FIRST CHARTER CORP /NC/ Form 10-Q August 08, 2007

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 FORM 10-Q

DESCRIPTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2007

OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File Number 0-15829 FIRST CHARTER CORPORATION

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

North Carolina

56-1355866

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

10200 David Taylor Drive, Charlotte, NC

28262-2373

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

(Zip Code)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (704) 688-4300

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes p No o Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer b Accelerated Filer o Non-Accelerated Filero

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.) Yes o No b

As of August 1, 2007, the Registrant had outstanding 34,686,023 shares of Common Stock, no par value.

First Charter Corporation FORM 10-Q QUARTER ENDED JUNE 30, 2007

All reports filed electronically by First Charter Corporation with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC), including its annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, and current reports on Form 8-K, as well as any amendments to those reports, are accessible at no cost on the Corporations Web site at www.firstcharter.com. These filings are also accessible on the SEC s Web site at www.sec.gov.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
Part I Fi	nancial Information	_
<u>Item 1.</u>	Financial Statements:	
	Consolidated Balance Sheets	3
	Consolidated Statements of Income	4
	Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity	5
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	6
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	7
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	28
<u>Item 3.</u>	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	57
<u>Item 4.</u>	Controls and Procedures	58
Part II		
Other Info	ormation	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	59
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	59
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	59
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	60
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	60
Item 5.	Other Information	60
Item 6.	Exhibits	61
Signature		
Exhibit 12.1		
Exhibit 31.1		
Exhibit 31.2 Exhibit 32.1		
Exhibit 32.1		
	2	

PART 1. FINANCIAL INFORMATION Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

First Charter Corporation Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited)

(Dollars in thousands, except share data)	June 30 2007	December 31 2006
Assets Cash and due from banks Federal funds sold Interest-bearing bank deposits	\$ 91,446 22,495 5,145	\$ 87,771 10,515 4,541
Cash and cash equivalents Securities available for sale (cost of \$912,883 and \$916,189 at June 30, 2007 and	119,086	102,827
December 31, 2006, respectively) Loans held for sale Portfolio loans:	898,528 11,471	906,415 12,292
Commercial and construction Mortgage Consumer	2,272,151 589,976 691,710	2,129,569 618,142 737,342
Total portfolio loans Allowance for loan losses	3,553,837 (44,790)	3,485,053 (34,966)
Portfolio loans, net Premises and equipment, net Goodwill and other intangible assets Other assets	3,509,047 112,874 84,107 181,608	3,450,087 111,588 85,068 188,440
Total Assets	\$4,916,721	\$ 4,856,717
Liabilities Deposits: Noninterest-bearing demand Demand Money market Savings Certificates of deposit	\$ 480,078 427,899 587,691 114,245 1,620,433	\$ 454,975 420,774 620,699 111,047 1,640,633
Total deposits Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase Commercial paper and other short-term borrowings Long-term debt Accrued expenses and other liabilities	3,230,346 216,152 342,844 617,762 63,789	3,248,128 201,713 409,191 487,794 62,529
Total Liabilities	4,470,893	4,409,355

Shareholders Equity

Preferred stock no par value; authorized 2,000,000 shares; no shares issued and outstanding Common stock no par value; authorized 100,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 34,689,641 and 34,922,222 shares at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively 225,139 231,602 Common stock held in Rabbi Trust for deferred compensation (1,408)(1,226)Deferred compensation payable in common stock 1,408 1,226 229,379 Retained earnings 221,678 Accumulated other comprehensive loss (8,690)(5,918)Total Shareholders Equity 445,828 447,362

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Total Liabilities and Shareholders Equity

3

\$4,916,721

\$ 4,856,717

First Charter Corporation Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30		Six Mont Jun	hs Ended e 30
(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts	2007	2006	2007	2006
Interest income				
Loans	\$ 67,124	\$ 54,123	\$ 133,242	\$ 104,383
Securities	11,058	9,522	21,976	18,833
Federal funds sold	48	37	176	73
Interest-bearing bank deposits	61	60	111	99
Total interest income	78,291	63,742	155,505	123,388
Interest expense	ŕ		ŕ	
Deposits	26,364	18,343	52,904	34,904
Borrowings	14,383	12,752	28,322	23,747
Total interest expense	40,747	31,095	81,226	58,651
Net interest income	37,544	32,647	74,279	64,737
Provision for loan losses	9,124	880	10,490	2,399
Net interest income after provision for loan losses Noninterest income	28,420	31,767	63,789	62,338
Service charges on deposits	7,942	7,469	15,332	14,167
ATM, debit, and merchant fees	2,636	2,117	5,080	4,015
Wealth management	944	693	1,660	1,393
Equity method investments gains, net	678	11	1,805	556
Mortgage services	1,056	812	1,957	1,335
Gain on sale of Small Business Administration loans	132		509	•
Brokerage services	1,007	692	2,088	1,403
Insurance services	3,422	2,898	7,056	7,232
Bank owned life insurance	1,162	850	2,301	1,677
Property sale gains, net	152	107	215	188
Securities gains (losses), net		32	(11)	32
Other	1,010	611	1,715	1,285
Total noninterest income	20,141	16,292	39,707	33,283
Noninterest expense				
Salaries and employee benefits	19,576	16,343	39,163	33,543
Occupancy and equipment	4,759	4,826	9,371	9,531
Data processing	1,492	1,448	3,282	2,858
Marketing	1,055	1,196	2,406	2,484
Postage and supplies	1,164	1,282	2,336	2,464
Professional services	3,181	2,258	6,767	4,161
Telecommunications	519	513	1,190	1,076

Edgar Filing: FIRST CHARTER CORP /NC/ - Form 10-Q

Amortization of intangibles Foreclosed properties Other	314 226 2,921	107 418 2,297	537 379 5,696	209 472 4,631
Total noninterest expense	35,207	30,688	71,127	61,429
Income from continuing operations before income tax expense Income tax expense	13,354 4,404	17,371 5,946	32,369 11,063	34,192 11,614
Income from continuing operations, net of tax Discontinued operations	8,950	11,425	21,306	22,578
Income from discontinued operations before gain on sale and income tax expense Income tax expense		50 20		198 78
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax		30		120
Net income	\$ 8,950	\$ 11,455	\$ 21,306	\$ 22,698
Net income per common share Basic				
Income from continuing operations, net of tax Income from discontinued operations, net of tax	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.61	\$ 0.73
Net income Diluted	0.26	0.37	0.61	0.73
Income from continuing operations, net of tax Income from discontinued operations, net of tax	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.61	\$ 0.72
Net income	0.26	0.37	0.61	0.73
Average common shares outstanding Basic Diluted Dividends declared per common share	34,698 34,987 \$ 0.195	31,059 31,339 \$ 0.195	34,734 35,036 \$ 0.390	30,960 31,249 \$ 0.385

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

First Charter Corporation Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity (Unaudited)

		Trust for C	ompensa Payabl	tion e	Other	
Common	Stock	Deferred			Comprehei	ısive
Shares	Amount C	Compensatio			Loss	Total
34,922,222	\$ 231,602	\$ (1,226)	\$ 1,22	·	\$ (5,91	8) \$447,362 21,306
				21,300		21,300
					(2,77	2) (2,772)
						18,534
				29		29
		(182)				(182)
			18	2		182
				(13,634))	(13,634)
256,787	4,889					4,889
(500,000)	(10,626)					(10,626)
10,632	(726)					(726)
34,689,641	\$ 225,139	\$ (1,408)	\$ 1,40	8 \$ 229,379	\$ (8,69	0) \$445,828
	Shares 34,922,222 256,787 (500,000) 10,632	34,922,222 \$231,602 256,787 4,889 (500,000) (10,626) 10,632 (726)	Stock in Rabbi Trust for C Common Stock Deferred Shares Amount Compensation 34,922,222 \$231,602 \$ (1,226) (182) 256,787 4,889 (500,000) (10,626) 10,632 (726)	Stock in Rabbi Deferred Trust for Compensa Payable Common Stock Deferred in Common Shares Amount Compensation Stock 34,922,222 \$231,602 \$ (1,226) \$ 1,22 \$ 18 \$ 18 \$ 256,787 4,889 (500,000) (10,626) \$ 10,632 (726) \$ 10,632	Stock in Rabbi Deferred Trust for Compensation Payable Common Stock Deferred in Common Shares Amount Compensation Stock Earnings 34,922,222 \$231,602 \$ (1,226) \$ 1,226 \$ 221,678 21,306	Stock in Rabbi Deferred Accumula Trust for Compensation Other Payable Common Stock Deferred in RetainedCompreher Common Shares Amount Compensation Stock Earnings Loss

 $See\ notes\ to\ consolidated\ financial\ statements.$

5

First Charter Corporation Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

	Six Mont June			
(In thousands)	2007	2006		
Operating activities				
Net income	\$ 21,306	\$ 22,698		
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:				
Provision for loan losses	10,490	2,399		
Depreciation	3,845	4,761		
Amortization of intangibles	537	304		
Amortization of servicing rights	173	201		
Stock-based compensation expense	1,787	1,068		
Tax benefits from stock-based compensation plans	(137)	(328)		
Premium amortization and discount accretion, net	199	579		
Securities (gains) losses, net	11	(32)		
Net gains on sales of other real estate owned	(101)	(89)		
Write-downs on other real estate owned	278	355		
Equity method investment gains, net	(1,805)	(556)		
Gains on sales of loans held for sale	(1,459)	(776)		
Gains on sale of Small Business Administration loans	(509)			
Property sale gains, net	(215)	(188)		
Origination of loans held for sale	(140,022)	(93,448)		
Proceeds from sale of loans held for sale	142,302	92,290		
Change in cash surrender value of life insurance	(398)	1,677		
Change in other assets	5,545	(5,062)		
Change in other liabilities	1,449	308		
Net cash provided by operating activities	43,276	26,161		
Investing activities				
Proceeds from sales of securities available for sale	25,180	24,603		
Proceeds from maturities, calls and paydowns of securities available for sale	126,006	48,719		
Purchases of securities available for sale	(148,079)	(69,174)		
Net change in loans	(70,119)	(130,822)		
Proceeds from sales of other real estate owned	4,242	1,170		
Net purchases of premises and equipment	(5,131)	(5,232)		
Net cash used in investing activities	(67,901)	(130,736)		
Financing activities				
Net change in deposits	(17,782)	189,323		
Net change in federal funds purchased and securities sold under repurchase				
agreements	14,439	(92,460)		
Net change in commercial paper and other short-term borrowings	(66,347)	(65,374)		
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt and trust preferred securities	240,000	220,000		
Retirement of long-term debt	(110,032)	(135,032)		

Proceeds from issuance of common stock	4,752	3,721
Purchases of common stock	(10,626)	
Tax benefits from stock-based compensation plans	137	328
Cash dividends paid	(13,657)	(10,147)
Net cash provided by financing activities	40,884	110,359
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	16,259	5,784
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	102,827	125,552
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 119,086	\$ 131,336
Supplemental information		
Cash paid for:		
Interest	\$ 79,570	\$ 55,949
Income taxes	10,215	11,875
Non-cash items:		
Transfer of loans to other real estate owned	669	2,674
Unrealized losses on securities available for sale (net of tax benefit of \$1,810, and		
\$3,968, respectively)	(2,772)	(6,079)
Issuance of common stock for business acquisition	(726)	362
See notes to consolidated financial statements. 6		

Table of Contents

First Charter Corporation Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

First Charter Corporation (First Charter or the Corporation), headquartered in Charlotte, North Carolina, is a regional financial services company with assets of \$4.9 billion and is the holding company for First Charter Bank (the Bank). As of June 30, 2007, First Charter operated 58 financial centers, four insurance offices, and 138 ATMs throughout North Carolina and Georgia. First Charter also operates loan origination offices in Asheville, North Carolina and Reston, Virginia. First Charter provides businesses and individuals with a broad range of financial services, including banking, financial planning, wealth management, investments, insurance, and mortgages. The results of operations of the Bank constitute the substantial majority of the consolidated net income, revenue, and assets of the Corporation.

1. Accounting Policies

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Corporation and its wholly-owned subsidiary, the Bank, and variable interest entities where the Corporation is the primary beneficiary. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated.

The information contained in these interim consolidated financial statements, excluding the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2006, is unaudited. The information furnished has been prepared pursuant to United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X and does not include all the information and note disclosures required to be included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America.

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Corporation s audited financial statements and accompanying notes in the Corporation s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006, filed with the SEC on April 5, 2007.

The unaudited results of operations for the interim periods shown in these financial statements are not necessarily indicative of operating results for the entire year. The information furnished in this report reflects all adjustments, which are, in the opinion of management, necessary to present a fair statement of the financial condition and the results of operations for interim periods. All such adjustments are of a normal and recurring nature. Certain amounts reported in prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current-period presentation. Such reclassifications have no effect on net income or shareholders—equity as previously reported.

The significant accounting policies followed by the Corporation are presented on pages 69 to 76 of the Corporation s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006. With the exception of the Corporation s adoption of certain of the accounting pronouncements discussed in **Note 2**, these policies have not materially changed from the disclosure in that report.

2. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities: In February 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities — Including an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 115. This standard permits an entity to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. This option is available to all entities. Most of the provisions in SFAS 159 are elective; however, the amendment to SFAS 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities, applies to all entities with available-for-sale and trading securities. The FASB s stated objective in issuing this standard is—to improve financial reporting by

/

Table of Contents

providing entities with the opportunity to mitigate volatility in reported earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently without having to apply complex hedge accounting provisions.

The fair value option established by SFAS 159 permits all entities to choose to measure eligible items at fair value at specified election dates. A business entity will report unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. The fair value option: (a) may be applied instrument by instrument, with a few exceptions, such as investments otherwise accounted for by the equity method; (b) is irrevocable (unless a new election date occurs); and (c) is applied only to entire instruments and not to portions of instruments.

SFAS 159 is effective as of the beginning of an entity s first fiscal year that begins after November 15, 2007. The Corporation is currently evaluating the impact, if any, SFAS 159 will have on the Corporation s consolidated financial statements.

Fair Value Measurements: In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS 157, Fair Value Measurements, which replaces the different definitions of fair value in existing accounting literature with a single definition, sets out a framework for measuring fair value, and requires additional disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 is required to be applied whenever another financial accounting standard requires or permits an asset or liability to be measured at fair value. The Corporation will adopt the guidance of SFAS 157 beginning January 1, 2008, and does not expect it to have a material impact on the Corporation s consolidated financial statements.

Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets: In March 2006, the FASB issued SFAS 156, Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets and Amendment of FASB Statement No. 140. SFAS 156 requires entities to separately recognize a servicing asset or liability whenever it undertakes an obligation to service financial assets and also requires all separately recognized servicing assets or liabilities to be initially measured at fair value. Additionally, this standard permits entities to choose among two alternatives, the amortization method or fair value measurement method, for the subsequent measurement of each class of separately recognized servicing assets and liabilities. Under the amortization method, an entity amortizes the value of servicing assets or liabilities in proportion to and over the period of estimated net servicing income or net servicing loss and assesses servicing assets or liabilities for impairment or increased obligation based on fair value at each reporting date. Under the fair value measurement method, an entity measures servicing assets or liabilities at fair value at each reporting date and reports changes in fair value in earnings in the period in which the changes occur. The Corporation adopted SFAS 156 as of January 1, 2007, and elected the amortization method. The initial adoption of SFAS 156 did not have an impact on the Corporation s consolidated financial statements.

Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments: In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments an Amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140. SFAS 155 requires entities to evaluate and identify whether interests in securitized financial assets are freestanding derivatives, hybrid financial instruments that contain an embedded derivative requiring bifurcation, or hybrid financial instruments that contain embedded derivatives that do not require bifurcation. SFAS 155 also permits fair value measurement for any hybrid financial instrument that contains an embedded derivative that otherwise would require bifurcation. SFAS 155 was adopted by the Corporation as of January 1, 2007 and the statement is effective for all financial instruments acquired or issued by the Corporation on or after the date of adoption. The adoption of SFAS 155 did not have an impact on the Corporation s consolidated financial statements.

In June 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation (FIN) 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109. The interpretation addresses the determination of whether tax benefits claimed or expected to be claimed on a tax return should be recorded in the financial statements. Pursuant to FIN 48, the Corporation may recognize the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position. FIN 48 requires the tax benefits recognized in the financial statements to be measured based on the largest benefit that has a greater than fifty percent likelihood to

8

Table of Contents

be realized upon ultimate settlement. FIN 48 also provides guidance regarding derecognition of tax benefits, interest and penalties related to tax deficiencies, and requires additional income tax disclosures. The Corporation adopted the provisions of FIN 48 as of January 1, 2007 and the adoption did not have a material impact on the Corporation s consolidated financial statements.

As a result of various tax strategies of the Corporation, the amount of unrecognized tax benefits as of January 1, 2007 was \$11.2 million, of which \$10.3 million would impact the Corporation s effective tax rate, if recognized. While it is possible that the unrecognized tax benefit could change significantly during the next year, it is reasonably possible that the Company will recognize approximately \$0.4 million of unrecognized tax benefits as a result of the expiration of the relevant statute of limitations.

Consistent with prior reporting periods, the Corporation recognizes interest accrued in connection with unrecognized tax benefits, net of related tax benefits, and penalties in income tax expense in the consolidated statements of income. As of January 1, 2007, the date the Corporation adopted FIN 48, the Corporation had accrued approximately \$0.8 million for the payment of interest and penalties. As of June 30, 2007, the Corporation had accrued approximately \$0.8 million for the payment of interest and penalties.

The Corporation is under examination by the North Carolina Department of Revenue (the DOR) for tax years 1999 through 2004 and is subject to examination for subsequent tax years. As a result of the examination, the DOR issued a proposed tax assessment, including an estimate for accrued interest, of \$3.7 million for tax years 1999 and 2000. The Corporation is currently appealing the proposed assessment. The Corporation estimates that the maximum tax liability that may be asserted by the DOR for tax years 1999 through the current tax year is approximately \$15.1 million in excess of amounts reserved, net of federal tax benefit. The Corporation would disagree with such potential liability, if assessed, and would intend to continue to defend its position. The Corporation believes its current tax reserves are adequate.

There can be no assurance regarding the ultimate outcome of this matter, the timing of its resolution or the eventual loss or penalties that may result from it, which may be more or less than the amounts reserved by the Corporation. The Corporation is also under examination by the Internal Revenue Service for the 2004 tax year. The examination is of a routine nature and is not the result of any prior tax position taken by the Corporation. The Corporation s tax years prior to 2003 are no longer subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service.

Accounting for Purchases of Life Insurance: In September 2006, the FASB ratified Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 06-5, Accounting for Purchases of Life Insurance Determining the Amount That Could Be Realized in Accordance with FASB Technical Bulletin No. 85-4. The EITF reached a consensus that a policyholder should consider any additional amounts included in the contractual terms of the policy when determining the amount that could be realized under the insurance contract. The Task Force also reached a consensus that a policyholder should determine the amount that could be realized under the life insurance contract assuming the surrender of an individual-life by individual-life policy (or certificate by certificate in a group policy). Furthermore, the Task Force reached a consensus that the cash surrender value should not be discounted when contractual limitations on the ability to surrender a policy exist if the policy continues to operate under its normal terms (continues to earn interest) during the restriction period. The Corporation adopted EITF No. 06-5 as of January 1, 2007, and the adoption did not have a material impact on the Corporation s consolidated financial statements.

