Douglas Emmett Inc Form 10-Q August 07, 2015 United States Securities and Exchange Commission Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2015

Commission file number 001-33106

Douglas Emmett, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland 20-3073047

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or

organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

808 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 200, Santa Monica,

California

90401

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

(310) 255-7700

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

N/A

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer x

Accelerated filer "

Non-accelerated filer " (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes "No x

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class Outstanding at July 31, 2015

Common Stock, \$0.01 par value per share 146,292,154 shares

DOUGLAS EMMETT, INC. FORM 10-Q TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>PART I.</u>	FINANC	CIAL INFORMATION	PAGE NO
	Item 1	Financial Statements	<u>4</u>
		Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2015 (unaudited) and December 31, 2014	<u>4</u>
		Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 (unaudited)	<u>5</u>
		<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 (unaudited)</u>	<u>6</u>
		Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 (unaudited)	7
			9
	Item 2	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>26</u>
	Item 3	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>37</u>
	Item 4	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>37</u>
PART II.	<u>OTHER</u>	INFORMATION	
	Item 1	Legal Proceedings	<u>38</u>
	Item 1A	Risk Factors	<u>38</u>
	Item 2	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>38</u>
		<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	<u>38</u>
	Item 4	Mine Safety Disclosures	<u>38</u>
	Item 5	Other Information	<u>38</u>
	Item 6	<u>Exhibits</u>	<u>39</u>
SIGNAT	<u>CURES</u>		<u>40</u>

Forward Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (Report) contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (Securities Act), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). You can find many (but not all) of these statements by looking for words such as "approximates," "believes," "expects," "anticipates," "estimates," "intends," "plans," "would," "could", "may", "future" or other similar expressions in this Report. We claim the protection of the safe harbor contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. We caution investors that any forward-looking statements presented in this Report, or those that we may make orally or in writing from time to time, are based on our beliefs and assumptions. The actual outcome will be affected by known and unknown risks, trends, uncertainties and factors that are beyond our control or ability to predict. Although we believe that our assumptions are reasonable, they are not guarantees of future performance and some will inevitably prove to be incorrect. As a result, our actual future results can be expected to differ from our expectations, and those differences may be material. Accordingly, investors should use caution in relying on previously reported forward-looking statements, which were based on results and trends at the time they were made, to anticipate future results or trends.

Some of the risks and uncertainties that may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from those expressed or implied by forward-looking statements include the following:

adverse economic or real estate developments in Southern California and Honolulu, Hawaii;

a general downturn in the economy, such as the global financial crisis that commenced in 2008;

decreased rental rates or increased tenant incentive and vacancy rates;

defaults on, early termination of, or non-renewal of leases by tenants;

increased interest rates and operating costs;

failure to generate sufficient cash flows to service our outstanding indebtedness;

difficulties in raising capital for our institutional funds;

difficulties in identifying properties to acquire and completing acquisitions;

failure to successfully operate acquired properties and operations;

failure to maintain our status as a Real Estate Investment Trust (REIT) under federal tax laws;

possible adverse changes in rent control laws and regulations;

environmental uncertainties;

risks related to natural disasters:

lack or insufficient amount of insurance, or changes to the cost of maintaining existing insurance coverage;

inability to successfully expand into new markets and submarkets;

risks associated with property development;

conflicts of interest with our officers;

changes in real estate zoning laws and increases in real property tax rates;

the negative results of litigation or governmental proceedings;

the consequences of any possible future terrorist attacks; and

the consequences of any possible future cyber attacks or intrusions.

For further discussion of the above risk factors, see "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in our 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

This Report and all subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us or any person acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements contained or referred to in this section. We do not undertake any obligation to release publicly any revisions to our forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this Report.

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

item 1. Pinanciai Statements		
Douglas Emmett, Inc.		
Consolidated Balance Sheets		
(in thousands, except share data)		
	June 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
	(unaudited)	(audited)
Assets	(()
Investment in real estate:		
Land	\$924,965	\$900,813
	•	5,590,118
Buildings and improvements	5,686,683	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Tenant improvements and lease intangibles	697,359	666,672
Investment in real estate, gross	7,309,007	7,157,603
Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization	(1,624,228) (1,531,157
Investment in real estate, net	5,684,779	5,626,446
Cash and cash equivalents	74,530	18,823
Tenant receivables, net	2,382	2,143
Deferred rent receivables, net	78,363	74,997
Acquired lease intangible assets, net	4,983	3,527
Interest rate contract assets	2,817	<u> </u>
Investment in unconsolidated real estate funds	167,287	171,390
Other assets	23,590	57,270
Total assets	\$6,038,731	\$5,954,596
	Ψ0,030,731	Ψ3,731,370
Liabilities	¢2554414	¢ 2 425 200
Secured notes payable and revolving credit facility	\$3,554,414	\$3,435,290
Interest payable, accounts payable and deferred revenue	56,128	54,364
Security deposits	37,409	37,450
Acquired lease intangible liabilities, net	35,264	45,959
Interest rate contract liabilities	26,684	37,386
Dividends payable	30,721	30,423
Total liabilities	3,740,620	3,640,872
Equity		
Douglas Emmett, Inc. stockholders' equity:		
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value 750,000,000 authorized, 146,292,154 an	d	
144,869,101 outstanding at June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014,	1,463	1,449
respectively	1,403	1,447
Additional paid-in capital	2,697,809	2,678,798
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(19,859) (30,089
Accumulated deficit	(735,904) (706,700
Total Douglas Emmett, Inc. stockholders' equity	1,943,509	1,943,458
Noncontrolling interests	354,602	370,266
Total equity	2,298,111	2,313,724
Total liabilities and equity	\$6,038,731	\$5,954,596
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.		

Table of Contents

Douglas Emmett, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Operations

(unaudited and in thousands, except per share data)

	Three Month 2015	ıs I	Ended June 30, 2014		Six Months 2015	End	ded June 30, 2014	
Revenues Office rental								
Rental revenues	\$103,808		\$100,264		\$204,459		\$198,877	
Tenant recoveries	11,463		11,720		21,613		22,627	
Parking and other income	21,520		19,572		42,175		39,135	
Total office revenues	136,791		131,556		268,247		260,639	
Multifamily rental								
Rental revenues	21,975		18,370		43,619		36,680	
Parking and other income	1,691		1,496		3,400		2,975	
Total multifamily revenues	23,666		19,866		47,019		39,655	
Total revenues	160,457		151,422		315,266		300,294	
Operating Expenses								
Office expense	46,542		44,661		90,741		88,013	
Multifamily expense	5,930		5,096		11,750		10,229	
General and administrative	7,473		6,712		14,834		13,523	
Depreciation and amortization	51,246		50,939		101,080		101,138	
Total operating expenses	111,191		107,408		218,405		212,903	
Operating income	49,266		44,014		96,861		87,391	
Other income	2,415		4,586		10,974		8,873	
Other expenses	(1,619)	(1,678)	(3,191)	(3,131)
Income, including depreciation, from unconsolidated real estate funds	^d 1,207		947		2,650		2,060	
Interest expense	(35,177)	(31,952)	(68,816)	(63,790)
Acquisition-related expenses	(198)			(488)	(28)
Net income	15,894		15,917		37,990		31,375	
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling	•	`	•	`	•	\	(5.026	,
interests	(2,446)	(2,554)	(5,843)	(5,036)
Net income attributable to common stockholders	\$13,448		\$13,363		\$32,147		\$26,339	
Net income attributable to common stockholders per share – basic			\$0.093		\$0.220		\$0.183	
Net income attributable to common stockholders per share – diluted	r \$0.089		\$0.090		\$0.213		\$0.178	
Dividends declared per common share	\$0.21		\$0.20		\$0.42		\$0.40	

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Douglas Emmett, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

(unaudited and in thousands)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months	Ended June 30,
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Net income	\$15,894	\$15,917	\$37,990	\$31,375
Other comprehensive income: cash flow hedges	11,367	2,709	12,385	8,160
Comprehensive income	27,261	18,626	50,375	39,535
Less: Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(4,268) (3,132	(7,998) (6,913)
Comprehensive income attributable to common stockholders	\$22,993	\$15,494	\$42,377	\$32,622

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Douglas Emmett, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(unaudited and in thousands)

