

EASTMAN CHEMICAL CO  
Form DEF 14A  
March 22, 2013  
Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

**SCHEDULE 14A**

(Rule 14a-101)

**INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT**

**Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

(Amendment No.     )

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material under §240.14a-12

**EASTMAN CHEMICAL COMPANY**

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

Edgar Filing: EASTMAN CHEMICAL CO - Form DEF 14A

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

.. Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

.. Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:



**Table of Contents**

March 18, 2013

**Dear Fellow Stockholder:**

Our 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders will be held in the Cumberland Amphitheatre of the MeadowView Marriott Conference Resort and Convention Center, 1901 Meadowview Parkway, Kingsport, Tennessee, on May 2, 2013, at 11:30 a.m. Doors to the meeting will open at 10:30 a.m. The business to be considered and voted upon at the meeting is explained in this proxy statement. A copy of Eastman's 2012 Annual Report to Stockholders is also included with these materials.

Your vote is important for this year's annual meeting, regardless of the number of shares you own. Signing and returning a proxy card or submitting your proxy by Internet or telephone in advance of the meeting will not prevent you from voting in person, but will assure that your vote is counted if you are unable to attend the meeting. **Whether you choose to vote by proxy card or electronically by telephone or the Internet, I urge you to vote as soon as possible.** If you are a record holder of Eastman stock, an admission ticket for the meeting is included with your proxy card or electronic form of proxy. Please bring this ticket with you if you plan to attend the meeting in person. If you received our proxy materials from a broker or bank and do not have an admission ticket but wish to attend the meeting, please call (423) 229-4647.

Thank you for your support of our Company.

Sincerely,

James P. Rogers

*Chairman and Chief Executive Officer*

**Table of Contents**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**PROXY STATEMENT**

<u>INFORMATION ABOUT THE MEETING AND VOTING</u>	1
<u>Proxy Statement and Annual Meeting</u>	1
<u>Voting by Proxy</u>	1
<u>How to Revoke Your Proxy</u>	2
<u>Record Date: Stockholders Entitled to Vote; Voting Rights</u>	2
<u>Quorum</u>	2
<u>Votes Required for Approval of Matters to be Considered</u>	2
<u>Proxy Solicitation Costs</u>	2
<u>Matters To Be Acted Upon at the Annual Meeting Not Included in this Proxy Statement</u>	3
<u>Stockholder Proposals for the 2014 Annual Meeting</u>	3
<u>Nominations by Stockholders for Election to the Board of Directors</u>	3
<u>Annual Report to Stockholders, Annual Report on Form 10-K, and Corporate Governance Materials</u>	4
<u>Communications to the Board of Directors</u>	4
<u>STOCK OWNERSHIP OF DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS</u>	5
<u>PRINCIPAL STOCKHOLDERS</u>	8
<u>PROPOSALS TO BE VOTED ON AT THE ANNUAL MEETING</u>	9
<u>ITEM 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS</u>	9
<u>NOMINEES FOR DIRECTOR</u>	10
<u>MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS CONTINUING IN OFFICE</u>	14
<u>The Board of Directors and Corporate Governance</u>	16
<u>Board Leadership Structure</u>	16
<u>Risk Oversight</u>	17
<u>Director Independence</u>	17
<u>Transactions with Directors, Executive Officers, and Related Persons</u>	18
<u>Board Committees</u>	19
<u>Audit Committee</u>	19
<u>Audit Committee Report</u>	19
<u>Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee</u>	20
<u>Director Nominations</u>	20
<u>Compensation and Management Development Committee</u>	21
<u>Compensation Committee Consultant</u>	21
<u>Compensation Committee Report</u>	22
<u>Finance Committee</u>	22
<u>Health, Safety, Environmental and Security Committee</u>	22
<u>Director Compensation</u>	23
<u>ITEM 2 ADVISORY APPROVAL OF EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	25
<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	26
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	26
<u>Compensation Tables</u>	42
<u>Termination and Change-in-Control Arrangements</u>	48
<u>ITEM 3 RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS</u>	53
<u>ITEM 4 ADVISORY VOTE ON STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL REQUESTING THAT THE BOARD TAKE STEPS NECESSARY TO PERMIT STOCKHOLDERS TO ACT BY WRITTEN CONSENT</u>	55

Table of Contents

**EASTMAN CHEMICAL COMPANY**

**200 South Wilcox Drive**

**Kingsport, Tennessee 37662**

**(423) 229-2000**

**NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS**

**TO BE HELD ON MAY 2, 2013**

To Our Stockholders:

The 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Eastman Chemical Company ( Eastman or the Company ) will be held at the Cumberland Amphitheatre of the MeadowView Marriott Conference Resort and Convention Center, 1901 Meadowview Parkway, Kingsport, Tennessee, on May 2, 2013, at 11:30 a.m., local time. The purposes of the meeting are:

**Elect Directors.** To elect eight directors to serve until the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and until their successors are duly elected and qualified;

**Advisory Approval of Executive Compensation.** To approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of certain of the Company's executive officers;

**Ratify Appointment of Independent Auditors.** To ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as independent auditors for the Company for 2013;

**Advisory Vote on Stockholder Proposal.** To vote on a proposal submitted by a stockholder, if properly presented at the meeting, requesting that the Board of Directors take steps necessary to permit stockholders to act by written consent; and

**Transact Any Other Business.** To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting.

Only stockholders of record at the close of business on March 8, 2013 are entitled to vote at the meeting. **It is important that your shares be represented and voted at the meeting.** Please vote by proxy in one of these ways:

## Edgar Filing: EASTMAN CHEMICAL CO - Form DEF 14A

*Use the toll-free telephone number shown on your proxy card, electronic form of proxy, or voting instruction form (if you received the proxy materials by mail from a broker or bank);*

*By Internet at the web address shown on your proxy card, electronic form of proxy, or voting instruction form; or*

*Mark, sign, date, and promptly return or submit your proxy card, electronic form of proxy, or voting instruction form (in the postage-paid envelope provided if you are returning a paper proxy card).*

Signing and returning the proxy card or submitting your proxy electronically by Internet or telephone does not affect your right to vote in person if you attend the meeting.

By order of the Board of Directors

David A. Golden

Chief Legal Officer and Corporate Secretary

March 18, 2013

Table of Contents

**PROXY STATEMENT**  
**FOR**  
**ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS OF**  
**EASTMAN CHEMICAL COMPANY**  
**TO BE HELD ON MAY 2, 2013**

**INFORMATION ABOUT THE MEETING AND VOTING**

**Proxy Statement and Annual Meeting**

This proxy statement is dated March 18, 2013 and is first being mailed and delivered electronically to Eastman stockholders, and made available on the Internet at the Company's website ([www.eastman.com](http://www.eastman.com)) and at [www.ReadMaterial.com/EMN](http://www.ReadMaterial.com/EMN), on or about March 22, 2013. Our Board of Directors (the "Board") is furnishing you this proxy statement in connection with its solicitation of proxies to be voted at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of the Company to be held on May 2, 2013 and at any adjournments or postponements of the meeting. A proxy statement is a document that Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") regulations require us to give you when we ask you to vote your stock by proxy. At the meeting, stockholders will be asked to consider and vote on the items of business listed and described in this proxy statement.

**Voting By Proxy**

A proxy is a legal designation of another person to vote stock you own. That other person is called a proxy. If you designate someone as your proxy in a written or electronic document, that document is also called a proxy, a proxy card, or a form of proxy.

By completing and returning your proxy (either by returning the paper proxy card or by submitting your proxy electronically via Internet, or by telephone), you appoint Curtis E. Espeland, the Company's Chief Financial Officer, and David A. Golden, the Company's Chief Legal Officer and Corporate Secretary, to represent you at the meeting and direct them to vote your shares at the meeting according to your instructions. Shares of common stock represented by proxy will be voted by the proxy holders at the meeting in accordance with your instructions as indicated in the proxy. **If you properly execute and return your proxy (in paper form or electronically by the Internet or telephone) but do not indicate any voting instructions, your shares will be voted in accordance with the recommendations of the Board as to the matters identified in this proxy statement and in the best judgment of the proxy holders as to any other matters.**

If your shares are registered in your name, you are a stockholder of record. **Stockholders of record may vote by proxy in one of three ways:**

by telephone: call (888) 693-8683 and follow the instructions on your proxy card or electronic form of proxy;

via the Internet: visit the website [www.cesvote.com](http://www.cesvote.com) and follow the instructions on your proxy card or electronic form of proxy; or

by mail (if you received a paper proxy card): mark, sign, date, and mail your proxy card in the enclosed postage-paid envelope. If you received the "Important Notice Regarding Availability of Proxy Materials", follow the instructions on that notice to access an electronic form of proxy. Internet and telephone voting procedures are designed to authenticate stockholder identities, to allow stockholders to give voting instructions, and to confirm that stockholders' instructions have been recorded properly.

**If your shares are held in street name through a broker, bank, or other holder of record, you will receive instructions from that registered holder that you must follow in order for your shares to be voted for you by that record holder.** Telephone and Internet voting may be offered to stockholders who own their shares through certain brokers and banks.



## **Table of Contents**

### **How to Revoke Your Proxy**

You may revoke your proxy at any time before its exercise at the meeting by:

giving written notice of revocation to the Corporate Secretary of the Company;

executing and delivering a later-dated, signed proxy card or submitting a later-dated proxy via the Internet or by telephone before the meeting; or

voting in person at the meeting.

All written notices of revocation or other communications with respect to revocation of proxies should be sent to Eastman Chemical Company, P.O. Box 431, Kingsport, Tennessee 37662-0431, Attention: Corporate Secretary, so that they are received before the meeting.

### **Record Date; Stockholders Entitled to Vote; Voting Rights**

The record date for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is March 8, 2013. Stockholders of record of common stock at the close of business on the record date are entitled to receive notice of the meeting and to vote at the meeting. The record date is established by the Board as required by Delaware law. If your shares are held in street name through a broker, bank, or other holder of record, you must obtain a proxy, executed in your favor, from the holder of record to be able to vote in person at the meeting.

On the record date, there were 155,017,881 shares of common stock issued and outstanding. Holders of common stock are entitled to one vote on each of the eight director-nominees, and one vote on each other matter voted upon at the meeting for each share of common stock they hold of record on the record date.

### **Quorum**

The presence, in person or by proxy, of the holders of a majority of the shares of common stock entitled to vote at the meeting is necessary to constitute a quorum to conduct business. Abstentions and broker non-votes will be counted as present and entitled to vote for purposes of determining a quorum. A broker non-vote occurs when a registered holder (such as a broker or bank) holding shares in street name for a beneficial owner does not vote on a particular proposal because the registered holder does not have discretionary voting power for that particular item and has not received voting instructions from the beneficial owner. Banks and brokers which have not received voting instructions from their clients cannot vote on their clients' behalf on the election of directors, the advisory approval of executive compensation, or the advisory vote on the stockholder proposal, but may, although they are not required to, vote their clients' shares on the ratification of the appointment of independent auditors.

### **Votes Required for Approval of Matters to be Considered**

Each director nominee who receives a majority of votes cast (number of shares voted for exceeds the number of shares voted against) will be elected as a director. With respect to the election of directors, stockholders may (1) vote for all eight of the nominees, (2) vote against all eight of the nominees, (3) vote against any individual nominee or nominees but vote for the other nominee(s), or (4) abstain from voting on one or more nominees. Shares not present, in person or by proxy, at the meeting and abstentions will have no effect on the outcome of the election of directors. Similarly, broker non-votes are not considered to be votes cast and therefore will have no effect on the outcome of the election of directors.

The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast is required for each of the advisory approval of executive compensation, ratification of the appointment of independent auditors, and approval of the advisory stockholder proposal. With respect to each of these items, stockholders may (1) vote for, (2) vote against, or (3) abstain from voting. Abstentions and broker non-votes are not considered to be votes cast and therefore will have no effect on the outcome of the vote on these matters.

### **Proxy Solicitation Costs**

## Edgar Filing: EASTMAN CHEMICAL CO - Form DEF 14A

We will bear the cost of soliciting proxies and the cost of the meeting. In addition to the solicitation of stockholders by mail and electronic means, proxies may be solicited by telephone, facsimile, personal contact,

## **Table of Contents**

and similar means by our directors, officers, or employees, none of whom will be specially compensated for these activities. We have also contacted brokerage houses, banks, nominees, custodians, and fiduciaries which can be identified as record holders of common stock. Such holders, after inquiry by us, have provided certain information concerning beneficial owners not objecting to the disclosure of such information and the quantities of proxy materials and annual reports needed to supply such materials to beneficial owners, and we will reimburse such record holders for the expense of providing such beneficial ownership information and of mailing or otherwise delivering proxy materials and annual reports to beneficial owners. We have retained Georgeson Inc. to assist with the solicitation of proxies and vote projections for a fee of \$21,000 plus reimbursement of out-of-pocket expenses.

## **Matters To Be Acted Upon at the Annual Meeting Not Included in this Proxy Statement**

We do not expect any business to be acted upon at the meeting other than as described in this proxy statement. If, however, other matters are properly brought before the meeting, the persons appointed as proxies will have the discretion to vote or act on those matters for you according to their best judgment.

## **Stockholder Proposals for the 2014 Annual Meeting**

In accordance with rules of the SEC, if you wish to submit a proposal for presentation at Eastman's 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, it must be received by the Company at its principal executive offices no later than November 22, 2013 in order to be included in the Company's proxy materials relating to its 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Any such proposal should be sent to Eastman Chemical Company, P.O. Box 431, Kingsport, Tennessee 37662-0431, Attention: Corporate Secretary.

In addition, our Bylaws require that a proposal to be submitted by a stockholder for a vote of the Company's stockholders at an annual meeting of stockholders, whether or not also submitted for inclusion in the Company's proxy materials, must be preceded by adequate and timely notice to the Corporate Secretary of the Company. To be adequate, the notice must set forth certain information specified in our Bylaws about the stockholder and the proposal. The Bylaws are available through the Investors' Corporate Governance section of the Company's website, and also will be provided to any stockholder upon written request to Eastman Chemical Company, P.O. Box 431, Kingsport, Tennessee 37662-0431, Attention: Investor Relations. To be timely, the notice must be delivered to the Corporate Secretary of the Company no earlier than 150 days and not later than 120 days prior to the day of the month on which the notice of the immediately preceding year's annual meeting of stockholders was first sent to the stockholders of the Company. If, as expected, notice of the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is first sent to stockholders on March 22, 2013, then such advance notice would be timely if delivered no earlier than October 23, 2013 and no later than November 22, 2013.

## **Nominations by Stockholders for Election to the Board of Directors**

Our Bylaws provide that nominations by stockholders of persons for election to the Board may be made by giving adequate and timely notice to the Corporate Secretary of the Company. To be adequate, the nomination notice must set forth certain information specified in our Bylaws about each stockholder submitting a nomination and each person being nominated. The Bylaws are available through the Investors' Corporate Governance section of the Company's website, and also will be provided to any stockholder upon written request to Eastman Chemical Company, P.O. Box 431, Kingsport, Tennessee 37662-0431, Attention: Investor Relations. To be timely, the nomination notice must be delivered to the Corporate Secretary of the Company no earlier than 150 days and not later than 120 days prior to the day of the month on which the notice of the immediately preceding year's annual meeting of stockholders was first sent to the stockholders of the Company. If, as described above, the notice of the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is first sent to stockholders on March 22, 2013, then such notice would be timely if delivered no earlier than October 23, 2013 and no later than November 22, 2013. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board will consider persons properly and timely nominated by stockholders and recommend to the full Board whether any such nominees should be included with the Board's nominees for election by stockholders.

**Table of Contents**

**Annual Report to Stockholders, Annual Report on Form 10-K, and Corporate Governance Materials**

Our Annual Report to Stockholders for 2012, including our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2012, is being mailed and delivered electronically to stockholders, and made available on the Internet at the Company's website and at [www.ViewMaterial.com/EMN](http://www.ViewMaterial.com/EMN), concurrently with this proxy statement. The Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 as filed with the SEC is also available via the Internet on the Company's website ([www.eastman.com](http://www.eastman.com)) and on the SEC's website ([www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov)).

We also make available free of charge, through the Investors' Corporate Governance section of the Eastman website, the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines, the charters of each of the committees of the Board, and codes of business conduct and ethics for our directors, officers, and employees. Such materials are also available in print upon written request of any stockholder to Eastman Chemical Company, P.O. Box 431, Kingsport, Tennessee 37662-0431, Attention: Investor Relations.

**Communications to the Board of Directors**

Stockholders, and other interested parties, may communicate with non-management directors in writing by directing such communications to the Chair of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee or the Lead Director, Eastman Chemical Company, P.O. Box 1976, Kingsport, Tennessee 37662-1976 or by telephone toll free by calling (800) 782-2515. Any communications concerning substantive Board or Company matters are promptly forwarded by the office of the Corporate Secretary to the Chair of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Lead Director, and the office of the Corporate Secretary keeps and regularly provides to the Chair of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Lead Director a summary of any communications received.

**Table of Contents****STOCK OWNERSHIP OF DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS****Common Stock**

The table below sets forth certain information regarding the beneficial ownership of Eastman common stock as of December 31, 2012 by each director and by each executive officer named in the Summary Compensation Table (under Executive Compensation Compensation Tables below, referred to as the named executive officers ) and by the directors, the named executive officers, and the other executive officers as a group.

Name	Number of Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned(1)(2)
James P. Rogers	763,014(3)
Mark J. Costa	425,869(4)
Curtis E. Espeland	97,058(5)
Theresa K. Lee	95,466(6)
Ronald C. Lindsay	300,197(7)
Humberto P. Alfonso	2,164(8)
Gary E. Anderson	22,418(9)
Brett D. Begemann	2,140(10)
Michael P. Connors	22,952(11)
Stephen R. Demeritt	29,768(12)
Robert M. Hernandez	57,630(13)
Julie F. Holder	1,199(14)
Renée J. Hornbaker	24,367(15)
Lewis M. Kling	14,640(16)
Howard L. Lance	20,616(17)
David W. Raisbeck	28,038(18)
Directors, named executive officers, and other executive officers as a group (22 persons)	2,061,175(19)

- (1) Information relating to beneficial ownership is based upon information furnished by each person using beneficial ownership concepts set forth in rules of the SEC. Under those rules, a person is deemed to be a beneficial owner of a security if that person has or shares voting power, which includes the power to vote or to direct the voting of such security, or investment power, which includes the power to dispose of, or to direct the disposition of, such security. A person is also deemed to be the beneficial owner of any security of which that person has a right to acquire beneficial ownership (such as by exercise of options) within 60 days. Under such rules, more than one person may be deemed to be a beneficial owner of the same securities, and a person may be deemed to be a beneficial owner of securities as to which he or she may disclaim any beneficial interest. Except as indicated in other notes to this table, directors and executive officers possessed sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock in the table.
- (2) The total number of shares of common stock beneficially owned by the directors, the named executive officers, and the other executive officers as a group represents approximately 1.33% of the shares of common stock outstanding as of December 31, 2012. The percentage beneficially owned by any individual director or executive officer did not exceed one percent of the shares of common stock outstanding as of December 31, 2012. Shares not outstanding which are subject to options exercisable within 60 days by persons in the group or a named individual are deemed to be outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage of outstanding shares of common stock owned by the group or such individual.
- (3) Includes 549,666 shares that may be acquired upon exercise of options and 2,103 shares allocated to Mr. Rogers's Employee Stock Ownership Plan ( ESOP ) account.

Edgar Filing: EASTMAN CHEMICAL CO - Form DEF 14A

(4) Includes 341,466 shares that may be acquired upon exercise of options and 2,206 shares allocated to Mr. Costa's ESOP account.

**Table of Contents**

- (5) Includes 60,098 shares that may be acquired upon exercise of options and 1,613 shares allocated to Mr. Espeland's ESOP account.
- (6) Includes 1,506 shares allocated to Ms. Lee's ESOP account. Also includes 60,485 shares owned by the Eastman Chemical Company Foundation, Inc. (the Foundation), of which shares Ms. Lee may also have been deemed a beneficial owner by virtue of her shared voting and investment power as a director of the Foundation but in which she had no pecuniary interest. Ms. Lee retired from all positions with the Company, including as a director of the Foundation, effective January 1, 2013.
- (7) Includes 205,066 shares that may be acquired upon exercise of options and 926 shares allocated to Mr. Lindsay's ESOP account.
- (8) Consists of 232 restricted shares that generally vest in January 2014, but as to which Mr. Alfonso currently has voting power, 986 restricted shares that generally vest in May 2014, but as to which he has voting power, and 946 restricted shares that generally vest in May 2015, but as to which he has voting power.
- (9) Includes 4,000 shares that may be acquired upon exercise of options, 1,594 restricted shares that generally vest in May 2013, but as to which Mr. Anderson currently has voting power, 986 restricted shares that generally vest in May 2014, but as to which he currently has voting power, and 946 restricted shares that generally vest in May 2015, but as to which he has voting power.
- (10) Consists of 208 restricted shares that generally vest in February 2014, but as to which Mr. Begemann currently has voting power, 986 restricted shares that generally vest in May 2014, but as to which he currently has voting power, and 946 restricted shares that generally vest in May 2015, but as to which he has voting power.
- (11) Includes 16,000 shares that may be acquired upon exercise of options, 1,594 restricted shares that generally vest in May 2013, but as to which Mr. Connors currently has voting power, 986 restricted shares that generally vest in May 2014, but as to which he currently has voting power, and 946 restricted shares that generally vest in May 2015, but as to which he has voting power.
- (12) Includes 20,000 shares that may be acquired upon exercise of options, 1,594 restricted shares that generally vest in May 2013, but as to which Mr. Demeritt currently has voting power, 986 restricted shares that generally vest in May 2014, but as to which he currently has voting power, and 946 restricted shares that generally vest in May 2015, but as to which he has voting power.
- (13) Includes 24,000 shares that may be acquired upon exercise of options, 1,594 restricted shares that generally vest in May 2013, but as to which Mr. Hernandez currently has voting power, 986 restricted shares that generally vest in May 2014, but as to which he currently has voting power, and 946 restricted shares that generally vest in May 2015, but as to which he has voting power.
- (14) Consists of 253 restricted shares that generally vest in November 2014, but as to which Ms. Holder currently has voting power and 946 restricted shares that generally vest in May 2015, but as to which she has voting power.
- (15) Includes 12,000 shares that may be acquired upon exercise of options, 1,594 restricted shares that generally vest in May 2013, but as to which Ms. Hornbaker currently has voting power, 986 restricted shares that generally vest in May 2014, but as to which she currently has voting power, and 946 restricted shares that generally vest in May 2015, but as to which she has voting power.
- (16) Includes 8,000 shares that may be acquired upon exercise of options, 1,594 restricted shares that generally vest in May 2013, but as to which Mr. Kling currently has voting power, 986 restricted shares that generally vest in May 2014, but as to which he currently has voting power, and 946 restricted shares that generally vest in May 2015, but as to which he has voting power.

