

STEC, INC.
Form 10-K
March 12, 2009
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 000-31623

STEC, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

California
(State or Other Jurisdiction of

33-0399154
(I.R.S. Employer

Incorporation or Organization)

Identification No.)

3001 Daimler Street

Santa Ana, California 92705-5812

(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code: (949) 476-1180

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of each class | Name of each exchange on which registered |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Common Stock, \$0.001 par value | The NASDAQ Global Select Market |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by a check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "accelerated filer," "large accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer Accelerated Filer Non-Accelerated Filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a

smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

Yes No

As of June 30, 2008, the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter, the approximate aggregate market value of voting and non-voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$246,356,195 (based upon the last closing price for shares of the registrant's common stock as reported by The NASDAQ Global Select Market as of that date). Shares of common stock held by each officer, director, and holder of 10% or more of the outstanding common stock have been excluded in that such persons may be deemed to be affiliates. This determination of affiliate status is not necessarily a conclusive determination for other purposes.

As of March 2, 2009, there were approximately 48,432,340 shares of common stock outstanding.

Documents Incorporated By Reference

Certain information required in Part III hereto is incorporated by reference to the Proxy Statement for the Registrant's 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

STEC, INC.

FORM 10-K ANNUAL REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|------------------|---|----|
| PART I. | | 1 |
| ITEM 1. | <u>BUSINESS</u> | 1 |
| ITEM 1A. | <u>RISK FACTORS</u> | 8 |
| ITEM 1B. | <u>UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS</u> | 19 |
| ITEM 2. | <u>PROPERTIES</u> | 19 |
| ITEM 3. | <u>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u> | 20 |
| ITEM 4. | <u>SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS</u> | 21 |
| PART II. | | 21 |
| ITEM 5. | <u>MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES</u> | 21 |
| ITEM 6. | <u>SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA</u> | 23 |
| ITEM 7. | <u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u> | 25 |
| ITEM 7A. | <u>QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u> | 34 |
| ITEM 8. | <u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA</u> | 35 |
| ITEM 9. | <u>CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE</u> | 35 |
| ITEM 9A. | <u>CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u> | 35 |
| ITEM 9A(T). | <u>CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u> | 36 |
| ITEM 9B. | <u>OTHER INFORMATION</u> | 36 |
| PART III. | | 36 |
| ITEM 10. | <u>DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u> | 36 |
| ITEM 11. | <u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u> | 36 |
| ITEM 12. | <u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS</u> | 37 |
| ITEM 13. | <u>CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE</u> | 37 |
| ITEM 14. | <u>PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES</u> | 37 |
| PART IV. | | 37 |
| ITEM 15. | <u>EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES</u> | 37 |

This Annual Report on Form 10-K, including information incorporated herein by reference, contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. These statements relate to expectations concerning matters that are not historical facts. Words such as projects, believes, anticipates, will, estimate, plans, expects, intends, and similar words and expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Although we believe that such forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot assure you that such expectations will prove to be correct. These forward-looking statements are based on our current expectations, estimates and projections about our industry, management's beliefs, and certain assumptions made by us. Such statements are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions that are difficult to predict. Therefore, our actual results could differ materially and adversely from those expressed in any forward-looking statements as a result of various factors. Important information regarding factors which could cause actual results to differ materially from such expectations are disclosed in this Report, including without limitation information under the caption Risk Factors beginning on page 8 of this Report. All forward-looking statements attributable to STEC are expressly qualified in their entirety by such language. We do not undertake any obligation to revise or update any forward-looking statements for any reason.

We own or have rights to product names and trademarks that we use in conjunction with the sale of our products, including but not limited to IC Tower®, Mach8®, Mach8^{IOPS}®, Postage Stamp®, Single Chip Drive™, Zeus and Zeus^{IOPS}.

Table of Contents

PART I.

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Overview

STEC, Inc. (including our subsidiaries, referred to collectively in this Report as "STEC," "we," "our" and "us") designs, develops, manufactures and markets custom memory solutions based on Flash memory and Dynamic Random Access Memory ("DRAM") technologies. Headquartered in Santa Ana, California, we specialize in developing high-speed, high-capacity Flash solid-state drives (SSDs) and memory cards used in sensitive and highly-volatile environments and high-density DRAM modules. We offer a comprehensive product line used by original equipment manufacturers ("OEMs"). Prior to the divestiture of our Consumer Division in February 2007, we also designed, developed, manufactured and marketed open-standard memory solutions based on Flash memory and DRAM technologies, as well as external storage solutions used in consumer electronics applications. See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Discontinued Operations of Consumer Division" for additional information about the divestiture of our Consumer Division.

We market our products to OEMs, leveraging our design capabilities to offer custom memory solutions to address their specific needs. We are focusing on several revenue growth initiatives, including:

Continuing to develop and qualify customized Flash-based products, including our Zeus^{IOPS} and Mach8^{IOPS} product lines, for the enterprise-storage and enterprise-server applications, respectively; and

Expanding our international business in Asia and Europe.

Over the past several years, we have expanded our custom design capabilities of Flash products for OEM applications. We have invested significantly in the design and development of customized Flash controllers, firmware and hardware and made strategic acquisitions that have expanded our Flash design capabilities and sales and marketing infrastructure. Flash product revenue increased 20.2% from \$91.7 million in 2006 to \$110.2 million in 2007, and increased 36.4% from \$110.2 million in 2007 to \$150.3 million in 2008. We expect our continued investments in Flash custom design capabilities and controller development to result in sustained revenue growth from our Flash product line in 2009.

A major area of our Flash-based product investment has been focused on solid-state drive (SSD) technology. We believe the advantages of SSD technology are currently being defined in several distinct market segments; a) enterprise-storage applications, b) enterprise-server applications, c) video-on-demand (VoD) applications, d) PC mobile computing and consumer-related markets and e) military and industrial applications. We see opportunities to leverage our SSD expertise across each of these markets where we believe our technology can outperform existing solutions. In addition, we believe the SSD market will continue to expand over the next few years, aided by the continuation of the decline in Flash component pricing, with the overall unit volumes continuing to grow over the next several years. In 2008 we entered the mobile computer market with our ultra-mobile SSDs. While we have qualified and sold this product into a leading PC OEM in this market segment, we believe that our technology and SSD product offerings will be primarily focused on the other market segments for SSD technology and expect our revenues in this segment to decline significantly beyond the second quarter of 2009. Further, we have received recent indications from our existing PC OEM customer that they may end-of-life the program which utilizes our ultra-mobile SSD product. In this circumstance, we may have excess inventory which was purchased specifically for this customer in accordance with their purchase orders and sales forecasts. In the event the customer decides to formally end this sales program, we believe that they remain contractually obligated to either take delivery of or compensate us for any excess inventory that we purchased for their ultra-mobile SSD program in accordance with the purchase orders that we received from this customer.

We offer both monolithic DRAM modules and DRAM modules based on our stacking technology. DRAM product revenue decreased from \$122.5 million in 2006 to \$71.0 million in 2007. In 2008, our DRAM module revenue remained consistent with 2007 at \$71.0 million. We expect sales of DRAM modules to decline over time as we continue to focus on growing our Flash-based product lines.

We continue to make progress toward one of our long-term revenue growth initiatives to expand our international business in Asia and Europe. Since the beginning of 2004, we have opened sales, marketing, procurement and engineering offices in Austria, China, Germany, Hong Kong, Italy, Japan, Malaysia, Taiwan and the United Kingdom in order to build the necessary infrastructure to support product development and

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

revenue growth in those geographic regions. We completed construction of a 210,000 square foot manufacturing facility in Malaysia, which we expect will reduce average production and administrative labor costs, provide better access to growing markets internationally, improve supply chain efficiency, reduce lead times, increase manufacturing efficiency through investments in new state-of-the-art equipment, and lower our overall long-term effective income tax rate. However, in the short-term we expect that costs incurred to transition our manufacturing operations from Santa Ana, California to Penang, Malaysia will negatively impact our earnings. During 2008, our Malaysian operations incurred approximately \$3.4 million of general, administrative and other expenses, \$1.6 million of research and development expenses, \$400,000 of sales and marketing expenses and \$6.4 million of expenses related to manufacturing overhead.

Historically, a limited number of customers have accounted for a significant percentage of our revenue. Our ten largest customers accounted for an aggregate of 77.2% of our total revenues in 2008, 74.1% of our total revenues in 2007, and 81.7% of our total revenues in 2006. We expect that sales of our products to a limited number of customers will continue to contribute materially to our revenues in the foreseeable future. See Business Customers.

Table of Contents

Industry Background

For the past half century, computing system OEMs had two choices of storage technologies: DRAM and HDD. Over time, microprocessor capabilities have surged to a point that has dramatically outpaced the performance capabilities of HDDs, thus creating the need for faster access to storage. DRAM is cost- and power-prohibitive in such applications. The resultant need is for a new tier of storage which bridges that wide divide between DRAM and HDD, and thus the advent of SSD in the computing hierarchy.

A well-designed SSD delivers a valuable and unique set of characteristics, which are a combination of improved performance, improved reliability and improved energy efficiency relative to HDD. Across the range of enterprise-storage and enterprise-server OEMs, SSD is viewed as a vital, permanent tier of storage which renders compelling value to the end-users of those storage and server systems: unprecedented performance and power savings, at lower cost than comparative systems built only with HDD and DRAM.

STEC believes it is a pioneer and technology leader in regards to innovations in solid-state storage due to its nearly 20 years of focus on advanced memory solutions. Throughout its history, STEC has been delivering advanced memory and storage solutions to a wide range of customers in market segments, spanning networking to aerospace. Over this relatively long history, STEC has continuously evolved product architectures to meet what the Company viewed as significant industry challenges, and thus the patented, proprietary architectures which enable the enterprise SSDs STEC ships to the enterprise OEMs.

The STEC Solution

STEC designs, manufactures and markets a comprehensive line of storage products used in enterprise applications, networking and communications and other OEM applications. We are a global design and manufacturing company focused on customized storage solutions for a broad spectrum of system platforms, with most sales based on a cooperative design effort with our customers. We offer our customers a comprehensive technology solution from concept to design to the creation of prototypes through volume production and testing.

Product Features

The key features of our products include:

Proprietary controller IC technology. In order to be first-to-market with innovative storage technologies, STEC designs the fundamental logic for its SSD products. The controllers within the various SSD products are the key to enabling high levels of performance and reliability.

High degree of customization. Products sold to our customers are typically customized by our design and engineering teams to meet our customers' specific design requirements.

High performance. STEC's SSD technology is optimized for exceptionally low-latency, fast access times and sustained megabyte-per-second speeds.

High density. Our patented stacking technology allows us to design and manufacture products in which multiple memory chips are stacked vertically to increase the capacities without increasing the product footprint. In some cases, our IC Tower and Postage Stamp stacking memory technology allows us to create a high capacity solution that is otherwise not currently available in the market using standard devices, and in other cases it allows us to provide the same capacity as a standard module at a lower price point.

Compact size. We are able to manufacture high-density products with some of the smallest form factors in the market in order to meet the ever-reducing size of our customers' products.

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

High reliability. Our products are built utilizing sophisticated error detection and correction processes to provide high data reliability and integrity. In addition, our products are designed to withstand high levels of shock and vibration as well as extreme temperature fluctuations typically associated with mobile computing and OEM applications.

Low power consumption. During read and write operations, SSD memory products typically use less power than rotating disk drives. At all other times during system operation, SSD memory products require no power.

Solid-State Drive/Flash Products

Our SSD products are used in a wide range of applications, all of which demand high-reliability, high-capacity, and/or high performance. At the heart of each STEC storage product is a controller designed by STEC for the rigors of demanding OEM applications, offering industry-leading performance, reliability and flexibility.

We offer a broad line of SSD products in various form factors and capacities, including:

Zeus^{IOPS} Solid-State Drive. STEC Zeus^{IOPS} Solid-State Drives are elite high performance enterprise-class data storage solutions. Built for speed, the Zeus^{IOPS} is over two hundred times faster at random I/O than standard disk drives. One Zeus^{IOPS} drive can replace multiple hard drives or eliminate the need to utilize additional DRAM to overcome performance bottlenecks, resulting in reduced cost, energy and space requirements.

Table of Contents

MACH8^{IOPS}. STEC's MACH8^{IOPS} Solid-State Drives are a small form factor, high throughput storage solution for mission-critical systems in a variety of industries. MACH8^{IOPS} SSDs incorporate our proprietary eight-channel ASIC controller which delivers significant improvements over enterprise-class hard disk drives in terms of data access, data throughput and improved power consumption. The MACH8^{IOPS} SSDs are ideal for enterprise servers that expose drives to challenging workloads typified by randomly mixed reads and writes under rigorous workloads.

MACH8. STEC's MACH8 Solid-State Drives are a small form factor, high-throughput and storage solution. Notebook computers and portable media players are among the applications that benefit from SSDs due to their need for cost-effective SSDs which also provides reduced power consumption, reduced weight and improved reliability. MACH8 SSDs are available in 2.5" with capacity up to 512GB and 1.8" up to 128GB. This product also contains advanced ECC and Flash management technology to enable the right levels of data integrity and extended life for SSDs.

MACH1 Product Family:

ATA PC Cards. STEC Industrial Grade ATA PC Cards are viable alternatives to hard disk drives due to their high reliability and low cost per useable megabyte. ATA PC Cards are designed specifically for equipment requiring standard form factors and moderate capacities, such as data recorders, avionics systems and telecommunication applications. ATA PC Cards are ideal building blocks for high-density, high-performance mass storage subsystems.

CompactFlash Memory. CompactFlash products provide full PC Card AT Attachment, or ATA, functionality, but are only one-fourth the size of a standard PC Card. CompactFlash memory cards are characterized by their small size, durability, low power consumption, and the ability to operate at either 3.3 volts or 5.0 volts. CompactFlash products provide interoperability with systems based on the PC Card ATA standard by using a low-cost passive adapter, thus making CompactFlash widely used by a variety of applications.

Flash Modules. Our Flash module products target embedded systems where device footprint is a critical parameter. There is no electrical circuitry or software interface change required when replacing a standard hard drive with a Flash disk module. The main benefit of Flash disk modules is that they are easier to incorporate into designs because they are less than one-quarter the size of a 2.5-inch hard drive and they plug directly into the motherboard, thereby eliminating the need for cables. Specifically, the product line is available in IDE (IFM 40-pin, 44-pin) and USB (UFM) interfaces.

Secure Digital Memory Cards. STEC Secure Digital (SD) Flash Memory Cards are small, removable and non-volatile flash memory with a high performance interface. Available in large capacities, STEC Industrial Grade SD cards are extremely reliable, durable and can handle extreme temperatures in a small space. STEC SD cards achieve fast write speeds and are manufactured with built-in ECC hardware. STEC's manufacturing process and test methodology make the cards even more robust, enabling excellent performance at extreme temperature conditions.

USB Flash Drive. Built upon a proprietary Flash controller technology, and ranging in capacity from 128MB to 4GB, the STEC USB Flash Drive (UFD) couples convenience and portability with performance and reliability. Advanced OEM features include endurance for industrial operating conditions, laser-etched manufacturing information including a unique serial number, a high-ESD rated enclosure, and password-protected secure partitioning.

Single Chip Drive (SCD). Available with a USB 2.0 or IDE interface, the Single Chip Drive (SCD) is a small form factor, solid-state Flash disk with no moving parts. Using STEC's patented IC Tower® Stacking Technology, SCDs are available in the highest capacities in the industry. The standard USB or IDE interface provides designers with a true plug-n-play storage device, allowing for short design cycles and fast time to market.

DRAM Products

We offer a full range of DRAM products, including dual in-line memory modules (DIMMs), small-outline DIMMs (SODIMMs), mini-registered DIMMs (mini-RDIMMs), very low profile (VLP RDIMMs) and Fully-Buffered DIMMs (FB-DIMMs). Our DRAM products are used in higher performance computing, communications, and industrial applications. We offer these products with different DRAM architectures such as FB-DIMM, DDR, DDR2, DDR3 and SDRAM.

Stacked ICs on Products

IC Tower stacked components. Our patented IC Tower® semiconductor stacking technology enables the manufacturing of high capacity memory products. We offer a wide selection of stacked components for both thin small outline package (TSOP) and ball grid array (BGA) semiconductor packages for use on memory modules and within our high capacity Flash products. This technology is used in complex, high-capacity module

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

designs and systems. It provides a cost effective solution for our customers by offering chip densities that are less expensive than non-stacked components on a per megabyte (MB), basis.

Postage Stamp BGA stacked components. STEC Postage Stamp utilizes a cavity substrate and a high-reliability ball-less vertical interconnect in order to provide unprecedented thermal management and uncompromised signal integrity. This technology builds upon our long experience in TSOP stacking to create a low-cost, highly reliable, high performance, thermally enhanced solution for high density modules. Because of the unique construction, heat can be conducted into the DIMM s ground plane turning the whole DIMM board into a heat spreader. Highly durable and cost-effective to manufacture, the Postage Stamp Stack overcomes the traditional challenges of other stacking solutions while offering some unique performance advantages.

Table of Contents

DRAM modules and Flash products with stacked components. We have a range of custom and application-specific stacked DRAM modules across multiple DIMM form factors in capacities up to 16GB. Our stacked DRAM products are used primarily in high-performance servers, workstations, switches and routers, and other custom systems. We offer many of these modules utilizing different DRAM architectures such as double data rate (DDR), and DDR2, and synchronous DRAM (SDRAM). Our stacked Flash products are used primarily in embedded systems.

Research and Development

Our research and development staff develop reliable, high-performance and cost-effective storage products to address the needs of traditional and emerging computing applications. We believe the timely development of new products is essential to maintaining our competitive position. Our engineering staff, which consisted of 165 persons as of December 31, 2008, is focused on all facets of complex system-level product design. Functionally, the engineering team has dedicated expertise in IC design, firmware for media management, firmware for host compatibility, and system-level product integration. We design all facets of our products to be compatible with existing industry standards and, where appropriate, develop and promote new standards which enable the adoption of advanced solid-state storage design. An important aspect of our research and development effort is to understand the challenges presented by our customers requirements and satisfy them by utilizing our proprietary technologies and our technical expertise. In the course of meeting our customers challenges, we are often required to develop new technologies and processes, which are later added to our product offering.

As a vertically-integrated product company, a major thrust of our engineering effort is designing proprietary software utilities and associated processes which enable high-volume manufacturing and test. This enables us to continually improve our manufacturing processes and test routines. The manufacturing and engineering process are tightly coupled wherein we design SSD controllers to enable innovative approaches to manufacturing and test. Additionally, our IC Tower and Postage Stamp stacking technology are important components of our research and development effort as it allows us to design solutions that are continually migrating to higher densities for our customers. Our stacking technology enables us to produce high-density Flash and DRAM products by manufacturing products which optimize all three dimensions. These products offer higher-density capacities in the same footprint as the traditional two-dimensional designs.

Research and development expense was \$21.1 million, \$15.0 million and \$10.1 million for the three years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Design, Manufacturing and Test

Design and production. The typical production cycle consists of a design stage followed by a prototype stage and ends with full production of the final product. By working with our customers early in the design and prototype stages, we believe we are able to resolve critical design issues effectively and efficiently, thus shortening the time from prototype design to volume manufacturing. In addition, we believe working closely with our customers throughout the design and production stages allows us to gain important insights into their future product requirements.

Manufacturing. Our manufacturing processes are highly-automated and involve the use of specialized equipment for the production of storage and memory products. Our manufacturing systems have been optimized to support the placement of a large number of IC devices on each memory board. We believe we are able to achieve a high manufacturing yield and minimize direct labor costs as a result of our design efficiencies, high level of automation and general manufacturing expertise. Because our manufacturing systems can be easily configured for different memory products, we have the ability to offer our customers short manufacturing and test cycles on small and large projects. We also have developed an automated method of manufacturing our stacking products which we believe results in further manufacturing efficiencies. Our manufacturing processes at our Santa Ana, California and Penang, Malaysia facilities are ISO 9001 and ISO 14001 certified.

Test engineering. An important aspect of our manufacturing operations is our focus on test engineering. We test all of our storage and memory products upon completion of manufacturing, which we believe results in low returns due to product defects. We believe our test engineering expertise will continue to grow in importance as the speed and complexity of storage and memory products increase. Our test engineering group develops proprietary processes which, together with our continued investment in advanced testing equipment, have enabled us to consistently produce high-quality products.

Customers

We have no long-term volume commitments from our customers. Sales of our products are made through individual purchase orders and, in certain cases, are made under master agreements governing the terms and conditions of the relationships. We market our products to OEMs and OEM distributors, leveraging our custom design capabilities to offer custom memory solutions to address their specific needs. Our ten largest customers accounted for an aggregate of 77.2% of our total revenues in 2008, 74.1% of our total revenues in 2007, and 81.7% of our total

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

revenues in 2006. SMART Modular accounted for 34.4%, 50.1% and 37.3% of our total

Table of Contents

revenues in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively, EMC Corporation accounted for 15.2% of our total revenues in 2008, and Micron Semiconductor accounted for 24.9% of our total revenues in 2006. There were no other customers, which accounted for more than 10.0% of our revenues in 2008, 2007 and 2006. EMC Corporation and SMART Modular accounted for 31.6% and 23.5%, respectively, of accounts receivable as of December 31, 2008 and 18.4% and 31.1%, respectively, of accounts receivable as of December 31, 2007.

In 2008, we sold to more than 329 customers, comprised of direct sales and sales through OEM distributors and contract manufacturers that incorporate our products into systems they assemble for our customers. Our customers make the purchasing decisions on substantially all of the products we sell through OEM distributors and contract manufacturers.

We expect that sales of our products to a limited number of customers will continue to represent a majority of our revenues for the foreseeable future and believe that our financial results will depend in significant part upon the success of our customers' businesses. We have experienced changes in the composition of our major customer base from quarter to quarter as the market demand for our customers' products have changed and we expect this variability to continue in the future. For risks associated with our customer relationships, see Risk Factors. Sales to a limited number of customers represent a significant portion of our revenues and the loss of any key customer would materially reduce our revenues.

International sales, which are derived from billings to foreign customers, accounted for \$59.9 million or 26.3%, \$36.8 million or 19.5%, and \$27.5 million or 12.7%, of our total revenues in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. No foreign geographic area or single foreign country accounted for more than 10.0% of our total revenues in 2008, 2007 and 2006. Substantially all of our international sales are export sales, which are shipped from our domestic facility to foreign customers. In the future, we expect substantially all of our foreign sales to originate internationally as our operations in Malaysia become established. For additional information regarding our international sales, see Risk Factors. We face risks associated with doing business in foreign countries, including foreign currency fluctuations and trade barriers, that could lead to a decrease in demand for our products or an increase in the cost of the components used in our products.

Total assets by geographic region for the year ended December 31, 2008 were as follows (amounts in thousands):

| | December 31, 2008 |
|----------------------|------------------------------|
| United States | \$ 104,835 |
| Malaysia | 96,446 |
| Other | 4,604 |
| Total | \$ 205,885 |

Sales and Marketing

We use an internal direct sales force complemented by an external sales force of manufacturers' representatives and OEM distributors for sales to our customers in the United States and internationally. We pursue our customer base on both a geographic and account-specific basis. We believe these combined sales forces have the local presence, market knowledge and strategic insight to allow us to more effectively market our products to a larger number of customers. In addition, as part of our sales and marketing efforts, our experienced application engineers work closely with our customers' engineering teams in designing our products into their systems.

Customer Service and Support

We provide our customers with comprehensive product service and support. We work closely with our customers to monitor the performance of their product designs and to provide application design and support. This also provides us with insight into defining their subsequent generations of products. Our standard customer support package is generally offered with all product sales and includes full technical documentation and application design assistance. During our customers' production phase, we provide extensive support which includes training, system-level design, implementation and integration support. We believe that tailoring our technical support to our customers' needs is essential to the success of our product introductions and customer satisfaction.

Competition

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

We conduct business in an industry characterized by competition, rapid technological change, evolving industry standards, declining average sales prices and product obsolescence. Our primary competitors for storage products include: Intel, Samsung, SanDisk, Seagate, Toshiba, and Western Digital; and for DRAM products include: Micron, Qimonda, and SMART Modular. Our competitors include many large domestic and international companies that have substantially greater financial, technical, marketing, distribution and other resources, broader product lines, lower cost structures, greater brand recognition and longer-standing relationships with customers and suppliers.

We expect to face competition from existing competitors and new and emerging companies that may enter our existing or future markets. These companies may have similar or alternative products that are less costly or provide additional features. In addition, some of our significant suppliers, including Qimonda and Samsung Semiconductor, are also our competitors. These suppliers

Table of Contents

have the ability to manufacture competitive products at lower costs as a result of their higher levels of integration. Further, these suppliers may reduce the supply of memory chips available to the industry or us. We also face competition from current and prospective customers that evaluate our capabilities against the merits of manufacturing products internally. Competition also may arise due to the development of cooperative relationships among our current and potential competitors or third parties to increase the ability of their products to address the needs of our prospective customers. Accordingly, it is possible that new competitors or alliances among competitors may emerge and rapidly acquire significant market share.

We compete in our target markets based primarily on quality, design and manufacturing technology, price and responsiveness to our customers needs. We expect our competitors will continue to improve the performance of their current products, reduce their current product sales prices and introduce new products that may offer greater performance and improved pricing, any of which could cause a decline in sales or loss of market acceptance of our products.