	Six Months En	de	d June 30, 2014	
Operating Activities	2013		2014	
Net income	\$37,990		\$31,375	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			Ψ31,373	
Income, including depreciation, from unconsolidated real estate funds	(2,650)	(2,060)
Gain from insurance recoveries for damage to real estate	(2,030	,	(4,826)
Depreciation and amortization	101,080		101,138	,
Net accretion of acquired lease intangibles	(12,940))
Increase (decrease) in the allowance for doubtful accounts	250	,	(1,523)
Amortization of deferred loan costs	3,974		2,005	,
Non-cash market value adjustments on interest rate contracts	3,974		43	
Non-cash amortization of equity compensation			2,702	
Operating distributions from unconsolidated real estate funds	535		483	
Change in working capital components:	333		403	
Tenant receivables	(476	`	426	
	`)		`
Deferred rent receivables	(3,379)	(1,100 886)
Interest payable, accounts payable and deferred revenue	3,133	`		
Security deposits	(41)	621	
Other assets	4,784		3,726	
Net cash provided by operating activities	136,195		126,802	
Investing Activities				
Capital expenditures for improvements to real estate	(37,590)	(42,396)
Capital expenditures for developments	(2,074)	(1,284)
Insurance recoveries for damage to real estate	(2,074	,	4,236	,
Property acquisition	(89,906)		
Note receivable	(0),)00	,	(27,500)
Proceeds from repayment of note receivable	1,000		(27,500	,
Loan payments received from related party	606			
Contributions to unconsolidated real estate funds	(12)	<i></i>	
Capital distributions from unconsolidated real estate funds	4,053	,	5,702	
Net cash used in investing activities	(123,923)	(60,943)
rect cash used in investing activities	(123,723	,	(00,743	,
Financing Activities				
Proceeds from borrowings	662,400		101,000	
Deferred loan cost payments	(4,641)	(312)
Repayment of borrowings	(543,276)	(121,930)
Contributions by noncontrolling interests			250	,
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	(11,817)	(11,682)
Repurchase of stock options		,	(4,524)
Repurchase of operating partnership units			(2,827	í
Cash dividends to common stockholders	(61,054)	(57,255	í
Exercise of stock options	1,823	,		,
	1,020			

Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	43,435	(97,280)
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period Cash and cash equivalents at end of period See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.	55,707 18,823 \$74,530	(31,421 44,206 \$12,785)
7			

Table of Contents

Douglas Emmett, Inc. Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited and in thousands)

	Six Months End	led June 30,	
	2015	2014	
SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOWS INFORMATION:			
OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
Cash paid for interest, net of capitalized interest of \$463 and \$128 for the six	4.64.200	4.61.060	
months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively	\$64,300	\$61,860	
NONCASH INVESTING TRANSACTIONS:			
Write-off of fully depreciated and amortized tenant improvements and lease	\$8,009	\$ —	
intangibles	•		
Write-off of fully amortized acquired lease intangible assets	\$14	\$ —	
Write-off of fully accreted acquired lease intangible liabilities	\$20,128	\$— \$—	
Settlement of note receivable in exchange for land and building acquired	\$26,500	\$ —	
Issuance of operating partnership units in exchange for land and building acquired	\$1,000	\$ —	
Application of deposit to purchase price of property	\$2,500	\$ —	
Gain (loss) from market value adjustments - our derivatives	\$(5,740	\$(9,017))
Gain (loss) from market value adjustments - our Fund's derivative	\$(1,609	\$(1,640))
NONCASH FINANCING TRANSACTIONS:			
	¢20.721	¢20.025	
Accrual for dividends payable to common stockholders	\$30,721	\$28,825	
Operating Partnership units redeemed with shares of the Company's common stock	\$ 17,203	\$20,494	
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements for additional non-car	ch items		
see accompanying notes to consortance imaneral statements for additional non-ca-	511 1tC1115.		

Table of Contents

Douglas Emmett, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

1. Overview

Organization and Business Description

Douglas Emmett, Inc. is a fully integrated, self-administered and self-managed Real Estate Investment Trust (REIT). We are one of the largest owners and operators of high-quality office and multifamily properties in Los Angeles County, California and Honolulu, Hawaii. We focus on owning and acquiring a substantial share of top-tier office properties and premier multifamily communities in neighborhoods that possess significant supply constraints, high-end executive housing and key lifestyle amenities.

Through our interest in Douglas Emmett Properties, LP (our operating partnership) and its subsidiaries, as well as our investment in our institutional unconsolidated real estate funds (Funds), we own or partially own, manage, lease, acquire and develop real estate, consisting primarily of office and multifamily properties in Los Angeles County, California and Honolulu, Hawaii. As of June 30, 2015, we owned a consolidated portfolio of fifty-four office properties (including ancillary retail space) and ten multifamily properties, as well as the fee interests in two parcels of land subject to ground leases from which we earn ground rent income. Alongside our consolidated portfolio, we also manage and own equity interests in our Funds which, at June 30, 2015, owned eight additional office properties, for a combined sixty-two office properties in our total portfolio.

The terms "us," "we" and "our" as used in these financial statements refer to Douglas Emmett, Inc. and its subsidiaries.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements as of June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, are the consolidated financial statements of Douglas Emmett, Inc. and its subsidiaries, including our operating partnership. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in our consolidated financial statements, and to conform to additional line items added in the current period presentation, we have reported more detail for the prior period. During the current reporting period, we reported our proceeds from, and repayments of, borrowings related to our credit facility on a gross basis in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and we have reclassified the comparable period, which was previously reported on a net basis, to conform to the current period presentation. The change in presentation did not change the net cash provided by (used in) financing activities that we previously reported for the comparable period.

The accompanying unaudited interim financial statements have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in the financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP) may have been condensed or omitted pursuant to SEC rules and regulations, although we believe that the disclosures are adequate to make their presentation not misleading. The accompanying unaudited interim financial statements include, in our opinion, all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, necessary to present fairly the financial information set forth therein. The results of operations for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2015. The interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements in our 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K and the notes thereto. Any references in this report to the number of properties, square footage

and geography, are outside the scope of our independent registered public accounting firm's review of our financial statements, in accordance with the standards of the United States Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB).

Table of Contents

Douglas Emmett, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) (continued)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

During the period covered by this report, we have not made any material changes to our significant accounting policies included in our 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

Income Taxes

We have elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. Provided that we qualify for taxation as a REIT, we are generally not subject to corporate-level income tax on the earnings distributed currently to our stockholders that we derive from our REIT qualifying activities. We are subject to corporate-level tax on the earnings that we derive through our taxable REIT subsidiaries (TRS).

New Accounting Pronouncements

Changes to GAAP are established by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) in the form of Accounting Standard Updates (ASUs). We consider the applicability and impact of all ASUs.

Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

In April 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-08, Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity (Topics 205 and 360), which provides guidance for reporting discontinued operations. The amendments in this ASU change the requirements for reporting discontinued operations in Subtopic 205-20, Presentation of Financial Statements. The ASU was effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning on or after December 15, 2014, which for us was the first quarter of 2015. We adopted the ASU in the first quarter of 2015 and it did not have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In March 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-03, Interest—Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30), which provides guidance on the presentation of debt issuance costs. To simplify the presentation of debt issuance costs, the amendments in this Update would require that debt issuance costs be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of the related debt, consistent with the manner in which debt discounts or premiums would be presented. This ASU is the final version of Proposed ASU 2014-250-Interest-Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30), which has been deleted. The ASU is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2015, which for us would be the first quarter of 2016, and early adoption is permitted. The ASU requires that the new presentation of debt issuance costs be applied on a retrospective basis. The change in presentation is required to be disclosed as a change in accounting principle. We do not expect the ASU to have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

In July 2015, the FASB affirmed its proposal to defer the effective date of ASU No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606) by one year. The proposed Update would also allow entities to apply the new revenue

standard as of the original effective date. The ASU is currently effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2016, which for us is the first quarter of 2017. As a result of the proposal, the ASU would be effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2017, which for us is the first quarter of 2018. The Board affirmed its proposal to permit all entities to apply the new revenue standard early, but not before the original effective date, that is fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2016, which for us is the first quarter of 2017. The Board directed the staff to draft a final Accounting Standards Update for vote by written ballot. We do not expect this ASU to have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations, as lease contracts are not within the scope of this ASU.

The FASB has not issued any other ASUs during 2015 that we expect to be applicable and have a material impact on our future financial position or results of operations.