- (17) Includes 12,000 shares that may be acquired upon exercise of options, 1,594 restricted shares that generally vest in May 2013, but as to which Mr. Lance currently has voting power, 986 restricted shares that generally vest in May 2014, but as to which he currently has voting power, and 946 restricted shares that generally vest in May 2015, but as to which he has voting power.
  
- (18) Includes 20,000 shares that may be acquired upon exercise of options, 1,594 restricted shares that generally vest in May 2013, but as to which Mr. Raisbeck currently has voting power, 986 restricted shares that generally vest in May 2014, but as to which he currently has voting power, and 946 restricted shares that generally vest in May 2015, but as to which he has voting power.



**Table of Contents**

- (19) Includes a total of 1,347,960 shares that may be acquired upon exercise of options, 8,565 shares allocated to executive officers' ESOP accounts, and 33,711 restricted shares as to which directors have voting power but no investment power. Also includes 60,485 shares owned by the Foundation, of which shares Ms. Lee may have been deemed a beneficial owner by virtue of her shared voting and investment power as a director of the Foundation.

**Executive and Director Stock Ownership Expectations; No Hedging or Pledging of Company Stock**

Eastman has stock ownership expectations for its directors and executive officers. These persons are expected to acquire and maintain a stake in the Company valued at \$250,000 for non-employee directors, five times annual base pay for the Chief Executive Officer, and two and one-half times annual base pay for the other executive officers. Units of the hypothetical Eastman common stock fund that are credited to an executive's account under the Eastman Executive Deferred Compensation Plan (the "EDCP") and to a director's account under the Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan (the "DDCP") are counted with shares of common stock actually owned to determine stock ownership under the director and executive ownership expectations. See "Director Compensation" note (4) and "EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION" Compensation Tables "Nonqualified Deferred Compensation" later in this proxy statement.

Company directors and executive officers are prohibited from use of derivative financial instruments to hedge or mitigate their exposure to changes in the market price of Eastman common stock, and are prohibited from pledging Eastman common stock as security or collateral for loans or in margin brokerage accounts.

The table below shows the number of shares of common stock and EDCP and DDCP common stock units owned under the ownership expectations as of December 31, 2012 by each director and each named executive officer. All directors and continuing executive officers have met or are on schedule to meet their ownership expectations.

Name	Number of Shares of Common Stock and Common Stock Units Owned
James P. Rogers	213,348
Mark J. Costa	84,403
Curtis E. Espeland	36,960
Theresa K. Lee	34,981
Ronald C. Lindsay	103,161
Humberto P. Alfonso	6,986
Gary E. Anderson	28,674
Brett D. Begemann	6,877
Michael P. Connors	26,100
Stephen R. Demeritt	43,791
Robert M. Hernandez	45,360
Julie F. Holder	2,414
Renée J. Hornbaker	34,146
Lewis M. Kling	22,610
Howard L. Lance	19,680
David W. Raisbeck	37,212

**Table of Contents****PRINCIPAL STOCKHOLDERS**

The following table sets forth information about persons we know to be the beneficial owners of more than five percent of Eastman common stock as of December 31, 2012.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Number of Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned	Percent of Class(1)
FMR LLC 82 Devonshire Street  Boston, Massachusetts 02109	15,938,957(2)	10.28%
The Vanguard Group, Inc. 100 Vanguard Boulevard  Malvern, Pennsylvania 19355	10,195,558(3)	6.57%
BlackRock, Inc. 40 East 52nd Street  New York, New York 10022	9,057,021(4)	5.84%

- (1) Based upon the number of shares of common stock outstanding and entitled to be voted at the meeting as of March 8, 2013, the record date for the Annual Meeting.
- (2) As of December 31, 2012, based on a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC by FMR LLC, as parent holding company of Fidelity Management & Research Company and certain other investment adviser, bank, and investment company entities, and certain individuals. According to the Schedule 13G, FMR LLC and such affiliated entities and persons together have sole investment power with respect to all of such shares and sole voting power with respect to 375,713 of such shares.
- (3) As of December 31, 2012, based on a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC by The Vanguard Group, Inc., an investment adviser. According to the Schedule 13G, The Vanguard Group has sole investment power with respect to 9,942,880 of such shares, shared investment power with respect to 252,678 of such shares, and sole voting power with respect to 268,078 of such shares.
- (4) As of December 31, 2012, based on a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC by BlackRock, Inc. as parent holding company of certain broker-dealer and investment adviser entities, including certain non-U.S. institutions. According to the Schedule 13G, BlackRock, Inc. and such affiliated entities together have sole investment and voting power with respect to all of such shares.

**Table of Contents**

**PROPOSALS TO BE VOTED ON AT THE ANNUAL MEETING**

**ITEM 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS**

Directors elected prior to the 2012 Annual Meeting were elected to three-year terms of office, with each class of directors having its term end in successive years. At the 2011 Annual Meeting, stockholders approved amendments to the Company's Certificate of Incorporation declassifying the Board so that, beginning with the 2012 Annual Meeting, all director nominees standing for election are eligible for election to serve one-year terms.

The terms of office of eight directors will expire at the 2013 Annual Meeting, and each of those directors has been nominated for election for a one-year term. The terms of the other four directors will continue after the meeting, and their terms, along with the terms of all directors elected at the 2013 Annual Meeting, will expire at the 2014 Annual Meeting.

Stockholders are being asked to vote on the election of eight directors to serve until the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and their successors are duly elected and qualified. If any nominee is unable or unwilling to serve (which we do not anticipate), the persons designated as proxies will vote your shares for the remaining nominees and for another nominee proposed by the Board or, as an alternative, the Board could reduce the number of directors to be elected at the Annual Meeting.

*Majority Vote Standard for Election of Directors.* The Company's Bylaws provide that directors are elected by a majority of votes cast by stockholders. If a nominee who is serving as a director is not reelected by a majority of votes cast at a meeting, under Delaware law the director would continue to serve on the Board as a holdover director. However, under the director election provision of our Bylaws, any incumbent director who does not receive a majority of votes cast in favor of reelection and whose successor has not been elected by stockholders would be required to offer to resign from the Board. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee would then make a recommendation to the Board whether to accept or reject the resignation, or whether other action should be taken. The Board would act on the recommendation and publicly disclose its decision and rationale within 90 days from the date the election results are certified. The director who tenders his or her resignation would not participate in the Board's decision. If a nominee who was not already serving as a director was not elected at an annual meeting, under Delaware law that nominee would not become a director and would not serve on the Board as a holdover director. In 2013, all nominees for director are currently serving on the Board.

**The nominees have been recommended to the Board by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board. The Board recommends that you vote FOR election of the eight nominees identified below.**

Set forth below is information about each director nominated for election or whose term in office will continue after the meeting.

Table of Contents

**NOMINEES FOR DIRECTOR**

**Term Expiring Annual Meeting 2013**

GARY E. ANDERSON (director since August 2007)

Mr. Anderson is retired Chairman of the Board of the Dow Corning Corporation. He joined Dow Corning, a diversified company specializing in the development, manufacture, and marketing of silicones and related silicone-based products, in 1967 and served in various executive capacities for over 25 years, including Chairman, President, and Chief Executive Officer, retiring as Chairman in 2005. Mr. Anderson is also a member of the Board of Directors of Chemical Financial Corporation. Mr. Anderson is 67.

In addition to serving as a member of the Board, Mr. Anderson also serves as the Lead Director and as a member of the Audit Committee, the Finance Committee, and the Health, Safety, Environmental and Security Committee. Mr. Anderson offers the Company deep operational knowledge and experience in the chemical industry, providing a valuable, industry-focused skill set to Eastman. Mr. Anderson also brings significant experience serving on other public company boards, including as a chairman of the board, which allows us to benefit from his insight into process and procedural oversight and appropriate levels of interaction between the Board and management, resulting in him being the appropriate person to lead and advise the Board as Lead Director. See [The Board of Directors and Corporate Governance Board Leadership Structure](#). Further, Mr. Anderson's professional experience has resulted in him having significant financial acumen and an understanding of risk and capital-related matters that are critical to our success and which are important in his participation on the Audit Committee and the Finance Committee.

BRETT D. BEGEMANN (director since February 2011)

Mr. Begemann has been President and Chief Commercial Officer of Monsanto Company, a leading global provider of technology-based solutions and agricultural products that improve farm productivity and food quality, since August 2012, with responsibility for Monsanto's growth in international markets, commercial development of new products, and global commercial operations. He joined Monsanto in 1983, initially serving in the company's sales and marketing organization and later in various senior management and executive positions with increasing responsibility. Most recently Mr. Begemann served as Executive Vice President, International Commercial from June 2003 to October 2007, as Executive Vice President, Global Commercial from October 2007 to October 2009, and as Executive Vice President and Chief Commercial Officer until August 2012. Mr. Begemann is 52.

## Edgar Filing: EASTMAN CHEMICAL CO - Form DEF 14A

In addition to serving on the Board, Mr. Begemann is a member of the Audit Committee, the Finance Committee, and the Health, Safety, Environmental and Security Committee. His substantial and varied experience as an executive of an international, public company, including working closely with the board of directors of Monsanto, brings to the Board a significant depth of knowledge and experience in global biotechnology and chemicals business operations and international and emerging markets growth strategies. This wide-ranging experience and knowledge contributes to the Board and its committees significant insight into a number of functional areas critical to Eastman.

**Table of Contents**

STEPHEN R. DEMERITT (director since February 2003)

Mr. Demeritt served as Vice Chairman of General Mills, Inc. from 1999 until his retirement in 2005. General Mills is a leading producer of packaged consumer foods. He joined General Mills in 1969 and served in a variety of marketing positions, including President, International Foods from 1991 to 1993 and Chief Executive Officer of Cereal Partners Worldwide, General Mills' global cereal joint venture with Nestle, from 1993 to 1999. Mr. Demeritt was also a member of the Board of Trustees of Archstone-Smith Trust from 2006 to 2008. Mr. Demeritt is 69.

Mr. Demeritt is also a member of the Finance Committee, the Compensation and Management Development Committee, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, and the Health, Safety, Environmental and Security Committee. He provides to the Board a significant base of marketing and operational expertise through his professional experience at consumer-products companies with significant marketing capabilities and operations, and he also furthers the Board's knowledge base in corporate and product branding. Mr. Demeritt's experience serving on the board of directors of a large public company allows us to leverage his experiences with respect to, among other things, appropriate oversight and related actions utilized in the board environment.

ROBERT M. HERNANDEZ (director since August 2002)

Mr. Hernandez was Vice Chairman of the Board and Chief Financial Officer of USX Corporation from 1994 until his retirement in 2001. He joined U.S. Steel Corporation, the predecessor of USX, in 1968, and held positions of increasing responsibility in the financial and operating organizations, including Vice President and Treasurer from 1984 to 1987, Senior Vice President and Controller from 1987 to 1989, President, U.S. Diversified Group from 1989 to 1990, Senior Vice President, Finance from 1990 to 1991, and Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from 1991 to 1994. Mr. Hernandez is non-executive Chairman of the Board of RTI International Metals, Inc., Lead Director of ACE Ltd., and Chairman of the Board of Trustees of BlackRock Open-End Long Term Bond & Equity Funds. He was a member of the Board of Directors of USX from 1991 until 2001 and of Tyco Electronics Ltd. from 2007 until 2012. Mr. Hernandez is 68.

Mr. Hernandez brings a diverse financial and business management background to the Board and to his responsibilities as Chair of the Health, Safety, Environmental and Security Committee and a member of the Audit and Finance Committees. He has held a variety of senior management positions throughout his career in a company producing basic materials and commodity-type products. This history and experience is critical to the Board's knowledge base in a variety of areas. Mr. Hernandez has also served as a member of several boards of directors, which allows him to leverage his experience for the further benefit of the Company.



**Table of Contents**

JULIE F. HOLDER (director since November 2011)

Ms. Holder has been the Chief Executive Officer of JFH Insights LLC, a consulting firm primarily dedicated to leadership coaching for high potential women executives, since founding the company in 2009. Previously, Ms. Holder served as Senior Vice President, Chief Marketing, Sales and Reputation Officer, U.S. Area Executive Oversight of The Dow Chemical Company, a diversified, worldwide manufacturer and supplier of products used primarily as raw materials in the manufacture of customer products and services, from 2007 until her retirement in 2009, and before that was Vice President, Human Resources, Public Affairs and Diversity and Inclusion, Latin America Executive Oversight of Dow from 2006. Prior to that, Ms. Holder served in various positions with increasing seniority at Dow from 1975 to 2006. Ms. Holder is 60.

In addition to serving on the Board, Ms. Holder is a member of the Audit Committee, the Finance Committee, and the Health, Safety, Environmental and Security Committee. Ms. Holder brings to the Board substantial corporate management experience as well as expertise in international sales and marketing and the chemicals industry through her various senior management positions at Dow. Ms. Holder's long history at Dow provides her substantial chemical industry experience across a broad range of functional areas and allows her to offer management and operational insight to the Board with an in-depth understanding of the opportunities and challenges associated with our business. In addition, Ms. Holder's professional background of overseeing increasingly large and diverse business units results in her having the financial sophistication and understanding of a company similar to Eastman, which is of great benefit to the Board and the Audit Committee.

RENÉE J. HORNBAKER (director since September 2003)

Ms. Hornbaker is Chief Financial Officer of Stream Energy, a retail energy provider operating in Texas, Georgia, Pennsylvania, Maryland, and New Jersey. Ms. Hornbaker served as Chief Financial Officer of Shared Technologies, Inc., a provider of converged voice and data networking solutions, from 2006 to May 2011, and was Consultant to the Chief Executive Officer of CompuCom Systems, Inc., an information technology services provider, from 2005 to 2006. She was Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Flowserve Corporation, a global provider of industrial flow management products and services, from 1997 until 2004, and served as Vice President of Business Development and Chief Information Officer from 1997 to 1998. In 1977, Ms. Hornbaker joined the accounting firm Deloitte, Haskins & Sells, where she became a senior manager of its audit practice in the firm's Chicago office. Following that, she served in senior financial positions with several major companies from 1986 until 1996, including five years at Phelps Dodge Corporation where she had financial responsibilities for its international businesses including Columbian Chemicals Corporation. Ms. Hornbaker is 60.



## Edgar Filing: EASTMAN CHEMICAL CO - Form DEF 14A

Ms. Hornbaker's expertise in a variety of financial and accounting matters, experience in business development, strategy and technology, and service with large global businesses makes her a valuable member of the Board, and enhances the value of her service as Chair of the Audit Committee and as a member of the Finance Committee and the Health, Safety, Environmental and Security Committee. Ms. Hornbaker's significant experience in several senior financial positions at various companies, including her current service as a chief financial officer, as well as her previous service as a senior manager at an accounting firm, provides a solid platform for her to advise and consult with the Board on financial and audit-related matters as Chair of the Audit Committee.

**Table of Contents**

LEWIS M. KLING (director since October 2006)

Mr. Kling served as President, Chief Executive Officer, and a director of Flowserve Corporation, a global provider of industrial flow management products and services, from 2005 until October 2009, and was Executive Vice Chairman of the Board of Directors of Flowserve until his retirement in February 2010. He was Chief Operating Officer of Flowserve from 2004 to 2005. Before joining Flowserve, Mr. Kling was Group Vice President and Corporate Vice President of SPX Corporation from 1999 to 2004, and served as President of Dielectric Communications, a division of General Signal Corporation, purchased by SPX Corporation, from 1997 to 1999. Mr. Kling also is a member of the Boards of Directors of Accuride Corporation and of Capital Safety Group, a Kohlberg, Kravis, Roberts Company, and a partner and Chairman of Zelo Public Relations Ltd. Mr. Kling is 68.

In addition to his Board service, Mr. Kling also serves as Chair of the Finance Committee and as a member of the Compensation and Management Development Committee, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, and the Health, Safety, Environmental and Security Committee. Mr. Kling's extensive corporate management experience and expertise in manufacturing through his high-level positions at several industrial product companies, including as CEO of a global manufacturer and aftermarket service provider of flow control systems to oil and gas, basic materials, and chemical manufacturing companies, allow him to offer a unique perspective on long-term growth strategies for manufacturing companies. In addition, his significant experience on various committees of Eastman's Board, and his prior and current directorships, provide Mr. Kling with the background and knowledge to effectively lead the Finance Committee.

DAVID W. RAISBECK (director since December 2000)

Mr. Raisbeck was Vice Chairman of Cargill, Incorporated, an agricultural trading and processing company, from 1999 until his retirement in 2008, and was a director of Cargill until September 2009. He joined Cargill in 1971 and held a variety of merchandising and management positions focused primarily in the commodity and financial trading businesses. Mr. Raisbeck was appointed President of Cargill's Financial Markets Division in 1988 and President of Cargill's Trading Sector in 1993, was elected a director of Cargill in 1994 and Executive Vice President in 1995. Mr. Raisbeck was a director of CarVal, a distressed asset management company owned by Cargill, and of Black River Asset Management, a hedge fund owned by Cargill, until 2009, and was during the last five years a member of the Boards of Directors of Cardinal Health, Inc., Canadian Pacific Railway Company and Canadian Pacific Railway Limited. Mr. Raisbeck is 63.

Mr. Raisbeck is also a member of the Compensation and Management Development Committee, the Finance Committee, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, and the Health, Safety, Environmental and Security Committee. Mr. Raisbeck's depth of experience in the areas of trading and risks related to commodities and raw materials, which are significant components of our operations and the manufacturing of our products, is a

## Edgar Filing: EASTMAN CHEMICAL CO - Form DEF 14A

valuable addition to our Board and its Finance Committee. Given his professional experience managing trading businesses and other risk-based, finance-related transactions, we believe Mr. Raisbeck has unique capabilities with respect to the managing of risk exposure and execution of financing transactions, and his insight is a significant factor in our success.

**Table of Contents**

**MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS CONTINUING IN OFFICE**

**Term Expiring Annual Meeting 2014**

HUMBERTO P. ALFONSO (director since January 2011)

Mr. Alfonso has been Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Administrative Officer of The Hershey Company, the largest producer of quality chocolate in North America and a global leader in chocolate and sugar confectionery, since November 2011, and was Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from July 2007 to November 2011. He joined Hershey in July 2006, initially serving as Vice President, Finance and Planning, U.S. Commercial Group from July 2006 to October 2006, and then serving as Vice President, Finance and Planning, North American Commercial Group from October 2006 to July 2007. Before joining Hershey, Mr. Alfonso held a variety of finance positions at Cadbury Schweppes, a producer of soft drinks and premium beverages, most recently serving as Executive Vice President Finance and Chief Financial Officer of Cadbury Schweppes Americas Beverages from March 2005 to July 2006 and Vice President Finance, Global Supply Chain from May 2003 to March 2005. Prior to that, Mr. Alfonso held a number of senior financial positions at Pfizer, Inc. Mr. Alfonso is 55.

In addition to serving on the Board, Mr. Alfonso is a member of the Audit Committee, the Finance Committee, and the Health, Safety, Environmental and Security Committee. Mr. Alfonso possesses a strong financial management and accounting background, as evidenced by the various senior financial positions held during his career, including his current service as an executive vice president and chief financial officer, which provide a solid platform for him to advise and consult with the Board on financial and audit-related matters. In addition, Mr. Alfonso's substantial senior level management experience brings significant operational insight to the Board.

MICHAEL P. CONNORS (director since March 2005)

Mr. Connors has been Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Information Services Group, Inc., an information-based services company, since July 2006. Mr. Connors served as a member of the Executive Board of VNU N.V., a major worldwide media and marketing information company, from the merger of ACNielsen into VNU in 2001 until 2005, and served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of VNU Media Measurement & Information Group and Chairman of VNU World Directories until 2005. He previously was Vice Chairman of the Board of ACNielsen from its spin-off from the Dun & Bradstreet Corporation in 1996 until 2001, was Senior Vice President of American Express Travel Related Services from 1989 until 1995, and before that was a Corporate Vice

## Edgar Filing: EASTMAN CHEMICAL CO - Form DEF 14A

President of Sprint Corporation. Mr. Connors is also a member of the Board of Directors of ACE Ltd., and was during the last five years a member of the Boards of Directors of R.H. Donnelley Corporation and NetRatings, Inc. Mr. Connors is 57.

