

FRANKLIN ELECTRIC CO INC
Form 10-K
March 02, 2011

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended January 1, 2011

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from to _____

Commission file number 0-362

FRANKLIN ELECTRIC CO., INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Indiana (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	35-0827455 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
400 East Spring Street Bluffton, Indiana (Address of principal executive offices)	46714-3798 (Zip Code)

(260) 824-2900
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:	
Common Stock, \$0.10 par value	NASDAQ Global Select Market
Preference Stock Purchase Rights	NASDAQ Global Select Market
(Title of each class)	(Name of each exchange on which registered)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:
None
(Title of each class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.
YES NO

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.
YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.
YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (Section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

YES

NO

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large Accelerated Filer Accelerated Filer Non-Accelerated Filer Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).

YES

NO

The aggregate market value of the registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant at July 3, 2010 (the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second quarter) was \$633,987,587. The stock price used in this computation was the last sales price on that date, as reported by NASDAQ Global Select Market. For purposes of this calculation, the registrant has excluded shares held by executive officers and directors of the registrant, including restricted shares and except for shares owned by the executive officers through the registrant's 401K Plan. Determination of stock ownership by non-affiliates was made solely for the purpose of responding to this requirement and the registrant is not bound by this determination for any other purpose.

Number of shares of common stock outstanding at February 24, 2010:
23,272,020 shares

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

A portion of the Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 6, 2011 (Part III).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Part I	
Item 1.	4 – 6
Item 1A.	7 - 9
Item 1B.	9
Item 2.	9
Item 3.	10 – 11
Item 4.	11-12
	<u>Supplemental Item - Executive Officers of the Registrant</u>
Part II	
Item 5.	12-13
Item 6.	14
Item 7.	15 – 26
Item 7A.	26
Item 8.	27 – 59
Item 9.	60
Item 9A.	60 – 61
Item 9B.	62
Part III	
Item 10.	62
Item 11.	62
Item 12.	62
Item 13.	62
Item 14.	62
Part IV	
Item 15.	63 – 64
Signatures	65
Exhibit Index	66 - 68

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

General

Franklin Electric Co., Inc. is an Indiana corporation founded in 1944 and incorporated in 1946 that, together with its subsidiaries, designs, manufactures and distributes groundwater and fuel pumping systems, composed primarily of submersible motors, pumps, electronic controls and related parts and equipment. The Company's business consists of two reporting segments based on the principal end market served: the Water Systems segment and the Fueling Systems segment. The Company includes unallocated corporate expenses in an "Other" segment that together with Water and Fueling represent the Company. Except where the context otherwise requires, "Franklin Electric" or the "Company", shall refer to Franklin Electric Co., Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries.

The Company's products are sold worldwide. The Company's products are sold by its employee sales force and independent manufacturing representatives. The Company offers normal and customary trade terms to its customers, no significant part of which is of an extended nature. Special inventory requirements are not necessary, and customer merchandise return rights do not extend beyond normal warranty provisions.

The market for the Company's products is highly competitive and includes diversified accounts by size and type. The Company's Water Systems and Fueling Systems products and related equipment are sold to specialty distributors and some original equipment manufacturers ("OEMs"), as well as industrial and petroleum equipment distributors and major oil and utility companies.

Business Segments and Products

Segment and geographic information set forth under Note 17, "Segment and Geographic Information," to the consolidated financial statements is incorporated herein by reference.

Water Systems Segment

Water Systems is a global leader in the production and marketing of groundwater pumping systems and is a technical leader in submersible motors, pumps, drives, electronic controls, and monitoring devices. The Water Systems segment designs, manufactures and sells motors, pumps, electronic controls and related parts and equipment primarily for use in groundwater and wastewater applications and, through the Fueling Segment, for fuel transfer applications.

Water Systems motors and pumps are used principally for pumping fresh water and wastewater in a variety of residential, agricultural and industrial applications. Water Systems also manufactures electronic drives and controls for the motors which control functionality and provide protection from various hazards, such as electric surges, over-heating, or dry wells and tanks.

Beginning in 2004, the Company changed its North American business model to sell Water Systems products directly to wholesale distributors. Previously, the Company sold its Water Systems products primarily to pump OEMs (i.e., the Company was primarily a supplier of submersible motors and controls to the OEMs) who then re-sold the Water Systems products, usually combined with pumps and related products, to the wholesale distributors. To facilitate this transition, the Company acquired several pump manufacturers during and since 2004 (most significantly, JBD Pump Company in 2004 and Little Giant Pump Company in 2006). As of the end of fiscal year 2004, approximately 42 percent of the Company's consolidated sales were attributable to two customers, both of which were pump OEMs. Customer sales concentration declined from 2004 to 2006, and since 2007, no single customer accounted for more than 10 percent of the Company's consolidated sales.

The Company further expanded its global market penetration by acquisitions in developing regions (Pump Brands (Pty) Limited in South Africa in 2007 and Industrias Schneider SA in Brazil in 2008). Sales in developing regions increased more than 400 percent in total from 2002 to 2010 and represent about 40 percent of Water Systems sales in 2010. About 60 percent of this growth was organic and about 40 percent was from acquisitions.

Water Systems products are sold in highly competitive markets. Water Systems competes in each of its targeted markets based on product design, quality of products and services, performance, availability, and price. The

Company's principal competitors in the specialty water products industry are Grundfos Management A/S, Pentair, Inc., and ITT Corporation.

2010 Water Systems research and development expenditures were primarily related to the following activities:

- SubDrive® InLine 1100 Booster Pump
- Volt-X Motor – Dual Voltage motor configurable between 230V and 460V
 - MH Booster – Multi-Stage Horizontal Booster
- Convertible VersaJet – Convertible jet pump with interchangeable faceplates for retrofit installations
 - STS 6" Sub Turbine – Sand resistant submersible turbine pump
- SubDrive2W – Constant pressure controller for submersible 2-wire pumps
 - New aluminum engine driven pump product line
 - New pumping system for extracting natural gas from coal seams
 - New solar power groundwater pumping system

Fueling Systems Segment

Fueling Systems is a global leader in the production and marketing of fuel pumping systems, fuel containment systems, and monitoring and control systems. This segment designs, manufactures and sells pumps, pipe, sumps, fittings, vapor recovery components, electronic controls, monitoring devices and related parts and equipment primarily for use in submersible fueling system applications.

The Company has expanded its product offerings through internal development and acquisitions. The most notable acquisition was Healy Systems, Inc. in 2006 whose products included fueling dispenser nozzles and complete vapor recovery systems. The vapor recovery systems and components enjoyed particular success in California from late 2007 to early 2009, due to California Air Resource Board certification requirements for conversion of fuel dispensing stations to certified dispensers such as those of Healy Systems. This conversion was largely completed in 2009. All products, including vapor recovery systems, are sold internationally.

During the third quarter of 2010, the Company acquired PetroTechnik Limited and its subsidiaries ("Petrotechnik"), a designer and supplier of underground flexible pipe systems and manufacturer of above and below ground fuel storage systems and pressure vessels in England. Petrotechnik's systems provide the Company access to a worldwide customer base and growth territories. Petrotechnik's sales were not material as a component of the Company's consolidated sales for 2010.

Fueling Systems products are sold in highly competitive markets. Fueling Systems competes in each of its targeted markets based on product design, quality of products and services, performance availability and price. The Company's principal competitors in the petroleum equipment industry are Danaher Corporation and Dover Corporation.

2010 Fueling Systems research and development expenditures were primarily related to the following activities:

- Several enhancements to the FMS T5 Series tank gauge controls including a new thermal printer, statistical line leak detection scheme and enhancements to the in-tank leak detection system
- Ongoing work on a new line of conventional and vapor recovery nozzles and related equipment for use in international markets
- Expansion of the product offering with products designed specifically for use with biofuels such as E85 and biodiesel
 - Development of a new fusion welded piping system

Research and Development

The Company incurred research and development expense as follows:

(In millions)	2010	2009	2008
Research and development expense	\$7.5	\$6.9	\$6.8

These expenses were for activities related to the development of new products, improvement of existing products and manufacturing methods, and other applied research and development.

The Company owns a number of patents, trademarks and licenses. In the aggregate, these patents are of material importance to the operation of the business; however, the Company believes that its operations are not dependent on any single patent or group of patents.

Raw Materials

The principal raw materials used in the manufacture of the Company's products are coil and bar steel, stainless steel, copper wire, and aluminum ingot. Major components are capacitors, motor protectors, forgings, gray iron castings and bearings. Most of these raw materials are available from multiple sources in the United States and world markets. In the opinion of management, no single supply source is critical to the Company's business. Availability of fuel and energy is adequate to satisfy current and projected overall operations unless interrupted by government direction or allocation.

Employees

The Company employed approximately 3,470 persons at the end of 2010.

Backlog

The dollar amount of backlog by segment was as follows:

(In millions)	February 18, 2011	February 18, 2010
Water Systems	\$ 41.6	\$35.8
Fueling Systems	10.9	2.7
	\$ 52.5	\$38.5

The backlog is composed of written orders at prices adjustable on a price-at-the-time-of-shipment basis for products, primarily standard catalog items. All backlog orders are expected to be filled in fiscal 2011. The Company's sales in the first quarter are generally less than its sales in other quarters due to generally lower construction activity during that period in the northern hemisphere. Beyond that, there is no seasonal pattern to the backlog and the backlog has not proven to be a significant indicator of future sales.

Environmental Matters

The Company believes that it is in compliance with all applicable federal, state and local laws concerning the discharge of material into the environment, or otherwise relating to the protection of the environment. The Company has not experienced any material costs in connection with environmental compliance, and, subject to the disclosure in Item 3- Legal Proceedings, does not believe that such compliance will have any material adverse effect upon the financial position, results of operation, cash flows, or competitive position of the Company.

