

Wealth Minerals Ltd.
Form 20-F
June 18, 2007

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

FORM 20-F

..

REGISTRATION STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OR (g) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

OR

þ

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended November 30, 2006

OR

..

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

OR

..

SHELL COMPANY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Date of event requiring this shell company report _____ .

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 0-29986

WEALTH MINERALS LTD.

Edgar Filing: Wealth Minerals Ltd. - Form 20-F

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

British Columbia, Canada
(Jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

#1901 1177 West Hastings Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6E 2K3
(Address of principal executive offices)

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act. N/A

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act.

Common shares without par value
(Title of Class)

Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act.

(Title of Class)

Indicate the number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer's classes of capital or common stock as of the close of the period covered by the annual report.

20,541,142

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No

If this report is an annual or transition report, indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Yes No

Note Checking the box above will not relieve any registrant required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 from their obligations under those Sections.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such report(s), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark which financial statement item the registrant has elected to follow.

Item 17

Item 18

If this is an annual report, indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

ITEM 1.

IDENTITY OF DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND ADVISORS

ITEM 2.

OFFER STATISTICS AND EXPECTED TIMETABLE

ITEM 3.

KEY INFORMATION

3.A

Selected Financial Data

3.B

Capitalization and Indebtedness

3.C

Reasons for the Offer and Use of Proceeds

3.D

Risk Factors

ITEM 4.

INFORMATION ON THE COMPANY

4.A

History and Development of the Company

4.B

Business Overview

4.C

Organizational Structure

4.D

Property, Plants and Equipment

ITEM 5.

OPERATING AND FINANCIAL REVIEW AND PROSPECTS

5.A

Operating results

5.B

Liquidity and Capital Resources

5.C

Research and Development, Patents and Licenses, etc.

5.D

Trend Information

5.E

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

5.F

Tabular Disclosure of Contractual Obligations

ITEM 6.

DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND EMPLOYEES

6.A

Directors and Senior Management

6.B

Compensation

6C.

Board Practices

6.D

Employees

6.E

Share Ownership

ITEM 7.

MAJOR SHAREHOLDERS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

7.A

Major Shareholders

7.B

Related Party Transactions

7.C

Interests of Experts and Counsel

ITEM 8.

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

8.A

Consolidated Statements and Other Financial Information

8.B

Significant Changes

ITEM 9.

THE OFFER AND LISTING DETAILS

9A.

Offer and Listing Details

9.B

Plan of Distribution

9.C

Markets

9.D

Selling Shareholder

9.E

Dilution

9.F

Expenses of the Issuer

ITEM 10.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

10.A

Share Capital

10.B

Articles, Memorandum and By-Laws of the Company

10.C

Material Contracts

10.D

Exchange Controls

10.E

Taxation

10.F

Dividends and Paying Agents

10.G

Statements by Experts

10.H

Documents on Display

10. I

Subsidiary Information

ITEM 11.

QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

ITEM 12.

DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES OTHER THAN EQUITY SECURITIES

ITEM 13.

DEFAULTS, DIVIDEND ARREARS AND DELINQUENCIES

ITEM 14.

MATERIAL MODIFICATIONS TO THE RIGHTS OF SECURITY HOLDERS AND USE OF PROCEEDS

ITEM 15.

CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

ITEM 16

[Reserved]

16.A

Audit Committee Financial Expert

16.B

Code of Ethics

16.C

Principal Accountant Fees and Services

16.D

Exemptions from the Listing Standards for Audit Committees

16.E.

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

ITEM 17.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

ITEM 18.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

ITEM 19.

EXHIBITS

FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report contains forward-looking statements and information, within the meaning of Section 21E of the Exchange Act, relating to the Company that are based on the beliefs and estimates of management as well as assumptions made by and information currently available to the Company. When used in this document, any statements that express or involve discussions with respect to predictions, beliefs, plans, projections, objectives, assumptions or future events of performance (often but not always using words or phrases such as "anticipate", "believe", "estimate", "expect", "intend", "plan", "strategy", "goals", "objectives", "project", "potential" or variations thereof or stating that certain actions, events, or results "may", "could", "would", "might" or "will" be taken, occur, or be achieved, or the negative of any of these terms and similar expressions, as they relate to the Company or management, are intended to identify forward-looking statements.

Such statements reflect the Company's current views with respect to future events and are subject to certain known and unknown risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Many factors could cause actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements that may be expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements, including, among others:

- risks relating to the Company's ability to finance the exploration and development of its mineral properties;
- permitting risks relating to the Company's exploration and development of its mineral properties and business activities;
- risks and uncertainties relating to the interpretation of exploration results, geology, grade and continuity of the Company's mineral deposits;
- commodity price fluctuations (particularly gold and silver commodities);
- currency fluctuations;
- risks related to governmental regulations, including environmental regulations;
- risks related to possible reclamation activities on the Company's properties;
- the Company's ability to attract and retain qualified management and the Company's dependence upon such management in the development of its mineral properties;
- increased competition in the exploration industry;
- the Company's lack of infrastructure;
- the Company's history of losses and expectation of future losses.

Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary materially from those described herein. This list is not exhaustive of the factors that may affect any of the Company's forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are statements about the future and are inherently uncertain, and actual achievements of the Company or other future events or conditions may differ materially from those reflected in the forward-looking statements due to a variety of risks, uncertainties and other factors, including without limitation, those referred to in this document, under the heading "Risk Factors" and elsewhere. The Company's forward-looking statements are based on the beliefs, expectations and opinions of

management on the date the statements are made, and the Company does not assume any obligation to update forward-looking statements if circumstances or management's beliefs, expectations or opinions should change. For the reasons set forth above, investors should not attribute undue certainty to or place undue reliance on forward-looking statements.

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

The following is a glossary of certain terms used in this Annual Report:

| | |
|------------------------------------|---|
| Annual Report | This Annual Report of the Company on Form 20F |
| Board | The Board of Directors of the Company |
| BCBCA | The <i>Business Corporations Act</i> (British Columbia), the Company's governing corporate statute |
| class meeting | a meeting of shareholders of the Company who hold shares of a particular class of shares |
| Common Shares | The common shares without par value in the capital stock of the Company as the same are constituted on the date hereof |
| the Company | Wealth Minerals Ltd. |
| cps | counts per second |
| g/t | grams per metric tonne |
| Ordinary Resolution | a resolution: (a) passed at a general meeting by a simple majority (50% + 1) of the votes cast by shareholders voting shares that carry the right to vote at general meetings; or (b) passed, after being submitted to all of the shareholders holding shares that carry the right to vote at general meetings, by being consented to in writing by shareholders holding shares that carry the right to vote at general meetings who, in the aggregate, hold shares carrying at least a special majority (66 %) of the votes entitled to be cast on the resolution |
| ppb or Ppb | an abbreviation for units of measure in parts per billion |
| ppm or Ppm | abbreviation for units of measure in parts per million |
| Separate Special Resolution | (a) a resolution passed at a class meeting or series meeting under the following circumstances: (i) notice of the meeting specifying the intention to propose the resolution as a special separate resolution is sent to all shareholders holding shares of that class or series of shares at least the prescribed number of days before the meeting; (ii) when voting on the resolution, shareholders voting shares of that class or series of shares vote in favour of the resolution by at least 66 % of those shareholders; or (b) a resolution passed by being consented to in writing by all of the shareholders holding shares of the applicable class or series of shares |
| series meeting | a meeting of shareholders who hold shares of a particular series of a class of shares |

TSXV

TSX Venture Exchange, Inc.

PART I

ITEM 1.

IDENTITY OF DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND ADVISORS

Not Applicable

ITEM 2.

OFFER STATISTICS AND EXPECTED TIMETABLE

Not Applicable

ITEM 3.

KEY INFORMATION

3.A

Selected Financial Data

The selected historical financial information presented in the table below for each of the years ended November 30, 2006, 2005, and 2004 is derived from the audited consolidated financial statements of the Company. The audited financial statements for the Company for the years ended November 30, 2006, 2005, and 2004 are included in this Annual Report. The selected financial information presented below should be read in conjunction with the Company's consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto (Item 17) and the Operating and Financial Review and Prospects (Item 5) elsewhere in this Annual Report.

The selected consolidated financial data has been prepared in accordance with Canadian Generally Accepted Accounting Principals (GAAP) and in accordance with Canadian and United States Generally Accepted Accounting Standards (GAAS). Selected financial data has also been provided under United States GAAP to the extent that amounts are different. The consolidated financial statements included in Item 17 in this Annual Report are also prepared under Canadian GAAP and Canadian and United States GAAS. Included within these consolidated financial statements in Note 19 is reconciliation between Canadian and United States GAAP.

Selected Financial Data (Canadian GAAP)

| | Year Ended 2006 \$ | Year Ended 2005 \$ (Restated) | Year Ended 2004 \$ | Year Ended 2003 \$ | Year Ended 2002 \$ |
|--|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Revenues | - | - | - | - | - |
| Exploration Expenses | - | - | - | 27,783 | 57,664 |
| Depletion, Depreciation and Amortization | 5,157 | 4,051 | 2,438 | 2,974 | 3,047 |
| Consulting fees (1) | 663,262 | 370,314 | 669,876 | 82,800 | 100,522 |
| Other General and Administrative Expenses | 1,309,184 | 837,959 | 533,709 | 150,544 | 153,027 |
| Impairment of mineral properties | - | 96,880 | 504,262 | - | - |
| Interest Income | 61,716 | 46,627 | 15,749 | 464 | 1,092 |
| Net Income (Loss) | (1,931,779) | (1,281,180) | (1,630,322) | (263,199) | (315,085) |
| Per Share | (0.11) | (0.11) | (0.24) | (0.14) | (0.06) |
| Working Capital | 1,733,607 | 1,996,122 | 2,931,294 | 38,773 | 123,498 |
| Deferred Exploration | 4,821,475 | 1,453,115 | - | - | - |
| Expenses | | | | | |
| Mineral properties | 8,770,354 | 1,894,875 | - | - | - |
| Long-Term Liabilities | 155,447 | - | - | - | - |
| Shareholders Equity | 10,367,688 | 3,905,815 | 2,944,758 | 76,508 | 164,207 |

Selected Financial Data (US GAAP)

| | Year Ended 2006 | Year Ended 2005 (Restated) | Year Ended 2004 | Year Ended 2003 | Year Ended 2002 |
|--|----------------------------|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
|--|----------------------------|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|

Edgar Filing: Wealth Minerals Ltd. - Form 20-F

| | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| Revenues | - | - | - | - | - |
| Exploration Expenses | 6,875,479 | 1,894,875 | 504,262 | 27,783 | 57,664 |
| Depletion, Depreciation | | | | | |
| and Amortization | 5,157 | 4,051 | 2,438 | 2,974 | 3,047 |
| Consulting fees ⁽¹⁾ | 663,262 | 370,314 | 669,876 | 90,600 | 173,622 |
| Other General and | 1,309,184 | 837,959 | 533,709 | 150,544 | 153,027 |
| Administrative Expenses | | | | | |
| Interest Income | 61,716 | 46,627 | 15,749 | 464 | 1,092 |
| Basic Net Income (Loss) | (6,753,254) | (2,734,295) | (1,630,322) | (270,999) | (388,185) |
| Per Share | (0.39) | (0.23) | (0.24) | (0.14) | (0.27) |
| Working Capital | 1,733,607 | 1,996,122 | 2,931,294 | 38,773 | 123,498 |
| Deferred Exploration | | - | - | - | - |
| Expenses | | | | | |
| Mineral Properties | - | - | - | - | - |
| Long-Term Liabilities | 155,447 | - | - | - | - |
| Shareholders Equity | 4,100,283 | 2,452,700 | 2,944,758 | 76,508 | 164,207 |

(1)

Includes Stock-Based Compensation

The weighted average outstanding number of Common Shares used to calculate income (loss) per share for the following fiscal periods are: 17,145,600 for the year ended November 30, 2006, 11,648,823 for the year ended November 30, 2005, 6,732,969 for the year ended November 30, 2004, 1,920,270 for the year ended November 30, 2003, and 1,424,203 for the year ended November 30, 2002.

To date, the Company has not generated any cash flow from its operations to fund ongoing activities and cash commitments. The Company has financed its operations principally through the sale of its equity securities. The Company believes that it has sufficient financial resources to conduct all of the planned exploration of current mineral property interests and to fund ongoing overhead expenses for the next twelve months. In the future, the Company may need to raise additional capital through the sale of equity securities to fund further exploration activities. See "Item 5 - Operating and Financial Review and Prospects - Liquidity and Capital Resources". The Company may not be able to raise the necessary funds, if any, and may not be able to raise such funds at terms which are acceptable to the Company. In the event the Company is unable to raise adequate finances to fund the proposed activities, the Company will reassess alternatives and may be required to abandon one or more of its property interests as a result.

Change in Accounting Policy

Effective December 1, 2005, the Company changed its accounting policy to capitalizing all costs related to investments in mineral property interests on a property-by-property basis. Such costs include mineral property acquisition costs and exploration and development expenditures net of recoveries. This change in policy has been retroactively applied. The effect of the change in accounting policy on the consolidated financial statements of the prior year is to capitalize \$1,894,875 of exploration costs that would otherwise have been expensed.

Exchange Rate Data

The Company maintains its accounts in Canadian dollars. The audited financial statements are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in Canada. All references to the dollar herein are to the Canada dollar (Cdn\$ or CAD) unless designated as the United States dollar (US\$ or USD).

The following tables sets forth, for the periods indicated, certain exchange rates based on the noon buying rate in New York City for cable transfers in Canadian dollars as certified for customs purposes by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York.

The following table sets forth the high and low exchange rates for each month during the previous six months:

| | High | Low |
|---------------|-------------|------------|
| May 2007 | 0.9350 | 0.8998 |
| April 2007 | 0.9011 | 0.8624 |
| March 2007 | 0.8673 | 0.8467 |
| February 2007 | 0.8631 | 0.8437 |
| January 2007 | 0.8586 | 0.8457 |
| December 2006 | 0.8760 | 0.8582 |

The following table sets forth the average exchange rate for the last five years. The average exchange rate is based on the average of the rates of exchange on the last day of each month during such periods.

For Year Ended November 30

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 |
|----------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Average Rate during Period | 0.8810 | 0.8824 | 0.7640 | 0.7306 | 0.6362 |

On May 31, 2007, the exchange rate was USD 1.0693 = CAD 1.00.

3.B

Capitalization and Indebtedness

Not applicable.

3.C

Reasons for the Offer and Use of Proceeds

Not applicable.

3.D

Risk Factors

The Company, and thus the securities of the Company, should be considered a speculative investment and investors should carefully consider all of the information disclosed in this Annual Report prior to making an investment in the Company. In addition to the other information presented in this Annual Report, the following risk factors should be given special consideration when evaluating an investment in any of the Company's securities.

Risks Associated with Exploration

The Company has no known reserves on its properties.

The Company has no mineral producing properties and has never generated any revenue from its operations. The majority of exploration projects do not result in the discovery of commercially mineable deposits of ore. Only those mineral deposits that the Company can economically and legally extract or produce, based on a comprehensive evaluation of cost, grade, recovery and other factors, are considered "resources" or "reserves". The Company has no known bodies of commercial ore or economic deposits and has not defined or delineated any proven or probable reserves or resources on any of its properties. The Company may never discover any gold, uranium or other minerals from mineralized material in commercially exploitable quantities and any identified mineralized deposit may never qualify as a commercially mineable (or viable) reserve. In addition, the Company is in its early stages of exploration and substantial additional work will be required in order to determine if any economic deposits exist on the Company's properties. Substantial expenditures are required to establish ore reserves through drilling and metallurgical and other testing techniques. No assurance can be given that any level of recovery of the ore reserves will be realized or that any identified mineral deposit will ever qualify as a commercial mineable ore body which can be legally and economically exploited.

Even in the event commercial quantities of minerals are discovered, the mining properties might not be brought into a state of commercial production. Estimates of mineral resources are inherently imprecise and depend to some extent

on statistical inferences drawn from limited drilling, which may prove unreliable. Fluctuations in the market prices of minerals may render reserves and deposits containing relatively lower grades of mineralization uneconomic. Material changes in mineralized material, grades or recovery rates may affect the economic viability of projects. Finding mineral deposits is dependent on a number of factors, not the least of which are the technical skills of exploration personnel involved. The commercial viability of a mineral deposit once discovered is also dependent on a number of factors, some of which are particular attributes of the deposit, such as size, grade and proximity to infrastructure and resource markets, as well as factors independent of the attributes of the deposit, such as government regulations and metal prices. Most of these factors are beyond the control of the entity conducting such mineral exploration. Moreover, short-term operating factors relating to mineral resources, such as the need for orderly development of the deposits or the processing of new or different grades, may cause mining operations, if any, to be unprofitable in any particular period.

These risks may limit or prevent the Company from making a profit from the exploration and development of its mineral properties and could negatively affect the value of the Company's equity.

The Company faces risks related to exploration and development, if warranted, of its properties.

The level of profitability of the Company, if any, in future years will depend to a great degree on uranium prices and whether any of the Company's exploration stage properties can be brought into production. The exploration for and development of mineral deposits involves significant risks. It is impossible to ensure that the current and future exploration programs and/or feasibility studies on the Company's existing mineral properties will establish reserves. Whether an ore body will be commercially viable depends on a number of factors, including, but not limited to: the particular attributes of the deposit, such as size, grade and proximity to infrastructure; metal prices, which cannot be predicted and which have been highly volatile in the past; mining, processing and transportation costs; perceived levels of political risk and the willingness of lenders and investors to provide project financing; labour costs and possible labour strikes; and governmental regulations, including, without limitation, regulations relating to prices, taxes, royalties, land tenure, land use, importing and exporting materials, foreign exchange, environmental protection, employment, worker safety, transportation, and reclamation and closure obligations.

The Company is subject to the risks normally encountered in the mining industry, such as:

- unusual or unexpected geological formations;
- fires, floods, earthquakes, volcanic eruptions, and other natural disasters;
- power outages and water shortages;
- cave-ins, land slides, and other similar mining hazards;
- labour disruptions and labour disputes;
- inability to obtain suitable or adequate machinery, equipment, or labour;
- liability for pollution or other hazards; and
- other known and unknown risks involved in the operation of mines and the conduct of exploration.

The development of mineral properties is affected by many factors, including, but not limited to: the cost of operations, variations in the grade of ore, fluctuations in metal markets, costs of extraction and processing equipment, availability of equipment and labour, labour costs and possible labour strikes, and government regulations, including without limitation, regulations relating to taxes, royalties, allowable production, importing and exporting of minerals, foreign exchange, employment, worker safety, transportation, and environmental protection. Depending on the price of minerals, the Company may determine that it is impractical to commence, or, if commenced, continue, commercial production. Such a decision would negatively affect the Company's profits and may affect the value of its equity.

The Company's properties may be subject to unregistered agreements, transfers or claims and title may be adversely affected by undetected defects.

The Company has not conducted a legal survey of the boundaries of any of its properties, and therefore, in accordance with the laws of the jurisdictions in which these properties are situated, their existence and area could be in doubt. In addition, many of the applications for exploration concessions ("cateos") which have been made by the Company (or by vendors from whom it is optioning such ground) have not yet been granted, and there can be no certainty that such applications will be granted and that the Company will, consequently, be awarded such areas. While the Company can carry out basic initial exploration on areas subject to application, until such cateos have actually been granted, advanced exploration cannot be carried out. The Company has not obtained formal title reports for all of its properties and title may be in doubt. The Company's property may be subject to unregistered agreements, transfers or claims and title may be adversely affected by such undetected defects. If title is disputed, the Company may have to defend its ownership through the courts, and the Company cannot guarantee that a favourable judgment will be obtained. Any litigation could be extremely costly to the Company and could limit the available capital for use in other exploration and development activities. The Company may require additional financing to cover the costs of any litigation necessary to establish title. In the event of an adverse judgment, the Company could lose its property rights and may be required to cease its exploration and development activities on the property.

Mineral operations are subject to government regulations.

The Company's primary exploration properties are located in the Republic of Argentina. The federal government, and the government of the various provinces of Argentina regulate mining operations and require mining permits and licenses. There can be no guarantee that the Company will be able to obtain all necessary permits and approvals from various federal, provincial, and local government authorities that may be required in order to undertake exploration activities or commence construction or operation of mine facilities on its properties. Further, there is no guarantee that the federal, provincial, and local governments will not change the terms and conditions of these permits and licenses, adversely affecting the Company, or that such governments will completely revoke these licenses, terminating the Company's property rights and requiring the Company to cease exploration and development activities on the property. If the Company is unable to obtain and maintain any necessary permits, it may be forced to abandon all or a portion of its properties.

Mining operations are subject to a wide range of government regulations including, but not limited to: restrictions on production and production methods, price controls, tax increases, expropriation of property, import and export control,

employment laws, worker safety regulations, environmental protection, protection of agricultural territory or changes in conditions under which minerals may be marketed. Mining operations may also be affected by claims of indigenous peoples, any of which could have the effect of reducing or preventing the Company from exploiting any possible mineral reserves on its properties.

