

HORTON D R INC /DE/
Form DEF 14A
December 09, 2016
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a)

of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

D.R. Horton, Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Edgar Filing: HORTON D R INC /DE/ - Form DEF 14A

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the form or schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To Be Held On

Thursday, January 19, 2017

Dear Fellow Stockholder of D.R. Horton:

You are invited to attend the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of D.R. Horton, *America's Builder*. Our 2017 Annual Meeting will be held at our corporate offices located at: D.R. Horton Tower, 301 Commerce Street, Fort Worth, Texas 76102, on Thursday, January 19, 2017, at 10:00 a.m., central time, for the following purposes:

To elect the five directors named in our proxy statement;

To seek an advisory vote on the approval of executive compensation;

To ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm; and

To conduct other business properly brought before the meeting.

Only stockholders of record at the close of business on Monday, November 28, 2016, are entitled to notice of and to vote at the 2017 Annual Meeting or any adjournment thereof.

While we would like to have each of you attend the meeting and vote your shares in person, we realize this may not be possible. However, whether or not you plan to attend the meeting, your vote is very important. For convenience of our stockholders, proxies may be given either by telephone, electronically through the Internet, or by mail.

A form of proxy on which to indicate your vote by mail and an envelope, postage prepaid, in which to return your proxy are enclosed. **WE URGE YOU TO COMPLETE AND RETURN YOUR PROXY BY ONE OF THESE METHODS SO THAT YOUR SHARES WILL BE REPRESENTED.** If you decide later to attend the 2017 Annual Meeting, you may revoke your proxy at that time and vote your shares in person. If you desire any additional information concerning the 2017 Annual Meeting, we would be glad to hear from you.

Very truly yours,

DONALD R. HORTON
Chairman of the Board

Fort Worth, Texas

December 9, 2016

Table of Contents**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
<u>NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS</u>	Cover Page
<u>GENERAL</u>	1
<u>Time, Place and Purposes of Meeting</u>	1
<u>Solicitation of Proxies</u>	1
<u>Revocation and Voting of Proxies</u>	1
<u>Outstanding Shares and Voting Rights</u>	2
<u>Quorum Requirement</u>	2
<u>Vote Required</u>	2
<u>Stockholders Sharing the Same Address</u>	3
<u>Future Stockholder Communications through the Internet</u>	4
<u>Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholder Meeting to be Held January 19, 2017</u>	4
<u>PROPOSAL ONE ELECTION OF DIRECTORS</u>	5
<u>Nominees for Director</u>	6
<u>Other Executive Officers</u>	7
<u>CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND BOARD MATTERS</u>	8
<u>Corporate Governance Standards</u>	8
<u>Board Leadership Structure, Board's Role in Risk Oversight and Board and Committee Meetings</u>	13
<u>Compensation of Directors</u>	18
<u>Director Compensation for Fiscal 2016</u>	19
<u>BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF COMMON STOCK</u>	20
<u>Management</u>	20
<u>Certain Other Beneficial Owners</u>	21
<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	22
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	22
<u>Advisory Vote and Process for Determining Compensation</u>	23
<u>Fiscal 2016 Outline of Executive Compensation Actions</u>	24
<u>Executive Compensation Objectives</u>	25
<u>Use of Compensation Peer Group Data</u>	25
<u>Components of Compensation</u>	26
<u>Compensation Committee Report</u>	41
<u>Executive Compensation Tables</u>	42
<u>Summary Compensation Table</u>	42
<u>Grants of Plan-Based Awards</u>	44
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End</u>	45
<u>Option Exercises and Stock Vested</u>	46
<u>Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plans</u>	47
<u>Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Table</u>	48
<u>Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control</u>	48
<u>Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control Table</u>	51
<u>CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS</u>	53
<u>COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION</u>	53

Table of Contents

	Page
<u>PROPOSAL TWO ADVISORY VOTE ON THE APPROVAL OF EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	54
<u>INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS</u>	55
<u>Audit Fees and All Other Fees</u>	55
<u>Policy on Audit Committee Pre-Approval of Audit and Permissible Non-Audit Services</u>	55
<u>Audit Committee Report</u>	56
<u>PROPOSAL THREE RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u>	57
<u>SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE</u>	58
<u>STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR 2018 ANNUAL MEETING</u>	58
<u>REQUESTING DOCUMENTS FROM THE COMPANY</u>	58
<u>OTHER MATTERS</u>	59

Table of Contents

D.R. Horton Tower

301 Commerce Street

Fort Worth, Texas 76102

www.drhorton.com

PROXY STATEMENT

for the

2017 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To Be Held On January 19, 2017

GENERAL

Time, Place and Purposes of Meeting

Our 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders will be held on Thursday, January 19, 2017, at 10:00 a.m., central time, at our corporate offices located at D.R. Horton Tower, 301 Commerce Street, Fort Worth, Texas. The purposes of the 2017 Annual Meeting are set forth in the Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders to which this Proxy Statement is attached. D.R. Horton, Inc. is referred to as *D.R. Horton*, the *Company*, *we*, and *our* in this Proxy Statement.

Solicitation of Proxies

This Proxy Statement is furnished in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors of D.R. Horton. D.R. Horton expects that this Proxy Statement and the accompanying form of proxy will first be released to our stockholders of record on or about December 9, 2016. The cost of this solicitation will be paid by D.R. Horton. The solicitation of proxies will be made primarily by use of the mail. In addition, directors, officers and regular employees of D.R. Horton may make solicitations without special compensation by telephone, facsimile, e-mail or personal interview. They may request banks, brokers, fiduciaries and other persons holding stock in their names, or in the names of their nominees, to forward proxies and proxy materials to their principals and obtain authorization for the execution and return of such proxies to management. D.R. Horton will reimburse such banks, brokers and fiduciaries for their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses for this service.

Revocation and Voting of Proxies

Stockholders may vote by marking, signing and dating each proxy card received and returning it in the prepaid envelope, by telephone or electronically through the Internet by following the instructions included on the enclosed proxy card or by casting votes in person at the meeting. The telephone and Internet voting procedures are designed to authenticate votes cast by use of a personal identification number. The procedures, which are designed to comply with Delaware law, allow stockholders to appoint a proxy to vote their shares and to confirm that their instructions have been properly recorded. Stockholders who hold shares in street name through a broker or other nominee may be able to vote by telephone or electronically through the Internet in accordance with the voting instructions provided by that institution.

Any proxy given may be revoked by a stockholder at any time before it is exercised by filing with D.R. Horton a notice in writing revoking it, by duly executing and returning a proxy bearing a later date or by voting by telephone or Internet. Proxies also may be revoked by any stockholder present at the 2017 Annual Meeting who expresses a desire to vote his or her shares in person. Each shareholder may appoint only one proxy holder or representative to attend the meeting on his or her behalf. If you require directions to our meeting, please contact Investor Relations at (817) 390-8200. Subject to such revocation and except as otherwise stated herein or in the form of proxy, all proxies duly executed and received prior to, or at the time of, the 2017 Annual

Table of Contents

Meeting will be voted in accordance with the specifications of the proxies. If no specification is made, proxies will be voted as follows: (i) FOR each of the nominees for election of directors (*see Proposal One on page 5*), (ii) FOR the adoption of the advisory resolution on executive compensation (*see Proposal Two on page 54*), (iii) FOR ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm (*see Proposal Three on page 57*), and at the discretion of the proxy holders on all other matters properly brought before the 2017 Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

Outstanding Shares and Voting Rights

November 28, 2016 has been set as the record date for the purpose of determining stockholders entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the 2017 Annual Meeting. There were 373,225,734 shares of D.R. Horton's common stock, \$.01 par value, issued and outstanding on the record date. On any matter submitted to a stockholder vote, each holder of common stock will be entitled to one vote, in person or by proxy, for each issued and outstanding share of common stock registered in his or her name on the books of D.R. Horton as of the record date. A list of such stockholders will be available for examination by any stockholder at the offices of D.R. Horton set forth above for at least ten days before the 2017 Annual Meeting.

Quorum Requirement

The D.R. Horton Bylaws provide that there will be a quorum if the holders of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of common stock entitled to vote are present in person or represented by proxy. The aggregate number of votes entitled to be cast by all stockholders present in person or represented by proxy at the 2017 Annual Meeting, whether those stockholders vote for, against or abstain from voting on any matter, will be counted for purposes of determining whether a quorum exists. Broker non-votes, which are described below under *Vote Required*, will be considered present for purposes of determining whether a quorum exists.

Vote Required

NOTICE: Brokers and banks are not permitted to vote on certain non-routine proposals without instructions from the beneficial owner, as discussed in more detail below. Proposal One and Proposal Two are non-routine proposals. Therefore, if your shares are held through a broker, bank or other nominee, your shares will not be voted on Proposal One or Proposal Two unless you provide voting instructions to your broker or bank as described herein.

If your shares are held in a brokerage account or by a bank or other nominee, you are considered the *beneficial owner* of shares held in *street name*. If a broker or bank holds your shares, you may have received this Proxy Statement directly from them, together with instructions as to how to direct the broker or bank to vote your shares. If you intend to have your vote counted, it is important that you return your voting instructions to your broker or bank. Under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange (*NYSE*), a broker or bank has the authority to vote on certain *routine* proposals without voting instructions from the beneficial owner. A *broker non -vote* occurs when the broker or bank is unable to vote on a *non-routine* proposal because it does not have discretionary authority and the beneficial owner has not provided voting instructions. Brokers or banks may not vote on Proposal One or Proposal Two at the 2017 Annual Meeting without voting instructions from the beneficial owner because those proposals are *non-routine* proposals. Brokers and banks may vote on Proposal Three at the 2017 Annual Meeting without voting instructions from the beneficial owner because this proposal is *routine*.

Table of Contents

The following table reflects the vote required for each proposal and the effect of broker non-votes and abstentions on the vote, assuming a quorum is present at the meeting:

Proposal	Vote Required	NYSE Routine and Non-Routine Matters: Effect of Broker Non-Votes and Abstentions
(1) Election of Directors	(1) The number of shares voted for a director must exceed the number of shares voted against that director	(1) <i>Non-Routine:</i> Brokers and banks do not have discretionary authority to vote on this proposal in the event voting instructions are not received from street-name holder Broker non-votes have no effect Abstentions have no effect
(2) Advisory vote on the approval of executive compensation	(2) An affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of our common stock which has voting power present in person or represented by proxy and is entitled to vote	(2) <i>Non-Routine:</i> Brokers and banks do not have discretionary authority to vote on this proposal in the event voting instructions are not received from street-name holder Broker non-votes have no effect Abstentions have the same effect as a vote against the proposal
(3) Ratification of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm	(3) An affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of our common stock which has voting power present in person or represented by proxy and is entitled to vote	(3) <i>Routine:</i> Brokers and banks have discretionary authority to vote on this proposal in the event voting instructions are not received from street-name holder Abstentions have the same effect as a vote against the proposal

Stockholders Sharing the Same Address

The broker, bank or other nominee of any stockholder who is a beneficial owner, but not the record holder, of the Company's common stock may deliver only one copy of this Proxy Statement and our Annual Report to multiple stockholders sharing an address, unless the broker, bank or nominee has received contrary instructions from one or more of the stockholders.

In addition, with respect to record holders, in some cases, only one copy of this Proxy Statement and our Annual Report will be delivered to multiple stockholders sharing an address, unless the Company has received contrary instructions from one or more of the stockholders. Upon written or oral request, the Company will deliver free of charge a separate copy of this Proxy Statement and our Annual Report to a stockholder at a shared address to which a single copy was delivered. You can notify your broker, bank or other nominee (if you are not the record holder) or the Company (if you are the record holder) that you wish to receive a separate copy of our proxy statements and annual reports in the future, or alternatively, that you wish to receive a single copy of the materials instead of multiple copies. The Company's contact information for these purposes is: D.R. Horton, Inc., Attention: Thomas B. Montano, Vice President, Corporate and Securities Counsel, 301 Commerce Street, Suite 500, Fort Worth, Texas 76102, telephone number: (817) 390-8200, or e-mail: tbmontano@drhorton.com.

Table of Contents

Future Stockholder Communications through the Internet

Stockholders may elect to receive future notices of meetings, proxy materials and annual reports electronically through the Internet. The consent of stockholders who have previously consented to electronic delivery will remain in effect until withdrawn. To consent to electronic delivery:

stockholders whose shares are registered in their own name, and not in street name through a broker or other nominee, may simply log in to www.proxyvote.com, the Internet site maintained by Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc. and follow the step-by-step instructions; and

stockholders whose shares are registered in street name through a broker or other nominee must first vote their shares using the Internet at: www.proxyvote.com, the Internet site maintained by Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc., and immediately after voting, fill out the consent form that appears on-screen at the end of the Internet voting procedure.

The consent to receive stockholder communications through the Internet may be withdrawn at any time to resume receiving stockholder communications in printed form.

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR

THE STOCKHOLDER MEETING TO BE HELD JANUARY 19, 2017

The Notice, Proxy Statement and Annual Report on Form 10-K are available at

<https://materials.proxyvote.com/23331A>

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL ONE

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Our Board of Directors currently consists of five members who were elected at the 2016 Annual Meeting and will serve until the 2017 Annual Meeting and until their successors have been elected and qualified.

The Nominating and Governance Committee recommended to the Board of Directors our five current directors as director nominees, each of whom is listed below under the heading *Nominees for Director*. After review and consideration by the Board of Directors, the Board nominated Donald R. Horton, Barbara K. Allen, Brad S. Anderson, Michael R. Buchanan and Michael W. Hewatt, as recommended by the Nominating and Governance Committee, for election as directors of D.R. Horton at the 2017 Annual Meeting.

Unless otherwise specified in the accompanying proxy, the shares voted by proxy will be voted for each of the persons named below as nominees for election as directors. Nominees who are elected as directors will be elected for one-year terms and will serve until the next annual meeting of stockholders and their successors have been elected and qualified. We do not know of any reason why any of the nominees would be unable to serve. However, if any of the nominees is unable to serve or for good cause will not serve as a director at the time of the 2017 Annual Meeting, the Board may designate a substitute nominee or reduce the size of the Board. If the Board designates a substitute nominee, the persons named as proxies may vote FOR that substitute nominee.

The D.R. Horton Bylaws require that to be elected, a director nominee must receive a majority of the votes cast with respect to such nominee in uncontested elections (the number of shares voted for a director nominee must exceed the number of votes cast against that nominee). In a contested election, where the number of nominees exceeds the number of directors to be elected (which is not the case at the 2017 Annual Meeting), the directors will be elected by a plurality of the shares present in person or by proxy and entitled to vote on the election of directors. Under the Corporate Governance Principles of the Company, any director who is not elected is required to tender his or her resignation to the Chairman of the Board within a reasonable time following certification of the vote. The Nominating and Governance Committee, which is composed of only independent directors, will consider the resignation offer and make a recommendation to the Board as to whether to accept or reject the resignation offer, or whether other action should be taken. The Board will act on the Nominating and Governance Committee's recommendation within 90 days following certification of the election results. Thereafter, the Board will promptly publicly disclose in a report filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (*SEC*) its decision regarding the director's resignation offer (including the reason(s) for rejecting the resignation offer, if applicable).

The Board of Directors Unanimously Recommends that Stockholders Vote FOR

Each of the Following Director Nominees.

Table of Contents

Nominees for Director

The following is a summary of certain information regarding the nominees for election as directors.

DONALD R. HORTON, age 66, director since 1991. Mr. Horton has been executive Chairman of the Board of D.R. Horton since it was formed in July 1991, and he was President and CEO from July 1991 through November 1998. He has been involved in the real estate and homebuilding industries since 1972, and he was the founder, sole or principal stockholder, director and president of each of D.R. Horton's predecessor companies since their respective organization, which date from 1978 to 1990.

Key Director Qualifications. Mr. Horton's 38 years of extensive experience in the homebuilding industry provides valuable leadership to the Board and to the Company. Mr. Horton brings to the Board his experience as founder of the Company, Chairman of the Board and former CEO and President of the Company and its predecessor companies. Mr. Horton is also the largest individual stockholder of the Company. As founder of the Company, Mr. Horton has a unique understanding of all phases of the homebuilding business. Mr. Horton's leadership and strategic vision provides the Board and the Company with distinct advantages in the homebuilding industry.

BARBARA K. ALLEN, age 70, director since 2014. Ms. Allen has significant experience researching, analyzing and making investment decisions related to housing-related companies. Ms. Allen retired from Avondale Partners in July 2006 where she was a Partner and Housing, Construction and Retailing Analyst. From February 1997 through December 2004, she was the Home Construction, Building Materials, Home Furnishing and DIY (Do It Yourself) Retailing Analyst for Natexis Bleichroeder, Inc. Ms. Allen was a Vice President, Equity Research for Donaldson, Lufkin & Jenrette from January 1993 through January 1996. She served in other roles at Oppenheimer & Company, Kidder, Peabody, Inc., and Prudential Securities prior to January 1993. Ms. Allen has been a member of the Audit, Compensation and Nominating and Governance Committees since 2014.

Key Director Qualifications. Ms. Allen's extensive experience working as an analyst and consultant with housing-related companies provides valuable knowledge to the Board with regard to strategic decisions, including investment, operating and financing matters.

BRAD S. ANDERSON, age 55, director since 1998. Mr. Anderson has been an Executive Vice President of CBRE Group, Inc., formerly CB Richard Ellis, Inc., an international real estate brokerage company, since 2009, and he has held various positions in Phoenix, Arizona with its predecessor, CB Commercial Real Estate Group, Inc., since January 1987. He served as Interim Chairman of the Board of Continental Homes Holding Corp. from October 1997 through April 1998, when it merged into D.R. Horton, and he became a director of D.R. Horton at that time. Mr. Anderson has been a member of both the Audit and Compensation Committees since 1998, and he has been a member of the Nominating and Governance Committee since November 2003.

Key Director Qualifications. Mr. Anderson's extensive experience working with an international real estate brokerage company allows him to bring beneficial insight and perspective to the Board, as a number of factors that affect the real estate brokerage industry also affect the homebuilding industry. Mr. Anderson also brings to the Board his valuable experience of formerly serving on another public homebuilding company's board and serving on the Company's Board and its Committees since 1998.

MICHAEL R. BUCHANAN, age 69, director since 2003. Mr. Buchanan has significant commercial banking experience with several banking institutions serving the real estate and homebuilding sectors. He retired from commercial banking in March 2002. From March 2002 to March 2003, Mr. Buchanan was engaged as a senior advisor to Banc of America Securities. From 1998 to March 2002, Mr. Buchanan was a Managing Director of Bank of America, an executive officer position in which he was head of its national real estate banking group. From 1990 to 1998, Mr. Buchanan was an Executive Vice President of NationsBank, which later merged with Bank of America. Mr. Buchanan is also a member of the Board of Directors and a member of the capital committee and the audit committee of Piedmont Office Realty Trust, Inc., a real estate investment trust publicly traded on the NYSE. Mr. Buchanan was appointed to our Board's Audit Committee in July 2003, Nominating and Governance Committee in November 2003 and Compensation Committee in January 2004.

Table of Contents

Key Director Qualifications. Mr. Buchanan is a highly experienced commercial banker who served the real estate and homebuilding sectors. His experience in these areas allows him to provide the Board with both a broad-based and a granular perspective on the homebuilding industry. Mr. Buchanan also brings his experience of serving on the board of a real estate investment trust, thereby providing the Board with additional perspective on the real estate industry and serving on a board of directors.

MICHAEL W. HEWATT, age 67, director since 2005. Mr. Hewatt is a certified public accountant performing auditing and tax services as a sole practitioner. He has worked for Hewatt & Associates or its predecessor firms since 1980. From 1971 to 1979, Mr. Hewatt worked in the tax and audit areas at Coopers & Lybrand (now PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP) and was an audit manager for five years during that period. Mr. Hewatt is a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, former member of the board of directors of the Texas Society of Certified Public Accountants and former President of the Texas Society of Certified Public Accountants Fort Worth Chapter. Mr. Hewatt has been a director of D.R. Horton since 2005 and has been a member of the Audit, Compensation and Nominating and Governance Committees since that time.

Key Director Qualifications. Mr. Hewatt has extensive experience working as a certified public accountant for a national and local firm. This experience enables Mr. Hewatt to provide valuable perspective on accounting, auditing and tax matters to the Board and its Committees.

Other Executive Officers

DAVID V. AULD, age 60, is President and Chief Executive Officer of D.R. Horton, positions he has held since October 2014. Mr. Auld was Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer from November 2013 through October 2014. Mr. Auld was Region President overseeing the Company's homebuilding operations in Florida, North and South Carolina, Georgia and Alabama from 2005 to 2013. From 1988 to 2005, Mr. Auld served as the Division President of the Company and its predecessor's Orlando Division. Prior to 1988, Mr. Auld worked for Texas American Bank and General Dynamics. Mr. Auld graduated from Texas Tech University in 1978 with a bachelor of business administration degree in accounting.

MICHAEL J. MURRAY, age 50, is Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of D.R. Horton, positions he has held since October 2014. Mr. Murray served as Senior Vice President of Business Development from 2012 through October 2014. From 2004 to 2012, Mr. Murray served as the Company's Vice President and Controller after joining the Company in 2002 as the Director of Internal Audit. He began his career at Price Waterhouse LLP (now PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP) and then worked at several other companies in finance and accounting roles prior to joining the Company. Mr. Murray graduated from the University of Texas at Arlington in 1988 with a bachelor of business administration degree in accounting.

