

HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC.

Form 10-Q

August 07, 2009

[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 1-13754

THE HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Delaware **04-3263626**
(State or other jurisdiction of **(I.R.S. Employer**
incorporation or organization) **Identification No.)**
440 Lincoln Street, Worcester, Massachusetts 01653

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(508) 855-1000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock was 50,860,359 as of August 1, 2009.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

| | | |
|---------|--|------|
| Item 1. | Financial Statements | |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Income</u> | 3 |
| | <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u> | 5 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity</u> | 6 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income</u> | 7 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u> | 8 |
| | <u>Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 9-35 |
| Item 2. | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 36 |
| Item 3. | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 84 |
| Item 4. | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 85 |

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

| | | |
|----------|--|----|
| Item 1. | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 86 |
| Item 1A. | <u>Risk Factors</u> | 87 |
| Item 2. | <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 92 |
| Item 4. | <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u> | 92 |
| Item 6. | <u>Exhibits</u> | 93 |
| | <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 94 |

Table of Contents**PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1 - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****THE HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

| (In millions) | (Unaudited) Quarter Ended June 30, | | (Unaudited) Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--|--------------|---|----------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| REVENUES | | | | |
| Premiums | \$ 630.0 | \$ 619.3 | \$ 1,262.0 | \$ 1,237.0 |
| Net investment income | 61.3 | 63.8 | 126.2 | 128.4 |
| Net realized investment losses: | | | | |
| Total other-than temporary impairment losses on securities | (18.1) | (8.3) | (34.6) | (13.6) |
| Portion of loss recognized in other comprehensive income | 11.4 | | 11.4 | |
| Net other than temporary impairment losses on securities recognized in earnings | (6.7) | (8.3) | (23.2) | (13.6) |
| Realized gains from sales and other | 3.1 | 0.7 | 13.5 | 5.7 |
| Total net realized investment losses | (3.6) | (7.6) | (9.7) | (7.9) |
| Fees and other income | 8.7 | 9.5 | 16.8 | 17.4 |
| Total revenues | 696.4 | 685.0 | 1,395.3 | 1,374.9 |
| LOSSES AND EXPENSES | | | | |
| Losses and loss adjustment expenses | 393.8 | 385.4 | 822.1 | 765.5 |
| Policy acquisition expenses | 144.8 | 139.0 | 287.9 | 276.4 |
| Gain on tender offer | (34.3) | | (34.3) | |
| Other operating expenses | 95.5 | 83.9 | 189.7 | 169.9 |
| Total losses and expenses | 599.8 | 608.3 | 1,265.4 | 1,211.8 |
| Income before federal income taxes | 96.6 | 76.7 | 129.9 | 163.1 |
| Federal income tax expense: | | | | |
| Current | 12.4 | 19.4 | 25.0 | 41.7 |
| Deferred | 21.1 | 9.4 | 21.6 | 16.2 |
| Total federal income tax expense | 33.5 | 28.8 | 46.6 | 57.9 |
| Income from continuing operations | 63.1 | 47.9 | 83.3 | 105.2 |
| Discontinued operations (See Notes 3 and 4): | | | | |
| Gain (loss) from discontinued FAFLIC business (net of income tax (expense) benefit of \$(1.7) for the quarter ended June 30, 2008 and \$0.4 for the six months ended June 30, 2008), including loss on assets held-for-sale of \$66.1 in 2008 | 0.9 | (67.7) | 5.9 | (71.2) |
| Gain (loss) from operations of discontinued accident and health business (net of income tax expense of \$0.1 for the quarter ended June 30, 2009) | 0.2 | | (3.1) | |
| Income from operations of AMGRO (net of income tax benefit of \$1.3 for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2008), including gain on disposal of \$11.1 in 2008 | | 10.4 | | 10.1 |

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---|----------------|-----------|----------------|---------|
| Gain (loss) on disposal of variable life and annuity business (net of income tax benefit of \$0.5 for the quarter ended June 30, 2008 and \$0.4 for the six months ended June 30, 2008) | 0.2 | (0.8) | 4.1 | 5.4 |
| Other discontinued operations | | | | (1.2) |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 64.4 | \$ (10.2) | \$ 90.2 | \$ 48.3 |

Table of Contents

THE HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (CONTINUED)

| | (Unaudited) Quarter Ended June 30, 2009 | | (Unaudited) Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 | |
|---|--|-----------|---|---------|
| PER SHARE DATA | | | | |
| <u>Basic</u> | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 1.23 | \$ 0.93 | \$ 1.63 | \$ 2.04 |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | |
| Gain (loss) from discontinued FAFLIC business (net of income tax (expense) benefit of \$(0.03) for the quarter ended June 30, 2008 and \$0.01 for the six months ended June 30, 2008), including loss on assets held-for-sale of \$1.27 in 2008 | 0.02 | (1.31) | 0.12 | (1.38) |
| Gain (loss) from operations of discontinued accident and health business | 0.01 | | (0.06) | |
| Income from operations of AMGRO (net of income tax benefit of \$0.03 for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2008), including gain on disposal of \$0.21 in 2008 | | 0.20 | | 0.20 |
| (Loss) gain on disposal of variable life insurance and annuity business (net of income tax benefit of \$0.01 for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2008) | | (0.02) | 0.08 | 0.10 |
| Other discontinued operations | | | | (0.02) |
| Net income (loss) per share | \$ 1.26 | \$ (0.20) | \$ 1.77 | \$ 0.94 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | 51.1 | 51.3 | 51.1 | 51.5 |
| <u>Diluted</u> | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 1.23 | \$ 0.92 | \$ 1.62 | \$ 2.02 |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | |
| Gain (loss) from discontinued FAFLIC business (net of income tax (expense) benefit of \$(0.03) for the quarter ended June 30, 2008 and \$0.01 for the six months ended June 30, 2008), including loss on assets held-for-sale of \$1.27 in 2008 | 0.02 | (1.30) | 0.11 | (1.37) |
| Loss from operations of discontinued accident and health business | | | (0.06) | |
| Income from operations of AMGRO (net of income tax benefit of \$0.03 for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2008), including gain on disposal of \$0.21 in 2008 | | 0.20 | | 0.20 |
| (Loss) gain on disposal of variable life insurance and annuity business (net of income tax benefit of \$0.01 for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2008) | | (0.02) | 0.08 | 0.10 |
| Other discontinued operations | | | | (0.02) |
| Net income (loss) per share | \$ 1.25 | \$ (0.20) | \$ 1.75 | \$ 0.93 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | 51.4 | 51.8 | 51.4 | 52.0 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**THE HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC.****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

| (In millions, except per share data) | (Unaudited) June 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|---|--|------------------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Investments: | | |
| Fixed maturities, at fair value (amortized cost of \$4,463.7 and \$4,382.0) | \$ 4,403.6 | \$ 4,140.9 |
| Equity securities, at fair value (cost of \$94.6 and \$97.6) | 92.1 | 76.2 |
| Mortgage loans | 26.2 | 31.1 |
| Other long-term investments | 16.9 | 18.4 |
| Total investments | 4,538.8 | 4,266.6 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 281.0 | 397.7 |
| Accrued investment income | 50.9 | 52.3 |
| Premiums, accounts and notes receivable, net | 605.7 | 578.5 |
| Reinsurance receivable on paid and unpaid losses, benefits and unearned premiums | 1,126.6 | 1,129.6 |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs | 272.3 | 264.8 |
| Deferred federal income taxes | 247.7 | 285.6 |
| Goodwill | 170.0 | 169.9 |
| Other assets | 323.4 | 315.7 |
| Assets of discontinued operations | 131.3 | 1,769.5 |
| Total assets | \$ 7,747.7 | \$ 9,230.2 |
| LIABILITIES | | |
| Policy liabilities and accruals: | | |
| Losses and loss adjustment expenses | \$ 3,127.7 | \$ 3,201.3 |
| Unearned premiums | 1,267.1 | 1,246.3 |
| Contractholder deposit funds and other policy liabilities | 1.6 | 1.8 |
| Total policy liabilities and accruals | 4,396.4 | 4,449.4 |
| Expenses and taxes payable | 623.2 | 622.3 |
| Reinsurance premiums payable | 65.1 | 61.3 |
| Long-term debt | 309.9 | 531.4 |
| Liabilities of discontinued operations | 132.0 | 1,678.6 |
| Total liabilities | 5,526.6 | 7,343.0 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 13) | | |
| SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 20.0 million shares authorized, none issued | | |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 300.0 million shares authorized, 60.5 million shares issued | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 1,805.1 | 1,803.8 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (173.0) | (384.8) |
| Retained earnings | 1,073.3 | 949.8 |
| Treasury stock at cost (9.7 and 9.6 million shares) | (484.9) | (482.2) |
| Total shareholders' equity | 2,221.1 | 1,887.2 |

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|------------|------------|
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 7,747.7 | \$ 9,230.2 |
|--|------------|------------|

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**THE HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY**

| (In millions) | (Unaudited) Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|---|---------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| PREFERRED STOCK | | |
| Balance at beginning and end of period | \$ | \$ |
| COMMON STOCK | | |
| Balance at beginning and end of period | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| ADDITIONAL PAID-IN CAPITAL | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | 1,803.8 | 1,822.6 |
| Tax benefit from stock options | | 0.3 |
| Employee and director stock-based awards | 1.3 | (22.7) |
| Balance at end of period | 1,805.1 | 1,800.2 |
| ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE LOSS | | |
| NET UNREALIZED (DEPRECIATION) APPRECIATION ON AVAILABLE FOR SALE SECURITIES AND DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS: | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | (276.1) | 5.5 |
| Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, adoption of FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2 | (33.3) | |
| Balance at beginning of period, as adjusted | (309.4) | 5.5 |
| Net appreciation (depreciation) during the period: | | |
| Net appreciation (depreciation) on available-for-sale securities and derivative instruments | 250.8 | (80.5) |
| Portion of other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized in other comprehensive income | (11.4) | |
| Benefit for deferred federal income taxes | 0.2 | 2.2 |
| | 239.6 | (78.3) |
| Balance at end of period | (69.8) | (72.8) |
| DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION AND POSTRETIREMENT PLANS: | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | (108.7) | (25.9) |
| Amounts arising in the period | (1.6) | (0.8) |
| Amortization during the period: | | |
| Amount recognized as net periodic benefit cost | 10.0 | (1.8) |
| (Provision) benefit for deferred federal income taxes | (2.9) | 0.9 |
| | 5.5 | (1.7) |
| Balance at end of period | (103.2) | (27.6) |
| Total accumulated other comprehensive loss | (173.0) | (100.4) |

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

RETAINED EARNINGS

| | | |
|--|----------------|---------|
| Balance at beginning of period | 949.8 | 946.9 |
| Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, adoption of FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2 | 33.3 | |
| Balance at beginning of period, as adjusted | 983.1 | 946.9 |
| Net income | 90.2 | 48.3 |
| Treasury stock issued for less than cost | (2.2) | (6.9) |
| Recognition of share-based compensation | 2.2 | 13.4 |
| Balance at end of period | 1,073.3 | 1,001.7 |

TREASURY STOCK

| | | |
|---|-------------------|------------|
| Balance at beginning of period | (482.2) | (450.7) |
| Shares purchased at cost | (7.1) | (58.5) |
| Net shares reissued at cost under employee stock-based compensation plans | 4.4 | 19.8 |
| Balance at end of period | (484.9) | (489.4) |
| Total shareholders' equity | \$ 2,221.1 | \$ 2,212.7 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

THE HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

| (In millions) | (Unaudited) Quarter Ended | | (Unaudited) Six Months Ended | |
|--|------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| | June 30, 2009 | 2008 | June 30, 2009 | 2008 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 64.4 | \$ (10.2) | \$ 90.2 | \$ 48.3 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): | | | | |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | |
| Net appreciation (depreciation) during the period | 202.2 | (76.8) | 250.8 | (80.1) |
| Portion of other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized in other comprehensive income | (11.4) | | (11.4) | |
| Benefit for deferred federal income taxes | | 1.0 | 0.2 | 2.1 |
| Total available-for-sale securities | 190.8 | (75.8) | 239.6 | (78.0) |
| Derivative instruments: | | | | |
| Net depreciation during the period | | (0.1) | | (0.4) |
| Benefit for deferred federal income taxes | | | | 0.1 |
| Total derivative instruments | | (0.1) | | (0.3) |
| | 190.8 | (75.9) | 239.6 | (78.3) |
| Pension and postretirement benefits: | | | | |
| Amounts arising in the period | (1.0) | (0.7) | (1.6) | (0.8) |
| Amortization recognized as net periodic benefit costs: | | | | |
| Net actuarial loss | 6.8 | 1.2 | 13.6 | 1.5 |
| Prior service cost | (1.4) | (1.4) | (2.8) | (2.5) |
| Transition asset | (0.4) | (0.4) | (0.8) | (0.8) |
| Total amortization recognized as net periodic benefit costs | 5.0 | (0.6) | 10.0 | (1.8) |
| (Provision) benefit for deferred federal income taxes | (1.4) | 0.5 | (2.9) | 0.9 |
| Total pension and postretirement benefits | 2.6 | (0.8) | 5.5 | (1.7) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | 193.4 | (76.7) | 245.1 | (80.0) |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | \$ 257.8 | \$ (86.9) | \$ 335.3 | \$ (31.7) |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**THE HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

| (In millions) | (Unaudited) | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------|
| | Six Months Ended | |
| | June 30, | |
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Net income | \$ 90.2 | \$ 48.3 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities: | | |
| Gain on disposal of variable life insurance and annuity business | (4.1) | (5.4) |
| (Gain) estimated loss from sale of FAFLIC | (5.9) | 66.1 |
| Gain on sale of AMGRO, Inc. | | (11.1) |
| Gain on tender offer | (34.3) | |
| Net realized investment losses | 12.9 | 15.3 |
| Net amortization and depreciation | 5.1 | 9.3 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 5.7 | 6.1 |
| Amortization of deferred benefit plan costs | 9.9 | (2.6) |
| Deferred federal income taxes | 21.6 | 33.6 |
| Change in deferred acquisition costs | (7.4) | (10.6) |
| Change in premiums and notes receivable, net of reinsurance premiums payable | (22.5) | 42.5 |
| Change in accrued investment income | 1.8 | 1.6 |
| Change in policy liabilities and accruals, net | (47.5) | (115.6) |
| Change in reinsurance receivable | 3.7 | 71.2 |
| Change in expenses and taxes payable | (74.1) | (91.2) |
| Other, net | (0.2) | 5.9 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | (45.1) | 63.4 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Proceeds from disposals and maturities of available-for-sale fixed maturities | 1,335.9 | 475.0 |
| Proceeds from disposals of equity securities and other investments | | 10.1 |
| Proceeds from mortgages sold, matured or collected | 4.9 | 3.5 |
| Proceeds from collections of installment finance and notes receivable | | 192.2 |
| Proceeds from the sale of FAFLIC | 105.8 | |
| Cash transferred with sale of FAFLIC | (108.1) | |
| Net cash used to acquire Verlan Holdings, Inc | | (26.3) |
| Purchase of available-for-sale fixed maturities | (1,424.6) | (420.2) |
| Purchase of equity securities and other investments | (15.2) | (12.3) |
| Capital expenditures | (4.2) | (6.0) |
| Disbursements to fund installment finance and notes receivable | | (178.6) |
| Other investing items | 2.8 | 0.7 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities | (102.7) | 38.1 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Exercise of options | 0.4 | 4.1 |
| Change in collateral related to securities lending program | 30.7 | 3.8 |
| Repurchase of long-term debt | (125.0) | |
| Treasury stock purchased at cost | (7.1) | (58.5) |
| Other financing activities | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (100.9) | (50.5) |

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|----------|----------|
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | (248.7) | 51.0 |
| Net change in cash related to discontinued operations | 132.0 | 47.1 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 397.7 | 210.6 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 281.0 | \$ 308.7 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

THE HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO INTERIM CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Basis of Presentation and Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements of The Hanover Insurance Group, Inc. (THG or the Company) have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the requirements of Form 10-Q.

The interim consolidated financial statements of THG include the accounts of The Hanover Insurance Company (Hanover Insurance), and Citizens Insurance Company of America (Citizens), THG's principal property and casualty companies; and certain other insurance and non-insurance subsidiaries. These legal entities conduct their operations through several business segments discussed in Note 10. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. The Company's results of operations also included the results of First Allmerica Financial Life Insurance Company (FAFLIC) through December 31, 2008. On January 2, 2009, the Company sold FAFLIC to Commonwealth Annuity and Life Insurance Company (Commonwealth Annuity) a subsidiary of the Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. (Goldman Sachs). Accordingly, the FAFLIC business was classified as a discontinued operation in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets* (Statement No. 144) and prior periods in the consolidated Statements of Income have been reclassified to conform to this presentation. Additionally, as of December 31, 2008, a portion of FAFLIC's accounts were classified as assets and liabilities of discontinued operations in the consolidated Balance Sheets (See Note 3 Discontinued Operations of FAFLIC Business).

The accompanying interim consolidated financial statements reflect, in the opinion of the Company's management, all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position and results of operations. The results of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires the Company to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to current year presentation.

2. New Accounting Pronouncements

Recently Adopted Standards

In May 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 165, *Subsequent Events* (Statement No. 165). Statement No. 165 is consistent with current auditing standards in defining a subsequent event. Additionally, it provides for disclosure regarding the existence and timing of a company's evaluation of its subsequent events. Statement No. 165 defines two types of subsequent events, recognized and non-recognized . Recognized subsequent events provide additional evidence about conditions that existed at the date of the balance sheet and are required to be reflected in the financial statements. Non-recognized subsequent events provide evidence about conditions that did not exist at the date of the balance sheet but arose after that date and, therefore; are not required to be reflected in the financial statements. However, certain non-recognized subsequent events may require disclosure to prevent the financial statements from being misleading. This statement is effective prospectively for interim or annual financial periods ending after June 15, 2009. The Company has adopted this statement as of April 1, 2009. The effect of adopting Statement No. 165 was not material to the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Table of Contents

In May 2008, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 163, *Accounting for Financial Guarantee Insurance Contracts an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 60* (Statement No. 163). Statement No. 163 provides for changes to both the recognition and measurement of premium revenues and claim liabilities for financial guarantee insurance contracts that are within the scope of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 60, *Accounting and Reporting by Insurance Enterprises* and that do not qualify as a derivative instrument in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*. This statement also expands the disclosure requirements related to financial guarantee insurance contracts to include such items as a company s method of tracking insured financial obligations with credit deterioration, financial information about the insured financial obligations, and management s policies for placing and monitoring the insured financial obligations. Statement No. 163 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, except for certain disclosures related to the insured financial obligations, which were effective for the third quarter of 2008. The Company adopted Statement No. 163 on January 1, 2009. The Company does not have financial guarantee insurance products, and, accordingly the adoption of Statement No. 163 did not have an effect on the Company s results of operations or financial position.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141 (revised 2007), *Business Combinations* (Statement No. 141(R)). This Statement requires the acquiring entity in a business combination to recognize all assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a transaction at the acquisition-date fair value, with certain exceptions. Additionally, the statement requires changes to the accounting treatment of acquisition related items, including, among other items, transaction costs, contingent consideration, restructuring costs, indemnification assets and tax benefits. Statement No. 141(R) also provides for a substantial number of new disclosure requirements. In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS 141(R)-1, *Accounting for Assets Acquired and Liabilities Assumed in a Business Combination That Arise from Contingencies* (FSP FAS 141(R)-1). This FSP amends and clarifies Statement No. 141(R) to address application issues regarding initial recognition and measurement, subsequent measurement and accounting, and disclosure of assets and liabilities arising from contingencies in a business combination. Statement No. 141(R) and FSP FAS 141(R)-1 are effective for business combinations initiated on or after the first annual reporting period beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company adopted Statement No. 141(R) and FSP FAS 141(R)-1 effective January 1, 2009. The adoption of Statement No. 141(R) and FSP FAS 141(R)-1 did not have an effect on the Company s financial position or results of operations; however they will likely have an impact on the Company s accounting for future business combinations, but the effect is dependent upon acquisitions, if any, that are made in the future.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an amendment of ARB No. 51* (Statement No. 160), which establishes new standards governing the accounting for and reporting of noncontrolling interests (previously referred to as minority interests). This statement establishes reporting requirements which include, among other things, that noncontrolling interests be reflected as a separate component of equity, not as a liability. It also requires that the interests of the parent and the noncontrolling interest be clearly identifiable. Additionally, increases and decreases in a parent s ownership interest that leave control intact shall be reflected as equity transactions, rather than step acquisitions or dilution gains or losses. This statement also requires changes to the presentation of information in the financial statements and provides for additional disclosure requirements. Statement No. 160 is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008. The Company adopted Statement No. 160 as of January 1, 2009. The effect of adopting Statement No. 160 was not material to the Company s financial position or results of operations.

In February 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115* (Statement No. 159). Statement No. 159 permits a company to choose, at specified election dates, to measure at fair value certain eligible financial assets and liabilities that are not currently required to be measured at fair value. The specified election dates include, but are not limited to, the date when an entity first recognizes the item, when an entity enters into a firm commitment or when changes in the financial instrument causes it to no longer qualify for fair value accounting under a different accounting standard. An entity may elect the fair value option for eligible items that exist at the effective date. At that date, the difference between the carrying amounts and the fair values of eligible items for which the fair value option is elected should be recognized as a cumulative effect adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings. The fair value option may be elected for each entire financial instrument, but need not be applied to all similar instruments. Once the fair value option has been elected, it is irrevocable. Unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected will be reported in earnings. Statement No. 159 was effective as of the beginning of fiscal years that begin after November 15, 2007. The Company did not elect to implement the fair value option for eligible financial assets and liabilities as of January 1, 2008.

Table of Contents

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (Statement No. 157). This statement creates a common definition of fair value to be used throughout generally accepted accounting principles. Statement No. 157 will apply whenever another standard requires or permits assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value, with certain exceptions. The standard establishes a hierarchy for determining fair value which emphasizes the use of observable market data whenever available. The statement also requires expanded disclosures which include the extent to which assets and liabilities are measured at fair value, the methods and assumptions used to measure fair value and the effect of fair value measures on earnings. In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS No. 157-4, *Determining Fair Value when the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly* (FSP FAS No. 157-4). This FSP provides additional guidance for estimating fair value in accordance with Statement No. 157, when the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability have significantly decreased. The emphasis of the FSP is that fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between willing market participants, under current market conditions. This FSP also further clarifies the guidance to be considered when determining whether or not a transaction is orderly. The FSP was effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009. In October 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS No. 157-3, *Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset Is Not Active* (FSP FAS No. 157-3). This FSP clarifies how Statement No. 157 should be applied when valuing securities in markets that are not active. This Statement provides guidance on how companies may use judgment, in addition to market information, in certain circumstances to value assets which have inactive markets. This FSP is effective upon issuance, including prior periods that financial statements have not yet been issued. Statement No. 157 was effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and interim periods within those fiscal years. The difference between the carrying amounts and fair values of those financial instruments held at the date this statement is initially applied should be recognized as a cumulative effect adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings for the fiscal year in which this statement is initially applied. Additionally, in February 2008, the FASB issued Staff Position FAS 157-2, *Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157*, which delayed the effective date of Statement No. 157 for all non-recurring fair value measurements of nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities until the fiscal year beginning after November 15, 2008. As a result, the Company partially applied the provisions of Statement No. 157 upon adoption at January 1, 2008 and adopted the remaining provisions relating to certain nonfinancial assets and liabilities on January 1, 2009. The Company adopted FSP FAS No. 157-4 as of April 1, 2009. The effect of adopting Statement No. 157 and the related FSPs for both financial and non-financial assets and liabilities, was not material to the Company's financial position or results of operations. See further disclosure in Note 6 Fair Value.

Recently Issued Standards

In June 2009, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 168, *The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles a replacement of FASB Statement No. 162* (Statement No. 168). Statement No. 168 establishes a single source of authoritative generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities. All guidance contained in the Codification carries an equal level of authority. Rules and interpretive releases of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) under authority of federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. Accordingly, all other accounting literature will be deemed non-authoritative . Statement No. 168 is effective on a prospective basis for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. The Company does not expect that the adoption of Statement No. 168 will have a material effect on its financial position or results of operations.

In June 2009, FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 167, *Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)* (Statement No. 167). Statement No. 167 amends FASB Interpretation No. 46R, *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities an interpretation of ARB No. 51* (FIN 46R) to require an analysis to determine whether a company has a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity. This analysis identifies the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity as the enterprise that has a) the power to direct the activities of a variable interest entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance and b) the obligation to absorb losses of the entity that could potentially be significant to the variable interest entity or the right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the variable interest entity. The statement requires an ongoing assessment of whether a company is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity when the holders of the entity, as a group, lose power, through voting or similar rights, to direct the actions that most significantly affect the entity's economic performance. This statement also enhances disclosures about a company's involvement in variable interest entities. Statement No. 167 is effective as of the beginning of the first annual reporting period that begins after November 15, 2009. The Company does not expect the adoption of Statement No. 167 to have a material impact on its financial position or results of operations.

Table of Contents

In June 2009, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 166, *Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140* (Statement No. 166). Statement No. 166 revises FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 140, *Accounting for Transfers and Extinguishment of Liabilities a replacement of FASB Statement 125* (Statement No. 140) and requires additional disclosures about transfers of financial assets, including securitization transactions, and any continuing exposure to the risks related to transferred financial assets. It also eliminates the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity , changes the requirements for derecognizing financial assets, and enhances disclosure requirements. Statement No. 166 is effective prospectively, for annual periods beginning after November 15, 2009, and interim and annual periods thereafter. The Company does not expect the adoption of Statement No. 166 will have a material impact on its financial position or results of operations.

3. Discontinued Operations of FAFLIC Business

On January 2, 2009, THG sold its remaining life insurance subsidiary, FAFLIC, to Commonwealth Annuity, a subsidiary of Goldman Sachs. Approval was obtained from the Massachusetts Division of Insurance for a pre-close dividend from FAFLIC consisting of designated assets with a statutory book value of approximately \$130 million. Total proceeds from the sale, including the dividend, were approximately \$230 million, net of transaction costs. Additionally, coincident with the sale transaction, Hanover Insurance and FAFLIC entered into a reinsurance contract whereby Hanover Insurance assumed FAFLIC's discontinued accident and health insurance business. THG has also indemnified Commonwealth Annuity for certain litigation, regulatory matters and other liabilities related to the pre-closing activities of the business transferred.

The Company accounted for the disposal of its FAFLIC business as a discontinued operation in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-lived Assets* (Statement No. 144). For the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company recognized a \$77.3 million loss associated with the sale transaction.

The following table summarizes the results for this discontinued business for the periods indicated:

| (In millions) | (Unaudited) | | (Unaudited) | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|-----------|
| | Quarter Ended June 30, 2009 | 2008 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 | 2008 |
| Gain (loss) from discontinued FAFLIC business | \$ 0.9 | \$ (67.7) | \$ 5.9 | \$ (71.2) |

For the quarter ended June 30, 2009, the Company recognized income from discontinued operations of \$0.9 million, primarily resulting from the Company's release of sale-related accruals. Net losses of \$67.7 million in the second quarter of 2008 primarily reflect the Company's preliminary estimate of the loss associated with the sale transaction of \$66.1 million. In addition, these losses include net realized investment losses of \$2.7 million, primarily resulting from the sale of fixed maturity securities and from impairments and a \$1.7 million tax expense; partially offset by favorable results, primarily attributable to the traditional line of business. Total revenues associated with the FAFLIC business in the second quarter of 2008 were \$17.8 million. This business also generated income before taxes of \$0.1 million during that period.

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, the Company recognized income from discontinued operations of \$5.9 million, primarily resulting from a change in the Company's estimate of indemnification liabilities related to the sale and the aforementioned release of sale-related accruals. Net losses of \$71.2 million in the first six months of 2008 primarily reflect the Company's preliminary estimate of the loss associated with the sale transaction, net realized investment losses of \$7.4 million, primarily resulting from impairments and the sale and intercompany transfer of investment assets. These losses were partially offset by favorable results, primarily attributable to both the traditional and retirement lines of business. Total revenues associated with the FAFLIC business in the first six months of 2008 were \$39.9 million. This business also generated a loss before taxes of \$5.5 million during that period.

Table of Contents

In connection with the sales transaction, the Company agreed to indemnify Commonwealth Annuity for certain legal, regulatory and other matters that existed as of the sale. Accordingly, the Company established a gross liability in accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 45, *Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others* (FIN 45) of \$9.9 million. As of June 30, 2009, the Company's total gross FIN 45 liability was \$2.9 million. The Company regularly reviews and updates its FIN 45 liability for legal and regulatory matter indemnities. Although the Company believes its current estimate for its FIN 45 liability is appropriate, there can be no assurance that these estimates will not materially increase in the future. Adjustments to this reserve are recorded in the results of the Company in the period in which they are determined.

Included in Assets of discontinued operations as of December 31, 2008 were \$1,710.4 million of assets that were included in the sale of FAFLIC. Included in Liabilities of discontinued operations as of December 31, 2008 were \$1,627.6 million of liabilities that were included in the sale of FAFLIC. In accordance with Statement No. 144, the following table details the major assets and liabilities reflected in these captions.

| | December 31, |
|--|-------------------|
| (In millions) | 2008 |
| Assets: | |
| Cash and investments | \$ 1,182.2 |
| Reinsurance recoverable | 241.5 |
| Separate account assets | 263.4 |
| Other assets | 49.3 |
| Valuation allowance | (26.0) |
| Total assets | \$ 1,710.4 |
| Liabilities: | |
| Policy liabilities | \$ 1,305.6 |
| Separate account liabilities | 263.4 |
| Trust instruments supported by funding obligations | 15.0 |
| Other liabilities | 43.6 |
| Total liabilities | \$ 1,627.6 |

4. Other Significant Transactions

On June 29, 2009, the Company completed a cash tender offer to repurchase a portion of its 8.207% Series B Capital Securities (Capital Securities) that were issued by AFC Capital Trust I (the Trust) and a portion of its 7.625% Senior Debentures (Senior Debentures) due in 2025 that were issued by THG. As of that date, \$69.3 million of Capital Securities were tendered at a price equal to \$800 per \$1,000 of face value. In addition, the Company accepted for tender a principal amount of \$77.3 million of Senior Debentures. Depending on the time of tender, holders of the Senior Debentures accepted for purchase received a price ranging from \$870 to \$900 per \$1,000 of face value. Separately, the Company held \$65.0 million of Capital Securities previously repurchased at a discount in the open market prior to the tender offer. In conjunction with the completion of the tender offer, the Company announced plans to liquidate and terminate the Trust as permitted by the terms of the Trust documents. The Company assessed the remaining risks within the Trust as a result of the planned termination and determined that the previously unconsolidated Trust should be consolidated as of June 30, 2009 in accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 46, *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities - an Interpretation of ARB No. 51*. Including the repurchase of the Senior Debentures and the consolidation of the Trust, the Company recognized a pre-tax gain of \$34.3 million in the quarter ended June 30, 2009. Subsequent to the completion of the tender offer, \$165.7 million of the Capital Securities not held by the Company and \$122.7 million principal amount of the Senior Debentures not held by the Company remained outstanding. The Company liquidated the Trust on July 30, 2009. Each holder of Capital Securities as of that date received a principal amount of our Series B 8.207% Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures due February 3, 2027 equal to the liquidation amount of the Capital Securities held by such holder.

On November 28, 2008, the Company acquired AIX Holdings, Inc. (AIX) for approximately \$100 million, subject to various terms and conditions. AIX is a specialty property and casualty insurer that underwrites and manages program business.

Table of Contents

On June 2, 2008, the Company completed the sale of its premium financing subsidiary, AMGRO Inc., to Premium Financing Specialists, Inc. The Company recorded a gain of \$11.1 million related to this sale, which was reflected in the Consolidated Statement of Income as part of Discontinued Operations in the second quarter of 2008.

On March 14, 2008, the Company acquired all of the outstanding shares of Verlan for \$29.0 million. Verlan, now referred to as Hanover Specialty Property, is a specialty company providing property insurance to small and medium-sized manufacturing and distribution companies that are highly protected fire risks.

On October 16, 2007, the Company's Board of Directors authorized a share repurchase program of up to \$100 million. Under this repurchase authorization, the Company may repurchase its common stock from time to time, in varying amounts and prices and at such times deemed appropriate, subject to market conditions and other considerations. The Company is not required to purchase any specific number of shares or to make purchases by any certain date under this program. In the first six months of 2009, the Company repurchased 0.2 million shares at an aggregate cost of \$7.1 million. Total repurchases under this program as of June 30, 2009 were 1.6 million shares at a cost of \$67.3 million.

On December 30, 2005, the Company sold its variable life insurance and annuity business to Goldman Sachs, including the reinsurance of 100% of the variable business of FAFLIC. THG agreed to indemnify Goldman Sachs for certain litigation, regulatory matters and other liabilities related to the pre-closing activities of the business that was sold. The Company accounted for the disposal as a discontinued operation in accordance with Statement No. 144. In the first six months of 2008, the Company recognized a benefit of \$5.4 million, including \$5.8 million resulting from the release of liabilities associated with the estimated liabilities for certain contractual indemnities to Goldman Sachs recorded in accordance with FIN 45. The \$4.1 million gain in the first six months of 2009 related to a further change in the Company's estimate of indemnification liabilities.

5. Investments

A. Fixed maturities and equity securities

The Company accounts for its investments in fixed maturities and equity securities, which are classified as available-for-sale. In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2, *Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments* (FSP FAS No. 115-2). This FSP replaces current other-than-temporary impairment guidance for debt securities specific to a company's intent and ability to hold impaired securities. (See Other-than-temporary impairments Adoption of FSP FAS 115-2 below for a further discussion).

