

SPARK NETWORKS INC
Form 10-K
March 22, 2017

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF
1934
FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM TO

COMMISSION FILE NO. 001-32750

SPARK NETWORKS, INC.

(EXACT NAME OF REGISTRANT AS SPECIFIED IN ITS CHARTER)

| | |
|---|---|
| DELAWARE | 20-8901733 |
| (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) | (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.) |

11150 Santa Monica Boulevard, Suite 600,

| | |
|--|------------|
| Los Angeles, California | 90025 |
| (Address of principal executive offices) | (Zip Code) |

REGISTRANT'S TELEPHONE NUMBER, INCLUDING AREA CODE: (310) 893-0550

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OF THE ACT:

Edgar Filing: SPARK NETWORKS INC - Form 10-K

| Title of Each Class | Name of Each Exchange on which Registered |
|---|---|
| Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per share | NYSE MKT |
| Preferred Share Purchase Rights | NYSE MKT |

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(g) OF THE ACT:

None.

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "accelerated filer," "large accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity (which consists solely of shares of common stock) held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2016 was approximately \$40,992,324 based on \$1.58, the closing price of the registrant's common stock on the NYSE MKT on June 30, 2016.

The registrant had 32,001,046 shares of outstanding common stock, par value \$0.001 per share, as of March 16, 2017.

Information required by Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14 of Part III are incorporated by reference from the Proxy Statement for the registrant's 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Except with respect to information specifically incorporated by reference in the Form 10-K, the Proxy Statement is not deemed to be filed as part hereof.

SPARK NETWORKS, INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS TO ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K

For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2016

| ITEM | Page |
|---|------|
| <u>PART I</u> | |
| Item 1. <u>Business</u> | 5 |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | 10 |
| Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 28 |
| Item 2. <u>Properties</u> | 28 |
| Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 28 |
| Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | 31 |
| <u>PART II</u> | |
| Item 5. <u>Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Shareholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 32 |
| Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | 33 |
| Item 7. <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 34 |
| Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | 50 |
| Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 50 |
| Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 50 |
| Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 50 |
| Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u> | 52 |
| <u>PART III</u> | |
| Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | 52 |
| Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u> | 52 |
| Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Shareholder Matters</u> | 52 |
| Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | 52 |
| Item 14. <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u> | 52 |
| <u>PART IV</u> | |
| Item 15. <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | 53 |
| Item 16. <u>Form 10-K Summary</u> | 53 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 57 |

Spark Networks and Spark Networks logos are trademarks and/or registered trademarks of Spark Networks USA, LLC, one of the Company’s indirect wholly owned subsidiaries.

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This annual report on Form 10-K, including the sections entitled “Risk Factors,” “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and “Business,” contains forward-looking statements that involve substantial risks and uncertainties. All statements other than statements of historical facts contained in this annual report on Form 10-K, including statements regarding our future financial position, business strategy and plans and objectives of management for future operations, are forward-looking statements. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as “believes,” “expects,” “anticipates,” “intends,” “estimates,” “may,” “will,” “continue,” “should,” “plan,” “predict,” “potential” or the negative of these terms or other similar expressions. We have based these forward-looking statements on our current expectations and projections about future events and financial trends that we believe may affect our financial condition, results of operations, business strategy and financial needs. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements, which are subject to a number of risks, uncertainties and assumptions described in the “Risk Factors” section and elsewhere in this annual report on Form 10-K, regarding, among other matters:

- our ability to attract members to our websites, convert members into paying subscribers and retain our paying subscribers;
- the highly competitive nature of our business;
- our ability to keep pace with rapid technological change and enhance existing or introduce new services;
- the strength of our existing brands and our ability to maintain and enhance those brands;
- our ability to effectively manage our operations and attract and retain qualified personnel;
- the hiring of a new management team, including the CEO and CTO;
- our management services agreement with PEAK6 Investments, L.P.
- the geo-political instability in Israel;
- our dependence upon the telecommunications infrastructure and our networking hardware and software infrastructure;
- effectively protecting our internet and domain names and participating rights;
- the effect of new interpretation of existing laws and regulations on our operations; and
- other factors referenced in this annual report on Form 10-K and other reports.

You should not rely upon forward-looking statements as predictions of future events. We cannot assure you that the events and circumstances reflected in the forward-looking statements will be achieved or occur. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements. Moreover, neither we nor any other person assume responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of the forward-looking statements. Except as required by law, we undertake no obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statements for any reason after the date of this annual report on Form 10-K to conform these statements to actual results or to changes in our expectations.

You should read this annual report on Form 10-K, and the documents that we reference in this annual report on Form 10-K and have filed as exhibits with the Securities and Exchange Commission, completely and with the understanding that our actual future results, levels of activity, performance and achievements may materially differ from what we expect. We qualify all of our forward-looking statements by these cautionary statements.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

We are required to file annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information with the SEC. You can read our SEC filings over the Internet at the SEC's website at <http://www.sec.gov>. You may also read and copy any document we file with the SEC at its public reference facilities at 100 F Street, N.E. Washington, DC 20549. You may also obtain copies of the documents at prescribed rates by writing to the Public Reference Section of the SEC at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20549. Please call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information on the operation of the public reference facilities.

We maintain a corporate website at www.spark.net. You may access our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed with, or furnished to, the SEC pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, (the "Exchange Act") with the SEC free of charge at our website as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with, or furnished to, the SEC. The reference to our web address is provided for informational purposes only and does not constitute incorporation by reference of the information contained on this website.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Unless the context otherwise requires, the terms “Company,” “Spark,” “we,” “us,” and “our” refer to Spark Networks, Inc., a Delaware corporation and its subsidiaries. The Company was incorporated on April 20, 2007.

Our Business

Spark Networks, Inc., is a leader in creating communities that help individuals form life-long relationships with others that share their interests and values. The Company’s core properties, JDate and ChristianMingle, are communities geared towards singles of the Jewish and Christian faiths. Through the Company’s websites and mobile applications, the Company helps members search for and communicate with other like-minded individuals.

Along with these two core brands, we also operate a number of other niche-focused and international websites and mobile applications and maintain a physical presence in the United States. Information regarding the geographical source of our revenue and data on our reportable segments can be found in Note 10 to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in this annual report.

Our online singles properties provide users with three key services: (1) searching for compatible individuals with whom to potentially form long-term relationships; (2) validating compatibility through profiles, viewing photographs and understanding likes and characteristics; and (3) communicating via one of our numerous communications platforms designed to foster relationships.

Like many on-line businesses, we have experienced a significant shift in our member base from desktop usage to mobile usage, and now offer mobile applications across all of our core properties. To further accelerate our mobile offerings, we completed the acquisition of Smooch Labs, Inc. (“Smooch Labs”), the owner of the millennial Jewish mobile-only dating application JSwipe, in October 2015. Through this acquisition, we have broadened our reach to younger members of the Jewish community, who traditionally were not JDate subscribers.

Membership on our online singles websites or mobile applications is free and allows registered members to post personal profiles and take advantage of our search and validation features. With the exception of JSwipe, which employs a freemium model, the ability to initiate communication with other members requires payment, typically a monthly subscription fee which, along with advertising sales, represents our primary source of revenue. We typically offer discounted subscription rates to those members who subscribe for periods longer than one month. Subscriptions renew automatically until subscribers terminate them.

In August 2016, we entered into a purchase agreement with PEAK6 Investments, L.P. (“PEAK6”), pursuant to which we issued and sold to PEAK6 an aggregate of 5,000,000 shares of common stock of the Company at a purchase price of \$1.55 per share. We also issued a warrant to PEAK6 to purchase up to 7,500,000 shares of common stock of the Company at an exercise price of \$1.74 per share pursuant to the terms of a warrant agreement.

In connection with the execution of the purchase agreement, the Company entered into a Management Services Agreement dated as of August 9, 2016 with PEAK6, pursuant to which PEAK6 provides certain marketing, technology, strategy, development and other services to the Company over a five-year term, for a cash fee of \$1.5 million per year (the “Management Fee”), which will be paid on a quarterly basis in an amount of \$375,000 per quarter. The Management Fee excludes reimbursement of marketing costs as described below, which are costs in addition to the Management Fee.

At its discretion, PEAK6 may invoice each quarter for an amount different than the contractual amount, however, the amounts cannot exceed the contractual amount of \$375,000 per quarter, other than for marketing costs as described below. If the quarterly invoice is for an amount less than the contractual amount, PEAK6 does not have the right to bill any additional fees in any future period, as the amounts invoiced represent the full amount due for the services provided by PEAK6 to the Company for each specific quarter.

During the year ended December 31, 2016, PEAK6 invoiced the Company a Management Fee of \$560,000, representing the full amount due for services expected to be provided through the period ended February 28, 2017, excluding marketing costs as described below. The Management Fee may increase up to the contractual amount in future periods. The Management Fee expense is included within technical operations, development, and general and administrative expenses in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss. For the year ended December 31, 2016, Management Fee expense to PEAK6 was \$393,000. The prepaid expenses balance related to the Management Fee was \$167,000 at December 31, 2016.

In addition, in the event that PEAK6 partners or employees are engaged to provide marketing or marketing related services to the Company either as replacement of Company employees or other external marketing resources engaged by the Company or as if they were Company employees, then the Company will reimburse PEAK6 for the actual costs incurred by such PEAK6 partners or employees. The amount to be reimbursed in any year by the Company for such marketing or marketing related services shall not exceed the lesser of “Saved Company Marketing Costs” or \$1.8 million. “Saved Company Marketing Costs” is defined as the aggregate amount of fully burdened costs to the Company of the sales and marketing employees and external marketing resources (consulting or otherwise) that provided marketing or similar services to the Company that are replaced or reduced by the Company or PEAK6 partners or employees. The amounts reimbursed to PEAK6 for marketing and marketing related services are included as sales and marketing expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss. For the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company has expensed \$259,000 for sales and marketing services performed by PEAK6.

The Management Services Agreement may be terminated by the Company at its convenience upon at least 60 days’ prior written notice at any time after August 9, 2019, and may be terminated for cause at any time by PEAK6 or the Company upon the occurrence of certain events as set forth in the Management Services Agreement. Upon termination for convenience, the Company shall pay PEAK6 any unpaid quarterly payments that are due on or before the termination date and amounts due for certain costs and expenses incurred in connection with the Management Services Agreement. Upon termination for “cause” by PEAK6, the Company shall pay PEAK6 any unpaid quarterly payments that are due on or before the termination date, all Management Fees that would have been paid by the Company to PEAK6 in the first three years of the agreement less amounts actually paid, and the Warrant shall vest immediately without regard to any vesting conditions. Upon termination for cause by the Company, PEAK6 shall pay the Company an amount equal to the aggregate amount of all Management Fees paid by the Company during the term of the Management Services Agreement.

The common stock of Spark Networks, Inc. is traded on the NYSE MKT.

Our Industry

Our primary businesses are in the online personals industry, which we believe fulfills significant needs for single adults looking to meet a companion. Traditional methods such as printed personals advertisements, offline dating services and public gathering places often do not meet the needs of single people. Printed personals advertisements offer individuals limited personal information and interaction before meeting. Offline dating services are time-consuming, expensive and offer a smaller number of potential partners. Public gathering places such as restaurants, bars and other social venues provide a limited opportunity to learn about others prior to an in-person meeting. In contrast, online personals services facilitate interaction between singles by allowing them to screen and communicate with a large number of potential companions before they meet in-person. With features such as detailed personal profiles, email, mobile chat and instant messaging, this medium allows users to communicate with other singles at their convenience and affords them the ability to meet multiple people in an anonymous, convenient and secure setting.

The online personals industry in the United States has experienced significant growth in recent years. According to a Pew Research study released in early 2016, the percentage of the U.S. population using online personals websites or mobile applications grew approximately 40% from 11% in 2013 to 15% in 2015. Members of the millennial generation (individuals under 35 years old) tend to have the highest usage of online or mobile personals sites. However, members of older age groups represent approximately 60% of total users.

Our Competitive Strengths

The dating industry is very competitive and has no single, dominant brand. We compete primarily with other companies that provide similar dating and matchmaking products, including the Match Group, which operates the Match.com, OkCupid, Plenty of Fish, and Tinder properties, and eHarmony and Zoosk. In addition to other online dating brands, we compete indirectly with offline dating services, such as in-person matchmakers, and social media platforms. Arguably, our biggest competition comes from the traditional ways that people meet each other, and the choices some people make to not utilize dating products or services.

We believe our ability to compete is predicated upon the following:

◆ **Affinity-Focused Communities.** We believe singles are more likely to interact, find friends and form lasting relationships with like-minded individuals who share common values, beliefs, traditions and cultural upbringings. For this reason, the majority of our websites and mobile applications are targeted to specific religious, ethnic, geographic and special interest groups. We believe our targeted communities enjoy greater word-of-mouth recognition and consumer loyalty relative to non-targeted communities. This community affinity also affords us the opportunity to work alongside leaders within these communities, and increase the strength of our brands through powerful endorsements.

6

Strength of the JDate and ChristianMingle Brands. We believe JDate and ChristianMingle, with their strong brand recognition, are valuable assets. The strength of these brands have been derived both through paid advertising but also by the numerous successes we have been responsible for in the form of marriages between our customers. According to a 2015 Survata industry survey, both JDate and ChristianMingle were responsible for more marriages within their respective Jewish and Christian communities than any other online personals site. We believe the size and strength of each of our key brands allows us to market to and serve each of the Jewish and Christian communities profitably.

- **Multiple Business Models.** With the acquisition of JSwipe, we have broadened our product offerings to include a “freemium”, mobile-only offering that complements our subscription-driven platforms. The addition of this mobile-only application to our portfolio has furthered our reach with millennial users, which constitutes a highly active segment of the online personals industry.

Customer Service Focus. Our multi-lingual call center and email support teams monitor our sites for fraudulent activity, assist members with billing questions, help members complete personal profiles and answer technical questions. We believe the quality of our customer service increases member satisfaction, which increases the number and percentage of members that become and remain paying subscribers.

Our Online Personals Services

Our core subscription online personals services offer single adults a convenient and secure setting for meeting other singles. Visitors to our websites or mobile applications are encouraged to become registered members and post profiles. Posting a profile is a process in which visitors are asked various questions about themselves, including information such as their tastes in food, hobbies and desired attributes of potential partners. Members may also post photos of themselves. Members can perform detailed searches of other profiles and save their preferences, and their profiles can be viewed by other members. In most cases, for a member to initiate email and instant message communication with others, that member must purchase a subscription. A subscription affords access to the paying subscribers’ on-site email, mobile chat, and instant messaging systems, enabling such subscribers to communicate with other members and paying subscribers. Our subscription fees are charged on a monthly basis, with discounts for longer-term subscription purchases.

For our “freemium” mobile application, JSwipe, users can install our applications for free and register via Facebook authentication. These applications use “right swipes” to indicate interest in another user. If there is mutual interest between two users, the application facilitates a forum for communication. In 2016, JSwipe launched unique, paid premium features to better help users find matches.

Online Personals Websites & Applications. We believe we are a relatively unique company in the online personals industry because we operate websites and mobile applications targeted at specific religious, ethnic, geographic and special interest groups. We currently offer websites and mobile applications primarily in English, Hebrew and French. Some of our properties, organized by segment, are as follows:

| Online Personals Property | Target Audience |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Jewish Networks | |
| JDate.com | Jewish singles |
| JSwipe | Jewish singles (millennials) |

Edgar Filing: SPARK NETWORKS INC - Form 10-K

| | |
|-------------|--|
| JDate.co.uk | Jewish singles |
| JDate.fr | Jewish singles (French speakers) |
| JDate.co.il | Jewish singles (Hebrew speakers) |
| Cupid.co.il | Jewish singles (Hebrew speakers) |

Christian Networks

| | |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|
| ChristianMingle.com | Christian singles |
| CrossPaths | Christian singles (millennials) |
| ChristianMingle.co.uk | Christian singles |
| ChristianMingle.com.au | Christian singles |
| Christiansingles.com | Christian singles |

Other Networks

| | |
|--------------------------------|--|
| AdventistSinglesConnection.com | Adventist singles |
| BBWPersonalsPlus.com | Big beautiful women and admirers |
| BlackSingles.com | African-American singles |
| CatholicMingle.com | Catholic singles |

| | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| DeafSinglesConnection.com | Deaf singles |
| LDSMingle.com | Mormon singles |
| LDSSingles.com | Mormon singles |
| MilitarySinglesConnection.com | Military singles |
| SilverSingles.com | Mature singles |
| Spark.com | Non-targeted |

Platform Features. We offer different ways for our members to communicate including:

On-Site Email. We provide all paying subscribers with private message centers. These personal on-site email boxes offer features such as customizable folders for storing correspondence, the ability to know when sent messages were read, as well as block and ignore functions, which allow paying subscribers to control future messages from specific paying subscribers.

Hot Lists and Favorites. “Hot Lists” enable members to see who is interested in them and to save those favorite members in which they have an interest. Lists include (1) who has viewed their profile, (2) their favorites and (3) who has emailed them. Members can maintain their favorites on a list and add their own customized notes.

Mobile Chat. Members can utilize our mobile applications to communicate with each other through in-app mobile messaging.

Instant Message. Paying subscribers can use our instant messaging system to communicate with other subscribers in real-time. This allows subscribers to communicate directly with another subscriber online at the same time instantly.

Ice Breakers. Members can send pre-packaged opening remarks, referred to on the sites as “flirts” and “smiles,” to other members or paying subscribers.

Click! Our patented Click! feature - Secret Admirer connects members who think they would be compatible with each other. A member clicks “yes,” “no” or “maybe” in another member’s profile. When two members click “yes” in each other’s profiles, our patented feature sends an email to both of them alerting them of the match.

Media Properties. We operate four different media properties primarily focused on serving the Christian community. These properties are designed to strengthen the Christian community and extend our relationship with our ChristianMingle users. Revenue generated from these properties today is driven by online advertising; however, we may develop other revenue streams on these or future complementary properties such as subscription services and merchandise sales. Our current portfolio of media properties include:

| Media Property | Primary Content |
|---------------------|--|
| Believe.com | Christian lifestyle portal |
| Faith.com | Inspirational videos |
| DailyBibleVerse.com | Send a daily bible verse |
| ChristianCard.net | Christian eCard and wallpaper site |

Business Strategy

We intend to grow revenue by driving additional traffic to our websites and mobile applications, increasing the number and percentage of our members who convert to paying subscribers, and expanding advertising sales on select properties.

Drive traffic. We believe there are opportunities to drive additional traffic to our websites through integrated and targeted marketing initiatives within the communities we serve.

Integrated and targeted marketing. We believe targeting potential members with consistent and compelling marketing messages, delivered through a broad mix of marketing channels, will be effective in driving more traffic and a higher percentage of relationship-oriented singles to our websites. We intend to use a variety of channels to build our brands and increase our base of subscribers including online and offline advertising, customer relationship management tools, public relations, promotional alliances and special events.

8

Increase Conversion Rates. We believe a growth opportunity lies in our ability to convert more of our members into paying subscribers. We plan to achieve this increase in conversion by focusing on:

• **Improved member features.** We believe enhanced member communications is a key component to growing our business. We continue to focus on improving and enhancing our platform features and functionality to encourage communication among members. We have also invested in our search and matching capabilities to further improve the user experience of our members. As engagement with these features increases, members have an increased likelihood of finding a compatible partner. We believe that by driving more user connections, we are able to raise customer satisfaction and, in turn, drive organic awareness of our websites and mobile applications.

- **Improved testing and optimization.** Efforts to modernize our technological infrastructure began in 2015 when we deployed a number of tools that allowed us to more efficiently optimize key product features and marketing initiatives. We believe that continuous improvement is key to both providing the best possible experience for our members and maximizing the return on our marketing investments.

• **Leveraging strong customer service.** Each time a member or a potential member is in touch with our customer service center by email or phone, he or she represents a potential new or returning paying subscriber. We continuously train our customer service personnel on identifying these opportunities and capturing these sales.

Sales and Marketing

We engage in a variety of marketing activities intended to drive consumer traffic to our websites and allow us the opportunity to introduce our products and services to prospective visitors, members and subscribers. Our marketing efforts are focused online and offline. Our online marketing approach employs a combination of banner and other display advertising. We also rely on search engine marketing and direct email campaigns to attract potential members and paying subscribers, and use a network of online affiliates, through which we acquire traffic.

We supplement our online marketing by employing a variety of offline marketing and business development activities. These include print, television, public relations, event sponsorship and promotional alliances. We believe a more consistent, targeted marketing message, delivered through an array of available marketing channels, will improve consumer awareness of our brands, drive more traffic to our websites and, therefore, increase the number of visitors, members and paying subscribers.

Customer Service

Our multi-lingual call center and email support teams monitor our sites for fraudulent activity, assist members with billing questions, help members complete personal profiles and answer technical questions. Customer service representatives receive ongoing training in an effort to better personalize the experience for members and paying subscribers who call or email us and to capitalize on upselling opportunities.

Technology

Our internal product teams are focused on the development and maintenance of products in addition to building and managing our software and hardware infrastructure. We intend to continue investing in the development of new products, such as mobile applications, and enhancing the efficiency and functionality of our existing products and infrastructure.

Our network infrastructure and operations are designed to deliver high levels of availability, performance, security and scalability in a cost-effective manner. We operate web and database servers co-located at a third party data center facility in Irvine, California.

Intellectual Property

We rely on a combination of patent, trademark, copyright and trade secret laws in the United States and other jurisdictions, as well as confidentiality procedures and contractual provisions to protect our proprietary technology and our brands. We also enter into confidentiality and invention assignment agreements with our employees and consultants and confidentiality agreements with other third parties.

Spark Networks, Spark, JDate, ChristianMingle and BlackSingles.com are registered trademarks in the United States. Spark Networks, JDate and ChristianMingle are registered trademarks in the EU. JDate and ChristianMingle are registered trademarks in Australia. JDate is also a registered trademark in Israel and Canada. Our rights to these registered trademarks are perpetual as long as we use them and renew them periodically. We also have a number of other registered and unregistered trademarks. We hold two United States patents for our Click! technology, the first of which expired on January 24, 2017, that pertain to an automated process for confidentially determining whether people feel mutual attraction or have mutual interests. Click! is important to our business in that it is a method and apparatus for detection of reciprocal interests or feelings and subsequent notification of such results. The patents describe the method and apparatus for the identification of a person's level of attraction and the subsequent notification when the feeling or attraction is mutual.

Competition

We operate in a highly competitive environment with minimal barriers to entry. We believe the primary competitive factors in creating a community on the Internet are functionality, brand recognition, reputation, critical mass of members, member affinity and loyalty, ease-of-use, quality of service and reliability. We compete with a number of large and small companies, including vertically integrated Internet portals and specialty-focused media companies that provide online and offline products and services to the markets we serve. Our principal online personals services competitors include Match Group, which operates the Match.com, OkCupid, Plenty of Fish, and Tinder properties, and eHarmony and Zoosk. In addition, we face competition from new entrants that have recently offered free and freemium mobile applications such as Bumble and Hinge, as well as social networking sites such as Facebook.

Government Regulation

Our business is regulated by diverse and evolving laws and governmental authorities in the United States and other countries in which we operate. We are subject to laws and regulations related to Internet communications, privacy, consumer protection, security and data protection, intellectual property rights, commerce, taxation, entertainment, recruiting and advertising. These laws and regulations are becoming more prevalent, and new laws and regulations are under consideration by the United States Congress, state legislatures and foreign governments. Any failure by us to comply with existing laws and regulations may subject us to liabilities. New laws and regulations governing such matters could be enacted or amendments may be made to existing regulations at any time that could adversely impact our services. Plus, legal uncertainties surrounding domestic and foreign government regulations could increase our costs of doing business, require us to revise our services, prevent us from delivering our services over the Internet or slow the growth of the Internet, any of which could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Employees

As of December 31, 2016, we had 66 full-time and 43 part-time employees. Virtually all of our part-time employees are dedicated to our customer service department. We are not subject to any collective bargaining agreements and we believe our relationship with our employees is good.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider the risks described below together with all of the other information included in this report before making an investment decision. The risks described below are the material risks that we are currently aware of that we are facing. In addition, other sections of this report may include additional factors that could adversely impact our business and operating results. If any of the following risks actually occurs, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected. In that case, the trading price of our common stock could decline and you may lose all or part of your investment.

Risks Related to Our Business

Our growth rates may decline and our operating margins could deteriorate; our business, financial condition and results of operations may be adversely affected by a slowdown or contraction in the economy.

Between 2007 and 2010, and during 2014 through 2016, our revenue declined and it may decline again in the future. It is possible our operating margins will deteriorate if revenue growth does not exceed planned increases in expenditures for all aspects of our business in an increasingly competitive environment, including sales and marketing, development, technical operations and general and administrative expenses.

Our member and paying subscriber base is composed of individual consumers and in the event of a continued prolonged economic downturn in the United States or in our international markets in which spending by individual consumers drops significantly, our current and potential subscribers may be unable or unwilling to subscribe to our services and our business may be negatively affected. In addition, the current or future tightening of credit in financial markets could result in a decrease in demand for our products and services if subscribers do not have access to credit. To the extent the overall economy deteriorates or does not improve, we may lose existing members and paying subscribers and fail to attract new members and paying subscribers, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our business has recently undergone significant changes, including management, personnel and business changes. If we do not effectively and successfully adapt to these changes, it could have a material adverse effect on our business.

During our third fiscal quarter of 2016, we appointed a new Chief Executive Officer and Chief Technology Officer, as well as two new members to our board of directors. In addition, we have implemented certain steps to streamline our business and control costs. As a result, we are also making significant operational changes. These significant changes have created additional pressures on existing personnel, and we have been working to adapt to operating our business in a manner that differs meaningfully from prior periods. We cannot provide assurance that these changes will lead to the desired results. If we do not effectively and successfully adapt to these changes, it could have a material adverse effect on our business.

If we do not successfully implement our new information technology platform, our financial performance could be adversely affected.

We have undertaken a process of transforming our technological infrastructure and implementing new systems that will impact our applications and websites. Implementing new systems carries substantial risk, including implementation delays, cost overruns, disruption of operations, potential loss of data or information, and lower customer satisfaction resulting in lost customers or sales. If we do not implement these systems successfully, our ability to perform key business processes could be disrupted and our financial performance could be adversely affected.

We have significant operating losses and we may incur additional losses in the future.

We have historically generated significant operating losses. As of December 31, 2016, we had an accumulated deficit of approximately \$(65.9) million. We incurred net loss of approximately \$(6.9), (\$1.4) and (\$1.1) million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. If our revenue does not grow at a substantially faster rate than our operating expenses, or if our operating expenses are higher than we anticipate, or if our revenue continues to decline but our operating expenses increase, we may not be profitable and we may incur additional losses, which could be significant.

We need to maintain or increase our number of average paying subscribers to maintain or increase our current level of revenue.

The majority of our revenue is generated by internet users that pay us a subscription fee. One of our key performance metrics focuses on the average number of paying subscribers in a given period. The number of monthly average paying subscribers is calculated as the sum of the paying subscribers at the beginning and end of the month, divided by two. Average paying subscribers for periods longer than one month are calculated as the sum of the average paying subscribers for each month, divided by the number of months in the period. Internet users, in general, and users of online personals services specifically, freely navigate and use the services offered by a variety of websites. We cannot assure you that our monthly average paying subscriber numbers will remain at consistent levels, and they may decrease in the future, thus decreasing our revenue. In 2016, average paying subscribers decreased 12.4% and revenue also decreased 27.1% compared to 2015. If we do not constantly attract new paying subscribers at a faster rate than subscription terminations, our average paying subscribers will decrease and we will not be able to maintain or increase our current level of revenue.

Our business depends on establishing and maintaining strong brands and if we are not able to maintain and enhance our brands, we may be unable to expand or maintain our member and paying subscriber bases.

We believe that establishing and maintaining our brands is critical to our efforts to attract and expand our member and paying subscriber bases. We believe that the importance of brand recognition will continue to increase, given the growing number of Internet sites and the low barriers to entry for companies offering online personals services. To attract and retain members and paying subscribers, and to promote and maintain our brands in response to competitive pressures, we may have to substantially increase our financial commitment to creating and maintaining distinct brand loyalty among these groups. If visitors, members and paying subscribers to our websites and our affiliate and distribution associates do not perceive our existing services to be of high quality, or if we introduce new services or enter into new business ventures that are not favorably received by such parties, the value of our brands could be diluted, thereby decreasing the attractiveness of our websites to such parties. As a result, our results of operations may be adversely affected by decreased brand recognition.

Our growth and profitability rely, in part, on our ability to attract and retain users through cost-effective marketing efforts. Any failure in these efforts could adversely affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Attracting and retaining users for our dating products involves considerable expenditures for online and offline marketing. Historically, we have had to increase our marketing expenditures over time in order to attract and retain users and sustain our growth.

Evolving consumer behavior can affect the availability of profitable marketing opportunities. For example, as traditional television viewership declines and as consumers spend more time on mobile devices rather than desktop computers, the reach of many of our traditional advertising channels is contracting. Similarly, as consumers communicate less via email and more via text messaging and other virtual means, the reach of email campaigns designed to attract new and repeat users (and retain current users) for our dating products is adversely impacted. To continue to reach potential users and grow our businesses, we must identify and devote more of our overall marketing expenditures to newer advertising channels, such as mobile and online video platforms, as well as targeted campaigns in which we communicate directly with potential, former, and current users via new virtual means. Generally, the opportunities in and sophistication of newer advertising channels are relatively undeveloped and unproven, and there can be no assurance that we will be able to continue to appropriately manage and fine-tune our marketing efforts in response to these and other trends in the advertising industry. Any failure to do so could adversely affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Moreover, the Company is currently reworking its technology systems to provide for an ability to enable it to better track the success and profitability of marketing efforts. It may be determined that none of the current or historical marketing channels or efforts are profitable, which would lead the Company to a position where it needs a completely new marketing strategy. There can be no assurances that the Company will be able to identify or implement a marketing strategy that profitably adds customers. In such a case, our business, operations and prospects would be materially and negatively impacted.

If our efforts to attract new members, convert members into paying subscribers and retain our paying subscribers are not successful, our revenue and operating results will suffer.

Our future growth depends on our ability to attract new members, convert members into paying subscribers and retain our paying subscribers. This in turn depends on our ability to deliver a high-quality online personals experience to these members and paying subscribers. As a result, we must continue to invest significant resources in order to enhance our existing products and services and introduce new high-quality products and services that people will use. If we are unable to predict user preferences or industry changes, or if we are unable to modify our products and services on a timely basis, we may lose existing members and paying subscribers and may fail to attract new members and paying subscribers. Our revenue and expenses will also be adversely affected if our innovations are not responsive to the needs of our members and paying subscribers or are not brought to market in an effective or timely manner.

Our subscriber acquisition costs vary depending upon prevailing market conditions and may increase significantly in the future.

