

ZIOPHARM ONCOLOGY INC
Form DEF 14A
April 30, 2015
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20549

SCHEDULE 14A
(Rule 14a-101)

INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

**Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to § 240.14a-12

ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.

Edgar Filing: ZIOPHARM ONCOLOGY INC - Form DEF 14A

(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials:

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount previously paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

One First Avenue, Parris Building 34, Navy Yard Plaza, First Floor

Boston, Massachusetts 02129

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS To be Held on June 17, 2015

To the stockholders of ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.:

Please take notice that the annual meeting of stockholders of ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc. will be held, pursuant to due call by the board of directors, at our principal executive offices at One First Avenue, Parris Building 34, Navy Yard Plaza, First Floor, Boston, Massachusetts 02129, on Wednesday, June 17, 2015, at 10:00 a.m. Eastern time, or at any adjournment or adjournments thereof, for the purpose of considering and taking appropriate action with respect to the following:

1. To elect six directors;
2. To ratify the appointment of McGladrey LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2015;
3. To approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers as identified in the proxy statement for the annual meeting; and
4. To transact any other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournments thereof.

Pursuant to action of the board of directors, stockholders of record on April 22, 2015 will be entitled to vote at the annual meeting or any adjournments thereof. Your attention is directed to the proxy statement available at [http:// www.proxyvote.com](http://www.proxyvote.com) for a more complete statement of the matters to be considered at the meeting. A copy of ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc. s Annual Report for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014 is also available at the same internet address.

Whether or not you expect to attend the annual meeting, please vote by proxy over the telephone or the internet as instructed in these materials, as promptly as possible in order to ensure your representation at the meeting. Even if you vote by proxy, you may still vote in person if you attend the meeting. If your shares are held of record by a broker, bank or other nominee and you wish to vote at the meeting, you must obtain a proxy issued in your name from the record holder.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Caesar J. Belbel

Executive Vice President, Chief Legal Officer and Secretary

Boston, Massachusetts

April 30, 2015

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR OUR ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS TO BE HELD AT 10:00 A.M. EASTERN TIME ON JUNE 17, 2015 AT OUR PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICES AT ONE FIRST AVENUE, PARRIS BUILDING 34, NAVY YARD PLAZA, FIRST FLOOR, BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02129:

Edgar Filing: ZIOPHARM ONCOLOGY INC - Form DEF 14A

The proxy statement for the meeting and our 2014 Annual Report are available at

[http:// www.proxyvote.com](http://www.proxyvote.com)

This Notice is not a form for voting and presents only an overview of the proxy materials, which contain important information and are available through the internet or by mail. We encourage you to access and review the proxy materials before voting by accessing the internet website above, or by submitting a written request for printed a copy of the proxy statement and our 2014 Annual Report to ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc., One First Avenue, Parris Building 34, Navy Yard Plaza, First Floor, Boston, Massachusetts 02129, Attention: Secretary, or you may contact our Secretary at (617) 259-1970 prior to June 1, 2015.

Table of Contents

PROXY STATEMENT

FOR

2015 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

Table of Contents

	Page
<u>QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THESE PROXY MATERIALS AND VOTING PROPOSALS</u>	1
<u>Proposal No. 1. Election of Directors</u>	5
<u>Proposal No. 2. Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	7
<u>Proposal No. 3. Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation</u>	8
<u>Other Matters</u>	8
<u>Stockholder Proposals for the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders</u>	8
<u>CURRENT DIRECTORS, DIRECTOR NOMINEES AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS</u>	10
<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	15
<u>Executive Compensation Tables</u>	15
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	18
<u>Employment and Change in Control Agreements</u>	29
<u>INFORMATION REGARDING THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	38
<u>Independence of the Board of Directors</u>	38
<u>Board Leadership Structure</u>	38
<u>Director Attendance at Board and Stockholder Meetings</u>	38
<u>Board Committees</u>	38
<u>Director Nomination Process</u>	40
<u>Risk Management and Oversight</u>	41
<u>Communicating with Directors</u>	41
<u>Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation</u>	42
<u>Code of Ethics and Business Conduct</u>	42
<u>Audit Committee Report</u>	42
<u>Compensation Committee Report</u>	43
<u>DIRECTOR COMPENSATION</u>	44
<u>Compensatory Arrangements with Outside Directors</u>	44
<u>2014 Non-Employee Director Compensation</u>	44
<u>LIMITATION OF LIABILITY AND INDEMNIFICATION</u>	46
<u>CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS</u>	47
<u>Related-Party Transaction Policy</u>	47
<u>Certain Related-Party Transactions</u>	48
<u>Employment and Indemnification Agreements</u>	50
<u>STOCK OWNERSHIP</u>	51
<u>Directors, Officers and Principal Stockholders</u>	51
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	52
<u>INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FEES AND OTHER MATTERS</u>	53
<u>Audit and Related Fees</u>	53
<u>Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policy and Procedures</u>	53
<u>HOUSEHOLDING OF PROXY MATERIALS</u>	53
<u>OTHER MATTERS</u>	55

Table of Contents

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THESE PROXY MATERIALS AND VOTING

Why did I receive a notice regarding the availability of proxy materials through the internet?

Pursuant to rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), we have elected to provide access to our proxy materials through the internet. Accordingly, we have sent you a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the "Notice") because the board of directors of ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc. (sometimes referred to as we, us, our or the Company) is soliciting your proxy to vote at the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, or the annual meeting, including at any adjournments or postponements thereof. All stockholders will have the ability to access the proxy materials through the website referred to in the Notice or to request a printed copy of the proxy materials. Instructions on how to access the proxy materials through the internet or to request a printed copy may be found in the Notice.

We intend to mail the Notice on or about May 1, 2015 to all shareholders of record entitled to vote at the annual meeting.

How do I attend the annual meeting?

The meeting will be held on Wednesday, June 17, 2014, at 10:00 a.m. Eastern time, at our principal executive offices at One First Avenue, Parris Building 34, Navy Yard Plaza, First Floor, Boston, Massachusetts 02129. Directions to our principal executive offices are available at our website at <http://www.ziopharm.com>. Our website and the information contained therein are not incorporated into this proxy statement. Information on how to vote in person at the annual meeting is discussed below.

Who can vote at the annual meeting?

Only stockholders of record at the close of business on April 22, 2015, which we refer to as the Record Date, will be entitled to vote at the annual meeting. On the Record Date, there were 128,268,758 shares of common stock outstanding and entitled to vote.

Stockholder of Record - Shares Registered in Your Name: If on April 22, 2015 your shares were registered directly in your name with our transfer agent, American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC, then you are a stockholder of record. As a stockholder of record, you may vote in person at the annual meeting or vote by proxy. Whether or not you plan to attend the annual meeting, we urge you to vote by proxy over the telephone, through the internet or using a proxy card that you may request as instructed below, to ensure your vote is counted.

Beneficial Owner - Shares Registered in the Name of a Broker or Bank: If on April 22, 2015 your shares were not registered in your name, but instead are held in an account at a brokerage firm, bank, dealer or similar organization, then you are the beneficial owner of shares held in street name and the Notice is being forwarded to you by that organization. The organization holding your account is considered to be the stockholder of record for purposes of voting at the annual meeting. As a beneficial owner, you have the right to direct your broker or other agent regarding how to vote the shares in your account. You are also invited to attend the annual meeting. Since you are not the stockholder of record, however, you may not vote your shares in person at the annual meeting unless you request and obtain a valid proxy from your broker or other agent.

What am I voting on?

There are three matters scheduled for a vote:

1. Election of six directors;
2. Ratification of the appointment of McGladrey LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2015; and
3. Approval, on an advisory basis, of the compensation of our named executive officers as identified in this proxy statement.

Table of Contents

What if another matter is properly brought before the annual meeting?

The board of directors knows of no other matters that will be presented for consideration at the annual meeting. If any other matters are properly brought before the annual meeting, it is the intention of the persons named in the accompanying proxy to vote on those matters in accordance with their best judgment.

How do I vote?

With respect to Proposal No. 1, you may vote For all the nominees to the board of directors or you may Withhold your vote for any of the nominees you specify. With respect to Proposal No. 2 and Proposal No. 3, you may vote For or Against, or you may abstain from voting.

We provide internet proxy voting to allow you to vote your shares online, with procedures designed to ensure the authenticity and correctness of your proxy vote instructions. Please be aware, however, that you must bear any costs associated with your internet access, such as usage charges from internet access providers and telephone companies.

Stockholder of Record - Shares Registered in Your Name: If you are a stockholder of record, you may vote in person at the annual meeting, vote by proxy over the telephone, vote by proxy through the internet, or vote by proxy using a proxy card that you may request. Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting, we urge you to vote by proxy to ensure your vote is counted. You may still attend the meeting and vote in person even if you have already voted by proxy.

To vote in person, come to the annual meeting and we will give you a ballot when you arrive.

To vote using a proxy card, you may request a proxy card by following the instructions in the Notice. Once you receive the proxy card, simply complete, sign and date the proxy card and return it promptly in the envelope provided. If you return your signed proxy card to us before the annual meeting, we will vote your shares as you direct.

To vote over the telephone from a location in the United States, dial toll-free 1-800-690-6903, using a touch-tone phone and follow the recorded instructions. You will be asked to provide the company number and control number from the Notice. Your vote must be received by 11:59 p.m. Eastern time, on June 16, 2015 to be counted.

To vote through the internet, go to www.proxyvote.com to complete an electronic proxy card. You will be asked to provide the company number and account number from the Notice. Your vote must be received by 11:59 p.m. Eastern time, on June 16, 2015 to be counted.

Beneficial Owner - Shares Registered in the Name of a Broker or Bank: If you are a beneficial owner of shares registered in the name of your broker, bank, or other agent, you should have received a Notice containing voting instructions from that organization rather than from us. Simply follow the voting instructions in the Notice to ensure that your vote is counted. To vote in person at the annual meeting, you must obtain a valid proxy from your broker, bank, or other agent. Follow the instructions from your broker or bank included with these proxy materials, or contact your broker or bank to request a proxy form.

How many votes do I have?

On each matter to be voted upon, you have one vote for each share of common stock you own as of April 22, 2015.

What if I return a proxy card or otherwise vote but do not make specific choices?

If you return a signed and dated proxy card or otherwise vote without marking voting selections, your shares will be voted, as applicable, For the election of all nominees for director, For ratification of McGladrey LLP

Table of Contents

as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2015 and For the approval, on an advisory basis, of the compensation of our named executive officers as identified in this proxy statement. If any other matter is properly presented at the annual meeting, your proxyholder (one of the individuals named on your proxy card) will vote your shares using his best judgment.

Who is paying for this proxy solicitation?

We will pay for the entire cost of soliciting proxies. In addition to these proxy materials, our directors and employees may also solicit proxies in person, by telephone, or by other means of communication. Directors and employees will not be paid any additional compensation for soliciting proxies. We may also reimburse brokerage firms, banks and other agents for the cost of forwarding proxy materials to beneficial owners.

What does it mean if I receive more than one Notice?

If you receive more than one Notice, your shares may be registered in more than one name or in different accounts. Please follow the voting instructions on each Notice to ensure that all of your shares are voted.

Can I change my vote after submitting my proxy?

Yes. You can revoke your proxy at any time before the final vote at the annual meeting. If you are the record holder of your shares, you may revoke your proxy in any one of the following ways:

You may submit another properly completed proxy card with a later date.

You may grant a subsequent proxy by telephone or through the internet.

You may send a timely written notice that you are revoking your proxy to our Secretary at our principal executive offices at One First Avenue, Parris Building 34, Navy Yard Plaza, First Floor, Boston, Massachusetts 02129.

You may attend the annual meeting and vote in person. Simply attending the annual meeting will not, by itself, revoke your proxy. Your most current proxy card or telephone or internet proxy is the one that is counted.

If your shares are held by your broker or bank as a nominee or agent, you should follow the instructions provided by your broker or bank.

How are votes counted?

Votes will be counted by the inspector of election appointed for the annual meeting, who will separately count, for the proposal to elect directors (Proposal No. 1), votes For, Withhold and broker non-votes; and, with respect to Proposals Nos. 2 and 3, votes For and Against, abstentions and, if applicable, broker non-votes. Abstentions will be counted towards the vote total for Proposals Nos. 2 and 3 and will have the same effect as Against votes. Broker non-votes have no effect and will not be counted towards the vote total for any proposal.

What are broker non-votes ?

Broker non-votes occur when a beneficial owner of shares held in street name does not give instructions to the broker or nominee holding the shares as to how to vote on matters deemed non-routine. Generally, if shares are held in street name, the beneficial owner of the shares is entitled to give voting instructions to the broker or nominee holding the shares. If the beneficial owner does not provide voting instructions, the broker or nominee can still vote the shares with respect to matters that are considered to be routine, but not with respect to non-routine matters.

Table of Contents

Which ballot measures are considered routine or non-routine ?

The ratification of the appointment of McGladrey LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2015 (Proposal Two) is a matter considered routine under applicable rules. A broker or other nominee may generally vote on routine matters, and therefore no broker non-votes are expected to exist in connection with Proposal No. 2.

The election of directors (Proposal No. 1) and the approval, on an advisory basis, of the compensation of our named executive officers (Proposal No. 3) are matters considered non-routine under applicable rules. A broker or other nominee cannot vote without instructions on non-routine matters, and therefore there may be broker non-votes on Proposals Nos. 1 and 3.

How many votes are needed to approve each proposal?

For Proposal No. 1, which relates to the election of directors, the six nominees receiving the most For votes (from the holders of votes of shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote on the election of directors) will be elected. Only votes For or Withheld will affect the outcome. Broker non-votes will have no effect.

To be approved, Proposal No. 2, which relates to the ratification of McGladrey LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2015, must receive For votes from the holders of a majority of shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote at the meeting. If you Abstain from voting, it will have the same effect as an Against vote. Although none are expected to exist in connection with Proposal No. 2, broker non-votes, if any, will have no effect.

To be approved, Proposal No. 3, which relates to the approval, on an advisory basis, of the compensation of our named executive officers, must receive For votes from the holders of a majority of shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote at the annual meeting. If you Abstain from voting, it will have the same effect as an Against vote. Broker non-votes will have no effect.

What is the quorum requirement?

A quorum of stockholders is necessary to hold a valid meeting. A quorum will be present if stockholders holding at least a majority of the outstanding shares entitled to vote are present at the annual meeting in person or represented by proxy.

Your shares will be counted towards the quorum only if you submit a valid proxy (or one is submitted on your behalf by your broker, bank or other nominee) or if you vote in person at the annual meeting. Abstentions and broker non-votes will be counted towards the quorum requirement. If there is no quorum, the holders of a majority of shares present at the annual meeting in person or represented by proxy may adjourn the annual meeting to another date.

How can I find out the results of the voting at the annual meeting?

Preliminary voting results will be announced at the annual meeting. Final voting results will be filed on a current report on Form 8-K on or before June 23, 2015.

A COPY OF OUR 2014 ANNUAL REPORT (INCLUDING A COPY OF OUR ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, AS FILED WITH THE SEC) IS BEING FURNISHED CONCURRENTLY HEREWITH. A STOCKHOLDER MAY SUBMIT A WRITTEN REQUEST FOR A COPY OF THE 2014 ANNUAL REPORT TO ZIOPHARM ONCOLOGY, INC., ONE FIRST AVENUE, BUILDING 34, NAVY YARD PLAZA, FIRST FLOOR, BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02129, ATTENTION: SECRETARY OR MAY CONTACT OUR SECRETARY AT (617) 259-1970.

Table of Contents**PROPOSALS****Proposal No. 1. Election of Directors**

Our board of directors currently consists of the seven directors identified in the section entitled "Current Directors, Director Nominees and Executive Officers" below, each of whom was previously elected by the stockholders. Timothy McInerney, who has served as a director since 2005, was not nominated for re-election and his term as a director will expire at the 2015 annual meeting. The board would like to thank Mr. McInerney for his service to the Company. In connection with the decision not to nominate Mr. McInerney for re-election, the board of directors has reduced the size of the board from eight to seven members and, effective as of the date of the annual meeting, there will be one vacancy on the board. If re-elected, each nominee has consented to serve as one of our directors, to hold office until the next annual meeting of stockholders, until his or her successor is elected and shall have qualified, or until his or her earlier death, resignation or removal. Proxies cannot be voted for a greater number of persons than the number of nominees named in the proxy statement. If any director nominee should withdraw or otherwise become unavailable to serve, the proxies which would have otherwise been voted for that director nominee may be voted for a substitute director nominee selected by our board. We are not aware of any reason that a nominee will be unable or unwilling to serve as a director.

Assuming that all nominees are elected at the annual meeting, we will have one vacancy on our board following the annual meeting. Vacancies on the board may be filled only by persons elected by a majority of the remaining directors or may be elected by a plurality of the stockholder votes cast. A director elected by the board to fill a vacancy, including vacancies created by an increase in the number of directors, shall serve for the remainder of the full term or until the director's successor is duly elected and qualified, or until the director's earlier death, resignation or removal. Our board of directors may appoint a director to fill the vacancy on the board at any time following the annual meeting.

Our nominating and corporate governance committee seeks to assemble a board that, as a whole, possesses the appropriate balance of professional and industry knowledge, financial expertise and management experience necessary to oversee and direct our business. To that end, the nominating and corporate governance committee has evaluated the board's current members in the broader context of the board's overall composition. The nominating and corporate governance committee maintains a goal of recruiting members who complement and strengthen the skills of other members and who also exhibit integrity, collegiality, sound business judgment and other qualities that the nominating and corporate governance committee views as critical to effective functioning of the board.

The following table sets forth each nominee to be elected at the annual meeting, the year each nominee was first elected as a director, the position(s) currently held by each nominee with us and the year each nominee's term will expire, if such nominee is elected at the annual meeting.

Nominee's Name	Position(s) with the Company	Year First Became a Director	Year Proposed Term Will Expire
Jonathan Lewis, M.D., Ph.D.	Chief Executive Officer and Director	2005	2016
Sir Murray Brennan, M.D., GNZM	Lead Director	2005	2016
James A. Cannon	Director	2005	2016
Senator Wyche Fowler, Jr.	Director	2005	2016
Randal J. Kirk	Director	2011	2016
Michael Weiser, M.D., Ph.D.	Director	2005	2016

None of the director nominees is related by blood, marriage or adoption to any of our other director nominees or executive officers.

Mr. Randal J. Kirk, as a designee of Intrexon Corporation, or Intrexon, has been nominated and recommended for re-election at the annual meeting in accordance with a Stock Purchase Agreement dated January 6, 2011 between us and Intrexon. Pursuant to that agreement, we agreed that at each

Table of Contents

stockholders' meeting at which directors are to be elected, we will nominate and recommend for election to the board an individual designated by Intrexon, provided that the board determines that he or she is a suitable candidate. We further agreed that if Intrexon's designee is not elected to the board by our stockholders, then, at Intrexon's election, such designee will be entitled to attend all board and committee meetings as an observer subject to certain conditions and limitations. Other than Mr. Kirk, no other director nominee is party to an arrangement or understanding with any person pursuant to which the nominee is to be selected or nominated for election as a director.

