

Edgar Filing: Philip Morris International Inc. - Form 10-Q

Philip Morris International Inc.
Form 10-Q
November 05, 2010
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2010

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 001-33708

Philip Morris International Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Virginia
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

13-3435103
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

120 Park Avenue
New York, New York
(Address of principal executive offices)

10017
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code

(917) 663-2000

Edgar Filing: Philip Morris International Inc. - Form 10-Q

Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

At October 29, 2010, there were 1,814,393,563 shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock, no par value per share.

Table of Contents

PHILIP MORRIS INTERNATIONAL INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1. <u>Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009</u>	3 4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2009</u>	5
<u>Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2009</u>	6
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2009</u>	7
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2009</u>	8 9
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	10 40
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	41 77
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	78
PART II - OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	79
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	79
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	80
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	81
<u>Signature</u>	82
In this report, PMI, we, us and our refers to Philip Morris International Inc. and subsidiaries.	

Table of Contents

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements.

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

(in millions of dollars)

(Unaudited)

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3,507	\$ 1,540
Receivables (less allowances of \$36 in 2010 and \$33 in 2009)	3,022	3,098
Inventories:		
Leaf tobacco	4,419	4,183
Other raw materials	1,351	1,275
Finished product	<u>2,478</u>	<u>3,749</u>
	8,248	9,207
Deferred income taxes	282	305
Other current assets	<u>423</u>	<u>532</u>
Total current assets	15,482	14,682
Property, plant and equipment, at cost	12,766	12,258
Less: accumulated depreciation	<u>6,179</u>	<u>5,868</u>
	6,587	6,390
Goodwill	10,195	9,112
Other intangible assets, net	3,868	3,546
Other assets	<u>747</u>	<u>822</u>
TOTAL ASSETS	<u>\$ 36,879</u>	<u>\$ 34,552</u>

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (Continued)

(in millions of dollars, except share data)

(Unaudited)

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
LIABILITIES		
Short-term borrowings	\$ 2,416	\$ 1,662
Current portion of long-term debt	1,436	82
Accounts payable	1,064	670
Accrued liabilities:		
Marketing and selling	423	441
Taxes, except income taxes	4,812	4,824
Employment costs	755	752
Dividends payable	1,173	1,101
Other	786	955
Income taxes	709	500
Deferred income taxes	<u>139</u>	<u>191</u>
Total current liabilities	13,713	11,178
Long-term debt	13,595	13,672
Deferred income taxes	1,892	1,688
Employment costs	1,060	1,260
Other liabilities	<u>497</u>	<u>609</u>
Total liabilities	30,757	28,407
Contingencies (Note 10)		
Redeemable noncontrolling interests (Note 7)	1,199	
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Common stock, no par value (2,109,316,331 shares issued in 2010 and 2009)		
Additional paid-in capital	1,236	1,403
Earnings reinvested in the business	17,539	15,358
Accumulated other comprehensive losses	<u>(520)</u>	<u>(817)</u>
	18,255	15,944
Less: cost of repurchased stock (291,031,094 and 222,151,828 shares in 2010 and 2009, respectively)	<u>13,723</u>	<u>10,228</u>
Total PMI stockholders equity	4,532	5,716
Noncontrolling interests	<u>391</u>	<u>429</u>
Total stockholders equity	<u>4,923</u>	<u>6,145</u>
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	\$ 36,879	\$ 34,552

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings

(in millions of dollars, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Net revenues	\$ 49,906	\$ 45,072
Cost of sales	7,212	6,476
Excise taxes on products	<u>29,735</u>	<u>26,754</u>
Gross profit	12,959	11,842
Marketing, administration and research costs	4,417	4,186
Asset impairment and exit costs	20	3
Amortization of intangibles	<u>65</u>	<u>54</u>
Operating income	8,457	7,599
Interest expense, net	<u>660</u>	<u>572</u>
Earnings before income taxes	7,797	7,027
Provision for income taxes	<u>2,109</u>	<u>2,059</u>
Net earnings	5,688	4,968
Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests	<u>181</u>	<u>148</u>
Net earnings attributable to PMI	<u>\$ 5,507</u>	<u>\$ 4,820</u>
Per share data (Note 8):		
Basic earnings per share	<u>\$ 2.96</u>	<u>\$ 2.45</u>
Diluted earnings per share	<u>\$ 2.96</u>	<u>\$ 2.44</u>
Dividends declared	<u>\$ 1.80</u>	<u>\$ 1.66</u>

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings

(in millions of dollars, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,	
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Net revenues	\$ 16,936	\$ 16,573
Cost of sales	2,290	2,320
Excise taxes on products	10,322	9,986
Gross profit	4,324	4,267
Marketing, administration and research costs	1,446	1,398
Asset impairment and exit costs	20	1
Amortization of intangibles	22	18
Operating income	2,836	2,850
Interest expense, net	214	221
Earnings before income taxes	2,622	2,629
Provision for income taxes	730	775
Net earnings	1,892	1,854
Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests	70	56
Net earnings attributable to PMI	\$ 1,822	\$ 1,798
Per share data (Note 8):		
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.99	\$ 0.93
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.99	\$ 0.93
Dividends declared	\$ 0.64	\$ 0.58

Edgar Filing: Philip Morris International Inc. - Form 10-Q

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

-6-

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity
for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2009
(in millions of dollars, except per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	PMI Stockholders Earnings Reinvested in the Business	Equity Accumulated Other Comprehensive Earnings (Losses)	Cost of Repurchased Stock	Noncontrolling Interests	Total
Balances, January 1, 2009	\$ -	\$ 1,581	\$ 13,354	\$ (2,281)	\$ (5,154)	\$ 404	\$ 7,904
Comprehensive earnings:							
Net earnings			4,820			148	4,968
Other comprehensive earnings (losses), net of income taxes:							
Currency translation adjustments, net of income taxes of \$36				1,189		5	1,194
Change in net loss and prior service cost, net of income taxes of (\$12)				43			43
Change in fair value of derivatives accounted for as hedges, net of income taxes of (\$2)				22			22
Change in fair value of equity securities				8			8
Total other comprehensive earnings							1,267
Total comprehensive earnings							6,235
Exercise of stock options and issuance of other stock awards		(126)			332		206
Dividends declared (\$1.66 per share)			(3,238)				(3,238)
Purchase of subsidiary shares from noncontrolling interests		(7)				(1)	(8)
Payments to noncontrolling interests						(182)	(182)
Common stock repurchased					(4,203)		(4,203)
	\$ -	\$ 1,448	\$ 14,936	\$ (1,019)	\$ (9,025)	\$ 374	\$ 6,714

Edgar Filing: Philip Morris International Inc. - Form 10-Q

Balances, September 30,
2009

Balances, January 1, 2010	\$ -	\$ 1,403	\$ 15,358	\$ (817)	\$(10,228)	\$ 429	\$ 6,145
Comprehensive earnings:							
Net earnings			5,507			152 ^(a)	5,659 ^(a)
Other comprehensive earnings (losses), net of income taxes:							
Currency translation adjustments, net of income taxes of (\$116)							
				263		16 ^(a)	279
Change in net loss and prior service cost, net of income taxes of (\$16)							
				58			58
Change in fair value of derivatives accounted for as hedges, net of income taxes of \$3							
				(13)			(13)
Change in fair value of equity securities							
				(11)			(11)
Total other comprehensive earnings							
							<u>313</u>
Total comprehensive earnings							
							<u>5,972</u>
Exercise of stock options and issuance of other stock awards							
		(167)			444		277
Dividends declared (\$1.80 per share)							
			(3,326)				(3,326)
Payments to noncontrolling interests							
						(206)	(206)
Common stock repurchased							
					(3,939)		(3,939)
Balances, September 30, 2010	\$ -	\$ 1,236	\$ 17,539	\$ (520)	\$(13,723)	\$ 391	\$ 4,923

(a) Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests exclude \$29 million related to the redeemable noncontrolling interest which is reported outside of the equity section in the condensed consolidated balance sheet at September 30, 2010. Currency translation adjustments also exclude \$16 million related to the redeemable noncontrolling interest at September 30, 2010.

Total comprehensive earnings were \$2,689 million and \$2,566 million for the quarters ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, including \$86 million and \$68 million related to noncontrolling interests, respectively. Total comprehensive earnings for the quarter ended September 30, 2010 exclude \$37 million related to the redeemable noncontrolling interest.

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(in millions of dollars)

(Unaudited)

For the Nine Months Ended
September 30,

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net earnings	\$ 5,688	\$ 4,968
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to operating cash flows:		
Depreciation and amortization	677	607
Deferred income tax (benefit) provision	(3)	121
Colombian Investment and Cooperation Agreement charge		135
Asset impairment and exit costs, net of cash paid	(28)	(46)
Cash effects of changes, net of the effects from acquired and divested companies:		
Receivables, net	160	(85)
Inventories	1,286	1,143
Accounts payable	82	56
Income taxes	157	39
Accrued liabilities and other current assets	(21)	(307)
Pension plan contributions	(184)	(382)
Other	42	170
Net cash provided by operating activities	7,856	6,419
CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Capital expenditures	(483)	(483)
Purchases of businesses, net of acquired cash	(42)	(435)
Other	79	150
Net cash used in investing activities	(446)	(768)

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Continued

-8-

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Continued)

(in millions of dollars)

(Unaudited)

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Net issuance (repayment) of short-term borrowings	\$ 654	\$ (1,106)
Long-term debt proceeds	1,130	2,987
Long-term debt repaid	(68)	(1)
Repurchases of common stock	(3,863)	(4,258)
Issuance of common stock	169	131
Dividends paid	(3,254)	(3,212)
Other	(275)	(251)
Net cash used in financing activities	(5,507)	(5,710)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	64	130
Cash and cash equivalents:		
Increase	1,967	71
Balance at beginning of period	1,540	1,531
Balance at end of period	\$ 3,507	\$ 1,602

As discussed in Note 7. *Acquisitions and Other Business Arrangements*, PMI's business combination in the Philippines is a non-cash transaction.

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

Note 1. Background and Basis of Presentation:

Background

Philip Morris International Inc. is a holding company incorporated in Virginia, U.S.A., whose subsidiaries and affiliates and their licensees are engaged in the manufacture and sale of cigarettes and other tobacco products in markets outside of the U.S.A. Throughout these financial statements, the term "PMI" refers to Philip Morris International Inc. and its subsidiaries.

As discussed in Note 4. *Transactions with Altria Group, Inc.* of our 2009 audited consolidated financial statements and related notes, which are incorporated by reference into our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 (the "2009 Form 10-K"), prior to March 28, 2008, PMI was a wholly-owned subsidiary of Altria Group, Inc. ("Altria"). On January 30, 2008, the Altria Board of Directors announced Altria's plans to spin off all of its interest in PMI to Altria's stockholders in a tax-free distribution pursuant to Section 355 of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code. The distribution of all of the PMI shares owned by Altria (the "Spin-off") was made on March 28, 2008 (the "Distribution Date") to stockholders of record as of the close of business on March 19, 2008 (the "Record Date"). Altria distributed one share of our common stock for each share of Altria common stock outstanding on the Record Date.

Basis of Presentation

The interim condensed consolidated financial statements of PMI are unaudited. These interim condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and such principles are applied on a consistent basis. It is the opinion of PMI's management that all adjustments necessary for a fair statement of the interim results presented have been reflected therein. All such adjustments were of a normal recurring nature. Net revenues and net earnings attributable to PMI for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of results that may be expected for the entire year.

These statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and related notes, which are incorporated by reference into PMI's 2009 Form 10-K.

Note 2. Asset Impairment and Exit Costs:

Asset impairment and exit costs were as follows (in millions):

	For the Nine Months		For the Three Months	
	Ended		Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Asset impairment and exit costs	\$ 20	\$ 3	\$ 20	\$ 1

Asset impairment and exit costs above are separation program charges primarily related to severance costs. These charges were reflected in the operating results of the European Union segment.

Cash payments related to exit costs at PMI were \$48 million and \$15 million for the nine months and three months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, and \$49 million and \$12 million for the nine months and three months ended September 30, 2009, respectively. Future cash payments for exit costs incurred to date are expected to be approximately \$51 million, which is expected to be paid by 2012.

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

The movement in the exit cost liabilities for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was as follows (in millions):

Liability balance, January 1, 2010	\$ 84
Charges	20
Cash spent	(48)
Currency/other	(5)
Liability balance, September 30, 2010	\$ 51

Note 3. Stock Plans:

Under the Philip Morris International Inc. 2008 Performance Incentive Plan (the Plan), PMI may grant to certain eligible employees stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, deferred stock and deferred stock units and other stock-based awards based on PMI's common stock, as well as performance-based incentive awards. Up to 70 million shares of PMI's common stock may be issued under the Plan. At September 30, 2010, 30,877,397 shares were available for grant under the Plan.

PMI has also adopted the Philip Morris International Inc. 2008 Stock Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors (the Non-Employee Directors Plan). A non-employee director is defined as each member of the PMI Board of Directors who is not a full-time employee of PMI or of any corporation in which PMI owns, directly or indirectly, stock possessing at least 50% of the total combined voting power of all classes of stock entitled to vote in the election of directors in such corporation. Up to 1,000,000 shares of PMI common stock may be awarded under the Non-Employee Directors Plan. As of September 30, 2010, 840,196 shares were available for grant under the plan.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, PMI granted 3.5 million shares of restricted and deferred stock awards to eligible employees at a weighted-average grant date fair value of \$47.52. PMI recorded compensation expense for restricted stock and deferred stock awards of \$96 million and \$69 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and \$33 million and \$25 million during the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. As of September 30, 2010, PMI had \$203 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested restricted and deferred stock awards. The cost is recognized over the original restriction period of the awards, which is typically three years from the date of the original grant.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, 1.8 million shares of PMI restricted stock and deferred stock awards vested. Of this amount, 1.3 million shares went to PMI employees and the remainder went to Altria employees who held PMI stock awards as a result of the Spin-off. The grant date fair value of all the vested shares was approximately \$117 million. The total fair value of restricted stock and deferred stock awards that vested during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was approximately the same as the grant date fair value. The grant price information for restricted stock and deferred stock awarded prior to January 30, 2008 reflects historical market prices of Altria stock at date of grant and is not adjusted to reflect the Spin-off.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, the total intrinsic value of the 7.8 million PMI stock options exercised was approximately \$226 million.

Note 4. Benefit Plans:

PMI sponsors noncontributory defined benefit pension plans covering substantially all U.S. employees. Pension coverage for employees of PMI's non-U.S. subsidiaries is provided, to the extent deemed appropriate, through separate plans, many of which are governed by local statutory requirements. In addition, PMI provides health care and other benefits to substantially all U.S. retired employees and certain non-U.S. retired employees. In general, health care benefits for non-U.S. retired employees are covered through local government plans.

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

*Pension Plans***Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost**

Net periodic pension cost consisted of the following (in millions):

	U.S. Plans		Non-U.S. Plans	
	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, <u>2010</u>	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, <u>2009</u>	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, <u>2010</u>	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, <u>2009</u>
Service cost	\$ 5	\$ 8	\$ 122	\$ 94
Interest cost	12	13	145	123
Expected return on plan assets	(12)	(10)	(218)	(158)
Amortization:				
Net loss	3	3	31	23
Prior service cost	1	1	7	4
Other		6	(4)	
Net periodic pension cost	<u>\$ 9</u>	<u>\$ 21</u>	<u>\$ 83</u>	<u>\$ 86</u>

	U.S. Plans		Non-U.S. Plans	
	For the Three Months Ended September 30, <u>2010</u>	For the Three Months Ended September 30, <u>2009</u>	For the Three Months Ended September 30, <u>2010</u>	For the Three Months Ended September 30, <u>2009</u>
Service cost	\$ 2	\$ 2	\$ 40	\$ 32
Interest cost	4	4	49	41
Expected return on plan assets	(5)	(4)	(74)	(51)
Amortization:				
Net loss	1	1	10	7
Prior service cost	1	1	3	1
Other		2	(4)	
Net periodic pension cost	<u>\$ 3</u>	<u>\$ 6</u>	<u>\$ 24</u>	<u>\$ 30</u>

Other above was primarily related to curtailment and settlement gains in 2010, and early retirement programs and special termination charges in 2009.

Employer Contributions

PMI presently makes, and plans to make, contributions, to the extent that they are tax deductible and to meet specific funding requirements of its funded U.S. and non-U.S. plans. Employer contributions of \$184 million were made to the pension plans during the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Currently, PMI anticipates making additional contributions during the remainder of 2010 of approximately \$117 million to its pension plans, based on current tax and benefit laws. However, this estimate is subject to change as a result of changes in tax and other benefit laws, as well as asset performance significantly above or below the assumed long-term rate of return on pension assets, or changes in interest rates.

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

Note 5. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, net:

Goodwill and other intangible assets, net, by segment were as follows (in millions):

	Goodwill		Other Intangible Assets, net	
	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
European Union	\$ 1,491	\$ 1,539	\$ 671	\$ 699
Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa	700	743	252	253
Asia	4,996	3,926	1,677	1,346
Latin America & Canada	<u>3,008</u>	<u>2,904</u>	<u>1,268</u>	<u>1,248</u>
Total	<u>\$ 10,195</u>	<u>\$ 9,112</u>	<u>\$ 3,868</u>	<u>\$ 3,546</u>

Goodwill is due primarily to PMI's acquisitions in Canada, Indonesia, Mexico, Greece, Serbia, Colombia and Pakistan, and a business combination in the Philippines in February 2010. The movement in goodwill from December 31, 2009, is as follows (in millions):

	European Union	Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa	Asia	Latin America & Canada	Total
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$ 1,539	\$ 743	\$ 3,926	\$ 2,904	\$ 9,112
Changes due to:					
Philippines business combination			813		813
Other business combinations				21	21
Currency	<u>(48)</u>	<u>(43)</u>	<u>257</u>	<u>83</u>	<u>249</u>
Balance at September 30, 2010	<u>\$ 1,491</u>	<u>\$ 700</u>	<u>\$ 4,996</u>	<u>\$ 3,008</u>	<u>\$ 10,195</u>

The increase in goodwill from other business combinations relates to our new leaf procurement business in Brazil. For further details on the Philippines and other business combinations, see Note 7. *Acquisitions and Other Business Arrangements*.

Additional details of other intangible assets were as follows (in millions):

	September 30, 2010		December 31, 2009	
	Gross		Gross	
	Carrying <u>Amount</u>	Accumulated <u>Amortization</u>	Carrying <u>Amount</u>	Accumulated <u>Amortization</u>
Non-amortizable intangible assets	\$ 2,173		\$ 2,080	

Edgar Filing: Philip Morris International Inc. - Form 10-Q

Amortizable intangible assets	<u>1,955</u>	<u>\$ 260</u>	<u>1,663</u>	<u>\$ 197</u>
Total other intangible assets	<u>\$ 4,128</u>	<u>\$ 260</u>	<u>\$ 3,743</u>	<u>\$ 197</u>

-13-

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

Non-amortizable intangible assets substantially consist of trademarks from PMI's acquisitions in Indonesia in 2005 and Mexico in 2007. Amortizable intangible assets consist of certain trademarks, distribution networks and non-compete agreements associated with business combinations. The range of useful lives as well as the weighted-average remaining useful life of amortizable intangible assets at September 30, 2010 is as follows:

Description	Estimated Useful Lives	Weighted-Average Remaining Useful Life
Trademarks	2 - 40 years	28 years
Distribution networks	20 - 30 years	17 years
Non-compete agreements	3 - 10 years	5 years

Pre-tax amortization expense for intangible assets during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$65 million and \$54 million, respectively, and \$22 million and \$18 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Amortization expense for each of the next five years is estimated to be \$90 million or less, assuming no additional transactions occur that require the amortization of intangible assets.

The increase in other intangible assets from December 31, 2009 was due primarily to a business combination in the Philippines and currency movements. For further details, see Note 7. *Acquisitions and Other Business Arrangements*.

During the first quarter of 2010, PMI completed its annual review of goodwill and non-amortizable intangible assets for potential impairment, and no impairment charges were required as a result of this review.

Note 6. Financial Instruments:*Overview*

PMI operates in markets outside of the United States, with manufacturing and sales facilities in various locations around the world. PMI utilizes certain financial instruments to manage foreign currency exposure. Derivative financial instruments are used by PMI principally to reduce exposures to market risks resulting from fluctuations in foreign exchange rates by creating offsetting exposures. PMI is not a party to leveraged derivatives and, by policy, does not use derivative financial instruments for speculative purposes. Financial instruments qualifying for hedge accounting must maintain a specified level of effectiveness between the hedging instrument and the item being hedged, both at inception and throughout the hedged period. PMI formally documents the nature and relationships between the hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as its risk-management objectives, strategies for undertaking the various hedge transactions and method of assessing hedge effectiveness. Additionally, for hedges of forecasted transactions, the significant characteristics and expected terms of the forecasted transaction must be specifically identified, and it must be probable that each forecasted transaction will occur. If it were deemed probable that the forecasted transaction would not occur, the gain or loss would be recognized in earnings. PMI reports its net transaction gains or losses in marketing, administration and research costs on the condensed consolidated statements of earnings.

PMI uses forward foreign exchange contracts, foreign currency swaps and foreign currency options, hereafter collectively referred to as foreign exchange contracts, to mitigate its exposure to changes in exchange rates from third-party and intercompany actual and forecasted transactions. The primary currencies to which PMI is exposed include the Euro, Indonesian rupiah, Japanese yen, Mexican peso, Russian ruble, Swiss franc and Turkish lira. At September 30, 2010, PMI had contracts with aggregate notional amounts of \$10.6 billion. Of this amount, \$3.2 billion related to cash flow hedges and \$7.4 billion related to other derivatives that primarily offset currency exposures on intercompany financing.

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

The fair value of PMI's foreign exchange contracts included in the condensed consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 were as follows (in millions):

	Asset Derivatives			Liability Derivatives		
	Balance Sheet Classification	Fair Value		Balance Sheet Classification	Fair Value	
		At September 30, 2010	At December 31, 2009		At September 30, 2010	At December 31, 2009
Foreign exchange contracts designated as hedging instruments	Other current assets	\$ 29	\$ 140	Other accrued liabilities	\$ 19	\$ 27
	Other assets	10		Other liabilities	9	
Foreign exchange contracts not designated as hedging instruments	Other current assets	<u>127</u>	<u>71</u>	Other accrued liabilities	<u>24</u>	<u>107</u>
Total Derivatives		<u>\$ 166</u>	<u>\$ 211</u>		<u>\$ 52</u>	<u>\$ 134</u>

Hedging activities, which represent movement in derivatives as well as the respective underlying transactions, had the following effect on PMI's condensed consolidated statements of earnings and other comprehensive earnings for the nine months and three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 (in millions):

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010					
	Cash Flow Hedges	Fair Value Hedges	Net Investment Hedges	Other Derivatives	Income Taxes	Total
Gain (Loss)						
Statement of Earnings:						
Net revenues	\$ 24	\$ -		\$ -		\$ 24
Cost of sales	(14)					(14)
Marketing, administration and research costs	<u>3</u>			<u>(2)</u>		<u>1</u>
Operating income	13			(2)		11
Interest expense, net	<u>(38)</u>			<u>2</u>		<u>(36)</u>
Earnings before income taxes	(25)			-		(25)
Provision for income taxes	<u>2</u>			<u>1</u>		<u>3</u>
Net earnings attributable to PMI	<u>\$ (23)</u>	<u>\$ -</u>		<u>\$ 1</u>		<u>\$ (22)</u>
Other Comprehensive Earnings:						
Losses transferred to earnings	\$ 25				\$ (2)	\$ 23
Recognized	<u>(41)</u>				<u>5</u>	<u>(36)</u>

Edgar Filing: Philip Morris International Inc. - Form 10-Q

Net impact	<u>\$ (16)</u>		<u>\$ 3</u>	<u>\$ (13)</u>
Cumulative translation adjustment	<u>\$ (2)</u>	<u>\$ 25</u>	<u>\$ (10)</u>	<u>\$ 13</u>

-15-

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009

	Cash Flow Hedges	Fair Value Hedges	Net Investment Hedges	Other Derivatives	Income Taxes	Total
Gain (Loss)						
Statement of Earnings:						
Net revenues	\$ 61	\$ -		\$ -		\$ 61
Cost of sales	(1)					(1)
Marketing, administration and research costs	13			(1)		12
Operating income	73	-		(1)		72
Interest expense, net	(65)	37		(8)		(36)
Earnings before income taxes	8	37		(9)		36
Provision for income taxes	(2)	(3)		3		(2)
Net earnings attributable to PMI	\$ 6	\$ 34		\$ (6)		\$ 34
Other Comprehensive Earnings:						
Gains transferred to earnings	\$ (8)				\$ 2	\$ (6)
Recognized	32				(4)	28
Net impact	\$ 24				\$ (2)	\$ 22
Cumulative translation adjustment			\$ (71)		\$ 14	\$ (57)

For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2010

	Cash Flow Hedges	Fair Value Hedges	Net Investment Hedges	Other Derivatives	Income Taxes	Total
Gain (Loss)						
Statement of Earnings:						
Net revenues	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -		\$ -
Cost of sales	17					17
Marketing, administration and research costs	3			(1)		2
Operating income	20			(1)		19
Interest expense, net	(15)			3		(12)
Earnings before income taxes	5			2		7
Provision for income taxes				1		1
Net earnings attributable to PMI	\$ 5	\$ -		\$ 3		\$ 8

Edgar Filing: Philip Morris International Inc. - Form 10-Q

Other Comprehensive Earnings:

Gains transferred to earnings	\$ (5)		\$ -	\$ (5)
Recognized	(61)		6	(55)
Net impact	\$ (66)		\$ 6	\$ (60)
Cumulative translation adjustment	\$ 2	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2

-16-

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2009

Gain (Loss)	Cash Flow Hedges	Fair Value Hedges	Net Investment Hedges	Other Derivatives	Income Taxes	Total
Statement of Earnings:						
Net revenues	\$ 13	\$ -		\$ -		\$ 13
Cost of sales	(1)					(1)
Marketing, administration and research costs						
Operating income	12	-		-		12
Interest expense, net	(24)					(24)
Earnings before income taxes	(12)	-		-		(12)
Provision for income taxes	1					1
Net earnings attributable to PMI	\$ (11)	\$ -		\$ -		\$ (11)
Other Comprehensive Earnings:						
Losses transferred to earnings	\$ 12				\$ (1)	\$ 11
Recognized	(36)				3	(33)
Net impact	\$ (24)				\$ 2	\$ (22)
Cumulative translation adjustment			\$ (52)		\$ 4	\$ (48)

Each type of hedging activity is described in greater detail below.

