

Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc.

Form 10-Q

August 12, 2011

[Table of Contents](#)

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-Q**

x **Quarterly Report Pursuant To Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**  
For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011

.. **Transition Report Pursuant To Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**  
For the transition period from            to

Commission File Number 1-32375

**Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc. - Form 10-Q

**Delaware**  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**20-1164345**  
(I.R.S. Employer

Identification No.)

**11465 Sunset Hills Road**

**Suite 410**

**Reston, Virginia 20190**

**(703) 883-1700**

(Address zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of principal executive offices)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES  NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every interactive data file required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (Section 232.405) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES  NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES  NO

As of August 11, 2011, 17,220,462 shares of the Class A common stock, par value \$.01 per share, and 2,733,500 shares of Class B common stock, par value \$0.01, of the Registrant were outstanding.

**Table of Contents**

**COMSTOCK HOMEBUILDING COMPANIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**FORM 10-Q**

**INDEX**

	<b>Page</b>
<b>PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION</b>	
ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:	1
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets (unaudited) June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010</u>	1
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited) Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010</u>	2
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders Equity (unaudited) Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010</u>	3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010</u>	4
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	5
ITEM 2. <u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	18
ITEM 4. <u>CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u>	21
<b><u>PART II OTHER INFORMATION</u></b>	
ITEM 1. <u>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u>	22
ITEM 1A. <u>RISK FACTORS</u>	22
ITEM 6. <u>EXHIBITS</u>	22
<b><u>SIGNATURES</u></b>	23

**Table of Contents****COMSTOCK HOMEBUILDING COMPANIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)

	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 609	\$ 475
Restricted cash	3,089	5,201
Real estate held for development and sale	26,687	34,008
Construction in process - Variable Interest Entity	8,559	0
Property, plant and equipment, net	40	50
Other assets	3,520	1,194
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>\$ 42,504</b>	<b>\$ 40,928</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY</b>		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 5,925	\$ 5,884
Notes payable - secured by real estate held for development and sale	14,062	18,853
Notes payable - Variable Interest Entity	6,205	0
Notes payable - due to affiliates, unsecured	5,008	5,008
Notes payable - unsecured	4,383	4,515
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES</b>	<b>35,583</b>	<b>34,260</b>
Commitments and contingencies (Note 9)		
<b>SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY</b>		
Class A common stock, \$0.01 par value, 77,266,500 shares authorized, 17,405,474 and 17,120,467 issued and outstanding, respectively	174	171
Class B common stock, \$0.01 par value, 2,733,500 shares authorized, 2,733,500 issued and outstanding	27	27
Additional paid-in capital	167,051	166,700
Treasury stock, at cost (391,400 shares Class A common stock)	(2,439)	(2,439)
Accumulated deficit	(160,492)	(157,791)
<b>TOTAL COMSTOCK HOMEBUILDING EQUITY</b>	<b>4,321</b>	<b>6,668</b>
Non-controlling interest - Variable Interest Entity	2,600	0
<b>TOTAL EQUITY</b>	<b>6,921</b>	<b>6,668</b>
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY</b>	<b>\$ 42,504</b>	<b>\$ 40,928</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****COMSTOCK HOMEBUILDING COMPANIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
<b>Revenues</b>				
Revenue - homebuilding	\$ 3,120	\$ 5,919	\$ 6,139	\$ 11,613
Revenue - apartment buildings and real estate services	2,783	509	4,350	3,955
Total revenue	5,903	6,428	10,489	15,568
<b>Expenses</b>				
Cost of sales - homebuilding	2,736	5,673	5,458	11,364
Cost of sales - apartment buildings and real estate services	2,628	413	3,901	3,285
Impairments and write-offs	0	0	0	0
Selling, general and administrative	1,933	1,405	3,318	2,861
Interest, real estate taxes and indirect costs related to inactive projects	451	821	820	1,670
Operating loss	(1,845)	(1,884)	(3,008)	(3,612)
Gain on debt restructuring	0	0	(146)	0
Other (income) loss, net	(287)	(41)	(411)	(877)
Loss before income taxes	(1,558)	(1,843)	(2,451)	(2,735)
Income taxes expense	0	0	0	0
Net loss	(1,558)	(1,843)	(2,451)	(2,735)
Less: Net income attributable to non-controlling interests	118	0	250	0
Net loss attributable to Comstock Homebuilding Co., Inc.	\$ (1,676)	\$ (1,843)	\$ (2,701)	\$ (2,735)
Basic loss per share	\$ (.09)	\$ (.10)	\$ (.15)	\$ (.15)
Diluted loss per share	\$ (.09)	\$ (.10)	\$ (.15)	\$ (.15)
Basic weighted average shares outstanding	18,784	18,365	18,407	18,231
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding	18,784	18,365	18,407	18,231

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****COMSTOCK HOMEBUILDING COMPANIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN****SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY**

(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)

	Class A		Class B		Additional paid-in capital	Treasury stock	Non-controlling interest	Retained earnings (deficit)	Total
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount					
Balance at December 31, 2009	15,609	\$ 156	2,733	\$ 27	\$ 157,418	\$ (2,439)	\$ 0	\$ (151,029)	\$ 4,133
Cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle								936	936
Stock compensation and issuances	470	5			539				544
Stonehenge capital contribution					7,689				7,689
Warrants					101				101
Net loss								(2,735)	(2,735)
Balance at June 30, 2010	16,079	\$ 161	2,733	\$ 27	\$ 165,747	\$ (2,439)	\$ 0	\$ (152,828)	\$ 10,668
Balance at December 31, 2010	17,120	\$ 171	2,733	\$ 27	\$ 166,700	\$ (2,439)	\$ 0	\$ (157,791)	\$ 6,668
Stock compensation and issuances	285	3			351				354
Non-controlling interest							2,350		2,350
Net loss							250	(2,701)	(2,451)
Balance at June 30, 2011	17,405	\$ 174	2,733	\$ 27	\$ 167,051	\$ (2,439)	\$ 2,600	\$ (160,492)	\$ 6,921

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statement.

**Table of Contents****COMSTOCK HOMEBUILDING COMPANIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>		
Net loss	\$ (2,451)	\$ (2,735)
Adjustment to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities		
Amortization and depreciation	10	84
Gain on M&T note retirement	(146)	0
Gain on trade payable settlements	(150)	(860)
<b>Changes in operating assets and liabilities:</b>		
Restricted cash	12	117
Real estate held for development and sale	4,816	13,338
Other assets	(2,376)	57
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	3,101	823
Net cash provided by operating activities	2,816	10,824
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>		
Investment in Cascades Apartments construction in process	(6,054)	0
Purchase of property, plant and equipment	0	(4)
Net cash used in investing activities	(6,054)	(4)
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>		
Proceeds from notes payable	17,273	822
Payments on notes payable	(16,250)	(12,006)
Proceeds from Cascades Private Placement	2,350	26
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	3,372	(11,158)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	134	(338)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	475	1,085
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 609	\$ 747
<b>Supplemental disclosure for non-cash activity:</b>		
Interest incurred but not paid in cash	\$ 457	\$ 1,260
Reduction in notes payable in connection with troubled debt restructuring	\$ 0	\$ 7,689
Increase in additional paid in capital in connection with troubled debt restructuring	\$ 0	\$ 7,689
Reduction in real estate held for development and sale in connection with deconsolidation of subsidiaries	\$ 0	\$ 15,406
Reduction in notes payable in connection with deconsolidation of subsidiaries	\$ 0	\$ 15,893
Reduction in accrued liabilities in connection with deconsolidation of subsidiaries	\$ 0	\$ 449
Increase in opening retained earnings in connection with deconsolidation of subsidiaries	\$ 0	\$ 936
Non-cash stock compensation charges	\$ 388	\$ 619

## Edgar Filing: Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Increase in class A common stock par value in connection with issuance of stock compensation	\$	3	\$	5
Increase in additional paid in capital in connection with issuance of stock compensation	\$	351	\$	614
Reduction in accounts payable and restricted cash due to Cascades Private Placement closing	\$	2,100	\$	0

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.



**Table of Contents**

**COMSTOCK HOMEBUILDING COMPANIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)**

**1. ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION**

The accompanying unaudited financial statements of Comstock Homebuilding Companies ( Comstock or the Company ) have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ( GAAP ) for interim financial information and in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Such financial statements do not include all of the information and disclosures required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In our opinion, all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation have been included in the accompanying financial statements. For further information and a discussion of our significant accounting policies other than as discussed below, refer to our audited consolidated financial statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

***Liquidity Developments***

On July 12, 2011, the Company entered into a new three-year \$13.8 million loan with Sunbridge Capital Management LLC ( Sunbridge ) to refinance the Company's Eclipse at Potomac Yard condominium project in Arlington, Virginia. Proceeds from the loan were primarily utilized to pay off existing indebtedness owed to Eagle Bank, closing fees, and for general corporate purposes. In addition, Sunbridge issued a commitment letter to the Company, through its subsidiary Comstock Penderbrook, L.C. ( CP ), for the refinancing of the Company's Penderbrook Square condominium project located in Fairfax, Virginia ( Penderbrook Project ). Under the terms of the commitment, CP will secure a maximum \$7.0 million loan with a three year term with proceeds from the loan to be utilized to pay off existing indebtedness owed to Guggenheim Corporate Funding, LLC, pay the loan closing fees, and for general corporate purposes. See Note 15 for additional discussion regarding this transaction.