The amortized cost and fair value of available-for-sale fixed maturities and equity securities were as follows:

| (In millions) | (Unaudited) June 30, 2009 | | | | Fair Value |
|---|------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|------------|
| | Amortized Cost (1) | Gross Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | OTTI Unrealized Losses (2) | |
| U.S. Treasury securities and U.S. government and agency securities | \$ 255.7 | \$ 3.9 | \$ 3.4 | \$ | \$ 256.2 |
| States and political subdivisions | 784.3 | 13.8 | 18.5 | 3.7 | 775.9 |
| Foreign governments | 2.7 | | | | 2.7 |
| Corporate fixed maturities | 2,278.2 | 81.7 | 92.1 | 32.4 | 2,235.4 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 972.0 | 27.0 | 16.9 | 8.6 | 973.5 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | 276.1 | 3.4 | 23.3 | | 256.2 |
| Total fixed maturities, including assets of discontinued operations | 4,569.0 | 129.8 | 154.2 | 44.7 | 4,499.9 |
| Less: fixed maturities of discontinued operations | (105.3) | (10.5) | (15.3) | (4.2) | (96.3) |
| Total fixed maturities, excluding discontinued operations | \$ 4,463.7 | \$ 119.3 | \$ 138.9 | \$ 40.5 | \$ 4,403.6 |
| Equity securities, excluding discontinued operations | \$ 94.6 | \$ 7.0 | \$ 9.5 | \$ | \$ 92.1 |

Table of Contents

(In millions)

| | December 31, 2008 | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|
| | Amortized Cost (1) | Gross Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | Gross Unrealized Losses | |
| Unrealized Losses | | | | OTTI Unrealized Losses | Fair Value (3) |
| U.S. Treasury securities and U.S. government and agency securities | \$ 344.8 | \$ 11.6 | \$ 0.8 | \$ | \$ 355.6 |
| States and political subdivisions | 758.7 | 3.9 | 35.7 | | 726.9 |
| Foreign governments | 4.6 | 0.2 | | | 4.8 |
| Corporate fixed maturities | 2,745.5 | 26.2 | 261.1 | | 2,510.6 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 1,097.5 | 23.2 | 21.9 | | 1,098.8 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | 480.1 | 0.9 | 50.0 | | 431.0 |
| Total fixed maturities, including assets of discontinued operations | 5,431.2 | 66.0 | 369.5 | | 5,127.7 |
| Less: fixed maturities of discontinued operations (4) | (1,049.2) | (14.0) | (76.4) | | (986.8) |
| Total fixed maturities, excluding discontinued operations | \$ 4,382.0 | \$ 52.0 | \$ 293.1 | \$ | \$ 4,140.9 |
| Equity securities, excluding discontinued operations | \$ 97.6 | \$ 3.4 | \$ 24.8 | \$ | \$ 76.2 |

- (1) Amortized cost for fixed maturities and cost for equity securities.
- (2) Represents other-than-temporary impairments (OTTI) recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income, including cumulative effect of adoption of FSP FAS 115-2 of \$33.3 million.
- (3) Included \$42.2 million of trust preferred capital securities of a THG affiliated entity that are designated as held-to-maturity and carried at amortized cost.
- (4) Fixed maturities of discontinued operations as of December 31, 2008 included our discontinued FAFLIC business and discontinued accident and health business. Due to the January 2, 2009 sale of FAFLIC, fixed maturities with an amortized cost of \$949.9 million, gross unrealized gains of \$12.2 million, gross unrealized losses of \$60.7 million and fair value of \$901.4 million transferred to the buyer.

The amortized cost and fair value by maturity periods for fixed maturities are shown below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties, or the Company may have the right to put or sell the obligations back to the issuers. Mortgage-backed securities are included in the category representing their stated maturity.

| (In millions) | (Unaudited) June 30, 2009 | |
|--|------------------------------|-------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| Due in one year or less | \$ 126.5 | \$ 127.0 |
| Due after one year through five years | 1,494.8 | 1,496.7 |
| Due after five years through ten years | 1,370.6 | 1,350.0 |
| Due after ten years | 1,577.1 | 1,526.2 |
| Total fixed maturities, including assets of discontinued operations | 4,569.0 | 4,499.9 |
| Less: fixed maturities of discontinued operations | (105.3) | (96.3) |
| Total fixed maturities, excluding assets of discontinued operations | \$ 4,463.7 | \$ 4,403.6 |

Table of Contents**B. Securities in a continuous unrealized loss position**

The following tables provide information about the Company's fixed maturities and equity securities that have been continuously in an unrealized loss position at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008:

| (In millions) | 12 months or less | | (Unaudited) June 30, 2009 Greater than 12 months | | Total | |
|--|---|-----------------|---|-----------------|--|-------------------|
| | Gross Unrealized Losses and OTTI | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses and OTTI | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses and OTTI (1) | Fair Value |
| Fixed Maturities: | | | | | | |
| Investment Grade: | | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury securities and U.S. government and agency securities | \$ 3.4 | \$ 116.0 | \$ | \$ | \$ 3.4 | \$ 116.0 |
| States and political subdivisions | 7.1 | 198.9 | 11.4 | 149.1 | 18.5 | 348.0 |
| Corporate fixed maturities | 20.3 | 209.6 | 49.6 | 453.2 | 69.9 | 662.8 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 5.0 | 184.4 | 10.5 | 97.5 | 15.5 | 281.9 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | 4.9 | 51.9 | 18.4 | 143.5 | 23.3 | 195.4 |
| Total investment grade | 40.7 | 760.8 | 89.9 | 843.3 | 130.6 | 1,604.1 |
| Below Investment Grade (2): | | | | | | |
| States and political subdivisions | | | 3.7 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 4.8 |
| Corporate fixed maturities | 41.6 | 176.5 | 13.0 | 55.8 | 54.6 | 232.3 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 5.9 | 9.8 | 4.1 | 11.2 | 10.0 | 21.0 |
| Total below investment grade | 47.5 | 186.3 | 20.8 | 71.8 | 68.3 | 258.1 |
| Total fixed maturities | 88.2 | 947.1 | 110.7 | 915.1 | 198.9 | 1,862.2 |
| Equity securities: | | | | | | |
| Perpetual preferred securities | | | 3.8 | 16.9 | 3.8 | 16.9 |
| Common equity securities | 0.1 | 1.7 | 5.6 | 51.6 | 5.7 | 53.3 |
| Total equity securities | 0.1 | 1.7 | 9.4 | 68.5 | 9.5 | 70.2 |
| Total fixed maturities and equity securities, including discontinued operations | 88.3 | 948.8 | 120.1 | 983.6 | 208.4 | 1,932.4 |
| Less: fixed maturities and equity securities of discontinued operations | (12.0) | (43.9) | (7.5) | (12.0) | (19.5) | (55.9) |
| Total fixed maturities and equity securities, excluding discontinued operations | \$ 76.3 | \$ 904.9 | \$ 112.6 | \$ 971.6 | \$ 188.9 | \$ 1,876.5 |

(1) Includes \$44.7 million unrealized loss related to FSP FAS 115-2, of which \$33.3 million represents the cumulative effect of adoption.

(2) Substantially all below investment grade securities with an unrealized loss had been rated by the NAIC, Standard & Poor's, or Moody's at June 30, 2009.

Table of Contents

| (In millions) | (Unaudited) December 31, 2008 | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| | 12 months or less | | Greater than 12 months | | Total | |
| | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
| Fixed Maturities: | | | | | | |
| Investment Grade: | | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury securities and U.S. government and agency securities | \$ 0.8 | \$ 75.8 | \$ | \$ | \$ 0.8 | \$ 75.8 |
| States and political subdivisions | 27.1 | 362.4 | 3.9 | 56.5 | 31.0 | 418.9 |
| Corporate fixed maturities | 110.3 | 1,273.7 | 91.0 | 422.0 | 201.3 | 1,695.7 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 18.7 | 120.6 | 3.2 | 30.2 | 21.9 | 150.8 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | 26.4 | 273.8 | 23.6 | 146.0 | 50.0 | 419.8 |
| Total investment grade | 183.3 | 2,106.3 | 121.7 | 654.7 | 305.0 | 2,761.0 |
| Below Investment Grade (1): | | | | | | |
| States and political subdivisions | 4.7 | 6.9 | | | 4.7 | 6.9 |
| Corporate fixed maturities | 59.8 | 145.7 | | | 59.8 | 145.7 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | | 1.5 | | | | 1.5 |
| Total below investment grade | 64.5 | 154.1 | | | 64.5 | 154.1 |
| Total fixed maturities | 247.8 | 2,260.4 | 121.7 | 654.7 | 369.5 | 2,915.1 |
| Equity securities: | | | | | | |
| Perpetual preferred securities | | | 13.4 | 28.5 | 13.4 | 28.5 |
| Common equity securities | 11.4 | 32.3 | | | 11.4 | 32.3 |
| Total equity securities | 11.4 | 32.3 | 13.4 | 28.5 | 24.8 | 60.8 |
| Total fixed maturities and equity securities, including discontinued operations | 259.2 | 2,292.7 | 135.1 | 683.2 | 394.3 | 2,975.9 |
| Less: fixed maturities and equity securities | | | | | | |
| of discontinued operations (2) | (42.0) | (420.7) | (34.4) | (200.3) | (76.4) | (621.0) |
| Total fixed maturities and equity securities, excluding discontinued operations | \$ 217.2 | \$ 1,872.0 | \$ 100.7 | \$ 482.9 | \$ 317.9 | \$ 2,354.9 |

- (1) Substantially all below investment grade securities with an unrealized loss had been rated by the NAIC, Standard & Poor's, or Moody's at December 31, 2008.
- (2) Fixed maturities of discontinued operations as of December 31, 2008 included our discontinued FAFLIC business and discontinued accident and health business. Due to the January 2, 2009 sale of FAFLIC, fixed maturities with total gross unrealized losses of \$60.7 million and fair value of \$568.7 million transferred to the buyer.

The Company employs a systematic methodology to evaluate declines in fair value below amortized cost for all investments. The methodology utilizes a quantitative and qualitative process ensuring that available evidence concerning the declines in fair value below amortized cost is evaluated in a disciplined manner. In determining whether a decline in fair value below amortized cost is other-than-temporary, the Company evaluates the issuer's overall financial condition; the issuer's credit and financial strength ratings; the issuer's financial performance, including earnings trends, dividend payments and asset quality; any specific events which may influence the operations of the issuer including governmental actions such as the enactment of The Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 and receipt of related funds; a weakening of the general market conditions in the industry or geographic region in which the issuer operates; and with respect to fixed maturity investments,

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

any factors that might raise doubt about the issuer's ability to pay all amounts due according to the contractual terms and whether the Company expects to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security; and with respect to equity securities, the Company's ability and intent to hold the investment for a period of time to allow for a recovery in value. The Company applies these factors to all securities.

Table of Contents

The following tables provide information on the Company's gross unrealized losses of fixed maturity securities by credit ratings, including ratings of securities with third party guarantees, as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008.

| (In millions) | (Unaudited) June 30, 2009 | | | | | | | | Total below investment grade | Total |
|--|------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|------------------------------|---------|---------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|
| | AAA | AA | A | BBB | Total investment grade | BB | B | CCC and below | | |
| U.S. Treasury securities and U.S. government and agency securities | \$ 3.4 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 3.4 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 3.4 |
| States and political subdivisions | 2.2 | 3.1 | 4.1 | 9.1 | 18.5 | | 3.7 | | 3.7 | 22.2 |
| Corporate fixed maturities | 0.3 | 7.0 | 26.5 | 36.1 | 69.9 | 28.9 | 10.9 | 14.8 | 54.6 | 124.5 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 7.3 | 2.6 | 0.2 | 5.4 | 15.5 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 10.0 | 25.5 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | 11.1 | 3.0 | 9.2 | | 23.3 | | | | | 23.3 |
| Total fixed maturities, including discontinued operations | 24.3 | 15.7 | 40.0 | 50.6 | 130.6 | 32.7 | 17.6 | 18.0 | 68.3 | 198.9 |
| Less: losses included in discontinued operations | (0.2) | (1.7) | (0.1) | (7.9) | (9.9) | (2.2) | (3.7) | (3.7) | (9.6) | (19.5) |
| Total fixed maturities, excluding discontinued operations | \$ 24.1 | \$ 14.0 | \$ 39.9 | \$ 42.7 | \$ 120.7 | \$ 30.5 | \$ 13.9 | \$ 14.3 | \$ 58.7 | \$ 179.4 |

Table of Contents

| (In millions) | (Unaudited) December 31, 2008 | | | | | | | | Total below investment grade | Total |
|--|----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|------------------------------|---------|---------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|
| | AAA | AA | A | BBB | Total investment grade | BB | B | CCC and below | | |
| U.S. Treasury securities and U.S. government and agency securities | \$ 0.8 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 0.8 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 0.8 |
| States and political subdivisions | 3.2 | 11.4 | 11.3 | 5.1 | 31.0 | 3.2 | 1.5 | | 4.7 | 35.7 |
| Corporate fixed maturities | 0.5 | 2.5 | 79.7 | 118.6 | 201.3 | 15.0 | 26.3 | 18.5 | 59.8 | 261.1 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 19.4 | 2.5 | | | 21.9 | | | | | 21.9 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | 32.9 | 6.3 | 10.8 | | 50.0 | | | | | 50.0 |
| Total fixed maturities, including discontinued operations | 56.8 | 22.7 | 101.8 | 123.7 | 305.0 | 18.2 | 27.8 | 18.5 | 64.5 | 369.5 |
| Less: losses included in discontinued operations (1) | (17.3) | (2.2) | (19.8) | (28.9) | (68.2) | (2.3) | (3.8) | (2.1) | (8.2) | (76.4) |
| Total fixed maturities, excluding discontinued operations | \$ 39.5 | \$ 20.5 | \$ 82.0 | \$ 94.8 | \$ 236.8 | \$ 15.9 | \$ 24.0 | \$ 16.4 | \$ 56.3 | \$ 293.1 |

- (1) Fixed maturities of discontinued operations as of December 31, 2008 included our discontinued FAFLIC business and discontinued accident and health business. Due to the January 2, 2009 sale of FAFLIC, fixed maturities with total gross unrealized losses of \$60.7 million transferred to the buyer.

Table of Contents

C. Other-than-temporary impairments

Adoption of FSP FAS 115-2

On April 1, 2009, the Company adopted FSP FAS 115-2. FSP FAS 115-2 modifies the assessment of other-than-temporary impairments on debt securities, as well as the method of recording and reporting other-than-temporary impairments.

Under the new guidance, if a company intends to sell or more likely than not will be required to sell the debt security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, the amortized cost of the security is reduced to its fair value, with a corresponding charge to earnings. If a company does not intend to sell the debt security, or more likely than not, will not be required to sell it, the FSP requires that the company separate the other-than-temporary impairment into the portion which represents the credit loss and the amount related to all other factors. The amount of the estimated loss attributable to credit is recognized in earnings and the amount related to non-credit factors is recognized in other comprehensive income, net of applicable taxes.

The FSP also requires a cumulative effect adjustment upon adoption to reclassify the non-credit component of previously recognized impairments from retained earnings to other comprehensive income. The Company reviewed previously recognized OTTI recorded through realized losses on securities held at April 1, 2009, which was approximately \$121 million, and determined that \$33.3 million of these OTTI were related to non-credit factors, such as interest rates and market conditions. Accordingly, the Company increased the amortized cost basis of these debt securities and recorded a cumulative effect adjustment of \$33.3 million within shareholders' equity. The cumulative effect adjustment had no effect on total shareholders' equity as it increased retained earnings and reduced accumulated other comprehensive income.

Current period other-than-temporary impairments

For the first six months of 2009, other-than-temporary impairments on fixed maturities and equity securities were \$34.6 million, of which \$23.2 million was recognized in earnings and the remaining \$11.4 million was recorded as unrealized losses in accumulated other comprehensive income, net of taxes.

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, other-than-temporary impairments on fixed maturities and equity securities were \$18.1 million, of which \$6.7 million was recognized in earnings and the remaining \$11.4 million was recorded as unrealized losses in accumulated other comprehensive income, net of taxes. Of the OTTI recognized in earnings, \$2.6 million was estimated credit losses on debt securities for which a portion of the impairment was recognized in other comprehensive income and \$4.1 million were losses for which the entire difference between amortized cost and fair value was charged to earnings.

The methodology and significant inputs used to measure the amount of credit losses in the quarter ended June 30, 2009 are as follows:

Corporate bonds (\$1.7 million) – the Company utilized a financial model that derives expected cash flows based on probability-of-default factors by credit rating and asset duration and loss-given-default factors based on security type. These factors are based on historical data provided by an independent third-party rating agency;

Municipals (\$0.6 million) – the Company utilized cash flow estimates based on bond specific facts and circumstances that may include the political subdivision's taxing authority, the issuer's ability to adjust user fees or other sources of revenue to satisfy its debt obligations and the ability to access insurance or guarantees;

Asset-backed securities, including commercial and residential mortgage backed securities (\$0.3 million) – the Company utilized cash flow estimates based on bond specific facts and circumstances that include collateral characteristics, expectations of delinquency and default rates, loss severity, prepayment speeds and structural support, including subordination and guarantees.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the cumulative amounts related to the Company's credit loss portion of the other-than-temporary impairment losses on debt securities held as of June 30, 2009 for which the non-credit portion of the loss is included in other comprehensive income:

(In millions)

| | |
|--|---------|
| Cumulative credit loss as of April 1, 2009 (1) | \$ 17.3 |
| New credit losses | 2.6 |
| Cumulative credit losses as of June 30, 2009 (1) | \$ 19.9 |

(1) Excludes other-than-temporary impairment losses on securities held that the Company intends to sell, or it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the security, before the recovery of the amortized cost basis.

D. Proceeds from voluntary sales

The proceeds from voluntary sales of available-for-sale securities and the gross realized gains and gross realized losses on those sales were as follows:

| (In millions) | (Unaudited) Quarter Ended June 30, 2009 | | | (Unaudited) Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 | | |
|-------------------|---|----------------|-----------------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| | Proceeds from voluntary sales | Gross gains | Gross losses | Proceeds from voluntary sales | Gross gains | Gross losses |
| Fixed maturities | \$ 614.7 | \$ 7.4 | \$ 5.1 | \$ 996.6 | \$ 23.1 | \$ 10.0 |
| Equity securities | | | | | | |

6. Fair Value

Effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted Statement No. 157 as it relates to its financial assets and liabilities. Statement No. 157 provides for a standard definition of fair value to be used in new and existing pronouncements, including Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 107, *Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*. This statement requires disclosure of fair value information about certain financial instruments (insurance contracts, real estate, goodwill and taxes are excluded) for which it is practicable to estimate such values, whether or not these instruments are included in the balance sheet. The fair values presented for certain financial instruments are estimates which, in many cases, may differ significantly from the amounts that could be realized upon immediate liquidation.

Statement No. 157 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability, i.e., exit price, in an orderly transaction between market participants and also establishes a hierarchy for determining fair value, which emphasizes the use of observable market data whenever available. The three broad levels defined by the hierarchy are as follows, with the highest priority given to Level 1 as these are the most reliable, and the lowest priority given to Level 3:

Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets.

Level 2 Quoted prices for similar assets in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data, including model-derived valuations.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity.

When more than one level of input is used to determine fair value, the financial instrument is classified as Level 1, 2 or 3 according to the lowest level input that has a significant impact on the fair value measurement.

Table of Contents

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments and have not changed during the period:

Cash and Cash Equivalents

For these short-term investments, the carrying amount approximates fair value.

Fixed Maturities

Level 1 securities generally include U.S. Treasury issues and other securities that are highly liquid and for which quoted market prices are available. Level 2 securities are valued using pricing for similar securities and pricing models that incorporate observable inputs including, but not limited to yield curves and issuer spreads. Level 3 securities include issues for which little observable data can be obtained, primarily due to the illiquid nature of the securities, and for which significant inputs used to determine fair value are based on the Company's own assumptions. Non-binding broker quotes are also included in Level 3.

The Company utilizes a third party pricing service for the valuation of the majority of its fixed maturity securities and receives one quote per security. When quoted market prices in an active market are available, they are provided by the pricing service as the fair value and such values are classified as Level 1. Since fixed maturities other than U.S. Treasury securities generally do not trade on a daily basis, the pricing service prepares estimates of fair value measurements for other securities using pricing applications which include available relevant market information, benchmark curves, benchmarking of like securities, sector groupings and prepayment assumptions, when necessary. Inputs into these applications include, but are not limited to benchmark yields, reported trades, broker/dealer quotes, issuer spreads, bid offers and reference data. Generally, all prices provided by the pricing service, except quoted market prices, are reported as Level 2.

The Company holds privately placed corporate bonds and certain other bonds that do not have an active market and for which the pricing service cannot provide fair values. The Company determines fair values for these securities using either matrix pricing or broker quotes. The Company will use observable market data to the extent it is available, but is also required to use a certain amount of unobservable judgment due to the illiquid nature of the securities involved. Additionally, the Company may obtain non-binding broker quotes which are reported as Level 3.

Equity Securities

Level 1 includes publicly traded securities valued at quoted market prices. Level 3 consists of common stock of private companies for which observable inputs are not available.

The Company utilizes a third party pricing service for the valuation of the majority of its equity securities and receives one quote for each equity security. When quoted market prices in an active market are available, they are provided by the pricing service as the fair value and such values are classified as Level 1. Generally, all prices provided by the pricing service, except quoted market prices, are reported as Level 2. Occasionally, the Company may obtain non-binding broker quotes which are reported as Level 3.

Mortgage Loans

Fair values are estimated by discounting the future contractual cash flows using the current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings.

Policy Loans

The carrying amount reported in the Consolidated Balance Sheets approximates fair value since policy loans have no defined maturity dates and are inseparable from the insurance contracts. Policy loans were included in discontinued operations at December 31, 2008 and were sold on January 2, 2009.

Derivative Instruments

These Level 3 valuations are derived from the counterparties' internally developed models which do not necessarily represent observable market data. Derivatives were included in discontinued operations at December 31, 2008 and were sold on January 2, 2009.

Separate Account Assets

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

The Company's separate accounts are invested in variable insurance trust funds which have a daily net asset value obtainable from an active market. Separate accounts were included in discontinued operations at December 31, 2008 and were sold on January 2, 2009.

Table of Contents

Investment Contracts (Without Mortality Features)

Fair values for liabilities under guaranteed investment type contracts are estimated using discounted cash flow calculations using current interest rates for similar contracts with maturities consistent with those remaining for the contracts being valued. Liabilities under supplemental contracts without life contingencies are estimated based on current fund balances while other individual contract funds represent the present value of future policy benefits. Other liabilities are based on current surrender values. These contracts were included in discontinued operations at December 31, 2008 and were sold on January 2, 2009.

Legal Indemnities

Fair values are estimated using probability-weighted discounted cash flow analyses.

Trust Instruments Supported by Funding Obligations

Fair values are estimated using discounted cash flow calculations using current interest rates for similar contracts with maturities consistent with those remaining for the contracts being valued. Trust instruments supported by funding obligations were included in discontinued operations at December 31, 2008 and were sold on January 2, 2009.

Table of Contents**Long-term Debt**

The fair value of long-term debt was estimated based on quoted market prices. If a quoted market price is not available, fair values are estimated using independent pricing sources.

The estimated fair values of the financial instruments were as follows:

| (In millions) | (Unaudited) | | December 31, 2008 | |
|---|----------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | June 30, 2009 | | | |
| | Carrying Value | Fair Value | Carrying Value | Fair Value |
| Financial Assets | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 280.7 | \$ 280.7 | \$ 529.5 | \$ 529.5 |
| Fixed maturities | 4,499.9 | 4,499.9 | 5,156.2 | 5,156.2 |
| Equity securities | 92.1 | 92.1 | 47.8 | 47.8 |
| Mortgage loans | 26.2 | 27.9 | 31.1 | 33.1 |
| Policy loans | | | 111.1 | 111.1 |
| Total financial assets, including financial assets of discontinued operations | 4,898.9 | 4,900.6 | 5,875.7 | 5,877.7 |
| Less: financial assets of discontinued operations | (96.0) | (96.0) | (1,229.8) | (1,229.8) |
| Total financial assets of continuing operations | \$ 4,802.9 | \$ 4,804.6 | \$ 4,645.9 | \$ 4,647.9 |
| Financial Liabilities | | | | |
| Derivative instruments | \$ | \$ | \$ 0.2 | \$ 0.2 |
| Supplemental contracts without life contingencies | 3.3 | 3.3 | 18.5 | 18.5 |
| Dividend accumulations | | | 81.1 | 81.1 |
| Other individual contract deposit funds | | | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Other group contract deposit funds | | | 25.4 | 25.3 |
| Legal indemnities | 9.0 | 9.0 | 11.3 | 11.3 |
| Trust instruments supported by funding obligations | | | 15.0 | 15.9 |
| Long-term debt | 309.9 | 250.4 | 531.4 | 325.8 |
| Total financial liabilities, including financial liabilities of discontinued operations | 322.2 | 262.7 | 688.4 | 483.6 |
| Less: financial liabilities of discontinued operations | | | (138.9) | (139.7) |
| Total financial liabilities of continuing operations | \$ 322.2 | \$ 262.7 | \$ 549.5 | \$ 343.9 |

The Company performs a review of the fair value hierarchy classifications and of prices received from its third party pricing service on a quarterly basis. The Company reviews the pricing services' policy describing its processes, practices and inputs, including various financial models used to value securities. Also, the Company reviews the portfolio pricing. Securities with changes in prices that exceed a defined threshold are verified to independent sources such as Bloomberg. If upon review, the Company is not satisfied with the validity of a given price, a pricing challenge would be submitted to the pricing service along with supporting documentation for its review. The Company does not adjust quotes or prices obtained from the pricing service unless the pricing service agrees with the Company's challenge. During the six months ended June 30, 2009, the Company did not adjust any prices received from brokers or its pricing service.

Changes in the observability of valuation inputs may result in a reclassification of certain financial assets or liabilities within the fair value hierarchy. Reclassifications related to Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy are reported as transfers in or out of Level 3 as of the beginning of the period in which the reclassification occurs. As previously discussed, the Company utilizes a third party pricing service for the valuation of the majority of its fixed maturities and equity securities. The pricing service has indicated that it will only produce an estimate of fair value if there is objectively verifiable information to produce a valuation. If the pricing service discontinues pricing an investment, the Company will use

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

observable market data to the extent it is available, but may also be required to make assumptions for market based inputs that are unavailable due to market conditions.

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, the Company transferred certain assets that were previously classified as Level 3 into Level 2, primarily as a result of assessing the significance of unobservable inputs on the fair value measurement.

Table of Contents

The Company currently holds fixed maturity securities and equity securities, and prior to January 2, 2009 also held separate account assets, for which fair value is determined on a recurring basis. The following tables present for each hierarchy level, the Company's assets that were measured at fair value at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008.

| (In millions) | (Unaudited) Fair Value at June 30, 2009 | | | |
|---|--|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|
| | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Fixed maturities: | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury securities and U.S. government and agency securities | \$ 256.2 | \$ 79.6 | \$ 176.6 | \$ |
| States and political subdivisions | 775.9 | | 757.5 | 18.4 |
| Foreign governments | 2.7 | | 2.7 | |
| Corporate fixed maturities | 2,235.4 | | 2,193.8 | 41.6 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 973.5 | | 973.5 | |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | 256.2 | | 245.6 | 10.6 |
| Total fixed maturities | 4,499.9 | 79.6 | 4,349.7 | 70.6 |
| Equity securities | 89.9 | 76.9 | 11.8 | 1.2 |
| Total investment assets at fair value, including assets of discontinued operations | 4,589.8 | 156.5 | 4,361.5 | 71.8 |
| Investment assets of discontinued operations at fair value | (96.3) | | (96.3) | |
| Total investment assets of continuing operations at fair value | \$ 4,493.5 | \$ 156.5 | \$ 4,265.2 | \$ 71.8 |

| (In millions) | Fair Value at December 31, 2008 | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|
| | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Fixed maturities: | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury securities and U.S. government and agency securities | \$ 355.6 | \$ 101.4 | \$ 254.2 | \$ |
| States and political subdivisions | 726.9 | | 718.3 | 8.6 |
| Foreign governments | 4.8 | 1.8 | 3.0 | |
| Corporate fixed maturities | 2,468.4 | | 2,414.3 | 54.1 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 1,529.8 | | 1,503.4 | 26.4 |
| Total fixed maturities (1) | 5,085.5 | 103.2 | 4,893.2 | 89.1 |
| Equity securities | 64.9 | 52.9 | 10.8 | 1.2 |
| Separate account assets | 263.4 | 263.4 | | |
| Total investment assets at fair value, including assets of discontinued operations | 5,413.8 | 419.5 | 4,904.0 | 90.3 |
| Investment assets of discontinued operations | (1,250.3) | (304.4) | (940.1) | (5.8) |
| Total investment assets of continuing operations at fair value | \$ 4,163.5 | \$ 115.1 | \$ 3,963.9 | \$ 84.5 |

- (1) Excludes \$42.2 million of trust preferred capital securities of a THG affiliated entity that are designated as held-to-maturity that are carried at amortized cost.

Table of Contents

The table below presents a reconciliation for all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3).

| (In millions) | (Unaudited) | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------------|---------|----------|--------------|
| | Quarter Ended June 30, 2009 | | | | | |
| | Fixed Maturities | | Commercial mortgage backed securities | Total | Equities | Total Assets |
| | States and Political subdivisions | Corporate | | | | |
| Balance March 31, 2009 | \$ 19.2 | \$ 42.1 | \$ 9.3 | \$ 70.6 | \$ 1.2 | \$ 71.8 |
| Total (losses) gains : | | | | | | |
| Included in earnings | | (0.7) | | (0.7) | | (0.7) |
| Included in other comprehensive income | (0.4) | 2.0 | 0.6 | 2.2 | | 2.2 |
| Net (redemptions) purchases | (0.4) | 6.7 | 3.0 | 9.3 | | 9.3 |
| Net transfers out of Level 3 | | (8.5) | (2.3) | (10.8) | | (10.8) |
| Balance June 30, 2009 | \$ 18.4 | \$ 41.6 | \$ 10.6 | \$ 70.6 | \$ 1.2 | \$ 71.8 |

| (In millions) | (Unaudited) | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|-----------|---|---------------------------------------|---------|----------|-------------------|--------------|------------------------|
| | Quarter Ended June 30, 2008 | | | | | | | | |
| | States and political sub-divisions | Corporate | Fixed Maturities Residential mortgage backed securities | Commercial mortgage backed securities | Total | Equities | Derivative Assets | Total Assets | Derivative Liabilities |
| Balance March 31, 2008 | \$ | \$ 17.4 | \$ | \$ 20.8 | \$ 38.2 | \$ 1.3 | \$ 7.8 | \$ 47.3 | \$ (3.3) |
| Total (losses) gains: | | | | | | | | | |
| Included in earnings | | (0.5) | | | (0.5) | | (1.4) | (1.9) | 1.3 |
| Included in other comprehensive income | (0.4) | 1.6 | (0.2) | (0.6) | 0.4 | (0.1) | | 0.3 | |
| Net redemptions | (0.1) | (1.9) | (0.3) | (0.1) | (2.4) | | | (2.4) | |
| Net transfers in (out) of Level 3 | 10.0 | 56.0 | 7.6 | (9.6) | 64.0 | | | 64.0 | |
| Balance June 30, 2008 | 9.5 | 72.6 | 7.1 | 10.5 | 99.7 | 1.2 | 6.4 | 107.3 | (2.0) |
| Less: discontinued operations | | (10.4) | | | (10.4) | | (6.4) | (16.8) | 2.0 |
| Balance June 30, 2008, continuing operations | \$ 9.5 | \$ 62.2 | \$ 7.1 | \$ 10.5 | \$ 89.3 | \$ 1.2 | \$ | \$ 90.5 | \$ |

| (In millions) | (Unaudited) | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------------|---------|----------|--------------|
| | Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 | | | | | |
| | Fixed Maturities | | Commercial mortgage backed securities | Total | Equities | Total Assets |
| | States and Political subdivisions | Corporate | | | | |
| Balance January 1, 2009 | \$ 18.2 | \$ 44.5 | \$ 6.9 | \$ 19.5 | \$ 89.1 | \$ 90.3 |
| Assets of discontinued operations sold with FAFLIC | (0.1) | (3.4) | | (2.3) | (5.8) | (5.8) |
| Total (losses) gains: | | | | | | |
| Included in earnings | (0.2) | (0.4) | 0.2 | | (0.4) | (0.4) |

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|-------|---------|---------|----------------|
| Included in other comprehensive income | (0.8) | 1.9 | | 0.6 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| Net purchases (redemptions) | 1.3 | 7.5 | (7.1) | 2.8 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Net transfers out of Level 3 | | (8.5) | | (10.0) | (18.5) | (18.5) |
| Balance June 30, 2009 | \$ 18.4 | \$ 41.6 | \$ | \$ 10.6 | \$ 70.6 | \$ 1.2 \$ 71.8 |

Table of Contents

| (In millions) | (Unaudited) Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------|---|--|---------|----------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|
| | States and Political sub- divisions | Fixed Maturities | | | Total | Equities | Derivative Assets | Total Assets | Derivative Liabilities |
| | | Corporate | Residential mortgage backed securities | Commercial mortgage backed securities | | | | | |
| Balance January 1, 2008 | \$ | \$ 9.7 | \$ | \$ 20.8 | \$ 30.5 | \$ 1.3 | \$ 5.8 | \$ 37.6 | \$ (1.1) |
| Total (losses) gains: | | | | | | | | | |
| Included in earnings | | (0.5) | | | (0.5) | | 0.9 | 0.4 | (0.9) |
| Included in other comprehensive income | (0.4) | 1.5 | (0.2) | (0.6) | 0.3 | (0.1) | (0.3) | (0.1) | |
| Net (redemptions) purchases | (0.1) | 5.9 | (0.3) | (0.1) | 5.4 | | | 5.4 | |
| Net transfers into Level 3 | 10.0 | 56.0 | 7.6 | (9.6) | 64.0 | | | 64.0 | |
| Balance June 30, 2008 | 9.5 | 72.6 | 7.1 | 10.5 | 99.7 | 1.2 | 6.4 | 107.3 | (2.0) |
| Less: discontinued operations | | (10.4) | | | (10.4) | | (6.4) | (16.8) | 2.0 |
| Balance June 30, 2008, continuing operations | \$ 9.5 | \$ 62.2 | \$ 7.1 | \$ 10.5 | \$ 89.3 | \$ 1.2 | \$ | \$ 90.5 | \$ |

The table below summarizes gains and losses due to changes in fair value, including both realized and unrealized gains and losses, recorded in net income for Level 3 assets and liabilities.

| (In millions) | (Unaudited) Quarter Ended June 30, 2009 | | | (Unaudited) Quarter Ended June 30, 2008 | | |
|----------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|----------|--|---|----------|
| | Other-than- temporary impairments | Net realized investment gains | Total | Net realized investment losses | Gain (loss) from discontinued FAFLIC business | Total |
| Level 3 Assets: | | | | | | |
| Corporate fixed maturities | \$ (0.8) | \$ 0.1 | \$ (0.7) | \$ (0.5) | \$ | \$ (0.5) |
| Derivative assets | | | | | (1.4) | (1.4) |
| Total Assets | \$ (0.8) | \$ 0.1 | \$ (0.7) | \$ (0.5) | \$ (1.4) | \$ (1.9) |
| Level 3 Liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Derivative liabilities | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 1.3 | \$ 1.3 |

| (In millions) | (Unaudited) Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 | | | (Unaudited) Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|----------|---|---|-------|
| | Other- than- temporary impairments | Net realized investment gains (losses) | Total | Net realized investment losses | Gain (loss) from discontinued FAFLIC business | Total |
| Level 3 Assets: | | | | | | |
| Fixed maturities: | | | | | | |
| States and political subdivisions | \$ | \$ (0.2) | \$ (0.2) | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Corporate fixed maturities | (0.8) | 0.4 | (0.4) | (0.5) | | (0.5) |

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|-----------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|--------------|-----------------|
| Residential mortgage backed securities | | | 0.2 | 0.2 | | | | |
| Total fixed maturities | (0.8) | | 0.4 | (0.4) | (0.5) | | | (0.5) |
| Derivative assets | | | | | | 0.9 | | 0.9 |
| Total Assets | \$ (0.8) | \$ | 0.4 | \$ (0.4) | \$ (0.5) | \$ | 0.9 | \$ 0.4 |
| Level 3 Liabilities: | | | | | | | | |
| Derivative liabilities | \$ | \$ | | \$ | \$ | \$ | (0.9) | \$ (0.9) |

Table of Contents

7. Federal Income Taxes

Federal income tax expense for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 has been computed using estimated effective tax rates. These rates are revised, if necessary, at the end of each successive interim period to reflect the current estimates of the annual effective tax rates.