Cash Flow Hedges

PMI has entered into foreign exchange contracts to hedge foreign currency exchange risk related to certain forecasted transactions. The effective portion of unrealized gains and losses associated with qualifying cash flow hedge contracts is deferred as a component of accumulated other comprehensive earnings (losses) until the underlying hedged transactions are reported in PMI's condensed consolidated statements of earnings. During the nine months and three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, ineffectiveness related to cash flow hedges was not material. As of September 30, 2010, PMI has hedged forecasted transactions for periods not exceeding the next fifteen months. The impact of these hedges is included in operating cash flows on PMI's condensed consolidated statement of cash flows.

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

For the nine months and three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, foreign exchange contracts that were designated as cash flow hedging instruments impacted the condensed consolidated statements of earnings and other comprehensive earnings as follows (pre-tax, in millions):

		For the Nine Months Ended September 30, Statement of Earnings			
		Classification of			
Derivatives in	Gain/(Loss) Reclassified				
Cash Flow	from Other Comprehensive	Amount of Gain/(Loss) Reclassified from Other		Amount of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Other	
Hedging	Earnings into	Comprehensive Earnings		Comprehensive Earnings	
Relationship	Earnings	into Earnings		on Derivative	
		2010	2009	2010	2009
Foreign exchange contracts				\$ (41)	\$ 32
	Net revenues	\$ 24	\$ 61		
	Cost of sales	(14)	(1)		
	Marketing, administration and research costs	3	13		
	Interest expense, net	(38)	(65)		
Total		<u>\$ (25)</u>	<u>\$ 8</u>	<u>\$ (41)</u>	<u>\$ 32</u>

		For the Three Months Ended September 30, Statement of Earnings			
		Classification of			
Derivatives in	Gain/(Loss) Reclassified				
Cash Flow	from Other Comprehensive	Amount of Gain/(Loss) Reclassified from Other		Amount of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Other	
Hedging	Earnings into	Comprehensive Earnings		Comprehensive Earnings	
Relationship	Earnings	into Earnings		on Derivative	
		2010	2009	2010	2009
Foreign exchange contracts				\$(61)	\$(36)
	Net revenues	\$ -	\$ 13		
	Cost of sales	17	(1)		

Edgar Filing: Philip Morris International Inc. - Form 10-Q

Marketing, administration and research costs	3			
Interest expense, net	<u>(15)</u>	<u>(24)</u>		
Total	<u>\$ 5</u>	<u>\$ (12)</u>	<u>\$ (61)</u>	<u>\$ (36)</u>

Fair Value Hedges

In 2009, PMI had entered into foreign exchange contracts to hedge the foreign currency exchange risk related to an intercompany loan between subsidiaries. For a derivative instrument that is designated and qualifies as a fair value hedge, the gain or loss on the derivative, as well as the offsetting gain or loss on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk, is recognized in current earnings. At June 30, 2009, all fair value hedges matured and were settled. Since June 30, 2009, there were no outstanding fair value hedges. For the nine months ended September 30, 2009,

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

ineffectiveness related to fair value hedges was not material. Gains (losses) associated with qualifying fair value hedges were recorded in the condensed consolidated statements of earnings and were \$42 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The impact of fair value hedges is included in operating cash flows on PMI's condensed consolidated statement of cash flows.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2009, foreign exchange contracts that were designated as fair value hedging instruments impacted the condensed consolidated statement of earnings as follows (pre-tax, in millions):

Derivative in Fair Value Hedging Relationship	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, Statement of		Statement of	
	Earnings	Amount of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Earnings on Derivative <u>2009</u>	Earnings	Amount of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Earnings Attributable to the Risk Being Hedged <u>2009</u>
	Classification of Gain/(Loss) on Derivative		Classification of Gain/(Loss) on Hedged Item	
Foreign exchange contracts	Marketing, administration and research costs	\$ 5	Marketing, administration and research costs	\$ (5)
	Interest expense, net	<u>37</u>	Interest expense, net	<u>(5)</u>
Total		<u>\$ 42</u>		<u>\$ (5)</u>

Hedges of Net Investments in Foreign Operations

PMI designates certain foreign currency denominated debt and forward exchange contracts as net investment hedges of its foreign operations. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, these hedges of net investments resulted in gains (losses), net of income taxes, of \$212 million and (\$97) million, respectively. For the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, these hedges of net investments resulted in gains (losses), net of income taxes, of (\$306) million and (\$119) million, respectively. These gains (losses) were reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive earnings (losses) within currency translation adjustments. For the nine and three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, ineffectiveness related to net investment hedges was not material. Settlement of net investment hedges is included in other investing cash flows on PMI's condensed consolidated statement of cash flows.

For the nine months and three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, foreign exchange contracts that were designated as net investment hedging instruments impacted the condensed consolidated statements of earnings and other comprehensive earnings as follows (pre-tax, in millions):

Derivatives in Net Investment Hedging	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, Statement of Earnings		Amount of Gain/(Loss) Reclassified from Other Comprehensive Earnings into Earnings	Amount of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Other Comprehensive Earnings on Derivative
	Classification of Gain/(Loss) Reclassified			

Edgar Filing: Philip Morris International Inc. - Form 10-Q

Relationship	from Other Comprehensive	Earnings into		Earnings	
		<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Foreign exchange contracts	Interest expense, net	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 25</u>	<u>\$ (71)</u>

-19-

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

For the Three Months Ended September 30,
Statement of Earnings

Derivatives in Net	Classification of Gain/(Loss) Reclassified	Amount of Gain/(Loss) Reclassified from Other Comprehensive Earnings		Amount of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Other Comprehensive Earnings	
		into Earnings	into Earnings	on Derivative	on Derivative
Investment	from Other Comprehensive	2010	2009	2010	2009
Hedging	Earnings into				
Relationship	Earnings				
Foreign exchange contracts	Interest expense, net	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (52)
<i>Other Derivatives</i>					

PMI has entered into foreign exchange contracts to hedge the foreign currency exchange risks related to intercompany loans between certain subsidiaries, and third-party loans. While effective as economic hedges, no hedge accounting is applied for these contracts and, therefore, the unrealized gains (losses) relating to these contracts are reported in PMI's condensed consolidated statements of earnings. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, the gains from contracts for which PMI did not apply hedge accounting were \$64 million and \$278 million, respectively. For the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, the gains (losses) from contracts for which PMI did not apply hedge accounting were \$141 million and (\$7) million, respectively. The gains (losses) from these contracts substantially offset the losses and gains generated by the underlying intercompany and third-party loans being hedged.

As a result, for the nine months and three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, these items affected the condensed consolidated statement of earnings as follows (pre-tax, in millions):

Derivatives not Designated as Hedging Instruments	Classification of Gain/(Loss)	Amount of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Earnings			
		Nine Months Ended September 30,		Three Months Ended September 30,	
		2010	2009	2010	2009
Foreign exchange contracts	Marketing, administration and research costs	\$ (2)	\$ (1)	\$ (1)	\$ -
	Interest expense, net	2	(8)	3	
Total		\$ -	\$ (9)	\$ 2	\$ -

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

Qualifying Hedging Activities Reported in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Earnings (Losses)

Derivative gains or losses reported in accumulated other comprehensive earnings (losses) are a result of qualifying hedging activity. Transfers of these gains or losses to earnings are offset by the corresponding gains or losses on the underlying hedged item. Hedging activity affected accumulated other comprehensive earnings (losses), net of income taxes, as follows (in millions):

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,		For the Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Gain (loss) at beginning of period	\$ 19	\$ (68)	\$ 66	\$ (24)
Derivative losses (gains) transferred to earnings	23	(6)	(5)	11
Change in fair value	(36)	28	(55)	(33)
Gain (loss) as of September 30	\$ 6	\$ (46)	\$ 6	\$ (46)

At September 30, 2010, PMI expects \$31 million of derivative losses reported in accumulated other comprehensive earnings (losses) to be reclassified to the condensed consolidated statement of earnings within the next twelve months. These losses are expected to be substantially offset by the statement of earnings impact of the respective hedged transactions.

Credit Exposure and Credit Risk

PMI is exposed to credit loss in the event of non-performance by counterparties. While PMI does not anticipate non-performance, its risk is limited to the fair value of the financial instruments. PMI actively monitors its exposure to credit risk through the use of credit approvals and credit limits, and by selecting a diverse group of major international banks and financial institutions as counterparties.

Contingent Features

PMI's derivative instruments do not contain contingent features.

Fair Value

See Note 13. *Fair Value Measurements* for disclosures related to the fair value of PMI's derivative financial instruments.

Note 7. Acquisitions and Other Business Arrangements:*Philippines Business Combination:*

On February 25, 2010, PMI's affiliate, Philip Morris Philippines Manufacturing Inc. (PMPMI), and Fortune Tobacco Corporation (FTC) combined their respective business activities by transferring selected assets and liabilities of PMPMI and FTC to a new company called PMFTC Inc. (PMFTC). PMPMI and FTC hold equal economic interests in PMFTC, while PMI manages the day-to-day operations of PMFTC and has a majority of its Board of Directors. Consequently, PMI accounts for the contributed assets and liabilities of FTC as a business combination. The

Edgar Filing: Philip Morris International Inc. - Form 10-Q

establishment of PMFTC permits both parties to benefit from their respective, complementary brand portfolios, as well as cost synergies from the resulting integration of manufacturing, distribution and procurement, and the further development and advancement of tobacco growing in the Philippines.

-21-

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

As PMI has control of PMFTC, the contribution of PMPMI's net assets was recorded at book value, while the contribution of the FTC net assets to PMFTC was recorded at fair value. The difference between the two contributions resulted in an increase to PMI's additional paid-in capital of \$475 million.

The fair value of the assets and liabilities contributed by FTC in this non-cash transaction has been determined to be \$1.17 billion, and has been primarily allocated to goodwill (\$813 million), inventories (\$496 million), property, plant and equipment (\$306 million) and brands (\$240 million), partially offset by long-term debt (\$486 million, of which \$77 million was shown as current portion of long-term debt), deferred taxes (\$149 million, net of \$7 million of current deferred tax assets) and other current liabilities.

FTC also holds the right to sell its interest in PMFTC to PMI, except in certain circumstances, during the period from February 25, 2015 through February 24, 2018, at an agreed-upon value of \$1.17 billion, which is recorded on PMI's condensed consolidated balance sheet as a redeemable noncontrolling interest at the date of the business combination. The amount of FTC's redeemable noncontrolling interest at the date of the business combination was determined as follows (in millions):

Noncontrolling interest in contributed net assets	\$ 695
Accretion to redeemable value	475
Redeemable noncontrolling interest at date of business combination	\$ 1,170

PMI decided to immediately recognize the accretion to redeemable value rather than recognizing it over the term of the agreement with FTC. This accretion has been charged against additional paid-in capital and fully offsets the increase that resulted from the contributions of net assets to PMFTC, noted above.

With the consolidation of PMFTC, 50% of PMFTC's comprehensive income or loss is attributable to the redeemable noncontrolling interest, impacting carrying value. To the extent that the attribution of these amounts would cause the carrying value to fall below the redemption amount of \$1.17 billion, the carrying amount would be adjusted back up to the redemption value through stockholders' equity. The movement in redeemable noncontrolling interest after the business combination is as follows (in millions):

Redeemable noncontrolling interest at date of business combination	\$ 1,170
50% of net earnings for the nine months ended September 30, 2010	29
Dividend payments	(16)
Currency translation for the nine months ended September 30, 2010	16
Redeemable noncontrolling interest at September 30, 2010	\$ 1,199

In future periods, if the fair value of 50% of PMFTC were to drop below the redemption value of \$1.17 billion, the difference would be treated as a special dividend to FTC and would reduce PMI's earnings per share. Reductions in earnings per share may be partially or fully reversed in subsequent periods if the fair value of the redeemable noncontrolling interest increases relative to the redemption value. Such increases in earnings per share would be limited to cumulative prior reductions.

Brazil:

In June 2010, PMI announced that its affiliate, Philip Morris Brasil Industria e Comercio Ltda. (*PMB*), will begin directly sourcing tobacco leaf from approximately 17,000 tobacco farmers in Southern Brazil. This initiative enhances PMI's direct involvement in the supply chain and is expected to provide approximately 10% of PMI's global leaf requirements. The vertically integrated structure was made possible following separate agreements with two current leaf suppliers in Brazil, Alliance One Brasil Exportadora de Tabacos Ltda. (*AOB*) and Universal Leaf Tabacos Ltda. (*ULT*), to each assign around 8,500 contracts with tobacco farmers to *PMB*. As a result, *PMB* will offer employment to more than 200 employees, most of them agronomy specialists, and will acquire related assets in Southern Brazil. The purchase price for the net assets and the contractual

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

relationships is approximately \$83 million. PMI is accounting for these transactions as a business combination. As of September 30, 2010, payments of \$41 million were made to AOB and ULT under the terms of the agreements. The preliminary allocation of the purchase price was primarily to goodwill (\$21 million), inventories (\$20 million) and other non-current assets (\$18 million), partially offset by other current liabilities (\$18 million, which consists primarily of the total amount of bank guarantees for tobacco farmers' rural credit facilities). In the fourth quarter of 2010, additional payments of approximately \$42 million will be made under the terms of the agreements. The purchase price allocation for these transactions will be finalized in the fourth quarter of 2010.

Colombia:

In July 2009, PMI entered into an agreement to purchase 100% of the shares of privately-owned Colombian cigarette manufacturer, Productora Tabacalera de Colombia, Protabaco Ltda., for \$452 million. The transaction was subject to competition authority approval and final confirmatory due diligence. In October 2010, the Colombian competition authority issued its final decision pertaining to PMI's application for the acquisition. Approval to proceed with the acquisition has been granted subject to several significant conditions and constraints. PMI is thoroughly reviewing these conditions and will determine whether or not the strategic rationale and financial attractiveness of the originally envisaged transaction can still be safeguarded in the best interest of PMI's shareholders. PMI anticipates that it will be in a position to make a final determination on whether or not to proceed within the next three months.

Other:

In September 2009, PMI acquired Swedish Match South Africa (Proprietary) Limited, for ZAR 1.93 billion (approximately \$256 million based on exchange rates prevailing at the time of the acquisition), including acquired cash. The final allocation of the purchase price was primarily to goodwill (\$163 million), definite-lived trademarks (\$40 million), acquired cash (\$36 million) and the distribution network (\$19 million).

In February 2009, PMI purchased the *Petterøes* tobacco business for \$209 million. Assets purchased consisted primarily of definite-lived trademarks primarily sold in Norway and Sweden.

The effect of these other acquisitions presented above was not material to PMI's consolidated financial position, results of operations or operating cash flows in any of the periods presented.

Note 8. Earnings Per Share:

Basic and diluted EPS were calculated using the following (in millions):

	For the Nine Months Ended		For the Three Months Ended	
	September 30, 2010	September 30, 2009	September 30, 2010	September 30, 2009
Net earnings attributable to PMI	\$ 5,507	\$ 4,820	\$ 1,822	\$ 1,798
Less distributed and undistributed earnings attributable to share-based payment awards	25	17	8	6
Net earnings for basic and diluted EPS	\$ 5,482	\$ 4,803	\$ 1,814	\$ 1,792
Weighted-average shares for basic EPS	1,849	1,958	1,828	1,927
Plus incremental shares from assumed conversions:				

Edgar Filing: Philip Morris International Inc. - Form 10-Q

Stock options	3	7	2	7
Weighted-average shares for diluted EPS	1,852	1,965	1,830	1,934

-23-

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

Note 9. Segment Reporting:

PMI's subsidiaries and affiliates are engaged in the manufacture and sale of cigarettes and other tobacco products in markets outside of the United States of America. Reportable segments for PMI are organized and managed by geographic region. PMI's reportable segments are European Union; Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa; Asia; and Latin America & Canada.

PMI's management evaluates segment performance and allocates resources based on operating companies income, which PMI defines as operating income before general corporate expenses and amortization of intangibles. Interest expense, net, and provision for income taxes are centrally managed and, accordingly, such items are not presented by segment since they are excluded from the measure of segment profitability reviewed by management.

Segment data were as follows (in millions):

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,		For the Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Net revenues:				
European Union	\$ 21,053	\$ 20,988	\$ 7,045	\$ 7,783
Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa	11,665	9,953	4,184	3,722
Asia	11,094	8,974	3,629	3,170
Latin America & Canada	6,094	5,157	2,078	1,898
Net revenues	\$ 49,906	\$ 45,072	\$ 16,936	\$ 16,573
Earnings before income taxes:				
Operating companies income:				
European Union	\$ 3,280	\$ 3,397	\$ 1,113	\$ 1,267
Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa	2,412	1,982	856	761
Asia	2,259	1,933	690	653
Latin America & Canada	699	452	244	226
Amortization of intangibles	(65)	(54)	(22)	(18)
General corporate expenses	(128)	(111)	(45)	(39)
Operating income	8,457	7,599	2,836	2,850
Interest expense, net	(660)	(572)	(214)	(221)
Earnings before income taxes	\$ 7,797	\$ 7,027	\$ 2,622	\$ 2,629

Items affecting the comparability of results from operations were as follows:

Edgar Filing: Philip Morris International Inc. - Form 10-Q

Asset Impairment and Exit Costs See Note 2. *Asset Impairment and Exit Costs* for a breakdown of asset impairment and exit costs by segment.

Colombian Investment and Cooperation Agreement charge During the second quarter of 2009, PMI recorded a pre-tax charge of \$135 million related to the Investment and Cooperation Agreement in Colombia. The charge was recorded in the operating companies income of the Latin America & Canada segment for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. See Note 15. *Colombian Investment and Cooperation Agreement* for additional information.

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

Note 10. Contingencies:

Litigation - General

Legal proceedings covering a wide range of matters are pending or threatened against us, and/or our subsidiaries, and/or our indemnitees in various jurisdictions. Our indemnitees include distributors, licensees, and others that have been named as parties in certain cases and that we have agreed to defend, as well as pay costs and some or all of judgments, if any, that may be entered against them. Altria Group, Inc. and PM USA are also indemnitees, in certain cases, pursuant to the terms of the Distribution Agreement between Altria Group, Inc. and PMI. Various types of claims are raised in these proceedings, including, among others, product liability, consumer protection, antitrust, employment and tax.

It is possible that there could be adverse developments in pending cases against us and our subsidiaries. An unfavorable outcome or settlement of pending tobacco-related litigation could encourage the commencement of additional litigation.

Damages claimed in some of the tobacco-related litigation are significant and, in certain cases in Brazil, Israel, Nigeria and Canada, range into the billions of dollars. The variability in pleadings in multiple jurisdictions, together with the actual experience of management in litigating claims, demonstrate that the monetary relief that may be specified in a lawsuit bears little relevance to the ultimate outcome. Much of the tobacco-related litigation is in its early stages and litigation is subject to uncertainty. However, as discussed below, we have to date been largely successful in defending tobacco-related litigation.

We and our subsidiaries record provisions in the consolidated financial statements for pending litigation when we determine that an unfavorable outcome is probable and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. At the present time, while it is reasonably possible that an unfavorable outcome in a case may occur, after assessing the information available to it (i) management has not concluded that it is probable that a loss has been incurred in any of the pending tobacco-related cases; (ii) management is unable to estimate the possible loss or range of loss for any of the pending tobacco-related cases; and (iii) accordingly, no estimated loss has been accrued in the consolidated financial statements for unfavorable outcomes in these cases, if any. Legal defense costs are expensed as incurred.

It is possible that our consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position could be materially affected in a particular fiscal quarter or fiscal year by an unfavorable outcome or settlement of certain pending litigation. Nevertheless, although litigation is subject to uncertainty, we and each of our subsidiaries named as a defendant believe, and each has been so advised by counsel handling the respective cases, that we have valid defenses to the litigation pending against us, as well as valid bases for appeal of adverse verdicts, if any. All such cases are, and will continue to be, vigorously defended. However, we and our subsidiaries may enter into settlement discussions in particular cases if we believe it is in our best interests to do so.

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

Tobacco-Related Litigation

The table below lists the number of tobacco-related cases pending against us and/or our subsidiaries or indemnitees as of November 1, 2010, 2009 and 2008:

Type of Case	Number of Cases Pending as of November 1, 2010	Number of Cases Pending as of November 1, 2009	Number of Cases Pending as of November 1, 2008
Individual Smoking and Health Cases	111	120	124
Smoking and Health Class Actions	11	9	5
Health Care Cost Recovery Actions	10	11	9
Lights Class Actions	2	3	3
Individual Lights Cases (small claims court) ⁽¹⁾	10	1,979	2,010
Public Civil Actions	8	11	10

- ⁽¹⁾ During 2010, 1,952 individual lights cases filed in small claims courts in Italy by one plaintiffs attorney were dismissed following an investigation by the public prosecutor into the conduct of that plaintiffs attorney. Because these were fraudulent cases not authorized by the purported plaintiffs, the courts dismissed all such cases. We will no longer include these cases in our pending case count and are not including them in our dismissed case count.

Since 1995, when the first tobacco-related litigation was filed against a PMI entity, 322⁽²⁾ Smoking and Health and Lights, Health Care Cost Recovery cases and Public Civil Actions in which we and/or one of our subsidiaries and/or indemnitees were a defendant have been terminated in our favor. Nine cases have had decisions in favor of plaintiffs. Five of these cases have subsequently reached final resolution in our favor, one has been annulled and returned to the trial court for further proceedings, and three remain on appeal. To date, we have paid total judgments including costs of approximately six thousand Euros. These payments were made in order to appeal three Italian small claims cases, two of which were subsequently reversed on appeal and one of which remains on appeal. To date, no tobacco-related case has been finally resolved in favor of a plaintiff against us, our subsidiaries or indemnitees.

- ⁽²⁾ Does not include the 1,952 Italian small claims courts cases discussed in footnote 1 and does not include 66 cases filed by this same plaintiffs attorney that were previously included in the above dismissed case count because they had been individually dismissed by the small claims courts. Following this quarter, the cases filed by this plaintiffs attorney will no longer be reported in the dismissed or pending case counts.

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

The table below lists the verdicts and post-trial developments in the three pending cases (excluding an individual case on appeal from an Italian small claims court) in which verdicts were returned in favor of plaintiffs:

Date	Location of Court/Name of Plaintiff	Type of Case	Verdict	Post-Trial Developments
September 2009	Brazil/Bernhardt	Individual Smoking and Health	The Civil Court of Rio de Janeiro found for plaintiff and ordered Philip Morris Brasil to pay R\$13,000 (approximately \$7,700) in moral damages.	Philip Morris Brasil filed its appeal against the decision on the merits with the Court of Appeals in November 2009. In February 2010, without addressing the merits, the Court of Appeals annulled the trial court's decision remanding the case to the trial court to issue a new ruling, which must address certain compensatory damage claims made by the plaintiff that the trial court did not address in its original ruling. In July 2010, the trial court reinstated its original decision, while specifically rejecting the compensatory damages claim. Philip Morris Brasil has appealed this decision.

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

	Location of			
	Court/Name of	Type of	Verdict	Post-Trial
Date	Plaintiff	Case		Developments
February 2004	Brazil/The Smoker Health Defense Association (ADESF)	Class Action	The Civil Court of São Paulo found defendants liable without hearing evidence. The court did not assess moral or actual damages, which were to be assessed in a second phase of the case. The size of the class was not defined in the ruling.	In April 2004, the court clarified its ruling, awarding moral damages of R\$1,000 (approximately \$600) per smoker per full year of smoking plus interest at the rate of 1% per month, as of the date of the ruling. The court did not award actual damages, which were to be assessed in the second phase of the case. The size of the class still has not been estimated. Defendants appealed to the São Paulo Court of Appeals. In November 2008, the São Paulo Court of Appeals annulled the ruling, finding that the trial court had inappropriately ruled without hearing evidence and returned the case to the trial court for further proceedings. In addition, the defendants filed a constitutional appeal to the Federal Supreme Tribunal on the basis that the plaintiff did not have standing to bring the lawsuit. This appeal is still pending.

