Diamondback Energy, Inc. Form 10-K March 01, 2013 <u>Table of Contents</u>

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ý ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 OR

" TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 Commission File Number 001-35700

Diamondback Energy, Inc. (Exact Name of Registrant As Specified in Its Charter)

| Delaware | | 45-4502447 | |
|--|--|----------------|-----------------------|
| (State or Other Jurisdiction of | | (IRS Emplo | yer |
| Incorporation or Organization) | | Identificatio | n Number) |
| | | | |
| 500 West Texas, Suite 1225 | | 79701 | |
| Midland, Texas | /9/01 | | |
| (Address of Principal Executive Offices) | | (Zip Code) | |
| (Registrant Telephone Number, Including Area Code): (432) 221-7400 | | | |
| | Securities registered pursuant to Sec | ction 12(b) of | |
| | the Act: | | |
| Title of Each | | | Name of Each Exchange |
| Class | | | on Which Registered |
| Common Stock, | | | |
| par value \$0.01 | | | The NASDAQ Stock |
| per share | | | Market LLC |
| 1 | Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of | | |
| | the Act: None | | |

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes "No ý

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes "No ý

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes \circ No "Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes \circ No "

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or

Edgar Filing: Diamondback Energy, Inc. - Form 10-K

information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. ý

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Large Accelerated Filer

Non-Accelerated Filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes " No ý

As of June 30, 2012, the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter, there was no public market for the registrant's common stock. The registrant's common stock began trading on the NASDAQ Global Select Market on October 12, 2012.

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant computed as of December 31, 2012 based on the closing price of the common stock on the NASDAQ Global Select Market on December 31, 2012 (\$19.12 per share) was \$393,334,919.

As of February 22, 2013, 36,986,532 shares of the registrant's common stock were outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

х

Portions of Diamondback Energy, Inc.'s Proxy Statement for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated by reference in Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14 of Part III of this Form 10-K.

Accelerated Filer

Smaller Reporting Company

DIAMONDBACK ENERGY, INC. TABLE OF CONTENTS

| TABLE OF CON | ITEN IS | Page |
|---|---|------------|
| PART I | | I ugo |
| ITEMS 1 and 2. | BUSINESS AND PROPERTIES | <u>1</u> |
| ITEM 1A. | RISK FACTORS | 22 |
| ITEM 1B. | UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS | <u>44</u> |
| ITEM 3. | LEGAL PROCEEDINGS | <u>45</u> |
| ITEM 4. | MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES | <u>45</u> |
| <u>PART II</u> | | |
| ITEM 5. | MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER | <u>45</u> |
| | MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES | |
| ITEM 6. | SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA | <u>46</u> |
| ITEM 7. | MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL | <u>49</u> |
| | CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS | 12 |
| ITEM 7A. | QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT | 65 |
| | MARKET RISK | _ |
| ITEM 8. | FINANCIAL SATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA | <u>66</u> |
| ITEM 9. | CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON | <u>66</u> |
| | ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE | |
| ITEM 9A. | CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES | <u>67</u> |
| ITEM 9B. | OTHER INFORMATION | <u>67</u> |
| <u>PART III</u> | | |
| ITEM 10. | DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE | <u>67</u> |
| | GOVERNANCE | |
| ITEM 11. | EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION | <u>67</u> |
| ITEM 12. | SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND | <u>68</u> |
| | MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS | |
| ITEM 13. | CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND | <u>68</u> |
| ITEM 14 | <u>DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE</u> <u>PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES</u> | <u>68</u> |
| PART IV | PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES | 08 |
| ITEM 15. | EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES | <u>68</u> |
| 11EW113. | EARIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCREDULES | 08 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | | <u>S-1</u> |
| <u>orginatures</u> | | <u>5-1</u> |
| Index to Combined Consolidated Financial Statements | | <u>68</u> |
| mach to comolin | | 00 |
| Exhibit Index | | <u>E-1</u> |
| | | <u> </u> |
| | | |

GLOSSARY OF OIL AND NATURAL GAS TERMS

The following is a description of the meanings of some of the oil and natural gas industry terms used throughout this report:

3-D seismic. Geophysical data that depict the subsurface strata in three dimensions. 3-D seismic typically provides a more detailed and accurate interpretation of the subsurface strata than 2-D, or two-dimensional, seismic.

