NOVASTAR FINANCIAL INC Form 10-Q May 15, 2012

### UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

X QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended March 31, 2012

0 TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Transition Period From to

Commission File Number 001-13533

NOVASTAR FINANCIAL, INC. (Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Maryland (State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)	74-2830661 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
2114 Central Street, Suite 600, Kansas City, MO	64108
(Address of Principal Executive Office)	(Zip Code)

Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code: (816) 237-7000

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer	Accelerated filer	Non-accelerated filer	Smaller reporting company
0	0	0	Х

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x

The number of shares of the Registrant's Common Stock outstanding on May 11, 2012 was 91,479,519.

NOVASTAR FINANCIAL, INC. FORM 10-Q For the Quarterly Period Ended March 31, 2012

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>PART I</u>	Financial Information	
<u>Item 1.</u>	Financial Statements (Unaudited) Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income Condensed Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity (Deficit) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	$     \begin{array}{c}       1 \\       1 \\       2 \\       3 \\       4 \\       5 \\       6     \end{array} $
<u>Item 2.</u>	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>20</u>
<u>Item 3.</u>	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>26</u>
<u>Item 4.</u>	Controls and Procedures	<u>26</u>
<u>PART II</u>	Other Information	<u>26</u>
<u>Item 1.</u>	Legal Proceedings	<u>26</u>
<u>Item 1A.</u>	Risk Factors	<u>28</u>
<u>Item 2.</u>	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	<u>28</u>
<u>Item 3.</u>	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	<u>28</u>
<u>Item 4.</u>	Mine Safety Disclosures	<u>28</u>
<u>Item 5.</u>	Other Information	<u>28</u>
<u>Item 6.</u>	Exhibits	<u>29</u>
	Signatures	<u>30</u>

Table of Contents

### PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION Item 1. Financial Statements NOVASTAR FINANCIAL, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (unaudited; dollars in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

(unautited; dollars in thousands, except share and per share amounts)		
	March 31,	December 31,
	2012	2011
Assets		
Current Assets	¢ 15 003	¢ 11 502
Cash and cash equivalents	\$15,902	\$11,503
Service fee receivable, net of allowance of \$148 and \$86, respectively	10,354	6,899
Restricted cash	5,924	1,825
Mortgage securities	4,085	3,878
Deferred income tax asset, net	32,836	_
Notes receivable, net of allowance of \$1,054 and \$0, respectively	765	2,235
Other	2,212	4,124
Total current assets	72,078	30,464
Non-Current Assets		
Property and equipment, net of depreciation	5,595	5,589
Goodwill	5,336	5,336
Deferred income tax asset, net	30,264	152
Other	1,984	3,060
Total non-current assets	43,179	14,137
Total assets	\$115,257	\$44,601
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity (Deficit)		
Liabilities:		
Current Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$8,941	\$7,077
Accrued expenses	9,773	6,331
Deferred revenue	1,805	1,528
Note payable to related party	1,250	
Other	50	161
Total current liabilities	21,819	15,097
Non-Current Liabilities	)	- )
Senior notes	80,167	79,654
Note payable to related party	4,363	
Other	2,587	2,606
Total non-current liabilities	87,117	82,260
Total liabilities	108,936	97,357
Commitments and contingencies (Note 9)		
Shareholders' equity (deficit):		
Capital stock, \$0.01 par value per share, 120,000,000 shares authorized:		
Common stock, 91,479,519 and 91,253,653 shares issued and outstanding,	015	012
respectively	915	913
Additional paid-in capital	740,136	746,276
Accumulated deficit	(738,610	) (803,400 )
	. ,	

)

Accumulated other comprehensive income	3,509	3,267	
Total NovaStar Financial, Inc. ("NFI") shareholders' equity (deficit)	5,950	(52,944	)
Noncontrolling interests	371	188	
Total shareholders' equity (deficit)	6,321	(52,756	)
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity (deficit)	\$115,257	\$44,601	

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

### NOVASTAR FINANCIAL, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (unaudited; dollars in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	For the Three March 31,	e M	onths Ended	
	2012		2011	
Income and Revenues:				
Service fee income	\$50,333		\$24,669	
Interest income – mortgage securities	1,399		3,018	
Total	51,732		27,687	
Costs and Expenses:				
Cost of services	41,010		18,895	
Selling, general and administrative expense	7,455		5,533	
Other (income) expense	(168	)	734	
Total	48,297		25,162	
Other (expense) income	(1,013	)	91	
Interest expense	(735	)	(312	)
Income before income tax (benefit) expense	1,687		2,304	
Income tax (benefit) expense	(63,138	)	31	
Net income	64,825		2,273	
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	35		490	
Net income attributable to NFI	\$64,790		\$1,783	
Earnings (Loss) Per Share attributable to NFI:				
Basic	\$0.72		\$(0.27	)
Diluted	\$0.71		\$(0.27	)
Weighted average basic shares outstanding	90,372,237		9,338,512	
Weighted average diluted shares outstanding	91,239,746		9,338,512	

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

#### NOVASTAR FINANCIAL, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (unaudited; dollars in thousands)

	For the Three Months Ended		
	March 31,		
	2012	2011	
Net income	\$64,825	\$2,273	
Other comprehensive income (loss):			
Change in unrealized gain on mortgage securities – available-for-sale (Note 11)	242	(173	)
Total comprehensive income	65,067	2,100	
Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests			
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	35	490	
Total comprehensive income attributable to NFI	\$65,032	\$1,610	

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

### NOVASTAR FINANCIAL, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT) (unaudited; dollars in thousands)

	Total NFI Shareholders' Equity (Deficit)						
	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Accumulated Other Comprehensiv Income		Total n§hareholders' Equity (Deficit)	
Balance, December 31, 2011	\$913	\$746,276	\$ (803,400)	\$ 3,267	\$ 188	\$ (52,756)	
Compensation recognized under stock compensation plans		59	_	_		59	
Issuance of nonvested shares, 225,866 shares	2	(2)		_			
Contributions from noncontrolling interests	_	_		_	64	64	
Acquisition of noncontrolling interests		(6,110)			(3)	(6,113 )	
Transfer from noncontrolling interests	—	(87)			87		
Net income	—	—	64,790		35	64,825	
Other comprehensive income				242		242	
Balance, March 31, 2012	\$915	\$740,136	\$ (738,610)	\$ 3,509	\$ 371	\$ 6,321	

	Total NF	I Sharehold		cit					
	Redeema Preferred Stock	Convertibility Be Participati Preferred Stock	ngommon	Additional Paid-in Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Accumulated Other Comprehensiv Income	Noncontroll	Total ing Sharehold Deficit	lers'
Balance, December 31, 2010	\$30	\$ 21	\$94	\$787,363	\$ (898,195 )	\$ 4,411	\$ (267 )	\$ (106,54	3)
Compensation recognized under stock compensation plans	_	_		146	_	_	_	146	
Accumulating dividends on preferred stock Distributions to	_		—	_	(4,290)	_	_	(4,290	)
noncontrolling	_	_		_	_	_	(102)	(102	)
interests Transfer from noncontrolling interests	_		_	(275)		_	275	_	
Net income	_			_	1,783	_	490	2,273	
Other comprehensive loss		_				(173)		(173	)
Balance, March 31, 2011	\$30	\$ 21	\$94	\$787,234	\$ (900,702 )	\$ 4,238	\$ 396	\$ (108,68	9)

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

### NOVASTAR FINANCIAL, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (unaudited; dollars in thousands)

(unaucited, donars in thousands)	For the Three March 31,	e Months Ended	
	2012	2011	
Cash flows from operating activities:	+ <i>c</i> + <b>c</b> = =		
Net income	\$64,825	\$2,273	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:	(2.10)		
Accretion of mortgage securities	(249	) (602	)
Provision for bad debt on notes receivable	1,054		
Amortization of deferred debt issuance costs and senior debentures discount	513	63	,
Fair value adjustments of trading securities, CDO debt and contingent consideration	_	(266	)
Loss on disposal of fixed assets	2	234	
Compensation recognized under stock compensation plans	59	146	
Depreciation expense	628	500	
Deferred taxes	(63,100	) —	
Changes in:			
Service fee receivable	(3,455	) (222	)
Restricted cash	(4,099	) (2,721	)
Other current assets and liabilities, net	1,953	552	
Other noncurrent assets and liabilities, net	(18	) 134	
Deferred revenue	277	701	
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	5,306	1,630	
Net cash provided by operating activities	3,696	2,422	
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Cash flows from investing activities:	284	594	
Proceeds from paydowns of mortgage securities	284 21		
Restricted cash, net		215 30	
Proceeds from paydowns of notes receivable	1,500		
Issuance of notes receivable	(30	) -	`
Purchases of property and equipment	(636	) (149	)
Acquisition of noncontrolling interest	(500	) —	
Net cash provided by investing activities	639	690	
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Contributions from (distributions to) noncontrolling interests	64	(102	)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	64	(102	)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	4,399	3,010	
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	11,503	12,582	
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$15,902	\$15,592	
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION (unaudited; dollars in thousands)			
(unautrea, donars in mousailus)	For the Three	e Months Ended	
	March 31,		
	2012	2011	
Cash noid for interact		\$653	
Cash paid for interest	\$220 1.080	ФUJJ	
Cash received from income tax refunds	1,980		

Cash received on mortgage securities – available-for-sale with no cost basis	1,150	2,417
Non-cash investing and financing activities:		
Acquisition of noncontrolling interests for note payable	5,613	
Preferred stock dividends accrued, subsequently eliminated		4,290

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

#### Table of Contents

### NOVASTAR FINANCIAL, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS As of and for the period ended March 31, 2012 (Unaudited)

#### Note 1. Financial Statement Presentation

Description of Operations – NovaStar Financial, Inc. and its subsidiaries ("NFI" or the "Company") own 93% of StreetLinks LLC ("StreetLinks"), a national residential appraisal and mortgage real estate valuation management services company. The Company owned 88% of StreetLinks as of December 31, 2011. See below for a discussion of the Company's recent acquisition of approximately 5% additional equity interest of StreetLinks. StreetLinks charges a fee for services which is collected from lenders and borrowers. The majority of StreetLinks' business is generated from the management of the appraisal process for its customers. Most of the fee is paid to independent residential appraisers. StreetLinks retains a portion of the fee to cover its costs of managing the process of fulfilling the appraisal order and performing a quality control review of all appraisals. StreetLinks also provides other real estate valuation management services, such as field reviews and value validation.

The Company owns 78% of Advent Financial Services LLC ("Advent"). Advent, along with its distribution partners, provides financial settlement services, mainly for income tax preparation businesses, and also provides access to tailored banking accounts, small dollar banking products and related services to meet the needs of low and moderate income level individuals. Advent is not a bank, but it acts as an intermediary for banking products on behalf of other banking institutions.