In the first six months of 2009, the Company decreased its valuation allowance related to its deferred tax asset by \$79.6 million, from \$348.2 million to \$268.6 million. The decrease in this valuation allowance resulted primarily from unrealized appreciation of the Company's investment portfolio partially offset by realized capital losses. Accordingly, the Company recorded net decreases in its valuation allowance of \$72.4 million as an adjustment to Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income and \$11.6 million as an adjustment to Retained Earnings for the cumulative effect of adopting FSP FAS No. 115-2. These decreases are partially offset by increases in its valuation allowance of \$3.3 million as an adjustment to Federal Income Tax Expense and \$1.1 million as an adjustment to Discontinued Operations in its Consolidated Statements of Income.

The Company or its subsidiaries files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and various state jurisdictions. With few exceptions, the Company and its subsidiaries are no longer subject to U.S. federal income tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2005. The IRS audits of the years 2005 and 2006 commenced in December 2007. The Company and its subsidiaries are still subject to U.S. state income tax examinations by tax authorities for years after 1998.

8. Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans

The Company's defined benefit pension plans, which provided retirement benefits based on a cash balance formula, were frozen as of January 1, 2005; therefore, no further cash balance allocations have been credited for plan years beginning on or after January 1, 2005. In addition, certain transition group employees were eligible for a grandfathered benefit based upon service and compensation; such benefits were also frozen at January 1, 2005 levels with an annual transition pension adjustment. The Company has additional unfunded pension plans and postretirement plans to provide benefits to certain full-time employees, former agents, retirees and their dependents.

The components of net periodic benefit cost for pension and other postretirement benefit plans are as follows:

| (In millions) | (Unaudited) | | | |
|--|------------------------|--------|-------------------------|----------|
| | Quarter Ended June 30, | | | |
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| | Pension Benefits | | Postretirement Benefits | |
| Service cost - benefits earned during the period | \$ | \$ | \$ 0.1 | \$ |
| Interest cost | 8.5 | 8.2 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (6.6) | (8.4) | | |
| Recognized net actuarial loss | 6.8 | 1.0 | | 0.1 |
| Amortization of transition asset | (0.4) | (0.4) | | |
| Amortization of prior service cost | | | (1.5) | (1.3) |
| Net periodic cost (benefit) | \$ 8.3 | \$ 0.4 | \$ (0.7) | \$ (0.5) |

| (In millions) | (Unaudited) | | | |
|--|---------------------------|--------|-------------------------|--------|
| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | | |
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| | Pension Benefits | | Postretirement Benefits | |
| Service cost - benefits earned during the period | \$ | \$ | \$ 0.1 | \$ 0.2 |
| Interest cost | 17.0 | 16.4 | 1.4 | 1.6 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (12.7) | (16.9) | | |
| Recognized net actuarial loss | 13.5 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Amortization of transition asset | (0.8) | (0.8) | | |
| Amortization of prior service cost | | | (2.9) | (2.4) |

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------|----|----------|----------|
| Net periodic cost (benefit) | \$ 17.0 | \$ | \$ (1.3) | \$ (0.4) |
|-----------------------------|---------|----|----------|----------|

Table of Contents

In April 2009, the Company contributed \$30 million to its qualified plan related to the 2008 plan year. Approximately \$18 million of this contribution is discretionary. No further contributions are required to be made in 2009 related to the 2009 plan year.

9. Other Comprehensive Income

The following table provides a reconciliation of gross unrealized investment gains (losses) to the net balance shown in the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income:

| (In millions) | (Unaudited) Quarter Ended June 30, | | (Unaudited) Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--|-----------|---|-----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on available-for-sale securities: | | | | |
| Unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during period, net of income tax (expense) benefit of \$(0.8) for the quarter ended June 30, 2008 and \$0.2 and \$2.1 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 | \$ 187.2 | \$ (87.9) | \$ 226.7 | \$ (93.3) |
| Less: reclassification adjustment for losses included in net income net of income tax expense of \$1.8 for the quarter ended June 30, 2008 | (3.6) | (12.1) | (12.9) | (15.3) |
| Total available-for-sale securities | 190.8 | (75.8) | 239.6 | (78.0) |
| Unrealized depreciation on derivative instruments: | | | | |
| Unrealized holding losses arising during period, net of income tax benefit of \$0.1 for the six months ended June 30, 2008 | | (0.2) | | (0.4) |
| Less: reclassification adjustment for losses included in net income | | (0.1) | | (0.1) |
| Total derivative instruments | | (0.1) | | (0.3) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | \$ 190.8 | \$ (75.9) | \$ 239.6 | \$ (78.3) |

10. Segment Information

The Company's primary business operations include insurance products and services in three property and casualty operating segments. These segments are Personal Lines, Commercial Lines, and Other Property and Casualty. Personal Lines includes personal automobile, homeowners and other personal coverages, while Commercial Lines includes commercial multiple peril, commercial automobile, workers' compensation, and other commercial coverages, such as bonds and inland marine business. In addition, the Other Property and Casualty segment consists of: Opus Investment Management, Inc. (Opus), which markets investment management services to institutions, pension funds and other organizations; earnings on holding company assets; as well as voluntary pools in which the Company has not actively participated since 1995. Prior to its sale on June 2, 2008, AMGRO Inc., the Company's premium financing business, was also included in the Other Property and Casualty segment. Additionally, prior to the sale of FAFLIC on January 2, 2009, the operations included the results of this run-off life insurance and annuity business as a separate segment. This business is now reflected as discontinued operations. Certain ongoing expenses were also reclassified from this former life segment to the Property and Casualty business. The separate financial information of each segment is presented consistent with the way results are regularly evaluated by the chief operating decision maker in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. A summary of the Company's reportable segments is included below.

The Company reports interest expense related to its corporate debt separately from the earnings of its operating segments. Corporate debt consists of the Company's senior debentures, junior subordinated debentures, and surplus notes. Subordinated debentures are held by the holding company and several subsidiaries.

Table of Contents

Management evaluates the results of the aforementioned segments on a pre-tax basis. Segment income excludes certain items which are included in net income, such as federal income taxes and net realized investment gains and losses, including gains or losses on derivative instruments, because fluctuations in these gains and losses are determined by interest rates, financial markets and the timing of sales. Also, segment income excludes net gains and losses on disposals of businesses, discontinued operations, restructuring costs, extraordinary items, the cumulative effect of accounting changes and certain other items. While these items may be significant components in understanding and assessing the Company's financial performance, management believes that the presentation of segment income enhances understanding of the Company's results of operations by highlighting net income attributable to the core operations of the business. However, segment income should not be construed as a substitute for net income determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Summarized below is financial information with respect to business segments:

| (In millions) | (Unaudited) Quarter Ended June 30, | | (Unaudited) Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--|----------|---|------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Segment revenues: | | | | |
| Property and Casualty: | | | | |
| Personal Lines | \$ 394.0 | \$ 403.4 | \$ 788.8 | \$ 806.6 |
| Commercial Lines | 299.8 | 285.2 | 604.1 | 568.8 |
| Other Property and Casualty | 7.1 | 5.7 | 14.0 | 11.2 |
| Total Property and Casualty | 700.9 | 694.3 | 1,406.9 | 1,386.6 |
| Intersegment revenues | (0.9) | (1.7) | (1.9) | (3.8) |
| Total segment revenues | 700.0 | 692.6 | 1,405.0 | 1,382.8 |
| Adjustments to segment revenues: | | | | |
| Net realized investment losses | (3.6) | (7.6) | (9.7) | (7.9) |
| Total revenues | \$ 696.4 | \$ 685.0 | \$ 1,395.3 | \$ 1,374.9 |
| Segment income before federal income taxes: | | | | |
| Property and Casualty: | | | | |
| Personal Lines: | | | | |
| GAAP underwriting (loss) income | \$ (2.8) | \$ 5.9 | \$ (29.3) | \$ 0.7 |
| Net investment income | 26.0 | 29.3 | 53.6 | 59.0 |
| Other | 2.4 | 3.4 | 4.4 | 6.0 |
| Personal Lines segment income | 25.6 | 38.6 | 28.7 | 65.7 |
| Commercial Lines: | | | | |
| GAAP underwriting income | 20.7 | 20.7 | 36.1 | 56.8 |
| Net investment income | 29.7 | 30.5 | 61.3 | 61.4 |
| Other | 0.5 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 2.5 |
| Commercial Lines segment income | 50.9 | 52.7 | 98.5 | 120.7 |
| Other Property and Casualty: | | | | |
| GAAP underwriting income | 0.3 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Net investment income | 5.4 | 3.8 | 10.9 | 7.6 |
| Other net expenses | (5.9) | (2.2) | (12.2) | (3.4) |
| Other Property and Casualty segment (loss) income | (0.2) | 2.9 | (1.0) | 4.5 |
| Total Property and Casualty | 76.3 | 94.2 | 126.2 | 190.9 |
| Interest on corporate debt | (10.5) | (9.9) | (20.9) | (19.9) |

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---|----------------|---------|-----------------|----------|
| Segment income before federal income taxes | 65.8 | 84.3 | 105.3 | 171.0 |
| Adjustments to segment income: | | | | |
| Net realized investment losses | (3.6) | (7.6) | (9.7) | (7.9) |
| Gain on tender offer | 34.3 | | 34.3 | |
| Other items | 0.1 | | | |
| Income from continuing operations before federal income taxes | \$ 96.6 | \$ 76.7 | \$ 129.9 | \$ 163.1 |

Table of Contents

Summarized below is financial information with respect to business segments:

| (In millions) | Identifiable Assets (Unaudited) | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|
| | June 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
| Property and Casualty (1)(3) | \$ 7,629.6 | \$ 7,586.6 |
| Assets of discontinued operations (2) | 131.3 | 1,769.5 |
| Intersegment eliminations (3) | (13.2) | (125.9) |
| Total | \$ 7,747.7 | \$ 9,230.2 |

- (1) The Company reviews assets based on the total Property and Casualty Group and does not allocate between the Personal Lines, Commercial Lines and Other Property and Casualty segments. Included in the Property and Casualty group's assets as of December 31, 2008 are those assets which were being retained by the Company subsequent to the sale of FAFLIC.
- (2) June 30, 2009 includes assets related to the Company's discontinued accident and health insurance business. December 31, 2008 includes both the assets which were sold to Commonwealth Annuity as part of the FAFLIC sale on January 2, 2009 and those related to the Company's discontinued accident and health insurance business.
- (3) The 2008 balance includes a \$120.6 million dividend receivable from FAFLIC to the holding company, which was paid in January 2009.
Discontinued Operations FAFLIC Business

On January 2, 2009, FAFLIC was sold to Commonwealth Annuity. The Company determined that this business qualified as a discontinued operation (see Note 3 for further discussion of the FAFLIC sale transaction). Accordingly, as of December 31, 2008, assets related to the disposition of FAFLIC of \$1,710.4 million, net of the related valuation allowance, were aggregated and classified as assets of discontinued operations on the Consolidated Balance Sheets and related liabilities of \$1,627.6 million were aggregated and classified as liabilities of discontinued operations on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Discontinued Operations Accident and Health Insurance Business

During 1999, the Company exited its accident and health insurance business, consisting of its Employee Benefit Services (EBS) business, its Affinity Group Underwriters business and its accident and health assumed reinsurance pool business. Prior to 1999, these businesses comprised substantially all of the former Corporate Risk Management Services segment. Accordingly, the operating results of the discontinued segment have been reported in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 30, *Reporting the Results of Operations - Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions* (APB Opinion No. 30). On January 2, 2009, Hanover Insurance directly assumed a portion of the accident and health business; and therefore continues to apply APB Opinion No. 30 to this business. In addition, the remainder of the FAFLIC accident and health business was reinsured also by Hanover Insurance and has been reported in accordance with Statement No. 144.

At June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the portion of the discontinued accident and health business that was directly assumed had assets of \$66.9 million and \$59.1 million, respectively, consisting primarily of invested assets and reinsurance recoverables, and liabilities of approximately \$47.0 million and \$51.0 million, respectively, consisting primarily of policy liabilities. At June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the assets and liabilities of this business, as well as those of the reinsured portion of the accident and health business are classified as assets and liabilities of discontinued operations in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

11. Stock-based Compensation

Compensation cost recorded pursuant to Statement No. 123(R) and the related tax benefits were as follows:

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

| (In millions) | (Unaudited) Quarter Ended June 30, | | (Unaudited) Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--|--------|---|--------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | \$ 2.7 | \$ 2.7 | \$ 5.4 | \$ 6.1 |
| Tax benefit | (1.0) | (0.9) | (1.9) | (2.1) |
| Stock-based compensation expense, net of taxes | \$ 1.7 | \$ 1.8 | \$ 3.5 | \$ 4.0 |

Table of Contents*Stock Options*

Information on the Company's stock option plan activity is summarized below.

| | (Unaudited) Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 | | (Unaudited) Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 | |
|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| | Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
| (In whole shares and dollars) | | | | |
| Outstanding, beginning of period | 2,998,821 | \$ 41.02 | 3,268,912 | \$ 41.15 |
| Granted | 530,000 | 34.13 | 92,909 | 44.79 |
| Exercised | 15,350 | 28.29 | 115,353 | 35.43 |
| Forfeited or cancelled | 83,000 | 45.15 | 16,750 | 52.59 |
| Expired | 184,100 | 52.07 | 115,400 | 52.63 |
| Outstanding, end of period | 3,246,371 | \$ 39.22 | 3,114,318 | \$ 40.98 |

Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Units

The following tables summarize activity information about employee restricted stock and restricted stock units:

| | (Unaudited) Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 | | (Unaudited) Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 | |
|--|--|---|--|---|
| | Shares | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value | Shares | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
| (In whole shares and dollars) | | | | |
| Restricted stock and restricted stock units: | | | | |
| Outstanding, beginning of period | 470,905 | \$ 45.41 | 179,416 | \$ 46.79 |
| Granted | 286,725 | 34.27 | 287,927 | 45.08 |
| Vested and exercised | 7,663 | 45.08 | 6,000 | 35.87 |
| Forfeited | 25,061 | 42.75 | 11,477 | 46.48 |
| Outstanding, end of period | 724,906 | \$ 41.15 | 449,866 | \$ 45.85 |
| Performance-based restricted stock units: | | | | |
| Outstanding, beginning of period (1) | 164,442 | \$ 46.10 | 402,929 | \$ 44.16 |
| Granted (1) | 47,375 | 34.19 | 127,624 | 42.40 |
| Vested and exercised | 40,507 | 46.28 | 349,973 | 44.18 |
| Forfeited (2) | 19,962 | 46.49 | 2,570 | 46.61 |
| Outstanding, end of period (1) | 151,348 | \$ 41.58 | 178,010 | \$ 42.82 |

- (1) Performance based restricted stock units are based upon the achievement of the performance metric at 100%. These units have the potential to range from 0% to 175% of the shares disclosed, which varies based on grant year and individual participation level. Increases to the 100% target level are reflected as granted in the period in which performance-based stock unit goals are achieved. In 2008, 26,004 and 43,640 performance-based stock units were included as granted due to completion levels in excess of 100% for units originally granted

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

in 2006 and 2005, respectively. The weighted average grant date fair value for these awards was \$46.28 and \$36.34 for 2006 and 2005 grants, respectively. There were 57,980 shares awarded as new grants in 2008 which have a weighted average grant date fair value of \$45.21, respectively.

- (2) In the six months ended June 30, 2009, 17,212 performance-based stock units were included as forfeited due to estimated completion levels of less than 100% for units originally granted in 2007. The weighted average grant date fair value for these awards was \$48.46.

Table of Contents

12. Earnings Per Share

The following table provides share information used in the calculation of the Company's basic and diluted earnings per share:

| (In millions, except per share data) | (Unaudited) Quarter Ended June 30, | | (Unaudited) Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--|-----------|---|-----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Basic shares used in the calculation of earnings per share | 51.1 | 51.3 | 51.1 | 51.5 |
| Dilutive effect of securities: | | | | |
| Employee stock options | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Non-vested stock grants | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Diluted shares used in the calculation of earnings per share | 51.4 | 51.8 | 51.4 | 52.0 |
| Per share effect of dilutive securities on income from continuing operations | \$ | \$ (0.01) | \$ (0.01) | \$ (0.02) |
| Per share effect of dilutive securities on net income | \$ (0.01) | \$ | \$ (0.02) | \$ (0.01) |

Diluted earnings per share for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 excludes 2.9 million and 1.3 million, respectively, of common shares issuable under the Company's stock compensation plans, because their effect would be antidilutive. Diluted earnings per share for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 excludes 3.1 million and 1.7 million, respectively, of common shares issuable under the Company's stock compensation plans, because their effect would be antidilutive.

13. Commitments and Contingencies

*LITIGATION**Durand Litigation*

On March 12, 2007, a putative class action suit captioned Jennifer A. Durand v. The Hanover Insurance Group, Inc., The Allmerica Financial Cash Balance Pension Plan was filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Kentucky. The named plaintiff, a former employee who received a lump sum distribution from the Company's Cash Balance Plan at or about the time of her termination, claims that she and others similarly situated did not receive the appropriate lump sum distribution because in computing the lump sum, the Company understated the accrued benefit in the calculation. The Company filed a motion to dismiss on the basis that the plaintiff failed to exhaust administrative remedies, which motion was granted without prejudice in a decision dated November 7, 2007. This decision was reversed by an order dated March 24, 2009 issued by the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, and the case was remanded to the district court. In the Company's judgment, the outcome is not expected to be material to its financial position, although it could have a material effect on the results of operations for a particular quarter or annual period.

Hurricane Katrina Litigation

The Company has been named as a defendant in various litigations, including putative class actions, relating to disputes arising from damages which occurred as a result of Hurricane Katrina in 2005. As of June 30, 2009, there were approximately 68 such cases. These cases have been filed in both Louisiana state courts and federal district courts. These cases generally involve, among other claims, disputes as to the amount of reimbursable claims in particular cases (e.g. how much of the damage to an insured property is attributable to flood and therefore not covered, and how much is attributable to wind, which may be covered), as well as the scope of insurance coverage under homeowners and commercial property policies due to flooding, civil authority actions, loss of landscaping, business interruption and other matters. Certain of these cases claim a breach of duty of good faith or violations of Louisiana insurance claims handling laws or regulations and involve claims for punitive or exemplary damages.

Table of Contents

The putative class actions were consolidated into the Master Consolidated Class Action Complaint pending in the United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana as part of the In Re: Katrina Canal Breaches Consolidated Litigation, Civil Action No. 05-4182. On June 16, 2009, the court issued an Order striking all class allegations in the Master Consolidated Class Action Complaint. On June 30, 2009, plaintiffs filed a motion for reconsideration of the court's ruling dismissing the class allegations.

On August 23, 2007, the State of Louisiana (individually and on behalf of the State of Louisiana, Division of Administration, Office of Community Development) filed a putative class action (not included in the Master Consolidated Class Action) in the Civil District Court for the Parish of Orleans, State of Louisiana, entitled State of Louisiana, individually and on behalf of State of Louisiana, Division of Administration, Office of Community Development ex rel The Honorable Charles C. Foti, Jr., The Attorney General For the State of Louisiana, individually and as a class action on behalf of all recipients of funds as well as all eligible and/or future recipients of funds through The Road Home Program v. AAA Insurance, et al., No. 07-8970. The complaint named as defendants over 200 foreign and domestic insurance carriers, including THG. Plaintiff seeks to represent a class of current and former Louisiana citizens who have applied for and received or will receive funds through Louisiana's Road Home program. On August 29, 2007, Plaintiff filed an Amended Petition in this case, asserting myriad claims, including claims for breach of: contract, the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, fiduciary duty and Louisiana's bad faith statutes. Plaintiff seeks relief in the form of, among other things, declarations that (a) the efficient proximate cause of losses suffered by putative class members was windstorm, a covered peril under their policies; (b) the second efficient proximate cause of their losses was storm surge, which Plaintiff contends is not excluded under class members' policies; (c) the damage caused by water entering affected parishes of Louisiana does not fall within the definition of "flood"; (d) the damages caused by water entering Orleans Parish and the surrounding area was a result of man-made occurrence and are properly covered under class members' policies; (e) many class members suffered total losses to their residences; and (f) many class members are entitled to recover the full value for their residences stated on their policies pursuant to the Louisiana Valued Policy Law. In accordance with these requested declarations, Plaintiff seeks to recover amounts that it alleges should have been paid to policyholders under their insurance agreements, as well as penalties, attorneys' fees, and costs. The case has been removed to the Federal District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

On March 5, 2009, the court issued an Order granting in part and denying in part a Motion to Dismiss filed by defendants. The court dismissed all claims for bad faith and breach of fiduciary duty and all claims for flood damages under policies with flood exclusions or asserted under the Valued Policy Law, but rejected the insurers' arguments that the purported assignments from individual claimants to the state were barred by anti-assignment provisions in the insurers' policies. On April 16, 2009, the court denied a Motion for Reconsideration of its ruling regarding the anti-assignment provisions, but certified the issue as ripe for immediate appeal. On April 30, 2009, defendants filed a Petition for Permission to Appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit.

The Company established its loss and LAE reserves on the assumption that it will not have any liability under the Road Home or similar litigation, and that the Company will otherwise prevail in litigation as to the causes of certain large losses and not incur extra contractual or punitive damages.

REGULATORY AND INDUSTRY DEVELOPMENTS

Unfavorable economic conditions may contribute to an increase in the number of insurance companies that are under regulatory supervision. This may result in an increase in mandatory assessments by state guaranty funds, or voluntary payments by solvent insurance companies to cover losses to policyholders of insolvent or rehabilitated companies. Mandatory assessments, which are subject to statutory limits, can be partially recovered through a reduction in future premium taxes in some states. The Company is not able to reasonably estimate the potential impact of any such future assessments or voluntary payments.

Over the past three years, state-sponsored insurers, reinsurers and involuntary pools have increased significantly, particularly in those states which have Atlantic or Gulf Coast exposures. As a result, the potential assessment exposure of insurers doing business in such states and the attendant collection risks have increased, particularly, in the Company's case, in the states of Massachusetts, Louisiana and Florida. Such actions and related regulatory restrictions on rate increases, underwriting and the ability to non-renew business may limit the Company's ability to reduce its potential exposure to hurricane related losses. At this time, the Company is unable to predict the likelihood or impact of any such potential assessments or other actions.

Table of Contents

In February 2009, the Governor of Michigan called upon every automobile insurer operating in the state to freeze personal automobile insurance rates for 12 months to allow time for the legislature to enact comprehensive automobile insurance reform. In addition, she endorsed a number of proposals by her appointed Automobile and Home Insurance Consumer Advocate which would, among other things, change the current rate approval process from the current file and use system to prior approval, mandate affordable rates, reduce the threshold for law suits to be filed in at fault incidents, and prohibit the use of certain underwriting criteria such as credit-based insurance scores. The Office of Financial and Insurance Regulation (OFIR) had previously issued regulations prohibiting the use of credit scores to rate personal lines insurance policies, which regulations are the subject of litigation which is expected to be reviewed by the Michigan Supreme Court. Pending a determination by the Michigan Supreme Court, OFIR is enjoined from disapproving rates on the basis that they are based in part on credit-based insurance scores. At this time, the Company is unable to predict the likelihood of adoption or impact on the business of any such proposals or regulations, but any such restrictions could have an adverse effect on the Company's results of operations.

From time to time, proposals have been made to establish a federal based insurance regulatory system and to allow insurers to elect either federal or state-based regulation (optional federal chartering). In light of the current economic crisis and the focus on increased regulatory controls, particularly with regard to financial institutions, there has been renewed interest in such proposals. In fact, several proposals have been introduced to create a system of optional federal chartering, to create federal oversight mechanisms for insurance or insurance holding companies which are systemically important to the United States financial system and to create a national office to monitor insurance companies. The Company cannot predict the impact that any such change will have on its operations or business or on that of the Company's competitors.

Other Matters

The Company has been named a defendant in various other legal proceedings arising in the normal course of business. In addition, the Company is involved, from time to time, in examinations, investigations and proceedings by governmental and self-regulatory agencies. The potential outcome of any such action or regulatory proceedings in which the Company has been named a defendant or the subject of an inquiry or investigation, and the Company's ultimate liability, if any, from such action or regulatory proceedings, is difficult to predict at this time. In the Company's opinion, based on the advice of legal counsel, the ultimate resolutions of such proceedings will not have a material effect on the Company's financial position, although they could have a material effect on the results of operations for a particular quarter or annual period.

RESIDUAL MARKETS

The Company is required to participate in residual markets in various states, which generally pertains to high risk insureds, disrupted markets or lines of business or geographic areas where rates are regarded as excessive. The results of the residual markets are not subject to the predictability associated with the Company's own managed business, and are significant to the workers' compensation line of business, the homeowners line of business and both the personal and commercial automobile lines of business.

14. Subsequent Events

There were no subsequent events requiring adjustment to the financial statements or disclosure through August 7, 2009, the date that the Company's financial statements were issued.

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 2

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-------|
| <u>Introduction</u> | 37 |
| <u>Executive Overview</u> | 37-38 |
| <u>Description of Operating Segments</u> | 39 |
| <u>Results of Operations</u> | 39-40 |
| <u>Property and Casualty Segment Results</u> | 41-58 |
| <u>Discontinued Operations: Life Companies</u> | 58-59 |
| <u>Other Items</u> | 59-61 |
| <u>Investment Portfolio</u> | 62-70 |
| <u>Income Taxes</u> | 70-71 |
| <u>Critical Accounting Estimates</u> | 72-74 |
| <u>Other Significant Transactions</u> | 74 |
| <u>Statutory Capital of Insurance Subsidiaries</u> | 74-75 |
| <u>Liquidity and Capital Resources</u> | 75-77 |
| <u>Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements</u> | 77 |
| <u>Contingencies and Regulatory Matters</u> | 77-79 |
| <u>Rating Agency Actions</u> | 79 |
| <u>Recent Developments</u> | 80 |
| <u>Risks and Forward-Looking Statements</u> | 80 |
| <u>Glossary of Selected Insurance Terms</u> | 81-83 |

Table of Contents

Introduction

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations is intended to assist readers in understanding the interim consolidated results of operations and financial condition of The Hanover Insurance Group, Inc. and subsidiaries (THG) and should be read in conjunction with the interim Consolidated Financial Statements and related footnotes included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contained in our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Our results of operations include the accounts of The Hanover Insurance Company (Hanover Insurance) and Citizens Insurance Company of America (Citizens), our principal property and casualty companies; and certain other insurance and non-insurance subsidiaries. Our results of operations also included the results of First Allmerica Financial Life Insurance Company (FAFLIC), our former run-off life insurance and annuity subsidiary through December 31, 2008. On January 2, 2009, we sold FAFLIC to Commonwealth Annuity and Life Insurance Company (Commonwealth Annuity), a subsidiary of The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. (Goldman Sachs). As of December 31, 2008 and for all prior periods presented, operations from FAFLIC have been reclassified as discontinued operations. Additionally, as of December 31, 2008, a portion of FAFLIC's accounts were classified as assets and liabilities of discontinued operations in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Executive Overview

Our property and casualty business includes our Personal Lines segment, our Commercial Lines segment and our Other Property and Casualty segment. As noted above, on January 2, 2009, we sold FAFLIC to Commonwealth Annuity. Total net proceeds from the sale after transaction expenses were approximately \$230 million. Coincident with the sale transaction, Hanover Insurance and FAFLIC entered into a reinsurance contract whereby Hanover Insurance assumed FAFLIC's discontinued accident and health insurance business.

During the first six months of 2009, the U.S. and global financial markets and economies continue to remain strained, as market values continue to fluctuate and defaults in corporate bonds have and are expected to continue to increase throughout 2009. Credit spreads, however, began to tighten during the first half of 2009, significantly reducing unrealized losses at June 30, 2009. We expect that the ongoing uncertainty in the financial markets and uncertainty around possible implications of governmental funding will continue to impact credit market liquidity.

Our investment holdings, which totaled \$4.8 billion at June 30, 2009, and consist primarily of investment grade fixed maturities, cash and cash equivalents, included securities with net unrealized loss positions of approximately \$70 million. Our unrealized loss position has declined substantially from December 31, 2008, primarily due to market appreciation, particularly on investment grade securities, and in part due to the recognition of impairment charges of \$26.4 million during the first six months of 2009. These impairment charges primarily related to credit-related losses on below investment grade fixed maturities in the industrial sector and perpetual preferred securities in the financial sector.

Several U.S. and global government programs have been implemented over the last year to assist in stimulating a recovery in the market. There is uncertainty regarding what effect government programs will have on the financial markets. We believe, however, that recent and ongoing government actions to support the banking and financial sectors, the quality of the assets we hold, and our relatively strong capital position will allow us, over time, to realize the anticipated long-term economic value related to securities we hold that are in an unrealized loss position. Additionally, we have a substantially liquid portfolio with a laddered duration structure which provides for periodic maturities and thus expect to have the ability to hold such securities for the period of time anticipated to allow for a recovery in fair value.

With respect to underwriting results, in the first six months of 2009, we recorded \$64.8 million of pre-tax catastrophe losses, primarily due to severe weather. This represents an increase of \$7.4 million compared to the first six months of 2008. We also experienced an unusually high level of non-catastrophe weather losses. These increased levels of claim activity came predominantly from Midwestern states, including Michigan, our largest market, and New England.

During May 2009, A.M. Best Company upgraded the financial strength ratings of our property and casualty companies to an A rating from their prior rating of A-. A.M. Best also upgraded the rating related to our Senior Debt to bbb from a prior rating of bbb-. We believe that these upgrades, which occurred at a time of such uncertainty in the financial services industry, reflect the strength of our balance sheet, our solid capital position, and the results of our investments in the business over the past several years. These upgrades are expected to provide access to certain business groups and markets, particularly in Commercial Lines, that have previously not been significant in our mix of business. However, there can be no assurance that the ratings upgrade will produce the results expected.

Table of Contents

We have made an explicit decision to invest further in our business despite the fact that our expense ratio is higher than that of some of our peer companies and other competitors. In Personal Lines, we are investing to improve the competitiveness of our products and in technology and systems enhancements intended to make our interactions with agents more efficient. In Commercial Lines, we continue to invest in systems improvements, particularly with respect to small commercial business, but most of our investments are directed toward expanding our product capabilities and offerings in various specialty areas. Our ability to improve profitability in the future will depend in part on our ability to profitably grow our net written premiums and reduce our expense ratios accordingly.

Personal Lines

In our Personal Lines business, we are focused on making investments that are intended to help us maintain profitability, build a distinctive position in the market and provide us with profitable growth opportunities. Actions we have taken related to catastrophe management in coastal states, including Florida and Louisiana, have reduced our growth in premium in our homeowners line; however, we believe these actions have improved our risk profile. Current market conditions continue to be challenging as pricing pressures and economic conditions remain difficult, especially in Michigan, impacting our ability to grow and retain business in this, our largest state, and elsewhere. We are working closely with our partner agents in Michigan to remain a significant writer with strong margins. Also, in 2009 we continued our mix management initiatives relating to our *Connections® Auto* product to improve the overall profitability of the business. We are focused on reducing our growth in less profitable automobile segments and increasing our multi-car and account business consistent with our strategy. We believe that market conditions will remain challenging and competitive in Personal Lines. Despite these challenges, we experienced relatively flat growth levels in Personal Lines and expect that trend to continue in 2009 as the industry continues to respond to the difficult economic environment.

Our *Connections Auto* product is available in eighteen states. We believe that this product will help us profitably grow our market share over time. The *Connections Auto* product is designed to be competitive for a wide spectrum of drivers through its multivariate rating application, which calculates rates based upon the magnitude and correlation of multiple risk factors. At the same time, a core strategy is to broaden our portfolio offerings and write total accounts, which are accounts that include multiple personal line coverages for the same customer. Our homeowners product, *Connections® Home*, is available in sixteen states. It is intended to improve our competitiveness for total account business by making it easier and more efficient for our agents to write business with us and by providing better coverages for policyholders. We continue to refine our products and work closely with high potential agents to increase the percentage of business they place with us and to ensure that it is consistent with our preferred mix of business. Additionally, we remain focused on diversifying our state mix beyond our four core states of Michigan, Massachusetts, New York and New Jersey. We expect these efforts to contribute to profitable growth and improved retention in our Personal Lines segment over time.