Effects of Prior-Year Misstatements: In September 2006, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) 108, Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements. SAB 108 provides guidance on the consideration of the effects of prior-year misstatements in quantifying current-year misstatements for the purpose of a materiality assessment. In December 2006, the Corporation adopted the provisions of SAB 108. The Corporation s

9

Table of Contents

Annual Report on Form 10-K contains further disclosure related to the adoption of SAB 108 in **Note 3** to the consolidated financial statements. The impact of the Corporation s SAB 108 adjustments as of and for the three months and six months ended and June 30, 2006, is summarized below:

	As of and for the Three Months Ended					
	June 30, 2006					
	Before			As		
(in thousands, except per share data)	Adjustment	Adj	ustment	Adjusted		
Other assets	\$ 167,149	\$	(2,043)	\$ 165,106		
Other liabilities	41,830		994	42,824		
Shareholders equity	336,935		(3,037)	333,898		
Mortgage services revenue	916		(104)	812		
Total noninterest income	16,396		(104)	16,292		
Salaries and employee benefits expense	16,297		46	16,343		
Total noninterest expense	30,642		46	30,688		
Total income tax expense	6,025		(59)	5,966		
Net income	11,546		(91)	11,455		
Diluted earnings per share	0.37			0.37		

	As of and for the Six Months Ended						
	June 30, 2006						
	Before			As			
(in thousands, except per share data)	Adjustment	Adjı	ustment	Adjusted			
Other assets	\$ 167,149	\$	(2,043)	\$ 165,106			
Other liabilities	41,830		994	42,824			
Shareholders equity	336,935		(3,037)	333,898			
Mortgage services revenue	1,724		(389)	1,335			
Total noninterest income	33,672		(389)	33,283			
Salaries and employee benefits expense	33,451		92	33,543			
Total noninterest expense	61,337		92	61,429			
Total income tax expense	11,881		(189)	11,692			
Net income	22,990		(292)	22,698			
Diluted earnings per share	0.74		(0.01)	0.73			

3. Acquisitions and Divestitures

Acquisition of GBC Bancorp, Inc. On November 1, 2006, the Corporation completed its acquisition of GBC Bancorp, Inc. (GBC), parent of Gwinnett Banking Company (Gwinnett Bank), headquartered in Lawrenceville, Georgia. The assets and liabilities of GBC were recorded on the Corporation s balance sheet at their estimated fair values as of the acquisition date, and their results of operations were included in the consolidated statements of income from that date forward.

The Corporation continues to finalize the valuations of certain assets and liabilities, including intangible assets. During the six months ended June 30, 2007, the Corporation made certain refinements to its initial allocation of the GBC purchase price, including a \$1.0 million adjustment to the purchase price as the stock price paid upon acquisition was adjusted for EITF 99-12, *Determination of the Measurement Date for the Market Price of Acquirer Securities*

Issued in a Purchase Business Combination. The following table shows the excess of the purchase price over capitalized merger costs and carrying value of net assets acquired, the initial purchase price allocation and the resulting goodwill as of the date of the acquisition, subsequent purchase price refinements, and the adjusted purchase price allocation at June 30, 2007.

10

Table of Contents

(In thousands)	P	Purchase Purchase P Price Price		Price		Price		Purchase Price		Adjusted Purchase Price Allocation	
Purchase price	\$	103,221	\$	(982)	\$	102,239					
Capitalized merger costs		1,211		88		1,299					
Carrying value of net assets acquired		39,869				39,869					
Excess of the purchase price over capitalized merger costs and											
carrying value of net assets acquired		64,563		(894)		63,669					
Purchase accounting adjustments:		·		, ,		·					
Securities		241				241					
Loans		643		(108)		535					
Deferred taxes		794				794					
Certificates of deposit				33		33					
Subtotal		1,678		(75)		1,603					
Core deposit intangibles		(3,091)		(469)		(3,560)					
Other identifiable intangible assets		(1,186)		238		(948)					
Goodwill	\$	61,964	\$	(1,200)	\$	60,764					

Sale of Southeastern Employee Benefits Services. On December 1, 2006, the Corporation completed the sale of Southeastern Employee Benefits Services (SEBS), the sole component of its former employee benefits administration business, to an independent third-party administrator for \$3.1 million in cash. The results of SEBS are presented as Discontinued Operations for all periods presented. Condensed financial statements for discontinued operations are presented below.

	Six Months Endo June 30			
(In thousands)	2007	2006		
Noninterest income Noninterest expense	\$	\$ 1,809 1,611		
Income from discontinued operations before tax Gain on sale Income tax expense		198 78		
Income from discontinued operations, after tax	\$	\$ 120		

On December 1, 2004, the Corporation acquired substantially all of the assets of Smith & Associates Insurance Services Inc., a property and casualty insurance agency (the Agency). In connection with this transaction, the Corporation has previously issued to the Agency an aggregate of 42,198 shares of common were valued at

\$1.1 million. On May 1, 2007, pursuant to the purchase agreement and based upon the performance of the business for the period of December 1, 2005 through November 30, 2006, the Corporation issued 10,632 additional shares of Common Stock valued at \$0.3 million to the Agency. One additional issuance, based upon the future performance of the acquired business, is expected to total approximately \$0.2 million, if earned.

4. Net Income Per Share

Basic net income per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of shares of the Corporation s common stock outstanding for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Diluted net income per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if the Corporation s potential common stock equivalents and contingently issuable shares, which consist of dilutive stock options, restricted stock, and performance shares, were issued.

11

Table of Contents

A reconciliation of the basic average common shares outstanding to the diluted average common shares outstanding follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30				Six Montl June	
	2007 2006 2007					
Basic weighted-average number of common shares outstanding Dilutive effect arising from potential common stock issuances	34,697,944 288,718	31,058,858 280,467	34,733,825 302,190	30,959,711		
Stock issuances	200,710	280,407	302,190	269,336		
Diluted weighted-average number of common shares outstanding	34,986,662	31,339,325	35,036,015	31,249,049		

The effects of outstanding anti-dilutive stock options are excluded from the computation of diluted net income per share. These amounts were 454,329 and 436,568 shares for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, respectively. The amounts were 257,667 and 259,185 shares for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively.

Dividends declared by the Corporation were \$0.195 per share for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006. For the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 dividends declared by the Corporation were \$0.39 per share and \$0.385 per share, respectively.

5. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

A summary of the gross carrying amount and accumulated amortization of amortized intangible assets and the net carrying amount of unamortized intangible assets follows:

	Cmaga	June 30, 2007					December 31, 2006				
(In thousands)	Gross Carrying Amount		ımulated ortization		Net arrying mount	Gross Carrying Amount		umulated ortization		Net arrying mount	
Amortized intangible assets from continuing operations:											
Core deposits	\$ 3,560	\$	475 	\$	3,085	\$ 3,091	\$	200	\$	2,891	
Noncompete agreements	90		78		12	90		63		27	
Customer lists	2,487		1,424		1,063	2,359		1,177		1,182	
Total Amortized Intangible Assets	6,137		1,977		4,160	5,540		1,440		4,100	
Goodwill	79,947		N/A		79,947	80,968		N/A		80,968	
Total goodwill and amortized intangible	\$ 86,084	\$	1,977	\$	84,107	\$ 86,508	\$	1,440	\$	85,068	

assets

The gross carrying amount of core deposit intangibles increased to \$3.6 million at June 30, 2007, from \$3.1 million at December 31, 2006, and goodwill decreased to \$79.9 million at June 30, 2007 from \$81.0 million at December 31, 2006. These changes are primarily due to refinements made in the purchase accounting for the GBC acquisition. Refer to **Note 3** for further discussion of the GBC purchase accounting adjustments.

The gross carrying amount of customer lists increased to \$2.5 million at June 30, 2007 from \$2.4 million at December 31, 2006 due to a contractual payment made in connection with the acquisition of Smith & Associates Insurance Services, Inc.

12

Table of Contents

Amortization expense from continuing and discontinued operations follows:

	Six Months Ended June 30							
(In thousands)	2007	2006						
Continuing operations Discontinued operations	\$ 537	\$ 209 95						
Total intangibles amortization expense	\$ 537	\$ 304						

Expected future amortization expense on finite-lived intangible assets follows:

(In thousands)	Core eposits	Noncon Agreem		 tomer ists	To	otal
July 1 - December 31 ,2007	\$ 333	\$	12	\$ 215	\$	560
2008	608			344		952
2009	531			229		760
2010	453			117		570
2011	375			68		443
2012 and after	785			90		875
Total intangibles amortization	\$ 3,085	\$	12	\$ 1,063	\$4	,160

6. Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income is defined as the change in shareholders—equity from all transactions other than those with shareholders, and it includes net income and other comprehensive income.

The components of comprehensive income follow:

	Three Mor Jun		Six Months Ended June 30		
	2007	2006	2007	2006	
Comprehensive income					
Net Income	\$ 8,950	\$ 11,455	\$21,306	\$ 22,698	
Other comprehensive loss					
Unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities, net	(5,538)	(8,542)	(4,593)	(10,015)	
Reclassification adjustment for gain/(losses) included in					
net income		32	(11)	32	
Income tax effect, net	(2,188)	(3,385)	(1,810)	(3,968)	
Other comprehensive loss	(3,350)	(5,189)	(2,772)	(6,079)	
Total comprehensive income	\$ 5,600	\$ 6,266	\$ 18,534	\$ 16,619	

13

7. Securities Available for Sale

Securities available for sale are summarized as follows:

	June 30, 2007								
(In thousands)	Amortized Cost		Unrealized Gains		realized Losses	Fair Value			
U.S. government agency obligations Mortgage-backed securities State, county, and municipal obligations Asset-backed securities Equity securities	\$ 238,155 469,821 92,474 57,767 54,666	\$	41 149 386 155 438	\$	1,494 11,466 671 1,865 28	\$ 236,702 458,504 92,189 56,057 55,076			
Total securities	\$ 912,883	\$	1,169	\$	15,524	\$ 898,528			
			Decembe	er 31, 2	2006				
(In thousands)	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Unrealized Gains Losses			Fair Value				
U.S. government agency obligations Mortgage-backed securities State, county, and municipal obligations Asset-backed securities Equity securities	\$ 278,106 419,824 102,221 65,141 50,897	\$	358 768 745 11 387	\$	3,070 8,572 364 37	\$ 275,394 412,020 102,602 65,115 51,284			
Total securities	\$ 916,189	\$	2,269	\$	12,043	\$ 906,415			

The contractual maturity distribution and yields (computed on a taxable-equivalent basis) of the Corporation s securities portfolio at June 30, 2007, are summarized below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities shown below, as borrowers may have the right to pre-pay these obligations without pre-payment penalties.

	Due in 1 or les	•	Due aft throug year	h 5	Due aft through year	1 10	Due af 10 yea		Tota	l
(Dollars in thousands)	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield
Fair value of securities available for sale U.S. government										
agency obligations	\$130,804	3.76%	\$ 98,245	4.72%	\$ 7,653	5.56%	\$		%\$ 236,702	4.22%
Mortgage-backed securities ⁽¹⁾ State and municipal	4,351	4.77	354,130	5.00	89,942	4.96	10,081	5.76	458,504	5.01
obligations (2)	10,797	7.11	31,044	5.69	13,284	6.14	37,064	5.76	92,189	5.95

Asset-backed securities Equity securities (3)		24,503	7.53 9,900	6.70 21,654 55,076	7.41 56,057 5.77 55,076	7.34 5.77
Total	\$ 145,952	4.04% \$507,922	5.11% \$120,779	5.27% \$123,875	6.05% \$898,528	5.09%
Amortized cost of securities available for sale	\$ 146,489	\$ 518,030	\$ 123,405	\$ 124,959	\$ 912,883	

- (1) Maturities
 estimated based
 on average life
 of security.
- (2) Yields on tax-exempt securities are calculated on a tax-equivalent basis using the marginal Federal income tax rate of 35 percent.
- Although equity securities have no stated maturity, they are presented for illustrative purposes only. *The 5.77% yield* represents the expected dividend yield to be earned on equity securities, principally investments in Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta and

Federal Reserve Bank Stock.

Securities with an aggregate carrying value of \$704.6 million and \$632.9 million at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively, were pledged to secure public deposits, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, and Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) borrowings.

14

Table of Contents

Gross gains and losses recognized on the sale of securities are summarized as follows:

	Three Mo Jui	Six Months Ended June 30			
(In thousands)	2007	2006	2007	2006	
Gross gains Gross losses	\$	\$ 32	\$ 94 (105)	\$ 32	
Securities gains (losses), net	\$	\$ 32	\$ (11)	\$ 32	

At June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, the Bank owned stock in the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta with a cost basis (par value) of \$44.9 million and \$44.3 million, respectively, which is included in equity securities. While these securities have no quoted fair value, they are redeemable at par value from the FHLB. In addition, the Bank owned Federal Reserve Bank stock with a cost basis (par value) of \$8.3 million and \$5.6 million at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively, which is also included in equity securities.

There were no write-downs for other-than-temporary declines in the fair value of debt and equity securities for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 or 2006.

As of June 30, 2007, there were no issues of securities available for sale (excluding U.S. government agency obligations), which had carrying values that exceeded 10 percent of shareholders—equity of the Corporation.

U.S. government agency obligations of \$206.2 million were considered temporarily impaired at June 30, 2007. U.S. government agency obligations are interest-bearing debt securities of U.S. government agencies (i.e., FNMA and FHLMC). At June 30, 2007, mortgage-backed securities of \$418.1 million were considered temporarily impaired. The Corporation s mortgage-backed securities are investment grade securities backed by a pool of mortgages. Principal and interest payments on the underlying mortgages are used to pay monthly interest and principal on the securities. State, county, and municipal obligations of \$27.5 million were considered temporarily impaired at June 30, 2007. Asset-backed securities of \$42.9 million were considered temporarily impaired at June 30, 2007. These obligations are collateralized debt obligations, representing securitizations of financial company capital securities. Equity securities of \$0.5 million were considered temporarily impaired at June 30, 2007.

The unrealized losses at June 30, 2007, shown in the following table resulted primarily from an increase in rates across the yield curve.

	Less than 12 months			12 month	onger	Total			
(In thousands)	Fair Value	0 111 0	alized sses	Fair Value	0	realized	Fair Value		realized Losses
AAA/AA-RATED									
SECURITIES U.S. government agency									
obligations	\$ 47,600	\$	101	\$ 158,574	\$	1,393	\$ 206,174	\$	1,494
Mortgage-backed securities State, county, and muncipal	187,677	1	1,689	230,436		9,777	418,113		11,466
obligations	9,595		136	17,911		535	27,506		671
Total AAA/AA-rated securities	244,872	1	1,926	406,921		11,705	651,793		13,631

A/BBB-RATED SECURITIES						
Asset-backed securities	42,903	1,865			42,903	1,865
Total A/BBB-rated securities UNRATED SECURITIES	42,903	1,865			42,903	1,865
Equity securities	472	28			472	28
Total unrated securities	472	28			472	28
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$ 288,247	\$ 3,819	\$ 406,921	\$ 11,705	\$ 695,168	\$ 15,524
		1	15			

At June 30, 2007, investments in a gross unrealized loss position included 26 U.S. agency securities, 68 mortgage-backed securities, 34 municipal obligations, and six asset-backed securities. The unrealized losses associated with these securities were not considered to be other-than-temporary, because they were related to changes in interest rates and did not affect the expected cash flows of the underlying collateral or the issuer. At June 30, 2007, the Corporation had the ability and the intent to hold these investments to recovery of fair market value. The Corporation s available-for-sale securities portfolio also contains one equity security in an unrealized loss position. This equity security began trading publicly in the first quarter of 2007 and the stock price has decreased, resulting in an unrealized loss.

8. Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses

The Bank primarily makes commercial and installment loans to customers throughout its primary market area, which includes the states of North Carolina, South Carolina, and Georgia, and predominately centers on the metro regions of Charlotte and Raleigh, North Carolina, and Atlanta, Georgia. The real estate loan portfolio can be affected by the condition of the local real estate markets. At June 30, 2007, the majority of the total loan portfolio was to borrowers within this market area. The diversity of this market area s economic base provides a stable lending environment. Portfolio loans are categorized as follows:

	June 30	December 31, 2006			
(Dollars in thousands)	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	
Commercial real estate	\$1,094,866	30.8%	\$1,034,317	29.7%	
Commercial non real estate	317,984	8.9	301,958	8.7	
Construction	859,301	24.2	793,294	22.8	
Mortgage	589,976	16.6	618,142	17.7	
Home equity	415,705	11.7	447,849	12.8	
Consumer	276,005	7.8	289,493	8.3	
Total portfolio loans	\$3,553,837	100.0%	\$3,485,053	100.0%	

A summary of changes in the allowance for loan losses follows:

	Three Mo	Six Months Ended June 30			
(In thousands)	2007	2006	2007	2006	
Balance, beginning of period	\$35,854	\$29,505	\$34,966	\$28,725	
Provision for loan losses	9,124	880	10,490	2,399	
Charge-offs	(547)	(1,135)	(1,333)	(2,364)	
Recoveries	359	270	667	760	
Net charge-offs	(188)	(865)	(666)	(1,604)	
Balance, June 30	\$44,790	\$29,520	\$44,790	\$29,520	

The table below summarizes the Corporation s nonperforming assets.

June 30 December 31

(In thousands)	2007	2006
Nonaccrual loans Loans 90 days or more past due and accruing interest	\$17,387	\$ 8,200
Total nonperforming loans Other real estate	17,387 2,726	8,200 6,477
Total nonperforming assets	\$20,113	\$14,677
16		

Table of Contents

At June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, impaired loans amounted to \$8.8 million and \$1.0 million, respectively. Included in the allowance for loan losses was \$1.2 million and \$0.3 million related to the impaired loans at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively. Beginning January 1, 2007, the Corporation began including consumer and residential mortgage loans with outstanding principal balances of \$150,000 or greater in its computation of impaired loans calculated under SFAS 114, *Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan* an Amendment to FASB Statements No. 5 and No. 15. The application of this methodology conforms the consumer and residential mortgage loan analysis to the Corporation s SFAS 114 analysis for commercial loans. Included in the \$8.8 million of total impaired loans at June 30, 2007 were \$3.4 million of consumer and residential mortgage loans. Had this methodology been applied at December 31, 2006, the impaired loan balance would have been \$4.0 million.

During the second quarter of 2007, the North Carolina Attorney General obtained a court order to appoint a receiver to take control of a real estate venture in Western North Carolina. The Attorney General s complaint alleges that various defendants, including real estate development companies, individuals, and an appraiser engaged in deceptive practices to induce consumers to obtain loans to purchase lots in The Village of Penland and related development projects (Penland) in the Spruce Pine, North Carolina area. These lots were allegedly priced based upon inflated appraisals. Several financial institutions, including First Charter, made loans in connection with these residential developments. As of June 30, 2007, the Corporation had 70 loans with an aggregate outstanding balance of \$14.1 million to individual lot purchasers related to Penland. As previously disclosed, based on management s assessment of probable incurred losses associated with the Penland loan portfolio, the Corporation recorded an additional \$7.8 million provision for loan losses during the second quarter of 2007. As of June 30, 2007, no loans in the Penland loan portfolio had reached a 90-day past-due status. However, based on management s assessment of the individual borrowers, \$5.4 million of these loans were placed on nonaccrual status as of June 30, 2007.

The average recorded investment in individually impaired loans for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 were \$8.2 million and \$6.7 million, respectively. Individually impaired loans were \$1.3 million and \$1.8 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006. Included in the \$8.2 million and \$6.7 million of average impaired loans for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 were \$2.8 million and \$2.7 million of consumer and residential mortgage loans, respectively.

