 100,975 | 168,005 | 215,559 |
| Noninterest expense | 103,383 | 78,066 | 176,946 | 161,943 |
| Income/(loss) before income taxes | (19,894) | 27,733 | 2,199 | 60,804 |
| Provision/(benefit) for income taxes | (7,736) | 10,384 | 698 | 22,766 |
| Net income/(loss) | \$ (12,158) | \$ 17,349 | \$ 1,501 | \$ 38,038 |
| Average assets | \$ 2,249,831 | \$ 2,077,434 | \$ 2,150,290 | \$ 1,979,558 |
| Corporate | | | | |
| Net interest income | \$ 445 | \$ 1,113 | \$ 175 | \$ 6,670 |
| Provision for loan losses | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Noninterest income | 9,007 | 4,925 | 21,878 | 29,832 |
| Noninterest expense | 36,286 | 11,833 | 56,957 | 32,322 |
| Income/(loss) before income taxes | (26,834) | (5,795) | (34,904) | 4,180 |
| Benefit for income taxes | (18,843) | (10,392) | (29,375) | (15,466) |
| Net income/(loss) | \$ (7,991) | \$ 4,597 | \$ (5,529) | \$ 19,646 |
| Average assets | \$ 5,086,989 | \$ 4,940,675 | \$ 5,098,012 | \$ 4,748,205 |
| Non-Strategic | | | | |
| Net interest income | \$ 29,771 | \$ 37,948 | \$ 61,718 | \$ 76,565 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---|---------------------|--------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Provision for loan losses | 14,743 | 42,025 | 28,143 | 94,978 |
| Noninterest income | 32,959 | 63,385 | 60,180 | 96,218 |
| Noninterest expense | 59,265 | 93,448 | 129,057 | 170,438 |
| Loss before income taxes | (11,278) | (34,140) | (35,302) | (92,633) |
| Benefit for income taxes | (4,343) | (12,864) | (13,592) | (34,904) |
| Loss from continuing operations | (6,935) | (21,276) | (21,710) | (57,729) |
| Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | 3,788 | 129 | 4,748 | (6,947) |
| Net loss | \$ (3,147) | \$ (21,147) | \$ (16,962) | \$ (64,676) |
| Average assets | \$ 6,090,900 | \$ 7,344,761 | \$ 6,222,036 | \$ 7,587,370 |

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

N/A Not applicable

Table of Contents**Note 12 Preferred Stock and Other Capital****FHN Preferred Stock and Warrant**

On November 14, 2008, FHN issued and sold 866,540 preferred shares of Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series CPP, along with a Warrant to purchase common stock. The issuance occurred in connection with, and was governed by, the Treasury Capital Purchase Program (Capital Purchase Program) administered by the U.S. Treasury (UST) under the Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP). In connection with the issuance of the Preferred Shares, FHN also issued a Warrant to purchase 12,743,235 common shares with an exercise price of \$10.20 per share. The Warrant was immediately exercisable and was set to expire ten years after issuance. As a result of the stock dividends distributed through January 1, 2011, the Warrant was adjusted to cover 14,842,321 common shares at a purchase price of \$8.757 per share. On December 22, 2010, subsequent to offerings of common equity and senior debt which raised more than \$750 million, FHN repurchased all of the Preferred Shares and remitted the accrued and unpaid dividends. In first quarter 2011, FHN completed the purchase and cancellation of the Warrant to purchase common stock. FHN paid the UST \$79.7 million to purchase the Warrant. The UST no longer holds any securities of FHN under the Capital Purchase Program.

Subsidiary Preferred Stock

On September 14, 2000, FT Real Estate Securities Company, Inc. (FTRESC), an indirect subsidiary of FHN, issued 50 shares of 9.50 percent Cumulative Preferred Stock, Class B (Class B Preferred Shares), with a liquidation preference of \$1.0 million per share. An aggregate total of 47 Class B Preferred Shares have been sold privately to nonaffiliates. These securities qualify as Tier 2 capital and are presented in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition as Term borrowings. FTRESC is a real estate investment trust (REIT) established for the purpose of acquiring, holding, and managing real estate mortgage assets. Dividends on the Class B Preferred Shares are cumulative and are payable semi-annually.

The Class B Preferred Shares are mandatorily redeemable on March 31, 2031, and redeemable at the discretion of FTRESC in the event that the Class B Preferred Shares cannot be accounted for as Tier 2 regulatory capital or there is more than an insubstantial risk that dividends paid with respect to the Class B Preferred Shares will not be fully deductible for tax purposes. They are not subject to any sinking fund and are not convertible into any other securities of FTRESC, FHN, or any of its subsidiaries. The shares are, however, automatically exchanged at the direction of the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency for preferred stock of FTBNA, having substantially the same terms as the Class B Preferred Shares in the event FTBNA becomes undercapitalized, insolvent, or in danger of becoming undercapitalized.

First Horizon Preferred Funding, LLC and First Horizon Preferred Funding II, LLC have each issued \$1.0 million of Class B Preferred Shares. On June 30, 2011 and 2010, the amount of Class B Preferred Shares that are perpetual in nature that was recognized as Noncontrolling interest on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition was \$.3 million for both periods. The remaining balance has been eliminated in consolidation.

On March 23, 2005, FTBNA issued 300,000 shares of Class A Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock (Class A Preferred Stock) with a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share. These securities qualify as Tier 1 capital. On June 30, 2011 and 2010, \$294.8 million of Class A Preferred Stock was recognized as Noncontrolling interest on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition for both periods.

Due to the nature of the subsidiary preferred stock issued by First Horizon Preferred Funding, LLC, First Horizon Preferred Funding II, LLC, and FTBNA, all components of Other comprehensive income/(loss) included in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Equity and Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax included in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income, have been attributed solely to FHN as the controlling interest holder. The component of Income from continuing operations attributable to FHN as the controlling interest holder for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 is \$16.2 million and \$17.5 million, respectively, and \$55.4 million and \$11.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents**Note 13 Loan Sales and Securitizations**

Prior to 2009, FHN utilized loan sales and securitizations as a significant source of liquidity for its mortgage banking operations. Since that time FHN has focused the originations of mortgages within its regional banking footprint. FHN no longer retains financial interests in loans it transfers to third parties. During second quarter 2011, FHN transferred \$54.4 million of single-family residential mortgage loans in whole loan sales resulting in \$.3 million of net pre-tax gains. During second quarter 2010, FHN transferred \$178.9 million of single-family residential mortgage loans in whole loan sales resulting in \$1.5 million of net pre-tax gains. During the six months ended June 30, 2011, FHN transferred \$192.6 million of single-family residential mortgage loans in whole loan sales resulting in \$1.2 million of net pre-tax gains. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, FHN transferred \$353.8 million of single-family residential mortgage loans in whole loan sales resulting in \$3.0 million of net pre-tax gains.

Retained Interests

Interests retained from prior loan sales, including GSE securitizations, typically included MSR and excess interest. Interests retained from proprietary securitizations included MSR and various financial assets (see discussion below). MSR were initially valued at fair value and the remaining retained interests were initially valued by allocating the remaining cost basis of the loan between the security or loan sold and the remaining retained interests based on their relative fair values at the time of sale or securitization.

In certain cases, FHN continues to service and receive servicing fees related to the transferred loans. In second quarter 2011 and 2010, FHN received annual servicing fees approximating .29 percent of the outstanding balance of underlying single-family residential mortgage loans and .34 percent inclusive of income related to excess interest. In second quarter 2011 and 2010, FHN received annual servicing fees approximating .50 percent of the outstanding balance of underlying loans for HELOC and home equity loans transferred. MSR related to loans transferred and serviced by FHN, as well as MSR related to loans serviced by FHN and transferred by others, are discussed further in Note 5 Mortgage Servicing Rights. There were no additions to MSR in 2011 or 2010.

Other financial assets retained in proprietary or GSE securitizations may include excess interest (structured as interest-only strips), interest-only strips, or principal-only strips. Excess interest represents rights to receive interest from serviced assets that exceed contractually specified rates. Principal-only strips are principal cash flow tranches and interest-only strips are interest cash flow tranches. All financial assets retained from off balance sheet securitizations are recognized on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition in trading securities at fair value with realized and unrealized gains and losses included in current earnings as a component of Mortgage banking noninterest income on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.

The sensitivity of the fair value of all retained or purchased MSR to immediate 10 percent and 20 percent adverse changes in assumptions on June 30, 2011 and 2010, are as follows:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands except for annual cost to service)</i> | June 30, 2011 | | | June 30, 2010 | | |
|---|----------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|-----------------|----------|
| | First Liens | Second Liens | HELOC | First Liens | Second Liens | HELOC |
| Fair value of retained interests | \$ 183,530 | \$ 251 | \$ 3,177 | \$ 197,953 | \$ 242 | \$ 3,551 |
| Weighted average life (in years) | 4.3 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 3.7 | 2.3 | 2.5 |
| Annual prepayment rate | 20.0% | 26.0% | 29.4% | 22.6% | 33.0% | 31.6% |
| Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change | \$ (9,109) | \$ (16) | \$ (229) | \$ (11,766) | \$ (24) | \$ (446) |
| Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change | (17,448) | (31) | (439) | (22,425) | (47) | (854) |
| Annual discount rate on servicing cash flows | 11.6% | 14.0% | 18.0% | 11.8% | 14.0% | 18.0% |
| | \$ (5,304) | \$ (7) | \$ (96) | \$ (5,189) | \$ (7) | \$ (173) |

| | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|--------------|--------------|------------|-------|-------|
| Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change | | | | | | |
| Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change | (10,273) | (14) | (187) | (10,071) | (13) | (336) |
| Annual cost to service (per loan) | \$ 118 | \$ 50 | \$ 50 | \$ 115 | \$ 50 | \$ 50 |
| Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change | (3,830) | (6) | (51) | (4,975) | (5) | (113) |
| Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change | (7,633) | (11) | (101) | (9,922) | (10) | (226) |
| Annual earnings on escrow | 1.4% | | | 2.0% | | |
| Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change | \$ (1,727) | | | \$ (2,484) | | |
| Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change | (3,454) | | | (4,968) | | |

Table of Contents**Note 13 Loan Sales and Securitizations (continued)**

The sensitivity of the fair value of other retained interests to immediate 10 percent and 20 percent adverse changes in assumptions on June 30, 2011 and 2010, are as follows:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands except for annual cost to service)</i> | Excess Interest IO | Certificated PO |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------|
| June 30, 2011 | | |
| Fair value of retained interests | \$ 22,643 | \$ 8,775 |
| Weighted average life (in years) | 4.5 | 4.9 |
| Annual prepayment rate | 17.1% | 25.6% |
| Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change | \$ (978) | \$ (303) |
| Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change | (1,893) | (594) |
| Annual discount rate on residual cash flows | 13.1% | 18.7% |
| Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change | \$ (926) | \$ (439) |
| Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change | (1,773) | (872) |
| June 30, 2010 | | |
| Fair value of retained interests | \$ 28,611 | \$ 11,340 |
| Weighted average life (in years) | 3.7 | 4.6 |
| Annual prepayment rate | 20.7% | 25.4% |
| Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change | \$ (1,493) | \$ (336) |
| Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change | (2,875) | (668) |
| Annual discount rate on residual cash flows | 13.6% | 21.3% |
| Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change | \$ (1,101) | \$ (449) |
| Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change | (2,113) | (900) |

These sensitivities are hypothetical and should not be considered predictive of future performance. As the figures indicate, changes in fair value based on a 10 percent variation in assumptions cannot necessarily be extrapolated because the relationship between the change in assumption and the change in fair value may not be linear. Also, the effect on the fair value of the retained interest caused by a particular assumption variation is calculated independently from all other assumption changes. In reality, changes in one factor may result in changes in another, which might magnify or counteract the sensitivities. Furthermore, the estimated fair values, as disclosed, should not be considered indicative of future earnings on these assets.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, cash flows received and paid related to loan sales and securitizations were as follows:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended June 30 | | Six Months Ended June 30 | |
|---|-------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Proceeds from initial sales and securitizations | \$ 54,621 | \$ 180,430 | \$ 193,823 | \$ 356,766 |
| Servicing fees retained ^(a) | 19,843 | 27,074 | 41,335 | 55,890 |
| Purchases of GNMA guaranteed mortgages | 15,704 | 18,462 | 37,911 | 36,606 |
| | 88,444 | 66,314 | 141,636 | 187,405 |

Purchases of previously transferred financial assets ^(b)

^(c)

| | | | | |
|---|--------------|-------|--------------|-------|
| Other cash flows received on retained interests | 1,859 | 2,412 | 4,062 | 4,983 |
|---|--------------|-------|--------------|-------|

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

- (a) Includes servicing fees on MSR associated with loan sales and purchased MSR.
- (b) Includes repurchases of both delinquent and performing loans, foreclosed assets, and make-whole payments for economic losses incurred by purchaser. Also includes buyouts from GSEs in order to facilitate foreclosures.
- (c) 2011 includes \$32.7 million related to clean-up calls exercised by FHN in second quarter.

Table of Contents**Note 13 Loan Sales and Securitizations (continued)**

The principal amount of loans transferred through loan sales and securitizations and other loans managed with them, the principal amount of delinquent loans, and the net credit losses during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, are as follows:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Total Principal Amount of Loans | Principal Amount of Delinquent Loans ^(a) | Net Credit Losses ^(b) | |
|---|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| | On June 30, 2011 | | Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 |
| Type of loan: | | | | |
| Real estate residential | \$ 19,703,040 | \$ 926,851 | \$ 127,649 | \$ 255,998 |
| Total loans managed or transferred ^(c) | \$ 19,703,040 | \$ 926,851 | \$ 127,649 | \$ 255,998 |
| Loans sold | (12,291,777) | | | |
| Loans held for sale | (318,888) | | | |
| Loans held in portfolio | \$ 7,092,375 | | | |

(a) Loans 90 days or more past due include \$39.9 million of GNMA guaranteed mortgages.

(b) Principal amount of loans securitized and sold includes \$8.9 billion of loans securitized through GNMA, FNMA, or FHLMC. FHN retains interests other than servicing rights on a portion of these securitized loans. No delinquency or net credit loss data is included for the loans securitized through FNMA or FHMLC because these agencies retain credit risk. The remainder of loans securitized and sold were securitized through proprietary trusts, where FHN retained interests other than servicing rights. See Note 9 Contingencies and Other Disclosures for discussion related to repurchase obligations for loans transferred to GSEs and private investors.

(c) Amount represents real estate residential loans transferred in proprietary securitizations and whole loan sales in which FHN has a retained interest other than servicing rights. For loans transferred to GSEs, includes all loans with retained interests.

The principal amount of loans transferred through loan sales and securitizations and other loans managed with them, the principal amount of delinquent loans, and the net credit losses during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, are as follows:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Total Principal Amount of Loans | Principal Amount of Delinquent Loans ^(a) | Net Credit Losses ^(b) | |
|-------------------------------|--|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | On June 30, 2010 | | Three months ended | Six months ended June 30, 2010 |

| | June 30, 2010 | | | |
|---|------------------|--------------|------------|------------|
| Type of loan: | | | | |
| Real estate residential | \$ 24,237,368 | \$ 1,011,894 | \$ 151,686 | \$ 294,607 |
| Total loans managed or transferred ^(c) | \$ 24,237,368 | \$ 1,011,894 | \$ 151,686 | \$ 294,607 |
| Loans sold | (16,110,053) | | | |
| Loans held for sale | (339,062) | | | |
| Loans held in portfolio | \$ 7,788,253 | | | |

- (a) Loans 90 days or more past due include \$35.8 million of GNMA guaranteed mortgages.
- (b) Principal amount of loans securitized and sold includes \$11.7 billion of loans securitized through GNMA, FNMA, or FHLMC. FHN retains interests other than servicing rights on a portion of these securitized loans. No delinquency or net credit loss data is included for the loans securitized through FNMA or FHMLC because these agencies retain credit risk. The remainder of loans securitized and sold were securitized through proprietary trusts, where FHN retained interests other than servicing rights. See Note 9 Contingencies and Other Disclosures for discussion related to repurchase obligations for loans transferred to GSEs and private investors.
- (c) Amount represents real estate residential loans transferred in proprietary securitizations and whole loan sales in which FHN has a retained interest other than servicing rights. For loans transferred to GSEs, includes all loans with retained interests.

Table of Contents

Note 13 Loan Sales and Securitizations (continued)

Secured Borrowings. FTBNA executed several securitizations of retail real estate residential loans for the purpose of engaging in secondary market financing. Since the related trusts did not qualify as Qualified Special Purpose Entities (QSPE) under the applicable accounting rules at that time and since the cash flows on the loans are pledged to the holders of the trusts' securities, FTBNA recognized the proceeds as secured borrowings in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification 860-10-50, Transfers and Servicing (ASC 860-10-50). With the prospective adoption of ASU 2009-17 in first quarter 2010, all amounts related to consolidated proprietary securitization trusts have been included in restricted balances on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition.

In 2007, FTBNA executed a securitization of certain small issuer trust preferreds for which the underlying trust did not qualify as a sale under ASC 860. Therefore, FTNBA has accounted for the funds received through the securitization as a secured borrowing. On June 30, 2011, FTBNA had \$112.5 million of loans, net of unearned income, \$1.7 million of trading securities, and \$51.8 million of term borrowings on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition related to this transaction. On June 30, 2010, FTBNA had \$112.5 million of loans, net of unearned income, \$1.7 million of trading securities, and \$50.7 million of term borrowings on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition related to this transaction. See Note 14 Variable Interest Entities for additional information.

Table of Contents**Note 14 Variable Interest Entities**

ASC 810 defines a Variable Interest Entities (VIE) as an entity where the equity investors, as a group, lack either (1) the power through voting rights, or similar rights, to direct the activities of an entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance, (2) the obligation to absorb the expected losses of the entity, (3) the right to receive the expected residual returns of the entity, or (4) when the equity investors, as a group, do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entity to finance its activities by itself. A variable interest is a contractual ownership, or other interest, that fluctuates with changes in the fair value of the VIE's net assets exclusive of variable interests. Under ASC 810, as amended, FHN is deemed to be the primary beneficiary and required to consolidate a VIE if it has a variable interest in the VIE that provides it with a controlling financial interest. For such purposes, the determination of whether a controlling financial interest exists is based on whether a single party has both the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance and the obligation to absorb losses of the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant.

Consolidated Variable Interest Entities. FHN holds variable interests in proprietary residential mortgage securitization trusts it established prior to 2008 as a source of liquidity for its mortgage banking and consumer lending operations. Except for recourse due to breaches of representations and warranties made by FHN in connection with the sale of the loans to the trusts, the creditors of the trusts hold no recourse to the assets of FHN. Additionally, FHN has no contractual requirements to provide financial support to the trusts. Based on their restrictive nature, the trusts are considered VIE as the holders of equity at risk do not have the power through voting rights or similar rights to direct the activities that most significantly impact the trusts' economic performance. In situations where the retention of MSR and other retained interests, including residual interests and subordinated bonds, results in FHN potentially absorbing losses or receiving benefits that are significant to the trusts, FHN is considered the primary beneficiary, as it is also assumed to have the power as servicer to most significantly impact the activities of such VIE. Consolidation of the trusts results in the recognition of the trusts' proceeds as restricted borrowings since the cash flows on the securitized loans can only be used to settle the obligations due to the holders of the trusts' securities.

FHN has established certain rabbi trusts related to deferred compensation plans offered to its employees. FHN contributes employee cash compensation deferrals to the trusts and directs the underlying investments made by the trusts. The assets of these trusts are available to FHN's creditors only in the event that FHN becomes insolvent. These trusts are considered VIE because either there is no equity at risk in the trusts or because FHN provided the equity interest to its employees in exchange for services rendered. FHN is considered the primary beneficiary of the rabbi trusts as it has the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the rabbi trusts through its ability to direct the underlying investments made by the trusts. Additionally, FHN could potentially receive benefits or absorb losses that are significant to the trusts due to its right to receive any asset values in excess of liability payoffs and its obligation to fund any liabilities to employees that are in excess of a rabbi trust's assets.

The following table summarizes VIE consolidated by FHN as of June 30, 2011 and 2010:

| | On-Balance Sheet Consumer Loan Securitizations Carrying Value | June 30, 2011 Rabbi Trusts Used for Deferred Compensation Plans Carrying Value |
|---------------------------------|--|---|
| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | | |
| Assets: | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 1,805 | N/A |
| Loans, net of unearned income | 694,031 | N/A |
| Less: Allowance for loan losses | 33,030 | N/A |
| Total net loans | 661,001 | N/A |

| | | | |
|------------------------------|------------|----|--------|
| Other assets | 13,983 | \$ | 62,207 |
| Total assets | \$ 676,789 | \$ | 62,207 |
| Noninterest-bearing deposits | \$ 903 | | N/A |
| Term borrowings | 682,764 | | N/A |
| Other liabilities | 49 | \$ | 55,387 |
| Total liabilities | \$ 683,716 | \$ | 55,387 |

Table of Contents**Note 14 Variable Interest Entities (continued)**

| | On-Balance Sheet Consumer Loan Securitized Carrying Value | June 30, 2010 Rabbi Trusts Used for Deferred Compensation Plans Carrying Value |
|---------------------------------|--|---|
| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | | |
| Assets: | | |
| Loans, net of unearned income | \$ 833,830 | N/A |
| Less: Allowance for loan losses | 50,143 | N/A |
| Total net loans | 783,687 | N/A |
| Other assets | 24,662 | \$ 59,804 |
| Total assets | \$ 808,349 | \$ 59,804 |
| Noninterest-bearing deposits | \$ 880 | N/A |
| Term borrowings | 830,356 | N/A |
| Other liabilities | 121 | \$ 55,341 |
| Total liabilities | \$ 831,357 | \$ 55,341 |

Nonconsolidated Variable Interest Entities. First Tennessee Housing Corporation (FTHC), a wholly-owned subsidiary, makes equity investments as a limited partner in various partnerships that sponsor affordable housing projects utilizing the Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) pursuant to Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code. The purpose of these investments is to achieve a satisfactory return on capital and to support FHN's community reinvestment initiatives. The activities of the limited partnerships include the identification, development, and operation of multi-family housing that is leased to qualifying residential tenants generally within FHN's primary geographic region. LIHTC partnerships are considered VIE because FTHC, as the holder of the equity investment at risk, does not have the ability to direct the activities that most significantly affect the performance of the entity through voting rights or similar rights. While FTHC could absorb losses that are significant to the LIHTC partnerships as it has a risk of loss for its initial capital contributions and funding commitments to each partnership, it is not considered the primary beneficiary of the LIHTC partnerships. The general partners are considered the primary beneficiaries because managerial functions give them the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the partnerships' economic performance and the general partners are exposed to all losses beyond FTHC's initial capital contributions and funding commitments.

FTBNA holds variable interests in trusts which have issued mandatorily redeemable preferred capital securities (trust preferreds) for smaller banking and insurance enterprises. FTBNA has no voting rights for the trusts' activities. The trusts' only assets are junior subordinated debentures of the issuing enterprises. The creditors of the trusts hold no recourse to the assets of FTBNA. These trusts meet the definition of a VIE because the holders of the equity investment at risk do not have the power through voting rights, or similar rights, to direct the activities that most significantly impact the trusts' economic performance. Based on the nature of the trusts' activities and the size of FTBNA's holdings, FTBNA could potentially receive benefits or absorb losses that are significant to the trusts regardless of whether a majority of a trust's securities are held by FTBNA. However, since FTBNA is solely a holder

of the trusts' securities, it has no rights which would give it the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the trusts' economic performance and thus it cannot be considered the primary beneficiary of the trusts. FTBNA has no contractual requirements to provide financial support to the trusts.

In 2007, FTBNA executed a securitization of certain small issuer trust preferreds for which the underlying trust meets the definition of a VIE because the holders of the equity investment at risk do not have the power through voting rights, or similar rights, to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance. FTBNA could potentially receive benefits or absorb losses that are significant to the trust based on the size and priority of the interests it retained in the securities issued by the trust. However, since FTBNA did not retain servicing or other decision making rights, FTBNA is not the primary beneficiary as it does not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the trust's economic performance. Accordingly, FTBNA has accounted for the funds received through the securitization as a term borrowing in its Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition. FTBNA has no contractual requirement to provide financial support to the trust.

FHN has previously issued junior subordinated debt totaling \$309.3 million to First Tennessee Capital I (Capital I) and First Tennessee Capital II (Capital II). In first quarter 2011, FHN redeemed all \$103.1 million of the subordinated debentures issued to Capital I leaving balances of Capital II outstanding as of June 30, 2011. Capital I (as of June 30, 2010 only) and Capital II are considered VIE because FHN's capital contributions to these trusts are not considered at risk in evaluating whether the holders of the equity investments at risk in the trusts have the power through voting rights, or similar rights, to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entities' economic performance. FHN cannot be the trusts' primary beneficiary because FHN's capital contributions to the trusts are not considered variable interests as they are not at risk. Consequently, Capital I and Capital II are not consolidated by FHN.

Table of Contents**Note 14 Variable Interest Entities (continued)**

FHN holds variable interests in proprietary residential mortgage securitization trusts it established prior to 2008 as a source of liquidity for its mortgage banking operations. Except for recourse due to breaches of representations and warranties made by FHN in connection with the sale of the loans to the trusts, the creditors of the trusts hold no recourse to the assets of FHN. Additionally, FHN has no contractual requirements to provide financial support to the trusts. Based on their restrictive nature, the trusts are considered VIE as the holders of equity at risk do not have the power through voting rights, or similar rights, to direct the activities that most significantly impact the trusts' economic performance. While FHN is assumed to have the power as servicer to most significantly impact the activities of such VIE, in situations where FHN does not have the ability to participate in significant portions of a securitization trust's cash flows, it is not considered the primary beneficiary of the trust. Therefore, these trusts are not consolidated by FHN.

Prior to third quarter 2008, FHN transferred first lien mortgages to government agencies, or GSE, for securitization and retained MSR and other various interests in certain situations. Except for recourse due to breaches of standard representations and warranties made by FHN in connection with the sale of the loans to the trusts, the creditors of the trusts hold no recourse to the assets of FHN. Additionally, FHN has no contractual requirements to provide financial support to the trusts. The agencies' status as Master Servicer and the rights they hold consistent with their guarantees on the securities issued provide them with the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the trusts' economic performance. Thus, such trusts are not consolidated by FHN as it is not considered the primary beneficiary even in situations where it could potentially receive benefits or absorb losses that are significant to the trusts.

In relation to certain agency securitizations, FHN purchased the servicing rights on the securitized loans from the loan originator and holds other retained interests. Based on their restrictive nature, the trusts meet the definition of a VIE since the holders of the equity investments at risk do not have the power through voting rights, or similar rights, to direct the activities that most significantly impact the trusts' economic performance. As the agencies serve as Master Servicer for the securitized loans and hold rights consistent with their guarantees on the securities issued, they have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the trusts' economic performance. Thus, FHN is not considered the primary beneficiary even in situations where it could potentially receive benefits or absorb losses that are significant to the trusts. FHN has no contractual requirements to provide financial support to the trusts.

FHN holds securities issued by various agency securitization trusts. Based on their restrictive nature, the trusts meet the definition of a VIE since the holders of the equity investments at risk do not have the power through voting rights, or similar rights, to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entities' economic performance. FHN could potentially receive benefits or absorb losses that are significant to the trusts based on the nature of the trusts' activities and the size of FHN's holdings. However, FHN is solely a holder of the trusts' securities and does not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the trusts' economic performance, and is not considered the primary beneficiary of the trusts. FHN has no contractual requirements to provide financial support to the trusts.

For certain troubled commercial loans, FTBNA restructures the terms of the borrower's debt in an effort to increase the probability of receipt of amounts contractually due. Following a troubled debt restructuring, the borrower entity typically meets the definition of a VIE as the initial determination of whether an entity is a VIE must be reconsidered and economic events have proven that the entity's equity is not sufficient to permit it to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support or a restructuring of the terms of its financing. As FTBNA does not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact such troubled commercial borrowers' operations, it is not considered the primary beneficiary even in situations where, based on the size of the financing provided, FTBNA is exposed to potentially significant benefits and losses of the borrowing entity. FTBNA has no contractual requirements to provide financial support to the borrowing entities beyond certain funding commitments established upon restructuring of the terms of the debt that allows for preparation of the underlying collateral for sale.

FHN serves as manager over certain discretionary trusts, for which it makes investment decisions on behalf of the trusts' beneficiaries in return for a reasonable management fee. The trusts meet the definition of a VIE since the holders of the equity investments at risk do not have the power, through voting rights or similar rights, to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entities' economic performance. The management fees FHN receives are not considered variable interests in the trusts as all of the requirements related to permitted levels of decision maker

fees are met. Therefore, the VIE are not consolidated by FHN because it is not the trusts primary beneficiary. FHN has no contractual requirements to provide financial support to the trusts.

Table of Contents**Note 14 Variable Interest Entities (continued)**

The following table summarizes VIE that are not consolidated by FHN as of June 30, 2011 and 2010:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | | June 30, 2011 | |
|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Type | Maximum Loss Exposure | Liability Recognized | Classification |
| Low Income Housing Partnerships ^{(a) (b)} | \$ 79,613 | \$ | Other assets |
| Small Issuer Trust Preferred Holdings ^(c) | 465,157 | | Loans, net of unearned income ^(d) |
| On-Balance Sheet Trust Preferred Securitizations | 62,411 | 51,750 | |
| Proprietary Trust Preferred Issuances ^(e) | N/A | 206,186 | Term borrowings ^(f) |
| Proprietary & Agency Residential Mortgage Securitizations | 375,669 | | ^(g) |
| Holdings of Agency Mortgage-Backed Securities ^(c) | 3,420,924 | | Trading liabilities |
| Short Positions in Agency Mortgage-Backed Securities ^(e) | N/A | 360 | Loans, net of unearned income |
| Commercial Loan Troubled Debt Restructurings ^{(h) (i)} | 105,482 | | N/A |
| Managed Discretionary Trusts ^(e) | N/A | N/A | N/A |

- (a) Maximum loss exposure represents \$79.1 million of current investments and \$.6 million of contractual funding commitments. Only the current investment amount is included in Other assets.
- (b) A liability is not recognized because investments are written down over the life of the related tax credit.
- (c) Maximum loss exposure represents the value of current investments. A liability is not recognized as FHN is solely a holder of the trusts' securities.
- (d) \$112.5 million classified as Loans, net of unearned income, and \$1.7 million classified as Trading securities which are offset by \$51.8 million classified as Term borrowings.
- (e) No exposure to loss due to the nature of FHN's involvement.
- (f) Includes \$94.1 million and \$65.6 million classified as MSR and \$12.8 million and \$18.8 million classified as Trading securities related to proprietary and agency residential mortgage securitizations, respectively. Aggregate servicing advances of \$281.3 million are classified as Other assets and is offset by aggregate custodial balances of \$97.0 million classified as Noninterest-bearing deposits.
- (g) Includes \$495.3 million classified as Trading securities and \$2.9 billion classified as Securities available for sale.
- (h) Maximum loss exposure represents \$101.9 million of current receivables and \$3.6 million of contractual funding commitments on loans related to commercial borrowers involved in a troubled debt restructuring.
- (i)

A liability is not recognized as the loans are the only variable interests held in the troubled commercial borrowers operations.

Table of Contents**Note 14 Variable Interest Entities (continued)**

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | | June 30, 2010 | |
|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Type | Maximum Loss Exposure | Liability Recognized | Classification |
| Low Income Housing Partnerships ^{(a) (b)} | \$ 100,057 | \$ | Other assets |
| Small Issuer Trust Preferred Holdings ^(c) | 465,350 | | Loans, net of unearned income ^(d) |
| On-Balance Sheet Trust Preferred Securitizations | 63,453 | 50,721 | |
| Proprietary Trust Preferred Issuances ^(e) | N/A | 309,000 | Term borrowings ^(f) |
| Proprietary & Agency Residential Mortgage Securitizations | 322,659 | | ^(g) |
| Holdings of Agency Mortgage-Backed Securities ^(c) | 2,503,929 | | Trading liabilities |
| Short Positions in Agency Mortgage-Backed Securities ^(e) | N/A | 732 | |
| Commercial Loan Troubled Debt Restructurings ^{(h) (i)} | 36,686 | | Loans, net of unearned income |
| Managed Discretionary Trusts ^(e) | N/A | N/A | N/A |

(a) Maximum loss exposure represents \$98.7 million of current investments and \$1.3 million of contractual funding commitments. Only the current investment amount is included in Other Assets.

(b) A liability is not recognized because investments are written down over the life of the related tax credit.

(c) Maximum loss exposure represents the value of current investments. A liability is not recognized as FHN is solely a holder of the trusts' securities.

(d) \$112.5 million was classified as Loans, net of unearned income, and \$1.7 million was classified as Trading securities which are offset by \$50.7 million classified as Term borrowings.

(e) No exposure to loss due to the nature of FHN's involvement.

(f) Includes \$93.7 million and \$77.8 million classified as Mortgage servicing rights and \$17.4 million and \$22.8 million classified as Trading securities related to proprietary and agency residential mortgage securitizations, respectively. Aggregate servicing advances of \$227.1 million are classified as Other assets and is offset by aggregate custodial balances of \$116.1 million classified as Noninterest-bearing deposits.

(g) Includes \$491.8 million classified as Trading securities and \$2.0 billion classified as Securities available for sale.

(h) Maximum loss exposure represents \$36.1 million of current receivables and \$.6 million of contractual funding commitments on loans related to commercial borrowers involved in a troubled debt restructuring.

(i) A liability is not recognized as the loans are the only variable interests held in the troubled commercial borrowers operations.

See Other disclosures Indemnification agreements and guarantees section of Note 9 Contingencies and Other Disclosures for information regarding FHN's repurchase exposure for claims that FHN breached its standard representations and warranties made in connection with the sale of loans to proprietary and agency residential mortgage securitization trusts.

Table of Contents**Note 15 Derivatives**

In the normal course of business, FHN utilizes various financial instruments (including derivative contracts and credit-related agreements) through its legacy mortgage servicing operations, capital markets, and risk management operations, as part of its risk management strategy and as a means to meet customers' needs. These instruments are subject to credit and market risks in excess of the amount recorded on the balance sheet as required by GAAP. The contractual or notional amounts of these financial instruments do not necessarily represent credit or market risk. However, they can be used to measure the extent of involvement in various types of financial instruments. Controls and monitoring procedures for these instruments have been established and are routinely re-evaluated. The Asset/Liability Committee (ALCO) monitors the usage and effectiveness of these financial instruments. Credit risk represents the potential loss that may occur because a party to a transaction fails to perform according to the terms of the contract. The measure of credit exposure is the replacement cost of contracts with a positive fair value. FHN manages credit risk by entering into financial instrument transactions through national exchanges, primary dealers or approved counterparties, and using mutual margining and master netting agreements whenever possible to limit potential exposure. FHN also maintains collateral posting requirements with its counterparties to limit credit risk. With exchange-traded contracts, the credit risk is limited to the clearinghouse used. For non-exchange traded instruments, credit risk may occur when there is a gain in the fair value of the financial instrument and the counterparty fails to perform according to the terms of the contract and/or when the collateral proves to be of insufficient value. Market risk represents the potential loss due to the decrease in the value of a financial instrument caused primarily by changes in interest rates, mortgage loan prepayment speeds, or the prices of debt instruments. FHN manages market risk by establishing and monitoring limits on the types and degree of risk that may be undertaken. FHN continually measures this risk through the use of models that measure value-at-risk and earnings-at-risk.

Derivative Instruments. FHN enters into various derivative contracts both in a dealer capacity, to facilitate customer transactions, and also as a risk management tool. Where contracts have been created for customers, FHN enters into transactions with dealers to offset its risk exposure. Derivatives are also used as a risk management tool to hedge FHN's exposure to changes in interest rates or other defined market risks.

Derivative instruments are recorded on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition as Other assets or Other liabilities measured at fair value. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell a derivative asset or paid to transfer a derivative liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the transaction date. Fair value is determined using available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies. For a fair value hedge, changes in the fair value of the derivative instrument and changes in the fair value of the hedged asset or liability are recognized currently in earnings. For a cash flow hedge, changes in the fair value of the derivative instrument, to the extent that it is effective, are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income and subsequently reclassified to earnings as the hedged transaction impacts net income. Any ineffective portion of a cash flow hedge is recognized currently in earnings. For freestanding derivative instruments, changes in fair value are recognized currently in earnings. Cash flows from derivative contracts are reported as Operating activities on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows.

Interest rate forward contracts are over-the-counter contracts where two parties agree to purchase and sell a specific quantity of a financial instrument at a specified price, with delivery or settlement at a specified date. Futures contracts are exchange-traded contracts where two parties agree to purchase and sell a specific quantity of a financial instrument at a specified price, with delivery or settlement at a specified date. Interest rate option contracts give the purchaser the right, but not the obligation, to buy or sell a specified quantity of a financial instrument, at a specified price, during a specified period of time. Caps and floors are options that are linked to a notional principal amount and an underlying indexed interest rate. Interest rate swaps involve the exchange of interest payments at specified intervals between two parties without the exchange of any underlying principal. Swaptions are options on interest rate swaps that give the purchaser the right, but not the obligation, to enter into an interest rate swap agreement during a specified period of time.

On June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, FHN had \$163.0 million and \$168.5 million of cash receivables and \$124.4 million and \$120.3 million of cash payables related to collateral posting under master netting arrangements,

inclusive of collateral posted related to contracts with adjustable collateral posting thresholds, with derivative counterparties. Certain of FHN's agreements with derivative counterparties contain provisions which require that FTBNA's debt maintain minimum credit ratings from specified credit rating agencies. If FTBNA's debt were to fall below these minimums, these provisions would be triggered, and the counterparties could terminate the agreements and request immediate settlement of all derivative contracts under the agreements. The net fair value, determined by individual counterparty, of all derivative instruments with credit-risk-related contingent accelerated termination provisions was \$126.8 million of assets and \$37.0 million of liabilities on June 30, 2011, and \$26.1 million of assets and \$18.8 million of liabilities on June 30, 2010. As of June 30, 2011, FHN had received collateral of \$118.9 million and had received no collateral as of June 30, 2010. As of June 30, 2011 and 2010, FHN posted collateral of \$41.4 million and \$24.7 million, respectively, in the normal course of business related to these contracts.