A primary distribution channel of Advent's bank products is through settlement services to electronic income tax return originators. Advent provides a process for the originators to collect refunds from the Internal Revenue Service, distribute fees to various service providers and deliver the net refund to individuals. Individuals may elect to have the net refund dollars deposited to a banking account offered through Advent (developed on a prepaid debit card platform). Individuals also have the option to have the net refund dollars paid by check or to an existing bank account. Regardless of the settlement method, Advent receives a fee for providing the settlement service. Advent also distributes its banking products via other methods, including through employers and employer service organizations. Advent also receives fees from banking institutions for services related to the use of the funds deposited to Advent-offered banking accounts.

On October 17, 2011, the Company purchased 51% of the equity of Build My Move, LLC ("BMM") for \$1.7 million plus future obligations to make additional capital contributions to BMM of up to \$0.7 million. BMM changed its name to Mango Moving, LLC ("Mango") subsequent to the Company's purchase. Mango is a start-up, Internet-based company in the "asset-light" third-party logistics provider market, with the goal of providing high-quality local and long distance residential and other moving services at a price less than other major national van lines. See Note 3 to the condensed consolidated financial statements for additional details.

During 2011, the Company completed the exchange of all outstanding shares of our preferred stock for an aggregate of 80,985,600 shares of newly-issued common stock and \$3.0 million in cash ("the Recapitalization"). See Note 14 to the condensed consolidated financial statements for additional details.

During 2011, the Company completed an exchange of its junior subordinated debentures for senior debentures (the "Debt Exchange"). See Note 8 to the condensed consolidated financial statements for further details. Prior to 2011, the Company originated, purchased, securitized, sold, invested in and serviced residential nonconforming mortgage loans and mortgage-backed securities. The Company retained, through its mortgage securities investment portfolio, significant interests in the nonconforming loans it originated and purchased, and

through its servicing platform, serviced all of the loans in which it retained interests. The Company continues to hold these nonconforming residential mortgage securities.

Financial Statement Presentation – The Company's consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). The preparation of financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of income and expense during the period. The Company uses estimates and judgments in establishing the fair value of its mortgage securities, notes receivable, goodwill, accounting for income taxes, including the determination of the timing of the establishment or release of the valuation allowance related to the deferred tax asset balances and reserves for uncertain tax positions, and in estimating appropriate accrual rates on mortgage securities – available-for-sale to recognize interest income. While the condensed consolidated financial statements and footnotes reflect the best estimates and judgments of management at the time, actual results could differ significantly from those estimates.

Cash equivalents consist of liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less. Amounts due from banks and credit card companies of \$0.6 million and \$0.5 million for the settlement of credit card transactions are included in cash and cash equivalents as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, as they are generally collected within three business days. Cash equivalents are stated at cost, which approximates fair value. The condensed consolidated financial statements of the Company include the accounts of all wholly-owned and majority-owned

#### Table of Contents

subsidiaries. Intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The Company's condensed consolidated financial statements are unaudited. In the opinion of management, all necessary adjustments have been made, which were of a normal and recurring nature, for a fair presentation of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

The Company's condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the consolidated financial statements of the Company and the notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011.

Note 2. New Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2011, the FASB issued Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs. The update provides common requirements for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements, including a consistent meaning of the term "fair value," which will provide greater comparability of fair value measurements presented and disclosed in financial statements. The amendments in the update are effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this guidance did not have a significant impact on its condensed consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued Presentation of Comprehensive Income, which revises how entities present comprehensive income in their financial statements. The guidance updates the presentation requirements for reporting the components of comprehensive income and requires that it is reported in either (1) a continuous statement of comprehensive income or (2) two separate but consecutive statements. In December 2011, the FASB deferred the requirement to present components of reclassifications of other comprehensive income on the face of the income statement that had previously been included in the June 2011 amended standard. The guidance is effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2011 and requires retroactive application for all periods presented. The Company adopted this guidance effective January 1, 2012 with retrospective application as reflected in the unaudited condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income herein. The Company did not adopt the deferred guidance and the adoption of this guidance did not have a material effect on the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements.

In September 2011, the FASB issued Testing for Goodwill Impairment, which amends previous guidance, to allow companies the option of performing a qualitative assessment before completing step one of the impairment test, calculating the fair value of the reporting unit. If the Company determines on the basis of qualitative factors, that the fair value of the reporting unit is more likely than not greater than the carrying amount, the two-step impairment test would not be required. The amendments are effective for interim and annual goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. The guidance will be in effect for the Company beginning with the annual goodwill impairment tests to be completed in the fourth quarter of 2012 and is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

#### Note 3. Business Combinations and Consolidation

On March 8, 2012, Steve Haslam, the Chief Executive Officer of StreetLinks, was appointed the Chief Operating Officer of the Company. As part of the transition of Mr. Haslam to his new position with the Company, and pursuant to the exercise of his rights under his employment agreement with StreetLinks, he sold all of his 1,927 membership units of StreetLinks to the Company pursuant to a Membership Interest Purchase Agreement, dated March 8, 2012, by and between Mr. Haslam and the Company (the "Unit Purchase Agreement"). The 1,927 membership units of StreetLinks represent approximately 5% of the outstanding StreetLinks membership units. The total purchase price under the Unit Purchase Agreement is \$6.1 million, which is payable to Mr. Haslam as follows: \$0.5 million on March 8, 2012, \$0.5 million on June 30, 2012, \$0.3 million on the last day of each quarter thereafter until March 8, 2016, on which date the unpaid principal balance of \$1.6 million is to be paid, plus interest on the unpaid balance at the rate of four percent per annum, compounded quarterly.

On October 17, 2011, pursuant to the terms of a Unit Purchase Agreement between the Company and Build My Move, LLC ("BMM"), the Company acquired 51% of the fully diluted membership interests in BMM. The Company purchased Class C units of BMM, having preferred distribution, liquidation and management rights, in exchange for a purchase price of \$1.7 million and, upon the occurrence of certain conditions related to BMM's financial condition and its contractual obligations, the Company has the obligation to make additional capital contributions to BMM up to \$0.7 million. The additional capital contribution includes a contingent consideration obligation of up to \$0.3 million, which could be payable to a former employee upon compliance with the separation agreement. BMM changed its name to Mango Moving, LLC ("Mango") on October 21, 2011. Mango is a start-up, Internet-based company in the "asset-light" third-party logistics provider market, with the goal of providing high-quality local and long distance residential and other moving services at a price less than other major national van lines. The acquisition of Mango allows the Company to enter a new industry along with taking advantage of synergies from sales, technology and other administrative functions. While Mango is in a different industry than StreetLinks, these businesses share similarities. They are both vendor management services using proprietary technology. StreetLinks manages a base of appraiser vendors whereas Mango manages bases of moving labor and trucking vendors. Much of the technology developed for StreetLinks is applicable to the business of Mango. Additionally, the Company's extensive experience in managing customer service centers also applies to Mango. The Company's centralized technology and administrative functions allows the sharing of resources across all entities, saving time and costs.

### Table of Contents

On November 10, 2011, StreetLinks acquired the remaining 49% noncontrolling owner interests in Corvisa, LLC ("Corvisa") and now owns 100% of Corvisa. In exchange for the minority owner interests, StreetLinks paid \$0.5 million in cash at the time of exchange with an additional \$0.5 million payable no later than one year from the exchange date and is also obligated to make \$1.2 million in payments to the former minority owners on or before June 30, 2014 if revenues from the Corvisa technology products exceed certain thresholds. The Company recorded a liability of \$0.9 million for the estimated contingent consideration under the terms of the acquisition and it is recorded in the noncurrent liabilities line item of the condensed consolidated balance sheet. The effects of this transaction were recorded through shareholders' equity (deficit) in accordance with the relative consolidation guidance.

The purchase price for the Mango acquisition has been allocated based on the assessment of the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed, determined based on the Company's internal operational assessments and other analyses which are Level 3 measurements. Pro forma disclosure requirements have not been included as they are not considered significant. The Company's financial statements include the results of operation of Mango from the date of acquisition. Revenues and earnings since the acquisition date are not considered material to the Company's financial results. All legal and other related acquisition costs were expensed as incurred and recorded in the selling, general and administrative expense line item of the condensed consolidated statements of operation, and were not material.

A summary of the aggregate amounts of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed and the aggregate consideration paid for Mango for the year ended December 31, 2011 follows (dollars in thousands):

	Total	
Assets:		
Cash	\$1,141	
Service fee receivable, net	92	
Other current assets	115	
Property and equipment, net	801	
Goodwill	2,166	
Other noncurrent assets	61	
Liabilities:		
Accounts payable	(197)	
Accrued expenses	(503)	
Other current liabilities	(19)	
Other noncurrent liabilities	(150)	
Noncontrolling interests	(1,807)	
Total cash consideration	\$1,700	

#### Note 4. Mortgage Securities

As of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, mortgage securities consisted entirely of the Company's investment in the residual securities issued by securitization trusts sponsored by the Company and classified as available-for-sale. Residual securities consist of interest-only, prepayment penalty and overcollateralization bonds. See Note 10 to the condensed consolidated financial statements for details on the Company's fair value methodology.

The following table presents certain information on the Company's portfolio of mortgage securities – available-for-sale as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (dollars in thousands):

	Cost Basis	Unrealized Gain	Estimated Fair Value	Average Yield	(A)
March 31, 2012	\$576	\$3,509	\$4,085	164.0	%

Edgar Filing: NOVASTAR FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-Q						
December 31, 2011	611	3,267	3,878	237.0		

(A) The average yield is calculated from the cost basis of the mortgage securities and does not give effect to changes in fair value that are reflected as a component of shareholders' equity (deficit.)

There were no other-than-temporary impairments relating to mortgage securities – available-for-sale for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011. Maturities of mortgage securities owned by the Company depend on repayment characteristics and experience of the underlying financial instruments.

The following table relates to the securitizations where the Company retained an interest in the assets issued by the securitization trust, a variable interest entity or VIE (dollars in thousands):

	Size/Principal Outstanding (A) \$6,057,837	Assets on Balance Sheet (B) \$4,085	Liabilities on Balance Sheet \$—	Maximum Exposure to Loss(C) \$4,085	Year to Date Loss on Sale \$—	Year to Date Cash Flows (D) \$1,434
December 31, 2011	6,265,564	3,878	<u> </u>	3,878		2,838

(A) Size/Principal Outstanding reflects the estimated principal of the underlying assets held by the VIE.

Assets on balance sheet are securities issued by the entity and are recorded in Mortgage **(B)** 

securities.

The maximum exposure to loss includes the assets held by the Company. The maximum exposure to loss assumes (C) a total horizontal data of the company of the company. a total loss on the referenced assets held by the VIE.

(D) Year to date cash flows are for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Prior to 2011, the Company executed a securitization of mortgage securities in what is commonly called a Collateralized Debt Obligation ("CDO"). The Company serves as the CDO's asset manager. The collateral for the CDO consisted of subordinated mortgage securities and included securities retained by the Company in its loan securitizations and purchased from third parties. This securitization was structured legally as a sale, but for accounting purposes was accounted for as a financing. Accordingly, the CDO assets (securities) and securitization bond financing were included in the Company's consolidated balance sheet. As of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the fair value of the assets and liabilities was zero.