9. Servicing Rights

As of June 30, 2007, the Corporation serviced \$197.2 million of mortgage loans for other parties. The carrying value and aggregate estimated fair value of mortgage servicing rights (MSR) at June 30, 2007 was \$0.7 million and \$2.0 million, respectively, compared to a carrying value and estimated fair value of \$0.8 million and \$2.1 million, respectively, at December 31, 2006.

In conjunction with the Corporation s acquisition of GBC and its primary banking subsidiary, Gwinnett Bank, on November 1, 2006, the Corporation capitalized \$1.2 million in servicing rights on *Small Business Administration* (SBA) loans originated, sold, and serviced by Gwinnett Bank. Effective March 1, 2007, Gwinnett Bank was merged with and into the Bank. The Corporation continues to finalize the valuations of certain assets, including the SBA loan servicing rights. During the three months ended March 31, 2007, the servicing rights valuation was refined, resulting in a downward adjustment of \$0.2 million. Amortization expense included for the six months ended June 30, 2007, was \$0.1 million. As of June 30, 2007, the Corporation serviced \$38.3 million of SBA loans for other parties, and the carrying value and estimated fair value of the SBA loan servicing rights (SSR) was \$0.8 million and \$1.0 million, respectively.

Servicing rights are periodically evaluated for impairment based on their fair value. Impairment is recognized as a reduction to the carrying value of the asset. Fair value is estimated based on

17

Table of Contents

market prices for similar assets and on the discounted estimated present value of future net cash flows based on market consensus loan prepayment estimates, historical prepayment rates, interest rates, and other economic factors. For purposes of impairment evaluation, the servicing assets are stratified based on predominant risk characteristics of the underlying loans, including loan type (conventional or government) and note rate.

The following is an analysis of capitalized servicing rights included in other assets in the consolidated balance sheets:

	2	2006		
(In thousands)	MSR	SSR	MSR	SSR
Beginning Balance	\$756	\$1,137	\$1,133	\$
Servicing rights capitalized		13		
Purchase accounting adjustment		(238)		
Amortization expense	(41)	(40)	(101)	
Balance, March 31	\$715	\$ 872	\$1,032	\$
Servicing rights capitalized		8		
Amortization expense	(41)	(51)	(100)	
Balance, June 30	\$674	\$ 829	\$ 932	\$

Assumptions used to value the MSR included an average conditional prepayment rate (CPR) of 15.2 percent, an average discount rate of 12.2 percent, and a weighted-average life of 3.6 years. An increase in the prepayment rates of 10 percent and 20 percent may result in a decline in fair value of \$79,000 and \$153,000, respectively. An increase in the discount rate of 10 percent and 20 percent may result in a decline in fair value of \$53,000 and \$103,000, respectively. Changes in fair value based on a 10 percent variation in assumptions generally cannot be extrapolated because the relationship of the change in the assumption to the change in fair value may not be linear. Also, the effect of a variation in a particular assumption on the fair value of the mortgage servicing rights is calculated independently without changing any other assumption. In reality, changes in one factor may result in changes in another (for example, changes in mortgage interest rates, which drive changes in prepayment rate estimates, could result in changes in the discount rates), which may magnify or counteract the sensitivities.

Assumptions used to value the SSR included a CPR of 12.0 percent, a discount rate of 11.0 percent, and a weighted-average life of 4.7 years. An increase in the prepayment rates of 10 percent and 20 percent may result in a decline in fair value of \$44,000 and \$85,000, respectively. An increase in the discount rate of 10 percent and 20 percent may result in a decline in fair value of \$28,000 and \$54,000, respectively.

The MSR and SSR are expected to be amortized against other noninterest income over a weighted-average period of 3.1 years and 3.0 years, respectively. Expected future amortization expense for these capitalized servicing rights follows:

(In thousands)	MSR	SSR	Total
July 1 - December 31, 2007	\$ 83	\$ 97	\$ 180
2008	135	170	305
2009	111	141	252
2010	92	116	208
2011	74	95	169
2012 and after	179	210	389

Total amortization \$674 \$829 \$1,503

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, contractual servicing fee revenue was \$0.3 million and \$0.7 million, respectively, and was included in the mortgage services line item of other noninterest income. Contractual servicing fee revenue recognized for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 was \$0.3 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, and was included in other noninterest income.

18

Table of Contents

10. Stock-Based Compensation

First Charter Comprehensive Stock Option Plan. In April 1992, the Corporation s shareholders approved the First Charter Corporation Comprehensive Stock Option Plan (Comprehensive Stock Option Plan). Under the terms of the Comprehensive Stock Option Plan, stock options (which can be incentive stock options or non-qualified stock options) may be periodically granted to key employees of the Corporation or its subsidiaries. The terms and vesting schedules of options granted under the Comprehensive Stock Option Plan generally are determined by the Compensation Committee of the Corporation s Board of Directors (Compensation Committee). However, no options may be exercisable prior to six months following the grant date, and certain additional restrictions, including the term and exercise price, apply with respect to any incentive stock options. Under the Comprehensive Stock Option Plan, 480,000 shares of common stock are reserved for issuance. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, no shares were issued under this plan.

First Charter Corporation Stock Option Plan for Non-Employee Directors. In April 1997, the Corporation s shareholders approved the First Charter Corporation Stock Option Plan for Non-Employee Directors (Director Plan). Under the Director Plan, non-statutory stock options may be granted to non-employee Directors of the Corporation and its subsidiaries. The terms and vesting schedules of any options granted under the Director Plan generally are determined by the Compensation Committee. The exercise price for each option granted, however, is the fair value of the common stock as of the date of grant. A maximum of 180,000 shares are reserved for issuance under the Director Plan. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, no shares were issued under this plan.

2000 Omnibus Stock Option and Award Plan. In June 2000, the Corporation s shareholders approved the First Charter Corporation 2000 Omnibus Stock Option and Award Plan (the 2000 Omnibus Plan). Under the 2000 Omnibus Plan, 2.0 million shares of common stock were originally reserved for issuance. In April of 2005, the shareholders approved an amendment to the 2000 Omnibus Plan, authorizing an additional 1.5 million shares for issuance, for a total of 3.5 million shares. The 2000 Omnibus Plan permits the granting of stock options and nonvested shares to Directors and key employees. Stock options are granted with an exercise price equal to the market price of the Corporation s common stock at the date of grant; those stock option awards generally vest ratably over five years and have a 10-year contractual term. Nonvested shares are generally granted at a value equal to the market price of the Corporation s common stock at the date of grant and vesting is based on either service or performance conditions. Service-based nonvested shares generally vest over three years. Performance-based nonvested shares are earned over three years upon meeting various performance goals as approved by the Compensation Committee, including cash return on equity, targeted charge-off levels, and earnings per share growth as measured against a group of selected peer companies. During the three months ended June 30, 2007, no shares were issued under this plan. During the six months ended June 30, 2007, 71,500 stock options, 21,000 service-based nonvested shares, and 54,600 performance-based nonvested shares were issued under this plan.

Restricted Stock Award Program. In April 1995, the Corporation s shareholders approved the First Charter Corporation Restricted Stock Award Program (the Restricted Stock Plan). Awards of restricted stock (nonvested shares) may be made under the Restricted Stock Plan at the discretion of the Compensation Committee to key employees. Nonvested shares are granted at a value equal to the market price of the Corporation s common stock at the date of grant and generally vest based on either three or five years of service. Under the Restricted Stock Plan, a maximum of 360,000 shares of common stock are reserved for issuance. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, there were 18,732 and 89,935 service-based nonvested shares issued under this plan, respectively.

19

Table of Contents

Stock-based compensation costs totaled \$1.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007, which consisted of \$32,000 related to stock options, \$736,000 related to service-based nonvested shares, and \$232,000 related to performance-based nonvested shares. For the six months ended June 30, 2007, stock-based compensation costs totaled \$1.8 million, which consisted of \$82,000 related to stock options, \$1.3 million related to service-based nonvested shares, and \$446,000 related to performance-based nonvested shares.

Stock-based compensation costs totaled \$555,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2006, which consisted of \$202,000 related to stock options, \$246,000 related to service-based nonvested shares, and \$107,000 related to performance-based nonvested shares. For the six months ended June 30, 2006, stock-based compensation costs totaled \$1.1 million, which consisted of \$481,000 related to stock options, \$373,000 related to service-based nonvested shares, and \$214,000 related to performance-based nonvested shares.

The fair value of each stock option award is estimated at the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option-pricing model based on the following weighted-average assumptions:

	Three Months Ended June 30		Six Months Ended June 30	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Expected volatility	N/A	N/A	22.4%	25.0%
Expected dividend yield	N/A	N/A	3.2	3.2
Risk-free interest rate	N/A	N/A	4.8	3.9
Expected term (in years)	N/A	N/A	8.0	7.0

The Black-Scholes model incorporates assumptions to value stock-based awards. The risk-free rate of interest for periods within the contractual life of the option is based on a U.S. government instrument over the contractual term of the equity instrument. Expected volatility is based on historical volatility of the Corporation s stock. Stock option activity under the Comprehensive Stock Option Plan, the Director Plan, and the 2000 Omnibus Plan at and for the six months ended June 30, 2007, follows:

	Shares	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at January 1, 2007	1,497,619	\$20.57		
Granted	71,500	24.46		
Exercised	(56,960)	18.98		\$ 297,893
Forfeited or expired	(204,906)	25.79		
Outstanding at March 31, 2007	1,307,253	\$20.03	5.5	\$3,093,754
Exercisable at March 31, 2007	1,187,673	\$19.62	5.1	\$3,093,754
Weighted-average Black-Scholes fair value of options granted during the period		\$ 5.63		

Outstanding at March 31, 2007 Granted	1,307,253	\$20.03		
Exercised	(60,338)	17.75		\$ 239,159
Forfeited or expired	(22,878)	22.76		
Outstanding at June 30, 2007	1,224,037	\$20.09	5.3	\$1,501,676
Exercisable at June 30, 2007	1,104,457	\$19.65	5.1	\$1,501,676
Weighted-average Black-Scholes fair value of options granted during the period		\$		
	20			

Table of Contents

No options were granted during the three months ended June 30, 2006. The weighted-average Black-Scholes fair value of options granted during the six months ended June 30, 2006, was \$5.85, and the aggregate intrinsic value of options exercised was \$604,000 and \$1.5 million, respectively.

Nonvested share activity under the Omnibus Plan and the Restricted Stock Plan at and for the six months ended June 30, 2007 follows:

	Service-Based Weighted- Average Grant Date		Performar	rmance-Based Weighted- Average Grant Date	
	Shares	Fair Value	Shares	Fair Value	
Outstanding at January 1, 2007	215,663	\$24.00	52,100	\$21.91	
Granted	92,203	24.34	54,600	22.70	
Vested	(5,342)	23.66			
Forfeited or expired	(13,262)	23.81			
Outstanding at March 31, 2007	289,262	\$24.14	106,700	\$22.31	
Granted	18,732	20.64			
Vested			(5,967)	22.13	
Forfeited or expired	(4,666)	23.88	(9,333)	22.41	
Outstanding at June 30, 2007	303,328	\$23.92	91,400	\$22.32	

As of June 30, 2007, there was approximately \$5.6 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to service-based nonvested share-based compensation arrangements granted under the Omnibus Plan and the Restricted Stock Plan. This cost is expected to be recognized over a remaining weighted-average period of 2.3 years. No share-based awards vested during the three months ended June 30, 2007. The total fair value of share-based awards that vested during the six months ended June 30, 2007, was \$126,000.

As of June 30, 2007, there was \$1.3 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to performance-based nonvested share-based compensation arrangements granted under the Omnibus Plan. This cost is expected to be recognized over a remaining weighted-average period of 1.9 years.

The following table provides certain information about stock options outstanding at June 30, 2007:

		tanding Options Weighted-Averag	te	Options Exe	rcisable
	Number	Remaining Contractual Life (in	Weighted- Average Exercise	Number	Weighted- Average Exercise
Range of Exercise Prices	Outstanding	years)	Price	Exercisable	Price
\$5.01-10.00	3,400	2.2	\$ 9.04	3,400	\$ 9.04
10.01 - 12.50	18,702	1.5	11.63	18,702	11.63
12.51 - 15.00	63,160	2.5	14.43	63,160	14.43
15.01 - 17.50	256,537	4.0	16.62	256,537	16.62
17.51 - 20.00	262,845	4.4	18.44	262,845	18.44
20.01 - 22.50	167,814	6.4	20.78	167,814	20.78

22.51 - 25.00 25.01 - 27.50	422,514 29,065	7.1 2.7	23.83 26.35	302,934 29,065	23.71 26.35
Total	1,224,037	5.3	\$20.09	1,104,457	\$19.65
		21			

Table of Contents

11. Other Borrowings

A summary of other borrowings follows:

		June 30), 2007 Weighted- Average	December	31, 2006 Weighted- Average
(L. thorong L.)		D - 1	Contractual	D-1	Contractual
(In thousands)		Balance	Rate	Balance	Rate
Federal funds purchased and securities sold					
under agreements to repurchase	\$	216,152	4.71%	\$ 201,713	4.60%
Commercial paper		77,844	2.71	38,191	2.72
Other short-term borrowings		265,000	5.30	371,000	5.35
Long-term debt		617,762	5.13	487,794	4.79
Total other borrowings	\$ 1	1,176,758	4.93%	\$1,098,698	4.87%

Securities sold under agreements to repurchase represent short-term borrowings by the banking subsidiaries with maturities less than one year collateralized by a portion of the Corporation s securities of the United States government or its agencies, which have been delivered to a third-party custodian for safekeeping. Securities with an aggregate carrying value of \$194.2 million and \$214.9 million at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively, were pledged to secure securities sold under agreements to repurchase.

Federal funds purchased represent unsecured overnight borrowings from other financial institutions by the banking subsidiaries. At June 30, 2007, the Bank had federal funds back-up lines of credit totaling \$363.0 million with \$88.0 million outstanding. At December 31, 2006, the Bank had federal funds backup lines of credit totaling \$188.2 million with \$41.5 million outstanding.

The Corporation issues commercial paper as another source of short-term funding. It is purchased primarily by the Bank s commercial clients. Commercial paper outstanding at June 30, 2007 was \$77.8 million, compared to \$38.2 million at December 31, 2006.

Other short-term borrowings consist of the FHLB borrowings with an original maturity of one year or less. FHLB borrowings are collateralized by securities from the Corporation s investment portfolio, and a blanket lien on certain qualifying commercial and single-family loans held in the Corporation s loan portfolio. At June 30, 2007, the Bank had \$265.0 million of short-term FHLB borrowings, compared to \$371.0 million at December 31, 2006.

Long-term borrowings represent FHLB borrowings with original maturities greater than one year and subordinated debentures related to trust preferred securities. At June 30, 2007, the Bank had \$555.9 million of long-term FHLB borrowings, compared to \$425.9 million at December 31, 2006. In addition, the Corporation had \$61.9 million of outstanding subordinated debentures at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006.

The Corporation formed First Charter Capital Trust I and First Charter Capital Trust II, in June 2005 and September 2005, respectively; both are wholly-owned business trusts. First Charter Capital Trust I and First Charter Capital Trust II issued \$35.0 million and \$25.0 million, respectively, of trust preferred securities that were sold to third parties. The proceeds of the sale of the trust preferred securities were used to purchase the subordinated debentures (the Notes) discussed above from the Corporation, which are presented as long-term borrowings in the consolidated balance sheet and qualify for inclusion in Tier 1 capital for regulatory capital purposes, subject to certain limitations.

22

Table of Contents

The following table is a summary of the Corporation s outstanding trust preferred securities and Notes as of June 30, 2007.

	(Dalla	:	41	~\
(DOIL	ırs ın	thousand	S)

	,	Aggregate Principal Amount of Trust	Aggregate Principal Amount	Stated	Per Annum	Interest	
		Preferred	of	Maturity of	Interest Rate	Payment	Redemption
Issuer	Issuance Date	Securities	the Notes	the Notes	of the Notes	Dates	Period
Capital					3 mo. LIBOR +	3/15, 6/15,	On or after
Trust I	June 2005	\$35,000	\$36,083	September 2035	169 bps	9/15, 12/15	9/15/2010
Capital				-	3 mo. LIBOR +	3/15, 6/15,	On or after
Trust II	September 2005	25,000	25,774	December 2035	142 bps	9/15, 12/15	12/15/2010
Total		\$60,000	\$61,857				

12. Commitments, Contingencies, and Off-Balance-Sheet Risk

Commitments and Off-Balance-Sheet Risk. The Corporation is party to various financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit and involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amounts recognized in the consolidated financial statements. Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer so long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates and may require collateral from the borrower if deemed necessary by the Corporation. Included in loan commitments are commitments to cover customer deposit account overdrafts should they occur. Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Corporation to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party up to a stipulated amount and with specified terms and conditions. Standby letters of credit are recorded as a liability by the Corporation at the fair value of the obligation undertaken in issuing the guarantee. The fair value and carrying value at June 30, 2007, of standby letters of credit issued or modified during the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 was immaterial. Commitments to extend credit are not recorded as an asset or liability by the Corporation until the instrument is exercised. The Corporation uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for instruments reflected in the consolidated financial statements. The creditworthiness of each customer is evaluated on a case-by-case basis.

The Corporation s maximum exposure is as follows:

	Less than			Over 5	Timing not	
(In thousands)	1 year	1-3 Years	4-5 Years	Years	determinable	Total
Loan commitments Lines of credit Standby letters of	\$703,813 31,390	\$118,172 1,639	\$42,662 2,921	\$ 59,637 455,613	\$	\$ 924,284 491,563
Standby letters of credit	22,920	3,548				26,468

Anticipated tax

settlements 584 10,551 11,135

Total commitments \$758,707 \$123,359 \$45,583 \$515,250 \$10,551 \$1,453,450

Contingencies. The Corporation is under examination by the North Carolina Department of Revenue for tax years 1999 through 2004 and is subject to examination for subsequent tax years. Additional information regarding the examination is included in **Note 2**.

The Corporation and the Bank are defendants in certain claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, after consultation with legal counsel, the ultimate disposition of these matters is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the consolidated operations, liquidity, or financial position of the Corporation or the Bank.

23

Table of Contents

13. Regulatory Restrictions and Capital Ratios

The Corporation and the Bank are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by bank regulatory agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Corporation s financial position and operations. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Corporation and the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Corporation and the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below) of Total and Tier I capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined), and of Tier I capital (as defined) to adjusted average assets (as defined). Management believes, as of June 30, 2007, that the Corporation and the Bank meet all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject.

The Corporation s and the Bank s various regulators have issued regulatory capital requirements for U.S. banking organizations. Failure to meet the capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and discretionary actions by regulators that could have a material effect on the Corporation s financial statements. At June 30, 2007, the Corporation and the Bank were classified as well capitalized under these regulatory frameworks. In the judgment of management, there have been no events or conditions since June 30, 2007, which would change the well capitalized status of the Corporation or the Bank.

