UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)
x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2008

OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File No. 005-62411

HENRY BROS. ELECTRONICS, INC.
(EXACT NAME OF REGISTRANT AS SPECIFIED IN ITS CHARTER)

Delaware	22-3690168
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
17-01 Pollitt Drive, Fair Lawn, NJ	07410
(address of principal executive offices)	(Zip Code)

(201) 794-6500
(Registrant’s telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None

---

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Title of each class:	Name of each exchange on which registered:
Common Stock, $.01 par value	American Stock Exchange

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes o No x.

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes o No x.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yesx No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of 'accelerated filer and large accelerated filer' in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. (Check one): Large accelerated filer o Accelerated filer o Non-accelerated filer o Smaller Reporting Company x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Yes o No x.

At June 30, 2008, the last business day of the Registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter, the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the Registrant was $14,363,279 (based on the closing price of the registrant's common stock on the American Stock Exchange on such date).

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date:

Classes:	Outstanding at March 1, 2009
Common Stock, par value $.01 per share	5,983,550

Documents Incorporated by Reference: None

HENRY BROS. ELECTRONICS, INC.

Table of Contents

PART I	
Item 1. Business	3
Item 1A. Risk Factors	9
Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments	11
Item 2. Properties	11
Item 3. Legal Proceedings	13
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	13
PART II	
Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	13
Item 6. Selected Financial Data	15
Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	15
Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	25
Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	25
Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	25
Item 9A. Controls and Procedures	26
Item 9B. Other Information	27
PART III	
Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	28
Item 11. Executive Compensation	32
Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholders Matters	38
Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence	41

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services	41
PART IV	
Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	42
Signatures	43
Financial Statements and Accompanying Notes	F-1

PART I

Item 1. Business

Business Development.

In 1950, John, Ray, and Hartford Henry founded Henry Bros. Electronics. They sold Henry Bros. Electronics to Communication Group, Inc. ("CGI") in 1985. In 1989, Jim Henry, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, and Irvin Witcosky, our former President and Chief Operating Officer reacquired certain assets, including the name Henry Bros. Electronics from CGI. In 1991 we acquired the assets of the former Motorola CCTV division and formed Viscom Products, Inc. ("Viscom"). In 1999 we formed a company named Integcom Corp., incorporated in Delaware, into which we transferred both HBE and Viscom. In 2001, we changed our name to Diversified Security Solutions, Inc. and in 2005 we changed our name to Henry Bros. Electronics, Inc. ("HBE"). Following is a listing of key business developments since the inception of HBE:

- In November 2001, we completed our initial public offering, including the underwriter's over-allotment option of an aggregate of 1,725,000 shares of common stock. Our shares are traded on the NASDAQ under the ticker symbol HBE.
- In May 2002, we purchased Photo Scan Systems, Inc. ("Photo Scan") a security integrator located in southern California and changed its name to Henry Bros. Electronics, Inc. in December 2002.
- In August 2002, Photo Scan acquired National Safe of California, Inc. which sells and services alarm security equipment, lock and timing mechanisms, vault security, control and backup systems and high resolution security equipment used by commercial banks.
- In September 2002, Photo Scan acquired Corporate Security Integration, LLC ("CSI") a security integrator located in Phoenix, Arizona, and subsequently changed its name to Henry Bros. Electronics, LLC.
- In April 2004, we acquired Airorlite Communications, Inc. ("Airorlite"), a company located in New Jersey that specializes in the design, manufacture and maintenance of wireless communications equipment used to enhance emergency radio frequency services and cellular communication for both fixed and mobile applications.
- In October 2005, we acquired Securus, Inc. a security integrator with offices in Denver and Colorado Springs, Colorado.
- In October 2006, we acquired CIS Security Systems Corp. ("CIS"), a privately-held security systems integrator with offices in Baltimore, Maryland and Newington, Virginia and acquired certain assets of Southwest Securityscan, Inc. (SSI), a privately-held company headquartered in Duncanville, Texas that provides installation, service and monitoring of access, surveillance and alarm systems.

Our principal executive offices are located at 17-01 Pollitt Drive, Fair Lawn, New Jersey 07410, and our telephone number is (201) 794-6500.

Business of Issuer

We are an established leader in the electronic physical security industry providing technology-based integrated electronic security systems, services and emergency preparedness consultation to commercial enterprises and government agencies.

Security Distributing and Marketing magazine (“SDM”) ranks by each of their 2007 revenue the top 100 largest firms selling closed circuit TV (“CCTV”), access control and integrated security systems. We were ranked No. 14 in SDM's Top Systems Integrators Report published in July 2008. As a single-source/turn-key provider of diversified technology-based integrated security solutions, we can expedite project completion and optimize system manpower performance. The continually evolving security requirements of commercial and government entities, together with rapidly advancing technology, provides numerous opportunities for us to assist our clients with their security needs.

We believe that the following key attributes provide us with a sustainable competitive advantage:

- Experience and expertise;
- Technological know-how;
- Commitment to customer service; and
- Strong list of references.

Our Vision and Strategy

Our vision is to maintain our leadership position in security technology. We intend to do this in part by:

- Providing advice on product selection and system design;
- Examining and thoroughly testing each security product as it would be set up for use in our customers’ facilities; and
- Using only systems and components that are reliable and efficient to use.

In addition to growing the business organically, we have been actively pursuing the strategic acquisition of synergistic integrators and specialty products and service companies to further fuel steady growth. Consistent with our expansion strategy, we have acquired seven companies since May of 2002.

Business Segments

Our operations are divided into two business segments – Security System Integration (“Integration”) and Specialty Products and Services (“Specialty”). The Integration segment provides a cradle to grave services for a wide variety of security, communications and control systems. The Company specializes in turn-key systems that integrate many different technologies. Systems are customized to meet the specific needs of its customers. Through the Specialty segment we provide emergency preparedness programs, and specialized radio frequency communication equipment and integration. Each of the Company’s segments markets its products and services nationwide with an emphasis in Arizona, California, Colorado, Maryland, New Jersey, New York, Texas and Virginia. Customers are primarily medium and large businesses and governmental agencies. The Company derives a majority of its revenues from project installations and to a smaller extent, maintenance service revenue.

Integration Segment

At the beginning of each new client relationship, we designate one member of our professional staff as the client service contact. This individual is the point person for communications between the client and us and often serves as the client's project manager for all of its security needs. Our engagement may include:

- Consulting and planning;
- Engineering and design;
- Systems installation and management;
- Systems training; and
- Maintenance and technical support.

Consulting and Planning

Security consulting and planning are the initial phases of determining a security solution for a project. We have developed a planning process that identifies all systems, policies and procedures that are required for the successful operation of a security system that will both meet a client's current needs and accommodate its projected future requirements. Our consulting and planning process includes the following steps:

- Identify the client's objectives and security system requirements;
- Survey the site(s), including inventory of physical components and software and evaluation of client's existing infrastructure and security system;
- Assess and prioritize the client's vulnerabilities;
- Develop and evaluate system alternatives;
- Recommend a conceptual security plan design;
- Estimate the cost of implementing the conceptual plan; and
- Develop a preliminary implementation schedule.

As a result of this process, we provide the client with a master plan for an effective security solution that addresses routine operating needs as well as emergency situations.

We believe that our comprehensive planning process enables our clients to budget for their security requirements on a long-term basis, identify opportunities for cost reduction and prepare for future risks.

Engineering and Design

The engineering and design process involves preparation of detailed project specifications and working drawings by a team of our engineers, systems designers and computer-aided design system operators. These specifications and drawings detail the camera sensitivity requirements, layout of the control center, placement of cameras, card readers and other equipment and electrical requirements. Throughout our engineering and design process, our goal is to understand our client's operational preferences in order to design a system that is functional, cost-effective and accommodates our client's present and future requirements. In addition, we attempt to incorporate our client's existing personnel, equipment and other physical resources into the system design.

When retained as a single-source provider for turn-key security solutions, we select system components required under the specifications and drawings. We recommend that our customers buy proven off-the-shelf devices and software and resort to custom equipment only when absolutely necessary.

We have made a strategic decision not to represent any equipment manufacturer exclusively, thereby maintaining objectivity and flexibility in equipment selection. We believe that our technical proficiency with the products available from a wide range of manufacturers enables us to select components that will best meet a project's requirements.

Systems Installation and Management

Under the supervision of the manager of the project, our technicians install hardware, integrate hardware and software, and validate and test the system. Subcontractors typically perform the aspects of systems integration that do not require a high level of technical expertise, such as wire installation and basic construction. Components that may be integrated in a security system include the following:

- Access control systems, which are designed to exclude unauthorized personnel from specified areas;
- Intrusion detection systems, which detect unauthorized door and window openings, glass breakage, vibration, motion, noise and alarms and other peripheral equipment;
- Closed circuit television systems, which monitor and record entry and exit activity or provide surveillance of designated areas;
- Critical condition monitoring systems, which provide alarm monitoring and supervision of various systems and facilities; and
- Intercoms, public address systems, fire detection signals and network connectivity that can expand a local security system into a closely controlled worldwide system.

Systems Training

Upon completion of a systems integration project, we typically will provide the customer with system documentation and training in the operation and maintenance of the system.

Maintenance and Technical Support

We provide maintenance and technical support services on a scheduled, on-call, or emergency basis. These services include developing and implementing maintenance programs both for security systems designed, engineered, or integrated by us and for existing systems.

Specialty Segment

Airorlite specializes in designing, manufacturing and maintaining wireless communications equipment used to enhance and extend emergency radio frequency services and cellular communication for both fixed and mobile applications. Our Diversified Securities Solutions, Inc. division (formerly our EPP division) works with high-rise office building management to analyze their specific facilities needs relating to emergency response plans and the communication and training of such plans to the building community.

Marketing

Our marketing activities are conducted on both national and regional levels. We obtain engagements through direct negotiation with clients, competitive bid processes and referrals. At the national level, we conduct analyses of various industries and target those with significant demand for security solutions.

We have developed expertise in the security regulations applicable to airports and seaports, high-rise buildings, public transportation systems, healthcare, financial, educational and other vertical markets. We have identified several key industries or facility types that we believe have substantial and increasing requirements for security services, including corporate campuses and federal facilities.

Customers

We provide our products and services to customers in the public and private sectors through direct sales to end-users and through subcontracting agreements and have provided services to customers representing each of the vertical markets described under Marketing.

Suppliers

We procure components and finished products from a variety of suppliers as needed through purchase orders. We actively manage this process to ensure component quality, steady supply and best costs. While there could be a short-term disruption in qualifying vendors, we believe that the components we utilize could be obtained from alternative sources, or that our products could be redesigned to use alternative suppliers' components, if necessary.

Competition

The security industry is highly fragmented and competitive. We compete on a local, regional and national basis with systems integrators, consulting firms and engineering and design firms. Our competitors include equipment manufacturers and vendors that also provide security services. Many of our competitors have greater name recognition and financial resources. We believe that we compete primarily on our ability to deliver solutions that effectively meet a client's requirements and, to a lesser extent and primarily in competitive bid situations, on price. Many of the larger public sector projects require performance bonds, which may limit our ability to compete with larger competitors as the prime contractor, depending upon the specifications of the project.

Employees

As of March 10, 2009, we had 246 full time employees, including officers, of whom: 154 were engaged in engineering, systems installation and maintenance services, 52 in administration and accounting, and 40 in marketing and sales. None of our employees are covered by a collective bargaining agreement or are represented by a labor union. We consider our relationship with our employees to be satisfactory.