Note 5. Notes Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The Company has made loans to independent entities that have used the proceeds to finance current and on-going operations. Notes receivable are considered impaired, based on current information and events, if it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due that are contractually obligated. The Company determines the required allowance for doubtful accounts using information such as the borrower's financial condition and economic trends and conditions. Recognition of income is suspended and the loan is placed on non-accrual status when management determines that collection of future income is not probable. Accrual is resumed, and previously suspended income is recognized, when the loan becomes contractually current and/or collection doubts are removed. Cash receipts on impaired loans are recorded against the receivable and then to any unrecognized income.

The Company charges off uncollectible notes receivable when repayment of contractually-obligated amounts is not deemed to be probable. There were no amounts charged off during the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011. Due to the low number of notes receivable, the Company evaluates each note individually for collectability rather than analyzing by class or credit quality indicator. As a result of this review, there was a provision made for credit losses of \$1.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012, there was no provision made for credit losses during the three months ended March 31, 2011.

The Company has a note receivable due from ITS Financial, LLC ("ITS"), an entity with which it was previously in litigation. As discussed in Note 9 to the consolidated financial statements, during 2011 the Company agreed to settle the litigation. Pursuant to the settlement, approximately \$1.3 million of the amount due under the note was paid at the time of settlement. A modification to the settlement was reached in February 2012, \$1.5 million was paid at the time of the modification and the remaining note balance of approximately \$1.1 million plus an additional \$0.1 million is due in February 2013. In a complaint dated March 28, 2012, the United States Department of Justice ("DOJ") filed lawsuits against ITS and some of its franchisees. The actions claim that ITS was committing tax fraud against the United States Government and extracting excessive fees from the taxpaying customers. The action seeks to shut down the franchises accused of committing fraud and the entire ITS organization. Due to these lawsuits, the Company established a full allowance of \$1.1 million against the note during the three months ended March 31, 2012.

The remaining \$0.8 million and \$0.7 million of notes receivable outstanding as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 was current.

Activity in the allowance for credit losses on notes receivable is as follows for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 (dollars in thousands):

	For the Three Months En March 31,	
	2012	2011
Balance, beginning of period	\$—	\$1,047
Provision for credit losses	1,054	—
Balance, end of period	\$1,054	\$1,047

#### Note 6. Property and Equipment, Net

All of the Company's property and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization are computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the related assets. The estimated useful lives of the assets are leasehold improvements, lesser of 5 years or remaining lease term, furniture and fixtures, 5 years, office and computer equipment, 3 to 5 years, and software, 3 years.

Maintenance and repairs are charged to expense. Major renewals and improvements are capitalized. Gains and losses on dispositions are credited or charged to earnings as incurred. Depreciation and amortization expense relating to property and equipment was \$0.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012, as compared to \$0.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012.

The following table shows the Company's property and equipment, net as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (dollars in thousands):

	March 31,	December 31,
	2012	2011
Furniture, fixtures and office equipment	\$1,043	\$1,216
Hardware and computer equipment	3,065	2,961
Software	7,017	6,887
Leasehold improvements	903	352
Total Cost	12,028	11,416
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(6,433	) (5,827 )
Property and equipment, net	\$5,595	\$5,589

#### Note 7. Goodwill

As of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, goodwill totaled \$5.3 million. There was no goodwill activity for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011. Goodwill is tested for impairment at least annually and written down and charged to results of operations only in periods in which the recorded value is more than the estimated fair value. For tax purposes, the goodwill is included in the Company's basis in its investments in StreetLinks and Mango as they are limited liability companies. Therefore, it will be non-deductible for tax purposes as long as the Company holds its investments.

#### Note 8. Borrowings

Senior Notes – In an effort to improve the Company's liquidity position, on March 22, 2011, the Company entered into agreements that canceled the then existing \$78.1 million aggregate principal amount of junior subordinated notes (the "Junior Subordinated Notes"). The Junior Subordinated Notes were replaced by unsecured senior notes pursuant to three indentures (collectively, the "Senior Notes"). The aggregate principal amount of the Senior Debentures is \$85.9 million. The Senior Notes accrue interest at a rate of 1% until the earlier of (a) the completion of an equity offering by the Company or its subsidiaries that results in proceeds of \$40 million or more or (b) January 1, 2016. Thereafter, the Senior Notes will accrue interest at a rate of three-month LIBOR plus 3.5% (the "Full Rate"). Interest on the Senior Notes is paid on a quarterly basis and no principal payments are due until the Senior Notes mature on March 30, 2033.

For accounting purposes the Debt Exchange transactions were considered a modification of a debt instrument as opposed to an extinguishment and new debt. Therefore, the principal amount of the debt will be accreted up to the new principal balance of \$85.9 million using the effective interest method from the balance of \$80.2 million and \$79.7

million as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

The indentures governing the Senior Notes (the "Indentures") contain certain restrictive covenants (the "Negative Covenants") subject to certain exceptions in the Indentures, including written consent of the holders of the Senior Notes. The Negative Covenants prohibit the Company and its subsidiaries, from among other things, incurring debt, permitting any lien upon any of its property or assets, making any cash dividend or distribution or liquidation payment, acquiring shares of the Company or its subsidiaries, making payment on debt securities of the Company that rank pari passu or junior to the Senior Notes, or disposing of any equity interest in its subsidiaries or all or substantially all of the assets of its subsidiaries. At any time that the Senior Notes accrue interest at the Full Rate and the Company satisfies certain financial covenants (the "Financial Covenants"), the Negative Covenants will not apply. Satisfaction of the Financial Covenants requires the Company to demonstrate on a consolidated basis that (1) its Tangible Net Worth is equal to or greater than \$40 million, and (2) either (a) the Interest Coverage Ratio is equal to or greater than 1.35x, or (b) the Leverage Ratio is not greater than 95%. The Financial Covenants are not applicable to the Company as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 as the Senior Notes are not accruing interest at the Full Rate.

#### Table of Contents

The Company was in compliance with all Negative Covenants as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

Note Payable to Related Party – As discussed in Note 3 to the condensed consolidated financial statements, on March 8, 2012, Steve Haslam sold all of his membership units of StreetLinks to the Company, approximately 5%, on March 8, 2012. The total purchase price was \$6.1 million, of which \$0.5 million was paid on March 8, 2012. The remainder is payable as follows: \$0.5 million on June 30, 2012, \$0.3 million on the last day of each quarter thereafter until March 8, 2016, on which date the unpaid principal balance of \$1.6 million is to be paid, plus interest on the unpaid balance at the rate of 4% per annum, compounded quarterly. The Company's obligation is secured by the StreetLinks' interest.

#### Note 9. Commitments and Contingencies

As discussed in Note 3 to the condensed consolidated financial statements, the Company is obligated to make payments in the future to the former minority owners of Corvisa of up to \$1.2 million if revenue targets are achieved. A liability of \$0.9 million, based on management's estimate of the earnings targets being achieved, is included in the other liabilities line item of the condensed consolidated balance sheets as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

The Company also has contingent obligations related to a Mango separation agreement with a former employee of up to \$0.3 million. As of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, there was a liability for this contingent obligation of \$150.0 thousand in the other current liabilities and \$150.0 thousand in the other liabilities line items in the consolidated balance sheets.

The Company has received indemnification and loan repurchase demands with respect to alleged violations of representations and warranties ("defects") made in loan sale and securitization agreements. These demands have been received substantially beginning in 2006 and have continued into 2012. Prior to the Company ceasing the origination of loans in its mortgage lending business, it sold loans to securitization trusts and other third parties and agreed to repurchase a loan due to missing documentation or breaches of representations or warranties made in sale documents that materially adversely affected the value of the loan. Beginning in 1997 and ending in 2007, affiliates of the Company sold loans to securitization trusts and third parties with the potential of such repurchase obligations. The aggregate original principal balance of these loans was \$43.1 billion at the time of sale or securitization. The remaining principal balance of these loans is not available as these loans are serviced by third parties and may have been refinanced, sold or liquidated. During 2011 and 2012, the Company has received claims to repurchase loans with original principal balances of approximately \$24.6 million. These claims have not been acknowledged as valid by the Company. In some cases, claims were made against affiliates of the Company that have ceased operations and have no or limited assets. The Company has not repurchased any loans in 2011 or 2012.

Historically, repurchases of loans or indemnification of losses where a loan defect has been alleged have been insignificant and any future losses for alleged loan defects have not been deemed to be probable or reasonably estimable; therefore, the Company has recorded no reserves related to these claims. The Company does not use internal groupings for purposes of determining the status of these loans. The Company is unable to develop an estimate of the maximum potential amount of future payments related to repurchase demands because the Company does not have access to information relating to loans sold and securitized and the number or amount of claims deemed probable of assertion is not known nor is it reasonably estimated. Further, the validity of claims received remains questionable. Also, considering that the Company completed its last sale or securitization of loans during 2007, the Company believes that it will be difficult for a claimant to successfully validate any additional repurchase demands. Management does not expect that the potential impact of claims will be material to the Company's financial statements.

### Pending Litigation.

The Company is a party to various legal proceedings. Except as set forth below, these proceedings are of an ordinary and routine nature, including, but not limited to, breach of contract claims, tort claims, and claims for violations of federal and state consumer protection laws.

Although it is not possible to predict the outcome of any legal proceeding, in the opinion of management, other than the active proceedings described in detail below, proceedings and actions against the Company should not, individually, or in the aggregate, have a material effect on the Company's financial condition, operations and liquidity. Furthermore, due to the uncertainty of any potential loss as a result of pending litigation and due to the Company's belief that an adverse ruling is not probable, the Company has not accrued a loss contingency related to the following matters in its condensed consolidated financial statements. However, a material outcome in one or more of the active proceedings described below could have a material impact on the results of operations in a particular quarter or fiscal year.

On May 21, 2008, a purported class action case was filed in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, New York County, by the New Jersey Carpenters' Health Fund, on behalf of itself and all others similarly situated. Defendants in the case included NovaStar Mortgage Funding Corporation ("NMFC") and its individual directors, several securitization trusts sponsored by the Company ("affiliated defendants") and several unaffiliated investment banks and credit rating agencies. The case was removed to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. On June 16, 2009, the plaintiff filed an amended complaint. Plaintiff seeks monetary damages, alleging that the defendants violated sections 11, 12 and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, by making allegedly false statements regarding mortgage loans that served as collateral for securities

purchased by plaintiff and the purported class members. On August 31, 2009, the Company filed a motion to dismiss the plaintiff's claims, which the Court granted on March 31, 2011, with leave to amend. Plaintiff filed a second amended complaint on May 16, 2011, and the Company again filed a motion to dismiss. On March 29, 2012, the Court dismissed the plaintiff's second amended complaint with prejudice and without leave to replead. On April 25, 2012, the plaintiff filed a notice of appeal. Because the litigation is procedurally in an early stage, the Company cannot provide an estimate of the range of any loss. The Company believes that the affiliated defendants have meritorious defenses to the case and expects them to defend the case vigorously.