Table of Contents**Note 15 Derivatives (continued)**

Additionally, certain of FHN's derivative agreements contain provisions whereby the collateral posting thresholds under the agreements adjust based on the credit ratings of both counterparties. If the credit rating of FHN and/or FTBNA is lowered, FHN would be required to post additional collateral with the counterparties. The net fair value, determined by individual counterparty, of all derivative instruments with adjustable collateral posting thresholds was \$134.8 million of assets and \$154.7 million of liabilities on June 30, 2011 and was \$158.2 million of assets and \$173.2 million of liabilities on June 30, 2010. As of June 30, 2011 and 2010, FHN had received collateral of \$124.4 million and \$120.3 million and posted collateral of \$161.8 million and \$165.8 million, respectively, in the normal course of business related to these agreements.

Legacy Mortgage Servicing Operations*Retained Interests*

FHN revalues MSR to current fair value each month with changes in fair value included in servicing income in Mortgage banking noninterest income on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income. FHN hedges the MSR to minimize the effects of loss in value of MSR associated with increased prepayment activity that generally results from declining interest rates. In a rising interest rate environment, the value of the MSR generally will increase while the value of the hedge instruments will decline. FHN enters into interest rate contracts (potentially including swaps, swaptions, and mortgage forward purchase contracts) to hedge against the effects of changes in fair value of its MSR. Substantially all capitalized MSR are hedged for economic purposes.

FHN utilizes derivatives as an economic hedge (potentially including swaps, swaptions, and mortgage forward purchase contracts) to protect the value of its interest-only securities that change in value inversely to the movement of interest rates. Interest-only securities are included in Trading securities on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition. Changes in the fair value of these derivatives and the hedged interest-only securities are recognized currently in earnings in Mortgage banking noninterest income as a component of servicing income on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.

The following table summarizes FHN's derivatives associated with legacy mortgage servicing activities for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Notional | Assets | Liabilities | Gains/(Losses) | |
|--|-----------------|---------------|--------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| | | | | Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 |
| Retained Interests Hedging | | | | | |
| <i>Hedging Instruments:</i> | | | | | |
| Forwards and Futures ^{(a) (b)} | \$ 2,925,000 | \$ 5,654 | \$ 6,311 | \$ 10,391 | \$ 6,348 |
| Interest Rate Swaps and Swaptions ^{(a) (b)} | \$ 6,221,000 | \$ 39,785 | \$ 26,934 | \$ 14,885 | \$ 22,224 |
| <i>Hedged Items:</i> | | | | | |
| Mortgage Servicing Rights ^{(b) (c)} | N/A | \$ 183,364 | N/A | \$ (10,457) | \$ (3,325) |
| Other Retained Interests ^{(b) (d)} | N/A | \$ 31,623 | N/A | \$ 597 | \$ 2,641 |

(a) Assets included in the Other assets section of the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition. Liabilities included in the Other liabilities section of the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition.

(b) Gains/losses included in the Mortgage banking income section of the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.

- (c) Assets included in the Mortgage servicing rights section of the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition.
- (d) Assets included in the Trading securities section of the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition.

Table of Contents**Note 15 Derivatives (continued)**

The following table summarizes FHN's derivatives associated with legacy mortgage servicing activities for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Notional | Assets | Liabilities | Gains/(Losses) | |
|--|-----------------|---------------|--------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| | | | | Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 |
| Retained Interests Hedging | | | | | |
| <i>Hedging Instruments:</i> | | | | | |
| Forwards and Futures ^{(a) (b)} | \$ 1,450,000 | \$ 13,950 | N/A | \$ 35,060 | \$ 40,620 |
| Interest Rate Swaps and Swaptions ^{(a) (b)} | \$ 2,775,000 | \$ 32,224 | \$ 6,333 | \$ 29,371 | \$ 56,421 |
| <i>Hedged Items:</i> | | | | | |
| Mortgage Servicing Rights ^{(b) (c)} | N/A | \$ 197,378 | N/A | \$(21,722) | \$ (45,057) |
| Other Retained Interests ^{(b) (d)} | N/A | \$ 40,204 | N/A | \$ 1,390 | \$ 3,021 |

(a) Assets included in the Other assets section of the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition. Liabilities included in the Other Liabilities section of the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition.

(b) Gains/losses included in the Mortgage banking income section of the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.

(c) Assets included in the Mortgage servicing rights section of the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition.

(d) Assets included in the Trading securities section of the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition.

Capital Markets

Capital markets trades U.S. Treasury, U.S. Agency, mortgage-backed, corporate and municipal fixed income securities, and other securities principally for distribution to customers. When these securities settle on a delayed basis, they are considered forward contracts. Capital markets also enters into interest rate contracts, including options, caps, swaps, and floors, for its customers. In addition, capital markets enters into futures and option contracts to economically hedge interest rate risk associated with a portion of its securities inventory. These transactions are measured at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized currently in Capital markets noninterest income. Related assets and liabilities are recorded on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition as Other assets and Other liabilities. The FTN Financial Risk Committee and the Credit Risk Management Committee collaborate to mitigate credit risk related to these transactions. Credit risk is controlled through credit approvals, risk control limits, and ongoing monitoring procedures. Total trading revenues were \$71.2 million and \$91.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and \$154.4 million and \$197.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Total revenues are inclusive of both derivative and non-derivative financial instruments. Trading revenues are included in Capital markets noninterest income.

The following tables summarize FHN's derivatives associated with capital markets trading activities as of June 30, 2011 and 2010:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | June 30, 2011 | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| | Notional | Assets | Liabilities |
| Customer Interest Rate Contracts | \$ 1,458,306 | \$ 72,576 | \$ 1,537 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|---|-----------|-------|--------|
| Offsetting Upstream Interest Rate Contracts | 1,458,306 | 1,537 | 72,576 |
| Forwards and Futures Purchased | 3,397,605 | 5,318 | 1,785 |
| Forwards and Futures Sold | 3,771,659 | 1,228 | 8,413 |

Table of Contents**Note 15 Derivatives (continued)**

| | June 30, 2010 | | |
|---|----------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Notional | Assets | Liabilities |
| Customer Interest Rate Contracts | \$ 1,679,157 | \$ 82,406 | \$ 4,687 |
| Offsetting Upstream Interest Rate Contracts | 1,679,157 | 4,687 | 82,406 |
| Forwards and Futures Purchased | 3,637,263 | 692 | 5,496 |
| Forwards and Futures Sold | 3,944,878 | 6,030 | 2,823 |

Interest Rate Risk Management

FHN's ALCO focuses on managing market risk by controlling and limiting earnings volatility attributable to changes in interest rates. Interest rate risk exists to the extent that interest-earning assets and liabilities have different maturity or repricing characteristics. FHN uses derivatives, including swaps, caps, options, and collars, that are designed to moderate the impact on earnings as interest rates change. FHN's interest rate risk management policy is to use derivatives to hedge interest rate risk or market value of assets or liabilities, not to speculate. In addition, FHN has entered into certain interest rate swaps and caps as a part of a product offering to commercial customers with customer derivatives paired with offsetting market instruments that, when completed, are designed to mitigate interest rate risk. These contracts do not qualify for hedge accounting and are measured at fair value with gains or losses included in current earnings in Noninterest expense on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.

FHN has entered into pay floating, receive fixed interest rate swaps to hedge the interest rate risk of certain term borrowings totaling \$1.0 billion on both June 30, 2011 and 2010. These swaps have been accounted for as fair value hedges under the shortcut method. The balance sheet impact of these swaps was \$101.7 million and \$114.1 million in Other assets on June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Interest paid or received for these swaps was recognized as an adjustment of the interest expense of the liabilities whose risk is being managed.

FHN has designated a derivative transaction in a hedging strategy to manage interest rate risk on its \$500 million noncallable senior debt maturing in December 2015. This derivative qualifies for hedge accounting under ASC 815-20 using the long-haul method. FHN entered into a pay floating, receive fixed interest rate swap to hedge the interest rate risk on this debt. The balance sheet impact of this swap was \$11.4 million in Other assets on June 30, 2011. There was no ineffectiveness related to this hedge. Interest paid or received for this swap was recognized as an adjustment of the interest expense of the liability whose risk is being managed.

FHN designates derivative transactions in hedging strategies to manage interest rate risk on subordinated debt related to its trust preferred securities. These qualify for hedge accounting under ASC 815-20 using the long-haul method. FHN entered into pay floating, receive fixed interest rate swaps to hedge the interest rate risk of certain subordinated debt totaling \$200 million on both June 30, 2011 and 2010. This swap replaced the swap that was terminated in second quarter 2010 (see below) and re-hedged the subordinated debt with a new interest rate swap using the long-haul method of effectiveness assessment. The balance sheet impact of these swaps was \$12.0 million in Other liabilities and \$2.3 million in Other assets as of June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. There was no ineffectiveness related to these hedges. Interest paid or received for these swaps was recognized as an adjustment of the interest expense of the liabilities whose risk is being managed. In second quarter 2010, FHN's counterparty called the swap associated with the \$200 million of subordinated debt. Accordingly, hedge accounting was discontinued on the date of the settlement and the cumulative basis adjustments to the associated subordinated debt are being prospectively amortized as an adjustment to interest expense over its remaining term.

Table of Contents**Note 15 Derivatives (continued)**

The following tables summarize FHN's derivatives associated with interest rate risk management activities for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Notional | Assets | Liabilities | Gains/(Losses) | |
|---|-----------------|---------------|--------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| | | | | Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 |
| Customer Interest Rate Contracts Hedging | | | | | |
| <i>Hedging Instruments and Hedged Items:</i> | | | | | |
| Customer Interest Rate Contracts (a) | \$ 980,417 | \$ 65,074 | \$ 414 | \$ 3,996 | \$ (6,894) |
| Offsetting Upstream Interest Rate Contracts (a) | \$ 980,417 | \$ 414 | \$ 67,774 | \$ (4,596) | \$ 6,994 |
| Debt Hedging | | | | | |
| <i>Hedging Instruments:</i> | | | | | |
| Interest Rate Swaps (b) | \$1,604,000 | \$113,090 | \$ 12,023 | \$ 22,789 | \$ 3,362 |
| <i>Hedged Items:</i> | | | | | |
| Term Borrowings (b) | N/A | N/A | \$1,604,000(c) | \$ (22,789) (d) | \$ (3,362) (d) |

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Notional | Assets | Liabilities | Gains/(Losses) | |
|---|-----------------|---------------|--------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| | | | | Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 |
| Customer Interest Rate Contracts Hedging | | | | | |
| <i>Hedging Instruments and Hedged Items:</i> | | | | | |
| Customer Interest Rate Contracts (a) | \$1,168,042 | \$ 88,496 | \$ 210 | \$ 16,895 | \$ 23,344 |
| Offsetting Upstream Interest Rate Contracts (a) | \$1,168,042 | \$ 210 | \$ 93,095 | \$ (17,494) | \$ (24,444) |
| Debt Hedging | | | | | |
| <i>Hedging Instruments:</i> | | | | | |
| Interest Rate Swaps (b) | \$1,104,000 | \$116,415 | N/A | \$ 26,145 | \$ 39,429 |
| <i>Hedged Items:</i> | | | | | |
| Term Borrowings (b) | N/A | N/A | \$1,104,000(c) | \$ (26,145) (d) | \$ (39,429) (d) |

(a) Gains/losses included in the Other expense section of the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.

(b)

Gains/losses included in the All other income and commissions section of the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.

- (c) Represents par value of long term debt being hedged.
- (d) Represents gains/losses attributable to changes in fair value due to interest rate risk as designated in ASC 815-20 hedging relationships.

FHN hedges held-to-maturity trust preferred loans with a principal balance of \$201.6 million and \$215.6 million as of June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, which have an initial fixed rate term of five years before conversion to a floating rate. FHN has entered into pay fixed, receive floating interest rate swaps to hedge the interest rate risk associated with this initial five year term. These hedge relationships qualify as fair value hedges under ASC 815-20. The impact of these swaps was \$13.2 million and \$20.0 million in Other liabilities on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition as of June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Interest paid or received for these swaps was recognized as an adjustment of the interest income of the assets whose risk is being hedged. Gain/(loss) is included in Other income and commissions on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.

Table of Contents**Note 15 Derivatives (continued)**

The following tables summarize FHN's derivative activities associated with these loans for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

| | Notional | Assets | Liabilities | Gains/(Losses) | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | | | Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 |
| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | | | | | |
| Loan Portfolio Hedging | | | | | |
| <i>Hedging Instruments:</i> | | | | | |
| Interest Rate Swaps | \$201,583 | N/A | \$ 13,246 | \$ 856 | \$ 3,952 |
| <i>Hedged Items:</i> | | | | | |
| Trust Preferred Loans ^(a) | N/A | \$201,583 ^(b) | N/A | \$ (842) ^(c) | \$ (3,943) ^(c) |

| | Notional | Assets | Liabilities | Gains/(Losses) | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | | | Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 |
| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | | | | | |
| Loan Portfolio Hedging | | | | | |
| <i>Hedging Instruments:</i> | | | | | |
| Interest Rate Swaps | \$215,583 | N/A | \$ 19,997 | \$ (361) | \$ (776) |
| <i>Hedged Items:</i> | | | | | |
| Trust Preferred Loans ^(a) | N/A | \$215,583 ^(b) | N/A | \$ 384 ^(c) | \$ 782 ^(c) |

(a) Assets included in the Loans, net of unearned income section of the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition.

(b) Represents principal balance being hedged.

(c) Represents gains/losses attributable to changes in fair value due to interest rate risk as designated in ASC 815-20 hedging relationships.

Other Derivatives

In conjunction with the sale of a portion of its Visa Class B shares in December 2010, FHN and the purchaser entered into a derivative transaction whereby FHN will make, or receive, cash payments whenever the conversion ratio of the Visa Class B shares into Visa Class A shares is adjusted. As of December 31, 2010, the conversion ratio of Visa Class B shares to Visa Class A shares was approximately 51 percent. FHN determined that the initial fair value of the derivative was equal to a pro rata portion of the previously accrued contingent liability for Visa litigation matters attributable to the 440,000 Visa Class B shares sold. This amount was determined to be a liability of \$1.0 million as of December 31, 2010. In March 2011, Visa deposited an additional \$400 million into the litigation escrow account. As a result, the conversion ratio was adjusted to 49 percent and FHN made a cash payment to the counterparty of \$.7 million in second quarter 2011. On June 30, 2011, the derivative liability was \$1.3 million.

Starting in second quarter 2011, FHN uses cross currency swaps and cross currency interest rate swaps to economically hedge its exposure to foreign currency risk and interest rate risk associated with \$1.2 million of non-U.S dollar denominated loans. For second quarter 2011, the balance sheet impact and the gains/losses associated with these derivatives were not material.

Table of Contents

Note 16 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities

FHN groups its assets and liabilities measured at fair value in three levels, based on the markets in which the assets and liabilities are traded and the reliability of the assumptions used to determine fair value. This hierarchy requires FHN to maximize the use of observable market data, when available, and to minimize the use of unobservable inputs when determining fair value. Each fair value measurement is placed into the proper level based on the lowest level of significant input. These levels are:

Level 1 Valuation is based upon quoted prices for identical instruments traded in active markets.

Level 2 Valuation is based upon quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, and model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market.

Level 3 Valuation is generated from model-based techniques that use significant assumptions not observable in the market. These unobservable assumptions reflect management's estimates of assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Valuation techniques include use of option pricing models, discounted cash flow models, and similar techniques.

Transfers between fair value levels are recognized at the end of the fiscal quarter in which the associated change in inputs occurs.

Table of Contents**Note 16 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (continued)**

The following table presents the balance of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2011:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | June 30, 2011 | | | Total |
|---|---------------|------------|---------|------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | |
| Trading securities – capital markets: | | | | |
| U.S. treasuries | \$ | \$ 218,302 | \$ | \$ 218,302 |
| Government agency issued MBS | | 361,421 | | 361,421 |
| Government agency issued CMO | | 133,841 | | 133,841 |
| Other U.S. government agencies | | 192,643 | | 192,643 |
| States and municipalities | | 19,093 | | 19,093 |
| Corporate and other debt | | 239,205 | 5 | 239,210 |
| Equity, mutual funds, and other | | 247 | | 247 |
| Total trading securities – capital markets | | 1,164,752 | 5 | 1,164,757 |
| Trading securities – mortgage banking | | | | |
| Principal only | | 8,775 | | 8,775 |
| Interest only | | | 22,848 | 22,848 |
| Total trading securities – mortgage banking | | 8,775 | 22,848 | 31,623 |
| Loans held for sale | | 9,372 | 215,870 | 225,242 |
| Securities available for sale: | | | | |
| U.S. treasuries | | 46,250 | | 46,250 |
| Government agency issued MBS | | 1,501,767 | | 1,501,767 |
| Government agency issued CMO | | 1,423,894 | | 1,423,894 |
| Other U.S. government agencies | | 13,246 | 6,681 | 19,927 |
| States and municipalities | | 17,865 | 1,500 | 19,365 |
| Corporate and other debt | 540 | | | 540 |
| Venture capital | | | 13,179 | 13,179 |
| Equity, mutual funds, and other | 7,279 | | | 7,279 |
| Total securities available for sale | 7,819 | 3,003,022 | 21,360 | 3,032,201 |
| Mortgage servicing rights | | | 186,958 | 186,958 |
| Other assets: | | | | |
| Deferred compensation assets | 25,356 | | | 25,356 |
| Derivatives, forwards and futures | 12,200 | | | 12,200 |
| Derivatives, interest rate contracts | | 292,476 | | 292,476 |
| Total other assets | 37,556 | 292,476 | | 330,032 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|-----------|--------------|------------|--------------|
| Total assets | \$ 45,375 | \$ 4,478,397 | \$ 447,041 | \$ 4,970,813 |
| Trading liabilities – capital markets: | | | | |
| U.S. treasuries | \$ | \$ 331,864 | \$ | \$ 331,864 |
| Government agency issued MBS | | 238 | | 238 |
| Government agency issued CMO | | 122 | | 122 |
| Other U.S. government agencies | | 6,026 | | 6,026 |
| Corporate and other debt | | 160,665 | | 160,665 |
| Total trading liabilities – capital markets | | 498,915 | | 498,915 |
| Other short-term borrowings and commercial paper | | | 23,645 | 23,645 |
| Other liabilities: | | | | |
| Derivatives, forwards and futures | 16,509 | | | 16,509 |
| Derivatives, interest rate contracts | | 194,504 | | 194,504 |
| Derivatives, other | | 6 | 1,270 | 1,276 |
| Total other liabilities | 16,509 | 194,510 | 1,270 | 212,289 |
| Total liabilities | \$ 16,509 | \$ 693,425 | \$ 24,915 | \$ 734,849 |

Table of Contents**Note 16 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (continued)**

The following table presents the balance of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at June 30, 2010:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | June 30, 2010 | | | Total |
|---|---------------|--------------|------------|--------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | |
| Trading securities – capital markets: | | | | |
| U.S. treasuries | \$ | \$ 105,796 | \$ | \$ 105,796 |
| Government agency issued MBS | | 295,046 | | 295,046 |
| Government agency issued CMO | | 196,775 | | 196,775 |
| Other U.S. government agencies | | 161,993 | | 161,993 |
| States and municipalities | | 21,708 | | 21,708 |
| Corporate and other debt | | 361,168 | 34 | 361,202 |
| Trading loans | | 621,626 | | 621,626 |
| Equity, mutual funds, and other | | 2,438 | | 2,438 |
| Total trading securities – capital markets | | 1,766,550 | 34 | 1,766,584 |
| Trading securities – mortgage banking | | | | |
| Principal only | | 11,340 | | 11,340 |
| Interest only | | | 28,864 | 28,864 |
| Total trading securities – mortgage banking | | 11,340 | 28,864 | 40,204 |
| Loans held for sale | | 30,762 | 209,748 | 240,510 |
| Securities available for sale: | | | | |
| U.S. treasuries | | 68,568 | | 68,568 |
| Government agency issued MBS | | 915,601 | | 915,601 |
| Government agency issued CMO | | 1,096,506 | | 1,096,506 |
| Other U.S. government agencies | | 18,128 | 89,495 | 107,623 |
| States and municipalities | | 41,875 | | 41,875 |
| Corporate and other debt | 546 | | | 546 |
| Venture capital | | | 16,141 | 16,141 |
| Equity, mutual funds, and other | 13,715 | 31,158 | | 44,873 |
| Total securities available for sale | 14,261 | 2,171,836 | 105,636 | 2,291,733 |
| Mortgage servicing rights | | | 201,746 | 201,746 |
| Other assets: | | | | |
| Deferred compensation assets | 25,365 | | | 25,365 |
| Derivatives, forwards and futures | 20,672 | | | 20,672 |
| Derivatives, interest rate contracts | | 324,438 | | 324,438 |
| Total other assets | 46,037 | 324,438 | | 370,475 |
| Total assets | \$ 60,298 | \$ 4,304,926 | \$ 546,028 | \$ 4,911,252 |

| | | | | |
|--|----------|------------|-----------|------------|
| Trading liabilities – capital markets: | | | | |
| U.S. treasuries | \$ | \$ 224,951 | \$ | \$ 224,951 |
| Government agency issued MBS | | 732 | | 732 |
| Other U.S. government agencies | | 1,010 | | 1,010 |
| Corporate and other debt | | 254,784 | | 254,784 |
| Total trading liabilities – capital markets | | 481,477 | | 481,477 |
| Other short-term borrowings and commercial paper | | | 25,886 | 25,886 |
| Other liabilities: | | | | |
| Derivatives, forwards and futures | 8,319 | | | 8,319 |
| Derivatives, interest rate contracts | | 206,728 | | 206,728 |
| Total other liabilities | 8,319 | 206,728 | | 215,047 |
| Total liabilities | \$ 8,319 | \$ 688,205 | \$ 25,886 | \$ 722,410 |

Table of Contents**Note 16 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (continued)
Changes in Recurring Level 3 Fair Value Measurements**

The changes in Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, on a recurring basis are summarized as follows:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| | Trading securities (a) | Loans held for sale | Investment portfolio (b) | Venture Capital | Securities available for sale Mortgage servicing rights, net | Net derivative assets and liabilities | Other short-term borrowings and commercial paper |
| Balance on April 1, 2011 | \$ 25,370 | \$ 209,863 | \$ 8,428 | \$ 13,179 | \$ 207,748 | \$ (2,100) | \$ 27,991 |
| Total net gains/(losses) included in: | | | | | | | |
| Net income | 733 | (4,069) | | | (15,006) | (32) | (4,346) |
| Other comprehensive income | | | 58 | | | | |
| Purchases | | 23,079 | | | | | |
| Issuances | | | | | | | |
| Sales | (132) | | | | | | |
| Settlements | (3,118) | (10,519) | (305) | | (5,784) | 862 | |
| Net transfers in/(out) level 3 | | (2,484) ^(f) | | | | | |
| Balance June 30, 2011 | \$ 22,853 | \$ 215,870 | \$ 8,181 | \$ 13,179 | \$ 186,958 | \$ (1,270) | \$ 23,645 |
| Net unrealized gains/(losses) included in net income | \$ 286 ^(c) | \$ (4,069) ^(c) | \$ | \$ ^(d) | \$ (14,802) ^(c) | \$ (32) ^(e) | \$ (4,346) ^(c) |

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| | Trading securities (a) | Loans held for sale | Investment portfolio (b) | Venture Capital | Securities available for sale Mortgage servicing rights, net | Other short-term borrowings and commercial paper |
| Balance on April 1, 2010 | \$ 47,411 | \$ 209,672 | \$ 94,328 | \$ 16,141 | \$ 264,959 | \$ 36,180 |
| Total net gains/(losses) included in: | | | | | | |
| Net income/(loss) | 1,145 | (3,803) | | | (31,417) | (10,294) |

350

| | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Other comprehensive income | | | | | | |
| Purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements, net | (19,658) | 3,879 | (3,683) | | (31,796) | |
| Balance June 30, 2010 | \$ 28,898 | \$ 209,748 | \$ 90,995 | \$ 16,141 | \$ 201,746 | \$ 25,886 |
| Net unrealized gains/(losses) included in net income | \$ 443 ^(c) | \$ (3,803) ^(c) | \$ | \$ ^(d) | \$ (30,121) ^(e) | \$ (10,294) ^(e) |

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

- (a) Primarily represents certificated interest only strips and excess interest mortgage banking trading securities. Capital markets Level 3 trading securities are not significant.
- (b) Primarily represents other U.S. government agencies. States and municipalities are not significant.
- (c) Primarily included in Mortgage banking income on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.
- (d) Represents recognized gains and losses attributable to venture capital investments classified within securities AFS that are included in securities gains/(losses) in noninterest income.
- (e) Included in Other expense.
- (f) Transfers out of recurring level 3 balances reflect movements out of loans held for sale and into real estate acquired by foreclosure (level 3 nonrecurring).

Table of Contents**Note 16 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (continued)****Changes in Recurring Level 3 Fair Value Measurements**

The changes in Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, on a recurring basis are summarized as follows:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------|---|---------------------|---|---|---|
| | Trading securities (a) | Loans held for sale | Securities available for sale Investment portfolio (b) | Venture Capital | Mortgage servicing rights, net | Net derivative assets and liabilities | Other short-term borrowings and commercial paper |
| Balance on January 1, 2011 | \$ 26,478 | \$ 207,632 | \$ 39,391 | \$ 13,179 | \$ 207,319 | \$ (1,000) | \$ 27,309 |
| Total net gains/(losses) included in: | | | | | | | |
| Net income | 2,933 | (8,194) | | | (7,359) | (1,132) | (3,664) |
| Other comprehensive income | | | (1,688) | | | | |
| Purchases | | 39,120 | | | | | |
| Issuances | | | | | | | |
| Sales | (132) | | (29,217) | | | | |
| Settlements | (6,426) | (19,869) | (305) | | (13,002) | 862 | |
| Net transfers in/(out) level 3 | | (2,819) ^(f) | | | | | |
| Balance June 30, 2011 | \$ 22,853 | \$ 215,870 | \$ 8,181 | \$ 13,179 | \$ 186,958 | \$ (1,270) | \$ 23,645 |
| Net unrealized gains/(losses) included in net income | \$ 2,059 ^(c) | \$ (8,194) ^(c) | \$ | \$ - ^(d) | \$ (7,048) ^(c) | \$ (667) ^(e) | \$ (3,664) ^(c) |

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|------------------------|---|--------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| | Trading securities (a) | Loans held for sale | Securities available for sale Investment portfolio (b) | Venture Capital | Mortgage servicing rights, net | Other short-term borrowings and commercial paper |
| Balance on January 1, 2010 | \$ 56,132 | \$ 206,227 | \$ 99,173 | \$ 15,743 | \$ 302,611 | \$ 39,662 |
| Adjustment due to adoption of amendments to ASC 810 | (4,776) | | | | (2,293) | |

| | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| Total net gains/(losses) included in: | | | | | | |
| Net income | 3,162 | (4,841) | | | (57,455) | (13,776) |
| Other comprehensive income | | | 1 | | | |
| Purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements, net | (25,620) | 8,362 | (8,179) | 398 | (41,117) | |
| Balance on June 30, 2010 | \$ 28,898 | \$ 209,748 | \$ 90,995 | \$ 16,141 | \$ 201,746 | \$ 25,886 |

| | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|---------------|----|----|--------------------|----------------|
| Net unrealized gains/(losses) included in net income | \$ 964(c) | \$ (4,841)(c) | \$ | \$ | (d) \$ (54,767)(c) | \$ (13,776)(c) |
|--|-----------|---------------|----|----|--------------------|----------------|

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

- (a) Primarily represents certificated interest only strips and excess interest mortgage banking trading securities. Capital markets Level 3 trading securities are not significant.
- (b) Primarily represents other U.S. government agencies. States and municipalities are not significant.
- (c) Primarily included in Mortgage banking income on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.
- (d) Represents recognized gains and losses attributable to venture capital investments classified within securities AFS that are included in securities gains/(losses) in noninterest income.
- (e) Included in Other expense.
- (f) Transfers out of recurring level 3 balances reflect movements out of loans held for sale and into real estate acquired by foreclosure (level 3 nonrecurring).

Table of Contents**Note 16 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (continued)****Nonrecurring Fair Value Measurements**

From time to time, FHN may be required to measure certain other financial assets at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in accordance with GAAP. These adjustments to fair value usually result from the application of LOCOM accounting or write-downs of individual assets. For assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis which were still held on the balance sheet at June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, the following tables provide the level of valuation assumptions used to determine each adjustment, the related carrying value, and the fair value adjustments recorded during the respective periods.

| | Level | Carrying value at June 30, 2011 | | | Three Months | Six Months |
|--|-------|---------------------------------|---------|-----------|---|---|
| | | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total | Ended June 30, 2011 Net gains/(losses) | Ended June 30, 2011 Net gains/(losses) |
| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | 1 | | | | | |
| Loans held for sale SBAs | \$ | \$ 49,641 | \$ | \$ 49,641 | \$ (2) | \$ (2) |
| Loans held for sale first mortgages | | | 13,428 | 13,428 | (3,458) | (4,618) |
| Loans, net of unearned income ^(a) | | | 169,448 | 169,448 | (5,257) | (17,759) |
| Real estate acquired by foreclosure ^(b) | | | 78,792 | 78,792 | (4,612) | (9,651) |
| Other assets ^(c) | | | 79,053 | 79,053 | (1,809) | (4,355) |
| | | | | | \$ (15,138) | \$ (36,385) |

| | Level | Carrying value at June 30, 2010 | | | Three Months | Six Months |
|--|-------|---------------------------------|---------|-----------|---|---|
| | | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total | Ended June 30, 2010 Net gains/(losses) | Ended June 30, 2010 Net gains/(losses) |
| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | 1 | | | | | |
| Loans held for sale SBAs | \$ | \$ 10,707 | \$ | \$ 10,707 | \$ 7 | \$ 48 |
| Loans held for sale first mortgages | | | 20,959 | 20,959 | (834) | (3,527) |
| Loans, net of unearned income ^(a) | | | 215,198 | 215,198 | (32,697) | (100,448) |
| Real estate acquired by foreclosure ^(b) | | | 109,272 | 109,272 | (3,445) | (9,376) |
| Other assets ^(c) | | | 98,723 | 98,723 | (2,684) | (5,218) |
| | | | | | \$ (39,653) | \$ (118,521) |

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

(a) Represents carrying value of loans for which adjustments are based on the appraised value of the collateral. Write-downs on these loans are recognized as part of provision.

(b)

Represents the fair value and related losses of foreclosed properties that were measured subsequent to their initial classification as foreclosed assets. Balance excludes foreclosed real estate related to government insured mortgages.

(c) Represents low income housing investments.

In first quarter 2011, FHN recognized goodwill impairment of \$10.1 million related to the contracted sale of FHI. In accordance with accounting requirements, FHN allocated a portion of the goodwill from the applicable reporting unit to the asset group held for sale in determining the carrying value of the disposal group. In determining the amount of impairment, FHN compared the carrying value of the disposal group to the estimated value of the contracted sale price, which primarily included observable inputs in the form of financial asset values but which also included certain non-observable inputs related to the estimated values of post-closing events and contingencies. Thus, this measurement was considered a Level 3 valuation. Impairment of goodwill was recognized for the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of the disposal group.

In second quarter 2011, FHN exercised a clean-up call on a first lien mortgage proprietary securitization trust. In accordance with accounting requirements, FHN initially recognized the associated loans at fair value. Fair value was primarily determined through reference to observable inputs, including current market prices for similar loans. Since these loans were from the 2003 vintage, adjustments were made for the higher yields and lower credit risk associated with the loans in comparison to more currently originated loans being sold. This resulted in recognition of an immaterial premium for the called loans.

Table of Contents**Note 16 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (continued)****Fair Value Option**

FHN elected the fair value option on a prospective basis for almost all types of mortgage loans originated for sale purposes under the Financial Instruments Topic (ASC 825). FHN determined that the election reduced certain timing differences and better matched changes in the value of such loans with changes in the value of derivatives used as economic hedges for these assets at the time of election. After the 2008 divestiture of certain mortgage banking operations and the significant decline of mortgage loans originated for sale, FHN discontinued hedging the mortgage warehouse.

Repurchased loans are recognized within loans held-for-sale at fair value at the time of repurchase, which includes consideration of the credit status of the loans and the estimated liquidation value. FHN has elected to continue recognition of these loans at fair value in periods subsequent to reacquisition. Due to the credit-distressed nature of the vast majority of repurchased loans and the related loss severities experienced upon repurchase, FHN believes that the fair value election provides a more timely recognition of changes in value for these loans that occur subsequent to repurchase. Absent the fair value election, these loans would be subject to valuation at the lower of cost or market value, which would prevent subsequent values from exceeding the initial fair value, determined at the time of repurchase but would require recognition of subsequent declines in value. Thus, the fair value election provides for a more timely recognition of any potential future recoveries in asset values while not affecting the requirement to recognize subsequent declines in value.

In second quarter 2010, capital markets acquired a pool of conforming mortgage loans having a fair value carrying amount of \$621.6 million with the intent to transfer the loans to a counterparty during the third quarter following the quarter of acquisition. As part of this transaction, capital markets entered into forward delivery contracts to economically hedge the value of the loans. FHN elected to recognize the loans at fair value and classified them as trading loans within trading securities in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition as of June 30, 2010. For the loans acquired in second quarter 2010, delivery of the loans and the related settlement of the forward delivery contracts occurred in third quarter 2010.

FHN transferred certain servicing assets in transactions that did not qualify for sale treatment due to certain recourse provisions. The associated proceeds are recognized within Other Short Term Borrowings and Commercial Paper in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition as of June 30, 2011 and 2010. Since the servicing assets are recognized at fair value and changes in the fair value of the related financing liabilities will exactly mirror the change in fair value of the associated servicing assets, management elected to account for the financing liabilities at fair value. Since the servicing assets have already been delivered to the buyer, the fair value of the financing liabilities associated with the transaction does not reflect any instrument-specific credit risk.

The following table reflects the differences between the fair value carrying amount of mortgages held for sale measured at fair value in accordance with management's election and the aggregate unpaid principal amount FHN is contractually entitled to receive at maturity.

| | | June 30, 2011 | |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| | Fair value carrying amount | Aggregate unpaid principal | Fair value carrying amount less aggregate unpaid principal |
| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | | | |
| Loans held for sale reported at fair value: | | | |
| Total loans | \$ 225,242 | \$ 298,681 | \$ (73,439) |
| Nonaccrual loans | 40,927 | 83,372 | (42,445) |
| Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing | 10,866 | 21,587 | (10,721) |

| | June 30, 2010 | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| | Fair value carrying amount | Aggregate unpaid principal | Fair value carrying amount less aggregate unpaid principal |
| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | | | |
| Trading loans reported at fair value: | | | |
| Total loans | \$ 621,626 | \$ 603,406 | \$ 18,220 |
| Nonaccrual loans | | | |
| Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing | | | |
| Loans held for sale reported at fair value: | | | |
| Total loans | 240,510 | 292,605 | (52,095) |
| Nonaccrual loans | 22,506 | 45,495 | (22,989) |
| Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing | 8,815 | 23,689 | (14,874) |

Table of Contents**Note 16 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (continued)**

Assets and liabilities accounted for under the fair value election are initially measured at fair value with subsequent changes in fair value recognized in earnings. Such changes in the fair value of assets and liabilities for which FHN elected the fair value option are included in current period earnings with classification in the income statement line item reflected in the following table:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|
| | June 30 | | June 30 | |
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Changes in fair value included in net income: | | | | |
| Capital markets noninterest income | | | | |
| Trading loans | \$ | \$ 11,752 | \$ | \$ 11,752 |
| Mortgage banking noninterest income | | | | |
| Loans held for sale | (4,069) | (3,803) | (8,194) | (4,841) |
| Other short-term borrowings and commercial paper | (4,346) | (10,294) | (3,664) | (13,776) |

For the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the amounts for loans held for sale include losses of \$2.8 million and \$.1 million, respectively, included in pretax earnings that are attributable to changes in instrument-specific credit risk. For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the amounts for loans held for sale include losses of \$5.3 million and \$5.8 million, respectively, included in pretax earnings that are attributable to changes in instrument-specific credit risk. The portion of the fair value adjustments related to credit risk was determined based on both a quality adjustment for delinquencies and the full credit spread on the non-conforming loans. Interest income on mortgage loans held for sale measured at fair value is calculated based on the note rate of the loan and is recorded in the interest income section of the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income as interest on loans held for sale.

Determination of Fair Value

In accordance with ASC 820-10-35, fair values are based on the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The following describes the assumptions and methodologies used to estimate the fair value of financial instruments and MSR recorded at fair value in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition and for estimating the fair value of financial instruments for which fair value is disclosed under ASC 825-10-50.

Short-term financial assets. Federal funds sold, securities purchased under agreements to resell, and interest bearing deposits with other financial institutions are carried at historical cost. The carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value because of the relatively short time between the origination of the instrument and its expected realization.

Trading securities and trading liabilities. Trading securities and trading liabilities are recognized at fair value through current earnings. Trading inventory held for broker-dealer operations is included in trading securities and trading liabilities. Broker-dealer long positions are valued at bid price in the bid-ask spread. Short positions are valued at the ask price. Inventory positions are valued using observable inputs including current market transactions, LIBOR and U.S. treasury curves, credit spreads, and consensus prepayment speeds. Trading loans are valued using observable inputs including current market transactions, swap rates, mortgage rates, and consensus prepayment speeds.