Vote Required

Directors are elected by a plurality of the votes of the holders of shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote on the election of directors. The six nominees receiving the highest number of "For" votes will be elected. Shares represented by executed proxies will be voted, if authority to do so is not withheld, for the election of the six nominees named above. If you do not vote for a particular nominee, or you withhold authority for one or all nominees, your vote will not count either "for" or "against" the nominee, although it will be counted for purposes of determining whether there is a quorum.

The board recommends that you vote FOR the election of each named director nominee.

Table of Contents

Proposal No. 2. Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The board of directors and management are committed to the quality, integrity and transparency of the financial reports. Independent auditors play an important part in our system of financial control. In accordance with the duties set forth in its written charter, the audit committee of the board has appointed McGladrey LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2015. A representative of McGladrey LLP is expected to attend the annual meeting. He or she will have an opportunity to make a statement if he or she so desires and will be available to respond to appropriate questions from stockholders.

We are not required by statute or our by-laws or other governing documents to obtain stockholder ratification of the appointment of McGladrey LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm. The audit committee has submitted the appointment of McGladrey LLP to the stockholders for ratification as a matter of good corporate practice. If the stockholders do not ratify the appointment, the audit committee may reconsider its selection. Notwithstanding the proposed ratification of the appointment of McGladrey LLP by the stockholders, the audit committee, in its discretion, may direct the appointment of a new independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year without notice to, or the consent of, the stockholders, if the audit committee determines that such a change would be in our best interests and the best interests of our stockholders.

Vote Required

The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote at the annual meeting will be required to ratify the appointment of McGladrey LLP. Abstentions will be counted toward the tabulation of votes cast on proposals presented to the stockholders and will have the same effect as `Against` votes. Broker non-votes are counted towards a quorum, but are not counted for any purpose in determining whether this matter has been approved.

*The board recommends that you vote **FOR** the ratification of the appointment of McGladrey*

LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2015.

Table of Contents

Proposal No. 3. Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation

Under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, or the Dodd-Frank Act, our stockholders are entitled to vote to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement in accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC. This vote is not intended to address any specific item of compensation, but rather the overall compensation of our named executive officers and the philosophy, policies and practices described in this proxy statement. We currently intend to conduct this advisory vote annually, with the next such vote to occur at next year's annual meeting.

The compensation of our named executive officers is disclosed in the section entitled "Executive Compensation" below, including the tabular and narrative disclosures set forth in such section under the headings "Executive Compensation Tables" and "Compensation Discussion and Analysis." As discussed in those disclosures, we believe that our compensation policies and decisions are focused on pay-for-performance principles and strongly aligned with our stockholders' interests. Compensation of our named executive officers is designed to enable us to attract, motivate and retain talented and experienced executives to lead the Company successfully in a competitive environment.

We are asking our stockholders to indicate their support for the compensation of our named executive officers as described in this proxy statement by casting a non-binding advisory vote "FOR" the following resolution:

RESOLVED, that the stockholders approve the compensation of the named executive officers of ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc., as disclosed in the section entitled "Executive Compensation" in the Proxy Statement for the ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc. 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Vote Required

The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote at the annual meeting will be required to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers as described herein. Because the vote is advisory, it will not be binding on us, the board or its compensation committee. Nevertheless, the views expressed by our stockholders, whether through this vote or otherwise, are important to us and, accordingly, the board and its compensation committee intend to consider the results of this vote in making determinations in the future regarding executive compensation arrangements.

The board recommends that you vote FOR the proposal to approve the compensation of our named executive officers, as described in this proxy statement.

Other Matters

The board of directors is not aware of any matter to be presented for action at the annual meeting other than the three proposals described above. The board does not intend to bring any other matters before the annual meeting.

Stockholder Proposals for the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Stockholders who intend to have a proposal considered for inclusion in our proxy materials for presentation at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders pursuant to Rule 14a-8 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, must be received by our Secretary at our principal executive offices at One First Avenue, Parris Building 34, Navy Yard Plaza, First Floor, Boston, Massachusetts 02129, by no later than January 1, 2016. Due to the complexity of the respective rights of the stockholders and us in this area, any

Table of Contents

stockholder desiring to propose such an action is advised to consult with his or her legal counsel with respect to such rights. We suggest that any such proposal be submitted to us by certified mail, return receipt requested.

Rule 14a-4 under the Exchange Act governs our use of our discretionary proxy voting authority with respect to a stockholder proposal that the stockholder has not sought to include in our proxy statement. Rule 14a-4 provides that if a proponent of a proposal fails to notify us at least 45 days prior to the month and day of mailing of the prior year's proxy statement, management proxyholders will be allowed to use their discretionary voting authority when the proposal is raised at the annual meeting, without any discussion of the matter. If a stockholder wishes to bring a matter before the stockholders at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders but does not notify us before March 17, 2016, for all proxies we receive, the management proxyholders will have discretionary authority to vote on the matter, including discretionary authority to vote in opposition to the stockholder's proposal.

Table of Contents**CURRENT DIRECTORS, DIRECTOR NOMINEES AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS**

The following table contains biographical information, as of the date of this proxy statement, of each of our current directors, director nominees and executive officers. In addition, the table contains information about the specific and particular experience, qualifications, attributes or skills of each current director and each nominee for director at the annual meeting that led the nominating and corporate governance committee to believe that such current director was appropriate for nomination at a previous annual meeting of stockholders and, in the case of each nominee for director at the annual meeting, that such nominee should continue to serve on the board.

Jonathan Lewis, M.D., Ph.D.

Chief Executive Officer and Director

Dr. Lewis is our Chief Executive Officer and one of our directors, serving in these capacities since our September 2005 acquisition of ZIOPHARM, Inc. Dr. Lewis previously served as Chief Executive Officer and a director of ZIOPHARM, Inc. since January 2004. He served as Chief Medical Officer and Chairman of the Medical Board at Antigenics, Inc. from June 2000 until November 2003. From July 1994 until June 2001, Dr. Lewis served as Professor of Surgery and Medicine at Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center. He has been actively involved in leading translational and clinical research in cancer, and is widely recognized by patient advocacy groups. He has received numerous honors and awards in medicine and science, including the American Society of Clinical Oncology Young Investigator Award, the Yale University Ohse Research Award, and the Royal College of Surgeons Trubshaw Medal. He serves as a director on the board of directors of the Police Organization Providing Peer Assistance of the New York City Police Department, a member of the Medical Advisory Board of the Sarcoma Foundation of America, a member of the Scientific Advisory Board of the Combat Wound Initiative Program of the Henry M. Jackson Foundation for the Advancement of Military Medicine, and as Chairman of the board of directors of the Hope Funds for Cancer Research. Dr. Lewis previously served as a director of Delcath Systems, Inc., a development stage, specialty pharmaceutical and medical device company focused on oncology, from June 2007 to December 2008. Dr. Lewis holds a MB.B.Ch. from Witwatersrand University School of Medicine (Johannesburg, South Africa) and a Ph.D. in molecular biology from Witwatersrand and Yale University School of Medicine. Dr. Lewis is 56 years old.

The board believes that Dr. Lewis is an appropriate person to represent management on the board given his position as our principal executive officer, his tenure with us, which dates back to our inception, his professional credentials, his standing in the medical community and his clinical research experience.

Sir Murray Brennan, M.D., GNZM

Lead Director

Dr. Brennan, who currently serves as the board's non-executive Lead Director, has been one of our directors since our September 2005 acquisition of ZIOPHARM, Inc. and previously served as a director of ZIOPHARM, Inc. since December 2004. Dr. Brennan is Emeritus Chairman of Memorial Sloan-Kettering's Department of Surgery and previously served as its Chairman from 1985 to 2007. Dr. Brennan is the Benno C. Schmidt Chair in Clinical Oncology at the Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, continues to operate at this institution and currently serves as its Vice President for International Programs. Dr. Brennan also is a member of the Institute of Medicine of The National Academy of Sciences. He actively lectures at major institutions throughout the world and has authored or co-authored more than 1000 scientific papers. He previously served as a director of the American Board of Surgery, Chairman of the American College of Surgeons Commission on Cancer, President of the Society of Surgical Oncology, President of the American Surgical Association, President of the Society of Clinical Surgery and Vice President of the American

Table of Contents

College of Surgeons. He is the recipient of numerous honors, honorary degrees and fellowships for his leadership role in surgery and oncology worldwide. He serves on the board of directors of the de Beaumont Foundation, a charitable foundation designed to support public health in the United States and elsewhere. In January 2015, Dr. Brennan received a knighthood in the Queen's Honours list, as a Grand Companion of the New Zealand Order of Merit. Dr. Brennan is 75 years old.

Dr. Brennan's credentials and standing in the medical community worldwide, and the oncology community specifically, along with the leadership roles in which he has served at various esteemed organizations, provide experience and credibility that the board believes make him well suited to serve on the board and as its non-executive Lead Director.

James A. Cannon

Director

Mr. Cannon has been one of our directors since our September 2005 acquisition of ZIOPHARM, Inc. and previously served as a director of ZIOPHARM, Inc. since December 2004. Mr. Cannon is currently Vice Chairman, Chief Financial Officer and a member of the board of directors of BBDO Worldwide, an advertising agency. In these capacities, he oversees the financial management of BBDO operations in 77 countries. Mr. Cannon joined BBDO in 1967, was promoted to Chief Financial Officer of the agency in 1984, and was elected to its board of directors one year later. An integral member of the team that formed Omnicom via a unique three-agency merger of BBDO, DDB and Needham Harper & Steers, Mr. Cannon became Comptroller of the new group (NYSE: OMC) and a member of its board of directors in 1986, a position he held through 2002. In 1987, he was appointed Director of Financial Operations of the Omnicom Group, serving in this capacity until early 1989 when he rejoined BBDO Worldwide as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. His return was concurrent with that of Allen Rosenshine who became Chairman and Chief Executive officer of BBDO after heading Omnicom. Mr. Cannon was promoted to Vice Chairman of the agency in 1990. Mr. Cannon is a graduate of Pace University and served in the U.S. Marine Corps. Mr. Cannon is 76 years old.

The board believes that Mr. Cannon is qualified to serve as one of our directors based on his financial skills and overall business judgment. In addition, the role played by Mr. Cannon at BBDO, where he oversees the financial management of that company's international operations, uniquely qualifies him to serve as Chair of the audit committee.

Senator Wyche Fowler, Jr. *Director*

Senator Wyche Fowler, Jr. has been one of our directors since our September 2005 acquisition of ZIOPHARM, Inc. and previously served as a director of ZIOPHARM, Inc. since December 2004. Senator Fowler served for 16 years in the United States Congress representing the state of Georgia, from April 1977 to January 1993. In the U.S. Senate he served as assistant floor leader, helping mold a bipartisan consensus for major public policy issues. Senator Fowler was a member of the U.S. Senate Appropriations, Budget, Energy and Agriculture Committees. First elected to the U.S. House of Representatives, he was a member of the Ways and Means and Foreign Affairs Committees, as well as the Select Committee on Intelligence. Following his service in the United States Congress, Senator Fowler served as U.S. Ambassador to the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from 1996 through 2001. On his return, the FBI awarded him its highest civilian honor, The Jefferson Cup, for his assistance in combating terrorism and for helping solve terrorism crimes against the U.S. military in Saudi Arabia. He was named Lion of Judah by the State of Israel for successfully freeing Soviet Jew Yakov

Table of Contents

Gluzman. Senator Fowler is currently engaged in an international business and law practice, and serves as Chairman Emeritus of the Board of the Middle East Institute, a non-profit foundation in Washington, D.C. Senator Fowler also serves on the board of directors of Shubert Theaters, the Shubert Foundation, Brandywine Realty Trust and Keryx Biopharmaceuticals, Inc., a biopharmaceutical company. Senator Fowler holds an A.B. in English from Davidson College and a J.D. from Emory University School of Law. Senator Fowler is 74 years old.

Senator Fowler's background and experience in the United States Congress, and the leadership qualities exemplified during and since his tenure in Congress, are attributes that the board believes benefit our overall board operations. In addition, his international business and law experience qualify him to serve on the board.

Randal J. Kirk

Director

Randal J. Kirk has been one of our directors since January 2011. Mr. Kirk is the Senior Managing Director and Chief Executive Officer of Third Security, LLC, an investment management firm founded by Mr. Kirk. Additionally, Mr. Kirk founded and became Chairman of the board of directors of New River Pharmaceuticals Inc. (previously traded on NASDAQ prior to its acquisition by Shire plc in 2007) in 1996, and was President and Chief Executive Officer between October 2001 and April 2007. Mr. Kirk began his professional career in the private practice of law. Previously, Mr. Kirk served as a member of the board of directors of Scios, Inc. (previously traded on NASDAQ prior to its acquisition by Johnson & Johnson) between February 2000 and May 2002, and as a member of the board of directors of Clinical Data, Inc. (previously traded on NASDAQ prior to its acquisition by Forest Laboratories, Inc. in April 2011) from September 2002 to April 2011, and was Chairman of the board from December 2004 to April 2011. Mr. Kirk currently serves in a number of additional capacities, including as a member of the board of directors of Halozyme Therapeutics, Inc. (NASDAQ: HALO), since May 2007 and as Chairman of the board of directors of Intrexon Corporation since February 2008 and Chief Executive Officer since April 2009. Mr. Kirk served on the Board of Visitors of Radford University from July 2003 to June 2009, was Rector of the board from September 2006 to September 2008, and has served on the board of directors of the Radford University Foundation, Inc. from September 1998 to May 2011. He served on the Board of Visitors of the University of Virginia and Affiliated Schools from July 2009 to October 2012, on the Virginia Advisory Council on Revenue Estimates from July 2006 to October 2012, and on the Governor's Economic Development and Jobs Creation Commission from April 2010 to October 2012. Mr. Kirk received a B.A. in business from Radford University and a J.D. from the University of Virginia. Mr. Kirk is 61 years old.

Mr. Kirk's extensive experience and record of achievement as an entrepreneur, investor, top executive and board member of numerous leading pharmaceutical and other health care companies qualifies him to serve on the board. Although we do not believe that share ownership qualifies any person to serve as one of our directors, we also believe that Mr. Kirk's direct and indirect ownership of our common stock (approximately 17.7% beneficial ownership as of the Record Date) aligns his interests with those of our stockholders and drives the board's focus on maximizing stockholder value.

Table of Contents

Timothy McInerney

Director

Timothy McInerney, who was not nominated for re-election at our 2015 annual meeting, has been one of our directors since our September 2005 acquisition of ZIOPHARM, Inc. and previously served as a director of ZIOPHARM, Inc. since July 2005. In June of 2007, Mr. McInerney joined Riverbank Capital, which specializes in financing for the biotech and specialty pharmaceutical industry, as a Partner. From 1992 to March 2007, Mr. McInerney was a Managing Director of Paramount BioCapital, Inc. where he oversaw the overall distribution of Paramount's private equity product. Prior to 1992, Mr. McInerney was a research analyst focusing on the biotechnology industry at Ladenburg, Thalman & Co. Prior to that, Mr. McInerney held equity sales positions at Bear Sterns & Co. and Shearson Lehman Brothers, Inc. Mr. McInerney also worked in sales and marketing for Bristol-Myers Squibb. He received his B.S. in pharmacy from St. John's University at New York. He also completed a post-graduate residency at the New York University Medical Center in drug information systems. Mr. McInerney currently serves as Chairman of the board of directors of Insite Vision Inc., an ophthalmologic product development company, Emisphere Technologies, Inc., a biopharmaceutical company, and Edgemont Pharmaceuticals, LLC, a pharmaceutical company, and previously served on the board of directors of Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. a biopharmaceutical company. Mr. McInerney is 54 years old.

Although Mr. McInerney was not nominated for re-election and his term as a director will expire at the 2015 annual meeting, his experiences with investment banking firms that have specialized in forming and raising capital for pharmaceutical development companies made him uniquely qualified to serve on our board. We have benefitted from the various contacts that Mr. McInerney has in the investment community and his involvement with other biotechnology companies provided valuable context to board discussions. The Board would like to thank Mr. McInerney for his service to the Company.

Michael Weiser, M.D., Ph.D.

Director

Dr. Weiser has been one of our directors since our September 2005 acquisition of ZIOPHARM, Inc. and previously served as a director of ZIOPHARM, Inc. since its inception in September 2003. Dr. Weiser is currently founder and co-chairman of Actin Biomed, a New York-based healthcare investment firm advancing the discovery and development of novel treatments for unmet medical needs. Prior to joining Actin Biomed in December 2006, Dr. Weiser was the Director of Research at Paramount BioCapital where he was responsible for the scientific, medical and financial evaluation of biomedical technologies and pharmaceutical products under consideration for development. In addition, Dr. Weiser has received awards for both academic and professional excellence and is published extensively in both medical and scientific journals. Dr. Weiser is a member of The National Medical Honor Society, Alpha Omega Alpha, American Society of Clinical Oncology, American Society of Hematology and Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology. Dr. Weiser currently serves on the board of directors of Emisphere Technologies, Inc., a biopharmaceutical company, as well as on the board of directors of several privately held companies. Dr. Weiser previously served as a director of Chelsea Therapeutics International, Hana Biosciences, Inc., Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Vioquest Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Dr. Weiser completed his Ph.D. in Molecular Neurobiology at Cornell University Medical College and received his M.D. from New York University School of Medicine. He performed his post-graduate medical training in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology at New York University

Table of Contents

Medical Center. Dr. Weiser also completed a Postdoctoral Fellowship in the Department of Physiology and Neuroscience at New York University School of Medicine and received his B.A. in psychology from University of Vermont. Dr. Weiser is 52 years old.

Dr. Weiser's medical education and background coupled with his experiences as co-chairman of a healthcare investment firm and former Director of Research for a biotechnology investment banking firm, provide him with a unique background and skill set that have added and continue to add value to the board. In addition, Dr. Weiser's service on the boards of directors of various public biotechnology companies and his knowledge of compensation trends also makes him well suited to serve as the Chair of the compensation committee.

Caesar J. Belbel

*Executive Vice President, Chief
Legal Officer and Secretary*

Mr. Belbel has served as our Executive Vice President, Chief Legal Officer and Secretary since September 2011. From May 2003 to May 2011, he was Executive Vice President, Chief Legal Officer and Secretary of Clinical Data, Inc., a biopharmaceutical company that was acquired in April 2011 by Forest Laboratories, Inc. Mr. Belbel holds a bachelor's degree from Columbia University and a juris doctor degree from Boston College Law School. He is 55 years old.

Kevin G. Lafond

*Vice President Finance, Chief
Accounting Officer and Treasurer*

Mr. Lafond has served as the Company's Vice President Finance, Chief Accounting Officer and Treasurer since June 2013 and is our principal financial and accounting officer. Previously, Mr. Lafond served as the company's Corporate Controller since he joined the Company in February 2009 until June 2013. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Lafond served as Controller of Helicos Biosciences Corporation, a public life sciences equipment manufacturer not affiliated with the Company, from February 2007 to October 2008. Mr. Lafond holds a bachelor's degree from Plymouth State University, master's degrees in both accounting and taxation from Bentley University and is a Certified Public Accountant. He is 59 years old.

