Northwest Bancshares, Inc. Form 10-Q May 08, 2012 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

x Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2012

or

o Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the transition period from

to

Commission File Number 001-34582

Northwest Bancshares, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland 27-0950358

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

100	Liberty	Street,	Warren,	Pennsy	lvania
()	Address o	of princi	inal execu	tive offi	ces)

16365 (Zip Code)

(814) 726-2140

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large Accelerated Filer x

Accelerated Filer o

Non-Accelerated Filer o

Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a Shell Company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date:

Common Stock (\$0.01 par value) 97,602,357 shares outstanding as of April 30, 2012

NORTHWEST BANCSHARES, INC.

INDEX

		PAGE
PART I	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Financial Statements (unaudited)	
	Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011	1
	Consolidated Statements of Income for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011	2
	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011	3
	Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders Equity for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011	4
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011	5
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Unaudited	7
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	39
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	53
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	54
PART II	OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	54
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	55
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	55
Item 3.	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	55
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	55
Item 5.	Other Information	55
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	55
	<u>Signatures</u>	57
	Certifications	

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NORTHWEST BANCSHARES, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION

(in thousands, except share data)

	(Unaudited) March 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Assets		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 85,050	94,276
Interest-earning deposits in other financial institutions	672,887	593,388
Federal funds sold and other short-term investments	952	633
Marketable securities available-for-sale (amortized cost of \$894,232 and \$885,408)	919,578	908,349
Marketable securities held-to-maturity (fair value of \$224,630 and \$239,412)	216,956	231,389
Total cash and investments	1,895,423	1,828,035
Personal Banking:		
Loans held for sale	14,222	967
Residental mortgage loans	2,412,711	2,396,399
Home equity loans	1,058,938	1,084,786
Other consumer loans	237,591	245,689
Total Personal Banking	3,723,462	3,727,841
Business Banking:		
Commercial real estate loans	1,475,576	1,435,767
Commercial loans	408,894	387,911
Total Business Banking	1,884,470	1,823,678
Total loans	5,607,932	5,551,519
Allowance for loan losses	(72,941)	(71,138)
Total loans, net	5,534,991	5,480,381
Federal Home Loan Bank stock, at cost	47,090	48,935
Accrued interest receivable	25,072	24,599
Real estate owned, net	28,895	26,887
Premises and equipment, net	133,599	132,152
Bank owned life insurance	134,615	133,524
Goodwill	171,882	171,882
Other intangible assets	1,828	2,123
Other assets	95,613	109,187
Total assets	\$ 8,069,008	7,957,705
Liabilities and Shareholders equity		
Liabilities:		
Noninterest-bearing demand deposits	\$ 732,361	658,560
Interest-bearing demand deposits	833,342	800,676
Savings deposits	2,124,269	2,036,272
Time deposits	2,179,789	2,284,817
Total deposits	5,869,761	5,780,325

Borrowed funds	836,410	827,925
Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures held by trusts that issued guaranteed capital		
debt securities	103,094	103,094
Advances by borrowers for taxes and insurance	27,683	23,571
Accrued interest payable	1,119	1,104
Other liabilities	68,466	66,782
Total liabilities	6,906,533	6,802,801
Shareholders equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value: 50,000,000 authorized, no shares issued		
Common stock, \$0.01 par value: 500,000,000 shares authorized, 97,593,396 and 97,493,046		
shares issued, respectively	976	975
Paid-in capital	660,933	659,523
Retained earnings	547,352	543,598
Unallocated common stock of Employee Stock Ownership Plan	(25,568)	(25,966)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(21,218)	(23,226)
Total shareholders equity	1,162,475	1,154,904
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 8,069,008	7,957,705
* *		

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements - unaudited

NORTHWEST BANCSHARES, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Unaudited)

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

	Three months ended		
	March 31, 2012	2011	
Interest income:	2012	2011	
Loans receivable	\$ 78,159	80,457	
Mortgage-backed securities	4,691	6,756	
Taxable investment securities	573	398	
Tax-free investment securities	2,446	3,074	
Interest-earning deposits	380	407	
Total interest income	86,249	91,092	
	·	,	
Interest expense:			
Deposits	12,944	16,063	
Borrowed funds	7,899	7,989	
Total interest expense	20,843	24,052	
Net interest income	65,406	67,040	
Provision for loan losses	6,287	7,244	
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	59,119	59,796	
Noninterest income:			
Impairment losses on securities	(545)		
Noncredit related losses on securities not expected to be sold (recognized in other			
comprehensive income)	307		
Net impairment losses	(238)		
Gain on sale of investments, net	44	4	
Service charges and fees	8,425	8,928	
Trust and other financial services income	2,116	1,910	
Insurance commission income	1,718	1,380	
Loss on real estate owned, net	(1,070)	(27)	
Income from bank owned life insurance	1,117	1,166	
Mortgage banking income	531	197	
Other operating income	997	768	
Total noninterest income	13,640	14,326	
Noninterest expense:			
Compensation and employee benefits	27,838	25,499	
Premises and occupancy costs	5,748	6,191	
Office operations	3,324	3,100	
Processing expenses	6,142	5,767	
Marketing expenses	2,036	1,959	
Federal deposit insurance premiums	1,620	2,427	
Professional services	1,697	1,256	
Amortization of intangible assets	295	491	
Real estate owned expense	740	431	
Other expenses	1,836	2,257	
Total noninterest expense	51,276	49,378	

Edgar Filing: Northwest Bancshares, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Income before income taxes		21,483	24,744
Federal and state income taxes		6,302	7,491
Net income	2	15,181	17,253
Net illcome	Ф	13,161	17,233
Basic earnings per share	\$	0.16	0.16
Diluted earnings per share	\$	0.16	0.16

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements

NORTHWEST BANCSHARES, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (Unaudited)

(in thousands)

	Three months ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
Net Income	\$ 15,181	17,253
Other comprehensive income net of tax:		
Net unrealized holding gains on marketable securities:		
Unrealized holding gains net of tax of \$(870) and \$(1,131), respectively	1,361	2,101
Other-than-temporary impairment on securities included in net income, net of tax of \$(93)		
and \$0, respectively	145	
Reclassification adjustment for gains included in net income, net of tax of \$31 and \$8,		
respectively	(49)	(16)
Net unrealized holding gains on marketable securities	1,457	2,085
Change in fair value of interest rate swaps, net of tax of \$(297) and \$(481), respectively	551	894
Other comprehensive income	2,008	2,979
Total comprehensive income	\$ 17,189	20,232

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements

NORTHWEST BANCSHARES, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY (Unaudited)

(dollars in thousands, except dividends per share data)

	Commo	on Stocl	k	Paid-in	Retained	Accumulated Other Comprehensive	Unallocated common stock	Total Shareholders
Three months ended March 31,						-		
2011	Shares	A	Amount	Capital	Earnings	Income/ (loss)	of ESOP	Equity
Beginning balance at December 31, 2010	110,295,117	\$	1,103	824,164	523,089	(13,497)	(27,409)	1,307,450
Comprehensive income:								
Net income					17,253			17,253
Other comprehensive income, net of tax of \$(1,604)						2,979		2,979
Total comprehensive income					17,253	2,979		20,232
Exercise of stock options	56,738		1	352				353
Stock compensation expense				483			384	867
Share repurchases	(2,618,423)		(26)	(31,048)				(31,074)
Dividends paid (\$0.10 per share)					(10,712)			(10,712)
Ending balance at March 31, 2011	107,733,432	\$	1,078	793,951	529,630	(10,518)	(27,025)	1,287,116
	Commo	on Stocl	k	Paid-in	Retained	Accumulated Other Comprehensive	Unallocated common stock	Total Shareholders
Three months ended March 31, 2012	Shares	A	Mount	Capital	Earnings	Income/ (loss)	of ESOP	Equity
Beginning balance at December 31, 2011	97,493,046	\$	975	659,523	543,598	(23,226)	(25,966)	1,154,904
Comprehensive income: Net income					15,181			15,181
Other comprehensive income, net of tax of $\$(1,229)$						2,008		2,008
Total comprehensive income					15,181	2,008		17,189
Exercise of stock options	100,350		1	785				786
Stock compensation expense				625			398	1,023
Dividends paid (\$0.12 per share)					(11,427)			(11,427)

Ending balance at March 31, 2012

2012 97,593,396 \$ 976 660,933 547,352 (21,218) (25,568) 1,162,475

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements

4

NORTHWEST BANCSHARES, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (unaudited)

(in thousands)

	Three months ended March 31,		ded
		2012	2011
OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
Net Income	\$	15,181	17,253
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Provision for loan losses		6,287	7,244
Net loss on sale of assets		1,164	608
Net depreciation, amortization and accretion		2,467	4,108
Decrease in other assets		9,896	8,358
Increase / (decrease) in other liabilities		2,547	(4,045)
Net amortization of premium on marketable securities		(54)	(344)
Noncash impairment losses on investment securities		238	
Noncash write-down of real estate owned		536	416
Origination of loans held for sale		(50,110)	(15,385)
Proceeds from sale of loans held for sale		36,982	23,215
Noncash compensation expense related to stock benefit plans		1,023	867
Net cash provided by operating activities		26,157	42,295
INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Purchase of marketable securities available-for-sale		(77,491)	(91,695)
Purchase of marketable securities held-to-maturity			
Proceeds from maturities and principal reductions of marketable securities available-for-sale		68,558	63,997
Proceeds from maturities and principal reductions of marketable securities held-to-maturity		14,401	43,320
Loan originations		(480,303)	(375,303)
Proceeds from loan maturities and principal reductions		427,002	405,521
Proceeds from sale of Federal Home Loan Bank stock		1,845	3,004
Proceeds from sale of real estate owned		3,263	2,866
Sale of real estate owned for investment, net		114	102
Purchase of premises and equipment		(4,346)	(1,931)
Net cash (used in)/ provided by investing activities		(46,957)	49,881

NORTHWEST BANCSHARES, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (unaudited) (continued)

(in thousands)

	Three months ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Increase in deposits, net	\$ 89,436	56,201
Repayments of long-term borrowings	(17)	(50,017)
Net increase/ (decrease) in short-term borrowings	8,502	(9,701)
Increase in advances by borrowers for taxes and insurance	4,112	3,323
Cash dividends paid	(11,427)	(10,712)
Purchase of common stock for retirement		(31,074)
Proceeds from stock options exercised	786	353
Net cash (used in) / provided by financing activities	91,392	(41,627)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	\$ 70,592	50,549
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	\$ 688,297	719,111
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	70,592	50,549
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 758,889	769,660
Cash and cash equivalents:		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 85,050	78,446
Interest-earning deposits in other financial institutions	672,887	690,581
Federal funds sold and other short-term investments	952	633
Total cash and cash equivalents	\$ 758,889	769,660
Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest on deposits and borrowings (including interest credited to deposit accounts of		
\$11,065 and \$13,731, respectively)	\$ 20,828	24,598
Income taxes	\$ 955	917
Non-cash activities:		
Loan foreclosures and repossessions	\$ 6,557	2,052
Sale of real estate owned financed by the Company	\$ 100	140

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - Unaudited

(1) <u>Basis of Presentation and Informational Disclosures</u>

Northwest Bancshares, Inc. (the Company)or (NWBI), is a Maryland incorporated company headquartered in Warren, Pennsylvania, is a savings and loan holding company regulated by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. The Company was incorporated to be the successor to Northwest Bancorp, Inc. upon the completion of the mutual-to-stock conversion of Northwest Bancorp, MHC. The primary activity of the Company is the ownership of all of the issued and outstanding common stock of Northwest Savings Bank, a Pennsylvania-chartered savings bank (Northwest). Northwest is regulated by the FDIC and the Pennsylvania Department of Banking. At March 31, 2012, Northwest operated 168 community-banking offices throughout Pennsylvania, western New York, eastern Ohio and Maryland.

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its subsidiary, Northwest, and Northwest's subsidiaries Northwest Settlement Agency, LLC, Northwest Consumer Discount Company, Northwest Financial Services, Inc., Northwest Advisors, Inc., Northwest Capital Group, Inc., Boetger & Associates, Inc., Allegheny Services, Inc., Great Northwest Corporation and Veracity Benefits Design. The unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions for Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required for complete annual financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments necessary for the fair presentation of the Company's financial position and results of operations have been included. The consolidated statements have been prepared using the accounting policies described in the financial statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 updated, as required, for any new pronouncements or changes.

The results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2012.

Stock-Based Compensation

Stock-based compensation expense of \$1.0 million and \$867,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, was recognized in compensation expense relating to our stock benefit plans. At March 31, 2012 there was compensation expense of \$5.6 million to be recognized for awarded but unvested stock options and \$12.8 million for unvested common shares.

Income Taxes- Uncertain Tax Positions

Accounting standards prescribe a comprehensive model for how a company should recognize, measure, present and disclose in its financial statements uncertain tax positions that the company has taken or expects to take on a tax return. A tax benefit from an uncertain position may be recognized only if it is more likely than not that the position is sustainable, based on its technical merits. The tax benefit of a qualifying position is the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement with a taxing authority having full knowledge of all relevant information. As of March 31, 2012 we had no liability for unrecognized tax benefits.

We recognize interest accrued related to: (1) unrecognized tax benefits in federal and state income taxes and (2) refund claims in other operating income. We recognize penalties (if any) in federal and state income taxes. There is no amount accrued for the payment of interest or penalties at March 31, 2012. We are subject to audit by the Internal Revenue Service and any state in which we conduct business for the tax periods ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008.