-28-

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

Date	Location of Court/Name of Plaintiff	Type of Case	Verdict	Post-Trial Developments
October 2003	Brazil/Da Silva	Individual Smoking and Health	The Court of Appeal of Rio Grande do Sul reversed the trial court ruling in favor of Philip Morris Brasil and awarded plaintiffs R\$768,000 (approximately \$457,000).	In December 2004, a larger panel of the Court of Appeal of Rio Grande do Sul overturned the adverse decision. Plaintiffs filed two separate appeals against this decision. The appeal to the Superior Court of Justice was finally rejected in May 2010. The second one to the Supreme Federal Tribunal is still pending.

Pending claims related to tobacco products generally fall within the following categories:

Smoking and Health Litigation: These cases primarily allege personal injury and are brought by individual plaintiffs or on behalf of a class of individual plaintiffs. Plaintiffs' allegations of liability in these cases are based on various theories of recovery, including negligence, gross negligence, strict liability, fraud, misrepresentation, design defect, failure to warn, breach of express and implied warranties, violations of deceptive trade practice laws and consumer protection statutes. Plaintiffs in these cases seek various forms of relief, including compensatory and other damages, and injunctive and equitable relief. Defenses raised in these cases include licit activity, failure to state a claim, lack of defect, lack of proximate cause, assumption of the risk, contributory negligence, and statute of limitations.

As of November 1, 2010, there were a number of smoking and health cases pending against us, our subsidiaries or indemnitees, as follows:

111 cases brought by individual plaintiffs in Argentina (44), Brazil (43), Canada (2), Chile (7), Costa Rica (1), Finland (2), Greece (1), Italy (7), the Philippines (1), Scotland (1) and Turkey (2), compared with 120 such cases on November 1, 2009, and 124 cases on November 1, 2008; and

11 cases brought on behalf of classes of individual plaintiffs in Brazil (2), Bulgaria (1) and Canada (8), compared with 9 such cases on November 1, 2009, and 5 such cases on November 1, 2008.

In the individual cases in Finland, our indemnitees (our former licensees now known as Amer Sports Corporation and Amerintie 1 Oy) and another member of the industry are defendants. Plaintiffs allege personal injuries as a result of smoking. Three cases were tried together before the District Court of Helsinki. Trial began in March 2008 and concluded in May 2008. In October 2008, the District Court issued decisions in favor of defendants in all cases. Plaintiffs filed appeals. One of the plaintiffs has since withdrawn her appeal, making the District Court's decision in favor of the defendants final. The other plaintiffs continued to pursue their appeals. The appellate hearing, which was essentially a re-trial of these cases before the Appellate Court, concluded in December 2009. In May 2010, the Appellate Court rejected plaintiffs' appeals in their entirety. In July 2010, both plaintiffs filed motions for leave to appeal this ruling to the Finland Supreme Court.

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

In the first class action pending in Brazil, *The Smoker Health Defense Association (ADESF) v. Souza Cruz, S.A. and Philip Morris Marketing, S.A., Nineteenth Lower Civil Court of the Central Courts of the Judiciary District of São Paulo, Brazil*, filed July 25, 1995, our subsidiary and another member of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, a consumer organization, is seeking damages for smokers and former smokers, and injunctive relief. In February 2004, the trial court found defendants liable without hearing evidence. The court did not assess moral or actual damages, which were to be assessed in a second phase of the case. The size of the class was not defined in the ruling. In April 2004, the court clarified its ruling, awarding moral damages of R\$1,000 (approximately \$600) per smoker per full year of smoking plus interest at the rate of 1% per month, as of the date of the ruling. The court did not award actual damages, which were to be assessed in the second phase of the case. The size of the class still has not been estimated. Defendants appealed to the São Paulo Court of Appeals. In November 2008, the São Paulo Court of Appeals annulled the ruling finding that the trial court had inappropriately ruled without hearing evidence and returned the case to the trial court for further proceedings. In addition, the defendants filed a constitutional appeal to the Federal Supreme Tribunal on the basis that the plaintiff did not have standing to bring the lawsuit. This appeal is still pending.

In the second class action pending in Brazil, *Public Prosecutor of São Paulo v. Philip Morris Brasil Industria e Comercio Ltda, Civil Court of the City of São Paulo, Brazil*, filed August 6, 2007, our subsidiary is a defendant. The plaintiff, the Public Prosecutor of the State of São Paulo, is seeking (1) unspecified damages on behalf of all smokers nationwide, former smokers, and their relatives; (2) unspecified damages on behalf of people exposed to environmental tobacco smoke (ETS) nationwide, and their relatives; and (3) reimbursement of the health care costs allegedly incurred for the treatment of tobacco-related diseases by all Brazilian States and Municipalities, and the Federal District. In an interim ruling issued in December 2007, the trial court limited the scope of this claim to the State of São Paulo only. In December 2008, the Seventh Civil Court of São Paulo issued a decision declaring that it lacked jurisdiction because the case involved issues similar to the ADESF case discussed above and should be transferred to the Nineteenth Lower Civil Court in São Paulo where the ADESF case is pending. The court further stated that these cases should be consolidated for the purposes of judgment. Our subsidiary appealed this decision to the State of São Paulo Court of Appeals, which subsequently declared the case stayed pending the outcome of the appeal. In April 2010, the São Paulo Court of Appeals reversed the Seventh Civil Court's decision that consolidated the cases, finding that they are based on different legal claims and are progressing at different stages of proceedings. This case will now be returned to the Seventh Civil Court of São Paulo.

In the class action in Bulgaria, *Yochkolovski v. Sofia BT AD, et al., Sofia City Court, Bulgaria*, filed March 12, 2008, our subsidiaries and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff brought a collective claim on behalf of classes of smokers who were allegedly misled by tar and nicotine yields printed on packages and on behalf of a class of minors who were allegedly misled by marketing. Plaintiff seeks damages for economic loss, pain and suffering, medical treatment, and withdrawal from the market of all cigarettes that allegedly do not comply with tar and nicotine labeling requirements. The trial court dismissed the youth marketing claims. This decision has been affirmed on appeal. The trial court also ordered plaintiff to provide additional evidence in support of the remaining claims as well as evidence of his capacity to represent the class and bear the costs of the proceedings. Our subsidiaries have not been served with the complaint.

In the first class action pending in Canada, *Cecilia Letourneau v. Imperial Tobacco Ltd., Rothmans, Benson & Hedges Inc. and JTI Macdonald Corp., Quebec Superior Court, Canada*, filed in September 1998, our subsidiary and other Canadian manufacturers are defendants. The plaintiff, an individual smoker, is seeking compensatory and unspecified punitive damages for each member of the class who is deemed addicted to smoking. The class was certified in 2005. Pre-trial discovery is ongoing. A trial date has been scheduled for October 2011.

In the second class action pending in Canada, *Conseil Québécois Sur Le Tabac Et La Santé and Jean-Yves Blais v. Imperial Tobacco Ltd., Rothmans, Benson & Hedges Inc. and JTI Macdonald Corp., Quebec Superior Court, Canada*, filed in November 1998, our subsidiary and other Canadian manufacturers are defendants. The plaintiffs, an

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

anti-smoking organization and an individual smoker, are seeking compensatory and unspecified punitive damages for each member of the class who allegedly suffers from certain smoking-related diseases. The class was certified in 2005. Pre-trial discovery is ongoing. A trial date has been scheduled for October 2011.

In the third class action pending in Canada, *Kunta v. Canadian Tobacco Manufacturers Council, et al., The Queen's Bench, Winnipeg, Canada*, filed June 12, 2009, we, our subsidiaries, and our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.), and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, an individual smoker, alleges her own addiction to tobacco products and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), severe asthma, and mild reversible lung disease resulting from the use of tobacco products. She is seeking compensatory and unspecified punitive damages on behalf of a proposed class comprised of all smokers, their estates, dependents and family members, as well as restitution of profits, and reimbursement of government health care costs allegedly caused by tobacco products.

In the fourth class action pending in Canada, *Adams v. Canadian Tobacco Manufacturers Council, et al., The Queen's Bench, Saskatchewan, Canada*, filed July 10, 2009, we, our subsidiaries, and our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.), and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, an individual smoker, alleges her own addiction to tobacco products and COPD resulting from the use of tobacco products. She is seeking compensatory and unspecified punitive damages on behalf of a proposed class comprised of all smokers who have smoked a minimum of 25,000 cigarettes and have suffered, or suffer, from COPD, emphysema, heart disease, or cancer, as well as restitution of profits. Preliminary motions are pending.

In the fifth class action pending in Canada, *Semple v. Canadian Tobacco Manufacturers Council, et al., The Supreme Court (trial court), Nova Scotia, Canada*, filed June 18, 2009, we, our subsidiaries, and our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.), and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, an individual smoker, alleges his own addiction to tobacco products and COPD resulting from the use of tobacco products. He is seeking compensatory and unspecified punitive damages on behalf of a proposed class comprised of all smokers, their estates, dependents and family members, as well as restitution of profits, and reimbursement of government health care costs allegedly caused by tobacco products.

In the sixth class action pending in Canada, *Dorion v. Canadian Tobacco Manufacturers Council, et al., The Queen's Bench, Alberta, Canada*, filed June 15, 2009, we, our subsidiaries, and our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.), and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, an individual smoker, alleges her own addiction to tobacco products and chronic bronchitis and severe sinus infections resulting from the use of tobacco products. She is seeking compensatory and unspecified punitive damages on behalf of a proposed class comprised of all smokers, their estates, dependents and family members, restitution of profits, and reimbursement of government health care costs allegedly caused by tobacco products. To date, we, our subsidiaries, and our indemnitees have not been properly served with the complaint.

In the seventh class action pending in Canada, *McDermid v. Imperial Tobacco Canada Limited, et al., Supreme Court, British Columbia, Canada*, filed June 25, 2010, we, our subsidiaries, and our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.), and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, an individual smoker, alleges his own addiction to tobacco products and heart disease resulting from the use of tobacco products. He is seeking compensatory and unspecified punitive damages on behalf of a proposed class comprised of all smokers who were alive on June 12, 2007, and who suffered from heart disease caused by smoking, their estates, dependents and family members, plus disgorgement of revenues earned by the defendants from January 1, 1954 to the date the claim was filed.

In the eighth class action pending in Canada, *Bourassa v. Imperial Tobacco Canada Limited, et al., Supreme Court, British Columbia, Canada*, filed June 25, 2010, we, our subsidiaries, and our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.), and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, the heir to a deceased smoker, alleges

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

that the decedent was addicted to tobacco products and suffered from emphysema resulting from the use of tobacco products. She is seeking compensatory and unspecified punitive damages on behalf of a proposed class comprised of all smokers who were alive on June 12, 2007, and who suffered from chronic respiratory diseases caused by smoking, their estates, dependents and family members, plus disgorgement of revenues earned by the defendants from January 1, 1954 to the date the claim was filed.

Health Care Cost Recovery Litigation: These cases, brought by governmental and non-governmental plaintiffs, seek reimbursement of health care cost expenditures allegedly caused by tobacco products. Plaintiffs' allegations of liability in these cases are based on various theories of recovery including unjust enrichment, negligence, negligent design, strict liability, breach of express and implied warranties, violation of a voluntary undertaking or special duty, fraud, negligent misrepresentation, conspiracy, public nuisance, defective product, failure to warn, sale of cigarettes to minors, and claims under statutes governing competition and deceptive trade practices. Plaintiffs in these cases seek various forms of relief including compensatory and other damages, and injunctive and equitable relief. Defenses raised in these cases include lack of proximate cause, remoteness of injury, failure to state a claim, adequate remedy at law, unclean hands (namely, that plaintiffs cannot obtain equitable relief because they participated in, and benefited from, the sale of cigarettes), and statute of limitations.

As of November 1, 2010, there were 10 health care cost recovery cases pending against us, our subsidiaries or indemnitees in Canada (3), Israel (1), Nigeria (5) and Spain (1), compared with 11 such cases on November 1, 2009, and 9 such cases on November 1, 2008.

In the first health care cost recovery case pending in Canada, *Her Majesty the Queen in Right of British Columbia v. Imperial Tobacco Limited, et al.*, Supreme Court, British Columbia, Vancouver Registry, Canada, filed January 24, 2001, we, our subsidiaries, our indemnitee (PM USA), and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, the government of the province of British Columbia, brought a claim based upon legislation enacted by the province authorizing the government to file a direct action against cigarette manufacturers to recover the health care costs it has incurred, and will incur, resulting from a tobacco related wrong. The Supreme Court has held that the statute is constitutional. We and certain other non-Canadian defendants challenged the jurisdiction of the court. The court rejected the jurisdictional challenge, and pre-trial discovery is ongoing. The trial court also has granted plaintiff's request that the target trial date of September 2011 be postponed indefinitely. Meanwhile, in December 2009, the British Columbia Court of Appeal ruled that the defendants could pursue a third-party claim against the government of Canada for negligently misrepresenting to defendants the efficacy of the low tar tobacco strain that the federal government developed and licensed to some of the defendants. In May 2010, the Supreme Court of Canada agreed to hear both the appeal of the Attorney General of Canada and the defendants' cross-appeal from the British Columbia Court of Appeal decision. Oral arguments in that appeal are presently scheduled for February 2011.

In the second health care cost recovery case filed in Canada, *Her Majesty the Queen in Right of New Brunswick v. Rothmans Inc., et al.*, Court of Queen's Bench of New Brunswick, Trial Court, New Brunswick, Fredericton, Canada, filed March 13, 2008, we, our subsidiaries, our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.), and other members of the industry are defendants. The claim was filed by the government of the province of New Brunswick based on legislation enacted in the province. This legislation is similar to the law introduced in British Columbia that authorizes the government to file a direct action against cigarette manufacturers to recover the health care costs it has incurred, and will incur, as a result of a tobacco related wrong. Pre-trial discovery is ongoing.

In the third health care cost recovery case filed in Canada, *Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Ontario v. Rothmans Inc., et al.*, Ontario Superior Court of Justice, Toronto, Canada, filed September 29, 2009, we, our subsidiaries, our indemnitees (PM USA and Altria Group, Inc.), and other members of the industry are defendants. The claim was filed by the government of the province of Ontario based on legislation enacted in the province. This legislation is similar to the laws introduced in British Columbia and New Brunswick that authorize the government to file a direct action against cigarette manufacturers to recover the health care costs it has incurred, and will incur, as a result of a

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

tobacco related wrong. Preliminary motions are pending.

In the case in Israel, *Kupat Holim Clalit v. Philip Morris USA, et al.*, Jerusalem District Court, Israel, filed September 28, 1998, we, our subsidiary, and our indemnitee (PM USA), and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, a private health care provider, brought a claim seeking reimbursement of the cost of treating its members for alleged smoking-related illnesses for the years 1990 to 1998. Certain defendants filed a motion to dismiss the case. The motion was rejected, and those defendants filed a motion with the Israel Supreme Court for leave to appeal. The appeal was heard by the Supreme Court in March 2005, and the parties are awaiting the court's decision.

In the first case in Nigeria, *The Attorney General of Lagos State v. British American Tobacco (Nigeria) Limited, et al.*, High Court of Lagos State, Lagos, Nigeria, filed April 30, 2007, our subsidiary and other members of the industry are defendants. Plaintiff seeks reimbursement for the cost of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the past 20 years, payment of anticipated costs of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the next 20 years, various forms of injunctive relief, plus punitive damages. In February 2008, our subsidiary was served with a Notice of Discontinuance. The claim was formally dismissed in March 2008. However, the plaintiff has since refiled its claim. Our subsidiary is in the process of making challenges to service and the court's jurisdiction. Currently, the case is stayed in the trial court pending the appeals of certain co-defendants relating to service objections. We currently conduct no business in Nigeria.

In the second case in Nigeria, *The Attorney General of Kano State v. British American Tobacco (Nigeria) Limited, et al.*, High Court of Kano State, Kano, Nigeria, filed May 9, 2007, our subsidiary and other members of the industry are defendants. Plaintiff seeks reimbursement for the cost of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the past 20 years, payment of anticipated costs of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the next 20 years, various forms of injunctive relief, plus punitive damages. Our subsidiary is in the process of making challenges to service and the court's jurisdiction.

In the third case in Nigeria, *The Attorney General of Gombe State v. British American Tobacco (Nigeria) Limited, et al.*, High Court of Gombe State, Gombe, Nigeria, filed May 18, 2007, our subsidiary and other members of the industry are defendants. Plaintiff seeks reimbursement for the cost of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the past 20 years, payment of anticipated costs of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the next 20 years, various forms of injunctive relief, plus punitive damages. In July 2008, the court dismissed the case against all defendants based on the plaintiff's failure to comply with various procedural requirements when filing and serving the complaint. The plaintiff did not appeal the dismissal. However, in October 2008, the plaintiff refiled its claim. In June 2010, the court ordered the plaintiff to amend the claim to properly name Philip Morris International Inc. as a defendant. We are objecting to the attempted service of amended process.

In the fourth case in Nigeria, *The Attorney General of Oyo State, et al., v. British American Tobacco (Nigeria) Limited, et al.*, High Court of Oyo State, Ibadan, Nigeria, filed May 25, 2007, our subsidiary and other members of the industry are defendants. Plaintiffs seek reimbursement for the cost of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the past 20 years, payment of anticipated costs of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the next 20 years, various forms of injunctive relief, plus punitive damages. Our subsidiary challenged service as improper. In June 2010, the court ruled that the plaintiff did not have leave to serve the writ of summons on the defendants and that plaintiff must re-serve the writ. Our subsidiary has not yet been re-served.

In the fifth case in Nigeria, *The Attorney General of Ogun State v. British American Tobacco (Nigeria) Limited, et al.*, High Court of Ogun State, Abeokuta, Nigeria, filed February 26, 2008, our subsidiary and other members of the industry are defendants. Plaintiff seeks reimbursement for the cost of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the past 20 years, payment of anticipated costs of treating alleged smoking-related diseases for the next 20 years,

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

various forms of injunctive relief, plus punitive damages. In May 2010, the trial court rejected our subsidiary's service objections. Our subsidiary is in the process of appealing that order.

In the series of proceedings in Spain, *Junta de Andalucia, et al. v. Philip Morris Spain, et al., Court of First Instance, Madrid, Spain*, the first of which was filed February 21, 2002, our subsidiary and other members of the industry were defendants. The plaintiffs sought reimbursement for the cost of treating certain of their citizens for various smoking-related illnesses. In May 2004, the first instance court dismissed the initial case, finding that the State was a necessary party to the claim, and thus, the claim must be filed in the Administrative Court. The plaintiffs appealed. In February 2006, the appellate court affirmed the lower court's dismissal. The plaintiffs then filed notice that they intended to pursue their claim in the Administrative Court against the State. Because they were defendants in the original proceeding, our subsidiary and other members of the industry filed notices with the Administrative Court that they are interested parties in the case. In September 2007, the plaintiffs filed their complaint in the Administrative Court. In November 2007, the Administrative Court dismissed the claim based on a procedural issue. The plaintiffs asked the Administrative Court to reconsider its decision dismissing the case, and that request was rejected in a ruling rendered in February 2008. Plaintiffs appealed to the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court rejected plaintiffs' appeal in November 2009, resulting in the final dismissal of the claim. However, plaintiffs have filed a second claim in the Administrative Court against the Ministry of Economy. This second claim seeks the same relief as the original claim, but relies on a different procedural posture. The Administrative Court has recognized our subsidiary as a party in this proceeding.

Lights Cases: These cases, brought by individual plaintiffs, or on behalf of a class of individual plaintiffs, allege that the use of the term "lights" constitutes fraudulent and misleading conduct. Plaintiffs' allegations of liability in these cases are based on various theories of recovery including misrepresentation, deception, and breach of consumer protection laws. Plaintiffs seek various forms of relief including restitution, injunctive relief, and compensatory and other damages. Defenses raised include lack of causation, lack of reliance, assumption of the risk, and statute of limitations.

As of November 1, 2010, there were a number of lights cases pending against our subsidiaries or indemnitees, as follows:

2 cases brought on behalf of various classes of individual plaintiffs (some overlapping) in Israel, compared with 3 such cases on November 1, 2009 and November 1, 2008; and

10 cases brought by individuals in the equivalent of small claims courts in Italy, where the maximum damages are approximately one thousand Euros per case, compared with 1,979 such cases on November 1, 2009, and 2,010 such cases on November 1, 2008.

In the first class action pending in Israel, *El-Roy, et al. v. Philip Morris Incorporated, et al., District Court of Tel-Aviv/Jaffa, Israel*, filed January 18, 2004, our subsidiary and our indemnitees (PM USA and our former importer Menache H. Eliachar Ltd.) are defendants. The plaintiffs filed a purported class action claiming that the class members were misled by the descriptor "lights" into believing that lights cigarettes are safer than full flavor cigarettes. The claim seeks recovery of the purchase price of lights cigarettes and compensation for distress for each class member. Hearings took place in November and December 2008 regarding whether the case meets the legal requirements necessary to allow it to proceed as a class action. The parties' briefing on class certification is scheduled to be completed in December 2010.

The claims in a second class action pending in Israel, *Navon, et al. v. Philip Morris Products USA, et al., District Court of Tel-Aviv/Jaffa, Israel*, filed December 5, 2004, against our indemnitee (our distributor M.H. Eliashar Distribution Ltd.) and other members of the industry are similar to those in *El-Roy*, and the case is currently stayed pending a ruling on class certification in *El-Roy*.

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

Public Civil Actions: Claims have been filed either by an individual, or a public or private entity, seeking to protect collective or individual rights, such as the right to health, the right to information or the right to safety. Plaintiffs' allegations of liability in these cases are based on various theories of recovery including product defect, concealment, and misrepresentation. Plaintiffs in these cases seek various forms of relief including injunctive relief such as banning cigarettes, descriptors, smoking in certain places and advertising, as well as implementing communication campaigns and reimbursement of medical expenses incurred by public or private institutions.

As of November 1, 2010, there were 8 public civil actions pending against our subsidiaries in Argentina (1), Brazil (1), Colombia (5) and Venezuela (1), compared with 11 such cases on November 1, 2009, and 10 such cases on November 1, 2008.

In the public civil action in Argentina, *Asociación Argentina de Derecho de Danos v. Massalin Particulares S.A., et al., Civil Court of Buenos Aires, Argentina*, filed February 26, 2007, our subsidiary and another member of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff, a consumer association, seeks the establishment of a relief fund for reimbursement of medical costs associated with diseases allegedly caused by smoking. Our subsidiary filed its answer in September 2007. In March 2010, the case file was transferred to the Federal Court on Administrative Matters after the Civil Court granted the plaintiff's request to add the national government as a co-plaintiff in the case.

In the public civil action in Brazil, *The Brazilian Association for the Defense of Consumer Health (SAUDECON) v. Philip Morris Brasil Industria e Comercio Ltda and Souza Cruz S.A., Civil Court of City of Porto Alegre, Brazil*, filed November 3, 2008, our subsidiary is a defendant. The plaintiff, a consumer organization, is asking the court to establish a fund that will be used to provide treatment to smokers who claim to be addicted and who do not otherwise have access to smoking cessation treatment. Plaintiff requests that each defendant's liability be determined according to its market share. In May 2009, the trial court dismissed the case on the merits. Plaintiff has appealed.

In the first public civil action in Colombia, *Garrido v. Philip Morris Colombia S.A., Civil Court of Bogotá, Colombia*, filed August 28, 2006, our subsidiary is a defendant. The plaintiff seeks various forms of injunctive relief, including the ban of the use of lights descriptors, and requests that defendant be ordered to finance a national campaign against smoking. In February 2010, the trial court dismissed the case. Plaintiff has appealed.

In the second public civil action in Colombia, *Morales v. Philip Morris Colombia S.A. and Colombian Government, Administrative Court of Bogotá, Colombia*, filed February 12, 2007, our subsidiary and a government entity are defendants. The plaintiff alleges violations of the collective right to a healthy environment, public health rights, and the rights of consumers, and that the government failed to protect those rights. Plaintiff seeks various monetary damages and other relief, including a ban on descriptors and a ban on cigarette advertising. In April 2010, the trial court dismissed the case. Plaintiff appealed, and the appeal was rejected in October 2010. Plaintiff may file a further appeal.

In the public civil action in Colombia, *Morales, et al. v. Coltabaco (Morales II), Civil Court of Bogotá, Colombia*, filed February 5, 2008, our subsidiary is a defendant. The plaintiffs alleged misleading advertising, product defect, failure to inform, and the targeting of minors in advertising and marketing. Plaintiffs sought various monetary relief including a percentage of the costs incurred by the state each year for treating tobacco-related illnesses to be paid to the Ministry of Social Protection (from the date of incorporation of Coltabaco). In addition, plaintiffs sought a fixed annual contribution to the government and requested that a statutory incentive award be paid to them for filing the claim. In July 2010, the trial court dismissed the case. Plaintiff did not appeal. This case is now terminated and is not included in the above case statistics. We will no longer report on this case.