Costs for us to acquire paying subscribers are dependent, in part, upon our ability to purchase advertising at a reasonable cost. Our advertising costs vary over time, depending upon a number of factors, many of which are beyond our control. Historically, we have used online and offline advertising as the primary means of marketing our services. During 2016, our cost of revenue substantially decreased, primarily as a result of lower direct marketing expenses related to our Christian Networks.

Despite a slow economy, costs of online and/or offline advertising may continue to increase. If we are not able to reduce our other operating costs, increase our paying subscriber base or increase revenue per paying subscriber to offset these increases, our profitability will be adversely affected.

In addition, our costs to acquire subscribers may increase if we raise prices on our websites or attempt to further monetize our mobile applications, as potential customers may be slower or more reluctant to purchase higher-priced services.

Competition presents an ongoing threat to the performance of our business.

The dating industry is intensively competitive, with a consistent stream of new products and entrants. Some of our competitors may enjoy better competitive positions in certain geographical regions or user demographics that we currently serve or may serve in the future. We expect competition in the online personals business to continue to increase because there are no substantial barriers to entry. We believe our ability to compete depends upon many factors both within and beyond our control, including the following:

- the size and diversity of our member and paying subscriber bases;
- the timing and market acceptance of our products and services, including the developments and enhancements to those products and services relative to those offered by our competitors;
- customer service and support efforts;
- selling and marketing efforts; and

our brand strength in the marketplace relative to our competitors.

13

We compete with traditional personals services, as well as newspapers, magazines and other traditional media companies that provide personals services. We also compete with a number of large and small companies, including Internet portals and specialty-focused media companies that provide online and offline products and services to the markets we serve. Our principal online personals services competitors include Match Group, which operates the Match.com, OkCupid, Plenty of Fish, and Tinder properties, and eHarmony and Zoosk. In addition, we face competition from multiple mobile-based apps such as Bumble and Hinge, and social networking sites such as Facebook. Many of our current and potential competitors have longer operating histories, significantly greater financial, technical, marketing and other resources and larger customer bases than we do. These factors may allow our competitors to respond more quickly than we can to new or emerging technologies and changes in customer preferences. These competitors may engage in more extensive research and development efforts, undertake more far-reaching marketing campaigns and adopt more aggressive pricing policies that may allow them to build larger member and paying subscriber bases than ours. Our competitors may develop products or services that are equal or superior to our products and services or that achieve greater market acceptance than our products and services. These activities could attract members and paying subscribers away from our websites and reduce our market share.

In addition, current and potential competitors are making, and are expected to continue to make, strategic acquisitions or establishing cooperatives and, in some cases, establishing exclusive relationships with significant companies or competitors to expand their businesses or to offer more comprehensive products and services. To the extent these competitors or potential competitors establish exclusive relationships with major portals, search engines and Internet Service Providers, or ISPs, our ability to reach potential members through online advertising may be restricted. Any of these competitors could cause us difficulty in attracting and retaining members and converting members into paying subscribers and could jeopardize our existing affiliate program and relationships with portals, search engines, ISPs and other online properties.

Our efforts to capitalize upon opportunities to expand into new products and services may fail and could result in a loss of capital and other valuable resources.

We may decide to expand into new products and services to increase our revenue base. If we expand into such offerings, management's time and attention will be less focused on our existing businesses and will require us to invest significant capital resources. The results of any expansion efforts into new products and services are unpredictable, and there is no guarantee that our efforts will have a positive effect on our revenue base. We face many risks associated with our planned expansion into new products and services, including but not limited to the following:

- competition from pre-existing competitors with significantly stronger brand recognition in the markets we enter;
- improper evaluation of the potential of such products and services;

- diversion of capital and other valuable resources away from our core business; and
- forgoing opportunities that are potentially more profitable.

If we fail to keep pace with rapid technological change, our competitive position will suffer.

We operate in a market characterized by rapidly changing technologies, evolving industry standards, frequent new product and service announcements, enhancements and changing customer demands. Accordingly, our performance will depend on our ability to adapt to rapidly changing technologies and industry standards, and our ability to continually improve the speed, performance, features, ease of use and reliability of our services in response to both evolving demands of the marketplace and competitive service and product offerings. There have been occasions when we have not been as responsive as many of our competitors in adapting our services to changing industry standards and the needs of our members and paying subscribers. Our industry has been subject to constant innovation and competition. New features are introduced by one competitor, and if they are perceived as attractive to users, other competitors replicate such new features. Over the last few years, such new feature introductions in the industry have included instant messaging, message boards, E-cards, personality profiles and mobile content delivery. Introducing new technologies into our systems involves numerous technical challenges, substantial amounts of capital and personnel resources and often takes many months to complete. We intend to continue to devote efforts and funds toward the development of additional technologies and services. For example, in 2016 and 2015 we introduced a number of new features, and we anticipate the introduction of additional features in 2017 and beyond. We may not be able to effectively integrate new technologies into our websites on a timely basis or at all, which may degrade the responsiveness and speed of our websites. Such technologies, even if integrated, may not function as expected.

We secured a \$10.0 million revolving credit facility, which could restrict our ability to use our operating cash flow for the growth of our business.

In January 2016, we entered into an initial credit agreement with Western Alliance Bank. If we are unable to pay our debts as they become due, we will be required to pursue one or more alternative strategies, such as refinancing or restructuring our indebtedness, selling additional debt or equity securities or selling assets. We may not be able to refinance our debt or issue additional debt or equity securities on favorable terms, if at all, and if we must sell our assets, it may negatively affect our ability to generate future revenue. If we are unable to meet our obligations as they become due or to comply with various financial covenants contained in the revolving credit facility, this could constitute an event of default.

Our obligations under the credit facility are secured by a lien on substantially all of the assets of Spark Networks USA, LLC, which is the borrower under the credit facility. Additionally, the credit facility is guaranteed by Spark Networks, Inc. and a number of our subsidiaries. Any default under the credit facility, could result in an acceleration of payment of all outstanding debt owed at the time, which could materially and adversely affect our financial condition.

Our revolving credit facility has certain covenants that could restrict how we operate our business.

The terms of our revolving credit facility contain various provisions that limit our ability to, among other things:

- incur or guarantee additional debt;
- incur capital expenditures;
- receive dividends or distributions from our subsidiaries;
- make investments and other restricted payments;
- make dividend payments or redeem equity securities;
- grant liens;
- acquire other entities;

transfer or sell assets;
engage in different lines of business; and
consolidate, merge or transfer all or substantially all of our assets.

15

These covenants may affect our ability to operate and finance our business as we deem appropriate. If we are unable to meet our obligations as they become due or to comply with various financial covenants contained in the revolving credit facility, this could constitute an event of default.

We may not obtain any benefits from the Management Services Agreement with PEAK6.

As described in Note 12 to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in this annual report, we entered into a Management Services Agreement with PEAK6 in August 2016. We believe that the receipt of services from PEAK6 as contemplated in the Management Services Agreement will benefit the Company and its business operations. However, there can be no assurances that any services from PEAK6 will actually provide the expected benefits and, as a result, our business and operations may not improve and could deteriorate.

If we are unable to attract, retain and motivate key personnel or hire qualified personnel, or such personnel do not work well together, our growth prospects and profitability will be harmed.

Our performance is largely dependent on the talents and efforts of highly skilled individuals. The loss of any of our management or key personnel could seriously harm our business.

We may also encounter difficulties in recruiting personnel as we become a more mature company in a competitive industry. Competition in our industry for personnel is intense, and we are aware that our competitors have directly targeted our employees. We do not have non-competition agreements with most employees and, even in cases where we do, these agreements are of limited enforceability in California. We also do not maintain any key-person life insurance policies on our executives. The incentives to attract, retain and motivate employees provided by our option or restricted stock grants or by future arrangements, such as cash bonuses, may not be as effective as they have been in the past. If we do not succeed in attracting necessary personnel or retaining and motivating existing personnel, we may be unable to grow effectively.

Our business depends on our server and network hardware and software and our ability to obtain network capacity; our current safeguard systems may be inadequate to prevent an interruption in the availability of our services.

The performance of our server and networking hardware and software infrastructure is critical to our business and reputation, to our ability to attract visitors and members to our websites, to convert them into paying subscribers and to retain paying subscribers. An unexpected and/or substantial increase in the use of our websites could strain the capacity of our systems, which could lead to a slower response time or system failures. Although we have not recently experienced any significant delays, any future slowdowns or system failures could adversely affect the speed and responsiveness of our websites and would diminish the experience for our visitors, members and paying subscribers. We face risks related to our ability to scale up to potential increased customer levels while maintaining superior performance. If the usage of our websites substantially increases, we may need to purchase additional servers and networking equipment and services to maintain adequate data transmission speeds, the availability of which may be limited or the cost of which may be significant. Any system failure that causes an interruption in service or a decrease in the responsiveness of our websites could reduce traffic on our websites and, if sustained or repeated, could impair our reputation and the attractiveness of our brands as well as reduce revenue and negatively impact our operating results.

We are also in the process of integrating our hardware systems and software applications between the JDate.com and ChristianMingle.com segments. There can be no assurance that such integration will not cause disruptions in our business, and any such disruption could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition. Further, any delay in the timing could decrease and/or delay our expense savings expected in respect of such integration, and any such disruption could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and

financial condition.

Furthermore, we rely on many different hardware systems and software applications, some of which have been developed internally. If these hardware systems or software applications fail, it would adversely affect our ability to provide our services. If we are unable to protect our data from loss or electronic or magnetic corruption, or if we receive a significant unexpected increase in usage and are not able to rapidly expand our transaction-processing systems and network infrastructure without any systems interruptions, it could seriously harm our business and reputation. We have experienced occasional systems interruptions in the past as a result of unexpected increases in usage, and we cannot assure you that we will not incur similar or more serious interruptions in the future. From time to time, our company and our websites may be subject to delays and interruptions due to software viruses, or variants thereof, such as internet worms.

16

In addition, we do not have a “high availability” disaster recovery system, which means in the event of any catastrophic failure involving our websites, we may be unable to serve our web traffic for a significant period of time. Our websites primarily operate from a single site located in Southern California. Any system failure, including network, software or hardware failure, that causes an interruption in the delivery of our websites and services or a decrease in responsiveness of our services would result in reduced visitor traffic, reduced revenue and would adversely affect our reputation and brands.

We may not be able to protect our systems, infrastructures and technologies from cyber attacks. In addition, we may be adversely affected by cyber attacks experienced by third parties. Any disruption of our systems, infrastructures and technologies, or compromise of our user data or other information, due to cyber attacks could have an adverse effect on our business, reputation, brands, financial condition and results of operations.

Our reputation and ability to attract, retain and serve our members is dependent upon the reliable performance and security of our computer systems and those of third parties that we utilize in our operations and the protection of confidential information about the Company and sensitive information provided by our members. The incidence of malicious technology-related events, such as cyber attacks, computer hacking, computer viruses, worms or other destructive or disruptive software, distributed denial of service attacks or other malicious activities (or any combination of these events) is on the rise worldwide and constantly evolving. From time to time, we may become the victim of these types of attacks.

Our computer systems and those of third parties we use in our operations are vulnerable to cybersecurity risks, including cyber attacks such as computer viruses, denial of service attacks, physical or electronic break-ins and similar disruptions. These systems periodically experience directed attacks intended to lead to interruptions and delays in our service and operations as well as loss, misuse or theft of data. Any attempt by hackers to obtain our data, disrupt our service, or otherwise access our systems, or those of third parties we use, if successful, could harm our business, be expensive to remedy and damage our reputation. While we continuously develop and maintain systems to detect and prevent events of this nature from impacting our various businesses, these efforts are costly and require ongoing monitoring and updating as technologies change and efforts to overcome preventative security measures become more sophisticated. Despite our efforts, we cannot assure you that these events will not occur in the future and if they do occur, will not have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Furthermore, we may become the victim of security breaches, such as the misappropriation, misuse, leakage, falsification or accidental release or loss of user, customer or vendor data maintained in our information technology systems or those of third parties with whom we do business (or upon whom we otherwise rely in connection with our day to day operations).

Any cyber attack or security breach we experience could prevent us from providing our products and services, damage our reputation, erode our brands and/or be costly to remedy, as well as result in a degradation of our products and services and/or cause damage to our systems, infrastructures, technologies and data. Even if we do not experience such events, the impact of any such events experienced by third parties with whom we do business (or upon whom we otherwise rely in connection with our day to day operations) could have a similar effect. Moreover, even cyber attacks and security breaches that do not impact us directly may result in a loss of consumer confidence generally, which could result in decreased likelihood of the use of our products and services.

We depend, in part, upon arrangements with third parties to drive traffic to our various websites.

We engage in a variety of activities designed to attract traffic to our various websites and convert visitors into members and paying subscribers. How successful we are in these efforts depends, in part, upon our continued ability to enter into arrangements with third parties to drive traffic to our various websites and our oversight of such third parties to ensure that they are appropriately communicating with online users. Pursuant to these arrangements, third parties generally promote our services on their websites or through e-mail campaigns and we pay them based upon a variety of arrangements (cost per registration, cost per one thousand impressions, a percentage of sales, etc.) Depending on how a third party communicates with online users via email, third-party email service providers could treat such email campaign as spam, and ultimately limit our ability to communicate with our members and paying subscribers via email.

These arrangements are generally not exclusive, are short term in nature and are generally terminable by either party given notice. If existing arrangements with third parties are terminated (or are not renewed upon their expiration) and we fail to replace this traffic and related revenues, or if we are unable to enter into new arrangements with existing and/or new third parties in response to industry trends, or if such third parties improperly manage email campaigns, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected.

We rely on a number of third-party providers and their failure or unwillingness to continue to perform could harm us.

We rely on third parties to provide important services and technologies to us, including third parties that manage and monitor our offsite data center located in Southern California, ISPs, search engine marketing providers and credit card processors. In addition, we license technologies from third parties to facilitate our ability to provide our services. Any failure on our part to comply with the terms of these licenses could result in the loss of our rights to continue using the licensed technology, and we could experience difficulties obtaining licenses for alternative technologies. Furthermore, any failure of these third parties to provide these and other services, or errors, failures, interruptions or delays associated with licensed technologies, could significantly harm our business. Any financial or other difficulties our providers face may have negative effects on our business, the nature and extent of which we cannot predict. Except to the extent of the terms of our contracts with such third party providers, we exercise little or no control over them, which increases our vulnerability to problems with the services and technologies they provide and license to us. In addition, if any fees charged by third-party providers were to substantially increase, such as if ISPs began charging us for emails sent by our paying subscribers to other members or paying subscribers, we could incur significant additional losses.

We may not be effective in protecting our Internet domain names or proprietary rights upon which our business relies or in avoiding intellectual property infringement claims.

We regard substantial elements of our websites and the underlying technology as proprietary, and attempt to protect them by relying on trademark, service mark, copyright, patent and trade secret laws and restrictions on disclosure and transferring title and other methods. We also generally enter into confidentiality agreements with our employees and consultants, and generally seek to control access to and distribution of our technology, documentation and other proprietary information. Despite these precautions, it may be possible for a third party to copy or otherwise obtain and use our proprietary information without authorization or to develop similar or superior technology independently. Effective trademark, service mark, copyright, patent and trade secret protection may not be available in every country in which our services are distributed or made available through the Internet, and policing unauthorized use of our proprietary information is difficult. Any such misappropriation or development of similar or superior technology by third parties could adversely impact our profitability and our future financial results.

We believe that our websites, services, trademarks, patent and other proprietary technologies do not infringe upon the rights of third parties. However, there can be no assurance that our business activities do not and will not infringe upon the proprietary rights of others, or that other parties will not assert infringement claims against us. We are aware that other parties utilize the “Spark” name, or other marks that incorporate it, and those parties may have rights to such marks that are superior to ours. From time to time, we have been, and expect to continue to be, subject to claims in the ordinary course of business including claims of alleged infringement of the trademarks, service marks and other intellectual property rights of third parties by us. Although such claims have not resulted in any significant litigation or had a material adverse effect on our business to date, any such claims and resultant litigation might subject us to temporary injunctive restrictions on the use of our products, services or brand names and could result in significant liability for damages for intellectual property infringement, require us to enter into royalty agreements, or restrict us from using infringing software, services, trademarks, patents or technologies in the future. Even if not meritorious, such litigation could be time-consuming and expensive and could result in the diversion of management’s time and attention away from our day-to-day business.

We currently hold various web domain names related to our brands and in the future may acquire new web domain names. The regulation of domain names in the United States and in foreign countries is subject to change. Governing bodies may establish additional top level domains, appoint additional domain name registrars or modify the requirements for holding domain names. As a result, we may be unable to acquire or maintain relevant domain names in all countries in which we conduct business. Furthermore, the relationship between regulations governing domain

names and laws protecting trademarks and similar proprietary rights is unclear. We may be unable to prevent third parties from acquiring domain names that are similar to, infringe upon or otherwise decrease the value of our existing trademarks and other proprietary rights or those we may seek to acquire. Any such inability to protect ourselves could cause us to lose a significant portion of our members and paying subscribers to our competitors.

We may face potential liability, loss of users and damage to our reputation for violation of our privacy policy or privacy laws and regulations or be required to change our business practices in an adverse manner.

Our privacy policy prohibits the sale or disclosure to any third party of any member's personal identifying information, except to the extent expressly set forth in the policy. Growing public concern about privacy and the collection, distribution and use of information about individuals may subject us to increased regulatory scrutiny and/or litigation. In the past, the Federal Trade Commission has investigated companies that have used personally identifiable information without permission or in violation of a stated privacy policy. If we are accused of violating the stated terms of our privacy policy, we may be forced to expend significant amounts of financial and managerial resources to defend against these accusations and we may face potential liability. Our membership database holds confidential information concerning our members, and we could be sued if any of that information is misappropriated or if a court determines that we have failed to protect that information.

In addition, our affiliates handle personally identifiable information pertaining to our members and paying subscribers. Both we and our affiliates are subject to laws and regulations related to Internet communications, consumer protection, advertising, privacy, security and data protection. For example, we are subject to the CAN-SPAM Act of 2003, California's Information Practice Act, which requires notification to users when there is a security breach of personal data, and other state regulations that impose additional requirements on data protection, such as the requirement to encrypt data sent over the internet. If we or our affiliates are found to be in violation of these laws and regulations, we may become subject to administrative fines or litigation or be required to change our data practices, which could materially increase our expenses, adversely affect our results of operations and cause the value of our securities to decline.

Proposed legislation concerning data protection is currently pending at the U.S. federal and state level as well as in certain foreign jurisdiction. In addition, the interpretation and application of data protection laws in Europe, the United States and elsewhere are still uncertain. It is possible that these laws may be interpreted and applied in a manner that is inconsistent with our data practices. If so, in addition to the possibility of fines, this could result in an order requiring that we change our data practices, which could have an adverse effect on our business. Complying with these laws as they evolve could cause us to incur substantial costs or require us to change our business practices in a manner adverse to our business.

We may be liable as a result of information retrieved from or transmitted over the Internet.

We may be sued for defamation, civil rights infringement, negligence, copyright or trademark infringement, invasion of privacy, personal injury, product liability or under other legal theories relating to information that is published or made available on our websites and the other sites linked to it. These types of claims have been brought, sometimes successfully, against online services in the past. We also offer messaging services on our websites and send emails directly and through third parties, which may subject us to potential risks, such as liabilities or claims resulting from unsolicited email or spamming, lost or misdirected messages, security breaches, illegal or fraudulent use of email or personal information or interruptions or delays in email service. Our insurance does not specifically provide for coverage of these types of claims and, therefore, may be inadequate to protect us against them. In addition, we could incur significant costs in investigating and defending such claims, even if we ultimately are not held liable. If any of these events occurs, our revenue could be materially adversely affected or we could incur significant additional expense, and the market price of our securities may decline.

Our quarterly results may fluctuate because of many factors and, as a result, investors should not rely on quarterly operating results as indicative of future results.

Fluctuations in operating results or the failure of operating results to meet the expectations of public market analysts and investors may negatively impact the value of our common stock. Quarterly operating results may fluctuate in the future due to a variety of factors that could affect revenue or expenses in any particular quarter. Fluctuations in quarterly operating results could cause the value of our securities to decline. Investors should not rely on quarter-to-quarter comparisons of results of operations as an indication of future performance. Factors that may affect our quarterly results include:

- the demand for, and acceptance of, our online personals services and enhancements to these services;
- the timing and amount of our subscription revenue;
- the introduction, development, timing, competitive pricing and market acceptance of our websites and services and those of our competitors;
- the magnitude and timing of marketing initiatives and capital expenditures relating to expansion of our operations;
- the cost and timing of online and offline advertising and other marketing efforts;
-

the maintenance and development of relationships with portals, search engines, ISPs and other web properties and other entities capable of attracting potential members and paying subscribers to our websites;
technical difficulties, system failures, system security breaches, or downtime of the Internet, in general, or of our products and services, in particular;
costs related to any acquisitions or dispositions of technologies or businesses;
fluctuations in foreign exchange rates; and
general economic conditions, as well as those specific to the Internet, online personals and related industries.

As a result of the factors listed above and because the online personals business is still immature, making it difficult to predict consumer demand, it is possible that in future periods results of operations may be below the expectations of public market analysts and investors. This could cause the market price of our securities to decline.

Adverse capital and credit market conditions could limit our access to capital and increase our cost of capital, which may significantly affect our ability to meet liquidity needs.

The capital and credit markets have been experiencing extreme volatility over the last few years. In some cases, the markets have exerted downward pressure on availability of liquidity and credit capacity for certain issuers. Without sufficient liquidity, we may be forced to curtail certain operations and may be unable to operate our business as we deem appropriate. Disruptions, uncertainty or volatility in the capital and credit markets may also limit our access to capital required to operate our business. Such market conditions may limit our ability to replace, in a timely manner, maturing liabilities and access the capital necessary to operate and grow our business. As such, we may be forced to delay raising capital or bear an unattractive cost of capital which could decrease our profitability and significantly reduce our financial flexibility. Our results of operations, financial condition, cash flows and capital position could be materially adversely affected by disruptions in the financial markets.

Goodwill, intangible assets and other long-lived assets are subject to impairment risk.

We review the potential impairment of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets at least annually, or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable and test property, plant and equipment and other intangible assets for impairment whenever changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable.

Indicators that may signal that an asset has become impaired include a significant decline in actual or projected revenue, a significant decline in the market value of our common stock, a significant decline in performance of certain acquired companies relative to our original projections, an excess of our net book value over our market value, a significant decline in our operating results relative to our operating forecasts, a significant change in the manner of our use of acquired assets or the strategy for our overall business, a significant decrease in the fair value of an asset, a shift in technology demands and development, or a significant turnover in key management or other personnel.

The assessment for potential impairment of the Company's goodwill, intangible assets or other long-term assets requires management to make judgments on a number of significant estimates and assumptions, including projected cash flows, discount rates, projected long-term growth rates and terminal values. The Company may be required to record a significant charge in its consolidated financial statements during the period in which any impairment of its goodwill, intangible assets or other long-term assets is identified and this could negatively impact the Company's financial condition and results of operations. Changes in management estimates and assumptions as they relate to valuation of goodwill, intangible assets or other long-lived assets could affect the Company's financial condition or results of operations in the future.

We may need additional capital to finance our growth or to compete, which may cause dilution to existing stockholders or limit our flexibility in conducting our business activities.

We currently anticipate that existing cash and cash equivalents and cash flow from operations will be sufficient to meet our anticipated needs for working capital, operating expenses and capital expenditures for at least the next twelve months. However, we may need to raise additional capital in the future to fund expansion, whether in new vertical affinity or geographic markets, develop newer or enhanced services, respond to competitive pressures or acquire complementary businesses, technologies or services. Such additional financing may not be available on terms acceptable to us or at all. To the extent that we raise additional capital by issuing equity securities, our stockholders may experience substantial dilution, and to the extent we engage in additional debt financing, if available, we may become subject to additional restrictive covenants that could limit our flexibility in conducting future business activities. If additional financing is not available or not available on acceptable terms, we may not be able to fund our expansion, promote our brands, take advantage of acquisition opportunities, develop or enhance services or respond to

competitive pressures.

20

If we fail to maintain an effective system of internal control over financial reporting, we may not be able to accurately report our financial results or prevent fraud. As a result, current and potential stockholders could lose confidence in our financial reporting, which would harm the value of our stock.

Effective internal controls over financial reporting are necessary for us to provide reliable financial reports, effectively prevent fraud and operate as a public company. We are required to report on an annual basis on the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting. We have, in the past, discovered and may, in the future, discover areas of our internal controls over financial reporting that need improvement. If we are unable to adequately maintain or improve our internal controls over financial reporting, we may report that our internal controls are ineffective. If we cannot provide reliable financial reports or prevent fraud, our reputation and operating results would be harmed. Ineffective internal controls over financial reporting could also cause investors to lose confidence in our reported financial information which would likely have a negative effect on the trading price of our securities or could affect our ability to access the capital markets and which could result in regulatory proceedings against us by, among others, the U.S. Securities Exchange Commission.

Acquisitions could result in operating difficulties, dilution and other harmful consequences.

We have historically and may in the future further extend and develop our presence, both within the United States and internationally, partially through acquisitions of entities offering online personals services and related businesses. We have relatively limited experience acquiring companies and the companies we have acquired have been small. We have evaluated, and continue to evaluate, a wide array of potential strategic transactions. From time to time, we may engage in discussions regarding potential acquisitions, some of which may divert significant resources away from our daily operations. In addition, the process of integrating an acquired company, business or technology is risky and may create unforeseen operating difficulties and expenditures. Some areas where we may face risks include:

- the need to implement or remediate controls, procedures and policies of acquired companies that lacked appropriate controls, procedures and policies prior to the acquisition;
- diversion of management time and focus from operating our business to acquisition integration challenges;
- cultural challenges associated with integrating employees from an acquired company into our organization;
- retaining employees from the businesses we acquire; and
- the need to integrate each company's accounting, management information, human resources and other administrative systems to permit effective management.

The anticipated benefit of many of our acquisitions may not materialize. Future acquisitions could result in potentially dilutive issuances of our equity securities, the incurrence of debt, contingent liabilities or amortization expenses, or write-offs, any of which could harm our financial condition. Future acquisitions may require us to obtain additional equity or debt financing, which may not be available on favorable terms or at all.

Our limited experience outside the United States increases the risk that any international expansion efforts and operations will not be effective.

One of our strategies is to maintain and expand our presence in international markets. Although we currently have websites that directly serve the French, Israeli and United Kingdom markets, we have only limited experience with operations outside the United States. Expansion into international markets requires management time and capital resources. In addition, we face the following additional risks associated with our expansion outside the United States:

- challenges caused by distance, language and cultural differences;
- local competitors with substantially greater brand recognition, more users and more traffic than we have;
- our need to create and increase our brand recognition and improve our marketing efforts internationally and build strong relationships with local affiliates;

longer payment cycles in some countries;
credit risk and higher levels of payment fraud in some countries;
different legal and regulatory restrictions among jurisdictions;
political, social and economic instability;
potentially adverse tax consequences; and
higher costs associated with doing business internationally.

21

Our international operations subject us to risks associated with currency fluctuations.

Our foreign operations may subject us to currency fluctuations and such fluctuations may adversely affect our financial position and results. However, sales and expenses to date have occurred primarily in the United States. For this reason, we have not engaged in foreign exchange hedging. Currency risk positions could change correspondingly and the use of foreign exchange hedging instruments could become necessary. Effects of exchange rate fluctuations on our financial condition, operations and profitability may depend on our ability to manage our foreign currency risks. There can be no assurance that steps taken by management to address foreign currency fluctuations will eliminate all adverse effects and, accordingly, we may suffer losses due to adverse foreign currency fluctuation.

Our business could be significantly impacted by the occurrence of natural disasters and other catastrophic events.

Our operations depend upon our ability to maintain and protect our network infrastructure, hardware systems and software applications, which are housed primarily at data centers located in Southern California that are managed by a third party. Our business is therefore susceptible to earthquakes, tsunamis and other catastrophic events, including acts of terrorism. We currently do not possess a “high availability” disaster recovery system. As a result, outages and downtime caused by natural disasters and other events out of our control, which affect our systems or data centers, could adversely affect our reputation, brands and business.

We hold a fixed amount of insurance coverage, and if we were found liable for an uninsured claim, or claim in excess of our insurance limits, we may be forced to expend significant capital to resolve the uninsured claim.

We contract for a fixed amount of insurance to cover potential risks and liabilities, including, but not limited to, cyber liability, property and casualty insurance, general liability insurance and errors and omissions liability insurance. If we decide to pursue obtaining additional insurance coverage in the future, it is possible that (1) we may not be able to get enough insurance to meet our needs; (2) we may have to pay very high premiums for the additional coverage; (3) we may not be able to acquire any insurance for certain types of business risk; or (4) we may have gaps in coverage for certain risks. This could leave us exposed to potential uninsured claims for which we could have to expend significant amounts of capital resources. Consequently, if we were found liable for a significant uninsured claim in the future, we may be forced to expend a significant amount of our operating capital to resolve the uninsured claim.

Our services may not be well-suited to many alternate web access devices, and as a result the growth of our business could be negatively affected.

The number of people who access the Internet through devices other than desktop and laptop computers, including mobile telephones, tablets, and other handheld computing devices, has increased dramatically in the past several years, and we expect this growth to continue. The smaller screen and keyboard sizes and reduced functionality currently associated with such devices may make the use of our services through such devices more difficult and generally impairs the member experience relative to access via desktop and laptop computers. If we are unable to attract and retain a substantial number of such device users to our online personals services or if we are unable to develop services that are more compatible with such devices in a timely fashion, our growth could be adversely affected.

Risks Related to Our Industry

Our network is vulnerable to security breaches and inappropriate use by Internet users, which could disrupt or deter future use of our services.

Concerns over the security of transactions conducted on the Internet and the privacy of users may inhibit the growth of the Internet and other online services generally, and online commerce services, like ours, in particular. To date, we have not experienced any material breach of our security systems; however, a failure on our part to effectively prevent security breaches could significantly harm our business, reputation and results of operations and could expose us to lawsuits by state and federal consumer protection agencies, by governmental authorities in the jurisdictions in which we operate, and by consumers. Anyone who is able to circumvent our security measures could misappropriate proprietary information, including customer credit card and personal data, cause interruptions in our operations or damage our brand and reputation. Such breach of our security measures could involve the disclosure of personally identifiable information and could expose us to a material risk of litigation, liability or governmental enforcement proceeding. We cannot assure you that our financial systems and other technology resources are completely secure from security breaches or sabotage, and we have occasionally experienced security breaches and attempts at “hacking.” We may be required to incur significant additional costs to protect against security breaches or to alleviate problems caused by such breaches. Any well-publicized compromise of our security or the security of any other Internet provider could deter people from using our services or the Internet to conduct transactions that involve transmitting confidential information or downloading sensitive materials, which could have a detrimental impact on our existing and potential customer base.

