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## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

THE TIMKEN COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
Consolidated Statements of Income
(Unaudited)

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in millions, except per share data) |  |  |
| Net sales | \$1,089.9 | \$1,421.0 |
| Cost of products sold | 815.4 | 1,009.4 |
| Gross Profit | 274.5 | 411.6 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 153.6 | 164.7 |
| Impairment and restructuring charges | 1.2 | 0.2 |
| Operating Income | 119.7 | 246.7 |
| Interest expense | (6.4 | ) (8.6 |
| Interest income | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| Other (expense), net | - | (1.3 |
| Income Before Income Taxes | 113.8 | 237.5 |
| Provision for income taxes | 38.8 | 81.5 |
| Net Income | 75.0 | 156.0 |
| Less: Net (loss) income attributable to noncontrolling interest | (0.1 | )0.3 |
| Net Income attributable to The Timken Company | \$75.1 | \$155.7 |
| Net Income per Common Share attributable to The Timken Company Common Shareholders |  |  |
| Basic earnings per share | \$0.78 | \$1.59 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$0.77 | \$ 1.58 |
| Dividends per share | \$0.23 | \$0.23 |
| Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited) |  |  |
|  | Three Mo <br> March 31, |  |
|  | 2013 | 2012 |
| (Dollars in millions) |  |  |
| Net Income | \$75.0 | \$156.0 |
| Other comprehensive income, net of tax: |  |  |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | (16.1 | )23.4 |
| Pension and postretirement liability adjustment | 29.6 | 12.3 |
| Change in fair value of marketable securities | - | (0.5 |
| Change in fair value of derivative financial instruments | 0.8 | 1.0 |
| Other comprehensive income | 14.3 | 36.2 |
| Comprehensive Income | 89.3 | 192.2 |
| Less: comprehensive (loss) income attributable to noncontrolling interest | (0.1 | )0.2 |
| Comprehensive Income attributable to The Timken Company | \$89.4 | \$192.0 | See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.
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Consolidated Balance Sheets

|  | (Unaudited) <br> March 31, <br> 2013 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December 31, } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in millions) |  |  |
| ASSETS |  |  |
| Current Assets |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$457.9 | \$586.4 |
| Accounts receivable, less allowances: 2013 - \$12.6 million; 2012 - \$12.1 million | 607.2 | 546.7 |
| Inventories, net | 833.8 | 862.1 |
| Deferred income taxes | 80.0 | 98.6 |
| Deferred charges and prepaid expenses | 34.2 | 12.6 |
| Other current assets | 60.1 | 67.7 |
| Total Current Assets | 2,073.2 | 2,174.1 |
| Property, Plant and Equipment, net | 1,423.6 | 1,405.3 |
| Other Assets |  |  |
| Goodwill | 345.2 | 338.9 |
| Other intangible assets | 225.8 | 224.7 |
| Deferred income taxes | 58.7 | 62.5 |
| Other non-current assets | 38.6 | 39.2 |
| Total Other Assets | 668.3 | 665.3 |
| Total Assets | \$4,165.1 | \$4,244.7 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY |  |  |
| Current Liabilities |  |  |
| Short-term debt | \$7.4 | \$14.3 |
| Accounts payable, trade | 229.7 | 216.2 |
| Salaries, wages and benefits | 164.7 | 213.9 |
| Income taxes payable | 95.3 | 33.5 |
| Deferred income taxes | 7.8 | 2.9 |
| Other current liabilities | 161.9 | 177.5 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 9.8 | 9.6 |
| Total Current Liabilities | 676.6 | 667.9 |
| Non-Current Liabilities |  |  |
| Long-term debt | 455.3 | 455.1 |
| Accrued pension cost | 266.9 | 391.4 |
| Accrued postretirement benefits cost | 365.9 | 371.8 |
| Deferred income taxes | 8.9 | 4.9 |
| Other non-current liabilities | 68.6 | 107.0 |
| Total Non-Current Liabilities | 1,165.6 | 1,330.2 |
| Shareholders' Equity |  |  |
| Class I and II Serial Preferred Stock, without par value: |  |  |
| Authorized - 10,000,000 shares each class, none issued | - | - |
| Common stock, without par value: |  |  |
| Authorized - 200,000,000 shares |  |  |
| Issued (including shares in treasury) (2013-98,375,135 shares; 2012 - 98,375,135 shares) |  |  |
| Stated capital | 53.1 | 53.1 |
| Other paid-in capital | 890.2 | 891.4 |
| Earnings invested in the business | 2,464.2 | 2,411.2 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (998.9 | ) (1,013.2 |


| Treasury shares at cost (2013 - 2,221,214 shares; $2012-2,476,921$ shares $)$ | $(100.0$ | $)(110.3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total Shareholders' Equity | $2,308.6$ | $2,232.2$ |
| Noncontrolling Interest | 14.3 | 14.4 |
| Total Equity | $2,322.9$ | $2,246.6$ |
| Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity | $\$ 4,165.1$ | $\$ 4,244.7$ |
| See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements. |  |  |

See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.
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Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(Unaudited)

|  | Three Mo <br> March 31, <br> 2013 | s Ended $2012$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in millions) |  |  |
| CASH PROVIDED (USED) |  |  |
| Operating Activities |  |  |
| Net income attributable to The Timken Company | \$75.1 | \$155.7 |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest | (0.1 | )0.3 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |
| Depreciation and amortization | 48.4 | 49.8 |
| Loss on sale of assets | 0.6 | 1.6 |
| Deferred income tax provision | (0.3 | ) (0.4 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 4.1 | 4.4 |
| Pension and other postretirement expense | 22.5 | 21.0 |
| Pension contributions and other postretirement benefit payments | (117.1 | )(104.7 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: |  |  |
| Accounts receivable | (61.8 | ) (112.0 |
| Inventories | 27.3 | (21.4 |
| Accounts payable, trade | 12.4 | 22.1 |
| Other accrued expenses | (74.9 | ) (103.4 |
| Income taxes | 31.5 | 58.2 |
| Other, net | (3.6 | ) (9.9 |
| Net Cash Used by Operating Activities | (35.9 | )(38.7 |
| Investing Activities |  |  |
| Capital expenditures | (63.4 | ) (46.0 |
| Acquisitions | (14.4 | ) (0.2 |
| Proceeds from disposals of property, plant and equipment | 0.6 | 0.9 |
| Investments in short-term marketable securities, net | 8.0 | 17.5 |
| Other | 0.1 | (1.4 |
| Net Cash Used by Investing Activities | (69.1 | ) (29.2 |
| Financing Activities |  |  |
| Cash dividends paid to shareholders | (22.1 | ) (22.5 |
| Net proceeds from common share activity | 9.1 | 12.6 |
| Purchase of treasury shares | - | (26.2 |
| Payments on long-term debt | - | (1.5 |
| Short-term debt activity, net | (7.0 | ) (9.9 |
| Net Cash Used by Financing Activities | (20.0 | )(47.5 |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash | (3.5 | ) 5.9 |
| Decrease In Cash and Cash Equivalents | (128.5 | ) (109.5 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 586.4 | 464.8 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period | \$457.9 | \$355.3 |
| See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements. |  |  |

See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.
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## NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

(Dollars in millions, except per share data)
Note 1 - Basis of Presentation
The accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) for The Timken Company (the Company) have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and do not include all of the information and notes required by the accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (U.S. GAAP) for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) and disclosures considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. For further information, refer to the Consolidated Financial Statements and notes included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

## Note 2 - Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2013-02, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): "Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income," effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The new accounting rules require all U.S. public companies to report the effect of items reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income on the respective line items of net income, net of tax, either on the face of the financial statements where net income is presented or in a tabular format in the notes to the financial statements. Effective January 1, 2013, the Company adopted ASU No. 2013-02. The new accounting rules expand the disclosure of other comprehensive income and had no impact on the Company's results of operations and financial condition. See Note 8 - Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss for additional information on the new disclosure.

Note 3 - Inventories

The components of inventories were as follows:

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March 31, } \\ & 2013 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December 31, } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manufacturing supplies | \$60.1 | \$64.3 |
| Raw materials | 92.9 | 110.7 |
| Work in process | 276.5 | 278.1 |
| Finished products | 426.1 | 430.4 |
| Subtotal | 855.6 | 883.5 |
| Allowance for obsolete and surplus inventory | (21.8 | ) (21.4 |
| Total Inventories, net | \$833.8 | \$862.1 |

Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market, with approximately $55 \%$ valued by the last-in, first-out (LIFO) method and the remaining $45 \%$ valued by the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method. The majority of the Company's domestic inventories are valued by the LIFO method and all of the Company's international (outside the United States) inventories are valued by the FIFO method.

An actual valuation of the inventory under the LIFO method can be made only at the end of each year based on the inventory levels and costs at that time. Accordingly, interim LIFO calculations must be based on management's estimates of expected year-end inventory levels and costs. Because these calculations are subject to many factors beyond management's control, annual results may differ from interim results as they are subject to the final year-end LIFO inventory valuation.

The LIFO reserve at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 was $\$ 276.7$ million and $\$ 280.6$ million, respectively. The Company recognized a decrease in its LIFO reserve of $\$ 3.9$ million during the first quarter of 2013, compared to an increase in its LIFO reserve of $\$ 8.8$ million during the first quarter of 2012.
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Based on current expectations of inventory levels and costs, the Company expects to recognize a decrease of approximately $\$ 3.7$ million in its LIFO reserve for the year ended December 31, 2013. The expected decrease in the LIFO reserve for 2013 reflects lower anticipated costs, especially scrap steel costs. A $1.0 \%$ increase in costs would increase the current LIFO expense estimate for 2013 by $\$ 5.8$ million. A $1.0 \%$ increase in inventory quantities would have no effect on the current LIFO expense estimate for 2013.