BILL W. WHEAT, age 50, is Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of D.R. Horton, positions he has held since 2003. Mr. Wheat was the Company's Senior Vice President and Controller from 2000 through 2003, after joining the Company in 1998 as an Accounting Manager. Mr. Wheat also served as a member of the Board of Directors of the Company from October 2003 through January 2011. Mr. Wheat began his career at Price Waterhouse LLP (now PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP) and then worked at The Bombay Company in several financial and accounting roles prior to joining the Company. Mr. Wheat graduated from Baylor University in 1988 with a bachelor of business administration degree in accounting and finance.

Table of Contents**CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND BOARD MATTERS****Corporate Governance Standards**

Our Board of Directors has adopted a number of standards to comply with requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (*the Sarbanes-Oxley Act*) and the final rules of the NYSE and SEC relating to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (*the Dodd-Frank Act*) and other corporate governance matters. Our Board has adopted the D.R. Horton Corporate Governance Principles which contain a number of corporate governance initiatives designed to comply with the NYSE listing standards (*the NYSE Rules*) and the rules and regulations of the SEC (*the SEC Rules*) relating to corporate governance. The significant corporate governance initiatives adopted by the Board of Directors are discussed below. The Corporate Governance Principles can be found under the Investor Relations and Corporate Governance links on our website at www.drhorton.com.

Qualifications and Characteristics for Directors

The Nominating and Governance Committee utilizes a variety of methods for identifying nominees for director, including considering potential director candidates who come to the Committee's attention through current officers, directors, professional search firms, stockholders or other persons. Once a potential nominee has been identified, the Nominating and Governance Committee evaluates whether the nominee has appropriate qualifications and characteristics to become a director in light of the current make-up of the Board of Directors. We do not have a formal or informal diversity policy regarding the selection or qualification of directors. We believe that appropriate director qualifications and characteristics include having directors with diverse backgrounds, education, experiences, expertise and perspectives. These qualifications and characteristics are discussed below.

Key Qualifications and Experiences. As a leading national homebuilding company, we believe certain qualifications and experiences are important to the overall composition of our Board. We do not require that each director possess each of the qualifications listed below, but rather we look to whether our Board as a whole possesses these qualifications.

Real Estate Experience. We seek to have directors with expertise or key experience in the real estate industry, which includes experience in homebuilding, land development, real estate brokerage and sales, commercial development and leasing, financing and banking in the real estate industry or experience in analyzing or consulting in these key areas. These key qualifications enable our Board to understand key operational aspects related to our business of running a national homebuilding company.

Business, Management, Accounting and Finance Experience. We seek to have directors with expertise or key experience in business, management, accounting, finance or similar positions. We believe these key qualifications are important to the Board as it oversees risks in the Company's key functional areas of homebuilding operations, financing and liquidity, financial reporting, internal control and regulatory compliance, and compensation.

Strategic Vision and Leadership. We seek to have directors with expertise or key experiences in positions that require strategic vision, leadership and decision making. We believe directors acquire these key qualifications through experience as executives, managers, entrepreneurs, business owners, directors, consultants, analysts or advisors. We believe these key qualifications are important to the Board, as directors with these attributes provide sound business judgment, leadership and strategic vision to the Board and the Company.

The key qualifications possessed by our nominees are discussed under each nominee's name and profile beginning on page 6.

Key Characteristics. In addition to the key qualifications and experiences discussed above, we also believe each member of the Board of Directors should have the following minimum characteristics:

high personal and professional ethical standards, integrity and values;

commitment to representing the long-term interests of the stockholders;

Table of Contents

practical wisdom, mature judgment and collegiality;

objectivity and inquisitiveness; and

willingness to offer his or her resignation in the event of any significant change in personal circumstances that could affect the discharge of his or her responsibilities as a director, including a change in his or her principal job responsibilities.

Ordinarily, directors who serve as chief executive officers or in equivalent positions for other companies should not serve on more than one other board of a public company in addition to the D.R. Horton Board, and other directors should not serve on more than two other boards of public companies in addition to the D.R. Horton Board. Because of the value the Board places on having directors who are knowledgeable about the Company and its operations, neither the Board nor the Nominating and Governance Committee believes that an arbitrary term limit on director service is appropriate.

Retirement Age Policy

On January 25, 2007, our Board adopted a retirement policy for directors. Under the policy, directors may not stand for re-election after they have reached the age of 75. Directors serving on the Board on January 25, 2007, which include all current directors other than Barbara K. Allen, are exempt from this policy.

Majority Vote Standard and Resignation Policy

The Company's Bylaws provide that in an uncontested election of directors, a director nominee must receive a majority of the votes cast to be elected. Any current director who is not re-elected is required to tender his or her resignation to the Chairman of the Board within a reasonable time following certification of the vote. Details regarding the majority vote standard and resignation policy are discussed under *Proposal One Election of Directors* on page 5.

Procedures for Nominating or Recommending for Nomination Candidates for Director

Our Bylaws provide that any stockholder may make nominations for the election of directors if notice of such nominations is delivered to, or mailed and received at, the principal executive offices of D.R. Horton not later than the close of business on the 90th calendar day or earlier than the close of business on the 120th calendar day prior to the first anniversary of the preceding year's annual meeting. However, in the event that the date of the annual meeting is changed by more than 30 calendar days from the anniversary date of the preceding year's meeting, for notice by the stockholder to be timely, it must be so delivered not earlier than the close of business on the 120th calendar day prior to such meeting and not later than the close of business on the later of the 90th calendar day prior to such meeting or the 10th calendar day following the day on which public disclosure of the date of such meeting is made. Such public disclosure is defined to mean a press release reported by the Dow Jones News Service, Associated Press or a comparable national news service or a document publicly filed by the Company with the SEC pursuant to Sections 13, 14 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (*the Exchange Act*). In addition, the notice must include information specified in our Bylaws, including information concerning the nominee, the stockholder and the beneficial owner, as the case may be. Because no such nominations have been made in accordance with our Bylaws, only the nominations of the Board of Directors may be voted upon at the 2017 Annual Meeting.

In addition, the Nominating and Governance Committee has adopted a policy permitting stockholders to recommend candidates for director for consideration by the committee. The Nominating and Governance Committee will consider candidates recommended by stockholders on the same basis as candidates identified through other means. Stockholders wishing to recommend candidates for election must give notice to the Nominating and Governance Committee by following the same deadlines for notice to submit a nomination outlined in our Bylaws and described above. Each notice must set forth the same information required by our Bylaws to submit a nomination. All recommended candidates shall, at a minimum, possess the characteristics for directors discussed above. The Nominating and Governance Committee may request additional information to assist in the evaluation of the candidacy of such person.

Table of Contents

Director Independence

Our Board of Directors is composed of a majority of independent directors in accordance with the NYSE Rules. Our Board made the independence determination of its members based on the *Independence Standards* discussed below.

Our Board has adopted a set of *Independence Standards*, consistent with the NYSE Rules, to aid it in determining whether a member of the Board is independent under the NYSE Rules. In accordance with these Independence Standards, a director must not have a direct or indirect material relationship with the Company or its management, other than as a director. The Independence Standards specify the criteria by which the independence of our directors will be determined, including strict guidelines for directors and their immediate family members with respect to past employment or affiliation with the Company, its management or its independent auditor.

The Independence Standards are contained in the Corporate Governance Principles set forth on our website, www.drhorton.com, under the Investor Relations and Corporate Governance links. These include the following:

A director who is an employee or whose immediate family member is an executive officer of D.R. Horton is not independent until three years after the end of such employment relationship.

A director who receives, or whose immediate family member receives, more than \$120,000 per year in direct compensation from D.R. Horton, other than director and committee fees and pension or other forms of deferred compensation for prior service (provided such compensation is not contingent in any way on continued service), is not independent until three years after he or she ceases to receive more than \$120,000 per year in compensation. Compensation received by an immediate family member for service as a non-executive employee or non-member of senior management of D.R. Horton will not be considered in determining independence under this test.

A director is not independent if (i) the director or an immediate family member is a current partner of D.R. Horton's external audit firm, (ii) the director is a current employee of such firm, (iii) the director's immediate family member is a current employee of such firm and personally works on D.R. Horton's audit, or (iv) the director or an immediate family member was within the last three years (but is no longer) a partner or employee of such firm and personally worked on D.R. Horton's audit within that time.

A director who is employed, or whose immediate family member is employed, as an executive officer of another company where any of D.R. Horton's present executives serves on that company's compensation committee is not independent until three years after the end of such service or employment relationship.

A director who is an executive officer or an employee, or whose immediate family member is an executive officer, of a company that makes payments to, or receives payments from, D.R. Horton for property or services in an amount which, in any single fiscal year, exceeds the greater of \$1 million or 2% of such other company's consolidated gross revenues, is not independent until three years after falling below such threshold.

If a director serves as an executive officer, director or trustee of a charitable or educational organization and D.R. Horton's contributions to the organization are less than \$500,000, then the relationship will not be considered to be a material relationship that would impair a director's independence.

For purposes of these Independence Standards, an *immediate family member* includes a director's spouse, parents, children, siblings, mother and father-in-law, sons and daughters-in-law, brothers and sisters-in-law, and anyone (other than domestic employees) who shares the director's home.

Audit Committee Independence, Financial Literacy and Audit Committee Financial Expert

Edgar Filing: HORTON D R INC /DE/ - Form DEF 14A

In addition to being independent based on the Independence Standards, the NYSE Rules require that each member of an audit committee satisfy additional independence and financial literacy requirements and at least one of these members must satisfy the additional requirement of having accounting or related financial management expertise. This additional requirement can be satisfied by the Board determining that at least one Audit Committee member is an *audit committee financial expert* within the meaning of the SEC Rules.

Table of Contents

Accordingly, the Corporate Governance Principles contain a set of standards that relate to audit committee independence, financial literacy and audit committee accounting and financial management expertise. Generally, the additional independence standard provides that (i) a member of the Audit Committee or his or her immediate family members are prohibited from receiving any direct or indirect compensation or fee from the Company, its subsidiaries or its affiliates, and (ii) he or she may not be an affiliated person of the Company or any of its subsidiaries. Generally, the financial literacy standard provides that the Board, in its business judgment, shall determine if each member is financially literate, taking into account factors such as the member's education, experience and ability to read and understand financial statements of public companies. Also, audit committee financial experts must have five additional attributes, which are (i) an understanding of generally accepted accounting principles and financial statements, (ii) the ability to assess the general application of such principles in connection with the accounting for estimates, accruals and reserves, (iii) experience preparing, auditing, analyzing or evaluating financial statements that present a breadth and level of complexity of accounting issues that are generally comparable to the breadth and complexity of issues that can reasonably be expected to be raised by the Company's financial statements, or experience actively supervising one or more persons engaged in such activities, (iv) an understanding of internal control over financial reporting and (v) an understanding of audit committee functions. Altogether, attributes (i) through (v) are referred to as the *Financial Expert Attributes*. The audit committee financial expert standards are set forth in the Corporate Governance Principles.

Compensation Committee Independence

In addition to being independent based on the Independence Standards, the NYSE Rules require that each member of a compensation committee satisfy additional independence requirements. The NYSE Rules require that the Board consider all factors specifically relevant to determining whether a director has a relationship to the Company that is material to that director's ability to be independent from management in connection with the duties of a compensation committee member, including, but not limited to (i) the source of compensation of such director, including any consulting, advisory or other compensatory fee paid by the Company to such director and (ii) whether such director is affiliated with the Company, a subsidiary of the Company or an affiliate of a subsidiary of the Company.

Board Determinations

Based on the independence, financial literacy and financial expert standards discussed above, the Board has determined that Barbara K. Allen, Brad S. Anderson, Michael R. Buchanan, and Michael W. Hewatt are (i) independent, for purposes of serving as independent members of the Board of Directors and the Nominating and Governance Committees, (ii) independent, for purposes of serving as independent members on the Audit Committee and the Compensation Committee, and (iii) financially literate, for purposes of serving on the Audit Committee. The Board has also determined, as set forth below, that Mr. Hewatt and Mr. Buchanan each have the Financial Expert Attributes described above.

Mr. Hewatt. Mr. Hewatt acquired the Financial Expert Attributes primarily through his 45 years of experience working as a certified public accountant for Coopers & Lybrand LLP and Hewatt & Associates, CPAs and its predecessor and successor entities, as applicable. Mr. Hewatt's experience as an auditor provided him active experience in designing and conducting audits and reviewing financial statements, which developed his understanding of generally accepted accounting principles and financial statements as well as his abilities to assess the application of such principles in accounting for estimates, accruals and reserves and to evaluate related internal control structures. Mr. Hewatt's active status as a certified public accountant requires him to stay current on pronouncements and advisory notices issued by accounting, auditing and tax regulatory boards and organizations. Mr. Hewatt has additional experience in providing management advisory, tax advisory and tax preparation services, which has provided him with a strong background in the Internal Revenue Code (the *Code*) and in dealing with the Internal Revenue Service. Mr. Hewatt has prepared and issued audit and management advisory reports to the boards of directors of his clients, whereby he has gained an understanding of the functioning of boards of directors and related committees. Mr. Hewatt's clients have included public and private companies, governmental organizations and non-profit organizations.

Table of Contents

Mr. Buchanan. Mr. Buchanan acquired the Financial Expert Attributes primarily through his experience as a commercial banker in the real estate and homebuilding sectors, including serving as head of Bank of America's national real estate group. Mr. Buchanan's responsibilities as a banker required him to analyze and evaluate financial statements to make credit and lending decisions. In this regard, he developed significant expertise in understanding the integrity of the financial information used to prepare financial statements and how such information should be used to analyze and evaluate a company's financial condition and its ability to meet the company's debt obligations. As head of the national real estate group at Bank of America, Mr. Buchanan also actively supervised others in conducting financial statement and financial condition analysis and evaluation.

As provided by the safe harbor contained in the SEC Rules, our audit committee financial experts will not be deemed *experts* for any purpose as a result of being so designated. Such designation does not impose on such persons any duties, obligations or liabilities that are greater than the duties, obligations and liabilities imposed on such persons as members of the Audit Committee or the Board of Directors in the absence of such designation, and such designation does not affect the duties, obligations or liabilities of any other member of the Audit Committee or the Board of Directors.

The Board also determined that Mr. Horton, a director nominee, is not independent because he is an executive officer.

Code of Ethical Conduct for the CEO, CFO and Senior Financial Officers

In accordance with SEC Rules, the Audit Committee and the Board have adopted the *Code of Ethical Conduct for the CEO, CFO and Senior Financial Officers*. The Board believes that these individuals must set an exemplary standard of conduct for D.R. Horton, particularly in the areas of accounting, internal accounting control, auditing and finance. The ethics code sets forth ethical standards the designated officers must adhere to and other aspects of accounting, auditing and financial compliance. The full text of the *Code of Ethical Conduct for the CEO, CFO and Senior Financial Officers* has been posted to the Company's website, www.drhorton.com, under the Investor Relations and Corporate Governance links. Information relating to any amendment to or waiver of a provision of the *Code of Ethical Conduct for the CEO, CFO and Senior Financial Officers* will be disclosed on the website within four business days of such amendment or waiver.

Corporate Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

The Board of Directors has adopted a *Corporate Code of Business Conduct and Ethics* for employees and directors of D.R. Horton in accordance with the NYSE Rules. The Board adopted the *Corporate Code of Business Conduct and Ethics* to provide guidance to the Board and management in areas of ethical business conduct and risk and to provide guidance to employees and directors by helping them recognize and deal with ethical issues including, but not limited to, (i) conflicts of interest, (ii) corporate opportunities, (iii) confidentiality, (iv) fair dealing, (v) protection of corporate assets, (vi) compliance with rules and regulations, including insider trading of securities, and (vii) confidential reporting of unethical behavior and hotline telephone numbers. The *Corporate Code of Business Conduct and Ethics* can be found on the Company's website under the Investor Relations and Corporate Governance links.

Complaint Procedures For Accounting, Internal Control, Auditing and Financial Matters

In accordance with SEC Rules, the Audit Committee has established procedures for (i) the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints regarding accounting, internal control, auditing or financial matters (collectively, *Accounting Matters*) and (ii) the confidential, anonymous submission by employees of concerns regarding questionable Accounting Matters. The Audit Committee oversees treatment of complaints and concerns in this area. The full text of the *Complaint Procedures For Accounting, Internal Control, Auditing and Financial Matters* has been posted to the Company's website under the Investor Relations and Corporate Governance links.

Executive Sessions of the Board of Directors

In accordance with the NYSE Rules, the non-management members of the Board of Directors have held and will continue to hold regularly scheduled executive sessions of the non-management directors, each of whom is

Table of Contents

independent. Michael R. Buchanan, Chairman of the Nominating and Governance Committee, presides at these executive sessions. During fiscal 2016, the non-management directors met four times in executive session, without members of management present.

Communications with the Board of Directors and Stockholder Engagement

Stockholders and other interested parties can communicate with any member of our Board by sending the communication to the Chairman of the Nominating and Governance Committee, who also serves as the Presiding Director. Currently, Mr. Buchanan serves as our Presiding Director. Send communications to: Presiding Director c/o Thomas B. Montano, Vice President – Corporate Counsel and Corporate Compliance Officer, D.R. Horton, Inc., 301 Commerce Street, Suite 500, Fort Worth, Texas 76102. Our Corporate Counsel will review the communications and determine if such communications come within the purview of a Board committee or Board member(s). After such determination, these communications will be promptly forwarded to such Board member(s) or the Presiding Director as applicable. The Presiding Director reports these communications to the Board on a quarterly basis. Further information may be obtained on the Company’s website under the Investor Relations and Corporate Governance links.

Our executive management team actively engages in communications throughout the year with stockholders of all ownership levels. Generally these communications involve participating in investor presentations and question and answer sessions, meeting with investors and stockholders one-on-one and in small groups, and responding to investor and stockholder letters, emails and telephone calls. Management’s discussions with stockholders and the investment community address numerous aspects of our business and matters of importance or concern to our stockholders. When investors or stockholders ask our executive team to share an observation, question or comment with our Board, they do, so that the Board can then consider the matter as part of its governance responsibilities.

Board Leadership Structure, Board’s Role in Risk Oversight and Board and Committee Meetings

Board Leadership Structure

Our Board of Directors operates under the leadership of our executive Chairman of the Board and founder, Donald R. Horton. Mr. Horton has been executive Chairman of the Board of the Company and its predecessor companies since 1978. We do not have a policy that requires the positions of Chairman of the Board and CEO be separated, but we have had a separate Chairman of the Board and CEO since 1998. We believe the separation of these positions is appropriate at this time as it allows our executive Chairman to focus on overall strategy and vision while leading the Board and the Company in overseeing key risk and management issues facing the Board and the Company. We further believe that Mr. Horton’s extensive experience in the homebuilding industry enables him to provide valuable insight and leadership to both the Board and the Company. Mr. Horton’s role as an executive officer also benefits the Board and the Company as he works with key officers of the Company to implement the Board’s strategies and oversight functions on a daily basis.

Our Nominating and Governance Committee, which is composed of four independent directors, oversees our corporate governance, and we have taken a number of measures that collectively provide for our effective corporate governance. Our independent directors meet regularly throughout the year in executive session to encourage open communication and discussion among the independent directors without the presence of management. The Presiding Director chairs these meetings. Overall, the Board is composed of four independent directors and one management director. The Board has designated four primary committees that are responsible for various duties of the Board or its Committees, as applicable. The four committees of the Board are the Nominating and Governance Committee, Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, and Executive Committee. The Committees of the Board are discussed in more detail under the heading *Committees of the Board* on page 16.

Board’s Role in Risk Oversight

Our Board and Board Committees have overall risk oversight responsibility of the Company, but do not provide day-to-day risk management of the Company which is the responsibility of our key officers and

Table of Contents

managers. The risk management process established and overseen by the Company's executive management includes centralized corporate review of the market, real estate, financial, legal and environmental risks associated with each transaction and management approval of funds disbursed. Because of the manner in which the Board and Committees oversee risk, the Board's role in risk oversight does not have an effect on the Board's leadership structure. Risk oversight is reviewed in the risk areas of the Company listed below.

Homebuilding Operations. Our ability to build and sell homes that meet buyer demand is determined by our ability to control, buy and develop land and lots in a cost effective manner. As a result, we use substantial financial resources to control, buy and develop land and lots. We control the amount of financial resources used in the acquisition of land and lots through a process which requires divisional, regional and corporate approval before financial resources are authorized for this purpose. Corporate approval includes review by corporate legal and accounting personnel and approval by our Chairman, CEO or executive officers. Our chief financial officer and chief legal officer both report to the Board regarding our process of reviewing, approving and funding land and lot acquisitions. We believe this process adequately manages the risk related to our land and lot acquisitions.

Financing and Liquidity. Our financing and liquidity positions may fluctuate due to changes in the homebuilding industry and in home sales demand. Our Board oversees financing and liquidity risk by regularly monitoring our financial and liquidity position to ensure we maintain the financial resources needed to fund our homebuilding operations and other financing and operating expenses. At each quarterly meeting, management reviews information related to the Company's financial and liquidity position with the Board, which includes projected short and long-term financing and liquidity needs. To further manage risk in this area, the Board approves a limit on the amount of debt and equity that may be repurchased each year. Any debt or equity issuance or debt or equity repurchase above the approved limit must be separately approved by the Board. We believe these procedures provide adequate risk oversight of financing and liquidity matters affecting the Company.

Financial Reporting, Internal Control and Regulatory Compliance.