Computer viruses may cause delays or other service interruptions and could damage our reputation, affect our ability to provide our services and adversely affect our revenue. The inadvertent transmission of computer viruses could also expose us to a material risk of loss or litigation and possible liability. Moreover, if a computer virus affecting our system were highly publicized, our reputation could be significantly damaged, resulting in the loss of current and future members and paying subscribers.

We face certain risks related to the physical and emotional safety of our members and paying subscribers.

The nature of online personals services is such that we cannot control the actions of our members and paying subscribers in their communication or physical actions. There is a possibility that one or more of our members or paying subscribers could be physically or emotionally harmed following interaction with another one of our members or paying subscribers. We warn our members and paying subscribers that we do not conduct background checks on other members and paying subscribers and, given our lack of physical presence, we do not take any action to ensure personal safety on a meeting between members or paying subscribers arranged following contact initiated via our websites. If an unfortunate incident of this nature occurred in a meeting of two people following contact initiated on one of our websites or a website of one of our competitors, any resulting negative publicity could materially and adversely affect us or the online personals industry in general. Any such incident involving one of our websites or mobile applications could damage our reputation and our brands. This, in turn, could adversely affect our revenue and could cause the value of our common stock to decline. In addition, the affected members or paying subscribers could initiate legal action against us, which could cause us to incur significant expense, whether we were successful or not, and damage our reputation.

We are or may be subject to litigation and regulatory actions that may distract management and could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

We are or have been a party to various litigation claims and legal proceedings, including purported class action lawsuits and litigation involving our business operations and intellectual property. We may also be subject to

regulatory actions and litigation based on our business operations. For example, we supply online personals services and in many jurisdictions, companies deemed dating service providers are subject to additional regulation, while companies that provide personals services are not generally subject to similar regulation. Because personals services and dating services can seem similar, we are exposed to potential litigation, including class action lawsuits, associated with providing our personals services. In the past, a small percentage of our members have alleged that we are a dating service provider, and, as a result, they claim that we are required to comply with regulations that include, but are not limited to, providing language in our contracts that may allow members to (1) rescind their contracts within a certain period of time, (2) demand reimbursement of a portion of the contract price if the member dies during the term of the contract and/or (3) cancel their contracts in the event of disability or relocation. If a court holds that we have provided and are providing dating services of the type the dating services regulations are intended to regulate, we may be required to comply with regulations associated with the dating services industry and be liable for any damages as a result of our past non-compliance.

Previously, we were subject to three separate yet similar class action complaints filed against us in state court alleging violations of dating service statutes—one in each of Illinois, New York and California. Although all of the complaints were dismissed and are no longer subject to appeal, the opinion in the Illinois case provided that we are subject to the Illinois Dating Services Act and, as such, our subscription agreements violate the act and are void and unenforceable. This ruling may subject us to potential liability for claims brought by the Illinois Attorney General or customers that have been injured by such violation of the statute.

We review the litigation and accrue appropriate amounts where necessary. These assessments and estimates are based on information available to management at the time and involve a significant amount of management judgment. As a result, actual outcomes or losses may differ materially from those envisioned by our current assessments and estimates. We intend to defend vigorously against any litigation claims. However, no assurance can be given that these matters will be resolved in our favor and, depending on the outcome of these disputes, we may choose to alter our business practices. Our failure to successfully defend or settle litigation claims could result in liability that, to the extent not covered by our insurance, could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. Furthermore, the defense of litigation claims may also be both time consuming and expensive.

We are exposed to risks associated with credit card fraud and credit payment, which, if not properly addressed, could increase our operating expenses.

We depend on the continuing availability of credit card usage to process subscriptions and this availability, in turn, depends on acceptable levels of chargebacks and fraud performance. We have suffered losses and may continue to suffer losses as a result of subscription orders placed with fraudulent credit card data, even though the associated financial institution approved payment. Under current credit card practices, a merchant is liable for fraudulent credit card transactions when, as is the case with the transactions we process, that merchant does not obtain a cardholder's signature. Our failure to adequately control fraudulent credit card transactions would result in significantly higher credit card-related costs and, therefore, increase our operating expenses and may preclude us from accepting credit cards as a means of payment.

We face risks associated with our dependence on computer and telecommunications infrastructure.

Our services are dependent upon the use of the Internet and telephone and broadband communications to provide high-capacity data transmission without system downtime. There have been instances where regional and national telecommunications outages have caused us, and other Internet businesses, to experience systems interruptions. Any additional interruptions, delays or capacity problems experienced with telephone or broadband connections could adversely affect our ability to provide services to our customers. The temporary or permanent loss of all, or a portion, of the telecommunications system could cause disruption to our business activities and result in a loss of revenue. Additionally, the telecommunications industry is subject to regulatory control. Amendments to current regulations, which could affect our telecommunications providers, could disrupt or adversely affect the profitability of our business.

In addition, if any of our current agreements with telecommunications providers were terminated, we may not be able to replace any terminated agreements with equally beneficial ones. There can be no assurance that we will be able to renew any of our current agreements when they expire or, if we are able to do so, that such renewals will be available on acceptable terms. We also do not know whether we will be able to enter into additional agreements or that any relationships, if entered into, will be on terms favorable to us.

Our business depends, in part, on the growth and maintenance of the Internet, and our ability to provide services to our members and paying subscribers may be limited by outages, interruptions and diminished capacity of the Internet.

Our performance will depend, in part, on the continued growth and maintenance of the Internet. This includes maintenance of a reliable network backbone with the necessary speed, data capacity and security for providing reliable Internet services. Internet infrastructure may be unable to support the demands placed on it if the number of Internet users continues to increase, or if existing or future Internet users access the Internet more often or increase their bandwidth requirements. In addition, viruses, worms and similar programs may harm the performance of the Internet. We have no control over the third-party telecommunications, cable or other providers of access services to the Internet that our members and paying subscribers rely upon. There have been instances where regional and

national telecommunications outages have caused us to experience service interruptions during which our members and paying subscribers could not access our services. Any additional interruptions, delays or capacity problems experienced with any points of access between the Internet and our members could adversely affect our ability to provide services reliably to our members and paying subscribers. The temporary or permanent loss of all, or a portion, of our services on the Internet, the Internet infrastructure generally, or our members' and paying subscribers' ability to access the Internet could disrupt our business activities, harm our business reputation, and result in a loss of revenue. Additionally, the Internet, electronic communications and telecommunications industries are subject to federal, state and foreign governmental regulation. New laws and regulations governing such matters could be enacted or amendments may be made to existing regulations at any time that could adversely impact our services. Any such new laws, regulations or amendments to existing regulations could disrupt or adversely affect the profitability of our business.

We rely on third-party platforms such as the Apple App Store and the Google Play Store to distribute our mobile applications and collect revenue. If we are unable to maintain a good relationship with such platform providers, if their terms and conditions or pricing changed to our detriment, if we violate, or if a platform provider believes that we have violated, the terms and conditions of its platform, or if any of these platforms were unavailable for a prolonged period of time, our business will suffer.

With the launch of our portfolio of mobile applications, we have recognized an increasing amount of revenue from distribution of our subscriptions on the Apple App Store and the Google Play Store, where we rely on the payments processing systems of these platform providers. We are subject to their standard terms and conditions for application developers, which govern the promotion, distribution and operation of applications on their platforms. In addition, if we violate, or if a platform provider believes that we have violated, its terms and conditions, the particular platform provider may discontinue or limit our access to that platform, which would harm our business. Our business would be harmed if they discontinue or limit our access to their platforms, if their platforms decline in popularity, if they modify their current discovery mechanisms, communication channels available to developers, respective terms of service or other policies, including fees, or change how the personal information of subscribers is made available to developers or develop their own competitive offerings.

We are subject to burdensome government regulations and legal uncertainties affecting the Internet that could adversely affect our business.

Our business is regulated by diverse and evolving laws and governmental authorities in the United States and other countries in which we operate. Legal uncertainties surrounding domestic and foreign government regulations could increase our costs of doing business, require us to revise our services, prevent us from delivering our services over the Internet or slow the growth of the Internet, any of which could increase our expenses, reduce our revenue or cause our revenue to grow at a slower rate than expected and materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. Laws and regulations related to Internet communications, security, privacy, intellectual property rights, commerce, taxation, entertainment, recruiting and advertising are becoming more prevalent, and new laws and regulations are under consideration by the United States Congress, state legislatures and foreign governments. For example, in recent years, legislation related to the use of background checks for users of online personals services was proposed in Ohio, Texas, California, Michigan, New Jersey, Florida and Virginia. The New Jersey legislature enacted such a law in 2008 and other state legislatures may still be considering the implementation of such legislation. The interpretation of the New Jersey statute as well as the enactment of any of these proposed laws could require us to alter our service offerings and could negatively impact our performance by making it more difficult and costly to obtain new subscribers and may also subject us to additional liability for failure to properly screen our subscribers. Additionally, statutes have been enacted in at least sixteen states regulating automatic renewals to varying degrees. Generally, these statutes require companies to disclose automatic renewal policies in a clear and conspicuous manner. In California, under California Business and Professions Code Section 17600, et seq., the statute prohibits retailers from charging a consumer's debit card, credit card, or bank account for ongoing orders without his/her explicit consent. Similar to our interpretation of the New Jersey statute, the interpretation of this statute could require us to alter our service offerings and could impact our service. Promulgation of new laws, changes in current laws, the existence of ambiguous laws that are difficult to implement, changes in interpretations by courts and other governments officials of existing laws, our inability or failure to comply with current or future laws or strict enforcement by current or future government officers of current or future laws could adversely affect us by reducing our revenue, increasing our operating expenses and exposing us to significant potential liabilities.

Furthermore, in part as a result of current economic conditions, some states have begun to, and others may in the future, impose state taxes on services provided through the Internet, such as online personals, which will increase the cost of our services and could adversely affect our business. Any legislation and regulations enacted or newly enforced or restrictions arising from current or future government investigations or policy could dampen the growth in use of the Internet, generally, decrease the profitability of Internet related businesses and diminish the acceptance of the Internet as a communications, commercial, entertainment, recruiting and advertising medium. In addition to new laws and regulations being adopted, existing laws that are not currently being applied to the Internet may subsequently be applied to it, in some cases with a retroactive effect or penalty, and, in several jurisdictions, legislatures are considering laws and regulations that would apply to the online personals industry in particular. Many areas of law affecting the Internet and online personals remain unsettled, even in areas where there has been some legislative action. It may take years to determine whether and how existing laws such as those governing consumer protection, intellectual property, libel and taxation apply to the Internet or to our services. In the normal course of our business, we handle personally identifiable information pertaining to our members and paying subscribers residing in the United States and other countries. In recent years, many of these countries have adopted privacy, security and data protection laws and regulations intended to prevent improper uses and disclosures of personally identifiable information. In addition, some jurisdictions impose database registration requirements for which significant monetary and other penalties may be imposed for noncompliance. These laws may impose costly administrative requirements, limit our handling of information, and subject us to increased government oversight and financial liabilities. Privacy laws and regulations in the United States and foreign countries are subject to change and may be inconsistent, and additional requirements may be imposed at any time. These laws and regulations, the costs of complying with them, administrative fines for noncompliance and the possible need to adopt different compliance measures in different jurisdictions could materially increase our expenses and cause the value of our securities to decline.

Risks Related to Owning Our Securities

If an active trading market for our stock is not maintained or if securities analysts downgrade our stock or cease coverage of us, the price of our stock could decline.

We cannot assure you that an active trading market will be sustained or that the market price of our common stock will not decline. The price at which our common stock trades is likely to be highly volatile and may fluctuate substantially due to many factors, some of which are outside of our control. In addition, the stock market has experienced significant price and volume fluctuations that have affected the market price for the stock of many technology, communications and entertainment and media companies. Those market fluctuations were sometimes unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of these companies. Any significant stock market fluctuations in the future, whether due to our actual performance or prospects or not, could result in a significant decline in the market price of our securities. Furthermore, the trading market for our common stock relies in part on the research and reports that industry or financial analysts publish about us or our business. Currently, two financial analysts publish reports about us and our business. We do not control these or any other analysts. Furthermore, there are many large, well-established, publicly traded companies active in our industry and market, which may mean that it is less likely that we will receive widespread analyst coverage. If any of the analysts who cover us downgrade our stock, our stock price would likely decline rapidly. If these analysts cease coverage of our Company, we could lose visibility in the market, which in turn could cause our stock price to decline.

Five of our stockholders own approximately 60% of our stock and could exercise significant influence over the Company.

As of December 31, 2016, PEAK6, Spruce House Capital LLC, 402 Capital, Osmium Partners, and entities controlled by Lloyd I. Miller, III (“Mr. Miller”) and their respective affiliates beneficially owned approximately, in the aggregate, 60% of the Company’s outstanding common stock. While we believe such stockholders are unaffiliated and do not constitute a “group” as defined in the Exchange Act, as amended, these stockholders individually possess influence over our company, and the managing members of Osmium Partners and 402 Capital each serve on our Board of Directors along with designees from PEAK6 and Mr. Miller. Concentrated ownership may influence matters requiring stockholder approval, including the election of directors.

We may implement stock repurchase plans, which may restrict our funds available for other actions and negatively affect the market price of our securities.

In December 2013, we implemented a stock repurchase plan and may implement additional stock repurchase plans in the future. A stock repurchase plan may not have the effects anticipated by our Board of Directors and may instead harm the market price and liquidity of our securities. The full implementation of any repurchase plan could use a significant portion of our cash reserves, and this use of cash could limit our future flexibility to complete acquisitions of businesses or technology or other transactions. Implementation of a repurchase plan would also result in an increase in the percentage of common stock owned by our existing stockholders, and such increase may trigger disclosure or other regulatory requirements for our larger stockholders. As a result, certain stockholders may liquidate a portion of their holdings, which may have a negative impact on the market price of our securities. Furthermore, repurchases of stock may affect the trading of our common stock to the extent we fail to satisfy continued-listing requirements of the exchange on which our stock trades, including those based on numbers of holders or public float of our common stock. A repurchase plan will also reduce the number of shares of our common stock in the market, which may impact the development of an active trading market in our stock, causing a negative impact on the market price of our stock.

We have never paid any dividend and we may or may not pay dividends in the foreseeable future.

To date, we have not declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock and currently intend to retain any future earnings for funding growth. We do not anticipate paying any dividends in the foreseeable future. As a result, you should not rely on an investment in our stock if you require dividend income. Capital appreciation, if any, of our stock may be your sole source of gain for the foreseeable future.

Our charter documents, our stockholder rights plan and our bylaws may have anti-takeover effects that could prevent a change in control, which may cause our stock price to decline.

Our certificate of incorporation or our bylaws could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us, even if closing such a transaction would be beneficial to our stockholders. We are authorized to issue up to 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock. This preferred stock may be issued in one or more series, the terms of which may be determined at the time of issuance by our Board of Directors without further action by stockholders. The terms of any series of preferred stock may include voting rights (including the right to vote as a series on particular matters), preferences as to dividend, liquidation, conversion and redemption rights and sinking fund provisions. No preferred stock is currently outstanding. The issuance of any preferred stock could materially adversely affect the rights of the holders of our common stock, and therefore, reduce the value of our common stock. In particular, specific rights granted to future holders of preferred stock could be used to restrict our ability to merge with, or sell our assets to, a third party and thereby preserve control by the present Board of Directors.

There are no cumulative voting rights provided for in our bylaws or certificate of incorporation. While we have recently amended our bylaws to enable stockholders to call special meetings, our certificate of incorporation and bylaws still contain provisions that could have the effect of discouraging potential acquisition proposals or making a tender offer or delaying or preventing a change in control, including changes a stockholder might consider favorable. In particular, the certificate of incorporation and bylaws, as applicable, among other things:

- provide the Board of Directors with the ability to alter the bylaws without stockholder approval;
- provide for an advance notice procedure with regard to the nomination of candidates for election as Directors and with regard to business to be brought before a meeting of stockholders; and
- provide that vacancies on the Board of Directors may be filled by a majority of directors in office, although less than a quorum.

27

We have also adopted a stockholder rights plan pursuant to which each share of common stock also has a “right” attached to it. The rights are not exercisable except upon the occurrence of certain takeover-related events – most importantly, the acquisition by a third party (the “Acquiring Person”) of more than 30% of our outstanding voting shares if the Acquiring Person has not concurrently made a tender offer to acquire all outstanding shares of common stock. Once triggered, the rights entitle the stockholders, other than the Acquiring Person, to purchase additional shares of common stock at a 50% discount to their fair market value. The effect of triggering the rights is to expose the Acquiring Person to severe dilution of its ownership interest, as the shares of common stock of our company (or any surviving corporation) are offered to all of the stockholders other than the Acquiring Person at a steep discount to their market value.

On September 23, 2016, the Board of Directors approved the amended and restated bylaws of the Company (the “Amended and Restated Bylaws”), in order to preserve certain tax benefits of the Company. The Company’s Amended and Restated Bylaws add Article VII, which imposes certain restrictions on the transfer of the Company’s securities. In particular, until the expiration of the transfer restrictions, any attempted transfer of the Company’s common stock shall be prohibited and void to the extent that, as a result of the transfer (or any series of transfers of which such transfer is a part), either (i) any person or group of persons would own 4.9% or more of the Company’s Common Stock directly or indirectly, as deemed to constructively own or otherwise aggregated pursuant to Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code; (ii) the ownership interest in the Company of any person or group of persons owning 4.9% or more of the Company’s Common Stock would be increased; or (iii) any shareholder holding 5% or more of the total market value of the Company’s securities transfers, or agrees to transfer, any securities of the Company.

All of the foregoing provisions may have the effect of discouraging a third-party from acquiring Spark Networks, Inc. even if doing so would be beneficial to its stockholders. These provisions are expected to discourage certain types of coercive takeover practices and inadequate takeover bids and to encourage persons seeking to acquire control of Spark Networks, Inc. to first negotiate with its Board. These provisions of Delaware law also may discourage, delay or prevent someone from acquiring or merging with us, which may cause the market price of our common stock to decline.

Conflicts involving Israel could have a material adverse impact upon our business and operating results.

Our Hebrew language sites, JDate.co.il and Cupid.co.il, represent approximately 10% of our Jewish Networks revenue. As a result, any conflicts involving or attacks against Israel could impact our members’ and potential members’ interest in our services, and may have a material impact upon our subscriber and revenue bases as conflict occurs.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

We do not own any real property that is materially important to our business. Our headquarters are currently located in Los Angeles, California, where we occupy approximately 16,000 square feet of office space, housing our technology department and most of our corporate and administrative personnel. Our current lease for this space expires on October 31, 2018 with monthly basic rent ranging from approximately \$43,000 to \$51,000 with six months of rent abatement, as well as scheduled rent increases and rent credits. We also lease office space in Utah and datacenter space in California. We believe that our facilities are adequate for our current needs and suitable additional or substitute space will be available in the future to replace our existing facilities, if necessary, or accommodate expansion of our operations.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Overview

We are, and from time to time may become, involved in various legal proceedings arising in the normal course of business activities, such as patent infringement claims, trademark oppositions and consumer or advertising complaints, as well as stockholder derivative actions, class action lawsuits and other matters. The amounts that may be recovered in such matters may be subject to insurance coverage. Rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission require the description of material pending legal proceedings (other than ordinary, routine litigation incident to the registrant's business) and advise that proceedings ordinarily need not be described if they primarily involve damages claims for amounts (exclusive of interest and costs) not exceeding 10% of the current assets of the registrant and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis. In the listing of litigation matters below, we have included matters that we do not believe rise to this level but rather involve issues or claims that may be of particular interest to our stockholders, regardless of whether any of these matters may be material to our financial position or operations based upon the standard set forth in the SEC's rules.

California Unruh Act Litigation – Werner, et al. v. Spark Networks, Inc. and Spark Networks USA, LLC and Wright, et al. v. Spark Networks, Inc., Spark Networks USA, LLC, et al.

On July 19, 2013, Aaron Werner, on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated individuals, filed a putative Class Action Complaint (the “Werner Complaint”) in the Superior Court for the State of California, County of Los Angeles against Spark Networks, Inc. and Spark Networks USA, LLC (collectively “Spark Networks”). The Werner Complaint alleges that Spark Networks’ website ChristianMingle.com violates California’s Unruh Civil Rights Act (the “Unruh Act”) by allegedly discriminating on the basis of sexual orientation. The Werner Complaint requests the following relief: an injunction, statutory, general, compensatory, treble and punitive damages, attorneys’ fees and costs, pre-judgment interest, and an award for any other relief the Court deems just and appropriate. On December 23, 2013, Richard Wright, on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated individuals, filed a putative Class Action Complaint (the “Wright Complaint”) in the Superior Court for the State of California, County of San Francisco against Spark Networks, Inc. The Wright Complaint alleges that Spark Networks, Inc.’s commercial dating services including ChristianMingle.com, LDSSingles.com, CatholicMingle.com, BlackSingles.com, MilitarySinglesConnection.com and AdventistSinglesConnection.com violate the Unruh Act by allegedly intentionally and arbitrarily discriminating on the basis of sexual orientation. The Wright Complaint requests the following relief: a declaratory judgment, a preliminary and permanent injunction, statutory penalties, reasonable attorneys’ fees and costs, pre-judgment interest, and an award for any other relief the Court deems just and appropriate. A motion filed by Spark Networks to consolidate the two matters in Los Angeles Superior Court was granted. On February 25, 2016, the parties reached a settlement which included the following terms: (1) individual settlement payments of \$4,000 each in statutory damages and \$5,000 each in service awards to plaintiffs Werner and Wright, and (2) \$450,000 in attorneys’ fees and costs to compensate Werner and Wright’s counsel for their time and out-of-pocket expenses. On April 19, 2016, the plaintiffs’ counsel filed a motion for an order granting approval of the settlement. On June 27, 2016, the judge approved the settlement during the hearing on the Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement. All amounts have been paid as of December 31, 2016.

Israeli Consumer Actions Ben-Jacob vs. Spark Networks (Israel) Ltd., Gever vs. Spark Networks (Israel) Ltd. and Korland vs. Spark Networks (Israel) Ltd.

Three class action law suits have been filed in Israel alleging inter alia violations of the Israel Consumer Protection Law of 1981. Spark Networks (Israel) Ltd. (“Spark Israel”) was served with a Statement of Claim and a Motion to Certify it as a Class Action in the Ben-Jacob action on January 14, 2014. The plaintiff alleges that Spark Israel refused to cancel her subscription and provide a refund for unused periods and claims that such a refusal is in violation of the Consumer Protection Law. Spark Israel was served with a Statement of Claim and a motion to Certify it as a Class Action in the Gever action on January 21, 2014. The plaintiff alleges that Spark Israel renewed his one month subscription without receiving his positive agreement in advance and claims that such renewal is prohibited under the Consumer Protection Law and its regulations. Spark Israel was served with a Statement of Claim and a Motion to Certify it as a Class Action in the Korland action on February 12, 2014. The plaintiff alleges that Spark Israel refused to give her a full refund and charged her the price of a one month subscription to the JDate website in violation of the Consumer Protection Law. In each of these three cases, the plaintiff is seeking personal damages and damages on behalf of a defined group. On May 8, 2014, the Court granted Spark Israel’s motion to consolidate all three cases. All three cases are now consolidated and will be litigated jointly. Spark Israel’s combined response to these motions to certify the class actions was filed November 1, 2014, and the plaintiffs responded to the combined response. The parties had a hearing before the judge on December 24, 2014. Following the hearing the judge ordered that the pleadings filed by the parties be transferred to the Israel Consumer Council (“ICC”) so that the ICC can provide its position as to the parties’ allegations within 90 days. The ICC issued its opinion on April 1, 2015. Following the filing of the ICC opinion, the parties filed briefs addressing the ICC opinion. On January 7, 2016, the parties advised the Court that they have agreed on the terms of a settlement agreement, and jointly moved to approve the agreement and give it the effect of a judgment. According to the terms of the settlement agreement, clients who bought a subscription to JDate.co.il on October 12, 2008 or later will be entitled to receive certain benefits. The settlement agreement, which provides for compensation and legal fees, will only come into effect if the court approves it. On January 14, 2016 the Court ordered the parties to publish the terms of the proposed settlement agreement. The Court allowed for the Attorney General or any person who wishes to object to the settlement or exclude himself from the class to file their position with the Court through March 10, 2016. On March 10, 2016, the ICC filed an objection to the settlement agreement, arguing inter alia that the benefits offered to the clients are insufficient, and that the Company's new business model does not comply with certain legal requirements. The Company and the plaintiffs filed their responses on March 24, 2016. On April 14, 2016, the Attorney General notified the Court that it has no objection to the settlement agreement. On February 8, 2017, a hearing was held during which the judge asked questions about the settlement agreement. The Company and the plaintiffs filed a revised settlement agreement on February 18, 2017, for the judge’s final approval. On February 28, 2017, the judge approved the settlement agreement, which provided for compensation and legal fees under terms from the original settlement agreement. The Company has recorded an accrual of \$52,000 for the probable cost related to resolving this matter as of December 31, 2016.

Scottsdale Insurance Co. v. Spark Networks, Inc., et al.

On January 13, 2016, Scottsdale Insurance Company (“Scottsdale”) filed a complaint for declaratory relief and reimbursement/unjust enrichment against Spark Networks, Inc. in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. In its complaint, Scottsdale alleges that, after the Company was sued in the Werner Complaint, the Company tendered its defense and indemnity to Scottsdale pursuant to the terms of the business and management indemnity insurance policy that Scottsdale had issued to the Company on November 1, 2012. The complaint alleges that, after receiving the demand, Scottsdale accepted the defense of the Company in the Werner Complaint under a reservation of rights, including Scottsdale’s right to seek reimbursement of any amounts paid to defend against non-covered claims. The Company filed its answer and counterclaims against Scottsdale on March 7, 2016, seeking damages for Scottsdale’s breach of policy and breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. The parties executed a settlement agreement on July 6, 2016, whereby Scottsdale reimbursed the Company \$238,000 for

all reasonable and necessary attorney's fees and costs in defense against the Werner Complaint as of December 31, 2016.

30

City of Santa Monica, California – City Attorney General Investigation

On May 16, 2016, representatives from Spark Networks met with representatives from a cross-jurisdictional working group consisting of consumer fraud attorneys from the City of Santa Monica and offices of the District Attorney from the counties of Los Angeles, Santa Cruz, Santa Clara and San Diego (“Cross Jurisdictional Group”). This meeting was held at the request of the Cross Jurisdictional Group, as a “pre-filing” meeting to explain and potentially resolve issues over auto-renewal disclosures by the Spark Network websites. The Cross Jurisdictional Group alleges that the Spark Networks websites violate California law on disclosure of auto-renewal terms and ability to cancel auto-renewal. They also claim that the Spark Networks websites violate California dating contract statutes, which (where applicable) require a three day right to cancel. The Cross Jurisdictional Group sent a voluntary document request (not a subpoena) to the Company on June 2, 2016. The Company cooperated with the Cross Jurisdictional Group and provided information in response to the voluntary request. The Cross Jurisdictional Group has indicated that it would like the Company to change its disclosures in certain respects, and that it intends to seek the payment of a penalty in an unspecified amount. In response to these disclosure requests, the Company has made changes. On March 8, 2017, the Company received a settlement communication from the City of Santa Monica and offices of the District Attorney, proposing settlement terms including payment of civil penalties, restitution to consumers, investigative costs and legal fees ranging from \$1.5 million to \$2.2 million. The Company has not responded to the settlement communication and intends to vigorously defend against the claims by the City of Santa Monica. As of December 31, 2016, the Company is unable to reasonably estimate the possibility of an unfavorable outcome, or the amount of any liability that may result from this matter.

Banertek LLC vs. Spark Networks, Inc.

On August 1, 2016, Banertek LLC filed a complaint for patent infringement with a demand for jury trial against Spark Networks, Inc. The Company was served with the complaint and summons as of October 10, 2016. The parties executed a confidential settlement agreement on December 5, 2016.

Jedi Technologies, Inc. vs. Spark Networks, Inc., Spark Networks USA, LLC and Smooch Labs, Inc.

On November 15, 2016, Jedi Technologies, Inc. filed a complaint for patent infringement with a demand for jury trial against Spark Networks, Inc, Spark Networks USA, LLC and Smooch Labs, Inc. The Company was served with the complaint and summons as of November 21, 2016. The Company filed a motion to dismiss on January 12, 2017 in the District of Delaware, and received Jedi Technologies, Inc.’s opposition to the motion to dismiss. The Company filed its reply to the opposition on February 2, 2017. As of December 31, 2016, the Company is unable to reasonably estimate the possibility of an unfavorable outcome, or the amount of any liability that may result from this matter.

We intend to defend vigorously against each of the above matters. At this time, management does not believe the above matters, either individually or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on the Company’s results of operations or financial condition and believes the recorded legal accruals as of December 31, 2016 are adequate in light of the probable and estimable liabilities. However, no assurance can be given that these matters will be resolved in our favor.

We have additional existing legal claims and may encounter future legal claims in the normal course of business. In our opinion, the resolutions of the existing legal claims are not expected to have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not Applicable.

31

PART II.

ITEM MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS AND
5. ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Market Information

Spark Networks, Inc.'s common stock is traded on the NYSE MKT under the trading symbol "LOV." The following table summarizes the high and low closing sales prices of our common stock as reported by the NYSE MKT.

| Year ended December 31, 2015 | High | Low |
|------------------------------|--------|--------|
| First Quarter | \$4.04 | \$3.31 |
| Second Quarter | \$4.07 | \$2.85 |
| Third Quarter | \$3.26 | \$2.83 |
| Fourth Quarter | \$4.00 | \$3.00 |

| Year ended December 31, 2016 | High | Low |
|------------------------------|--------|--------|
| First Quarter | \$3.82 | \$2.22 |
| Second Quarter | \$2.42 | \$1.41 |
| Third Quarter | \$1.97 | \$1.42 |
| Fourth Quarter | \$1.67 | \$0.84 |

Holders

As of March 16, 2017 there were 62 holders of record of our common stock and the closing price of our common stock on NYSE MKT was \$0.99. Because a large portion of the outstanding shares of our common stock are held by brokers and other institutions on behalf of shareholders, we are not able to estimate the total number of beneficial shareholders represented by these record holders.

Dividends

We have not declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock. We presently intend to retain our future earnings, if any, to fund the development and growth of our business and, therefore, do not have plans to pay any cash dividends in the near future.

Pursuant to the Company's credit agreement, as further described in "Management Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations", the Company is permitted to repurchase or redeem equity interests or issue dividends of up to \$1.0 million during the term of the credit agreement.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

Our equity compensation plan information is provided as set forth in Part III, Item 11 herein.

Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities

On October 14, 2015, the Company and its subsidiary, LOV USA LLC, entered into an agreement and plan of merger (the "Agreement") with Smooch Labs and certain other parties related to Smooch Labs, including the founders of Smooch Labs. The merger closed on October 14, 2015 and, pursuant to the Agreement, the Company acquired all outstanding shares of Smooch Labs, and Smooch Labs has become an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of the Company for total consideration comprising \$7 million paid at closing and an earnout payment, to the extent earned, of up to a maximum of an additional \$10 million (such consideration, including the earnout, to the extent earned, the "Aggregate Purchase Price"). Pursuant to the terms of the Agreement, \$1 million of the Aggregate Purchase Price paid at closing was paid with shares of the Company's common stock. Additionally, two-thirds of the remaining Aggregate Purchase Price, if any, shall be similarly paid with shares of the Company's common stock.