Commercial Lines

The Commercial Lines market remains competitive. Price competition requires us to be highly disciplined in our underwriting process to ensure that we grow the business only at acceptable margins. We focus on mid-sized agents and target small and first-tier middle market clients, whose premiums are generally below \$200,000. We also continue to develop our specialty businesses, which on average are expected to offer higher margins over time and enable us to deliver a more complete product portfolio to our agents and policyholders. Our specialty lines now account for approximately one third of our Commercial Lines business, based upon premiums. Additional growth in our specialty lines continues to be a significant part of our strategy. Our ongoing focus on expanding our product offerings in specialty businesses is evidenced by our acquisitions and our development of niche programs. Over the past two years, we have acquired Verlan Holdings, Inc. (Verlan), which we market as Hanover Specialty Property, a specialty company providing property insurance to small and medium-sized manufacturing and distribution companies that are highly protected fire risks, AIX Holdings, Inc. (AIX), a specialty property and casualty insurance carrier that focuses on underwriting and managing program business, and Professionals Direct, Inc. (PDI), which we market as Hanover Professionals, a professional liability insurance carrier for small to medium-sized legal practices. Additionally, we have developed several niche insurance programs, such as for schools, religious institutions and moving and storage companies, and have added additional segmentation to our core middle market commercial products, including real estate, hospitality and wholesale distributors. We believe these acquisitions and the development of our niche businesses and additional segmented products provide us with better breadth and diversification of products, will ultimately provide better underwriting results and will improve our competitive position with our agents.

In January 2009, we introduced another specialty niche for human services organizations such as non-profit youth and community service organizations. As a complimentary initiative, we have established a business focused on management liability, specifically non-profit directors and officers liability and employment practices liability and we plan to extend coverage for private company directors and officers liability. In addition, we have made a number of enhancements to our core products and technology platforms that are intended to drive more total account placements in our Small Commercial business, which we believe will enhance margins. Our focus continues to be on improving and expanding our partnerships with agents. We believe our specialty capabilities and small commercial platform, coupled with distinctiveness in the middle market through our development of niches

Table of Contents

and better segmentation, enables us to deliver significant value to our agents and policyholders in our target markets and to improve the overall mix of our business and ultimately our underwriting profitability.

Description of Operating Segments

Our primary business operations include insurance products and services in three property and casualty operating segments. These segments are Personal Lines, Commercial Lines and Other Property and Casualty. Personal Lines includes personal automobile, homeowners and other personal coverages, while Commercial Lines includes commercial multiple peril, commercial automobile, workers' compensation and other commercial coverages, such as bonds, inland marine business and professional liability. In addition, the Other Property and Casualty segment consists of: Opus Investment Management, Inc. (Opus), which markets investment management services to institutions, pension funds and other organizations; earnings on holding company assets, as well as voluntary pools business in which we have not actively participated since 1995. Prior to its sale on June 2, 2008, Amgro, Inc. (AMGRO), our premium financing business, was also included in the Other Property and Casualty segment. Additionally, prior to the sale of FAFLIC on January 2, 2009, our operations included the results of this run-off life insurance and annuity business as a separate segment. We present the separate financial information of each segment consistent with the manner in which our chief operating decision maker evaluates results in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance.

We report interest expense related to our corporate debt separately from the earnings of our operating segments. Corporate debt consists of our senior debentures, our junior subordinated debentures and surplus notes. Subordinated debentures are held by the holding company and several subsidiaries.

Results of Operations

Our consolidated net income includes the results of our three operating segments (segment income), which we evaluate on a pre-tax basis, and our interest expense on corporate debt. In addition, segment income excludes certain items which we believe are not indicative of our core operations. The income of our segments excludes items such as federal income taxes and net realized investment gains and losses, including net gains or losses on certain derivative instruments, because fluctuations in these gains and losses are determined by interest rates, financial markets and the timing of sales. Also, segment income excludes net gains and losses on disposals of businesses, discontinued operations, restructuring costs, extraordinary items, the cumulative effect of accounting changes and certain other items. Although the items excluded from segment income may be significant components in understanding and assessing our financial performance, we believe segment income enhances an investor's understanding of our results of operations by highlighting net income attributable to the core operations of the business. However, segment income should not be construed as a substitute for net income determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP).

Catastrophe losses are a significant component in understanding and assessing the financial performance of our business. However, catastrophic events, such as Hurricanes Katrina, Ike and Gustav make it difficult to assess the underlying trends in this business. Management believes that providing certain financial metrics and trends excluding the effects of catastrophes helps investors to understand the variability in periodic earnings and to evaluate the underlying performance of our operations.

Our consolidated net income for the second quarter of 2009 was \$64.4 million, compared to a net loss of \$10.2 million for the same period in 2008. The loss in 2008 included an estimated loss associated with the sale of FAFLIC of \$66.1 million, which represents the most significant piece of the \$74.6 million period over period increase in earnings. In addition, we recognized a \$34.3 million pre-tax gain (\$22.3 million, net of taxes) associated with our tender offer whereby we repurchased a portion of our mandatorily redeemable preferred securities and our senior debentures during the second quarter of 2009. (See also Significant Transactions) Partially offsetting these increases in income was an \$18.5 million decline in segment income. The decrease in segment income primarily resulted from lower current accident year results, higher expenses and lower net investment income, partially offset by increased favorable development of prior years' loss and loss adjustment expense (LAE) reserves and decreased catastrophe losses. A \$10.4 million net gain on the sale of AMGRO in 2008 also partially offset the increase.

Our consolidated net income for the first six months of 2009 was \$90.2 million, compared to \$48.3 million for the same period in 2008. Results in 2008 include the aforementioned \$66.1 million estimated loss associated with the sale of FAFLIC. The absence of this loss in 2009 accounts for more than the \$41.9 million period over period increase in earnings. Also contributing to the increase was the \$34.3 million pre-tax gain (\$22.3 million net of taxes) related to the aforementioned tender offer which we recognized in the first half of 2009 and a decline in our federal income tax expense of \$11.3 million. Partially offsetting these increases in income was a \$65.7 million decline in segment income. This decrease primarily resulted from an increase in both catastrophe and non-catastrophe weather-related losses, higher operating expenses and a decrease in favorable development of prior years' loss and LAE reserves. Also offsetting the increases was a \$10.1 million net gain on the sale of AMGRO in 2008.

Table of Contents

The following table reflects segment income as determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting standards, and a reconciliation of total segment income to consolidated net income.

| (In millions) | Quarter Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|---------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Segment income before federal income taxes: | | | | |
| Property and Casualty | | | | |
| Personal Lines | \$ 25.6 | \$ 38.6 | \$ 28.7 | \$ 65.7 |
| Commercial Lines | 50.9 | 52.7 | 98.5 | 120.7 |
| Other Property and Casualty | (0.2) | 2.9 | (1.0) | 4.5 |
| Total Property and Casualty | 76.3 | 94.2 | 126.2 | 190.9 |
| Interest expense on corporate debt | (10.5) | (9.9) | (20.9) | (19.9) |
| Total segment income before federal income taxes | 65.8 | 84.3 | 105.3 | 171.0 |
| Federal income tax expense on segment income | (21.8) | (28.8) | (34.9) | (57.9) |
| Net realized investment losses | (3.6) | (7.6) | (9.7) | (7.9) |
| Gain on tender offer | 34.3 | | 34.3 | |
| Other non-operating items | 0.1 | | | |
| Federal income tax expense on non-segment income | (11.7) | | (11.7) | |
| Income from continuing operations, net of taxes | 63.1 | 47.9 | 83.3 | 105.2 |
| Discontinued operations (net of taxes): | | | | |
| Gain (loss) from discontinued FAFLIC business (including estimated loss on assets held-for-sale of \$66.1 in 2008) | 0.9 | (67.7) | 5.9 | (71.2) |
| Gain (loss) from discontinued accident and health business | 0.2 | | (3.1) | |
| Income from operations of AMGRO (including gain on disposal of \$11.1 in 2008) | | 10.4 | | 10.1 |
| Gain (loss) on disposal of variable life insurance and annuity business | 0.2 | (0.8) | 4.1 | 5.4 |
| Other discontinued operations | | | | (1.2) |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 64.4 | \$ (10.2) | \$ 90.2 | \$ 48.3 |

Table of Contents**Property and Casualty Segment Results**

The following is our discussion and analysis of the results of operations by business segment. The segment results are presented before taxes and other items which management believes are not indicative of our core operations, including realized gains and losses.

The following table summarizes the results of operations for the Property and Casualty group for the periods indicated:

| (In millions) | Quarter Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|----------|------------------|------------|
| | June 30, 2009 | 2008 | June 30, 2009 | 2008 |
| Segment revenues | | | | |
| Net premiums written | \$ 663.1 | \$ 640.6 | \$ 1,293.0 | \$ 1,269.1 |
| Net premiums earned | \$ 630.0 | \$ 619.3 | \$ 1,262.0 | \$ 1,237.0 |
| Net investment income | 61.1 | 63.6 | 125.8 | 128.0 |
| Other income | 9.8 | 11.4 | 19.1 | 21.6 |
| Total segment revenues | 700.9 | 694.3 | 1,406.9 | 1,386.6 |
| Losses and operating expenses | | | | |
| Losses and LAE | 393.8 | 385.4 | 822.1 | 765.5 |
| Policy acquisition expenses | 144.8 | 139.0 | 287.9 | 276.4 |
| Other operating expenses | 86.0 | 75.7 | 170.7 | 153.8 |
| Total losses and operating expenses | 624.6 | 600.1 | 1,280.7 | 1,195.7 |
| Segment income | \$ 76.3 | \$ 94.2 | \$ 126.2 | \$ 190.9 |

Quarter Ended June 30, 2009 Compared to Quarter Ended June 30, 2008

The Property and Casualty group's segment income decreased \$17.9 million, or 19.0%, to \$76.3 million, in the second quarter of 2009, compared to \$94.2 million in the second quarter of 2008. This decrease is primarily due to lower current accident year results, higher expenses, and lower net investment income, partially offset by increased favorable development on prior years' loss and LAE reserves and decreased catastrophe losses. Current accident year non-catastrophe results declined by \$16 million, primarily due to approximately \$12 million of incremental weather-related losses and to a moderate increase in large losses in some of our specialty businesses. Underwriting, loss adjustment and other operating expenses increased approximately \$14 million, of which approximately \$7.5 million relates to higher pension costs. Additionally, there was an increase in other employee related costs, increased costs in our specialty lines of business, including as a result of our recent acquisitions and higher technology costs. Partially offsetting these factors was \$10.7 million of reduced catastrophe losses and \$7.5 million of increased favorable development on prior years' loss and LAE reserves.

Table of Contents**Production and Underwriting Results**

The following table summarizes GAAP net premiums written and GAAP loss, LAE, expense and combined ratios for the Personal Lines and Commercial Lines segments. These items are not meaningful for our Other Property and Casualty segment.

| (In millions, except ratios) | Quarter Ended June 30, | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|
| | 2009 GAAP Net Premiums Written | 2009 GAAP Loss Ratios (1)(2) | Catastrophe loss ratios (3) | 2008 GAAP Net Premiums Written | 2008 GAAP Loss Ratios (1)(2) | Catastrophe loss ratios (3) |
| Personal Lines: | | | | | | |
| Personal automobile | \$ 242.3 | 56.7 | 0.6 | \$ 252.3 | 57.1 | 0.7 |
| Homeowners | 118.6 | 73.7 | 16.8 | 110.4 | 62.7 | 20.9 |
| Other personal | 10.9 | 27.8 | 2.1 | 10.8 | 34.0 | 4.0 |
| Total Personal Lines | 371.8 | 61.1 | 5.5 | 373.5 | 58.2 | 6.7 |
| Commercial Lines: | | | | | | |
| Workers compensation | 27.0 | 53.0 | | 29.4 | 42.6 | |
| Commercial automobile | 52.4 | 53.8 | 0.2 | 52.8 | 53.5 | 1.0 |
| Commercial multiple peril | 97.6 | 35.4 | 4.3 | 97.5 | 42.8 | 10.9 |
| Other commercial | 114.1 | 41.1 | 3.3 | 87.2 | 36.5 | 4.0 |
| Total Commercial Lines | 291.1 | 42.7 | 2.8 | 266.9 | 42.9 | 5.4 |
| Total | \$ 662.9 | 53.3 | 4.3 | \$ 640.4 | 51.7 | 6.2 |

| | 2009 | | GAAP Combined Ratio (4) | 2008 | | GAAP Combined Ratio (4) |
|------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | GAAP LAE Ratio | GAAP Expense Ratio | | GAAP LAE Ratio | GAAP Expense Ratio | |
| Personal Lines | 10.2 | 28.6 | 99.9 | 11.1 | 28.2 | 97.5 |
| Commercial Lines | 7.7 | 41.4 | 91.8 | 9.5 | 39.0 | 91.4 |
| Total | 9.2 | 33.9 | 96.4 | 10.5 | 32.6 | 94.8 |

- (1) GAAP loss ratio is a common industry measurement of the results of property and casualty insurance underwriting. This ratio reflects incurred claims compared to premiums earned. Our GAAP loss ratios include catastrophe losses.
- (2) Includes policyholders' dividends.
- (3) Catastrophe loss ratio reflects incurred catastrophe claims compared to premiums earned.
- (4) GAAP combined ratio is a common industry measurement of the results of property and casualty insurance underwriting. This ratio is the sum of incurred claims, claim expenses and underwriting expenses incurred to premiums earned. Our GAAP combined ratios also include the impact of catastrophes. Federal income taxes, net investment income and other non-underwriting expenses are not reflected in the GAAP combined ratio.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes GAAP underwriting results for the Personal Lines, Commercial Lines and Other Property and Casualty segments and reconciles it to GAAP segment income.

| | Quarter Ended June 30, | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------|----------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| | 2009 | | | | 2008 | | | |
| | Personal Lines | Commercial Lines | Other Property and Casualty | Total | Personal Lines | Commercial Lines | Other Property and Casualty | Total |
| GAAP underwriting (loss) profit, excluding prior year reserve development and catastrophes | \$ (3.4) | \$ 4.4 | \$ 0.4 | \$ 1.4 | \$ 10.0 | \$ 17.9 | \$ (0.2) | \$ 27.7 |
| Prior year reserve development favorable (unfavorable) | 20.7 | 23.6 | (0.1) | 44.2 | 20.5 | 16.3 | 1.5 | 38.3 |
| Pretax catastrophe effect | (20.1) | (7.3) | | (27.4) | (24.6) | (13.5) | | (38.1) |
| GAAP underwriting (loss) profit | (2.8) | 20.7 | 0.3 | 18.2 | 5.9 | 20.7 | 1.3 | 27.9 |
| Net investment income | 26.0 | 29.7 | 5.4 | 61.1 | 29.3 | 30.5 | 3.8 | 63.6 |
| Fees and other income | 3.6 | 4.8 | 1.4 | 9.8 | 4.6 | 5.2 | 1.6 | 11.4 |
| Other operating expenses | (1.2) | (4.3) | (7.3) | (12.8) | (1.2) | (3.7) | (3.8) | (8.7) |
| Segment income (loss) | \$ 25.6 | \$ 50.9 | \$ (0.2) | \$ 76.3 | \$ 38.6 | \$ 52.7 | \$ 2.9 | \$ 94.2 |

Personal Lines

Personal Lines net premiums written decreased \$1.7 million, or 0.5%, to \$371.8 million for the second quarter of 2009. The most significant factors contributing to lower net premiums written were a decrease in average premium size driven by improvements in our mix of business. Additionally, increased reinsurance costs and a decrease in premium from the Massachusetts Commonwealth Automobile Reinsurers (CAR) facility contributed to the decrease in net premiums written. These decreases were partially offset by increases in net premiums written in our targeted growth states.

Policies in force in the personal automobile line of business decreased 1.0% at the end of the second quarter of 2009 compared to the second quarter of 2008, primarily driven by our efforts to improve our mix of business.

Policies in force in the homeowners line of business increased 3.3% at the end of the second quarter of 2009, compared to the second quarter of 2008, primarily driven by increases across all of our states due to our account rounding initiatives, partially offset by a decrease in policies in force in Florida, where throughout 2008 we non-renewed all homeowners policies.

Personal Lines underwriting income decreased \$8.7 million, to a loss of \$2.8 million, in the second quarter of 2009, compared to a profit of \$5.9 million in the second quarter of 2008. This decrease was primarily due to an increase of approximately \$10 million in incremental non-catastrophe weather-related losses. These weather-related losses were primarily caused by the severe storms experienced in the Midwest and Northeast. In addition to the effects of weather-related activity, earnings were affected by approximately \$3 million of increased expenses, primarily due to higher litigation, technology, employee and employee benefit costs. Catastrophe losses decreased \$4.5 million during the quarter, to \$20.1 million, from \$24.6 million in the previous year. Favorable development on prior years loss and LAE reserves increased \$0.2 million, to \$20.7 million in the second quarter of 2009, from \$20.5 million in the same period of 2008.

Our ability to maintain and increase Personal Lines net written premium and to maintain and improve underwriting results is expected to be affected by increasing price competition, regulatory actions and the difficult economic conditions, particularly in Michigan, which is our largest state.

Table of Contents

New business generally experiences higher loss ratios than our other business, and is more difficult to predict. We have experienced loss ratios with our *Connections Auto* business, which are higher than expected, particularly in states in which we have less experience and data. Our ability to maintain or increase earnings and continue to grow could be adversely affected should the loss ratios for new business prove to be higher than our pricing and profitability expectations, or if required adjustments to enhance risk segmentation and related agency management actions result in making our products less price competitive.

It is difficult to predict the impact that the current recessionary environment will have on our Personal Lines business. Our ability to increase pricing may be impacted as agents and consumers may become more price sensitive, customers may shop for policies more frequently or aggressively, utilize comparative rating models or turn to direct sales channels rather than independent agents. Additionally, new business premiums, retention levels and renewal premiums may decrease as policyholders reduce coverages or change deductibles to reduce premiums, home values decline, foreclosures increase and policyholders retain older or less expensive automobiles and purchase or insure fewer ancillary items such as boats, trailers and motor homes for which we provide coverages. Additionally, claims frequency could increase as policyholders submit and pursue claims more aggressively than in the past, fraud incidences may increase, or we may experience higher incidents of abandoned properties or poorer maintenance which may also result in more claims activity. Our Personal Lines segment could also be affected by an ensuing consolidation of independent insurance agencies.

In addition, as discussed under *Contingencies and Regulatory Matters* *Other Regulatory Matters*, certain states have taken, and others may take, actions which significantly affect the property and casualty insurance market, including ordering rate reductions for personal automobile and homeowners insurance products and subjecting insurance companies that do business in that state to onerous underwriting or other restrictions and potentially significant assessments. Such state actions or our responses thereto could have a significant impact on our underwriting margins and growth prospects, as well as our ability to manage exposures to hurricane or other high risk losses.

Notwithstanding these concerns, we believe that our agency distribution strategy, the strength of our market share in key states, our account rounding strategy, the relatively inelastic demand for insurance products and our capital position, place us in a good position to manage these issues and concerns relative to many of our peer competitors.

Commercial Lines

Commercial Lines net premiums written increased \$24.2 million, or 9.1%, to \$291.1 million for the second quarter of 2009. This increase was driven by growth in our specialty businesses, including AIX, which accounted for \$25.8 million, Hanover Professionals and various niche and segmented businesses. Improved rate also contributed to the overall growth in net premiums written. This growth was partially offset by lower renewal premium in our core business, primarily due to lower retention and increased reinsurance costs. We believe that the improved rate and lower retention in our core business is reflective of an improving mix in our core business lines.

Commercial Lines underwriting income was \$20.7 million in both the second quarter of 2009 and 2008. Catastrophe losses decreased \$6.2 million in the current quarter, to \$7.3 million, from \$13.5 million in the prior year. Excluding the impact of catastrophes, our underwriting income would have decreased by approximately \$6 million. This decrease was primarily due to higher underwriting expenses of approximately \$8 million, principally attributable to increased employee and employee benefit costs and increased costs in our specialty businesses, including our recently acquired subsidiaries. Also contributing to the decrease were less favorable current accident year results of approximately \$5 million, primarily attributable to a moderate increase in large losses in some of our specialty businesses. Partially offsetting these decreases was \$7.3 million of increased favorable development on prior years' loss and LAE reserves.

We continue to experience significant price competition in all lines of business in our Commercial Lines segment. The industry is also generally experiencing overall rate decreases. Our ability to increase Commercial Lines net premiums written while maintaining or improving underwriting results is expected to be affected by price competition and the difficult economic conditions.

It is difficult to predict the impact of the current economic environment on our Commercial Lines segment, but businesses may become more price sensitive. We may also experience decreased new business levels, retention and renewal rates and renewal premiums. The overall decline in the economy is likely to result in reductions in demand for insurance products and services as more companies cease to do business and there are fewer business start-ups, particularly as small businesses are affected by a decline in overall consumer and business spending.

Table of Contents

In addition, businesses may seek to reduce or eliminate coverages to reduce costs and there will likely be a reduction in payroll levels, which would reduce workers' compensation premiums and may result in an increase in workers' compensation claims. Our Commercial Lines segment could also be affected by an ensuing consolidation of independent insurance agencies.

Notwithstanding these concerns, we believe that our agency distribution strategy, our broad product offerings, the strength of our growing specialty businesses, disruptions in the marketplace which may result in improved pricing, the relatively inelastic demand for insurance products and our capital position, place us in a good position to manage these issues and concerns relative to many of our peer competitors.

Other Property and Casualty

Segment income of the Other Property and Casualty segment decreased \$3.1 million, to a loss of \$0.2 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2009, from a profit of \$2.9 million in the same period of 2008. The decrease is primarily due to higher pension costs retained from our discontinued life business.

Investment Results

Net investment income before taxes decreased \$2.5 million, or 3.9%, to \$61.1 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2009. This decrease was primarily due to the impact of defaulted bonds, primarily occurring in the second half of 2008, as well as lower partnership income and lower new money yields. These decreases were partially offset by prepayment fees and an increase in investment income related to our recently acquired subsidiaries. The average pre-tax yield on fixed maturities was 5.4% for the second quarter of 2009 and 5.5% for the second quarter of 2008.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2008

The Property and Casualty group's segment income decreased \$64.7 million, or 33.9%, to \$126.2 million, for the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to \$190.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008. This decrease was primarily due to an increase of approximately \$38 million in incremental weather-related losses, \$7.4 million of which are categorized as catastrophe-related and approximately \$31 million of which are categorized as non-catastrophe-related. These weather-related losses were primarily caused by the severe winter storms experienced in the Midwest and Northeast. Favorable development on prior years' loss and LAE reserves decreased \$8.5 million, to \$85.4 million in the first six months of 2009, from \$93.9 million in the same period of 2008, and includes approximately \$12 million of the aforementioned weather-related activity for storms occurring in December 2008. In addition to the effects of weather-related activity, earnings decreased approximately \$27 million. This decrease is primarily due to approximately \$24 million of higher operating expenses primarily due to increased employee and employee benefit costs and increased costs in our specialty business, including our recently acquired subsidiaries. Additionally, net investment income declined by \$2.2 million.

Table of Contents**Production and Underwriting Results**

The following table summarizes GAAP net premiums written and GAAP loss, LAE, expense and combined ratios for the Personal Lines and Commercial Lines segments. These items are not meaningful for our Other Property and Casualty segment.

| (In millions, except ratios) | Six Months Ended June 30, | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | 2009 | | | 2008 | | |
| | GAAP Net Premiums Written | GAAP Loss Ratios (1)(2) | Catastrophe loss ratios (3) | GAAP Net Premiums Written | GAAP Loss Ratios (1)(2) | Catastrophe loss ratios (3) |
| Personal Lines: | | | | | | |
| Personal automobile | \$ 491.5 | 59.2 | 0.5 | \$ 511.6 | 58.4 | 0.5 |
| Homeowners | 208.3 | 77.7 | 19.8 | 193.7 | 64.2 | 14.9 |
| Other personal | 19.2 | 30.1 | 2.0 | 19.9 | 33.3 | 5.6 |
| Total Personal Lines | 719.0 | 63.9 | 6.3 | 725.2 | 59.4 | 4.8 |
| Commercial Lines: | | | | | | |
| Workers compensation | 60.5 | 48.4 | | 67.6 | 40.7 | |
| Commercial automobile | 100.4 | 50.9 | 0.3 | 106.3 | 47.6 | 0.5 |
| Commercial multiple peril | 190.7 | 49.8 | 7.7 | 192.1 | 39.8 | 9.2 |
| Other commercial | 222.2 | 35.9 | 2.2 | 177.7 | 33.1 | 3.0 |
| Total Commercial Lines | 573.8 | 44.6 | 3.5 | 543.7 | 39.8 | 4.4 |
| Total | \$ 1,292.8 | 55.7 | 5.1 | \$ 1,268.9 | 51.3 | 4.6 |

| | 2009 | | | 2008 | | |
|------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | GAAP LAE Ratio | GAAP Expense Ratio | GAAP Combined Ratio (4) | GAAP LAE Ratio | GAAP Expense Ratio | GAAP Combined Ratio (4) |
| Personal Lines | 10.9 | 28.3 | 103.1 | 11.2 | 28.4 | 99.0 |
| Commercial Lines | 7.3 | 41.0 | 92.9 | 9.6 | 39.4 | 88.3 |
| Total | 9.4 | 33.7 | 98.8 | 10.6 | 32.8 | 94.7 |

- (1) GAAP loss ratio is a common industry measurement of the results of property and casualty insurance underwriting. This ratio reflects incurred claims compared to premiums earned. Our GAAP loss ratios include catastrophe losses.
- (2) Includes policyholders' dividends.
- (3) Catastrophe loss ratio reflects incurred catastrophe claims compared to premiums earned.
- (4) GAAP combined ratio is a common industry measurement of the results of property and casualty insurance underwriting. This ratio is the sum of incurred claims, claim expenses and underwriting expenses incurred to premiums earned. Our GAAP combined ratios also include the impact of catastrophes. Federal income taxes, net investment income and other non-underwriting expenses are not reflected in the GAAP combined ratio.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes GAAP underwriting results for the Personal Lines, Commercial Lines and Other Property and Casualty segments and reconciles it to GAAP segment income.

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|----------------|------------------|-----------------------------|----------|
| | 2009 | | | 2008 | | | | |
| | Personal Lines | Commercial Lines | Other Property and Casualty | Total | Personal Lines | Commercial Lines | Other Property and Casualty | Total |
| GAAP underwriting (loss) profit, excluding prior year reserve development and catastrophes | \$ (12.0) | \$ (1.9) | \$ 0.4 | \$ (13.5) | \$ 3.8 | \$ 17.7 | \$ (0.2) | \$ 21.3 |
| Prior year reserve development favorable (unfavorable) | 28.8 | 56.7 | (0.1) | 85.4 | 32.5 | 60.9 | 0.5 | 93.9 |
| Pretax catastrophe effect | (46.1) | (18.7) | | (64.8) | (35.6) | (21.8) | | (57.4) |
| GAAP underwriting (loss) profit | (29.3) | 36.1 | 0.3 | 7.1 | 0.7 | 56.8 | 0.3 | 57.8 |
| Net investment income | 53.6 | 61.3 | 10.9 | 125.8 | 59.0 | 61.4 | 7.6 | 128.0 |
| Fees and other income | 7.1 | 9.2 | 2.8 | 19.1 | 8.8 | 9.5 | 3.3 | 21.6 |
| Other operating expenses | (2.7) | (8.1) | (15.0) | (25.8) | (2.8) | (7.0) | (6.7) | (16.5) |
| Segment income (loss) | \$ 28.7 | \$ 98.5 | \$ (1.0) | \$ 126.2 | \$ 65.7 | \$ 120.7 | \$ 4.5 | \$ 190.9 |

Personal Lines

Personal Lines net premiums written decreased \$6.2 million, or 0.9%, to \$719.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The most significant factors contributing to lower net premiums written were a decrease in average premium size driven by improvements in our mix of business, increased reinsurance costs, a decrease in premium from the CAR facility and a rate decrease of 9.6% effective April 1, 2008 as a result of the implementation of managed competition in Massachusetts. These decreases were partially offset by increases in net premiums written in our targeted growth states.

Personal Lines underwriting income decreased \$30.0 million, to a loss of \$29.3 million, in the first six months of 2009, compared to a profit of \$0.7 million in the same period in 2008. This increased loss was primarily due to an increase of approximately \$26 million in incremental weather-related losses, approximately \$10 million of which are categorized as catastrophe-related and approximately \$16 million of which are categorized as non-catastrophe-related. These weather-related losses were primarily caused by the severe winter storms experienced in the Midwest and Northeast. Favorable development on prior years loss and LAE reserves decreased \$3.7 million, to \$28.8 million for the first six months of 2009, from \$32.5 million in the same period of 2008, of which approximately \$6 million was primarily due to, and reflected in the aforementioned weather-related activity for storms occurring in December 2008. In addition to the effects of weather-related activity, earnings decreased by approximately \$4 million. This decrease is primarily due to approximately \$3 million of higher operating expenses, principally attributable to increased employee and employee benefit costs.

Commercial Lines

Commercial Lines net premiums written increased \$30.1 million, or 5.5%, to \$573.8 million for the first six months of 2009. This increase was driven by growth in our specialty businesses, including AIX, which accounted for \$46.8 million, Hanover Professionals and various niche and segmented businesses. Improved rate also contributed to overall growth in net premiums written. This growth was partially offset by the non-recurring increase in net premiums written of \$9.4 million in the first half of 2008 resulting from the termination, in 2008, of our umbrella excess of loss reinsurance treaty. Additionally, growth was partially offset by lower renewal premium in our core business, primarily due to lower retention, and increased reinsurance costs. We believe that the improved rate and lower retention in our core business is reflective of an improving mix in our core business lines.

Commercial Lines underwriting income decreased \$20.7 million, to \$36.1 million, in the first six months of 2009, compared to \$56.8 million in the same period of 2008. This decrease is primarily due to an increase of approximately \$12 million in incremental weather-related losses, including approximately \$15 million of which are categorized as non-catastrophe-related, partially offset by a decrease of \$3.1 million of which are categorized as catastrophe-related. These weather-related losses were primarily caused by the severe winter storms experienced in the Midwest and Northeast. Favorable development on prior years loss and LAE reserves decreased \$4.2 million, to \$56.7 million in the first six

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

months of 2009, from \$60.9 million in the same period of 2008, of which approximately \$6 million is reflected in the aforementioned weather-related activity for storms occurring in December 2008. In addition to the effect of weather-related activity, earnings decreased by approximately \$9 million. This decrease is primarily due to approximately \$12 million of higher underwriting expenses principally attributable to increased costs in our specialty businesses, including our recently acquired subsidiaries, and increased employee benefit costs. Partially offsetting these decreases was approximately \$3 million of increased favorable non-weather-related development on prior years' loss and LAE reserves.

Table of Contents

Other Property and Casualty

Segment income of the Other Property and Casualty segment decreased \$5.5 million, to a loss of \$1.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009, from a profit of \$4.5 million in the same period of 2008. The decrease is primarily due to higher pension costs retained from our discontinued life business.

Investment Results

Net investment income before taxes decreased \$2.2 million, or 1.7%, to \$125.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 due to lower partnership income, the impact of defaulted bonds, primarily occurring in the second half of 2008, and from a decline in new money yields. These decreases were partially offset by prepayment fees and an increase in investment income related to our recently acquired subsidiaries. The average pre-tax yield on fixed maturities was 5.6% for the first six months of 2009 and 2008.

Reserve for Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses

Overview of Loss Reserve Estimation Process

We maintain reserves for our property and casualty products to provide for our ultimate liability for losses and loss adjustment expenses (our loss reserves) with respect to reported and unreported claims incurred as of the end of each accounting period. These reserves are estimates, taking into account past loss experience modified for current trends as well as prevailing economic, legal and social conditions. Loss reserves represent our largest liability.

Our loss reserves include case estimates for claims that have been reported and estimates for claims that have been incurred but not reported (IBNR) at the balance sheet date, as well as estimates of the expenses associated with processing and settling all reported and unreported claims, less estimates of anticipated salvage and subrogation recoveries. Our loss reserves are not discounted to present value.

Case reserves are established by our claim personnel individually on a claim by claim basis and based on information specific to the occurrence and terms of the underlying policy. For some classes of business, average case reserves are used initially. Case reserves are periodically reviewed and modified based on new or additional information pertaining to the claim.

IBNR reserves are estimated by management and our reserving actuaries on an aggregate basis for each line of business, coverage and accident year for all loss and loss expense liabilities not reflected within the case reserves. The sum of the case reserves and the IBNR reserves represents our estimate of total unpaid loss and loss adjustment expense.

We regularly review our loss reserves using a variety of actuarial techniques. We update the reserve estimates as historical loss experience develops, additional claims are reported and resolved and new information becomes available. Any changes in estimates are reflected in operating results in the period in which the estimates are changed.

IBNR reserve estimates are generally calculated by first projecting the ultimate cost of all claims that have occurred or are expected to occur in the future in aggregate and then subtracting reported losses and loss expenses. Reported losses include cumulative paid losses and loss expenses plus case reserves. The IBNR reserve includes a provision for claims that have occurred but have not yet been reported to us, some of which may not yet be known to the insured, as well as a provision for future development on reported claims. IBNR represents a significant proportion of our total net loss reserves, particularly for long tail liability classes. In fact, more than 52% of our aggregate net loss reserves at June 30, 2009 were for IBNR losses and loss expenses.

Management's process for establishing loss reserves is primarily based on the results of our reserving actuaries quarterly reserving process; however, there are a number of other factors in addition to the actuarial point estimates as further described under the section below entitled Loss and LAE Reserves by Line of Business. In establishing our loss reserves, we consider facts currently known and the present state of the law and coverage litigation. Based on all information currently available, we believe that the aggregate loss reserves at June 30, 2009 were adequate to cover claims for losses that had occurred as of that date, including both those known to us and those yet to be reported. However, as described below, there are significant uncertainties inherent in the loss reserving process. It is therefore possible that management's estimate of the ultimate liability for losses that had occurred as of June 30, 2009 may change, which could have a material effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Table of Contents

Management's Review of Judgments, Uncertainties and Key Assumptions

We determine the amount of our loss reserves based on an estimation process that is very complex and uses information from both company specific and industry data, as well as general economic information. The estimation process is a combination of objective and subjective information, the blending of which requires significant actuarial and business judgment. There are various assumptions required including future trends in frequency and severity of claims, trends in total loss costs, operational changes in claim handling processes, and trends related to general economic and social conditions. As a result, informed subjective estimates and judgments as to our ultimate exposure to losses are an integral component of our loss reserving process.