Audit Committee Risk Oversight. The Audit Committee of the Board provides risk oversight with respect to financial reporting, internal control over financial reporting, internal audit and related regulatory compliance matters. Each quarter, our Audit Committee discusses with our independent auditor its review of our interim financial information and, after our fiscal year-end, discusses its audit of our annual consolidated financial statements, including our procedures on internal control over financial reporting. Also, during the fiscal year, our Audit Committee meets in private session (without the presence of management) with our independent auditor to discuss any matters related to the audit of our annual consolidated financial statements and review of our internal control over financial reporting.

Each quarter, our Audit Committee meets with our director of internal audit and reviews the results of the internal audits of the Company's operating divisions and other key control areas performed during the quarter. Each year, the Audit Committee reviews and approves the internal audit plan for the forthcoming fiscal year. The internal audit plan is designed using a risk-based approach focusing on key risk areas in the Company's homebuilding and financial services operations and other key control areas. During the fiscal year, the Audit Committee meets in private session (without the presence of management) with our internal audit director.

Throughout the fiscal year, our Audit Committee invites guest speakers to give presentations on a variety of topics related to recent or anticipated changes to accounting rules and regulations, tax laws and regulations, corporate governance and financial reform rules and regulations. By staying informed, the Audit Committee is able to oversee the Company's compliance with regulatory issues in these areas, and to discuss with management any actions necessary to maintain or become compliant with such regulatory matters.

Compensation Risk Oversight. The Compensation Committee provides risk oversight with respect to compensation of the Company's employees, including the named executive officers and other key officers, with the assistance of the Board. We regularly review the Company's compensation policies and practices and believe that our compensation policies and practices do not create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. We believe we have established a short and long-term compensation program

Table of Contents

that properly incentivizes desired performance and mitigates inappropriate risk-taking. We believe the following compensation components help us achieve this balance:

<i>Base Salary:</i>	We set fixed base salaries in amounts that we believe are commensurate with the level of experience, responsibility and tenure of the executive. We believe that providing an appropriate base salary mitigates inappropriate risk-taking by providing a fixed and certain level of semi-monthly income.
<i>Annual Bonus Plan:</i>	With respect to our Chairman, CEO and COO, we provide annual incentive bonus opportunities based on various performance goals. Recent performance goals were based on pre-tax income. Our CFO is awarded an annual discretionary bonus based on his responsibilities. Final payout of these annual awards is at the discretion of the Compensation Committee. Their discretion can be used to reduce payouts when the Committee believes levels achieved result in an inappropriately high level of annual pay when balanced with the total compensation package and taking into consideration the Company's and the executive's performance. We believe we mitigate risk related to the annual performance goals through the approval process with respect to the final payout of these awards, the quarterly review of our financial statements by our management and through our internal control over financial reporting.
<i>Long-Term Bonus Plan:</i>	With respect to our Chairman, CEO, COO and CFO, we use a combination of equity awards in the form of performance restricted stock units and time-based restricted stock units to incentivize performance on key operational and financial goals important to the Company and its stockholders over a period longer than one fiscal year. We believe the long-term nature of these performance awards mitigates risk because the level of performance achieved is analyzed over several fiscal years (typically three), thereby allowing us to take into account any short-term or one-time events that may not be sustainable over a longer period.
<i>Stock Options:</i>	We use stock options as a component of long-term compensation to incent performance and to serve as a retention tool. We believe time-based vesting of our stock options creates a continuing incentive to grow value in the Company stock price, balancing out the risk taking incentives that might otherwise apply to performance-based options. We mitigate risk related to granting stock options by not granting stock options in coordination with the release of material non-public information. Further, we have several levels of review when stock options are approved and granted, including approval by the Compensation Committee and review by corporate legal, human resources and accounting personnel to ensure the terms of the stock options approved match the terms of the stock options issued.
<i>Restricted Stock Units:</i>	We use restricted stock units as a component of long-term compensation to incent performance and to serve as a retention tool. We believe time-based vesting of our restricted stock units creates a continuing incentive to grow value in the Company stock price, balancing out the risk taking incentives that might otherwise apply to performance-based units. We mitigate risk related to granting restricted stock units by not granting restricted stock units in coordination with the release of material non-public information. Further, we have several levels of review when restricted stock units are approved and granted, including approval by the Compensation Committee and review by corporate legal, human

Table of Contents

resources and accounting personnel to ensure the terms of the restricted stock units approved match the terms of the restricted stock units issued.

Performance Goals:

The Compensation Committee has selected a variety of short and long-term operating and financial performance goals to incent performance and to drive increased Company operating and financial results on these goals. The performance goals tied to the annual cash bonus and restricted stock unit bonus programs relate to consolidated pre-tax income, return on investment, gross profit, selling, general and administrative expense and total shareholder return. The Company has established appropriate controls around the determination of the components that define these goals which mitigate risk related to monitoring the actual performance of these goals.

Discretion and Clawback:

We further mitigate compensation risk by giving the Compensation Committee sole discretion to reduce the final payout on a portion of the total compensation awarded. The Compensation Committee maintains sole discretion to reduce the final payout for the Annual Bonus Plan. The Committee does not have sole discretion with respect to the annual salary, stock options and time-based restricted stock units because these items are fixed. Additionally, the Committee does not have discretion with respect to the performance-based restricted stock units.

Our executive officers are subject to the clawback provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. Our executive officers that receive performance-based compensation are subject to appropriate clawback provisions to comply with enacted federal legislation regarding clawback provisions on performance-based executive compensation.

Hedging Company Securities:

Our directors and executive officers are prohibited from engaging in short sales of our securities or from engaging in transactions designed to hedge the value of our securities held by them. Our directors and executive officers have not pledged as collateral our securities held by them.

Board Meetings

During our fiscal year ended September 30, 2016 (*fiscal 2016*), our Board of Directors held four meetings and acted once by written consent. Each current director attended all of the Board meetings and all of the committee meetings for the committees on which he or she served during fiscal 2016. Executive sessions of our non-management directors, all of whom are independent, are regularly held. The sessions are scheduled and chaired by the Chairman of the Nominating and Governance Committee, who also acts as our Presiding Director. Although we do not have a policy with respect to director attendance at our annual meeting of stockholders, the 2016 Annual Meeting was attended by each of our directors.

Committees of the Board

The Board of Directors has four committees: the Executive Committee, the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Nominating and Governance Committee. The Board of Directors has adopted governing Charters for each of the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee, and the Nominating and Governance Committee. Each of the Charters is posted on the Company's website under the Investor Relations and Corporate Governance links.

Table of Contents

Executive Committee

The Executive Committee, while the Board is not in session, possesses all of the powers and may carry out all of the duties of the Board of Directors in the management of the business of D.R. Horton which by state or federal law or the NYSE Rules may be delegated to it by the Board of Directors. During fiscal 2016, the Executive Committee was composed of Donald R. Horton.

Nominating and Governance Committee

The members of the Nominating and Governance Committee are Michael R. Buchanan, Barbara K. Allen, Brad S. Anderson and Michael W. Hewatt, with Mr. Buchanan serving as Chairman. Each committee member has been determined by the Board to be independent in accordance with the NYSE Rules. During fiscal 2016, the Nominating and Governance Committee met three times and took no action by written consent, and each current member attended all of the meetings in person or by telephone conference.

The Nominating and Governance Committee Charter has been posted to the Company's website under the Investor Relations and Corporate Governance links. The Nominating and Governance Committee's primary purpose is to provide assistance to the Board of Directors in fulfilling its responsibility to the stockholders by:

identifying individuals qualified to become directors consistent with criteria approved by the Board and recommending to the Board the qualified candidates for directorships to be filled by the Board or by the stockholders;

developing and recommending to the Board a set of corporate governance principles applicable to the Company; and

overseeing the evaluation of the Board and key management.

Compensation Committee

The members of the Compensation Committee are Brad S. Anderson, Barbara K. Allen, Michael R. Buchanan and Michael W. Hewatt, with Mr. Anderson serving as Chairman. Each Compensation Committee member has been determined to be independent under the NYSE Rules, an outside director under Section 162(m) of the Code, and a non-employee director under Rule 16b-3 under the Exchange Act. During fiscal 2016, the Compensation Committee met seven times and took no action by written consent, and each current member attended all of the meetings in person or by telephone conference.

The Compensation Committee Charter has been posted to the Company's website under the Investor Relations and Corporate Governance links. The Charter provides that the Compensation Committee shall assist the Board of Directors in discharging its responsibility to the stockholders with respect to the Company's compensation programs and compensation of the Company's executive officers.

The Compensation Committee Charter also sets forth the responsibilities and duties of the committee with regard to reviewing the compensation for the CEO and other executive officers, monitoring incentive and equity-based compensation plans, preparing an annual report on executive compensation and reporting to the Board of Directors.

Audit Committee

The members of the Audit Committee are Michael W. Hewatt, Barbara K. Allen, Brad S. Anderson and Michael R. Buchanan, with Mr. Hewatt serving as Chairman. During fiscal 2016, the Audit Committee met four times and took no action by written consent, and each current member attended all of the meetings in person or by telephone conference.

As discussed under the heading *Corporate Governance Standards* on page 8 of this Proxy Statement, each member of the Audit Committee has been determined by the Board to be *independent* and *financially literate* in accordance with NYSE Rules, the SEC Rules, and the corporate governance and independence

Table of Contents

standards adopted by the Board. Also, the Board has determined that both Mr. Buchanan and Mr. Hewatt are *audit committee financial experts* under such rules, regulations and standards as set forth in the Company's Corporate Governance Principles posted on our website.

The Audit Committee operates pursuant to an Audit Committee Charter, which was approved and adopted by the Board of Directors and posted to the Company's website under the Investor Relations and Corporate Governance links. The duties and responsibilities of the Audit Committee are set forth in its Charter. The Audit Committee's primary purposes are to:

assist the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities relating to the:

integrity of the Company's financial statements;

Company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements;

independent auditor's qualifications and independence; and

performance of the Company's internal audit function and independent auditor; and

prepare an Audit Committee report to be included in the Company's annual proxy statement.

Further discussion regarding the Audit Committee's processes and procedures regarding the Company's audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended September 30, 2016 and other matters are discussed in the Audit Committee Report on page 56 of this Proxy Statement.

Compensation of Directors

Our Board of Directors approves the annual compensation and fees paid to our non-management directors, each of whom is listed in the *Director Compensation for Fiscal 2016* table. Traditionally, the Board has strived to set non-management director compensation at a reasonable level of cash and equity compensation. Over the last three fiscal years, the total annual compensation of directors has varied primarily due to the granting of long-term equity awards in certain years. Our Chairman, a member of executive management, does not receive any compensation for serving on the Board of Directors.

Director Fees Paid in Cash. In fiscal 2016, each non-management director received \$15,000 for each Board meeting attended in person or by telephone conference, paid quarterly and not to exceed \$60,000 per year. In addition, each non-management director who served on a committee of the Board of Directors received an annual fee of \$5,000 per committee, paid quarterly, and each non-management director who served as the Chairman of a committee of the Board of Directors received an annual fee of \$2,500 per committee, paid quarterly.

Director Retainer Fees Paid in Restricted Stock Units. Our non-management directors received retainer fees paid in the form of restricted stock units that vest annually in equal installments over three years. In January 2016, Ms. Allen, Mr. Anderson, Mr. Buchanan and Mr. Hewatt each received 12,000 restricted stock units as reflected in the Director Compensation table on page 19.

Restricted Stock Units. When a new non-management director joins our Board, the Board of Directors may award restricted stock units to the new non-management director. In addition to the initial grant received upon joining the Board, we have awarded restricted stock units to our non-management directors at other times to balance the mix of non-management director compensation between cash and equity. Traditionally, these restricted stock units have vested over five years. There were no restricted stock units awarded to our non-management directors during fiscal 2016 other than the retainer restricted stock units discussed above.

Stock Options. When a new non-management director joins our Board, he or she traditionally has been awarded stock options. These stock options have an exercise price equal to the closing price of our common stock on the date of approval and grant. Traditionally, these stock options have vested over five years and have a ten-year term. In addition to the initial grant received upon joining the Board, we have awarded

stock options to non-management directors at other times, which have ranged from one-year to five-year intervals. There were no stock options granted to non-management directors during fiscal 2016 or fiscal 2015.

Table of Contents

Expenses and Health Care Plan. Each non-management director is entitled to reimbursement for reasonable expenses relating to their service on the Board and any committee, including travel, meals and other related expenses. Each non-management director is eligible to participate in the Company's health care plan and Ms. Allen, Mr. Buchanan and Mr. Hewatt elected to participate in the plan in fiscal 2016.

Director Compensation for Fiscal 2016

Name ⁽¹⁾	Fees Earned			All Other Compensation ⁽⁵⁾	Total
	or Paid in Cash ⁽²⁾	Stock Awards ⁽³⁾	Option Awards ⁽⁴⁾		
Barbara K. Allen	\$ 75,000	\$ 309,960			\$ 384,960
Brad S. Anderson	\$ 77,500	\$ 309,960			\$ 387,460
Michael R. Buchanan	\$ 77,500	\$ 309,960			\$ 387,460
Michael W. Hewatt	\$ 77,500	\$ 309,960		\$ 1,867	\$ 389,327

- (1) During fiscal 2016, the Company paid director fees only to non-management directors.
- (2) Amounts represent non-management director fees paid in cash during fiscal 2016.
- (3) Amount represents the grant date fair value of \$25.83 per unit for the 12,000 restricted stock units granted to each non-management director on January 21, 2016. The grant date fair value of the restricted stock units was determined in accordance with accounting guidance for share-based payments. The Company recognizes expense for this award over its three-year vesting period.

As of September 30, 2016, each non-management director held the following number of unvested restricted stock units:

Name	Unvested Restricted Stock Units
Barbara K. Allen	17,983
Brad S. Anderson	15,760
Michael R. Buchanan	15,760
Michael W. Hewatt	15,760

- (4) The non-management directors did not receive stock option awards during fiscal 2016. As of September 30, 2016, each non-management director held the following number of outstanding vested and unvested stock options:

Name	Outstanding Stock Options	
	Vested	Unvested
Barbara K. Allen		5,000
Brad S. Anderson	38,000	2,000
Michael R. Buchanan	12,000	2,000
Michael W. Hewatt	18,000	2,000

(5) Amount represents the participant's portion of the group health care plan premium paid by the Company.

Table of Contents**BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF COMMON STOCK****Management**

The following table shows the beneficial ownership of the common stock of D.R. Horton as of November 28, 2016 by (i) each director, (ii) each named executive officer, and (iii) all directors and executive officers as a group. Unless stated otherwise, the shares are owned directly and the named beneficial owners possess sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares set forth in the table. The address for each beneficial owner in the table below is c/o D.R. Horton, Inc., 301 Commerce Street, Suite 500, Fort Worth, Texas 76102.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Common Stock Beneficially Owned ⁽¹⁾	
	Number of Shares Beneficially Owned	Percent of Class ⁽²⁾
Donald R. Horton	26,162,879 ⁽³⁾	6.99%
Barbara K. Allen	11,873	*
Brad S. Anderson	63,888	*
David V. Auld	261,287	*
Michael R. Buchanan	26,940	*
Michael W. Hewatt	27,000	*
Michael J. Murray	155,400	*
Bill W. Wheat	274,042	*
All directors and executive officers as a group (8 persons)	26,983,309	7.20%

* Less than 1%.

A named executive officer.

(1) Beneficial ownership includes the following shares which the executive officers and directors could acquire by exercising stock options on or within 60 days after November 28, 2016: Mr. Horton: 1,150,000, Mr. Anderson: 38,000, Mr. Auld: 180,000, Mr. Buchanan: 12,000, Mr. Hewatt: 18,000, Mr. Murray: 145,000, and Mr. Wheat: 184,000.

The beneficial ownership also includes the following restricted stock units that vest on or within 60 days after November 28, 2016: Ms. Allen: 6,223, Mr. Anderson: 4,000, Mr. Buchanan: 4,000, and Mr. Hewatt: 4,000.

For all directors and executive officers as a group, these stock options and restricted stock units represent an aggregate of 1,745,223 shares.

(2) The percentages are calculated based on 373,225,734 issued and outstanding shares on November 28, 2016. For each person, separately, his or her percentage was calculated by including his or her stock options and restricted stock units set forth in note (1) in both the numerator and denominator, and for the group, the percentage was calculated by including the 1,745,223 stock options and restricted stock units set forth in note (1) in both the numerator and denominator.

Edgar Filing: HORTON D R INC /DE/ - Form DEF 14A

- (3) These shares do not include (i) 2,334,596 shares directly owned by Donald Ryan Horton, an adult son of Mr. Horton, (ii) 2,286,507 shares directly owned by Douglas Reagan Horton, an adult son of Mr. Horton, (iii) 1,179,795 shares held by the Donald Ryan Horton Trust, (iv) 1,179,795 shares held by the Douglas Reagan Horton Trust, (v) 1,368,005 shares held by the Martha Elizabeth Horton Trust, and (vi) 1,499,984 shares held by the Donald Ray Horton Trust. Mr. Horton disclaims any beneficial interest in these shares. These trusts were established by Mr. Horton and his wife for the benefit of their descendants. Terrill J. Horton serves as the sole trustee of these trusts. Terrill J. Horton is a retired director of the Company and the brother of Donald R. Horton.

Table of Contents**Certain Other Beneficial Owners**

Based on Schedule 13G filings under the Exchange Act, available as of November 28, 2016, the only other known beneficial owners of more than 5% of D.R. Horton common stock outstanding were the following.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Shares Beneficially Owned Number	Percent
The Vanguard Group ⁽¹⁾ 100 Vanguard Blvd. Malvern, Pennsylvania 19355	29,670,164	8.02%
BlackRock, Inc. ⁽²⁾ 55 East 52nd Street New York, New York 10055	28,078,655	7.6%
Sanders Capital, LLC ⁽³⁾ 390 Park Avenue 17th Floor New York, New York 10022	22,382,027	6.07%

- (1) Based solely upon information contained in the most recently filed Schedule 13G/A of The Vanguard Group, filed with the SEC on February 11, 2016, reflecting beneficial ownership as of December 31, 2015. According to this Schedule 13G/A, The Vanguard Group had sole voting power for 602,786 of these shares, shared voting power for 32,400 of these shares, sole dispositive power for 29,019,836 of these shares and shared dispositive power for 650,328 of these shares.
- (2) Based solely upon information contained in the most recently filed Schedule 13G/A of BlackRock, Inc., filed with the SEC on February 10, 2016, reflecting beneficial ownership as of December 31, 2015. According to this Schedule 13G/A, BlackRock, Inc. had sole voting power for 25,028,303 of these shares, no shared voting power, sole dispositive power for 28,078,655 of these shares and no shared dispositive power.
- (3) Based solely upon information contained in the Schedule 13G of Sanders Capital, LLC filed with the SEC on January 29, 2016, reflecting beneficial ownership as of December 31, 2015. According to this Schedule 13G, Sanders Capital, LLC had sole voting power for 8,779,627 of these shares, no shared voting power, sole dispositive power for 22,382,027 of these shares and no shared dispositive power.

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION****Compensation Discussion and Analysis****Overview**

Our Compensation Committee strives to design a fair and competitive compensation program for executive officers that will attract, motivate and retain highly qualified and experienced executives, reward superior performance and provide incentives that are based on performance of the Company, with an overall emphasis on maximizing our long-term stockholder value. Our executive compensation program consists of several components, including base salaries, cash bonuses, performance-based equity awards, time-based equity awards, deferred compensation plans and retirement benefits. This compensation discussion and analysis provides information regarding our compensation objectives, the relationship between the components of our compensation program and our objectives, and factors considered by the Compensation Committee in establishing compensation levels for our named executive officers. Our fiscal 2016 named executive officers are:

Donald R. Horton, Chairman of the Board;

David V. Auld, President and Chief Executive Officer;

Michael J. Murray, Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer; and

Bill W. Wheat, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer.

Executive Summary Key Operating and Financial Results

The homebuilding business requires long-term planning and implementation of operating strategies over several years to deliver successful operating and financial results. Accordingly, in the table below and summary that follows, we set forth key operating and financial results of the Company for fiscal years 2016, 2015 and 2014. For the 15th consecutive fiscal year, we closed more homes than any other homebuilder in the United States. Our pre-tax income increased in fiscal 2016 compared to fiscal 2015 and 2014, and we believe our business is well-positioned for the future based on our land and finished lot position, inventory of available homes, strong balance sheet and liquidity position and broad geographic operating base. The fiscal 2016 compensation received by our executives reflects their contribution to the Company's improved financial and operating results.