On December 31, 2009, ITS Financial, LLC ("ITS") filed a complaint against Advent and the Company alleging a breach of contract by Advent for a contract for services related to tax refund anticipation loans and early season loans. ITS does business as Instant Tax Service. The defendants moved the case to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio. The complaint alleged that the Company worked in tandem and as one entity with Advent in all material respects. The complaint also alleged fraud in the inducement, tortious interference by the Company with the contract, breach of good faith and fair dealing, fraudulent and negligent misrepresentation, and liability of the Company by piercing the corporate veil and joint and several liability. The plaintiff referenced a \$3.0 million loan made by the Company to ITS and sought a judgment declaring that this loan be subject to an offset by ITS's damages. On September 29, 2010, the Company and Advent answered the complaint and made a counterclaim against ITS for ITS's failure to repay the loan. On February 21, 2011, the Company amended its counterclaim, asserting additional claims against ITS. On October 21, 2011, the Court granted the Company's motion for partial summary judgment on the loan claim and granted a partial summary judgment in favor of the Company with respect to certain claims and damages alleged by ITS. In December 2011, the parties settled the litigation and the case was dismissed. The Company paid no money to the plaintiff, and the plaintiff agreed to a payment to the Company of approximately \$3.9 million. Approximately \$1.3 million was paid to the Company at the time of the settlement with the remaining balance to be paid in February 2012. In February 2012, the Company agreed to a modification to the settlement; pursuant to the modification, \$1.5 million was paid at the time of the modification and approximately \$1.2 million will be due in February 2013. See Note 5 to the condensed consolidated financial statements for additional details relating to the note receivable and its collectability.

On July 9, 2010 and on February 11, 2011, Cambridge Place Investment Management, Inc. filed complaints in the Suffolk, Massachusetts Superior Court against NMFC and numerous other entities seeking damages on account of losses associated with residential mortgage-backed securities purchased by plaintiff's assignors. The complaints allege untrue statements and omissions of material facts relating to loan underwriting and credit enhancement. The complaints also allege a violation of Section 410 of the Massachusetts Uniform Securities Act (Chapter 110A of the Massachusetts General Laws). Defendants removed the cases to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, and plaintiff filed motions to remand the cases back to state court. On August 22, 2011, the federal court remanded these cases back to state court, and on October 14, 2011, the plaintiff filed amended complaints. In December 2011, the Company, together with the other defendants in the litigation, filed a joint motion to dismiss the complaints alleging that the plaintiff lacked standing. On March 12, 2012 the court denied the defendants' motion to dismiss for lack of standing, and the defendants have sought an interlocutory appeal of the denial. On April 26, 2012, the defendants filed a joint motion to dismiss the plaintiff's complaints for a failure to state a claim. Because this litigation is procedurally in its early stage, the Company cannot provide an estimate of the range of any loss. The Company believes that NMFC has meritorious defenses to these claims and expects that the cases will be defended vigorously.

On June 20, 2011, the National Credit Union Administration Board, as liquidating agent of U.S. Central Federal Credit Union, filed an action against NMFC and numerous other defendants in the United States District Court for the District of Kansas, claiming that the defendants issued or underwrote residential mortgage-backed securities pursuant to allegedly false or misleading registration statements, prospectuses, and/or prospectus supplements. On October 12, 2011, the complaint was served on NMFC. On December 20, 2011, NMFC filed a motion to dismiss the plaintiff's complaint and to strike certain paragraphs of the complaint. This litigation is in an early stage, and the Company cannot provide an estimate of the range of any loss. The Company believes that NMFC has meritorious defenses to the case and expects it to defend the case vigorously.

Note 10. Fair Value Accounting

Fair Value Measurements

The Company's valuation techniques are based upon observable and unobservable inputs. Observable inputs reflect market data obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect the Company's market assumptions. These two types of inputs create the following fair value hierarchy:

•Level 1 – Valuations based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities.

•Level 2 – Valuations based on observable inputs in active markets for similar assets and liabilities, other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted interest or currency exchange rates.

•Level 3 – Valuations based on significant unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity, such as discounted cash flow methodologies based on internal cash flow forecasts.

The Company estimates fair value based upon discounting the expected cash flows using market interest rates commensurate with the credit quality and duration of the investment. The methods the Company uses to determine fair value on an instrument-specific basis are detailed in the section titled "Valuation Methods," below.

The following tables present for each of the fair value hierarchy levels, the Company's assets and liabilities which are measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (dollars in thousands):

		Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Usin			
Description	Fair Value at March 31, 2012	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
Assets: Mortgage securities – available-for-sale	\$4,085	\$—	\$—	\$4,085	
Liabilities: Contingent consideration (A)	\$1,154	\$—	\$—	\$1,154	

(A) The contingent consideration represents the estimated fair value of the additional potential amounts payable in connection with our acquisitions of Mango and Corvisa, \$0.3 million and \$0.9 million, respectively.

		Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using Quoted Prices in Significant			
Description	Fair Value at December 31, 2011	Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
Assets: Mortgage securities – available-for-sale	\$3,878	\$—	\$—	\$3,878	
Liabilities: Contingent consideration (A)	\$1,154	\$—	\$—	\$1,154	

(A) The contingent consideration represents the estimated fair value of the additional potential amounts payable in connection with our acquisitions of Mango and Corvisa, \$0.3 million and \$0.9 million, respectively.

Valuation Methods and Processes

The Company estimates the fair value of all items subject to fair value accounting using present value techniques and generally does not have the option to choose other valuation techniques for these items. There have been no significant changes to the Company's financial statements as a result from changes to the Company's valuation techniques as of March 31, 2012 compared to December 31, 2011.

An independent entity has been engaged to prepare projected future cash flows of the Company's mortgage securities for each reporting period (quarterly) used by management to estimate fair value. The Company's internal finance and accounting staff reviews and monitors the work of the independent entity, including analysis of the assumptions used, retrospective review and preparing an overall conclusion of the value and process. All other fair value analysis, consisting of simple cash flow estimates and discounting techniques, is conducted internally by the Company's internal financial staff. The Company's fair value process is conducted under the supervision of the Chief Financial Officer.

Mortgage securities – available-for-sale. Mortgage securities classified as available-for-sale are reported at their estimated fair value with unrealized gains and losses reported in accumulated other comprehensive income. To the

extent that the cost basis of mortgage securities exceeds the fair value and the unrealized loss is considered to be other than temporary, an impairment charge is recognized and the amount recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income or loss is reclassified to earnings as a realized loss. The specific identification method is used in computing realized gains or losses. The Company uses the discount rate methodology for determining the fair value of its residual securities. The fair value of the residual securities is estimated based on the present value of future expected cash flows to be received. Management's best estimate of key assumptions, including credit losses, prepayment speeds, forward yield curves and discount rates commensurate with the risks involved, are used in estimating future cash flows.

Mortgage securities – trading. Trading securities are recorded at fair value with gains and losses, realized and unrealized, included in earnings. The Company uses the specific identification method in computing realized gains or losses. The Company estimates fair value based on the present value of expected future cash flows using management's best estimates of credit losses, prepayment rates, forward yield curves, and discount rates, commensurate with the risks involved. Due to the unobservable inputs used by the Company in determining the expected future cash flows, the Company determined its valuation

#### Table of Contents

methodology for residual securities would qualify as Level 3.

Contingent consideration. The fair value of the Mango contingent consideration was estimated using a probability analysis of compliance with the separation agreement and a discount rate was applied to the projected earn-out payments that approximated the weighted average cost of capital. The key input was management's estimation of probability that the employee will comply with the agreement. The Company estimated the fair value of the Corvisa contingent consideration using projected revenue over the earn-out period, and applied a discount rate commensurate with the risks involved to the projected earn-out payments. The key inputs for the projected revenue analysis were the number of units completed and the average amount of revenue per unit.

The following table presents certain quantitative information about the significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement for items measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3).

Description	Valuation Techniques	Significant Unobservable Inputs	Range
Assets:		-	
Mortgage securities – available-for-sale	Present value analysis	Prepayment rates	17.0% – 19.0%
		Weighted average life (years)	2.0 - 2.0
Liabilities:			
Contingent consideration	Present value analysis	Revenue growth Discount rate	4.5% – 4.8% 15.0% – 15.0%

The Company's mortgage securities – available-for-sale, as discussed in Note 4 to the condensed consolidated financial statements, are measured at fair value. These securities are valued at each reporting date using significant unobservable inputs (level 3) by discounting the expected cash flows using market interest rates commensurate with the credit quality and duration of the investment. The Company has no other assets measured at fair value.

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of mortgage securities – available-for-sale are prepayment rates and the weighted average life for the underlying mortgage loan collateral. Using a faster (higher) estimated prepayment rate would decrease the value of the securities. The Company uses a weighted average life of 2 years from the reporting date for the expected future estimated cash flows. The future cash flows are highly-dependent upon the performance of the underlying collateral of mortgage loans and the nonperformance risk of the collateral is the key reason the Company utilizes such a short weighted average life in its calculation. Assuming a shorter weighted average life would decrease the estimated value of the mortgage securities. Alternatively, assuming a longer weighted average life would increase the estimated value of the mortgage securities.

The Company has a liability recorded at fair value that is the estimated additional potential earn-out opportunity payable in connection with its acquisition of Corvisa. The payment is contingent on future revenue generated from the original Corvisa technology platform. The obligation is valued at each reporting date using significant unobservable inputs (level 3). The Company estimated the fair value using projected revenue over the earn-out period, and applied a discount rate commensurate with the risks involved to the projected earn-out payments. The Company has no other liabilities measured at fair value.

The significant unobservable input used in the fair value measurement of the contingent consideration liability is the growth of the forecasted revenue to be generated from the original Corvisa technology platform and the discount rate used in the present value calculation. The Company generally assumes that the forecasted revenue required in order

for the earnings targets to be achieved will be realized. Assuming that the required revenue will not be realized would decrease the estimated fair value of the contingent consideration liability. Assuming a higher discount rate would decrease the estimated fair value of the contingent consideration liability, whereas assuming a lower discount rate would increase the estimated fair value of the contingent consideration liability.

The following tables provide a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for the Company's mortgage securities – available-for-sale which are measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 (dollars in thousands):

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2012	2011	
Balance, beginning of period	\$3,878	\$4,580	
Increases (decreases) to mortgage securities – available-for-sale:			
Accretion of income (A)	249	227	
Proceeds from paydowns of securities (A)	(284	) (334	)
Mark-to-market value adjustment	242	(173	)
Net increases (decreases) to mortgage securities – available-for-sale	207	(280	)
Balance, end of period	\$4,085	\$4,300	

(A) Cash received on mortgage securities with no cost basis was \$1.2 million and \$2.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

There was no activity during the three months ended March 31, 2012 for the Company's mortgage securities – trading as they were determined to have no value as of December 31, 2011. The following tables provide a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for the Company's mortgage securities – trading which are measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the three months ended March 31, 2011 (dollars in thousands):

	For the Three
	Months Ended
	March 31,
	2011
Balance, beginning of period	\$1,198
Increases (decreases) to mortgage securities – trading:	
Accretion of income	375
Proceeds from paydowns of securities	(259)
Mark-to-market value adjustment	44
Net increase to mortgage securities – trading	160
Balance, end of period	\$1,358

There was no activity during the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 for the Company's contingent consideration liabilities.