Trading securities also include retained interests in prior securitizations that qualify as financial assets, which primarily include excess interest (structured as interest-only strips) and principal-only strips. Excess interest represents rights to receive interest from serviced assets that exceed contractually specified rates and principal-only strips are principal cash flow tranches. All financial assets retained from a securitization are recognized on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition in trading securities at fair value with realized and unrealized gains and losses included in current earnings as a component of noninterest income on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.

The fair value of excess interest is determined using prices from closely comparable assets such as MSR that are tested against prices determined using a valuation model that calculates the present value of estimated future cash

flows. Inputs utilized in valuing excess interest are consistent with those used to value the related MSR. The fair value of excess interest typically changes based on changes in the discount rate and differences between modeled prepayment speeds and credit losses and actual experience. FHN uses assumptions in the model that it believes are comparable to those used by brokers and other service providers. FHN also periodically compares its estimates of fair value and assumptions with brokers, service providers, recent market activity, and against its own experience. FHN uses observable inputs such as trades of similar instruments, yield curves, credit spreads, and consensus prepayment speeds to determine the fair value of principal-only strips.

Table of Contents**Note 16 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (continued)**

Securities available for sale. Securities available for sale includes the investment portfolio accounted for as available for sale under ASC 320-10-25, federal bank stock holdings, short-term investments in mutual funds, and venture capital investments. Valuations of available-for-sale securities are performed using observable inputs obtained from market transactions in similar securities. Typical inputs include LIBOR and U.S. treasury curves, consensus prepayment estimates, and credit spreads. When available, broker quotes are used to support these valuations. Certain government agency debt obligations with limited trading activity are valued using a discounted cash flow model that incorporates a combination of observable and unobservable inputs. Primary observable inputs include contractual cash flows and the treasury curve. Significant unobservable inputs include estimated trading spreads and estimated prepayment speeds.

Stock held in the Federal Reserve Bank and Federal Home Loan Banks are recognized at historical cost in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition which is considered to approximate fair value. Short-term investments in mutual funds are measured at the funds' reported closing net asset values. Venture capital investments are typically measured using significant internally generated inputs including adjustments to referenced transaction values and discounted cash flows analysis.

Loans held for sale. FHN determines the fair value of certain loans within the mortgage warehouse using a discounted cash flow model using observable inputs, including current mortgage rates for similar products, with adjustments for differences in loan characteristics reflected in the model's discount rates. For all other loans held in the warehouse, the fair value of loans whose principal market is the securitization market is based on recent security trade prices for similar products with a similar delivery date, with necessary pricing adjustments to convert the security price to a loan price. Loans whose principal market is the whole loan market are priced based on recent observable whole loan trade prices or published third party bid prices for similar product, with necessary pricing adjustments to reflect differences in loan characteristics. Typical adjustments to security prices for whole loan prices include adding the value of MSR to the security price or to the whole loan price if FHN's mortgage loan is servicing retained, adjusting for interest in excess of (or less than) the required coupon or note rate, adjustments to reflect differences in the characteristics of the loans being valued as compared to the collateral of the security or the loan characteristics in the benchmark whole loan trade, adding interest carry, reflecting the recourse obligation that will remain after sale, and adjusting for changes in market liquidity or interest rates if the benchmark security or loan price is not current. Additionally, loans that are delinquent or otherwise significantly aged are discounted to reflect the less marketable nature of these loans. Loans held for sale includes loans made by the Small Business Administration (SBA). The fair value of SBA loans is determined using an expected cash flow model that utilizes observable inputs such as the spread between LIBOR and prime rates, consensus prepayment speeds, and the treasury curve. The fair value of other non-mortgage loans held for sale is approximated by their carrying values based on current transaction values.

Loans, net of unearned income. Loans, net of unearned income are recognized at the amount of funds advanced, less charge offs and an estimation of credit risk represented by the allowance for loan losses. The fair value estimates for disclosure purposes differentiate loans based on their financial characteristics, such as product classification, loan category, pricing features, and remaining maturity.

The fair value of floating rate loans is estimated through comparison to recent market activity in loans of similar product types, with adjustments made for differences in loan characteristics. In situations where market pricing inputs are not available, fair value is considered to approximate book value due to the monthly repricing for commercial and consumer loans, with the exception of floating rate 1-4 family residential mortgage loans which reprice annually and will lag movements in market rates. The fair value for floating rate 1-4 family mortgage loans is calculated by discounting future cash flows to their present value. Future cash flows are discounted to their present value by using the current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same time period.

Prepayment assumptions based on historical prepayment speeds and industry speeds for similar loans have been applied to the floating rate 1-4 family residential mortgage portfolio.

Table of Contents**Note 16 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (continued)**

The fair value of fixed rate loans is estimated through comparison to recent market activity in loans of similar product types, with adjustments made for differences in loan characteristics. In situations where market pricing inputs are not available, fair value is estimated by discounting future cash flows to their present value. Future cash flows are discounted to their present value by using the current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same time period. Prepayment assumptions based on historical prepayment speeds and industry speeds for similar loans have been applied to the fixed rate mortgage and installment loan portfolios. Individually impaired loans are measured using either a discounted cash flow methodology or the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral less costs to sell, if the loan is considered collateral-dependent. In accordance with accounting standards, the discounted cash flow analysis utilizes the loan's effective interest rate for discounting expected cash flow amounts. Thus, this analysis is not considered a fair value measurement in accordance with ASC 820. However, the results of this methodology are considered to approximate fair value for the applicable loans. Expected cash flows are derived from internally-developed inputs primarily reflecting expected default rates on contractual cash flows. For loans measured using the estimated fair value of collateral less costs to sell, fair value is estimated using appraisals of the collateral. Collateral values are monitored and additional write-downs are recognized if it is determined that the estimated collateral values have declined further. Estimated costs to sell are based on current amounts of disposal costs for similar assets. Carrying value is considered to reflect fair value for these loans.

Mortgage servicing rights. FHN recognizes all classes of MSR at fair value. Since sales of MSR tend to occur in private transactions and the precise terms and conditions of the sales are typically not readily available, there is a limited market to refer to in determining the fair value of MSR. As such, FHN primarily relies on a discounted cash flow model to estimate the fair value of its MSR. This model calculates estimated fair value of the MSR using predominant risk characteristics of MSR such as interest rates, type of product (fixed vs. variable), age (new, seasoned, or moderate), agency type and other factors. FHN uses assumptions in the model that it believes are comparable to those used by brokers and other service providers. FHN also periodically compares its estimates of fair value and assumptions with brokers, service providers, recent market activity, and against its own experience.

Derivative assets and liabilities. The fair value for forwards and futures contracts used to hedge the value of servicing assets is based on current transactions involving identical securities. These contracts are exchange-traded and thus have no credit risk factor assigned as the risk of non-performance is limited to the clearinghouse used.

Valuations of other derivatives (primarily interest rate related swaps, swaptions, caps, and collars) are based on inputs observed in active markets for similar instruments. Typical inputs include the LIBOR curve, option volatility, and option skew. Credit risk is mitigated for these instruments through the use of mutual margining and master netting agreements as well as collateral posting requirements. Any remaining credit risk related to interest rate derivatives is considered in determining fair value through evaluation of additional factors such as customer loan grades and debt ratings. Foreign currency related derivatives also utilize observable exchange rates in the determination of fair value. In conjunction with the sale of a portion of its Visa Class B shares in December 2010, FHN and the purchaser entered into a derivative transaction whereby FHN will make, or receive, cash payments whenever the conversion ratio of the Visa Class B shares into Visa Class A shares is adjusted. The fair value of this derivative has been determined using a discounted cash flow methodology for estimated future cash flows determined through use of probability weighted scenarios for multiple estimates of Visa's aggregate exposure to covered litigation matters, which include consideration of amounts funded by Visa into its escrow account for the covered litigation matters. Since this estimation process requires application of judgment in developing significant unobservable inputs used to determine the possible outcomes and the probability weighting assigned to each scenario, this derivative has been classified within Level 3 in fair value measurements disclosures.

Real estate acquired by foreclosure. Real estate acquired by foreclosure primarily consists of properties that have been acquired in satisfaction of debt. These properties are carried at the lower of the outstanding loan amount or estimated fair value less estimated costs to sell the real estate. Estimated fair value is determined using appraised values with subsequent adjustments for deterioration in values that are not reflected in the most recent appraisal. Real estate acquired by foreclosure also includes properties acquired in compliance with HUD servicing guidelines which are carried at the estimated amount of the underlying government assurance or guarantee.

Table of Contents**Note 16 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (continued)**

Nonearning assets. For disclosure purposes, nonearning assets include cash and due from banks, accrued interest receivable, and capital markets receivables. Due to the short-term nature of cash and due from banks, accrued interest receivable, and capital markets receivables, the fair value is approximated by the book value.

Other assets. For disclosure purposes, other assets consist of investments in low income housing partnerships and deferred compensation assets that are considered financial assets. Investments in low income housing partnerships are written down to estimated fair value quarterly based on the estimated value of the associated tax credits. Deferred compensation assets are recognized at fair value, which is based on quoted prices in active markets.

Defined maturity deposits. The fair value is estimated by discounting future cash flows to their present value. Future cash flows are discounted by using the current market rates of similar instruments applicable to the remaining maturity. For disclosure purposes, defined maturity deposits include all certificates of deposit and other time deposits.

Undefined maturity deposits. In accordance with ASC 825, the fair value is approximated by the book value. For the purpose of this disclosure, undefined maturity deposits include demand deposits, checking interest accounts, savings accounts, and money market accounts.

Short-term financial liabilities. The fair value of federal funds purchased, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, and other short-term borrowings and commercial paper are approximated by the book value. The carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value because of the relatively short time between the origination of the instrument and its expected realization. Other short-term borrowings and commercial paper includes a liability associated with transfers of mortgage servicing rights that did not qualify for sale accounting. This liability is accounted for at elected fair value, which is measured consistent with the related MSR, as previously described.

Term borrowings. The fair value is based on quoted market prices or dealer quotes for the identical liability when traded as an asset. When pricing information for the identical liability is not available, relevant prices for similar debt instruments are used with adjustments being made to the prices obtained for differences in characteristics of the debt instruments. If no relevant pricing information is available, the fair value is approximated by the present value of the contractual cash flows discounted by the investor's yield which considers FHN's and FTBNA's debt ratings.

Other noninterest-bearing liabilities. For disclosure purposes, other noninterest-bearing liabilities include accrued interest payable and capital markets payables. Due to the short-term nature of these liabilities, the book value is considered to approximate fair value.

Loan commitments. Fair values are based on fees charged to enter into similar agreements taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the counterparties' credit standing.

Other commitments. Fair values are based on fees charged to enter into similar agreements.

Table of Contents**Note 16 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (continued)**

The following fair value estimates are determined as of a specific point in time utilizing various assumptions and estimates. The use of assumptions and various valuation techniques, as well as the absence of secondary markets for certain financial instruments, will likely reduce the comparability of fair value disclosures between financial institutions. Due to market illiquidity, the fair values for loans, net of unearned income, loans held for sale, and term borrowings as of June 30, 2011 and 2010, involve the use of significant internally-developed pricing assumptions for certain components of these line items. These assumptions are considered to reflect inputs that market participants would use in transactions involving these instruments as of the measurement date. Assets and liabilities that are not financial instruments (including MSR) have not been included in the following table such as the value of long-term relationships with deposit and trust customers, premises and equipment, goodwill and other intangibles, deferred taxes, and certain other assets and other liabilities. Accordingly, the total of the fair value amounts does not represent, and should not be construed to represent, the underlying value of the company.

The following table summarizes the book value and estimated fair value of financial instruments recorded in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition as well as unfunded commitments as of June 30, 2011 and 2010.

| | June 30, 2011 | | June 30, 2010 | |
|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | Book Value | Fair Value | Book Value | Fair Value |
| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Loans, net of unearned income and allowance for loan losses | \$ 15,537,555 | \$ 14,667,125 | \$ 16,372,781 | \$ 15,369,601 |
| Short-term financial assets | 861,441 | 861,441 | 878,058 | 878,058 |
| Trading securities | 1,196,380 | 1,196,380 | 1,806,789 | 1,806,789 |
| Loans held for sale | 397,931 | 397,931 | 505,237 | 505,237 |
| Securities available for sale | 3,230,477 | 3,230,477 | 2,489,819 | 2,489,819 |
| Derivative assets | 304,676 | 304,676 | 345,110 | 345,110 |
| Other assets | 104,409 | 104,409 | 124,088 | 124,088 |
| Nonearning assets | 1,019,589 | 1,019,589 | 1,283,951 | 1,283,951 |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Deposits: | | | | |
| Defined maturity | 1,791,174 | 1,840,353 | \$ 2,169,430 | \$ 2,258,257 |
| Undefined maturity | 14,104,853 | 14,104,853 | 13,032,386 | 13,032,386 |
| Total deposits | 15,896,027 | 15,945,206 | 15,201,816 | 15,290,643 |
| Trading liabilities | 498,915 | 498,915 | 481,477 | 481,477 |
| Short-term financial liabilities | 2,193,901 | 2,193,901 | 2,766,339 | 2,766,339 |
| Term borrowings | 2,502,517 | 2,301,311 | 2,926,675 | 2,570,179 |
| Derivative liabilities | 212,289 | 212,289 | 215,047 | 215,047 |
| Other noninterest-bearing liabilities | 498,726 | 498,726 | 795,914 | 795,914 |
| | Contractual Amount | Fair Value | Contractual Amount | Fair Value |
| Unfunded Commitments: | | | | |
| Loan commitments | \$ 7,938,091 | \$ 1,234 | \$ 7,950,124 | \$ 1,107 |
| Standby and other commitments | 391,539 | 6,534 | 513,936 | 6,133 |

Table of Contents**Note 17 Restructuring, Repositioning, and Efficiency**

Beginning in 2007, FHN conducted a company-wide review of business practices with the goal of improving its overall profitability and productivity. In order to redeploy capital to higher-return businesses, FHN sold 34 full-service First Horizon Bank branches in its national banking markets, discontinued national homebuilder and commercial real estate lending through its First Horizon Construction Lending offices, and executed various MSR sales. In 2008, FHN sold its national mortgage origination and servicing platform including substantially all of its mortgage pipeline, related hedges, servicing assets, certain fixed assets, and other associated assets.

During first quarter 2011, FHN agreed to sell FHI and Highland. FHN has incurred goodwill impairment, severance costs, and asset write-offs related to the sale of FHI. Both sales closed in second quarter 2011.

In 2010, FHN exited its institutional research business and incurred a goodwill impairment, severance and contract terminations costs, and asset write-offs when exiting the business. Additionally, in late 2009, FHN sold and closed its Louisville remittance processing operations and the Atlanta insurance business and also cancelled a large services/consulting contract. Net costs recognized by FHN in the six months ended June 30, 2011, related to restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency activities were \$29.7 million. Of this amount, \$11.2 million represented exit costs that were accounted for in accordance with the Exit or Disposal Cost Obligations Topic of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (ASC 420). Significant expenses recognized year to date 2011 resulted from the following actions:

Severance and other employee costs of \$10.2 million primarily related to efficiency initiatives within corporate and bank services functions which is classified as Employee compensation, incentives, and benefits within noninterest expense.

Goodwill impairment of \$10.1 million related to the contracted sale of FHI which is reflected in Income/(loss) from discontinued operations.

Loss of \$9.0 million related to cancellation of a technology services contract which is reflected in All other expense.

Net costs recognized by FHN in the six months ended June 30, 2010, related to restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency activities were \$10.7 million. Of this amount, \$6.3 million represented exit costs that were accounted for in accordance with ASC 420. Significant expenses recognized through June 30, 2010, resulted from the following actions:

Severance and other employee costs of \$2.5 million related to the exit of the institutional equity research business and the 2009 sale of Louisville remittance processing operations which is primarily included in Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax.

Goodwill impairment of \$3.3 million and lease abandonment expense of \$2.3 million primarily related to the closure of the institutional equity research business which is classified within Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax.

Settlement of the obligations arising from current initiatives will be funded from operating cash flows. The effect of suspending depreciation on assets held-for-sale was immaterial to FHN's results of operations for all periods. Due to the broad nature of the actions being taken, substantially all components of expense have benefitted from past efficiency initiatives and are expected to benefit from the current efficiency initiatives.

Activity in the restructuring and repositioning liability for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, is presented in the following table, along with other restructuring and repositioning expenses recognized. Generally, restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency charges related to exited businesses are included in the non-strategic segment while charges related to corporate-driven actions are included in the corporate segment.

| Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--------------------|------|------------------|------|
| June 30 | | June 30 | |
| 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Expense | Liability | Expense | Liability | Expense | Liability | Expense | Liability |
|---|------------------|------------------|----------|-----------|------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Beginning balance | \$ | \$ 8,643 | \$ | \$ 15,653 | \$ | \$ 9,108 | \$ | \$ 15,903 |
| Severance and other employee related costs | 7,740 | 7,740 | (508) | (508) | 10,236 | 10,236 | 2,540 | 2,540 |
| Facility consolidation costs | 44 | 44 | 21 | 21 | 839 | 839 | 2,311 | 2,311 |
| Other exit costs, professional fees, and other | 84 | 84 | (28) | (28) | 84 | 84 | 1,461 | 1,461 |
| Total accrued | 7,868 | 16,511 | (515) | 15,138 | 11,159 | 20,267 | 6,312 | 22,215 |
| Payments related to: | | | | | | | | |
| Severance and other employee related costs | | 476 | | 213 | | 3,430 | | 5,703 |
| Facility consolidation costs | | 586 | | 830 | | 1,276 | | 1,396 |
| Other exit costs, professional fees, and other | | 87 | | 419 | | 87 | | 1,377 |
| Accrual reversals | | | | 1,839 | | 112 | | 1,902 |
| Restructuring and repositioning reserve balance | | \$ 15,362 | | \$ 11,837 | | \$ 15,362 | | \$ 11,837 |
| Other restructuring and repositioning expense: | | | | | | | | |
| Mortgage banking expense on servicing sales | | | 1,532 | | | | 1,532 | |
| (Gains)/losses on divestitures | (753) | | | | (753) | | | |
| Impairment of premises and equipment | | | | | 184 | | 706 | |
| Impairment of intangible assets | | | | | 10,100 | | 3,348 | |
| Impairment of other assets | | | 36 | | | | 267 | |
| Other | 9,040 | | (1,485) | | 9,040 | | (1,466) | |
| Total other restructuring and repositioning expense | 8,287 | | 83 | | 18,571 | | 4,387 | |
| Total restructuring and repositioning charges | \$ 16,155 | | \$ (432) | | \$ 29,730 | | \$ 10,699 | |

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

Table of Contents**Note 17 Restructuring, Repositioning, and Efficiency (continued)**

FHN began initiatives related to restructuring in second quarter 2007. Consequently, the following table presents cumulative amounts incurred to date through June 30, 2011, for costs associated with FHN's restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency initiatives:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Total Expense |
|--|------------------|
| Severance and other employee related costs | \$ 71,418 |
| Facility consolidation costs | 39,580 |
| Other exit costs, professional fees, and other | 19,030 |
| Other restructuring and repositioning expense/(income): | |
| Loan portfolio divestiture | 7,672 |
| Mortgage banking expense on servicing sales | 21,175 |
| Net loss on divestitures | 11,755 |
| Impairment of premises and equipment | 22,081 |
| Impairment of intangible assets | 48,231 |
| Impairment of other assets | 40,492 |
| Other | 7,574 |
| Total restructuring and repositioning charges incurred to date as of June 30, 2011 | \$ 289,008 |

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

| | |
|---|-----|
| General Information | 70 |
| Forward-Looking Statements | 71 |
| Financial Summary (Comparison of Second Quarter 2011 to Second Quarter 2010) | 71 |
| Statement of Condition Review (Comparison of Second Quarter 2011 to Second Quarter 2010) | 79 |
| Financial Summary (Comparison of first six months of 2011 to first six months of 2010) | 81 |
| Capital | 84 |
| Asset Quality | 86 |
| Risk Management | 100 |
| Repurchase Obligations, Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements, and Other Contractual Obligations | 106 |
| Market Uncertainties and Prospective Trends | 115 |
| Critical Accounting Policies | 117 |
| Non-GAAP Information | 127 |

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF RESULTS OF OPERATIONS AND FINANCIAL CONDITION

GENERAL INFORMATION

First Horizon National Corporation (FHN) began as a community bank chartered in 1864 and as of June 30, 2011, was one of the 30 largest bank holding companies in the United States in terms of asset size.

The corporation's two major brands First Tennessee and FTN Financial provide customers with a broad range of products and services. First Tennessee provides retail and commercial banking services throughout Tennessee and is the largest bank headquartered in the state. FTN Financial (FTNF) is an industry leader in fixed income sales, trading, and strategies for institutional clients in the U.S. and abroad.

In first quarter 2011, FHN agreed to sell First Horizon Insurance, Inc. (FHI), the former subsidiary of First Tennessee Bank, which provides property and casualty insurance services to customers in over 40 states, and Highland Capital Management Corporation (Highland), the former subsidiary of First Horizon National Corporation, which provides asset management services. In second quarter 2011, the divestitures of both businesses were completed. The results of operations for both businesses have been included in the discontinued operations, net of tax line on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income for all periods presented. Consistent with historical practice, these businesses were moved to the non-strategic segment with other exited businesses and discontinued products. Consequently, all segment disclosure has been revised for all periods presented.

FHN is composed of the following operating segments:

Regional banking offers financial products and services including traditional lending and deposit-taking to retail and commercial customers in Tennessee and surrounding markets. Additionally, regional banking provides investments, financial planning, trust services and asset management, health savings accounts, credit cards, cash management, check clearing services, correspondent banking services, and mortgage originations within the regional banking footprint.

Capital markets provides financial services for the investment and banking communities through the integration of traditional capital markets securities activities, loan sales, portfolio advisory services, and derivative sales.

Corporate consists of unallocated corporate income/expenses including gains and losses from the extinguishment of debt, expense on subordinated debt issuances and preferred stock, bank-owned life insurance, unallocated interest income associated with excess equity, net impact of raising incremental capital, revenue and expense associated with deferred compensation plans, funds management, low income housing investment activities, and certain charges related to restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency initiatives.

Non-strategic includes exited businesses (including FHI and Highland) and loan portfolios, other discontinued products and service lines, and certain charges related to restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency initiatives.

For the purpose of this management's discussion and analysis (MD&A), earning assets have been expressed as averages, unless otherwise noted, and loans have been disclosed net of unearned income. The following is a discussion and analysis of the financial condition and results of operations of FHN for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2011, compared to the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2010. To assist the reader in obtaining a better understanding of FHN and its performance, the following discussion should be read with the accompanying unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements and Notes in this report. Additional information including the 2010 financial statements, notes, and MD&A is provided in the 2010 Annual Report.

Non-GAAP Measures

Certain ratios are included in the narrative and tables in MD&A that are non-GAAP, meaning they are not presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) in the U.S. FHN's management believes such measures are relevant to understanding the capital position and results of the company. The non-GAAP ratios presented in this filing are net

Table of Contents

interest margin adjusted for FTE and the tier 1 common capital ratio. These measures are reported to FHN's management and board of directors through various internal reports. Additionally, disclosure of the non-GAAP capital ratio provides a meaningful base for comparability to other financial institutions as this ratio has become an important measure of the capital strength of banks as demonstrated by the inclusion in the stress tests administered by the United States Treasury Department (UST) under the Capital Assistance Program. Non-GAAP measures are not formally defined by GAAP or codified in the federal banking regulations, and other entities may use calculation methods that differ from those used by FHN. Tier 1 capital is a regulatory term and is generally defined as the sum of core capital (including common equity and instruments that cannot be redeemed at the option of the holder) adjusted for certain items under risk-based capital regulations. Risk-weighted assets is also a regulatory term and includes total assets adjusted for credit risk and is used to determine regulatory capital ratios. Refer to Table 21 for a reconciliation of non-GAAP to GAAP measures and presentation of the most comparable GAAP items.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This MD&A contains forward-looking statements with respect to FHN's beliefs, plans, goals, expectations, and estimates. Forward-looking statements are statements that are not a representation of historical information but rather are related to future operations, strategies, financial results, or other developments. The words believe, expect, anticipate, intend, estimate, should, is likely, will, going forward, and other expressions that indicate future trends identify forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are necessarily based upon estimates and assumptions that are inherently subject to significant business, operational, economic and competitive uncertainties and contingencies, many of which are beyond a company's control, and many of which, with respect to future business decisions and actions (including acquisitions and divestitures), are subject to change. Examples of uncertainties and contingencies include, among other important factors, general and local economic and business conditions, including economic recession or depression; the level and length of deterioration in the residential housing and commercial real estate markets; potential requirements for FHN to repurchase previously sold or securitized mortgages; potential claims relating to the foreclosure process; expectations of and actual timing and amount of interest rate movements, including the slope of the yield curve, which can have a significant impact on a financial services institution; market and monetary fluctuations, including fluctuations in mortgage markets; inflation or deflation; customer, investor, regulatory, and legislative responses to any or all of these conditions; the financial condition of borrowers and other counterparties; competition within and outside the financial services industry; geopolitical developments including possible terrorist activity; natural disasters; effectiveness and cost-efficiency of FHN's hedging practices; technology; demand for FHN's product offerings; new products and services in the industries in which FHN operates; and critical accounting estimates. Other factors are those inherent in originating, selling, servicing, and holding loans and loan-based assets, including prepayment risks, pricing concessions, fluctuation in U.S. housing and other real estate prices, fluctuation of collateral values, and changes in customer profiles. Additionally, the actions of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB), the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC), the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Federal Reserve), the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA), UST, the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection (Bureau), the Financial Stability Oversight Council (Council), and other regulators and agencies; regulatory and judicial proceedings and changes in laws and regulations applicable to FHN; and FHN's success in executing its business plans and strategies and managing the risks involved in the foregoing, could cause actual results to differ, perhaps materially, from those contemplated by the forward-looking statements. FHN assumes no obligation to update any forward-looking statements that are made from time to time. Actual results could differ, possibly materially, because of several factors, including those presented in this Forward-Looking Statements section, in other sections of this MD&A, in other parts of and exhibits to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2011, and in documents incorporated into this Quarterly Report.

FINANCIAL SUMMARY (Comparison of second quarter 2011 to second quarter 2010)

For second quarter 2011, FHN reported net income available to common shareholders of \$20.0 million, or \$.08 diluted earnings per share compared to \$2.7 million, or \$.01 diluted earnings per share in second quarter 2010. Net income available to common shareholders in 2010 included the impact of \$14.9 million of dividends associated with preferred stock issued to the UST under the Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP) which was repurchased in fourth quarter

2010.

71

Table of Contents

Improved results in 2011 were driven by a decline in the provision for loan losses which more than offset a decline in revenue. The \$69.0 million decline in loan loss provisioning resulted from aggregate improvement in the risk profile of the loan portfolio as overall relatively stronger economic conditions from a year ago helped strengthen both commercial and consumer borrowers. Total revenue was \$361.6 million in 2011 compared to \$426.0 million in 2010. The decline in revenue was driven by a \$31.2 million decrease in mortgage banking income and a \$23.0 million reduction in capital markets income. The lower mortgage banking income is primarily attributable to a decline in positive net hedging results and the decline in capital markets income reflects normalizing market conditions for fixed income trading revenue.

Noninterest expense increased 3 percent to \$345.8 million in 2011 compared to \$337.3 million in 2010. In 2011, noninterest expense includes a \$36.7 million loss accrual related to a litigation matter and \$16.6 million of charges associated with restructuring and repositioning activities. These increases were offset in part by a \$31.6 million decline in the provision for repurchase and foreclosure losses due to favorable trends in new repurchase claims, loss severities, and resolutions. Additionally, personnel expenses decreased \$9.5 million from a year ago reflecting declines in variable compensation expense associated with lower fixed income trading revenue and headcount reductions. In 2010, expenses were favorably affected by the reversal of \$5.0 million of the contingent liability for certain Visa legal matters.

The divestitures of FHI and Highland resulted in after-tax gains of \$4.2 million in second quarter 2011. These gains, along with the operating results of FHI and Highland, are reflected in Discontinued operations, net of tax on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income for all periods presented.

Return on average common equity and return on average assets for second quarter 2011 were 3.36 percent and .37 percent, respectively, compared to .49 percent and .32 percent in 2010. Tier 1 capital ratio was 14.39 percent as of June 30, 2011, compared to 16.80 percent on June 30, 2010. On June 30, 2011 and 2010, total period-end assets were \$25.1 billion and \$26.3 billion, respectively. Shareholders' equity was \$2.7 billion on June 30, 2011, compared to \$3.3 billion on June 30, 2010.

BUSINESS LINE REVIEW (Comparison of second quarter 2011 to second quarter 2010)**Regional Banking**

The regional banking segment had pre-tax income of \$72.8 million in 2011 compared to \$30.9 million in 2010. The improvement in pre-tax results was due to a \$41.7 million decline in loan loss provisioning. Total revenue decreased \$6.9 million to \$206.0 million in 2011 from \$212.8 million in 2010 which was more than offset by a \$7.1 million reduction in noninterest expense in 2011.

Net interest income was slightly lower in 2011 at \$137.1 million compared to \$138.2 million in 2010 despite a \$266.3 million decline in average earning assets. FHN's focus on improving commercial loan pricing largely mitigated the decline in net interest income due to a decrease in balances of loans to mortgage companies and a decrease in contribution from interest bearing deposits and allocated equity. The net interest margin in regional banking improved to 5.24 percent during 2011 from 5.12 percent in 2010. The regional bank's margin expanded 12 basis points as a result of improved commercial loan pricing which was somewhat offset by allocated equity and the negative impact from deposit contribution as a result of the historically low interest environment.

The regional bank recognized a provision credit of \$13.7 million in 2011 compared to provision expense of \$28.0 million in 2010. In 2011, overall improvement in the economic environment and stabilizing property values contributed to aggregate improvement in the Income Commercial Real Estate (CRE) and Commercial, Financial, and Industrial (C&I) loan portfolios resulting in upward loan grade migration.

Noninterest income declined 8 percent, or \$5.8 million, to \$68.9 million in 2011 primarily driven by lower deposit fees. Deposit transactions and cash management fees declined \$3.9 million to \$34.9 million in 2011 as fees from nonsufficient funds (NSF) declined \$4.5 million reflecting the negative effect of the overdraft provisions of Regulation E (Reg E) which became effective in third quarter 2010. The new provisions require retail customers to elect to receive overdraft protection for debit card and ATM transactions. Mortgage origination income decreased to \$.1 million in 2011 from \$3.6 million in 2010 due to lower demand for mortgage refinances from a year ago.

Table of Contents

Noninterest expense decreased to \$146.9 million in 2011 from \$154.0 million in 2010 as nearly all categories of noninterest expense declined. The majority of the expense reductions related to personnel costs which declined \$2.4 million to \$57.5 million in 2011 due to headcount reductions and decrease in incentives associated with mortgage originations. Expenses related to advertising and marketing declined \$2.0 million in 2011 primarily due to FHN's focus on cost reductions throughout the organization and legal fees declined \$1.4 million primarily related to lower litigation fees in 2011.

Capital Markets

The pre-tax loss in the capital markets segment was \$19.9 million in 2011 compared to pre-tax income of \$27.7 million in 2010 primarily due to a loss accrual associated with the settlement of a litigation matter and a decline in fixed income sales revenue. Revenue from fixed income sales decreased to \$71.2 million in 2011 from \$91.8 million in 2010 with average daily revenue declining to \$1.1 million in 2011 from \$1.5 million in 2010, reflecting more favorable market conditions in 2010. Revenue from other products, including fee income from activities such as loan sales, portfolio advisory, and derivative sales decreased from \$9.1 million in 2010 to \$6.8 million in 2011. Noninterest expense increased to \$103.4 million in 2011 from \$78.1 million in 2010 as a \$36.7 million loss accrual associated with a litigation settlement more than offset the reduction of variable compensation costs associated with the decrease in fixed income sales revenue.

Corporate

The corporate segment's pre-tax loss was \$26.8 million in 2011 compared to \$5.8 million in 2010. Net interest income was \$.4 million in 2011 compared to \$1.1 million in 2010. The decline in net interest income is primarily due to an increase in interest expense associated with the issuance of \$500 million of senior debt in fourth quarter 2010. Noninterest income was \$9.0 million in 2011 compared to \$4.9 million in 2010. The increase in noninterest income was primarily driven by the recognition of \$3.4 million of interest income associated with a tax refund in 2011. Income from bank-owned life insurance (BOLI) declined slightly to \$4.9 million in 2011 from \$5.8 million in 2010 due to higher policy benefits received in 2010.

Noninterest expense increased to \$36.3 million in 2011 from \$11.8 million in 2010 primarily due to costs associated with restructuring activities in 2011. Personnel expenses increased as a result of severance-related costs associated with efficiency initiatives and other expenses increased as a result of a \$9.0 million charge to cancel a technology services vendor contract in 2011. In 2010, FHN reversed \$5.0 million of the contingent liability for certain Visa legal matters. There were no reversals in second quarter 2011. Legal and professional fees increased to \$8.9 million in 2011 from \$7.2 million in 2010 as a result of elevated costs related to litigation matters.

Non-Strategic

The pre-tax loss for the non-strategic segment was \$11.3 million in 2011 compared to \$34.1 million in 2010. The non-strategic segment includes the results from discontinued operations related to the sales of FHI and Highland which closed in second quarter 2011. See the discussion of Discontinued Operations for additional detail.

Net interest income declined \$8.2 million to \$29.8 million in 2011 primarily because of a decline in the size of the non-strategic loan portfolios due to the continued wind-down. Provision expense declined to \$14.7 million in 2011 from \$42.0 million in 2010 primarily due to overall improvement in the economic environment which helped strengthen consumer borrowers and also due to overall reduction in loan balances.

Mortgage banking income, which is comprised of servicing income related to legacy mortgage banking operations and fair value adjustments to the mortgage warehouse, decreased to \$31.2 million in 2011 from \$59.7 million in 2010. The mortgage warehouse includes loans remaining from the legacy mortgage banking business and loans repurchased pursuant to claims from investors (primarily government sponsored enterprises (GSEs)).

Servicing income, which includes fees for servicing mortgage loans, changes in the value of servicing assets, results of hedging servicing assets, and the negative impact of runoff on the value of mortgage servicing rights (MSR), is the largest component of mortgage banking income.

Table of Contents

Servicing fees were \$19.2 million in 2011, a \$6.7 million decline from 2010. The decline in servicing fees is consistent with the continued reduction in the size of the servicing portfolio as the average unpaid principal balance (UPB) declined approximately \$9 billion due to a bulk sale executed in second quarter 2010 and continued runoff. Positive net hedging results decreased to \$15.4 million in 2011 from \$44.1 million in 2010 reflecting tightened spreads between mortgage and swap rates in 2011 and continued runoff of the underlying servicing portfolio. The negative impact attributable to runoff was \$5.5 million in 2011 compared to \$7.2 million in 2010, which was primarily the result of a smaller servicing portfolio in 2011 driven by natural runoff and lower volumes of refinance activity. Origination income was \$1.8 million in 2011 compared to a loss of \$3.9 million in 2010. The increase is attributable to positive fair value adjustments to the remaining mortgage warehouse primarily driven by improved performance of the mortgage loans held for sale when compared with 2010.

Noninterest expense declined 37 percent to \$59.3 million in 2011 from \$93.4 million in 2010. Generally, with the exception of expenses associated with foreclosed assets, nearly all expenses declined from 2010 as the legacy businesses continue to steadily wind down. Although still elevated, the provision for repurchase and foreclosure losses declined \$31.6 million to \$24.6 million in 2011. See the Repurchase Obligations, Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements, and Other Contractual Obligations section of MD&A for further discussion regarding FHN's repurchase obligations. Expenses related to foreclosed assets increased \$1.1 million from 2010 to \$4.6 million in 2011 primarily driven by negative valuation adjustments associated with a single group of foreclosed assets. Personnel expenses, miscellaneous loan costs, and occupancy expenses all declined in 2011 reflecting the continued wind-down of businesses within the non-strategic segment.

INCOME STATEMENT REVIEW (Comparison of second quarter 2011 to second quarter 2010)

Total consolidated revenue decreased 15 percent to \$361.6 million in 2011 from \$426.0 million in 2010 primarily due to a decline in mortgage banking and capital markets income.

NET INTEREST INCOME

Net interest income declined to \$172.9 million in 2011 from \$182.1 million in 2010 as average earning assets declined 5 percent to \$21.8 billion and average interest-bearing liabilities declined 4 percent to \$16.4 billion in 2011. The decline in net interest income is primarily attributable to a decrease in the size of the loan portfolio since second quarter 2010 with a majority of the decline attributable to runoff of the non-strategic portfolios. A shift in deposit mix partially mitigated the decline in net interest income.

For purposes of computing yields and the net interest margin, FHN adjusts net interest income to reflect tax exempt income on an equivalent pre-tax basis which provides comparability of net interest income arising from both taxable and tax-exempt sources. Table 1 details annualized yields and rates and the computation of the net interest margin for FHN. The consolidated net interest margin increased slightly to 3.20 percent in 2011 from 3.19 percent in 2010. The net interest spread increased 5 basis points to 3.00 percent in 2011 from 2.95 percent in 2010 and the impact of free funding decreased to 20 basis points from 24 basis points. The net interest margin was relatively flat as improved pricing of commercial loans more than offset the negative impact on the margin from a decline in deposit contribution and the issuance of senior debt in fourth quarter 2010.