Francois Lebel, M.D.

*Executive Vice President, Research
and Development and Chief
Medical Officer*

Dr. Lebel has served as our Executive Vice President, Research and Development and Chief Medical Officer since February, 2015. Previously, Dr. Lebel served as the Company's Vice President, Medical Operations from February 2013 until February 2015. From 2011 to July 2012, Dr. Lebel served as Vice President, Research & Development at Baxter Healthcare International (NYSE: BAX), a global healthcare company with expertise in medical devices, pharmaceuticals and biotechnology, and from April 2007 to July 2011, Dr. Lebel served as Vice President, Global Clinical and Medical Affairs for Baxter, Medication Delivery. From October 2004 to September 2006 Dr. Lebel was Vice President, Medical & Scientific Affairs for Medimmune, Inc., a biotechnology company that was acquired by AstraZeneca plc in 2007. Prior to Medimmune, Dr. Lebel gained leadership experience in development, medical affairs, regulatory and pharmacovigilance in various roles of increasing responsibilities at Chiron, Warner-Lambert and Burroughs Wellcome. Dr. Lebel holds an MD and BS from the University of Ottawa and is Board Certified in Internal Medicine and an Infectious Diseases specialist trained at McGill University and Harvard Medical School. He is 63 years old.

There are no family relationships among any of the current directors, director nominees or executive officers. None of the executive officers is related by blood, marriage or adoption to any of our other directors, director nominees or executive officers.

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION****Executive Compensation Tables***Summary Compensation Table for Fiscal 2014*

The following table sets forth information regarding compensation earned by our named executive officers, who consist of our principal executive officer, our principal financial officer and our other executive officer, in each case as of December 31, 2014:

Name and**Principal**

Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)⁽¹⁾	Option Awards (\$)⁽¹⁾	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
Jonathan Lewis, M.D., Ph.D. <i>Chief Executive Officer</i>	2014	\$ 500,000	\$ 200,000		\$ 1,276,240	\$ 79,628 ⁽²⁾	\$ 2,055,868
	2013	\$ 500,000	\$ 100,000		\$ 1,584,648	\$ 91,992 ⁽³⁾	\$ 1,692,672
	2012	\$ 485,100	\$ 97,020		\$ 875,940	\$ 122,789 ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 1,580,849
Caesar J. Belbel <i>Executive Vice President, Chief Legal Officer and Secretary</i>	2014	\$ 350,000	\$ 122,500		\$ 638,120	\$ 2,342 ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 1,111,204
	2013	\$ 350,000	\$ 61,250		\$ 907,348	\$ 828 ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 912,418
	2012	\$ 325,000	\$ 56,875	\$ 219,448	\$ 437,970	\$ 569 ⁽⁷⁾	\$ 1,039,862
Kevin G. Lafond ⁽⁸⁾ <i>Vice President Finance, Chief Accounting Officer and Treasurer</i>	2014	\$ 200,997	\$ 37,687		\$ 273,480	\$ 2,335 ⁽⁹⁾	\$ 512,499
	2013	\$ 195,142	\$ 35,126		\$ 262,738	\$ 2,749 ⁽¹⁰⁾	\$ 347,763

- (1) These amounts have been calculated in accordance with ASC Topic 718. Pursuant to SEC rules, the amounts shown exclude the impact of estimated forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions. For a discussion of the assumptions relating to our valuations of these restricted stock awards and stock options, please see Note 3 to the financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014 filed with the SEC on February 26, 2015. These amounts reflect our accounting expense for these restricted stock awards and stock options and do not correspond to the actual value that may be recognized by our named executive officers.
- (2) Of such amount, (i) \$3,498 represents the dollar value of life insurance premiums we paid for the benefit of Dr. Lewis during 2014, (ii) \$49,491 represents taxable perquisites including \$3,840 for health club dues, and \$45,651 for transportation costs, and (iii) \$26,639 represents gross up amounts reimbursed to Dr. Lewis for the payment of taxes on such perquisites.
- (3) Of such amount, (i) \$3,533 represents the dollar value of life insurance premiums we paid for the benefit of Dr. Lewis during 2013, (ii) \$58,987 represents taxable perquisites including \$3,720 for health club dues, and \$55,207 for transportation costs, and (iii) \$29,472 represents gross up amounts reimbursed to Dr. Lewis for the payment of taxes on such perquisites.
- (4) Of such amount, (i) \$3,525 represents the dollar value of life insurance premiums we paid for the benefit of Dr. Lewis during 2012, (ii) \$80,471 represents taxable perquisites including \$3,720 for health club dues, and \$76,751 for transportation costs, and (iii) \$38,793 represents gross up amounts reimbursed to Dr. Lewis for the payment of taxes on such perquisites.
- (5) Of such amount, (i) \$584 represents the dollar value of group term life insurance premiums we paid for the benefit of Mr. Belbel during 2014 and (ii) \$1,758 represents the amount we contributed to Mr. Belbel's 401(k) pursuant to our matching program.
- (6) Represents the dollar value of group term life insurance premiums we paid for the benefit of Mr. Belbel during 2013.
- (7) Represents the dollar value of group term life insurance premiums we paid for the benefit of Mr. Belbel during 2012.
- (8) Mr. Lafond became our principal accounting officer in June 2013 when he was appointed Vice President Finance, Chief Accounting Officer and Treasurer.

Table of Contents

- (9) Of such amount, (i) \$335 represents the dollar value of group term life insurance premiums we paid for the benefit of Mr. Lafond during 2014 and (ii) \$2,000 represents the amount we contributed to Mr. Lafond's 401(k) pursuant to our matching program.
- (10) Of such amount, (i) \$749 represents the dollar value of group term life insurance premiums we paid for the benefit of Mr. Lafond during 2013 and (ii) \$2,000 represents the amount we contributed to Mr. Lafond's 401(k) pursuant to our matching program.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards for Fiscal 2014

The following table sets forth information regarding grants of compensation in the form of plan-based awards made during 2014 to our named executive officers. The equity awards granted in 2014 identified in the table below are also reported in the table entitled Outstanding Equity Awards at 2014 Fiscal Year-End:

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Award(s) ⁽¹⁾			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#)	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#) ⁽²⁾	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$) ⁽³⁾
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)				
Jonathan Lewis, M.D., Ph.D	N/A		\$ 300,000				\$	
	12/31/14					350,000	5.07	1,276,240
Caesar J. Belbel	N/A		122,500					
	12/31/14					175,000	5.07	638,120
Kevin G. Lafond	N/A		50,249					
	12/31/14					75,000	5.07	273,480

- (1) Reflects performance-based cash bonuses that our named executive officers were eligible to earn in 2014 if certain performance metrics were achieved whether pursuant to an employment agreement with us or otherwise. See Employment and Change in Control Agreements for a description of our performance-based compensation arrangements with our named executive officers. For amounts actually earned and paid for 2014 performance, see Summary Compensation Table for Fiscal 2014 above.
- (2) Each stock option was granted with an exercise price equal to the fair market value of our common stock on the grant date.
- (3) The amounts shown represent compensation expense recognized for financial statement purposes under ASC Topic 718. Pursuant to SEC rules, the amounts shown exclude the impact of estimated forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions. For a discussion of the assumptions relating to our valuations of the restricted stock awards and option awards, see Note 3 to the financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014 filed with the SEC on February 6, 2015. These amounts reflect our accounting expense for these restricted stock awards and stock options and do not correspond to the actual value that may be recognized by our named executive officers.

Table of Contents*Outstanding Equity Awards at 2014 Fiscal Year-End*

The following table sets forth information regarding option awards and restricted stock awards held as of December 31, 2014 by our named executive officers.

Name	Option Awards			Stock Awards		
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options		Option Exercise Price (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Option Expiration Date	Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested	
	Exercisable (#)	Unexercisable (#)			Number (#)	Market Value (\$) ⁽²⁾
Jonathan Lewis, M.D., Ph.D.	87,789		4.31	6/8/15		
	54,161		4.31	9/13/15		
	75,000		5.01	4/26/16		
	139,315		5.01	4/26/16		
	30,000		6.49	12/13/16		
	35,000		4.85	6/18/17		
	100,000		2.73	12/12/17		
	100,000		0.70	5/13/19		
	150,000		2.85	12/31/19		
	150,000		4.77	12/31/20		
	200,000	100,000 ⁽³⁾	4.16	12/31/22		
	110,000	220,000 ⁽⁴⁾	2.3	6/27/23		
	100,000	200,000 ⁽⁵⁾	4.34	12/31/23		
		350,000 ⁽⁶⁾	5.07	12/31/24		
Caesar J. Belbel	200,000		5.17	9/6/21		
	100,000	50,000 ⁽⁷⁾	4.16	12/31/22		
	76,667	153,333 ⁽⁸⁾	2.30	6/27/23		
	100,000	50,000 ⁽⁹⁾	4.34	12/31/23		
		175,000 ⁽¹⁰⁾	5.07	12/31/24		
					14,601 ⁽¹¹⁾	74,027
Kevin G. Lafond	3,334		0.80	2/6/19		
	11,667		2.85	12/31/19		
	25,000		4.77	12/31/20		
	13,333	6,666 ⁽¹²⁾	4.16	12/31/22		
	27,500	55,000 ⁽¹³⁾	2.30	6/27/23		
	11,667	23,333 ⁽¹⁴⁾	4.34	12/31/23		
		75,000 ⁽¹⁵⁾	5.07	12/31/24		

(1) Each stock option was granted with an exercise price equal to the fair market value of our common stock on the grant date.

(2) Market values are calculated based on the closing market price of our common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Capital Market on December 31, 2014, which was \$5.07 per share.

(3) Vests with respect to 100,000 shares on December 31, 2015.

(4) Vests with respect to 110,000 shares on each of June 27, 2015 and June 27, 2016.

(5) Vests with respect to 100,000 shares on each of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2016.

(6) Vests with respect to 116,666 shares on December 31, 2015, and with respect to 116,667 shares on each of December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2017.

(7) Vests with respect to 50,000 shares on December 31, 2015.

(8) Vests with respect to 76,667 shares on June 27, 2015 and with respect to 76,666 shares on June 27, 2016.

(9) Vests with respect to 50,000 shares on each of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2016.

(10) Vests with respect to 58,334 shares on December 31, 2015, and with respect to 58,333 shares on each of December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2017.

Table of Contents

- (11) Such shares were subject to transfer and forfeiture restrictions that lapsed on February 22, 2015.
 (12) Vests with respect to 6,666 shares on December 31, 2015.
 (13) Vests with respect to 27,500 shares on each of June 27, 2015 and June 27, 2016.
 (14) Vests with respect to 11,667 shares on December 31, 2015 and with respect to 11,666 shares on December 31, 2016.
 (15) Vests with respect to 25,000 shares on each of December 31, 2015, December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2017.
Option Exercises and Stock Awards Vested during Fiscal 2014

The following table provides certain information regarding option exercises and stock vested during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014 with respect to our named executive officers.

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise(#)	Value Realized on Exercise(\$) ⁽¹⁾	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting(#) ⁽²⁾	Value Realized on Vesting(\$) ⁽³⁾
Jonathan Lewis, M.D., Ph.D.	268,653	\$ 1,147,148	34,261	\$ 172,333
Caesar J. Belbel			21,792	100,416
Kevin G. Lafond			4,690	23,591

- (1) Value realized is calculated by multiplying the number of shares for which the options were exercised by the closing market price of our common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Capital Market on the date of exercise. These amounts may not correspond to the actual value that may be recognized by the officers.
 (2) Each named executive officer elected to have shares withheld to pay associated income taxes. The number of shares reported represents the gross number prior to withholding of such shares.
 (3) Value realized is calculated by multiplying the number of shares vested on a date during 2014 by the closing market price of our common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Capital Market on such date. These amounts do not correspond to the actual value that may be recognized by the officers.

Compensation Discussion and Analysis*Overview*

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis explains our executive compensation philosophy and objectives, programs and practices, compensation setting process and the 2014 compensation of our named executive officers. As discussed in Proposal No. 3 of this proxy statement, we are conducting our annual advisory vote on executive compensation, or say-on-pay vote, that requests your approval of the compensation of our named executive officers, as described in this subsection titled Compensation Discussion and Analysis and in the tables and accompanying narrative contained above under this section Executive Compensation. To assist you with this vote, please review our compensation philosophies, the design of our executive compensation programs and how, we believe, these programs have met our objectives.

For 2014, our named executive officers were:

Dr. Jonathan Lewis, Chief Executive Officer

Caesar J. Belbel, Executive Vice President, Chief Legal Officer and Secretary

Kevin G. Lafond, Vice President Finance, Chief Accounting Officer and Treasurer.
Executive Summary Key Objectives and Compensation Elements

We believe that our executive compensation programs should:

- (i) Be tied to overall Company performance;

Table of Contents

- (ii) Reflect each executive's level of responsibility, performance and contributions;
- (iii) Include a significant equity component to ensure alignment with our stockholders; and,
- (iv) Provide fully competitive total compensation opportunities, consistent with our performance, that allow us to attract, retain and motivate talented employees, including our named executive officers.

We believe that by structuring the executive compensation program so that a significant portion of our named executive officers' pay is at risk, including a significant equity-based component, we can best ensure our named executive officers are incentivized to maximize our performance and increase value for our shareholders. To this end, our program is comprised of three primary elements:

Compensation Element	Fixed or Variable	Description
Base Salary	Fixed	To attract and retain executives by offering fixed compensation that is competitive with market opportunities and that recognizes each executive's position, role, responsibility and experience.
Annual Performance Bonus	Variable	To motivate and reward the achievement of our annual performance, including objectives related to our strategic development, research and clinical development programs, and stockholder return.
Equity Awards	Variable	To align executives' interests with the interests of stockholders through equity-based compensation and promote the long-term retention of our executives and key management personnel.

2014 Company Performance Highlights

Pursuant to an exclusive channel collaboration agreement, or ECC, with Intrexon, we obtained rights to Intrexon's synthetic biology platform for use in the field of oncology, which included a clinical stage product candidate, Ad-RTS-IL-12 used with the oral activator veledimex. The synthetic biology platform is an industrialized engineering approach for molecular and cell biology and gene control. It employs an inducible gene-delivery system that enables controlled in vivo expression of genes that produce therapeutic proteins to treat cancer. Ad-RTS-IL-12 + veledimex uses this gene delivery system to produce Interleukin-12, or IL-12, a potent, naturally occurring anti-cancer protein. We have completed two Phase 2 studies evaluating Ad-RTS-IL-12 + veledimex, the first for the treatment of metastatic melanoma, and the second for the treatment of metastatic breast cancer. Data from these Phase 2 studies was presented in December 2014. We are continuing to pursue intratumoral injection of Ad-RTS-IL-12 + veledimex in breast cancer and brain cancer.

In addition to our synthetic biology programs, in January 2015, Intrexon (and we, through our ECC agreement with Intrexon) obtained an exclusive, worldwide license to certain immuno-oncology technologies owned and licensed by The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center, or MD Anderson, including technologies relating to novel chimeric antigen receptors, or CARs, natural killer, or NK cells and T cell receptors, or TCRs. Combining these technologies with Intrexon's technology suite and clinically tested RheoSwitch Therapeutic System[®], or RTS[®], IL-12 modules, we plan to develop CAR-T and other immune cells that will target and kill cancer cells. We plan to leverage the synergy between the platforms to accelerate a promising synthetic immuno-oncology pipeline, with up to five CAR-T therapies expected to enter the clinic in 2015 and programs for the development of allogeneic CAR-T therapies that can be used off-the-shelf expected to be initiated in 2016.

As of December 31, 2014, the Company's stock price on a year-over-year basis had increased meaningfully with positive momentum entering into 2015 and increased significantly in early 2015 following our transaction with Intrexon and MD Anderson described above. Going forward, we plan to continue to combine Intrexon's

Table of Contents

technology suite with our capabilities to translate science to the patient, and to identify and develop additional products to stimulate or inhibit key pathways, including those used by the body's immune system, to treat cancer.

Stockholder Advisory Vote

At the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, 82.2% of the votes cast on the advisory vote on executive compensation proposal (often referred to as "say-on-pay") were in favor of our named executive officer compensation as disclosed in the proxy statement and, as a result, our named executive officer compensation was approved. The board of directors and compensation committee reviewed these final vote results and determined that, given the significant level of support, no changes to our executive compensation policies and decisions were necessary based on these vote results.

Role of our Compensation Committee, Management and Consultant

Compensation Committee

Our compensation committee is responsible for reviewing, evaluating, approving, administering and interpreting our executive compensation and benefits policies, programs and plans, including our equity compensation plans. In particular, with respect to the compensation of our named executive officers, our compensation committee is responsible for reviewing and recommending to the outside, independent and non-employee members of the board of directors the compensation levels and performance goals relevant to the compensation of these officers, and for evaluating the officers' performance in light of those goals and objectives. In December of 2013, our compensation committee presented its recommendations on 2014 base salary adjustments to go into effect on January 1, 2014. Our compensation committee met in December 2014 to determine recommended 2015 base salary adjustments to go into effect on January 1, 2015, target bonus levels going forward, bonus awards in respect of 2014 performance, and equity incentive awards for our named executive officers. All of such recommendations were approved by the independent, outside, non-employee directors on the board of directors. The board of directors approved the compensation committee's recommendations as presented.

Management

Our Human Resources, Finance and Legal departments work with our chief executive officer to design and develop new executive compensation programs, to recommend changes to existing compensation programs, to recommend financial and other performance targets to be achieved under those programs, to prepare analyses of financial data, to prepare peer group data comparisons and other briefing materials for consideration by the compensation committee and ultimately to implement the decisions of the compensation committee. Members of these departments and our chief executive officer also provide perspective to the compensation committee for consulting and meeting with our independent compensation consultant to convey information to allow the consultant to perform its services for the compensation committee.

The chief executive officer recommends to the compensation committee for its discussion and ultimately, approval, proposed corporate performance and strategic goals and their relative weighting for the upcoming fiscal year, as well as provides input on the level of attainment of the prior year's strategic goals, for purposes of determining awards under the annual performance bonus plan for all our executives, including the chief executive officer. For executives other than the chief executive officer, the compensation committee considers the individual performance of the executives, as determined by the chief executive officer, and the compensation recommendations submitted to the compensation committee by the chief executive officer.

Our chief executive officer and chief legal officer generally attend our compensation committee meetings for a portion of the meeting. No executive officer was present or voted in the compensation committee or the board of directors' final determinations regarding the amount of any component of his or her own 2014 compensation package.

