

BLACKROCK MUNI INTERMEDIATE DURATION FUND INC  
Form N-CSR  
July 05, 2011  
UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

**FORM N-CSR**

**CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES**

Investment Company Act file number 811-21348

Name of Fund: BlackRock Muni Intermediate Duration Fund, Inc. (MUI)

Fund Address: 100 Bellevue Parkway, Wilmington, DE 19809

Name and address of agent for service: John M. Perlowski, Chief Executive Officer, BlackRock Muni Intermediate Duration Fund, Inc., 55 East 52<sup>nd</sup> Street, New York, NY 10055

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (800) 882-0052, Option 4

Date of fiscal year end: 04/30/2011

Date of reporting period: 04/30/2011

Item 1 – Report to Stockholders

---

April 30, 2011

## Annual Report

BlackRock MuniAssets Fund, Inc. (MUA)

BlackRock MuniEnhanced Fund, Inc. (MEN)

BlackRock MuniHoldings Fund, Inc. (MHD)

BlackRock MuniHoldings Fund II, Inc. (MUH)

BlackRock MuniHoldings Quality Fund, Inc. (MUS)

BlackRock Muni Intermediate Duration Fund, Inc. (MUI)

BlackRock MuniVest Fund II, Inc. (MVT)

---

**Not FDIC Insured § No Bank Guarantee § May Lose Value**

---

---

Table of Contents

---

	Page
<u>Dear Shareholder</u>	3
<b>Annual Report:</b>	
<u>Municipal Market Overview</u>	4
<u>Fund Summaries</u>	5
<u>The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging</u>	12
<u>Derivative Financial Instruments</u>	12
Financial Statements:	
<u>Schedules of Investments</u>	13
<u>Statements of Assets and Liabilities</u>	49
<u>Statements of Operations</u>	51
<u>Statements of Changes in Net Assets</u>	52
<u>Statements of Cash Flows</u>	54
<u>Financial Highlights</u>	55
<u>Notes to Financial Statements</u>	62
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	71
<u>Important Tax Information</u>	72
<u>Automatic Dividend Reinvestment Plans</u>	73
<u>Officers and Directors</u>	74
<u>Additional Information</u>	78

---

## Dear Shareholder

Time and again, we have seen how various global events and developing trends can have significant influence on financial markets. I hope you find that the following review of recent market conditions provides additional perspective on the performance of your investments as you read this shareholder report.

Over the past 12 months, we have seen a sluggish, stimulus-driven economic recovery at long last gain real traction, accelerate, and transition into a consumption-driven expansion. For the most part, 2010 was plagued with widely fluctuating economic data, but as the year drew to a close, it became clear that cyclical stimulus had beaten out structural problems as economic data releases generally became more positive and financial markets showed signs of continuing improvement. Although the sovereign debt crisis in Europe and high inflation in developing markets that troubled the global economy in 2010 remain challenges today, overall investor confidence has improved considerably. During the first four months of 2011, that confidence was shaken by political turmoil in the Middle East/North Africa region, soaring prices of oil and other commodities, tremendous natural disasters in Japan and a change in the ratings outlook for US debt. However, strong corporate earnings prevailed and financial markets resumed their course while the global economy continued to garner strength.

Equity markets experienced uneven growth and high volatility in 2010, but ended the year with gains. Following a strong start to 2011, the series of confidence-shaking events brought spurts of heightened volatility to markets worldwide, but was not enough to derail the bull market. Overall, global equities posted strong returns over the past 12 months. Emerging market equities, which had outperformed developed markets earlier in the period, fell prey to heightened inflationary pressures and underperformed developed markets later in the period. In the United States, strong corporate earnings and positive signals from the labor market were sources of encouragement for equity investors, although the housing market did not budge from its slump. Early in 2011, the US Federal Reserve announced that it would continue its Treasury purchase program ( QE2 ) through to completion and keep interest rates low for an extended period. This compelled investors to continue buying riskier assets, furthering the trend of small cap stocks outperforming large caps.