Mineral operations are subject to market forces outside of the Company's control.

The marketability of minerals is affected by numerous factors beyond the control of the entity involved in their mining and processing. These factors include, but are not limited to, market fluctuations, government regulations relating to prices, taxes, royalties, allowable production, import restrictions applicable to equipment and supplies, export controls and supply and demand. One or more of these risk elements could have an impact on costs of an operation and, if significant enough, reduce the profitability of the operation and threaten its continuation.

The mining industry is highly competitive.

The business of the acquisition, exploration, and development of mineral properties is intensely competitive. The Company will be required to compete, in the future, directly with other corporations that may have better access to potential mineral resources, more developed infrastructure, more available capital, better access to necessary financing, and more knowledgeable and available employees than the Company. The Company may encounter competition in acquiring mineral properties, hiring mining professionals, obtaining mining resources, such as manpower, drill rigs, and other mining equipment. Such corporations could outbid the Company for potential projects or produce minerals at lower costs. Increased competition could also affect the Company's ability to attract necessary capital funding or acquire suitable producing properties or prospects for mineral exploration in the future.

Mining and mineral exploration have substantial operational risks which are uninsured or uninsurable risks.

Exploration, development and mining operations involve various hazards, including environmental hazards, industrial accidents, metallurgical and other processing problems, unusual or unexpected rock formations, structural cave-ins or slides, flooding, fires, metal losses and periodic interruptions due to inclement or hazardous weather conditions. These risks could result in damage to or destruction of mineral properties, facilities or other property, personal injury, environmental damage, delays in operations, increased cost of operations, monetary losses and possible legal liability. The Company does not presently carry any insurance with respect to any such liabilities. Even if the Company were to determine to seek such insurance in the future, it may not be able to obtain insurance to cover these risks at economically feasible premiums or at all. In addition, even the Company did determine to seek such insurance and even if insurance was available, the Company may elect not to insure where premium costs are disproportionate to management's perception of the relevant risks. The payment of such insurance premiums and of such liabilities would reduce the funds available for exploration and production activities.

Environmental Regulatory Requirements

In connection with its operations and properties in Argentina, the Company is subject to extensive and changing environmental legislation, regulation and actions. The Company cannot predict what environmental legislation, regulation or policy will be enacted or adopted in the future or how future laws and regulations will be administered or interpreted. The recent trend in environmental legislation and regulation, generally, is toward stricter standards and this trend is likely to continue in the future. This recent trend includes, without limitation, laws and regulations relating to air and water quality, mine reclamation, waste handling and disposal, the protection of certain species and the preservation of certain lands. These regulations may require the acquisition of permits or other authorizations for certain activities. These laws and regulations may also limit or prohibit activities on certain lands. Compliance with more stringent laws and regulations, as well as potentially more vigorous enforcement policies or stricter interpretation of existing laws, may necessitate significant capital outlays, may materially affect the Company's results of operations and business, or may cause material changes or delays in the Company's intended activities.

The Company's operations may require additional analysis in the future including environmental and social impact and other related studies. Certain activities require the submission and approval of environmental impact assessments. Environmental assessments of proposed projects carry a heightened degree of responsibility for companies and directors, officers, and employees. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to obtain or maintain all necessary permits that may be required to continue its operation or its exploration of its properties or, if feasible, to commence development, construction or operation of mining facilities at such properties on terms which enable operations to be conducted at economically justifiable costs.

Other Regulatory Requirements

The Company's activities in Argentina are subject to extensive regulations governing various matters, including management and use of radioactive and/or toxic substances and explosives, management of natural resources, exploration, development of mines, production and post-closure reclamation, exports, price controls, taxation, regulations concerning business dealings with indigenous peoples, labour standards on occupational health and safety, including mine safety, and historic and cultural preservation.

Failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations may result in civil or criminal fines or penalties, enforcement actions thereunder, including orders issued by regulatory or judicial authorities causing operations to cease or be curtailed, and may include corrective measures requiring capital expenditures, installation of additional equipment, or remedial actions, any of which could result in our incurring significant expenditures. The Company may also be required to compensate those suffering loss or damage by reason of a breach of such laws, regulations or permitting requirements. It is also possible that future laws and regulations, or more stringent enforcement of current laws and regulations by governmental authorities, could cause additional expense, capital expenditures, restrictions on or suspension of our operations and delays in the exploration and development of our properties.

ottom: Black 1px solid; text-align: left" > 100,448 150,080 Total

Edgar Filing: Wealth Minerals Ltd. - Form 20-F

| | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---|
| revenues | 1,615,808 | 1,468,588 | 1,288,454 | 1,139,800 | 1,279,065 | Operating |
| income | 245,707 | 214,062 | 149,265 | 134,477 | 164,368 | Income from continuing |
| operations | 165,903 | 136,902 | 96,285 | 82,964 | 97,148 | Income from discontinued operations |
| income | \$165,903 | \$136,902 | \$96,285 | \$82,964 | \$103,871 | |
| DATA: | | | | | | PER SHARE |
| | Basic: | | | | | Income from continuing |
| from discontinued operations | | | | | | share \$1.78 \$1.43 \$1.01 \$0.88 \$1.02 |
| share | \$1.78 | \$1.43 | \$1.01 | \$0.88 | \$1.09 | Income from discontinued operations |
| operations | \$1.73 | \$1.39 | \$0.96 | \$0.85 | \$0.98 | Income from continuing |
| share | \$1.73 | \$1.39 | \$0.96 | \$0.85 | \$1.05 | share \$1.73 \$1.39 \$0.96 \$0.85 \$1.05 |
| outstanding | | | | | | Weighted average shares |
| DATA: | | | | | | outstanding |
| | | | | | | Basic 93,444 96,019 95,747 94,658 95,246 |
| assets | 1,621,277 | 1,379,872 | 1,285,658 | 1,215,279 | 1,093,065 | Diluted 95,842 98,846 99,834 97,549 99,028 |
| debt | 115,000 | 150,000 | 180,000 | 124,000 | 238,500 | OTHER |
| (deficit) | 306,673 | 181,784 | 187,056 | 112,535 | (21,316) | Cash and cash equivalents |
| operations | 279,814 | 255,566 | 205,499 | 161,937 | 184,350 | \$299,852 \$142,739 \$120,181 \$116,574 \$140,929 |
| | | | | | | Total |
| | | | | | | Long-term |
| | | | | | | debt |
| | | | | | | Stockholders' equity |
| | | | | | | (deficit) |
| | | | | | | Cash flow from |
| | | | | | | operations |

The following items impact the comparability and presentation of our consolidated data:

In 2012 we acquired Ideas International, Inc. and recognized \$2.4 million in pre-tax acquisition and integration charges (see Note 2 — Acquisitions in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements). In addition, in 2009 we acquired AMR Research, Inc. and Burton Group, Inc., and in 2010 and 2009 we recognized \$7.9 million and \$2.9 million in pre-tax acquisition charges. The results of these businesses, which were not material, were included beginning on their respective acquisition dates.

In 2012 we repurchased 2.7 million of our common shares under our share repurchase program at a total cost of \$111.3 million. We also repurchased 5.9 million, 3.9 million, 0.3 million, and 9.7 million of our common shares in 2011, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively (see Note 7 — Stockholders' Equity in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements).

In 2010 we refinanced our debt (see Note 5 — Debt in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements). In conjunction with the refinancing, we recorded \$3.7 million in incremental pre-tax charges in that year related to the termination of the previous credit arrangement.

In 2008 we sold our Vision Events business, which had been part of our Events segment. Accordingly, the results of operations of this business and the related gain on sale were reported as a discontinued operation.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

The purpose of the following Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") is to help facilitate the understanding of significant factors influencing the operating results, financial condition and cash flows of Gartner, Inc. Additionally, the MD&A also conveys our expectations of the potential impact of known trends, events or uncertainties that may impact future results. You should read this discussion in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes included in this report. Historical results and percentage relationships are not necessarily indicative of operating results for future periods. References to "the Company," "we," "our," and "us" are to Gartner, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries.

In 2012 we acquired Ideas International Limited ("Ideas International"), a publicly-owned Australian corporation (see Note 2 — Acquisition in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information). Ideas International's business operations have been integrated into the Company's Research segment, and its operating results and business measurements are included in the Company's consolidated and segment results beginning on the date of acquisition. The impact of the acquisition was not material.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

In addition to historical information, this Annual Report on Form 10-K contains certain forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are any statements other than statements of historical fact, including statements regarding our expectations, beliefs, hopes, intentions or strategies regarding the future. In some cases, forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as "may," "will," "expect," "should," "could," "believe," "plan," "anticipate," "estimate," "predict," "potential," "continue," or other words of similar meaning.

Forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those discussed in, or implied by, the forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause such a difference include, but are not limited to, those discussed in Part 1, Item 1A, Risk Factors. Readers should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which reflect management's opinion only as of the date on which they were made. Except as required by law, we disclaim any obligation to review or update these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances as they occur. Readers should review carefully any risk factors described in other reports we filed with the SEC.

BUSINESS OVERVIEW

Gartner, Inc. is the world's leading information technology research and advisory company that helps executives use technology to build, guide and grow their enterprises. We offer independent and objective research and analysis on the information technology, computer hardware, software, communications and related technology industries. We provide comprehensive coverage of the IT industry to thousands of client organizations across the globe. Our client base consists primarily of CIOs and other senior IT and executives from a wide variety of business enterprises, government agencies and the investment community.

We have three business segments: Research, Consulting and Events.

Research provides objective insight on critical and timely technology and supply chain initiatives for CIOs, other IT professionals, supply chain leaders, technology companies and the investment community through reports, briefings, proprietary tools, access to our analysts, peer networking services, and membership programs that enable our clients to make better decisions about their IT and supply chain investments.

Consulting provides customized solutions to unique client needs through on-site, day-to-day support, as well as proprietary tools for measuring and improving IT performance with a focus on cost, performance, efficiency, and quality.

Events provide IT, supply chain, and business professionals the opportunity to attend various symposia, conferences and exhibitions to learn, contribute and network with their peers. From our flagship event Symposium/ITxpo, to Summits focused on specific technologies and industries, to experimental workshop-style Seminars, our events distill the latest Gartner research into applicable insight and advice.

BUSINESS MEASUREMENTS

We believe the following business measurements are important performance indicators for our business segments:

BUSINESS SEGMENT

BUSINESS MEASUREMENTS

Research

Contract value represents the value attributable to all of our subscription-related research products that recognize revenue on a ratable basis. Contract value is calculated as the annualized value of all subscription research contracts in effect at a specific point in time, without regard to the duration of the contract.

Client retention rate represents a measure of client satisfaction and renewed business relationships at a specific point in time. Client retention is calculated on a percentage basis by dividing our current clients, who were also clients a year ago, by all clients from a year ago.

Wallet retention rate represents a measure of the amount of contract value we have retained with clients over a twelve-month period. Wallet retention is calculated on a percentage basis by dividing the contract value of clients, who were clients one year earlier, by the total contract value from a year earlier, excluding the impact of foreign currency exchange. When wallet retention exceeds client retention, it is an indication of retention of higher-spending clients, or increased spending by retained clients, or both.

Consulting **Consulting backlog** represents future revenue to be derived from in-process consulting, measurement and strategic advisory services engagements.

Utilization rate represents a measure of productivity of our consultants. Utilization rates are calculated for billable headcount on a percentage basis by dividing total hours billed by total hours available to bill.

Billing rate represents earned billable revenue divided by total billable hours.

Average annualized revenue per billable headcount represents a measure of the revenue generating ability of an average billable consultant and is calculated periodically by multiplying the average billing rate per hour times the utilization percentage times the billable hours available for one year.

Events **Number of events** represents the total number of hosted events completed during the period.

Number of attendees represents the total number of people who attend events.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF OPERATIONS AND FINANCIAL POSITION

We have executed a consistent growth strategy since 2005 to drive double-digit annual revenue and earnings growth. The fundamentals of our strategy include a focus on creating extraordinary research content, delivering innovative and highly differentiated product offerings, building a strong sales capability, providing world class client service with a focus on client engagement and retention, and continuously improving our operational effectiveness.

We had total revenues of \$1,615.8 million in 2012, an increase of 10% over 2011 while diluted earnings per share increased by \$.34 per share, to \$1.73. Excluding the impact of foreign currency, 2012 total revenues increased 12% over 2011.

Research revenues rose 12% year-over-year, to \$1,137.1 million in 2012, and the contribution margin increased 1 point, to 68%. At December 31, 2012, Research contract value was \$1,262.9 million, an increase of 14% over December 31, 2011 adjusted for the impact of foreign exchange. Client retention was 83% and wallet retention was 99% at December 31, 2012.

Consulting revenues in 2012 decreased 1% compared to 2011, while the gross contribution margin was 36%. Consultant utilization was 67% for 2012 compared to 65% in 2011, and we had 503 billable consultants at December 31, 2012 compared to 481 at year-end 2011. Backlog increased 2% year-over-year, to \$102.7 million at December 31, 2012.

Events revenues increased 17% year-over-year, to \$173.8 million, while the segment contribution margin was 46%. We held 62 events in 2012 compared to 60 in 2011, with an increase in overall attendance of 8%, to 46,307.

For a more detailed discussion of our results, see the Segment Results section below.

Cash flow from our operating activities increased 9% in 2012 compared to 2011, to \$279.8 million. We continued to focus on maximizing shareholder value in 2012, and we repurchased 2.7 million of our common shares outstanding during the year. We ended 2012 with almost \$300.0 million in cash and cash equivalents. In addition to our record year-end cash balance, as of year-end 2012 we also had almost \$347.0 million of borrowing capacity on our \$400.0 million revolving credit facility. We believe that we have adequate liquidity to meet our currently anticipated needs.

The Company's 2010 Credit Agreement expires in December 2015. The Company is currently exploring refinancing options to take advantage of favorable market conditions.

FLUCTUATIONS IN QUARTERLY RESULTS

Our quarterly and annual revenue, operating income, and cash flow fluctuate as a result of many factors, including: the timing of our Symposium/ITxpo series, which are normally held during the fourth calendar quarter, as well as other events; the timing and amount of new business generated; the mix between domestic and international business; changes in market demand for our products and services; changes in foreign currency rates; the timing of the development, introduction and marketing of our new products and services; competition in the industry; general economic conditions; and other factors which are beyond our control. The potential fluctuations in our operating income could cause period-to-period comparisons of operating results not to be meaningful and could provide an unreliable indication of future operating results and cash flows.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements requires the application of appropriate accounting policies and the use of estimates. Our significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. Management considers the policies discussed below to be critical to an understanding of our financial statements because their application requires complex and subjective management judgments and estimates. Specific risks for these critical accounting policies are described below.

The preparation of our financial statements also requires us to make estimates and assumptions about future events. We develop our estimates using both current and historical experience, as well as other factors, including the general economic environment and actions we may take in the future. We adjust such estimates when facts and circumstances dictate. However, our estimates may involve significant uncertainties and judgments and cannot be determined with precision. In addition, these estimates are based on our best judgment at a point in time and as such these estimates may ultimately differ from actual results. On-going changes in our estimates could be material and would be reflected in the Company's financial statements in future periods.

Our critical accounting policies are as follows:

Revenue recognition — Revenue is recognized in accordance with SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 101, Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements (“SAB 101”), and SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, Revenue Recognition (“SAB 104”). Revenue is only recognized once all required criteria for revenue recognition have been met. Revenue by significant source is accounted for as follows:

• Research revenues are derived from subscription contracts for research products and are deferred and recognized ratably over the applicable contract term. Fees from research reprints are recognized when the reprint is shipped.

Consulting revenues are principally generated from fixed fee and time and material engagements. Revenues from fixed fee contracts are recognized on a proportional performance basis. Revenues from time and materials engagements are recognized as work is delivered and/or services are provided. Revenues related to contract optimization contracts are contingent in nature and are only recognized upon satisfaction of all conditions related to their payment.

Events revenues are deferred and recognized upon the completion of the related symposium, conference or exhibition.

The majority of research contracts are billable upon signing, absent special terms granted on a limited basis from time to time. All research contracts are non-cancelable and non-refundable, except for government contracts that may have cancellation or fiscal funding clauses. It is our policy to record the entire amount of the contract that is billable as a fee receivable at the time the contract is signed with a corresponding amount as deferred revenue, since the contract represents a legally enforceable claim.

Uncollectible fees receivable — We maintain an allowance for losses which is composed of a bad debt allowance and a sales reserve. Provisions are charged against earnings, either as a reduction in revenues or an increase to expense. The measurement of likely and probable losses and the allowance for losses is based on historical loss experience, aging of outstanding receivables, an assessment of current economic conditions and the financial health of specific clients. This evaluation is inherently judgmental and requires estimates. These valuation reserves are periodically re-evaluated and adjusted as more information about the ultimate collectability of fees receivable becomes available. Circumstances that could cause our valuation reserves to increase include changes in our clients' liquidity and credit quality, other factors negatively impacting our clients' ability to pay their obligations as they come due, and the effectiveness of our collection efforts.

The following table provides our total fees receivable and the related allowance for losses (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|-----------------------|--------------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Total fees receivable | \$470,368 | \$428,293 |
| Allowance for losses | (6,400) | (7,260) |
| Fees receivable, net | \$463,968 | \$421,033 |

16

Goodwill and other intangible assets — The Company evaluates recorded goodwill in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 350, which requires goodwill to be assessed for impairment at least annually and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. In addition, an impairment evaluation of our amortizable intangible assets may also be performed on a periodic basis should events or circumstances indicate potential impairment. If we determine that the fair value of a reporting unit or an intangible asset is less than its related carrying amount, we must recognize an impairment charge against earnings. Among the factors we consider important that could trigger an impairment review are the following:

Significant under-performance relative to historical or projected future operating results;

Significant changes in the manner of our use of acquired assets or the strategy for our overall business;

Significant negative industry or general economic trends;

Significant decline in our stock price for a sustained period; and

Our market capitalization relative to net book value.

The annual assessment of the recoverability of recorded goodwill can be based on either a qualitative or quantitative assessment or a combination of the two. Both methods require the use of estimates which in turn contain judgments and assumptions regarding future trends and events. As a result, both the precision and reliability of the resulting estimates are subject to uncertainty. In 2012, we completed the required annual goodwill impairment test utilizing a qualitative approach. Based on this assessment, the Company believes the fair values of the Company's reporting units continue to substantially exceed their respective carrying amounts. See Note 1 — Business and Significant Accounting Policies in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional discussion.

Accounting for income taxes — As we prepare our consolidated financial statements, we estimate our income taxes in each of the jurisdictions where we operate. This process involves estimating our current tax expense together with assessing temporary differences resulting from differing treatment of items for tax and accounting purposes. These differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities, which are included within our consolidated balance sheets. We record a valuation allowance to reduce our deferred tax assets when future realization is in question. We consider the availability of loss carryforwards, existing deferred tax liabilities, future taxable income and ongoing prudent and feasible tax planning strategies in assessing the need for the valuation allowance. In the event we determine that we are able to realize our deferred tax assets in the future in excess of the net recorded amount, an adjustment is made to reduce the valuation allowance and increase income in the period such determination is made. Likewise, if we determine that we will not be able to realize all or part of our net deferred tax asset in the future, an adjustment to the valuation allowance is charged against income in the period such determination is made.

Accounting for stock-based compensation — The Company accounts for stock-based compensation in accordance with FASB ASC Topics 505 and 718, as interpreted by SEC Staff Accounting Bulletins No. 107 (“SAB No. 107”) and No. 110 (“SAB No. 110”). The Company recognizes stock-based compensation expense, which is based on the fair value of the award on the date of grant, over the related service period, net of estimated forfeitures (see Note 8 — Stock-Based Compensation in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding stock-based compensation).

Determining the appropriate fair value model and calculating the fair value of stock compensation awards requires the input of certain complex and subjective assumptions, including the expected life of the stock compensation award and the Company’s Common Stock price volatility. In addition, determining the appropriate amount of associated periodic expense requires management to estimate the rate of employee forfeitures and the likelihood of achievement of certain performance targets. The assumptions used in calculating the fair value of stock compensation awards and the associated periodic expense represent management’s best estimates, but these estimates involve inherent uncertainties and the application of judgment. As a result, if factors change and the Company deems it necessary in the future to modify the assumptions it made or to use different assumptions, or if the quantity and nature of the Company’s stock-based compensation awards changes, then the amount of expense may need to be adjusted and future stock compensation expense could be materially different from what has been recorded in the current period.

Restructuring and other accruals — We may record accruals for severance costs, costs associated with excess facilities that we have leased, contract terminations, asset impairments, and other costs as a result of on-going actions we undertake to streamline our organization, reposition certain businesses and reduce ongoing costs. Estimates of costs to be incurred to complete these actions, such as future lease payments, sublease income, the fair value of assets, and severance and related benefits, are based on assumptions at the time the actions are initiated. These accruals may need to be adjusted to the extent actual costs differ from such estimates. In addition, these actions may be revised due to changes in business conditions that we did not foresee at the time such plans were approved.