To remain competitive, we must, among other things:

Provide best-of-class design, manufacturing and test engineering services;

Maintain quality levels;

Provide technologically advanced products;

Successfully protect our intellectual property rights;

Accurately anticipate and prepare for new technological trends and standards in the industry;

Compete favorably on the basis of price;

Offer flexible delivery schedules; and

Deliver finished products on a timely basis in sufficient volume to satisfy our customers' requirements.

The memory, high-performance computing, networking and communications, consumer electronics and OEM markets are subject to rapid technological change, product obsolescence, frequent new product introductions and enhancements, changes in end-user requirements and evolving industry standards. Our ability to compete in these markets will depend in significant part upon our ability to successfully develop, introduce and sell new and enhanced products on a timely and cost-effective basis, and to respond to changing customer requirements.

Suppliers

IC devices represent more than 80% of the component costs of our manufactured Flash cards and DRAM modules. We purchase these IC devices from a small number of suppliers. In 2008, our significant suppliers of IC devices included:

Flash IC Device Suppliers

Samsung

DRAM IC Device Suppliers

Micron
Qimonda

Samsung

We are dependent on a small number of suppliers to supply Flash and DRAM IC devices. We have no long-term Flash or DRAM device supply contracts. We periodically review opportunities to develop alternative sources for our Flash IC and DRAM IC device needs. However, our options are very limited because of the small number of memory manufacturers. Our dependence on a small number of suppliers and the lack of any guaranteed sources of supply expose us to several risks, including the inability to obtain an adequate supply of components, price increases, late deliveries and poor component quality. Samsung supplies substantially all of the IC devices used in our Flash memory products. In addition, Micron, Qimonda and Samsung currently supply substantially all of the DRAM IC devices used in our DRAM products. For risks associated with our supplier relationships, see Risk Factors. Our dependence on a small number of suppliers for components, including integrated circuit devices, and inability to obtain a sufficient supply of these components on a timely basis could harm our ability to fulfill orders.

Seasonality

In the past, we experienced some seasonality in our business resulting in higher sales generally in the fourth quarter of each year due to corporate customers spending their full capital budgets before the end of each year. In 2008, these historical seasonal buying patterns were negatively impacted by adverse macro-economic conditions.

Table of Contents

Backlog

Sales of our memory products and storage solutions are made under short-term cancelable orders. We include in our backlog only those customer orders for which we have accepted purchase orders and to which we have assigned shipment dates within the upcoming six months. Since orders constituting our backlog are subject to change due to, among other things, customer cancellations and reschedulings, and our ability to procure necessary components, backlog is not necessarily an indication of future revenues. In addition, there can be no assurance that current backlog will necessarily lead to revenues in any future period. Our backlog was \$25.7 million as of December 31, 2008 and \$13.7 million as of December 31, 2007. Our backlog has increased due primarily to an increase in customer orders for our SSD products. Our ability to predict future sales is limited because a majority of our quarterly product revenues come from orders that are received and fulfilled in the same quarter.

Intellectual Property Rights

We regard our patents, trademarks, trade secrets and other intellectual property as critical to our success. We rely on patents, trademarks, copyrights and trade secret laws, confidentiality procedures, and employee disclosure and invention assignment agreements to protect our intellectual property rights.

As of March 1, 2009, we owned 25 U.S. patents and 58 additional patent applications were pending. We have agreements to license certain of our intellectual property to third parties. In addition, we have entered into several licensing agreements to license the intellectual property of others. License fees related to the license of our intellectual property and our license of third party intellectual property were nominal for all periods presented in this report. Although we consider the patents currently held by us to be critical to our success, there can be no assurance that any patents currently held by us or any patents which may be granted to us in the future will not be challenged, invalidated or circumvented, or that rights granted thereunder will provide meaningful protection or other commercial advantage to us. There can be no assurance that third parties will not develop similar products, duplicate our products or design around the patents currently owned by us or which may be granted to us in the future. Because we view intellectual property rights as critical to our success, we intend to pursue future patents and other intellectual property rights in the U.S. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in these endeavors. In addition there can be no assurance that our trade secrets and know-how may not become known to third parties, or become part of the public domain, which in either case would harm our financial performance and business operations.

We have on at least one occasion applied for and may in the future apply for patent protection in foreign countries. The laws of foreign countries, however, may not adequately protect our intellectual property rights. Many U.S. companies have encountered substantial infringement problems in some foreign countries. Because we sell some of our products overseas, we have exposure to foreign intellectual property risks.

The semiconductor industry is characterized by vigorous protection and pursuit of intellectual property rights. We believe that it may be necessary, from time to time, to initiate litigation against one or more third parties to preserve our intellectual property rights. In addition, from time to time, third parties may bring suits against us. For details regarding our pending intellectual property lawsuit, see [Legal Proceedings](#) and [Risk Factors](#). We are involved from time to time in claims and litigation over intellectual property rights, which may adversely affect our ability to manufacture and sell our products.

In the event of an adverse result in any such litigation, we could be required to pay substantial damages, cease the manufacture, use and sale of certain products, expend significant resources to develop non-infringing technology, discontinue the use of certain processes or obtain licenses to use infringed technology. Any litigation, whether as plaintiff or as defendant, would likely result in significant expense to us and divert the efforts of our technical and management personnel, whether or not such litigation is ultimately determined in our favor. In addition, the results of any litigation are inherently uncertain.

In the event we desire to incorporate third-party technology into our products or our products are found to infringe on others' patents or intellectual property rights, we may be required to license such patents or intellectual property rights. If we obtain licenses from third parties, we may be required to pay license fees or make royalty payments, which could reduce our gross margins. If we are unable to obtain a license from a third party for technology, we could incur substantial liabilities or be required to expend substantial resources redesigning our products to eliminate the infringement. There can be no assurance that we would be successful in redesigning our products or that we could obtain licenses on commercially reasonable terms, if at all. In addition, any development or license negotiations could require substantial expenditures of time and other resources by us.

As is common in the industry, we currently have in effect a number of agreements in which we have agreed to defend, indemnify and hold harmless certain of our suppliers and customers from damages and costs which may arise from the infringement by our products of third-party patents, trademarks or other proprietary rights. The scope of such indemnity varies, but may, in some instances, include indemnification for damages and expenses, including attorneys' fees. We may from time to time be engaged in litigation as a result of such indemnification

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

obligations. In addition, our insurance does not cover intellectual property infringement.

In our efforts to maintain the confidentiality and ownership of trade secrets and other confidential information, all of our employees are required to sign employee non-disclosure agreements and our engineers are required to sign invention assignment agreements. The invention assignment agreements require our engineers to disclose, document and assign their interest in all inventions, patents and copyrights developed while employed with us. Our employees agree to preserve all of our confidential

Table of Contents

information including trade secrets, customer information, know-how and other business information. There can be no assurance that these agreements will provide meaningful protection of our trade secrets or other confidential information in the event of unauthorized use or disclosure of such information. See Risk Factors Our intellectual property may not be adequately protected, which could harm our competitive position.

Employees

As of December 31, 2008, we had 801 full-time employees, consisting of 470 in manufacturing (including test, quality assurance and material management), 76 in sales and marketing, 90 in finance and administration and 165 in design and product development. Our employees are not represented by any collective bargaining agreements and we have never experienced a work stoppage. Management believes that relations with our employees are satisfactory.

Available Information

Our Internet address is www.stec-inc.com. We make available on our website, free of charge, our filings made with the SEC electronically, including our reports on Form 10-K, Form 10-Q and Form 8-K, and any amendments to those filings. These reports are available on our website as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file them with the SEC. These reports, and any amendments to them, are also available at the internet website of the Securities and Exchange Commission, <http://www.sec.gov>. The public may also read and copy any materials we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission at the SEC's Public Reference Room located at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C., 20549. In order to obtain information about the operation of the Public Reference Room, you may call 1-800-732-0330.

We have adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that applies to our employees (including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions) and directors. A copy of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics can be found under the Investor Relations section of our website. We may post amendments to or waivers of the provisions of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, if any, made with respect to any of our directors and executive officers on that website. The information on our website is not incorporated by reference in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Investing in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. Before purchasing our common stock, you should carefully consider the risks described below in addition to the other information in this Report. Our business, results of operations and financial condition may be materially and adversely affected due to any of the following risks. The risks described below are not the only ones we face. Additional risks we are not presently aware of or that we currently believe are immaterial may also impair our business operations. The trading price of our common stock could decline due to any of these risks, and you could lose all or part of your investment. In assessing these risks, you should also refer to the other information contained or incorporated by reference in this Report, including our consolidated financial statements and related notes.

This Report contains forward-looking statements based on the current expectations, assumptions, estimates and projections about our industry and us. These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those discussed in these forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors, as more fully described in this section and elsewhere in this Report. We do not undertake to update publicly any forward-looking statements for any reason, even if new information becomes available or other events occur in the future.

Current economic conditions and the global financial crisis may have an impact on our business and financial condition in ways that we currently cannot predict.

Our operations and performance depend on worldwide economic conditions and their impact on levels of business spending, which have deteriorated significantly in many countries and regions, including without limitation the United States, and may remain depressed for the foreseeable future. Uncertainties in the financial and credit markets have caused our customers to postpone or cancel purchases, and continued uncertainties may reduce future sales of our products and services. For example, during the fourth quarter of 2008 we experienced the cancellation of previously anticipated orders that negatively affected our revenues and operating results. These worldwide economic conditions make it extremely difficult for our customers, our vendors and us to accurately forecast and plan future business activities, and they could cause our customers to further slow spending on our products, which would delay and lengthen sales cycles. Furthermore, during challenging economic times our customers may face issues gaining timely access to sufficient credit, which could result in an impairment of their ability to make timely payments to us. If that were to occur, we may experience increased collection times or greater write-offs, which could have a

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

material adverse effect on our revenues and cash flow. Similarly, our vendors may face issues gaining timely access to sufficient credit, which could result in an impairment of their ability to supply us with components that are needed in the manufacture of our products. If that were to occur, we may experience delays in our production and increased costs associated with our qualification of additional new vendors and replacement of their components, which could have a material adverse effect on our revenues and cash flow. Finally, our ability to access the capital markets may

Table of Contents

be restricted at a time when we would like, or need, to do so, which could have an impact on our flexibility to pursue additional expansion opportunities and maintain our desired level of revenue growth in the future. These and other economic factors could have a material adverse effect on demand for our products and services and on our financial condition and operating results.

We expect our quarterly operating results to fluctuate in future periods, causing our stock price to fluctuate or decline.

Our quarterly operating results have fluctuated in the past, and we believe they will continue to do so in the future. Fluctuations in our operating results may be due to a number of factors, including, but not limited to, those listed below and those identified throughout this Risk Factors section, some of which may contribute to more pronounced fluctuations in an uncertain global economic environment:

Impact of changing and recently volatile U.S. and global economic conditions;

Our suppliers' production levels for the components used in our products;

Our ability to procure required components;

Market acceptance of new and enhanced versions of our products;

Expansion of our international business, including the opening of offices and facilities in foreign countries;

The timing of the introduction of new products or components and enhancements to existing products or components by us, our competitors or our suppliers;

Fluctuations in the cost of components and changes in the average sales prices of our products;

Fluctuating market demand for our products;

Changes in our customer and product revenue mix;

Our ability to successfully integrate any acquired businesses or assets;

Expenses associated with the start up of new operations or divisions.

Order cancellations, product returns, inventory buildups by customers and inventory write-downs;

Manufacturing inefficiencies associated with the start-up of new products and volume production;

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

Expenses associated with strategic transactions, including acquisitions, joint ventures and capital investments;

Our ability to adequately support potential future rapid growth;

Our ability to absorb manufacturing overhead if revenues decline;

The effects of litigation;

Increases in our sales and marketing expenses in connection with decisions to pursue new product initiatives.

Due to the above and other factors, quarterly revenues and results of operations are difficult to forecast, and period-to-period comparisons of our operating results may not be predictive of future performance. In one or more future quarters, our results of operations may fall below the expectations of securities analysts and investors. In that event, the trading price of our common stock would likely decline. In addition, the trading price of our common stock may fluctuate or decline regardless of our operating performance.

Our dependence on a small number of suppliers for components, including integrated circuit devices, and inability to obtain a sufficient supply of these components on a timely basis could harm our ability to fulfill orders.

Typically, integrated circuit, or IC, devices represent more than 80% of the component costs of our products. We are dependent on a small number of suppliers that supply key components used in the manufacture of our products. Since we have no long-term supply contracts, there is no assurance that our suppliers will agree to supply the quantities of components we may need to meet our production goals. Samsung currently supplies substantially all of the IC devices used in our Flash memory products. Micron, Qimonda and Samsung currently supply substantially all of the DRAM IC devices used in our DRAM products.

Our customers qualify specific controller, Flash and DRAM ICs that are components in our products as part of the product qualification process. If any of our suppliers experience quality control problems, our products that utilize that supplier's IC may be disqualified by one or more of our customers. This would disrupt our supply of ICs, reduce the number of suppliers available to us and adversely affect our ability to fulfill our customers' product orders. Further, we may be required to qualify a new supplier's IC, which could negatively impact our revenues during the new qualification process. There can be no assurance that we would be able to find and successfully qualify new suppliers in a timely manner or obtain ICs from new suppliers on commercially reasonable terms.

Moreover, from time to time, our industry experiences shortages in IC devices and foundry services which have resulted in placing their customers, ourselves included, on component allocation. This means that while we may have customer orders, we may not be able to obtain the materials that we need to fill those orders in a timely manner or at competitive prices. As a result, our reputation could be harmed, we may lose business from our customers, our revenues may decline, and we may lose market share to our competitors.

Table of Contents

In addition to Flash and DRAM ICs, a number of other components that we use in our products are available from only a single or limited number of suppliers. In the development of our own ASICs, we also depend on certain foundry subcontractors to manufacture these ASICs as well as on certain third-party subcontractors to assemble, obtain packaging materials for, and test these ASICs. Our dependence on a small number of suppliers and the lack of any guaranteed sources of supply expose us to several risks, including:

The inability to obtain an adequate supply of components;

Price increases, late deliveries and poor component quality;

An unwillingness of a supplier to supply such components to us;

A key supplier's or sub-supplier's inability to access credit necessary to operate its business;

Failure of a key supplier to remain in business or adjust to market conditions;

Consolidation among suppliers may result in some suppliers exiting the industry or discontinuing the manufacture of components; or

Failure of a supplier to meet our quality, yield or production requirements.

A disruption in or termination of our supply relationship with any of our significant suppliers or our inability to develop relationships with new suppliers, if required, would cause delays, disruptions or reductions in product shipments or require product redesigns which could damage relationships with our customers, could increase our costs or the prices of our products and adversely affect our revenues and business.

We have a less diversified customer base and our future success will be dependent on our ability to grow our OEM business.

As a result of the divestiture of our Consumer Division in February 2007, we have a less diversified customer base and our future success will be dependent on our ability to grow our business with new customers. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in growing our business with new customers. Our failure to grow our business with new customers will hurt our reputation and harm our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Sales to a limited number of customers represent a significant portion of our revenues, and the loss of any key customer would materially reduce our revenues.

Historically, a relatively limited number of customers have accounted for a significant percentage of our revenues. Our ten largest customers accounted for an aggregate of 77.2% of our total revenues in 2008, 74.1% of our total revenues in 2007, and 81.7% of our total revenues in 2006. We had two customers account for more than 10.0% of our revenues, at 34.4% and 15.2%, in 2008, compared to one customer, which accounted for more than 10.0% of our revenues, at 50.1%, in 2007 and two customers, which accounted for more than 10.0% of our revenues at 37.3% and 24.9%, in 2006.

Consolidation in some of our customers' industries may result in increased customer concentration and the potential loss of customers as a result of acquisitions. In addition, the composition of our major customer base changes from quarter to quarter as the market demand for our customers' products changes, and we expect this variability to continue in the future. We expect that sales of our products to a limited number of customers will continue to contribute materially to our revenues in the foreseeable future. The loss of, or a significant reduction in purchases by, any of our major customers, could harm our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Disruption of our operations at our manufacturing facilities would substantially harm our business.

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

Our manufacturing operations are located in our facilities in Santa Ana, California and Penang, Malaysia. Due to this geographic concentration, a disruption of our manufacturing operations, resulting from sustained process abnormalities, human error, government intervention or natural disasters, including earthquakes, power failures, fires or floods, could cause us to cease or limit our manufacturing operations and consequently harm our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our Penang operation, which has recently begun to produce significant volumes, will continue to ramp production in subsequent quarters. While our management team is overseeing this transition, the operation itself has a limited operating history and we have limited experience operating in foreign countries. As a result, a disruption of our manufacturing operations resulting from ramp-up related challenges such as obtaining sufficient raw materials, hiring of qualified factory personnel, installation and efficient operation of new equipment, and management and coordination of a new logistics network within our global operations could cause us to cease, delay, or limit our manufacturing operations and consequently harm our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Ineffective management of inventory levels or product mix, order cancellations, product returns and inventory write-downs could adversely affect our results of operations.

If we are unable to properly monitor, control and manage our inventory and maintain an appropriate level and mix of products with our customers, we may incur increased and unexpected costs associated with this inventory. For example, if we manufacture products in anticipation of future demand that does not materialize, or if a customer cancels outstanding orders, we could experience an unanticipated increase in our inventory that we may be unable to sell in a timely manner, if at all. As a result, we could incur increased expenses associated with writing off excess or obsolete inventory. We also maintain inventory, or hubbing, arrangements with certain of our customers. Pursuant to these arrangements, we deliver products to a customer or a designated third party warehouse based upon the customer's projected needs but do not recognize product revenue unless and until the customer has removed our product from the warehouse to incorporate into its end products. If a customer does not take our products under a hubbing arrangement in accordance with the schedule it originally provided us, our predicted future revenue stream could vary substantially from our forecasts and our results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. Additionally, since we own inventory that is physically located in a third party's warehouse, our ability to effectively manage inventory levels may be impaired, causing our total inventory turns to decrease, which could increase expenses associated with excess and obsolete inventory and

Table of Contents

negatively impact our cash flow. In addition, while we may not be contractually obligated to accept returned products, we may determine that it is in our best interest to accept returns in order to maintain good relations with our customers. Product returns would increase our inventory and reduce our revenues. Alternatively, we could end up with too little inventory and we may not be able to satisfy demand, which could have a material adverse effect on our customer relationships. Our risks related to inventory management are exacerbated by our strategy of closely matching inventory levels with product demand, leaving limited margin for error. We have had to write-down inventory in the past for reasons such as obsolescence, excess quantities and declines in market value below our costs. These inventory write-downs were \$3.8 million in 2008, \$2.5 million in 2007 and \$2.1 million in 2006.

We have no long-term volume commitments from our customers. Sales of our products are made through individual purchase orders and, in certain cases, are made under master agreements governing the terms and conditions of the relationships. Customers may change, cancel or delay orders with limited or no penalties. It is difficult to accurately predict what or how many products our customers will need in the future. Anticipating demand is challenging because our customers face volatile pricing and unpredictable demand for their own products, and are increasingly focused on cash preservation and tighter inventory management. We have experienced cancellations of orders and fluctuations in order levels from period-to-period and we expect to continue to experience similar cancellations and fluctuations in the future, which could result in fluctuations in our revenues.

We may be less competitive if we fail to develop new and enhanced products and introduce them in a timely manner.

The enterprise-storage, enterprise-server, video-on-demand (VoD), PC mobile computing and consumer-related markets and military and industrial applications markets are subject to rapid technological change, product obsolescence, frequent new product introductions and enhancements, changes in end-user requirements and evolving industry standards. Our ability to compete in these markets will depend in significant part upon our ability to successfully develop, introduce and sell new and enhanced products on a timely and cost-effective basis, and to respond to changing customer requirements.

We have experienced, and may in the future experience, delays in the development and introduction of new products. These delays would provide a competitor a first-to-market opportunity and allow a competitor to achieve greater market share. Once a customer designs a competitor's product into its product offering, it becomes significantly more difficult for us to sell our products to that customer because changing suppliers involves significant cost, time, effort and risk for the customer. Our product development is inherently risky because it is difficult to foresee developments in technology, anticipate the adoption of new standards, coordinate our technical personnel, and identify and eliminate design flaws. Defects or errors found in our products after commencement of commercial shipments could result in delays in market acceptance of these products. New products, even if first introduced by us, may not gain market acceptance or result in future profitability. Lack of market acceptance for our new products will jeopardize our ability to recoup research and development expenditures, hurt our reputation and harm our business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, after we have developed a new product, our customers will usually test and evaluate our products. Our customers may need three or more months to test, evaluate and adopt our products and an additional three or more months to begin volume production of equipment that incorporates our products. Even if a customer selects our product to incorporate into its equipment, we have no assurance that the customer will ultimately bring its product to market or that such effort by our customer will be successful.

We may also seek to develop products with new standards for our industry. It will take time for these new standards and products to be adopted, for customers to accept and transition to these new products and for significant sales to be generated from them, if this happens at all. Moreover, broad acceptance of new standards or products by customers may reduce demand for our older products. If this decreased demand is not offset by increased demand for our new products, our results of operations could be harmed. We cannot assure you that any new products or standards we develop will be commercially successful.

We may not be able to maintain or improve our competitive position because of the intense competition in the memory industry.

We conduct business in an industry characterized by intense competition, rapid technological change, evolving industry standards, declining average sales prices and rapid product obsolescence. Our primary competitors for storage products include: Intel, Samsung, SanDisk, Seagate, Toshiba, and Western Digital; and for DRAM products include: Micron, Qimonda, and SMART Modular. Our competitors include many large domestic and international companies that have substantially greater financial, technical, marketing, distribution and other resources, broader product lines, lower cost structures, greater brand recognition and longer-standing relationships with customers and suppliers. As a result, our competitors may be in a better position to influence or respond to new or emerging technologies or standards and to changes in customer requirements than us. Our competitors may also be able to devote greater resources to the development, promotion and sale of products, and may be able to deliver competitive products at a lower price.

Table of Contents

In addition, some of our significant suppliers, including Micron, Qimonda and Samsung Semiconductor, are also our competitors, many of whom have the ability to manufacture competitive products at lower costs as a result of their higher levels of integration. We also face competition from current and prospective customers that evaluate our capabilities against the merits of manufacturing products internally. Competition may arise from new and emerging companies or due to the development of cooperative relationships among our current and potential competitors or third parties to increase the ability of their products to address the needs of our prospective customers. We expect our competitors will continue to improve the performance of their current products, reduce their prices and introduce new products that may offer greater performance, improved pricing or render our technology or products obsolete or uncompetitive, any of which could cause a decline in sales or loss of market acceptance of our products.

Our stock price is likely to be volatile and could drop unexpectedly.

Our common stock has been publicly traded since September 2000. The market price of our common stock has been subject to significant fluctuations since the date of our initial public offering. The stock market has from time to time experienced significant price and volume fluctuations that have affected the market prices of securities, particularly securities of technology companies. As a result, the market price of our common stock may materially decline, regardless of our operating performance. In the past, following periods of volatility in the market price of a particular company's securities, securities class action litigation has often been brought against that company. We may become involved in this type of litigation in the future. Litigation of this type is often expensive and diverts management's attention and resources.

Our efforts to expand our business internationally may not be successful and may expose us to additional risks that may not exist in the United States, which in turn could cause our business and operating results to suffer.

We sell our products to customers in foreign countries and seek to increase our level of international business activity through the expansion of our operations into select international markets, including Asia and Europe. Such strategy may include opening sales offices in foreign countries, the outsourcing of manufacturing operations to third party contract manufacturers, establishing joint ventures with foreign partners, and the establishment of manufacturing operations in foreign countries.

In January 2008, we completed the construction of our 210,000 square foot manufacturing facility in Malaysia that is expected, over time, to serve as a major hub for our international operational activities including manufacturing, sales and marketing, procurement, and logistics. A failure to successfully and timely integrate these operations into our global infrastructure will have a negative impact on our overall operations, cause us to delay or forego some of the original perceived benefits of operating internationally such as lower average production and engineering labor costs, better access to growing markets in Asia, improved supply chain efficiency, reduced lead times, increased manufacturing efficiency through investments in new state-of-the-art equipment and a lower overall long-term effective tax rate.

Establishing operations in a foreign country or region presents numerous risks, including:

difficulties and costs of staffing and managing operations in certain foreign countries;

foreign laws and regulations, which may vary country by country, may impact how we conduct our business;

higher costs of doing business in certain foreign countries, including different employment laws;

difficulty protecting our intellectual property rights from misappropriation or infringement;

political or economic instability;

changes in import/export duties;

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

necessity of obtaining government approvals;

trade restrictions;

work stoppages or other changes in labor conditions;

difficulties in collecting of accounts receivables on a timely basis or at all;

taxes;

longer payment cycles and foreign currency fluctuations; and

seasonal reductions in business activity in some parts of the world, such as Europe.

In addition, changes in policies and/or laws of the United States or foreign governments resulting in, among other things, higher taxation, currency conversion limitations, restrictions on fund transfers or the expropriation of private enterprises, could reduce the anticipated benefits of our international expansion. We may also encounter potential adverse tax consequences if taxing authorities in different jurisdictions worldwide disagree with our interpretation of various tax laws or our determinations as to the income and expenses attributable to specific jurisdictions, which could result in our paying additional taxes, interest and penalties. Furthermore, any actions by countries in which we conduct business to reverse policies that encourage foreign trade or investment could adversely affect our business. If we fail to realize the anticipated revenue growth of our future international operations, our business and operating results could suffer.