Table of Contents**Table 1 Net Interest Margin**

| | Three Months Ended June 30 | |
|--|-------------------------------|-------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Consolidated yields and rates: | | |
| Loans, net of unearned income | 4.09% | 4.07% |
| Loans held for sale | 3.57 | 4.51 |
| Investment securities | 3.86 | 4.47 |
| Capital markets securities inventory | 3.39 | 3.86 |
| Mortgage banking trading securities | 10.17 | 8.26 |
| Other earning assets | 0.03 | 0.20 |
| | | |
| Yields on earning assets | 3.83 | 3.85 |
| | | |
| Interest-bearing core deposits | 0.64 | 0.80 |
| Certificates of deposit \$100,000 and more | 1.91 | 2.27 |
| Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 0.23 | 0.24 |
| Capital markets trading liabilities | 2.65 | 3.58 |
| Other short-term borrowings and commercial paper | 0.27 | 0.63 |
| Term borrowings | 1.49 | 1.02 |
| | | |
| Rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities | 0.83 | 0.90 |
| | | |
| Net interest spread | 3.00 | 2.95 |
| Effect of interest-free sources | 0.20 | 0.24 |
| | | |
| Net interest margin ^(a) | 3.20% | 3.19% |

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

(a) Calculated using total net interest income adjusted for FTE. Refer to the Non-GAAP to GAAP reconciliation Table 21.

FHN's net interest margin is expected to remain relatively stable in 2011 assuming the Federal Reserve holds interest rates at historically low levels and new loan growth increases, as expected.

NONINTEREST INCOME

Noninterest income was 52 percent of total revenue in 2011 compared to 57 percent in 2010 as total noninterest income decreased by \$55.2 million, or 23 percent, to \$188.8 million in 2011. The decrease primarily resulted from a decline in mortgage banking and capital markets income.

Capital Markets Noninterest Income

Capital markets noninterest income decreased to \$77.9 million in 2011 from \$100.9 million in 2010. Revenue from fixed income sales decreased from \$91.8 million in 2010 to \$71.2 million in 2011 as fixed income production levels reflected less favorable market conditions in 2011. Revenue from other products declined to \$6.8 million in 2011 from \$9.0 million in 2010 and continues to be a small component of capital markets income.

Table 2 Capital Markets Noninterest Income

| | Three Months Ended June 30 | | Six Months Ended June 30 | |
|--|-------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|---------|
| | | Percent | | Percent |

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | 2011 | 2010 | Change (%) | 2011 | 2010 | Change (%) |
|---|-----------------|-----------|---------------|------------------|-----------|---------------|
| Noninterest income: | | | | | | |
| Fixed income | \$71,164 | \$ 91,849 | 22.5 - | \$154,358 | \$197,119 | 21.7 - |
| Other product revenue | 6,757 | 9,027 | 25.1 - | 13,620 | 18,328 | 25.7 - |
| Total capital markets noninterest income | \$77,921 | \$100,876 | 22.8 - | \$167,978 | \$215,447 | 22.0 - |

Mortgage Banking Noninterest Income

Mortgage banking income decreased to \$32.1 million in 2011 from \$63.3 million in 2010. Mortgage banking income is comprised of servicing income related to legacy mortgage banking operations, fees from mortgage origination activity in the regional banking footprint, and fair value adjustments to the mortgage warehouse.

Servicing income, which includes fees for servicing mortgage loans, changes in the value of servicing assets, results of hedging servicing assets, and the negative impact of runoff on the value of MSR, is the largest component of mortgage banking income.

Table of Contents

Servicing fees were \$19.2 million in 2011, a \$6.7 million decline from 2010. The decline in servicing fees is consistent with the continued reduction in the size of the servicing portfolio as the average UPB declined approximately \$9 billion due to a bulk sale in second quarter 2010 and continued runoff. Positive net hedging results decreased to \$15.4 million in 2011 from \$44.1 million in 2010 reflecting more narrow spreads between mortgage and swap rates in 2011 and continued runoff of the underlying servicing portfolio. The negative impact attributable to runoff was \$5.5 million in 2011 compared to \$7.2 million in 2010, which was primarily the result of a smaller servicing portfolio in 2011 driven by natural runoff and lower volumes of refinance activity.

Origination income, which is comprised of fair value adjustments to the remaining mortgage warehouse and revenue from origination activities in and around Tennessee, was \$2.8 million in 2011 compared to a loss of \$.3 million in 2010. The improvement is primarily attributable to favorable valuation adjustments to the remaining mortgage warehouse, which is primarily driven by higher credit deterioration experienced in 2010 relative to 2011.

Deposit Fee Income

Deposit transactions and cash management fee income declined 10 percent to \$35.1 million in 2011 from \$39.0 million in 2010. The decline was primarily driven by lower NSF fund fees due to the impact of the new overdraft requirements of Reg E which became effective in third quarter 2010.

Other Noninterest Income

All other income and commissions increased \$3.6 million from 2010 to \$30.0 million in 2011. This increase was largely driven by the recognition of \$3.4 million of interest associated with a tax refund in 2011. All other income categories were relatively flat compared to 2010.

Table 3 Other Income

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Other income: | | | | |
| Bankcard income | \$ 5,151 | \$ 5,271 | \$ 9,871 | \$ 9,819 |
| Bank owned life insurance | 4,920 | 5,784 | 9,735 | 12,253 |
| ATM interchange fees | 3,791 | 3,232 | 7,326 | 6,889 |
| Other service charges | 2,821 | 2,382 | 5,675 | 4,765 |
| Letter of credit fees | 1,869 | 1,802 | 3,645 | 3,441 |
| Electronic banking fees | 1,536 | 1,887 | 3,070 | 3,612 |
| Deferred compensation | 221 | (771) | 1,200 | 239 |
| Gains on extinguishment of debt | | | 5,761 | 17,060 |
| Other | 9,722 | 6,866 | 16,067 | 14,493 |
| Total | \$30,031 | \$26,453 | \$62,350 | \$72,571 |

NONINTEREST EXPENSE

Total noninterest expense in 2011 increased 3 percent to \$345.8 million in 2011 from \$337.3 million in 2010 as an accrual for a litigation settlement and costs associated with restructuring and repositioning activities more than offset a reduction in the repurchase and foreclosure provision.

Employee compensation, incentives, and benefits (personnel expense), the largest component of noninterest expense, decreased \$9.5 million from 2010 to \$151.9 million in 2011. The decline in personnel expense is primarily the result of a reduction in variable compensation expense related to the decrease in capital markets fixed income trading revenue in 2011 and a decline in headcount since 2010. The decline in personnel expense was partially offset by \$7.5 million of severance-related costs associated with restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency initiatives.

The repurchase and foreclosure provision declined \$31.6 million since 2010 to \$24.6 million in 2011 reflecting improving trends in the active pipeline, claims inflows, resolutions, and loss severities. See the discussion of FHN's

repurchase obligations within the Repurchase Obligations, Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements, and Other Contractual Obligations section of MD&A and Note 9

Table of Contents

Contingencies and Other Disclosures for additional details. Miscellaneous loan costs declined \$3.7 million to \$.9 million in 2011 consistent with the continued contraction of the non-strategic loan portfolios. Operations, occupancy, and advertising and marketing costs declined in 2011 because of FHN's continued focus on cost reductions throughout the organization.

The impact of expense reductions described above was diminished by increases in other areas. Expenses associated with investments in technology increased in 2011 including: computer software expense; equipment rentals, depreciation, and maintenance; and contract employment costs. Legal and professional fees increased to \$20.6 million in 2011 compared to \$18.1 million in 2010 primarily driven by costs related to current litigation matters.

All other expense increased to \$75.0 million in 2011 compared to \$23.0 million in 2010. The increase in All other expenses is primarily due to a \$36.7 million loss accrual related to a litigation settlement and a \$9.0 million charge to cancel a technology services contract. Additionally, the provision for unfunded commitments, cost of collections, and administrative expenses associated with loan repurchases combined for an increase of \$3.4 million compared to 2010. In 2010, noninterest expense was benefitted by a \$5.0 million reversal associated with the reduction of FHN's contingent liability associated with certain Visa legal matters.

has sufficient carryback position, reversing DTL, and potential tax planning strategies to fully realize the DTA. Also, FHN forecasts substantially more taxable income in the carryforward period, exclusive of potential tax planning strategies. FHN believes that it will realize the net DTA within a significantly shorter period of time than the twenty-year carryforward period allowed under the tax rules. Based on current analysis, FHN believes that its ability to realize the \$176 million net DTA is more likely than not.

DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

In second quarter 2011, FHN completed the sale of FHI and Highland. The results of operations, net of tax, for these businesses have been classified as discontinued operations on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income for all

Table of Contents

periods presented. In 2011, Income from discontinued operations was \$3.8 million, which primarily includes the after-tax gains on the divestitures. In first quarter 2011, FHN recognized a \$10.1 million (pre-tax) goodwill impairment and \$11.1 million of tax benefits associated with agreement to sell FHI.

STATEMENT OF CONDITION REVIEW (Comparison of Second quarter 2011 to Second quarter 2010)

Total period-end assets were \$25.1 billion at June 30, 2011, compared to \$26.3 billion at June 30, 2010. Average assets decreased to \$24.5 billion in 2011 from \$25.6 billion in 2010. The decline in both period-end and average balances of total assets reflects the reduction in size of the loan portfolio.

EARNING ASSETS

Earning assets consist of loans, loans held for sale (HFS), investment securities, and other earning assets. Earning assets averaged \$21.8 billion and \$23.0 billion in second quarter 2011 and 2010, respectively. A more detailed discussion of the major line items follows.

Loans

Average loans declined 7 percent in 2011 from 2010 primarily as a result of the continued wind-down of the non-strategic portfolios combined with weak loan demand from good relationship-oriented borrowers. The decline in loans within the nonstrategic segment accounted for nearly all of the decline in average loans from last year.

Table 5 Average Loans

| <i>(Dollars in millions)</i> | Three Months Ended June 30 | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|------------------|----------------|------------|------------------|
| | 2011 | Percent of Total | Percent Change | 2010 | Percent of Total |
| Commercial: | | | | | |
| Commercial, financial, and industrial | \$ 6,867.9 | 43% | 2.0+ | \$ 6,730.5 | 39% |
| Commercial real estate: | | | | | |
| Income CRE | 1,362.5 | 9 | 16.4- | 1,629.9 | 10 |
| Residential CRE | 203.7 | 1 | 56.8- | 471.6 | 3 |
| Total commercial | 8,434.1 | 53 | 4.5- | 8,832.0 | 52 |
| Retail: | | | | | |
| Consumer real estate | 5,436.4 | 34 | 9.6- | 6,013.6 | 35 |
| Permanent mortgage | 1,009.8 | 6 | 2.5- | 1,036.0 | 6 |
| Credit card, OTC, and other | 299.9 | 2 | 20.0- | 374.9 | 2 |
| Restricted real estate loans ^(a) | 708.9 | 5 | 17.0- | 853.6 | 5 |
| Total retail | 7,455.0 | 47 | 9.9- | 8,278.1 | 48 |
| Total loans, net of unearned | \$15,889.1 | 100% | 7.1- | \$17,110.1 | 100% |

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

(a) 2011 includes \$661.1 million of Consumer Real Estate loans and \$47.8 million of Permanent Mortgage loans. C&I loans comprised 81 percent of total commercial loans in 2011 compared to 76 percent in 2010. This portfolio realized the only increase in average balance between the periods. The increase in average C&I loans is primarily driven by growth in tax-free lending to government and municipalities in FHN's Middle Tennessee Market.

Commercial real estate loans declined \$.5 billion in 2011 to \$1.6 billion during 2011 as the commercial real estate market remains soft and the non-strategic components continue to wind down.

Total retail loans declined 10 percent, or \$.8 billion, to \$7.5 billion in 2011. The Consumer Real Estate Portfolio (home equity lines and installment loans) contributed \$.6 billion, or 70 percent, of the decline in retail loans primarily within the non-strategic segment reflecting the continued wind-down. The Permanent Mortgage portfolio declined

slightly to \$1.0 billion in 2011 as a significant portion of the runoff since second quarter 2010 was offset by loans added to this portfolio due to the exercise of clean-up calls on securitization trusts in second quarter 2011 and fourth quarter 2010. Loans consolidated due to the adoption of amendments to ASC 810, combined with restricted home equity lines that were included in the Consumer Real Estate

Table of Contents

Portfolio prior to 2010, are reflected within Restricted Real Estate Loans. These loans declined \$144.6 million since 2010 and are expected to continue to gradually decline with natural runoff.

Investment Securities

FHN's investment portfolio consists principally of debt securities including government agency issued mortgage-backed securities (MBS) and government agency issued collateralized mortgage obligations (CMO), all of which are classified as available-for-sale (AFS). FHN utilizes the securities portfolio as a source of income, liquidity, a tool for managing risk of interest rate movements, and collateral for repurchase agreements for public funds. Investment securities averaged \$3.2 billion in 2011 compared to \$2.6 billion in 2010 and represented 15 percent of earning assets in 2011 compared to 11 percent in 2010. Average investment securities increased reflecting the purchase of approximately \$2.5 billion of debt investment securities in since 2010 which more than offset maturities. Purchases of AFS securities were largely driven by excess liquidity combined with continued low loan demand. See Note 3 Investment Securities for further discussion regarding the composition of the AFS securities portfolio.

Loans Held-for-Sale

Loans HFS consists of the mortgage warehouse (including repurchased loans), student, small business, and home equity loans. The average balance of loans HFS decreased \$126.7 million since 2010 and averaged \$366.6 million in 2011. The decline from 2010 reflects the third quarter 2010 sale of approximately \$120 million of student loans. The mortgage warehouse, which consists of mortgage loans remaining from the legacy mortgage banking business, loans originated within the regional banking footprint awaiting transfer to the secondary market, and mortgage loans repurchased pursuant to requests from investors (primarily GSEs), averaged \$309.5 million, and comprised over 85 percent of loans HFS.

Other Earning Assets

All other earning assets include trading securities, securities purchased under agreements to resell, federal funds sold (FFS), and interest-bearing deposits with the Federal Reserve Bank (FRB) and other financial institutions. All other earning assets averaged \$2.3 billion in 2011 compared to \$2.7 billion in 2010. The decrease is attributable to a \$.6 billion decline in Federal Reserve Bank deposits as excess cash balances were used to purchase securities for the AFS portfolio.

Core Deposits

In 2011, average core deposits increased 1 percent, or \$.2 billion, to \$14.9 billion. The increase in core deposits reflects growth due to the historically low interest rate environment as deposit holders were unable to obtain higher rates on other comparable investment products and an increase in insured network deposits. Some of this growth was diminished as certain business deposits declined concurrent with the conclusion of the FDIC's Transaction Account Guarantee (TAG) program.

Short-Term Funds

Short-term funds (certificates of deposit greater than \$100,000, federal funds purchased (FFP), securities sold under agreements to repurchase, trading liabilities, and other short-term borrowings and commercial paper) averaged \$3.6 billion during 2011, a decline of 6 percent from \$3.9 billion in 2010. FHN's contracting balance sheet and core deposit growth reduced reliance on higher-cost purchased short-term funds. Average FFP, which currently is entirely composed of funds from correspondent banks, and securities sold under repurchase agreements decreased to \$1.5 billion in 2011 from \$1.8 billion in 2010. The average balance of securities sold under agreements to repurchase declined \$.2 billion to \$.6 billion in 2011 given the low rates currently paid on these products combined with the ability to receive FDIC insurance coverage on business checking accounts. Other borrowings increased to \$.3 billion in 2011 from \$.2 billion in 2010 as FHN increased borrowings from the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB). On average, short-term purchased funds accounted for 17 percent of FHN's funding (core deposits plus short-term purchased funds and term borrowings) in 2011 compared to 18 percent in 2010.

Term Borrowings

Term borrowings include senior and subordinated borrowings and advances with original maturities greater than one year. Average term borrowings decreased 14 percent, or \$.4 billion, and averaged \$2.5 billion in 2011. The decline in average term borrowings relates to maturities of the remaining long-term bank notes and the redemption of FHN's subordinated debentures (TRUPs which paid 8.07 percent) in first quarter 2011. These declines were partially offset

by the increase resulting from the

Table of Contents

fourth quarter issuance of \$500 million of senior debt. Borrowings secured by restricted real estate loans declined \$146.0 million which was generally consistent with the runoff of the associated retail real estate loans.

FINANCIAL SUMMARY (Comparison of first six months of 2011 to first six months of 2010)

FHN reported net income available to common shareholders of \$60.2 million or \$.23 per diluted share for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The net loss available to common shareholders was \$25.0 million or \$.11 loss per diluted share for the six months ended June 30, 2010. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, return on average common equity was 5.08 percent and return on average assets was .54 percent. Return on average common equity and return on average assets were negative 17.11 percent and 1.16 percent, respectively, for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The net loss available to common shareholders in 2010 reflects the impact of \$29.9 million of dividends related to the CPP preferred which was repaid in late 2010.

The improvement in results during 2011 is driven by a significant decline in the provision for loan losses which more than offset lower revenue.

For the first six months of 2011, total revenue was \$731.9 million; a decrease of 14 percent from \$849.6 million in 2010. Net interest income declined \$16.8 million to \$345.6 million in 2011 as average earning assets declined \$1.1 billion, or 5 percent, from 2010. The provision expense for loan losses decreased by \$173.0 million to \$2.0 million in 2011, reflecting the continued wind-down of the non-strategic construction portfolios, and stabilization of commercial and consumer portfolios. Overall improvement of the economic environment and stabilization of property values have contributed to the stronger performance of the loan portfolio.

Noninterest income in 2011 decreased to \$386.3 million from \$487.2 million in 2010, primarily due to a decline in capital markets and mortgage banking income. Capital markets noninterest income decreased by 22 percent to \$168.0 million in 2011 from \$215.4 million a year ago. This decrease in capital markets noninterest income reflects more favorable market conditions for fixed income sales in 2010.

Mortgage banking income was \$59.8 million and \$98.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Servicing fees decreased to \$40.1 million in 2011 from \$53.7 million in 2010 consistent with the decline in the servicing portfolio. Positive net hedge results declined \$27.1 million to \$27.9 million during 2011 as the interest rate environment contributed to favorable hedge results in both periods. The decline from last year was impacted by more narrow spreads between mortgage and swap rates in 2011 and continued runoff of the underlying servicing portfolio. The negative impact attributable to runoff was \$12.7 million in 2011 compared to \$16.1 million in 2010, which was primarily the result of a smaller servicing portfolio in 2011 driven by natural runoff and lower volume of refinance activity.

Origination income decreased slightly to \$4.3 million in 2011 from \$4.5 million in 2010. In 2011, income from origination activity within the regional banking footprint declined to \$3.5 million from \$7.2 million in 2010 primarily due to a higher volume of refinance activity in 2010. Unhedged fair value adjustments of the remaining mortgage warehouse were positive \$.5 million in 2011 compared to net negative fair value adjustments of \$1.9 million in 2010. The improvement in the mortgage warehouse valuation is primarily due to higher credit deterioration experienced in 2010 relative to 2011.

Deposit transactions and cash management fee income was \$67.7 million in 2011 compared to \$74.8 million in 2010. This decline is primarily due to the negative impact of Regulation E which became effective in third quarter 2010. Net securities gains were \$.8 million in 2011 compared to net securities losses of \$1.8 million in 2010 which were primarily driven by negative fair value adjustments on venture capital investments during 2010.

All other noninterest income and commissions was \$62.4 million in 2011 compared with \$72.6 million in 2010. Gains related to the extinguishment of debt were \$5.8 million in 2011 compared to \$17.1 million in 2010 and represent a majority of the decline in other income from a year ago. Income from bank-owned life insurance (BOLI) declined \$2.5 million to \$9.7 million in 2011 as BOLI income varies with the timing of receipt of policy benefits.

Table of Contents

Total noninterest expense decreased \$14.3 million in 2011 from \$675.3 million in 2010, primarily due to a decline in the repurchase and foreclosure provision and personnel costs which was partially offset by an increase in restructuring and repositioning charges in 2011. The repurchase and foreclosure provision declined to \$61.8 million in 2011 from \$96.9 million in 2010 due to improving trends in the active pipeline, new claims inflow, and resolutions. In the first six months of 2011, personnel expense was \$309.1 million compared to \$338.4 million in 2010. The decrease in personnel costs was primarily the result of the decline in capital markets fixed income sales revenue in 2011. Personnel costs included \$9.8 million of severance-related costs associated with restructuring and repositioning activities in 2011. Expenses related to foreclosed assets were down \$3.0 million in 2011 as stabilization of property values resulted in smaller negative fair value adjustments to foreclosed assets. Miscellaneous loan costs, occupancy, operations services, and communications and courier all declined consistent with the continued wind-down of non-strategic businesses and focus on cost reductions throughout FHN.

Legal and professional fees increased \$7.2 million to \$39.2 million in 2011 as result of litigation matters and fees associated with consulting projects within the organization. Technology-related projects result in an increase in equipment rentals, depreciation, and maintenance costs, computer software expense, and contract employment costs. All other expense increased \$52.1 million to \$102.3 million in 2011 primarily because of a \$36.7 million loss accrual related to the settlement of a litigation matter and \$19.7 million of restructuring and repositioning charges recognized in 2011. FHN reversed \$3.3 million of the Visa contingent liability for legal matters in 2011 compared to \$5.0 million in 2010. High loan-to-value insurance expense increased \$3.7 million in 2011 as the prior year included a settlement which resulted in the cancellation of an HLTV insurance contract and return of \$3.8 million of premiums. Generally, all other expenses declined as a result of FHN's focus on efficiency and cost reductions.

Income taxes for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, were primarily affected by the level of permanent tax credits in relation to pre-tax income. The tax rate for 2011 cannot be compared to that of 2010 due to the level of pre-tax income.

Discontinued Operations

The favorable impact on results from discontinued operations was \$4.7 million in 2011 and is primarily composed of \$4.2 million net after-tax gains from the divestitures of FHI and Highland. Discontinued operations in 2011 also includes a \$10.1 million goodwill impairment associated with FHI which was more than offset by \$11.1 million of associated tax benefits. During 2010, the loss from discontinued operations was \$6.9 million which was driven by a \$3.3 million goodwill impairment, severance and contract termination costs, and asset write-offs associated with closing FTN ECM.

BUSINESS LINE REVIEW (Comparison of first six months of 2011 to first six months of 2010)**Regional Banking**

The regional banking segment had pre-tax income of \$137.0 million through second quarter 2011 compared to pre-tax income of \$27.0 million in 2010. The improvement in pre-tax income was driven by a significant decline in the provision for loan losses. Total revenue in 2011 was \$408.8 million, an \$8.8 million decrease from \$417.6 million in 2010. Net interest income was relatively flat at \$272.6 million despite a \$309.1 million decline in average earnings assets from a year ago as NII was favorably impacted by improved commercial loan pricing. Regional banking recognized a provision credit of \$26.1 million in 2011 compared to provision expense of \$80.0 million in 2010. The decrease in provision was primarily driven by improved performance of the loan portfolios which was primarily driven by relatively stronger economic conditions from a year ago.

Noninterest income decreased \$9.3 million to \$136.3 million in the first half of 2011. Total service charges on deposits declined \$7.0 million primarily driven by lower NSF fee income due to the implementation of changes to Regulation E, which became effective in third quarter 2010. Mortgage banking origination income declined over 50 percent to \$3.5 million due to higher mortgage refinance volume in early 2010. Total noninterest expense decreased to \$298.0 million in 2011 from \$310.6 million in 2010. Generally, all categories of expenses declined from 2010 because of FHN's focus on cost reductions and improving efficiency.

Table of Contents**Capital Markets**

Pre-tax income in the capital markets segment decreased to \$2.2 million in 2011 from \$60.8 million in 2010, due to a decline in fixed income sales revenue and an increase in noninterest expense. Total revenue during 2011 decreased to \$179.1 million from \$222.7 million in 2010 as fixed income sales revenue was \$154.4 million in 2011 compared to \$197.1 million in 2010 reflecting more favorable market conditions in 2010. Other product revenue decreased to \$13.6 million from \$18.3 million in 2010. Noninterest expense was \$176.9 million, an increase of \$15.0 million from \$161.9 million in 2010. The increase is due to a \$36.7 million loss accrual associated with a litigation settlement recognized in 2011. Personnel costs declined \$30.9 million to \$98.7 million in 2011 primarily driven by the decrease in fixed income sales revenues resulting in lower variable compensation costs in 2011 relative to 2010.

Corporate

The pre-tax loss for the corporate segment was \$34.9 million during 2011 compared with pre-tax income of \$4.2 million in 2010. Total revenue in 2011 was \$22.1 million compared to \$36.5 million in 2010. Net interest income declined to \$.2 million in 2011 from \$6.7 million in 2010. The decrease in net interest income is primarily due to the rise in interest expense associated with the issuance of \$500 million of senior debt in fourth quarter 2010.

Noninterest income declined \$8.0 million to \$21.9 million in 2011. The decline was due to \$17.1 million of gains recognized on the extinguishment of debt in 2010 compared to \$5.8 million in 2011. BOLI income declined \$2.5 million in 2011 which is attributable to higher benefits received during 2010. The decline in noninterest income from 2010 was mitigated by the recognition of \$3.4 million of interest associated with a tax refund in 2011.

Noninterest expense increased to \$57.0 million in the first half of 2011 from \$32.3 million in 2010. Restructuring and repositioning activities contributed to \$18.7 million of the increase as FHN recognized \$9.7 million of severance-related costs associated with business realignment and efficiency initiatives and incurred a \$9.0 million charge to cancel a technology services-related contract. Additionally, legal and professional fees increased \$4.4 million to \$17.6 million in 2011 due to current litigation matters and also consulting projects throughout the organization in 2011. Expenses in 2011 include a \$3.3 million reversal of a portion of the contingent liability previously established for certain Visa legal matters compared to \$5.0 million in 2010.

Non-strategic

The pre-tax loss for the six months ended June 30, 2011, for the non-strategic segment was \$35.3 million compared to \$92.6 million during 2010 as the decline in revenue was more than offset by decreases in the loan loss provision and noninterest expense. The provision for loan losses decreased to \$28.1 million in 2011 from \$95.0 million in 2010 reflecting the wind-down of the higher-risk non-strategic construction portfolios and stabilization of the consumer loan portfolios. Total revenue in 2011 was \$121.9 million compared to \$172.8 million in 2010 with net interest income declining to \$61.7 million in 2011 from \$76.6 million in 2010. The decline in net interest income is primarily due to the wind-down of the non-strategic loan portfolios.

Noninterest income decreased to \$60.2 million in 2011 from \$96.2 million in 2010 primarily because of a \$34.7 million decline in mortgage banking income. The decline in mortgage banking income is primarily due to a decrease in servicing income, as positive net hedging results were less favorable in 2011 given more narrow spreads between mortgage and swap rates in 2011 and continued runoff of the underlying servicing portfolio. Additionally, servicing fees declined \$13.6 million consistent with the reduction in size of the mortgage servicing portfolio which was driven by both natural runoff and a bulk sale executed in second quarter 2010. The decline in base servicing fees and net hedging results was somewhat mitigated as the value of MSR was more adversely affected by runoff in 2010 than compared with 2011 and also due to positive fair value adjustments to the remaining mortgage warehouse.

Noninterest expense was \$129.1 million in 2011 compared to \$170.4 million in 2010. Noninterest expense declined due to a \$35.1 million decrease in the repurchase and foreclosure provision reflecting improving trends in the active pipeline, new claims, and resolutions. Generally, most other expense categories continued to decline given the continued wind-down of these non-strategic businesses.

Table of Contents**RESTRUCTURING, REPOSITIONING, AND EFFICIENCY INITIATIVES**

FHN continues to refine its business mix in order to focus on higher-return core businesses and explore opportunities to reduce operating costs. In second quarter 2011, the sales of FHI and Highland, along with market realignment in the regional bank in order to flatten management structure and gain efficiencies, were the result of these ongoing reviews. Refer to Note 17 Restructuring, Repositioning, and Efficiency for detailed discussions related to FHN's restructuring activities.

Net pre-tax costs related to restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency activities were \$16.2 million in second quarter 2011 compared to income of \$.4 million in 2010. For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the net charges were \$29.7 million and \$10.7 million, respectively. Significant charges recognized during 2011 were primarily associated with the sale of FHI which resulted in a \$.8 million pre-tax gain on sale in second quarter 2011 and a \$10.1 million goodwill impairment in first quarter 2011. Severance-related costs were \$9.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and primarily relate to efficiency initiatives throughout the organization. Additionally, in second quarter 2011, FHN recognized a \$9.0 million charge related to the termination of a technology services contract with plans to transfer such services to existing FHN facilities.

A majority of the restructuring charges recognized in 2010 are reflected in discontinued operations, net of tax and relate to the exit of the institutional equity research business. Significant expenses recognized for the six months ended June 30, 2010 resulted from severance and employee costs related to the institutional equity research business and the 2009 sale of Louisville remittance processing operations, goodwill impairment of \$3.3 million, and lease abandonment expense of \$2.3 million related to the closure of the institutional equity research business.

Charges related to restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency initiatives for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 are presented in the following table based on the income statement line item affected.

Table 6 Restructuring, Repositioning, and Efficiency Initiatives

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|-----------|------------------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | | | | |
| Noninterest income: | | | | |
| Mortgage banking | \$ | \$(1,532) | \$ | \$(1,532) |
| All other income and commissions | | | | (19) |
| Total noninterest loss | | (1,532) | | (1,551) |
| Noninterest expense: | | | | |
| Employee compensation, incentives, and benefits | 7,511 | (575) | 9,764 | 20 |
| Occupancy | 59 | 856 | 854 | 887 |
| Legal and professional fees | | 14 | | 119 |
| All other expense | 9,026 | (1,493) | 9,039 | (1,493) |
| Total noninterest expense | 16,596 | (1,198) | 19,657 | (467) |
| Loss before income taxes | (16,596) | (334) | (19,657) | (1,084) |
| Income/(loss) from discontinued operations | 441 | 766 | (10,073) | (9,615) |
| Net charges from restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency initiatives | \$ (16,155) | \$ 432 | \$ (29,730) | \$ (10,699) |

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

CAPITAL

Banking regulators define minimum capital ratios for bank holding companies and their bank subsidiaries. Based on the capital rules and definitions prescribed by the banking regulators, should any depository institution's capital ratios decline below predetermined levels, it would become subject to a series of increasingly restrictive regulatory actions. The system categorizes a depository institution's capital position into one of five categories ranging from well-capitalized to critically under-capitalized. For an institution to qualify as well-capitalized, Tier 1 Capital, Total Capital, and Leverage capital ratios must be at least 6 percent, 10 percent, and 5 percent, respectively. As of June 30, 2011, FHN and FTBNA had sufficient capital to qualify as well-capitalized institutions as shown in Table 7 Regulatory Capital and Ratios. In 2011, capital ratios are expected to remain strong and significantly above current well-capitalized standards despite a difficult operating environment.

Table of Contents

Average equity was \$2.7 billion in 2011 compared to \$3.3 billion in 2010. The decline in average equity is primarily driven by the fourth quarter 2010 repayment of the preferred-CPP which resulted in a \$.8 billion average decline in equity. A portion of this decline was offset by an increase in capital surplus due to the issuance of common shares in fourth quarter 2010 which raised \$263.1 million in net proceeds.

The following table provides a reconciliation of Shareholder's equity from the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition to tier 1 and total regulatory capital and certain selected capital ratios:

Table 7 Regulatory Capital and Ratios

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | June 30, 2011 | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2010 |
|---|----------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Shareholder's Equity | \$2,386,217 | \$2,992,068 | \$ 2,382,840 |
| Regulatory adjustments: | | | |
| Goodwill and other intangibles | (144,029) | (179,803) | (175,453) |
| Net unrealized (gains)/losses on AFS securities | (58,068) | (68,189) | (45,366) |
| Minimum pension liability | 166,576 | 174,111 | 172,912 |
| Noncontrolling interest - FTBNA preferred stock | 294,816 | 294,816 | 294,816 |
| Trust preferred | 200,000 | 300,000 | 200,000 |
| Disallowed servicing assets | (14,295) | (12,785) | (16,801) |
| Disallowed deferred tax assets | (12,200) | | |
| Other | (482) | (459) | (477) |
| Tier 1 Capital | \$2,818,535 | \$3,499,759 | \$ 2,812,471 |
| Tier 2 Capital | 748,225 | 947,841 | 937,115 |
| Total Regulatory Capital | \$3,566,760 | \$4,447,600 | \$ 3,749,586 |

| | June 30, 2011 | | June 30, 2010 | | December 31, 2010 | |
|--|----------------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|
| | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount |
| Tier 1 | | | | | | |
| First Horizon National Corporation | 14.39% | \$2,818,535 | 16.80% | \$3,499,759 | 13.99% | \$2,812,471 |
| First Tennessee Bank National Association ^(a) | 16.75 | 3,250,279 | 16.39 | 3,383,022 | 15.76 | 3,137,624 |
| Total | | | | | | |
| First Horizon National Corporation | 18.21 | 3,566,760 | 21.34 | 4,447,600 | 18.65 | 3,749,586 |
| First Tennessee Bank National Association ^(a) | 20.50 | 3,976,137 | 20.78 | 4,288,175 | 20.26 | 4,032,289 |
| Tier 1 Common ^(b) | | | | | | |
| First Horizon National Corporation | 11.86 | 2,323,719 | 10.07 | 2,098,087 | 11.53 | 2,317,655 |

(a) Excluding financial subsidiaries, FTBNA's Tier 1 and Total Capital ratios were 16.04 percent and 18.91 percent, respectively, at June 30, 2011.

(b) Refer to the Non-GAAP to GAAP Reconciliation - Table 21.

Table of Contents

Pursuant to board authority, FHN may repurchase shares from time to time and will evaluate the level of capital and take action designed to generate or use capital, as appropriate, for the interests of the shareholders, subject to legal and regulatory restrictions. The following table provides information related to securities repurchased by FHN during second quarter 2011:

Table 8 Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

| <i>(Volume in thousands)</i> | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Programs | Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Programs |
|------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| 2011 | | | | |
| April 1 to April 30 | 31 | \$ 11.02 | 31 | 34,365 |
| May 1 to May 31 | 7 | 10.55 | 7 | 34,358 |
| June 1 to June 30 | | N/A | | 34,358 |
| Total | 38 | \$ 10.93 | 38 | |

N/A Not applicable

Compensation Plan Programs:

A consolidated compensation plan share purchase program was announced on August 6, 2004. This plan consolidated into a single share purchase program all of the previously authorized compensation plan share programs as well as the renewal of the authorization to purchase shares for use in connection with two compensation plans for which the share purchase authority had expired. The total amount originally authorized under this consolidated compensation plan share purchase program is 25.1 million shares. On April 24, 2006, an increase to the authority under this purchase program of 4.5 million shares was announced for a new total authorization of 29.6 million shares. The authority has been increased to reflect the stock dividends distributed through January 1, 2011. The shares may be purchased over the option exercise period of the various compensation plans on or before December 31, 2023. Stock options granted after January 2, 2004, must be exercised no later than the tenth anniversary of the grant date. On June 30, 2011, the maximum number of shares that may be purchased under the program was 34.4 million shares. Purchases may be made in the open market or through privately negotiated transactions and are subject to market conditions, accumulation of excess equity, prudent capital management, and legal and regulatory restrictions.

ASSET QUALITY (Trend Analysis of Second quarter 2011 Compared to Second quarter 2010)**Loan Portfolio Composition**

FHN groups its loans into portfolios based on internal classifications reflecting the manner in which the ALLL is established and how credit risk is measured, monitored, and reported. From time to time, and if conditions are such that certain subsegments are uniquely affected by economic or market conditions or are experiencing greater deterioration than other components of the loan portfolio, management may determine the ALLL at a more granular level. Commercial loans are composed of Commercial, Financial, and Industrial (C&I) and Commercial Real Estate loans. Retail loans are composed of Consumer Real Estate; Permanent Mortgage; Credit Card and Other; and Restricted Real Estate Loans. Key asset quality metrics for each of these portfolios can be found in Table 10 Asset Quality by Portfolio.

Starting in 2007, FHN's underwriting and credit policies and guidelines evolved through a series of enhancements through which FHN has responded to dramatic changes in economic and real estate conditions in the U.S. As economic and real estate conditions develop, further enhancements to underwriting and credit policies and guidelines may be necessary or desirable. Such modifications to credit underwriting guidelines were outlined in FHN's 2010 Annual Report in the Loan Portfolio Composition discussion in the Asset Quality Section beginning on page 31 and continuing through page 39. There have been no material changes to FHN's credit underwriting guidelines or significant changes or additions to FHN's loan product offerings in second quarter 2011.

The following is a description of each portfolio:

COMMERCIAL LOAN PORTFOLIOS

FHN's commercial loan approval process grants lending authority based upon job description, experience, and performance. The lending authority is delegated to the business line (Market Managers, Departmental Managers, Regional Presidents, Relationship Managers (RM), and Portfolio Managers (PM)) and to Credit Risk Managers. While individual limits vary, the predominant amount of approval authority is vested with the Credit Risk Manager function. Portfolio concentration limits for the various portfolios are established by executive management and approved by the Executive and Risk Committee of the Board.

Table of Contents**C&I**

The C&I portfolio was \$7.2 billion on June 30, 2011. This portfolio is comprised of loans used for general business purposes, diversified by industry type, and primarily composed of relationship customers in Tennessee and certain neighboring states that are managed within the regional bank. Typical products include working capital lines of credit, term loan financing of owner-occupied real estate and fixed assets, and trade credit enhancement through letters of credit. The following table provides the composition of the C&I portfolio by industry as of June 30, 2011. For purposes of this disclosure, industries are determined based on the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) industry codes used by Federal statistical agencies in classifying business establishments for the collection, analysis, and publication of statistical data related to the U.S. business economy.

Table 9 C&I Loan Portfolio by Industry

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | June 30, 2011 | Percent |
|--|----------------------|----------------|
| Industry: | | |
| Finance & Insurance | \$1,446,251 | 20% |
| Wholesale Trade | 720,095 | 10% |
| Manufacturing | 585,802 | 8% |
| Mortgage Warehouse Lending | 582,527 | 8% |
| Health Care | 579,889 | 8% |
| Real Estate Rental & Leasing ^(a) | 440,996 | 6% |
| Retail Trade | 409,059 | 6% |
| Construction Related ^(b) | 231,054 | 3% |
| Other (Transportation, Education, Arts, Entertainment, etc) ^(c) | 2,184,588 | 31% |
| Total C&I Loan Portfolio | \$7,180,261 | 100% |

(a) Leasing, rental of real estate, equipment, and goods.