We also record accruals during the year for our various employee cash incentive programs. Amounts accrued at the end of each reporting period are based on our estimates and may require adjustment as the ultimate amount paid for these incentives are sometimes not known with certainty until after year end.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**Consolidated Results**

The following tables summarize the changes in selected line items in our Consolidated Statements of Operation for the three years ended December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

| | Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2012 | Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2011 | Increase (Decrease) \$ | Increase (Decrease) % | |
|--|--|--|------------------------------|-----------------------------|----|
| Total revenues | \$1,615,808 | \$1,468,588 | \$ 147,220 | 10 | % |
| Costs and expenses: | | | | | |
| Cost of services & product development | 659,067 | 608,755 | (50,312) | (8 |)% |
| Selling, general and administrative | 678,843 | 613,707 | (65,136) | (11 |)% |
| Depreciation | 25,369 | 25,539 | 170 | 1 | % |
| Amortization of intangibles | 4,402 | 6,525 | 2,123 | 33 | % |
| Acquisition & integration charges | 2,420 | — | (2,420) | (100 |)% |
| Operating income | 245,707 | 214,062 | 31,645 | 15 | % |
| Interest expense, net | (8,859) | (9,967) | 1,108 | 11 | % |
| Other expense, net | (1,252) | (1,911) | 659 | 34 | % |
| Provision for income taxes | (69,693) | (65,282) | (4,411) | (7 |)% |
| Net income | \$165,903 | \$136,902 | \$ 29,001 | 21 | % |

| | Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2011 | Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2010 | Increase (Decrease) \$ | Increase (Decrease) % | |
|--|--|--|------------------------------|-----------------------------|----|
| Total revenues | \$1,468,588 | \$1,288,454 | \$ 180,134 | 14 | % |
| Costs and expenses: | | | | | |
| Cost of services & product development | 608,755 | 552,238 | (56,517) | (10 |)% |
| Selling, general and administrative | 613,707 | 543,174 | (70,533) | (13 |)% |
| Depreciation | 25,539 | 25,349 | (190) | (1 |)% |
| Amortization of intangibles | 6,525 | 10,525 | 4,000 | 38 | % |
| Acquisition & integration charges | — | 7,903 | 7,903 | 100 | % |
| Operating income | 214,062 | 149,265 | 64,797 | 43 | % |
| Interest expense, net | (9,967) | (15,616) | 5,649 | 36 | % |
| Other (expense) income, net | (1,911) | 436 | (2,347) | >(100 |)% |
| Provision for income taxes | (65,282) | (37,800) | (27,482) | (73 |)% |

| | | | | | |
|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|----|---|
| Net income | \$ 136,902 | \$ 96,285 | \$ 40,617 | 42 | % |
|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|----|---|

2012 VERSUS 2011

TOTAL REVENUES for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 increased \$147.2 million, or 10%, compared to the twelve months ended December 31, 2011. Total revenues increased 12% excluding the unfavorable impact of foreign currency. Revenues increased by double-digits in both our Research and Events segments but declined slightly in Consulting. Revenues increased across all of our geographic regions, with a double-digit increase in Research revenues in every region.

An overview of our revenues by geographic region follows:

Revenues from sales to United States and Canadian clients increased 10%, to \$947.1 million in 2012 from \$861.5 million in 2011.

Revenues from sales to clients in Europe, the Middle East and Africa increased to \$458.6 million in 2012 from \$437.2 million in 2011, a 5% increase.

Revenues from sales to clients in our Other International region increased to \$210.1 million in 2012 from \$169.9 million in 2011, a 24% increase.

An overview of our revenues by segment follows:

Research revenues increased 12% in 2012, to \$1,137.1 million compared to \$1,012.1 million in 2011, and comprised 70% and 69% of our total revenues in 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Consulting revenues decreased 1% in 2012, to \$304.9 million compared to \$308.0 million in 2011, and comprised 19% and 21% of our total revenues in 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Events revenues increased 17% in 2012, to \$173.8 million compared to \$148.5 million in 2011, and comprised 11% of total revenues in 2012 and 10% in 2011.

Please refer to the section of this MD&A below entitled “Segment Results” for a further discussion of revenues and results by segment.

COST OF SERVICES AND PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT (“COS”) expense increased 8% in 2012, or \$50.3 million, to \$659.1 million compared to \$608.8 million in 2011. The increase was primarily due to higher payroll and related benefits costs from additional headcount as we continued to invest to support the growth in our business, and to a lesser extent, merit salary increases. We also had higher conference costs and related travel expenses due to an increase in the number of events, as well as additional attendees and exhibitors at our events. These additional costs were partially offset by the favorable impact of foreign currency. COS as a percentage of revenues was 41% for both periods.

SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE (“SG&A”) expense increased by \$65.1 million in 2012, or 11%, to \$678.8 million compared to \$613.7 million in 2011. The increase was primarily due to higher payroll and related benefits costs, which was partially offset by favorable foreign currency impact. The higher payroll and benefit cost was primarily driven by our investment in additional headcount, and to a lesser extent, higher sales commissions and merit salary increases. The increased headcount includes additional quota-bearing sales associates, which increased to 1,417 at December 31, 2012, a 12% increase over the prior year-end.

DEPRECIATION expense decreased slightly year-over-year due to certain assets becoming fully depreciated which was only partially offset by the additional depreciation related to asset additions. Capital expenditures increased to \$44.3 million in 2012 from \$42.0 million in 2011, which includes \$17.0 million and \$9.5 million, respectively, of expenditures for the renovation of our Stamford headquarters facility. Up to \$25.0 million of these expenditures are reimbursable by the facility landlord, and as of December 31, 2012, \$22.0 million had been reimbursed.

AMORTIZATION OF INTANGIBLES decreased year-over-year due to certain intangibles becoming fully amortized, which was only partially offset by the additional amortization from the intangible assets recorded from the Ideas

International acquisition in mid-2012.

ACQUISITION AND INTEGRATION CHARGES was \$2.4 million in 2012 and zero in 2011. These charges related to the acquisition of Ideas International and included legal, consulting, severance, and other costs.

OPERATING INCOME increased \$31.6 million year-over-year, or 15%, to \$245.7 million in 2012 from \$214.1 million in 2011. Operating income as a percentage of revenues was 15% for both periods. Although both Research and Events had higher segment contributions in 2012, these increases were partially offset by a lower contribution in Consulting, as well as higher SG&A expenses, as discussed above.

INTEREST EXPENSE, NET declined by 11% in 2012 when compared to 2011. The decline was primarily due to a lower average amount of debt outstanding, which declined to \$207.0 million in 2012 from \$220.0 million in 2011, as well as lower amortization of capitalized debt refinancing costs.

OTHER EXPENSE, NET was \$1.3 million in 2012 and \$1.9 million in 2011. These expenses primarily consisted of net foreign currency exchange gains and losses.

PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES was \$69.7 million in 2012 compared to \$65.3 million in 2011 and the effective tax rate was 29.6% for 2012 compared to 32.3% for 2011. The lower effective tax rate in 2012 was primarily attributable to the recognition of tax benefits in 2012 resulting from the settlement of tax audits, as well as benefits recorded in 2012 relating to the recognition of certain state tax credits.

During 2012, the Company closed the Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”) audit of its 2007 federal income tax return. The resolution of the audit did not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position, cash flows, or results of operations of the Company.

In 2011 the IRS commenced an audit of the Company’s federal income tax returns for the 2008 and 2009 tax years. The IRS has proposed adjustments for both 2008 and 2009 and the Company expects to settle the audit in early 2013. Although the audit has not been fully resolved, the Company believes that the ultimate disposition will not have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial position, cash flows, or results of operations.

The American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 (the “Tax Act”) was enacted in January of 2013 and contains beneficial tax provisions for the Company which apply retroactively to 2012. However, since the Tax Act was passed in 2013, approximately \$1.5 million of tax benefits relating to its retroactive application will be recorded by the Company in the first quarter of 2013.

NET INCOME was \$165.9 million in 2012 and \$136.9 million in 2011, an increase of \$29.0 million, or 21%, primarily due to a higher operating income, which was partially offset by \$4.4 million in higher income tax charges. Although the year-over-year effective tax rate declined, pre-tax income increased substantially, resulting in the higher dollar amount of tax charges. Both basic and diluted earnings per share increased 24% year-over-year due to the higher net income and to a lesser extent a lower number of weighted-average shares outstanding.

2011 VERSUS 2010

TOTAL REVENUES for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011 increased \$180.1 million, or 14%, compared to the twelve months ended December 31, 2010. Total revenues increased 11% excluding the impact of foreign currency. Revenues increased across all of our geographic regions and in all three of our business segments on a reported basis.

An overview of our results by geographic region follows:

Revenues from sales to United States and Canadian clients increased 12%, to \$861.5 million in 2011 from \$765.8 million in 2010.

Revenues from sales to clients in Europe, the Middle East and Africa increased to \$437.2 million in 2011 from \$380.8 million in 2010, a 15% increase.

Revenues from sales to clients in our Other International region increased 20%, to \$169.9 million in 2011 from \$141.9 million in 2010.

An overview of our results by segment follows:

Research revenues increased 17% in 2011, to \$1,012.1 million compared to \$865.0 million in 2010, and comprised 69% and 67% of our total revenues in 2011 and 2010, respectively.

- **Consulting** revenues increased 2% in 2011, to \$308.0 million compared to \$302.1 million in 2010, and comprised approximately 21% and 23% of our total revenues in 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Events revenues increased 22% in 2011, to \$148.5 million compared to \$121.3 million in 2010, and comprised approximately 10% of total revenues in both 2011 and 2010.

Please refer to the section of this MD&A below entitled “Segment Results” for a further discussion of revenues and results by segment.

COST OF SERVICES AND PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT (“COS”) expense increased 10% in 2011, or \$56.5 million, to \$608.8 million compared to \$552.2 million in 2010. Approximately half of the increase was due to higher payroll and related benefits costs resulting from our investment in additional headcount and merit salary increases. The rest of the increase was primarily due to the negative impact of foreign currency translation, as well as incremental expenses and additional investment in the Events business. COS as a percentage of revenues improved by 2 points year-over-year, primarily driven by higher research revenues and the operating leverage inherent in our Research business.

SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE (“SG&A”) expense increased by \$70.5 million in 2011, or 13%, to \$613.7 million from \$543.2 million in 2010. The increase was primarily due to higher payroll and to a lesser extent, the negative impact of foreign currency translation. Excluding the unfavorable impact of foreign exchange, SG&A expense increased 11% year-over-year. The higher payroll costs resulted from additional investment in headcount, as well as higher sales commissions and merit salary increases. The increased headcount was primarily due to our investment in additional quota-bearing sales associates, which increased 21% compared to December 31, 2010.

DEPRECIATION expense increased slightly year-over-year. Capital spending increased to \$42.0 million in 2011 from \$21.7 million in 2010. The \$42.0 million of capital expenditures in 2011 included \$9.5 million of expenditures related to the renovation of our Stamford headquarters facility, of which \$9.0 million was reimbursed by our landlord in 2011.

AMORTIZATION OF INTANGIBLES decreased 38% year-over-year due to certain intangibles becoming fully amortized in 2010.

ACQUISITION AND INTEGRATION CHARGES was zero in 2011 and \$7.9 million in 2010. These charges related to the acquisitions of AMR Research and Burton Group in December 2009 and included legal, consulting, severance, and other costs.

OPERATING INCOME increased \$64.8 million year-over-year, or 43%, to \$214.1 million in 2011 from \$149.3 million in 2010. Operating income as a percentage of revenues improved by 3 points year-over-year, to 15% in 2011 compared to 12% in 2010, primarily due to a significantly higher segment contribution from the Research business and to a lesser extent, lower intangible amortization and acquisition and integration charges.

INTEREST EXPENSE, NET was \$10.0 million in 2011 compared to \$15.6 million in 2010, a 36% decline. The \$15.6 million of interest expense in 2010 included \$3.7 million of incremental expense related to the refinancing of our debt (See Note 5 — Debt in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements). Excluding the \$3.7 million incremental charge, Interest expense, net declined approximately 15% year-over-year, primarily due to a lower average amount of debt outstanding, which declined to \$220.0 million in 2011 from \$326.0 million in 2010.

OTHER (EXPENSE) INCOME, NET was \$(1.9) million in 2011, which primarily consisted of net foreign currency exchange losses, and \$0.4 million in 2010, which consisted of a \$2.4 million gain from an insurance recovery related to a prior period loss, offset by net foreign currency exchange losses.

PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES was \$65.3 million in 2011 compared to \$37.8 million in 2010 and the effective tax rate was 32.3% for 2011 compared to 28.2% for 2010. The lower effective tax rate in 2010 was primarily attributable to the release of valuation allowances relating to certain net operating losses.

NET INCOME was \$136.9 million in 2011 and \$96.3 million in 2010, an increase of \$40.6 million, or 42%, primarily due to a substantially higher operating income, which was partially offset by higher income tax charges. Basic earnings per share increased 42% year-over-year while diluted earnings per share increased 45% due to the higher net income.

SEGMENT RESULTS

We evaluate reportable segment performance and allocate resources based on gross contribution margin. Gross contribution is defined as operating income excluding certain Cost of services and product development charges, SG&A, Depreciation, Acquisition and integration charges, and Amortization of intangibles. Gross contribution margin is defined as gross contribution as a percentage of revenues.

The following sections present the results of our three business segments:

Research

| | 2012 vs. 2011 | | | | 2011 vs. 2010 | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|--|------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | As Of And For The Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2012 | As Of And For the Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2011 | Increase (Decrease) | Percentage Increase (Decrease) | As Of And For The Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2011 | As Of And For the Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2010 | Increase (Decrease) | Percentage Increase (Decrease) |
| Financial Measurements: | | | | | | | | |
| Revenues (1) | \$1,137,147 | \$1,012,062 | \$125,085 | 12 % | \$1,012,062 | \$865,000 | \$147,062 | 17 % |
| Gross contribution (1) | \$774,342 | \$682,136 | \$92,206 | 14 % | \$682,136 | \$564,527 | \$117,609 | 21 % |
| Gross contribution margin | 68 % | 67 % | 1 point | — | 67 % | 65 % | 2 points | — |
| Business Measurements: | | | | | | | | |
| Contract value (1) | \$1,262,865 | \$1,115,801 | \$147,064 | 13 % | \$1,115,801 | \$977,710 | \$138,091 | 14 % |
| Client retention | 83 % | 82 % | 1 point | — | 82 % | 83 % | (1) point | — |
| Wallet retention | 99 % | 99 % | — | — | 99 % | 98 % | 1 point | — |

(1)

Dollars in thousands.

2012 VERSUS 2011

Research segment revenues increased 12% in 2012 compared to 2011 but excluding the unfavorable effect of foreign currency translation, Research segment revenues increased 14%. The segment gross contribution margin increased by 1 point, driven by higher revenues and the operating leverage in this business. Contribution margin improved in spite of a 10% increase in segment headcount as we continue to invest for future growth.

Research contract value increased 13% in 2012, to \$1,262.9 million, but increased 14% year-over-year excluding the unfavorable impact of foreign currency translation. We had double-digit contract value growth across all of our Research product lines and client sizes, and almost every industry group. The number of research client organizations we serve increased by 7% in 2012, to 13,305, and has increased 27% since 2009. We attribute the increase in contract value and the number of client organizations we serve to our extraordinary research content, our continuing focus on sales effectiveness, and the expansion in the number of our quota-bearing sales associates. Both client retention and wallet retention remained strong during 2012 at 83% and 99%, respectively.

2011 VERSUS 2010

Research segment revenues increased 17% in 2011 compared to 2010 and reached the one billion dollar level for the first time. Excluding the favorable effect of foreign currency translation, Research segment revenues increased 14%. The segment gross contribution margin increased by 2 points, to 67%, as higher segment revenues and the operating leverage in this business resulted in a higher segment contribution. Research contract value increased 14% in 2011, to \$1,115.8 million. Foreign currency translation had an immaterial impact year-over-year on contract value. We had double-digit contract value growth in most of our Research product lines, client sizes, and industry groups. Client retention and wallet retention remained strong at 82% and 99%, respectively.

Consulting

| | 2012 vs. 2011 | | | | 2011 vs. 2010 | | | |
|---|---|--|------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | As Of And For The Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2012 | As Of And For the Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2011 | Increase (Decrease) | Percentage Increase (Decrease) | As Of And For The Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2011 | As Of And For the Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2010 | Increase (Decrease) | Percentage Increase (Decrease) |
| Financial Measurements: | | | | | | | | |
| Revenues (1) | \$304,893 | \$308,047 | \$(3,154) | (1)% | \$308,047 | \$302,117 | \$5,930 | 2% |
| Gross contribution (1) | \$109,253 | \$114,838 | \$(5,585) | (5)% | \$114,838 | \$121,885 | \$(7,047) | (6)% |
| Gross contribution margin | 36% | 37% | (1) point | — | 37% | 40% | (3) points | — |
| Business Measurements: | | | | | | | | |
| Backlog (1) | \$102,718 | \$100,564 | \$2,154 | 2% | \$100,564 | \$100,839 | \$(275) | — |
| Billable headcount | 503 | 481 | 22 | 5% | 481 | 473 | 8 | 2% |
| Consultant utilization | 67% | 65% | 2 points | — | 65% | 68% | (3) points | — |
| Average annualized revenue per billable headcount (1) | \$430 | \$424 | \$6 | 1% | \$424 | \$424 | \$— | — |

(1) Dollars in thousands.

2012 VERSUS 2011

Consulting revenues decreased 1% year-over-year due to lower revenues in our contract optimization business. Contract optimization revenues, which can fluctuate from period to period, currently represent about 10% of total Consulting segment revenues and have been declining over time as a percentage of overall segment revenue. The decrease in contract optimization revenue was substantially offset by higher core consulting revenues, which increased 5% year-over-year, driven by additional demand and increased headcount. Strategic advisory (SAS) revenues were flat year-over-year, in accordance with our segment plan. Excluding the unfavorable impact of foreign currency translation, revenues increased 1% year-over-year. The gross contribution margin declined by 1 point due to the lower revenues in our contract optimization business, which has a higher contribution margin than core consulting or SAS. Backlog increased 2% year-over-year, to \$102.7 million at December 31, 2012.

2011 VERSUS 2010

Consulting revenues increased 2% year-over-year primarily due to higher revenues in core consulting. Excluding the favorable impact of foreign currency translation, revenues were down slightly. The gross contribution margin declined by 3 points, due to lower utilization in core consulting and higher payroll and benefit costs resulting from merit salary increases and the full year impact in 2011 from the additional headcount we added in the fourth quarter of 2010. Backlog was down slightly year-over-year, to \$100.6 million at December 31, 2011.

Events

| | 2012 vs. 2011 | | | | 2011 vs. 2010 | | | |
|----------------------------|---|--|------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | As Of And For The Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2012 | As Of And For the Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2011 | Increase (Decrease) | Percentage Increase (Decrease) | As Of And For The Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2011 | As Of And For the Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2010 | Increase (Decrease) | Percentage Increase (Decrease) |
| Financial Measurements: | | | | | | | | |
| Revenues (1) | \$ 173,768 | \$ 148,479 | \$ 25,289 | 17 % | \$ 148,479 | \$ 121,337 | \$ 27,142 | 22 % |
| | \$ 80,119 | \$ 66,265 | \$ 13,854 | 21 % | \$ 66,265 | \$ 55,884 | \$ 10,381 | 19 % |

Edgar Filing: Wealth Minerals Ltd. - Form 20-F

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--------|---|--------|---|---------|---|----|--------|----|--------|--------------|-------|----|---|
| Gross contribution (1) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gross contribution margin | 46 | % | 45 | % | 1 point | — | 45 | % | 46 | % | (1) point | — | | |
| Business Measurements: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of events | 62 | | 60 | | 2 | 3 | % | 60 | | 56 | | 4 | 7 | % |
| Number of attendees | 46,307 | | 42,748 | | 3,559 | 8 | % | 42,748 | | 37,219 | | 5,529 | 15 | % |

(1) Dollars in thousands.

22

2012 VERSUS 2011

Events revenues increased 17% year-over-year, or \$25.3 million, but excluding the unfavorable impact of foreign currency translation, revenues increased 20% year-over-year. We held 62 events in 2012 compared to 60 in 2011. The 62 events held in 2012 consisted of 57 ongoing events and 5 new event launches, with 3 events held in prior years discontinued, while the overall number of attendees and exhibitors increased 8% and 20%, respectively. Average revenue per attendee rose 3% and average revenue per exhibitor increased 1%. Both the additional revenue and the higher contribution margin in 2012 were primarily due to the significantly higher exhibitor volume at our ongoing events.