Our business requires substantial technical capabilities in many disciplines, from mechanics and computer science to electronics and advanced software. We emphasize continued training for new and existing technical personnel. Accordingly, we conduct training classes and seminars in-house, send select employees to technical schools and avail ourselves of training opportunities offered by equipment manufacturers and other specialists on a regular basis.

Seasonality

Revenue generated by our services have typically been seasonal in nature and there could be periods of fluctuations in revenue volume due to the timing of project installations or factors that are beyond the Company's control, such as weather and construction delays.

Backlog

At December 31, 2008, the dollar amount of backlog believed to be firm was $23,701,243. At December 31, 2007, our backlog was $26,567,167.

All orders are subject to modification or cancellation by the customer with limited changes. We believe that backlog may not be indicative of actual sales for the current fiscal year or any succeeding period.

Pricing

We employ a variety of pricing strategies for our services. Systems integration project pricing is based upon the estimated cost of the equipment for the project including a profit margin, plus the estimated hours for each skill set, required to complete the project multiplied by the fully burdened hourly rate, plus a profit margin. Pricing for engineering and maintenance services are determined based on the scope of the specific project and the length of our engagement. Proposals for consulting and threat assessment services are priced based on an estimate of hours, multiplied by standard selling rates or on a project basis.

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

We maintain an Internet website at the following address: www.hbe-inc.com. The information on our website is not incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K. We make available on or through our website certain reports and amendments to those reports that we file with or furnish to the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") in accordance with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These include our annual reports on Form 10-K, our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and our current reports on Form 8-K. We make this information available on our website free of charge, as soon as reasonably practicable, after we electronically file the information with, or furnish it to, the SEC.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Forward looking statements may be identified by such words or phrases as "believe," "expect," "intend," "estimate," "anticipate," "project," "will," "may" and s expressions. All statements that address operating performance, events or developments that we expect or anticipate will occur in the future are forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements used herein are not guarantees of future performance and involve a number of risks and uncertainties. Factors that might cause actual results to differ materially from the expected results described in or underlying our forward-looking statements include:

- Conditions in the general economy and in the markets served by us;
- Competitive factors, such as price pressures;

8

- Interruptions of suppliers' operations or the refusal of our suppliers to provide us with component materials; and
- The risk factors listed from time to time in our SEC reports.

This list is not exhaustive. Except as required under federal securities laws and the rules and regulations promulgated by the SEC, we do not have any intention or obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statements after the filing of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, whether as a result of new information, future events, changes in assumptions or otherwise.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Our business, operations and financial conditions are subject to various risks. Some of these are described below. This section does not describe all risks that may be applied to our Company, our industry or our business and is intended only as a summary of certain material risk factors.

Current economic conditions may cause a decline in business spending which could adversely affect our business and financial performance.

Our operating results are impacted by the health of the U.S economy. Our business and financial performance may be adversely affected by current and future economic conditions, such as a reduction in the availability of credit to our customers and recession.

We are dependent upon a small number of customers for a large portion of our revenues.

We have a small number of customers from which we receive a large portion of our revenues. Our work with our largest customer accounted for 6.8% of total revenue during 2008, with our five largest customers represented approximately 22.2% of our 2008 revenue. Revenues from governmental agencies accounted for 36.7% in 2008, versus 40.7% and 22.6% in 2007 and 2006, respectively. Consequently, we are often required to replace one customer with one or more other customers in order to generate the same amount of revenues. There can be no assurance that we will continue to be able to do so.

Some of our orders and contracts may be cancelled or modified so there is a risk that our backlog may not be fulfilled.

Some of our orders and contract backlog are subject to cancellation or modification by our customers at any time so we cannot be certain that we will fully recognize revenue from them. At December 31, 2008, the dollar amount of backlog believed to be firm was $23,701,243.

We are dependent on a few vendors and rely on timely delivery of equipment from outside sources.

There are a few vendors from whom we obtain devices and software for specific access control, imaging, remote transmission, smart key and mobile applications. The loss of any one of these companies as suppliers could have a materially adverse impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations if we are unable to develop or acquire new technologies from other sources. We believe there are alternative vendors to source such products.

Timely vendor deliveries of equipment meeting our quality control standards from all suppliers are also important to our business because each installed system requires the integration of a variety of elements to be fully functional. The failure to deliver any component when required, in operating condition, can delay the project, triggering contract penalties, delay in progress payments and may result in cancellation of the project.

We have not been consistently profitable and may not be profitable in the future.

For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 our revenues were $62,357,466, $57,852,216 and $42,132,852, respectively. Our net income was $1,557,756 for the year ended December 31, 2008. However, we had a net loss of $303,304 and $2,260,138 million for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. We can make no assurances that we will be profitable in the future.

We experience intense competition for business from a variety of sources.

In systems integration, we compete for new business with large construction firms, electrical contractors and consultants in the security business and other systems integrators. Many of our competitors are much larger and have greater resources. In order to effectively compete in the future, we may have to charge less for our services, which may result in lower profit margins.

We rely on a key executive.

James E. Henry is vital to our business. Losing him could have a materially adverse impact on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Our business and growth will suffer if we are unable to hire and retain highly skilled personnel.

Competition for highly skilled employees is intense in our industry. The design and manufacture of equipment, and the installation of our systems, requires substantial technical capabilities in many disparate disciplines from mechanics and computer science to electronics and advanced software. Our future success depends on our ability to attract, train, motivate and retain highly skilled employees. If we are unable to hire and retain skilled personnel, our growth may be restricted, the quality of our products and services diminished and our revenues and the value of your investment reduced. There is no assurance that we will be able to retain our skilled employees or attract, assimilate and retain other highly skilled employees in the future.

Lengthy revenue cycles.

Revenue from our services and products frequently involves a substantial commitment of resources to evaluate a potential project and prepare a proposal. In addition, approval of proposals often involves a lengthy process due to clients' internal procedures and capital expenditure approval processes. We may not be awarded a project that we have prepared a proposal for and, even if we are, a substantial period of time may elapse from when we make a proposal to when we can recognize revenues from the project.

Seasonality.

Revenues of our services have typically been seasonal in nature and there could be periods of fluctuations in revenue volume due to the timing of project installations or factors that are beyond the Company’s control, such as weather and construction delays.

10

We may make acquisitions or form joint ventures that are unsuccessful.

Part of our growth strategy involves acquisitions or joint ventures with other system integrators. This strategy is subject to the following risks, the occurrence of which could have a materially adverse impact on our business, financial condition or results of operations:

- We may not be able to identify suitable acquisition and joint venture candidates.
- If the purchase price of an acquisition includes cash, we may need to use a significant portion of our available cash or credit facility with our bank.
- We could have difficulty assimilating the acquired company's operations and personnel or working with the joint venture. These difficulties could disrupt our ongoing business, distract our management and employees and increase our costs.
- We may not be able to retain key employees of the acquired companies or maintain good relations with its customers or suppliers.
- We may be required to incur additional debt.
- We may be required to issue equity securities to pay for such acquisition, which will dilute existing shareholders.
- We may have to incur significant accounting charges, such as for an impairment of intangible assets, which may adversely affect our results of operations.

The trading volume in our common stock fluctuates and as a result you may find it difficult to sell your shares of our common stock.

Our common stock is listed on the Nasdaq Stock Market. Trading in our common stock fluctuates and on some days is minimal. Failure to maintain an active trading market in our common stock could negatively affect the price of our common stock and your ability to sell our common stock.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

A description of the facilities we lease follows:

- 31,801 square foot sales, office, training and warehouse facility that also serves as our corporate office in Fair Lawn, New Jersey. This facility is a portion of a single-story, cinder block building in a commercial and industrial park. The lease on this space terminates on October 31, 2016, and provides for an annual rent of $214,657 (escalates yearly) until that date, payable in equal monthly installments of $17,888, plus taxes of approximately $5,414 per month. We are also responsible for the cost of property tax increases, utilities, repairs, maintenance, alterations, cleaning and insurance.

- 8,980 square foot sales, office and warehouse facility in Fullerton, California. A two-story, concrete building in an office complex, this space is leased until November 15, 2011 at an average annual rent of $113,148 and has an annual escalation clause, payable in equal monthly installments of $9,429, with additional costs for maintenance, insurance, repairs and alterations, utilities, property tax increases and cleaning.

- 4,749 square foot sales, office and warehouse facility in Irving, Texas near the Dallas-Fort Worth Airport. A single-story, cinder block building in an office complex, this space is leased until August 1, 2015 at an annual average rental of $39,600, payable in equal monthly installments of $3,300, with additional costs for insurance, repairs and alterations, utilities, property taxes and cleaning.

- 7,628 square foot sales, office and warehouse facility in Phoenix, Arizona near the Phoenix Airport. A single-story, concrete building in an office complex, this space is leased until August 2012 at an average annual rental of $107,388, payable in average monthly installments of $8,949, with additional costs for insurance, repairs and alterations, utilities, taxes increases and cleaning.

- 2,711 square foot office space in New York City for sales and project management personnel. This lease commenced on December 29, 2006, with an annual rental of $68,962, payable in monthly installments of $5,747, not including utilities. The lease escalates yearly and expires February 29, 2012.

- 16,045 square foot sales, office and warehouse facility in Denver, Colorado. This facility is in a single-story, cinder block building in a commercial and industrial park. The lease on this space terminates April 2010 and provides for an annual rent of $88,248 until that date, payable in equal monthly installments of $7,354, with additional costs for property taxes, utilities, repairs, maintenance, alterations, cleaning and insurance.

- 3,500 square foot sales, office and warehouse space in Colorado Springs, Colorado. This facility is in a single story multi-office complex. The lease terminates December 2010 and provides for an annual rent of $25,760 and has an annual escalation clause, payable in equal monthly installments of $2,147, with additional costs for property taxes, utilities, repairs, maintenance, alterations, cleaning and insurance.

- 2,400 square foot sales, office and warehouse facility in Grand Junction, Colorado. This facility is a structural steel building with aluminum siding. The annual rent is $12,000 payable in equal monthly installments of $1,000. There are additional costs for taxes, utilities, maintenance, alterations, cleaning and insurance. The lease on this space expires on November 30, 2009.

- 4,800 square foot sales, office and warehouse facility in Newington, Virginia. This facility is in a single story multi-office complex. The annual rent is $78,930 and has an annual escalation clause. The lease expires on July 31, 2010. The lease includes utilities.

- 2,400 square foot sales office facility in Baltimore, Maryland. This facility is in a single story brick multi-office complex. The annual rent is $27,840 and has an annual escalation clause. The lease expires on August 31, 2011. There are additional charges for trash removal, gas and common area maintenance.

These facilities or similar facilities should meet our operational needs for the foreseeable future.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

In July 2007, an accident occurred in Corona, California involving one of the Company's vehicles. The operator of a motorcycle was killed in the accident. In December 2007, his family commenced litigation against the former Company employee who was driving the vehicle, as well as the Company. In the fourth quarter 2008, the case was settled by our insurance carrier. In January 2009, a motion was filed by our insurance carrier requesting that the court deem that the settlement was entered into in good faith. A court hearing on that motion is scheduled for April 16, 2009.