On July 21, 2011, Comstock Potomac Yard, L.C. ( Comstock Potomac Yard ) a subsidiary of Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc. (the Company ), entered into a settlement agreement with Balfour Beatty Construction, L.C., successor in interest to Centex Construction, ( Balfour ) whereby Comstock Potomac Yard agreed to a settlement in the total amount of \$10,293 in satisfaction of certain claims and a previous judgment entered in its favor in the amount of \$11,963 in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, Alexandria Division, entered on March 19, 2010. The settlement was the result of a voluntary mediation process between Comstock Potomac Yard, Balfour and one of the major subcontractors on the Company's Eclipse high rise condominium project (the Project ). The judgment resulted from litigation initiated by Comstock Potomac Yard in September of 2008 against Balfour as a result of delays in completion of the Project. The settlement proceeds were received August 4, 2011. Of the \$10.3 million in proceeds received \$4.6 million was used to curtail outstanding debt.

While there can be no assurances, the Company believes that as a result of the new loan, loan commitment, the additional cash from settlement proceeds of the Balfour litigation, and the cash generated by our other operating activities, the Company has financial resources sufficient to sustain its operations through 2011.

## **Table of Contents**

### **2. REAL ESTATE HELD FOR DEVELOPMENT AND SALE**

Real estate held for development and sale includes land, land development costs, interest and other construction costs. Land held for development is stated at cost, or when circumstances or events indicate that the land is impaired, at estimated fair value. Real estate held for sale is carried at the lower of cost or fair value less costs to sell. Land, land development and indirect land development costs are accumulated by specific project and allocated to various lots or housing units within that project using specific identification and allocation based upon the relative sales value, unit or area methods. Direct construction costs are assigned to housing units based on specific identification. Construction costs primarily include direct construction costs and capitalized field overhead. Other costs are comprised of fees, capitalized interest and real estate taxes. Selling costs are expensed as incurred.

For projects where the Company expects to sell the remaining lots in bulk or convey the remaining lots to a lender where the loans have matured, the fair value is determined based on offers received from third parties, comparable sales transactions, and/or cash flow valuation techniques.

If the project meets the GAAP accounting criteria of held for sale, the project is valued at the lower of cost or fair value less estimated selling costs. Currently the Company's Eclipse and Penderbrook projects meet these criteria. If the project sales are expected to extend over a period of time, the Company calculates fair value utilizing a discounted cash flow model as discussed below using a discount rate that reflects a reduced level of risk as these assets are no longer under construction.

For assets held for development, estimated fair value is based on comparable sales of real estate in the normal course of business under existing and anticipated market conditions. The evaluation takes into consideration the current status of the property, various restrictions, carrying costs, costs of disposition and any other circumstances which may affect fair value including management's plans for the property. In the normal course of business, dispositions of large land holdings can extend over a number of years. A write-down to estimated fair value is recorded when the net carrying value of the property exceeds its estimated undiscounted future cash flows. These evaluations are made on a property-by-property basis as seen fit by management whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the net book value may not be recoverable.

On March 17, 2010 the Company completed the sale of land at its Station View project located in Loudoun County, Virginia for \$2.8 million.

The Company has classified its Eclipse and Penderbrook projects as held for sale as discussed above and accordingly, written the projects down to fair value less costs to sell as determined by discounted cash flow models. Discounted cash flow models are dependent upon several subjective factors, primarily estimated average sales prices, estimated sales pace, and the selection of an appropriate discount rate. While current market conditions make the estimated timeframe for sales in a community challenging, the Company has generally assumed sales prices equal to or less than current prices and the remaining duration of the community sales process were estimated to be one to two years. These assumptions are often interrelated as price reductions can generally be assumed to increase the sales pace. In addition, the Company must select what it believes to be an appropriate discount rate based on current market cost of capital and return expectations. The Company has used its best judgment in determining an appropriate discount rate based on information it has received from marketing its communities for sale in recent periods, and accordingly has elected to use a rate of 13% in its discounted cash flow model. While the selection of a 13% discount rate was subjective in nature, the Company believes it is an appropriate rate in the current market and appropriately reflects the economics of the market, current return expectations, the cash flow characteristics of the projects and the substantially completed nature of its for sale inventory. The estimates of sales prices, sales pace, and discount rates used by the Company are based on the best information available at the time the estimates were made. In recent months, market conditions affecting the Company's Washington, DC area projects have improved; however, if market conditions deteriorate again, additional adverse changes to these estimates in future periods could result in further material impairment amounts to be recorded.

The Company recorded an impairment charge of \$1.5 million during the year ended December 31, 2010, to properly record its for sale projects at fair market value less costs to sell consistent with the provisions of ASC 360. No such charges were incurred during the six months ended June 30, 2011.

**Table of Contents**

After impairments and write-offs, real estate held for development and sale consists of the following:

	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Land and land development costs	\$ 5,067	\$ 8,862
Cost of construction (including capitalized interest and real estate taxes)	21,620	25,146
	\$ 26,687	\$ 34,008

**3. CONSTRUCTION IN PROCESS VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITY**

In February 2011, the Company began construction on a 103 unit apartment rental project located in the Cascades master planned community in Loudoun County, Virginia. Accordingly, upon the initiation of construction, the value of the existing land upon which the project is being constructed (approximately \$2.6 million) was reclassified from Real Estate Held for Development and Sale to Construction in Process in the accompanying financial statements. The total construction costs capitalized in addition to the land and land development costs are approximately \$6.0 million. See Note 12.

**4. GENERAL CONTRACTING**

The Company periodically undertakes short-term general contracting projects to supplement its core residential homebuilding operations. These contracts are typically no more than 12 months in length. Revenue and earnings on these general contracting contracts are recognized under the percentage of completion method using the ratio of costs incurred to estimated total costs. Total revenue and gross profit recognized for the three months ended June 30, 2011 was \$2.4 million \$0.2 million respectively. During the six months ended June 30, 2011 total revenue and gross profit recognized was \$3.6 million \$0.4 million respectively. The revenues associated with these projects are included in Revenue other and the costs in Cost of sales other in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2011. Included in other assets and accounts payable are approximately \$1.7 million and \$2.0 million, respectively, related to general contracting projects in process. See Note 8 for additional discussion.

**5. WARRANTY RESERVE**

Warranty reserves for units settled are established to cover potential costs for materials and labor with regard to warranty-type claims expected to arise during the typical one-year warranty period provided by the Company or within the five-year statutorily mandated structural warranty period for condominiums. Since the Company subcontracts its homebuilding work, subcontractors are required to provide the Company with an indemnity and a certificate of insurance prior to receiving payments for their work. Claims relating to workmanship and materials are generally the primary responsibility of the subcontractors and product manufacturers. The warranty reserve is established at the time of closing, and is calculated based upon historical warranty cost experience and current business factors. This reserve is an estimate and actual warranty costs could vary from these estimates. Variables used in the calculation of the reserve, as well as the adequacy of the reserve based on the number of homes still under warranty, are reviewed on a periodic basis. Warranty claims are directly charged to the reserve as they arise. The following table is a summary of warranty reserve activity which is included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Balance at beginning period	\$ 1,081	\$ 644	\$ 1,110	\$ 693
Additions	12	25	24	48
Releases and/or charges incurred	(45)	(93)	(86)	(165)
Balance at end of period	\$ 1,048	\$ 576	\$ 1,048	\$ 576



**Table of Contents****6. CAPITALIZED INTEREST AND REAL ESTATE TAXES**

Interest and real estate taxes incurred relating to the development of lots and parcels are capitalized to real estate held for development and sale during the active development period, which generally commences when borrowings are used to acquire real estate assets and ends when the properties are substantially complete or the property becomes inactive. A project becomes inactive when development and construction activities have been suspended indefinitely. Interest is capitalized based on the interest rate applicable to specific borrowings or the weighted average of the rates applicable to other borrowings during the period. Interest and real estate taxes capitalized to real estate held for development and sale are expensed as a component of cost of sales as related units are sold. The following table is a summary of interest incurred and capitalized and interest expensed for units settled:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Total interest incurred and capitalized	\$ 110	\$ 0	\$ 122	\$ 0
Interest expensed as a component of cost of sales	\$ 542	\$ 982	\$ 1,026	\$ 1,946

Other than the Cascades Apartment project, the Company's real estate projects were determined to be inactive for accounting purposes as they were either substantially complete or management elected to suspend construction activities indefinitely. When a project becomes inactive, its interest, real estate taxes and indirect production overhead costs are no longer capitalized but rather expensed in the period in which they are incurred. Following is a breakdown of the interest, real estate taxes and indirect expense related to inactive projects:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Total interest incurred and expensed for inactive projects	\$ 328	\$ 633	\$ 528	\$ 1,333
Total real estate taxes incurred and expensed for inactive projects	75	160	187	299
Total production overhead incurred and expensed for inactive projects	47	28	104	38
	\$ 450	\$ 821	\$ 819	\$ 1,670

**7. LOSS PER SHARE**

The weighted average shares and share equivalents used to calculate basic and diluted loss per share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 are presented on the consolidated statement of operations. As a result of net losses during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, restricted stock awards, stock options and warrants were excluded from the computation of dilutive earnings per share because their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive.