(b) Infrastructure and construction related businesses.

(c) Industries in this category comprise less than 3 percent.

C&I loans are underwritten in accordance with a well-defined credit origination process. This process includes applying minimum underwriting standards as well as separation of origination and credit approval roles. Underwriting typically includes due diligence of the borrower and the applicable industry of the borrower, analysis of the borrower's available financial information, identification and analysis of the various sources of repayment and identification of the primary risk attributes. Stress testing the borrower's financial capacity, adherence to loan documentation requirements, and assigning credit risk grades using internally developed scorecards are also used to help quantify the risk when appropriate. Underwriting parameters also include loan-to-value ratios (LTVs) which vary depending on collateral type, use of guaranties, loan agreement requirements, and other recommended terms such as equity requirements, amortization, and maturity. Approval decisions also consider various financial ratios and performance measures, such as cash flow and balance sheet leverage, liquidity, coverage of fixed charges, and working capital. Approval decisions also consider the capital structure of the borrower, sponsorship, and quality/value of collateral. Generally, guideline and policy exceptions are identified and mitigated during the approval process. Pricing of C&I loans is based upon the determined credit risk specific to the individual borrower. These loans are typically based upon variable rates tied to the London Inter-Bank Offered Rate (LIBOR) or the prime rate of interest plus or minus an appropriate margin.

C&I loan policies and guidelines are developed by several management risk committees that consist of business line managers and credit administration professionals to ensure that the resulting guidance addresses risks and establishes

reasonable underwriting criteria that appropriately mitigate risk. Policies and guidelines are reviewed, revised, and re-issued periodically at established review dates or earlier if changes in the economic environment, portfolio performance, the size of portfolio or industry concentrations, or regulatory guidance warrant an earlier review. FHN's commercial lending process incorporates a relationship manager (RM) and a portfolio manager (PM) for most commercial credits. The PM is responsible for assessing the credit quality of the borrower beginning with the initial underwriting

Table of Contents

and continuing through the servicing period while the RM is primarily responsible for communications with the customer and maintaining the relationship. Other specialists and the assigned RM/PM are organized into units called Deal Teams. Deal Teams are constructed with specific job attributes that facilitate FHN's ability to identify, mitigate, document, and manage ongoing risk. Portfolio managers and credit analysts provide enhanced analytical support during loan origination and servicing, including monitoring of the financial condition of the borrower and tracking compliance with loan agreements. Loan closing officers and the construction loan management unit specialize in loan documentation and the management of the construction lending process. For smaller commercial credits, generally less than \$3 million, FHN utilizes a centralized underwriting unit in order to more efficiently and consistently grade small business loans.

Significant loan concentrations are considered to exist for a financial institution when there are loans to numerous borrowers engaged in similar activities that would cause them to be similarly impacted by economic or other conditions. Refer to Table 9 for detail of the C&I loan portfolio by industry. The largest component is the finance and insurance (includes trust preferred and bank-related loans) subsegment which represents 20 percent of the C&I portfolio. The trust preferred and bank-related component of C&I is discussed below. As of June 30, 2011, balances of loans to mortgage companies were 8 percent of the C&I portfolio and can significantly fluctuate with mortgage rates. This portfolio includes commercial lines of credit to qualified mortgage companies exclusively for the temporary warehousing of eligible mortgage loans prior to the borrower's sale of those mortgage loans to third party investors. Generally, lending to mortgage lenders increases when there is a decline in mortgage rates resulting in increased borrower refinance volumes.

Trust Preferred & Bank-Related Loans

The finance and insurance subsection of this portfolio, which includes bank-related and trust preferred loans (TRUPs) (i.e., loans to bank and insurance-related businesses), has experienced stress due to the higher credit losses encountered throughout the financial services industry, limited availability of market liquidity, and the impact from economic conditions on these borrowers. On June 30, 2011, approximately 10 percent of the C&I portfolio, or 4 percent of total loans, was composed of bank-related loans and TRUPs.

TRUPs lending was originally extended as a form of bridge financing to participants in the pooled trust preferred securitization program offered primarily to smaller banking and insurance institutions through FHN's capital markets operation. Accordingly, these loans were originally classified within loans HFS upon funding. The underwriting criteria for trust preferred loans focused on current operating metrics, including liquidity, capital and financial performance ratios as well as borrowers' observable credit spreads and debt ratings when available. In conjunction with the collapse of the collateralized debt obligation (CDO) market in late 2007, origination of trust preferred loans ceased in early 2008 and existing loans were moved from loans HFS to FHN's C&I portfolio in second quarter 2008. Individual TRUPs are re-graded quarterly as part of FHN's commercial loan review process. Typically, the terms of these loans include a prepayment option after a 5 year initial term (with possible triggers of early activation), have a scheduled 30 year balloon payoff, and include an option to defer interest for up to 20 consecutive quarters. Since the vast majority of trust preferred issuers to which FHN has extended credit have less than \$15 billion in total assets, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 is not expected to significantly affect future payoff rates for these loans. The average size of a trust preferred loan is approximately \$9 million.

Underwriting of other loans to financial institutions includes increased levels of onsite due diligence, review of the customer's policies and strategies, assessment of management, assessment of the relevant markets, a comprehensive assessment of the loan portfolio, and a review of the ALLL. Additionally, the underwriting analysis includes a focus on the customer's capital ratios, profitability, loan loss coverage ratios, and regulatory status.

As of June 30, 2011, the UPB of trust preferred loans totaled \$465 million (\$301 million of bank TRUPs and \$164 million of insurance TRUPs) with the UPB of other bank-related loans totaling approximately \$221 million. Inclusive of a remaining valuation allowance on TRUPs of \$35.6 million, total reserves (ALLL plus the valuation allowance) for TRUPs and other bank-related loans were approximately \$115 million or 17 percent of outstanding UPB.

Table of Contents**C&I Asset Quality Trends**

In second quarter 2011, performance of the C&I portfolio continued to improve as a stabilizing economic environment contributed to the strength of commercial borrowers which resulted in an increase in the amount of credit upgrades in 2011 relative to 2010. The ALLL declined \$70.8 million to \$206.3 million on June 30, 2011. The allowance as a percentage of period-end loans declined to 2.87 percent by the end of second quarter 2011 from 3.96 percent in second quarter 2010. Annualized net charge-offs as a percentage of average loans declined to .35 percent from 1.17 percent reflecting the aggregate improvement in this portfolio. Nonperforming C&I loans increased \$7.1 million to \$212.2 million on June 30, 2011 and the nonperforming loan (NPL) ratio rose slightly to 2.96 percent in 2011 from 2.93 percent in 2010. Although the level of nonperforming loans increased in 2011 compared with 2010, the amount of nonperforming loans peaked in third quarter 2010 and began improving late in 2010. The increase in nonperforming loans is primarily attributable to TRUPs loans and a rise in troubled debt restructurings since 2010.

Commercial Real Estate

The Commercial Real Estate portfolio includes both financings for commercial construction and nonconstruction loans. This portfolio is segregated between Income CRE loans which contain loans, lines, and letters of credit to commercial real estate developers for the construction and mini-permanent financing of income-producing real estate, and Residential CRE loans. The Residential CRE portfolio includes loans to residential builders and developers for the purpose of constructing single-family detached homes, condominiums, and town homes.

Income CRE

The Income CRE portfolio was \$1.3 billion on June 30, 2011. Subcategories of Income CRE consist of retail (21 percent), apartments (18 percent), office (16 percent), industrial (14 percent), hospitality (11 percent), land/land development (10 percent), and other (10 percent).

Income CRE loans are underwritten in accordance with credit policies and underwriting guidelines that are reviewed annually and changed as necessary based on market conditions. Income CRE loan policies and guidelines are approved by management risk committees that consist of business line managers and credit administration professionals to ensure that the resulting guidance addresses the attendant risks and establishes reasonable underwriting criteria that appropriately mitigate risk. Loans are underwritten based upon project type, size, location, sponsorship, and other market-specific data. Generally, minimum requirements for equity, debt service coverage ratios (DSCRs), and level of pre-leasing activity are established based on perceived risk in each subcategory. Loan-to-value (value is defined as the lower of cost or market) limits are set at or below regulatory prescribed ceilings and generally range between 50 and 80 percent depending on underlying product set. Term and amortization requirements are set based on prudent standards for interim real estate lending. Equity requirements are established based on the quantity, quality, and liquidity of the primary source of repayment. For example, more equity would be required for a speculative construction project or land loan than for a property fully leased to an existing FHN borrower or a roster of tenants. Typically, a borrower must have at least 10 percent of cost invested in a project before FHN will fund loan dollars. All income properties are required to achieve a DSCR greater than or equal to 120 percent at inception or stabilization of the project based on loan amortization and a minimum underwriting (interest) rate refreshed quarterly. Some product types require a higher DSCR ranging from 125 percent to 150 percent of the debt service requirement. Variability depends on credit versus non-credit tenancy, lease structure, property type, and quality. A proprietary minimum underwriting interest rate is used to calculate compliance with underwriting standards. Generally, specific levels of pre-leasing must be met for construction loans on income properties. A global cash flow analysis is performed at the borrower and guarantor level. The majority of the portfolio is on a floating rate basis tied to appropriate spreads over LIBOR.

The credit administration and ongoing monitoring consists of multiple internal control processes. Construction loans are closed and administered by a centralized control unit. Credit grades are assigned utilizing internally developed scorecards to help quantify the level of risk in the transaction. Underwriters and credit approval personnel stress the borrower s/project s financial capacity utilizing numerous economic attributes such as interest rates, vacancy, and discount rates. Key Information is captured from the various portfolios and then stressed at the aggregate level. Results are utilized to assist with the assessment of the adequacy of the ALLL and to steer portfolio management strategies. As discussed in the C&I portfolio section, Income CRE also employs the RM/PM model and the Deal

Team concept.

Table of Contents

A substantial portion of the Income CRE portfolio was originated through and managed by the regional bank. Weak market conditions have affected this portfolio through increased vacancies, slower stabilization rates, decreased rental rates, and lack of readily available financing in the industry. However, the Income CRE portfolio showed improvement as property stabilization and strong sponsors have positively affected performance. FHN does not capitalize interest or fund interest on distressed properties.

Income CRE Asset Quality Trends

Performance of Income CRE loans improved in 2011 as property values stabilized and sponsors and guarantors provided additional financial support to borrowers as needed. Allowance as a percentage of loans decreased 296 basis points to 6.04 percent in 2011. Outstanding balances declined 19 percent from 2010 and the level of allowance declined \$65.7 million from 2010. Net charge-offs were \$3.5 million in 2011 compared to \$12.4 million in 2010 which is driven by improvement in the performance of this portfolio. The level of nonperforming loans decreased \$45.5 million to \$111.9 million as of June 30, 2011, but remained elevated at 8.54 percent of total Income CRE loans. The decline in nonperforming loans is primarily attributable to the non-strategic portion of the portfolio as it continues to wind-down.

Residential CRE

The Residential CRE portfolio was \$.2 billion on June 30, 2011. Originations through national construction lending ceased in early 2008 and balances have steadily decreased since that time. Active lending in the regional banking footprint is minimal with nearly all new originations limited to tactical advances to facilitate workout strategies with existing clients and selected transactions with new strategic bank clients. FHN considers a strategic Residential CRE borrower as a homebuilder within the regional banking footprint who remained profitable during the down cycle and maintains high cash reserves. When active lending was occurring, the majority of the portfolio was on a floating rate basis tied to appropriate spreads over LIBOR or the prime rate.

FHN continues to wind down the non-strategic portion of this portfolio and is not actively lending this loan-type within the regional banking footprint which directly impacted the amount of net charge-offs and nonperforming loans and the level of the allowance. Balances of Residential CRE loans declined \$.2 billion from a year ago. Net charge-offs declined \$17.0 million, or 80 percent, to \$4.2 million in 2011. The ALLL declined \$33.2 million to \$20.3 million and nonperforming loans decreased \$106.5 million to \$70.2 million as of June 30, 2011 from June 30, 2010. The ALLL to loans ratio and the nonperforming loans ratio remained elevated at 11.10 percent and 38.40 percent, respectively. These metrics will remain skewed until the portfolio entirely winds down or FHN begins originating this product and balances increase.

RETAIL LOAN PORTFOLIOS**Consumer Real Estate**

The Consumer Real Estate portfolio was \$5.4 billion on June 30, 2011, and is primarily composed of home equity lines and installment loans. The Consumer Real Estate portfolio is geographically diverse with strong borrower Fair Isaac Corporation (FICO) scores. Including restricted balances, the largest geographic concentrations of balances as of June 30, 2011, are in Tennessee (39 percent) and California (14 percent) with no other state representing greater than 3 percent of the portfolio. At origination, the weighted average FICO score of this portfolio was 737; refreshed FICO scores averaged 728 as of June 30, 2011. Deterioration has been most acute in areas with significant home price depreciation and is affected by economic conditions primarily unemployment. Approximately two-thirds of this portfolio was originated through national channels that have been discontinued.

Underwriting

To obtain a consumer real estate loan the loan applicant(s) in most cases must first meet a minimum qualifying FICO score. Applicants must also have the financial capacity (or available income) to service the debt by not exceeding a calculated Debt-to- Income (DTI) ratio. The amount of the loan is limited to a percentage of the lesser of the current value or sales price of the collateral. For the majority of loans in this portfolio, underwriting decisions are made through a centralized loan underwriting center. Minimum FICO score requirements are established by management for both loans secured by real estate as well as

Table of Contents

non-real estate secured loans. Management also establishes maximum loan amounts, loan-to-value ratios, and debt-to-income ratios for each consumer real estate product. Identified guideline and policy exceptions require established mitigating factors that have been approved for use by Credit Risk Management.

HELOCs can pose risk of default when applicable interest rates change particularly in a rising interest rate environment potentially stressing borrower capacity to repay the loan at the higher interest rate. FHN's current underwriting practice requires HELOC borrowers to qualify based on a fully indexed, fully amortized payment methodology. If the first mortgage loan is a non-traditional mortgage, the DTI calculation is based on a fully amortizing first mortgage payment. Prior to 2008, FHN's underwriting guidelines required borrowers to qualify at an interest rate that was 200 basis points above the note rate. This mitigated risk to FHN in the event of a sharp rise in interest rates over a relatively short time horizon. FHN does not penalize borrowers (reset the rate) based on delinquency or any other factor during the life of the loan. HELOC interest rates are variable but only adjust in connection with movements to which the index rate is tied. FHN's HELOC products typically have a 5 or 10 year draw period with repayment periods ranging between 10 and 20 years.

HELOC Portfolio Management

FHN performs continuous HELOC account review processes in order to identify higher-risk home equity lines and initiate preventative and corrective actions. The reviews consider a number of account activity patterns and characteristics such as reduction in available equity, significant declines in property value, the number of times delinquent within recent periods, changes in credit bureau score since origination, score degradation, and account utilization. In accordance with FHN's interpretation of regulatory guidance, FHN may block future draws on accounts and/or lower account limits. In 2010, management assigned additional resources to this effort and enhancements were made to the process in order to further increase the volume of account reviews.

Low or Reduced Documentation Origination

From time to time, FHN may originate consumer loans with low or reduced documentation. FHN generally defines low or reduced documentation loans, sometimes called stated income or stated loans, as any loan originated with anything less than pay stubs, personal financial statements, and tax returns from potential borrowers.

Currently, stated-income or low or reduced documentation loans are limited to existing customers of FHN who have deposit accounts with recurring consistent direct deposits from employer, other borrowings, or other business relationships with FHN, and such loans are currently only available for qualified non-purchase transactions. This exception, however, has certain restrictions. For example, self-employed customers are not eligible, deposits made directly by the borrower are not considered, direct deposits must indicate the employer's name depositing the funds, and recurring deposits must be consistent per period and may not vary by more than 10 percent. If these and other conditions are not met, full income documentation is required. A verbal verification of employment is required in either situation.

As of June 30, 2011, \$1.6 billion, or 27 percent, of the consumer real estate portfolio consisted of home equity lines and installment loans originated using stated-income compared to \$1.8 billion as of June 30, 2010. These stated-income loans were 10 percent and 11 percent of the total loan portfolio as of June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. As of June 30, 2011, nearly three-fourths of the stated-income home equity loans in the portfolio were originated through legacy businesses that have been exited and these loan balances should continue to decline. Stated-income loans accounted for 33 percent of the net charge-offs for this portfolio during 2011 compared with 41 percent in 2010. Net charge-offs of stated-income home equity lines and installment loans were \$13.6 million in 2011 compared with \$21.0 million in 2010. As of June 30, 2011, and 2010, less than 1 percent of the stated income loans were nonperforming and approximately 2 percent were more than 30 days delinquent.

Consumer Real Estate Asset Quality Trends

Performance of the consumer real estate portfolio improved in 2011 when compared with 2010. The ALLL declined \$29.9 million to \$132.8 million as of June 30, 2011. The allowance as a percentage of loans decreased 27 basis points to 2.47 percent of this portfolio. Delinquency rates and the net charge-offs ratio also improved in 2011 when compared with 2010. Loans delinquent 30 or more days and still accruing were 1.53 percent in 2011 compared to 2.19 percent of loans in 2010 primarily due to enhanced collections practices and loss mitigation activities. The annualized net charge-offs ratio decreased 36

Table of Contents

basis points to 2.34 percent of average loans. The improvement in performance is attributable to the strong borrower characteristics previously discussed as well as improvement in the economy as performance of this portfolio is highly correlated with the economic conditions and unemployment. Nonperforming loans and the nonperforming ratio increased from a year ago due to increased loan modification activity.

Permanent Mortgage

The permanent mortgage portfolio was \$1.0 billion on June 30, 2011. This portfolio is primarily composed of jumbo mortgages and One-Time Close (OTC) completed construction loans, although inflows from OTC modifications have now concluded. The portfolio is somewhat geographically diverse; however including restricted balances 23 percent of loan balances are in California. Overall, performance has been affected by economic conditions, primarily depressed retail real estate values and elevated unemployment. In late 2010 and in second quarter 2011, FHN exercised clean-up calls of prior proprietary securitizations resulting in the addition of first lien jumbo mortgage loans to this portfolio, substantially all of which were performing upon exercise. As of June 30, 2011, the balance of these loans was \$175 million. In second quarter 2011, NPLs increased while delinquencies and reserves were down from second quarter 2010 as performance has stabilized given the continued aging of this portfolio. The increase in nonperforming loans from a year ago can be attributed to a rise in loans classified as troubled debt restructurings consistent with FHN's efforts to work with troubled borrowers. Net charge-offs decreased \$7.0 million to \$8.1 million during 2011.

Credit Card and Other (Including OTC)

The Credit Card and Other portfolios were \$.3 billion on June 30, 2011 and include credit card receivables, automobile loans, and to a lesser extent, OTC construction loans, and other consumer related credits. As of June 30, 2011, only \$9.3 million of OTC loans remained in the portfolio with 100 percent classified as nonperforming. In 2011, FHN charged-off \$4.2 million compared with \$10.8 million during 2010 and the allowance declined \$13.7 million to \$9.1 million. Loans 30 days or more delinquent also improved from 1.32 percent in 2010 to 1.20 percent in 2011.

Restricted Real Estate Loans

The Restricted Real Estate Loan portfolio includes HELOC that were previously securitized on balance sheet as well as HELOC and some first and second lien mortgages that were consolidated on January 1, 2010 in conjunction with the adoption of amendments to ASC 810. The adoption of these amendments resulted in the consolidation of additional variable interest entities and this loan category was created to include all loans, primarily HELOC, that had previously been securitized but for which FHN retains servicing and other significant interests. As of June 30, 2011, this portfolio totaled \$.7 billion and included \$649.2 million of HELOC and \$44.9 million of first and second lien mortgage loans. Although these loans share basic characteristics as the Consumer Real Estate Portfolio, generally, these loans have not performed at the same level.

Payment-Option Adjustable Rate Mortgage (ARM) Loans and Subprime Lending

Historically, FHN originated through its legacy mortgage banking business first lien adjustable rate mortgage loans with borrower payment options and first lien and home equity loans that were considered sub-prime. Such loans were originated with the intent to sell with servicing released. While a substantial portion of these loans were sold, a small amount remained unsold and the loans were subsequently moved from loans HFS to the loan portfolio. As of June 30, 2011, the remaining balances of payment-option ARMs and sub-prime loans in the portfolio were immaterial. Because only a small portion remains in the HTM portfolio, the impact on the ALLL and on other loan portfolio asset quality metrics is immaterial.

Loan Portfolio Concentrations

FHN has a concentration of loans secured by residential real estate (45 percent of total loans), the majority of which is in the consumer real estate portfolio (34 percent of total loans). Permanent mortgages account for 6 percent of total loans. Restricted real estate loans, which are comprised primarily of HELOC but also include permanent mortgages, are 4 percent of total loans. The remaining residential real estate loans are primarily in the Residential CRE and the OTC construction portfolios (1 percent of total loans).

On June 30, 2011, FHN did not have any concentrations of C&I loans in any single industry of 10 percent or more of total loans.

Table of Contents

The following table provides additional asset quality data by loan portfolio:

Table 10 Asset Quality by Portfolio

| | June 30 | |
|---------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Key Portfolio Details | | |
| C&I | | |
| Period-end loans (\$ millions) | \$7,180 | \$7,004 |
| 30+ Delinq. % ^(a) | 0.52% | 1.02% |
| NPL % | 2.96 | 2.93 |
| Charge-offs % (qtr. annualized) | 0.35 | 1.17 |
| Allowance / Loans % | 2.87% | 3.96% |
| Allowance / Charge-offs | 8.72x | 3.54x |
| Income CRE | | |
| Period-end loans (\$ millions) | \$1,311 | \$1,610 |
| 30+ Delinq. % ^(a) | 1.11% | 1.31% |
| NPL % | 8.54 | 9.78 |
| Charge-offs % (qtr. annualized) | 1.03 | 3.05 |
| Allowance / Loans % | 6.04% | 9.00% |
| Allowance / Charge-offs | 5.63x | 2.93x |
| Residential CRE | | |
| Period-end loans (\$ millions) | \$ 183 | \$ 397 |
| 30+ Delinq. % ^(a) | 5.14% | 2.49% |
| NPL % | 38.40 | 44.52 |
| Charge-offs % (qtr. annualized) | 8.19 | 18.01 |
| Allowance / Loans % | 11.10% | 13.47% |
| Allowance / Charge-offs | 1.22x | 0.63x |
| Consumer Real Estate | | |
| Period-end loans (\$ millions) | \$5,383 | \$5,936 |
| 30+ Delinq. % ^(a) | 1.53% | 2.19% |
| NPL % | 0.64 | 0.35 |
| Charge-offs % (qtr. annualized) | 2.34 | 2.70 |
| Allowance / Loans % | 2.47% | 2.74% |
| Allowance / Charge-offs | 1.05x | 1.01x |

Permanent Mortgage

| | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Period-end loans (\$ millions) | \$1,015 | \$1,019 |
| 30+ Delinq. % ^(a) | 4.00% | 4.95% |
| NPL % | 13.53 | 12.17 |
| Charge-offs % (qtr. annualized) | 3.21 | 5.86 |
| Allowance / Loans % | 4.28% | 6.89% |
| Allowance / Charge-offs | 1.34x | 1.16x |

Credit Card and Other ^(b)

| | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------|--------|
| Period-end loans (\$ millions) | \$ 295 | \$ 355 |
| 30+ Delinq. % ^(a) | 1.20% | 1.32% |
| NPL % | 3.21 | 15.05 |
| Charge-offs % (qtr. annualized) | 5.61 | 11.57 |
| Allowance / Loans % | 3.08% | 6.42% |
| Allowance / Charge-offs | 0.54x | 0.53x |

Restricted Real Estate Loans

| | | |
|---|---------------|-------|
| Period-end loans (\$ millions) ^(c) | \$ 694 | 834 |
| 30+ Delinq. % ^(a) | 2.77% | 3.52% |
| NPL % | 0.80 | 0.23 |
| Charge-offs % (qtr. annualized) | 4.76 | 6.24 |
| Allowance / Loans % | 4.76% | 6.01% |
| Allowance / Charge-offs | 0.98x | 0.94x |

Loans are expressed net of unearned income. All data is based on internal loan classification.

(a) 30+ Delinquency % includes all accounts delinquent more than one month and still accruing interest.

(b) June 30, 2011 select OTC balances: PE loans: \$9.3 million; NPL: 100%; Allowance: \$1.9 million; Net Charge-offs: \$1.3 million.

(c) June 30, 2011 includes \$649.2 million of consumer real estate loans and \$44.9 million of permanent mortgage loans.

Table of Contents**Allowance for Loan Losses**

Management's policy is to maintain the ALLL at a level sufficient to absorb estimated probable incurred losses in the loan portfolio. The total allowance for loan losses decreased 33 percent to \$524.1 million on June 30, 2011 from \$781.3 million on June 30, 2010. While there was aggregate improvement in borrowers' financial conditions in 2011, some of the decline in the ALLL is attributable to a smaller loan portfolio. The overall balance decrease observed when comparing the year-over-year periods has been mostly impacted by the significant reduction of loan portfolios from exited businesses (especially non-strategic construction lending). This portfolio shrinkage has had a direct impact on the composition of the loan portfolio from one balance sheet date to the next and thus has had an impact on the levels of estimated probable incurred losses within the portfolio as of the end of the reporting periods. As loans with higher levels of probable incurred loss content have been removed from the portfolio, this has influenced the allowance estimate resulting in lower required reserves.

The ratio of allowance for loan losses to total loans, net of unearned income, decreased to 3.26 percent on June 30, 2011 from 4.55 percent on June 30, 2010. The allowance attributable to individually impaired loans was \$99.5 million compared to \$102.2 million on June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The slight decline is primarily attributable to the reduction of the CRE portfolios with an offset for an increase in reserves for impaired consumer real estate loans as a result of modification activity in 2011. The provision for loan losses is the charge to earnings that management determines to be necessary to maintain the ALLL at a sufficient level reflecting management's estimate of probable incurred losses in the loan portfolio. The provision for loan losses decreased 99 percent to \$1.0 million in 2011 from \$70.0 million in 2010.

Overall asset quality trends are expected to be favorable during 2011 when compared with 2010 assuming the positive economic trends continue. Assuming current portfolio performance trends continue, the allowance for loan losses and total net charge-offs for 2011 are expected to decrease when compared to 2010. The C&I portfolio is expected to continue to show positive trends as there has been recent aggregate improvement in the risk profile of commercial borrowers which has resulted in upward grade migration in late 2010 and early 2011; however, some volatility is possible in the short term. The Income CRE portfolio remains under stress; however, FHN has observed signs of stabilization. The remaining non-strategic construction and permanent mortgage portfolios should continue to wind down and will have less of an impact on overall credit metrics in the future. Continued improvement in performance of the home equity portfolio assumes an ongoing economic recovery as consumer delinquency and loss rates are highly correlated with unemployment trends.

Consolidated Net Charge-offs

Net charge-offs were \$66.0 million in 2011 compared with \$132.8 million in 2010. The ALLL was 1.98 times annualized net charge-offs for 2011 compared with 1.47 times annualized net charge-offs for 2010. The annualized net charge-offs to average loans ratio decreased from 3.11 percent in 2010 to 1.67 percent in 2011 due to a 50 percent decline in net charge-offs and a 7 percent decrease in average loans compared to 2010. All portfolios recognized a decline in net charge-offs with decreases in 2011 primarily attributable to improved performance and continued reduction of the non-strategic portfolios.

The decline in commercial loan net charge-offs contributed to over half of the decline in total consolidated net charge-offs. The decrease in Residential CRE net charge-offs was \$17.0 million and drove the largest percentage decline in total net charge-offs as FHN continues to wind down this portfolio. Improved performance in both C&I and Income CRE portfolios resulted in a \$13.7 million and \$8.9 million, respectively, reduction of commercial net charge-offs. Improvement of the retail portfolios contributed to a \$27.2 million decline in consolidated net charge-offs. The improvement in retail net charge-offs was driven by all retail portfolios as current economic conditions helped borrower's financial conditions and as the negative impact from OTC continues to diminish.

Table of Contents

The following table provides consolidated asset quality information for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

Table 11 Asset Quality Information

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Three months ended June 30 | |
|---|----------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Allowance for loan losses: | | |
| Beginning balance on March 31 | \$589,128 | \$ 844,060 |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,000 | 70,000 |
| Charge-offs | (83,344) | (145,988) |
| Recoveries | 17,307 | 13,197 |
| Ending balance on June 30 (Restricted \$33.0 million on June 30, 2011 and \$50.1 million on June 30, 2010) | \$524,091 | \$ 781,269 |
| Reserve for remaining unfunded commitments | 12,522 | 16,077 |
| Total allowance for loan losses and reserve for unfunded commitments | \$536,613 | \$ 797,346 |
| | | |
| | | As of June 30 |
| Nonperforming Assets by Segment | 2011 | 2010 |
| Regional Banking: | | |
| Nonperforming loans | \$ 283,754 | \$ 321,394 |
| Foreclosed real estate | 28,121 | 28,412 |
| Total Regional Banking | 311,875 | 349,806 |
| Non-Strategic: | | |
| Nonperforming loans ^(a) | 384,174 | 469,136 |
| Foreclosed real estate | 50,671 | 80,860 |
| Total Non-Strategic | 434,845 | 549,996 |
| Corporate: | | |
| Nonperforming loans | 1,140 | |
| Total Corporate | 1,140 | |
| Total nonperforming assets | \$ 747,860 | \$ 899,802 |
| Loans and commitments: | | |
| Total period-end loans, net of unearned income (Restricted \$0.7 billion on June 30, 2011 and \$0.8 billion on June 30, 2010) | \$16,061,646 | \$17,154,050 |
| Less: Insured retail residential and construction loans ^(b) | (137,073) | (245,962) |
| Loans excluding insured loans | \$15,924,573 | \$16,908,088 |
| Foreclosed real estate from government insured mortgages | 13,870 | 13,276 |

| | | |
|--|-------------------|------------|
| Potential problem assets ^(c) | 960,544 | 1,360,034 |
| Loans 30 to 89 days past due | 148,030 | 225,926 |
| Loans 30 to 89 days past due guaranteed portion ^(d) | 43 | 192 |
| Loans 90 days past due | 58,969 | 90,864 |
| Loans 90 days past due guaranteed portion ^(d) | 535 | 1,019 |
| Loans held for sale 30 to 89 days past due | 13,958 | 18,561 |
| Loans held for sale 30 to 89 days past due guaranteed portion ^(d) | 8,451 | 7,767 |
| Loans held for sale 90 days past due | 49,953 | 54,513 |
| Loans held for sale 90 days past due guaranteed portion ^(d) | 39,078 | 34,790 |
| Remaining unfunded commitments (millions) | 7,938 | 8,148 |
| Average loans, net of unearned (Restricted \$.7 billion on June 30, 2011 and \$.9 billion on June 30, 2010) | 15,889,105 | 17,110,060 |
| Allowance and net charge-off ratios^(e): | | |
| Allowance to total loans | 3.26% | 4.55% |
| Allowance to nonperforming loans in the loan portfolio | 0.90x | 1.06x |
| Allowance to loans excluding insured loans | 3.29% | 4.62% |
| Allowance to annualized net charge-offs | 1.98x | 1.47x |
| Nonperforming assets to loans and foreclosed real estate ^(f) | 4.09% | 4.92% |
| Nonperforming loans in the loan portfolio to total loans, net of unearned income | 3.62% | 4.31% |
| Total annualized net charge-offs to average loans ^(g) | 1.67% | 3.11% |

- (a) Includes \$87.7 million and \$51.0 million of loans held for sale in 2011 and 2010 respectively.
- (b) Whole-loan insurance is obtained on certain retail residential and construction loans. Insuring these loans absorbs credit risk and results in lower allowance for loan losses.
- (c) Includes 90 days past due loans.
- (d) Guaranteed loans include FHA, VA, student, and GNMA loans repurchased through the GNMA repurchase program.
- (e) Loans net of unearned income. Net charge-off ratios are calculated based on average loans.
- (f) Ratio is non-performing assets related to the loan portfolio to total loans plus foreclosed real estate and other assets.
- (g) Net charge-off ratio is annualized net charge offs divided by quarterly average loans, net of unearned income.

Table of Contents**Nonperforming Assets**

Nonperforming loans consist of impaired, other nonaccrual, and nonaccruing restructured loans. These, along with foreclosed real estate, excluding foreclosed real estate from government insured mortgages, represent nonperforming assets (NPA's). Impaired loans are those loans for which it is probable that all amounts due, according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement, will not be collected and for which recognition of interest income has been discontinued. Other nonaccrual loans are residential and other retail loans on which recognition of interest income has been discontinued. Foreclosed assets are recognized at fair value less estimated costs of disposal at foreclosure. Nonperforming assets decreased to \$.7 billion on June 30, 2011 from \$.9 billion on June 30, 2010. The nonperforming assets ratio (nonperforming assets to period-end loans and foreclosed real estate) decreased to 4.09 percent in 2011 from 4.92 percent in 2010 due to a significant decline of the non-strategic construction portfolios. Nonperforming loans in the loan portfolio were \$.6 billion on June 30, 2011 compared to \$.7 billion on June 30, 2010. The \$158.1 million decline from 2010 primarily resulted from the decrease in NPLs within the non-strategic commercial real estate portfolios which was partially offset by increases in nonperforming loans in the C&I and retail real estate portfolios.

C&I nonperforming loans increased to \$212.2 million in 2011 from \$205.1 million in 2010 due to a \$16.0 million increase related to nonperforming TRUPs loans. Nonperforming permanent mortgages increased \$13.3 million from 2010 to \$137.3 million. A substantial portion of these loans are jumbo product or mortgages that converted from OTC construction loans upon completion. Consumer real estate nonperforming loans increased \$13.7 million to \$34.7 million primarily due to a rise in troubled debt restructurings (TDR) during 2010 and 2011 as FHN continues to work with troubled borrowers by modifying the terms of home equity and installment loans. Nonperforming loans classified as HFS increased \$36.7 million to \$87.7 million on June 30, 2011, which was primarily driven by elevated repurchases of loans, a significant portion of which are delinquent. Loans in HFS are recorded at elected fair value or lower of cost or market and do not carry reserves.

The ratio of ALLL to NPLs in the loan portfolio decreased to .90 times in 2011 compared to 1.06 times in 2010. Because of FHN's methodology of charging down individually impaired collateral dependent commercial loans, this ratio becomes skewed as these loans are included in nonperforming loans but reserves for these loans are typically not carried in the ALLL as impairment is charged off. The individually impaired collateral dependent commercial loans that do not carry reserves were \$169.4 million on June 30, 2011 compared with \$215.2 million on June 30, 2010. Consequently, NPLs in the loan portfolio for which reserves are actually carried were \$411.9 million as of June 30, 2011. Charged-down individually impaired collateral dependent commercial loans represented 29 percent of nonperforming loans in the loan portfolio as of June 30, 2011.

The balance of foreclosed real estate, exclusive of inventory from government insured mortgages, decreased to \$78.8 million as of June 30, 2011 from \$109.3 million as of June 30, 2010. Table 12 below provides an activity rollforward of foreclosed real estate balances for the periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. Inflows of assets into foreclosure status and the amount disposed declined in 2011 when compared with 2010. The decline in inflow is primarily due to FHN's continued efforts to prevent foreclosures by restructuring loans and working with borrowers and also due to an overall slowdown in foreclosure proceedings nationwide given additional scrutiny from regulators of the foreclosure practices of financial institutions and mortgage companies. See the discussion of Foreclosure Practices in the Market Uncertainties and Prospective Trends section of MD&A for information regarding the impact on FHN.

Table of Contents**Table 12 Rollforward of Foreclosed Real Estate**

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|------------|------------------|------------|
| | June 30 | | June 30 | |
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Beginning balance ^(a) | \$ 94,416 | \$ 113,006 | \$ 110,536 | \$ 113,709 |
| Valuation adjustments | (4,612) | (3,445) | (9,651) | (9,376) |
| New foreclosed property | 17,013 | 53,462 | 33,118 | 100,551 |
| Capitalized expenses | 952 | 891 | 1,543 | 2,524 |
| Disposals: | | | | |
| Single transactions | (24,712) | (52,613) | (52,059) | (96,107) |
| Bulk sales | (4,265) | (2,029) | (4,265) | (2,029) |
| Auctions | | | (430) | |
| Ending balance, June 30 ^(a) | \$ 78,792 | \$ 109,272 | \$ 78,792 | \$ 109,272 |

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

(a) Excludes foreclosed real estate related to government insured mortgages.

Past Due Loans and Potential Problem Assets

Past due loans are loans contractually past due 90 days or more as to interest or principal payments, but which have not yet been put on nonaccrual status. Loans in the portfolio 90 days or more past due decreased to \$59.0 million on June 30, 2011 from \$90.9 million on June 30, 2010, primarily led by reductions in consumer real estate loans and commercial CRE. Loans 30 to 89 days past due decreased \$77.9 million to \$148.0 million on June 30, 2011, with the decrease driven by the C&I and consumer real estate portfolios.

Potential problem assets represent those assets where information about possible credit problems of borrowers has caused management to have serious doubts about the borrower's ability to comply with present repayment terms. This definition is believed to be substantially consistent with the standards established by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) for loans classified substandard. Potential problem assets in the loan portfolio, which includes loans past due 90 days or more but excludes nonperforming assets, decreased to \$1.0 billion on June 30, 2011 from \$1.4 billion on June 30, 2010 due to aggregate improvement in FHN's loan portfolio. The current expectation of losses from potential problem assets has been included in management's analysis for assessing the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses.