Table of Contents

Consultant

In October 2014, the compensation committee re-engaged W.T Haigh and Company, or Haigh, as an independent compensation consultant for purposes of assisting the compensation committee in reviewing 2015 base salary adjustments, 2014 year-end target bonus levels, and 2014 year-end target equity compensation awards, in order to maintain the competitiveness and structure of our executives and board of directors compensation programs and ensure that the levels of compensation were appropriately positioned to attract and retain senior management and non-employee directors. The compensation committee originally retained Haigh in 2012 after considering a number of other national compensation consulting firms. The compensation committee selected Haigh because of its strong reputation and record in advising similarly situated biopharmaceutical and biotechnology companies in the employment markets where the Company's operations are located. Haigh is engaged by and reports directly to the compensation committee.

As part of its duties, Haigh provided the compensation committee with the following services:

Completed a competitive analysis of our executive compensation program;

Prepared a competitive analysis of the board of directors' compensation program, including observations and recommendations;

Reviewed and updated our peer group for use in determining executive compensation; and

During 2014, the compensation committee conducted a performance and independence assessment of Haigh with respect to Haigh's role in recommending or determining the amount and form of executive compensation during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014, and to determine whether it would continue to serve as the compensation committee's independent advisor for 2015. Other than providing limited advice to our management regarding competitive salary data and broad-based stock plan share reserve modeling, Haigh did not provide any other services to us in 2014. The compensation committee also considered that the individual representative of Haigh who works directly with the compensation committee has no other business relationships with the board, management or the company, Haigh's own policies on ethics, stock ownership and conflicts of interest, and the total revenue that Haigh received from the company in 2014 (which was \$22,845) and how this amount compared to Haigh's 2014 total gross revenues. As a result, the compensation committee concluded that there were no material conflicts of interest with respect to Haigh providing services to the compensation committee during 2014.

Peer Group, Survey Sources and Market Benchmarking

Peer Group

In October of 2013, in consultation with Haigh, the compensation committee reviewed the compensation consultant's list of companies in the peer group for use in setting equity compensation for 2013 and for base salary and target annual incentive recommendations for 2014. The 2013/2014 peer group consisted of U.S.-headquartered, public biopharmaceutical companies that were similar in size and development stage to the Company based upon the following selection criteria:

companies with 20 to 200 employees with a median of 50 employees;

companies with market values between \$50 million and \$750 million with a median of \$256.1 million;

companies with R&D expense between \$20 million and \$100 million with a median of \$36.3 million;

companies that have had a recent restructuring event;

companies focused on synthetic biologics; and,

new IPO companies.

Table of Contents

The compensation committee determined that the foregoing selection criteria were appropriate in selecting the 2013/2014 peer group because at such time the Company had approximately 40 employees, a market value of approximately \$351 million and an R&D expense forecast of approximately \$55 million. The following 15 companies were approved by the compensation committee as the 2013/2014 peer group for purposes of making its recommendations for December 2013 equity grants and 2014 base salary and target annual incentive compensation:

Achillion	Geron
Agenus	Idenix
Agios	Idera Pharmaceuticals
Ambit Biosciences	Merrimack Pharmaceuticals
ArQule	Mirati Therapeutics
AVEO Pharmaceuticals	Synthetic Biologics
Bluebird Bio	Zalicus
Curis	

In December of 2014, in consultation with Haigh, the compensation committee reevaluated the list of companies that should be included in the peer group used as a reference point in determining the levels of December 2014 equity compensation grant recommendations and for setting base salary and target annual incentive recommendations for 2015. Similar to the 2013/2014 peer group, the revised 2014/2015 peer group considered many of the same scope measures, location and stage of development characteristics as listed above. In addition, the 2014/2015 peer group analysis considered companies that have restructured or are reemerging with a new strategy. The selected 2014/2015 peer group companies were chosen upon the following selection criteria:

companies with 25 to 75 employees with a median of 45 employees;

companies with market values between \$150 million and \$900 million with a median of \$178.6 million;

companies with R&D expense between \$10 million and \$50 million with a median of \$21.1 million; and,

companies with accumulated deficits from operations between \$100 million and \$500 million with a median of \$359.6 million.

The compensation committee determined that the foregoing selection criteria were appropriate in selecting the 2014/2015 peer group because at such time the Company had approximately 35 employees, a market value of approximately \$260.0 million, an R&D expense forecast of approximately \$23.9 million and accumulated deficits from operations of approximately \$356.1. The following 20 companies were approved by the compensation committee as the 2014/2015 peer group for purposes of making its recommendations for December 2014 equity grants and 2015 base salary and target annual incentive compensation:

AcelRx	Dicerna
Achillion	Galena Biopharma
Agenus	Genocea Bioscience
Akebia	Geron
Ambit Biosciences	Idera Pharmaceuticals
ArQule	Mirati Therapeutics
AVEO Pharmaceuticals	Oncothyreon
Bind Therapeutics	Sunesis Pharmaceuticals
Concert Pharmaceuticals	Synthetic Biologics
Curis	Threshold Pharmaceuticals

Table of Contents*Other Survey Sources*

In making its recommendations for 2014 compensation levels, the compensation committee also reviewed Radford survey data as an additional market frame of reference in making its compensation decisions for our executives.

Market Benchmarking and Competitive Posture

As a general approach, the compensation committee references approximately the market 50th percentile of our peer group in setting total cash compensation (base salary plus target annual bonus), and references approximately the 65th to 75th percentile of our peer group in determining the size of equity incentives granted to our named executive officers, because the committee is continually seeking to position the Company favorably in the highly competitive Greater Boston/Cambridge, Massachusetts and national biopharmaceutical and biotechnology employment marketplace. However, the compensation committee uses these peer group percentiles for general reference only and compensation levels actually approved for each individual named executive officer may vary relative to the referenced percentile based on a number of other factors that are considered in setting compensation levels such as experience in role, performance over time, critical impact of the position and expectation of future contributions to the Company's successful growth, and as a result of individual negotiations with the executive at the time of hire.

2014 Compensation Decisions*Base Salary*

Our compensation committee generally reviews base salaries in the fourth quarter of the preceding fiscal year with adjusted salaries becoming effective January 1 of the following fiscal year. In addition to considering the peer group and/or other survey market data, the compensation committee also considers Company performance; stock price appreciation; individual performance; experience; breadth of each position's role; and internal pay equity among other factors.

In December 2013, our compensation committee recommended for approval, and the board of directors approved, 2014 base salaries for our named executive officers, as described in the table below. In making its determination, the compensation committee considered the chief executive officer's review of and recommendation for Messrs. Belbel and Lafond as well as a competitive analysis prepared by Haigh for all executive officers. The compensation committee believed that the 2014 base salary levels set forth below were appropriate in light of our performance during fiscal year 2013 and in order to maintain or make our named executive officer's base salaries competitive versus market. Based on these considerations, the compensation determined that no increase to the base salaries of Dr. Lewis or Mr. Belbel were necessary, in part because their base salary levels were already competitive. The compensation committee determined to increase Mr. Lafond's 2014 base salary by 3.0% in order to maintain his salary more competitive versus the mid-market of the peer group and survey data.

Executive Officer	2013 Base Salary	2014 Base Salary	% Increase
Dr. Jonathan J. Lewis	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000	0%
Caesar J. Belbel	\$ 350,000	\$ 350,000	0%
Kevin G. Lafond	\$ 195,142	\$ 200,997	3.0%

Annual Incentive Compensation

An important component of our total compensation program is the annual cash incentive based on the achievement of preset, annual company performance objectives, stock price appreciation as measured by the

Table of Contents

absolute increase in market capitalization on a year-over-year basis, and individual executive performance. Our compensation committee recognizes the important role that variable cash compensation plays in attracting and retaining executives and focusing executives (as well as all employees) on the achievement of key annual financial, clinical, business development and individual goals.

2014 Annual Performance Bonus Target Levels

For 2014, Dr. Lewis's full target amount as a percentage of his then current base salary was 40%, Mr. Belbel's full target amount as a percentage of his then current base salary was 35%, and Mr. Lafond's full target amount as a percentage of his then current base salary was 25%. Target bonus levels in all cases are a percentage of current base annual salary and were initially established by the terms of each individual's respective employment agreements or written offer of employment, which in turn reflect the individual negotiations with the executives at the time these agreements were entered into or offers of employment accepted. The compensation committee also believes that the cash incentive opportunity should make up a larger portion of an executive's target compensation as the executive's level of responsibility increases. Therefore, the cash incentive opportunity for our chief executive officer is generally greater than the cash incentive opportunity for our other executives. The compensation committee did not increase these target bonus levels for 2014 from the 2013 target bonus levels because it determined these levels continued to be appropriate to incentivize our executive team.

The combined 2014 target cash compensation consisting of base salary and target annual bonus as set for each of our named executive officers was as follows:

Executive Officer	Total Target Cash Compensation
Dr. Jonathan J. Lewis	\$ 700,000
Caesar J. Belbel	\$ 472,500
Kevin G. Lafond	\$ 251,246

2014 Annual Performance Objectives

For 2014, the annual incentive bonus plan for our named executive officers and our other senior executives was determined by two equally weighted components. The following were the 2014 performance objectives:

- (i) **The Objective Component (50% weighting):** At the beginning of 2014, the compensation committee established the Objective Component as significantly related to the development of our synthetic biology programs in collaboration with Intrexon. In late 2014, the compensation committee updated the Objective Component to include the identification and acquisition of new strategic development opportunities for the Company in immuno-oncology in addition to the development of our existing synthetic biology programs in collaboration with Intrexon. The compensation committee believes that these updated objectives were appropriate goals for 2014 as the Company continues to focus on developing its synthetic biology platform and identifying new opportunities for further development and growth through its partnership with Intrexon.
- (ii) **Stockholder Return Component (50% weighting):** For 2014, the Stockholder Return Component was established by the compensation committee based on absolute stock price appreciation of our stock on a year-over-year basis as measured by the Company's stock price at the end of 2013 and 2014. The compensation committee believes this is an appropriate goal as it reinforces alignment with shareholders and ensures that creating shareholder value impacts annual variable compensation.

The year-end cash bonus award for executives was developed by multiplying the Objective Component percentage by 50% of the participant's target bonus amount (predetermined percentage of base salary) together

Table of Contents

with the Stockholder Return Component percentage multiplied by 50%. For 2014, achievement of the Objective Component percentage was determined by the compensation committee to be fully met at 50% of target on a weighted basis and the Stockholder Return Component percentage was also determined to be fully met at 50% on a weighted basis as a result of our stock price performance during 2014.

The compensation committee determined that payout levels of 100% of the targeted cash bonus were appropriate for each of Dr. Lewis and Messrs. Belbel and Lafond as a result of executive management's further solidifying the Company's position in the synthetic biology and immuno-oncology therapeutics development field through the entry into of the Company's new collaboration agreement with Intrexon and MD Anderson, as well as their on-going efforts to develop promising strategic development opportunities for the Company. Also, as of December 31, 2014, the Company's stock price on a year-over-year basis had increased meaningfully with positive momentum entering into 2015.

The target short-term incentive compensation amounts for 2014, expressed as a percentage of 2014 base salaries, for each of our named executive officers, are set forth in the following table. In addition, the compensation committee recommended, and the board of directors approved the following cash bonuses for 2014 performance:

Name	2014 Target Bonus		2014 Actual Bonus		
	% Salary	\$ Amount	% Salary	\$ Amount	% Target
Dr. Jonathan J. Lewis ⁽¹⁾	40%	\$ 200,000	40%	\$ 200,000	100%
Caesar J. Belbel	35%	\$ 122,500	35%	\$ 122,500	100%
Kevin G. Lafond	25%	\$ 50,249	25%	\$ 50,249	100%

- (1) Dr. Lewis' employment agreement as in effect during the 2014 fiscal year states that his target annual cash incentive is \$300,000, which, for 2014, represented 60% of his base salary. In practice, the compensation committee has instead established Dr. Lewis' target annual cash incentive at 40% of his then current base salary, including his 2014 target annual cash incentive. Dr. Lewis' 2014 actual bonus therefore represented 100% of the target established by the compensation committee and 67% of the target set forth in his written employment agreement. On January 8, 2015, Dr. Lewis' employment agreement expired in accordance with its terms. See *Employment and Change in Control Agreements* for a description of our performance-based compensation arrangements with our named executive officers.

Long-Term Incentive Compensation

The compensation committee believes that properly structured equity compensation programs align the long-term interests of our stockholders and our employees by creating a strong, direct link between employee compensation and stock price appreciation. The compensation committee believes that if our officers own shares of our common stock with values that are significant to them, they will have an incentive to act to maximize long-term stockholder value instead of short-term gain. Further, the compensation committee believes that stock-based awards, such as stock options and restricted stock, are a significant motivator in attracting and retaining talented executives, and that salary and cash incentive bonuses are equally important.

We have historically granted stock options to our named executive officers on the final day of the then ending fiscal year. These options have an exercise price equal to the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant, and generally vest annually based on continued service over a three-year period. As a result of this structure, options provide a return to the executive only if the executive remains employed by us, and then only if the market price of our common stock appreciates over the period in which the option vests. Specifically, we do not grant stock options at a discount to fair market value. The ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc. 2012 Equity Incentive Plan as amended to date, or the 2012 Equity Incentive Plan, does not allow repricings or exchanges of stock options without stockholder approval.

Table of Contents

In determining the aggregate size of equity grants, the compensation committee may consider, in any given year, the peer group or survey data and one or more other factors, including the internal pay equity among our named executive officers who are not the chief executive officer and ensuring that the chief executive officer's award is of a size that appropriately reflects the importance of his responsibilities for our success. The compensation committee also generally references equity awards at the 65th to 75th percentile level of those granted by the peer group in determining annual equity grant levels for our executive officers.

In 2014, we only granted stock options to our named executive officers. From time to time, we have granted restricted stock in addition to stock options. When we have granted restricted stock, it has typically been limited to the inducement grants to new hires or to meet specific retention needs.

Equity Grants during 2014

In December 2014, the compensation committee granted options to certain members of the Company's senior management team, including Dr. Lewis, Mr. Belbel and Mr. Lafond that represented our annual equity grant program. For 2014, the following new equity incentive grants were made to our named executive officers:

Name	December 2014 Annual Performance Grant		
	Number of Shares underlying Options	Exercise Price	Value ⁽²⁾
Dr. Jonathan J. Lewis	350,000	\$ 5.07	\$ 1,276,240
Caesar J. Belbel	175,000	\$ 5.07	\$ 638,120
Kevin G. Lafond	75,000	\$ 5.07	\$ 273,480

- (1) The options vest in three annual installments. Vesting of all awards is subject to continued service. These vesting schedules are designed to promote retention and encourage executives to consider the long-term stock price effects of their decisions. Under certain circumstances, the vesting of these equity awards may be accelerated in the event of a Change in Control or if the optionee's employment with us is terminated. See *Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control* below.
- (2) The value of the equity grants reflected in the table above is the Black-Scholes value as determined on the applicable grant date. The total value of the 2014 equity compensation granted to each of our named executive officers as compared to the peer group was as follows:

Executive Officer	Peer Group Percentile
Dr. Jonathan J. Lewis	70%
Caesar J. Belbel	67%
Kevin G. Lafond	30%

Equity Compensation Policies

Our policy is to generally make annual, new-hire and promotion equity grants as follows:

annual equity grants are generally recommended by the compensation committee and approved by the board of directors, or approved by the compensation committee on a regularly scheduled meeting of the compensation committee and/or the board of directors preceding the first quarter of each year; and

Edgar Filing: ZIOPHARM ONCOLOGY INC - Form DEF 14A

new-hire and promotion grants are generally approved by the compensation committee; our chief executive officer has been granted authority to grant stock options and other equity awards to our non-executive employees at a certain level, which grants are reviewed by the board of directors on a regular basis at a subsequent meeting.

Table of Contents

The exercise price of the options is not less than the closing price of our common stock on the NASDAQ Capital Market on the grant date of the option. It is our policy not to purposely accelerate or delay the public release of material information in consideration of a pending equity grant to allow the grantee to benefit from a more favorable stock price. To avoid our release of information in close proximity to an equity grant, which may appear to be an effort to time the announcement to a grantee's benefit (even if no such benefit was intended), it is our policy for our management to make a good faith effort to advise the compensation committee whenever it is aware that material non-public information is planned to be released to the public in close proximity to the grant of equity awards.

We encourage our executive officers to hold a significant equity interest in us, but we have not set specific ownership guidelines. We have a policy that prohibits our executive officers, directors and other members of management from engaging in short sales, transactions in put or call options, hedging transactions or other inherently speculative transactions with respect to our stock.

Agreements Providing for Change in Control and Severance Benefits

We have entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Belbel and severance agreements with Mr. Lafond and Dr. Lebel. The previously effective employment agreement with Dr. Lewis expired in accordance with its terms on January 8, 2015, however, Dr. Lewis continues to serve as our Chief Executive Officer on the same base cash compensation terms. Under the terms of these documents or otherwise, the employment of each of our named executive officers is at will (to the greatest extent permitted by applicable law), meaning that either we or the officer may terminate their employment at any time. Mr. Belbel is eligible, under the terms of his employment agreement and option agreements, to receive severance benefits and accelerated vesting upon the termination of his employment either by us without Cause, by him for Good Reason, or due to his disability or death, either in connection with a Change in Control or not in connection with a Change in Control (each as defined below under "Employment and Change in Control Agreements"). In addition, under certain circumstances Mr. Belbel is eligible, under the terms of certain of his option agreements, to receive accelerated vesting in the event of a Change in Control irrespective of whether Mr. Belbel's employment is terminated. Mr. Lafond and Dr. Lebel are eligible, under the terms of their respective severance agreements, to receive severance benefits upon the termination of their employment by us without cause, either in connection with a Change in Control or not in connection with a Change in Control. The amounts and terms and conditions of these severance rights reflect the negotiations between each of Mr. Belbel, Mr. Lafond and Dr. Lebel and us at the time these documents were entered into, the benefits provided by our peer companies to similarly situated executives at the time they were negotiated, as well as our desire for internal pay equity among our executive officers. We believe that these existing arrangements are consistent with market practices and are critical to attracting and retaining high quality executives. We also believe the involuntary termination benefits allow Mr. Belbel, Mr. Lafond and Dr. Lebel to focus on normal business operations rather than worrying about how business decisions that may be in our best interest will impact their own financial security. In addition, with respect to the accelerated vesting benefits upon a Change in Control provided in the employment agreements or equity grant agreements entered into with Dr. Lewis and Mr. Belbel, we believe these rights help enable Dr. Lewis and Mr. Belbel to maintain a balanced perspective in making overall business decisions during periods of uncertainty. The compensation committee is aware of current investor sentiment regarding golden parachute excise tax gross ups and we do not provide such tax gross ups.

401(k) Plan

Our employees, including our named executive officers, are eligible to participate in our 401(k) plan. Our 401(k) plan is intended to qualify as a tax qualified plan under Section 401 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code. Our 401(k) plan provides that each participant may contribute a portion of his or her pretax compensation, up to a statutory limit, which for most employees was \$17,500 in 2014, with a larger "catch up" limit for older employees, to the 401(k) plan. Employee contributions are held and invested by the 401(k) plan's trustee. In 2014, we matched employee contributions at a rate of 100% up to 4% of an employee's base

Table of Contents

salary, capped at \$2,000 matching contributions annually. We believe that this benefit is consistent with the practices of our peer companies, and therefore helps us to recruit and retain key talent at a minimal cost to us.