(2) <u>Business Segments</u>

We operate in two reportable business segments: Community Banking and Consumer Finance. The Community Banking segment provides services traditionally offered by full-service community banks, including commercial and personal demand, savings and time deposit accounts and commercial and personal loans, as well as insurance, brokerage and investment management and trust services. The Consumer Finance segment, which is comprised of Northwest Consumer Discount Company, a subsidiary of Northwest, operates 52 offices in Pennsylvania and offers personal installment loans for a variety of consumer and real estate products. This activity is funded primarily through an intercompany borrowing relationship with Allegheny Services, Inc., a subsidiary of Northwest. Net income is the primary measure used by management to measure segment performance. The following tables provide financial information for these reportable segments. The All Other column represents the parent company and elimination entries necessary to reconcile to the consolidated amounts presented in the financial statements.

At or for the three months ended:

	Community	Consumer		
March 31, 2012 (\$ in 000 s)	Banking	Finance	All Other *	Consolidated
External interest income	\$ 80,405	5,531	313	86,249
Intersegment interest income	748		(748)	
Interest expense	19,540	748	555	20,843
Provision for loan losses	5,500	787		6,287
Noninterest income	13,082	511	47	13,640
Noninterest expense	47,878	3,196	202	51,276
Income tax expense (benefit)	6,221	504	(423)	6,302
Net income	15,096	807	(722)	15,181
Total assets	\$ 7,917,340	113,271	38,397	8,069,008

	Community	Consumer		
March 31, 2011 (\$ in 000 s)	Banking	Finance	All Other *	Consolidated
External interest income	\$ 85,616	5,247	229	91,092
Intersegment interest income	770		(770)	
Interest expense	22,960	770	322	24,052
Provision for loan losses	6,500	744		7,244
Noninterest income	13,860	453	13	14,326
Noninterest expense	46,101	3,037	240	49,378
Income tax expense (benefit)	7,396	477	(382)	7,491
Net income	17,289	672	(708)	17,253
Total assets	\$ 7,986,834	112,716	22,654	8,122,204

^{*} Eliminations consist of intercompany loans, interest income and interest expense.

(3) <u>Investment securities and impairment of investment securities</u>

The following table shows the portfolio of investment securities available-for-sale at March 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized holding gains	Gross unrealized holding losses	Fair value
Debt issued by the U.S. government and agencies:				
Due in one year or less	\$ 56			56
Debt issued by government sponsored enterprises:				
Due in one year - five years	26,296	44		26,340
Due in five years - ten years	29,163	474		29,637
Due after ten years	9,125		(35)	9,090
Equity securities	12,398	4,676	(3)	17,071
Municipal securities:				
Due in one year - five years	8,880	245		9,125
Due in five years - ten years	26,427	1,093		27,520
Due after ten years	116,529	5,905	(110)	122,324
Corporate debt issues:				
Due in one year - five years	500			500
Due after ten years	25,019	275	(6,135)	19,159
Residential mortgage-backed securities:				
Fixed rate pass-through	102,152	7,528	(16)	109,664
Variable rate pass-through	129,112	6,636	(4)	135,744
Fixed rate non-agency CMOs	8,280	178	(307)	8,151
Fixed rate agency CMOs	143,158	3,126		146,284
Variable rate non-agency CMOs	1,023		(135)	888
Variable rate agency CMOs	256,114	2,038	(127)	258,025
Total residential mortgage-backed securities	639,839	19,506	(589)	658,756
Total marketable securities available-for-sale	\$ 894,232	32,218	(6,872)	919,578

The following table shows the portfolio of investment securities available-for-sale at December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized holding gains	Gross unrealized holding losses	Fair value
Debt issued by the U.S. government and agencies:				
Due in one year or less	\$ 59			59
Debt issued by government sponsored enterprises:				
Due in one year - five years	36,295	134		36,429
Due in five years - ten years	29,557	638	(61)	30,134
Due after ten years	9,665		(49)	9,616
Equity securities	12,080	644	(259)	12,465
Municipal securities:				
Due in one year - five years	10,633	291		10,924
Due in five years - ten years	27,817	1,336		29,153
Due after ten years	124,041	5,350	(180)	129,211
Corporate debt issues:				
Due in one year or less	500			500
Due after ten years	25,036	233	(4,635)	20,634
Residential mortgage-backed securities:				
Fixed rate pass-through	110,364	8,201	(1)	118,564
Variable rate pass-through	135,103	6,679	(4)	141,778
Fixed rate non-agency CMOs	9,521	188	(735)	8,974
Fixed rate CMOs	112,670	3,466		116,136
Variable rate non-agency CMOs	1,104		(154)	950
Variable rate CMOs	240,963	1,991	(132)	242,822
Total residential mortgage-backed securities	609,725	20,525	(1,026)	629,224
Total marketable securities available-for-sale	\$ 885,408	29,151	(6,210)	908,349

The following table shows the portfolio of investment securities held-to-maturity at March 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized holding gains	Gross unrealized holding losses	Fair value
Municipal securities:				
Due in Five years - ten years	\$ 3,678	171		3,849
Due after ten years	69,592	3,649		73,241
Residential mortgage-backed securities:				
Fixed rate pass-through	22,815	1,117		23,932
Variable rate pass-through	8,649	54		8,703
Fixed rate agency CMOs	100,008	2,436		102,444
Variable rate agency CMOs	12,214	247		12,461
Total residential mortgage-backed securities	143,686	3,854		147,540

Edgar Filing: Northwest Bancshares,	Inc	Form	10-Q
-------------------------------------	-----	------	------

Total marketable securities held-to-maturity	•	216,956	7 674	224,630
TOTAL IIIALKETADIE SECULTUES HEIG-TO-HIATULITY	'D	410,9.10	7.074	44 4 .0.00

Table of Contents

The following table shows the portfolio of investment securities held-to-maturity at December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized holding gains	Gross unrealized holding losses	Fair value
Municipal securities:				
Due in five years - ten years	\$ 3,677	174		3,851
Due after ten years	71,015	3,615		74,630
Residential mortgage-backed securities:				
Fixed rate pass-through	24,160	1,099		25,259
Variable rate pass-through	9,066	94		9,160
Fixed rate agency CMOs	108,881	2,761		111,642
Variable rate agency CMOs	14,590	280		14,870
Total residential mortgage-backed securities	156,697	4,234		160,931
Total marketable securities held-to-maturity	\$ 231,389	8,023		239,412

We review our investment portfolio on a quarterly basis for indications of impairment. This review includes analyzing the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been lower than the amortized cost, the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, including any specific events which may influence the operations of the issuer, and the intent to hold the investments for a period of time sufficient to allow for a recovery in value. Other investments are evaluated using our best estimate of future cash flows. If the estimate of cash flows indicate that an adverse change has occurred, other-than-temporary impairment would be recognized for the amount of the loss that was deemed credit related.

The following table shows the fair value of and gross unrealized losses on investment securities, aggregated by investment category and length of time that the individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at March 31, 2012 (in thousands):

		Less than 12	months Unrealized	12 m	onths or more Unrealized	Total Unrealized		
	Fai	r value	loss	Fair value	loss	Fair value	loss	
U.S. government and agencies	\$			9,090	(35)	9,090	(35)	
Municipal securities		899	(17) 1,489	(93)	2,388	(110)	
Corporate issues		1,580	(171	13,479	(5,964)	15,059	(6,135)	
Equity securities				16	\tilde{o} (3)	16	(3)	
Residential mortgage- backed								
securities - non-agency				4,899	(442)	4,899	(442)	
Residential mortgage- backed								
securities - agency		80,745	(128) 15,592	(19)	96,337	(147)	
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$	83,224	(316) 44,565	(6,556)	127,789	(6,872)	
				11				

The following table shows the fair value of and gross unrealized losses on investment securities, aggregated by investment category and length of time that the individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

	Less than 12 m		Less than 12 months 12 months or more Unrealized Unrealized		nths or more Unrealized		Total Unrealized
	I	Fair value	loss	Fair value	loss	Fair value	loss
U.S. government and agencies	\$	24,601	(61)	9,648	(49)	34,249	(110)
Municipal securities				2,317	(180)	2,317	(180)
Corporate issues		3,537	(219)	15,067	(4,416)	18,604	(4,635)
Equities		4,178	(258)	18	(1)	4,196	(259)
Residential mortgage- backed							
securities - non-agency				4,971	(889)	4,971	(889)
Residential mortgage- backed							
securities - agency		85,921	(100)	14,353	(37)	100,274	(137)
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$	118,237	(638)	46,374	(5,572)	164,611	(6,210)

Corporate issues

As of March 31, 2012, we had six investments with a total book value of \$19.4 million and total fair value of \$13.5 million, where the book value exceeded the carrying value for more than 12 months. These investments were two single issuer trust preferred investments and four pooled trust preferred investments. The single issuer trust preferred investments were evaluated for other-than-temporary impairment by determining the strength of the underlying issuer. In both cases, the underlying issuer was well-capitalized for regulatory purposes. Neither of the issuers have deferred interest payments or announced the intention to defer interest payments, nor have either been downgraded. We believe the decline in fair value is related to the spread over three month LIBOR, on which the quarterly interest payments are based, as the spread over LIBOR is significantly lower than current market spreads on similar investments. We concluded the impairment of these two investments was considered noncredit related and temporary. In making that determination, we also considered the duration and the severity of the losses and whether we intend to hold these securities until the value is recovered, the securities are redeemed or maturity. The pooled trust preferred investments were evaluated for other-than-temporary impairment by considering the duration and severity of the losses, actual cash flows, projected cash flows, performing collateral, the class of investment owned and the amount of additional defaults the structure could withstand prior to the investment experiencing a disruption in cash flows. None of these investments experienced a cash flow disruption or are projecting a cash flow disruption.

We concluded, based on all facts evaluated, the impairment of these investments was considered noncredit related and temporary. Management asserts that we do not have the intent to sell these investments and that it is more likely than not, we will not have to sell the investments before recovery of their cost basis.

The following table provides class, book value, fair value and ratings information for our portfolio of corporate securities that have an unrealized loss at March 31, 2012 (in thousands):

Description	Class	Book Value	Total Fair Value	Unrealized Moody s/ Fitch Losses Ratings
Bank Boston Capital Trust				
(1)	N/A	\$ 989	694	(295) Ba1/BB
Huntington Capital Trust	N/A	1,425	1,038	(387) Baa3/ BB
Commercebank Capital Trust	N/A	887	880	(7) Not rated
Ocean Shore Capital Trust	N/A	864	700	(164) Not rated
I-PreTSL I	Mezzanine	1,500	443	(1,057) Not rated/ CCC
I-PreTSL II	Mezzanine	1,500	605	(895) Not rated/B
PreTSL XIX	Senior A-1	8,626	6,365	(2,261) Baa2/ BBB
PreTSL XX	Senior A-1	5,403	4,334	(1,069) Ba2/BB
		\$ 21,194	15,059	(6,135)

⁽¹⁾ Bank Boston was acquired by Bank of America.

The following table provides collateral information on the entire pool for the trust preferred securities included in the previous table at March 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	Total	Current deferrals	Performing	Additional Immediate defaults before causing an interest
Description *	Collateral	and defaults	Collateral	shortfall
I-PreTSL I	\$ 188,500	32,500	156,000	93,251
I-PreTSL II	340,500	17,500	323,000	156,787
PreTSL XIX	649,981	179,150	470,831	146,000
PreTSL XX	552,238	174,500	377,738	96,500

Mortgage-backed securities

Mortgage-backed securities include agency (FNMA, FHLMC and GNMA) mortgage-backed securities and non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs). We review our portfolio of agency mortgage-backed securities quarterly for impairment. As of March 31, 2012, we believe that the impairment within our portfolio of agency mortgage-backed securities is noncredit related and temporary. As of March 31, 2012, we had ten non-agency CMOs with a total book value of \$9.3 million and a total fair value of \$9.0 million. During the three months ended March 31, 2012, we recognized other-than-temporary credit related impairment of \$238,000 related to one of these investments. After recognizing the other-than-temporary impairment, our book value on this investment was \$4.3 million, with a fair value of \$4.0 million. We determined how much of the impairment was credit related and noncredit related by analyzing cash flow estimates, estimated prepayment speeds, loss severity and conditional default rates. We consider the discounted cash flow analysis to be our primary evidence when determining whether credit related other-than-temporary impairment exists. The impairment on the other nine non-agency

Table of Contents

CMOs, with book value of \$5.0 million and fair value of \$5.0 million, were also reviewed considering the severity and length of impairment. After this review, we determined that the impairment on these securities was noncredit related and temporary.

The following table shows issuer specific information, book value, fair value, unrealized gain or loss and other-than-temporary impairment recorded in earnings for the portfolio of non-agency CMOs at March 31, 2012 (in thousands):

Description	Book Value	Fair Value	Unrealized Gain/ (loss)	Impairment recorded in current period earnings	Total impairment recorded in prior period earnings
AMAC 2003-6 2A2	\$ 323	331	8		
AMAC 2003-6 2A8	667	686	19		
AMAC 2003-7 A3	395	399	4		
BOAMS 2005-11 1A8	1,501	1,629	128		(146)
CWALT 2005-J14 A3	4,318	4,011	(307)	(238)	(676)
CFSB 2003-17 2A2	615	625	10		
WAMU 2003-S2 A4	461	470	9		
CMLTI 2005-10 1A5B	58	3	(55)		(3,531)
SARM 2005-21 4A2	23	16	(7)		(3,193)
WFMBS 2003-B A2	942	869	(73)		
	\$ 9,303	9,039	(264)	(238)	(7,546)

Municipal Securities

At March 31, 2012, we had two investments in municipal securities with a total book value of \$1.6 million and a total fair value of \$1.5 million, where book value exceeded fair value for more than 12 months. We initially evaluate municipal securities for other-than-temporary impairment by comparing the fair value, provided to us by two third party pricing sources using quoted prices for similar assets that are actively traded, to the carrying value. When an investment s fair value is below 80% of the carrying value we then look at the stated interest rate and compare the stated interest rate to current market interest rates to determine if the decline in fair value is considered to be attributable to interest rates. If the interest rate approximates current interest rates for similar securities, we determine if the investment is rated and if so, if the rating has changed in the current period. If the rating has not changed during the current period, we review publicly available information to determine if there has been any negative change in the underlying municipality. As of March 31, 2012, we have determined that all of the impairment in our municipal securities portfolio is noncredit related and temporary. The two investments in municipal securities discussed above were issued by two Pennsylvania municipalities.