Mr. Connors brings to the Board substantial corporate management experience in a variety of industries as well as expertise in marketing through his high-level positions at marketing and information-based companies. Mr. Connors' skills are enhanced through his experience serving on several public company boards, which furthers his ability to provide valued oversight and guidance to the Company and strategies to inform the Board's general decision-making, particularly with respect to management development. For these reasons, Mr. Connors is also Chair of the Compensation and Management Development Committee and a member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, the Finance Committee, and the Health, Safety, Environmental and Security Committee.

**Table of Contents**

HOWARD L. LANCE (director since December 2005)

Mr. Lance is retired from Harris Corporation, an international communications and information technology company serving government and commercial markets. He served as President and Chief Executive Officer from January 2003 to October 2011, as a director from January 2003 to December 2011, and as Chairman of the Board from June 2003 to December 2011. Mr. Lance was President of NCR Corporation, an information technology services provider, and Chief Operating Officer of its Retail and Financial Group from July 2001 until October 2002. Prior to joining NCR, he spent 17 years with Emerson Electric Company, an electronic products and systems company, where he held increasingly senior management positions. Earlier, Mr. Lance held sales and marketing positions with the Scott-Fetzer Company and Caterpillar, Inc. Mr. Lance is also a member of the Boards of Directors of Stryker Corporation and of Emedon LLC and Summit Materials, LLC (both The Blackstone Group companies), and was during the last five years a member of the Board of Directors of Harris Stratex Networks, Inc. (renamed Aviat Networks). Mr. Lance is 57.

Mr. Lance is also Chair of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and a member of the Compensation and Management Development Committee, the Finance Committee, and the Health, Safety, Environmental and Security Committee. Mr. Lance brings to the Company considerable corporate management experience and expertise in managing information systems through his high-level positions at information technology companies, which we believe are of significant importance as the Company seeks further growth opportunities. As chief executive officer of a multinational manufacturing company, Mr. Lance had significant exposure to, and we significantly benefit from his experiences related to, the necessary capital requirements and risk assessments for large manufacturing operations. Mr. Lance's experience serving on the boards of directors of other public companies allows us to leverage his experiences with respect to, among other things, appropriate oversight and related actions utilized in the board environment, including concerning corporate governance matters as Chair of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

JAMES P. ROGERS (director since December 2008)

Mr. Rogers has been Chief Executive Officer of the Company since May 7, 2009 and Chairman of the Board since January 1, 2011. He joined the Company in 1999 as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer and in 2002 also became Chief Operations Officer of Eastman Division, was named Executive Vice President of the Company and President of Eastman Division in November 2003, and was named President of Eastman Chemical Company and Chemicals & Fibers Business Group Head in 2006. Mr. Rogers served previously as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of GAF Materials Corporation, Executive Vice President, Finance, of International Specialty Products, Inc., Treasurer of Amphenol Corporation, a Vice President in the Corporate Finance group of Morgan Guaranty Trust, and a naval aviator in the United States Navy. Mr. Rogers serves on the Board of Directors of the Lord Corporation, a private technology company, and is a member of the American Chemistry Council, the Business Roundtable, and the American Section of the Société de Chemie Industrielle. Mr. Rogers is 61.

Mr. Rogers has years of experience at the Company in a variety of functional, financial, and business areas, currently serving as Chief Executive Officer, and has senior management experience with the Company and other companies and firms in areas of operations, manufacturing, and finance. As a result, he is appropriately and uniquely able to advise the Board on the opportunities and challenges of managing the Company, as well as its day-to-day operations and risks. Among other things, he brings to our Board his extensive background in managing merger and acquisition-intensive businesses. We believe the perspective of the Chief Executive Officer of the Company is critical for the Board to effectively oversee the affairs of the Company and its strategy for growth. Mr. Rogers's unique knowledge of the opportunities and challenges associated with our business and familiarity with the Company, the chemical industry and various market participants also make him uniquely qualified to lead and advise the Board as Chairman. See [The Board of Directors and Corporate Governance](#) [Board Leadership Structure](#) .

---

## **Table of Contents**

### **The Board of Directors and Corporate Governance**

The Board is elected by the stockholders to oversee management and to assure that the long-term interests of the stockholders are being served. The primary role of the Board is to maximize stockholder value over the long-term. Eastman's business is conducted by its employees, managers, and officers, under the direction of the Chief Executive Officer and the oversight of the Board. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board periodically reviews and assesses the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines and governance practices.

The Board held seven meetings during 2012. Each director attended at least 75% of the aggregate of the total number of meetings of the Board and the total number of meetings held by all committees of the Board on which he or she served. The Board meets immediately before each annual meeting of stockholders, and the directors in attendance at such Board meeting attend the annual meeting of stockholders. All but one director attended the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

### **Board Leadership Structure**

The Chairman of the Board provides leadership to the Board and works with the Board to define its structure and activities in the fulfillment of its responsibilities. The Company believes that the members of the Board possess considerable experience and unique knowledge of the challenges and opportunities the Company may face from time to time, and therefore are in the best position to evaluate the needs of the Company and how best to organize the capabilities of our directors and senior executives to meet those needs at any time. As a result, the Company believes that the decision as to who should serve as Chairman and as Chief Executive Officer, and whether the offices should be combined or separate, is properly the responsibility of the Board, to be exercised from time to time in appropriate consideration of then-existing facts and circumstances. Our Corporate Governance Guidelines provide the Board the flexibility to determine whether or not the separation or combination of the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer offices is in the best interests of the Company.

In connection with the appointment in 2009 of James P. Rogers as Chief Executive Officer and director, our former Chief Executive and Chairman of the Board, J. Brian Ferguson, was appointed as Executive Chairman. With this appointment, the Company was able to continue to leverage Mr. Ferguson's significant breadth and depth of knowledge resulting from his years of service to the Company and his leadership in the chemical industry, as well as benefit from efficiencies that his continued service to the Company provided. Upon Mr. Ferguson's retirement, effective January 1, 2011, the Board designated Mr. Rogers to serve as Chairman, having determined that this is an efficient manner to facilitate effective communication between management and the Board and provide strong and consistent leadership as well as a unified voice for the Company. In addition, the Board currently believes that combining the roles of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer helps ensure that the Chief Executive Officer understands and can effectively and efficiently oversee the implementation of the recommendations and decisions of the Board.

In order to give a significant voice to our non-management directors and to reinforce effective, independent leadership on the Board, when the Board designated Mr. Rogers as Chairman it amended the Company's Bylaws and Corporate Governance Guidelines to create the position of Lead Director. Under the Company's Bylaws, a Lead Director is appointed when the same person holds the Chief Executive Officer and Chairman positions or if the Chairman is not otherwise independent. If and when a Lead Director is appointed, his or her responsibilities, which are described in more detail in the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines, include, among other things:

calling, setting agendas for, and presiding over executive sessions of the non-management directors at each regularly scheduled meeting of the Board, or at such other times as the non-management directors may determine;

calling special meetings of the full Board or the non-employee, independent directors;

presiding over Board meetings in the absence of the Chairman;



## **Table of Contents**

collaborating and consulting with the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and other senior management concerning and approving or directing the approval of agendas, schedules, and materials for Board meetings;

acting as a liaison between the independent directors and the Chairman; and

being available with the Chairman for consultation and direct communication with stockholders.

In recognition of his deep operational knowledge and experience in the chemical industry and his significant experience serving on other public company boards and insight into process and procedural oversight and appropriate levels of interaction between the Board and management, the Board initially designated Gary E. Anderson as Lead Director and reappointed Mr. Anderson as Lead Director in May 2012.

We believe that the foregoing structure, policies, and practices, when combined with the Company's other governance policies and procedures, provide appropriate opportunities for oversight, discussion, and evaluation of decisions and direction from the Board.

## **Risk Oversight**

The Board maintains oversight responsibility for the management of the Company's risks, and oversees an enterprise-wide approach to risk management, designed to provide a holistic view of organizational objectives, including strategic objectives, to improve long-term organizational performance, to prioritize and manage identified risks, and to enhance stockholder value. A fundamental part of risk management is not only understanding the risks the Company faces and what steps management is taking to manage those risks, but also understanding what level of risk is appropriate for the Company. The full Board reviews with management its process for managing enterprise risk. Additionally, the Audit Committee is charged with overseeing our risk management process each year, including ensuring that management has instituted processes to identify major risks and has developed plans to manage such risks and reviewing with management the identified most significant risks and management's plans for addressing and mitigating the potential effects of such risks. During the Company's risk management review process, risk is assessed throughout our entire business, and is reported to a management corporate risk committee comprised of members of our various business units and control functions. Risks that are identified as high-level risks are reported to the Audit Committee and thereafter assigned, as appropriate, to various of the Board's Committees, or to the Board as a whole, for further review, analysis, and development of appropriate plans for management and mitigation of the identified risks.

While the Board maintains the ultimate oversight responsibility for risk management, each of the various Committees of the Board have been assigned responsibility for risk management oversight of specific areas. In particular, and in addition to its responsibility to conduct an annual assessment of the risk management process and report its findings to the Board, the Audit Committee maintains responsibility for overseeing risks related to the Company's financial reporting, audit process, and internal controls over financial reporting and disclosure controls and procedures. The Finance Committee has oversight responsibility related to the Company's financial position and financing activities, including such areas as capital structure, raw material and energy costs, large capital projects, and acquisitions, divestitures, and joint ventures. The Health, Safety, Environmental and Security Committee assists the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibility with respect to health, safety, environmental, security, and sustainability issues that affect the Company and works closely with the Company's legal and regulatory management with respect to such matters. In addition, in setting compensation, the Compensation and Management Development Committee endeavors to develop a program of incentives that encourage an appropriate level of risk-taking behavior consistent with the Company's long-term business strategy and also reviews the leadership development of our employees. Finally, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee conducts an annual assessment of nominees to our Board and is charged with developing and recommending to the Board corporate governance principles and policies and Board Committees structure, leadership, and membership, including those related to, affecting, or concerning the Board's and its Committees' risk oversight.

## **Director Independence**

The Board and its Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee have reviewed the standards of independence for directors established by applicable laws and regulations, including the listing standards of the

**Table of Contents**

New York Stock Exchange, and by the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines and have reviewed and evaluated the relationships of directors with the Company and its management. Based upon this review and evaluation, the Board has determined that none of the current non-employee members of the Board (that is, all directors but Mr. Rogers) has a relationship with the Company or its management that would interfere with such director's exercise of independent judgment, and that each non-employee member of the Board is an independent director.

In making these determinations, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Board reviewed and evaluated all direct and indirect transactions and relationships between the Company and non-employee directors and their affiliates and immediate family members. Under the New York Stock Exchange listing standards and Eastman's Corporate Governance Guidelines, an independent director is one who has no direct or indirect material relationship with the Company or its management and who:

has not been employed by the Company or any of its subsidiaries or affiliates, and who has no immediate family member who has been an executive officer of the Company, within the previous three years;

has not received, and whose immediate family member has not received, in any 12-month period within the previous three years, more than \$120,000 in direct compensation from the Company, other than director and committee fees and pension or other forms of deferred compensation for prior service, provided such compensation is not contingent in any way on continued service;

is not, and whose immediate family member is not, a partner; is not employed by; has not been, and whose immediate family member has not been, within the last three years, and is not currently, a partner or employee and personally worked on the Company's audit;

is not and has not in the past three years been employed, and whose immediate family member is not and has not in the past three years been employed, as an executive officer of another company where any of the Company's present executives at the same time serve or served on that company's compensation committee;

is not an employee of, and whose immediate family member is not an executive officer of, another company that has made payments to, or received payments from, the Company for property or services in an amount that exceeds, in any of the last three years, the greater of \$1 million or 2% of such other company's consolidated gross revenues;

has no personal services contract with the Company, any subsidiary or affiliate of the Company or any executive officer;

does not have any other business relationship with the Company or any of its subsidiaries or affiliates (other than service as a director) that the Company would be required to disclose in proxy statements or in annual reports on Form 10-K filed with the SEC;

is not an executive officer of another company that is indebted to the Company or to which the Company is indebted and the total amount of either company's indebtedness to the other is more than 1% of the total consolidated assets of the company that he or she serves as an executive officer;

is not an officer, director, or trustee of a charitable organization to which discretionary charitable contributions to the organization by the Company or an affiliate are more than 1% of that organization's total annual charitable receipts or \$100,000, whichever is less; and

## Edgar Filing: EASTMAN CHEMICAL CO - Form DEF 14A

is not a director, executive officer, partner, or greater than 10% equity holder of an entity that provides advisory, consulting, or professional services to the Company, any of its affiliates, or any executive officer.

### **Transactions with Directors, Executive Officers, and Related Persons**

As described above, at least annually the Board reviews and evaluates all current and recent past transactions involving the Company in which non-management directors and their affiliates (including immediate

## **Table of Contents**

family members and other firms, corporations, or entities with which the director has a relationship) have or had a direct or indirect interest. The Board also reviews any such transactions and relationships in which executive officers of the Company or members of their immediate families have or had an interest. In the most recent such review, the Board considered purchases and sales of products and services in the ordinary course of business to and from companies of which non-employee directors were executive officers. Each such transaction was below the thresholds of the categorical standards listed above and determined by the Board not to be a material transaction or relationship.

Written Company policies require approval by the Board (in the case of the Chief Executive Officer) or senior management (in the case of all other employees) of each Company transaction in which an employee has a direct or indirect financial or other personal interest, and restrict direct or indirect reporting relationships between immediate family member employees.

## **Board Committees**

The Board has an Audit Committee, a Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, a Compensation and Management Development Committee, a Finance Committee, and a Health, Safety, Environmental and Security Committee. All committee members are non-employee, independent directors. The written charter of each committee of the Board is available in the Investors Corporate Governance section of the Company's Internet website ([www.eastman.com](http://www.eastman.com)).

**Audit Committee.** The members of the Audit Committee are Ms. Hornbaker (Chair), Ms. Holder, and Messrs. Alfonso, Anderson, Begemann, and Hernandez. The Audit Committee held nine meetings during 2012. The purpose of the Audit Committee is to assist the Board in fulfilling the Board's oversight responsibilities relating to:

the integrity of the financial statements of the Company and the Company's system of internal controls;

the Company's management of and compliance with legal and regulatory requirements;

the independence and performance of the Company's internal auditors;

the qualifications, independence, and performance of the Company's independent auditors;

the retention and termination of the Company's independent auditors, including the approval of fees and other terms of their engagement, and the approval of non-audit relationships with the independent auditors; and

risk assessment and risk management.

The Board of Directors has determined that each member of the Audit Committee is independent and that each of Ms. Hornbaker and Messrs. Alfonso, Anderson, and Hernandez is an audit committee financial expert under applicable provisions of the New York Stock Exchange's listing standards and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. In making such determination, the Board took into consideration, among other things, the express provision in Item 407(d) of SEC Regulation S-K that the determination that a person has the attributes of an audit committee financial expert shall not impose any greater responsibility or liability on that person than the responsibility and liability imposed on such person as a member of the Audit Committee and the Board of Directors, nor shall it affect the duties and obligations of other Audit Committee members or the Board.

## **Audit Committee Report**

The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed with the Company's management and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the Company's independent auditors, the audited financial statements of the Company contained in the Company's Annual Report to Stockholders for the year ended December 31, 2012. The Audit Committee has also discussed with the Company's independent auditors the matters required to be discussed by the Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended (AICPA, *Professional Standards*, Vol. 1, AU Section 380), as adopted by

the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200T.

## Table of Contents

The Audit Committee has received and reviewed the written disclosures and the letter from PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence and has discussed with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP their independence. The Audit Committee has also considered whether the provision of non-audit services to the Company by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP is compatible with maintaining their independence.

Based on the review and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed with the SEC.

### Audit Committee

Renée J. Hornbaker (Chair)

Humberto P. Alfonso

Gary E. Anderson

Brett D. Begemann

Robert M. Hernandez

Julie F. Holder

**Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.** The members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee are Messrs. Lance (Chair), Connors, Demeritt, Kling, and Raisbeck. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee held four meetings during 2012. The purpose of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is to:

identify individuals qualified to become Board members;

recommend to the Board candidates to fill Board vacancies and newly-created director positions;

recommend to the Board whether incumbent directors should be nominated for reelection to the Board upon the expiration of their terms;

review, develop, and recommend corporate governance principles and practices, and regularly review and evaluate corporate governance trends and developments;

review and make recommendations to the Board regarding director compensation (see [Director Compensation](#)); and

recommend committee structures, membership, and chairs.

*Director Nominations.* The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for reviewing and recommending to the Board potential directors who possess the skills, knowledge, and understanding necessary to be valued members of the Board in order to assist it in successfully performing its role in corporate oversight and governance. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers not only an individual director's or possible nominee's qualities, performance, and professional responsibilities, but also the then-current composition of the Board and the challenges and needs of the Board as a whole in an effort to ensure that the Board, at any time, is comprised of a diverse group of members who, individually and collectively, best serve the needs of the Company and its stockholders. In general, and in giving due consideration to the composition of the Board at that time, the desired attributes of individual directors, including those of any nominees of

stockholders, are as follows:

integrity and demonstrated high ethical standards;

experience with business administration processes and principles;

the ability to express opinions, raise difficult questions, and make informed, independent judgments;

knowledge, experience, and skills in at least one specialty area, for example:

accounting or finance,

corporate management,

marketing,

**Table of Contents**

manufacturing,

technology,

information systems,

the chemical industry,

international business, or

legal or governmental expertise.

the ability to devote sufficient time to prepare for and attend Board meetings (it is assumed that service on up to three other boards of directors will not impair a director's service on the Company's Board; the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee reviews instances in which a director serves on more than three other for-profit companies' boards of directors);

willingness and ability to work with other members of the Board in an open and constructive manner;

the ability to communicate clearly and persuasively; and

diversity with respect to other characteristics, which may include, at any time, gender, ethnic background, geographic origin, or personal, educational and professional experience.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider persons nominated by stockholders and recommend to the full Board whether such nominee should be included with the Board's nominees for election by stockholders. For additional information on how stockholders may submit nominees for election to the Board, see INFORMATION ABOUT THE MEETING AND VOTING Nominations by Stockholders for Election to the Board of Directors. The Board and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee have from time to time utilized the services of director search firms to assist in the identification of qualified potential director nominees.

**Compensation and Management Development Committee.** The members of the Compensation and Management Development Committee (the Compensation Committee) are Messrs. Connors (Chair), Demeritt, Kling, Lance, and Raisbeck. The Compensation Committee held six meetings during 2012. The purpose of the Compensation Committee is to establish and administer the Company's policies, programs, and procedures for evaluating, developing, and compensating the Company's senior management. Among other responsibilities included in its charter, the Compensation Committee oversees the Company's compensation and benefits philosophy and strategy, determines the compensation of the Company's executive officers, reviews and approves the adoption of cash and equity-based incentive management compensation plans, and oversees the administration of the Company's benefits plans. The Compensation Committee has exclusive authority to administer and grant stock-based incentive awards under the 2012 Omnibus Stock Compensation Plan, and the Board may delegate authority to make certain stock-based compensation awards to non-executive officers to a special committee consisting of one or more directors who are also officers of the Company. For additional description of the Committee's processes and procedures for consideration and determination of executive compensation, including the role of management in recommending compensation, see the Compensation Discussion and Analysis later in this proxy statement.

*Compensation Committee Consultant.* The Compensation Committee has directly engaged Aon Hewitt as its external compensation consultant. Under the terms of Aon Hewitt's engagement by the Compensation Committee, Aon Hewitt reports to, and receives its direction from, the Compensation Committee, and a representative of Aon Hewitt attends each meeting of the Compensation Committee as its advisor. Aon Hewitt provides the Compensation Committee with third-party survey information used in setting short- and long-term compensation levels, perspective



## Edgar Filing: EASTMAN CHEMICAL CO - Form DEF 14A

on emerging compensation issues and trends, and expertise in incentive compensation structure, terms, and design. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis. Aon Hewitt also provides such services to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee for its recommendation to the Board of non-employee director compensation. See Director Compensation. Aon Hewitt does not provide other non-executive compensation consulting services to and does not receive any other compensation from Eastman unless approved in advance by the Compensation Committee. Company management also uses the services of several other outside firms for compensation analysis, third-party surveys, and management pay research and analysis.

## **Table of Contents**

In reviewing Aon Hewitt's performance in 2012 and considering its continued engagement for 2013, the Compensation Committee evaluated Aon Hewitt's independence from Company management and any conflicts of interest, including Aon Hewitt's provision of other services to the Company, the fees paid by the Company to Aon Hewitt as a percentage of the firm's total revenue, Aon Hewitt's policies and procedures to prevent conflicts of interest, and that Aon Hewitt and its representatives have no business or personal relationship with a member of the Compensation Committee, do not own any stock of the Company, and have no business or personal relationship with any executive officer of the Company. The Compensation Committee concluded that Aon Hewitt is independent of the Committee and of Company management and has no conflicts of interest in its performance of services to the Committee.

### **Compensation Committee Report**

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis which appears later in this proxy statement. Based on the review and discussions, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 filed with the SEC and in this proxy statement.

#### Compensation and Management Development Committee

Michael P. Connors (Chair)

Stephen R. Demeritt

Lewis M. Kling

Howard L. Lance

David W. Raisbeck

**Finance Committee.** All of the directors except Mr. Rogers are members, and Mr. Kling is the Chair, of the Finance Committee. The Finance Committee held four meetings during 2012. The purpose of the Finance Committee is to review with management and, where appropriate, make recommendations to the Board regarding the Company's financial position and financing activities, including consideration of the Company's financing plans, significant corporate transactions (including acquisitions, divestitures, and joint ventures), capital expenditures, financial status of the Company's defined benefit pension plans, payment of dividends, and use of financial instruments, commodity purchasing, and other hedging arrangements and strategies to manage exposure to market risks.