Given the inherent complexity of our loss reserving process and the potential variability of the assumptions used, the actual emergence of losses could vary, perhaps substantially, from the estimate of losses included in our financial statements, particularly in those instances where settlements do not occur until well into the future. Our net loss reserves at June 30, 2009 were \$2.1 billion. Therefore, a relatively small percentage change in the estimate of net loss reserves would have a material effect on our results of operations.

There is greater inherent uncertainty in estimating insurance reserves for certain types of property and casualty insurance lines, particularly workers' compensation and other liability lines, where a longer period of time may elapse before a definitive determination of ultimate liability and losses may be made. In addition, the technological, judicial, regulatory and political climates involving these types of claims change regularly. There is also greater uncertainty in establishing reserves with respect to new business, particularly new business which is generated with respect to newly introduced product lines, by newly appointed agents or in geographies in which we have less experience in conducting business, such as the program business written by our recently acquired AIX subsidiary. In such cases, there is less historical experience or knowledge and less data upon which the actuaries can rely. Historically, we have limited the issuance of long-tailed other liability policies, including directors and officers (D&O) liability, errors and omissions (E&O) liability and medical malpractice liability. With the acquisition of Hanover Professionals in 2007, which writes lawyers professional errors and omissions coverage, and the introduction of new specialty coverages, we are modestly increasing and expect to continue to increase our exposure to longer-tailed liability lines, including D&O coverages.

We regularly update our reserve estimates as new information becomes available and further events occur which may impact the resolution of unsettled claims. Reserve adjustments are reflected in the results of operations as adjustments to losses and LAE. Often, these adjustments are recognized in periods subsequent to the period in which the underlying policy was written and the loss event occurred. These types of subsequent adjustments are described separately as prior year reserve development. Such development can be either favorable or unfavorable to our financial results and may vary by line of business.

Inflation generally increases the cost of losses covered by insurance contracts. The effect of inflation varies by product. Our property and casualty insurance premiums are established before the amount of losses and LAE and the extent to which inflation may affect such expenses are known. Consequently, we attempt, in establishing rates and reserves, to anticipate the potential impact of inflation and increasing medical costs in the projection of ultimate costs. We have experienced increasing medical and attendant care costs, including those associated with personal automobile personal injury protection claims, particularly in Michigan, as well as in our workers' compensation line in most states. This increase is reflected in our reserve estimates, but continued increases could contribute to increased losses and LAE in the future.

We regularly review our reserving techniques, our overall reserving position and our reinsurance. Based on (i) our review of historical data, legislative enactments, judicial decisions, legal developments in impositions of damages and policy coverage, political attitudes and trends in general economic conditions, (ii) our review of per claim information, (iii) our historical loss experience and that of the industry, (iv) the relatively short-term nature of most policies written by us, and (v) our internal estimates of required reserves, we believe that adequate provision has been made for loss reserves. However, establishment of appropriate reserves is an inherently uncertain process and there can be no certainty that current established reserves will prove adequate in light of subsequent actual experience. A significant change to the estimated reserves could have a material impact on our results of operations and financial position. An increase or decrease in reserve estimates would result in a corresponding decrease or increase in financial results. For example, each one percentage point change in the aggregate loss and LAE ratio resulting from a change in reserve estimation is currently projected to have an approximate \$25 million impact on property and casualty segment income, based on 2008 full year premiums.

Table of Contents

As discussed below, estimated loss and LAE reserves for claims occurring in prior years developed favorably by \$85.4 million and \$93.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, which represents 4.0% and 4.3% of net loss reserves held, respectively.

The major causes of material uncertainty relating to ultimate losses and loss adjustment expenses (risk factors) generally vary for each line of business, as well as for each separately analyzed component of the line of business. In some cases, such risk factors are explicit assumptions of the estimation method and in others, they are implicit. For example, a method may explicitly assume that a certain percentage of claims will close each year, but will implicitly assume that the legal interpretation of existing contract language will remain unchanged. Actual results will likely vary from expectations for each of these assumptions, resulting in an ultimate claim liability that is different from that being estimated currently.

Some risk factors will affect more than one line of business. Examples include changes in claim department practices, changes in settlement patterns, regulatory and legislative actions, court actions, timeliness of claim reporting, state mix of claimants, and degree of claimant fraud. Additionally, there is also a higher degree of uncertainty due to growth in our newly acquired companies, for which we have limited historical claims experience. The extent of the impact of a risk factor will also vary by components within a line of business. Individual risk factors are also subject to interactions with other risk factors within line of business components. Thus, risk factors can have offsetting or compounding effects on required reserves.

We are also defendants in various litigation, including putative class actions, which claim punitive damages or claim a broader scope of policy coverage than our interpretation, particularly in connection with losses incurred from Hurricane Katrina. The reserves established with respect to Hurricane Katrina assume that we will prevail with respect to these matters (See also Contingencies and Regulatory Matters). Although we believe our current Hurricane Katrina reserves are adequate, there can be no assurance that our ultimate costs associated with this event will not substantially exceed these estimates. We have fully utilized all of our available reinsurance with respect to losses and LAE related to Hurricane Katrina.

Loss and LAE Reserves by Line of Business

Reserves Other than those Relating to Asbestos and Environmental Claims

Our loss reserves include amounts related to short tail and long tail classes of business. Tail refers to the time period between the occurrence of a loss and the settlement of the claim. The longer the time span between the incidence of a loss and the settlement of the claim, the more the ultimate settlement amount can vary.

Short tail classes consist principally of automobile physical damage, homeowners, commercial property and marine business. For these coverages, claims are generally reported and settled shortly after the loss occurs because the claims relate to tangible property and are more likely to be discovered shortly after the loss occurs. Consequently, the estimation of loss reserves for these classes is less complex.

While 58% of our written premium is in short tailed classes of business, most of our loss reserves relate to longer tail liability classes of business. Long tail classes include commercial liability, automobile liability, workers compensation and other types of third party coverage. For many liability claims, significant periods of time, ranging up to several years or more, may elapse between the occurrence of the loss, the discovery and reporting of the loss to us and the settlement of the claim. As a result, loss experience in the more recent accident years for the long tail liability coverage has limited statistical credibility because a relatively small proportion of losses in these accident years are reported claims and an even smaller proportion are paid losses. An accident year is the calendar year in which a loss is incurred. Liability claims are also more susceptible to litigation and can be significantly affected by changing contract interpretations, the legal environment and the expense of protracted litigation. Consequently, the estimation of loss reserves for these coverages is more complex and typically subject to a higher degree of variability compared to short tail coverages.

Most of our indirect business from voluntary and involuntary pools is long tail casualty reinsurance. Reserve estimates for this business are therefore subject to the variability caused by extended loss emergence periods. The estimation of loss reserves for this business is further complicated by delays between the time the claim is reported to the ceding insurer and when it is reported by the ceding insurer to us and by our dependence on the quality and consistency of the loss reporting by the ceding company.

Table of Contents

Our reserving actuaries, who are independent of the business units, perform a comprehensive review of loss reserves for each of the numerous classes of business we write at the end of each quarter. This review process takes into consideration a variety of trends that impact the ultimate settlement of claims, including the emergence of paid and reported losses relative to expectations.

The loss reserve estimation process relies on the basic assumption that past experience, adjusted for the effects of current developments and likely trends, is an appropriate basis for predicting future outcomes. As part of this process, our actuaries use a variety of actuarial methods that analyze experience, trends and other relevant factors. The principal standard actuarial methods used by our actuaries in the loss reserve reviews include loss development factor methods, expected loss methods (Bornheutter-Ferguson), and adjusted loss methods (Berquist-Sherman).

Loss development factor methods generally assume that the losses yet to emerge for an accident year are proportional to the paid or reported loss amount observed so far. Historical patterns of the development of paid and reported losses by accident year can be predictive of the expected future patterns that are applied to current paid and reported losses to generate estimated ultimate losses by accident year.

Bornheutter-Ferguson methods calculate IBNR directly for each accident year as the product of expected ultimate losses times the proportion of ultimate losses estimated to be unreported or unpaid (obtained from the loss development factor methods). Expected ultimate losses are determined by multiplying the expected loss ratio times earned premium. The expected loss ratio uses loss ratios from prior accident years adjusted to reflect current revenue and cost levels. The expected loss ratio is a critical component of Bornheutter-Ferguson and provides a general reasonability guide for all reserving methods.

Berquist-Sherman methods are used in cases where historical development patterns may be deemed less optimal for use in estimating ultimate losses of recent accident years. Under these methods, patterns of historical paid or reported losses are first adjusted to reflect current payment settlement patterns and case reserve adequacy and then evaluated in the same manner as the paid or reported loss development factor methods described above. The reported loss development factor method can be less appropriate when the adequacy of case reserves suddenly changes, while the paid loss development factor method can likewise be less appropriate when settlement patterns suddenly change.

For some low volume and high volatility classes of business, special reserving techniques are utilized that estimate IBNR selecting the loss ratio that balances actual reported losses to expected reported losses as defined by the estimated underlying reporting pattern.

In completing their loss reserve analysis, our actuaries are required to determine the most appropriate actuarial methods to employ for each line of business, coverage and accident year. Each estimation method has its own pattern, parameter and/or judgmental dependencies, with no estimation method being better than the others in all situations. The relative strengths and weaknesses of the various estimation methods when applied to a particular class of business can also change over time, depending on the underlying circumstances. In many cases, multiple estimation methods will be valid for the particular facts and circumstances of the relevant class of business. The manner of application and the degree of reliance on a given method will vary by line of business and coverage, and by accident year based on our actuaries' evaluation of the above dependencies and the potential volatility of the loss frequency and severity patterns. The estimation methods selected or given weight by our actuaries at a particular valuation date are those that are believed to produce the most reliable indication for the loss reserves being evaluated. Selections incorporate input from claims personnel, pricing actuaries, and underwriting management on loss cost trends and other factors that could affect ultimate losses.

For short tail classes, the emergence of paid and incurred losses generally exhibits a reasonably stable pattern of loss development from one accident year to the next. Thus, for these classes in the vast majority of cases, the loss development factor method is generally appropriate. In certain cases where there is a relatively low level of reliability placed on the available paid and incurred loss data, expected loss methods or adjusted loss methods are considered appropriate for the most recent accident year.

Table of Contents

For long tail lines of business, applying the loss development factor method often requires more judgment in selecting development factors as well as more significant extrapolation. For those long tail lines of business with high frequency and relatively low per-loss severity (e.g., personal automobile liability), volatility will often be sufficiently modest for the loss development factor method to be given significant weight, even in the most recent accident years, but expected loss methods and adjusted loss methods are always considered and frequently utilized in the selection process. For those long tail lines of business with low frequency and high loss potential (e.g., commercial liability), anticipated loss experience is less predictable because of the small number of claims and erratic claim severity patterns. In these situations, the loss development factor methods may not produce a reliable estimate of ultimate losses in the most recent accident years since many claims either have not yet been reported to us or are only in the early stages of the settlement process. Therefore, the actuarial estimates for these accident years are based on methods less reliant on extrapolation, such as Bornheutter-Ferguson. Over time, as a greater number of claims are reported and the statistical credibility of loss experience increases, loss development factor methods or adjusted loss methods are given increasingly more weight.

Using all the available data, our actuaries select an indicated loss reserve amount for each line of business and coverage based on the various assumptions, projections and methods. The total indicated reserve amount determined by our actuaries is an aggregate of the indicated reserve amounts for the individual classes of business. The ultimate outcome is likely to fall within a range of potential outcomes around this indicated amount, but the indicated amount is not expected to be precisely the ultimate liability.

As stated above, numerous factors (both internal and external) contribute to the inherent uncertainty in the process of establishing loss reserves, including changes in the rate of inflation for goods and services related to insured damages (e.g., medical care, home repairs, etc.), changes in the judicial interpretation of policy provisions, changes in the general attitude of juries in determination of damages, legislative actions, changes in the extent of insured injuries, changes in the trend of expected frequency and/or severity of claims, changes in our book of business (e.g., change in mix due to new product offerings, new geographic areas, etc.), changes in our underwriting norms, and changes in claim handling procedures and/or systems. Regarding our indirect business from voluntary and involuntary pools, we are provided loss estimates by managers of each pool. We adopt reserve estimates for the pools that consider this information and other facts.

In addition, we must consider the uncertain effects of emerging or potential claims and coverage issues that arise as legal, judicial and social conditions change. These issues could have a negative effect on our loss reserves by either extending coverage beyond the original underwriting intent or by increasing the number or size of claims. As a result of these potential issues, the uncertainties inherent in estimating ultimate claim costs on the basis of past experience have further complicated the already complex loss reserving process. For example, in the state of Michigan, tort compensation for non-economic damages (for example, pain and suffering) caused by ownership or use of a motor vehicle is limited by statute to circumstances where the injured person suffered death, serious impairment of body function or permanent serious disfigurement. The application of this statute has been defined by the Supreme Court of Michigan in the so-called Kreiner decision. Accordingly, we establish our loss picks and claim, IBNR and loss adjustment expense reserves based upon our understanding of the current state of the law. There have been and currently are efforts to change the controlling statute or judicial interpretation in ways which would expand an injured person's right to sue for non-economic damages. If implemented, such changes may not only impact future claims, but also past claims which are not settled and therefore an unfavorable adjustment to existing loss reserves would likely be required.

As part of our loss reserving analysis, we take into consideration the various factors that contribute to the uncertainty in the loss reserving process. Those factors that could materially affect our loss reserve estimates include loss development patterns and loss cost trends, rate and exposure level changes, the effects of changes in coverage and policy limits, business mix shifts, the effects of regulatory and legislative developments, the effects of changes in judicial interpretations, the effects of emerging claims and coverage issues and the effects of changes in claim handling practices. In making estimates of reserves, however, we do not necessarily make an explicit assumption for each of these factors. Moreover, all estimation methods do not utilize the same assumptions and typically no single method is determinative in the reserve analysis for a line of business and coverage. Consequently, changes in our loss reserve estimates generally are not the result of changes in any one assumption. Instead, the variability will be affected by the interplay of changes in numerous assumptions, many of which are implicit to the approaches used.

For each line of business and coverage, we regularly adjust the assumptions and actuarial methods used in the estimation of loss reserves in response to our actual loss experience as well as our judgments regarding changes in trends and/or emerging patterns. In those instances where we primarily utilize analyses of historical patterns of the development of paid and reported losses, this may be reflected, for example, in the selection of revised loss development factors. In those long tail classes of business that comprise a majority of our loss reserves and for which loss experience is less predictable due to potential changes in judicial interpretations, potential legislative actions and potential claims issues, this may be reflected in a judgmental change in our estimate of ultimate losses for particular accident years.

Table of Contents

The future impact of the various factors that contribute to the uncertainty in the loss reserving process is extremely difficult to predict. There is potential for significant variation in the development of loss reserves, particularly for long tail classes of business. We do not derive statistical loss distributions or confidence levels around our loss reserve estimate, and as a result, do not have reserve range estimates to disclose. Actuarial ranges of reasonable estimates are not a true reflection of the potential volatility between carried loss reserves and the ultimate settlement amount of losses incurred prior to the balance sheet date. This is due, among other reasons, to the fact that actuarial ranges are developed based on known events as of the valuation date whereas the ultimate disposition of losses is subject to the outcome of events and circumstances that were unknown as of the valuation date.

The following tables and related discussion includes disclosure of possible variation from current actuarial estimates of loss reserves due to a change in certain key assumptions for the primary long tail coverages within our major lines of business which typically represent the areas of greatest uncertainty in our reserving process. We believe that the estimated variation in reserves detailed below is a reasonable estimate of the possible variation that may occur in the future, and are provided to illustrate the relationship between claim reporting patterns and expected loss ratios, respectively, on actuarial loss reserve estimates for the lines identified. However, if such variation did occur, it would likely occur over a period of several years and therefore its impact on our results of operations would be spread over the same period. It is important to note, however, that there is the potential for future variation greater than the amounts discussed below and for any such variations to be recognized in a single quarterly or annual period.

As noted, the tables below illustrate the relationship between the impact on our actuarial loss reserve estimates of reasonably likely variations to claim reporting patterns and expected actuarial estimates of ultimate loss costs, two key actuarial assumptions used to estimate our net reserves at June 30, 2009, from the assumptions utilized by our actuaries. The five percent changes to our expected loss ratio selections, which incorporate variability in both ultimate frequency and severity, are within the historical variation present in our prior accident year development. The three month change to reporting patterns represent claims reporting that is both faster and slower than our current reporting assumption for paid and reported loss patterns and this degree of change is within the historical variation present in actual reporting patterns. A faster reporting pattern results in lower recorded net loss reserves due to the presumption that a higher proportion of ultimate claims have been reported thus far and, therefore, a lower proportion of ultimate claims needs to be carried as IBNR. A slower reporting pattern results in higher recorded net loss reserves due to the presumption that a lower proportion of ultimate claims have been reported thus far and, therefore, a higher proportion of ultimate claims needs to be carried as IBNR.

The results show the cumulative dollar difference between our current actuarial estimate and the estimate that we would develop if our understanding with respect to loss reporting patterns and ultimate loss costs were different by three months or five percent, respectively. No consideration has been given to potential correlation or lack of correlation among key assumptions or among lines of business and coverage. As a result, it would be inappropriate to take the amounts described below and add them together in an attempt to estimate volatility in total. While we believe these are reasonably likely scenarios, we do not believe the reader should consider the below sensitivity analysis as an actual reserve range.

| Personal Automobile Bodily Injury (In millions) Reporting Pattern | Expected Dollar Effect on Actuarial Loss Reserve Estimates | | |
|--|--|-----------|-----------|
| | 5% lower | Unchanged | 5% higher |
| 3 months faster | \$ (10) | \$ (7) | \$ (5) |
| Unchanged | (3) | | 3 |
| 3 months slower | 12 | 15 | 18 |

Example: Personal Automobile Bodily Injury - If losses are actually developing and emerging three months slower than we anticipate in our models, our actuarial estimate for this coverage would be understated by \$15 million. If our assumed payment patterns are consistent with our expectations, but the expected loss ratio in our model is 5% too low, then our actuarial estimate for this coverage would be understated by \$3 million.

Table of Contents

| Expected Dollar Effect on Actuarial Loss Reserve Estimates | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Workers Compensation Indemnity (In millions) | Reporting Pattern | Change in Expected Loss Ratio | | |
| | | 5% lower | Unchanged | 5% higher |
| | 3 months faster | \$ (6) | \$ (2) | \$ 3 |
| | Unchanged | (5) | | 5 |
| | 3 months slower | (2) | 3 | 9 |
| Workers Compensation Medical (In millions) | | | | |
| Reporting Pattern | | Change in Expected Loss Ratio | | |
| | | 5% lower | Unchanged | 5% higher |
| | 3 months faster | \$ (4) | \$ (1) | \$ 2 |
| | Unchanged | (3) | | 3 |
| | 3 months slower | (2) | 2 | 5 |
| Commercial Multiple Peril Liability (In millions) | | | | |
| Reporting Pattern | | Changes in Expected Loss Ratio | | |
| | | 5% lower | Unchanged | 5% higher |
| | 3 months faster | \$ (7) | \$ (3) | \$ |
| | Unchanged | (3) | | 3 |
| | 3 months slower | 4 | 7 | 11 |

Senior management meets with our reserving actuaries at the end of each quarter to review the results of the latest actuarial loss reserve analysis. Management's evaluation process to determine our ultimate losses involves the collaboration of our business unit leaders, underwriting, claims, actuarial, legal and finance departments, and includes various quarterly reserve committee meetings, culminating with the approval of single point best estimates by our Chief Financial Officer that reflect but often differ from our actuarial reserve analysis. Based on this quarterly process, management determines the carried reserve for each line of business and coverage. In making the determination, management considers numerous factors, such as changes in actuarial indications in the period, the maturity of the accident year, trends observed over the recent past, the level of volatility within a particular class of business, and general economic trends and other factors not fully captured in the actuarial reserve analysis. In doing so, management must evaluate whether a change in the data represents credible actionable information or an anomaly. Such an assessment requires considerable judgment. Even if a change is determined to be apparent, it is not always possible to determine the extent of the change if it is not yet actionable. As a result, there can be a time lag between the emergence of a change and a determination that the change should be reflected in the carried loss reserves. In general, changes are made more quickly to more mature accident years and less volatile classes of business.

The table below shows our recorded reserves, net of reinsurance, and the related actuarial reserve point estimates by line of business at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008.

| (In millions) | June 30, 2009 | | December 31, 2008 | |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Recorded Net Reserves | Actuarial Point Estimate | Recorded Net Reserves | Actuarial Point Estimate |
| Personal Automobile | \$ 643.9 | \$ 608.5 | \$ 668.4 | \$ 638.0 |
| Homeowners | 100.5 | 97.6 | 98.5 | 96.4 |
| Other Personal Lines | 21.2 | 17.7 | 21.0 | 18.1 |
| Workers Compensation | 348.0 | 327.4 | 361.7 | 347.4 |
| Commercial Automobile | 155.1 | 150.8 | 159.2 | 153.1 |
| Commercial Multiple Peril | 424.1 | 392.4 | 443.4 | 409.0 |
| Other Commercial Lines | 266.3 | 259.2 | 269.2 | 257.0 |
| Asbestos and Environmental | 17.5 | 18.3 | 18.3 | 18.3 |
| Pools and Other | 160.5 | 160.5 | 173.4 | 173.4 |
| Total | \$ 2,137.1 | \$ 2,032.4 | \$ 2,213.1 | \$ 2,110.7 |

Table of Contents

The principal factors considered by management in addition to the actuarial point estimates in determining the reserves at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 vary by line of business. In our Commercial Lines segment, management considered the growth and product mix changes and recent adverse property related frequency trends in certain coverages. In addition, management also considered the significant growth in our inland marine and bond businesses for which we have limited actuarial data to estimate losses and the product mix change in our bond business towards a greater proportion of contract surety bonds where losses tend to emerge over a longer period of time and are cyclical related to general economic conditions. Moreover, in our Commercial Lines segment, management considered the potential for adverse development in the workers' compensation line where losses tend to emerge over long periods of time and rising medical costs, while moderating, have continued to be a concern. With the acquisitions of Hanover Professionals and AIX, we are modestly increasing our exposure to longer-tailed liability lines and there is less historical experience and less actuarial data available that may result in less certainty when estimating ultimate reserves. Also, higher retentions on our reinsurance program beginning January 1, 2008 compared to prior years may impact the emergence of trends in underlying data that could add to the uncertainty and variability of our actuarial estimates going forward. Additionally, there is also a higher degree of uncertainty due to growth in our newly acquired companies, for which we have limited historical claims experience. In our Personal Lines segment, management considered the adverse personal automobile personal injury development and related potential for adverse trends due to costs shifting from health insurers to property and casualty insurers resulting from economic concerns and health insurance coverage trends, developments in personal automobile property costs in the 2007 and 2008 accident years and an increase in physical damage frequency, all of which have added additional uncertainty to future development in our personal automobile line. Additionally, management considered the significant growth in our new business with our *Connections Auto* product and related growth in a number of states where there is additional uncertainty in the ultimate profitability and development of reserves due to the unseasoned nature of our new business and new agency relationships in these markets, as well as emerging loss trends which are higher than expected. Although our experience and data in these areas is growing with the passage of time, a sufficient number of years of actuarial data is not yet available to base loss estimates solely on this data in new geographical areas and agency relationships and with new products which results in less certainty when estimating ultimate reserves and requires more judgment by management. Also in Personal Lines, management considered the significant improvement in frequency trends the industry experienced during 2001 through 2006 in these lines of business which were unanticipated and remain to some extent unexplained. Management also considered the likelihood of future adverse development related to significant catastrophe losses experienced in 2005 and 2008. Regarding our indirect business from voluntary and involuntary pools, we are provided loss estimates by managers of each pool. We adopt reserve estimates for the pools that consider this information and other factors. At June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, total recorded net reserves were 5.2% and 4.9% greater than actuarially indicated reserves, respectively. During the first six months of 2009, the increase in the difference between recorded and actuarially indicated reserves is primarily due to a change in our actuarial reserve methodology for estimating loss adjustment expenses. The change involves our changing our reliance from a traditional paid-to-paid methodology to reliance on a method based on claim counts and cost per claim, taking into account the settlement status of the claim, which we believe more appropriately reflects the ultimate cost of settlement. We anticipate the impact of this change in methodology on future periods to be immaterial.

The table below provides a reconciliation of the gross beginning and ending reserve for unpaid losses and LAE as follows:

| (In millions) | Six Months Ended | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| | June 30, | |
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Reserve for losses and LAE, beginning of period | \$ 3,201.3 | \$ 3,165.8 |
| Incurred losses and LAE, net of reinsurance recoverable: | | |
| Provision for insured events of current year | 907.2 | 859.9 |
| Decrease in provision for insured events of prior years; favorable development | (85.4) | (93.9) |
| Total incurred losses and LAE | 821.8 | 766.0 |
| Payments, net of reinsurance recoverable: | | |
| Losses and LAE attributable to insured events of current year | 390.8 | 369.9 |
| Losses and LAE attributable to insured events of prior years | 499.3 | 434.9 |
| Hurricane Katrina | 7.7 | 20.6 |
| Total payments | 897.8 | 825.4 |
| Change in reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses | 2.4 | (33.1) |
| Purchase of Verlan Fire Insurance Company | | 4.2 |
| Reserve for losses and LAE, end of period | \$ 3,127.7 | \$ 3,077.5 |

Table of Contents

The table below summarizes the gross reserve for losses and LAE by line of business.

| (In millions) | June 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|
| Personal Automobile | \$ 1,256.8 | \$ 1,292.5 |
| Homeowners and Other | 151.3 | 152.1 |
| Total Personal | 1,408.1 | 1,444.6 |
| Workers Compensation | 530.1 | 547.0 |
| Commercial Automobile | 217.9 | 226.4 |
| Commercial Multiple Peril | 478.3 | 499.5 |
| Other Commercial | 493.3 | 483.8 |
| Total Commercial | 1,719.6 | 1,756.7 |
| Total reserve for losses and LAE | \$ 3,127.7 | \$ 3,201.3 |

The total reserve for losses and LAE as disclosed in the above table decreased by \$73.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. This decrease is primarily due to favorable development of prior years' loss and LAE reserves.

Prior Year Development by Line of Business

When trends emerge that we believe affect the future settlement of claims, we adjust our reserves accordingly. Reserve adjustments are reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Income as adjustments to losses and LAE. Often, we recognize these adjustments in periods subsequent to the period in which the underlying loss event occurred. These types of subsequent adjustments are disclosed and discussed separately as prior year reserve development. Such development can be either favorable or unfavorable to our financial results.

The following table summarizes the change in provision for insured events of prior years, excluding those related to Hurricane Katrina (see Management's Review of Judgments and Key Assumptions for a further discussion of Hurricane Katrina) by line of business.

| (In millions) | Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 | 2008 |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| (Decrease) increase in loss provision for insured events of prior years: | | |
| Personal Automobile | \$ (34.8) | \$ (34.2) |
| Homeowners and Other | 7.7 | (0.4) |
| Total Personal | (27.1) | (34.6) |
| Workers Compensation | (11.2) | (17.0) |
| Commercial Automobile | (2.6) | (6.1) |
| Commercial Multiple Peril | (10.4) | (23.5) |
| Other Commercial | (16.8) | (11.0) |
| Total Commercial | (41.0) | (57.6) |
| Voluntary Pools | 0.1 | (0.5) |
| Decrease in loss provision for insured events of prior years | (68.0) | (92.7) |
| Decrease in LAE provision for insured events of prior years | (17.4) | (1.2) |

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Decrease in total loss and LAE provision for insured events of prior years | \$ (85.4) | \$ (93.9) |
|--|-----------|-----------|

Table of Contents

Estimated loss reserves for claims occurring in prior years developed favorably by \$68.0 million and \$92.7 million during the first six months of 2009 and 2008, respectively. The favorable loss reserve development during the first six months of 2009 is primarily the result of lower than expected severity of bodily injury in the personal automobile line, primarily in the 2006 and 2007 accident years, lower than expected severity in the workers compensation line, primarily in the 2000 through 2008 accident years and lower than expected severity in the commercial multiple peril line, primarily in the 2002 through 2007 accident years. In addition, lower than expected severity in the bond, commercial inland marine and commercial umbrella lines contributed to the favorable development. Partially offsetting the favorable development was unfavorable non-catastrophe weather-related property loss development of \$14.7 million, primarily related to commercial property, homeowners and personal automobile physical damage which developed by \$7.0 million, \$6.1 million and \$1.6 million, respectively.

The favorable loss reserve development during the first six months of 2008 is primarily the result of lower than expected frequency of bodily injury in the personal automobile line, primarily in the 2003 through 2006 accident years, and lower than expected severity of liability claims in the commercial multiple peril line for the 2002 through 2007 accident years. In addition, lower than expected severity in the workers compensation line, primarily in the 2003 through 2007 accident years, contributed to the favorable development.

During the first six months of 2009 and 2008, estimated LAE reserves for claims occurring in prior years developed favorably by \$17.4 million and \$1.2 million, respectively. A change in our actuarial methodology for estimating loss adjustment expense reserves increased favorable development of prior year LAE reserves by \$20 million in the first six months of 2009. The favorable development in 2008 was primarily attributable to the aforementioned improvement in ultimate loss activity on prior accident years, primarily in the commercial multiple peril line.

Although we have experienced significant favorable development in both losses and LAE in recent years, there can be no assurance that this level of favorable development will occur in the future. We believe that we will experience less favorable prior year development in future years than we experienced recently. The factors that resulted in the favorable development of prior year reserves, including the aforementioned change in LAE reserve methodology, are considered in our ongoing process for establishing current accident year reserves. In light of our recent years of favorable development, the factors driving this development were considered to varying degrees in setting the more recent years' accident year reserves. As a result, we expect the current and most recent accident year reserves not to develop as favorably as they have in the past. In light of the significance, in recent periods, of favorable development to our Property and Casualty group's segment income, declines in favorable development could be material to our results of operations.

Asbestos and Environmental Reserves

Although we attempt to limit our exposures to asbestos and environmental damage liability through specific policy exclusions, we have been and may continue to be subject to claims related to these exposures. Ending loss and LAE reserves for all direct business written by our property and casualty companies related to asbestos and environmental damage liability, included in the reserve for losses and LAE, were \$17.6 million and \$18.5 million at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, net of reinsurance of \$14.7 million and \$13.9 million at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. In recent years average asbestos and environmental payments have declined modestly. As a result of our historical direct underwriting mix of Commercial Lines policies toward smaller and middle market risks, past asbestos and environmental damage liability loss experience has remained minimal in relation to our total loss and LAE incurred experience.

In addition, and not included in the numbers above, we have established loss and LAE reserves for assumed reinsurance pool business with asbestos and environmental damage liability of \$57.7 million and \$58.4 million at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. These reserves relate to pools in which we have terminated our participation; however, we continue to be subject to claims related to years in which we were a participant. A significant part of our pool reserves relates to our participation in the Excess and Casualty Reinsurance Association (ECRA) voluntary pool from 1950 to 1982. In 1982, the pool was dissolved and since that time, the business has been in runoff. Our percentage of the total pool liabilities varied from 1% to 6% during these years. Our participation in this pool has resulted in average paid losses of approximately \$2 million annually over the past ten years. Because of the inherent uncertainty regarding the types of claims in these pools, we cannot provide assurance that our reserves will be sufficient.

Table of Contents

We estimate our ultimate liability for asbestos, environmental and toxic tort liability claims, whether resulting from direct business, assumed reinsurance or pool business, based upon currently known facts, reasonable assumptions where the facts are not known, current law and methodologies currently available. Although these outstanding claims are not significant, their existence gives rise to uncertainty and are discussed because of the possibility that they may become significant. We believe that, notwithstanding the evolution of case law expanding liability in asbestos and environmental claims, recorded reserves related to these claims are adequate. Nevertheless, the asbestos, environmental and toxic tort liability reserves could be revised, and any such revisions could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations for a particular quarterly or annual period or on our financial position.

Discontinued Operations: Life Companies

Discontinued operations consist of: (i) FAFLIC's discontinued operations, including both the loss associated with the sale of FAFLIC on January 2, 2009 and the loss or income resulting from its prior business operations; and (ii) losses or gains associated with the sale of the variable life insurance and annuity business in 2005.

FAFLIC Discontinued Operations

On January 2, 2009, we sold our remaining life insurance subsidiary, FAFLIC, to Commonwealth Annuity, a subsidiary of Goldman Sachs. We obtained approval from the Massachusetts Division of Insurance for a pre-close dividend from FAFLIC consisting of designated assets with a statutory book value of approximately \$130 million. Total net proceeds from the sale, including the dividend, were approximately \$230 million, net of transaction costs. Additionally, coincident with the sale transaction, Hanover Insurance and FAFLIC entered into a reinsurance contract whereby Hanover Insurance assumed FAFLIC's discontinued accident and health insurance business. THG also agreed to indemnify Commonwealth Annuity for certain litigation, regulatory matters and other liabilities related to the pre-closing activities of the business transferred. For the year ended December 31, 2008, we recognized a loss from the sale of FAFLIC of \$77.3 million.

The following table summarizes the results for this discontinued business for the periods indicated:

| (In millions) | Quarter Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Gain (loss) from discontinued FAFLIC business, net of taxes | \$ 0.9 | \$ (67.7) | \$ 5.9 | \$ (71.2) |

The gain from FAFLIC's discontinued operations was \$0.9 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 and resulted primarily from our release of sale-related accruals. Net losses in the second quarter of 2008 primarily reflect our preliminary estimate of the loss associated with the sale transaction of \$66.1 million. In addition, these losses include net realized investment losses of \$2.7 million, primarily resulting from the sale of fixed maturity securities and from impairments and a \$1.7 million tax expense, partially offset by favorable results, primarily attributable to the traditional line of business.

The gain from FAFLIC's discontinued operations was \$5.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and resulted primarily from a change in our estimate of indemnification liabilities related to the sale and the aforementioned release of sale-related accruals. Net losses for the six months ended June 30, 2008 primarily reflect our preliminary estimate of the loss associated with the sale transaction, net realized investment losses of \$7.4 million, primarily resulting from impairments and the sale and intercompany transfer of investment assets. These losses were partially offset by favorable results, primarily attributable to both our traditional and retirement lines of business.