We know of no other material litigation or proceeding, pending or threatened, to which we are or may become a party.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

At our 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, held on November 12, 2008, the following individuals, constituting all of the members of the Board of Directors, were elected. For each elected director the results of the voting were:

Name	Number of votes for	Number of votes withheld
James E. Henry	4,485,563	1,372,170
Brian Reach	4,374,277	1,483,456
Robert L. De Lia Sr.	4,485,642	1,372,091
James W. Power	4,475,713	1,382,020
Joseph P. Ritorto	4,475,242	1,382,491
Richard D. Rockwell	5,687,835	169,898
David Sands	4,485,242	1,372,491

The stockholders also voted to ratify the selection of Amper, Politziner & Mattia, LLP as our independent auditors for 2008. The results of the voting for this proposal were 5,831,062 in favor, 1,530 against and 25,141 abstentions.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

On October 1, 2008, we switched the listing of our common stock from the American Stock Exchange ("AMEX") to The Nasdaq Stock Market® ("NASDAQ"). Our common stock, $.01 par value per share, is now traded under the symbol NASDAQ: HBE. The following table presents high and low sales prices of our common stock as reported on the NASDAQ or AMEX, as appropriate, for the periods indicated:

The following table indicates high and low stock prices for each period.

2008	High	Low
First Quarter	$ 5.00	$ 4.14
Second Quarter	$ 6.55	$ 4.95
Third Quarter	$ 7.10	$ 5.52
Fourth Quarter	$ 6.80	$ 4.73

2007	High	Low
First Quarter	$ 6.25	$ 4.07
Second Quarter	$ 4.80	$ 3.66
Third Quarter	$ 5.94	$ 3.60
Fourth Quarter	$ 5.45	$ 3.85

(a) Number of Holders of Common Stock. The number of holders of record of our Common Stock on December 31, 2008 was 36. Since a portion of the shares of the common stock are held in street or nominee name, it is believed that there are significant number of additional beneficial owners of common stock.

(b) Dividends. There were no cash dividends or other cash distributions made by us during the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007. Future dividend policy will be determined by our Board of Directors based on our earnings, financial condition, capital requirements and other existing conditions. It is anticipated that cash dividends will not be paid to the holders of our common stock in the foreseeable future.

(c) In connection with the acquisition of Securus Inc. on October 10, 2005, the Company issued an aggregate of 150,001 shares of its common stock of which 150,001 are being held in escrow pursuant to the stock purchase escrow agreement between the Company and the selling shareholders of Securus, Inc. These shares held in escrow may be earned out through December 31, 2010 based upon the aggregate value of the earnings before interest and tax (“EBIT”) to $2,960,000. The issuance of the shares of restricted stock in connection with the aforementioned transaction was made in reliance upon the exemption provided in section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

(d) In connection with the acquisition of all the capital stock of CIS Security Systems Corp. (“CIS”) on October 2, 2006, the Company issued an aggregate of 20,000 shares of its common stock. The Company issued an additional 30,000 shares of its restricted common stock since the acquisition to CIS’s selling shareholder after CIS met certain performance targets. The issuances of the shares of restricted stock in connection with the aforementioned transactions was made in reliance upon the exemption provided in section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The selling shareholder may earn an additional 50,000 shares of the Company’s common stock if CIS achieves certain performance targets through December 2011.

(e) Securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans.

See Item 12 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for information about our equity compensation plans.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

	Years ended December 31,				
	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
Results of operations:					
Net revenues	$ 62,357,466	$ 57,852,216	$ 42,132,852	$ 42,156,188	$ 29,725,718
Cost of revenue	46,465,194	45,076,126	30,818,832	31,581,187	22,305,632
Selling, general and administrative	12,797,730	12,695,509	12,720,381	8,422,193	6,943,885
Net income (loss)	1,557,756	(303,304)	(2,260,138)	1,137,974	169,639
Per common share:					
Net income (loss)					
Basic	$ 0.27	$ (0.05)	$ (0.39)	$ 0.20	$ 0.03
Diluted	0.26	(0.05)	(0.39)	0.20	0.03
Cash dividends declared	-	-	-	-	-
Financial position at year-end:					
Total assets	$ 36,610,108	$ 32,331,570	$ 31,371,609	$ 25,161,530	$ 23,372,371
Long term debt, net of current maturities	4,855,662	465,539	3,463,236	727,961	168,989
Total Liabilities	20,551,151	18,397,478	17,360,991	9,178,564	8,349,395
Shareholders' equity	16,058,957	13,934,092	14,010,618	15,982,966	14,653,786

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Overview

We are an established leader in the electronic physical security industry, specializing in integrated security systems and emergency preparedness. Our operations are divided into two business segments – Security System Integration (“Integration”) and Specialty Products and Services (“Specialty”). The Integration segment provides “cradle to grave” services for a wide variety of security, communications and control systems. The Company specializes in turn-key systems that integrate many different technologies. Systems are customized to meet the specific needs of its customers. Through the Specialty segment we provide emergency preparedness programs, and specialized radio frequency communication equipment and integration. Each of the Company’s segments markets nationwide with an emphasis in Arizona, California, Colorado, Maryland, New Jersey, New York, Texas and Virginia. Customers are primarily medium and large businesses and governmental agencies. The Company derives a majority of its revenues from project installations and, to a smaller extent, maintenance service revenue.

Our Vision and Strategy

Our vision is to maintain our leadership position in security technology. We intend to do this in part by:

- Providing advice on product selection and system design;
- Examining and thoroughly testing each security product as it would be set up for use in our customers’ facilities; and

- Using only systems and components that are reliable and efficient to use.

In addition to growing the business organically, we have been actively pursuing the strategic acquisition of synergistic integrators and specialty products and service companies to further fuel steady growth. Consistent with our expansion strategy, we acquired seven companies since May of 2002, which include the two acquisitions made in October 2006 (See Note 17 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K).

To finance our acquisitions, we have used a combination of internally generated cash, the sale of company common stock and bank debt. We currently have a $9 million credit facility with TD Banknorth, which includes a $1 million term loan of which $103,410 was outstanding at December 31, 2008. As part of our credit facility we also have an $8 million revolving credit facility. Borrowings under the revolving credit facility were $4,335,898, at December 31, 2008. It is our expectation and intent to use cash and to incur additional debt as appropriate to finance future working capital and acquisitions. Additionally, to fund future acquisitions we would consider the issuance of subordinated debt, or the sale of equity securities, or the sale of existing Company assets.

Trends

We anticipate that the overall average operating margins for our business to be approximately 6% for 2009, as compared to operating margins of 5%, essentially breakeven, and (6.2)% for years 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

There are several factors impacting operating margins, including levels of competition for a particular project and the size of the project. As a significant amount of our costs are relatively fixed, such as labor costs, increases or decreases in revenues can have a significant impact on operating margins. The Company continually monitors costs and pursues cost control measures and sales initiatives to improve operating margins.

RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS:

In October 2008, the FASB issued FSP No. 157-3. “Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset Is Not Active” ("FSP 157-3”). FSP 157-3 clarifies the application of SFAS 157 in a market that is not active and provides an example to illustrate key considerations in determining the fair value of a financial asset when the market for that financial asset is not active. FSP 157-3 was effective for the Company on September 30, 2008 for all financial assets and liabilities recognized or disclosed at fair value in our Consolidated Financial Statements on a recurring basis (or at least annually).

In May 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 162. The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. The statement is intended to improve financial reporting by identifying a consistent hierarchy for selecting accounting principles to be used in preparing financial statements that are presented in conformity with GAAP. Prior to the issuance of SFAS No. 162, GAAP hierarchy was defined in the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (“AICPA”) Statement on Auditing Standards (SAS) No. 69. “The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.” Unlike SAS No. 69, SFAS No. 162 is directed to the entity rather than the auditor. Statement No. 162 is effective 60 days following the SEC’s approval of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board Auditing amendments to AU Section 411. The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, SFAS No. 162 is not expected to have any material impact on the Company’s results of operations, financial condition or liquidity.

Effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted SFAS No. 157. “Fair Value Measurements”. In February 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 157-2. “Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157”, which provides a one year deferral of the effective date of SFAS No. 157 for non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed in the financial statements at fair value at least annually. Therefore, the Company has adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 157 with respect to its financial assets and liabilities only. SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value under generally accepted accounting principles and enhances disclosures about fair value measurements. Fair value is defined under SFAS No. 157 as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Valuation techniques used to measure fair value under SFAS No. 157 must maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. The standard describes a fair value hierarchy based on three levels of inputs, of which the first two are considered observable and the last unobservable, that may be used to measure fair value. The adoption of this Statement did not have a material impact on the Company’s consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), “Business Combinations”, or SFAS No. 141R. SFAS No. 141R replaces SFAS No. 141. This Statement establishes principles and requirements for how an acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, any non-controlling interest in the acquiree and the goodwill acquired. This Statement also establishes disclosure requirements which will enable users to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. This Statement is effective for business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. This Statement will have an impact on future acquisitions.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160. “Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements-an Amendment of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51. “ SFAS No. 160 establishes accounting and reporting standards for ownership interests in subsidiaries held by parties other than the parent, the amount of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and to the noncontrolling interest, changes in parent’s ownership interest and the valuation of retained noncontrolling equity investments when a subsidiary is deconsolidated. This Statement also establishes reporting requirements that provide sufficient disclosures that clearly identify and distinguish between the interests of the parent and the interests of the noncontrolling owners. This Statement is effective for fiscal years and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2008. The Company does not expect the adoption of this Statement to have a material impact, if any, on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The Company's consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America, which requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities, at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Management uses its best judgment in valuing these estimates and may, as warranted, solicit external professional advice. The following critical accounting policies, some of which are impacted significantly by judgments, assumptions and estimates, affect the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue from a project in either the Integration or Specialty segments are recognized on the percentage of completion method, whereby revenue and the related gross profit are determined based upon the actual costs incurred to date for the project to the total estimated project costs at completion. Project costs generally include all material and shipping costs, the Company's direct labor, subcontractor costs and an allocation of indirect costs related to the direct labor. Changes in the project scope, site conditions, staff performance and delays or problems with the equipment used on the project can result in increased costs that may not be billable or accepted by the customer and results in a loss or lower profit than was originally anticipated at the time of the proposal.

Estimates for the costs to complete the project are continuously updated by management during the performance of the project. Provisions for changes in estimated costs and losses, if any, on uncompleted projects are made in the period in which such changes are determined. In general, we determine a project to be substantially completed after:

1. The scope of work is completed, which includes installing the equipment as required in the contract.
2. System is functional and has been tested.
3. Training has been provided.

The majority of the Company's projects are completed within a year. Revenue from product sales are recognized when title and risk of loss passes to the customer.

Service contracts, which are generally separate and distinct agreements from project agreements, are billed either monthly or quarterly on the last day of the month covered by the contract. Accordingly, revenue from service contracts are recognized ratably over the length of the agreement. In 2006, 2007 and 2008, the Company did not bundle any significant service contracts with our systems installation work.

Trade Receivables and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Trade receivables are stated at net realizable value. This value includes an appropriate allowance for estimated uncollectible accounts. The allowance is evaluated on a regular basis by management and is based upon historical experience with the customer, the aging of the past due amounts and the relationship with and economic status of our customers. The evaluation is based upon estimates taking into account the facts and circumstances at the time of the evaluation. Actual uncollectible accounts could exceed our estimates and changes to its estimates will be accounted for in the period of change. Account balances are charged against the allowance after all means of collection have been exhausted and the potential for recovery is considered remote. Our trade receivables are not collateralized.