Note 4 - Property, Plant and Equipment
The components of property, plant and equipment were as follows:

|  | March 31, | December 31, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2013 | 2012 |
| Land and buildings | $\$ 658.1$ | $\$ 653.8$ |
| Machinery and equipment | $3,180.5$ | $3,138.3$ |
| Subtotal | $3,838.6$ | $3,792.1$ |
| Accumulated depreciation | $(2,415.0$ | $)(2,386.8$ |
| Property, Plant and Equipment, net | $\$ 1,423.6$ | $\$ 1,405.3$ |

Depreciation expense for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 was $\$ 43.8$ million and $\$ 44.6$ million, respectively. At March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, machinery and equipment included $\$ 84$ million and $\$ 85$ million, respectively, of capitalized software. Depreciation expense on capitalized software for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 was approximately $\$ 6.2$ million and $\$ 6.7$ million, respectively.

Note 5 - Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets
The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the three months ended March 31, 2013 were as follows:

|  | Mobile | Process |  | Aerospace | Steel |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

The change related to acquisitions primarily reflects the preliminary purchase price allocation for the acquisition of Interlube Systems Ltd. (Interlube) completed on March 11, 2013. See Note 14 - Acquisitions for additional information on the acquisition of Interlube.

The following table displays intangible assets as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:
As of March 31, 2013

| Gross | Accumulated |
| :--- | :--- |
| Carrying | Amortization |
| Amount |  |

Intangible assets
subject to amortization:
Customer relationships
Know-how 27.9
Industrial license agreements
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Land-use rights } & 8.7 & 4.2\end{array}$
Patents 2.2
Technology use 46.4
Trademarks 3.9
PMA licenses
Non-compete
agreements
Unpatented technology

Intangible assets not subject to amortization:

| Tradename <br> FAA air agency | $\$ 17.3$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 17.3$ | $\$ 17.3$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 17.3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| certificates | 14.2 | - | 14.2 | 14.2 | - | 14.2 |
|  | $\$ 31.5$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 31.5$ | $\$ 31.5$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 31.5$ |
| Total intangible assets | $\$ 304.6$ | $\$ 78.8$ | $\$ 225.8$ | $\$ 300.1$ | $\$ 75.4$ | $\$ 224.7$ |

Amortization expense for intangible assets was $\$ 4.6$ million and $\$ 5.2$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and March 31, 2012, respectively. Amortization expense for intangible assets is estimated to be approximately $\$ 19.5$ million for 2013; $\$ 19.3$ million in 2014; $\$ 19.2$ million in 2015; $\$ 18.9$ million in 2016; and $\$ 18.6$ million in 2017.

Note 6 - Financing Arrangements
Short-term debt at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 was as follows:


The lines of credit for certain of the Company's foreign subsidiaries provide for short-term borrowings up to $\$ 215.6$ million. Most of these lines of credit are uncommitted. At March 31, 2013, the Company's foreign subsidiaries had borrowings outstanding of $\$ 7.4$ million and guarantees of $\$ 0.1$ million, which reduced the availability under these facilities to $\$ 208.1$ million.

The Company has a $\$ 200$ million Amended and Restated Asset Securitization Agreement (Asset Securitization Agreement), which matures on November 30, 2015. Under the terms of the Asset Securitization Agreement, the Company sells, on an ongoing basis, certain domestic trade receivables to Timken Receivables Corporation, a wholly-owned consolidated subsidiary, that in turn uses the trade receivables to secure borrowings, which are funded through a vehicle that issues commercial paper in the short-term market. Borrowings under the agreement are limited by certain borrowing base calculations. Any amounts outstanding under this Asset Securitization Agreement would be reported in short-term debt on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheet. As of March 31, 2013, there were no outstanding borrowings under the Asset Securitization Agreement. However, certain borrowing base limitations reduced the availability of the Asset Securitization Agreement to $\$ 190.6$ million at March 31, 2013. The cost of this facility, which is the commercial paper rate plus program fees, is considered a financing cost and is included in interest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Long-term debt at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 was as follows:

Fixed-rate Medium-Term Notes, Series A, mature at various dates through May 2028, with interest rates ranging from $6.74 \%$ to $7.76 \%$
Fixed-rate Senior Unsecured Notes, maturing on September 15, 2014, with an interest rate of $6.0 \%$
Variable-rate State of Ohio Water Development Revenue Refunding Bonds, maturing on November 1, 2025 ( $0.11 \%$ at March 31, 2013)
Variable-rate State of Ohio Air Quality Development Revenue Refunding Bonds, maturing on November 1, 2025 ( $0.21 \%$ at March 31, 2013)
Variable-rate State of Ohio Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds, maturing on June 1, 2033 ( $0.21 \%$ at March 31, 2013)
Other

| March 31, <br> 2013 | December 31, <br> 2012 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 175.0$ | $\$ 175.0$ |
| 249.9 | 249.9 |
| 12.2 | 12.2 |
|  |  |
| 9.5 | 9.5 |
|  |  |
| 8.5 | 8.5 |
| 10.0 | 9.6 |
| $\$ 465.1$ | $\$ 464.7$ |
| 9.8 | 9.6 |
| $\$ 455.3$ | $\$ 455.1$ |

The Company has a $\$ 500$ million Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (Senior Credit Facility), which matures on May 11, 2016. At March 31, 2013, the Company had no outstanding borrowings under the Senior Credit Facility but had letters of credit outstanding totaling $\$ 8.6$ million, which reduced the availability under the Senior Credit Facility to $\$ 491.4$ million. Under the Senior Credit Facility, the Company has two financial covenants: a consolidated leverage ratio and a consolidated interest coverage ratio. At March 31, 2013, the Company was in full compliance with the covenants under the Senior Credit Facility.

In 2011, the Company was notified that its variable-rate State of Ohio Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds, maturing on June 1, 2033, had lost their tax-exempt status and would now be taxable to its bondholders. As part of the negotiation with the Internal Revenue Service (IRS), the Company redeemed half of the balance during the third quarter of 2012. The Company now expects to pay off the remaining balance of $\$ 8.5$ million on December 31, 2022.

Certain of the Company's foreign subsidiaries have facilities that also provide for long-term borrowings up to $\$ 9.6$ million. At March 31, 2013, the Company had outstanding borrowings of $\$ 9.6$ million, leaving no availability under these long-term facilities.

Note 7 - Equity
The changes in the equity components for the three months ended March 31, 2013 were as follows:

> The Timken Company Shareholders
$\left.\begin{array}{llllllll} \\ & \text { Total } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Stated } \\ \text { Capital }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Other } \\ \text { Paid-In } \\ \text { Capital }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Earnings } \\ \text { Invested } \\ \text { in the } \\ \text { Business }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Accumulated } \\ \text { Other } \\ \text { Comprehensive } \\ \text { (Loss) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Treasury }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Non- } \\ \text { controlling }\end{array} \\ \text { Interest }\end{array}\right)$

Note 8 - Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss
The following table presents details about components of accumulated other comprehensive loss for the three months ended March 31, 2013:

Balance, December 31, 2012
$\left.\begin{array}{lllll}\begin{array}{l}\text { Foreign } \\ \text { currency } \\ \text { translation } \\ \text { adjustments }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Pension and } \\ \text { postretirement } \\ \text { liability } \\ \text { adjustments }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Change in fair } \\ \text { value of } \\ \text { derivative } \\ \text { financial } \\ \text { instruments }\end{array} & \text { Total } & \\ \$ 49.0 & \$(1,061.5 & ) \$(0.7 & ) \$(1,013.2 & ) \\ (16.1 & ) 9.0 & 1.0 & (6.1 & ) \\ - & 32.0 & 0.1 & 32.1 \\ - & (11.4 & )(0.3 & )(11.7 \\ (16.1 & ) 29.6 & 0.8 & 14.3 \\ \$ 32.9 & \$(1,031.9 & ) \$ 0.1 & \$(998.9\end{array}\right)$

The following table presents details about components of accumulated other comprehensive loss for the three months ended March 31, 2012:

Balance, December 31, 2011

| Foreign | Pension and | Change in fair |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| currency | postretirement | value of |
| translation | liability | marketable |
| adjustments | adjustments | securities |

Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications,
$\$ 38.5 \quad \$(928.3 \quad) \$ 0.6$ before income tax
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive -
income before income taxes
Income tax (benefit) expense -
Non-controlling interest
Net current period other comprehensive, net of income taxes
Balance, March 31, $2012 \quad \$ 61.9 \quad \$(916.0 \quad \$ 0.2 \quad \$ 0.7 \quad \$(853.2)$
Other comprehensive (loss) income before reclassifications and income taxes, includes the effect of currency. The reclassification of the pension and postretirement liability adjustment was included in costs of products sold and selling, general and administrative expenses on the Consolidated Statements of Income. The reclassification of the remaining components of accumulated other comprehensive loss were included in the other income (expense), net on the Consolidated Statements of Income.
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Note 9 - Earnings Per Share
The following table sets forth the reconciliation of the numerator and the denominator of basic earnings per share and diluted earnings per share for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

|  | Three Months Ended <br> March 31, <br> 2013 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Numerator: | 2012 |  |
| Net income attributable to The Timken Company <br> Less: undistributed earnings allocated to nonvested stock <br> Net income available to common shareholders for basic <br> earnings per share and diluted earnings per share | $\$ 75.1$ | $\$ 155.7$ |
| Denominator: <br> Weighted average number of shares outstanding, basic | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: <br> Stock options and awards based on the treasury <br> stock method <br> Weighted average number of shares outstanding, assuming <br> dilution of stock options and awards | 975.0 | $\$ 155.3$ |
| Basic earnings per share <br> Diluted earnings per share | $95,848,450$ | $97,451,935$ |

The exercise prices for certain stock options that the Company has awarded exceed the average market price of the Company's common shares. Such stock options are antidilutive and were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share. The antidilutive stock options outstanding during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 were 307,240 and 306,970 , respectively.

