

MUNICIPAL MORTGAGE & EQUITY LLC
Form 10-K
March 26, 2013

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-K**

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF
1934**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012
OR

**..TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission file number 001-11981

MUNICIPAL MORTGAGE & EQUITY, LLC

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware **52-1449733**
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (IRS Employer Identification No.)

621 East Pratt Street, Suite 600
Baltimore, Maryland **21202-3140**
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code **(443) 263-2900**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class Name of each exchange on which registered
Common Shares None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: Common Shares

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.
Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Edgar Filing: MUNICIPAL MORTGAGE & EQUITY LLC - Form 10-K

The aggregate market value of our common shares held by non-affiliates was \$10,623,910 based on the last sale price as reported in the over the counter market on June 30, 2012.

Number of shares of Common Shares outstanding as of March 18, 2013: 41,429,669.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's Proxy Statement for the Annual Shareholders' Meeting to be held on June 18, 2013 are incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K.

Municipal Mortgage & Equity, LLC

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS	3
PART I	3
Item 1. BUSINESS	3
Item 1A. RISK FACTORS	6
Item 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS	11
Item 2. PROPERTIES	11
Item 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	12
Item 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES	12
PART II	12
Item 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT’S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES	12
Item 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA	12
Item 7. MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	13
Item 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	31
Item 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA	31
Item 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE	31
Item 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	31

Item 9B.	OTHER INFORMATION	32
PART III		32
Item 10.	DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	32
Item 11.	EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	32
Item 12.	SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS	32
Item 13.	CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE	32
Item 14.	PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES	32
PART IV		33
Item 15.	EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES	33
SIGNATURES		S-1

Index to Financial Statements

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-1
Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2012 and 2011	F-2
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss for the Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011	F-7
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-9

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K (“**Report**”) contains forward-looking statements intended to qualify for the safe harbor contained in Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “**Exchange Act**”). Forward-looking statements often include words such as “may,” “will,” “should,” “anticipate,” “estimate,” “expect,” “project,” “intend,” “plan,” “believe,” “seek,” “would,” “could,” and “may” are made in connection with discussions of future operating or financial performance.

Forward-looking statements reflect our management’s expectations at the date of this Report regarding future conditions, events or results. They are not guarantees of future performance. By their nature, forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties. Our actual results and financial condition may differ materially from what is anticipated in the forward-looking statements. There are many factors that could cause actual conditions, events or results to differ from those anticipated by the forward-looking statements contained in this Report. They include the factors discussed in Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements in this Report or that we make from time to time, and to consider carefully the factors discussed in Item 1A. Risk Factors in evaluating these forward-looking statements. We have not undertaken to update any forward-looking statements.

PART I

Item 1. BUSINESS

Municipal Mortgage & Equity, LLC, the registrant, was organized in 1996 as a Delaware limited liability company. When used in this report, the “Company”, “MuniMae”, “we”, “our” or “us” may refer to the registrant, the registrant and its subsidiaries, or one or more of the registrant’s subsidiaries depending on the context of the disclosure.

Unless otherwise noted, the description below is of our business as it exists on the date of this Report.

Description of the Business

MuniMae makes and manages debt and equity investments typically collateralized by affordable housing. In the United States (“US”), we are primarily an owner and manager of tax-exempt bonds collateralized by affordable multifamily rental properties. We also manage tax credit equity funds for third party investors which invest in similar affordable multifamily rental properties. Outside of the US, our strategy is to raise, invest in, and manage private real estate funds which invest in affordable for-sale and rental housing in South Africa and, to a lesser extent, Sub-Saharan Africa.

Municipal Mortgage & Equity, LLC is a partnership for federal income tax purposes. We operate through subsidiaries, some of which are corporations that are subject to federal and state income taxes and others of which are partnerships and limited liability companies that are not taxed as corporations and thus their income or loss is allocated (i.e., passed-through) to our shareholders (these subsidiaries are referred to as pass-through entities). Municipal Mortgage & Equity, LLC is a pass-through entity as well. See “Material US Federal Income Tax Considerations” for further tax-related information.

The Company operates through two reportable segments: US Operations and International Operations.

US Operations

The Company’s primary business is the management of its bond portfolio which at December 31, 2012 totaled \$1.1 billion (based on fair value and including all bonds – see Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - “Bond Portfolio Summary”) and consisted of 139 bonds, primarily tax-exempt bonds issued by state and local authorities to finance affordable multifamily rental developments. These bonds are collateralized by 112 real estate properties. MuniMae is also the general partner (“GP”) and manager of 13 low income housing tax credit funds (“LIHTC Funds”) totaling \$835.4 million in original capital invested which hold limited partnership interests in 117 affordable multifamily rental properties in the US.

A substantial majority of the Company’s operating cash flow is generated from the Company’s bond portfolio owned by MuniMae TE Bond Subsidiary, LLC (“TEB”). TEB has certain covenants which restrict its ability to distribute cash or other assets to MuniMae. See “Item 1A. Risk Factors” for more information on distribution restrictions.

We own many of our bonds directly. Others we own or control indirectly through our interests in bond securitization trusts and custodial arrangements. We refer to all of these bond and bond interests collectively as our “bonds” or our “bond portfolio”.

The bonds held by the Company are fixed rate, unrated long term bonds, generally collateralized by affordable multifamily rental properties with no other credit support. The Company has financed its ownership of these bonds through a combination of debt and preferred shares. The vast majority of the debt is variable rate with interest rates that reset weekly while the distributions on the preferred shares have fixed rates that are subject to remarketing starting in 2014 and continuing through 2019. Given the nature of our investments and the way we have financed them, our net interest income is primarily influenced by the performance of the underlying real estate assets (i.e., do the assets generate enough net operating income to pay the debt service on our bonds) and movements in short term interest rates (i.e., as short term rates rise, our borrowing costs rise and net interest income falls). The fair value of the bonds is influenced both by the performance of the underlying real estate assets (i.e., a proxy for creditworthiness) and long term tax-exempt interest rates and credit spreads (i.e., generally as long term rates and/or credit spreads rise, bonds become less valuable).

Nearly all of our bonds are encumbered, therefore our ability to raise additional capital or issue new debt to generate liquidity is very limited. Furthermore, our common equity in TEB is pledged to a creditor to support the collateral requirements related to certain debt and derivative agreements, upon the expiration of which the Company has agreed not to pledge, sell or transfer its common equity in TEB without consent from the creditor. These agreements also limit the Company's liquidity. See "Item 1A. Risk Factors".

International Operations

Substantially all of the Company's International Operations take place through a subsidiary, International Housing Solutions S.à r.l. ("**IHS**") which has a strategy to raise, invest in and asset manage private real estate funds which invest in affordable for-sale and rental housing in South Africa and, to a lesser extent Sub-Saharan, Africa. The Company owns a substantial majority, approximately 83%, of IHS. In addition to earning asset management fees, IHS both invests as a limited partner and is entitled to special distributions based on returns generated by the funds it sponsors. IHS currently manages a single fund, and expects to raise capital for and manage additional funds in the near future. See "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements - Note 1, Description of Business and Basis of Presentation" for more information regarding our interests in IHS and the funds.

Material US Federal Income Tax Considerations

Treatment as a Partnership

We are a partnership for federal income tax purposes and, as such, our shareholders are treated as partners in a partnership and all of our pass-through income (or loss) is allocated directly to our shareholders. As a partnership with interests that are readily tradable on a secondary market, we are further classified as a publicly traded partnership

(“PTP”) for US federal income tax purposes. As long as we remain qualified as a PTP we generally will not have any liability for federal and state income taxes related to the pass-through income generated by the PTP. In addition, we own interests in various subsidiaries, some of which are corporations (that are subject to federal and state income taxes) and other subsidiaries that are pass-through entities for tax purposes. As a PTP, we will be taxed as a corporation for any taxable year in which less than 90% of our gross income consists of “qualifying income.” Qualifying income includes interest, dividends, real property rents, gains from the sale or other disposition of real property or other capital assets held for the production of interest or dividends, and certain other items. Our outside tax counsel has advised us that, although the issue is not free from doubt, tax-exempt interest income constitutes qualifying income for this purpose.

If, for any reason, we were treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes, our income, deductions, credits and other tax items would not pass through to our shareholders, and our shareholders would be treated as shareholders in a corporation for federal income tax purposes. If that occurred, we would be required to pay federal income tax at regular corporate rates on our net income, adjusted to exclude any tax-exempt income on our bonds. If we were taxed as a corporation, even though we would benefit from receiving tax-exempt income on our bonds, we could have significant federal alternative minimum tax (“AMT”) liability and additional state tax liabilities given that a significant portion of our bonds are private-activity bonds subject to AMT. Any such tax liabilities for the Company could pose a significant risk to our cash flow and our ability to meet our general obligations. In addition, if we were taxed as a corporation any distributions made to our shareholders would constitute dividend income, taxable to our shareholders to the extent of our earnings, without regard to the fact that we receive tax-exempt income. Under current law, dividends paid to our shareholders from income on which we paid taxes would likely be taxable at the rates applicable to what are known as qualifying dividends.

Tax Effects on our Shareholders Resulting from our Taxable Income and Deductions

Although we were formed in a way that enables us to pass-through the benefit of tax-exempt income to our shareholders, currently, we have some investments held by pass-through entities within the PTP that are generating taxable interest income which is treated as ordinary income and is reported as such on the annual Schedule K-1 issued to all shareholders. In addition, sales of assets held by pass-through entities within the PTP may result in gains that are taxable to our shareholders. Similarly, the interest income on our bonds is passed through to our shareholders and may be subject to AMT for some shareholders. Our shareholders are also entitled to deduct their respective portions of our interest expense that is incurred in connection with our investment and operating activities. They are not, however, entitled to deduct interest on indebtedness we incur to purchase or carry tax-exempt bonds. Since ownership of our shares represents ownership of a partnership interest, shareholders must also adjust the tax basis in their shares by the annual income, deduction, gain or loss reported on their annual Schedule K-1. This is a significant difference from the traditional basis reporting of shares in a corporation and it will generally result in a basis for each shareholder that is different than the price paid or reflected in a shareholder’s brokerage statement.

In 2012, our PTP entities incurred (and we passed on to our shareholders) overall capital losses due to bond sales and settling derivative positions. In addition we earned tax-exempt interest income and a nominal amount of taxable interest income. We have a tax election in place that requires us to adjust each shareholder's share of our assets based on the share price paid by each shareholder. Therefore shareholders that acquired shares since January 2008 ("**Low-Basis Shareholders**") may have limited tax basis in the assets owned by us. Due to this limited basis, those Low-Basis Shareholders may have capital gains allocated to them related to bond sales/redemptions and refinancings even if we reflect an overall loss for tax or financial reporting purposes, and such gains could be significant. As stated in the preceding paragraph, the activity allocated to a shareholder from bond sales (as well as tax-exempt interest and deductions) may have a significant effect on the shareholder's tax basis in our shares and shareholders should consult their tax advisors. The tax basis effect for Low-Basis Shareholders includes the potential for significant annual increases in Low-Basis Shareholders' tax basis in their shares and this tax impact is expected to continue for the foreseeable future. This increase in tax basis will typically create capital losses upon the sale of our shares, thereby offsetting the capital gains previously allocated to the Low-Basis Shareholders.

To preserve our PTP status, we also have business activities operated through corporations that are subject to federal and state income tax. For example, our income on preferred stock is earned through a subsidiary that is a taxable corporation. In general, such income is not included in our PTP income from pass-through entities, and therefore does not get taxed directly to our shareholders. In 2012, significant losses were generated within the taxable corporations and those losses are not part of the taxable income (or loss) distributed to shareholders. At December 31, 2012, we had an estimated federal income tax net operating loss ("**NOL**") carryforward of \$445.9 million related to our taxable corporations; however, we do not believe these NOLs will be fully utilized by the Company. See "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – Note 14, Income Taxes."

In addition to income from capital gains and taxable interest income, shareholders subject to AMT may also have a tax liability on some or all of the tax-exempt income allocated to them. We may also have taxable income, such as income from market discounts, which does not generate cash for us. Therefore, it is possible that all shareholders, not just Low-Basis Shareholders, could at times be treated as receiving taxable income even though we made no distributions.

We use various tax accounting and reporting conventions to determine each shareholder's allocable share of our ordinary income, gain, loss and deductions. These allocations are respected for federal income tax purposes only if they are considered to have "substantial economic effect" or are in accordance with each shareholder's "interest in the partnership." Because we allocate our tax attributes to our shareholders on the basis of the respective numbers of shares they own, we believe that if our allocations were ever challenged, they would be upheld. However, there is no assurance that would be the case. There can be no assurance that we will continue to be a pass-through entity for income tax purposes.

Tax-exempt Status of Bonds We Hold

On the date of initial issuance of any tax-exempt bond that we hold or have held, bond counsel or special tax counsel has rendered its opinion to the effect that interest on the bond is excludable from gross income for federal income tax purposes. These opinions are subject to customary exceptions, including an exception for any tax-exempt bond during any period when it is held by a “substantial user” of the property to which the bond relates or a person “related” to a “substantial user” (unless the proceeds of the bond are loaned to a charitable organization described in Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (“Code”).

The Code establishes certain requirements which must be met subsequent to the issuance of a tax-exempt bond for interest on that bond to continue to be excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes. Each issuer of the bonds we hold, as well as each of the underlying borrowers, has covenanted to comply with these continuing requirements. Failure to comply with any of the continuing requirements of the Code could cause the interest on a bond to be includable in our shareholders’ gross income for federal income tax purposes and such inclusion could be retroactive.

Certain events subsequent to the issuance of a bond may be treated for federal income tax purposes as a reissuance of the bond, which could adversely affect the tax-exempt status of the bond. From time to time tax-exempt mortgage bonds we hold go into default (i.e., a bond that is more than 30 days past due in principal and/or interest). We exercise what we believe to be prudent business practices to enforce our creditor’s rights with regard to defaulted bonds, including in some instances initiating foreclosure proceedings. It is possible that the Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”) may treat our actions to exercise or not to exercise rights with regard to defaulted mortgage bonds as constituting significant modifications and, therefore, conclude that for federal income tax purposes, the bonds were reissued. If the IRS were successful in maintaining this position, interest on the bonds would likely be taxable for federal income tax purposes. We consult counsel and take other steps to try to ensure that our actions (or inaction) with regard to defaulted bonds will not constitute reissuance of the bonds. In addition, tax-exempt bonds we hold may need to be restructured and remarketed. We could recognize taxable income, gain or loss upon a restructuring and remarketing of tax-exempt bonds we hold even though the restructuring does not result in any cash proceeds to us. In addition, unless various conditions are met, the restructuring of tax-exempt bonds could cause the interest on the bonds to lose their tax-exempt status. Typically, the Company will retain bond counsel to assist in the evaluation and structuring of any bond restructurings to ensure our bonds retain their tax-exempt status.

There are two non-profit entities that we consolidate that are either the GP or the owner of rental properties financed by our bonds. We do not hold an equity interest in the non-profit entities or the rental properties. We believe, based on advice of counsel, that the non-profit entities are unrelated for federal income tax purposes, and do not cause us to be substantial users of those bonds. It is possible that the IRS would take a different position.