The Corporation s and the Bank s actual capital amounts and ratios follow:

	Actual			pital Purposes Minimum	To Be Well Capitalized Minimum		
(Dollars in thousands)	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	
At June 30, 2007: Leverage First Charter							
Corporation	\$430,373	8.97%	\$192,023	4.00%	None	None	
First Charter Bank	411,177	8.57	191,914	4.00	\$239,893	5.00%	
Tier I Capital First Charter Corporation First Charter Bank	\$430,373 411,177	10.57% 10.10	\$162,932 162,782	4.00% 4.00	None \$244,174	None 6.00%	
Total Risk-Based Capital First Charter Corporation First Charter Bank	\$475,358 455,967	11.67% 11.20	\$325,863 325,565	8.00% 8.00	None \$406,956	None 10.00%	
At December 31, 2006: Leverage First Charter Corporation First Charter Bank	\$428,135 362,970	9.32% 8.36	\$183,678 173,591	4.00% 4.00	None \$216,988	None 5.00%	

Edgar Filing: FIRST CHARTER CORP /NC/ - Form 10-Q

Tier I Capital						
First Charter Corporation	\$428,135	10.53%	\$162,614	4.00%	None	None
First Charter Bank	362,970	9.99	145,275	4.00	\$217,913	6.00%
Total Risk-Based Capital						
First Charter Corporation	\$463,273	11.40%	\$325,228	8.00%	None	None
First Charter Bank	393,664	10.84	290,550	8.00	\$363,188	10.00%
		2/	1			
		24	ŀ			

Table of Contents

Tier 1 capital consists of total equity plus qualifying capital securities and minority interests, less unrealized gains and losses accumulated in other comprehensive income, certain intangible assets, and adjustments related to the valuation of servicing assets and certain equity investments in nonfinancial companies (equity method investments). The leverage ratio reflects Tier 1 capital divided by average total assets for the period. Average assets used in the calculation exclude certain intangible and servicing assets.

Total risk-based capital is comprised of Tier 1 capital plus qualifying subordinated debt and allowance for loan losses and a portion of unrealized gains on certain equity securities.

Both the Tier 1 and the total risk-based capital ratios are computed by dividing the respective capital amounts by risk-weighted assets, as defined.

The Corporation from time to time is required to maintain noninterest bearing reserve balances with the Federal Reserve Bank. The required reserve was \$1.0 million at June 30, 2007.

Under current Federal Reserve regulations, a bank subsidiary is limited in the amount it may loan to its parent company and nonbank subsidiaries. Loans to a single affiliate may not exceed 10 percent and loans to all affiliates may not exceed 20 percent of the Bank s capital stock, surplus, and undivided profits, plus the allowance for loan losses. Loans from the Bank to nonbank affiliates, including the parent company, are also required to be collateralized. The primary source of funds available to the Corporation is the payment of dividends from the Bank. Dividends paid by a subsidiary bank to its parent company are also subject to certain legal and regulatory limitations.

14. Subsequent Event

On July 31, 2007, the General Assembly of North Carolina passed House Bill 1473 which includes a provision that disallows the deduction of dividends paid by captive real estate investment trusts (REITs) for the purposes of determining North Carolina taxable income. The Corporation, through its subsidiaries, participates in two entities classified as captive REITs from which the Corporation has historically received dividends which resulted in certain tax benefits taken within the Corporation s tax returns and consolidated financial statements. This legislation is effective for taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2007.

The Corporation is currently evaluating the impact that this legislation will have on the Corporation s current and prior tax filings, as well as the related financial statement impact to the Corporation s effective tax rate and uncertain tax positions. Assuming the legislation eliminates the deductibility of the REIT dividends for North Carolina state income tax purposes, the Corporation expects an increase in its effective tax rate for 2007 and subsequent fiscal years.

15. Business Segment Information

The Corporation operates one reportable segment, the Bank, the Corporation s primary banking subsidiary. The Bank provides businesses and individuals with commercial, consumer and mortgage loans, deposit banking services, brokerage services, insurance products, and comprehensive financial planning solutions. The results of the Bank s operations constitute a substantial majority of the consolidated net income, revenue and assets of the Corporation. Intercompany transactions and the Corporation s revenue, expenses, assets (including cash, investment securities, and investments in venture capital limited partnerships) and liabilities (including commercial paper and subordinated debentures) are included in the Other category.

25

Table of Contents

Information regarding the separate results of operations and assets for the Bank and Other for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 follows:

					onths Ended 30, 2007	~	
(In thousands)	The	Bank	(Other	Eliminations	Coi	nsolidated Total
Interest income Interest expense		8,280 9,520	\$	11 1,227	\$	\$	78,291 40,747
Net interest income (expense) Provision for loan losses Noninterest income	2	8,760 9,124 0,109 4,951		(1,216) 32 256			37,544 9,124 20,141 35,207
Noninterest expense Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense Income tax expense (benefit)	1	4,794 4,886		(1,440) (482)			13,354 4,404
Net income (loss)	\$	9,908	\$	(958)	\$	\$	8,950
Average loans Average assets Total assets		3,840 1,455 8,290		37,631 89,514	\$ (524,344) (571,083)	4	,543,840 ,874,742 ,916,721
					onths Ended 30, 2006		
(In thousands)	The	Bank	(Other	Eliminations	Co	nsolidated Total
Interest income Interest expense		3,653 9,935	\$	89 1,160	\$	\$	63,742 31,095
Net interest income (expense) Provision for loan losses Noninterest income (loss)		3,718 880 6,301		(1,071) (9)			32,647 880 16,292
Noninterest expense		0,649		39			30,688
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense	1	8,490		(1,119)			17,371
Income tax expense (benefit)		6,329		(383)			5,946
	1	2,161		(736)			11,425

Income (loss) from continuing operations,				
net of tax				
Discontinued operations:				
Income from discontinued operations	50			50
Income tax expense	20			20
Income from discontinued operations, net				
of tax	30			30
Net income (loss)	\$ 12,191	\$ (736)	\$	\$ 11,455
Average loans	\$3,030,815	\$	\$	\$3,030,815
Average assets of continuing operations	4,251,761	417,805	(397,703)	4,271,863
Average assets of discontinued operations	2,482			2,482
Total assets of continuing operations	4,332,140	443,647	(417,139)	4,358,648
Total assets of discontinued operations	2,583			2,583
	26			

Table of Contents

Information regarding the separate results of operations and assets for the Bank and Other for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 follows:

			ths Ended 80, 2007	
(In thousands)	The Bank	Other	Eliminations	Consolidated Total
Interest income	\$ 155,412	\$ 93	\$	\$ 155,505 81.226
Interest expense	78,742	2,484		81,226
Net interest income (expense)	76,670	(2,391)		74,279
Provision for loan losses	10,490			10,490
Noninterest income	39,567	140		39,707
Noninterest expense	70,661	466		71,127
Income (loss) from continuing operations				
before income tax expense	35,086	(2,717)		32,369
Income tax expense (benefit)	11,992	(929)		11,063
Net income (loss)	\$ 23,094	\$ (1,788)	\$	\$ 21,306
Average loans	\$3,532,915	\$	\$	\$3,532,915
Average assets	4,858,766	539,157	(525,001)	4,872,922
Total assets	4,898,290	589,514	(571,083)	4,916,721
			ths Ended 0, 2006	Consolidated
(In thousands)	The Bank	Other	Eliminations	Total
Interest income	\$ 123,281	\$ 107	\$	\$ 123,388
Interest expense	56,411	2,240		58,651
Net interest income (expense)	66,870	(2,133)		64,737
Provision for loan losses	2,399			2,399
Noninterest income	33,204	79		33,283
Noninterest expense	61,327	102		61,429
Income (loss) from continuing operations				
before income tax expense	36,348	(2,156)		34,192
Income tax expense (benefit)	12,348	(734)		11,614
Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax Discontinued operations:	24,000	(1,422)		22,578

Income from discontinued operations Income tax expense	198 78			198 78
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax	120			120
Net income (loss)	\$ 24,120	\$ (1,422)	\$	\$ 22,698
Average loans Average assets of continuing operations Average assets of discontinued operations Total assets of continuing operations Total assets of discontinued operations	\$2,988,596 4,217,951 2,511 4,332,140 2,583	\$ 417,950 443,647	\$ (400,284) (417,139)	\$2,988,596 4,235,617 2,511 4,358,648 2,583

Table of Contents

Item 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Factors that May Affect Future Results

The following discussion contains certain forward-looking statements about the Corporation s financial condition and results of operations, which are subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those reflected in the forward-looking statements. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which reflect management s judgment only as of the date hereof. The Corporation undertakes no obligation to publicly revise these forward-looking statements to reflect events and circumstances that arise after the date hereof.

Factors that may cause actual results to differ materially from those contemplated by such forward-looking statements, and which may be beyond the Corporation s control, include, among others, the following possibilities: (i) projected results in connection with management s implementation of, or changes in, the Corporation s business plan and strategic initiatives, including the Corporation s various balance sheet initiatives; (ii) competitive pressure among financial services companies increases significantly; (iii) costs or difficulties related to the integration of acquisitions, including deposit attrition, customer retention and revenue loss, or expenses in general are greater than expected; (iv) general economic conditions, in the markets in which the Corporation does business, are less favorable than expected; (v) risks inherent in making loans, including repayment risks and risks associated with collateral values, are greater than expected, including the Penland loans described herein; (vi) changes in the interest rate environment, or interest rate policies of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, may reduce interest margins and affect funding sources; (vii) changes in market rates and prices may adversely affect the value of financial products; (viii) legislation or regulatory requirements or changes thereto, including changes in accounting standards, may adversely affect the businesses in which the Corporation is engaged; (ix) regulatory compliance cost increases are greater than expected; (x) the passage of future tax legislation, or any negative regulatory, administrative or judicial position, may adversely impact the Corporation; (xi) the Corporation s competitors may have greater financial resources and may develop products that enable them to compete more successfully in the markets in which the Corporation operates; (xii) changes in the securities markets, including changes in interest rates, may adversely affect the Corporation s ability to raise capital from time to time; (xiii) the material weaknesses in the Corporation s internal control over financial reporting result in subsequent adjustments to management s projected results; and (xiv) implementation of management s plans to remediate the material weaknesses takes longer than expected and causes the Corporation to incur costs that are greater than expected.

Overview

First Charter Corporation (NASDAQ: FCTR) (hereinafter referred to as First Charter, the Corporation, or the Registrant), headquartered in Charlotte, North Carolina, is a regional financial services company with assets of \$4.9 billion and is the holding company for First Charter Bank (the Bank). As of June 30, 2007, First Charter operated 58 financial centers, four insurance offices, and 138 ATMs throughout North Carolina and Georgia, and also operates loan origination offices in Asheville, North Carolina and Reston, Virginia. First Charter provides businesses and individuals with a broad range of financial services, including banking, financial planning, wealth management, investments, insurance, and mortgages. The results of operations of the Bank constitute the substantial majority of the consolidated net income, revenue, and assets of the Corporation.

The Corporation s principal source of earnings is derived from net interest income. Net interest income is the interest earned on securities, loans, and other interest-earning assets less the interest paid for deposits and short- and long-term debt.

Table of Contents

Another source of earnings for the Corporation is noninterest income. Noninterest income is derived largely from service charges on deposit accounts and other fee or commission-based services and products, including mortgage, wealth management, brokerage, and insurance. Other sources of noninterest income include securities gains or losses, gains from Small Business Administration loan sales, transactions involving bank-owned property, and income from Bank Owned Life Insurance (BOLI) policies.

Noninterest expense is the primary component of expense for the Corporation. Noninterest expense is primarily composed of corporate operating expenses, including salaries and benefits, occupancy and equipment, professional fees, and other operating expense. The provision for loan losses and income taxes are also considered material expenses.

The Community-Banking Model

The Bank follows a community-banking model. The community-banking model is focused on delivering a broad array of financial products and solutions to our clients with exceptional service and convenience at a fair price. It emphasizes local market decision-making and management whenever possible. Management believes this model works well against larger competitors that may have less flexibility, as well as local competition that may not have the array of products and services that the Bank offers. The Bank competes against four of the largest banks in the country, as well as other local banks, savings and loan associations, credit unions, and finance companies. Management believes that by focusing on core values, striving to exceed our clients expectations, being an employer of choice and providing exceptional value to shareholders, the Corporation can achieve the profitability and growth goals it has set for itself.

Market Expansion

First Charter expanded into the Raleigh, North Carolina market with the opening of a *de novo* financial center in October 2005 and three additional *de novo* financial centers in mid-February, 2006. A fifth *de novo* financial center opened in Raleigh in late-January 2007.

On November 1, 2006, the Corporation entered the greater Atlanta, Georgia metropolitan market with the acquisition of GBC Bancorp, Inc. (GBC) and its banking subsidiary, Gwinnett Banking Company (Gwinnett Bank), with financial centers located in Lawrenceville and Alpharetta, Georgia. By expanding into the greater Atlanta metropolitan market through this acquisition, the Corporation has been able to spread its credit risk over multiple market areas and states, as well as gain access to another large market area as a source of core deposits. Effective March 1, 2007, Gwinnett Bank was merged with and into the Bank.

Recent Challenges

During the fourth quarter of 2006, the Corporation closed two significant transactions, the acquisition of GBC and the sale of Southeastern Employee Benefits Services (SEBS), its employee benefits administration business. In addition, the Corporation was faced with several new accounting standards. The numerous challenges that these events posed for the Corporation were compounded by a key vacancy in the leadership of its accounting area and turnover within other key finance positions, and exposed certain material weaknesses in the Corporation s internal control over financial reporting. Management has begun to implement its remediation plan to address these material weaknesses (the Remediation Plan). See **Item 4. Controls and Procedures.**

For additional information with respect to the material weaknesses in the Corporation s internal controls, and the Remediation Plan, refer to First Charter s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

29

Table of Contents

During the second quarter of 2007, the North Carolina Attorney General obtained a court order to appoint a receiver to take control of a real estate venture in Western North Carolina. The Attorney General s complaint alleges that various defendants, including real estate development companies, individuals, and an appraiser engaged in deceptive practices to induce consumers to obtain loans to purchase lots in The Village of Penland and related development projects (Penland) in the Spruce Pine, North Carolina area. These lots were allegedly priced based upon inflated appraisals. Several financial institutions, including First Charter, made loans in connection with these residential developments. As of June 30, 2007, the Corporation had 70 loans with an aggregate outstanding balance of \$14.1 million to individual lot purchasers related to Penland. As previously disclosed, based on management s assessment of probable incurred losses associated with the Penland loan portfolio, the Corporation recorded an additional \$7.8 million provision for loan losses during the second quarter of 2007. As of June 30, 2007, no loans in the Penland loan portfolio had reached a 90-day past-due status. However, based on management s assessment of the individual borrowers, \$5.4 million of these loans were placed on nonaccrual status as of June 30, 2007 and all of the previously recognized interest income related to these nonaccrual loans was reversed.

Financial Summary

The Corporation s second quarter 2007 net income was \$9.0 million, a 21.9 percent decrease, compared to \$11.5 million for the second quarter of 2006. On a per share basis, net income was \$0.26 per diluted share, compared to \$0.37 per diluted share for the second quarter of 2006.

Total revenue on a tax-equivalent basis increased 17.8 percent to \$58.3 million, compared to \$49.5 million in the second quarter of 2006. Return on average tangible equity was 9.97 percent and return on average assets was 0.74 percent, compared to 14.97 percent and 1.07 percent, respectively, a year ago.

The financial results for 2007 include the financial performance and the effect of additional outstanding shares from the recent acquisition of GBC Bancorp, Inc., compared with two months of results in the 2006 fourth quarter and no impact for the six months ended June 30, 2006.

For the six months ended June 30, 2007 net income was \$21.3 million, a 6.1 percent decrease, compared to \$22.7 million from the same period a year ago. On a per share basis, net income was \$0.61 per diluted share for the six months ended June 30, 2007, compared to \$0.73 per diluted share for the six months ended June 30, 2006.

30

Table of Contents

Table One Selected Financial Data by Quarter

				Thr	ee N	Ionths End	led			
		June 30	ľ	March 31	De	ecember 31	-	ember 30		June 30
ollars in thousands, except share and per share amounts)		2007		2007		2006	2	2006		2006
come statement										
erest income	\$	78,291	\$	77,214	\$	74,456	\$	67,085	\$	63,74
erest expense		40,747		40,479		38,441		34,127		31,09
t interest income		37,544		36,735		36,015		32,958		32,64
ovision for loan losses		9,124		1,366		1,486		1,405		88
ninterest income		20,141		19,566		17,388		17,007		16,29
ninterest expense		35,207		35,920		33,853		29,655		30,68
ome from continuing operations before income tax										
pense		13,354		19,015		18,064		18,905		17,37
ome tax expense		4,404		6,659		5,962		6,223		5,94
come from continuing operations, net of tax scontinued operations:		8,950		12,356		12,102		12,682		11,42
ome (loss) from discontinued operations						(162)				
in on sale						962				ļ
ome tax expense						887				1
ome (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax						(87)				<u>;</u>
t income	\$	8,950	\$	12,356	\$	12,015	\$	12,682	\$	11,45
r common share										
sic earnings per share										
ome from continuing operations, net of tax	\$	0.26	\$	0.36	\$	0.36	\$	0.41	\$	0.3
t income		0.26		0.36		0.36		0.41		0.3
uted earnings per share		2.46		2.25		2.26		2.40		0.4
ome from continuing operations, net of tax		0.26		0.35		0.36		0.40		0.3
t income		0.26		0.35		0.36		0.40		0.3
erage shares			_				•		_	
sic _		1,697,944		4,770,106		3,268,542		056,059		31,058,85
uted	34	1,986,662	35	5,084,640	33	3,583,617	31,4	126,563	3	31,339,32
vidends declared		0.195		0.195		0.195		0.195		0.19
riod-end book value		12.85		12.97		12.81		11.20		10.7
rformance ratios		= 0.6 m		11.00		11.60%		1.4.7.6.00		10.
turn on average equity (1)		7.86%		11.09%		11.69%		14.76%		13.8
turn on average assets (1)		0.74		1.03		1.02		1.16		1.0
t yield on earning assets (1)		3.42		3.38		3.40		3.33		3.3

109.50	107.98	105.88	103.37	108.2
9.37	9.28	8.75	7.86	7.1
60.4	63.1	62.6	52.6	62
\$ 3,509,047	\$ 3,494,015	\$ 3,450,087	\$ 3,061,864	\$ 3,042,76
11,471	13,691	12,292	10,923	8,38
44,790	35,854	34,966	29,919	29,52
898,528	897,762	906,415	899,120	884,37
4,916,721	4,884,495	4,856,717	4,382,507	4,361,23
3,230,346	3,321,366	3,248,128	2,954,854	2,988,80
1,176,758	1,044,229	1,098,698	1,031,798	995,70
4,470,893	4,429,123	4,409,355	4,033,069	4,027,33
445,828	455,372	447,362	349,438	333,89
,		·		
3,532,713	3,510,437	3,336,563	3,070,286	3,021,00
11,127	11,431	10,757	8,792	9,81
914,606	926,970	924,773	923,293	921,02
·	4,463,161	4,284,735	4,013,745	3,960,83
, ,	4,871,083	4,664,431	4,336,270	4,274,34
, ,		, ,	2,970,047	2,790,19
·			984,504	1,108,73
456,634	451,835	407,929	340,986	332,98
	9.37 60.4 \$ 3,509,047 11,471 44,790 898,528 4,916,721 3,230,346 1,176,758 4,470,893 445,828 3,532,713 11,127 914,606 4,467,031 4,874,742 3,226,308 1,131,599	9.37 60.49.28 63.1\$ 3,509,047 11,471 44,790 35,854 898,528 4,916,721 3,230,346 4,470,893 445,828\$87,762 4,884,495 3,321,366 1,176,758 4,470,893 4,429,123 445,828\$3,321,366 1,044,229 4,470,893 4,429,123 455,3723,532,713 3,510,437 11,127 4,467,031 4,467,031 4,463,161 4,874,742 3,226,308 1,131,599\$3,251,137 1,113,191	9.379.288.7560.463.162.6\$ 3,509,047\$ 3,494,015\$ 3,450,08711,47113,69112,29244,79035,85434,966898,528897,762906,4154,916,7214,884,4954,856,7173,230,3463,321,3663,248,1281,176,7581,044,2291,098,6984,470,8934,429,1234,409,355445,828455,372447,3623,532,7133,510,4373,336,56311,12711,43110,757914,606926,970924,7734,467,0314,463,1614,284,7354,874,7424,871,0834,664,4313,226,3083,251,1373,151,1201,131,5991,113,1911,054,550	9.37 9.28 8.75 7.86 60.4 63.1 62.6 52.6 \$ 3,509,047 \$ 3,494,015 \$ 3,450,087 \$ 3,061,864 11,471 13,691 12,292 10,923 44,790 35,854 34,966 29,919 898,528 897,762 906,415 899,120 4,916,721 4,884,495 4,856,717 4,382,507 3,230,346 3,321,366 3,248,128 2,954,854 1,176,758 1,044,229 1,098,698 1,031,798 4,470,893 4,429,123 4,409,355 4,033,069 445,828 455,372 447,362 349,438 3,532,713 3,510,437 3,336,563 3,070,286 11,127 11,431 10,757 8,792 914,606 926,970 924,773 923,293 4,467,031 4,463,161 4,284,735 4,013,745 4,874,742 4,871,083 4,664,431 4,336,270 3,226,308 3,251,137 3,151,120 2,970,047 1,131,599 1,113,191 1,054,550 984,504

⁽¹⁾ Annualized.