## Note 10 - Segment Information

The primary measurement used by management to measure the financial performance of each segment is EBIT (earnings before interest and taxes).

|  | Three Months Ended <br> March 31, |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2013 | 2012 |
| Net sales to external customers: | $\$ 397.0$ | $\$ 469.1$ |
| Mobile Industries | 283.9 | 354.1 |
| Process Industries | 82.5 | 91.3 |
| Aerospace | 326.5 | 506.5 |
| Steel | $\$ 1,089.9$ | $\$ 1,421.0$ |
|  |  |  |
| Intersegment sales: | $\$ 0.1$ | $\$-$ |
| Mobile Industries | 1.3 | 1.5 |
| Process Industries | 19.6 | 29.0 |
| Steel | $\$ 21.0$ | $\$ 30.5$ |
|  |  |  |
| Segment EBIT: | $\$ 51.2$ | $\$ 86.7$ |
| Mobile Industries | 42.6 | 82.3 |
| Process Industries | 8.6 | 10.7 |
| Aerospace | 35.8 | 88.0 |
| Steel | $\$ 138.2$ | $\$ 267.7$ |
| Total EBIT for reportable segments | $(19.9$ | $)(20.7$ |
| Unallocated corporate expenses | $(6.4$ | $(8.6$ |
| Interest expense | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| Interest income | 1.4 | $(1.6$ |
| Intersegment adjustments | $\$ 113.8$ | $\$ 237.5$ |

Note 11 - Impairment and Restructuring Charges
Impairment and restructuring charges by segment are comprised of the following:
For the three months ended March 31, 2013:

Severance and related benefit costs

| Mobile | Process |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Industries | Industries | Total |
| $\$ 0.8$ | $\$ 0.2$ | $\$ 1.0$ |
| 0.2 | - | 0.2 |
| $\$ 1.0$ | $\$ 0.2$ | $\$ 1.2$ |

For the three months ended March 31, 2012:

|  | Mobile |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Industries |
| Severance and related benefit costs | $\$ 0.1$ |
| Exit costs | 0.1 |
| Total | $\$ 0.2$ |

The following discussion explains the impairment and restructuring charges recorded for the periods presented; however, it is not intended to reflect a comprehensive discussion of all amounts in the tables above.

Mobile Industries:
In May 2012, the Company announced the closure of its manufacturing facility in St. Thomas, Ontario, Canada (St. Thomas), expected to be completed in approximately one year, and its intent to consolidate bearing production at this plant with its existing U.S. operations to better align the Company's manufacturing footprint and customer base. The Company will also move customer service for the Canadian market to its offices in Toronto. Production is expected to be transferred to the Company's operations in Ohio, North Carolina and South Carolina by mid-2013. The closure of the St. Thomas manufacturing facility will displace approximately 190 employees. The Company expects to incur pretax costs of approximately $\$ 55$ million to $\$ 65$ million in connection with this closure, of which approximately $\$ 20$ million to $\$ 25$ million is expected to be pretax cash costs.

The Company has incurred pretax costs of approximately $\$ 32.7$ million as of March 31, 2013, including rationalization costs recorded in cost of products sold. During the first quarter of 2013, the Company recorded $\$ 0.8$ million of severance and related benefits.

In March 2007, the Company announced the closure of its manufacturing facility in Sao Paulo, Brazil (Sao Paulo). The Company completed the closure of this manufacturing facility on March 31, 2010. Pretax costs associated with the closure could be as high as approximately $\$ 60$ million, which includes restructuring costs and rationalization costs recorded in cost of products sold and selling, general and administrative expenses. Mobile Industries has incurred cumulative pretax costs of approximately $\$ 57.1$ million as of March 31, 2013 related to this closure. During the first three months of 2012, the Company recorded $\$ 2.8$ million of exit costs associated with the closure of this facility, primarily related to environmental remediation costs. The Company accrues environmental remediation costs when they are probable and estimable.

In addition to the above charges, the Company recorded a favorable adjustment of $\$ 2.8$ million during the first three months of 2012 for environmental exit costs at the site of its former plant in Columbus, Ohio. The favorable adjustment was a result of the sale of the real estate at the site of this former plant during the first quarter of 2012. The buyer assumed responsibility for the environmental remediation as a result of the sale. The buyer was able to obtain funding from the State of Ohio to remediate the site.

The following is a rollforward of the consolidated restructuring accrual for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and the twelve months ended December 31, 2012:

|  | March 31, | December 31, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Beginning balance, January 1 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Expense | $\$ 17.6$ | $\$ 21.8$ |
| Payments | 1.2 | 12.2 |
| Ending balance | $(2.3$ | $(16.4$ |

The restructuring accrual at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 was included in other current liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The restructuring accrual at March 31, 2013 included $\$ 5.8$ million of environmental remediation costs, of which $\$ 4.8$ million relates to Sao Paulo. The Company adjusts environmental remediation accruals based on the best available estimate of costs to be incurred, the timing and extent of remedial actions required by governmental authorities and the amount of the Company's liability in proportion to other responsible parties. The Company's estimated total liability for this site ranges from a minimum of $\$ 4.8$ million to a maximum of $\$ 8.7$ million. It is possible that the estimates may change in the near term.

## Note 12 - Retirement and Postretirement Benefit Plans

The following table sets forth the net periodic benefit cost for the Company's defined benefit pension and postretirement benefit plans. The amounts for the three months ended March 31, 2013 are based on actuarial calculations prepared during the fourth quarter of 2012. Consistent with prior years, these calculations will be updated later in the year. These updated calculations may result in a different net periodic benefit cost for 2013. The net periodic benefit cost recorded for the three months ended March 31, 2013 is the Company's best estimate of each period's proportionate share of the amounts to be recorded for the year ending December 31, 2013.

| Pension <br> March 31, <br> 2013 | 2012 | Postretirement <br> March 31, <br> 2013 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | | 2012 |
| :--- |
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Note 13 - Income Taxes
The Company's provision for income taxes in interim periods is computed by applying the appropriate annual effective tax rates to income or loss before income taxes for the period. In addition, non-recurring or discrete items, including interest on prior year tax liabilities, are recorded during the period(s) in which they occur.

| Three Months Ended |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| March 31, |  |  |
| 2013 | 2012 |  |
| $\$ 38.8$ | $\$ 81.5$ |  |
| 34.1 | $\% 34.3$ | $\%$ |

The effective tax rate in the first quarter of 2013 was lower than the U.S. federal statutory rate of $35 \%$ primarily due to earnings in certain foreign jurisdictions where the effective tax rate is less than $35 \%$, the U.S. manufacturing deduction, the U.S. research tax credit, and other discrete net income tax benefits related to prior tax periods. These factors were partially offset by U.S. state and local taxes, U.S. taxation of foreign income, and losses at certain foreign subsidiaries where no tax benefit could be recorded.

The effective tax rate in the first quarter of 2012 was lower than the U.S. federal statutory tax rate primarily due to earnings in certain foreign jurisdictions where the effective tax rate was less than $35 \%$, the U.S. manufacturing deduction, and other U.S. tax benefits. These factors were partially offset by U.S. state and local taxes, U.S. taxation of foreign income, losses at certain foreign subsidiaries where no tax benefit could be recorded and other net discrete income tax expense items related to prior tax periods.

## Note 14 - Acquisitions

On March 11, 2013, the Company completed the acquisition of Interlube which makes and markets automated lubrication delivery systems and related components to end market sectors including commercial vehicles, construction, mining, and heavy and general industries, for approximately $\$ 14.8$ million, including cash acquired of approximately $\$ 0.3$ million that is subject to a post-closing indebtedness adjustment. Based in Plymouth, United Kingdom, Interlube employs about 90 employees and had 2012 sales of approximately $\$ 13$ million. The results of Interlube will be reported in the Mobile Industries segment.

The following table presents the preliminary purchase price allocation for acquisitions in 2013:
Initial Purchase Price Allocation

## Assets:

Accounts receivable, net \$2.0
Inventories, net 2.8
Deferred charges and prepaid expenses 0.2
Other current assets 0.1
Property, plant and equipment, net 2.0
Goodwill 5.5
Other intangible assets 5.7
Total assets acquired \$18.3
Liabilities:
Accounts payable, trade \$1.0
Salaries, wages and benefits 0.2
Other current liabilities ..... 0.7
Other non-current liabilities ..... 1.9
Total liabilities assumed ..... \$3.8
Net assets acquired ..... \$14.5

The following table summarizes the preliminary purchase price allocation for identifiable intangible assets acquired in 2013:

|  | Initial Purchase <br> Price Allocation |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Weighted - |
| Trade name | $\$ 0.1$ | Average Life |
| Know-how | 1.7 | 10 years |
| All customer relationships | 3.8 | 10 years |
| Non-compete agreements | 0.1 | 3 years |
| Total intangible assets allocated | $\$ 5.7$ |  |

## Note 15 - Fair Value

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (exit price). The FASB provides accounting rules that classify the inputs used to measure fair value into the following hierarchy:

Level 1 - Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
Level 2 - Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for similar assets or liabilities, or unadjusted quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, or inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability.

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs for the asset or liability.
The following table presents the fair value hierarchy for those financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2013:

March 31, 2013
Total Level $1 \quad$ Level $2 \quad$ Level 3
Assets:
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Cash and cash equivalents } & \$ 457.9 & \$ 457.9 & \$- & \$- \\ \text { Short-term investments } & 23.6 & 23.6 & - & - \\ \text { Foreign currency hedges } & 1.4 & - & 1.4 & - \\ \text { Total Assets } & \$ 482.9 & \$ 481.5 & \$ 1.4 & \$- \\ \text { Liabilities: } & \$ 1.9 & \$- & \$ 1.9 & \$- \\ \text { Foreign currency hedges } & \$ 1.9 & \$- & \$ 1.9 & \$- \\ \text { Total Liabilities } & & \end{array}$
Cash and cash equivalents are highly liquid investments with maturities of three months or less when purchased and are valued at redemption value. Short-term investments are investments with maturities between four months and one year and are valued at amortized cost. The Company uses publicly available foreign currency forward and spot rates to measure the fair value of its foreign currency forward contracts.