2011 VERSUS 2010

Events revenues increased 22% year-over-year, or \$27.1 million. Excluding the favorable impact of foreign currency translation, revenues increased 21%. We held 60 events in 2011, which consisted of 53 ongoing events and 7 new event launches, compared to 56 events in 2010. We discontinued 3 events in 2011 that had been held in prior years. The additional revenue we earned in 2011 was attributable to significantly higher revenue at our ongoing events, with double-digit increases in the number of attendees and exhibitors. Average revenue per attendee rose 2% and average revenue per exhibitor increased 5%. For full year 2011, gross contribution margin decreased 1 point, primarily due to incremental expenses and additional investment in the business to strengthen the portfolio and provide a foundation for future growth.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

We finance our operations primarily through cash generated from our operating activities. For 2012, we had operating cash flow of \$279.8 million, which was the highest in the Company's history and an increase of 9% over 2011. Our operating cash flow has been continuously enhanced by the leverage characteristics of our subscription-based business model as well as our focus on operational efficiencies. Revenues in our Research segment, which increased 12% in 2012 compared to 2011, constituted 70% and 69% of our total revenues in 2012 and 2011, respectively. Our Research contracts generally renew annually and typically are paid in advance, and combined with a strong customer retention rate and high incremental margins, has generally resulted in strong growth in operating cash flow each year. Our cash flow generation has also been enhanced by our continuing efforts to improve the operating efficiencies of our businesses as well as the effective management of our working capital as we increase our sales volume.

In addition to the strong increase in our operating cash flows, we also had almost \$300.0 million of cash and cash equivalents at year-end 2012, which was the highest cash balance in the Company's history, and \$347.0 million of available borrowing capacity under our revolving credit facility at year-end 2012. We believe that our strong operating cash flow, as well as our existing cash balances and our available borrowing capacity, provide us with adequate

liquidity to meet our currently anticipated needs.

The Company's 2010 Credit Agreement expires in December 2015. The Company is currently exploring refinancing options to take advantage of favorable market conditions.

Our cash and cash equivalents are held in numerous locations throughout the world. At December 31, 2012, approximately \$167.0 million of our cash was held outside the U.S. Approximately half of the amount of cash held overseas represents unremitted earnings of our non-U.S subsidiaries. Under U.S. accounting rules, no provision for U.S. federal and local taxes is required for these unremitted overseas earnings if the Company intends to reinvest such funds overseas. Our current plans do not demonstrate a need to repatriate these undistributed earnings to fund our U.S. operations or otherwise satisfy the liquidity needs of our U.S operations, and as a result we intend to reinvest these earnings in our non-U.S. operations, except in instances in which the repatriation of these earnings would result in minimal additional tax. As a result, no provision for U.S. federal and state income taxes has been recorded for these unremitted earnings. However, should our liquidity needs change or we decide to repatriate some or all of these unremitted earnings, we may be required to accrue for U.S. taxes as a result, and these charges could be material and would be recorded in future periods.

Changes in cash and cash equivalents

The following disclosure summarizes and explains the changes in our cash and cash equivalents for the three years ending December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

| | 2012 vs. 2011 | | | 2011 vs. 2010 | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|
| | Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2012 | Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2011 | Increase (Decrease) | Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2011 | Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2010 | Increase (Decrease) |
| Cash provided by operating activities | \$279,813 | \$ 255,566 | \$ 24,247 | \$255,566 | \$ 205,499 | \$ 50,067 |
| Cash used for investing activities | (54,673) | (41,954) | (12,719) | (41,954) | (33,845) | (8,109) |
| Cash used in financing activities | (72,570) | (186,559) | 113,989 | (186,559) | (171,556) | (15,003) |
| Net increase | 152,570 | 27,053 | 125,517 | 27,053 | 98 | 26,955 |
| Effects of exchange rates | 4,543 | (4,495) | 9,038 | (4,495) | 3,509 | (8,004) |
| Beginning cash and cash equivalents | 142,739 | 120,181 | 22,558 | 120,181 | 116,574 | 3,607 |
| Ending cash and cash equivalents | \$299,852 | \$ 142,739 | \$ 157,113 | \$142,739 | \$ 120,181 | \$ 22,558 |

2012 VERSUS 2011

Operating

Operating cash flow increased by 9%, or \$24.2 million, in 2012 compared to 2011, which was primarily due to higher net income. We also had lower cash payments for interest on our debt and other items, as well as higher cash reimbursements related to the renovation of our Stamford headquarters facility. These increased cash flows were partially offset by higher cash payments for income taxes during 2012.

Investing

Cash used for investing purposes was \$54.7 million in 2012, an increase in cash used of \$12.7 million compared to 2011, due to \$10.3 million of cash used for the acquisition of Ideas International and higher capital expenditures.

Capital expenditures were \$44.3 million in 2012 compared to \$42.0 million in 2011, which included \$17.0 million and \$9.5 million, respectively, which we paid for the renovation of our Stamford headquarters facility. Up to \$25.0 million of these expenditures are reimbursable by the facility landlord, and \$13.0 million was reimbursed in 2012 and \$9.0 million in 2011. The reimbursements are included in operating cash flows.

Financing

We used \$114.0 million less cash in our financing activities in 2012 compared to 2011, primarily due to a lower number of shares repurchased. Cash used for share repurchases was \$111.3 million in 2012 compared to \$212.0 million in 2011, with 2.7 million and 5.9 million of shares repurchased, respectively. Cash used also declined due to net debt activity, as we borrowed an additional \$5.0 million in 2012 compared to \$20.1 million of debt repayments in 2011.

2011 VERSUS 2010

Operating

Operating cash flow increased by 24%, or \$50.1 million in 2011 compared to 2010. The increase was primarily due to \$40.6 million in higher net income and lower cash payments for acquisition costs, severance, and other costs. We also received \$9.0 million in landlord cash reimbursements for capital expenditures on the renovation of our Stamford headquarters facility. These increased cash flows were partially offset by higher cash bonus and commission payments we paid in 2011 due to our stronger financial performance.

Investing

We used \$8.1 million of additional cash in our investing activities in 2011 compared to 2010, due to higher capital expenditures. Capital expenditures were \$42.0 million in 2011 compared to \$21.7 million in 2010. We also made \$12.2 million in payments related to the acquisition of Burton Group in early 2010, which we acquired in December 2009. The \$42.0 million of capital expenditures in the 2011 period included \$9.5 million we paid for the renovation of our Stamford headquarters facility, which is fully reimbursable by the landlord. The Company received reimbursement of \$9.0 million of this amount in 2011.

Financing

We used an additional \$15.0 million of cash in our financing activities in 2011 compared to 2010, primarily due to additional share repurchases. During 2011, we used \$212.0 million for share repurchases, compared to \$99.8 million in 2010. The increase in cash used for share repurchases in 2011 was substantially offset by lower debt repayments in 2011 compared to 2010. On a net basis, we repaid \$99.8 million of debt in 2010 and we paid \$4.8 million in fees related to our refinancing, compared to \$20.1 million of debt repayments in 2011.

OBLIGATIONS AND COMMITMENTS

At December 31, 2012, we had \$200.0 million outstanding under our 2010 Credit Agreement which provides for a five-year, \$200.0 million term loan and a \$400.0 million revolving credit facility. The 2010 Credit Agreement contains an expansion feature by which the term loan and revolving credit facility may be increased, at the Company's option and under certain conditions, by up to an additional \$150.0 million in the aggregate. The term loan will be repaid in 19 consecutive quarterly installments with the final payment due in December 2015, and may be prepaid at any time without penalty or premium at the Company's option. The revolving credit facility may be used for loans, and up to \$40.0 million may be used for letters of credit. The revolving loans may be borrowed, repaid and re-borrowed until December 2015, at which time all amounts borrowed must be repaid. See Note 5 — Debt in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding the 2010 Credit Agreement.

Cash Commitments

The Company has certain contractual commitments that require future payment. The following table presents the Company's contractual cash commitments due after December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

| Commitment Description: | Due In Less Than 1 Year | Due In 2-3 Years | Due In 4-5 Years | Due In More Than 5 Years | Total |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| Debt – principal and interest (1) | \$47,100 | \$168,900 | \$300 | \$5,300 | \$221,600 |
| Operating leases (2) | 37,820 | 53,955 | 24,590 | 75,055 | 191,420 |
| Deferred compensation arrangement (3) | 2,730 | 5,185 | 3,160 | 20,240 | 31,315 |
| Tax liabilities (4) | 2,225 | — | — | — | 2,225 |
| Other (5) | 16,500 | 13,900 | 1,790 | — | 32,190 |
| Totals | \$106,375 | \$241,940 | \$29,840 | \$100,595 | \$478,750 |

Includes both the term and revolver principal amounts borrowed under the Company's 2010 Credit Agreement, which matures in December 2015 (see Note 5 — Debt in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information), as well as estimated interest payments. Amounts borrowed under the term loan (1) arrangement have been classified in the table based on the scheduled repayment dates, while revolver borrowings are classified in the Due In 2-3 Years category since the amounts are not contractually due until December 2015. Also included is the \$5.0 million the Company borrowed in December 2012 under a State of Connecticut economic development program which has a 10 year maturity and is included in the Due In More Than 5 Years category.

Interest payments on amounts outstanding under the 2010 Credit Facility are based on a floating rate. However, the Company has a \$200.0 million notional interest rate swap that converts the variable interest payments on the debt

to a 2.26% fixed rate on the first \$200.0 million of borrowings. As a result, in order to calculate an estimate for the future interest payments, the Company has used a rate of 3.76%, which includes the swap rate of 2.26% plus a loan margin of 1.50%, for the 2010 Credit Facility.

(2) The Company leases various facilities, furniture, autos, and computer equipment. These leases expire between 2013 and 2027 (see Note 1 — Business and Significant Accounting Policies in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information).

(3) Represents the Company's liability to participants in its supplemental deferred compensation arrangement (see Note 13 — Employee Benefits in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information). Amounts payable to active employees whose payment date is unknown have been included in the Due In More Than 5 Years category since the Company cannot determine when the amounts will be paid.

(4) Includes interest and penalties. In addition to the \$2.2 million tax liability, \$16.5 million of unrecognized tax benefits have been recorded as liabilities, and we are uncertain as to if or when such amounts may be settled. Related to the unrecognized tax benefits not included in the table, the Company has also recorded a liability for potential interest and penalties of \$3.4 million.

(5) Includes contractual commitments for software, building maintenance, and telecom services.

QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA

The following tables present our quarterly operating results for the two year period ended December 31, 2012:

| 2012 (In thousands, except per share data) | First | Second | Third | Fourth |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Revenues | \$369,171 | \$397,482 | \$374,406 | \$474,749 |
| Operating income | 53,556 | 62,722 | 49,768 | 79,661 |
| Net income | 34,221 | 41,484 | 31,375 | 58,823 |
| Net income per share: (1) | | | | |
| Basic | \$0.37 | \$0.44 | \$0.34 | \$0.63 |
| Diluted | \$0.36 | \$0.43 | \$0.33 | \$0.61 |

| 2011 (In thousands, except per share data) | First | Second | Third | Fourth |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Revenues | \$329,567 | \$365,543 | \$345,784 | \$427,694 |
| Operating income | 45,781 | 51,568 | 47,250 | 69,463 |
| Net income | 29,191 | 32,223 | 30,464 | 45,024 |
| Net income per share: (1) | | | | |
| Basic | \$0.30 | \$0.33 | \$0.32 | \$0.48 |
| Diluted | \$0.29 | \$0.32 | \$0.31 | \$0.46 |

(1) The aggregate of the four quarters' basic and diluted earnings per common share may not equal the reported full calendar year amounts due to the effects of share repurchases, dilutive equity compensation, and rounding.

RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

The FASB has issued new accounting rules which have not yet become effective. These new rules are described below, together with our assessment of the potential impact they may have on our financial statements and related disclosures in future periods:

Other Comprehensive Income Reclassifications. In February 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2013-02, *Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income*. The standard requires that public companies present information about reclassification adjustments from accumulated other comprehensive income in their financial statements in a single note or on the face of the financial statements. Public companies will also have to provide this information in both their annual and interim financial statements. The new requirements will take effect for Gartner beginning January 1, 2013 and will be applied prospectively. While the Company has not completed its analysis of the new standard, it believes the new

rule may result in additional disclosures and changes to the presentation of the Statement of Comprehensive Income.

Balance Sheet Offsetting. In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-11, *Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities*. The new guidance requires disclosures about assets and liabilities that are offset or have the potential to be offset under U.S. GAAP rules. The new disclosure requirements mandate that entities disclose both gross and net information about financial instruments and transactions eligible for offset in the statement of financial position as well as instruments and transactions subject to an agreement similar to a master netting arrangement. In addition, the standard requires disclosure of collateral received and posted in connection with master netting agreements or similar arrangements. These disclosures are intended to address differences in the asset and liability offsetting requirements under U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards. This new guidance will be effective for Gartner for interim and annual reporting periods beginning January 1, 2013, with retrospective application required. While the adoption of this new guidance may result in additional disclosures, we do not expect it to have an impact on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The FASB also continues to work on a number of significant accounting rules which may impact the Company's accounting and disclosures in future periods. Since these rules have not yet been issued, the effective dates and potential impact are unknown.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

INTEREST RATE RISK

We have exposure to changes in interest rates arising from borrowings under our 2010 Credit Agreement. At December 31, 2012, we had \$150.0 million outstanding under the term loan and \$50.0 million outstanding under the revolver. Borrowings under this facility are floating rate, which may be either prime-based or Eurodollar-based. The rate paid for these borrowings includes a base floating rate plus a margin between 0.50% and 1.25% on prime borrowings and between 1.50% and 2.25% on Eurodollar-based borrowings.

We have an interest rate swap contract which effectively converts the floating base rate on the first \$200.0 million of our borrowings to a 2.26% fixed rate. The Company only hedges the base interest rate risk on the first \$200.0 million of its outstanding borrowings. Accordingly, we are exposed to interest rate risk on borrowings in excess of \$200.0 million. A 25 basis point increase or decrease in interest rates could change pre-tax annual interest expense on the additional revolver borrowing capacity under the 2010 Credit Agreement (not including the expansion feature) by approximately \$0.9 million.

FOREIGN CURRENCY RISK

Approximately 46% of our revenues for both the fiscal years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 were derived from sales outside of the U.S. As a result, we conduct business in numerous currencies other than the U.S. dollar. Among the major foreign currencies in which we conduct business are the Eurodollar, the British Pound, the Japanese Yen, the Australian dollar, and the Canadian dollar. Our foreign currency exposure results in both translation risk and transaction risk:

TRANSLATION RISK

We are exposed to foreign currency translation risk since the functional currencies of our foreign operations are generally denominated in the local currency. Translation risk arises since the assets and liabilities that we report for our foreign subsidiaries are translated into U.S. dollars at the exchange rates in effect at the balance sheet dates, and these exchange rates fluctuate over time. These foreign currency translation adjustments are deferred and are recorded as a component of stockholders' equity and do not impact our operating results.

A measure of the potential impact of foreign currency translation on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets can be determined through a sensitivity analysis of our cash and cash equivalents. At December 31, 2012, we had almost \$300.0 million of cash and cash equivalents, with approximately half denominated in foreign currencies. If the foreign exchange rates of the major currencies in which we operate changed in comparison to the U.S. dollar by 10%, the amount of cash and cash equivalents we would have reported on December 31, 2012 would have increased or decreased by approximately \$12.0 million.

Because our foreign subsidiaries generally operate in a local functional currency that differs from the U.S. dollar, revenues and expenses in these foreign currencies translate into higher or lower revenues and expenses in U.S. dollars as the U.S. dollar continuously weakens or strengthens against these other currencies. Therefore, changes in exchange rates may affect our consolidated revenues and expenses (as expressed in U.S. dollars) from foreign operations. Historically, this impact on our consolidated earnings has not been material since foreign currency movements in the major currencies in which we operate tend to impact our revenues and expenses fairly equally.

TRANSACTION RISK

We also have foreign exchange transaction risk since foreign subsidiaries typically enter into transactions in the normal course of business that are denominated in foreign currencies that differ from the local functional currency in which the foreign subsidiary operates. We may enter into foreign currency forward exchange contracts to mitigate the effects of foreign currency transaction risk. These contracts are normally short term in duration and unrealized and

realized gains and losses are recognized in current period earnings. At December 31, 2012, we had 68 outstanding foreign currency forward contracts with a total notional amount of \$76.1 million and an immaterial net unrealized gain. All of these contracts matured by the end of January 2013.

CREDIT RISK

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentration of credit risk consist primarily of short-term, highly liquid investments classified as cash equivalents, accounts receivable, and interest rate swap contracts. The majority of the Company's cash and cash equivalents and its interest rate swap contracts are with large investment grade commercial banks that are participants in the Company's 2010 Credit Agreement. Accounts receivable balances deemed to be collectible from customers have limited concentration of credit risk due to our diverse customer base and geographic dispersion.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA.

Our consolidated financial statements for 2012, 2011, and 2010, together with the reports of KPMG LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, are included herein in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE.

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Management conducted an evaluation, as of December 31, 2012, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, (as such term is defined in Rules 13a- 15(e) and 15d- 15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act")) under the supervision and with the participation of our chief executive officer and chief financial officer. Based upon that evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in alerting them in a timely manner to material Company information required to be disclosed by us in reports filed or submitted under the Act.

MANAGEMENT'S ANNUAL REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Gartner management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f). Gartner's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. In addition, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions and that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth in the Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Management's assessment was reviewed with the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors.

Based on its assessment of internal control over financial reporting, management has concluded that, as of December 31, 2012, Gartner's internal control over financial reporting was effective.

The effectiveness of management's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012 has been audited by KPMG LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which is included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K in Part IV, Item 15.

CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

There were no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting during the quarter ended December 31, 2012 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

Not applicable.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information required to be furnished pursuant to this item will be set forth under the captions “Proposal One: Election of Directors,” “Executive Officers,” “Corporate Governance,” “Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance” and “Miscellaneous — Available Information” in the Company’s Proxy Statement to be filed with the SEC no later than April 30, 2013. If the Proxy Statement is not filed with the SEC by April 30, 2013, such information will be included in an amendment to this Annual Report filed by April 30, 2013. See also Item 1. Business — Available Information.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.

The information required to be furnished pursuant to this item is incorporated by reference from the information set forth under the caption “Executive Compensation” in the Company’s Proxy Statement to be filed with the SEC no later than April 30, 2013. If the Proxy Statement is not filed with the SEC by April 30, 2013, such information will be included in an amendment to this Annual Report filed by April 30, 2013.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS.

The information required to be furnished pursuant to this item will be set forth under the caption “Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management” in the Company’s Proxy Statement to be filed with the SEC by April 30, 2013. If the Proxy Statement is not filed with the SEC by April 30, 2013, such information will be included in an amendment to this Annual Report filed by April 30, 2013.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE.

The information required to be furnished pursuant to this item will be set forth under the captions “Transactions With Related Persons” and “Corporate Governance — Director Independence” in the Company’s Proxy Statement to be filed with the SEC by April 30, 2013. If the Proxy Statement is not filed with the SEC by April 30, 2013, such information will be included in an amendment to this Annual Report filed by April 30, 2013.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES.

The information required to be furnished pursuant to this item will be set forth under the caption “Principal Accountant Fees and Services” in the Company’s Proxy Statement to be filed with the SEC no later than April 30, 2013. If the Proxy Statement is not filed with the SEC by April 30, 2013, such information will be included in an amendment to this Annual Report filed by April 30, 2013.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES.

(a) 1. and 2. Consolidated Financial Statements and Schedules

The reports of our independent registered public accounting firm and consolidated financial statements listed in the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements herein are filed as part of this report.

All financial statement schedules not listed in the Index have been omitted because the information required is not applicable or is shown in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto.

3. Exhibits

| EXHIBIT NUMBER | DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENT |
|----------------|---|
| 3.1(1) | Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company. |
| 3.2(2) | Bylaws as amended through February 2, 2012. |
| 4.1(1) | Form of Certificate for Common Stock as of June 2, 2005. |
| 4.2(3) | Credit Agreement, dated as of December 22, 2010, among the Company, the several lenders from time to time parties thereto, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as administrative agent. |
| 10.1(4) | Lease dated April 16, 2010 between Soundview Farms and the Company for premises at 56 Top Gallant Road, 70 Gatehouse Road, and 88 Gatehouse Road, Stamford, Connecticut. |
| 10.2(4) | First Amendment to Lease dated April 16, 2010 between Soundview Farms and the Company for premises at 56 Top Gallant Road, 70 Gatehouse Road, and 88 Gatehouse Road, Stamford, Connecticut. |
| 10.4(5)+ | 2011 Employee Stock Purchase Plan. |
| 10.6(6)+ | 2003 Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended and restated on June 4, 2009. |
| 10.7(7)+ | Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between Eugene A. Hall and the Company dated as of April 13, 2011. |

Edgar Filing: Wealth Minerals Ltd. - Form 20-F

- 10.8(8)+ Company Deferred Compensation Plan, effective January 1, 2009.
- 10.9(9)+ Form of Stock Appreciation Right Agreement for executive officers.
- 10.10(9)+ Form of Performance Stock Unit Agreement for executive officers.
- 21.1* Subsidiaries of Registrant.
- 23.1* Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
- 24.1 Power of Attorney (see Signature Page).
- 31.1* Certification of chief executive officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2* Certification of chief financial officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32* Certification under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

* Filed with this document.