Table of Contents

The following table provides information for our portfolio of municipal securities that have unrealized losses for greater than 12 months at March 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	Total						
			Book	Fair	Unrealized		
Description	State		Value	Value	Losses Rating		
Cambridge Area JT Revenue	PA	\$	595	566	(29) Not rated		
West Reading General Obligation	PA		987	923	(64) BBB		
		\$	1,582	1,489	(93)		

Credit related other-than-temporary impairment on debt securities is recognized in earnings while noncredit related other-than-temporary impairment on debt securities, not expected to be sold, is recognized in other comprehensive income.

The table below shows a cumulative roll forward of credit losses recognized in earnings for debt securities held and not intended to be sold (in thousands):

	2012	2011
Beginning balance at Janaury 1, (a)	\$ 16,382	15,445
Credit losses on debt securities for which other-than-temporary impairment was not		
previously recognized		
Additional credit losses on debt securities for which other-than-temporary impairment was		
previously recognized	238	
Ending balance at March 31,	\$ 16,620	15,445

⁽a) The beginning balance represents credit losses included in other-than-temporary impairment charges recognized on debt securities in prior periods.

(4) <u>Loans receivable</u>

We have defined our portfolio segments as Personal Banking loans and Business Banking loans. Classes of Personal Banking loans are residential mortgage loans, home equity loans and other consumer loans. Classes of Business Banking loans are commercial real estate loans and commercial loans. The following table shows a summary of our loans receivable at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

	March 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Personal Banking:		
Residential mortgage loans	\$ 2,449,991	2,414,992
Home equity loans	1,058,938	1,084,786
Other consumer loans	237,591	245,689
Total Personal Banking	3,746,520	3,745,467
Business Banking:		
Commercial real estate	1,516,583	1,481,127
Commercial loans	430,902	408,462
Total Business Banking	1,947,485	1,889,589
Total loans receivable, gross	5,694,005	5,635,056
Deferred loan fees	(4,026)	(4,752)
Allowance for loan losses	(72,941)	(71,138)
Undisbursed loan proceeds:		
Residential mortgage loans	(19,032)	(12,874)
Commercial real estate	(41,007)	(45,360)
Commercial loans	(22,008)	(20,551)
Total loans receivable, net	\$ 5,534,991	5,480,381

Table of Contents

The following table provides information related to the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment and by class of financing receivable at March 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	De	Balance ecember 31, 2011	Current period provision	Charge-offs	Recoveries	Balance March 31, 2012
Personal Banking:			_	_		
Residental mortgage loans	\$	8,482	327	(1,043)	98	7,864
Home equity loans		8,687	128	(892)	24	7,947
Other consumer loans		5,325	207	(1,287)	344	4,589
Total Personal Banking		22,494	662	(3,222)	466	20,400
Business Banking:						
Commercial real estate loans		32,148	4,319	(1,473)	297	35,291
Commercial loans		12,080	1,192	(649)	97	12,720
Total Business Banking		44,228	5,511	(2,122)	394	48,011
Unallocated		4,416	114			4,530
Total	\$	71,138	6,287	(5,344)	860	72,941

The following table provides information related to the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment and by class of financing receivable at March 31, 2011 (in thousands):

	De	Balance ecember 31, 2010	Current period provision	Charge-offs	Recoveries	Balance March 31, 2011
Personal Banking:			•	Ü		
Residental mortgage loans	\$	6,854	2,286	(1,205)	71	8,006
Home equity loans		7,675	1,403	(2,255)	17	6,840
Other consumer loans		5,810	581	(1,232)	397	5,556
Total Personal Banking		20,339	4,270	(4,692)	485	20,402
Business Banking:						
Commercial real estate loans		35,832	278	(2,276)	206	34,040
Commercial loans		15,770	2,869	(1,041)	112	17,710
Total Business Banking		51,602	3,147	(3,317)	318	51,750
Unallocated		4,471	(173)			4,298
Total	\$	76,412	7,244	(8,009)	803	76,450

Table of Contents

The following table provides information related to the loan portfolio by portfolio segment and by class of financing receivable at March 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	Recorded investment in loans receivable	Allowance for loan losses	Recorded investment in loans on nonaccrual	Recorded investment in loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing	TDRs
Personal Banking:					
Residental mortgage loans	\$ 2,426,933	7,864	28,696	11	806
Home equity loans	1,058,938	7,947	9,100	342	
Other consumer loans	237,591	4,589	1,983	423	
Total Personal Banking	3,723,462	20,400	39,779	776	806
Business Banking:					
Commercial real estate loans	1,475,576	35,291	58,400		38,995
Commercial loans	408,894	12,720	26,834	24	27,502
Total Business Banking	1,884,470	48,011	85,234	24	66,497
Total	\$ 5,607,932	68,411	125,013	800	67,303

The following table provides information related to the loan portfolio by portfolio segment and by class of financing receivable at December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

	Recorded investment in loans receivable	Allowance for loan losses	Recorded investment in loans on nonaccrual	Recorded investment in loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing	TDRs
Personal Banking:					
Residental mortgage loans	\$ 2,397,366	8,482	28,221	12	806
Home equity loans	1,084,786	8,687	9,560	221	
Other consumer loans	245,689	5,325	2,667	277	
Total Personal Banking	3,727,841	22,494	40,448	510	806
Business Banking:					
Commercial real estate loans	1,435,767	32,148	62,494		38,216
Commercial loans	387,911	12,080	28,163		30,407
Total Business Banking	1,823,678	44,228	90,657		68,623
Ç					
Total	\$ 5,551,519	66,722	131,105	510	69,429
	1	18			

Table of Contents

The following table provides information related to the composition of impaired loans by portfolio segment and by class of financing receivable at and for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	Nonaccrual loans 90 or more days delinquent	Nonaccrual loans less than 90 days delinquent	Loans less than 90 days delinquent reviewed for impairment	TDRs less than 90 days delinquent not included elsewhere	Total impaired loans	Average recorded investment in impaired loans	Interest income recognized on impaired loans
Personal Banking:							
Residental mortgage loans	\$ 28,696				28,696	29,327	170
Home equity loans	9,100				9,100	9,545	68
Other consumer loans	1,983				1,983	2,499	13
Total Personal Banking	39,779				39,779	41,371	251
Business Banking:							
Commercial real estate loans	34,601	23,799	24,012	9,218	91,630	91,747	878
Commercial loans	15,810	11,024	8,332	8,744	43,910	45,802	369
Total Business Banking	50,411	34,823	32,344	17,962	135,540	137,549	1,247
Total	\$ 90,190	34,823	32,344	17,962	175,319	178,920	1,498

The following table provides information related to the composition of impaired loans by portfolio segment and by class of financing receivable at and for the year ended December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

	Nonaccrual loans 90 or more days delinquent	Nonaccrual loans less than 90 days delinquent	Loans less than 90 days delinquent reviewed for impairment	TDRs less than 90 days delinquent not included elsewhere	Total impaired loans	Average recorded investment in impaired loans	Interest income recognized on impaired loans
Personal Banking:							
Residental mortgage loans	\$ 28,221			361	28,582	30,731	538
Home equity loans	9,560				9,560	9,574	182
Other consumer loans	2,667				2,667	2,340	34
Total Personal Banking	40,448			361	40,809	42,645	754
Business Banking:							
Commercial real estate loans	44,603	17,891	15,467	16,097	94,058	101,731	3,640
Commercial loans	10,785	17,378	7,337	8,991	44,491	59,897	1,642
Total Business Banking	55,388	35,269	22,804	25,088	138,549	161,628	5,282
Ü							
Total	\$ 95,836	35,269	22,804	25,449	179,358	204,273	6,036

Table of Contents

The following table provides information related to the evaluation of impaired loans by portfolio segment and by class of financing receivable at March 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	Loans individually evaluated for impairment	Loans individually evaluated for impairment for which there is a related impairment reserve	Related impairment reserve	Loans individually evaluated for impairment for which there is no related reserve
Personal Banking:					
Residental mortgage loans	\$ 2,426,933				
Home equity loans	1,058,938				
Other consumer loans	237,591				
Total Personal Banking	3,723,462				
Business Banking:					
Commercial real estate loans	1,432,081	43,495	16,334	4,918	27,161
Commercial loans	384,711	24,183	4,614	1,114	19,569
Total Business Banking	1,816,792	67,678	20,948	6,032	46,730
Total	\$ 5,540,254	67,678	20,948	6,032	46,730

The following table provides information related to the evaluation of impaired loans by portfolio segment and by class of financing receivable at December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

	Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	Loans individually evaluated for impairment	Loans individually evaluated for impairment for which there is a related impairment reserve	Related impairment reserve	Loans individually evaluated for impairment for which there is no related reserve
Personal Banking:					
Residental mortgage loans	\$ 2,397,366				
Home equity loans	1,084,786				
Other consumer loans	245,689				
Total Personal Banking	3,727,841				
Business Banking:					
Commercial real estate loans	1,395,634	40,133	15,576	3,025	24,557
Commercial loans	361,033	26,878	5,897	1,519	20,981
Total Business Banking	1,756,667	67,011	21,473	4,544	45,538
· ·					
Total	\$ 5,484,508	67,011	21,473	4,544	45,538

Table of Contents

Our loan portfolios include certain loans that have been modified in a troubled debt restructuring (TDR), where concessions have been granted to borrowers who have experienced financial difficulties. These concessions typically result from our loss mitigation activities and could include: extending the note s maturity date, permitting interest only payments, reducing the interest rate to a rate lower than current market rates for new debt with similar risk, reducing the principal payment, principal forbearance or other actions. These concessions are applicable to all loan segments and classes. Certain TDRs are classified as nonperforming at the time of restructuring and typically are returned to performing status after considering the borrower s sustained repayment performance for a reasonable period of at least six months.

When we modify loans in a TDR, we evaluate any possible impairment similar to other impaired loans based on the present value of expected future cash flows, discounted at the contractual interest rate of the original loan agreement, the loan s observable market price or the current fair value of the collateral, less selling costs, for collateral dependent loans. If we determine that the value of the modified loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan (net of previous charge-offs, deferred loan fees or costs and unamortized premium or discount), impairment is recognized through an allowance estimate or a charge-off to the allowance. In periods subsequent to modification, we evaluate all TDRs, including those that have payment defaults, for possible impairment. As a result, loans modified in a TDR may have the financial effect of increasing the specific allowance associated with the loan.

Loans modified in a TDR are closely monitored for delinquency as an early indicator of possible future default. If loans modified in a TDR subsequently default, we evaluate the loan for possible further impairment. The allowance may be increased, adjustments may be made in the allocation of the allowance, partial charge-offs may be taken to further write-down the carrying value of the loan, or the loan may be charged-off completely.

During the three months ended March 31, 2012, one commercial real estate loan TDR with a balance of \$282,000 was charged off and one commercial loan TDR with a balance of \$213,000 was paid off.

The following table provides information related to troubled debt restructurings by portfolio segment and by class of financing receivable for the periods indicated (dollars in thousands):

	For the three months ended March 31, 2012 Recorded						
	Number of contracts	at	vestment the time of odification	Current recorded investment	Current allowance		
Troubled debt restructurings:							
Personal Banking:							
Residential mortgage loans		\$					
Home equity loans							
Other consumer loans							
Total Personal Banking							
Business Banking:							
Commercial real estate loans	3		1,400	1,391	636		
Commercial loans	3		1,645	1,446	84		
Total Business Banking	6		3,045	2,837	720		
Total	6	\$	3,045	2,837	720		
Troubled debt restructurings that subsequently							
defaulted:							
Personal Banking:							
Residential mortgage loans	1	\$	449	361	470		
Home equity loans							
Other consumer loans							
Total Personal Banking	1		449	361	470		
Business Banking:							
Commercial real estate loans	1		575	520			
Commercial loans							
Total Business Banking	1		575	520			
Total	2	\$	1,024	881	470		

22

The following table provides information related to troubled debt restructurings by portfolio segment and by class of financing receivable for the periods indicated (dollars in thousands):

	For the three months ended March 31, 2011							
	Number of contracts	in at 1	Recorded evestment the time of odification	Current recorded investment	Current allowance			
Troubled debt restructurings:								
Personal Banking:								
Residential mortgage loans	1	\$	449	363	1			
Home equity loans								
Other consumer loans								
Total Personal Banking	1		449	363	1			
Business Banking:	2		1.750	1.724	120			
Commercial real estate loans	3		1,758	1,734	129			
Commercial loans	5		12,934	11,684	3,754			
Total Business Banking	8		14,692	13,418	3,883			
Total	9	\$	15,141	13,781	3,884			
Troubled debt restructurings that subsequently defaulted: Personal Banking:								
Residential mortgage loans		\$						
Home equity loans		Ψ						
Other consumer loans								
Total Personal Banking								
D ' D I'								
Business Banking:								
Commercial real estate loans	1		0.202	0.100	010			
Commercial loans	1		9,303	9,180	918			
Total Business Banking	1		9,303	9,180	918			
Total	1	\$	9,303	9,180	918			

