

NATUS MEDICAL INC
Form DEF 14A
April 19, 2013
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14a

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No. __)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement.

Confidential, for use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2)).

Definitive Proxy Statement.

Definitive Additional Materials.

Soliciting Material Pursuant to § 240.14a-12.

NATUS MEDICAL INCORPORATED

(Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)

Payment of filing fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which the transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

Edgar Filing: NATUS MEDICAL INC - Form DEF 14A

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

.. Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

.. Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount previously paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.;

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

Natus Medical Incorporated

1501 Industrial Road

San Carlos, California 94070

www.natus.com

(650) 802-0400

NOTICE OF 2013 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

TO OUR STOCKHOLDERS:

The 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Natus Medical Incorporated will be held on Friday, June 7, 2013, at 9:00 a.m., Pacific Time, at our headquarters located at 1501 Industrial Road, San Carlos, California 94070 for the following purposes:

1. To elect two directors to serve for a term of three years;
2. To ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2013;
3. Advisory approval of the Company's Named Executive Officer compensation;
4. Transaction of such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment thereof.

We are using the Internet as our primary means of furnishing proxy materials to stockholders. Consequently, most stockholders will not receive paper copies of our proxy materials. We will instead send these stockholders a notice with instructions for accessing the proxy materials and voting via the Internet. The notice also provides information on how stockholders may obtain paper copies of our proxy materials if they so choose. These proxy materials were first sent on or about April 22, 2013 to stockholders entitled to vote at the annual meeting.

The foregoing items of business are more fully described in the Proxy Statement accompanying this Notice. Stockholders who owned shares of our stock at the close of business on Thursday, April 11, 2013, are entitled to attend and vote at the meeting. A complete list of these stockholders will be available during normal business hours for ten days prior to the meeting at our headquarters located at 1501 Industrial Road, San Carlos, California 94070. A stockholder may examine the list for any legally valid purpose related to the meeting. The list will also be available during the annual meeting for inspection by any stockholder present at the meeting.

Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, please submit your proxy promptly by the Internet or by phone or by completing, dating, signing and returning the enclosed proxy card as promptly as possible in the accompanying reply envelope. If your shares are held in street name by a broker, trustee or other nominee and you do not instruct this nominee how to vote your shares; your shares will be voted on any matter other than approval of appointment of our independent accountants.

For the Board of Directors of
NATUS MEDICAL INCORPORATED

JAMES B. HAWKINS
Chief Executive Officer

Edgar Filing: NATUS MEDICAL INC - Form DEF 14A

San Carlos, California
April 22, 2013

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT

**PLEASE SUBMIT YOUR PROXY AS PROMPTLY AS POSSIBLE BY FOLLOWING THE
INSTRUCTIONS ON THE ENCLOSED PROXY CARD**

Table of Contents

PROXY STATEMENT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders</u>	i
<u>Internet Availability of Proxy Materials</u>	iii
<u>Questions and Answers about the Proxy Materials and the Annual Meeting</u>	1
<u>Proposal No. 1 Election of Directors</u>	7
<u>Proposal No. 2 Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	10
<u>Proposal No. 3 Advisory approval of the Company's Named Executive Officer compensation</u>	11
<u>Corporate Governance Principles and Board Matters</u>	12
<u>Board Independence</u>	12
<u>Board Structure and Committee Composition</u>	12
<u>Board of Directors Role in Risk Oversight</u>	14
<u>Policy for Director Recommendations and Nomination</u>	14
<u>Certain Relationships and Policies on Related Party Transactions</u>	15
<u>Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation</u>	16
<u>Communicating with our Board</u>	16
<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management</u>	17
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	19
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	20
<u>General</u>	20
<u>Our Business and Our Compensation Philosophy</u>	20
<u>Elements of Compensation</u>	21
<u>Cash Compensation Element</u>	23
<u>Equity-Based Compensation Element</u>	25
<u>Employment Agreements and Change in Control Arrangements</u>	25
<u>Other Benefits</u>	27
<u>Tax Deductibility of Executive Compensation</u>	27
<u>Summary Compensation Table</u>	29
<u>Grants of Plan Based Awards Fiscal 2012</u>	30
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards at 2012 Fiscal Year-end</u>	31
<u>Option Exercises and Stock Vested Fiscal 2012</u>	32
<u>Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control</u>	32
<u>Director Compensation</u>	33
<u>Report of the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors</u>	34
<u>Report of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors</u>	35
<u>Other Matters</u>	36

Table of Contents

INTERNET AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS

In accordance with U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission rules, we are using the Internet as our primary means of furnishing proxy materials to stockholders. Consequently, most stockholders will not receive paper copies of our proxy materials. We will instead send these stockholders a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials with instructions for accessing the proxy materials, including our proxy statement and annual report, and voting via the Internet. The Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials also provides information on how stockholders may obtain paper copies of our proxy materials if they so choose. We believe this rule makes the proxy distribution process more efficient, less costly, and helps in conserving natural resources. If you previously elected to receive our proxy materials electronically, these materials will continue to be sent via email unless you change your election.

Table of Contents

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE PROXY MATERIALS AND THE ANNUAL MEETING

Q: Why am I receiving these materials?

A: The Board of Directors (the Board) of Natus Medical Incorporated, (Natus, the Company, we, our), a Delaware corporation, is providing these proxy materials to you in connection with the annual meeting of stockholders of Natus that will take place on June 7, 2013. As a stockholder as of the record date, April 11, 2013, you are invited to attend the annual meeting, and are entitled, and requested, to vote on the items of business described in this proxy statement. We are distributing the proxy materials on or about April 22, 2013.

Q: What information is contained in this proxy statement?

A: The information included in this proxy statement relates to the proposals to be voted on at our annual meeting, the voting process, the compensation of executive officers and directors, and certain other required information.

Q: How may I obtain a copy of the Natus Annual Report on Form 10-K?

A: Stockholders may request a free copy of our 2012 Form 10-K from:
Natus Medical Incorporated

Attn: Investor Relations

1501 Industrial Road

San Carlos, CA 94070

(650) 802-0400

Our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K is also available on our website at www.natus.com and at the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission at www.sec.gov.

We will also furnish any exhibit to our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K if specifically requested in writing.

Q: What items of business will be voted on at the annual meeting?

A: The following items will be voted on at the annual meeting:

The election of two directors for a term of three years;

The ratification of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2013;

Edgar Filing: NATUS MEDICAL INC - Form DEF 14A

Advisory approval of the Company's Named Executive Officer compensation;

Q: *How does the Board recommend that I vote?*

A: Our Board recommends that you vote your shares **FOR** each of the nominees to the Board, **FOR** the ratification of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2013, and **FOR** the advisory vote on named executive officer compensation.

Q: *What shares can I vote?*

A: Each share of Natus common stock issued and outstanding as of the close of business on April 11, 2013, the *Record Date*, is entitled to be voted on all items being voted upon at the annual meeting. You may vote all shares owned by you as of that date, including (i) shares held directly in your name as the *stockholder of record*, and (ii) shares held by you as the *beneficial owner* through a broker, trustee, or other nominee, such

Table of Contents

as a bank. More information on how to vote these shares is contained in this proxy statement. On the *Record Date* we had approximately 30,330,528 shares of common stock issued and outstanding, and each outstanding share is entitled to one vote.

Q: *What is the difference between holding shares as a stockholder of record and as a beneficial owner?*

A: Rather than holding shares in their own name, as a stockholder of record, most Natus stockholders hold their shares beneficially through a broker, trustee or other nominee. As summarized below, there are some distinctions between shares held of record and those owned beneficially.

Stockholder of Record If your shares are registered directly in your name with our transfer agent, Wells Fargo Shareowner Services, you are considered, with respect to those shares, the stockholder of record. As the stockholder of record, you have the right to grant your voting proxy directly to Natus or to vote in person at the meeting. Voting instructions are provided online with the proxy materials and, if you are receiving a paper copy of the proxy materials Natus has enclosed a proxy card for you to use.

Beneficial Owner If your shares are held in a brokerage account or by another nominee you are considered the *beneficial owner* of shares held in *street name*, and these proxy materials are being forwarded to you together with a voting instruction card by your broker, trustee or other nominee. As the beneficial owner, you have the right to direct your broker, trustee or nominee how to vote and are also invited to attend the annual meeting.

Since a beneficial owner is not the *stockholder of record*, you may not vote these shares in person at the meeting unless you obtain a legal proxy from the broker, trustee or nominee that holds your shares, giving you the right to vote the shares at the meeting. Your broker, trustee or nominee has enclosed or provided voting instructions for you to use in directing the broker, trustee or nominee how to vote your shares.

Q: *How can I vote my shares in person at the annual meeting?*

A: Shares held in your name as the stockholder of record may be voted in person at the annual meeting. Shares held beneficially in street name may be voted in person only if you obtain a legal proxy from the broker, trustee or nominee that holds your shares giving you the right to vote the shares. Even if you plan to attend the annual meeting, you may also submit your proxy or voting instructions as described below so that your vote will be counted if you later decide not to attend the meeting.

Q: *How can I vote my shares without attending the annual meeting?*

A: Whether you hold shares directly as the stockholder of record or beneficially in street name, you may direct how your shares are voted without attending the meeting. If you are a stockholder of record, you may vote by submitting a proxy. If you hold shares beneficially in street name, you may vote by submitting voting instructions to your broker, trustee or other nominee. The Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials provides instructions on how to access your proxy card, which contains instructions on how to vote via the Internet or by telephone. For those stockholders who receive a paper proxy card, directions on how to vote are set forth below and included on your proxy card. For shares held beneficially in street name, the voting instruction card provided by your broker, trustee or other nominee will include instructions on how to vote by telephone, Internet or by mail.

By Internet Stockholders of record of Natus common stock with Internet access may submit proxies by following the Vote by Internet instructions on their proxy cards. Most Natus stockholders who hold shares beneficially in street name may direct the voting of their shares by accessing the website specified on the voting instruction cards provided by their broker, trustee or other nominee. Please check the voting instruction card for Internet voting availability.

By Telephone Stockholders of record of Natus common stock who live in the United States or Canada may submit proxies by following the Vote by Phone instructions on their proxy cards. Most Natus

Table of Contents

stockholders who hold shares beneficially in street name and live in the United States or Canada may direct the voting of their shares by phone by calling the number specified on the voting instruction card provided by their broker, trustee or other nominee. Please check the voting instruction card for telephone voting availability.

By Mail Stockholders of record of Natus common stock may submit proxies by completing, signing and dating their proxy cards and mailing them in the pre-addressed envelope provided. Natus stockholders who hold shares beneficially in street name may vote by mail by completing, dating and signing the voting instruction cards provided and mailing them in the pre-addressed envelope provided to their broker, trustee, or other nominee.

Q: *Can I change my vote or otherwise revoke my proxy?*

A: You may change your vote at any time prior to the vote at the annual meeting. If you are the stockholder of record, you may change your vote by granting a new proxy bearing a later date (which automatically revokes your earlier proxy), by providing a written notice of revocation to our Corporate Secretary prior to your shares being voted, or by attending the annual meeting and voting in person. Attendance at the meeting will not cause your previously granted proxy to be revoked unless you specifically so request. For shares you hold beneficially in street name, you may change your vote by submitting new voting instructions to your broker, trustee or other nominee, or, if you have obtained a legal proxy from your nominee giving you the right to vote your shares, by attending the annual meeting and voting in person.

Q: *How many shares must be present or represented to conduct business at the annual meeting?*

A: A majority of shares of our common stock entitled to vote must be present in person or represented by proxy to meet the quorum requirement for holding the annual meeting and transacting business. Both abstentions and broker non-votes are counted for the purpose of determining the presence of a quorum.