While fixed income markets saw yields trend lower (pushing bond prices higher) through most of 2010, the abrupt reversal in investor sentiment and risk tolerance in the fourth quarter drove yields sharply upward. Global credit markets were surprisingly resilient in the face of recent headwinds and yields regained relative stability as the period came to a close. Yield curves globally remained steep by historical standards and higher-risk sectors continued to outperform higher-quality assets. The tax-exempt municipal market enjoyed a powerful rally during the period of low yields in 2010, but when that trend reversed, the market was dealt an additional blow as it became evident that the Build America Bond program would not be extended. Meanwhile, municipal finance troubles raised credit concerns among investors and tax-exempt mutual funds experienced heavy outflows, resulting in wider spreads and falling prices. The new year brought relief from these headwinds and a rebound in the tax-exempt municipal market.

Cash investments, as represented by the 3-month Treasury bill, returned only a fraction over 0% for the 12-month period as short-term interest rates remained low. Yields on money market securities remain near all-time lows.

### Risk Assets Rallied on Growing Investor Confidence: Total Returns as of April 30, 2011

	6-month	12-month
US large cap equities (S&P 500® Index)	16.36%	17.22%
US small cap equities (Russell 2000® Index)	23.73	22.20
International equities (MSCI Europe, Australasia, Far East Index)	12.71	19.18
Emerging market equities (MSCI Emerging Markets Index)	9.74	20.67
3-month Treasury bill (BofA Merrill Lynch 3-Month Treasury Bill Index)	0.09	0.17
US Treasury securities (BofA Merrill Lynch 10-Year US Treasury Index)	(3.85)	6.37
US investment grade bonds (Barclays Capital US Aggregate Bond Index)	0.02	5.36

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNI INTERMEDIATE DURATION FUND INC - Form N-CSR

Tax-exempt municipal bonds (Barclays Capital Municipal Bond Index)	(1.68)	2.20
US high yield bonds (Barclays Capital US Corporate High Yield 2% Issuer Capped Index)	6.18	13.32

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance shown for illustrative purposes only. You cannot invest directly in an index.

While no one can peer into a crystal ball and eliminate the uncertainties presented by the economic landscape and financial markets, BlackRock can offer investors the next best thing: partnership with the world's largest asset management firm that delivers consistent long-term investment results with fewer surprises. For additional market perspective and investment insight, visit [www.blackrock.com/shareholdermagazine](http://www.blackrock.com/shareholdermagazine), where you'll find the most recent issue of our award-winning *Shareholder* magazine, as well as its quarterly companion newsletter, *Shareholder Perspectives*. As always, we thank you for entrusting BlackRock with your investments, and we look forward to your continued partnership in the months and years ahead.

Sincerely,

Rob Kapito  
President, BlackRock Advisors, LLC

---

THIS PAGE NOT PART OF YOUR FUND REPORT

3

---

---

## Municipal Market Overview

---

### For the Period Ended April 30, 2011

---

Twelve months ago, the municipal yield curve was much flatter than it is today, as investor concerns were focused on the possibility of deflation and a double-dip in the US economy. From April through September 2010, rates moved lower (and prices higher) across the curve, reaching historic lows in August when the yield on 5-year issues touched 1.06%, the 10-year reached 2.18%, and the 30-year was 3.67%. The market took a turn in October, with yields drifting higher (and prices lower) amid a perfect storm of events that ultimately resulted in the worst quarterly performance the municipal market had seen since the Fed tightening cycle of 1994. Treasury yields lost their support as concerns over the US deficit raised the question whether foreign investors would continue to purchase Treasury securities at historically low yields. Municipal valuations also suffered a quick and severe setback as it became evident that the Build America Bond ( BAB ) program would expire at the end of 2010. The program opened the taxable market to municipal issuers, which had successfully alleviated supply pressure in the traditional tax-exempt marketplace, bringing down yields in that space.