23

The following table provides information related to loan delinquencies at March 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	30-59 Days Delinquent	60-89 Days Delinquent	90 Days or Greater Delinquent	Total Delinquency	Current	Total Loans
Personal Banking:						
Residential mortgage loans	\$ 29,414	1,466	28,696	59,576	2,367,357	2,426,933
Home equity loans	7,086	2,313	9,100	18,499	1,040,439	1,058,938
Other consumer loans	2,854	1,083	1,983	5,920	231,671	237,591
Total Personal Banking	39,354	4,862	39,779	83,995	3,639,467	3,723,462
Business Banking:						
Commercial real estate loans	9,275	3,203	34,601	47,079	1,428,497	1,475,576
Commercial loans	7,069	1,376	15,810	24,255	384,639	408,894
Total Business Banking	16,344	4,579	50,411	71,334	1,813,136	1,884,470
Total	\$ 55,698	9,441	90,190	155,329	5,452,603	5,607,932

The following table provides information related to loan delinquencies at December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

	30-59 Days Delinquent	60-89 Days Delinquent	90 Days or Greater Delinquent	Total Delinquency	Current	Total Loans
Personal Banking:	_	_	_			
Residential mortgage loans	\$ 33,671	8,629	28,221	70,521	2,326,845	2,397,366
Home equity loans	7,426	1,953	9,560	18,939	1,065,847	1,084,786
Other consumer loans	4,854	1,787	2,667	9,308	236,381	245,689
Total Personal Banking	45,951	12,369	40,448	98,768	3,629,073	3,727,841
Business Banking:						
Commercial real estate loans	10,680	3,122	44,603	58,405	1,377,362	1,435,767
Commercial loans	2,027	4,958	10,785	17,770	370,141	387,911
Total Business Banking	12,707	8,080	55,388	76,175	1,747,503	1,823,678
<u> </u>						
Total	\$ 58,658	20,449	95,836	174,943	5,376,576	5,551,519

Credit quality indicators: We categorize Business Banking loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information, and current economic trends, among other factors. We analyze Business Banking loans individually by classifying the loans by credit risk. Loans designated as special mention or classified substandard are reviewed quarterly for further deterioration or improvement to determine if the loan is appropriately classified. We use the following definitions for risk ratings other than pass:

Special mention Loans designated as special mention have specific, well-defined risk issues, which create a high level of uncertainty regarding the long-term viability of the business. Loans in this class are considered to have high-risk characteristics. A special mention loan exhibits material negative financial trends due to company-specific or systemic conditions. If these potential

Table of Contents

weaknesses are not mitigated, they threaten the borrower's capacity to meet its debt obligations. Special mention loans still demonstrate sufficient financial flexibility to react to and positively address the root cause of the adverse financial trends without significant deviations from their current business strategy. Their potential weaknesses deserve our close attention and warrant enhanced monitoring.

Substandard Loans classified as substandard are inadequately protected by the current net worth and payment capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans so classified have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that we will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

Doubtful Loans classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as substandard. In addition, those weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full highly questionable and improbable. A loan classified as doubtful exhibits discernible loss potential, but a complete loss seems very unlikely. The possibility of a loss on a doubtful loan is high, but because of certain important and reasonably specific pending factors that may strengthen the loan, its classification as an estimated loss is deferred until a more exact status can be determined.

Loss Loans classified as loss are considered uncollectible and of such value that the continuance as a loan is not warranted. A loss classification does not mean that the loan has no recovery or salvage value; instead, it means that it is not practical or desirable to defer writing off all or a portion of a basically worthless loan even though partial recovery may be affected in the future.

The following table sets forth information about credit quality indicators, which were updated during the quarter ended March 31, 2012 (in thousands):

		Special				
	Pass	Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Loss	Total
Personal Banking:						
Residential mortgage loans	\$ 2,403,635		21,989	23	1,286	2,426,933
Home equity loans	1,049,352		9,586			1,058,938
Other consumer loans	236,817		774			237,591
Total Personal Banking	3,689,804		32,349	23	1,286	3,723,462
Business Banking:						
Commercial real estate loans	1,259,031	78,960	132,711	4,874		1,475,576
Commercial loans	319,677	27,053	59,376	2,788		408,894
Total Business Banking	1,578,708	106,013	192,087	7,662		1,884,470
ū						
Total	\$ 5,268,512	106,013	224,436	7,685	1,286	5,607,932
		25				
		23				

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth information about credit quality indicators, which were updated during the year ended December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

		Special				
	Pass	Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Loss	Total
Personal Banking:						
Residential mortgage loans	\$ 2,373,275		22,843	11	1,237	2,397,366
Home equity loans	1,074,512		10,274			1,084,786
Other consumer loans	244,491		1,198			245,689
Total Personal Banking	3,692,278		34,315	11	1,237	3,727,841
Business Banking:						
Commercial real estate loans	1,211,583	75,981	144,947	3,256		1,435,767
Commercial loans	298,597	23,887	62,753	2,674		387,911
Total Business Banking	1,510,180	99,868	207,700	5,930		1,823,678
· ·						
Total	\$ 5,202,458	99,868	242,015	5,941	1,237	5,551,519

(5) <u>Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets</u>

The following table provides information for intangible assets subject to amortization at the dates indicated (in thousands):

		March 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Amortizable intangible assets:			
Core deposit intangibles gross		\$ 30,578	30,578
Acquisitions			
Less: accumulated amortization		(29,748)	(29,549)
Core deposit intangibles net		830	1,029
Customer and Contract intangible assets	gross	3,779	3,779
Less: accumulated amortization		(2,781)	(2,685)
Customer and Contract intangible assets	net	\$ 998	1,094

The following table shows the actual aggregate amortization expense for the current quarter and prior year s same quarter, as well as the estimated aggregate amortization expense, based upon current levels of intangible assets, for the current fiscal year and each of the five succeeding fiscal years (in thousands):

For the three months ended March 31, 2012	\$	295
For the three months ended March 31, 2011	Ψ	491
For the year ending December 31, 2012		1,013
For the year ending December 31, 2013		605
For the year ending December 31, 2014		296
For the year ending December 31, 2015		140
For the year ending December 31, 2016		70
For the year ending December 31, 2017		

The following table provides information for the changes in the carrying amount of goodwill (in thousands):

	Community Banks	Consumer Finance	Total
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$ 170,269	1,613	171,882
Goodwill acquired			
Impairment losses			
Balance at December 31, 2011	170,269	1,613	171,882
Goodwill acquired			
Impairment losses			
Balance at March 31, 2012	\$ 170,269	1,613	171,882

We performed our annual goodwill impairment test as of June 30, 2011 and concluded that goodwill was not impaired. At March 31, 2012, there were no changes in our operations that would cause us to update the goodwill impairment test performed as of June 30, 2011.

(6) Guarantees

We issue standby letters of credit in the normal course of business. Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Standby letters of credit generally are contingent upon the failure of the customer to perform according to the terms of the underlying contract with the third party. We are required to perform under a standby letter of credit when drawn upon by the guaranteed third party in the case of nonperformance by our customer. The credit risk associated with standby letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loans to customers and is subject to normal loan underwriting procedures. Collateral may be obtained based on management scredit assessment of the customer. At March 31, 2012, the maximum potential amount of future payments we could be required to make under these standby letters of credit was \$63.2 million, of which \$62.0 million is fully collateralized. At March 31, 2012, we had a liability, which represents deferred income, of \$701,000 related to the standby letters of credit. There are no recourse provisions that would enable us to recover any amounts from third parties.

(7) Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per common share (EPS) is computed by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period, without considering any dilutive items. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock or resulted in the issuance of common stock that then shared in the earnings of the Company. All shares outstanding during the three months ended March 31, 2012 were included in the computation of diluted earnings per share for this period because the options exercise price was not greater than the average market price of the common shares of \$12.63. Stock options to purchase 579,793 shares of common stock with a weighted average exercise price of \$12.12 per share were outstanding during the three months ended March 31, 2011 but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share for this period because the options exercise price was greater than the average market price of the common shares of \$12.10.

The computation of basic and diluted earnings per share follows (in thousands, except share data and per share amounts):

	Quarter end March 31,	
	2012	2011
Reported net income	\$ 15,181	17,253
Weighted average common shares outstanding	94,115,522	106,571,262
Dilutive potential shares due to effect of stock options	549,811	687,058
Total weighted average common shares and dilutive potential shares	94,665,333	107,258,320
Basic earnings per share:	\$ 0.16	0.16
Diluted earnings per share:	\$ 0.16	0.16

(8) <u>Pension and Other Post-retirement Benefits (in thousands):</u>

Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost

	Quarter ended March 31,						
		Pension Benefit	s	Other Post-retirement Benefits			
		2012	2011	2012	2011		
Service cost	\$	1,858	1,428				
Interest cost		1,432	1,363	17	21		
Expected return on plan assets		(1,948)	(1,502)				
Amortization of prior service cost		(40)	(40)				
Amortization of the net loss		690	169	12	13		
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	1,992	1,418	29	34		

We made no contribution to our pension or other post-retirement benefit plans during the three month period ended March 31, 2012. Once determined, we anticipate making a tax-deductible contribution to our defined benefit pension plan for the year ending December 31, 2012.

(9) Disclosures About Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Fair value information about financial instruments, whether or not recognized in the consolidated statement of financial condition, is required to be disclosed. These requirements exclude certain financial instruments and all nonfinancial instruments. Accordingly, the aggregate fair value amounts presented do not represent the underlying value of the Company.

Financial assets and liabilities recognized or disclosed at fair value on a recurring basis and certain financial assets and liabilities on a non-recurring basis are accounted for using a three-level hierarchy of valuation technique based on whether the inputs to those valuation techniques are observable or unobservable. This hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices with readily available independent data in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable market inputs (Level 3). When various inputs for measurement fall within different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the lowest level input that has a significant impact on fair value measurement is used.

Financial assets and liabilities are categorized based upon the following characteristics or inputs to the valuation techniques:

- Level 1 Financial assets and liabilities for which inputs are observable and are obtained from reliable quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in actively traded markets. This is the most reliable fair value measurement and includes, for example, active exchange-traded equity securities.
- Level 2 Financial assets and liabilities for which values are based on quoted prices in markets that are not active or for which values are based on similar assets or liabilities that are actively traded. Level 2 also includes pricing models in which the inputs are corroborated by market data, for example, matrix pricing.
- Level 3 Financial assets and liabilities for which values are based on prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement. Level 3 inputs include the following:
- Quotes from brokers or other external sources that are not considered binding;
- Quotes from brokers or other external sources where it cannot be determined that market participants would in fact transact for the asset or liability at the quoted price;
- Quotes and other information from brokers or other external sources where the inputs are not deemed observable.

We are responsible for the valuation process and as part of this process may use data from outside sources in establishing fair value. We perform due diligence to understand the inputs used or how the data was calculated or derived. We also corroborate the reasonableness of external inputs in the valuation process.

The carrying amounts reported in the consolidated statement of financial condition approximate fair value for the following financial instruments: cash on hand, interest-earning deposits in other institutions, federal funds sold and other short-term investments, accrued interest

			available-for-sale	

Marketable Securities

Where available, market values are based on quoted market prices, dealer quotes, and prices obtained from independent pricing services.

Debt securities available for sale - Generally, debt securities are valued using pricing for similar securities, recently executed transactions and other pricing models utilizing observable inputs. The valuation for most debt securities is classified as level 2. Securities within level 2 include corporate bonds,

Table of Contents

municipal bonds, mortgage-backed securities and US government obligations. Certain corporate debt securities do not have an active market and as such the broker pricing received uses alternative methods. The fair value of these corporate debt securities is determined by using a discounted cash flow model using market assumptions, which generally include cash flow, collateral and other market assumptions. As such, these securities are included herein as level 3 assets.

Equity securities available for sale - Level 1 securities include publicly traded securities valued using quoted market prices. We consider the financial condition of the issuer to determine if the securities have indicators of impairment.

Debt securities held to maturity The fair value of debt securities held to maturity is determined in the same manner as debt securities available for sale.

Loans Receivable

Loans with comparable characteristics including collateral and re-pricing structures were segregated for valuation purposes. Each loan pool was separately valued utilizing a discounted cash flow analysis. Projected monthly cash flows were discounted to present value using a market rate for comparable loans, which is not considered an exit price. Characteristics of comparable loans included remaining term, coupon interest, and estimated prepayment speeds. Delinquent loans were separately evaluated given the impact delinquency has on the projected future cash flow of the loan and the approximate discount or market rate.

FHLB Stock

Due to the restrictions placed on the transferability of FHLB stock it is not practical to determine the fair value.

Deposit Liabilities

The estimated fair value of deposits with no stated maturity, which includes demand deposits, money market, and other savings accounts, is the amount payable on demand. Although market premiums paid for depository institutions reflect an additional value for these low-cost deposits, adjusting fair value for any value expected to be derived from retaining those deposits for a future period of time or from the benefit that results from the ability to fund interest-earning assets with these deposit liabilities is prohibited. The fair value estimates of deposit liabilities do not include the benefit that results from the low-cost funding provided by these deposits compared to the cost of borrowing funds in the market. Fair values for time deposits are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies contractual cost currently being offered in the existing portfolio to current market rates being offered locally for deposits of similar remaining maturities. The valuation adjustment for the portfolio consists of the present value of the difference of these two cash flows, discounted at the assumed market rate of the corresponding maturity.

Borrowed Funds

The fixed rate advances were valued by comparing their contractual cost to the prevailing market cost. The carrying amount of repurchase agreements approximates the fair value.

Junior Subordinated Debentures

The fair value of junior subordinated debentures is calculated using the discounted cash flows at the prevailing rate of interest.

Cash flow hedges Interest rate swap agreements (swaps)

The fair value of the swaps is the amount we would have expected to pay to terminate the agreements and is based upon the present value of the expected future cash flows using the LIBOR swap curve, the basis for the underlying interest rate.

Off-Balance Sheet Financial Instruments

These financial instruments generally are not sold or traded, and estimated fair values are not readily available. However, the fair value of commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit is estimated using the fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements. Commitments to extend credit are generally short-term in nature and, if drawn upon, are issued under current market terms. At March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, there was no significant unrealized appreciation or depreciation on these financial instruments.