Key results in fiscal years 2016, 2015 and 2014:

Key Result	As of and for the Fiscal Year Ended September 30,		
	2016	2015	2014
Homes Closed	40,309	36,648	28,670
Revenues	\$12.2 billion	\$10.8 billion	\$8.0 billion
Pre-Tax Income	\$1.4 billion	\$1.1 billion	\$814.2 million
Pre-Tax Income as % of Revenues	11.1%	10.4%	10.1%
SG&A Expense as % of Revenues	10.9%	11.0%	12.0%
Stockholders' Equity	\$6.8 billion	\$5.9 billion	\$5.1 billion
Stockholders' Equity per Common Share	\$18.21	\$15.99	\$14.03
Common Stock Price	\$30.20	\$29.36	\$20.52
Cash Dividends Declared per Common Share	\$0.32	\$0.25	\$0.1375

Key operating and financial results for fiscal 2016, as compared to fiscal 2015 were as follows:

Edgar Filing: HORTON D R INC /DE/ - Form DEF 14A

Homes closed increased 10% to 40,309 homes in fiscal 2016 compared to 36,648 homes in fiscal 2015;

Revenues increased 12% to \$12.2 billion in fiscal 2016 compared to \$10.8 billion in fiscal 2015;

Pre-tax income increased 20% to \$1.4 billion in fiscal 2016 from \$1.1 billion in fiscal 2015;

Pre-tax income as a percentage of revenues improved to 11.1% in fiscal 2016 from 10.4% in fiscal 2015;

SG&A expense as a percentage of revenues improved to 10.9% in fiscal 2016 from 11.0% in fiscal 2015;

Table of Contents

Homebuilding Return on Inventory (ROI) improved to 15.4% in fiscal 2016 from 12.8% in fiscal 2015. ROI is calculated as homebuilding pre-tax income divided by average inventory (the sum of ending inventory balances for the trailing five quarters divided by five);

Stockholders' equity increased 15% to \$6.8 billion in fiscal 2016 compared to \$5.9 billion in fiscal 2015;

Stockholders' equity per common share (stockholders' equity divided by the number of common shares outstanding at the end of each fiscal year) increased 14% to \$18.21 per share at September 30, 2016 from \$15.99 per share at September 30, 2015; and

The Company's common stock price increased by 3% to \$30.20 at September 30, 2016 from \$29.36 at September 30, 2015. During fiscal 2016, our stock price ranged between \$22.97 and \$34.56.

The improvement in our operating and financial results over the last three fiscal years reflects a consistent focus on the fundamentals of our business in each of our communities across the markets in which we operate. We manage our business in each market to achieve an optimal balance of sales pace, pricing, profit margins and inventory levels in each community to maximize the returns on our inventory investments.

Advisory Vote and Process for Determining Compensation

2016 Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation

At our last Annual Meeting of Stockholders held on January 21, 2016, our stockholders voted in favor of a resolution to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Company's named executive officers disclosed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the Summary Compensation Table and the related compensation tables, notes and narrative in our Proxy Statement for the Company's 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Approximately 95.01% voted in favor of the advisory vote on executive compensation. The Compensation Committee evaluated the results of the 2016 advisory vote and did not make any changes to our executive compensation program and policies as a result of the vote.

Authority and Role of Compensation Committee

Our Compensation Committee evaluates performance and approves compensation for our Chairman and our CEO and makes compensation recommendations to the Board with respect to other named executive officers. The Compensation Committee also administers our equity programs, which include awards under our 2006 Stock Incentive Plan and all other compensation plans that are intended to qualify as performance-based. Our equity and compensation plans are discussed under the heading *Incentive Bonus Plans Approved by Stockholders* on page 38. The duties of the Compensation Committee are summarized under the heading *Compensation Committee* on page 17 and are more fully set forth in the Compensation Committee Charter, which is available on our website under the Investor Relations and Corporate Governance links.

Compensation Committee Risk Oversight

The Compensation Committee provides risk oversight with respect to compensation of the Company's employees, including the named executive officers and other key officers, with the assistance of the Board. The Compensation Committee's risk oversight is discussed in more detail under the heading *Board's Role in Risk Oversight Compensation Risk Oversight* on page 14.

Role of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Our Chairman and our CEO review and discuss salary and bonus compensation of our other named executive officers and our Chairman makes recommendations to the Compensation Committee regarding our executive officers, other than for himself. The Compensation Committee considers these recommendations when making its recommendation to the Board. At the request of the Compensation Committee, our Chairman also provides a recommendation concerning the annual base salary and incentive bonus program for our CEO, but not for himself.

Table of Contents

Review of Compensation

We review the compensation of our executive officers on a regular basis. With respect to fiscal 2016 executive compensation, the Compensation Committee formally met in October, November and December of 2015, and in January, February, April, July, November and December of 2016 to review and discuss compensation matters. In addition, the Compensation Committee has discussions with management during the year regarding these matters. To assist the Compensation Committee, the Company engages the services of Equilar, a leading third-party provider of financial and executive compensation data. Utilizing the data provided by Equilar, the committee reviews the compensation of executives of publicly-traded companies, including our peer group and other public companies within a range of our market capitalization and industrial classification code. The scope of Equilar's services during fiscal 2016 was limited to providing access to its database to the Compensation Committee and discussing database issues with the Compensation Committee. Equilar did not advise the Compensation Committee on its executive compensation programs or decisions. The Compensation Committee does not otherwise engage any other compensation consultant to advise it on executive compensation matters.

The Compensation Committee believes it is appropriate to exercise its judgment when reviewing and setting the total mix of compensation related to short and long-term awards and cash and equity awards rather than relying on a set formula or percentage allocation. The Compensation Committee believes an important part of an executive's value is helping the Company achieve its business objectives when housing market conditions change. Accordingly, when determining the mix of compensation, the Compensation Committee considers the ability of the executive to assist the Company in achieving its business objectives as well as each executive's experience and role at the Company.

Fiscal 2016 Outline of Executive Compensation Actions

For fiscal 2016, the Compensation Committee took actions on matters related to executive compensation as outlined below. These executive compensation components are discussed in more detail under the referenced headings in this *Compensation Discussion and Analysis* section.

Base Salaries The Board and Compensation Committee set and paid base salaries. See the heading *Base Salaries Named Executive Officers* on page 26.

Short-Term Incentive Bonuses The Compensation Committee established and approved annual short-term incentive bonuses for Mr. Horton, Mr. Auld and Mr. Murray based on semi-annual pre-tax income. For fiscal 2016, Mr. Horton was eligible to earn annual short-term incentive bonuses up to a maximum of 0.6% of pre-tax income, which resulted in payments to him of \$3,251,016 for the semi-annual period ended March 31, 2016 and \$4,869,714 for the semi-annual period ended September 30, 2016, for a total annual cash bonus of \$8,120,730. For fiscal 2016, Mr. Auld was eligible to earn annual short-term incentive bonuses up to a maximum of 0.35% of pre-tax income, which resulted in payments to him of \$1,896,426 for the semi-annual period ended March 31, 2016 and \$2,840,666 for the semi-annual period ended September 30, 2016, for a total annual cash bonus of \$4,737,092. For fiscal 2016, Mr. Murray was eligible to earn annual short-term incentive bonuses up to a maximum of 0.1% of pre-tax income, which resulted in payments to him of \$541,836 for the semi-annual period ended March 31, 2016 and \$811,619 for the semi-annual period ended September 30, 2016, for a total annual cash bonus of \$1,353,455. Mr. Wheat was paid semi-annual cash discretionary bonuses for the semi-annual periods ended March 31, 2016 and September 30, 2016 in the amounts of \$500,000 and \$600,000 (of which \$100,000 was settled in shares of common stock), respectively, for a total annual cash and stock bonus of \$1,100,000. See the headings *2016 Fiscal Year Annual Incentive Bonus* on page 27 and *2016 Fiscal Year Annual Incentive Bonus Results and Payout* on page 28.

Long-Term 2019 Performance and Time-Based Restricted Stock Units (RSUs) In November 2016, the Compensation Committee granted to Mr. Horton, Mr. Auld and Mr. Murray performance RSUs that may vest based on performance of four goals over the three-year performance period beginning October 1, 2016 and ending September 30, 2019. Mr. Horton was awarded a target amount of 200,000 Performance RSUs, Mr. Auld was awarded a target amount of 100,000 Performance RSUs and Mr. Murray was awarded a target amount of 30,000 Performance RSUs. Mr. Wheat was awarded 30,000 time-based RSUs that vest annually in equal

Table of Contents

installments over a three-year period. See the heading *2017 Fiscal Year Award of 2019 Performance Restricted Stock Units Potential Vesting at September 30, 2019 and Award of Restricted Stock Units Time-Based Vesting* on page 38.

Long-Term Restricted Stock Units The Compensation Committee approved and granted time-based vesting restricted stock units to our executive officers and key employees in fiscal 2016. See the heading *2016 Fiscal Year Award of Restricted Stock Units Time-Based Vesting* on page 37.

Settlement of Long-Term 2016 Performance Restricted Stock Units (RSUs) Based on the three-year performance period from October 1, 2013 to September 30, 2016, Mr. Horton earned 325,000 shares (162.5% of target) of common stock. For additional information on the 2016 Performance RSUs, see the heading *2016 Performance Restricted Stock Units Ranking Results and Vesting at September 30, 2016* on page 30.

Executive Compensation Objectives

Our primary compensation objectives are to:

motivate and retain highly qualified and experienced executives;

award compensation that recognizes valuable short and long-term individual performance as well as the Company's overall performance; and

implement a compensation plan that aligns our executives' interests with those of our stockholders with the goal of maximizing long-term stockholder value.

As a leading national homebuilding company, we employ key executives who have delivered strong results in a competitive and challenging homebuilding market. Our key executives have experience in both up and down cycles in the homebuilding industry. The Compensation Committee considers this type of experience to be very valuable due to the cyclical nature of the homebuilding industry. Because of the performance of our key executives over the past several years, they may encounter other professional opportunities due to the extensive experience gained during their employment with us. As a result, we provide competitive compensation packages to retain our executives. We believe that to maintain our position as a leader in the homebuilding industry and to serve our stockholders' interests, the Company must provide executive compensation programs that continually motivate and are effective in retaining our executives.

With the goal of maximizing long-term stockholder value, we believe it is important to have a significant portion of executive compensation tied to attaining both short and long-term goals and performance. In addition to the financial performance of the Company, we also considered certain subjective factors when reviewing an executive's value. These factors include the number of years with the Company, significance of job function, ability to analyze and make effective decisions regarding significant business and financial objectives, effectiveness of their work as part of the executive management team and their leadership to our employees. By placing importance on these qualities, we are aligning individual and corporate performance with the compensation that is ultimately paid for performance. Due to the significant number of years of dedicated service our executives have with us, the Board of Directors and Compensation Committee have chosen not to pursue written employment agreements with our executives. Based on the Compensation Committee's continual review of market trends occurring in our industry, we believe our cash and equity compensation programs are effective in allowing us to motivate and retain our executives.

Use of Compensation Peer Group Data

The Compensation Committee utilizes compensation data from our peer group of publicly-traded homebuilding companies to analyze compensation decisions in light of current market conditions and practices and to ensure that our compensation decisions are reasonable in comparison to our peer group and the value of

Table of Contents

our executives to the Company. However, the Compensation Committee does not attempt to position compensation at any specified level or ranking within our peer group. In fiscal 2016, the peer group compensation data was compiled by the Compensation Committee Chairman and the Company's legal counsel using information from Equilar's database and from the data in executive compensation discussions and tables in publicly filed proxy statements. When determining peer group averages, rankings and medians, we include our Company and each company in our peer group in the rankings and computations. Our peer group could change from year to year based on the discretion of the Compensation Committee. Our Compensation Committee considers factors such as market capitalization, competition in our markets and mergers and consolidations when determining our peer group. For fiscal 2016, our peer group consisted of the following publicly-traded homebuilding companies that had market capitalizations ranging from approximately \$223 million to \$9.5 billion at September 30, 2016. Our market capitalization on that date was \$11.2 billion.

Peer Group Fiscal 2016	
Beazer Homes USA	M.D.C. Holdings
CalAtlantic Group*	Meritage Homes
Hovnanian Enterprises	NVR
KB Home	PulteGroup
Lennar	Toll Brothers

* New peer company following the merger of The Ryland Group and Standard Pacific Corp. The Ryland Group was a member of the peer group prior to the merger.

In November 2016, our Compensation Committee revised our Peer Group for fiscal 2017 and going forward to remove Beazer Homes USA, Inc. and Hovnanian Enterprises, Inc. and to add Taylor Morrison Home Corporation and TRI Pointe Group, Inc. The primary reason for this change was to adjust our peer group to include the homebuilders with the largest market capitalization.

Peer Group Fiscal 2017	
CalAtlantic Group	NVR
KB Home	PulteGroup
Lennar	Taylor Morrison
M.D.C. Holdings	Toll Brothers
Meritage Homes	TRI Pointe Group

Components of Compensation**Base Salaries** *Named Executive Officers*

Base salaries for our executive officers provide a fixed or base level of compensation. When setting base salaries for our executives, we considered the following factors:

level of experience, responsibility and tenure;

amount of assets and national scope of the Company's operations;

contributions to achievements of the Company's operating objectives;

amount of fixed cash compensation to retain the executive's services;

average and median base salaries of comparable executives in our peer group; and

recommendations of our Chairman and our CEO, other than for themselves.

Table of Contents

Base salaries for our named executive officers for fiscal 2015, 2016, and 2017 are set forth in the following table:

Name	Base Salary		
	2015	2016	2017
Donald R. Horton	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000
David V. Auld	\$ 700,000	\$ 700,000	\$ 700,000
Michael J. Murray	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000
Bill W. Wheat	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000

The base salary of Mr. Horton, our Chairman, was unchanged for fiscal years 2015, 2016 and 2017 and is comparable to the base salaries of similar officers in our peer group. The base salary of our Chairman reflects his significant experience in the real estate and homebuilding industry and his tenure with the Company.

The base salary of Mr. Auld, our CEO, was unchanged for fiscal years 2015, 2016 and 2017. For fiscal 2015, the base salary of the chief executive officer of each company in our peer group, including our company, ranged from \$700,000 to \$1,528,125 with an average of \$1,040,827 and a median of \$1,000,000, based on data contained in the most recently filed proxy statements of our peer group. Mr. Auld's base salary is at the low end of the CEO base salaries in our peer group, but we believe his salary is at a competitive level of fixed compensation to incent and retain his services as our CEO.

Upon Mr. Murray's promotion to the COO position at the beginning of fiscal 2015, his base salary was increased to \$500,000 and was unchanged for fiscal years 2016 and 2017. For fiscal 2015, the base salary of the chief operating officer of each company in our peer group, including our company, ranged from \$168,750 to \$1,000,000 with an average of \$624,328 and a median of \$634,044 based on data contained in the most recently filed proxy statements of our peer group. We believe his salary is at a competitive level of fixed compensation to incent and retain his services as our COO.

The base salary for Mr. Wheat, our CFO, was unchanged for fiscal years 2015, 2016 and 2017. For fiscal 2015, the base salary of the chief financial officer of each company in our peer group, including our company, ranged from \$341,923 to \$933,333 with an average of \$608,686 and a median of \$634,044 based on data contained in the most recently filed proxy statements of our peer group. We believe his salary is at a competitive level of fixed compensation to incent and retain his services as our CFO.

When determining named executive officer base salaries, the Compensation Committee did not assign specific weight to the factors listed under the heading *Base Salaries Named Executive Officers*, did not assign a specific ranking that base salaries should be within the peer group and did not use a percentage or ratio that the base salaries should be in relation to total compensation.

2016 Fiscal Year Annual Incentive Bonus

Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and Chief Operating Officer. During fiscal 2016, in furtherance of our compensation philosophy to award incentive bonuses based on performance, Mr. Horton, Mr. Auld and Mr. Murray each had the opportunity to earn a performance bonus based on the amount of pre-tax income earned by the Company during the year.

Pre-tax income means consolidated income before income taxes, as publicly reported by the Company in its consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

For fiscal 2016, we believed that Mr. Horton, Mr. Auld and Mr. Murray should be incentivized to help the Company generate positive pre-tax income. The pre-tax income performance goal is intended to have our executives focus on improving important components of pre-tax income, namely, increasing revenues and controlling our cost of sales and selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expenses.

Table of Contents

The maximum percentage of pre-tax income that could be earned under the annual incentive bonus opportunity was as follows:

Name	Fiscal 2016 Performance Goal	Maximum Bonus Potential	
		1st Semi-Annual Period	2nd Semi-Annual Period
Donald R. Horton	Pre-Tax Income	0.6%	0.6%
David V. Auld	Pre-Tax Income	0.35%	0.35%
Michael J. Murray	Pre-Tax Income	0.1%	0.1%

The 1st semi-annual period was the six months ended March 31, 2016 (first and second quarters of fiscal 2016) and the 2nd semi-annual period was the six months ended September 30, 2016 (third and fourth quarters of fiscal 2016). The hurdle or threshold for achieving an annual incentive bonus was the attainment of positive pre-tax income. If no positive pre-tax income was attained, then no bonus would be paid under the annual incentive bonus opportunity.

At the beginning of fiscal 2016, the Compensation Committee made the subjective determination to select 0.6% of pre-tax income for Mr. Horton, 0.35% for Mr. Auld and 0.1% for Mr. Murray as the maximum bonus under this performance goal based on its determination that if the maximum bonus was paid, the amount would be reasonable in relation to the goal achieved and reasonable in relation to the Company's goal of containing overall SG&A expense.

The percentage chosen for the annual incentive bonus opportunity is not based on any formulaic methodology. For fiscal 2016, the Compensation Committee believed that by using a percentage of pre-tax income, we would incent our Chairman, CEO and COO to achieve positive pre-tax income and maintain competitive levels of revenues, cost of sales and SG&A expense, all of which align their interests with those of our stockholders. By using pre-tax income as a performance goal in fiscal 2016, we balanced the mix of short-term performance period compensation with the long-term performance period compensation, which consisted of restricted stock units. Because the selection of 0.6%, 0.35% and 0.1% as the maximum percentages was a subjective determination, and not one based on any formulaic method or benchmark other than as described herein, the Compensation Committee maintained the right to use its discretion in adjusting downward the amount to be paid for this award. The Compensation Committee did not use its discretion to adjust the bonus payouts for fiscal 2016.

2016 Fiscal Year Annual Incentive Bonus Results and Payout

Chairman Mr. Horton. The table below sets forth the Company's pre-tax income and the annual incentive bonus paid for fiscal 2016 to Mr. Horton:

Semi-Annual Period	Performance Goal: Semi-Annual Pre-Tax Income (PTI)		
	Maximum Bonus Percentage	PTI	Bonus Paid
1st Semi-Annual Period Ended March 31, 2016	0.6%	\$ 541,836,022	\$ 3,251,016
2nd Semi-Annual Period Ended September 30, 2016	0.6%	\$ 811,618,921	\$ 4,869,714
Annual Amount	0.6%	\$ 1,353,454,943	\$ 8,120,730

Table of Contents

Chief Executive Officer Mr. Auld. The table below sets forth the Company's pre-tax income and the annual incentive bonus paid for fiscal 2016 to Mr. Auld:

Semi-Annual Period	Performance Goal: Semi-Annual Pre-Tax Income (PTI)		
	Maximum Bonus Percentage	PTI	Bonus Paid
1st Semi-Annual Period Ended March 31, 2016	0.35%	\$ 541,836,022	\$ 1,896,426
2nd Semi-Annual Period Ended September 30, 2016	0.35%	\$ 811,618,921	\$ 2,840,666
Annual Amount	0.35%	\$ 1,353,454,943	\$ 4,737,092

Chief Operating Officer Mr. Murray. The table below sets forth the Company's pre-tax income and the annual incentive bonus paid for fiscal 2016 to Mr. Murray:

Semi-Annual Period	Performance Goal: Semi-Annual Pre-Tax Income (PTI)		
	Maximum Bonus Percentage	PTI	Bonus Paid
1st Semi-Annual Period Ended March 31, 2016	0.1%	\$ 541,836,022	\$ 541,836
2nd Semi-Annual Period Ended September 30, 2016	0.1%	\$ 811,618,921	\$ 811,619
Annual Amount	0.1%	\$ 1,353,454,943	\$ 1,353,455

2016 Fiscal Year Annual Discretionary Bonus

Chief Financial Officer Mr. Wheat. The Board of Directors approves a discretionary bonus for Mr. Wheat semi-annually. For the first semi-annual period ended March 31, 2016, Mr. Wheat received a \$500,000 bonus paid in cash, and for the second semi-annual period ended September 30, 2016, he received a \$600,000 bonus, which included \$100,000 paid in common stock of the Company for a total of \$1,100,000 for fiscal 2016. Mr. Wheat's fiscal 2016 discretionary bonuses totaling \$1,100,000 reflected a \$150,000 increase from the bonuses he received in fiscal 2015.

The increase to Mr. Wheat's bonus was discretionary, but factors considered included the performance by the Company in fiscal 2016, including higher pre-tax income in fiscal 2016 compared to fiscal 2015 and the individual performance of Mr. Wheat in his areas of responsibility. The process of awarding a discretionary bonus to Mr. Wheat includes review and consideration by our Chairman and CEO. Our Chairman then makes a recommendation to the Compensation Committee who then considers the recommendation and makes a recommendation to the Board of Directors. The discretionary bonus was not based on specific quantitative formulas, percentages or numerical weightings, but rather was related to subjective evaluations of the Company's level of profitability relative to the prior year, job performance and the level of retention risk related to the Company's ability to continue to employ Mr. Wheat as our CFO. The amount of discretionary bonus awarded to Mr. Wheat was not benchmarked or tied to any other performance metrics or pay of similar executives at peer companies, although the Compensation Committee did review the pay of chief financial officers in our peer group.

Mr. Wheat's responsibilities within the Company were considered when determining the amount of his discretionary bonus. As Executive Vice President and CFO, Mr. Wheat works closely with our Chairman, CEO and COO in setting operational strategies for our homebuilding and financial services operations, communicating and implementing such strategies across the Company, analyzing and monitoring the Company's

performance

Table of Contents

and reviewing and approving investments in land and lots. These operational strategies include our homebuilding and financial services business plans and incentive compensation, land and lot investment criteria, level of homes in inventory, expense levels, capital structure and liquidity goals, among others. Mr. Wheat also provides executive management direction and oversight to the financial services operations and serves as a director on the boards of the Company's mortgage and insurance subsidiaries.