+ Management compensation plan or arrangement.

(1) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 29, 2005 as filed on July 6, 2005.

(2) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 2, 2012 as filed on February 7, 2012.

(3) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed on February 15, 2011.

(4) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Quarterly Report on form 10-Q as filed on August 9, 2010.

(5) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Proxy Statement (Schedule 14A) as filed on April 18, 2011.

- (6) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Proxy Statement (Schedule 14A) as filed on April 21, 2009.
- (7) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q as filed on August 2, 2011.
- (8) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed on February 20, 2009.
- (9) Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 12, 2013 as filed on February 13, 2013.

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
GARTNER, INC.
CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | |
|--|----|
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 33 |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 34 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011</u> | 35 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three Year Period Ended December 31, 2012</u> | 36 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three Year Period Ended December 31, 2012</u> | 37 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Three Year Period Ended December 31, 2012</u> | 38 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Year Period Ended December 31, 2012</u> | 39 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 40 |

All financial statement schedules have been omitted because the information required is not applicable or is shown in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders
Gartner, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Gartner, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Gartner, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated February 22, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

(KPMG LLP LOGO)

/s/ KPMG LLP

New York, New York
February 22, 2013

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders
Gartner, Inc.:

We have audited Gartner, Inc. and subsidiaries' (the Company) internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Gartner, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012, and our report dated February 22, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

(KPMG LLP LOGO)

/s/ KPMG LLP

New York, New York
February 22, 2013

34

GARTNER, INC.**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE DATA)

| | December 31, | |
|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$299,852 | \$142,739 |
| Fees receivable, net of allowances of \$6,400 and \$7,260 respectively | 463,968 | 421,033 |
| Deferred commissions | 87,933 | 78,492 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 75,713 | 63,521 |
| Total current assets | 927,466 | 705,785 |
| Property, equipment and leasehold improvements, net | 89,089 | 68,132 |
| Goodwill | 519,506 | 508,550 |
| Intangible assets, net | 11,821 | 7,060 |
| Other assets | 73,395 | 90,345 |
| Total Assets | \$1,621,277 | \$1,379,872 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | \$287,763 | \$259,490 |
| Deferred revenues | 692,237 | 611,647 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 90,000 | 50,000 |
| Total current liabilities | 1,070,000 | 921,137 |
| Long-term debt | 115,000 | 150,000 |
| Other liabilities | 129,604 | 126,951 |
| Total liabilities | 1,314,604 | 1,198,088 |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock: | | |
| \$.01 par value, authorized 5,000,000 shares; none issued or outstanding | — | — |
| Common stock: | | |
| \$.0005 par value, authorized 250,000,000 shares for both periods; 156,234,415 shares issued for both periods | 78 | 78 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 679,871 | 646,815 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income, net | 5,968 | 5,793 |
| Accumulated earnings | 908,482 | 742,579 |
| Treasury stock, at cost, 62,873,100 and 62,891,251 common shares, respectively | (1,287,726) | (1,213,481) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 306,673 | 181,784 |
| Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | \$1,621,277 | \$1,379,872 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

GARTNER, INC.**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE DATA)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Revenues: | | | |
| Research | \$1,137,147 | \$1,012,062 | \$865,000 |
| Consulting | 304,893 | 308,047 | 302,117 |
| Events | 173,768 | 148,479 | 121,337 |
| Total revenues | 1,615,808 | 1,468,588 | 1,288,454 |
| Costs and expenses: | | | |
| Cost of services and product development | 659,067 | 608,755 | 552,238 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 678,843 | 613,707 | 543,174 |
| Depreciation | 25,369 | 25,539 | 25,349 |
| Amortization of intangibles | 4,402 | 6,525 | 10,525 |
| Acquisition and integration charges | 2,420 | — | 7,903 |
| Total costs and expenses | 1,370,101 | 1,254,526 | 1,139,189 |
| Operating income | 245,707 | 214,062 | 149,265 |
| Interest income | 1,046 | 1,249 | 1,156 |
| Interest expense | (9,905) | (11,216) | (16,772) |
| Other (expense) income, net | (1,252) | (1,911) | 436 |
| Income before income taxes | 235,596 | 202,184 | 134,085 |
| Provision for income taxes | 69,693 | 65,282 | 37,800 |
| Net income | \$165,903 | \$136,902 | \$96,285 |
| Net income per share: | | | |
| Basic | \$1.78 | \$1.43 | \$1.01 |
| Diluted | \$1.73 | \$1.39 | \$0.96 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: | | | |
| Basic | 93,444 | 96,019 | 95,747 |
| Diluted | 95,842 | 98,846 | 99,834 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

GARTNER, INC.**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

(IN THOUSANDS)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Net income | \$165,903 | \$136,902 | \$96,285 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | 4,318 | (4,454) | 582 |
| Interest rate swap hedge - deferred (loss) gain | (127) | (7,790) | 6,243 |
| Pension - deferred actuarial (loss) gain | (5,993) | 283 | (1,012) |
| Subtotal | (1,802) | (11,961) | 5,813 |
| Tax effect of comprehensive income (loss) items | 1,977 | 3,116 | (2,497) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | 175 | (8,845) | 3,316 |
| Comprehensive income | \$166,078 | \$128,057 | \$99,601 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

GARTNER, INC.**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY**

(IN THOUSANDS)

| | Common Stock | Additional Paid-In Capital | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income, Net | Accumulated Earnings | Treasury Stock | Total Stockholders' Equity |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|--|-------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | \$ 78 | \$ 590,864 | \$ 11,322 | \$ 509,392 | \$(999,121) | \$ 112,535 |
| Net income | — | — | — | 96,285 | — | 96,285 |
| Other comprehensive income | — | — | 3,316 | — | — | 3,316 |
| Issuances under stock plans | — | (30,254) | — | — | 53,822 | 23,568 |
| Stock compensation tax benefits | — | 18,520 | — | — | — | 18,520 |
| Common share repurchases | — | — | — | — | (99,820) | (99,820) |
| Stock compensation expense | — | 32,652 | — | — | — | 32,652 |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | \$ 78 | \$ 611,782 | \$ 14,638 | \$ 605,677 | \$(1,045,119) | \$ 187,056 |
| Net income | — | — | — | 136,902 | — | 136,902 |
| Other comprehensive loss | — | — | (8,845) | — | — | (8,845) |
| Issuances under stock plans | — | (23,579) | — | — | 43,624 | 20,045 |
| Stock compensation tax benefits | — | 25,778 | — | — | — | 25,778 |
| Common share repurchases | — | — | — | — | (211,986) | (211,986) |
| Stock compensation expense | — | 32,834 | — | — | — | 32,652 |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | \$ 78 | \$ 646,815 | \$ 5,793 | \$ 742,579 | \$(1,213,481) | \$ 181,784 |
| Net income | — | — | — | 165,903 | — | 165,903 |
| Other comprehensive income | — | — | 175 | — | — | 175 |
| Issuances under stock plans | — | (24,626) | — | — | 37,059 | 12,433 |
| Stock compensation tax benefits | — | 21,304 | — | — | — | 21,304 |
| Common share repurchases | — | — | — | — | (111,304) | (111,304) |
| Stock compensation expense | — | 36,378 | — | — | — | 36,378 |
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | \$ 78 | \$ 679,871 | \$ 5,968 | \$ 908,482 | \$(1,287,726) | \$ 306,673 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

GARTNER, INC.**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

(IN THOUSANDS)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Operating activities: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 165,903 | \$ 136,902 | \$ 96,285 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization of intangibles | 29,771 | 32,064 | 35,874 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 36,378 | 32,865 | 32,634 |
| Excess tax benefits from employee stock-based compensation exercises | (21,304) | (25,572) | (18,364) |
| Deferred taxes | 973 | (965) | (2,609) |
| Amortization and write-off of debt issue costs | 2,008 | 2,288 | 1,567 |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Fees receivable, net | (38,617) | (58,887) | (48,177) |
| Deferred commissions | (8,871) | (6,928) | (2,184) |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | (10,604) | 3,540 | (376) |
| Other assets | 15,113 | 4,397 | (34,130) |
| Deferred revenues | 71,645 | 91,765 | 85,336 |
| Accounts payable, accrued, and other liabilities | 37,418 | 44,097 | 59,643 |
| Cash provided by operating activities | 279,813 | 255,566 | 205,499 |
| Investing activities: | | | |
| Additions to property, equipment and leasehold improvements | (44,337) | (41,954) | (21,694) |
| Acquisitions (net of cash received) | (10,336) | — | (12,151) |
| Cash used in investing activities | (54,673) | (41,954) | (33,845) |
| Financing activities: | | | |
| Proceeds from employee stock-based compensation plans and ESP Plan | 12,430 | 20,011 | 23,527 |
| Proceeds from borrowings | 35,000 | — | 200,000 |
| Payments on debt | (30,000) | (20,156) | (313,627) |
| Purchases of treasury stock | (111,304) | (211,986) | (99,820) |
| Excess tax benefits from employee stock-based compensation exercises | 21,304 | 25,572 | 18,364 |
| Cash used by financing activities | (72,570) | (186,559) | (171,556) |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 152,570 | 27,053 | 98 |
| Effects of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents | 4,543 | (4,495) | 3,509 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 142,739 | 120,181 | 116,574 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 299,852 | \$ 142,739 | \$ 120,181 |
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: | | | |
| Cash paid during the period for: | | | |
| Interest | \$ 8,968 | \$ 13,312 | \$ 11,484 |
| Income taxes, net of refunds received | \$ 46,907 | \$ 24,126 | \$ 25,486 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

GARTNER, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1 — BUSINESS AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Business. Gartner, Inc. is a global information technology research and advisory company founded in 1979 with its headquarters in Stamford, Connecticut. Gartner delivers its principal products and services through three business segments: Research, Consulting, and Events. When used in these notes, the terms “Gartner,” “Company,” “we,” “us,” or “our” refer to Gartner, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries.

Basis of presentation. The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America (“U.S. GAAP”), as defined in the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Topic 270 for financial information and with the applicable instructions of U.S. Securities & Exchange Commission (“SEC”) Regulation S-X. The fiscal year of Gartner represents the twelve-month period from January 1 through December 31. All references to 2012, 2011, and 2010 herein refer to the fiscal year unless otherwise indicated.

Principles of consolidation. The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated.

Use of estimates. The preparation of the accompanying consolidated financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions about future events. These estimates and the underlying assumptions affect the amounts of assets and liabilities reported, disclosures about contingent assets and liabilities, and reported amounts of revenues and expenses. Such estimates include the valuation of accounts receivable, goodwill, intangible assets, and other long-lived assets, as well as tax accruals and other liabilities. In addition, estimates are used in revenue recognition, income tax expense, performance-based compensation charges, depreciation and amortization, and the allowance for losses. Management believes its use of estimates in the accompanying consolidated financial statements to be reasonable.

Management continuously evaluates and revises its estimates using historical experience and other factors, including the general economic environment and actions it may take in the future. Management adjusts these estimates when facts and circumstances dictate. However, these estimates may involve significant uncertainties and judgments and cannot be determined with precision. In addition, these estimates are based on management’s best judgment at a point in time. As a result, differences between our estimates and actual results could be material and would be reflected in the Company’s consolidated financial statements in future periods.

Revenues. Revenue is recognized in accordance with U.S. GAAP and SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 101, *Revenue Recognition* in Financial Statements (“SAB 101”), and SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, *Revenue Recognition* (“SAB 104”). Revenues are only recognized once all required criteria for recognition have been met. The accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations presents revenues net of any sales or value-added taxes that we collect from customers and remit to government authorities.

The Company’s revenues by significant source are as follows:

Research

Research revenues are derived from annual subscription contracts for research products. These revenues are deferred and recognized ratably over the applicable contract term. The Company typically enters into annually renewable subscription contracts for research products. Reprint fees are recognized when the reprint is shipped.

The majority of research contracts are billable upon signing, absent special terms granted on a limited basis from time to time. Research contracts are non-cancelable and non-refundable, except for government contracts that may have cancellation or fiscal funding clauses, which historically have not produced material cancellations. It is our policy to record the entire amount of the contract that is billable as a fee receivable at the time the contract is signed with a corresponding amount as deferred revenue, since the contract represents a legally enforceable claim.

Consulting

Consulting revenues, primarily derived from consulting, measurement and strategic advisory services (paid one-day analyst engagements), are principally generated from fixed fee or time and materials engagements. Revenues from fixed fee engagements are recognized on a proportional performance basis, while revenues from time and material engagements are recognized as work is delivered and/or services are provided. Revenues related to contract optimization engagements are contingent in nature and are only recognized upon satisfaction of all conditions related to their payment. Unbilled fees receivable associated with consulting engagements were \$34.0 million at December 31, 2012 and \$29.2 million at December 31, 2011.

Events

Events revenues are deferred and recognized upon the completion of the related symposium, conference or exhibition. In addition, the Company defers certain costs directly related to events and expenses these costs in the period during which the related symposium, conference or exhibition occurs. The Company policy is to defer only those costs, primarily prepaid site and production services costs, which are incremental and are directly attributable to a specific event. Other costs of organizing and producing our events, primarily Company personnel and non-event specific expenses, are expensed in the period incurred. At the end of each fiscal quarter, the Company assesses on an event-by-event basis whether expected direct costs of producing a scheduled event will exceed expected revenues. If such costs are expected to exceed revenues, the Company records the expected loss in the period determined.

Allowance for losses. The Company maintains an allowance for losses which is composed of a bad debt allowance and a sales reserve. Provisions are charged against earnings, either as a reduction in revenues or as an increase to expense. The amount of the allowance for losses is based on historical loss experience, aging of outstanding receivables, our assessment of current economic conditions and the financial health of specific clients.

Cost of services and product development (“COS”). COS expense includes the direct costs incurred in the creation and delivery of our products and services.

Selling, general and administrative (“SG&A”). SG&A expense includes direct and indirect selling costs, general and administrative costs, and charges against earnings related to uncollectible accounts.

Commission expense. The Company records commission obligations upon the signing of customer contracts and amortizes the deferred obligation as commission expense over the period in which the related revenues are earned. Commission expense is included in SG&A in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Stock-based compensation expense. The Company accounts for stock-based compensation in accordance with FASB ASC Topics 505 and 718, as interpreted by SEC Staff Accounting Bulletins No. 107 (“SAB No. 107”) and No. 110 (“SAB No. 110”). Stock-based compensation cost is based on the fair value of the award on the date of grant, which is expensed over the related service period, net of estimated forfeitures. The service period is the period over which the employee performs the related services, which is normally the same as the vesting period. During 2012, 2011, and 2010, the Company recognized \$36.4 million, \$32.9 million, and \$32.6 million, respectively, of stock-based compensation expense (see Note 8 — Stock-Based Compensation), which is recorded in both COS and SG&A in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Income tax expense. As we prepare our consolidated financial statements, we estimate our income taxes in each of the jurisdictions where we operate. This process involves estimating our current tax expense together with assessing temporary differences resulting from differing treatment of items for tax and accounting purposes. These differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities, which are included within our consolidated balance sheets. We record a valuation allowance to reduce our deferred tax assets when future realization is in question. We consider the availability of loss carryforwards, existing deferred tax liabilities, future taxable income and ongoing prudent and feasible tax planning strategies in assessing the need for the valuation allowance. In the event we determine that we are able to realize our deferred tax assets in the future in excess of the net recorded amount, an adjustment is made to reduce the valuation allowance and increase income in the period such determination is made. Likewise, if we determine that we will not be able to realize all or part of our net deferred tax asset in the future, an adjustment to the valuation allowance is charged against income in the period such determination is made.

Cash and cash equivalents. Includes cash and all highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less, which are considered cash equivalents. The carrying value of cash equivalents approximates fair value due to their short-term maturity. Investments with maturities of more than three months are classified as marketable securities. Interest earned is classified in Interest income in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Property, equipment and leasehold improvements. The Company leases all of its facilities and certain equipment. These leases are all classified as operating leases in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 840. The cost of these operating leases, including any contractual rent increases, rent concessions, and landlord incentives, are recognized ratably over the life of the related lease agreement. Lease expense was \$30.3 million, \$26.2 million, and \$23.5 million in 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively.

Equipment, leasehold improvements, and other fixed assets owned by the Company are recorded at cost less accumulated depreciation. Except for leasehold improvements, these fixed assets are depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Leasehold improvements are amortized using the straight-line method over the shorter of the estimated useful lives of the improvements or the remaining term of the related leases. The Company had total depreciation expense of \$25.4 million, \$25.5 million, and \$25.3 million in 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively.

Property, equipment and leasehold improvements, less accumulated depreciation and amortization, consist of the following (in thousands):

| | Useful Life (Years) | December 31, | |
|--|---------------------------|--------------|------------|
| | | 2012 | 2011 |
| Computer equipment and software | 2 - 7 | \$ 135,167 | \$ 130,733 |
| Furniture and equipment | 3 - 8 | 29,907 | 34,828 |
| Leasehold improvements | 2 - 15 | 64,346 | 63,773 |
| | | 229,420 | 229,334 |
| Less — accumulated depreciation and amortization | | (140,331) | (161,202) |
| | | \$ 89,089 | \$ 68,132 |

The Company incurs costs to develop internal use software used in our operations, and certain costs meeting the criteria outlined in FASB ASC Topic 350 are capitalized and amortized over future periods. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, net capitalized development costs for internal use software were \$14.4 million and \$13.6 million, respectively. Amortization of capitalized internal software development costs, which is classified in Depreciation in the Consolidated Statements of Operations, totaled \$7.4 million, \$7.8 million, and \$7.9 million during 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively.

Stamford headquarters lease renewal

The Company's corporate headquarters is located in 213,000 square feet of leased office space in three buildings in Stamford, Connecticut. The Stamford facility accommodates research and analysis, marketing, sales, client support, production, corporate services, executive offices, and administration. In 2010 the Company entered into a new 15 year lease agreement for this facility which provides for a reduced rental until completion of certain renovation work. In accordance with FASB ASC Topic 840, the Company accounted for the new Stamford lease as an operating lease arrangement. The total minimum payments the Company is obligated to pay under this lease, including contractual escalation clauses and reduced rents during the renovation period, are being expensed on a straight-line basis over the lease term.

Under this arrangement, the landlord has provided a \$25.0 million tenant improvement allowance to be used to renovate the three buildings. The renovation work began in 2011 and is expected to be completed in early 2013. The \$25.0 million contractual amount due from the landlord was recorded as a tenant improvement allowance in Other assets and as deferred rent in Other Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. As the renovation work progresses and payments are received from the landlord, the tenant improvement receivable is relieved and leasehold improvement assets are recorded in Property, equipment, and leasehold improvements. The leasehold improvement assets are being amortized to Depreciation expense over their useful lives, beginning when the assets are placed in

service. The amount recorded as deferred rent is being amortized as a reduction to rent expense (SG&A) on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease.

As of December 31, 2012, the Company had \$21.0 million of remaining unamortized deferred rent resulting from the tenant improvement allowance, of which \$1.5 million is recorded in Accounts payable and accrued liabilities and \$19.5 million is recorded in Other liabilities on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Company paid \$17.0 million and \$9.5 million in renovation costs for this project in 2012 and 2011, respectively, which are classified as cash outflows in the Investing activities section of the Company's Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. The Company received landlord cash reimbursements for these expenditures of \$13.0 million and \$9.0 million in 2012 and 2011, respectively, which are classified as cash inflows in the Operating activities section of the Company's Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Intangible assets. The Company has amortizable intangible assets which are amortized against earnings using the straight-line method over their expected useful lives. Changes in intangible assets subject to amortization during the two year period ended December 31, 2012 are as follows (in thousands):

| December 31, 2012 | Trade Name | Customer Relationships | Content | Software | Total |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Gross cost, December 31, 2011 | \$5,758 | \$ 7,210 | \$— | \$— | \$12,968 |
| Additions due to acquisition (1) | 240 | 3,170 | 3,170 | 1,955 | 8,535 |
| Foreign currency translation impact | 21 | 182 | 277 | 169 | 649 |
| Gross cost | 6,019 | 10,562 | 3,447 | 2,124 | 22,152 |
| Accumulated amortization (2) | (3,531) | (5,896) |) (497) | (407) | (10,331) |
| Balance, December 31, 2012 | \$2,488 | \$ 4,666 | \$ 2,950 | \$ 1,717 | \$11,821 |

42

| December 31, 2011 | Trade Name | Customer Relationships | Total |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|-----------|
| Gross cost, December 31, 2010 | \$5,758 | \$ 7,210 | \$ 12,968 |
| Foreign currency translation impact | — | — | — |
| Gross cost | 5,758 | 7,210 | 12,968 |
| Accumulated amortization (2) | (2,303) | (3,605) | (5,908) |
| Balance, December 31, 2011 | \$3,455 | \$ 3,605 | \$7,060 |

(1) The Company acquired Ideas International in 2012 and recorded a total of \$8.5 million of amortizable intangible assets. See Note 2—Acquisitions above for additional information.