Table of Contents

We expect that our strategy to expand our international operations will require the expenditure of significant resources and involve the efforts and attention of our management. Unlike some of our competitors, we have limited experience operating our business in foreign countries. Some of our competitors may have substantial advantage over us in attracting customers in certain foreign countries due to earlier established operations in that country, greater knowledge with respect to cultural differences of customers residing in that country and greater brand recognition and longer-standing relationships with customers in that country. If our international expansion efforts in any foreign country are unsuccessful, we may decide to cease these foreign operations, which would likely harm our reputation and cause us to incur expenses and losses.

We are involved from time to time in claims and litigation over intellectual property rights, which may adversely affect our ability to manufacture and sell our products.

The semiconductor industry is characterized by vigorous protection and pursuit of intellectual property rights. We believe that it may be necessary, from time to time, to initiate litigation against one or more third parties to preserve our intellectual property rights. Some of our suppliers and licensors have generally agreed to provide us with various levels of intellectual property indemnification for products and technology we purchase or license from them. A third-party could claim that our products infringe a patent or other proprietary right. In addition, from time to time, we have received, and may continue to receive in the future, notices that claim we have infringed upon, misappropriated or misused other parties' proprietary rights. Any of the foregoing events or claims could result in litigation. Such litigation, whether as plaintiff or defendant, would likely result in significant expense to us and divert the efforts of our technical and management personnel, whether or not such litigation is ultimately determined in our favor. In the event of an adverse result in such litigation, we could be required to pay substantial damages, cease the manufacture, use and sale of certain products, expend significant resources to develop non-infringing technology, discontinue the use of certain processes or obtain licenses to use the infringed technology. In addition, our suppliers' and licensors' obligation to indemnify us for intellectual property infringement may be insufficient or inapplicable to any such litigation. A license may not be available on commercially reasonable terms, if at all. Our failure to obtain a license on commercially reasonable terms, or at all, could cause us to incur substantial costs and suspend manufacturing products using the infringed technology. If we obtain a license, we would likely be required to pay license fees or make royalty payments for sales under the license. Such payments would increase our costs of revenues and reduce our gross margins and gross profit. If we are unable to obtain a license from a third party for technology, we could incur substantial liabilities or be required to expend substantial resources redesigning our products to eliminate the infringement. There can be no assurance that we would be successful in redesigning our products or that we could obtain licenses on commercially reasonable terms, if at all. Product development or license negotiating would likely result in significant expense to us and divert the efforts of our technical and management personnel.

Our indemnification obligations for the infringement by our products of the intellectual property rights of others could require us to pay substantial damages.

As is common in the industry, we currently have in effect a number of agreements in which we have agreed to defend, indemnify and hold harmless our customers and suppliers from damages and costs which may arise from the infringement by our products of third-party patents, trademarks or other proprietary rights. The scope of such indemnity varies, but may, in some instances, include indemnification for damages and expenses, including attorneys' fees. Our insurance does not cover intellectual property infringement. The term of these indemnification agreements is generally perpetual any time after execution of the agreement. The maximum potential amount of future payments we could be required to make under these indemnification agreements is unlimited. We may periodically have to respond to claims and litigate these types of indemnification obligations. Any such indemnification claims could require us to pay substantial damages that may result in a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

Our indemnification obligations to our customers and suppliers for product defects could require us to pay substantial damages.

A number of our product sales and product purchase agreements provide that we will defend, indemnify and hold harmless our customers and suppliers from damages and costs which may arise from product warranty claims or claims for injury or damage resulting from defects in our products. We maintain insurance to protect against certain claims associated with the use of our products, but our insurance coverage may not be adequate to cover all or any part of the claims asserted against us. A successful claim brought against us that is in excess of, or excluded from, our insurance coverage could substantially harm our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Our intellectual property may not be adequately protected, which could harm our competitive position.

Our intellectual property is critical to our success. We protect our intellectual property rights through patents, trademarks, copyrights and trade secret laws, confidentiality procedures and employee disclosure and invention assignment agreements. It is possible that our efforts to protect our intellectual property rights may not:

Prevent the challenge, invalidation or circumvention of our existing patents;

Result in patents that lead to commercially viable products or provide competitive advantages for our products;

Prevent our competitors from independently developing similar products, duplicating our products or designing around the patents owned by us;

Prevent third-party patents from having an adverse effect on our ability to do business;

Provide adequate protection for our intellectual property rights;

Prevent disputes with third parties regarding ownership of our intellectual property rights;

Prevent disclosure of our trade secrets and know-how to third parties or into the public domain; and

Result in patents from any of our pending applications.

In addition, despite our efforts to protect our intellectual property rights and confidential information, third parties could copy or otherwise obtain and make unauthorized use of our technologies or independently develop similar technologies. In addition, if any of our patents are challenged and found to be invalid, our ability to exclude competitors from making, using or selling the same or similar products related to such patents would cease. We have on at least one occasion applied for and may in the future apply for patent protection in foreign countries. The laws of foreign countries, however, may not adequately protect our intellectual property rights. Many U.S. companies have encountered substantial infringement problems in foreign countries. Because we sell some of our products overseas, we have exposure to foreign intellectual property risks.

Declines in our average sales prices may result in declines in our revenues and gross profit.

Our industry is competitive and characterized by historical declines in average sales prices. Our average sales prices may decline due to several factors, including overcapacity in the worldwide supply of DRAM and Flash memory component as a result of increased manufacturing efficiencies, new manufacturing processes and manufacturing capacity expansions by component suppliers. In the past, overcapacity has resulted in significant declines in component prices, which has negatively impacted our average sales prices, revenues and gross profit. In addition, our competitors and customers also impose significant pricing pressures on us. Since a large percentage of our sales are to a small number of customers that are primarily distributors and large OEMs, these customers have exerted, and we expect they will continue to exert, pressure on us to make price concessions. If not offset by increases in demand for our products, decreases in average sales prices would likely have a material adverse effect on our business and operating results.

We are subject to the cyclical nature of the semiconductor industry and any future downturn could adversely affect our business.

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

The semiconductor industry, including the memory markets in which we compete, is highly cyclical and characterized by constant and rapid technological change, rapid product obsolescence and price erosion, evolving standards, short product life cycles and wide fluctuations in product supply and demand. The industry has experienced significant downturns often connected with, or in anticipation of, maturing product cycles of both semiconductor companies and their customers' products and declines in general economic conditions. These downturns have been characterized by diminished product demand, production overcapacity, high inventory levels and accelerated erosion of average sales prices. Prior downturns in the semiconductor industry negatively impacted our average sales prices, revenues and earnings. Any future downturns could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

Our level of indebtedness could adversely affect our cash flow and prevent us from fulfilling our financial obligations.

On July 30, 2008, we entered into a credit agreement for a \$35 million revolving credit facility. Our debt could have important consequences, such as:

requiring us to dedicate a portion of our cash flow from operations and other capital resources to debt service, thereby reducing our ability to fund working capital, capital expenditures, and other cash requirements;

increasing our vulnerability to adverse economic and industry conditions;

limiting our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes and opportunities in, our business and industry, which may place us at a competitive disadvantage; and

limiting our ability to incur additional debt on acceptable terms, if at all.

Table of Contents

Additionally, if we were to default under our credit agreement and were unable to obtain a waiver for such a default, interest on the obligations would accrue at an increased rate and the lenders could accelerate our obligations under the credit agreement; however that acceleration will be automatic in the case of bankruptcy and insolvency events of default.

Additionally, to the extent we have made intercompany loans to our subsidiaries that have required us to pledge such loans to the lenders under the credit agreement, our subsidiaries would be required to pay the amount of the intercompany loans to the lenders in the event we are in default under the credit agreement. Any actions taken by the lenders against us in the event we are in default under the credit agreement could harm our financial condition.

Failure to maintain effective internal control over financial reporting could result in a negative market reaction.

Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 requires that we undertake a thorough examination of our internal control systems and procedures for financial reporting. We also are required to completely document and test those systems. Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 requires us to evaluate the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of the end of each year, and to include a management report assessing the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting in our annual reports. Section 404, as updated, also requires our independent registered public accounting firm to annually attest to, and report on, the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting.

Although our management has determined, and our independent registered public accounting firm has attested, that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2008, we cannot assure you that we or our independent registered public accounting firm will not identify a material weakness in our internal controls in the future. If our internal control over financial reporting is not considered adequate, we may experience a loss of public confidence, which could have an adverse effect on our business and our stock price.

Compliance with changing regulation of corporate governance and public disclosure may result in additional expenses.

Changing laws, regulations and standards relating to corporate governance and public disclosure, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, new SEC regulations and Nasdaq rules, have required most public companies, including us, to devote additional internal and external resources to various governance and compliance matters. Because we have a relatively small corporate staff, we have incurred significant costs on outside professional advisers to assist us with these efforts. These costs have included increased accounting related fees associated with preparing the attestation report on our internal controls over financial reporting as required under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. In addition, these new or changed laws, regulations and standards are subject to varying interpretations, as well as modifications by the government and Nasdaq. The way in which they are applied and implemented may change over time, which could result in even higher costs to address and implement revisions to compliance (including disclosure) and governance practices. We intend to invest the necessary resources to comply with evolving laws, regulations and standards. If our efforts to comply with new or changed laws, regulations and standards differ from the activities intended by regulatory or governing bodies due to ambiguities related to practice, our reputation may be harmed and we will be required to incur additional expenses.

We may make acquisitions that are dilutive to existing shareholders, result in unanticipated accounting charges or otherwise adversely affect our results of operations.

We intend to grow our business through business combinations or other acquisitions of businesses, products or technologies that allow us to complement our existing product offerings, expand our market coverage, increase our engineering workforce or enhance our technological capabilities. If we make any future acquisitions, we could issue stock that would dilute our shareholders' percentage ownership, incur substantial debt, reduce our cash reserves or assume contingent liabilities. Furthermore, acquisitions may require material infrequent charges and could result in adverse tax consequences, substantial depreciation, deferred compensation charges, in-process research and development charges, the amortization of amounts related to deferred compensation and identifiable purchased intangible assets or impairment of goodwill, any of which could negatively impact our results of operations.

Our limited experience in acquiring other businesses, product lines and technologies may make it difficult for us to overcome problems encountered in connection with any acquisitions we may undertake.

We continually evaluate and explore strategic opportunities as they arise, including business combinations, strategic partnerships, capital investments and the purchase, licensing or sale of assets. Our experience in acquiring other businesses, product lines and technologies is limited. The attention of our small management team may be diverted from our core business if we undertake any future acquisitions. Any potential future acquisition involves numerous risks, including, among others:

Problems and delays in successfully assimilating and integrating the purchased operations, personnel, technologies, products and information systems;

Table of Contents

Unanticipated costs and expenditures associated with the acquisition, including any need to infuse significant capital into the acquired operations;

Adverse effects on existing business relationships with suppliers, customers and strategic partners;

Risks associated with entering markets and foreign countries in which we have no or limited prior experience;

Contractual, intellectual property or employment issues;

Potential loss of key employees of purchased organizations; and

Potential litigation arising from the acquired company's operations before the acquisition.

These risks could disrupt our ongoing business, distract our management and employees, harm our reputation and increase our expenses. Our inability to overcome problems encountered in connection with any acquisitions could divert the attention of management, utilize scarce corporate resources and otherwise harm our business. These challenges are magnified as the size of an acquisition increases, and we cannot assure you that we will realize the intended benefits of any acquisition. For example, in June 2004 we discontinued the operation of our Xiran Division, which was formed in 2002 as a result of our acquisition of technology for networked storage applications. We were unable to successfully bring the Xiran Division products to market after funding its operations for over two years. In connection with the discontinued operation, we recorded a non-cash charge of approximately \$3.0 million in the second quarter of 2004. We are unable to predict whether or when any prospective acquisition candidate will become available or the likelihood that any acquisition will be completed. Even if we do find suitable acquisition opportunities, we may not be able to consummate the acquisitions on commercially acceptable terms or realize the anticipated benefits of any acquisitions we do undertake.

Three of our beneficial shareholders have substantial influence over our operations and could control all matters requiring shareholder approval.

Our founders, Manouch Moshayedi, Mike Moshayedi and Mark Moshayedi, are brothers and beneficially own approximately 52% of our outstanding common stock at December 31, 2008 (assuming the inclusion of shares of common stock subject to options that are presently exercisable or will become exercisable within 60 days of such date). In addition, Manouch Moshayedi and Mark Moshayedi are executive officers and directors. As a result, they potentially have the ability to control or influence all matters requiring approval by our shareholders, including the election and removal of directors, approval of significant corporate transactions and the decision of whether a change in control will occur. This potential control could affect the price that certain investors may be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock.

We face risks associated with doing business in foreign countries, including foreign currency fluctuations and trade barriers, that could lead to a decrease in demand for our products or an increase in the cost of the components used in our products.

The volatility of general economic conditions and fluctuations in currency exchange rates affect the prices of our products and the prices of the components used in our products. International sales, which are derived from billings to foreign customers, accounted for 26.3%, 19.5% and 12.7% of our revenues in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. No foreign geographic area or single foreign country accounted for more than 10.0% of our revenues in 2008, 2007 or 2006. For 2008, 2007 and 2006, more than 95.0% of our international sales were denominated in U.S. dollars. However, if there is a significant devaluation of the currency in a specific country, the prices of our products will increase relative to that country's currency and our products may be less competitive in that country. In addition, we cannot be sure that our international customers will continue to be willing to place orders denominated in U.S. dollars. If they do not, our revenues and results of operations will be subject to foreign exchange fluctuations, which could harm our business. We do not hedge against foreign currency exchange rate risks.

We purchase a majority of the DRAM and Flash components used in our products from local distributors of foreign suppliers. Although our purchases of DRAM and Flash components are currently denominated in U.S. dollars, devaluation of the U.S. dollar relative to the currency of a foreign supplier would likely result in an increase in our cost of DRAM and Flash components.

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

Our international sales are subject to other risks, including regulatory risks, tariffs and other trade barriers, timing and availability of export licenses, political and economic instability, difficulties in accounts receivable collections, difficulties in managing distributors, lack of a significant local sales presence, difficulties in obtaining governmental approvals, compliance with a wide variety of complex foreign laws and treaties and potentially adverse tax consequences. In addition, the United States or foreign countries may implement quotas, duties, taxes or other charges or restrictions upon the importation or exportation of our products, leading to a reduction in sales and profitability in that country.

Table of Contents

The manufacturing of our products is complex and subject to yield problems, which could decrease available supply and increase costs.

The manufacture of our Flash memory products, stacked DRAM products and Flash controllers is a complex process, and it is often difficult for companies to achieve acceptable product yields. Reduced yields could decrease available supply and increase costs. Flash controller yields depend on both our product design and the manufacturing process technology unique to our semiconductor foundry partners. Because low yields may result from either design defects or process difficulties, we may not identify yield problems until well into the production cycle, when an actual product defect exists and can be analyzed and tested. In addition, many of these yield problems are difficult to diagnose and time consuming or expensive to remedy.

Table of Contents

The execution of our growth strategy depends on our ability to retain key personnel, including our executive officers, and to attract qualified personnel.

Competition for employees in our industry is intense. We have had and may continue to have difficulty hiring the necessary engineering, sales and marketing and management personnel to support our growth. The successful implementation of our business model and growth strategy depends on the continued contributions of our senior management and other key research and development, sales and marketing and operations personnel, including Manouch Moshayedi, our Chief Executive Officer, Mark Moshayedi, our President, Chief Operating Officer, Chief Technical Officer and Secretary, and Raymond Cook, our Chief Financial Officer. The loss of any key employee, the failure of any key employee to perform in his or her current position, or the inability of our officers and key employees to expand, train and manage our employee base would prevent us from executing our growth strategy.

In addition, on February 4, 2009, we commenced a reduction of our workforce at our Santa Ana, California headquarters as part of the transition of certain of our operations to our new 210,000 square foot manufacturing facility in Penang, Malaysia. While this action may help us achieve our growth strategy, it may negatively impact employee morale and our ability to attract, retain and motivate employees. Our inability to attract and retain additional key employees could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Failure to comply with governmental laws and regulations could harm our business.

Our business is subject to regulation by various federal and state governmental agencies. Such regulation includes employment and labor laws, workplace safety, product safety, environmental laws, consumer protection laws, import/export controls and tax. We are also subject to regulation in other countries where we conduct business. In certain jurisdictions, such regulatory requirements may be more stringent than in the United States. Noncompliance with applicable regulations or requirements could subject us to investigations, sanctions, mandatory product recalls, enforcement actions, disgorgement of profits, fines, damages, civil and criminal penalties, or injunctions. These enforcement actions could harm our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. If any governmental sanctions are imposed, or if we do not prevail in any possible civil or criminal litigation, our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be materially adversely affected. In addition, responding to any action will likely result in a significant diversion of management's attention and resources and an increase in professional fees.

Table of Contents

In addition from time to time we have received, and expect to continue to receive, correspondence from former employees terminated by us who threaten to bring claims against us alleging that we have violated one or more labor and employment regulations. In certain of these instances the former employee has brought claims against us and we expect that we will encounter similar actions against us in the future. An adverse outcome in any such litigation could require us to pay contractual damages, compensatory damages, punitive damages, attorneys' fees and costs.

Anti-takeover provisions in our charter documents and stock option plan could prevent or delay a change in control and, as a result, negatively impact our shareholders.

We have taken a number of actions that could have the effect of discouraging a takeover attempt. For example, provisions of our articles of incorporation and bylaws could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us, even if doing so would be beneficial to our shareholders. These provisions also could limit the price that certain investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock.

These provisions include:

limitations on who may call special meetings of shareholders;

advance notice requirements for nominations for election to the board of directors or for proposing matters that can be acted upon by shareholders at shareholder meetings;

elimination of cumulative voting in the election of directors;

the right of a majority of directors in office to fill vacancies on the board of directors;

the ability of our board of directors to issue, without shareholder approval, blank check preferred stock to increase the number of outstanding shares and thwart a takeover attempt.

Provisions of our 2000 Stock Incentive Plan allow for the automatic vesting of all outstanding equity awards granted under the 2000 Stock Incentive Plan upon a change in control under certain circumstances. Such provisions may have the effect of discouraging a third party from acquiring us, even if doing so would be beneficial to our shareholders.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

Not applicable.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

We occupy three leased facilities of approximately 11,700, 24,500 and 48,600 square feet in Santa Ana, California, which serve as our corporate headquarters. In addition to our executive offices, these facilities also contain substantially all of our domestic manufacturing, engineering, research and development and testing operations. We lease the 24,500 and 48,600 square foot facilities from MDC Land LLC, a limited liability company owned by Manouch Moshayedi, Mike Moshayedi and Mark Moshayedi, each of whom is a founder and major shareholder of STEC. In addition, Manouch Moshayedi and Mark Moshayedi are each an executive officer and director of STEC.

The base rent for the 11,700 square foot facility was approximately \$10,000 per month during 2008. This lease expires in December 2009, but we have the option to extend the lease for an additional 18-month term at the same base rent. The base rent for the 24,500 square foot facility was approximately \$20,000 per month during 2008. This lease expires in July 2017. For the remainder of the lease, base rent shall be adjusted every two years based on the change in the Consumer Price Index. The base rent of the 48,600 square foot facility was approximately \$34,000 per month during 2008. This lease also expires in July 2017. For the remainder of the lease, base rent shall be adjusted every two years based on the change in the Consumer Price Index.

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

In January 2008, we completed the construction of our 210,000 square foot manufacturing facility in Malaysia that is expected, over time, to serve as a major hub for our international operational activities including manufacturing, sales and marketing, procurement, and logistics. As of December 31, 2008, we have invested approximately \$33 million in land, facilities and capital equipment for our Malaysia facility.

We also lease a number of small facilities in both foreign and domestic locations for our additional sales, research and development and engineering staff and for storage. We believe that our existing leased space is adequate for our current operations and that suitable replacement and additional space will be available in the future on commercially reasonable terms.

Table of Contents**ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS****Lemelson Medical, Education & Research Foundation, LLP Patent Infringement**

The Company received notice on November 26, 2001 that the Lemelson Medical, Education & Research Foundation, LLP (Lemelson Foundation) filed a complaint on November 13, 2001 against the Company and other defendants. The complaint was filed in the District Court of Arizona and alleges that the Company s manufacturing processes infringe several patents that the Lemelson Foundation allegedly owns. The complaint also states that these allegedly infringed patents relate to machine vision technology and bar coding technology. On March 7, 2002, the Company was served with the Lemelson Foundation complaint. Thereafter, the case was stayed pending the outcome of related cases against parties involving the same patents. On September 9, 2005, in one of these related cases, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit affirmed a decision by the U.S. District Court for the District of Nevada that found several Lemelson Foundation patents to be unenforceable. Based on the U.S. Court of Appeal s affirmance, the Lemelson Foundation moved to dismiss with prejudice all claims against the Company and certain other defendants, and that request for dismissal was granted by the court. In July 2008, following the dismissal of the last remaining defendant in the case, the court ruled that this case was terminated.

Hard Drive Class Action Lawsuit

On October 6, 2006, an individual, Boris Brand, filed a purported nationwide class action lawsuit against us in the Superior Court for the State of California, County of Los Angeles, alleging that our description of the capacity of our hard drive products constitutes fraudulent, unfair, deceptive and false advertising under California Business and Professions Code Sections 17200 and 17500 and violates the California Consumers Legal Remedies Act. In particular, the lawsuit alleges that our description of the storage capacity on our hard drives uses a decimal basis for measuring gigabytes which results in a lower storage capacity when the hard drives are incorporated into an operating system that uses a binary basis for measurement. Although we believe this lawsuit is without merit, we agreed to provide qualifying class members the means to claim a rebate of 6% of the purchase price of the storage device for a period of three months from the announcement of the program. In addition, we paid a portion of the plaintiff s legal fees as determined by an arbitration proceeding which concluded on March 10, 2008. The court granted preliminary approval of the settlement on May 30, 2008 and we launched our 6% rebate program on June 9, 2008. The court approved the terms of the settlement on September 23, 2008. The submission period for the 6% rebate program ended in September 2008 and we processed the limited number of claims received and all rebates were mailed to claimants by the end of December 2008.

Seagate Patent Infringement Lawsuit

On April 14, 2008, a patent infringement lawsuit was filed in the United States District Court, Northern District of California by Seagate Technology LLC, Seagate Technology International, Seagate Singapore International Headquarters Pte. Ltd. and Maxtor Corporation (collectively, Seagate) alleging that we infringe four of Seagate s patents U.S. Patent Nos. 6,404,647, 6,849,480, 6,336,174 and 7,042,664. On May 1, 2008, Seagate filed an amended complaint asserting that we infringe an additional Seagate patent U.S. Patent No. 5,261,058. The lawsuit sought injunctive relief and unspecified compensatory and treble damages and attorneys fees for the alleged patent infringement. On February 18, 2009, we, Seagate, and William D. Watkins entered into a Settlement Agreement (the Settlement Agreement) to dismiss with prejudice our respective claims in the lawsuit. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, the parties jointly filed a stipulated order of dismissal with prejudice with the court on February 18, 2009. Under the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the parties also agreed to release each other from liability for all claims asserted by the other in the lawsuit, with each party bearing its own fees and costs incurred in connection with the lawsuit. As part of the dismissal, no money was exchanged and neither party licensed its technology to the other.

Other Legal Proceedings

We are currently not a party to any other material legal proceedings. However, we are involved in other suits and claims in the ordinary course of business, and we may from time to time become a party to other legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business.

As is common in the industry, we currently have in effect a number of agreements in which we have agreed to defend, indemnify and hold harmless certain of our suppliers and customers from damages and costs which may arise from the infringement by our products of third-party patents, trademarks or other proprietary rights. The scope of such indemnity varies, but may, in some instances, include indemnification for damages and expenses, including attorneys fees. Our insurance does not cover intellectual property infringement. The term of these indemnification agreements is generally perpetual any time after execution of the

Table of Contents

agreement. The maximum potential amount of future payments we could be required to make under these indemnification agreements is unlimited. We have never incurred significant costs to defend lawsuits or settle claims related to these indemnification agreements. As a result, we believe the estimated fair value of these agreements is minimal. Accordingly, we have no liabilities recorded for these agreements as of December 31, 2008.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None.

PART II.**ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES****Market Information**

Our common stock is traded on the Nasdaq Global Select Market under the symbol STEC. The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices reported on the Nasdaq Global Select Market for our common stock for the periods indicated.

| | Price range of Common Stock | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|
| | High | Low |
| Year Ended December 31, 2008: | | |
| First Quarter | \$ 10.18 | \$ 5.67 |
| Second Quarter | \$ 14.25 | \$ 6.10 |
| Third Quarter | \$ 11.26 | \$ 7.07 |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 7.92 | \$ 3.42 |
| Year Ended December 31, 2007: | | |
| First Quarter | \$ 13.27 | \$ 6.50 |
| Second Quarter | \$ 8.98 | \$ 5.75 |
| Third Quarter | \$ 8.94 | \$ 6.14 |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 11.05 | \$ 6.30 |

Recent Share Prices

The following table sets forth the closing sales prices per share of our common stock on the Nasdaq Global Market on December 31, 2008, the last trading day in 2008, and February 27, 2009. Because the market price of our common stock is subject to fluctuation, the market value of the shares of our common stock may increase or decrease.

| | Closing Price |
|-------------------|------------------|
| December 31, 2008 | \$ 4.26 |
| February 27, 2009 | \$ 5.64 |

Holdings

As of February 27, 2009, there were 41 holders of record of our common stock.