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

In the third public civil action in Colombia, *Morales, et al. v. Productora Tabacalera de Colombia S.A. (Protabaco), et al., (Morales III), Administrative Court of Bogotá, Colombia*, filed December 19, 2007, our subsidiaries, other members of the industry, and various government entities are defendants. The plaintiffs' claims are identical to those in *Morales II*, above. Our subsidiaries filed their answers in August 2008.

In the fourth public civil action in Colombia, *Roche v. Philip Morris Colombia S.A., Civil Court of Bogotá, Colombia*, filed November 14, 2008, our subsidiary is a defendant. Plaintiff alleges violations of the collective right to health because the defendant failed to include information about ingredients and their toxicity on cigarette packs. Plaintiff asks the court to order our subsidiary to immediately cease manufacture and/or distribution of cigarettes until information on ingredients and their toxicity is included on packs. In September 2010, the trial court dismissed the case. Plaintiff may appeal.

In the fifth public civil action in Colombia, *Ibagué Public Prosecutor v. Republic of Colombia (Ministry of Social Protection), et al., Administrative Court of Ibagué, Colombia*, filed August 11, 2009, our subsidiary is a defendant. Plaintiff alleges that the public's collective right to health, safety and enjoyment of a safe environment, has been violated. Plaintiff seeks (i) a ban on the sale of cigarettes; (ii) a ban on all cigarette advertising and promotion; (iii) the development of strategies to rehabilitate smoking addicts; and (iv) the implementation of a program designed to eradicate smoking in Colombia within a reasonable period of time. Our subsidiary has not yet been served with the complaint.

In the public civil action in Venezuela, *Federation of Consumers and Users Associations (FEVACU), et al. v. National Assembly of Venezuela and the Venezuelan Ministry of Health, Constitutional Chamber of the Venezuelan Supreme Court*, filed April 29, 2008, we were not named as a defendant, but the plaintiffs published a notice pursuant to court order, notifying all interested parties to appear in the case. In January 2009, our subsidiary appeared in the case in response to this notice. The plaintiffs purport to represent the right to health of the citizens of Venezuela and claim that the government failed to protect adequately its citizens' right to health. The claim asks the court to order the government to enact stricter regulations on the manufacture and sale of tobacco products. In addition, the plaintiffs ask the court to order companies involved in the tobacco industry to allocate a percentage of their sales or benefits to establish a fund to pay for the health care costs of treating smoking-related diseases. In October 2008, the court ruled that plaintiffs have standing to file the claim and that the claim meets the threshold admissibility requirements.

Other Litigation

Other litigation includes an antitrust suit, a breach of contract action, and various tax and individual employment cases.

Antitrust: In the antitrust class action in Kansas, *Smith v. Philip Morris Companies Inc., et al., District Court of Seward County, Kansas*, filed February 7, 2000, we and other members of the industry are defendants. The plaintiff asserts that the defendant cigarette companies engaged in an international conspiracy to fix wholesale prices of cigarettes and sought certification of a class comprised of all persons in Kansas who were indirect purchasers of cigarettes from the defendants. The plaintiff claims unspecified economic damages resulting from the alleged price-fixing, trebling of those damages under the Kansas price-fixing statute and counsel fees. The trial court granted plaintiff's motion for class certification and refused to permit the defendants to appeal. The case is now in the discovery phase. A court-ordered mediation was held on October 18, 2010. We filed a summary judgment motion in advance of the mediation. No trial date has yet been set.

Breach of Contract: In the breach of contract action in Ontario, Canada, *The Ontario Flue-Cured Tobacco Growers' Marketing Board, et al. v. Rothmans, Benson & Hedges Inc., Superior Court of Justice, London, Ontario, Canada*, filed November 5, 2009, our subsidiary is a defendant. Plaintiffs in this putative class action allege that our subsidiary breached contracts with the class members (Ontario tobacco growers and their related associations) concerning the sale and purchase of flue-cured tobacco from January 1, 1986 to December 31, 1996. Plaintiffs allege that our

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

subsidiary was required by the contracts to disclose to plaintiffs the quantity of tobacco included in cigarettes to be sold for duty free and export purposes (which it purchased at a lower price per pound than tobacco that was included in cigarettes to be sold in Canada), but failed to disclose that some of the cigarettes it designated as being for export and duty free purposes were ultimately sold in Canada. Our subsidiary has been served, but there is currently no deadline to respond to the statement of claim.

Tax: In Brazil, there are 105 tax cases involving Philip Morris Brasil S.A. relating to the payment of state tax on the sale and transfer of goods and services, federal social contributions, excise, social security and income tax, and other matters. Fifty of these cases are under administrative review by the relevant fiscal authorities and 55 are under judicial review by the courts.

Employment: Our subsidiaries, Philip Morris Brasil S.A. and Philip Morris Brasil Ltda, are defendants in various individual employment cases resulting, among other things, from the termination of employment in connection with the shut-down of one of our factories in Brazil.

Third-Party Guarantees

At September 30, 2010, PMI's third-party guarantees were \$6 million, of which \$2 million have no specific expiration dates. The remainder expires through 2014 with no guarantees expiring through September 30, 2011. PMI is required to perform under these guarantees in the event that a third party fails to make contractual payments. PMI does not have a liability on its condensed consolidated balance sheet at September 30, 2010, as the fair value of these guarantees is insignificant due to the fact that the probability of future payments under these guarantees is remote.

Under the terms of the Distribution Agreement between Altria and PMI, liabilities concerning tobacco products will be allocated based in substantial part on the manufacturer. PMI will indemnify Altria and PM USA for liabilities related to tobacco products manufactured by PMI or contract manufactured for PMI by PM USA, and PM USA will indemnify PMI for liabilities related to tobacco products manufactured by PM USA, excluding tobacco products contract manufactured for PMI. PMI does not have a liability recorded on its balance sheet at September 30, 2010, as the fair value of this indemnification is insignificant since the probability of future payments under this indemnification is remote.

Note 11. Income Taxes:

Income tax provisions for jurisdictions outside the United States, as well as state and local income tax provisions, were determined on a separate company basis and the related assets and liabilities were recorded in PMI's condensed consolidated balance sheets.

PMI's effective tax rates for the nine months and three months ended September 30, 2010 were 27.0% and 27.8%, respectively. PMI's effective tax rates for the nine months and three months ended September 30, 2009 were 29.3% and 29.5%, respectively. The effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was favorably impacted by the reversal of tax reserves (\$148 million) following the conclusion of the IRS examination of Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated tax returns for the years 2000 through 2003, partially offset by the negative impact of an enacted increase in corporate income tax rates in Greece (\$21 million) and the net result of an audit in Italy (\$6 million). The effective tax rates are based on PMI's full-year geographic earnings mix projections and cash repatriation plans. Changes in earnings mix or in cash repatriation plans could have an impact on the effective tax rates, which PMI monitors each quarter. Significant judgment is required in determining income tax provisions and in evaluating tax positions.

PMI is regularly examined by tax authorities around the world. Although PMI does not anticipate the closure of any significant tax audits in the next twelve months, examinations could result in a change in unrecognized tax benefits along with related interest and penalties.

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

Note 12. Indebtedness:*Short-term Borrowings:*

At September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, PMI's short-term borrowings, consisting of commercial paper and bank loans to certain PMI subsidiaries, had a carrying value of \$2,416 million and \$1,662 million, respectively. The fair value of PMI's short-term borrowings, based on current market interest rates, approximates carrying value.

Long-term Debt:

At September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, PMI's long-term debt consisted of the following (in millions):

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
U.S. dollar notes, 4.50% to 6.875% (average interest rate 5.640%), due through 2038	\$ 8,188	\$ 7,199
Foreign currency obligations:		
Euro notes payable (average interest rate 5.240%), due through 2016	5,076	5,378
Swiss franc notes payable (average interest rate 3.625%), due through 2013	1,021	969
Other (average interest rate 3.969%), due through 2024	<u>746</u>	<u>208</u>
	15,031	13,754
Less current portion of long-term debt	<u>1,436</u>	<u>82</u>
	<u>\$ 13,595</u>	<u>\$ 13,672</u>

In March 2010, PMI issued \$1.0 billion of 4.50% U.S. dollar notes due March 2020. Interest is payable semiannually beginning September 2010. The net proceeds from the sale of the securities (\$983 million) were used to meet PMI's working capital requirements, repurchase PMI's common stock, refinance debt and for general corporate purposes.

Other foreign currency debt at September 30, 2010 includes long-term debt from our business combination in the Philippines. For further details on this business combination, see Note 7. *Acquisitions and Other Business Arrangements*. Other foreign currency debt also includes capital lease obligations and mortgage debt.

Credit Facilities:

On March 29, 2010, we entered into a new multi-year revolving credit facility in the amount of \$2.5 billion, which expires on September 30, 2013. This revolving credit facility replaced our Euro 2.0 billion 5-year revolving credit facility, which was to expire on May 12, 2010, and our \$1.0 billion 3-year revolving credit facility, which was to expire on December 4, 2010. At September 30, 2010, PMI's committed credit facilities were \$5.2 billion, and there were no borrowings outstanding under these committed credit facilities.

Note 13. Fair Value Measurements:

The authoritative guidance defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. The guidance also establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and

Edgar Filing: Philip Morris International Inc. - Form 10-Q

minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The guidance describes three levels of input that may be used to measure fair value, which are as follows:

-38-

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

Level 1 - Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 - Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

Derivative Financial Instruments Foreign Exchange Contracts

PMI assesses the fair value of its derivative financial instruments, which consist of foreign exchange forward contracts, foreign currency swaps and foreign currency options, using internally developed models that use, as their basis, readily observable market inputs. The fair value of PMI's foreign exchange forward contracts is determined by using the prevailing foreign exchange spot rates and interest rate differentials, and the respective maturity dates of the instruments. The fair value of PMI's currency options is determined by using a Black-Scholes methodology based on foreign exchange spot rates and interest rate differentials, currency volatilities and maturity dates. PMI's derivative financial instruments have been classified within Level 2. See Note 6. *Financial Instruments* for additional discussion on derivative financial instruments.

Debt Long-Term Notes

The fair value of PMI's outstanding long-term notes, as calculated solely for disclosure purposes, is determined by utilizing quotes and market interest rates currently available to PMI for issuances of debt with similar terms and remaining maturities. The aggregate carrying value of PMI's debt, excluding short-term borrowings and \$153 million of capital lease obligations, was \$14,878 million at September 30, 2010. The fair values of PMI's outstanding long-term notes have been classified within Level 1 and Level 2.

The aggregate fair value of PMI's derivative financial instruments and long-term notes as of September 30, 2010, was as follows (in millions):

	Fair Value At September 30, 2010	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets/Liabilities (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets:				
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ 166	\$ -	\$ 166	\$ -
Total assets	\$ 166	\$ -	\$ 166	\$ -
Liabilities:				
Long-term notes	\$ 16,771	\$ 16,178	\$ 593	\$ -
Foreign exchange contracts	52	-	52	-
Total liabilities	\$ 16,823	\$ 16,178	\$ 645	\$ -

Table of Contents

Philip Morris International Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

Note 14. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Earnings (Losses):

PMI's accumulated other comprehensive earnings (losses), net of taxes, consisted of the following (in millions):

	At September 30, 2010	At December 31, 2009	At September 30, 2009
Currency translation adjustments	\$ 824	\$ 561	\$ 421
Pension and other benefits	(1,350)	(1,408)	(1,401)
Derivatives accounted for as hedges	6	19	(46)
Debt and equity securities	—	11	7
Total accumulated other comprehensive losses	<u>\$ (520)</u>	<u>\$ (817)</u>	<u>\$ (1,019)</u>

Note 15. Colombian Investment and Cooperation Agreement:

On June 19, 2009, PMI announced that it had signed an agreement with the Republic of Colombia, together with the Departments of Colombia and the Capital District of Bogota, to promote investment and cooperation with respect to the Colombian tobacco market and to fight counterfeit and contraband tobacco products. The Investment and Cooperation Agreement provides \$200 million in funding to the Colombian governments over a 20-year period to address issues of mutual interest, such as combating the illegal cigarette trade, including the threat of counterfeit tobacco products, and increasing the quality and quantity of locally grown tobacco. As a result of the Investment and Cooperation Agreement, PMI recorded a pre-tax charge of \$135 million in the operating results of the Latin America & Canada segment during the second quarter of 2009.

At September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, PMI had \$81 million and \$93 million, respectively, of discounted liabilities associated with the Colombian Investment and Cooperation Agreement. These discounted liabilities are primarily reflected in other long-term liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet.

Table of Contents

Item 2.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF

FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Description of Our Company

We are a holding company whose subsidiaries and affiliates, and their licensees, are engaged in the manufacture and sale of cigarettes and other tobacco products in markets outside the United States of America. We manage our business in four segments:

European Union;

Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa (EEMA);

Asia; and

Latin America & Canada.

Our products are sold in approximately 160 countries and, in many of these countries, they hold the number one or number two market share position. We have a wide range of premium, mid-price and low-price brands. Our portfolio comprises international and local brands.

We use the term net revenues to refer to our operating revenues from the sale of our products, net of sales and promotion incentives. Our net revenues and operating income are affected by various factors, including the volume of products we sell, the price of our products, changes in currency exchange rates and the mix of products we sell. Mix is a term used to refer to the proportionate value of premium price brands to mid-price or low-price brands in any given market (product mix). Mix can also refer to the proportion of volume in more profitable markets versus volume in less profitable markets (geographic mix). We often collect excise taxes from our customers and then remit them to local governments, and, in those circumstances, we include excise taxes as a component of net revenues and as part of our cost of sales. Aside from excise taxes, our cost of sales consists principally of tobacco leaf, non-tobacco raw materials, labor and manufacturing costs.

Our marketing, administration and research costs include the costs of marketing our products, other costs generally not related to the manufacture of our products (including general corporate expenses), and costs incurred to develop new products. The most significant components of our marketing, administration and research costs are selling and marketing expenses, which relate to the cost of our sales force as well as to the advertising and promotion of our products.

We are a legal entity separate and distinct from our direct and indirect subsidiaries. Accordingly, our right, and thus the right of our creditors and stockholders, to participate in any distribution of the assets or earnings of any subsidiary is subject to the prior claims of creditors of such subsidiary, except to the extent that claims of our company itself as a creditor may be recognized. As a holding company, our principal sources of funds, including funds to make payment on our debt securities, are from the receipt of dividends and repayment of debt from our subsidiaries. Our principal wholly-owned and majority-owned subsidiaries currently are not limited by long-term debt or other agreements in their ability to pay cash dividends or to make other distributions with respect to their common stock.

Separation from Altria Group, Inc.

As discussed in Note 4. *Transactions with Altria Group, Inc.* of our 2009 audited consolidated financial statements and related notes, which are incorporated by reference into our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 (the 2009 Form 10-K), prior to March 28, 2008, we were a wholly-owned subsidiary of Altria Group, Inc. (Altria). On January 30, 2008, the Altria Board of Directors announced Altria's plans to spin off all of its interest in PMI to Altria's stockholders in a tax-free distribution pursuant to Section 355 of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code. The distribution of all of the PMI shares owned by Altria (the Spin-off) was made on March 28, 2008 (the Distribution

Edgar Filing: Philip Morris International Inc. - Form 10-Q

Date) to stockholders of record as of the close of business on March 19, 2008 (the Record Date). Altria distributed one share of our common stock for each share of Altria common stock outstanding on the Record Date.

-41-

Table of Contents**Executive Summary**

The following executive summary is intended to provide you with the significant highlights from the Discussion and Analysis that follows.

Consolidated Operating Results for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 The changes in our reported net earnings attributable to PMI and diluted earnings per share (diluted EPS) for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, from the comparable 2009 amounts, were as follows (in millions, except per share data):

	Net Earnings	
	Attributable	Diluted EPS
	to PMI	
For the nine months ended September 30, 2009	\$ 4,820	\$ 2.44
2010 Tax items	121	0.07
2010 Asset impairment and exit costs	(13)	(0.01)
Subtotal 2010 items	108	0.06
2009 Asset impairment and exit costs	2	
2009 Colombian Investment and Cooperation Agreement charge	93	0.04
Subtotal 2009 items	95	0.04
Currency	217	0.11
Interest	(59)	(0.03)
Change in tax rate	54	0.03
Impact of lower shares outstanding and share-based payments	8	0.18
Operations	264	0.13
For the nine months ended September 30, 2010	\$ 5,507	\$ 2.96

Asset Impairment and Exit Costs We recorded pre-tax asset impairment and exit costs primarily related to the streamlining of various administrative functions and our operations. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we recorded pre-tax asset impairment and exit costs of \$20 million (\$13 million after tax) related to factory restructuring charges in Greece and Portugal. During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, we recorded pre-tax asset impairment and exit costs of \$3 million (\$2 million after tax). For further details, see Note 2. *Asset Impairment and Exit Costs* to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Income Taxes Our effective income tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 decreased 2.3 percentage points to 27.0%. The effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was favorably impacted by the reversal of tax reserves (\$148 million) following the conclusion of the IRS examination of Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated tax returns for the years 2000 through 2003, partially offset by the negative impact of an enacted increase in corporate income tax rates in Greece (\$21 million) and the net result of an audit in Italy (\$6 million).

Colombian Investment and Cooperation Agreement charge During the second quarter of 2009, we recorded a pre-tax charge of \$135 million (\$93 million after tax) related to the Investment and Cooperation Agreement in Colombia. The charge was recorded in the operating companies income of the Latin America & Canada segment. For further details, see Note 15. *Colombian Investment and Cooperation Agreement* to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Currency The favorable currency impact during the reporting period was due primarily to the Australian dollar, Canadian dollar, Indonesian rupiah, Japanese yen, Korean won, Mexican peso, Russian ruble and Turkish lira, partially offset by the Euro and Swiss franc.

Table of Contents

Interest The unfavorable impact of interest was due primarily to higher average debt levels and lower interest income, partially offset by lower average interest rates on debt.

Lower Shares Outstanding and Share-Based Payments The favorable EPS impact was due to the repurchase of our common stock pursuant to our share repurchase programs.

Operations The increase in our operations reflected in the table above was due primarily to the following:

Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa: Higher pricing and the favorable impact of acquisitions, partially offset by lower volume/mix, higher manufacturing costs and higher marketing, administration and research costs;

Asia: Higher pricing and the favorable impact of the business combination in the Philippines, partially offset by higher marketing, administration and research costs, higher manufacturing costs and lower volume/mix; and

Latin America & Canada: Higher pricing, partially offset by higher manufacturing costs and higher marketing, administration and research costs;
partially offset by:

European Union: Lower volume/mix and higher marketing, administration and research costs, largely offset by higher pricing.

Consolidated Operating Results for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 The changes in our reported net earnings attributable to PMI and diluted EPS for the three months ended September 30, 2010, from the comparable 2009 amounts, were as follows (in millions, except per share data):

	Net Earnings	
	Attributable	
	to PMI	Diluted EPS
For the three months ended September 30, 2009	\$ 1,798	\$ 0.93
2010 Asset impairment and exit costs	(13)	(0.01)
2009 Asset impairment and exit costs	1	
Currency	30	0.02
Interest	1	
Change in tax rate	41	0.02
Impact of lower shares outstanding and share-based payments	2	0.05
Operations	(38)	(0.02)
For the three months ended September 30, 2010	\$ 1,822	\$ 0.99

Asset Impairment and Exit Costs We recorded pre-tax asset impairment and exit costs primarily related to our operations. During the three months ended September 30, 2010, we recorded pre-tax asset impairment and exit costs of \$20 million (\$13 million after tax) related to factory restructuring charges in Greece and Portugal. During the three months ended September 30, 2009, we recorded pre-tax asset impairment and exit

Edgar Filing: Philip Morris International Inc. - Form 10-Q

costs of \$1 million (\$0.5 million after tax). For further details, see Note 2. *Asset Impairment and Exit Costs* to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Income Taxes Our effective income tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2010 decreased 1.7 percentage points to 27.8%, primarily reflecting the mix of earnings for the quarter.

-43-

Table of Contents

Currency The favorable currency impact during the reporting period was due primarily to the Australian dollar, Canadian dollar, Indonesian rupiah, Japanese yen, Korean won, Mexican peso and Russian ruble, partially offset by the Euro and Swiss franc.

Lower Shares Outstanding and Share-Based Payments The favorable EPS impact was due primarily to the repurchase of our common stock pursuant to our share repurchase programs.

Operations The decrease in our operations reflected in the table above was due primarily to the following:

Asia: Lower volume/mix (primarily reflecting the payback of distributor inventory in Japan built up in the second quarter of 2010) and higher marketing, administration and research costs, partially offset by higher pricing and the impact of the business combination in the Philippines; and

European Union: Lower volume/mix and higher marketing, administration and research costs, partially offset by higher pricing and lower manufacturing costs;
partially offset by:

Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa: Higher pricing, partially offset by lower volume/mix and higher marketing, administration and research costs.

For further details, see the Consolidated Operating Results and Operating Results by Business Segment sections of the following Discussion and Analysis.

2010 Forecasted Results On October 21, 2010, we increased and narrowed our forecast for 2010 full-year reported diluted EPS to a range of \$3.90 to \$3.95, up by approximately 20% to 22% compared to \$3.24 in 2009, driven by favorable currency at prevailing rates at that date, an improved business performance and a lower tax rate. Excluding currency, reported diluted earnings per share are projected to increase by approximately 16% to 18%. This guidance includes \$0.07 per share for the previously discussed reversal of tax provisions, largely due to the completion of U.S. tax audits, and \$0.01 per share for asset impairment and exit costs related to restructuring charges in Greece and Portugal. This guidance excludes the impact of any potential future acquisitions, asset impairment and exit cost charges, and any unusual events. The factors described in the *Cautionary Factors That May Affect Future Results* section of the following *Discussion and Analysis* represent continuing risks to this forecast.

Table of Contents**Discussion and Analysis****Consolidated Operating Results**

See pages 73-77 for a discussion of our Cautionary Factors That May Affect Future Results. Our cigarette volume, net revenues, excise taxes on products and operating companies income by segment were as follows (in millions):

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,		For the Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Cigarette volume:				
European Union	169,617	178,887	58,264	61,047
Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa	217,265	222,097	75,228	77,769
Asia	211,588	169,231	70,188	54,484
Latin America & Canada	76,436	75,603	25,532	25,978
Total cigarette volume	674,906	645,818	229,212	219,278
Net revenues:				
European Union	\$ 21,053	\$ 20,988	\$ 7,045	\$ 7,783
Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa	11,665	9,953	4,184	3,722
Asia	11,094	8,974	3,629	3,170
Latin America & Canada	6,094	5,157	2,078	1,898
Net revenues	\$ 49,906	\$ 45,072	\$ 16,936	\$ 16,573
Excise taxes on products:				
European Union	\$ 14,435	\$ 14,313	\$ 4,906	\$ 5,375
Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa	6,134	5,031	2,288	1,892
Asia	5,265	4,160	1,796	1,519
Latin America & Canada	3,901	3,250	1,332	1,200
Excise taxes on products	\$ 29,735	\$ 26,754	\$ 10,322	\$ 9,986
Operating income:				
Operating companies income:				
European Union	\$ 3,280	\$ 3,397	\$ 1,113	\$ 1,267
Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa	2,412	1,982	856	761
Asia	2,259	1,933	690	653
Latin America & Canada	699	452	244	226
Amortization of intangibles	(65)	(54)	(22)	(18)
General corporate expenses	(128)	(111)	(45)	(39)
Operating income	\$ 8,457	\$ 7,599	\$ 2,836	\$ 2,850

As discussed in Note 9. *Segment Reporting* to our consolidated financial statements, we evaluate segment performance and allocate resources based on operating companies income, which we define as operating income before general corporate expenses and amortization of intangibles. We believe it is appropriate to disclose this measure to help investors analyze the business performance and trends of our various business segments.

Edgar Filing: Philip Morris International Inc. - Form 10-Q

References to total international cigarette market, total cigarette market, total market and market shares throughout this Discussion and Analysis are our estimates based on a number of internal and external sources.

-45-

Table of Contents

The following events that occurred during the nine months and three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, affected the comparability of our statement of earnings amounts.