Table of Contents

Douglas Emmett, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) (continued)

3. Investment in Real Estate

2015 Acquisitions

During the six months ended June 30, 2015, we made two acquisitions: (i) on March 5, 2015, we purchased a 227,000 square foot Class A multi-tenant office property (First Financial Plaza), located in Encino, California, for \$92.4 million, or approximately \$407 per square foot, and (ii) on February 12, 2015, we acquired the fee interest in the land (Harbor Court Land) under one of our office buildings for \$27.5 million. See Notes 5 and 13. We recognized \$6.6 million of accretion of an above-market ground lease related to the purchase of the Harbor Court Land, which is included in other income in the consolidated statement of operations. See Note 4. The results of operations for these acquisitions are included in our consolidated statements of operations after the respective date of their acquisitions.

The table below (in thousands) summarizes our preliminary purchase price allocations for the acquisitions (these allocations are subject to adjustments within twelve months of the acquisition date):

н	larbor Court	First Financial	
L	and	Plaza	
Investment in real estate:			
Land \$	12,060	\$12,092	
Buildings and improvements	5,440	75,039	
Tenant improvements and lease intangibles —	_	6,065	
Acquired above and below-market leases, net —	_	(790)
Net assets and liabilities acquired \$	27,500	\$92,406	

2014 Acquisitions

We did not acquire any properties during the six months ended June 30, 2014.

Table of Contents

Douglas Emmett, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) (continued)

4. Acquired Lease Intangibles

Summary of our Acquired Lease Intangibles

The table below (in thousands) summarizes our above/below-market leases:

	June 30, 2015		December 31, 2014	
Above-market tenant leases ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	\$4,869		\$3,040	
Accumulated amortization - above-market tenant leases ⁽³⁾	(2,417)	(2,082)
Below-market ground leases	3,198		3,198	
Accumulated amortization - below-market ground leases	(667)	(629)
Acquired lease intangible assets, net	\$4,983		\$3,527	
Below-market tenant leases ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	\$132,775		\$138,088	
Accumulated accretion - below-market tenant leases ⁽³⁾	(101,094)	(102,335)
Above-market ground leases ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	4,017		16,200	
Accumulated accretion - above-market ground leases ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	(434)	(5,994)
Acquired lease intangible liabilities, net	\$35,264		\$45,959	

⁽¹⁾ Includes leases from an office property that we purchased in the first quarter of 2015. See Note 3. In the first quarter of 2015, we recognized \$6.6 million of accretion for an above-market ground lease in other

In the second quarter of 2015, we removed (i) the cost and accumulated amortization of \$14 thousand related to fully amortized above-market tenant leases, (ii) the cost and accumulated accretion of \$7.9 million related to fully

Impact on the Consolidated Statements of Operations

The table below (in thousands) summarizes the net amortization/accretion related to our above/below-market leases:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months En	ded June 30,
	2015	2014	2015	2014
N	ф2.202	¢ 2, 407	Φ.6.225	Φ 7 .002
Net accretion of above/below-market tenant leases ⁽¹⁾	\$ 3,202	\$3,497	\$6,325	\$7,003
Amortization of an above-market ground lease ⁽²⁾	(4) (4) (8) (8
Accretion of above-market ground leases ⁽³⁾	13	50	23	99
Accretion of an above-market ground lease ⁽⁴⁾		_	6,600	
Total	\$3,211	\$3,543	\$12,940	\$7,094

⁽¹⁾ Recorded as an increase to office and multifamily rental revenues.

⁽²⁾income related to the purchase of the Harbor Court Land (see Note 3) and removed the cost and accumulated accretion of \$10.0 million for that ground lease from our balance sheet.

⁽³⁾ accreted below-market tenant leases and (iii) the cost and accumulated accretion of \$7.9 million related to fully accreted above-market ground leases.

⁽²⁾ Ground lease from which we earn ground rent income. Recorded as a decrease to office parking and other income.

⁽³⁾ Ground leases from which we incur ground rent expense. Recorded as a decrease to office expense.

⁽⁴⁾ Ground lease from which we incurred ground rent expense. Recorded as an increase to other income.

Table of Contents

Douglas Emmett, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) (continued)

5. Other Assets

Other assets consisted of the following (in thousands):

	June 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
Deferred loan costs, net of accumulated amortization of \$15,455 and \$13,042 at June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively	\$16,292	\$15,623
Note receivable ⁽¹⁾	_	27,500
Restricted cash	194	194
Prepaid expenses	1,849	6,108
Other indefinite-lived intangible	1,988	1,988
Deposits in escrow	_	2,500
Other	3,267	3,357
Total other assets	\$23,590	\$57,270

On February 12, 2015, the owner of a fee interest in the land related to one of our office buildings, to whom we previously loaned \$27.5 million, repaid \$1.0 million of the loan with cash, and then contributed the respective fee (1) interest valued at \$27.5 million to our operating partnership, subject to the remaining balance of that loan of \$26.5 million, in exchange for 34,412 units in our operating partnership ("OP Units") valued at \$1.0 million. See Notes 3 and 9.

The table below (in thousands) sets forth the amortization of our deferred loans costs:

	Three Months	Ended June 30,	Six Months Ended June 3		
	2015	2014	2015	2014	
Deferred loan costs amortization ⁽¹⁾	\$2,201	\$1,005	\$3,974	\$2,005	

⁽¹⁾ Included in interest expense in our consolidated statements of operations.

Table of Contents

Douglas Emmett, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) (continued)

6. Secured Notes Payable and Revolving Credit Facility

The following table summarizes (in thousands) our secured notes payable and revolving credit facility:

Description ⁽¹⁾	Maturity Date	Principal Balance as of June 30, 2015	Principal Balance as of December 31, 2014	Variable Interest Rate	Fixed Interest Rate (2)	Swap Maturity Date
Term Loan	12/24/2015	\$20,000	\$20,000	LIBOR + 1.45%	N/A	
Term Loan (3)	3/1/2016	16,140	16,140	LIBOR + 1.60%	N/A	
Fannie Mae Loan	3/1/2016	82,000	82,000	LIBOR + 0.62%	N/A	
Fannie Mae Loan	6/1/2017	18,000	18,000	LIBOR + 0.62%	N/A	
Term Loan ⁽⁴⁾	10/2/2017	259,622	400,000	LIBOR $+ 2.00\%$	4.45%	7/1/2015
Term Loan	4/2/2018	510,000	510,000	LIBOR $+ 2.00\%$	4.12%	4/1/2016
Term Loan	8/1/2018	530,000	530,000	LIBOR + 1.70%	3.74%	8/1/2016
Term Loan (5)	8/5/2018	355,000	355,000	N/A	4.14%	
Term Loan (6)	2/1/2019	154,102	155,000	N/A	4.00%	
Term Loan (7)	6/5/2019	285,000	285,000	N/A	3.85%	
Fannie Mae Loan (8)	10/1/2019	145,000	145,000	LIBOR + 1.25%	N/A	
Term Loan (9)	3/1/2020 (10)	349,070	349,070	N/A	4.46%	
Fannie Mae Loans	11/2/2020	388,080	388,080	LIBOR + 1.65%	3.65%	11/1/2017
Term Loan	4/15/2022	340,000		LIBOR + 1.40%	2.77%	4/1/2020
Fannie Mae Loan	4/1/2025	102,400		LIBOR + 1.25%	2.84%	3/1/2020
Aggregate loan principa	al	\$3,554,414	\$3,253,290			
Revolving credit line (11)	12/11/2017	_	182,000	LIBOR + 1.40%	N/A	
Total (12)		\$3,554,414	\$3,435,290			
Aggregate swap fixed r	ate loans	\$2,130,102	\$1,828,080		3.75%	
Aggregate fixed rate loa		1,143,172	1,144,070		4.15%	
Aggregate floating rate		281,140	463,140		N/A	
Total (12)		\$3,554,414	\$3,435,290			

As of June 30, 2015, the weighted average remaining life (including extension options) of our outstanding term debt (excluding our revolving credit line) was 4.0 years. For the \$3.27 billion of term debt on which the interest rate was fixed under the terms of the loan or a swap, (i) the weighted average remaining period during which interest was fixed was 2.4 years. (iii) the weighted average

- (2) Effective annual rate, which includes the effect of interest rate contracts as of June 30, 2015, and excludes the effect of prepaid loan fees. See Note 8 for the details of our interest rate contracts.
- (3) The borrower is a consolidated entity in which our operating partnership owns a two-thirds interest.
- (4) The balance of this loan was paid in full in July 2015 using cash on hand and our credit facility.
- (5) Interest-only until February 2016, with principal amortization thereafter based upon a 30-year amortization schedule.