**Health, Safety, Environmental and Security Committee.** All of the directors except Mr. Rogers are members, and Mr. Hernandez is the Chair, of the Health, Safety, Environmental and Security Committee. The Health, Safety, Environmental and Security Committee held two meetings during 2012. The purpose of the Health, Safety, Environmental and Security Committee is to review with management and, where appropriate, make recommendations to the Board regarding the Company's policies and practices concerning health, safety, environmental, security, and sustainability matters.

**Table of Contents****Director Compensation**

Director compensation is determined by the Board of Directors based upon the recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Company's compensation consultant. The Board uses a combination of cash and stock-based incentive compensation to attract and retain qualified candidates to serve as directors. In setting the compensation of non-employee directors, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Board consider the significant amount of time that the Board and its Committees expend, the skills, knowledge, and understanding needed for service on the Board, and the types and amounts of director pay of other similar public companies. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Board annually review non-employee director compensation, and the Board, upon the recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, has from time to time changed the amounts and forms of director pay (including the increases described below).

The following table sets forth certain information concerning compensation of the Company's non-employee directors for 2012. Directors who are also employees of the Company receive no additional compensation for their service as directors. The footnotes to the table describe each of the components of the director compensation program.

**Director Compensation for Year Ended December 31, 2012**

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash \$(1)	Stock Awards \$(2)	Option Awards \$(3)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings \$(4)	All Other Compensation \$(5)	Total(\$)
Humberto P. Alfonso	\$ 100,000	\$ 50,043	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$60,000	\$ 210,043
Gary E. Anderson	140,000	50,043	0	0	0	60,000	250,043
Brett D. Begemann	100,000	50,043	0	0	0	60,000	210,043
Michael P. Connors	112,000	50,043	0	0	0	60,000	222,043
Stephen R. Demeritt	101,500	50,043	0	0	0	60,000	211,543
Robert M. Hernandez	109,000	50,043	0	0	0	60,000	219,043
Julie F. Holder	104,500	50,043	0	0	0	60,000	214,543
Renée J. Hornbaker	119,500	50,043	0	0	0	60,000	229,543
Lewis M. Kling	110,500	50,043	0	0	0	60,000	220,543
Howard L. Lance	109,000	50,043	0	0	0	60,000	219,043
David W. Raisbeck	100,000	50,043	0	0	0	60,000	210,043

- 1) Compensation in this column consists of director retainer fees and, where applicable, Lead Director or committee chair retainer fees. This column also includes compensation paid on an event basis for significant time spent outside Board or committee meetings for director training, interviewing director candidates, meeting with Company management, meeting with external auditors, or other meetings or activities as directed by the Board or one of its committees. Cash fees for 2012 were paid according to the following schedule:

Director Retainers (Service and Meeting Retainers)	\$ 100,000
Event Fee (Per Event)	1,500
Lead Director Retainer	40,000
Chair Retainer Audit Committee	18,000
Chair Retainer Compensation and Management Development Committee	12,000
Chair Retainer Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee	9,000
Chair Retainer Finance Committee	9,000
Chair Retainer Health, Safety, Environmental and Security Committee	9,000

## Edgar Filing: EASTMAN CHEMICAL CO - Form DEF 14A

Cash fees are paid in two semi-annual payments. Event fees were paid in 2012 to Mr. Demeritt (\$1,500), Ms. Holder (\$4,500), Ms. Hornbaker (\$1,500), and Mr. Kling (\$1,500).

In 2013, the Compensation and Management Development Committee Chair retainer will increase to \$15,000, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Chair retainer will increase to \$12,000, and the retainers to the Finance Committee Chair and to the Health, Safety, Environmental and Security Committee Chair will increase to \$10,000.

**Table of Contents**

- 2) Grant date fair value, computed in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) Accounting Standards Codification ( ASC ) Topic 718 (*Stock Compensation*), of annual award of restricted shares of common stock ( restricted shares ) to each non-employee director having a fair market value equal to \$50,000 (with the number of restricted shares awarded rounded up in the case of fractional shares) on the date of the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders under the Director Stock Compensation Subplan of the 2012 Omnibus Stock Compensation Plan (the DSCS ). (The value of the annual restricted stock award to non-employee directors will increase to \$75,000 in 2013.) See note 21 to the Company s consolidated financial statements in the Annual Report to Stockholders for 2012, mailed and delivered electronically with this proxy statement, for a description of the assumptions made in the valuation of stock awards under FASB ASC Topic 718.

The number of outstanding restricted shares held by individual directors at December 31, 2012 was: Mr. Alfonso (2,164), Mr. Anderson (3,526), Mr. Begemann (2,140), Mr. Connors (3,526), Mr. Demeritt (3,526), Mr. Hernandez (3,526), Ms. Holder (1,199), Ms. Hornbaker (3,526), Mr. Kling (3,526), Mr. Lance (3,526), and Mr. Raisbeck (3,526).

The restricted shares are not transferable (except by will or the laws of descent and distribution or as described below) and are subject to forfeiture until the earliest of: (i) the third anniversary of grant (provided the grantee is still a director), (ii) death, disability, or resignation due to attaining retirement age or another approved reason during the three years after grant, or (iii) departure from the Board at the end of the term of service to which elected. During the restricted period, the director has all of the rights of a stockholder with respect to the restricted shares (other than the right to transfer the shares), including voting and dividend rights. The DSCS contains provisions regarding the treatment of restricted shares in the event of a change in control (as defined in the DSCS, generally circumstances in which the Company is acquired by another entity or its controlling ownership is changed). In such event, all outstanding restricted shares would immediately vest and become transferable, and would be valued and cashed out on the basis of the change in control price as soon as practicable, but in no event more than 90 days after the change in control. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has the discretion, notwithstanding any particular event constituting a change in control, to determine that such event is of the type that does not warrant the described result with respect to restricted shares under the DSCS, in which case such result would not occur.

- 3) No stock options were granted in 2012. The aggregate number of outstanding stock options held by individual non-employee directors at December 31, 2012 was: Mr. Anderson (4,000), Mr. Connors (16,000), Mr. Demeritt (20,000), Mr. Hernandez (24,000), Ms. Hornbaker (12,000), Mr. Kling (8,000), Mr. Lance (12,000), and Mr. Raisbeck (20,000).
- 4) The Company maintains the Directors Deferred Compensation Plan (the DDCP ), an unfunded, non-qualified, deferred compensation plan under which non-employee directors of the Company may elect to defer compensation received as a director until such time as they cease to serve as a director. Non-employee directors may make an annual advance irrevocable election to defer compensation for services to be rendered the following year. Compensation that may be deferred includes all or a portion of cash compensation for service as a director, including retainer and event fees. In addition, as described in note (5) below, in 2012 each non-employee director received an automatic full-year deferral of \$60,000 into the director s stock account of the DDCP. Compensation deferred into the DDCP was credited at the election of the non-employee director in 2012 and prior years to individual interest accounts or stock accounts. Amounts deferred to the interest account were credited with interest at the prime rate until transfer or distribution, and amounts deferred to the stock account increased or decreased in value depending on the market price of Eastman common stock. When cash dividends are declared on the common stock, each stock account receives a dividend equivalent which is used to hypothetically purchase additional shares. In late 2012 those two accounts were replaced with multiple hypothetical investment alternatives, including an Eastman stock fund. Upon termination of service as a director, the value of the director s DDCP account is paid, in cash, in a single lump sum or up to ten annual installments as elected in advance by the director. For 2012, no non-qualified deferred compensation earnings are reported because there were no preferential or above-market earnings on amounts in individual stock accounts or invested in the hypothetical stock fund (defined as appreciation in value and dividend equivalents earned at a rate higher than appreciation in value and dividends on common stock) or in individual interest accounts (defined as interest on amounts deferred at a rate exceeding 120% of the federal long-term rate).

---

**Table of Contents**

Eastman does not have a director pension plan.

- 5) Amount of annual retainer not included in Fees Earned or Paid in Cash column that was deferred into the director's hypothetical stock investment account of the DDCP. Perquisites and personal benefits provided to non-employee directors (Company-provided personal liability insurance and Company-provided insurance for non-employee director travel) are not reported for 2012 since the total amount per individual was less than \$10,000.

**ITEM 2 ADVISORY APPROVAL OF EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the Dodd-Frank Act) provides stockholders with the right to vote to approve, on an advisory (nonbinding) basis, the compensation of the Company's named executive officers as disclosed pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the SEC. This advisory vote is commonly referred to as the say-on-pay vote. In the Company's advisory say-on-pay vote at the 2012 Annual Meeting, 95.66% of votes cast were for approval of the executive compensation as disclosed in the 2012 Annual Meeting proxy statement. The Compensation Committee considered the outcome of this vote in its establishment and oversight of the compensation of the executive officers during 2012, as further discussed in EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION Compensation Discussion and Analysis later in the proxy statement.

The Company's business strategy for value creating growth is to leverage the capabilities of its employees to innovate and execute its growth strategy while remaining committed to maintaining a strong financial position with financial flexibility and consistently solid cash flows. Our compensation philosophy supports this strategy by stressing the importance of pay for corporate and individual performance in meeting strategic and business goals for value creation and financial strength and flexibility, while maintaining flexibility to meet changing employee, business, and market conditions. Our executive compensation program is designed to attract and retain a talented and creative team of executives who will provide disciplined leadership for the Company's success in dynamic, competitive markets. The Company seeks to accomplish this by motivating executives with an appropriate mix of compensation elements. Please read the EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this proxy statement for additional details about our executive compensation philosophy and programs, including information about the compensation of our named executive officers for 2012 as detailed in the tables and narrative.

The say-on-pay vote gives stockholders the opportunity to indicate their views on the compensation of our named executive officers. This vote is not intended to address any specific item of compensation, but rather the overall compensation of our named executive officers disclosed and the philosophy, policies, and practices described in this proxy statement. Accordingly, stockholders are being asked to approve the compensation of the named executive officers as disclosed in the EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION section of this proxy statement, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables, and narrative.

Because this vote is advisory, it will not be binding on the Compensation Committee, the Board, or the Company. However, the Compensation Committee and the Board value the opinions of the Company's stockholders, and the Compensation Committee will consider the outcome of the vote in its establishment and oversight of the compensation of the executive officers. It is currently expected that stockholders will be given an opportunity to cast an advisory vote on this topic annually, with the next opportunity occurring at the 2014 Annual Meeting.

**The Board of Directors recommends that you vote FOR advisory approval of the compensation of the Company's named executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement.**

---

**Table of Contents**

**EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

**Compensation Discussion and Analysis**

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis is intended to provide context for the executive compensation information detailed in the tables and narrative in the following sections of this proxy statement. What follows is a summary of compensation philosophy and objectives for executive officers, the relationship of corporate performance to executive compensation, and the bases for the compensation of executive officers. The Compensation and Management Development Committee of the Board of Directors (the Compensation Committee or the Committee) establishes and oversees the administration of the policies, programs, and procedures for evaluating, developing, and compensating our senior management, and determines the components, structure, forms, terms, and amounts of the compensation of our executive officers.

**Overview**

As described in more detail below, the Compensation Committee believes that the compensation of the executive officers is appropriate based on Eastman's performance and the competitive market. For 2012, the compensation of the executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table below (the named executive officers) consisted of three principal elements: base salary, annual variable cash pay, and long-term stock-based incentive awards in the form of performance shares. Stock options, which in recent years have also been granted each year as part of executive stock-based incentive pay, were granted in early 2013 rather than in 2012 as described below. Base salary helps us to attract and retain executive talent and is the fixed element of our pay program. The Company uses annual variable cash pay to tie executive compensation to attainment of key Company and individual objectives. Long-term stock-based pay is designed to align executive compensation with the long-term interests of the Company's stockholders and to further attract and retain an outstanding executive team. The Compensation Committee believes that this mix of executive pay components strikes an appropriate balance between the short- and long-term focus of the executives and the types of performance incented and risks encouraged, and aligns the interests of executive officers with those of stockholders.

Our named executive officers were compensated based on the Company's and its businesses' solid financial performance and corporate and individual attainment of short-term and longer-term strategic business objectives.

For 2012, the executive officers:

had their annual base pay increased ranging from 4.0% to 17.6% to keep their salaries at competitive levels compared to peer companies;

received annual variable cash pay awards ranging from 99% to 105% of target amounts as a result of the Company's at-target adjusted earnings from operations and each executive's organizational and personal performance meeting or exceeding expectations;

received payouts of common stock of 250% of target award levels under previously awarded long-term performance shares as a result of the Company's three-year (2010-2012) total stockholder return ranking in the 1st quintile of compared companies and attaining an average return on capital of 15.5% (compared to a target return goal of 8.5%); and

received long-term performance share awards which directly link future compensation to increases in 2013-2015 stockholder and capital returns.

In addition, in early 2013, the executive officers:

had their annual base pay increased to make it comparable to that for similar positions in other companies with business, revenues, and operations similar to Eastman following Eastman's acquisition of Solutia Inc. in July 2012; and

## Edgar Filing: EASTMAN CHEMICAL CO - Form DEF 14A

received stock option grants (rather than in late 2012 as in prior years) as a result of the Committee's decision in 2012 to make future annual long-term stock-based incentive pay awards early in the year when it makes other annual compensation decisions in order to make all compensation decisions at the same time based on the same performance and other pay-related information. This change in timing of



## **Table of Contents**

executive option grants makes the 2011 and 2012 executive compensation information in the tables and narrative in the remaining sections of this proxy statement, and will make the 2012 and 2013 executive compensation information in the 2014 annual meeting proxy statement, not completely comparable.

### **Management Compensation Philosophy, Objectives, and Program**

**Our Business.** Eastman is a global specialty chemicals company that produces a broad range of advanced materials, chemicals, and fibers products that are found in products people use every day. Eastman's objective is to be an outperforming specialty chemical company through consistent earnings growth. On July 2, 2012, we completed our acquisition of Solutia Inc., a global leader in performance materials and specialty chemicals. Beginning in third quarter 2012 following the acquisition of Solutia, the Company's products and operations have been managed and reported in five operating segments: Additives & Functional Products, Adhesives & Plasticizers, Advanced Materials, Fibers, and Specialty Fluids & Intermediates. In addition to these segments, the Company manages certain costs and initiatives at the corporate level, including various research and development initiatives. Eastman had 2012 pro forma combined revenues, giving effect to the acquisition of Solutia, of \$9.12 billion, compared to Eastman 2011 revenues of \$7.178 billion. Management believes that the Company can increase the revenues from its businesses with increasing profitability through a balance of new applications for existing products, development of new products, sales growth in adjacent markets and emerging economies, and leveraging asset investments to improve cost positions. These revenue and earnings increases are expected to result from both organic (internal growth) and inorganic (external growth through joint venture and acquisition) initiatives.

**Our Compensation Philosophy.** The Company's business strategy for value creating growth is to leverage the capabilities of its employees to innovate and execute its growth strategy while remaining committed to maintaining a strong financial position with financial flexibility and consistently solid cash flows. Our compensation philosophy supports this strategy by stressing the importance of pay for corporate and individual performance in meeting strategic and business goals for value creation and financial strength and flexibility, while maintaining flexibility to meet changing employee, business, and market conditions. Our executive compensation program is designed to attract and retain a talented and creative team of executives who will provide disciplined leadership for the Company's success in dynamic, competitive markets. The Company seeks to accomplish this by motivating executives with an appropriate mix of compensation elements, as described in more detail below.

Our compensation program is designed so that a significant portion of compensation is stock-based to tie executives' interests to the interests of our stockholders. As shown below, our cumulative total shareholder return (change in stock price plus dividends, assuming reinvestment of dividends) for both the three-year and five-year periods ended December 31, 2012 exceeded that of the chemical companies of the Standard & Poor's (S&P) 1500 Materials Sector and of the S&P 500 Stock Index. Also, in 2012, for the third time in two years we increased our quarterly cash dividend.

**Table of Contents**

**Our Compensation Objectives.** Within the management compensation program, our primary objectives are to:

Provide the appropriate amount of annual pay, including a mix of fixed base salary and opportunities for variable cash incentive pay that allows us to compete for talent.

Attract and retain highly-qualified executives by providing incentives for the attainment of the Company's strategic business objectives, while rewarding superior performance.

Provide appropriate short-term and long-term incentives to reward the attainment of short-term and long-term corporate and individual objectives.

Ensure performance targets are appropriately challenging and properly aligned with the business strategy and stockholder interests.

Maintain balance in the types of corporate and individual performance incented and the levels and types of risks managers are encouraged to evaluate and take.

**Primary Components of our Management Compensation Program and How Each Component Complements our Philosophy and Objectives.** Our management compensation program has three primary components:

<b>Base pay</b>	Provides a market-based annual salary at a level consistent with the individual's position and contributions.
<b>Variable cash pay</b>	Designed to align senior managers' financial interests with the Company's shorter-term business objectives, making a portion of annual cash compensation dependent upon the annual success of the Company, business unit performance, and attainment of individual objectives.
<b>Stock-based incentive pay</b>	Encourages an ownership mindset by aligning the interests of senior managers with other stockholders, focusing on the achievement of strategic long-term financial objectives and outperforming peer companies.

**Table of Contents**

The Compensation Committee, with the assistance of management and the Committee's outside consultant, designs, administers, and assesses the effectiveness of all executive compensation elements against the market and our overall compensation philosophy and objectives. The table below describes each element of pay and its primary links to the objectives of our compensation philosophy.

Compensation Element	Compete in Market	Attract and Retain Executive Talent	Reward Business Performance and Attainment of Individual Objectives	Balance Among Performance Incented and Risk Management	Reward Long-Term Performance in Alignment with Stockholders Interests
Annual Base Cash Pay	X	X		X	
Annual Variable Cash Pay	X	X	X	X	
Stock-Based Long-Term Incentive Pay					
Stock Options	X	X		X	X
Stock-Based Long-Term Incentive Pay					
Performance Shares	X	X	X	X	X
Other Compensation and Benefits	X	X		X	

Each year, the Compensation Committee, with the assistance of its outside consultant, reviews the relative mix of the compensation components compared to those of peer companies. The Compensation Committee does not have a fixed method for determining how an executive officer's total compensation should be allocated among these compensation components. Instead, the Compensation Committee uses a flexible approach to compensation setting to help us better achieve our business objectives from year to year and to attract and retain executive talent. As described below, the Company believes that a significant portion of our executives' compensation should be at risk to business and individual performance, and that the at-risk amount should increase with the executive's level of responsibility. This means that compensation will be earned only if at least a threshold level of targeted business and individual performance is met. We believe it is also important to encourage a balance between the short-term and long-term focus of executives, and in the types of performance incented and risks encouraged, as well as to align their interests with those of stockholders, by providing a meaningful portion of their compensation in the form of stock-based pay.

**Other Compensation and Benefits.** The Company's executive officers also participate in benefits plans generally available to all other employees. We have also entered into change in control agreements with certain of our executive officers and provide a modest program of executive perquisites and personal benefits which serve the specific purposes described in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis and the tables that follow.

**Table of Contents**

**Mix of Total Compensation.** The following charts illustrate the percentage of total compensation for our CEO and our other named executive officers on average, respectively, represented by each element of compensation for 2012.

\* As noted above, stock options historically granted to executives in October 2012 were instead granted in February 2013, and accordingly the only long-term stock-based incentive pay for 2012 shown is performance share payouts.

\*\* Market value of shares of common stock paid out under performance shares previously awarded for the 2010-2012 performance period.

\*\*\* For a description of other compensation, see Note 5 to the Summary Compensation Table below.

**Risk Analysis of Executive Compensation.** The balance of short-term and long-term compensation as devices to drive individual behaviors and risk management is carefully considered in the design and administration of the Company's overall employee compensation programs. The compensation program for executive employees is additionally structured so that a considerable amount of compensation is tied to the long-term performance of Eastman. We strive to avoid disproportionately large short-term incentives that could encourage unnecessary risk-taking which is not in the Company's long-term interests. While a significant portion of our executive compensation is performance-based, we do not believe that our philosophy or objectives encourage unnecessary or excessive risk-taking. The Compensation Committee has focused our management compensation program on aligning the Company's compensation with the long-term interests of Eastman, and has designed the elements of our executive compensation program to discourage management decisions that could pose inappropriate long-term risks to the Company and its stockholders, using the following methods:

The compensation of our executive officers is not overly-weighted toward short-term incentives. For instance, our CEO's target variable cash pay for 2012 was 6% of his total target compensation, and the

## Table of Contents

target variable cash pay for the other named executive officers was 8% of their total target pay. Moreover, annual variable cash pay awards are capped at 200% of an executive's target award to protect against disproportionately large short-term incentives, and the Compensation Committee has broad discretion in determining the amount of variable cash payouts to executives based upon individual performance and other factors, including whether an executive has caused Eastman to take unnecessary or excessive risk.

Our stock ownership expectations are for the CEO to hold Eastman stock having a value of at least five times base annual pay and for the other executive officers to hold Eastman stock having a value of at least two-and-one-half times base annual pay. We also prohibit our executive officers from entering into arrangements designed to hedge their exposure to changes in the market price of Eastman stock or from pledging Eastman stock as security or collateral for loans or in margin brokerage accounts. See [Stock Ownership of Directors and Executive Officers](#) Executive and Director Stock Ownership Expectations; No Hedging or Pledging of Company Stock. These policies ensure that each executive will have a significant amount of personal wealth tied to the long-term performance of Eastman stock and that their interests remain fully aligned with those of our stockholders.