The following table provides a summary of the impact to earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 from the Company's assets and liabilities which are measured at fair value on a recurring and nonrecurring basis (dollars in thousands):

		Fair Value Adjustments for		
		the		
		Three Months Ended		
		March 31,		
	Fair Value			Statement of
Asset or Liability Measured at Fair Value	Measurement	2012	2011	<b>Operations Line Item</b>
	Frequency			Impacted
Mortgage securities – trading	Recurring	\$—	\$44	_

				Other income
				(expense)
Asset-backed bonds secured by mortgage	Doourring		222	Other income
securities	Recurring			(expense)
Total fair value gains (A)		\$—	\$266	

(A) The Company did not have any impairments relating to mortgage securities – available-for-sale or fair value adjustments relating to the contingent consideration for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011.

The following disclosure of the estimated fair value of financial instruments presents amounts that have been determined using available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies. However, considerable judgment is required to interpret market data to develop the estimates of fair value. Accordingly, the estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that could be realized in a current market exchange. The use of different market assumptions or estimation methodologies could have a material impact on the estimated fair value amounts. The fair value of short-term financial assets

and liabilities, such as service fees receivable, notes receivable, and accounts payable and accrued expenses are not included in the following table as their fair value approximates their carrying value.

The estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments are as follows as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (dollars in thousands):

As of March 31, 2012		As of December 31, 2011	
urrying Value	Fair Value	Carrying Value	Fair Value
5,990	\$6,886	\$2,912	\$2,836
085	4,085	3,878	3,878
30,167	\$11,049	\$79,654	\$10,273
513	3,525	—	
11 5, 0	rrying Value 990 85 0,167	Trying Value       Fair Value         990       \$6,886         85       4,085         0,167       \$11,049	Trying ValueFair ValueCarrying Value990\$6,886\$2,912854,0853,8780,167\$11,049\$79,654

For the items in the table above not measured at fair value in the statement of financial position but for which the fair value is disclosed, the fair value has been estimated using Level 3, based on significant unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity, such as discounted cash flow methodologies based on internal cash flow forecasts. No assets or liabilities have been transferred between levels for during any period presented.

Restricted cash – The fair value of restricted cash was estimated by discounting estimated future release of the cash from restriction.

Mortgage securities – available-for-sale – See Valuation Methods section above for fair value method utilized.

Senior notes – The fair value is estimated by discounting future projected cash flows using a discount rate commensurate with the risks involved. As of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the value of the Senior Notes was calculated assuming that the Company would be required to pay interest at a rate of 1.0% per annum until January 2016, at which time the Company would be required to start paying the Full Rate of three-month LIBOR plus 3.5% until maturity in March 2033. The three-month LIBOR used in the analysis was projected using a forward interest rate curve.

Note payable to related party – The fair value of the note payable to related party is estimated by discounting future projected principal and interest payment cash flows using a discount rate commensurate with the risks involved. As of March 31, 2012, the future projected interest payments were calculated assuming the stated rate of 4.0% per annum until maturity in March 2016.

Note 11. Income Taxes

The Company had a deferred tax asset of \$286.4 million as of December 31, 2011 which had been reduced by a full valuation allowance. After evaluating positive and negative evidence available as of March 31, 2012, the Company has determined that it is more likely than not that it will realize a portion of its deferred tax assets. The Company's analysis was significantly influenced by the fact that it reached three years of cumulative income in the first quarter of 2012. The Company has therefore released a portion of its valuation allowance and has recognized a net deferred tax asset of approximately \$63.1 million on its balance sheet as of March 31, 2012. The Company recorded an income tax benefit of \$63.1 million during the three months ended March 31, 2012 for the portion of the change in valuation allowance arising from expected realization of deferred tax assets in future years. The Company will continue to assess the need for a valuation allowance.

The Company has considered all available evidence, both positive and negative, such as historical levels of income and future forecasts of taxable income, among other items, in determining whether a full or partial release of our valuation allowance is required. Our estimates require the exercise of significant judgment. The Company based our estimate of realizable deferred tax assets in part on business plans and expectations about future outcomes. In the event that the actual results differ from these estimates in future periods, the Company may need to adjust the valuation allowance which could materially impact our financial position and results of operation.

The change in unrealized gain on mortgage securities – available-for-sale in the condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income is not shown net of taxes as the Company has a valuation allowance recorded on a portion of its deferred income taxes.

### Note 12. Segment Reporting

The Company reviews, manages and operates its business in three segments: corporate, appraisal management and financial intermediary. Corporate operating results include mortgage securities retained from securitizations, corporate general and administrative expenses, and income generated from Mango as its operating activities were not significant. Appraisal

management operations include the service fee income and related expenses from the Company's majority-owned direct subsidiary, StreetLinks, and its wholly-owned indirect subsidiary, Corvisa. The financial intermediary segment consists of the financial settlement service fee income and related expenses from Advent. Management evaluates segment performance based on income before income taxes, which is prior to the allocation of losses attributable to the noncontrolling interests.

The following is a summary of the operating results of the Company's segments for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 and a summary of their financial positions as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (dollars in thousands):

	Corporate	Appraisal Management	Financial Intermediary	Eliminations		Total
For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2012						
Service fee income	\$2,947	\$41,737	\$7,993	\$(2,344	)	\$50,333
Interest income	1,640			(241	)	1,399
Interest expense	735	10	231	(241	)	735
Depreciation and amortization expense (A)	150	456	22			628
Income (loss) before income tax benefit	(1,457)	1,572	1,572			1,687
Additions to long-lived assets	311	301	24			636
As of March 31, 2012 Total assets (B) (C)	\$99,730	\$21,525	\$7,471	\$(13,469	)	\$115,257

(A) Amounts are included in the cost of services and selling, general and administrative expense line item of the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

(B) Corporate segment includes goodwill of \$2.2 million which relates to Mango.

(C) Appraisal management segment includes goodwill of \$3.2 million which relates to StreetLinks.

The intersegment service fee income consists of a guaranty fee of approximately \$1.0 million paid by the Financial Intermediary segment to the Corporate segment for Corporate's guarantee of the Financial Intermediary segment's performance under its contract with its banking partner. It also includes fees charged by the Corporate segment to the Appraisal Management and Financial Intermediary segments for operational support provided by the Corporate segment's egment's employees. The intersegment interest income and interest expense consists of interest charged by the Corporate Segment to the Appraisal Management and Financial Intermediary segments for borrowings.

	Corporate	Appraisal Management	Financial Intermediary	Eliminations	Total	
For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011						
Service fee income	\$—	\$18,886	\$5,783	\$—	\$24,669	
Interest income	3,018	—			3,018	
Interest expense	312	—	—		312	
Depreciation and amortization expense (A)	43	422	35		500	
Income (loss) before income tax benefit	(934)	651	2,587		2,304	
Additions to long-lived assets (B)	16	129	4	—	149	
As of December 31, 2011 Total assets (B) (C)	\$34,657	\$17,197	\$2,261	\$(9,514)	\$44,601	

(A) Amounts are included in the cost of services and selling, general and administrative expense line item of the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

(B) Corporate segment includes goodwill of \$2.2 million which relates to Mango.

(C) Appraisal management segment includes goodwill of \$3.2 million which relates to StreetLinks.

Note 13. Earnings per Share

For the three months ended March 31, 2012, earnings per share was calculated using the treasury method.

Prior to the Recapitalization during June 2011, shares of the Company's 9.0% Series D1 Mandatory Convertible Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share (the "Series D Preferred Stock") were considered participating securities and therefore the earnings per share information below is calculated under the two-class method for the three months ended March 31, 2011. In determining the number of diluted shares outstanding, the relevant guidance requires disclosure of the more dilutive earnings

per share result between the if-converted method calculation and the two-class method calculation. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, the two-class method calculation was more dilutive; therefore, the earnings per share information below is presented following the two-class method which includes convertible participating preferred stock assumed to be converted to 1,875,000 shares of common stock that share in distributions with common shareholders on a 1:1 basis. As the holders of the the Series D Preferred Stock, did not have an obligation to participate in losses, no allocation of undistributed losses was necessary for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

The computations of basic and diluted earnings per share for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 (dollars in thousands, except share and per share amounts) are as follows:

		For the Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2012	2011		
Numerator:				
Net income	\$64,825	\$2,273		
Less income attributable to noncontrolling interests	35	490		
Dividends on preferred shares	—	(4,290	)	
Income (loss) available to common shareholders	\$64,790	\$(2,507	)	
Denominator:				
Weighted average common shares outstanding – basic	90,372,237	9,338,512		
Weighted average common shares outstanding – dilutive:				
Weighted average common shares outstanding – basic	90,372,237	9,338,512		
Stock options	379,401			
Nonvested shares	488,108			
Weighted average common shares outstanding – dilutive	91,239,746	9,338,512		
Basic earnings per share:				
Net income	\$0.72	\$0.24		
Less income attributable to noncontrolling interests	—	0.05		
Dividends on preferred shares	—	(0.46	)	
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders	\$0.72	\$(0.27	)	
Diluted earnings per share:				
Net income	\$0.71	\$0.24		
Less income attributable to noncontrolling interests	—	0.05		
Dividends on preferred shares	—	(0.46	)	
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders	\$0.71	\$(0.27	)	

The following weighted-average stock options to purchase shares of Common Stock were outstanding during each period presented, but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings (loss) per share because the number of shares assumed to be repurchased, as calculated was greater than the number of shares to be obtained upon exercise, therefore, the effect would be antidilutive (in thousands, except exercise prices):

	For the Three Months Ended		
	March 31,		
	2012	2011	
Number of stock options	6,518	368	
Weighted average exercise price of stock options	\$1.54	\$16.89	

The Company granted 6.9 million options to purchase shares of Common Stock at a weighted average exercise price of \$0.77, the weighted average impact of 5.3 million shares are included in the table above. Of the 6.9 million total options granted, 5.3 million relate to a non-discretionary anti-dilution provision adjustment to preserve the benefits and potential benefits of grants

issued prior to the Recapitalization. These options maintained the original exercise prices and vesting terms of the respective initial grants.

The Company had approximately 0.9 million and 30,000 of additional nonvested shares outstanding as of March 31, 2012 and March 31, 2011, respectively which have original cliff vesting schedules ranging between five and ten years. Of these, approximately 0.6 million and 30,000 nonvested shares were not included in the earnings per share for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, because they were anti-dilutive.

Note 14. Recapitalization of Preferred Stock.

Series D Exchange. On June 23, 2011, the Company completed the exchange of all outstanding shares of the Company's Series D Preferred Stock for an aggregate of 37,162,000 shares of newly-issued Common Stock and \$1.4 million in cash (the "Series D Exchange"). Completion of this exchange eliminated the Series D Preferred Stock and Company's obligations with respect to outstanding and future preferred dividends and the preferred liquidating preference related to the Series D Preferred Stock. As of June 23, 2011, there were accrued and unpaid dividends of approximately \$34.5 million on the Series D Preferred Stock and the aggregate liquidating preference was \$52.5 million.