***Comprehensive income***

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, comprehensive income equaled net income; therefore, a separate statement of comprehensive income is not included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****8. SEGMENT DISCLOSURES**

We operate our business through three segments: Homebuilding, Apartment Buildings and Real Estate Services. We are exclusively focused on the Washington, DC market.

For our homebuilding operations, we develop properties with the intent that they be sold either as fee-simple properties or condominiums to individual unit buyers or as investment properties sold to private or institutional investors. We target first-time, early move-up, and secondary move-up buyers with our homebuilding product. We focus on products that we are able to offer for sale in the middle price points within the markets where we operate, avoiding the very low-end and high-end products.

For our Apartment Buildings segment we focus on projects ranging from approximately 75 to 200 units in locations that are supply constrained with demonstrated institutional demand for stabilized assets. We seek opportunities in the multi-family rental market where our experience and core capabilities can be leveraged. We will either position the assets for sale to institutional buyers when completed or operate the asset within our own portfolio. Operating the asset for our own account affords us the flexibility of converting the units to condominiums in the future. Revenues and expenses reported in the segment below relate to assets shared with the Homebuilding segment. The assets currently generating revenues in this segment are considered held for sale as discussed in note 2.

Our Real Estate Services segment pursues projects in all aspects of real estate including strategic planning, land development, entitlement, property management, sales and marketing, workout and turnaround strategies and general construction. We provide a wide range of construction management and general contracting services to other property owners.

The following disclosure includes the Company's three reportable segments of homebuilding, apartment buildings and real estate services. Each of these segments operates within the Company's single Washington, DC reportable geographic segment. The information for 2010 has been reclassified to conform to current year business segment presentation.

	(in thousands)			
	Homebuilding	Apartment Buildings	Real Estate Services	Total
<b>Three Months Ended June 30, 2011</b>				
Net Revenue	\$ 3,120	\$ 354	\$ 2,429	\$ 5,903
Gross Profit	383	(16)	171	538
Operating (Loss) Profit	(1,147)	(750)	52	(1,845)
Total Assets	31,292	8,988	2,224	42,504
Depreciation and amortization	6	0	0	6
Capital expenditures	0	0	0	0
<b>Three Months Ended June 30, 2010</b>				
Net Revenue	\$ 5,919	\$ 509	\$ 0	\$ 6,428
Gross Profit	246	96	0	342
Operating (Loss) Profit	(1,980)	96	0	1,884
Total Assets	42,821	174	0	42,995
Depreciation and amortization	9	0	0	9
Capital expenditures	0	0	0	0
<b>Six Months Ended June 30, 2011</b>				
Net Revenue	\$ 6,139	\$ 776	\$ 3,574	\$ 10,489
Gross Profit	680	85	364	1,130
Operating (Loss) Profit	(2,589)	(659)	240	(3,008)
Total Assets	31,292	8,988	2,224	42,504
Depreciation and amortization	10	0	0	10
Capital expenditures	0	0	0	0
<b>Six Months Ended June 30, 2010</b>				
Net Revenue	\$ 11,613	\$ 3,955	\$ 0	\$ 15,568
Gross Profit	249	670	0	919

Edgar Filing: Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Operating (Loss) Profit	(4,282)	670	0	3,612
Total Assets	42,821	174	0	42,995
Depreciation and amortization	84	0	0	84
Capital expenditures	0	0	0	0

---

## **Table of Contents**

The Company allocates selling general and administrative expenses to the individual segments based upon specifically allocable costs and, in the absence of direct allocations, based upon its estimate of time allocable to the segment or based upon overall pro rata revenue generation.

### **9. INCOME TAX**

Income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method in accordance with ASC 740, Accounting for Income Taxes, ( ASC 740 ). Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect of a change in tax rates on the deferred tax assets and liabilities is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

The Company is projecting a tax loss for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011. Therefore, an effective tax rate of zero was assumed in calculating the current income tax expense at June 30, 2011. This results in a zero current income tax expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011.

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. At December 31, 2007, the Company recorded valuation allowances for certain tax attributes and other deferred tax assets. At this time, sufficient uncertainty exists regarding the future realization of these deferred tax assets through future taxable income or carry back opportunities. If, in the future, the Company believes that it is more likely than not that these deferred tax benefits will be realized, the valuation allowances will be reversed. With a full valuation allowance, any change in the deferred tax asset or liability is fully offset by a corresponding change in the valuation allowance. This results in a zero deferred tax benefit or expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011.

The Company currently has approximately \$100 million in Federal and State NOLs with a potential value of up to \$35 million in tax savings. If unused, these NOLs will begin expiring in 2028. Under Internal Revenue Code Section 382 rules, if a change of ownership is triggered, the Company's NOL asset and possibly certain other deferred tax assets may be impaired. We estimate that as of June 30, 2011, the cumulative shift in the Company's stock would not cause an impairment of our NOL asset. However, if an ownership change were to occur, a Section 382 limitation is not expected to materially impact the Company's financial position or results of operations as of June 30, 2011.

The Company's ability to use its NOLs (and in certain circumstances, future built-in losses and depreciation deductions) can be negatively affected if there is an ownership change as defined under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code. In general, an ownership change occurs whenever there is a shift in ownership by more than 50 percentage points by one or more 5% shareholders over a specified time period (generally three years). Given Section 382's broad definition, an ownership change could be the unintended consequence of otherwise normal market trading in the Company's stock that is outside of the Company's control.

In an effort to preserve the availability of these NOLs, Comstock earlier this year adopted a Section 382 stockholder rights plan (the Rights Plan ). The Rights Plan was adopted to reduce the likelihood of such an unintended ownership change and thus assist in preserving the value of these tax benefits. Similar plans have been adopted by a number of companies holding similar significant tax assets over the past several years. This plan was submitted to a vote of the Company's shareholders on June 17, 2011 and the plan was approved at that meeting.

We file U.S. and state income tax returns in jurisdictions with varying statutes of limitations. The 2008 through 2010 tax years generally remain subject to examination by federal and most state tax authorities.

### **10. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**

#### ***Litigation***

On July 29, 2008, Balfour Beatty Construction, LLC, successor in interest to Centex Construction ( Balfour ), the general contractor for a subsidiary of the Company, filed liens totaling approximately \$552,000 at The Eclipse on Center Park Condominium project ( Project ) in connection with its claim for amounts allegedly owed under the Project contract documents. In September 2008, the Company's subsidiary filed suit against Balfour to invalidate the liens and for its actual and liquidated damages in the approximate amount of \$17.1 million due to construction delays and additional costs incurred by the Company's subsidiary with respect to the Project. In October 2008, Balfour filed



## **Table of Contents**

counterclaims in the approximate amount of \$2.8 million. Subsequent to an expedited hearing filed by the Company's subsidiary to determine the validity of the liens that was ultimately heard in February 2009, the Company received an order of the court in April 2009 invalidating the liens. On March 19, 2010, the Company's subsidiary received a judgment against Balfour in an amount of \$11.96 million. On March 25, 2010, the Company's subsidiary received notice of Balfour's intention to appeal the judgment and post a supersedeas bond in the amount of \$12.5 million. On July 21, 2011, the Company and Balfour reached a settlement for all claims related to this matter for approximately \$10.3 million. See Note 15.

On April 25, 2011, the Unit Owners Association of Penderbrook Square, a condominium, served Comstock Penderbrook, L.C. (CPB) with a lawsuit alleging approximately \$1.0 million in damages resulting from structural defects in the common elements of the Condominium pursuant to the structural warranty statute contained in the Virginia Condominium Act. CPB intends to vigorously defend the claim and believes this will not have a material adverse impact on our financial position, operating results or cash flows.

Other than the foregoing, we are not subject to any material legal proceedings. From time to time, however, we are named as a defendant in legal actions arising from our normal business activities. Although we cannot accurately predict the amount of our liability, if any, that could arise with respect to legal actions pending against us, we do not expect that any such liability will have a material adverse effect on our financial position, operating results or cash flows. We believe that we have obtained adequate insurance coverage, rights to indemnification, or where appropriate, established reserves in connection with these legal proceedings.

### ***Letters of credit and performance bonds***

The Company has commitments as a result of contracts entered into with certain third parties, primarily local governmental authorities, to meet certain performance criteria as outlined in such contracts. The Company is required to issue letters of credit and performance bonds to these third parties as a way of ensuring that such commitments entered into are met by the Company. The letters of credit and performance bonds issued in favor of the Company and/or its subsidiaries mature on a revolving basis, and if called into default, would be deemed material if assessed against the Company and/or its subsidiaries for the full amounts claimed. In some circumstances, we have negotiated with our lenders in connection with foreclosure agreements for the lender to assume certain liabilities with respect to the letters of credit and performance bonds. We cannot accurately predict the amount of any liability that could be imposed upon the Company with respect to maturing or defaulted letters of credit or performance bonds and it is anticipated that any such liability would likely have a material adverse effect on our financial position, operating results or cash flows. At June 30, 2011 the Company has issued \$528 in letters of credit and \$2,233 in performance and payment bonds to these third parties. No amounts have been drawn against these letters of credit or performance bonds.