Basin-centered gas. A regional abnormally-pressured, gas-saturated accumulation in low-permeability reservoirs. Bbl. Stock tank barrel, or 42 U.S. gallons liquid volume, used in this report in reference to crude oil or other liquid hydrocarbons.

Bbls/d. Bbls per day.

BOE. Barrels of oil equivalent, with six thousand cubic feet of natural gas being equivalent to one barrel of oil. BOE/d. BOE per day.

Btu or British thermal unit. The quantity of heat required to raise the temperature of one pound of water by one degree Fahrenheit.

Coalbed methane (CBM). Natural gas formed as a byproduct of the coal formation process, which is trapped in coal seams and produced by non-traditional means.

Completion. The process of treating a drilled well followed by the installation of permanent equipment for the production of natural gas or oil, or in the case of a dry hole, the reporting of abandonment to the appropriate agency. Condensate. Liquid hydrocarbons associated with the production of a primarily natural gas reserve.

Developed acreage. The number of acres that are allocated or assignable to productive wells or wells capable of production.

Development well. A well drilled within the proved area of a natural gas or oil reservoir to the depth of a stratigraphic horizon known to be productive.

Deviated well. A well purposely deviated from the vertical using controlled angles to reach an objective location other than directly below the surface location.

Dry hole. A well found to be incapable of producing hydrocarbons in sufficient quantities such that proceeds from the sale of such production exceed production expenses and taxes.

Exploratory well. A well drilled to find and produce natural gas or oil reserves not classified as proved, to find a new reservoir in a field previously found to be productive of natural gas or oil in another reservoir or to extend a known reservoir.

Field. An area consisting of either a single reservoir or multiple reservoirs, all grouped on or related to the same individual geological structural feature and/or stratigraphic condition.

Finding and Development Costs. Capital costs incurred in the acquisition, exploitation and exploration of proved oil and natural gas reserves divided by proved reserve additions and revisions to proved reserves.

Fracturing. The process of creating and preserving a fracture or system of fractures in a reservoir rock typically by injecting a fluid under pressure through a wellbore and into the targeted formation.

Gross acres or gross wells. The total acres or wells, as the case may be, in which a working interest is owned.

Horizontal drilling. A drilling technique used in certain formations where a well is drilled vertically to a certain depth and then drilled at a right angle with a specified interval.

MBbls. Thousand barrels of crude oil or other liquid hydrocarbons.

MBOE. One thousand barrels of crude oil equivalent, determined using a ratio of six Mcf of natural gas to one Bbl of crude oil, condensate or natural gas liquids.

Mcf. Thousand cubic feet of natural gas.

Mcf/d. Mcf per day.

MMBtu. Million British Thermal Units.

MMcf. Million cubic feet of natural gas.

Net acres or net wells. The sum of the fractional working interest owned in gross acres or gross wells, as the case may be.

Net revenue interest. An owner's interest in the revenues of a well after deducting proceeds allocated to royalty and overriding interests.

PDP. Proved developed producing.

Play. A set of discovered or prospective oil and/or natural gas accumulations sharing similar geologic, geographic and temporal properties, such as source rock, reservoir structure, timing, trapping mechanism and hydrocarbon type. Plugging and abandonment. Refers to the sealing off of fluids in the strata penetrated by a well so that the fluids from one stratum will not escape into another or to the surface. Regulations of all states require plugging of abandoned wells.

PUD. Proved undeveloped.

Productive well. A well that is found to be capable of producing hydrocarbons in sufficient quantities such that proceeds from the sale of the production exceed production expenses and taxes.

Prospect. A specific geographic area which, based on supporting geological, geophysical or other data and also preliminary economic analysis using reasonably anticipated prices and costs, is deemed to have potential for the discovery of commercial hydrocarbons.

Proved developed reserves. Reserves that can be expected to be recovered through existing wells with existing equipment and operating methods.