Dividend Policy

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

Since becoming a public company, we have not declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock and do not expect to do so in the foreseeable future. We currently intend to retain all available funds for use in the operation and expansion of our business. Any future determination to pay dividends will be at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend principally upon our results of operations, financial conditions, capital requirements, contractual and legal restrictions and other factors the board deems relevant.

Stock Performance Graph

The following graph shows a comparison of cumulative total shareholder return, calculated on a dividend reinvested basis, for us, the Nasdaq Composite Index and the Standard & Poor's Semiconductors Index, assuming an investment of \$100 on December 31, 2003. No cash dividends have been declared on our common stock. The graph covers the period from December 31, 2003, the last trading day of our 2003 fiscal year, to December 31, 2008, the last trading day of our 2008 fiscal year. The comparisons in the graph below are based upon historical data and are not indicative of, nor intended to forecast, the future performance of our common stock.

Table of Contents

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary set forth in any of our previous filings made under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, that might incorporate future filings made by us under those statutes, the preceding Stock Performance Graph is not to be incorporated by reference into any such prior filings, nor shall such graph be incorporated by reference into any future filings made by us under those statutes.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

None

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The equity compensation plan information required by this Item is set forth in Part III, Item 12 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The number of shares of our common stock repurchased and the average price paid per share for each month in the three months ended December 31, 2008 are as follows:

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased (3) | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares as Part of Publicly Announced Programs (1)(2) | Maximum Dollar Value that May Yet be Purchased Under the Programs |
|--------------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| October 1 through October 31 | | \$ | | \$ 44,484,139 |
| November 1 through November 30 | 490,040 | \$ 3.74 | 490,040 | \$ 9,615,714 ⁽⁴⁾ |
| December 1 through December 31 | 1,239,371 | \$ 3.75 | 1,239,371 | \$ 5,000,033 |
| Total | 1,729,411 | \$ 3.75 | 1,729,411 | |

- (1) In July 2006, our board of directors authorized a share repurchase program enabling us to repurchase up to \$10 million of our common stock over an 18-month period expiring on February 14, 2008. On May 22, 2007 we announced that our board of directors had authorized the expansion of the existing share repurchase program enabling us to repurchase up to \$60 million of our common stock over an 18-month period expiring on November 18, 2008. As of this program's termination, we had repurchased an aggregate of 2,398,925 shares under this program at an average price of \$7.05 per share for an aggregate purchase price of \$16,906,974. The remaining authorized amount as of the program's termination was \$43,093,026.

Table of Contents

- (2) In November 2008, our board of directors authorized a share repurchase program effective November 19, 2008 enabling us to repurchase up to \$10 million of our common stock over an 18-month period expiring on May 18, 2010.

- (3) Repurchases under our share repurchase programs were and will be made in open market or privately negotiated transactions in compliance with Rule 10b-18 promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. There is no guarantee as to the exact number of shares that will be repurchased by us, and we may discontinue purchases at any time that management determines that additional purchases are not warranted. Repurchased shares were returned to the status of authorized but unissued shares of common stock and may be issued by us in the future. All shares were purchased pursuant to our existing share repurchase programs.

- (4) This amount does not include \$43,093,026 that was available under the May 22, 2007 stock repurchase program that expired on November 18, 2008.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

You should read the following selected consolidated financial data in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes set forth in Part IV, Item 15 and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in Part II, Item 7 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The consolidated income statement data for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008 and the consolidated balance sheet data at December 31, 2008 and 2007 were derived from our consolidated financial statements that have been audited by our independent registered public accounting firm, and are included elsewhere in this Form 10-K. The consolidated income statement data for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 and the consolidated balance sheet data at December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 were derived from our audited consolidated financial statements and are not included in this Form 10-K. The selected financial data of all periods presented have been reclassified to reflect the assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses of the Consumer Division as a discontinued operation.

Table of Contents

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| (in thousands, except share and per share amounts) | | | | | |
| Consolidated Income Statement Data: | | | | | |
| Net revenues | \$ 227,445 | \$ 188,652 | \$ 215,753 | \$ 128,310 | \$ 131,696 |
| Cost of revenues | 156,358 | 131,643 | 147,301 | 97,278 | 106,133 |
| Gross profit | 71,087 | 57,009 | 68,452 | 31,032 | 25,563 |
| Sales and marketing | 19,045 | 17,382 | 16,066 | 11,079 | 8,009 |
| General and administrative | 25,422 | 17,909 | 12,711 | 10,295 | 8,157 |
| Research and development | 21,081 | 14,971 | 10,071 | 6,400 | 4,095 |
| Total operating expenses | 65,548 | 50,262 | 38,848 | 27,774 | 20,261 |
| Operating income | 5,539 | 6,747 | 29,604 | 3,258 | 5,302 |
| Interest income and other, net | 1,326 | 3,786 | 3,653 | 1,629 | 1,052 |
| Income from continuing operations before provision for income taxes | 6,865 | 10,533 | 33,257 | 4,887 | 6,354 |
| Provision for income taxes | 2,714 | 4,723 | 12,071 | 744 | 2,067 |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 4,151 | \$ 5,810 | \$ 21,186 | \$ 4,143 | \$ 4,287 |
| Income from discontinued operations before provision for income taxes | 238 | 7,094 | 1,103 | 2,399 | 470 |
| Provision for income taxes | 97 | 2,890 | 438 | 969 | 68 |
| Income from discontinued operations | \$ 141 | \$ 4,204 | \$ 665 | \$ 1,430 | \$ 402 |
| Net income | \$ 4,292 | \$ 10,014 | \$ 21,851 | \$ 5,573 | \$ 4,689 |
| Net income per share: | | | | | |
| Basic: | | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 0.09 | \$ 0.12 | \$ 0.46 | \$ 0.09 | \$ 0.09 |
| Discontinued operations | \$ | \$ 0.08 | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.03 | \$ 0.01 |
| Total | \$ 0.09 | \$ 0.20 | \$ 0.47 | \$ 0.12 | \$ 0.10 |
| Diluted: | | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 0.08 | \$ 0.11 | \$ 0.44 | \$ 0.09 | \$ 0.09 |
| Discontinued operations | \$ | \$ 0.08 | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.03 | \$ |
| Total | \$ 0.08 | \$ 0.19 | \$ 0.45 | \$ 0.12 | \$ 0.09 |
| Shares used in computation of net income per share: | | | | | |
| Basic | 49,956,111 | 49,843,272 | 46,313,116 | 45,243,141 | 47,707,365 |
| Diluted | 51,132,417 | 51,587,784 | 48,353,536 | 46,624,517 | 49,563,208 |

| | December 31, | | | | |
|---|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| (in thousands) | | | | | |
| Consolidated Balance Sheet Data: | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 33,379 | \$ 94,326 | \$ 40,907 | \$ 60,006 | \$ 73,346 |
| Marketable securities | | | | | 9,972 |
| Working capital | 127,554 | 141,149 | 147,633 | 111,764 | 121,564 |

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Total assets | 205,885 | 210,486 | 206,656 | 155,187 | 153,409 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 181,563 | 185,545 | 166,014 | 127,382 | 131,428 |

Table of Contents**ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the related notes to such consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Form 10-K beginning on page F-1. The following discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Investors should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are based on current expectations and actual results could differ materially from those discussed herein. Factors that could cause or contribute to the differences are discussed in Risk Factors and elsewhere in this Form 10-K. Our actual results could differ materially from those predicted in these forward-looking statements, and the events anticipated in the forward-looking statements may not actually occur. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in these forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements. We are under no duty to update any of the forward-looking statements after the date of this Form 10-K to conform these statements to actual results or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events, unless required by law.

Discontinued Operations of Consumer Division

On February 9, 2007, we entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement (Purchase Agreement) with Fabrik, Inc. (Fabrik) and Fabrik Acquisition Corp. (together with Fabrik, the Purchasers) for the sale of assets relating to a portion of our business which was engaged in the designing, final assembling, selling, marketing and distributing consumer-oriented products based on Flash memory, DRAM technologies and external storage solutions known as the Consumer Division. The consideration paid to us pursuant to the Purchase Agreement consisted of cash in the amount of approximately \$43.0 million. The purchase price was subject to a post-closing adjustment for accrued expenses, reserves on inventory, reserves on accounts receivables and overhead capitalization of the Consumer Division (Purchase Price Adjustment). Subsequent to the closing of the sale, the Purchasers disputed certain amounts calculated by us in regards to the Purchase Price Adjustment. The original claim amount was approximately \$6.7 million. In accordance with the Purchase Agreement, both parties agreed to resolve their Purchase Price Adjustment disputes through a third-party arbitrator. During the arbitration proceeding, the Purchasers conceded approximately \$4.0 million of their original disputed amounts. In January 2008, the arbitrator rejected substantially all of the Purchasers' claims. As of December 31, 2008, no amounts have been recorded in the consolidated financial statements for this matter as we are still in the process of enforcing the arbitrator's decision and resolving other post-closing items with the Purchasers.

The sale of the assets of the Consumer Division meets the criteria defined in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets as a discontinued operation and is presented herein as such. The results of operations and gain on the sale of the assets of the Consumer Division are reported in income from discontinued operations in the Consolidated Financial Statements for all periods presented. Assets and liabilities sold are classified as assets and liabilities of discontinued operations in the Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007. As a result of the sale of the assets of the Consumer Division, which was previously reported as a separate operating segment, we now operate as a single reportable segment. The discussion of our financial condition and results of operations contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K include the operating results of our OEM business with our former Consumer Division being accounted for as a discontinued operation.

Overview

STEC, Inc. designs, develops, manufactures and markets custom memory solutions based on Flash memory and DRAM technologies. Headquartered in Santa Ana, California, we specialize in developing high-speed, high-capacity Flash solid-state drives and memory cards, used in sensitive and highly-volatile environments, and high-density DRAM modules.

We market our products to OEMs, leveraging our design capabilities to offer custom memory solutions to address their specific needs.

We are focusing on several revenue growth initiatives, including:

Developing and qualifying customized Flash-based products, including our Zeus^{IOPS} and Mach8^{IOPS} product lines, for the enterprise-storage and enterprise-server applications, respectively;

Expanding our international business in Asia and Europe.

Over the past several years we have expanded our custom design capabilities of Flash products for OEM applications. We have invested significantly in the design and development of customized Flash controllers, firmware and hardware and made strategic acquisitions that have expanded our Flash design capabilities and sales and marketing infrastructure. Flash product revenue increased 20% from \$91.7 million in 2006

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

to \$110.2 million in 2007 and increased 36% from \$110.2 million in 2007 to \$150.3 million in 2008. We expect our continued investments in Flash custom design capabilities and controller development to result in sustained revenue growth from our Flash product line in 2009. Flash product gross margins were significantly higher than DRAM product gross margins in all periods presented. We are consciously reducing the impact of our lower-margin products on our revenue and expect that as the product mix shifts to our higher-margin SSDs, our gross profit margin will improve in 2009.

Table of Contents

A major area of our Flash-based product investment has been focused on solid-state drive (SSD) technology. We believe the advantages of SSD technology are currently being defined in several distinct market segments; a) enterprise-storage applications, b) enterprise-server applications, c) video-on-demand (VoD) applications, d) PC mobile computing and consumer-related markets and e) military and industrial applications. We see opportunities to leverage our SSD expertise across each of these markets where we believe our technology can outperform existing solutions. In addition, we believe the SSD market will continue to develop over the next few years, aided by the continuation of the decline in Flash component pricing, with the overall unit volumes continuing to grow over the next several years. We have been working with all of the major Enterprise-Storage OEMs to qualify our Zeus^{IOPS} in their systems. Currently, most of the major Enterprise-Storage OEMs have launched or are launching their storage systems equipped with our Zeus^{IOPS} SSDs. In 2008 we entered the mobile computer market with our ultra-mobile SSDs. While we have qualified and sold this product into a leading PC OEM in this market segment, we believe that our technology and SSD product offerings will be primarily focused on the other market segments for SSD technology and expect our revenues in this segment to decline significantly beyond the second quarter of 2009. Further, we have received recent indications from our existing PC OEM customer that they may end-of-life the program which utilizes our ultra-mobile SSD product. In this circumstance, we may have excess inventory which was purchased specifically for this customer in accordance with their purchase orders and sales forecasts. In the event the customer decides to formally end this sales program, we believe that they remain contractually obligated to either take delivery of or compensate us for any excess inventory that we purchased for their ultra-mobile SSD program in accordance with the purchase orders that we received from this customer.

We offer both monolithic DRAM modules and DRAM modules based on our stacking technology. Prior to 2005, a substantial portion of our DRAM business had been comprised of stacked DRAM modules. As a result of the introduction of new DRAM technologies, we expect that a higher percentage of our DRAM business will be derived from monolithic DRAM modules. DRAM product revenue decreased 42% from \$122.5 million in 2006 to \$71.0 million in 2007. In 2008, our DRAM module revenue remained consistent with 2007 at \$71.0 million. We expect sales of DRAM modules to decline over time as we continue to focus on growing our Flash-based product lines.

We continue to make progress toward one of our long-term revenue growth initiatives to expand of our international business in Asia and Europe. Since the beginning of 2004, we have opened sales, marketing, procurement and engineering offices in Austria, Germany, Hong Kong, Italy, Japan, the Netherlands, Taiwan and the United Kingdom in order to build the necessary infrastructure to support product development and revenue growth in those geographic regions. We have also completed construction of a 210,000 square foot manufacturing facility in Malaysia, which we expect will reduce average production and administrative labor costs, provide better access to growing markets internationally, improve supply chain efficiency, reduce lead times, increase manufacturing efficiency through investments in new state-of-the-art equipment, and lower our overall long-term effective tax rate. We believe the additional capacity that is available in our new Penang facility positions us well to meet the growing demand for our Enterprise SSD product lines, and the lower cost infrastructure should also have a positive impact on our gross margins. However, we anticipate start-up and transition costs related to the Malaysia manufacturing facility to negatively impact our earnings in the short-term. The facility has continued to ramp up to production levels during 2008. However, in the short-term we expect that costs incurred to transition our manufacturing operations from Santa Ana, California to Penang, Malaysia will negatively impact our earnings. During 2008, our Malaysian operations incurred approximately \$3.4 million of general, administrative and other expenses, \$1.6 million of research and development expenses, \$400,000 of sales and marketing expenses and \$6.4 million of expenses related to manufacturing overhead. During 2007, our Malaysian operations incurred approximately \$1.5 million of general, administrative and other expenses, \$1.0 million of research and development expenses, and \$1.0 million of expenses related to manufacturing overhead.

We have been granted a ten-year tax holiday for our operations in Malaysia. Commencement of the tax holiday is subject to meeting certain requirements, including the establishment of minimum production capacity levels in Malaysia. We have satisfied all of the requirements for commencement of the tax holiday as of September 2008 and are currently in the final stages of completing the formal documentation for submission to the local government agency. Upon review and approval by the Malaysian Ministry of International Trade and Industry, the tax holiday should be effective beginning September 2008. As long as we meet the holiday requirements, taxable income from operations will not be subject to tax and non-operating income such as interest income will be subject to tax at 26%.

Historically, a limited number of customers have accounted for a significant percentage of our revenue. Our ten largest customers accounted for an aggregate of 77.2%, 74.1% and 81.7% of our total revenues in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. SMART Modular accounted for 34.4%, 50.1% and 37.3% of our total revenues in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively, EMC Corporation accounted for 15.2% of our total revenues in 2008, and Micron Semiconductor accounted for 24.9% of our total revenues in 2006. There were no other customers, which accounted for more than 10.0% of our revenues in 2008, 2007 and 2006.

The composition of our major customer base changes from quarter to quarter as the market demand for our products changes, and we expect this variability will continue in the future. We expect that sales of our products to a limited number of customers will continue to account for a majority of our revenues in the foreseeable future. The loss of, or a significant reduction in purchases by any of our major customers would harm our business, financial condition and results of operations. See Risk Factors Sales to a limited number of customers represent a significant portion of our revenues, and the loss of any key customer would materially reduce our revenues.

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

International sales, which are derived from billings to foreign customers, accounted for 26.3%, 19.5% and 12.7% of our total revenues in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. No foreign geographic area or single foreign country accounted for more than 10.0% of our total revenues in 2008, 2007 and 2006. For 2008, 2007 and 2006, more than 95.0% of our international sales were denominated in U.S. dollars. In addition, our purchases of DRAM and Flash components are currently denominated in U.S. dollars. However, we do face risks associated with doing business in foreign countries. See **Risk Factors** We face risks associated with doing business in foreign countries, including foreign currency fluctuations and trade barriers, that could lead to a decrease in demand for our products or an increase in the cost of the components used in our products.

We expect to experience some seasonality in our business resulting in higher sales generally in the fourth quarter of each year due to corporate customers spending to their full capital budgets before the end of each year. In 2008, these historical seasonal buying patterns were negatively impacted by adverse macro-economic conditions.

Table of Contents**Subsequent Events**

On February 4, 2009, we commenced a reduction of our workforce at our Santa Ana, California headquarters as part of the transition of certain of our operations to our new 210,000 square foot manufacturing facility in Penang, Malaysia. This reduction, which is primarily in our U.S. based workforce, will occur over several stages and is expected to be completed by the end of 2009. The workforce reduction will affect approximately 200 jobs, which represents approximately 25% of our then-current global workforce. Notwithstanding the reduction in force, we expect our global workforce to exit calendar year 2009 at approximately the same level at which we exited calendar year 2008, as operations at our Penang facility become mature. In connection with this reduction in workforce, we expect to incur expenses of between \$1.2 million to \$1.7 million for severance and related costs. We expect to record these expenses in 2009, with a majority to be recorded in the first quarter of 2009. We expect substantially all of the expenses associated with the reduction of workforce to result in cash expenditures. Actual amounts and the exact timing of the workforce reductions could differ from these estimates. We expect cost savings of \$11.5 million in 2009 related to the workforce reduction.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, certain consolidated income statement data reflected as a percentage of revenues.

| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
|---|--------|--------|--------|
| Net revenues | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Cost of revenues | 68.7 | 69.8 | 68.3 |
| Gross profit | 31.3 | 30.2 | 31.7 |
| Operating expenses: | | | |
| Sales and marketing | 8.4 | 9.2 | 7.4 |
| General and administrative | 11.2 | 9.5 | 5.9 |
| Research and development | 9.3 | 7.9 | 4.7 |
| Total operating expenses | 28.9 | 26.6 | 18.0 |
| Operating income | 2.4 | 3.6 | 13.7 |
| Interest income and other | 0.6 | 2.0 | 1.7 |
| Income from continuing operations before income taxes | 3.0 | 5.6 | 15.4 |

Comparison of the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007

Net Revenues. Our revenues increased 20.6% from \$188.7 million in 2007 to \$227.4 million in 2008 due primarily to a 49.2% increase in unit shipments, partially offset by a 19.1% decrease in average sales price (ASP) from \$47 in 2007 to \$38 in 2008. The increase in unit shipments resulted primarily from an increase in orders from new and existing customers in 2008. The decrease in our ASP resulted primarily from a decrease in selling prices for DRAM modules.

Sales of our memory products and storage solutions are made under short-term cancelable orders. We include in our backlog only those customer orders for which we have accepted purchase orders and to which we have assigned shipment dates within the upcoming six months. Since orders constituting our backlog are subject to change due to, among other things, customer cancellations and reschedulings, and our ability to procure necessary components, backlog is not necessarily an indication of future revenues. In addition, there can be no assurance that current backlog will necessarily lead to revenues in any future period. Our backlog was \$25.7 million as of December 31, 2008 and \$13.7 million as of December 31, 2007. Our backlog has increased due primarily to an increase in customer orders for our SSD products. Our ability to predict future sales is limited because a majority of our quarterly product revenues come from orders that are received and fulfilled in the same quarter.

Gross Profit. Our gross profit increased 24.7% from \$57.0 million in 2007 to \$71.1 million in 2008. Gross profit as a percentage of revenues increased from 30.2% in 2007 to 31.3% in 2008. The increase in gross profit in absolute dollars and as a percentage of revenues in 2008 was due primarily to increased revenues and unit shipments for Flash products, partially offset by an increase in production and labor overhead due to ramp-up costs related to our Malaysia facility and an increase in inventory write-downs from \$2.5 million in 2007 to \$3.8 million in 2008.

Sales and Marketing. Sales and marketing expenses are comprised primarily of personnel costs and travel expenses for our domestic and international sales and marketing employees, commissions paid to internal salespersons and independent manufacturers' representatives, shipping

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

costs and marketing programs. Sales and marketing expenses increased 9.6% from \$17.4 million in 2007 to \$19.0 million in 2008. Sales and marketing expenses as a percentage of revenues decreased from 9.2% in 2007 to 8.4% in 2008. The increase in sales and marketing expenses in absolute dollars was due primarily to increases in revenues, units shipped, and the addition of sales and marketing personnel hired to execute on our revenue growth initiatives, including expansion in Asia and Europe, and to support the continued revenue growth of our Flash products, partially offset by lower commissions paid to independent manufacturers' representatives. The decrease in sales and marketing expenses as a percentage of revenues was due primarily to the fixed nature of some of these expenses and as a result of lower commissions paid to independent manufacturers' representatives, which resulted from revised agreements with those representatives during the third quarter of 2007. We expect our sales and marketing expenses to increase in absolute dollars as our revenues grow.

Table of Contents

General and Administrative. General and administrative expenses are comprised primarily of personnel costs for our executive and administrative employees, professional fees and facilities overhead. General and administrative expenses increased 42.0% from \$17.9 million in 2007 to \$25.4 million in 2008. General and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues increased from 9.5% in 2007 to 11.2% in 2008. The increase in general and administrative expenses in absolute dollars and as a percentage of revenues was due primarily to a \$1.8 million increase in payroll and payroll-related costs, a \$1.9 million increase in costs related to the establishment of a new facility in Malaysia, a \$2.4 million increase in IP litigation costs, a \$660,000 increase in depreciation expense, and a \$340,000 increase in global tax structuring costs. Payroll and payroll-related costs increased due to an increase in employee headcount, higher stock-based compensation and bonuses. Malaysia costs have increased as the result of the facility continuing to ramp-up operations in 2008. Depreciation expense increased due to increased investments in computer software applications to support worldwide growth. We expect our general and administrative expenses to increase in absolute dollars as our sale-unit volumes and revenues grow.

Research and Development. Research and development expenses are comprised primarily of personnel costs for our engineering and design staff and the cost of prototype supplies. Research and development expenses increased 40.8% from \$15.0 million in 2007 to \$21.1 million in 2008. Research and development expenses as a percentage of revenues increased from 7.9% in 2007 to 9.3% in 2008. Research and development expenses increased due primarily to an increase in payroll costs from our expanding global research and development efforts from our facilities in the United States, United Kingdom, Taiwan and Malaysia predominantly related to our Flash product line which includes the advancement of high-performance SSDs.

Interest Income and Other. Interest income and other is comprised primarily of interest income from our cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities. Interest income and other decreased from \$3.8 million in 2007 to \$1.3 million in 2008 as a result of a lower average cash balance in 2008 compared to 2007 and a reduction in interest rates in 2008. The reduction in the average cash balance was due primarily to use of cash for inventory purchases related to new SSD product sales in 2008.

Provision for Income Taxes. Provision for income taxes was \$4.7 million in 2007 and \$2.7 million in 2008. Provision for income taxes as a percentage of income before provision for income taxes decreased from 44.8% in 2007 compared to 39.5% in 2008 due primarily to an increase in research and development tax credits taken in 2008.

Income from Continuing Operations. Income from continuing operations decreased from \$5.8 million in 2007 to \$4.2 million in 2008 due primarily to a \$15.3 million increase in operating expenses and a \$2.5 million decrease in interest income and other, net, partially offset by a \$14.1 million increase in gross profit and a \$2.0 million decrease in provision for income taxes in 2008. The increase in operating expenses in 2008 was due primarily to expansion efforts in Asia and Europe, an increase in global research and development efforts for new SSD products and an increase in legal fees due to intellectual property rights litigation.

Income from Discontinued Operations. As previously mentioned above, we sold the assets of our Consumer Division on February 9, 2007. As a result of the sale, the Consumer Division is now reflected as discontinued operations in accordance with SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets. Income from discontinued operations decreased from \$4.2 million in 2007 to \$141,000 in 2008 due primarily to the \$4.7 million gain on sale, net of taxes, of the Consumer Division in 2007 with only minor ancillary legal activity impacting discontinued operations in 2008.

Comparison of the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

Net Revenues. Our revenues decreased 12.6% from \$215.8 million in 2006 to \$188.7 million in 2007. The decrease in revenues was due primarily to an 11.3% decrease in average sales price from \$53 in 2006 to \$47 in 2007. The decrease in revenues was also due primarily to a 42.0% decline in DRAM product sales, partially offset by a 20.1% increase in Flash memory sales. The decrease in our ASP resulted primarily from a decrease in DRAM component pricing in 2007 compared to 2006, a new value-add revenue agreement effective on January 1, 2007 with an existing customer that passes through the cost of the DRAM chips and charges the customer only for manufacturing and kitting services, and a shift in product mix to lower-ASP Flash products. During 2007, \$8.3 million was not included in revenues and cost of revenues pursuant to Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 99-19, Reporting Revenue as a Principal versus Net as an Agent. The amount of \$8.3 million represents the cost of the DRAM chips related to the new value-add revenue agreement.