Available Information

Edgar Filing: FRANKLIN ELECTRIC CO INC - Form 10-K

The Company's website address is www.franklin-electric.com. The Company makes available free of charge on or through its website its annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and all amendments to those reports, as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission. Additionally, the Company's website includes the Company's corporate governance guidelines, its Board committee charters, and the Company's code of business conduct and ethics. Information contained on the Company's website is not part of this annual report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

The following describes the principal risks affecting the Company and its business. Additional risks and uncertainties, not presently known to the Company or currently deemed immaterial, could negatively impact the Company's results of operations or financial condition in the future.

The Company's acquisition strategy entails expense, integration risks, and other risks that could affect the Company's earnings and financial condition. One of the Company's continuing strategies is to increase revenues and expand market share through acquisitions that will provide complementary Water and Fueling Systems products. The Company spends significant time and effort expanding existing businesses through identifying, pursuing, completing, and integrating acquisitions, which generate expense whether or not the acquisitions are actually completed. Competition for acquisition candidates may limit the number of opportunities and may result in higher acquisition prices. There is uncertainty related to successfully acquiring, integrating and profitably managing additional businesses without substantial costs, delays or other problems. There can also be no assurance that acquired companies will achieve revenues, profitability or cash flows that justify the investment in them. Failure to manage or mitigate these risks could adversely affect the Company's results of operations and financial condition.

The Company's products are sold in highly competitive markets, by numerous competitors whose actions could negatively impact sales volume, pricing and profitability. The Company is a global leader in the production and marketing of groundwater and fuel pumping systems. End user demand, distribution relationships, industry consolidation, new product capabilities of the Company's competitors or new competitors, and many other factors contribute to a highly competitive environment. Additionally, some of the Company's competitors have substantially greater financial resources than the Company. Although the Company believes that consistency of product quality, timeliness of delivery, service, and continued product innovation, as well as price, are principal factors considered by customers in selecting suppliers, competitive factors previously described may lead to declines in sales or in the prices of all the Company's products which could have an adverse impact on its results of operations and financial condition.

Reduced housing starts adversely affect demand for the Company's products, thereby reducing revenues and earnings. Demand for certain Company products is affected by housing starts. Continuation of the decline in housing starts over the last few years or a continuation or deepening of the general slowdown in the United States or other economies in the international markets the Company serves could reduce demand and adversely impact gross margins and operating results.

Increases in the prices of raw materials, components, finished goods and other commodities could adversely affect operations. The Company purchases most of the raw materials for its products on the open market and relies on third parties for the sourcing of certain finished goods. Accordingly, the cost of its products may be affected by changes in the market price of raw materials, sourced components, or finished goods. Natural gas and electricity prices have historically been volatile. The Company does not generally engage in commodity hedging for raw materials. Significant increases in the prices of commodities, sourced components, finished goods, or other commodities could cause product prices to increase, which may reduce demand for products or make the Company more susceptible to competition. Furthermore, in the event the Company is unable to pass along increases in operating costs to its customers, margins and profitability may be adversely affected.

The Company is exposed to political, economic and other risks that arise from operating a multinational business. The Company has significant operations outside the United States, including Europe, South Africa, Brazil, Mexico and China. Further, the Company obtains raw materials and finished goods from foreign suppliers. Accordingly, the Company's business is subject to political, economic, and other risks that are inherent in

operating a multinational business. These risks include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Difficulty in enforcing agreements and collecting receivables through foreign legal systems
 - Trade protection measures and import or export licensing requirements
 - Imposition of tariffs, exchange controls or other restrictions
- Difficulty in staffing and managing widespread operations and the application of foreign labor regulations
 - Compliance with foreign laws and regulations
- Changes in general economic and political conditions in countries where the Company operates

-7-

Additionally, the Company's operations outside the United States could be negatively impacted by changes in treaties, agreements, policies and laws implemented by the United States.

If the Company does not anticipate and effectively manage these risks, these factors may have a material adverse impact on its international operations or on the business as a whole.

Transferring operations of the Company to low cost regions may not result in the intended cost benefits. The Company is continuing its rationalization of manufacturing capacity between all existing manufacturing facilities and the manufacturing complexes in low cost regions such as Mexico, the Czech Republic and China. To implement this strategy, the Company must complete the transfer of assets and intellectual property between operations. Each of these transfers involves the risk of disruption to the Company's manufacturing capability, supply chain and, ultimately, to the Company's ability to service customers and generate revenues and profits.

The Company has significant investments in foreign entities and has significant sales and purchases in foreign denominated currencies creating exposure to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations.

The Company has significant investments outside the United States, including Europe, South Africa, Brazil, Mexico and China. Further, the Company has sales and makes purchases of raw materials and finished goods in foreign denominated currencies. Accordingly, the Company has exposure to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates relative to the US dollar. Foreign currency exchange rate risk is reduced through several means: maintenance of local production facilities in the markets served, invoicing of customers in the same currency as the source of the products, prompt settlement of inter-company balances utilizing a global netting system and limited use of foreign currency denominated debt. To the extent that these mitigating strategies are not successful, foreign currency rate fluctuations can have a material adverse impact on its international operations or on the business as a whole.

Delays in introducing new products or the inability to achieve or maintain market acceptance with existing or new products may cause the Company's revenues to decrease. The industries to which the Company belongs are characterized by intense competition, changes in end-user requirements, and evolving product offerings and introductions. The Company believes future success will depend, in part, on the ability to anticipate and adapt to these factors and offer, on a timely basis, products that meet customer demands. Failure to develop new and innovative products or to enhance existing products could result in the loss of existing customers to competitors or the inability to attract new business, either of which may adversely affect the Company's revenues.

Certain Company products are subject to regulation and government performance requirements in addition to the warranties provided by the Company. The Company's product lines have expanded significantly and certain products are subject to government regulations and standards for manufacture, assembly, and performance in addition to the warranties provided by the Company. The Company's failure to meet all such standards or perform in accordance with warranties could result in significant warranty or repair costs, lost sales and profits, damage to the Company's reputation, and fines or penalties from Governmental organizations. The claims made by the California Air Resources Board and certain local air districts in California, described in Item 3-Legal Proceedings, are examples of the issues that can arise under these laws and regulations. Changes to these standards may require the Company to modify its business objectives and incur additional costs to comply.

The growth of municipal water systems and increased government restrictions on groundwater pumping could reduce demand for private water wells and the Company's products, thereby reducing revenues and earnings. Demand for certain Company products is affected by rural communities shifting from private and individual water well systems to city or municipal water systems. Many economic and other factors outside the Company's control, including Federal

and State regulations on water quality, tax credits and incentives, could impact the demand for private and individual water wells. A decline in private and individual water well systems in the United States or other economies in the international markets the Company serves could reduce demand for the Company's products and adversely impact sales, gross margins and operating results.

Demand for Fueling Systems products is impacted by environmental legislation which may cause significant increases in product demand and may be followed by significantly reduced demand after meeting compliance requirements. Environmental legislation related to air quality and fueling containment may create demand for certain Fueling Systems products which must be supplied in a relatively short time frame to meet the governmental mandate. During this period of increased demand the Company's revenues and profitability could increase significantly. The Company is at risk of not having capacity to meet demand or cost overruns due to inefficiencies during ramp up to the higher production levels. After the Company's customers have met the compliance requirements, the Company's revenues and profitability may decrease significantly as the demand for certain products declines substantially. The Company is at risk of not reducing production costs in relation to the decreased demand as well as reduced revenues adversely impacting gross margins and operating results.

Changes in tax legislation regarding our foreign earnings could materially affect our future results. Since the Company operates in different countries and is subject to taxation in different jurisdictions, the Company's future effective tax rates could be impacted by changes in such countries' tax laws or their interpretations. Both domestic and international tax laws are subject to change as a result of changes in fiscal policy, changes in legislation, evolution of regulation and court rulings. The application of these tax laws and related regulations is subject to legal and factual interpretation, judgment and uncertainty. Proposed changes to the U.S. international tax laws would limit U.S. deductions for expenses related to un-repatriated foreign-source income and modify the U.S. foreign tax credit and "check-the-box" rules. The Company cannot predict whether these proposals will be enacted into law or what, if any, changes may be made to such proposals prior to their being enacted into law. If the U.S. tax laws change in a manner that increases the Company's tax obligation, it could result in a material adverse impact on the Company's results of operations and financial condition.

Additional Risks to the Company

The Company is subject to various risks in the normal course of business. Exhibit 99.1 sets forth risks and other factors that may affect future results, including those identified above, and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The Company maintains its principal executive offices in Bluffton, Indiana (leased).

Manufacturing plants or primary distribution centers in the Water Systems segment are located in Australia (leased), Brazil (owned), Canada (leased), China (leased), The Czech Republic (owned), Germany (owned), Italy (leased), Japan (leased), Mexico (owned), Republic of Botswana (leased), South Africa (owned), and the United States. Within the United States, significant manufacturing and primary distribution facilities are located in Little Rock, Arkansas (leased), Wilburton, Oklahoma (owned); and Oklahoma City, Oklahoma (owned).

Manufacturing plants or primary distribution centers in the Fueling Systems segment are located in the following countries: China (leased), England (leased), Germany (owned), South Africa (owned), and the United States. Within the United States, a significant manufacturing facility is located in Madison, Wisconsin (leased).

The Company also maintains leased warehouse facilities in Bluffton, Indiana; Fresno, California; Sanford, Florida; Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada; and Bolton, Ontario, Canada.

In the Company's opinion, its facilities are suitable for their intended use, adequate for the Company's business needs, and in good condition.

-9-

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In August 2010, the California Air Resources Board (“CARB”) filed a civil complaint in the Los Angeles Superior Court against the Company and Franklin Fueling Systems, Inc. (a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company). The complaint relates to a third-party-supplied component part of the Company’s Healy 900 Series nozzle, which is part of the Company’s Enhanced Vapor Recovery (“EVR”) Systems installed in California gasoline filling stations. This part, a diaphragm, was the subject of a retrofit during the first half of 2008. As the Company has previously reported, in October 2008 CARB issued a Notice of Violation to the Company alleging that the circumstances leading to the retrofit program violated California statutes and regulations. The Company and CARB have worked since 2008 to resolve the diaphragm matter without court action, but were unable to reach agreement.