Q: *How are votes counted?*

A: In the election of directors, you may vote **FOR**, **AGAINST**, or **ABSTAIN** with respect to each of the nominees. If you elect to abstain from the election of directors, the abstention will not have any effect on the election of directors. In tabulating the voting results for the election of directors, only **FOR** and **AGAINST** votes are counted.

You may also vote **FOR**, **AGAINST**, or **ABSTAIN** with respect to: (i) the ratification of the appointment of Deloitte and Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm, and (ii) the proposal to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers. If you elect to abstain from voting on any of these proposals, the abstention will have the same effect as an **AGAINST** vote with respect to such proposal.

If you are a stockholder of record and sign and return your proxy card or voting instruction form without giving specific voting instructions, your shares will be voted as recommended by our Board. If you are a beneficial holder and do not return a voting instruction form, your broker may only vote on the ratification of the appointment of Deloitte and Touche LLP.

Q: *What is the voting requirement to approve each of the proposals?*

A: In an uncontested election of directors, such as this election, each director must be elected by the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast with respect to such director by the shares of common stock present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote. A majority of votes cast means that the number of votes **For** a director nominee must exceed the number of votes **Against** that director nominee. If you

Edgar Filing: NATUS MEDICAL INC - Form DEF 14A

are a beneficial owner and do not provide the stockholder of record with voting instructions, your shares may constitute broker non-votes (see "What are broker non-votes and what effect do they have on the proposals?" below).

Table of Contents

The affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of common stock present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote is required to approve each of the following proposals: (i) the ratification of the appointment of Deloitte and Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm, and (ii) the approval, on an advisory basis, of the compensation of our named executive officers.

Q: What happens if a nominee who is duly nominated does not receive the required majority vote?

A: Our Board Governance Guidelines provide that if a nominee for election to the Board of Directors had a greater number of votes Against than the number of votes cast For his or her election, such director shall tender his or her resignation from the Board and the Nominating and Governance Committee will determine the action to be taken with respect to such tendered resignation.

Q: What are broker non-votes and what effect do they have on the proposals?

A: Generally, broker non-votes occur when shares held by a broker, bank, or other nominee in street name for a beneficial owner are not voted with respect to a particular proposal because the broker, bank, or other nominee (i) has not received voting instructions from the beneficial owner and (ii) lacks discretionary voting power to vote those shares with respect to that particular proposal. A broker is entitled to vote shares held for a beneficial owner on routine matters, such as the ratification of the appointment of Deloitte and Touche LLP as our independent auditors (Proposal 2), without instructions from the beneficial owner of those shares. On the other hand, absent instructions from the beneficial owner of such shares, a broker is not entitled to vote shares held for a beneficial owner on non-routine matters, such as the election of our directors (Proposal 1), and the vote, on an advisory basis, of the compensation of our named executive officers (Proposal 3). ***Thus, if you hold your shares in street name, it is critical that you cast your vote if you want it to count in the election of directors (Proposal 1), and the advisory approval of the Company's Named Executive Officer compensation (Proposal 3).***

Broker non-votes are counted for purposes of determining whether or not a quorum exists for the transaction of business, but will not be counted for purposes of determining the number of shares represented and voted with respect to an individual proposal, and therefore will have no effect on the outcome of the vote on an individual proposal. Thus, if you do not give your broker specific voting instructions, your shares will not be voted on these non-routine matters and will not be counted in determining the number of shares necessary for approval.

Q: Is cumulative voting permitted for the election of directors?

A: Yes. Every stockholder voting to elect a director may cumulate such stockholder's votes and give to one of the candidates to be elected a number of votes equal to the number of directors to be elected multiplied by the number of votes to which such stockholder is entitled, or distribute the stockholder's votes on the same principle among as many candidates as the stockholder thinks fit, provided that votes cannot be cast for more than the number of directors to be elected. In their discretion, the proxy holders may, when voting for directors, cumulate the votes represented by the proxies received. No stockholder shall be entitled to cumulate votes for a candidate unless such candidate's name has been properly placed in nomination prior to the voting and the stockholder, or any other stockholder, has given notice at the annual meeting, prior to the voting, of the intention to cumulate the stockholder's votes. The holder may cast all of their votes For or Against a single candidate or may distribute them among any number of candidates.

Q: What happens if additional matters are presented at the annual meeting?

A: Other than the items of business described in this proxy statement, we are not aware of any other business to be acted upon at the annual meeting. If you grant a proxy using the enclosed form, the persons named as proxy holders, James B. Hawkins and Jonathan A. Kennedy will have the discretion to vote your shares on

Table of Contents

any additional matters properly presented for a vote at the meeting. If for any unforeseen reason either of our nominees is not available as a candidate for director, the persons named as proxy holders will vote your proxy for such other candidate or candidates that may be nominated by the Board of Directors.

Q: What should I do if I receive more than one set of voting materials?

A: You may receive more than one set of voting materials, including multiple copies of this proxy statement and multiple proxy cards or voting instruction cards. For example, if you hold your shares in more than one brokerage account, you may receive a separate voting instruction card for each brokerage account in which you hold shares. If you are a stockholder of record and your shares are registered in more than one name, you will receive more than one proxy card. Please complete, sign, date and return each proxy card and voting instruction card that you receive. A number of brokers with account holders who beneficially own our common stock will be householding our annual report and proxy materials, including the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials. A single Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials and, if applicable, a single set of annual reports and other proxy materials will be delivered to multiple stockholders sharing an address unless contrary instructions have been received from the affected stockholders. Once you have received notice from your broker that it will be householding communications to your address, householding will continue until you are notified otherwise or until you revoke your consent. Stockholders may revoke their consent at any time by contacting Broadridge ICS, either by calling toll-free 800-542-1061, or by writing to Broadridge ICS, Household Department, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, New York 11717.

Any stockholders who share the same address and currently receive multiple copies of our Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or annual report or other proxy materials who wish to receive only one copy in the future can contact their broker, trustee or other nominee to request information about householding.

Q: How may I obtain an additional set of voting materials?

A: If you wish to receive an additional set of proxy materials now or in the future, you may write us to request a separate copy of these materials from our principal executive offices at: Natus Medical Incorporated, Attn: Investor Relations, 1501 Industrial Road, San Carlos CA 94070, or by calling us at 650-802-0400.

Q: Who will bear the cost of soliciting votes for the annual meeting?

A: Natus is making this solicitation and will pay the entire cost of preparing, assembling, printing, mailing and distributing these proxy materials and soliciting votes. If you choose to access the proxy materials and/or vote over the Internet, you are responsible for Internet access charges you may incur. If you choose to vote by telephone, you are responsible for any telephone charges you may incur. In addition to the mailing of these proxy materials, the solicitation of proxies or votes may be made in person, by telephone or by electronic communication by our directors, officers and employees who will not receive any additional compensation for such solicitation activities. Upon request, we will also reimburse brokerage houses and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries for forwarding proxy and solicitation materials to stockholders.

Q: Where can I find the voting results of the annual meeting?

A: We intend to announce the final voting results of all proposals at the Annual Meeting and will publish the final results in a current report on Form 8-K within four business days of the date the Annual Meeting ends, unless final results are unavailable in which case we will publish the preliminary results in such current report on Form 8-K. If final results are not filed with our current report on Form 8-K to be filed within four business days of the date the Annual Meeting ends, the final results will be published in an amendment to our current report on Form 8-K within four business days after the final voting results are known.

Table of Contents

Q: What is the deadline to propose actions for consideration or to nominate individuals to serve as directors?

A: Although the deadline for submitting proposals or director nominations for consideration at the 2013 annual meeting has passed, you may submit proposals and director nominations for consideration at future stockholder meetings.

Stockholder Proposals: For a stockholder proposal to be considered for inclusion in the Natus proxy statement for the annual meeting next year, the written proposal must be received by the Corporate Secretary of Natus at our principal executive offices no later than December 24, 2013. If the date of next year's annual meeting is moved more than 30 days before or after the anniversary date of this year's annual meeting, the deadline for inclusion of proposals in the Natus proxy statement is instead a reasonable time before we begin to print and mail our proxy materials. Such proposals also will need to comply with Securities and Exchange Commission regulations under Rule 14a-8 regarding the inclusion of stockholder proposals in company-sponsored proxy materials. Proposals should be addressed to: Natus Medical Incorporated, Attn: Corporate Secretary, 1501 Industrial Road, San Carlos CA 94070.

For a stockholder proposal that is not intended to be included in the Natus proxy statement under Rule 14a-8, the stockholder must provide the information required by, and give timely notice to the Corporate Secretary of Natus in accordance with, Section 2.3(b) of the Company's Bylaws. For the 2014 annual meeting of stockholders, any such notice must be received by the Company not later than the close of business on April 8, 2014, provided that if the date of the 2014 annual meeting is moved more than 30 days from the anniversary date of this year's meeting (which is the date contemplated in setting the notice provisions for the 2014 annual meeting) then such notice must be received a reasonable time before we begin the solicitation of proxies for the 2014 annual meeting.

Recommendation and Nomination of Director Candidates: The Nominating and Governance Committee will consider recommendations for candidates to be considered for nominations to the Board from stockholders who are entitled to vote in the election of directors at the annual meeting. A stockholder that desires to recommend a candidate for election to the Board should see the section entitled "Corporate Governance Principles and Board Matters; Policy for Director Recommendations and Nominations" below in this proxy statement.

A stockholder that instead desires to nominate a person directly for election to the Board must meet all of the deadlines and information requirements set forth in Section 2.3(c) of the Company's Bylaws and the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. For next year's annual meeting of stockholders, any such nomination must be received by the Company not later than the close of business on December 24, 2013 provided that if the date of the 2014 annual meeting is moved more than 30 days from the anniversary date of this year's meeting, then such notice must be received a reasonable time before we begin the solicitation of proxies for the 2014 annual meeting.

If you would like a copy of the relevant bylaw provisions regarding the requirements for making stockholder proposals and nominating director candidates, please contact the Corporate Secretary of Natus Medical Incorporated at our principal executive offices.

Table of Contents

PROPOSALS

The proposals being presented for shareholder action are set forth on your proxy card and are discussed in detail below. Shares that you have the power to vote that are represented by proxy will be voted at the meeting in accordance with your instructions.

PROPOSAL No. 1

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The Board is divided into three classes. Each class is elected for a term of three years, so that the term of one class of directors expires at each meeting. There are two nominees for election to the Board this year Robert A. Gunst and James B. Hawkins. Each of the nominees is presently a member of the Board whose term expires at the meeting. Information regarding the business experience and age as of the record date of each nominee and other members of the Board is provided below. Each of the directors elected will serve a three-year term until our annual meeting in 2016 and until their respective successors are elected. There are no family relationships among our executive officers and directors.

If you sign your proxy or voting instruction card but do not give instructions with respect to the voting of directors, your shares will be voted for the two persons recommended by the Board. If you wish to give specific instructions with respect to voting for directors, you may do so by indicating your instructions on your proxy or voting instruction card.

Majority Vote Standard for Election of Directors

Our Bylaws require directors to be elected by the majority of the votes cast with respect to such director in uncontested elections (number of shares voted For a director must exceed the number of votes Against that director). In a contested election (a situation in which the number of nominees for director exceeds the number of directors to be elected), the standard for election of directors will be a plurality of the shares represented in person or by proxy at any such meeting, in which a quorum is present, and entitled to vote on the election of directors. Under our Board Governance Guidelines, any director who fails to receive at least a majority of the votes cast in an uncontested election must tender his or her resignation to our Board. Our Nominating and Governance Committee would then evaluate the tendered resignation and make a recommendation to our Board within 90 days from the date the election results are certified whether to accept the resignation. Our Board will consider such recommendation promptly and publicly disclose its decision with respect to such resignation. The director who tenders his or her resignation will not participate in our Board's decision. If a nominee who was not already serving as a director does not receive at least a majority of the votes cast for such director at the annual meeting that nominee will not become a director.