(2) Noninterest
expense divided by
the sum of
taxable-equivalent
net interest income
plus noninterest
income less gain
(loss) on sale of
securities, net.
Excludes the
results of
discontinued
operations.

31

Table of Contents

Critical Accounting Estimates and Policies

The Corporation s significant accounting policies are described in **Note 1** of the Corporation s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006, on pages 69 to 76. These policies are essential in understanding management s discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations. Some of the Corporation s accounting policies require significant judgment to estimate values of either assets or liabilities. In addition, certain accounting principles require significant judgment with respect to their application to complicated transactions to determine the most appropriate treatment.

The Corporation has identified three accounting policies as being critical in terms of judgments and the extent to which estimates are used: allowance for loan losses, income taxes, and identified intangible assets and goodwill. In many cases, there are numerous alternative judgments that could be used in the process of estimating values of assets or liabilities. Where alternatives exist, the Corporation has used the factors it believes represent the most reasonable value in developing the inputs for the valuation. Actual performance that differs from the Corporation s estimates of the key variables could affect net income.

As previously discussed, the Corporation recorded an additional provision for loan losses related to the Penland in the second quarter 2007. The Corporation began evaluating its exposure to Penland in early June 2007 after the North Carolina Attorney General announced that he had obtained a court order to appoint a receiver to take control of the development. The Corporation continues to evaluate the Penland lot loan portfolio. Subsequent developments related to the Penland lot loans may have a significant impact on the provision for loan losses.

As described in **Note 14** to the consolidated financial statements, on July 31, 2007 the General Assembly of North Carolina passed legislation which includes a provision that disallows the deduction of dividends paid by captive real estate investment trusts (REITs) for the purposes of determining North Carolina taxable income. The Corporation, through its subsidiaries, participates in two entities classified as captive REITs from which the Corporation has historically received dividends which resulted in certain tax benefits taken within the Corporation s tax returns and consolidated financial statements. The Corporation is currently evaluating the impact that this legislation will have on the Corporation s current and prior tax filings, as well as the related financial statement impact to the Corporation s effective tax rate and uncertain tax positions.

For more information on the Corporation s critical accounting policies, refer to pages 29 to 31 of the Corporation s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Earnings Performance

Net Interest Income and Margin

Net interest income, the difference between total interest income and total interest expense, is the Corporation s principal source of earnings. An analysis of the Corporation s net interest income on a taxable-equivalent basis and average balance sheets for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 is presented in **Table Two** and **Table Three**. Net interest income on a taxable-equivalent basis is a non-GAAP (Generally Accepted Accounting Principles) performance measure used by management in operating the business, which management believes provides investors with a more accurate picture of the interest margin for comparative purposes. The changes in net interest income (on a taxable-equivalent basis) for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 are analyzed in **Table Four and Table Five**. Except as noted, the discussion below is based on net interest income computed under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Net interest income increased to \$37.5 million, representing a \$4.9 million, or 15.0 percent, increase over the second quarter of 2006. The net interest margin (taxable-equivalent net interest income divided by average earning assets) increased six basis points to 3.42 percent in the second quarter of 2007 from 3.36 percent in the second quarter of 2006. The margin benefited from continued disciplined pricing of loans and deposits and a greater concentration of higher-yielding commercial loans relative to total

32

Table of Contents

assets. Placing \$5.4 million of the Penland lot loans on nonaccrual status in the second quarter of 2007 partially offset the increase in net interest income and reduced the margin by one basis point.

Compared to the second quarter of 2006, earning-asset yields increased 57 basis points to 7.08 percent. This increase was driven by several factors. First, loan yields increased 44 basis points to 7.61 percent. Second, securities yields increased 69 basis points to 5.06 percent. Third, the mix of higher-yielding (loan) assets improved as a result of the GBC acquisition and a smaller percentage of lower-yielding mortgage and consumer loans. Lastly, the percentage of investment securities average balances (which, typically, have lower yields than loans) to total earning-asset average balances, was reduced from 23.3 percent to 20.5 percent over the past year.

On the liability side of the balance sheet, the cost of interest-bearing liabilities increased 60 basis points to 4.19 percent, compared to the second quarter of 2006. This increase was comprised of a 71 basis point increase in interest-bearing deposit costs to 3.82 percent, while other borrowing costs increased 49 basis points to 5.10 percent. During 2006, the Federal Reserve raised the rate that banks lend funds to each other (the Fed Funds rate) by 100 basis points. Also, as a result of deposit growth, the percentage of higher-cost, other borrowings average balances was reduced from 31.9 percent to 29.0 percent of total interest-bearing liabilities average balances over the past year.

For the six months ended June 30, 2007, net interest income increased to \$74.3 million, representing a \$9.5 million, or 14.7 percent, increase from the same period in 2006. The net interest margin increased two basis points to 3.40 percent for the six months ended June 30, 2007, compared to 3.38 percent in the same 2006 period. As discussed previously, the improvements in the margin stemmed from continued disciplined pricing of loans and deposits and a greater concentration of higher-yielding commercial loans relative to total assets.

Compared to the six months ended June 30, 2006, earning-asset yields increased 67 basis points to 7.07 percent. This increase was driven by two factors. First, loan yields increased 57 basis points to 7.61 percent and securities yields increased 66 basis points to 5.00 percent. Second, as discussed above, the mix of higher-yielding (loan) assets improved as a result of the GBC acquisition and a smaller percentage of lower-yielding mortgage and consumer loans. The percentage of investment securities average balances (which, typically, have lower yields than loans) to total earning-asset average balances, was reduced from 23.4 percent to 20.6 percent over the past year.

The cost of interest-bearing liabilities increased 76 basis points, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2006. This was comprised of an 86 basis point increase in interest-bearing deposit costs to 3.83 percent, while other borrowing costs increased 65 basis points to 5.09 percent. During 2006, the Federal Reserve raised the Fed Funds rate by 100 basis points. Also, as a result of deposit growth, the percentage of higher-cost, other borrowings average balances was reduced from 31.3 percent to 28.7 percent of total interest-bearing liabilities average balances over the past year.

33

Table of Contents

Interest income and yields for earning-asset average balances, interest expense and rates paid on interest-bearing liability average balances, and the net interest margin for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 follow:

Table Two

Average Balances and Net Interest Income Analysis

		T 2007	hree Months I	Ended June 30	2006	
(Dollars in thousands)	Daily Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense	Average Yield/Rate Paid ⁽⁵⁾	Daily Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense	Average Yield/Rate Paid (5)
Assets						
Earning assets						
Loans and loans held for sale (1) (2) (3) (4)	\$3,543,825	\$67.242	7.61%	\$3,030,815	¢54.167	7.17%
Securities taxable ⁴	\$3,543,625 819,097	\$67,243 10,130	7.01% 4.96	819,886	\$54,167 8,534	4.16
Securities tax-exempt	95,509	1,428	6.00	101,140	1,520	6.01
Federal funds sold	3,777	48	5.31	3,011	37	4.93
Interest-bearing bank	-,			-,		
deposits	4,808	61	5.01	5,983	60	4.02
Total earning assets	4,467,016	\$78,910	7.08%	\$3,960,835	\$64,318	6.51%
Cash and due from banks	80,864	. ,		77,115	•	
Other assets	326,862			236,395		
Total assets	\$4,874,742			\$4,274,345		
Liabilities and shareholders equity Interest-bearing liabilities						
Demand deposits	\$ 413,534	\$ 1,167	1.13%	\$ 367,146	\$ 647	0.71%
Money market accounts	608,489	5,287	3.48	561,005	4,454	3.18
Savings deposits	114,656	62	0.22	121,130	65	0.22
Certificates of deposit	1,631,616	19,848	4.88	1,312,993	13,175	4.02
Retail other borrowings	94,784	774	3.58	142,645	999	2.81
Wholesale other borrowings	1,036,815	13,609	5.26	966,089	11,755	4.88
oon owngo	1,000,010	10,000	0.20	,00,00	11,700	
Total interest-bearing liabilities	3,899,894	40,747	4.19%	3,471,008	31,095	3.59%
Noninterest-bearing	3,077,074	40,747	4.19%	3,471,006	31,093	3.39%
deposits	458,013			427,923		
Other liabilities	60,201			42,427		
Shareholders equity	456,634			332,987		
Total liabilities and						
shareholders equity	\$4,874,742			\$4,274,345		

Net interest spread		2.89%		2.92%
Contribution of noninterest bearing sources		0.53		0.44
Net interest income/ yield on earning assets	\$38,163	3.42%	\$33,223	3.36%

- (1) The preceding analysis takes into consideration the principal amount of nonaccruing loans and only income actually collected and recognized on such loans.
- (2) Average loan balances are shown net of unearned income.
- (3) Includes
 amortization of
 deferred loan fees
 of \$1,031 and \$701
 for the three
 months ended
 June 30, 2007 and
 2006, respectively.
- Yields on tax-exempt securities and loans are stated on taxable-equivalent basis, assuming a Federal tax rate of 35 percent and applicable state taxes for 2007 and 2006. The adjustments made to convert to a taxable-equivalent basis were \$619 and \$576 for the three months ended June 30,

2007 and 2006, respectively.

(5) Annualized.

34

Table of Contents

Interest income and yields for earning-asset average balances, interest expense and rates paid on interest-bearing liability average balances, and the net interest margin for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 follow:

Table Three

Average Balances and Net Interest Income Analysis

	Six Months Ended June 30 2007 2006					
(Dollars in thousands)	Daily Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense	Average Yield/Rate Paid ⁽⁵⁾	Daily Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense	Average Yield/Rate Paid (5)
Assets						
Earning assets						
Loans and loans held for sale (1) (2) (3) (4)	\$3,532,900	\$133,482	7.61%	\$2,988,596	\$104,473	7.04%
Securities taxable ⁴	\$3,332,900 822,696	20,079	7.01% 4.89	\$2,988,390 814,175	16,842	7.04% 4.14
Securities tax-exempt	98,057	2,919	5.95	103,735	3,063	5.91
Federal funds sold	6,410	176	5.59	3,115	73	4.70
Interest-bearing bank	0,410	170	3.3 7	3,113	75	4.70
deposits	5,028	111	4.44	5,348	99	3.75
Total earning assets	4,465,091	\$156,767	7.07%	\$3,914,969	\$124,550	6.40%
Cash and due from banks	80,116	. ,		87,409	. ,	
Other assets	327,715			237,628		
Total assets	\$4,872,922			\$4,240,006		
Liabilities and shareholders equity Interest-bearing liabilities						
Demand deposits	\$ 406,584	\$ 2,225	1.10%	\$ 361,693	\$ 1,093	0.61%
Money market accounts	625,342	10,838	3.49	568,263	8,306	2.95
Savings deposits	113,826	129	0.23	120,616	130	0.22
Certificates of deposit	1,640,463	39,712	4.88	1,316,992	25,376	3.89
Retail other borrowings	93,445	1,437	3.10	135,903	1,777	2.64
Wholesale other						
borrowings	1,029,001	26,885	5.27	943,392	21,969	4.70
Total interest-bearing						
liabilities Noninterest-bearing	3,908,661	81,226	4.19%	3,446,859	58,651	3.43%
deposits	452,438			420,364		
Other liabilities	57,576			40,392		
Shareholders equity	454,247			332,391		
Total liabilities and						
shareholders equity	\$4,872,922			\$4,240,006		

Net interest spread		2.88%		2.97%
Contribution of noninterest bearing sources		0.52		0.41
Net interest income/ yield on earning assets	\$ 75,541	3.40%	\$ 65,899	3.38%

- (1) The preceding analysis takes into consideration the principal amount of nonaccruing loans and only income actually collected and recognized on such loans.
- (2) Average loan balances are shown net of unearned income.
- (3) Includes
 amortization of
 deferred loan fees
 of \$1,859 and
 \$1,446 for the
 three months
 ended June 30,
 2007 and 2006,
 respectively.
- Yields on tax-exempt securities and loans are stated on taxable-equivalent basis, assuming a Federal tax rate of 35 percent and applicable state taxes for 2007 and 2006. The adjustments made to convert to a taxable-equivalent basis were \$1,262 and \$1,162 for the six months ended

June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

(5) Annualized.

35

Table of Contents

The following tables show changes in tax-equivalent interest income, interest expense, and tax-equivalent net interest income arising from rate and volume changes for major categories of earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. The change in interest not solely due to changes in volume or rate has been allocated in proportion to the absolute dollar amounts of the change in each.

Table Four

Volume and Rate Variance Analysis

	Т	hree Months En June 30 2007 vs 2006	Net Change \$13,076 1,596 (92) 13 \$14,593 \$520 833 (3)
	Due to C	Change in	Net
(In thousands)	Volume	Rate	Change
Increase (decrease) in tax-equivalent interest income			
Loans and loans held for sale (1)	\$9,583	\$3,493	\$13,076
Securities taxable ⁽¹⁾	(8)	1,604	•
Securities tax-exempt	(84)	(8)	(92)
Federal funds sold	10	3	13
Interest-bearing bank deposits	(13)	13	
Total	\$9,488	\$5,105	\$14,593
Increase (decrease) in interest expense			
Deposits:			
Demand	\$ 90	\$ 430	\$ 520
Money market	394	439	833
Savings	(3)		(3)
Certificates of deposit	3,559	3,114	6,673
Retail other borrowings	(373)	149	(224)
Wholesale other borrowings	893	961	1,854
Total	\$4,560	\$5,093	\$ 9,653
Increase in tax-equivalent net interest income			\$ 4,940
(1) Income on			

(1) Income on
tax-exempt
securities and
loans are stated on
a
taxable-equivalent
basis. Refer to
Table Two for
further details.

36

Table of Contents

Changes in net interest income for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 are as follows:

Table Five

Volume and Rate Variance Analysis

	Six Months Ended June 30 2007 vs 2006			
	Due to 0	Change in	Net	
(In thousands)	Volume	Rate	Change	
Increase (decrease) in tax-equivalent interest income				
Loans and loans held for sale (1)	\$20,093	\$ 8,916	\$29,009	
Securities taxable ⁽¹⁾	178	3,059	3,237	
Securities tax-exempt	(169)	25	(144)	
Federal funds sold	89	16	105	
Interest-bearing bank deposits	(6)	18	12	
Total	\$20,185	\$12,034	\$32,219	
Increase (decrease) in interest expense				
Deposits:				
Demand	\$ 150	\$ 982	\$ 1,132	
Money market	889	1,643	2,532	
Savings	(8)	7	(1)	
Certificates of deposit	7,015	7,322	14,337	
Retail other borrowings	(618)	278	(340)	
Wholesale other borrowings	2,097	2,819	4,916	
Total	\$ 9,525	\$13,051	\$22,576	
Increase in tax-equivalent net interest income			\$ 9,643	

(1) Income on tax-exempt securities and loans are stated on a taxable-equivalent basis. Refer to Table Three for further details.

Noninterest Income

The major components of noninterest income are derived from service charges on deposit accounts, ATM, debit, and merchant fees, and mortgage, brokerage, insurance, and wealth management revenue. In addition, the Corporation realizes gains (and losses) on securities, equity investments, Small Business Administration loan sales, bank-owned property sales, and income from its BOLI policies.

Historical noninterest income and expense amounts have been restated to reflect the effect of reporting the previously announced sale of Southeastern Employee Benefits Services (SEBS) in the fourth quarter of 2006 as discontinued

operations and to reflect the implementation of SAB 108 at year-end 2006.

37

Table of Contents

Details of noninterest income follow for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006:

Table Six

Noninterest Income

		nths Ended	In	Increase / (Decrease)	
(*		ne 30			
(In thousands)	2007	2006	Amount	Percent	
Service charges on deposits	\$ 7,942	\$ 7,469	\$ 473	6.3%	
ATM, debit, and merchant fees	2,636	2,117	519	24.5	
Wealth management	944	693	251	36.2	
Equity method investment gains, net	678	11	667	6,063.6	
Mortgage services	1,056	812	244	30.0	
Gain on sale of Small Business Administration	•				
loans	132		132		
Brokerage services	1,007	692	315	45.5	
Insurance services	3,422	2,898	524	18.1	
Bank owned life insurance	1,162	850	312	36.7	
Property sale gains, net	152	107	45	42.1	
Securities gains, net		32	(32)	(100.0)	
Other	1,010	611	399	65.3	
Noninterest income from continuing operations Noninterest income from discontinued	20,141	16,292	3,849	23.6	
operations		844	(844)	(100.0)	
Total noninterest income	\$20,141	\$17,136	\$3,005	17.5%	

Selected items included in noninterest income for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 follow. These items are considered non-core to the Corporation s operations.

Table Seven

Selected Items Included in Noninterest Income

	Three Mon	ths Ended
	June	e 30
(In thousands)	2007	2006
Securities gains, net	\$	\$ 32
Equity method investment gains, net	678	11
Property sale gains, net	152	107
Gains related to reinsurance arrangement	288	

Noninterest income from continuing operations for the second quarter of 2007 was \$20.1 million, an increase of \$3.8 million, or 23.6 percent, from \$16.3 million in the second quarter of 2006. The primary factors for this increase include the following:

Revenue from deposit service charges was \$0.5 million higher, principally reflecting a larger number of checking accounts.

ATM, debit, and merchant card revenue was \$0.5 million higher, reflecting both a larger number of accounts and transactions.

Of the total \$0.3 million increase in wealth management income, \$0.2 million was related to transaction fees for a single estate.

Equity method investment gains were \$0.7 million higher in the 2007 second quarter. The returns on the equity method investments vary from period to period and income is recorded when earned.

Mortgage services revenue increased \$0.2 million, due to a rise in originations and sales.

Although the Corporation originated SBA loans prior to the GBC acquisition, the Corporation retained these loans. Therefore, gains on SBA loan sales were \$0.1 million in the 2007 second quarter, compared to no sales in the same 2006 period.