Intangible assets are being amortized against earnings over the following periods: Trade name—2 to 5 years; (2) Customer relationships—4 years; Content—4 years; Software—3 years. Aggregate amortization expense related to intangible assets was \$4.4 million, \$6.5 million, and \$10.5 million in 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively.

The estimated future amortization expense by year from amortizable intangibles is as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|------|----------|
| 2013 | \$5,490 |
| 2014 | 3,615 |
| 2015 | 2,005 |
| 2016 | 711 |
| | \$11,821 |

Goodwill. Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price of acquired businesses over the estimated fair value of the tangible and identifiable intangible net assets acquired. The evaluation of the recoverability of goodwill is performed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 350, which requires an annual assessment of potential goodwill impairment at the reporting unit level and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of goodwill may not be recoverable. The annual assessment of the recoverability of recorded goodwill can be based on either a qualitative or quantitative assessment or a combination of the two. Both methods utilize estimates which in turn require judgments and assumptions regarding future trends and events. As a result, both the precision and reliability of the resulting estimates are subject to uncertainty.

The Company conducted a qualitative assessment of the fair value of its three reporting units as of September 30, 2012 based in part on the demonstrated historical trend of the fair values of the Company's reporting units substantially exceeding their carrying values and its recent financial performance. Among the factors included in the Company's qualitative assessment were general economic conditions and the competitive environment; actual and projected reporting unit financial performance; forward-looking business measurements; and external market assessments. Based on the results of the qualitative assessment, the Company believes the fair values of its reporting units continue to substantially exceed their respective carrying values.

The following table presents changes to the carrying amount of goodwill by reporting unit during the two year period ended December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

| | Research | Consulting | Events | Total |
|--|-----------|------------|----------|-----------|
| Balance, December 31, 2010 (1) | \$368,521 | \$99,817 | \$41,927 | \$510,265 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | (1,541) | (140) | (34) | (1,715) |
| Balance, December 31, 2011 | \$366,980 | \$99,677 | \$41,893 | \$508,550 |
| Addition due to acquisition (2) | 7,455 | — | — | 7,455 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | 2,790 | 672 | 39 | 3,501 |
| Balance, December 31, 2012 | \$377,225 | \$100,349 | \$41,932 | \$519,506 |

(1)The Company does not have accumulated goodwill impairment losses.

The Company acquired Ideas International in mid-2012 and recorded \$7.5 million of goodwill. All of the recorded (2)goodwill resulting from the acquisition has been included in the Research segment. See Note 2—Acquisitions above for additional information.

Impairment of long-lived and intangible assets. The Company reviews its long-lived and intangible assets other than goodwill for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the respective asset may not be recoverable. Such evaluation may be based on a number of factors including current and projected operating results and cash flows, changes in management's strategic direction as well as external economic and market factors. The Company's policy regarding long-lived assets and intangible assets other than goodwill is to evaluate the recoverability of these assets by determining whether the balance can be recovered through undiscounted future operating cash flows. Should events or circumstances indicate that the carrying value might not be recoverable based on undiscounted future operating cash flows, an impairment loss would be recognized. The

amount of impairment, if any, is measured based on the difference between projected discounted future operating cash flows using a discount rate reflecting the Company's average cost of funds and the carrying value of the asset. The Company did not record any material impairment charges for long-lived and intangible assets during 2012, 2011, or 2010.

Pension obligations. The Company has defined-benefit pension plans in several of its international locations (see Note 13 — Employee Benefits). Benefits earned under these plans are generally based on years of service and level of employee compensation. The Company accounts for defined benefit plans in accordance with the requirements of FASB ASC Topic 715. The Company determines the periodic pension expense and related liabilities for these plans through actuarial assumptions and valuations. The Company recognized \$2.6 million, \$2.7 million, and \$2.4 million of expense for these plans in 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. The Company classifies pension expense in SG&A in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Debt. The Company presents amounts borrowed in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at amortized cost. Accrued interest on amounts borrowed is classified in Interest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The Company had \$205.0 million and \$200.0 million of debt outstanding at December 31, 2012 and 2011. See Note 5—Debt for additional information regarding the Company's debt.

Foreign currency exposure. All assets and liabilities of foreign subsidiaries are translated into U.S. dollars at exchange rates in effect at the balance sheet date. Income and expense items are translated at average exchange rates for the year. The resulting translation adjustments are recorded as foreign currency translation adjustments, a component of Accumulated other comprehensive income, net within the Stockholders' equity section of the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Currency transaction gains or losses arising from transactions denominated in currencies other than the functional currency of a subsidiary are recognized in results of operations in Other (expense) income, net within the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Net currency transaction (losses) were \$(2.3) million, \$(1.3) million, and \$(4.8) million in 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. The Company enters into foreign currency forward exchange contracts to mitigate the effects of adverse fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates on these transactions. These contracts generally have a short duration and are recorded at fair value with both realized and unrealized gains and losses recorded in Other (expense) income, net. The net gain (loss) from these contracts was \$0.6 million, \$(1.2) million, and \$2.8 million in 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively.

Comprehensive income. On January 1, 2012, the Company retrospectively adopted FASB Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2011-05, *Comprehensive Income (Topic 220-10): Presentation of Comprehensive Income*, and a related amendment. Comprehensive income includes income and expense items from nonowner sources and consists of two separate components: net income as reported and other comprehensive income. ASU No. 2011-05 eliminates the option to report comprehensive income and its components in the statement of stockholders' equity. Instead, the new rule optionally requires the presentation of net income and comprehensive income in one continuous statement, or in two separate, but consecutive statements. The Company has presented net income, other comprehensive income

and its components, and comprehensive income in a new, separate statement called the *Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income*, which is included herein. While the Company's presentation of comprehensive income has changed, there were no changes to the components or amounts that are recognized in net income or other comprehensive income under existing accounting guidance. As a result, the adoption of this new rule did not impact the Company's results of operations, cash flows, or financial position.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-02, *Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income*, which updates ASU No. 2011-05. The standard requires that public companies present information about reclassification adjustments from accumulated other comprehensive income in their financial statements in a single note or on the face of the financial statements. Public companies will have to provide this information in both their annual and interim financial statements. The new requirements will take effect for Gartner beginning January 1, 2013 and will be applied prospectively. While the Company has not completed its analysis of the new standard, it believes the new rule may result in additional disclosures and changes to the presentation of the Statement of Comprehensive Income.

Fair value disclosures. The Company has a limited number of assets and liabilities that are adjusted to fair value at each balance sheet date. The Company's fair value disclosures are included in Note 12 — Fair Value Disclosures.

Concentrations of credit risk. Assets that may subject the Company to concentration of credit risk consist primarily of short-term, highly liquid investments classified as cash equivalents, accounts receivable, interest rate swaps, and a pension reinsurance asset. The majority of the Company's cash equivalent investments and its interest rate swap contract are with investment grade commercial banks that are participants in the Company's credit facility. Accounts receivable balances deemed to be collectible from customers have limited concentration of credit risk due to our diverse customer base and geographic dispersion. The Company's pension reinsurance asset (see Note 13 — Employee Benefits) is maintained with a large international insurance company that was rated investment grade as of December 31, 2012.

Stock repurchase programs. The Company records the cost to repurchase its own common shares to treasury stock. During 2012, 2011 and 2010 the Company recorded \$111.3 million, \$212.0 million, and \$99.8 million, respectively, of stock repurchases (see Note 7 — Stockholders' Equity). Shares repurchased by the Company are added to treasury shares and are not retired.

Recent accounting developments. Accounting rules that have been issued by the FASB that have not yet become effective and that may impact the Company's consolidated financial statements or related disclosures in future periods are described below:

Balance sheet offsetting. In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-11, *Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities*. The new guidance requires disclosures about assets and liabilities that are offset or have the potential to be offset under U.S. GAAP rules. These disclosures are intended to address differences in the asset and liability offsetting requirements under U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards. The new disclosure requirements mandate that entities disclose both gross and net information about financial instruments and transactions eligible for offset in the statement of financial position as well as instruments and transactions subject to an agreement similar to a master netting arrangement. In addition, the standard requires disclosure of collateral received and posted in connection with master netting agreements or similar arrangements. However, as of year-end 2012, the FASB is considering certain amendments to ASU No. 2011-11 which may limit the scope of the new rules. ASU No. 2011-11 will be effective for Gartner for interim and annual reporting periods beginning January 1, 2013, with retrospective application required. While the adoption of this new guidance may result in additional disclosures, we do not expect it to have an impact on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Other comprehensive income disclosures. See discussion above in *Comprehensive Income*.

2 — ACQUISITIONS

2012

In May 2012 the Company acquired Ideas International Limited ("Ideas International"), a publicly-owned Australian corporation (ASX: IDE) headquartered outside of Sydney with 40 employees. Ideas International provided intelligence on IT infrastructure configurations and pricing data to IT professionals and vendors. The Company paid aggregate cash consideration of \$18.8 million for 100% of the outstanding shares of Ideas International. The Company's strategic objectives in acquiring Ideas International are to leverage Gartner's scale and worldwide distribution capability, introduce Ideas International's products and services to Gartner's much larger end user client base, and further penetrate the technology vendor market. Ideas International's business operations have been integrated into the Company's Research segment.

Gartner's financial statements include the operating results of Ideas International beginning with the date of acquisition. These results were not material to the Company's 2012 results. The Company recorded \$2.4 million of pre-tax acquisition and integration charges for this acquisition in 2012, which is classified in Acquisition and integration charges in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Included in these charges are legal, consulting, and severance costs, all of which were direct and incremental charges from the acquisition. Had the Company acquired Ideas International on January 1, 2010, the impact to the Company's operating results for 2011 and 2010 would not

have been material, and as a result pro forma financial information for those periods has not been presented.

The acquisition was accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting as prescribed by FASB ASC Topic 805, *Business Combinations*. The acquisition method of accounting requires the consideration paid to be allocated to the net assets and liabilities acquired based on their estimated fair values as of the acquisition date, and any excess of the purchase price over the estimated fair value of the net assets acquired, including identifiable intangible assets, must be allocated to goodwill. The Company considers its allocation of the respective purchase price to be preliminary, particularly with respect to the valuation of certain tax related items. In accordance with FASB ASC Topic 805, a final determination of the purchase price allocation and resulting goodwill must be made within one year of the acquisition date. The Company anticipates that none of the recorded goodwill arising from the acquisition will be deductible for tax purposes. All of the recorded goodwill was included in the Company's Research segment. The Company believes the recorded goodwill is supported by the anticipated revenues related to the acquisition.

The following table summarizes the preliminary allocation of the purchase price to the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the acquisition (dollars in thousands):

| | |
|--|----------|
| Assets: | |
| Cash | \$8,502 |
| Fees receivable | 1,310 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 560 |
| Goodwill and amortizable intangible assets (1) | 15,990 |
| Total assets | \$26,362 |
| Liabilities: | |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | \$2,203 |
| Deferred revenues (2) | 5,321 |
| Total liabilities | \$7,524 |

- (1) Includes \$7.5 million allocated to goodwill and \$8.5 million allocated to amortizable intangible assets (see Note 1—Business and Significant Accounting Policies above for additional information).
- (2) The fair value of the cost to fulfill the deferred revenue obligations was determined by estimating the costs to provide the services plus a normal profit margin, and did not include costs associated with selling efforts.

2009

The Company acquired all of the outstanding shares of AMR Research and Burton Group in 2009 for total net cash of \$116.7 million, of which \$12.2 million was paid in 2010 and \$104.5 million was paid in 2009. The Company recorded \$7.9 million of acquisition and integration expenses related to these acquisitions during 2010.

3 — OTHER ASSETS

Other assets consist of the following (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Security deposits | \$7,740 | \$6,581 |
| Debt issuance costs | 2,768 | 3,866 |
| Benefit plan-related assets | 37,016 | 38,403 |
| Non-current deferred tax assets | 22,527 | 22,795 |
| Tenant improvement allowance (1) | — | 16,062 |
| Other | 3,344 | 2,638 |
| Total other assets | \$73,395 | \$90,345 |

The balance as of December 31, 2011 represented the landlord receivable related to the renovation of the (1) Company's Stamford headquarters facility, the majority of which was collected during 2012, with the balance reclassified to current assets. See Note 1 — Business and Significant Accounting Policies for additional information.

4 — ACCOUNTS PAYABLE, ACCRUED, AND OTHER LIABILITIES

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities consist of the following (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|--|--------------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Accounts payable | \$27,344 | \$27,573 |
| Payroll, employee benefits, severance | 71,892 | 66,110 |
| Bonus payable | 68,776 | 62,191 |
| Commissions payable | 49,128 | 42,328 |
| Taxes payable | 18,897 | 15,917 |
| Rent and other facilities costs | 4,310 | 5,046 |
| Professional, consulting, audit fees | 8,355 | 6,907 |
| Events fulfillment liabilities | 4,209 | 2,255 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 34,852 | 31,163 |
| Total accounts payable and accrued liabilities | \$287,763 | \$259,490 |

Other liabilities consist of the following (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Non-current deferred revenue | \$5,508 | \$4,572 |
| Interest rate swap liabilities | 10,017 | 9,891 |
| Long-term taxes payable | 16,760 | 20,141 |
| Deferred rent (1) | 19,586 | 21,046 |
| Benefit plan-related liabilities | 54,779 | 47,326 |
| Other | 22,954 | 23,975 |
| Total other liabilities | \$129,604 | \$126,951 |

46

Represents the remaining unamortized long-term deferred rent on the \$25.0 million tenant improvement allowance (1) on the Company's Stamford headquarters facility. See Note 1 — Business and Significant Accounting Policies above for additional information.

5 — DEBT

2010 Credit Agreement

The Company has a credit arrangement that provides for a five-year, \$200.0 million term loan and a \$400.0 million revolving credit facility which it entered into in December 2010 (the "2010 Credit Agreement"). The Company terminated its prior credit arrangement when it entered into the 2010 Credit Agreement and paid down the remaining amounts outstanding. The 2010 Credit Agreement contains an expansion feature by which the term loan and revolving credit facility may be increased, at the Company's option and under certain conditions, by up to an additional \$150.0 million in the aggregate. The term loan is being repaid in 19 consecutive quarterly installments which commenced on March 31, 2011, plus a final payment due on December 22, 2015, and may be prepaid at any time without penalty or premium at the Company's option. The revolving credit facility may be used for loans, and up to \$40.0 million may be used for letters of credit. The revolving loans may be borrowed, repaid and re-borrowed until December 22, 2015, at which time all amounts borrowed must be repaid.

Amounts borrowed under the 2010 Credit Agreement bear interest at a rate equal to, at the Company's option, either (i) the greatest of: the administrative agent's prime rate; the average rate on overnight federal funds plus 1/2 of 1%; and the eurodollar rate (adjusted for statutory reserves) plus 1%, in each case plus a margin equal to between 0.50% and 1.25% depending on the Company's leverage ratio as of the end of the four consecutive fiscal quarters most recently ended, or (ii) the eurodollar rate (adjusted for statutory reserves) plus a margin equal to between 1.50% and 2.25%, depending on the Company's leverage ratio as of the end of the four consecutive fiscal quarters most recently ended.

The 2010 Credit Agreement contains certain customary restrictive loan covenants, including, among others, financial covenants requiring a maximum leverage ratio, a minimum interest expense coverage ratio, and covenants limiting the Company's ability to incur indebtedness, grant liens, make acquisitions, be acquired, dispose of assets, pay dividends, repurchase stock, make capital expenditures, make investments and enter into certain transactions with affiliates. The Company was in full compliance with these covenants as of December 31, 2012.

In December 2010, the Company recorded certain incremental pre-tax charges due to the termination of the prior credit arrangement. The majority of these charges would have been recognized as expenses in 2011, but accounting

rules required their accelerated recognition in 2010. These accelerated pre-tax charges included \$3.3 million for deferred losses on interest rate swap contracts that had been recorded in Other Comprehensive Income (OCI) since the swaps had previously been designated as accounting hedges, and \$0.4 million for the write-off of a portion of capitalized debt issuance costs related to the previous debt. In accordance with FASB ASC Topic 815, the deferral of the unrealized losses on the swaps recorded in OCI was no longer permitted since the forecasted interest payments related to the previous debt would not occur. Both the capitalized debt issuance write-off and the interest rate swap charge were classified in Interest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2010.

The following table provides information regarding the Company's total outstanding borrowings:

| Description: | Amount Outstanding December 31, 2012 (In thousands) | Contractual Annualized Interest Rate December 31, 2012 | Amount Outstanding December 31, 2011 (In thousands) |
|--|---|--|---|
| 2010 Credit Facility - term loan (1) | \$ 150,000 | 1.81 | % \$ 180,000 |
| 2010 Credit Facility - revolver (1), (2) | 50,000 | 1.81 | % 20,000 |
| Other (3) | 5,000 | 3.00 | % — |
| Total | \$ 205,000 | | \$ 200,000 |

Both the term and revolver loan rates consisted of a floating Eurodollar base rate of 0.31% plus a margin of 1.5%. However, the Company has an interest rate swap contract which converts the floating Eurodollar base rate to a (1) 2.26% fixed base rate on the first \$200.0 million of Company borrowings (see below). As a result, the Company's effective annual interest rate on the \$200.0 million of outstanding debt under the 2010 Credit Facility as of December 31, 2012, including the margin, was 3.76%.

(2) The Company had \$346.6 million of available borrowing capacity on the revolver (not including the expansion feature) as of December 31, 2012.

In December 2012 the Company borrowed \$5.0 million under a previously disclosed financial assistance package provided by an economic development program through the State of Connecticut in connection with the Company's renovation of its Stamford headquarters facility. The loan has a 10 year maturity and bears a 3% fixed rate of (3) interest. Principal payments are deferred for the first five years and the loan may be repaid at any point by the Company without penalty. The loan has a principal forgiveness provision in which up to \$2.5 million of the loan may be forgiven if the Company meets certain employment targets in the State of Connecticut during the first five years of the loan.

Interest Rate Swap Hedge

The Company entered into a \$200.0 million notional fixed-for-floating interest rate swap contract in December 2010 which it designated as a hedge of the forecasted interest payments on the Company's variable rate borrowings. Under the swap terms, the Company pays a base fixed rate of 2.26% and in return receives a Eurodollar base rate.

The Company accounts for the interest rate swap as a cash flow hedge in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 815. Since the swap is hedging forecasted interest payments, changes in the fair value of the swap are recorded in OCI as long as the swap continues to be a highly effective hedge of the designated interest rate risk. Any ineffective portion of change in the fair value of the hedge is recorded in earnings. At December 31, 2012, there was no ineffective portion of the hedge. The interest rate swap had a negative fair value to the Company of \$10.0 million at December 31, 2012, which is classified in OCI, net of tax effect.

Letters of Credit

The Company had \$10.1 million of letters of credit and related guarantees outstanding at year-end 2012. The Company issues these instruments in the ordinary course of business to facilitate transactions with customers and others.

6 — COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Contractual Lease Commitments. The Company leases various facilities, furniture, and computer and office equipment under operating lease arrangements expiring between 2013 and 2027. The future minimum annual cash payments under non-cancelable operating lease agreements at December 31, 2012, are as follows (in thousands):

Year ended December 31,

| | |
|----------------------------------|-----------|
| 2013 | \$37,820 |
| 2014 | 31,660 |
| 2015 | 22,295 |
| 2016 | 14,680 |
| 2017 | 9,910 |
| Thereafter | 75,055 |
| Total minimum lease payments (1) | \$191,420 |

(1) Excludes \$2.2 million of future contractual sublease rental income.

Legal Matters. We are involved in various legal and administrative proceedings and litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. The outcome of these individual matters is not predictable at this time. However, we believe that the ultimate resolution of these matters, after considering amounts already accrued and insurance coverage, will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows in future periods.

Indemnifications. The Company has various agreements that may obligate us to indemnify the other party with respect to certain matters. Generally, these indemnification clauses are included in contracts arising in the normal course of business under which we customarily agree to hold the other party harmless against losses arising from a breach of representations related to such matters as title to assets sold and licensed or certain intellectual property rights. It is not possible to predict the maximum potential amount of future payments under these indemnification agreements due to the conditional nature of the Company's obligations and the unique facts of each particular agreement. Historically, payments made by us under these agreements have not been material. As of December 31, 2012, we did not have any indemnification agreements that could require material payments.