In connection with the sales transaction, we agreed to indemnify Commonwealth Annuity for certain legal, regulatory and other matters that existed as of the sale. Accordingly, we established a gross liability in accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 45, *Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others* (FIN 45) of \$9.9 million. As of June 30, 2009, our total gross FIN 45 liability was \$2.9 million. Although we believe our current estimate for our FIN 45 liability is appropriate, there can be no assurance that this estimate will be sufficient to pay future expenses associated with these guarantees.

Table of Contents***Gain on Disposal of Variable Life Insurance and Annuity Business***

On December 30, 2005, we sold our run-off variable life insurance and annuity business to Goldman Sachs. Results currently consist primarily of expense and recoveries relating to indemnification obligations incurred in connection with this sale. The following table summarizes the results for this discontinued business for the periods indicated:

| (In millions) | Quarter Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|------------------------|----------|---------------------------|--------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Gain (loss) on disposal of variable life insurance and annuity business, net of taxes | \$ 0.2 | \$ (0.8) | \$ 4.1 | \$ 5.4 |
| <u>Quarter Ended June 30, 2009 Compared to Quarter Ended June 30, 2008</u> | | | | |

For the quarter ended June 30, 2009, we recorded a gain of \$0.2 million, net of tax. For the quarter ended June 30, 2008, we recorded a loss of \$0.8 million, net of tax.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2008

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, we recorded a gain of \$4.1 million, net of tax, primarily related to a change in our estimate of liabilities related to certain indemnities to Goldman Sachs relating to pre-sale activities of the business sold recorded under FIN 45. For the six months ended June 30, 2008, we recorded a gain of \$5.4 million, net of tax, primarily from a \$5.8 million release of liabilities related to certain indemnities to Goldman Sachs.

As of June 30, 2009, our total gross FIN 45 liability was \$6.1 million on a pre-tax basis. Although we believe our current estimate for our FIN 45 liability is appropriate, there can be no assurance that this estimate will be sufficient to pay future expenses associated with the guarantees associated with our former variable life insurance and annuity business.

Other Items

Net realized losses on investments were \$3.6 million in the second quarter of 2009, compared to \$7.6 million in the same period of 2008. Net realized losses in the second quarter of 2009 are due to \$6.7 million of impairments from both fixed maturities and equity securities, partially offset by \$3.1 million of gains recognized from the sale of fixed maturities. Net realized losses in the second quarter of 2008 are primarily due to impairments from fixed maturities. Net realized losses on investments were \$9.7 million for the first six months of 2009 compared to \$7.9 million for the same period of 2008. Net realized losses in 2009 are due to \$23.2 million of impairments from both fixed maturities and equity securities, partially offset by \$13.7 million of gains recognized from the sale of fixed maturities. In 2008, losses resulted primarily from \$13.6 million of impairments, primarily from fixed maturities, partially offset by \$5.7 million of gains recognized principally from the sale of fixed maturities.

We completed a cash tender offer to repurchase a portion of our 8.207% Series B Capital Securities due in 2027 that were issued by AFC Capital Trust I and a portion of our 7.625% Senior Debentures due in 2025 that were issued by THG. AFC Capital Trust I was subsequently liquidated as of July 30, 2009. As a result of these actions and including securities repurchased prior to the tender offer, we recorded a pre-tax gain of \$34.3 million for both the second quarter and first six months of 2009 (see *Other Significant Transactions* for further discussion regarding the tender offer). In addition, we recorded \$11.7 million in federal income tax expense on non-segment income for the same periods of 2009, primarily related to the gain on the tender offer and repurchase of securities.

We recognized income of \$10.4 million in the second quarter of 2008 and \$10.1 million in the first six months of 2008, both of which reflect an \$11.1 million gain on the sale of AMGRO, partially offset by losses from the operations of AMGRO during those periods.

Table of Contents

Net income includes the following items:

| (In millions) | Quarter Ended June 30, 2009 Property and Casualty | | | Total |
|--|--|---------------------|--|----------|
| | Personal Lines | Commercial Lines | Other Property and Casualty (2) | |
| Net realized investment (losses) gains (1) | \$ (2.6) | \$ (2.5) | \$ 1.5 | \$ (3.6) |
| Gain on tender offer | | | 34.3 | 34.3 |
| Other non-segment items | | | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Gain from discontinued FAFLIC business, net of taxes | | | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Gain from discontinued accident and health business, net of taxes | | | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Gain on disposal of variable life and annuity business, net of taxes | | | 0.2 | 0.2 |

| (In millions) | Quarter Ended June 30, 2008 Property and Casualty | | | | Total |
|---|--|---------------------|--|-------------------|----------|
| | Personal Lines | Commercial Lines | Other Property and Casualty (2) | Life Companies | |
| Net realized investment losses (1) | \$ (3.7) | \$ (3.8) | \$ (0.1) | \$ | \$ (7.6) |
| Loss from discontinued FAFLIC business (including estimated loss on assets held-for-sale of \$66.1), net of taxes | | | | (67.7) | (67.7) |
| Income from operations of AMGRO (including gain on disposal of \$11.1), net of taxes | | | 10.4 | | 10.4 |
| Loss on disposal of variable life and annuity business, net of taxes | | | | (0.8) | (0.8) |

- (1) We manage investment assets for our property and casualty business based on the requirements of the entire property and casualty group. We allocate the investment income, expenses and realized (losses) gains to our Personal Lines, Commercial Lines and Other Property and Casualty segments based on actuarial information related to the underlying businesses.
- (2) Includes corporate eliminations.

Table of Contents

| (In millions) | Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|
| | Property and Casualty | | Other Property and Casualty | Total |
| | Personal Lines | Commercial Lines | (2) | |
| Net realized investment (losses) gains (1) | \$ (5.9) | \$ (5.3) | \$ 1.5 | \$ (9.7) |
| Gain on tender offer | | | 34.3 | 34.3 |
| Gain from discontinued FAFLIC business, net of taxes | | | 5.9 | 5.9 |
| Loss from discontinued accident and health business, net of taxes | | | (3.1) | (3.1) |
| Gain on disposal of variable life and annuity business, net of taxes | | | 4.1 | 4.1 |

| (In millions) | Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|---------------------|--------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|----------|
| | Property and Casualty | | | Other Property and Casualty | Life Companies | Total |
| | Personal Lines | Commercial Lines | (2) | | | |
| Net realized investment (losses) gains (1) | \$ (5.8) | \$ (5.6) | \$ 3.5 | \$ | \$ | \$ (7.9) |
| Loss from discontinued FAFLIC business (including estimated loss on assets held-for-sale of \$66.1), net of taxes | | | | | (71.2) | (71.2) |
| Income from operations of AMGRO (including gain on disposal of \$11.1), net of taxes | | | 10.1 | | | 10.1 |
| Gain on disposal of variable life and annuity business, net of taxes | | | | | 5.4 | 5.4 |
| Other, net of taxes | | | | | (1.2) | (1.2) |

- (1) We manage investment assets for our property and casualty business based on the requirements of the entire property and casualty group. We allocate the investment income, expenses and realized (losses) gains to our Personal Lines, Commercial Lines and Other Property and Casualty segments based on actuarial information related to the underlying businesses.
- (2) Includes corporate eliminations.

Table of Contents**Investment Portfolio**

We held general account investment assets diversified across several asset classes, as follows:

| (In millions, except percentage data) | June 30, 2009 | | December 31, 2008 | |
|--|----------------|---------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| | Carrying Value | % of Total Carrying Value | Carrying Value | % of Total Carrying Value |
| Fixed maturities (1) | \$ 4,499.9 | 91.5% | \$ 5,127.7 | 86.9% |
| Equity securities (1) | 92.1 | 1.9 | 76.3 | 1.3 |
| Mortgages | 26.2 | 0.5 | 31.1 | 0.5 |
| Policy Loans (1) | | | 111.1 | 1.9 |
| Cash and cash equivalents (1) | 280.7 | 5.7 | 529.5 | 9.0 |
| Other long-term investments | 16.9 | 0.4 | 26.3 | 0.4 |
| Total, including assets of discontinued operations | 4,915.8 | 100.0% | 5,902.0 | 100.0% |
| Investment assets of discontinued operations (2) | (96.0) | | (1,237.7) | |
| Total investment assets of continuing operations | \$ 4,819.8 | | \$ 4,664.3 | |

- (1) We carry these investments at fair value.
- (2) Investment assets of discontinued operations as of June 30, 2009 include our discontinued accident and health business. Investment assets of discontinued operations as of December 31, 2008 include our discontinued FAFLIC business and discontinued accident and health business. Due to the January 2, 2009 sale of FAFLIC, \$1,124.6 million of investment assets transferred to the buyer.

Cumulative Effect

As more fully described in Note 5 of the consolidated financial statements, we adopted FASB Staff Position No. FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2, *Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments* (FSP FAS 115-2 , the FSP) on April 1, 2009. FSP FAS 115-2 required us to modify our assessment of other-than-temporary impairments (OTTI) on debt securities, as well as our method of recording and reporting other-than-temporary impairments. In accordance with the FSP, we reviewed OTTI previously recorded through realized losses on securities held at April 1, 2009, which were \$121.6 million, and determined that \$33.3 million of these impairments were related to non-credit factors, such as interest rates and market conditions. Accordingly, under FSP FAS 115-2, we increased the amortized cost basis of these debt securities and recorded a cumulative effect adjustment of \$33.3 million within shareholders' equity. The cumulative effect adjustment had no effect on total shareholders' equity as it increased retained earnings and reduced accumulated other comprehensive income.

Under the new accounting guidance, if a company does not intend to sell the debt security, or more likely than not will not be required to sell it, the credit loss portion of an other-than-temporary impairment is recorded through earnings while the portion attributable to all other factors is recorded separately as a component of other comprehensive income. During the second quarter of 2009, we recognized \$6.7 million of net impairment losses through earnings, while the portion of loss recorded as a component of other comprehensive income was \$11.4 million. See also *Other-Than-Temporary Impairments* .

Investment Assets

The following discussion includes the investment assets of our continuing operations, as well as the investment assets of our discontinued accident and health business.

Total investment assets increased \$138.4 million, or 2.9%, to \$4.9 billion during the first six months of 2009, of which fixed maturities increased \$273.6 million and cash and cash equivalents decreased \$144.7 million. Fixed maturities increased primarily due to market value appreciation and from the investment of proceeds from the sale of FAFLIC. These increases were partially offset by the sale of fixed maturities to fund our tender offer. In addition, cash balances declined as we began investing a portion of our cash in the bond and equity markets.

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Our fixed maturity portfolio is comprised primarily of investment grade corporate securities, residential mortgage-backed securities, taxable and tax-exempt issues of state and local governments, commercial mortgage-backed securities, asset-backed securities and U.S. government and agency securities.

Table of Contents

The following table provides information about the investment type and credit quality of our fixed maturities portfolio as of June 30, 2009:

| Investment Type (In millions, except percentage data) | Rating Agency Equivalent | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Net Unrealized Gain (Loss) (1) | Change in Net Unrealized for the Period |
|---|--------------------------|----------------|------------|--------------------------------|---|
| | Designation | | | | |
| Corporates: | | | | | |
| NAIC 1 | Aaa/Aa/A | \$ 898.7 | \$ 893.3 | \$ (5.4) | \$ 54.4 |
| NAIC 2 | Baa | 1,009.8 | 1,002.1 | (7.7) | 64.5 |
| NAIC 3 or below | Ba, B, Caa and lower | 317.0 | 287.7 | (29.3) | 27.2 |
| Total Corporates | | 2,225.5 | 2,183.1 | (42.4) | 146.1 |
| Asset backed: | | | | | |
| Residential mortgage backed securities | | 972.0 | 973.5 | 1.5 | 2.8 |
| Commercial mortgage backed securities | | 276.1 | 256.2 | (19.9) | 11.0 |
| Asset backed securities | | 55.4 | 55.0 | (0.4) | 6.9 |
| Municipals: | | | | | |
| Taxable | | 567.9 | 564.5 | (3.4) | 11.7 |
| Tax exempt | | 216.4 | 211.4 | (5.0) | 11.5 |
| U.S. government | | 255.7 | 256.2 | 0.5 | (4.1) |
| Total fixed maturities (2) | | \$ 4,569.0 | \$ 4,499.9 | \$ (69.1) | \$ 185.9 |

(1) Includes \$44.7 million unrealized loss related to FSP FAS 115-2, of which \$33.3 million represents the cumulative effect of adoption.

(2) Includes discontinued accident and health business of \$105.3 million in amortized cost and \$96.3 million in fair value at June 30, 2009. While market valuations deteriorated in 2008 and remained volatile in the first six months of 2009 due to unprecedented events in the financial markets and from the effects of the global economic slowdown, we believe the net unrealized loss above is temporary. By the end of the second quarter of 2009, net unrealized losses on fixed maturities improved \$185.9 million, or 72.9%, to \$69.1 million from \$255.0 million at December 31, 2008. Excluding the \$33.3 million increase in net unrealized losses related to the cumulative effect of adoption of FSP FAS 115-2, net unrealized losses on fixed maturities improved \$219.2 million, or 86.0%. Management believes that ongoing government actions, the quality of the assets and anticipated long-term economic value will lead to a recovery in value in the near term.

Amortized cost and fair value by rating category were as follows:

| (In millions, except percentage data) | Rating Agency Equivalent Designation | June 30, 2009 | | | December 31, 2008 | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|------------|-----------------------|-------------------|------------|-----------------------|
| | | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | % of Total Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | % of Total Fair Value |
| 1 | Aaa/Aa/A | \$ 2,987.4 | \$ 2,981.6 | 66.3% | \$ 3,098.1 | \$ 2,997.8 | 70.9% |
| 2 | Baa | 1,226.3 | 1,204.8 | 26.8 | 1,074.8 | 981.5 | 23.2 |
| 3 | Ba | 170.7 | 149.4 | 3.3 | 151.5 | 133.2 | 3.2 |
| 4 | B | 105.2 | 94.3 | 2.1 | 111.0 | 83.8 | 2.0 |
| 5 | Caa and lower | 69.4 | 59.0 | 1.3 | 37.1 | 24.5 | 0.6 |
| 6 | In or near default | 10.0 | 10.8 | 0.2 | 8.8 | 5.5 | 0.1 |
| Total fixed maturities (1) | | \$ 4,569.0 | \$ 4,499.9 | 100.0% | \$ 4,481.3 | \$ 4,226.3 | 100.0% |

(1) Includes discontinued accident and health business of \$105.3 million in amortized cost and \$96.3 million in fair value at June 30, 2009, and \$99.3 million in amortized cost and \$85.4 million in fair value at December 31, 2008.

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Based on ratings by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC), approximately 93% of our fixed maturity portfolio consisted of investment grade securities at June 30, 2009, compared to 94% at December 31, 2008.

Table of Contents

The quality of our fixed maturity portfolio remains strong based on ratings, capital structure position, support through guarantees, underlying security and parent ownership and yield curve position. We do not hold any securities in the following sectors: subprime mortgages, either directly or through our mortgage-backed securities; collateralized debt obligations; collateralized loan obligations; or credit derivatives. Our residential mortgage-backed securities constitute \$973.5 million of our invested assets, with 16% held in non-agency prime securities, and the remaining invested in agency-sponsored securities. Commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS) constitute \$256.2 million of our invested assets, of which approximately 20% is fully defeased with US government securities. The portfolio is seasoned, with approximately 84% of our CMBS holdings from pre-2005 vintages, 9% from the 2007 vintage, 5% from the 2006 vintage and 2% from the 2005 vintage. The CMBS portfolio is of high quality with approximately 81% being AAA rated and 19% rated AA or A. The CMBS portfolio has a weighted average loan-to-value ratio of approximately 68% as of June 30, 2009. Our direct commercial mortgage portfolio is only \$37.2 million as of June 30, 2009, including credit tenant loan fixed maturities. These mortgages are of high quality, with 60% maturing by the end of 2010. Our municipal bond portfolio constitutes approximately 16% of invested assets and is substantially all investment grade. Financial guarantor insurance enhanced municipal bonds were \$335.4 million, or approximately 43% of our municipal bond portfolio as of June 30, 2009. Without regard to the insurance enhancement, 98% of our municipal bond portfolio is investment grade as of June 30, 2009. US agency debt securities represent about 3% of the portfolio and we have no investments in their preferred stock or equity.

At June 30, 2009, \$81.0 million of our fixed maturities were invested in traditional private placement securities, as compared to \$75.8 million at December 31, 2008. Fair values of traditional private placement securities are determined either by a third party broker or by pricing models that use discounted cash flow analyses.

Our fixed maturity and equity securities are classified as available-for-sale and are carried at fair value. Financial instruments whose value is determined using significant management judgment or estimation constitute approximately 2% of the total assets and liabilities we measured at fair value. (See also Note 6 – Fair Value).

Although we expect to invest new funds primarily in cash, cash equivalents and investment grade fixed maturities, we have invested and expect to continue investing a small portion of funds in common equity securities, and we may invest a portion in below investment grade fixed maturities and other assets. The average earned yield on fixed maturities was 5.6% and 5.7% for June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively.

Other-than-Temporary Impairments

For the first six months of 2009, we recorded \$34.6 million of other-than-temporary impairments of fixed maturities and equity securities, of which \$23.2 million was recognized in earnings and the remaining \$11.4 million was recorded as an unrealized loss in accumulated other comprehensive income. Other-than-temporary impairments recognized in earnings in the first six months of 2009 included losses on below investment grade fixed maturities of \$14.2 million, primarily from corporate bonds in the industrial sector, and \$9.0 million from perpetual preferred securities in the finance sector. During the first six months of 2008, other-than-temporary impairments of \$13.6 million primarily resulted from our exposure to below investment grade securities.

In our determination of other-than-temporary impairments, we consider several factors and circumstances, including the issuer's overall financial condition; the issuer's credit and financial strength ratings; the issuer's financial performance, including earnings trends, dividend payments, and asset quality; any specific events which may influence the operations of the issuer including governmental actions such as the enactment of The Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 and receipt of related funds; a weakening of the general market conditions in the industry or geographic region in which the issuer operates; the length of time and the degree to which the fair value of an issuer's securities remains below our cost; with respect to fixed maturity investments, any factors that might raise doubt about the issuer's ability to pay all amounts due according to the contractual terms and whether we expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security; and with respect to equity securities, our ability and intent to hold the investment for a period of time to allow for a recovery in value. We apply these factors to all securities.

We monitor corporate fixed maturity securities with unrealized losses on a quarterly basis and more frequently when necessary to identify potential credit deterioration whether due to ratings downgrades, unexpected price variances, and/or company or industry specific concerns. We apply consistent standards of credit analysis which includes determining whether the issuer is current on its contractual payments, and we consider past events, current conditions and reasonable forecasts to evaluate whether we expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. We utilize valuation declines as a potential indicator of credit deterioration, and apply additional levels of scrutiny in our analysis as the severity of the decline increases or duration persists.

Table of Contents

For our impairment review of asset-backed fixed maturity securities, we forecast our best estimate of the prospective future cash flows of the security to determine if we expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. Our analysis includes estimates of underlying collateral default rates based on historical and projected delinquency rates and estimates of the amount and timing of potential recovery. We consider all available information relevant to the collectibility of the security, including information about the remaining payment terms of the security, prepayment speeds, the financial condition of the issuer, industry analyst reports, sector credit ratings and other market data when developing our estimate of the expected cash flows.

When an other-than-temporary impairment of a debt security occurs, and we intend to sell or more likely than not will be required to sell the investment before recovery of its amortized cost basis, the amortized cost of the security is reduced to its fair value, with a corresponding charge to earnings, which reduces net income and earnings per share. If we don't intend to sell the fixed maturity investment or more likely than not will not be required to sell it, we separate the other-than-temporary impairment into the amount we estimate represents the credit loss and the amount related to all other factors. The amount of the estimated loss attributable to credit is recognized in earnings, which reduces net income and earnings per share. The amount of the estimated other-than-temporary impairment that is non-credit related is recognized in other comprehensive income, net of applicable taxes.

We estimate the amount of the other-than-temporary impairment that relates to credit by comparing the amortized cost of the debt security with the net present value of the debt security's projected future cash flows, discounted at the effective interest rate implicit in the investment prior to impairment. The non-credit portion of the impairment is equal to the difference between the fair value and the net present value of the fixed maturity security at the impairment measurement date.

Other-than-temporary impairments of equity securities are recorded as realized losses, which reduce net income and earnings per share. The new cost basis of an impaired security is not adjusted for subsequent increases in estimated fair value.

Temporary declines in market value are recorded as unrealized losses, which do not affect net income and earnings per share, but reduce other comprehensive income, which is reflected in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. We cannot provide assurance that the other-than-temporary impairments will be adequate to cover future losses or that we will not have substantial additional impairments in the future.

Table of Contents**Unrealized Losses**

The following table provides information about our fixed maturities and equity securities that have been continuously in a gross unrealized loss position.

| (In millions) | June 30, 2009 | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------------|------------|
| | 12 months or less | | Greater than 12 months | | Total | |
| | Gross Unrealized Losses and OTTI | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses and OTTI | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses and OTTI (1) | Fair Value |
| Fixed maturities: | | | | | | |
| Investment grade: | | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury securities and U.S. government and agency securities | \$ 3.4 | \$ 116.0 | \$ | \$ | \$ 3.4 | \$ 116.0 |
| States and political subdivisions | 7.1 | 198.9 | 11.4 | 149.1 | 18.5 | 348.0 |
| Corporate fixed maturities (2) | 20.3 | 209.6 | 49.6 | 453.2 | 69.9 | 662.8 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 5.0 | 184.4 | 10.5 | 97.5 | 15.5 | 281.9 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | 4.9 | 51.9 | 18.4 | 143.5 | 23.3 | 195.4 |
| Total investment grade | 40.7 | 760.8 | 89.9 | 843.3 | 130.6 | 1,604.1 |
| Below investment grade (3): | | | | | | |
| States and political subdivisions | | | 3.7 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 4.8 |
| Corporate fixed maturities (2) | 41.6 | 176.5 | 13.0 | 55.8 | 54.6 | 232.3 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 5.9 | 9.8 | 4.1 | 11.2 | 10.0 | 21.0 |
| Total below investment grade | 47.5 | 186.3 | 20.8 | 71.8 | 68.3 | 258.1 |
| Total fixed maturities | 88.2 | 947.1 | 110.7 | 915.1 | 198.9 | 1,862.2 |
| Equity securities : | | | | | | |
| Perpetual preferred securities | | | 3.8 | 16.9 | 3.8 | 16.9 |
| Common equity securities | 0.1 | 1.7 | 5.6 | 51.6 | 5.7 | 53.3 |
| Total equity securities | 0.1 | 1.7 | 9.4 | 68.5 | 9.5 | 70.2 |
| Total (4) | \$ 88.3 | \$ 948.8 | \$ 120.1 | \$ 983.6 | \$ 208.4 | \$ 1,932.4 |

(1) Includes \$44.7 million unrealized loss related to FSP FAS 115-2, of which \$33.3 million represents the cumulative effect of adoption.

(2) Gross unrealized losses on corporate fixed maturities include \$70.1 million in the financial sector, \$41.5 million in the industrial sector, \$10.5 million in the utilities sector and \$2.4 million in other.

(3) Substantially all below investment grade securities with an unrealized loss had been rated by the NAIC, Standard & Poor's or Moody's at June 30, 2009.

(4) Includes discontinued accident and health business of \$19.5 million in gross unrealized losses with \$55.9 million in fair value at June 30, 2009.

Table of Contents

| (In millions) | December 31, 2008 | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| | 12 months or less | | Greater than 12 months | | Total | |
| | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
| Fixed maturities: | | | | | | |
| Investment grade: | | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury securities and U.S. government and agency securities | \$ 0.7 | \$ 70.2 | \$ | \$ | \$ 0.7 | \$ 70.2 |
| States and political subdivisions | 26.8 | 352.3 | 3.9 | 56.5 | 30.7 | 408.8 |
| Corporate fixed maturities (1) | 89.4 | 1,028.4 | 70.2 | 295.9 | 159.6 | 1,324.3 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 18.6 | 110.4 | 3.2 | 30.2 | 21.8 | 140.6 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | 14.7 | 162.8 | 17.1 | 87.2 | 31.8 | 250.0 |
| Total investment grade | 150.2 | 1,724.1 | 94.4 | 469.8 | 244.6 | 2,193.9 |
| Below investment grade (2): | | | | | | |
| States and political subdivisions | 4.7 | 6.9 | | | 4.7 | 6.9 |
| Corporate fixed maturities (1) | 59.5 | 144.1 | | | 59.5 | 144.1 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | | 1.5 | | | | 1.5 |
| Total below investment grade | 64.2 | 152.5 | | | 64.2 | 152.5 |
| Total fixed maturities | 214.4 | 1,876.6 | 94.4 | 469.8 | 308.8 | 2,346.4 |
| Equity securities : | | | | | | |
| Perpetual preferred securities | | | 13.4 | 28.5 | 13.4 | 28.5 |
| Common equity securities | 11.4 | 32.3 | | | 11.4 | 32.3 |
| Total equity securities | 11.4 | 32.3 | 13.4 | 28.5 | 24.8 | 60.8 |
| Total (3) | \$ 225.8 | \$ 1,908.9 | \$ 107.8 | \$ 498.3 | \$ 333.6 | \$ 2,407.2 |

- (1) Gross unrealized losses for corporate fixed maturities include \$95.9 million in the industrial sector, \$80.5 million in the financial sector, \$34.8 million in the utilities sector and \$7.9 million in other.
- (2) Substantially all below investment grade securities with an unrealized loss had been rated by the NAIC, Standard & Poor's or Moody's at December 31, 2008.
- (3) Includes discontinued accident and health business of \$15.7 million in gross unrealized losses with \$52.3 million in fair value at December 31, 2008.

Excluding the \$33.3 million increase in gross unrealized losses relating to the cumulative effect of adoption of FSP FAS 115-2, gross unrealized losses on fixed maturities and equity securities decreased \$158.5 million, or 47.5%, from \$333.6 million at December 31, 2008. The decrease in unrealized losses was primarily due to tightening of credit spreads of investment grade corporate bonds, below investment grade corporate bonds, taxable and tax-exempt municipal bonds, and commercial and residential mortgage-backed securities during the first half of 2009. Equity values also increased during the first six months of 2009. At June 30, 2009, gross unrealized losses primarily consist of \$124.5 million of corporate fixed maturities, \$48.8 million of mortgage and asset-backed securities and \$22.2 million in municipal bonds. Gross unrealized losses on corporate fixed maturities include \$70.1 million in the financial sector, \$41.5 million in the industrial sector and \$12.9 million in utilities and other.

Table of Contents

The following table includes our top twenty-five financial sector fixed maturity holdings and related financial ratings as of June 30, 2009.

(In millions, except percentage data)

| Issuer | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | As a % of Invested Assets | S&P Ratings |
|------------------------------|-------------------|------------|------------------------------|----------------|
| Bank of America | \$ 54.1 | \$ 45.0 | 0.91% | A- |
| Morgan Stanley | 23.9 | 24.2 | 0.49% | A |
| Wells Fargo | 21.9 | 20.7 | 0.42% | AA- |
| PNC Bank | 19.8 | 18.4 | 0.37% | A |
| GE Capital | 17.9 | 17.5 | 0.36% | AA+ |
| Capital One | 17.5 | 17.6 | 0.36% | BBB |
| Student Loan Market | 16.9 | 14.1 | 0.29% | BBB- |
| American Express | 16.2 | 15.0 | 0.30% | BBB+ |
| CIT Group | 16.2 | 18.2 | 0.37% | BB- |
| Fifth Third Bancorp | 15.0 | 11.8 | 0.24% | BBB- |
| Goldman Sachs | 14.4 | 13.7 | 0.28% | A |
| Manufacturers & Traders Bank | 13.1 | 9.8 | 0.20% | A- |
| Genworth Global Funding | 13.0 | 10.6 | 0.22% | BBB |
| Bank of Scotland | 12.9 | 12.2 | 0.25% | A |
| Charter One | 12.1 | 11.2 | 0.23% | BBB+ |
| Union Bank of California | 11.5 | 10.6 | 0.22% | A |
| American General Finance | 11.2 | 6.5 | 0.13% | BB+ |
| JP Morgan | 11.1 | 10.6 | 0.21% | A+ |
| Aetna | 10.6 | 10.7 | 0.22% | A- |
| Branch Bank & Trust | 10.5 | 9.8 | 0.20% | A |
| Prudential Financial | 10.5 | 10.3 | 0.21% | A |
| Regions Bank | 10.2 | 8.0 | 0.16% | BB+ |
| Ameriprise Financial | 10.0 | 10.0 | 0.20% | A |
| Bank of Oklahoma | 10.0 | 8.8 | 0.18% | BBB+ |
| Bank of New York Mellon | 9.7 | 9.8 | 0.20% | A+ |
| Top 25 Financial | 390.2 | 355.1 | 7.22% | |
| Other Financial | 149.6 | 130.3 | 2.65% | |
| Total Financial | \$ 539.8 | \$ 485.4 | 9.87% | |

Table of Contents

The following table includes our top twenty-five industrial sector corporate fixed maturity holdings and related financial ratings as of June 30, 2009.

(In millions, except percentage data)

| Issuer | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | As a % of Invested Assets | S&P Ratings |
|----------------------------|-------------------|------------|------------------------------|----------------|
| Valero Energy | \$ 24.7 | \$ 24.4 | 0.50% | BBB |
| Union Pacific | 19.0 | 20.0 | 0.41% | BBB |
| CVS | 18.3 | 18.3 | 0.37% | BBB+ |
| Conoco Phillips | 18.0 | 18.9 | 0.38% | A |
| AT&T | 17.8 | 18.7 | 0.38% | A |
| Encana | 17.5 | 18.2 | 0.37% | A- |
| Kroger | 17.5 | 18.1 | 0.37% | BBB- |
| Safeway | 17.5 | 18.3 | 0.37% | BBB |
| Vodafone | 16.9 | 17.3 | 0.35% | A- |
| Home Depot | 16.9 | 17.0 | 0.35% | BBB+ |
| Miller Brewing | 16.5 | 16.2 | 0.33% | BBB+ |
| Canadian National Railways | 16.0 | 17.0 | 0.35% | A- |
| Comcast | 15.8 | 17.0 | 0.35% | BBB+ |
| Schering-Plough | 15.4 | 16.0 | 0.33% | A- |
| Textron | 15.0 | 13.4 | 0.27% | BB+ |
| Shell | 15.0 | 15.4 | 0.31% | AA+ |
| BP Capital Markets | 14.4 | 14.5 | 0.29% | AA |
| Deutsche Telecom | 13.9 | 14.1 | 0.29% | BBB+ |
| Lowe's | 13.6 | 14.3 | 0.29% | A+ |
| XTO Energy | 13.3 | 13.5 | 0.27% | BBB |
| Verizon | 13.3 | 13.3 | 0.27% | A |
| British Telecom | 12.3 | 12.4 | 0.25% | BBB |
| Canadian Natural Resources | 12.2 | 12.6 | 0.26% | BBB |
| Holcim | 12.0 | 12.5 | 0.25% | BBB- |
| Lockheed Martin | 12.0 | 11.8 | 0.24% | A- |
| Top 25 Industrial | 394.8 | 403.2 | 8.20% | |
| Other Industrial | 850.7 | 852.7 | 17.35% | |
| Total Industrial | \$ 1,245.5 | \$ 1,255.9 | 25.55% | |

Obligations of states and political subdivisions, the U.S. Treasury, U.S. government and agency securities had associated gross unrealized losses of \$25.6 million and \$36.6 million at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively.

Substantially all below investment grade securities with an unrealized loss had been rated by the NAIC, Standard & Poor's or Moody's.

We view the gross unrealized losses on fixed maturities and equity securities as being temporary since it is our assessment that these securities will recover in the near term. Management believes that recent and ongoing government actions, including The Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008, the 2009 American Recovery and Reinvestment Act and other U.S. and global government programs and the quality of our assets will allow us to realize the securities' anticipated long-term economic value. With respect to gross unrealized losses on fixed maturities, we do not intend to sell nor is it more likely than not we will be required to sell debt securities before this expected recovery of amortized cost (See also "Liquidity and Capital Resources"). With respect to equity securities, we had the intent and ability to retain such investments for the period of time anticipated to allow for this expected recovery in fair value. The risks inherent in our assessment methodology include the risk that, subsequent to the balance sheet date, market factors may differ from our expectations; government actions do not have the intended affect of stabilizing financial institutions and financial markets; the global economic slowdown is longer or more severe than we expect; we may decide to subsequently sell a security for unforeseen business needs; or changes in the credit assessment or equity characteristics from our original assessment may lead us to determine that a sale at the current value would maximize recovery on such investments. To the extent that there are such adverse changes, an other-than-temporary impairment would be recognized. Although unrealized losses are not

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

reflected in the results of financial operations until they are realized or deemed other-than-temporary, the fair value of the underlying investment, which does reflect the unrealized loss, is reflected in our Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth gross unrealized losses for fixed maturities by maturity period and gross unrealized losses for equity securities at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations, with or without call or prepayment penalties, or we may have the right to put or sell the obligations back to the issuers. Mortgage-backed securities are included in the category representing their ultimate maturity.

| (In millions) | June 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| Due in one year or less | \$ 0.7 | \$ 2.1 |
| Due after one year through five years | 49.8 | 84.0 |
| Due after five years through ten years | 59.9 | 115.6 |
| Due after ten years | 88.5 | 107.1 |
| Total fixed maturities (1) | 198.9 | 308.8 |
| Equity securities | 9.5 | 24.8 |
| Total fixed maturities and equity securities (2) | \$ 208.4 | \$ 333.6 |

(1) Includes \$33.3 million cumulative effect of adoption of FSP FAS 115-2 at June 30, 2009.

(2) Includes discontinued accident and health business of \$19.5 million and \$15.7 million in gross unrealized losses at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively.

Our investment portfolio and shareholders' equity can be and have been significantly impacted by the changes in market values of our securities. During 2008, there were significant declines in the market values of our fixed maturity securities, particularly in the industrial and financial sectors. Although, we have seen improvement in unrealized losses in the first six months of 2009, economic conditions remain strained, market values continue to fluctuate, and defaults on corporate fixed income securities are expected to increase throughout 2009. As a result, depending on market conditions, we could incur additional realized and unrealized losses in future periods, which could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations and/or financial position.