⁽¹⁾ weighted average remaining period during which interest was fixed was 2.4 years, (iii) the weighted average annual interest rate was 3.89% and (iv) including the non-cash amortization of prepaid financing, the weighted average effective interest rate was 4.00%. Except as otherwise noted below, each loan is secured by a separate collateral pool consisting of one or more properties, requiring monthly payments of interest only, with the outstanding principal due upon maturity.

- (6) Requires monthly payments of principal and interest. Principal amortization is based upon a 30-year amortization schedule.
- (7) Interest only until February 2017, with principal amortization thereafter based upon a 30-year amortization schedule.
- (8) During the period from April 16, 2015 to June 30, 2015, the interest on \$140 million of this loan was effectively fixed at 3.7% per annum.
- (9) Interest is fixed until March 1, 2018, and is floating thereafter, with interest-only payments until May 1, 2016, and principal amortization thereafter based upon a 30-year amortization schedule.
- (10) Effective term shown includes the effect of our exercise of two one-year extension options which we expect to be able to exercise.
- \$300.0 million revolving credit facility secured by 3 separate collateral pools consisting of a total of 6 properties. Unused commitment fees range from 0.15% to 0.20%.
- (12) See Note 11 for our fair value disclosures.

Table of Contents

Douglas Emmett, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) (continued)

As of June 30, 2015, the minimum future principal payments due on our secured notes payable and revolving credit facility, excluding any maturity extension options, were as follows (in thousands):

Twelve months ending June 30:

2016	\$123,380
2017	34,510
2018	1,127,134
2019	1,293,910
2020	145,000
Thereafter	830,480
Total future principal payments	\$3,554,414

7. Interest Payable, Accounts Payable and Deferred Revenue

Interest payable, accounts payable and deferred revenue consisted of the following (in thousands):

	June 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
Interest payable	\$10,198	\$9,656
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	24,403	22,195
Deferred revenue	21,527	22,513
Total interest payable, accounts payable and deferred revenue	\$56,128	\$54,364

Table of Contents

Douglas Emmett, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) (continued)

8. Derivative Contracts

Hedges of Interest Rate Risk

We make use of interest rate swap and interest rate cap contracts to manage the risk associated with changes in interest rates on our floating-rate debt. When we enter into a floating-rate term loan, we generally enter into an interest rate swap agreement for the equivalent principal amount, for a period covering the majority of the loan term, which effectively converts our floating-rate debt to a fixed-rate basis during that time. In limited instances, we make use of interest rate caps to limit our exposure to interest rate increases on our floating-rate debt. We do not speculate in derivatives and we do not make use of any other derivative instruments. See Note 6 for the details of our floating-rate debt that we have hedged.

Accounting for Hedges of Interest Rate Risk

When we enter into derivative agreements, we generally elect to have them designated as cash flow hedges for accounting purposes. For hedging instruments designated as cash flow hedges, changes in fair value of the hedging instrument are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (AOCI), which is a component of equity outside of earnings, and any hedge ineffectiveness is recorded as interest expense. Amounts recorded in AOCI related to our designated hedges are then reclassified to interest expense as interest payments are made on the hedged floating rate debt. Amounts reported in AOCI related to our Funds' hedges are reclassified to income, including depreciation, from unconsolidated real estate funds, as interest payments are made by our Funds on their hedged floating rate debt. For hedging instruments which are not designated as cash flow hedges, changes in fair value of the hedging instrument are recorded as interest expense.

Summary of our derivatives

As of June 30, 2015, all of our interest rate swaps were designated as cash flow hedges:

115 of June 50, 2015, an of our 1	merest rate swaps were designated as easir	now neages.
	Number of Interest Rate Swaps	Notional (in thousands) ⁽¹⁾
Consolidated	10	\$2,270,480
Unconsolidated Fund ⁽²⁾	1	\$325,000

⁽¹⁾ See Note 11 for our fair value disclosures.

As of June 30, 2015, we had three purchased interest rate caps with a notional value of \$18.0 million that were not designated as cash flow hedges.

Credit-risk-related Contingent Features

We have agreements with each of our interest rate swap counterparties that contain a provision under which we could also be declared in default on our derivative obligations if we default on the underlying indebtedness that we are hedging. As of June 30, 2015, there have been no events of default with respect to our interest rate swaps or our Fund's interest rate swap. The fair value of our interest rate swaps in a liability position were as follows (in thousands):

The notional amount presented represents 100%, not our pro-rata share, of the amounts related to the Fund. At (2) June 30, 2015, we held an equity interest of 68.61% of the Fund involved. See Note 16 for more information regarding our Funds.

June 30, 2015

December 31, 2014

Fair value of derivatives in a liability position⁽¹⁾

\$30,077

\$40,953

Includes accrued interest and excludes any adjustment for nonperformance risk. Our Fund's interest rate swap was in an asset position as of June 30, 2015.

Table of Contents

Douglas Emmett, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) (continued)

Impact of Hedges on AOCI and Consolidated Statements of Operations

The table below presents (in thousands) the effect of our derivative instruments and our Fund's derivative instrument on our AOCI and statements of operations for the six months ended June 30:

	2015	2014	
Derivatives Designated as Cash Flow Hedges:			
Gain (loss) recorded in AOCI (effective portion) - our derivatives ⁽¹⁾⁽⁸⁾	\$(5,740) \$(9,017)
Gain (loss) recorded in AOCI (effective portion) - our Fund's derivatives ⁽²⁾⁽⁸⁾	\$(1,609) \$(1,640)
Loss reclassified from AOCI (effective portion) - our derivatives ⁽³⁾⁽⁸⁾	\$(19,259) \$(18,277)
Loss reclassified from AOCI (effective portion) - our Fund's derivatives (4)(8)	\$ (475) \$(497)
Loss reclassified from AOCI (ineffective portion) - our derivatives ⁽⁵⁾⁽⁷⁾	\$—	\$(43)
Gain (loss) recorded as interest expense (ineffective portion) ⁽⁶⁾	\$—	\$ —	
Derivatives Not Designated as Cash Flow Hedges:			
Gain (loss) recorded as interest expense ⁽⁷⁾	\$ <i>-</i>	\$ —	

⁽¹⁾ Represents the change in fair value of our interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges, which does not impact the statement of operations. See Note 11 for our fair value disclosures.

- (3) Reclassified from AOCI as an increase to interest expense.
- (4) Reclassified from AOCI as a decrease to income, including depreciation, from unconsolidated real estate funds.
- (5) Excluded from effectiveness testing. Reclassified from AOCI as an increase to interest expense.
- (6) Excluded from effectiveness testing.
- (7) Represents the change in fair value of our derivatives not designated as cash flow hedges.
- (8) See the reconciliation of our AOCI in Note 9.

Future Reclassifications from AOCI

We estimate that \$26.6 million of our AOCI related to our derivatives designated as cash flow hedges will be reclassified as an increase to interest expense during the next twelve months, and \$447 thousand of our AOCI related to our Fund's derivative designated as a cash flow hedge will be reclassified as a decrease to income, including depreciation, from unconsolidated real estate funds during the next twelve months.

⁽²⁾ Represents our share of the change in fair value of our Fund's interest rate swap designated as a cash flow hedge, which does not impact the statement of operations.

Table of Contents

Douglas Emmett, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) (continued)

9. Equity

Equity Transactions

During the six months ended June 30, 2015, we (i) acquired 1.3 million OP Units in exchange for an equal number of shares of our common stock, and (ii) issued 136 thousand shares of our common stock on the exercise of options for net proceeds of \$1.8 million at an average price of \$13.44 per share. In addition, we issued 34 thousand OP Units valued at \$1.0 million in connection with the acquisition of land under one of our office buildings (see Notes 3 and 5).