The issuance of the shares of common stock at closing was exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), in reliance on Section 4(a)(2) thereof and Rule 506(b) thereunder. The Agreement prohibits the recipients of the shares from transferring or disposing of any interest in any such shares for one year from issuance of the shares and prohibits any transfer or disposition of any shares received in connection with the earnout for a period of 180 days from the issuance of such shares, except, in each case, a transfer or disposition pursuant to a change in control.

On August 9, 2016, we issued and sold to PEAK6 an aggregate of 5,000,000 shares of common stock of the Company at a purchase price of \$1.55 per share pursuant to the terms of a Purchase Agreement dated as of August 9, 2016, for an aggregate purchase price of \$7.8 million. We also issued a warrant to PEAK6 to purchase up to 7,500,000 shares of common stock of the Company at an exercise price of \$1.74 per share pursuant to the terms of a warrant agreement dated as of August 9, 2016. The shares of common stock issued pursuant to the Purchase Agreement and the warrant are exempt from registration pursuant to Regulation D under the Securities Act. Pursuant to the terms of the Purchase Agreement, the Company has agreed to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission a registration statement with respect to the resale of the shares issued pursuant to the Purchase Agreement and any shares issued upon the exercise of the warrant.

On August 22, 2016, we issued and sold to certain affiliates of Lloyd I. Miller, III an aggregate of 840,031 shares of common stock of the Company at a purchase price of \$1.55 per share, for aggregate consideration of approximately \$1.3 million. The shares were sold pursuant to the terms of a purchase agreement and are exempt from registration pursuant to Regulation D under the Securities Act. Pursuant to the terms of the purchase agreement, the Company has provided the purchasers with certain piggyback registration rights with respect to the resale of the shares in accordance with the terms of the purchase agreement.

Purchases of Equity Securities

On December 16, 2013, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$5.0 million of our common stock. The repurchases may be made from time to time in the open market, in privately negotiated transactions, or otherwise, including pursuant to a Rule 10b5-1 plan, at prices that the Company deems appropriate and subject to market conditions, applicable law, including Rule 10b-18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and other factors deemed relevant in the Company's sole discretion. The Company is not obligated to repurchase any dollar amount or any number of shares of common stock, and the program may be suspended, discontinued or modified at any time, for any reason and without notice. On April 6, 2016, our Board of Directors authorized the expansion of the repurchase program such that total availability increased from \$2.6 million to \$5.0 million.

During the twelve months ended December 31, 2015, the Company made the following stock repurchases:

| | Total number of shares purchased | Weighted average price paid per share | Dollar amount of shares repurchased | Maximum dollar amount of shares that may yet be purchased under the Plans or Programs |
|------------------------------|---|---|--|---|
| Year Ended December 31, 2015 | | | | |
| April 1 – April 30 | — | — | — | — |
| May 1 – May 31 | 191,584 | \$ 3.00 | \$ 575,000 | \$2,934,000 |
| June 1 – June 30 | 96,700 | \$ 3.21 | \$ 310,000 | \$2,624,000 |
| Total | 288,284 | \$ 3.07 | \$ 885,000 | \$2,624,000 |

During the twelve months ended December 31, 2016, the Company did not make any stock repurchases.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The selected financial data set forth in the table below for 2016, 2015, 2014, 2013 and 2012 has been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2016, 2015, 2014, 2013 and 2012 and for the years then

ended. This selected financial data should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes included herein.

| | For the Year Ended December 31 | | | | |
|--|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | (in thousands, except per share amounts) | | | | |
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Consolidated Statement of Operations Data | | | | | |
| Revenue | \$35,091 | \$48,135 | \$61,645 | \$69,409 | \$61,743 |
| Net loss | (6,890) | (1,437) | (1,127) | (12,380) | (14,989) |
| Net loss per share - basic and diluted | \$(0.24) | \$(0.06) | \$(0.05) | \$(0.54) | \$0.72 |
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Consolidated Balance Sheet Data: | | | | | |
| Total Assets | \$31,817 | \$33,076 | \$31,005 | \$35,254 | \$28,364 |

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

The following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements and the related notes that are included in this report.

Some of the statements contained in this "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and elsewhere in this report are forward-looking statements that involve substantial risks and uncertainties. All statements other than historical facts contained in this report, including statements regarding our future financial position, business strategy and plans and objectives of management for future operations, are forward-looking statements. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as "believes," "expects," "anticipates," "intends," "estimates," "may," "will," "continue," "should," "plan," "predict," "potential" and other similar expressions. We have based these forward-looking statements on our current expectations and projections about future events and financial trends that we believe may affect our financial condition, results of operations, business strategy and financial needs. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements, which are subject to a number of risks, uncertainties and assumptions described in the "Risk Factors" section and elsewhere in this report.

General

The common stock of Spark Networks, Inc. is traded on the NYSE MKT under the ticker symbol LOV. We are a leader in creating communities that help individuals form life-long relationships with others that share their interests and values. The Company's core properties, JDate and ChristianMingle, are communities geared towards singles of the Jewish and Christian faiths. Through the Company's websites and mobile applications, the Company helps members search for and communicate with other like-minded individuals. Membership on our online singles websites or mobile applications is free and allows registered members to post personal profiles and take advantage of our search and validation features. With the exception of JSwipe, which utilizes a "freemium" model, the ability to initiate communication with other members requires payment, typically a monthly subscription fee which, along with advertising sales, represents our primary source of revenue. We typically offer discounted subscription rates to those members who subscribe for periods longer than one month. Subscriptions renew automatically until subscribers terminate them.

For the year ended December 31, 2016, we had 178,407 average paying subscribers, representing a decrease of 12.4% from the year ended December 31, 2015. Paying subscribers are defined as individuals who have paid a monthly fee for access to communication and website features beyond those provided to our non-paying members. Average paying subscribers for each month are calculated as the sum of the paying subscribers at the beginning and end of the month, divided by two. Average paying subscribers for periods longer than one month are calculated as the sum of the average paying subscribers for each month, divided by the number of months in such period.

For the year ended December 31, 2016, we had 142,372 period ending subscribers, representing a decrease of 28.8% from the year ended December 31, 2015. Period ending subscribers are defined as the paying subscriber count as of the last day of the period.

Our ability to compete effectively will depend on our ability to address the needs of our members and paying subscribers, on the timely introduction and performance of innovative features and services associated with our brands, and the ability to respond to services and features introduced by competitors. We must also achieve these objectives within the parameters of our consolidated and operating segment profitability targets. We are focused on enhancing and augmenting our portfolio of services while also continuing to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of our operations. We believe we have sufficient cash resources on hand to accomplish the enhancements currently contemplated.

In August 2016, we entered into a purchase agreement with PEAK6 Investments, L.P. (“PEAK6”), pursuant to which we issued and sold to PEAK6 an aggregate of 5,000,000 shares of common stock of the Company at a purchase price of \$1.55 per share. We also issued a warrant to PEAK6 to purchase up to 7,500,000 shares of common stock of the Company at an exercise price of \$1.74 per share pursuant to the terms of a warrant agreement.

In connection with the execution of the purchase agreement, the Company entered into a Management Services Agreement dated as of August 9, 2016 with PEAK6, pursuant to which PEAK6 provides certain marketing, technology, strategy, development and other services to the Company over a five-year term, for a cash fee of \$1.5 million per year (the “Management Fee”), which will be paid on a quarterly basis in an amount of \$375,000 per quarter. The Management Fee excludes reimbursement of marketing costs as described below, which are costs in addition to the Management Fee.

At its discretion, PEAK6 may invoice each quarter for an amount different than the contractual amount, however, the amounts cannot exceed the contractual amount of \$375,000 per quarter, other than for marketing costs as described below. If the quarterly invoice is for an amount less than the contractual amount, PEAK6 does not have the right to bill any additional fees in any future period, as the amounts invoiced represent the full amount due for the services provided by PEAK6 to the Company for each specific quarter.

During the year ended December 31, 2016, PEAK6 invoiced the Company a Management Fee of \$560,000, representing the full amount due for services expected to be provided through the period ended February 28, 2017, excluding marketing costs as described below. The Management Fee may increase up to the contractual amount in future periods. The Management Fee expense is included within technical operations, development, and general and administrative expenses in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss. For the year ended December 31, 2016, Management Fee expense to PEAK6 was \$393,000. The prepaid expenses balance related to the Management Fee was \$167,000 at December 31, 2016.

In addition, in the event that PEAK6 partners or employees are engaged to provide marketing or marketing related services to the Company either as replacement of Company employees or other external marketing resources engaged by the Company or as if they were Company employees, then the Company will reimburse PEAK6 for the actual costs incurred by such PEAK6 partners or employees. The amount to be reimbursed in any year by the Company for such marketing or marketing related services shall not exceed the lesser of “Saved Company Marketing Costs” or \$1.8 million. “Saved Company Marketing Costs” is defined as the aggregate amount of fully burdened costs to the Company of the sales and marketing employees and external marketing resources (consulting or otherwise) that provided marketing or similar services to the Company that are replaced or reduced by the Company or PEAK6 partners or employees. The amounts reimbursed to PEAK6 for marketing and marketing related services are included as sales and marketing expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss. For the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company has expensed \$259,000 for sales and marketing services performed by PEAK6.

The Management Services Agreement may be terminated by the Company at its convenience upon at least 60 days’ prior written notice at any time after August 9, 2019, and may be terminated for cause at any time by PEAK6 or the Company upon the occurrence of certain events as set forth in the Management Services Agreement. Upon termination for convenience, the Company shall pay PEAK6 any unpaid quarterly payments that are due on or before the termination date and amounts due for certain costs and expenses incurred in connection with the Management Services Agreement. Upon termination for “cause” by PEAK6, the Company shall pay PEAK6 any unpaid quarterly payments that are due on or before the termination date, all Management Fees that would have been paid by the Company to PEAK6 in the first three years of the agreement less amounts actually paid, and the Warrant shall vest immediately without regard to any vesting conditions. Upon termination for cause by the Company, PEAK6 shall pay the Company an amount equal to the aggregate amount of all Management Fees paid by the Company during the term of the Management Services Agreement.

Critical Accounting Policies, Estimates and Assumptions

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make certain estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to revenue recognition, cost of revenue, prepaid advertising, website and software development costs, goodwill, intangible and other long-lived assets, accounting for business combinations, legal contingencies, income taxes and stock-based compensation. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and

liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Management has discussed the development and selection of our critical accounting policies, estimates and assumptions with our Board of Directors and the board has reviewed these disclosures. Past estimates have been in line with actual results.

We believe the following critical accounting policies reflect the more significant judgments and estimates we used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements:

Revenue Recognition and Deferred Revenue

The vast majority of our revenue is derived from subscription fees. Revenue is presented net of credits and credit card chargebacks. We recognize revenue in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Revenue recognition occurs ratably over the subscription period, beginning when there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement, delivery has occurred (access has been granted), the fees are fixed or determinable, and collection is reasonably assured. Paying subscribers primarily pay in advance using a credit card and, subject to certain conditions identified in our terms and conditions, all purchases are final and nonrefundable. Subscription fees collected in advance are deferred and recognized as revenue, using the straight-line method, over the term of the subscription. We reserve for potential credit card chargebacks based on our historical chargeback experience.

For revenue earned through certain mobile applications, including iOS and Android, we recognize subscription revenues gross of the application processing fees primarily because we are the primary obligor and we have the contractual right to determine the price paid by the subscriber. We record the related application processing fees as cost of revenue in the period incurred.

We also earn a small amount of revenue from advertising sales. We record advertising revenue as it is delivered and include it in the total revenue of each segment that generates advertising sales.

Cost of Revenue

Cost of revenue consists primarily of direct marketing costs, compensation and other employee-related costs (including stock-based compensation) for personnel dedicated to maintaining our data centers, data center expenses, credit card fees and mobile application processing fees. We incur substantial advertising expenses in order to generate traffic to our websites. These advertising costs consist of television and online advertising, including affiliate and co-brand arrangements, and are directly attributable to the revenue we receive from our subscribers. We have entered into numerous affiliate arrangements, under which our affiliates advertise or promote our website, and earn a fee whenever visitors click through the affiliate's advertisement to one of our websites and register or subscribe on our website. Some of our affiliates may also be affiliates of our competitors. Under our co-branded arrangements, our co-brand partners may operate their own separate websites where visitors can register and subscribe to our websites. Affiliate deals, co-brand deals and online advertising arrangements may fall in the categories of CPS, CPA, CPC, or CPM, as discussed below.

Our advertising expenses are recognized based on the terms of each individual contract. The majority of our advertising expenses are based on five pricing models:

- ◆ Cost per subscription (CPS) where we pay an online advertising provider a fee based upon the number of new paying subscribers it generates;
- ◆ Cost per acquisition (CPA) where we pay an online advertising provider a fee based on the number of new member registrations it generates;
- ◆ Cost per click (CPC) where we pay an online advertising provider a fee based on the number of clicks to our websites it generates;
- ◆ Cost per thousand for banner advertising (CPM) where we pay an online advertising provider a fee based on the number of times it displays our advertisements; and
- ◆ Offline where we pay television and radio stations for advertising placement on a cost per spot basis, print advertisers on a cost per page basis, and out-of-home advertisers on a fixed placement basis.

We estimate, in certain circumstances, the total clicks or impressions delivered by our vendors in order to determine amounts due under these contracts.

Prepaid Advertising Expenses

In certain circumstances, we pay in advance for online and offline advertising, and expense the prepaid amounts as cost of revenue over the contract periods as the vendor delivers on its commitment. We evaluate the realization of prepaid amounts at each reporting period and expense prepaid amounts if the vendor is unable to deliver on its commitment and is not willing or able to repay the undelivered prepaid amounts.

Website and Software Development Costs

We capitalize costs related to developing or obtaining internal-use software. Capitalization of costs begins after the preliminary project stage has been completed. Website and software development costs are expensed as incurred, or capitalized into property and equipment. Costs incurred in the planning and post-implementation stages of an internal use software project are expensed as incurred while direct costs associated with the development phase are capitalized and amortized on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives. Costs associated with minor enhancements and maintenance for a website are included in expenses in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss.

Valuation of Goodwill, Identified Intangibles and Other Long-lived Assets

We review the potential impairment of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets at least annually, or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable and test property and equipment and other intangible assets for impairment whenever changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Our operating segments represent the reporting units to which we assign goodwill. We aggregate our indefinite-lived intangible assets, primarily consisting of domain names, into one unit of account for each reporting unit. Indicators which could trigger an impairment review include the following:

- a significant decline in the market value of our common stock;
- a significant decline in actual or projected revenue;
- a significant decline in performance of certain acquired companies relative to our original projections;
- an excess of our net book value over our market value;
- a significant decline in our operating results relative to our operating forecasts;
- a significant change in the manner of our use of acquired assets or the strategy for our overall business;
- a significant decrease in the fair value of an asset;
- a shift in technology demands and development; or
- a significant turnover in key management or other personnel.

When we determine that the carrying value of goodwill, other intangible assets and other long-lived assets may not be recoverable based upon the existence of one or more of the above indicators of impairment, we measure any impairment based on a projected discounted cash flow method using a discount rate determined by our management to be commensurate with the risk inherent in our current business model. In the case of the other intangible assets and other long-lived assets, this measurement is only performed if the projected undiscounted cash flows for the asset are less than its carrying value.

At October 31, 2016, we performed our annual impairment analysis utilizing a quantitative assessment. We estimated the fair value of the reporting units based on the market approach and the income approach. The market approach uses the guideline public companies method, where value is estimated by comparing the Company to similar companies with publicly traded ownership interests. Additionally, we performed a secondary market approach to determine the value per subscribers, and by extension a reporting unit enterprise value, as compared to peer companies' derived subscriber values normalized for both expected growth rates and average revenue per user. The income approach relies upon discounted future cash flows which are derived from various assumptions including: projected cash flows, discount rates, projected long-term growth rates and terminal values. We used a discount rate which reflects the risks and uncertainty related to each reporting unit. The results of the annual impairment test indicated the fair value of all of the reporting units exceeded their respective carrying value, however, the excess value related to our Jewish Networks reporting unit was smaller than the excess value observed within our other reporting units and sensitive to changes in key assumptions. The inputs to the discounted cash flow model used to determine the fair value of the Jewish Networks reporting unit included a 3% growth rate to calculate the terminal value and discount rates of 13%

and 41% for JDate and JSwipe forecasts, respectively. Factors that have the potential to create variances in the estimated fair value of the Jewish Networks reporting unit include, but are not limited to, fluctuations in (i) the number of monthly subscribers and average revenue per user which can be driven by multiple external factors affecting demand, including macroeconomic factors, competitive dynamics and changes in consumer preferences; (ii) marketing costs to acquire new customers; and (iii) equity valuations of peer companies.

Between October 31, 2016 and December 31, 2016, we identified a significant and sustained decrease in our stock price which reduced the excess fair value of the Jewish Networks reporting unit to an amount that indicated impairment was likely. Based on these indicators, management moved to step two of the quantitative impairment test. The fair values of the Christian Networks and Other Networks reporting units significantly exceeded the carrying values at the annual and interim periods, and as a result, additional impairment testing was not warranted.

As required by the quantitative second step of the impairment test, we performed an allocation of the fair value to all of the assets and liabilities of the Jewish Networks reporting unit, including identifiable intangible assets, based on their estimated fair values, to determine the implied fair value of goodwill. Accordingly, we recorded a goodwill impairment charge related to the Jewish Networks reporting unit of \$4.0 million during the fourth quarter of 2016, for the difference between the carrying value of the goodwill in the reporting unit and its implied fair value. We also identified the impairment of a finite-lived intangible asset based on estimates included in the second step of the quantitative test. As a result, we recorded an impairment of \$209,000 on the intangible asset.

In 2015, the Company performed its annual impairment analysis utilizing the qualitative assessment option. We assessed qualitative factors to determine whether it was necessary to perform the two-step test (quantitative assessment). The analysis concluded that it is more-likely-than-not that the fair values of the Jewish Networks, Christian Networks, and Other Networks are higher than their carrying values.

As of December 31, 2016, Jewish Networks, Christian Networks, and Other Networks carried goodwill balances of \$8.6 million, \$1.7 million, and \$232,000, respectively.

In 2016, the Company impaired \$4.6 million of intangible and long-lived assets. \$4.2 million of the impairment was related to goodwill and intangible assets as a result of its annual quantitative assessment test with the remainder resulting from the unamortized balance of capitalized software development costs associated with certain products that failed to perform to Company standards. In 2015, the Company impaired \$197,000 of long-lived assets primarily related to the unamortized balance of capitalized software development costs associated with certain products that failed to perform to Company standards. In 2014, the Company impaired \$128,000 of long lived assets primarily related to the unamortized balance of capitalized software development costs associated with certain mobile projects and web-based products no longer in use.

Accounting for Business Combinations

We acquire the stock or specific assets of companies that may be considered to be business acquisitions. Under the acquisition method of accounting, we allocate the fair value of purchase consideration to the tangible assets acquired, liabilities assumed, and intangible assets acquired based on their estimated fair values. The excess of the purchase consideration over the fair values of these identifiable assets and liabilities is recorded as goodwill. Such valuations require management to make significant estimates and assumptions, especially with respect to estimating the fair value and expected useful life assigned to each class of assets and liabilities acquired. Different classes of assets will have varying useful lives. For example, the useful life of a member database, which is typically three years, is not the same as the useful life of a paying subscriber list, which is typically three months, or a domain name, which is indefinite. Consequently, to the extent a longer-lived asset is ascribed greater value under the purchase method than a shorter-lived asset, there may be less amortization recorded in a given period or no amortization for indefinite lived intangibles.

Management's estimates of fair value are based upon assumptions believed to be reasonable, but which are inherently uncertain and unpredictable and, as a result, actual results may differ from estimates. During the measurement period, which is one year from the acquisition date, we may record adjustments to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed, with the corresponding offset to goodwill. Upon the conclusion of the measurement period, any subsequent adjustments are recorded to earnings.

Legal Contingencies

We are currently involved in certain legal proceedings, as discussed in the notes to the financial statements and under "Legal Proceedings." To the extent that a loss related to a contingency is reasonably estimable and probable, we accrue

an estimate of that loss. Because of the uncertainties related to both the amount and range of loss on certain pending litigation, we may be unable to make a reasonable estimate of the liability that could result from an unfavorable outcome of such litigation. As additional information becomes available, we will assess the potential liability related to our pending litigation and make, or if necessary, revise our estimates. Such revisions in our estimates of the potential liability could materially impact our results of operations and financial position.

Accounting for Income Taxes

We account for income taxes using the asset and liability method, which requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts and tax bases of the assets and liabilities.

As of December 31, 2016, we had a valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets of approximately \$14.3 million. We assess whether a valuation allowance should be recorded against the deferred tax assets (“DTAs”) based on the consideration of all available evidence, using a “more likely than not” realization standard. Cumulative losses in recent years, which the Company defines as the most recent three-year period, is considered significant negative evidence in evaluating the realizability of DTAs, which is difficult to overcome. In light of the Company’s recent history of losses, we are not able to conclude that it is more likely than not that our DTAs will be realized and we recorded a valuation allowance against our net DTAs, with a corresponding charge to our income tax (benefit) provision of approximately \$555,000 during the year ended December 31, 2016.

At December 31, 2016, we had gross net operating loss (“NOL”) carry-forwards for income tax purposes of approximately \$39.5 million and \$51.0 million available to reduce future federal and state taxable income, respectively, which expire beginning in the years 2025 for federal purposes and 2018 for state purposes. Under section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code, the utilization of the net operating loss carry-forwards may be limited based on changes in the ownership of the Company. The Company also has net operating loss carryovers for Israeli tax purposes of approximately \$9.0 million which do not expire. The Company has federal income tax credit carry-forwards for income tax purposes of approximately \$894,000 available to reduce future federal income tax.

The Company recognizes excess tax benefits associated with the exercise of stock options directly to stockholders’ equity only when realized. Accordingly, deferred tax assets are not recognized for net operating losses resulting from excess tax benefits. As of December 31, 2016, deferred tax assets do not include approximately \$5.3 million of these excess tax benefits from employee stock option exercises that are a component of the Company’s NOL carry forwards. Additional paid in capital will be increased up to an additional \$5.3 million if and when such excess tax benefits are realized. However, to the extent additional paid-in capital has been recognized for qualifying excess tax deductions from previous share-based payments, the write-off of the deferred tax asset when the tax deduction is less than recognized compensation cost is charged to additional paid-in capital, with any remainder charged to provision for income taxes.

We operate in multiple taxing jurisdictions, both within the United States and outside the United States. We have filed tax returns with positions that may be challenged by the tax authorities. These positions relate to, among others, transfer pricing, the deductibility of certain expenses, intercompany transactions as well as other matters. Although the outcome of tax audits is uncertain, we regularly assesses our tax position for such matters and, in management’s opinion, adequate provisions for income taxes have been made for potential liabilities resulting from such matters. To the extent reserves are recorded, they will be utilized or reversed once the statute of limitations has expired and/or at the conclusion of the tax examination. We believe that the ultimate outcome of these matters will not have a material impact on our financial position or liquidity. We recognize the tax effects from an uncertain tax position in our financial statements, only if the position is more-likely-than-not of being sustained on audit, based on the technical merits of the position. Tax positions that meet the recognition threshold are reported at the largest amount that is more-likely-than-not to be realized.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company calculates the fair value of stock-based compensation using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The determination of the fair value of stock-based awards at the grant date requires judgment in developing assumptions, which involve a number of variables. These variables include, but are not limited to, the expected stock price volatility over the term of the awards, the expected dividend yield and the expected stock option exercise behavior.

Our computation of expected volatility is based on a combination of historical and market-based implied volatility. The volatility rate was derived by examining historical stock price behavior and assessing management’s expectations

of stock price behavior during the term of the option. The term of the options was derived based on the “simplified method” calculation. The simplified method allows companies that do not have sufficient historical experience to provide a reasonable basis for an estimate to instead estimate the expected term of a "plain vanilla" option by averaging the time to vesting and the full term of the option. ("Plain vanilla" options are options with the following characteristics: (1) the options are granted at-the-money; (2) exercisability is conditional only upon performing service through the vesting date; (3) if an employee terminates service prior to vesting, the employee would forfeit the options; (4) if an employee terminates service after vesting, the employee would have a limited time to exercise the options (typically 30 to 90 days); and (5) the options are nontransferable and non-hedgeable.) The Company periodically evaluates the applicability of using the simplified method with respect to the characteristics noted above to estimate the expected term of our options and will continue to do so as our business continues to evolve. If any of the assumptions used in the Black-Scholes model change significantly, stock-based compensation expense may differ materially in the future from that recorded in the current period. The Company believes the accounting for stock-based compensation is a critical accounting policy because it requires the use of complex judgment in its application.

Segment Reporting

Segment reporting requires the use of the management approach in determining the reportable operating segments. The management approach considers the internal organization and reporting used by our chief operating decision maker for making operating decisions and assessing performance. The Company's financial reporting includes detailed data on four separate operating segments which were principally determined based on similarity of economic characteristics and include: (1) Jewish Networks, which consists of JDate, JDate.co.uk, JDate.fr, JDate.co.il, Cupid.co.il, and JSwipe; (2) Christian Networks, which consists of ChristianMingle, CrossPaths, ChristianMingle.co.uk, ChristianMingle.com.au, Believe.com, ChristianCards.net, DailyBibleVerse.com and Faith.com; (3) Other Networks, which consists of Spark.com and related other general market websites as well as other properties which are primarily composed of sites targeted towards various religious, ethnic, geographic and special interest groups; and (4) Offline & Other Businesses, which consists of revenue generated from offline activities.

Results of Operations

The following is a more detailed discussion of our financial condition and results of operations for the periods presented.

The following table presents our historical operating results as a percentage of revenue for the periods indicated:

| | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|--|---------|--------|--------|
| | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % |
| Revenue | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Cost and expenses: | | | |
| Cost of revenue (exclusive of depreciation shown separately below) | 36.6 | 50.0 | 55.7 |
| Sales and marketing | 13.6 | 8.6 | 8.3 |
| Customer service | 8.3 | 6.4 | 4.9 |
| Technical operations | 3.9 | 2.1 | 1.8 |
| Development | 11.2 | 8.4 | 5.6 |
| General and administrative | 25.6 | 21.6 | 21.6 |
| Depreciation | 9.2 | 4.6 | 3.3 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Impairment of intangible and long-lived assets | 13.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| Total cost and expenses | 122.4 | 102.3 | 101.5 |
| Operating loss | (22.4) | (2.3) | (1.5) |
| Interest expense and other, net | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.9 |
| Loss before provision for income taxes | (22.5) | (2.5) | (2.4) |
| Income tax (benefit) provision | (2.9) | 0.5 | (0.6) |
| Net loss | (19.6)% | (3.0)% | (1.8)% |

The following table describes certain selected information and Adjusted EBITDA⁽¹⁾ for the years ended December 31,

| (in thousands) | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Net loss | \$(6,890) | \$(1,437) | \$(1,127) |
| Interest expense | 83 | 68 | 48 |
| Income tax (benefit) provision | (1,028) | 243 | (375) |
| Depreciation | 3,234 | 2,211 | 2,053 |
| Impairment of intangible and long-lived assets | 4,629 | 197 | 128 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 293 | 108 | 40 |
| Non-cash currency translation adjustments | (66) | 15 | 518 |
| Stock-based compensation | 982 | 782 | 946 |
| Non-recurring financing, acquisition, and severance costs | 1,234 | 644 | 3,308 |
| Adjusted EBITDA ⁽¹⁾ | \$2,471 | \$2,831 | \$5,539 |

(1) The Company reports Adjusted EBITDA as a supplemental measure to generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (“US GAAP”). This measure is one of the primary metrics by which we evaluate the performance of our businesses, budget, forecast and compensate management. We believe this measure provides management and investors with a consistent view, period to period, of the core earnings generated from on-going operations and excludes the impact of items that we do not consider representative of our ongoing operating performance, including: (i) non-cash items such as stock-based compensation, asset impairments, non-cash currency translation adjustments related to an inter-company loan and (ii) one-time items that have not occurred in the past two years and are not expected to recur in the next two years. Adjusted EBITDA has inherent limitations in evaluating the performance of the Company, including, but not limited to the following:

- Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect the cash capital expenditures during the measurement period,
- Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect any changes in working capital requirements during the measurement period,
- Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect the cash tax payments during the measurement period, and
- Adjusted EBITDA may be calculated differently by other companies in our industry, thus limiting its value as a comparative measure.

Adjusted EBITDA should not be construed as a substitute for net loss (as determined in accordance with GAAP) for the purpose of analyzing our operating performance or financial position, as Adjusted EBITDA is not defined by GAAP.

Key Metric - Average Paying Subscribers

We regularly review average paying subscribers as a key metric to evaluate the effectiveness of our operating strategies and monitor the financial performance of our business. Paying subscribers are defined as individuals for whom we collect a monthly fee for access to communication and website features beyond those provided to our non-paying members. Average paying subscribers for each month are calculated as the sum of the paying subscribers at the beginning and end of the month, divided by two. Average paying subscribers for periods longer than one month are calculated as the sum of the average paying subscribers for each month, divided by the number of months in such period.

Selected statistical information regarding average paying subscribers for our operating segments is shown in the table below:

Edgar Filing: SPARK NETWORKS INC - Form 10-K

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Average Paying Subscribers | | | |
| Jewish Networks | 58,960 | 65,721 | 77,290 |
| Christian Networks | 108,771 | 125,639 | 169,602 |
| Other Networks | 10,676 | 12,197 | 14,842 |
| Total Average Paying Subscribers | 178,407 | 203,557 | 261,734 |

Average paying subscribers for the Jewish Networks segment decreased 10.3% to 58,960 for the year ended December 31, 2016 compared to 65,721 in 2015, reflecting reduced marketing and promotional activity within this segment. Average paying subscribers for the Jewish Networks segment decreased 15.0% to 65,721 for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to 77,290 in 2014, reflecting decreased direct marketing investment within this segment.

Average paying subscribers for the Christian Networks segment decreased 13.4% to 108,771 for the year ended December 31, 2016 compared to 125,639 in 2015, reflecting reduced marketing and promotional activity within this segment. Average paying subscribers for the Christian Networks segment decreased 25.9% to 125,639 for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to 169,602 in 2014, reflecting reduced direct marketing investment within this segment.

Average paying subscribers for the Other Networks segment decreased 12.5% to 10,676 for the year ended December 31, 2016 compared to 12,197 in 2015. Average paying subscribers for the Other Networks segment decreased 17.8% to 12,197 for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to 14,842 in 2014. This decrease can be primarily attributed to a reduction in marketing spend in prior and current periods.