Board of Directors Recommendation

Our Board recommends a vote FOR the election to the Board of each of Mr. Gunst and Mr. Hawkins.

Nominees for Election

Robert A. Gunst

Chairman of the Board

Director since 2004

Age 65

Mr. Gunst joined the Board of Directors in June 2004 and he was appointed Chairman in September 2004. Mr. Gunst is currently a private investor. From 1990 to 1999, Mr. Gunst served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of The Good Guys, Inc. He holds a Bachelor of Arts degree in Economics from Dartmouth College and a Masters Degree in Business Administration from the University of Chicago's Graduate School of Business. Mr. Gunst brings to the Board knowledge and experience gained from decades of managing and directing public and private companies across several industries.

Table of Contents

James B. Hawkins	James B. Hawkins has served as Chief Executive Officer, and as a member of the Board of Directors, since joining Natus in April 2004, and formerly as President from April 2004 through January 2011. In addition, he currently serves as a director at IRIDEX Corporation. Prior to joining Natus, Mr. Hawkins was President, Chief Executive Officer and a Director of Invivo Corporation, a developer and manufacturer of multi-parameter vital sign monitoring equipment, and its predecessor, from August 1985 through January 2004. Mr. Hawkins also served as Secretary of Invivo from July 1986 until January 2004. He earned his undergraduate degree in Business Commerce from Santa Clara University and holds a Masters of Business Administration degree from San Francisco State University.
Chief Executive Officer	
Director Since 2004	
Age 58	
<i>Continuing Directors</i>	
Doris E. Engibous	Ms. Engibous currently serves as a consultant and advisor to medical technology companies and executives. From 2004 to 2010, she served as President and CEO of Hemosphere, Inc., an early commercialization stage, venture capital funded, medical technology company. Prior to 2004, Ms. Engibous served from 2000 through 2003 as President of Nellcor, a business of Tyco Healthcare Group/Tyco International, Ltd. (now Covidien). Ms. Engibous served on the board of directors of the National Kidney Foundation serving Minnesota, the Dakotas and Iowa from 2006 to 2010. She holds a Bachelor of Science degree in Chemical Engineering from the University of Michigan. Ms. Engibous brings to the Board knowledge of organizational and operational management experience relevant to a healthcare industry public company.
Director since 2004	
Age 58	
William M. Moore	Mr. Moore is one of our co-founders. Mr. Moore has served as the Managing Partner of Alpine Partners LLC since May 2008 as well as from 2003 to 2004. From 2004 until May 2008 Mr. Moore was a special limited partner for medical technology at Blue Line Partners, a private equity firm. Mr. Moore currently serves as Interim President and CEO of IRIDEX Corporation and is on their board of directors. He has also served on the boards of directors of Criticare Systems, Inc. from 2006 until it was acquired by Opto Circuits (India) Limited in April 2008 and Urologix Inc. until June 2010. Mr. Moore holds a Bachelor of Science degree in Business from the University of Utah. Mr. Moore brings to the Board more than 25 years of executive experience in the worldwide medical technology field, particularly in the areas of sales, marketing, and product development.
Director since 1987	
Age 65	

Table of Contents

Kenneth E. Ludlum

Director since 2002

Age 60

Mr. Ludlum has been Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of EndoGastric Solutions, Inc., a medical device company since April 2011. Previously, Mr. Ludlum served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Paracor Medical Systems, Inc. from April 2008 to September 2009. From August 2007 through December 2007 he was the Chairman of the board of directors of AtheroMed, Inc. From March 2007 through August 2007 he served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Zonare Medical Systems. From 2005 through early 2007, Mr. Ludlum was an investor, advisor and board member for several medical technology companies. He was President, Chief Executive Officer, and Chairman of the board of directors of Revivant Corporation from June 2003 until its sale to Zoll Medical Corporation in October 2004. Prior to that, he was Chief Financial Officer of Perclose, Inc. Mr. Ludlum currently serves on the boards of directors of several private medical technology companies and was a director of Thermage, Inc. from March 2004 to July 2007. He holds a Bachelor of Science degree in Business from Lehigh University and a Masters of Business Administration degree from Columbia University. Mr. Ludlum brings to the Board many years of experience as an executive and board member of dynamic medical technology companies. His service as chief financial officer at several public companies has provided him with extensive financial and accounting experience, and knowledge of accounting principles, financial reporting rules, and regulations. With his background in investment banking, he also brings a unique perspective to the Board.

Mark D. Michael

Director since 2004

Age 62

Mr. Michael is currently a private investor, director, and consultant. He has served as the Senior Executive Advisor to Control Risks Group since March 2007. From October 2003 until March 2007, Mr. Michael acted as a private investor and consultant. Mr. Michael was Senior Vice President Legal, General Counsel, and Secretary of 3Com Corporation from 1997 through September 2003. Mr. Michael formerly served as a director of Nollenberger Capital Partners, Inc. from 2003, and Chairman of the board of directors from February 2010, until it was acquired in January 2011. He holds a Bachelor of Arts degree in History from Stanford University and a Juris Doctorate from the University of California Los Angeles School of Law. Mr. Michael brings to the Board a strong mix of legal, global business, and financial acumen critical to a large public company. With his experience as the former general counsel of 3Com, he brings relevant expertise to the Board in the areas of intellectual property, mergers and acquisitions, global regulatory compliance, government relations, and legal risk management.

Table of Contents**PROPOSAL No. 2****RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED****PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

The Audit Committee of the Board has appointed Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, to audit our consolidated financial statements for the year ending December 31, 2013.

Stockholder ratification of the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm is not required by applicable law, our certificate of incorporation, our Bylaws or otherwise. However, the Board is submitting the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP to the stockholders for ratification as a matter of good corporate practice. If the stockholders fail to ratify the selection, the Audit Committee will reconsider whether or not to retain Deloitte & Touche LLP. Even if the selection is ratified, the Audit Committee in its discretion may direct the appointment of different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if they determine that such a change would be in the best interests of Natus and its stockholders.

Representatives of Deloitte & Touche LLP are expected to attend the annual meeting, where they are expected to be available to respond to appropriate questions and, if they desire, to make a statement.

Audit Fees Paid to Deloitte & Touche LLP

	2012	2011
Audit Service Fees ⁽¹⁾	\$ 2,089,000	\$ 1,494,000
Audit-Related Fees ⁽²⁾	207,252	27,000
Tax Fees ⁽³⁾	219,283	47,000
Total	\$ 2,515,535	\$ 1,568,000

(1) Audit services fees associated with the annual audit of our consolidated financial statements.

(2) Audit-related fees are fees associated with assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Company's financial statements. This category includes primarily fees for assistance in financial due diligence and attestation services related to mergers and acquisitions. The increase in audit-related fees from 2011 to 2012 is primarily due to the acquisition of Nicolet in July of 2012.

(3) Tax fees are fees associated primarily with tax advice and planning services. The increase in fees for tax services from 2011 to 2012 is primarily due to the acquisition of Nicolet in July of 2012, as well as associated tax planning related to the acquisition.

Policy on Audit Committee Pre-Approval of Audit and Permissible Non-Audit Services of Independent Auditors

Our Audit Committee pre-approves all audit and permissible non-audit services provided by our independent auditors. These services may include audit services, audit-related services, tax services and other services. Pre-approval is generally detailed as to the particular service or category of services and is generally subject to a specific budget. Our independent auditors and management are required to periodically report to the Audit Committee regarding the extent of services provided by our independent auditors in accordance with this pre-approval, and the fees for the services performed to date. Our Audit Committee may also pre-approve particular services on a case-by-case basis.

Board of Directors Recommendation

Our Board recommends a vote FOR ratification of this appointment.

If the appointment is not ratified, the Audit Committee will consider whether it should select other independent auditors.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL No. 3

ADVISORY APPROVAL OF THE COMPANY'S NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION

We are requesting your advisory approval of the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the compensation tables, and the related narrative discussion in the Proxy Statement. This non-binding advisory vote is commonly referred to as a say-on-pay vote.

Our Compensation Committee believes that the most effective executive compensation program is one that is designed to reward achievement and that aligns executives' interests with those of stockholders by rewarding performance, with the ultimate objective of improving stockholder value. The Committee also seeks to ensure that we maintain our ability to attract and retain superior employees in key positions and that the compensation provided to key employees remains competitive relative to the compensation paid to similarly situated executives of a selected group of our peer companies and the broader marketplace from which we recruit and compete for talent.

We are asking you to indicate your support for the compensation of our named executive officers as described in this Proxy Statement. This vote is not intended to address any specific item of compensation, but rather the overall compensation of our named executive officers and the philosophy, policies and practices described in this Proxy Statement. Accordingly, we are asking you to vote, on an advisory basis, FOR the following resolution at the Annual Meeting:

RESOLVED, that the compensation paid to the company's named executive officers, as disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and narrative discussion set forth on pages 16 to 26 of this Proxy Statement, is hereby approved by shareholders.

While the results of this advisory vote are not binding, the Compensation Committee will consider the outcome of the vote in deciding whether to take any action as a result of the vote and when making future compensation decisions for named executive officers.

Board of Directors' Recommendation

Our Board recommends a vote FOR the Advisory Vote on Named Executive Officer Compensation.

Table of Contents**CORPORATE GOVERNANCE PRINCIPLES AND BOARD MATTERS**

The Board believes that good corporate governance practices are essential to fostering good shareholder relations and creating shareholder value. The Board's corporate governance guidelines (which includes director independence criteria), the charters of each of the Board's committees, our code of corporate conduct, and the Company's Code of Ethics are available on the Investor Relations pages of our website at www.natus.com. Shareholders may request copies of these documents free of charge by writing to Natus Medical Incorporated, Attn: Investor Relations, 1501 Industrial Road, San Carlos, CA, 94070.

Natus is committed to having sound corporate governance principles. Having such principles is essential to running our business effectively and to maintaining our integrity in the marketplace. We continually review our governance practices to ensure their relevance and appropriateness for Natus and all of our shareholders.

Board Independence

The Board has determined that, except for James B. Hawkins, our Chief Executive Officer, each of our current directors has no material relationship with Natus (either directly or as a partner, shareholder or officer of another organization that has a material relationship with Natus) and is independent within the meaning of the Nasdaq Stock Market (Nasdaq) director independence standards. Furthermore, the Board has determined that each of the members of each of the committees of the Board has no material relationship with Natus (either directly or as a partner, stockholder or officer of an organization that has a material relationship with Natus) and is independent within the meaning of the Nasdaq director independence standards, including in the case of the members of the Audit Committee, the heightened independence standard required for such committee members set forth in the applicable SEC rules.

Board Structure and Committee Composition

As of December 31, 2012, our Board had six directors divided into three classes with each class being equal in number and with a three-year term for each class. As of December 31, 2012, the classes were comprised as follows:

Nominees for director whose terms	Present directors whose terms will	Present directors whose terms will
expire in 2013	expire in 2014	expire in 2015
Robert A. Gunst	Kenneth E. Ludlum	Doris E. Engibous
James B. Hawkins	Mark D. Michael	William M. Moore

We do not have a policy regarding the separation of the roles of Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board as we believe it is in our best interests to make that determination based on the position and direction of the Company and the membership of the Board. The Board has determined that having an independent director serve as Chairman is in the best interest of our stockholders at this time. This structure ensures a greater role for the independent members of the Board in the oversight of the Company and active participation of the independent directors in setting agendas and establishing Board priorities and procedures. Further, this structure permits our Chief Executive Officer to focus on the management of our day-to-day operations. The roles of Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board have been separated since September 2004 when Mr. Gunst was appointed Chairman of the Board.