The largest percentage of total target executive pay is long-term, stock-based incentive compensation that vests over a period of years. The stock payout opportunity combined with a multi-year vesting period encourages our executives to focus on maximizing Eastman's long-term performance. These awards are made annually, so executives will continue to have unvested awards that will provide value only if our business is appropriately managed for the long term.

A significant portion of executives' long-term incentive compensation consists of performance shares. Performance share payouts are tied to how Eastman performs on certain metrics identified by the Compensation Committee periodically as appropriately driving long-term stockholder value over a three-year period. This approach focuses management on sustaining the Company's long-term performance. These awards also have overlapping performance periods, thereby discouraging excessive risk-taking in the near-term because such behavior could significantly jeopardize the potential long-term payouts under other awards. To further ensure that there is not a significant incentive for excessive risk-taking, the payout of these awards has been capped at 300% of target for the 2011-2013 performance period and at 250% of target for the 2012-2014 and 2013-2015 performance periods.

The variety of performance metrics set by the Compensation Committee to determine variable pay (for 2012, operating earnings and earnings per share, cash flow, return on capital, capital spending, employee safety, and total stockholder return relative to peer companies) is designed to minimize the risk that executives will focus excessive attention on a single performance measure.

Company policy and the plan under which our long-term stock-based incentive compensation awards are made require repayment of certain variable and incentive compensation amounts in the event of an accounting restatement due to material noncompliance by the Company with financial reporting requirements.

We believe that this combination of factors encourages our executives to manage our businesses and execute our strategy for growth in a prudent manner.

In 2012, Aon Hewitt, the Compensation Committee's external compensation consultant, conducted a risk assessment of our compensation policies, programs, and practices, including executive compensation and broad-based compensation programs for all employees. Based on the results of Aon Hewitt's assessment, the Compensation Committee has concluded that the Company's compensation programs and practices are well aligned with the corporate strategy, contain appropriate risk balancing and mitigation features, and are not structured in a way that should incent risk taking that is reasonably likely to have a material adverse impact on the Company.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Review of 2012 Executive Compensation**

The Compensation Committee reviewed overall compensation of the Chief Executive Officer and the other named executive officers and determined each component of executive compensation for 2012 as described below. As part of this review, the Compensation Committee:

Reviewed the value of each type of compensation and benefit for each of the executive officers, including short-term cash and long-term stock-based compensation, perquisites and personal benefits, deferred accounts, and retirement plans and determined that the amounts, individually and in the aggregate, were appropriate and in line with internal and external market comparisons.

Considered the estimated value of outstanding unvested, unexercised, and unrealized stock-based awards in its review of the types and values of each executive officer's compensation.

Determined the amount and forms of compensation considering the following:

individual performance,

compensation relative to that for similar positions in other companies,

the mix of short- and long-term compensation, and total compensation, relative to other Eastman executive officers and employees,

whether the features of each form of compensation are appropriately balanced in terms of the types of corporate and individual performance being incented, the levels and types of risk they encourage managers to evaluate and take, and whether the compensation encourages managers to take unnecessary risks,

background information and recommendations from the Company's management compensation organization and from the external compensation consultant engaged by the Compensation Committee, and

the recommendations of the Chief Executive Officer regarding pay for the other executive officers.

### **Stockholder Say-on-Pay Vote**

As described in ITEM 2 ADVISORY APPROVAL OF EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION of this proxy statement, at the 2013 Annual Meeting stockholders again have the opportunity to indicate their views on the compensation of our named executive officers by an advisory say-on-pay vote. At the Company's 2012 Annual Meeting, over 95% of the votes cast on the say-on-pay proposal were voted in favor of the proposal. The Compensation Committee believes this vote reflects general approval of the Company's approach to executive compensation, and, therefore, it did not make any significant changes in the structure of our executive compensation program as a result of this vote. The Compensation Committee will continue to consider the vote results for say-on-pay proposals in future years when making compensation decisions for our named executive officers.

### **Elements of our Executive Compensation**

#### **Annual Cash Compensation Base Pay and Variable Pay**

**How Base Pay and Variable Cash Pay Levels Are Determined.** For executive officers, targeted total cash compensation is intended to be competitive with comparable pay for similar jobs when target levels of corporate, business and functional organization, and individual performance are achieved. The targeted levels of cash compensation are based upon information provided by Aon Hewitt and publicly available information. For 2012, a significant portion of each executive officer's total pay was variable. Depending upon Company, business and functional unit, and individual performance, executive officers could receive more or less than the target amount.

**Table of Contents**

For 2012, the Compensation Committee compared total cash compensation levels for the Company’s executive officers with those of the following companies. These companies were selected based upon similarity of their industry, number of employees, revenues, number and type of commercialized products, and market capitalization with Eastman:

Air Products and Chemicals, Inc.	Olin Corporation
The Dow Chemical Company	PPG Industries Inc.
Ecolab Inc.	Praxair Inc.
E. I. DuPont de Nemours and Company	Sensient Technologies Corporation
FMC Corporation	Solutia Inc.
H. B. Fuller Company	The Scotts Miracle-Gro Company
Nalco Holding Company	The Valspar Corporation

For 2013 compensation decisions, the Compensation Committee has used and plans to continue to use a different group of peer companies than those above because of the manner in which Eastman has changed following the acquisition of Solutia. The new group of peer companies was selected based upon similarity of industry, number of employees, revenues, number and type of commercialized products, and market capitalization with Eastman after the Solutia acquisition.

As requested by the Compensation Committee, Aon Hewitt provided benchmarking analysis of the comparable companies’ total cash compensation information, and also advised the Compensation Committee of general market cash compensation practices and trends. In determining each executive officer’s targeted total cash compensation, the Compensation Committee also applied its judgment in considering the competitive market for executive talent, comparative pay levels of each other executive officer, relative cash compensation of other jobs in the Company, and differences between the Company’s executive positions and those of the comparator companies. For 2012, the Compensation Committee set the targeted cash pay for executives within a range of 10% above or below the median level of the total targeted cash compensation for comparable positions of the peer companies, with exceptions in the event of changes in individual scope of responsibilities, corporate performance, and time and experience in position.

**Base Pay.** In early 2012, after reviewing market competitive pay levels and the targeted total cash compensation of the executive officers, the Compensation Committee determined that base pay increases were appropriate for the executive officers because of their targeted total cash compensation relative to the mid-range of companies surveyed. In addition to external comparisons, the Committee considered the cash compensation levels of each executive officer relative to that of each other executive officer. Increases in the base pay amounts reported in the Salary column of the Summary Compensation Table reflect the increased target total cash compensation levels for 2012.

In late 2012, the Compensation Committee increased executive officer base pay for 2013 to make it comparable to that for similar positions in other companies with business, revenues, and operations similar to Eastman following the acquisition of Solutia.

**Variable Cash Pay – Unit Performance Plan.** For 2012, the variable portion of cash compensation paid to 676 management level employees, including the executive officers, was determined under the Unit Performance Plan (the UPP). Under the UPP, the Compensation Committee sets a cash payout pool target amount at the beginning of each year, with the total available payout ranging from 0 to 200 percent of target amount depending on the Company’s financial performance, subject to Committee discretion to adjust the payout pool to reflect overall corporate performance and business and financial conditions. The total UPP award pool is determined as the aggregate of the UPP payouts for each participant if the individual’s organizational and individual performance were at target levels, multiplied by a performance factor determined by actual corporate performance compared to the pre-set performance goals.



**Table of Contents**

*2012 UPP named executive target opportunities.* Consistent with our compensation objectives, as employees assume greater responsibilities more of their pay is linked to Company performance. Variable UPP cash pay targets (expressed as a percentage of base salary) are based on job responsibilities, relative targets for other Company positions, and comparator company practices. The 2012 variable cash target percentages for each named executive officer was unchanged from 2011. For the named executive officers, the target annual incentive opportunities for 2012 were as follows:

Name	Title	Target UPP Opportunity as % of Base Salary
James P. Rogers	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer	110%
Curtis E. Espeland	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	75%
Mark J. Costa	Executive Vice President, Additives & Functional Products, Advanced Materials, and Chief Marketing Officer	80%
Ronald C. Lindsay	Executive Vice President, Adhesives & Plasticizers, Fibers, Specialty Fluids & Intermediates, Engineering and Construction, and Manufacturing Support	80%
Theresa K. Lee	Senior Vice President and Chief Legal and Administrative Officer	65%

*2012 UPP Company performance measure and targets.* The 2012 UPP payout pool was determined based on Company earnings from operations (or EFO), as adjusted by the Compensation Committee for certain cost, charge, and income items that were not included in the Company's targeted financial performance under the annual business plan and that were excluded from operating earnings in the non-GAAP financial measures disclosed by the Company in its public sales and earnings disclosures. The selection of adjusted EFO as the measure of 2012 corporate performance was intended to focus management level employees on both top-line revenue and bottom-line earnings and to allow measurement of UPP performance throughout the year based upon reported Company quarterly financial results.

In establishing the 2012 UPP EFO performance target, the Committee considered the targeted 2012 financial and strategic performance under management's annual business plan as approved by the Board in late 2011. For executives and certain other senior managers, the EFO performance targets were increased by the Committee following the acquisition of Solutia to include targeted financial performance of the acquired Solutia businesses for the second half of 2012. The target levels of EFO and corresponding UPP payout pools were set for above-target payout if the Company exceeded target annual business plan performance and below-target payout if performance did not meet target business plan EFO.

The target for 2012 adjusted EFO for executives and certain other senior managers (\$1.32 billion) corresponded to the Company's operating earnings target under the annual business plan for 2012 as approved by the Board in late 2011 and adjusted for the Solutia acquisition. The 2012 UPP threshold, target, and maximum adjusted EFO amounts for UPP award pool funding were:

	Threshold (50% Award Pool Funding)	Target (100% Award Pool Funding)	Maximum (200% Award Pool Funding)
<b>Earnings From Operations</b>	\$1.01 billion	\$1.32 billion	\$1.63 billion

*2012 UPP Company performance and payout pool.* Growth in operating results has resulted in target or above target annual UPP cash incentive payouts for each of the last three years, with 12 percent non-GAAP EFO growth from 2010 to 2011 and 30 percent non-GAAP EFO growth from 2011 to 2012. These results met or

**Table of Contents**

exceeded the UPP EFO targets for executives and certain other senior managers and resulted in above-target cash incentive payouts for 2010 and 2011 and a target payout for 2012. The graphs below show the threshold, target, and maximum payout performance targets for executives for each year and the non-GAAP EFO performance for each year and the corresponding multiples of target UPP payouts.

Adjusted EFO for 2012 was \$1.32 billion, resulting in a performance factor of 1.00 for executives and certain other senior managers and a total UPP award pool for all management level employees, including the named executive officers, of \$27.4 million. The calculation of EFO under the UPP for 2012 was adjusted to exclude from GAAP operating earnings mark-to-market pension and other postretirement benefit loss, Solutia acquisition-related costs and charges, and asset impairments and restructuring charges. These adjustments increased the calculated earnings from operations under the UPP by \$519 million and the UPP award pool by \$27.4 million.

*2012 UPP named executive officer payouts.* The Chief Executive Officer, in consultation with the other executive officers, determined the allocation of the overall UPP award pool to the various organizations within the Company based on their assessment of the performance of each organization relative to objectives established at the beginning of the performance year. The Compensation Committee determines the portions of the overall UPP award pool to be allocated to the CEO and to the executive officers as a group.

Once each organization's portion of the overall award pool was determined, management within each organization (the Chief Executive Officer in the case of the named executive officers other than the CEO and, in the case of the Chief Executive Officer, the Compensation Committee) allocated the organization's portion of the

**Table of Contents**

Company award pool for individual payouts, based upon individual performance against the financial, organizational, and strategic performance objectives and expectations established at the beginning of the performance year (described below for the CEO and the other named executive officers).

The Compensation Committee determined the CEO's payout (equal to 101% of his target variable cash pay amount) based upon the Compensation Committee's assessment of his individual performance as described below. The portion of the overall UPP award pool allocated to the other named executive officers was \$1,750,000 (equal to 103% of their aggregate individual target variable cash pay amounts), with their individual payouts based upon the CEO's assessment of each executive's individual performance as described below.

For 2012, the following corporate performance objectives were established for the CEO and the other named executive officers based upon targeted 2012 performance under management's annual business plan as approved by the Board in late 2011 and adjusted for the Solutia acquisition, with no specific weighting among the objectives. Actual performance against these objectives was assessed by the Compensation Committee (for the CEO) and by the CEO and the Compensation Committee (for the other named executive officers) in determining the amounts of the individual payouts:

Measure	Target	Actual
Earnings from operations*	\$1.32 billion	\$1.32 billion
Earnings per share*	\$5.30/share	\$5.38/share
Free cash flow**	<sup>3</sup> \$200 million	\$471 million
Capital expenditures	£\$500 million	\$465 million
Employee safety – days away from work (measured as days away from work per 200,000 hours worked) achieve goal by 2015 with annual improvement	£0.05	On track for 2015 goal
OSHA recordable injuries (measured per 200,000 hours worked) – achieve goal by 2015 with annual improvement	£0.35	On track for 2015 goal

\* Non-GAAP financial measure, with adjustments as described above.

\*\* Cash from operations less capital expenditures and dividends.

Additionally, each of the named executive officers had individual performance commitments targeted to each executive's area of responsibility, with no specific weighting among the commitments, and actual performance as assessed by the Compensation Committee (for the CEO) and by the CEO and the Compensation Committee (for the other named executive officers) as follows:

Officer	Commitments	Performance
James P. Rogers	Overall Company financial and business performance	Met
	Execution of organic and inorganic growth strategic initiatives (including Solutia acquisition)	Met
	Senior management and leadership development; personnel actions to optimize operational and financial results and diversity	Exceeded
Curtis E. Espeland	EFO \$1.32 billion*	Met
	EPS \$5.30*	Exceeded
	Free Cash Flow <sup>3</sup> \$200 million**	Exceeded
	Evaluation, completion, and integration of Solutia acquisition	Exceeded



**Table of Contents**

Officer	Commitments	Performance
Mark J. Costa	Coatings, Adhesives, Specialty Polymers, and Inks and Specialty Plastics (first half 2012) and Additives & Functional Products and Advanced Materials (second half 2012) business results:	
	EFO \$381 million*	Met
	Segment sales variable cost margins	Did not meet
	Coatings, Adhesives, Specialty Polymers, and Inks and Specialty Plastics (first half 2012) and Additives & Functional Products and Advanced Materials (second half 2012) growth strategy and initiatives and new product portfolio development	Exceeded
	Evaluation, completion, and integration of Solutia acquisition	Exceeded
	Manage and implement regional growth capability	Met
	personnel development	
	Management and leadership development; personnel actions to optimize operational and financial results and diversity	Met
Ronald C. Lindsay	Fibers, Performance Chemicals and Intermediates (first half 2012), and Adhesives & Plasticizers and Specialty Fluids & Intermediates (second half 2012) business results:	
	EFO \$711 million*	Exceeded
	Segment sales variable cost margins	Exceeded
	Fibers, Performance Chemicals and Intermediates (first half 2012), and Adhesives & Plasticizers and Specialty Fluids & Intermediates (second half 2012) growth strategy and initiatives (including plasticizers) and new product portfolio development	Exceeded
	Manage capital expenditures £ \$500 million	Met
	Management and leadership development; personnel actions to optimize operational and financial results and diversity	Met
Theresa K. Lee	Lead senior management and leadership development efforts and personnel actions to optimize operational and financial results and diversity	Met partially
	Lead implementation and execution of health, safety, and environmental public policy and compliance strategy and initiatives	Met
	Evaluation, completion, and integration of Solutia acquisition (including legal, health, safety and environment, and human resources support)	Exceeded

\* Non-GAAP financial measure, with adjustments as described above.

\*\* Cash from operations less capital expenditures and dividends.

The Compensation Committee determined that, based upon actual corporate performance against targets as listed above, each executive's individual performance and leadership that contributed to this performance was satisfactory and met or exceeded expectations for purposes of determining his or her allocated individual portion of the respective award pools (101% of Mr. Rogers's CEO individual target variable cash pay amount and 103% of the other named executives' aggregate individual target variable cash pay amounts).



**Table of Contents**

Additionally, the Compensation Committee evaluated each executive's performance against his or her individual commitments as described above and concluded that each executive's individual performance in these areas was overall at or above target performance for purposes of determining their individual portions of the respective award pools.

Based upon the amount of the UPP award pool allocated to the CEO and to the other named executive officers, respectively, as described above, and the assessments of the CEO's and other executives' individual performance against established goals and expectations as described above, the Compensation Committee determined the amounts of the individual payouts from the allocated portions of the UPP award pools based upon the Committee's judgment of overall Company performance and performance of applicable business or functional units, each individual executive's overall contribution and leadership, and external business conditions and circumstances, as follows:

<b>Named Executive Officer</b>	<b>Actual UPP Payout</b>	<b>Target UPP Payout</b>	<b>Actual UPP Payout as % of Target</b>
James P. Rogers	\$1,200,000	\$1,188,000	101%
Curtis E. Espeland	420,000	401,250	105%
Mark J. Costa	500,000	480,000	104%
Ronald C. Lindsay	500,000	480,000	104%
Theresa K. Lee	330,000	331,500	99%
<b>Stock-Based Incentive Pay</b>			

**Equity-Based Compensation Program.** Equity-based compensation is designed to facilitate stock ownership in order to link senior managers' pay to long-term return to other stockholders. Important elements of the current executive equity-based compensation program are:

Stock Options	Stock option program, implemented under the Company's Omnibus Stock Compensation Plan (the Omnibus Plan), creates a direct link between compensation of key Company managers and long-term performance of the Company through appreciation of stock price.
Performance Shares	Awarded under the Omnibus Plan to provide an incentive for key managers to earn stock awards by meeting specified business or individual performance goals.
Other Stock-Based Incentive Pay	Under the Omnibus Plan, the Compensation Committee may also award additional stock-based compensation (with or without restrictions), including performance units, restricted stock units, additional options, stock appreciation rights, and options with performance-based or other conditions to vesting.
Stock Ownership Expectations	Established for executive officers to encourage long-term stock ownership and the holding of shares awarded under the Omnibus Plan or acquired upon exercise of options. Over a five-year period, executive officers are expected to accumulate stock with a value of two and one-half times their annual base pay (five times base pay for the Chief Executive Officer) in Company stock or stock equivalents. See Stock Ownership of Directors and Executive Officers' Executive and Director Stock Ownership Expectations. All executive officers have met or are on schedule to meet their ownership expectations.

Beginning in 2013, the Committee has decided to make annual long-term stock-based incentive pay awards early in the year when it makes other annual compensation decisions rather than late in the year. The Compensation Committee adopted this change in order to make all compensation decisions at the same time based on the same performance and other pay-related information. As a result, the Compensation Committee granted options (including additional options for the period between October 2012 and February 2013) to executives in February 2013 rather than in October 2012. Accordingly, the stock-based compensation award values and underlying share

## **Table of Contents**

numbers reported in the Summary Compensation Table and in the 2012 Grants of Plan-Based Awards table which follow this Compensation Discussion and Analysis do not include option grants for 2012, and the options granted by the Compensation Committee in February 2013 will be included in such pay tables in the proxy statement for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

**How Stock-Based Incentive Pay Levels Are Determined.** The Compensation Committee establishes the value and mix of total stock-based incentive pay for a year by considering recommendations from Aon Hewitt based on long-term compensation survey data for the same comparator companies that are considered in setting annual cash compensation (as discussed above).

As requested by the Compensation Committee, Aon Hewitt provides benchmarking analysis of this long-term stock-based compensation information, and also advises the Compensation Committee of general market stock-based incentive compensation practices and trends.

The Compensation Committee also reviews the estimated value of each named executive officer's outstanding unvested, unexercised, and unrealized stock-based awards in determining stock-based incentive pay levels.

For 2012, performance shares were awarded in amounts intended to align with the mid-range of comparable stock-based compensation of the comparator companies.

**Stock Options.** In recent years, the Compensation Committee has provided approximately 40% of the value of each executive officer's stock-based compensation in the form of stock options. The Compensation Committee grants stock options with an exercise price equal to the market price of the underlying stock on the grant date, and on the date of its authorization of grants it sets a grant date that is on or after the date of approval of the grant. In determining the size and terms of option awards, the Compensation Committee uses the services of Aon Hewitt to derive values of options using a variation of the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. In addition, Aon Hewitt advises the Compensation Committee in the design of retention and performance incentive features of option grants. As noted above, the Compensation Committee granted options (including additional options for the period between October 2012 and February 2013) to executives and other senior managers in February 2013 rather than in October 2012, and determined the amounts, values, and terms and of these grants based on the same criteria as in previous years as described above. Computation of the value of option awards is comparable to values determined under FASB ASC Topic 718 and reported in the Option Awards column of the Summary Compensation Table below.

**Long-Term Performance Shares.** For performance shares, performance is determined by comparing the Company's multi-year performance as measured against a return on capital target established at the beginning of the three-year performance period, and the Company's total return to stockholders (change in stock price plus dividends declared during the performance period, assuming reinvestment of dividends) relative to a peer group of industrial companies comprising the Standard and Poor's Materials Sector from Standard and Poor's Super Composite 1500 Index. The return on capital target is established considering corporate and strategic business plans and expectations for the performance period. Performance relative to the total return to stockholders target is determined by the Company's quintile placement relative to the peer group of industrial companies at the end of the three-year performance period. If earned, awards are paid after the end of the performance period in unrestricted shares of Eastman common stock.