We expect continued declines in direct marketing expenses in 2017 as management develops, implements and refines our direct marketing strategy.

Key Metric – Period Ending Subscribers

We regularly review period ending subscribers as a key metric to evaluate the effectiveness of our operating strategies and the financial performance of our business. Period ending subscribers for each period represent the paying subscriber count as of the last day of the period.

Selected statistical information regarding period ending subscribers for our operating segments is shown in the table below:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Period Ending Subscribers | | | |
| Jewish Networks | 51,519 | 65,004 | 71,251 |
| Christian Networks | 82,163 | 123,800 | 131,479 |
| Other Networks | 8,690 | 11,219 | 12,787 |
| Total Period Ending Subscribers | 142,372 | 200,023 | 215,517 |

Period ending subscribers for the Jewish Networks segment decreased 20.7% to 51,519 for the year ended December 31, 2016 compared to 65,004 in 2015, reflecting the reduced marketing and promotional activity within this segment. Period ending subscribers for the Jewish Networks segment decreased 8.8% to 65,004 for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared 71,251 in 2014, reflecting decreased marketing investment within this segment.

Period ending subscribers for the Christian Networks segment decreased 33.6% to 82,163 for the year ended December 31, 2016 compared to 123,800 in 2015, reflecting the reduced marketing and promotional activity within this segment. Period ending subscribers for the Christian Networks segment decreased 5.8% to 123,800 for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to 131,479 in 2014, reflecting the reduction and reallocation of direct marketing investment within this segment.

Period ending subscribers for the Other Networks segment decreased 22.5% to 8,690 for the year ended December 31, 2016 compared to 11,219 in 2015, reflecting the reduced marketing and promotional activity within this segment. Period ending subscribers for the Other Networks segment decreased 12.3% to 11,219 for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to 12,787 in 2014, reflecting the reduction in marketing spend within this segment.

We expect continued declines in direct marketing expenses in 2017 as management develops, implements and refines our direct marketing strategy.

Year Ended December 31, 2016 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2015

Revenue

The vast majority of our revenue is derived from subscription fees. Approximately 1.8% and 4.2% of our revenue for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, were generated through advertising revenue and offline social and travel events. Revenue is presented net of credits and credit card chargebacks. Our subscriptions are offered in durations of varying length (typically, one, three or six months). Plans with durations longer than one month are available at discounted monthly rates. Following their initial terms, most subscriptions renew automatically until subscribers terminate them.

Revenue for the year ended December 31, 2016 decreased 27.1% to \$35.1 million from \$48.1 million in 2015. The revenue decrease can be primarily attributed to a decrease in Jewish Networks and Christian Networks revenue.

Revenue for the Jewish Networks segment decreased 25.6% to \$14.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, compared to \$18.9 million in 2015. The lower Jewish Networks revenue reflects the aforementioned 10.3% decrease in average paying subscribers, coupled with a 17.2% decrease in average revenue per user (“ARPU”) driven by a significant increase in the proportion of six month subscriptions sold and increased promotional discounting activity. Revenue for the Christian Networks segment decreased 28.8% to \$19.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, compared to \$27.2 million in 2015. The lower Christian Networks revenue reflects the aforementioned 13.4% decrease in average paying subscribers, coupled with a 14.0% decrease in ARPU driven by a significant increase in the proportion of six month subscriptions sold and increased promotional activity during the period. Revenue for the Other Networks segment decreased 17.2% to \$1.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, compared to \$2.0 million in 2015. The decrease in Other Networks revenue is driven by a 12.5% decrease in average paying subscribers, reflecting the elimination of certain online marketing investments over the last three years.

Costs and Expenses

Costs and expenses consist primarily of cost of revenue, sales and marketing, customer service, technical operations, development and general and administrative expenses. Costs and expenses decreased 12.7% to \$43.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, compared to \$49.2 million in 2015. The decrease is primarily attributable to an \$11.2 million decrease in cost of revenue.

Cost of revenue. Cost of revenue consists primarily of direct marketing costs, compensation and other employee-related costs (including stock-based compensation) for personnel dedicated to maintaining our data centers, data center expenses, credit card fees and mobile application processing fees. Cost of revenue decreased 46.6% to \$12.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, compared to \$24.1 million in 2015. This decrease can be primarily attributed to reductions in Jewish Networks and Christian Networks direct marketing expenses of \$1.0 million and \$10.1 million, respectively. Direct marketing expenses for the Jewish Networks segment decreased 38.5% to \$1.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to \$2.6 million for the same period in 2015. Direct marketing expenses for the Christian Networks segment decreased 60.1% to \$6.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, as compared to \$16.6 million in 2015. The reduction in direct marketing expense primarily reflects lower online and offline advertising spend as we reduce and reallocate our marketing investments to more efficient channels, partners and programming. We expect continued declines in direct marketing expenses in 2017 as management develops, implements and refines our direct marketing strategy.

Sales and marketing. Sales and marketing expenses consist primarily of salaries for our sales and marketing personnel. Sales and marketing expenses increased 15.8% to \$4.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, as compared to \$4.1 million for the same period in 2015. The increase is primarily attributed to higher salaries and wages, and severance expense of \$193,000 related to the Company’s workforce and expense reduction initiative during the second quarter of 2016 and executive severance incurred during the third quarter of 2016. The increase in sales and marketing expenses is also attributed to an increase in public relations expense in the first quarter of 2016, primarily reflecting activities focused on promoting the JDate and ChristianMingle brands.

Customer service. Customer service expenses consist primarily of personnel costs associated with our customer service centers. The members of our customer service team primarily respond to billing questions, detect and eliminate suspected fraudulent activity, and also address site usage and dating questions from our members. Customer service expenses decreased 5.4% to \$2.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, compared to \$3.1 million in 2015. The decrease is primarily attributed to lower personnel costs.

Technical operations. Technical operations expenses consist primarily of the personnel and systems necessary to support our corporate technology requirements. Technical operations expenses increased 33.9% to \$1.4 million for the

year ended December 31, 2016, compared to \$1.0 million in 2015. The increase is primarily attributable to higher salaries and wages expense due to changes in headcount and severance expense of \$147,000 related to the Company's workforce and expense reduction initiative during the second quarter of 2016 and executive severance incurred during the third quarter of 2016. The increase is also attributable to PEAK6 management fee expense of \$59,000 incurred during the fourth quarter of 2016.

Development. Development expenses consist primarily of costs incurred in the development, enhancement and maintenance of our websites and services. Development expenses decreased 2.9% to \$3.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, compared to \$4.0 million in 2015. The decrease can be attributed to lower compensation expense as a result of reductions in headcount.

General and administrative. General and administrative expenses consist primarily of corporate personnel-related costs, professional fees, occupancy and other overhead costs. General and administrative expenses decreased 13.4% to \$9.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, compared to \$10.4 million in 2015. The decrease can be primarily attributed to lower overhead and legal expenses and a decrease in bad debt expense, offset by severance payments of \$243,000 related to the Company's workforce and expense reduction initiative during the second quarter of 2016, executive severance incurred during the third quarter of 2016, and PEAK6 management fee expense of \$196,000 incurred during the fourth quarter of 2016.

Depreciation. Depreciation expenses consist primarily of depreciation of capitalized website and software development costs, computer hardware and other fixed assets. Depreciation expense increased 46.3% to \$3.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, compared to \$2.2 million in 2015. The increase is primarily attributable to significant capitalized website and software development costs associated with products put into service in late 2015 and early 2016.

Amortization of intangible assets. Amortization expenses consist primarily of amortization of intangible assets related to acquisitions. Amortization expense increased to \$293,000 for the year ended December 31, 2016, compared to \$108,000 in 2015. The increase reflects amortization expense attributable to intangible assets acquired as part of the Smooch Labs acquisition in the fourth quarter of 2015.

Impairment of intangible and long-lived assets. Impairment of intangible and long-lived assets primarily represents the write-down of investments in businesses and computer software. Impairment of intangible and long-lived assets increased to \$4.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 compared to \$197,000 in 2015. The increase is primarily attributable to impairment of goodwill and intangible assets recorded by management as part of its annual quantitative assessment during the fourth quarter of 2016. The 2015 expense reflects the unamortized balance of capitalized software development costs associated with certain products that failed to perform to Company standards.

Interest expense and other, net. Interest expense and other, net consist primarily of interest income associated with short-term investments and cash deposits in interest bearing accounts, income or expense related to currency fluctuations and interest expense associated with borrowings from our revolving credit facility. Interest expense and other, net reflected \$29,000 of expense for the year ended December 31, 2016, compared to \$96,000 of expense in 2015. Currency translation adjustments associated with our inter-company loan account for the difference between the two periods.

Income tax (benefit) provision. Income tax (benefit) provision for the year ended December 31, 2016 was \$(1.0) million compared to \$243,000 for the year ended December 31, 2015. The 2016 provision for income tax consists of \$86,000 of deferred tax liability associated with tax deductible amortization of goodwill and other indefinite lived intangibles, \$59,000 of foreign and state current taxes payable, \$32,000 related to interest accrued on unrecognized tax benefits, \$(154,000) related to a tax law change in Israel, \$(719,000) related to the settlement in the second quarter of 2016 of a state tax position that was previously unrecognized, and \$(332,000) for amended state returns related to changes in apportionment.

Income tax (benefit) provision for the year ended December 31, 2015 was \$243,000. The 2015 provision for income tax consists of \$107,000 of deferred tax related to an increase in the deferred tax liability associated with tax deductible amortization of goodwill and other indefinite lived intangibles, \$58,000 of foreign and state current taxes payable and \$77,000 related to interest accrued on unrecognized tax benefits. We did not recognize a tax benefit for losses incurred for the year ended December 31, 2015, as we recorded valuation allowances against our deferred tax assets.

Net loss and net loss per share. Net loss was \$(6.9) million, or \$(0.24) per share, for the year ended December 31, 2016, compared to a net loss of \$(1.4) million, or \$(0.06) per share in 2015. The increase in net loss and net loss per share was primarily due to lower revenues generated in 2016.

Year Ended December 31, 2015 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2014

Revenue

The vast majority of our revenue is derived from subscription fees. Approximately 4.2% and 5.8% of our revenue for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, were generated through advertising revenue and offline social and travel events. Revenue is presented net of credits and credit card chargebacks. Our subscriptions are offered in durations of varying length (typically, one, three or six months). Plans with durations longer than one month are available at discounted monthly rates. Following their initial terms, most subscriptions renew automatically until subscribers terminate them.

Revenue for the year ended December 31, 2015 decreased 21.9% to \$48.1 million from \$61.6 million in 2014. The revenue decrease can be primarily attributed to a decrease in Jewish Networks and Christian Networks revenue.

Revenue for the Jewish Networks segment decreased 18.5% to \$18.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to \$23.2 million in 2014. The lower Jewish Networks revenue reflects a 15.0% decrease in average paying subscribers due to the reasons cited above. Revenue for our Christian Networks segment decreased 24.1% to \$27.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to \$35.9 million in 2014. The lower Christian Networks revenue reflects a 25.9% decrease in average paying subscribers, driven by a 37.4% decrease in direct marketing investment. Revenue for the Other Networks segment decreased 22.3% to \$2.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to \$2.2 million in 2014. The decrease in Other Networks revenue is driven by a 17.8% decrease in average paying subscribers, reflecting the elimination of certain online marketing investments over the last three years.

Costs and Expenses

Costs and expenses consist primarily of cost of revenue, sales and marketing, customer service, technical operations, development and general and administrative expenses. Costs and expenses decreased 21.3% to \$49.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to \$62.6 million in 2014. The decrease is primarily attributable to a \$10.2 million decrease in cost of revenue, and a \$2.8 million decrease in general and administrative expenses.

Cost of revenue. Cost of revenue consists primarily of direct marketing costs, compensation and other employee-related costs (including stock-based compensation) for personnel dedicated to maintaining our data centers, data center expenses, credit card fees and mobile application processing fees. Cost of revenue decreased 29.9% to \$24.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to \$34.3 million in 2014. This decrease can be primarily attributed to lower Christian Networks direct marketing expenses. Direct marketing expenses for the Christian Networks segment decreased 37.4% to \$16.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to \$26.8 million in 2014. The lower direct marketing expense reflects lower online and offline advertising spend as we reduce and reallocate our marketing investments to more efficient channels, partners and programming.

Sales and marketing. Sales and marketing expenses consist primarily of salaries for our sales and marketing personnel. Sales and marketing expenses decreased 19.3% to \$4.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to \$5.1 million in 2014. The decrease can be primarily attributed to a reduction in salaries expense due to changes in headcount, public relations expense and consulting fees.

Customer service. Customer service expenses consist primarily of personnel costs associated with our customer service centers. The members of our customer service team primarily respond to billing questions, detect and eliminate suspected fraudulent activity, and also address site usage and dating questions from our members. Customer service expenses increased 0.9% to \$3.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to \$3.0 million in 2014. The expense increase is primarily attributed to higher personnel costs, reflecting increased support of our core websites in the fourth quarter of 2015 following their redesigns.

Technical operations. Technical operations expenses consist primarily of the personnel and systems necessary to support our corporate technology requirements. Technical operations expenses decreased 9.4% to \$1.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to \$1.1 million in 2014. The decrease is primarily due to lower salaries expense due to changes in headcount in 2015 as compared to the same period in 2014.

Development. Development expenses consist primarily of costs incurred in the development, enhancement and maintenance of our websites and services. Development expenses increased 17.2% to \$4.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to \$3.4 million in 2014. The increase can be attributed to higher compensation expense, associated with the expansion of our mobile development team.

General and administrative. General and administrative expenses consist primarily of corporate personnel-related costs, professional fees, occupancy and other overhead costs. General and administrative expenses decreased 22.0% to \$10.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to \$13.3 million in 2014. The decrease can be primarily attributed to fees and expenses related to our proxy contest and lower salaries expense, partially offset by an increase in legal expenses related to protecting the Company's intellectual property, and an increase in bad debt expense related to the non-payment status of an advertiser.

Depreciation. Depreciation expenses consist primarily of depreciation of capitalized website and software development costs, computer hardware and other fixed assets. Depreciation expense increased 7.7% to \$2.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to \$2.1 million in 2014. The increase is primarily attributable to higher capitalized website and software development costs in the fourth quarter of 2015.

Amortization of intangible assets. Amortization expenses consist primarily of amortization of intangible assets related to acquisitions. Amortization expense increased 170.0% to \$108,000 for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to \$40,000 in 2014. The increase reflects amortization expense attributable to intangible assets acquired as part of the Smooch Labs acquisition in the fourth quarter of 2015.

Impairment of intangible and long-lived assets. Impairment of intangible and long-lived assets primarily represents the write-down of investments in businesses and computer software. Impairment of intangible and long-lived assets increased 53.9% to \$197,000 for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to \$128,000 in 2014. The expenses reflect the unamortized balance of capitalized software development costs associated with certain products that failed to perform to Company standards.

Interest expense (income) and other, net. Interest expense (income) and other, net consist primarily of interest income associated with short-term investments and cash deposits in interest bearing accounts, income or expense related to currency fluctuations and interest expense associated with borrowings from our revolving credit facility. Interest expense and other, net reflected \$96,000 of expense for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to \$564,000 of expense in 2014. Currency translation adjustments associated with our inter-company loan account for the difference between the two periods.

Income tax (benefit) provision. Income tax (benefit) provision for the year ended December 31, 2015 was \$243,000 compared to \$(375,000) for the year ended December 31, 2014. The 2015 provision for income tax consists of \$107,000 of deferred tax related to an increase in the deferred tax liability associated with tax deductible amortization of goodwill and other indefinite lived intangibles, \$58,000 of foreign and state current taxes payable and \$77,000 related to interest accrued on unrecognized tax benefits. We did not recognize a tax benefit for losses incurred for the year ended December 31, 2015, as we recorded valuation allowances against our deferred tax assets.

Income tax (benefit) provision for the year ended December 31, 2014 was \$(375,000). We did not recognize a tax benefit for losses incurred in 2014, as we recorded a valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets. The 2014 income tax provision consists of \$103,000 of deferred tax related to an increase in the deferred tax liability associated with tax deductible amortization of goodwill and other indefinite lived intangibles, \$(562,000) of federal, state, and foreign current tax benefit and \$84,000 related to interest accrued on unrecognized tax benefits. The \$(562,000) of current tax benefit includes a \$(609,000) reduction to the reserve for unrecognized tax benefits.

Net loss and net loss per share. Net loss was \$(1.4) million, or \$(0.06) per share, for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to a net loss of \$(1.1) million, or \$(0.05) per share in 2014. The increase in net loss and net loss per share was primarily due to lower contribution generated in 2015.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of December 31, 2016, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$11.4 million. We believe, based on our current operating plan, that our existing cash and cash equivalents will be sufficient to meet our anticipated cash needs for the foreseeable future.

Net cash used in operations was \$1.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, as compared to net cash provided by operations of \$1.6 million for the same period in 2015. The negative operating cash flow in 2016 was driven by our decision to invest more heavily in direct marketing during the first quarter of 2016.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$2.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, as compared to \$9.6 million for the same period in 2015. The net cash used in investing activities reflects purchases of fixed assets and capitalized software in the normal course of business, as well as an acquisition during 2015.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$9.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, as compared to \$2.9 million for 2015. Cash provided by financing activities in 2016 reflects proceeds from the issuance of stock and warrants. Cash provided by financing activities in 2015 reflects proceeds from the exercise of stock options, partially offset by \$885,000 in common stock repurchases.

On January 22, 2016, the Company and certain of its direct and indirect subsidiaries, as co-borrowers, entered into a two-year Loan and Security Agreement (the "Credit Agreement") with Western Alliance Bank, as lender (the "Bank"). Under the Credit Agreement, the Company has a revolving line of credit available of up to \$10.0 million, with an aggregate sublimit of \$500,000 for ancillary services (including letters of credit, cash management services and foreign exchange transaction services). The availability of credit at any given time under the revolving line of credit is limited by reference to a borrowing base formula based upon the eligible US GAAP revenue of the borrowers and an advance rate percentage calculated in accordance with the terms of the Credit Agreement.

Borrowings under the Credit Agreement bear interest at the prime rate (which has a floor of 3.25%) plus 0.25% (4.00% at December 31, 2016). In addition, the Company is required to pay a quarterly unused line fee equal to 0.30% per annum of the unused portion of the revolving line of credit during the applicable quarter. The Credit Agreement provides for interest-only payments during its term, with principal due at maturity.

The Credit Agreement contains various restrictive covenants (applicable, in most instances, to both the borrowers and their subsidiaries), including limitations on the ability to sell assets, change the current line of business, merge or consolidate with or into another person, incur additional debt, grant liens, pay dividends or make other distributions, make loans or other investments, enter into transactions with affiliates, make any payment in respect of any subordinated debt and make capital expenditures (without the Bank's prior written consent) in any fiscal year in excess of \$3.75 million, along with other restrictions and limitations typical to credit agreements of this type and size.

The financial covenants in the Credit Agreement, which are only tested when there are any outstanding credit extensions thereunder and/or prior to any request for a credit extension, are as follows: the Company, on a consolidated basis, must maintain (i) as of the last day of each fiscal quarter, actual minimum Adjusted EBITDA (as defined in the Credit Agreement) of at least 80% of the projected Adjusted EBITDA set forth in the annual operating budget and projections of the Company (the "Plan") delivered to and approved by the Bank, measured on a trailing three month basis; (ii) as of the last day of each fiscal quarter, actual minimum revenue of at least 80% of the projected revenue set forth in the Plan, measured on a trailing three month basis; and (iii) unrestricted cash at the Bank of at least \$3.0 million, tested monthly on the last business day of each month. As of December 31, 2016, credit extensions under the Credit Agreement were not available to the Company as a result of the foregoing financial covenants.

As of December 31, 2016, there were no outstanding borrowings under the Credit Agreement. In connection with the Credit Agreement, the Company paid deferred financing costs, with the current portion included in prepaid expenses and other, and the long-term portion classified as deposits and other assets. The deferred financing costs are amortized on a straight-line basis to interest expense and other, net in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss through the maturity of the Credit Agreement on January 22, 2018. Amortization expense for the deferred financing costs for the year ended December 31, 2016 was \$56,000. The unamortized balance of deferred financing costs was \$64,000 as of December 31, 2016.

During 2015, we had a \$15.0 million revolving credit facility with Bank of America, which was entered into on February 14, 2008 with subsequent amendments (the "BofA Credit Agreement"). On December 23, 2015, we terminated the BofA Credit Agreement. As such, as of December 31, 2015, there was no outstanding amount under the BofA Credit Agreement. In connection with the original BofA Credit Agreement and the first nine amendments thereto, the Company paid deferred financing costs of approximately \$446,000 and \$168,000, respectively. Costs associated with both the original BofA Credit Agreement and the first nine amendments thereto were included in prepaid expenses and other assets. The deferred financing costs were amortized to interest expense (income) and other, net in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss over the full term of the BofA Credit Agreement. Amortization expense for the deferred financing costs for the year ended December 31, 2015 was \$26,000. At December 31, 2015 all deferred financing costs are fully amortized.

We believe that our current cash and cash flow from operations will be sufficient to meet our anticipated cash needs for working capital, capital expenditures and contractual obligations, for at least the next 12 months. We do not anticipate requiring additional capital; however, if required or desirable, we may utilize our revolving credit facility under the Credit Agreement to the extent available, or raise additional debt or issue additional equity in the private or public markets.

The following table describes our contractual commitments and obligations as of December 31, 2016 (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: SPARK NETWORKS INC - Form 10-K

| | Less than 1 year | 1 - 3 years | 3 - 5 years | More than 5 years | Total |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------|---------|
| Operating leases | \$722 | \$514 | \$ - | \$ - | \$1,236 |
| Other commitments and contingencies | 1,775 | 2,621 | - | - | 4,396 |
| Total contractual obligations | \$2,497 | \$3,135 | \$ - | \$ - | \$5,632 |

47

We had non-cancelable contractual obligations consisting of operating leases, and other non-cancelable commitments and obligations consisting of contracts with software licensing, marketing service providers, and a Management Services Agreement with PEAK6 as described in Note 12 to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in this annual report. Other commitments and obligations totaled \$1.8 million for less than one year and \$2.6 million for between one and three years. Contracts with other service providers are for terms less than one year. For contingences related to our tax positions, we are unable to make a reasonably reliable estimate of the timing of payments in individual years beyond 12 months. As a result, this amount is not included in the table above.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities, which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually, narrow or limited purposes. We do not have any outstanding derivative financial instruments, off-balance sheet guarantees, interest rate swap transactions or foreign currency forward contracts.

Recent Accounting Developments

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers. ASU 2014-09 provides for a single, principles-based model for revenue recognition that replaces the existing revenue recognition guidance. Subsequently, the FASB has issued the following standards related to ASU 2014-09: ASU No. 2016-08, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Principal versus Agent Considerations (“ASU 2016-08”); ASU No. 2016-10, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Identifying Performance Obligations and Licensing (“ASU 2016-10”); ASU No. 2016-12, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Narrow-Scope Improvements and Practical Expedients (“ASU 2016-12”); and ASU No. 2016-20, Technical Corrections and Improvements to Topic 606, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (“ASU 2016-20”). The Company must adopt ASU 2016-08, ASU 2016-10, ASU 2016-12 and ASU 2016-20 with ASU 2014-09 (collectively, the “new revenue standards”). In July 2015, the FASB deferred the effective date by one year for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017 (including interim reporting periods within those periods). Early adoption as of the original effective date of December 15, 2016 (including interim reporting periods within those periods) is permitted. The guidance permits two implementation approaches, one requiring retrospective application of the new standard with restatement of prior years, and one requiring prospective application of the new standard with disclosure of results under old standards. Management currently expects to adopt ASU No. 2014-09 in the first quarter of 2018, utilizing the full retrospective application. As predominantly all of our performance obligations in our revenue arrangements include access to our service provided over a contractual period, consistent with current guidance, management does not expect the adoption of the new revenue standard to have a material impact on the amount and timing of revenue recognized in its consolidated financial statements.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, Leases, which is intended to improve financial reporting for lease transactions by increasing transparency and comparability among organizations. The guidance in ASU No. 2016-02 requires a lessee to recognize the following at the commencement date for all leases with lease terms of more than 12 months: (i) a lease liability, which is a lessee’s obligation to make lease payments arising from a lease, measured on a discounted basis, and (ii) a right-of-use asset, which is an asset that represents the lessee’s right to use, or control the use of, a specified asset for the lease term. The guidance in ASU No. 2016-02 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within those fiscal years, with early adoption permitted. Management is currently assessing the impact the guidance will have upon adoption.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-09, Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting, which amends the existing guidance in Topic 718, Compensation – Stock Compensation. The guidance in ASU No. 2016-09

simplifies various aspects of accounting for share-based payment transactions, including the income tax consequences, classification of awards as either equity or liability, and classification on the statement of cash flows. The guidance in ASU No. 2016-09 is effective for fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim periods within those fiscal periods, with early adoption permitted. Management will adopt ASU No. 2016-09 in the first quarter of 2017. Management is unable to estimate the impact of adoption as it is dependent upon future stock option exercises which cannot be predicted.

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-15, Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments, which addresses several specific cash flow issues with the objective of reducing the existing diversity in practice in how certain cash receipts and cash payments are presented and classified in the statement of cash flows. The guidance in ASU No. 2016-15 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, and interim periods within those fiscal periods, with early adoption permitted. Management is currently assessing the impact the guidance will have upon adoption.

In November 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-18, Restricted Cash, which requires amounts generally described as restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents to be included within cash and cash equivalents when reconciling the beginning-of-period and end-of-period total amounts shown on the statement of cash flows. The guidance in ASU 2016-18 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, and interim periods within those fiscal periods, with early adoption permitted. Management is currently assessing the impact the guidance will have upon adoption.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Foreign Currency Exchange Risk

Various transactions (including sales, operating expenses and tax liabilities) that occur primarily in Israel are denominated in the New Israeli Shekel and are exposed to exchange rate fluctuations when converted to our reporting currency. As a result, our earnings are at risk as it relates to exchange rate fluctuations. We are also subject to certain translation and economic exposures related to the net investment in our Israeli subsidiary.

A relatively small amount of our monetary assets and liabilities are denominated in foreign currencies, principally the New Israeli Shekel. Fluctuations in these currencies relative to the United States Dollar will result in transaction gains or losses included in net earnings.

As of December 31, 2016, we held cash funds of approximately \$405,000 USD denominated in the New Israeli Shekel. We did not hold any amounts of other foreign currencies. If rates of the New Israeli Shekel were to strengthen or weaken relative to the United States Dollar, we would realize gains or losses in converting these funds back into United States Dollars.

The economic impact of foreign currency exchange rate movements on the Company is often linked to variability in real growth, inflation, interest rates, governmental actions and other factors. These changes, if material, could cause the Company to adjust its financing and operating strategies. Foreign currency exchange gains and losses are not material to the Company's earnings in 2016, and 2015. As foreign currency exchange rates change, translation of the statements of operations of the Company's international businesses into U.S. dollars affects year-over-year comparability of operating results. Historically, the Company has not hedged foreign currency exchange risks because cash flows from international operations are generally reinvested locally. However, the Company periodically reviews its strategy for hedging foreign currency exchange risks. The Company's objective in managing its foreign currency exchange risk is to minimize its potential exposure to the changes that foreign currency exchange rates might have on its earnings, cash flows and financial position.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The information required by this Item 8 is incorporated by reference to the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements beginning at page F-1 of this annual report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer performed an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the "Exchange Act." Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2016, the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K, to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms and is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

(b) Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act. Our management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control — Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this evaluation under the framework in Internal Control — Integrated Framework (2013), our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2016.

(c) Changes in internal controls over financial reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the fourth quarter of 2016 that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE.

The information required by this Item 10 will be included in the Proxy Statement to be filed within 120 days after the fiscal year covered by this annual report on Form 10-K and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.

The information required by this Item 11 will be included in the Proxy Statement, and such information is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS.

The information required by this Item 12, including Equity Compensation Plan Information, will be included in the Proxy Statement, and such information is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE.

The information required by this Item 13 will be included in the Proxy Statement, and such information is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES.

The information required by this Item 14 will be included in the Proxy Statement, and such information is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a)(1) All financial statements and schedules have been omitted because they are either not applicable, not required or the information required has been disclosed in the Consolidated Financial Statements and related Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements beginning on page F-1, or otherwise included in this Form 10-K.

(a)(3) Exhibits

ITEM 16. FORM 10-K SUMMARY

None.

Edgar Filing: SPARK NETWORKS INC - Form 10-K

| Exhibit Number | Description of Exhibit |
|----------------|--|
| 2.1 | Agreement and Plan of Merger dated October 14, 2015 by and among Spark Networks, Inc., LOV USA LLC, Merger Sub 1 Inc., and Darren Bassman, Bryan Welfel and Chad Wood, as Equityholders' Representative (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 15, 2015). |
| 3.1 | Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Spark Networks, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 7, 2010). |
| 3.2 | Certificate of Designation of Series C Preferred Stock (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1(a) of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 9, 2007). |
| 3.3 | Fourth Amended and Restated Bylaws effective September 23, 2016 of Spark Networks, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 23, 2016). |
| 4.1 | Rights Plan Dated July 9, 2007 Between Spark Networks, Inc. and The Bank of New York (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 9, 2007). |
| 4.2 | Amendment No. 1 dated August 9, 2016 to Rights Plan dated July 9, 2007 between Spark Networks, Inc. and Computershare Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 10, 2016). |
| 10.1(a)*** | 2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 9, 2007). |
| 10.1(b)*** | Amendment No. 1 to the 2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 6, 2009). |
| 10.2*** | Form of Stock Option Agreement for 2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6(a) of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 9, 2007). |
| 10.3*** | Form of Restricted Stock Agreement for 2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6(b) of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 9, 2007). |
| 10.4*** | Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for 2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6(c) of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 9, 2007). |
| 10.5 | Form of Indemnification Agreement with Officers and Directors. |

Edgar Filing: SPARK NETWORKS INC - Form 10-K

- 10.7*** Amended and Restated Employment Agreement effective January 1, 2017 between Spark Networks, Inc. and Robert W. O'Hare (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 19, 2016).
- 10.8 Lease between the Irvine Company LLC and Spark Networks USA, LLC dated as of February 1, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 8, 2013).
- 10.9 Underwriting Agreement, dated as of May 1, 2013, by and among Spark Networks, Inc., William Blair & Company, L.L.C. and Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated, as representatives of the several Underwriters named in Schedule 1 thereto, and the selling stockholders named in Schedule II thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 2, 2013).
- 10.10 Underwriting Agreement, dated as of November 20, 2013, by and among Spark Networks, Inc., William Blair & Company, L.L.C. and Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated, as representatives of the several Underwriters named in Schedule I thereto, and the selling stockholders named in Schedule II thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 20, 2013).
- 10.11(b)*** Separation Agreement and Release, dated August 8, 2014, between Spark Networks, Inc. and Gregory R. Liberman (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 12, 2014).