The claims in the complaint mirror those that CARB presented to the Company in the Notice of Violation, and include claims that the Company negligently and intentionally sold nozzles with a modified diaphragm without required CARB certification. The Company believes that, throughout the period to which the complaint relates, it acted in full cooperation with CARB and in the best interests of CARB’s vapor emissions control program. Although the complaint seeks penalties of at least \$25 million, it is the Company’s position that there is no reasonable basis for penalties of this amount.

In addition, as the Company has previously reported, the Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District (“SMAQMD”) issued a Notice of Violation to the Company concerning the diaphragm matter in March 2008. Discussions with that agency about the circumstances leading to the retrofit in its jurisdiction and the resolution of the agency’s concerns did not result in agreement, and in November 2010 SMAQMD filed a civil complaint in the Sacramento Superior Court, mirroring the claims brought by CARB with respect to the diaphragm issue and also alleging violation of SMAQMD rules. SMAQMD’s suit asks for at least \$5 million in penalties for the violations claimed in its jurisdiction.

In July 2010, the Company entered into a tolling agreement with the South Coast Air Quality Management District (“SCAQMD”) and began discussions with that agency about the circumstances leading to the retrofit in its jurisdiction and the resolution of the agency’s concerns. Those discussions did not result in agreement and in December 2010, SCAQMD filed a civil complaint against the Company in Los Angeles Superior Court. The complaint alleges violations of California statutes and regulations, similar to the complaint filed by CARB, as well as violation of SCAQMD rules, and seeks penalties of at least \$12.5 million. The SCAQMD complaint does not allege an intentional violation of any statute, rule, or regulation.

The Company believes that there is no reasonable basis for the amount of penalties claimed in the SMAQMD and SCAQMD suits. The Company has answered the SMAQMD and SCAQMD complaints, as well as the CARB complaint, denying liability and asserting affirmative defenses.

Neither CARB’s filing of its suit nor the air district suits have any effect on CARB’s certification of the Company’s EVR System or any other products of the Company or its subsidiaries, and so do not interfere with continuing sales. CARB has never decertified the Company’s EVR System and does not propose to do so now.

The Company remains willing to discuss these matters and work toward resolving them. The Company cannot predict the ultimate outcome of discussions to resolve these matters or any proceedings with respect to them. Penalties awarded in the CARB or any air district proceedings or payments resulting from a settlement of these matters, depending on the amount, could have a material effect on the Company’s results of operations.

The Company acquired the Healy product line in September 2006 when it purchased Healy Systems, Inc. As previously reported, the Company withheld a portion of the purchase price and the earn-out payments otherwise due

to James Healy (the principal former owner of Healy Systems) against its claim for indemnification with respect to the diaphragm matter. Mr. Healy sued the Company in U.S. District Court in New Hampshire, claiming these funds. In December 2010, the Court ruled, after trial, that the Company was not entitled to indemnification for the diaphragm matter. The Court also ruled that Mr. Healy is entitled to prejudgment interest on certain of the withheld funds.

-10-

Without prejudicing the Company's right to appeal the Court's rulings (which have not yet been reduced to judgment), the Company released the funds withheld on account of the diaphragm matter in January 2011, and in December 2010 recognized a charge of \$1.2 million reflecting attorneys' fees for Mr. Healy, on which the Court has not yet ruled, and prejudgment interest. The Company is discussing with Mr. Healy a final resolution of their disputes, including Mr. Healy's indemnification obligations with respect to a separate patent matter, and the parties have agreed to defer further action in the case for a time to allow those discussions to proceed.

On July 31, 2009, Sta-Rite Industries, LLC and Pentair, Inc. filed an action against the Company in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Ohio, alleging breach of the parties' 2004 Settlement Agreement and tortious interference with contract based on the Company's pricing of submersible electric products and seeking damages in excess of \$10 million for each claimant. The Company has denied liability, is defending the case vigorously, and has filed a counterclaim alleging Sta-Rite and Pentair's breach of the same Settlement Agreement. Discovery has concluded and each side has filed a motion for summary judgment, seeking dismissal of the other's claims. Those motions are currently pending. The Company cannot predict the ultimate outcome of this litigation, and any settlement or adjudication of this matter, depending on the amount, could have a material effect on the Company's results of operations.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

Current executive officers of the Company, their ages, current position, and business experience during at least the past five years as of January 1, 2011 are as follows:

Name	Age	Position Held	Period Holding Position
R. Scott Trumbull	62	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer	2003-present
Gregg C. Sengstack	52	Senior Vice President and President Fueling and International Water Group	2005-present
Robert J. Stone	46	Senior Vice President and President, Americas Water Systems Group	2007-present
		Vice President of Sales, Marketing, and Engineering, Western Hemisphere Water Systems	2004-2007
Daniel J. Crose	62	Vice President, Global Water Product Supply	2009-present
		Vice President and Director, North American Operations	2003-2009
Delancey W. Davis	45	Vice President, Franklin Electric and President US/Canada Business Unit	2009-present
		Vice President and Business Unit Manager, US/Canada Water Systems	2008-2009
		Vice President and Director of Sales, Western Hemisphere Water Systems	2005-2008
John J. Haines	47	Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, and Secretary	2008-present
		Managing Director and Chief Executive Officer, HSBC Auto Finance, a provider of consumer automobile financing	2004-2008
Thomas J. Strupp	57	Vice President, Global Human Resources	2010-present
			2009-2010

Edgar Filing: FRANKLIN ELECTRIC CO INC - Form 10-K

	Vice President, Franklin Electric and President, Consumer and Specialty Markets Business Unit	
	Vice President, Franklin Electric and President, Water Transfer Systems	2008-2009
	Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Secretary, and President Water Transfer Systems	2005-2008
Steven W. Aikman	51 Vice President, Global Water Systems Engineering	2010 - present
	Chief Engineer – Fuel Handling Products, Delphi Corporation, a global supplier for the automotive, computing, communications, energy, and consumer accessories markets	2003 - 2010

All executive officers are elected annually by the Board of Directors at the Board meeting held in conjunction with the annual meeting of shareowners. All executive officers hold office until their successors are duly elected or until their death, resignation, or removal by the Board.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS, AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

The number of shareowners of record as of February 24, 2011 was 1,061. The Company's stock is traded on NASDAQ Global Select Market: Symbol FELE.

Dividends paid and the price range per common share as quoted by the NASDAQ Global Select Market for 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

	DIVIDENDS PER SHARE		PRICE PER SHARE			
	2010	2009	2010		2009	
			Low	High	Low	High
1st Quarter	\$.125	\$.125	\$24.93	\$30.95	\$17.12	\$30.55
2nd Quarter	\$.13	\$.125	\$27.04	\$36.59	\$21.50	\$27.18
3rd Quarter	\$.13	\$.125	\$27.62	\$34.40	\$22.80	\$34.50
4th Quarter	\$.13	\$.125	\$32.43	\$41.79	\$26.61	\$29.96

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities:

The following table shows certain information related to the Company's repurchases of common stock for the three months ended January 1, 2011, under the Company's stock repurchase program. These shares were purchased in a privately negotiated transaction. The purchase price for the shares was determined pursuant to the Stock Redemption Agreement as the average of the highest and lowest closing price over the prior 20 trading days.

Period	Total number of shares purchased	Average price paid per share	Total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced plan	Maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased
Oct. 3 – Nov. 6	-	-	-	1,719,500
Nov. 7 - Dec. 4	-	-	-	1,719,500
Dec. 5 – Jan. 1	\$ 68,587	\$ 36.45	68,587	1,650,913
Total	\$ 68,587	\$ 36.45	68,587	1,650,913

STOCK PERFORMANCE GRAPH

The following graph compares our cumulative total stockholder return (Common Stock price appreciation plus dividends, on a reinvested basis) over the last five fiscal years with the Palisades U.S. Water Index and the Russell 2000 Index. The Company chose to start using the Palisades U.S. Water Index in 2010 as it was considered a more relevant index than the previously used S&P 500 Index.

Hypothetical \$100 invested on January 1, 2006 in Franklin Electric Common Stock, Palisades U.S. Water Index and Russell 2000 Index, assuming reinvestment of dividends.

FELE	\$ 100	\$ 130	\$ 98	\$ 72	\$ 75	\$ 101
Palisades U.S. Water	\$ 100	\$ 121	\$ 145	\$ 100	\$ 117	\$ 132
Russell 2000	\$ 100	\$ 118	\$ 116	\$ 77	\$ 98	\$ 124

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following selected financial data should be read in conjunction with the Company's consolidated financial statements. The information set forth below is not necessarily indicative of future operations.

FIVE YEAR FINANCIAL SUMMARY (a)

(In thousands, except per share amounts

and ratios)	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Operations:	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
Net sales	\$713,792	\$625,991	\$745,627	\$602,025	\$557,948
Gross profit	230,300	187,839	226,925	172,820	191,557
Interest expense	9,692	9,548	10,968	8,147	3,373
Income tax expense	15,106	12,168	22,925	15,434	30,671
Net income attributable to Franklin Electric Co., Inc.	38,968	25,986	44,111	28,683	56,762
Depreciation and amortization	24,040	25,385	24,164	20,359	17,989
Capital expenditures	12,776	13,889	26,860	28,797	23,715
Balance sheet:					
Working capital (g)	\$261,148	\$228,450	\$236,248	\$218,830	\$123,833
Property, plant and equipment, net	143,076	147,171	144,535	134,931	115,976
Total assets	779,914	718,298	694,057	662,237	526,925
Long-term debt	151,245	151,242	185,528	151,287	51,043
Shareowners' equity	417,849	388,173	348,937	378,544	345,831
Other data:					
Net income attributable to Franklin Electric Co., Inc., to sales	5.5	% 4.2	% 5.9	% 4.8	% 10.2
Net income attributable to Franklin Electric Co., Inc., to average total assets	5.2	% 3.7	% 6.5	% 4.8	% 12.5
Current ratio (h)	3.4	3.7	3.9	3.4	2.3
Number of common shares outstanding	23,257	23,128	23,018	23,091	23,009
Per share:					
Market price range					
High	\$41.79	\$34.50	\$54.55	\$52.55	\$62.95
Low	\$24.93	\$17.12	\$23.76	\$36.07	\$38.70
Net income attributable to Franklin Electric Co., Inc., per weighted-average common share	\$1.68	\$1.13	\$1.92	\$1.24	\$2.49
Net income attributable to Franklin Electric Co., Inc., per weighted-average common share, assuming dilution	\$1.66	\$1.12	\$1.90	\$1.22	\$2.43
Book value (i)	17.77	16.67	15.02	16.12	14.84
Dividends per common share	\$0.52	\$0.50	\$0.50	\$0.47	\$0.43

(a) The five year financial presentation excludes the sales and earnings of the Engineered Motor Products Division (EMPD) which was sold during the fourth quarter of 2006.