The Board has a standing Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, and Nominating and Governance Committee. The membership during the last year and the function of each of the committees are described below. Each of these committees operates under a written charter adopted by the Board. All of those committee charters

Table of Contents

are available on our Internet website at www.natus.com. The charters can be found in the Governance section of our Investor webpage. During 2012, each director attended at least 75% of all Board and applicable committee meetings.

Name of Director	Board	Audit	Compensation	Nominating and Governance
<i>Non-Employee Directors</i>				
Robert A. Gunst	X	X	X	
Doris E. Engibous	X		X	X
Kenneth E. Ludlum*	X	X		
Mark D. Michael	X	X		X
William M. Moore	X		X	X
<i>Employee Director</i>				
James B. Hawkins	X			
Number of Meetings in 2012	6	9	9	4

X = Committee Member

* The Board has determined that Mr. Ludlum is an audit committee financial expert within the meaning of the rules promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission.

We encourage our directors to attend our annual meeting of stockholders and we typically hold a regularly scheduled meeting of our Board of Directors on the same day as the annual stockholders meeting. All of our directors attended the 2012 annual meeting of stockholders.

Audit Committee

Our Audit Committee oversees and monitors our financial reporting and disclosure processes, our financial statement audits, the integrity of our financial statements, the qualifications, independence and performance of our independent registered public accounting firm, and our internal accounting and financial controls. The Committee also pre-approves audit and non-audit services, reviews, approves and monitors our *Code of Business Conduct and Ethics* with respect to our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and other senior financial officers, and establishes procedures for receiving and handling complaints regarding accounting, internal accounting controls, or auditing matters. The report of the Audit Committee for 2012 is included in this proxy statement.

Compensation Committee

Our Compensation Committee has overall responsibility for approving and evaluating our executive officer compensation plans, policies and programs and for reviewing our general policies relating to compensation and benefits.

Under Delaware law the Compensation Committee has the ability to delegate powers to a subcommittee of its members. The Board of Directors may also delegate the right to grant certain equity awards to one or more officers of the Company, provided that such officer may not make awards to himself, and our Board of Directors has authorized our Chief Executive Officer to make aggregate grants not to exceed a specified threshold to employees who are not officers of Natus. Our Chief Executive Officer makes recommendations to the Compensation Committee regarding the compensation of our executive officers, and participates in the discussions of executive compensation other than the Compensation Committee's decision-making processes with respect to the Chief Executive Officer's compensation. Additional information about the Compensation Committee's use of consultants and its processes is provided below under Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

Table of Contents

Nominating and Governance Committee

Our Nominating and Governance Committee is responsible for ensuring the Board is properly constituted to meet its fiduciary obligations to shareholders and that we have appropriate governance standards. The Committee assists the Board by identifying prospective director nominees and develops and recommends corporate governance principles and policies. The Nominating and Governance Committee also supervises the Board of Directors' annual review of director independence and the Board's performance self-evaluation.

Board of Directors Role in Risk Oversight

Management continually monitors the material risks we face, including financial risk, strategic risk, operational risk, and legal and compliance risk. The Board is responsible for exercising oversight of management's identification and management of, and planning for, those risks. In fulfilling this oversight role, the Board focuses on understanding the nature of our enterprise risks, including our operations and strategic direction, as well as the adequacy of our risk management process and overall risk management system. The Board performs these functions in a number of ways, including the following:

At its regularly scheduled meetings, the Board receives management updates on our business operations, financial results and strategy, and discusses risks related to the business;

Our Audit Committee assists the Board in its oversight of risk management by discussing with management our guidelines and policies regarding financial and enterprise risk management, including major risk exposures, and the steps management has taken to monitor and control such exposures; and

Through management updates and committee reports, the Board monitors our risk management activities, including the enterprise risk management process, risks relating to our compensation programs, and financial and operational risks.

Policy for Director Recommendations and Nominations

The Nominating and Governance Committee will consider Board candidates recommended by Board members, management, and security holders. Stockholders may submit their recommendations by confidential email to BoardofDirectors@natus.com; or mail to the Chairman of our Nominating and Governance Committee, or to the Chairman of the Board of Directors, care of: Corporate Secretary, Natus Medical Incorporated, 1501 Industrial Road, San Carlos, CA 94070.

A stockholder seeking to recommend a nominee to the Nominating and Governance Committee should provide the information required by our Bylaws for stockholders directly nominating a person for election as a director at a stockholders' meeting.

Our Bylaws also contain procedures by which stockholders may submit nominations for election at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Stockholders may receive a copy of our Bylaws by making a written request to the Secretary of the Company. We did not receive any recommendations for nominees from stockholders for consideration in this Proxy Statement.

Listed below are the minimum qualifications that the Nominating and Governance Committee believes must be met by all Board nominees:

Directors should possess the highest personal and professional ethics, integrity and values, and be committed to representing the long-term interests of the stockholders. They must also have an inquisitive and objective perspective, practical wisdom, and mature judgment. We endeavor to have a Board representing diverse experience at policy-making levels in business, health care, and technology, and in areas that are relevant to our global activities;

Table of Contents

Directors must be willing and able to devote sufficient time to carrying out their duties and responsibilities effectively, and should be committed to serve on the Board for an extended period of time. Directors should not serve on more than four other boards of public companies in addition to the Natus Board; and

Director nominees must have demonstrated a history of good business judgment, and possess financial and governance literacy. They must have the experience and the value-adding temperament to be good outside directors of a public company.

The following are specific qualities or skills that the Nominating and Governance Committee believes are necessary for one or more of the Company's directors to possess:

Experience as an independent director of a publicly-traded company;

Proven ability to understand the dynamic between management and Board members, and to effectively manage that dynamic for the benefit of the Company;

Experience with Wall Street, transactions, and managing operations; and

Some understanding of the medical device market.

Members of the Nominating and Governance Committee will use their professional contacts to identify nominees. If necessary, outside recruiters will also be used. The Chairman of the Nominating and Governance Committee will collect and organize the data on potential nominees, and with the help of the Secretary of the Company will undertake initial due diligence evaluation into nominee qualifications and background. Members of the Nominating and Governance Committee, as well as the Chairman of the Board of Directors and all Board members, will interview those candidates that are nominated by the Committee. The full Board votes to approve nominees after considering the recommendation of the Nominating and Governance Committee.

While we do not have a formal policy with regard to the consideration of diversity in identifying director nominees, the Nominating and Governance Committee strives to nominate directors with a variety of complementary skills so that, as a group, the Board will possess the appropriate talent, skills, and expertise to oversee our business.

Certain Relationships and Policies on Related Party Transactions

The Company has adopted and maintains a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (the "Code") that applies to all members of the Company's Board of Directors, all executive officers of the Company, and to all other persons who are employees of the Company. This Code covers matters that the Company believes are supportive of high standards of legal and ethical business conduct, including those relating to fair dealing with those with whom the Company does business, the avoidance of conflicts of interest, confidentiality, the protection of corporate assets, special obligations applicable to those involved in our financial reporting, the Company's obligation to make full, fair, accurate and timely disclosure in its filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission and in other public communications, compliance with laws, insider trading, and the reporting of violations of the Code. The Code can be found at the Company's website, www.natus.com, under Investors/Governance/Governance Policies.

The Code does not distinguish between potential conflict of interest transactions with executive officers or directors and those with other employees. It notes that all covered persons must avoid situations where their interests conflict, or would appear to conflict, with those of the Company. The Code notes that it is not possible to list all types of conflict situations, but provides examples of several types of scenarios that would involve a conflict of interest, including:

Use of Company property

Table of Contents

Dealings with customers and suppliers

Interests in or relationships with other companies

Dealings with relatives

Reporting obligations

Loans

The Code requires that covered persons report to the Company's Chief Executive Officer any ownership interest or other relationship that might affect their ability to exercise impartial, ethical judgments. The Code does not expressly set forth the standards that would be applied in reviewing or approving transactions in which directors or executive officers of the Company have a material interest. In general, any such transactions that are so identified would be submitted for approval to the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors, which is authorized by the Charter of the Audit Committee to review related party transactions. The Company expects that in reviewing, and potentially approving, any such transactions, that the Audit Committee would be provided with all material facts relative to the proposed transaction, the nature and extent of the director's or executive officer's interest in the transaction, and the terms upon which the products, services or other subject matter of the transaction could be provided by alternative sources. The Company further expects that any such transaction would be approved only if the Audit Committee determined that it was in the interest of the Company to proceed with it. The Company expects that pre-approval would be sought for any such transaction whenever practicable, and if pre-approval is not obtained, any such transaction would be submitted for ratification as soon as practicable.

Policy on Hedging Transactions

Since 2001, we have adopted an insider trading policy that generally prohibits insiders, as defined in our insider trading policy, from pledging shares of our common stock, engaging in short sales of our common stock, or any hedging of their ownership of our common stock.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

Our Compensation Committee consists of Mr. Moore, Ms. Engibous and Mr. Gunst. Mr. Moore was our Chief Executive Officer from April 1989 to May 1992. During 2012, Mr. Hawkins, our Chief Executive Officer, participated in discussions and decisions of the Compensation Committee regarding salaries and incentive compensation for our executive officers, but he was excluded from discussions regarding his own salary and incentive compensation. Since 2007, Mr. Hawkins has served on the Board of Directors of Iridex Corporation, and in 2012 he joined that Company's Compensation Committee. Mr. Moore is interim President and CEO of Iridex, a position he has held since October 2012. Mr. Moore has also been a member of the Iridex Board of Directors since 2007. Prior to 2012, none of our executive officers serves or in the past has served as a member of the board of directors or compensation committee of any entity that has one or more of its executive officers serving on our Board or Compensation Committee.

Communicating with our Board

Any stockholder of Natus or any other party interested in communicating with the Board may contact any of our directors by writing to them c/o Natus Medical Incorporated, 1501 Industrial Road, San Carlos, California 94070. Stockholders may also communicate with the Board on a confidential basis by sending an email to BoardofDirectors@natus.com. The Nominating and Governance Committee has approved a process for handling stockholder communications received by the Company. Under that process, the corporate Secretary may review all stockholder communications and has the authority to disregard any communications that are inappropriate or irrelevant to Natus and its operations, or to take other appropriate actions with respect to such communications.

Table of Contents

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth information, as of December 31, 2012, concerning:

Beneficial owners of more than 5% of Natus common stock;

Beneficial ownership by current Natus directors and nominees, and the named executive officers set forth in the Summary Compensation Table ; and

Beneficial ownership by all current Natus directors and executive officers as a group.

The information provided in the table is based on Natus records, information filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and information provided to Natus, except where otherwise noted.