The following table sets forth the carrying amount and estimated fair value of our financial instruments included in the consolidated statement of financial condition at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	~ .	March 31, 2012					er 31, 2011
	Carrying amount	Estimated fair value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Carrying amount	Estimated fair value
Financial assets:							
Cash and cash							
equivalents	\$ 758,889	758,889	758,889			688,297	688,297
Securities							
available-for-sale	919,578	919,578	17,071	894,217	8,290	908,349	908,349
Securities							
held-to-maturity	216,956	224,630		224,630		231,389	239,412
Loans receivable, net	5,534,991	5,885,894	14,222		5,871,672	5,480,381	5,839,674
Accrued interest							
receivable	25,072	25,072	25,072			24,599	24,599
FHLB Stock	47,090	47,090				48,935	48,935
Total financial assets	\$ 7,502,576	7,861,153	815,254	1,118,847	5,879,962	7,381,950	7,749,266
Financial liabilities:							
Savings and checking							
accounts	\$ 3,689,972	3,689,972	3,689,972			3,495,508	3,495,508
Time deposits	2,179,789	2,226,527			2,226,527	2,284,817	2,329,451
Borrowed funds	836,410	900,260	140,843		759,417	827,925	899,547
Junior subordinated							
debentures	103,094	115,940			115,940	103,094	116,725
Cash flow hedges -							
swaps	12,789	12,789		12,789		13,637	13,637
Accrued interest							
payable	1,119	1,119	1,119			1,104	1,104
Total financial							
liabilities	\$ 6,823,173	6,946,607	3,831,934	12,789	3,101,884	6,726,085	6,855,972

Fair value estimates are made at a point-in-time, based on relevant market data and information about the instrument. The methods and assumptions detailed above were used in estimating the fair value of financial instruments at both March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011. There were no transfers of financial instruments between Level 1 and Level 2 during the quarter ended March 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

The following table represents assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis at March 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total assets at fair value
Equity securities	\$ 17,071			17,071
5				
Debt securities:				
U.S. government and agencies		56		56
Government sponsored enterprises		65,067		65,067
States and political subdivisions		158,969	0.200	158,969
Corporate		11,369	8,290	19,659
Total debt securities		235,461	8,290	243,751
Residential mortgage-backed securities:				
GNMA		46,572		46,572
FNMA		128,839		128,839
FHLMC		69,283		69,283
Non-agency		715		715
Collateralized mortgage obligations:				
GNMA		27,770		27,770
FNMA		137,805		137,805
FHLMC		221,023		221,023
SBA		17,710		17,710
Non-agency		9,039		9,039
Total mortgage-backed securities		658,756		658,756
Interest rate swaps		(12,789)		(12,789)
Total assets	\$ 17,071	881,428	8,290	906,789

Table of Contents

The following table represents assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis at December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total assets at fair value
Equity securities	\$	12,465			12,465
Debt securities:					
U.S. government and agencies			59		59
Government sponsored enterprises			76,179		76,179
States and political subdivisions			169,288		169,288
Corporate			11,477	9,657	21,134
Total debt securities			257,003	9,657	266,660
Residential mortgage-backed securities:					
GNMA			48,297		48,297
FNMA			138,340		138,340
FHLMC			72,980		72,980
Non-agency			725		725
Collateralized mortgage obligations:					
GNMA			30,759		30,759
FNMA			118,526		118,526
FHLMC			191,049		191,049
SBA			18,624		18,624
Non-agency			9,924		9,924
Total mortgage-backed securities			629,224		629,224
Interest rate swaps			(13,637)		(13,637)
	_				
Total assets	\$	12,465	872,590	9,657	894,712

Table of Contents

The table below presents a reconciliation of all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	Debt securities
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$ 9,657
Total net realized investment gains/ (losses) and net change in unrealized appreciation/ (depreciation):	
Included in net income as OTTI	(1.257)
Included in other comprehensive income	(1,367)
Purchases	
Sales	
Transfers in to Level 3	
Transfers out of Level 3	
Balance at March 31, 2012	\$ 8,290

The table below presents a reconciliation of all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 (in thousands):

		Debt securities
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$	9,209
Total net realized investment gains/ (losses) and net change in unrealized appreciation/ (depreciation): Included in net income as OTTI	d	
Included in other comprehensive income		102
Purchases		
Sales		
Transfers in to of Level 3		
Transfers out of of Level 3		
Balance at March 31, 2011	\$	9,311
3	34	

Table of Contents

Certain assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis after initial recognition such as loans measured for impairment, loans held for sale and real estate owned. The following table represents the fair value measurement for nonrecurring assets at March 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total assets at fair value
Loans measured for impairment	\$		14,916	14,916
Loans held for sale	14,222			14,222
Real estate owned			28,895	28,895
Total assets	\$ 14,222		43,811	58,033

Certain assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis after initial recognition such as loans measured for impairment and real estate owned. The following table represents the fair value measurement for nonrecurring assets at December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total assets at fair value
Loans measured for impairment	\$		16,929	16,929
Real estate owned			26,887	26,887
Total assets	\$		43,816	43,816

Impaired loans A loan is considered to be impaired when it is probable that all of the principal and interest due under the original terms of the loan may not be collected. Impairment is measured based on the fair value of the underlying collateral or discounted cash flows when collateral does not exist. We measure impairment on all nonaccrual commercial and commercial real estate loans for which we have established specific reserves as part of the specific allocated allowance component of the allowance for loan losses. We classify loans individually evaluated for impairment that require a specific reserve as nonrecurring Level 3.

Real Estate Owned Real estate owned is comprised of property acquired through foreclosure or voluntarily conveyed by delinquent borrowers. These assets are recorded on the date acquired at the lower of the related loan balance or fair value, less estimated disposition costs, with the fair value being determined by appraisal. Subsequently, foreclosed assets are valued at the lower of the amount recorded at acquisition date or fair value, less estimated disposition costs. We classify all real estate owned as nonrecurring Level 3.

<u>Loans held for sale</u> Mortgage loans held for sale are recorded at the lower of carrying value or fair value. The fair value is based on what secondary markets are currently offering. As the fair value is determined by a quoted price from FHLMC, and we only have open delivery contracts with FHLMC, we classify loans held for sale as nonrecurring Level 1.

Table of Contents

The table below presents additional quantitative information about assets measured at fair value on a recurring and nonrecurring basis and for which we have utilized Level 3 inputs to determine fair value at March 31, 2012 (dollar amounts in thousands):

	Fair value	Valuation techniques	Significant unobservable inputs	Range (weighted average)
Debt securities	\$ 8,290	Discounted cash flow	Discount margin Default rates Prepayment speeds	0.35% to 2.1% (0.72)% 2.00% 1.00% annually
Loans measured for impairment	14,916	Appraisal value (1)	N/A	N/A
Real estate owned	28,895	Appraisal value (1)	N/A	N/A

⁽¹⁾ Fair value is generally determined through independent appraisals of the underlying collateral, which may include level 3 inputs that are not identifiable, or by using the discounted cash flow method if the loan is not collateral dependent.

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fait value measurement of our debt securities are discount margins, default rates and prepayment speeds. Significant increases in any of those rates would result in a significantly lower fair value measurement.

(10) Mortgage Loan Servicing

Mortgage servicing assets are recognized as separate assets when servicing rights are acquired through loan originations and the underlying loan is sold. Upon sale, the mortgage servicing right (MSR) is established, which represents the then-fair value of future net cash flows expected to be realized for performing the servicing activities. The fair value of the MSRs are estimated by calculating the present value of estimated future net servicing cash flows, taking into consideration actual and expected mortgage loan prepayment rates, discount rates, servicing costs and other economic factors, which are determined based on current market conditions. In determining the fair value of the MSRs, mortgage interest rates, which are used to determine prepayment rates and discount rates, are held constant over the estimated life of the portfolio. MSRs are amortized into mortgage banking income in proportion to, and over the period of, the estimated future net servicing income of the underlying mortgage loans.

Capitalized MSRs are evaluated for impairment based on the estimated fair value of those rights. The MSRs are stratified by certain risk characteristics, primarily loan term and note rate. If temporary impairment exists within a risk stratification tranche, a valuation allowance is established through a charge to income equal to the amount by which the carrying value exceeds the fair value. If it is later determined all or a portion of the temporary impairment no longer exists for a particular tranche, the valuation allowance is reduced.

Table of Contents

The following table shows changes in MSRs at and for the three months ended March 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	Servicing Valuation Rights Allowance	
Balance at December 31, 2011	3,655	3,655
Additions/ (reductions)	513	513
Amortization	(734)	(734)
Balance at March 31, 2012	3,434	3,434

The following table shows changes in MSRs at and for the three months ended March 31, 2011 (in thousands):

	Servicing Rights	Valuation Allowance	Net Carrying Value and Fair Value
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$ 5,969		5,969
Additions/ (reductions)	285		285
Amortization	(922)		(922)
Balance at March 31, 2011	\$ 5,332		5,332

(11) <u>Guaranteed Preferred Beneficial Interests in the Company</u> <u>s Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures (Trust Preferred Securities)</u> and Interest Rate Swaps

We have two statutory business trusts: Northwest Bancorp Capital Trust III, a Delaware statutory business trust and Northwest Bancorp Statutory Trust IV, a Connecticut statutory business trust (Trusts). These trusts exist solely to issue preferred securities to third parties for cash, issue common securities to the Company in exchange for capitalization of the Trusts, invest the proceeds from the sale of the trust securities in an equivalent amount of debentures of the Company, and engage in other activities that are incidental to those previously listed.

Northwest Bancorp Capital Trust III (Trust III) issued 50,000 cumulative trust preferred securities in a private transaction to a pooled investment vehicle on December 5, 2006 (liquidation value of \$1,000 per preferred security or \$50,000,000) with a stated maturity of December 30, 2035. These securities carry a floating interest rate, which is reset quarterly, equal to three-month LIBOR plus 1.38%. Northwest Bancorp Statutory Trust IV (Trust IV) issued 50,000 cumulative trust preferred securities in a private transaction to a pooled investment vehicle on December 15, 2006 (liquidation value of \$1,000 per preferred security or \$50,000,000) with a stated maturity of December 15, 2035. These securities carry a floating interest rate, which is reset quarterly, equal to three-month LIBOR plus 1.38%. The Trusts have invested the proceeds of the offerings in junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures issued by the Company. The structure of these debentures mirrors the structure of the trust-preferred securities. Trust III holds \$51,547,000 of the Company s junior subordinated debentures and Trust IV holds \$51,547,000 of the Company s junior subordinated debentures. These subordinated debentures are the sole assets of the Trusts. Cash distributions on the trust securities are made on a quarterly basis to the extent interest on the debentures is

Table of Contents

received by the Trusts. We have the right to defer payment of interest on the subordinated debentures at any time, or from time-to-time, for periods not exceeding five years. If interest payments on the subordinated debentures are deferred, the distributions on the trust preferred securities are also deferred. Interest on the subordinated debentures and distributions on the trust securities is cumulative. Our obligation constitutes a full, irrevocable, and unconditional guarantee on a subordinated basis of the obligations of the trust under the preferred securities.

We entered into four interest rate swap agreements (swaps), designating the swaps as cash flow hedges. The swaps are intended to protect against the variability of cash flows associated with Trust III and Trust IV. The first two swaps modify the re-pricing characteristics of Trust III, wherein (i) the Company receives interest of three-month LIBOR from a counterparty and pays a fixed rate of 4.20% to the same counterparty calculated on a notional amount of \$25.0 million and (ii) the Company receives interest of three-month LIBOR from a counterparty and pays a fixed rate of 4.61% to the same counterparty calculated on a notional amount of \$25.0 million. The terms of these two swaps are five years and ten years, which expire September 2013 and September 2018, respectively. The second two swaps modify the re-pricing characteristics of Trust IV, wherein (i) the Company receives interest of three-month LIBOR from a counterparty and pays a fixed rate of 3.85% to the same counterparty calculated on a notional amount of \$25.0 million and (ii) the Company receives interest of three-month LIBOR from a counterparty and pays a fixed rate of 4.09% to the same counterparty calculated on a notional amount of \$25.0 million. The terms of these two swaps are seven years and ten years, which expire September 2015 and September 2018, respectively. The swap agreements were entered into with a counterparty that met our credit standards and the agreements contain collateral provisions protecting the at-risk party. We believe that the credit risk inherent in the contracts is not significant. At March 31, 2012, \$12.8 million was pledged as collateral to the counterparty.

At March 31, 2012, the fair value of the swap agreements was \$(12.8) million and was the amount we would have expected to pay if the contracts were terminated. There was no material hedge ineffectiveness for these swaps.

The following table shows liability derivatives, included in other liabilities, at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

	March 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Fair value	\$ 12,789	13,637
Notional amount	100,000	100,000
Collateral posted	12,789	13,637

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Forward-Looking Statements:

In addition to historical information, this document may contain certain forward-looking statements, as defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements contained herein are subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied in the forward-looking statements. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, as they reflect management s analysis only as of the date of this report. We have no obligation to revise or update these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances that arise after the date of this report.