(b) Includes the results of operations of the Company's wholly owned subsidiary PetroTechnik Limited, since its acquisition in the third quarter of 2010.

(c) Includes the results of operations of the Company's 75% owned subsidiary, Vertical S.p.A. acquired in the first quarter of 2009.

(d) Includes the results of operations of the Company's wholly-owned subsidiaries, Industrias Schneider SA, and Western Pump LLC, since their acquisitions in the first and second quarter of 2008, respectively.

(e) Includes the results of operations of the Company's wholly-owned subsidiaries, Pump Brands (Pty) Limited and the pump division of Monarch Industries Limited, since their acquisitions in the second and third quarters of 2007, respectively.

(f) Includes the results of operations of the Company's wholly-owned subsidiaries, Little Giant Pump Company and Healy Systems, Inc., since their acquisition in the second and third quarters of 2006, respectively.

(g) Working capital = Current assets minus Current liabilities.

(h) Current ratio = Current assets divided by Current liabilities.

(i) Book value = Shareowners' equity divided by weighted average common shares, assuming full dilution.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

2010 // 2009

OVERVIEW

Sales and earnings for 2010 were up from last year. 2010 sales increased 14 percent compared to 2009 sales and 2010 diluted earnings per share increased 48 percent. Earnings for the year have increased primarily due to the increased revenue and leveraging of fixed manufacturing costs on the higher sales. The Company generated \$94.6 million in cash from operations during 2010 compared to \$112.6 million in 2009 and ended 2010 with about \$140 million of cash and equivalents compared to about \$87 million at year-end 2009. Inventory was \$126.0 million at year end 2010, \$8.4 million or 6 percent less than at year end 2009. In September of 2010, Franklin Fueling Systems acquired all of the outstanding stock of PetroTechnik Limited for about \$12.9 million. The Company purchased approximately \$6.9 million, or about 226 thousand shares, of Company stock pursuant to its authorized stock repurchase program during 2010. The Company had no outstanding balance on its revolving debt agreement at year-end 2010 or 2009.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Net Sales

	2010	2009	2010 v 2009
			Net Sales
Water Systems	\$ 583.3	\$ 504.8	\$ 78.5
Fueling Systems	\$ 130.5	\$ 121.2	\$ 9.3
Other	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Consolidated	\$ 713.8	\$ 626.0	\$ 87.8

Net sales for 2010 were \$713.8 million, an increase of \$87.8 million or about 14 percent compared to 2009 sales of \$626.0 million. Sales revenue increased by \$11.7 million or about 2 percent in 2010 due to foreign exchange. The incremental impact of sales from businesses acquired during 2010 was \$11.3 million. The sales change for 2010, excluding acquisitions and foreign exchange, was an increase of \$64.8 million or about 10 percent.

Net Sales-Water Systems

Water Systems sales worldwide were \$583.3 million, up \$78.5 million or about 16 percent for 2010 compared to 2009. Water Systems revenues represent about 80 percent of the Company's total sales. All of the Water Systems sales growth was organic. Changes in selling price increased net sales by \$13.0 million or about 2.5 percent. Sales revenue increased by \$12.2 million or about 2 percent in 2010 due to foreign currency translation.

Water Systems sales in the U.S. and Canada represent about half of global Water Systems sales and grew by 17 percent in 2010 versus 2009. In the U.S. and Canada, sales of groundwater pumping systems for residential applications increased by about 22 percent as a result of market share gains. Sales of large groundwater pumping systems for industrial and agricultural applications in the U.S. and Canadian market grew by about 15 percent during the year, and appear to be primarily from farm spending despite relatively wet weather in several important U.S. agricultural regions. Internationally, Water Systems sales in the developing markets of Latin America, Asia Pacific and Southern Africa, represented about 33 percent of total Water Systems sales and increased by 23 percent in 2010 versus 2009. Sales in Europe, Middle East and North Africa grew by about 5 percent.

In response to rising commodity costs (e.g., aluminum, copper and steel), the Company announced market price increases for all of the Water Systems businesses globally, implementing or planning to implement price increases

between the fourth quarter of 2010 and first quarter of 2011. The price increases apply to nearly all sales, vary by market and product, and generally average 4 percent.

-15-

Net Sales-Fueling Systems

Fueling Systems sales worldwide were \$130.5 million, an increase of \$9.3 million or about 8 percent for 2010 compared to 2009. Fueling Systems revenues represent about 20 percent of the Company's total revenues. Sales from businesses acquired during the last 12 months were \$11.3 million or 9 percent of total sales. Excluding the acquisition, 2010 sales were \$119.2 million a decline of about 2 percent. Changes in selling price increased net sales by \$2.4 million or about 2 percent. Sales revenue decreased by \$0.5 million in 2010 due to foreign currency translation.

Fueling Systems sales in the U.S. and Canada fell by about \$11 million. The decline was caused entirely by reduced sales of the Company's vapor recovery products in the State of California, a reduction of 61 percent compared to the prior year or about \$16 million in sales revenue in 2010 compared to the prior year. 2010 Fueling Systems sales in the U.S and Canada outside of California grew by about 9 percent. The first quarter 2010 was the last quarter which included significant sales in California to meet that state's regulatory mandates. Fueling Systems sales in international markets increased by about 30 percent during 2010 primarily due to continued demand for vapor control systems in China. Fueling Systems has implemented price increases for a portion of its global products averaging approximately 5 percent to offset raw material costs inflation.

Cost of Sales

Cost of sales as a percent of net sales for 2010 and 2009 was 67.7 percent and 70.0 percent, respectively. Correspondingly, the gross profit margin was 32.3 percent for 2010 compared to 30.0 percent for 2009. The Company's gross profit was \$230.3 million, an increase of \$42.5 million from the \$187.8 million in 2009. The gross profit margin improvement was primarily due to leveraging fixed costs on lower fixed cost spending and higher sales. The second largest improvement in gross profit was a result of reduced direct labor and burden costs due to the consolidation of portions of North American manufacturing into the factory complex in Linares, Mexico. The benefit from earnings attributable to acquisitions was about \$3.2 million.

Selling, General and Administrative ("SG&A")

Selling, general, and administrative ("SG&A") expenses increased by \$27.2 million or about 20 percent in 2009 compared to the prior year. The Petrotechnik acquisition added \$3.1 million of SG&A expenses to the Fueling Systems segment in 2010. SG&A expense as a percent of net sales for 2010 and 2009 was 22.5 percent and 21.3 percent, respectively. The increase in SG&A expenses was primarily a result of higher sales commissions and expenses related to performance-based compensation of \$12.7 million. Other increases in SG&A included \$7.4 million for various legal matters primarily recognized in the Fueling Systems segment. During the second quarter 2010, Fueling Systems incurred \$3.8 million in SG&A expenses for various legal matters. Fueling Systems incurred an additional \$1.4 million of SG&A expense principally for legal fees related to these matters. The expenses result from an agreement in principle with a key competitor to settle numerous patent, licensing and fair trade disputes; claims by both the California Air Resources Board and individual air districts in that state relating to components of Fueling Systems' Vapor Recovery Systems products, as discussed in prior quarters; and other claims involving litigation with James Healy, who sold Healy Systems to the Company in 2006, regarding payments from an escrow fund and earn-out fees under the purchase agreement. Certain of the amounts incurred in these matters, which are subject to indemnification provisions in the Healy purchase agreement, were not expensed and are also at issue in the Healy litigation. During the fourth quarter 2010, the ongoing litigation between Franklin Fueling Systems and James Healy was adjudicated in Federal court and as a result Fueling Systems incurred charges of \$0.5 million in SG&A expenses. Additionally, research, development and engineering expenditures increased over the prior year by \$0.8 million.

Restructuring Expenses

There were \$5.3 million of restructuring expenses in 2010 compared to \$6.2 million in 2009. Restructuring expenses include asset impairments, severance expenses, pension charges and manufacturing equipment relocation costs. The majority of these expenses were related to the previously announced Phase 3 of the Company's Global Manufacturing Realignment Program which primarily related to the consolidation of the Siloam Springs, Arkansas facility with the Linares, Mexico operation. In 2010, there were additional restructuring expenses of about \$1.9 million that were related to acquisitions and other restructuring consistent with the goals of the Company's Global Manufacturing Realignment Program.

As of the end of 2010, Phase 3 is considered complete. In total, the Company had previously estimated the cost for Phase 3 to be between \$10.0 million and \$12.8 million. The Company actually incurred \$11.8 million in Phase 3 expenses, from December 2008 through the third quarter of 2010. Approximately \$8.2 of the \$11.8 million was for non cash items.

Operating Income

Operating income was \$64.1 million in 2010, up \$16.1 million or 33 percent from 2009 operating income of \$48.0 million.

	2010	2009	2010 v 2009
	Operating income/ (loss)		
Water Systems	\$ 84.1	\$ 60.2	\$ 23.9
Fueling Systems	\$ 17.4	\$ 20.9	\$ (3.5)
Other	\$ (37.4)	\$ (33.1)	\$ (4.3)
Consolidated	\$ 64.1	\$ 48.0	\$ 16.1

Operating Income-Water Systems

Water Systems operating income was \$84.1 million for 2010, up \$23.9 million or about 40 percent versus the same period a year ago. Operating margins were up 21 percent at 14.4 percent of sales in 2010 versus 11.9 percent in the prior year. Operating margins improved primarily as a result of higher sales volume.