The Company does not believe it has significant concentrations of risk associated with the counterparts to its financial instruments.
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## Financial Instruments

The Company's financial instruments consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments, accounts receivable, net, accounts payable, trade, short-term borrowings and long-term debt. Due to their short-term nature, the carrying value of cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments, accounts receivable, net, accounts payable, trade and short-term borrowings are a reasonable estimate of their fair value. The fair value of the Company's long-term fixed-rate debt, based on quoted market prices, was $\$ 485.1$ million and $\$ 481.3$ million at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The carrying value of this debt was $\$ 424.9$ million at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012. The fair value of long-term fixed debt was measured using Level 2 inputs.

## Note 16 - Subsequent Events

On April 11, 2013, the Company completed the acquisition of the assets of Smith Services, Inc. (Smith Services), an electric motor repair specialist, for $\$ 13.3$ million. Based in Princeton, West Virginia and employing approximately 140 people, Smith Services had 2012 sales of approximately $\$ 17$ million. The Company will report the results for Smith Services in the Process Industries segment.

In April 2013, the Company issued new shares in its subsidiary in India, Timken India Limited, for approximately $\$ 9.4$ million, which diluted its controlling interest from $80 \%$ to $75 \%$.

In November 2010, the Company entered into an agreement to sell the real estate of its former manufacturing facility in Sao Paulo. The transfer of this land was expected to be completed as early as 2013 after the Company had finished the soil remediation and a groundwater remediation plan had been approved. Based on the terms of the agreement, once title transferred, the Company expected to receive approximately $\$ 30$ million, including interest, subject to fluctuation in foreign currency exchange rates, over an 18 -month period. On April 24, 2013, the Company was notified by the buyer that it was exercising its right to terminate the agreement. The Company is considering its options with respect to this real estate.

## ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

(Dollars in millions, except per share data)
Overview

Introduction:
The Timken Company, a global industrial technology leader, applies its deep knowledge of materials, friction management and mechanical power transmission to improve the reliability and efficiency of industrial machinery and equipment all around the world. The Company engineers, manufactures and markets high-performance mechanical components and engineered steel. Timken ${ }^{\circledR}$ bearings, alloy steel bars and tubes, as well as transmissions, gearboxes, chain, and related products and services, support diversified markets worldwide through the original equipment manufacturers and aftermarket channels. The Company operates under four segments: (1) Mobile Industries; (2) Process Industries; (3) Aerospace (formerly Aerospace and Defense); and (4) Steel. The following further describes these business segments:

Mobile Industries provides bearings, power transmission components, engineered chain, augers and related products and services to original equipment manufacturers and suppliers of agricultural, construction and mining equipment; passenger cars, light trucks, medium- and heavy-duty trucks; rail cars and locomotives; as well as to the aftermarket through its automotive and heavy truck distributors.

Process Industries supplies bearings, power transmission components, engineered chains, and related products and services to original equipment manufacturers and suppliers of power transmission, energy and heavy industrial machinery and equipment. This includes rolling mills, cement and aggregate processing equipment, paper mills, sawmills, printing presses, cranes, hoists, drawbridges, wind energy turbines, gear drives, drilling equipment, coal conveyors, coal crushers, marine equipment and food processing equipment. This segment also serves the aftermarket through its global network of authorized industrial distributors.

Aerospace provides bearings, helicopter transmission systems, rotor head assemblies, turbine engine components, gears and other precision flight-critical components for commercial and military aviation applications. It also provides aftermarket services, including repair and overhaul of engines, transmissions and fuel controls, as well as aerospace bearing repair and component reconditioning. Additionally, this segment manufactures precision bearings, complex assemblies and sensors for manufacturers of health and critical motion control equipment.

Steel produces more than 450 grades of high-performance carbon and alloy steel, sold as ingots, bars and tubes in a variety of chemistries, lengths and finishes. The segment's metallurgical expertise and unique operational capabilities drive customized solutions for the mobile, industrial and energy sectors, sold directly to original equipment manufacturers or through its authorized steel distributors. Timken ${ }^{\circledR}$ specialty steels feature prominently in a wide variety of end products including: oil country drill pipe, bits and collars; gears, hubs, axles, crankshafts and connecting rods; bearing races and rolling elements; and bushings, fuel injectors and wind energy shafts.

The Company's strategy balances corporate aspirations for sustained growth and a determination to optimize the Company's existing portfolio of business, thereby generating strong earnings and cash flows. The Company pursues its strategy to create value by:

Applying its knowledge of metallurgy, friction management and mechanical power transmission to create unique solutions used in demanding applications that create value for its customers. The Company seeks to grow in attractive market sectors, with particular emphasis on those industrial markets that value the reliability and efficiency offered by the Company's products and that create significant aftermarket demand, thereby providing a lifetime of opportunity in both product sales and services.

Differentiating its businesses and its products, offering a broad array of mechanical power transmission components, high-performance steel and related solutions and services. In 2012, the Company extended the Timken ${ }^{\circledR}$ spherical bearing and housed unit bearing lines and developed new crankshaft steels as well as incorporated Drives ${ }^{\circledR}$ chain and Philadelphia Gear ${ }^{\circledR}$ repair services into its offerings.

Expanding its reach, extending its knowledge, products, services and channels to meet customer needs wherever they are in the world. The Company continues to expand its presence in new geographic spaces with an emphasis in Asia and other emerging markets including Russia, where it opened two new offices in 2012.

Performing with excellence, delivering exceptional results with a passion for superior execution. The Company drives execution by embracing a continuous improvement culture that is charged with lowering costs, eliminating waste, increasing efficiency, encouraging organizational agility and building greater brand equity. As part of this effort, the Company may also reposition underperforming product lines and segments and divest non-strategic assets.

The following items highlight the Company's more recent significant strategic accomplishments:
In March 2013, the Company completed the acquisition of Interlube, which makes and markets automated lubrication delivery systems and related components to end-market sectors including commercial vehicles, construction, mining, and heavy and general industries, for $\$ 14.8$ million in cash. Based in Plymouth, United Kingdom, Interlube employs about 90 employees and had 2012 sales of approximately $\$ 13$ million. The results of Interlube will be reported in the Mobile Industries segment.

On April 11, 2013, the Company completed the acquisition of the assets of Smith Services, an electric motor repair specialist, for $\$ 13.3$ million in cash. Based in Princeton, West Virginia and employing approximately 140 people, Smith Services had 2012 sales of approximately $\$ 17$ million. The Company will report the results for Smith Services in the Process Industries segment.

Overview:

Net sales
Net income attributable to The Timken Company Diluted earnings per share
Average number of shares - diluted
Three Months Ended
March 31,

| 2013 | 2012 | \$ Change | $\%$ Change |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 1,089.9$ | $\$ 1,421.0$ | $\$(331.1$ | $)(23.3$ | $) \%$ |
| 75.1 | 155.7 | $(80.6$ | $)(51.8$ | $) \%$ |
| $\$ 0.77$ | $\$ 1.58$ | $\$(0.81$ | $)(51.3$ | $) \%$ |
| $96,823,483$ | $98,587,622$ | - | - | $\%$ |

The Company reported net sales of approximately $\$ 1.1$ billion for the first quarter of 2013, compared to approximately $\$ 1.4$ billion in the first quarter of 2012, a decrease of $23.3 \%$. Sales were lower across all business
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segments. The decrease in sales was primarily driven by lower volume, lower material surcharges and unfavorable sales mix, partially offset by the impact of favorable pricing and the impact of acquisitions. For the first quarter of 2013, net income per diluted share was $\$ 0.77$, compared to $\$ 1.58$ per diluted share for the first quarter of 2012. Earnings for the first quarter of 2013 reflect the impact of lower volume, higher manufacturing costs and unfavorable sales mix, partially offset by a favorable LIFO adjustment, favorable pricing and lower selling, general and administrative costs.

Outlook:
The Company expects 2013 full-year sales to be down approximately $5 \%$ compared to 2012, primarily driven by lower volume across the Steel segment and Mobile Industries' light-vehicle and heavy truck market sectors, as well as lower material surcharges, partially offset by increased volume in the Aerospace segment. The Company's earnings are expected to be lower in 2013 compared to 2012, primarily due to lower volume, lower surcharges and higher selling, general and administrative expenses, partially offset by lower material costs. Earnings are also expected to decrease due to the impact of lower U.S. Continued Dumping Subsidy Offset Act (CDSOA) receipts as compared to the prior year.

From a liquidity standpoint, the Company expects to generate cash from operations of approximately $\$ 330$ million in 2013, a decrease of approximately $50 \%$ from 2012, as the Company anticipates lower net income and higher cash used for working capital items, partially offset by lower pension and postretirement contributions. Pension and postretirement contributions are expected to be approximately $\$ 300$ million in 2013, compared to $\$ 376$ million in 2012. The Company expects capital expenditures to be approximately $\$ 360$ million in 2013, compared to $\$ 300$ million in 2012. The expected increase in capital expenditures is primarily driven by several multi-year projects. See "Other Matters - Capital Expenditures" for more information about capital expenditures.