Other Benefits and Perquisites

We provide medical insurance, dental insurance, life insurance and disability insurance benefits to our U.S. employees, including our named executive officers based in the U.S. These benefits are available to all employees on the same terms and conditions and are subject to applicable laws.

Our executive officers generally do not receive any perquisites, except for limited perquisites provided on a case by case basis. In considering potential perquisites, the compensation committee reviews our cost of such benefits, as compared to the perceived value we receive. The transportation cost benefits and other perquisites provided to Dr. Lewis under the terms of his previously effective employment agreement reflect the terms of the individual negotiations with Dr. Lewis at the time the agreement was entered into, and are reflective of market terms for executives in the New York area which was where Dr. Lewis was originally employed.

We do not sponsor any defined benefit pension plan or nonqualified deferred compensation plan or arrangement for our employees.

Tax Deductibility of Executive Compensation

Section 162(m) of the Code denies a federal income tax deduction for specified compensation in excess of \$1.0 million per year paid to the chief executive officer and the three other most highly paid executive officers, other than a company's chief financial officer, of a publicly traded corporation. Some types of compensation, including stock options and other compensation based on performance criteria that are approved in advance by stockholders, may be structured so as to be excluded from the deduction limit. To maintain flexibility in compensating our executive officers in a manner that promotes varying corporate goals, our compensation committee has not adopted a policy requiring all compensation to be deductible. Our compensation committee will continue to evaluate the effects of the executive compensation deduction limitations of Section 162(m) of the Code and to grant compensation in the future in a manner consistent with our best interests and the best interests of our stockholders.

Accounting Considerations

We account for equity compensation paid to our employees under the Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718, or ASC 718, which requires us to estimate and record an expense over the service period of the equity award. Our cash compensation is recorded as an expense over the period the bonus is earned. The accounting impact of our compensation programs are one of many factors that the compensation committee considers in determining the structure and size of our executive compensation programs.

Compensation Recovery Policy

We do not have a policy to attempt to recover cash bonus payments paid to our executive officers if the performance objectives that led to the determination of such payments were to be restated, or found not to have been met to the extent the compensation committee originally believed. However, as a public company subject to the provisions of Section 304 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, if we are required as a result of misconduct to restate our financial results due to our material noncompliance with any financial reporting requirements under the federal securities laws, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer may be legally required to reimburse us for any bonus or other incentive-based or equity-based compensation they receive. In addition, we will comply with the requirements of the Dodd-Frank Act and will adopt a compensation recovery policy once the SEC adopts final regulations on the subject.

Table of Contents

Risk Analysis of Our Compensation Program

Our compensation committee has reviewed our compensation policies as generally applicable to our employees and believes that our policies do not encourage excessive or inappropriate risk taking and that the level of risk that they do encourage is not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on us. As part of its assessment, the compensation committee considered, among other factors, the allocation of compensation among base salary and short- and long-term compensation, our approach to establishing company-wide and individual financial, operational and other performance targets, our bonus structure of payouts at multiple levels of performance (including maximum payout caps and payments for performance below target levels), and the nature of our key performance metrics.

Employment and Change in Control Agreements

Employment Agreement with Jonathan Lewis, M.D., Ph.D.

Dr. Jonathan Lewis has served as our Chief Executive Officer since our September 2005 acquisition of ZIOPHARM, Inc., and previously served as Chief Executive Officer of ZIOPHARM, Inc. since January 2004. Effective January 8, 2008, we entered into a new three-year employment agreement with Dr. Lewis upon the expiration of his prior employment agreement. On December 28, 2010, we extended the term of the current employment agreement with Dr. Lewis for a two-year period expiring January 8, 2013, on January 8, 2013 we further extended the term of the employment agreement with Dr. Lewis for an additional one-year period expiring January 8, 2014 and on January 8, 2014 we again extended the term of the employment agreement with Dr. Lewis for an additional one-year period expiring January 8, 2015. The employment agreement with Dr. Lewis expired in accordance with its terms on January 8, 2015, however Dr. Lewis continues to serve as our Chief Executive Officer on the same base cash compensation terms.

Base Salary. Under his employment agreement, Dr. Lewis received an initial annual base salary of \$420,000, subject to increase at the discretion of the board of directors based on an annual review. Based on its year-end reviews for 2009, 2010 and 2012, the board increased Dr. Lewis annual base salary for 2010, 2011 and 2013 to \$462,000, \$485,100 and \$500,000, respectively. The board did not increase Dr. Lewis base salary for 2014 or 2015.

Annual Performance Bonus. Dr. Lewis was eligible under the employment agreement to receive an annual bonus based on his performance as determined by the board and remains eligible to receive an annual bonus based on his performance following the expiration of his employment agreement in accordance with its terms. The agreement contemplated that Dr. Lewis and the compensation committee would agree on certain performance goals for each year. The target amount of the annual performance bonus was \$300,000. The actual amount received was based on whether we have achieved each of the goals, with lesser amounts paid if substantial progress has been made to achieve the goal or we have abandoned it, and greater amounts paid if expectations are exceeded. Dr. Lewis was also eligible to receive an additional annual discretionary bonus in such amounts determined by the board. For each of 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014, the board adopted the compensation committee's recommendations for end-of-year discretionary cash bonuses pursuant to which Dr. Lewis received cash bonuses of \$200,000, \$170,016, \$58,212, \$97,020, \$100,000 and \$200,000, respectively.

Equity Incentive Grants. Dr. Lewis was eligible under his employment agreement to receive equity awards as determined by the board in its sole discretion from time to time and remains eligible to receive such equity awards following the expiration of his employment agreement in accordance with its terms. On May 13, 2009, we granted to Dr. Lewis options to purchase 100,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.70, which options vested in four equal installments on each of May 13, 2009, August 13, 2009, November 13, 2009, and February 13, 2010. On September 23, 2009, we granted to Dr. Lewis an award of restricted stock in the amount of 300,000 shares of common stock with transfer and forfeiture restrictions that lapsed on the first anniversary of the grant date. Effective as of December 31, 2009, the board adopted the compensation committee's recommendations for 2009 end-of-year awards of restricted stock and grants of stock options under

Table of Contents

our 2003 Stock Option Plan pursuant to which Dr. Lewis was awarded 150,000 shares of common stock with transfer and forfeiture restrictions that lapsed in annual installments over three years commencing on the one-year anniversary of the grant date and options to purchase 150,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$2.85, which options vested in equal annual installments over three years commencing on the one-year anniversary of the grant date. Effective as of December 31, 2010, the board adopted the compensation committee's recommendations for 2010 end-of-year awards of restricted stock and grants of stock options under our 2003 Stock Option Plan pursuant to which Dr. Lewis was awarded options to purchase 150,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$4.77, which options vested in equal annual installments over three years commencing on the one-year anniversary of the grant date. Effective as of December 30, 2011, the board adopted the compensation committee's recommendations for 2011 end-of-year awards of restricted stock and grants of stock options under our 2003 Stock Option Plan pursuant to which Dr. Lewis was awarded 102,781 shares of common stock with transfer and forfeiture restrictions that lapsed in annual installments over three years commencing on the one-year anniversary of the grant date. Effective as of December 31, 2012, the board adopted the compensation committee's recommendations for 2012 end-of-year awards of restricted stock and grants of stock options under our 2012 Equity Incentive Plan pursuant to which Dr. Lewis was awarded options to purchase 300,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$4.16, which options vest in equal annual installments over three years commencing on the one-year anniversary of the grant date. Effective as of June 27, 2013, we granted to Dr. Lewis under our 2012 Equity Incentive Plan options to purchase 330,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$2.30, which options vest in equal annual installments over three years commencing on the one-year anniversary of the grant date. Effective as of December 31, 2013, the board adopted the compensation committee's recommendations for 2013 end-of-year awards of restricted stock and grants of stock options under our 2012 Equity Incentive Plan pursuant to which Dr. Lewis was awarded options to purchase 300,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$4.34, which options vest in equal annual installments over three years commencing on the one-year anniversary of the grant date. Effective as of December 31, 2014, the board adopted the compensation committee's recommendations for 2014 end-of-year awards of restricted stock and grants of stock options under our 2012 Equity Incentive Plan pursuant to which Dr. Lewis was awarded options to purchase 350,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$5.07, which options vest in equal annual installments over three years commencing on the one-year anniversary of the grant date. Under certain circumstances, the vesting of certain of Dr. Lewis' equity awards may be accelerated in the event of a Change in Control or if Dr. Lewis' employment with us is terminated. See *Severance Provisions* and *Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control* below.

Expense Reimbursement. Under his agreement, Dr. Lewis was eligible for reimbursement of reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred by him in furtherance of our business and affairs, including reasonable travel and entertainment expenses, as well as for medical licensing fees, professional dues and memberships, journal subscriptions and up to \$10,000 per year in costs associated with certain corporate consultants retained by Dr. Lewis. In addition, we have agreed to reimburse Dr. Lewis for premiums on life insurance policies having aggregate coverage limits of up to \$800,000 and premiums on disability insurance policies covering Dr. Lewis in amounts up to \$20,000 per month. Dr. Lewis remains eligible for reimbursement of such amounts following the expiration of his employment agreement in accordance with its terms.

Severance Provisions. Dr. Lewis' employment agreement provided that he would have continued to receive his base salary, benefits and a pro-rata portion of his target performance bonus for a period of one year if we terminated him for a reason other than death, disability or Cause, or if Dr. Lewis resigned for Good Reason. In connection with any such termination, the pro rata portion of Dr. Lewis' performance bonus would have been based on the number of days Dr. Lewis was employed by us during the year of termination. Under the terms of his previously effective employment agreement, in the event Dr. Lewis' employment was terminated without Cause prior to and in connection with a Change in Control, or within 18 months thereafter, he would have continued to receive his base salary and benefits for a period of two years following such termination and would also have received the greater of the amount of his performance bonus for the year of termination or the average of the amounts received as a performance bonus under the new employment agreement or guaranteed bonus under the previous employment agreement for the two years preceding the year of termination. If Dr. Lewis

Table of Contents

employment was terminated as a result of death or disability, Dr. Lewis (or his estate, as applicable) would have received his base salary for a period of one year following the date of termination. Under the terms of his previously effective employment agreement, upon occurrence of any of the above termination events, all stock options and restricted stock grants scheduled to vest by the end of the calendar year in which such termination occurred would have been accelerated and deemed to vest as of the termination date.

For the purposes of Dr. Lewis' previously effective employment agreement, Cause for our terminating Dr. Lewis meant:

the willful misconduct, failure, disregard or refusal by Dr. Lewis to perform any of his material duties following one opportunity to cure the applicable conduct;

any willful, intentional or grossly negligent act by Dr. Lewis having the effect of injuring, in a material way, our business or reputation or the business or reputation of any of our affiliates;

Dr. Lewis' conviction of any felony or a misdemeanor involving moral turpitude;

our determination that Dr. Lewis engaged in some form of harassment prohibited by law;

any misappropriation or embezzlement of our property or the property of our affiliates; and

the breach of specified covenants in his employment agreement, subject in certain cases to a cure period.

For purposes of Dr. Lewis' employment agreement, Good Reason included constructive termination, relocation, a reduction in salary or benefits, our material breach of the employment agreement with Dr. Lewis or a Change in Control (i) that resulted in the elimination of the board or (ii) in which representatives of the board just prior to the event causing the Change in Control did not represent a majority of the board immediately subsequent to the event causing the Change in Control.

For the purposes of Dr. Lewis' previously effective employment agreement, a Change in Control was deemed to have occurred if any of the following conditions had been satisfied:

the acquisition, directly or indirectly, by any person, in one transaction or a series of related transactions, of our securities representing in excess of 50% or more of the combined voting power of our then-outstanding securities, or

the disposition by us (whether direct or indirect, by sale of assets or stock, merger, consolidation or otherwise) of all or substantially all of our assets in one transaction or series of related transactions (other than (i) a merger effected exclusively for the purpose of changing our domicile, (ii) financing activities in the ordinary course in which we sell our equity securities, or (iii) a transfer to a person or entity that, immediately after the transfer, is or is controlled by a person or entity that controlled us before the transfer).

In addition, certain of Dr. Lewis' option and restricted stock agreements separately provide for accelerated vesting upon the occurrence of a Change in Control or if Dr. Lewis' employment with us is terminated under certain circumstances.

Non-competition and Non-solicitation. The employment agreement provided that Dr. Lewis would not compete with us, or solicit our employees, clients or customers, for twelve months after the termination of his employment with us; provided, however, that we would have been obligated to pay Dr. Lewis his base salary and his performance bonus (based on Dr. Lewis' average performance bonus received for the prior two years) if we desired such non-competition and non-solicitation provisions to have effect following expiration of the employment agreement without renewal.

Table of Contents

Employment Agreement with Caesar J. Belbel

Mr. Caesar J. Belbel has served as our Executive Vice President, Chief Legal Officer and Secretary since September 6, 2011, the date of his written employment agreement. Mr. Belbel has an at-will employment relationship with us.

Base Salary. Mr. Belbel received an initial annual base salary of \$325,000, subject to review of the board of directors or the compensation committee at least annually. The board increased Mr. Belbel's base salary for 2013 to \$350,000. The board did not increase Mr. Belbel's base salary for 2014 or 2015.

Annual Performance Bonus. Under his employment agreement, Mr. Belbel is eligible to receive an annual bonus based on his performance as determined by the board or the compensation committee. The target amount of the annual performance bonus is 35% of his base salary, with the actual amount to be received determined by the board or the compensation committee. Mr. Belbel is also eligible to receive an additional annual discretionary bonus in such amount as may be determined by the board. For each of 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014, the board adopted the compensation committee's recommendations for end-of-year cash bonuses pursuant to which Mr. Belbel received cash bonuses of \$11,261, \$56,875, \$61,250 and \$122,500, respectively.

Equity Incentive Grants. Mr. Belbel is eligible under his employment agreement to receive equity awards as determined by the board in its sole discretion from time to time. In connection with his entry into his employment agreement, on September 6, 2011 we granted Mr. Belbel a stock option to purchase 200,000 shares of common stock at a per share exercise price of \$5.17, which option vests in three equal annual installments starting with the first anniversary date of the grant. Effective as of December 30, 2011, the board adopted the compensation committee's recommendations for 2011 end-of-year awards of restricted stock and grants of stock options under our 2003 Stock Option Plan pursuant to which Mr. Belbel was granted 21,578 shares of common stock on December 30, 2011, which shares are subject to transfer and forfeiture restrictions that lapse in three equal annual installments starting with the first anniversary date of the grant. On February 22, 2012, we granted Mr. Belbel 43,802 shares of common stock, which shares are subject to transfer and forfeiture restrictions that lapse as to 14,600 of the shares on February 22, 2013 and as to 14,601 shares on each of February 22, 2014 and 2015. Effective as of December 31, 2012, the board adopted the compensation committee's recommendations for 2012 end-of-year awards of restricted stock and grants of stock options under our 2012 Equity Incentive Plan pursuant to which Mr. Belbel was granted options to purchase 150,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$4.16, which options vest in three equal installments starting with the first anniversary of date of the grant. Effective as of June 27, 2013, we granted to Mr. Belbel under our 2012 Equity Incentive Plan options to purchase 230,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$2.30, which options vest in equal annual installments over three years commencing on the one-year anniversary of the grant date. Effective as of December 31, 2013, the board adopted the compensation committee's recommendations for 2013 end-of-year awards of restricted stock and grants of stock options under our 2012 Equity Incentive Plan pursuant to which Mr. Belbel was awarded options to purchase 150,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$4.34, which options vest in equal annual installments over three years commencing on the one-year anniversary of the grant date. Effective as of December 31, 2014, the board adopted the compensation committee's recommendations for 2014 end-of-year awards of restricted stock and grants of stock options under our 2012 Equity Incentive Plan pursuant to which Mr. Belbel was awarded options to purchase 175,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$5.07, which options vest in equal annual installments over three years commencing on the one-year anniversary of the grant date. Under certain circumstances, the vesting of certain of Mr. Belbel's equity awards may be accelerated in the event of a Change in Control or if Mr. Belbel's employment with us is terminated. See *Severance Provisions and Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control* below.

Expense Reimbursement. Under his employment agreement, Mr. Belbel is eligible for reimbursement of normal, usual and necessary expenses incurred by him in furtherance of our business and affairs, including reasonable travel and entertainment expenses as well as reasonable legal licensing fees and related professional dues and memberships.

Table of Contents

Severance Provisions. If (i) we terminate Mr. Belbel for a reason other than death, disability or Cause, or (ii) Mr. Belbel resigns for Good Reason, Mr. Belbel will be entitled to receive a severance payment in a single lump sum equal to 100% of his then-current annual base salary plus a portion of the target amount of his annual performance bonus for the calendar year in which such termination occurs (which portion will be determined pro rata based on the number of days in such calendar year during which we employed Mr. Belbel), subject to Mr. Belbel's execution and delivery of a general release in favor of the Company. In this situation, Mr. Belbel's stock options that have vested as of the date of termination shall remain exercisable for a period of 90 days and any unvested stock options and unvested awards of restricted stock held by Mr. Belbel shall be deemed to have expired as of the date of termination. In the case of either (i) a termination by us for a reason other than death, disability or Cause, or (ii) a resignation for Good Reason, in each case that occurs within 90 days prior to and in connection with a Change in Control, or within 18 months after the occurrence of a Change in Control, all unvested stock options and unvested awards of restricted stock held by Mr. Belbel at the time that such termination occurs will be accelerated and deemed to have vested as of his employment termination date. Any stock options that have vested or been deemed to have vested as of the date of termination will remain outstanding and exercisable until the earlier of 90 days following the date of termination, the date that they are exercised or the date on which the original term of such stock option expires.

For the purposes of Mr. Belbel's employment agreement, Cause for our terminating Mr. Belbel means:

the willful or negligent failure, disregard or refusal by Mr. Belbel to perform any of his duties after written notice;

any act by Mr. Belbel, that in the opinion of the board, has the effect of injuring our business or reputation or the business or reputation of any or our affiliates;

misconduct by Mr. Belbel in respect of his duties or obligations under his employment agreement;

Mr. Belbel's conviction of any felony or a misdemeanor involving moral turpitude;

the determination by the board that Mr. Belbel engaged in any conduct prohibited by law;

any misappropriation or embezzlement of our property or the property of our affiliates;

breach by Mr. Belbel of any of the provisions of his non-disclosure and non-competition agreements with us; and

breach by Mr. Belbel of any provision of his employment agreement after written notice.

For purposes of Mr. Belbel's employment agreement, Good Reason includes constructive termination, a material reduction in base salary, or our material breach of the employment agreement with Mr. Belbel, in each case following our failure to cure such event for a period of 30 days following receipt of notice from Mr. Belbel.