Operating Income-Fueling Systems

Fueling Systems operating income was \$17.4 million, a decrease of \$3.5 million or about 17 percent versus 2009. Operating margins were 13.3 percent of sales versus 17.2 percent in the prior year. Fueling Systems operating income declined primarily as a result of higher legal expenses.

Operating Income-Other

Operating income other is composed primarily of unallocated general and administrative expenses. General and administrative expense increases were primarily due to higher performance based compensation expenses.

Interest Expense

Interest expense for 2010 and 2009 was \$9.7 million and \$9.5 million, respectively. Interest expense on outstanding debt in 2010 was lower, due primarily to decreases in debt, and was offset by \$0.7 million of interest settlements awarded by the court in the Healy matter described in Part I, Item 3 – Legal Proceedings.

Other Income or Expense

Other Income or Expense for 2010 was a loss of \$0.3 million and was not significant for 2009. Included in Other income for 2010 and 2009 was interest income of \$1.5 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, primarily derived from the investment of cash balances in short-term U.S. treasury and agency securities. Also, included in other income in 2010 and 2009 was income from equity investments of \$1.0 million and \$0.1 million, respectively. In 2010 and 2009, other expenses included the reversal of indemnification receivables related to contingent tax liabilities for \$2.9 million and \$1.5 million, respectively.

Foreign Exchange

Foreign currency-based transactions for 2010 and 2009 were gains of \$1.0 million and \$0.5 million, respectively. The gains in 2010 were primarily due to foreign currency translation gains in the Canadian dollar and South African Rand to the U.S. dollar.

Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes in 2010 and 2009 was \$15.1 million and \$12.2 million, respectively. The effective tax rates for 2010 and 2009 were 27.4 percent and 31.3 percent, respectively. The effective tax rate differs from the United States statutory rate of 35 percent, generally due to foreign income exclusion and due to the effects of state and foreign income taxes, net of federal tax benefits. The Company recorded adjustments in 2010 for uncertain tax positions relating to acquisitions occurring prior to 2010 for which indemnification was provided for in the

respective purchase agreements and the reversal of the indemnification asset was recorded in “Other income/(expense)” in the Company’s statements of income, a benefit of \$2.9 million; and adjustments to the state deferred tax rates due to domestic restructuring, a benefit of \$1.6 million. The Company further recorded a valuation allowance of \$3.4 million against certain state deferred tax assets as restructuring both domestically and internationally has reduced taxable earnings in the U.S. and it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The full year 2010 effective tax rate before valuation allowance and other discrete adjustments was about 29.2 percent, higher than the actual tax rate of 27.4 percent, excluding the impact of the reversal of uncertain tax positions, adjustments for domestic state taxes, and other non-operational tax adjustments during 2010. The on-going effective tax rate from operations is projected to be between 28 percent and 30 percent for 2011. The projected tax rate will continue to be lower than the 2009 rate and the statutory rate primarily due to the indefinite reinvestment of certain foreign earnings and reduced taxes on foreign and repatriated earnings after the restructuring of certain foreign entities. The Company has the ability to indefinitely reinvest these foreign earnings based on the earnings and cash projections of its other operations as well as cash on hand and available credit.

Net Income

Net income for 2010 was \$40.0 million compared to 2009 net income of \$26.7 million. Net income attributable to Franklin Electric Co., Inc. for 2010 was \$39.0 million, or \$1.66 per diluted share, compared to 2009 net income attributable to Franklin Electric Co., Inc. of \$26.0 million or \$1.12 per diluted share.

The Company values its inventories at the lower of cost or market and determines inventory costs using primarily the first-in, first-out (“FIFO”) method. As of fiscal year 2011, the Company plans to change the accounting for its remaining last-in, first-out (“LIFO”) inventory to FIFO. As of year end 2010, approximately 10.8 percent of the Company’s consolidated inventories were accounted for under the LIFO method. The amount of inventory accounted for under the LIFO method has decreased in recent years primarily due to acquisitions of businesses with products that were dissimilar to the Company’s existing products and that were not accounted for under the LIFO method, and organic growth in the business where LIFO was not used. The Company has recognized LIFO liquidations for 2010, 2009 and 2008 primarily as a result of lower inventory balances overall. For full year 2010, LIFO adjustments resulted in income of about \$0.1 million. When comparing 2011 results to 2010, the 2010 LIFO adjustments will be reversed. The LIFO reserve as of year end 2010 was approximately \$14 million and will be reversed from inventory resulting in an increase in inventory values and an increase in the Company’s equity.

The resulting reversal for tax purposes will create a tax liability of approximately \$3.2 million, payments to be spread equally over 4 years (\$0.8 million per year), and is deemed not material to the total cash flows of the Company over that time.

2009 // 2008

OVERVIEW

While Franklin’s overall sales declined from 2008 to 2009, Water Systems operating income margin as a percent of sales improved from 2008 to 2009 and the Company generated record cash flow from operating activities as it reduced inventories and paid down debt. Sales for 2009 decreased 16 percent from 2008. Water Systems product sales were down from 2008 about 9 percent due primarily to the housing recession and inventory reductions by distributors. Fueling Systems product sales decreased 35 percent coming off a record year in 2008 which benefited from the sales surge for vapor recovery systems to meet regulatory requirements in California. Despite the decline in sales volume the gross profit margin as a percent of net sales remained flat at about 30 percent. The Company generated \$112.6 million in cash from operations during the full year 2009 compared to \$44.4 million in 2008. Lower inventory balances contributed \$43.9 million, representing a source of cash for the full year 2009 compared to higher inventory balances representing a use of cash of \$15.6 million in 2008. The Company had no outstanding balance on its revolving debt agreement at year end 2009 compared to \$35.0 million outstanding at the end of 2008.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Net Sales

	2009	2008	2009 v 2008
			Net Sales
Water Systems	\$ 504.8	\$ 557.0	\$ (52.2)
Fueling Systems	\$ 121.2	\$ 188.6	\$ (67.4)
Other	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Consolidated	\$ 626.0	\$ 745.6	\$ (119.6)

Net sales for 2009 were \$626.0 million, a decrease of \$119.6 million or about 16 percent compared to 2008 sales of \$745.6 million. The full year incremental impact of sales from businesses acquired during 2008 and acquisitions in 2009 was \$24.5 million. Sales revenue decreased by \$19.6 million or about 3 percent in 2009 due to foreign exchange. The sales change for 2009, excluding acquisitions and foreign exchange, was a decline of \$124.5 million or about 17 percent.

Net Sales-Water Systems

Water Systems sales worldwide were \$504.8 million, down \$52.2 million or 9 percent for 2009 compared to 2008. Water Systems revenues represent about 80 percent of the Company's total sales. Sales from businesses acquired during 2008 and acquisitions in 2009 were \$24.5 million, primarily Vertical. Sales revenue decreased by \$18.9 million in 2009 due to foreign currency translation. The sales decline, excluding foreign currency translation and acquisitions, was \$57.8 million or 10 percent. In international markets, Water Systems sales declined by 3 percent as sales gains in Latin America and the Asia/Pacific region were offset by a decline in Europe and Africa. In the United States and Canada, Water Systems sales declined by 18 percent due primarily to the housing recession and inventory reductions by distributors.

Net Sales-Fueling Systems

Fueling Systems sales worldwide were \$121.2 million, a decrease of \$67.4 million or 36 percent for 2009 compared to 2008. Fueling Systems represent about 20 percent of the Company's total revenues. Fueling Systems sales in the United States fell by about \$60 million. The decline was primarily caused by reduced sales of the Company's vapor recovery products in the State of California, a reduction of 63 percent compared to the prior year or about \$47 million in sales revenue in 2009 compared to the prior year. Fueling Systems sales in international markets declined during 2009 primarily due to unusually high shipments of vapor control systems in 2008 to the Beijing area as part of China's program to reduce air pollution prior to the Summer Olympics.

Cost of Sales

Cost of sales as a percent of net sales for 2009 and 2008 was 70.0 percent and 69.6 percent, respectively. Correspondingly, the gross profit margin was 30.0 percent for 2009 compared to 30.4 percent for 2008. The Company's gross profit was \$187.8 million, a decline of \$39.1 million from the \$226.9 million in 2008. The Company's gross profit declined about \$69.2 million due to lower sales volume. Offsetting the sales volume decline were fixed cost improvements of about \$10.2 million. Gross profit for 2009 was further improved by lower freight and warranty costs of about \$7.2 million, compared to 2008. The benefit from earnings attributable to acquisitions was about \$5.8 million and lastly gross profit was improved overall by about \$5.6 million from selling price and lower raw material costs net of other costs and product mix.

Selling, General and Administrative ("SG&A")

Selling, general, and administrative (“SG&A”) expenses decreased by \$14.4 million or about 10 percent in 2009 compared to the prior year. Acquisitions, primarily Vertical in Italy, added \$3.5 million of SG&A expenses to the Water Systems segment in 2009. SG&A expense as a percent of net sales for 2009 and 2008 was 21.3 percent and 19.8 percent, respectively. The increase in percentage terms is due to lower sales since SG&A expense decreases, consistent with management’s fixed cost reduction initiatives, were not as large in percentage terms as the Company’s decrease in sales volume. Additionally, expenses related to variable performance based compensation decreased by approximately \$7.0 million in 2009 versus 2008.

Restructuring Expenses

There were \$6.2 million of nonrecurring restructuring expenses in 2009 compared to \$2.2 million in 2008.