Inventory Valuation

Inventory is stated at the lower of cost or market value. Cost has been determined using the first-in, first-out method. Inventory quantities on-hand are regularly reviewed, and where necessary, reserves for excess and obsolete inventories are recorded.

Warranty

The Company offers warranties on all products, including parts and labor that range from one year to three years depending upon the type of product concerned. For products made by others, the Company passes along the manufacturer's warranty to the end user.

Intangible Assets

The Company's intangible assets include goodwill and other intangibles that consist of the fair value of acquired customer lists, service contracts acquired, trade names, and covenants not to compete. Goodwill represents the excess of purchase price over fair value of net assets acquired at the date of acquisition.

Effective January 1, 2002, the Company adopted the provisions of Statement of Financial and Accounting Standards (SFAS) 142 "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets". In accordance with that statement, goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives are not amortized, but are tested at least annually for impairment. Prior to January 1, 2002, the Company had not recorded goodwill or other intangible assets of indefinite lives. Intangible assets with estimable useful lives, consisting primarily of acquired customer lists, service contracts and covenants not to compete are amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives of three to fifteen years and are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset or asset group may not be recoverable. If the intangible asset's remaining useful life is changed, the intangible asset will be amortized over the remaining useful life. If the asset being amortized is determined to have an indefinite useful life, the asset will be tested for impairment. The impairment test will consist of measuring its fair value with its carrying amount. If the carrying amount of the intangible assets exceeds its fair value, an impairment loss is recognized for an amount equal to the excess and the adjusted carrying amount is recognized as its new accounting basis.

The Company's goodwill impairment test is based on a two part procedure consistent with the requirements of SFAS 142. The first test consists of determining the fair value of the reporting unit and comparing it to the carrying value of the reporting unit. If the carrying value of the reporting unit exceeds the fair value of the reporting unit, a second test is performed. In step two, the implied fair value of the goodwill (which is the excess of the fair value of the reporting unit over the fair value of the net assets) is compared to the carrying value of the goodwill. An impairment loss is recognized for any excess value of goodwill over the implied value. We determined the reporting unit by analyzing geographic regions, as management evaluates the Company's performance in this manner. We have identified five separate and distinct operating units for the testing requirements of SFAS 142, and evaluate each reporting unit for impairment.

Income Taxes

Deferred taxes are provided on an asset and liability method whereby deferred tax assets are recognized for deductible temporary differences and operating loss carry forwards and deferred tax liabilities are recognized for taxable temporary differences. Temporary differences are the differences between the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and their tax basis. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance when, in the opinion of management, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted for the effects of changes in tax laws and rates on the date of enactment.

Comparison of the year ended December 31, 2008 to the year ended December 31, 2007

Analysis of consolidated statement of operations

	For the years ended December 31,		
	2008	2007	% change
Revenue	$ 62,357,466	$ 57,852,216	7.8%
Cost of revenue	46,465,194	45,076,126	3.1%
Gross profit	15,892,272	12,776,090	24.4%
Operating expenses:			
Selling, general and administrative expenses	12,797,730	12,695,509	0.8%
Goodwill and intangible asset impairment charges	-	43,999	-100.0%
Operating profit	3,094,542	36,582	8359.3%
Interest income	91,558	73,493	24.6%
Other income (expense)	17,266	(191)	-9152.6%
Interest expense	(271,290)	(349,907)	-22.5%
Income (loss) before tax expense	2,932,076	(240,023)	1321.6%
Provision for income taxes	1,374,320	63,281	2071.8%
Net income (loss)	$ 1,557,756	$ (303,304)	613.6%

Revenue - Revenue for the year ended December 31, 2008 was $62,357,466 representing an increase of $4,505,250 or 7.8%, as compared to revenue of $57,852,216 for the year ended December 31, 2007. Arizona operations continued to show significant revenue improvement as revenues from its top three customers showed year over year increases. Improved revenues from our Colorado and Virginia operations also contributed to the higher revenues for the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to the same period in the prior year. These increases were partially offset by a decline in revenue from our California Banking operations, as well as from our Airorlite subsidiary. While up significantly from preceding years, New Jersey / New York's revenues were flat in 2008 compared to 2007. A significant portion of the revenues in both years for New Jersey / New York resulted from work completed for several large public agencies in the New York Metropolitan area. In February 2008, the Company entered into a subcontractor agreement with Global Security & Engineering Solutions, a division of L-3 Services, Inc. ("L-3") pursuant to which L-3 would issue task orders under its Indefinite Quantity Firm Fixed Price Contract with the U.S. Marine Corp Systems Command to deliver a Tactical Video Capture System ("TVCS"). TVCS is used for real-time visualization and situational awareness while Marine units are conducting military operations in urban terrain training exercises. The performance period of the contract is three years. In 2008, the revenue recognized under this contract represented $2.6 million and there were outstanding task orders included in our backlog of approximately $5 million at December 31, 2008.

Cost of Revenue - Cost of revenue for the year ended December 31, 2008 was $46,465,194 as compared to $45,076,126 for the year ended December 31, 2007. The gross margin as a percent of revenues for the year ended December 31, 2008 was 25.5% as compared to 22.1% for the year ended December 31, 2007, mainly resulting from stronger margins in our New Jersey / New York and Virginia operations. In the earlier months of 2007, New Jersey / New York experienced a margin decline as the result of cost overruns on a number of installations, which contributed to the improvement for the year ended December 31, 2008 versus 2007. In addition, during 2008 New Jersey / New York experienced higher margins on several of the large public agency jobs in the New York Metropolitan area due to efficient project management, which also contributed to this year-over-year comparison. In addition to the gross margin as a percent of revenue improvement, the significantly higher revenues from our New Jersey / New York and Arizona operations contributed to the increase in gross profit dollars for the year ended December 31, 2008. Gross profit in 2008 also benefited from the commencement of work on the L-3 Contract discussed above. Partially offsetting the improved margins were significant losses incurred in the first quarter of 2008 in our Airorlite Subsidiary in order to complete work on certain open contracts.

Also contributing to the improved margins in the first year of 2008 was an overall shift to higher margin jobs, and improved labor utilization.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses - Selling, general and administrative expenses were $12,797,730 for the year ended December 31, 2008 as compared to $12,695,509 for the year ended December 31, 2007. This increase of 0.8% or $102,221 was mainly attributable to higher vehicle expense due to increased fuel costs during 2008, higher stock option expense and a net increase in bad debt expense for the current year period, partially offset by improved labor utilization, lower professional fees, and lower depreciation and amortization of intangibles expense.

Interest Income – Interest income for the year ended December 31, 2008 was $91,558 as compared to $73,493 for the year ended December 31, 2007. This increase was attributable to higher cash balances during the twelve month period ended December 31, 2008 versus the same period in the prior year.

Interest Expense - Interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2008 was $271,290 as compared to $349,907 for the year ended December 31, 2007. Although the average outstanding debt balance was only $171,391 higher in the twelve month period ended December 31, 2008 versus that in the year ended December 31, 2007, the average prime rate of interest paid was 301 basis points lower in the 2008 period than it was in 2007.

Tax Expense – The effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2008 was 46.9%, based upon income before tax expense of $2,932,076. The tax rate is a result of the Company operating in multiple states and jurisdictions with higher tax rates, combined with the impact of being unable to claim a state tax benefit on the Airorlite subsidiary losses. Tax expense for the year ended December 31, 2007 was $63,281. This provision is driven primarily by profitability in states with higher income tax rates.

Net Income (Loss) - As a result of the above noted factors our net income was $1,557,756 for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to a net loss of $303,304 for the year ended December 31, 2007. This resulted in diluted earnings per share of $0.26 on weighted average diluted common shares outstanding of 5,988,782 for the year ended December 31, 2008, as compared to diluted loss per share of $0.05 on weighted average diluted common shares outstanding of 5,768,864 for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Comparison of the year ended December 31, 2007 to the year ended December 31, 2006

Analysis of consolidated statement of operations

	For the years ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	% change
Revenue	$ 57,852,216	$ 42,132,852	37.3%
Cost of revenue	45,076,126	30,818,832	46.3%
Gross profit	12,776,090	11,314,020	12.9%
Operating expenses:			
Selling, general & administrative expenses	12,695,509	12,720,381	-0.2%
Goodwill & intangible asset impairment charges	43,999	1,191,000	-96.3%
Operating profit (loss)	36,582	(2,597,361)	-101.4%
Interest income	73,493	19,515	276.6%
Other expense	(191)	(674)	-71.7%
Interest expense	(349,907)	(103,923)	236.7%
Loss before tax expense	(240,023)	(2,682,443)	-91.1%
Tax expense (benefit)	63,281	(422,305)	-115.0%
Net loss	$ (303,304)	$ (2,260,138)	86.6%

Revenue - Revenue for the year ended December 31, 2007 was $57,852,216 as compared to $42,132,852 for the year ended December 31, 2006. This represents an increase of 37.3% over the prior year. CIS Security Systems Corp. ("CIS") (Virginia and Maryland operations) acquired in October 2006 accounted for $3,088,238 of the increase in revenues. New Jersey's revenues increased significantly as a result of the contracts awarded by large public agencies in the New York Metropolitan area at the end of 2006 and early 2007. For the year ended December 31, 2007, the Company experienced continued revenue improvement from our Arizona Division, as well as improvements in our Colorado, Texas and California Divisions. Revenues from governmental agencies represented 39.8% and 22.6% of total revenues, for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Cost of Revenue - Cost of revenue for the year ended December 31, 2007 was $45,076,126 as compared to $30,818,832 for the year ended December 31, 2006. The gross profit margin for the year ended December 31, 2007 was 22.1% as compared to 26.9% for the year ended December 31, 2006. The decline in the gross profit margin was driven principally from the California and New Jersey operations. California's margin decline was the result of cost overruns on a number of projects that were quoted late in 2006 and early 2007.

The decline in New Jersey in the first quarter of 2007 was the result of cost overruns on a number of installations. In addition, as discussed above in “Revenue,” several large projects were booked in New Jersey at the end of 2006 and early in 2007. These projects carry gross profit margins that are below the Company’s historical average. Therefore, while gross margin percentages declined in New Jersey for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to the same period in the prior year, because of the significant increase in revenue from these larger projects, gross profit dollars actually increased by 35.6% year over year.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses - Selling, general and administrative expense was $12,695,509 for the year ended December 31, 2007, as compared to $12,720,381 for the year ended December 31, 2006. The increased costs associated with the CIS acquisition in our 2006 fourth quarter, as well as higher corporate costs, due mainly to organizational changes, and costs incurred in addressing the internal control weaknesses identified in Item 9A of the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006, as well as costs incurred in preparation for Sarbanes Oxley implementation, were generally offset by lower bad debt expense and improved labor utilization.

Goodwill and Intangible Asset Impairment Charges – Based upon our goodwill evaluation under the requirements of SFAS 142, the Company took a charge to operations of $1,191,000 (or $.21 per diluted share) associated with goodwill impairment associated with our California banking vertical market for the year ended December 31, 2006. For the year ended December 31, 2007 the Company recorded a $43,999 impairment charge for the write-down of a trade name.