The shares of Common Stock issued in the exchange were issued pursuant to an exemption from registration under Regulation D of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and therefore are "restricted securities." The Company entered into a registration rights agreement with the holders of Series D Preferred Stock (the "Series D Holders") which obligates the Company to register the Common Stock when the restrictions are lifted. Under the terms of the Series D Exchange, the Series D Holders are generally not permitted to sell or transfer the Common Stock for three years from issuance. However, the restriction may be lifted earlier if an ownership change occurs which results in the loss of the Company's existing net operating loss carryforwards ("NOLs") or if the board of directors determines that the Company's NOLs will not be realized in whole or in part.

Series C Offer. On June 27, 2011, the Company completed the exchange offer for all the outstanding shares of the 8.90% Series C Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share (the "Series C Preferred Stock"), for an aggregate of 43,823,600 shares of Common Stock and \$1.6 million of cash. Completion of the transaction eliminated the Series C Preferred Stock and the Company's obligations with respect to outstanding and future preferred dividends and the preferred liquidating preference related to the Series C Preferred Stock. As of June 27, 2011, there were accrued and unpaid dividends of approximately \$24.8 million on the Series C Preferred Stock and the aggregate liquidating preference was \$74.8 million.

#### Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the preceding unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of NovaStar Financial, Inc. and its subsidiaries (the "Company," "NovaStar Financial," "NFI," "we" or "u and the notes thereto as well as the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

#### **Executive Overview**

Corporate Overview, Background and Strategy – We are a Maryland corporation formed on September 13, 1996. We own 93% of StreetLinks LLC ("StreetLinks"), a national residential appraisal and real estate valuation management company. See below for a discussion of the Company's recent acquisition of approximately 5% additional equity interest of StreetLinks. StreetLinks collects fees from lenders and borrowers in exchange for residential appraisals and other valuation services. Typically, the appraisal or other valuation service is provided by an independent contractor. Most of the fee is passed through to the contractor. StreetLinks retains a portion of the fee to cover its costs of managing the process of fulfilling the order and, for some services, performing a quality control review of the independent appraisal. StreetLinks also provides a technology product to lenders whereby the lender may manage its own appraisal process and a fee is earned per transaction processed by the lender.

We own 78% of Advent Financial Services LLC ("Advent"). Advent provides financial settlement services, for income tax preparation businesses and also provides access to tailored banking accounts, small dollar banking products and related services to meet the needs of low and moderate income level individuals. Advent is not a bank, but acts as an intermediary for banking products on behalf of other banking institutions. A primary distribution channel of Advent's bank products is by way of settlement services to electronic income tax return originators. Advent provides a process for the originators to collect refunds from the Internal Revenue Service, distribute fees to various service providers and deliver the net refund to individuals. Individuals may elect to have the net refund dollars deposited into a bank account offered through Advent. Individuals also have the option to have the net refund dollars paid by check or to an existing bank account. Regardless of the settlement method, Advent receives a fee from the originator for providing the settlement service. Advent also distributes its banking products via other methods, including through employers and employer service organizations. Advent receives fees from banking institutions and from the bank account owner for services related to the use of the funds deposited to Advent-offered bank accounts.

We own 51% of Mango Moving, LLC. Mango is an Internet-based, "asset-light" third party logistics provider of local and long-distance residential and other moving services.

Prior to 2011, we originated, purchased, securitized, sold, invested in and serviced residential nonconforming mortgage loans and mortgage securities. We retained, in our mortgage securities investment portfolio, significant interests in the nonconforming loans we originated and purchased, and through our servicing platform, serviced all of the loans in which we retained interests. We discontinued our mortgage lending operations and sold our mortgage servicing rights which subsequently resulted in the closing of our servicing operations. The mortgage securities we retained continue to be a source of our cash flow.

The Company's condensed consolidated financial statements as of March 31, 2012 and for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 are unaudited. In the opinion of management, all necessary adjustments have been made, which were of a normal and recurring nature, for a fair presentation of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

#### Significant Recent Events

As of March 31, 2012, the Company determined that it is more likely than not that it will realize a portion of its deferred tax assets. Therefore, the Company released \$63.1 million of its valuation allowance for the three months ended March 31, 2012, which resulted in a net deferred tax asset of \$63.1 million as of March 31, 2012. See Note 11

to the condensed consolidated financial statements for further details.

Steve Haslam, the Company's Chief Operating Officer ("COO"), sold all of his membership units, representing approximately 5% of the outstanding units of StreetLinks, to the Company on March 8, 2012. The total purchase price was \$6.1 million, of which \$0.5 million was paid on March 8, 2012. The remainder is payable as follows: \$0.5 million on June 30, 2012, \$0.3 million on the last day of each quarter thereafter until March 8, 2016, on which date the unpaid principal balance of \$1.6 million is to be paid, plus interest on the unpaid balance at the rate of 4% per annum, compounded quarterly.

Critical Accounting Policies – In our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, we disclose critical accounting policies, that require management to use significant judgment or that require significant estimates. Management regularly reviews the selection and application of our critical accounting policies. There have been no updates to the critical accounting policies contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Strategy – Management is focused on building its operating subsidiaries. If and when opportunities arise, we intend to use available cash resources to invest in or start businesses that can generate income and cash.

The key performance measures for executive management are:

generating income for our shareholders, and

maintaining and/or generating adequate liquidity to sustain us and allow us to take advantage of acquisition opportunities.

The following key performance metrics are derived from our condensed consolidated financial statements for the periods presented and should be read in conjunction with the more detailed information therein and with the disclosure included in this report under the heading "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations."

Table 1 – Summary of Financial Highlights and Key Performance Metrics (dollars in thousands; except per share amounts)

	For the Three Month March 31,		
	2012	2011	
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders per diluted share	\$0.71	\$(0.27	)
	March 31, 2012	December 3 2011	1,
Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents	\$15,902	\$11,503	

Liquidity – During the three months ended March 31, 2012, we continued to grow StreetLinks and significantly increased its appraisal volume. For the three months ended March 31, 2012, StreetLinks had revenues of \$41.7 million, as compared to \$18.9 million for the same period in 2011. StreetLinks has produced net positive cash flow and earnings in 2011 and the three months ended March 31, 2012 and is expected to continue producing net positive cash flow and earnings for the foreseeable future.

During the three months ended March 31, 2012, Advent generated revenues of \$8.0 million and \$5.8 million for the same period in 2011. The majority of the revenues are recognized during the first quarter due to seasonality as a significant portion of their business is generated during the income tax season. The Company expects minimal additional Advent revenues for the remainder of 2012.

During the three months ended March 31, 2012, the Company received \$1.4 million in cash on our mortgage securities portfolio, compared to \$3.0 million during the same period in 2011. We anticipate that the total amount of cash received in 2012 will be less than the amount received in 2011 of \$9.7 million.

During the three months ended March 31, 2012, we used cash to pay for corporate and administrative costs and acquired a portion of StreetLinks' noncontrolling interests. As of March 31, 2012, we have \$15.9 million in unrestricted cash and cash equivalents and \$7.0 million of restricted cash, \$5.9 million of which is included in the other current assets line item of the condensed consolidated balance sheets and \$1.1 million in the other noncurrent assets line item.

Impact of Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2011, the FASB issued Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs. The update provides common requirements for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements, including a consistent meaning of the term "fair value," which will provide greater comparability of fair value measurements presented and disclosed in financial statements. The

amendments in the update are effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this guidance did not have a significant impact on its condensed consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued Presentation of Comprehensive Income, which revises how entities present comprehensive income in their financial statements. The guidance updates the presentation requirements for reporting the components of comprehensive income and requires that it is reported in either (1) a continuous statement of comprehensive income or (2) two separate but consecutive statements. In December 2011, the FASB deferred the requirement to present components of reclassifications of other comprehensive income on the face of the income statement that had previously been included in the June 2011 amended standard. The guidance is effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2011 and requires retroactive application for all periods presented. The Company adopted this guidance effective January 1, 2012 with retrospective application as reflected in the unaudited condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income herein. The Company did not adopt the deferred guidance and the adoption of this guidance did not have a material effect on the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements.

In September 2011, the FASB issued Testing for Goodwill Impairment, which amends previous guidance, to allow companies the option of performing a qualitative assessment before completing step one of the impairment test, calculating the fair value of the

#### Table of Contents

reporting unit. If the Company determines on the basis of qualitative factors, that the fair value of the reporting unit is more likely than not greater than the carrying amount, the two-step impairment test would not be required. The amendments are effective for interim and annual goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. The guidance will be in effect for the Company beginning with the annual goodwill impairment tests to be completed in the fourth quarter of 2012 and is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Financial Condition as of March 31, 2012 as Compared to December 31, 2011

The following provides explanations for material changes in the components of our balance sheet when comparing amounts from March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

Cash and Cash Equivalents - See "Liquidity and Capital Resources" for discussion of our cash and cash equivalents.

Mortgage Securities – The mortgage securities classified as available-for-sale include four residual interests we own and were issued by loan securitized trusts. The value of our mortgage securities is dependent on the interest rate environment, specifically the interest margin between the underlying coupon on the mortgage loans and the asset-backed bonds issued by the securitization trust to finance the loans. While interest rates remain low, the net margin has continued to be strong on these securities and therefore the securities provide cash flow to us. As a result, the value of these securities has not changed substantially during 2012. Following is a summary of our mortgage securities that are classified as available-for-sale with fair values greater than zero in at least one of the periods presented.

	March 31, 2	2012			December 3	31, 2011		
Securitization Trust (A)	Estimated Fair Value	Discount Rate	Constant Pre-payment Rate	Expected Credit Losses	Estimated Fair Value	Discount Rate	Constant Pre-payment Rate	Expected Credit Losses
2002-3	\$1,565	25 %	18 %	1.2 %	\$1,553	25 %	18 %	1.2 %
2003-1	2,124	25	19	2.3	2,160	25	19	2.3
2003-3	266	25	17	2.1	165	25	17	2.1
2003-4	130	25	19	2.6		25	19	2.6
Total	\$4,085				\$3,878			

Table 2 – Values of Individual Mortgage Securities – Available-for-Sale (dollars in thousands)

(A) We established the trust upon securitization of the underlying loans, which generally were originated by us.

Service Fee Receivable – The balance increased as of March 31, 2012 compared to December 31, 2011 mainly due to an increase in service fee revenues as discussed under the heading Results of Operations. The service fee receivable balance fluctuates with the timing of services provided and the collection of the fees from customers.

Restricted Cash, current – The bank that provides financial settlement services for Advent requires cash collateral in an account and its release is subject to contractual requirements. The balance in this account increased due to the high volume of transactions that were processed during the three months ended March 31, 2012. Advent expects the majority of this cash to be released from restriction during 2012.