- 10.12*** Separation Agreement and Release, dated August 8, 2014, between Spark Networks, Inc. and Joshua A. Kreinberg (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 12, 2014).
- 10.13*** Executive Employment Agreement, dated August 12, 2014, between Spark Networks, Inc. and Michael J. McConnell (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 15, 2014).
- 10.14*** Separation Agreement and Release, dated April 9, 2015, between Spark Networks, Inc. and Brett Zane (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 8, 2015).
- 10.15 Ninth Amendment to Credit Agreement dated September 8, 2015 among Spark Networks, Inc., Spark Networks USA, LLC, the Subsidiary Guarantors, Bank of America, N.A. (as Administrative Agent), and the other lenders thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 11, 2015).
- 10.16 Nomination and Standstill Agreement dated as of November 1, 2015, by and between Spark Networks, Inc., Lloyd I. Miller, III, Lloyd I. Miller Trust A-4, AMIL of Ohio, LLC, Catherine C. Miller Irrevocable Trust, dtd 3/26/1991, MILFAM LLC, MILFAM I L.P., MILFAM II L.P., Susan Miller Trust for Children dtd 9/28/2006, Lloyd A. Crider Trust, dtd 7/16/1990 and Susan F. Miller (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 5, 2015).
- 10.17*** Separation Agreement and Release, dated November 13, 2015, between Sparks Networks, Inc. and Gregory Franchina (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 17, 2015).
- 10.18 Loan and Security Agreement dated as of January 22, 2016 among Spark Networks, Inc., as borrower, certain of Spark Networks, Inc.'s direct and indirect subsidiaries named therein as co-borrowers, and Western Alliance Bank, as lender (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 27, 2016).
- 10.19 Form of Intellectual Property Security Agreement entered into pursuant to Loan and Security Agreement dated as of January 22, 2016 among Spark Networks, Inc., as borrower, certain of Spark Networks, Inc.'s direct and indirect subsidiaries named therein as co-borrowers, and Western Alliance Bank, as lender (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 27, 2016).
- 10.20 Purchase Agreement between PEAK6 Investments, L.P. and Spark Networks, Inc., dated August 9, 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 10, 2016).
- 10.21 Warrant Agreement between PEAK6 Investments, L.P. and Spark Networks, Inc., dated August 9, 2016 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 10, 2016).

Edgar Filing: SPARK NETWORKS INC - Form 10-K

- 10.22 Management Services Agreement between PEAK6 Investments, L.P. and Spark Networks, Inc., dated August 9, 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 10, 2016).
- 10.23*** Employment Letter between Daniel Rosenthal and Spark Networks, Inc., dated August 9, 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 10, 2016).
- 10.24*** Employment Letter between David Budworth and Spark Networks, Inc., dated August 9, 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 10, 2016).
- 10.25 Purchase Agreement dated as of August 22, 2016, by and between Spark Networks, Inc., MILFAM II L.P. and Lloyd I. Miller Trust A-4 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 24, 2016).
- 10.26*** Separation Agreement and Release, dated August 27, 2016, between Spark Networks, Inc. and Michael Egan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 31, 2016).

Edgar Filing: SPARK NETWORKS INC - Form 10-K

- 10.27*** Separation Agreement and Release, dated September 1, 2016, between Spark Networks, Inc. and John Volturo (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 2, 2016).
- 10.28*** Separation Agreement and Release, dated September 7, 2016, between Spark Networks, Inc. and Shailen Mistry (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 8, 2016).
- 21.1 List of subsidiaries.
- 23.1 Consent of Grant Thornton LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Item 601(b)(31) of Regulation S-K, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Item 601(b)(31) of Regulation S-K, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1* Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 101.INS** XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
- 101.CAL** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
- 101.DEF** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
- 101.LAB** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
- 101.PRE** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

* This exhibit shall not be deemed "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that section, nor shall it be deemed incorporated by reference in any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language in any filings.

** Attached as Exhibits 101 to this report are documents formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language). Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 or Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and otherwise are not subject to liability.

*** Denotes a management or compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed as an exhibit pursuant to Item 601 of Regulation S-K.

Note: Filings on Forms 10-K, 10-Q, and 8-K are under SEC File No. 001-32750.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in the City of Los Angeles, State of California, on March 21, 2017.

SPARK NETWORKS, INC.

/s/ Daniel M. Rosenthal
 Daniel M. Rosenthal
 Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| Name | Position | Date |
|---|---|----------------------|
| /s/ Daniel M. Rosenthal Daniel M. Rosenthal | Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer) | March 21, 2017 |
| /s/ Robert W. O'Hare Robert W. O'Hare | Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer) | March 21, 2017 |
| /s/ Michael J. McConnell | Director | March 21, 2017 |

Edgar Filing: SPARK NETWORKS INC - Form 10-K

Michael J.
McConnell

/s/ John H.
Lewis
John H.
Lewis Director

March
21,
2017

/s/ Ian V.
Jacobs
Ian V.
Jacobs Director

March
21,
2017

/s/ Walter
L. Turek
Walter L.
Turek Director

March
21,
2017

/s/ Jonathan
R. Mather
Jonathan R.
Mather Director

March
21,
2017

/s/ Brad
Goldberg
Brad
Goldberg Director

March
21,
2017

/s/ Michael
Brodsky
Michael
Brodsky Director

March
21,
2017

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | Page |
|--|------|
| Spark Networks, Inc. | |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | F-2 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2016 and 2015</u> | F-3 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014</u> | F-4 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014</u> | F-5 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014</u> | F-6 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | F-7 |

F-1

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of Spark Networks, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Spark Networks, Inc. (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss, stockholders’ equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2016. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Spark Networks, Inc. as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2016 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ GRANT THORNTON LLP

Los Angeles, California

March 21, 2017

SPARK NETWORKS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands, except per share data)

| | December 31, 2016 | December 31, 2015 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 11,360 | \$ 6,565 |
| Restricted cash | 454 | 747 |
| Accounts receivable (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$0 and \$99 at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively) | 525 | 790 |
| Prepaid expenses and other | 1,408 | 1,341 |
| Total current assets | 13,747 | 9,443 |
| Property and equipment, net | 4,494 | 5,584 |
| Goodwill | 10,523 | 14,450 |
| Intangible assets, net | 2,950 | 3,451 |
| Deposits and other assets | 103 | 148 |
| Total assets | \$ 31,817 | \$ 33,076 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | 819 | 1,749 |
| Accrued liabilities | 2,590 | 3,854 |
| Deferred revenue | 4,005 | 5,834 |
| Total current liabilities | 7,414 | 11,437 |
| Deferred tax liability - non-current | 2,092 | 2,136 |
| Other liabilities | 246 | 537 |
| Total liabilities | 9,752 | 14,110 |
| Commitments and Contingencies (Note 11) | | |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| 10,000,000 shares of Preferred Stock, \$0.001 par value, 450,000 of which are designated as Series C Junior Participating Cumulative Preferred Stock, with no shares of Preferred Stock issued or outstanding | - | - |
| 100,000,000 shares of Common Stock, \$0.001 par value, with 31,983,545 and 25,845,879 shares of Common Stock issued and outstanding at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively: | 32 | 27 |
| Additional paid-in-capital | 87,198 | 77,188 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 713 | 739 |
| Accumulated deficit | (65,878) | (58,988) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 22,065 | 18,966 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 31,817 | \$ 33,076 |

See accompanying notes

F-3

SPARK NETWORKS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

(in thousands, except per share data)

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Revenue | \$35,091 | \$48,135 | \$61,645 |
| Cost and expenses: | | | |
| Cost of revenue (exclusive of depreciation shown separately below) | 12,852 | 24,075 | 34,321 |
| Sales and marketing | 4,789 | 4,137 | 5,127 |
| Customer service | 2,901 | 3,065 | 3,038 |
| Technical operations | 1,371 | 1,024 | 1,130 |
| Development | 3,920 | 4,037 | 3,446 |
| General and administrative | 8,991 | 10,379 | 13,300 |
| Depreciation | 3,234 | 2,211 | 2,053 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 293 | 108 | 40 |
| Impairment of intangible and long-lived assets | 4,629 | 197 | 128 |
| Total cost and expenses | 42,980 | 49,233 | 62,583 |
| Operating loss | (7,889) | (1,098) | (938) |
| Interest expense and other, net | 29 | 96 | 564 |
| Loss before provision for income taxes | (7,918) | (1,194) | (1,502) |
| Income tax (benefit) provision | (1,028) | 243 | (375) |
| Net loss | (6,890) | (1,437) | (1,127) |
| Other comprehensive loss, net of tax: | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | (26) | (20) | (17) |
| Comprehensive loss | \$(6,916) | \$(1,457) | \$(1,144) |
| Net loss per share - basic and diluted | \$(0.24) | \$(0.06) | \$(0.05) |
| Weighted average shares outstanding - basic and diluted | 28,232 | 25,170 | 24,064 |

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|------|
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Stock-based compensation | | | |
| Cost of revenue | \$- | \$- | \$3 |
| Sales and marketing | 33 | 47 | 110 |
| Customer service | 12 | - | - |
| Technical operations | 11 | - | - |
| Development | 28 | 12 | - |
| General and administrative | 898 | 723 | 833 |

See accompanying notes

F-4

SPARK NETWORKS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

(in thousands)

| | Common Stock | | | Accumulated | | Total Stockholders' Equity |
|---|--------------|--------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Additional Paid-in Capital | Other Comprehensive Income | Deficit | |
| BALANCE, December 31, 2013 | 24,002 | \$ 24 | \$ 70,747 | \$ 776 | \$ (56,424) | \$ 15,123 |
| Issuance of common stock upon exercise of stock options | 737 | 1 | 2,321 | - | - | 2,322 |
| Issuance of restricted stock | 88 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Purchase of common stock for retirement | (271) | - | (1,492) | - | - | (1,492) |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments, net of tax | - | - | - | (17) | - | (17) |
| Stock-based compensation | - | - | 946 | - | - | 946 |
| Net loss | - | - | - | - | (1,127) | (1,127) |
| BALANCE, December 31, 2014 | 24,556 | 25 | 72,522 | 759 | (57,551) | 15,755 |
| Issuance of common stock upon exercise of stock options | 1,236 | 1 | 3,770 | - | - | 3,771 |
| Issuance of common stock upon acquisition of subsidiary | 315 | 1 | 999 | - | - | 1,000 |
| Issuance of restricted stock | 27 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Purchase of common stock for retirement | (288) | - | (885) | - | - | (885) |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments, net of tax | - | - | - | (20) | - | (20) |
| Stock-based compensation | - | - | 782 | - | - | 782 |
| Net loss | - | - | - | - | (1,437) | (1,437) |
| BALANCE, December 31, 2015 | 25,846 | 27 | 77,188 | 739 | (58,988) | 18,966 |
| Issuance of common stock | 5,839 | 5 | 5,724 | - | - | 5,729 |
| Issuance of stock warrant | - | - | 3,323 | - | - | 3,323 |
| Issuance of restricted stock | 320 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Retirement of restricted stock | (22) | - | (19) | - | - | (19) |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments, net of tax | - | - | - | (26) | - | (26) |
| Stock-based compensation | - | - | 982 | - | - | 982 |
| Net loss | - | - | - | - | (6,890) | (6,890) |
| BALANCE, December 31, 2016 | 31,983 | \$ 32 | \$ 87,198 | \$ 713 | \$ (65,878) | \$ 22,065 |

See accompanying notes

F-5

SPARK NETWORKS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(in thousands)

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Net loss | \$(6,890) | \$(1,437) | \$(1,127) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to cash (used in) provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 3,527 | 2,319 | 2,093 |
| Impairment of intangible and long-lived assets | 4,629 | 197 | 128 |
| Stock-based compensation | 982 | 782 | 946 |
| Foreign exchange (gain) loss on intercompany loan | (66) | 15 | 518 |
| Provision for deferred income taxes | (44) | 112 | 103 |
| Settlement of unrecognized tax benefits | (719) | - | - |
| Bad debt expense | 67 | 99 | - |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Accounts receivable, net | 198 | 434 | 261 |
| Restricted cash | 293 | 309 | 240 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | (34) | 241 | 227 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | (1,234) | 57 | (2,073) |
| Deferred revenue | (1,829) | (1,258) | (1,738) |
| Other liabilities | (291) | (270) | (897) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | (1,411) | 1,600 | (1,319) |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | |
| Purchases of property and equipment | (2,846) | (3,617) | (2,294) |
| Purchases of intangible assets | - | - | (244) |
| Acquisitions of businesses | - | (6,000) | - |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (2,846) | (9,617) | (2,538) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of stock | 9,052 | - | - |
| Proceeds from issuance of stock from exercise of stock options | - | 3,771 | 2,322 |
| Repurchases of common stock | - | (885) | (1,492) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 9,052 | 2,886 | 830 |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash | 4,795 | (5,131) | (3,027) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 6,565 | 11,696 | 14,723 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | \$11,360 | \$6,565 | \$11,696 |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: | | | |
| Cash paid for income taxes | \$14 | \$95 | \$36 |
| Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing activities: | | | |
| Purchases of property and equipment recorded in accounts payable and accrued liabilities | \$91 | \$298 | \$- |
| Acquisitions of businesses with common stock | \$- | \$1,000 | \$- |

See accompanying notes

F-6

SPARK NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2016 AND 2015

Note 1. The Company and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The Company

Spark Networks, Inc. (the “Company” or “we”) creates communities that help individuals form life-long relationships with others that share their interests and values. The Company’s core properties, JDate and ChristianMingle, are communities geared towards singles of the Jewish and Christian faiths. Through the Company’s websites and mobile applications, the Company helps members search for and communicate with other like-minded individuals.

Our online singles properties provide users with three key services: searching for compatible individuals with whom to potentially form long-term relationships; validating compatibility through profiles, viewing photographs and understanding likes and characteristics; and communicating via one of our numerous communications platforms designed to foster relationships.

Membership on our online singles websites or mobile applications is free and allows registered members to post personal profiles and take advantage of our search and validation features. With the exception of JSwipe, which employs a “freemium” model, the ability to initiate communication with other members requires payment in the form of a monthly subscription fee. These subscription fees are our primary source of revenue. We typically offer discounted subscription rates to those members who subscribe for periods longer than one month. Subscriptions renew automatically until subscribers terminate them.

The common stock of Spark Networks, Inc. is traded on the NYSE MKT under the ticker symbol LOV.

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the parent company and all of its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (“US GAAP”) requires management to make estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, management evaluates its estimates, including those related to revenue recognition, cost of revenue, prepaid advertising, website and software development costs, goodwill, intangible and other long-lived assets, legal contingencies, income taxes and stock-based compensation. In addition, management uses assumptions when utilizing the Black-Scholes option valuation model to calculate the fair value of granted stock-based awards. Management bases its estimates of the carrying value of certain assets and liabilities on historical experience and on various other assumptions that it believes to be reasonable under the circumstances, when these carrying values are not readily available from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates.

Foreign Currency

The financial statements of the Company's foreign subsidiaries are prepared using the local currency as the subsidiary's functional currency. The Company translates the assets and liabilities into U.S. dollars using period-end rates of exchange, and revenue and expenses using average rates of exchange for the year. The resulting translation gain or loss is included in accumulated other comprehensive loss and is excluded from net loss.

The intercompany loan between the Company and its Israel subsidiary is classified as a loan as management expects settlement upon maturity in 2025. The loan is eliminated upon consolidation. The foreign exchange gains and losses related to this loan are recorded as part of net loss and excluded from accumulated other comprehensive loss. For the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014, the Company recorded a foreign exchange gain of \$66,000, and a foreign exchange loss of \$15,000 and \$518,000, respectively, related to the intercompany loan.

F-7

Revenue Recognition and Deferred Revenue

The vast majority of the Company's revenue is derived from subscription fees. Revenue is presented net of credits and credit card chargebacks. The Company recognizes revenue in accordance with US GAAP. Revenue recognition occurs ratably over the subscription period, beginning when there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement, delivery has occurred (access has been granted), the fees are fixed or determinable, and collection is reasonably assured.

Subscribers pay in advance, primarily by using a credit card, and, subject to certain conditions identified in our terms and conditions, all purchases are final and nonrefundable. Fees collected in advance for subscriptions are deferred and recognized as revenue using the straight line method over the term of the subscription.

For revenue earned through certain mobile applications, including iOS and Android, we recognize subscription revenues gross of the application processing fees primarily because we are the primary obligor and we have the contractual right to determine the price paid by the subscriber. We record the related application processing fees as cost of revenue in the period incurred.

The Company also earns a small amount of revenue from advertising sales and offline events. The Company records advertising revenue as it is earned and is included in the total revenue of each segment that generates advertising sales. Revenue and the related expenses associated with offline events are recognized at the conclusion of each event.

Fair Value Measurement

Fair value is a market-based measurement that is determined based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or a liability. As a basis for considering such assumptions, the Company applies a three-tier value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in the valuation methodologies in measuring fair value:

Level 1—Observable inputs that reflect quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.

Level 2—Other inputs that are directly or indirectly observable in the marketplace for similar assets or liabilities.

Level 3—Unobservable inputs which are supported by little or no market activity.

The fair value hierarchy requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value.

The Company has not elected the fair value option for any non-financial assets or liabilities that require remeasurement on a recurring basis.

Business Combinations

We acquire the stock or specific assets of companies that may be considered to be business acquisitions. Under the acquisition method of accounting, we allocate the fair value of purchase consideration to the tangible assets acquired, liabilities assumed, and intangible assets acquired based on their estimated fair values. The excess of purchase consideration over the fair values of these identifiable assets and liabilities is recorded as goodwill. Such valuations require management to make significant estimates and assumptions, especially with respect to estimating the fair value and expected useful life assigned to each class of assets and liabilities acquired. Different classes of assets will have varying useful lives. For example, the useful life of a member database, which is typically three years, is not the same as the useful life of a paying subscriber list, which is typically three months, or a domain name, which is indefinite. Consequently, to the extent a longer-lived asset is ascribed greater value under the purchase method than a shorter-lived asset, there may be less amortization recorded in a given period or no amortization for indefinite lived

intangibles.

Management's estimates of fair value are based upon assumptions believed to be reasonable, but which are inherently uncertain and unpredictable and, as a result, actual results may differ from estimates. During the measurement period, which is one year from the acquisition date, we may record adjustments to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed, with the corresponding offset to goodwill. Upon the conclusion of the measurement period, any subsequent adjustments are recorded to earnings.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

All highly liquid instruments with an original maturity of three months or less are considered cash and cash equivalents. Financial instruments, which potentially subject the Company to concentration of credit risk, consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents are maintained with financial institutions and are in excess of Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insurance limits.

As of December 31, 2016, we held cash funds in Israel of approximately \$405,000 USD denominated in the New Israeli Shekel. We did not hold any amounts of other foreign currencies.

F-8

Restricted Cash

The Company's credit card processors regularly withhold deposits and maintain balances which the Company records as restricted cash. As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Company had \$454,000 and \$747,000 in restricted cash, respectively.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable is primarily composed of credit card payments for subscription fees, less amounts withheld and presented as restricted cash, pending collection from the credit card processors and to a much smaller extent, receivables for advertising sales. The Company reviews its accounts receivable from advertisers on a monthly basis to determine if an allowance is necessary. An allowance for doubtful accounts was not necessary at December 31, 2016 and was \$99,000 as of December 31, 2015.

Prepaid Advertising Expenses

In certain circumstances, the Company pays in advance for advertising and expenses the prepaid amounts over the contract periods as the vendors deliver on their commitments. The Company evaluates the realization of prepaid amounts at each reporting period, and expenses prepaid amounts upon delivery of services or if it determines that a vendor will be unable to deliver on its commitment and is not willing or able to repay the undelivered prepaid amount.

Website and Software Development Costs

The Company capitalizes costs related to developing or obtaining internal-use software. Capitalization of costs begins after the preliminary project stage has been completed. Costs incurred in the preliminary project and post-implementation stages of an internal use software project are expensed as incurred and certain costs incurred in the application development stage of a project are capitalized into property and equipment.

The Company expenses costs related to the planning and post implementation phases of website development efforts. Direct costs incurred in the development phase are capitalized. Costs associated with minor enhancements and maintenance for a website are included in development expenses in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss.

Capitalized website and internal software development costs are recorded under property and equipment and amortized over the estimated useful life of the products, which is usually three years. The following table summarizes capitalized software development costs for the years ended December 31, (in thousands):

| | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|---------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Beginning Balance | \$4,992 | \$3,131 | \$2,651 |
| Capitalized | 2,334 | 3,704 | 1,997 |
| Amortization | (2,826) | (1,646) | (1,389) |
| Impaired | (378) | (197) | (128) |
| Unamortized Balance | \$4,122 | \$4,992 | \$3,131 |

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment is stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation, which is provided using the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the asset. Amortization of leasehold improvements is calculated using the

straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the asset or remaining term of the lease, whichever is shorter. Useful lives for computer equipment, computer software, and furniture, fixtures and equipment is three years, and leasehold improvements have a useful life of three to 10 years. Upon the sale or retirement of property or equipment, the cost and related accumulated depreciation and amortization are removed from the Company's Balance Sheet with the resulting gain or loss, if any, reflected in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss.

F-9

Goodwill and Indefinite Lived Intangible Assets

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net assets acquired resulting from business acquisitions, specifically allocated to reporting units. Intangible assets resulting from the acquisitions of entities are recorded using the acquisition method of accounting and estimated by management based on the fair value of assets received. Identifiable intangible assets are comprised mainly of domain names and acquired technologies. Domain names were determined to have indefinite useful lives, thus, they are not amortized. Intangible assets with finite useful lives are amortized using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives of four years. In addition to the recoverability assessment, management routinely reviews the remaining estimated useful lives of its amortizable intangible assets. If the Company reduces its estimate of the useful life assumption for any asset, the remaining unamortized balance would be amortized over the revised estimated useful life. Management determines its reporting units and operating segments through the use of the management approach. The management approach considers the internal organizational structure used by the Company's chief operating decision maker for making operating decisions and assessing performance.

Management reviews the potential impairment of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets at least annually, or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of goodwill may not be recoverable. Management has elected to first assess the qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of its reporting units is less than its carrying amount as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the two-step goodwill impairment. If management determines that it is more likely than not that the fair value of its reporting units is less than their respective carrying amount, then the two-step goodwill impairment test is performed. The first step, identifying a potential impairment, compares the estimated fair value of the reporting unit with its carrying amount. If the carrying amount exceeds its estimated fair value, the second step would need to be performed; otherwise, no further step is required. The second step, measuring the impairment loss, compares the implied fair value of the goodwill with the carrying amount of the goodwill. Any excess of the goodwill carrying amount over the implied fair value is recognized as an impairment loss, and the carrying value of goodwill is written down to the estimated fair value. Fair value is determined based on the present value of estimated future cash flows using a discount rate commensurate with the risk involved, and by comparing the Company to similar companies with publicly traded ownership interests. The valuation of goodwill and indefinite lived intangible assets incorporates significant Level 3 unobservable inputs and requires estimates, including the amount and timing of future cash flows. As of December 31, 2016, impairment of \$4.0 million of goodwill and \$209,000 of intangible assets, respectively, has been identified. As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Company had goodwill of approximately \$10.5 million and \$14.5 million, respectively.

Impairment of Long-lived Assets

Management assesses the potential impairment of assets, which include property and equipment and other intangible assets, whenever changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Events and circumstances that may indicate that an asset is impaired may include significant decreases in the market value of an asset or the Company's common stock, a significant decline in actual or projected revenue, a change in the extent or manner in which an asset is used, shifts in technology, loss of key management or personnel, changes in the Company's operating model or strategy and competitive forces, as well as other factors.

If events and circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable and the expected undiscounted future cash flows attributable to the asset are less than the carrying amount of the asset, an impairment

loss equal to the excess of the asset's carrying value over its estimated fair value is recorded. Fair value is determined based on the present value of estimated expected future cash flows using a discount rate commensurate with the risk involved, and quoted market prices or appraised values, depending on the nature of the assets. Fair value measurements utilized for assets under non-recurring measurements were measured with Level 3 unobservable inputs.

In 2016 and 2015, the Company impaired approximately \$378,000 and \$197,000, respectively, related to the unamortized balance of capitalized software development costs associated with certain products that failed to perform to Company standards. In 2014, the Company impaired capitalized software development costs of approximately \$128,000 primarily related to the unamortized balance of capitalized software development costs associated with certain mobile projects and web-based products no longer in use.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes under the asset and liability method. Accordingly, deferred income tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred taxes to the amount expected to be realized.

F-10

In assessing the potential realization of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which the Company's tax loss carry-forwards remain deductible.

The Company's policy is to recognize interest and penalties that would be assessed in relation to the settlement value of unrecognized tax benefits as a component of income tax (benefit) provision.

The Company operates in multiple taxing jurisdictions, both within the United States and outside the United States. The Company has filed tax returns with positions that may be challenged by Federal and State tax authorities. These positions relate to, among others, transfer pricing, the deductibility of certain expenses, intercompany transactions as well as other matters. Although the outcome of tax audits is uncertain, management regularly assesses its tax position for such matters and, in management's opinion, adequate provisions for income taxes have been made for potential liabilities resulting from such matters. To the extent reserves are recorded, they will be utilized or reversed once the statute of limitations has expired and/or at the conclusion of the tax examination. Management believes that the ultimate outcome of these matters will not have a material impact on its financial position or liquidity. The Company recognizes the tax effects from an uncertain tax position in our consolidated financial statements, only if the position is more-likely-than-not of being sustained on audit, based on the technical merits of the position. Tax positions that meet the recognition threshold are reported at the largest amount that is more-likely-than-not to be realized.

Cost of Revenue

Cost of revenue consists primarily of direct marketing costs, compensation and other employee-related costs (including stock-based compensation) for personnel dedicated to maintaining our data centers, data center expenses, credit card fees and mobile application processing fees. Direct marketing costs are expensed in the period incurred and primarily represent online marketing, including payments to search engines and affiliates, and offline marketing, including radio, billboard, television and print advertising. For the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, the Company incurred direct marketing costs amounting to approximately \$8.4 million, \$19.7 million and \$30.5 million, respectively.

Sales and Marketing

The Company's sales and marketing expenses relate primarily to salaries for sales and marketing personnel.

Customer Service

The Company's customer service expenses consist primarily of personnel costs associated with its customer service centers. The members of the Company's customer service team primarily respond to billing questions, detect fraudulent activity and eliminate suspected fraudulent activity, as well as address site usage and dating questions from our members.

Technical Operations

The Company's technical operations expenses consist primarily of the personnel and systems necessary to support its corporate technology requirements.

Development

The Company's development expenses relate primarily to salaries and wages for personnel involved in the development, enhancement and maintenance of its websites and services.

General and Administrative

The Company's general and administrative expenses relate primarily to corporate personnel-related costs, professional fees, occupancy and other overhead costs.

Stock-based Compensation

The Company calculates the fair value of stock options using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The determination of the fair value of stock-based awards at the grant date requires judgment in developing assumptions, which involve a number of variables. These variables include, but are not limited to, the expected stock price volatility over the term of the awards, the expected dividend yield and the expected stock option exercise behavior. The Company recognizes stock-based compensation on a graded or straight-line basis depending on the terms of the award.

F-11

The Company's computation of expected volatility is based on a combination of historical and market-based implied volatility. The volatility rate was derived by examining historical stock price behavior and assessing management's expectations of stock price behavior during the term of the option. The term of the options was derived based on the "simplified method" calculation. The simplified method allows companies that do not have sufficient historical experience to provide a reasonable basis for an estimate to instead estimate the expected term of a "plain vanilla" option by averaging the time to vesting and the full term of the option. ("Plain vanilla" options are options with the following characteristics: (1) the options are granted at-the-money; (2) exercisability is conditional only upon performing service through the vesting date; (3) if an employee terminates service prior to vesting, the employee would forfeit the options; (4) if an employee terminates service after vesting, the employee would have a limited time to exercise the options (typically 30 to 90 days); and (5) the options are nontransferable and non-hedgeable.) The Company periodically evaluates the applicability of using the simplified method with respect to the characteristics noted above to estimate the expected term of our options and will continue to do so as the business continues to evolve. If any of the assumptions used in the Black-Scholes model change significantly, stock-based compensation expense may differ materially in the future from that recorded in the current period. The Company believes the accounting for stock-based compensation is a critical accounting policy because it requires the use of complex judgment in its application.

The volatility rate was derived by examining historical stock price behavior and assessing management's expectations of stock price behavior during the term of the option. The risk free interest rates are based on U.S. Treasury zero-coupon bonds with similar terms for the periods in which the options were granted.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company's financial instruments, including cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, accounts receivable, and accounts payable are carried at cost, which approximates their fair value due to the short-term maturity of these instruments.

Net Loss Per Share

Basic net loss per share is computed by dividing net loss available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding. Diluted net loss per share is the same as basic net loss per share, as the inclusion of dilutive securities would be anti-dilutive.

| (in thousands, except per share amounts) | Years Ended December 31 | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Net Loss Per Share — Basic and Diluted | | | |
| Net loss applicable to common stock | \$(6,890) | \$(1,437) | \$(1,127) |
| Weighted average shares outstanding – basic and diluted | 28,232 | 25,170 | 24,064 |
| Net Loss Per Share – Basic and Diluted | \$(0.24) | \$(0.06) | \$(0.05) |

Options and warrants to purchase 8.7 million, 1.8 million and 2.1 million shares for fiscal years 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively, were not included in the computation of diluted net loss per share because the options were anti-dilutive.

Recent Accounting Developments

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers. ASU 2014-09 provides for a single, principles-based model for revenue recognition that replaces the existing revenue recognition guidance. Subsequently, the FASB has issued the

following standards related to ASU 2014-09: ASU No. 2016-08, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Principal versus Agent Considerations (“ASU 2016-08”); ASU No. 2016-10, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Identifying Performance Obligations and Licensing (“ASU 2016-10”); ASU No. 2016-12, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Narrow-Scope Improvements and Practical Expedients (“ASU 2016-12”); and ASU No. 2016-20, Technical Corrections and Improvements to Topic 606, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (“ASU 2016-20”). The Company must adopt ASU 2016-08, ASU 2016-10, ASU 2016-12 and ASU 2016-20 with ASU 2014-09 (collectively, the “new revenue standards”). In July 2015, the FASB deferred the effective date by one year for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017 (including interim reporting periods within those periods). Early adoption as of the original effective date of December 15, 2016 (including interim reporting periods within those periods) is permitted. The guidance permits two implementation approaches, one requiring retrospective application of the new standard with restatement of prior years, and one requiring prospective application of the new standard with disclosure of results under old standards. Management currently expects to adopt ASU No. 2014-09 in the first quarter of 2018, utilizing the full retrospective application. As predominantly all of our performance obligations in our revenue arrangements include access to our service provided over a contractual period, consistent with current guidance, management does not expect the adoption of the new revenue standard to have a material impact on the amount and timing of revenue recognized in its consolidated financial statements.