38

Table of Contents

Brokerage services revenue was \$0.3 million higher in 2007 due to increased production from the addition of several financial consultants in the latter half of 2006.

Insurance revenues increased \$0.5 million in the second quarter of 2007, compared to the second quarter of 2006 as a result of the majority of contingency income being received in the first quarter of 2006, versus a more even distribution between the first and second quarters of 2007.

The restructuring of \$21.5 million of Bank Owned Life Insurance (BOLI) in mid-2006, the purchase of \$10.0 million in new coverage, and the addition of \$5.9 million of BOLI from GBC led to the \$0.3 million increase in revenue between periods.

Details of noninterest income follow for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006:

Table Eight

Noninterest Income

	Six Mont	ths Ended		
	June 30		Increase / (Decrease)	
(In thousands)	2007	2006	Amount	Percent
Service charges on deposits	\$15,332	\$14,167	\$ 1,165	8.2%
ATM, debit, and merchant fees	5,080	4,015	1,065	26.5
Wealth management	1,660	1,393	267	19.2
Equity method investment gains, net	1,805	556	1,249	224.6
Mortgage services	1,957	1,335	622	46.6
Gain on sale of Small Business Administration				
loans	509		509	
Brokerage services	2,088	1,403	685	48.8
Insurance services	7,056	7,232	(176)	(2.4)
Bank owned life insurance	2,301	1,677	624	37.2
Property sale gains, net	215	188	27	14.4
Securities gains/(losses), net	(11)	32	(43)	(134.4)
Other	1,715	1,285	430	33.5
Noninterest income from continuing operations	39,707	33,283	6,424	19.3
Noninterest income from discontinued				
operations		1,809	(1,809)	(100.0)
Total noninterest income	\$39,707	\$35,092	\$ 4,615	13.2%

Selected items included in noninterest income for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 follow. These items are considered non-core to the Corporation s operations.

Table Nine

Selected Items Included in Noninterest Income

	Six Mont	ths Ended
	Jun	e 30
(In thousands)	2007	2006

Securities gains (losses), net	\$ (11)	\$ 32
Equity method investment gains, net	1,805	556
Property sale gains, net	215	188
Gains related to reinsurance arrangement	288	99

Noninterest income from continuing operations increased \$6.4 million, or 19.3 percent, to \$39.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to the same period in 2006. The primary factors for this increase include the following:

Revenue from deposit service charges increased \$1.2 million, principally reflecting a larger number of checking accounts.

ATM, debit and merchant card services revenue was \$1.1 million higher due to both a larger number of accounts and transactions.

39

Table of Contents

Equity method investment gains were \$1.2 million higher in the six months ended June 30, 2007, versus the same period of 2006. The returns on the equity method investments vary from period to period and income is recorded when earned.

Mortgage services revenue increased \$0.6 million due to increased originations and sales.

Although the Corporation originated SBA loans prior to the GBC acquisition, the Corporation retained these loans. Therefore, gains on SBA loan sales were \$0.5 million in the first half of 2007, compared to no sales in the same 2006 period.

Brokerage service revenue increased \$0.7 million due to increased production from the addition of several financial consultants in the latter half of 2006.

The previously mentioned restructuring of bank owned life insurance led to an increase of \$0.6 million in revenue between the periods.

These revenue increases and gains were partially offset by \$0.2 million lower insurance services revenue, primarily due to less contingency income recognized in the first six months of 2007, compared with the first six months of 2006.

Noninterest Expense

Details of noninterest expense for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 follow:

Table Ten

Noninterest Expense

	Three Months Ended			
	June 30		Increase / (Decrease)	
(In thousands)	2007	2006	Amount	Percent
Salaries and employee benefits	\$19,576	\$16,343	\$3,233	19.8%
Occupancy and equipment	4,759	4,826	(67)	(1.4)
Data processing	1,492	1,448	44	3.0
Marketing	1,055	1,196	(141)	(11.8)
Postage and supplies	1,164	1,282	(118)	(9.2)
Professional services	3,181	2,258	923	40.9
Telecommunications	519	513	6	1.2
Amortization of intangibles	314	107	207	193.5
Foreclosed properties	226	418	(192)	(45.9)
Other	2,921	2,297	624	27.2
Noninterest expense from continuing operations Noninterest expense from discontinued	35,207	30,688	4,519	14.7
operations		794	(794)	(100.0)
Total noninterest expense	\$35,207	\$31,482	\$3,725	11.8%
Full-time equivalent employees at June 30	1,109	1,098	11	1.0%
Efficiency ratio (1)	60.4%	62.0%	-1.6%	(2.6)%

(1) Noninterest
expense divided by
the sum of
taxable-equivalent
net interest income
plus noninterest
income less
securities gains
(losses), net.
Excludes the
results of
discontinued
operations.

40

Table of Contents

Selected items included in noninterest expense for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 follow:

Table Eleven

Selected Items Included in Noninterest Expense

	Three Months Ended June 30	
(In thousands)	2007	2006
Separation agreements	\$ 183	\$
GBC related executive retirement expense	245	
Reduction of incentive compensation	(518)	
Merger-related costs		

Noninterest expense from continuing operations for the 2007 second quarter was \$35.2 million, a \$4.5 million increase, compared to the second quarter of 2006. Of this increase, \$3.2 million was attributable to salaries and employee benefits expense. Salaries and benefits expense increased in 2007 compared to 2006 primarily due to higher salaries and wages which were driven by an increased number of full-time equivalent employees as a result of the GBC acquisition, increased equity-based compensation, and offset partially by lower incentive compensation due to a reduction in earnings. Additionally, salaries and employee benefits expense included merger-related costs of \$0.2 million, representing severance and other compensation-related bonuses for certain employees to remain with Gwinnett Bank for a period of transition following the acquisition. Professional fees increased \$0.9 million primarily related to remediation efforts in connection with the Corporation s internal control weaknesses, additional costs related to the Corporation s delayed filing of Form 10-K for the year-ended December 31, 2006, and costs associated with the previously disclosed first quarter 2007 audit committee inquiry. The Corporation expects that professional fees will decline over time to more historically normalized levels. Other noninterest expense increased \$0.6 million between comparable quarters, principally consisting of increases in insurance, franchise tax, travel, and other miscellaneous operational expense.

Details of noninterest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 follow:

Table Twelve

Noninterest Expense

	Six Mon	ths Ended		
	June 30		Increase / (Decrease)	
(In thousands)	2007	2006	Amount	Percent
Salaries and employee benefits	\$39,163	\$33,543	\$ 5,620	16.8%
Occupancy and equipment	9,371	9,531	(160)	(1.7)
Data processing	3,282	2,858	424	14.8
Marketing	2,406	2,484	(78)	(3.1)
Postage and supplies	2,336	2,464	(128)	(5.2)
Professional services	6,767	4,161	2,606	62.6
Telecommunications	1,190	1,076	114	10.6
Amortization of intangibles	537	209	328	156.9
Foreclosed properties	379	472	(93)	(19.7)
Other	5,696	4,631	1,065	23.0
Noninterest expense from continuing operations	71,127	61,429	9,698	15.8

Noninterest expense from discontinued operations		1,611	(1,611)	(100.0)
Total noninterest expense	\$71,127	\$63,040	\$ 8,087	12.8%
Full-time equivalent employees at June 30	1,109	1,098	11	1.0%
Efficiency ratio (1)	61.7%	62.0%	-0.3%	(0.5)%
(1) Noninterest expense divided by the sum of taxable-equivalent net interest income plus noninterest income less securities gains (losses), net. Excludes the results of				

41

discontinued operations.

Table of Contents

Selected items included in noninterest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 follow:

Table Thirteen

Selected Items Included in Noninterest Expense

		Six Months Ended June 30	
(In thousands)	2007	2006	
Separation agreements GBC related executive retirement expense	\$ 241 245	\$105	
Reduction of incentive compensation	(518)		
Merger-related costs	237		
42			

Table of Contents

Noninterest expense from continuing operations for the first six months of 2007 was \$71.1 million, a \$9.7 million increase over the same period of 2006. Of this increase, \$5.6 million was attributable to salaries and employee benefits expense. Salaries and benefits expense increased in 2007 compared to 2006 primarily due to higher salaries and wages which were driven by an increased number of full-time equivalent employees as a result of the GBC acquisition, as well as normal salary increases, and offset partially by lower incentive compensation due to a reduction in earnings. Additionally, salaries and employee benefits expense included merger-related costs of \$0.5 million, representing severance and other compensation-related bonuses for certain employees to remain with Gwinnett Bank for a period of transition following the acquisition as well as executive retirement expenses related to Gwinnett Bank. Professional Fees increased \$2.6 million primarily related to remediation efforts in connection with the Corporation s internal control weaknesses, additional costs related to the Corporation s delayed filing of Form 10-K for the year-ended December 31, 2006, and costs associated with the previously disclosed first quarter 2007 audit committee inquiry. The Corporation expects that professional fees will decline over time to more historically normalized levels. Data processing expense increased \$0.4 million on a year-over-year basis for the second half of 2007 due to increased transaction volume. Other noninterest expense increased \$1.1 million between compared periods, principally consisting of increases in insurance, franchise tax, travel and other miscellaneous operational expense.

Income Tax

Income tax expense for the three months ended June 30, 2007, was \$4.4 million, for an effective tax rate of 33.0 percent, compared with \$5.9 million, for an effective tax rate of 34.3 percent in the second quarter of 2006. For the six months ended June 30, 2007, income tax expense was \$11.1 million, for an effective tax rate of 34.2 percent, compared with \$11.6 million, for an effective tax rate of 34.0 percent in the six months ended June 30, 2006. The effective tax rate decreased for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 as a result of a higher proportion of tax-exempt income to total income.

The Corporation is under examination by the North Carolina Department of Revenue for tax years 1999 through 2004 and is subject to examination for subsequent tax years. The Corporation is also under routine examination by the Internal Revenue Service for the 2004 tax year. For additional information regarding these examinations refer to **Note** 2 of the consolidated financial statements.

As described in **Note 14** of the consolidated financial statements, on July 31, 2007, the General Assembly of North Carolina passed House Bill 1473 which includes a provision that disallows the deduction of dividends paid by captive real estate investment trusts (REITs) for the purposes of determining North Carolina taxable income. The Corporation, through its subsidiaries, participates in two entities classified as captive REITs from which the Corporation has historically received dividends which resulted in certain tax benefits taken within the Corporation s tax returns and consolidated financial statements. This legislation is effective for taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2007. The Corporation is currently evaluating the impact that this legislation will have on the Corporation s current and prior tax filings, as well as the related financial statement impact to the Corporation s effective tax rate and uncertain tax positions. Assuming the legislation eliminates the deductibility of the REIT dividends for North Carolina state income tax purposes, the Corporation expects an increase in its effective tax rate for 2007 and subsequent fiscal years.

Balance Sheet Analysis

Securities Available for Sale

The securities portfolio, all of which is classified as available-for-sale, is a component of the Corporation s Asset Liability Management (ALM) strategy. The decision to purchase or sell securities is based upon liquidity needs, changes in interest rates, changes in the Bank s risk tolerance, the composition of the rest of the balance sheet, and other factors. Securities available-for-sale are accounted for at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses recorded net of tax as a component of other comprehensive income in shareholders equity unless the unrealized losses are considered other-than-temporary.

The fair value of the securities portfolio is determined by various third party sources. The valuation is determined as of the end of the reporting period based on available quoted market prices or quoted market prices for similar securities if a quoted market price is not available.

At June 30, 2007, securities available for sale were \$898.5 million, compared to \$906.4 million at December 31, 2006. Pretax unrealized net losses on securities available for sale were \$14.4 million at June 30, 2007, compared to pretax unrealized net losses of \$9.8 million at December 31, 2006. The unrealized losses in the securities portfolio have primarily resulted from the rise in interest rates. These unrealized losses have been partially offset by paydowns and maturities of existing securities, totaling \$126.0 million, along with the sale of \$25.2 million of securities.

43

Table of Contents

During the first half of 2007, proceeds from the aforementioned maturities, along with the sales, paydowns, and calls were used to purchase \$148.1 million of securities, principally mortgage-backed and U.S. government agency securities. The asset-backed securities purchased are collateralized debt obligations, representing securitizations of financial company capital securities and were purchased for portfolio risk diversification and their higher yields.

The following table shows the carrying value of (i) U.S. government agency obligations, (ii) mortgage-backed securities, (iii) state, county, and municipal obligations, (iv) asset-backed securities, and (v) equity securities, which are primarily comprised of Federal Reserve and Federal Home Loan Bank stock.

Table Fourteen

Investment Portfolio

(In thousands)	June 30 2007	December 31 2006
U.S. government agency obligations	\$236,702	\$275,394
Mortgage-backed securities	458,504	412,020
State, county, and municipal obligations	92,189	102,602
Asset-backed securities	56,057	65,115
Equity securities	55,076	51,284
Total securities	\$898,528	\$906,415

Loan Portfolio

The Corporation s loan portfolio at June 30, 2007, consisted of six major categories: Commercial Non Real Estate, Commercial Real Estate, Construction, Mortgage, Home Equity, and Consumer. Pricing is driven by quality, loan size, loan tenor, prepayment risk, the Corporation s relationship with the customer, competition, and other factors. The Corporation is primarily a secured lender in all of these loan categories. The terms of the Corporation s loans are generally five years or less with the exception of home equity lines and residential mortgages, for which the terms can range out to 30 years. In addition, the Corporation has a program in which it buys and sells portions of loans (primarily originated in the Southeastern region of the United States), both participations and syndications, from key strategic partner financial institutions with which the Corporation has established relationships. This strategic partners portfolio includes commercial real estate, commercial non real estate, and construction loans. This program enables the Corporation to diversify both its geographic risk and its total exposure risk. From time to time, the Corporation also sources commercial real estate, commercial non real estate, construction, and consumer loans through correspondent relationships. As of June 30, 2007, the Corporation s total loan portfolio included \$336.5 million of loans originated through the strategic partners program and correspondent relationships.

Total portfolio loan average balances for the 2007 second quarter increased \$511.7 million, or 16.9 percent, to \$3.5 billion, compared to \$3.0 billion for the 2006 second quarter. Included in the increase was approximately \$337 million of total loans that were added as a result of the GBC acquisition during the fourth quarter of 2007. The increase in average loan balances was offset by \$8 million of loan balances that were included in the sale of two financial centers during the third quarter of 2006. Commercial loan growth drove the increase, rising \$598 million, or 36.5 percent, of which \$322 million were added as a result of the GBC acquisition. The remaining growth of \$276 million, or 16.9 percent, was the result of commercial lending growth in the Charlotte and Raleigh markets.

Consumer loan average balances decreased \$42 million and mortgage loan average balances decreased \$45 million compared to the 2006 second quarter. The consumer loan balance decline was driven, in part, by lower consumer borrowing costs of refinancing first mortgages relative to current rates on home equity products. The decline in mortgage loan balances was due to normal loan amortization and First Charter s strategy of selling most of its new mortgage production in the secondary market. GBC had no residential mortgages on its balance sheet at the time of the acquisition.

Table of Contents

At June 30, 2007, Raleigh-related loans totaled \$153.0 million, representing a \$19.2 million increase from \$133.8 million at December 31, 2006.

A summary of the composition of the loan portfolio follows:

Table Fifteen

Loan Portfolio Composition

	June 30	Percent of Total	December 31	Percent of Total
(In thousands)	2007	Loans	2006	Loans
Commercial real estate	\$1,094,866	30.8%	\$1,034,317	29.7%
Commercial non real estate	317,984	8.9	301,958	8.7
Construction	859,301	24.2	793,294	22.8
Mortgage	589,976	16.6	618,142	17.7
Consumer	276,005	7.8	289,493	8.3
Home equity	415,705	11.7	447,849	12.8
Total portfolio loans	3,553,837	100.0%	3,485,053	100.0%
Allowance for loan losses	(44,790)		(34,966)	
Portfolio loans, net	\$3,509,047		\$3,450,087	

Deposits

A summary of the composition of deposits follows:

Table Sixteen

Deposits

(In thousands)	June 30 2007	December 31 2006
Noninterest bearing demand	\$ 480,078	\$ 454,975
Interest bearing demand	427,899	420,774
Money market accounts	587,691	620,699
Savings deposits	114,245	111,047
Certificates of deposit	1,620,433	1,640,633
Total deposits	\$3,230,346	\$3,248,128

Deposits totaled \$3.2 billion at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006. Compared to June 30, 2006, deposits increased by \$241.5 million, as a result of overall growth in interest checking balances, combined with the addition of \$357.3 million of deposits acquired in the fourth quarter 2006 acquisition of GBC, offset partially by a relatively large number of CDs that matured during the first half of 2007. These maturities included a number of high-rate public fund CDs which the Corporation chose not to renew. Additionally, money market balances decreased \$24.2 million from June 30, 2006 primarily due to interest rates on CDs being more favorable than money market rates.

Deposit balances in Raleigh were \$53.8 million at June 30, 2007, an increase of \$22.0 million from \$31.8 million at December 31, 2006.

Deposit growth, particularly low-cost transaction (or core) deposit growth (money market, demand, and savings accounts), continues to be an area of emphasis for the Corporation. For the second quarter of 2007, core deposit average balances increased \$117.5 million, or 8.0 percent, compared to the second quarter of 2006. This includes the benefit of the GBC acquisition which included \$106.5 million of core deposits and the impact of First Charter s sale of two financial centers in the third quarter of 2006 which involved the sale of \$24 million of core deposits. The total core deposit increase was primarily driven by a \$47.5 million, or 8.5 percent, increase in money market average balances, a \$39.9 million, or 8.2

45

Table of Contents

percent, increase in interest checking and savings average balances, and a \$30.1 million, or 7.0 percent, increase in noninterest-bearing demand deposit average balances.

Certificate of deposit (CD) average balances for the second quarter of 2007 decreased \$17.8 million from the fourth quarter of 2006 but grew \$318.6 million from the second quarter of 2006. The decrease during the first half of 2007 was primarily due to a relatively large number of CDs that matured. These maturities included a number of high rate public fund CDs which First Charter chose not to renew. The increase in average balances over second quarter 2006 primarily related to the GBC acquisition which added \$248.6 million of CD balances. Additionally, CD growth was somewhat offset by the sale of \$14 million of CDs in conjunction with the previously mentioned financial center sale that occurred in the third quarter of 2006.

Other Borrowings

Other borrowings consist of federal funds purchased, securities sold under agreement to repurchase, commercial paper and other short- and long-term borrowings. Federal funds purchased represent unsecured overnight borrowings from other financial institutions by the Bank. At June 30, 2007, the Bank had federal funds back-up lines of credit totaling \$363.0 million with \$88.0 million outstanding, compared to similar lines of credit totaling \$188.2 million with \$41.5 million outstanding at December 31, 2006. Securities sold under agreements to repurchase represent short-term borrowings by the Bank with maturities less than one year collateralized by a portion of the Corporation s United States government or agency securities. Securities sold under agreements to repurchase totaled \$190.0 million at June 30, 2007, compared to \$160.2 million at December 31, 2006. These borrowings are an important source of funding to the Corporation. Access to alternate short-term funding sources allows the Corporation to meet funding needs without relying on increasing deposits on a short-term basis.

The Corporation issues commercial paper as another source of short-term funding. It is purchased primarily by the Bank s commercial deposit clients. Commercial paper outstanding at June 30, 2007 was \$77.8 million, compared to \$38.2 million at December 31, 2006.