Troubled Debt Restructuring and Loan Modifications

As part of FHN's ongoing risk management practices, FHN attempts to work with borrowers when necessary to extend or modify loan terms to better align with their current ability to repay. Extensions and modifications to loans are made in accordance with internal policies and guidelines which conform to regulatory guidance. Each occurrence is unique to the borrower and is evaluated separately. In a situation where an economic concession has been granted to a borrower that is experiencing financial difficulty, FHN identifies and reports that loan as a TDR. FHN considers regulatory guidelines when restructuring loans to ensure that prudent lending practices are followed. As such, qualification criteria and payment terms consider the borrower's current and prospective ability to comply with the modified terms of the loan. Additionally, FHN structures loan modifications with the intent of strengthening repayment prospects.

Commercial Loan Modifications

As part of FHN's credit risk management governance processes, the Loan Rehab and Recovery Department (LRRD) is responsible for managing most commercial and commercial real estate relationships with borrowers whose financial condition has deteriorated to such an extent that the credits are being considered for impairment, classified as substandard or worse, placed on nonaccrual status, foreclosed or in process of foreclosure, or in active or contemplated litigation. LRRD has the authority and responsibility to enter into workout and/or rehabilitation

agreements with troubled commercial borrowers in order to mitigate and/or minimize the amount of credit losses recognized from these problem assets. In accordance with Accounting Standards Codification Topic related to Troubled Debt Restructurings (ASC 310-40), no single characteristic or factor, taken alone, determines whether a modification is a TDR and each commercial workout situation is unique and is evaluated on a case-by-case basis. The range of commercial workout strategies utilized by LRRD to mitigate the likelihood of loan losses is commensurate with the amount of commercial credit quality deterioration. While every circumstance is different, LRRD will generally use forbearance agreements for commercial loan workouts. Other workout strategies utilized by LRRD include

Table of Contents

principal paydowns/payoffs, obtaining additional collateral, modification of interest payments or entering into short sale agreements.

Senior credit management tracks classified loans and performs periodic reviews of such assets to understand FHN's interest in the borrower, the most recent financial results of the borrower, and the associated loss mitigation approaches and/or exit plans that the loan relationship and/or loan workout/rehab officer has developed for those relationships. After initial identification, relationship managers prepare regular updates for review and discussion by more senior business line and credit officers.

The ultimate effectiveness of rehab and workout efforts is reflected by the extent of collection of outstanding principal and interest amounts contractually due. Also, proper upgrading of a credit's internal inherent risk rating over time could also be reflective of success of loss mitigation efforts.

The individual impairment assessments completed on commercial loans in accordance with the ASC 310-40 include loans classified as TDRs as well as loans that may have been modified yet not classified as TDRs by management. For example, a modification of loan terms that management would generally not consider to be a TDR could be a temporary extension of maturity to allow a borrower to complete an asset sale whereby the proceeds of such transaction are to be paid to satisfy the outstanding debt. Additionally, a modification that extends the term of a loan, but does not involve reduction of principal or accrued interest, in which the interest rate is adjusted to reflect current market rates for similarly situated borrowers is not considered a TDR. Nevertheless, each assessment will take into account any modified terms and will be comprehensive to ensure appropriate impairment assessment. If individual impairment is identified, management will either hold specific reserves on the amount of impairment, or if the loan is collateral dependent, write down the carrying amount of the asset to the net realizable value of the collateral.

FHN considers whether a borrower is experiencing financial difficulties, as well as whether a concession has been granted to a borrower determined to be troubled, when determining whether a modification meets the criteria of being a TDR under ASC 310-40. For such purposes, evidence which may indicate that a borrower is troubled includes, among other factors, the borrower's default on debt, the borrower's declaration of bankruptcy or preparation for the declaration of bankruptcy, the borrower's forecast that entity-specific cash flows will be insufficient to service the related debt, or the borrower's inability to obtain funds from sources other than existing creditors at an effective interest rate equal to the current market interest rate for similar debt for a nontroubled debtor. If a borrower is determined to be troubled based on such factors or similar evidence, a concession will be deemed to have been granted if a modification of the terms of the debt occurred that FHN would not otherwise consider. Such concessions may include, among other modifications, a reduction of the stated interest for the remaining original life of the debt, an extension of the maturity date at a stated interest rate lower than the current market rate for new debt with similar risk, a reduction of accrued interest, or a reduction of the face amount or maturity amount of the debt.

Consumer Loan Modifications

Although FHN does not currently participate in any of the loan modification programs sponsored by the U.S. government, FHN does modify consumer loans using proprietary programs that were designed using parameters of Home Affordable Modification Programs (HAMP). Generally, a majority of loans modified under any such proprietary programs are classified as TDRs.

The proprietary program available for first lien permanent mortgage loans was designed with and adheres to the OCC's guidance. The program is for loans where the collateral is the primary residence of the borrower. Modifications are made to achieve a target housing debt to income ratio of 35 percent and a target total debt to income ratio of 80 percent. Interest rates are reduced in increments of 25 basis points to reach the target housing debt ratio and contractual maturities may be extended up to 40 years on first liens and up to 20 years on second liens.

For consumer real estate installment loans, FHN offers a reduction of fixed payments for borrowers with financial hardship. Concessions include a reduction in the fixed interest rate in increments of 25 basis points to a minimum of 1 percent and a possible maturity date extension. For installment loans without balloon payments at maturity, the maturity date may be extended in increments of 12 months up to a maximum of 10 years beyond the original maturity date with the goal of obtaining an affordable housing to income (HTI) ratio of approximately 35 percent. For installment loans with balloon payments at

Table of Contents

maturity, the maturity date is not extended; however, changes to the payment can be made by adjusting the amortization period in order to meet an affordable target payment. For HELOCs, FHN also provides a fixed payment reduction option for borrowers with financial hardship. Concessions include a fixed interest rate reduction in increments of 25 basis points to a minimum of 1 percent with a possible term extension of up to five years. Upon entering into the modification agreement, borrowers are unable to draw additional funds on the HELOCs. All loans return to their original terms and rate upon expiration of the modification terms.

Following a TDR designation, modified loans within the consumer portfolio which were previously evaluated for impairment on a collective basis determined by their smaller balances and homogenous nature become subject to the impairment guidance in ASC 310-10-35 which requires individual evaluation of the debt for impairment. However, as allowed in ASC 310-10-35, FHN may aggregate certain smaller-balance homogeneous TDRs and use historical statistics, such as average recovery period and average amount recovered, along with a composite effective interest rate to measure impairment when such impaired loans have risk characteristics in common.

Loans which have been formally restructured and are reasonably assured of repayment and of performance according to their modified terms are generally classified as nonaccrual upon modification and subsequently returned to accrual status by FHN provided that the restructuring and any charge-off taken on the loan are supported by a current, well documented credit evaluation of the borrower's financial condition and prospects for repayment under the revised terms. Otherwise, FHN will continue to classify restructured loans as nonaccrual. FHN's evaluation supporting the decision to return a modified loan to accrual status includes consideration of the borrower's sustained historical repayment performance for a reasonable period prior to the date on which the loan is returned to accrual status, which is generally a minimum of six months. In determining whether to place a loan on nonaccrual status upon modification, FHN may also consider a borrower's sustained historical repayment performance for a reasonable time prior to the restructuring in assessing whether the borrower can meet the restructured terms, as the restructured terms may reflect the level of debt service a borrower has already been making. Consistent with regulatory guidance, upon sustained performance and classification as a TDR over FHN's year-end, the loan will be removed from TDR status as long as the modified terms were market-based at the time of modification.

On June 30, 2011 and 2010, FHN had \$306.2 million and \$142.4 million, respectively, of portfolio loans that have been restructured in accordance with regulatory guidelines. Additionally, FHN had restructured \$70.1 million of loans HFS as of June 30, 2011 compared to \$34.7 million as of June 30, 2010. For restructured loans in the portfolio, FHN had loan loss reserves of \$45.2 million, or 15 percent, as of June 30, 2011. The rise in TDRs from second quarter 2010 resulted from increased loan modifications of troubled borrowers in an attempt to prevent foreclosure and to mitigate losses to FHN.

Table of Contents**Table 13 Troubled Debt Restructurings**

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | New TDRs Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 | | New TDRs Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 | |
|------------------------------------|--|------------------|--|---------------|
| | Number | Amount | Number | Amount |
| Retail: | | | | |
| Permanent mortgage | 11 | \$ 8,789 | 16 | \$ 10,051 |
| Home equity | 133 | 16,628 | 115 | 12,144 |
| Credit card and other | 31 | 130 | 38 | 197 |
| Total retail | 175 | 25,547 | 169 | 22,392 |
| Commercial loans | 12 | 16,022 | 7 | 18,782 |
| Total troubled debt restructurings | 187 | \$ 41,569 | 176 | \$ 41,174 |

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | As of June 30, 2011 | | As of June 30, 2010 | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|
| | Number | Amount | Number | Amount |
| Retail: | | | | |
| Permanent mortgage: | | | | |
| Current | 66 | \$ 45,628 | 67 | \$ 41,008 |
| Accruing Delinquent | 8 | 3,334 | 15 | 7,032 |
| Non-accrual | 95 | 63,017 | 36 | 16,344 |
| Total permanent mortgage | 169 | 111,979 | 118 | 64,384 |
| Home equity: | | | | |
| Current | 612 | 70,201 | 309 | 33,287 |
| Accruing Delinquent | 16 | 1,400 | 7 | 489 |
| Non-accrual | 171 | 19,516 | 74 | 7,561 |
| Total home equity | 799 | 91,117 | 390 | 41,337 |
| Credit card and other: | | | | |
| Current | 391 | 1,121 | 128 | 592 |
| Accruing Delinquent | 18 | 65 | 10 | 42 |
| Total Credit card and other | 409 | 1,186 | 138 | 634 |
| Total retail | 1,377 | 204,282 | 646 | 106,355 |
| Commercial loans: | | | | |
| Current | 49 | 36,511 | 3 | 12,857 |
| Accruing Delinquent | 7 | 15,283 | | |
| Non-accrual | 51 | 50,099 | 15 | 23,235 |

| | | | | |
|---|--------------|-------------------|-----|------------|
| Total commercial loans | 107 | 101,893 | 18 | 36,092 |
| Total troubled debt restructurings ^(a) | 1,484 | \$ 306,175 | 664 | \$ 142,447 |

(a) Total TDRs (including \$70.1 million related to loans HFS) were \$376.3 million on June 30, 2011.

RISK MANAGEMENT

FHN derives revenue from providing services and, in many cases, assuming and managing risk for profit which exposes the Company to business strategy and reputational, interest rate, liquidity, market, capital adequacy, operational, compliance, and credit risks that require ongoing oversight and management. FHN has an enterprise-wide approach to risk governance, measurement, management, and reporting including an economic capital allocation process that is tied to risk profiles used to measure risk-adjusted returns. Through an enterprise-wide risk governance structure and a statement of risk tolerance approved by the Board, management continually evaluates the balance of risk/return and earnings volatility with shareholder value.

FHN's enterprise-wide risk governance structure begins with the Board. The Board, working with the Executive & Risk Committee of the Board, establishes the Company's risk tolerance by approving policies and limits that provide standards for the nature and the level of risk the Company is willing to assume. The Board regularly receives reports on management's performance against the Company's risk tolerance primarily through the Board's Executive & Risk and Audit Committees.

Table of Contents

To further support the risk governance provided by the Board, FHN has established accountabilities, control processes, procedures, and a management governance structure designed to align risk management with risk-taking throughout the Company. The control procedures are aligned with FHN's four components of risk governance:

- (1) Specific Risk Committees; (2) the Risk Management Organization; (3) Business Unit Risk Management; and (4) Independent Assurance Functions.
1. **Specific Risk Committees:** The Board has delegated authority to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to manage Business Strategy and Reputation Risk, and the general business affairs of the Company under the Board's oversight. The CEO utilizes the executive management team and the Executive Risk Management Committee to carry out these duties and to analyze existing and emerging strategic and reputation risks and determines the appropriate course of action. The Executive Risk Management Committee is comprised of the CEO and certain officers designated by the CEO. The Executive Risk Management Committee is supported by a set of specific risk committees focused on unique risk types (e.g. liquidity, credit, operational, etc). These risk committees provide a mechanism that assembles the necessary expertise and perspectives of the management team to discuss emerging risk issues, monitor the Company's risk taking activities, and evaluate specific transactions and exposures. These committees also monitor the direction and trend of risks relative to business strategies and market conditions and direct management to respond to risk issues.
2. **The Risk Management Organization:** The Company's risk management organization, led by the Chief Risk Officer and Chief Credit Officer, provides objective oversight of risk-taking activities. The risk management organization translates FHN's overall risk tolerance into approved limits and formal policies and is supported by corporate staff functions, including the Corporate Secretary, Legal, Finance, Human Resources, and Technology. Risk management also works with business units and functional experts to establish appropriate operating standards and monitor business practices in relation to those standards. Additionally, risk management proactively works with business units and senior management to focus management on key risks in the Company and emerging trends that may change FHN's risk profile. The Chief Risk Officer has overall responsibility and accountability for enterprise risk management and aggregate risk reporting.
3. **Business Unit Risk Management:** The Company's business units are responsible for identifying, acknowledging, quantifying, mitigating, and managing all risks arising within their respective units. They determine and execute their business strategies, which puts them closest to the changing nature of risks and they are best able to take the needed actions to manage and mitigate those risks. The business units are supported by the risk management organization that helps identify and consider risks when making business decisions. Management processes, structure, and policies are designed to help ensure compliance with laws and regulations as well as provide organizational clarity for authority, decision-making, and accountability. The risk governance structure supports and promotes the escalation of material items to executive management and the Board.
4. **Independent Assurance Functions:** Internal Audit, Credit Risk Assurance, and Model Validation provide an independent and objective assessment of the design and execution of the Company's internal control system, including management systems, risk governance, and policies and procedures. These groups' activities are designed to provide reasonable assurance that risks are appropriately identified and communicated; resources are safeguarded; significant financial, managerial, and operating information is complete, accurate, and reliable; and employee actions are in compliance with the Company's policies and applicable laws and regulations. Internal Audit and Model Validation report to the Audit Committee of the Board while Credit Risk Assurance reports to the Executive & Risk Committee of the Board.

MARKET RISK MANAGEMENT

Capital markets buys and sells various types of securities for its customers. When these securities settle on a delayed basis, they are considered forward contracts. Securities inventory positions are generally procured for distribution to customers by the sales staff, and the Asset Liability Committee (ALCO) policies and guidelines have been established

with the objective of limiting the risk in managing this inventory.

CAPITAL MANAGEMENT AND ADEQUACY

The capital management objectives of FHN are to provide capital sufficient to cover the risks inherent in FHN's businesses, to maintain excess capital to well-capitalized standards, and to assure ready access to the capital markets.

The Capital

Table of Contents

Management Committee, chaired by the Executive Vice President of Funds Management and Corporate Treasurer, reports to ALCO and is responsible for capital management oversight and provides a forum for addressing management issues related to capital adequacy. This committee reviews sources and uses of capital, key capital ratios, segment economic capital allocation methodologies, and other factors in monitoring and managing current capital levels, as well as potential future sources and uses of capital. The Capital Management Committee also recommends capital management policies, which are submitted for approval to ALCO and the Executive & Risk Committee and the Board as necessary.

OPERATIONAL RISK MANAGEMENT

Operational risk is the risk of loss from inadequate or failed internal processes, people, and systems or from external events. This risk is inherent in all businesses. Operational risk is divided into the following risk areas, which have been established at the corporate level to address these risks across the entire organization:

Business Continuity Planning / Records Management

Compliance / Legal

Program Governance

Fiduciary

Security/Internal and External Fraud

Financial (including disclosure)

Information Technology

Vendor

Management, measurement, and reporting of operational risk are overseen by the Operational Risk, Fiduciary, and Financial Governance Committees. Key representatives from the business segments, operating units, and supporting units are represented on these committees as appropriate. These governance committees manage the individual operational risk types across the company by setting standards, monitoring activity, initiating actions, and reporting exposures and results. Summary reports of these Committees activities and decisions are provided to the Executive Risk Management Committee. Emphasis is dedicated to refinement of processes and tools to aid in measuring and managing material operational risks and providing for a culture of awareness and accountability.

COMPLIANCE RISK MANAGEMENT

Compliance risk is the risk of legal or regulatory sanctions, material financial loss, or loss to reputation as a result of failure to comply with laws, regulations, rules, related self-regulatory organization standards, and codes of conduct applicable to FHN's activities. Management, measurement, and reporting of compliance risk are overseen by the Compliance Risk Committee. Key executives from the business segments, legal, risk management, and service functions are represented on the Committee. Summary reports of Committee activities and decisions are provided to the appropriate governance committees. Reports include the status of regulatory activities, internal compliance program initiatives, and evaluation of emerging compliance risk areas.

CREDIT RISK MANAGEMENT

Credit risk is the risk of loss due to adverse changes in a borrower's or counterparty's ability to meet its financial obligations under agreed upon terms. FHN is subject to credit risk in lending, trading, investing, liquidity/funding, and asset management activities. The nature and amount of credit risk depends on the types of transactions, the structure of those transactions and the parties involved. In general, credit risk is incidental to trading, liquidity/funding, and asset management activities, while it is central to the profit strategy in lending. As a result, the majority of credit risk is associated with lending activities.

FHN assesses and manages credit risk through a series of policies, processes, measurement systems, and controls. The Credit Risk Management Committee (CRMC) is responsible for overseeing the management of existing and emerging credit risks in the company within the broad risk tolerances established by the Board. The Credit Risk Management function, led by the Chief Credit Officer, provides strategic and tactical credit leadership by maintaining policies, overseeing credit approval and servicing, and managing portfolio composition and performance.

Table of Contents

The CRMC oversees the accuracy of credit risk grading and the adequacy of commercial credit servicing through a series of regularly scheduled portfolio reviews. In addition, the CRMC oversees the management of emerging potential problem commercial assets through a series of watch list reviews. The Credit Risk Management function assesses the portfolio trends and the results of these processes and utilizes this information to inform management regarding the current state of credit quality and as a factor of the estimation process for determining the allowance for loan losses.

All of the above activities are subject to independent review by FHN's Credit Risk Assurance Group. The Executive Vice President of Credit Risk Assurance is appointed by and reports to the Executive & Risk Committee of the Board. Credit Risk Assurance is charged with providing the Board and executive management with independent, objective, and timely assessments of FHN's portfolio quality, credit policies, and credit risk management processes.

Management strives to identify potential problem loans and nonperforming loans early enough to correct the deficiencies and prevent further credit deterioration. It is management's objective that both charge-offs and asset write-downs are recorded promptly based on management's assessments of the borrower's ability to repay and current collateral values.

INTEREST RATE RISK MANAGEMENT

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in prevailing interest rates will adversely affect assets, liabilities, capital, income, and/or expense at different times or in different amounts. ALCO, a committee consisting of senior management that meets regularly, is responsible for coordinating the financial management of interest rate risk. FHN primarily manages interest rate risk by structuring the balance sheet to attempt to maintain the desired level of associated earnings while operating within prudent risk limits and thereby preserving the value of FHN's capital. Net interest income and the financial condition of FHN are affected by changes in the level of market interest rates as the repricing characteristics of loans and other assets do not necessarily match those of deposits, other borrowings, and capital. When earning assets reprice more quickly than liabilities (when the balance sheet is asset-sensitive), net interest income will benefit in a rising interest rate environment and will be negatively impacted when interest rates decline. In the case of floating rate assets and liabilities with similar repricing frequencies, FHN may also be exposed to basis risk which results from changing spreads between earning and borrowing rates.

Fair Value Shock Analysis

Interest rate risk and the slope of the yield curve also affects the fair value of MSR and capital markets trading inventory that are reflected in mortgage banking and capital markets noninterest income, respectively. Low or declining interest rates typically lead to lower servicing-related income due to the impact of higher loan prepayments on the value of MSR while high or rising interest rates typically increase servicing-related income. To determine the amount of interest rate risk and exposure to changes in fair value of MSR, FHN uses multiple scenario rate shock analysis, including the magnitude and direction of interest rate changes, prepayment speeds, and other factors that could affect mortgage banking income.

Generally, low or declining interest rates with a positively sloped yield curve tend to increase capital markets income through higher demand for fixed income products. Additionally, the fair value of capital markets trading inventory can fluctuate as a result of differences between current interest rates when compared to the interest rates of fixed-income securities in the trading inventory.

Derivatives

FHN utilizes derivatives to protect against unfavorable fair value changes resulting from changes in interest rates of MSR and other retained assets. Derivative instruments are also used to protect against the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in the fair value of a portion of capital markets securities inventory due to changes in interest rates. Derivative financial instruments are used to aid in managing the exposure of the balance sheet and related net interest income and noninterest income to changes in interest rates. Interest rate contracts (potentially including swaps and swaptions) and mortgage forward purchase contracts are utilized to protect against MSR prepayment risk that generally accompanies declining interest rates. Net interest income earned on swaps and similar derivative instruments, used to protect the value of MSR, increases when the yield curve steepens and decreases when the yield curve flattens or inverts. Capital markets enters into futures and options

Table of Contents

contracts to economically hedge interest rate risk associated with changes in fair value currently recognized in capital markets noninterest income.

Other than the impact related to the immediate change in market value of the balance sheet, such as MSR, these simulation models and related hedging strategies exclude the dynamics related to how fee income and noninterest expense may be affected by actual changes in interest rates or expectations of changes. See Note 15 Derivatives for additional discussion of these instruments.

LIQUIDITY MANAGEMENT

ALCO focuses on liquidity management: the funding of assets with liabilities of the appropriate duration, while mitigating the risk of unexpected cash needs. A key objective of liquidity management is to ensure the continuous availability of funds to meet the demands of depositors, other creditors, borrowers, and the requirements of ongoing operations. This objective is met by maintaining liquid assets in the form of trading securities and securities available for sale, growing core deposits, and the repayment of loans. ALCO is responsible for managing these needs by taking into account the marketability of assets; the sources, stability, and availability of funding; and the level of unfunded commitments. Subject to market conditions and compliance with applicable regulatory requirements from time to time, funds are available from a number of sources including core deposits, the securities available for sale portfolio, the Federal Reserve Banks, access to Federal Reserve Bank programs, the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB), access to the overnight and term Federal Funds markets, and dealer and commercial customer repurchase agreements. Over the past three years, FHN has significantly reduced its reliance on unsecured wholesale borrowings. Currently the largest concentration of unsecured borrowings is federal funds purchased from small bank correspondent customers. These funds are considered to be substantially more stable than funds purchased in the national broker markets for federal funds due to the long historical and reciprocal banking services between FHN and these correspondent banks. The remainder of FHN's wholesale short-term borrowings are repurchase agreement transactions accounted for as secured borrowings with the bank's business customers or Capital Markets broker dealer counterparties.

ALCO manages FHN's exposure to liquidity risk through a dynamic, real time forecasting methodology. Base liquidity forecasts are reviewed in ALCO monthly and are updated as financial conditions dictate. In addition to the baseline liquidity reports, robust stress testing of assumptions and funds availability are periodically reviewed. FHN maintains a contingency funding plan that may be executed should unexpected difficulties arise in accessing funding that affects FHN, the industry as a whole, or both. As a general rule, FHN strives to maintain excess liquidity equivalent to fifteen percent or more of total assets.

Core deposits are a significant source of funding and have historically been a stable source of liquidity for banks. Generally, core deposits represent funding from a financial institutions' customer base which provide inexpensive, predictable pricing. The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insures these deposits to the extent authorized by law. Generally, these limits are \$250 thousand per account owner. Total loans, excluding loans HFS and restricted real estate loans, to core deposits ratio improved to 100 percent in second quarter 2011 from 112 percent in second quarter 2010. This ratio has improved due to a contraction of the loan portfolio combined with growth in core deposits. Both FHN and FTBNA may access the debt markets in order to provide funding through the issuance of senior or subordinated unsecured debt subject to market conditions and compliance with applicable regulatory requirements. In fourth quarter 2010, FHN completed the issuance of \$500 million of non-callable fixed rate senior notes due in 2015. In 2005, FTBNA established a bank note program which provided liquidity of \$5.0 billion. It is not expected that FTBNA will utilize this borrowing facility with its current amount of excess liquidity. FTBNA has not issued any bank notes under the program in the past three years and has suspended it in order to save certain costs. As of June 30, 2011, FHN had no outstanding balances related to the bank note program. If FTBNA were to reactivate the program, certain program terms might have to be renegotiated with the note agents to reflect current market practices. FHN had issued \$300 million of capital securities representing guaranteed preferred beneficial interests in \$309 million of FHN's junior subordinated debentures through two Delaware business trusts, wholly owned by FHN, which were eligible for inclusion in tier 1 capital. In January 2011, FHN redeemed \$103 million of the subordinated debentures issued to Capital I trust. FHN maintains \$.7 billion of borrowings which are secured by retail

Table of Contents

residential real estate loans. A portion of these borrowings relate to trusts that were consolidated in January 1, 2010 in conjunction with the adoption of amendments to ASC 810. Holders of the trusts' securities do not have recourse to any assets of FHN other than those specifically pledged to settle the trusts' obligations.

Both FHN and FTBNA have the ability to generate liquidity by issuing preferred or common equity subject to market conditions and compliance with applicable regulatory requirements. In fourth quarter 2010, FHN completed a common equity offering which generated \$263.1 million in net proceeds. After the closing of the equity and debt offering, FHN redeemed all \$866.5 million of the preferred shares issued in 2008 under the U.S. Treasury's Capital Purchase Program. As of June 30, 2011, FTBNA and subsidiaries had outstanding preferred shares of \$.3 billion and are reflected as noncontrolling interest on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition. See Note 12 Preferred Stock and Other Capital for additional information.

FHN also evaluates alternative sources of funding, including loan sales, syndications, and FHLB borrowings in its management of liquidity.

Parent company liquidity is maintained by cash flows stemming from dividends and interest payments collected from subsidiaries along with net proceeds from stock sales through employee plans, which represent the primary sources of funds to pay cash dividends to shareholders and interest to debt holders. The amount paid to the parent company through FTBNA common dividends is managed as part of FHN's overall cash management process, subject to applicable regulatory restrictions.

Certain regulatory restrictions exist regarding the ability of FTBNA to transfer funds to FHN in the form of cash, common dividends, loans, or advances. At any given time, the pertinent portions of those regulatory restrictions allow FTBNA to declare preferred or common dividends without prior regulatory approval in an amount equal to FTBNA's retained net income for the two most recent completed years plus the current year to date. For any period, FTBNA's retained net income generally is equal to FTBNA's regulatory net income reduced by the preferred and common dividends declared by FTBNA. Excess dividends in either of the two most recent completed years may be offset with available retained net income in the two years immediately preceding it. Applying the applicable rules, FTBNA's total amount available for dividends was negative \$394.7 million as of June 30, 2011. Consequently, FTBNA cannot pay common dividends to its sole common stockholder, FHN, without prior regulatory approval. FTBNA applied for and received approval to pay a dividend to the parent company in the amount of \$300 million in the fourth quarter 2010. The parent company utilized liquidity provided by this dividend, funds from the debt and equity offerings, and excess liquidity to redeem the TARP preferred shares, the related Warrant to purchase common stock, and the \$103 million of subordinated debentures. FTBNA has requested approval from the OCC to declare and pay dividends on its preferred stock outstanding payable in October 2011.

Payment of a dividend to common shareholders of FHN is dependent on several factors which are all considered by the Board. These factors include FHN's current and prospective capital, liquidity, and other needs, applicable regulatory restrictions, and also availability of funds to FHN through a dividend from FTBNA. Additionally, the Federal Reserve and the OCC have issued policy statements generally requiring insured banks and bank holding companies to pay cash dividends only out of current operating earnings. Consequently, the decision of whether FHN will pay future dividends and the amount of dividends will be affected by current operating results. FHN paid a cash dividend of \$.01 per share on July 1, 2011, and the Board approved a \$.01 per share cash dividend payable on October 1, 2011, to shareholders of record on September 16, 2011.

Cash Flows

The Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows provide information on cash flows from operating, investing, and financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. The level of cash and cash equivalents increased \$142.6 million during the first half of 2011 from \$768.8 million on December 31, 2010. Net cash used by financing and operating activities was more than offset by cash provided by investing activities in 2011. In 2010, the level of cash and cash equivalents increased \$49.2 million to \$967.8 million.

Cash provided by investing activities was \$666.2 million in 2011, a decrease from \$1.3 billion during 2010. Cash provided by investing activities was primarily driven by a reduction in the size of the loan portfolio totaling \$596.7 million and a \$254.3 million

Table of Contents

decline in interest-bearing cash in 2011. Activity related to the available for sale securities portfolio resulted in \$179.7 million decline in cash as purchases more than offset sales and maturities during the first half of 2011. Net cash used by financing activities was \$226.2 million in 2011 compared to \$755.1 million in 2010. In 2011, the decrease in cash from financing activities was primarily driven by the reduction of term borrowings as \$623.3 million of the remaining bank notes matured and FHN redeemed subordinated debentures (TRUPs at 8.07 percent) in 2011. Funds from short-term borrowings declined \$101.7 million primarily due to reduction in funding from Fed Funds Purchased. The decline in cash from financing activities was partially offset by an increase in deposits during 2011. In 2010, net cash used by financing activities was also affected by reductions in short-term and term borrowings which was mitigated by higher deposits.

Net cash used by operating activities was \$297.4 million in 2011 compared to \$517.2 million in 2010. In 2011, significant adjustments of net income to arrive at cash used by operating activities included the repurchase and foreclosure provision, deferred tax expense, and changes in operating assets and liabilities. Cash used by operating activities was primarily driven by capital markets activities as increases in trading securities and capital markets receivables totaling \$.9 billion more than offset a \$.5 billion increase in capital markets payables and trading liabilities. In 2010, net cash used by operating activities was also primarily driven by capital markets activities with an increase in trading securities and capital markets receivables more than offsetting an increase in operating liabilities and noncash-related income items.

REPURCHASE OBLIGATIONS, OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS, AND OTHER CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

Repurchase and Related Obligations from Loans Originated for Sale

Prior to 2009, as a means to provide liquidity for its legacy mortgage banking business, FHN originated loans through its legacy mortgage business, primarily first lien home loans, with the intention of selling them. Sales typically were effected either as non-recourse whole-loan sales or through non-recourse proprietary securitizations. Conventional conforming and federally insured single-family residential mortgage loans were sold predominately to GSEs. Many mortgage loan originations, especially those that did not meet criteria for whole loan sales to GSEs (nonconforming mortgage loans) were sold to investors predominantly through proprietary securitizations but also, to a lesser extent, through whole loan sales to private non-GSE purchasers. FHN also sold non-conventional loans with full or limited recourse to certain agencies under specific government programs.

Table of Contents

For non-recourse loan sales, FHN has exposure for repurchase of loans arising from claims that FHN breached its representations and warranties made to the purchasers at closing, and also exposure for investment rescission or damages arising from claims that offering documents were materially deficient in the case of loans transferred through proprietary securitizations. For loans sold with recourse, FHN has indemnity and repurchase exposure if the loans default. See Note 9 Contingencies and Other Disclosures, which is incorporated herein by reference, for detail regarding these transactions and implications on FHN's potential repurchase obligations and avenues of investment rescission for investors related to loans sold through proprietary securitizations.

Since the end of 2008, FHN has experienced significantly elevated levels of claims to either repurchase loans from the purchaser or remit payment to the purchaser to make them whole for economic losses experienced primarily because of loan delinquencies. Such claims are pursued because purchasers allege that certain loans that were sold violated representations and warranties made by FHN at closing. While FHN has received claims from private investors from whole loans sales, a significant majority of claims relate to non-recourse whole loans sales to GSEs. FHN also has the potential for financial exposure from loans transferred through proprietary securitizations; however, at this time, FHN has not received repurchase claims from investors of proprietary securitizations alleging FHN breached representations and warranties made at closing. See Note 9 Contingencies and Other Disclosures for other actions taken by investors of proprietary securitizations and also for a discussion outlining differences between representations and warranties made by FHN for GSE loan sales versus proprietary securitizations.

Origination Data

From 2005 through 2008, FHN originated and sold \$69.5 billion of first lien mortgage loans to GSEs. GSE loans originated in 2005 through 2008 account for 94 percent of all repurchase requests/make-whole claims received between the third quarter 2008 divestiture of certain mortgage banking operations and second quarter 2011. Since the 2008 divestiture, FHN has continued the contraction of legacy mortgage banking activities which has resulted in multiple bulk sales of mortgage servicing rights. Consequently, as of June 30, 2011, FHN services only \$9.7 billion of the loans that were originated and sold to GSEs thereby eliminating FHN's visibility into the current performance of a significant amount of the loans sold to GSEs. In addition, from 2000 through 2007, FHN securitized \$47.0 billion of first lien loans without recourse. This represents the entire period of FHN's first lien securitization activities. Of the amount originally securitized, \$36.7 billion relates to securitization trusts that are still active as approximately 30 securitization trusts have become inactive due to clean-up calls exercised by FHN. The exercise of cleanup calls resulted in termination of the Pooling and Servicing Agreements and reacquisition of the related mortgage loans. As of June 30, 2011, FHN still services substantially all of the remaining loans transferred through proprietary securitizations.

The following table summarizes the loan composition of the private securitizations of FHN from 2000 through 2007:

Table 14 Composition of Off-Balance Sheet Proprietary Securitizations

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Original UPB for All Securitizations (a) | Original UPB for Active Securitizations (a) | UPB as of June 30, 2011 |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Loan type: | | | |
| Jumbo ^(b) | \$ 27,062,825 | \$ 16,786,355 | \$ 5,465,332 |
| Alt A | 19,946,023 | 19,946,023 | 7,727,494 |
| Total proprietary securitizations | \$ 47,008,848 | \$ 36,732,378 | \$ 13,192,826 |

Proprietary securitizations were originated during vintage years 2000 through 2007. Does not include amounts related to consolidated securitization trusts.

(a) Original principal balances obtained from trustee statements.

(b) UPB as of June 30, 2011 adjusted for clean-up calls exercised by FHN.

The remaining jumbo mortgage loans originated and sold by FHN had weighted average FICO scores of approximately 733 and weighted average CLTV ratios of approximately 77 percent at origination. Alt-A loans consisted of a variety of non-conforming products that typically have greater credit risk due to various issues such as higher CLTV or DTI ratios, reduced documentation,

Table of Contents

or other factors. As of June 30, 2011, 10.20 percent of the jumbo mortgage loans were 90 days or more delinquent and 19.79 percent of the Alt-A loans were 90 days or more delinquent .

At June 30, 2011, the repurchase request pipeline contained no repurchase requests related to the first lien securitized loans based on claims related to breaches of representations and warranties. At June 30, 2011, FHN had not reserved for exposure for repurchase of loans arising from claims that FHN breached its representations and warranties made in securitizations at closing, nor for exposure for investment rescission or damages arising from claims by investors that the offering documents under which the loans were securitized were materially deficient.

Active Pipeline

The amount of repurchase and make-whole claims is accumulated into the active pipeline . The active pipeline includes the amount of claims for repurchase, make-whole payments, and information requests from purchasers of loans originated and sold through FHN 's legacy mortgage banking business. Private Mortgage Insurance (PMI) was required for certain of the loans sold to GSEs and that were securitized. PMI generally was provided for first lien loans that were sold to GSEs or securitized that had a loan-to-value ratio at origination of greater than 80 percent. Although unresolved PMI cancellation notices are not formal repurchase requests, FHN includes these in the active pipeline and in the analysis for estimating loss content for loans sold to GSEs.

For purposes of quantifying the amount of loans underlying the repurchase/make-whole claim or PMI cancellation notice, FHN uses the current UPB in all cases if the amount is available. If current UPB is unavailable, the original loan amount is substituted for the current UPB. When neither is available, the claim amount is used as an estimate of current UPB.

Generally, the amount of a loan subject to a repurchase/make-whole claim or with open PMI issues remains in the active pipeline throughout the appeals process with a GSE or PMI company until parties agree on the ultimate outcome. FHN reviews each claim and PMI cancellation notice individually to determine the appropriate response by FHN (e.g. appeal, provide additional information, or repurchase loan or remit make-whole payment, or reflect cancellation of PMI). Contractual agreements with Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac state a response should be completed within 30 days of receiving a repurchase request. Working arrangements with both agencies include regular communications to review the current pipeline as well as address any concerns requiring immediate attention. Given the accumulation of GSE repurchase requests at FHN and backlog at the GSEs, FHN has been able to take additional time as needed to complete repurchase request reviews. At this point, FHN has not suffered any penalties from responses occurring after the 30-day contractual period. The volume of new claims, slow responses from GSEs and PMI companies, and an iterative resolution process contribute to the elevated active pipeline.