The number of shares beneficially owned by each entity, person, director or executive officer is determined under rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, and the information is not necessarily indicative of beneficial ownership for any other purpose. Under such rules, beneficial ownership includes any shares as to which the individual has the sole or shared voting power or investment power and also any shares that the individual has the right to acquire within 60 days of the record date through the exercise of any stock option or other right. The address for those individuals for which an address is not otherwise provided is c/o Natus Medical Incorporated, 1501 Industrial Road, San Carlos, California 94070. Unless otherwise indicated, each person has sole voting and investment power (or shares such powers with his or her spouse) with respect to the shares set forth in the following table. For each individual and group included in the table below, percentage ownership is calculated by dividing the number of shares beneficially owned by such person or group by the sum of the 30,330,528 shares of common stock outstanding on April 11, 2013, plus the number of shares of common stock that such person or group had the right to acquire on or within 60 days after December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents**SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT**

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Shares Beneficially Owned	Right to acquire beneficial ownership under options exercisable within 60 days	Total Beneficially Owned	Percent of Class
Principal Stockholders				
BlackRock, Inc. 55 East 52nd Street New York, NY 10055 ⁽¹⁾	2,541,293		2,541,293	8.49%
Camber Capital Management, LLC 101 Huntington Ave. 25th Floor Boston, MA, 02199 ⁽²⁾	1,666,003		1,666,003	5.56%
Broadfin Capital, LLC 237 Park Avenue, Suite 900 New York, New York, 10017 ⁽³⁾	2,690,992		2,690,992	8.98%
The Vanguard Group, Inc. 100 Vanguard Blvd. Malvern, PA, 19355 ⁽⁴⁾	1,766,463		1,766,463	5.89%
OrbiMed Advisors LLC 601 Lexington Avenue, 54th Floor New York, NY 10022 ⁽⁵⁾	1,575,700		1,575,700	5.26%
Directors, Nominees and Named Executive Officers				
Mr. Buhler ⁽⁶⁾	111,433	92,500	203,933	*
Dr. Chung ⁽⁸⁾	68,790	222,167	290,957	*
Ms. Engibous ⁽⁷⁾	18,750	70,000	88,750	*
Mr. Gunst ⁽⁷⁾	25,750	65,000	90,750	*
Mr. Hawkins ⁽⁹⁾	360,401	886,709	1,247,110	4.0%
Mr. Ludlum ⁽⁷⁾	73,700	30,000	103,700	*
Mr. Michael ⁽⁷⁾	39,000	70,000	109,000	*
Mr. Moore ^{(7), (10)}	126,702	60,000	186,702	*

Edgar Filing: NATUS MEDICAL INC - Form DEF 14A

Mr. Murphy ⁽⁸⁾	97,118	247,167	344,285	1.1%
Mr. Traverso ^{(8), (11)}	122,244	222,167	344,411	1.1%
Officers and Directors as a group ⁽¹²⁾	1,043,888	1,965,710	2,718,641	9.4%

* Represents holdings of less than one percent.

- (1) Based on information reported on Schedule 13-G/A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 1, 2013 by BlackRock, Inc. (BlackRock). BlackRock is a parent holding company or control person in accordance with Rule 13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(G) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. BlackRock has sole voting and investment power with respect to all of these shares.
- (2) Based on information reported on Schedule 13-G/A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 14, 2013, by Camber Capital Management, LLC (Camber). Camber and Stephen DuBois, the managing member and a control person of Camber, share voting and dispositive power over all 1,666,003 shares of the Company s common stock.
- (3) Based on information reported on Schedule 13-G/A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 14, 2013 by Broadfin Capital, LLC, Broadfin Healthcare Master Fund Ltd. and Kevin Kotler

Table of Contents

- wherein Broadfin Capital Master Fund Ltd., Broadfin Healthcare Master Fund Ltd. and Kevin Kotler shared voting and dispositive power over 2,690,992 shares of the Company's common stock.
- (4) Based on information reported on Schedule 13-G/A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 2, 2013 by The Vanguard Group, Inc. (Vanguard). Vanguard is an investment advisor in accordance with Rule 13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(E) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Of Vanguard's total shares, the voting power of 45,195 shares is shared with Vanguard Fiduciary Trust Company (VFTC), a wholly owned subsidiary of Vanguard. Vanguard has sole voting and investment power over remaining shares owned by Vanguard.
 - (5) Based on information reported on Schedule 13-G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 14, 2013 by OrbiMed Advisors LLC, OrbiMed Capital LLC and Samuel D. Isaly. OrbiMed Advisors and OrbiMed Capital LLC are investment advisors in accordance with Rule 13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(E) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Act). Samuel D. Isaly is a control person of OrbiMed Advisors LLC and OrbiMed Capital LLC in accordance with Rule 13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(G) of the Act. OrbiMed Advisors LLC has shared voting power and shared dispositive voting power with respect to 577,800 shares. OrbiMed's affiliate, OrbiMed Capital LLC has shared voting power and shared dispositive power with respect to 997,900 shares. Samuel D. Isaly, a control person of OrbiMed, has shared voting power and shared dispositive power with respect to 1,575,700 shares.
 - (6) Includes 60,000 shares subject to a right of repurchase by the Company that expires as to 15,000 shares in February 2013, 15,000 shares in February 2014, and 50,000 shares subject to a right of repurchase of 25,000 that expires in June, 2014, and 12,500 on each of June 2015 and June 2016. This amount also includes 1,433 shares purchased according to the Company's Employee Stock Purchase Program since the date of the last Form 4 filing for Mr. Buhler.
 - (7) Includes 8,750 shares subject to a right of repurchase by the Company that expires in June, 2013.
 - (8) Includes 45,500 shares subject to a right of repurchase by the Company that expires as to 14,750 shares in August 2013, 17,000 shares in August 2014, 8500 shares in August 2015, and 5200 shares in August 2015.
 - (9) Includes 173,750 shares subject to a right of repurchase by the Company that expires with respect to 56,250 shares in August 2013, 65,500 shares in August 2014, 32,500 shares in August 2015, and 20,000 shares in August 2016.
 - (10) Includes 54,892 shares held by The Moore Family Trust and 4,150 shares held by Mr. Moore's spouse.
 - (11) Includes 8,572 shares held by the Traverso Family Trust, 10,500 shares held in an IRA for the benefit of Mr. Traverso, and 4,100 shares held in an IRA for the benefit of Mr. Traverso's spouse.
 - (12) Includes all shares referenced in notes 4 through 11 above.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires our directors, executive officers and holders of more than 10% of our common stock to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission reports regarding their ownership and changes in ownership of our securities. We believe that, during fiscal 2012, our directors, executive officers and 10% stockholders complied with all Section 16(a) filing requirements. In making this statement, we have relied upon examination of the copies of Forms 3, 4 and 5, and amendments thereto, provided to us, and the written representations of our directors, executive officers and 10% stockholders.

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

General

Our executive compensation program is designed to attract, as needed, individuals with the skills necessary for us to achieve our business, to reward those individuals fairly over time, to retain those individuals who continue to perform at or above the levels that we expect and to closely align the compensation of those individuals with the performance of our Company on both a short-term and long-term basis.

Executive Summary

As we entered 2012, Natus was confronted with a number of economic challenges that had impacted operating results in 2011. The U.S. was experiencing a sluggish economic recovery from recessionary conditions that we believe continued to impact hospital spending throughout the year. The European Union continued to struggle with its sovereign debt crisis, and this situation negatively impacted healthcare spending decisions by ministries of health within the union during 2012, as it had in 2011.

Recognizing these macro-economic challenges, in the first quarter of 2012, and following the restructuring implemented in 2011, we initiated additional restructuring plans to further align our cost structure with the market conditions we were facing. Also during 2012, we substantially expanded our neurology business footprint by completing the Nicolet acquisition at mid-year. We believe that we largely completed the successful integration of the Nicolet business, as well as the Embla business acquired in September 2011, by the close of 2012.

The Compensation Committee determined that there would be no salary increases for executive officers in 2012, marking the second time in three years that salaries had been frozen. In addition, no cash bonuses were paid in 2012 with respect of the 2011 Management Incentive Plan (MIP), as we had failed to hit our pre-tax earnings target for 2011 in light of the revenue challenges discussed above. The Committee established a new MIP for 2012 that contained not only the pre-tax earnings factor that was used in prior years, but also containing revenue and management business objectives, or MBOs, further discussed below. Notwithstanding this change, the Compensation Committee determined that no cash bonus would be paid if the pre-tax income target had not been satisfied at the threshold level. The payouts earned and awarded under the 2012 plan are further discussed below, representing the only cash bonus payments made to named executive officers in the past three years.

At our annual meeting in 2012, approximately 92% of the stockholders who voted on our 2012 Say on Pay proposal voted in favor of the proposal. This percentage of approval was up substantially from the prior year. Considering this outcome, the Compensation Committee has determined that it would continue to apply the same philosophy and guiding principles to the 2012 compensation for the Company's Named Executive Officers, and, as a result, did not change the structure of our executive compensation in 2012, other than the introduction of additional performance factors into the 2012 MIP. Further, at our annual meeting in 2011, approximately 77% of the stockholders who voted on the frequency of our Say on Pay vote voted in favor of an annual Say on Pay vote. In light of this outcome, our Board of Directors determined to hold a non-binding Say on Pay vote each year until the next required vote on the frequency of such Say on Pay vote to approve executive compensation. Accordingly, it is expected that our stockholders will be given an opportunity to cast an advisory vote to approve executive compensation annually with the next required vote on the frequency of such advisory votes occurring at our annual meeting to be held in 2017.

Our Business and Our Compensation Philosophy

We believe that opportunities exist for us to increase stockholder value by increasing the revenue base of the company, and by doing so the income earning capacity, of our Company. We seek growth in two ways, through organic growth involving, primarily, the introduction of existing products into new markets and the internal development of new products, as well as via acquisitions of complementary products and businesses. Our

Table of Contents

business plans challenge our executives to seek growth through both of these means, and we expect over time to achieve a higher level of overall growth than could be achieved through either method alone. Further, we expect our business, including the businesses that we acquire, to be operated efficiently so that earnings can grow as we increase revenue.

Pursuit of this business model is demanding on our executives. They must implement efforts to enhance sales opportunities of existing products, oversee effective and efficient new product development and enhancements, successfully identify and complete the acquisition of complementary products and businesses and integrate these operations with our existing businesses, as well as conduct our business in an efficient manner.

In consideration of these factors, the primary objectives of our executive compensation are:

Retain Qualified Executive Talent. During the period from 2003 to 2011 we have substantially increased the size of our company. In this time period we have completed 14 acquisitions of companies with principal offices in 5 different countries. We believe that maintaining continuity within our executive team has contributed significantly to our ability to achieve this growth. Our business is competitive and our headquarters is in an area where there is significant competition for executive talent. In light of these factors, a key objective of our compensation is to allow us to retain qualified executives. We believe that our ability to keep our senior executive team largely intact since 2003 reflects some measure of success of our compensation programs.

Attract Qualified Executives. We understand that we may find it in our interests to, or may be required to, add new individuals to our executive team from time to time, as was the case with our creating and filling the new President and Chief Operating Officer position in early 2011 and adding an executive to lead our neurology strategic business unit, or SBU, in 2012. For us to be appropriately positioned to attract new talent as needed, we must be prepared to, and be perceived as an employer that is willing to, offer competitive compensation.

Link Compensation to Achievement of Our Business Objectives. We believe that a significant portion of the current period cash compensation that our executives are eligible to receive should be tied to attainment of goals that our Compensation Committee has determined are most capable of increasing stockholder value for the Company. For years prior to 2012 we elected to use a single metric, pre-tax corporate earnings, for our cash incentive awards, as it was determined that the use of the single metric would be most effective. For 2012, the MIP was tied not only to earnings growth but to a revenue goal and key business objectives.

Provide Direct Incentives for the Enhancement of Stockholder Value Over the Long Term. The effectiveness of our management in operating our business has a strong influence on the value of our common stock over time. We believe that our executives should be positioned to share, with our stockholders, in the gains and losses from changes in the value of our common stock over time and that this form of compensation will further motivate our executives to seek to increase long-term stockholder value.

Elements of Compensation

Our executive officers' compensation currently has two primary elements of compensation: (i) cash compensation in the form of salary and annual incentive awards, and (ii) equity awards in the form of stock option grants and restricted stock awards. In addition, we provide our executive officers with benefits that are available generally to all salaried employees.

We believe that we would impair our ability to retain our executives or, as required, attract new executives if we did not offer a competitive salary. As such, our goal is to provide salaries that are sufficient to make us reasonably confident of our ability to retain our executive team without overpaying. We further believe that a substantial portion of the cash compensation that our executives are eligible to receive should be directly tied to corporate performance. We believe that our annual business plans represent reasonably challenging targets. Our long-term equity-based incentive awards are designed to provide a competitive compensation package and to motivate our executives to increase stockholder value.