Interest Income – Interest income for the year ended December 31, 2007 was $73,493, as compared to $19,515 for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Interest Expense - Interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2007 was $349,907, as compared to $103,923 for the year ended December 31, 2006. Average outstanding debt balance was considerably higher in the twelve month period ended December 31, 2007 versus for the year ended December 31, 2006, accounting for the higher interest expense in 2007.

Tax Expense –Tax expense for the year ended December 31, 2007 was $63,281. This provision is driven primarily by profitability in states with higher income tax rates. For the year ended December 31, 2006, principally as a result of the loss before tax incurred by the Company, there was an overall tax benefit of $422,305. This benefit was partially offset by state income taxes for those jurisdictions that were profitable during the period. The write-off of the goodwill discussed above is a permanent difference under FASB 109, “Accounting for Income Taxes”. Accordingly, there was no tax benefit taken for this write-off.

Net Loss - As a result of the above noted factors our net loss was $303,304 for the year ended December 31, 2007 as compared to a net loss of $2,260,138 for the year ended December 31, 2006. This resulted in diluted loss per share of $0.05 on weighted average common shares outstanding of 5,768,864 for the year ended December 31, 2007, as compared to diluted loss per share of $0.39 on weighted average common shares outstanding of 5,749,964 for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Liquidity and Capital Resources – As of December 31, 2008, we had cash and cash equivalents of $27,704. Our minimal cash balance at December 31, 2008 is due to minimizing the cash balances in our operating accounts by managing it with our revolving credit facility.

Our net current assets were $13,944,121 at December 31, 2008 versus $6,971,732 at December 31, 2007. Total debt at December 31, 2008 was $5,485,404 compared to the December 31, 2007 balance of $4,736,384.

Cash used in operating activities was $3,187,967 during the year ended December 31, 2008. The most significant use of cash resulted from a net increase in accounts receivable of $5,204,110 and cost in excess of billings and estimated profits of $2,317,062 and a decrease in accounts payable of $1,230,408. This was partially offset by an increase in accrued expenses of $1,766,022 and an increase in billings in excess of costs and estimated profits of $429,749.

Cash used in investing activities was $631,994. The most significant expenditures were for vehicle purchases and earn-out payments related to the CIS acquisition.

Cash from financing activities provided $570,215, representing $700,001 in net proceeds from the revolving bank line, plus proceeds from stock option exercises of $119,258 and a net recovery from stockholder of $59,443, partially offset by term loan and capital lease payments,.

Borrowings under the revolving credit facility at December 31, 2008 were $4,335,898. The Company is required to maintain certain financial and reporting covenants and restrictions on dividend payments under the terms of the Loan Agreement with TB Bank, N.A. (See Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K).

Backlog and Bookings

Booked orders increased 5.1% to $59,491,542 for the year ended December 31, 2008 as compared to $56,616,979 for the year ended December 31, 2007. The Company's backlog as of December 31, 2008 was $23,701,243 and was $26,567,167 at December 31, 2007. The primary factor in the decline in the backlog is attributable to the work completed on several large public agency jobs in New Jersey / New York in 2008, partially offset by the bookings related to the L-3 Contract. Booked orders increased to $56,616,979 in the year ended December 31, 2007 as compared to $53,822,722 in the corresponding period of 2006.

DIVIDENDS

We have not declared cash dividends on our common equity. The payment of dividends is prohibited under the existing credit agreement with TD Bank, N. A. We may, in the future, declare dividends under certain circumstances.

SEASONALITY

Revenues generated by our services have typically been seasonal in nature and there could be periods of fluctuations in revenue volume due to the timing of project installations or factors that are beyond the Company's control, such as weather and construction delays.

INFLATION

Our revenues generally have kept pace with inflation.

OFF BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

We do not have any financial partnerships with unconsolidated entities, such as entities often referred to as structured finance, special purpose entities or variable interest entities which are often established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes. Accordingly, we are not exposed to any financing, liquidity, market or credit risk that could arise if we had such relationships.

24

AGGREGATE CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

As of December 31, 2008, the Company’s contractual obligations, including payments due by period, are as follows:

	Payment due by period						
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	Thereafter	Total
Long -Term Debt Obligations	$ -	$ 4,335,898	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 4,335,898
Interest Obligation on Long-term debt	140,917	140,917	82,201	-			364,035
Capital Lease Obligations	358,299	297,024	197,928	86,083	-	-	939,334
Short-term debt	372,403	-	-	-	-	-	372,403
Total	$ 871,619	$ 4,773,839	$ 280,129	$ 86,083	$ -	$ -	$ 6,011,670

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

We have one revolving loan for which the interest rate on outstanding borrowings is variable and is based upon the prime rate of interest. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, there was $4,335,898 and $3,635,897, respectively, outstanding under this revolving credit facility.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Refer to pages F-1 through F-35.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

On November 5, 2007, the Company notified Demetrius & Company, L.L.C. of its decision to dismiss Demetrius & Company, L.L.C. as the Company’s independent auditors.

Concurrently, the Audit Committee and the Board of Directors approved the engagement of Amper, Politziner and Mattia, LLP as the Company's independent auditors, effective upon notification to Demetrius & Company, L.L.C. of dismissal, and execution of an engagement letter. Amper, Politziner and Mattia, LLP served as the Company's independent auditors beginning with the quarter ended September 30, 2007.

During the period beginning January 1, 2005 through November 5, 2007 (the date Amper, Politziner and Mattia, LLP was appointed), neither the Company nor anyone acting on the Company’s behalf consulted with Amper, Politziner and Mattia, LLP. regarding (1) the application of accounting principles to a specified transaction or the type of audit opinion that might be rendered on the Company's financial statements or (2) any of the matters or events set forth in Item 304(a)(2)(ii) of Regulation S-K.

The reports of Demetrius & Company, L.L.C. on the Company's financial statements for the 2006 fiscal year did not contain an adverse opinion or a disclaimer of opinion and were not qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope, or accounting principles. During the Company's two most recent fiscal years and the period from the end of the most fiscal year and through November 5, 2007, the date of appointment of Amper, Politziner & Mattia, LLP, the period January 1, 2007 through November 7, 2007, there were no disagreements with Demetrius & Company, L.L.C. on any matters of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope and procedures which, if not resolved to the satisfaction of Demetrius & Company, L.L.C., would have caused Demetrius & Company, L.L.C. to make reference to the matter in its report.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, there were no disagreements with the Company's principal independent accountant on accounting or financial disclosure.

ITEM 9A (T). CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) EFFECTIVENESS OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company's management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2008. The term "disclosure controls and procedures," as defined in Rules 13(a)-15(e) and 15(d)-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), means controls and other procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the company's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives and management necessarily applies its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Based on the evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2008, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of such date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

(b) MANAGEMENT'S ANNUAL REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

The management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) promulgated under the Exchange Act as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by the company's board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that:

- Pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company;
- Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and
- Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Our management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control-Integrated Framework. Based on our assessment, management believes that, as of December 31, 2008, the Company's internal controls over financial reporting is effective based on those criteria.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of the Company's registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by the Company's registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit the Company to provide only management's report in this annual report.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no significant changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) identified in management's evaluation during the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2008 that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

There were no events requiring disclosure that had not been made under Form 8-K in the fourth quarter of our fiscal year.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate

Identification of Directors (ages are as of March 10, 2008)

Name	Age	Position(s) with the Company	Director Since
James E. Henry	54	Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, Treasurer and Director	1998
Brian Reach.	54	President, Chief Operating Officer, Secretary and Director	2004
Robert L. De Lia Sr	60	Director	2004
James W. Power	78	Director	2005
Joseph P. Ritorto	76	Director	2002
Richard D. Rockwell	54	Director	2007
David Sands	51	Director	2005

Identification of Executive Officers (ages are as of March 10, 2008)

Name	Age	Position(s) with the Company	Officer Since
James E. Henry	54	Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, Treasurer and Director	1998
Brian Reach	54	President, Chief Operating Officer, Secretary and Director	2004
John P. Hopkins	48	Chief Financial Officer	2006
Brian J. Smith	53	Corporate Controller	2007
Christopher Peckham	43	Chief Information Officer / Chief Security Officer	2007

James E. Henry co-founded the Company’s predecessor company in 1989 and served as President and Chief Executive Officer until December 2001 when he was elected Chairman of the Board. Mr. Henry continues to serve as Chief Executive Officer and is also the Company’s Treasurer. Mr. Henry graduated from the University of New Hampshire with a Bachelor of Science degree in electrical engineering. In addition to his other responsibilities, Mr. Henry has continued to design, install, integrate and market security and communications systems as well as manage the Company’s research and development.

Brian Reach, in addition to his prior duties, was named Chief Operating Officer in August 2006 and President in March 2007. Mr. Reach has been a member of the Company’s Board of Directors since February 2004 and has served as the Company’s Vice-Chairman since June 2004 and as its Secretary since November 2004. From September 1999 until April 2002, Mr. Reach was the Chief Financial Officer of Globix Corporation, a provider of application, media and infrastructure management services. Globix’s common stock is traded on the OTC Bulletin Board. From May 1997 to August 1999, Mr. Reach was the Chief Financial Officer of IPC Communications, a provider of integrated telecommunications equipment and services to the financial industry. During his tenure at IPC, Mr. Reach successfully guided IPC through its leveraged recapitalization and financially restructured IPC enabling it to invest in strategic acquisitions and next generation technologies. Prior to IPC, Mr. Reach was the Chief Financial Officer of Celadon Group, Inc. and Cantel Industries, Inc. Mr. Reach became a certified public accountant in 1980 and received his Bachelor of Science degree in accounting from the University of Scranton in 1977.

Robert L. De Lia, Sr. has been a member of our Board since May 2004. Currently, Mr. De Lia is vice president of TJ's Motorsport, a privately held company dedicated to supplying quality motor sport products. From 2002 to 2003, Mr. De Lia was the President and Chief Executive Officer of Airorlite Communications, Inc., a company that specializes in designing, manufacturing and maintaining wireless communications equipment used to enhance and extend emergency radio frequency services and cellular communication for both fixed and mobile applications. In April 2004, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company purchased all of the issued and outstanding shares of stock of Airorlite Communications, Inc. From 1987 to 1999, Mr. De Lia was the President and Chief Executive Officer of Fiber Options, Inc. Mr. De Lia graduated from the New York Institute of Technology in 1969.

James W. Power has been a member of our Board since December 2005. Mr. Chairman of MDI, Inc, a Nasdaq listed provider of integrated access control and physical security products for government and commercial organizations; director of RAE Systems, Inc., a manufacturer of equipment used to detect weapons of mass destruction, hazardous materials and toxic chemicals; and the principal partner in J.W. Power & Associates. Mr. Power previously served as Chairman of the Board of InfoGraphic Systems Corp.; President and Chief Executive Officer of Martec\SAIC; President and Chief Executive Officer of Pinkerton Control Systems and has held senior executive positions with Cardkey Systems, Inc., Nitrol Corporation and TRW Data Systems. Previously, he has served as a director of National Semiconductor, ICS Corporation, and Citicorp Custom Credit and Citicorp Credit Services.