The Statement of Income

Sales by Segment:

|  | Three Months Ended <br> March 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | 2012 | \$ Change | \% Change |  |  |  |  |
| Mobile Industries | $\$ 397.0$ | $\$ 469.1$ | $\$(72.1$ | $)(15.4$ | $) \%$ |  |  |  |
| Process Industries | 283.9 | 354.1 | $(70.2$ | $)(19.8$ | $) \%$ |  |  |  |
| Aerospace | 82.5 | 91.3 | $(8.8$ | $)(9.6$ | $) \%$ |  |  |  |
| Steel | 326.5 | 506.5 | $(180.0$ | $)(35.5$ | $) \%$ |  |  |  |
| Total Company | $\$ 1,089.9$ | $\$ 1,421.0$ | $\$(331.1$ | $)(23.3$ | $) \%$ |  |  |  |

Net sales for the first quarter of 2013 decreased $\$ 331$ million, or $23.3 \%$, compared to the first quarter of 2012, primarily due to lower volume of approximately $\$ 260$ million, lower raw material surcharges of approximately $\$ 70$ million, unfavorable sales mix of approximately $\$ 20$ million and the impact of foreign currency of approximately $\$ 5$ million, partially offset by higher pricing of approximately $\$ 10$ million and the impact of acquisitions of $\$ 10$ million. The decrease in volume was due to lower demand across most of the Company's end markets sectors.

Gross Profit:
Gross profit
Gross profit $\%$ to net sales

Three Months Ended
March 31,

| 2013 | 2012 | \$ Change | Change |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 274.5$ | $\$ 411.6$ | $\$(137.1$ | $)(33.3) \%$ |
| 25.2 | $\% 29.0$ | $\%$ | $(380) \mathrm{bps}$ |

Gross profit decreased in the first quarter of 2013 compared to the first quarter of 2012 primarily due to the impact of lower volume of approximately $\$ 120$ million and unfavorable manufacturing costs of approximately $\$ 30$ million.

These factors were partially offset by a favorable LIFO adjustment of approximately $\$ 15$ million.
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Selling, General and Administrative Expenses:

|  | Three Months Ended <br>  <br> March 31, |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2013 | 2012 |  |  |  |
|  | \$ Change | Change |  |  |  |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | $\$ 153.6$ | $\$ 164.7$ | \$(11.1 | )(6.7)\% |  |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses \% to net | 14.1 | $\% 11.6$ | $\%-$ | 250 bps |  |

The decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses in the first quarter of 2013 compared to the first quarter of 2012 was primarily due to lower expense related to incentive compensation plans of approximately $\$ 12$ million, partially offset by higher salaries and related benefits of approximately $\$ 2$ million.

Interest Expense and Income:

|  | Three Months Ended <br>  <br> March 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | 2012 | $\$$ Change | \% Change |  |  |  |  |
| Interest (expense) | $\$(6.4$ | $) \$(8.6$ | $) \$ 2.2$ | $(25.6$ | $) \%$ |  |  |  |
| Interest income | $\$ 0.5$ | $\$ 0.7$ | $\$(0.2$ | $)(28.6$ | $) \%$ |  |  |  |

Interest expense for the first quarter of 2013 decreased compared to the first quarter of 2012 primarily due to higher capitalized interest and lower average debt. Interest income for the first quarter of 2013 decreased compared to the first quarter of 2012 primarily due to lower interest rates.

Income Tax Expense:

|  | Three Months Ended <br>  <br> March 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | 2012 | $\$$ Change | Change |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income tax expense | $\$ 38.8$ | $\$ 81.5$ | $\$(42.7$ | $)(52.4$ | $) \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Effective tax rate | 34.1 | $\% 34.3$ | $\%-$ | $(20$ | $)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | bps |  |  |  |  |  |

The effective tax rate in the first quarter of 2013 was lower than the U.S. federal statutory rate of $35 \%$ primarily due to earnings in certain foreign jurisdictions where the effective tax rate is less than $35 \%$, the U.S. manufacturing deduction, the U.S. research tax credit, and other discrete net income tax benefits related to prior tax periods. These factors were partially offset by U.S. state and local taxes, U.S. taxation of foreign income and losses at certain foreign subsidiaries where no tax benefit could be recorded.

The effective tax rate in the first quarter of 2012 was lower than the U.S. federal statutory tax rate primarily due to earnings in certain foreign jurisdictions where the effective tax rate was less than $35 \%$, the U.S. manufacturing deduction, and other U.S. tax benefits. These factors were partially offset by U.S. state and local taxes, U.S. taxation of foreign income, losses at certain foreign subsidiaries where no tax benefit could be recorded and other net discrete income tax expense items related to prior tax periods.

The decrease in the effective tax rate in the first quarter of 2013 compared to the first quarter of 2012 was primarily due to higher tax benefits from the U.S. research tax credit and certain discrete tax benefits. These factors were
partially offset by higher losses at certain foreign subsidiaries where no tax benefit could be recorded and a lower percentage of earnings in certain foreign jurisdictions where the effective tax rate was lower than $35 \%$.

## Business Segments

The primary measurement used by management to measure the financial performance of each segment is EBIT. Refer to Note 10 - Segment Information in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for the reconciliation of EBIT by segment to consolidated income before income taxes.

The presentation of segment results below includes a reconciliation of the changes in net sales for each segment reported in accordance with U.S. GAAP to net sales adjusted to remove the effects of acquisitions made in 2012 and 2013 and currency exchange rates. The effects of acquisitions and currency exchange rates on net sales are removed to allow investors and the Company to meaningfully evaluate the percentage change in net sales on a comparable basis from period to period. During the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company completed the acquisition of substantially all of the assets of Wazee Companies, LLC (Wazee). Results for Wazee are reported in the Process Industries segment. During the first quarter of 2013, the Company completed the acquisition of Interlube. Results for Interlube will be reported in the Mobile industries segment and did not have a material impact on the Company's results in the first quarter of 2013.

Mobile Industries Segment:

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | 2012 | \$ Change | Change |  |
| Net sales, including intersegment sales | \$397.1 | \$469.1 | \$(72.0 | ) (15.3)\% |  |
| EBIT | \$51.2 | \$86.7 | \$(35.5 | ) (40.9)\% |  |
| EBIT margin | 12.9 | \% 18.5 | \%- | (560 | ${ }_{\text {bps }}$ |
|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |  |
| Net sales, including intersegment sales | \$397.1 | \$469.1 | \$(72.0 | ) (15.3 | )\% |
| Less: Currency | (5.7 | )- | (5.7 | ) NM |  |
| Net sales, excluding the impact of currency | \$402.8 | \$469.1 | \$(66.3 | ) (14.1 | )\% |

The Mobile Industries segment's net sales, excluding the effects of currency-rate changes, decreased $14.1 \%$ in the first quarter of 2013 compared to the first quarter of 2012. The decrease was primarily due to lower volume of approximately $\$ 65$ million. The lower volume was seen across most market sectors led by a decrease in heavy truck, off-highway and light vehicle of approximately $25 \%, 20 \%$ and $10 \%$, respectively. The decrease in light vehicle and heavy truck market sales was primarily due to exited business. EBIT was lower in the first quarter of 2013 compared to the first quarter of 2012 primarily due to the impact of lower volume of approximately $\$ 25$ million and higher manufacturing costs of approximately $\$ 20$ million, partially offset by lower selling, general and administrative expenses of approximately $\$ 5$ million. The higher manufacturing costs were primarily due to lower manufacturing capacity utilization.

Full-year sales for the Mobile Industries segment are expected to decrease 5\% to $10 \%$ in 2013 compared to 2012. The expected decrease is primarily due to lower volume across most markets, led by a decrease in light-vehicle volume of approximately $15 \%$ driven by the Company's market strategy and a decrease in heavy truck volume of approximately $10 \%$. EBIT for the Mobile Industries segment is expected to decline in 2013 compared to 2012 as a result of lower volume partially offset by lower restructuring costs.
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Process Industries Segment:


The Process Industries segment's net sales, excluding the effects of acquisitions and currency-rate changes, decreased $21.3 \%$ in the first quarter of 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. The decrease was primarily due to lower volume of approximately $\$ 80$ million, partially offset by pricing of approximately $\$ 5$ million. The lower volume was seen across most market sectors, led by a decrease in industrial distribution, wind, marine and metals of approximately $25 \%, 50 \%, 40 \%$ and $30 \%$, respectively. EBIT was lower in the first quarter of 2013 compared to the first quarter of 2012 due to the impact of lower volume of approximately $\$ 40$ million, unfavorable sales mix and higher manufacturing costs of approximately $\$ 10$ million, partially offset by pricing of approximately $\$ 5$ million and lower selling, general and administrative expenses of approximately $\$ 5$ million. EBIT margin decreased in the first quarter of 2013 compared to the first quarter of 2012 primarily due to lower volume in industrial distribution.

Full-year sales for the Process Industries segment are expected to be relatively flat in 2013 compared to 2012, driven by flat sales in industrial distribution. Sales are expected to be higher during the second half of 2013, driven by sales to industrial distribution. EBIT for the Process Industries segment is expected to be flat in 2013 compared to 2012.

Aerospace Segment:

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | 2012 | \$ Change | Change |  |
| Net sales, including intersegment sales | \$82.5 | \$91.3 | \$(8.8 | ) (9.6)\% |  |
| EBIT | \$8.6 | \$ 10.7 | \$(2.1 | ) (19.6)\% |  |
| EBIT margin | 10.4 | \% 11.7 | \%- | (130 | ${ }_{\text {bps }}$ |
|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2013 | 2012 | \$ Change | \% Change |  |
| Net sales, including intersegment sales | \$82.5 | \$91.3 | \$(8.8 | ) (9.6 | )\% |
| Less: Currency | (0.1 | )- | (0.1 | ) NM |  |
| Net sales, excluding the impact of currency | \$82.6 | \$91.3 | \$(8.7 | ) (9.5 | \% |

The Aerospace segment's net sales, excluding the impact of currency-rate changes, decreased $9.5 \%$ in the first quarter of 2013 compared to the first quarter of 2012. The decrease was primarily due to lower volume of approximately $\$ 10$ million. The lower volume was seen across most market sectors, led by a decrease in the critical motion market sector
of approximately $30 \%$. EBIT for the first quarter of 2013 decreased compared to the first quarter of 2012 primarily due to the impact of lower volume of approximately $\$ 5$ million and higher manufacturing costs of approximately $\$ 5$ million, partially offset by favorable pricing and lower selling, general and administrative expense.