Tax Matters Relating to Securitizations

Generally the holders of debt issued by our securitization trusts have required that these trusts, which are structured as partnerships for federal income tax purposes, either make an election under Section 761 of the Code to opt out of the provisions of subchapter K of the Code or meet the requirements of Revenue Procedure 2003-84 (pertaining to tax-exempt-bond partnerships). As a result, each holder of an interest in these securitization partnerships separately reports its share of income and deductions of the partnership using the holder's own accounting method and tax year rather than its distributive share of income and deductions calculated at the partnership level. We are contractually required to follow the rules of Revenue Procedure 2003-84 as it relates to the new securitization facility closed during fourth quarter of 2012. See "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – Notes 7, Debt" for more information on this new facility.

In 2002 and 2003, the IRS issued a series of revenue procedures which stated, among other things, that partnerships such as the ones used to securitize our bonds prior to January 1, 2004, do not meet the requirements of Section 761 of the Code. However, the IRS will not challenge a partnership's or a partner's tax treatment for partnerships with start-up dates prior to January 1, 2004 that made Section 761 elections ("**Pre-2004 Partnerships**") if that treatment has been consistent with the Section 761 election and certain other requirements are met. We have been advised by tax counsel that each Pre-2004 Partnership in which we own an interest has met the requirements set forth in the IRS guidance and none of those Pre-2004 Partnerships has acquired any new assets that would cause it no longer to be eligible for the grandfathering rule described above.

If any of the Pre-2004 Partnerships failed to meet any of the requirements of the IRS guidance described above, and therefore were required to comply with the requirements of subchapter K of the Code, it is likely that all of the tax-exempt money market funds which hold senior interests in those securitizations and have tender options would tender their positions and the remarketing agent would have to sell the tendered interests to purchasers which are not tax-exempt money market funds. This would probably result in an increase in the distributions that have to be made to the holders of the senior interests, which would reduce, dollar for dollar, the distributions on the residual interests in the Pre-2004 Partnerships that we own. The senior interest holders have tender option rights with regard to all of the floating rate securitization trusts into which we have deposited bonds.

Beginning on January 1, 2004, we believe that we have complied with the revenue procedures described above in creating securitization partnerships.

Competition

Currently, our US Operations consist primarily of managing our tax exempt bond portfolio as we are not currently originating new business. If we were to originate new business, we would be faced with competition from various financial institutions, including banks and mutual funds, with respect to the debt and equity we might attempt to place.

In our International Operations, our primary activity is finding workforce housing investments in South Africa for our funds. We compete against other investors, developers and companies that also acquire, develop and manage similar housing investments.

Employees

We had 31 employees at December 31, 2012, primarily located at our principal office. In addition, IHS had 28 employees at December 31, 2012. None of these employees are party to any collective bargaining agreements.

Our principal office is located at 621 E. Pratt Street, Suite 600, Baltimore, MD 21202. Our telephone number at this office is (443) 263-2900. Our corporate website is located at <http://www.munimae.com>, and our filings under the Exchange Act are available through that site, as well as on the SEC's website at <http://www.sec.gov>. The information contained on our corporate website is not a part of this Report.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

Holding our shares involves various risks and uncertainties. The risks described in this section are among those that have had or could in the future have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations, as well as on the value of our common shares.

Risks Related to Our Business

Changes in interest rates and credit spreads may adversely affect the value of our bonds and increase our borrowing costs.

The Company has exposure to changes in interest rates and credit spreads because all of its bonds pay a fixed rate of interest, while substantially all of the Company's bond related debt is variable rate. The vast majority of the Company's variable rate exposure is not hedged. The Company does not have the credit standing to enter into any new interest rate swaps and has limited liquidity to purchase any interest rate caps. Even if we were able to acquire or enter into

hedges for some or all of our interest rate risk, hedges do not always work as expected and there can be no assurance that any hedges we acquired or entered into would fully hedge our risk. A rise in interest rates or an increase in credit spreads could cause the value of certain bonds to decline, increase the Company's borrowing costs and make it economically ineffective for the Company to securitize its bonds.

Because our bonds are secured primarily by multifamily rental properties, increasing interest rates leading to higher mortgage rates may make it more difficult for buyers to obtain mortgage financing and may depress the prices buyers are willing to pay, thereby decreasing the value of our collateral and thus our bonds.

The value of our bond portfolio and the cash flow available to us to pay our debt and operate our business is dependent upon real estate and the risks related to real estate.

Because substantially all of our assets are secured by real estate, or consist of investments in entities that own real estate, the value of our assets is subject to the risks associated with investments in real estate. Most of these investments are directly or indirectly secured by multifamily rental properties, and therefore the value of these investments may be adversely affected by macroeconomic conditions or other factors that adversely affect the real estate market generally, or the market for multifamily real estate and bonds secured by these properties in particular. These possible negative factors include, among others: (i) increasing levels of unemployment and other adverse economic conditions, regionally or nationally; (ii) decreased occupancy and rent levels due to supply and demand imbalances; (iii) changes in interest rates that affect the cost of our capital, the value of our bonds or the value of the real estate that secures the bonds; and (iv) lack of or reduced availability of mortgage financing.

Most of our investments derive their value from the cash flows generated by tenant leases. Many of the properties our investments finance have rent limitations that could adversely affect the ability to increase rents, as well as tenant income restrictions that may reduce the number of eligible tenants and, thus, occupancy rates at such properties. If, because of general economic conditions, local market conditions or property specific conditions, the tenants move out or cannot pay the rents charged on the specific units they lease, the owners (our borrowers) may not be able to lease the units to replacement tenants at full rent (or at all), in which case the cash flows from the properties may not be sufficient to pay interest on our bonds or loans and the value of our investments may decline. Real estate may also decline in value because of market conditions, environmental problems, casualty losses for which insurance proceeds are not sufficient to cover the loss, or condemnation proceedings.

The value of our assets and our ability to conduct business may be adversely affected by changes in local or national laws or regulatory conditions that affect significant segments of the real estate market, especially the multifamily housing market, including environmental, land use and other laws and regulations that affect the cost of maintaining and operating the properties that secure our debt.

We have been, and may continue to be, directly and indirectly affected by disruptions in credit markets.

Our business was significantly affected by the disruptions in the credit markets over the past several years. Among other things, disruptions in credit markets have in the past and may in the future cause deterioration in the market for

tax-exempt mortgage revenue bonds and other instruments that are a major part of our assets, and that deterioration could be significant. This has in the past and may in the future result in our having to reduce the carrying value of our bonds. In addition, while we are not directly exposed to the credit disruptions in the Eurozone, we could be negatively affected by any adverse impact these conditions might have on our economy or our capital partners.

We depend on the availability of securitization facilities.

All of our current bond securitization facilities have mandatory remarketing dates and mature prior to the time the underlying bonds mature or are expected to be redeemed. If we are unable to successfully remarket or replace our bond securitization facilities upon mandatory remarketings or as they mature, we might not be able to extend or refinance our bond related debt. If we are unable to maintain or obtain securitization facilities in the future or if we are unable to remarket the debt, we could experience reduced net interest income. If a significant number of bonds were liquidated to satisfy the termination of securitization facilities upon failed remarketings or maturities or if bond financing costs increased significantly, our financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

Substantially all of our bonds are either encumbered by bond securitization trusts or serve as collateral for securitization facilities, and could be the subject of a forced sale or otherwise unavailable to us.

Substantially all of our bonds are either in securitization trusts or pledged as collateral for securitization facilities. In the event a securitized bond defaults in payment or a securitization trust cannot meet its obligations, all or a portion of the bonds held by the securitization trust or pledged in connection therewith may be sold to satisfy the securitized bond payment default or the obligations to the holders of the senior trust interests. In the event bonds are liquidated, no payment will be made to us except to the extent that the sale price received for the bond exceeds the amounts due on the senior obligations of the trust. In addition, if the value of the bonds within the securitization trusts or pledged as additional collateral decreases, we may be required to post cash or additional investments as collateral for such programs. In the event we have insufficient liquidity or unencumbered investments to satisfy these collateral requirements, certain bonds or other collateral within the securitization trusts or pledged in connection therewith may be liquidated by or at the direction of the secured party to reduce the collateral requirement. In such cases, we would lose the interest income from the bonds and our ownership interest in them. If a significant number of bonds or other collateral were liquidated, our financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

A substantial portion of our bonds are subject to our New Bond Securitization Facility (See “Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – Note 7, Debt”). The facility is subject to, among others, the following provisions: (i) a collateral ratio of at least 144% of the Class A certificates outstanding must be maintained, (ii) the percentage of bonds in payment default must remain below certain thresholds and (iii) the Class A Certificates must be remarketed in 2016. If (i) above is not maintained, all cash flow derived from all the bonds will be held back and not distributed to us until the collateral ratio is restored whereas if (ii) or (iii) above are not met, all cash flow derived from all the bonds that are not Trust Bonds (See “Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – Note 7, Debt”) will be held back and not distributed to us until compliance is restored. In addition, in the event that a Trust Bond fails to pay its full debt service, interest income from certain of the bonds that are not Trust Bonds shall be used to pay the deficiency and an equal amount is required to be retained as collateral and not distributed to us until such bond default is cured or the associated Class A certificates are redeemed. In the event a bond that is not a Trust Bond fails to pay its full debt service, interest income from the bonds which are not Trust Bonds will be held back from us in the amount of the shortfall until such bond either pays its shortfall or is replaced by another bond.

Our bonds are illiquid and may be difficult to sell at fair value.

Substantially all of the Company’s bonds are illiquid, which could prevent sales at favorable terms and make it difficult to value the bond portfolio. Our bonds are generally unenhanced and unrated and, as a consequence, the purchasers of the Company’s bonds are generally limited to accredited investors and qualified institutional buyers, which results in a limited trading market. This lack of liquidity complicates how the Company determines the fair value of its bonds as there is limited information on trades of comparable bonds. Therefore, there is a risk that if the Company needed to sell bonds, the price it is able to realize may be lower than the carrying value (*i.e.*, estimated fair value) of such bonds. If that occurred, we might not be able to repay all of our secured borrowings, in which case we would not be able to repay any of our unsecured borrowings.

Certain of our bonds are 30 or more days past due in principal and/or interest and others are at risk of becoming 30 or more days past due in principal and/or interest.

The aggregate unpaid principal balance (“UPB”) of bonds that were 30 or more days past due in either principal and/or interest at December 31, 2012 was \$151.5 million, or 13.7% of our total bond portfolio UPB (based on all bonds - see Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - “Bond Portfolio Summary”). We have adjusted the carrying value of our defaulted bonds, but the values realized could be even less if foreclosures were pursued or if our borrowers filed for bankruptcy protection. Additionally, properties collateralizing certain performing bonds have net operating income (as represented in operating statements provided by the borrowing partnerships) which is less than the debt service owed to us. These bonds are at risk of default if the partners of the borrowing partnerships or in some cases, guarantors of the partnerships’ debt, are unable or unwilling to continue to cover the shortfall in order to pay the full debt service.

The value of our tax-exempt investments could be adversely affected by changes in tax laws.

There can be no assurance that the government will not pass legislation that could adversely affect the value of our tax-exempt bonds. The government could make changes in tax or other laws, such as affordable housing incentive programs, that while not directly affecting our tax-exempt bonds, could make them less valuable to investors. For example, if the federal government were to lower marginal federal income tax rates, or phase out the tax-exempt nature of the interest income for all or higher income taxpayers, our bonds would likely decline in value. Congress could also pass laws that make competing investments more attractive than tax-exempt bonds, which would also make our bonds less valuable.

There are restrictions on the ability of our primary bond subsidiary to make distributions to us.

TEB had 93.6% of the carrying value of the Company's bonds at December 31, 2012. While TEB's preferred stock is owned by third parties, TEB's common stock is wholly owned by MuniMae TEI Holdings, LLC ("TEI") and the Company is the indirect owner of 100% of the equity interests in TEI. Under TEB's operating agreement with its preferred shareholders, there are covenants related to limitations on cash distributions to TEI as well as the type of assets in which TEB can invest, the incurrence of leverage, limitations on issuance of additional preferred equity interests, and certain requirements in the event of merger, sale or consolidation.

Pursuant to TEB's operating agreement, distribution of assets from TEB to TEI can be made in the form of Distributable Cash Flows (TEB's net income adjusted to exclude the impact of non-cash items) or a distribution other than Distributable Cash Flows ("**Restricted Payments**"). TEB is not permitted to redeem common stock or to distribute Restricted Payments to MuniMae if after such Restricted Payment is made TEB's leverage ratio would be above the incurrence limit of 60% or TEB's liquidation preferences ratios are not at amounts that would allow it to raise additional preferred equity senior to or on parity with the preferred shares outstanding. TEB was below its maximum incurrence levels for leverage and liquidation preference ratios at December 31, 2012 and has been since September 30, 2011.

Our common stock in TEB is pledged as collateral and we are subject to restrictions governing how we use our subsidiary's cash flow.

All of TEB's common stock is pledged to a creditor to support the Company's collateral requirements related to certain debt and derivative agreements. The Company entered into a forbearance agreement with this creditor ("**Counterparty**") in December 2009, which restricted the Company's ability to utilize common distributions from TEB. On February 2, 2012, the Company entered into a further forbearance agreement with the Counterparty, which provides forbearance from a minimum net asset value requirement and a related certification requirement until the earlier of June 30, 2013 or the date on which the Company satisfies the forbearance release terms. As part of the forbearance agreement and until satisfaction of the forbearance release terms, the Company must continue to use a portion of the common distributions from TEB to satisfy cash obligations owed to the Counterparty and cannot permit TEB to declare or make a permitted Restricted Payment distribution to the Company without prior written consent of the Counterparty. See "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – Note 6, Derivative Financial Instruments."

If we are unable to successfully remarket TEB's preferred shares, cash flows available for distribution could be reduced.

TEB's preferred shares are subject to on-going remarketings. On each remarketing date, the remarketing agent will seek to remarket the shares at the lowest distribution rate that would result in a resale of the shares at a price equal to par plus accrued but unpaid distributions, subject to a cap provided in each Series Exhibit (see "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – Note 12, Equity"). If the remarketing agent is unable to remarket the shares successfully, TEB could experience increases in payments to its preferred shareholders, which would result in reductions to its common distributions to the Company and those changes could be material.

We must be careful not to become subject to the Investment Company Act of 1940 because if we were subject to that Act, we could be required to sell substantial portions of our assets at a time when we might not otherwise want to do so, and we could incur significant losses as a result.