## **11. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS**

In October 2004, the Company entered into a lease agreement for its corporate headquarters at 11465 Sunset Hills Road, Reston, Virginia with Comstock Asset Management, L.C. (CAM), an entity wholly owned by Christopher Clemente. In October 2007, the lease agreement was amended decreasing the total square footage from approximately 24,000 to 17,000 and extending the term to four years through September 2011. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, total payments made under this lease agreement were \$437 and \$565 respectively. Pursuant to the terms of an early termination of the Lease by and between CAM and the Company (the Lease Termination), the Company agreed to surrender approximately 15.7 square feet of space to CAM in exchange for (i) CPM's agreement to enter into the Lease for the reduced space and at a reduced rate; and (ii) the issuance of a warrant to purchase up to 55 shares of the Company's Class A common stock at a strike price equal to the average of the closing stock price for the twenty days immediately preceding the effective date of the Lease Termination in exchange for the forgiveness of approximately \$110 in delinquent rent. The fair value of the 55 warrants was \$25 which resulted in a gain of \$85 recorded in the first quarter of 2010. Although CAM has no obligation to do so, it has allowed us to accrue portions of our payment obligations from time to time and has reduced the amount due from us under the agreements.

On or about January 15, 2010, Comstock Property Management, L.C. (CPM), a subsidiary of Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc. (the Company), agreed to enter into a new three year lease with CAM, for the use of approximately 8.2 square feet of office space at the Company's existing headquarters (the Lease). During the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 total payments were \$105 and \$147, respectively.

During the second quarter of 2009, the Company began deferring a portion of the base salary payments to our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Operating Officer. At June 30, 2011 the balance of the deferred compensation is \$842. These deferrals ended on May 1, 2011.

During 2003, the Company entered into agreements with I-Connect, L.C., a company in which Investors Management, LLC, an entity wholly owned by Gregory Benson, the Chief Operating Officer of the Company, holds a 25% interest, for information technology consulting services and the right to use certain customized enterprise software. During the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 total payments made to I-Connect were \$36 for each period. Although I-Connect has no obligation to do so, it has allowed us to accrue portions of our payment

## Edgar Filing: Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc. - Form 10-Q

obligations from time to time.

Effective January 1, 2010, the Company entered into a new software license agreement with I-Connect for the use of I-Connect's proprietary Builder's Co-Pilot software (the Agreement). Pursuant to the terms of the Agreement, I-Connect agreed to forgive approximately \$12 in delinquent payments in exchange for a warrant to purchase up to 6 shares of the Company's Class A common stock at a strike price equal to the average of the closing stock price for the twenty days immediately preceding the effective date of the Agreement and the Company agreed to make reduced monthly payments of \$6 for the use of the software for a term of 24 months.

---

## **Table of Contents**

In connection with the purchase of the unsecured debt discussed in Note 13, Stonehenge acquired a warrant for the purchase of 1,500,000 shares of the Company's Class A Common Stock at an exercise price of \$.70 per share. Thereafter, Stonehenge surrendered a portion of the warrant representing 500,000 shares to the Company. On September 14, 2010, the Company's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer exercised his right to purchase 855,000 shares of the Company's Class A Common Stock for an exercise price of \$.70 per share tendering approximately \$600 thousand to Comstock. The purchase was accomplished through Stonehenge.

On February 11, 2011, Comstock Services, L.C., a subsidiary of the Company, entered into an Owner-Contractor Agreement with CRS Construction Services, L.C., an entity wholly-owned by Christopher Clemente, the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, to perform paving and certain site improvement work to property in Reston, Virginia which is owned by Fairfax County, Virginia. The contract sum was for approximately \$1 million and the work was completed in April 2011 (see Note 4).

Comstock Services, L.C., a subsidiary of the Company, entered into a Subcontract Agreement with Davis Construction, LLC to perform sitework and land development for a project known as Loudoun Station in Loudoun County, Virginia. Comstock Partners, L.C., an entity wholly-owned by Christopher Clemente, the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, is the owner of the Loudoun Station project. The total contract value is estimated to be approximately \$5 million.

Pursuant to a Credit Enhancement Agreement by and between Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc. and Gregory Benson, the COO and President of the Company, and Christopher Clemente, the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company (each, a Guarantor), the Guarantors have agreed to provide credit enhancement and the personal guarantee of loans with Cardinal Bank and Eagle Bank in exchange for payment by the Company of a Credit Enhancement Fee (see Note 13). As a result of this credit enhancement the Guarantors on an aggregate basis are entitled to a credit enhancement fee calculated at a rate of four percent (4%) per annum based on an agreed upon formula more fully discussed in Note 12. One-half of the Credit Enhancement Fee is payable monthly, in arrears, and the remaining half is deferred and payable on an annual basis. During the six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company made guarantee payments under this agreement of approximately \$106 thousand. Another \$106 thousand is accrued in accounts payable to be paid at the end of the year. The financing with Sunbridge (see Note 15) eliminated the need for personal guarantees on the applicable projects and accordingly this agreement was terminated on July 12, 2011 with respect to the fees paid on the Eagle Bank loan.

## **12. VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITY**

GAAP requires a variable interest entity ( VIE ) to be consolidated with a company which is the primary beneficiary. The primary beneficiary of a VIE is the entity that has both of the following characteristics: (a) the power to direct the activities of a VIE that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance and (b) the obligation to absorb losses of the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE. Entities determined to be VIEs, for which we are not the primary beneficiary, are accounted for under the equity method. Comstock's variable interest in VIEs may be in the form of (1) equity ownership, (2) contracts to purchase assets and/or (3) loans provided and or guaranteed to a VIE. We examine specific criteria and use judgment when determining if Comstock is the primary beneficiary of a VIE. Factors considered in determining whether we are the primary beneficiary include risk and reward sharing, experience and financial condition of other partner(s), voting rights, involvement in day-to-day capital and operating decisions and contracts to purchase assets from VIEs.

On January 31, 2011, Comstock Cascades II, L.C., a subsidiary of the Company ( Cascades II ) entered into a private placement for the sale of membership interests in Cascades II whereby Cascades II raised working capital in the amount of \$2.35 million (the Private Placement ) related to the planned construction of a 103 unit apartment project located in the Cascades master planned community in Loudoun County, Virginia (the Cascades Apartments ). Of this amount, \$2.1 million was received during the year ended December 31, 2010 and these amounts are reflected within Restricted Cash on the balance sheet at December 31, 2010. The balance was received during the first quarter of 2011. Proceeds of the Private Placement were utilized (i) to provide sufficient capital needed to secure project financing for the Cascades Apartments, (ii) to retire a portion of the existing indebtedness owed to M & T Bank ( M&T ) in relation to the Cascades Apartments project, and (iii) to reimburse the Company for prior expenditures incurred on behalf of the project. Participants in the Private Placement included unrelated third party investors along with several members of the Company's Board of Directors, as well as the Chief Operating Officer, Chief Financial Officer and General Counsel of the Company.

On February 11, 2011, Comstock closed its loan agreement with Cardinal Bank (see Note 13) which provided the necessary construction financing for the development of the Cascades Apartments and concurrent with that closing, the Company utilized the proceeds of the Private Placement offering as described above. The Company has fully guaranteed the loan and accordingly, Comstock has concluded that Cascades II is a VIE. As part of the Cascades II operating agreement, the Company has majority voting and complete operational control of the subsidiary. The Company concluded that it is the primary beneficiary of the VIE and therefore the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of Cascades II are consolidated in the accompanying financial statements.

## Edgar Filing: Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc. - Form 10-Q

The investors in the Private Placement (the Priority Members ) are entitled to a cumulative, compounded, preferred return, subject to the performance of Cascades II, of 20% per annum, compounded annually on their capital account balances. Comstock has the right to repurchase the interest of the Priority Members provided that i) all of the Priority Members interests are acquired, ii) the purchase is made in cash and iii) the purchase price shall equal the Priority Members capital account plus an amount necessary to cause the preferred return to equal a cumulative cash on cash return equal to 20% per annum. The equity contribution related to the Private Placement is reflected as a Non-Controlling Interest as a component of consolidated shareholders equity. There was no income or loss attributable to Cascades II for the period ending June 30, 2011.

The Company s investment is subordinate to the Priority Members investment and gains from the operating activity and distributions of cash flow (if any) of Cascades II will be allocated to the Priority Members (in advance of Comstock) up to their capital account plus the required preferred return of 20% as outlined above. The estimated accrued priority return of \$251 thousand is reflected in the accompanying statement of operations as net income attributable to non-controlling interests to properly state the capital accounts of the members of the VIE.