During the six months ended June 30, 2014, we (i) acquired 1.5 million OP Units in exchange for an equal number of shares of our common stock, (ii) acquired 120 thousand OP Units for cash for a total purchase price of \$2.8 million at an average price of \$23.56 per unit, and (iii) cash settled options covering 691 thousand shares of our common stock for a total cost of \$4.5 million at an average net price of \$6.55 per option.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Equity

The tables below present (in thousands) our condensed consolidated statements of equity:

	Douglas Emmett, Inc. Stockholders' Equity	Noncontrolling Interests	Total Equity	
Balance as of January 1, 2015 Net income	\$1,943,458 32,147	\$370,266 5,843	\$2,313,724 37,990	
Cash flow hedge adjustment	10,230	2,155	12,385	
Dividends and distributions		(11,817) (73,169)
Exchange of OP units	17,203	(17,203) —	,
Issuance of OP units	_	1,000	1,000	
Exercise of stock options	1,823		1,823	
Equity compensation	_	4,358	4,358	
Balance as of June 30, 2015	\$1,943,509	\$354,602	\$2,298,111	
	Douglas Emmett, Inc. Stockholders' Equity	Noncontrolling Interests	Total Equity	
Balance as of January 1, 2014	Stockholders' Equity	•		
Balance as of January 1, 2014 Net income	•	Interests	Total Equity \$2,367,208 31,375	
	Stockholders' Equity \$1,970,397	Interests \$396,811	\$2,367,208	
Net income	\$1,970,397 26,339	\$396,811 5,036	\$2,367,208 31,375	
Net income Cash flow hedge adjustment	\$1,970,397 26,339 6,283	Interests \$396,811 5,036 1,877	\$2,367,208 31,375 8,160)
Net income Cash flow hedge adjustment Contributions	\$1,970,397 26,339 6,283	\$396,811 5,036 1,877 250	\$2,367,208 31,375 8,160 250)
Net income Cash flow hedge adjustment Contributions Dividends and distributions	\$1,970,397 26,339 6,283 — (57,559)	\$396,811 5,036 1,877 250	\$2,367,208 31,375 8,160 250) (69,241)
Net income Cash flow hedge adjustment Contributions Dividends and distributions Repurchase of stock options Exchange of OP units Repurchase of OP units	\$1,970,397 26,339 6,283 — (57,559 (4,524 20,494	\$396,811 5,036 1,877 250 (11,682 — (20,494 (1,630	\$2,367,208 31,375 8,160 250) (69,241 (4,524) —) (2,827))
Net income Cash flow hedge adjustment Contributions Dividends and distributions Repurchase of stock options Exchange of OP units	\$1,970,397 26,339 6,283 — (57,559 (4,524 20,494	\$396,811 5,036 1,877 250 (11,682 — (20,494	\$2,367,208 31,375 8,160 250) (69,241 (4,524) —))

Table of Contents

Douglas Emmett, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) (continued)

Noncontrolling Interests

Our noncontrolling interests consist of (i) interests in our operating partnership that are not owned by us and (ii) a minority partner's one-third interest in a consolidated joint venture which owns an office building in Honolulu, Hawaii. Noncontrolling interests in our operating partnership consist of OP Units and fully-vested Long Term Incentive Plan Units ("LTIP Units") and represented approximately 15% of our operating partnership as of June 30, 2015 when we had 146.3 million shares of common stock and 26.4 million OP Units and LTIP Units outstanding. A share of our common stock, an OP Unit and an LTIP Unit (once vested and booked up) have essentially the same economic characteristics, sharing equally in the distributions from our operating partnership. Investors who own OP Units have the right to cause our operating partnership to redeem their OP Units for an amount of cash per unit equal to the then current market value of one share of our common stock, or, at our election, for shares of our common stock on a one-for-one basis. LTIP Units have been granted to our key employees and non-employee directors as a portion of their compensation. These awards generally vest over time and once vested can generally be converted to OP Units.

Changes in our Ownership Interest in our Operating Partnership

The table below presents (in thousands) the effect on our equity from changes in our ownership interest in our operating partnership:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Net income attributable to common stockholders	\$13,448	\$13,363	\$32,147	\$26,339
Transfers (to) from noncontrolling interests:				
Exchange of OP units with noncontrolling interests	5,788	6,106	17,188	20,479
Repurchase of OP units from noncontrolling interests	_	_	_	(1,197)
Net transfers from noncontrolling interests	\$5,788	\$6,106	\$17,188	\$19,282
Change from net income attributable to common				
stockholders and transfers from noncontrolling	\$19,236	\$19,469	\$49,335	\$45,621
interests				

AOCI Reconciliation

The table below presents (in thousands) a reconciliation of our AOCI, which consists solely of adjustments related to derivatives designated as cash flow hedges for the six months ended June 30:

	2015	2014	
Beginning balance	\$(30,089) \$(50,554)
Other comprehensive loss before reclassifications - our derivatives	(5,740) (9,017)
Other comprehensive loss before reclassifications - our Fund's derivative	(1,609) (1,640)
Reclassifications from AOCI - our derivatives ⁽¹⁾	19,259	18,320	
Reclassifications from AOCI - our Fund's derivative ⁽²⁾	475	497	
Net current period OCI	12,385	8,160	
Less OCI attributable to noncontrolling interests	(2,155) (1,877)
OCI attributable to common stockholders	10,230	6,283	

Ending balance	\$(19,859) \$(44,271)
----------------	-----------	-------------	---

(1) Reclassification as an increase to interest expense.

- (2) Reclassification as an decrease to income, including depreciation, from unconsolidated real estate funds.
- (3) See Note 8 for the details of our derivatives and Note 11 for our derivative fair value disclosures.

Table of Contents

Douglas Emmett, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) (continued)

Equity Compensation

The Douglas Emmett, Inc. 2006 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan, as amended, our stock incentive plan, is administered by the compensation committee of our board of directors. All officers, employees, directors and consultants are eligible to participate in our stock incentive plan. For more information on our stock incentive plan, please refer to Note 11 to the consolidated financial statements in our 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Total net equity compensation expense for equity grants was \$2.0 million and \$1.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively, and \$3.9 million and \$2.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. These amounts are net of capitalized equity compensation of \$210 thousand and \$137 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively, and \$402 thousand and \$269 thousand for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The intrinsic value of options exercised and repurchased was \$1.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014, and \$2.2 million and \$4.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

10. Earnings Per Share (EPS)

We calculate basic EPS by dividing the net income attributable to common stockholders for the period by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. We calculate diluted EPS by dividing the net income attributable to common stockholders for the period by the weighted average number of common shares and dilutive instruments outstanding during the period using the treasury stock method. We account for unvested LTIP awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends as participating securities and include these securities in the computation of EPS using the two-class method. The table below presents the calculation of basic and diluted EPS:

		Ended June 30,	Six Months End	•	
N	2015	2014	2015	2014	
Numerator (in thousands):	0.10.110	Φ 12 2 C2	0.22.1.17	4.26.220	
Net income attributable to common stockholders	\$13,448	\$13,363	\$32,147	\$26,339	
Allocation to participating securities: Unvested LTIP units	(67)	(51)	(165)	(100)	
Numerator for basic and diluted net income attributable to common stockholders	\$13,381	\$13,312	\$31,982	\$26,239	
Denominator (in thousands):					
Weighted average shares of common stock outstanding - basic	145,898	143,717	145,614	143,426	
Effect of dilutive securities: Stock options ⁽¹⁾	4,406	4,228	4,440	4,003	
Weighted average shares of common stock and common stock equivalents outstanding - diluted	150,304	147,945	150,054	147,429	
Basic EPS:					
Net income attributable to common stockholders per share	\$0.092	\$0.093	\$0.220	\$0.183	
Diluted EPS:					
Net income attributable to common stockholders per share	\$0.089	\$0.090	\$0.213	\$0.178	

The following securities were excluded from the computation of the weighted average diluted shares because the effect of including them would be anti-dilutive to the calculation of diluted EPS:

_	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
OP units and vested LTIP units Unvested LTIP units	26,744 573	27,863 502	26,978 539	28,155 469

Table of Contents

Douglas Emmett, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) (continued)

11. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Our estimates of the fair value of financial instruments were determined using available market information and widely used valuation methods. Considerable judgment is necessary to interpret market data and determine an estimated fair value. The use of different market assumptions or valuation methods may have a material effect on the estimated fair values. The FASB fair value framework hierarchy distinguishes between assumptions based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity, and the reporting entity's own assumptions about market-based inputs. The hierarchy is as follows:

- Level 1 inputs utilize unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- Level 2 inputs are observable either directly or indirectly for similar assets and liabilities in active markets.
- Level 3 inputs are unobservable assumptions generated by the reporting entity

As of June 30, 2015, we did not have any fair value measurements of financial instruments using Level 3 inputs.

Financial instruments disclosed at fair value

Short term financial instruments: The carrying amounts for cash and cash equivalents, tenant receivables, revolving credit lines, interest payable, accounts payable, security deposits and dividends payable approximate fair value because of the short-term nature of these instruments.

Note receivable: See Note 16 for the details of our note receivable. Based on observable market interest rates which we consider to be Level 2 inputs, the fair value of the note receivable approximated its carrying value at June 30, 2015.