We continuously monitor our activities to be sure we do not become subject to regulation as an investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940. We are exempt from the Investment Company Act because of an exemption for companies that are "primarily engaged in the business of purchasing or otherwise acquiring mortgages and other liens on and interests in real estate." Because of changes in the nature and number of companies relying on this exemption and because most of the guidance surrounding this exemption comes from "no action" letters issued by the Securities and Exchange Commission ("**SEC**") staff, the SEC on August 31, 2011 issued a request for comment directed to the scope and use of this exemption. We do not know what action the SEC may take in response to the comments it receives. If we were regulated as an investment company under the Investment Company Act, we would be subject to extensive regulation and restrictions relating to capital structure, dividends and a number of other matters. Among other things, we would not be able to incur borrowings. Therefore, if due to a change in our assets, a

change in the value of particular assets, or a change in the statute or the SEC's interpretation of the statute, we were to become subject to the Investment Company Act, either we would have to restructure our assets so we would not be subject to that Act or we would have to change materially the way we do business. Any of those changes could require us to sell substantial portions of our assets at a time when we might not otherwise want to do so, and we could incur significant losses of value as a result.

The SEC could take actions which might adversely impact the trading or value of our shares.

In 2009, the SEC notified us that due to the Company's delinquent filing status arising from our restatement of our financial statements for 2005 and prior years, the SEC could bring an administrative proceeding to revoke the Exchange Act registration of our common shares or could order the suspension of trading in our common shares. The SEC staff has not taken any further action. When we completed the restatement we made a comprehensive filing, but we did omit some information required to be included in such a filing. Consequently, although we have completed our restatement and have, since November 2010, been making all currently due filings with the SEC, we cannot provide any assurance that the SEC will not take any action in light of our past filing delinquencies and deficiencies, or management not completing its assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting for prior periods. Any substantial action against us by the SEC could adversely affect our business and our results of operations.

If the registration of our common shares were revoked or if trading were suspended, the public trading of our common shares would effectively terminate, investors would find it difficult, if not impossible, to buy or sell common shares, and the price of our shares would likely decline.

We have provided guarantees with respect to certain of the tax credit equity funds that we sponsored, and if we were to become obligated to perform on those guarantees our financial condition and results of operation could suffer.

Although we sold our low income housing tax credit business, we retained our GP interest in certain LIHTC Funds for which we have guaranteed the availability of tax benefits and minimum returns on investment to the LIHTC Funds' investors. In some cases we sold our interest in LIHTC Funds where we made other types of guarantees and we have indemnified the purchaser from investor claims related to those guarantees. We continue to be obligated on our guarantees to the investors (or purchasers) in these funds and we could be required to make substantial payments with regard to these guarantees. The ability of investors in tax credit equity funds to benefit from low income housing tax credits requires that the partnerships in which those funds invest operate affordable housing properties in compliance with a number of requirements in the Code and the regulations under it. Failure to comply continuously with these requirements throughout a 15-year recapture period could result in loss of the right to those low income housing tax credits, including recapture of credits that were already taken, potentially creating liability under our guarantees. If we were to become obligated to perform on these guarantees our financial condition and results of operation would suffer.

The value of our investment in and cash flow from our International Operations could fluctuate with changes in the relative value of the dollar, euro and South African rand.

The net assets and operations of IHS are denominated in euro and rand. In addition, our co-investment in the South Africa Workforce Housing Fund SA I (“**SA Fund**”) is denominated in rand. We do not hedge these exposures and may experience losses as the value of our holdings in IHS and the SA Fund fluctuate with changes in foreign exchange rates of both the euro and the rand relative to the dollar. In addition, our SA Fund borrows money in US dollars from the Overseas Private Investment Corporation and the SA Fund itself therefore has dollar to rand currency risk and even though this risk is currently being hedged by the SA Fund with foreign currency derivatives, these borrowings or hedges could adversely impact the SA Fund’s results and the value of our investment. See “Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements - Note 1, Description of Business and Basis of Presentation” for more information regarding our interests in IHS and the funds.

Our International Operations have foreign government risk and stability risk.

Foreign governments have different laws and policies than the US government. They may change their laws and policies in ways which harm or limit our operations. They may compete directly with us. They may nationalize our operations without fair compensation to us. They may enact laws that make it more difficult for foreign companies to do business, thereby giving local competitors an advantage. South Africa and other countries impose exchange controls that regulate how money enters and leaves the country. These laws could be changed in ways that are adverse to us. We are very limited in our ability to anticipate, control or counteract these risks.

Foreign countries have social and economic stability risks that are different than the US. South Africa has experienced some social unrest in the past year and if that were to continue our operations and investments there could be adversely affected.

The application of international tax regimes could substantially affect our after tax results of operations from International Operations.

The taxation of income we earn abroad is subject to an entirely different set of tax risks than income we earn domestically. We are subject to the laws of the various jurisdictions in which we earn income, or through which our income must move in order for us to receive it in the US. These tax consequences are also governed by international treaties. If any of these laws or treaties change, it could impact our projected after tax results and the impact could be substantial.

Our International Operations are subject to different real estate risks than our US Operations, including laws and customs related to real estate ownership and finance.

Our SA Fund invests in real estate in South Africa. While many of the risks are similar to investing in US real estate, there are legal differences and market differences that may adversely impact our operations and our results. The SA Fund investments include for-sale units. If purchasers have difficulty obtaining mortgage or other financing, unit sales and, therefore, the value of our interest in the SA Fund could be adversely affected.

Our second South Africa fund may invest some of its capital in Sub-Sahara countries other than South Africa. We will have the risks described above with respect to our existing SA Fund with respect to those countries as well as the general risks associated with beginning new operations in a country in which we have not previously invested.

Risks Relating to Ownership of Our Shares

Our Board of Directors (“Board”) can issue an unlimited number of common or preferred shares, which could reduce our book value per common share and earnings per common share and the cash or other assets available for distribution per common share upon liquidation or otherwise.

Under our Operating Agreement, our Board can authorize, without any requirement of shareholder approval, the issuance of an unlimited number of common shares. Although New York Stock Exchange (“NYSE”) rules previously imposed some limitations on our ability to issue shares without shareholder approval, our shares are not currently listed on the NYSE. Issuances of common shares could dilute the book value or the net income per common share or the cash per share available for distribution to common shareholders. Our Board can also authorize, without any requirement of shareholder approval, the issuance of an unlimited number of shares with preferences over the common shares as to dividends, distributions on liquidation and other matters, other than voting. This could reduce the book value and net earnings that would be allocable to our common shares and the cash or other assets that are available for distribution to our common shareholders either periodically or upon our liquidation.

Our shareholders may be taxed on their respective shares of our taxable income, even if we do not make distributions to them.

We are a limited liability company, not a corporation, and we have elected to be taxed as though we were a partnership. Because of that, our taxable income and loss, and our other tax attributes (including the tax-exempt nature of some of the interest we receive) are treated, at least for federal income tax purposes, as the taxable income or loss and other tax attributes, of our shareholders. That avoids the double tax to which corporations and their shareholders usually are subject, and enables our shareholders to benefit from the fact that a portion of our income is exempt from federal income tax. However, because we pay no dividends and have no present plan to pay any, if we have taxable income, our shareholders will be taxed on sums they do not receive, since under the rules of partnership taxation our shareholders are taxed based on our taxable income and not on our distributions. In addition, much of our tax-exempt income is subject to the AMT for federal income tax purposes and shareholders who are subject to the AMT could be subject to tax on such income even if we do not distribute it. While we may at times pass through taxable losses, we consistently pass through to our shareholders for tax purposes our tax-exempt interest income and some taxable interest income. In addition, as a result of the rules applicable to partnership taxation, shareholders who have a low basis in our shares may have capital gains allocated to them from transactions we enter into, even when the Company itself had a capital loss.

Provisions of our Operating Agreement may discourage attempts to acquire us.

Our Operating Agreement contains at least three groups of provisions that could have the effect of discouraging people from trying to acquire control of us. Those provisions are:

If any person or group acquires 10% or more of our shares, that person or group cannot, with a very limited exception, (1) engage in a business combination with us (including an acquisition from us of more than 10% of our assets or more than 5% of our shares) within five years after the person or group acquires the 10% or greater interest, unless our Board approved the business combination or approved the acquisition of a 10% or greater interest in us before it took place, or the business combination is approved by two-thirds of the members of our Board and holders of two-thirds of the shares that are not owned by the person or group that owns the 10% or greater interest; or (2) engage in a business combination with us until more than five years after the person or group acquires the 10% or greater interest, unless the business combination is recommended by our Board and approved by holders of 80% of our shares or of two-thirds of the shares that are not owned by the person or group that owns the 10% or greater interest.

If any person or group makes an acquisition of our shares that causes the person or group to be able to exercise one-fifth or more but less than one-third of all voting power of our shares, one-third or more but less than a majority of all voting power of our shares, or a majority or more of all voting power of our shares, the acquired shares will lose their voting power, except to the extent approved at a meeting by the vote of two-thirds of the shares not owned by the person or group, and we will have the right to redeem, for their fair market value, any of the acquired shares

for which the shareholders do not approve voting rights.

One third of our directors are elected each year to three-year terms. That could delay the time when someone who acquires voting control of us could elect a majority of our directors.

The above provisions could deprive our shareholders of opportunities that might be attractive to many of them.

Item 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

Not applicable.

Item 2. PROPERTIES

We do not own any of the real property where we conduct our business. Our corporate headquarters is located in Baltimore, Maryland, where we occupy approximately 9,000 square feet of office space pursuant to a lease that expires in January 2017. We have a second Baltimore lease for approximately 21,000 square feet which is subleased to a third party tenant at market rates. We also have an office in Tampa, Florida where we lease approximately 34,000 square feet of office space pursuant to a lease that expires in 2016; approximately 74% of this space is subleased to a third party tenant at market rates and we are seeking to sublease the balance. We are also the counterparty on a lease for office space in Boston, Massachusetts (approximately 39,000 square feet); however, this entire space is subleased on terms equal to our lease. The sublease and lease expire in January 2015.

The majority of our IHS subsidiary's employees are located at IHS's office in Johannesburg, South Africa, where IHS leases approximately 5,400 square feet of office space pursuant to a lease agreement that expires on June 30, 2013. On February 19, 2013, IHS entered into a new lease agreement that terminates June 30, 2018 for approximately 4,000 square feet of new office space in Johannesburg, South Africa.

We believe our facilities are suitable for our requirements and are adequate for our contemplated future operations.

Item 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Except as described below, we are not, nor are any of our subsidiaries, a party to any material pending litigation or other legal proceedings, or to the best of our knowledge, any threatened litigation or legal proceedings, which, in the opinion of management, individually or in the aggregate, would be likely to have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

The Company is a defendant in a purported class action lawsuit and two derivative suits originally filed in 2008. The plaintiffs in the class action lawsuit claim to represent a class of investors in the Company's shares who allegedly were injured by misstatements in press releases and SEC filings between May 3, 2004, and January 28, 2008. The plaintiffs seek unspecified damages for themselves and the shareholders of the class they purport to represent. In the derivative suits, the plaintiffs claim, among other things, that the Company was injured because its directors and certain named officers did not fulfill duties regarding the accuracy of its financial disclosures. Both the class action and the derivative cases are pending in the United States District Court for the District of Maryland. The Company filed a motion to dismiss the class action and in June 2012, the Court issued a ruling dismissing all of the counts alleging any knowing or intentional wrongdoing by the Company or its affiliates, directors and officers. The only remaining counts relate to the Company's dividend reinvestment plan. Plaintiffs have appealed the Court's ruling. As of December 31, 2012, based on the Company's exposure under the remaining counts, the Company believes it is probable that it will settle this case for \$0.5 million or less and as such the Company has a contingent obligation for \$0.5 million (reported through other liabilities). If the plaintiffs are successful on appeal, then it is possible that the Company could incur additional losses, which could be significant; however, these losses cannot be estimated at this time. The Company expects any settlement and any other future losses related to this case (including the \$0.5 million, mentioned above) to be covered by insurance proceeds.

Item 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Our common stock is traded in the over the counter market (Pink Sheets) under the symbol "MMAB" The following table shows the high and low sales prices for our common stock during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011

as indicated in consolidated trading reported by the over the counter market quotation system known as the Pink Sheets.

Fiscal Quarter	Common Shares High/Low Prices	
	2012	2011
First	\$0.49-0.18	\$0.13-0.11
Second	0.48-0.27	0.21-0.11
Third	0.32-0.23	0.17-0.11
Fourth	0.40-0.21	0.28-0.13

Our Board has not declared a dividend since the fourth quarter of 2007. It is unlikely that we will pay a dividend in the foreseeable future.

On March 18, 2013, there were approximately 1,850 holders of record of our common shares.

Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities

None for the year ended and at December 31, 2012.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

None for the year ended and at December 31, 2012.

Item 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

Not applicable.

Item 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

General Overview

The Company currently operates through two reportable segments: US Operations and International Operations.

US Operations

The Company's primary business is the management of its bond portfolio which is comprised primarily of tax-exempt bonds issued by state and local authorities to finance affordable multifamily rental developments. The vast majority of the Company's operating cash flows are generated from the Company's bond portfolio. MuniMae is also the GP and manager of certain LIHTC Funds.

International Operations

Substantially all of the Company's International Operations take place through IHS, which has a strategy to raise, invest in and asset manage private real estate funds which invest in affordable for-sale and rental housing in South Africa, and to a lesser extent, Sub-Saharan Africa. In addition to earning asset management fees, IHS both invests as a limited partner and is entitled to special distributions based on returns generated by the funds it sponsors.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of our consolidated financial statements is based on the selection and application of US generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"), which requires us to make certain estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts and classification of the amounts in our consolidated financial statements. These estimates and assumptions require us to make difficult, complex and subjective judgments involving matters that are inherently uncertain. We base our accounting estimates and assumptions on historical experience and on judgments that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances available to us at the time. Actual results could materially differ from these estimates. We applied our critical accounting policies and estimation methods consistently in all material respects and for all periods presented, and have discussed those policies with our Audit Committee.

We believe the following accounting policies involve a higher degree of judgment and complexity and represent the critical accounting policies and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

Valuation of Bonds

Bonds available-for-sale include mortgage revenue bonds and other municipal bonds. We account for investments in bonds as available-for-sale debt securities under the provisions of ASC No. 320, “*Investments – Debt and Equity Securities*.” Accordingly, these investments in bonds are carried at fair value with changes in fair value (excluding other-than-temporary impairments) recognized in other comprehensive income. For most of our performing bonds, we estimate fair value using a discounted cash flow methodology; specifically, the Company discounts contractual principal and interest payments, adjusted for expected prepayments. The discount rate for each bond is based on expected investor yield requirements adjusted for bond attributes such as the expected term of the bond, debt service coverage ratios, geographic location and bond size. If observable market quotes are available, we will estimate the fair value based on such quoted prices. For non-performing bonds (i.e., defaulted bonds as well as certain non-defaulted bonds that we deem at risk of default in the near term), we estimate the fair value by discounting the property’s expected cash flows and residual proceeds using estimated discount and capitalization rates, less estimated selling costs. However, to the extent available, the Company may estimate fair value base on a sale agreement, a letter of intent to purchase, an appraisal or a broker opinion of value. There are significant judgments and estimates associated with forecasting the estimated cash flows related to the bonds or the underlying collateral for non-performing bonds, including macroeconomic conditions, interest rates, local and regional real estate market conditions and individual property performance. In addition, the determination of the discount rates applied to these cash flow forecasts involves significant judgments as to current credit spreads and investor return expectations. We had \$2.3 million of net unrealized losses reflected in our bond portfolio at December 31, 2012. Given the size of our portfolio, different judgments as to credit spreads and investor return expectations could result in materially different valuations.