**Table of Contents****13. CREDIT FACILITIES**

The Company has outstanding borrowings with various financial institutions and other lenders that have been used to finance the acquisition, development and construction of real estate property. As of June 30, 2011, maturities of all of our borrowings are as follows:

2011	4,667
2012	606
2013	5,008
2014	9,031
2015 and thereafter	10,345
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 29,657</b>

As detailed in Note 1 and Note 15, the Company has received a commitment to refinance the existing loan related to the Company's Penderbrook project. The new commitment is a three-year loan with an interest rate of 12.5%. The proceeds of the loan, when funded, are to be used to refinance the Guggenheim note, fees related to the loan and for general corporate purposes. The Company expects to refinance this note during 2011.

Substantially all of the Company's debt is variable rate, based on LIBOR or the prime rate plus a specified number of basis points, typically ranging from 220 to 600 basis points over the LIBOR rate and from 25 to 200 basis points over the prime rate. The obligations to Eagle Bank and Cardinal Bank are however subject to minimum interest floors as discussed below. As a result, we are exposed to market risk in the event of interest rate increases. At June 30, 2011, the one-month LIBOR and prime rates of interest were 0.244% and 3.25%, respectively, and the interest rates in effect under the existing secured revolving development and construction credit facilities ranged from 2.38% to 4.24%.

In the past, the Company has generally financed its development and construction activities on a single or multiple project basis so it is not uncommon for each project or collection of projects the Company develops and builds to have a separate credit facility. Accordingly, the Company typically has had numerous credit facilities and lenders. As described in more detail below, at June 30, 2011 our outstanding debt by lender was as follows:

<b>Bank</b>	<b>Balance as of 06/30/11</b>	<b>Recourse</b>
Eagle Bank	\$ 9,030	Secured
Wachovia	206	Unsecured
Guggenheim Capital Partners	4,668	Secured
Cardinal Bank	6,205	Secured
Cornerstone (Haven Trust)	400	Unsecured
Bank of America	3,752	Unsecured
Fifth Third	25	Unsecured
Branch Banking & Trust	263	Secured
Seller Emerald Farm	100	Secured
	24,649	
Due to affiliates Stonehenge Funding	5,008	Unsecured
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 29,657</b>	

On February 2, 2011, Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc., through a subsidiary called Comstock Potomac Yard, L.C. (the Borrower), entered into a new inventory term loan agreement (Eagle Loan Agreement) with Eagle Bank (Lender) pursuant to which the Borrower secured an Eleven Million Eight Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollar (\$11,850,000) loan with a three year term (the Eagle Bank Loan) to refinance the Company's Eclipse on Center Park condominium project in Arlington, Virginia (the Project). Proceeds from the Eagle Bank Loan were primarily

## Edgar Filing: Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc. - Form 10-Q

utilized to pay off existing indebtedness owed to KeyBank, N.A. in advance of its March 14, 2011 maturity. Under the terms of the loan agreement, the Eagle Bank Loan provides for an initial interest rate of Prime plus two percent (2%) with an interest rate floor of seven percent (7%). The Borrower is required to make monthly payments of interest only to Lender, to the extent not offset by an interest reserve initially set aside for the benefit of Borrower, and a minimum principal curtailment payment of Five Million Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$5,500,000) no later than eighteen (18) months following the closing of the Eagle Bank Loan (the Minimum Principal Curtailment ). The Eagle Bank Loan also has a minimum sales pace requirement requiring the Borrower to sell a minimum of six (6) units every six (6) months, on a cumulative basis. There is no prepayment penalty associated with the Eagle Bank Loan, which is secured by a first deed of trust on the property. The Company, and each of Christopher Clemente, the Chief Executive Officer of the Company and Gregory Benson, the Chief Operating Officer of the Company (the Officers ), have fully guaranteed the Eagle Bank Loan. The Officer guaranties are reduced to fifty percent (50%) of the outstanding principal amount of the Eagle Bank Loan, from time to time, upon the happening of certain events, including the satisfaction of the Minimum Principal Curtailment. In connection with the Officers limited guaranty of the Eagle Bank Loan, the

## Table of Contents

Officers and the Company entered into a Credit Enhancement and Indemnification Agreement on February 17, 2011 (the Indemnification Agreement ) providing for full indemnification of the Officers by the Company against future losses incurred as a result of their guaranty of the Cardinal Loan or the Eagle Bank Loan. Pursuant to the Indemnification Agreement, the Officers, on an aggregate basis, are entitled to a credit enhancement fee ( Credit Enhancement Fee ) from the Company for the Cardinal Loan and the Eagle Bank Loan calculated at a rate of four percent (4%) per annum based on the lesser of (i) the combined outstanding balance of the Cardinal Loan and the Eagle Bank Loan at the end of each month, or (ii) such Officer s maximum guaranty exposure in connection with the Cardinal Loan and the Eagle Bank Loan. One-half of the Credit Enhancement Fee is payable monthly, in arrears, and the remaining half is deferred and payable on an annual basis. This loan was repaid in full on July 12, 2011. The new loan does not require personal guaranties and consequently, this guaranty from the Officers was terminated.

On February 11, 2011, Comstock Cascades II, L.C. (the Borrower ), a subsidiary of Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc. (the Company ), entered into a Loan Agreement (the Cardinal Loan Agreement ) with Cardinal Bank ( Lender ) pursuant to which the Borrower obtained an Eleven Million Dollar (\$11,000,000) multi-family construction loan and mortgage with a five year term (the Cardinal Loan ). Proceeds from the Cardinal Loan shall (i) fund the construction of a 103 unit apartment project located in the Cascades master planned community in Loudoun County, Virginia (the Cascades Apartments ) and (ii) retire existing indebtedness of the Company owed to M & T Bank ( M&T ) having a maturity date of February 14, 2011 and which was secured by a first deed of trust on the real property upon which the Cascades Apartments will be constructed. The Cardinal Loan, secured by a new first deed of trust on the property, has an initial interest rate of Prime plus two percent (2%), with an interest rate floor of six and one-half percent (6.5%), converted after eighteen (18) months to four hundred twenty-five (425) basis points over the five (5) year swap rate with an interest rate floor of five percent (5%) and a ceiling of eight percent (8%). Under the terms of the Cardinal Loan Agreement, the Cardinal Loan shall be amortized in accordance with a 5.5%, 25-year schedule, with amortization to begin eighteen (18) months after the Cardinal Loan closing and has a two percent (2%) prepayment penalty if paid within the first or second year of the Cardinal Loan and a one percent (1%) prepayment penalty if retired in the third or fourth year of the Cardinal Loan. The Cardinal Loan requires debt service coverage ( DCR ) of (i) 1.0 to 1.0 no later than twenty-four (24) months from the date of the Cardinal Loan closing, (ii) 1.15 DCR no later than thirty-six (36) months from the date of Cardinal Loan closing, and (iii) 1.25 DCR no later than forty-eight (48) months from the date of the Cardinal Loan closing. The Company has fully guaranteed the Cardinal Loan. Christopher Clemente, the Chief Executive Officer of the Company and Gregory Benson, the Chief Operating Officer of the Company (each an Officer ), also provided a limited guaranty in connection with the Cardinal Loan of up to Six Million Eight Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$6,800,000), subject to further reduction upon the satisfaction of certain enumerated conditions set forth in the Cardinal Loan Agreement. In connection with the Officer s limited guaranty of the Cardinal Loan, and in connection with the Company s entry into a loan with Eagle Bank more fully discussed in the Company s Form 8-K filed on February 2, 2011 (the Eagle Bank Loan ), the Officers and the Company entered into a Credit Enhancement and Indemnification Agreement on February 17, 2011 (the Indemnification Agreement ) providing for full indemnification of the Officers by the Company against future losses incurred as a result of their guaranty of the Cardinal Loan or the Eagle Bank Loan. Pursuant to the Indemnification Agreement, the Officers, on an aggregate basis, are entitled to a credit enhancement fee ( Credit Enhancement Fee ) from the Company for the Cardinal Loan and the Eagle Bank Loan calculated at a rate of four percent (4%) per annum based on the lesser of (i) the combined outstanding balance of the Cardinal Loan and the Eagle Bank Loan at the end of each month, or (ii) such Officer s maximum guaranty exposure in connection with the Cardinal Loan and the Eagle Bank Loan. One-half of the Credit Enhancement Fee is payable monthly, in arrears, and the remaining half is deferred and payable on an annual basis.

Concurrent with the closing of the Cardinal Loan, \$1.5 million of then existing indebtedness to M&T Bank was retired for approximately \$1.3 million. Accordingly, the Company recorded a gain of approximately \$0.2 million on the extinguishment of the debt.

At June 30, 2011, the Company had approximately \$4.7 million outstanding to Guggenheim Corporate Funding ( Guggenheim ) relating to the Company s Penderbrook Condominium project. On September 16, 2009, the Company entered into a third amendment to the loan agreement with Guggenheim in which Guggenheim agreed to continue to forbear from exercising its rights related to the defaults and make certain other modifications to the loan agreement. Other than a minimum number of sales per month and sales per quarter requirement, the Guggenheim loan agreement and the three loan amendments contain no significant financial covenants. The key financial terms of the third amendment increase the cash flow available to the Company through reduced principal payments to Guggenheim as units are settled. Specifically, the third amendment will provide the Company with cash equal to 25% of the net sales price provided the Company meets the cumulative minimum sales requirements on a monthly and quarterly basis. If the Company is unable to meet the minimum sales requirements, it will not constitute an event of default but may result in a reversion to the unit release provisions to ten percent (10%) of the net sales price of sold units in accordance with the loan agreement and first two amendments. As of January 1, 2011, the base interest rate is LIBOR plus 200 basis points which is adjusted by a 200 basis point paid in kind interest ( PIK ) which accrues on a monthly basis on the loan. Based upon the number of units sold at the Penderbrook project, this note is scheduled to mature in September, 2011, but can be extended further based upon the satisfaction of a certain number of additional units sold 14 days prior to the extended maturity date, but in no event will it extend beyond March 2012. The Company has received a commitment from Sunbridge to fully refinance this mortgage and the Company expects to refinance this note during 2011.