We experienced defaults in the first six months of 2009 on certain fixed maturities of issuers in the industrial sector. The carrying value of fixed maturity securities on non-accrual status at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was not material. The effect of non-accruals for the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared with amounts that would have been recognized in accordance with the original terms of the fixed maturities, was a reduction in net investment income of \$1.6 million. The effect of non-accruals in the first six months of 2008 was not material. Any defaults in the fixed maturities portfolio in future periods may negatively affect investment income.

Recent developments continue to illustrate that the U.S. and global financial markets and economies are in an unprecedented period of uncertainty and instability. Many issuers face rapidly changing adverse business and liquidity circumstances, increasing the likelihood of unanticipated defaults during 2009. While we may experience a significant increase in defaults on corporate fixed income securities in 2009, particularly with respect to non-investment grade securities, it is difficult to foresee what issuers, industries or markets will be affected. As a result, the value of our fixed maturity portfolio could change rapidly in ways we cannot currently anticipate. Depending on market conditions, we could incur additional realized and unrealized losses in future periods.

Income Taxes

We file a consolidated United States federal income tax return that includes the holding company and its domestic subsidiaries (including non-insurance operations). We segregate the entities included within the consolidated group into either a life insurance or a non-life insurance company subgroup. The consolidation of these subgroups is subject to certain statutory restrictions on the percentage of eligible non-life tax losses that can be applied to offset life company taxable income.

Table of Contents**Quarter Ended June 30, 2009 Compared to Quarter Ended June 30, 2008**

The provision for federal income taxes from continuing operations was an expense of \$33.5 million during the second quarter of 2009, compared to an expense of \$28.8 million during the same period in 2008. These provisions resulted in consolidated effective federal tax rates of 34.7% and 37.5% for the quarters ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. In both 2008 and 2009, we were unable to recognize any federal income tax benefit associated with our realized investment losses due to our opinion that it is more likely than not that we will be unable to realize those benefits. This increases our effective tax rate in both periods, as the realized losses in each period reflect no tax benefit. The decrease in the tax rate in 2009 is primarily due to a decrease in these realized investment losses and lower underwriting income in the current period.

Our federal income tax expense on segment income was \$21.8 million during the second quarter of 2009, compared to \$28.8 million during the same period in 2008. These provisions resulted in effective tax rates for segment income of 33.1% and 34.2% in 2009 and 2008, respectively. The decrease in 2009 is primarily due to lower underwriting income.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2008

The provision for federal income taxes from continuing operations was an expense of \$46.6 million for the first six months of 2009, compared to an expense of \$57.9 million during the same period in 2008. These provisions resulted in consolidated effective federal tax rates of 35.9% and 35.5% for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The slight increase in the tax rate in the current period is primarily due to a higher level of realized investment losses for which there is no recognized federal tax benefit.

Our federal income tax expense on segment income was \$34.9 million for the first six months of 2009, compared to \$57.9 million during the same period in 2008. These provisions resulted in effective tax rates for segment income of 33.1% and 33.9% in 2009 and 2008, respectively. The decrease in 2009 is primarily due to lower underwriting income.

In the first six months of 2009, we decreased our valuation allowance related to our deferred tax asset by \$79.6 million, from \$348.2 million to \$268.6 million. The decrease in this valuation allowance resulted primarily from unrealized appreciation in our investment portfolio, partially offset by realized investment losses in the quarter. Accordingly, we recorded decreases in our valuation allowance of \$72.4 million as an adjustment to Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income and \$11.6 million as an adjustment to Retained Earnings for the cumulative effect of FSP FAS 115-2 adoption change. These decreases are partially offset by increases in our valuation allowance of \$3.3 million as an adjustment to Federal Income Tax Expense and an increase of \$1.1 million to Discontinued Operations in our Consolidated Statements of Income.

A corporation is entitled to a tax deduction from gross income for a portion of any dividend which was received from a domestic corporation that is subject to income tax. This is referred to as a dividends received deduction. In this and in prior years, we have taken this dividends received deduction when filing our federal income tax return. Many separate accounts held by life insurance companies receive dividends from such domestic corporations, and therefore, were regarded as entitled to this dividends received deduction. In its Revenue Ruling 2007-61, issued on September 25, 2007, the IRS announced its intention to issue regulations with respect to certain computational aspects of the dividends received deduction on separate account assets held in connection with variable annuity contracts. Revenue Ruling 2007-61 suspended a revenue ruling issued in August 2007 that purported to change accepted industry and IRS interpretations of the statutes governing these computational questions. Any regulations that the IRS ultimately proposes for issuance in this area will be subject to public notice and comment, at which time insurance companies and other members of the public will have the opportunity to raise legal and practical questions about the content, scope and application of such regulations. As a result, the ultimate timing and substance of any such regulations are not yet known, but they could result in the elimination of some or all of the separate account dividends received deduction tax benefit that we received. We believe that it is more likely than not that any such regulation would apply prospectively only, and application of this regulation is not expected to be material to our results of operations in any future annual period. However, there can be no assurance that the outcome of the revenue ruling will be as anticipated and should retroactive application be required; our results of operations may be adversely affected in a quarterly or annual period. We believe that retroactive application would not materially affect our financial position.

Table of Contents**Critical Accounting Estimates**

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon the consolidated financial statements. These statements have been prepared in accordance with GAAP, which requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The following critical accounting estimates are those which we believe affect the more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our financial statements. Additional information about our other significant accounting policies and estimates may be found in Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

PROPERTY & CASUALTY INSURANCE LOSS RESERVES

See Segment Results – Reserves For Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses on pages 48 to 58 of this form 10-Q for a discussion of our critical accounting estimates for loss reserves.

PROPERTY & CASUALTY REINSURANCE RECOVERABLES

We share a significant amount of insurance risk of the primary underlying contracts with various insurance entities through the use of reinsurance contracts. As a result, when we experience loss events that are subject to a reinsurance contract, reinsurance recoveries are recorded. The amount of the reinsurance recoverable can vary based on the size of the individual loss or the aggregate amount of all losses in a particular line, book of business or an aggregate amount associated with a particular accident year. The valuation of losses recoverable depends on whether the underlying loss is a reported loss, or an incurred but not reported loss. For reported losses, we value reinsurance recoverables at the time the underlying loss is recognized, in accordance with contract terms. For incurred but not reported losses, we estimate the amount of reinsurance recoverable based on the terms of the reinsurance contracts and historical reinsurance recovery information and apply that information to the gross loss reserve estimates. The most significant assumption we use is the average size of the individual losses for those claims that have occurred but have not yet been recorded by us. The reinsurance recoverable is based on what we believe are reasonable estimates and is disclosed separately on the financial statements. However, the ultimate amount of the reinsurance recoverable is not known until all losses are settled.

PENSION BENEFIT OBLIGATIONS

Prior to 2005, we provided pension retirement benefits to substantially all of our employees based on a defined benefit cash balance formula. In addition to the cash balance allocation, certain transition group employees, who had met specified age and service requirements as of December 31, 1994, were eligible for a grandfathered benefit based primarily on the employees' years of service and compensation during their highest five consecutive plan years of employment. As of January 1, 2005, the defined benefit pension plans were frozen.

We account for our pension plans in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 158, *Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans – an amendment of FASB Statements 87, 88, 106, and 132(R)* and Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 87, *Employers' Accounting for Pensions* (Statement No. 87). In order to measure the liabilities and expense associated with these plans, we must make various estimates and key assumptions, including discount rates used to value liabilities, assumed rates of return on plan assets, employee turnover rates and anticipated mortality rates. These estimates and assumptions are reviewed at least annually and are based on our historical experience, as well as current facts and circumstances. In addition, we use outside actuaries to assist in measuring the expenses and liabilities associated with this plan.

The discount rate enables us to state expected future cash flows as a present value on the measurement date. We also use this discount rate in the determination of our pre-tax pension expense or benefit. A lower discount rate increases the present value of benefit obligations and increases pension expense. As of December 31, 2008, we determined our discount rate utilizing an independent yield curve which provides for a portfolio of high quality bonds that are expected to match the cash flows of our pension plan. Bond information used in the yield curve was provided by Standard and Poor's and included only those rated AA- or better as of December 31, 2008. As of December 31, 2007, we utilized the Citigroup Pension Discount Curve. We changed yield curves in 2008 as a result of the impact that the current sector weightings had on the Citigroup Pension Discount Curve, specifically the impact of a relative lack of financial sector issues at longer durations. At December 31, 2008, based upon our qualified plan assets and liabilities in relation to this discount curve, we increased our discount rate to 6.625%, from 6.375% at December 31, 2007.

Table of Contents

To determine the expected long-term return on plan assets, we consider the historical mean returns by asset class for passive indexed strategies, as well as current and expected asset allocations and adjust for certain factors that we believe will have an impact on future returns. For the year ended December 31, 2008, the expected rate of return on plan assets was 7.75%. In 2009, we are utilizing an expected rate of return on plan assets of 7.50% to determine our pension expense for the year. The decrease reflects our strategy to shift investment assets from equity securities to fixed maturity investments over the next few years. Actual returns on plan assets in excess of these expected returns will generally reduce our net actuarial losses that are reflected in our accumulated other comprehensive income balance in shareholders' equity, whereas actual returns on plan assets which are less than expected returns will generally increase our net actuarial losses that are reflected in accumulated other comprehensive income. These gains or losses are amortized into expense in future years.

Holding all other assumptions constant, sensitivity to changes in our key assumptions are as follows:

Discount Rate A 25 basis point increase in discount rate would decrease our pension expense in 2009 by \$1.7 million and decrease our projected benefit obligation by approximately \$11.8 million. A 25 basis point reduction in the discount rate would increase our pension expense by \$1.7 million and increase our projected benefit obligation by approximately \$12.3 million.

Expected Return on Plan Assets A 25 basis point increase or decrease in the expected return on plan assets would decrease or increase our pension expense in 2009 by \$0.8 million.

OTHER-THAN-TEMPORARY IMPAIRMENTS

We employ a systematic methodology to evaluate declines in fair values below amortized cost for all investments. The methodology utilizes a quantitative and qualitative process ensuring that available evidence concerning the declines in fair value below amortized cost is evaluated in a disciplined manner. In determining whether a decline in fair value below amortized cost is other-than-temporary, we evaluate several factors and circumstances, including the issuer's overall financial condition; the issuer's credit and financial strength ratings; the issuer's financial performance, including earnings trends, dividend payments, and asset quality; any specific events which may influence the operations of the issuer including governmental actions such as the enactment of The Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 and receipt of related funds; a weakening of the general market conditions in the industry or geographic region in which the issuer operates; the length of time and the degree to which the fair value of an issuer's securities remains below our cost; with respect to fixed maturity investments, any factors that might raise doubt about the issuer's ability to pay all amounts due according to the contractual terms; and with respect to equity securities, our ability and intent to hold the investment for a period of time to allow for a recovery in value. We apply these factors to all securities.

We monitor corporate fixed maturity securities with unrealized losses on a quarterly basis and more frequently when necessary to identify potential credit deterioration whether due to ratings downgrades, unexpected price variances, and/or company or industry specific concerns. We apply consistent standards of credit analysis which includes determining whether the issuer is current on its contractual payments, and we consider past events, current conditions and reasonable forecasts to evaluate whether we expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. We utilize valuation declines as a potential indicator of credit deterioration, and apply additional levels of scrutiny in our analysis as the severity of the decline increases or duration persists.

For our impairment review of asset-backed fixed maturity securities, we forecast our best estimate of the prospective future cash flows of the security to determine if we expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. Our analysis includes estimates of underlying collateral default rates based on historical and projected delinquency rates and estimates of the amount and timing of potential recovery. We consider all available information relevant to the collectibility of the security, including information about the remaining payment terms of the security, prepayment speeds, the financial condition of the issuer, industry analyst reports, sector credit ratings and other market data when developing our estimate of the expected cash flows.

When an other-than-temporary impairment of a debt security occurs, and we intend to sell or more likely than not will be required to sell the investment before recovery of its amortized cost basis, the amortized cost of the security is reduced to its fair value, with a corresponding charge to earnings, which reduces net income and earnings per share. If we don't intend to sell the fixed maturity investment or more likely than not will not be required to sell it, we separate the other-than-temporary impairment into the amount we estimate represents the credit loss and the amount related to all other factors. The amount of the estimated loss attributable to credit is recognized in earnings, which reduces net income and earnings per share. The amount of the estimated other-than-temporary impairment that is non-credit related is recognized in other comprehensive income, net of applicable taxes.

Table of Contents

We estimate the amount of the other-than-temporary impairment that relates to credit by comparing the amortized cost of the debt security with the net present value of the debt security's projected future cash flows, discounted at the effective interest rate implicit in the investment prior to impairment. The non-credit portion of the impairment is equal to the difference between the fair value and the net present value of the fixed maturity security at the impairment measurement date.

Other-than-temporary impairments of equity securities are recorded as realized losses, which reduce net income and earnings per share. The new cost basis of an impaired security is not adjusted for subsequent increases in estimated fair value.

Temporary declines in market value are recorded as unrealized losses, which do not affect net income and earnings per share, but reduce other comprehensive income, which is reflected in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. We cannot provide assurance that the other-than-temporary impairments will be adequate to cover future losses or that we will not have substantial additional impairments in the future. (See "Investment Portfolio" for further discussion regarding other-than-temporary impairments and securities in an unrealized position).

Other Significant Transactions

On June 29, 2009, we completed a cash tender offer to repurchase a portion of our 8.207% Series B Capital Securities ("Capital Securities") that were issued by AFC Capital Trust I (the "Trust") and a portion of our 7.625% Senior Debentures ("Senior Debentures") due in 2025 that were issued by THG. As of that date, \$69.3 million of Capital Securities were tendered at a price equal to \$800 per \$1,000 of face value. In addition, we accepted for tender a principal amount of \$77.3 million of Senior Debentures. Depending on the time of tender, holders of the Senior Debentures accepted for purchase received a price ranging from \$870 to \$900 per \$1,000 of face value. Separately, we held \$65.0 million of Capital Securities previously repurchased at a discount in the open market prior to the tender offer. Including securities repurchased prior to and through the tender offer, we recognized a pre-tax gain of \$34.3 million in the quarter ended June 30, 2009. Subsequent to the completion of the tender offer, \$165.7 million of the Capital Securities not held by us and \$122.7 million principal amount of the Senior Debentures not held by us remain outstanding.

On November 28, 2008, we acquired AIX Holdings, Inc. for approximately \$100 million, subject to various terms and conditions. AIX is a specialty property and casualty insurer that underwrites and manages program business.

On June 2, 2008, we completed the sale of our premium financing subsidiary, AMGRO Inc., to Premium Financing Specialists, Inc. In the second quarter of 2008, we recorded a gain of \$11.1 million related to this sale, which was reflected in the Consolidated Statement of Income as part of Discontinued Operations.

On March 14, 2008, we acquired all of the outstanding shares of Verlan Holdings, Inc. for \$29.0 million. Verlan Holdings, Inc., now referred to as Hanover Specialty Property, is a specialty company providing property insurance to small and medium-sized manufacturing and distribution companies that are highly protected fire risks.

On October 16, 2007, our Board of Directors authorized a share repurchase program of up to \$100 million. Under this repurchase authorization, we may repurchase our common stock from time to time, in amounts and prices and at such times as we deem appropriate, subject to market conditions and other considerations. We are not required to purchase any specific number of shares or to make purchases by any certain date under this program. In the first six months 2009, we repurchased 0.2 million shares at an aggregate cost of \$7.1 million. Total repurchases under this program as of June 30, 2009 were 1.6 million shares at a cost of \$67.3 million.

Statutory Capital of Insurance Subsidiaries

The following table reflects the consolidated Total Adjusted Capital for our property and casualty businesses as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008:

| (In millions) | June 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| The Hanover Insurance Company (1) | \$ 1,607.5 | \$ 1,537.6 |
| AIX and other insurance subsidiaries | 91.0 | 63.1 |
| Total Adjusted Capital | \$ 1,698.5 | \$ 1,600.7 |

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

- (1) Includes \$600.9 million and \$638.3 million related to its subsidiary, Citizens, as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively.

Table of Contents

The NAIC prescribes an annual calculation regarding risk based capital (RBC). RBC ratios for regulatory purposes, as described in the glossary, are expressed as a percentage of the capital required to be above the Authorized Control Level (the Regulatory Scale); however, in the insurance industry RBC ratios are widely expressed as a percentage of the Company Action Level. The following table reflects the Company Action Level, the Authorized Control Level and RBC ratios for Hanover Insurance, as of June 30, 2009, expressed both on the Industry Scale (Total Adjusted Capital divided by the Company Action Level) and Regulatory Scale (Total Adjusted Capital divided by Authorized Control Level):

| <i>(In millions, except ratios)</i> | <i>Company Action Level</i> | <i>Authorized Control Level</i> | <i>RBC Ratio Industry Scale</i> | <i>RBC Ratio Regulatory Scale</i> |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| The Hanover Insurance Company | \$ 514.1 | \$ 257.1 | 313% | 625% |

The consolidated total adjusted capital position improved \$97.8 million during the first six months of 2009. The increase is primarily due to underwriting income in the first half of 2009, net unrealized gains, and a \$25 million capital contribution from the holding company to AIX effective March 31, 2009 to support anticipated growth in the business. These increases were partially offset by decreases in surplus relating to the purchase of FAFLIC s non-admitted assets in connection with its sale on January 2, 2009.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity is a measure of our ability to generate sufficient cash flows to meet the cash requirements of business operations. As a holding company, our primary ongoing source of cash is dividends from our insurance subsidiaries. However, dividend payments to us by our insurance subsidiaries are subject to limitations imposed by state regulators, such as the requirement that cash dividends be paid out of unreserved and unrestricted earned surplus. The payment of extraordinary dividends, as defined, from any of our insurance subsidiaries is restricted.

In connection with the sale of FAFLIC to Commonwealth Annuity on January 2, 2009, the Division approved a net dividend from FAFLIC to THG, which totaled approximately \$130 million. This dividend consisted primarily of property and equipment, which was subsequently purchased by Hanover Insurance from THG at fair value.

Sources of cash for our insurance subsidiaries primarily include premiums collected, investment income and maturing investments. Primary cash outflows are paid claims, losses and loss adjustment expenses, policy acquisition expenses, other underwriting expenses and investment purchases. Cash outflows related to claims, losses and loss adjustment expenses can be variable because of uncertainties surrounding settlement dates for liabilities for unpaid losses and because of the potential for large losses either individually or in the aggregate. We periodically adjust our investment policy to respond to changes in short-term and long-term cash requirements.

Net cash used in operating activities was \$45.1 million during the first six months of 2009, as compared to net cash provided of \$63.4 million in 2008. The \$108.5 million increase in cash used in operating activities primarily resulted from an increase in net loss and LAE payments and an increased level of funding associated with our qualified defined benefit pension plan.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$102.7 million during the first six months of 2009, compared to net cash provided of \$38.1 million for the same period of 2008. During 2009, cash was primarily used as we invested the proceeds from the sale of our Life Companies into fixed maturities and began reinvesting a portion of cash into fixed maturities. In addition, cash was provided from sales of fixed maturities to fund the tender offer. During 2008, cash was provided by net sales of fixed maturity securities primarily to fund operational cash flow requirements of our property and casualty business, as well as the stock repurchase program. In addition, cash increased in connection with the sale of AMGRO resulting from the settlement, in cash, of an intercompany loan with Hanover Insurance. Partially offsetting these increases were cash payments made in connection with the acquisition of Verlan Holdings, Inc.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$100.9 million during the first six months of 2009, as compared to net cash used of \$50.5 million in 2008. During 2009, cash used in financing activities primarily resulted from the aforementioned cash tender offer (see Significant Transactions discussion) to repurchase a portion of our debt securities and repurchases of treasury stock under our stock repurchase program, partially offset by cash collateral received related to our securities lending program. During 2008, cash used in financing activities primarily resulted from repurchases of \$58.5 million of treasury stock.

Table of Contents

At June 30, 2009, THG, as a holding company, held \$193.3 million of fixed maturities and cash. We believe our holding company assets are sufficient to meet our obligations through the remainder of 2009, which currently consist primarily of interest on our remaining senior debentures and junior subordinated debentures and costs associated with retirement benefits provided to our former life employees and agents. The holding company may be required to make payments in 2009 related to indemnification of liabilities associated with the sale of various subsidiaries. We currently do not expect that it will be necessary to dividend funds from our insurance subsidiaries in order to fund 2009 holding company obligations.

The sale of FAFLIC provided net cash to the holding company of approximately \$225.0 million as follows:

| | |
|--|---------------------|
| Proceeds from sale of in-kind dividended assets to Hanover Insurance | \$ 136.3 |
| Additional pre-close contributions to FAFLIC | (6.5) |
| Gross proceeds from Commonwealth Annuity | 105.8 |
| Net cost related to exchange of investments between Hanover Insurance and FAFLIC | (6.7) |
| Transaction costs and other | (3.9) |
| Total cash from the sale of FAFLIC and related intercompany settlements | \$ 225.0 |

We expect to continue to generate sufficient positive operating cash to meet all short-term and long-term cash requirements, including the funding of our qualified defined benefit pension plan. The minimum required contribution related to our qualified defined benefit pension plan for the 2009 plan year of \$13.5 million was made in April 2009. In addition to that contribution, we made a discretionary contribution of \$16.5 million at that time. We may be required to make significant cash contributions to our qualified defined benefit pension plan for several years beginning in 2010, which we currently estimate to range from approximately \$30 million to \$40 million annually.

Our insurance subsidiaries maintain a high degree of liquidity within their respective investment portfolios in fixed maturity and short-term investments. In 2008 and extending into the early part of 2009, the financial markets experienced unprecedented declines in value, especially in the financial and industrial sectors. Many securities currently held by THG and its subsidiaries experienced the impact of these significant changes in market value. Although there has been an improvement in our unrealized loss position in the first six months of 2009, net unrealized losses related to securities that we still hold amounted to approximately \$70 million as of June 30, 2009. We believe that recent and ongoing government actions and the quality of the assets we hold will allow us to realize these securities' anticipated long-term economic value. Furthermore, as of June 30, 2009, we had the intent and ability to retain such investments for the period of time anticipated to allow for this expected recovery in fair value. We do not anticipate the need to sell these securities to meet our insurance subsidiaries' cash requirements. We expect our insurance subsidiaries to generate sufficient operating cash to meet all short-term and long-term cash requirements. However, there can be no assurance that unforeseen business needs or other items will not occur causing us to have to sell securities before their values fully recover; thereby causing us to recognize additional impairment charges in that time period.

On October 16, 2007, our Board of Directors authorized a share repurchase program of up to \$100 million. Under this repurchase authorization, we may repurchase our common stock from time to time, in amounts and prices and at such times as we deem appropriate, subject to market conditions and other considerations. We are not required to purchase any specific number of shares or to make purchases by any certain date under this program. For the six months ending June 30, 2009 we have repurchased approximately 0.2 million shares for a cost of \$7.1 million. As of June 30, 2009, total repurchases under this program were 1.6 million shares at a cost of \$67.3 million.

Table of Contents

Through June 30, 2009, we have repurchased \$106.2 million of Capital Securities with a face value of \$134.3 million that were issued by AFC Capital Trust I and \$69.6 million of senior debt with a face value of \$77.3 million that were issued by THG. (See Other Significant Transactions of this form 10-Q for further discussion). We may continue to repurchase senior debt or Capital Securities on an opportunistic basis. On July 30, 2009, we liquidated AFC Capital Trust I, which previously held our Capital Securities, and exchanged all of the outstanding Capital Securities for like debentures issued by the holding company. In July 2009, Hanover Insurance was approved to participate in a lending program with Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston which currently provides lower rates as compared to the interest rates on the debt we recently repurchased. We plan to borrow between \$125 million and \$150 million under this arrangement. In conjunction with that borrowing, Hanover Insurance will purchase our subsidiary, AIX, from the holding company for approximately \$125 million.

In June 2007, we entered into a \$150.0 million committed syndicated credit agreement which expires in June 2010. Borrowings, if any, under this agreement are unsecured and incur interest at a rate per annum equal to, at our option, a designated base rate or the Eurodollar rate plus applicable margin. The agreement provides covenants, including, but not limited to, maintaining a certain level of equity and an RBC ratio in our primary property and casualty companies of at least 175% (based on the Industry Scale). We had no borrowings under this line of credit during the first six months of 2009. Additionally, we had no commercial paper borrowings as of June 30, 2009 and we do not anticipate utilizing commercial paper in 2009.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We currently do not have any material off-balance sheet arrangements that are reasonably likely to have an effect on our financial position, revenues, expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures, or capital resources.

Contingencies and Regulatory Matters

LITIGATION AND CERTAIN REGULATORY MATTERS

Durand Litigation

On March 12, 2007, a putative class action suit captioned Jennifer A. Durand v. The Hanover Insurance Group, Inc., The AllAmerica Financial Cash Balance Pension Plan was filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Kentucky. The named plaintiff, a former employee who received a lump sum distribution from our Cash Balance Plan at or about the time of her termination, claims that she and others similarly situated did not receive the appropriate lump sum distribution because in computing the lump sum, we understated the accrued benefit in the calculation. We filed a motion to dismiss on the basis that the plaintiff failed to exhaust administrative remedies, which motion was granted without prejudice in a decision dated November 7, 2007. This decision was reversed by an order dated March 24, 2009 issued by the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, and the case was remanded to the district court. In our judgment, the outcome is not expected to be material to our financial position, although it could have a material effect on the results of operations for a particular quarter or annual period.

Hurricane Katrina Litigation

We have been named as a defendant in various litigations, including putative class actions, relating to disputes arising from damages which occurred as a result of Hurricane Katrina in 2005. As of June 30, 2009, there were approximately 68 such cases. These cases have been filed in both Louisiana state courts and federal district courts. These cases generally involve, among other claims, disputes as to the amount of reimbursable claims in particular cases (e.g. how much of the damage to an insured property is attributable to flood and therefore not covered, and how much is attributable to wind, which may be covered), as well as the scope of insurance coverage under homeowners and commercial property policies due to flooding, civil authority actions, loss of landscaping, business interruption and other matters. Certain of these cases claim a breach of duty of good faith or violations of Louisiana insurance claims handling laws or regulations and involve claims for punitive or exemplary damages.

The putative class actions were consolidated into the Master Consolidated Class Action Complaint pending in the United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana as part of the In Re: Katrina Canal Breaches Consolidated Litigation, Civil Action No. 05-4182. On June 16, 2009, the court issued an Order striking all class allegations in the Master Consolidated Class Action Complaint. On June 30, 2009, plaintiffs filed a motion for reconsideration of the court's ruling dismissing the class allegations.

Table of Contents

On August 23, 2007, the State of Louisiana (individually and on behalf of the State of Louisiana, Division of Administration, Office of Community Development) filed a putative class action (not included in the Master Consolidated Class Action) in the Civil District Court for the Parish of Orleans, State of Louisiana, entitled State of Louisiana, individually and on behalf of State of Louisiana, Division of Administration, Office of Community Development ex rel The Honorable Charles C. Foti, Jr., The Attorney General For the State of Louisiana, individually and as a class action on behalf of all recipients of funds as well as all eligible and/or future recipients of funds through The Road Home Program v. AAA Insurance, et al., No. 07-8970. The complaint named as defendants over 200 foreign and domestic insurance carriers, including us. Plaintiff seeks to represent a class of current and former Louisiana citizens who have applied for and received or will receive funds through Louisiana's Road Home program. On August 29, 2007, Plaintiff filed an Amended Petition in this case, asserting myriad claims, including claims for breach of: contract, the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, fiduciary duty and Louisiana's bad faith statutes. Plaintiff seeks relief in the form of, among other things, declarations that (a) the efficient proximate cause of losses suffered by putative class members was windstorm, a covered peril under their policies; (b) the second efficient proximate cause of their losses was storm surge, which Plaintiff contends is not excluded under class members' policies; (c) the damage caused by water entering affected parishes of Louisiana does not fall within the definition of "flood"; (d) the damages caused by water entering Orleans Parish and the surrounding area was a result of a man-made occurrence and are properly covered under class members' policies; (e) many class members suffered total losses to their residences; and (f) many class members are entitled to recover the full value for their residences stated on their policies pursuant to the Louisiana Valued Policy Law. In accordance with these requested declarations, Plaintiff seeks to recover amounts that it alleges should have been paid to policyholders under their insurance agreements, as well as penalties, attorneys' fees, and costs. The case has been removed to the Federal District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

On March 5, 2009, the court issued an Order granting in part and denying in part a Motion to Dismiss filed by defendants. The court dismissed all claims for bad faith and breach of fiduciary duty and all claims for flood damages under policies with flood exclusions or asserted under the Valued Policy Law, but rejected the insurers' arguments that the purported assignments from individual claimants to the state were barred by anti-assignment provisions in the insurers' policies. On April 16, 2009, the court denied a Motion for Reconsideration of its ruling regarding the anti-assignment provisions, but certified the issue as ripe for immediate appeal. On April 30, 2009, defendants filed a Petition for Permission to Appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit.

We have established our loss and LAE reserves on the assumption that we will not have any liability under the Road Home or similar litigation, and that we will otherwise prevail in litigation as to the causes of certain large losses and not incur extra contractual or punitive damages.

Regulatory and Industry Developments

Unfavorable economic conditions may contribute to an increase in the number of insurance companies that are under regulatory supervision. This may result in an increase in mandatory assessments by state guaranty funds, or voluntary payments by solvent insurance companies to cover losses to policyholders of insolvent or rehabilitated companies. Mandatory assessments, which are subject to statutory limits, can be partially recovered through a reduction in future premium taxes in some states. We are not able to reasonably estimate the potential impact of any such future assessments or voluntary payments.

Over the past three years, state-sponsored insurers, reinsurers and involuntary pools have increased significantly, particularly in those states which have Atlantic or Gulf Coast exposures. As a result, the potential assessment exposure of insurers doing business in such states and the attendant collection risks have increased, particularly, in our case, in the states of Massachusetts, Louisiana and Florida. Such actions and related regulatory restrictions on rate increases, underwriting and the ability to non-renew business may limit our ability to reduce the potential exposure to hurricane related losses. At this time, we are unable to predict the likelihood or impact of any such potential assessments or other actions.

Table of Contents

In February 2009, the Governor of Michigan called upon every automobile insurer operating in the state to freeze personal automobile insurance rates for 12 months to allow time for the legislature to enact comprehensive automobile insurance reform. In addition, she endorsed a number of proposals by her appointed Automobile and Home Insurance Consumer Advocate which would, among other things, change the current rate approval process from the current file and use system to prior approval, mandate affordable rates, reduce the threshold for lawsuits to be filed in at fault incidents, and prohibit the use of certain underwriting criteria such as credit-based insurance scores. The Office of Financial and Insurance Regulation (OFIR) had previously issued regulations prohibiting the use of credit scores to rate personal lines insurance policies, which regulations are the subject of litigation which is expected to be reviewed by the Michigan Supreme Court. Pending a determination by the Michigan Supreme Court, OFIR is enjoined from disapproving rates on the basis that they are based in part on credit-based insurance scores. At this time, we are unable to predict the likelihood of adoption or impact on our business of any such proposals or regulations, but any such restrictions could have an adverse effect on our results of operations.

From time to time, proposals have been made to establish a federal based insurance regulatory system and to allow insurers to elect either federal or state-based regulation (optional federal chartering). In light of the current economic crisis and the focus on increased regulatory controls, particularly with regard to financial institutions, there has been renewed interest in such proposals. In fact, several proposals have been introduced to create a system of optional federal chartering, to create federal oversight mechanisms for insurance or insurance holding companies, which are systemically important to the United States financial system and to create a national office to monitor insurance companies. We cannot predict the impact that any such change will have on our operations or business or on that of our competitors.

Other Matters

We have been named a defendant in various other legal proceedings arising in the normal course of business. In addition, we are involved, from time to time, in examinations, investigations and proceedings by governmental and self-regulatory agencies. The potential outcome of any such action or regulatory proceedings in which we have been named a defendant or the subject of an inquiry or investigation, and our ultimate liability, if any, from such action or regulatory proceedings, is difficult to predict at this time. In our opinion, based on the advice of legal counsel, the ultimate resolutions of such proceedings will not have a material effect on our financial position, although they could have a material effect on the results of operations for a particular quarter or annual period.

Residual Markets

We are required to participate in residual markets in various states, which generally pertain to high risk insureds, disrupted markets or lines of business or geographic areas where rates are regarded as excessive. The results of the residual markets are not subject to the predictability associated with our own managed business, and are significant to the workers compensation line of business, the homeowners line of business and both the personal and commercial automobile lines of business.

Rating Agency Actions

Insurance companies are rated by rating agencies to provide both industry participants and insurance consumers information on specific insurance companies. Higher ratings generally indicate the rating agencies opinion regarding financial stability and a stronger ability to pay claims.

We believe that strong ratings are important factors in marketing our products to our agents and customers, since rating information is broadly disseminated and generally used throughout the industry. Insurance company financial strength ratings are assigned to an insurer based upon factors deemed by the rating agencies to be relevant to policyholders and are not directed toward protection of investors. Such ratings are neither a rating of securities nor a recommendation to buy, hold or sell any security.

On May 8, 2009, A.M. Best upgraded the financial strength rating of our property and casualty subsidiaries to A (excellent) from A- (excellent). Additionally, A.M. Best upgraded our senior debt ratings to bbb (adequate) from bbb- (adequate) and our capital securities were upgraded to bb+ (speculative) from bb (speculative).

Table of Contents

Recent Developments

AFC Capital Trust I, a business trust, was established in 1997 for the sole purpose of issuing mandatorily redeemable preferred securities to investors. On July 30, 2009, we liquidated the Trust as permitted by the terms of the Trust documents. Each holder of Capital Securities as of that date received a principal amount of our Series B 8.207% Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures due February 3, 2027 equal to the liquidation amount of the Capital Securities held by such holder.

Hanover Insurance had its application approved for membership to the Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston (the FHLBB) and anticipates receiving a loan from the FHLBB. FHLBB membership will allow Hanover Insurance to participate in FHLBB's collateralized borrowing program. We expect that Hanover Insurance will apply for and receive a loan of up to \$150 million which would be secured with a pledge of government or agency securities held by Hanover Insurance. If borrowings from the FHLBB are not available on terms favorable to Hanover Insurance, we may choose to pursue other sources of financing or none at all. Hanover Insurance intends to use approximately \$125 million of the borrowed funds to acquire AIX from the holding company in an intercompany transaction.