The financial media has been replete with interviews, articles and presentations depicting the stress experienced in municipal finance. This has resulted in a loss of confidence among retail investors, the traditional buyers of individual municipal bonds and mutual funds. From the middle of November through year-end, mutual funds specializing in tax-exempt bonds witnessed weekly outflows averaging over \$2.5 billion. Long-term and high-yield funds saw the greatest redemptions, followed by state-specific funds at a slower, yet still significant, pace. Political uncertainty surrounding the midterm elections and the approach taken by the new Congress on issues such as income tax rates, alternative minimum tax and the previously mentioned BAB expiration exacerbated the situation. All these conditions, combined with the seasonal illiquidity surrounding year-end holidays and dealers closing their fiscal books, sapped willing market participation from the trading community. December brought declining demand for municipal securities with no comparable reduction in supply. As it became evident that the BAB program would be retired, issuers rushed deals to market in the taxable and, to a lesser degree, traditional tax-exempt space. This imbalance in the supply/demand technicals provided the classic market reaction: wider quality spreads and higher bond yields.

Demand usually is strong at the beginning of a new year, but retail investors continued to move away from municipal mutual funds, with AMG Data Services showing \$19.9 billion of redemptions in the first four months of 2011. Since mid-November, outflows persisted for 24 consecutive weeks, totaling \$33.4 billion. Fortunately, lower supply in 2011 is offsetting the decline in demand. According to Thomson Reuters, through April, year-to-date new issuance was down 53% compared to the same period last year. Issuers have been reluctant to bring new deals to the market due to a number of factors, including higher interest rates, fiscal policy changes and a reduced need for municipal borrowing given the acceleration of some issuance into 2010 prior to the BAB program's expiration. Accordingly, estimates for 2011 issuance have ratcheted down more than \$100 billion since the beginning of the year, when the initial consensus was \$350 billion.

Overall, the municipal yield curve steepened during the period from April 30, 2010 to April 30, 2011. As measured by Thomson Municipal Market Data, 30-year yields on AAA-rated municipals rose 53 basis points ( bps ) to 4.58%, while yields for 5-year maturities rallied by 22 bps to 1.50%, and 10-year maturities rallied by 9 bps to 2.85%. With the exception of the 2- to 5-year range, the spread between maturities increased over the past year, with the greatest increase seen in the 5- to 30-year range, where the spread widened by 75 bps, while overall the slope between 2- and 30-year maturities increased by 66 bps to 402 bps.

The fundamental picture for municipalities will be subject to scrutiny for months to come, as the challenges to state and local budgets are real and need to be addressed with significant cuts to expenses and tax revenue increases. The debates around austerity measures needed to succeed in balancing these budgets are not over whether action needs to be taken, but over the magnitude, approach and political will to accomplish these needs. The heightened attention on municipal finance has the potential to improve this market for the future, especially if these efforts result in greater means toward disclosure and accuracy (and timeliness) of reporting. Progress toward these fundamental changes may be tested in the near future, as California, Illinois and Puerto Rico will soon need to take austerity measures and access financing in the municipal market to address immediate-term fiscal imbalances before their new fiscal year begins in July. As the economy improves, tax receipts for states are rising and have begun to exceed budget projections. BlackRock maintains a constructive view of the municipal market as we look beyond the interim challenges faced by states working to close their June 30 year-end shortfalls.



Fund Summary as of April 30, 2011

BlackRock MuniAssets Fund, Inc.

---

**Fund Overview**


---

**BlackRock MuniAssets Fund, Inc. s (MUA) (the Fund )** investment objective is to provide high current income exempt from federal income taxes by investing primarily in a portfolio of medium- to lower-grade or unrated municipal obligations, the interest on which, in the opinion of bond counsel, is exempt from federal income taxes. The Fund seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing, under normal market conditions, at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). The Fund invests at least 65% of its assets in municipal bonds that are rated in the medium to lower categories by nationally recognized rating services (for example, Baa or lower by Moody s Investors Service, Inc. or BBB or lower by Standard & Poor s Corporation) or non-rated securities which are of comparable quality. The Fund may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Fund s investment objective will be achieved.