Full-year sales for the Aerospace segment are expected to increase by approximately $7 \%$ to $12 \%$ in 2013 compared to 2012, due to higher volume across most market sectors, led by increases in civil, defense, and critical motion of $6 \%$, $8 \%$ and $12 \%$, respectively. EBIT for the Aerospace segment is expected to increase slightly in 2013 compared to 2012.

Steel Segment:


The Steel segment's net sales for the first quarter of 2013, excluding the effects of currency-rate changes, decreased $35.4 \%$ compared to the first quarter of 2012. The decrease was primarily due to lower volume of approximately $\$ 105$ million, lower raw material surcharges of approximately $\$ 70$ million and unfavorable sales mix of approximately $\$ 15$ million. The lower volume was led by a decrease in oil and gas demand of approximately $50 \%$ and a decrease in industrial demand of approximately $45 \%$, partially offset by an increase in mobile demand of approximately $25 \%$. Surcharges decreased to $\$ 72$ million in the first quarter of 2013 from $\$ 144$ million in the first quarter of 2012. The lower surcharges were a result of lower market prices for certain input raw materials such as scrap steel and alloys, as well as lower volume. Surcharges are a pricing mechanism that the Company uses to recover scrap steel and certain alloy costs, which are derived from published monthly indices.

|  | Three Months Ended <br> March 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 | 2012 | $\$$ Change | $\%$ Change |  |  |  |  |
| Scrap index per ton | $\$ 388$ | $\$ 504$ | $(116$ | $)(23.0$ | $) \%$ |  |  |  |
| Shipments (in tons) | 233,000 | 319,000 | $(86,000$ | $)(27.0$ | $) \%$ |  |  |  |
| Average selling price per ton, including surcharges | $\$ 1,487$ | $\$ 1,680$ | $(193$ | $)(11.5$ | $) \%$ |  |  |  |

The Steel segment's EBIT decreased in the first quarter of 2013 compared to the first quarter of 2012 primarily due to lower volume of approximately $\$ 50$ million and unfavorable sales mix of approximately $\$ 20$ million, partially offset by lower logistics costs of approximately $\$ 10$ million and a favorable LIFO adjustment of approximately $\$ 5$ million. Raw material costs consumed in the manufacturing process, including scrap steel and alloys decreased $19 \%$ in the first quarter of 2013 compared to the first quarter of 2012, to an average cost of $\$ 463$ per ton.

Full-year sales for the Steel segment are expected to decrease $7 \%$ to $12 \%$ for 2013 compared to 2012, primarily due to lower surcharges and lower volume. The Company expects lower volume to be driven by a decrease in oil and gas demand of approximately $20 \%$ and a decrease in industrial demand of approximately $15 \%$, partially offset by an
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increase in mobile demand of approximately 5\%. EBIT for the Steel segment is expected to decrease in 2013 compared to 2012, driven by lower surcharges, lower volume and unfavorable sales mix, partially offset by lower raw material costs. Scrap, alloy and energy costs are expected to decrease in the near term from current levels.

Corporate:

|  | Three Months Ended <br>  <br>  <br> March 31, |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2013 | 2012 | $\$$ Change | Change |  |
| Corporate expenses | $\$ 19.9$ | $\$ 20.7$ | $\$(0.8$ | $)(3.9) \%$ |  |
| Corporate expenses $\%$ to net sales | 1.8 | $\% 1.5$ | $\%-$ | 30 | bps |

The Balance Sheet

The following discussion is a comparison of the Consolidated Balance Sheets at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

Current Assets:

|  | March 31, | December 31, | \$ Change | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2013 | 2012 |  |  |  |
| Cash ange cash equivalents | $\$ 457.9$ | $\$ 586.4$ | $\$(128.5$ | $)(21.9$ | $) \%$ |
| Accounts receivable, net | 607.2 | 546.7 | 60.5 | 11.1 | $\%$ |
| Inventories, net | 833.8 | 862.1 | $(28.3$ | $)(3.3$ | $) \%$ |
| Deferred income taxes | 80.0 | 98.6 | $(18.6$ | $)(18.9$ | $) \%$ |
| Deferred charges and prepaid expenses | 34.2 | 12.6 | 21.6 | 171.4 | $\%$ |
| Other current assets | 60.1 | 67.7 | $(7.6$ | $)(11.2$ | $) \%$ |
| Total current assets | $\$ 2,073.2$ | $\$ 2,174.1$ | $\$(100.9$ | $)(4.6$ | $) \%$ |

Refer to the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for a discussion of the decrease in cash and cash equivalents. Accounts receivable increased as a result of the timing of sales in the first quarter of 2013 compared to the fourth quarter of 2012, with higher sales during the month of March compared to the month of December. Inventories decreased primarily to match current demand in the Steel segment. Deferred income taxes decreased while deferred charges and prepaid expenses increased due to a reclassification of income taxes related to intercompany transactions.

Property, Plant and Equipment, Net:

Property, plant and equipment
Accumulated depreciation
Property, plant and equipment, net

| March 31, <br> 2013 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December } 31 \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ | \$ Change | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$3,838.6 | \$3,792.1 | \$46.5 | 1.2 |
| (2,415.0 | ) (2,386.8 | ) (28.2 | )(1.2 |
| \$1,423.6 | \$ 1,405.3 | \$18.3 | 1.3 |

The increase in property, plant and equipment in the first three months of 2013 was primarily due to current-year capital expenditures exceeding depreciation expense. See "Other Matters - Capital Expenditures" for more information.

Other Assets:

|  | March 31, | December 31, | \$ Change | \% Change |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Goodwill | 2013 | 2012 |  |  | $\%$ |
| Other intangible assets | $\$ 345.2$ | $\$ 338.9$ | $\$ 6.3$ | 1.9 | $\%$ |
| Deferred income taxes | 225.8 | 224.7 | 1.1 | 0.5 | $\%$ |
| Other non-current assets | 58.7 | 62.5 | $(3.8$ | $)(6.1$ | $) \%$ |
| Total other assets | 38.6 | 39.2 | $(0.6$ | $)(1.5$ | $) \%$ |

The increase in goodwill in the first quarter of 2013 was primarily due to the Interlube acquisition. The increase in other intangible assets was primarily due to the Interlube acquisition, partially offset by current-year amortization.

Current Liabilities:

|  | March 31, | December 31, |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2013 | 2012 | $\$$ Change | $\%$ Change |  |
|  | $\$ 7.4$ | $\$ 14.3$ | $\$(6.9$ | $)(48.3$ | $) \%$ |
| Short-term debt | 229.7 | 216.2 | 13.5 | 6.2 | $\%$ |
| Accounts payable | 164.7 | 213.9 | $(49.2$ | $)(23.0$ | $) \%$ |
| Salaries, wages and benefits | 95.3 | 33.5 | 61.8 | 184.5 | $\%$ |
| Income taxes payable | 7.8 | 2.9 | 4.9 | 169.0 | $\%$ |
| Deferred income taxes | 161.9 | 177.5 | $(15.6$ | $)(8.8$ | $) \%$ |
| Other current liabilities | 9.8 | 9.6 | 0.2 | 2.1 | $\%$ |
| Current portion of long-term debt | $\$ 676.6$ | $\$ 667.9$ | $\$ 8.7$ | 1.3 | $\%$ |

The decrease in short-term debt during the first three months of 2013 was primarily due to a reduction in the utilization of the Company's foreign lines of credit in Europe. The decrease in accrued salaries, wages and benefits was the result of the payout of the 2012 performance-based compensation, partially offset by current-year accruals for performance-based compensation. The increase in income taxes payable in the first three months of 2013 was primarily due to the provision for current-year income taxes and a reclassification of uncertain tax positions from other non-current liabilities to income taxes payable. The decrease in other current liabilities during the first three months of 2013 was primarily due to the payout of customer rebates and the payment of interest on the Company's fixed-rate senior unsecured notes.

Non-Current Liabilities:

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March 31, } \\ & 2013 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December 31, } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ | \$ Change | \% Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Long-term debt | \$455.3 | \$455.1 | \$0.2 | - | \% |
| Accrued pension cost | 266.9 | 391.4 | (124.5 | ) (31.8 | )\% |
| Accrued postretirement benefits cost | 365.9 | 371.8 | (5.9 | )(1.6 | )\% |
| Deferred income taxes | 8.9 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 81.6 | \% |
| Other non-current liabilities | 68.6 | 107.0 | (38.4 | ) (35.9 | )\% |
| Total non-current liabilities | \$1,165.6 | \$1,330.2 | \$(164.6 | ) (12.4 | ) |

The decrease in accrued pension cost during the first three months of 2013 was primarily due to the Company's contributions of $\$ 108.0$ million to its global defined benefit pension plans. The decrease in other non-current liabilities
was due to a $\$ 35$ million decrease in uncertain tax positions, which were reclassified to income taxes payable.
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Shareholders' Equity:

Common stock
Earnings invested in the business
Accumulated other comprehensive loss
Treasury shares
Noncontrolling interest
Total shareholders' equity

| March 31, | December 31, |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \$ Change | \% Change |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 2012 |  |  |  |
| $\$ 943.3$ | $\$ 944.5$ | $\$(1.2$ | $)(0.1$ | $) \%$ |
| $2,464.2$ | $2,411.2$ | 53.0 | 2.2 | $\%$ |
| $(998.9$ | $)(1,013.2$ | $) 14.3$ | $(1.4$ | $) \%$ |
| $(100.0$ | $)(110.3$ | $) 10.3$ | $(9.3$ | $) \%$ |
| 14.3 | 14.4 | $(0.1$ | $)(0.7$ | $) \%$ |
| $\$ 2,322.9$ | $\$ 2,246.6$ | $\$ 76.3$ | 3.4 | $\%$ |

Earnings invested in the business increased in the first three months of 2013 by net income attributable to the Company of $\$ 75.1$ million, partially offset by dividends declared of $\$ 22.1$ million. The decrease in accumulated other comprehensive loss was primarily due to a $\$ 29.6$ million after-tax pension and postretirement adjustment as a result of amortization of actuarial losses and prior-year service costs for defined benefit pension and postretirement plans, partially offset by a $\$ 16.1$ million decrease in foreign currency translation. The foreign currency translation adjustments were due to the strengthening of the U.S. dollar relative to other currencies, such as the British Pound, the Euro, the Romanian Leu and the Canadian Dollar. See "Other Matters - Foreign Currency" for further discussion regarding the impact of foreign currency translation. The decrease in treasury shares was primarily due to shares issued pursuant to stock compensation plans during the first three months of 2013.