Consolidated Funds and Ventures (“CFVs”)

We have numerous investments in partnerships and other entities that primarily hold or develop real estate. In most cases our direct or indirect legal interest in these entities is minimal; however, we apply ASC No. 810 “*Consolidation*” in order to determine if we need to consolidate any of these entities. There is considerable judgment in assessing whether to consolidate an entity under these accounting principles. Some of the criteria we are required to consider include:

- The determination as to whether an entity is a variable interest entity (“**VIE**”).

- If the entity is considered a VIE, then the determination of whether we are the primary beneficiary of the VIE is needed and requires us to make judgments regarding: (1) our power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the VIE’s economic performance, and (2) our obligation to absorb losses of the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE or our right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant

to the VIE. These assessments require a significant analysis of all of the variable interests in an entity, any related party considerations and other features that make such an analysis difficult and highly judgmental.

If the entity is required to be consolidated, then upon initial consolidation, we record the assets, liabilities and noncontrolling interests at fair value. Substantially all of our consolidated entities are investment entities that own real estate or real estate related investments and, as such, there are judgments related to the forecasted cash flows to be generated from the investments such as rental revenue and operating expenses, vacancy, replacement reserves and tax benefits (if any). In addition, we must make judgments about discount rates and capitalization rates.

Income Taxes

We own interests in various subsidiaries, some of which are corporations subject to federal and state income taxes and others of which are pass-through entities for tax purposes. Pass-through entities do not pay taxes; they pass their income (and other tax attributes) through to the owners of the equity interests in such entities. Municipal Mortgage & Equity, LLC is a pass-through entity, and therefore, all of its income (and loss), including its share of pass-through income (and other tax attributes), is allocated to our common shareholders. We do not have a liability for federal and state income taxes related to our pass-through income. However, because we have several taxable subsidiaries (i.e., corporations), a portion of our income is subject to federal and state income taxes.

ASC No. 740, "*Income Taxes*," establishes financial accounting and reporting standards for the effect of income taxes. The objectives of accounting for income taxes are to recognize the amount of taxes payable or refundable for the current period and deferred tax assets and liabilities for future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in an entity's financial statements or tax returns. Significant judgment is required in determining and evaluating income tax positions, including assessing the relative merits and risks of various tax treatments considering statutory, judicial and regulatory guidance available regarding the tax position. We establish additional provisions for income taxes when there are certain tax positions that could be challenged and it is more likely than not these positions will not be sustained upon review by taxing authorities.

Judgment is also required in assessing the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in our consolidated financial statements or tax returns as well as the recoverability of our deferred tax assets. In assessing our ability to realize the benefit of our deferred tax assets we consider information such as forecasted earnings, future taxable income and tax planning strategies in measuring the required valuation allowance.

Results of Operations

The following discussion of our consolidated results of operations should be read in conjunction with our financial statements, including the accompanying notes. See “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates” for more information concerning the most significant accounting policies and estimates applied in determining our results of operations.

The table below summarizes our consolidated financial performance for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011:

Table 1

(in thousands)	2012	2011
Total interest income	\$65,791	\$82,167
Total interest expense	26,659	35,526
Net interest income	39,132	46,641
Total fee and other income	8,286	9,327
Revenue from CFVs	25,084	6,975
Total revenues, net of interest expense	72,502	62,943
Operating expenses:		
Interest expense	18,565	21,498
Operating expenses	28,711	28,380
Impairment on bonds and provision for loan losses	1,570	13,673
Total expenses from CFVs	41,359	31,843
Total operating expenses	90,205	95,394
Net (losses) gains on assets, derivatives and extinguishment of liabilities	(1,693)	4,383
Net gains due to real estate consolidation and foreclosure	5,404	13,329
Net gains related to CFVs	12,441	12,241
Equity in losses from Lower Tier Property Partnerships	(39,391)	(35,751)
Loss from continuing operations before income taxes	(40,942)	(38,249)
Income tax expense	(101)	(239)
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax	2,382	19,679
Net loss	(38,661)	(18,809)
(Income) loss allocable to noncontrolling interests:		
Income allocable to perpetual preferred shareholders of a subsidiary company	(9,443)	(9,598)
Net losses allocable to noncontrolling interests in CFVs and IHS:		
Related to continuing operations	50,246	56,197
Related to discontinued operations	973	208
Net income to common shareholders	\$3,115	\$27,998

For the year ended December 31, 2012, as reported in the Company's segment disclosures (see "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements - Note 18, Segment Information"), the US Operations generated net interest income and other revenue of \$55.9 million and net income allocable to the common shareholders of \$5.4 million. The majority of the revenue earned by the US Operations was interest income from the Company's bond portfolio, which was then partially offset primarily by bond-related and corporate-related interest expense as well as distributions on preferred shares financing the bond portfolio and general operating expenses including salaries and benefits, professional fees and general and administrative expenses. For the year ended December 31, 2012, as reported in the Company's segment disclosures, the International Operations reported a loss to the common shareholders of \$2.3 million which was primarily comprised of \$3.7 million of asset management fees earned from the management of the SA Fund which were more than offset by general operating expenses of \$6.4 million which were mainly driven by salaries and benefits.

Net interest income

The following table summarizes our net interest income for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011:

Table 2 (in thousands)	2012	2011
Interest income:		
Interest on bonds	\$64,916	\$79,947
Interest on loans and short-term investments	875	2,220
Total interest income	65,791	82,167
Asset Related Interest expense:		
Senior interest in and debt owed to securitization trusts	13,011	15,116
Mandatorily redeemable preferred shares	8,231	11,056
Notes payable and other debt, bond related	2,991	5,639
Notes payable and other debt, non-bond related	2,426	3,715
Total interest expense	26,659	35,526
Total net interest income	\$39,132	\$46,641

Bond interest income is our main source of revenue and is primarily affected by the size of the bond portfolio, the interest rates on the bonds in the portfolio and the collection rate on the portfolio.

Total net interest income decreased by 16.1% or \$7.5 million, for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to 2011.

Interest income on bonds decreased \$15.0 million. This decline was partly due to a decrease in the weighted average effective interest rate of 11 bps to 6.25%; however, the decrease was mainly due to a decline in the weighted average bond UPB year over year of \$219 million (from \$1.2 billion in 2011 to \$1.0 billion in 2012) due primarily to redemption activity and principal amortization. Also contributing to the decline in the weighted average bond UPB was the consolidation of eleven properties by a consolidated non-profit entity during 2011 and 2012 (\$88.9 million). Upon consolidation, our bonds were eliminated against the corresponding mortgage payable of each property and the interest income associated with these bonds was reported through an allocation of income as opposed to interest income reflected in the table above. Reporting our bond interest income as an allocation of income upon consolidation resulted in a decline of reported interest income of \$3.8 million year over year with a corresponding increase of interest income reported as an allocation of income of \$3.4 million year over year (see Table 10 below) and an increase of interest income reported through discontinued operations of \$0.8 million year over year (see Table 12 below).

Interest income on loans and short-term investments decreased \$1.3 million, mainly due to a decline in the weighted average loan UPB year over year of \$43.4 million (from \$86.6 million in 2011 to \$43.2 million in 2012) due to loan sale and payoff activity. In addition, the average interest rate declined 61 bps to 1.82% mainly due to higher coupon performing loans paying off during 2012 and 2011.

Asset related interest expense (i.e., interest expense associated with debt which finances interest-bearing bond and non-bond assets) decreased 25.0% or \$8.9 million during 2012 as compared to 2011. A decline in bond related interest expense drove \$7.6 million of this reduction, of which \$2.8 million was due to a decline in the weighted average balance of our mandatorily redeemable preferred shares of \$29.9 million. Interest expense associated with our bond related notes payable and other debt declined \$2.7 million due to a \$16.5 million reduction in the weighted average balance of this debt, coupled with a 251 bps reduction in the average effective interest rate. Bond related interest expense also declined by \$2.1 million due to a \$68.0 million reduction in the weighted average balance of our senior interests and debt owed to securitization trusts coupled with a 2 bps reduction in the average interest rate on the variable rate portion of this debt. Non-bond related interest expense declined \$1.3 million during 2012 as compared to 2011 due to an \$18.2 million reduction in the weighted average balance of our non-bond related notes payable and other debt. The non-bond debt declined year over year as a result of related asset dispositions.

Other interest expense

Table 3 (in thousands)	2012	2011
Other Interest expense:		
Subordinate debentures	\$14,774	\$16,169
Notes payable and other debt	3,791	5,329
Total other interest expense	\$18,565	\$21,498

Other Interest expense (which includes interest expense associated with debt which does not finance interest-bearing assets) decreased 13.6% or \$2.9 million during 2012 as compared to 2011. Other operating related interest expense declined \$1.5 million as a result of an \$11.7 million reduction in the weighted average balance of our notes payable and other debt. Notes payable and other debt declined year over year mainly due to a sale of real estate serving as collateral to this debt. The remaining \$1.4 million decline in other interest expense was primarily a result of our discounted repurchases of \$42.7 million of subordinate debentures during 2012 which decreased our effective borrowing rate.

Fee and Other Income

The following table summarizes our fee and other income for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011:

	2012	2011
Income on preferred stock	\$5,749	\$6,228
Asset management and advisory fees	882	913
Syndication fees	206	339
Other income	1,449	1,847
Total fee and other income	\$8,286	\$9,327

Fee and other income decreased by 11.2%, or \$1.0 million, for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to 2011 mainly due to declines in income on preferred stock and other income.

Income on preferred stock recognized in 2012 decreased \$0.5 million as compared to 2011 due to 5,000 Series A Preferred units being redeemed at par for \$5.0 million in July 2012.

Other income decreased \$0.4 million year over year mainly due to a \$0.7 million recovery of previously reserved asset management fees related to our LIHTC Funds in 2011 as well as \$0.2 million of proceeds received during 2011 related to corporate matters, neither of which were repeated during 2012. These decreases were partially offset by the recognition of \$0.5 million of previously collected purchase option payments associated with the termination of an option agreement with a counterparty to purchase land owned by the Company during 2012.

Operating Expenses

Edgar Filing: MUNICIPAL MORTGAGE & EQUITY LLC - Form 10-K

The following table summarizes our operating expenses for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011:

Table 5

(in thousands)	2012	2011
Salaries and benefits	\$10,428	\$10,945
General and administrative	5,032	5,150
Professional fees	6,764	8,634
Other expenses	6,487	3,651
Total operating expenses	\$28,711	\$28,380

Total operating expenses increased 1.2%, or \$0.3 million, mainly due to an increase in other expenses which were largely offset by declines in professional fees as well as declines in salaries and benefits.

Other expenses primarily includes depreciation and amortization, guarantee expense, asset management costs, asset workout expenses and costs related to our ownership of real estate. Other expenses increased \$2.8 million year over year mainly due to \$1.2 million of restructuring fees incurred in the fourth quarter 2012 related to subordinated debt repurchases and the related extension of the interest pay rate concession. Other expenses recognized in 2012 were also higher than 2011 due to a \$0.5 million contingent obligation related to litigation exposure that was recorded in 2012. We also recognized \$0.3 million of operating losses in 2012 associated with a rental property that we took control of during first quarter of 2012. Lastly, during the fourth quarter 2011 approximately \$0.5 million of unrealized foreign currency translation gains which were recorded within accumulative other comprehensive income (“**AOCI**” - a component of equity) were transferred into the consolidated statement of operations as a result of the closing of an IHS subsidiary; this activity was not repeated in 2012.

Professional fees, which include consulting fees, auditing fees and legal fees, decreased \$1.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to 2011. This decrease was mainly due to a \$1.0 million reduction in legal expenses. Additionally, consulting costs decreased \$0.9 million as a result of reduced dependence on accounting related consultants.

Salaries and benefits, excluding employees of IHS, decreased \$0.4 million year over year to \$6.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 mainly due to a decline in the average number of employees from 35 during 2011 to 31 during 2012. Salaries and benefits related to employees of IHS decreased \$0.1 million year over year to \$4.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. This decrease was primarily driven by a decrease in bonuses, partially offset by an increase in the average number of employees from 24 during 2011 to 27 during 2012.

Impairment on Bonds and Provision for Credit Losses

The following table summarizes our bond impairments and our provision for credit losses for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011:

(in thousands)	2012	2011
Impairment on bonds	\$7,217	\$12,815
Net loan loss (recovery) provision	(5,647)	858
Total impairments	\$1,570	\$13,673

Impairment on bonds decreased \$5.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to 2011. During 2012, our bond impairments were the result of \$5.1 million of impairments on our performing bond portfolio, which were driven by fluctuations in individual property performances, and \$2.1 million of impairments on non-performing bonds. During 2011, our bond impairments were the result of \$9.9 million of impairments on our performing bond portfolio, which were driven by fluctuations in individual property performances, and \$2.9 million of impairments on non-performing bonds, of which \$1.7 million related to one bond with a UPB of \$2.5 million and fair value of zero at December 31, 2011.

The Company recognized \$5.6 million in recoveries of previously recognized loan losses during 2012 primarily related to the receipt of \$1.7 million as a cash settlement for releasing individuals who provided certain financial guarantees related to a loan financing as well as a \$3.7 million valuation allowance recovery associated with a senior mortgage loan which paid off at par during the fourth quarter 2012. During 2011, we recognized a \$0.6 million provision on two bridge loans with a UPB of \$7.4 million, and a \$0.3 million provision relating to a \$1.2 million interest in a \$24.9 million land loan.

Net Gains on Assets, Derivatives and Early Extinguishment of Liabilities

The following table summarizes our net gains on assets, derivatives and early extinguishment of liabilities for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011:

(in thousands)	2012	2011
Net realized gains on bonds	\$1,397	\$13,465
Net gains (losses) on loans	332	(835)

Edgar Filing: MUNICIPAL MORTGAGE & EQUITY LLC - Form 10-K

Net losses on derivatives	(1,648)	(8,999)
Net losses on sale of real estate	(15)	(123)
Net (losses) gains on early extinguishment of liabilities	(1,759)	875
Total net (losses) gains on bond, loans, derivatives and early extinguishment of liabilities	\$(1,693)	\$4,383

Net gains on bonds decreased by \$12.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to 2011. During 2012 the net gains of \$1.4 million were primarily the result of gains taken on the redemptions of six bonds with a UPB of \$35.5 million. The net gains of \$13.5 million recorded during 2011 were primarily the result of \$8.5 million gains taken on the sales or redemptions of 19 bonds with a UPB of \$139.0 million, much of this representing a reversal of previously recorded impairments. Additionally, we recorded a gain of \$5.0 million on a bond still held in our portfolio at December 31, 2011, as a result of cash received on partial bond redemption which was greater than the bond's total amortized cost.