Asset impairment and exit costs The operating companies income of the European Union segment included the following pre-tax charges for asset impairment and exit costs (in millions):

	For the Nine Months		For the Three Months	
	Ended September 30,		Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Asset impairment and exit costs	\$ 20	\$ 3	\$ 20	\$ 1

For further details, see Note 2. *Asset Impairment and Exit Costs* to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Colombian Investment and Cooperation Agreement charge As previously discussed, the operating companies income of the Latin America & Canada segment for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, included a pre-tax charge of \$135 million related to the Investment and Cooperation Agreement in Colombia. For further details, see Note 15. *Colombian Investment and Cooperation Agreement* to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Consolidated Operating Results for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010

The following discussion compares our consolidated operating results for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, with the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

Our cigarette shipment volume of 674.9 billion units increased 29.1 billion (4.5%), due primarily to gains in Asia, reflecting incremental volume of 39.5 billion units from the new business combination in the Philippines, and growth in Korea and Indonesia, partially offset by the timing of shipments in Japan and lower shipments in Pakistan; and growth in Latin America & Canada, mainly due to Canada and Mexico, partially offset by Brazil. These gains offset declines in the European Union, primarily reflecting lower total markets in Germany, Greece, Italy, Spain and the Baltic States, as well as lower market share in Germany and the Czech Republic; and in EEMA, due to the impact of several significant tax-driven price increases, notably in Romania, Turkey and Ukraine. Excluding acquisitions, our cigarette shipment volume was down 1.6%.

Our market share performance registered growth in a number of markets, including Algeria, Argentina, Australia, Belgium, Brazil, Bulgaria, Egypt, Hungary, Japan, Korea, Malaysia, Mexico, the Netherlands, the Philippines, Poland, Russia, Singapore, the Slovak Republic and Switzerland.

Total cigarette shipments of *Marlboro* of 224.5 billion units were down 0.8%, due primarily to decreases in the European Union, primarily reflecting a lower total market and a share decline in Germany, lower share and the impact of excise tax and VAT-driven price increases in Greece and the economic downturn in Spain; in EEMA, mainly reflecting tax-driven price increases in Romania, Russia and Turkey, partially offset by higher volume in the Middle East and North Africa. These decreases were partially offset by growth in Asia, primarily reflecting a higher total market in the Philippines, share growth in Korea and a higher total market and market share in Japan; and a slight increase in Latin America & Canada.

Total cigarette shipments of *L&M* of 66.2 billion units were down by 2.7%, with growth in the European Union of 4.9% and in Asia of 3.3%, more than offset by declines in the other regions. Total cigarette shipments of *Chesterfield* declined 2.1%, driven by lower shipments in Spain and Ukraine, partially offset by growth in Russia. Total cigarette shipments of *Parliament* were down by 4.6%, primarily in Turkey, reflecting the impact of the January 2010 tax-driven price increase, partially offset by growth in Korea. Total cigarette shipments of *Lark* increased by 0.8%, reflecting growth in Turkey, partially offset by a decline in Japan, and *Bond Street* increased by 8.4%, driven by growth in Russia, partially offset by declines in Turkey and Ukraine.

Table of Contents

Total shipment volume of other tobacco products (OTP), in cigarette equivalent units, grew by 53.8%, primarily fueled by the acquisition of Swedish Match South Africa (Proprietary) Limited. Excluding acquisitions, shipment volume of other tobacco products was down by 7.2%, primarily due to lower volume in Poland, reflecting the impact of the excise tax alignment of pipe tobacco to roll-your-own in the first quarter of 2009, partially offset by higher volume in Germany.

Total shipment volume for cigarettes and other tobacco products was up by 5.4%, and down by 1.7% excluding acquisitions.

Our net revenues and excise taxes on products were as follows (in millions):

	For the Nine Months Ended		Variance	%
	2010	2009		
Net revenues	\$ 49,906	\$ 45,072	\$ 4,834	10.7%
Excise taxes on products	29,735	26,754	2,981	11.1%
Net revenues, excluding excise taxes on products	\$ 20,171	\$ 18,318	\$ 1,853	10.1%

Currency movements increased net revenues by \$1.8 billion (\$734 million, after excluding the impact of currency movements on excise taxes). The \$734 million increase was due primarily to the Australian dollar, Brazilian real, Canadian dollar, Indonesian rupiah, Japanese yen, Korean won, Mexican peso, Russian ruble and Turkish lira, partially offset by the Argentine peso and the Euro.

Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, increased \$4.8 billion (10.7%). Excluding excise taxes, net revenues increased \$1.9 billion (10.1%) to \$20.2 billion. This increase was due to:

net price increases (\$1.1 billion),

favorable currency (\$734 million) and

the impact of acquisitions (\$460 million), partially offset by

lower volume/mix (\$423 million).

Excise taxes on products increased \$3.0 billion (11.1%), due to:

higher excise taxes resulting from changes in retail prices and tax rates (\$2.8 billion),

currency movements (\$1.1 billion) and

the impact of acquisitions (\$173 million), partially offset by

Edgar Filing: Philip Morris International Inc. - Form 10-Q

lower volume/mix (\$1.1 billion).

As discussed under the caption Business Environment, governments have consistently increased excise taxes in most of the markets in which we operate. We expect excise taxes to continue to increase.

Our cost of sales; marketing, administration and research costs; and operating income were as follows (in millions):

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,		Variance	%
	2010	2009		
Cost of sales	\$ 7,212	\$ 6,476	\$ 736	11.4%
Marketing, administration and research costs	4,417	4,186	231	5.5%
Operating income	8,457	7,599	858	11.3%

Currency movements increased operating income by \$320 million.

Table of Contents

Cost of sales increased \$736 million (11.4%), due to:

the impact of acquisitions (\$348 million),

currency movements (\$257 million) and

higher manufacturing costs (\$186 million, primarily leaf tobacco costs), partially offset by

volume/mix (\$55 million).

Although tobacco leaf prices have been increasing, going forward we expect near-term price increases to be broadly in line with inflation.

Marketing, administration and research costs increased \$231 million (5.5%), due primarily to:

currency (\$153 million),

higher general and administrative expenses (\$93 million),

higher marketing and selling expenses (\$31 million),

higher research and development costs (\$31 million) and

the impact of acquisitions (\$21 million), partially offset by

the 2009 charge related to the Colombian Investment and Cooperation Agreement (\$135 million).

Operating income increased \$858 million (11.3%). This increase was due primarily to:

net price increases (\$1.1 billion),

favorable currency (\$320 million),

the 2009 charge related to the Colombian Investment and Cooperation Agreement (\$135 million) and

Edgar Filing: Philip Morris International Inc. - Form 10-Q

the impact of acquisitions (\$91 million), partially offset by

lower volume/mix (\$368 million),

higher manufacturing costs (\$186 million),

higher general and administrative expenses (\$93 million),

higher marketing and selling expenses (\$31 million),

higher research and development costs (\$31 million) and

higher asset impairment and exit costs (\$17 million).

Interest expense, net, of \$660 million increased \$88 million, due primarily to higher average debt levels and lower interest income, partially offset by lower average interest rates on debt.

Our effective tax rate decreased 2.3 percentage points to 27.0%. The effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was favorably impacted by the reversal of tax reserves (\$148 million) following the conclusion of the IRS examination of Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated tax returns for the years 2000 through 2003, partially offset by the negative impact of an enacted increase in corporate income tax rates in Greece (\$21 million) and the net result of an audit in Italy (\$6 million). The estimated effective tax rate for the full-year 2010 excluding the discrete events described above is 28.6%. The effective tax rate is based on our full-year geographic earnings mix and cash repatriation plans. Changes in our cash repatriation plans could have an impact on the effective tax rate, which we monitor each quarter. Significant judgment is required in determining income tax provisions and in evaluating tax positions.

We are regularly examined by tax authorities around the world. Although we do not anticipate the closure of any significant tax audits in the next twelve months, examinations could result in a change in unrecognized tax benefits along with related interest and penalties.

Net earnings attributable to PMI of \$5.5 billion increased \$687 million (14.3%). This increase was due primarily to higher operating income and a lower effective tax rate, partially offset by higher interest expense, net. Diluted and basic EPS of \$2.96 increased by 21.3% and 20.8%, respectively. Excluding a favorable currency impact of \$0.11, diluted EPS increased 16.8%.

Table of Contents*Consolidated Operating Results for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2010*

The following discussion compares our consolidated operating results for the three months ended September 30, 2010, with the three months ended September 30, 2009.

Our cigarette shipment volume of 229.2 billion units was up by 4.5%. In the European Union, cigarette shipment volume decreased by 4.6%, primarily due to lower total markets. In EEMA, cigarette shipment volume declined by 3.3%, primarily due to: Turkey, reflecting the continuing unfavorable impact of a significant excise tax increase in January 2010; and Ukraine, reflecting the unfavorable impact of inventory movements and tax-driven price increases in January and July 2010; partly offset by increases in Russia and North Africa, mainly in Algeria. In Asia, our cigarette shipment volume increased by 28.8%, primarily reflecting growth in Indonesia, Korea and Pakistan, as well as the favorable impact of the PMFTC Inc. business combination in the Philippines of 16.2 billion units. This was partially offset by the timing of shipments in Japan, reflecting the payback of the distributor inventory build-up in the second quarter of 2010 in anticipation of increased trade and consumer purchases ahead of the October 1, 2010 tax-driven price increase. In Latin America & Canada, cigarette shipment volume decreased by 1.7%, due mainly to unfavorable trade inventory movements in Colombia following the tax-driven price increase in July and a decline in the tax-paid market. Excluding acquisitions, our cigarette shipment volume was down by 2.9%.

Total cigarette shipments of *Marlboro* of 75.9 billion units were down by 1.2%, due primarily to a decrease in the European Union, mainly reflecting: a share decline in Germany, lower share in Greece, driven by excise tax and VAT-driven price increases, and unfavorable distributor inventory movements in Italy; a slight decrease in EEMA of 0.2%, primarily due to Turkey, partially offset by robust growth in North Africa; an essentially flat performance in Asia, led by growth in Korea and the Philippines, offset by Japan; and growth in Latin America & Canada of 0.8%, driven by Argentina, Colombia and Mexico.

Total cigarette shipments of *L&M* of 22.9 billion units were down by 2.0%, with shipment growth in the European Union, primarily in Greece, and Asia, more than offset by EEMA, primarily due to declines in Russia, Turkey and Ukraine. Due mainly to declines in shipments in Spain and Ukraine, partially offset by growth in Germany, Poland and Russia, total cigarette shipments of *Chesterfield* of 9.3 billion units declined by 1.4%. Total cigarette shipments of *Parliament* of 9.1 billion units were down by 5.0%, due primarily to declines in Japan and Turkey, partially offset by growth in Korea and Russia. Total cigarette shipments of *Lark* of 6.7 billion units decreased by 10.2%, due primarily to declines in Japan, partially offset by growth in Turkey. Total cigarette shipments of *Bond Street* of 11.6 billion units increased by 2.5%, driven by growth in Russia, partly offset by Turkey and Ukraine.

Total shipment volume of other tobacco products, in cigarette equivalent units, grew by 64.0%, benefitting from the acquisition of Swedish Match South Africa (Proprietary) Limited. Excluding acquisitions, shipment volume of other tobacco products was down by 0.9%, primarily due to lower volume in Poland, reflecting the impact of the excise tax alignment of pipe tobacco to roll-your-own in the first quarter of 2009.

Total shipment volume for cigarettes and other tobacco products was up by 5.5%, or down by 2.8% excluding acquisitions.

Our market share performance in the quarter was stable, or registered growth, in a number of markets, including Argentina, Belgium, Egypt, France, Greece, Japan, Korea, Mexico, the Netherlands, the Philippines, Poland, Russia, Singapore, Spain, Switzerland and Ukraine.

Our net revenues and excise taxes on products were as follows (in millions):

	For the Three Months Ended			
	September 30,		Variance	%
	2010	2009		
Net revenues	\$ 16,936	\$ 16,573	\$ 363	2.2%
Excise taxes on products	10,322	9,986	336	3.4%
Net revenues, excluding excise taxes on products	\$ 6,614	\$ 6,587	\$ 27	0.4%

Table of Contents

Currency movements decreased net revenues by \$562 million (\$138 million, after excluding the impact of currency movements on excise taxes). The \$138 million decrease was due primarily to the Euro, partially offset by the Australian dollar, Canadian dollar, Indonesian rupiah, Japanese yen and Russian ruble.

Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, increased \$363 million (2.2%). Excluding excise taxes, net revenues increased \$27 million (0.4%) to \$6.6 billion. This increase was due to:

net price increases (\$292 million) and

the impact of acquisitions (\$175 million), partially offset by

lower volume/mix (\$302 million) and

unfavorable currency (\$138 million).

Excise taxes on products increased \$336 million (3.4%), due to:

higher excise taxes resulting from changes in retail prices and tax rates (\$989 million) and

the impact of acquisitions (\$80 million), partially offset by

favorable currency movements (\$424 million) and

lower volume/mix (\$309 million).

Our cost of sales; marketing, administration and research costs; and operating income were as follows (in millions):

	For the Three Months Ended		Variance	%
	2010	September 30, 2009		
Cost of sales	\$ 2,290	\$ 2,320	\$ (30)	(1.3%)
Marketing, administration and research costs	1,446	1,398	48	3.4%
Operating income	2,836	2,850	(14)	(0.5%)

Currency movements increased operating income by \$20 million.

Cost of sales decreased \$30 million (1.3%), due primarily to:

Edgar Filing: Philip Morris International Inc. - Form 10-Q

favorable currency movements (\$110 million) and

lower volume/mix (\$50 million), partially offset by

the impact of acquisitions (\$128 million).

Marketing, administration and research costs increased \$48 million (3.4%), due primarily to:

higher general and administrative expenses (\$30 million),

higher marketing and sales expenses (\$23 million) and

higher research and development costs (\$19 million), partially offset by

favorable currency (\$49 million).

Operating income decreased \$14 million (0.5%). This decrease was due primarily to:

lower volume/mix (\$252 million),

higher general and administrative expenses (\$30 million),

higher marketing and sales expenses (\$23 million),

higher asset impairment and exit costs (\$19 million) and

higher research and development costs (\$19 million), partially offset by

net price increases (\$292 million) and

Table of Contents

the impact of acquisitions (\$43 million).

Interest expense, net, of \$214 million decreased \$7 million, due primarily to lower average interest rates and lower premium costs on Japanese yen option contracts, partially offset by higher average debt levels.

Our effective tax rate decreased 1.7 percentage points to 27.8%, due primarily to the geographic earnings mix. The effective tax rate is based on our full-year geographic earnings mix and cash repatriation activities and plans. Changes in our cash repatriation plans could have an impact on the effective tax rate, which we monitor each quarter. Significant judgment is required in determining income tax provisions and in evaluating tax positions.

As previously discussed, we are regularly examined by tax authorities around the world. Although we do not anticipate the closure of any significant tax audits in the next twelve months, examinations could result in a change in unrecognized tax benefits along with related interest and penalties.

Net earnings attributable to PMI of \$1.8 billion increased \$24 million (1.3%). This increase was due primarily to a lower effective tax rate and lower interest expense, net, partially offset by lower operating income. Diluted and basic EPS of \$0.99 increased by 6.5%. Excluding a favorable currency impact of \$0.02, diluted EPS increased 4.3%.

Operating Results by Business Segment

Business Environment

Taxes, Legislation, Regulation and Other Matters Regarding the Manufacture, Marketing, Sale and Use of Tobacco Products

The tobacco industry faces a number of challenges that may adversely affect our business, volume, results of operations, cash flows and financial position. These challenges, which are discussed below and in *Cautionary Factors That May Affect Future Results*, include:

actual and proposed tobacco legislation and regulation;

actual and proposed excise tax increases, as well as changes in excise tax structures, including minimum retail selling price systems;

price gaps and changes in price gaps between premium and lower price brands;

significant governmental actions aimed at imposing regulatory requirements impacting our ability to communicate with adult consumers and differentiate our products from competitors' products;

increased efforts by tobacco control advocates to denormalize smoking and seek the implementation of extreme regulatory measures;

proposed legislation to mandate plain (generic) packaging resulting in the expropriation of our trademarks;

pending and threatened litigation as discussed in Note 10. *Contingencies*;

Edgar Filing: Philip Morris International Inc. - Form 10-Q

actual and proposed requirements for the disclosure of cigarette ingredients and other proprietary information without adequate trade secret protection;

disproportionate testing requirements and performance standards;

actual and proposed restrictions on the use of ingredients, including a complete ban of ingredients;

actual and proposed restrictions on imports in certain jurisdictions;

actual and proposed restrictions affecting tobacco manufacturing, packaging, marketing, advertising, product display and sales;

governmental and private bans and restrictions on smoking;

-51-

Table of Contents

illicit trade in cigarettes and other tobacco products, including counterfeit and contraband;

the outcome of proceedings and investigations, and the potential assertion of claims, and proposed regulation relating to contraband shipments of cigarettes; and

governmental investigations.

In the ordinary course of business, many factors can affect the timing of sales to customers, including the timing of holidays and other annual or special events, the timing of promotions, customer incentive programs and customer inventory programs, as well as the actual or speculated timing of pricing actions and tax-driven price increases.

Excise Taxes: Cigarettes are subject to substantial excise taxes and to other product taxation worldwide. Significant increases in cigarette-related taxes or fees have been proposed or enacted and are likely to continue to be proposed or enacted. In addition, in certain jurisdictions, our products are subject to tax structures that discriminate against premium price products and manufactured cigarettes.

On February 16, 2010, the Council of Ministers of Finance in the EU voted to adopt a new Directive (2010/12/EU) amending the existing tobacco tax directives in the EU. The new EU Directive, which Member States will have to implement into national legislation, provides for tax increases from the current minimum of Euro 64 and 57% of excise tax on the Most Popular Price Category to Euro 90 on all cigarettes and 60% of the Weighted Average Price by 2014. Lithuania, Latvia, Estonia, Bulgaria, Romania, Hungary, Poland and Greece received a transition period until the end of 2017 to achieve these new requirements. Moreover, the new Directive gives greater flexibility to Member States to use minimum taxes and specific taxes, ensures gradual increases of the tax levels of fine-cut tobaccos, and tightens the existing product definitions in order to create a more level playing field among the various tobacco product categories.

Tax increases and discriminatory tax structures are expected to continue to have an adverse impact on our sales of cigarettes, due to lower consumption levels and to a shift in consumer purchases from the premium to non-premium or discount segments or other low-price or low-taxed tobacco products such as fine-cut tobacco products and/or counterfeit and contraband products.

Minimum Retail Selling Price Laws: Several EU Member States (Austria, France, Ireland, and Italy) had enacted laws establishing a minimum retail selling price for cigarettes and, in some cases, other tobacco products. The European Commission filed actions against these Member States in the European Court of Justice claiming that these countries' minimum retail selling price systems infringed EU law. On March 4, 2010, the Court of Justice issued a ruling in the proceedings against Austria, France and Ireland, agreeing with the position of the European Commission. On June 24, 2010, the Court issued a similar ruling in the proceeding against Italy. Austria and France have abolished their minimum retail selling price laws. These developments could adversely impact excise tax levels and/or price gaps in markets affected by the rulings, depending also on how these Member States will implement the new tobacco excise Directive (2010/12/EU), which provides greater flexibility to impose minimum taxes and specific taxes.

Framework Convention on Tobacco Control: The World Health Organization's (WHO) Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC) entered into force in February 2005. As of November 2010, 170 countries, as well as the European Community, have become Parties to the FCTC. The FCTC is the first international public health treaty, and its objective is to establish a global agenda for tobacco regulation with the purpose of reducing initiation of tobacco use and encouraging cessation. The treaty recommends (and, in certain instances, requires) Parties to have in place or enact legislation that would:

establish specific actions to prevent youth smoking;

restrict and/or eliminate all tobacco product advertising, marketing, promotions and sponsorships;

initiate public education campaigns to inform the public about the health consequences of smoking and the benefits of quitting;

implement regulations imposing product testing, disclosure and performance standards;

impose health warning requirements on packaging;

-52-

Table of Contents

adopt measures that would eliminate cigarette smuggling and counterfeit cigarettes;

restrict smoking in public places;

implement public health-based fiscal policies (tax and price increases);

adopt and implement measures that ensure that packaging and labeling, including descriptive terms, do not create the false impression that one brand of cigarettes is safer than another;

phase out or restrict duty free tobacco sales; and

encourage litigation against tobacco product manufacturers.

We have viewed the FCTC as a positive catalyst for comprehensive regulation, focusing governments on the need to develop and implement effective tobacco policies. The speed at which tobacco regulation has been adopted in our markets has increased as a result of the treaty. In many respects, the areas of regulation we support mirror provisions of the FCTC, such as regulation of advertising and marketing, product content and emissions, sales to minors, and public smoking and the use of tax and price policy to achieve public health objectives. However, we disagree with the language of the FCTC that calls for a total ban on marketing, a total ban on public smoking, a ban on the sale of duty free cigarettes, and the use of litigation against the tobacco industry. We also believe that excessive taxation can have significant adverse consequences.

Following the entry into force of the FCTC, the Conference of the Parties, the governing body of the FCTC, has adopted several Guidelines that provide non-binding recommendations to the Parties supplementing specific Articles of the Treaty. Many of the recommendations contained in the Guidelines reflect an extreme application of the Treaty, are not based on sound evidence of a public health benefit, are likely to lead to adverse consequences such as an increase in illicit trade and an increase in sales of low-price cigarettes, and, as a result, are likely to undermine public health objectives. The recommendations include measures that we strongly oppose such as point of sale display bans, a ban on the use of colors in packaging, a ban on all forms of communications to adult smokers and limiting tobacco industry involvement in the development of tobacco policy and regulations. Another recommended measure that we strongly oppose is the introduction of plain (generic) packaging because plain packaging will result in the expropriation of our trademarks, harm competition and undermine public health, as we explain in more detail below. It is not possible to predict whether or to what extent the Guidelines will be adopted by governments. If governments choose to implement regulation based on these extreme recommendations, such regulation may adversely affect our business, volume, results of operations, cash flows and financial position. In some instances, including those described below, where such regulation has been adopted, we have commenced legal proceedings challenging the regulation. It is not possible to predict the outcome of these legal proceedings.

The fourth session of the Conference of the Parties will take place from November 15-20, 2010, and is expected to adopt a first set of Guidelines regarding the regulation of the contents and disclosures of tobacco products (Articles 9 and 10 FCTC).

EU Tobacco Products Directive

On September 24, 2010, the European Commission launched a public consultation on the revision of the EU Tobacco Products Directive (2001/37/EC), seeking a wide range of views on factors such as labelling and health warnings on tobacco packets and additives used as tobacco ingredients. Policy options submitted for comment include measures we oppose, such as plain packaging, point of sale display ban, ingredients ban, and oversized mandatory pictorial health warnings, including 75% warnings on the front and 100% on the back of cigarette packs.

A proposal for amending the Directive will be made by the EU Commission at the end of 2011 at the earliest, and final amendments to the Directive must be approved by the European Parliament and the Council of Ministers, a process which is expected to take several years. It is not possible to predict what concrete amendments, if any, will be proposed and adopted.

Edgar Filing: Philip Morris International Inc. - Form 10-Q

Plain Packaging: As noted above, the Conference of the Parties adopted Guidelines to the FCTC recommending plain packaging. We strongly oppose the imposition of plain packaging. Such a measure would not

-53-

Table of Contents

only constitute an expropriation of our valuable trademarks, but would be a pure and simple confiscation of the core of our business. Transforming the industry into a low price commodity business will not reduce consumption, smoking incidence or initiation. Plain packaging is a misguided measure that will undermine the public health objectives of its proponents. Furthermore, it will impair free competition, jeopardize freedom of trade, stifle product innovation and spur illicit trade and counterfeit activity to the detriment of the legitimate industry, its entire supply chain and government revenues. Moreover, the imposition of plain packaging would violate the terms of international treaties governing the protection of industrial property and the trade-related aspects of intellectual property rights. We will take all steps necessary to ensure that all constituencies understand the consequences of such a measure, and to obtain all protection and relief to which we are entitled under the law. In 2008, the UK Department of Health sought comment on the possibility of mandating plain packaging among several other regulatory measures. At the time, the Department of Health stated, "The research evidence into this initiative [plain packaging] is speculative, relying on asking people what they might do in a certain situation." In its final regulation published in 2009, the Department of Health did not take any action on plain packaging. In February 2010, the UK Department of Health published a report on its tobacco control policy in which the Department stated that it was continuing to consider plain packaging. The Department stated, however, that "the evidence base regarding plain packaging needs to be carefully examined" and that the Department will encourage research to further its understanding of the links between packaging and tobacco consumption. The Department also said that it would "seek views on, and give weight to, the legal implications of restrictions on packaging for intellectual property rights and freedom of trade." In April 2010, the Australian Government announced its intention to introduce legislation in 2011 that would mandate some form of plain packaging in 2012. Prior to that, in August 2009, an independent senator introduced a bill for plain packaging in the Australian Senate. In November 2009, the bill was referred to the Senate Community Affairs Legislation Committee. In August 2010, the Senate Committee announced that due to the Australian federal election, it had ended its inquiry into the bill, but following those elections in September 2010, the bill was resubmitted by the independent senator. No action has been taken on that bill, and the Government has not submitted any plain packaging legislation to date. It is not possible to predict the outcome of the bill or the legislation slated for introduction in 2011. In Lithuania, an individual legislator introduced a proposal for plain packaging in December 2009, but in March 2010, the proposal was rejected by the Lithuanian Parliament because of constitutional concerns.