In early 2013, the Compensation Committee awarded performance shares for the 2013-2015 performance period to each executive officer to provide incentives for exceeding internal financial objectives and external return objectives versus a peer group of companies.



**Table of Contents**

The Compensation Committee reviewed performance results and approved a payout of shares to the executive officers under performance shares previously awarded for the 2010-2012 performance period. The following tables show the targets and the payout matrix for the 2010-2012 performance shares corresponding to return on capital and total stockholder return targets:

Performance Years	Target Return on Capital	Total Stockholder Return (TSR) Target Quintile
2010, 2011, and 2012	8.5%	3rd Quintile

**Eastman TSR Relative****Differential from Target Return on Capital****to Comparison**

Companies	<-7%	-7 to -5%	-4.99 to -3%	-2.99 to -1%	-.99 to 0%	.01 to 1%	1.01 to 3%	3.01 to 5%	5.01 to 7%	7.01 to 10%	>10%
0-19% (5th quintile)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	1.1	1.5
20-39% (4th quintile)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.5	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.1	1.5	2.0
40-59% (3rd quintile)	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.5	0.8	1.0	1.2	1.5	1.8	2.1	2.4
60-79% (2nd quintile)	0.0	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.3	1.6	1.9	2.2	2.5	2.8
80-99% (1st quintile)	0.0	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.3	1.6	1.9	2.2	2.5	2.8	3.0

Payouts under the 2010-2012 performance share awards for the named executive officers ranged from 43,500 shares to 235,000 shares, and represented 250% of the target award (of a possible 300% of the target award) based upon the Company's total stockholder return ranking in the 1st quintile of the compared companies and an average return on capital of 7.0% in excess of the return on capital target. Measurement of return on capital under the performance shares was based on reported GAAP earnings, and did not exclude charges or other items excluded in the non-GAAP financial measures disclosed by the Company.

**Executive Perquisites and Personal Benefits**

The Company provides only limited perquisites to our named executive officers, and those perquisites are designed to provide specific benefits. The Compensation Committee annually reviews the types and amount of perquisites provided to executives, and tax treatment of those perquisites for both the Company and executives. Perquisites provided to executives for 2012 were:

personal umbrella liability insurance coverage,

home security system, and

non-business travel on corporate aircraft by executives, their families, and invited guests when seats are available and the aircraft is otherwise being used for Company business.

In addition, in light of the significant time demands on our Chief Executive Officer, the Compensation Committee has determined that it is appropriate to allow the Chief Executive Officer to use corporate aircraft whenever possible for both business and personal travel (and for his family when they are travelling with him). This personal use is accounted for and periodically reviewed by the Compensation Committee.

**Executive Termination and Change-in-Control Agreements**

The Company believes that severance protections in the context of a change-in-control transaction can play a valuable role in attracting and retaining key executive officers, and that the occurrence, or potential occurrence, of a change-in-control transaction will create uncertainty regarding the continued employment of our executive officers. This uncertainty results from the fact that many change-in-control transactions result in significant organizational changes, particularly at the senior executive level. In order to eliminate such a distraction and encourage our executive officers to remain employed with the Company when their prospects for continued employment following a transaction are often

## Edgar Filing: EASTMAN CHEMICAL CO - Form DEF 14A

uncertain, we provide certain of our executive officers with severance benefits if their employment is terminated by the Company without cause or by the executive for good reason in connection with a change-in-control. Detailed information regarding these change-in-control severance agreements and the benefits they provide is included in the Termination and Change-in-Control Arrangements section of this proxy statement.

---

## **Table of Contents**

The Compensation Committee evaluates the level of severance benefits payable to each executive officer, and considers these severance protections an important part of executives' compensation and consistent with practices of peer companies. Consistent with recommendations from Aon Hewitt and current market and peer company practices, the Compensation Committee has approved and the Company has entered into change in control severance agreements with the named executive officers and certain other executive officers that provide for payments of no more than three-times base pay plus target annual bonus for the CEO and two-times base pay plus target annual bonus for other executive officers and which do not provide for a gross-up payment for any excise tax imposed under the Internal Revenue Code on amounts payable under the agreement.

In addition, Mr. Costa's employment agreement provides for a cash severance payment and vesting of certain outstanding stock-based compensation awards in the event of his termination without cause or his resignation for good reason, and for certain circumstances in addition to those covered by the executive change in control severance agreements. The Compensation Committee approved Mr. Costa's termination arrangements and the other terms of his employment agreement when he was hired in 2006. Detailed information regarding the termination provisions of Mr. Costa's employment agreement is included in the Termination and Change-in-Control Arrangements section of this proxy statement.

### **Tax Treatment of Executive Officer Compensation**

The Compensation Committee intends to preserve the Company's ability to deduct compensation paid to the Company's Chief Executive Officer and other executive officers for tax purposes to the extent possible while also maintaining the flexibility to compensate such officers in accordance with the Company's compensation philosophy.

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code generally limits the deductibility to the Company of annual compensation (other than qualified performance-based compensation) in excess of \$1 million paid to certain of the Company's executive officers. Base salaries, variable cash compensation under the UPP, any bonus payments outside the UPP, and stock and stock-based compensation payable other than solely based on corporate performance conditions are generally subject to the \$1 million limit on tax deductible compensation. Compensation attributable to stock options and performance shares may qualify for deductibility under Section 162(m). Changes in tax laws (and interpretations of those laws), as well as other factors beyond the Company's control, also affect the deductibility of executive compensation. For these and other reasons, the Company will not necessarily in all circumstances limit executive compensation to the amount which is permitted to be deductible as an expense of the Company under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code. The Committee will consider various alternatives to preserving the deductibility of compensation payments and benefits to the extent reasonably practicable and to the extent consistent with the Company's other compensation objectives.

A portion of named executive officer compensation for 2012 was non-deductible to the Company under Section 162(m). The anticipated amount of the Company's taxes for non-deductible compensation in 2012 is approximately \$500,000. The Compensation Committee will continue to retain the discretion to pay non-deductible amounts. The Compensation Committee believes that such flexibility best serves the interests of the Company and its stockholders by allowing the Committee to recognize and motivate executive officers as circumstances warrant.

### **Reimbursement of Certain Compensation Following Restatements**

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act and Company policy and pending provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act govern the process for reimbursement by executive officers of certain bonus or other incentive-based or equity-based compensation received following public disclosure of an accounting restatement due to material noncompliance by the Company with any financial reporting requirements. In addition, our Omnibus Long-Term Compensation Plans require reimbursement of certain amounts from awards following an accounting restatement due to material noncompliance by the Company with any financial reporting requirement.

**Table of Contents****Compensation Tables**

The following Summary Compensation Table sets forth information concerning compensation of the individuals serving as Eastman's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer during 2012 and of the Company's three other most highly compensated executive officers for the year ended December 31, 2012.

**Summary Compensation Table**

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)(1)(2)	Option Awards (\$)(1)	Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)(3)	Change in	All Other Compensation (\$)(5)	Total (\$)
							Pension Value And Nonqualified Non-Equity Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)(4)		
James P. Rogers Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer	2012	\$ 1,066,539	\$0	\$3,465,779	\$0	\$1,200,000	\$929,108	\$150,143	\$6,811,569
	2011	1,021,923	0	6,325,920	2,085,029	1,600,000	816,938	187,575	12,037,385
	2010	995,615	0	4,010,040	1,572,864	2,000,000	299,892	113,512	8,991,923
Curtis E. Espeland Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2012	525,577	0	1,041,400	0	420,000	325,404	53,779	2,366,160
	2011	489,231	0	1,650,240	323,431	550,000	224,385	58,962	3,296,249
	2010	452,923	0	1,109,160	410,692	690,000	132,791	41,146	2,836,712
Mark J. Costa Executive Vice President Additives & Functional Products, Advanced Materials, and Chief Marketing Officer	2012	588,827	0	1,041,400	0	500,000	171,270	60,941	2,362,438
	2011	548,942	0	1,952,784	304,949	630,000	91,331	66,694	3,594,700
	2010	518,639	0	1,023,840	454,383	784,500	54,077	47,011	2,882,450
Ronald C. Lindsay Executive Vice President Adhesives & Plasticizers, Fibers, Specialty Fluids & Intermediates, Engineering and Construction, and Manufacturing Support	2012	575,769	0	1,041,400	0	500,000	600,096	60,289	2,777,554
	2011	500,619	0	1,952,784	304,949	630,000	393,631	60,654	3,842,637
	2010	470,615	0	1,023,840	454,383	712,500	234,103	40,281	2,935,722
Theresa K. Lee(6) Senior Vice President, Chief Legal and Administrative Officer	2012	504,615	0	666,496	0	330,000	472,013	48,981	2,022,105
	2011	481,654	0	1,100,160	499,008	475,000	273,498	53,918	2,883,238
	2010	456,381	0	742,284	279,620	596,700	184,366	39,312	2,298,663

- (1) Grant date fair value of awards of performance shares (reported in the Stock Awards column) and options (reported in the Option Awards column) made in the year indicated, computed in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 718 (Stock Compensation). See note 21 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in the Annual Report to Stockholders for 2012 (mailed and delivered electronically with this proxy statement) for a description of the assumptions made in the valuation of stock awards under FASB ASC Topic 718. As described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis preceding these

## Edgar Filing: EASTMAN CHEMICAL CO - Form DEF 14A

tables, annual option grants to the named executives were made in February 2013 rather than in 2012 and accordingly are not included for 2012. For more information about stock and option awards, see 2012 Grants of Plan-Based Awards, Outstanding Equity Awards at 2012 Year-End, and 2012 Option Exercises and Stock Vested tables.

- (2) Contingent stock awards ( performance shares ) with future payment subject to satisfaction of continued employment for specified time periods and the achievement of specified performance-based conditions. Performance share awards were made for performance periods beginning January 1, 2010 and ended December 31, 2012, beginning January 1, 2011 and ending December 31, 2013, and beginning January 1, 2012 and ending December 31, 2014, respectively. The potential maximum grant date value of the performance share awards assuming the highest level of performance conditions, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, were: Mr. Rogers (2010 \$6,868,110, 2011 \$9,487,500, 2012 \$4,426,906); Mr. Espeland (2010 \$1,899,690, 2011 \$2,475,000, 2012 \$1,330,200); Mr. Costa

**Table of Contents**

(2010 \$1,753,560, 2011 \$2,928,750, 2012 \$1,330,200); Mr. Lindsay (2010 \$1,753,560, 2011 \$2,928,750, 2012 \$1,330,200); and Ms. Lee (2010 \$1,271,331, 2011 \$1,650,000, 2012 \$851,328).

- (3) Cash payments made in the following year for performance in the year indicated under the Unit Performance Plan (the UPP). As described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis preceding these tables and in the 2012 Grants of Plan-Based Awards table below, the UPP is the Company's annual variable cash pay program under which a portion of the total annual compensation of executive officers and other management-level employees is dependent upon corporate, organizational, and individual performance.
- (4) Change in Pension Value is the aggregate change in actuarial present value of the executive officer's accumulated benefit under all defined benefit and actuarial retirement plans (including supplemental plans) accrued during the year (pension plans). These plans are the Company's tax-qualified defined benefit pension plan (the Eastman Retirement Assistance Plan, or ERAP) and unfunded, nonqualified retirement plans supplemental to the ERAP that provide benefits in excess of those allowed under the ERAP (the Eastman Unfunded Retirement Income Plan, or URIP, and the Eastman Excess Retirement Income Plan, or ERIP). The aggregate increase in actuarial value of the pension plans is computed as of the same pension plan measurement date used for financial statement reporting purposes with respect to the Company's financial statements for 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The actuarial present value calculations are based on the IRS 2013 Prescribed 417(e)(3) Unisex table, and assume individual compensation and service through December 31, 2012, December 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively, with benefit commencement at the normal retirement age of 65. Benefits are discounted using a 3.89% discount rate for the 2012 calculation, a 4.88% discount rate for the 2011 calculation, and a 5.26% discount rate for the 2010 calculation. See the Pension Benefits table for additional information about the named executive officers' benefits under the pension plans. Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings refers to above-market or preferential earnings on compensation that is deferred on a basis that is not tax-qualified, including such earnings on nonqualified defined contribution plans. The Company maintains the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan (the EDCP), an unfunded, nonqualified deferred compensation plan into which executive officers can defer compensation until retirement or termination from the Company. For 2012, 2011, and 2010, there were no preferential or above-market earnings on amounts in individual EDCP stock accounts (defined as appreciation in value and dividend equivalents earned at a rate higher than appreciation in value and dividends on common stock) or on individual EDCP interest accounts (defined as interest on amounts deferred at a rate exceeding 120% of the federal long term rate). See the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table for additional information about the named executive officers' EDCP accounts.
- (5) All Other Compensation for 2012 consists of the following:

**Annual Company contributions to defined contribution plans.** The amounts reported for 2012 are the total annual Company contributions to the accounts of Messrs. Rogers (\$133,327), Espeland (\$53,779), and Lindsay (\$60,289), and Ms. Lee (\$48,981) in the Eastman Investment Plan, a 401(k) retirement plan, and in the EDCP, and to Mr. Costa (\$60,941) in the Eastman Stock Ownership Plan (ESOP) and EDCP. Contributions to the Eastman Investment Plan or ESOP equaled \$12,500 for each named executive, with the remaining Company contributions to their EDCP accounts. See the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table for additional information about Company contributions into the named executive officers' EDCP accounts. Annual Company contributions were based upon actual compensation paid during the calendar year.

**Perquisites and other personal benefits.** Perquisites and personal benefits to the named executives (described in Compensation Discussion and Analysis Executive Perquisites and Personal Benefits) are not reported for 2012 except for Mr. Rogers, since the total incremental cost to the Company per individual was less than \$10,000.

The amount reported for Mr. Rogers for 2012 is the aggregate value, based upon the incremental cost to the Company, of the following perquisites and other personal benefits: personal umbrella liability insurance coverage (\$1,155), home security system (\$519), and non-business travel on corporate aircraft

**Table of Contents**

by Mr. Rogers and his family (\$15,142). The aggregate incremental cost to the Company for operating the corporate aircraft for personal flights for the Chief Executive Officer and his family is based upon calculation of direct operating costs including fuel, fuel additives, lubricants, maintenance, reserves for engine restoration and overhaul, landing and parking expenses, crew expenses, and miscellaneous supplies and catering (including for any deadhead segments of such flights when the aircraft flies empty before picking up or dropping off Mr. Rogers). The aggregate incremental costs to the Company of the umbrella liability insurance and the home security system are based upon the actual amounts paid by the Company.

(6) Ms. Lee retired effective January 1, 2013.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding grants of non-equity incentive awards and equity incentive awards made in 2012 to the individuals named in the Summary Compensation Table.

**2012 Grants of Plan-Based Awards**

Name	Approval Date (1)	Grant Date (2)	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards(3)			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards(4)			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#)	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#)(5)	Exercise or Base Price Awards (\$/Share)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (6)
			Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)				
J. P. Rogers	10/5/2011	1/1/2012	\$594,000	\$ 1,188,000	\$ 2,376,000	26,624	66,560	166,400				\$ 3,465,779
C. E. Espeland	10/5/2011	1/1/2012	\$200,625	\$ 401,250	\$ 802,500	8,000	20,000	50,000				1,041,400
M. J. Costa	10/5/2011	1/1/2012	\$240,000	\$ 480,000	\$ 960,000	8,000	20,000	50,000				1,041,400
R. C. Lindsay	10/5/2011	1/1/2012	\$240,000	\$ 480,000	\$ 960,000	8,000	20,000	50,000				1,041,400
T. K. Lee	10/5/2011	1/1/2012	\$165,750	\$ 331,500	\$ 663,000	5,120	12,800	32,000				666,496

- (1) The Compensation Committee approved performance share awards for executive officers and other eligible managers for the 2012-2014 performance period in October 2011.
- (2) Performance share awards for 2012-2014 were effective as of the beginning of the performance period on January 1, 2012.
- (3) Estimated future payouts under the UPP. The Threshold column reflects the payout level if performance is at minimum of 75% of target levels. The Target column reflects the payout level if performance is at 100% of target levels. The Maximum column reflects the payout level if performance is at 125% of target levels for specified above-goal performance. See the Summary Compensation Table for actual payout under the UPP for 2012 and Compensation Discussion and Analysis for a description of the UPP and how the payouts were determined.
- (4) Estimated future share payouts at threshold, target, and maximum levels for performance shares for the 2012-2014 performance period, assuming performance conditions are satisfied. Ms. Lee retired January 1, 2013, and her performance share payout will be prorated. See also Compensation Discussion and Analysis for a description of how performance share payouts are determined, Outstanding Equity Awards at 2012 Year-End table, and Termination and Change-in-Control Arrangements .

- (5) As described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis preceding these tables, annual option grants to executives were made in February 2013 rather than in 2012 and accordingly are not included for 2012.
  
- (6) Grant date fair value of performance share awards, computed in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) Accounting Standards Codification ( ASC ) Topic 718 (*Stock Compensation*).



**Table of Contents**

The following table sets forth information regarding outstanding option and stock awards as of December 31, 2012 held by individuals named in the Summary Compensation Table.

**Outstanding Equity Awards at 2012 Year-End**

Name	Option Awards					Stock Awards			Equity Incentive
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unearned Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)(1)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#)(2)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested \$(3)
J. P. Rogers	80,000			30.46	10/30/16				
	63,000			33.08	10/29/17				
	212,000			27.82	10/26/19				
	120,000	60,000(4)		39.84	11/01/20				
	41,333	82,667(5)		38.30	10/31/21				
	33,333	66,667(6)		38.95	11/01/21			268,960	\$18,302,728
C. E. Espeland	17,100			33.08	10/29/17				
	31,332	15,668(4)		39.84	11/01/20				
	11,666	23,334(5)		38.30	10/31/21	30,000(7)	\$2,041,500		
M. J. Costa	130,000			28.26	05/31/16			72,800	\$ 4,954,040
	56,000			30.46	10/30/16				
	38,400			33.08	10/29/17				
	16,400			18.30	10/27/18				
	55,000			27.82	10/26/19				
	34,666	17,334(4)		39.84	11/01/20				
	11,000	22,000(5)		38.30	10/31/21			82,480	\$ 5,612,764
R. C. Lindsay	40,000			30.46	10/30/16				
	27,800			33.08	10/29/17				
	36,600			18.30	10/27/18				
	55,000			27.82	10/26/19				
	34,666	17,334(4)		39.84	11/01/20				
	11,000	22,000(5)		38.30	10/31/21			82,480	\$ 5,612,764
T. K. Lee		10,668(4)		39.84	11/01/20(4)				
		36,000(5)		38.30	10/31/21(5)			48,000	\$ 3,266,400

(1) Market value of shares of common stock payable under restricted stock units, based on the per share closing price of the Company's common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on December 31, 2012.

(2) Number of shares of common stock to be paid under outstanding performance shares, based upon actual performance through 2012, for 2011-2013 and 2012-2014 performance periods. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis for a description of how performance share payouts are determined. If earned, the awards will be paid after the end of the performance period in unrestricted shares of Eastman

## Edgar Filing: EASTMAN CHEMICAL CO - Form DEF 14A

common stock (subject to proration if the executive's employment is terminated during the performance period because of retirement, death, or disability, and to cancellation in the event of resignation or termination for cause).

- (3) Value of shares of common stock to be paid under outstanding performance shares, based upon actual performance through 2012, for 2011-2013 and 2012-2014 performance periods, assuming a market value equal to the closing price of the Company's common stock on the New York Stock Exchange as of December 31, 2012.
- (4) Option becomes exercisable on November 2, 2013. Ms. Lee retired January 1, 2013, and her option expires January 1, 2018.
- (5) Option becomes exercisable as to one-half of the shares on November 1, 2013 and as to the remaining shares on November 1, 2014. Ms. Lee retired January 1, 2013, and her option expires January 1, 2018.

**Table of Contents**

- (6) In addition to the vesting and forfeiture terms described in note (5), vesting is subject to the Compensation Committee's determination of Mr. Rogers's satisfactory performance in the area of management and leadership development of internal candidates for senior leadership positions.
- (7) Restricted stock units, representing the right to receive the same number of unrestricted shares of common stock on December 2, 2013, subject to continued employment (other than termination by reason of death or disability).

The following table summarizes aggregate values realized upon exercise of options and payout of stock under performance shares for 2012 for the individuals named in the Summary Compensation Table.

**2012 Option Exercises and Stock Vested**

Name	Option Awards(1)		Stock Awards(2)	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise(\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting(\$)
J. P. Rogers	208,710	\$7,176,905	335,000	\$24,036,650
C. E. Espeland	89,700	2,877,553	65,000	4,659,850
M. J. Costa	0	0	110,000	7,896,150
R. C. Lindsay	30,000	903,567	110,000	7,896,150
T. K. Lee	130,232	3,208,954	43,500	3,118,515

(1) Represents number of shares and aggregate value realized upon exercise of options during 2012.

(2) Represents the number of shares received by each named executive upon payout under 2010-2012 performance shares award and by Messrs. Rogers, Costa, and Lindsay upon vesting and payout of restricted stock units, and the aggregate value of such shares of common stock (including dividend equivalent payments) based upon the per share closing price of the common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on the payout date.

The following table summarizes the portion of post-employment benefits payable to the individuals named in the Summary Compensation Table from Company pension plans as of December 31, 2012.