For the year ended December 31, 2012, net losses on early extinguishment of liabilities were \$1.8 million as compared to net gains of \$0.9 million during 2011. During 2012, we recorded losses of \$2.0 million in the fourth quarter associated with the replacement of our securitization debt that had credit enhancement and liquidity facilities expiring on March 31, 2013 as well as losses of \$0.3 million associated with the early termination of five securitization trusts. These losses were partially offset by gains of \$0.5 million on repurchases of mandatorily redeemable preferred shares. During 2011, we recorded gains of \$1.2 million on repurchases of mandatorily redeemable preferred shares, partially offset by losses of \$0.3 million associated with the early termination of nine securitization trusts.

For the year ended December 31, 2012, gains on loans were \$0.3 million as compared to losses of \$0.8 million during 2011. The \$0.3 million of gains recorded during 2012 related to cash proceeds received on loans which had no recorded carrying value. For the year ended December 31, 2011, we recorded lower of cost or market (“**LOCOM**”) losses of \$1.0 million, partially offset by \$0.2 million of recoveries.

Net losses on derivatives reflect mark-to-market adjustments for unrealized gains and losses on derivative positions in order to reflect our derivatives at fair value at each period end. In addition to the fair value adjustments, these amounts also include net interest accrued during the period on interest rate swaps and total return swaps, as well as gains or losses at sale or termination. Net losses on derivatives declined by \$7.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to 2011. The majority of this decline (\$4.2 million) was due to a smaller decline in interest rates in 2012 as compared to 2011. More specifically, we recognized unrealized losses of \$3.3 million during 2011 as compared to unrealized gains of \$0.9 million during 2012. Net interest paid on our swaps declined by \$1.4 million year over year, primarily due to the termination of derivative agreements. We also recognized \$1.8 million in gains during 2012 related to terminated derivatives as compared to no termination activity during 2011.

Net Gains Due to Real Estate Consolidation and Foreclosure

The following table summarizes our net gains due to real estate consolidation and foreclosure for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011:

Table 8

(in thousands)	2012	2011
Net gains due to real estate consolidation and foreclosure	\$5,404	\$13,329

Net gains due to real estate consolidation and foreclosure were \$28.0 million during 2011 (\$14.7 million of which is included in discontinued operations see Tables 11 and 12 below). The gains recorded in 2011 were primarily due to seven properties that were consolidated by a non-profit entity on October 1, 2011 (the non-profit entity is consolidated by the Company). Upon consolidation, our bond interests were eliminated against the corresponding mortgage payable of each property. At the time of consolidation, the UPB of these bonds was \$92.6 million and the cumulative impairments and unrealized gains associated with these bonds were \$28.0 million and \$28.0 million, respectively. Upon consolidation, the bonds were eliminated from our consolidated balance sheet and thus the unrealized gains which were recorded within AOCI were transferred out of equity into the consolidated statement of operations. This increased our net income attributable to the common shareholders by \$28.0 million, but caused a \$28.0 million decline in other comprehensive income, thereby having no impact on overall equity.

During 2012, net gains due to real estate consolidation and foreclosure were \$5.4 million. On April 30, 2012, the non-profit entity referred to above was assigned the GP interest in a property for which the Company provided debt financing. On April 30, 2012, we estimated the fair value of our bond interest to be \$12.5 million, of which \$2.0 million was recorded as an increase to AOCI at the date of consolidation. The UPB of our bond interest was \$12.8 million and cumulative impairments and cumulative unrealized gains were \$2.9 million and \$2.6 million, respectively (including the \$2.0 million increase discussed above), at the date of consolidation. Upon assignment of the GP interest, the property was consolidated and our bonds were eliminated against the corresponding mortgage payable of the property. As a result, the unrealized gains of \$2.6 million which were recorded within AOCI were transferred out of equity into the consolidated statement of operations.

On August 8, 2012, the non-profit entity was assigned the GP interest in three properties for which the Company provided debt financing. On August 8, 2012, we estimated the fair value of our bond interests to be \$19.0 million, of which \$1.0 million was recorded as an increase to AOCI at the date of consolidation. The UPB of our bond interests was \$27.0 million and cumulative impairments and cumulative unrealized gains were \$9.8 million and \$1.8 million, respectively (including the \$1.0 million increase discussed above), at the date of consolidation. Upon assignment of the GP interest, the properties were consolidated and our bond interests were eliminated against the corresponding mortgage payables of the properties. As a result, the unrealized gains of \$1.8 million which were recorded within AOCI were transferred into the consolidated statement of operations.

Also on August 8, 2012, the Company acquired three parcels of land serving as collateral to two bonds. On August 8, 2012, the carrying value of the Company's bonds was \$2.6 million, with a UPB of \$5.9 million, cumulative impairments of \$4.3 million previously recognized through the consolidated statement of operations and cumulative unrealized gains of \$1.0 million previously reported through AOCI. As a result of the Company's converting its bond investments to investments in real estate, the Company recognized unrealized gains of \$1.0 million through the consolidated statement of operations which had a corresponding decrease in AOCI and, therefore, no impact on overall common shareholders' equity.

Net Income Allocable to the Common Shareholders Related to CFVs

The table below summarizes our loss related to funds and ventures that are consolidated for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011:

Table 9 (in thousands)	2012	2011
Revenue:		
Rental and other income from real estate	\$18,115	\$4,683
Interest and other income	6,969	2,292
Total revenue	25,084	6,975
Expenses:		
Depreciation and amortization	9,014	5,016
Interest expense	1,745	866
Other operating expenses	17,281	7,852
Asset impairments	13,319	18,109
Total expenses	41,359	31,843
Net gains (losses) related to CFVs:		
Unrealized gains on investments	13,143	11,369
Derivative (losses) gains	(532)	1,323
Net loss on sale of properties	(170)	(451)
Equity in losses from Lower Tier Property Partnerships of CFVs	(39,391)	(35,751)
Net loss	(43,225)	(48,378)
Net losses allocable to noncontrolling interests from CFVs	49,722	55,867
Net income allocable to the common shareholders	\$6,497	\$7,489

The details of Net income allocable to the common shareholders for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

Table 10 (in thousands)	2012	2011
Interest income	\$5,213	\$1,465
Asset management fees	5,459	7,532
Guarantee fees	1,383	1,374
Equity in losses from Lower Tier Property Partnerships	(4,312)	(2,770)
Equity in income from SA Fund	336	200
Other expense	(1,582)	(312)
Net income allocable to the common shareholders	\$6,497	\$7,489

The Company's interest income, asset management fees and guarantee fees are eliminated in consolidation, but allocated to the Company due to the Company's contractual right to this income. Interest income is primarily related to bonds that were eliminated when we consolidated the properties that collateralize the bonds. Asset management fees are from managing the SA Fund and LIHTC Funds. Guarantee fees are related to certain LIHTC Funds where the Company has guaranteed the investors' yield. Equity in losses from Lower Tier Property Partnerships are losses that the Company records in the event that a LIHTC Fund's investment in a Lower Tier Property Partnership has been reduced to zero, but because the Company has a bond or loan interest in the property, the Company will continue to record losses from the property to the extent of the bond or loan carrying amount. Equity in income from SA Fund is our share of the SA Fund's net income based on our 2.7% equity interest in the SA Fund.

Net income allocable to the common shareholders related to CFVs decreased \$1.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to 2011 mainly due to declines in asset management fees, equity in losses from the Lower Tier Property Partnerships and other expenses all of which were largely offset by increases in interest income.

Asset management fees declined by \$2.1 million, of which \$2.7 million related to a decline in fees (asset management fees as well as expense reimbursements) from the SA Fund. Asset management fees from the SA Fund declined by \$1.9 million as the payment structure transitioned from fees based on committed capital to fees based on invested capital. Reimbursement revenue for services provided to the SA Fund, the cost of which is included in salaries and benefits and general and administrative expense, also declined by \$0.8 million. The decline in SA Fund related fees was partially offset by a \$0.6 million increase in asset management fees related to our Tax Credit Equity Funds. Equity in losses from Lower Tier Property Partnerships also increased \$1.5 million year over year. Other expense increased \$1.3 million as the result of impairment on a loan made from a LIHTC Fund to a Lower Tier Property Partnership. These losses were partially offset by an increase in interest income. Interest income increased \$3.4 million due to bond interest income that is now recorded as an allocation of income because certain bonds were eliminated upon consolidation of the properties serving as collateral for those bonds (see Table 2 discussion above).

Net Income (Loss) to Common Shareholders from Discontinued Operations

The table below summarizes our net income (loss) from discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011:

Table 11

(in thousands)	2012	2011
Sublease income	\$1,476	\$1,476
Other income	333	340
Income from CFVs (primarily rental income)	6,163	1,597
Income from REO operations	57	916
Rent expense	(1,476)	(1,476)
Other expenses	126	250
Expenses from CFVs (primarily operating expenses)	(9,477)	(1,599)
Net gains due to real estate consolidation	–	14,663
(Loss) Income from operations	(2,798)	16,167
Disposal:		
Net gains on sale of real estate	–	3,512
Net gains related to CFVs	5,180	–
Net income from discontinued operations	2,382	19,679
Loss from discontinued operations allocable to noncontrolling interests	973	208
Net income to common shareholders from discontinued operations	\$3,355	\$19,887

The details of net income to common shareholders from discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

Table 12

(in thousands)	2012	2011
Interest income	\$1,034	\$266
Income from REO operations	57	916
Other income	497	590
Guarantee expense	(1,554)	(60)
Net gains on bonds	3,321	–
Net gains due to real estate consolidation	–	14,663
Net gains on sale of real estate	–	3,512
Net income to common shareholders from discontinued operations	\$3,355	\$19,887

At September 30, 2012, a non-profit entity that the Company consolidates classified two of its multifamily property partnerships acquired in fourth quarter 2011 as held-for-sale and determined that the sales qualified for discontinued operations reporting. The Company provided bond financing to these multifamily properties that were previously

eliminated and the Company has guaranteed the principal and timely interest payments of certain debt owed by these real estate property partnerships to a third party debt holder. In December 2012, one of the properties was sold for a net gain, and, at December 31, 2012, the other property continues to be classified as held-for-sale. The most significant change in the activity reported through discontinued operations is related to these two real estate property partnerships.

Net income to common shareholders from discontinued operations decreased \$16.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to 2011. The majority of this decline (\$14.7 million) was a result of net gains recognized during 2011 associated with the consolidation of the two multifamily partnerships discussed above (refer to Table 8 above for further details). During 2012, the Company's guarantee exposure on the real estate property partnerships' debt discussed above increased \$1.5 million; however, this is partially offset by a \$0.8 million increase in interest income received from these partnerships during 2012 related to the Company's bonds, which is recorded as an allocation of income subsequent to the consolidation of the partnerships.

In December 2012, the sale of the property referenced above resulted in a \$12.0 million gain on bonds. As part of the initial consolidation of this property, during the fourth quarter 2011, \$8.7 million of previously unrealized gains associated with our bond were recognized (included in the \$14.7 million referenced above). As a result, the overall impact of the sale transaction to the Company was a net gain of \$3.3 million. During 2011, we recognized net gains on sale of real estate of \$3.5 million and net operating income of \$0.9 million associated with a senior living facility classified as real estate owned which was disposed of in the fourth quarter 2011.

Bond Portfolio Summary

The table below provides key metrics related to all of our bonds including those bonds that have been eliminated due to consolidation accounting (“**All Bonds**”). Because as a legal matter we own the bonds that have been eliminated in consolidation, the asset management of our bond portfolio includes the asset management of these eliminated bonds. The table below reflects the portfolio from an asset management perspective. See “Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – Note 17, Consolidated Funds and Ventures”. At December 31, 2012, all of the properties securing the Company’s multifamily rental housing bonds have completed construction and lease up with no future funding required from the Company, and have sufficient operating information to calculate a rolling 12-month debt service coverage ratio and thus are considered stabilized.

(dollars in thousands)	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011 ⁽¹⁾	December 31, 2010 ⁽¹⁾		
Total Bond Portfolio:					
Total number of bonds	139	147	167		
Total number of properties	112	118	134		
UPB ⁽²⁾	\$ 1,108,209	\$ 1,183,165	\$ 1,357,789		
Fair value ⁽²⁾	1,094,475	1,129,311	1,239,955		
Fair value to UPB %	98.8	% 95.4	% 91.3	%	%
Weighted average pay rate, for the twelve months ended ⁽³⁾	5.81	% ⁽⁴⁾ 5.97	% 5.84	%	%
Weighted average coupon, for the twelve months ended ⁽⁵⁾	6.45	% 6.46	% 6.47	%	%
Total Bonds 30+ days past due:					
Total number of bonds	19	15	15		
Total number of properties	15	14	13		
UPB	\$ 151,506	\$ 113,409	\$ 151,442		
Percentage of total portfolio (UPB)	13.7	% 9.6	% 11.2	%	%
Fair value	\$ 123,801	\$ 86,269	\$ 100,811		
Percentage of total portfolio (FV)	11.3	% 7.6	% 8.1	%	%
Weighted average pay rate, for the twelve months ended ⁽³⁾	3.73	% 3.23	% 1.95	%	%
Weighted average coupon, for the twelve months ended ⁽⁵⁾	7.25	% 6.80	% 6.80	%	%
Multifamily Housing Bonds (Affordable Bond Portfolio):					
Total number of bonds	131	137	150		
Total number of properties	109	114	127		
UPB	\$ 1,022,096	\$ 1,079,931	\$ 1,186,290		
Percentage of total portfolio (UPB)	92.2	% 91.3	% 87.4	%	%
Fair value	\$ 1,009,238	\$ 1,033,503	\$ 1,099,255		
Number of bonds 30+ days past due	15	15	12		
Number of properties 30+ days past due	14	14	12		
UPB of bonds 30+ days past due	\$ 121,681	\$ 113,399	\$ 125,347		
Percentage of multifamily bonds 30+ days past due (UPB)	11.9	% 10.5	% 10.6	%	%

Edgar Filing: MUNICIPAL MORTGAGE & EQUITY LLC - Form 10-K

Fair value of bonds 30+ days past due	\$ 95,536		\$ 86,269		\$ 92,200	
Debt service coverage ratio, for the twelve months ended ⁽⁶⁾	1.11	x	1.08	x	\$ 1.07	x
Debt service coverage ratio excluding 30+ days past due, for the twelve months ended ⁽⁶⁾	1.19	x	1.15	x	1.14	x

⁽¹⁾ *The information for December 31, 2011 and 2010 has been revised to reflect All Bonds. In the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, this information was based on Reported Bonds.*

⁽²⁾ *Included in these amounts are 18 bonds which the Company eliminated as a result of consolidation accounting. At December 31, 2012, these 18 bonds had an UPB of \$123.9 million and a fair value of \$125.1 million, including \$10.4 million of net unrealized mark to market gains occurring after consolidation that have not been reflected in the Company's common equity given that the Company is required to consolidate and account for the real estate, which prohibits an increase in fair value from its original cost basis until the real estate is sold. See "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – Note 17, Consolidated Funds and Ventures" for more information.*

⁽³⁾ *The weighted average pay rate represents the cash interest payments collected on the bonds as a percentage of the bonds' average UPB for the preceding twelve months weighted by the bonds' average UPB over the period.*

⁽⁴⁾ *The weighted average pay rate for the year ended December 31, 2012 does not include \$6.8 million of deferred interest collected in connection with a subordinate bond redemption during the fourth quarter of 2012. This adjustment is intended to facilitate disclosure of recurring capacity of the underlying properties to pay debt service over time.*

⁽⁵⁾ *The weighted average coupon represents the contractual interest rate due on the bonds for the preceding twelve months weighted by the bonds' average principal balance over the period.*

⁽⁶⁾ *Debt service coverage is calculated on a rolling twelve-month basis for the stabilized portion of the multifamily housing portfolio using property level information as of the prior quarter-end for bonds still held in the portfolio at December 31, 2012.*

Summary Valuation Results

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we recorded net unrealized gains of \$34.3 million on our bond portfolio excluding bonds eliminated due to consolidation accounting (“**Reported Bonds**”) through other comprehensive income largely due to a 38 bps decrease in market yields on performing bonds still held in the portfolio at December 31, 2012. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we recorded net unrealized gains of \$33.0 million on our Reported Bonds through other comprehensive income largely due to a 47 bps decrease in market yields on our performing bonds still held in the portfolio at December 31, 2011. As discussed below, a decline in market yields will generally result in an increase in bond values for the performing bonds, subject to additional considerations.