Tar and Nicotine Test Methods: A number of public health organizations throughout the world, including WHO, have determined that the existing International Standards Organization (ISO) machine-based methods for measuring tar and nicotine yields provide misleading information about tar and nicotine inhaled by the smoker, and that the ISO-based numbers should not be displayed. We have expressed the view that ISO numbers do not accurately reflect human smoking, and we therefore supported recommendations to supplement the ISO test method with the more intensive Health Canada method. The Health Canada method blocks ventilation holes, increases the puffs taken per minute and the volume of smoke in each puff. We believe that a combination of the two methods would better illustrate the wide variability in the delivery of tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide, depending upon how an individual smokes a cigarette. The WHO's Study Group on Tobacco Regulation (TobReg) (its expert committee on tobacco product regulation) and the Conference of the Parties Working Group on tobacco regulation have recommended the use of ISO and Health Canada methods for testing smoke constituent yields. Both the WHO and the Conference of the Parties Working Group continue to recommend that yields of tar, nicotine, carbon monoxide and other constituents should not be disclosed to consumers. Our position with respect to this recommendation is explained below.

Brand Descriptors: In light of public health concerns about the limitations of current machine measurement methodologies, governments and public health organizations have increasingly prohibited the use of brand descriptors such as light, mild and low tar. Many countries, including the entire EU, prohibit or are in the process of prohibiting descriptors such as lights. The FTC requires the Parties to adopt and implement measures to ensure that tobacco product packaging and labeling, including descriptive terms, do not create the false impression that a particular tobacco product is less harmful than other tobacco products. In most countries where such descriptors are banned, tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide yields are still required to be printed on packs of cigarettes. We believe that it is inconsistent to ban descriptors while also mandating the printing of tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide yields on packs. Thus, we would support legislation prohibiting the printing of tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide yields on packs of cigarettes. Alternatively, consistent with our support of requiring testing using both the ISO and Health Canada test methods, we would support legislation requiring the printing of both yields, which would reflect a range of smoke intake.

Table of Contents

Some public health advocates, governments, and the Guidelines issued by the FCTC's Conference of the Parties have called for a ban or restriction on the use of colors, which they claim are also used to signify that some brands provide lower yields of tar, nicotine and other smoke constituents. Other governments have banned, sought to ban or restricted the use of descriptive terms, including terms such as premium, full flavor, international, gold, and silver, and one permits only one pack variation per brand arguing that such terms or pack variations are inherently misleading. We believe such regulations are unreasonably broad, go beyond the scope and intent of legislation designed to prevent consumers from believing that one brand is less harmful than another, unduly restrict our intellectual property and other rights, and violate international trade commitments. As such, we oppose these types of regulations and in some instances we have commenced litigation to challenge them.

Testing and Reporting of Other Smoke Constituents: Several countries, including, for instance, Brazil, Canada, Taiwan and Venezuela, require manufacturers to test and report to regulators certain by-brand yields of other smoke constituents from the 45 to 80 that have been identified as potential causes of tobacco-related diseases. Testing and reporting of some of these constituents is being considered by the FCTC's Conference of the Parties Working Group on product regulation, TobReg, national regulators and the public health community. We measure many of these constituents for our product research and development purposes, and support efforts to develop reasonable regulation in this area. However, there is no international consensus on which smoke constituents cause the full range of diseases associated with tobacco use, and there are very limited internationally validated analytical methods to measure the constituents' yields in the smoke. Moreover, there is extremely limited capacity to conduct by-brand testing on a global basis. In its 2008 progress report on these issues, the Conference of the Parties Working Group, following a proposal by TobReg, had identified nine smoke constituents for which methods for testing and measuring yields should be validated as a priority, and had estimated that validation of the applicable methods for these constituents (and for certain compounds in tobacco plants) would take five and a half years. In a progress report released in 2010, the WHO's Tobacco Free Initiative announced that it will present validated methods for measuring two tobacco-specific nitrosamines in mainstream smoke (and a method for measuring nicotine content in tobacco) at the fourth session of the Conference of the Parties. It is not certain when actual testing requirements will be recommended by the Conference of the Parties and whether individual countries will adopt them, although bills to require testing of a wide range of smoke constituent yields are pending in some countries. The cost of by-brand testing could be significant, and public health groups, including the Conference of the Parties Working Group, have recommended that tobacco companies should be required to bear the burden of testing expenses.

Ceilings on Tar, Nicotine, Carbon Monoxide and Other Smoke Constituents: Despite the fact that public health authorities have questioned the significance of ISO-measured tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide yields, a number of countries, including all EU Member States, have established maximum yields of tar, nicotine and/or carbon monoxide, as measured by the ISO standard test method. None of them have suggested that ISO-based ceilings be eliminated, nor has any country to date proposed ceilings based on an alternative test method or for other smoke constituents. However, in February 2009, TobReg published a report in which it recommended that governments establish ceilings for nine specific smoke constituents, including tobacco-specific nitrosamines. The TobReg proposal would set ceilings based on the median yield for each constituent in the market determined by testing all brands sold in the market. Although this concept of selective constituent reduction is supported by some public health officials, several public health advocates and scientists have criticized the proposal on the grounds that selectively reducing some constituents in conventional cigarettes will not lead to a meaningful reduction in disease and thus will not benefit public health and/or will mislead consumers into believing that conventional cigarettes with regulated (i.e., reduced) levels of these constituents are safer. In fact, TobReg recognizes that it cannot prove that its proposed ceilings will result in reduced risk of disease or reduced harm, but argues that its proposal is appropriately based on the precautionary principle.

Ingredient Disclosure Laws: Many countries have enacted or proposed legislation or regulations that require cigarette manufacturers to disclose to governments and to the public the ingredients used in the manufacture of cigarettes and, in certain cases, to provide toxicological information about those ingredients. While we believe the public health objectives of these requests can be met without providing exact by-brand formulae, we have made and will continue to make full disclosures to governments where adequate assurances of trade secret protection are provided. For example, under the EU Tobacco Products Directive, tobacco companies are required to disclose ingredients and toxicological information to each Member State. We have made ingredient disclosures in

Table of Contents

compliance with the laws of EU Member States, making full by-brand disclosures in a manner that protects trade secrets. In jurisdictions where appropriate assurances of trade secret protection are not possible to obtain, we will seek to resolve the matter with governments through alternative options.

Restrictions and Bans on the Use of Ingredients: Several countries have laws and/or regulations governing the use of ingredients in tobacco products that have been in place for many years. Our products comply with those laws. Until recently, efforts to regulate ingredients have focused on whether ingredients added to cigarettes increase the toxicity and/or addictiveness of cigarette smoke. Increasingly, however, tobacco control advocates and some regulators, including the WHO, the European Commission, and individual governments are considering regulating or have regulated cigarette ingredients with the stated objective of reducing the palatability and attractiveness of cigarette smoke, smoking and tobacco products. In October 2009, the Canadian federal government adopted a bill that banned virtually all flavor ingredients in cigarettes and little cigars. The bill, which became effective on July 5, 2010, has had the effect of banning traditional American blend cigarettes in Canada, which represent a share of below 1% of the Canadian market. The FCTC's Conference of the Parties Working Group on Articles 9 and 10 has proposed Guidelines that recommend banning or limiting ingredients to reduce the attractiveness and appeal of cigarettes. The Guidelines, which are more extensive than the Canadian ban on ingredients, recommend banning all flavoring ingredients including menthol as well as functional ingredients such as coloring agents for cigarette papers. The Guidelines will be voted on at the November 2010 Conference of the Parties. We support regulations that would prohibit the use of ingredients that are determined, based on sound scientific test methods and data, to significantly increase the inherent toxicity and/or addictiveness of smoke. We oppose regulations that would ban ingredients to reduce palatability and attractiveness because, in light of the millions of smokers in countries like Canada who prefer cigarettes without ingredients, there is no reasonable basis to conclude that an ingredient ban would reduce smoking prevalence.

Bans and Restrictions on Advertising, Marketing, Promotions and Sponsorships: For many years, countries have imposed partial or total bans on tobacco advertising, marketing and promotion. The FCTC calls for a comprehensive ban on advertising, promotion and sponsorship and requires governments that have no constitutional constraints to ban all forms of advertising. Where constitutional constraints exist, the FCTC requires governments to restrict or ban radio, television, print media, other media, including the Internet, and sponsorships of international events within five years of the effective date of a country's ratification of the FCTC. The FCTC also requires disclosure of expenditures on advertising, promotion and sponsorship where such activities are not prohibited. The Conference of the Parties adopted Guidelines, which recommend that governments adopt extreme and sweeping prohibitions, including all forms of communications to adult smokers. We oppose complete bans on advertising. We also believe that the available evidence does not support the contention that restrictions on marketing are effective in reducing smoking prevalence, but we would generally not oppose such limitations as long as manufacturers retain the ability to communicate directly to adult smokers.

Bans on Display of Tobacco Products at Retail: Some countries have adopted bans of product displays at point of sale. We oppose product display bans on the grounds that evidence does not show that they have any material impact on public health, and that they will encourage lower prices, unnecessarily restrict non-price competition, and encourage illicit trade - all of which undermine public health objectives. In some markets, for example in Ireland, Norway and the UK, our subsidiaries and, in some cases, individual retailers have commenced legal proceedings to overturn the bans.

Health Warning Requirements: Many countries require substantial health warnings on cigarette packs. In the EU, for example, health warnings currently must cover between 30% and 35% of the front and between 40% and 50% of the back of cigarette packs. The FCTC requires health warnings that cover, at a minimum, 30% of the front and back of the pack, and recommends warnings covering 50% or more of the front and back of the pack. There is a development towards significantly increased sizes of health warnings in some countries, for example, 30% front and 90% back in Australia, 65% front and 30% back in Turkey, and 80% of the front and 80% of the back of cigarette packs in Uruguay. We support health warning requirements and, with certain exceptions, defer to the governments on the content of the warnings. In countries where health warnings are not required, we place them on packaging voluntarily in the official language or languages of the country. For example, we are voluntarily placing health warnings in many African countries in official local languages occupying 30% of the front and back of the pack. We oppose disproportionate warning size requirements that go beyond warning consumers about the health effects of

Table of Contents

smoking, instead infringing on our intellectual property rights and depriving us of our ability to use distinctive trademarks and pack designs to differentiate our products from those of our competitors. In some markets, for example in Uruguay, we have commenced legal proceedings challenging the disproportionate warning size requirements. We also oppose regulations that would require the placement of health warnings in the middle of the front and back of the pack as such placement serves no purpose other than to disrupt our trademarks and pack design. While we believe that textual warnings are sufficient, we do not oppose graphic warnings except for images that vilify tobacco companies and their employees or do not accurately represent the health effects of tobacco use.

We support government initiatives to continue to educate the public on the serious health effects of smoking. We have established a Web site that includes, among other things, the views of public health authorities on smoking, disease causation in smokers, addiction and exposure to environmental tobacco smoke (ETS). The site reflects our agreement with the medical and scientific consensus that cigarette smoking is addictive, and causes lung cancer, heart disease, emphysema and other serious diseases in smokers. The Web site advises the public to rely on the messages of public health authorities in making all smoking-related decisions. The Web site s address is www.pmi.com. The information on our Web site is not, and shall not be deemed to be, a part of this document or incorporated into any filings we make with the SEC.

Restrictions on Public Smoking: Reports with respect to the health effects of exposure to ETS have been publicized for many years, and many countries have restricted smoking in public places. The pace and scope of public smoking restrictions have increased significantly in most of our markets. In the EU, all countries have introduced public smoking restrictions or bans in public and/or work places, restaurants, bars and nightclubs. Some EU member states allow narrow exemptions from smoking bans, for instance for separate smoking rooms in the hospitality sector, but others have banned virtually all indoor public smoking. In November 2009, the Council of the European Union adopted a non-binding recommendation calling on all EU Member States to introduce, by 2012, comprehensive public smoking restrictions covering all closed public places, workplaces and public transport. In other regions, many countries have adopted or are likely to adopt substantial public smoking restrictions similar to those in the EU, including Australia, Canada, Hong Kong, Thailand and Turkey. Some public health groups have called for, and some municipalities have adopted or proposed, bans on smoking in outdoor places, and some tobacco control groups have advocated banning smoking in cars with minors in them. The FCTC requires Parties to the treaty to adopt restrictions on public smoking, and the Conference of the Parties adopted guidelines on public smoking based on the premise that any exposure to ETS is harmful; the Guidelines call for total bans in all indoor public places, defining indoor broadly, and reject any exemptions based on type of venue (e.g., nightclubs). On private place smoking, such as in cars and homes, the Guidelines recommend increased education on the risk of exposure to ETS.

We support a single, consistent public health message on the health effects of exposure to ETS. Our Web site states that the conclusions of public health authorities on secondhand smoke warrant public health measures that regulate smoking in public places and that outright bans are appropriate in many places. For example, we support banning smoking in schools, playgrounds and other facilities for youth and in indoor public places where general public services are provided such as public transportation vehicles, supermarkets, public spaces in indoor shopping centers, cinemas, banks and post offices. We believe, however, that governments can and should seek a balance between the desire to protect non-smokers from exposure to secondhand smoke and allowing the millions of people who smoke to do so in some public places. In the hospitality sector, such as restaurants, bars, cafés and other entertainment establishments, the law should grant private business owners the flexibility to permit, restrict or prohibit smoking. Business owners can take into account their desire to cater to their customers preferences. In the workplace, designated smoking rooms can provide places for adults to smoke. Finally, we oppose legislation that would prohibit smoking in private places such as homes and apartments.

Reduced Cigarette Ignition Propensity Legislation: Reduced ignition propensity standards have been adopted in several of our markets, notably in Australia, Canada and Finland, and are being considered in several other countries. On March 25, 2008, the European Commission formally adopted a decision to mandate that the European Standards Organization (CEN) develop, through the General Product Safety Directive, a reduced cigarette ignition propensity standard such as those implemented in New York, other American states and Canada. The CEN recently published the testing method and completed the voting procedure on the safety requirement. While the date by which cigarettes sold in the EU have to comply with the new standard has not yet been fixed, we expect implementation towards the end of 2011 or early 2012. We believe that reduced ignition propensity standards, which based on

Table of Contents

currently available technology will increase production costs, should be the same as those applied in New York and other jurisdictions to ensure that they are uniform and technically feasible, and that they are applied equally to all manufacturers.

Illicit Trade: We estimate that in the European Union alone the increasing illicit trade accounted for about 61 billion cigarettes, or approximately 9% of consumption, in 2009. Regulatory measures and related governmental actions to prevent the illicit manufacture and trade of tobacco products are being considered by a number of jurisdictions. Article 15 of the FCTC requires Parties to the treaty to take steps to eliminate all forms of illicit trade, including counterfeiting, and states that national, regional and global agreements on this issue are essential components of tobacco control. The Conference of the Parties established an Intergovernmental Negotiating Body (INB) to negotiate a protocol on the illicit trade in tobacco products pursuant to Article 15 of the FCTC. The draft protocol included the following main topics:

licensing schemes for participants in the tobacco business;

know your customer requirements;

international requirements for the tracking and tracing of tobacco products and tobacco manufacturing equipment;

the implementation of laws governing record-keeping;

the regulation of Internet sales and duty free sales of tobacco products, including potential bans;

measures to implement effective controls on the manufacturing of, and trade in, tobacco products in free zones; and

enforcement mechanisms, including the criminalization of participation in illicit trade in various forms and measures to strengthen the abilities of law enforcement agencies to fight illicit trade.

The fourth session of the INB took place in March 2010, without concluding an agreed protocol. The fourth session of the Conference of Parties, held from November 15-20, 2010, will now discuss the draft protocol and decide on next steps.

We support strict regulations and enforcement measures to prevent all forms of illicit trade in tobacco products. We agree that manufacturers should implement state-of-the-art monitoring systems of their sales and distribution practices, and we agree that where appropriately confirmed, manufacturers should stop supplying vendors who are shown to be knowingly engaged in illicit trade. We are also working with a number of governments around the world on specific agreements and memoranda of understanding to address the illegal trade in cigarettes. However, we disagree with some of the draft protocol's provisions, including the proposed ban of duty free sales, a ban of domestic Internet sales and measures that would impose payments on tobacco product manufacturers in an amount of lost taxes and duties from seized contraband tobacco products regardless of any fault on the manufacturers' part.

Cooperation Agreements to Combat Illicit Trade of Cigarettes: In July 2004, we entered into an agreement with the European Commission (acting on behalf of the European Community) that provides for broad cooperation with European law enforcement agencies on anti-contraband and anti-counterfeit efforts. All 27 Member States of the EU have signed the agreement. The agreement resolved all disputes between the European Community and the Member States, on the one hand, and us and certain affiliates, on the other hand, relating to these issues. Under the terms of the agreement, we agreed to make 13 payments over 12 years. Commencing in July 2007, we began making payments of approximately \$75 million a year over the final 10 years of the agreement, each of which is to be adjusted based on certain variables, including our market share in the EU in the year preceding payment. We record these payments as an expense in cost of sales when product is shipped. We are also required to pay the excise taxes, VAT and customs duties on qualifying product seizures of up to 90 million cigarettes and are subject to payments of five times the applicable taxes and duties if product seizures exceed 90 million cigarettes in a given year. To date, our annual payments related to product seizures have been immaterial.

Edgar Filing: Philip Morris International Inc. - Form 10-Q

In July 2008, prior to its acquisition by us, our Canadian subsidiary Rothmans Inc. (Rothmans), entered into a settlement agreement between itself and RBH, on the one hand, and the Government of Canada and all ten provinces, on the other hand, to resolve the Royal Canadian Mounted Police s investigation relating to products exported from Canada by RBH during the 1989-1996 period. The terms of the settlement required, among other payments, the

-58-

Table of Contents

payment of CAD \$50 million (or \$41 million) towards a new government Contraband Tobacco Enforcement Strategy, which amount was paid by RBH in December 2008.

In June 2009, our subsidiaries Philip Morris Colombia and Coltabaco entered into an Investment and Cooperation Agreement with the Republic of Colombia, together with the Departments of Colombia and the Capital District of Bogotá, to promote investment and cooperation with respect to the Colombian tobacco market and to fight counterfeit and contraband tobacco products. The agreement provides \$200 million in funding to the Colombian governments over a 20-year period to address issues of mutual interest, such as combating the illegal cigarette trade, including the threat of counterfeit tobacco products, and increasing the quality and quantity of locally grown tobacco. See Note 15. *Colombian Investment and Cooperation Agreement* to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Labor Conditions for Tobacco Workers: On July 14, 2010, Human Rights Watch published a report raising issues related to labor conditions for tobacco workers in Kazakhstan, particularly migrant workers. On July 16, 2010, the U.S. House Committee on Energy and Commerce sent us a letter requesting information about labor practices in Kazakhstan and other markets. We are cooperating with this request. We are committed to working to prevent child labor, forced labor, and other labor abuses in the tobacco supply chain and are working with our suppliers, governments and other stakeholders to address these problems.

Other Legislation, Regulation or Governmental Action: In Argentina, the National Commission for the Defense of Competition (CNDC) issued a resolution on May 27, 2010 in which it found that our affiliate s establishment, in 1997, of a system of exclusive zonified distributors (EZD s) in Buenos Aires city and region was anticompetitive, despite having issued two prior decisions (in 1997 and 2000) in which it had found the establishment of the EZD system was not anticompetitive. The recent resolution is not a final decision, and our Argentinean affiliate intends to oppose the resolution and submit additional evidence.

It is not possible to predict what, if any, additional legislation, regulation or other governmental action will be enacted or implemented relating to the manufacturing, advertising, sale or use of cigarettes, or the tobacco industry generally. It is possible, however, that legislation, regulation or other governmental action could be enacted or implemented that might materially affect our business, volume, results of operations and cash flows.

Governmental Investigations: From time to time, we are subject to governmental investigations on a range of matters. As part of an investigation by the Department of Special Investigations (DSI) of the government of Thailand into alleged under-declaration of import prices by Thai cigarette importers, the branch office of our subsidiary, Philip Morris (Thailand) Limited (PM Thailand), has been informed of DSI s proposal to bring charges against the branch office for alleged underpayment of customs duties and excise taxes of approximately \$2 billion covering the period from July 28, 2003 to February 20, 2007. On September 2, 2009, the DSI submitted the case file to the Public Prosecutor for review. Additionally, the DSI commenced an informal inquiry alleging underpayment by PM Thailand of customs duties and excise taxes of approximately \$1.8 billion covering the period 2000 -2003. We have been cooperating with the Thai authorities and believe that PM Thailand s declared import prices are in compliance with the Customs Valuation Agreement of the World Trade Organization, Thai law, and valuation methodologies previously agreed upon between the branch office and the Thai Customs Department. We are in the process of seeking clarification from the appropriate Thai authorities on these issues, and we have provided written submissions and supporting evidence to the Public Prosecutor in connection with the ongoing 2003-2007 investigation.

Acquisitions and Other Business Arrangements

On February 25, 2010, our affiliate, Philip Morris Philippines Manufacturing Inc. (PMPMI), and Fortune Tobacco Corporation (FTC) combined their respective business activities by transferring selected assets and liabilities of PMPMI and FTC to a new company called PMFTC Inc. (PMFTC). PMPMI and FTC hold equal economic interests in PMFTC, while we manage the day-to-day operations of PMFTC and have a majority of its Board of Directors. Consequently, we account for the contributed assets and liabilities of FTC as a business combination. The establishment of PMFTC permits both parties to benefit from their respective, complementary brand portfolios, as well as cost synergies from the resulting integration of manufacturing, distribution and procurement, and the further development and advancement of tobacco growing in the Philippines. For further details on this business

Table of Contents

combination, see Note 7. *Acquisitions and Other Business Arrangements* to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

PMFTC's incremental contribution to our full-year 2010 earnings per share, a year which will focus on integration, is expected to be immaterial. It is anticipated that PMFTC's contribution to our earnings per share will be accretive in 2011, as cost synergies begin to be realized.

In June 2010, we announced that our affiliate, Philip Morris Brasil Industria e Comercio Ltda. (PMB), will begin directly sourcing tobacco leaf from approximately 17,000 tobacco farmers in Southern Brazil. This initiative enhances PMI's direct involvement in the supply chain and is expected to provide approximately 10% of PMI's global leaf requirements. The vertically integrated structure was made possible following separate agreements with two current leaf suppliers in Brazil, Alliance One Brasil Exportadora de Tabacos Ltda. (AOB) and Universal Leaf Tabacos Ltda. (ULT), to each assign around 8,500 contracts with tobacco farmers to PMB. As a result, PMB will offer employment to more than 200 employees, most of them agronomy specialists, and will acquire related assets in Southern Brazil. The purchase price for the net assets and the contractual relationships is approximately \$83 million. We are accounting for these transactions as a business combination. As of September 30, 2010, payments of \$41 million were made to AOB and ULT under the terms of the agreements. The preliminary allocation of the purchase price was primarily to goodwill (\$21 million), inventories (\$20 million) and other non-current assets (\$18 million), partially offset by other current liabilities (\$18 million, which consists primarily of the total amount of bank guarantees for tobacco farmers' rural credit facilities). In the fourth quarter of 2010, additional payments of approximately \$42 million will be made under the terms of the agreements. The purchase price allocation for these transactions will be finalized in the fourth quarter of 2010.

In September 2009, we acquired Swedish Match South Africa (Proprietary) Limited, for ZAR 1.93 billion (approximately \$256 million based on exchange rates prevailing at the time of the acquisition), including acquired cash.

In July 2009, we entered into an agreement to purchase 100% of the shares of privately-owned Colombian cigarette manufacturer, Productora Tabacalera de Colombia, Protabaco Ltda., for \$452 million. The transaction was subject to competition authority approval and final confirmatory due diligence. In October 2010, the Colombian competition authority issued its final decision pertaining to our application for the acquisition. Approval to proceed with the acquisition has been granted subject to several significant conditions and constraints. We are thoroughly reviewing these conditions and will determine whether or not the strategic rationale and financial attractiveness of the originally envisaged transaction can still be safeguarded in the best interest of our shareholders. We anticipate that we will be in a position to make a final determination on whether or not to proceed within the next three months.

In February 2009, we purchased the *Petterøes* tobacco business for \$209 million and entered into an agreement with Swedish Match AB to establish an exclusive joint venture to commercialize Swedish style snus and other smoke-free tobacco products worldwide, outside of Scandinavia and the United States.

Trade Policy

It is our policy to comply with applicable laws of the United States and the laws of the countries in which we do business that prohibit trade with certain countries, organizations or individuals. We do not sell products or have a current intent to sell products in Cuba or North Korea. Certain of our subsidiaries have established commercial arrangements involving Syria, Myanmar and Sudan, in each case in compliance with our trade policy and applicable U.S. law. Our contractual arrangements and licenses from the U.S. Office of Foreign Assets Control to export cigarettes to Iran have expired. No sales were made pursuant to these arrangements and to date we have not applied for a new license.