At June 30, 2011, the Company had \$3.8 million outstanding to Bank of America ( BOA ) in a 10-year unsecured note. In February 2010, the Company reached an agreement in principle with BOA regarding the modification of the note s curtailment terms. In connection therewith, the Company agreed to pay an extension fee of \$100 and BOA agreed to delay for one year, until January 2011, the commencement of interest

Edgar Filing: Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc. - Form 10-Q

payments. The maturity date remains unchanged at December 28, 2018. On February 25, 2010 the Company entered into a Seventh



## **Table of Contents**

Loan Modification Agreement with Bank of America ( BOA ) regarding the modification of the terms of one certain unsecured loan with an approximate principal balance of \$3,700,000 ( Line of Credit ). In connection therewith the Company agreed to pay an extension fee of \$100,000 and BOA agreed to delay for one year, until January 2011, the commencement of repayments of all previously unpaid interest accruing since the date of the Company's previously reported modification of the Line of Credit in November 2008. The maturity date remains unchanged at December 28, 2018. On February 1, 2011, the Company entered into the Eighth Loan Modification Agreement with Bank of America ( BOA ) (the Modification Agreement ). The Modification Agreement required payments of past interest and modification fees of approximately \$175 thousand on February 1, 2011, which were paid in accordance with the agreement. The maturity date remains December 28, 2018. Comstock is required to make monthly interest payments beginning on February 28, 2011 through loan maturity. Commencing January 28, 2012 and continuing on each and every month through November 28, 2018, Comstock is also required to make monthly principal payments of approximately \$37 thousand.

On December 23, 2009, Stonehenge Funding, LC ( Stonehenge ), an entity wholly-owned by Christopher Clemente, the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, completed the purchase of the senior unsecured note from JPMV in the current outstanding amount of approximately \$9.0 million, plus accrued and unpaid interest. The purchase of the JPMV note also resulted in the transfer to Stonehenge of the warrant previously issued to JPMV for the purchase of 1.5 million shares of the Company's Class A Common Stock with a strike price of \$0.07 per share ( JP Morgan Warrant ). In connection with Stonehenge's purchase of the JP Morgan debt from JPMV, Stonehenge and the Company entered into two separate subordination and standstill agreements for the benefit of the Company and its secured lenders, KeyBank and Guggenheim. The subordination agreements allow for Stonehenge and the Company to negotiate permanent modifications to the terms of the JP Morgan Debt and provide KeyBank and Guggenheim with assurances that the Company will not make any cash interest or principal payments to Stonehenge prior to the full repayment of loans to them in connection with the Company's Eclipse and Penderbrook projects. On February 15, 2010 the Company entered into a Modification Agreement to modify the terms of the Company's senior unsecured note with Stonehenge Funding, LC ( Stonehenge ). On December 23, 2009 Stonehenge acquired the senior unsecured note from JP Morgan Ventures ( JPMV ) which had a \$9,000,000 principal balance as described in the Amended and Restated Indenture between the Company and JPMV dated March 14, 2008 (the JP Morgan Debt ). Gregory Benson, the Company's Chief Operating Officer and a member of the Company's Board of Directors, subsequently purchased a participation interest in the JP Morgan Debt and the JP Morgan Warrant from Stonehenge.

Under the terms of the Modification Agreement, Stonehenge has agreed to forgive \$4,500,000 of the principal balance due from the Company under the JP Morgan Debt; reducing the principal balance by 50% to \$4,500,000. Stonehenge also agreed to forgive an additional amount due from the Company of approximately \$875,000 representing all past due interest, late fees and penalties accruing through December 31, 2009 ( Interest and Loan Fees ) under the JP Morgan Debt. Stonehenge further agreed to reduce the interest rate, effective January 1, 2010, by fifty percent (50%) to 300 basis points above the one year LIBOR on a floating basis. In addition, to ensure the Company's ability to comply with certain restrictions placed upon the Company by KeyBank and Guggenheim Corporate Funding (collectively Secured Lenders ) in connection with previously announced loan modifications enhancing cashflow to the Company, Stonehenge agreed to allow all future interest payments due from the Company under the JP Morgan Debt to accrue until at least 90 days after the Secured Lenders have been fully repaid. In connection therewith, Stonehenge may, on a quarterly basis, elect to accept stock of the Company (or warrants for the purchase thereof) with a cumulative value equal to the value of the scheduled interest payment in lieu of accruing a future quarterly interest payment.

Further, the Modification Agreement provides for the elimination or forbearance upon the enforcement of all financial covenants contained in the JP Morgan Debt and all previously reported covenant violations by the Company. The maturity date of the JP Morgan Debt remains unchanged at March 14, 2013, provided however, the Modification Agreement provides the Company with two optional extension periods of six months each to further assist the Company with its compliance with the restrictions of the Secured Lenders. Stonehenge, as a condition of the new financing arrangement with Sunbridge as described in Note 15, has agreed to subordinate its loan and delay repayment until the Sunbridge loans on both Eclipse and Penderbrook are fully repaid.

## **14. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS**

There are three measurement input levels for determining fair value: Level 1, Level 2, and Level 3. Fair values determined by Level 1 inputs utilize quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access. Fair values determined by Level 2 inputs utilize inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, such as interest rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, and include situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability. An asset's or liability's level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The carrying amounts reported in the consolidated balance sheets for cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities are reasonable estimates of their fair values based on their short maturities. The carrying amount of floating rate debt approximates fair value.

## Edgar Filing: Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc. - Form 10-Q

The fair value of fixed rate debt is based on observable market rates (level 2 inputs). The following table summarizes the fair value of fixed rate debt and the corresponding carrying value of fixed rate debt as of:

	<b>June 30, 2011</b>	<b>December 31, 2010</b>
Carrying amount	\$ 5,700	\$ 9,600
Fair value	\$ 4,100	\$ 4,488

## Table of Contents

Fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time, based on relevant market information about the financial instruments. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and therefore, cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

The Company may also value its real estate held for development and sale at fair value on a nonrecurring basis if it is determined that an impairment has occurred. Such fair value measurements use significant unobservable inputs and are classified as level 3. See Note 2 for a further discussion of the valuation techniques and the inputs used.

### 15. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On July 12, 2011, Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc. (the Company), through a subsidiary called Comstock Potomac Yard, L.C. (the Borrower), entered into a loan agreement (Loan Agreement) with BCL Eclipse, LLC, an affiliate of SunBridge Capital Management, LLC (Lender), pursuant to which the Borrower secured a Thirteen Million Seven Hundred Eighty Nine Thousand One Hundred and Sixty Dollar (\$13,789,160) loan with a three year term (the SunBridge Loan) to refinance the Company's Eclipse at Potomac Yard condominium project in Arlington, Virginia (the Project). Proceeds from the SunBridge Loan to the Borrower were primarily utilized to (i) pay off existing indebtedness owed to Eagle Bank of approximately Nine Million Dollars (\$9,000,000) (the Eagle Bank Loan), (ii) pay for expenses associated with the SunBridge Loan, and (iii) for general corporate purposes. The SunBridge Loan provides for a one percent (1%) origination fee and an interest rate of twelve and a half percent (12.5%). There is no prepayment penalty associated with the SunBridge Loan. The Borrower is required to make the following payments as follows: (i) quarterly payments of interest only, (ii) seventy percent (70%) of the net proceeds of each sale of a unit to Lender (Required Release Payment), and (iii) fifty percent of the net proceeds of the Borrower's judgment against Balfour Beatty Construction upon receipt by Borrower. The SunBridge Loan also has a minimum sales pace requirement requiring Borrower to sell a minimum of six (6) units every six (6) months, on a cumulative basis (the Sales Covenant). Failure to maintain the Sales Covenant in any six (6) month period results in an increase of the Required Release Payment to eighty percent (80%) and for any successive failure to meet the Sales Covenant, an increase of the Required Release Payment of up to ninety (90%). The Loan Agreement contains certain customary representations and warranties, and certain financial and other customary covenants. Outstanding principal and interest and may be accelerated upon an event of default, as such events are described in the Loan Agreement.