Determination of Fair Value

The Company carries its Reported Bonds on a fair value basis at the end of each reporting period. Substantially all of the Company's bonds are not traded on an established exchange nor is there an active private market for our bonds; therefore, substantially all of our bonds are illiquid. This lack of liquidity inherently requires the Company's management to apply a higher degree of judgment in determining the fair value of its bonds than would be required if there were a sufficient volume of trades of comparable bonds in the market place. For most of our performing bonds (i.e., bonds that are current in their payment of principal and interest), we estimate fair value using a discounted cash flow methodology; specifically, the Company discounts contractual principal and interest payments, adjusted for expected prepayments. The discount rate for each bond is based on expected investor yield requirements adjusted for bond attributes such as the expected term of the bond, debt service coverage, geographic location and bond size. The Company routinely validates its performing bond valuation model by comparing actual bond sale prices to the bond model valuation. The weighted average discount rate (i.e., market yield) on the performing bond portfolio was 6.29% and 6.70% at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

For bonds that are past due in either principal or interest and for certain currently performing bonds where payment of full principal and interest is uncertain, the Company's valuations are based on an estimate of the collateral value which is derived from a number of sources, including an internally prepared estimate derived by discounting the property's expected cash flows and residual proceeds using estimated market discount and capitalization rates, less estimated selling costs. The discount rate for the bonds that are past due in either principal or interest averaged 8.3% and 9.3% at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The capitalization rate averaged 7.0% and 7.9% at December 31, 2012

and 2011, respectively. However, to the extent available, the Company may estimate fair value based on a sale agreement, a letter of intent to purchase, an appraisal or a broker opinion of value.

The lack of liquidity in the bond markets in which the Company transacts, coupled with the significant judgments that are inherent in our valuation methodologies, results in a risk that if the Company needed to sell bonds, the price it is able to realize may be lower than the carrying value (i.e., the fair value) of such bonds.

Management Monitoring of Portfolio Performance and Credit Quality

Management performs on-going reviews of all of its bonds to assess and enhance the portfolio performance. Each bond is assigned to portfolio and asset managers who are responsible for monitoring and evaluating property and borrower performance. Bonds are risk-rated on key elements such as payment status, debt service coverage, compliance with tenant income restrictions, physical condition, market conditions, and developer and property management performance. Bonds that are placed on the Company's internal watch-list are subject to more intense portfolio manager and senior management oversight.

Portfolio Credit Quality

As indicated in the table above, 92.2% of All Bonds (based on UPB) finance multifamily rental properties, of which the vast majority are affordable rental properties ("**Affordable Bond Portfolio**" - affordable meaning rental units with tenant income restrictions that may also have rent restrictions and government subsidies). Beginning in early 2010 and continuing through 2012, there has been a general improvement in the apartment (rental) market as a result of the improved US economy and the decrease in homeownership across the US as households either cannot access mortgage credit or have determined renting to be a better option. We believe our Affordable Bond Portfolio's performance bottomed out in 2010 and has improved through 2012, similar to the apartment market.

For our Affordable Bond Portfolio, we have seen modest but steady increases in debt service coverage and occupancy as well as stabilization in the number of watch-listed assets over multiple quarters through 2012. Even though we have seen an overall improvement in the performance of the rental properties serving as collateral to our bonds, near the end of 2012 we started to see an increase in the number of defaulted bonds due specifically to property developers or tax credit syndicators being unable or unwilling to fund operating deficits. In our Affordable Bond Portfolio, at December 31, 2012, there were 20 performing mortgage revenue bonds with an UPB of \$109.8 million that were operating with debt service coverage less than 0.9x. Through December 31, 2012, the debt service on these bonds has been supported by a combination of the property developers or tax credit syndicators. Even if these bonds become 30 days or more past due because the property developers or tax credit syndicators stop supporting debt service, we would still expect to receive a substantial portion of the required debt service each month from property cash flows. For example, during 2012, the Company collected the full contractual principal and interest payments on these 20 bonds, of which 75% was funded by the rental properties and the remaining 25% was funded by a combination of developers and syndicators.

The Company also has a small portfolio of bonds that finance infrastructure improvements for large residential or commercial development projects (5.3% of All Bonds). These bonds are commonly referred to as Community Development District (CDD) bonds in Florida or Community Development Authority (CDA) bonds in other states. The payment of debt service, and the ultimate repayment of the Company's financing, generally rely upon the ability of the development, as improved, to generate tax revenues or special assessments. The collapse of the for-sale housing market beginning in 2006, and the sharp decline in the commercial market shortly thereafter, have put stress on this portfolio. As such, in 2010 and 2011 we actively sold much of this portfolio and at December 31, 2012, this portfolio consisted of only two developments financed by six bond instruments with an UPB of \$59.0 million and a carrying value (i.e., fair value) of \$58.2 million. At December 31, 2012, one development, financed by four bonds, was 30 days or more past due. The Company's prospects for recovery are closely tied to its ability to structure effective workouts, the ability of other parties to the transaction to pay the assessments, and cyclical improvement in residential and commercial markets.

Geographic Concentration

The Company also tracks the geographic distribution of its Affordable Bond Portfolio and at December 31, 2012, approximately 99.4% of the portfolio's UPB was dispersed among 52 Metropolitan Statistical Areas ("MSA"). Approximately 0.6% of the portfolio's UPB is not within an MSA. Approximately 44.7% of the portfolio's UPB is concentrated in six metropolitan regions (Atlanta MSA, Austin MSA, Dallas and Fort Worth MSAs, Houston MSA, Los Angeles MSA and San Antonio MSA) ranging from 4.6% to 11.8 % of the total affordable portfolio. The highest concentration of 11.8% is in the Atlanta MSA. This concentration is significant because Atlanta's apartment market has been weak, the overall performance of our affordable portfolio there has stabilized but at low levels and the bonds that are 30 days or more past due in the Atlanta MSA make up a disproportionate share, at 36.8%, of the total affordable bonds that are 30 days or more past due.

Subordinate Bonds

There are 11 subordinate bonds within the Affordable Bond Portfolio. The debt service on these subordinate bonds is paid only after payment is made on senior obligations that have a priority right to the cash flow of the underlying collateral. At December 31, 2012, the Company owned all of the related senior bonds as well. The Company's subordinate bonds had a fair value of \$52.6 million and an UPB of \$50.6 million at December 31, 2012.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

During 2012 and first quarter 2013, the Company completed several transactions that improved our liquidity position and addressed our debt rollover risk. These transactions are summarized as follows:

During the first quarter of 2012, the Company entered into a modification agreement whereby \$11.5 million of debt callable by the creditor on demand and subject to a forbearance agreement was modified which resulted in a new maturity date of January 2015.

During the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company entered into an agreement to repurchase \$22.7 million of subordinated debt for \$6.8 million. As part of this agreement, the interest pay rate on this debt of 75 bps per annum was extended into 2015. As a result of the reduced pay rate, \$26.8 million of foregone interest was added to the principal balance. The Company expects to have the ability to pay the increased interest expense when the interest rate concessions expire in 2015. See “Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements - Note 7, Debt” for further information.

During the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company entered into an exchange and modification agreement whereby \$24.6 million of senior debt issued by MMA Realty Capital LLC (an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of the Company) that had come due and was subject to forbearance agreements was exchanged for an equal amount of debt issued by MuniMae Holdings II, LLC (“MMHII”), another indirect wholly owned subsidiary of the Company. The newly modified debt fully amortizes by December 2019 at a fixed interest rate of 10%, an increase from the previous interest rate of 7.5%. See “Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements - Note 7, Debt” for further information.

During the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company closed on a new bond securitization facility that generated \$540.1 million of proceeds which, along with cash of \$3.1 million from the Company, replaced all of the Company’s securitization debt that had credit enhancement and liquidity facilities expiring on March 31, 2013. The Class A certificates issued pursuant to the new facility are subject to a mandatory remarketing on December 1, 2016. See “Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements - Note 7, Debt” for further information.

On February 5, 2013, TEB issued 37 Series A-5 cumulative mandatorily redeemable preferred shares (“Series A-5 Preferred Shares”) due in 2028 with a liquidation preference of \$2.0 million per share and an annual distribution rate of 5.0% generating net proceeds of \$73.3 million. The net proceeds from the sale of the Series A-5 Preferred Shares were used to redeem all of the outstanding Series A cumulative mandatorily redeemable preferred shares, Series A-3 perpetual preferred shares and Series C perpetual preferred shares and to redeem one Series D perpetual preferred share. The redeemed and retired shares totaled \$71.4 million (liquidation preference) and had a weighted average annual distribution rate of 7.9% as of December 31, 2012. See “Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – Note 7, Debt” and Note 12, “Equity” for further information.

Effective February 7, 2013, TEB completed a successful remarketing of the Series B cumulative mandatorily redeemable preferred shares (\$47 million of principal) which resulted in a decrease in the annual distribution rate from 7.32% to 5.75%. See “Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements - Note 7, Debt” for further information.

In addition to the transactions outlined above, during 2012 and the first quarter 2013 the Company made progress against specific forbearance release terms stipulated between it and a Counterparty. See “Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements - Note 6, Derivative Financial Instruments” for further information. We expect to satisfy these release terms by June 30, 2013.

Sources of Liquidity

Our principal sources of liquidity include: (1) cash and cash equivalents; (2) cash flows from operating activities; and (3) cash flow from investing activities (including sales of bonds and loans, principal payments from bonds and loans and distributions from equity investments); and (4) cash flow from financing activities.

Summary of Cash Flows

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, we had cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$50.9 million and \$42.1 million, respectively. Because we consolidate certain funds and ventures in which we have no (or nominal) equity interest, we include the cash flow activities for those funds and ventures as well. As reflected on our Consolidated Balance Sheets, the cash held by these CFVs are reported in restricted cash, outside of the Company’s cash and cash equivalents given that the Company does not have legal title to this cash. Therefore, the table below (consistent with our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows) reflects the net increase in the Company’s cash and cash equivalents; however, the individual operating, investing and financing activities include cash flow activity for both MuniMae as well as the CFVs. The following table summarizes the changes in cash and cash equivalents balances during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Edgar Filing: MUNICIPAL MORTGAGE & EQUITY LLC - Form 10-K

(in thousands)	For the years ended	
	December 31,	
	2012	2011
Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	\$42,116	\$32,544
Net cash provided by (used in):		
Operating activities	4,947	14,400
Investing activities	24,053	79,045
Financing activities	(20,259)	(83,873)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	8,741	9,572
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$50,857	\$42,116

At December 31, 2012 and 2011 our cash and cash equivalents included TEB's cash and cash equivalents of \$41.6 million and \$24.7 million, respectively; however, distributions of this cash from TEB to the Company are subject to the limitations set forth in TEB's operating agreement. Cash distributions received by the Company from TEB are further restricted by a forbearance agreement between the Company and a Counterparty. For the year ended December 31, 2012, TEB generated \$43.4 million of cash flows from operating activities. TEB's investing activities also provided cash flows of \$65.6 million and TEB used cash of \$92.1 million in its financing activities which included distributions to the Company of \$47.9 million. The Company used its distributions from TEB and its other sources of cash flow to fund operating activities, buy back a portion of its subordinated debentures, retire a portion of TEB's perpetual and mandatorily redeemable preferred shares and repay other debt obligations. We believe we have sufficient liquidity to meet our obligations as they become due.

Operating activities

Cash flows provided by operating activities were \$4.9 million and \$14.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The \$9.5 million decrease in cash provided by operating activities was primarily due to:

\$10.5 million in interest rate swap termination payments in 2012, a decrease in asset management fees of \$4.2 million, a decrease in principal payments and sales proceeds received on loans held-for-sale of \$4.5 million, a decrease in tax refunds of \$2.7 million and a \$1.4 million decline in net interest income; partially offset by:

a decrease in operating expenses paid of \$5.4 million, a \$7.5 million net increase of the SA Fund's operating cash flows and a \$1.1 million net increase in the LIHTC Funds' operating cash flows.

Investing activities

Cash flows provided by investing activities were \$24.1 million and \$79.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The \$54.9 million decrease in cash provided by investing activities was primarily due to:

a \$38.9 million decrease in principal payments and sales and redemption proceeds received on bonds, a \$16.1 million decrease in principal payments received on loans held for investment, a \$11.1 million decrease in the proceeds received on sale of businesses, an increase of \$10.9 million in investments made by CFVs in property partnerships, and an increase in restricted cash of \$16.3 million; partially offset by:

an \$18.2 million increase in proceeds received by a consolidated fund and venture on the sale of its real estate (\$21.3 million of real estate sales proceeds were distributed to MuniMae during 2012), an increase of \$7.9 million in capital distributions received by CFVs from their investments in partnerships, an increase in proceeds from the redemption of preferred stock of \$5.0 million, a \$3.8 million decrease in advances on and purchases of bonds, and a \$3.6 million decrease in advances on and originations of loans held for investment.

Financing activities

Cash flows used in financing activities were \$20.3 million and \$83.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The \$63.6 million decrease in cash used in financing activities was primarily due to:

a \$581.1 million increase in proceeds from borrowing activity, a \$34.3 million decrease in repurchases and retirements of preferred shares, and a \$3.5 million decrease in distributions to holders of non controlling interests; partially offset by:

a \$515.8 million increase in repayments of borrowings, a \$35.5 million decrease in contributions from holders of non controlling interests, and a \$4.2 million increase in debt issue costs.

Debt

Our primary debt related to our bond investing activities consists of senior interests in and debt owed to securitization trusts and mandatorily redeemable preferred shares, while subordinate debt is the primary debt related to non-bond activities. We also report on our consolidated balance sheets debt related to CFVs that is discussed separately below in “Debt Related to CFVs.”