## Cash Flows

Net cash used by operating activities
Net cash used by investing activities
Net cash used by financing activities
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents

| Three Months Ended <br> March 31, |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2013 | 2012 | \$ Change |  |
| $\$(35.9$ | $) \$(38.7$ | $) \$ 2.8$ |  |
| $(69.1$ | $)(29.2$ | $)(39.9$ | $)$ |
| $(20.0$ | $)(47.5$ | $) 27.5$ |  |
| $(3.5$ | $) 5.9$ | $(9.4$ | $)$ |
| $\$(128.5$ | $)$ | $\$(109.5$ | $) \$(19.0$ |

Operating activities used net cash of $\$ 35.9$ million in the first three months of 2013, after using net cash of $\$ 38.7$ million in the first three months of 2012. The change in cash from operating activities was primarily due to a decrease in net income, higher pension contributions and other postretirement benefit payments and a decrease in cash provided by income taxes, partially offset by a decrease in cash used for working capital items, such as accounts receivable and inventories. Net income attributable to The Timken Company decreased $\$ 80.6$ million in the first three months of 2013 compared to the first three months of 2012. Pension contributions and other postretirement benefit payments were $\$ 117.1$ million in the first three months of 2013, compared to $\$ 104.7$ million in the first three months of 2012. Income taxes provided cash of $\$ 31.5$ million in the first three months of 2013, after providing cash of $\$ 58.2$ million during the first three months of 2012.

The following chart displays the impact of working capital items on cash during the first three months of 2013 and 2012, respectively:

|  | Three Months Ended <br> March 31, |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | 2013 | 2012 |
| Cash Provided (Used): | $\$(61.8$ | $) \$(112.0$ |
| Accounts receivable | 27.3 | $(21.4$ |
| Inventories | 12.4 | 22.1 |
| Trade accounts payable | $(74.9$ | $)(103.4$ |
| Other accrued expenses |  |  |

Net cash used by investing activities of $\$ 69.1$ million in the first three months of 2013 increased from the same period in 2012 primarily due to a $\$ 17.4$ million increase in capital expenditures and a $\$ 14.2$ million increase in acquisitions, as well as a $\$ 9.5$ million reduction in cash provided by investments in short-term marketable securities. Short-term marketable securities provided cash of $\$ 8.0$ million in the first three months of 2013 after providing cash of $\$ 17.5$ million in the first three months of 2012. The Company expects to increase capital expenditures to approximately $\$ 360$ million in 2013 compared to $\$ 300$ million in 2012. See "Other Matters - Capital Expenditures" for further discussion of the Company's multi-year capital expenditure projects.

Net cash used by financing activities was $\$ 20.0$ million in the first three months of 2013 and $\$ 47.5$ million in the first three months of 2012. The decrease in cash used by financing activities was primarily due to a $\$ 26.2$ million decrease in the Company's repurchases of its common shares. The Company purchased 500,000 of its common shares for an aggregate of $\$ 26.2$ million during the first quarter of 2012. The Company did not purchase any of its common shares during the first quarter of 2013.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources:

Total debt was $\$ 472.5$ million and $\$ 479.0$ million at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. At March 31, 2013, total debt of $\$ 472.5$ million exceeded cash and cash equivalents of $\$ 457.9$ million by $\$ 14.6$ million. At December 31, 2012, cash and cash equivalents of $\$ 586.4$ million exceeded total debt of $\$ 479.0$ million by $\$ 107.4$ million. The ratio of net debt to capital was $0.6 \%$ at March 31, 2013. The ratio of net cash to capital was $5.0 \%$ at December 31, 2012.

Reconciliation of total debt to net debt and the ratio of net debt to capital:
Net Debt:

|  | March 31, <br> Mecember 31, |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Short-term debt | 2013 | 2012 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | $\$ 7.4$ | $\$ 14.3$ |
| Long-term debt | 9.8 | 9.6 |
| Total debt | 455.3 | 455.1 |
| Less: Cash and cash equivalents | $\$ 472.5$ | $\$ 479.0$ |
| Net debt (cash) | 457.9 | 586.4 |
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Ratio of Net Debt to Capital:

|  | March 31, | December 31, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Net debt (cash) | 2013 | 2012 |
| Shareholders' equity | $\$ 14.6$ | $\$(107.4$ |
| Net debt + shareholders' equity (capital) | $2,322.9$ | $2,246.6$ |
| Ratio of net debt (cash) to capital | $\$ 2,337.5$ | $\$ 2,139.2$ |

The Company presents net debt (cash) because it believes net debt (cash) is more representative of the Company's financial position than total debt due to the amount of cash and cash equivalents.

At March 31, 2013, the Company had no outstanding borrowings under its three-year Asset Securitization Agreement, which provides for aggregate borrowings up to $\$ 200$ million. The Asset Securitization Agreement is subject to certain borrowing base limitations, which reduced the availability to $\$ 190.6$ million at March 31, 2013. The Asset Securitization Agreement is secured by certain domestic trade receivables of the Company.

At March 31, 2013, the Company had no outstanding borrowings under its Senior Credit Facility, but had letters of credit outstanding totaling $\$ 8.6$ million, which reduced the availability under the Senior Credit Facility to $\$ 491.4$ million. The Senior Credit Facility matures on May 11, 2016. Under the Senior Credit Facility, the Company has two financial covenants: a consolidated leverage ratio and a consolidated interest coverage ratio. At March 31, 2013, the Company was in full compliance with the covenants under the Senior Credit Facility and its other debt agreements. The maximum consolidated leverage ratio permitted under the Senior Credit Facility is 3.25 to 1.0. As of March 31, 2013, the Company's consolidated leverage ratio was 0.59 to 1.0 . The minimum consolidated interest coverage ratio permitted under the Senior Credit Facility is 4.0 to 1.0. As of March 31, 2013, the Company's consolidated interest coverage ratio was 24.30 to 1.0 .

The interest rate under the Senior Credit Facility is based on the Company's consolidated leverage ratio. In addition, the Company pays a facility fee based on the consolidated leverage ratio multiplied by the aggregate commitments of all of the lenders under this agreement.

Other sources of liquidity include short-term and long-term lines of credit for certain of the Company's foreign subsidiaries, which provide for borrowings up to $\$ 225.2$ million. The majority of these lines are uncommitted. At March 31, 2013, the Company had borrowings outstanding of $\$ 17.0$ million and guarantees of $\$ 0.1$ million, which reduced the availability under these facilities to $\$ 208.1$ million.

The Company expects that any cash requirements in excess of cash on hand and cash generated from operating activities will be met by the committed funds available under its Asset Securitization Agreement and the Senior Credit Facility. Management believes it has sufficient liquidity to meet its obligations through at least the term of the Senior Credit Facility.

At March 31, 2013, approximately $\$ 237.3$ million, or $51.8 \%$, of the Company's cash and cash equivalents resided in jurisdictions outside the United States. Repatriation of these funds to the United States could be subject to domestic and foreign taxes and an immaterial amount could be subject to governmental restrictions. Part of the Company's strategy is to grow in attractive market sectors, many of which are outside the United States. This strategy may include making investments in facilities and equipment and new acquisitions. The Company plans to fund these investments, as well as meet working capital requirements, with cash and cash equivalents and unused lines of credit within the geographic location of these investments when possible.
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The Company expects to remain in compliance with its debt covenants. However, the Company may need to limit its borrowings under the Senior Credit Facility or other facilities in order to remain in compliance. As of March 31, 2013, the Company could have borrowed the full amounts available under the Senior Credit Facility and Asset Securitization Agreement, and would have still been in compliance with its debt covenants.

The Company expects cash from operations in 2013 to decrease to approximately $\$ 330$ million from $\$ 626$ million in 2012 as the Company anticipates lower net income and an increase in working capital requirements, partially offset by lower pension and postretirement contributions. The Company expects to make approximately $\$ 300$ million in pension and postretirement contributions in 2013, compared to approximately $\$ 376$ million in 2012. The Company also expects to increase capital expenditures to approximately $\$ 360$ million in 2013 compared to $\$ 300$ million in 2012.