Risks and Forward-Looking Statements

Information regarding risk factors and forward-looking information appears in Part II Item 1A of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and in Part I Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008. This Management's Discussion and Analysis should be read and interpreted in light of such factors.

Table of Contents

Glossary of Selected Insurance Terms

Benefit payments Payments made to an insured or their beneficiary in accordance with the terms of an insurance policy.

Casualty insurance Insurance that is primarily concerned with the losses caused by injuries to third persons and their property (other than the policyholder) and the related legal liability of the insured for such losses.

Catastrophe A severe loss, resulting from natural and manmade events, including risks such as hurricane, fire, earthquake, windstorm, tornado, hailstorm, severe winter weather, explosion, terrorism and other similar events.

Catastrophe loss Loss and directly identified loss adjustment expenses from catastrophes. The Insurance Services Office (ISO) Property Claim Services (PCS) defines a catastrophe loss as an event that causes \$25 million or more in industry insured property losses and affects a significant number of property and casualty policyholders and insurers. In addition to those catastrophe events declared by ISO, claims management also generally includes within the definition of a catastrophe loss , an event that causes approximately \$5 million or more in company insured property losses and affects in excess of one hundred policyholders.

Cede; ceded; ceding company When a party reinsures its liability with another, it cedes business and is referred to as the cedent or ceding company .

Combined ratio, GAAP This ratio is the GAAP equivalent of the statutory ratio that is widely used as a benchmark for determining an insurer s underwriting performance. A ratio below 100% generally indicates profitable underwriting prior to the consideration of investment income. A combined ratio over 100% generally indicates unprofitable underwriting prior to the consideration of investment income. The combined ratio is the sum of the loss ratio, the loss adjustment expense ratio and the underwriting expense ratio.

Credit spread The difference between the yield on the debt securities of a particular corporate debt issue and the yield of a similar maturity of U.S. Treasury debt securities.

Current year accident results A measure of the estimated earnings impact of current premiums offset by estimated loss experience and expenses for the current accident year. This measure includes the estimated increase in revenue associated with higher prices (premiums), including those caused by price inflation and changes in exposure, partially offset by higher volume driven expenses and inflation of loss costs. Volume driven expenses include policy acquisition costs such as commissions paid to property and casualty agents which are typically based on a percentage of premium dollars.

Dividends received deduction A corporation is entitled to a special tax deduction from gross income for dividends received from a domestic corporation that is subject to income tax.

Earned premium The portion of a premium that is recognized as income, or earned, based on the expired portion of the policy period, that is, the period for which loss coverage has actually been provided. For example, after six months, \$50 of a \$100 annual premium is considered earned premium. The remaining \$50 of annual premium is unearned premium. Net earned premium is earned premium net of reinsurance.

Excess of loss reinsurance Reinsurance that indemnifies the insured against all or a specific portion of losses under reinsured policies in excess of a specified dollar amount or retention .

Expense Ratio, GAAP The ratio of underwriting expenses to premiums earned for a given period.

Exposure A measure of the rating units or premium basis of a risk; for example, an exposure of a number of automobiles.

Frequency The number of claims occurring during a given coverage period.

Inland Marine Insurance In Commercial Lines, this is a type of coverage developed for shipments that do not involve ocean transport. It covers articles in transit by all forms of land and air transportation as well as bridges, tunnels and other means of transportation and communication. In the context of Personal Lines, this term relates to floater policies that cover expensive personal items such as fine art and jewelry.

Loss adjustment expenses (LAE) Expenses incurred in the adjusting, recording, and settlement of claims. These expenses include both internal company expenses and outside services. Examples of LAE include claims adjustment services, adjuster salaries and fringe benefits,

legal fees and court costs, investigation fees and claims processing fees.

Table of Contents

Loss adjustment expense (LAE) ratio, GAAP The ratio of loss adjustment expenses to earned premiums for a given period.

Loss costs An amount of money paid for a property and casualty claim.

Loss ratio, GAAP The ratio of losses to premiums earned for a given period.

Loss reserves Liabilities established by insurers to reflect the estimated cost of claims payments and the related expenses that the insurer will ultimately be required to pay in respect of insurance it has written. Reserves are established for losses and for LAE.

Multivariate product An insurance product, the pricing for which is based upon the magnitude of, and correlation between, multiple rating factors. In practical application, the term refers to the foundational analytics and methods applied to the product construct. Our *Connections Auto* product is a multivariate product.

Peril A cause of loss.

Perpetual preferred stock Preferred stock that has no fixed maturity date and that cannot be redeemed at the option of the holder. Cumulative perpetual preferred stock accumulates dividends from one dividend period to the next.

Property insurance Insurance that provides coverage for tangible property in the event of loss, damage or loss of use.

Rate The pricing factor upon which the policyholder's premium is based.

Rate increase (Commercial Lines) Represents the average change in premium on renewal policies caused by the estimated net effect of base rate changes, discretionary pricing, inflation or changes in policy level exposure.

Rate increase (Personal Lines) The estimated cumulative premium effect of approved rate actions during the prior policy period applied to a policy's renewal premium.

Reinstatement premium A pro-rata reinsurance premium that may be charged for reinstating the amount of reinsurance coverage reduced as the result of a reinsurance loss occurrence under a reinsurance treaty. For example, in 2005 this premium was required to ensure that our property catastrophe occurrence treaty, which was exhausted by Hurricane Katrina, was available again in the event of another large catastrophe loss in 2005.

Reinsurance An arrangement in which an insurance company, the reinsurer, agrees to indemnify another insurance or reinsurance company, the ceding company, against all or a portion of the insurance or reinsurance risks underwritten by the ceding company under one or more policies. Reinsurance can provide a ceding company with several benefits, including a reduction in net liability on risks and catastrophe protection from large or multiple losses. Reinsurance does not legally discharge the primary insurer from its liability with respect to its obligations to the insured.

Risk based capital (RBC) A method of measuring the minimum amount of capital appropriate for an insurance company to support its overall business operations in consideration of its size and risk profile. The RBC ratio for regulatory purposes is calculated as total adjusted capital divided by required risk based capital. Total adjusted capital for property and casualty companies is capital and surplus. The Company Action Level is the first level at which regulatory involvement is specified based upon the level of capital. Regulators may take action for reasons other than triggering various RBC action levels. The various action levels are summarized as follows:

The Company Action Level, which equals 200% of the Authorized Control Level, requires a company to prepare and submit a RBC plan to the commissioner of the state of domicile. A RBC plan proposes actions which a company may take in order to bring statutory capital above the Company Action Level. After review, the commissioner will notify the company if the plan is satisfactory.

The Regulatory Action Level, which equals 150% of the Authorized Control Level, requires the insurer to submit to the commissioner of the state of domicile an RBC plan, or if applicable, a revised RBC plan. After examination or analysis, the

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

commissioner will issue an order specifying corrective actions to be taken.

The Authorized Control Level authorizes the commissioner of the state of domicile to take whatever regulatory actions considered necessary to protect the best interest of the policyholders and creditors of the insurer.

The Mandatory Control Level, which equals 70% of the Authorized Control Level, authorizes the commissioner of the state of domicile to take actions necessary to place the company under regulatory control (i.e., rehabilitation or liquidation).

Table of Contents

Security Lending We engage our banking provider to lend securities from our investment portfolio to third parties. These lent securities are fully collateralized by cash. We monitor the fair value of the securities on a daily basis to assure that the collateral is maintained at a level of at least 102% of the fair value of the loaned securities. We record securities lending collateral as a cash equivalent, with an offsetting liability in expenses and taxes payable.

Severity A monetary increase in the loss costs associated with the same or similar type of event or coverage.

Specialty Lines A major component of our Other Commercial Lines, includes products such as inland and ocean marine, bond specialty property, professional liability and various other program business.

Statutory accounting principles Recording transactions and preparing financial statements in accordance with the rules and procedures prescribed or permitted by insurance regulatory authorities including the NAIC, which in general reflect a liquidating, rather than going concern, concept of accounting.

Underwriting The process of selecting risks for insurance and determining in what amounts and on what terms the insurance company will accept risks.

Underwriting expenses Expenses incurred in connection with the acquisition, pricing and administration of a policy.

Underwriting expense ratio, GAAP The ratio of underwriting expenses to earned premiums in a given period.

Unearned premiums The portion of a premium representing the unexpired amount of the contract term as of a certain date.

Written premium The premium assessed for the entire coverage period of a property and casualty policy without regard to how much of the premium has been earned. See also earned premium. Net written premium is written premium net of reinsurance.

Table of Contents**ITEM 3****QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES****ABOUT MARKET RISK**

Our market risks, and the ways we manage them, are summarized in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations as of December 31, 2008, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. There have been no material changes in the first six months of 2009 to these risks or our management of them. The following interest rate sensitivity table is intended to supplement our interest rate sensitivity discussion included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

INTEREST RATE SENSITIVITY

The following table illustrates the estimated impact on the fair value of our investment portfolio at June 30, 2009 of hypothetical changes in prevailing interest rates, defined as changes in interest rates on U.S. Treasury debt. It does not reflect changes in credit spreads, liquidity spreads and other factors that affect the value of securities. Since changes in prevailing interest rates are often accompanied by changes in these other factors, the reader should not assume that an actual change in interest rates would result in the values illustrated.

(Fair value, in millions)

| Investment Type | +300bp | +200bp | +100bp | 0 | -100bp | -200bp | -300bp |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | \$ 825 | \$ 870 | \$ 920 | \$ 975 | \$ 1,000 | \$ 1,000 | \$ 1,000 |
| All other fixed income securities | 3,085 | 3,225 | 3,370 | 3,525 | 3,685 | 3,835 | 3,950 |
| Total | \$ 3,910 | \$ 4,095 | \$ 4,290 | \$ 4,500 | \$ 4,685 | \$ 4,835 | \$ 4,950 |

Table of Contents

ITEM 4

CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures Evaluation

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we conducted an evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act).

Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls over financial reporting will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the control system's objectives will be met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Controls can also be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls is based in part on certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or deterioration in the degree of compliance with policies or procedures. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

Conclusion Regarding the Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Based on our controls evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that (i) the information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and (ii) material information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). Our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, conducted an evaluation of the internal control over financial reporting, as required by Rule 13a-15(d) of the Exchange Act, to determine whether any changes occurred during the period covered by this quarterly report on Form 10-Q that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that there was no such change during the quarter ended June 30, 2009, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents**PART II - OTHER INFORMATION****ITEM 1 LEGAL PROCEEDINGS***Durand Litigation*

On March 12, 2007, a putative class action suit captioned Jennifer A. Durand v. The Hanover Insurance Group, Inc., The Allmerica Financial Cash Balance Pension Plan was filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Kentucky. The named plaintiff, a former employee who received a lump sum distribution from our Cash Balance Plan at or about the time of her termination, claims that she and others similarly situated did not receive the appropriate lump sum distribution because in computing the lump sum, we understated the accrued benefit in the calculation. We filed a motion to dismiss on the basis that the plaintiff failed to exhaust administrative remedies, which motion was granted without prejudice in a decision dated November 7, 2007. This decision was reversed by an order dated March 24, 2009 issued by the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, and the case was remanded to the district court. In our judgment, the outcome is not expected to be material to our financial position, although it could have a material effect on the results of operations for a particular quarter or annual period.

Hurricane Katrina Litigation

We have been named as a defendant in various litigations, including putative class actions, relating to disputes arising from damages which occurred as a result of Hurricane Katrina in 2005. As of June 30, 2009, there were approximately 68 such cases. These cases have been filed in both Louisiana state courts and federal district courts. These cases generally involve, among other claims, disputes as to the amount of reimbursable claims in particular cases (e.g. how much of the damage to an insured property is attributable to flood and therefore not covered, and how much is attributable to wind, which may be covered), as well as the scope of insurance coverage under homeowners and commercial property policies due to flooding, civil authority actions, loss of landscaping, business interruption and other matters. Certain of these cases claim a breach of duty of good faith or violations of Louisiana insurance claims handling laws or regulations and involve claims for punitive or exemplary damages.

The putative class actions were consolidated into the Master Consolidated Class Action Complaint pending in the United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana as part of the In Re: Katrina Canal Breaches Consolidated Litigation, Civil Action No. 05-4182. On June 16, 2009, the court issued an Order striking all class allegations in the Master Consolidated Class Action Complaint. On June 30, 2009, plaintiffs filed a motion for reconsideration of the court's ruling dismissing the class allegations.

On August 23, 2007, the State of Louisiana (individually and on behalf of the State of Louisiana, Division of Administration, Office of Community Development) filed a putative class action (not included in the Master Consolidated Class Action) in the Civil District Court for the Parish of Orleans, State of Louisiana, entitled State of Louisiana, individually and on behalf of State of Louisiana, Division of Administration, Office of Community Development ex rel The Honorable Charles C. Foti, Jr., The Attorney General For the State of Louisiana, individually and as a class action on behalf of all recipients of funds as well as all eligible and/or future recipients of funds through The Road Home Program v. AAA Insurance, et al., No. 07-8970. The complaint named as defendants over 200 foreign and domestic insurance carriers, including us. Plaintiff seeks to represent a class of current and former Louisiana citizens who have applied for and received or will receive funds through Louisiana's Road Home program. On August 29, 2007, Plaintiff filed an Amended Petition in this case, asserting myriad claims, including claims for breach of: contract, the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, fiduciary duty and Louisiana's bad faith statutes. Plaintiff seeks relief in the form of, among other things, declarations that (a) the efficient proximate cause of losses suffered by putative class members was windstorm, a covered peril under their policies; (b) the second efficient proximate cause of their losses was storm surge, which Plaintiff contends is not excluded under class members' policies; (c) the damage caused by water entering affected parishes of Louisiana does not fall within the definition of "flood"; (d) the damages caused by water entering Orleans Parish and the surrounding area was a result of a man-made occurrence and are properly covered under class members' policies; (e) many class members suffered total losses to their residences; and (f) many class members are entitled to recover the full value for their residences stated on their policies pursuant to the Louisiana Valued Policy Law. In accordance with these requested declarations, Plaintiff seeks to recover amounts that it alleges should have been paid to policyholders under their insurance agreements, as well as penalties, attorneys' fees, and costs. The case has been removed to the Federal District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

Table of Contents

On March 5, 2009, the court issued an Order granting in part and denying in part a Motion to Dismiss filed by defendants. The court dismissed all claims for bad faith and breach of fiduciary duty and all claims for flood damages under policies with flood exclusions or asserted under the Valued Policy Law, but rejected the insurers' arguments that the purported assignments from individual claimants to the state were barred by anti-assignment provisions in the insurers' policies. On April 16, 2009, the court denied a Motion for Reconsideration of its ruling regarding the anti-assignment provisions, but certified the issue as ripe for immediate appeal. On April 30, 2009, defendants filed a Petition for Permission to Appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit.

We have established our loss and LAE reserves on the assumption that we will not have any liability under the Road Home or similar litigation, and that we will otherwise prevail in litigation as to the causes of certain large losses and not incur extra contractual or punitive damages.

ITEM 1A RISK FACTORS

This document contains, and management may make, certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. All statements, other than statements of historical facts, may be forward-looking statements. We wish to caution readers that the following important factors, among others, in some cases have affected and in the future could affect our actual results and could cause our actual results for the remainder of 2009 and beyond to differ materially from historical results and from those expressed in any of our forward-looking statements. When used in our Management's Discussion and Analysis, the words believes, anticipates, expects, projections, outlook, should, could, plan, guidance, likely, on track to, targeted and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking

The factors that may impact our forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to the factors listed below. While any of these factors could affect our business as a whole, we have grouped certain factors by the business segment to which we believe they are most likely to apply. Those factors that represent risks that have changed since our Annual Report on Form 10-K are presented in bold and additional risks to those described in Important Factors Regarding Forward-Looking Statements filed as Exhibit 99.2 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2008 are identified under the heading *RISKS RELATING TO INVESTMENTS*.

In addition to the factors described below, our business is subject to a number of risks including, but not limited to those incorporated by reference from Exhibit 99.2 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2008, as supplemented below. We operate in a business environment that is continually changing. As such, new risk factors may emerge over time. We cannot predict these new risk factors nor can we assess the impact, if any, that they may have on our business in the future.

Risks Relating To Our Property and Casualty Insurance Business

We generate most of our total revenues and earnings through our property and casualty insurance subsidiaries. The results of companies in the property and casualty insurance industry historically have been subject to significant fluctuations and uncertainties. Our profitability could be affected significantly by (i) adverse loss development or loss adjustment expense for events we (including our recently acquired subsidiaries) have insured in either the current or in prior years, including risks indirectly insured through various mandatory market mechanisms or through discontinued pools which are included in the Other Property and Casualty segment and in the operations of the discontinued accident and health business or the expected decline in the amount of favorable development which has been realized in recent periods, which could be material, particularly in light of the significance of favorable development as a contributor to the Property and Casualty Group's segment income; (ii) an inability to retain profitable policies in force and attract profitable policies in our Personal Lines and Commercial Lines segments, whether as the result of an increasingly competitive product pricing environment, the adoption by competitors of strategies to increase agency appointments and commissions, as well as marketing and advertising expenditures or otherwise; (iii) heightened competition, including the intensification of price competition and increased marketing efforts by our competitors, the entry of new competitors and the introduction of new products by new and existing competitors, or as the result of consolidation within the financial services industry and the entry of additional financial institutions into the insurance industry; (iv) failure to obtain new customers, retain existing customers or reductions of policies in force by existing customers, whether as a result of recent competition or otherwise;

Table of Contents

(v) increases in costs, particularly those occurring after the time our products are priced and including construction, automobile repair, and medical and rehabilitation costs, and including as the result of cost shifting from health insurers to casualty and liability insurers (whether as a result of an increasing number of injured parties without health insurance, coverage changes in health policies to make such coverage, in certain circumstances, secondary to other policies, **or implementation of the Medicare Secondary Payer Act which requires reporting and imposes other requirements with respect to medical and related claims paid with respect to Medicare eligible individuals**); (vi) **restrictions on insurance underwriting and rates**, including as a result of proposals by the Governor of Michigan with respect to automobile insurance; (vii) adverse state and federal legislation or regulation, including mandated decreases in rates, the inability to obtain further rate increases, limitations on premium levels, increases in minimum capital and reserve requirements, benefit mandates, limitations on the ability to manage care and utilization, requirements to write certain classes of business, **limitations on the use of credit-based insurance scoring, such as proposals to ban the use of credit-based insurance scores with respect to personal lines in Michigan, Florida and other states or as proposed by Congress from time to time**, restrictions on the use of certain compensation arrangements with agents and brokers, as well as continued compliance with state and federal regulations; (viii) adverse changes in the ratings obtained from independent rating agencies, such as Moody's, Standard and Poor's, Fitch and A.M. Best, whether due to investment impairments, additional capital requirements, our underwriting performance or other factors, including future rating agency requirements that may result from the current global economic crisis or otherwise; (ix) industry-wide change resulting from proposed regulations, investigations and inquiries relating to compensation arrangements with insurance brokers and agents; (x) **disruptions caused by the introduction of new products, including new Commercial Lines specialty and niche products**, or in connection with the integration and expansion of newly acquired businesses; (xi) **changes in our exposure as a result of the introduction of new commercial lines products, particularly those with longer tails such as the new Human Services non-profit directors and officers and employment practices liability policies**; (xii) the impact of our acquisitions of Professionals Direct, Inc., Verlan Holdings, Inc., AIX Holdings, Inc., or other future acquisitions, including potential reserve deficiencies, distribution channel conflicts or disruptions in personnel or operating models.

Additionally, our profitability could be affected by adverse catastrophe experience (including terrorism), severe weather or other unanticipated significant losses. Further, certain new catastrophe models assume an increased frequency and severity of certain weather events, and financial strength rating agencies are placing increased emphasis on capital and reinsurance adequacy for insurers with certain geographic concentrations of risk, particularly in coastal areas. We have significant concentration of exposures in certain areas, including portions of the Northeast and Southeast and derive a material amount of profits from operations in the Midwest. There are also concerns that the higher level of weather-related catastrophes and other losses incurred by the industry in recent years is indicative of changing weather patterns, whether as a result of changing climate (global warming) or otherwise, which could cause such events to persist. This would lead to higher overall losses which we may not be able to recoup, particularly in the current economic and competitive environment.

Underwriting results and segment income could be adversely affected by further changes in our net loss and LAE estimates related to hurricanes Katrina, Ike, Gustav and other significant events **or emerging risks such as Chinese drywall claims. Chinese drywall claims consist of individual and class action litigation related to the installation of drywall manufactured in China which allegedly emits a foul odor and gasses which cause respiratory, sleep and other health problems and cause corrosion of metal substances. Although it is too soon to assess the merits of such claims or our potential liability for indemnity and defense costs, such claims involve or may involve drywall distributors and installers, contractors, homeowners and others.**

The risks and uncertainties in our business that may affect net loss, LAE and reserve estimates and future performance, including the difficulties in arriving at such estimates, should be considered. Estimating losses following any major catastrophe **or with respect to emerging issues** is an inherently uncertain process. Factors that add to the complexity in these events include the legal and regulatory uncertainty, the complexity of factors contributing to the losses, delays in claim reporting **and with respect to areas with significant property damage**, the impact of demand surge and a slower pace of recovery resulting from the extent of damage sustained in the affected areas due in part to the availability and cost of resources to effect repairs. **Emerging issues may involve complex coverage, liability and other costs which could significantly affect LAE.** As a result, there can be no assurance that our ultimate costs associated with these events or issues will not be substantially different from current estimates.

Table of Contents

Additionally, future operating results as compared to prior years and forward-looking information regarding Personal Lines and Commercial Lines segment information on written and earned premiums, policies in force, underwriting results and segment income currently are expected to be adversely affected by competitive and regulatory pressures affecting rates, particularly in Michigan where the Governor has called for a freeze on automobile insurance rates. In addition, underwriting results and segment income could be adversely affected by changes in frequency and loss trends. Results in Personal Lines business may also be adversely affected by pricing decreases and market disruptions (including any caused by the current economic environment, particularly in Michigan, proposals in Michigan to reduce rates, expand coverage, limit territorial ratings, **increase penalties for delays in claim payments**, or expand circumstances in which parties can recover non-economic damages for bodily injury claims (i.e., efforts to modify or overturn the so-called Kreiner decision), the Michigan Commissioner of Insurance's proposed ban on the use of credit scores, or the Governor's executive order creating a position of the Automobile and Home Insurance Consumer Advocate, who is to act independent from the Michigan Commissioner of Insurance). The introduction of managed competition in Massachusetts has resulted in overall rate level reductions **and an increase in regulatory scrutiny by the Massachusetts Attorney General**. Additionally, there is uncertainty regarding our ability to attract and retain customers in this market as new and larger carriers enter the state of Massachusetts as a result of managed competition.

Also, our Personal Lines business production and earnings may be unfavorably affected by the introduction of our multivariate auto product should we experience adverse selection because of our pricing, operational difficulties or implementation impediments with independent agents, or the inability to grow or sustain growth in new markets after the introduction of new products or the appointment of new agents. In addition, there are increased underwriting risks associated with premium growth and the introduction of new products or programs in both our Personal and Commercial Lines businesses, as well as the appointment of new agencies and the expansion into new geographical areas, and we have experienced increased loss ratios with respect to our new personal automobile business, which is written through our Connections Auto product, particularly in certain states where we have less experience and data.

Similarly, the introduction of new Commercial Lines products, including through our recently acquired subsidiaries and the development of new niche and specialty lines, presents new risks. Certain new specialty products may present longer tail risks and increased volatility in profitability. **Our planned expansion into new western states, including California, presents additional underwriting risk since the regulatory, geographic, natural risk, legal environment, demographic, business, economic and other characteristics of these states present challenges different from those in the states in which we currently do business.**

Additionally, during the past few years we have made, and our current plans are to continue to make, significant investments in our Personal Lines and Commercial Lines businesses to, among other things, strengthen our product offerings and service capabilities, improve technology and our operating models, build expertise in our personnel, and expand our distribution capabilities, with the ultimate goal of achieving significant and sustained profitable growth and obtaining favorable returns on these investments. In order for these investment strategies to be profitable, we must achieve both profitable premium growth and the successful implementation of our operating models so that our expenses do not increase proportionately with growth. The ability to grow profitably throughout the property and casualty cycle is crucial to our current strategy. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in profitably growing our business, or that we will not alter our current strategy due to changes in our markets or an inability to successfully maintain acceptable margins on new business or for other reasons, in which case written and earned premium, segment income and net book value could be adversely affected.

Significant increases in recent years and expected further increases in the number of participants or insureds in state-sponsored reinsurance pools or FAIR Plans, particularly in the states of Massachusetts, Louisiana, Florida and North Carolina combined with regulatory restrictions on the ability to adequately price, underwrite, or non-renew business, could expose us to significant exposures and assessment risks.

Risks Relating To Our Discontinued Life Companies Business

Our discontinued Life Companies businesses may be affected by (i) adverse actions related to legal and regulatory actions described under Contingencies and Regulatory Matters, including those which are subject to the FIN 45 reserve described under Life Companies Discontinued Operations; (ii) adverse loss and expense development related to our discontinued assumed accident and health reinsurance pool business or failures of our reinsurers to timely pay their obligations (especially in light of the fact that historically these pools sometimes involved multiple layers of overlapping reinsurers, or so called spirals); (iii) possible indemnification claims relating to sales practices for insurance and investment products or our historical administration of such products or the Closed Block, including with respect to activities of our former agents; and (iv) the impact of contingent liabilities, including litigation and regulatory matters, assumed or retained by THG in connection with the transaction and the impact of other indemnification obligations owed from THG to Goldman Sachs and/or Commonwealth Annuity (including with respect to existing and potential litigation).

Table of Contents**Risks Relating To Our Business Generally**

Other market fluctuations and general economic, market and political conditions also may negatively affect our business and profitability. These conditions include (i) the difficulties of estimating the impact of the current financial turmoil on the value of our investment portfolio **and related impact on our other comprehensive income, shareholders equity**, and future investment income, including the amount of realized losses and impairments which will be recognized in future financial reports and our ability and intent to hold such investments until recovery; (ii) the impact on our capital and liquidity of the current financial turmoil, including as a result of defaults in our fixed income investment portfolio and the market decline in the value of non-government backed investments; (iii) changes in interest rates causing a reduction of investment income or in the market value of interest rate sensitive investments; (iv) higher service, administrative or general expense due to the need for additional advertising, marketing, administrative or management information systems expenditures; (v) the inability to attract, or the loss or retirement of key executives or other key employees, and increased costs associated with the replacement of key executives or employees; (vi) changes in our liquidity due to changes in asset and liability matching, including the effect of defaults of debt securities; (vii) failure of a reinsurer of our policies to pay its liabilities under reinsurance or coinsurance contracts or adverse effects on the cost and availability of reinsurance (including as a result of any such insurer's losses in its investment portfolio as a result of the current economic conditions or the result of significant catastrophes such as the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks or Hurricane Katrina); (viii) changes in the mix of assets comprising our investment portfolios and changes in general market conditions that may cause the market value of our investment portfolio to fluctuate, including the expansion of current concerns regarding sub-prime mortgages to prime mortgage and corresponding mortgage-backed or other debt securities and concerns relative to the ratings and capitalization of municipal bond and mortgage guarantees and the valuation of commercial mortgages and commercial mortgage backed securities; (ix) losses resulting from our participation in certain reinsurance pools, including pools in which we no longer participate but may have unquantified potential liabilities relating to asbestos environmental and other latent exposure matters, or from fronting arrangements where the reinsurer does not meet all of its reinsurance obligations; (x) defaults or impairments of debt securities held by us; (xi) higher employee benefit costs due to the significant decline in market values of defined benefit retirement plan assets resulting from the current economic crisis, interest rate fluctuations, regulatory requirements or judicial interpretations of benefits (including with respect to our Cash Balance Plan which is the subject of the Durand litigation); (xii) the effects of our restructuring actions, including any resulting from our review of operational matters related to our business, including a review of our markets, products, organization, financial capabilities, agency management, regulatory environment, ancillary businesses and service processes; (xiii) errors or omissions in connection with the administration of any of our products; (xiv) breaches of our information technology security systems or other operational disruptions or breaches which result in the loss or compromise of confidential financial, personal, medical or other information about our policyholders, claimants, agents or others with whom we do business; (xv) interruptions in our ability to conduct business as a result of terrorist actions, catastrophes or other significant events affecting infrastructure, and delays in recovery of our operating capabilities; and **(xvi) U.S. inflationary pressures, particularly with respect to medical and health care, automobile repair and construction costs, all of which are significant components of our indemnity liabilities under policies we issue to our customers, and which could also impact the adequacy of reserves we have set aside for prior accident years.**

Recent developments in the global financial markets may adversely affect our investment portfolio, **shareholders equity** and overall performance. Global financial markets have recently experienced unprecedented and challenging conditions, including a tightening in the availability of credit and the failure of several large financial institutions. As a result, certain government bodies and central banks worldwide, including the U.S. Treasury Department and the U.S. Federal Reserve, have undertaken unprecedented intervention programs, the effects of which remain uncertain. There can be no assurances that these intervention programs, including The Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 and The 2009 American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, will be successful in improving conditions in the global financial market. **Further government intervention continues to be discussed, specifically the creation of an agency to provide federal oversight of insurance companies; the effect of such federal oversight that may transpire is unknown.** The U.S. economy has experienced and continues to experience significant declines in employment, household wealth, and lending. If conditions further deteriorate, our business could be affected in different ways. Continued turbulence in the U.S. economy and contraction in the credit markets could adversely affect our profitability, demand for our products or our ability to raise rates, and could also result in declines in market value and future impairments of our investment assets. There can be no assurances that conditions in the global financial markets will not worsen and/or further adversely affect our investment portfolio and overall performance. Recessionary economic periods and higher unemployment are historically accompanied by higher claims activity, particularly in the personal and workers' compensation lines of business and higher defaults in contractors' bonds.

Table of Contents

RISKS RELATING TO INVESTMENTS

We have experienced and may continue to experience unrealized losses on our investments, especially during a period of heightened volatility, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

Our investment portfolio and shareholders' equity can be and has been significantly impacted by the changes in the market values of our securities. Recent developments continue to illustrate that the U.S. and global financial markets and economies are in an unprecedented period of uncertainty and instability. The financial market volatility and the resulting negative economic impact could continue and may be prolonged. This could result in additional unrealized and realized losses in future periods, and adversely affect the liquidity of our investments, which could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations and our financial position.

If we are unable to hold our investment assets until they recover in value, we would incur other-than-temporary impairments which would be recognized as realized losses in our results of operations and reduce net income and earnings per share. Temporary declines in market value are recorded as unrealized losses, which do not affect net income and earnings per share, but reduce other comprehensive income, which is reflected on our Consolidated Balance Sheets. We cannot provide assurance that the other-than-temporary impairments we have recognized will, in fact, be adequate to cover future losses or that we will not have substantial additional impairments and or unrealized investment losses in the future.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2 UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS***Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities*

On October 16, 2007, the Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$100 million of our common stock. Under this repurchase authorization, we may repurchase our common stock from time to time, in amounts and prices and at such times as we deem appropriate, subject to market conditions and other considerations. We are not required to purchase any specific number of shares or to make purchases by any certain date under this program. As of June 30, 2009, approximately \$67 million of shares had been repurchased under this program.

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | Approximate Dollar Value of Shares That May Yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs |
|---------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| April 1 30, 2009 | | \$ | | \$ 39,800,000 |
| May 1 31, 2009 (1) | 318 | 32.75 | | 39,800,000 |
| June 1 30, 2009 (2) | 192,899 | 37.11 | 192,109 | 32,700,000 |
| Total | 193,217 | \$ 37.10 | 192,109 | \$ 32,700,000 |

- (1) Shares were withheld to satisfy tax withholding amount due from employees upon their receipt of previously restricted shares.
(2) Includes 790 shares withheld to satisfy tax withholding amount due from employees upon their receipt of previously restricted shares.

ITEM 4 SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

Our annual shareholders meeting was held on May 12, 2009. The following is a brief description of each matter voted upon at the meeting and the votes cast.

Election of Directors:

Four directors nominated for election by the Board of Directors were named in proxies for the meeting, which proxies were solicited pursuant to Regulation 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

| | FOR | AGAINST | ABSTAIN |
|-----------------------|------------|-----------|---------|
| Frederick H. Eppinger | 39,142,229 | 1,275,747 | 35,974 |
| Gail L. Harrison | 38,994,066 | 1,420,188 | 39,696 |
| Joseph R. Ramrath | 39,506,006 | 905,303 | 42,641 |
| Harriett Tee Taggart | 39,463,845 | 949,862 | 40,243 |

The other directors whose terms continued after the Annual Meeting are Michael P. Angelini, P. Kevin Condron, Neal F. Finnegan, David J. Gallitano, Wendell J. Knox, and Robert J. Murray.

Ratification of Independent Public Accountants:

Shareholders ratified the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2009.

| | |
|---------|------------|
| For | 39,680,666 |
| Against | 742,509 |
| Abstain | 30,775 |

Approval of the 2009 Short-Term Incentive Compensation Plan:

Edgar Filing: HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Shareholders approved the 2009 Short-Term Incentive Compensation Plan.

| | |
|---------|------------|
| For | 38,379,033 |
| Against | 1,972,096 |
| Abstain | 102,821 |

Table of Contents

ITEM 6 EXHIBITS

- EX 10.1 The Hanover Insurance Group, Inc. 2009 Short-Term Incentive Compensation Plan previously filed as Annex 2 to the Registrant's Proxy Statement (Commission File No. 001-13754) filed with the Commission on March 27, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference.
- EX 10.2 Description of 2009 - 2010 Non-Employee Director Compensation
- EX 31.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 78m, 78o(d), as adopted pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- EX 31.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 78m, 78o(d), as adopted pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- EX 32.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- EX 32.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

The Hanover Insurance Group, Inc
Registrant

August 7, 2009
Date

/s/ Frederick H. Eppinger, Jr.
Frederick H. Eppinger, Jr.
President, Chief Executive Officer and Director

August 7, 2009
Date

/s/ Eugene M. Bullis
Eugene M. Bullis
Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Principal
Accounting Officer