F-12

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, Leases, which is intended to improve financial reporting for lease transactions by increasing transparency and comparability among organizations. The guidance in ASU No. 2016-02 requires a lessee to recognize the following at the commencement date for all leases with lease terms of more than 12 months: (i) a lease liability, which is a lessee's obligation to make lease payments arising from a lease, measured on a discounted basis, and (ii) a right-of-use asset, which is an asset that represents the lessee's right to use, or control the use of, a specified asset for the lease term. The guidance in ASU No. 2016-02 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within those fiscal years, with early adoption permitted. Management is currently assessing the impact the guidance will have upon adoption.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-09, Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting, which amends the existing guidance in Topic 718, Compensation – Stock Compensation. The guidance in ASU No. 2016-09 simplifies various aspects of accounting for share-based payment transactions, including the income tax consequences, classification of awards as either equity or liability, and classification on the statement of cash flows. The guidance in ASU No. 2016-09 is effective for fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim periods within those fiscal periods, with early adoption permitted. Management will adopt ASU No. 2016-09 in the first quarter of 2017. Management is unable to estimate the impact of adoption as it is dependent upon future stock option exercises which cannot be predicted.

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-15, Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments, which addresses several specific cash flow issues with the objective of reducing the existing diversity in practice in how certain cash receipts and cash payments are presented and classified in the statement of cash flows. The guidance in ASU No. 2016-15 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, and interim periods within those fiscal periods, with early adoption permitted. Management is currently assessing the impact the guidance will have upon adoption.

In November 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-18, Restricted Cash, which requires amounts generally described as restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents to be included within cash and cash equivalents when reconciling the beginning-of-period and end-of-period total amounts shown on the statement of cash flows. The guidance in ASU 2016-18 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, and interim periods within those fiscal periods, with early adoption permitted. Management is currently assessing the impact the guidance will have upon adoption.

Note 2. Income Taxes

The loss before provision for income taxes by jurisdiction is as follows (in thousands):

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
| U.S | \$(7,040) | \$969 | \$820 |
| Foreign | (878) | (2,163) | (2,322) |
| Total loss before provision for income taxes | \$(7,918) | \$(1,194) | \$(1,502) |

The income tax (benefit) provision is as follows (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: SPARK NETWORKS INC - Form 10-K

| | Years Ended December | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|--------|---------|
| | 31, | | |
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Current | | | |
| Federal | \$- | \$1 | \$(395) |
| State | (996) | 92 | (129) |
| Foreign | 37 | 37 | 46 |
| | (959) | 130 | (478) |
| Deferred | | | |
| Federal | (436) | 1,353 | 846 |
| State | (21) | (17) | 131 |
| Foreign | (167) | (561) | (615) |
| | (624) | 775 | 362 |
| Change in valuation allowance | 555 | (662) | (259) |
| Total income tax (benefit) provision | \$(1,028) | \$243 | \$(375) |

The following is a reconciliation of effective income tax rate by years:

F-13

Edgar Filing: SPARK NETWORKS INC - Form 10-K

| | Years Ended December | | |
|---|----------------------|---------|---------|
| | 31, 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Benefit on loss at federal statutory rate | (34.0)% | (34.0)% | (34.0)% |
| State tax (benefit) provision, net of federal (benefit) provision | (0.2) | 6.9 | 0.7 |
| Nondeductible stock compensation | 2.9 | 71.6 | 11.8 |
| Nondeductible impairment | 17.2 | - | - |
| Nondeductible transaction costs | - | 11.5 | - |
| Tax reserves | (5.7) | 4.3 | (0.6) |
| Change in state effective tax rate | 1.2 | (5.8) | (0.1) |
| Foreign tax rate differential | 1.5 | 17.0 | 14.5 |
| Valuation allowance | 7.0 | (55.5) | (17.3) |
| Amended returns | (2.9) | - | - |
| Other | - | 4.3 | - |
| Total income tax (benefit) provision | (13.0)% | 20.3 % | (25.0)% |

With respect to the income of its foreign subsidiary, the Company takes the position that the earnings of the foreign subsidiary are permanently invested in that jurisdiction. As of the December 31, 2016, there are no earnings in our foreign subsidiary to repatriate.

The components of the deferred income tax asset (liability) at December 31 are as follows (in thousands):

| | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------|------------|
| Deferred income tax assets | 2016 | 2015 |
| Net operating loss carry-forward | \$12,744 | \$12,092 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 425 | - |
| Compensation accruals | 473 | 515 |
| Tax credits | 904 | 894 |
| Other | 281 | 726 |
| Total before valuation allowance | 14,827 | 14,227 |
| Less: Valuation allowance | (14,326) | (13,742) |
| Net deferred income tax assets | 501 | 485 |
| Deferred income tax liabilities | | |
| Foreign intangible assets | (1,472) | (1,602) |
| U.S. indefinite lived intangible | (620) | (539) |
| Depreciation and amortization | - | (11) |
| Other | (501) | (469) |
| Total deferred income tax liabilities | (2,593) | (2,621) |
| Net deferred income taxes | \$(2,092) | \$(2,136) |

As of December 31, 2016, the Company has a valuation allowance against its deferred tax assets of approximately \$14.3 million. Companies are required to assess whether a valuation allowance should be recorded against their

deferred tax assets (“DTAs”) based on the consideration of all available evidence, using a “more likely than not” realization standard. In accordance with ASC 740, cumulative losses in recent years, which the Company defines as the most recent three year period, is considered significant negative evidence in evaluating the realizability of DTAs, which is difficult to overcome. In light of the Company’s recent history of losses, it is not able to conclude that it is more likely than not that its DTAs will be realized, and it recorded a valuation allowance against its net DTAs with a corresponding benefit to the income tax provision of approximately \$555,000 as of December 31, 2016.

At December 31, 2016, the Company has gross net operating loss carry-forwards for income tax purposes of approximately \$39.5 million and \$51.0 million available to reduce future federal and state taxable income, respectively, which expire beginning in the years 2025 for federal purposes and 2018 for state purposes. Under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code, the utilization of the net operating loss carry-forwards may be limited based on changes in the ownership of the Company.

At December 31, 2016, the Company also has net operating loss carryovers for Israeli tax purposes of approximately \$9.0 million which do not expire.

At December 31, 2016, the Company has federal income tax credit carry-forwards for income tax purposes of approximately \$894,000 available to reduce future federal income tax which do not expire.

The Company recognizes excess tax benefits associated with the exercise of stock options directly to stockholders' equity only when realized. Accordingly, deferred tax assets are not recognized for net operating losses resulting from excess tax benefits. As of December 31, 2016, deferred tax assets do not include approximately \$5.3 million of these excess tax benefits from employee stock option exercises that are a component of the Company's net operating loss carry forwards. Accordingly, additional paid-in-capital will be increased up to an additional \$5.3 million if and when such excess tax benefits are realized. However, to the extent additional paid-in capital has been recognized for qualifying excess tax deductions from previous share-based payments, the write-off of the deferred tax asset when the tax deduction is less than recognized compensation cost is charged to additional paid-in capital, with any remainder charged to provision for income taxes. During 2016, no amounts related to excess tax benefits were realized.

The following table summarizes the activity related to the Company's unrecognized tax positions as of December 31 (in thousands):

| | 2016 | 2015 |
|---|---------|---------|
| Balance at beginning of year | \$1,225 | \$1,225 |
| Additions for tax positions of prior years | - | - |
| Reductions for tax positions of prior years | (594) | - |
| Balance at end of year | \$631 | \$1,225 |

Included in the unrecognized tax benefits of \$631,000 at December 31, 2016 was \$590,000 of tax, which if recognized, may reduce our annual effective tax rate after consideration of recording the settlement against allowable net operating losses and credits and recognizing a corresponding reduction in the valuation allowance.

The Company's policy is to recognize interest and penalties that would be assessed in relation to the settlement value of unrecognized tax benefits as a component of income tax expense.

The Company released \$594,000 of its unrecognized tax positions liability in 2016 due to the settlement of a New York state income tax audit. The liability and corresponding interest and penalties were recognized as a benefit against income tax expense in 2016.

As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Company had recorded a \$68,000 and \$370,000 accrual for interest and penalties on unrecognized tax benefits, respectively. Interest expense (income) and other, net of \$30,000, \$77,000, and \$(13,000) was recognized in the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014, respectively. It is reasonably possible that the unrecognized tax benefits will decrease by approximately \$98,000 over the next 12 months upon settlement of an audit with a tax authority.

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to U.S. federal income tax as well as income tax in multiple state and foreign jurisdictions. With few exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal income tax examinations for years before 2013; state and local income tax examinations before 2012; and foreign income tax examinations before 2012. However, to the extent allowed by law, the tax authorities may have the right to examine prior periods where net operating losses were generated and carried forward, and make adjustments up to the amount of the net operating loss carry forward amount.

Note 3. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consists of the following:

| (in thousands) | December 31, | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| Computer equipment | \$1,422 | \$2,605 |
| Computer software | 13,095 | 11,566 |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment | 452 | 522 |
| Leasehold improvements | 409 | 497 |
| | 15,378 | 15,190 |
| Less: Accumulated depreciation | (10,884) | (9,606) |
| Total | \$4,494 | \$5,584 |

F-15

Depreciation expense for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 was \$3.2 million, \$2.2 million, and \$2.1 million, respectively.

Note 4. Business Combinations

Smooch Labs, Inc.

On October 14, 2015, the Company completed the acquisition of all the outstanding shares of Smooch Labs, Inc. (“Smooch Labs”), an unrelated third party and owner of the mobile application, JSwipe. The Company believes that this acquisition will help expand the Company’s Jewish-focused online personals platforms with an additional mobile application. Pursuant to the merger agreement, the Company issued 315,108 shares of common stock valued at \$1.0 million based on the volume weighted average sale price of one share of the Company’s common stock, as reported on the New York Stock Exchange and paid \$6.0 million in cash. Smooch Labs became a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company.

The purchase agreement also included contingent earnout consideration up to an additional \$10.0 million to be paid with a combination of one-third cash and two-thirds stock based upon Smooch Lab’s performance against certain agreed-upon operating objectives for the years ending December 31, 2016 and 2017. Management has completed an evaluation of the probability of the performance milestones being achieved within the related earnout periods, and determined that the performance milestones would not likely be achieved. As such, management has not recorded any contingent consideration as of the acquisition date or December 31, 2016. Management would classify a contingent consideration liability within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, as factors used to develop the estimated fair value are unobservable inputs that are not supported by market activity.

As part of the acquisition, the Company entered into employment agreements with four key employees. As part of these agreements, in the event that Smooch Labs achieves certain operating objectives for the years ending December 31, 2016 and 2017, and subject to the approval of the Company’s Board of Directors, the Company may award up to \$200,000 in additional compensation in the form of restricted stock units under the terms of the 2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan.

The Company used its best estimates and assumptions to assign fair value to the tangible and intangible assets acquired at the acquisition date. Goodwill as of the acquisition date is measured as the excess of purchase consideration over the fair value of the net tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired. The following table summarizes the allocation of the total purchase consideration to the net assets acquired, including the related estimated useful lives, where applicable:

| | | Preliminary estimated useful life |
|---------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------|
| Accounts receivable | \$ 15 | |
| Finite-lived intangible assets: | | |
| Developed technology | 480 | 4 years |
| Monthly active users | 370 | 4 years |
| Covenant not to compete | 240 | 4 years |
| Goodwill | 5,895 | |
| Total purchase price | \$ 7,000 | |

Goodwill resulting from the allocation of purchase consideration is primarily attributable to expected synergies from future growth, potential monetization opportunities, an increase in development capabilities, increased offerings to customers, and enhanced opportunities for growth and innovation. Goodwill recognized as a result of the acquisition is not deductible for tax purposes. All goodwill resulting from the allocation of purchase consideration is recorded within the Jewish Networks operating segment.

The Company recognized approximately \$404,000 of acquisition related costs during the year ended December 31, 2015, which are recorded within general and administrative expenses in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss. The operations of Smooch Labs were fully integrated into the operations of the Company upon acquisition, and the Company's consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2015 include Smooch Labs' results of operations from the acquisition date through December 31, 2015. Operating loss attributable to Smooch Labs during this period and included in the Company's consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2015 was \$301,000, and includes \$68,000 of intangible asset amortization. Smooch Labs revenues were insignificant to the Company's consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss for this period.

F-16

As part of the acquisition, the Company recorded deferred tax liabilities of \$394,000 related to acquired intangible assets and \$862,000 of deferred tax assets, of which \$862,000 relate to net operating loss carry forwards. Given the uncertainty of generating taxable income at both the Company and Smooch Labs, a full valuation allowance was recognized against deferred tax assets.

Unaudited Pro Forma Information

The following unaudited pro forma information combines our historical results of operations with Smooch Labs' historical results of operations and have been prepared as if the acquisition had occurred on January 1, 2014, the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period. The historical financial information of the Company and Smooch Labs has been adjusted in the pro forma information to give effect to pro forma events that are (1) directly attributable to the acquisition, (2) factually supportable, and (3) expected to have a continuing impact on the combined results. The unaudited pro forma results include amortization for the acquired intangible assets, and the elimination of acquisition related costs recorded by the Company and by Smooch Labs.

The unaudited pro forma information does not reflect any integration activities or cost savings from operating efficiencies, synergies, asset dispositions, or other restructurings that could result from the acquisition. Accordingly, these unaudited pro forma results are presented for informational purposes only and are not necessarily indicative of the actual results of operations that would have occurred had the acquisition been completed on the dates indicated, nor is it indicative of the future operating results of the consolidated company (in thousands).

| | Years Ended December 31, | |
|----------|-----------------------------|-------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| Revenues | \$ 48,150 | \$ 61,645 |
| Net loss | \$ (2,181) | \$ (2,208) |

Note 5. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill

Goodwill as of December 31, 2016 and 2015 is related to the purchase of Pointmatch in January 2004, MingleMatch, Inc. in May 2005, LDSSingles in May 2006, and Smooch Labs in October 2015. The following table shows the activity and balances related to goodwill from January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2016 (in thousands):

| | Gross Goodwill | Accumulated Impairments | Net Goodwill |
|---|-------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|
| Balance at January 1, 2015 | \$ 22,309 | \$ (13,734) | \$ 8,575 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | (20) | - | (20) |
| Additions from the acquisition of Smooch Labs, Inc. | 5,895 | - | 5,895 |
| Balance at December 31, 2015 | 28,184 | (13,734) | 14,450 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | 89 | - | 89 |
| Goodwill impairment | - | (4,016) | (4,016) |
| Balance at December 31, 2016 | \$ 28,273 | \$ (17,750) | \$ 10,523 |

The following table shows the balances of goodwill by reporting unit (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|--------------------|--------------|----------|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| Jewish Networks | \$8,598 | \$12,525 |
| Christian Networks | 1,693 | 1,693 |
| Other Networks | 232 | 232 |
| Total | \$10,523 | \$14,450 |

F-17

At October 31, 2016, we performed our annual impairment analysis utilizing a quantitative assessment. We estimated the fair value of the reporting units based on the market approach and the income approach. The market approach uses the guideline public companies method, where value is estimated by comparing the Company to similar companies with publicly traded ownership interests. Additionally, we performed a secondary market approach to determine the value per subscribers, and by extension a reporting unit enterprise value, as compared to peer companies' derived subscriber values normalized for both expected growth rates and average revenue per user. The income approach relies upon discounted future cash flows which are derived from various assumptions including: projected cash flows, discount rates, projected long-term growth rates and terminal values. We used a discount rate which reflects the risks and uncertainty related to each reporting unit. The results of the annual impairment test indicated the fair value of all of the reporting units exceeded their respective carrying value, however, the excess value related to our Jewish Networks reporting unit was smaller than the excess value observed within our other reporting units and sensitive to changes in key assumptions. The inputs to the discounted cash flow model used to determine the fair value of the Jewish Networks reporting unit included a 3% growth rate to calculate the terminal value and discount rates of 13% and 41% for JDate and JSwipe forecasts, respectively. Factors that have the potential to create variances in the estimated fair value of the Jewish Networks reporting unit include, but are not limited to, fluctuations in (i) the number of monthly subscribers and average revenue per user which can be driven by multiple external factors affecting demand, including macroeconomic factors, competitive dynamics and changes in consumer preferences; (ii) marketing costs to acquire new customers; and (iii) equity valuations of peer companies.

Between October 31, 2016 and December 31, 2016, we identified a significant and sustained decrease in our stock price which reduced the excess fair value of the Jewish Networks reporting unit to an amount that indicated impairment was likely. Based on these indicators, management moved to step two of the quantitative impairment test. The fair values of the Christian Networks and Other Networks reporting units significantly exceeded the carrying values at the annual and interim periods, and as a result, additional impairment testing was not warranted.

As required by the quantitative second step of the impairment test, we performed an allocation of the fair value to all of the assets and liabilities of the Jewish Networks reporting unit, including identifiable intangible assets, based on their estimated fair values, to determine the implied fair value of goodwill. Accordingly, we recorded a goodwill impairment charge related to the Jewish Networks reporting unit of \$4.0 million during the fourth quarter of 2016, for the difference between the carrying value of the goodwill in the reporting unit and its implied fair value. We also identified the impairment of a finite-lived intangible asset based on estimates included in the second step of the quantitative test. As a result, we recorded an impairment of \$209,000 on the intangible asset.

In 2015, management performed its annual impairment analysis utilizing the qualitative assessment option. Qualitative factors were assessed to determine whether it was necessary to perform the two-step test (quantitative assessment). The analysis concluded that it is more-likely-than-not that the fair values of the Jewish Networks, Christian Networks and Other Networks exceeded their carrying values. At the conclusion of the analysis, it was determined that impairment was not warranted for either year.

Intangible Assets

Finite-lived intangible assets consist of purchased technologies, non-compete agreements, and monthly active users which are amortized over their expected periods of benefits (ranging from three to five years). Indefinite-lived intangible assets consist of purchased domain names and are not amortized. Intangible assets consist of the following (in thousands):

As of December 31, 2016

As of December 31, 2015

Edgar Filing: SPARK NETWORKS INC - Form 10-K

| | Gross Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Net | Gross Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Net |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------------------|----------|
| Purchased technologies | \$ 1,680 | \$ (1,350) | \$ 330 | \$ 1,680 | \$ (1,230) | \$ 450 |
| Non-compete | 360 | (195) | 165 | 360 | (115) | 245 |
| Monthly active users | 161 | (116) | 45 | 370 | (23) | 347 |
| Definite lived intangible assets | 2,201 | (1,661) | 540 | 2,410 | (1,368) | 1,042 |
| Domain names | 2,410 | - | 2,410 | 2,409 | - | 2,409 |
| Total | \$ 4,611 | \$ (1,661) | \$ 2,950 | \$ 4,819 | \$ (1,368) | \$ 3,451 |

F-18

The following table shows the balances of intangible assets by reporting unit (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|----------------------------|--------------|---------|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| Jewish Networks | \$601 | \$1,082 |
| Christian Networks | 1,616 | 1,616 |
| Other Networks | 718 | 718 |
| Offline and Other Networks | 15 | 35 |
| Total | \$2,950 | \$3,451 |

Amortization expense for finite-lived intangible assets for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 was \$293,000 and \$108,000, respectively. In 2016, management identified the impairment of a finite-lived intangible asset within the Jewish Networks reporting segment based on estimates included in the second step of its quantitative test of goodwill. As a result, management recorded an impairment of \$209,000 on the intangible asset. In 2015, no impairment charge was necessary.

At December 31, 2016, estimated amortization expense of finite-lived intangible assets for the three succeeding years is as follows (in thousands):

| Year ending December 31, | |
|--------------------------|--------|
| 2017 | \$ 198 |
| 2018 | 198 |
| 2019 | 144 |
| | \$ 540 |

Note 6. Accrued Liabilities

Accrued liabilities consist of the following:

| | December 31, | |
|----------------|--------------|---------|
| (in thousands) | 2016 | 2015 |
| Advertising | \$189 | \$359 |
| Compensation | 561 | 926 |
| Legal | 102 | 590 |
| Taxes payable | 187 | 960 |
| Other | 1,551 | 1,019 |
| Total | \$2,590 | \$3,854 |

Note 7. Revolving Credit Facility

On January 22, 2016, the Company and certain of its direct and indirect subsidiaries, as co-borrowers, entered into a two-year Loan and Security Agreement (the “Credit Agreement”) with Western Alliance Bank, as lender (the “Bank”). Under the Credit Agreement, the Company has a revolving line of credit available of up to \$10.0 million, with an aggregate sublimit of \$500,000 for ancillary services (including letters of credit, cash management services and foreign exchange transaction services). The availability of credit at any given time under the revolving line of credit is limited by reference to a borrowing base formula based upon the eligible US GAAP revenue of the borrowers and an advance rate percentage calculated in accordance with the terms of the Credit Agreement.

Borrowings under the Credit Agreement bear interest at the prime rate (which has a floor of 3.25%) plus 0.25% (4.00% at December 31, 2016). In addition, the Company is required to pay a quarterly unused line fee equal to 0.30% per annum of the unused portion of the revolving line of credit during the applicable quarter. The Credit Agreement provides for interest-only payments during its term, with principal due at maturity. Pursuant to the Credit Agreement and the intellectual property security agreement entered into by each of the borrowers on January 22, 2016 (each an “IP Security Agreement”), the Company has granted to the Bank a security interest in substantially all of its respective personal property, including intellectual property, to secure the obligations under the Credit Agreement and the other loan documents.

The Credit Agreement contains various restrictive covenants (applicable, in most instances, to both the borrowers and their subsidiaries), including limitations on the ability to sell assets, change the current line of business, merge or consolidate with or into another person, incur additional debt, grant liens, pay dividends or make other distributions, make loans or other investments, enter into transactions with affiliates, make any payment in respect of any subordinated debt and make capital expenditures (without the Bank’s prior written consent) in any fiscal year in excess of \$3.75 million, along with other restrictions and limitations typical to credit agreements of this type and size.

The financial covenants in the Credit Agreement, which are only tested when there are any outstanding credit extensions thereunder and/or prior to any request for a credit extension, are as follows: the Company, on a consolidated basis, must maintain (i) as of the last day of each fiscal quarter, actual minimum Adjusted EBITDA (as defined in the Credit Agreement) of at least 80% of the projected Adjusted EBITDA set forth in the annual operating budget and projections of the Company (the “Plan”) delivered to and approved by the Bank, measured on a trailing three month basis; (ii) as of the last day of each fiscal quarter, actual minimum revenue of at least 80% of the projected revenue set forth in the Plan, measured on a trailing three month basis; and (iii) unrestricted cash at the Bank of at least \$3.0 million, tested monthly on the last business day of each month. As of December 31, 2016, credit extensions under the Credit Agreement were not available to the Company as a result of the foregoing financial covenants.

As of December 31, 2016, there were no outstanding borrowings under the Credit Agreement. In connection with the Credit Agreement, the Company paid deferred financing costs, with the current portion included in prepaid expenses and other, and the long-term portion classified as deposits and other assets. The deferred financing costs are amortized on a straight-line basis to interest expense and other, net in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss through the maturity of the Credit Agreement on January 22, 2018. Amortization expense for the deferred financing costs for the year ended December 31, 2016 was \$56,000. The unamortized balance of deferred financing costs was \$64,000 as of December 31, 2016.

During 2015, the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Spark Networks USA, LLC, had a \$15.0 million revolving credit facility with Bank of America, which was entered into on February 14, 2008 with subsequent amendments (the “BofA Credit Agreement”).

On December 23, 2015, the Company terminated the BofA Credit Agreement. In connection with the termination of the BofA Credit Agreement, the Company paid \$10,000 in fees.

In connection with the original BofA Credit Agreement and the first nine amendments thereto, the Company paid deferred financing costs of approximately \$446,000 and \$168,000, respectively. The deferred financing costs were amortized to interest expense and other, net and in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss over the full term of the BofA Credit Agreement and were accelerated upon termination of the BofA Credit Agreement. Amortization expense for the deferred financing costs for the years ended December 31, 2015 was \$26,000. At December 31, 2015 all deferred financing costs were fully amortized.

F-20

Note 8. Stockholders' Equity

Common Stock Repurchase Plan

On December 12, 2013, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$5.0 million of the Company's common stock. The repurchases may be made from time to time in the open market, in privately negotiated transactions, or otherwise, including pursuant to a Rule 10b5-1 plan, at prices that the Company deems appropriate and subject to market conditions, applicable law, including Rule 10b-18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and other factors deemed relevant in the Company's sole discretion. The Company is not obligated to repurchase any dollar amount or any number of shares of common stock, and the program may be suspended, discontinued or modified at any time, for any reason and without notice. Since December 12, 2013, the Company has repurchased 559,401 shares of common stock for \$2.4 million. All stock repurchased has been retired.

On April 6, 2016, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the expansion of the repurchase program such that total availability increased from \$2.6 million to \$5.0 million. During the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company did not make any stock repurchases. During the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company repurchased 288,284 shares of common stock for an aggregate price of \$885,000.

Employee Stock Option Plan

On July 9, 2007, pursuant to the completion of the Scheme of Arrangement, the Company adopted the 2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan (the "2007 Plan"), which initially authorized 2.5 million shares of common stock to be issued under the plan. In connection with the Company's Scheme of Arrangement, the 2004 Share Option Plan was frozen; however, all outstanding shares previously granted thereunder continue in full force and effect.

Pursuant to the 2007 Plan's "evergreen" provision, on the first day of each calendar year beginning in 2009, the number of shares reserved and available for issuance will be increased by an amount equal to the lesser of (i) 2,000,000 shares, (ii) four percent (4%) of the number of outstanding shares of Company common stock on the last day of the immediately preceding fiscal year, or (iii) an amount determined by the Board of Directors. As of December 31, 2016, the 2007 Plan had 6.2 million shares available for issuance.

Awards under the 2007 Plan may include incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation rights ("SARs"), restricted shares of common stock, restricted stock units, performance stock or unit awards, other stock-based awards and cash-based incentive awards.

The Compensation Committee may grant an award to a participant. The terms and conditions of the award, including the quantity, price, vesting periods and other conditions on exercise will be determined by the Compensation Committee.

The exercise price for stock options will be determined by the Compensation Committee in its discretion, but may not be less than 100% of the closing sale price of one share of the Company's common stock on the NYSE MKT (or any other applicable exchange on which the stock is listed) on the date when the stock option is granted. Additionally, in the case of incentive stock options granted to a holder of more than 10% of the total combined voting power of all classes of stock of the Company on the date of grant, the exercise price may not be less than 110% of the closing sale price of one share of common stock on the date the stock option is granted.

For the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014, compensation expense for stock options was \$211,000, \$160,000, and \$440,000, respectively. As of December 31, 2016, total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock options was \$278,000. This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2

years.

Prior to 2005, the Company did not record tax benefits of deductions resulting from the exercise of share options due to the uncertainty surrounding the timing of realizing the benefits of its deferred tax assets in future tax returns. Cash flows resulting from tax benefits associated with tax deductions in excess of the compensation cost recognized for those options (excess tax benefits) is classified as cash flows from financing activities.

Trigger Price Options

Trigger price options awarded under the 2007 Plan entitle the shareholder the right to receive common stock or the value thereof in the future subject to restrictions imposed in connection with the award.

During the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company entered into an agreement with an executive officer whereby the Company granted 360,000 trigger price options to the participant at an aggregate fair value of \$51,000. During the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company entered into agreements with several executive officers and employees whereby the Company granted 1,908,000 trigger price options to the participants at an aggregate fair value of \$434,000.

F-21

Compensation expense is recognized over the requisite service period of two years. For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, compensation expense for trigger price options was \$64,000 and \$170,000. There was no compensation expense for trigger price options for the year ended December 31, 2014. Management has determined the fair value of the options as of the grant dates using a Monte Carlo simulation model. The Monte Carlo simulation model utilizes multiple input variables to estimate the probability that market conditions will be achieved. The input variables include stock price volatility and risk-free interest rate to estimate the probability of satisfying the market conditions and the resulting fair value of the award.

During the year ended December 31, 2016, the employment of three executive officers was terminated, and their trigger price options were forfeited. The Company reversed \$98,000 of compensation expense related to unvested trigger price options during the year ended December 31, 2016. As of December 31, 2016, there was \$11,000 of unrecognized compensation cost related to trigger price options which will be fully recognized by July 31, 2017.

The following table describes employee stock and trigger price option activity for the year ended December 31, 2016 (in thousands, except Weighted Average Price per Share):

| | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Price Per Share |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|---|
| Outstanding at December 31, 2014 | 2,146 | \$ 4.30 |
| Granted | 1,958 | 8.25 |
| Exercised | (1,308) | 3.06 |
| Expired | (332) | 6.70 |
| Forfeited | (543) | 8.14 |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2015 | 1,921 | \$ 7.67 |
| Granted | 623 | 5.93 |
| Exercised | - | - |
| Expired | (5) | 2.18 |
| Forfeited | (1,344) | 7.68 |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2016 | 1,195 | \$ 6.77 |

The following table describes option activity and weighted average fair value per share for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014:

| (in thousands, except per share amounts) | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|----------------------------|--------|--------|
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Granted, weighted average fair value per share | \$0.48 | \$0.25 | \$1.82 |
| Exercised, weighted average intrinsic value per share | \$- | \$0.56 | \$1.73 |
| Aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding and exercisable | \$- | \$16 | \$726 |

The following is a chart showing variables which were used in the Black-Scholes option-pricing model for the years of:

| | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
|------------------------|-------------|------|-------------|
| Expected life in years | 3.99 - 4.70 | | 4.56 - 4.75 |

Edgar Filing: SPARK NETWORKS INC - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|
| | | 4.45 - | |
| | | 4.75 | |
| Dividend per share | — | — | — |
| Volatility | 40.0 - 46.1% | 40.0% | 40.0 - 41.0% |
| Risk-free interest rate | 0.89 - 1.43% | 0.86 - 1.51% | 1.58 - 1.83% |

F-22

Option Range Summary

As of December 31, 2016

| Range of Exercise Prices | Options Outstanding | | Options Exercisable | | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Number of shares | Weighted Average Remaining Life | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Number of shares | Weighted Average Remaining Life | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
| \$7.50 - \$10.00 | 623 | 3.00 | \$ 9.00 | - | 0.00 | \$ - |
| \$5.04 - \$5.37 | 375 | 4.04 | \$ 5.29 | 250 | 3.78 | \$ 5.28 |
| \$1.45 - \$3.45 | 197 | 7.01 | \$ 2.58 | 13 | 8.85 | \$ 3.45 |
| | 1,195 | 3.99 | \$ 6.78 | 263 | 4.02 | \$ 5.19 |

Options granted prior to 2006 were priced in foreign currency. Weighted average price per share calculations are impacted by foreign currency exchange fluctuations.

Restricted Stock Awards

Restricted shares awarded under the 2007 Plan entitle the shareholder the right to vote the restricted shares, the right to receive and retain cash dividends paid or distributed with respect to the restricted shares, and all other rights as a holder of outstanding shares of the Company's common stock.