A subsidiary sells products that are exported to Syria for sale in the domestic market in compliance with exemptions under applicable U.S. laws and regulations. Such sales are quantitatively not material, amounting to well below

Table of Contents

0.5% of our consolidated annual volume and operating companies income in each of the past three years. We have no employees, operations or assets in Syria. Duty free sales to Syria were suspended when a Managing Director and shareholder of the sole Syrian duty free customer of our subsidiary's distributor was placed on the Office of Foreign Assets Control's Specially Designated Nationals (SDN) list in February 2008. The distributor's customer itself was placed on the SDN list in July 2008.

A subsidiary sells products to a duty free customer that resells those products to its respective customers, some of which have duty free operations in Myanmar. Another subsidiary sells products to distributors that in turn sell those products to duty free customers that supply U.N. peacekeeping forces around the world, including those in Sudan. All such sales are in compliance with exemptions under applicable U.S. laws and regulations and are de minimis in volume and value. We have no employees, operations or assets in Myanmar or Sudan.

We do not believe that exempt or licensed sales of our products, which are agricultural products under U.S. law, and are not technological or strategic in nature, for ultimate resale in Syria, Myanmar or Sudan in compliance with U.S. laws, present a material risk to our stockholders, our reputation or the value of our shares. To our knowledge, none of the governments of Syria, Myanmar or Sudan, nor entities controlled by those governments, receive cash or act as intermediaries in connection with these transactions, except that in Syria, the state tobacco monopoly, which is the only entity permitted to import tobacco products, purchases products from our customer for resale in the domestic market.

Certain states have enacted legislation permitting state pension funds to divest or abstain from future investment in stocks of companies that do business with countries that are sanctioned by the U.S. We do not believe such legislation has had a material effect on the price of our shares.

Operating Results – Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010

The following discussion compares operating results within each of our reportable segments for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 with the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

European Union. Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, increased \$65 million (0.3%). Excluding excise taxes, net revenues decreased \$57 million (0.9%) to \$6.6 billion. This decrease was due primarily to:

lower volume/mix (\$359 million), partially offset by

net price increases (\$305 million).

Operating companies income decreased \$117 million (3.4%). This decrease was due primarily to:

lower volume/mix (\$271 million),

unfavorable currency (\$81 million) and

higher marketing, administration and research costs (\$65 million), partially offset by

net price increases (\$305 million).

The total cigarette market in the European Union declined by 4.5%, mainly reflecting a lower total market in Germany, Greece, Italy, Lithuania, Poland and Spain. Our cigarette shipment volume in the European Union declined by 5.2%, primarily reflecting the impact of a lower total market. Our market share in the European Union was down by 0.1 share points to 38.8% as gains in Belgium, Denmark, Hungary, the Netherlands, Norway, Poland, the Slovak Republic, Sweden and Switzerland were more than offset by share declines in the Czech Republic, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Lithuania, Portugal and the United Kingdom.

Edgar Filing: Philip Morris International Inc. - Form 10-Q

Shipment volume of *Marlboro* decreased by 6.1%, mainly due to the lower total market, unfavorable economic conditions, primarily in Greece and Spain, and lower share in Germany and Greece. *Marlboro*'s share in the European Union was down by 0.2 share points to 18.2%, reflecting a lower share in France, Germany and Greece, partially offset by a higher share in Italy, the Netherlands and Poland.

-61-

Table of Contents

L&M volume was up by 4.9% and market share grew by 0.6 share points to 6.1% in the European Union, primarily driven by share gains in Germany, Greece, Switzerland, the Netherlands, the Slovak Republic and Spain.

In the Czech Republic, the total cigarette market decreased 1.6%, and our shipments were down 7.6%. Market share decreased by 3.2 share points to 48.2%, reflecting intense price competition and a lower share for our local brands, partially offset by higher *L&M* share.

In France, the total cigarette market was down only 0.3%, despite the impact of the November 2009 retail pack price increase. Our shipments were down by 1.0%. Market share decreased by 0.3 share points to 40.4%, due to a lower share for *Marlboro*, down by 0.8 share points to 25.9%, as well as a 0.3 share point total decline for *Chesterfield* and *Basic*, partially offset by a higher share for the *Philip Morris* brand, up by 0.8 share points to 7.7%.

In Germany, the total cigarette market was down by 2.4%, mainly reflecting the impact of the June 2009 price increase. Our shipments were down by 5.9%, due primarily to the lower total market and a lower share of 35.3%, down by 1.3 share points. While *L&M* continued its strong performance, gaining 1.1 share points to reach 9.2%, *Marlboro*'s share decreased by 1.9 share points to 21.3%, reflecting the impact of price sensitivity among adult consumers in the market.

In Italy, the total cigarette market was down by 2.2%, primarily reflecting the impact of the December 2009 price increase. Although our shipments were down by 4.2%, largely due to the total market decline and distributor inventory movements, market share was stable at 54.1%, benefiting from a 0.3 share point growth by *Marlboro* to 22.9%, fueled by the May 2009 and June 2010 launches of *Marlboro Gold Touch* and *Marlboro Core Flavor*, respectively.

In Poland, the total cigarette market was down by 3.9%, reflecting the impact of tax-driven price increases in the third quarter of 2009 and January 2010, partially offset by in-switching from other tobacco products as a result of excise tax harmonization in 2009. Our shipments were up by 0.9%. Market share was up by 1.8 share points to 37.7%, primarily reflecting higher *Marlboro* share, up by 1.1 share points to 10.2%.

In Spain, the total cigarette market was down by 10.9%, due largely to the adverse economic environment and the impact of the excise tax-driven price increase in June 2009, a further price increase in January 2010, and a June 2010 VAT-driven price increase. Our shipments were down by 10.4%, reflecting the lower total market. Our market share was essentially flat at 31.8%. While *Marlboro*'s share decreased by 0.2 share points to 15.2% and *Chesterfield*'s share declined by 0.7 share points to 8.8%, share of *L&M* increased by 0.8 share points to 6.4%.

Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa. Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, increased \$1.7 billion (17.2%). Excluding excise taxes, net revenues increased \$609 million (12.4%) to \$5.5 billion. This increase was due to:

net price increases (\$511 million, including an inventory windfall resulting from the sale of old taxed product at new prices),

favorable currency (\$105 million) and

the impact of acquisitions (\$80 million), partially offset by

lower volume/mix (\$87 million).

Operating companies income increased \$430 million (21.7%). This increase was due to:

net price increases (\$511 million),

favorable currency (\$74 million) and

the impact of acquisitions (\$28 million), partially offset by

lower volume/mix (\$77 million),

higher manufacturing costs (\$76 million) and

higher marketing, administration and research costs (\$30 million).

Table of Contents

Our cigarette shipment volume decreased by 2.2%, principally due to Romania, Turkey and Ukraine, resulting from significant tax-driven price increases. These declines were partially offset by cigarette shipment growth in the Middle East, Russia and North Africa, notably Algeria. Shipment volume of *Marlboro* decreased by 0.4%, with declines in Romania, Russia and Turkey, partially offset by overall growth in the Middle East and North Africa.

In Russia, our shipment volume increased by 2.6%. Shipment volume of our premium portfolio was down by 5.8%, primarily due to a decline in *Marlboro* of 11.2% reflecting down-trading. In the mid-price segment, shipment volume of *Chesterfield* was up by 9.0%. In the low-price segment, shipment volumes of *Bond Street*, *Next* and *Optima* were up by 25.2%, 9.1%, and 4.5%, respectively. Our market share of 25.5%, as measured by A.C. Nielsen, was up by 0.2 share points. Market share for *Parliament*, in the above premium segment, was stable; *Marlboro*, in the premium segment, was down by 0.2 share points; *Chesterfield* in the mid-price segment was up by 0.3 share points; and *Bond Street* in the low-price segment was up by 1.3 share points.

In Turkey, the total cigarette market declined by an estimated 14.2%, primarily reflecting the impact of the steep January 2010 excise tax increase. Our shipment volume declined by 15.8%. Our market share, as measured by A.C. Nielsen, declined by 1.7 share points to 41.3%, due to *Parliament*, down by 1.3 share points, *Marlboro*, down by 1.5 share points, and *L&M*, down by 1.8 share points, partially offset by *Lark* in the low-price segment, up by 3.5 share points.

In Ukraine, our shipment volume declined 16.3%, reflecting the current weak economy and the impact of significant tax increases, as well as the impact of trade inventory movements in anticipation of an excise tax-driven price increase on July 1, 2010. Our market share, as measured by A.C. Nielsen, was down by 0.5 share points to 35.5%, with share gains for *Chesterfield* and *Bond Street*, offset by lower share for *L&M* and brands in the low-price segment.

Asia. Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, increased \$2.1 billion (23.6%). Excluding excise taxes, net revenues increased \$1.0 billion (21.1%) to \$5.8 billion. This increase was primarily due to:

favorable currency (\$484 million),

the impact from the business combination in the Philippines (\$377 million) and

net price increases (\$146 million).

Operating companies income increased \$326 million (16.9%). This increase was due to:

favorable currency (\$263 million),

net price increases (\$146 million) and

the impact from the business combination in the Philippines (\$63 million), partially offset by

higher marketing, administration and research costs (\$66 million),

higher manufacturing costs (\$55 million) and

lower volume/mix (\$25 million).

Our cigarette shipment volume increased by 42.4 billion units or 25.0%, mainly due to an increase of 39.5 billion units from the new business combination in the Philippines, and growth in Korea and Indonesia, partially offset by a decline in Pakistan and Australia, reflecting the impact of excise tax-driven price increases in both markets and the timing of shipments in Japan. Shipment volume of *Marlboro* grew by 6.9%, reflecting growth in Indonesia, Korea and the Philippines. *Marlboro*'s market share grew in Australia, Hong Kong, Japan, Korea, Malaysia, Singapore, Thailand and Vietnam.

In Indonesia, the total cigarette market was up by an estimated 3.6%. Our shipment volume increased by 2.8% and market share decreased 0.2 share points to 28.8%, despite growth from *A Mild* and *U Mild*.

In Japan, the total cigarette market increased by 5.6%, reflecting purchases in advance of the significant excise tax-driven price increases in October 2010. Our shipment volume was down 3.4%, mainly due to the timing of shipments. Our market share of 24.2% was up by 0.2 share points. *Marlboro*'s share increased to 10.9%, up by 0.4 share points,

Table of Contents

supported by the February and July 2010 national roll-out of *Marlboro Black Gold* and *Marlboro Ice Blast* which recorded a 0.2% and 0.4% market share, respectively. Market share of *Lark* was also up by 0.1 share point to 6.6%.

In Korea, the total cigarette market was down by 6.7%, partly reflecting estimated competitors' inventory adjustments from late 2009. Our shipment volume grew by 13.2%, and our market share reached 17.0%, up by 3.0 share points, driven by *Marlboro* and *Parliament*, up by 1.1 and 1.4 share points, respectively, and *Virginia Slims*, up by 0.4 share points.

On February 25, 2010, Philip Morris Philippines Manufacturing Inc. combined with Fortune Tobacco Corporation to form a new company called PMFTC. As a result of this combination, which provided an incremental 39.5 billion units, our shipments in the Philippines were up by over 100% in the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Excluding the favorable impact of this new business combination, cigarette shipments of our brands in the Philippines increased by 12.2%, fueled by growth of both *Marlboro* and the *Philip Morris* brand.

Latin America & Canada. Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, increased \$937 million (18.2%). Excluding excise taxes, net revenues increased \$286 million (15.0%) to \$2.2 billion. This increase was due to:

favorable currency (\$151 million),

net price increases (\$120 million) and

higher volume/mix (\$15 million).

Operating companies income increased \$247 million (54.6%). This increase was due primarily to:

the 2009 charge related to the Colombian Investment and Cooperation Agreement (\$135 million),

net price increases (\$120 million) and

favorable currency (\$69 million), partially offset by

higher manufacturing costs (\$65 million) and

higher marketing, administration and research costs (\$15 million).

Our cigarette shipment volume increased by 1.1%, reflecting growth in Canada and Mexico. Shipment volume of *Marlboro* increased by 0.1%, mainly due to Mexico and Colombia, partially offset by a decrease in Brazil.

In Argentina, our cigarette shipment volume increased by 0.6% and market share increased by 1.5 share points to 74.8%, fueled by *Marlboro*, up by 0.4 share points to 23.6%, and the *Philip Morris* brand, up by 1.4 share points to 38.1%.

In Canada, the total tax-paid cigarette market was up by 11.4%, mainly reflecting stronger government enforcement measures to reduce contraband sales. Although our cigarette shipment volume increased by 10.0%, market share decreased by 0.4 share point to 33.3%, with gains by low-price brand *Next*, up by 3.5 share points, more than offset by mid-price *Number 7* and *Canadian Classics*, down by 1.4 and 1.8 share points, respectively, and low-price brand *Accord*, down by 1.2 share points.

Edgar Filing: Philip Morris International Inc. - Form 10-Q

In Mexico, the total cigarette market was up by 0.3%. Our cigarette shipment volume increased by 1.5% and market share increased by 0.9 share points to 70.1%, fueled by *Marlboro*, up by 0.6 share points to 48.9%, and *Delicados*, up by 0.6 share points to 12.0%.

Operating Results Three Months Ended September 30, 2010

The following discussion compares operating results within each of our reportable segments for the three months ended September 30, 2010, with the three months ended September 30, 2009.

Table of Contents

European Union. Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, decreased \$738 million (9.5%). Excluding excise taxes, net revenues decreased \$269 million (11.2%) to \$2.1 billion. This decrease was due to:

unfavorable currency (\$226 million) and

lower volume/mix (\$102 million), partially offset by

net price increases (\$59 million).

Operating companies income decreased \$154 million (12.2 %). This decrease was due to:

unfavorable currency (\$106 million),

lower volume/mix (\$80 million),

higher marketing, administration and research costs (\$24 million) and

higher asset impairment and exit costs (\$19 million), partially offset by

net price increases (\$59 million) and

lower manufacturing costs (\$16 million).

The total cigarette market in the European Union declined by 5.5%, mainly reflecting a lower total market in Greece, Poland and Spain, primarily due to the unfavorable impact of tax-driven price increases, and the impact of continued adverse economic conditions, particularly in Greece and Spain.

Our cigarette shipment volume in the European Union declined by 4.6%, primarily reflecting the impact of the lower total market as described above, partly offset by favorable distributor inventory movements and higher share. Shipment volume of *Marlboro* decreased by 3.4%, mainly due to the lower total market and lower share in Germany and Greece. Shipment volume of *L&M* increased by 4.0%, driven by share growth primarily in Germany and Greece.

Our market share in the European Union was up by 0.2 share points to 39.1% as gains, primarily in Poland, the Netherlands and Spain, were partially offset by share declines, mainly in the Czech Republic and Portugal. *Marlboro*'s share in the European Union was up by 0.2 share points to 18.4%, reflecting a higher share in Poland and Spain, partially offset by lower share in Germany and Greece. *L&M*'s market share in the European Union grew by 0.6 points to 6.3%, primarily driven by gains in Germany, Greece, Poland and the Slovak Republic.

In the Czech Republic, the total cigarette market was down by 5.1%, reflecting the impact of tax-driven price increases implemented in April 2010. Our shipments were down by 10.0%. Market share was down by 2.7 points to 47.8%, mainly reflecting share declines for lower-margin local brands, partially offset by a higher share for *Marlboro*, up by 0.4 points to 7.0%, and for *L&M*, up by 0.3 points to 7.6%.

Edgar Filing: Philip Morris International Inc. - Form 10-Q

In France, the total cigarette market was up by 1.2%. Our shipments were down by 1.9%, reflecting the unfavorable impact of distributor inventory movements. Market share was essentially flat at 40.0%, and while *Marlboro*'s share declined by 0.5 points to 25.7%, it was more than offset by a higher share for the premium *Philip Morris* brand, up by 0.8 points to 7.7%.

In Germany, the total cigarette market was down by 2.5%. Our shipments were down by 3.4%, due primarily to the lower total market and a lower share of 34.9%, down by 0.4 share points. *Marlboro*'s share decreased by 0.7 share points to 21.1%, reflecting the impact of continued price sensitivity among adult consumers. *L&M* continued its strong performance during the quarter, gaining 0.9 share points to reach 9.2%.

In Italy, the total cigarette market was down by 1.2%. Our shipments were down by 5.2%, unfavorably impacted by distributor inventory movements. Market share declined 0.4 points to 54.1%. Assisted by the June 2010 launch of *Marlboro Core Flavor*, *Marlboro*'s share increased by 0.1 point to 23.2%.

In Poland, the total cigarette market was down by 11.3%, reflecting the impact of tax-driven price increases in January 2010, as well as an unfavorable comparison with the same quarter last year which was impacted by trade

Table of Contents

inventory movements. Although our shipments were down by 7.5%, market share was up by 1.5 points to 37.6%, primarily reflecting higher *Marlboro* share, up by 1.5 share points to 11.0%.

In Spain, the total cigarette market was down by 13.5%, largely due to the adverse economic environment, the impact of the price increase in January 2010 and a June 2010 VAT-driven price increase of 0.25 per pack. Our shipments were essentially flat, reflecting favorable distributor inventory movements. Our market share was up by 0.6 points to 32.7%, mainly reflecting a higher *Marlboro* share, up by 1.0 share point to 16.3%.

Eastern Europe, Middle East & Africa. Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, increased \$462 million (12.4%). Excluding excise taxes, net revenues increased \$66 million (3.6%) to \$1.9 billion. This increase was due to:

net price increases (\$111 million) and

the impact of acquisitions (\$29 million), partially offset by

unfavorable currency (\$37 million) and

lower volume/mix (\$37 million).

Operating companies income increased \$95 million (12.5%). This increase was due to:

net price increases (\$111 million),

favorable currency (\$32 million) and

the impact of acquisitions (\$9 million), partially offset by

lower volume/mix (\$32 million) and

higher marketing, administration and research costs (\$25 million).

Our cigarette shipment volume decreased by 3.3%, principally due to Turkey, driven by the significant tax-driven price increase of January 2010, and Ukraine, due to the unfavorable impacts of trade inventory movements and the excise tax-driven price increase on July 1, 2010, partly offset by growth in Russia and North Africa, principally Algeria. Shipment volume of *Marlboro* was down slightly by 0.2%, principally due to declines in Turkey and Ukraine, partly offset by strong growth in the Middle East and North Africa, notably Algeria.

In Russia, our record quarterly shipment volume increased by 1.4%. Shipment volume of our premium portfolio was down by 2.8%, primarily due to a decline in *Marlboro* of 6.4%. In the mid-price segment, shipment volume of *Chesterfield* was up by 7.9%. In the low price segment, shipment volume of *Bond Street*, *Next* and *Optima* was up by 17.0%, 5.4% and 0.5%, respectively. Our market share of 25.6%, as measured by A.C. Nielsen, was flat. Market share for *Parliament*, in the above premium segment, was unchanged; *Marlboro*, in the premium segment, was down by 0.3 share points; *Chesterfield* in the mid-price segment was up by 0.2 share points; and *Bond Street* in the low price segment was up by 1.1 share points.

Edgar Filing: Philip Morris International Inc. - Form 10-Q

In Turkey, the total cigarette market declined by an estimated 6.0%, primarily due to the steep January 2010 excise tax increase. Our shipment volume declined by 7.5%. Our market share, as measured by A.C. Nielsen, declined by 1.4 points to 41.8%, due to *Parliament*, down by 1.2 share points, *Marlboro*, down by 1.6 share points, and *L&M*, down by 1.6 share points, partially offset by *Lark*, up by 3.1 share points.

In Ukraine, our shipment volume decreased by 27.0%, reflecting unfavorable trade inventory movements and the impact of an excise tax-driven price increase on July 1, 2010. Our market share, as measured by A.C. Nielsen, was essentially flat at 35.3%, with share gains for premium *Marlboro* and mid-price *Chesterfield*, offset by lower share for mid-price *L&M* and brands in the low price segment.

Asia. Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, increased \$459 million (14.5%). Excluding excise taxes, net revenues increased \$182 million (11.0%) to \$1.8 billion. This increase was due to:

the impact of the business combination in the Philippines (\$146 million),

favorable currency (\$99 million) and

Table of Contents

net price increases (\$73 million), partially offset by

lower volume/mix (\$136 million).

Operating companies income increased \$37 million (5.7%). This increase was primarily due to:

favorable currency (\$79 million),

net price increases (\$73 million) and

the impact of the business combination in the Philippines (\$36 million), partially offset by

lower volume/mix (\$118 million) and

higher marketing, administration and research costs (\$32 million).

Our cigarette shipment volume increased 28.8%, mainly due to: 16.2 billion units from the new business combination in the Philippines, and growth in Indonesia, Korea and Pakistan, partially offset by a decline in Japan, reflecting the payback of distributor inventory build-up in the second quarter of 2010 in anticipation of increased trade and consumer purchases ahead of the significant October 1, 2010, tax increase. Shipment volume of *Marlboro* was essentially flat, due to strong growth in Korea and the Philippines, offset by the aforementioned inventory payback in Japan.

In Indonesia, the total cigarette market was up by an estimated 3.9%. Our shipment volume increased by 3.1%. Market share was down by 0.2 points to 29.0%, mainly due to price sensitivity as premium priced *A Mild* transitioned through a rounded retail price point, partially offset by growth from mid-price *U Mild*.

In Japan, the total cigarette market increased by 27.3%, reflecting trade inventory movements in anticipation of consumer purchases ahead of the announced October 1, 2010, tax-driven price increase. Our shipment volume was down by 24.4%, due to the payback of distributor inventory build-up in the second quarter of 2010. Our market share of 24.0% was essentially flat. *Marlboro*'s share increased to a record 11.0%, up by 0.4 points, supported by the February and July 2010 national roll-out of *Marlboro Black Gold* and *Marlboro Ice Blast*. Market share of *Lark* was down by 0.2 points to 6.4% and the *Philip Morris* brand was unchanged at 2.3%.

In Korea, our shipment volume increased by 9.7%, driven by market share increases. Our market share reached 17.0%, up by a strong 2.4 points, driven by *Marlboro* and *Parliament*, up by 0.9 and 1.2 share points, respectively, and *Virginia Slims*, up by 0.3 share points.

On February 25, 2010, Philip Morris Philippines Manufacturing Inc. combined with Fortune Tobacco Corporation to form a new company called PMFTC. As a result of this business combination, our shipments in the Philippines were up by over 100%, and market share was an estimated 93.4%. Excluding the favorable impact of this new business combination of 16.2 billion units, cigarette shipments of our brands in the Philippines increased by 8.7%, fueled by the growth of *Marlboro* and the *Philip Morris* brand.

Latin America & Canada. Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, increased \$180 million (9.5%). Excluding excise taxes, net revenues increased \$48 million (6.9%) to \$746 million. This increase was due to:

net price increases (\$49 million) and

favorable currency (\$26 million), partially offset by

lower volume/mix (\$27 million).

Operating companies income increased \$18 million (8.0%). This increase was primarily due to:

net price increases (\$49 million) and

favorable currency (\$15 million), partially offset by

lower volume/mix (\$22 million),

higher manufacturing costs (\$17 million) and

higher marketing, administration and research costs (\$5 million).

Table of Contents

Our cigarette shipment volume declined by 1.7%, driven mainly by declines in Brazil and Colombia, partly offset by growth in Argentina, Canada and Mexico. Shipment volume of *Marlboro* grew by 0.8%, mainly due to growth in Mexico.

In Argentina, the total cigarette market was slightly down by 0.3%. Our cigarette shipment volume increased by 2.0%, and market share increased by 1.8 points to a record 75.1%, fueled by *Marlboro*, up by 0.4 share points to 23.9%, and the *Philip Morris* brand, up by 1.9 share points to 38.3%.

In Canada, the total tax-paid cigarette market was up by 4.2%, mainly reflecting government enforcement measures to reduce contraband sales since mid-2009. Although our cigarette shipment volume increased by 1.5%, market share declined by 0.9 points to 33.0%, with gains from premium price *Belmont*, up by 0.1 share point, and low price brands *Next* and *Quebec Classique*, up by 3.1 and 0.8 share points, respectively. These were offset by mid-price *Number 7* and *Canadian Classics*, and low-price *Accord*, down by 1.2, 1.5 and 1.2 share points, respectively.

In Mexico, the total cigarette market was down by 0.6%. Our cigarette shipment volume increased by 1.2%, and market share grew by 1.2 points to 70.6%, fueled by *Marlboro*, up by 1.3 share points to 49.7%.

Table of Contents

Financial Review

Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities of \$7.9 billion during the first nine months of 2010 increased \$1.4 billion from the comparable 2009 period. The increase was due primarily to higher net earnings (\$720 million, which includes a non-cash charge of \$135 million in 2009 related to the Colombian Investment and Cooperation Agreement and the non-cash benefit of \$121 million related to tax items in 2010), favorable movements in working capital (\$818 million) and lower contributions to pension plans (\$198 million).

The favorable movements in working capital were due primarily to the following:

less cash used for accrued liabilities and other current assets (\$286 million), due primarily to the timing of excise and value-added tax (VAT) payments, partially offset by the timing of interest payments on debt, as well as changes in the fair value of financial instruments;

more cash provided by accounts receivable (\$245 million), primarily due to the timing of collections;

more cash provided by lower inventory levels (\$143 million), primarily due to lower leaf purchases; and

more cash provided by income taxes (\$118 million), largely due to the timing of payments.