Joseph P. Ritorto has been a member of our Board since January 2002. Mr. Ritorto is the co-founder of First Aviation Services, Inc., which is located in Teterboro Airport, Teterboro, New Jersey and provides a variety of aviation support services. Mr. Ritorto has been an officer, in various capacities, of First Aviation Services since 1986. Mr. Ritorto sold First Aviation Services to a group led by Goldman Sachs in May 2008. From 1991, until he retired in May 2001, Mr. Ritorto served as the Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of Silverstein Properties, Inc. In this capacity, Mr. Ritorto's responsibilities included overseeing operations and directing the lease administration of Silverstein owned and managed properties.

Richard D. Rockwell has served as a director of the Company since November 2007. In November 2008, Mr. Rockwell was named Vice Chairman of the Company's Board of Directors and joined the Company's Executive Committee as Chairman. Mr. Rockwell has been Owner and Chairman of Professional Security Technologies LLC, a full service security systems integrator since 1996. Mr. Rockwell has been Owner and President of Main Security Surveillance, Inc. since 2005. From 1982 to 2003, Mr. Rockwell was Founder, Owner and Chief Executive Officer of Professional Security Bureau, Ltd. ("PSB"), a security guard services company. In 2003 PSB, with annual revenues in excess of $100 million, was divested to Allied Security. From 1997 through 2003, Mr. Rockwell was co-founder and Chairman of TransNational Security Group, LLC ("TSG"). TSG afforded the member companies with opportunities for national sales and marketing, national contracting, and combined purchasing power. From 1995 to 2005, Mr. Rockwell was founder and owner of PeopleVision, a full service advertising and display manufacturing company. From 1981 to 1982, Mr. Rockwell was vice president, legal affairs of Metropolitan Maintenance Company, a publicly-traded company listed on the Boston stock exchange. Mr. Rockwell received a Bachelor of Arts from Ithaca College and a Juris Doctor from Western New England College of Law.

David Sands has been a member of our Board since 2005. Mr. Sands is a certified public accountant and a partner of Buchbinder Tunick & Company LLP where he is the head of the tax department. Mr. Sands is a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the New York State Society of CPAs. Mr. Sands has also lectured at the New York University Summer Continuing Education and the Foundation for Accounting Education Programs. Mr. Sands received a Bachelor of Science from SUNY at Buffalo and a Master of Science in Taxation from Pace University.

John P. Hopkins was appointed Chief Financial Officer in August 2006. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Hopkins was Chief Financial Officer for Measurement Specialties from July 2002 to August 2006, was Vice President, Finance from April 2001 to July 2002, and was Vice President and Controller from January 1999 to March, 2001, with Cambrex Corporation, a provider of scientific products and services to the life sciences industry. From 1988 to 1998, he held various senior financial positions with ARCO Chemical Company, a manufacturer and marketer of specialty chemicals and chemical intermediates. Mr. Hopkins is a Certified Public Accountant and was an Audit Manager for Coopers & Lybrand prior to joining ARCO Chemical. Mr. Hopkins holds a B.S. in Accounting from West Chester University, and an M.B.A. from Villanova University.

Brian J. Smith was appointed Corporate Controller in April 2007. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Smith was VP-General Manager NetVersant of New York, a provider of voice and data system infrastructure from 2002. From 1991 to 2002 Mr. Smith held various senior financial positions with Insilco Technologies, a manufacturer and distributor of electronic components. Mr. Smith is a Certified Public Accountant and began his career as an auditor for KPMG Peat Marwick. Mr. Smith holds a B.S. in Accounting from Fordham University.

Christopher Peckham was appointed Chief Information Officer / Chief Security Officer in September 2007. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Peckham was Director of Operations with Sungard Higher Education from 2003. From 1999 to 2003, Mr. Peckham served in several VP positions at Globix Corporation in the areas of Network and Systems Engineering, Operations, and Information Technology. Prior to that, he held positions in networking and systems at Icon CMT, PFMC, and NJIT. Mr. Peckham received the B.S., M.S., and Ph.D. degrees in electrical engineering from the New Jersey Institute of Technology and a MBA from Rutgers University.

(c) Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act, requires our directors and officers, and persons who own more than 10% of our Common Stock, to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission initial reports of beneficial ownership and reports of changes in beneficial ownership of our Common Stock and other equity securities. Our officers, directors and greater than 10% beneficial owners are required by SEC regulation to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file.

To our knowledge, for the year ended December 31, 2008, based solely on a review of the copies of such reports furnished to the Company and representations by these individuals that no other reports were required during the year ended December 31, 2008, all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to our directors, officers and greater than 10% beneficial owners have been timely filed.

(d) Code of Conduct and Ethics

We have a Code of Conduct that applies to all of our directors, officers and employees, including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer and a Code of Ethics that applies to our senior financial officers. You can find our Code of Conduct and Code of Ethics on our website: www.hbe-inc.com. We will post there any amendments to these Codes, as well as any waivers that are required to be disclosed by the rules of either the Securities and Exchange Commission or NASDAQ.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth summary information concerning the annual compensation for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 for our principal executive officer ("PEO"), principal financial officer ("PFO") and our most highly compensated executive officers other than our PEO and our PFO for the year ended December 31, 2008:

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary ($)	Bonus ($)	Option Awards ($)(1)	All Other compensation ($) (2)	Total ($)
James E Henry, Chairman,	2008	180,131	36,050	-	-	216,181
Chief Executive Officer,	2007	174,148	-	-	-	174,148
Treasurer and Director	2006	130,680	-	-	-	130,680
Brian Reach, President,	2008	180,131	36,050	-	6,300	222,481
Chief Operating Officer,	2007	173,019	-	10,626	6,281	189,926
Secretary and Director (3)	2006	72,000	-	42,363	6,051	120,414
John P. Hopkins, Chief	2008	180,131	33,050	-	6,000	219,181
Financial Officer (4)	2007	175,000	-	31,879	6,500	213,379
	2006	69,000	-	13,283	2,000	84,283
Brian J. Smith (5)	2008	147,971	17,803	-	6,000	171,774
	2007	100,223	-	12,035	4,250	116,508
Christopher Peckham (6)	2008	125,926	25,189	-	4,800	155,915
	2007	36,058	-	5,407	1,400	42,865

(1) Represents the dollar amount recognized for financial statement reporting purposes with respect to the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 for the fair value of the option granted to the named executive officer. The fair value was estimated in accordance with FASB 123R. For a more detailed discussion on the valuations made and assumptions used to calculate the fair value of our options refer to Note 10 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

(2) For Mssrs. Hopkins, Smith and Peckham represents auto allowances. For Mr. Reach represents medical premium reimbursement.

(3) Effective August 8, 2006, Mr. Reach assumed the position of Chief Operating Officer. Effective March 23, 2007, Mr. Reach assumed the additional position of President.

(4) Effective August 8, 2006, Mr. Hopkins became the Chief Financial Officer.

(5) Effective April 14, 2007 Mr. Smith became the Corporate Controller.

(6) Effective September 10, 2007 Mr. Peckham became the Chief Information Officer / Chief Security Officer.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2008.

There were no grants of stock options under our existing stock option plans issued by us during 2008 to executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table.

Outstanding Equity Awards at December 31, 2008.

The following table contains information concerning unexercised options held as of December 31, 2008 by the executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table:

	Option Awards				
Name	Number of Securities Underlying Options Exercisable (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Options Unexercisable (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Unearned Options (#)	Option Exercise Price ($)	Option Expiration Date
Brian Reach	100,000 (1)	-	-	7.10	5/31/2009
Brian Reach	20,000 (2)	30,000 (2)	-	3.71	8/8/2012
John P. Hopkins	60,000 (3)	90,000 (3)	-	3.71	8/8/2012
Brian Smith	8,000	32,000 (4)	-	4.26	5/14/2013
Brian Smith	2,000	8,000 (5)	-	4.11	11/8/2013
Christopher Peckham	10,000	40,000 (6)	-	4.65	9/11/2013

(1) Represents grant of 100,000 incentive stock options which vests equally in 25 monthly installments of 4,000, with the installment vesting on June 30, 2004.

(2) Represents grant of 50,000 incentive stock options which vests in five equal installments of 10,000 on August 8, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, and 2011, respectively.

(3) Represents grant of 150,000 incentive stock options which vests in five equal installments of 30,000 on August 8, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, and 2011, respectively.

(4) Represents grant of 40,000 incentive stock options which vests in five equal installments of 8,000 on April 13, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, and 2012, respectively.

(5) Represents grant of 10,000 incentive stock options which vests in five equal installments of 2,000 on November 8, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, and 2012, respectively.

(6) Represents grant of 50,000 incentive stock options which vests in five equal installments of 10,000 on September 11, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, and 2012, respectively.

Directors who are also our employees receive no additional compensation for attendance at board meetings. Mr. Henry and Mr. Reach are the only members of the Board of Directors who are also employees. The Company's non-employee directors receive a quarterly fee of $1,250 and an annual stock option grant to purchase 2,000 shares of the Company's common stock at the closing share price on the day of the grant and $1,000 for attendance at each Board or Committee meeting. For the year ended December 31, 2008, all of our outside Directors, that is, Directors who are not employees or full-time consultants of the Company, each received compensation as follows:

33

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash ($)(1)	Option Awards ($)(2)	Total ($)
Robert De Lia, Sr.	7,750	10,400(3)	18,150
James W. Power	9,000	10,400(4)	19,400
Joseph P. Ritorto	9,000	10,400(5)	19,400
Richard D. Rockwell	10,000	5,200(6)	15,200
David Sands	10,000	10,400(7)	20,400

(1) Outside Directors each receive a cash retainer at a rate of $5,000 per annum and $1,000 for attendence at each meeting. The Company reimburses Directors for out-of-pocket expenses incurred travelling to Board of Director's meetings.

(2) Represents the dollar amount recognized for financial statement reporting purposes with respect to the year ended December 31, 2008 for the fair value of the option granted to the named Director. The fair value was estimated in accordance with FASB 123R. For a more detailed discussion on the valuations made and assumptions used to calculate the fair value of our options refer to Note 10 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

(3) At December 31, 2008, Mr. De Lia, Sr. held options to purchase 12,000 shares of Common Stock.

(4) At December 31, 2008, Mr. Power held options to purchase 10,000 shares of Common Stock.

(5) At December 31, 2008, Mr. Ritorto held options to purchase 12,000 shares of Common Stock.

(6) At December 31, 2008, Mr. Rockwell held options to purchase 2,000 shares of Common Stock.

(7) At December 31, 2008, Mr. Sands held options to purchase 10,000 shares of Common Stock.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

The current members of the Compensation Committee are Messrs. Ritorto, DeLia and Rockwell. The Board made all decisions concerning executive compensation related to 2008. No executive officer of the Corporation served as a member of the Board of Directors of another entity during 2008. None of the members of the Compensation Committee has ever been an officer or employee of Henry Bros. Electronics, Inc. or any of its subsidiaries, and no “compensation committee interlocks” existed during fiscal 2008.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Through the following questions and answers we explain all material elements of our executive compensation:

What are the objectives of our executive compensation programs?

Our corporate goal is to maximize our total return to our shareholders through share price appreciation. Towards this goal, we seek to compensate our executives at levels that are competitive with peer companies so that we may attract, retain and motivate highly capable executives. We also design our compensation programs to align our executives' interests with those of our shareholders.

Our 2008 executive compensation, reflects our effort to realize these objectives.

What are the principal components of our executive compensation programs?