Our backlog was \$13.7 million as of December 31, 2007, compared to \$16.3 million as of December 31, 2006. Our backlog decreased due to a new consignment sales arrangement with our largest customer in the fourth quarter of 2007. Our ability to predict future sales is limited because a majority of our quarterly product revenues come from orders that are received and fulfilled in the same quarter.

Table of Contents

Gross Profit. Our gross profit decreased 16.7% from \$68.5 million in 2006 to \$57.0 million in 2007. Gross profit as a percentage of revenues decreased from 31.7% in 2006 to 30.2% in 2007. The decrease in gross profit in absolute dollars and as a percentage of revenues in 2007 was due primarily to higher labor and overhead costs and lower revenue during 2007, an 11% decrease in ASP from 2006 to 2007 related to a decrease in DRAM component pricing in 2007 compared to 2006, an increase in inventory write-downs from \$2.1 million in 2006 to \$2.5 million in 2007, and \$445,000 of SSD product development expenses incurred toward the advancement and initial customer evaluation sales of the Company's newest product line of solid-state drive solutions.

Sales and Marketing. Sales and marketing expenses increased 8.2% from \$16.1 million in 2006 to \$17.4 million in 2007. Sales and marketing expenses as a percentage of revenues increased from 7.4% in 2006 to 9.2% in 2007. The increase in sales and marketing expenses in absolute dollars and as a percentage of revenues was due primarily to an increase in marketing expenses incurred on new product line introductions and the expansion of the sales force to help execute on our revenue growth initiatives, such as expansion in Asia and Europe, and to support the continued revenue expansion of our Flash products. We expect our sales and marketing expenses to increase in absolute dollars as our revenues grow.

General and Administrative. General and administrative expenses increased 40.9% from \$12.7 million in 2006 to \$17.9 million in 2007. General and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues increased from 5.9% in 2006 to 9.5% in 2007. The increase in general and administrative expenses in absolute dollars and as a percentage of revenues was due primarily to increases in payroll costs (\$792,000), pre-opening costs related to the establishment of a new facility in Malaysia (\$1.4 million), global tax structuring costs (\$711,000), accounting and audit costs, including Sarbanes-Oxley implementation costs (\$690,000), fees and expenses in connection with the Consumer Division purchase price adjustment arbitration (\$920,000), and legal fees (\$655,000). We expect our general and administrative expenses to increase in absolute dollars as our sale unit volumes and revenues grow.

Research and Development. Research and development expenses increased 48.7% from \$10.1 million in 2006 to \$15.0 million in 2007. Research and development expenses as a percentage of revenues increased from 4.7% in 2006 to 7.9% in 2007. Research and development expenses increased due primarily to an increase in payroll costs from our expanding global research and development efforts from our facilities in the United States, United Kingdom, Taiwan and Malaysia predominantly related to our Flash product line which includes the advancement of high-performance SSDs.

Interest Income and Other. Interest income and other increased 3.6% from \$3.7 million in 2006 to \$3.8 million in 2007 due primarily to a \$2.1 million increase in interest income as a result of a higher average cash balance in 2007 compared to 2006, partially offset by a \$2.0 million decrease in other income in 2007 compared to 2006. The average cash balance increased in 2007 due primarily to cash proceeds of approximately \$43.0 million received from the sale of the assets of our Consumer Division in February 2007. The \$2.0 million decrease in other income was due primarily to \$1.9 million in legal settlements received in 2006 related to class action litigation involving predatory pricing practices by certain DRAM vendors.

Provision for Income Taxes. Provision for income taxes was \$12.1 million in 2006 and \$4.7 million in 2007. Provision for income taxes as a percentage of income before provision for income taxes increased from 36.3% in 2006 compared to 44.8% in 2007 due primarily to an increase in foreign losses that are not benefited, which partially offset the benefit from increases in tax-exempt interest income and federal tax credits related to research and development in 2007. The increase in foreign losses that are not tax benefited is due to the short-term impact of the new global tax structure that we are in the process of implementing. Once the structure is in place, we expect to receive long-term tax benefits.

Income from Continuing Operations. Income from continuing operations decreased from \$21.2 million in 2006 and \$5.8 million in 2007 due primarily to a decrease in gross profit and an increase in operating expenses in 2007, partially offset by a decrease in the provision for income taxes in 2007. The increase in operating expenses in 2007 was due primarily to expansion efforts in Asia and Europe, higher accounting and audit fees, legal fees, fees and expenses incurred in connection with the purchase price adjustment arbitration with Fabrik, Inc., and increased investments in research and development for new Flash products.

Income from Discontinued Operations. As previously mentioned above, we sold the assets of our Consumer Division on February 9, 2007. As a result of the sale, the Consumer Division is now reflected as discontinued operations in accordance with SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets. Income from discontinued operations, net of taxes increased from \$665,000 in 2006 to \$4.2 million in 2007 due primarily to the \$4.7 million gain on sale, net of taxes, of the Consumer Division in 2007.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Working Capital, Cash and Cash Equivalents

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

As of December 31, 2008, we had working capital of \$127.6 million, including \$33.4 million of cash and cash equivalents, compared to working capital of \$141.1 million, including \$94.3 million of cash and cash equivalents at December 31, 2007, and compared to working capital of \$147.6 million, including \$40.9 million of cash and cash equivalents as of December 31, 2006. Current assets were 6.6 times current liabilities at the end of 2008, compared to 6.9 times current liabilities at the end of 2007, and compared to 4.6 times current liabilities at the end of 2006.

Table of Contents***Cash Provided by and Used in Operating Activities in 2008, 2007 and 2006***

Net cash used in operating activities was \$31.4 million in 2008 and resulted primarily from a \$32.4 million increase in inventory, a \$9.2 million increase in accounts receivable, net of reserves, and a \$4.2 million increase in other assets, partially offset by net income of \$4.3 million and non-cash depreciation and amortization of \$9.2 million. Inventory increased due primarily to an increase in purchases of Flash inventory in 2008 in anticipation of increased production volumes for SSD products based on customer forecast and orders related to new product launches set for the second half of 2008 and the first quarter of 2009. Accounts receivable, net of reserves, increased due primarily to an increase in overall sales, as well as higher sales in December 2008, compared to December 2007. Other assets increased due primarily to a \$3.6 million increase in income taxes receivable.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$29.4 million in 2007 resulting primarily from a \$19.9 million decrease in inventory, income from continuing operations of \$5.8 million, depreciation and amortization of \$4.7 million, and cash flows provided by discontinued operations of \$6.7 million, partially offset by a \$5.4 million decrease in accounts payable and a \$2.4 million increase in leasehold interest in land. Inventory decreased due primarily to less purchases of inventory in the fourth quarter of 2007 as the result of vendor required last time buys on certain inventory items in late 2006 which supported customer demand through 2007. Also the Company focused on increasing inventory turns in 2007. The cash provided by discontinued operations of the Consumer Division for year ended December 31, 2007 was due primarily to working capital changes and \$4.2 million of income from discontinued operations. Accounts payable decreased as a result of lower inventory purchases for the three months ended December 31, 2007 compared to the three months ended December 31, 2006. Leasehold interest in land increased as a result of certain upfront payments made by the Company to acquire Qualified Title to land for a term of 60 years in Malaysia under two separate Sale and Purchase Agreements.

Net cash used in operating activities was \$28.7 million in 2006 resulting primarily from a \$29.6 million increase in inventory, a \$19.4 million increase in accounts receivable, net of reserves, and cash flows used in discontinued operations of \$14.8 million, partially offset by income from continuing operations of \$21.2 million, an increase in accounts payable of \$6.7 million, an increase in accrued and other liabilities of \$2.8 million and non-cash depreciation and amortization of \$3.8 million. Net working capital balances related to continuing operations increased due primarily to an increase in sales for the OEM Division Flash product line orders. Cash used in discontinued operations was primarily related to increases in net accounts receivable and inventory as a result of the continued growth of the Consumer Division external storage product line in the retail channel and increases in new inventory consignment arrangements at two major retailers.

Cash Provided by and Used in Investing Activities in 2008, 2007 and 2006

Net cash used in investing activities was \$18.9 million in 2008 resulting primarily from \$19.2 million in purchases of property, plant and equipment related to production equipment for the United States of \$5.3 million and Malaysia of \$13.9 million. During the year, we had purchases and sales of marketable securities of \$47.8 million.

Net cash provided by investing activities was \$15.6 million in 2007 resulting primarily from \$43.0 million in cash received in connection with the sale of the assets of our Consumer Division in February 2007, partially offset by \$27.6 million in purchases of property, plant and equipment. The purchases of property, plant and equipment related primarily to production equipment for the United States and Malaysia locations. In addition, we had purchases and sales of marketable securities of \$496.3 million during the year.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$7.1 million in 2006 resulting primarily from purchases of property, plant and equipment of \$5.9 million and cash considerations of \$500,000 and \$687,000 for the acquisitions of a division of Integrated Circuit Solution Inc. in January 2006 and the assets of Gnutek Ltd., a privately-held company based in the United Kingdom in October 2006, respectively. Additionally, we purchased and sold \$234.8 million of marketable securities in 2006. The purchases of property, plant and equipment related primarily to production equipment for the United States and Malaysia locations.

As of December 31, 2008, we have made capital expenditures of approximately \$33 million for our Malaysia facility related primarily to building construction costs, acquisition of land and purchases of production equipment. We estimate that total investments in land, facilities and capital equipment will be approximately \$6 million over the next five years ending December 31, 2013. We expect that the substantial majority of these estimated investments will relate to our Malaysia facility.

Cash Provided and Used in Financing Activities in 2008, 2007 and 2006

Net cash used in financing activities was \$10.7 million in 2008 and resulted from a \$19.5 million repurchase of our common stock under our share repurchase program, partially offset by \$5.9 million in proceeds realized from the exercise of stock options and a \$2.9 million tax benefit from employee stock option exercises and vestings of restricted stock units. In July 2006, our board of directors authorized a share repurchase

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

program enabling us to repurchase up to \$10 million of our common stock over an 18-month period expiring on February 14, 2008. In May 2007, our board of directors authorized the expansion of this repurchase program enabling us to repurchase up to \$60.0 million of our common stock over an 18-month period expiring on November 18, 2008.

Table of Contents

In November 2008, our board of directors authorized a share repurchase program effective November 19, 2008 enabling us to repurchase up to \$10 million of our common stock over an 18-month period expiring on May 18, 2010. We repurchased 3,398,619 shares of common stock at an average share price of \$5.73, including commissions during 2008 under these repurchase programs. Repurchased shares were returned to the status of authorized but unissued shares of common stock and may be reissued by us in the future. During the year, we had borrowings and payments made on borrowings under our line of credit of \$35.0 million.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$8.5 million in 2007 and resulted from \$8.1 million in proceeds realized from the exercise of stock options and \$2.9 million tax benefit from employee stock option exercises and vesting of restricted stock units, partially offset by a \$2.5 million repurchase of our common stock under our share repurchase program. We repurchased 335,847 shares of common stock at an average share price of \$7.48, including commissions, in 2007. Repurchased shares were returned to the status of authorized but unissued shares of common stock and may be issued by us in the future.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$16.7 million in 2006 resulting primarily from the issuance of common stock for proceeds of \$12.8 million related to stock option exercises and \$3.8 million from the excess tax benefits related to stock option exercises. We did not repurchase any shares of our common stock under our share repurchase program in 2006.

On July 30, 2008, we entered into an agreement for a \$35 million two-year senior unsecured revolving credit facility (the Credit Facility) with Wachovia Bank, National Association (Wachovia). The Credit Facility will bear interest at a floating rate equivalent to, at our option, either (i) LIBOR plus 0.70% - 1.20% depending on our leverage ratio at each quarter end or (ii) Wachovia's prime rate, announced from time to time, less 1.00% - 1.50% depending on our leverage ratio at each quarter end. The Credit Facility is guaranteed by certain of our domestic subsidiaries. In addition, in the event we make a loan to any of our foreign subsidiaries, we have agreed to pledge to Wachovia our intercompany note from such foreign subsidiary. The Credit Facility agreement contains customary affirmative and negative covenants, some of which require the maintenance of specified leverage and minimum liquidity ratios. We were subject to a maximum leverage ratio of 2.5 to 1.0 for the quarter ended December 31, 2008, and a minimum liquidity ratio of 1.0 to 5.0 through December 31, 2008. The Credit Facility matures on July 30, 2010. As of December 31, 2008, there were no borrowings outstanding under our Credit Facility with Wachovia. As of December 31, 2008, we were in compliance with all required covenants. The Credit Facility will be used to maintain liquidity and fund working capital requirements, on an as needed basis.

We believe that our existing assets, cash, cash equivalents and investments on hand, together with the \$35 million credit facility with Wachovia and cash that we expect to generate from our operations, will be sufficient to meet our capital needs for at least the next twelve months. However, it is possible that we may need or elect to raise additional funds to fund our activities beyond the next year to provide additional working capital if our revenues increase substantially, to expand our international operations or to consummate acquisitions of other businesses, products or technologies. We could raise such funds by selling more stock to the public or to selected investors, or by borrowing money. In addition, even though we may not need additional funds, we may still elect to sell additional equity securities or obtain additional credit facilities for other reasons. There can be no assurance that we will be able to obtain additional funds on commercially favorable terms, or at all. If we raise additional funds by issuing additional equity or convertible debt securities, the ownership percentages of existing shareholders would be reduced. In addition, the equity or debt securities that we issue may have rights, preferences or privileges senior to those of the holders of our common stock.

We determine our future capital and operating requirements and liquidity based, in large part, upon our projected financial performance, and we regularly review and update these projections due to changes in general economic conditions, our current and projected operating and financial results, the competitive landscape and other factors. Although we believe we have sufficient capital to fund our activities for at least the next twelve months, our future capital requirements may vary materially from those now planned. The amount of capital that we will need in the future will depend on many factors, including:

- whether our revenues increase substantially;
- our relationships with suppliers and customers;
- the market acceptance of our products;

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

expansion of our international business, including the opening of offices and facilities in foreign countries;

price discounts on our products to our customers;

our pursuit of strategic transactions, including acquisitions, joint ventures and capital investments;

our business, product, capital expenditure and research and development plans and product and technology roadmaps;

the levels of inventory and accounts receivable that we maintain;

our entrance into new markets;

capital improvements to new and existing facilities;

technological advances; and

competitors' responses to our products.

Table of Contents**Contractual Obligations and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

Other than lease commitments incurred in the normal course of business (see Contractual Obligation table below), we do not have any material off-balance sheet financing arrangements or liabilities, guarantee contracts, retained or contingent interests in transferred assets, or any obligation arising out of a material variable interest in an unconsolidated entity. We do not have any majority-owned subsidiaries that are not included in the consolidated financial statements. Additionally, we do not have any interest in, or relationship with, any special purpose entities.

In the ordinary course of business, we may provide indemnifications of varying scope and terms to customers, vendors, lessors, business partners and other parties with respect to certain matters, including, but not limited to, losses arising out of our breach of such agreements, services to be provided by us, or from intellectual property infringement claims made by third parties. In addition, we have entered into indemnification agreements with our directors and certain of our officers that will require us, among other things, to indemnify them against certain liabilities that may arise by reason of their status or service as directors or officers. We maintain director and officer insurance, which may cover certain liabilities arising from our obligation to indemnify our directors and officers in certain circumstances. It is not possible to determine the maximum potential amount under these indemnification agreements due to the limited history of prior indemnification claims and the unique facts and circumstances involved in each particular agreement. Such indemnification agreements may not be subject to maximum loss clauses. Historically, we have not incurred material costs as a result of obligations under these agreements.

Set forth in the table below is our estimate of our significant contractual obligations at December 31, 2008 (in thousands):

| Contractual Obligation | Total | Payment due by period | | | |
|---|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| | | Less than 1 year | 1-3 years | 3-5 years | More than 5 years |
| Operating lease obligations | \$ 5,717 | \$ 739 | \$ 1,379 | \$ 1,299 | \$ 2,300 |
| Non-cancelable capital equipment purchase commitments | 1,570 | 1,570 | | | |
| Non-cancelable inventory purchase commitments | 6,735 | 6,735 | | | |
| Other non-cancelable purchase commitments | 524 | 524 | | | |
| Total | \$ 14,546 | \$ 9,568 | \$ 1,379 | \$ 1,299 | \$ 2,300 |

As of December 31, 2008, we had a liability for unrecognized tax benefits, including interest and penalties of \$2.2 million. We are unable to determine when cash settlement with tax authorities may occur.

Inflation

Inflation was not a material factor in either revenue or operating expenses during the past three years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2007, the FASB ratified Emerging Issues Task Force consensus on EITF Issue No. 07-3, Accounting for Non-refundable Advanced Payments for Goods or Services to be Used in Future Research and Development Activities. EITF Issue No. 07-3 requires that these payments be deferred and capitalized and expensed as goods are delivered or as the related services are performed. We adopted EITF 07-3 on January 1, 2009. We do not expect that the adoption will have a material impact on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), Business Combinations, and SFAS No. 160, Accounting and Reporting of Noncontrolling Interest in Consolidated Financial Statements, an Amendment of ARB No. 51 (SFAS No. 160). These new standards will significantly change the financial accounting and reporting of business combination transactions and noncontrolling (or minority) interests in consolidated financial statements. We adopted SFAS No. 141(R) and SFAS No. 160 on January 1, 2009. Accordingly, any business combinations we engaged in was recorded and disclosed following existing accounting principles until January 1, 2009. The impact of SFAS No. 141(R) on our consolidated financial statements will depend on the nature, terms and size of the acquisitions, if any, that are consummated after the effective date of January 1, 2009. We do not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 160 to have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities – an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 133. This Statement requires enhanced disclosures about an entity's derivative and hedging activities and is effective for financial

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. We will be required to adopt SFAS 161 in the first quarter of fiscal 2010 and do not expect that the adoption will have a material impact on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents

In April 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) SFAS No. 142-3, Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets. FSP SFAS No. 142-3 amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. The intent of FSP SFAS No. 142-3 is to improve the consistency between the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under SFAS No. 142 and the period of expected cash flows used to measure the fair value of the asset under SFAS No. 141(R) and other applicable accounting literature. We adopted FSP SFAS No. 142-3 on January 1, 2009. We do not anticipate that the adoption of FSP SFAS No. 142-3 will have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Effective January 1, 2008, we adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurement, which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. Our adoption of SFAS No. 157 did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements for financial assets and liabilities and any other assets and liabilities carried at fair value. SFAS No. 157 does not require any new fair value measurements. Relative to SFAS No. 157, the FASB issued FASB Staff Positions (FSP) 157-1, 157-2 and 157-3. FSP 157-1 amends SFAS No. 157 to exclude SFAS No. 13, Accounting for Leases, and its related interpretive accounting pronouncements that address leasing transactions, while FSP 157-2 delays the effective date of the application of SFAS No. 157 to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008 for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except for items that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). FSP 157-3 clarifies how management's internal assumptions should be considered in measuring fair value when (i) observable data are not present, (ii) observable market information from an inactive market should be taken into account, and (iii) the use of broker quotes or pricing services should be considered in assessing the relevance of observable and unobservable data to measure fair value. We elected the one-year deferral and thus will not apply the provisions of SFAS No. 157 to nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities that are recognized at fair value in the financial statements on a nonrecurring basis until the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2009. Non-recurring nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities for which we have not applied the provisions of SFAS No. 157 include those measured at fair value in goodwill impairment testing and those initially measured at fair value in a business combination.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and include the accounts of STEC, Inc. and each of its subsidiaries. All accounts and transactions among STEC and its subsidiaries have been eliminated in consolidation. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses for each period. The following represents a summary of our critical accounting policies, defined as those policies that we believe are: (a) the most important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations, and (b) that require management's most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effects of matters that are inherently uncertain.

Revenue recognition. Pursuant to the Securities and Exchange Commission Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, Revenue Recognition, we recognize revenue when there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement, delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, the sales price is fixed or determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured. A substantial portion of our product sales are on FOB shipping point terms where product title passes to our customer at the time it is shipped from our warehouse. Products sales on FOB destination terms are not recognized until delivered to the customer. We also maintain inventory, or hubbing, arrangements with certain of our customers. Pursuant to these arrangements, we deliver products to a customer or a designated third party warehouse based upon the customer's projected needs but do not recognize product revenue unless and until the customer has removed our product from the warehouse to incorporate into its end products.

In addition, effective January 1, 2007, we entered into a value-add revenue agreement with one of our DRAM customers. Under the terms of the agreement, we are no longer the primary obligor, and our general inventory risk on DRAM chips used in the manufacture of memory modules for the customer has been eliminated. As a result, we record the related revenue on a value-add only basis, passing through the cost of the DRAM chips and charging the customer only for the manufacturing and kitting services provided by us. This accounting method conforms to the Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 99-19, Reporting Revenue as a Principal versus Net as an Agent.

Write-down of inventory for excess, obsolescence and lower of market values over costs. We purchase raw materials in quantities that we anticipate will be fully used in the near term. Changes in operating strategy, customer demand and unpredictable fluctuations in market values of raw materials can limit our ability to effectively utilize all of the raw materials purchased and result in finished goods with above market carrying costs which may cause losses on sales to customers. We regularly monitor potential excess, or

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

obsolete, inventory by analyzing the length of time in stock and compare market values to cost. When necessary, we reduce the carrying amount of our inventory to its market value.

Allowances for doubtful accounts and price protection. We maintain allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of our customers to make required payments. We review our allowance for doubtful accounts quarterly and all past due balances over 90 days are reviewed for collectibility. Additionally, we maintain allowances for limited price protection rights for inventories of our products held by our customers as a result of recent sales transactions to them. If we reduce the list price of our products, these customers may receive a credit from us. By monitoring our inventory levels with our customers, we estimate the impact of such pricing changes on a regular basis and adjust our allowances accordingly.

Goodwill and intangible assets. In accordance with SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets (SFAS 142), goodwill and other intangible assets with indefinite lives are no longer subject to amortization but are tested for impairment annually or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. Subsequent to the sale of the Consumer Division, we operate in one operating segment and have one reporting unit. We assess potential impairment on an annual basis on the last day of the year and compare the market capitalization of the reporting unit to its carrying amount, including goodwill. During the quarter ended December 31, 2008, our stock price, along with that of our competitors and the stock market in general, declined, resulting in our market capitalization falling below our book value. We considered this decline temporary and based on general economic conditions, and not based on any events or conditions specific to us. Accordingly, we determined that no goodwill impairment was required. However, a significant decrease in our future stock price could indicate a material impairment of goodwill which, after further analysis, could result in a material charge to operations. If goodwill is considered impaired, the impairment loss to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the goodwill exceeds the implied fair value of that goodwill. Intangible assets with finite lives continue to be subject to amortization, and any impairment is determined in accordance with SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets. We continually monitor events and changes in circumstances that could indicate that the carrying balances of our intangibles assets may not be recoverable in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 144. When such events or changes in circumstances are present, we assess the recoverability of long-lived assets by determining whether the carrying value of such assets will be recovered through undiscounted expected future cash flows. If the total of the future cash flows is less than the carrying amount of those assets, we recognize an impairment loss based on the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of the assets. Inherent in our fair value determinations are certain judgments and estimates, including projections of future cash flows, the discount rate reflecting the risk inherent in future cash flows, the interpretation of current economic indicators and market valuations, and strategic plans with regard to operations. A change in these underlying assumptions would cause a change in the results of the tests, which could cause the fair value of our reporting unit to be less than its respective carrying amount.

Table of Contents

Product returns. While we may not be contractually obligated to accept returned products, we may determine that it is in our best interest to accept returns in order to maintain good relationships with our customers. We provide for estimated future returns of inventory at the time of sale based on historical experience, and actual results have been within our expectations.

Sales and marketing incentives. Sales and marketing incentives are offset against revenues or charged to operations in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 01-09 (EITF 01-09), *Accounting for Consideration Given by a Vendor to a Customer (Including a Reseller of the Vendor's Products)*. Sales and marketing incentives amounting to \$1.1 million in 2008, \$1.2 million in 2007 and \$1.5 million in 2006, were offset against revenues.

Consideration generally given by us to a customer is presumed to be a reduction of selling price, and therefore, a reduction of revenue. However, if we receive an identifiable benefit in return for the consideration given to our customer that is sufficiently separable from our sales to that customer, such that we could have paid an independent company to receive that benefit; and we can reasonably estimate the fair value of that benefit, then the consideration is characterized as an expense. We estimate the fair value of the benefits we receive by tracking the advertising done by our customers on our behalf and calculating the value of that advertising using a comparable rate for similar publications.

Stock-based compensation expense. We account for stock-based compensation in accordance with SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment* (SFAS 123R). Under the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123R, stock-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the fair value of the award and is recognized as expense over the requisite service period, which is the vesting period. We use the Black-Scholes option-pricing model to estimate the fair values of stock options. The Black-Scholes option-pricing model requires the input of certain assumptions that require our judgment including the expected term and the expected stock price volatility of the underlying stock options. The assumptions used in calculating the fair value of stock-based compensation represent management's best estimates, but these estimates involve inherent uncertainties and the application of judgment. As a result, if factors change resulting in the use of different assumptions, stock-based compensation expense could be materially different in the future. In addition, we are required to estimate the expected forfeiture rate and only recognize expense for those shares expected to vest. If the actual forfeiture rate is materially different from our estimates, the stock-based compensation expense could be significantly different from what we recorded in the current period.