Proved reserves. The estimated quantities of oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids which geological and engineering data demonstrate with reasonable certainty to be commercially recoverable in future years from known reservoirs under existing economic and operating conditions.

Proved undeveloped reserves. Proved reserves that are expected to be recovered from new wells on undrilled acreage or from existing wells where a relatively major expenditure is required for recompletion.

Recompletion. The process of re-entering an existing wellbore that is either producing or not producing and completing new reservoirs in an attempt to establish or increase existing production.

Reservoir. A porous and permeable underground formation containing a natural accumulation of producible natural gas and/or oil that is confined by impermeable rock or water barriers and is separate from other reservoirs.

Stratigraphic play. An oil or natural gas formation contained within an area created by permeability and porosity changes characteristic of the alternating rock layer that result from the sedimentation process.

Structural play. An oil or natural gas formation contained within an area created by earth movements that deform or rupture (such as folding or faulting) rock strata.

Tight formation. A formation with low permeability that produces natural gas with very low flow rates for long periods of time.

Undeveloped acreage. Lease acreage on which wells have not been drilled or completed to a point that would permit the production of commercial quantities of oil and natural gas regardless of whether such acreage contains proved reserves.

Working interest. The operating interest that gives the owner the right to drill, produce and conduct operating activities on the property and receive a share of production and requires the owner to pay a share of the costs of drilling and production operations.

Workover. The repair or stimulation of an existing production well for the purpose of restoring, prolonging or enhancing the production of hydrocarbons.

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Various statements contained in this report that express a belief, expectation, or intention, or that are not statements of historical fact, are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or the Exchange Act. These forward-looking statements are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control. All statements, other than statements of historical fact, regarding our strategy, future operations, financial position, estimated revenues and losses, projected costs, prospects, plans and objectives of management are forward-looking statements. When used in this report, the words "could," "believe," "anticipate," "intend," "estimate," "expect," "may," "contin "predict," "potential," "project," and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements, although not all forward-looking statements contain such identifying words. In particular, the factors discussed in this annual report on Form 10-K, could affect our actual results and cause our actual results to differ materially from expectations, estimates, or assumptions expressed in, forecasted in, or implied in such forward-looking statements.

Forward-looking statements may include statements about our:

All forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this report. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are subject to a number of risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Moreover, we operate in a very competitive and rapidly changing environment. New risks emerge from time to time. It is not possible for our management to predict all risks, nor can we assess the impact of all factors on our business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements we may make. Although we believe that our plans, intentions and expectations reflected in or suggested by the forward-looking statements we make in this report are reasonable, we can give no assurance that these plans, intentions or expectations will be achieved or occur, and actual results could differ materially and adversely from those anticipated or implied in the forward-looking statements.

PART I

Diamondback Energy, Inc., or Diamondback, was incorporated in Delaware on December 30, 2011, and did not conduct any material business operations until October 11, 2012 when Diamondback merged with its parent entity, Diamondback Energy LLC, with Diamondback continuing as the surviving entity. Prior to the merger, Diamondback Energy LLC was a holding company and did not conduct any material business operations other than its ownership of Diamondback's common stock and the membership interests in Windsor Permian LLC, or Windsor Permian. As a result of the merger, Windsor Permian became a wholly-owned subsidiary of Diamondback. Also on October 11, 2012, Wexford Capital LP, or Wexford, our equity sponsor, caused all of the outstanding equity interests in Windsor UT LLC, or Windsor UT, to be contributed to Windsor Permian prior to the merger in a transaction we refer to as the "Windsor UT Contribution." In this Annual Report on Form 10-K, the combined consolidated historical financial information, operational data and reserve information for Diamondback present the assets and liabilities of Diamondback and its subsidiaries, including Windsor UT, as if they were combined for all periods presented. Although the financial and other information is reported on a combined consolidated basis, such presentation is not necessarily indicative of the results that would have been obtained if Diamondback had owned and operated Windsor UT from its inception. In this Annual Report on Form 10-K, we refer to Diamondback, together with its consolidated subsidiaries, as "we," "us," "our," or "the Company". This report includes certain terms commonly used in the oil and gas industry, which are defined above in the "Glossary of Oil and Natural Gas Terms." **ITEM 1. BUSINESS**

Overview

We are an independent oil and natural gas company currently focused on the acquisition, development, exploration and exploitation of unconventional, onshore oil and natural gas reserves in the Permian Basin in West Texas. This basin, which is one of the major producing basins in the United States, is characterized by an extensive production history, a favorable operating environment, mature infrastructure, long reserve life, multiple producing horizons, enhanced recovery potential and a large number of operators.