**Pension Benefits**

Name	Plan Name (1)(2)	Number of Years of Credited Service (#)	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit (\$)(3)	Payments During Last Year(\$)
J. P. Rogers	ERAP	13	\$ 244,593	\$0
	ERIP/URIP	13	2,747,825	0
C. E. Espeland	ERAP	17	216,275	0
	ERIP/URIP	17	687,080	0
M. J. Costa	ERAP	7	71,433	0
	ERIP/URIP	7	326,648	0

Edgar Filing: EASTMAN CHEMICAL CO - Form DEF 14A

R. C. Lindsay	ERAP	33	617,290	0
	ERIP/URIP	33	1,241,333	0
T. K. Lee	ERAP	25	651,996	0
	ERIP/URIP	25	1,319,027	0

(1) The Eastman Retirement Assistance Plan ( ERAP ) is a tax-qualified, non-contributory defined benefit pension plan that generally covers employees who became employed with us on or before December 31, 2006. A participant s total ERAP benefit consists of his or her Pre-2000 Benefit and Pension Equity Benefit, as described below:

**Pre-2000 Benefit.** Prior to 2000, the ERAP used a traditional pension formula which gave each participant a life annuity commencing at age 65. A participant is eligible for an unreduced Pre-2000 Benefit when such

**Table of Contents**

participant's aggregate age plus years of eligible service totals 85, or at age 65. At retirement, the actuarial present value of the future annual Pre-2000 Benefit payments may at the election of the participant be paid in a lump sum. Benefits earned during 1998 and 1999, upon the election of the participant, may be payable over five years. The Pre-2000 Benefits payable upon retirement are based upon the participant's years of service with the Company and average participating compensation, which is the average of three years of those earnings described in the ERAP as participating compensation. Participating compensation, in the case of the named executive officers consists of salary, bonus, and non-equity incentive plan compensation, including an allowance in lieu of salary for authorized periods of absence, such as illness, vacation, and holidays. To the extent that any participant's annual Pre-2000 Benefit exceeds the amount payable under the ERAP, such excess will be paid from one or more unfunded, supplementary plans.

**Pension Equity Benefit.** Effective January 1, 2000, the Company redesigned the ERAP to use a pension equity formula. Under the pension equity formula, beginning January 1, 2000, a participant earns a certain percentage of final average earnings each year based upon age and total service with the Company. When a participant terminates employment, he or she is entitled to a pension amount, payable over five years. The amount may also be converted to various forms of annuities. To the extent that any participant's Pension Equity Benefit exceeds the amount payable under the ERAP, such excess will be paid from one or more unfunded, supplementary plans.

(2) The Company maintains two unfunded, nonqualified plans, the Unfunded Retirement Income Plan ( URIP ) and the Excess Retirement Income Plan ( ERIP ). The ERIP and the URIP will restore to participants in the ERAP benefits that cannot be paid under the ERAP because of applicable tax law limits, and benefits that are not accrued under the ERAP because of a voluntary deferral by the participant of compensation that would otherwise be counted for benefit calculation under the ERAP. The Company has established a Rabbi Trust to provide a degree of financial security for the participants' unfunded account balances under the ERIP and URIP. See Termination and Change-in-Control Arrangements Benefit Security Trust.

(3) Actuarial present value of the accumulated benefit, computed as of the same pension plan measurement date used for financial statement reporting purposes with respect to the Company's audited financial statements for 2012. The actuarial present value calculation is based on the IRS 2013 Prescribed 417(e)(3)-Unisex post-retirement mortality tables, and assumes individual compensation and service through December 31, 2012, with benefit commencement at normal retirement age of 65. Benefits are discounted using a 3.89% discount rate.

The following table is a summary of participation by the individuals named in the Summary Compensation Table in the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan (the EDCP ), an unfunded, nonqualified deferred compensation plan into which executive officers and other management-level employees can defer compensation until retirement or termination from the Company. Annual base and incentive cash compensation, stock and stock-based awards which are payable in cash and allowed to be deferred, and special compensation payable in connection with the employee's initial employment with the Company, may be deferred into the EDCP. Compensation deferred into the EDCP was credited at the election of the employee to individual hypothetical interest accounts or Eastman stock accounts until late 2012 when those two accounts were replaced with multiple hypothetical investment alternatives, including an Eastman stock fund. Amounts deferred into interest accounts were credited with interest at the prime rate until transfer or distribution, and amounts deferred into Eastman stock accounts increase or decrease in value depending on the market price of Eastman common stock. When cash dividends are declared on the common stock, each stock account receives a dividend equivalent which is used to hypothetically purchase additional shares. Upon retirement or termination of employment, the value of a participant's EDCP account is paid, in cash, in a single lump sum or in up to ten annual installments as elected in advance by the participant. The EDCP also provides for early withdrawal by a participant of amounts in his or her EDCP account in certain limited circumstances.

All amounts in the following table have been previously earned by the named executives and reported by the Company in this proxy statement and in annual meeting proxy statements for previous years, and are not new or additional compensation to the named executives.

**Table of Contents****Nonqualified Deferred Compensation**

Name	Executive Contributions	Registrant Contributions	Aggregate Earnings	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions	Aggregate Balance at Last
	in Last Year	in Last Year	in Last Year		Year-End(\$)(3)
	(\$)	\$(1)	\$(2)	(\$)	
J. P. Rogers	\$0	\$ 120,827	\$ 31,638	\$0	\$ 1,108,542
C. E. Espeland	0	41,279	19,163	0	624,408
M. J. Costa	0	48,441	4,422	0	159,042
R. C. Lindsay	0	47,789	244,441	0	702,456
T. K. Lee	0	36,481	7,762	0	272,618

- (1) Annual Company contributions were made to the accounts of Messrs. Rogers, Espeland, and Lindsay, and Ms. Lee in the Eastman Investment Plan, a 401(k) retirement plan, and in the EDCP, and to Mr. Costa in the Eastman ESOP and EDCP. Amounts shown are the amounts before provision for certain taxes contributed into the EDCP and represent amounts that could not be contributed into the 401(k) retirement plan or ESOP accounts of the individuals due to Internal Revenue Code restrictions. The total amount of the contributions for each named executive officer in the Eastman Investment Plan, the ESOP, and the EDCP was five percent of his or her 2012 earnings. These contributions are included in the Summary Compensation Table in the All Other Compensation column.
- (2) Aggregate amounts credited to participant accounts during 2012. No earnings on deferred amounts are included in the Summary Compensation Table in the Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings column because there were no preferential or above-market earnings on any of the hypothetical investments. Quarterly dividend equivalents of 26 cents per hypothetical share for the first, second, and third quarters and of 30 cents per hypothetical share for the fourth quarter were credited to amounts in individual Eastman stock accounts, and the rate of interest credited to amounts in individual interest accounts was 3.25%, during 2012.
- (3) Balance in individual EDCP accounts as of December 31, 2012. The portions of the balances from annual Company contributions after provision for certain taxes (\$485,160 for Mr. Rogers, \$131,842 for Mr. Espeland, \$146,983 for Mr. Costa, \$141,843 for Mr. Lindsay and \$191,803 for Ms. Lee) were reported as All Other Compensation in the Summary Compensation Table in this proxy statement and in the annual meeting proxy statements for previous years; the portions of the balances from deferred salary (\$78,000 for Mr. Espeland and \$52,000 for Ms. Lee) were included in the amounts reported as Salary in the Summary Compensation Table in the annual meeting proxy statements for previous years; the portions of the balances from deferred annual incentive compensation and bonuses (\$146,575 for Mr. Rogers) were included in the amounts reported as Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation in the Summary Compensation Table in the annual meeting proxy statements for 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009, 2008, and 2007 and in the amounts reported as Bonus in the Summary Compensation Table in the annual meeting proxy statements for previous years; the portions of the balances from deferred stock-based awards (\$251,752 for Mr. Espeland and \$216,611 for Mr. Lindsay) are not reported in the Summary Compensation Table in this proxy statement and in the annual meeting proxy statements for 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009, 2008, and 2007 and were reported as Long-Term Incentive Plan Payouts in the Summary Compensation Table in the annual meeting proxy statements for previous years. The portions of the balances from earnings on deferred amounts were not reported in the Summary Compensation Table in this proxy statement or in the annual meeting proxy statements for previous years because there were no preferential or above-market earnings on any individual EDCP hypothetical investments. Amounts in the Registrant Contributions for Last Fiscal Year column were paid in February 2013 and are not included in the aggregate balance as of December 31, 2012.

**Termination and Change-in-Control Arrangements**

The Company's Change-in-Control Agreements with certain executive officers, including the individuals named in the Summary Compensation Table, and the Omnibus Long-Term Compensation Plans, provide for compensation and benefits in certain circumstances upon or following termination of the executive or a change-



---

**Table of Contents**

in-control of the Company. In addition, Mr. Costa's employment agreement also includes certain additional termination arrangements. Circumstances that trigger compensation or provision of benefits related to termination or change in control, how such compensation and benefits are determined, and conditions or obligations applicable to the receipt of payments and benefits are described below.

**Change-in-Control Agreements.** For the reasons described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the Company has entered into Change-in-Control Agreements with the individuals named in the Summary Compensation Table and certain other executive officers of the Company. The Agreements provide for specified compensation and benefits following a change-in-control of the Company. A change-in-control is generally defined in the Agreements to include the following, subject to certain exceptions: (i) the acquisition by a person of 35% or more of the voting stock of the Company; (ii) the incumbent Board members (and subsequent directors approved by them) ceasing to constitute a majority of the Board; (iii) approval by the Company's stockholders of a reorganization or merger unless, after such proposed transaction, the former stockholders of the Company will own more than 50% of the resulting corporation's voting stock, no person will own 35% or more of the resulting corporation's voting stock, and the incumbent Board members will continue to constitute at least a majority of the Board of the resulting corporation; or (iv) approval by the Company's stockholders of a complete liquidation or dissolution of the Company.

Pursuant to the Agreements, in the event that a change-in-control of the Company occurs during the change-in-control period, the Company agrees to continue to employ the executive for a period of two years after the occurrence of such change-in-control (the Employment Period). The change-in-control period means the period commencing on December 1, 2012, and ending two years after such date; provided that on each anniversary of the Agreements, the change-in-control period is automatically extended so as to terminate two years after such anniversary, unless the Company provides timely notice to the executive that it will not extend the period.

During the Employment Period, the executive would be entitled to (i) an annual base salary at a rate at least equal to the base salary in effect on the date of the change-in-control; (ii) an annual bonus at least equal to the executive's target bonus opportunity for the last full fiscal year prior to the change-in-control; and (iii) continued participation in all incentive, savings, retirement, welfare benefit, and fringe benefit plans applicable to other peer executives of the Company on terms no less favorable than those in effect during the 120-day period preceding the change in control.

The Agreements also specify the payments and benefits to which an executive would be entitled upon a termination of employment during the Employment Period for specified reasons, including death, retirement, disability, termination by the Company with or without cause, and termination by the executive for or without good reason (as such terms are defined in the Agreement).

If an executive's employment were to be terminated by the Company for any reason other than for cause or disability, or by the executive for good reason, during the Employment Period, the Company would be required to:

(i) pay to the executive a lump sum cash payment equal to his or her accrued obligations (unpaid base salary through the date of termination, a prorated target bonus for the year of termination, and any accrued vacation pay),

(ii) pay to the executive a lump sum severance payment equal to three-times (in the case of the CEO) or two-times (in the case of the other executives) the sum of his or her then-current annual base salary plus the amount of his or her target annual bonus for the year in which the termination occurs,

(iii) continue to provide all health and welfare benefits to the executive and his or her eligible dependents, subject to certain limitations, for 18 months following termination,

(iv) accelerate the vesting of the executive's unvested benefits under the Company's retirement plans, and pay to the executive a lump sum cash payment equal to the value of such unvested benefits, and

(v) pay or provide to the executive any other amounts or benefits to which he or she was entitled under any of the Company's plans, programs, policies, practices, contracts, or agreements then in effect.



## Table of Contents

Upon the termination of an executive's employment by reason of death, disability, or retirement, or upon a termination by the Company for cause or by the executive without good reason, the Agreement would terminate without further obligation of the Company other than the payment of base salary through the date of termination and any other amounts or benefits to which the executive was entitled under any of the Company's plans, programs, policies, practices, contracts, or agreements then in effect.

Cause is defined in the Agreements as a material breach by the executive of any provision of his or her agreement; the conviction of the executive of any criminal act that the Board deems to constitute cause; a material breach by the executive of a published Company code of conduct or code of ethics; or conduct by the executive in his or her office with the Company that is grossly inappropriate and demonstrably likely to lead to material injury to the Company, as determined by the Board.

Good reason is defined in the Agreements as the assignment to the executive of any duties that are materially inconsistent with his or her position (including status, offices, titles and reporting requirements), authority, duties or responsibilities, or any other action by the Company which results in a material diminution in such position, authority, duties or responsibilities (excluding an isolated, insubstantial and inadvertent action not taken in bad faith and which is remedied by the Company promptly after notice from the executive); a reduction by the Company in executive's base salary or target annual bonus; any failure by the Company to comply with any provisions of his or her agreement (other than an isolated, insubstantial and inadvertent failure not occurring in bad faith and which is remedied by the Company promptly after notice from the executive); the Company's requiring the executive to be based at any office or location 50 miles or more from his or her current location; any failure by the Company to have a successor to the Company agree to assume the agreement; or a material breach by the Company of any other provision of the executive's agreement.

The Agreements contain certain other typical provisions for agreements of this type, including a requirement that the executive not disclose any confidential information of the Company following termination of employment, and providing that the Company will reimburse the executive on a then-current basis for reasonable fees and expenses in seeking to enforce the Agreement (subject to repayment if his or her claims are determined to be frivolous or in bad faith).

**Omnibus Stock Compensation Plans.** The Company's 2012 Omnibus Stock Compensation Plan (like its predecessor such plans, collectively referred to as the Omnibus Plans) provides for grants to employees of nonqualified and incentive stock options, stock appreciation rights, stock awards, performance shares, and other stock and stock-based awards (collectively, awards).

The Omnibus Plans contain provisions regarding the treatment of awards in the event of a change in ownership (as defined, generally involving circumstances in which the Company's common stock is no longer publicly traded) and of a change-in-control (as defined, generally involving circumstances in which the Company is acquired by another entity or its controlling ownership is changed). Upon a change in ownership or change-in-control, the rules described below will apply to awards granted under the Omnibus Plans.

However, the Compensation Committee has the discretion, notwithstanding any particular transaction constituting a change in ownership or a change in control, either to determine that such transaction is of the type that does not warrant the described consequences with respect to awards (in which case such consequences would not occur) or to alter the way in which awards are treated from the consequences outlined in the Omnibus Plans.

If a change in ownership occurs (and the Compensation Committee has not exercised its discretion described above) during the term of one or more performance periods for outstanding performance shares, the performance period will immediately terminate and, unless the Committee has already determined actual performance for such period, it will be assumed that the performance objectives have been attained at a level of 100%. Participants will be considered to have earned a prorated share of the awards for such performance period. In addition, upon a change in ownership, all outstanding awards will be valued and cashed out on the basis of the change in ownership price.

In the event of a change-in-control (assuming the Compensation Committee has not exercised its discretion described above), if a participant's employment terminates within two years following the change-in-control, unless such termination is due to death, disability, cause, resignation (other than as a result of certain actions by

**Table of Contents**

the Company and any successor), or retirement, participants will be entitled to the following treatment. All conditions, restrictions, and limitations in effect with respect to any unexercised awards will immediately lapse and no other terms or conditions will be applied. Any unexercised, unvested, unearned, or unpaid awards will automatically become 100% vested. Performance shares will be treated in a manner similar to that described above in the case of a change in ownership. A participant will be entitled to a lump sum cash payment with respect to all of such participant's awards.

In order to comply with Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code, it may be necessary for the Company to delay payments until six months following the officer's separation from service with the Company.

**Mark J. Costa Employment Agreement.** The Employment Agreement with Mr. Costa includes certain termination arrangements in addition to those of the standard Change-in-Control Agreements. If Mr. Costa's employment is terminated without cause or if he resigns for good reason, other than in circumstances covered by the Change-in-Control Agreements:

(i) he would receive a lump-sum cash payment equal to the sum of:

(a) all accrued and unused vacation pay through the date of termination;

(b) an amount equal to one year of base annual salary; and

(c) an amount equal to 100% of his target annual incentive pay for the year in which his employment terminates;

(ii) his unvested stock options would immediately vest and become exercisable and remain exercisable for the lesser of five years following the date of termination or the expiration date of the options;

(iii) all restrictions on transfer of issued shares of common stock would lapse; and

(iv) Eastman would issue to Mr. Costa shares of common stock underlying outstanding performance shares on a pro rata basis as if all performance objectives had been met at a level of 100%.

Cause is defined in the Employment Agreement as material breach by Mr. Costa of any provision of the Employment Agreement or of Eastman codes of conduct or ethics, conviction of a criminal act, or conduct that is grossly inappropriate or insubordinate and demonstrably likely to lead to material injury to Eastman. Good reason is defined in the Employment Agreement as assignment of duties materially inconsistent with Mr. Costa's executive position when he was hired, reduction in base salary or target annual or long-term incentive pay, or failure by Eastman to comply with the provisions of the Employment Agreement.

**Benefit Security Trust.** The Company has established a Benefit Security Trust (sometimes referred to as the Rabbi Trust) to provide a degree of financial security for its unfunded obligations under the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, the supplemental ERAP plans, and the Change-in-Control Agreements with the Company's executives. The assets of the Rabbi Trust would be subject to the claims of the Company's creditors in the event of insolvency. Upon the occurrence of a change-in-control or a potential change-in-control (each as defined), or if the Company fails to meet its payment obligations under the covered plans and agreements, the Company would be required to transfer to the trustee cash or other liquid funds in an amount equal to the value of the Company's obligations under the covered plans and agreements. The Company has conveyed to the trustee rights to certain assets as partial security for the Company's funding obligations under the Rabbi Trust.

A change-in-control for purposes of the Rabbi Trust is generally defined to include the following, subject to certain exceptions: (i) the acquisition by a person (other than the Company, certain affiliated entities, or certain institutional investors) of 19% or more of the voting stock of the Company; (ii) the incumbent Board members (and subsequent directors approved by them) ceasing to constitute a majority of the Board; (iii) approval by the Company's stockholders of a reorganization or merger unless, after such proposed transaction, the former stockholders of the Company will own more than 75% of the resulting corporation's voting stock; or (iv) approval by the Company's stockholders of a complete liquidation and dissolution of the Company or the sale or other disposition of substantially all of the assets of the Company, other than to a subsidiary or in a spin-off transaction. A potential change-in-control will generally be deemed to have occurred if (i) the Company enters into an agreement, the consummation of which would result in the occurrence of a change-in-control;

**Table of Contents**

(ii) any person (including the Company) publicly announces an intention to take action which, if consummated, would constitute a change-in-control; or (iii) any person (other than the Company, certain affiliated entities, or certain institutional investors) becomes the beneficial owner of 10% or more of the combined voting power of the Company's then-outstanding securities.

The Rabbi Trust is irrevocable until participants and their beneficiaries are no longer entitled to payments under the covered plans and agreements, but may be amended or revoked by agreement of the trustee, the Company, and a committee of individual beneficiaries of the Rabbi Trust.

**Potential Payments Under Termination and Change-in-Control Arrangements**

The following table shows, for each of the named executive officers, the payments and benefits that would have been provided under the Change-in-Control Agreements if the executive had been terminated without cause or had resigned for good reason on December 31, 2012 following a change-in-control.

Form of Payment	Amount of Payment				
	J. P. Rogers (\$)	C. E. Espeland (\$)	M. J. Costa (\$)	R. C. Lindsay (\$)	T. K. Lee (\$)
Cash severance(1)	\$ 6,804,000	\$ 1,872,500	\$ 2,160,000	\$ 2,160,000	\$ 1,683,000
Value of unvested stock-based awards at target(2)	32,775,568	10,449,911	8,514,623	8,514,623	6,219,343
Health and welfare continuation(3)	16,560	16,560	16,560	16,560	16,560
Total Payments	\$ 39,596,128	\$ 12,338,971	\$ 10,691,183	\$ 10,691,183	\$ 7,918,903

(1) Lump sum cash severance under the Change-in-Control Agreement equal to three times the sum of annual base pay and the target Unit Performance Plan payout for Mr. Rogers and two times the sum of annual base pay and the target Unit Performance Plan payout for the other named executives.

(2) Value of unvested awards at target, which vest and are paid out under the Omnibus Plans at termination following a change-in-control (or earlier upon a change-in-control that is a change in ownership as shown in the next table below, in which case the payment would not also be received upon a subsequent termination without cause or resignation for good reason). Awards are valued as of year-end 2012 based upon the closing price of Eastman common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on December 31, 2012.

(3) Value of continuation of health and welfare benefits for 18 months following termination under the Change-in-Control Agreement. The following table shows, for each of the named executive officers, the payment that would have been provided under the Omnibus Plans if there had been a change in ownership of the Company on December 31, 2012.

Form of Payment	Amount of payment				
	J. P. Rogers (\$)	C. E. Espeland (\$)	M. J. Costa (\$)	R. C. Lindsay (\$)	T. K. Lee (\$)
Value of unvested stock-based awards at target(1)	\$ 32,775,568	\$ 10,449,911	\$ 8,514,623	\$ 8,514,623	\$ 6,219,343

- (1) Value of unvested awards at target which vest and are paid out under the Omnibus Plans following a change in ownership of the Company. Awards are valued as of year-end 2012 based upon the closing price of Eastman common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on December 31, 2012.

**Table of Contents**

The following table shows the payments that would have been provided to Mr. Costa under his Employment Agreement if he had been terminated without cause or had resigned for good reason other than in circumstances covered by the Change in Control Agreement on December 31, 2012.