---

**Performance**


---

For the 12 months ended April 30, 2011, the Fund returned (5.17)% based on market price and 2.31% based on net asset value ( NAV ). For the same period, the closed-end Lipper High Yield Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of (1.08)% based on market price and 1.40% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Fund moved from a premium to NAV to a discount by period end, which accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. The Fund s concentrated holdings in corporate-related industrial development bonds and low exposure to the underperforming tobacco sector contributed positively to performance. Additional benefits were derived from seasoned portfolio holdings with shorter remaining terms to their maturity, which exhibited lower price volatility compared to longer-dated bonds during the period. As interest rates rose and the yield curve steepened in the later part of the period, holdings of longer-dated bonds detracted from performance. In addition, certain investments in development district (special district) bonds hurt returns as scheduled construction fell significantly below expectations due to the continuing slump in real estate values.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

---

**Fund Information**


---

Symbol on New York Stock Exchange ( NYSE )	MUA
Initial Offering Date	June 25, 1993
Yield on Closing Market Price as of April 30, 2011 (\$11.27) <sup>1</sup>	6.65%
Tax Equivalent Yield <sup>2</sup>	10.23%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share <sup>3</sup>	\$0.0625
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share <sup>3</sup>	\$0.7500
Leverage as of April 30, 2011 <sup>4</sup>	5%

---



## Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNI INTERMEDIATE DURATION FUND INC - Form N-CSR

- 1 Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- 2 Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.
- 3 The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- 4 Represents tender option bond trusts ( TOBs ) as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributable to TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques used by the Fund, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 12.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Fund's market price and NAV per share:

	4/30/11	4/30/10	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 11.27	\$ 12.65	(10.91)%	\$ 13.21	\$ 10.90
Net Asset Value	\$ 12.14	\$ 12.63	(3.88)%	\$ 13.12	\$ 11.84

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Fund's long-term investments:

### Sector Allocations

	4/30/11	4/30/10
Health	27%	29%
Corporate	22	24
Transportation	15	11
County/City/Special District/School District	14	13
Utilities	8	4
Education	6	6
Housing	4	4
State	3	4
Tobacco	1	5

### Credit Quality Allocations<sup>5</sup>

	4/30/11	4/30/10
AAA/Aaa	3%	6%
AA/Aa	8	3
A	12	14
BBB/Baa	28	26
BB/Ba	7	8
B	5	7
CCC/Caa	5	4
CC/Ca	1	

Not Rated<sup>6</sup>

31

32

---

<sup>5</sup> Using the higher of Standard & Poor's (S&P's) or Moody's Investors Service (Moody's) ratings.

<sup>6</sup> The investment advisor has deemed certain of these securities to be of investment grade quality. As of April 30, 2011 and April 30, 2010, the market value of these securities was \$25,268,218 representing 6% and \$15,867,930 representing 6%, respectively, of the Fund's long-term investments.

---

ANNUAL REPORT

APRIL 30, 2011

5

---

Fund Summary as of April 30, 2011

BlackRock MuniEnhanced Fund, Inc.

---

**Fund Overview**


---

**BlackRock MuniEnhanced Fund, Inc. s (MEN) (the Fund )** investment objective is to provide shareholders with as high a level of current income exempt from federal income taxes as is consistent with its investment policies and prudent investment management. The Fund seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). Under normal market conditions, the Fund invests primarily in long-term municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Fund may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Fund s investment objective will be achieved.

---

**Performance**


---

Effective November 9, 2010, the Fund s investment policy was changed by the removal of the insurance investment policy that required at least 80% of its assets to be invested in insured municipal securities. Accordingly, the Fund was moved from the Lipper Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category into the Lipper General Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category. For the 12 months ended April 30, 2011, the Fund returned (1.44)% based on market price and 0.78% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper General Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of (0.60)% based on market price and 0.10% based on NAV, and the closed-end Lipper Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of 0.17% based on market price and 0.85% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Fund s discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. The Fund s performance was positively impacted by its shorter duration holdings (those with lower sensitivity to interest rate movements) such as advanced refunded bonds and higher coupon bonds pricing to shorter call dates. Shorter duration securities performed well during the period as the shorter end of the yield curve rallied while long-term rates rose. The Fund also benefited from its exposure to the housing and corporate sectors, which performed well during the period. Detracting from performance was the Fund s exposure to the long end of the yield curve, where interest rates rose sharply. Exposure to lower quality spread sectors also had a negative impact as credit spreads generally widened over the period. The Fund uses interest rate futures contracts to hedge portfolio risk related to movements in interest rates. This strategy had a modestly negative impact on performance during the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