On July 12, 2011, the Company and a subsidiary of the Company, Comstock Emerald Farm, L.C., as guarantors, entered into a Guaranty, Pledge, and Security Agreement for the benefit of the Lender (the Guaranty Agreement). Pursuant to the Guaranty Agreement, (i) the guarantors jointly and severally guaranteed the payment of principal and interest and any other amounts due under the Loan Agreement, (ii) the Company pledged its equity interest in Borrower, and (iii) each guarantor granted the Lender a security interest in all of its unencumbered assets, all as additional security for the Loan. The entry into the SunBridge Loan also resulted in the elimination of a credit enhancement fee formerly being paid to the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Operating Officer of the Company (the Officers) of four percent (4%) per annum paid on the outstanding balance of the Eagle Bank Loan resulting from the Officers prior personal guaranty of the Eagle Bank Loan.

In connection with the SunBridge Loan, on July 12, 2011, the Company agreed to issue BridgeCom Development I, LLC, an affiliate of SunBridge (BridgeCom), an immediately exercisable warrant to purchase one million (1,000,000) shares of the Company's Class A common stock at an exercise price equal to the average closing price of the stock for the preceding thirty days (\$1.03) (the Warrant), except for certain circumstances as set forth in the Warrant, the term to exercise and purchase 250,000 of the warrant shares expires nine months from the date of the Warrant otherwise the exercise period of the Warrant is ten years from the date of the Warrant. The Company is relying on the exemption provided by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act and Rule 506 of Regulation D of the Securities Act for the issuance of the warrant exercisable for shares of Class A common stock, which exception the Company believes is available because the warrant was not offered pursuant to a general solicitation and the status of the investor as an accredited investor as defined in Regulation D of the Securities Act.

On July 12, 2011, the Company and BridgeCom entered into a registration agreement pursuant to which BridgeCom is entitled to one demand registration with respect to an underwritten offering of the shares underlying the Warrant, two demand registrations on Form S-3 with respect to non-underwritten resales of the shares underlying the Warrant, and piggyback registration rights in connection with certain company registrations. The registration rights expire after six years and are subject to customary rights of the company to defer or suspend registration statements in furtherance of pending or contemplated financings, acquisitions and other corporate initiatives.

In addition, on July 12, 2011, the Company also agreed to enter into a right of first offer and refusal (Strategic Agreement) with BridgeCom to jointly pursue certain homebuilding and multi-family projects in the Washington DC metropolitan area (Project Opportunities). Under the general terms of the Strategic Agreement, the Company will offer material future investment opportunities to the Lender and if mutually agreed upon, the Company and Lender will enter into specific joint venture arrangements for each identified opportunity. The Strategic Agreement terminates at the earlier of three years from the date of the agreement or until each party funds a minimum of Twenty-Five Million Dollars (\$25,000,000) in identified Project Opportunities.

## Edgar Filing: Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc. - Form 10-Q

The Lender also issued a binding commitment letter to the Company, through a subsidiary called Comstock Penderbrook, L.C. ( CPB ), for a future cash out refinance of the Company's Penderbrook Square condominium project located in Fairfax, Virginia ( Penderbrook Project ) in an amount of up to Seven Million Dollar (\$7,000,000) with a three year term. The Company expects to draw on this commitment during 2011.

On July 21, 2011, Comstock Potomac Yard, L.C. ( Comstock Potomac Yard ) a subsidiary of Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc. (the Company ), entered into a settlement agreement with Balfour Beatty Construction, L.C., successor in interest to Centex Construction,

**Table of Contents**

( Balfour ) whereby Comstock Potomac Yard agreed to a settlement in the total amount of \$10,293,000 (the Settlement Agreement ) in satisfaction of certain claims and a previous judgment entered in its favor in the amount of \$11,963,002 in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, Alexandria Division, entered on March 19, 2010. Balfour had previously appealed the judgment on March 25, 2010 to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit. The settlement was the result of a voluntary mediation process between Comstock Potomac Yard, Balfour and one of the major subcontractors on the Company s Eclipse high rise condominium project (the Project ). The judgment resulted from litigation initiated by Comstock Potomac Yard in September of 2008 against Balfour as a result of delays in completion of the Project. On August 4, 2011, the Company received the settlement proceeds. Of the \$10.3 million in proceeds received, \$4.6 million was used to curtail outstanding debt.

**Table of Contents**

**COMSTOCK HOMEBUILDING COMPANIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND**  
**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes appearing elsewhere in this report. This discussion and analysis contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Please see *Cautionary Notes Regarding Forward-looking Statements* for more information. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of various factors including, but not limited to, those discussed below and elsewhere in this report, particularly under the headings *Cautionary Notes Regarding Forward-looking Statements*.

**Overview**

We are a multi-faceted real estate development and services company. We have substantial experience with building a diverse range of products including single-family homes, townhouses, mid-rise condominiums, high-rise multi-family condominiums and mixed-use (residential and commercial) developments. We operate our business through three segments: Homebuilding, Apartment Buildings and Real Estate Services as further discussed in Note 8 of our consolidated financial statements. We are exclusively focused on the Washington, D.C. market which is the eighth largest metropolitan statistical area in the United States.

*Homebuilding*

Our expertise in developing traditional and non-traditional housing products enables us to focus on a wide range of opportunities within our core market. For our homebuilding operations, we develop properties with the intent that they be sold either as fee-simple properties or condominiums to individual unit buyers or as investment properties sold to private or institutional investors. We target first-time, early move-up, and secondary move-up buyers with our homebuilding product. We focus on products that we are able to offer for sale in the middle price points within the markets where we operate, avoiding the very low-end and high-end products. We believe our middle market strategy positions our products such that they are affordable to a significant segment of potential home buyers in our market.

*Apartment Buildings*

Comstock's focus on the apartment sector is on developing projects ranging from approximately 75 to 200 units in locations that are supply constrained with demonstrated institutional demand for stabilized assets. We seek opportunities in the multi-family rental market where our experience and core capabilities can be leveraged. We will either position the assets for sale to institutional buyers when completed or operate the asset within our own portfolio. Operating the asset for our own account affords us the flexibility of converting the units to condominiums in the future. The multi-family asset class has benefitted from turmoil in the new home industry, limited access to residential mortgage financing and market conditions that have driven down construction costs during the past few years. Continued favorable economic and employment conditions in the Washington, DC area have caused rents to rise while vacancy rates and cap rates have declined. Consequently, asset valuations in this segment have continued to improve.

*Real Estate Services*

Our management team has significant experience in all aspects of real estate including strategic planning, land development, entitlement, property management, sales and marketing, workout and turnaround strategies and general construction. We provide a wide range of construction management and general contracting services to other property owners. This business line not only allows us to generate positive fee income from our highly qualified personnel but also serves as a potential catalyst for joint venture and acquisition opportunities.

We believe that our significant experience over the past 25 years, combined with our ability to navigate through two major housing downturns (early 1990's and late 2000's) have provided us the experience necessary to capitalize on attractive opportunities in our core market of Washington, D.C. and to rebuild shareholder value. We believe that our focus on the Washington, D.C. market, which has historically been characterized by economic conditions less volatile than many other major homebuilding markets, will provide an opportunity to generate attractive returns on investment and for growth.

Edgar Filing: Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc. - Form 10-Q

*Three and six months ended June 30, 2011 compared to three and six months ended June 30, 2010*

**Homebuilding**

*Orders, cancellations and backlog*

Gross new order revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2011 remained the same at \$4.0 million on 15 homes as compared to \$4.0 million on 13 homes for the three months ended June 30, 2010. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, gross new order revenue

**Table of Contents**

decreased \$3.3 million to \$7.2 million on 25 homes, as compared to \$10.5 million on 32 homes for the six months ended June 30, 2010. Net new order revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2011 decreased \$0.1 million to \$3.9 million on 14 homes as compared to 4.0 million on 13 homes for the three months ended June 30, 2010. Net new order revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2011 decreased \$3.3 million to \$6.8 million on 23 homes as compared to \$10.1 million on 31 homes for the six months ended June 30, 2010. Average gross new order revenue per unit for three months ended June 30, 2011 decreased \$43,000 to \$268,000, as compared to \$311,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2010. The average gross new order revenue per unit for the six months ended June 30, 2011 decreased \$40,000 to \$289,000, as compared to \$329,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2010.

We have two Washington, DC condominium projects where we have units available for sale and for rent: Penderbrook Square in Fairfax, VA and the Eclipse at Potomac Yard in Arlington, VA. Therefore, we were only able to generate orders and backlog at the two condominium projects in the first six months of 2011. Because our unit sales are generated from completed inventory we do not need to construct units after a sales contract is executed with a unit purchaser. As a result we are able to quickly execute on a sales contract and deliver the unit to the purchaser. Typically, unit deliveries are made within thirty days of contract execution. As a result, we do not tend to generate significant order backlog. At June 30, 2011, we had 4 units in backlog to generate revenue of \$1.3 million.

*Revenue Homebuilding*

The number of homes delivered for the three months ended June 30, 2011 decreased to 13 as compared to 18 homes for the three months ended June 30, 2010. For the six months ended June 30, 2011 we delivered 20 homes as compared to 34 homes delivered during the six months ended June 30, 2010. Average revenue per home delivered decreased by approximately \$89,000 to \$240,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2011 as compared to \$329,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2010. The decline in settlements and average price is largely a function of fewer units available for sale and available product mix in our two projects. As of June 30, 2011, there are 37 units remaining in our Eclipse project and 55 units remaining in our Penderbrook project. This compares to 56 units and 81 units respectively for Eclipse and Penderbrook for the period ended June 30, 2010.