For the purposes of Mr. Belbel's employment agreement, a Change in Control is deemed to have occurred if any of the following conditions shall have been satisfied:

the acquisition, directly or indirectly, by any person, in one transaction or a series of related transactions, of our securities representing in excess of 50% or more of the combined voting power of our then-outstanding securities, or

Edgar Filing: ZIOPHARM ONCOLOGY INC - Form DEF 14A

the disposition by us (whether direct or indirect, by sale of assets or stock, merger, consolidation or otherwise) of all or substantially all of our assets in one transaction or series of related transactions (other than (i) a merger effected exclusively for the purpose of changing our domicile, (ii) financing activities in the ordinary course in which we sell our equity securities, or (iii) a transfer to a person or entity that, immediately after the transfer, is or is controlled by a person or entity that controlled us before the transfer). In addition, certain of Mr. Belbel's option agreements separately provide for accelerated vesting upon the occurrence of a Change in Control in certain circumstances, irrespective of whether such Change in Control involves a termination of Mr. Belbel without Cause or whether Mr. Belbel resigns for Good Reason.

Table of Contents

Non-competition and Non-solicitation. The employment agreement provides that Mr. Belbel will not compete with us or solicit our clients or customers for a year after the termination or cessation of his employment with us. His employment agreement further provides that Mr. Belbel will not solicit our employees for two years after the termination or cessation of his employment with us.

Employment Relationship with Kevin G. Lafond

Base Salary. In 2014, Mr. Lafond received an annual base salary of \$200,997, which is subject to review of the board of directors or the compensation committee at least annually. The board increased Mr. Lafond's base salary to \$225,000 for 2015.

Annual Performance Bonus. Mr. Lafond is eligible to receive an annual bonus based on his performance as determined by the board or the compensation committee. For 2013 and 2014, the board adopted the compensation committee's recommendations for end-of-year cash bonuses pursuant to which Mr. Lafond received a cash bonus of \$35,126 and \$50,249, respectively.

Equity Incentive Grants. Mr. Lafond is eligible to receive equity awards as determined by the board in its sole discretion from time to time. In connection with his joining the company, on February 6, 2009 we granted Mr. Lafond a stock option to purchase 10,000 shares of common stock at a per share exercise price of \$0.80, which option vested in three equal annual installments starting with the first anniversary date of the grant. On May 13, 2009, we granted to Mr. Lafond a stock option to purchase 16,000 shares of common stock at a per share exercise price of \$0.70, which option vested in four equal installments on each of May 13, 2009, August 13, 2009, November 13, 2009, and February 13, 2010. On September 23, 2009, we granted to Mr. Lafond an award of restricted stock in the amount of 24,000 shares of common stock with transfer and forfeiture restrictions that lapsed on the first anniversary of the grant date. Effective as of December 31, 2009, the board adopted the compensation committee's recommendations for 2009 end-of-year awards of restricted stock and grants of stock options under our 2003 Stock Option Plan pursuant to which Mr. Lafond was awarded 25,000 shares of common stock with transfer and forfeiture restrictions that lapsed in annual installments over three years commencing on the one-year anniversary of the grant date and options to purchase 17,500 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$2.85, which options vested in equal annual installments over three years commencing on the one-year anniversary of the grant date. Effective as of December 31, 2010, the board adopted the compensation committee's recommendations for 2010 end-of-year awards of restricted stock and grants of stock options under our 2003 Stock Option Plan pursuant to which Mr. Lafond was granted a stock option to purchase 25,000 shares of common stock at a per share exercise price of \$4.77, which option vested in three equal annual installments starting with the first anniversary date of the grant. Effective as of December 30, 2011, the board adopted the compensation committee's recommendations for 2011 end-of-year awards of restricted stock and grants of stock options under our 2003 Stock Option Plan pursuant to which Mr. Lafond was awarded 14,070 shares of common stock with transfer and forfeiture restrictions that lapsed in annual installments over three years commencing on the one-year anniversary of the grant date. Effective as of December 31, 2012, the board adopted the compensation committee's recommendations for 2012 end-of-year awards of restricted stock and grants of stock options under our 2012 Equity Incentive Plan pursuant to which Mr. Lafond was granted options to purchase 20,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$4.16, which options vest in three equal installments starting with the first anniversary of date of the grant. Effective as of June 27, 2013, we granted to Mr. Lafond under our 2012 Equity Incentive Plan options to purchase 82,500 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$2.30, which options vest in equal annual installments over three years commencing on the one-year anniversary of the grant date. Effective as of December 31, 2013, the board adopted the compensation committee's recommendations for 2013 end-of-year awards of restricted stock and grants of stock options under our 2012 Equity Incentive Plan pursuant to which Mr. Lafond was awarded options to purchase 35,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$4.34, which options vest in equal annual installments over three years commencing on the one-year anniversary of the grant date. Effective as of December 31, 2014, the board adopted the compensation committee's recommendations for 2014 end-of-year awards of restricted stock and grants of stock options under our 2012 Equity Incentive Plan pursuant to which Mr. Lafond was awarded options to

Table of Contents

purchase 75,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$5.07, which options vest in equal annual installments over three years commencing on the one-year anniversary of the grant date. The vesting of certain of Mr. Lafond's equity awards may be accelerated if Mr. Lafond's employment with us is terminated under certain circumstances. See Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control below.

Expense Reimbursement. Mr. Lafond is eligible for reimbursement of normal, usual and necessary expenses incurred by him in furtherance of our business and affairs, including reasonable travel and entertainment expenses.

Severance Provisions. If Mr. Lafond is terminated by the Company for a reason other than for Cause, as that term is defined in Mr. Lafond's severance agreement, or other than for retirement, death or disability, Mr. Lafond will be entitled to receive a severance payment in a single lump sum equal to six months of his then-current annualized base salary, subject to Mr. Lafond's execution and delivery of a general release in favor of the Company.

For the purposes of Mr. Lafond's severance agreement, Cause for our terminating Mr. Lafond means:

the willful or negligent failure, disregard or refusal by Mr. Lafond to perform his duties of employment;

any act by Mr. Lafond that, in the opinion of the chief executive officer, has the effect of injuring our business or reputation or the business or reputation of any or our affiliates, including but not limited to, any officer, director, executive of shareholder of ours or our affiliates;

misconduct by Mr. Lafond in respect of his duties or obligations, including, without limitation, insubordination with respect to lawful directors received by Mr. Lafond from the chief executive officer or such other executive officer to whom Mr. Lafond may report;

Mr. Lafond's indictment of any felony or a misdemeanor involving moral turpitude (including entry of a nolo contendere plea);

the determination by us after a reasonable and good faith investigation following a written allegation by another employee that Mr. Lafond engaged in some form of harassment prohibited by law (including, without limitation, harassment that constitutes age, sex, or race discrimination);

any misappropriation or embezzlement of our property or the property of our affiliates (whether or not constituting a misdemeanor or felony);

breach by Mr. Lafond of any of the provisions of his invention, non-disclosure and non-competition agreement with us, as determined in the sole and absolute discretion of the chief executive officer; and

breach by Mr. Lafond of any provision of his severance agreement, as determined in the sole and absolute discretion of the chief executive officer.

Employment Relationship with Francois Lebel, M.D.

On February 9, 2015, Dr. Lebel was promoted to Executive Vice President, Research and Development and Chief Medical Officer at which time he became an executive officer of the Company.

Edgar Filing: ZIOPHARM ONCOLOGY INC - Form DEF 14A

Base Salary. Dr. Lebel's annual base salary for 2015 is \$380,000, which is subject to review of the board of directors or the compensation committee at least annually.

Annual Performance Bonus. Dr. Lebel is eligible to receive an annual bonus based on his performance as determined by the board or the compensation committee. For 2014, the board adopted the compensation committee's recommendations for end-of-year cash bonuses pursuant to which Dr. Lebel received a cash bonus of \$109,725.

Table of Contents

Equity Incentive Grants. Dr. Lebel is eligible to receive equity awards as determined by the board in its sole discretion from time to time. In connection with his joining the company, on March 18, 2013 we granted Dr. Lebel options to purchase 45,000 shares of common stock at a per share exercise price of \$5.71, which options vest in equal annual installments over three years commencing on the one-year anniversary of the grant date. Effective as of June 27, 2013, we granted to Dr. Lebel under our 2012 Equity Incentive Plan options to purchase 110,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$2.30, which options vest in equal annual installments over three years commencing on the one-year anniversary of the grant date. Effective as of December 31, 2013, the board adopted the compensation committee's recommendations for 2013 end-of-year awards of restricted stock and grants of stock options under our 2012 Equity Incentive Plan pursuant to which Dr. Lebel was awarded options to purchase 150,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$4.34, which options vest in equal annual installments over three years commencing on the one-year anniversary of the grant date. Effective as of December 31, 2014, the board adopted the compensation committee's recommendations for 2014 end-of-year awards of restricted stock and grants of stock options under our 2012 Equity Incentive Plan pursuant to which Dr. Lebel was awarded options to purchase 175,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$5.07, which options vest in equal annual installments over three years commencing on the one-year anniversary of the grant date. The vesting of certain of Dr. Lebel's equity awards may be accelerated if Dr. Lebel's employment with us is terminated under certain circumstances. See Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control below.

Expense Reimbursement. Dr. Lebel is eligible for reimbursement of normal, usual and necessary expenses incurred by him in furtherance of our business and affairs, including reasonable travel and entertainment expenses.

Severance Provisions. If Dr. Lebel is terminated by the Company for a reason other than for Cause, as that term is defined in Dr. Lebel's severance agreement, or other than for retirement, death or disability, Dr. Lebel will be entitled to receive a severance payment in a single lump sum equal to six months of his then-current annualized base salary, subject to Dr. Lebel's execution and delivery of a general release in favor of the Company.

For the purposes of Dr. Lebel's severance agreement, Cause for our terminating Dr. Lebel means:

the willful or negligent failure, disregard or refusal by Dr. Lebel to perform his duties of employment;

any act by Dr. Lebel that, in the opinion of the chief executive officer, has the effect of injuring our business or reputation or the business or reputation of any or our affiliates, including but not limited to, any officer, director, executive of shareholder of ours or our affiliates;

misconduct by Dr. Lebel in respect of his duties or obligations, including, without limitation, insubordination with respect to lawful directors received by Dr. Lebel from the chief executive officer or such other executive officer to whom Dr. Lebel may report;

Dr. Lebel's indictment of any felony or a misdemeanor involving moral turpitude (including entry of a nolo contendere plea);

the determination by us after a reasonable and good faith investigation following a written allegation by another employee that Dr. Lebel engaged in some form of harassment prohibited by law (including, without limitation, harassment that constitutes age, sex, or race discrimination);

any misappropriation or embezzlement of our property or the property of our affiliates (whether or not constituting a misdemeanor or felony);

breach by Dr. Lebel of any of the provisions of his invention, non-disclosure and non-competition agreement with us, as determined in the sole and absolute discretion of the chief executive officer; and

breach by Dr. Lebel of any provision of his severance agreement, as determined in the sole and absolute discretion of the chief executive officer.

Table of Contents*Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control*

The following table sets forth estimated compensation that would have been payable to each of our named executive officers as severance or upon a change in control of the Company under three alternative scenarios, assuming the termination triggering severance payments or a change in control took place on December 31, 2014.

Name	Cash Payment (\$)⁽¹⁾	Accelerated Vesting of Stock Options (\$)⁽²⁾	Accelerated Vesting of Restricted Stock Awards (\$)⁽³⁾	Welfare Benefits (\$)⁽⁴⁾	Total (\$)
Jonathan Lewis, M.D., Ph.D.					
Termination without cause or with good reason prior to change in control	700,000	846,400		16,449	1,562,849
Change in control only	500,000	846,400		32,898	1,379,298
Change in control with termination without cause or good reason	1,400,000	846,400		32,898	2,279,298
Caesar J. Belbel					
Termination without cause or with good reason prior to change in control	472,500	497,732		5,554	1,021,288
Change in control only		497,732			543,233
Change in control with termination without cause or good reason	472,500	543,233	63,368	5,554	1,084,655
Kevin G. Lafond					
Termination without cause or with good reason prior to change in control	100,499				100,499
Change in control only					
Change in control with termination without cause or good reason	100,499	152,350			252,849
Francois Lebel, M.D.					
Termination without cause or with good reason prior to change in control	182,875	73,000			255,875
Change in control only		73,000			73,000
Change in control with termination without cause or good reason	182,875	276,133			459,008

- (1) Amounts shown reflect payments based on salary and bonus as well as payment of estimated cost of life, disability and accident insurance benefits during the agreement period.
- (2) Amounts shown represent the value of stock options upon the applicable triggering event described in the first column. The value of stock options is based on the difference between the exercise price of the options and \$5.07, which was the closing price of our common stock on the NASDAQ Capital Market on December 31, 2014.
- (3) Amounts shown represent the value of restricted stock awards upon the applicable triggering event described in the first column, based on the closing price of our common stock on the NASDAQ Capital Market on December 31, 2014.
- (4) Amounts shown represent the estimated cost of providing employment-related benefits during the agreement period.

Table of Contents**INFORMATION REGARDING THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE**

This section provides further information regarding the board of directors and the independence of our directors and describes key corporate governance guidelines and practices that we have adopted.

Independence of the Board of Directors

The board of directors uses the definition of independence established by The NASDAQ Stock Market. Under applicable NASDAQ rules, a director qualifies as an independent director if, in the opinion of the board, he or she does not have a relationship that would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a director. The board has determined that Dr. Murray Brennan, Mr. James A. Cannon, Senator Wyche Fowler, Jr., Dr. Michael Weiser and Mr. Timothy McInerney, who was not nominated for re-election, are independent directors, as such term is defined in NASDAQ Rule 5605(a)(2).

Board Leadership Structure

The board of directors has appointed an independent director, Dr. Murray Brennan, to serve as its non-executive Lead Director. The board has elected to separate the Lead Director function from that of the Chief Executive Officer, who serves as our principal executive officer, due to a belief that separating these functions, and empowering an independent director to chair the board meetings, will result in increased board oversight of management activities.

Director Attendance at Board and Stockholder Meetings

The board of directors met seven times during 2014, either in person or by teleconference. During 2014, each director attended at least 75% of the aggregate number of meetings of the board and of the committees on which he served, held during the last fiscal year or portion thereof of which he was a director or committee member.

Although we have no formal policy regarding directors' attendance at our annual stockholders meetings, we encourage such attendance by members of the board. All of the members of the board attended our 2014 annual stockholders meeting.

Board Committees

The board of directors has established three standing committees: an audit committee, a compensation committee, and a nominating and corporate governance committee. Each committee operates under a charter that has been approved by the board. Current copies of each committee's charter are posted on the Investors Corporate Governance section of our website, www.ziopharm.com. Our website and its contents are not incorporated into this proxy statement.

The current members of the committees are as follows:

	Audit	Compensation	Nominating
Jonathan Lewis, M.D., Ph.D.			
Murray Brennan, M.D.			
James A. Cannon			
Senator Wyche Fowler, Jr., J.D.			
Randal J. Kirk			
Timothy McInerney			
Michael Weiser, M.D., Ph.D.			
	= Lead Director	= Chair	= Member

Table of Contents

Following the annual meeting, the Company anticipates that the members of the committees will be as set forth below:

	Audit	Compensation	Nominating
Jonathan Lewis, M.D., Ph.D.			
Murray Brennan, M.D.			
James A. Cannon			
Senator Wyche Fowler, Jr., J.D.			
Randal J. Kirk			
Michael Weiser, M.D., Ph.D.			

= Lead Director = Chair = Member

Audit Committee

The current members of the audit committee are Mr. James A. Cannon, who serves as the committee's Chairman, Senator Wyche Fowler, Jr. and Dr. Michael Weiser. As set forth in the audit committee charter, the primary responsibility of the audit committee is to oversee our financial reporting processes and internal control system on behalf of the board. In that regard, the audit committee is responsible for, among other things, the appointment, compensation, retention and oversight of the work performed by the independent registered public accounting firm employed by us.

Each member of the audit committee is an independent director, as such term is defined in NASDAQ Marketplace Rule 5605(a)(2), and meets the criteria for independence set forth in Rule 10A-3(b)(1) under the Exchange Act. The board of directors has also determined that each of the audit committee members is able to read and understand fundamental financial statements and that at least one member of the audit committee has past employment experience in finance or accounting. The board has determined that at least one member of the audit committee, Mr. James A. Cannon, is an audit committee financial expert, as that term is defined in Item 407(d)(5)(ii) of Regulation S-K promulgated under the Exchange Act. Mr. Cannon's relevant experience includes his current service as the Chief Financial Officer of BBDO Worldwide, a position he has held for the past 20 years, and his past service as director of financial operations of the Omnicom Group.

The audit committee held four meetings during 2014, during which the audit committee held discussions with financial management and representatives from the independent registered public accounting firm prior to the filing of each quarterly report on Form 10-Q and annual report on Form 10-K with the SEC.

Compensation Committee

The current members of the compensation committee are Dr. Michael Weiser, who serves as the committee's Chairman, Dr. Murray Brennan and Mr. Timothy McInerney, who was not nominated for re-election. Following the annual meeting, the board plans to appoint Senator Fowler to the compensation committee to replace Mr. McInerney. As set forth in the compensation committee charter, the compensation committee reviews our remuneration policies and practices and makes recommendations to the board of directors in connection with all compensation matters affecting our executive officers.

Each of Drs. Brennan and Weiser, Mr. McInerney and Senator Fowler is an independent director, as such term is defined in NASDAQ Marketplace Rule 5605(a)(2), and meets the criteria for independence set forth in Rule 10A-3(b)(1) under the Exchange Act.

The compensation committee held two meetings during 2014.

Table of Contents

Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee

The current members of the corporate governance and nominating committee are Mr. Timothy McNerney, who was not nominated for re-election and currently serves as the committee's Chairman, Dr. Murray Brennan and Senator Wyche Fowler, Jr. Following the annual meeting, the board plans to appoint Mr. Cannon to the corporate governance and nominating committee to replace Mr. McNerney and to appoint Dr. Brennan as the committee's Chairman. As set forth in the corporate governance and nominating committee charter, the primary responsibility of the corporate governance and nominating committee is to consider and make recommendations to the board of directors concerning the appropriate size, function and needs of the board and its committees. In that regard, the corporate governance and nominating committee is, among other things, responsible for establishing criteria for membership on board, recruiting and recommending candidates to fill newly created or vacant positions on the board and reviewing any candidates recommended by stockholders. In addition, the corporate governance and nominating committee evaluates and assesses the performance of the board as a whole and its committees.

Each member of the corporate governance and nominating committee is an independent director, as such term is defined in NASDAQ Marketplace Rule 5605(a)(2), and meets the criteria for independence set forth in Rule 10A-3(b)(1) under the Exchange Act. The corporate governance and nominating committee held two meetings during 2014.