Other short-term borrowings consist of the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) borrowings with an original maturity of one year or less. FHLB borrowings are collateralized by securities from the Corporation s investment portfolio, and a blanket lien on certain qualifying commercial and single-family loans held in the Corporation s loan portfolio. At June 30, 2007, the Bank had \$265.0 million of short-term FHLB borrowings, compared to the Bank s \$371.0 million at December 31, 2006. The Corporation, in its overall management of interest-rate risk, is opportunistic in evaluating alternative funding sources. While balancing the funding needs of the Corporation, management considers the duration of available maturities, the relative attractiveness of funding costs, and the diversification of funding sources, among other factors, in order to maintain flexibility in the nature of deposits and borrowings the Corporation holds at any given time.

Long-term borrowings represent FHLB borrowings with original maturities greater than one year and subordinated debentures related to trust preferred securities. At June 30, 2007, the Bank had \$555.9 million of long-term FHLB borrowings, compared to \$425.9 million at December 31, 2006. In addition, the Corporation had \$61.9 million of outstanding subordinated debentures at June 30, 2007, and December 31, 2006.

The Corporation formed First Charter Capital Trust I and First Charter Capital Trust II, in June 2005 and September 2005, respectively; both are wholly-owned business trusts. First Charter Capital Trust I and First Charter Capital Trust II issued \$35.0 million and \$25.0 million, respectively, of trust preferred securities that were sold to third parties. The proceeds of the sale of the trust preferred securities were used to purchase subordinated debentures discussed above from the Corporation, which are presented as long-term borrowings in the consolidated balance sheet and qualify for inclusion in Tier 1 capital for regulatory capital purposes, subject to certain limitations.

46

Table of Contents

Credit Risk Management

The Corporation s credit risk policy and procedures are centralized for every loan type. In addition, all mortgage, consumer, and home equity loans are centrally decisioned. All loans generally flow through an independent closing unit to ensure proper documentation. Loans originated by the Corporation s Atlanta-based lenders are currently being processed and closed independently from the Corporation s centralized credit structure. Finally, all known collection or problem loans are centrally managed by experienced workout personnel. To monitor the effectiveness of policies and procedures, Management maintains a set of asset quality standards for past due, nonaccrual, and watchlist loans and monitors the trends of these standards over time. These standards are approved by the Board of Directors and reviewed quarterly with the Board of Directors for compliance.

Loan Administration and Underwriting

The Bank's Chief Risk Officer is responsible for the continuous assessment of the Bank's risk profile as well as making any necessary adjustments to policies and procedures. Commercial loan relationships of less than \$750,000 may be approved by experienced commercial loan officers, within their loan authority. Commercial and commercial real estate loans are approved by signature authority requiring at least two experienced officers for relationships greater than \$750,000. The exceptions to this include City Executives and certain Senior Loan Officers who are authorized to approve relationships up to \$1.0 million. An independent Risk Manager is involved in the approval of commercial and commercial real estate relationships that exceed \$1.0 million. All relationships greater than \$2.0 million receive a comprehensive annual review by either the senior credit analysts or lending officers of the Bank, which is then reviewed by the independent Risk Managers and/or the final approval officer with the appropriate signature authority. Relationships totaling \$5.0 million or more are further reviewed by senior lending officers of the Bank, the Chief Risk Officer, and the Credit Risk Management Committee comprised of certain executive and senior management. In addition, relationships totaling \$10.0 million or more are reviewed by the Board of Directors Credit and Compliance Committee. These oversight committees provide policy, process, product and specific relationship direction to the lending personnel. As of June 30, 2007, the Corporation had a legal lending limit of \$68.4 million and a general target-lending limit of \$10.0 million per relationship.

The Corporation s loan portfolio consists of loans made for a variety of commercial and consumer purposes. Because commercial loans are made based to a great extent on the Corporation s assessment of a borrower s income, cash flow, character and ability to repay, such loans are viewed as involving a higher degree of credit risk than is the case with residential mortgage loans or consumer loans. To manage this risk, the Corporation s commercial loan portfolio is managed under a defined process which includes underwriting standards and risk assessment, procedures for loan approvals, loan grading, ongoing identification and management of credit deterioration and portfolio reviews to assess loss exposure and to ascertain compliance with the Corporation s credit policies and procedures.

During 2006, the Corporation implemented a new consumer loan platform to improve servicing for customers by providing loan officers with additional tools and real-time access to credit bureau information at the time of loan application. This platform also delivers increased reporting capabilities and improved credit risk management by having the Corporation s policies embedded into the decision process while also managing approval authority limits for credit exposure and reporting.

In general, consumer loans (including mortgage and home equity) have a lower risk profile than commercial loans. Commercial loans (including commercial real estate, commercial non real estate and construction loans) are generally larger in size and more complex than consumer loans. Commercial real estate loans are deemed less risky than commercial non real estate and construction loans, because the collateral value of real estate generally maintains its value better than non real estate or construction collateral. Consumer loans, which are smaller in size and more geographically diverse across the Corporation s entire primary market area, provide risk diversity across the portfolio. Because mortgage

47

Table of Contents

loans are secured by first liens on the consumer s residential real estate, they are the Corporation s lowest risk profile loan type. Home equity loans are deemed less risky than unsecured consumer loans, as home equity loans and lines are secured by first or second deeds of trust on the borrower s residential real estate. A centralized decision-making process is in place to control the risk of the consumer, home equity, and mortgage loan portfolio. The consumer real estate appraisal process is also centralized relative to appraisal engagement, appraisal review, and appraiser quality assessment. These processes are detailed in the underwriting guidelines, which cover each retail loan product type from underwriting, servicing, compliance issues and closing procedures.

Periodically, the Corporation finances consumer lot loans in association with developer lot loan programs. As previously disclosed, during the second quarter, the Bank identified a large exposure to undeveloped lots in real estate development projects in Spruce Pine, NC. As a result of this finding, policies and procedures associated with participation in developer lot programs have been enhanced to mitigate potential concentration and construction risk. Enhancements include: 1) commercial underwriting of development projects prior to entering into lot programs to identify potential construction risks, 2) modification of the consumer loan application to include the collection of data for developer, subdivision, and development status of the financed lot in order to provide improved concentration reporting, 3) adjustments in policy to restrict consumer loan origination to borrowers located in the Corporation s primary markets, and 4) strengthened internal controls to enhance the Corporation s ability to identify fraud.

At June 30, 2007, the substantial majority of the total loan portfolio, including the commercial and real estate portfolio, represented loans to borrowers within the Metro regions of Charlotte and Raleigh, North Carolina and Atlanta, Georgia. The diverse economic base of these regions tends to provide a stable lending environment; however, an economic downturn in the Charlotte region, the Corporation s primary market area, could adversely affect its business.

Additionally, the Corporation s loan portfolio consists of certain non-traditional loan products. Some of these products include interest-only loans, loans with initial interest rates that are below the market interest rate for the initial period of the loan-term and may increase when that period ends and loans with a high loan-to-value ratio. Based on the Corporation s assessment, these products do not give rise to a concentration of credit risk.

Derivatives

The Corporation enters into interest rate swap agreements or other derivative transactions as business conditions warrant. As of June 30, 2007, and December 31, 2006, the Corporation had no interest rate swap agreements or other derivative transactions outstanding.

Nonperforming Assets

Nonperforming assets are comprised of nonaccrual loans and other real estate owned (OREO). The nonaccrual status is determined after a loan is 90 days past due or when deemed not collectible in full as to principal or interest, unless in management s opinion collection of both principal and interest is assured by way of collateralization, guarantees, or other security and the loan is in the process of collection. OREO represents real estate acquired through foreclosure or deed in lieu thereof and is generally carried at the lower of cost or fair value, less estimated costs to sell.

Management s policy for any accruing loan greater than 90 days past due is to perform an analysis of the loan, including a consideration of the financial position of the borrower and any guarantor, as well as the value of the collateral, and use this information to make an assessment as to whether collectibility of the principal and interest appears probable. If such collectibility is not probable, the loans are placed on nonaccrual status. Loans are returned to accrual status when management determines, based on an evaluation of the underlying collateral together with the borrower s payment record and financial

48

Table of Contents

condition, that the borrower has the ability and intent to meet the contractual obligations of the loan agreement. As of June 30, 2007, no loans were 90 days or more past due and still accruing interest.

A summary of nonperforming assets follow:

Table Seventeen Nonperforming Assets

(In thousands)	June 30 2007	March 31 2007	December 31 2006	September 30 2006	June 30 2006
Nonaccrual loans Loans 90 days or more past due accruing interest	\$ 17,387	\$ 10,943	\$ 8,200	\$ 7,090	\$ 7,763
Total nonperforming loans Other real estate	17,387 2,726	10,943 6,330	8,200 6,477	7,090 5,601	7,763 5,902
Nonperforming assets	\$ 20,113	\$ 17,273	\$ 14,677	\$ 12,691	\$ 13,665
Nonaccrual loans as a percentage of total portfolio loans Nonperforming assets as a percentage of:	0.49%	0.31%	0.24%	0.23%	0.25%
Total assets	0.41	0.35	0.30	0.29	0.31
Total portfolio loans and other real estate owned Net charge-offs to average	0.57	0.49	0.42	0.41	0.44
portfolio loans	0.02	0.06	0.08	0.13	0.11
Allowance for loan losses to portfolio loans Allowance for loan losses to net	1.26	1.02	1.00	0.97	0.96
charge-offs	59.40x	18.50x	13.56x	7.50x	8.51x
Allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans	2.58x	3.28x	4.26x	4.22x	3.80x

Nonaccrual loans totaled \$17.4 million, or 0.49 percent of total portfolio loans, at June 30, 2007, representing a \$9.2 million increase from \$8.2 million, or 0.24 percent of total portfolio loans at December 31, 2006, and a \$9.6 million increase from \$7.8 million, or 0.25 percent, of total portfolio loans at June 30, 2006. Nonperforming assets as a percentage of total loans and OREO increased to 0.57 percent at June 30, 2007, compared to 0.42 percent at December 31, 2006 and 0.44 percent at June 30, 2006.

During the second quarter of 2007, \$5.4 million of Penland lot loans were placed on nonaccrual status. One commercial relationship was the principal contributor to the remaining increase in nonperforming loans between December 31, 2006, and June 30, 2007. As of December 31, 2006, management had identified a \$2.8 million commercial acquisition and development loan as a potential problem loan. At that time, management anticipated the borrower would cure the delinquency to keep the loan from reaching 90 days past due. In January 2007, this loan became 90 days past due and was placed on nonaccrual status. During the first six months of 2007, payments of \$0.3 million were received, and as of June 30, 2007, the outstanding balance on this loan was \$2.5 million.

Nonaccrual loans at June 30, 2007 were concentrated 31 percent in the Penland lot loans and 33 percent in loans originated in the Atlanta market. There were no other significant geographic concentrations. Nonaccrual loans primarily consisted of loans secured by real estate, including single-family residential and development construction loans. Nonaccrual loans as a percentage of loans may increase or decrease as economic conditions change. Management takes current economic conditions into consideration when estimating the allowance for loan losses. See Allowance for Loan Losses for a more detailed discussion.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The Corporation s allowance for loan losses consists of three components: (i) valuation allowances computed on impaired loans in accordance with SFAS 114, *Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan* an Amendment to FASB Statements No. 5 and No. 15; (ii) valuation allowances determined by applying historical loss rates to those loans not specifically identified as impaired; and (iii) valuation allowances for factors which management believes are not reflected in the historical loss rates or that otherwise need to be considered when estimating the allowance for loan losses. These three components are estimated quarterly and, along with a narrative analysis, comprise the Corporation s allowance for loan losses model. The resulting components are used by management to determine the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. Beginning January 1, 2007, the Corporation began including consumer and residential mortgage loans with outstanding principal balances of \$150,000 or greater in its

49

Table of Contents

computation of impaired loans calculated under SFAS 114. The application of this methodology conforms the consumer and residential mortgage loan analysis to the Corporation s SFAS 114 analysis for commercial loans.

All estimates of loan portfolio risk, including the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, are subject to general and local economic conditions, among other factors, which are unpredictable and beyond the Corporation s control. Because a significant portion of the loan portfolio is comprised of real estate loans and loans to area businesses, the Corporation is subject to risk in the real estate market and changes in the economic conditions in its primary market areas. Changes in these areas can increase or decrease the provision for loan losses.

During the six months ended June 30, 2007, the Corporation made no changes to its estimated loss percentages for economic factors. As a part of its quarterly assessment of the allowance for loan losses, the Corporation reviews key local, regional and national economic information and assesses its impact on the allowance for loan losses. Based on its review for the six months ended June 30, 2007, the Corporation noted that economic conditions are mixed; however, management concluded that the impact on borrowers and local industries in the Corporation s primary market areas did not change significantly during the period. Accordingly, the Corporation did not modify its loss estimate percentage attributable to economic factors in its allowance for loan losses model.

The Corporation continuously reviews its portfolio for any concentrations of loans to any one borrower or industry. To analyze its concentrations, the Corporation prepares various reports showing total risk concentrations to borrowers by industry, as well as reports showing total risk concentrations to one borrower. At the present time, the Corporation does not believe it has concentrations of risk in any one industry or specific borrower and, therefore, has made no allocations of allowances for loan losses for this factor for any of the periods presented.

The Corporation also monitors the amount of operational risk that exists in the portfolio. This would include the front-end underwriting, documentation and closing processes associated with the lending decision. During the quarter ended June 30, 2007, the Corporation increased the additional allocation for operational reserve on its consumer lot loan portfolio. This increase was tied to weaknesses uncovered in identifying and reporting consumer lot loan exposure. As previously discussed, steps have been taken to mitigate the increased risk identified. This was the only change to the additional allocation for operational reserve during the six months ended June 30, 2007.

50

Table of Contents

Changes in the allowance for loan losses follow:

Table Eighteen

Allowance For Loan Losses

	Three	Months Ended June 30		Six Months Ended June 30		
(In thousands)	2007	2006	2007	2006		
Balance at beginning of period Charge-offs	\$ 35,854	\$ 29,505	\$ 34,966	\$ 28,725		
Commercial non real estate	113	108	359	359		
Commercial real estate	127	260	140	335		
Mortgage	35		68	21		
Home equity	64		194	948		
Consumer	208	310	572	701		
Total charge-offs	547	1,135	1,333	2,364		
Recoveries						
Commercial non real estate	229	111	317	439		
Mortgage	27	•	52			
Consumer	103	159	298	321		
Total recoveries	359	270	667	760		
Net charge-offs	188	865	666	1,604		
Provision for loan losses	9,124	880	10,490	2,399		
Balance at end of period	\$ 44,790	\$ 29,520	\$ 44,790	\$ 29,520		
Average portfolio loans Net charge-offs to average portfolio	\$3,532,713	\$3,021,004	\$3,521,637	\$2,980,344		
loans (annualized) Allowance for loan losses to portfolio	0.02	0.11%	0.04	0.11%		
loans	1.26	0.96	1.26	0.96		

The allowance for loan losses was \$44.8 million, or 1.26 percent of portfolio loans, at June 30, 2007, compared to \$29.5 million, or 0.96 percent of portfolio loans, at June 30, 2006. The increase includes the previously discussed \$7.8 million provision recorded in connection with the Penland lot loans. Additionally, the Corporation s addition of GBC s largely commercial lot loan portfolio, a smaller concentration of lower risk home equity and mortgage loan balances, and First Charter s credit migration trends led to the higher allowance for loan loss ratio.

Management considers the allowance for loan losses adequate to cover inherent losses in the Corporation s loan portfolio as of the date of the financial statements. Management believes it has established the allowance in consideration of the current and expected future economic environment. While management uses the best information available to make evaluations, future adjustments to the allowance may be necessary based on changes in economic and other conditions. Additionally, various regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the Corporation s allowances for loan losses. Such agencies may require the recognition of

adjustments to the allowance based on their judgment of information available to them at the time of their examinations.

51

Table of Contents

Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses is the amount charged to earnings, which is necessary to maintain an adequate and appropriate allowance for loan losses. Accordingly, the factors, which influence changes in the allowance for loan losses, have a direct effect on the provision for loan losses. The allowance for loan losses changes from period to period as a result of a number of factors, the most significant of which for the Corporation include the following: (i) changes in the amounts of loans outstanding, which are used to estimate current probable loan losses; (ii) current charge-offs and recoveries of loans; (iii) changes in impaired loan valuation allowances; (iv) changes in credit grades within the portfolio, which arise from a deterioration or an improvement in the performance of the borrower; (v) changes in loss percentages; and (vi) changes in the mix of types of loans. In addition, the Corporation considers other, more subjective factors, which impact the credit quality of the portfolio as a whole and estimates allocations of allowance for loan losses for these factors, as well. These factors include loan concentrations, economic conditions and operational risks. Changes in these components of the allowance can arise from fluctuations in the underlying percentages used as related loss estimates for these factors, as well as variations in the portfolio balances to which they are applied. The net change in all of these components of the allowance for loan losses results in the provision for loan losses. For a more detailed discussion of the Corporation s process for estimating the allowance for loan losses,

see Allowance for Loan Losses.

The provision for loan losses was \$9.1 million for the 2007 second quarter, while net charge-offs were \$0.2 million, or 0.02 percent of average portfolio loans. For the same year-ago period, the provision for loan losses was \$0.9 million and net charge-offs were \$0.9 million, or 0.11 percent of average portfolio loans. For the six months ended June 30, 2007, the provision for loan losses was \$10.5 million, while net charge-offs were \$0.7 million, or 0.04 percent of average portfolio loans. For the six months ended June 30, 2006, the provision for loan losses was \$2.4 million, while net charge-offs were \$1.6 million, or 0.11 percent of average portfolio loans.

The provision for loan losses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 increased primarily as a result of recording an addition provision of \$7.8 million related to the previously discussed Penland lot loans. The remainder of the increase was primarily due to a change in the composition of the loan portfolio as the percentage of commercial loans continues to increase.

Market Risk Management

Asset-Liability Management and Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the exposure of earnings and capital to changes in interest rates. The objective of Asset-Liability Management (ALM) is to quantify and manage the change in interest rate risk associated with the Corporation s balance sheet. The management of the ALM program includes oversight from the Board of Director s Asset and Liability Committee (Board ALCO) and the Management Asset and Liability Committee (Management ALCO). Two primary metrics used in analyzing interest rate risk are earnings at risk (EAR) and economic value of equity (EVE). The Board of Directors has established limits on the EAR and EVE risk measures. Management ALCO, comprised of select members of executive and senior management, is charged with measuring performance relative to those limits and reporting the Bank s performance to Board ALCO. Interest rate risk is measured and monitored through simulation modeling. The process is validated regularly by an independent third party.

Both the EAR and the EVE risk measures were within policy guidelines as of June 30, 2007, and December 31, 2006. Management considers EAR to be the best measure of short-term interest rate risk. This measure reflects the amount of net interest income that will be impacted by a change in interest rates over a 12- month time frame. A simulation model is used to run immediate and parallel changes in interest rates (rate

Table of Contents

shocks) from a base scenario using implied forward rates. At a minimum, rate shock scenarios are run at plus and minus 100, 200, and 300 basis points. From time to time, additional simulations are run to assess risk from changes in the slope of the yield curve. The simulation model projects the net interest income over the next 12 months for each scenario using consistent balance sheet growth projections and calculates the percentage change from the base scenario. Board ALCO has approved a policy limit for the change in EAR over a 12-month period of minus 10 percent to a plus or minus 200 basis point shock to interest rates. At June 30, 2007, the estimated EAR to a 200 basis point increase in rates was plus 4.4 percent while the estimated EAR to a 200 basis point decrease in rates was minus 7.1 percent. This compares with plus 4.7 percent and minus 5.6 percent, respectively, at December 31, 2006. A change in the earning asset and funding mix contributed to the change in the EAR measures from December 31, 2006.