Important factors that might cause such a difference include, but are not limited to:

- Changes in interest rates which could impact our net interest margin;
- Adverse changes in our loan portfolio or investment securities portfolio and the resulting credit risk-related losses and/ or market value adjustments;
- The impact of the uncertain economic environment on our loan portfolio (including cash flow and collateral values), investment portfolio, customers and capital market activities;
- Possible impairments of securities held by us, including those issued by government entities and government sponsored enterprises;
- Our ability to continue to increase and manage our commercial and residential real estate, multifamily and commercial and industrial loans;
- The adequacy of the allowance for loan losses;
- Changes in the financial performance and/ or condition of our borrowers;
- Changes in general economic or business conditions resulting in changes in demand for credit and other services, among other things;
- Changes in consumer confidence, spending and savings habits relative to the bank and non-bank financial services we provide;
- Compliance with laws and regulatory requirements of federal and state agencies;
- New legislation affecting the financial services industry;
- The impact of the current governmental effort to restructure the U.S. financial and regulatory system;
- The level of future deposit premium assessments;

- Competition from other financial institutions in originating loans and attracting deposits;
- The effect of changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted by the regulatory agencies, as well as the SEC, Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the Financial Accounting Standards Board and other accounting standards setters;
- Our ability to effectively implement technology driven products and services;
- Sources of liquidity; and
- Our success in managing the risks involved in the foregoing.

Overview of Critical Accounting Policies Involving Estimates

Critical accounting policies involve accounting estimates that: a) require assumptions about highly uncertain matters, and b) could vary sufficiently enough to have a material effect on our financial condition and/ or results of operations.

Allowance for Loan Losses. Provisions for estimated loan losses and the amount of the allowance for loan losses are based on losses inherent in the loan portfolio that are both probable and reasonably estimable at the date of the financial statements. Management believes, to the best of their knowledge, that all known losses as of the statement of condition dates have been recorded.

Table of Contents

For all classes of loans, management considers a loan to be impaired when it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. In evaluating whether a loan is impaired, management considers not only the amount that we expect to collect but also the timing of collection. Generally, if a delay in payment is insignificant (e.g., less than 30 days), a loan is not deemed to be impaired.

When a loan is considered to be impaired, the amount of impairment is measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, the loan's market price, or fair value of the collateral, less cost to sell, if the loan is collateral dependent. Business banking loans greater than or equal to \$1.0 million are evaluated individually for impairment. Smaller balance, homogeneous loans (e.g., primarily consumer and residential mortgages) are evaluated collectively for impairment. Impairment losses are included in the allowance for loan losses. Impaired loans are charged-off or charged down when we believe that the ultimate collectability of a loan is not likely or the collateral value no longer supports the carrying value of the loan.

Interest income on impaired loans is recognized using the cash basis method. For impaired loans interest collected is credited to income in the period of recovery or applied to reduce principal if there is sufficient doubt about the collectability of principal.

The allowance for loan losses is shown as a valuation allowance to loans. The accounting policy for the determination of the adequacy of the allowance by portfolio segment requires us to make numerous complex and subjective estimates and assumptions relating to amounts which are inherently uncertain. The allowance for loan losses is maintained to absorb losses inherent in the loan portfolio as of the statement of condition dates based on our judgment. The methodology used to determine the allowance for loan losses is designed to provide procedural discipline in assessing the appropriateness of the allowance for loan losses. Losses are charged against the allowance for loan losses and recoveries are added to the allowance for loan losses.

The allowance for loan losses for all classes of Business Banking loans consists of three elements:

- An allowance for impaired loans;
- An allowance for homogenous loans based on historical losses; and
- An allowance for homogenous loans based on judgmental factors.

The first element, impaired loans, is based on individual analysis of all nonperforming loans greater than or equal to \$1.0 million. The allowance is measured by the difference between the recorded value of impaired loans and their impaired value. Impaired value is either the present value of the expected future cash flows from the borrower, the market value of the loan, or the fair value of the collateral, less cost to sell if the loan is collateral dependent.

The second element is a rolling three year average of actual losses incurred, adjusted for a loss realization period (the period of time from the event of loss to loss realization), applied to homogenous pools of loans categorized by similar risk characteristics.

The third element augments the historical loss factors for changes in economic conditions, lending policies and procedures, the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, management, delinquency trends, loan administration, underlying collateral and concentrations of credit.

Table of Contents

The allowance for loan losses for all classes of Personal Banking loans consists of three elements
--

- An allowance for loans 90 days or more delinquent;
- An allowance for homogenous loans based on historical losses; and
- An allowance for homogenous loans based on judgmental factors.

The first element, loans 90 days or more delinquent is based on the loss history of loans that have become 90 days or more delinquent. We apply a historical loss factor for loans that have been 90 days or more delinquent.

The second element is a rolling three year average of actual losses incurred, adjusted for a loss realization period (the period of time from the event of loss to loss realization), applied to homogenous pools of loans categorized by similar risk characteristics.

The third element augments the historical loss factors for changes in economic conditions, lending policies and procedures, the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, management, delinquency trends, loan administration, underlying collateral and concentrations of credit.

We also have an unallocated allowance which is based on our judgment regarding economic conditions, collateral values, specific loans and industry conditions and results of bank regulatory and internal credit exams.

The allocation of the allowance for loan losses is inherently judgmental, and the entire allowance for loan losses is available to absorb loan losses regardless of the nature of the loss.

We have not made any changes to our methodology for the calculation of the allowance for loan losses during the current year.

Personal Banking loans are charged-off or charged down when they become no more than 180 days delinquent, unless the borrower has filed for bankruptcy. Business Banking loans are charged-off or charged down when, in our opinion, they are no longer collectible, for commercial loans, or when it has been determined that the collateral value no longer supports the carrying value of the loan, for commercial real estate loans.

Valuation of Investment Securities. Unrealized gains or losses, net of deferred taxes, on available for sale securities are reported in other comprehensive income and as a separate component of shareholders equity and on the statement of comprehensive income. In general, fair value is based upon quoted market prices of identical assets, when available. Semi-annually (as of June 30 and December 31) we receive quoted market prices from a second independent pricing service. If quoted market prices are not available, fair value is based upon valuation models that use cash flow, security structure and other observable information. Where sufficient data is not available to produce a fair valuation, fair

value is based on broker quotes for similar assets. Broker quotes may be adjusted to ensure that financial instruments are recorded at fair value. Adjustments may include unobservable parameters, among other things.

We conduct a quarterly review and evaluation of our investment securities to determine if any declines in fair value are other than temporary. In making this determination, we consider the period of time the securities were in a loss position, the percentage decline in comparison to the securities amortized cost, the financial condition of the issuer, if applicable, and the delinquency or default rates of underlying collateral. In addition, we consider our intent to sell the investment securities currently in an

41

Table of Contents

unrealized loss position and whether it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before recovery of its cost basis. Any valuation decline that we determine to be other than temporary would require us to write down the security to fair value through a charge to earnings for the credit loss component.

Goodwill. Goodwill is not subject to amortization but must be evaluated for impairment at least annually and possibly more frequently if certain events or changes in circumstances arise. Under a quantitative approach, impairment testing requires that the fair value of each reporting unit be compared to its carrying amount, including goodwill. Reporting units are identified based upon analyzing each of our individual operating segments. A reporting unit is defined as any distinct, separately identifiable component of an operating segment for which complete, discrete financial information is available that management regularly reviews. Determining the fair value of a reporting unit requires a high degree of subjective management judgment. We have established June 30th of each year as the date for conducting the annual goodwill impairment assessment. As of June 30, 2011, we, through the assistance of an external third party, performed an impairment test on goodwill. We valued each reporting unit by using a weighted average of four valuation methodologies; comparable transaction approach, control premium approach, public market peers approach and discounted cash flow approach. Declines in fair value could result in impairment being identified. At June 30, 2011, we did not identify any individual reporting unit where the fair value was less than the carrying value. No material changes have occurred since that date that would lead us to any other conclusions. Future changes in the economic environment or the operations of the operating units could cause changes to the variables used, which could give rise to declines in the estimated fair value of the reporting units.

Deferred Income Taxes. We use the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes. Using this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. If current available information raises doubt as to the realization of the deferred tax assets, a valuation allowance is established. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to be applied to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. Management exercises significant judgment in evaluating the amount and timing of recognition of the resulting tax liabilities and assets. These judgments require us to make projections of future taxable income. The judgments and estimates made in determining our deferred tax assets, which are inherently subjective, are reviewed on an ongoing basis as regulatory and business factors change. A reduction in estimated future taxable income could require us to record a valuation allowance. Changes in levels of valuation allowances could result in increased income tax expense, and could negatively affect earnings.

Other Intangible Assets. Using the purchase method of accounting for acquisitions, we are required to record the assets acquired, including identified intangible assets, and liabilities assumed at their fair values. These fair values often involve estimates based on third party valuations, including appraisals, or internal valuations based on discounted cash flow analyses or other valuation techniques, which are inherently subjective. Core deposit and other intangible assets are recorded in purchase accounting. Intangible assets, which are determined to have finite lives, are amortized based on the period of estimated economic benefits received, primarily on an accelerated basis. If it is subsequently determined that the period of economic benefit has decreased or no longer exists, accelerated amortization or impairment may occur.

Executive Summary and Comparison of Financial Condition

Total assets at March 31, 2012 were \$8.069 billion, an increase of \$111.3 million, or 1.4%, from \$7.958 billion at December 31, 2011. This increase in assets was due to increases in loans receivable of

Table of Contents

\$56.4 million and cash and cash equivalents of \$70.6 million, which were partially offset by a decrease in other assets of \$13.6 million. The net increase in total assets resulted from a net increase in funding sources as deposits and borrowed funds increased by \$89.4 million and \$8.5 million, respectively.

Loans receivable increased by \$56.4 million, or 1.0%, to \$5.608 billion at March 31, 2012, from \$5.552 billion at December 31, 2011. Loan demand was strong during the three months ended March 31, 2012, with originations of \$530.4 million. Due to our continued efforts to expand business banking relationships our business banking loan portfolio increased by \$60.8 million, or 3.3%, to \$1.884 billion at March 31, 2012 from \$1.824 billion at December 31, 2011, led by commercial real estate loans which increased \$39.8 million, or 2.8% during the quarter. Additionally, commercial loans increased by \$21.0 million, or 5.4%. Our personal banking loan portfolio decreased by \$4.4 million, or 0.1%, to \$3.723 billion at March 31, 2012 from \$3.728 billion at December 31, 2011. With consumers continuing their propensity to pay down debt, home equity loans decreased by \$25.8 million, or 2.4%, and consumer loans decreased by \$8.1 million, or 3.3% during the quarter. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in mortgage loans of \$29.6 million, or 1.2%.

Deposit balances increased across all product types with the exception of time deposits. Total deposits increased by \$89.4 million, or 1.5%, to \$5.870 billion at March 31, 2012 from \$5.780 billion at December 31, 2011. Noninterest-bearing demand deposits increased by \$73.8 million, or 11.2%, to \$732.4 million at March 31, 2012 from \$658.6 million at December 31, 2011. Interest-bearing demand deposits increased by \$32.6 million, or 4.1%, to \$833.3 million at March 31, 2012 from \$800.7 million at December 31, 2011. Savings deposits, including insured money fund accounts, increased by \$88.0 million, or 4.3%, to \$2.124 billion at March 31, 2012 from \$2.036 billion at December 31, 2011. Time deposits decreased by \$105.0 million, or 4.6%, to \$2.180 billion at March 31, 2012 from \$2.285 billion at December 31, 2011. We believe this continued movement of funds from time deposits to more liquid types of deposit accounts reflects our depositors concerns regarding potentially higher interest rates.

Borrowed funds increased by \$8.5 million, or 1.0%, to \$836.4 million at March 31, 2012, from \$827.9 million at December 31, 2011 due to an increase in repurchase agreements. None of our FHLB advances matured during the quarter and the next scheduled maturity is in 2015.

Total shareholders equity at March 31, 2012 was \$1.162 billion, or \$11.91 per share, an increase of \$7.6 million, or 0.7%, from \$1.155 billion, or \$11.85 per share, at December 31, 2011. This increase was primarily attributable to net income of \$15.2 million and other comprehensive income of \$2.0 million, which was partially offset by cash dividends paid of \$11.4 million.

Table of Contents

Financial institutions and their holding companies are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by state and federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary, actions by the regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on a company s financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, financial institutions must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of its assets, liabilities and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments made by the regulators about components, risk-weighting and other factors.

Quantitative measures, established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy, require financial institutions to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below) of Total and Tier I capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined), and of Tier I capital to average assets (as defined). Capital ratios are presented in the tables below. Dollar amounts in the accompanying tables are in thousands.

At March 31, 2012

	A -41		Minimum	•		pitalized
	Actual	- ·	Require		•	rements
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
Total capital (to risk weighted assts)						
Northwest Bancshares, Inc.	\$ 1,161,927	23.16%	401,391	8.00%	501,739	10.00%
Northwest Savings Bank	999,865	20.01%	399,685	8.00%	499,606	10.00%
Tier I capital (to risk weighted assets)						
Northwest Bancshares, Inc.	1,098,971	21.90%	200,696	4.00%	301,044	6.00%
Northwest Savings Bank	937,096	18.76%	199,842	4.00%	299,764	6.00%
Tier I capital (leverage) (to average						
assets)						
Northwest Bancshares, Inc.	1,098,971	14.03%	235,070	3.00%	391,784	5.00%
Northwest Savings Bank	937,096	12.01%	234,099	3.00% *	390,165	5.00%

At December 31, 2011

	Actual		Minimun Require			pitalized rements
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
Total capital (to risk weighted assts)						
Northwest Bancshares, Inc.	\$ 1,155,490	23.14%	399,503	8.00%	499,378	10.00%
Northwest Savings Bank	982,156	19.78%	397,302	8.00%	496,627	10.00%
Tier I capital (to risk weighted assets)						
Northwest Bancshares, Inc.	1,092,787	21.88%	199,751	4.00%	299,627	6.00%
Northwest Savings Bank	919,807	18.52%	198,651	4.00%	297,976	6.00%

Edgar Filing: Northwest Bancshares, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Tier I capital (leverage) (to average						
assets)						
Northwest Bancshares, Inc.	1,092,787	13.98%	234,551	3.00%	390,918	5.00%
Northwest Savings Bank	919,807	11.81%	233,573	3.00% *	389,288	5.00%

^{*} The FDIC has indicated that the most highly rated institutions which meet certain criteria will be required to maintain a ratio of 3%, and all other institutions will be required to maintain an additional capital cushion of 100 to 200 basis points. As of March 31, 2012, Northwest Savings Bank has not been advised of any additional requirements in this regard.