Director Nomination Process

The corporate governance and nominating committee (or a subcommittee thereof) recruits and considers director candidates and presents qualified candidates to the full board of directors for consideration. There is no fixed process for identifying and evaluating potential candidates to be nominees for directors, and there is no fixed set of qualifications that must be satisfied before a candidate will be considered. Rather, the corporate governance and nominating committee has the flexibility to consider such factors as it deems appropriate. These factors may include education, general business and industry experience, ability to act on behalf of stockholders, potential concerns regarding independence or conflicts of interest and other factors relevant in evaluating board nominees. Although the corporate governance and nominating committee does not have a policy with regard to the consideration of diversity in identifying director candidates, overall board diversity of industry background and experience is generally among the factors considered. The corporate governance and nominating committee believes that a board comprised of directors with diverse skills and experiences relevant to our industry and operations will result in efficient and competent oversight of our various core competencies, which include pharmaceutical development, strategic partnering, commercialization activities, regulatory compliance, corporate finance and accounting. As such, the corporate governance and nominating committee gives consideration to the interplay of a director candidate's experience with that of other members of the board and the evolving needs of our business.

Qualified candidates will be considered without regard to race, color, religion, sex, ancestry, national origin or disability, and the corporate governance and nominating committee will consider director candidates recommended by security holders. If the corporate governance and nominating committee approves a candidate for further review following an initial screening, the corporate governance and nominating committee will establish an interview process for the candidate. Generally, the candidate will meet with at least a majority of the members of the corporate governance and nominating committee, along with our Chief Executive Officer. Contemporaneously with the interview process, the corporate governance and nominating committee will conduct a comprehensive conflicts-of-interest assessment of the candidate. The corporate governance and nominating committee will consider reports of the interviews and the conflicts-of-interest assessment to determine whether to recommend the candidate to the full board. The corporate governance and nominating committee will also take into consideration the candidate's personal attributes, including, without limitation, personal integrity, loyalty to us and concern for our success and welfare, willingness to apply sound and independent business judgment, awareness of a director's vital part in our good corporate citizenship and image,

Table of Contents

time available for meetings and consultation on our matters and willingness to assume broad, fiduciary responsibility.

Recommendations for candidates to be considered for election to the board of directors at our annual stockholders meeting may be submitted to the corporate governance and nominating committee by our stockholders. In order to make such a recommendation, a stockholder must submit the recommendation in writing to the Chairperson of the corporate governance and nominating committee, in care of the our Secretary at our principal executive offices at One First Avenue, Parris Building 34, Navy Yard Plaza, First Floor, Boston, Massachusetts 02129, at least 120 days prior to the mailing date of the previous year's annual meeting proxy statement. To enable the corporate governance and nominating committee to evaluate the candidate's qualifications, stockholder recommendations must include the following information:

The name and address of the nominating stockholder and of the director candidate;

A representation that the nominating stockholder is a holder of record of ours entitled to vote at the current year's annual meeting;

A description of any arrangements or understandings between the nominating stockholder and the director candidate or candidates being recommended pursuant to which the nomination or nominations are to be made by the stockholder;

A resume detailing the educational, professional and other information necessary to determine if the nominee is qualified to become a director of ours;

Such other information regarding each nominee proposed by such stockholder as would have been required to be included in a proxy statement filed pursuant to the proxy rules of the SEC had each nominee been nominated by the board; and

The consent of each nominee to serve as a director of ours if so elected.

Each of the individuals nominated for re-election to the board of directors pursuant to Proposal One were approved for such nomination by the corporate governance and nominating committee.

Risk Management and Oversight

Our risk management function is overseen by our principal financial officer and Chief Legal officer, each of whom reports directly to the Chief Executive Officer. Material risks are identified and prioritized by management, and prioritized risks are referred to a board committee or the full board for oversight. For example, strategic risks are referred to the full board while financial risks are referred to the audit committee. The board reviews information regarding our credit, liquidity, and operations, as well as the risks associated with each.

Communicating with Directors

We have established means for stockholders and others to communicate with the board of directors. If a stockholder wishes to address a matter regarding our financial statements, accounting practices or internal controls, the matter should be submitted in writing addressed to the Chairperson of the audit committee in care of the Secretary at our principal executive offices at One First Avenue, Parris Building 34, Navy Yard Plaza, First Floor, Boston, Massachusetts 02129. If the matter relates to our governance practices, business ethics or corporate conduct, it should be submitted in writing addressed to the Chairperson of the corporate governance and nominating committee in care of the principal financial officer at our principal executive offices. If a stockholder is unsure where to direct a communication, the stockholder may direct it in writing to the Chairperson of the audit committee, or to any one of our independent directors, in care of the principal financial officer at our principal executive offices. All of these stockholder communications will be forwarded by the principal financial officer to the addressee.

Table of Contents

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

The current members of the compensation committee are Dr. Murray Brennan, Dr. Michael Weiser and Mr. Timothy McInerney, who was not nominated for re-election. Following the annual meeting, the board plans to appoint Senator Fowler to the compensation committee to replace Mr. McInerney. No member of the compensation committee nor Senator Fowler has ever been an officer or employee of the Company or any subsidiary of ours and no member of the compensation committee nor Senator Fowler had any relationship with us during 2014 requiring disclosure under Item 404 of Regulation S-K of the SEC.

None of the executive officers has served as a director or member of the compensation committee (or other committee serving an equivalent function) of any other entity, one of whose executive officers served as a member of our board of directors or our compensation committee.

Code of Ethics and Business Conduct

The board of directors adopted a Code of Ethics and Business Conduct to be applicable to all officers, directors and employees. The Code of Ethics and Business Conduct is intended to be designed to deter wrong-doing and promote honest and ethical behavior, full, fair, timely, accurate and understandable disclosure, and compliance with applicable laws. In addition to provisions that are applicable to officers, directors and employees generally, the Code of Ethics and Business Conduct contains provisions that are specifically applicable to our Chief Executive Officer and senior financial officer(s). The Code of Ethics and Business Conduct is available on our website at www.ziopharm.com and a copy may be obtained without charge upon written request to our Secretary at our principal executive offices at One First Avenue, Parris Building 34, Navy Yard Plaza, First Floor, Boston, Massachusetts 02129. Our website and its contents are not incorporated into this proxy statement.

Audit Committee Report

The audit committee has reviewed our audited financial statements for the last fiscal year, and has discussed them with management and our independent registered public accounting firm.

Specifically, the audit committee has discussed with our independent registered public accounting firm the matters required to be discussed by the Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended, as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200T.

The audit committee has received and reviewed the written disclosures and the letter from our independent registered public accounting firm required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent registered public accounting firm's communications with the audit committee concerning independence, and has discussed with our independent registered public accounting firm their independence.

The audit committee, based on the review and discussions described above with management and our independent registered public accounting firm, has recommended to the board of directors that the audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014 be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for such fiscal year for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

AUDIT COMMITTEE

James A. Cannon

Wyche Fowler, Jr.

Michael Weiser

This report is not soliciting material, is not deemed filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and is not to be incorporated by reference in any of our filings under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, whether before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language in any such filing.

Table of Contents

Compensation Committee Report

The compensation committee has reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis portion contained in this proxy statement. Based on this review and discussion, the compensation committee has recommended to the board of directors, and the board has agreed, that the section entitled "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" as it appears above be included in this proxy statement.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

Murray Brennan

Timothy McInerney

Michael Weiser

This report is not soliciting material, is not deemed filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and is not to be incorporated by reference in any of our filings under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, whether before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language in any such filing.

Table of Contents**DIRECTOR COMPENSATION****Compensatory Arrangements with Outside Directors**

Under our director compensation policy, each non-employee director is entitled to the following:

an annual retainer fee of \$40,000 for service on the board;

additional annual retainer fees for board committee service as follows:

	Chair	Other Member
Audit Committee	\$ 15,000	\$ 8,000
Compensation Committee	10,000	6,000
Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee	6,000	4,000

The non-executive Lead Director also receives further annualized cash compensation of \$15,000. All cash retainers are paid on a quarterly basis in arrears to non-employee directors who continue to serve as members of the board on the last business day of each calendar quarter.

At the end of each calendar year, each non-employee director receives annual equity incentive grants comprised of restricted shares of our common stock and/or options to purchase shares of our common stock. The number of restricted shares and options comprising each grant is determined by the board prior to the end of each calendar year upon recommendation from the compensation committee. On December 31, 2014, the board determined to make an equity incentive grant to each non-employee director of, at each director's election, either options to purchase 25,000 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$5.07 per share, the fair market value of our common stock on the grant date, or such number of restricted shares of our common stock with a value equal to the foregoing options to purchase 25,000 shares of our common stock using the Black-Scholes methodology. Drs. Brennan and Weiser each elected to receive options to purchase 25,000 shares of our common stock. Senator Fowler and Messrs. Cannon, Kirk and McInerney each elected to receive 16,707 shares of restricted stock. The stock options vest on the one-year anniversary of the grant date and the restricted stock is subject to transfer and forfeiture restrictions that lapse on the one-year anniversary of the grant date.

In addition, effective upon a director's initial election to the board, he or she receives 25,000 restricted shares of our common stock and an option to purchase 25,000 shares of our common stock at a price equal to the fair market value of the common stock on the grant date. The restricted stock are subject to transfer and forfeiture restrictions that lapse on the one-year anniversary of the grant date and the stock option vests in three equal annual installments.

As set forth in its written charter, the compensation committee annually, or more frequently if deemed advisable, reviews director compensation practices and recommends any changes for adoption by the full board. As such, the director compensation described above is subject to change at the discretion of the board.

2014 Non-Employee Director Compensation

The following table provides information regarding non-employee director compensation for 2014.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in	Option Awards ⁽¹⁾	Stock Awards ⁽¹⁾	Total
	Cash (\$)			
Murray Brennan, M.D.	\$ 63,000	\$ 91,160		\$ 154,160
James A. Cannon	\$ 55,000		\$ 84,704	\$ 139,704
Wyche Fowler, Jr.	\$ 52,000		\$ 84,704	\$ 136,704
Randal J. Kirk	\$ 35,500		\$ 84,704	\$ 120,204

Edgar Filing: ZIOPHARM ONCOLOGY INC - Form DEF 14A

Timothy McInerney	\$ 56,500		\$ 84,704	\$ 141,204
Michael Weiser, M.D., Ph.D.	\$ 60,000	\$ 91,160		\$ 151,160

Table of Contents

- (1) The amounts shown represent compensation expense recognized for financial statement purposes under ACS Topic 718. Pursuant to SEC rules, the amounts shown exclude the impact of estimated forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions. For a discussion of the assumptions relating to our valuations of these restricted stock awards and stock options, please see Note 3 to the financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014 filed with the SEC on February 26, 2014. These amounts reflect our accounting expense for these restricted stock awards and stock options and do not correspond to the actual value that may be recognized by the directors. As of December 31, 2014:

Dr. Brennan held options to purchase 232,500 shares at a weighted average exercise price of \$4.30 per share, of which 182,500 shares had vested. In addition, Dr. Brennan held 52,500 shares of restricted stock, of which 52,500 shares have had transfer and forfeiture restrictions lapse.

Mr. Cannon held options to purchase 132,500 shares at a weighted average exercise price of \$4.16 per share, of which 132,500 shares had vested. In addition, Mr. Cannon held 138,148 shares of restricted stock, of which 115,592 shares have had transfer and forfeiture restrictions lapse.

Senator Fowler held options to purchase 157,500 shares at a weighted average exercise price of \$4.20 per share, of which 149,167 shares had vested. In addition, Senator Fowler held 120,571 shares of restricted stock, of which 98,015 shares have had transfer and forfeiture restrictions lapse.

Mr. Kirk held options to purchase 25,000 shares at an exercise price of \$5.21 per share, of which 25,000 shares had vested. In addition, Mr. Kirk held 95,648 shares of restricted stock, of which 73,092 shares have had transfer and forfeiture restrictions lapse.

Mr. McInerney held options to purchase 172,529 shares at a weighted average exercise price of \$4.21 per share, of which 172,529 shares had vested. In addition, Mr. McInerney held 120,571 shares of restricted stock, of which 98,015 shares have had transfer and forfeiture restrictions lapse.

Dr. Weiser held options to purchase 182,500 shares at a weighted average exercise price of \$4.31 per share, of which 157,500 shares had vested. In addition, Dr. Weiser held 102,623 shares of restricted stock, of which 96,774 shares have had transfer and forfeiture restrictions lapse.

Table of Contents

LIMITATION OF LIABILITY AND INDEMNIFICATION

Our charter limits the personal liability of directors for breach of fiduciary duty to the maximum extent permitted by the Delaware corporation law. The charter provides that no director will have personal liability to us or to stockholders for monetary damages for breach of fiduciary duty as a director. These provisions do not, however, eliminate or limit the liability of any of the directors for:

any breach of the director's duty of loyalty to us or our stockholders;

any act or omission not in good faith or that involves intentional misconduct or a knowing violation of law;

voting or assenting to unlawful payments of dividends, stock repurchases or other distributions; or

any transaction from which the director derived an improper personal benefit.

Any amendment, repeal or modification of these provisions will not adversely affect any right or protection of a director in respect of any act or omission occurring prior to such amendment, repeal or modification. If the Delaware corporation law is amended to provide for further limitations on the personal liability of directors of corporations, then the personal liability of the directors will be further limited to the greatest extent permitted by the Delaware corporation law.

The charter also provides that we must indemnify directors and officers in certain circumstances. We believe this provision is important in attracting and retaining qualified individuals to serve as directors and executive officers.

We maintain director and officer insurance providing for indemnification of our directors and officers for certain liabilities, including certain liabilities under the Securities Act. We also maintain a general liability insurance policy that covers certain liabilities of directors and officers arising out of claims based on acts or omissions in their capacities as directors or officers. We have also entered into indemnification agreements with each of our directors and named executive officers.

There is no pending litigation or proceeding involving any director or executive officer to which indemnification is required or permitted, and we are not aware of any threatened litigation or proceeding that may result in a claim for indemnification.

Table of Contents

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

The following discussion relates to certain transactions that involve both the Company and one of its executive officers, directors, director nominees or five-percent stockholders, each of whom we refer to as a related party. For purposes of this discussion, a related-party transaction is a transaction, arrangement or relationship:

in which we participate;

that involves an amount in excess of \$120,000; and

in which a related party has a direct or indirect material interest.

Related-Party Transaction Policy

In April 2012, the board of directors adopted our initial written policies and procedures for the review of any related-party transaction. If a related party proposes to enter into a related-party transaction, the related party must report the proposed related-party transaction to the chief legal officer. The policy calls for the proposed related-party transaction to be reviewed and, if deemed appropriate, approved by the audit committee. Whenever practicable, the review and approval by the audit committee will occur prior to entry into the related-party transaction. If advance review and approval is not practicable, the audit committee will review, and, in its discretion, may ratify the related-party transaction. The policy also permits the chair of the audit committee to review and, if deemed appropriate, approve proposed related-party transactions that arise between audit committee meetings, subject to ratification by the audit committee at its next meeting. Any related-party transactions that are ongoing in nature will be reviewed annually.

A related-party transaction reviewed under the policy will be considered approved or ratified if it is authorized by the committee after full disclosure of the related party's interest in the transaction. As appropriate for the circumstances, the committee will review and consider:

the related party's interest in the related-party transaction;

the approximate dollar value of the amount involved in the related-party transaction;

the approximate dollar value of the amount of the related party's interest in the transaction without regard to the amount of any profit or loss;

whether the transaction was undertaken in the ordinary course of our business;

whether the terms of the transaction are no less favorable to us than terms that could have been reached with an unrelated third party;

the purpose of, and the potential benefits to us of, the transaction; and

any other information regarding the related-party transaction or the related party in the context of the proposed transaction that would be material to investors in light of the circumstances of the particular transaction.

Edgar Filing: ZIOPHARM ONCOLOGY INC - Form DEF 14A

The audit committee may approve or ratify the transaction only if the audit committee determines that, under all of the circumstances, the transaction is in or is not inconsistent with our best interests. The audit committee may impose any conditions on the related-party transaction that it deems appropriate.

In addition to the transactions that are excluded by the instructions to the SEC's related-party transaction disclosure rule, the board has determined that the following transactions do not create a material direct or indirect interest on behalf of related parties and, therefore, are not related-party transactions for purposes of the policy:

interests arising solely from the related party's position as an executive officer of another entity (whether or not the person is also a director of such entity) that is a participant in the transaction, where

Table of Contents

(a) the related party and all other related parties own in the aggregate less than a 10% equity interest in such entity, (b) the related party and his or her immediate family members are not involved in the negotiation of the terms of the transaction and do not receive any special benefits as a result of the transaction, and (c) the amount involved in the transaction is less than the greater of \$200,000 and 5% of the annual gross revenues of the company receiving payment in the transaction; and

a transaction that is specifically contemplated by provisions of our charter or bylaws.

The policy provides that transactions involving compensation of executive officers shall be reviewed and approved by the compensation committee.

Certain Related-Party Transactions

Transactions with MD Anderson

On January 13, 2015, we, together with Intrexon, entered into a license agreement with MD Anderson, which we refer to as the MD Anderson License. Pursuant to the MD Anderson License, we and Intrexon hold an exclusive, worldwide license to certain technologies owned and licensed by MD Anderson including technologies relating to novel CAR-T cell therapies arising from the laboratory of Laurence Cooper, M.D., Ph.D., professor of pediatrics at MD Anderson, as well as either co-exclusive or non-exclusive licenses under certain related technologies. In partial consideration for entering into the MD Anderson License, we also entered into a letter agreement with Intrexon and MD Anderson on January 9, 2015, which we refer to as the MD Anderson Letter Agreement, as well as a Securities Issuance Agreement, which we refer to as the License Shares Securities Issuance Agreement, another Securities Issuance Agreement, which we refer to as the Incentive Shares Securities Issuance Agreement, and a Registration Rights Agreement, which we refer to as the MD Anderson Registration Rights Agreement, with MD Anderson on January 13, 2015. As a result of the common stock issued to MD Anderson in connection with these transactions, MD Anderson became a beneficial holder of more than five percent of our common stock.

Transactions with Ares Trading

On March 27, 2015, we, together with Intrexon, entered into a worldwide License and Collaboration Agreement with ARES Trading S.A., a company within the pharmaceutical business of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany, through which the parties established a collaboration for the research and development and commercialization of products for the prophylactic, therapeutic, palliative or diagnostic use for cancer in humans. Pursuant to the agreement and the Second Amendment to Exclusive Channel Partner Agreement with Intrexon described below, we and Intrexon will receive an aggregate of \$115 million in cash in upfront consideration, potential payments for development and commercial milestones on products produced under the agreement (up to \$826 million of such milestones for the first two products), and potential royalty payments based on net sales of products produced under the agreement.

Transactions with Intrexon

On January 12, 2011, Mr. Randal Kirk was appointed to our board of directors and is currently one of our principal stockholders, beneficially owning approximately 15.0% of our common stock as of the Record Date. Mr. Kirk serves as Chief Executive Officer of Intrexon, with which we entered into an Exclusive Channel Partner Agreement, or the Channel Agreement, on January 6, 2011. In partial consideration for entering into the Channel Agreement, we also entered into a Stock Purchase Agreement and Registration Rights Agreement with Intrexon on January 6, 2011.