Mr. Wheat has direct responsibility for the Company's financial reporting process, including the effectiveness and integrity of the Company's financial, internal and disclosure controls and procedures, and compliance with all applicable financial reporting rules and regulations for public companies. Mr. Wheat is also directly responsible for providing executive management oversight of the Company's accounting, management reporting, internal audit, finance, treasury and tax functions. Additionally, Mr. Wheat is directly involved in the Company's investor relations process, including interactions with investors in the Company's equity and debt securities and industry research analysts. He also assists in the executive management oversight of the Company's information technology, human resources, public communications, marketing and corporate purchasing functions.

2016 Performance Restricted Stock Units – Ranking Results and Vesting at September 30, 2016

Chairman. In November 2016, Mr. Horton was awarded 325,000 shares of common stock based on a target number of 200,000 performance restricted stock units (*2016 Performance RSUs*) granted in November 2013. The performance period for the 2016 Performance RSUs was the three-year period of October 1, 2013 to September 30, 2016 (*the 2016 Performance Period*). The 2016 Performance RSUs vested based on the following four performance goals (*Performance Goals*):

Performance Goal	Performance Comparison	Weighting to Total Award
Relative TSR	S&P 500 Index TSR	25%
Relative ROI	Peer Group	25%
Relative SG&A	Peer Group	25%
Relative GP	Peer Group	25%

TSR: means total shareholder return (stock price increases and decreases plus dividends) of the Company over the 2016 Performance Period as determined by Standard and Poor's using the same methodology used by Standard and Poor's in preparing the stock performance graph included each year in the Company's Form 10-K.

ROI: means return on investment which is consolidated pre-tax income or loss divided by average total assets over the 2016 Performance Period.

SG&A: means consolidated selling, general and administrative expense (including corporate general and administrative expenses) as a percentage of consolidated revenues over the 2016 Performance Period.

GP: means gross profit defined as homebuilding revenues minus homebuilding cost of sales, including inventory and land option charges, divided by homebuilding revenues over the 2016 Performance Period.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the potential performance adjustments that may be made to the 2016 Performance RSUs based on the final performance rankings of the peer group and the Company.

2016 Performance RSUs**Potential Performance Adjustments as a Percentage of Target****Donald R. Horton**

	Company TSR Relative to S&P 500 Index TSR	TSR Portion of Award (weighted 25% of target award)	Payout	Number of Performance RSUs Awarded
<i>Percentage Points Below Index:</i>				
10 Percentage Points				zero
9 Percentage Points			Threshold	5,000
8 Percentage Points				10,000
7 Percentage Points				15,000
6 Percentage Points				20,000
5 Percentage Points				25,000
4 Percentage Points				30,000
3 Percentage Points				35,000
2 Percentage Points				40,000
1 Percentage Point				45,000
Equal to S&P 500 Index TSR			Target	50,000⁽¹⁾
<i>Percentage Points Above Index:</i>				
1 Percentage Point				55,000
2 Percentage Points				60,000
3 Percentage Points				65,000
4 Percentage Points				70,000
5 Percentage Points				75,000
6 Percentage Points				80,000
7 Percentage Points				85,000
8 Percentage Points				90,000
9 Percentage Points				95,000
10 Percentage Points			Maximum	100,000
ROI, SG&A and GP Portions of Award (each weighted 25% of target award)				
	Performance Level Compared to Peer Group		Payout	Number of Performance RSUs Awarded
11 th Place				zero
10th Place			Threshold	25,000
9 th Place				50,000
8 th Place				75,000
7 th Place				100,000
6 th Place				125,000
5th Place			Target	150,000⁽²⁾
4 th Place				187,500
3 rd Place				225,000
2 nd Place				262,500
1st Place			Maximum	300,000

(1) Target number listed is 25% of the total target number of 200,000 and other numbers are 25% of the other possible performance adjustments from the target.

- (2) Target number listed is 75% of the total target number of 200,000 and other numbers are 75% of the other possible performance adjustments from the target.

As set forth in the rankings tables above, the target number of 2016 Performance RSUs could have been increased to a maximum of 400,000 for Mr. Horton upon maximum achievement of each of the four Performance Goals and decreased to a minimum of zero for Mr. Horton upon minimum achievement of each of the four Performance Goals. Performance and percentages that fell between the ranking results in the tables were ranked using pro-rata linear interpolation as set forth in the tables above. For the 2016 Performance RSUs, the Company's peer group consisted of the nine publicly-traded homebuilding companies of the ten companies listed on page 26 in the table *Peer Group Fiscal 2016*. The CalAtlantic Group was not included in the final results because during the performance period The Ryland Group merged with Standard Pacific Corp., becoming CalAtlantic Group. Each 2016 Performance RSU represented the contingent right to receive one share of common stock if vesting was satisfied. The 2016 Performance RSUs had no rights to dividends or voting prior to vesting and payout in common stock.

Vesting of the 2016 Performance RSUs with respect to the TSR Performance Goal was determined after the 2016 Performance Period based on a comparison of the Company's TSR to the S&P 500 Index's TSR as computed by Standard and Poor's using their TSR methodology. Vesting of the 2016 Performance RSUs with respect to the ROI, SG&A and GP Performance Goals was determined after the 2016 Performance Period based on the relative ranking of the Company's performance on each Performance Goal to each peer group company's performance on each Performance Goal. If any portion of the 2016 Performance RSUs did not vest due to inadequate relative performance, that portion would have been forfeited.

Table of Contents

The hurdle or threshold for earning or vesting in 2016 Performance RSUs with respect to the TSR goal was to perform better than ten percentage points below the S&P 500 Index. The hurdle or threshold for earning or vesting in the 2016 Performance RSUs with respect to the ROI, SG&A and GP Performance Goals is to perform no worse than tenth place because in that event no bonus on that specific goal would be earned.

The following tables set forth the final peer group rankings based on TSR, ROI, SG&A and GP for the peer group and the Company with respect to the 2016 Performance RSUs:

2016 Performance RSUs**Final Peer Group Rankings**

Company / Index Name	Relative Total Shareholder Return	
	Base Period 9/30/2013	Year Ending 9/30/2016
D.R. Horton, Inc.	100	159.48*
S&P 500 Index	100	137.36

* Final performance goal ranking attained by the Company on the TSR performance goal was 22.12 points above the S&P 500 Index at September 30, 2016, as reflected in the table above.

Performance Goal	ROI, SG&A and GP Rankings									
	10 th Place	9 th Place	8 th Place	7 th Place	6 th Place	5 th Place	4 th Place	3 rd Place	2 nd Place	1 st Place
Relative ROI	0.10%	1.49%	7.90%	13.38%	19.33%	25.04%	25.33%	25.53%	31.57%*	65.39%
Relative SG&A	20.47%	13.56%	13.05%	12.79%	11.96%	11.88%	11.65%	11.20%*	10.98%	8.40%
Relative GP	14.84%	16.30%	16.45%	16.84%	18.23%	19.38%	19.72%*	21.65%	22.79%	24.26%

* Final performance goal ranking attained by the Company: 2nd place on ROI, 3rd place SG&A and 4th place on GP.

2016 Performance RSUs**Final Results Earned and Paid****Donald R. Horton**

The final payout was based on the three-year performance period ended September 30, 2016.

Target Number of RSUs Awarded	Final Company Performance Rankings	Number of RSUs Earned	Closing Stock Price at	Value of RSUs Earned at 9/30/2016	Final Payout in Common Stock
----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	--------------------------	------------------------------	---	------------------------------------

Edgar Filing: HORTON D R INC /DE/ - Form DEF 14A

9/30/2016					
50,000 Units	TSR = 22.12 Points Above	100,000 Units	\$30.20	\$3,020,000	100,000 Shares
50,000 Units	ROI = 2nd Place	87,500 Units	\$30.20	\$2,642,500	87,500 Shares
50,000 Units	SG&A = 3rd Place	75,000 Units	\$30.20	\$2,265,000	75,000 Shares
50,000 Units	GP = 4th Place	62,500 Units	\$30.20	\$1,887,500	62,500 Shares
200,000 Units		325,000 Units	\$30.20	\$9,815,000	325,000 Shares

A normalization adjustment was made to the final peer group rankings to include the results of discontinued operations for one peer group member in calculating ROI, SG&A and GP so that all peer group members and the Company were treated consistently. The normalization adjustment did not change the Company's final ranking on the ROI, SG&A or GP goals.

After reviewing the above final performance goal rankings for the 2016 Performance RSUs, the Compensation Committee approved the issuance of 325,000 shares of common stock to Mr. Horton.

Table of Contents**2016 Fiscal Year Award of 2018 Performance Restricted Stock Units Potential Vesting at September 30, 2018 and Award of Restricted Stock Units Time-Based Vesting**

Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and Chief Operating Officer. Under our long-term incentive program, our Chairman, CEO and COO have the opportunity to earn incentive awards based on performance over a period longer than one year. By awarding a portion of compensation over a longer time period, the interests of these executives are aligned with the interests of our stockholders.

In the first quarter of fiscal 2016, the Compensation Committee awarded performance restricted stock units (*the 2018 Performance RSUs*) to Mr. Horton, Mr. Auld and Mr. Murray as follows:

Name	Target Number of 2018 Performance RSUs
Donald R. Horton	200,000
David V. Auld	100,000
Michael J. Murray	30,000

The 2018 Performance RSUs, based on four performance goals of total shareholder return, return on investment, selling, general and administrative expense, and gross profit (*TSR* , *ROI* , *SG&A* , and *GP*), will vest, if at all, after the completion of the performance period, which is the three-year period of October 1, 2015 through September 30, 2018 (*the 2018 Performance Period*), and based on final performance rankings. The four performance goals, weightings and performance goal definitions for the 2018 Performance RSUs are similar to those set forth on page 30 and discussed below in the tables and discussion on pages 34, 35 and 36.

The Compensation Committee chose the TSR performance goal because TSR takes into account changes in our stock price plus dividends paid during the 2018 Performance Period compared to the S&P 500 Index's TSR. By comparing our TSR to the S&P 500 Index's TSR, we have a goal that incents our executives to achieve a return to our stockholders that is better than the return achieved by a broad-based index of companies. We believe the three performance goals of ROI, SG&A and GP are important internal operating metrics. ROI incents our executives to achieve operating profitability relative to our total assets which measures our efficiency at using our assets to generate pre-tax income. SG&A incents our executives to control selling, general and administrative expenses. GP incents our executives to maximize our sales prices and control sales incentives and costs of sales, which are composed of the costs of land, labor, materials and products used in building our homes.

In fiscal 2016, when determining the target number of 2018 Performance RSUs, the Compensation Committee reviewed the estimated annual compensation expense for these awards in relation to the Company's estimated annual financial metrics, such as revenue and pre-tax income. The Compensation Committee chose to further incent these executive officers by potentially increasing the target up to the maximum, as set forth in the tables on pages 34, 35 and 36, in the event that maximum performance is achieved on the four Performance Goals. The Compensation Committee subjectively chose the maximum of two times the target amount to further incent performance toward the top performance in the homebuilding industry. When the 2018 Performance RSUs were granted, the target and maximum amounts were subjective determinations and not based on any formulaic method or benchmark.

Table of Contents

The target number of the 2018 Performance RSUs may be increased or decreased based on relative performance over the three-year 2018 Performance Period as set forth in the following tables.

2018 Performance RSUs**Potential Performance Adjustments as a Percentage of Target****Donald R. Horton****TSR Portion of Award***(weighted 25% of target award)*

Company TSR Relative to S&P 500 Index TSR	Payout	Number of Performance RSUs Awarded
<i>Percentage Points Below Index:</i>		
10 Percentage Points		zero
9 Percentage Points	Threshold	5,000
8 Percentage Points		10,000
7 Percentage Points		15,000
6 Percentage Points		20,000
5 Percentage Points		25,000
4 Percentage Points		30,000
3 Percentage Points		35,000
2 Percentage Points		40,000
1 Percentage Point		45,000
Equal to S&P 500 Index TSR	Target	50,000⁽¹⁾
<i>Percentage Points Above Index:</i>		
1 Percentage Point		55,000
2 Percentage Points		60,000
3 Percentage Points		65,000
4 Percentage Points		70,000
5 Percentage Points		75,000
6 Percentage Points		80,000
7 Percentage Points		85,000
8 Percentage Points		90,000
9 Percentage Points		95,000
10 Percentage Points	Maximum	100,000

ROI, SG&A and GP Portions of Award*(each weighted 25% of target award)*

Performance Level Compared to Peer Group	Payout	Number of Performance RSUs Awarded
11 th Place		zero
10th Place	Threshold	25,000
9 th Place		50,000
8 th Place		75,000
7 th Place		100,000
6 th Place		125,000
5th Place	Target	150,000⁽²⁾
4 th Place		187,500
3 rd Place		225,000
2 nd Place		262,500
1st Place	Maximum	300,000

Edgar Filing: HORTON D R INC /DE/ - Form DEF 14A

- (1) Target number listed is 25% of the total target number of 200,000 and other numbers are 25% of the other possible performance adjustments from the target.
- (2) Target number listed is 75% of the total target number of 200,000 and other numbers are 75% of the other possible performance adjustments from the target.

Table of Contents**2018 Performance RSUs****Potential Performance Adjustments as a Percentage of Target****David V. Auld**

	Company TSR Relative to S&P 500 Index TSR	TSR Portion of Award (weighted 25% of target award)	Payout	Number of Performance RSUs Awarded
<i>Percentage Points Below Index:</i>				
10 Percentage Points				zero
9 Percentage Points			Threshold	2,500
8 Percentage Points				5,000
7 Percentage Points				7,500
6 Percentage Points				10,000
5 Percentage Points				12,500
4 Percentage Points				15,000
3 Percentage Points				17,500
2 Percentage Points				20,000
1 Percentage Point				22,500
Equal to S&P 500 Index TSR			Target	25,000⁽¹⁾
<i>Percentage Points Above Index:</i>				
1 Percentage Point				27,500
2 Percentage Points				30,000
3 Percentage Points				32,500
4 Percentage Points				35,000
5 Percentage Points				37,500
6 Percentage Points				40,000
7 Percentage Points				42,500
8 Percentage Points				45,000
9 Percentage Points				47,500
10 Percentage Points			Maximum	50,000
ROI, SG&A and GP Portions of Award (each weighted 25% of target award)				
	Performance Level Compared to Peer Group		Payout	Number of Performance RSUs Awarded
11 th Place				zero
10th Place			Threshold	12,500
9 th Place				25,000
8 th Place				37,500
7 th Place				50,000
6 th Place				62,500
5th Place			Target	75,000⁽²⁾
4 th Place				93,750
3 rd Place				112,500
2 nd Place				131,250
1st Place			Maximum	150,000

(1) Target number listed is 25% of the total target number of 100,000 and other numbers are 25% of the other possible performance adjustments from the target.

(2) Target number listed is 75% of the total target number of 100,000 and other numbers are 75% of the other possible performance adjustments from the target.

Table of Contents**2018 Performance RSUs****Potential Performance Adjustments as a Percentage of Target****Michael J. Murray****TSR Portion of Award***(weighted 25% of target award)*

Company TSR Relative to S&P 500 Index TSR	Payout	Number of Performance RSUs Awarded
<i>Percentage Points Below Index:</i>		
10 Percentage Points		zero
9 Percentage Points	Threshold	750
8 Percentage Points		1,500
7 Percentage Points		2,250
6 Percentage Points		3,000
5 Percentage Points		3,750
4 Percentage Points		4,500
3 Percentage Points		5,250
2 Percentage Points		6,000
1 Percentage Point		6,750
Equal to S&P 500 Index TSR	Target	7,500⁽¹⁾
<i>Percentage Points Above Index:</i>		
1 Percentage Point		8,250
2 Percentage Points		9,000
3 Percentage Points		9,750
4 Percentage Points		10,500
5 Percentage Points		11,250
6 Percentage Points		12,000
7 Percentage Points		12,750
8 Percentage Points		13,500
9 Percentage Points		14,250
10 Percentage Points	Maximum	15,000

ROI, SG&A and GP Portions of Award*(each weighted 25% of target award)*

Performance Level Compared to Peer Group	Payout	Number of Performance RSUs Awarded
11 th Place		zero
10th Place	Threshold	3,750
9 th Place		7,500
8 th Place		11,250
7 th Place		15,000
6 th Place		18,750
5th Place	Target	22,500⁽²⁾
4 th Place		28,125
3 rd Place		33,750
2 nd Place		39,375
1st Place	Maximum	45,000

(1) Target number listed is 25% of the total target number of 30,000 and other numbers are 25% of the other possible performance adjustments from the target.

(2) Target number listed is 75% of the total target number of 30,000 and other numbers are 75% of the other possible performance adjustments from the target.

As set forth in the rankings tables above, the target number of 2018 Performance RSUs may be increased to a maximum of 400,000 for Mr. Horton, 200,000 for Mr. Auld and 60,000 for Mr. Murray upon maximum achievement of each of the four Performance Goals and decreased to a minimum of zero for Mr. Horton, Mr. Auld and Mr. Murray upon minimum achievement of each of the four Performance Goals. Performance and percentages that fall between the maximum and the minimum will be ranked using pro-rata linear interpolation as set forth in the tables above. For the 2018 Performance RSUs, the Company's peer group consists of the ten publicly-traded homebuilding companies listed on page 26 in the table *Peer Group Fiscal 2016*. Each 2018 Performance RSU represents the contingent right to receive one share of common stock if vesting is satisfied. The 2018 Performance RSUs have no rights to dividends or voting.

Vesting of the 2018 Performance RSUs with respect to the TSR Performance Goal will be determined after the 2018 Performance Period based on a comparison of the Company's TSR to the S&P 500 Index's TSR as computed by Standard and Poor's using their TSR methodology. Vesting of the 2018 Performance RSUs with respect to the ROI, SG&A and GP Performance Goals will be determined after the 2018 Performance Period based on the relative ranking of the Company's performance on each Performance Goal to each peer group company's performance on each Performance Goal. Any portion of the Performance RSUs that does not vest due to inadequate relative performance will be forfeited.

The hurdle or threshold for earning 2018 Performance RSUs with respect to the TSR goal is to perform better than ten percentage points below the S&P 500 Index. The hurdle or threshold for earning 2018 Performance RSUs with respect to the ROI, SG&A and GP Performance Goals is to perform no worse than tenth place because in that event no bonus on that specific goal would be earned. Additional information on the grant date fair value of the 2018 Performance RSUs is set forth in the *Summary Compensation Table* on page 42 and the *Grants of Plan-Based Awards* table on page 44.

Table of Contents

At the time of grant, the Compensation Committee made the subjective determination to set the target and maximum number of 2018 Performance RSUs based on consideration that if the target or maximum amount were paid and the Company's stock price is at its current level, total compensation to our executive officers would remain within the range of estimated total compensation paid to similar executives in the Company's peer group over the 2018 Performance Period. However, we cannot guarantee this result as it involves future compensation practices of our peer group. The Compensation Committee further believed in the importance of setting a maximum that is significantly higher than the target to incentivize performance. If the maximum level for the performance goals was achieved, the Company would be in a stronger competitive position than its peers and could create more value for our stockholders.

Chief Financial Officer. During the first quarter of fiscal 2016, the Compensation Committee approved and awarded the 2018 Restricted Stock Units (2018 Time-Vesting RSUs) to Mr. Wheat as follows:

Name	Number of 2018 Time-Vesting RSUs
Bill W. Wheat	30,000

The 2018 Time-Vesting RSUs relate to a three-year period beginning on November 4, 2015 and ending on November 4, 2018. Ten thousand of the Time-Vesting RSUs will vest annually on November 4.

2016 Fiscal Year Award of Restricted Stock Units Time-Based Vesting

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Horton and Mr. Auld were awarded 94,000 and 37,600 restricted stock units, respectively, on February 12, 2016 by the Compensation Committee. These restricted stock units vest in equal installments over three years on each grant date anniversary of February 12, subject to continued employment on each such date. In determining the number of restricted stock units to award, the Compensation Committee made a subjective determination based on each of the factors listed on page 39 under the heading *2006 Stock Incentive Plan*. In addition to those factors, the Compensation Committee also reviewed the Company's stock price, the expected compensation expense related to the grant and the number of restricted stock units and stock options outstanding for both Mr. Horton and Mr. Auld as a result of the new restricted stock units granted, without giving any formulaic effect to such factors. In addition, the Compensation Committee set the vesting term at three years based on certain factors which include the tenure and role of each executive with the Company, the age of each executive, the retention value of restricted stock units based on a three-year vesting schedule and the lack of an employment agreement between each executive and the Company. The terms of the restricted stock unit agreements provide that if the recipient reaches the age of 65 and retires from the Company, all unvested restricted stock units become vested. Additional information on the annual compensation expense and grant date fair value of these restricted stock units is set forth in the *Summary Compensation Table* on page 42 and the *Grants of Plan-Based Awards* table on page 44 of this Proxy Statement.

Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Murray and Mr. Wheat were each awarded 32,900 restricted stock units on February 12, 2016 by the Compensation Committee. These restricted stock units vest in equal installments over five years from the grant date anniversary of February 12, subject to continued employment on each such date. In determining the number of restricted stock units to award, the Compensation Committee made a subjective determination based on the factors listed on page 39 under the heading *2006 Stock Incentive Plan*. In addition to those factors, the Compensation Committee also reviewed the Company's stock price, the expected compensation expense related to the grant and the number of restricted stock units and stock options outstanding for both Mr. Murray and Mr. Wheat as a result of the new restricted stock units granted, without giving any formulaic effect to such factors. In addition, the Compensation Committee set the vesting term at five years based on certain factors which include the tenure and role of each executive with the Company, the retention value of restricted stock units based on a five-year vesting schedule and the lack of an employment agreement between each executive and the Company. Additional information on the annual compensation expense and grant date fair value of these restricted stock units is set forth in the *Summary Compensation Table* on page 42 and the *Grants of Plan-Based Awards* table on page 44 of this Proxy Statement.