The following table summarizes the outstanding balances and weighted-average interest rates at December 31, 2012. See “Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – Note 7, Debt” for more information on our debt.

(dollars in thousands)	December 31, 2012	Weighted-Average Interest Rate at December 31, 2012	
ASSET RELATED DEBT ⁽¹⁾			
Senior interests in and debt owed to securitization trusts ⁽²⁾	\$ 589,592	2.1	%
Mandatorily redeemable preferred shares ⁽³⁾	88,720	7.4	
Notes payable and other debt – bond related ⁽⁴⁾	57,729	5.0	
Notes payable and other debt – non-bond related ⁽⁵⁾	25,907	9.9	
Total asset related debt	761,948	3.2	
OTHER DEBT ⁽¹⁾			
Subordinate debentures ⁽⁶⁾	194,500	6.9	
Notes payable and other debt	31,078	8.8	
Total other debt	225,578	7.2	
DEBT RELATED TO CFVs	55,433	3.5	
Total debt	\$ 1,042,959	4.1	

Asset related debt is debt which finances interest-bearing assets and the interest expense from this debt is included in Net interest income on the consolidated statements of operations. Other debt is debt which does not finance interest-bearing assets and the interest expense from this debt is included in Interest expense under Operating and other expenses on the consolidated statements of operations.

The Company incurred on-going fees related to credit enhancement, liquidity, custodian, trustee and remarketing as well as upfront debt issuance costs. These additional fees brought the weighted average interest rate to 2.2% at December 31, 2012.

⁽³⁾Included in mandatorily redeemable preferred shares were unamortized discounts of \$2.7 million at December 31, 2012. At December 31, 2012, the weighted average distribution rate was 7.4%. Subsequent to the Series A-5 Preferred Shares offering and the Series B Preferred Shares remarketing in the first quarter 2013, the weighted

average distribution rate was 5.3%.

(4) Included in notes payable and other debt were unamortized discounts of \$1.7 million at December 31, 2012.

(5) This amount included \$5.4 million of debt that has come due and remains payable; however, the Company has a forbearance agreement with the lender such that it is not pursuing any remedies.

(6) Included in the subordinate debt balance were \$7.1 million of net premiums at December 31, 2012. Even though we only paid 75 bps in interest expense on \$157.4 million of subordinate debt, we recorded interest expense on an effective yield basis. Therefore, the foregone interest which at restructuring was added to the legal amount due amortizes into the carrying value of debt over time.

Senior interests in and debt owed to securitization trusts

The Company securitizes bonds through several programs and under each program the Company transfers bonds into a trust, receives cash proceeds from the sales of the senior interests and retains the residual interests. Substantially all of the senior interests are variable rate debt. The residual interests the Company retains are subordinated securities entitled to the net cash flow of each trust after the payment of trust expenses and interest on the senior certificates.

On December 6, 2012, TEB closed on a new bond financing facility (“**New Bond Securitization Facility**”) that generated \$540.1 million of proceeds which, along with cash of \$3.1 million from the Company, replaced all of the Company’s securitization debt that had credit enhancement and liquidity facilities expiring on March 31, 2013. The Class A certificates issued pursuant to the new facility are subject to a mandatory remarketing on December 1, 2016.

In connection with the transaction, TEB placed bonds and instruments representing interest in bonds with an aggregate UPB and fair value at September 30, 2012 of \$887.7 million and \$875.3 million, respectively, into a borrowing entity, TEB Credit Enhancer, LLC (“**TEB Credit Enhancer**”), a wholly owned subsidiary of TEB. TEB Credit Enhancer sold custodial receipts representing investments in \$543.4 million of certain bonds into 63 trusts (“**Trust Bonds**”). The trusts then issued \$540.1 million of Class A certificates, which are classified as debt on the Company’s consolidated balance sheet and which TEB Credit Enhancer can cause to be tendered in whole or in part at any time. Additionally, the trusts issued \$3.3 million of Class B residual certificates which were retained by TEB Credit Enhancer. As owner of the residual certificates, TEB Credit Enhancer expects to receive all net interest income from the Trust Bonds after payment of the amounts due and payable on the Class A certificates and recurring fees.

The Class A certificates bear a floating rate of interest at SIFMA plus 200 bps, reset weekly, subject to a maximum rate for each Class A certificate (a Class A certificate reaches its maximum rate in situations where it takes 100% of the pay rate on the related tax-exempt Trust Bond to service the debt rate on the Class A certificate). The resetting of the interest rate on any Class A certificate above its maximum rate is a mandatory tender event that requires TEB to purchase and cancel Class A certificates. Alternatively, TEB Credit Enhancer may exercise its optional right to purchase Class A certificates and convert them to Class B certificates in order to mitigate a maximum rate event.

TEB Credit Enhancer issued a credit facility supporting payments on the Trust Bonds. To secure such credit facility, TEB Credit Enhancer pledged the bonds that are not Trust Bonds and various other collateral consisting of substantially all of TEB Credit Enhancer's assets. The facility is subject to, among others, the following provisions: (i) a collateral ratio of at least 144% of the Class A certificates outstanding must be maintained, (ii) the percentage of bonds in payment default must remain under certain thresholds and (iii) the Class A Certificates must be remarketed in 2016. If (i) above is not maintained all cash flow from all the bonds will be held back and not distributed to the Company until the collateral ratio is restored whereas if (ii) or (iii) above are not met, all cash flow derived from all bonds that are not Trust Bonds will be held back and not distributed to the Company until compliance is restored. Additionally, in the event that a Trust Bond fails to pay its full debt service, interest income from certain of the bonds that are not Trust Bonds shall be used to pay the deficiency and an equal amount is required to be retained as collateral and not distributed to TEB Credit Enhancer until such bond default is cured or the associated Class A certificates are redeemed. In the event a bond that is not a Trust Bond fails to pay its full debt service, interest income from the bonds which are not Trust Bonds will be held back from TEB Credit Enhancer in the amount of the shortfall until such bond either pays its shortfall or is replaced by another bond.

In conjunction with the New Bond Securitization Facility, the Company incurred closing costs of \$4.3 million, consisting primarily of a structuring and placement fee and legal fees of which \$4.3 million were classified as debt issuance costs and reported through Other assets on the consolidated balance sheet. These costs will be amortized on an effective yield basis over the life of the debt. The Company recorded a loss on extinguishment of liabilities of \$2.0 million mainly due to the acceleration of unamortized debt issue costs related to the prior securitization facility. See "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – Note 7, Debt."

Mandatorily redeemable preferred shares

At December 31, 2012, TEB had \$91.4 million (principal amount) of mandatorily redeemable preferred shares outstanding with an average distribution rate of 7.4%. In the first quarter of 2013, TEB completed a successful remarketing of \$47 million (principal) mandatorily redeemable preferred shares which resulted in a decrease of the annual interest rate on these shares from 7.32% to 5.75% effective February 7, 2013. Additionally, through a series of transactions, completed in the first quarter of 2013 as discussed in "Liquidity and Capital Resources", at March 25, 2013, TEB had \$121.0 million (principal amount) of mandatorily redeemable preferred shares outstanding with an average distribution rate of 5.3% which are subject to remarketing in 2018 and 2019. These shares have quarterly distributions that are payable (based on the stated distribution rate) to the extent of TEB's net income. For this purpose, net income is defined as TEB's taxable income, as determined in accordance with the United States Internal Revenue

Code, plus any income that is exempt from federal taxation, but excluding gains from the sale of assets.

On the remarketing date, the remarketing agent will seek to remarket the shares at the lowest distribution rate that would result in a resale of the cumulative perpetual preferred shares at a price equal to par plus all accrued but unpaid distributions, subject to a cap. If the remarketing agent is unable to successfully remarket these shares, distributions could increase or decrease.

See “Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements - Note 7, Debt” for further information

Notes payable and other debt

This debt is primarily related to secured borrowings collateralized primarily with the Company’s bond assets. In most cases, we have guaranteed the debt or are the direct borrower.

Subordinate debt

At December 31, 2012, the Company had \$187.4 million of subordinated debt (principal) on a consolidated basis with a carrying value of \$194.5 million and a weighted average effective interest rate of 6.9%.

At September 30, 2012, MMA Mortgage Investment Corporation (“**MMIC**”), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company was the borrower on \$30 million (principal) of this debt. During the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company entered into an exchange agreement with the holders of this debt whereby the \$30.0 million outstanding was exchanged for \$30.0 million subordinated debt issued by MMHII with \$22.0 million payable in equal quarterly installments beginning April 2013 through December 2033 and the remaining \$8.0 million payable with equal quarterly installments beginning April 2013 through December 2027, both at an 8.0% annual interest rate.

At December 31, 2012, MMA Financial Holdings, Inc. (“**MFH**”) was the borrower on \$157.4 million (principal) of this subordinate debt. During 2012, the Company repurchased \$42.7 million of this debt for a cash payment of \$11.8 million and the holders of this debt agreed to extend the interest pay rate concession of 75 bps per annum on the remaining balance to February, March and April 2015 with \$33.5 million of foregone interest added to the principal balance. After the interest payment dates in February, March and April 2015, the reduced interest rate will reset to the then 3 month LIBOR plus 3.3% for \$111.9 million and the greater of 9.5% or 6.0% plus the 10 year Treasury rate for the remaining \$45.5 million. See “Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements - Note 7, Debt” for further information.

Covenant compliance

At September 30, 2012, the Company had \$30.6 million of debt that had come due that was governed by forbearance agreements such that none of the lenders were pursuing remedies. During the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company entered into an exchange and modification agreement whereby \$24.6 million of senior debt issued by MMA Realty Capital LLC (wholly owned subsidiary of the Company) was exchanged for an equal amount of debt issued by MMHII, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company. The newly modified debt fully amortizes by December 2019 at a fixed interest rate of 10%, an increase from the previous interest rate of 7.5%. As stipulated under the modified terms, MMHII is required to make an interim principal payment of \$16.0 million by October 31, 2013. The interest rate of 10% increased to 12% effective January 31, 2013, and will remain at 12% until the Company makes the \$16.0 million principal payment in full, at which time the interest rate will revert to 10%. During 2013, the Company has paid \$6.8 million of the \$16.0 million principal payment. As a result of the exchange and modification agreement, at December 31, 2012, the Company had \$5.4 million of debt remaining that had come due that continues to be governed by a forbearance agreement such that the lender is not pursuing any remedies.

Letters of credit

The Company has letter of credit facilities, issued by third parties that are used as a means to pledge collateral to support Company obligations. At December 31, 2012, the Company had \$25.0 million in outstanding letters of credit posted as collateral on the Company’s behalf, of which of which \$3.0 million were retired in the first quarter of 2013, \$19.0 million will mature in 2014 and the remaining \$3.0 million will mature in 2015. Although we currently expect that we will be able to renew our expiring letters of credit at reduced amounts or otherwise extend their maturities, if we are unable to do so our liquidity and financial condition may be adversely affected.

Guarantees

The following table summarizes guarantees by type at December 31, 2012:

	2012	
(in thousands)	Maximum Exposure	Carrying Amount
Indemnification contracts ⁽¹⁾	\$26,178	\$ 1,531
Other financial/payment guarantees ⁽²⁾	376	34
	\$26,554	\$ 1,565

We have entered into indemnification contracts with investors in our LIHTC Funds, that effectively guarantee the expected investor yields, and we have guarantees related to specific property performance on a portion of the properties in certain LIHTC Funds. We had no cash payments under these indemnification agreements for the year ended December 31, 2012.

(2) Other guarantees include loss sharing agreements associated with the Company's investment in preferred stock.

Our maximum exposure under our guarantee obligations is not indicative of the likelihood of the expected loss under the guarantees. The carrying amount represents the amount of unamortized fees received related to these guarantees with no additional amounts recognized as management does not believe it is probable that it will have to make payments under these indemnifications. However, it is possible that one of the specific property performance guarantees could result in us having to pay up to \$1.5 million between now and 2016. In addition to the above guarantees, the Company has guaranteed the investor yields on certain LIHTC Funds that the Company owns and as a result of the Company being the primary beneficiary, the Company consolidates these funds. The maximum exposure under these guarantees is estimated to be \$659.7 million at December 31, 2012. The Company does not expect to have any payouts related to these guarantees as the funds are now meeting and are expected in the future to meet investor yield requirements.

Debt Related to CFVs

The creditors of CFVs do not have recourse to the assets or general credit of MuniMae. At December 31, 2012 the debt related to CFVs had the following terms:

(in thousands)	December 31, 2012		Weighted-average Interest Rates	Maturity Dates
	Carrying Amount	Face Amount		
SA Fund	\$49,352	\$ 49,352	2.6	% April 30, 2018
Other	6,081	7,289	10.4	Various dates through October 2021
Total debt	\$55,433	\$ 56,641		

SA Fund

The SA Fund has an agreement with the Overseas Private Investment Corporation, an agency of the US, to provide loan financing not to exceed \$80.0 million. The SA Fund has drawn a total of \$49.0 million of debt against this financing arrangement as of December 31, 2012, including \$37.0 million of draws which were made in 2012. This debt is an obligation of the SA Fund and there is no recourse to the Company.

This debt is denominated in US dollars; however, the SA Fund's functional currency is the South African rand. Therefore, the SA Fund is exposed to foreign currency risk. In order to hedge this risk, from an economic standpoint, the SA Fund has entered into certain foreign exchange derivative contracts. These derivative instruments are carried at fair value. The SA Fund did not designate these derivatives as accounting hedges and therefore, changes in fair value are recognized through the consolidated statement of operations. The change of value in the debt obligation due to currency fluctuation is also recognized through the consolidated statement of operations.

Other

Three Lower Tier Property Partnerships that are consolidated by the Company have debt owed to a third party totaling \$5.9 million for which the Company has guaranteed the principal and interest payments. At December 31, 2012, the Company's estimated loss exposure related to this debt is \$3.4 million.

Company Capital

Common Shares

Tax information is provided to our shareholders on Schedule K-1 rather than on Form 1099. Capital gains are allocated to shareholders on Schedule K-1 in accordance with the Internal Revenue Code (“**IRC**”). Capital gains result from the dispositions of the Company’s bonds through sales, redemptions or securitizations at values in excess of each individual shareholder’s tax basis in the underlying assets of the Company which is a function of the purchase price paid by a shareholder to acquire shares in the Company and the application of the Company’s IRC Section 754 election. The allocation of capital gains to shareholders is required without regard to whether the Company makes distribution payments to shareholders. Shareholders that acquired shares subsequent to the significant decline in the Company’s share price in January 2008 and who hold those shares through taxable brokerage accounts are the shareholders most affected by these capital gains. Between January 1, 2012 and December 31, 2012, the Company made dispositions that will produce an allocation of capital gains to shareholders for tax year 2012, particularly with respect to shares acquired since January 2008, as stated in our December 2012 Form 8-K, and these gains could be as high as \$2.70 per share.