Secured notes payable: See Note 6 for the details of our secured notes payable. We estimate the fair value of our secured notes payable by calculating the credit-adjusted present value of the principal and interest payments for each secured note payable. The calculation incorporates observable market interest rates which we consider to be Level 2 inputs, assumes that the loans will be outstanding through maturity, and excludes any maturity extension options. The table below presents (in thousands) the estimated fair value of our secured notes payable:

Secured Notes Payable:	June 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
Fair value	\$3,592,142	\$3,293,351
Carrying value	\$3,554,414	\$3,253,290

Financial instruments measured at fair value

Derivative instruments: See Note 8 for the details of our derivatives. We present our derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value, on a gross basis, excluding accrued interest. We estimate the fair value of our derivative instruments by calculating the credit-adjusted present value of the expected future cash flows of each derivative. The calculation incorporates the contractual terms of the derivatives, observable market interest rates which we consider to be Level 2 inputs, and credit risk adjustments to reflect the counterparty's as well as our own nonperformance risk. Our derivatives are not subject to master netting arrangements. The table below presents (in thousands) the estimated fair value of our derivatives:

	June 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
Derivative Assets:		
Fair value - our derivatives ⁽¹⁾	\$2,817	\$—

Fair value - our Fund's derivative ⁽²⁾	\$629	\$2,282
Derivative Liabilities:		
Fair value - our derivatives ⁽¹⁾	\$26,684	\$37,386

⁽¹⁾ The fair value of our derivatives are included in interest rate contracts in our consolidated balance sheet. The fair value presented represents 100%, not our pro-rata share, of the fair value related to the Fund. At June 30,

^{(2)2015,} we held an equity interest of 68.61% of that Fund. Our pro-rata share of the fair value of the Fund's derivative is included in our investment in unconsolidated real estate funds in our consolidated balance sheet.

Table of Contents

Douglas Emmett, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) (continued)

12. Future Minimum Lease Receipts

Twelve months ending June 30:

We lease space to tenants primarily under non-cancelable operating leases that generally contain provisions for a base rent plus reimbursement for certain operating expenses. Operating expense reimbursements are reflected in our consolidated statements of operations as tenant recoveries. We also lease space to certain tenants under non-cancelable leases that provide for percentage rents based upon tenant revenues. Percentage rental income totaled \$140 thousand and \$116 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively, and \$370 thousand and \$243 thousand for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The table below presents (in thousands) the future minimum base rentals on our non-cancelable office and ground operating leases at June 30, 2015:

2016	\$389,637
2017	346,929
2018	294,426
2019	233,024
2020	106.000

2020 186,098
Thereafter 479,067
Total future minimum base rentals⁽¹⁾ \$1,929,181

Future minimum lease receipts exclude (i) residential leases, which typically have a term of one year or less, (ii) tenant reimbursements, (iii) amortization of deferred rent receivables and (iv) amortization/accretion of acquired above/below-market lease intangibles. Some leases are subject to termination options, generally upon payment of a termination fee, the preceding table assumes that these termination options are not exercised.

13. Future Minimum Lease Payments

We incurred lease payments related to two ground leases of \$183 thousand and \$606 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively, and \$366 thousand and \$1.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. We acquired the fee interest related to one of those ground leases in February 2015 (see Notes 3 and 5). The table below presents (in thousands) the future minimum ground lease payments of our remaining ground lease as of June 30, 2015:

Twel	ve mo	nths	ending	June	30:
------	-------	------	--------	------	-----

\$733
733
733
733
733
48,743
\$52,408

Lease term ends on December 31, 2086, and requires ground rent payments of \$733 thousand per year that will continue until February 28, 2019, rental payments for successive rental periods thereafter shall be determined by mutual agreement with the lessor. The future minimum ground lease payments in the table above assume that the rental payments will continue to be \$733 thousand per year after February 28, 2019.

Table of Contents

Douglas Emmett, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) (continued)

14. Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees

Legal Proceedings

We are subject to various legal proceedings and claims that arise in the ordinary course of business. Excluding ordinary, routine litigation incidental to our business, we are not currently a party to any legal proceedings that we believe would reasonably be expected to have a materially adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Concentration of Risk

Our properties are located in Los Angeles County, California and Honolulu, Hawaii. The ability of our tenants to honor the terms of their respective leases is dependent upon the economic, regulatory and social factors affecting the markets in which our tenants operate. We perform ongoing credit evaluations of our tenants for potential credit losses. For the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, no tenant accounted for more than 10% of our total rental revenue and tenant recoveries.

We have financial instruments that subject us to credit risk, which consist primarily of accounts receivable, deferred rents receivable and interest rate contracts. We maintain our cash and cash equivalents at high quality financial institutions with investment grade ratings. Interest bearing accounts at each U.S. banking institution are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation up to \$250 thousand.

Asset Retirement Obligations

Conditional asset retirement obligations represent a legal obligation to perform an asset retirement activity in which the timing and/or method of settlement is conditional on a future event that may or may not be within our control. A liability for a conditional asset retirement obligation must be recorded if the fair value of the obligation can be reasonably estimated. Environmental site assessments and investigations have identified twenty-three properties in our consolidated portfolio, and four properties owned by our Funds, which contain asbestos, and would have to be removed in compliance with applicable environmental regulations if these properties undergo major renovations or are demolished. As of June 30, 2015, the obligations to remove the asbestos from these properties have indeterminable settlement dates, and we are unable to reasonably estimate the fair value of the associated conditional asset retirement obligation.

Guarantees

We made certain environmental and other limited indemnities and guarantees covering customary non-recourse carve outs for a \$325.0 million loan of one of our Funds. The loan matures on May 1, 2018, and carries interest that is effectively fixed by an interest rate swap which matures on May 1, 2017, we have also guaranteed the related swap. We have an indemnity from the Fund for any amounts that we would be required to pay under these agreements. As of June 30, 2015, the maximum future payments under the swap agreement were approximately \$3.6 million. As of June 30, 2015, all obligations under the loan and swap agreements have been performed by the Fund in accordance with the terms of those agreements.

Table of Contents

Douglas Emmett, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) (continued)

15. Segment Reporting

Segment information is prepared on the same basis that we review information for operational decision-making purposes. We operate in two business segments: (i) the acquisition, development, ownership and management of office real estate and (ii) the acquisition, development, ownership and management of multifamily real estate. The services for our office segment primarily include rental of office space and other tenant services, including parking and storage space rental. The services for our multifamily segment include rental of apartments and other tenant services, including parking and storage space rental.

Asset information by segment is not reported because we do not use this measure to assess performance or make decisions to allocate resources. Therefore, depreciation and amortization expense is not allocated among segments. General and administrative expenses and interest expense are not included in segment profit as our internal reporting addresses these items on a corporate level.

Segment profit is not a measure of operating income or cash flows from operating activities as measured by GAAP, it is not indicative of cash available to fund cash needs, and should not be considered as an alternative to cash flows as a measure of liquidity. Not all companies may calculate segment profit in the same manner. We consider segment profit to be an appropriate supplemental measure to net income because it can assist both investors and management in understanding the core operations of our properties.

The table below presents (in thousands) the operating activity of our reportable segments:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30		
	2015	2014	2015	2014	
Office Segment					
Total office revenues	\$136,791	\$131,556	\$268,247	\$260,639	
Office expenses	(46,542) (44,661) (90,741) (88,013)
Office Segment profit	90,249	86,895	177,506	172,626	
Multifamily Segment					
Total multifamily revenues	23,666	19,866	47,019	39,655	
Multifamily expenses	(5,930) (5,096) (11,750) (10,229)
Multifamily Segment profit	17,736	14,770	35,269	29,426	
Total profit from all segments	\$107,985	\$101,665	\$212,775	\$202,052	

The table below (in thousands) is a reconciliation of the total profit from all segments to net income attributable to common stockholders:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 3		
	2015	2014	2015	2014	
Total profit from all segments	\$107,985	\$101,665	\$212,775	\$202,052	
General and administrative expense	(7,473) (6,712	(14,834	(13,523)
Depreciation and amortization	(51,246) (50,939	(101,080	(101,138)
Other income	2,415	4,586	10,974	8,873	
Other expenses	(1,619) (1,678	(3,191	(3,131)
Income, including depreciation, from unconsolidated real estate funds	1,207	947	2,650	2,060	
Interest expense	(35,177) (31,952	(68,816	(63,790)
Acquisition-related expenses	(198) —	(488	(28)

Net income	15,894	15,917	37,990	31,375	
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(2,446) (2,554) (5,843) (5,036)
Net income attributable to common stockholders	\$13,448	\$13,363	\$32,147	\$26,339	
24					

Table of Contents

Douglas Emmett, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) (continued)

16. Investments in Unconsolidated Real Estate Funds

Description of our Funds

We manage and own equity interests in two Funds, Fund X and Partnership X, through which we and investors own eight office properties totaling 1.8 million square feet in our core markets. At June 30, 2015, we held equity interests of 68.61% of Fund X and 24.25% of Partnership X. We received cash distributions from our Funds totaling \$2.2 million and \$4.6 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, respectively, compared to \$3.2 million and \$6.2 million during the three and six ended June 30, 2014, respectively.