We began operations in December 2007 with our acquisition of 4,174 net acres with production at the time of acquisition of approximately 800 BOE/d from 34 gross (16.8 net) wells in the Permian Basin. Subsequently, we acquired approximately 47,429 additional net acres, which brought our total net acreage position in the Permian Basin to 51,603 net acres at December 31, 2012. We are the operator of approximately 99% of this acreage. As of December 31, 2012, we had drilled 193 gross (176 net) wells, and participated in an additional 18 gross (eight net) non-operated wells, in the Permian Basin. Of these 211 gross wells, 191 were completed as producing wells and 20 were in various stages of completion. In the aggregate, as of December 31, 2012, we held interests in 225 gross (201 net) producing wells in the Permian Basin.

Our activities are primarily focused on the Clearfork, Spraberry, Wolfcamp, Cline, Strawn and Atoka formations, which we refer to collectively as the Wolfberry play. The Wolfberry play is characterized by high oil and liquids rich natural gas, multiple vertical and horizontal target horizons, extensive production history, long-lived reserves and high drilling success rates. The Wolfberry play is a modification and extension of the Spraberry play, the majority of which is designated in the Spraberry Trend area field. According to the U.S. Energy Information Administration, the Spraberry trend area ranks as the second largest oilfield in the United States, based on 2009 reserves.

As of December 31, 2012, our estimated proved oil and natural gas reserves were 40,210 MBOE based on a reserve report prepared by Ryder Scott Company L.P., or Ryder Scott, our independent reserve engineers. Of these reserves, approximately 29.5% are classified as proved developed producing, or PDP. Proved undeveloped, or PUD, reserves included in this estimate are from 306 vertical gross well locations on 40-acre spacing and four gross horizontal well locations. As of December 31, 2012, these proved reserves were approximately 65% oil, 21% natural gas liquids and 14% natural gas.

We have 881 identified potential vertical drilling locations on 40-acre spacing based on our evaluation of applicable geologic and engineering data as of December 31, 2012, and we have an additional 1,118 identified potential vertical drilling locations based on 20-acre downspacing. We have also identified 731 potential horizontal drilling locations in multiple horizons on our acreage. We intend to grow our reserves and production through development drilling,

exploitation and exploration activities on this multi-year project inventory of identified potential drilling locations and through acquisitions that meet our strategic and financial objectives, targeting oil-weighted reserves. Our estimated ultimate recoveries, or EURs, from future PUD wells on 40-acre spacing, as

1

estimated by Ryder Scott, range from 102 MBOE per well, consisting of 46 MBbls of oil, 151 MMcf of natural gas and 31 MBbls of natural gas liquids, to 158 MBOE per well, consisting of 112 MBbls of oil, 114 MMcf of natural gas and 27 MBbls of natural gas liquids, with an average EUR per well of 133 MBOE, consisting of 91 MBbls of oil, 101 MMcf of natural gas and 25 MBbls of natural gas liquids. We also intend to continue to refine our drilling pattern and completion techniques in an effort to increase our average EUR per well from vertical wells drilled on 40-acre spacing. We currently anticipate a reduction of approximately 20% in our EURs from vertical wells drilled on 20-acre spacing.