In December 2008, the Company announced Phase 3 of its Global Manufacturing Realignment Program for its manufacturing facilities in North America and Brazil. Under Phase 3 in North America the Company is continuing the rationalization of manufacturing capacity between the manufacturing complex in Linares, Mexico and its other North American plants. Initially, Phase 3 of the realignment plan included the phased move of approximately 500,000 man hours of manufacturing activity to Linares, approximately 80 percent of which was from Siloam Springs, Arkansas. The transfer was largely complete as of June, 2009 and reduced manufacturing labor and overhead costs. The cost savings were estimated at \$8.0 million annually, primarily related to lower manufacturing labor and overhead costs (i.e., cost of sales), based on 2008 volumes and other operating variables in 2008. These cost savings reduce inventory costs. They are realized when the lower cost inventory is shipped (usually 1 to 2 quarters after production) and are impacted by sales and production volumes. The Company incurred pretax charges for Phase 3 restructuring expenses of \$8.4 million (\$2.2 million in 2008 and \$6.2 million in 2009) including severance expenses, pension charges, asset write-offs, and equipment relocation costs. Approximately two thirds of these charges were non-cash.

As a follow-on step to Phase 3 of the Global Manufacturing Realignment Program, the Company has announced its plan to close its Siloam Springs, Arkansas manufacturing facility. These costs will include severance expenses, pension charges, asset write-offs, and equipment relocation costs. These charges are in addition to those incurred through 2009. Approximately 80 percent of these costs will be non-cash, primarily asset write-offs and pension curtailment charges.

Operating Income

Operating income was \$48.0 million in 2009, down \$28.7 million from 2008 operating income of \$76.7 million.

	2009	2008	2009 v 2008
	Operating income/ (loss)		
Water Systems	\$ 60.2	\$ 68.4	\$ (8.2)
Fueling Systems	\$ 20.9	\$ 49.4	\$ (28.5)
Other	\$ (33.1)	\$ (41.1)	\$ 8.0
Consolidated	\$ 48.0	\$ 76.7	\$ (28.7)

Operating Income-Water Systems

Water Systems operating income was \$60.2 million for 2009, down \$8.2 million or about 12 percent versus the same period a year ago. Operating margins were down slightly at 11.9 percent of sales in 2009 versus 12.3 percent in the prior year.

Operating Income-Fueling Systems

Fueling Systems operating income was \$20.9 million, a decrease of \$28.5 million or about 58 percent versus 2008. Operating margins were 17.2 percent of sales versus 26.2 percent in the prior year. Fueling Systems operating income declined primarily as a result of sales volume decreases.

Operating Income-Other

Operating income other is composed primarily of unallocated general and administrative expenses. General and administrative expense decreases were primarily due to lower variable compensation expenses. Other SG&A decreases were related to management's fixed cost reduction initiatives started in the fourth quarter of 2008.

Interest Expense

Interest expense for 2009 and 2008 was \$9.5 million and \$11.0 million, respectively. Interest expense decreased in 2009 due primarily to decreases in debt.

-20-

Other Income or Expense

Other Income or Expense was not significant for 2009 and was \$1.8 million income in 2008. Included in “Other income” for 2009 and 2008 was interest income of \$1.1 and \$2.1 million, respectively, primarily derived from the investment of cash balances in short-term U.S. treasury and agency securities. Also, included in other income in 2009 and 2008 was income from equity investments of \$0.1 million and \$0.7 million, respectively. In 2009, other expenses included the reversal of an indemnification receivable related to a contingent tax liability for \$1.5 million. The contingent tax liability was also reversed and the benefit was recorded in the income tax provision. There was no net income impact to the Company in 2009 for the reversal of this tax contingency. Also in 2008 the Company reached an agreement in principle to settle a trademark licensing dispute and recorded a pre-tax expense of \$0.9 million to reflect the settlement payment.

Foreign Exchange

For 2009 foreign currency-based transactions were a gain of about \$0.5 million due to gains in the Australian dollar, Canadian dollar and Mexican Peso offset by losses in the Euro and Chinese Yuan to the U.S. dollar. For 2008 foreign currency-based transactions were not significant.

Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes in 2009 and 2008 was \$12.2 million and \$22.9 million, respectively. The effective tax rates for 2009 and 2008 were 31.3 and 33.9 percent, respectively. The effective tax rate differs from the United States statutory rate of 35 percent, generally due to foreign income exclusion and due to the effects of state and foreign income taxes, net of federal tax benefits. The full year 2009 actual tax rate was 31.3 percent and was lower than the prior year rate of 33.9 percent and the United States statutory rate of 35 percent primarily due to the benefit of restructuring certain foreign subsidiaries which resulted in a one-time adjustment for certain foreign tax benefits and other one-time discrete events lowering the rate about 132 basis points.

Net Income

Net income for 2009 was \$26.7 million compared to 2008 net income of \$44.7 million. Net income attributable to Franklin Electric Co., Inc. for 2009 was \$26.0 million, or \$1.12 per diluted share, compared to 2008 net income attributable to Franklin Electric Co., Inc. of \$44.1 million or \$1.90 per diluted share.

CAPITAL RESOURCES AND LIQUIDITY

The Company’s primary sources of liquidity are cash flows from operations and funds available under its committed, unsecured, revolving credit agreement maturing in 2011 (the “Agreement”) in the amount of \$120.0 million, and its amended and restated uncommitted note purchase and private shelf agreement (the “Prudential Agreement”) in the amount of \$200.0 million, with notes issued thereunder beginning to mature in 2015. Over the course of 2011, the Company plans to renew the Agreement with similar borrowing capacity, terms, and conditions that reflect market conditions at such time of renewal. The Company has no scheduled principal payments on the Prudential Agreement until 2015. As of January 1, 2011 the Company had \$116.7 million borrowing capacity under the Agreement and \$50.0 million borrowing capacity under the Prudential Agreement. The uncertainty in the financial and credit markets has not impacted the liquidity of the Company and the Company expects that ongoing requirements for operations, capital expenditures, dividends, and debt service will be adequately funded from its existing credit agreements. The Agreement and the Prudential Agreement do not contain any material adverse changes, or similar provisions that would accelerate the maturity of amounts drawn under either agreement. The Agreement and Prudential Agreement contain various customary conditions and covenants, which limit, among other things, borrowings, interest coverage, loans or advances and investments. The Company’s main financial covenants include an interest coverage ratio and a leverage ratio. As of January 1, 2011, the Company was in compliance with all covenants.

The Company believes that internally generated funds and existing credit arrangements provide sufficient liquidity to meet current commitments and service existing debt. The Company's revolving loan agreement with its banks is in place until the end of 2011 and the Company has no scheduled principal payments on its long term debt until 2015.

Net cash flows from operating activities were \$94.6 million in 2010 compared to \$112.6 million in 2009 and \$44.4 million in 2008. Cash provided improved in 2010 primarily as a result of a continued emphasis on reducing inventories, offset by higher accounts receivable due to increased sales. The operating cash flow improvement in

2009 was primarily a result of lower accounts receivable, due to lower sales and the timing of customer payments, reduced inventories, as management focused on reducing inventory levels due to economic and business conditions including the reduced year over year sales, and the timing of tax payments in 2009. Income taxes were a source of cash in 2009 as tax payments were decreased in 2009, consistent with estimated payment requirements, versus an overpayment of taxes in 2008. The operating cash flow improvement in 2008 was largely a result of greater Fueling Systems income from continuing operations and normal fluctuations in operating assets and liabilities related to overall results of operations. Fueling Systems revenue growth in 2008 was led by strong vapor recovery system sales in California.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$24.2 million in 2010 compared to \$28.7 million in 2009 and \$65.0 million in 2008. During 2010 the Company acquired PetroTechnik Limited for \$11.8 million, net of cash acquired. The acquisition was funded with cash on hand. Additionally, \$13.7 million was used for property, plant and equipment additions in 2010. During 2009 the Company acquired Vertical S.p.A. for \$16.8 million, net of acquired cash. The acquisition was funded with cash on hand. Additionally, \$12.0 million was used for property, plant and equipment additions in 2009. The 2008 activities were primarily related to \$38.4 million, net of cash acquired, used to acquire Industrias Schneider SA on January 9, 2008. The acquisition was funded with cash and long-term borrowings under the Agreement. Additionally, \$25.6 million was used for property, plant and equipment additions in 2008.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$14.5 million in 2010 compared to \$47.3 million in 2009 and net cash provided in 2008 of \$8.9 million. During 2010 the Company had the opportunity to repurchase approximately 226,500 shares of the Company's common stock for \$6.9 million pursuant to the Stock Redemption Agreement. Dividends in the amount of \$12.3 million were paid to shareholders. In 2009, the cash used in financing activities was primarily related to debt repayments, net of debt proceeds, and dividends of \$11.9 million paid to shareholders. Net cash provided by financing activities of \$8.9 million in 2008 was primarily related to proceeds from new debt incurred, net of repayments. Also included was the repurchase of approximately 235,100 shares of the Company's common stock for \$7.8 million and the payment of \$11.4 million in dividends to its shareholders.

2010 AGGREGATE CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

Most of the Company's contractual obligations to third parties are debt obligations. In addition, the Company has certain contractual obligations for future lease payments, contingency payments and purchase obligations. The payment schedule for these contractual obligations is as follows:

(In millions)	Total	Less than 1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	More than 5 Years
Debt	\$151.8	\$0.8	\$0.6	\$30.4	\$120.0
Debt interest	56.5	8.7	17.4	16.5	13.9
Capital leases	0.7	0.5	0.2	-	-
Operating leases	19.4	6.6	8.2	1.9	2.7
Contingencies from Healy Systems	1.0	1.0	-	-	-
Purchase obligations	2.2	2.2	-	-	-
	\$231.6	\$19.8	\$26.4	\$48.8	\$136.6

The calculated debt interest was based on the fixed rate of 5.79 percent for the Company's long-term debt under the Prudential Agreement and the six month Euro Interbank Offered Rate ("Euribor") plus one percent paid on subsidiary debt of €0.6 million maturing in 2012 and £0.6 million at one and three quarter percent maturing in the year 2015.

The Healy Systems stock purchase agreement provided for additional contingent payments of 5 percent of certain Healy Systems product sales over the next five years from the year of acquisition in 2006.

The Company has pension and other post-retirement benefit obligations not included in the table above which will result in future payments of \$16.1 million in 2011. The Company also has unrecognized tax benefits, none of which are included in the table above. The unrecognized tax benefits of approximately \$3.6 million have been recorded as liabilities and the Company is uncertain as to if or when such amounts may be settled. Related to the unrecognized tax benefits, the Company has also recorded a liability for potential penalties and interest of \$0.4 million.

ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Topic 810, Consolidation, required presentation changes to the Company’s financial statements in 2009. The Company currently has two subsidiaries that are each 75 percent owned by the Company and 25 percent owned by minority shareholders (i.e., the noncontrolling interest). The change to the Statements of Income includes the separate presentation of net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest in its subsidiaries previously included in the “other income” line of the Statement of Income. The changes to the Balance Sheets includes a separate presentation of noncontrolling interest previously included in “long-term liabilities” and the addition of a mezzanine equity item “redeemable noncontrolling interest” for an acquisition - related put option. The change to the Statements of Cash Flows includes net income before net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest in the presentation of cash flows from operating activities. The Statement of Equity and Comprehensive Income/(Loss) was updated to show separate columns for noncontrolling interest and redeemable noncontrolling interest.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

Management’s discussion and analysis of its financial condition and results of operations are based upon the Company’s consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and the related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. Management evaluates its estimates on an on-going basis. Estimates are based on historical experience and on other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. There were no material changes to estimates in 2010.

The Company’s critical accounting estimates are identified below:

Allowance for Uncollectible Accounts:

Accounts receivable is comprised of balances due from customers net of estimated allowances for uncollectible accounts. In determining allowances, historical collection experience, current trends, aging of accounts receivable, and periodic credit evaluations of customers’ financial condition are analyzed to arrive at appropriate allowances. Allowance levels change as customer-specific circumstances and the other analysis areas previously noted change. Based on current knowledge, the Company does not believe there is a reasonable likelihood that there will be a material change in the estimates or assumptions used to determine the allowance.

Inventory Valuation:

The Company uses certain estimates and judgments to value inventory. Inventory is recorded at the lower of cost or market. The Company reviews its inventories for excess or obsolete products or components. Based on an analysis of historical usage, management’s evaluation of estimated future demand, market conditions and alternative uses for possible excess or obsolete parts, carrying values are adjusted. The carrying value is reduced regularly to reflect the age and current anticipated product demand. If actual demand differs from the estimates, additional reductions would be necessary in the period such determination is made. The Company’s reserve for excess or obsolete products or components as of year-end 2010 was \$16.4 million. Excess and obsolete inventory is periodically disposed of through sale to third parties, scrapping or other means, and the reserves are appropriately reduced.

Business Combinations:

The Company follows the guidance under FASB ASC Topic 805, Business Combinations. The acquisition purchase price is allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based upon their respective fair values. The Company shall report in its financial statements provisional amounts for the items for which accounting is incomplete. Goodwill is adjusted for any changes to provisional amounts made within the measurement period. The

Company utilizes management estimates and an independent third-party valuation firm to assist in determining the fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed. Such estimates and valuations require the Company to make significant assumptions, including projections of future events and operating performance. The Company has not made any material changes to the method of valuing fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed during the last three years.

Redeemable Noncontrolling Interest:

In the first quarter of 2009 the Company completed the acquisition of 75 percent of Vertical S.p.A. The remaining 25 percent noncontrolling interest was recorded at fair value as of the acquisition date. The noncontrolling interest holders have the option to require the Company to redeem their ownership interests in the future with cash. The redemption value will be derived using a specified formula based on an earnings multiple adjusted by the net debt position of Vertical, subject to a redemption floor value at the time of redemption. Impairment assessments are performed on a quarterly basis. The carrying amount of the redeemable noncontrolling interest currently approximates its redemption value. Redemption value adjustments were not considered material in 2010 and were not required in 2009, and consequently, there were no incremental adjustments in the earnings per share calculation.

Trade Names and Goodwill:

According to FASB ASC Topic 350, Intangibles – Goodwill and Other, intangible assets with indefinite lives must be tested for impairment at least annually or more frequently if events or circumstances indicate that assets might be impaired. The Company uses a variety of methodologies in conducting impairment assessments including discounted cash flow models, which the Company believes are consistent with hypothetical market data. For indefinite-lived assets apart from goodwill, primarily trade names for the Company, if the fair value is less than the carrying amount, an impairment charge is recognized in an amount equal to that excess. The Company has not made any material changes to the method of evaluating impairments during the last three years. Based on current knowledge, the Company does not believe there is a reasonable likelihood that there will be a material change in the estimates or assumptions used to determine impairment.

Also under the guidance of FASB ASC Topic 350, goodwill is not amortized; however it is tested at the reporting unit level for impairment annually or more frequently whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that there may be impairment. Reporting units are operating segments or one level below, known as components, which can be aggregated for testing purposes. The Company's goodwill is allocated to the Fueling Systems unit and the Water Systems units. As the Company's business model evolves management will continue to evaluate its reporting units and review the aggregation criteria.

In assessing the recoverability of goodwill (i.e., impairment testing), the Company looks at both the market valuation approach, where the Company's current and projected financial results are compared to entities of similar size and industry to determine the market value of the Company, and the cash flow approach which requires assumptions regarding estimated future cash flows and other factors to determine the fair value of the respective assets. Such cash flows consider factors such as expected future operating income and historical trends, as well as the effects of demand and competition. If the Company's assumptions and estimates change whereby fair value of the reporting units is below their associated carrying values, the Company may be required to record impairment. Goodwill included on the balance sheet as of year ended 2010 was \$165.2 million.

During the fourth quarter of 2010, the Company completed its annual impairment test of indefinite lived trade names and goodwill and determined the fair value of all reporting units were substantially in excess of the respective reporting unit's carrying value. Significant judgment is required to determine if an indication of impairment has taken place. Factors to be considered include: adverse change in operating results, decline in strategic business plans, significantly lower future cash flows, and sustainable decline in market data such as market capitalization. A 10 percent decrease in the fair value estimates used in the impairment test would not have changed this determination. This sensitivity analysis required the use of judgment and numerous subjective assumptions, which, if actual experience varies, could result in material differences in the requirements for impairment charges. Further, an extended downturn in the economy may impact certain components of the operating segments more significantly and could result in changes to the aggregation assumptions and impairment determination.

Income Taxes:

Under the requirements of FASB ASC Topic 740, Income Taxes, the Company records deferred tax assets and liabilities for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The Company analyzes the deferred tax assets and liabilities for their future realization based on the estimated existence of sufficient taxable income. This analysis considers the following sources of taxable income; prior year taxable income, future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences, future taxable

-24-

income exclusive of reversing temporary differences and tax planning strategies that would generate taxable income in the relevant period. If sufficient taxable income is not projected then the Company will record a valuation allowance against the relevant deferred tax assets. The Company operates in multiple tax jurisdictions with different tax rates, and determines the allocation of income to each of these jurisdictions based upon various estimates and assumptions. In the normal course of business the Company will undergo tax audits by various tax jurisdictions. Such audits often require an extended period of time to complete and may result in income tax adjustments if changes to the allocation are required between jurisdictions with different tax rates. Although the Company has recorded all probable income tax uncertainties in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 740, these accruals represent estimates that are subject to the inherent uncertainties associated with the tax audit process, and therefore include uncertainties. Management judgment is required in determining the Company's provision for income taxes, deferred tax assets and liabilities, which, if actual experience varies, could result in material adjustments to deferred tax assets and liabilities. The Company's operations involve dealing with uncertainties and judgments in the application of complex tax regulations in multiple jurisdictions. The final taxes paid are dependent upon many factors, including negotiations with taxing authorities in various jurisdictions and resolution of disputes arising from federal, state, and international tax audits.

The Company has not made any material changes to the method of developing the income tax provision during the last three years. Based on current knowledge, the Company does not believe there is a reasonable likelihood that there will be a material change in the estimates or assumptions used to develop the income tax provision.

Pension and Employee Benefit Obligations:

With the assistance of the Company's actuaries, the discount rates used to determine pension and post-retirement plan liabilities are calculated using a yield-curve approach. The yield-curve approach discounts each expected cash flow of the liability stream at an interest rate based on high quality corporate bonds. The present value of the discounted cash flows is summed and an equivalent weighted-average discount rate is calculated. Market conditions have caused the discount rate to move from 5.75 percent last year to 5.25 percent this year for pension plans and from 5.50 percent last year to 5.00 percent this year for postretirement health and life insurance. A change in the discount rate selected by the Company of 25 basis points would result in a change of about \$0.3 million of employee benefit expense and a change of about \$4.3 million of liability. The Company consults with actuaries and investment advisors in making its determination of the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets. Using input from these consultations such as long-term investment sector expected returns, the correlations and standard deviations thereof, and the plan asset allocation, the Company has assumed an expected long-term rate of return on plan assets of 8.25 percent as of year-end 2010. This is the result of stochastic modeling showing the 50th percentile median return at least at or above 8.25 percent. A change in the long-term rate of return selected by the Company of 25 basis points would result in a change of about \$0.3 million of employee benefit expense.

Share-Based Compensation:

The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option valuation model with a single approach and amortized using a straight-line attribution method over the option's vesting period. Options granted to retirement eligible employees are immediately expensed. The Company uses historical data to estimate the expected volatility of its stock; the weighted average expected life; the period of time options granted are expected to be outstanding; and its dividend yield. The risk-free rates for periods within the contractual life of the option are based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of the grant. The Company has not made any material changes to the method of estimating fair values during the last three years. Based on current knowledge, the Company does not believe there is a reasonable likelihood that there will be a material change in the estimates or assumptions used to develop the fair value of stock based compensation.