Our investment in the Funds includes an unsecured note receivable. In April 2013, we loaned \$2.9 million to a related party investor in connection with a capital call made by Fund X. The loan carries interest at one month LIBOR plus 2.5% per annum, and is due and payable no later than April 1, 2017, with mandatory prepayments equal to any distributions with respect the related party's interest in Fund X. The interest recognized on this note is included in other income in our consolidated statements of operations. As of June 30, 2015, and December 31, 2014, the balance outstanding on the loan was \$0.9 million and \$1.5 million, respectively. See Note 11 for our fair value disclosures.

Summarized Financial Information for our Funds

The accounting policies of the Funds are consistent with ours. The tables below present (in thousands) selected financial information for the Funds on a combined basis. The amounts presented represent 100% (not our pro-rata share) of amounts related to the Funds, and are based upon historical acquired book value:

	Six Months Ended June 30,				
	2015	2014			
Total revenues Operating income	\$35,041 7,180	\$32,629 6,089			
Net income	1,442	442			
	June 30, 2015	December 31, 2014			
Total assets Total liabilities Total equity	\$695,034 388,307 306,727	\$703,130 389,413 313,717			

17. Subsequent events

On July 1, 2015, we paid off the remaining \$259.6 million of a \$400.0 million term loan due in 2017 using cash on hand and funds from our credit line.

On July 27, 2015, we closed a non-recourse \$180.0 million interest only term loan. The interest rate on the loan is Libor plus 1.45%, which has been effectively fixed at 3.06% per annum until July 2020 using an interest rate swap. The loan is secured by one of our office properties and, including a two year extension option, effectively matures in July 2022.

In August 2015, we renewed our At-the-Market program for an additional three years and increased the value of the common stock covered by it to \$400.0 million.

25

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Executive Summary

Business Description

Douglas Emmett, Inc. is a fully integrated, self-administered and self-managed REIT. We are one of the largest owners and operators of high-quality office and multifamily properties in Los Angeles County, California and in Honolulu, Hawaii. We focus on owning, acquiring and developing a substantial share of top-tier office properties and premier multifamily communities in neighborhoods that possess significant supply constraints, high-end executive housing and key lifestyle amenities.

Portfolio summary

Through our interest in our operating partnership and its subsidiaries, including our Funds, we own or partially own, manage, lease, acquire and develop real estate, consisting primarily of office and multifamily properties. As of June 30, 2015, our portfolio consisted of the following:

	Consolidated) (1)
Office				
Class A Properties ⁽²⁾	54		62	
Rentable square feet (in thousands)	13,692		15,516	
Leased rate	92.4	%	92.8	%
Occupied rate	90.5	%	90.9	%
Multifamily				
Properties	10		10	
Units	3,336		3,336	
Leased rate	99.8	%	99.8	%
Occupied rate	98.2	%	98.2	%

⁽¹⁾ Total portfolio consists of our consolidated properties and our Funds' properties. We own a weighted average of 60.0% of our Funds (based on square footage).

Our consolidated portfolio also included two parcels of land which are ground leased to the owner of a Class A office building.

Annualized rent

Annualized rent from our consolidated portfolio was derived as follows as of June 30, 2015:

26

⁽²⁾ Office portfolio includes ancillary retail space.

Table of Contents

Financings, Acquisitions, Dispositions, Developments and Repositionings

Financings

In the first quarter of 2015, we closed a secured, non-recourse, ten year \$102.4 million interest only term loan that will mature in April 2025. The loan bears interest at LIBOR + 1.25%, and has been effectively fixed at

• 2.84% per annum until March 2020 utilizing an interest rate swap. The loan is secured by our recently acquired multifamily property in Honolulu, Hawaii. See Note 6 to our consolidated financial statements in Item 1 of this Report for more detail regarding our debt.

In April 2015, we closed a secured, non-recourse, seven year \$340 million interest only term loan that will mature in April 2022. The loan bears interest at LIBOR + 1.40%, and has been effectively fixed at 2.77% per annum until April 2020 utilizing an interest rate swap. The loan is secured by a pool of six properties. We used the proceeds from this loan to prepay \$140 million of our \$400 million loan due in 2017 and to pay down the outstanding balance on our credit line.

On July 1, 2015, we used cash on hand and funds from our credit line to pay off the remaining \$260 million of the \$400 million loan due in 2017.

On July 27, 2015, we closed a non-recourse \$180 million interest only term loan that will mature in July 2022 with interest effectively fixed at 3.06% per annum until July 2020. The loan is secured by an office building in Hawaii. We used the proceeds from this loan to pay down a portion of the outstanding balance on our credit line.

Acquisitions and Dispositions

In the first quarter of 2015, we closed on the purchase of a 227,000 square foot Class A multi-tenant office property located in Encino, California for \$92.4 million, or approximately \$407 per square foot. See Note 3 to our consolidated financial statements in Item 1 of this Report for more detail regarding our acquisitions.

In the first quarter of 2015, we acquired the fee interest in the land under one of our office buildings for the equivalent of \$27.5 million. In that first quarter, we recognized the remaining \$6.6 million of accretion of an above-market ground lease under which we had leased the land. See Note 4 to our consolidated financial statements in Item 1 of this Report for more detail regarding the accretion of the above-market ground lease.

Development and Repositionings

We are developing two multifamily projects, one in Brentwood, Los Angeles, and one in Honolulu, Hawaii. Each development is on land which we already own:

We are planning the construction of an additional 500 apartments at our Moanalua Hillside Apartments in Honolulu. We expect construction will take approximately 18 months and cost approximately \$120 million. Hawaii has started offering some incentive programs to encourage the type of workhouse housing that we are going to build, and we are in the process of applying for those program incentives before proceeding further with construction.

In Los Angeles, we are seeking to build a high rise apartment project with 376 residential units. Because development in our markets, particularly West Los Angeles, remains a long and uncertain process, we do not expect to break ground in Los Angeles before late 2017, even if the entitlement process is successful. We expect the cost of this development to be approximately \$120 million to \$140 million.

We often strategically purchase properties with large vacancies or expected near-term lease roll-over and use our knowledge of the property and submarket to reposition the property for the optimal use and tenant mix. The work we undertake to reposition a building typically takes months or even years, and could involve a range of improvements from a complete structural renovation to a targeted remodeling of selected spaces. We generally select a property for repositioning at the time we purchase it, although repositioning efforts can also occur at properties that we already own. During the repositioning, the affected property may display depressed rental revenue and occupancy levels which impacts our results and, therefore, comparisons of our performance from period to period. We are currently

repositioning a 79,000 square foot office property in Honolulu, Hawaii, in which we own a two-thirds interest, as well as a 413,000 square foot office property in Brentwood, Los Angeles, which included 35,000 square foot of retail space on which we expect to develop a 34 story, 376 unit residential high-rise as described above.

27

Table of Contents

Historical Results of Operations

Overview

Our results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 consisted of the rental operations of fifty-three consolidated office properties and ten consolidated multifamily properties for the full six months, as well as (after the date of acquisition) one additional consolidated office property that we acquired on March 5, 2015. Our results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 consisted of the rental operations of fifty-two consolidated office properties and nine consolidated multifamily properties. Our share of the earnings from our Funds, which owned an additional eight office properties during the three and six months ended June 2015 and 2014, is included in income, including depreciation, from unconsolidated real estate funds. We did not acquire or sell any interests in our Funds during the three and six months ended June 2015 or 2014. Our Funds did not acquire or sell any properties during the three and six months ended June 2015 or 2014.