Income taxes. As part of the process of preparing our consolidated financial statements, we are required to estimate our income taxes in each of the jurisdictions in which we operate. The process incorporates an assessment of the current tax exposure together with temporary differences resulting from different treatment of transactions for tax and financial statement purposes. Such differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities, which are included within the consolidated balance sheet. The recovery of deferred tax assets from future taxable income must be assessed and, to the extent that recovery is not likely, we establish a valuation allowance. Increases in valuation allowances result in the recording of additional tax expense. Further, if our ultimate tax liability differs from the periodic tax provision reflected in the consolidated income statements, additional tax expense may be recorded.

On January 1, 2007, we adopted FIN 48 which establishes a single model to address accounting for uncertain tax positions. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for income taxes by prescribing a minimum recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, measurement, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition.

Litigation and other contingencies. Management regularly evaluates our exposure to threatened or pending litigation and other business contingencies. Because of the uncertainties related to the amount of loss from litigation and other business contingencies, the recording of losses relating to such exposures requires significant judgment about the potential range of outcomes. As additional information about current or future litigation or other contingencies becomes available, our management will assess whether such information warrants the recording of additional expense relating to our contingencies. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable. The results of these estimates form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

Our cash and cash equivalents consist of commercial paper and highly-liquid money market funds. Our main investment objectives are the preservation of investment capital and the maximization of after-tax returns on our investment portfolio. Consequently, we invest in the securities that meet high credit quality standards and we limit the amount of our credit exposure to any one issuer. We do not use derivative instruments for speculative or investment purposes.

Table of Contents**Interest Rate Risk**

At any time, fluctuations in interest rates could affect interest earnings on our cash and cash equivalents. We believe that the effect, if any, of reasonably possible near-term changes in interest rates on our financial position, results of operations, and cash flows would not be material. Currently, we do not hedge these interest rate exposures. The primary objective of our investment activities is to preserve capital. We have not used derivative financial instruments in our investment portfolio.

At December 31, 2008, our cash and cash equivalents were \$33.4 million invested in treasury funds, money market funds and other interest bearing accounts. At December 31, 2008, we had no investments in marketable securities.

If interest rates were to decrease 1%, the result would be an annual decrease in our interest income related to our cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$334,000. However, due to the uncertainty of the actions that would be taken and their possible effects, this analysis assumes no such action. Further, this analysis does not consider the effect of the change in the level of overall economic activity that could exist in such an environment.

The estimated fair value of our cash and cash equivalents as of December 31, 2008 is as follows:

| | Expected Maturity Date | | Total | Fair Value 12/31/2008 |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|------------|---------------|--------------------------|
| | 2008 | Thereafter | | |
| Investments | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents: | | | | |
| Money market funds | \$ 33,379,000 | \$ 0 | \$ 33,379,000 | \$ 33,379,000 |
| Weighted average interest rate | 2.44% | | 2.44% | 2.44% |

As of December 31, 2008 and 2007, we did not hold investments in marketable securities or auction rate securities.

We are also exposed to interest rate risks due to the possibility of changing interest rates under our \$35 million two-year senior unsecured revolving credit facility with Wachovia Bank. Borrowings under the credit facility will bear interest at a floating rate equivalent to, at our option, either (i) LIBOR plus 0.70% - 1.20% depending on our leverage ratio at each quarter end or (ii) Wachovia's prime rate, announced from time to time, less 1.00% - 1.50% depending on our leverage ratio at each quarter end. The credit facility matures on July 30, 2010. As of December 31, 2008, there were no borrowings outstanding under our credit facility.

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

More than 95.0% of our international sales are denominated in U.S. dollars. Consequently, if the value of the U.S. dollar increases relative to a particular foreign currency, our products could become relatively more expensive. In addition, we purchase substantially all of our IC components from local distributors of Japanese, Korean and Taiwanese suppliers. Fluctuations in the currencies of Japan, Korea or Taiwan could have an adverse impact on the cost of our raw materials. To date, we have not entered any derivative instruments to manage risks related to interest rate or foreign currency exchange rates.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

STEC, Inc.'s consolidated financial statements and schedule required by this item are included in Part IV, Item 15 of this Report.

The supplementary data required by this item is included in Note 14 to STEC, Inc.'s consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Inherent Limitations on Effectiveness of Controls. Our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls or our internal control over financial reporting will prevent or detect all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the control system's objectives will be met. The design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Further, because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that misstatements due to error or fraud will not occur or that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Controls can also be circumvented by the

Table of Contents

individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls is based in part on certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Projections of any evaluation of controls effectiveness to future periods are subject to risks. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or deterioration in the degree of compliance with policies or procedures.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. An evaluation as of the end of the period covered by this report was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) and Rule 15d-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). Based on their evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report to ensure that we record, process, summarize, and report information required to be disclosed by us in our reports filed under the Exchange Act within the time periods specified by the Securities and Exchange Commission's (SEC) rules and forms.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining an adequate system of internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act. Our internal control over financial reporting was designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Our management evaluated the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008. In making their evaluation, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework*.

Based on this evaluation, management concluded that, as of December 31, 2008, our internal control over financial reporting was effective based on the criteria set forth by COSO in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework*.

The effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report that appears under Part IV, Item 15.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. During the fourth quarter of 2008, there have not been any changes in our internal controls over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that have materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9A(T). CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Not applicable.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

Manouch Moshayedi, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, and Mark Moshayedi, President and Chief Operating Officer, adopted pre-arranged stock trading plans to sell a portion of STEC common stock held in family trusts for which such officers serve as trustees in November 2008. The plans provide for potential sales of common stock held by their trusts over a period ending in June 2010 if pre-determined sales parameters are triggered. Both executives have informed the Company that the stock sales are part of their individual long-term strategy for asset diversification, estate planning and liquidity. The stock trading plans were adopted in accordance with Rule 10b5-1 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and the Company's policies regarding stock transactions by insiders.

PART III.

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information required by this Item is included in Proposal No. 1: Elections of Directors, Management, Corporate Governance, and Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance sections of our Proxy Statement to be filed in connection with our 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this Item is included in the Executive Compensation and Related Information , Compensation of Non-Employee Directors , and Corporate Governance sections of our Proxy Statement to be filed in connection with our 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents**ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS****Equity Compensation Plan Information**

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2008 with respect to the shares of our common stock that may be issued under our existing equity compensation plans.

| Plan Category | Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (a) | Weighted-average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (b) | Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a)) (c) |
|--|---|---|---|
| Equity Compensation Plans Approved by Shareholders (1) | 4,821,266 | \$ 6.29 | 5,535,455(2) |
| Equity Compensation Plans Not Approved by Shareholders | | | |
| Total | 4,821,266 | | 5,535,455 |

(1) Consists of the 2000 Stock Incentive Plan.

(2) Consists of shares available for future issuance under the 2000 Stock Incentive Plan. The number of shares of common stock available for issuance under the 2000 Stock Incentive Plan automatically increases on the first trading day of January each calendar year by an amount equal to 4% of the total number of shares of common stock outstanding on the last trading day in December of the prior calendar year, but in no event will any such annual increase exceed 2,500,000 shares of common stock.

The other information required by this Item is included in the Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management sections of our Proxy Statement to be filed in connection with our 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by this Item is included in the Corporate Governance and Certain Relationships and Related Transactions sections of our Proxy Statement to be filed in connection with our 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this Item is included in Proposal No. 2: Ratification of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm section of our Proxy Statement to be filed in connection with our 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV.**ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES**

(a) Documents filed as part of this Report:

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

1. Financial Statements. The following financial statements of STEC, Inc. are included in a separate section of this Annual Report on Form 10-K commencing on the pages referenced below:

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| STEC, Inc. Consolidated Financial Statements | |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | F-2 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2008 and 2007</u> | F-3 |
| <u>Consolidated Income Statements for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008</u> | F-4 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008</u> | F-5 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008</u> | F-6 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | F-7 |

2. Exhibits. The exhibits listed on the accompanying index to exhibits immediately following the signature page are filed as part of, or hereby incorporated by reference into, this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

STEC, INC.

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| STEC, Inc., Consolidated Financial Statements | |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | F-2 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2008 and 2007</u> | F-3 |
| <u>Consolidated Income Statements for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008</u> | F-4 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008</u> | F-5 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008</u> | F-6 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | F-7 |

F-1

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

STEC, Inc.

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of income, shareholders' equity and cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of STEC, Inc. and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits (which were integrated audits in 2008 and 2007). We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company changed the manner in which it accounts for the financial statement recognition and measurement of uncertain tax positions in 2007.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP
PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
Orange County, California
March 12, 2009

Table of Contents**STEC, INC.****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

| | December 31, 2008 | December 31, 2007 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS: | | |
| Current Assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 33,379 | \$ 94,326 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$1,196 at December 31, 2008 and \$944 at December 31, 2007 | 43,516 | 34,288 |
| Inventory | 63,985 | 31,556 |
| Deferred income taxes | 1,302 | 1,241 |
| Other current assets | 8,264 | 3,633 |
| Current assets of discontinued operations | | 197 |
| Total current assets | 150,446 | 165,241 |
| Leasehold interest in land | 2,587 | 2,662 |
| Property, plant and equipment | 44,406 | 35,266 |
| Intangible assets | 573 | 1,060 |
| Goodwill | 1,682 | 1,682 |
| Other long-term assets | 1,784 | 997 |
| Deferred income taxes | 4,407 | 3,578 |
| Total assets | \$ 205,885 | \$ 210,486 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY: | | |
| Current Liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 13,097 | \$ 16,638 |
| Accrued and other liabilities | 9,795 | 6,971 |
| Liabilities of discontinued operations | | 483 |
| Total current liabilities | 22,892 | 24,092 |
| Long-term income taxes payable | 1,430 | 849 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 9) | | |
| Shareholders' Equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, 20,000,000 shares authorized, no shares outstanding | | |
| Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 48,429,348 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2008 and 50,433,672 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2007 | 48 | 50 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 129,670 | 137,942 |
| Retained earnings | 51,845 | 47,553 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 181,563 | 185,545 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 205,885 | \$ 210,486 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents**STEC, INC.****CONSOLIDATED INCOME STATEMENTS**

(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Net revenues | \$ 227,445 | \$ 188,652 | \$ 215,753 |
| Cost of revenues | 156,358 | 131,643 | 147,301 |
| Gross profit | 71,087 | 57,009 | 68,452 |
| Sales and marketing | 19,045 | 17,382 | 16,066 |
| General and administrative | 25,422 | 17,909 | 12,711 |
| Research and development | 21,081 | 14,971 | 10,071 |
| Total operating expenses | 65,548 | 50,262 | 38,848 |
| Operating income | 5,539 | 6,747 | 29,604 |
| Interest income and other, net | 1,326 | 3,786 | 3,653 |
| Income from continuing operations before provision for income taxes | 6,865 | 10,533 | 33,257 |
| Provision for income taxes | 2,714 | 4,723 | 12,071 |
| Income from continuing operations | 4,151 | 5,810 | 21,186 |
| Discontinued operations (Note 6): | | | |
| Income from operations of Consumer Division (including gain on disposal of \$8,005 in 2007) | 238 | 7,094 | 1,103 |
| Provision for income taxes | 97 | 2,890 | 438 |
| Income from discontinued operations | 141 | 4,204 | 665 |
| Net income | \$ 4,292 | \$ 10,014 | \$ 21,851 |
| Net income per share: | | | |
| Basic: | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 0.09 | \$ 0.12 | \$ 0.46 |
| Discontinued operations | | 0.08 | 0.01 |
| Total | \$ 0.09 | \$ 0.20 | \$ 0.47 |
| Diluted: | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 0.08 | \$ 0.11 | \$ 0.44 |
| Discontinued operations | | 0.08 | 0.01 |
| Total | \$ 0.08 | \$ 0.19 | \$ 0.45 |
| Shares used in per share computation: | | | |
| Basic | 49,956,111 | 49,843,272 | 46,313,116 |
| Diluted | 51,132,417 | 51,587,784 | 48,353,536 |

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-4

Table of Contents**STEC, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY****(in thousands, except share amounts)**

| | Common Stock | | Additional Paid | Retained | Total |
|---|--------------|--------|-----------------|-----------|------------------------|
| | Shares | Amount | In Capital | Earnings | Shareholders Equity |
| Balances, December 31, 2005 | 45,043,568 | \$ 45 | \$ 111,576 | \$ 15,761 | \$ 127,382 |
| Net income | | | | 21,851 | 21,851 |
| Exercise of stock options | 3,634,266 | 4 | 12,842 | | 12,846 |
| Tax benefits from exercise of stock options | | | 3,834 | | 3,834 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | | | 101 | | 101 |
| Balances, December 31, 2006 | 48,677,834 | 49 | 128,353 | 37,612 | 166,014 |
| Adoption of FASB Interpretation No. 48 | | | | (73) | (73) |
| Net income | | | | 10,014 | 10,014 |
| Repurchase of common shares | (335,847) | (1) | (2,512) | | (2,513) |
| Exercise of stock options | 2,006,186 | 2 | 8,110 | | 8,112 |
| Vesting of restricted stock units | 85,499 | | | | |
| Tax benefits from exercise of stock options and vesting of restricted stock units | | | 2,876 | | 2,876 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | | | 1,115 | | 1,115 |
| Balances, December 31, 2007 | 50,433,672 | 50 | 137,942 | 47,553 | 185,545 |
| Net income | | | | 4,292 | 4,292 |
| Repurchase of common shares | (3,398,619) | (3) | (19,484) | | (19,487) |
| Exercise of stock options | 1,263,980 | 1 | 5,924 | | 5,925 |
| Vesting of restricted stock units | 130,315 | | | | |
| Tax benefits from exercise of stock options and vesting of restricted stock units | | | 2,883 | | 2,883 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | | | 2,405 | | 2,405 |
| Balances, December 31, 2008 | 48,429,348 | \$ 48 | \$ 129,670 | \$ 51,845 | \$ 181,563 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**STEC, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

(in thousands)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Cash flow from operating activities: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 4,292 | \$ 10,014 | \$ 21,851 |
| Income from discontinued operations | (141) | (4,204) | \$ (665) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 9,233 | 4,699 | 3,754 |
| (Gain) loss on sale of furniture, fixtures and equipment | (248) | (1) | 72 |
| Impairment loss | 318 | | |
| Accounts receivable provisions | 786 | 233 | 953 |
| Inventory excess and obsolescence expense | 3,827 | 2,515 | 2,145 |
| Deferred income taxes | (890) | (325) | (569) |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 2,405 | 1,115 | 101 |
| Change in operating assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Accounts receivable | (10,014) | 770 | (20,398) |
| Inventory | (36,256) | 17,382 | (31,794) |
| Leasehold interest in land | 78 | (2,376) | (286) |
| Other assets | (4,164) | (2,303) | 1,412 |
| Accounts payable | (3,890) | (5,433) | 6,733 |
| Accrued and other liabilities | 3,405 | 636 | 2,789 |
| Net cash flows (used in) provided by discontinued operations | (145) | 6,662 | (14,789) |
| | | | |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | (31,404) | 29,384 | (28,691) |
| | | | |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | |
| Proceeds from sale of Consumer Division | | 43,043 | |
| Purchases of marketable securities | (47,770) | (496,288) | (234,767) |
| Sales of marketable securities, net | 47,770 | 496,288 | 234,767 |
| Acquisitions, net of cash acquired | | | (1,187) |
| Purchase of property, plant and equipment | (19,177) | (27,622) | (5,901) |
| Proceeds from sale of furniture, fixtures and equipment | 313 | 62 | |
| Net cash flows provided by discontinued operations | | 77 | |
| | | | |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities | (18,864) | 15,560 | (7,088) |
| | | | |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | |
| Borrowings under line of credit | 35,000 | | |
| Payments made on borrowings under line of credit | (35,000) | | |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 5,925 | 8,112 | 12,846 |
| Tax benefit of employee stock option exercise and vesting of restricted stock units | 2,883 | 2,876 | 3,834 |
| Stock buyback | (19,487) | (2,513) | |
| | | | |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | (10,679) | 8,475 | 16,680 |
| | | | |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash | (60,947) | 53,419 | (19,099) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 94,326 | 40,907 | 60,006 |
| | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 33,379 | \$ 94,326 | \$ 40,907 |

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:

Cash paid during the year:

| | | | |
|--------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Income taxes | \$ 4,128 | \$ 6,589 | \$ 5,774 |
|--------------|----------|----------|----------|

Supplemental schedule of noncash investing activities:

| | | | |
|---|--------|----|----|
| Additions to other assets acquired under accounts payable | \$ 193 | \$ | \$ |
|---|--------|----|----|

| | | | |
|--|--------|--------|----|
| Additions to property, plant and equipment acquired under accounts payable | \$ 175 | \$ 967 | \$ |
|--|--------|--------|----|

| | | | |
|--|----|----|----------|
| Receivable from Integrated Circuit Solution Incorporation used to fund acquisition | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,000 |
|--|----|----|----------|

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-6

Table of Contents

STEC, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Company Organization:

STEC, Inc. (the Company) designs, develops, manufactures and markets custom memory solutions based on Flash memory and DRAM technologies. Headquartered in Santa Ana, California, the Company specializes in developing high-speed, high-capacity Flash solid-state drives (SSDs) and memory cards, used in sensitive and highly-volatile environments, and high-density DRAM modules. These products are used in consumer electronics, high-performance computing, defense and aerospace, networking and communications and Original Equipment Manufacturer, or OEM, applications.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies:

Principles of Consolidation:

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of STEC, Inc. and its subsidiaries and branch offices in California, Austria, Bermuda, the Cayman Islands, China, Germany, Hong Kong, Italy, Japan, Malaysia, the Netherlands, Taiwan, and the United Kingdom (collectively, the Company). All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Foreign Currency Remeasurement:

The functional currency of the Company's foreign subsidiaries is the U.S. dollar. The local currency statements are translated into U.S. dollars using the current exchange rate for monetary assets and liabilities and the historical exchange rate for nonmonetary assets and liabilities. Revenues and expenses are translated using the average exchange rate for the period, except items related to nonmonetary assets and liabilities, which are translated using historical exchange rates. All remeasurement gains and losses are included in determining net income.

Reclassification:

Certain amounts previously reported have been reclassified to conform with the 2008 presentation.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments:

Financial instruments consist principally of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable. The Company believes that the recorded values of all of its financial instruments approximate their current fair values because of the nature and short duration of these instruments.

Cash and Cash Equivalents:

Cash and cash equivalents consist primarily of cash in banks, treasury funds and money market funds. All highly liquid investments with an original maturity date of three months or less when acquired are considered to be cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents are carried at cost, which approximates market value.

Inventory:

Inventory is stated at the lower of cost or market, with cost being determined on the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method of accounting. The Company purchases raw materials in quantities that it anticipates will be fully used in the near term. Changes in operating strategy, customer demand and unpredictable fluctuations in market values of raw materials can limit the Company's ability to effectively utilize all of the raw materials purchased and result in finished goods with above market carrying costs which may cause losses on sales to customers. The Company regularly monitors potential inventory excess, obsolescence and lower market values compared to costs and, when necessary, reduces the carrying amount of its inventory to its market value.

Property, Plant and Equipment:

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost and depreciated using the straight-line method. The Company's estimated useful lives of the assets, other than leasehold improvements, range from four to five years for equipment and seven years for furniture and fixtures. Leasehold improvements are amortized using the straight-line method over the shorter of the lease term or the estimated useful life of the assets.

F-7

Table of Contents

STEC, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Expenditures for major renewals and betterments are capitalized, while minor replacements, maintenance and repairs, which do not extend the asset lives, are charged to operations as incurred. Upon sale or disposition, the cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any gain or loss is included in operations.

The Company continually monitors events and changes in circumstances that could indicate that the carrying balances of its property, plant and equipment may not be recoverable in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 144, *Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*. When such events or changes in circumstances are present, the Company assesses the recoverability of long-lived assets by determining whether the carrying value of such assets will be recovered through undiscounted expected future cash flows. If the total of the future cash flows is less than the carrying amount of those assets, the Company recognizes an impairment loss based on the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of the assets.

Leasehold Interest in Land:

Leasehold interest in land represents payments made for the use of land in Malaysia over an extended period of time. The leasehold interests in land are amortized on a straight-line basis over the expected term of the related lease agreements.

Capitalized Internal Use Software Costs:

The Company capitalizes the costs of computer software developed or obtained for internal use in accordance with AICPA Statement of Position 98-1, *Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software Developed or Obtained for Internal Use*. Capitalized computer software costs consist of purchased software licenses and implementation costs. The capitalized software costs are being amortized on a straight-line basis over a period of three years.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets:

In accordance with SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets* (SFAS 142), goodwill and other intangible assets with indefinite lives are no longer subject to amortization but are tested for impairment annually or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. Subsequent to the sale of the Consumer Division, the Company operates in one operating segment and has one reporting unit; therefore, goodwill is tested for impairment at the consolidated level against the fair value of the Company. Per SFAS No. 142, the fair value of a reporting unit refers to the amount at which the unit as a whole could be bought or sold in a current transaction between willing parties. Quoted market prices in active markets are the best evidence of fair value and shall be used as the basis on the last day of the year for the measurement, if available. The Company assesses potential impairment on an annual basis on the last day of the year and compares its market capitalization to its carrying amount, including goodwill. During the quarter ended December 31, 2008, the Company's stock price, along with that of its competitors and the stock market in general, declined, resulting in the Company's market capitalization falling below its book value. The Company considered this decline temporary and based on general economic conditions, and not based on any events or conditions specific to the Company. The Company completed its annual goodwill impairment analysis at December 31, 2008 and 2007, and determined that no adjustment to the carrying value of goodwill was required. A significant decrease in its future stock price could indicate a material impairment of goodwill which, after further analysis, could result in a material charge to operations. If goodwill is considered impaired, the impairment loss to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the goodwill exceeds the implied fair value of that goodwill. Inherent in the Company's fair value determinations are certain judgments and estimates, including projections of future cash flows, the discount rate reflecting the risk inherent in future cash flows, the interpretation of current economic indicators and market valuations, and strategic plans with regard to operations. A change in these underlying assumptions would cause a change in the results of the tests, which could cause the fair value of the reporting unit to be less than its respective carrying amount.

Intangible assets with finite lives continue to be subject to amortization, and any impairment is determined in accordance with SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*. The Company continually monitors events and changes in circumstances that could indicate that the carrying balances of its intangibles assets may not be recoverable in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 144. When such events or changes in circumstances are present, the Company assesses the recoverability of long-lived assets by determining whether the carrying value of such assets will be recovered through undiscounted expected future cash flows. If the total of the future cash flows is less than the carrying amount of those assets, the Company recognizes an impairment loss based on the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of the assets. Based on the Company's intangible asset impairment analysis, it was determined that a \$108,000 impairment charge was

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

necessary to account for the fair value of intangible assets as of December 31, 2008.

Revenue Recognition:

Revenue is recognized in accordance with the guidelines in Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, *Revenue Recognition*. Revenue is realized or realizable and earned when all of the following criteria are met: (1) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, (2) the sales price is fixed or determinable, (3) collectibility is reasonably assured and (4) products have been shipped and the customer has taken ownership and assumed risk of loss. A substantial portion of the Company's product sales are on FOB shipping point terms where product title passes to the Company's customer at the time it is shipped from the Company's warehouse. Products sales on FOB destination terms are not recognized until delivered to the customer. The Company also maintains inventory, or hubbing, arrangements with certain of its customers. Pursuant to these arrangements, the Company delivers products to a customer or a designated third party warehouse based upon the customer's projected needs but does not recognize product revenue unless and until the customer has removed the product from the warehouse to incorporate into its end products. In addition, in 2007 and 2008, the Company entered into value-add revenue agreements with two of its DRAM customers. Under the terms of the agreements, the Company is no longer the primary obligor, and the Company's general inventory risk on DRAM chips used in the manufacture of

Table of Contents**STEC, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

memory modules for the customers has been eliminated. As a result, the Company records the related revenue on a value-add only basis, passing through the cost of the DRAM chips and charging the customers only for the manufacturing and kitting services provided by the Company. This accounting method conforms to the Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 99-19, Reporting Revenue as a Principal versus Net as an Agent.

Revenue is reduced by reserves for price protection and sales returns. The allowance for doubtful accounts is the Company's best estimate of the amount of probable credit losses in its existing accounts receivable. The Company reviews its allowance for doubtful accounts quarterly. Past due balances over 90 days and over a specified amount are reviewed individually for collectibility. All other balances are reviewed on a pooled basis by type of receivable. Account balances are charged off against the allowance when the Company believes it is probable the receivable will not be recovered. The Company does not have any off-balance-sheet credit exposure related to its customers. If the Company reduces the list price of its products, certain customers may receive a credit from the Company. The Company estimates the impact of such pricing changes on a regular basis and adjusts its allowances accordingly. Amounts charged to operations for price protection are calculated based on actual price changes on individual products multiplied by customer inventory levels. The reserve is then reduced by actual credits given to these customers at the time the credits are issued. The sales returns reserve is based on historical relationship to revenues and current contract sales terms.