Current and Non-current Portions of Deferred Income Tax Assets, net – The Company released \$63.1 million of its valuation allowance for the three months ended March 31, 2012, which resulted in a net deferred tax asset of \$63.1 million as of March 31, 2012. See Note 11 to the condensed consolidated financial statements for further details. These amounts are classified as current or non-current assets depending on the basis of the financial accounting

classification of the related asset or liability for which a temporary difference exists or in accordance with the deferred tax asset's expected reversal or utilization date for net operating loss carryforwards.

Other Current Assets – Other current assets include prepaid expenses and other miscellaneous receivables. The balance decreased during the first three months mainly due to an income tax receivable of \$2.1 million which was received during the three months ended March 31, 2012.

Accounts Payable – The accounts payable balance increased as of March 31, 2012 compared to December 31, 2011 mainly due to the increased StreetLinks production as discussed under the heading Results of Operations.

Accrued Expenses – The accrued expense balance increased as of March 31, 2012 compared to December 31, 2011 mainly due to the increased production related to Advent. The balance includes estimated amounts accrued for expenses to various outsourced services and for volume incentives payable to Advent distributors.

Note Payable to Related Party – The Company purchased StreetLinks' noncontrolling interest from the Company's COO which represented approximately 5% of the outstanding StreetLinks membership units. The total purchase price is \$6.1 million, which is payable as follows: \$0.5 million on March 8, 2012, \$0.5 million on June 30, 2012, \$0.3 million on the last day of each quarter thereafter until March 8, 2016, on which date the unpaid principal balance of \$1.6 million is to be paid, plus interest on the unpaid balance at the rate of four percent per annum, compounded quarterly.

Other Non-current Liabilities – The increase in the other non-current payable balance is due to the non-current portion of the note payable, approximately \$4.4 million, due to an officer of the Company. Note 8 to the condensed consolidated financial statements provides further details about this liability.

Results of Operations - Consolidated Earnings Comparisons

Appraisal Management: Service Fee Income and Cost of Services – We manage the process of residential home appraisals for our customers, generally residential mortgage lenders. We earn fees when our service is completed and the appraisal is delivered to our customer. We also provide other valuation services and provide transaction-based technology for mortgage lenders to manage their own appraisal process. Fee revenue is directly related to the number of completed orders or transactions. Cost of Servicing includes the direct cost of the appraisal or other service, when applicable, which is paid to an independent party, and the internal costs directly associated with completing the appraisal order. The internal costs include compensation and benefits, office administration, depreciation of equipment used in the production process, and other expenses necessary to the production process.

The large increase in service fee income in the appraisal management segment is directly related to the increase in completed orders and transactions. The substantial increase in order volume is mainly due to our sales efforts, which led to increases in the number of mortgage lender customers, along with gaining additional order volume from existing customers. Generally, direct costs of services are consistent when comparing the three months ended March 31, 2012 to the same period in 2011. Fees charged by appraisals have largely remained unchanged. However, changes in the fee income and cost of service per unit, and therefore the net margin, from period to period may be impacted by the product mix of the appraisal products completed. In addition, margins for other valuation and transaction-based services are generally significantly different from full service appraisal management services, which may impact margins as the volume of these services increases.

The selling, general and administrative expenses are higher when comparing the three months ended March 31, 2012 to the same period in 2011. However, as a percentage of revenue, these expenses are lower as many of these costs do not increase proportionate to production.

Following is an analysis of the results of operations from the appraisal management segment.

Table 3 – Appraisal Management Segment Operations (dollars in thousands, except unit and per unit amounts)

For the Three Months Ended					
March 31, 2012			March 31, 2011		
Total	Percent		Total	Percent	
\$41,431	99.3	%	\$18,695	99.0	%
306	0.7		191	1.0	
41,737	100.0		18,886	100.0	
37,426	89.7		16,694	88.4	
2,739	6.6		1,521	8.1	
	March 31, 2 Total \$41,431 306 41,737 37,426	March 31, 2012 Total Percent \$41,431 99.3 306 0.7 41,737 100.0 37,426 89.7	March 31, 2012 Total Percent \$41,431 99.3 % 306 0.7 41,737 100.0 37,426 89.7	March 31, 2012March 31, 2TotalPercentTotal\$41,43199.3% \$18,6953060.719141,737100.018,88637,42689.716,694	March 31, 2012March 31, 2011TotalPercentTotalPercent\$41,43199.3%\$18,69599.03060.71911.041,737100.018,886100.037,42689.716,69488.4

Other expense	_	_		30	0.2	
Other income	_	_		10	0.1	
Net income before income taxes	\$1,572	3.7	%	\$651	3.4	%
Completed orders: Full service appraisal management Other valuation services and transactions	107,618 16,925			51,116 4,555		

#### Table of Contents

Financial Intermediary: Service Fee Income and Cost of Services – We earn fees for providing financial settlement services to income tax preparation businesses and consumers. Settlement services are facilitated through arrangements we have made with other independent financial service providers, including our bank partners and data exchange managers. Settlement services consist mainly of collecting income tax refunds on behalf of our customers and distributing fees to independent service providers and the individual taxpayer. As the majority of our business is directly related to income tax refunds, a significant portion of the financial intermediary's operations occur during the first quarter of each year.

Although we are not a bank, we also provide a distribution for a bank to tailored banking accounts, small dollar banking products and related services. We are paid a fee from the bank based on the customers' account activity. In the analysis below, we have included all accounts opened (titled banking accounts enrolled), regardless of whether the account was used and generated fees. Cost of Services includes the direct cost related to providing services, which includes fees to third-party vendors performing services on our behalf. Additionally, internal costs directly associated with completing our services are included in Cost of Services. The internal costs include compensation and benefits of employees, office administration, depreciation of equipment used in the production process, and other expenses necessary to complete services performed.

While Advent nearly doubled the number of settlements it completed during the first quarter of 2012 when compared to the first quarter of 2011, net income was lower when comparing these periods. However, excluding the fees and interest charged by NFI, the net income was substantially unchanged. For 2012, Advent focused on increasing volume through tighter margins with the goal of bringing down the cost of our services to the taxpayer. In addition, we had higher operational costs than we expect to incur in the long term during 2012. Advent management is in the process of evaluating operations with the intent to lower costs in future periods.

When comparing the three months ended March 31, 2012 with the same period in 2011, Advent increased the number of banking accounts enrolled and the gross fees earned on those accounts, both in total revenue and per unit. The cost related to the banking accounts were also lower when comparing these periods.

Selling, general and administrative expenses were higher during the three months ended March 31, 2012 when compared to the same period in 2011. Advent incurred additional costs to administer the settlement programs, including compensation and related expenses for non-production staff and fees for professional services.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2012, NFI charged a guaranty fee of approximately \$1.0 million to Advent for NFI's guarantee of Advent's performance under its contract with its settlement services banking partner. Interest was also charged on amounts borrowed from NFI.

Following is an analysis of the results of operations from the financial intermediary segment.

Table 4 – Financial Intermediary Segment Operations (dollars in thousands, except unit and per unit amounts)

	For the Three Months Ended					
	March 31, 2012			March 31, 2011		
	Total	Percent		Total	Percent	
Service fee income:						
Settlement	\$7,281	91.1	%	\$5,251	90.8	%
Banking account distribution	712	8.9		532	9.2	
Total	7,993	100.0		5,783	100.0	
					—	
Cost of services	3,877	48.5		2,201	38.1	
Selling, general and administrative expense	1,301	16.3		791	13.7	

Guaranty fees and interest expense - NFI	1,243	15.6				
Other expense				204	3.5	
	6,421	80.3		3,196	55.3	
Net income before income taxes	\$1,572	19.6	%	\$2,587	44.7	%
Settlements of Federal income tax refunds (A)	517,596			284,445		
Banking accounts enrolled	38,868			32,406		

(A) Advent processes both Federal and state income tax refunds. However, many taxpayers have no state refund and others may have more than one state tax refund. For this analysis, the number of state refunds have not been included. The number of Federal income tax refunds generally represents the number of individual taxpayers using Advent services.

Corporate: Interest Income - Mortgage Securities - The interest on the mortgage securities we own has decreased when

#### Table of Contents

comparing the three months ended March 31, 2012 to the same periods in 2011, as the cash flow on the securities have decreased mainly due to poor performance of the underlying collateral. Management expects that the interest income and cash flow from these securities will continue to decline as the underlying loan collateral is written down or off.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses – Selling, general and administrative expenses have increased to \$7.5 million and \$5.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. This increase was driven by the growth of the appraisal management and financial intermediary operations and the increased workforce and infrastructure to help support the existing and expected increase in operations.

Interest Expense – Interest expense has increased to \$0.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 from \$0.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. The increase is due to the accretion of the debt discount to the higher principal balance of the Senior Notes. See Note 8 to the condensed consolidated financial statements for further details.

Income Tax Benefit – During the three months ended March 31, 2012, the Company released \$63.1 million of its valuation allowance against its deferred tax assets. See Note 11 to the condensed consolidated financial statements for further details.

#### Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of March 31, 2012, we had approximately \$15.9 million in unrestricted cash and cash equivalents.

Service fee income was a substantial source of our cash flows during the three months ended March 31, 2012. We have had significant growth during the three months ended March 31, 2012 as compared to the same period in 2011 and are currently projecting an increase in service fee income over the course of the next year as we continue to increase our customer base, although we cannot assure the same rate of growth that we have experienced. We anticipate that continued increases in appraisal volume will continue to drive positive earnings and cash flow from operations as compared to prior periods, we also anticipate an increase in overall housing market transactions similar to most years during the summer months.

Advent had increased cash flows from their operations during the three months ended March 31, 2012 as compared to the same period in 2011, before paying the fees and interest charged by NFI, due to an increased number of electronic return originators that offered its products. As the majority of its operations relate to electronic income tax returns, we do not anticipate Advent to have significant financial settlements during the remainder of 2012.

Based on the current projections, the cash flows from our mortgage securities will decrease over the next year as the underlying mortgage loans are repaid and written down, and could be significantly less than the current projections if losses on the underlying mortgage loans exceed the current assumptions or if short-term interest rates increase significantly.

Our current projections indicate that sufficient cash and cash flows are and will be available to meet payment needs. However, our mortgage securities cash flows are volatile and uncertain, and the amounts we receive could vary materially from our projections though we believe that the increased cash flows from operations will offset any reduction in our mortgage securities cash flows. As discussed under the heading "Item 1. Legal Proceedings" of Part II of this report, we are the subject of various legal proceedings, the outcomes of which are uncertain. We may also face demands in the future that are unknown to us today related to our legacy lending and servicing operations. However, management believes that its current operations and its cash availability are sufficient for the Company to discharge its liabilities and meet its commitments in the normal course of business.