---

**Fund Information**


---

Symbol on NYSE	MEN
Initial Offering Date	March 2, 1989
Yield on Closing Market Price as of April 30, 2011 (\$9.99) <sup>1</sup>	6.85%
Tax Equivalent Yield <sup>2</sup>	10.54%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share <sup>3</sup>	\$ 0.057
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share <sup>3</sup>	\$ 0.684

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNI INTERMEDIATE DURATION FUND INC - Form N-CSR

Leverage as of April 30, 2011<sup>4</sup>

40%

- <sup>1</sup> Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- <sup>2</sup> Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.
- <sup>3</sup> The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- <sup>4</sup> Represents Auction Market Preferred Shares ( AMPS ) and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributable to AMPS and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques used by the Fund, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 12.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Fund's market price and NAV per share:

	4/30/11	4/30/10	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 9.99	\$ 10.81	(7.59)%	\$ 11.70	\$ 9.52
Net Asset Value	\$ 10.30	\$ 10.90	(5.50)%	\$ 11.47	\$ 9.54

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Fund's long-term investments:

**Sector Allocations**

	4/30/11	4/30/10
County/City/Special District/School District	29%	28%
Transportation	23	24
State	19	19
Utilities	14	14
Health	6	7
Education	5	3
Corporate	2	2
Housing	2	3

**Credit Quality Allocations<sup>5</sup>**

	4/30/11	4/30/10
AAA/Aaa	12%	46%
AA/Aa	59	23
A	25	26
BBB/Baa	4	5

<sup>5</sup> Using the higher of S&P's or Moody's ratings.

---

6

ANNUAL REPORT

APRIL 30, 2011

---

Fund Summary as of April 30, 2011

BlackRock MuniHoldings Fund, Inc.

---

**Fund Overview**


---

**BlackRock MuniHoldings Fund, Inc. s (MHD) (the Fund )** investment objective is to provide shareholders with current income exempt from federal income taxes. The Fund seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). The Fund invests, under normal market conditions, at least 75% of its assets in municipal bonds rated investment grade and invests primarily in long-term municipal bonds with a maturity of more than ten years at the time of investment. The Fund may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Fund s investment objective will be achieved.

---

**Performance**


---

For the 12 months ended April 30, 2011, the Fund returned (0.21)% based on market price and 0.57% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper General Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of (0.60)% based on market price and 0.10% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Fund s discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. Security selection and sector allocation among corporates, transportation and education as well as tax-backed issues in the Great Lakes region drove the Fund s positive performance. Additional benefits were derived from seasoned portfolio holdings with shorter remaining terms to their maturity, which exhibited lower price volatility compared to longer-dated bonds during the period. As interest rates rose and the yield curve steepened in the later part of the period, holdings of longer-dated bonds detracted from performance. In addition, the Fund s high exposure to the health care sector and low exposure to tax-backed issues in the Far West and Mid-Atlantic regions had a negative impact on performance. The Fund uses interest rate futures contracts to hedge portfolio risk related to movements in interest rates. This strategy had a modestly negative impact on performance during the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

---

**Fund Information**


---

Symbol on NYSE	MHD
Initial Offering Date	May 2, 1997
Yield on Closing Market Price as of April 30, 2011 (\$14.51) <sup>1</sup>	7.48%
Tax Equivalent Yield <sup>2</sup>	11.51%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share <sup>3</sup>	\$0.0905
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share <sup>3</sup>	\$1.0860
Leverage as of April 30, 2011 <sup>4</sup>	38%

---

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNI INTERMEDIATE DURATION FUND INC - Form N-CSR

- <sup>1</sup> Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- <sup>2</sup> Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.
- <sup>3</sup> The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.