In 2012, we began testing the horizontal well potential of our acreage. Our first horizontal well was the Janey 16H in Upton County with a 3.842 foot lateral in the Wolfcamp B interval. We are the operator of this well with a 100% working interest. It was completed in June 2012 and had a 24-hour initial production rate of 618 BOE/d and a 30-day average initial production rate of 486 BOE/d, of which 86% was oil. Through the end of 2012, the Janey 16H had produced a total of 48 MBbls of oil and 62 MMcf of natural gas. Our second horizontal well was the Kemmer 4209H in Midland County. It is a non-operated well in which we own a 47% working interest. It was completed in September 2012 in the Wolfcamp B with a 3,733 foot lateral. The production as reported to us by the operator was a peak 24 hour rate of 892 BOE/d and peak 30 day rate of 712 BOE/d, of which 85% was oil. Through the end of 2012, the Kemmer 4209H had produced a total of 41 MBbls of oil and 45 MMcf of natural gas. Based on the decline curve analysis of the current production, we anticipate that the EUR for each of these wells will be in the range of 400 to 500 MBOE. In addition to the Janey and Kemmer wells, as of February 28, 2013 we had three additional horizontal wells in Midland County and four horizontal wells in Upton County in various stages of development. In Midland County, we have drilled the ST25-1H well (83% working interest) with a lateral length of 4,617 feet and are currently drilling the ST25-2H well (83% working interest) with a targeted lateral length of 4,800 feet. We have scheduled a 19 stage hydraulic fracturing operation for each of these wells, with the frac on the ST25-1H well expected to begin in early March 2013 and the frac on the ST25-2H well scheduled immediately after that in mid-March. Also in Midland County, we are participating in the Sarah Ann 3812H, which is a non-operated well in which we have a 42% working interest. It was drilled to a lateral length of 4,461 feet targeting the Wolfcamp B interval and recently completed an 18 stage frac.

In Upton County, we have drilled three additional wells, the Neal 8-1H (100% working interest) with a lateral length of 7,652 feet, the Neal 8-2H (100% working interest) with a lateral length of 6,658 feet and the Janey 3H (100% working interest) with a lateral length of 4,629 feet. We completed a 32 stage frac on the Neal 8-1H well in late January 2013. As of February 26, 2013, flowback operations were underway and for the last seven days the well averaged 806 BOE/d with a peak rate of 871 BOE/d with an 85% oil component. A 28 stage frac is scheduled to begin on the Neal 8-2H well by mid-March 2013. The Janey 3H is currently undergoing a 19 stage frac and is expected to begin flowback in early March. In addition, we are currently drilling the Kendra 1H well in Upton County with a planned 7,550 foot lateral.

The production results from the wells in Midland and Upton Counties, along with geoscience and engineering data that we have gathered and analyzed, give us confidence that our acreage in Midland and Upton Counties is prospective in the Wolfcamp B interval. Based on the data we have, we also believe that a large percentage of our other Midland Basin acreage is prospective for horizontal drilling. This includes not only the Wolfcamp B interval but other intervals ranging from the Clearfork to the Atoka. However, further testing of these areas and other intervals is necessary to determine their economic potential.

Our Business Strategy

Our business strategy is to increase stockholder value through the following:

Grow production and reserves by developing our oil-rich resource base. We intend to actively drill and develop our acreage base in an effort to maximize its value and resource potential. Through the conversion of our undeveloped reserves to developed reserves, we will seek to increase our production, reserves and cash flow while generating favorable returns on invested capital. As of December 31, 2012, we had 881 identified potential vertical drilling locations on our acreage in the Permian Basin based on 40-acre spacing and an additional 1,118 such locations based on 20-acre downspacing. We were using two vertical drilling rigs as of February 28, 2013.

•

Focus on increasing hydrocarbon recovery through horizontal drilling and increased well density. We believe there are opportunities to target various intervals in the Wolfberry play with horizontal wells. Our initial horizontal focus has been on the Wolfcamp B interval in Midland and Upton Counties. Our first two horizontal wells were completed in 2012 and had lateral lengths of less than 4,000 feet. Our next two horizontal wells were drilled in Upton County with lateral lengths of 7,652 and 6,685 feet, respectively. In the future, we expect that our optimal average lateral lengths will be in the range of 7,500 to 8,000 feet, although the actual length will vary depending on the layout of our acreage and other factors. We expect that longer lateral lengths will result in higher per well recoveries and lower development costs per BOE. Our future horizontal drilling program is designed to further capture the upside potential that may exist on our properties. We also believe our horizontal drilling program may significantly increase our recoveries per section as compared to drilling vertical wells alone. Horizontal drilling may also be economical in areas where vertical drilling is currently not economical or logistically viable. In addition, we believe increased well density opportunities may exist across our acreage base. We closely monitor industry trends with respect to higher well density, which could increase the recovery factor per section and enhance returns since infrastructure is typically in place. We were using two horizontal drilling rigs as of February 28, 2013.