Following are the changes in the account receivable allowance for doubtful accounts, sales returns, price protection and other deductions, during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 (amounts in thousands):

| | Balance at Beginning of Year | Additions | Deductions | Balance at end of year |
|-------------------|---|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|
| December 31, 2008 | \$ 944 | \$ 786 | \$ (534) | \$ 1,196 |
| December 31, 2007 | \$ 1,157 | \$ 233 | \$ (446) | \$ 944 |
| December 31, 2006 | \$ 303 | \$ 953 | \$ (99) | \$ 1,157 |

Shipping and Handling Costs:

Shipping and handling costs incurred in a sales transaction to ship products to a customer are included in sales and marketing. For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, shipping and handling costs were approximately \$1,653,000, \$960,000 and \$1,530,000, respectively. Amounts billed to customers for shipping and handling are included in revenues. For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, shipping and handling costs billed to customers were \$114,000, \$112,000 and \$230,000, respectively.

Sales and Marketing Incentives:

Sales and marketing incentives are offset against revenues or charged to operations in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 01-09 (EITF 01-09), *Accounting for Consideration Given by a Vendor to a Customer (Including a Reseller of the Vendor's Products)*. For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, sales and marketing incentives amounted to \$1,084,000, \$1,156,000 and \$1,502,000, respectively, and were offset against revenues.

Consideration generally given by the Company to a customer is presumed to be a reduction of selling price, and therefore, a reduction of revenue. However, if the Company receives an identifiable benefit in return for the consideration given to its customer that is sufficiently separable from the Company's sales to that customer, such that the Company could have paid an independent company to receive that benefit; and the Company can reasonably estimate the fair value of that benefit, then the consideration is characterized as an expense. The Company estimates the fair value of the benefits it receives by tracking the advertising done by its customers on the Company's behalf and calculating the value of that advertising using a comparable rate for similar publications.

Advertising Costs:

Advertising costs, which relate primarily to various print media expenditures, are expensed as incurred. For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, advertising costs were \$58,000, \$83,000 and \$194,000, respectively.

Research and Development:

Research and development costs, which primarily relate to payroll-related costs, product design consulting fees and rent expense for office space, are expensed as incurred.

Table of Contents**STEC, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)*****Income Taxes:***

Deferred income taxes are recognized for the tax consequences in future years of differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their financial reporting amounts at each year-end based on enacted tax laws and statutory rates applicable to the periods in which the differences are expected to affect taxable income. Valuation allowances are established, when necessary, to reduce deferred income tax assets to the amount expected to be realized. The provision for income taxes represents the tax payable for the year and the change during the year in deferred income tax assets and liabilities.

On January 1, 2007, the Company adopted FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48), which establishes a single model to address accounting for uncertain tax positions. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for income taxes by prescribing a minimum recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, measurement, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition.

Stock-Based Compensation:

The Company accounts for stock-based compensation in accordance with SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment* (SFAS 123R). Under the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123R, stock-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the fair value of the award and is recognized as expense over the requisite service period, which is the vesting period. The Company uses the Black-Scholes option-pricing model to estimate the fair values of stock options. The Black-Scholes option-pricing model requires the input of certain assumptions that require the Company's judgment, including the expected term and the expected stock price volatility of the underlying stock options. The assumptions used in calculating the fair value of stock-based compensation represent management's best estimates, but these estimates involve inherent uncertainties and the application of judgment. As a result, if factors change resulting in the use of different assumptions, stock-based compensation expense could be materially different in the future. In addition, the Company is required to estimate the expected forfeiture rate and only recognize expense for those shares expected to vest. If the actual forfeiture rate is materially different from management's estimates, the stock-based compensation expense could be significantly different from what the Company has recorded in the current period.

Per Share Information:

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of shares outstanding. In computing diluted earnings per share, the weighted average number of shares outstanding is adjusted to additionally reflect the effect of potentially dilutive securities. The dilutive effect of stock options and unvested restricted stock units is computed using the treasury stock method, which assumes any proceeds that could be obtained upon the exercise of stock options and vesting of restricted stock units would be used to purchase common shares at the average market price for the period. The assumed proceeds include the purchase price the grantee pays, the hypothetical windfall tax benefit that the Company receives upon assumed exercise or vesting and the hypothetical average unrecognized compensation expense for the period. The Company calculates the assumed proceeds from excess tax benefits based on the as-if deferred tax assets calculated under the provision of SFAS 123R.

For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, potentially dilutive securities consisted solely of options and restricted stock units and resulted in potential common shares of 1,176,306, 1,430,652 and 2,040,420, respectively.

Stock options to purchase approximately 2,726,364, 479,511 and 582,444 shares for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively, were outstanding but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the effect would have been anti-dilutive.

The Company repurchased 3,398,619 shares of common stock at an average share price of \$5.73, including commissions, under two separate stock repurchase plans in 2008. The Company repurchased 335,847 shares of common stock at an average share price of \$7.48, including commissions, in 2007. The first repurchase plan was originally approved by the Company's board of directors in July 2006 to enable the Company to purchase up to \$10,000,000 of its common stock over an 18-month period expiring on February 14, 2008. This plan was expanded

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

in May 2007 to enable the Company to purchase up to \$60,000,000 of its common stock through the period ending November 18, 2008. The second repurchase plan was approved by the Company's board of directors in November 2008 to enable the Company to purchase up to \$10,000,000 of its common stock over an 18-month period commencing on November 19, 2008. As of March 1, 2009, the Company repurchased a total of 1,335,541 shares of common stock at an average share price of \$3.77, including commissions, since the inception of the existing share repurchase plan.

The Company did not repurchase any shares of its common stock during the year ended December 31, 2006.

F-10

Table of Contents**STEC, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Risks and Uncertainties:**

As shown in the table below, customer concentrations of accounts receivable and revenues of greater than 10% were as follows:

| | 2008 | | Year Ended December 31, 2007 | | 2006 |
|-------------------|------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|----------|----------|
| | Accounts Receivable | Revenues | Accounts Receivable | Revenues | Revenues |
| Customer A | 23.5% | 34.4% | 31.1% | 50.1% | 37.3% |
| Customer B | 31.6% | 15.2% | 18.4% | * | * |
| Customer C | * | * | * | * | 24.9% |
| Total | 55.1% | 49.6% | 49.5% | 50.1% | 62.2% |

* Less than 10%

No other single customer accounted for more than 10% of accounts receivable or revenues at December 31, 2008 and 2007, or for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008. The Company generally does not require collateral on accounts receivable as the majority of the Company's customers are large, well-established companies. Historically, bad debt provisions have been consistent with management's expectations.

At December 31, 2008 and 2007, the Company had amounts on deposit with financial institutions that were in excess of the federally insured limit.

Certain of the Company's products utilize components that are purchased from a small number of sources with whom the Company has no long-term contracts. An inability to obtain such components in the amounts needed on a timely basis or at commercially reasonable prices could result in delays in product introductions, interruptions in product shipments or increases in product costs, which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

Total assets by geographic region for the year ended December 31, 2008 were as follows (amounts in thousands):

| | December 31, 2008 |
|----------------------|-------------------|
| United States | \$ 104,835 |
| Malaysia | 96,446 |
| Other | 4,604 |
| Total | \$ 205,885 |

Warranties:

The Company's memory products are generally sold under various limited warranty arrangements ranging from two years to five years. The historical and estimated future costs of repair or replacement are immaterial.

Management Estimates:

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Comprehensive Income:

SFAS 130, Reporting Comprehensive Income establishes requirements for reporting and disclosure of comprehensive income (loss) and its components. Comprehensive income (loss) includes unrealized holding gains and losses and other items that have previously been excluded from net income and reflected instead in shareholders' equity. The Company did not have any items of other comprehensive income or loss other than net income (loss) in the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006.

New Accounting Pronouncements:

In June 2007, the FASB ratified Emerging Issues Task Force consensus on EITF Issue No. 07-3, Accounting for Non-refundable Advanced Payments for Goods or Services to be Used in Future Research and Development Activities. EITF Issue No. 07-3 requires that these payments be deferred and capitalized and expensed as goods are delivered or as the related services are performed. The Company adopted EITF 07-3 on January 1, 2009. The Company does not expect that the adoption will have a material impact on its financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents**STEC, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

In December 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 141(R), Business Combinations, and SFAS No. 160, Accounting and Reporting of Noncontrolling Interest in Consolidated Financial Statements, an Amendment of ARB No. 51 (SFAS No. 160). These new standards will significantly change the financial accounting and reporting of business combination transactions and noncontrolling (or minority) interests in consolidated financial statements. The Company adopted SFAS No. 141(R) and SFAS No. 160 on January 1, 2009. Accordingly, any business combinations the Company engaged in was recorded and disclosed following existing accounting principles until January 1, 2009. The impact of SFAS No. 141(R) on the Company's consolidated financial statements will depend on the nature, terms and size of the acquisitions, if any, that are consummated after the effective date of January 1, 2009. The Company does not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 160 to have a material impact on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities – an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 133. This Statement requires enhanced disclosures about an entity's derivative and hedging activities and is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. The Company will be required to adopt SFAS 161 in the first quarter of fiscal 2010 and does not expect that the adoption will have a material impact on its financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

In April 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) SFAS No. 142-3, Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets. FSP SFAS No. 142-3 amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. The intent of FSP SFAS No. 142-3 is to improve the consistency between the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under SFAS No. 142 and the period of expected cash flows used to measure the fair value of the asset under SFAS No. 141(R) and other applicable accounting literature. The Company adopted FSP SFAS No. 142-3 on January 1, 2009. The Company does not anticipate that the adoption of FSP SFAS No. 142-3 will have an impact on its consolidated financial statements.

Effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurement, which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The Company's adoption of SFAS No. 157 did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements for financial assets and liabilities and any other assets and liabilities carried at fair value. SFAS No. 157 does not require any new fair value measurements. Relative to SFAS No. 157, the FASB issued FASB Staff Positions (FSP) 157-1, 157-2 and 157-3. FSP 157-1 amends SFAS No. 157 to exclude SFAS No. 13, Accounting for Leases, and its related interpretive accounting pronouncements that address leasing transactions, while FSP 157-2 delays the effective date of the application of SFAS No. 157 to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008 for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except for items that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). FSP 157-3 clarifies how management's internal assumptions should be considered in measuring fair value when (i) observable data are not present, (ii) observable market information from an inactive market should be taken into account, and (iii) the use of broker quotes or pricing services should be considered in assessing the relevance of observable and unobservable data to measure fair value. The Company elected the one-year deferral and thus will not apply the provisions of SFAS No. 157 to nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities that are recognized at fair value in the financial statements on a nonrecurring basis until the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2009. Non-recurring nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities for which the Company has not applied the provisions of SFAS No. 157 include those measured at fair value in goodwill impairment testing and those initially measured at fair value in a business combination.

3. Inventory:

Inventory consists of the following (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2008 | December 31, 2007 |
|------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Raw materials | \$ 41,554 | \$ 20,105 |
| Work-in-progress | 706 | 772 |
| Finished goods | 21,725 | 10,679 |

\$ 63,985 \$ 31,556

The Company has had to write down inventory in the past for reasons such as obsolescence, excess quantities and declines in market value below its costs. These inventory write-downs were \$3.8 million and \$2.5 million in 2008 and 2007, respectively.

4. Property, Plant and Equipment:

Property, plant and equipment consist of the following (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2008 | December 31, 2007 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| Buildings and improvements | \$ 17,969 | \$ 16,643 |
| Furniture and fixtures | 850 | 433 |
| Equipment | 59,483 | 46,016 |
| | 78,302 | 63,092 |
| Accumulated depreciation and amortization | (33,896) | (27,826) |
| | \$ 44,406 | \$ 35,266 |

For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, the Company recorded fixed asset depreciation expense of approximately \$8,791,000, \$4,320,000 and \$3,535,000, respectively.

Table of Contents**STEC, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****5. Accrued and Other Liabilities**

Accrued and Other Liabilities consisted of the following as of (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2008 | December 31, 2007 |
|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Payroll costs | \$ 5,703 | \$ 5,165 |
| Marketing | 929 | 439 |
| Other | 3,163 | 1,367 |
| Total | \$ 9,795 | \$ 6,971 |

6. Discontinued Operations

On February 9, 2007, the Company entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement (Purchase Agreement) with Fabrik, Inc. (Fabrik) and Fabrik Acquisition Corp. (together with Fabrik, the Purchasers) for the sale of assets relating to a portion of the Company's business which was engaged in the designing, final assembling, selling, marketing and distributing consumer-oriented products based on Flash memory, DRAM technologies and external storage solutions known as the Consumer Division. The consideration paid to the Company pursuant to the Purchase Agreement consisted of cash in the amount of approximately \$43.0 million. The purchase price was subject to a post-closing adjustment for accrued expenses, reserves on inventory, reserves on accounts receivables and overhead capitalization of the Consumer Division (Purchase Price Adjustment). Subsequent to the closing of the sale, the Purchasers disputed certain amounts calculated by the Company in regards to the Purchase Price Adjustment. The original claim amount was approximately \$6.7 million. In accordance with the Purchase Agreement, both parties agreed to resolve their Purchase Price Adjustment disputes through a third-party arbitrator. During the arbitration proceeding, the Purchasers conceded approximately \$4.0 million of their original disputed amounts. In January 2008, the arbitrator rejected substantially all of the Purchasers' claims. As of December 31, 2008, no amounts have been recorded in the consolidated financial statements for this matter as the Company is still in the process of enforcing the arbitrator's decision and resolving other post-closing items with the Purchasers.

The sale of the assets of the Consumer Division meets the criteria defined in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets as a discontinued operation and is presented herein as such. The results of operations and gain on the sale of the assets of the Consumer Division are reported in income (loss) from discontinued operations in the Consolidated Financial Statements for all periods presented. Assets and liabilities sold are classified as assets and liabilities of discontinued operations in the Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007. As a result of the sale of the assets of the Consumer Division, which was previously reported as a separate operating segment, the Company now operates as a single reportable segment. The discussion of the Company's financial condition and results of operations contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K include the operating results of its OEM business with its former Consumer Division being accounted for as a discontinued operation.

Operating results of the Consumer Division as discontinued operations for the three years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 are summarized as follows (in thousands):

| | For the Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Net sales | \$ | \$ 28,693 | \$ 136,357 |
| Gain on disposition of Consumer Division | \$ | \$ 8,005 | \$ |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | 238 | (911) | 1,103 |

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------|----------|--------|
| Provision for income taxes | (97) | (2,890) | (438) |
| Income from discontinued operations | \$ 141 | \$ 4,204 | \$ 665 |

Assets and liabilities of discontinued operations included in the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2007 are as follows (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2007 |
|--|------------------------------|
| Deferred income taxes | \$ 197 |
| Current assets of discontinued operations | \$ 197 |
| Accrued and other liabilities | \$ 483 |
| Current liabilities of discontinued operations | \$ 483 |

F-13

Table of Contents**STEC, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****7. Intangible Assets**

The following table presents detail of the Company's intangible assets, related accumulated amortization and goodwill (in thousands):

| | As of December 31, 2008 | | | As of December 31, 2007 | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| | Gross | Accumulated Amortization | Net | Gross | Accumulated Amortization | Net |
| Developed technology (five years) | \$ 1,070 | \$ 637 | \$ 433 | \$ 1,070 | \$ 423 | \$ 647 |
| Customer relationships (five years) | 792 | 652 | 140 | 900 | 487 | 413 |
| Total intangible assets | \$ 1,862 | \$ 1,289 | \$ 573 | \$ 1,970 | \$ 910 | \$ 1,060 |
| Goodwill | \$ 1,682 | | \$ 1,682 | \$ 1,682 | | \$ 1,682 |

The Company recorded amortization expense for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 of \$379,000, \$379,000 and \$267,000, respectively. Based on the Company's intangible asset impairment analysis, it was determined that a \$108,000 impairment charge was necessary to account for the fair value of intangible assets related to customer relationships as of December 31, 2008.

Estimated intangible asset amortization expense (based on existing intangible assets) for the years ending December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011 is \$280,000, \$181,000 and \$112,000, respectively. Amortization is complete as of the end of 2011.

8. Income Taxes:

Pre-tax income (loss) from continuing operations was taxed under the following jurisdictions (amounts in thousands):

| | For the Year Ended December 31, | | |
|----------|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Domestic | \$ 11,781 | \$ 16,658 | \$ 33,868 |
| Foreign | (4,916) | (6,125) | (611) |
| | \$ 6,865 | \$ 10,533 | \$ 33,257 |

The provision for income taxes from continuing operations consists of the following (in thousands):

| | For the Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---------|---------------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Current | | | |
| Federal | \$ 2,328 | \$ 4,342 | \$ 11,056 |
| State | 882 | 306 | 991 |
| Foreign | 397 | 251 | 57 |
| | 3,607 | 4,899 | 12,104 |

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|----------|----------|-----------|
| Deferred | | | |
| Federal | 638 | 486 | (1,327) |
| State | (1,451) | (662) | 1,294 |
| Foreign | (80) | | |
| | (893) | (176) | (33) |
| Total provision for income taxes from continuing operations | \$ 2,714 | \$ 4,723 | \$ 12,071 |

The provision for income taxes from continuing operations differs from the amount that would result from applying the federal statutory rate as follows:

| | For the Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--|-------------|-------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Statutory regular federal income tax | 35.0% | 35.0% | 35.0% |
| Foreign | 29.7 | 22.7 | 0.8 |
| State taxes, including state tax credits net of federal benefit | (5.5) | (2.2) | 4.5 |
| Federal tax credits | (20.2) | (2.7) | (1.5) |
| Permanent differences | 2.5 | (6.7) | (1.0) |
| Other | (2.0) | (1.3) | (1.5) |
| | 39.5% | 44.8% | 36.3% |

Table of Contents**STEC, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The provision for income taxes applicable to continuing operations and discontinued operations consists of the following (in thousands):

| | For the Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--|-------------|-------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Provision for income taxes from continuing operations: | | | |
| Current | \$ 3,607 | \$ 4,899 | \$ 12,104 |
| Deferred | (893) | (176) | (33) |
| Total provision for income taxes from continuing operations | 2,714 | 4,723 | 12,071 |
| Provision for income taxes from discontinued operations: | | | |
| Current | (100) | 3,236 | 1,037 |
| Deferred | 197 | (346) | (599) |
| Total provision for income taxes from discontinued operations | 97 | 2,890 | 438 |
| Total income tax expense | \$ 2,811 | \$ 7,613 | \$ 12,509 |

The components of deferred tax assets are as follows (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|--|---------------------|-------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| Current deferred tax assets: | | |
| Accounts receivable and inventory reserves | \$ 2,615 | \$ 1,750 |
| Accrued expenses | 491 | 447 |
| State taxes | (1,695) | (1,220) |
| Other | (109) | 264 |
| Total current | 1,302 | 1,241 |
| Noncurrent deferred tax assets: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | (138) | 610 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 286 | 122 |
| Operating loss carryforwards | 247 | 3,240 |
| Credit carryforwards | 4,279 | 2,846 |
| Valuation allowance | 4,674 | 6,818 |
| | (267) | (3,240) |
| Total noncurrent | 4,407 | 3,578 |
| | \$ 5,709 | \$ 4,819 |

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

At December 31, 2008, the Company had the following state credits: research and development credit carryforwards of approximately \$3.5 million, which carryforward indefinitely, and enterprise zone credit carryforwards of approximately \$734,000, which carryforward indefinitely.

At December 31, 2008 and 2007, the Company had U.K. net operating loss carryforwards of \$0 and \$8.5 million, respectively. The reduction in the U.K. net operating loss carryforward was due to the Company's UK entity being dissolved in April of 2008.

The Company has been granted a ten-year tax holiday for its operations in Malaysia. Commencement of the tax holiday is subject to the Company meeting certain requirements, including the establishment of minimum production capacity levels in Malaysia. The Company has satisfied all of the requirements for commencement of the tax holiday as of September 2008 and is currently in the final stages of completing the formal documentation for submission to the local government agency. Upon review and approval by the Malaysian Ministry of International Trade and Industry, the tax holiday should be effective beginning September 2008. As long as the Company meets the holiday requirements, taxable income from operations will not be subject to tax and non-operating income such as interest income will be subject to tax at 26%. The impact of the Malaysia tax holiday decreased Malaysian taxes by \$1.8 million in 2008. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, the Company had a Malaysian net operating loss carryforward of approximately \$952,000 and \$2.4 million, respectively. The Malaysian carryforward amount is currently suspended and will be available to offset taxable income from operations following the termination of the holiday period. The losses carryover indefinitely, unless certain changes in business operations occur during the carryover period. The Company has established a valuation allowance against this deferred tax asset since management believes that it is more likely than not that the Malaysian net operating loss carryforwards will not be fully utilized. The change in valuation allowance was (\$3.0 million) and \$635,000 for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

F-15

Table of Contents**STEC, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The Company has not provided for U.S. taxes or foreign withholding taxes on approximately \$70,000 of undistributed earnings from its foreign subsidiaries because such earnings are to be reinvested indefinitely. If these earnings were distributed, foreign tax credits may become available under current law to reduce the resulting U.S. income tax liability.

To the extent that an ownership change has occurred under Internal Revenue Code Section 382 and 383, the Company's use of its credit carryforwards to offset future taxable income may be limited.

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48), which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in tax positions. This Interpretation requires that the Company recognize in its financial statements the impact of a tax position if that position will more likely than not be sustained on audit, based on the technical merits of the position.

The Company adopted the provisions of FIN 48 on January 1, 2007. As a result of the adoption of FIN 48 and recognition of the cumulative effect of adoption of a new accounting principle, the Company recorded a \$73,000 increase in the liability for unrecognized income tax benefits, with an offsetting decrease in retained earnings as of January 1, 2007. As of January 1, and December 31, 2007, the Company had approximately \$1.4 million and \$1.7 million, respectively of total unrecognized tax benefits. Of the total unrecognized tax benefits, \$1.2 million and \$1.4 million (net of the federal benefit on state issues) represent the amounts as of January 1, and December 31, 2007, respectively, that, if recognized, would favorably affect the effective income tax rate in any future periods. As of December 31, 2008, the Company had approximately \$2.5 million of total unrecognized tax benefits. Of the total unrecognized tax benefits, \$2.2 million (net of the federal benefit on state issues) represent amounts as of December 31, 2008, that, if recognized, would favorably affect the effective income tax rate in any future periods.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits is as follows (in thousands):

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|---|----------|----------|
| Balance as of January 1 | \$ 1,696 | \$ 1,431 |
| Increases in tax positions for prior years | 365 | 3 |
| Increases in tax positions for current year | 470 | 262 |
| Balance at December 31 | \$ 2,531 | \$ 1,696 |

The Company has uncertain tax positions estimated in the range of \$0 to \$350,000 which are reflected within its corporate tax filings for which the statute of limitations will expire in 2009.

Generally, tax years prior to 2004 are closed to examination.

The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits and penalties in the provision for income taxes. As of December 31, 2008 and 2007, the Company had recorded a liability of \$132,000 and \$100,000 for the payment of interest and penalties, respectively.

On October 3, 2008 the *Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008* was enacted. A provision in this legislation provided for the extension of the research and development tax credit for qualifying expenditures paid or incurred from January 1, 2008 through December 31, 2009. As a result of this new legislation, the Company generated federal research and development tax credits for the year ended December 31, 2008.

9. Commitments and Contingencies:**Lemelson Medical, Education & Research Foundation, LLP Patent Infringement**

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

The Company received notice on November 26, 2001 that the Lemelson Medical, Education & Research Foundation, LLP (Lemelson Foundation) filed a complaint on November 13, 2001 against the Company and other defendants. The complaint was filed in the District Court of Arizona and alleges that the Company's manufacturing processes infringe several patents that the Lemelson Foundation allegedly owns. The complaint also states that these allegedly infringed patents relate to machine vision technology and bar coding technology. On March 7, 2002, the Company was served with the Lemelson Foundation complaint. Thereafter, the case was stayed pending the outcome of related cases against parties involving the same patents. On September 9, 2005, in one of these related cases, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit affirmed a decision by the U.S. District Court for the District of Nevada that found several Lemelson Foundation patents to be unenforceable. Based on the U.S. Court of Appeal's affirmance, the Lemelson Foundation moved to dismiss with prejudice all claims against the Company and certain other defendants, and that request for dismissal was granted by the court. In July 2008, following the dismissal of the last remaining defendant in the case, the court ruled that this case was terminated.

F-16

Table of Contents

STEC, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Hard Drive Class Action Lawsuit

On October 6, 2006, an individual, Boris Brand, filed a purported nationwide class action lawsuit against the Company in the Superior Court for the State of California, County of Los Angeles, alleging that the Company's description of the capacity of its hard drive products constitutes fraudulent, unfair, deceptive and false advertising under California Business and Professions Code Sections 17200 and 17500 and violates the California Consumers Legal Remedies Act. In particular, the lawsuit alleges that the Company's description of the storage capacity on its hard drives uses a decimal basis for measuring gigabytes which results in a lower storage capacity when the hard drives are incorporated into an operating system that uses a binary basis for measurement. Although the Company believes this lawsuit is without merit, it agreed to provide qualifying class members the means to claim a rebate of 6% of the purchase price of the storage device for a period of three months from the announcement of the program. In addition, the Company paid a portion of the plaintiff's legal fees as determined by an arbitration proceeding which concluded on March 10, 2008. The court granted preliminary approval of the settlement on May 30, 2008 and the Company launched its 6% rebate program on June 9, 2008. The court approved the terms of the settlement on September 23, 2008. The submission period for the 6% rebate program ended in September 2008 and the Company processed the limited number of claims it received and all rebates were mailed to claimants by the end of December 2008.