Table of Contents

The following table provides a rollforward of the active repurchase request pipeline, including related unresolved PMI cancellation notices for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010:

Table 15 Rollforward of the Active Pipeline

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | 1st Liens | | 2nd Liens | | HELOC | | TOTAL | |
|--|--------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|
| | Number | Amount | Number | Amount | Number | Amount | Number | Amount |
| Legacy mortgage banking repurchase/other requests: | | | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance | | | | | | | | |
| April 1, 2011 | 1,779 | \$ 364,256 | 331 | \$ 16,885 | 13 | \$ 1,377 | 2,123 | \$ 382,518 |
| Additions | 699 | 132,677 | 4 | 277 | 20 | 1,144 | 723 | 134,098 |
| Decreases | (725) | (171,009) | (244) | (13,344) | | | (969) | (184,353) |
| Adjustments ^(a) | 23 | (1,077) | 3 | 114 | (11) | (1,201) | 15 | (2,164) |
| Ending balance | | | | | | | | |
| June 30, 2011 | 1,776 | \$ 324,847 | 94 | 3,932 | 22 | 1,320 | 1,892 | \$ 330,099 |
| Legacy mortgage banking PMI cancellation notices: | | | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance | | | | | | | | |
| April 1, 2011 | 640 | \$ 146,798 | | | | | 640 | \$ 146,798 |
| Additions | 218 | 48,122 | | | | | 218 | 48,122 |
| Decreases | (266) | (63,702) | | | | | (266) | (63,702) |
| Adjustments ^(a) | (51) | (10,486) | | | | | (51) | (10,486) |
| Ending balance | | | | | | | | |
| June 30, 2011 | 541 | \$ 120,732 | | | | | 541 | \$ 120,732 |
| Total ending active pipeline June 30, 2011 ^(b) | 2,317 | \$ 445,579 | 94 | \$ 3,932 | 22 | \$ 1,320 | 2,433 | \$ 450,831 |

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | 1st Liens | | 2nd Liens | | HELOC | | TOTAL | |
|--|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|--------|----------|---------|------------|
| | Number | Amount | Number | Amount | Number | Amount | Number | Amount |
| Legacy mortgage banking repurchase/other requests: | | | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance | | | | | | | | |
| January 1, 2011 | 1,718 | \$ 377,735 | 357 | \$ 18,025 | 12 | \$ 1,022 | 2,087 | \$ 396,782 |
| Additions | 1,551 | 295,364 | 25 | 1,700 | 20 | 1,144 | 1,596 | 298,208 |
| Decreases | (1,512) | (348,831) | (289) | (15,851) | | | (1,801) | (364,682) |
| Adjustments ^(a) | 19 | 579 | 1 | 58 | (10) | (846) | 10 | (209) |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|
| Ending balance June 30, 2011 | 1,776 | \$ 324,847 | 94 | 3,932 | 22 | 1,320 | 1,892 | \$ 330,099 |
| Legacy mortgage PMI cancellation notices: | | | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance | | | | | | | | |
| January 1, 2011 | 596 | \$ 137,373 | | | | | 596 | \$ 137,373 |
| Additions | 475 | 104,937 | | | | | 475 | 104,937 |
| Decreases | (465) | (108,191) | | | | | (465) | (108,191) |
| Adjustments ^(a) | (65) | (13,387) | | | | | (65) | (13,387) |
| Ending balance June 30, 2011 | 541 | \$ 120,732 | | | | | 541 | \$ 120,732 |
| Total ending active pipeline June 30, 2011 ^(b) | 2,317 | \$ 445,579 | 94 | \$ 3,932 | 22 | \$ 1,320 | 2,433 | \$ 450,831 |

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | 1st Liens | | 2nd Liens | | HELOC | | TOTAL | |
|--|--------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------|---------------|--------------|-------------------|
| | Number | Amount | Number | Amount | Number | Amount | Number | Amount |
| Legacy mortgage banking repurchase/other requests: | | | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance | | | | | | | | |
| April 1, 2010 | 834 | \$ 173,294 | 70 | \$ 4,023 | 15 | \$ 1,127 | 919 | \$ 178,444 |
| Additions | 656 | 146,205 | 45 | 2,805 | | | 701 | 149,010 |
| Decreases | (222) | (50,495) | (27) | (1,339) | (8) | (306) | (257) | (52,140) |
| Ending balance June 30, 2010 | 1,268 | \$ 269,004 | 88 | 5,489 | 7 | 821 | 1,363 | \$ 275,314 |
| Legacy mortgage banking PMI cancellation notices: | | | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance | | | | | | | | |
| April 1, 2010 | 571 | \$ 126,026 | | | | | 571 | \$ 126,026 |
| Additions | 152 | 31,454 | | | | | 152 | 31,454 |
| Decreases | (94) | (21,722) | | | | | (94) | (21,722) |
| Ending balance June 30, 2010 | 629 | \$ 135,758 | | | | | 629 | \$ 135,758 |
| Total ending active pipeline June 30, 2010 ^(b) | 1,897 | \$ 404,762 | 88 | \$ 5,489 | 7 | \$ 821 | 1,992 | \$ 411,072 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | 1st Liens | | 2nd Liens | | HELOC | | TOTAL | |
|--|-----------|------------|-----------|----------|--------|--------|--------|------------|
| | Number | Amount | Number | Amount | Number | Amount | Number | Amount |
| Legacy mortgage banking repurchase/other requests: | | | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance | | | | | | | | |
| January 1, 2010 | 702 | \$ 149,829 | 39 | \$ 2,335 | 1 | \$ 354 | 742 | \$ 152,518 |
| Additions | 1,008 | 217,903 | 107 | 6,349 | 14 | 773 | 1,129 | 225,025 |
| Decreases | (442) | (98,728) | (58) | (3,195) | (8) | (306) | (508) | (102,229) |
| Ending balance | | | | | | | | |
| June 30, 2010 | 1,268 | \$ 269,004 | 88 | 5,489 | 7 | 821 | 1,363 | \$ 275,314 |
| Legacy mortgage PMI cancellation notices: | | | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance | | | | | | | | |
| January 1, 2010 | 452 | \$ 103,170 | | | | | 452 | \$ 103,170 |
| Additions | 368 | 79,120 | | | | | 368 | 79,120 |
| Decreases | (191) | (46,532) | | | | | (191) | (46,532) |
| Ending balance | | | | | | | | |
| June 30, 2010 | 629 | \$ 135,758 | | | | | 629 | \$ 135,758 |
| Total ending active pipeline | | | | | | | | |
| June 30, 2010 | 1,897 | \$ 404,762 | 88 | \$ 5,489 | 7 | \$ 821 | 1,992 | \$ 411,072 |

(a) Generally, adjustments reflect reclassifications between repurchase requests and PMI cancellation notices and/or updates to UPB.

(b) Active pipeline excludes repurchase requests related to HELOCs sold in connection with branch sales.

Table of Contents

The following graph depicts inflows into the active pipeline by claimant type for each quarter during 2010 and 2011: As of June 30, 2011, GSEs account for 97 percent of all actual repurchase/make-whole requests in the pipeline and 83 percent of the total active pipeline, inclusive of PMI cancellation notices and all other claims. For loans in the active pipeline for which FHN has received notification of PMI cancellation, 58 percent relate to loans sold to GSE s. Consistent with originations, a majority of GSE claims have been from Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and 2007 represents the vintage with the highest volume of claims. However, in late 2010, and continuing through second quarter 2011, the mix of repurchase/make-whole requests began to shift towards the 2008 origination vintage from the earlier vintages.

The most common reasons for GSE repurchase demands are claimed misrepresentations related to missing documents in the loan file, issues related to employment and income (such as misrepresented stated-income or falsified employment documents and/or verifications), and undisclosed borrower debt. Since the 2008 divestiture, less than full documentation loans accounted for approximately 25 percent of GSE repurchase and make-whole claims while approximately 75 percent of the claims have resulted from loans originated as full documentation loans. The proportion of originations versus repurchase/make-whole claims between documentation-type has remained relatively unchanged since 2008. Additionally, total repurchase and makewhole claims related to private whole loan sales of sub-prime and option adjustable-rate mortgages have accounted for less than 5 percent of all claims since the divestiture in 2008.

Table of Contents

The following table provides information regarding resolutions (outflows) of the active pipeline during the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

Table 17 Active Pipeline Resolutions and Other Outflows

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 | | Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 | |
|--|---|---------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| | Number | UPB ^(a) | Number | UPB ^(a) |
| Repurchase, make-whole, settlement resolutions | 306 | \$ 67,646 | 153 | \$ 33,807 |
| Rescissions or denials | 667 | \$ 106,719 | 95 | 15,345 |
| Other, PMI, information requests | 262 | \$ 73,690 | 103 | 24,710 |
| Total resolutions | 1,235 | \$ 248,055 | 351 | \$ 73,862 |

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 | | Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 | |
|--|---|---------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| | Number | UPB ^(a) | Number | UPB ^(a) |
| Repurchase, make-whole, settlement resolutions | 634 | \$ 137,750 | 311 | \$ 63,402 |
| Rescissions or denials | 1,091 | \$ 197,952 | 137 | 25,564 |
| Other, PMI, information requests | 541 | \$ 137,171 | 251 | 59,795 |
| Total resolutions | 2,266 | \$ 472,873 | 699 | \$ 148,761 |

(a) When available, FHN uses current UPB in all cases. If current UPB is unavailable, the original loan amount is substituted for current UPB. When neither is available, the claim amount is used as an estimate of current UPB. Total resolutions disclosed in Table 17 Active Pipeline Resolutions and Other Outflows include both favorable and unfavorable resolutions and are reflected as decreases in the Rollforward of the Active Pipeline in Table 15. The UPB of actual repurchases, make-whole, settlement resolutions, which was \$67.6 million during second quarter 2011, represents the UPB of loans for which FHN has incurred a loss on the actual repurchase of a loan, or where FHN has reimbursed a claimant for economic losses incurred. When estimating the accrued liability using loss factors based on actual historical experience, FHN has applied cumulative average loss severities ranging between 50 and 60 percent of the UPB of the repurchased loan or make-whole claim. When loans are repurchased or make-whole payments have been made, the associated loss content on the repurchase, make-whole, or settlement resolution is reflected as a net realized loss in Table 18 Reserves for Repurchase and Foreclosure Losses.

Rescissions or denials, which were \$106.7 million in second quarter 2011, represent the amount of repurchase requests and make-whole claims where FHN was able to resolve without incurring losses. While cumulative average rescission rates have ranged between 45 and 55 percent since the 2008 divestiture, the repurchase and make-whole rescission rate in second quarter 2011 was nearly 60 percent as more recent repurchase requests have been successfully appealed primarily due to the nature of more recent claims. Other, PMI, information requests, which were \$73.7 million during second quarter 2011, includes providing information to claimant, issues related to PMI coverage, and other items. Resolutions in this category include both favorable and unfavorable outcomes with PMI companies, including situations where PMI was ultimately cancelled. While FHN has assessed the loans with PMI issues for loss content in estimating the repurchase liability, FHN will not realize loss (a decrease of the repurchase and foreclosure liability) unless a repurchase/make-whole claim is submitted and such request is unfavorably resolved.

Repurchase Accrual Methodology

The estimated probable incurred losses that result from these obligations are derived from loss severities that are reflective of default and delinquency trends in residential real estate loans and lower housing prices, which result in

fair value marks below par for repurchased loans when the loans are recorded on FHN's balance sheet upon repurchase. In estimation of the accrued liability for loan repurchases and make-whole obligations, FHN estimates probable incurred losses in the population of all loans sold based on trends in claims requests and actual loss severities observed by management. The liability includes accruals for probable losses beyond what is observable in the ending pipeline of repurchase/make-whole requests and active PMI cancellations at any given balance sheet date. The estimation process begins with internally developed proprietary models that are used to assist in developing a baseline in evaluating inherent repurchase-related loss content. These models are designed to capture historical loss content from actual repurchase activity experienced. The baseline for the repurchase reserve uses historical loss factors that are applied to the loan pools originated in 2001 through 2008 and sold in years 2001 through 2009. Loss factors, tracked by year of loss, are calculated using actual losses incurred on repurchases or make-whole arrangements.

Table of Contents

The historical loss factors experienced are accumulated for each sale vintage and are applied to more recent sale vintages to estimate probable incurred losses not yet realized.

In order to incorporate more current events, such as the level of repurchase requests or PMI cancellation notices, FHN then overlays management judgment within its estimation process for establishing appropriate reserve levels. For repurchase requests related to breach of representations and warranties, the active pipeline is segregated into various components (e.g., requestor, repurchase, or make-whole) and current rescission (successful resolutions) and loss severity rates are applied to calculate estimated losses attributable to the current pipeline. When assessing the adequacy of the repurchase reserve, management also considers trends in the amounts and composition of new inflows into the pipeline. FHN has observed loss severities (actual losses incurred as a percentage of the UPB) ranging between 50 percent and 60 percent of the principal balance of the repurchased loans and cumulative average rescission rates between 45 percent and 55 percent of the repurchase and make-whole requests. FHN then compares the estimated losses inherent within the pipeline with current reserve levels. On June 30, 2011, the active pipeline was \$450.8 million with over 90 percent of all unresolved repurchase and make-whole claims relating to loans sold to GSEs.

For purposes of estimating loss content, FHN also considers reviewed PMI cancellation notices where coverage has been cancelled. When assessing loss content related to loans where PMI has been cancelled, FHN first reviews the amount of unresolved PMI cancellations that are in the active pipeline and adjusts for any known facts or trends observed by management. Similar to the methodology for actual repurchase/make-whole requests, FHN applies loss factors (including probability and loss severity ratios) that were derived from actual incurred losses in past vintages to the amount of unresolved PMI pipeline for loans that were sold to GSEs. For GSE PMI cancellation notices, the methodology for determining the accrued liability contemplates a higher probability of loss compared with that applied to GSE repurchase/make-whole requests as FHN has been less successful in favorably resolving mortgage insurance cancellation notifications with PMI companies. Loss severity rates applied to GSE PMI cancellation notifications are consistent with those applied to actual GSE claims. For GSE PMI cancellation notifications where coverage has been ultimately cancelled and are no longer included in the active pipeline, FHN applies a 100 percent repurchase rate in anticipation that such loans ultimately will result in repurchase/make-whole requests from the GSEs since PMI coverage for certain loans is a GSE requirement. In determining adequacy of the repurchase reserve, FHN considered \$208.9 million in UPB of loans sold where PMI coverage was cancelled for all loan sales and securitizations.

Repurchase and Foreclosure Liability

Management considered the level and trends of repurchase requests as well as PMI cancellation notices when determining the adequacy of the repurchase and foreclosure liability. Although the pipeline of requests remains elevated, FHN also considers that a majority of these sales ceased in third quarter 2008 when FHN sold its national mortgage origination business. FHN compares the estimated probable incurred losses within the pipeline and the estimated losses resulting from the baseline model with current reserve levels. Changes in the estimated required liability levels are recorded as necessary. There are certain second liens and HELOCs subject to repurchase claims that are not included in the active pipeline as these loans were originated and sold through different channels. Liability estimation for potential repurchase obligations related to these second liens and HELOCs was determined outside of the methodology for loans originated and sold through the national legacy mortgage origination platform.

Table of Contents

The following table provides a rollforward of the repurchase liability by loan product type for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

Table 18 Reserves for Repurchase and Foreclosure Losses

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended June 30 | | Six Months Ended June 30 | |
|---|-------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| First Liens | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 180,945 | \$ 117,906 | \$ 176,283 | \$ 104,463 |
| Provision for repurchase and foreclosure losses | 24,563 | 58,024 | 66,284 | 91,874 |
| Net realized losses | (38,263) | (19,996) | (75,321) | (40,403) |
| Ending balance | \$ 167,245 | \$ 155,934 | \$ 167,246 | \$ 155,934 |
| Second Liens | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 2,055 | \$ 8,094 | \$ 6,571 | \$ 1,269 |
| Provision for repurchase and foreclosure losses | | (2,028) | (4,517) | 4,797 |
| Net realized losses | | | | |
| Ending balance | \$ 2,055 | \$ 6,066 | \$ 2,054 | \$ 6,066 |
| HELOC | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 2,589 | \$ 2,781 | \$ 2,589 | \$ 2,781 |
| Provision for foreclosure losses | | | | |
| Net realized losses | | (178) | | (178) |
| Ending balance | \$ 2,589 | \$ 2,603 | \$ 2,589 | \$ 2,603 |
| Total Reserves for Repurchase and Foreclosure Losses | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 185,589 | \$ 128,781 | \$ 185,443 | \$ 108,513 |
| Provision for repurchase and foreclosure losses | 24,563 | 55,996 | 61,767 | 96,671 |
| Net realized losses | (38,263) | (20,174) | (75,321) | (40,581) |
| Ending balance | \$ 171,889 | \$ 164,603 | \$ 171,889 | \$ 164,603 |

The liability for repurchase and foreclosure losses was \$171.9 million as of June 30, 2011, compared to \$164.6 million as of June 30, 2010. The increase in the liability since second quarter 2010 is primarily a result of growth in the active pipeline and continued inflow of new claims during 2010 through second quarter 2011. In second quarter 2011, FHN recognized expense of \$24.6 million to increase the repurchase and foreclosure liability compared with \$56.0 million in 2010. Generally, since the divestiture of the legacy mortgage banking business in 2008, the amount of inflow into the active pipeline increased each quarter until the end of 2010. However, pipeline inflows and the ending active pipeline declined from the end of 2010 with a greater amount of resolutions than new claims in second quarter 2011. In the second quarter of 2011 and 2010, success rates on putbacks and the loss severity rates applied to the pipeline inflow was generally consistent in both periods.

Net realized losses for the repurchase of first lien loans or make-whole payments increased to \$38.3 million during 2011 compared with \$20.2 million during 2010. The first lien net realized losses in Table 18 reflect net losses on \$67.6 million of repurchase, make-whole, and settlement resolutions reflected in Table 17 Active Pipeline

Resolutions and Other Outflows. In 2011, the net realized losses incurred were 57 percent of the UPB of repurchase/make-whole requests resolved through the second quarter. In 2010, first lien net realized losses were \$20.2 million representing a 60 percent actual loss severity.

Generally, repurchased loans are included in loans HFS and recognized at fair value at the time of repurchase, which contemplates the loan's performance status and estimated liquidation value. The UPB of loans that were repurchased in second quarter 2011 was \$20.0 million compared with \$28.8 million during 2010. As of June 30, 2011, the UPB of all repurchased loans in HFS was \$114.3 million with an associated fair value of \$70.3 million. FHN has elected to continue recognition of these loans at fair value in periods subsequent to reacquisition. After the loan repurchase is completed, classification (performing versus nonperforming) of the repurchased loans is determined based on an additional assessment of the credit characteristics of the loan in accordance with FHN's internal credit policies and guidelines consistent with other loans FHN retains on the balance sheet. Refer to the discussion of repurchase and foreclosure reserves under Critical Accounting Policies and also Note 9 Contingencies and Other Disclosures for additional information regarding FHN's repurchase obligations.

Table of Contents**Industry Repurchase Trends**

FHN, like many other financial institutions that originated and sold significant amounts of mortgage loans, has experienced elevated exposure to repurchase obligations from buyers. More recently, at least one industry participant has announced that a settlement has been reached with institutional private investors in securitizations (although still subject to court approval). Additionally, others have reached settlements with GSEs in order to reduce future repurchase requests and mitigate losses for the respective financial institution for the repurchase of loans.

There are several reasons that could cause FHN's exposure and associated losses to differ from the experience of others within the industry or to diverge from FHN's experience to date with GSEs. For proprietary securitizations, variations in product mix of loan originations and investors during those periods could create disparities in the ultimate exposure to repurchase obligations between FHN and others within the industry. For example, FHN transferred jumbo and Alt-A first lien mortgage loans in proprietary securitizations whereas others within the industry could have a mix that includes larger amounts of sub-prime loans which could result in varying amounts of repurchase exposure. The performance of loans securitized by FHN versus industry peers could also create dissimilarities in exposure and associated losses.

Additionally, FHN has a finite amount of loans that are subject to potential repurchase obligations. While FHN was an originator and servicer of residential mortgage loans and HELOCs during the years preceding the collapse of the housing market, substantially all of its mortgage banking operations was sold in third quarter 2008. Therefore, all originations ceased through this national channel while industry peers continue to originate loans.

Other reasons FHN's experience could deviate from industry peers or otherwise include: (1) FHN has limited insight into industry peers' estimation methodologies; (2) other companies may have better access to the current status of the loans they sold due to their retention of servicing for those loans; and (3) the current environment, where purchasers of loans are under significant pressure to reduce losses, has no recent historical precedent and therefore is inherently unpredictable. With the sale of national mortgage banking operations and the strategic decision to focus on core banking businesses, FHN executed numerous bulk sales of its servicing portfolio (primarily GSE loans) to various buyers. Prior to the sale, the UPB of the loans in the servicing portfolio was approximately \$98 billion compared with approximately \$26 billion as of June 30, 2011. While FHN continues to service substantially all of the loans sold through proprietary securitizations, only \$9.7 billion of the loans that were sold to GSEs continue to be serviced by FHN. For loans originated and sold but no longer serviced, FHN does not have visibility into current loan information such as principal payoffs, refinance activity, delinquency trends, and loan modification activity that may reduce repurchase exposure or impact reserve estimation.

Reinsurance Obligations

A wholly-owned subsidiary of FHN entered into agreements with several providers of private mortgage insurance whereby the subsidiary agreed to accept insurance risk for specified loss corridors for pools of loans originated in each contract year in exchange for a portion of the PMI premiums paid by borrowers (i.e., reinsurance arrangements). The loss corridors varied for each primary insurer for each contract year. The estimation of FHN's exposure to losses under these arrangements involved the determination of FHN's maximum loss exposure by applying the low and high ends of the loss corridor range to a fixed amount that is specified in each contract. FHN then performed an estimation of total loss content within each insured pool of loans to determine the degree to which its loss corridor had been penetrated. Management obtained the assistance of a third-party actuarial firm in developing its estimation of loss content. This process included consideration of factors such as delinquency trends, default rates, and housing prices which were used to estimate both the frequency and severity of losses. No new reinsurance arrangements were initiated after 2008. As of June 30, 2011, FHN had settled all of its reinsurance obligations with primary insurers through termination of the related reinsurance agreement and transfer of the associated trust assets.

Table of Contents

The following table provides a rollforward of the reinsurance reserve for the periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

Table 19 Reserves for Reinsurance Losses

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|
| | June 30 | | June 30 | |
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Beginning balance | \$ 1,698 | \$ 29,480 | \$ 11,187 | \$ 29,321 |
| Expense recognized | | (1,267) | | (789) |
| Payments to primary insurers | | (1,490) | (2,757) | (1,809) |
| Reduction of liability from settlements | (1,698) | (13,589) | (8,430) | (13,589) |
| Ending balance | \$ | \$ 13,134 | \$ | \$ 13,134 |

MARKET UNCERTAINTIES AND PROSPECTIVE TRENDS

Continued uncertainties surrounding the housing market, the national economy, and the regulatory environment will continue to present challenges for FHN. Despite the significant reduction of legacy national lending operations, the ongoing economic stress could continue to affect borrower defaults resulting in elevated repurchase losses. See the Repurchase and Related Obligations from Loans Originated for Sale section and Critical Accounting Policies for additional discussion regarding FHN's repurchase obligations. Although the economy has shown some signs of improvement, economic conditions could regress and could result in increased credit costs and loan loss provisioning. A slow or uneven economic recovery or deterioration could continue to suppress loan demand from borrowers resulting in continued pressure on net interest income.

Regulatory Matters

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (Reform Law) mandates significant change across the industry and authorizes many expansive new regulations to be issued in the future. It is uncertain at this time exactly how the Reform Law and associated regulations will affect FHN and the industry. It is likely, however, that in the foreseeable future the Reform Law will result in increased compliance costs and risk while also reducing revenues and margins of certain products. Because the full impact of the Reform Law may not be known for some time, FHN will continue to assess the effect of the legislation on the Company as the associated regulations are adopted.

The Durbin Amendment to the Reform Law empowers the Federal Reserve Board (FRB) to set prices for debit interchange services that banks provide. In second quarter 2011, the FRB finalized the debit card interchange rule capping base fees at 21 cents per transaction with an additional 5 basis points available to cover fraud losses. Based on this final ruling, it estimated that FHN's annual revenue from interchange fees could be reduced by approximately \$15 million to \$20 million. A substantial portion of the lost revenue should be mitigated by increasing transactional and product fees, modification of deposit products, and reducing fee waivers.

International banking industry regulators have largely agreed upon significant changes in the regulation of capital required to be held by banks and their holding companies to support their businesses. The new international rules, known as Basel III , generally increase the capital required to be held and narrow the types of instruments which will qualify as providing appropriate capital. The Basel III requirements are complex and will be phased in over many years. The Basel III rules do not apply to U.S. banks or holding companies automatically. Among other things, the Reform Law requires U.S. regulators to reform the system under which the safety and soundness of banks and other financial institutions, individually and systemically, are regulated. That reform effort will include the regulation of capital. It is not known whether or to what extent the U.S. regulators will incorporate elements of Basel III into the reformed U.S. regulatory system, but it is expected that the U.S. reforms will include an increase in capital requirements and a narrowing of what qualifies as appropriate capital.

Foreclosure Practices

The focus on judicial foreclosure practices of financial institutions nationwide has expanded to include non-judicial foreclosures and loss mitigation practices and the effective coordination of foreclosure and loss mitigation activities, which could impact FHN through increased operational and legal costs.

Table of Contents

By the end of 2010, FHN had reviewed its foreclosure processes relating to its portfolio loans and no material issues were identified. FHN has continued to review and revise its foreclosure processes, as appropriate, in coordination with loss mitigation practices and to continue to monitor these processes with the goal of conforming them to changing servicing requirements as appropriate.

FHN's national mortgage and servicing platforms were sold in August 2008 and the related servicing activities, including foreclosure and loss mitigation of the still-owned portion of FHN's mortgage servicing portfolio are outsourced through a subservicing arrangement (the 2008 subservicing agreement) with the platform buyer (the 2008 subservicer). Regarding the subserviced loan portfolio, under the terms of the 2008 subservicing agreement, the subservicer is required to service the loans covered in accordance with: applicable law, including regulatory requirements; applicable servicing requirements as set forth in sale, securitization, agency guide, insurer, investor agreements; the mortgage loan documents; and accepted servicing practices of a prudent mortgage lending servicer including evolving interpretations of applicable servicing requirements including new requirements as defined in the subservicing agreement. The 2008 subservicing agreement also contains a provision allowing the 2008 subservicer to follow FHN's practices as they existed for the 180 days prior to August 2008 if they are followed in all material respects utilizing personnel of equivalent experience and existing systems and processes unless and until the 2008 subservicer becomes aware that the servicing practices do not comply with applicable servicing requirements. The 2008 subservicer would be required to indemnify FHN for breach of the terms of the 2008 subservicing agreement or for continuing a practice with knowledge that it violated accepted servicing requirements. FHN has worked with the 2008 subservicer to stay abreast of evolving regulatory, investor and where applicable, judicial requirements relating to foreclosures and loss mitigation and insure their implementation.

The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) and other banking regulators have completed examinations of the foreclosure practices of 14 federally regulated mortgage servicers, including FHN's 2008 subservicer, and have announced that they have entered into consent decrees with several of the institutions that impose new servicing standards in the areas of foreclosure and loss mitigation. The 2008 subservicer has advised FHN that it has implemented or is in the process of implementing the new servicing standards. As a result of the above examinations, on June 30, 2011 the OCC issued Supervisory Guidance relating to Foreclosure Management in OCC Bulletin 2011-29 setting forth the OCC's expectations for the oversight and management of mortgage foreclosure activities for national banks, and requiring self-assessments of foreclosure management practices including compliance with legal requirements, and testing and file reviews. The Supervisory Guidance incorporates by reference the April 2011 Interagency Review of Foreclosure Practices which incorporates the foreclosure practices examinations findings referenced above and OCC third-party vendor management requirements including the application of such requirements to entities including law firms involved in the foreclosure process. If weaknesses are discovered in the foreclosure management process through the self-assessment, corrective action is required including remediation where appropriate for financial harm to borrowers. FHN is reviewing the Supervisory Guidance and anticipates utilizing a third party for its self-assessment of mortgage servicing in the areas of loss mitigation and foreclosure practices. Going forward, FHN's assessment will include its new subservicer (see discussion regarding new subservicer under the Mortgage Subservicing Agreement section). In connection with the 2008 subservicer's self assessment, FHN will cooperate with the prior subservicer to identify any servicing deficiencies in foreclosure and loss mitigation that impact FHN's subserviced loans.

In addition, the attorneys general of all 50 states have concluded a joint investigation of foreclosure practices across the industry and issued a 27 page settlement term sheet outlining sweeping changes in servicing practices and substantial penalties. The media have reported that the attorneys general, the Justice Department, the Department of HUD, the Federal Trade Commission, and the Treasury Department are attempting to negotiate a settlement with several large mortgage servicers. FHN is not a party to those discussions and FHN's 2008 subservicer has advised it has not been involved in those discussions. Although FHN is not a party to the settlement discussions, the terms of the final settlement will have an industry wide impact on servicing practices related to loss mitigations and foreclosure. Also, as it relates to foreclosure practices, there have been both favorable and unfavorable rulings by courts in various states involving the requirements for proof of ownership of securitized mortgage loans. The ultimate impact of these decisions on the procedures and documentation required to foreclose securitized mortgage loans is not yet fully

developed but could be

Table of Contents

unfavorable. FHN continues to work with its 2008 subservicer and foreclosure counsel with the goal of ensuring that appropriate proof of ownership and documentation is presented at the time of each foreclosure proceeding. FHN anticipates industry wide changes in foreclosure and loss mitigation practices in response to the new servicing standards introduced by the OCC including those identified in OCC Supervisory Guidance and other federal regulators in the consent decrees, the FRB's proposal on servicing practices, regulations issued by the Consumer Finance Protection Bureau, and state laws modifying foreclosure and loss mitigation requirements. FHN cannot predict the costs of implementing the new servicing requirements. It also remains unclear what actions will be taken by individual states through attorneys general or other third parties including borrowers, related to foreclosure and loss mitigation practices in the industry or specific actions by FHN or by its subservicer. FHN cannot predict the amount of additional operating costs related to foreclosure delays including required process changes, increased default services, extended periods of servicing advances and the recoverability of such advances, legal expenses, or other costs that may be incurred as a result of the internal reviews or external actions. Accordingly, FHN is unable to determine a probable loss or estimate a range of reasonably possible loss due to uncertainty related to these matters. No reserve has been established.

Subserviced Loan Modification Programs

The significant increase in the volume of delinquencies and defaults in residential mortgage loans coupled with the complexities of various loan modification programs has resulted in increased subservicing costs, expanded regulatory supervision, and increased exposure to borrower and investor complaints and litigation.

Since 2008, residential mortgage loan servicers have implemented numerous loan modification and foreclosure relief programs according to evolving investor requirements, applicable laws and accepted servicing practices.

Approximately 140,000 residential mortgage loans were subserviced for FHN as of June 30, 2011, consisting primarily of GSE loans and loans in proprietary securitizations. Loss mitigation programs are available to eligible borrowers with GSE and securitization loans. The programs implemented by the GSEs are based largely on the HAMP and other programs developed by federal agencies. The loans in proprietary securitizations are subject to proprietary modification guidelines developed by FHN in compliance with the terms of the securitization agreements. FHN's proprietary modification program conforms to industry practices as it relates to the specific requirements of securitized loans.

Mortgage Subservicing Agreement

FHN maintains a sizeable mortgage servicing portfolio from legacy mortgage operations which has been subserviced by the purchaser of the national mortgage business since 2008. The 2008 subservicing agreement will expire in August 2011. FHN has entered into a contract with a new subservicer that will take over the servicing of these mortgage loans for FHN. FHN currently expects that under the new subservicing agreement, FHN's direct expense from subservicing fees will increase by approximately \$3 million to \$4 million per quarter, which are expected to be offset in part by improved loss mitigation results and reduced losses overall. In connection with the new subservicing agreement, FHN expects to incur approximately \$5 million to \$6 million of transaction costs associated with the transition in third quarter 2011.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES**APPLICATION OF CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES**

FHN's accounting policies are fundamental to understanding management's discussion and analysis of results of operations and financial condition. The Consolidated Financial Statements of FHN are prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and follow general practices within the industries in which it operates. The preparation of the financial statements requires management to make certain judgments and assumptions in determining accounting estimates. Accounting estimates are considered critical if (1) the estimate requires management to make assumptions about matters that were highly uncertain at the time the accounting estimate was made and (2) different estimates reasonably could have been used in the current period, or changes in the accounting estimate are reasonably likely to occur from period to period, that would have a material impact on the presentation of FHN's financial condition, changes in financial condition or results of operations.

Table of Contents

It is management's practice to discuss critical accounting policies with the Board of Directors' Audit Committee including the development, selection, and disclosure of the critical accounting estimates. Management believes the following critical accounting policies are both important to the portrayal of the company's financial condition and results of operations and require subjective or complex judgments. These judgments about critical accounting estimates are based on information available as of the date of the financial statements.

ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

Management's policy is to maintain the ALLL at a level sufficient to absorb estimated probable incurred losses in the loan portfolio. Management performs periodic and systematic detailed reviews of its loan portfolio to identify trends and to assess the overall collectability of the loan portfolio. Accounting standards require that loan losses be recorded when management determines it is probable that a loss has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. Management believes the accounting estimate related to the ALLL is a critical accounting estimate as: (1) changes in it can materially affect the provision for loan losses and net income, (2) it requires management to predict borrowers' likelihood or capacity to repay, and (3) it requires management to distinguish between losses incurred as of a balance sheet date and losses expected to be incurred in the future. Accordingly, this is a highly subjective process and requires significant judgment since it is often difficult to determine when specific loss events may actually occur. The ALLL is increased by the provision for loan losses and recoveries and is decreased by charged-off loans. Principal loan amounts are charged off against the ALLL in the period in which the loan or any portion of the loan is deemed to be uncollectible. This critical accounting estimate applies to the regional banking, non-strategic, and corporate segments. A management committee comprised of representatives from Risk Management, Finance, and Credit performs a quarterly review of the assumptions used and FHN's ALLL analytical models, qualitative assessments of the loan portfolio, and determines if qualitative adjustments should be recommended to the modeled results. On a quarterly basis, as a part of Enterprise Risk reporting and discussion, management addresses credit reserve adequacy and credit losses with the Executive and Risk Committee of FHN's Board of Directors.

FHN believes that the critical assumptions underlying the accounting estimate made by management include: (1) the commercial loan portfolio has been properly risk graded based on information about borrowers in specific industries and specific issues with respect to single borrowers; (2) borrower specific information made available to FHN is current and accurate; (3) the loan portfolio has been segmented properly and individual loans have similar credit risk characteristics and will behave similarly; (4) known significant loss events that have occurred were considered by management at the time of assessing the adequacy of the ALLL; (5) the adjustments for economic conditions utilized in the allowance for loan losses estimate are used as a measure of actual incurred losses; (6) the period of history used for historical loss factors is indicative of the current environment; and (7) the reserve rates, as well as other adjustments estimated by management for current events, trends, and conditions, utilized in the process reflect an estimate of losses that have been incurred as of the date of the financial statements.

While management uses the best information available to establish the ALLL, future adjustments to the ALLL and methodology may be necessary if economic or other conditions differ substantially from the assumptions used in making the estimates or, if required by regulators, based upon information at the time of their examinations. Such adjustments to original estimates, as necessary, are made in the period in which these factors and other relevant considerations indicate that loss levels vary from previous estimates.

MORTGAGE SERVICING RIGHTS (MSR) AND OTHER RELATED RETAINED INTERESTS

When FHN sold mortgage loans in the secondary market to investors, it generally retained the right to service the loans sold in exchange for a servicing fee that is collected over the life of the loan as the payments are received from the borrower. An amount was capitalized as MSR on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition at current fair value. The changes in fair value of MSR are included as a component of Mortgage banking noninterest income on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.

MSR Estimated Fair Value

FHN has elected fair value accounting for all classes of mortgage servicing rights. The fair value of MSR typically rises as market interest rates increase and declines as market interest rates decrease; however, the extent to which this occurs depends

Table of Contents

in part on (1) the magnitude of changes in market interest rates and (2) the differential between the then current market interest rates for mortgage loans and the mortgage interest rates included in the mortgage-servicing portfolio. Since sales of MSR tend to occur in private transactions and the precise terms and conditions of the sales are typically not readily available, there is a limited market to refer to in determining the fair value of MSR. As such, FHN relies primarily on a discounted cash flow model to estimate the fair value of its MSR. This model calculates estimated fair value of the MSR using predominant risk characteristics of MSR, such as interest rates, type of product (fixed vs. variable), age (new, seasoned, or moderate), agency type, and other factors. FHN uses assumptions in the model that it believes are comparable to those used by other participants in the mortgage banking business and reviews estimated fair values and assumptions with third-party brokers and other service providers on a quarterly basis. FHN also compares its estimates of fair value and assumptions to recent market activity and against its own experience. Estimating the cash flow components of net servicing income from the loan and the resultant fair value of the MSR requires FHN to make several critical assumptions based upon current market and loan production data.

Prepayment Speeds: Generally, when market interest rates decline and other factors favorable to prepayments occur, there is a corresponding increase in prepayments as customers refinance existing mortgages under more favorable interest rate terms. When a mortgage loan is prepaid the anticipated cash flows associated with servicing that loan are terminated, resulting in a reduction of the fair value of the capitalized MSR. To the extent that actual borrower prepayments do not react as anticipated by the prepayment model (i.e., the historical data observed in the model does not correspond to actual market activity), it is possible that the prepayment model could fail to accurately predict mortgage prepayments and could result in significant earnings volatility. To estimate prepayment speeds, FHN utilizes a third-party prepayment model, which is based upon statistically derived data linked to certain key principal indicators involving historical borrower prepayment activity associated with mortgage loans in the secondary market, current market interest rates, and other factors. For purposes of model valuation, estimates are made for each product type within the MSR portfolio on a monthly basis.

Table 20 Prepayment Assumptions

| | Three Months Ended June 30 | |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|-------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Prepayment speeds | | |
| Actual | 17.5% | 16.3% |
| Estimated* | 20.6 | 24.5 |

* Estimated prepayment speeds represent average monthly prepayment speed estimates for each of the periods presented.

Discount Rate: Represents the rate at which expected cash flows are discounted to arrive at the net present value of servicing income. Discount rates will change with market conditions (i.e., supply vs. demand) and be reflective of the yields expected to be earned by market participants investing in MSR.

Cost to Service: Expected costs to service are estimated based upon the incremental costs that a market participant would use in evaluating the potential acquisition of MSR.