Table of Contents

In establishing compensation, we take into account the compensation that is payable by companies that we believe to be our competitors and by other companies with which we believe we generally compete for executives. To this end, our Compensation Committee works with management and an outside compensation consultant, Towers Watson, to define the criteria used to identify appropriate market comparisons for establishing compensation levels and the mix of salary, incentive compensation, and equity compensation. When determining our peer companies, we focus on identifying companies with whom we compete directly for customers and employees, as well as other medical device companies, and in particular companies headquartered in the San Francisco Bay Area. In addition, we select companies that are similar to our size, limiting the peer group to companies whose trailing twelve month revenue is generally within a range of approximately 0.5x to 2.0x of our projected annual revenue.

Each year the Compensation Committee considers whether it is necessary to have a formal report produced by a compensation consultant for all of the peer group companies. In the years a formal report is not produced, the Compensation Committee directs the consultant to review, for the peer companies in the prior report, the compensation changes reported by these peers in the following year to help the Committee arrive at compensation levels relative to the peer group that are consistent with our goals. Our Compensation Committee requested and received formal reports from our consultant, Towers Watson, to assist it in its deliberations for 2012 cash compensation. The peer companies used in that report were: Abaxis; Accuray; Analogic; AngioDynamics; Conceptus; Cyberonics; Omnicell; Sonosite; Thoratec; Volcano; and Zoll Medical. The peer group was revised from the group that we last formally developed with the assistance of Towers Watson in establishing 2010 compensation because certain of those companies were acquired and as such the detail used for comparison is no longer available and certain others failed to meet the revenue size comparison that we target. For the purpose of establishing competitive compensation ranges for elements of compensation, Towers Watson considered the most recently reported compensation information for the peer group companies as well as the applicable compensation survey information based on our size and industry.

Towers Watson has worked directly with the Compensation Committee (and not on behalf of management) to assist the Compensation Committee in satisfying its responsibilities and will undertake no projects for management except at the request of our Compensation Committee chair and in the capacity of our Compensation Committee's agent. To date, Towers Watson has not undertaken any projects for management or for the Company other than advising the Compensation Committee with respect to compensation matters. The Compensation Committee has concluded that none of Towers Watson's work to date has raised any conflicts of interest. In addition, in determining the compensation of each of our executive officers, other than the Chief Executive Officer, our Compensation Committee considers the recommendations of the Chief Executive Officer.

We view the cash and equity elements of compensation as distinct. We think that each of these main components must be perceived by our executives as largely competitive with the corresponding compensation element paid by our peer companies. While we view cash and equity elements of compensation as distinct, we do link these two components of compensation insofar as it is our goal to establish aggregate cash and equity compensation that is near the median of our peer group, assuming achievement of target level of performance.

Because we seek to provide cash compensation that our executives regard as competitive with relevant market conditions, when setting salaries and aggregate cash compensation we are mindful of the corresponding amounts of cash consideration of our peer group. However, we may set an individual officer's salary and target bonus above or below median levels of our peer group, as determined to be appropriate by the Compensation Committee. We believe that this approach is sufficient to achieve our retention goals. For the achievement of performance goals above plan, our executives can earn aggregate cash consideration that is substantially above the median level of the peer group. We believe that this is appropriate because we adopt business plans that are a challenge for us to attain, and we believe that if our executives exceed the demanding targets in these plans they should be eligible to receive higher levels of compensation. This being the case, we have not undertaken to determine the extent to which our performance targets are more or less difficult to achieve than those of our peer group because we did not think that it would be feasible to do so.

Table of Contents

We view our compensation decisions as an exercise in paying competitive compensation, with desired performance goals, on an annual basis. Our cash compensation is not tied to performance beyond one year. Our equity awards vest over a period of time, and as such are impacted by the value of our common stock over the life of the option or the vesting period of the restricted stock, as the case may be. We do not take account of prior wealth accumulation by our executives from the receipt of cash on exercise or vesting of equity awards as we do not believe these prior period returns provide a significant motivation or retention benefit in the current period. Further, we do not set the compensation of our executives at any multiple or ratio to the compensation of other executives or employees. Our Compensation Committee has not adopted any formal or informal policies or guidelines for allocating compensation between long-term and immediate compensation, between cash and non-cash compensation, or among different forms of non-cash compensation, other than as described below for the manner in which we make stock option and restricted stock awards to executives.

Our Compensation Committee's current intent is to perform on a regular basis a strategic review of our executive officers' overall compensation packages to determine whether they provide adequate incentives and motivation and whether they adequately compensate our executive officers relative to comparable officers in our peer group companies.

Cash Compensation Element

Our Compensation Committee reviews the base salaries of our executives annually and may adjust an officer's salary if it determines that such a change is merited on the basis of the officer's personal performance and market conditions. We did not achieve the pre-tax operating income targets of our business plan for 2011. Consequently, management recommended that our executives not receive salary increases in 2012, and the Compensation Committee accepted this recommendation and left salaries unchanged for 2012.

Management Incentive Plan

A new MIP was adopted in 2012 that included revenue and management objectives (MBOs) targets in addition to pre-tax income targets as the Compensation Committee wanted to establish objectives that more closely aligned with the strategic initiatives of the business. In January 2012, our Compensation Committee approved the 2012 MIP for our executive officers.

The table below shows the weighting of each performance factor for the 2012 MIP, the level of achievement of each factor, and the percent of the target bonus earned and paid:

Performance factor	Goal (\$ million)	Weight	Achievement
Pretax income	\$ 22.1	60%	81%
Revenue	\$ 304.0	20%	0%
MBOs		20%	60%
Percent of target bonus earned and paid:			60.6%

The MBOs for 2012 included the implementation of specific internal systems and launches of certain products and were ordered in the priority of importance.

Table of Contents

The target bonus for each of our named executive officers for 2012 was unchanged from 2011 and was expressed as a percentage of each officer's salary. The following table shows the minimum, target and maximum bonus for each named executive officer:

Name	Minimum Bonus ¹	Target Bonus	Maximum Bonus
Mr. Hawkins	\$ 189,280	\$ 364,000	\$ 637,000
Mr. Buhler	\$ 84,500	\$ 162,500	\$ 284,375
Mr. Murphy	\$ 62,712	\$ 120,600	\$ 211,050
Dr. Chung	\$ 60,138	\$ 115,650	\$ 202,388
Mr. Traverso	\$ 42,765	\$ 82,240	\$ 143,920

¹ Pretax income of 80% to target required for bonus eligibility.

Under the 2012 MIP, if each of the three factors were satisfied at the target level, then the bonus amount for each executive officer would be that officer's target amount. If either of the pre-tax income or revenue targets were met at the minimum specified amount (80% of target for pre-tax income and 98% of target for revenue), the payout would be 50% of target, and if the MBO factor was met at the minimum level (attainment of the initial factor) the payout would be at 60% of target, in each case for the weighted portion of the bonus dependent on that factor. If any factor were met at the maximum level (which was attainment of pre-tax income at 145% of target, revenue at 105% of target and all five objectives for the MBO factor), the payout would be 175% of the target for the weighted portion of the bonus dependent on each factor. In no event would any payments be made in respect of any factor unless the pre-tax income was at least 80% of target.

In March 2013 the Compensation Committee approved the payments under the 2012 MIP that it determined were earned in accordance with the formula described above. The Committee determined that the pre-tax income target had been met at the 88% level, thus meeting the threshold for the making of any payments under the plan and meeting the threshold for that measure. It further noted that revenue was below the threshold for the plan, thus no bonus would accrue in that regard, and that the initial MBO had been met, thereby triggering payment of 60% of the 20% of the target bonus attributable to the MBOs. In evaluating attainment of the pre-tax income target, the Committee approved adjusting the actual amount of pre-tax income by the amount of severance payments associated with the Company's restructuring plans, acquisition direct costs, the impact of purchase accounting on the revenue and cost of sales of products acquired in acquisitions, impairment charges incurred for European trade names and the accelerated write off of the pre-existing ERP system. The actual amount of bonus paid to each of the named executive officers is provided in the Summary Compensation Table below.

In January 2013, our Compensation Committee approved certain compensation matters for executives for 2013. It approved an increase in base salary of our CEO of 9.8% and increases in the base salaries of the other executive officers of from 0% to 14.8%. In 2012 we reorganized our operations into two separate strategic business units, or SBUs, and Mr. Traverso, who previously served as Vice President, Marketing and Sales, was appointed Vice President and General Manager of the Newborn Care SBU. Mr. Traverso's salary was increased by 14.8% for 2013 and at the same time he ceased to participate in a commission on sales that had been paid throughout the year in 2012 and in prior years. The 2013 MIP conditions the payment of cash bonuses for executive officers other than the Vice President and General Managers of our SBUs on the same classes of factors, with the same weighting, as in 2012, with different management business objectives for the CEO, the President and COO, and the CFO from the objectives for the other executive officers. The 2013 MIP increased the target bonus as a percentage of salary for Mr. Hawkins and Mr. Buhler. For the Vice Presidents and General Managers of our SBUs, the bonus is based on four factors, (i) the company's pre-tax operating income, weighted at 20%, (ii) the pre-tax earnings of their respective SBUs, weighted at 40%, (iii) the revenue of their respective SBUs, weighted at 20%, and (iv) the completion of discrete operational goals, weighted at 20%. No bonus will be earned under the plan for any of the officers if the company's pre-tax income does not equal at least 80% of the amount contained in the annual business plan approved by the Board of Directors.

Table of Contents

Equity-Based Compensation Element

Equity-based compensation provides employees with a common interest with our stockholders to increase the value of our common stock. Equity awards are granted to employees, including our executive officers, in the form of stock options, restricted stock and restricted stock units, which in the case of options are granted with an exercise price equal to the fair market value on the date of grant. Stock options have value only if the stock price increases over time and the value of restricted stock awards increases over time as the stock price increases. In addition, equity grants help retain key employees because they typically cannot be fully exercised or are subject to a right of repurchase for four years and, in the case of options, if not exercised, are forfeited if the employee leaves the employ of the Company. The four-year vesting schedule also helps focus our employees on long-term performance. In 2006, our Board of Directors reduced the term of options that we grant from ten years to six years in order to reduce the expense of such options under Financial Accounting Standards Board, Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718, *Compensation Stock Compensation* (ASC Topic 718).

We intend to grant equity awards to our executives having a fair market value such that the level of each of our executive s aggregate compensation is generally consistent with the median level of our peer group assuming the achievement of target levels of performance. Since 2006, we have sought to achieve the equity portion of aggregate compensation through stock option grants and restricted stock awards, with each comprising approximately half of the value of the annual equity award.

Equity-based compensation is granted to executive officers when the executive first joins us. Additional equity-based compensation may be granted in connection with a significant change in responsibilities. Further, we typically make annual equity awards to our executive officers, as was the case in 2012 based on the factors noted above. The Compensation Committee s procedure for timing of equity awards (restricted stock and stock options) provides assurances that grant timing is not being manipulated to result in a price that is favorable to employees. We generally expect to make annual equity awards at the Compensation Committee meeting held in connection with the Company s annual meeting of stockholders. The exercise price for all option grants is the closing price on the last completed day of trading prior to the meeting of the Compensation Committee at which the options are granted.

Employment Agreements and Change in Control Arrangements

We entered into employment agreements with Kenneth M. Traverso in November 2002, with D. Christopher Chung, M.D. in February 2003, and Steven J. Murphy in May 2003, all of which were amended in December 2008, and with James B. Hawkins in April 2004, which agreement was amended in April 2008, December 2008, and April 2013. We entered into an employment agreement with John T. Buhler on February 14, 2011. Other than Mr. Hawkins, the terms of these agreements are substantially the same. Upon termination of employment for cause, death, or disability, the executive will only be eligible for severance benefits, if any, in accordance with the Company s established policies for all employees as then in effect, which consist primarily of short-term disability and group life insurance benefits.