Seagate Patent Infringement Lawsuit

On April 14, 2008, a patent infringement lawsuit was filed in the United States District Court, Northern District of California by Seagate Technology LLC, Seagate Technology International, Seagate Singapore International Headquarters Pte. Ltd. and Maxtor Corporation (collectively, Seagate) alleging that the Company infringes four of Seagate's patents U.S. Patent Nos. 6,404,647, 6,849,480, 6,336,174 and 7,042,664. On May 1, 2008, Seagate filed an amended complaint asserting that the Company infringes an additional Seagate patent U.S. Patent No. 5,261,058. The lawsuit sought injunctive relief and unspecified compensatory and treble damages and attorneys' fees for the alleged patent infringement. On February 18, 2009, the Company, Seagate, and William D. Watkins entered into a Settlement Agreement (the Settlement Agreement) to dismiss with prejudice their respective claims in the lawsuit. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, the parties jointly filed a stipulated order of dismissal with prejudice with the court on February 18, 2009. Under the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the parties also agreed to release each other from liability for all claims asserted by the other in the lawsuit, with each party bearing its own fees and costs incurred in connection with the lawsuit. As part of the dismissal, no money was exchanged and neither party licensed its technology to the other.

Other Legal Proceedings

The Company is currently not a party to any other material legal proceedings. However, the Company is involved in other suits and claims in the ordinary course of business, and the Company may from time to time become a party to other legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business.

As is common in the industry, the Company currently has in effect a number of agreements in which the Company has agreed to defend, indemnify and hold harmless certain of its suppliers and customers from damages and costs which may arise from the infringement by the Company's products of third-party patents, trademarks or other proprietary rights. The scope of such indemnity varies, but may, in some instances, include indemnification for damages and expenses, including attorneys' fees. The Company's insurance does not cover intellectual property infringement. The term of these indemnification agreements is generally perpetual any time after execution of the agreement. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Company could be required to make under these indemnification agreements is unlimited. The Company has never incurred significant costs to defend lawsuits or settle claims related to these indemnification agreements. As a result, the Company believes the estimated fair value of these agreements is minimal. Accordingly, the Company has no liabilities recorded for these agreements as of December 31, 2008.

Lease Commitments

As discussed in Note 11, the Company leases the majority of its corporate office facilities from affiliates of Manouch Moshayedi, Mike Moshayedi and Mark Moshayedi as of December 31, 2008. Manouch Moshayedi and Mark Moshayedi are executive officers, directors and major shareholders of the Company. Mike Moshayedi is also a major shareholder of the Company. The Company also leases a number of small facilities in both foreign and domestic locations for its additional sales, research and development and engineering staff and for storage from

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

unaffiliated third parties under operating leases with initial noncancelable lease terms ranging from 1 to 5 years. Future scheduled minimum annual lease payments for the years ending December 31 are as follows (in thousands):

F-17

Table of Contents**STEC, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

| | Operating Leases (Related Party) | Operating Leases (Third Party) |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| 2009 | \$ 642 | \$ 97 |
| 2010 | 642 | 64 |
| 2011 | 642 | 31 |
| 2012 | 642 | 15 |
| 2013 | 642 | |
| Thereafter | 2,300 | |
| Net minimum lease payments | \$ 5,510 | \$ 207 |

Rent expense for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 was approximately \$1,514,000, \$1,108,000 and \$1,332,000, respectively, inclusive of related party balances.

Purchase Commitments

The following table presents our contractual payment obligations and commitments (in thousands):

| | |
|---|-----------------|
| Non-cancelable inventory purchase commitments | \$ 6,735 |
| Non-cancelable capital equipment purchase commitments | 1,570 |
| Other non-cancelable purchase commitments | 524 |
| Total | \$ 8,829 |

Purchase obligations represent open purchase orders for inventory, capital equipment, and other commitments in the ordinary course of business as of December 31, 2008.

401(k) Plan

The Company has a 401(k) retirement savings plan, with Company matching contributions for employees with at least six months of service. Employees may make voluntary contributions of up to 75% of their annual pre-tax compensation to the plan, subject to the maximum limit allowed by the IRS guidelines. The Company makes matching contributions equal to one-half of each participating employee's matchable contributions to the plan, which cannot exceed 10% of their salary. The Company's matching contributions to the plan are subject to vesting at the rate of 20% per year beginning after the employee's second year of employment. For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, the Company made matching contributions of approximately \$833,000, \$745,000 and \$738,000, respectively.

10. Stock Option Plan:

The 2000 Stock Incentive Plan (the Plan) was adopted by the Company's board of directors and approved by its shareholders in September 2000. On April 17, 2006, the Plan was amended and restated by the Board and approved by the Company's shareholders on May 25, 2006. The Plan provides for the direct issuance or sale of shares and the grant of options to purchase shares of the Company's common stock to officers and other employees, non-employee board members and consultants. The Company issues new shares to satisfy stock option exercises and stock purchases under the Company's share-based plans. Under the Plan, eligible participants may be granted options to purchase shares of common stock at an exercise price not less than 100% of the fair market value of those shares on the grant date. In addition, the Plan as amended and restated, allows for the issuance of restricted stock units to officers and other employees, non-employee board members and consultants. Restricted stock units are share awards that entitle the holder to receive shares of the Company's common stock upon vesting. The Company's board of directors, its compensation committee or its equity awards committee determines eligibility and vesting schedules for options and restricted stock units.

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

granted under the Plan. Options expire within a period of not more than 10 years from the date of grant.

Total stock-based compensation cost recognized in the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 was \$2,405,000, \$1,115,000 and \$167,000, respectively, for stock options and restricted stock units. The total income tax benefit recognized in the income statement for share-based compensation arrangements was \$362,000, \$327,000 and \$31,000 in the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

At December 31, 2008, up to 21,191,104 shares of common stock have been reserved for issuance over the term of the Plan, of which 5,535,455 shares of common stock remain available for future issuance. The number of shares of common stock reserved for issuance under the Plan will automatically increase on the first trading day in January in each calendar year by an amount equal to 4% of the total number of shares of common stock outstanding on the last trading day in December of the prior calendar year, but in no event will exceed 2,500,000 shares. Stock options and restricted stock units generally vest 25% on each anniversary of the grant date for a period of four years.

F-18

Table of Contents**STEC, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

A summary of the option activity under the Plan is as follows:

| | Shares Under Option | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|---|------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------|
| Outstanding at 12/31/05 | 10,452,888 | | | |
| Granted | 35,000 | | | |
| Exercised | (3,634,266) | | | |
| Expired/forfeited | (402,590) | | | |
| Outstanding at 12/31/06 | 6,451,032 | | | |
| Granted | 490,000 | | | |
| Exercised | (1,994,686) | | | |
| Expired/forfeited | (84,450) | | | |
| Outstanding at 12/31/07 | 4,861,896 | \$ 4.87 | | |
| Granted | 1,327,000 | \$ 10.02 | | |
| Exercised | (1,263,980) | \$ 4.48 | | |
| Expired/forfeited | (103,650) | \$ 9.70 | | |
| Outstanding at 12/31/08 | 4,821,266 | \$ 6.29 | 6.29 | \$ 1,366,000 |
| Vested and expected to vest at 12/31/08 | 4,597,994 | \$ 6.14 | 6.15 | \$ 1,360,000 |
| Exercisable at 12/31/08 | 3,240,766 | \$ 4.77 | 4.83 | \$ 1,322,000 |

The above intrinsic values are before applicable taxes, based on the Company's closing stock price of 4.26 on December 31, 2008.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company received \$5,925,000 in cash proceeds and a \$2,938,000 tax benefit for the exercise of 1,263,980 options. The intrinsic value of stock options exercised in the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 was \$7.7 million, \$7.7 million and \$12.6 million, respectively.

As of December 31, 2008, total unrecognized compensation expense related to unvested share-based compensation arrangements already granted under the Plan was \$7.8 million, which the Company expects to recognize over a weighted-average period of 3.3 years.

A summary of the Company's weighted average fair value for stock option activity in 2008 is as follows:

| | Shares | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|---|-----------|---|
| Non-vested stock options at December 31, 2007 | 469,000 | \$ 4.86 |
| Granted | 1,327,000 | 5.97 |

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

| | | |
|--|------------------|----------------|
| Vested | (113,500) | 4.71 |
| Forfeited | (102,000) | 6.35 |
| Non-vested stock options at December 31, 2008 | 1,580,500 | \$ 5.71 |

The weighted average grant date fair value of options granted in 2008, 2007 and 2006 was \$5.97, \$5.00 and \$3.26, respectively.

The fair value of stock grants is calculated using the Black-Scholes option valuation model. The Company has not and does not expect to pay dividends; therefore, no specific dividend yield is utilized under the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The risk-free interest rate assumption is based upon observed interest rates appropriate for the term of the Company's employees' stock option grants. The volatility assumption used to value option grants is based exclusively on the Company's historical available closing stock price information. The Company can rely exclusively on this historical information if (1) the Company has no reason to believe that its future volatility over the expected or contractual term is likely to differ from the past, (2) the computation of historical volatility uses a simple average calculation method, (3) a sequential period of historical data at least equals the expected or contractual term of the share options is used and (4) a reasonably sufficient number of price observations are used. The expected term of employee stock options, which represents the period the stock options are expected to remain outstanding, was based on a combination of historical

Table of Contents**STEC, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

option exercise activity of prior grants with similar characteristics and expected future employee behavior. The expected life of employees' stock option grants are impacted by all of the underlying assumptions used in the Company's model. The Black-Scholes option pricing model assumes that employees' exercise behavior is a function of the options' remaining contractual life and the extent to which the option is in-the-money. The Black-Scholes option pricing model estimates the probability of exercise as a function of these two variables based on the history of exercises and cancellations of past option grants made by the Company.

The weighted average assumptions used to value the option grants are as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Expected term (years) | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.8 |
| Risk-free interest rate | 3.1% | 4.1% | 4.6% |
| Volatility | 64.9% | 75.0% | 83.5% |
| Dividend rate | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |

During 2008, 2007 and 2006, the Company issued 4,000, 158,000 and 387,000 restricted stock units, respectively, with a grant fair value per share determined by the closing price of the common stock on the issuance date. Each unit represents the right to receive one share of the Company's common stock as each restricted stock unit vests.

The following table presents a summary of the status of the Company's restricted stock units as of December 31, 2008, and changes during the year ended December 31, 2008:

| | Restricted Units | Weighted Average Grant Fair Value |
|--|---------------------|--|
| Non-vested restricted stock units at December 31, 2007 | 383,250 | \$ 8.19 |
| Granted | 4,000 | 10.69 |
| Vested | (122,250) | 7.95 |
| Forfeited | (22,500) | 8.13 |
| Non-vested restricted stock units at December 31, 2008 | 242,500 | \$ 8.16 |

The weighted average grant date fair value of restricted stock units granted in 2008, 2007 and 2006 was \$10.69, \$11.22 and \$6.37, respectively.

As of December 31, 2008, total unrecognized compensation expense related to unvested restricted stock units granted under the Plan was approximately \$1.7 million, which the Company expects to recognize over a weighted average period of 1.8 years.

11. Related Party Transactions:

The Company occupies two leased facilities of approximately 24,500 and 48,600 square feet in Santa Ana, California, which serves as its corporate headquarters. In addition to the Company's executive offices, these facilities also contain substantially all of the Company's manufacturing, engineering, research and development and testing operations. The Company leases both facilities from MDC Land LLC (MDC), a limited liability company owned by Manouch Moshayedi, Mike Moshayedi and Mark Moshayedi, each of whom is a major shareholder of the Company as of December 31, 2008. Manouch Moshayedi and Mark Moshayedi are also executive officers and directors of the Company as of December 31, 2008. MDC has no operations other than leasing transactions with the Company.

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

An operating lease with MDC for the 24,500 square foot facility expires in July 2017. The monthly base rent was approximately \$20,000 per month during 2008. Beginning August 1, 2007, the monthly base rent was adjusted to approximately \$20,000 based on the change in the Consumer Price Index. For the remainder of the lease, base rent shall be adjusted every two years based on the change in the Consumer Price Index.

An operating lease with MDC for the 48,600 square foot facility expires in July 2017. The monthly base rent was approximately \$34,000 per month during 2008. Beginning August 1, 2007, the monthly base rent was adjusted to approximately \$34,000 based on the change in the Consumer Price Index. For the remainder of the lease, base rent shall be adjusted every two years based on the change in the Consumer Price Index.

Building rent expense for these two facilities amounted to approximately \$660,000, \$600,000 and \$600,000 for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. At December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, there was no outstanding facility rent owed to MDC.

F-20

Table of Contents

STEC, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

In 2008, 2007 and 2006, the Company purchased \$0, \$42,000 and \$49,000, respectively, in testing services from QualCenter, Inc., a Texas S Corporation company beneficially owned by Manouch Moshayedi, Mike Moshayedi and Mark Moshayedi. QualCenter is located in Houston, Texas and performs tests and qualification services on Hewlett-Packard desktop, laptop, server, and workstation memory modules. QualCenter performs these services on an exclusive basis for Hewlett-Packard under an arrangement whereby Hewlett-Packard defines and specifies all test and evaluation procedures and methodologies.

12. Credit Facility:

On July 30, 2008, the Company entered into an agreement for a \$35 million two-year senior unsecured revolving credit facility (the Credit Facility) with Wachovia Bank, National Association (Wachovia). The Credit Facility will bear interest at a floating rate equivalent to, at the option of the Company, either (i) LIBOR plus 0.70% - 1.20% depending on the Company's leverage ratio at each quarter end or (ii) Wachovia's prime rate, announced from time to time, less 1.00% - 1.50% depending on the Company's leverage ratio at each quarter end. The Credit Facility is guaranteed by certain domestic subsidiaries of the Company. In addition, in the event the Company makes a loan to any of its foreign subsidiaries, the Company has agreed to pledge to Wachovia the Company's intercompany note from such foreign subsidiary. The Credit Facility agreement contains customary affirmative and negative covenants, some of which require the maintenance of specified leverage and minimum liquidity ratios. The Company was subject to a maximum leverage ratio of 2.5 to 1.0 for the quarter ended December 31, 2008 and a minimum liquidity ratio of 1.0 to 5.0 through December 31, 2008. The Credit Facility matures on July 30, 2010.

As of December 31, 2008, there were no borrowings outstanding. In order to ensure the preservation of this additional liquidity amid the adverse credit market conditions, the Company borrowed \$35 million under the Credit Facility in October 2008. In November and December 2008, after passage of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 and some stabilization of the credit markets, the Company elected to repay the entire amount borrowed. As of December 31, 2008, there were no borrowings outstanding under our Credit Facility with Wachovia. As of December 31, 2008, the Company was in compliance with all required covenants. The Credit Facility will be used to maintain liquidity and fund working capital requirements, on an as needed basis.

13. Subsequent Events

On February 4, 2009, the Company commenced a reduction of its workforce at its Santa Ana, California headquarters as part of the transition of certain of its operations to its new 210,000 square foot manufacturing facility in Penang, Malaysia. This reduction, which is primarily in its U.S. based workforce, will occur over several stages and is expected to be completed by the end of 2009. The workforce reduction will affect approximately 200 jobs, which represents approximately 25% of the Company's then-current global workforce. In connection with this reduction in workforce, the Company expects to incur expenses of between \$1.2 million to \$1.7 million for severance and related costs. The Company expects to record these expenses in 2009, with a majority to be recorded in the first quarter of 2009. The Company expects substantially all of the expenses associated with the reduction of workforce to result in cash expenditures. Actual amounts and the exact timing of the workforce reductions could differ from these estimates.

Table of Contents**STEC, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****14. Selected Quarterly Financial Data (unaudited) (in thousands):**

| Quarter Ended: | December 31, 2008 | September 30, 2008 | June 30, 2008 | March 31, 2008 |
|--|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Net revenues | \$ 56,915 | \$ 63,651 | \$ 56,199 | \$ 50,680 |
| Gross profit | 15,845 | 20,418 | 18,170 | 16,654 |
| Operating (loss) income | (2,499) | 2,393 | 3,053 | 2,592 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | (174) | 1,157 | 1,323 | 1,845 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | 3 | 47 | 91 | |
| Net income | \$ (171) | \$ 1,204 | \$ 1,414 | \$ 1,845 |
| Net income per share: | | | | |
| Basic: | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ | \$ 0.02 | \$ 0.03 | \$ 0.04 |
| Discontinued operations | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Total | \$ | \$ 0.02 | \$ 0.03 | \$ 0.04 |
| Diluted: | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ | \$ 0.02 | \$ 0.03 | \$ 0.04 |
| Discontinued operations | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Total | \$ | \$ 0.02 | \$ 0.03 | \$ 0.04 |
| Quarter Ended: | December 31, 2007 | September 30, 2007 | June 30, 2007 | March 31, 2007 |
| Net revenues | \$ 53,013 | \$ 44,699 | \$ 43,736 | \$ 47,204 |
| Gross profit | 15,896 | 12,980 | 13,708 | 14,425 |
| Operating income | 1,827 | 600 | 1,860 | 2,460 |
| Income from continuing operations | 1,534 | 506 | 1,717 | 2,053 |
| (Loss) income from discontinued operations | (426) | 324 | (351) | 4,657 |
| Net income | \$ 1,108 | \$ 830 | \$ 1,366 | \$ 6,710 |
| Net income (loss) per share: | | | | |
| Basic: | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 0.03 | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.04 | \$ 0.04 |
| Discontinued operations | \$ (0.01) | \$ 0.01 | \$ (0.01) | \$ 0.10 |
| Total | \$ 0.02 | \$ 0.02 | \$ 0.03 | \$ 0.14 |
| Diluted: | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 0.03 | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.04 | \$ 0.04 |
| Discontinued operations | \$ (0.01) | \$ 0.01 | \$ (0.01) | \$ 0.09 |
| Total | \$ 0.02 | \$ 0.02 | \$ 0.03 | \$ 0.13 |

Table of Contents**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Annual Report on Form 10-K to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in the City of Santa Ana, State of California, on the 11th day of March, 2009.

STEC, Inc.

By: /s/ MANOUCH MOSHAYEDI
Name: **Manouch Moshayedi**
Title: **Chief Executive Officer and**

Chairman of the Board of Directors

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this Report has been signed by the following persons in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

| Signature | Title | Date |
|---|---|----------------|
| /s/ MANOUCH MOSHAYEDI Manouch Moshayedi | Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors | March 11, 2009 |
| /s/ MARK MOSHAYEDI Mark Moshayedi | President, Chief Operating Officer, Chief Technical Officer, Secretary and Director | March 6, 2009 |
| /s/ RAYMOND COOK Raymond Cook | Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer) | March 11, 2009 |
| /s/ F. MICHAEL BALL F. Michael Ball | Director | March 6, 2009 |
| /s/ RAJAT BAHRI Rajat Bahri | Director | March 6, 2009 |
| /s/ CHRISTOPHER COLPITTS Christopher Colpitts | Director | March 9, 2009 |
| /s/ MATTHEW WITTE Matthew Witte | Director | March 6, 2009 |
| /s/ DAN MOSES Dan Moses | Director | March 6, 2009 |

Table of Contents**EXHIBIT INDEX**

| Number | Description | Location |
|---------------|---|--|
| 3.1 | Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation | Exhibit 3.1 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1/A (File No. 333-32478) of the Registrant, filed July 3, 2000. |
| 3.1.1 | Certificate of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation, dated August 31, 2000 | Exhibit 3.3 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1/A (File No. 333-32478) of the Registrant, filed September 27, 2000. |
| 3.1.2 | Certificate of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation, dated May 1, 2001 | Exhibit 3.1 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of the Registrant for the quarter ended March 31, 2001, filed May 14, 2001. |
| 3.1.3 | Certificate of Ownership as filed with the California Secretary of State on March 7, 2007 | Exhibit 3.1 to the Current Report of the Registrant, filed March 8, 2007. |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated Bylaws | Exhibit 3.2 to the Current Report of the Registrant, filed October 2, 2007. |
| 4.1 | See Exhibits 3.1 and 3.2 for provisions of the Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws for STEC, Inc. defining the rights of holders of common stock of STEC, Inc. | |
| 4.2 | Specimen Stock Certificate | Exhibit 4.2 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Registrant for the year ended December 31, 2006, filed March 30, 2007. |
| 10.1 | Amended and Restated Real Estate Lease, dated April 1, 2000, by and between MDC Land LLC and the Registrant | Exhibit 10.1 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1/A (File No. 333-32478) of the Registrant, filed July 3, 2000. |
| 10.2 | Amended and Restated Real Estate Lease, dated June 1, 2000, by and between MDC Land LLC and the Registrant | Exhibit 10.2 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1/A (File No. 333-32478) of the Registrant, filed July 3, 2000. |
| 10.3 | 2000 Stock Incentive Plan (as amended through April 17, 2006) | Exhibit 99.1 to the Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-136505) of the Registrant, filed August 11, 2006. |
| 10.4 | Form of Indemnification Agreement between the Registrant and each of its directors and executive officers | Exhibit 10.7 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1/A (File No. 333-32478) of the Registrant, filed July 3, 2000. |
| 10.5 | Distribution and Tax Indemnity Agreement, dated September 26, 2000, by and between the Registrant and each of the shareholders of the Registrant | Exhibit 10.9 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1/A (File No. 333-32478) of the Registrant, filed July 28, 2000. |
| 10.6 | License Agreement, dated August 22, 2000, by and between Micron Electronics and the Registrant | Exhibit 10.25 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1/A (File No. 333-32478) of the Registrant, filed September 6, 2000. |
| 10.7 | Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated Real Estate Lease, dated April 29, 2002, by and between MDC Land, LLC and the Registrant (24,500 sq. ft. facility) | Exhibit 10.10 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1/A (File No. 333-109372) of the Registrant, filed October 15, 2003. |
| 10.8 | Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated Real Estate Lease, dated April 29, 2002, by and between MDC Land, LLC and the Registrant (48,600 sq. ft. facility) | Exhibit 10.11 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1/A (File No. 333-109372) of the Registrant, filed October 15, 2003. |
| 10.9 | Summary of Non-Employee Director Compensation Arrangements | Exhibit 99.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K of the Registrant filed October 30, 2007. |
| 10.10 | Letter, dated August 25, 2006, from Malaysian Industrial Development Authority addressed to The Registrant offering special incentives | Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K of the Registrant filed February 12, 2007. |

Edgar Filing: STEC, INC. - Form 10-K

| | | |
|-------|---|---|
| 10.11 | 2000 Stock Incentive Plan form of Notice of Grant of Stock Option | Exhibit 10.14 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Registrant for the year ended December 31, 2006, filed March 30, 2007. |
|-------|---|---|

Table of Contents

| Number | Description | Location |
|---------------|--|---|
| 10.12 | 2000 Stock Incentive Plan form of Stock Option Agreement | Exhibit 10.15 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Registrant for the year ended December 31, 2006, filed March 30, 2007. |
| 10.13 | 2000 Stock Incentive Plan form of Notice of Grant of Non-Employee Director Automatic Stock Option | Exhibit 10.16 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Registrant for the year ended December 31, 2006, filed March 30, 2007. |
| 10.14 | 2000 Stock Incentive Plan form of Automatic Stock Option Agreement for Non-Employee Directors | Exhibit 10.17 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Registrant for the year ended December 31, 2006, filed March 30, 2007. |
| 10.15 | 2000 Stock Incentive Plan form of Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement | Exhibit 10.18 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Registrant for the year ended December 31, 2006, filed March 30, 2007. |
| 10.16 | Severance and Change in Control Agreement, dated March 3, 2008, by and between the Registrant and Manouch Moshayedi | Exhibit 10.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K of the Registrant, filed March 7, 2008. |
| 10.17 | Severance and Change in Control Agreement, dated March 3, 2008, by and between the Registrant and Dan Moses | Exhibit 10.3 to the Current Report on Form 8-K of the Registrant, filed March 7, 2008. |
| 10.18 | Severance and Change in Control Agreement, dated March 3, 2008, by and between the Registrant and Mark Moshayedi | Exhibit 10.4 to the Current Report on Form 8-K of the Registrant, filed March 7, 2008. |
| 10.19 | Credit Agreement, dated July 30, 2008, among STEC, Inc., its domestic subsidiaries, the lenders party thereto and Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent | Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K of the Registrant, filed August 1, 2008. |
| 10.20 | Employment Offer Letter, dated October 15, 2008, between STEC, Inc. and Raymond Cook | Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K of the Registrant, filed November 3, 2008. |
| 10.21 | Severance and Change in Control Agreement, dated November 11, 2008, by and between the Registrant and Raymond Cook | Filed herewith. |
| 21.1 | List of Subsidiaries of the Registrant | Filed herewith. |
| 23.1 | Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP | Filed herewith. |
| 31.1 | Section 302 Certification of Chief Executive Officer | Filed herewith. |
| 31.2 | Section 302 Certification of Chief Financial Officer | Filed herewith. |
| 32.1* | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | Furnished herewith pursuant to SEC Release No. 33-8238. |
| 32.2* | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | Furnished herewith pursuant to SEC Release No. 33-8238. |

Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed as an exhibit pursuant to Item 15(c) of Form 10-K.

Confidential treatment has previously been granted by the SEC for certain portions of the referenced exhibit.

* The information in Exhibits 32.1 and 32.2 shall not be deemed filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that section, nor shall they be deemed incorporated by reference in any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act (including this Report), unless STEC, Inc. specifically incorporates the foregoing information into those documents by reference.