As discussed more thoroughly in the Company’s previous disclosures - see “Capital Gains (Losses) Discussion and Examples” on the Company’s public website (<http://munimae.investorroom.com/index.php?s=63>), shareholders will benefit from an increase in the tax basis of their shares for these gains but the benefit of that basis increase is only realized upon sale of their shares at a subsequent date. Shareholders are advised to consult their tax professionals for advice on these matters. In addition, shareholders should consult their tax professionals for advice on the appropriateness of holding shares in the Company through a taxable account, as opposed to using a tax-deferred account such as an Individual Retirement Account.

Perpetual Preferred Shares

At December 31, 2012, TEB had \$159.0 million (principal) of perpetual preferred shares outstanding. During the first quarter of 2013, we redeemed all of the outstanding Series A-3 and Series C perpetual preferred shares for a total of \$25 million (principal) and we redeemed one Series D perpetual preferred share (\$2 million principal). As a result, at March 25, 2013, TEB had \$132.0 million (principal) of perpetual preferred shares outstanding with an average distribution rate of 5.4% which are subject to remarketing in 2014 through 2019 and are not redeemable prior to the remarketing dates. These shares have quarterly distributions which are payable at a stated distribution rate to the extent of TEB’s net income. For this purpose, net income is defined as TEB’s taxable income, as determined in accordance with the Code, plus any income that is exempt from federal taxation, but excluding gains from the sale of assets.

On the remarketing date, the remarketing agent will seek to remarket the shares at the lowest distribution rate that would result in a resale of the cumulative perpetual preferred shares at a price equal to par plus all accrued but unpaid distributions, subject to a cap. If the remarketing agent is unable to successfully remarket these shares, distributions could increase or decrease.

See “Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – Note 12, Equity.”

Dividend Policy

Our Board makes determinations regarding dividends based on management’s recommendation, which itself is based on an evaluation of a number of factors, including our common shareholders’ equity, business prospects and available cash. Our Board has not declared a dividend since the fourth quarter of 2007. In the future our Board will determine whether and in what amounts to declare dividends based on our earnings and cash flows, cash needs and any other factors our Board deems appropriate. It is unlikely that we will pay a dividend in the foreseeable future.

Item 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Not applicable.

Item 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The consolidated financial statements of MuniMae, together with the report thereon of KPMG LLP dated April 1, 2013, are in Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules at the end of this Report.

Item 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

Item 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our filings and submissions to the SEC under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Such controls include those designed to ensure that information is accumulated and communicated to management, including our CEO and CFO, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

An evaluation was conducted under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the CEO and CFO, on the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act. Based on this evaluation, the CEO and CFO concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2012.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act. Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with GAAP and includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit the preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP; (3) provide reasonable assurance that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and (4) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. It is a process that involves human diligence and compliance and is therefore subject to human error and misjudgment. In general, evaluations of effectiveness for future periods are subject to risk as controls may become inadequate due to changes in conditions or the degree of compliance with key processes or procedures could deteriorate.

An evaluation was conducted under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our CEO and CFO, on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012 based on criteria related to internal control over financial reporting described in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). As a result of the

evaluation, Management concluded that we maintained effective internal control over financial reporting at December 31, 2012, based on the criteria in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework* issued by COSO.

Item 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

On December 15, 2012, the Company adopted a stock purchase plan pursuant to SEC Rule 10b5-1. Under the plan, beginning January 15, 2013 the Company's broker places an order to purchase 20,000 of the Company's common shares on the open market each trading day, with a limit of \$100,000 in purchases per month. The plan provides that the order will be placed at 11:00 a.m. each trading day and any unfilled portion of the order will be cancelled at the earliest of (a) 2:00 p.m. each trading day, (b) the market price reaching 120% of the previous day's closing price, or (c) the market price reaching 65% of the Company's book value as shown on its most recently filed periodic report. Prior to the filing of this report on Form 10-K, the Company's maximum price was \$0.65. Following the filing of this report, the Company's maximum price will be \$0.69.

Once the Company spends a total of \$500,000, the daily purchase limit is reduced to 10,000 shares until the Company has spent another \$500,000. The plan expires at the earlier of December 15, 2013 or when the Company has spent a total of \$1 million.

On December 28, 2012, Michael L. Falcone, Chief Executive Officer, adopted a stock purchase plan pursuant to SEC Rule 10b5-1. Under the plan, beginning February 1, 2013 Mr. Falcone's broker places an order to purchase 5,000 of the Company's common shares on the open market each trading day, with a limit of 100,000 shares. The plan provides that the order will be placed at 1:00 p.m. each trading day and any unfilled portion of the order will be cancelled at 3:00 p.m. each trading day. Each order is subject to a maximum purchase price equal to 80% of the Company's book value as shown on its most recently filed periodic report. Prior to the filing of this report on Form 10-K, Mr. Falcone's maximum price was \$0.79. Following the filing of this report, Mr. Falcone's maximum price will be \$0.85. The plan expires at the earlier of December 28, 2013 or when all 100,000 authorized shares have been acquired.

PART III

Item 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The Company has a Code of Ethics that applies to Officers, Employees and Directors, a copy of which is available on the Company's website at www.munimae.com.

The remaining information required to be furnished by this Item 10 is contained in the Company's Proxy Statement for its 2013 Annual Shareholders' Meeting under the captions "Information about the Company's Directors," "Board of Directors Matters," "Identification of Executive Officers," and "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting

Compliance” and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required to be furnished by this Item 11 is contained in the Company’s Proxy Statement for its 2013 Annual Shareholders’ Meeting under the heading “Executive Compensation” and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS

The information required to be furnished by this Item 12 is contained in the Company’s Proxy Statement for its 2013 Annual Shareholders’ Meeting under “Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management” and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required to be furnished by this Item 13 is contained in the Company’s Proxy Statement for its 2013 Annual Shareholders’ Meeting under “Related Party and Affiliate Transactions” and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information required to be furnished by this Item 14 is contained in the Company’s Proxy Statement for its 2013 Annual Shareholders’ Meeting under “Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm” and is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

Item 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(1) The following is a list of the consolidated financial statements included at the end of this Report:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2012 and 2011

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss for the Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011

Consolidated Statements of Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(2) Financial Statement Schedules:

Schedule II – Valuation and Qualifying Accounts (The information required is presented within the notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements)

(3)Exhibit Index

See Exhibit Index immediately preceding the exhibits.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

MUNICIPAL MORTGAGE & EQUITY, LLC

By: /s/ Michael L. Falcone
Name: Michael L. Falcone
Dated: March 26, 2013 Title: Chief Executive Officer and President
(Principal Executive Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the date indicated.

By: /s/ Michael L. Falcone March 26, 2013
Name: Michael L. Falcone
Chief Executive Officer, President and Director
Title:
(Principal Executive Officer)

By: /s/ Lisa M. Roberts March 26, 2013
Name: Lisa M. Roberts
Title: Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President
(Principal Financial Officer)

By: /s/ Jason M. Antonakas March 26, 2013
Name: Jason M. Antonakas
Title: Chief Accounting Officer
(Principal Accounting Officer)

By: /s/ Mark K. Joseph March 26, 2013
Name: Mark K. Joseph
Title: Chairman of the Board of Directors

By: /s/ Francis X. Gallagher March 26, 2013
Name: Francis X. Gallagher
Title: Director

By: /s/ J.P. Grant March 26, 2013
Name: J.P. Grant

Edgar Filing: MUNICIPAL MORTGAGE & EQUITY LLC - Form 10-K

Title: Director

By: /s/ Douglas A. McGregor March 26, 2013
Name: Douglas A. McGregor
Title: Director

By: /s/ Fred N. Pratt, Jr. March 26, 2013
Name: Fred N. Pratt, Jr.
Title: Director

By: /s/ Frederick W. Puddester March 26, 2013
Name: Frederick W. Puddester
Title: Director

S-1

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders

Municipal Mortgage and Equity, LLC:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Municipal Mortgage and Equity, LLC and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive loss, equity and cash flows for the years then ended. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards as established by the Auditing Standards Board (United States) and in accordance with the auditing standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Municipal Mortgage and Equity, LLC and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

(signed) KPMG LLP

Baltimore, Maryland

March 26, 2013

Municipal Mortgage & Equity, LLC

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands, except share data)

	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents (includes \$41,634 and \$24,733 in a consolidated subsidiary that has restrictions on distributions)	\$ 50,857	\$ 42,116
Restricted cash (includes \$53,957 and \$45,813 related to CFVs)	55,313	50,672
Bonds available-for-sale (includes \$925,346 and \$1,008,881 pledged as collateral)	969,394	1,021,628
Investments in Lower Tier Property Partnerships (includes \$333,335 and \$386,275 related to CFVs)	333,335	386,275
SA Fund investments (includes \$161,433 and \$108,329 related to CFVs)	161,433	108,329
Real estate held-for-use, net (includes \$17,756 and \$5,295 pledged as collateral and \$111,931 and \$115,609 related to CFVs)	129,687	120,904
Real estate held-for-sale (includes \$15,338 related to CFVs in 2012)	15,338	–
Investment in preferred stock	31,371	36,371
Other assets (includes \$14,691 and \$16,787 pledged as collateral and \$17,568 and \$29,553 related to CFVs)	55,024	77,368
Total assets	\$ 1,801,752	\$ 1,843,663
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Debt (includes \$55,433 and \$23,902 related to CFVs)	\$ 1,042,959	\$ 1,067,540
Derivative liabilities	3,544	22,155
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	12,498	15,638
Unfunded equity commitments to Lower Tier Property Partnerships (includes \$15,881 and \$17,033 related to CFVs)	15,881	17,033
Other liabilities (includes \$6,150 and \$6,189 related to CFVs)	15,145	16,247
Total liabilities	\$ 1,090,027	\$ 1,138,613
Commitments and contingencies		
Equity:		
Perpetual preferred shareholders' equity in a subsidiary company, liquidation preference of \$159,000 at December 31, 2012 and 2011	\$ 155,033	\$ 155,033
Noncontrolling interests in CFVs and IHS (net of \$1,533 and \$1,533 of subscriptions receivable)	511,791	545,185
Common shareholders' equity:		
Common shares, no par value (40,638,614 and 40,602,161 shares issued and outstanding and 1,873,348 and 1,517,756 non-employee directors' and employee deferred shares issued at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively)	(93,786)	(99,222)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	138,687	104,054
Total common shareholders' equity	44,901	4,832

Edgar Filing: MUNICIPAL MORTGAGE & EQUITY LLC - Form 10-K

Total equity	711,725	705,050
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 1,801,752	\$ 1,843,663

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-2

Municipal Mortgage & Equity, LLC

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(in thousands, except per share data)

	For the year ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
Interest income		
Interest on bonds	\$ 64,916	\$ 79,947
Interest on loans and short-term investments	875	2,220
Total interest income	65,791	82,167
Interest expense ⁽¹⁾		
Bond related debt	24,233	31,811
Non-bond related debt	2,426	3,715
Total interest expense	26,659	35,526
Net interest income	39,132	46,641
Non-interest revenue		
Income on preferred stock investment	5,749	6,228
Other income	2,537	3,099
Revenue from CFVs	25,084	6,975
Total non-interest revenue	33,370	16,302
Total revenues, net of interest expense	72,502	62,943
Operating and other expenses		
Interest expense ⁽²⁾	18,565	21,498
Salaries and benefits	10,428	10,945
General and administrative	5,032	5,150
Professional fees	6,764	8,634
Impairment on bonds	7,217	12,815
Net loan loss (recovery) provision	(5,647)) 858
Other expenses	6,487	3,651
Expenses from CFVs	41,359	31,843
Total operating and other expenses	90,205	95,394
Net gains on bonds	1,397	13,465
Net gains (losses) on loans	332	(835)
Net losses on derivatives	(1,648)) (8,999)
Other (losses) gains	(1,774)) 752
Net gains due to real estate consolidation and foreclosure	5,404	13,329
Net gains related to CFVs	12,441	12,241
Equity in losses from Lower Tier Property Partnerships of CFVs	(39,391)) (35,751)
Net Loss from continuing operations before income taxes	(40,942)) (38,249)
Income tax expense	(101)) (239)

Edgar Filing: MUNICIPAL MORTGAGE & EQUITY LLC - Form 10-K

Income from discontinued operations, net of tax	2,382	19,679
Net loss	(38,661)	(18,809)
Income allocable to noncontrolling interests:		
Income allocable to perpetual preferred shareholders of a subsidiary company	(9,443)	(9,598)
Net losses allocable to noncontrolling interests in CFVs and IHS:		
Related to continuing operations	50,246	56,197
Related to discontinued operations	973	208
Net income to common shareholders	\$ 3,115	\$ 27,998

(1) Represents interest expense related to debt which finances interest-bearing assets. See Note 7, "Debt."

(2) Represents interest expense related to debt which does not finance interest-bearing assets. See Note 7, "Debt."

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Municipal Mortgage & Equity, LLC

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS – (continued)

(in thousands, except per share data)

	For the year ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
Basic income (loss) per common share:		
(Loss) Income from continuing operations	\$ (0.01) \$ 0.20
Income from discontinued operations	0.08	0.48
Income per common share	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.68
Diluted income (loss) per common share:		
(Loss) Income from continuing operations	\$ (0.01) \$ 0.20
Income from discontinued operations	0.08	0.48
Income per common share	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.68
Weighted-average common shares outstanding:		
Basic	42,259	41,129
Diluted	42,443	41,129

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Municipal Mortgage & Equity, LLC

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

(in thousands)

	For the year ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
Net income to common shareholders	\$ 3,115	\$ 27,998
Net losses allocable to noncontrolling interests	(41,776)	(46,807)
Net loss	\$ (38,661)	\$ (18,809)
Other comprehensive income allocable to common shareholders:		
Unrealized gains on bonds available-for-sale:		
Unrealized net holding gains arising during the period	\$ 34,255	\$ 32,972
Reversal of unrealized gains on sold/redeemed bonds	(1,420)	(5,465)
Reclassification of unrealized bonds losses to operations	7,217	12,815
Reclassification of unrealized bonds gains to operations due to real estate consolidation and foreclosure	(5,404)	(27,992)
Total unrealized gains on bonds available-for-sale	34,648	12,330
Foreign currency translation adjustment	(15)	(905)
Other comprehensive income allocable to common shareholders	\$ 34,633	\$ 11,425
Other comprehensive loss allocable to noncontrolling interests:		
Foreign currency translation adjustment for SA Fund and IHS	\$ (5,875)	\$ (20,226)
Comprehensive income to common shareholders	\$ 37,748	\$ 39,423
Comprehensive loss to noncontrolling interests	(47,651)	(67,033)
Comprehensive loss	\$ (9,903)	\$ (27,610)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Municipal Mortgage & Equity, LLC

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY

(in thousands)

	Common Shares		Accumulated Other Comprehensive	Total Common Shareholders' Equity	Perpetual Preferred Shareholders' Equity	Noncontrolling Interest in CFVs and IHS	Total Equity
	Number	Amount	Income (Loss)	(Deficit)			
Balance, January 1, 2011	40,851	\$(130,466)	\$ 92,629	\$ (37,837)	\$ 168,686	\$ 569,556	\$ 700,405
Net income (loss)	—	27,998	—	27,998	9,598	(56,405)	(18,809)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—