7 — STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Common stock. Holders of Gartner's Common Stock, par value \$.0005 per share ("Common Stock") are entitled to one vote per share on all matters to be voted by stockholders. The Company does not currently pay cash dividends on its Common Stock. Also, our credit arrangement contains a negative covenant which may limit our ability to pay dividends.

The following table summarizes transactions relating to Common Stock for the three years' ending December 31, 2012:

| | Issued Shares | Treasury Stock Shares |
|------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | 156,234,415 | 60,356,672 |
| Issuances under stock plans | — | (4,029,673) |
| Purchases for treasury | — | 3,918,719 |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | 156,234,415 | 60,245,718 |
| Issuances under stock plans | — | (3,244,705) |
| Purchases for treasury (1) | — | 5,890,238 |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | 156,234,415 | 62,891,251 |
| Issuances under stock plans | — | (2,756,389) |
| Purchases for treasury | — | 2,738,238 |
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | 156,234,415 | 62,873,100 |

Includes 2,148,434 shares the Company repurchased directly from ValueAct Capital Master Fund, L.P. ("ValueAct") (1) in two separate transactions during 2011. The total cost of the shares repurchased directly from ValueAct was \$75.2 million.

Share repurchase program. The Company has a \$500.0 million share repurchase program, of which \$210.2 million remained available for share repurchases as of December 31, 2012. Repurchases may be made from time-to-time through open market purchases, private transactions, tender offers or other transactions. The amount and timing of repurchases will be subject to the availability of stock, prevailing market conditions, the trading price of the stock, the Company's financial performance and other conditions. Repurchases may also be made from time-to-time in connection with the settlement of the Company's shared-based compensation awards. Repurchases may be funded from cash flow from operations or borrowings.

The Company paid cash of \$111.3 million, \$212.0 million, and \$99.8 million, in 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively, for common stock repurchases. The \$212.0 million paid for share repurchases in 2011 includes the cost of the shares repurchased directly from ValueAct.

8 — STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company grants stock-based compensation awards as an incentive for employees and directors to contribute to the Company's long-term success. The Company currently awards stock-settled stock appreciation rights,

service-based and performance-based restricted stock units, and common stock equivalents. At December 31, 2012, the Company had 6.4 million shares of Common Stock available for awards of stock-based compensation under its 2003 Long-Term Incentive Plan.

The Company accounts for stock-based compensation awards in accordance with FASB ASC Topics 505 and 718, as interpreted by SEC Staff Accounting Bulletins No. 107 (“SAB No. 107”) and No. 110 (“SAB No. 110”). Stock-based compensation expense is based on the fair value of the award on the date of grant, which is then recognized as expense over the related service period, net of estimated forfeitures. The service period is the period over which the related service is performed, which is generally the same as the vesting period. Currently the Company issues treasury shares upon the exercise, release or settlement of stock-based compensation awards.

Determining the appropriate fair value model and calculating the fair value of stock-based compensation awards requires the input of certain complex and subjective assumptions, including the expected life of the stock-based compensation awards and the Common Stock price volatility. In addition, determining the appropriate amount of associated periodic expense requires management to estimate the amount of employee forfeitures and the likelihood of the achievement of certain performance targets. The assumptions used in calculating the fair value of stock-based compensation awards and the associated periodic expense represent management’s best estimates, but these estimates involve inherent uncertainties and the application of judgment. As a result, if factors change and the Company deems it necessary in the future to modify the assumptions it made or to use different assumptions, or if the quantity and nature of the Company’s stock-based compensation awards changes, then the amount of expense may need to be adjusted and future stock-based compensation expense could be materially different from what has been recorded in the current period.

The Company recognized the following amounts of stock-based compensation expense by award type for the years ended December 31 (in millions):

| Award type: | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|---------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Stock appreciation rights | \$6.4 | \$4.4 | \$4.6 |
| Common stock equivalents | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Restricted stock units | 29.5 | 28.0 | 27.5 |
| Total (1) | \$36.4 | \$32.9 | \$32.6 |

49

(1) Includes charges of \$5.1 million in 2012 and \$3.1 million in both 2011 and 2010 for awards to retirement-eligible employees since these awards vest on an accelerated basis

Stock-based compensation expense was recognized by line item in the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31 as follows (in millions):

| Amount recorded in: | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|--------|--------|--------|
| Costs of services and product development | \$15.3 | \$14.8 | \$14.8 |
| Selling, general, and administrative | 21.1 | 18.1 | 17.8 |
| Total | \$36.4 | \$32.9 | \$32.6 |

As of December 31, 2012, the Company had \$38.5 million of total unrecognized stock-based compensation cost, which is expected to be recognized as stock-based compensation expense over the remaining weighted-average service period of approximately 2.2 years.

Stock-Based Compensation Awards

The following disclosures provide information regarding the Company's stock-based compensation awards, all of which are classified as equity awards in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 505:

Stock Appreciation Rights

Stock-settled stock appreciation rights (SARs) permit the holder to participate in the appreciation of the Common Stock. SARs are settled in shares of Common Stock by the employee once the applicable vesting criteria have been met. SARs vest ratably over a four-year service period and expire seven years from the grant date. The fair value of SARs awards is recognized as compensation expense on a straight-line basis over four years. SARs have only been awarded to the Company's executive officers.

When SARs are exercised, the number of shares of Common Stock issued is calculated as follows: (1) the total proceeds from the SARs exercise (calculated as the closing price of the Common Stock on the date of exercise less the exercise price of the SARs, multiplied by the number of SARs exercised) is divided by (2) the closing price of the Common Stock as reported on the New York Stock Exchange on the exercise date. The Company withholds a portion

of the shares of Common Stock issued upon exercise to satisfy minimum statutory tax withholding requirements. SARs recipients do not have any stockholder rights until after actual shares of Common Stock are issued in respect of the award, which is subject to the prior satisfaction of the vesting and other criteria relating to such grants.

The following table summarizes changes in SARs outstanding for the year ended December 31, 2012:

| | SARs in millions | Per Share Weighted-Average Exercise Price | Per Share Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value | Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term |
|---|------------------|---|--|---|
| Outstanding at December 31, 2011 | 2.5 | \$ 20.39 | \$ 7.66 | 4.00 years |
| Granted | 0.4 | 37.81 | 12.99 | 6.11 years |
| Forfeited | — | — | — | — |
| Exercised | (0.9) | 18.35 | 6.82 | na |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2012 (1), (2) | 2.0 | \$ 24.59 | \$ 9.04 | 4.10 years |
| Vested and exercisable at December 31, 2012 (2) | 0.8 | \$ 18.74 | \$ 7.14 | 3.12 years |

na = not applicable

(1) At December 31, 2012, 1.2 million of these SARs were unvested. The Company expects that substantially all of these unvested awards will vest in future periods.

(2) At December 31, 2012, SARs outstanding had an intrinsic value of \$42.9 million. SARs vested and exercisable had an intrinsic value of \$23.1 million.

The fair value of the SARs granted was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes-Merton valuation model with the following weighted-average assumptions for the years ended December 31:

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Expected dividend yield (1) | 0 % | 0 % | 0 % |
| Expected stock price volatility (2) | 40 % | 38 % | 40 % |
| Risk-free interest rate (3) | 0.8 % | 2.2 % | 2.4 % |
| Expected life in years (4) | 4.61 | 4.75 | 4.75 |

- (1) The dividend yield assumption is based on both the history and expectation of the Company's dividend payouts. Historically the Company has not paid cash dividends on its Common Stock.
- (2) The determination of expected stock price volatility was based on both historical Common Stock prices and the implied volatility from publicly traded options in Common Stock.
- (3) The risk-free interest rate is based on the yield of a U.S. Treasury security with a maturity similar to the expected life of the award.

- (4) The expected life represents the Company's weighted-average estimate of the period of time the SARs are expected to be outstanding (that is, the period between the service inception date and the expected exercise date). Beginning January 1, 2012, the expected life has been calculated based on the Company's historical exercise data. Previously, the Company determined the expected life based on a simplified calculation permitted by SEC SAB No. 107 and SAB No. 110 since the necessary historical exercise data was not available. The change in methodology had an insignificant impact on the calculation of the expected life.

Restricted Stock Units

Restricted stock units (RSUs) give the awardee the right to receive shares of Common Stock when the vesting conditions are met and the restrictions lapse, and each RSU that vests entitles the awardee to one common share. RSU awardees do not have any of the right of a Gartner stockholder, including voting rights and the right to receive dividends and distributions, until the shares are released.

The fair value of RSUs is determined on the date of grant based on the closing price of the Common Stock as reported by the New York Stock Exchange on that date. Service-based RSUs vest ratably over four years and are expensed on a straight-line basis over four years. Performance-based RSUs are subject to both performance and service conditions, vest ratably over four years, and are expensed on an accelerated basis.

The following table summarizes the changes in RSUs outstanding during the year ended December 31, 2012:

| Restricted Stock Units (RSUs) (in | Per Share Weighted Average Grant Date |
|---|---|
|---|---|

| | millions) | Fair Value |
|---|-----------|---------------|
| Outstanding at December 31, 2011 | 3.1 | \$ 21.53 |
| Granted (1) | 0.7 | 37.98 |
| Vested and released | (1.3) | 19.53 |
| Forfeited | — | — |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2012 (2), (3) | 2.5 | \$ 27.95 |

(1) The 0.7 million RSUs granted in 2012 consisted of 0.3 million performance-based RSUs awarded to executives and 0.4 million service-based RSUs awarded to non-executive employees and certain board members. The 0.3 million performance-based RSUs awarded to executive personnel represented the target amount of the RSU award for the year, which was tied to an increase in the Company's subscription-based Research contract value ("CV") for 2012. The final number of performance-based RSUs granted could range from 0% to 200% of the target amount, with the final amount dependent on the actual increase in CV for the year as measured on December 31, 2012. The actual CV increase achieved for 2012 was 104.3% of the targeted amount, which resulted in the grant of 0.3 million performance-based RSUs to executives.

(2) The Company expects that substantially all of the outstanding awards at December 31, 2012 will vest in future periods.

(3) The weighted-average remaining contractual term of the outstanding RSUs is approximately 0.9 years.

Common Stock Equivalents

Common stock equivalents (CSEs) are convertible into Common Stock and each CSE entitles the holder to one common share. Members of our Board of Directors receive directors' fees payable in CSEs unless they opt to receive up to 50% of the fees in cash. Generally, the CSEs have no defined term and are converted into common shares when service as the director terminates unless the director has elected an accelerated release. The fair value of the CSEs is determined on the date of grant based on the closing price of the Common Stock as reported by the New York Stock Exchange on that date. CSEs vest immediately and as a result are recorded as expense on the date of grant.

The following table summarizes the changes in CSEs outstanding for the year ended December 31, 2012:

| | Common Stock Equivalents (CSEs) | Per Share Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|----------------------------------|--|--|
| Outstanding at December 31, 2011 | 97,268 | \$ 15.93 |
| Granted | 11,373 | 45.30 |
| Converted to common shares | (8,096) | 45.27 |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2012 | 100,545 | \$ 16.89 |

Stock Options

Historically, the Company granted stock options to employees that allowed them to purchase shares of Common Stock at a certain price. The Company has not made any stock option grants since 2006. All outstanding options are fully vested and there is no remaining unamortized cost. The Company received \$8.6 million, \$16.6 million, and \$20.7 million in cash from stock option exercises in 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively.

The following table summarizes the changes in stock options outstanding during the year ended December 31, 2012:

| | Options in millions | Per Share Weighted- Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in millions) |
|---|---------------------------|--|---|---|
| Vested and outstanding at December 31, 2011 | 1.2 | \$ 10.93 | 1.47 years | \$ 27.7 |
| Expired | — | — | na | na |
| Exercised | (0.9) | 10.59 | na | 25.9 |
| Vested and outstanding at December 31, 2012 | 0.3 | \$ 11.73 | 1.28 years | \$ 11.7 |

na=not applicable

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

The Company has an employee stock purchase plan (the “ESP Plan”) under which eligible employees are permitted to purchase Common Stock through payroll deductions, which may not exceed 10% of an employee’s compensation (or \$23,750 in any calendar year), at a price equal to 95% of the closing price of the Common Stock as reported by the New York Stock Exchange at the end of each offering period. At December 31, 2012, the Company had approximately 1.3 million shares available for purchase under the ESP Plan. The ESP Plan is considered non-compensatory under FASB ASC Topic 718, and as a result the Company does not record stock-based compensation expense for employee share purchases. The Company received \$3.8 million, \$3.4 million, and \$2.8 million in cash from share purchases under the ESP Plan during 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively.

9 — COMPUTATION OF EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per share (“EPS”) is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of shares of Common Stock outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution of securities that could share in earnings. When the impact of common share equivalents is antidilutive, they are excluded from the calculation.

The following table sets forth the reconciliation of the basic and diluted earnings per share computations (in thousands, except per share amounts) for the years ended December 31:

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|------------|------------|-------------|
| Numerator: | | | |
| Net income used for calculating basic and diluted earnings per common share | \$ 165,903 | \$ 136,902 | \$ 96,285 |
| Denominator: (1) | | | |
| Weighted average number of common shares used in the calculation of basic earnings per share | 93,444 | 96,019 | 95,747 |
| Common share equivalents associated with stock-based compensation plans | 2,398 | 2,827 | 4,087 |
| Shares used in the calculation of diluted earnings per share | 95,842 | 98,846 | 99,834 |
| Earnings per share: | | | |
| Basic | \$ 1.78 | \$ 1.43 | \$ 1.01 |
| Diluted | \$ 1.73 | \$ 1.39 | \$ 0.96 |

(1) During 2012, 2011 and 2010, the Company repurchased 2.7 million, 5.9 million, and 3.9 million shares of its Common Stock, respectively.

The following table presents the number of common share equivalents that were not included in the computation of diluted EPS in the table above because the effect would have been antidilutive. During periods with net income, these common share equivalents were antidilutive because their exercise price was greater than the average market value of a share of Common Stock during the period.

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|---------|---------|---------|
| Antidilutive common share equivalents as of December 31 (in millions): | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Average market price per share of Common Stock during the year | \$43.80 | \$37.53 | \$26.35 |

10 — INCOME TAXES

Following is a summary of the components of income before income taxes for the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| U.S. | \$150,023 | \$124,915 | \$78,933 |
| Non-U.S. | 85,573 | 77,269 | 55,152 |
| Income before income taxes | \$235,596 | \$202,184 | \$134,085 |

The expense for income taxes on the above income consists of the following components (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|----------|----------|----------|
| Current tax expense: | | | |
| U.S. federal | \$25,290 | \$23,327 | \$9,078 |
| State and local | 2,508 | 4,236 | 2,645 |
| Foreign | 18,889 | 13,845 | 10,341 |
| Total current | 46,687 | 41,408 | 22,064 |
| Deferred tax (benefit) expense: | | | |
| U.S. federal | 8,494 | (5,192) | 4,263 |
| State and local | (753) | 1,269 | 72 |
| Foreign | (8,080) | (1,434) | (6,013) |
| Total deferred | (339) | (5,357) | (1,678) |
| Total current and deferred | 46,348 | 36,051 | 20,386 |
| Benefit (expense) relating to interest rate swap used to increase (decrease) equity | 51 | 3,134 | (2,523) |
| Benefit from stock transactions with employees used to increase equity | 21,304 | 25,812 | 18,559 |
| Benefit (expense) relating to defined-benefit pension adjustments used to increase (decrease) equity | 1,926 | 285 | 375 |
| Benefit (expense) of acquired tax assets (liabilities) used to decrease (increase) goodwill | 64 | — | 1,003 |
| Total tax expense | \$69,693 | \$65,282 | \$37,800 |

Current and long-term deferred tax assets and liabilities are comprised of the following (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|--|--------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Expense accruals | \$49,404 | \$40,438 |
| Loss and credit carryforwards | 22,433 | 24,282 |
| Assets relating to equity compensation | 18,878 | 18,226 |
| Other assets | 7,613 | 8,949 |
| Gross deferred tax asset | 98,328 | 91,895 |
| Depreciation | (8,995) | (9,199) |
| Intangible assets | (23,129) | (17,024) |
| Prepaid expenses | (10,500) | (10,183) |
| Gross deferred tax liability | (42,624) | (36,406) |
| Valuation allowance | (1,943) | (1,869) |
| Net deferred tax asset | \$53,761 | \$53,620 |

Current net deferred tax assets and current net deferred tax liabilities were \$32.6 million and \$1.3 million as of December 31, 2012 and \$31.4 million and \$0.6 million as of December 31, 2011, respectively, and are included in Prepaid expenses and other current assets and Accounts payable and accrued liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Long-term net deferred tax assets and long-term net deferred tax liabilities were \$22.5 million and \$0.1 million as of December 31, 2012 and \$22.8 million and zero as of December 31, 2011, respectively, and are included in Other assets and Other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. It is more likely than not that the results of future operations will generate sufficient taxable income to realize the deferred tax assets.

The valuation allowances of \$1.9 million as of December 31, 2012 and \$1.9 million as of December 31, 2011, respectively, largely relates to net operating losses.

As of December 31, 2012, the Company had state and local tax net operating loss carryforwards of \$108.8 million, of which \$3.3 million expire within one to five years, \$99.7 million expire within six to fifteen years, and \$5.8 million expire within sixteen to twenty years. In addition, the Company had non-U.S. net operating loss carryforwards of \$30.6 million, of which \$2.1 million expire over the next 20 years and \$28.5 million can be carried forward indefinitely. As of December 31, 2012 the Company also had foreign tax credit carryforwards of \$6.7 million, the majority of which expire in 2018.

The differences between the U.S. federal statutory income tax rate and the Company's effective tax rate on income before income taxes for the years ended December 31 follow:

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|-------|-------|--------|
| Statutory tax rate | 35.0% | 35.0% | 35.0 % |
| State income taxes, net of federal benefit | 1.8 | 3.8 | 3.3 |
| Foreign income taxed at different rates | (6.4) | (5.9) | (6.2) |
| Subpart F/repatriation of foreign earnings | 1.0 | (0.4) | 8.5 |
| Record (release) valuation allowance | — | (0.4) | (12.7) |
| Foreign tax credits | (1.0) | (2.3) | (0.8) |
| Record (release) reserve for tax contingencies | 0.7 | 3.1 | 2.0 |
| Other items, net | (1.5) | (0.6) | (0.9) |
| Effective tax rate | 29.6% | 32.3% | 28.2 % |

In 2012 state income taxes, net of federal tax benefit include approximately \$2.6 million of benefit relating to economic development tax credits associated with the renovation of the Company's Stamford headquarters facility.

As of December 31, 2012 and December 31 2011, the Company had gross unrecognized tax benefits of \$17.6 million and \$18.3 million, respectively. The decrease is primarily attributable to reductions for tax positions of prior years and settlements resulting from closure of tax audits, partially offset by additions in unrecognized tax benefits attributable to 2012. It is reasonably possible that the gross unrecognized tax benefits will be decreased by \$4.5 million within the

next 12 months due to anticipated closure of audits and the expiration of certain statutes of limitation. The unrecognized tax benefits relate primarily to the utilization of certain tax attributes.

The Company classifies uncertain tax positions not expected to be settled within one year as long term liabilities. As of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company had \$13.1 million and \$15.4 million, respectively, related to long term uncertain tax positions included in Other Liabilities.

The Company accrues interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in its income tax provision. As of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company had \$4.6 million and \$4.8 million of accrued interest and penalties respectively, related to unrecognized tax benefits. These amounts are in addition to the gross unrecognized tax benefits noted above. The total amount of interest and penalties recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Operations for years ending December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 was \$0.4 million and \$1.5 million, respectively.

The following is a reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits, excluding interest and penalties, for the years ending December 31 (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|----------|----------|
| Beginning balance | \$18,345 | \$15,824 |
| Additions based on tax positions related to the current year | 4,301 | 2,269 |
| Additions for tax positions of prior years | 105 | 4,375 |
| Reductions for tax positions of prior years | (3,427) | (746) |
| Reductions for expiration of statutes | (296) | (269) |
| Settlements | (1,372) | (2,661) |
| Change in foreign currency exchange rates | (104) | (447) |
| Ending balance | \$17,552 | \$18,345 |

Included in the balance of unrecognized tax benefits at December 31, 2012 are potential benefits of \$12.6 million that if recognized would reduce the effective tax rate on income from continuing operations.

The number of years with open statutes of limitation varies depending on the tax jurisdiction. Generally, the Company's statutes are open for tax years ended December 31, 2007 and forward, with the exception of India which is open for tax years 2003 and forward. Major taxing jurisdictions include the U.S. (federal and state), the United Kingdom, Canada, Japan, India, and Ireland.

During 2012, the Company closed the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") audit of its 2007 federal income tax return. The resolution of the audit did not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position, cash flows, or results of operations of the Company.

In 2011 the IRS commenced an audit of the Company's federal income tax returns for the 2008 and 2009 tax years. The IRS has proposed adjustments for both 2008 and 2009 and the Company expects to settle the audit in early 2013. Although the audit has not been fully resolved, the Company believes that the ultimate disposition will not have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial position, cash flows, or results of operations.