We are required to maintain a sufficient level of liquid assets, as determined by management and reviewed for adequacy by the FDIC and the Pennsylvania Department of Banking during their regular examinations. Northwest monitors its liquidity position primarily using the ratio of unencumbered available-for-sale liquid assets as a percentage of deposits and borrowings (liquidity ratio liquidity ratio liquidity ratio liquidity ratio liquidity ratio liquidity levels in order to meet funding needs for deposit outflows, payment of real estate taxes and insurance on mortgage loan escrow accounts, repayment of borrowings and loan commitments. As of March 31, 2012 Northwest had \$1.795 billion of additional borrowing capacity available with the FHLB, including \$150.0 million on an overnight line of credit, as well as \$193.6 million of borrowing capacity available with the Federal Reserve Bank and \$80.0 million with two correspondent banks.

We paid \$11.4 million and \$10.7 million in cash dividends during the quarters ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The increase is the result of an increase of \$0.02 per share in the dividends paid. The common stock dividend payout ratio (dividends declared per share divided by net income per share) was 74.4% and 62.5% for the quarters ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, on dividends of \$0.12 per share and \$0.10 per share, respectively. The Board of Directors declared a dividend of \$0.12 per share payable on May 17, 2012 to shareholders of record as of May 3, 2012. This represents the 70th consecutive quarter we have paid a cash dividend.

Nonperforming Assets

The following table sets forth information with respect to the Company s nonperforming assets. Nonaccrual loans are those loans on which the accrual of interest has ceased. Loans are automatically placed on nonaccrual status when they are 90 days or more contractually delinquent and may also be placed on nonaccrual status even if not 90 days or more delinquent but other conditions exist. Other nonperforming assets represent property acquired by the Company through foreclosure or repossession. Foreclosed property is carried at the lower of its fair value less estimated costs to sell, or the principal balance of the related loan.

	ľ	March 31, 2012 (Dollars in Thousa	December 31, 2011
Loans accounted for on a nonaccrual basis			
Personal Banking:			
Residential mortgage loans	\$	28,696	28,221
Home equity loans		9,100	9,560
Other consumer loans		1,983	2,667
Total Personal Banking		39,779	40,448
Business Banking:			
Commercial real estate loans		58,400	62,494
Commercial loans		26,834	28,163
Total Business Banking		85,234	90,657
Total		125,013	131,105
Total nonaccrual loans as a percentage of total loans		2.23%	2.36%
Total real estate acquired through foreclosure and other real estate owned			
(REO)		28,895	26,887
Total nonperforming assets	\$	153,908	157,992
Total nonperforming assets as a percentage of total assets		1.91%	1.99%

Table of Contents

A loan is considered to be impaired, when, based on current information and events it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement including both contractual principal and interest payments. The amount of impairment is required to be measured using one of three methods: (1) the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan s effective interest rate; (2) the loan s observable market price; or (3) the fair value of collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. If the measure of the impaired loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan, a specific allowance is allocated for the impairment. Impaired loans at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were \$175.3 million and \$179.4 million, respectively.

Allowance for Loan Losses

Our Board of Directors has adopted an Allowance for Loan Losses (ALL) policy designed to provide management with a systematic methodology for determining and documenting the ALL each reporting period. This methodology was developed to provide a consistent process and review procedure to ensure that the ALL is in conformity with GAAP, our policies and procedures and other supervisory and regulatory guidelines.

On an ongoing basis, the Credit Administration department, as well as loan officers, branch managers and department heads, review and monitor the loan portfolio for problem loans. This portfolio monitoring includes a review of the monthly delinquency reports as well as historical comparisons and trend analysis. In addition, a meeting is held every quarter with each of our seven regions to monitor the performance and status of loans on an internal watch list. On an on-going basis the loan officer along with the Loan Review department grades or classifies problem loans or potential problem loans based upon their knowledge of the lending relationship and other information previously accumulated. Our loan grading system for problem loans is consistent with industry regulatory guidelines which classify loans as substandard, doubtful or loss. Loans that do not expose us to risk sufficient to warrant classification in one of the subsequent categories, but which possess some weaknesses, are designated as special mention. A substandard loan is any loan that is more than 90 days contractually delinquent or is inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses present make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions or values, highly questionable and improbable. Loans classified as loss are considered uncollectible so that their continuance as assets without the establishment of a specific loss allowance is not warranted.

The loans that have been classified as substandard or doubtful are reviewed by the Credit Administration department for possible impairment. A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement, including both contractual principal and interest payments.

If an individual loan is deemed to be impaired, the Credit Administration department determines the proper measure of impairment for each loan based on one of three methods: (1) the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan s effective interest rate; (2) the loan s observable market price; or (3) the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. If the measurement of the impaired loan is more or less than the recorded investment in the loan, the Credit Administration department adjusts the specific allowance associated with that individual loan accordingly.

If a substandard or doubtful loan is not considered individually for impairment, it is grouped with other loans that possess common characteristics for impairment evaluation and analysis. This segmentation is accomplished by grouping loans of similar product types, risk characteristics and industry concentration into homogeneous pools. Historical loss ratios are analyzed and adjusted based on

Table of Contents

delinquency trends as well as the current economic, political, regulatory and interest rate environment and used to estimate the current measure of impairment.

The individual impairment measures along with the estimated loss for each homogeneous pool are consolidated into one summary document. This summary schedule along with the support documentation used to establish this schedule is presented to the Credit Committee on a quarterly basis. The Credit Committee reviews the processes and documentation presented, reviews the concentration of credit by industry and customer, lending products, activity, competition and collateral values, as well as economic conditions in general and in each of our market areas. Based on this review and discussion the appropriate amount of ALL is estimated and any adjustments to reconcile the actual ALL with this estimate are determined. In addition, the Credit Committee considers if any changes to the methodology are needed. The Credit Committee also reviews and discusses delinquency trends, nonperforming asset amounts and ALL levels and ratios compared to our peer group as well as state and national statistics. Similarly, following the Credit Committee s review and approval, a review is performed by the Risk Management Committee of the Board of Directors on a quarterly basis.

In addition to the reviews by management s Credit Committee and the Board of Directors Risk Management Committee, regulators from either the FDIC or the Pennsylvania Department of Banking perform an extensive review on an annual basis for the adequacy of the ALL and its conformity with regulatory guidelines and pronouncements. Any recommendations or enhancements from these independent parties are considered by management and the Credit Committee and implemented accordingly.

We acknowledge that this is a dynamic process and consists of factors, many of which are external and out of our control that can change often, rapidly and substantially. The adequacy of the ALL is based upon estimates using all the information previously discussed as well as current and known circumstances and events. There is no assurance that actual portfolio losses will not be substantially different than those that were estimated.

We utilize a consistent methodology each period when analyzing the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses and the related provision for loan losses. As part of the analysis as of March 31, 2012, we considered the economic conditions in our markets, such as the unemployment and bankruptcy levels as well as changes in real estate collateral values. In addition, we considered the overall trends in asset quality, specific reserves already established for criticized loans, historical loss rates and collateral valuations. As a result of this analysis, the allowance for loan losses increased \$1.8 million to \$72.9 million, or 1.30% of total loans, at March 31, 2012 from \$71.1 million, or 1.28% of total loans, at December 31, 2011. The increase is primarily attributable to the increase in our business banking loan portfolio as business banking loans typically have the highest reserve factors and historical loss ratios.

We also consider how the level of nonperforming loans and historical charge-offs have influenced the required amount of allowance for loan losses. Nonperforming loans of \$125.0 million or 2.23% of total loans, at March 31, 2012 decreased by \$6.1 million, or 4.7%, from \$131.1 million, or 2.36% of total loans, at December 31, 2011. As a percentage of average loans, annualized net charge-offs decreased to 0.32% for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 compared to 0.52% for the quarter ended March 31, 2011. We believe all known losses as of the balance sheet dates have been recorded.

Comparison of Operating Results for the Quarters Ended March 31, 2012 and 2011

Net income for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 was \$15.2 million, or \$0.16 per diluted share, a decrease of \$2.1 million, or 12.0%, from \$17.3 million, or \$0.16 per diluted share, for the same quarter last year. The decrease in net income resulted primarily from a decrease in net interest income of \$1.6 million,

Table of Contents

a decrease in noninterest income of \$686,000 as well as an increase in noninterest expense of \$1.9 million. Partially offsetting these items were decreases in the provision for loan losses of \$957,000 and income tax expense of \$1.2 million. A discussion of significant changes follows. Annualized, net income for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 represents a 5.29% and 0.76% return on average equity and return on average assets, respectively, compared to 5.39% and 0.86% for the same quarter last year.

Interest Income

Total interest income decreased by \$4.8 million, or 5.3%, to \$86.2 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 due to both a decrease in the average yield earned on interest earning assets and a decrease in the average balance of interest earning assets. The average yield on interest earning assets decreased to 4.70% for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 from 4.83% for the quarter ended March 31, 2011. The average yield on all categories of interest earning assets decreased when compared to the prior year period. Average interest earning assets decreased by \$166.0 million, or 2.2%, to \$7.376 billion for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 from \$7.542 billion for the quarter ended March 31, 2011.

Interest income on loans decreased \$2.3 million, or 2.9%, to \$78.2 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 compared to \$80.5 for the quarter ended March 31, 2011. The average yield on loans receivable decreased to 5.63% for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 from 5.87% for the quarter ended March 31, 2011. The decrease in average yield is primarily attributable to our variable rate loans adjusting downward as re-pricing dates occur, as well as increased competition for credit relationships. This decrease in average yield was partially offset by an increase in the average balance of loans receivable. The balance of average loans receivable increased by \$62.8 million, or 1.1%, to \$5.579 billion for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 from \$5.516 billion for the quarter ended March 31, 2011. Leading this increase was growth in our business banking loan portfolio which was facilitated by our emphasis on building quality business banking relationships and modest improvements in economic conditions. The growth in our mortgage loan portfolio was primarily the result of consumers taking advantage of historically low interest rates to refinance and consolidate other debt.

Interest income on mortgage-backed securities decreased by \$2.1 million, or 30.6%, to \$4.7 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 from \$6.8 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2011. This decrease is the result of decreases in both the average balance and average yield. The average balance of mortgage-backed securities decreased by \$179.3, or 19.4%, to \$747.0 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 from \$926.3 for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 due primarily to redirecting cash flows to fund increased loan demand and the repurchase of common stock shares during 2011. The average yield on mortgage-backed securities decreased to 2.51% for the quarter ending March 31, 2012 from 2.92% for the quarter ending March 31, 2011. The decrease in average yield resulted from variable rate securities continuing to re-price downward and the purchase of mortgage-backed securities at generally lower interest rates than the existing portfolio yield.

Interest income on investment securities decreased by \$453,000, or 13.0%, to \$3.0 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 from \$3.5 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2011. This decrease is due to decreases in both the average balance and the average yield. The average balance of investment securities decreased by \$11.7 million, or 3.3%, to \$343.1 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 from \$354.8 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 due primarily to called or maturing bonds. The average yield on investment securities decreased to 3.52% for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 from 3.91% for the quarter ended March 31, 2011, as a result of higher rate municipal and government agency securities maturing or being called.

Interest income on interest-earning deposits decreased by \$27,000, or 6.6%, to \$380,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 from \$407,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2011. This decrease is due

Table of Contents

to the use of cash to fund loan demand causing the average balance of interest-earning deposits to decrease by \$27.2 million, or 4.0%, to \$658.7 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 from \$685.9 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2011. The average yield on interest-earning deposits decreased to 0.23% for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 from 0.24% for the quarter ended March 31, 2011.

Interest Expense

Interest expense decreased by \$3.3 million, or 13.3%, to \$20.8 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 from \$24.1 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2011. This decrease in interest expense was due to a decrease in the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities, which decreased to 1.38% from 1.59%, and a decrease in the average balance of interest-bearing liabilities. Average interest-bearing liabilities decreased by \$99.7 million, or 1.6%, to \$6.036 billion for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 from \$6.136 billion for the quarter ended March 31, 2011. The decrease in the cost of funds resulted primarily from a decrease in the level of market interest rates which enabled us to reduce the rate of interest paid on all deposit products. In addition, we continue to grow low cost checking, savings and insured money market accounts. The decrease in interest-bearing liabilities resulted primarily from a reduction in time deposits.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income decreased by \$1.6 million, or 2.4%, to \$65.4 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 from \$67.0 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2011. This decrease is attributable to the factors discussed above. Despite a slight improvement in our interest rate spread to 3.31% for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 from 3.24% for the quarter ended March 31, 2011, our net interest margin decreased 0.01% to 3.55% at March 31, 2012 which resulted from a decrease in total interest-earning assets of \$166.0 million, or 2.2%.

Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses decreased by \$957,000, or 13.2%, to \$6.3 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 from \$7.2 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2011. This decrease is primarily due to reductions in some of the loss factors used to determine the reserve requirement for loans collectively evaluated for impairment and improved credit quality. At March 31, 2012, classified assets decreased \$12.5 million, or 4.5%, and loans 90 days or more delinquent decreased \$34.6 million, or 27.8%, compared to March 31, 2011. Partially offsetting these factors was an increase in loans receivable of \$56.4 million, or 1.0%, and the downgrade of a Maryland commercial real estate loan requiring a \$1.9 million specific reserve.