Table of Contents**2017 Fiscal Year Annual Incentive Bonus**

Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer. The Compensation Committee has decided to continue the short-term annual incentive bonus opportunity based on pre-tax income for Mr. Horton, Mr. Auld and Mr. Murray in fiscal 2017 and the discretionary bonus opportunity for Mr. Wheat.

Name	Fiscal 2017 Performance Goal	Maximum Bonus Potential	
		1st Semi-Annual Period	2nd Semi-Annual Period
Donald R. Horton	Pre-Tax Income	0.6%	0.6%
David V. Auld	Pre-Tax Income	0.35%	0.35%
Michael J. Murray	Pre-Tax Income	0.1%	0.1%

Mr. Wheat's annual incentive bonus will be discretionary in nature and based on the performance of his duties described under the heading *2016 Fiscal Year Annual Discretionary Bonus* beginning on page 29.

2017 Fiscal Year Award of 2019 Performance Restricted Stock Units Potential Vesting at September 30, 2019 and Award of Restricted Stock Units Time-Based Vesting

Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and Chief Operating Officer. During the first quarter of fiscal 2017, the Compensation Committee approved and awarded the 2019 Performance Restricted Stock Units (*2019 Performance RSUs*) to Mr. Horton, Mr. Auld and Mr. Murray as follows:

Name	Target Number of 2019 Performance RSUs
Donald R. Horton	200,000
David V. Auld	100,000
Michael J. Murray	30,000

The 2019 Performance RSUs will vest, if at all, after the completion of the three-year performance period, which is the period of October 1, 2016 through September 30, 2019, and based on final performance rankings and operate in a similar manner to the 2018 Performance RSUs awarded in fiscal 2016, as discussed in the section *2016 Fiscal Year Award of 2018 Performance Restricted Stock Units Potential Vesting at September 30, 2018 and Award of Restricted Stock Units Time-Based Vesting* on page 33.

Chief Financial Officer. During the first quarter of fiscal 2017, the Compensation Committee approved and awarded the 2019 Restricted Stock Units (*2019 Time-Vesting RSUs*) to Mr. Wheat as follows:

Name	Number of 2019 Time-Vesting RSUs
Bill W. Wheat	30,000

The 2019 Time-Vesting RSUs relate to a three-year period beginning on November 2, 2016 and ending on November 2, 2019. Ten thousand of the Time-Vesting RSUs will vest annually on November 2.

Incentive Bonus Plans Approved by Stockholders

We believe that performance-based bonuses should continue to represent a significant portion of the compensation of our Chairman, CEO and COO. We seek to structure our performance-based awards in a manner that may permit such awards to be tax deductible under Section 162(m) of the Code to the extent reasonably feasible and to the extent that such structure is in line with our operational and financial objectives. The

Table of Contents

Compensation Committee believes that a balanced executive compensation program is best served by providing compensation plans that allow for a mix and balance of short and long-term compensation components, including (i) a short-term or annual bonus performance plan, (ii) a long-term (more than one year) bonus performance plan, and (iii) a short-term and long-term equity plan. In furtherance of this objective, the Compensation Committee and our stockholders have previously approved the following incentive plans:

D.R. Horton 2000 Incentive Bonus Plan our primary short-term or annual bonus plan.

D.R. Horton 2006 Stock Incentive Plan our primary short and long-term equity plan.

The amended and restated 2000 Incentive Bonus Plan and the amended and restated 2006 Stock Incentive Plan were approved by our stockholders most recently on January 24, 2013 and January 22, 2015, respectively. The Compensation Committee will continue to evaluate the most effective way to use these two plans.

2000 Incentive Bonus Plan. The amended and restated 2000 Incentive Bonus Plan is the primary plan under which our Chairman, CEO and COO are awarded short-term annual incentive cash bonuses. We generally intend for awards issued to covered employees under the 2000 Incentive Bonus Plan to qualify for the performance-based compensation deduction allowed by Section 162(m). However, there can be no assurance that these awards will satisfy the requirements for deductibility under Section 162(m), and the Company and the Compensation Committee reserve the right to pay bonuses outside of this plan.

2006 Stock Incentive Plan. We use our Amended and Restated 2006 Stock Incentive Plan to issue restricted stock units, stock options and other equity based awards. From 2000 to 2016, the Compensation Committee awarded stock options or restricted stock units to its executive officers and key employees as a group in twelve to thirty month intervals. Since 2013, the Compensation Committee has awarded either stock options or restricted stock units annually. Consistent with this practice, the executive officers and key employees as a group were last granted stock options in March 2014 and March 2013 and awarded time-based restricted stock units in March 2016 and February 2015. The Compensation Committee's decision to award restricted stock units in recent years was based on providing a mix of equity awards (stock options and restricted stock units), reducing the number of common shares issued each year and the strong employee retention value of restricted stock units.

The Compensation Committee will continue to evaluate when to make equity awards to its executives and key employees based on the total mix of compensation for these individuals and other factors. Generally, when the Compensation Committee decides to grant equity awards to executive officers, in determining the number and material terms of equity awards to grant the Committee makes a subjective evaluation of:

the overall performance of the Company in comparison to its peer group;

an analysis of recent compensation of senior executive officers in the Company's peer group;

recommendations of the Chairman, other than for himself;

contributions the executive officer made and is anticipated to make to the Company's success;

level of experience and responsibility of the executive officer; and

number of equity awards previously granted to executive officers and other employees.

There is no relationship between the timing of the granting of equity-based awards and our release of material non-public information.

Edgar Filing: HORTON D R INC /DE/ - Form DEF 14A

We will continue to evaluate the type and mix of equity awards to be awarded to our executives and other employees in the future. Restricted stock, restricted stock units, stock options and stock appreciation rights are among the types of equity awards to be considered in the future and may be awarded under our 2006 Stock Incentive Plan. When considering whether to issue restricted stock (including restricted stock units) or stock options (including stock appreciation rights), the Compensation Committee will review the following factors (in addition to the previously listed factors):

difference in compensation expense of issuing restricted stock units versus that of issuing stock options;

retention value achieved by issuing restricted stock units versus that of issuing stock options; and

Table of Contents

value to employee of receiving restricted stock units versus stock options.

The Compensation Committee believes that restricted stock, restricted stock units and stock options should be available alternatives when considering equity awards. Restricted stock units provide strong retentive value by providing an award that upon vesting has immediate value at the current stock price, and results in lower dilution of our outstanding common shares because fewer shares are issued compared to stock options. Stock options also have unique and valuable features to our company and our employees because of the potential for strong returns if the stock price increases and the ability of the recipient to defer paying the exercise price and related taxes until the stock options are exercised. The Compensation Committee has not made definitive decisions regarding the awarding of equity awards in fiscal 2017, other than those discussed on page 38, but it will continue to evaluate making such equity awards during the current fiscal year.

Compliance with Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m)

When reviewing and setting compensation awards to our executives, we consider the tax deductibility of their compensation under Section 162(m). Section 162(m) generally does not allow a tax deduction to publicly-held companies for compensation over \$1 million paid in any fiscal year to the company's named executive officers (other than the chief financial officer). However, Section 162(m) exempts qualified performance-based compensation from this \$1 million limit if certain requirements are met. We generally intend for awards to our executive officers under the amended and restated 2000 Incentive Bonus Plan and the amended and restated 2006 Stock Incentive Plan to qualify for the performance-based compensation exemption under Section 162(m). However, there can be no assurance that these awards will satisfy the requirements for deductibility under Section 162(m). Further, we exercise judgment and may award compensation that does not qualify for tax deductibility under Section 162(m) to meet corporate objectives or to adapt to changing circumstances.

Retirement Benefits

Our executive officers do not participate in any qualified pension plans or defined benefit plans, but they do participate in the retirement plans below. We believe that it is important to offer these retirement plans to our executive officers as part of a competitive long-term compensation program that encourages saving for retirement and that promotes long-term retention.

Profit Sharing Plus Plan (401(k) plan). Our executive officers participate in our Company-wide 401(k) plan. Under this plan, executive officers, like all other eligible employees, may contribute from 1% to 75% of their earnings, on a pre-tax basis, into the 401(k) plan. For 2016, the maximum that could be contributed was \$18,000 (\$24,000 for participants 50 years or older). The Company makes a matching contribution to the participant's account in an amount of \$0.50 for each \$1.00 contributed by the participant up to 6% of his or her salary. The matching contributions made by the Company on behalf of the executive officers are included in the *All Other Compensation* column in the *Summary Compensation Table* on page 42.

Deferred Compensation Plan. The Company established the Deferred Compensation Plan effective as of June 15, 2002 and amended and restated it on December 10, 2008 (*the Deferred Compensation Plan*). The Deferred Compensation Plan is a nonqualified deferred compensation plan maintained primarily to provide deferred compensation benefits for a select group of management or highly compensated employees as defined by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended. The Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated, was adopted and approved by the Compensation Committee and ratified by the Board of Directors.

SERP 2. The Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan 2 (*SERP 2*), as amended and restated December 10, 2008, a nonqualified plan, was originally adopted by the Company in 1994 to permit eligible participants, which include our executive officers, region presidents, division presidents and other key employees, to accrue supplemental Company-funded benefits payable upon retirement, separation of service, death or disability. The SERP 2 provides that if the executive is employed by the Company on the last day of the fiscal year, the Company will establish a liability to such executive equal to 10% of his or her annual base salary as of the first day of such fiscal year. This liability will accrue earnings in future years at a rate established by the

Table of Contents

administrative committee for the SERP 2. Amounts payable under the SERP 2 are not secured or held in trust and the plan participants' rights to enforce payment are the same as a general unsecured creditor.

In connection with our risk oversight related to the retention and compensation of our named executive officers, the Compensation Committee has determined that an appropriate compensation package should include a reasonable amount of fixed compensation for both salary and retirement compensation. The Compensation Committee believes that a fixed component of compensation helps mitigate inappropriate risk taking because the executive can count on a certain level of fixed compensation. In this regard, in fiscal 2016, the Compensation Committee reviewed the amounts listed in the column titled *Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings* in the *Summary Compensation Table* on page 42. For the Company, these amounts represent the above-market portion of earnings on outstanding SERP 2 balances for the named executive officers. As part of this analysis, we reviewed the data of each company in our peer group related to the dollar amounts disclosed in the same column titled *Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings* in the *Summary Compensation Tables* for each of the CEOs or principal executive officers in our peer group from their most recently filed proxy statements for their fiscal 2015. The dollar amounts listed under this heading ranged from zero to approximately \$360,000. For fiscal 2016, the amount for our Chairman was \$204,524 and the amount for our CEO was \$90,361. We believe the amounts accrued for above-market earnings on SERP 2 balances are reasonable when compared to our peer group and reasonable when considered in relation to the total compensation packages offered to our named executive officers. Also, we considered other factors such as the Company does not provide our named executive officers with employment agreements or severance agreements or other forms of guaranteed retirement benefits other than the 401(k) matching contribution discussed above. As a result, our SERP 2 program continues to serve as a useful and reasonable fixed compensation component of our overall compensation package.

Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis contained in this Proxy Statement. Based on our review and discussions with management, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement and incorporated by reference in the Annual Report on Form 10-K of D.R. Horton, Inc. for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2016 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE:

Brad S. Anderson, Committee Chairman

Barbara K. Allen

Michael R. Buchanan

Michael W. Hewatt

The Compensation Committee Report does not constitute soliciting material, and shall not be deemed to be filed or incorporated by reference into any other company filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, except to the extent that the company specifically incorporates the Compensation Committee Report by reference therein.

Table of Contents**Executive Compensation Tables**

The following tables show, with respect to our Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the compensation awarded, earned or paid for all services rendered in all capacities to D.R. Horton during our fiscal years ended September 30, 2016, 2015 and 2014. Mr. Murray was not a named executive officer in fiscal 2014.

Summary Compensation Table

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary	Bonus ⁽¹⁾	Stock Awards ⁽²⁾	Option Awards ⁽³⁾	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compen- sation ⁽⁴⁾	Change in Pension Value and Non- Qualified Deferred Compen- sation ⁽⁵⁾	All Other Compen- sation ⁽⁶⁾	Total
Donald R. Horton <i>Chairman of the Board</i>	2016	\$ 1,000,000		\$ 8,360,660		\$ 8,120,730	\$ 204,524	\$ 111,263	\$ 17,797,177
	2015	\$ 1,000,000		\$ 7,204,660		\$ 6,740,552	\$ 169,627	\$ 110,207	\$ 15,225,046
	2014	\$ 1,000,000		\$ 3,928,000	\$ 1,681,500	\$ 4,885,110	\$ 132,323	\$ 110,048	\$ 11,736,981
David V. Auld <i>President and Chief Executive Officer</i>	2016	\$ 700,000		\$ 3,960,464		\$ 4,737,092	\$ 90,361	\$ 77,950	\$ 9,565,867
	2015	\$ 700,000		\$ 2,409,464		\$ 3,931,989	\$ 73,317	\$ 77,950	\$ 7,192,720
	2014	\$ 500,000			\$ 672,600	\$ 2,035,463	\$ 56,810	\$ 57,800	\$ 3,322,673
Michael J. Murray <i>Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer</i>	2016	\$ 500,000		\$ 1,680,013		\$ 1,353,455	\$ 29,306	\$ 57,950	\$ 3,620,724
	2015	\$ 500,000		\$ 1,566,303		\$ 1,123,425	\$ 22,059	\$ 57,950	\$ 3,269,737
	2014								
Bill W. Wheat <i>Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer</i>	2016	\$ 500,000	\$ 1,100,000	\$ 1,625,113			\$ 49,607	\$ 57,950	\$ 3,332,670
	2015	\$ 500,000	\$ 950,000	\$ 1,514,103			\$ 39,522	\$ 57,950	\$ 3,061,575
	2014	\$ 500,000	\$ 600,000		\$ 672,600		\$ 29,321	\$ 57,800	\$ 1,859,721

(1) The dollar amounts listed represent discretionary cash bonuses paid to Mr. Wheat. More information on fiscal 2016 discretionary bonuses is set forth under the caption *2016 Fiscal Year Annual Discretionary Bonus* beginning on page 29.

For Mr. Wheat, \$100,000 of each amount listed was paid in common stock. That amount represents 3,495 shares of common stock valued at \$28.61 per share paid for fiscal 2016, 3,355 shares of common stock valued at \$29.80 per share paid for fiscal 2015 and 4,359 shares of common stock valued at \$22.94 per share paid for fiscal 2014. More information on fiscal 2016 discretionary bonuses is set forth under the caption *2016 Fiscal Year Annual Discretionary Bonus* beginning on page 29.

(2) For fiscal 2016, the dollar amount for Mr. Horton, Mr. Auld and Mr. Murray represents the grant date fair value, determined in accordance with accounting guidance for share-based payments, of the fiscal 2016 awards of time-vesting RSUs and the grant date fair value of the target number of 2018 Performance RSUs. If the maximum number of 2018 Performance RSUs that potentially could be earned were used rather than the target number, the total grant date fair value of the award would be \$12,324,000 for Mr. Horton, \$6,162,000 for Mr. Auld and \$1,848,600 for Mr. Murray. The dollar amount for Mr. Wheat represents the grant date fair value of the fiscal 2016 awards of time-vesting RSUs. Additional information on the time-vesting and performance RSUs and the grant date fair value is set forth in footnote 2 to the *Grants of Plan-Based Awards* table on page 44.

For fiscal 2015, the dollar amount for Mr. Horton, Mr. Auld and Mr. Murray represents the grant date fair value, determined in accordance with accounting guidance for share-based payments, of the fiscal 2015 awards of time-vesting RSUs and the grant date fair value of the target number

Edgar Filing: HORTON D R INC /DE/ - Form DEF 14A

of 2017 Performance RSUs. If the maximum number of 2017 Performance RSUs that potentially could be earned were used rather than the target number, the total grant date fair value of the award would be \$9,448,000 for Mr. Horton, \$2,834,400 for Mr. Auld and \$1,417,200 for Mr. Murray. The dollar amount for Mr. Wheat represents the grant date fair value of the fiscal 2015 awards of time-vesting RSUs.

Table of Contents

For fiscal 2014, the dollar amount for Mr. Horton represents the grant date fair value, determined in accordance with accounting guidance for share-based payments, of the target amount of 2016 Performance RSUs. If the maximum number of 2016 Performance RSUs that potentially could be earned were used rather than the target number, the total grant date fair value of the award would be \$7,856,000 for Mr. Horton.

- (3) For fiscal 2014, the dollar amount represents the grant date fair value of the number of stock options granted in the fiscal year. The grant date fair value of the options was determined using a Black-Scholes option pricing model in accordance with accounting guidance for share-based payments. Assumptions used in the calculation of these amounts are included in Note J to our audited financial statements included in our Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2016. The Company recognizes expenses for these awards over their vesting period. Stock options were not granted in fiscal 2015 or fiscal 2016.
- (4) For fiscal 2016, Mr. Horton was paid \$8,120,730, Mr. Auld was paid \$4,737,092 and Mr. Murray was paid \$1,353,455 based on the consolidated pre-tax income earned by the Company in fiscal 2016. Additional information on the fiscal 2016 annual incentive bonus is discussed under the heading *2016 Fiscal Year Annual Incentive Bonus Results and Payout* on page 28. For fiscal 2015, Mr. Horton was paid \$6,740,552, Mr. Auld was paid \$3,931,989 and Mr. Murray was paid \$1,123,425 based on the consolidated pre-tax income earned by the Company in fiscal 2015. For fiscal 2014, Mr. Horton was paid \$4,885,110 and Mr. Auld was paid \$2,035,463 based on the consolidated pre-tax income earned by the Company in fiscal 2014.
- (5) Amounts represent the above-market portion of earnings on each executive officer's outstanding balance under the SERP 2. This amount is further discussed under the heading *SERP 2* on page 40.
- (6) For fiscal 2016, the amounts under *All Other Compensation* include the following components:
 - (a) Credits made by the Company of \$100,000, \$70,000, \$50,000 and \$50,000 to the respective accounts of Mr. Horton, Mr. Auld, Mr. Murray and Mr. Wheat under the SERP 2 plan.
 - (b) Matching contributions of \$7,950 to the respective accounts of Mr. Horton, Mr. Auld, Mr. Murray and Mr. Wheat under the D.R. Horton 401(k) plan.
 - (c) The participant's portion of group health plan premiums of \$3,313 paid by the Company for the benefit of Mr. Horton.

Table of Contents**Grants of Plan-Based Awards**

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽¹⁾			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽²⁾			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Stock Awards (#)(3)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$)(2)
		Threshold	Target	Maximum	Threshold	Target	Maximum		
Donald R. Horton	11/4/2015		\$ 8,120,730						
	11/4/2015				30,000	200,000	400,000		
	2/12/2016							94,000	
David V. Auld	11/4/2015		\$ 4,737,092						
	11/4/2015				15,000	100,000	200,000		
	2/12/2016							37,600	
Michael J. Murray	11/4/2015		\$ 1,353,455						
	11/4/2015				4,500	30,000	60,000		
	2/12/2016							32,900	
Bill W. Wheat	11/4/2015							30,000	
	2/12/2016							32,900	

(1) Represents the performance bonus paid based on consolidated pre-tax income earned by the Company in fiscal 2016. Additional information related to the pre-tax income bonus award is discussed under the heading *2016 Fiscal Year Annual Incentive Bonus Results and Payout* on page 28.

(2) Mr. Horton, Mr. Auld and Mr. Murray were awarded a target amount of 200,000, 100,000 and 30,000 2018 Performance RSUs, respectively. The threshold, target and maximum amounts reflect the number of 2018 Performance RSUs each executive could earn based on the level of performance attained for the three-year performance period and based on relative performance on four performance goals ranked against our peer group and the S&P 500 index.

The grant date fair value of the 2018 Performance RSUs is \$30.81 per unit and was determined in accordance with accounting guidance for share-based payments. These 2018 Performance RSUs are discussed under the heading *2016 Fiscal Year Award of 2018 Performance Restricted Stock Units Potential Vesting at September 30, 2018 and Award of Restricted Stock Units Time-Based Vesting* on page 33 and the related grant date fair value of \$6,162,000 for Mr. Horton, \$3,081,000 for Mr. Auld and \$924,300 for Mr. Murray is reflected in the *Stock Awards* column in the *Summary Compensation Table* on page 42.

(3) On November 4, 2015, Mr. Wheat was awarded 30,000 time-vesting RSUs under the 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended and restated. The RSUs vest in three equal annual installments on each successive anniversary date beginning November 4, 2016.

The grant date fair value of the November 4, 2015 RSUs is \$28.98 per unit and was determined in accordance with accounting guidance for share-based payments. The related grant date fair value of \$869,400 for Mr. Wheat is reflected in the *Stock Awards* column in the *Summary Compensation Table* on page 42.

On February 12, 2016, Mr. Horton, Mr. Auld, Mr. Murray and Mr. Wheat were awarded 94,000, 37,600, 32,900 and 32,900 time-vesting RSUs, respectively, under the 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended and restated. The RSUs for Mr. Horton and Mr. Auld vest in three equal annual installments on each successive anniversary date beginning February 12, 2017. The RSUs for Mr. Murray and Mr. Wheat vest annually in five equal installments on each successive anniversary date beginning February 12, 2017.