Earnings of non-U.S. subsidiaries are generally subject to U.S. taxation when repatriated. The Company intends to reinvest these earnings outside the U.S. except in instances where repatriating such earnings would result in minimal additional tax. The Company currently has no plan to remit earnings which will result in a material tax cost. Accordingly, the Company has not recognized additional tax expense that may result from the remittance of such earnings. The accumulated undistributed earnings of non-U.S. subsidiaries approximated \$85.0 million as of December 31, 2012. An estimate of the income tax liability that would be payable if such earnings were not indefinitely invested is \$17.0 million.

11 — DERIVATIVES AND HEDGING

The Company enters into a limited number of derivative contracts to offset the potentially negative economic effects of interest rate and foreign exchange movements. The Company accounts for its outstanding derivative contracts in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 815, which requires all derivatives, including derivatives designated as accounting hedges, to be recorded on the balance sheet at fair value.

The following tables provide information regarding the Company's outstanding derivatives contracts as of, and for, the years ended (in thousands, except for number of outstanding contracts):

December 31, 2012

| Derivative Contract Type | Number of Outstanding Contracts | Contract Notional Amount | Fair Value Asset (Liability) (3) | Balance Sheet Line Item | OCI Unrealized (Loss), Net Of Tax |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Interest rate swap (1) | 1 | \$200,000 | \$ (10,000) | Other liabilities | \$ (6,010) |
| Foreign currency forwards (2) | 68 | 76,100 | 4 | Other current assets | — |
| Total | 69 | \$276,100 | \$ (9,996) | | \$ (6,010) |

December 31, 2011

| Derivative Contract Type | Number of Outstanding Contracts | Contract Notional Amount | Fair Value Asset (Liability) (3) | Balance Sheet Line Item | OCI Unrealized (Loss), Net Of Tax |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Interest rate swap (1) | 1 | \$200,000 | \$ (9,891) | Other liabilities | \$ (5,934) |
| Interest rate swaps (4) | 2 | 30,750 | (98) | Accrued liabilities | — |
| Foreign currency forwards (2) | 60 | 99,585 | 272 | Other current assets | — |
| Total | 63 | \$330,335 | \$ (9,717) | | \$ (5,934) |

The swap is designated as a cash flow hedge of the forecasted interest payments on borrowings. As a result, (1) changes in the fair value of this swap are deferred and are recorded in OCI, net of tax effect (see Note 5 — Debt for additional information).

The Company has foreign exchange transaction risk since it typically enters into transactions in the normal course of business that are denominated in foreign currencies that differ from the local functional currency. The Company enters into short-term foreign currency forward exchange contracts to offset the economic effects of these foreign (2)currency transaction risks. These contracts are accounted for at fair value with realized and unrealized gains and losses recognized in Other income (expense), net since the Company does not designate these contracts as hedges for accounting purposes. All of the outstanding contracts at December 31, 2012 matured by the end of February 2013.

(3)See Note 12 — Fair Value Disclosures below for the determination of the fair value of these instruments.

(4)Changes in the fair value of these swaps were recognized in earnings. Both swaps matured in January 2012.

At December 31, 2012, the Company's derivative counterparties were all large investment grade financial institutions. The Company did not have any collateral arrangements with its derivative counterparties, and none of the derivative contracts contained credit-risk related contingent features.

The following table provides information regarding amounts recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Operations for derivative contracts for the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

| | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------|-------|--------|
| Amount recorded in: | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Interest expense (1) | \$3.6 | \$4.1 | \$10.7 |
| Other (income) expense, net (2) | (0.6) | 1.2 | (2.8) |
| Total expense | \$3.0 | \$5.3 | \$7.9 |

(1)Consists of interest expense from interest rate swap contracts.

(2)Consists of realized and unrealized gains and losses on foreign currency forward contracts.

12 — FAIR VALUE DISCLOSURES

The Company's financial instruments include cash equivalents, fees receivable from customers, accounts payable, and accruals which are normally short-term in nature. The Company believes the carrying amounts of these financial instruments reasonably approximates their fair value due to their short-term nature. The Company's financial instruments also includes borrowings outstanding under its 2010 Credit Agreement, and at December 31, 2012, the Company had \$200.0 million of floating rate debt outstanding under this arrangement, which is carried at amortized cost. The Company believes the carrying amount of the outstanding borrowings reasonably approximates fair value since the rate of interest on the borrowings reflect current market rates of interest for similar instruments with comparable maturities.

FASB ASC Topic 820 provides a framework for the measurement of fair value and a valuation hierarchy based upon the transparency of inputs used in the valuation of assets and liabilities. Classification within the hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the resulting fair value measurement. The valuation hierarchy contains three levels. Level 1 measurements consist of quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 2 measurements include significant other observable inputs such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; identical assets or liabilities in inactive markets; observable inputs such as interest rates and yield curves; and other market-corroborated inputs. Level 3 measurements include significant unobservable inputs, such as internally-created valuation models. The Company does not currently utilize Level 3 valuation inputs to remeasure any of its assets or liabilities. However, level 3 inputs may be used by the Company in its required annual impairment review of goodwill. Information regarding the periodic assessment of the Company's goodwill is included in Note 1 — Business and Significant Accounting Policies.

On January 1, 2012, the Company adopted ASU No. 2011-04, *Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs*, which updates FASB ASC Topic 820 with new requirements. These include: (1) a prohibition on grouping financial instruments for purposes of determining fair value, except when an entity manages market and credit risks on the basis of the entity's net exposure to the group; (2) an extension of the prohibition against the use of a blockage factor to all fair value measurements (that prohibition currently applies only to financial instruments with quoted prices in active markets); and (3) a requirement that for recurring Level 3 fair value measurements, entities disclose additional quantitative information about unobservable inputs, a description of the valuation process used and qualitative details about the sensitivity of the measurements and their potential impact on operating results. The Company has a limited number of assets and liabilities recorded in its Consolidated Balance Sheets that are remeasured to fair value on a recurring basis, and the Company does not currently utilize Level 3 valuation inputs to remeasure any of its assets or liabilities. In addition, the Company typically does not transfer assets or liabilities between different levels of the fair value hierarchy. As a result, the adoption of ASU No. 2011-04 did not result in any changes to the Company's processes for determining fair values or require additional fair value disclosures.

The Company's assets and liabilities that are remeasured to fair value are presented in the following table (in thousands):

| Description: | Fair Value December 31, 2012 | Fair Value December 31, 2011 |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Assets: | | |
| Deferred compensation plan assets (1) | \$ 27,795 | \$ 25,050 |
| Foreign currency forward contracts (2) | 4 | 272 |
| | \$ 27,799 | \$ 25,322 |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Deferred compensation plan liabilities (1) | \$ 31,260 | \$ 28,100 |
| Interest rate swap contracts (3) | 10,000 | 9,989 |
| | \$ 41,260 | \$ 38,089 |

The Company has a deferred compensation plan for the benefit of certain highly compensated officers, managers (1) and other key employees (see Note 13 — Employee Benefits). The plan's assets consist of investments in money market and mutual funds, and company-owned life insurance contracts.

The money market funds consist of cash equivalents while the mutual fund investments consist of publicly-traded and quoted equity shares. The Company considers the fair value of these assets to be based on Level 1 inputs, and these assets had a fair value of \$8.2 million and \$8.0 million as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The carrying amount of the life insurance contracts equals their cash surrender value, which approximates fair value. Cash surrender value represents the estimated amount that the Company would receive upon termination of the contract. The Company considers the life insurance contracts to be valued based on a Level 2 input, and these assets had a fair value of \$19.6 million and \$17.0 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The related deferred compensation plan liabilities are recorded at the amount needed to settle the liability, which approximates fair value, and is based on a Level 2 input.

The Company enters into foreign currency forward exchange contracts to hedge the effects of adverse fluctuations (2) in foreign currency exchange rates (see Note 11 — Derivatives and Hedging). Valuation of the foreign currency forward contracts is based on foreign currency exchange rates in active markets, which the Company considers a Level 2 input.

The Company enters into interest rate swap contracts to hedge the risk from interest rates on its borrowings (see Note 11 — Derivatives and Hedging). To determine the fair value of these financial instruments, the Company relies (3) on mark-to-market valuations prepared by a third-party broker. Valuation is based on observable interest rates from recently executed market transactions and other observable market data, which the Company considers Level 2 inputs. The Company independently corroborates the reasonableness of the valuations prepared by the third-party broker through the use of an electronic quotation service.

13 — EMPLOYEE BENEFITS

Defined contribution plan. The Company has a savings and investment plan (the “401k Plan”) covering substantially all U.S. employees. Company contributions are based upon the level of employee contributions, up to a maximum of 4% of the employee’s eligible salary, subject to an annual maximum. For 2012, the maximum match was \$6,800. In addition, the Company, in its discretion, may also contribute at least 1% of an employee’s base compensation, subject to an IRS annual limitation, which was \$2,500 for 2012. Amounts expensed in connection with the 401k Plan totaled \$14.2 million, \$15.9 million, and \$14.6 million, in 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively.

Deferred compensation plan. The Company has a supplemental deferred compensation plan for the benefit of certain highly compensated officers, managers and other key employees, which is structured as a rabbi trust. The plan’s investment assets are classified in Other assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets at fair value. The value of these assets was \$27.8 million and \$25.1 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively (see Note 12 — Fair Value Disclosures for fair value information). The corresponding deferred compensation liability of \$31.3 million and \$28.1 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, is carried at fair value, and is adjusted with a corresponding charge or credit to compensation cost to reflect the fair value of the amount owed to the employees which is classified in Other liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Total compensation expense recognized for the plan was \$0.4 million in 2012, \$0.3 million in 2011, and zero in 2010.

Defined benefit pension plans. The Company has defined-benefit pension plans in several of its non-U.S. locations. Benefits earned under these plans are based on years of service and level of employee compensation. The Company accounts for defined benefit plans in accordance with the requirements of FASB ASC Topics 715 and 960.

The following are the components of defined benefit pension expense for the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|
| Service cost | \$1,775 | \$1,890 | \$1,875 |
| Interest cost | 980 | 1,010 | 840 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (115) | (125) | — |
| Recognition of actuarial gain | (215) | (135) | (350) |
| Recognition of termination benefits | 175 | 65 | 65 |
| Total defined benefit pension expense (1) | \$2,600 | \$2,705 | \$2,430 |

(1) Pension expense is classified in SG&A in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The following are the assumptions used in the computation of pension expense for the years ended December 31:

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Weighted-average discount rate (1) | 3.20% | 4.40% | 3.95% |
| Average compensation increase | 2.70% | 2.65% | 2.80% |

(1) Discount rates are typically determined by utilizing the yields on long-term corporate or government bonds in the relevant country with a duration consistent with the expected term of the underlying pension obligations.

The following table provides information related to changes in the projected benefit obligation for the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|----------|----------|----------|
| Projected benefit obligation at beginning of year | \$21,160 | \$19,730 | \$14,358 |
| Service cost | 1,775 | 1,890 | 1,875 |
| Interest cost | 980 | 1,010 | 840 |
| Actuarial loss (gain) due to assumption changes (1) | 6,265 | (948) | 1,100 |
| Additions | 1,925 | — | 1,961 |
| Benefits paid (2) | (680) | (390) | (220) |
| Foreign currency impact | 180 | (132) | (184) |
| Projected benefit obligation at end of year (3) | \$31,605 | \$21,160 | \$19,730 |

(1) The 2012 actuarial loss was primarily due to a decline in the weighted-average discount rate.

The Company projects the following amounts will be paid in future years to plan participants: \$0.5 million in 2013; (2) \$2.0 million in 2014; \$0.8 million in 2015; \$0.9 million in 2016; \$1.2 million in 2017; and \$7.0 million in the five years thereafter.

(3) Measured as of December 31.

The following table provides information regarding the funded status of the plans and related amounts recorded in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31 (in thousands):

Funded status of the plans:

| 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|------|------|------|
|------|------|------|

Edgar Filing: Wealth Minerals Ltd. - Form 20-F

| | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Projected benefit obligation | \$31,605 | \$21,160 | \$19,730 |
| Plan assets at fair value (1) | (8,885) | (2,480) | (2,130) |
| Funded status – shortfall (2) | \$22,720 | \$18,680 | \$17,600 |

Amounts recorded in the Consolidated Balance Sheets for the plans:

| | | | |
|---|------------|----------|----------|
| Other liabilities — accrued pension obligation (2) | \$22,720 | \$18,680 | \$17,600 |
| Stockholders' equity — deferred actuarial (loss) gain (3) | \$(1,578) | \$2,488 | \$2,205 |

(1) The plan assets are held by third-party trustees and are invested in a diversified portfolio of equities, high quality government and corporate bonds, and other investments. The assets are primarily valued based on Level 1 and Level 2 inputs under the fair value hierarchy in FASB ASC Topic 820, and the Company considers the overall portfolio of these assets to be of low-to-medium investment risk. For the year-ended December 31, 2012, the Company contributed \$6.4 million to these plans, and benefits paid to participants was \$0.7 million. While the actual return on plan assets for these plans was effectively zero in 2012, the Company projects a future long-term rate of return on these plan assets of 3.6%, which it believes is reasonable based on the composition of the assets and both current and projected market conditions.

In addition to the plan assets held with third-party trustees, the Company also maintains a reinsurance asset arrangement with a large international insurance company. The reinsurance asset is an asset of the Company whose purpose is to provide funding for benefit payments for one of the plans. At December 31, 2012, the reinsurance asset was carried on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets at its cash surrender value of \$8.8 million and is classified in Other Assets. The Company believes the cash

surrender value approximates fair value and is equivalent to a Level 2 input under the FASB's fair value framework in ASC Topic 820.

The Funded status — shortfall represents the amount of the projected benefit obligation that the Company has not (2) funded with a third-party trustee. This amount is a liability of the Company and is recorded in Other Liabilities on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

(3) The deferred actuarial loss as of December 31, 2012, is recorded in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income ("AOCI") and will be reclassified out of AOCI and recognized as pension expense over approximately 14 years, subject to certain limitations set forth in FASB ASC Topic 715. The impact of this amortization on the periodic pension expense in 2013 will be immaterial. For 2012, 2011, and 2010, approximately \$0.2 million, \$0.1 million, and \$0.2 million, respectively, of deferred actuarial pension gains were reclassified from AOCI to pension expense. The Company considers the impact of the reclassifications for those years to be immaterial.

14 — SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company manages its business through three reportable segments: Research, Consulting and Events. Research consists primarily of subscription-based research products, access to research inquiry, peer networking services, and membership programs. Consulting consists primarily of consulting, measurement engagements, and strategic advisory services. Events consists of various symposia, conferences and exhibitions.

The Company evaluates reportable segment performance and allocates resources based on gross contribution margin. Gross contribution, as presented in the table below, is defined as operating income excluding certain COS and SG&A expenses, depreciation, acquisition and integration charges, and amortization of intangibles. Certain bonus and fringe benefit costs included in consolidated COS are not allocated to segment expense. The accounting policies used by the reportable segments are the same as those used by the Company. There are no intersegment revenues.

The Company earns revenue from clients in many countries. Other than the United States, there is no individual country in which revenues from external clients represent 10% or more of the Company's consolidated revenues. Additionally, no single client accounted for 10% or more of total revenue and the loss of a single client, in management's opinion, would not have a material adverse effect on revenues. The Company does not identify or allocate assets, including capital expenditures, by reportable segment. Accordingly, assets are not being reported by segment because the information is not available by segment and is not reviewed in the evaluation of performance or making decisions in the allocation of resources.

The following tables present operating information about the Company's reportable segments for the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

| Research | Consulting | Events | Consolidated |
|----------|------------|--------|--------------|
|----------|------------|--------|--------------|

Edgar Filing: Wealth Minerals Ltd. - Form 20-F

| | | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------|------------|-----------|--------------|
| 2012 | | | | |
| Revenues | \$1,137,147 | \$304,893 | \$173,768 | \$1,615,808 |
| Gross contribution | 774,342 | 109,253 | 80,119 | 963,714 |
| Corporate and other expenses | | | | (718,007) |
| Operating income | | | | \$245,707 |
| | Research | Consulting | Events | Consolidated |
| 2011 | | | | |
| Revenues | \$1,012,062 | \$308,047 | \$148,479 | \$1,468,588 |
| Gross contribution | 682,136 | 114,838 | 66,265 | 863,239 |
| Corporate and other expenses | | | | (649,177) |
| Operating income | | | | \$214,062 |
| | Research | Consulting | Events | Consolidated |
| 2010 | | | | |
| Revenues | \$865,000 | \$302,117 | \$121,337 | \$1,288,454 |
| Gross contribution | 564,527 | 121,885 | 55,884 | 742,296 |
| Corporate and other expenses | | | | (593,031) |
| Operating income | | | | \$149,265 |

The Company's revenues are generated primarily through direct sales to clients by domestic and international sales forces and a network of independent international sales agents. Most of the Company's products and services are provided on an integrated worldwide basis, and because of this integrated delivery, it is not practical to precisely separate our revenues by geographic location.

Accordingly, the separation set forth in the table below is based upon internal allocations, which involve certain management estimates and judgments. Revenues in the table are reported based on where the sale is fulfilled; “Other International” revenues are those attributable to all areas located outside of the United States and Canada, and Europe, Middle East, and Africa.

Summarized information by geographic location as of and for the years ended December 31 follows (in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Revenues: | | | |
| United States and Canada | \$947,075 | \$861,481 | \$765,793 |
| Europe, Middle East and Africa | 458,675 | 437,194 | 380,771 |
| Other International | 210,058 | 169,913 | 141,890 |
| Total revenues | \$1,615,808 | \$1,468,588 | \$1,288,454 |
| Long-lived assets: (1) | | | |
| United States and Canada (2) | \$114,557 | \$85,194 | \$69,163 |
| Europe, Middle East and Africa | 30,967 | 23,673 | 21,856 |
| Other International | 16,956 | 10,754 | 6,175 |
| Total long-lived assets | \$162,480 | \$119,621 | \$97,194 |

(1)Excludes goodwill and other intangible assets.

The 2012 balance for the United States and Canada includes approximately \$17.0 million of additional costs (2)capitalized in 2012 in connection with the renovation of the Company’s Stamford headquarters facility (see Note 1 — Business and Significant Accounting Policies for additional description).

15 — VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

The Company maintains an allowance for losses which is composed of a bad debt allowance and a revenue reserve. Provisions are charged against earnings either as an increase to expense or a reduction in revenues. The following table summarizes activity in the Company’s allowance for the years ended December 31(in thousands):

| | Balance at Beginning of Year | Additions Charged to Expense | Additions Charged Against Revenues | Deductions from Reserve | Balance at End of Year |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 2012: | | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts and returns and allowances | \$ 7,260 | \$ 1,930 | \$ 1,860 | \$ (4,650) | \$ 6,400 |

Edgar Filing: Wealth Minerals Ltd. - Form 20-F

2011:

| | | | | | |
|--|----------|--------|----------|-------------|----------|
| Allowance for doubtful accounts and returns and allowances | \$ 7,200 | \$ 930 | \$ 4,390 | \$ (5,260) | \$ 7,260 |
|--|----------|--------|----------|-------------|----------|

2010:

| | | | | | |
|--|----------|--------|----------|-------------|----------|
| Allowance for doubtful accounts and returns and allowances | \$ 8,100 | \$ 800 | \$ 2,000 | \$ (3,700) | \$ 7,200 |
|--|----------|--------|----------|-------------|----------|

60

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has caused this Report on Form 10-K to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, duly authorized, in Stamford, Connecticut, on February 22, 2013.

Gartner, Inc.

Date: February 22, 2013 By: /s/ Eugene A. Hall
 Eugene A. Hall
 Chief Executive Officer

POWER OF ATTORNEY

Each person whose signature appears below appoints Eugene A. Hall and Christopher J. Lafond and each of them, acting individually, as his or her attorney-in-fact, each with full power of substitution, for him or her in all capacities, to sign all amendments to this Report on Form 10-K, and to file the same, with appropriate exhibits and other related documents, with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Each of the undersigned ratifies and confirms his or her signatures as they may be signed by his or her attorney-in-fact to any amendments to this Report. Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this Report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

| Name | Title | Date |
|--|--|-------------------|
| /s/ Eugene A. Hall Eugene A. Hall | Director and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer) | February 22, 2013 |
| /s/ Christopher J. Lafond Christopher J. Lafond | Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer) | February 22, 2013 |
| /s/ Michael J. Bingle Michael J. Bingle | Director | February 22, 2013 |
| /s/ Richard J. Bressler Richard J. Bressler | Director | February 22, 2013 |
| /s/ Raul E. Cesan Raul E. Cesan | Director | February 22, 2013 |
| /s/ Karen E. Dykstra Karen E. Dykstra | Director | February 22, 2013 |