Should an officer s, other than Mr. Hawkins , employment with us terminate for other than cause, death or disability, the officer shall be entitled to:

Receive continuing payments of severance pay, less applicable withholding taxes, at a rate equal to the officer s then current base salary rate for a period of twelve months commencing with the latest payroll date that is also within 70 days from the date of separation from service (with earlier commencement possible only if in compliance with Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code and with payments that would have been made on earlier payroll dates, but for this provision, cumulated and paid on such payroll date);

The immediate vesting and exercisability of any unvested stock options, restricted stock, or other equity awards, which in the case of stock options would be exercisable for a period of 30 days after such termination; and

Table of Contents

Continued payment by the Company of COBRA benefits through the lesser of (i) six to eighteen months from the effective date of such termination, (ii) the date upon which the officer and the officer's eligible dependents become covered under similar plans, or (iii) the date the officer no longer constitutes a Qualified Beneficiary, as such term is defined in Section 4980B(g) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

These agreements also provide for the same severance benefits as above if the officer terminates his employment for good reason within 12 months following a change-in-control transaction. Employment termination is for good reason if it follows a material reduction in the officer's duties or responsibilities, a reduction in base salary, a material reduction in employee benefits, relocation of more than 35 miles from the officer's present location, or the failure of a successor entity to assume the employment agreement. A change in control for such employment agreements is a transaction by which someone acquires more than 50% of the Company's outstanding voting power, a change in the Board of Directors within a two year period such that fewer than a majority are incumbent directors, a merger or consolidation following which the stockholders of the Company own 40% or less of the combined voting power of the Company or the surviving entity, or the sale of all or substantially all of the assets of the Company.

Should Mr. Hawkins' employment with us terminate for other than cause, death or disability, Mr. Hawkins shall be entitled to:

Receive a lump sum payment due and payable within thirty (30) days after the date of separation, less applicable withholding taxes, equal to two times his then current base salary;

The immediate vesting of any unvested stock options, restricted stock, or other equity awards, which in the case of stock options would be exercisable for a period of 30 days after such termination; and

Continued payment by the Company of COBRA benefits through the lesser of (i) 18 months from the effective date of such termination, or (ii) the date upon which he or his eligible dependents become covered under similar plans

The agreement provides that if within twelve months of a change in control transaction Mr. Hawkins terminates his employment for good reason or is terminated without cause, then Mr. Hawkins will receive (i) a lump sum payment due and payable within thirty (30) days after the date of separation, less applicable withholding taxes, equal to two times the sum of (A) the greater of his then current base salary rate and his base salary rate in effect immediately prior to the change in control transaction and (B) the greater of 100% of his target bonus then in effect and 100% of his target bonus as in effect immediately prior to the change in control transaction; (ii) continued provision of COBRA or similar benefits through the lesser of twenty-four months or the date upon which Mr. Hawkins becomes covered under similar plans; and (iii) the immediate vesting of unvested stock options, restricted stock and other equity awards. Employment termination is for good reason if it follows a material reduction in the officer's duties or responsibilities, a material reduction in base salary, a material reduction in employee benefits, relocation of more than 35 miles from the officer's present location, or the failure of a successor entity to assume the employment agreement. A change in control for purposes of this employment agreement is a transaction by which someone acquires more than 50% of the Company's outstanding voting power, a merger or consolidation following which the stockholders of the Company own 40% or less of the combined voting power of the Company or the surviving entity, stockholder approval of a plan to liquidate the Company, or the sale of all or substantially all of the assets of the Company.

To be eligible for termination benefits, all executives must comply with certain non-compete and non-solicitation provisions and retention is conditioned on execution of a release of claims.

The base salaries for our named executive officers for 2012 were as follows: James B. Hawkins, \$455,000; John T. Buhler, \$325,000; Steven J. Murphy, \$268,000; D. Christopher Chung, M.D., \$257,000; and Kenneth M. Traverso, \$257,000. Mr. Traverso also received payments made pursuant to a sales commission plan totaling \$53,813.00 that were paid on a regular basis throughout the year.

Table of Contents

We believe that these agreements appropriately balance our needs to offer a competitive level of severance protection to our executives and to induce our executives to remain in our employ through the potentially disruptive conditions that may exist around the time of a change in control, while not unduly rewarding executives for a termination of their employment. We note that our change in control terms include so-called double trigger provisions, so that the executive is not entitled to the severance payment by the mere occurrence of the change in control. This feature, we believe, will be an incentive to the executive to remain in the employ of the Company if such continuation is required by our partner in a change in control transaction.

Our 2011 Stock Awards Plan provides for the grant of options to purchase our common stock to employees, directors and consultants. Under the predecessor plan, prior to June 14, 2006, options granted to employees had a contractual term of ten years; options granted since June 14, 2006 have a contractual term of six years. The 2011 plan and the predecessor plan provide that after certain change in control events, including, for example, our merger with or into another corporation or the sale of all or substantially all of our assets, outstanding options may be assumed or equivalent options may be substituted, by the successor corporation. The plans provide that the plan administrator may provide that if an optionee's options are assumed or substituted and the optionee's status as our employee or employee of the successor corporation is terminated within 12 months other than by a voluntary resignation or termination for cause, the option may become fully exercisable. Further, if the successor corporation does not assume an outstanding option or substitute for it an equivalent option, the option becomes fully vested and exercisable.

For further detailed financial information concerning the severance and change in control arrangements with our executive officers, please see the tabular information contained in the section entitled Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control.

Other Benefits

Executive officers are eligible to participate in all of our employee benefit plans, such as medical, dental, vision, group life, disability, and accidental death and dismemberment insurance, and our 401(k) plan, in each case on the same basis as other employees, subject to applicable law. We also provide vacation and other paid holidays to all employees, including our executive officers, which we intend to be comparable to those provided at peer companies.

Accounting Treatment

We account for equity compensation paid to our employees under ASC Topic 718 which requires us to estimate and record an expense over the service period of the award. Our cash compensation is recorded as an expense at the time the obligation is accrued. We structure the cash compensation element of our incentive compensation so that it is taxable to our executives at the time it becomes available to them. We currently intend that all cash compensation paid will be tax deductible by us. However, with respect to equity compensation awards, while any gain recognized by employees from nonqualified options granted at fair market value should be deductible, to the extent that an option constitutes an incentive stock option, gain recognized by the optionee will not be deductible if there is no disqualifying disposition by the optionee. In addition, if we grant restricted stock or restricted stock unit awards that are not subject to performance vesting, they may not be fully deductible by us at the time the award is otherwise taxable to employees.

Tax Deductibility of Executive Compensation

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, provides that compensation in excess of \$1 million paid to the chief executive officer or to any of the other four most highly compensated executive officers of a company will not be deductible for federal income tax purposes unless such compensation is paid pursuant to one of the enumerated exceptions set forth in Section 162(m). Our primary objective in designing and administering compensation policies is to support and encourage the achievement of our long-term strategic

Table of Contents

goals and to enhance stockholder value. When consistent with this compensation philosophy, we also intend to attempt to structure compensation programs such that compensation paid thereunder will be tax deductible by us. In general, stock options granted under our stock option plans are intended to qualify under and comply with the performance based compensation exemption provided under Section 162(m), thus excluding from the Section 162(m) compensation limitation any income recognized by executives pursuant to such stock options. The Compensation Committee intends to review periodically the potential impacts of Section 162(m) in structuring and administering our compensation programs.

Compensation Risk

The Compensation Committee regularly reviews the Company's compensation policies and practices, including the risks created by the Company's compensation plans. The Compensation Committee concluded that the compensation plans reflected the appropriate compensation goals and philosophy and that any risks arising from the Company's compensation policies and practices are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Table of Contents**SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE**

The following table sets forth information concerning compensation of our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and the other three most highly compensated executive officers (the named executive officers), all of whom were serving as executive officers of the Company as of December 31, 2012¹.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary	Stock Awards ³	Option Awards ³	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation ⁴	All Other Compensation ⁵	Total
James B. Hawkins	2012	\$ 455,000	\$ 855,120	\$ 543,408	\$ 220,586	\$ 4,306	\$ 2,094,434
Chief Executive Officer	2011	455,000	819,000	579,220		4,306	1,857,526
	2010	425,000	839,000	559,350	270,000	4,306	2,097,656
Steven J. Murphy	2012	268,000	224,469	142,645	73,084	5,272	718,776
Vice President Finance and Chief Financial Officer	2011	268,000	212,940	150,597		5,272	636,809
	2010	250,000	218,140	145,431	88,000	4,306	705,877
John T. Buhler	2012	325,000	540,450	339,630	98,475	3,466	1,314,171
President and Chief Operating Officer	2011	286,875	904,800	712,620		53,345	1,907,640
Kenneth M. Traverso ²	2012	310,813	224,469	142,645	49,837	3,547	734,929
Vice President, Marketing and Sales	2011	320,752	212,940	150,597		3,466	687,755
	2010	322,874	218,140	145,431	60,000	3,466	749,911
D. Christopher Chung, M.D.	2011	257,000	224,469	142,645	70,084	3,130	702,417
Vice President Medical Affairs, Quality and Regulatory	2011	257,000	212,940	150,597		3,130	623,667
	2010	240,000	218,140	145,431	85,000	3,130	691,701

- (1) Each of the named executive officers has an Employment Agreement with us that provided for an initial base salary that is subject to subsequent review and to adjustments. These agreements provide that the executive's employment with us is on an at will basis. These agreements also provide for certain payments and other benefits upon termination of employment in certain circumstances, as further described under Employment Agreements and Change in Control Arrangements in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis above, and in the Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control section below.
- (2) For Mr. Traverso, the amount included in the Salary column consists of a base salary plus a commission that is based on sales of the Company that is paid on a regular basis throughout the year. This arrangement was changed beginning in January 2013, with commission payments to Mr. Traverso ceasing at that time.
- (3) The amounts included in the Stock Awards and Option Awards columns represent the grant-date fair value of the awards on the date of grant, computed in accordance with ASC Topic 718, except that in the case of option awards, a forfeiture rate of zero percent has been used. The assumptions we use in calculating these amounts, other than the exclusion of the impact of estimated forfeitures, are discussed in Note 11-Share Based Compensation of the Notes to our consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. See the Grants of Plan Based Awards Table for more information regarding the equity awards granted by the Company in 2012. Refer to the Compensation Discussion and Analysis above for a discussion of these awards.

Edgar Filing: NATUS MEDICAL INC - Form DEF 14A

- (4) The amounts in this column reflect bonuses under our Management Incentive Plan for 2011 performance that were paid in March 2012. See the Grants of Plan Based Awards Table for more information regarding non-equity incentive plan compensation. Refer to the Compensation Discussion and Analysis above for a discussion of non-equity incentive plan compensation.
- (5) The amounts included in the All Other Compensation column consist of matching contributions paid by the Company into our 401(k) plan on behalf of the named executive officers, the value of group life insurance benefits, and for Mr. Buhler only, the reimbursement of \$50,000 in documented moving expenses incurred by Mr. Buhler in 2011 that was paid by the Company in January, 2012.

Table of Contents**GRANTS OF PLAN BASED AWARDS FISCAL 2012**

This table discloses the actual numbers of stock options and restricted stock awards granted to our Named Executive Officers in 2012 and the grant date fair value of these awards. It also captures estimated possible payouts under the Company's 2012 Management Incentive Plan.