Float Income: Estimated float income is driven by expected float balances (principal, interest, and escrow payments that are held pending remittance to the investor or other third-party) and current market interest rates, including the thirty-day LIBOR and five-year swap interest rates, which are updated on a monthly basis for purposes of estimating the fair value of MSR. FHN engages in a process referred to as price discovery on a quarterly basis to assess the reasonableness of the estimated fair value of MSR. Price discovery is conducted through a process of obtaining the following information: (1) quarterly informal (and an annual formal) valuation of the servicing portfolio by prominent independent mortgage-servicing brokers and (2) a collection of surveys and benchmarking data made available by independent third parties that include peer participants in the mortgage banking business. Although there is no single source of market information that can be relied upon to assess the fair value of MSR, FHN reviews all information

obtained during price discovery to determine whether the estimated fair value of

Table of Contents

MSR is reasonable when compared to market information. On June 30, 2011 and 2010, FHN determined that its MSR valuations and assumptions were reasonable based on the price discovery process.

The MSR Hedging Committee reviews the overall assessment of the estimated fair value of MSR monthly and is responsible for approving the critical assumptions used by management to determine the estimated fair value of FHN's MSR. In addition, this committee reviews the source of significant changes to the MSR carrying value each quarter and is responsible for current hedges and approving hedging strategies.

Hedging the Fair Value of MSR

FHN enters into financial agreements to hedge MSR in order to minimize the effects of loss in value of MSR associated with increased prepayment activity that generally results from declining interest rates. In a rising interest rate environment, the value of the MSR generally will increase while the value of the hedge instruments will decline. Specifically, FHN enters into interest rate contracts (including swaps, swaptions, and mortgage forward purchase contracts) to hedge against the effects of changes in fair value of its MSR. Substantially all capitalized MSR are hedged. The hedges are economic hedges only, and are terminated and reestablished as needed to respond to changes in market conditions. Changes in the value of the hedges are recognized as a component of net servicing income in Mortgage banking noninterest income. Successful economic hedging will help minimize earnings volatility that may result from carrying MSR at fair value. FHN determines the fair value of the derivatives used to hedge MSR (and excess interests as discussed below) using quoted prices for identical instruments in valuing forwards and using inputs observed in active markets for similar instruments with typical inputs including the LIBOR curve, option volatility, and option skew in valuing swaps and swaptions.

FHN does not specifically hedge the change in fair value of MSR attributed to other risks, including unanticipated prepayments (representing the difference between actual prepayment experience and estimated prepayments derived from the model, as described above), discount rates, cost to service, and other factors. To the extent that these other factors result in changes to the fair value of MSR, FHN experiences volatility in current earnings due to the fact that these risks are not currently hedged.

Excess Interest (Interest-Only Strips) Fair Value Residential Mortgage Loans

In certain cases, when FHN sold mortgage loans in the secondary market, it retained an interest in the mortgage loans sold primarily through excess interest. These financial assets represent rights to receive earnings from serviced assets that exceed contractually specified servicing fees and are legally separable from the base servicing rights. Consistent with MSR, the fair value of excess interest typically rises as market interest rates increase and declines as market interest rates decrease. Additionally, similar to MSR, the market for excess interest is limited, and the precise terms of transactions involving excess interest are typically not readily available. Accordingly, FHN relies primarily on a discounted cash flow model to estimate the fair value of its excess interest.

Estimating the cash flow components and the resultant fair value of the excess interest requires FHN to make certain critical assumptions based upon current market and loan production data. The primary critical assumptions used by FHN to estimate the fair value of excess interest include prepayment speeds and discount rates, as discussed above. FHN's excess interest is included as a component of trading securities on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition, with realized and unrealized gains and losses included in current earnings as a component of Mortgage banking income on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.

Hedging the Fair Value of Excess Interest

FHN utilizes derivatives (including swaps, swaptions, and mortgage forward purchase contracts) that change in value inversely to the movement of interest rates to protect the value of its excess interest as an economic hedge. Realized and unrealized gains and losses associated with the change in fair value of derivatives used in the economic hedge of excess interest are included in current earnings in Mortgage banking noninterest income as a component of servicing income. Excess interest is included in trading securities with changes in fair value recognized currently in earnings in Mortgage banking noninterest income as a component of servicing income.

Table of Contents

The extent to which the change in fair value of excess interest is offset by the change in fair value of the derivatives used to hedge this asset depends primarily on the hedge coverage ratio maintained by FHN. As previously noted, to the extent that actual borrower prepayments do not react as anticipated by the prepayment model (i.e., the historical data observed in the model does not correspond to actual market activity), it is possible that the prepayment model could fail to accurately predict mortgage prepayments, which could significantly impact FHN's ability to effectively hedge certain components of the change in fair value of excess interest and could result in significant earnings volatility.

REPURCHASE AND FORECLOSURE LIABILITY

Prior to 2009, as a means to provide liquidity for its legacy mortgage banking business, FHN originated loans through its legacy mortgage business, primarily first lien home loans, with the intention of selling them. From 2005 through 2008, FHN originated and sold \$69.5 billion of such loans to GSEs. Although additional GSE sales occurred in earlier years, a substantial majority of GSE repurchase requests have come from that period. In addition, from 2000 through 2007, FHN securitized \$47.0 billion of such loans without recourse in proprietary transactions. Of the amount originally securitized, \$36.7 billion relates to securitization trusts that are still active.

Sales typically were effected either as non-recourse whole-loan sales or through non-recourse proprietary securitizations. Conventional conforming and federally insured single-family residential mortgage loans were sold predominately to GSEs. Many mortgage loan originations, especially those that did not meet criteria for whole loan sales to GSEs (nonconforming mortgage loans) were sold to investors predominantly through proprietary securitizations but also, to a lesser extent, through whole loan sales to private non-GSE purchasers. In addition, through its legacy mortgage business FHN originated with the intent to sell and sold HELOC and second lien mortgages through whole loan sales to private purchasers.

For loans sold or securitized without recourse, FHN has obligations to either repurchase the loan for its outstanding principal balance or make the purchaser whole for the economic benefits of the loan if it is determined that the loan sold was in violation of representations or warranties made by FHN upon closing of the sale. Contractual representations and warranties vary significantly depending upon the transaction to transfer interests in the loans. Typical whole loan sales include relatively broad representations and warranties, while proprietary securitizations include more limited representations and warranties. Refer to the Repurchase and Related Obligations from Loans Originated for Sale within MD&A for a discussion of representation and warranties for loans sold or securitized.

Repurchase Accrual Methodology

The estimated probable incurred losses that result from these obligations are derived from loss severities that are reflective of default and delinquency trends in residential real estate loans and lower housing prices, which result in fair value marks below par for repurchased loans when the loans are recorded on FHN's balance sheet within loans HFS upon repurchase. In estimation of the accrued liability for loan repurchases and make-whole obligations, FHN estimates probable incurred losses in the population of all loans sold based on trends in claims requests and actual loss severities observed by management. The liability includes accruals for probable losses beyond what is observable in the ending pipeline of repurchase/make-whole requests and active GSE PMI cancellations at any given balance sheet date. The estimation process begins with internally developed proprietary models that are used to assist in developing a baseline in evaluating inherent repurchase-related loss content. These models are designed to capture historical loss content from actual repurchase activity experienced. The baseline for the repurchase reserve uses historical loss factors that are applied to the loan pools originated in 2001 through 2008 and sold in years 2001 through 2009. Loss factors, tracked by year of loss, are calculated using actual losses incurred on repurchases or make-whole arrangements. The historical loss factors experienced are accumulated for each sale vintage and are applied to more recent sale vintages to estimate probable losses incurred but not yet realized.

In order to incorporate more current events, such as the level of repurchase requests or PMI cancellation notices, FHN then overlays management judgment within its estimation process for establishing appropriate reserve levels. For repurchase requests (the active pipeline) related to breach of representations and warranties, the active pipeline is segregated into various components (e.g., requestor, repurchase, or make-whole) and current rescission (successful resolutions) and loss severity rates are applied to calculate estimated losses attributable to the current pipeline. When assessing the adequacy of the repurchase reserve, management also considers trends in the amounts and composition

of new inflows into the pipeline. FHN has

Table of Contents

observed loss severities (actual losses incurred as a percentage of the UPB) ranging between 50 percent and 60 percent of the principal balance of the repurchased loans and cumulative average rescission rates between 45 percent and 55 percent of the repurchase and make-whole requests. FHN then compares the estimated losses inherent within the pipeline with current reserve levels. On June 30, 2011, the active pipeline was \$450.8 million with over 90 percent of all unresolved repurchase and make-whole claims relating to loans sold to GSEs.

For purposes of estimating loss content, FHN also considers reviewed PMI cancellation notices where coverage has been cancelled. When assessing loss content related to loans where PMI has been cancelled, FHN first reviews the amount of unresolved PMI cancellations that are in the active pipeline and adjusts for any known facts or trends observed by management. Similar to the methodology for actual repurchase/make-whole requests, FHN applies loss factors (including probability and loss severity ratios) that were derived from actual incurred losses in past vintages to the amount of unresolved PMI pipeline for loans sold to GSEs. For GSE PMI cancellation notices, the methodology for determining the accrued liability contemplates a higher probability of loss compared with that applied to GSE repurchase/make-whole requests as FHN has been less successful in favorably resolving mortgage insurance cancellation notifications with PMI companies. Loss severity rates applied to GSE PMI cancellation notifications are consistent with those applied to actual GSE claims. For PMI cancellation notifications where coverage has been ultimately cancelled and are no longer included in the active pipeline, FHN applies a 100 percent repurchase rate in anticipation that such loans ultimately will result in repurchase/make-whole requests from the GSEs since PMI coverage for certain loans is a GSE requirement.

Active Pipeline

FHN has received a majority of the repurchase requests from loans that were sold to GSEs through whole loan sales with a smaller amount from investors in private whole loan sales. Generally, loan delinquency prompts a GSE's initial review of a loan file for violations of contractual representations and warranties. Currently, FHN services only \$9.7 billion of the loans sold to GSEs and therefore has no visibility into the current status (i.e., current UPB, delinquency, refinance activity, etc.) of the loans that were sold that FHN no longer services. This presents uncertainty in estimating future repurchase claims from GSEs without knowing the current performing status of loans sold potentially subject to contractual representations and warranties. Uncertainty also exists in estimating repurchase obligations due to incomplete knowledge regarding the status of investors' reviews. A sizeable percentage of the active pipeline is related to notices of private mortgage insurance cancellations. In determining adequacy of the repurchase reserve, FHN considered \$208.9 million in UPB of loans sold where PMI coverage was lost which inherently presents additional uncertainty when estimating probable incurred losses as it is difficult to predict the amount of PMI cancellations that will ultimately materialize into formal repurchase requests. To date, a majority of PMI cancellation notices have involved loans sold to GSEs, and no repurchase requests have yet arisen from PMI cancellations involving securitized loans. At June 30, 2011, all estimated loss content arising from PMI cancellation matters related to loans sold to GSEs.

Loans Sold With Full or Limited Recourse

In addition, certain mortgage loans were sold to investors with limited or full recourse in the event of mortgage foreclosure. FHN has sold certain agency mortgage loans with full recourse under agreements to repurchase the loans upon default. Loans sold with full recourse generally include mortgage loans sold to investors in the secondary market which are uninsurable under government guaranteed mortgage loan programs due to issues associated with underwriting activities, documentation, or other concerns. For mortgage insured single-family residential loans, in the event of borrower nonperformance, FHN would assume losses to the extent they exceed the value of the collateral and private mortgage insurance, FHA insurance, or VA guaranty. On June 30, 2011 and 2010, the current UPB of single-family residential loans that were sold on a full recourse basis with servicing retained was \$52.3 million and \$64.2 million, respectively.

Loans sold with limited recourse include loans sold under government guaranteed mortgage loan programs including the Federal Housing Administration (FHA) and Veterans Administration (VA). FHN continues to absorb losses due to uncollected interest and foreclosure costs and/or limited risk of credit losses in the event of foreclosure of the mortgage loan sold. Generally, the amount of recourse liability in the event of foreclosure is determined based upon the respective government program and/or the sale or disposal of the foreclosed property collateralizing the mortgage

loan. Another instance of limited recourse is the VA/No bid. In this case, the VA guarantee is limited and FHN may be required to fund any deficiency

Table of Contents

in excess of the VA guarantee if the loan goes to foreclosure. On June 30, 2011 and 2010, the outstanding principal balance of loans sold with limited recourse arrangements (primarily VA loans) where some portion of the principal is at risk and serviced by FHN was \$3.1 and \$3.2 billion, respectively. Additionally, on June 30, 2011 and 2010, \$.7 billion and \$.9 billion, respectively, of mortgage loans (primarily FHA insured) were outstanding which were sold under limited recourse arrangements where the risk is limited to interest and servicing advances.

Repurchase and Foreclosure Liability

FHN has evaluated its exposure under all of these obligations, including a smaller amount related to equity-lending junior lien loan sales, and accordingly, has reserved for losses of \$171.9 million and \$164.6 million as of June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Liabilities for FHN's estimate of these obligations are reflected in Other liabilities on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition while expense is included within Repurchase and foreclosure provision on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income. At June 30, 2011, FHN had not accrued for exposure for repurchase of loans related to proprietary securitizations arising from claims that FHN breached its representations and warranties made at closing, nor for exposure for investment rescission or damages arising from claims by investors that offering documents under which the loans were securitized were materially deficient. See Note 9 Contingencies and Other Disclosure and the Repurchase and Related Obligations from Loans Originated for Sale section in this MD&A for additional information regarding FHN's repurchase and make-whole obligations.

GOODWILL AND ASSESSMENT OF IMPAIRMENT

FHN's policy is to assess goodwill for impairment at the reporting unit level on an annual basis or between annual assessments if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount. Impairment is the condition that exists when the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value. FHN also allocates goodwill to the disposal of portions of reporting units in accordance with applicable accounting standards. FHN performs impairment analysis when these disposal actions indicate that an impairment of goodwill may exist.

Accounting standards require management to estimate the fair value of each reporting unit in assessing impairment at least annually. As such, FHN engages an independent valuation expert to assist in the computation of the fair value estimates of each reporting unit as part of its annual assessment. The 2010 assessment for the regional banking reporting unit utilized three separate methodologies: a discounted cash flow model, a comparison to similar public company's trading values and a comparison to recent acquisition values. A weighted average calculation was performed to determine the estimated fair value of the regional banking reporting unit. A discounted cash flow methodology was utilized in determining the fair value of the capital markets reporting unit. The valuations as of October 1, 2010 indicated no goodwill impairment in any of the reporting units. As of the measurement date, the fair value of regional banking and capital markets exceeded their carrying values by 33 percent and 245 percent, respectively.

Management believes the accounting estimates associated with determining fair value as part of the goodwill impairment test is a critical accounting estimate because estimates and assumptions are made about FHN's future performance and cash flows, as well as other prevailing market factors (interest rates, economic trends, etc.). FHN's policy allows management to make the determination of fair value using appropriate valuation methodologies and inputs, including utilization of market observable data and internal cash flow models. If a charge to operations for impairment results, this amount would be reported separately as a component of noninterest expense. This critical accounting estimate applies to the regional banking and capital markets business segments. As of June 30, 2011, the corporate and non-strategic segments had no associated goodwill. In first quarter 2011, the non-strategic segment recognized goodwill impairment of \$10.1 million related to the contracted sale of FHI. FHN allocated a portion of the goodwill from the applicable reporting unit to the asset group held for sale in determining the carrying value of the disposal group. In determining the amount of impairment, FHN compared the carrying value of the disposal group to the estimated value of the contracted sale price, which primarily included observable inputs in the form of financial asset values but which also included certain non-observable inputs related to the estimated values of post-closing events and contingencies. Impairment of goodwill was recognized for the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of the disposal group. Reporting units have been defined as the same level as the operating business segments.

Table of Contents

The impairment testing process conducted by FHN begins by assigning net assets and goodwill to each reporting unit. FHN then completes step one of the impairment test by comparing the fair value of each reporting unit with the recorded book value (or carrying amount) of its net assets, with goodwill included in the computation of the carrying amount. If the fair value of a reporting unit exceeds its carrying amount, goodwill of that reporting unit is not considered impaired, and step two of the impairment test is not necessary. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value, step two of the impairment test is performed to determine the amount of impairment. Step two of the impairment test compares the carrying amount of the reporting unit's goodwill to the implied fair value of that goodwill. The implied fair value of goodwill is computed by assuming all assets and liabilities of the reporting unit would be adjusted to the current fair value, with the offset as an adjustment to goodwill. This adjusted goodwill balance is the implied fair value used in step two. An impairment charge is recognized for the amount by which the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value.

In connection with obtaining the independent valuation, management provided certain data and information that was utilized in the estimation of fair value. This information included budgeted and forecasted earnings of FHN at the reporting unit level. Management believes that this information is a critical assumption underlying the estimate of fair value. Other assumptions critical to the process were also made, including discount rates, asset and liability growth rates, and other income and expense estimates.

While management uses the best information available to estimate future performance for each reporting unit, future adjustments to management's projections may be necessary if conditions differ substantially from the assumptions used in making the estimates.

INCOME TAXES

FHN is subject to the income tax laws of the U.S. and the states and jurisdictions in which it operates. FHN accounts for income taxes in accordance with ASC 740, Income Taxes.

Income tax expense consists of both current and deferred taxes. Current income tax expense is an estimate of taxes to be paid or refunded for the current period and includes income tax expense related to uncertain tax positions. The balance sheet method is used to determine deferred taxes. Under this method, the net DTA or DTL is based on the tax consequences of differences between the book and tax bases of assets and liabilities, which are determined by applying enacted statutory rates applicable to future years to these temporary differences. Deferred taxes can be affected by changes in tax rates applicable to future years, either as a result of statutory changes or business changes that may change the jurisdictions in which taxes are paid. Additionally, deferred tax assets are subject to a more likely than not test. If the more likely than not test is not met a valuation allowance must be established against the deferred tax asset. On June 30, 2011, FHN's net DTA was \$176 million with no related valuation allowance. FHN evaluates the likelihood of realization of the net DTA based on both positive and negative evidence available at the time. FHN's three-year cumulative loss position at June 30, 2011, is significant negative evidence in determining whether the realizability of the DTA is more likely than not. However, FHN believes that the negative evidence of the three-year cumulative loss is overcome by sufficient positive evidence that the DTA will ultimately be realized. The positive evidence includes several different factors. First, a significant amount of the cumulative losses occurred in businesses that FHN has exited or is in the process of exiting. Secondly, FHN forecasts substantially more taxable income in the carryforward period, exclusive of potential tax planning strategies, even under conservative assumptions.

Additionally, FHN has sufficient carryback positions, reversing DTL, and potential tax planning strategies to fully realize its DTA. FHN believes that it will realize the net DTA within a significantly shorter period of time than the twenty year carryforward period allowed under the tax rules. Based on current analysis, FHN believes that its ability to realize the recognized \$176 million net DTA is more likely than not.

The income tax laws of the jurisdictions in which FHN operate are complex and subject to different interpretations by the taxpayer and the relevant government taxing authorities. In establishing a provision for income tax expense, FHN must make judgments and interpretations about the application of these inherently complex tax laws. Interpretations may be subjected to review during examination by taxing authorities and disputes may arise over the respective tax positions. FHN attempts to resolve disputes that may arise during the tax examination and audit process. However, certain disputes may ultimately have to be resolved through the federal and state court systems.

Table of Contents

FHN monitors relevant tax authorities and revises estimates of accrued income taxes on a quarterly basis. Changes in estimates may occur due to changes in income tax laws and their interpretation by the courts and regulatory authorities. Revisions of estimates may also result from income tax planning and from the resolution of income tax controversies. Such revisions in estimates may be material to operating results for any given period.

CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

A liability is contingent if the amount or outcome is not presently known, but may become known in the future as a result of the occurrence of some uncertain future event. FHN estimates its contingent liabilities based on management's estimates about the probability of outcomes and their ability to estimate the range of exposure. Accounting standards require that a liability be recorded if management determines that it is probable that a loss has occurred and the loss can be reasonably estimated. In addition, it must be probable that the loss will be confirmed by some future event. As part of the estimation process, management is required to make assumptions about matters that are by their nature highly uncertain.

The assessment of contingent liabilities, including legal contingencies, involves the use of critical estimates, assumptions, and judgments. Management's estimates are based on their belief that future events will validate the current assumptions regarding the ultimate outcome of these exposures. However, there can be no assurance that future events, such as court decisions or decisions of arbitrators, will not differ from management's assessments. Whenever practicable, management consults with third-party experts (attorneys, accountants, claims administrators, etc.) to assist with the gathering and evaluation of information related to contingent liabilities. Based on internally and/or externally prepared evaluations, management makes a determination whether the potential exposure requires accrual in the financial statements.

ACCOUNTING CHANGES ISSUED BUT NOT CURRENTLY EFFECTIVE

In June 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-05, Presentation of Comprehensive Income (ASU 2011-05). ASU 2011-05 requires that net income and other comprehensive income be presented either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. ASU 2011-05 also provides that regardless of the method used to present comprehensive income, presentation is required on the face of the financial statements of reclassification adjustments for items that are reclassified from other comprehensive income to net income. ASU 2011-05 does not change the current option for entities to present components of other comprehensive income gross or net of the effect of income taxes, provided that such tax effects are presented in the statement in which other comprehensive income is presented or disclosed in the notes to the financial statements. The provisions of ASU 2011-05 are effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2011, with retrospective application to all periods presented in the financial statements required. Early adoption of the provisions in ASU 2011-05 is permitted, and no transition disclosures are required upon adoption. FHN is currently assessing the effects of adopting the provisions of ASU 2011-05.

In May 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-04, Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs (ASU 2011-04). ASU 2011-04 provides that the highest-and-best use and valuation-premise concepts included in ASC 820 are only relevant when measuring the fair value of nonfinancial assets, thereby prohibiting the grouping of financial instruments for purposes of determining their fair values when the unit of account is specified in other guidance. However, under ASU 2011-04 an exception is permitted which allows an entity to measure the fair value of financial instruments that are managed on the basis of the entity's net exposure to a particular market risk, or to the credit risk of a particular counterparty, on a net basis when certain criteria are met. Such criteria include that there is evidence that the entity manages its financial instruments in that way, the entity applies such accounting policy election consistently from period to period, and the entity is required or has elected to measure those financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value in the statement of financial position at the end of each reporting period. Additionally, to qualify for the exception to the valuation premise, the market risks that are being offset must be substantially the same. ASU 2011-04 also extends ASC 820's prohibition on the use of blockage factors in fair value measurements to all three levels of the fair value hierarchy except for fair value measurements of Level 2 and 3 measurements when market participants would incorporate the premium or discount into the measurement at the level of the unit of account specified in other guidance. ASU 2011-04 also provides that an entity should measure the fair value of its own equity instruments from the perspective

of a market participant

Table of Contents

that holds the instruments as assets. Under ASC 820, as amended, expanded disclosures are required including disclosure of quantitative information about significant unobservable inputs used in Level 3 fair value measurements, a description of the valuation processes used by the entity, and a qualitative discussion about the sensitivity of the measurements. Additional disclosures required under ASU 2011-04 include disclosure of fair value by level for each class of assets and liabilities not recorded at fair value but for which fair value is disclosed, and disclosure of any transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy and the reasons for those transfers. The provisions of ASU 2011-04 are effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2011, with disclosure of the change, if any, in valuation technique and related inputs resulting from application of the amendments to ASC 820 required upon adoption, along with quantification of the total effect of the change, if practicable. FHN is currently assessing the effects of adopting the provisions of ASU 2011-04.

In April 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-03, *Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements* (ASU 2011-03). For entities that enter into agreements to transfer financial assets that both entitle and obligate the transferor to repurchase or redeem the financial assets before their maturity, ASU 2011-03 removes from the assessment of effective control under ASC 860, *Transfers and Servicing*, the criterion requiring the transferor to have the ability to repurchase or redeem the financial assets on substantially the agreed terms, even in the event of default by the transferee, as well as the collateral maintenance implementation guidance related to that criterion. Under ASC 860-10, as amended, the remaining criteria related to whether effective control over transferred financial assets has been maintained would still need to be evaluated, including whether the financial assets to be repurchased or redeemed are the same or substantially the same as those transferred, the agreement is to repurchase or redeem them before maturity at a fixed or determinable price, and whether the agreement is entered into contemporaneously with, or in contemplation of, the transfer. The provisions of ASU 2011-03 are effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2011, with prospective application to transactions or modifications of existing transactions that occur on or after the effective date. Since FHN accounts for all of its repurchase agreements as secured borrowings, adopting the provisions of ASU 2011-03 will not have an effect on FHN's statement of condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

In April 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-02, *A Creditor's Determination of Whether a Restructuring is a Troubled Debt Restructuring* (ASU 2011-02). ASU 2011-02 provides that a situation in which a market rate is not readily available is an indicator of a troubled debt restructuring, but not a determinative factor, and that an assessment should consider all modified terms of the restructuring when making a final determination regarding a troubled debt restructuring designation. ASU 2011-02 also provides that a modification that results in a temporary or permanent increase to the contractual interest rate cannot be presumed to be a rate that is at or above market. ASU 2011-02 explicitly precludes creditors from using the borrower's effective rate test in FASB Accounting Standards Codification 470-60, *Debt-Troubled Debt Restructuring by Debtors* (ASC 470-60) in its evaluation of whether a modification was executed at a market rate. Under the provisions of ASU 2011-02, a borrower that is not currently in default may still be considered to be experiencing financial difficulty when default is probable in the foreseeable future. ASU 2011-02 provides factors that an entity should consider when determining whether a delay in amount of payments is significant, as insignificant delays in cash flows would not be considered a concession to a borrower under its provisions. The provisions of ASU 2011-02 are effective for periods beginning after June 15, 2011, with retrospective application to the beginning of the annual period of adoption for identification and disclosure purposes, and prospective application for impairment purposes.

Disclosure of the total amount of loans and the associated reserves related to those loans that are considered impaired under ASC 310, *Receivables*, as a result of the clarification in guidance is required upon initial application. FHN is currently assessing the effects of adopting the provisions of ASU 2011-02.

Table of Contents**NON-GAAP Information**

The following table provides a reconciliation of non-GAAP items presented in this MD&A to the most comparable GAAP presentation:

Table 21 Non-GAAP to GAAP Reconciliation

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| | | June 30 |
| Net interest income adjusted for impact of FTE (Non-GAAP): | | |
| Regional Banking: | | |
| Net interest income (GAAP) | \$ 137,080 | \$ 138,179 |
| Fully taxable equivalent (FTE) adjustment | 1,353 | 427 |
| Net interest income adjusted for impact of FTE (Non-GAAP) | \$ 138,433 | \$ 138,606 |
| Capital Markets: | | |
| Net interest income (GAAP) | \$ 5,564 | \$ 4,824 |
| Fully taxable equivalent (FTE) adjustment | 76 | 66 |
| Net interest income adjusted for impact of FTE (Non-GAAP) | \$ 5,640 | \$ 4,890 |
| Corporate: | | |
| Net interest income (GAAP) | \$ 445 | \$ 1,113 |
| Fully taxable equivalent (FTE) adjustment | 68 | 35 |
| Net interest income adjusted for impact of FTE (Non-GAAP) | \$ 513 | \$ 1,148 |
| Non-Strategic: | | |
| Net interest income (GAAP) | \$ 29,771 | \$ 37,948 |
| Fully taxable equivalent (FTE) adjustment | | |
| Net interest income adjusted for impact of FTE (Non-GAAP) | \$ 29,771 | \$ 37,948 |
| Total Consolidated: | | |
| Net interest income (GAAP) | \$ 172,860 | \$ 182,064 |
| Fully taxable equivalent (FTE) adjustment | 1,497 | 528 |
| Net interest income adjusted for impact of FTE (Non-GAAP) | \$ 174,357 | \$ 182,592 |

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | June 30 | | December |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 31 2010 |
| Tier 1 Common (Non-GAAP): | | | |
| Tier 1 capital ^(a) | \$ 2,818,535 | \$ 3,499,759 | \$ 2,812,471 |
| Less: Preferred stock capital surplus CPP | | 806,856 | |
| Less: Noncontrolling interest FTBNA preferred stock ^{(b) (c)} | 294,816 | 294,816 | 294,816 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Less: Trust preferred ^(d) | 200,000 | 300,000 | 200,000 |
| Tier 1 common (Non-GAAP) | \$ 2,323,719 | \$ 2,098,087 | \$ 2,317,655 |

- (a) Defined by and calculated in conformity with bank regulations.
- (b) Represents FTBNA preferred stock included in noncontrolling interest.
- (c) Included in total equity on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition.
- (d) Included in term borrowings on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

The information called for by this item is contained in

- (a) Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included as Item 2 of Part I of this report, including in particular the section entitled Risk Management beginning on page 100 of this report and the subsections entitled Market Risk Management appearing on page 101 and Interest Rate Risk Management appearing on page 103 of this report,
 - (b) Note 15 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements appearing on pages 49-54 of this report,
 - (c) Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition appearing in FHN's 2010 Annual Report to shareholders, including in particular the section entitled Risk Management beginning on page 53 of that Report and the subsections entitled Market Risk Management appearing on page 54 and Interest Rate Risk Management appearing on pages 56-58 of that Report, and
 - (d) Note 25 to the Consolidated Financial Statements appearing on pages 191-197 of FHN's Annual Report to shareholders,
- all of which materials are incorporated herein by reference. FHN's Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition, Consolidated Financial Statements, and related Notes appearing in FHN's 2010 Annual Report to shareholders all were filed as part of Exhibit 13 to FHN's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. Portions of the Annual Report not incorporated herein by reference are deemed not to be filed with the Commission with this report.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

- (a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. FHN's management, with the participation of FHN's chief executive officer and chief financial officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of FHN's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report. Based on that evaluation, the chief executive officer and chief financial officer have concluded that FHN's disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that material information relating to FHN and FHN's consolidated subsidiaries is made known to such officers by others within these entities, particularly during the period this quarterly report was prepared, in order to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.
- (b) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting. There have not been any changes in FHN's internal control over financial reporting during FHN's last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, FHN's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

Part II.
OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1 Legal Proceedings

The Contingencies section of Note 9 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements beginning on page 26 of this Report is incorporated into this Item by reference.

Item 1A Risk Factors

The following supplements and updates the Regulatory, Legislative, and Legal Risks discussion in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Since our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 was filed, the following key rulemaking actions have been proposed or finalized. Many were initiated under or are related to the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (DF Act).

Final regulations under the DF Act s so-called Durbin Amendment have been issued. Effective October 1, they severely cap the interchange fees which banks are able to charge merchants for debit card transactions. Although the cap under the final rule is higher than what had been proposed, it represents a substantial reduction compared to the rates that prevailed in the market prior to the DF Act. We presently expect that the final Durbin rules will cost our Bank approximately \$15 million to \$20 million annually in lost revenues. Industry debit card practices and fee structures are adjusting in an attempt to recoup a portion of the lost revenues, and we are making changes in those areas as well. That transition phase is not complete and it remains uncertain how the adjustment process will affect us in the long run.

The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) has issued supervisory guidance regarding consumer foreclosure practices applicable to national banks, including our Bank. The guidance draws upon industry regulators recent experience with 14 large mortgage servicing companies. The guidance requires our Bank to conduct a self-assessment of its past and present foreclosure practices and submit a report to the OCC regarding its outcome. The guidance also requires our Bank to monitor its first-lien mortgage servicing vendors, to follow certain governance practices, and to take corrective action

Table of Contents

regarding any deficiencies or weaknesses uncovered in the assessment. Our Bank believes that corrective action is intended to include remediation for customers who have experienced financial harm as a result of such deficiencies. The ultimate financial impact to our Bank of this assessment and remediation process is unknown. The OCC, among other agencies, has proposed stress-testing guidance that would be applicable to us and other larger financial institutions. Although the guidance is not intended to implement capital and liquidity requirement changes under the DF Act, it is likely to be coordinated with that effort in the future. Increases in capital and liquidity requirements could increase dilution of common shareholders by requiring stock to be issued and remain outstanding, and could constrain revenues by restricting the amount of capital which can be loaned to customers or put to other highly productive uses.

The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) has adopted final rules implementing a whistleblower bounty provision of the DF Act. Although it is unknown how this rule will impact us in the future, eventually it is likely to increase our costs of dealing with certain types of employment disputes and it could weaken the effectiveness of our hotline and other internal reporting processes.

Item 2 Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

(a) None

(b) Not applicable

(c) The Issuer Purchase of Equity Securities Table, including the explanatory notes, is incorporated herein by reference to Table 8 and the explanatory notes included in Item 2 of Part I First Horizon National Corporation Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations at page 86.

Item 3 Defaults upon Senior Securities

None

Item 4 [Reserved]

Item 5 Other Information

(a) *Determination of Frequency of Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation*

On April 19, 2011, the Company held its annual meeting of shareholders. Vote item #3 was an advisory proposal regarding the frequency of conducting an advisory vote on executive compensation at the Company s annual meeting of shareholders. The choices were every year, every two years, and every three years. The Board of Directors recommended every year. As reported in the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 18, 2011, the every year choice received a substantial majority of the votes cast by the Company s shareholders.

On July 18, 2011, the Board determined that an advisory vote on executive compensation will be conducted every year.

(b) *Certain Changes in Director Nomination Process*

None.

Table of Contents

Item 6 Exhibits

(a) Exhibits.

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|-------------|---|
| 3.2 | Bylaws of First Horizon National Corporation, as amended and restated July 18, 2011, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 18, 2011. |
| 4* | Instruments defining the rights of security holders, including indentures. |
| 10.1** | Conformed copy of Retirement Agreement with Charles G. Burkett, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 27, 2011. |
| 13 | Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition appearing in FHN's 2010 Annual Report to shareholders, including in particular the section entitled "Risk Management" beginning on page 53 of that Report and the subsections entitled "Market Risk Management" appearing on page 54 and "Interest Rate Risk Management" appearing on pages 56-58 of that Report, and Note 25 to the Consolidated Financial Statements appearing on pages 191-197 of FHN's Annual Report to shareholders, all of which materials have been incorporated herein by reference. FHN's Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition, Consolidated Financial Statements, and related Notes appearing in FHN's 2010 Annual Report to shareholders all were filed as part of Exhibit 13 to FHN's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. Portions of the Annual Report not incorporated herein by reference are deemed not to be filed with the Commission with this report. |
| 31(a) | Rule 13a-14(a) Certifications of CEO (pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002) |
| 31(b) | Rule 13a-14(a) Certifications of CFO (pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002) |
| 32(a)*** | 18 USC 1350 Certifications of CEO (pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002) |
| 32(b)*** | 18 USC 1350 Certifications of CFO (pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002) |
| 101**** | The following financial information from First Horizon National Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2011, formatted in XBRL: (i) Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition (Unaudited) at June 30, 2011 and 2010 and December 31, 2010; (ii) Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income (Unaudited) for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010; (iii) Consolidated Condensed Statements of Equity (Unaudited) for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010; (iv) Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010; (v) Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Unaudited). |
| 101.INS**** | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH**** | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema |
| 101.CAL**** | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase |
| 101.LAB**** | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase |

101.PRE**** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

101.DEF**** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase

131

Table of Contents

- * The Corporation agrees to furnish copies of the instruments, including indentures, defining the rights of the holders of the long-term debt of the Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request.
- ** This is a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed as an exhibit.
- *** Furnished pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350; not filed as part of this Report or as a separate disclosure document.
- **** To be furnished by amendment.

In many agreements filed as exhibits, each party makes representations and warranties to other parties. Those representations and warranties are made only to and for the benefit of those other parties in the context of a business contract. Exceptions to such representations and warranties may be partially or fully waived by such parties, or not enforced by such parties, in their discretion. No such representation or warranty may be relied upon by any other person for any purpose.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORPORATION

(Registrant)

DATE: August 5, 2011

By: /s/ William C. Losch III

Name: William C. Losch III

Title: Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer
(Duly Authorized Officer and
Principal Financial Officer)

133

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|-------------|---|
| 3.2 | Bylaws of First Horizon National Corporation, as amended and restated July 18, 2011, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 18, 2011. |
| 4* | Instruments defining the rights of security holders, including indentures. |
| 10.1** | Conformed copy of Retirement Agreement with Charles G. Burkett, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 27, 2011. |
| 13 | Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition appearing in FHN's 2010 Annual Report to shareholders, including in particular the section entitled "Risk Management" beginning on page 53 of that Report and the subsections entitled "Market Risk Management" appearing on page 54 and "Interest Rate Risk Management" appearing on pages 56-58 of that Report, and Note 25 to the Consolidated Financial Statements appearing on pages 191-197 of FHN's Annual Report to shareholders, all of which materials have been incorporated herein by reference. FHN's Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition, Consolidated Financial Statements, and related Notes appearing in FHN's 2010 Annual Report to shareholders all were filed as part of Exhibit 13 to FHN's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. Portions of the Annual Report not incorporated herein by reference are deemed not to be filed with the Commission with this report. |
| 31(a) | Rule 13a-14(a) Certifications of CEO (pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002) |
| 31(b) | Rule 13a-14(a) Certifications of CFO (pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002) |
| 32(a)*** | 18 USC 1350 Certifications of CEO (pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002) |
| 32(b)*** | 18 USC 1350 Certifications of CFO (pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002) |
| 101**** | The following financial information from First Horizon National Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2011, formatted in XBRL: (i) Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition (Unaudited) at June 30, 2011 and 2010 and December 31, 2010; (ii) Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income (Unaudited) for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010; (iii) Consolidated Condensed Statements of Equity (Unaudited) for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010; (iv) Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010; (v) Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Unaudited). |
| 101.INS**** | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH**** | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema |
| 101.CAL**** | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase |
| 101.LAB**** | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase |

101.PRE**** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

101.DEF**** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase

* The Corporation agrees to furnish copies of the instruments, including indentures, defining the rights of the holders of the long-term debt of the Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request.

** This is a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed as an exhibit.

Table of Contents

*** Furnished pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350; not filed as part of this Report or as a separate disclosure document.

**** To be furnished by amendment.

In many agreements filed as exhibits, each party makes representations and warranties to other parties. Those representations and warranties are made only to and for the benefit of those other parties in the context of a business contract. Exceptions to such representations and warranties may be partially or fully waived by such parties, or not enforced by such parties, in their discretion. No such representation or warranty may be relied upon by any other person for any purpose.