In determining the amount of the current period provision, we considered the current economic conditions, including unemployment levels and bankruptcy filings, and changes in real estate values, the impact of these factors on the quality of our loan portfolio and historical loss factors. Net charge-offs for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 were \$4.5 million compared to \$7.2 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2011. Annualized net charge-offs to average loans decreased to 0.32% for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 from 0.52% for the quarter ended March 31, 2011. We analyze the allowance for loan losses as described in the section entitled Allowance for Loan Losses. The provision that is recorded is sufficient, in our judgment, to bring this reserve to a level that reflects the losses inherent in our loan portfolio relative to loan mix, economic conditions and historical loss experience. We believe, to the best of our knowledge, that all known losses as of the balance sheet dates have been recorded.

Noninterest Income

Noninterest income decreased by \$686,000, or 4.8%, to \$13.6 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 from \$14.3 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2011. The decrease is primarily attributable an increase on the loss of real estate owned and a decrease in service charges and fees. Loss on real estate owned increased by \$1.0 million, to \$1.1 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 due to the

Table of Contents

management of our inventory of foreclosed properties, resulting in losses on the sale of properties and write-downs on some of the remaining properties. Service charges and fees decreased by \$503,000, or 5.6%, to \$8.4 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 from \$8.9 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 due to lower NSF and overdraft income. Partially offsetting these decreases were increases in insurance commission income and mortgage banking income. Insurance commission income increased by \$338,000, or 24.5%, to \$1.7 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 from \$1.4 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 due to the growth of our employee benefits subsidiary. Mortgage banking income increased by \$334,000, or 169.5%, to \$531,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 from \$197,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2011. This increase is due to increased sales of residential mortgage loans into the secondary market.

Noninterest Expense

Noninterest expense increased by \$1.9 million, or 3.8%, to \$51.3 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 from \$49.4 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2011. This increase is primarily the result of increases in compensation and employee benefits expense and professional services. Compensation and employee benefits expense increased by \$2.3 million, or 9.2%, to \$27.8 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 from \$25.5 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2011. This increase is primarily attributable to increased staffing levels and increases in pension expense and health insurance costs. We have added 77 full-time equivalent employees compared to last year primarily in the areas of commercial lending, loan servicing, credit review and regulatory compliance. Professional services increased by \$441,000, or 35.1%, to \$1.7 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 from \$1.3 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 primarily due to our ongoing efforts to improve compliance and satisfy the conditions of the FDIC Consent Order. These increases were partially offset by decreases in federal deposit insurance premiums and premises and occupancy costs. Federal deposit insurance premiums decreased by \$807,000, or 33.3%, to \$1.6 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 from \$2.4 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2011. This decrease was due to the new assessment formula which calculates premiums based on assets rather than deposits. Premises and occupancy costs decreased by \$443,000, or 7.2%, to \$5.7 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 from \$6.2 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 primarily due to lower utility and snow removal costs because of the mild winter.

Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 decreased by \$1.2 million, or 15.9%, to \$6.3 million, compared to the same period last year. This decrease in income tax is primarily a result of a decrease in income before income taxes of \$3.3 million, or 13.2%. Our effective tax rate for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 was 29.3% compared to 30.3% for the prior year period. We do not anticipate our effective tax rate to change substantially during the year.

Average Balance Sheet

(Dollars in thousands)

The following table sets forth certain information relating to the Company s average balance sheet and reflects the average yield on assets and average cost of liabilities for the periods indicated. Such yields and costs are derived by dividing income or expense by the average balance of assets or liabilities, respectively, for the periods presented. Average balances are calculated using daily averages.

				Quarter ended	l Maı	rch 31,		
			2012	Avg.			2011	Avg.
		Average Balance	Interest	Yield/ Cost (g)		Average Balance	Interest	Yield/ Cost (g)
Assets:		Dalance	interest	Cost (g)		Dalance	interest	Cost (g)
Interest-earning assets:								
Loans receivable (a) (b) (includes FTE								
adjustments of \$532 and \$390,								
respectively)	\$	5,579,071	78,691	5.67%	\$	5,516,254	80,847	5.89%
Mortgage-backed securities (c)		746,954	4,691	2.51%		926,349	6,756	2.92%
Investment securities (c) (includes FTE								
adjustments of \$1,317 and \$1,665,								
respectively)		343,059	4,336	5.06%		354,786	5,128	5.78%
FHLB stock		48,246				58,845		
Other interest-earning deposits		658,747	380	0.23%		685,864	407	0.24%
Total interest-earning assets (includes								
FTE adjustments of \$1,849 and \$2,045,								
respectively)		7,376,077	88,098	4.80%		7,542,098	93,138	4.96%
Noninterest earning assets (d)		615,734				592,981		
Total assets	\$	7,991,811			\$	8,135,079		
<u>Liabilities and shareholders</u> equity:								
Interest-bearing liabilities:		4 400 040		0.40~		4060 606	4 400	0 ==~
Savings accounts	\$	1,100,312	1,106	0.40%	\$	1,063,696	1,429	0.55%
Interest-bearing demand accounts		785,935	227	0.12%		773,633	232	0.12%
Money market accounts		976,194	965	0.40%		915,768	1,155	0.51%
Certificate accounts		2,236,823	10,646	1.91%		2,431,952	13,247	2.21%
Borrowed funds (e)		833,843	6,477	3.12%		847,784	6,584	3.15%
Junior subordinated debentures		103,094	1,422	5.46%		103,094	1,405	5.45%
T (1) () () () () () () ()		6.026.201	20.042	1 200		(125 027	24.052	1.500
Total interest-bearing liabilities (f)		6,036,201	20,843	1.38%		6,135,927	24,052	1.59%
Nanintanast haaring lighilities		801,157				701,633		
Noninterest bearing liabilities		801,137				701,033		
Total liabilities		6,837,358				6,837,560		
Total flabilities		0,637,336				0,837,300		
Shareholders equity		1,154,453				1,297,519		
onarcholders equity		1,134,433				1,271,319		
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$	7,991,811			\$	8,135,079		
Total Incomines and shareholders equity	Ψ	7,771,011			Ψ	0,133,077		

Net interest income/ Interest rate spread		67,255	3.42%		69,086	3.37%
Net interest-earning assets/ Net interest						
margin	\$ 1,339,876		3.65%	\$ 1,406,171		3.66%
Ratio of interest-earning assets to						
interest-bearing liabilities	1.22X			1.23X		

- (a) Average gross loans includes loans held as available-for-sale and loans placed on nonaccrual status.
- (b) Interest income includes accretion/ amortization of deferred loan fees/ expenses, which was not material.
- (c) Average balances do not include the effect of unrealized gains or losses on securities held as available-for-sale.
- (d) Average balances include the effect of unrealized gains or losses on securities held as available-for-sale.
- (e) Average balances include FHLB borrowings, securities sold under agreements to repurchase and other borrowings.
- (f) Balances include noninterest-bearing checking accounts.
- (g) Annualized. Shown on a fully tax-equivalent basis (FTE). The FTE basis adjusts for the tax benefit of income on certain tax exempt loans and investments using the federal statutory rate of 35% for each period presented. We believe this measure to be the preferred industry measurement of net interest income and provides relevant comparison between taxable and non-taxable amounts. GAAP basis yields were:

 Loans 5.63% and 5.87%; respectively, Investment securities 3.52% and 3.91%; respectively, interest-earning assets 4.70% and 4.83%; respectively. GAAP basis net interest rate spreads were 3.31% and 3.24%, respectively and GAAP basis net interest margins were 3.55% and 3.56%, respectively.

Rate/ Volume Analysis

(Dollars in Thousands)

The following table represents the extent to which changes in interest rates and changes in the volume of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities have affected interest income and interest expense during the periods indicated. Information is provided in each category with respect to (i) changes attributable to changes in volume (changes in volume multiplied by prior rate), (ii) changes attributable to changes in rate (changes in rate multiplied by prior volume), and (iii) net change. Changes that cannot be attributed to either rate or volume have been allocated to both rate and volume.

Quarter ended March 31, 2012 and 2011

		Rate	Volume	Net Change
Interest earning assets:				
Loans	\$	(3,081)	925	(2,156)
Mortgage-backed securities		(848)	(1,217)	(2,065)
Investment securities		(624)	(168)	(792)
FHLB stock				
Other interest-earning deposits		(11)	(16)	(27)
Total interest-earning assets		(4,564)	(476)	(5,040)
Interest-bearing liabilities: Savings accounts Now accounts Money market demand accounts Certificate accounts Borrowed funds Debentures Total interest-bearing liabilities		(373) (10) (266) (1,633) (34) 17 (2,299)	50 5 76 (968) (73)	(323) (5) (190) (2,601) (107) 17 (3,209)
Net change in net interest income	\$	(2,265)	434	(1,831)
	52			

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

As the holding company for a savings bank, one of our primary market risks is interest rate risk. Interest rate risk is the sensitivity of net interest income to variations in interest rates over a specified time period. The sensitivity results from differences in the time periods in which interest rate sensitive assets and liabilities mature or re-price. We attempt to control interest rate risk by matching, within acceptable limits, the re-pricing periods of assets and liabilities. We have attempted to limit our exposure to interest sensitivity by increasing core deposits, enticing customers to extend certificates of deposit maturities, borrowing funds with fixed-rates and longer maturities and by shortening the maturities of our assets by emphasizing the origination of more short-term fixed rate loans and adjustable rate loans. We also continue to sell a portion of the long-term, fixed-rate mortgage loans that we originate. In addition, we purchase shorter term or adjustable-rate investment securities and adjustable-rate mortgage-backed securities.

We have an Asset/ Liability Committee consisting of several members of management which meets monthly to review market interest rates, economic conditions, the pricing of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities and the balance sheet structure. On a quarterly basis, this Committee also reviews the interest rate risk position and cash flow projections.

The Board of Directors has a Risk Management Committee which meets quarterly and reviews interest rate risks and trends, our interest sensitivity position, the liquidity position and the market risk inherent in the investment portfolio.

In an effort to assess market risk, we utilize a simulation model to determine the effect of immediate incremental increases and decreases in interest rates on net income and the market value of equity. Certain assumptions are made regarding loan prepayments and decay rates of passbook and NOW accounts. Because it is difficult to accurately project the market reaction of depositors and borrowers, the effect of actual changes in interest rates on these assumptions may differ from simulated results. We have established the following guidelines for assessing interest rate risk:

Net income simulation. Given a parallel shift of 2% in interest rates, the estimated net income may not decrease by more than 20% within a one-year period.

Market value of equity simulation. The market value of equity is the present value of assets and liabilities. Given a parallel shift of 2% in interest rates, the market value of equity may not decrease by more than 30% of total shareholders equity.

Table of Contents

The following table illustrates the simulated impact of a 1%, 2% or 3% upward or 1% downward movement in interest rates on net income, return on average equity, earnings per share and market value of equity. This analysis was prepared assuming that interest-earning asset and interest-earning liability levels at March 31, 2012 remain constant. The impact of the rate movements was computed by simulating the effect of an immediate and sustained shift in interest rates over a twelve-month period from March 31, 2012 levels.

			I	ncrease	Dec	crease
Parallel shift in interest rates over the next 12 months		1.0%		2.0%	3.0%	1.0%
Projected percentage increase/ (decrease) in net income		5.5%		7.4%	5.7%	(3.0)%
Projected increase/ (decrease) in return on average equity		5.3%		7.1%	4.8%	(3.0)%
Projected increase/ (decrease) in earnings per share		0.04	\$	0.06 \$	0.04 \$	(0.02)
Projected percentage increase/ (decrease) in market value of						
equity		(6.8)%		(15.1)%	(23.0)%	(4.6)%

The figures included in the table above represent projections that were computed based upon certain assumptions including prepayment rates and decay rates. These assumptions are inherently uncertain and, as a result, cannot precisely predict the impact of changes in interest rates. Actual results may differ significantly due to timing, magnitude and frequency of interest rate changes and changes in market conditions.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Under the supervision of and with the participation of management, including the Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, we evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report (the Evaluation Date). Based upon that evaluation, the Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer concluded that, as of the Evaluation Date, these disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

There were no changes in the internal controls over financial reporting during the period covered by this report or in other factors that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect the internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We are subject to a number of asserted and unasserted claims encountered in the normal course of business. Management believes that the aggregate liability, if any, that may result from such potential litigation will not have a material adverse effect on the financial statements.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There are no material changes to the risk factors as previously discussed in Item 1A, to Part I of our 2011 Annual report on Form 10-K.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

- a.) Not applicable.
- b.) Not applicable.
- c.) The following table discloses information regarding the repurchase of shares of common stock during the quarter ending March 31, 2012:

Month	Number of shares purchased	Average price paid per share	Total number of shares purchased as part of a publicly announced repurchase plan (1)	Maximum number of shares yet to be purchased under the plan (1)
January	•	\$	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1,157,747
February				1,157,747
March				1,157,747
		\$		

Month	Number of shares purchased	Average price paid per share	Total number of shares purchased as part of a publicly announced repurchase plan (2)	Maximum number of shares yet to be purchased under the plan (2)
January	-	\$		4,750,000
February				4,750,000
March				4,750,000
	\$	5		

⁽¹⁾ Reflects program for 5,150,000 shares announced August 10, 2011.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

⁽²⁾ Reflects program for 4,750,000 shares announced September 26, 2011.

Not applicable.
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures
Not applicable.
Item 5. Other Information
Not applicable.
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>
Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-15 or 15d-15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
55

Table of Contents

- 31.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-15 or 15d-15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

Table of Contents

Signature

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

NORTHWEST BANCSHARES, INC.

(Registrant)

Date: May 8, 2012 By: /s/ William J. Wagner

William J. Wagner

President and Chief Executive Officer

(Duly Authorized Officer)

Date: May 8, 2012 By: /s/ Gerald J. Ritzert

Gerald J. Ritzert

Controller

(Principal Accounting Officer of the

Registrant)

57