<b>Form of Payment</b>	<b>Amount of Payment to M. J. Costa (\$)</b>
Cash severance	\$ 1,133,846
Value of unvested stock-based awards at target	8,514,623
<b>Total Payments</b>	<b>\$ 9,648,469</b>

In addition to the payments described above, the executive officers would also receive the following payments for amounts already earned or vested as the result of participation in compensation or benefit plans on the same basis as other Company employees:

value of outstanding vested stock-based awards (see the Outstanding Equity Awards at 2012 Year-End table),

earned Unit Performance Plan payout (see Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards column in the 2012 Grants of Plan-Based Awards table),

earned Company contribution to vested and unvested defined contribution plans (see All Other Compensation column in the Summary Compensation Table ),

account balance in the Eastman Investment Plan, a 401(k) retirement plan, and the ESOP,

account balance in the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan (see Aggregate Balance at Last Year-End column in the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table), and

lump sum present value of pension under the Company's qualified and non-qualified pension arrangements (see Present Value of Accumulated Benefit column in the Pension Benefits table).

### **ITEM 3 RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS**

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors has retained PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP to serve as independent auditors for the year ending December 31, 2013.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP also served as the Company's independent auditors for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, and has billed the Company the following amounts for fees and related expenses for professional services rendered during 2012 and 2011:

*Audit Fees:* \$8.3 million, in the aggregate, for the year ended December 31, 2012 and \$4.6 million, in the aggregate, for the year ended December 31, 2011 for professional services rendered for the audits of the consolidated financial statements of the Company (including the audit of internal controls over financial reporting), statutory and subsidiary audits, issuance of comfort letters, and assistance with review of documents filed with the SEC.

## Edgar Filing: EASTMAN CHEMICAL CO - Form DEF 14A

*Audit-Related Fees:* \$308,000, in the aggregate, for the year ended December 31, 2012 and \$72,000, in the aggregate, for the year ended December 31, 2011 for assurance and related services, including employee benefit plan audits, other audit procedures, and consultations concerning financial accounting and reporting standards. In addition, various employee benefit plans were billed for fees and related expenses of \$203,000 for 2012 and \$196,000 for 2011 for audits of their plan financial statements by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.

*Tax Fees:* \$4.1 million, in the aggregate, for the year ended December 31, 2012 and \$1.2 million, in the aggregate, for the year ended December 31, 2011 for services related to tax compliance, including expatriate tax services and preparation of tax returns and claims for refunds, tax planning and tax advice, assistance with respect to tax audits, and requests for rulings for technical advice from tax authorities.

**Table of Contents**

*All Other Fees:* None for the year ended December 31, 2012 and \$24,350, in the aggregate, for the year ended December 31, 2011 for all services other than those covered above under Audit Fees, Audit-Related Fees, and Tax Fees. All Other Fees for 2011 were for services related to technology access and conference fees.

All audit and non-audit services provided to the Company by the independent auditors are pre-approved by the Audit Committee or in certain instances by the Chair of the Audit Committee pursuant to delegated authority. At the beginning of each year, the Audit Committee reviews and approves all known audit and non-audit services and fees to be provided by and paid to the independent auditors. During the year, specific audit and non-audit services or fees not previously approved by the Audit Committee are approved in advance by the Audit Committee or by the Chair of the Audit Committee pursuant to delegated authority. In addition, during the year the Chief Financial Officer and the Audit Committee monitor actual fees to the independent auditors for audit and non-audit services.

The stockholders are being asked to ratify the Audit Committee's appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. If the stockholders fail to ratify this appointment, the Audit Committee may, but is not required to, reconsider whether to retain that firm. Even if the appointment is ratified, the Audit Committee in its discretion may direct the appointment of a different accounting firm at any time during the year if it determines that such a change would be in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders.

A representative of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP is expected to attend the meeting and will have the opportunity to make a statement on behalf of the firm if he desires to do so. The representative is also expected to be available to respond to appropriate questions from stockholders.

**The Board of Directors recommends that you vote FOR ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as independent auditors.**

---

**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 4 ADVISORY VOTE ON STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL REQUESTING THAT THE BOARD**

**TAKE STEPS NECESSARY TO PERMIT STOCKHOLDERS TO ACT BY WRITTEN CONSENT**

Stockholder Ray T. Chevedden, 5965 South Citrus Avenue, Los Angeles, California 90043, owner of 200 shares of Eastman common stock, has given notice that he intends to submit the following proposal and supporting statement:

**Proposal 4 Right to Act by Written Consent**

Resolved, Shareholders request that our board of directors undertake such steps as may be necessary to permit written consent by shareholders entitled to cast the minimum number of votes that would be necessary to authorize the action at a meeting at which all shareholders entitled to vote thereon were present and voting. This written consent includes all issues that shareholders may propose. This written consent is to be consistent with applicable law and consistent with giving shareholders the fullest power to act by written consent consistent with applicable law.

The shareholders of Wet Seal (WTSLA) successfully used written consent to replace certain underperforming directors in October 2012. This proposal topic won 51% support at our 2012 annual meeting. It also won majority shareholder support at 13 major companies in 2010. This included 67%-support at both Allstate and Sprint. Hundreds of major companies enable shareholder action by written consent.

This proposal should also be evaluated in the context of our Company's overall corporate governance as reported in 2012:

GMI/The Corporate Library, an independent investment research firm, downgraded our company to D with High Governance Risk, High Concern in takeover defenses and High Concern in Executive Pay \$12 million for our CEO James Rogers.

Long-term incentive pay for our highest paid executives consisted of performance shares and time-based equity pay. GMI said all equity pay should have performance requirements in order to align with shareholder interests. In addition, while the performance shares covered a three-year period, performance was actually measured annually and there was a partial payment for underperforming industry peers. Finally, our CEO was potentially entitled to \$21 million for a change in control.

Directors David Raisbeck and Michael Connors were negatively flagged by GMI for their involvement with the bankruptcies of Armstrong Holdings and Dex One Corporation respectively. Messrs. Raisbeck and Connors were nonetheless allowed to have 4 seats on our Executive Pay and Nomination Committees. Renee Hornbaker received by far our highest negative votes and was on our audit committee.

Please encourage our board to respond positively to this proposal to protect shareholder value:

**Right to Act by Written Consent Proposal 4**

**Response of the Company**

The Board of Directors has carefully considered the proposal that the Board take action to permit stockholders to act by written consent without a meeting, including that the similar proposal submitted to a vote of the Company's stockholders at the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders was approved by a very narrow margin of votes cast but not by a majority of shares outstanding. The Board continues to believe that this proposal is unnecessary and not in the best interests of the Company or its stockholders.

The Board believes that permitting stockholder action by written consent circumvents the proper and usual process of deliberation at a meeting of stockholders, is contrary to principles of openness and good governance, and disenfranchises stockholders. The practices of many other large companies reflect that opinion; currently, less than one-third of Fortune 500 companies permit stockholders to act by written consent. Allowing stockholder action by written consent would make it possible for a small group of large, self-interested stockholders to take important actions without the involvement of, and with little or no advance notice to, the Company or other stockholders, including actions that may be self-interested transactions or that otherwise may not be in the long-term best interests of the Company and its stockholders.



## Table of Contents

Allowing stockholder action by written consent would also deny all stockholders the right to present their opinions on a proposal, and would deprive both stockholders and the Board of the opportunity to discuss the merits, disadvantages, and implications of the proposal and vote on the proposed action. The Board believes that a meeting at which all stockholders have an opportunity to discuss a proposed action and vote their shares is the most appropriate forum for stockholder action.

Currently, our stockholders have the ability to propose matters for consideration at our annual meetings of stockholders. Moreover, the Company's Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws permit holders of 25% or more of outstanding common shares to call special meetings of stockholders. This right to call special meetings, which was implemented in 2010, provides our stockholders an additional opportunity to raise appropriate issues for the Company to consider and on which all stockholders can vote.

Allowing stockholders to call special meetings provides appropriate access for stockholder issues to be considered and acted upon, and provides the appropriate mechanism for stockholder action without sacrificing the right of all stockholders to participate in decision making. It also prevents the solicitation of conflicting or duplicative consents to action without a meeting which could result in confusion and inconsistency of implementation. The Board believes that stockholder democracy would be undermined if some stockholders were permitted to bypass this process and instead act by written consent without a meeting. Moreover, requiring that all proposals be voted on at a meeting guards against abusive actions by individual stockholders that may be damaging to long-term stockholder interests.

In addition, the Board believes that adopting this proposal is unnecessary given the Company's demonstrated commitment to corporate governance policies that are in the best interests of the Company. The Board regularly reviews the Company's governance structure, policies, and practices, and makes changes that it determines to be in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders. Recent such changes include:

the implementation of majority voting in the election of directors,

the repeal of the Company's poison pill stockholder rights plan,

the declassification of the Board of Directors,

the implementation of the right of stockholders to call special meetings, and

the removal of certain supermajority voting requirements from the Company's Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws.

The Board continues to believe that the existing opportunity for stockholder action at an annual or special meeting in which all stockholders may participate in a meaningful way is the best and most appropriate governance practice for the Company.

**The Board of Directors recommends that you vote AGAINST this proposal.**

**Table of Contents**

[FORM OF PAPER PROXY PG. 1]

c/o Corporate Election Services

P. O. Box 1150

Pittsburgh PA 15230-1150

**Vote by Telephone or Internet**

**Quick    Easy    Immediate**

Your telephone or Internet vote authorizes the named proxies to vote your shares in the same manner as if you marked, signed and returned your proxy card.

**To Vote by Phone:**                      Call anytime toll free **1-888-693-8683**  
There is no charge for this call.  
Follow the simple instructions to record your vote.

**To Vote by Internet or  
Review the Proxy Statement**                      Access **www.cesvote.com**  
Follow the simple instructions presented to record your vote.

**IF YOU VOTE BY TELEPHONE OR INTERNET, DO NOT MAIL THE PROXY CARD.**

**PROXY**

***EASTMAN CHEMICAL COMPANY***

**PROXY**

**THIS PROXY IS SOLICITED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

**FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON MAY 2, 2013.**

The undersigned hereby appoints Curtis E. Espeland and David A. Golden as proxies with power to act without the other and with power of substitution, and hereby authorizes them to represent and vote, as designated on the other side of this proxy card, all the shares of stock of Eastman Chemical Company held of record as of March 8, 2013 by the undersigned with all the powers that the undersigned would possess if present at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of the Company to be held May 2, 2013 or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

Edgar Filing: EASTMAN CHEMICAL CO - Form DEF 14A

**SAID PROXIES WILL VOTE ON THE PROPOSALS SET FORTH IN THE NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING AND PROXY STATEMENT AS SPECIFIED ON THE REVERSE SIDE OF THIS CARD AND ARE AUTHORIZED TO VOTE IN THEIR DISCRETION ON ANY OTHER BUSINESS THAT MAY PROPERLY COME BEFORE THE MEETING. IF A VOTE IS NOT SPECIFIED, SAID PROXIES WILL VOTE FOR EACH OF THE NOMINEES IN ITEM 1, FOR ITEM 2, FOR ITEM 3 AND AGAINST ITEM 4.**

Signature(s)

Signature(s)

Date:

Please sign exactly as your name(s) appears on this proxy. If shares are held jointly, all joint owners should sign. If signing as executor, administrator, attorney, trustee, guardian, or in any other representative capacity, please also give your full title.

**MARK (ON THE OTHER SIDE), SIGN AND DATE YOUR PROXY CARD AND RETURN IT PROMPTLY IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE.**

**Table of Contents**

[FORM OF PAPER PROXY PG. 2]

**ADMISSION TICKET**

**Please bring this ticket if you choose to attend the Annual Meeting.**

**It will expedite your admittance when presented upon your arrival.**

**EASTMAN CHEMICAL COMPANY**

**Annual Meeting of Stockholders**

**Thursday, May 2, 2013**

**11:30 a.m.**

**Cumberland Amphitheatre of the**

**MeadowView Marriott Conference Resort and Convention Center**

**1901 Meadowview Parkway**

**Kingsport, Tennessee 37660**

**Important Notice Regarding Internet Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholder Meeting To Be Held on May 2, 2013:** the proxy materials, including the 2013 Proxy Statement and 2012 Annual Report, are available at [www.ReadMaterial.com/EMN](http://www.ReadMaterial.com/EMN).

If you need directions to the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, please call 1-423-229-4647.

**Please fold and detach card at perforation.**

Please fold and detach card at perforation before mailing.

EASTMAN CHEMICAL COMPANY

PROXY

THIS PROXY WILL BE VOTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SPECIFICATIONS INDICATED. IF NO SPECIFICATION IS MADE, IT WILL BE VOTED FOR EACH OF THE NOMINEES IN ITEM 1, FOR ITEMS 2 AND 3 AND AGAINST ITEM 4.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR EACH OF THE NOMINEES IN ITEM 1.

1. Election of Directors:

Nominees for election of eight directors to serve until the Annual Meeting of Stockholders in 2014 and their successors are duly elected and qualified:

(1) GARY E. ANDERSON	..	FOR	..	AGAINST	..	ABSTAIN
(2) BRETT D. BEGEMANN	..	FOR	..	AGAINST	..	ABSTAIN
(3) STEPHEN R. DEMERITT	..	FOR	..	AGAINST	..	ABSTAIN
(4) ROBERT M. HERNANDEZ	..	FOR	..	AGAINST	..	ABSTAIN
(5) JULIE F. HOLDER	..	FOR	..	AGAINST	..	ABSTAIN
(6) RENÉE J. HORNBAKER	..	FOR	..	AGAINST	..	ABSTAIN
(7) LEWIS M. KLING	..	FOR	..	AGAINST	..	ABSTAIN
(8) DAVID W. RAISBECK	..	FOR	..	AGAINST	..	ABSTAIN

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR ITEMS 2 AND 3.

2. Advisory Approval of Executive Compensation As Disclosed in Proxy Statement

.. FOR .. AGAINST .. ABSTAIN

3. Ratification of Appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as Independent Auditors

.. FOR .. AGAINST .. ABSTAIN

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE AGAINST ITEM 4.

4. Advisory Vote on Stockholder Proposal Requesting That the Board of Directors Take Steps Necessary to Permit Stockholders to Act by Written Consent

.. FOR .. AGAINST .. ABSTAIN

(CONTINUED, AND TO BE SIGNED AND DATED, ON THE OTHER SIDE.)

---

**Table of Contents**

[SCRIPT OF DIALOGUE FOR REGISTERED STOCKHOLDER PROXY VOTING BY TELEPHONE]

STOCKHOLDER HEARS THIS SCRIPT

1. Hello. Let s begin your telephone vote.
2. Please enter the 11-digit number located in the box by the arrow.
  - a. I m sorry, that entry was not recognized.
  - b. Return to #2, or after third attempt go to c.
  - c. I m sorry you re having difficulty.
  - d. Please call again, or vote, sign, date and return your proxy card using the envelope provided.
  - e. Goodbye
3. Welcome to the Eastman Chemical Company telephone voting system.
4. Voting your shares by telephone has the same effect as if you returned your proxy card by mail. You hereby direct the named proxies to vote your shares as instructed.
5. Nominee 1
  - a. To vote For, please press 1.
  - b. To vote Against, please press 6.
  - c. To Abstain, please press 0.
  - d. I m sorry, that entry was not recognized (repeat a-c)
6. Nominee 2
  - a. To vote For, please press 1.
  - b. To vote Against, please press 6.
  - c. To Abstain, please press 0.
  - d. I m sorry, that entry was not recognized (repeat a-c)
7. Nominee 3
  - a. To vote For, please press 1.
  - b. To vote Against, please press 6.
  - c. To Abstain, please press 0.
  - d. I m sorry, that entry was not recognized (repeat a-c)
8. Nominee 4
  - a. To vote For, please press 1.
  - b. To vote Against, please press 6.
  - c. To Abstain, please press 0.
  - d. I m sorry, that entry was not recognized (repeat a-c)
9. Nominee 5
  - a. To vote For, please press 1.
  - b. To vote Against, please press 6.
  - c. To Abstain, please press 0.
  - d. I m sorry, that entry was not recognized (repeat a-c)
10. Nominee 6
  - a. To vote For, please press 1.
  - b. To vote Against, please press 6.
  - c. To Abstain, please press 0.
  - d. I m sorry, that entry was not recognized (repeat a-c)
11. Nominee 7
  - a. To vote For, please press 1.
  - b. To vote Against, please press 6.
  - c. To Abstain, please press 0.
  - d. I m sorry, that entry was not recognized (repeat a-c)
12. Nominee 8
  - a. To vote For, please press 1.
  - b. To vote Against, please press 6.
  - c. To Abstain, please press 0.
  - d. I m sorry, that entry was not recognized (repeat a-c)
13. Item 2

## Edgar Filing: EASTMAN CHEMICAL CO - Form DEF 14A

- a. To vote For, please press 1.
  - b. To vote Against, please press 6.
  - c. To Abstain, please press 0.
  - d. I m sorry, that entry was not recognized (repeat a-c)
14. Item 3
- a. To vote For, please press 1.
  - b. To vote Against, please press 6.
  - c. To Abstain, please press 0.
  - d. I m sorry, that entry was not recognized (repeat a-c)
15. Item 4
- a. To vote For, please press 1.
  - b. To vote Against, please press 6.
  - c. To Abstain, please press 0.
  - d. I m sorry, that entry was not recognized (repeat a-c)
16. Your votes have been cast as follows
- a. Nominee 1, (For, Against or Abstain).
  - b. Nominee 2, (For, Against or Abstain).
  - c. Nominee 3, (For, Against or Abstain).
  - d. Nominee 4, (For, Against or Abstain).
  - e. Nominee 5, (For, Against or Abstain).
  - f. Nominee 6, (For, Against or Abstain).
  - g. Nominee 7, (For, Against or Abstain).
  - h. Nominee 8, (For, Against or Abstain).
  - i. Item 2, (For, Against or Abstain).
  - j. Item 3, (For, Against or Abstain).
  - k. Item 4, (For, Against or Abstain).
  - l. If this is correct, please press 1
    - i. If you would like to vote another proxy, please press 1; if not, please press 0.
    - ii. Thank you for voting.
    - iii. Goodbye
  - m. If this is not correct, please press 0
    - iv. If you would like to try again, please press 1, if not, please press 0.
    - v. Please try your call again later, or vote, sign, date and return your proxy card using the envelope provided.
    - vi. Goodbye

**Table of Contents**

[TEXT OF COMPUTER SCREEN FOR ELECTRONIC DELIVERY OF PROXY STATEMENT AND  
ANNUAL REPORT TO REGISTERED STOCKHOLDERS]

Eastman Chemical Company

[View 2012 Annual Report](#)

[View 2013 Proxy Statement](#)

[Request Proxy Material](#)

[Vote Your Proxy](#)



**Table of Contents**

[TEXT OF COMPUTER SCREENS FOR INTERNET PROXY VOTING BY REGISTERED STOCKHOLDERS]

**CES Vote**

When you submit your voting instructions through this site, it is the same as if you mark, sign and return your voting instruction form or proxy card.

Please enter your 11-digit electronic voting number, then click the **Submit** button or press **ENTER** on your keyboard. On your voting instruction form or proxy card, this number is found by an arrow in a box.

Enter the 11-digit number here:

If you submit voting instructions using the same electronic voting number more than once, only the last instructions you submit will be valid. All previous instructions are revoked.

Check this box to submit to a secure site.

Submit

By submitting your voting instructions through this site, you are agreeing with the **appointment of proxy**. Please indicate how you wish to vote and click on **Submit Voting Instructions** at the bottom of this screen. If you submit your voting instructions without making any specifications, your vote will be recorded according to the recommendations of the Board of Directors.

**[Click here to view the Eastman Chemical Company](#)**

**[Annual Report.](#)**

**[Click here to view the Eastman Chemical Company Proxy](#)**

**[Statement.](#)**

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR EACH OF THE NOMINEES IN ITEM 1.

1. Election of Directors

Nominees for election of eight directors to serve until the Annual Meeting of Stockholders in 2014 and their successors are duly elected and qualified:

	<b>FOR</b>	<b>AGAINST</b>	<b>ABSTAIN</b>
1. GARY E. ANDERSON	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
2. BRETT D. BEGEMANN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
3. STEPHEN R. DEMERITT	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
4. ROBERT M. HERNANDEZ	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Edgar Filing: EASTMAN CHEMICAL CO - Form DEF 14A

- |                       |                       |                       |                       |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 5. JULIE F. HOLDER    | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 6. RENÉE J. HORNBAKER | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 7. LEWIS M. KLING     | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 8. DAVID W. RAISBECK  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR ITEMS 2 AND 3.

- |  | <b>FOR</b>            | <b>AGAINST</b>        | <b>ABSTAIN</b>        |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 2. Advisory Approval of Executive Compensation As Disclosed in Proxy Statement       | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 3. Ratification of Appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as Independent Auditors | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE AGAINST ITEM 4.

- |   | <b>FOR</b>            | <b>AGAINST</b>        | <b>ABSTAIN</b>        |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 4. Advisory Vote on Stockholder Proposal Requesting That the Board of Directors Take Steps Necessary to Permit Stockholders to Act by Written Consent | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
|   |                       | <b>YES</b>            | <b>NO</b>             |
| Do you plan to attend the Annual Meeting  |                       | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

(If so, you will receive an Admission Ticket approximately 10 days before the Meeting.)

Please enter any change of address.

Please enter any comments.

Please enter your e-mail address to receive confirmation that your instructions were recorded.

**NOTE: We respect your privacy. Your e-mail address will not be saved or used for any purpose other than sending your confirmation e-mail.**

After reviewing the above selections, click the button below to submit your voting instructions. You should see a screen confirming your instructions as they have been recorded.

**Submit Voting Instructions**