Edgar Filing: HORTON D R INC /DE/ - Form DEF 14A

The grant date fair value of the February 12, 2016 RSUs vesting in three years is \$23.39 per unit and for the RSUs vesting in five years is \$22.97 per unit. The grant date fair values were determined in accordance with accounting guidance for share-based payments. The related grant date fair value of \$2,198,660 for Mr. Horton, \$879,464 for Mr. Auld, \$755,713 for Mr. Murray and \$755,713 for Mr. Wheat is reflected in the *Stock Awards* column in the *Summary Compensation Table* on page 42.

Table of Contents**Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End**

The following table shows information about outstanding equity awards at September 30, 2016.

Name	Grant Date	Option Awards				Stock Awards		
		Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options	Option Exercise Price	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Shares of Stock That Have Not Vested
Donald R. Horton ⁽¹⁾	2/12/2016					94,000 ⁽³⁾		\$ 2,838,800 ⁽³⁾
	11/4/2015						200,000 ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 6,040,000 ⁽⁵⁾
	3/5/2015					59,008 ⁽³⁾		\$ 1,782,042 ⁽³⁾
	11/5/2014						200,000 ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 6,040,000 ⁽⁷⁾
	3/6/2014	100,000	50,000	\$ 23.86	3/6/2024			
	3/5/2013	150,000		\$ 23.80	3/5/2023			
	9/2/2011	300,000		\$ 9.97	9/2/2021			
	2/9/2009	300,000		\$ 9.03	2/9/2019			
2/11/2008	300,000		\$ 14.50	2/11/2018				
David V. Auld ⁽²⁾	2/12/2016					37,600 ⁽³⁾		\$ 1,135,520 ⁽³⁾
	11/4/2015						100,000 ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 3,020,000 ⁽⁵⁾
	3/5/2015					25,066 ⁽³⁾		\$ 756,993 ⁽³⁾
	11/5/2014						60,000 ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 1,812,000 ⁽⁷⁾
	3/6/2014	24,000	36,000	\$ 23.86	3/6/2024			
	3/5/2013	36,000	24,000	\$ 23.80	3/5/2023			
	9/2/2011	72,000		\$ 9.97	9/2/2021			
	2/9/2009	24,000	36,000	\$ 9.03	2/9/2019			
2/11/2008	24,000	24,000	\$ 14.50	2/11/2018				
Michael J. Murray ⁽²⁾	2/12/2016					32,900 ⁽³⁾		\$ 993,580 ⁽³⁾
	11/4/2015						30,000 ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 906,000 ⁽⁵⁾
	3/5/2015					26,320 ⁽³⁾		\$ 794,864 ⁽³⁾
	11/5/2014						30,000 ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 906,000 ⁽⁷⁾
	3/6/2014	24,000	36,000	\$ 23.86	3/6/2024			
	3/5/2013	36,000	24,000	\$ 23.80	3/5/2023			
	9/2/2011	69,000		\$ 9.97	9/2/2021			
	2/9/2009	8,000	24,000	\$ 9.03	2/9/2019			
2/11/2008	8,000	16,000	\$ 14.50	2/11/2018				
Bill W. Wheat ⁽²⁾	2/12/2016					32,900 ⁽³⁾		\$ 993,580 ⁽³⁾
	11/4/2015					30,000 ⁽³⁾		\$ 906,000 ⁽³⁾
	3/5/2015					26,320 ⁽³⁾		\$ 794,864 ⁽³⁾
	11/5/2014					20,000 ⁽³⁾		\$ 604,000 ⁽³⁾
	3/6/2014	24,000	36,000	\$ 23.86	3/6/2024			
	3/5/2013	36,000	24,000	\$ 23.80	3/5/2023			
9/2/2011	88,000		\$ 9.97	9/2/2021				

Edgar Filing: HORTON D R INC /DE/ - Form DEF 14A

2/9/2009	24,000	36,000	\$ 9.03	2/9/2019
2/11/2008	12,000	24,000	\$ 14.50	2/11/2018

- (1) All stock option awards granted to Mr. Horton prior to September 2011 vest annually in five equal installments. Stock option awards granted in September 2011 and thereafter vest annually in three equal installments. All stock options have a ten-year term.

Table of Contents

- (2) All stock option awards granted to Mr. Auld, Mr. Murray and Mr. Wheat prior to September 2011 vest in ten equal annual installments on each successive anniversary of the grant date commencing on the first anniversary date for nine years with the final installment vesting on the date that is 9.75 years following the grant date. Stock option awards granted in September 2011 and thereafter vest annually in five equal installments. All stock options have a ten-year term.
- (3) Represents the time-vesting RSUs granted to Mr. Horton, Mr. Auld, Mr. Murray and Mr. Wheat. The value of the time-vesting RSUs is based on the closing price of our common stock on September 30, 2016 of \$30.20.
- (4) Represents the target number of 2018 Performance RSUs awarded. The maximum number of 2018 Performance RSUs that can be earned is 400,000 for Mr. Horton, 200,000 for Mr. Auld and 60,000 for Mr. Murray upon maximum achievement of the performance goals. These 2018 Performance RSUs are described under *2016 Fiscal Year Award of 2018 Performance Restricted Stock Units Potential Vesting at September 30, 2018 and Award of Restricted Stock Units Time-Based Vesting* on page 33.
- (5) The value of the 2018 Performance RSUs is based on the closing price of our common stock on September 30, 2016 of \$30.20. The maximum market value of these 2018 Performance RSUs based on the stock price at September 30, 2016 is \$12,080,000 for Mr. Horton, \$6,040,000 for Mr. Auld and \$1,812,000 for Mr. Murray if maximum performance is achieved. These 2018 Performance RSUs are described under *2016 Fiscal Year Award of 2018 Performance Restricted Stock Units Potential Vesting at September 30, 2018 and Award of Restricted Stock Units Time-Based Vesting* on page 33.
- (6) Represents the target number of 2017 Performance RSUs awarded. The maximum number of 2017 Performance RSUs that can be earned is 400,000 for Mr. Horton, 120,000 for Mr. Auld and 60,000 for Mr. Murray upon maximum achievement of the performance goals.
- (7) The value of the 2017 Performance RSUs is based on the closing price of our common stock on September 30, 2016 of \$30.20. The maximum market value of these 2017 Performance RSUs based on the stock price at September 30, 2016 is \$12,080,000 for Mr. Horton, \$3,624,000 for Mr. Auld and \$1,812,000 for Mr. Murray if maximum performance is achieved.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested

The following table shows information about option exercises and stock vested during our fiscal year ended September 30, 2016.

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise	Value Realized on Exercise ⁽¹⁾	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting ⁽²⁾	Value Realized on Vesting ⁽³⁾
Donald R. Horton	150,000	\$ 65,794	359,992	\$ 10,825,709
David V. Auld	30,000	\$ 51,000	12,534	\$ 355,966
Michael J. Murray	3,000	\$ 2,703	6,580	\$ 186,872
Bill W. Wheat	40,000	\$ 37,053	16,580	\$ 480,872

- (1) Amounts represent the difference in the aggregate market value and the aggregate exercise price of the shares at the time of exercise.

Edgar Filing: HORTON D R INC /DE/ - Form DEF 14A

- (2) For Mr. Horton, the aggregate amount represents the 325,000 units related to the final number of 2016 Performance RSUs vested on September 30, 2016, 3,658 units of time-based RSUs vested on December 1, 2015 for payment of certain withholding taxes, and 31,334 units of time-based RSUs vested on March 5, 2016. The 2016 Performance RSUs were granted in November 2013 and the time-based RSUs were granted in March 2015.

Table of Contents

For Mr. Auld and Mr. Murray the amounts represent the number of time-based RSUs vested on March 5, 2016 that were granted in March 2015.

For Mr. Wheat, the aggregate amount represents the 10,000 units of time-based RSUs vested in November 2015 and 6,580 units of time-based RSUs vested on March 5, 2016. Mr. Wheat deferred the settlement of the 10,000 time-based RSUs vested in November 2015 until November 2017.

- (3) For Mr. Horton, the amount represents the number of 2016 Performance RSUs vested multiplied by the closing stock price on September 30, 2016 of \$30.20 per share, the number of time-based RSUs vested in December 2015 multiplied by the closing stock price on December 1, 2015 of \$33.03 per share, and the number of time-based RSUs vested in March 2016 multiplied by the closing stock price on March 4, 2016 of \$28.40 per share.

For Mr. Auld and Mr. Murray, the amounts represent the number of time-based RSUs vested in March 2016 multiplied by the closing stock price on March 4, 2016 of \$28.40 per share.

For Mr. Wheat, the amount represents the number of time-based RSUs vested in November 2015 multiplied by the closing stock price on November 5, 2015 of \$29.40 per share and the number of time-based RSUs vested in March 2016 multiplied by the closing stock price March 4, 2016 of \$28.40 per share.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plans

D.R. Horton has established the following nonqualified deferred compensation plans:

Deferred Compensation Plan. The Deferred Compensation Plan permits participants, including D.R. Horton's executive officers and directors, to voluntarily defer receipt of up to 100% of bonus or director fee compensation from D.R. Horton and up to 90% of base salary from D.R. Horton. The participants earn a rate of return on their deferred amounts based on their selection from a variety of independently managed funds. The Company does not provide a guaranteed rate of return on these deferred amounts. The rate of return realized depends on the participant's fund selections and market performance of these funds. Upon his or her annual election, a participant's Deferred Compensation Plan benefit will be paid, or commence to be paid, upon separation from service or on a fixed date. Specified employees, as defined in Code Section 409A, generally cannot be paid until six months after separation from service (or, if earlier, upon a change in control). Payment may also be made upon death, disability or an unforeseeable emergency. Payments are made in a lump sum unless installments are elected. Amounts payable under the plan are not secured or held in trust, and the plan participants' rights to enforce payment are the same as a general unsecured creditor. However, upon a change in control (as defined in the Deferred Compensation Plan), all plan benefits will be fully funded through an irrevocable grantor trust (also known as a Rabbi trust). The participants, at their election, may choose to have the deferred amounts paid out through scheduled in-service distributions (in a lump sum or annual installments of between two and five years) or following the later of termination of employment, director service or attaining the age of 62. The Deferred Compensation Plan was adopted and approved by the Compensation Committee and ratified by the Board of Directors.

SERP 2. Unlike the Deferred Compensation Plan, these are not elective deferrals, but rather the Company credits an amount to each participant's account. Participation in the SERP 2 is considered by the Compensation Committee annually at the beginning of the fiscal year. Pursuant to the SERP 2, if the executive is employed by the Company on the last day of a fiscal year, then the Company will establish a liability to such executive equal to 10% of his or her annual base salary as of the first day of such fiscal year. This liability will accrue earnings in future years at a rate established by the administrative committee for the SERP 2. Amounts payable under the SERP 2 are not secured or held in trust, and the plan participants' rights to enforce payment are the same as a general unsecured creditor. Amounts deferred under the SERP 2 are payable within 60 days following the retirement or termination of employment of the participant, the death or disability of the participant or a change in control of the Company. Provided however, specified employees, as defined in Code Section 409A, generally cannot be paid until six months after separation from service (or, if earlier, upon a change in control). The definition of change in control is described in *Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control* on page 48. The form of distribution may be in a lump sum, or in quarterly installments over a period not to exceed five years, as elected by the participant.

Table of Contents

The following table shows, for each named executive officer, aggregate contributions, earnings and withdrawals/distributions during fiscal 2016 and outstanding balances as of September 30, 2016 under all of our nonqualified deferred compensation plans.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

Name	Executive Contributions in Fiscal 2016		Company Contributions in Fiscal 2016		Aggregate Earnings in Fiscal 2016		Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions		Aggregate Balance at September 30, 2016	
	Deferred Cash Compensation	SERP	Deferred Cash Compensation	SERP ⁽¹⁾	Deferred Cash Compensation ⁽²⁾	SERP ⁽³⁾	Deferred Cash Compensation	SERP	Deferred Cash Compensation ⁽⁴⁾	SERP ⁽⁵⁾
Donald R. Horton				\$ 100,000	\$ 406,645	\$ 290,007			\$ 8,397,000	\$ 3,183,564
David V. Auld				\$ 70,000		\$ 128,128				\$ 1,432,350
Michael J. Murray				\$ 50,000	\$ 4,265	\$ 41,555			\$ 50,281	\$ 491,841
Bill W. Wheat				\$ 50,000		\$ 70,341				\$ 797,917

- (1) Represents the amount of unfunded, unsecured liabilities created by the Company on behalf of each participant in fiscal 2016 under the SERP 2. Such amount is also included in the *All Other Compensation* column of the *Summary Compensation Table* on page 42.
- (2) Represents the net amount of earnings on the balance of the participant's account that is the result of the performance of a variety of independently managed funds available to and selected by each participant under the Deferred Compensation Plan. The Company does not provide a guaranteed or fixed rate of return on these funds. The rate of return on these funds depends on the participant's investment selections and on the market performance of these funds. These amounts are not included in the *Summary Compensation Table* on page 42 because such amount was not preferential or above-market.
- (3) Represents the amount of earnings on the balance of the participant's account at a rate determined by the SERP 2 plan administrative committee, typically 10% per annum. The portion of earnings considered above-market are included in the *Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings* column of the *Summary Compensation Table* on page 42. The above-market portion of earnings for each of the above individuals for fiscal 2016 is: Mr. Horton: \$204,524; Mr. Auld: \$90,361; Mr. Murray: \$29,306; and Mr. Wheat: \$49,607.
- (4) These balances of deferred compensation represent compensation earned in prior years and were included in the Summary Compensation Table in prior year proxy statements in the year earned, to the extent applicable.
- (5) Includes amounts of unfunded, unsecured liabilities and the related earnings accrued by the Company on behalf of each participant with respect to the current and prior fiscal years under the SERP 2.

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control

None of our named executive officers has employment or change in control agreements with us specifically providing for payments upon involuntary termination of their employment. However, certain of our benefit and incentive plans contain various provisions regarding termination of employment or change in control. Any additional severance payments would be at the discretion of the Compensation Committee and determined at the time of termination. The following is a summary of the treatment of benefits under our benefit plans for various reasons for termination, including upon a change in control.

Edgar Filing: HORTON D R INC /DE/ - Form DEF 14A

Generally, our benefit plans define **cause** as a violation of the standards of employee conduct set forth in our employee manual and **change in control** as the occurrence of any of the following events:

(i) Our merger, consolidation or reorganization into another entity if our stockholders immediately before such transaction do not, immediately after such transaction, own more than 50% of the combined voting power of the outstanding voting securities resulting from such transaction and in substantially the same proportion as their stock ownership prior to the transaction;

Table of Contents

(ii) We sell all or substantially all of our assets to another entity or we completely liquidate or dissolve;

(iii) A person (as defined by Section 13(d)(3) or Section 14(d)(2) of the Exchange Act) becomes the beneficial owner (as the term "beneficial owner" is defined under Rule 13d-3 or any successor rule or regulation promulgated under the Exchange Act) of securities representing 20% or more of the combined voting power of the then outstanding voting securities (the threshold for amounts deposited under our SERP 2 plan on or after January 1, 2005 is 50% or 35% acquired in a single transaction or series of transactions in any 12-month period); and

(iv) During any two-year period, a majority of the members of the Board serving at the date of the most recent approval of our benefit plan by stockholders is replaced by members of the Board who are not nominated and approved by the Board.

For purposes of calculating beneficial ownership pursuant to this paragraph, no voting securities held by our Chairman, Donald R. Horton, as of the date of the adoption of the plan in question or received in any merger transaction shall be included in the calculation.

With regard to our amended and restated 2000 Incentive Bonus Plan, the definition of "change in control" differs from the generally applicable provisions described above in two ways. It includes one additional change in control event relating to board composition and it uses a different threshold for and a different exclusion from beneficial ownership for the change in control event described in paragraph (iii) above. Additionally, under the 2000 Incentive Bonus Plan, the threshold for a person's acquisition of beneficial ownership to trigger a "change in control" event is 50%, and this definition explicitly excludes from the group of persons that may trigger this change in control the Company, Donald R. Horton, Terrill J. Horton, their respective wives, children, grandchildren, and other descendants, and any trust or other entity formed or controlled by any such individuals.

2006 Stock Incentive Plan

Our D.R. Horton 2006 Stock Incentive Plan provides for accelerated vesting of all outstanding unvested restricted stock units and options granted under the plan in the event of a change in control or in the event of a participant's death, disability or retirement at the retirement age specified in the plan and the participant or his or her beneficiary, as applicable, will be entitled to exercise such options for a period of one year in the event of retirement or two years in the event of death or disability. In the event the participant's employment is terminated by the Company without cause or by the participant voluntarily, the participant will be entitled to exercise any options vested as of the date of termination for a period of three months following such termination. If the participant is terminated by the Company for cause, all options will immediately terminate and the participant will forfeit all vested options.

Amended and Restated Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan No. 2 (SERP 2)

Under the SERP 2, all amounts deferred shall be paid (either in lump sum or in quarterly installments as elected by the participant) within 60 days following the date of the participant's retirement or termination of employment, disability, death or change in control of the Company; provided, however, "specified employees," as such term is defined in Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code, must wait six months following termination of employment before payments accrued on or after January 1, 2005 can be made. In the event the Company terminates a participant for cause, all benefits under the SERP 2 will be forfeited and no payments will be made to the participant. In the event of a change in control, all amounts deferred shall be paid (in accordance with the participant's election) within 60 days following the date of the change in control.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, a participant's election as to form of payment (lump sum or installment) must have been made at least 12 months prior to distribution. If a termination event occurs and no election has been made, the distributions of pre-2005 accruals will be made on the first day of the 13th month following the date of election, and the distribution of post-2004 accruals will be made in a lump sum upon termination of employment (or six months later for specified employees).

Table of Contents

Table Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control

The following table reflects amounts of compensation to be paid to each of the named executive officers in the event of termination of employment or change in control. Because neither the Company nor any of its plans provides for additional benefits related to a change in control termination, if such a termination is triggered, the payments would be as set forth under the applicable column under *Termination of Employment*.

The amounts in the table assume a termination date of September 30, 2016, the last day of our fiscal year, and, if applicable, are based on the closing price of our common stock of \$30.20 on September 30, 2016. Because only Mr. Horton was at the normal retirement age (65 years old) on September 30, 2016, we only included amounts payable upon retirement for him. These amounts are estimates of payments to executives upon termination of employment or a change in control. Actual amounts can only be determined at the time of such executive's actual separation from the Company or change in control. Factors that could affect these amounts include the timing during the year of any such event, the company's stock price and the executive's age. Amounts to be provided to an executive under arrangements that do not discriminate in scope, terms or operation in favor of our executive officers and are available to all salaried employees are not included in the following table in accordance with SEC regulations.

In addition to the amounts set forth below, each of the named executive officers would be entitled to receive, upon certain termination events or a change in control, a distribution of his or her outstanding balance of compensation earned in prior years and deferred, at the executive officer's option, under our Deferred Compensation Plan. The balances of such accounts are set forth and explained in the *Nonqualified Deferred Compensation* table on page 48.

Table of Contents

The table reflects compensation to be paid based on the listed events if such events occurred on September 30, 2016.

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control

Name	Payments and Benefits	Voluntary (\$)	Termination of Employment			Change in Control (\$)
			Normal Retirement (\$)(4)	Without Cause (\$)	With Cause (\$)	
Donald R. Horton	Severance Pay:					
	Cash					
	Equity					
	2017 Restricted Stock Units ⁽¹⁾	4,026,657	4,026,657	4,026,657	4,026,657	4,026,657
	2018 Restricted Stock Units ⁽²⁾	2,013,313	2,013,313	2,013,313	2,013,313	2,013,313
	Vesting of Equity Awards:					
	Stock Options		317,000		317,000	317,000
	Time-Vesting Restricted Stock Units ⁽³⁾		4,620,842		4,620,842	4,620,842
	Payments of SERP 2 Contributions	3,183,564	3,183,564	3,183,564	3,183,564	3,183,564
	Total		9,223,534	14,161,376	9,223,534	14,161,376
David V. Auld	Severance Pay:					
	Cash					
	Equity					
	2017 Restricted Stock Units ⁽¹⁾	1,208,000		1,208,000	1,208,000	1,208,000
	2018 Restricted Stock Units ⁽²⁾	1,006,657		1,006,657	1,006,657	1,006,657
	Vesting of Equity Awards:					
	Stock Options				1,520,760	1,520,760
	Time-Vesting Restricted Stock Units ⁽³⁾				1,892,513	1,892,513
	Payments of SERP 2 Contributions	1,432,350		1,432,350	1,432,350	1,432,350
	Total		3,647,007	3,647,007	7,060,280	7,060,280
Michael J. Murray	Severance Pay:					
	Cash					
	Equity					
	2017 Restricted Stock Units ⁽²⁾	604,000		604,000	604,000	604,000
	2018 Restricted Stock Units ⁽²⁾	302,000		302,000	302,000	302,000
	Vesting of Equity Awards:					
	Stock Options				1,141,120	1,141,120
	Time-Vesting Restricted Stock Units ⁽³⁾				1,788,444	1,788,444
	Payments of SERP 2 Contributions	491,841		491,841	491,841	491,841
	Total		1,397,841	1,397,841	4,327,405	4,327,405
Bill W. Wheat	Severance Pay:					
	Cash					
	Equity					
Vesting of Equity Awards:						
Stock Options	604,000		604,000	1,520,760	1,520,760	
				3,298,444	3,298,444	

Edgar Filing: HORTON D R INC /DE/ - Form DEF 14A

Time-Vesting Restricted Stock Units ⁽³⁾				
Payments of SERP 2 Contributions	797,917	797,917	797,917	797,917
Total	1,401,917	1,401,917	5,617,121	5,617,121

Table of Contents

- (1) Under the 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, Mr. Horton, Mr. Auld and Mr. Murray were awarded a target number of 200,000, 60,000 and 30,000 2017 Performance RSUs, respectively, for the performance period of October 1, 2014 through September 30, 2017. Pro-rata vesting may occur based on the number of months served from October 1, 2014 in the event of voluntary termination, normal retirement or without cause termination after completion of the performance period. With respect to death or disability, the 2017 Performance RSUs vest pro-rata based on time passed. Upon a change in control, the Committee may accelerate vesting of part or all of the 2017 Performance RSUs. With respect to an event of voluntary termination, normal retirement, without cause termination, death, disability or a change in control, the 2017 Performance RSUs are valued at \$30.20 per unit, the closing price of our stock on September 30, 2016. The value in the table reflects pro-rata vesting (two of three years completed) based on time passed as if an event of voluntary termination, normal retirement, without cause termination, death, disability or a change in control had occurred on September 30, 2016.