Channel Agreement

The Channel Agreement governs a channel partnering arrangement in which we will use Intrexon's technology directed towards in vivo expression of effectors in connection with the development of clinical-stage

Table of Contents

product candidates and generally to research, develop and commercialize products, in each case in which DNA is administered to humans for expression of anti-cancer effectors for the purpose of treatment or prophylaxis of cancer. The Channel Agreement grants us a worldwide license to use specified patents and other intellectual property of Intrexon in connection with the research, development, use, importing, manufacture, sale, and offer for sale of products involving DNA administered to humans for expression of anti-cancer effectors for the purpose of treatment or prophylaxis of cancer, or the ZIOPHARM Products. Such license is exclusive with respect to any clinical development, selling, offering for sale or other commercialization of ZIOPHARM Products, and otherwise is non-exclusive. Subject to limited exceptions, we may not sublicense the rights described without Intrexon's written consent.

Subject to certain expense allocations and other offsets provided in the Channel Agreement, we are required pay to Intrexon 50% of the cumulative net quarterly profits derived from the sale of ZIOPHARM Products, calculated on a ZIOPHARM Product-by- ZIOPHARM Product basis. We have also agreed to pay Intrexon 50% of quarterly revenue obtained from a sublicensor in the event of a sublicensing arrangement.

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, we paid Intrexon approximately \$11.4 million, of which \$6.6 million was for preclinical and clinical research services already incurred and the remaining \$4.8 million was for services expected to be incurred within a year. This amount was included as part of prepaid expenses and other current assets on the balance sheet as of December 31, 2012. During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, we expensed \$7.8 million for services performed by Intrexon, of which \$4.8 million was applied to the prepaid balance in other current assets, \$2.4 million was paid to Intrexon and \$0.6 million was recorded in accrued expenses. As of December 31, 2013, the prepaid balance in other current assets on the accompanying balance sheet has been reduced to \$0. During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014, we expensed \$12.0 million for services performed by Intrexon, of which \$10.1 million was paid to Intrexon and \$1.9 million was recorded in accounts payable and accrued expenses. As of December 31, 2014, the prepaid balance in other current assets on the accompanying balance sheet has been reduced to \$0.

On March 27, 2015, we and Intrexon entered into a Second Amendment to Exclusive Channel Partner Agreement amending the Channel Agreement. The amendment modified the scope of the parties' collaboration under the Channel Agreement in connection with the license and collaboration agreement with Ares Trading, described above. The amendment provided that Intrexon will pay to the Company fifty percent of all payments that Intrexon receives for upfronts, milestones and royalties under the Ares Trading License and Collaboration Agreement, described above.

Stock Purchase Agreement and Registration Rights Agreement

On January 12, 2011, and pursuant to the Stock Purchase Agreement, Intrexon purchased 2,426,235 shares of our common stock in a private placement for a total purchase price of \$11,645,928. We simultaneously issued to Intrexon for no additional consideration an additional 3,636,926 shares of our common stock. Under the terms of the Stock Purchase Agreement, we agreed to issue to Intrexon an additional 3,636,926 shares of our common stock for no additional consideration under certain conditions upon dosing of the first patient in a ZIOPHARM-conducted U.S. Phase 2 clinical trial of a product candidate created, produced or developed by us using Intrexon technology. These shares were issued on November 7, 2012, and when issued, the purchase price for such shares was equal to the \$0.001 par value of such shares, which price was deemed paid in partial consideration for the execution and delivery of the Channel Agreement, in accordance with the terms of the Stock Purchase Agreement. Pursuant to the Registration Rights Agreement, on May 18, 2011 we filed a registration statement with the SEC registering the resale of the shares that we have issued or may issue to Intrexon under the Stock Purchase Agreement.

Also under the Stock Purchase Agreement, Intrexon has agreed that, subject to certain conditions and restrictions and limitations, it will purchase our securities in conjunction with qualified securities offerings that we conduct while the Channel Agreement remains in effect. In conjunction with a particular qualified offering,

Table of Contents

Intrexon has committed to purchase up to 19.99% of the securities offering and sold therein (exclusive of Intrexon's purchase) if requested to do so by us. However, Intrexon will not be obligated to purchase securities in a qualified securities offering unless we are then in substantial compliance with our obligations under the Channel Agreement and, with respect to a qualified securities offering that is completed following January 6, 2012, we confirm our intent that 40% of the offering's net proceeds shall have been spent, or in the next year will be spent, by us under the Channel Agreement. In the case of a qualified securities offering that is completed after January 6, 2013, Intrexon's purchase commitment is further limited to an amount equal to one-half of the proceeds spent or to be spent by us under the Channel Agreement. Intrexon's aggregate purchase commitment for all future qualified offerings was initially capped at \$50.0 million. We and Intrexon subsequently amended the Stock Purchase Agreement to clarify that gross proceeds from the sale of our securities to Intrexon in a qualified offering will apply against Intrexon's \$50.0 million purchase commitment regardless of whether Intrexon participates voluntarily or at our request. Intrexon's aggregate commitment under the Stock Purchase Agreement was subsequently reduced from \$50.0 million to \$43.5 million pursuant to the Second Amendment to Exclusive Channel Partner Agreement that the parties entered into on March 27, 2015 described above. As of the date of the amendment, Intrexon had purchased the full \$43.5 million of our common stock and has satisfied its purchase commitment to us in full.

Public Offering Participation

In February 2011, we completed a registered public offering of common stock in which Intrexon purchased 1,190,000 shares at a purchase price of \$5.57 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$10.6 million. In February 2012, we completed a registered public offering of common stock in which Intrexon purchased 1,923,075 shares at a purchase price of \$5.20 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$10.0 million. In October 2013, we completed a registered public offering of common stock in which Intrexon purchased 2,857,143 shares at a purchase price of \$3.50 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$10.0 million. In February 2015, we completed a registered public offering of common stock in which Intrexon purchased 1,440,000 shares at a purchase price of \$8.75 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of \$12.2 million. As a result of these purchases, and following the entry into the Second Amendment to Exclusive Channel Partner Agreement in March 2015, Intrexon's equity purchase commitment under the Stock Purchase Agreement has been satisfied in full.

Employment and Indemnification Agreements

Employment Agreements

We have entered into employment agreements with our certain of our executive officers. See *Executive Compensation* and *Employment and Change in Control Agreements*.

Stock Option Grants to Executive Officers and Directors

We have granted stock options to our executive officers and our non-employee directors. See *Executive Compensation* and *Director Compensation*.

Indemnification Agreements with Executive Officers and Directors

We have entered into an indemnification agreement with each of our directors and executive officers. These indemnification agreements and our certificate of incorporation and our bylaws indemnify each of our directors and officers to the fullest extent permitted by Delaware General Corporation Law. See *Limitation of Liability and Indemnification*.

Table of Contents**STOCK OWNERSHIP****Directors, Officers and Principal Stockholders**

The following table sets forth information with respect to the beneficial ownership of common stock as of April 22, 2015 for:

each beneficial owner of more than five percent of the outstanding common stock;

each of the directors and named executive officers; and

all of the directors and executive officers as a group.

Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC. These rules generally attribute beneficial ownership of securities to persons who possess sole or shared voting power or investment power with respect to those securities, or have the right to acquire such powers within 60 days. Common stock subject to options or warrants that are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of April 22, 2015 are deemed to be outstanding and beneficially owned by the person holding the options or warrants. These shares, however, are not deemed outstanding for the purposes of computing the percentage ownership of any other person. Except as otherwise indicated, all persons listed below have sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares beneficially owned by them, subject to applicable community property laws. Percentage ownership calculations are based on 128,268,758 shares outstanding as of April 22, 2015. Addresses of individuals are in care of ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc., One First Avenue, Parris Building 34, Navy Yard Plaza, First Floor, Boston, Massachusetts 02129.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Outstanding Shares Beneficially Owned	Right to Acquire Within 60 Days of April 22, 2015	Total Shares Beneficially Owned	Percentage
5% Stockholders:				
Intrexon Corporation ⁽¹⁾ 20358 Seneca Meadows Parkway Germantown, MD 20876	17,830,305		17,830,305	13.9%
The Board of Regents of the University of Texas System ⁽²⁾ 7007 Bertner Avenue Houston, TX 77030-3907	11,722,163		11,722,163	9.1
Directors and Executive Officers:				
Randal J. Kirk	19,272,415 ⁽³⁾	25,000	19,297,415	15.0.0
Murray Brennan	52,500	199,167	251,667	*
James A. Cannon	301,124	132,500	433,624	*
Wyche Fowler, Jr.	113,366	157,500	270,866	*
Timothy McInerney	372,120	172,529	544,649	*
Michael Weiser	166,934	157,500	324,434	*
Jonathan Lewis, M.D., Ph.D.	741,512 ⁽⁴⁾	1,331,265	2,072,777	1.6.6
Caesar J. Belbel	9,201	426,667	435,868	*
Kevin G. Lafond	26,666	92,501	119,167	*
Francois Lebel, M.D.		101,667	101,667	*
All directors and executive officers as a group (10 persons)	21,055,838	2,796,296	23,852,134	18.2

* Less than one percent.

(1) Mr. Kirk, directly and through certain affiliates, has voting and dispositive power over a majority of the outstanding capital stock of Intrexon, and has shared voting and dispositive power over the shares held by Intrexon. Mr. Kirk disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares held by Intrexon, except to the extent of any pecuniary interest therein.

Table of Contents

- (2) Based solely on a Schedule 13G, filed with the SEC on March 24, 2015. The shares held by The Board of Regents of the University of Texas System (the Regents) were issued to The Regents as consideration for the licensing of certain technology to the Company pursuant to an agreement among the Company, Intrexon and the Regents, on behalf of The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center (the License Agreement). Pursuant to an Investment Management Services Agreement with the Regents, the University of Texas Investment Management Co. (UTIMCO), an institutional investment advisor, holds the shares on behalf of the Regents and is authorized to exercise investment discretion and voting power with respect to such shares on behalf of the Regents. Accordingly, the Regents and UTIMCO share beneficial ownership of the shares.
- (3) Consists of (i) 1,346,462 shares held by Kapital Joe, LLC, an affiliate of Randal J. Kirk, (ii) 95,648 shares over which Randal J. Kirk has sole voting and dispositive power and (iii) the shares described in footnote (1). Mr. Kirk has shared voting and dispositive power over the shares held by Kapital Joe, LLC and the shares described in footnote (1). Mr. Kirk disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares held by Kapital Joe, LLC and the shares described in footnote (1), except to the extent of any pecuniary interest therein.
- (4) Includes 736,062 shares which have been pledged as security and 450 shares held in custodial accounts for Mr. Lewis' minor children.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires our directors and executive officers, as well as stockholders beneficially owning more than ten percent of the outstanding common stock, to file with the SEC initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership with respect to common stock. All of these reporting persons are required by SEC regulations to furnish us with copies of all reports they file with the SEC pursuant to Section 16(a).

Based solely on our review of the copies of such forms received by us and written representations of our directors and executive officers received by us, we believe each reporting person filed on a timely basis all of the reports required to be filed pursuant to Section 16(a) in 2014.

Table of Contents**INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FEES AND OTHER MATTERS****Audit and Related Fees**

McGladrey LLP served as our independent registered public accounting firm for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013. The following table presents the collective fees billed by McGladrey LLP during such years. These fees relate to professional services rendered for (a) audits of our financial statements, (b) reviews of our quarterly financial statements and (c) services related to other regulatory filings:

Fee Category	2014	2013
Audit fees	\$ 160,000	\$ 249,620
All other fees	\$ 52,100 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 88,950 ⁽²⁾

- (1) \$40,000 of such fees were incurred in connection with the preparation of a registration statement and an annual report by Intrexon that incorporated our financial statements. Intrexon reimbursed us for all of such costs. \$12,100 of such fees were incurred in connection with other filings and special accounting services.
- (2) \$42,439 of such fees were incurred in connection with the preparation of a registration statement by Intrexon that incorporated our financial statements. Intrexon reimbursed us for all of such costs. \$31,511 of such fees were incurred in connection with special accounting services and \$15,000 of such fees were incurred in connection with a contemplated corporate transaction that we ultimately decided not to pursue.

We did not incur any fees of McGladrey LLP for audit-related, tax or other services in 2014 or 2013.

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policy and Procedures

The audit committee charter provides that all audit and non-audit accounting services that are permitted to be performed by our independent registered public accounting firm under applicable rules and regulations must be pre-approved by the audit committee or by designated independent members of the audit committee, other than with respect to de minimis exceptions permitted under Section 202 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. All services performed by McGladrey LLP in 2014 and 2013 have been pre-approved in accordance with the charter.

Prior to or as soon as practicable following the beginning of each fiscal year, a description of audit, audit-related, tax, and other services expected to be performed by the independent registered public accounting firm in the following fiscal year is presented to the audit committee for approval. Following such approval, any requests for audit, audit-related, tax, and other services not presented and pre-approved must be submitted to the audit committee for specific pre-approval and cannot commence until such approval has been granted. Normally, pre-approval is provided at regularly scheduled meetings. However, the authority to grant specific pre-approval between meetings, as necessary, may be delegated to one or more members of the audit committee who are independent directors. In the event such authority is so delegated, the full audit committee must be updated at the next regularly scheduled meeting with respect to any services that were granted specific pre-approval by delegation. During 2014, the audit committee functioned in conformance with these procedures.

HOUSEHOLDING OF PROXY MATERIALS

The SEC has adopted rules that permit companies and intermediaries (such as brokers) to satisfy the delivery requirements for Notices of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or other annual meeting materials with respect to two or more stockholders sharing the same address by delivering a single Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or other annual meeting materials addressed to those stockholders. This process, which is commonly referred to as householding, potentially means extra convenience for stockholders and cost savings for companies.

Table of Contents

This year, a number of brokers with account holders who are our stockholders will be householding our proxy materials. A single Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or other annual meeting materials will be delivered to multiple stockholders sharing an address unless contrary instructions have been received from the affected stockholders. Once you have received notice from your broker that they will be householding communications to your address, householding will continue until you are notified otherwise or until you revoke your consent. If, at any time, you no longer wish to participate in householding and would prefer to receive a separate Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or other Annual Meeting materials, please notify your broker. Direct your written request to ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc., One First Avenue, Parris Building 34, Navy Yard Plaza, First Floor, Boston, Massachusetts 02129, Attention: Secretary or contact our Secretary at (617) 259-1970. Stockholders who currently receive multiple copies of the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or other Annual Meeting materials at their addresses and would like to request householding of their communications should contact their brokers.

Table of Contents

OTHER MATTERS

The board of directors knows of no other matters that will be presented for consideration at the annual meeting. If any other matters are properly brought before the annual meeting, it is the intention of the persons named in the accompanying proxy to vote on such matters in accordance with their best judgment.

By Order of the Board of Directors

ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.

Caesar J. Belbel

Executive Vice President, Chief Legal Officer and Secretary

Table of Contents

ZIOPHARM ONCOLOGY, INC.

ATTN: CORPORATE SECRETARY

ONE FIRST AVENUE, PARRIS BLDG #34

NAVY YARD PLAZA

BOSTON, MA 02129

VOTE BY INTERNET - www.proxyvote.com

Use the Internet to transmit your voting instructions and for electronic delivery of information up until 11:59 P.M. Eastern Time the day before the cut-off date or meeting date. Have your proxy card in hand when you access the web site and follow the instructions to obtain your records and to create an electronic voting instruction form.

ELECTRONIC DELIVERY OF FUTURE PROXY MATERIALS

If you would like to reduce the costs incurred by our company in mailing proxy materials, you can consent to receiving all future proxy statements, proxy cards and annual reports electronically via e-mail or the Internet. To sign up for electronic delivery, please follow the instructions above to vote using the Internet and, when prompted, indicate that you agree to receive or access proxy materials electronically in future years.

VOTE BY PHONE - 1-800-690-6903

Use any touch-tone telephone to transmit your voting instructions up until 11:59 P.M. Eastern Time the day before the cut-off date or meeting date. Have your proxy card in hand when you call and then follow the instructions.

VOTE BY MAIL

Mark, sign and date your proxy card and return it in the postage-paid envelope we have provided or return it to Vote Processing, c/o Broadridge, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, NY 11717.

TO VOTE, MARK BLOCKS BELOW IN BLUE OR BLACK INK AS FOLLOWS:

**KEEP THIS PORTION FOR YOUR RECORDS
DETACH AND RETURN THIS PORTION ONLY
THIS PROXY CARD IS VALID ONLY WHEN SIGNED AND DATED.**

The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR the following:

	For All	Withhold All	For All Except	To withhold authority to vote for any individual nominee(s), mark For All Except and write the number(s) of the nominee(s) on the line below.
	

1. Election of Directors Nominees

- | | | | |
|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| 01 Jonathan Lewis | 02 Murray Brennan | 03 James A. Cannon | 04 Wyche Fowler, Jr. |
| 05 Randal J. Kirk | | | |
| 06 Michael Weiser | | | |

The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR proposals 2 and 3.

For Against Abstain

- | | | | |
|--|----|----|----|
| 2. To ratify the appointment of McGladrey LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2015; and | .. | .. | .. |
| 3. To approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers as identified in the proxy statement for the annual meeting | .. | .. | .. |

NOTE: To transact any other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournments or postponements thereof.

For address change/comments, mark here. (see reverse for instructions) **Yes No**

Please indicate if you plan to attend this meeting **.. ..**

Please sign exactly as your name(s) appear(s) hereon. When signing as attorney, executor, administrator, or other fiduciary, please give full title as such. Joint owners should each sign personally. All holders must sign. If a corporation or partnership, please sign in full corporate or partnership name, by authorized

officer.

Signature [PLEASE SIGN
WITHIN BOX]

Date

Signature (Joint Owners)

Date

Table of Contents

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting: The Notice & Proxy Statement, Form 10-K, Shareholder Letter is/are available at www.proxyvote.com.

ZIOPHARM ONCOLOGY, INC.

Annual Meeting of Stockholders

June 17, 2015 10:00 AM

This proxy is solicited by the Board of Directors

The stockholder(s) hereby appoint(s) Jonathan Lewis and Caesar J. Belbel or either of them, as proxies, each with the power to appoint his substitute, and hereby authorizes them to represent and to vote, as designated on the reverse side of this ballot, all of the shares of common stock of ZIOPHARM ONCOLOGY, INC. that the stockholder(s) is/are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting of stockholder(s) to be held at 10:00 AM, EDT on 6/17/2015, at the principal executive offices of the Company at One First Avenue, Parris Bulding 34, Navy Yard Plaza, First Floor, Boston, Massachusetts 02129, and any adjournment or postponement thereof.

This proxy, when properly executed, will be voted in the manner directed herein. If no such direction is made, this proxy will be voted in accordance with the Board of Directors recommendations.

Address change/comments:

(If you noted any Address Changes and/or Comments above, please mark corresponding box on the reverse side.)

Continued and to be signed on reverse side