Management considers EVE to be the best measure of long-term interest rate risk. This measure reflects the amount of net equity that will be impacted by changes in interest rates. Through simulation modeling, the Corporation estimates the economic value of assets and the economic value of liabilities. The difference between these two measures is the EVE. The EVE is calculated for a series of scenarios in which current rates are shocked up and down by 100, 200, and 300 basis points and compared to a base scenario using the current yield curve. Board ALCO has approved a policy limit for the percentage change in EVE of minus 15 percent to a plus or minus 200 basis point shock to interest rates. At June 30, 2007, the estimated EVE to a 200 basis point increase in rates was minus 9.2 percent, while the estimated EVE to a 200 basis point decrease in rates was plus 3.6 percent. At December 31, 2006, EVE risk was minus 7.4 percent and plus 3.1 percent, respectively. Changes in market rates and prepayment expectations accounted for the majority of the change in the EVE measure from December 31, 2006.

The result of any simulation is inherently uncertain and will not precisely estimate the impact of changes in rates on net interest income or the economic value of assets and liabilities. Actual results may differ from simulated results due to, but not limited to, the timing and magnitude of the change in interest rates, changes in management strategies, and changes in market conditions.

Table Nineteen summarizes, as of June 30, 2007, the expected maturities and weighted average effective yields and rates associated with certain of the Corporation's significant non-trading financial instruments. Cash and cash equivalents, federal funds sold, and interest-bearing bank deposits are excluded from Table Nineteen as their respective carrying values approximate fair value. These financial instruments generally expose the Corporation to insignificant market risk as they have either no stated maturities or an average maturity of less than 30 days and interest rates that approximate market rates. However, these financial instruments could expose the Corporation to interest rate risk by requiring more or less reliance on alternative funding sources, such as long-term debt. The mortgage-backed securities are shown at their weighted-average expected life, obtained from an independent evaluation of the average remaining life of each security based on expected prepayment speeds of the underlying mortgages at June 30, 2007. These expected maturities, weighted-average effective yields, and fair values would change if interest rates change. Expected maturities for indeterminate demand, money market and savings deposits are estimated based on historical average lives.

53

Table of Contents

Table Nineteen Market Risk

(Dollars in thousands)	Total	1 Year	2 Years	Expected 3 Years	Maturity 4 Years	5 Years	Thereafter
Assets							
Debt securities							
Fixed rate Cost	\$ 735,571	\$ 342,550	\$217,796	\$102,183	\$ 41,777	\$ 8,730	\$ 22,535
Weighted-average	Ф 755,571	\$ 342,330	\$217,790	\$102,163	\$ 41,777	\$ 0,730	\$ 22,333
effective yield	4.81%						
Fair value	\$ 725,881						
Variable rate	φ / 2 5,001						
Cost	\$ 177,313	26,562	26,393	26,586	9,626	5,038	83,108
Weighted-average		,	,	,	,	•	•
effective yield	4.39%						
Fair value	\$ 172,647						
Loans and loans held							
for sale							
Fixed rate							
Book value	\$ 990,947	232,169	213,689	171,377	120,030	121,088	132,594
Weighted-average	= 4 = ~						
effective yield	7.15%						
Fair value	\$ 976,903						
Variable rate Book value	¢2 520 571	1 207 254	333,563	104 510	09.070	72,320	542 026
	\$2,529,571	1,287,254	333,303	194,519	98,979	72,320	542,936
Weighted-average effective yield	7.83%						
Fair value	\$2,527,403						
Tan value	Ψ2,327,403						
Liabilities							
Deposits							
Fixed rate							
Book value	\$1,620,433	1,443,986	152,169	11,061	6,742	5,353	1,122
Weighted-average							
effective yield	4.79%						
Fair value	\$1,624,318						
Variable rate Book							
	\$1,129,834	354,624	250,258	249,746	122,553	71,700	80,953
	\$1,049,275						
O							
_							
Book value	\$ 345,905	200,056	70,058	25,062	50,054	22	653
BOOK VAIUC	4.65%	200,030	70,038	23,002	50,054	22	033
Fair value		354,624	250,258	249,746	122,553	71,700	80,953

Weighted-average effective yield

Fair value \$ 339,224

Variable rate

Book value \$ 271,857 185,000 25,000 61,857

Weighted-average

effective yield 5.32% Fair value \$ 271,486

Off-Balance-Sheet Risk

The Corporation is party to financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. These instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the consolidated financial statements. Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer so long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates and may require collateral from the borrower if deemed necessary by the Corporation. Included in loan commitments are commitments of \$38.6 million to cover customer deposit account overdrafts should they occur. Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Corporation to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party up to a stipulated amount and with specified terms and conditions. Standby letters of credit are recorded as a liability by the Corporation at the fair value of the obligation undertaken in issuing the guarantee. Commitments to extend credit are not recorded as an asset or liability by the Corporation until the instrument is exercised. Refer to **Note 12** of the consolidated financial statements for further discussion of these commitments. The Corporation does not have any off-balance sheet financing arrangements, other than the trust preferred securities.

54

Table of Contents

The following table presents, as of June 30, 2007, aggregated information and expected maturities of commitments. *Table Twenty*

Commitments

	Less than			05	Timing not	
(In thousands)	1 year	1-3 Years	4-5 Years	Over 5 Years	determinable	Total
Loan commitments Lines of credit Standby letters of credit	\$703,813 31,390 22,920	\$118,172 1,639 3,548	\$42,662 2,921	\$ 59,637 455,613	\$	\$ 924,284 491,563 26,468
Anticipated tax settlements	584				10,551	11,135
Total commitments	\$758,707	\$123,359	\$45,583	\$515,250	\$ 10,551	\$1,453,450

Commitments to extend credit, including loan commitments, standby letters of credit, anticipated tax settlements and commercial letters of credit do not necessarily represent future cash requirements, in that these commitments often expire without being drawn upon.

Liquidity Risk

Liquidity is the ability to maintain cash flows adequate to fund operations and meet obligations and other commitments on a timely and cost-effective basis. Liquidity is provided by the ability to attract retail deposits, by current earnings, and by a strong capital base that enables the Corporation to use alternative funding sources that complement normal sources. Management s asset-liability policy includes optimizing net interest income while continuing to provide adequate liquidity to meet continuing loan demand and deposit withdrawal requirements and to service normal operating expenses.

Liquidity is managed at two levels. The first is the liquidity of the Corporation. The second is the liquidity of the Bank. The management of liquidity at both levels is essential, because the Corporation and the Bank have different funding needs and sources, and each are subject to certain regulatory guidelines and requirements.

The primary source of funding for the Corporation includes dividends received from the Bank and proceeds from the issuance of common stock. In addition, the Corporation had commercial paper outstanding of \$77.8 million at June 30, 2007. Primary uses of funds for the Corporation include repayment of commercial paper, share repurchases, operating expenses, and dividends paid to shareholders. During 2005, the Corporation issued trust preferred securities through specially formed trusts in an aggregate amount of \$60.0 million. The proceeds from the sale of the trust preferred securities were used to purchase \$61.9 million of subordinated debentures from the Corporation (the Notes). The Notes are presented as long-term borrowings in the consolidated balance sheet and are includable in Tier 1 capital for regulatory capital purposes, subject to certain limitations.

Primary sources of funding for the Bank include customer deposits, wholesale deposits, other borrowings, loan repayments, and available-for-sale securities. The Bank has access to federal funds lines from various banks and borrowings from the Federal Reserve discount window. In addition to these sources, the Bank is a member of the FHLB, which provides access to FHLB lending sources. At June 30, 2007, the Bank had a maximum line of credit with the FHLB totaling \$1.5 billion with \$820.9 million outstanding. At June 30, 2007, the Bank also had \$363.0 million of federal funds lines with \$88.0 million outstanding. Primary uses of funds include repayment of maturing obligations and growing the loan portfolio.

Management believes the Corporation s and the Bank s sources of liquidity are adequate to meet loan demand, operating needs, and deposit withdrawal requirements.

Table of Contents

Capital Management

The Corporation views capital as its most valuable and most expensive funding source. The objective of effective capital management is to generate above-market returns on equity to the Corporation s shareholders while maintaining adequate regulatory capital ratios. Some of the Corporation s primary uses of capital include funding growth, asset acquisition, dividend payments, and common stock repurchases.

Select capital measures follow:

Table Twenty-one Capital Measures

	June 200		December 31 2006		
(Dollars in thousands)	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	
Total equity/total assets					
First Charter Corporation	\$445,828	9.07%	\$447,362	9.21%	
First Charter Bank	481,001	9.82	371,459	8.45	
Tangible equity/tangible assets (1)					
First Charter Corporation	\$361,721	7.48 %	\$362,294	7.59%	
First Charter Bank	396,894	8.24	351,246	8.03	

(1) The tangible equity ratio excludes goodwill and other intangible assets from both the numerator and the denominator.

Shareholders equity at June 30, 2007, decreased to \$445.8 million, representing 9.1 percent of period-end total assets, compared to \$447.4 million, or 9.2 percent, of period-end total assets at December 31, 2006. This decrease was primarily due to cash dividends of \$0.39 per common share, which resulted in cash dividend declarations of \$13.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and the repurchase of 500,000 shares of stock during the second quarter which decreased equity \$10.6 million. In addition, accumulated other comprehensive loss (after-tax unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities) increased \$2.8 million to \$8.7 million at June 30, 2007, compared to \$5.9 million at December 31, 2006. The decrease in shareholders equity was partially offset by net income of \$21.3 million and \$4.9 million of stock issued under stock-based compensation plans and the Corporation s dividend reinvestment plan.

On January 23, 2002, the Corporation s Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to 1.5 million shares of the Corporation s common stock. As of June 30, 2007, the Corporation had repurchased all of shares of its common stock under this authorization, including 125,400 shares repurchased during the second quarter of 2007, at an average per-share price of \$17.82, which has reduced shareholders equity by \$27.1 million.

On October 24, 2003, the Corporation s Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to 1.5 million additional shares of the Corporation s common stock. During the quarter ending June 30, 2007, the Corporation repurchased 374,600 shares under this authorization at an average per-share price of \$21.19, which has reduced shareholders equity by \$8.0 million.

The Corporation has remaining authority to repurchase 1.1 million shares of its common stock.

During 2005, the Corporation issued trust preferred securities through specially formed trusts in an aggregate amount of \$60.0 million. The proceeds from the sale of the trust preferred securities were used to purchase \$61.9 million of subordinated debentures from the Corporation (the Notes). The Notes are presented as long-term borrowings in the consolidated balance sheet and are includable in Tier 1 capital for regulatory capital purposes, subject to certain limitations.

The Corporation s and the Bank s various regulators have issued regulatory capital guidelines for U.S. banking organizations. Failure to meet the capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and

56

Table of Contents

discretionary actions by regulators that could have a material effect on the Corporation s financial position and results of operations. At June 30, 2007, the Corporation and the Bank were classified as well capitalized under these regulatory frameworks.

The Corporation s and the Bank s actual capital amounts and ratios at June 30, 2007 follow:

Table Twenty-two

Capital Ratios

			For C	-		~
			Adequacy	_	To Be Well	-
	Actual			Minimum		Minimum
(Dollars in thousands)	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
Lavamaga						
Leverage First Charter Comparation	¢ 420 272	8.97%	¢ 102 022	4.00%	None	None
First Charter Corporation	\$430,373		\$192,023		None	
First Charter Bank	411,177	8.57	191,914	4.00	\$239,893	5.00%
Tier I Capital						
First Charter Corporation	\$430,373	10.57%	\$162,932	4.00%	None	None
First Charter Bank	411,177	10.10	162,782	4.00	\$244,174	6.00%
Total Risk-Based Capital						
First Charter Corporation	\$475,358	11.67%	\$325,863	8.00%	None	None
First Charter Bank	455,967	11.20	325,565	8.00	\$406,956	10.00%

In the third quarter 2007, the Corporation anticipates opening a new branch in Cabarrus County, North Carolina. The opening of this branch will result in additional depreciation and related expenses. Opening this new branch is part of the Corporation s growth strategy for generating new deposit growth and the related revenues associated with the accounts and other products.

Regulatory Recommendations

Management is not presently aware of any current recommendations to the Corporation or to the Bank by regulatory authorities, which if they were to be implemented, would have a material effect on the Corporation s liquidity, capital resources, or operations.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements and Developments

Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements discusses new accounting pronouncements adopted by the Corporation during 2007 and other recently issued pronouncements that have not yet been adopted by the Corporation. To the extent the adoption of new accounting pronouncements materially affects financial condition, results of operations, or liquidity, the effects are discussed in the applicable section of Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

From time to time, the FASB issues exposure drafts for proposed statements of financial accounting standards. Such exposure drafts are subject to comment from the public, to revisions by the FASB and to final issuance by the FASB as statements of financial accounting standards. Management considers the effect of the proposed statements on the consolidated financial statements of the Corporation and monitors the status of changes to and proposed effective dates of exposure drafts.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Market Risk Management Asset-Liability Management and Interest Rate Risk on pages 52-54 for Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

57

Table of Contents

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of June 30, 2007, the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, an evaluation of the effectiveness of the Registrant s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13(a)-15(e) and 15d-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)) was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the Registrant s management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer. Based on that evaluation and the identification of the material weaknesses in the Registrant s internal control over financial reporting as described in the Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 (the Material Weaknesses), the Registrant s Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer have concluded that the Registrant s disclosure controls and procedures were not effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Registrant in its reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is (i) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities Exchange Commission s rules and forms, and (ii) accumulated and communicated to the Registrant s management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

As disclosed in **Item 9A. Controls and Procedures** of the Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006, management has begun to implement a comprehensive plan for remedying the Material Weaknesses (the Remediation Plan). In furtherance of the Remediation Plan, the following changes in the Registrant s internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act), have occurred during or following the quarter ended June 30, 2007.

The Registrant has enhanced its internal governance and compliance function. Periodic and regular meetings are being held with the internal governance and compliance functions to discuss and coordinate operational, compliance and financial matters as well as the progress of the Remediation Plan.

The Registrant has reassessed, reviewed, and approved the charters that govern the internal governance and compliance functions which include, but are not limited to, the Disclosure Committee, Compliance Risk Committee, Asset and Liability Committee, Technology Steering Committee, Sarbanes Oxley Review Committee. Where deemed necessary, various amendments to these documents have also been adopted. The Registrant s Management has communicated the charters to the respective internal governance and compliance functions.

These functions also have reassessed their reporting practices and have enhanced their evaluation processes. Except as discussed above, there have been no changes in the Registrant s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2007, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant s internal control over financial reporting.

58

Table of Contents

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The Corporation and the Bank are defendants in certain claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, after consultation with legal counsel, the ultimate disposition of these matters is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the consolidated results of operations, liquidity, or financial condition of the Corporation or the Bank.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

As previously disclosed, on April 5, 2007, the Corporation filed its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006. As a result of this filing, on April 9, 2007, NASDAQ notified the Corporation that it had regained compliance with NASDAQ Rule 4310 (c) (14). Consequently, the Corporation s common stock is no longer subject to delisting by NASDAQ.

With the exception of the change noted above, there have been no material changes from those risk factors previously disclosed in **Item 1A Risk Factors** of Part I of the Corporation s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

(a) Sale of Unregistered Equity Securities

As previously disclosed, on December 1, 2004, the Corporation, through First Charter Bank, its primary banking subsidiary, acquired substantially all of the assets of Smith & Associates Insurance Services Inc., a property and casualty insurance agency (the Agency), pursuant to an Asset Purchase Agreement, dated as of the same date (the Purchase Agreement). No underwriters were used in connection with this transaction. In connection with this transaction, the Corporation has previously issued an aggregate of 42,198 shares of Common Stock valued at \$1,112,000 to the Agency. On May 1, 2007, pursuant to the Purchase Agreement and based upon the performance of the business for the period December 1, 2005 through November 30, 2006 the Corporation issued 10,632 additional shares of Common Stock valued at \$256,000. The issuance of the shares in connection with this transaction was exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, in accordance with Section 4(2) thereof, as a transaction by an issuer not involving a public offering. The Purchase Agreement also contemplates one additional, subsequent issuance of Common Stock based upon the future performance of the acquired business. The Corporation presently expects the value of this future issuance, if earned, to total approximately \$200,000.

(c) <u>Issuer Repurchases of Equity Securities</u>

The following table summarizes the Corporation s repurchases of its common stock during the quarter ended June 30, 2007.

			Total Number	Maximum
			of	Number
			Shares	
			Purchased	of Shares
	Total	Average		
	Number	Price	as Part of	That May Yet be
	of Shares	Paid	Publicly-Announced	Purchased under
			Plans or	the Plans or
Period	Purchased	Per Share	Programs	Programs
April 1, 2007 - April 30, 2007				1,625,400
May 1, 2007 - May 31, 2007	243,500	21.07	243,500	1,381,900
June 1, 2007 - June 30, 2007	256,500	21.25	256,500	1,125,400
Total	500,000	21.20	500,000	1,125,400

59

Table of Contents

On January 23, 2002, the Corporation s Board of Directors authorized a stock repurchase plan to acquire up to 1.5 million shares of the Corporation s common stock from time to time. As of June 30, 2007, the Corporation had repurchased all shares under this authorization.

On October 24, 2003, the Corporation s Board of Directors authorized a stock repurchase plan to acquire up to an additional 1.5 million shares of the Corporation s common stock from time to time. As of June 30, 2007, the Corporation had repurchased 374,600 shares under this authorization.

There were 500,000 shares of the Corporation s common stock repurchased during the three months ended June 30, 2007. The maximum number of shares that may yet be repurchased under the plans or programs was 1,125,400 at June 30, 2007. The October 24, 2003 stock repurchase authorization has no set expiration or termination date.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not applicable.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

- (a) First Charter Corporation s Annual Meeting of Shareholders was held on May 23, 2007.
- (c) The following are the voting results on each matter (exclusive of procedural matters) submitted to the shareholders:
- 1. To elect five directors to the Corporation s Board of Directors with terms expiring in 2010 and one director with a term expiring in 2008.

	For	Withheld
Terms expiring in 2010		
Jewell D. Hoover	26,205,922	912,047
Walter H. Jones, Jr	22,155,428	4,962,541
Samuel C. King, Jr.	25,955,112	1,162,857
Jerry E. McGee	25,988,347	1,129,622
John S. Poelker	26,148,813	969,156
Term expiring in 2008		
Richard F. Combs	26,337,344	780,625

2. To ratify the action of the Corporation s Audit Committee in appointing KPMG LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as their auditor for 2007.

For	26,269,777
Against	720,111
Abstain	128,081

Broker Non-Votes

Item 5. Other Information

Not Applicable.

60

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit No. Description of Exhibits

- 10.1 Transition Agreement, dated May 16, 2007, by and between the Registrant and Charles A. Caswell, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K, dated May 16, 2007
- 10.2 Description of retention bonus compensation arrangement between the Registrant and Sheila A. Stoke, incorporated herein by reference to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K, dated May 16, 2007
- 12.1 Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

6

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

FIRST CHARTER CORPORATION (Registrant)

Date: August 8, 2007 By: /s/ Sheila A. Stoke

Sheila A. Stoke

Senior Vice President, Corporate Controller (Principal Financial Officer duly authorized to sign on behalf of the

Registrant)

62