The indentures governing the Senior Notes (the "Indentures") contain certain restrictive covenants (the "Negative Covenants") subject to certain exceptions in the Indentures, including written consent of the holders of the Senior Notes. The Negative Covenants prohibit the Company and its subsidiaries, from among other things, incurring debt, permitting any lien upon any of its property or assets, making any cash dividend or distribution or liquidation payment, acquiring shares of the Company or its subsidiaries, making payment on debt securities of the Company that rank pari passu or junior to the Senior Notes, or disposing of any equity interest in its subsidiaries or all or substantially all of the assets of its subsidiaries. At any time that the Senior Notes accrue interest at the Full Rate and the Company satisfies certain financial covenants (the "Financial Covenants"), the Negative Covenants will not apply. Satisfaction of the Financial Covenants requires the Company to demonstrate on a consolidated basis that (1) its Tangible Net Worth is equal to or greater than \$40 million, and (2) either (a) the Interest Coverage Ratio is equal to or greater than 1.35x, or (b) the Leverage Ratio is not greater than 95%. The Financial Covenants are not applicable to the Company as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 as the Senior Notes are not accruing interest at the Full Rate.

The only significant change to the Company's contractual obligations as presented in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, is the addition of the note payable to related party. See Note 8 to the condensed consolidated financial statements for repayment terms and other details.

Overview of Cash Flow for the For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2012

Summary of Statement of Cash Flows - Operating, Investing and Financing Activities

The following table provides a summary of our operating, investing and financing cash flows as taken from our condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011.

Table 5 – Summary of Operating, Investing and Financing Cash Flows (dollars in thousands)

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2012	2011	
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows:			
Cash provided by operating activities	\$3,696	\$2,422	
Cash flows provided by investing activities	639	690	
Cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities	64	(102	)

Operating Activities. Cash provided by operating activities increased during the three months ended March 31, 2012 over the same period in 2011. This was directly related to the increased production for both our Financial Intermediary and Appraisal Management segments.

Investing Activities. During the three months ended March 31, 2012, the Company had a large decease in proceeds from paydowns and maturities of securities as compared to the same period last year mainly due to poor performance of the underlying collateral. However, as discussed in Note 5 to the condensed consolidated financial statements, the Company received a large note receivable payment of \$1.5 million during the three months ended March 31, 2012. During the three months ended March 31, 2012, the Company acquired a portion of StreetLinks' noncontrolling interest and also purchased a larger amount of property and equipment as compared to the same period in the prior year to support growth in the operating businesses.

Financing Activities. During the three months ended March 31, 2012, the Company received contributions from the Mango noncontrolling interests as part of a capital call compared to distributions to StreetLinks noncontrolling interests during the same period in 2011.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

As a smaller reporting company, we are not required to provide the information required by this Item.

#### Item 4. Controls and Procedures

# Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains a system of disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits under the federal securities laws, including this report, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the applicable rules and forms, and that it is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. These disclosure controls and procedures include controls and procedures designed to the Company's management on a timely basis to allow decisions regarding required disclosure. The Company's principal executive officer and principal financial officer waluated the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(d)) as of the end of the period covered by this report and concluded that the Company's controls and procedures were effective.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting during the three months ended March 31, 2012 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Pending Litigation.

The Company is a party to various legal proceedings. Except as set forth below, these proceedings are of an ordinary and routine nature, including, but not limited to, breach of contract claims, tort claims, and claims for violations of federal and state consumer protection laws.

Although it is not possible to predict the outcome of any legal proceeding, in the opinion of management, other than the active proceedings described in detail below, proceedings and actions against the Company should not, individually, or in the aggregate, have a material effect on the Company's financial condition, operations and liquidity. Furthermore, due to the uncertainty of any potential loss as a result of pending litigation and due to the Company's belief that an adverse ruling is not probable, the Company has not accrued a loss contingency related to the following matters in its condensed consolidated financial statements. However, a material outcome in one or more of the active proceedings described below could have a material impact on the results of operations in a particular quarter or fiscal year.

On May 21, 2008, a purported class action case was filed in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, New York County, by the New Jersey Carpenters' Health Fund, on behalf of itself and all others similarly situated. Defendants in the case included NovaStar Mortgage Funding Corporation ("NMFC") and its individual directors, several securitization trusts sponsored by the Company ("affiliated defendants") and several unaffiliated investment banks and credit rating agencies. The case was removed to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. On June 16, 2009, the plaintiff filed an amended complaint. Plaintiff seeks monetary damages, alleging that the defendants violated sections 11, 12 and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, by making allegedly false statements regarding mortgage loans that served as collateral for securities purchased by plaintiff and the purported class members. On August 31, 2009, the Company filed a motion to dismiss the plaintiff's claims, which the Court granted on March 31, 2011, with leave to amend. Plaintiff filed a second amended complaint on May 16, 2011, and the Company again filed a motion to dismiss. On March 29, 2012, the Court dismissed the plaintiff's second amended complaint with prejudice and without leave to replead. On April 25, 2012, the plaintiff filed a notice of appeal. Because the litigation is procedurally in an early stage, the Company cannot provide an estimate of the range of any loss. The Company believes that the affiliated defendants have meritorious defenses to the case and expects them to defend the case vigorously.

On December 31, 2009, ITS Financial, LLC ("ITS") filed a complaint against Advent and the Company alleging a breach of contract by Advent for a contract for services related to tax refund anticipation loans and early season loans. ITS does business as Instant Tax Service. The defendants moved the case to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio. The complaint alleged that the Company worked in tandem and as one entity with Advent in all material respects. The complaint also alleged fraud in the inducement, tortious interference by the Company with the contract, breach of good faith and fair dealing, fraudulent and negligent misrepresentation, and liability of the Company by piercing the corporate veil and joint and several liability. The plaintiff referenced a \$3.0 million loan made by the Company to ITS and sought a judgment declaring that this loan be subject to an offset by ITS's damages. On September 29, 2010, the Company and Advent answered the complaint and made a counterclaim against ITS for ITS's failure to repay the loan. On February 21, 2011, the Company amended its counterclaim, asserting additional claims against ITS. On October 21, 2011, the Court granted the Company's motion for partial summary judgment on the loan claim and granted a partial summary judgment in favor of the Company with respect to certain claims and damages alleged by ITS. In December 2011, the parties settled the litigation and the case was dismissed. The Company paid no money to the plaintiff, and the plaintiff agreed to a payment to the Company of approximately \$3.9 million. Approximately \$1.3 million was paid to the Company at the time of the settlement with the remaining balance to be paid in February 2012. In February 2012, the Company agreed to a modification to the settlement; pursuant to the modification, \$1.5 million was paid at the time of the modification and approximately \$1.2 million will be due in February 2013. See Note 5 to the condensed consolidated financial statements for additional details relating to the note receivable and its collectability.

On July 9, 2010 and on February 11, 2011, Cambridge Place Investment Management, Inc. filed complaints in the Suffolk, Massachusetts Superior Court against NMFC and numerous other entities seeking damages on account of losses associated with residential mortgage-backed securities purchased by plaintiff's assignors. The complaints allege untrue statements and omissions of material facts relating to loan underwriting and credit enhancement. The complaints also allege a violation of Section 410 of the Massachusetts Uniform Securities Act (Chapter 110A of the Massachusetts General Laws). Defendants removed the cases to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, and plaintiff filed motions to remand the cases back to state court. On August 22, 2011, the federal

court remanded these cases back to state court, and on October 14, 2011, the plaintiff filed amended complaints. In December 2011, the Company, together with the other defendants in the litigation, filed a joint motion to dismiss the complaints alleging that the plaintiff lacked standing. On March 12, 2012 the court denied the defendants' motion to dismiss for lack of standing, and the defendants have sought an interlocutory appeal of the denial. On April 26, 2012, the defendants filed a joint motion to dismiss the plaintiff's complaints for a failure to state a claim. Because this litigation is procedurally in its early stage, the Company cannot provide an estimate of the range of any loss. The Company believes that NMFC has meritorious defenses to these claims and expects that the cases will be defended vigorously.

On June 20, 2011, the National Credit Union Administration Board, as liquidating agent of U.S. Central Federal Credit Union, filed an action against NMFC and numerous other defendants in the United States District Court for the District of Kansas, claiming that the defendants issued or underwrote residential mortgage-backed securities pursuant to allegedly false or misleading registration statements, prospectuses, and/or prospectus supplements. On October 12, 2011, the complaint was served on NMFC. On December 20, 2011, NMFC filed a motion to dismiss the plaintiff's complaint and to strike certain paragraphs of the complaint. This litigation is in an early stage, and the Company cannot provide an estimate of the range of any loss. The Company believes that NMFC has meritorious defenses to the case and expects it to defend the case vigorously.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

**Risk Factors** 

There have been no material changes to the risk factors previously disclosed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds (dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

**Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities** 

	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (A)
January 1, 2012 - January 31, 2012	_	_	_	\$1,020
February 1, 2012 - February 29, 2012	_	_	_	\$1,020
March 1, 2012 - March 31, 2012	_			\$1,020

A current report on Form 8-K was filed on October 2, 2000 announcing that the Board of Directors authorized the Company to repurchase its common shares, bringing the total authorization to \$9 million. The Company has

<sup>(A)</sup> repurchased \$8.0 million to date, leaving approximately \$1.0 million of shares that may yet be purchased under the plan.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

None.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

**Exhibit Listing** Exhibit No. Description of Document Amended and Restated NovaStar Financial, Inc. 2004 Incentive Stock Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 6, 2012 (File No. 10.1 001-13533)) Membership Interest Purchase Agreement, dated March 8, 2012, by and between the Company and 10.2 Steve Haslam (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 9, 2012 (File No. 001-13533)) Employment Agreement, dated as of March 8, 2012, by and between the Company and Steve Haslam (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 9, 10.3 2012 (File No. 001-13533)) Stock Option Agreement, dated March 8, 2012, by and between the Company and Steve Haslam (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 9, 10.4 2012 (File No. 001-13533)) Employment Agreement, dated as of March 2, 2012, by and between the Company and Matthew Lautz (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 9, 10.5 2012 (File No. 001-13533)) Employment Agreement, dated as of March 1, 2012, by and between the Company and Brett Monger (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 9, 10.6 2012 (File No. 001-13533)) Stock Option Agreement, dated March 8, 2012, by and between the Company and Brett Monger (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 9, 10.7 2012 (File No. 001-13533)) 11.1 (1) Statement Regarding Computation of Per Share Earnings 31.1 Chief Executive Officer Certification filed pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 Principal Financial Officer Certification filed pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 31.2 2002 Chief Executive Officer Certification furnished pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 32.1 2002 Principal Financial Officer Certification furnished pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 32.2 2002 The following financial information from NovaStar Financial, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-O for the quarter ended March 31, 2012, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) includes: (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, (ii) Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, (iii) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 101 2011, (iv) Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, (v) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, and (vi) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of text. In accordance with Regulation S-T, the XBRL-formatted interactive data files that comprise this Exhibit 101 shall be deemed "furnished" and not "filed."

(1) See Note 13 to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

#### SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

NOVASTAR FINANCIAL, INC.	
DATE: May 14, 2012	/s/ W. Lance Anderson W. Lance Anderson, Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)
DATE: May 14, 2012	/s/ Rodney E. Schwatken Rodney E. Schwatken, Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)
DATE: May 14, 2012	/s/ Brett A. Monger Brett A. Monger, Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer (Principal Accounting Officer)