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-equity Incentive Plan Awards ¹			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares or Units ²	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options ³	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Share)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$) ⁴
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)				
Mr. Hawkins		\$ 189,200	\$ 364,000	\$ 637,000				
	06/07/2012				80,000		\$ 855,000	
	06/07/2012					160,000	\$ 10.69	
Mr. Murphy		\$ 62,712	\$ 120,600	\$ 211,050				
	06/07/2012				21,000		224,469	
	06/01/2012					42,000	10.69	
Mr. Buhler		\$ 84,500	\$ 162,500	\$ 284,375				
	06/07/2012				50,000		\$ 540,450	
	06/07/2012					100,000	10.69	
Mr. Chung		\$ 60,138	\$ 115,650	\$ 202,388				
	06/01/2011				21,000		224,469	
	06/01/2011					42,000	10.69	
Mr. Traverso		\$ 42,765	\$ 82,240	\$ 143,920				
	06/07/2012				21,000		224,469	
	06/07/2012					42,000	10.69	

- (1) Each of the named executive officers had a range of payouts targeted for 2012 non-equity incentive compensation under our 2012 Management Incentive Plan (MIP) based on the Company's performance as described in Compensation Discussion and Analysis above.
- (2) Each of the named executive officers received a grant of restricted shares in 2012 that vest as follows: 50% in August 2014, 25% in August 2015, and 25% in August 2016.
- (3) Each of the named executive officers received a grant of stock options in 2012. Options were granted with an exercise price equal to the fair market value on the date of grant, which was based on the closing price of the Company's common stock immediately prior to the award. All of the stock options may be exercised for six years from the date of grant. Refer to the Compensation Discussion and Analysis above for a description of our equity based compensation practices.
- (4) Represents the grant date fair market value of restricted stock awards and stock options granted to the named executive officers in 2012 computed in accordance with ASC Topic 718, except that in the case of option awards, a forfeiture rate of zero percent has been used. The assumptions we use in calculating these amounts, other than the exclusion of the impact of estimated forfeitures, are discussed in *Note 11-Share Based Compensation* of the Notes to our consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents**OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT 2012 FISCAL YEAR-END**

Name	Option Awards ¹				Stock Awards	
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$) ⁴
Mr. Hawkins	20,000	140,000	\$ 10.69	06/07/2018 ³	173,750	\$ 1,939,763
	37,500	62,500	16.38	06/01/2017 ³		
	62,500	37,500	16.78	06/03/2016 ³		
	131,250	18,750	10.73	06/15/2015 ³		
	75,000		20.09	06/09/2014 ³		
	60,000		15.92	06/13/2013 ³		
	120,000		10.03	06/09/2015 ²		
	319,834		4.07	04/08/2014 ¹		
Mr. Murphy	5,250	36,750	10.69	06/07/2018 ³	45,500	\$ 507,967
	9,750	16,250	16.38	06/01/2017 ³		
	16,250	9,750	16.78	06/03/2016 ³		
	35,000	5,000	10.73	06/15/2015 ³		
	20,000		20.09	06/09/2014 ³		
	20,000		15.92	06/13/2013 ³		
	50,000		10.03	06/09/2015 ²		
	40,000		4.51	02/25/2014 ²		
	35,000		4.11	05/30/2013 ²		
Mr. Buhler	12,500	87,500	10.69	06/07/2018 ³	110,000	1,228,051
	55,000	65,000	15.08	2/14/2017 ^{1,3}		
Mr. Chung	5,250	36,750	\$ 10.69	06/07/2018 ³	45,500	\$ 507,967
	9,750	16,250	16.38	06/01/2017 ³		
	16,250	9,750	16.78	06/03/2016 ³		
	35,000	5,000	10.73	06/15/2015 ³		
	20,000		20.09	06/09/2014 ³		
	20,000		15.92	06/13/2013 ³		
	50,000		10.03	06/09/2015 ²		
	50,000		4.51	02/25/2014 ²		
Mr. Traverso	5,250	36,750	10.69	06/07/2018 ³	45,500	\$ 507,967
	9,750	16,250	16.38	06/01/2017 ³		
	16,250	9,750	16.78	06/03/2016 ³		
	35,000	5,000	10.73	06/15/2015 ³		
	20,000		20.09	06/09/2014 ³		
	20,000		15.92	06/13/2013 ³		
	50,000		10.03	06/09/2015 ²		
	50,000		4.51	02/25/2014 ²		

Edgar Filing: NATUS MEDICAL INC - Form DEF 14A

- (1) Initial grants of options to the named executive officers upon employment vest 6/48ths after the completion of six months of service with the remainder vesting ratably over the next 42 months. Subsequent grants of options vest ratably over a 48 month period.
- (2) Represents subsequent grant of options granted prior to June 14, 2006 that expire 10 years from the date of grant.
- (3) Represents subsequent grant of options granted on or after June 14, 2006 that expire 6 years from the date of grant.
- (4) Represents the value of these awards based on the closing price of our stock on December 31, 2012 of \$11.16

Table of Contents**OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED FISCAL 2012**

The following table sets forth certain information regarding options and stock awards exercised and vested, respectively, during 2012 for the named executive officers.

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#) ¹	Value Realized on Vesting (\$) ¹
Mr. Hawkins			53,125	633,250
Mr. Buhler				
Mr. Murphy	25,000	228,981	14,000	168,880
Mr. Chung	25,000	186,500	14,000	168,880
Mr. Traverso	25,000	705,214	14,000	168,880

(1) Represents the value of restricted stock awards that were granted on June 9, 2008, June 15, 2009 and June 3, 2010 that vested in 2012.

POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE IN CONTROL

Under the employment agreements between the Company and the named executive officers, upon termination of employment for cause, death or disability, the executive will only be eligible for severance benefits, if any, in accordance with the Company's established policies for all employees as then in effect. The table that follows reflects the amount of compensation due to our named executive officers if their employment is terminated for other than cause, death or disability, or their employment is terminated or the executive terminates his employment for good cause, following a change in control, as more fully described under "Employment Agreements and Change in Control Arrangements" in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" above. The amounts shown below assume that such termination or change in control event was effective as of December 31, 2012.

Name	Cash Severance Payment	Continuation of Medical and Welfare Benefits	Acceleration of Equity Awards ¹	Total Termination Benefits
Mr. Hawkins	\$ 682,500	\$ 15,487	\$ 2,014,276	\$ 2,712,263
Mr. Murphy	268,000	23,230	527,560	907,900
Mr. Buhler	325,000	36,918	1,269,535	1,631,453
Mr. Chung	257,000	36,918	527,560	821,478
Mr. Traverso	257,000	38,005	527,560	822,565

(1) Under the employment agreements between the Company and the named executive officers, upon a covered termination, any unvested stock options, restricted stock, or other equity awards would immediately vest and options would be exercisable for up to 30 days following termination. Such unvested awards would also vest if an acquiring company does not assume them following a change in control transaction. The amounts in this column represent the intrinsic value of these awards based on the closing price of our stock on December 30, 2012 of \$11.16.

Table of Contents**DIRECTOR COMPENSATION**

Directors who are employees receive no additional compensation for serving on the Board or its committees. The table below discloses the annual compensation provided during the year ended December 31, 2012 to directors who are not employees:

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in			Option Awards	Total
	Cash (\$) ¹	Stock Awards (\$) ²	Option Awards (\$) ^{2,3}		
Mr. Gunst	\$ 92,000	\$ 93,529	\$ 16,982	\$ 202,511	
Ms. Engibous	41,500	93,529	16,982	152,011	
Mr. Ludlum ⁴	58,000	93,529	16,982	168,511	
Mr. Michael ⁵	52,000	93,529	16,982	162,511	
Mr. Moore ⁶	47,500	93,529	16,982	158,011	

(1) For 2012, fees earned and paid in cash were based on the following retainer and payment schedule:

Annual retainer	\$ 20,000
Annual retainer for service as Chairman of the Board	40,000
Annual retainer for service as Chairman of the Audit Committee	13,000
Annual retainer for service as Chairman of the Compensation Committee	6,000
Annual retainer for service as Chairman of the Nominating & Governance Committee	4,000
Payment for each Board meeting attended	1,500
Payment for each Audit Committee meeting attended	1,500
Payment for each other Committee meeting attended (excluding the Audit Committee)	1,000

In addition, we pay the Audit Committee Chairman \$500 per meeting attended for attendance at Sarbanes-Oxley Oversight meetings.

- (2) Represents the grant-date fair market value of restricted stock awards and stock options granted to the directors in 2012 computed in accordance with ASC Topic 718, except that in the case of option awards, a forfeiture rate of zero percent has been used. The assumptions we use in calculating these amounts, other than the exclusion of the impact of estimated forfeitures, are discussed in *Note 11-Share Based Compensation* of the Notes to our consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.
- (3) At December 31, 2012, Ms. Engibous had 70,000 options and 8,750 unvested restricted shares outstanding, Mr. Gunst had 65,000 options and 8,750 unvested restricted shares outstanding, Mr. Ludlum had 17,500 options and 8,750 unvested restricted shares outstanding, Mr. Michael had 70,000 options and 8,750 unvested restricted shares outstanding, and Mr. Moore had 60,000 options and 8,750 unvested restricted shares outstanding.
- (4) Audit Committee Chair.
- (5) Nominating and Governance Committee Chair.
- (6) Compensation Committee Chair.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of Natus has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K with management and, based on such review and discussions, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement.

Respectfully submitted by:

THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

WILLIAM M. MOORE, Chairman

DORIS E. ENGIBOUS

ROBERT A. GUNST

Table of Contents

REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The Audit Committee is comprised of three directors who are independent under the applicable rules of the Nasdaq Stock Market and the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Audit Committee assists the Board of Directors in its oversight of the Company's financial reporting process and administration of corporate policy in matters of accounting and control.

The Board of Directors has adopted a written Audit Committee Charter. As stated in the charter, management is responsible for the preparation, presentation and integrity of the Company's financial statements. The Audit Committee has relied on (i) management's representation that such financial statements have been prepared with integrity and objectivity and (ii) the report of the Company's independent auditors with respect to such financial statements. The Company's accounting and financial reporting principles and internal controls and procedures are designed to assure compliance with accounting standards and applicable laws and regulations.

The Audit Committee appoints the independent auditors and periodically reviews their performance and independence from management, and pre-approves all audit and non-audit services provided by the independent auditors. The Audit Committee functions as the liaison with the independent auditors, who are responsible for auditing the Company's financial statements and expressing an opinion as to their conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The Audit Committee meets with the independent auditors, with and without management present, to discuss the results of their examination, evaluations of the Company's internal controls and the overall quality of the Company's financial reporting.

In the performance of its oversight function, the Audit Committee has done the following:

Reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements with management and the independent auditors;

Discussed the Company's internal controls over financial reporting with management and the independent auditors;

Discussed with the independent auditors any matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 114, *The Auditor's Communication with Those Charged With Governance*;

Received and discussed the written disclosures and the letter from the independent auditors required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) regarding the independent auditor's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence; and

Discussed with the independent auditors the firm's independence.

Based upon the review and discussions described above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board, and the Board has approved, that the audited financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Respectfully submitted by:

THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

KENNETH E. LUDLUM, Chairman

ROBERT A. GUNST

MARK D. MICHAEL

Table of Contents

OTHER MATTERS

We know of no other matters to be submitted at the annual meeting. If any other matters properly come before the annual meeting, it is the intention of the persons named in the enclosed proxy card to vote the shares they represent as the Board may recommend.

It is important that your shares be represented at the annual meeting, regardless of the number of shares you hold. You are therefore urged to vote via the internet or by phone or, if you have received a paper proxy card, to mark, sign, date, and return the proxy card as promptly as possible in the postage-prepaid envelope enclosed for that purpose.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF

NATUS MEDICAL INCORPORATED

Table of Contents

Table of Contents