- (2) Under the 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, Mr. Horton, Mr. Auld and Mr. Murray were awarded a target number of 200,000, 100,000 and 30,000 2018 Performance RSUs, respectively, for the performance period of October 1, 2015 through September 30, 2018. Pro-rata vesting may occur based on the number of months served from October 1, 2015 in the event of voluntary termination, normal retirement or without cause termination after completion of the performance period. With respect to death or disability, the 2018 Performance RSUs vest pro-rata based on time passed. Upon a change in control, the Committee may accelerate vesting of part or all of the 2018 Performance RSUs. With respect to an event of voluntary termination, normal retirement, without cause termination, death, disability or a change in control, the 2018 Performance RSUs are valued at \$30.20 per unit, the closing price of our stock on September 30, 2016. The value in the table reflects pro-rata vesting (one of three years completed) based on time passed as if an event of voluntary termination, normal retirement, without cause termination, death, disability or a change in control had occurred on September 30, 2016. The 2018 Performance RSUs are discussed in more detail under the heading *2016 Fiscal Year Award of 2018 Performance Restricted Stock Units Potential Vesting at September 30, 2018 and Award of Restricted Stock Units Time-Based Vesting* on page 33.

- (3) Under the 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, in November 2014 Mr. Wheat was awarded 30,000 time-vesting RSUs, in March 2015 Mr. Horton, Mr. Auld, Mr. Murray and Mr. Wheat were awarded 94,000, 37,600, 32,900 and 32,900 time-vesting RSUs, respectively, in November 2015 Mr. Wheat was awarded 30,000 time-vesting RSUs and in February 2016 Mr. Horton, Mr. Auld, Mr. Murray and Mr. Wheat were awarded 94,000, 37,600, 32,900 and 32,900 time-vesting RSUs, respectively. The time-vesting RSUs are valued at \$30.20 per unit, the closing price of our stock on September 30, 2016. The value in the table reflects accelerated vesting if an event of voluntary termination, normal retirement, termination without cause, death or disability, or change in control had occurred on September 30, 2016. Additional information on the time-vesting RSUs is set forth in footnote 3 to the *Grants of Plan-Based Awards* table on page 44.

- (4) Because only one of our named executive officers has reached the normal retirement age (65 years old) under our applicable plans on September 30, 2016, we only included amounts under the *Normal Retirement* column for that one officer.

Table of Contents

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS

We have a written Corporate Code of Business Conduct and Ethics. It requires that all directors and employees are expected to avoid relationships that present a potential or actual conflict between his or her personal interest and the interest of the Company. We generally review related-party transactions regarding our directors and executive officers in a similar manner as we review relationships that may give rise to a conflict of interest, provided there may be certain related-party transactions that may be approved, ratified, or in compliance with Company programs we make available to our directors, officers and employees. Generally, a *conflict of interest* exists whenever an individual's personal or private interests interfere or conflict in any way with the interests of the Company. A conflict situation can arise when a director or employee takes action or has personal interests that may make it difficult to perform Company work or make Company decisions objectively or effectively. Conflicts of interest may also arise when a director or employee, or member of his or her immediate family receives improper personal benefits as a result of his or her position with the Company, whether received from the Company or a third party.

To avoid conflicts of interest, or improper related-party transactions, each director or executive officer must disclose to the Company's Chief Legal Officer or Corporate Compliance Officer any transaction or relationship that reasonably could be expected to give rise to a conflict of interest or related-party transaction. The Chief Legal Officer or Corporate Compliance Officer then reviews the transaction, and if necessary, reports the transaction to a committee of the Board of Directors composed of independent directors. Related-party transactions that comply with Company programs and that do not present an improper conflict of interest do not require independent committee approval or ratification provided the transaction is reviewed by our Chief Legal Officer or Corporate Compliance Officer for compliance. If it is determined that the related-party transaction is in compliance with the applicable Company program and does not present an improper conflict of interest, the transaction is authorized.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

During our fiscal year ended September 30, 2016, D.R. Horton's Compensation Committee was composed of Barbara K. Allen, Brad S. Anderson, Michael R. Buchanan and Michael W. Hewatt, with Mr. Anderson serving as its Chairman. None of the members of the Compensation Committee has served the Company in any capacity other than as a member of our board or a member of a committee thereof.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL TWO

ADVISORY VOTE ON THE APPROVAL OF EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Our stockholders are being asked to approve a non-binding advisory resolution on the compensation of our named executive officers, as disclosed in this Proxy Statement. Although this say-on-pay resolution is non-binding, our Board of Directors and Compensation Committee welcome your opinion and will consider the result of the vote when making future compensation decisions.

At our Annual Meeting of Stockholders held on January 21, 2016, our stockholders voted in favor of a resolution to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Company's named executive officers disclosed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the Summary Compensation Table and the related compensation tables, notes and narrative in our Proxy Statement for the Company's 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Approximately 95.01% voted in favor of the advisory resolution on executive compensation.

At our Annual Meeting of Stockholders held on January 26, 2012, our stockholders elected to have an advisory vote on executive compensation every year. At our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held in January 2018, we intend to include a proposal to give our stockholders the option on whether to have an advisory vote on executive compensation every year, every second year or every third year.

We encourage you to read the Compensation Discussion and Analysis beginning on page 22 of this Proxy Statement, which describes in detail how our executive compensation policies and procedures operate and are designed to achieve our compensation objectives, as well as the Summary Compensation Table and other related compensation tables, notes and narrative, appearing on pages 42 through 52, which provide detailed information on the compensation of our named executive officers.

We believe that our current executive compensation program achieves an appropriate mix of short-term and long-term compensation incentives, reinforces the link between executive pay and the Company's long-term performance and stock value, and thereby aligns the interests of our named executive officers with those of stockholders.

In accordance with Section 14A of the Exchange Act, and as a matter of good corporate governance, we are asking stockholders to approve the following advisory resolution at the 2017 Annual Meeting:

RESOLVED, that the stockholders of D.R. Horton, Inc. (*the Company*) approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Company's named executive officers disclosed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the Summary Compensation Table and the related compensation tables, notes and narrative in the Proxy Statement for the Company's 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

The Board of Directors Unanimously Recommends that Stockholders Vote FOR

Approval of the Advisory Resolution on Executive Compensation.

Table of Contents**INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS**

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, D.R. Horton's independent auditor for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2016, has been engaged by the Audit Committee to continue to serve through our fiscal year ending September 30, 2017. A representative of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP is expected to be present at the 2017 Annual Meeting and will have an opportunity to make a statement and to respond to appropriate questions from stockholders.

Audit Fees and All Other Fees

The following table shows the fees paid or accrued by the Company for the audit and other services provided by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP for fiscal years 2015 and 2016.

	Fees	Fiscal Year Ended September 30,	
		2015 ⁽³⁾	2016
Audit fees		\$ 1,908,500	\$ 1,683,000
Audit-related fees ⁽¹⁾		79,400	900
Tax fees			
All other fees			
Total ⁽²⁾		\$ 1,987,900	\$ 1,683,900

(1) Related primarily to audits of employee benefit plans and audit-related services.

(2) Of the fees listed above, all of which were approved by the Audit Committee, none were approved based on waiver of pre-approval under Rule 2-01(c)(7)(i)(C) of Regulation S-X.

(3) The amounts shown for fiscal 2015 have been revised to reflect additional fees paid for audit and audit-related services.

Policy on Audit Committee Pre-Approval of Audit and Permissible Non-Audit Services

The Audit Committee has responsibility for appointing, setting compensation and overseeing the work of the independent auditor. In recognition of this responsibility, the Audit Committee has established a policy to pre-approve audit and permissible non-audit services provided by the independent auditor.

In connection with the engagement of the independent auditor for fiscal 2017, the Audit Committee pre-approved the services listed below by category of service, including the pre-approval of fee limits. The Audit Committee's pre-approval process by category of service also includes a review of specific services to be performed and fees expected to be incurred within each category of service. The term of any pre-approval is 12 months from the date of the pre-approval, unless the Audit Committee specifically provides for a different period. During fiscal 2017, circumstances may arise when it may become necessary to engage the independent auditor for additional services not contemplated in the original pre-approval. In those instances, the Audit Committee requires separate pre-approval before engaging the independent auditor.

The services pre-approved by the Audit Committee, which may be performed by the independent auditor during fiscal 2017, include the following:

Audit Services include audit work performed related to the Company's financial statements (including quarterly reviews), as well as work that generally only the independent auditor can reasonably be expected to provide, including comfort letters, statutory audits, and attest services and

consultation regarding financial accounting and/or reporting standards.

Audit-Related Services are for assurance and related services that are traditionally performed by the independent auditor, including due diligence related to mergers and acquisitions, employee benefit plan audits, and special procedures required to meet certain regulatory requirements.

Table of Contents

Tax Services include all services performed by the independent auditor's tax personnel except those services specifically related to the audit of the financial statements, and include fees in the areas of tax compliance, tax planning, and tax advice. The Audit Committee has not yet pre-approved any fee limits or specific Tax Services for fiscal 2017.

All Other Fees are those associated with permitted services not included in the other categories. The Company generally does not request such services from the independent auditor.

The Audit Committee may delegate pre-approval authority to one or more of its members. The member or members to whom such authority is delegated shall report any pre-approval decisions to the Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting. The Audit Committee may not otherwise delegate its responsibilities to pre-approve services performed by the independent auditor to management.

Audit Committee Report

The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed with management D.R. Horton's audited consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2016. Further, the Audit Committee has discussed with D.R. Horton's independent auditor the matters required to be discussed by Auditing Standard No. 16, Communications with Audit Committees, including D.R. Horton's audited consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2016, the auditor's responsibility under generally accepted auditing standards, significant accounting policies, management's judgments and accounting estimates, any audit adjustments, other information in documents containing audited financial statements and other matters. Finally, the Audit Committee has received and reviewed the written disclosures and the letter from the independent auditor required by the applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent auditor's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, and has discussed the auditor's independence with the auditor.

Based on its review and discussion described above, the Audit Committee has recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited consolidated financial statements for fiscal 2016 be included in D.R. Horton's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2016. Further, the Audit Committee approved the engagement of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as D.R. Horton's independent auditor for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2017.

AUDIT COMMITTEE:

Michael W. Hewatt, Committee Chairman

Barbara K. Allen

Brad S. Anderson

Michael R. Buchanan

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL THREE

RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT

REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Audit Committee has appointed PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm to audit our consolidated financial statements for our fiscal year ending September 30, 2017. During fiscal 2016, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP served as our independent registered public accounting firm and also provided certain other audit-related services, as further discussed above under the heading *Audit Fees and All Other Fees* on page 55. A representative of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP is expected to attend the 2017 Annual Meeting, be available to respond to appropriate questions and, if he or she desires, make a statement.

Although we are not required to do so, we are seeking stockholder ratification of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP's appointment as our independent registered public accounting firm. If PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP's appointment is not ratified, the Audit Committee will reconsider whether to retain PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, but still may retain them. Even if the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP is ratified, the Audit Committee, in its discretion, may change the appointment at any time during the year if it determines that such a change would be in our and our stockholders' best interests.

Vote Required

Approval of the ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for our fiscal year ending September 30, 2017 requires the affirmative vote of the majority of shares of common stock present or represented, and entitled to vote thereon, at the 2017 Annual Meeting.

**The Board of Directors Unanimously Recommends that Stockholders Vote FOR the Ratification
of the Appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our Independent Registered Public
Accounting Firm for our Fiscal Year Ending September 30, 2017.**

Table of Contents

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act, requires D.R. Horton's directors, certain of its officers, and persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of D.R. Horton's equity securities to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the SEC. Such officers, directors and greater than 10% stockholders are required by SEC regulations to furnish D.R. Horton with copies of all forms they file pursuant to Section 16(a). Based solely on its review of the copies of such forms received by it and on written representations from certain reporting persons that no Form 5 reports were required for those persons, D.R. Horton believes that all filing requirements applicable to its officers, directors and greater than 10% beneficial owners were complied with during the year ended September 30, 2016 on a timely basis.

STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR 2018 ANNUAL MEETING

Any stockholder who intends to present a proposal for action at D.R. Horton's 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and to have D.R. Horton include such proposal in its proxy soliciting materials pursuant to Rule 14a-8 under the Exchange Act must deliver a copy of the proposal to D.R. Horton not later than August 11, 2017.

In addition, apart from the Rule 14a-8 process as described below, the Bylaws of D.R. Horton provide that any stockholder intending to propose any business at our 2018 Annual Meeting must submit written notice of that proposal in a timely manner to Corporate Counsel of D.R. Horton for such proposal to be acted upon at the meeting of stockholders. To be timely, a stockholder's notice for our 2018 Annual Meeting must be delivered to, or mailed and received at, the principal executive offices of D.R. Horton not later than the close of business on October 21, 2017 and not earlier than the close of business on September 21, 2017. In the event that the date of the 2018 Annual Meeting is changed by more than 30 calendar days from the anniversary date of the 2017 Annual Meeting, notice by the stockholder to be timely must be so delivered not earlier than the close of business on the 120th calendar day prior to such meeting and not later than the close of business on the later of the 90th calendar day prior to such meeting or the 10th calendar day following the day on which public disclosure of the date of such meeting is made. In no event shall public disclosure of an adjournment or postponement of an annual meeting commence a new time period (or extend any time period) for the giving of a stockholder's notice as described above. The notice must include the information specified in our Bylaws, including information concerning the nominee or the proposal, and the stockholder and the beneficial owner, as the case may be. We will not entertain any such proposals at the annual meeting that do not meet the requirements set forth in our Bylaws. The Bylaws provide that the foregoing notice requirements do not apply to a proposal proposed to be made by a stockholder if the stockholder has notified the Company of his or her intention to present a proposal at the 2018 Annual Meeting pursuant to and in compliance with Rule 14a-8, or any other rule promulgated under Section 14 of the Exchange Act and such proposal is included in the Company's proxy statement for such annual meeting.

REQUESTING DOCUMENTS FROM THE COMPANY

On our website, at www.drhorton.com, under the Investor Relations and Corporate Governance links, you will find the following: (i) Corporate Governance Principles, (ii) Audit Committee Charter, (iii) Compensation Committee Charter, (iv) Nominating and Governance Committee Charter, (v) Code of Ethical Conduct for the CEO, CFO, and Senior Financial Officers, (vi) Complaint Procedures for Accounting, Internal Control, Auditing and Financial Matters and Complaint Procedures for Employee Matters, and (vii) Corporate Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for Employees and Directors. **You may obtain a copy of any of these documents at no charge through our website or by contacting us for a printed set. In addition, a copy of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2016, including the financial statements and the financial statement schedules included therein, is available without charge. The exhibits of the Annual Report on Form 10-K are available upon payment of charges that approximate our cost of reproduction.** You may contact us for these purposes at: Attention: Thomas B. Montano, Vice President, Corporate and Securities Counsel, D.R. Horton, Inc., 301 Commerce Street, Suite 500, Fort Worth, TX 76102, (817) 390-8200 or e-mail: tbmontano@drhorton.com.

Table of Contents

OTHER MATTERS

Management knows of no other matters to be voted upon at the 2017 Annual Meeting. If any other matter is properly brought before the 2017 Annual Meeting, it is the intention of the persons named as proxies in the form of proxy to vote in their discretion upon such matters in accordance with their judgment. The persons named as proxies are Donald R. Horton, Chairman, and David V. Auld, President and Chief Executive Officer.

You are urged to sign, date and return the enclosed proxy in the envelope provided. No postage is required if the envelope is mailed from within the United States. If you subsequently decide to attend the 2017 Annual Meeting and wish to vote your shares in person, you may do so. Your cooperation in giving this matter your prompt attention is appreciated.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

THOMAS B. MONTANO
Vice President and Assistant Secretary

Fort Worth, Texas

December 9, 2016

Table of Contents

301 Commerce Street

Suite 500

Fort Worth, Texas 76102

(817) 390-8200

www.drhorton.com

Table of Contents

***AMERICAN STOCK TRANSFER & TRUST
COMPANY***

6201 15TH AVENUE

BROOKLYN, NY 11219

VOTE BY INTERNET - www.proxyvote.com

Use the Internet to transmit your voting instructions and for electronic delivery of information up until 11:59 P.M. Eastern Time the day before the cut-off date or meeting date. Have your proxy card in hand when you access the web site and follow the instructions to obtain your records and to create an electronic voting instruction form.

ELECTRONIC DELIVERY OF FUTURE PROXY MATERIALS

If you would like to reduce the costs incurred by our company in mailing proxy materials, you can consent to receiving all future proxy statements, proxy cards and annual reports electronically via e-mail or the Internet. To sign up for electronic delivery, please follow the instructions above to vote using the Internet and, when prompted, indicate that you agree to receive or access proxy materials electronically in future years.

VOTE BY PHONE - 1-800-690-6903

Use any touch-tone telephone to transmit your voting instructions up until 11:59 P.M. Eastern Time the day before the cut-off date or meeting date. Have your proxy card in hand when you call and then follow the instructions.

VOTE BY MAIL

Mark, sign and date your proxy card and return it in the postage-paid envelope we have provided or return it to Vote Processing, c/o Broadridge, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, NY 11717.

TO VOTE, MARK BLOCKS BELOW IN BLUE OR BLACK INK AS FOLLOWS:

E15620-P84208 KEEP THIS PORTION FOR YOUR RECORDS
 DETACH AND RETURN THIS PORTION ONLY
THIS PROXY CARD IS VALID ONLY WHEN SIGNED AND DATED.

D.R. HORTON, INC.

Vote on Directors

**The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR each
 Nominee for Director.**

1. Proposal One:
 Election of
 directors.

Nominees:	For	Against	Abstain	<u>Vote on Other Proposals</u>
1a. Donald R. Horton	<p>The Board of Directors recommends a vote <u>FOR</u> Proposal Two and Proposal Three as proposed below.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">For Against Abstain</p>
1b. Barbara K. Allen	<p>2. Proposal Two: Approval of the advisory resolution on executive compensation.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">.. </p>
1c. Brad S. Anderson	
1d. Michael R. Buchanan	<p>3. Proposal Three: Ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">.. </p>
1e. Michael W. Hewatt	

**PLEASE MARK, SIGN, DATE AND RETURN THIS PROXY CARD PROMPTLY,
 USING THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE.**

Note: Please sign exactly as name(s) appear(s) herein. When shares are held by joint tenants, both should sign. When signing as attorney, executor, administrator, trustee, or guardian, please give full titles as such. If a corporation, please sign in full corporate name by President or other authorized officer. If a partnership, please sign in partnership name by an authorized person.

Signature [PLEASE SIGN WITHIN BOX]

Signature (Joint Owners) _____
Date _____

Table of Contents

**Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholder Meeting
to be held January 19, 2017:**

The Notice, Proxy Statement, Telephone/Internet insert (Company supplied) and Annual Report on Form 10-K are available at www.proxyvote.com.

E15621-P84208

**D.R. HORTON, INC.
2017 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS**

D.R. Horton Tower, 301 Commerce Street, Suite 500, Fort Worth, Texas 76102

THIS PROXY IS SOLICITED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The undersigned hereby nominates, constitutes and appoints Donald R. Horton and David V. Auld, and each of them, attorneys, agents and proxies of the undersigned, with full power of substitution to each and hereby authorizes them to represent and to vote, as designated on the reverse side of this card, all shares of Common Stock of D.R. Horton, Inc. (*the Company*) held of record by the undersigned at the close of business on November 28, 2016, at the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on January 19, 2017 at 10:00 a.m. central time, or any adjournment thereof.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote **FOR** Proposals One, Two and Three. This proxy when properly executed will be voted in the manner directed herein by the undersigned stockholder. **If no direction is made, this proxy will be voted as recommended by the Board of Directors in this paragraph.** In addition, if any other matter should be properly brought before the meeting, the persons named as proxies will vote on such matters in accordance with their best judgment.

The undersigned hereby ratifies and confirms all that said attorneys and proxies, or any of them, or their substitutes, shall lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof and hereby revokes any and all proxies heretofore given by the undersigned to vote at said meeting. The undersigned acknowledges receipt of the notice of said annual meeting and the proxy statement accompanying said notice.

PLEASE SIGN AND DATE ON THE REVERSE SIDE.