Leverage our experience operating in the Permian Basin. Our executive team, which has an average of approximately 24 years of industry experience per person and significant experience in the Permian Basin, intends to continue to seek ways to maximize hydrocarbon recovery by refining and enhancing our drilling and completion techniques. The time to reach total depth, or TD, for our vertical Wolfberry wells decreased from an average of 20 days during the second quarter of 2011 to an average of 14 days during the period from April 2012 through August 2012 to an average of 11 days during the fourth quarter of 2012. Our focus on efficient drilling and completion techniques, and the reduction in time to reach TD, is an important part of the continuous drilling program we have planned for our significant inventory of identified potential drilling locations. In addition, we believe that the experience of our executive team in deviated and horizontal drilling and completion techniques are continually evolving as we evaluate hydraulic fracturing practices that may potentially increase recovery and reduce completion costs. Our executive team regularly evaluates our operating results against those of other operators in the area in an effort to benchmark our performance against the best performing operators and evaluate and adopt best practices.

Enhance returns through our low cost development strategy of resource conversion, capital allocation and continued improvements in operational and cost efficiencies. In the current commodity price environment, our oil and liquids rich asset base provides attractive returns. Our acreage position in the Wolfberry play is generally in contiguous blocks which allows us to develop this acreage efficiently with a "manufacturing" strategy that takes advantage of economies of scale and uses centralized production and fluid handling facilities. We are the operator of approximately 99% of our acreage. This operational control allows us to more efficiently manage the pace of development activities and the gathering and marketing of our production and control operating costs and technical applications, including horizontal development. Our average 87% working interest in our acreage allows us to realize the majority of the benefits of these expected improvements and cost efficiencies.

Pursue strategic acquisitions with exceptional resource potential. We have a proven history of acquiring leasehold positions in the Permian Basin that have substantial oil-weighted resource potential and can achieve attractive returns on invested capital. Our executive team, with its extensive experience in the Permian Basin, has what we believe is a competitive advantage in identifying acquisition targets and a proven ability to evaluate resource potential. We intend to continue to pursue acquisitions that meet our strategic and financial targets.

Maintain financial flexibility. We seek to maintain a conservative financial position. Upon completion of our initial public offering in October 2012, we used a portion of the net proceeds from the offering to repay the entire balance outstanding under our revolving credit facility. On December 28, 2012, the borrowing base under our revolving credit facility was redetermined, resulting in an increase in our availability to \$135.0 million, of which the entire balance was fully available as of December 31, 2012.

Our Strengths

٠

We believe that the following strengths will help us achieve our business goals:

Oil rich resource base in one of North America's leading resource plays. All of our leasehold acreage is located in one of the most prolific oil plays in North America, the Permian Basin in West Texas. The majority of our current properties are well positioned in the core of the Wolfberry play. We believe that our historical vertical development success will be complemented with horizontal drilling locations that could ultimately translate into an increased recovery factor on a per section basis. Our production was approximately 70% oil, 17% natural gas liquids and 13% natural gas for the year ended December 31, 2012. As of December 31, 2012, our estimated net proved reserves were comprised of approximately 65% oil and 21% natural gas liquids. This oil and liquids exposure allows us to benefit from their currently more favorable prices as compared to natural gas.