Financing Obligations and Other Commitments:
During the first three months of 2013, the Company made contributions of approximately $\$ 108$ million to its global defined benefit pension plans, of which $\$ 105$ million was discretionary. The Company currently expects to make contributions to its global defined benefit pension plans in 2013 totaling approximately $\$ 250$ million, of which $\$ 230$ million is discretionary. The Company also currently expects to make contributions to a Voluntary Employee Beneficiary Association (VEBA) trust to fund retiree health care costs totaling $\$ 50$ million in 2013. Returns for the Company's global defined benefit pension plan assets in 2012 were above the expected rate-of-return assumption of 8.25 percent due to broad increases in global equity markets. These higher returns positively impacted the funded status of the plans at the end of 2012 and are expected to result in lower pension expense and required pension contributions in future years. However, the impact of these favorable returns was more than offset by a 100 basis point reduction in the Company's discount rate used to measure its defined benefit pension obligation at December 31, 2012. As a result, pension expense for 2013 is expected to increase to approximately $\$ 70$ million, compared to $\$ 69$ million in 2012. Returns for the Company's U.S. defined benefit plan pension assets for the first three months of 2013 were approximately 4 percent.

The Company's 2012 common share purchase plan authorizes the Company to buy, in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions, up to 10 million common shares, which are to be held as treasury shares and used for specified purposes. The authorization expires on December 31, 2015. During the first three months of 2013, the Company did not purchase any of its common shares. As of March 31, 2013, the Company has purchased 2.5 million common shares under this plan.

The Company does not have any off-balance sheet arrangements with unconsolidated entities or other persons.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates:
The Company's financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the periods presented. The Company reviews its critical accounting policies throughout the year. The Company has concluded that there have been no changes to its critical accounting policies or estimates, as described in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, during the three months ended March 31, 2013.

## Other Matters

## Capital Expenditures:

The Company has been making strategic investments in business and production processes. The Company is in the midst of several multi-year projects in the Steel segment, including a new vertical continuous caster, an intermediate steel tube finishing line and an in-line forge press to produce new large-diameter sound-center bars. Additionally, the Company is investing in the construction of a new building to bring together personnel from the Company's Bearing and Power Transmission headquarter together with personnel from the technology center in North Canton, Ohio.

The caster, which will provide large bar capabilities unique in America, is expected to cost approximately $\$ 200$ million. As of March 31, 2013, the Company had incurred costs of $\$ 46.9$ million related to this project. The caster project is expected to be completed in the second quarter of 2014. The steel tube finishing line project is expected to cost approximately $\$ 49$ million. As of March 31, 2013, the Company had incurred $\$ 45.5$ million related to this project. The in-line forge press is expected to cost approximately $\$ 32$ million. As of March 31, 2013, the Company had incurred $\$ 29.2$ million related to this project. These investments reinforce the Company's position of offering the broadest special bar quality steel capabilities in North America.

The construction of the new building began in April 2012 to accommodate a combined team of nearly 1,000 employees, from research and development, engineering, customer service, and the sales and marketing functions. The Company foresees increased collaboration among employees at the new North Canton campus, thereby increasing the speed of innovation and levels of customer service when the project is expected to be completed in the fourth quarter of 2013. The total cost of the project is expected to be approximately $\$ 60$ million. As of March 31, 2013, the Company had incurred $\$ 19.4$ million related to this project.

## Foreign Currency:

Assets and liabilities of subsidiaries are translated at the rate of exchange in effect on the balance sheet date; income and expenses are translated at the average rates of exchange prevailing during the quarter. Related translation adjustments are reflected as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive loss. Foreign currency gains and losses resulting from transactions are included in the Consolidated Statement of Income.

Foreign currency exchange losses included in the Company's operating results for the first quarter of 2013 were $\$ 1.6$ million, compared to a loss of $\$ 1.1$ million during the first quarter of 2012. For the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company recorded a negative non-cash foreign currency translation adjustment of $\$ 16.1$ million that decreased shareholders' equity, compared to a positive non-cash foreign currency translation adjustment of \$23.4 million that increased shareholders' equity for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The foreign currency translation adjustments for the three months ended March 31, 2013 were negatively impacted by the strengthening of the U.S. dollar relative to other currencies, such as the British Pound, the Euro, the Romanian Leu and the Canadian Dollar.

## Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements set forth in this Form 10-Q and in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 (including the Company's forecasts, beliefs and expectations) that are not historical in nature are "forward-looking" statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. In particular, Management's Discussion and Analysis contains numerous forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements generally will be accompanied by words such as "anticipate," "believe," "could," "estimate," "expect," "forecast," "outlook," "may," "possible," "potential," "predict," "project" or other similar words, phrases or expressions. You are cautioned not to pla undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this Form 10-Q. The Company cautions readers that actual results may differ materially from those expressed or implied in forward-looking statements made by or on behalf of the Company due to a variety of factors, such as:
deterioration in world economic conditions, or in economic conditions in any of the geographic regions in which the Company conducts business, including additional adverse effects from the global economic slowdown, terrorism or hostilities. This includes: political risks associated with the potential instability of governments and legal systems in countries in which the Company or its customers conduct business, and changes in currency valuations;
the effects of fluctuations in customer demand on sales, product mix and prices in the industries in which the Company operates. This includes: the ability of the Company to respond to rapid changes in customer demand, the effects of customer bankruptcies or liquidations, the impact of changes in industrial business cycles, and whether conditions of fair trade continue in the U.S. markets;
competitive factors, including changes in market penetration, increasing price competition by existing or new foreign and domestic competitors, the introduction of new products by existing and new competitors, and new technology that may impact the way the Company's products are sold or distributed;
changes in operating costs. This includes: the effect of changes in the Company's manufacturing processes; changes in costs associated with varying levels of operations and manufacturing capacity; availability of raw materials and energy; the Company's ability to mitigate the impact of fluctuations in raw materials and energy costs and the operation of the Company's surcharge mechanism; changes in the expected costs associated with product warranty claims; changes resulting from inventory management and cost reduction initiatives and different levels of customer demands; the effects of unplanned work stoppages; and changes in the cost of labor and benefits;
the success of the Company's operating plans, announced programs, initiatives and capital investments; the ability to integrate acquired companies; the ability of acquired companies to achieve satisfactory operating results, including results being accretive to earnings; and the Company's ability to maintain appropriate relations with unions that represent Company associates in certain locations in order to avoid disruptions of business;
unanticipated litigation, claims or assessments. This includes: claims or problems related to intellectual property, product liability or warranty, environmental issues, and taxes;
changes in worldwide financial markets, including availability of financing and interest rates, which affect: the Company's cost of funds and/or ability to raise capital; the Company's pension obligations and investment performance; and/or customer demand and the ability of customers to obtain financing to purchase the Company's products or equipment that contain the Company's products;
retention of CDSOA distributions; and
those items identified under Item 1A. Risk Factors in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Additional risks relating to the Company's business, the industries in which the Company operates or the Company's common shares may be described from time to time in the Company's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. All of these risk factors are difficult to predict, are subject to material uncertainties that may affect actual results and may be beyond the Company's control.

Readers are cautioned that it is not possible to predict or identify all of the risks, uncertainties and other factors that may affect future results and that the above list should not be considered to be a complete list. Except as required by the federal securities laws, the Company undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.
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## ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Refer to information appearing under the caption "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" of this Form 10-Q. Furthermore, a discussion of market risk exposures is included in Part II, Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk, of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. There have been no material changes in reported market risk since the inclusion of this discussion in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K referenced above.

## ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e)). Based upon that evaluation, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report.
(b)Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

During the Company's most recent fiscal quarter, there have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings
The Company is involved in various claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

Item 1A. Risk Factors
Our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 includes a detailed discussion of our risk factors. There have been no material changes to the risk factors included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.
Issuer Purchases of Common Shares
The following table provides information about purchases by the Company of its common shares during the quarter ended March 31, 2013.

| Period | Total number of shares purchased ${ }^{(1)}$ | Average price paid per share ${ }^{(2)}$ | Total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs | Maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under the plans or programs ${ }^{(3)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1/01/13-1/31/13 | 6,587 | \$51.80 | - | 7,500,000 |
| 2/01/13-2/28/13 | 93,048 | 55.14 | - | 7,500,000 |
| 3/01/13-3/31/13 | 8,493 | 57.05 | - | 7,500,000 |
| Total | 108,128 | \$55.08 | - | 7,500,000 |

The shares purchased in January, February and March represent common shares of the Company that were owned
(1) and tendered by employees to exercise stock options, and to satisfy withholding obligations in connection with the exercise of stock options and vesting of restricted shares.
For shares tendered in connection with the vesting of restricted shares, the average price paid per share is an
(2) average calculated using the daily high and low of the Company's common shares as quoted on the New York

Stock Exchange at the time of vesting. For shares tendered in connection with the exercise of stock options, the price paid is the real-time trading stock price at the time the options are exercised.
On February 10, 2012, the Board of Directors of the Company approved a share purchase plan pursuant to which the Company may purchase up to ten million of its common shares in the aggregate. This share purchase plan
(3)expires on December 31, 2015. The Company may purchase shares from time to time in open market purchases or privately negotiated transactions. The Company may make all or part of the purchases pursuant to accelerated share repurchases or Rule 10b5-1 plans.

## Item 6. Exhibits

12 Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.
31.1 Certification of James W. Griffith, President and Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer) of The Timken Company, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2 Certification of Glenn A. Eisenberg, Executive Vice President - Finance and Administration (principal financial officer) of The Timken Company, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Certifications of James W. Griffith, President and Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer) and
32 Glenn A. Eisenberg, Executive Vice President - Finance and Administration (principal financial officer) of The Timken Company, pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Financial statements from the quarterly report on Form 10-Q of The Timken Company for the quarter ended March 31, 2013, filed on April 29, 2013, formatted in XBRL: (i) the Consolidated Statements of Income, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income, (iii) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and (v) the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.
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## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

## THE TIMKEN COMPANY

Date: April 29, 2013

Date: April 29, 2013

By: /s/ James W. Griffith
James W. Griffith
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)
By: /s/ Glenn A. Eisenberg
Glenn A. Eisenberg
Executive Vice President - Finance and Administration (Principal Financial Officer)