Overview: Our executive compensation programs consist of three principal components: (i) a base salary; (ii) annual bonuses; and (iii) stock option grants. The Company's policy for compensating our executive officers is intended to provide significant annual long-term performance incentives. We describe each of these principal components below.

Relationship of the principal components: We have allocated the three principal components of our executive compensation programs in a manner that we believe optimizes each executive's contribution to us. We have not established specific formulae for making the allocation.

Base Salary: We do not have employment agreements with any of our executives. Base salaries for executive officers are determined by evaluating a variety of factors, including the experience of the individual, the competitive marketplace for managerial talent, the Company's performance, the executive's performance, and the responsibilities of the executive. Although our Compensation Committee annually reviews salaries of our executive officers, our Compensation Committee does not automatically adjust base salaries if it concludes that adjustments to other components of the executive's compensation would be more appropriate.

Annual Bonus: Cash bonus awards are based on a variety of factors, including the individual performance of the executive and the Company's performance.

Long-Term Incentive Compensation (Stock Options for Common Shares): The Compensation Committee believes that stock-based compensation arrangements are essential in aligning the interests of management and the stockholders. The Company's 2002, 2006 and 2007 Stock Plans provides for the issuance of stock options to its executive officers and other employees. Stock options to purchase shares of the Company's common stock are issued at an exercise price equal to the fair market value of such stock on the date immediately preceding the date on which the stock option is granted. These options typically vest over a three to five year period from the date of grant and are granted to the Company's executive officers and other employees as part of their employment with the Company or as a reward for past individual and corporate performance. The size of awards is determined by the Committee based on factors such as the executive's position, individual performance and the Company's performance.

What do we seek to reward and accomplish through our executive compensation programs?

We believe that our compensation programs, collectively, enable us to attract, retain and motivate high quality executives. We provide annual bonus awards primarily to provide performance incentives to employees to meet corporate performance objectives. Our corporate objectives are measured by sales increases, operating margins, net income and other items of performance as determined on an annual basis. We design long-term incentive awards primarily to motivate and reward employees over longer periods. Through vesting and forfeiture provisions that we include in awards of stock options we provide an additional incentive to executives to act in furtherance of our longer-term interests. An executive whose employment with us terminates before equity-based awards have vested, either because the executive has not performed in accordance with our expectations or because the executive chooses

to leave, will generally forfeit the unvested portion of the award.

Why have we selected each principal component of our executive compensation programs?

We have selected programs that we believe are commonly used by public companies, both within and outside of our industry, because we believe commonly used programs are well understood by our shareholders, employees and analysts. Moreover, we selected each program only after we first confirmed, with the assistance of outside professional advisors, that the program comports with settled legal and tax rules.

How do we determine the amount of each principal component of compensation to our executives?

Our Compensation Committee exercises judgment and discretion in setting compensation for our senior executives. The Committee exercises its judgment and discretion only after it has first evaluated the recommendations of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Operating Officer and evaluated our corporate performance.

What specific items of corporate performance do we take into account in setting compensation policies and making compensation decisions?

Our corporate performance primarily impacts the annual bonuses and long-term incentive compensation that we provide our executive officers. We use or weight items of corporate performance differently in our annual bonus and long-term compensation awards and some items are more determinative than others.

Goals for executives in 2008 varied because the areas of responsibility of executives differ. Goals are generally developed around metrics tied to our growth and profitability, including increases in revenue and operating profit, decreases in expenses, execution of acquisitions, enhanced operational efficiencies and development of additional opportunities for our long-term growth.

How do we determine when awards are granted, including awards of equity-based compensation?

Historically, our Compensation Committee has awarded annual bonuses in the quarter following the year end. The Compensation Committee makes awards of stock options on an ad hoc basis, but generally quarterly, following review of pertinent financial information and industry data. In addition, the Compensation Committee conducts a thorough review of stock option awards and grant procedures annually. The date on which the Committee has met has varied from year to year, primarily based on the schedules of Committee members, the timing of compilation of data requested by the Committee and the timing related to the hiring of senior management.

Over the past years our equity-based awards to executives have taken the form of stock options. The number of stock options subject to an award has been computed by taking into account the Company’s performance, the particular executive’s performance, our retention objectives, and other factors.

What factors do we consider in decisions to increase or decrease compensation materially?

Historically, we have generally not decreased the base salaries of our executive officers or reduced their incentive compensation targets due to individual performance. When an executive’s performance falls short of our expectations then we believe our interests are best served by replacing the executive with an executive who performs at the level we expect. The factors that we consider in decisions to increase compensation include the individual performance of the executive, responsibility of the executive and our corporate performance, as discussed above.

To what extent does our Compensation Committee consider compensation or amounts realizable from prior compensation in setting other elements of compensation?

The primary focus of our Compensation Committee in setting executive compensation is the executive's current level of compensation, including recent awards of long-term incentives, taking into account the executive's performance and our corporate performance. The Committee has not adopted a formulaic approach for considering amounts realized by an executive from prior equity-based awards.

How do accounting considerations impact our compensation practices?

Accounting consequences are not a material consideration in designing our compensation practices. However, we design our equity awards so that its overall cost fell within a budgeted dollar amount and so that the awards would qualify for classification as equity awards under FAS 123R. Under FAS 123R the compensation cost recognized for an award classified as an equity award is fixed for the particular award and, absent modification, is not revised with subsequent changes in market prices of our common shares or other assumptions used for purposes of the valuation.

How do tax considerations impact our compensation practices?

Prior to implementation of a compensation program and awards under the program, we evaluate the federal income tax consequences, both to us and to our executives, of the program and awards. Before approving a program, our Compensation Committee receives an explanation from our outside professionals as to the tax treatment of the program and awards under the program and assurances from our outside professionals that the tax treatment should be respected by taxing authorities.

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code limits our tax deduction each year for compensation to each of our Chief Executive Officer and our four other highest paid executive officers to $1 million unless, in general, the compensation is paid under a plan that is performance-related, non-discretionary and has been approved by our shareholders. Generally, Section 162(m) has not had a significant impact on our compensation programs.

What are our equity or other security ownership requirements for executives and our policies regarding hedging the economic risk of share ownership?

We do not maintain minimum share ownership requirements for our executives. We do not have a policy regarding hedging the economic risk of share ownership.

To what extent do we benchmark total compensation and material elements of compensation and what are the benchmarks that we use?

While the Compensation Committee does not perform formal benchmarks, they do compare the elements of total compensation to compensation provided by knowledge gained in the industry.

Do we have a policy regarding the recovery of awards or payments if corporate performance measures upon which awards or payments are based are restated or adjusted in a manner that would reduce the size of an award or payment?

For non-executive officers, we have a policy that provides for a case-by-case review to determine if a recovery of an award is necessary if a performance measure used to calculate the award is subsequently adjusted in a manner that would have reduced the size of the award. For executive officers, we have a policy that requires a recovery of an award if a performance measure used to calculate the award is subsequently adjusted in a manner that would have reduced the size of the award.

What is the role of our executive officers in the compensation process?

Our Compensation Committee meets periodically with our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Operating Officer to address executive compensation, including the rationale for our compensation programs and the efficacy of the programs in achieving our compensation objectives. The Compensation Committee also relies on executive management to evaluate compensation programs to assure that they are designed and implemented in compliance with laws and regulations, including SEC reporting requirements. The Compensation Committee relies on the recommendations of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Operating Officer regarding the performance of individual executives. At meetings in 2008 the Compensation Committee received recommendations from our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Operating Officer regarding salary adjustments and annual bonus and stock option awards for our executive officers. Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Operating Officer play a significant role in determining the annual cash compensation of our executive officers. The Compensation Committee believes that it is important for it to receive the input of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Operating Officer on compensation matters since they are knowledgeable about the activities of our executive officers and the performance of their duties and responsibilities, as well as their contributions to the growth of the Company and its business. The Compensation Committee accepted these recommendations after concluding that the recommendations comported with the Committee's objectives and philosophy and the Committee's evaluation of our performance and industry data.

Compensation Committee Report

Our Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis with our management and based on the review and discussion recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The Board accepted the Compensation Committee's recommendation. This report is made by the undersigned members of the Compensation Committee:

Joseph P. Ritorto (Chair)
Robert De Lia, Sr.
Richard D. Rockwell

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

a) The following table provides information with respect to the equity securities that are authorized for issuance under our compensation plans as of December 31, 2008:

Equity Compensation Plan Information - For the Year Ended December 31, 2008:

Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)		Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	984,515*	$	4.97	245,485
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	193,666**	$	7.60	—
Total	1,184,177	$	5.40	245,485

* This amount includes options issuable pursuant to our 2002, 2006 and 2007 Stock Option Plans. The plans authorizes the issuance of options to purchase up to 230,000, 250,000 and 250,000 shares of our Common Stock to employees, directors, and consultants of the Company under the 2002, 2006 and 2007 Stock Option Plans, respectively .

Also included are options issuable pursuant to our Incentive Stock Option Plan. The Board of Directors and our shareholders approved the adoption of the Incentive Stock Option Plan on December 23, 1999. Our Incentive Stock Option Plan provides for the granting of options to purchase a maximum of 500,000 shares of the Company's common stock.

** This amount includes warrants to purchase 138,333 and 55,333 shares at $7.60 expiring July 27, 2009, that were granted in connection with the issuance of 553,333 shares of our common stock to certain qualified institutional investors and the placement agent, respectively, in July 2004.

b) Security Ownership Of Certain Beneficial Owners And Management And Related Stockholder Matters

The table that follows sets forth, as of March 10, 2008 certain information regarding beneficial ownership of our common stock by each person who is known by us to beneficially own more than 5% of our common stock. The table also identifies the stock ownership of each of our directors, each of our officers, and all directors and officers as a group. Except as otherwise indicated, the stockholders listed in the table have sole voting and investment powers with respect to the shares indicated. Unless otherwise indicated, the business address for each of the named individuals is Henry Bros. Electronics, Inc., 17-01 Pollitt Drive, Fair Lawn, New Jersey 07410.

Shares of common stock which an individual or group has a right to acquire within 60 days pursuant to the exercise or conversion of options, warrants or other similar convertible or derivative securities are deemed to be outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of such individual or group, but are not deemed to be outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of any other person shown in the table.

The applicable percentage of ownership is based on 5,971,583 shares outstanding as of March 10, 2008.

Name address and title of beneficial owner	Number of shares beneficially owned	Percentage of Common Stock Beneficially Owned
James E. Henry, Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, Treasurer and Director	1,275,378	21.4%
Brian Reach, President, Chief Operating Officer, Secretary, and Director (1)	220,000	3.7%
John P. Hopkins, Chief Financial Officer (2)	64,500	1.1%
Brian J. Smith, Corporate Controller (3)	18,000	*
Christopher Peckham, Chief Information Officer / Chief Security Officer (4)	10,000	*
Robert De Lia, Sr., Director (5)	66,694	1.1%
James W. Power, Director (6)	10,000	*
Joseph P. Ritorto, Director (7)	52,000	*
Richard D. Rockwell (8)	2,044,703	34.2%
	-	
David Sands, Director (9)	10,000	*
All executive officers and directors as a group (10 persons) (10)	3,795,510	63.6%

* Less than 1%

(1) The amount shown for Mr. Reach includes a currently exercisable option to purchase 100,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock at a price of $7.10 per share and a currently exercisable option to purchase 20,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock at a price of $3.71 per share.