Multi-year drilling inventory in one of North America's leading oil resource plays. We have identified a multi-year inventory of potential drilling locations for our oil-weighted reserves that we believe provides attractive growth and return opportunities. As of December 31, 2012, we had 881 identified potential vertical drilling locations based on 40-acre spacing and an additional 1,118 identified potential vertical drilling locations based on 20-acre downspacing. We also believe that there are a significant number of horizontal locations that could be drilled on our acreage. Based on our initial results and those of other operators in the area to date, combined with our interpretation of various geologic and engineering data, we have identified 731 potential horizontal locations, 355 are in the Wolfcamp A horizon or the Wolfcamp B horizon, with the remaining locations in either the Clearfork, Wolfcamp C or Cline horizons. We have not assigned any horizontal location count is based on 1,320 foot spacing between wells. The ultimate inter-well spacing may be closer than 1,320 feet, which would result in a higher location count. In addition, we have approximately 182 square miles of proprietary 3-D seismic data covering our acreage. This data facilitates the evaluation of our existing drilling inventory and provides insight into future development activity, including horizontal drilling opportunities and strategic leasehold acquisitions.

Experienced, incentivized and proven management team. Our executive team has an average of approximately 24 years of industry experience per person, most of which is focused on resource play development. This team has a proven track record of executing on multi-rig development drilling programs and extensive experience in the Permian Basin. In addition, our executive team has significant experience with both drilling and completing horizontal wells as well as horizontal well reservoir and geologic expertise, which will be of strategic importance as we expand our horizontal drilling activity. Prior to joining us, our Chief Executive Officer held management positions at Apache Corporation, Laredo Petroleum Holdings, Inc. and Burlington Resources.

Favorable and stable operating environment. We have focused our drilling and development operations in the Permian Basin, one of the oldest hydrocarbon basins in the United States, with a long and well-established production history and developed infrastructure. With approximately 380,000 wells drilled in the Permian Basin since the 1940s, we believe that the geological and regulatory environment is more stable and predictable, and that we are faced with less operational risks, in the Permian Basin as compared to emerging hydrocarbon basins.

High degree of operational control. We are the operator of approximately 99% of our Permian Basin acreage. This operating control allows us to better execute on our strategies of enhancing returns through operational and cost efficiencies and increasing ultimate hydrocarbon recovery by seeking to continually improve our drilling techniques, completion methodologies and reservoir evaluation processes. Additionally, as the operator of substantially all of our acreage, we retain the ability to adjust our capital expenditure program based on commodity price outlooks. This operating control also enables us to obtain data needed for efficient exploration of horizontal prospects. Financial flexibility to fund expansion. We have a conservative balance sheet. We will seek to maintain financial flexibility to allow us to actively develop our drilling, exploitation and exploration activities in the Wolfberry play and

flexibility to allow us to actively develop our drilling, exploitation and exploration activities in the Wolfberry play and maximize the present value of our oil-weighted resource potential. As of December 31, 2012, we had no outstanding borrowings under our revolving credit facility and \$135.0 million of available borrowing capacity. We expect that our

borrowing base will be increased as we increase our reserves.

Our History

Diamondback Energy, Inc., or Diamondback, was incorporated in Delaware on December 30, 2011, and did not conduct any material business operations until October 11, 2012 when Diamondback merged with its parent entity, Diamondback Energy LLC, with Diamondback continuing as the surviving entity. Prior to the merger, Diamondback Energy LLC was a holding company and did not conduct any material business operations other than its ownership of Diamondback's common stock and the membership interests in Windsor Permian LLC, or Windsor Permian. As a result of the merger, Windsor Permian became a wholly-owned subsidiary of Diamondback. Also on October 11, 2012, Wexford Capital LP, or Wexford, our equity sponsor, caused all of the outstanding equity interests in Windsor UT LLC, or Windsor UT, to be contributed to Windsor Permian prior to the merger in a transaction we refer to as the "Windsor UT Contribution". The Windsor UT Contribution was treated as a combination of entities under common control with assets and liabilities transferred at their carrying amounts in a manner similar to a pooling of interests. The operations of Windsor Permian and Windsor UT, as limited liability companies, were not subject to federal income taxes. On the date of the merger, a corresponding "first day" tax expense to net income from continuing operations was recorded to establish a net deferred tax liability for differences between the tax and book basis of Diamondback's assets and liabilities. This charge was