During 2014, the Company awarded 125,000 performance-based restricted shares to an executive officer that vest over a period of two years. As of December 31, 2016, the performance conditions have been met. Unvested performance awards partially vest on the first anniversary as defined in the executive's employment agreement and the remainder on the second anniversary. The executive does not need to remain employed with the Company for the awards to vest. During 2015, the Company awarded 25,000 restricted shares to the same executive officer. The Company did not award any restricted stock during the year ended December 31, 2016.

Compensation expense for restricted stock awards is recognized over the requisite service period of two years. The Company recognizes share-based compensation on a graded or straight-line basis depending on the terms of the award. For the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014, compensation expense was \$40,000, \$203,000, and \$506,000, respectively.

As of December 31, 2016, there was no unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested restricted stock awards.

The following table summarizes the Company's performance-based restricted stock activities for the year ended December 31, 2016 (in thousands, except Weighted Average Price per Share):

| | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Price per Share |
|-------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|
| Prior year awards | 35 | \$ 5.41 |

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------|---------|
| Awards granted in 2016 | | |
| Granted, at maximum | - | - |
| Vested | (18) | 5.41 |
| Forfeited | - | - |
| Total unvested at December 31, 2016 | 17 | \$ 5.41 |

Restricted Stock Units

Restricted stock units (“RSUs”) awarded under the 2007 Plan entitle the shareholder the right to receive common stock or the value thereof in the future subject to restrictions imposed in connection with the award.

During the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company entered into agreements with several executive officers and employees whereby the Company may grant up to 267,000 RSUs based upon the achievement of certain performance-based goals at the discretion of our Compensation Committee. In order for these RSUs to be granted, the Company’s annual revenue and adjusted earnings before interest, depreciation, amortization, and income tax expense (“Adjusted EBITDA”) must exceed a minimum amount; depending upon the Company’s actual annual revenue and Adjusted EBITDA, additional restricted stock may be earned up to a maximum amount. Upon achievement of the performance condition, the unvested performance awards will vest immediately.

Considerable judgment is required in assessing the estimated level of achievement of the performance goals. During the year ended December 31, 2016, the employment of three executive officers was terminated, and management adjusted its estimated level of achievement of the performance goals for all executive officers and employees. The Company recognized compensation benefit of \$(173,000) for the year ended December 31, 2016. As of December 31, 2016, there are 60,000 performance-based RSUs remaining that may be granted if the performance conditions are achieved.

For the year ended December 31, 2015, compensation expense for RSUs was \$261,000. There was no compensation expense for RSUs for the year ended December 31, 2014.

During the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company also granted an executive officer and an employee approximately 52,000 RSUs, which vested immediately. The total compensation expense recognized for these RSUs during this period was \$159,000.

During the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company recognized compensation expense of \$513,000 related to awards granted to the Board of Directors during the fourth quarter of 2015, of which 25% of the RSUs subject to the award vest each quarter such that all RSUs are vested by November 30, 2016.

During the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company granted approximately 90,000 RSUs to the Board of Directors in lieu of cash fees for the third and fourth quarters of 2016. The awards vest 50% on September 30, 2016, and 50% on December 31, 2016. For the year ended December 31, 2016, compensation expense was \$168,000.

As of December 31, 2016, there was no unrecognized compensation cost related to restricted stock units.

The following table summarizes the Company's restricted stock unit activities for the year ended December 31, 2016 (in thousands, except Weighted Average Price per Share):

| | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Price per Share |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|---|
| Prior year awards | 240 | \$ 3.85 |
| Awards granted in 2016 | | |
| Granted, at maximum | 230 | 2.61 |
| Vested | (302) | 3.05 |
| Forfeited | (168) | 3.58 |
| Total unvested at December 31, 2016 | - | \$ - |

Stockholder Rights Plan

In July 2007, the Company adopted a stockholder rights plan. The rights accompany each share of common stock of the Company and are evidenced by ownership of common stock. The rights are not exercisable except upon the occurrence of certain takeover-related events. Once triggered, the rights would entitle the stockholders, other than a person qualifying as an "Acquiring Person" pursuant to the rights plan, to purchase additional common stock at a 50% discount to their fair market value. The rights issued under the rights plan may be redeemed by the Board of Directors at a nominal redemption price of \$0.001 per right, and the Board of Directors may amend the rights in any respect until the rights are triggered.

Amendment to Bylaws

On September 23, 2016, the Board of Directors approved the amended and restated bylaws of the Company (the “Amended and Restated Bylaws”), effective the same date. In order to preserve certain tax benefits of the Company, the Company’s Amended and Restated Bylaws add Article VII, which imposes certain restrictions on the transfer of the Company’s securities (the “Tax Benefit Preservation Provision”). The transfer restrictions apply until the earlier of (i) the repeal of Section 382 of the United States Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the “IRC”), or any successor statute if the Board of Directors determines that the Tax Benefit Preservation Provision is no longer necessary to preserve the tax benefits of the Company; (ii) the beginning of a taxable year of the Company to which the Board of Directors determines that no tax benefits may be carried forward; or (iii) such other date as the Board of Directors shall fix in accordance with the Amended and Restated Bylaws. Until the expiration of the transfer restrictions, any attempted transfer of the Company’s common stock shall be prohibited and void to the extent that, as a result of the transfer (or any series of transfers of which such transfer is a part), either (i) any person or group of persons would own 4.9% or more of the Company’s Common Stock directly or indirectly, as deemed to constructively own or otherwise aggregated pursuant to Section 382 of the IRC; (ii) the ownership interest in the Company of any person or group of persons owning 4.9% or more of the Company’s Common Stock would be increased; or (iii) any shareholder holding 5% or more of the total market value of the Company’s securities transfers, or agrees to

transfer, any securities of the Company; provided, however, that settlement of any transaction in the Company's securities entered into through the facilities of the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. are not precluded by (iii) above. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in the Tax Benefit Preservation Provision shall prevent a person from transferring the Company's common stock to a new or existing "public group" of the Company, as defined in Treasury Regulation Section 1.382-2T(f)(13), and the transfer restrictions shall not apply to transfers that have been approved by the Board of Directors in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Amended and Restated Bylaws.

Note 9. Employee Benefit Plan

The Company has a defined contribution plan under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code covering all full-time employees, and providing for matching contributions by the Company, as defined in the plan. Participants in the plan may direct the investment of their personal accounts to a choice of mutual funds consisting of various portfolios of stocks, bonds or cash instruments. Contributions made by the Company to the plan for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014 were approximately \$297,000, \$317,000, and \$394,000, respectively.

Note 10. Segment Information

Segment reporting requires the use of the management approach in determining the reportable operating segments. The management approach considers the internal organization and reporting used by our chief operating decision maker for making operating decisions and assessing performance. The Company's financial reporting includes detailed data on four separate reportable segments. The Company's four operating segments are: (1) Jewish Networks, which consists of JDate, JDate.co.uk, JDate.fr, JDate.co.il, Cupid.co.il, and JSwipe; (2) Christian Networks, which consists of ChristianMingle, CrossPaths, ChristianMingle.co.uk, ChristianMingle.com.au, Believe.com, ChristianCards.net, DailyBibleVerse.com and Faith.com; (3) Other Networks, which consists of Spark.com and related other general market websites as well as other properties which are primarily composed of sites targeted towards various religious, ethnic, geographic and special interest groups; and (4) Offline & Other Businesses, which consists of revenue generated from offline activities.

| (in thousands) | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Revenue | | | |
| Jewish Networks | \$14,081 | \$18,938 | \$23,245 |
| Christian Networks | 19,384 | 27,234 | 35,875 |
| Other Networks | 1,571 | 1,869 | 2,217 |
| Offline and Other Businesses | 55 | 94 | 308 |
| Total Revenue | \$35,091 | \$48,135 | \$61,645 |
| Direct Marketing Expenses | | | |
| Jewish Networks | \$1,605 | \$2,611 | \$3,120 |
| Christian Networks | 6,488 | 16,563 | 26,795 |
| Other Networks | 326 | 518 | 480 |
| Offline and Other Businesses | - | - | 76 |
| Total Direct Marketing Expenses | 8,419 | 19,692 | 30,471 |
| Unallocated Operating Expenses | 34,561 | 29,541 | 32,112 |
| Operating Loss | \$(7,889) | \$(1,098) | \$(938) |

Due to the Company's integrated business structure, cost and expenses, other than direct marketing expenses, are not allocated to the individual reporting segments. As such, the Company does not measure operating profit or loss by

segment for internal reporting purposes. Assets are not allocated to the different business segments for internal reporting purposes.

F-25

The Company operates several international websites; however, many of them are operated and managed by the Company's U.S. operations. Foreign revenue represents sales generated outside the U.S. where the Company has its principal operations. Revenue and identifiable long-lived assets (excluding deferred tax assets, goodwill and intangibles) by geographical area are as follows (in thousands):

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|----------------|--------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Revenue | | | |
| United States | \$33,431 | \$45,629 | \$58,296 |
| Israel | 1,660 | 2,506 | 3,349 |
| Total Revenue | \$35,091 | \$48,135 | \$61,645 |

| | Years Ended December 31, | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| Long-Lived Assets | | |
| United States | \$4,352 | \$5,655 |
| Israel | 245 | 77 |
| Total Long-Lived Assets | \$4,597 | \$5,732 |

Note 11. Commitments and Contingencies

Operating Leases

The Company leases its office and data center facilities under operating lease agreements, providing for annual minimum lease payments as follows (in thousands):

| | Year Ending December 31, |
|-------|--------------------------|
| 2017 | \$722 |
| 2018 | 514 |
| Total | \$1,236 |

On July 29, 2015, the Company entered into an office lease for its Israel location, which was set to expire on September 30, 2018. The Company terminated the office lease during the third quarter of 2016.

Rental expense under non-cancelable operating leases with scheduled rent increases or free rent is accounted for on a straight-line basis over the lease term. Leasehold improvement incentives are recorded as deferred credits and are amortized on a straight-line basis as a reduction of rent expense over the lease term.

The Company recognized rent expense under operating leases of \$598,000, \$612,000 and \$898,000 for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Other Commitments and Obligations

On August 9, 2016, the Company entered into a management services agreement (the “Management Services Agreement”) with PEAK6 Investments, L.P. See – Management Services Agreement.

The Company has other non-cancelable commitments and obligations consisting of contracts with software licensing, marketing service providers, and the Management Services Agreement. These commitments are payable as follows:

| Year Ending December 31, | |
|--------------------------|---------|
| 2017 | \$1,775 |
| 2018 | 1,621 |
| 2019 | 1,000 |
| Total | \$4,396 |

F-26

Contingent consideration related to the acquisition of Smooch Labs has been excluded from the above commitments, as management determined that the performance milestones would not likely be achieved and payment of the contingent earnout is remote as of December 31, 2016.

Legal Proceedings

California Unruh Act Litigation – Werner, et al. v. Spark Networks, Inc. and Spark Networks USA, LLC and Wright, et al. v. Spark Networks, Inc., Spark Networks USA, LLC, et al.

On July 19, 2013, Aaron Werner, on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated individuals, filed a putative Class Action Complaint (the “Werner Complaint”) in the Superior Court for the State of California, County of Los Angeles against Spark Networks, Inc. and Spark Networks USA, LLC (collectively “Spark Networks”). The Werner Complaint alleges that Spark Networks’ website ChristianMingle.com violates California’s Unruh Civil Rights Act (the “Unruh Act”) by allegedly discriminating on the basis of sexual orientation. The Werner Complaint requests the following relief: an injunction, statutory, general, compensatory, treble and punitive damages, attorneys’ fees and costs, pre-judgment interest, and an award for any other relief the Court deems just and appropriate. On December 23, 2013, Richard Wright, on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated individuals, filed a putative Class Action Complaint (the “Wright Complaint”) in the Superior Court for the State of California, County of San Francisco against Spark Networks, Inc. The Wright Complaint alleges that Spark Networks, Inc.’s commercial dating services including ChristianMingle.com, LDSSingles.com, CatholicMingle.com, BlackSingles.com, MilitarySinglesConnection.com and AdventistSinglesConnection.com violate the Unruh Act by allegedly intentionally and arbitrarily discriminating on the basis of sexual orientation. The Wright Complaint requests the following relief: a declaratory judgment, a preliminary and permanent injunction, statutory penalties, reasonable attorneys’ fees and costs, pre-judgment interest, and an award for any other relief the Court deems just and appropriate. A motion filed by Spark Networks to consolidate the two matters in Los Angeles Superior Court was granted. On February 25, 2016 the parties reached a settlement including the following terms: (1) individual settlement payments of \$4,000 each in statutory damages and \$5,000 each in service awards to plaintiffs Werner and Wright, and (2) \$450,000 in attorneys’ fees and costs to compensate Werner and Wright’s counsel for their time and out-of-pocket expenses. On April 19, 2016, the plaintiffs’ counsel filed a motion for an order granting approval of the settlement. On June 27, 2016, the judge approved the settlement during the hearing on the Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement. All amounts have been paid as of December 31, 2016.

Israeli Consumer Actions Ben-Jacob vs. Spark Networks (Israel) Ltd. and Gever vs. Spark Networks (Israel) Ltd. and Korland vs. Spark Networks (Israel) Ltd.

Three class action law suits have been filed in Israel alleging inter alia violations of the Israel Consumer Protection Law of 1981. Spark Networks (Israel) Ltd. (“Spark Israel”) was served with a Statement of Claim and a Motion to Certify it as a Class Action in the Ben-Jacob action on January 14, 2014. The plaintiff alleges that Spark Israel refused to cancel her subscription and provide a refund for unused periods and claims that such a refusal is in violation of the Consumer Protection Law. Spark Israel was served with a Statement of Claim and a motion to Certify it as a Class Action in the Gever action on January 21, 2014. The plaintiff alleges that Spark Israel renewed his one month subscription without receiving his positive agreement in advance and claims that such renewal is prohibited under the Consumer Protection Law and its regulations. Spark Israel was served with a Statement of Claim and a Motion to Certify it as a Class Action in the Korland action on February 12, 2014. The plaintiff alleges that Spark Israel refused to give her a full refund and charged her the price of a one month subscription to the JDate website in violation of the Consumer Protection Law. In each of these three cases, the plaintiff is seeking personal damages and damages on behalf of a defined group. On May 8, 2014, the Court granted Spark Israel’s motion to consolidate all three cases. All three cases are now consolidated and will be litigated jointly. Spark Israel’s combined response to these motions to certify the class actions was filed November 1, 2014, and the plaintiffs responded to the combined response. The

parties had a hearing before the judge on December 24, 2014. Following the hearing the judge ordered that the pleadings filed by the parties be transferred to the ICC so that the ICC can provide its position as to the parties' allegations within 90 days. The ICC issued its opinion on April 1, 2015. Following the filing of the ICC opinion, the parties filed briefs addressing the ICC opinion. On January 7, 2016, the parties advised the Court that they have agreed on the terms of a settlement agreement, and jointly moved to approve the agreement and give it the effect of a judgment. According to the terms of the settlement agreement, clients who bought a subscription to JDate.co.il on October 12, 2008 or later will be entitled to receive certain benefits. The settlement agreement, which provides for compensation and legal fees, will only come into effect if the court approves it. On January 14, 2016 the Court ordered the parties to publish the terms of the proposed settlement agreement. The Court allowed for the Attorney General or any person who wishes to object to the settlement or exclude himself from the class to file their position with the Court through March 10, 2016. On March 10, 2016, the Consumer Council filed an objection to the settlement agreement, arguing inter alia that the benefits offered to the clients are insufficient, and that the Company's new business model does not comply with certain legal requirements. The Company and the plaintiffs filed their responses on March 24, 2016. On April 14, 2016, the Attorney General notified the Court that it has no objection to the settlement agreement. On February 8, 2017, a hearing was held during which the judge asked questions about the settlement agreement. The Company and the plaintiffs filed a revised settlement agreement on February 18, 2017, for the judge's final approval. On February 28, 2017, the judge approved the settlement agreement, which provided for compensation and legal fees under terms from the original settlement agreement. The Company has recorded an accrual of \$52,000 for the probable cost related to resolving this matter as of December 31, 2016.

F-27

Scottsdale Insurance Co. v. Spark Networks, Inc., et al.

On January 13, 2016, Scottsdale Insurance Company (“Scottsdale”) filed a complaint for declaratory relief and reimbursement/unjust enrichment against Spark Networks, Inc. in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. In its complaint, Scottsdale alleges that, after the Company was sued in the Werner Complaint, the Company tendered its defense and indemnity to Scottsdale pursuant to the terms of the business and management indemnity insurance policy that Scottsdale had issued to the Company on November 1, 2012. The complaint alleges that, after receiving the demand, Scottsdale accepted the defense of the Company in the Werner Complaint under a reservation of rights, including Scottsdale’s right to seek reimbursement of any amounts paid to defend against non-covered claims. The Company filed its answer and counterclaims against Scottsdale on March 7, 2016, seeking damages for Scottsdale’s breach of policy and breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. The parties executed a settlement agreement on July 6, 2016, whereby Scottsdale reimbursed the Company \$238,000 for all reasonable and necessary attorney’s fees and costs in defense against the Werner Complaint as of December 31, 2016.

City of Santa Monica, California – City Attorney General Investigation

On May 16, 2016, representatives from Spark Networks met with representatives from a cross-jurisdictional working group consisting of consumer fraud attorneys from the City of Santa Monica and offices of the District Attorney from the counties of Los Angeles, Santa Cruz, Santa Clara and San Diego (“Cross Jurisdictional Group”). This meeting was held at the request of the Cross Jurisdictional Group, as a “pre-filing” meeting to explain and potentially resolve issues over auto-renewal disclosures by the Spark Network websites. The Cross Jurisdictional Group alleges that the Spark Network websites violate California law on disclosure of auto-renewal terms and ability to cancel auto-renewal. They also claim that the Spark Networks websites violate California dating contract statutes, which (where applicable) require a three day right to cancel. The Cross Jurisdictional Group sent a voluntary document request (not a subpoena) to the Company on June 2, 2016. The Company cooperated with the Cross Jurisdictional Group and provided information in response to the voluntary request. The Cross Jurisdictional Group has indicated that it would like the Company to change its disclosures in certain respects, and that it intends to seek the payment of a penalty in an unspecified amount. In response to these disclosure requests, the Company has made changes. On March 8, 2017, the Company received a settlement communication from the City of Santa Monica and offices of the District Attorney, proposing settlement terms including payment of civil penalties, restitution to consumers, investigative costs and legal fees ranging from \$1.5 million to \$2.2 million. The Company has not responded to the settlement communication and intends to vigorously defend against the claims by the City of Santa Monica. As of December 31, 2016, the Company is unable to reasonably estimate the possibility of an unfavorable outcome, or the amount of any liability that may result from this matter.

Banertek LLC vs. Spark Networks, Inc.

On August 1, 2016, Banertek LLC filed a complaint for patent infringement with a demand for jury trial against Spark Networks, Inc. The Company was served with the complaint and summons as of October 10, 2016. The parties executed a confidential settlement agreement on December 5, 2016.

Jedi Technologies, Inc. vs. Spark Networks, Inc., Spark Networks USA, LLC and Smooch Labs, Inc.

On November 15, 2016, Jedi Technologies, Inc. filed a complaint for patent infringement with a demand for jury trial against Spark Networks, Inc, Spark Networks USA, LLC and Smooch Labs, Inc. The Company was served with the complaint and summons as of November 21, 2016. The Company filed a motion to dismiss on January 12, 2017 in the District of Delaware, and received Jedi Technologies, Inc.’s opposition to the motion to dismiss. The Company filed its reply to the opposition on February 2, 2017. As of December 31, 2016, the Company is unable to reasonably estimate

the possibility of an unfavorable outcome, or the amount of any liability that may result from this matter.

The Company intends to defend vigorously against each of the above lawsuits. At this time, management does not believe the above matters, either individually or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations or financial condition and believes the recorded legal accruals as of December 31, 2016 are adequate in light of the probable and estimable liabilities. However, no assurance can be given that these matters will be resolved in the Company's favor.

The Company has additional existing legal claims and may encounter future legal claims in the normal course of business. In the Company's opinion, the resolutions of the existing legal claims are not expected to have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations.

Note 12. Related Party Transactions

MLLNLL, LLC

The Company has multiple, on-going engagements with MLLNLL, LLC ("Mllnll"), a marketing agency that employs, and was co-founded by, an employee of the Company's wholly-owned subsidiary, Smooch Labs. In June 2016, the Company engaged Mllnll to provide marketing consultation services. For the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company has expensed \$429,000 for services performed by Mllnll. There were no related party transactions with Mllnll during the twelve months ended December 31, 2015.

F-28

PEAK6 Investments, L.P.

Purchase Agreement and Warrant

On August 9, 2016, the Company issued and sold to PEAK6 Investments, L.P. (“PEAK6”) an aggregate of 5,000,000 shares of common stock of the Company at a purchase price of \$1.55 per share pursuant to the terms of a purchase agreement dated as of August 9, 2016 (the “Purchase Agreement”), for an aggregate purchase price of \$7.8 million. The Company also issued a warrant to PEAK6 to purchase up to 7,500,000 shares of common stock of the Company at an exercise price of \$1.74 per share pursuant to the terms of a warrant agreement (the “Warrant Agreement”) dated as of August 9, 2016. One-half of the shares subject to the warrant vest when the closing price of the Company’s common stock on the New York Stock Exchange equals or exceeds \$2.50 per share for 15 trading days during a 30-trading day period and the remaining one-half of the shares subject to the warrant vest when the closing price of the Company’s common stock equals or exceeds \$3.50 per share for 15 trading days during a 30-trading day period. The exercise period of the warrant commences on February 8, 2017 and has a five-year term from the date of the agreement. The vesting of the shares subject to the warrant is also subject to partial or full acceleration in connection with certain corporate reorganizations pursuant to the terms of the Warrant Agreement. The Warrant Agreement provides that PEAK6 shall not have the right to exercise the warrant to the extent that PEAK6 would beneficially own in excess of 29.99% of the number of shares of common stock outstanding of the Company. If this restriction results in PEAK6 being unable to exercise the warrant at the end of the five-year term, the warrant term shall be extended one year. Subsequent to the stock purchase and as of December 31, 2016, PEAK6 holds a 15.6% ownership of the Company.

Management assessed whether the issuance of warrants represents a liability or equity instrument, and has determined that the warrants issued to PEAK6 are linked to equity instruments that are deemed to be indexed to the Company’s own stock. As such, the Company classified the warrant as equity at its fair value at the time of issuance and reassesses the equity classification at each balance sheet date. At December 31, 2016, management concluded that the equity classification remains appropriate for the warrant, as there have been no amendments or modifications to the terms of the warrant since the effective date of the Warrant Agreement.

Management has determined the fair value of the warrant as of the grant date using a Monte Carlo simulation model. The Monte Carlo simulation model utilizes multiple input variables to estimate the probability that market conditions will be achieved. The input variables include stock price volatility and risk-free interest rate to estimate the probability of satisfying the market conditions and the resulting fair value of the award. The warrant’s fair value of \$3.3 million is included in additional paid-in capital, and the residual proceeds from the Purchase Agreement have been allocated to the shares of common stock of the Company issued to PEAK6.

In connection with the issuance of the shares of common stock and warrant to PEAK6, on August 9, 2016, the Company entered into Amendment No. 1 to the Company’s Rights Plan (the “Rights Plan Amendment”) with Computershare, Inc. in order to exempt the issuances of such shares and warrant (including the shares issuable upon exercise of the warrant) from the operation of the Company’s Rights Plan.

In connection with the Purchase Agreement, Daniel Rosenthal was appointed the Company’s Chief Executive Officer and David Budworth was appointed the Company’s Chief Technology Officer, each effective as of August 11, 2016. Daniel Rosenthal and Brad Goldberg were also appointed to the Company’s Board of Directors as PEAK6’s director designees pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, effective as of August 10, 2016. Mr. Goldberg was also appointed as a member of the nominating committee and compensation committee of the Board of Directors, effective as of August 10, 2016. Mr. Goldberg currently serves as the President of PEAK6, and Mr. Rosenthal and Mr. Budworth are both partners at PEAK6. Mr. Rosenthal and Mr. Budworth’s compensation for their services to the Company totaling \$700,000 annually is included within general and administrative and technical operations expenses in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss.

Management Services Agreement

In connection with the execution of the Purchase Agreement, the Company entered into a Management Services Agreement dated as of August 9, 2016 with PEAK6, pursuant to which PEAK6 will provide certain marketing, technology, strategy, development and other services to the Company over a five-year term, for a cash fee of \$1.5 million per year (the "Management Fee"), which will be paid on a quarterly basis in an amount of \$375,000 per quarter. The Management Fee excludes reimbursement of marketing costs as described below, which are costs in addition to the Management Fee.

At its discretion, PEAK6 may invoice each quarter for an amount different than the contractual amount, however, the amounts cannot exceed the contractual amount of \$375,000 per quarter, other than for marketing costs as described below. If the quarterly invoice is for an amount less than the contractual amount, PEAK6 does not have the right to bill any additional fees in any future period, as the amounts invoiced represent the full amount due for the services provided by PEAK6 to the Company for each specific quarter.

F-29

During the year ended December 31, 2016, PEAK6 invoiced the Company a Management Fee of \$560,000, representing the full amount due for services expected to be provided through the period ended February 28, 2017, excluding marketing costs as described below. The Management Fee may increase up to the contractual amount in future periods. The Management Fee expense is included within technical operations, development, and general and administrative expenses in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss. For the year ended December 31, 2016, Management Fee expense to PEAK6 was \$393,000. The prepaid expenses balance related to the Management Fee was \$167,000 at December 31, 2016.

In addition, in the event that PEAK6 partners or employees are engaged to provide marketing or marketing related services to the Company either as replacement of Company employees or other external marketing resources engaged by the Company or as if they were Company employees, then the Company will reimburse PEAK6 for the actual costs incurred by such PEAK6 partners or employees. The amount to be reimbursed in any year by the Company for such marketing or marketing related services shall not exceed the lesser of "Saved Company Marketing Costs" or \$1.8 million. "Saved Company Marketing Costs" is defined as the aggregate amount of fully burdened costs to the Company of the sales and marketing employees and external marketing resources (consulting or otherwise) that provided marketing or similar services to the Company that are replaced or reduced by the Company or PEAK6 partners or employees. The amounts reimbursed to PEAK6 for marketing and marketing related services are included as sales and marketing expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss. For the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company has expensed \$259,000 for sales and marketing services performed by PEAK6.

The Management Services Agreement may be terminated by the Company at its convenience upon at least 60 days' prior written notice at any time after August 9, 2019, and may be terminated for cause at any time by PEAK6 or the Company upon the occurrence of certain events as set forth in the Management Services Agreement. Upon termination for convenience, the Company shall pay PEAK6 any unpaid quarterly payments that are due on or before the termination date and amounts due for certain costs and expenses incurred in connection with the Management Services Agreement. Upon termination for "cause" by PEAK6, the Company shall pay PEAK6 any unpaid quarterly payments that are due on or before the termination date, all Management Fees that would have been paid by the Company to PEAK6 in the first three years of the agreement less amounts actually paid, and the Warrant shall vest immediately without regard to any vesting conditions. Upon termination for cause by the Company, PEAK6 shall pay the Company an amount equal to the aggregate amount of all Management Fees paid by the Company during the term of the management Services Agreement.

Lloyd I. Miller, III

On August 22, 2016, the Company issued and sold to certain affiliates of Lloyd I. Miller, III ("Lloyd Miller") an aggregate of 840,031 shares of common stock of the Company at a purchase price of \$1.55 per share, for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$1.3 million. Lloyd Miller is a holder of more than 10% of the Company's outstanding shares of capital stock.

F-30

There were no related party transactions during the twelve months ended December 31, 2015.

Note 13. Quarterly Results of Operations (unaudited)

The following tables present the Company's quarterly results of operations and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes. The Company has prepared the unaudited information on substantially the same basis as our audited consolidated financial statements which, in the opinion of management, includes all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, except as otherwise indicated, necessary for the presentation of the results of operations for such periods. Operating results for any quarter are not necessarily indicative of results for any future quarters or for a full year.

| (in thousands, except per share amounts) | Three Months Ended | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| | Dec. 31, 2016 | Sept. 30, 2016 | June 30, 2016 | March 31, 2016 | Dec. 31, 2015 | Sept. 30, 2015 | June 30, 2015 | March 31, 2015 |
| Revenue | \$7,743 | \$8,391 | \$9,098 | \$9,859 | \$10,705 | \$11,682 | \$12,262 | \$13,486 |
| Cost of revenue (exclusive of depreciation shown separately below) | 1,647 | 2,323 | 2,653 | 6,229 | 5,017 | 5,593 | 6,368 | 7,097 |
| Sales and marketing | 854 | 1,103 | 1,380 | 1,452 | 1,242 | 1,144 | 996 | 755 |
| Customer service | 545 | 523 | 840 | 993 | 826 | 769 | 721 | 749 |
| Technical operations | 350 | 419 | 305 | 297 | 388 | 210 | 214 | 212 |
| Development | 748 | 962 | 1,180 | 1,030 | 1,059 | 1,053 | 1,008 | 917 |
| General and administrative | 2,038 | 2,438 | 2,004 | 2,511 | 2,675 | 2,933 | 2,533 | 2,238 |
| Depreciation | 1,038 | 738 | 746 | 712 | 604 | 562 | 532 | 513 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 69 | 68 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Impairment of intangible and long-lived assets | 4,480 | 58 | 52 | 39 | 65 | 26 | 37 | 69 |
| Total cost and expenses | 11,769 | 8,632 | 9,238 | 13,341 | 11,954 | 12,300 | 12,419 | 12,560 |
| Operating (loss) income | (4,026) | (241) | (140) | (3,482) | (1,249) | (618) | (157) | 926 |
| Interest expense (income) and other, net | 138 | (82) | 114 | (141) | 16 | 191 | (229) | 118 |
| Income (loss) before (benefit) provision for income taxes | (4,164) | (159) | (254) | (3,341) | (1,265) | (809) | 72 | 808 |
| Income tax (benefit) provision | (447) | (65) | (583) | 67 | (23) | 13 | 168 | 85 |
| Net (loss) income | \$(3,717) | \$(94) | \$329 | \$(3,408) | \$(1,242) | \$(822) | \$(96) | \$723 |
| Basic and diluted net income (loss) per share | \$(0.12) | \$(0.00) | \$0.01 | \$(0.13) | \$(0.05) | \$(0.03) | \$(0.00) | \$0.03 |
| Shares used in computation of basic net income (loss) per share | 31,895 | 29,212 | 25,908 | 25,846 | 25,675 | 25,188 | 25,100 | 24,654 |
| Shares used in computation of diluted net income (loss) per share | 31,895 | 29,212 | 25,975 | 25,846 | 25,675 | 25,188 | 25,100 | 24,942 |