Non-GAAP Supplemental Financial Measure: Consolidated Funds From Operations (FFO)

Many investors use Funds From Operations as one performance yardstick to compare the operating performance with that of other REITs. FFO represents net income (loss), computed in accordance with GAAP, excluding gains (or losses) from sales of depreciable operating property, impairments of depreciable operating property and investments, real estate depreciation and amortization (other than amortization of deferred financing costs), and after the same adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. We calculate FFO in accordance with the standards established by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT). Like any metric, FFO has limitations as a measure of our performance, because it excludes depreciation and amortization, and captures neither the changes in the value of our properties that result from use or market conditions, nor the level of capital expenditures and leasing commissions necessary to maintain the operating performance of our properties, all of which have real economic effect and could materially impact our results from operations. Other REITs may not calculate FFO in accordance with the NAREIT definition and, accordingly, our FFO may not be comparable to the FFO of other REITs. Accordingly, FFO should be considered only as a supplement to net income as a measure of our performance. FFO should not be used as a measure of our liquidity, nor is it indicative of cash available to fund our cash needs, including our ability to pay dividends. FFO should not be used as a supplement to or a substitute measure for cash flow from operating activities computed in accordance with GAAP.

FFO for the three months ended June 30, 2015 increased by \$0.2 million, or 0.3%, to \$71.0 million compared to \$70.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014. The increase was primarily due to (i) an increase in operating income from our office portfolio due to acquisitions, and (ii) an increase in operating income from our multifamily portfolio due to an acquisition and higher rental rates, partially offset by (iii) an increase in interest expense due to higher debt balances and acceleration of the amortization of deferred loan costs due to loan refinancings, and (iv) higher other income for the three months ended June 30, 2014 as a result of the inclusion of insurance recoveries related to fire damage. FFO for the six months ended June 30, 2015 increased by \$6.7 million, or 4.8%, to \$147.0 million compared to \$140.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014. The increase primarily reflects the same factors as the three month increase, as well as an increase in other income resulting from accelerated accretion from a ground lease (see Notes 3 and 5).

The table below (in thousands) reconciles our FFO (which includes the FFO attributable to noncontrolling interests) to net income attributable to common stockholders computed in accordance with GAAP:

Three Months Ended June 30, Six Months Ended June 30, 2015 2014 2015 2014

Net income attributable to common stockholders	\$13,448	\$13,363	\$32,147	\$26,339
Depreciation and amortization of real estate assets	51,246	50,939	101,080	101,138
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	3 2,446	2,554	5,843	5,036
Adjustments attributable to consolidated joint venture and unconsolidated Funds ⁽¹⁾	3,854	3,898	7,935	7,764
FFO	\$70,994	\$70,754	\$147,005	\$140,277

Adjusts for the impact to net income of (i) the portion of the net income or loss, and the portion of depreciation and (1) amortization of real estate assets, which are attributable to the noncontrolling interest of our consolidated joint venture, and (ii) our share of the depreciation and amortization of real estate assets of our unconsolidated Funds.

Table of Contents

Rental Rate Trends - Total Portfolio

Office Rental Rates

The table below presents the average effective annual rental rate per leased square foot and the annualized lease transaction costs for leases executed in our total office portfolio during each period:

Historical straight-line rents:(1)	Six Months Ended June 30, 2015	Twelve M 2014	Ionths Ended 2013	December 3 2012	1, 2011
Average rental rate ⁽²⁾ Annualized lease transaction costs ⁽³⁾	\$40.89	\$35.93	\$34.72	\$32.86	\$32.76
	\$5.03	\$4.66	\$4.16	\$4.06	\$3.64

Because straight-line rent takes into account the full economic value of each lease, including accommodations and rent escalations, we believe that it may provide a better comparison than ending cash rents, which include the

- (1) impact of the annual escalations over the entire term of the lease. However, care should be taken in any comparison, as the averages are often significantly affected from period to period by factors such as the buildings, submarkets, types of space and terms involved in the leases executed during the respective reporting period.

 Represents the weighted average straight-line annualized base rent (i.e., excludes tenant reimbursements, parking
- (2) and other revenue) per leased square foot for leases entered into within our total office portfolio. For our triple net leases, annualized rent is calculated by adding estimated expense reimbursements to base rent.
- Represents the weighted average leasing commissions and tenant improvement allowances under each office lease
- (3) within our total office portfolio that were executed during the respective reporting period, divided by the number of years of that lease.

Straight-line rental rates

During the second quarter of 2015, we experienced positive rent roll up, with our average straight-line rent of \$38.84 under new and renewal leases that we signed during the quarter averaging 21.1% greater than the average straight-line rent of \$32.07 on the expiring leases for the same space. This improvement reflects both (i) continuing increases in average starting rental rates, (ii) more leases containing annual rent escalations in excess of 3% per annum and (iii) lower rental rates on the expiring leases for the same space. Quarterly fluctuations in submarkets, buildings and term of the expiring leases cause large variations in this number and make predicting the changes in rent in any specific quarter difficult.

Cash rental rates

During the second quarter of 2015, our average starting cash rental rate on new and renewal leases that we signed during the quarter of \$37.07 was 19.9% greater than the average starting cash rental rate on the expiring leases for the same space of \$30.93, and 6.1% greater than the cash rental rate of \$34.95 on the expiring leases for the same space. The leases we signed during the quarter included the renewal of the lease for our corporate headquarters in Santa Monica. Excluding that lease, the starting cash rents on office leases that we signed during the second quarter were 6.1% higher than the expiring leases for the same space, and the straight line rents were 21.1% higher than the same space under the prior lease. The improvement in this metric reflects both (i) office rent growth in our submarkets and (ii) our focus during the quarter on increasing rental rates. Quarterly fluctuations in submarkets, buildings and term of the expiring leases cause large variations in these numbers and make predicting the changes in rent in any specific quarter difficult.

Table of Contents

The table below presents our expected expiring cash rents in our total office portfolio over the next four quarters:

Expiring cash rents:	Three Months Endin September 30, 2015	C	March 31, 2016	June 30, 2016
Expiring square feet (1) Expiring rent per square foot (2)	164,660	315,252	368,255	519,300
	\$33.58	\$33.42	\$33.48	\$37.15

Scheduled square footage expirations for our total office portfolio, which reflects all existing leases that are scheduled to expire in the respective quarter shown above, excluding the square footage under leases where (i) the existing tenant has renewed the lease on or before June 30, 2015, (ii) a new tenant has executed a lease on or before June 30, 2015 that will commence after June 30, 2015, (iii) early termination options that are exercised after

- June 30, 2015, (iv) defaults occurring after June 30, 2015, and (v) short term leases, such as month to month leases and other short term leases. Short term leases are excluded because (i) they are not included in our changes in rental rate data, (ii) have rental rates that may not be reflective of market conditions, and (iii) can distort the data trends, particularly in the first quarter of the fiscal year. The variations in this number from quarter to quarter primarily reflects the mix of buildings/submarkets involved, although it is also impacted by the varying terms and square footage of the individual leases involved.
 - Represents annualized base rent (i.e., excludes tenant reimbursements, parking and other revenue) per leased square foot at expiration. The amount reflects total cash base rent before abatements. For our triple net leases, we
- (2) calculate annualized base rent for triple net leases by adding expense reimbursements to base rent. Expiring rent per square foot on a quarterly basis is impacted by a number of variables, including variations in the submarkets or buildings involved.

Multifamily Rental Rates

With respect to our residential properties, average rent on leases to new tenants during the second quarter of 2015 was 4.7% higher than the rent for the same unit at the time it became vacant. The table below presents the average annual rental rate per leased unit. The rental rates in 2015 include Waena Apartments, which we acquired on December 30, 2014, which accounts for the decline in the overall average.

	Six Months Ended	Twelve Mo	onths Ended I	December 31,	,
Average annual rental rate - new tenants:	June 30, 2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
Rental rate	\$26,970	\$28,870	\$27,392	\$26,308	\$24,502

Occupancy Rates - Total Portfolio

The tables below present the occupancy rates for our total office portfolio and multifamily portfolio:

		December	31,			
Occupancy Rates ⁽¹⁾ as of:	June 30, 2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	
OCC: D (C.1)	00.0	or 00.5	07 00 4	Ø 00.6	07 07 F	O.
Office Portfolio	90.9	% 90.5	% 90.4	% 89.6	% 87.5	%
Multifamily Portfolio	98.2	% 98.2	% 98.7	% 98.7	% 98.4	%

Edgar Filing: Douglas Emmett Inc - Form 10-Q

2011	
% 87.0	%
% 98.2	%
	% 87.0

Occupancy rates include the impact of property acquisitions, most of whose occupancy rates at the time of acquisition are well below that of our existing portfolio.