The favorable cash flow impact from working capital is in line with our goal to generate an additional \$750 million to \$1 billion of operating cash flows from working capital over the three-year period of 2010 to 2012.

Net Cash Used in Investing Activities

One element of our growth strategy is to strengthen our brand portfolio and/or expand our geographic reach through an active program of selective acquisitions and the development of strategic business relationships. We are constantly evaluating potential acquisition opportunities and strategic projects. From time to time we may engage in confidential acquisition negotiations that are not publicly announced unless and until those negotiations result in a definitive agreement.

Net cash used in investing activities of \$446 million during the first nine months of 2010 decreased \$322 million from the comparable 2009 period due primarily to less cash spent to purchase businesses (\$393 million), partially offset by lower cash proceeds from the settlement of derivatives designated as net investment hedges (\$75 million). As discussed in Note 7, *Acquisitions and Other Business Arrangements*, our business combination in the Philippines is a non-cash transaction.

In the third quarter of 2010, we spent \$41 million for the net assets and contractual relationships of our current leaf suppliers in Brazil. For further details, see Note 7, *Acquisitions and Other Business Arrangements*.

In September 2009, we acquired Swedish Match South Africa (Proprietary) Limited, for ZAR 1.93 billion (approximately \$256 million based on exchange rates prevailing at the time of the acquisition), including acquired cash of \$36 million. In February 2009, we purchased the *Petterøes* tobacco business for \$209 million.

Net Cash Used in Financing Activities

During the first nine months of 2010, net cash used in financing activities was \$5.5 billion, compared with net cash used in financing activities of \$5.7 billion during the first nine months of 2009. During the first nine months of 2010, we used a total of \$7.2 billion to repurchase our common stock, pay dividends, and repay debt. These uses were partially offset by proceeds from our debt offerings and short-term borrowings in

Edgar Filing: Philip Morris International Inc. - Form 10-Q

2010 of \$1.8 billion. During the first nine months of 2009, we used a total of \$8.6 billion to repurchase our common stock, pay dividends, and repay debt. These uses were partially offset by proceeds from our debt offerings in 2009 of \$3.0 billion. For further details on our debt offerings, see Note 12. *Indebtedness* to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Dividends paid in the first nine months of 2010 and 2009 were \$3.3 billion and \$3.2 billion, respectively. The increase reflects a higher dividend rate in 2010, partially offset by lower shares outstanding as a result of our share repurchase programs.

Debt and Liquidity

We define cash and cash equivalents as short-term, highly liquid investments, readily convertible to known amounts of cash which mature within three months and have an insignificant risk of change in value due to interest rate or credit risk changes. As a policy, we do not hold any investments in structured or equity-linked products. Our cash and cash equivalents are predominantly held in short-term bank deposits with institutions having a long-term rating of A or better and a short-term rating of A-1/P-1.

Credit Ratings The cost and terms of our financing arrangements as well as our access to commercial paper markets may be affected by applicable credit ratings. At September 30, 2010, our debt ratings and outlook by major credit rating agencies were as follows:

	<u>Short-term</u>	<u>Long-term</u>	<u>Outlook</u>
Moody's	P-1	A2	Stable
Standard & Poor's	A-1	A	Stable
Fitch	F1	A	Stable

Credit Facilities On March 29, 2010, we entered into a new multi-year revolving credit facility in the amount of \$2.5 billion, which expires on September 30, 2013. This revolving credit facility replaced our Euro 2.0 billion 5-year revolving credit facility, which was to expire on May 12, 2010, and our \$1.0 billion 3-year revolving credit facility, which was to expire on December 4, 2010.

At September 30, 2010, our committed credit facilities were as follows (in billions of dollars):

<u>Type</u>	<u>Committed</u>	
	<u>Facilities</u>	<u>Commercial Paper</u>
3.5-year revolving credit, expiring September 30, 2013	\$ 2.5	
5-year revolving credit, expiring December 4, 2012	2.7	
Total facilities	\$ 5.2	

Commercial paper outstanding \$ 0.1
At September 30, 2010, there were no borrowings under the committed credit facilities.

All banks participating in our committed revolving credit facilities are highly rated by the credit rating agencies. We are monitoring the credit quality of our banking group, and at this time we are not aware of any potential non-performing credit provider.

These facilities require us to maintain a ratio of consolidated EBITDA to consolidated interest expense of not less than 3.5 to 1.0 on a rolling twelve month basis. At September 30, 2010, our ratio calculated in accordance with the agreements was 13.6 to 1.0. These facilities do not include any credit rating triggers, material adverse change clauses or any provisions that could require us to post collateral. We expect to continue to meet our covenants. The terms

Table of Contents

consolidated EBITDA and consolidated interest expense are defined in the facilities previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and include certain adjustments.

In addition to the committed credit facilities shown above, certain of our subsidiaries maintain short-term credit arrangements. These credit arrangements, which amounted to approximately \$3.1 billion at September 30, 2010, are for the sole use of the subsidiaries. Borrowings under these arrangements amounted to \$2.3 billion at September 30, 2010 and \$312 million at December 31, 2009. The temporary increase in subsidiaries' bank borrowings was driven by the timing of dividend repatriation.

Commercial Paper Facilities - We have two \$6 billion commercial paper programs in place, one in the U.S. and one in Europe. At September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, we had \$94 million and \$1.4 billion, respectively, of commercial paper outstanding.

The \$5.2 billion of committed revolving credit facilities are more than adequate to back-stop our commercial paper issuance needs. The existence of these facilities, coupled with our operating cash flows, will enable us to meet our liquidity requirements.

Debt Our total debt was \$17.4 billion at September 30, 2010 and \$15.4 billion at December 31, 2009.

On April 25, 2008, we filed a shelf registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission, under which we may from time to time sell debt securities and/or warrants to purchase debt securities over a three-year period.

In March 2010, we issued \$1.0 billion of 4.50% U.S. dollar notes due March 2020 under our shelf registration statement. For further details on this debt offering, see Note 12. *Indebtedness* to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

In March 2010, we renewed our Euro Medium Term Note Program under which we may from time to time issue unsecured notes. Under this program, which commenced in March 2009, we issued Euro 2.0 billion (approximately \$2.6 billion) of notes in 2009. The Euro notes bear the following terms:

Euro 1.25 billion total principal due March 2012 at a fixed interest rate of 4.250%.

Euro 750 million total principal due March 2016 at a fixed interest rate of 5.750%.

In March 2009, we also issued CHF 500 million (\$431 million) of 3.250% bonds, due in March 2013.

Guarantees As discussed in Note 10. *Contingencies* to our condensed consolidated financial statements, at September 30, 2010, our third-party guarantees were \$6 million, of which \$2 million have no specific expiration dates. The remainder expires through 2014 with no guarantees expiring through September 30, 2011. We are required to perform under these guarantees in the event that a third party fails to make contractual payments. We do not have a liability on our condensed consolidated balance sheet at September 30, 2010, as the fair value of these guarantees is insignificant due to the fact that the probability of future payment under these guarantees is remote.

Under the terms of the Distribution Agreement between Altria and us, liabilities concerning tobacco products will be allocated based in substantial part on the manufacturer. We will indemnify Altria and PM USA for liabilities related to tobacco products manufactured by us or contract manufactured for us by PM USA, and PM USA will indemnify us for liabilities related to tobacco products manufactured by PM USA, excluding tobacco products contract manufactured for us. We do not have a liability recorded on our balance sheet at September 30, 2010, as the fair value of this indemnification is insignificant since the probability of future payments under this indemnification is remote.

At September 30, 2010, we are also contingently liable for \$4.0 billion of guarantees related to our own performance, consisting of the following:

Table of Contents

\$3.3 billion of guarantees of excise tax and import duties related primarily to the shipment of our products. In these agreements, a financial institution provides a guarantee of tax payments to the respective government agency. We then issue guarantees to the respective financial institution for the payment of the taxes. These are revolving facilities that are integral to the shipment of our products, and the underlying taxes payable are recorded on our condensed consolidated balance sheet.

\$0.7 billion of other guarantees, consisting principally of guarantees of tax payments directly granted to respective government agencies and of guarantees of lines of credit for certain of our subsidiaries.

Although these guarantees of our own performance are frequently short-term in nature, they are expected to be replaced, upon expiration, with similar guarantees of similar amounts. These items have not had, and are not expected to have, a significant impact on our liquidity.

Equity and Dividends

As discussed in Note 3. *Stock Plans* to our condensed consolidated financial statements, during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we granted 3.5 million shares of restricted stock and deferred stock awards at a weighted-average grant date fair value of \$47.52. The restricted stock and deferred stock awards will not vest until the completion of the original restriction period, which is typically three years from the date of the original grant.

On May 1, 2008, we began a \$13.0 billion two-year share repurchase program. On April 30, 2010, we completed this share repurchase program by purchasing, in total, 277.6 million shares for \$13.0 billion.

On May 1, 2010, we began repurchasing shares under a new three-year \$12 billion share repurchase program that was authorized by our Board of Directors in February 2010. From May 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010, we repurchased 37.3 million shares of our common stock at a cost of \$1.9 billion under this new repurchase program. During the first nine months of 2010, our repurchases under both programs totaled 78.5 million shares at a cost of \$3.9 billion. During the third quarter of 2010, we repurchased 20.7 million shares at a cost of \$1.1 billion. In October 2010, we announced our intention to accelerate share repurchases in 2010 to an approximate total of \$5.0 billion for the year.

Dividends paid in the first nine months of 2010 were \$3.3 billion. During the third quarter of 2010, our Board of Directors approved a 10.3% increase in the quarterly dividend to \$0.64 per common share. As a result, the present annualized dividend rate is \$2.56 per common share.

Market Risk

Counterparty Risk - We predominantly work with financial institutions with strong short and long-term credit ratings as assigned by Standard & Poor's and Moody's. These banks are also part of a defined group of relationship banks. Non-investment grade institutions are only used in certain emerging markets to the extent required by local business needs. We have a conservative approach when it comes to choosing financial counterparties and financial instruments. As such we do not invest or hold investments in any structured or equity-linked products. The majority of our cash and cash equivalents are currently invested in bank deposits maturing within less than 30 days.

We continuously monitor and assess the credit worthiness of all our counterparties.

Derivative Financial Instruments - We operate in markets outside of the United States, with manufacturing and sales facilities in various locations throughout the world. Consequently, we use certain financial instruments to manage our foreign currency exposure. We use derivative financial instruments principally to reduce our exposure to market risks resulting from fluctuations in foreign exchange rates by creating offsetting exposures. We are not a party to leveraged derivatives and, by policy, do not use derivative financial instruments for speculative purposes.

See Note 6. *Financial Instruments* and Note 13. *Fair Value Measurements* to our condensed consolidated financial statements for further details on our derivative financial instruments.

Table of Contents

Contingencies

See Note 10. *Contingencies* to the condensed consolidated financial statements for a discussion of contingencies.

Cautionary Factors That May Affect Future Results

Forward-Looking and Cautionary Statements

We may from time to time make written or oral forward-looking statements, including statements contained in filings with the SEC, in reports to stockholders and in press releases and investor webcasts. You can identify these forward-looking statements by use of words such as strategy, expects, continues, plans, anticipates, believes, will, estimates, intends, projects, goals, targets and other words of similar identify them by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts.

We cannot guarantee that any forward-looking statement will be realized, although we believe we have been prudent in our plans and assumptions. Achievement of future results is subject to risks, uncertainties and inaccurate assumptions. Should known or unknown risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove inaccurate, actual results could vary materially from those anticipated, estimated or projected. Investors should bear this in mind as they consider forward-looking statements and whether to invest in or remain invested in our securities. In connection with the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, we are identifying important factors that, individually or in the aggregate, could cause actual results and outcomes to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements made by us; any such statement is qualified by reference to the following cautionary statements. We elaborate on these and other risks we face throughout this document, particularly in the Business Environment section preceding our discussion of operating results of our business. You should understand that it is not possible to predict or identify all risk factors. Consequently, you should not consider the following to be a complete discussion of all potential risks or uncertainties. We do not undertake to update any forward-looking statement that we may make from time to time except in the normal course of our public disclosure obligations.

Risks Related to Our Business and Industry

Cigarettes are subject to substantial taxes. Significant increases in cigarette-related taxes have been proposed or enacted and are likely to continue to be proposed or enacted in numerous jurisdictions. These tax increases may affect our profitability disproportionately and make us less competitive versus certain of our competitors.

Tax regimes, including excise taxes, sales taxes and import duties, can disproportionately affect the retail price of manufactured cigarettes versus other tobacco products, or disproportionately affect the relative retail price of our manufactured cigarette brands versus cigarette brands manufactured by certain of our competitors. Because our portfolio is weighted toward the premium price manufactured cigarette category, tax regimes based on sales price can place us at a competitive disadvantage in certain markets. As a result, our volume and profitability may be adversely affected in these markets.

Increases in cigarette taxes are expected to continue to have an adverse impact on our sales of cigarettes, due to resulting lower consumption levels, a shift in sales from manufactured cigarettes to other tobacco products and from the premium price to the mid-price or low-price cigarette categories, where we may be under-represented, from local sales to legal cross-border purchases of lower price products or to illicit products such as contraband and counterfeit.

The elimination of minimum retail selling price systems in the European Union may adversely affect our business.

During the first half of 2010, the European Court of Justice ruled against several EU Member States (Austria, France, Ireland and Italy) that had enacted laws establishing a minimum retail selling price for cigarettes and, in some cases, other tobacco products on the grounds that such systems infringe on EU law. As a result, Austria and France have

Table of Contents

abolished their minimum retail selling price systems. These developments could adversely impact excise tax levels and widen price gaps in those markets as well as adversely affect our business.

Our business faces significant governmental action aimed at increasing regulatory requirements with the goal of preventing the use of tobacco products.

Governmental actions, combined with the diminishing social acceptance of smoking and private actions to restrict smoking, have resulted in reduced industry volume in many of our markets, and we expect that such factors will continue to reduce consumption levels and will increase downtrading and the risk of counterfeiting, contraband and cross-border purchases. Significant regulatory developments will take place over the next few years in most of our markets, driven principally by the World Health Organization's Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC). The FCTC is the first international public health treaty on tobacco, and its objective is to establish a global agenda for tobacco regulation. The FCTC has led to increased efforts by tobacco control advocates and public health organizations to reduce the palatability and attractiveness of tobacco products to adult smokers. Regulatory initiatives that have been proposed, introduced or enacted include:

the levying of substantial and increasing tax and duty charges;

restrictions or bans on advertising, marketing and sponsorship;

the display of larger health warnings, graphic health warnings and other labeling requirements;

restrictions on packaging design, including the use of colors, and plain packaging;

restrictions or bans on the display of tobacco product packaging at the point of sale and restrictions or bans on cigarette vending machines;

requirements regarding testing, disclosure and performance standards for tar, nicotine, carbon monoxide and other smoke constituents;

disclosure requirements and restrictions, including bans on the use of tobacco product ingredients;

increased restrictions on smoking in public and work places and, in some instances, in private places and outdoors;

elimination of duty free allowances for travelers; and

encouraging litigation against tobacco companies.

Our operating income could be significantly affected by any significant decrease in demand for our brands, any significant increase in the cost of complying with new regulatory requirements and requirements that lead to a commoditization of tobacco products.

Litigation related to cigarette smoking and exposure to ETS could substantially reduce our profitability and could severely impair our liquidity.

There is litigation related to tobacco products pending in certain jurisdictions. Damages claimed in some of the tobacco-related litigation are significant and, in certain cases in Brazil, Israel, Nigeria and Canada, range into the billions of dollars. We anticipate that new cases will

Edgar Filing: Philip Morris International Inc. - Form 10-Q

continue to be filed. The FCTC encourages litigation against tobacco product manufacturers. It is possible that our consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position could be materially affected in a particular fiscal quarter or fiscal year by an unfavorable outcome or settlement of certain pending litigation. Please see Note 10. *Contingencies* to our consolidated financial statements for a discussion of tobacco-related litigation.

We face intense competition, and our failure to compete effectively could have a material adverse effect on our profitability and results of operations.

Table of Contents

We compete primarily on the basis of product quality, brand recognition, brand loyalty, taste, innovation, packaging, service, marketing, advertising and price. We are subject to highly competitive conditions in all aspects of our business. The competitive environment and our competitive position can be significantly influenced by weak economic conditions, erosion of consumer confidence, competitors' introduction of low-price products or innovative products, higher cigarette taxes, higher absolute prices and larger gaps between price categories, and product regulation that diminishes the ability to differentiate tobacco products. Competitors include three large international tobacco companies and several regional and local tobacco companies and, in some instances, government-owned tobacco enterprises, principally in China, Egypt, Thailand, Taiwan, Vietnam and Algeria. Industry consolidation and privatizations of governmental enterprises have led to an overall increase in competitive pressures. Some competitors have different profit and volume objectives and some international competitors are less susceptible to changes in currency exchange rates.

Because we have operations in numerous countries, our results may be influenced by economic, regulatory and political developments in many countries.

Some of the countries in which we operate face the threat of civil unrest and can be subject to regime changes. In others, nationalization, terrorism, conflict and the threat of war may have a significant impact on the business environment. Economic, political, regulatory or other developments could disrupt our supply chain or our distribution capabilities. In addition, such developments could lead to loss of property or equipment that are critical to our business in certain markets and difficulty in staffing and managing our operations, which could reduce our volumes, revenues and net earnings. In certain markets, we are dependent on governmental approvals of various actions such as price changes.

In addition, despite our high ethical standards and rigorous control and compliance procedures aimed at preventing and detecting unlawful conduct, given the breadth and scope of our international operations, we may not be able to detect all potential improper or unlawful conduct by our international partners and employees.

We may be unable to anticipate changes in consumer preferences or to respond to consumer behavior influenced by economic downturns.

Our tobacco business is subject to changes in consumer preferences, which may be influenced by local economic conditions. To be successful, we must:

promote brand equity successfully;

anticipate and respond to new consumer trends;

develop new products and markets and broaden brand portfolios;

improve productivity; and

be able to protect or enhance margins through price increases.

In periods of economic uncertainty, consumers may tend to purchase lower price brands, and the volume of our premium price and mid-price brands and our profitability could suffer accordingly.

We lose revenues as a result of counterfeiting, contraband and cross-border purchases.

Large quantities of counterfeit cigarettes are sold in the international market. We believe that *Marlboro* is the most heavily counterfeited international cigarette brand, although we cannot quantify the amount of revenues we lose as a result of this activity. In addition, our revenues are reduced by contraband and legal cross-border purchases.

From time to time, we are subject to governmental investigations on a range of matters.

-75-

Table of Contents

Investigations include allegations of contraband shipments of cigarettes, allegations of unlawful pricing activities within certain markets, allegations of underpayment of custom duties and/or excise taxes, and allegations of false and misleading usage of descriptors such as lights and ultra lights. We cannot predict the outcome of those investigations or whether additional investigations may be commenced, and it is possible that our business could be materially affected by an unfavorable outcome of pending or future investigations. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Operating Results by Business Segment Business Environment Governmental Investigations for a description of governmental investigations to which we are subject.

We may be unsuccessful in our attempts to produce cigarettes with the potential to reduce the risk of smoking-related diseases.

We continue to seek ways to develop commercially viable new product technologies that may reduce the risk of smoking. Our goal is to develop products whose potential for risk reduction can be substantiated and meet adult smokers' taste expectations. We may not succeed in these efforts. If we do not succeed, but one or more of our competitors do, we may be at a competitive disadvantage. Further, we cannot predict whether regulators will permit the marketing of tobacco products with claims of reduced risk to consumers, which could significantly undermine the commercial viability of these products.

Our reported results could be adversely affected by currency exchange rates, and currency devaluations could impair our competitiveness.

We conduct our business primarily in local currency and, for purposes of financial reporting, the local currency results are translated into U.S. dollars based on average exchange rates prevailing during a reporting period. During times of a strengthening U.S. dollar, our reported net revenues and operating income will be reduced because the local currency will translate into fewer U.S. dollars. During periods of local economic crises, foreign currencies may be devalued significantly against the U.S. dollar, reducing our margins. Actions to recover margins may result in lower volume and a weaker competitive position.

The repatriation of our foreign earnings, changes in the earnings mix, and changes in U.S. tax laws may increase our effective tax rate.

Because we are a U.S. holding company, our most significant source of funds is distributions from our non-U.S. subsidiaries. Under current U.S. tax law, in general we do not pay U.S. taxes on our foreign earnings until they are repatriated to the U.S. as distributions from our non-U.S. subsidiaries. These distributions may result in a residual U.S. tax cost. It may be advantageous to us in certain circumstances to significantly increase the amount of such distributions, which could result in a material increase in our overall effective tax rate. Additionally, the Obama Administration has indicated that it favors changes in U.S. tax law that would fundamentally change how our earnings are taxed in the U.S. If enacted and depending upon its precise terms, such legislation could increase our overall effective tax rate.

Our ability to grow may be limited by our inability to introduce new products, enter new markets or to improve our margins through higher pricing and improvements in our brand and geographic mix.

Our profitability may suffer if we are unable to introduce new products or enter new markets successfully, to raise prices or maintain an acceptable proportion of our sales of higher margin products and sales in higher margin geographies.

We may be unable to expand our portfolio through successful acquisitions and the development of strategic business relationships.

One element of our growth strategy is to strengthen our brand portfolio and market positions through selective acquisitions and the development of strategic business relationships. Acquisition and strategic business development opportunities are limited and present risks of failing to achieve efficient and effective integration, strategic objectives and anticipated revenue improvements and cost savings. There is no assurance that we will be able to acquire

Table of Contents

attractive businesses on favorable terms or that future acquisitions or strategic business developments will be accretive to earnings.

Government mandated prices, production control programs, shifts in crops driven by economic conditions and adverse weather patterns may increase the cost or reduce the quality of the tobacco and other agricultural products used to manufacture our products.

As with other agricultural commodities, the price of tobacco leaf and cloves can be influenced by imbalances in supply and demand, and crop quality can be influenced by variations in weather patterns. Tobacco production in certain countries is subject to a variety of controls, including government mandated prices and production control programs. Changes in the patterns of demand for agricultural products could cause farmers to plant less tobacco. Any significant change in tobacco leaf and clove prices, quality and quantity could affect our profitability and our business.

Our ability to implement our strategy of attracting and retaining the best global talent may be impaired by the decreasing social acceptance of cigarette smoking.

The tobacco industry competes for talent with consumer products and other companies that enjoy greater societal acceptance. As a result, we may be unable to attract and retain the best global talent.

Table of Contents

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

PMI carried out an evaluation, with the participation of PMI's management, including PMI's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of PMI's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, PMI's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that PMI's disclosure controls and procedures are effective. There have been no changes in PMI's internal control over financial reporting during the most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, PMI's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

Part II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

See Note 10. *Contingencies* of the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part I Item 1 of this report for a discussion of legal proceedings pending against Philip Morris International Inc. and its subsidiaries.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Information regarding Risk Factors appears in MD&A Cautionary Factors That May Affect Future Results, in Part I Item 2 of this Form 10-Q and in Part I Item 1A. Risk Factors of our Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. There have been no material changes to the risk factors disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

Our share repurchase activity for each of the three months in the quarter ended September 30, 2010 was as follows:

Period	Total Number of Shares Repurchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs (2)	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
July 1, 2010				
July 31, 2010 (1)	3,050,100	\$49.18	19,659,573	\$11,085,298,579
August 1, 2010				
August 31, 2010 (1)	7,992,574	\$51.86	27,652,147	\$10,670,822,544
September 1, 2010				
September 30, 2010 (1)	<u>9,682,100</u>	\$55.33	37,334,247	\$10,135,085,446
Pursuant to Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	<u>20,724,774</u>	\$53.09		
July 1, 2010				
July 31, 2010 (3)	-	\$ -		
August 1, 2010				
August 31, 2010 (3)	5,822	\$51.36		
September 1, 2010				
September 30, 2010 (3)	<u>1,657</u>	\$51.40		
For the Quarter Ended				
September 30, 2010	<u>20,732,253</u>	\$53.09		

(1) On February 11, 2010, our Board of Directors authorized a new share repurchase program of \$12 billion over three years. The new program commenced in May 2010 after the completion of the two-year \$13 billion program. These share repurchases have been made pursuant to this program.

(2) Aggregate number of shares repurchased under the above-mentioned share repurchase programs as of the end of the period presented.

(3) Shares repurchased represent shares tendered to us by employees who vested in restricted and deferred stock awards, or exercised stock options, and used shares to pay all, or a portion of, the related taxes and/or option exercise price.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits.

10.1	Time Sharing Agreement between PMI Global Services Inc. and Louis C. Camilleri dated August 18, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 19, 2010).
12	Statement regarding computation of ratios of earnings to fixed charges.
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document.
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema.
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase.
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase.
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase.
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase.

Table of Contents

Signature

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

PHILIP MORRIS INTERNATIONAL INC.

/s/ HERMANN WALDEMER

Hermann Waldemer
Chief Financial Officer

November 5, 2010

-82-