Average revenue per home delivered decreased by approximately \$35,000 to \$307,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011 as compared to \$342,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2010. This is primarily due to unit mix and is not indicative of decreased values in the inventory delivered.

Revenue from homebuilding decreased by \$2.8 million to \$3.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 as compared to \$5.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010. For the six months ended June 30, 2011 revenue from homebuilding decreased by \$5.5 million to \$6.1 million as compared to \$11.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The decreased revenue is the result of a lower number of units available for sale and consequently settled for both 2011 periods.

*Cost of sales Homebuilding*

Cost of sales homebuilding for the three months ended June 30, 2011 decreased by \$3.0 million, to \$2.7 million as compared to \$5.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010. Cost of sales homebuilding for the six months ended June 30, 2011 decreased by \$5.9 million, to \$5.5 million of homebuilding revenue, as compared to \$11.4 million of revenue, for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The decreased number of homes settled during three and six months accounted for the decline in the aggregate cost of sales figure.

**Apartment Buildings and Real Estate Services**

Currently our apartment building segment consists of a 103 unit project known as Potomac Square and units we are currently renting in our for-sale projects at Eclipse and Penderbrook (until such time as those units can be sold). Given the size of the operations to date, we discuss the relevant performance of this segment in combination with our real estate services segment.

*Revenue Apartment Buildings and Real Estate Services*

Revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2011 increased by \$2.3 million, to \$2.8 million, as compared to \$.5 million, for the three months ended June 30, 2010. Revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2011 increased by \$.4 million, to \$4.4 million, as compared to \$4.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The increase in both periods for 2011 is primarily from general contracting services as compared to other revenue for 2010 that is primarily rent revenue from our Penderbrook and Eclipse communities. The decline in rental revenue over the periods is attributable to a reduced number of units available for rental as a result of continuing sales at these communities.

*Cost of sales Apartment Buildings and Real Estate Services*



## Edgar Filing: Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Cost of sales – apartment buildings and real estate services for the three months ended June 30, 2011 increased approximately \$2.2 million as a result of the aforementioned general contracting projects. Cost of sales – apartment buildings and real estate services increased approximately \$0.6 million during the six months ended June 30, 2011. Substantially all of the costs in 2010 (approximately \$2.5 million) relates to the sale of land at our Station View project. In 2011, approximately \$3.2 million of costs related to our aforementioned general contracting projects. The balance of approximately \$0.7 related to our rental operations.

**Table of Contents***Selling, general and administrative*

Selling general and administrative expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2011 increased \$0.5 million to \$1.9 million, as compared to \$1.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010. Selling general and administrative expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2011 increased \$0.4 million to \$3.3 million, as compared to \$2.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The increase in expenses over the six month period is primarily attributable to an increase in compensation expense related to certain restricted stock grants made in the first quarter of 2011.

*Interest, real estate taxes and indirect costs related to inactive projects*

Interest, real estate taxes and indirect costs related to our inactive projects are expensed as incurred. The decline in interest, real estate taxes and indirect costs related to inactive projects is directly related to the decline in the number of homes remaining in inventory and the overall cost and amounts of the related debt. As our inventory is sold, both real estate tax expense and interest declines.

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Total interest incurred and capitalized	\$ 110	\$ 0	\$ 122	\$ 0
Interest expensed as a component of cost of sales	\$ 542	\$ 982	\$ 1026	\$ 1,946

*Liquidity and Capital Resources*

We require capital to operate, to post deposits on new deals, to purchase and develop land, to construct homes, to fund related carrying costs and overhead and to fund various advertising and marketing programs to generate sales. These expenditures include payroll, community engineering, entitlement, architecture, advertising, utilities and interest as well as the construction costs of our homes. Our sources of capital include, and will continue to include, funds derived from various secured and unsecured borrowings, cash flow from operations, which includes the sale and delivery of constructed homes and rental apartment projects and finished and raw building lots, and the sale of equity and debt securities.

As discussed in Note 15 to the financial statements, the Company recently entered into a strategic alliance with Sunbridge which, among other things, provides for a cash out refinancing for both the Eclipse and Penderbrook projects. This alliance and refinancing combined with the Balfour litigation resolution (also discussed in Note 15), ongoing proceeds from home settlements and our other operating activities provide sufficient capital and cash flow for us to sustain our business operations through 2011.

The loan with Guggenheim related to our Penderbrook project is maturing during 2011. We anticipate refinancing this property utilizing the financing commitment from Sunbridge capital during 2011.

The Company continues to pursue both equity and debt financing to support our new initiatives.

*Cash Flow*

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$2.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and \$10.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. In both years the primary source of cash provided by operating activities was the sale of real estate assets. The decline between periods is largely related to a decline in the number of homes settled during the period 20 homes were settled during the six months ended June 30, 2011 (generating \$6.1 million in cash) versus 34 for the same period of 2010 (generating \$11.6 million in cash). In addition, included in the 2010 cash flows is the sale of land at our Station View project located in Loudoun County, Virginia for \$2.8 million.

Net cash used by investing activities was \$6.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, which relates to the construction of the Cascades Apartment rental project. There were no material cash flows from investing activities for the six months ended June, 2010.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$3.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and net cash used in financing activities was \$11.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. Repayments of indebtedness were the primary use of cash from financing activities in both years. Included as a source of cash in the 2011 financing cash flows is approximately \$2.4 million related to the Private Placement for the

Cascades Apartments.

***Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates***

There have been no significant changes to our critical accounting policies and estimates during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 compared with those disclosed in Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

## **Table of Contents**

This report includes forward-looking statements that are made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as anticipate, believe, estimate, may, intend, expect, will, should, seeks or other similar expressions. Forward-looking statements are based largely on our expectations and involve inherent risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control. You should not place undue reliance on any forward-looking statement, which speaks only as of the date made. Some factors which may affect the accuracy of the forward-looking statements apply generally to the real estate industry, while other factors apply directly to us. Any number of important factors which could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements include, without limitation: general economic and market conditions, including interest rate levels; our ability to service our substantial debt; inherent risks in investment in real estate; our ability to compete in the markets in which we operate; regulatory actions; fluctuations in operating results; our anticipated growth strategies; shortages and increased costs of labor or building materials; the availability and cost of land in desirable areas; natural disasters; our ability to raise debt and equity capital and grow our operations on a profitable basis; and our continuing relationships with affiliates. Additional information concerning these and other important risk and uncertainties can be found under the heading Risk Factors in our Form 10-K filed for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010. Our actual results could differ materially from these projected or suggested by the forward-looking statements.

### **ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

#### **Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

We have evaluated, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Exchange Act) as of June 30, 2011. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2011.

#### **Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls**

We do not expect that our disclosure controls and internal controls will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, a control may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and may not be detected.

#### **Changes in Internal Control**

No change has occurred in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act) during our last fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2011, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**Table of Contents**

**PART II OTHER INFORMATION**

**ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.**

On July 21, 2011, Comstock Potomac Yard, L.C. ( Comstock Potomac Yard ) a subsidiary of Comstock Homebuilding Companies, Inc. (the Company ), entered into a settlement agreement with Balfour Beatty Construction, L.C., successor in interest to Centex Construction, ( Balfour ) whereby Comstock Potomac Yard agreed to a settlement in the total amount of \$10,293,000 (the Settlement Agreement ) in satisfaction of certain claims and a previous judgment entered in its favor in the amount of \$11,963,002 in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, Alexandria Division, entered on March 19, 2010. Balfour had previously appealed the judgment on March 25, 2010 to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit. The settlement was the result of a voluntary mediation process between Comstock Potomac Yard, Balfour and one of the major subcontractors on the Company s Eclipse high rise condominium project (the Project ). The judgment resulted from litigation initiated by Comstock Potomac Yard in September of 2008 against Balfour as a result of delays in completion of the Project described under Item 3. Legal Proceedings in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. Other than the foregoing, there have been no material changes to the legal proceedings discussed under Item 3. Legal Proceedings in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

**ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**

We previously disclosed risk factors under Item 1A. Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. There have been no material changes to these risk factors.

**ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

- 3.1 Certificate of Designation, Preferences and Rights of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock of the Company as filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware, filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company s Report on Form 8-K filed May 12, 2011, is incorporated herein by reference.
- 4.3 Rights Agreement dated as of May 6, 2011 between the Company and American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC, filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company s Report on Form 8-K filed May 12, 2011, is incorporated herein by reference.
- 31.1 Certification of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a), promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a), promulgated under the Securities Act of 1934, as amended.
- 32.1 Certification of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 101 The following materials from the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2011, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheet, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholder s Equity, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and (v) the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of text. \*\*\*

\*\*\* Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

COMSTOCK HOMEBUILDING COMPANIES, INC.

Date: August 12, 2011

By: /s/ CHRISTOPHER CLEMENTE  
**Christopher Clemente**

**Chairman and Chief Executive Officer**

**(Principal Executive Officer)**

Date: August 12, 2011

By: /s/ JOSEPH M. SQUERI  
**Joseph M. Squeri**

**Chief Financial Officer and Principal Financial Officer**

**(Principal Financial Officer)**