(2) The amount shown for Mr. Hopkins includes a currently exercisable option to purchase 60,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock at a price of $3.71 per share.

(3) The amount shown for Mr. Smith includes a currently exercisable option to purchase 16,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock at a price of $4.26 per share and 2,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock at a price of $4.11 per share.

(4) The amount shown for Mr. Peckham includes a currently exercisable option to purchase 10,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock at a price of $4.65 per share.

(5) The amount shown for Mr. De Lia, Sr. includes four currently exercisable options to purchase 2,000 shares each of the Company's Common Stock at a price of $7.19, $4.90, $3.33 and $4.65 per share, respectively, and one currently exercisable option to purchase 4,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock at a price of $5.60 per share.

(6) The amount shown for Mr. Power includes three currently exercisable options to purchase 2,000 shares each of the Company’s Common Stock at a price of $6.08, $3.33, and $4.65 per share, respectively, and one currently exercisable option to purchase 4,000 shares of the Company’s Common Stock at a price of $5.60 per share.

(7) The amount shown for Mr. Ritorto includes four currently exercisable options to purchase 2,000 shares each of the Company's common stock at $7.19, $4.90, $3.33, and $4.65 per share, respectively, and one currently exercisable option to purchase 4,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock at a price of $5.60 per share.

(8) The amount shown for Mr. Rockwell includes a currently exercisable option to purchase 2,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock at a price of $5.60.

(9) The amount shown for Mr. Sands includes three currently exercisable options to purchase 2,000 shares each of the Company's Common Stock at a price of $4.90, $3.33, and $4.65 per share, respectively, and one currently exercisable option to purchase 4,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock at a price of $5.60 per share.

(10) The amount shown includes currently exercisable options to purchase 208,000 shares of the Company's common stock.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence

a) Joseph P. Ritorto, a member of our Board of Directors since January 2002, was co-founder of First Aviation Services, Inc. ("First Aviation"). Mr. Ritorto sold First Aviation to a group led by Goldman Sachs in May 2008. In 2007 and 2006, the Company had revenues of $546,375 and $678,138 principally associated with an integrated security systems project with First Aviation. During the period in 2008 that the business was owned by Mr. Ritorto, the Company had no revenues from First Aviation. There are no outstanding accounts receivable due from First Aviation at December 31, 2008 related to the period that the business was owned by Mr. Ritorto.

b) The Company considers Messrs. De Lia, Power , Ritorto, Rockwell and Sands to be independent directors in accordance the NASDAQ's listing standards.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

Fees Paid to Our Independent Auditors During 2008 and 2007

Audit Fees

The aggregate fees paid to Amper, Politziner & Mattia, LLP for professional services rendered for the audits of the Company's annual financial statements on Form 10-K in 2008 and the review of the financial statements on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended March 31, June 30, and September 30, 2008 were $161,710.

The aggregate fees paid to Amper, Politziner & Mattia, LLP for professional services rendered for the audits of the Company's annual financial statements on Form 10-K in 2007 and the review of the financial statements on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2007 were $144,200.

The aggregate fees billed by Demetrius & Company, L.L.C. for professional services rendered for the reviews of the financial statements on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended March 30 and June 30, 2007 were $15,000.

Audit-Related Fees

There were no audit-related fees paid to Amper, Politziner & Mattia, LLP in 2008 and 2007.

The aggregate fees billed for audit-related services by Demetrius & Company, L.L.C. for the year ended December 31, 2007 were approximately $2,200.

Audit related services include due diligence in connection with acquisitions, consultation on accounting and internal control matters, audits in connection with proposed or consummated acquisitions and review of registration statements.

Tax Fees

There were no tax fees paid to Amper, Politziner & Mattia, LLP in 2008 and 2007.

There were no tax fees paid to Demetrius & Company, L.L.C. in 2007.

All Other Fees

There were no other fees paid to Amper, Politziner & Mattia, LLP in 2008 and 2007.

The aggregate fees billed for all other professional services rendered by Demetrius & Company, L.L.C. for the year ended December 31, 2007 was $15,000. These fees related to a 401(k) plan audit.

Pre-Approval of Audit and Permissible Non-Audit Services

The Audit Committee approved 100% of the fees paid to the principal accountant for audit-related, tax and other fees. The Audit Committee pre-approves all non-audit services to be performed by the auditor. The percentage of hours expended on the principal accountant's engagement to audit the Company's financial statements for the most recent year that were attributed to work performed by persons other than the principal accountant's full-time, permanent employees was 0%.

Part IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

(a) The following consolidated financial statements and schedules are filed at the end of this report, beginning on page F-l. Other schedules are omitted because they are not required or are not applicable or the required information is shown in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto.

(b) See Exhibit Index following this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Document	Pages
Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firms	F-1 to F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2008 and 2007	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Shareholder's Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006	F-5

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006	F-6
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-7 to F-35

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as amended, the Registrant had duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: March 23, 2008 HENRY BROTHER ELECTRONICS, INC.

By: /s/ James E. Henry
James E. Henry
Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, Treasurer and Director

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as amended, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Each person, in so signing also makes, constitutes, and appoints James E. Henry and Brian Reach, and each of them acting alone, as his true and lawful attorneys-in-fact, with full power of substitution, in his name, place, and stead, to execute and cause to be filed with the SEC any or all amendments to this report.

SIGNATURE

Date: March 23, 2008 /s/ James E. Henry
James E. Henry
Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, Treasurer and Director

Date: March 23, 2008 /s/ Brian Reach
Brian Reach
President, Chief Operating Officer,
Secretary and Director

Date: March 23, 2008 /s/ John P. Hopkins
John P. Hopkins
Chief Financial Officer

Date: March 23, 2008 /s/ Robert L. DeLia Sr.
Robert L. DeLia Sr.
Director

Date: March 23, 2008 /s/ James W. Power
James W. Power
Director

Date: March 23, 2008 /s/ Joseph P. Ritorto
Joseph P. Ritorto
Director

Date: March 23, 2008 /s/ Richard D. Rockwell
Richard D. Rockwell
Director

Date: March 23, 2008 /s/ David Sands

David Sands
Director

43

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Henry Bros. Electronics, Inc. and Subsidiaries

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Henry Bros. Electronics, Inc. and Subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31 2008 and 2007, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Henry Bros. Electronics, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in Note 9 to the consolidated financial statements, in 2007, Henry Bros. Electronics, Inc. and Subsidiaries adopted the provisions of FIN 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes – an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109."

In connection with our audits of the financial statements referred to above, we audited Schedule II – Valuation and Qualifying Accounts. In our opinion, the financial schedule, when considered in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information stated therein.

/s/ Amper, Politziner & Mattia LLP

March 19, 2009
Edison, New Jersey

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors and Stockholders
Henry Bros. Electronics, Inc. and Subsidiaries

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows of Henry Bros. Electronics, Inc. and Subsidiaries for the year ended December 31, 2006. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the results of operations of Henry Bros. Electronics, Inc. and Subsidiaries, and their cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2006, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Demetrius & Company, L.L.C.

Wayne, New Jersey
October 17, 2007

HENRY BROS. ELECTRONICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2007
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	$ 27,704	$ 3,277,450
Accounts receivable-net of allowance for doubtful accounts $801,306 in 2008 and $810,588 in 2007	18,164,066	13,306,558
Inventory	1,201,477	1,460,931
Costs in excess of billings and estimated profits	5,512,101	3,195,039
Deferred tax asset	1,363,309	739,563
Retainage receivable	1,756,481	1,708,125
Prepaid expenses and income tax receivable	878,003	900,924
Other assets	330,052	315,081
Total current assets	29,233,193	24,903,671
Property and equipment - net of accumulated depreciation $2,993,961 in 2008 and $2,408,653 in 2007	2,620,790	2,408,640
Goodwill	3,592,080	3,379,030
Intangible assets - net of accumulated amortization	1,016,665	1,183,547
Deferred tax asset	-	306,224
Other assets	147,380	150,458
TOTAL ASSETS	$ 36,610,108	$ 32,331,570
LIABILITIES & STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Accounts payable	$ 6,927,365	$ 8,157,774
Accrued expenses	4,833,618	3,128,965
Accrued taxes	200,774	139,403
Billings in excess of costs and estimated profits	2,006,751	1,577,002
Deferred income	157,890	206,460
Current portion of long-term debt	629,742	634,948
Revolving loan	-	3,635,897
Other current liabilities	532,932	451,490
Total current liabilities	15,289,072	17,931,939
Long-term debt, less current portion	4,855,662	465,539
Deferred tax Liability	406,417	-
TOTAL LIABILITIES	20,551,151	18,397,478
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Preferred stock, $.01 par value; 2,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued	-	-
Common stock, $.01 par value; 10,000,000 shares authorized; 5,966,583 shares issued and outstanding in 2008 and 5,926,065 in 2007	59,666	59,261
Additional paid in capital	17,732,596	17,165,892

Accumulated deficit		(1,733,305)		(3,291,061)
TOTAL EQUITY		16,058,957		13,934,092
TOTAL LIABILITIES & STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	$	36,610,108	$	32,331,570

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

HENRY BROS. ELECTRONICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	For the years ended December 31,		
	2008	2007	2006
Revenue	$ 62,357,466	$ 57,852,216	$ 42,132,852
Cost of revenue	46,465,194	45,076,126	30,818,832
Gross profit	15,892,272	12,776,090	11,314,020
Operating expenses:			
Selling, general and administrative expenses	12,797,730	12,695,509	12,720,381
Goodwill and intangible asset impairment charges	-	43,999	1,191,000
Operating profit (loss)	3,094,542	36,582	(2,597,361)
Interest income	91,558	73,493	19,515
Other income (expense)	17,266	(191)	(674)
Interest expense	(271,290)	(349,907)	(103,923)
Income (loss) before tax expense	2,932,076	(240,023)	(2,682,443)
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	1,374,320	63,281	(422,305)
Net income (loss)	$ 1,557,756	$ (303,304)	$ (2,260,138)
BASIC EARNINGS (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE:			
Basic earnings (loss) per common share	$ 0.27	$ (0.05)	$ (0.39)
Weighted average common shares	5,786,104	5,768,864	5,749,964
DILUTED EARNINGS (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE:			
Diluted earnings (loss) per common share	$ 0.26	$ (0.05)	$ (0.39)
Weighted average diluted common shares	5,988,782	5,768,864	5,749,964

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

HENRY BROS. ELECTRONCS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

	Common Stock par value $.01 10,000,000 Authorized Shares	Amount	Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Total
Balance at December 31, 2005	5,889,399	$ 58,894	$ 16,956,008	$ (689,058)	$ 15,982,966
Employee stock options exercised	6,666	67	30,930		30,997
Value of stock option grants			230,267		-
Shares issued in connection with the acquisition of CIS Security Systems	20,000	200	67,000		67,200
Amortization of value assigned to stock option grants					189,593
Net loss for December 31, 2006				(2,260,138)	(2,260,138)
Balance at December 31, 2006	5,916,065	59,161	17,284,205	(2,949,196)	14,010,618
Reclassification of deferred stock compensation upon adoption of SFAS 123(R)			(383,552)		-
Cumualitive effect for adpotion of Fin 48				(38,561)	(38,561)
Shares issued in connection with the acquisition of CIS Security Systems	10,000	100	37,400		37,500
Amortization of value assigned to stock option grants			227,839		227,839