FACTORS THAT MAY AFFECT FUTURE RESULTS

This annual report on Form 10-K contains certain forward-looking information, such as statements about the Company's financial goals, acquisition strategies, financial expectations including anticipated revenue or expense levels, business prospects, market positioning, product development, manufacturing re-alignment, capital

expenditures, tax benefits and expenses, and the effect of contingencies or changes in accounting policies. Forward-looking statements are typically identified by words or phrases such as “believe,” “expect,” “anticipate,” “intend,” “estimate,” “may increase,” “may fluctuate,” “plan,” “goal,” “target,” “strategy,” and similar expressions or future or conditional verbs such as “may,” “will,” “should,” “would,” and “could.” While the Company believes that the assumptions underlying such forward-

-25-

looking statements are reasonable based on present conditions, forward-looking statements made by the Company involve risks and uncertainties and are not guarantees of future performance. Actual results may differ materially from those forward-looking statements as a result of various factors, including general economic and currency conditions, various conditions specific to the Company's business and industry, new housing starts, weather conditions, market demand, competitive factors, changes in distribution channels, supply constraints, technology factors, litigation, government and regulatory actions, the Company's accounting policies, future trends, and other risks, all as described in Item 1A and Exhibit 99.1 of this Form 10-K. Any forward-looking statements included in this Form 10-K are based upon information presently available. The Company does not assume any obligation to update any forward-looking information.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company is subject to market risk associated with changes in foreign currency exchange rates and interest rates. Foreign currency exchange rate risk is mitigated through several means: maintenance of local production facilities in the markets served, invoicing of customers in the same currency as the source of the products, prompt settlement of inter-company balances utilizing a global netting system and limited use of foreign currency denominated debt.

The results of operations are exposed to changes in interest rates primarily with respect to borrowings under the Company's revolving credit agreement (the "Agreement"), where interest rates are tied to the prime rate or London Interbank Offered Rates (LIBOR). The Company had zero borrowings for the year 2010 under the Agreement. The Company does not, as a matter of policy, enter into derivative contracts for speculative purposes.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
FRANKLIN ELECTRIC CO., INC. AND CONSOLIDATED SUBSIDIARIES

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

	2010	2009	2008
Net sales	\$ 713,792	\$ 625,991	\$ 745,627
Cost of sales	483,492	438,152	518,702
Gross profit	230,300	187,839	226,925
Selling, general and administrative expenses	160,864	133,629	147,987
Restructuring expenses	5,334	6,195	2,228
Operating income	64,102	48,015	76,710
Interest expense	(9,692)	(9,548)	(10,968)
Other income/(expense)	(299)	(26)	1,840
Foreign exchange income	967	451	5
Income before income taxes	55,078	38,892	67,587
Income taxes	15,106	12,168	22,925
Net income	\$ 39,972	\$ 26,724	\$ 44,662
Less: net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(1,004)	(738)	(551)
Net income attributable to Franklin Electric Co., Inc.	\$ 38,968	\$ 25,986	\$ 44,111
Income per share:			
Basic	\$ 1.68	\$ 1.13	\$ 1.92
Diluted	\$ 1.66	\$ 1.12	\$ 1.90
Dividends per common share	\$ 0.52	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.50

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
FRANKLIN ELECTRIC CO., INC. AND CONSOLIDATED SUBSIDIARIES

(In thousands)

	2010	2009
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 140,070	\$ 86,875
Receivables, less allowances of \$2,340 and \$2,464, respectively	70,829	62,847
Inventories:		
Raw material	51,468	53,889
Work-in-process	12,461	12,555
Finished goods	76,303	82,288
LIFO reserve	(14,225)	(14,328)
	126,007	134,404
Deferred income taxes	18,762	15,577
Other current assets	14,787	11,890
Total current assets	370,455	311,593
Property, plant and equipment, at cost		
Land and buildings	84,724	83,917
Machinery and equipment	181,291	188,543
Furniture & fixtures	20,924	18,772
Other	6,323	3,533
	293,262	294,765
Less allowance for depreciation	(150,186)	(147,594)
	143,076	147,171
Assets held for sale	2,325	-
Intangible assets	89,011	88,912
Goodwill	165,193	161,761
Other assets	9,854	8,861
Total assets	\$ 779,914	\$ 718,298

-28-

LIABILITIES AND EQUITY

Current liabilities:

Accounts payable	\$39,084	\$31,699
Accrued expenses	64,714	44,261
Income taxes	4,268	6,448
Current maturities of long-term debt and short-term borrowings	1,241	735
Total current liabilities	109,307	83,143
Long-term debt	151,245	151,242
Deferred income taxes	17,887	3,266
Employee benefit plan obligations	65,967	74,179
Other long-term liabilities	8,313	8,865
Commitments and contingencies	-	-
Redeemable noncontrolling interest	7,291	7,393
Shareowners' equity:		
Common stock (65,000 shares authorized, \$.10 par value) outstanding (23,257 and 23,128, respectively)	2,326	2,313
Additional capital	129,705	119,133
Retained earnings	305,260	285,467
Accumulated other comprehensive income/(loss)	(19,442)	(18,740)
Total shareowners' equity	417,849	388,173
Noncontrolling interest	2,055	2,037
Total equity	419,904	390,210
Total liabilities and equity	\$779,914	\$718,298

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
FRANKLIN ELECTRIC CO., INC. AND CONSOLIDATED SUBSIDIARIES

(In thousands)

	2010	2009	2008
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income	\$39,972	\$26,724	\$44,662
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash flows from operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	24,040	25,385	24,164
Share based compensation	4,273	4,976	3,683
Deferred income taxes	9,699	(1,543)	12,395
(Gain)/Loss on disposals of plant and equipment	(1,632)	3,283	176
Asset impairment	2,420	-	-
Foreign exchange income	967	-	-
Excess tax from share-based payment arrangements	(1,321)	(144)	(856)
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Receivables	(3,588)	15,968	(2,750)
Inventories	13,416	43,884	(15,611)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	21,373	(6,798)	(7,693)
Income taxes	(2,874)	9,415	(8,973)
Employee benefit plans	(6,745)	(1,604)	(215)
Other	(5,385)	(6,961)	(4,534)
Net cash flows from operating activities	94,615	112,585	44,448
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Additions to plant and equipment	(13,709)	(12,039)	(25,641)
Proceeds from sale of plant and equipment	1,769	73	21
Additions to other assets	(439)	(5)	(965)
Purchases of securities	-	-	(9,000)
Proceeds from sale of securities	-	-	9,000
Cash paid for acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(11,785)	(16,767)	(38,380)
Net cash flows from investing activities	(24,164)	(28,738)	(64,965)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from long-term debt	-	28,000	70,000
Repayment of long-term debt	(1,218)	(64,212)	(46,236)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	5,015	666	3,446
Excess tax from share-based payment arrangements	1,321	144	856
Purchases of common stock	(7,242)	-	(7,816)
Dividends paid	(12,334)	(11,890)	(11,369)
Net cash flows from financing activities	(14,458)	(47,292)	8,881
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(2,798)	3,386	(6,682)
Net change in cash and equivalents	53,195	39,941	(18,318)
Cash and equivalents at beginning of period	86,875	46,934	65,252
Cash and equivalents at end of period	\$140,070	\$86,875	\$46,934

(In thousands)

Cash paid for income taxes	\$ 13,901	\$ 15,657	\$ 22,345
Cash paid for interest	\$ 9,693	\$ 9,522	\$ 11,234
Non-cash items:			
Payable to seller of Healy Systems, Inc.	\$ 970	\$ 1,585	\$ 569
Payable to seller of Western Pump LLC.	\$ -	\$ 118	\$ 77
Additions to property, plant, and equipment, not yet paid	\$ 889	\$ 1,822	\$ 185
Capital equipment lease	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 925
Stock option exercises, forfeitures, or stock retirements	\$ -	\$ 291	\$ -

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

-31-

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME/(LOSS)

FRANKLIN ELECTRIC CO., INC. AND CONSOLIDATED SUBSIDIARIES

(In thousands)

	Common Shares Outstanding	Common Stock	Additional Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income /(Loss)	Comprehensive Income/(Loss)	Noncontrolling Interest	Redeemable Noncontrolling Interest
Balance year end 2007	23,091	\$2,309	\$105,428	\$246,324	\$24,483		\$1,064	
Net Income				\$44,111		\$44,111	\$551	
Currency translation adjustment					\$(32,939)	(32,939)		
Minimum pension liability adjustment, net of tax					(29,580)	(29,580)		
\$18,071								
Comprehensive income						\$(18,408)		
Dividends on common stock				(11,369)				
Noncontrolling dividend							(445)	
Common stock issued	147	\$15	\$3,430					
Share based compensation	16	2	3,683					
Common stock repurchased or received								
for stock options exercised	(236)	(24)		(7,792)				
Tax benefit of stock options exercised			856					
Balance year end 2008	23,018	\$2,302	\$113,397	\$271,274	\$(38,036)		\$1,170	-

Net Income				\$25,986		\$25,986	\$521	\$217
Currency translation adjustment				\$23,797		23,797	696	545
Minimum pension liability adjustment, net of tax				(4,501)		(4,501)		
\$2,800								
Comprehensive income						\$45,282		
Dividends on common stock				(11,540)				
Noncontrolling Dividend							(350)	
Common stock issued	36	\$3	\$625					
Share based compensation	88	9	4,967					
Common stock repurchased or received								
for stock options exercised	(14)	(1)	(253)					
Adjustment to acquired fair value								6,631
Tax benefit of stock options exercised			144					
Balance year end 2009	\$23,128	\$2,313	\$119,133	\$285,467	\$(18,740)		\$2,037	\$7,393

Edgar Filing: FRANKLIN ELECTRIC CO INC - Form 10-K

	Common Shares Outstanding	Common Stock	Additional Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Comprehensive Income/ (Loss)	Noncontrolling Interest	Redeemable Noncontrolling Interest
Net income				\$38,968		\$38,968	\$565	\$439
Currency translation adjustment					\$720	720	(170)	(541)
Minimum pension liability adjustment, net of tax \$700					(1,422)	(1,422)		
Comprehensive income						\$38,266		
Dividends on common stock				(11,957)				
Noncontrolling dividend							(377)	
Common stock issued	271	\$28	\$4,987					
Share-based compensation	97	9	4,264					
Common stock repurchased or received for stock options exercised	(239)	(24)		(7,218)				
Adjustment to acquired fair value								
Tax benefit of stock options exercised			1,321					
Balance year end 2010	23,257	\$2,326	\$129,705	\$305,260	(\$19,442)		\$2,055	\$7,291

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FRANKLIN ELECTRIC CO., INC. AND CONSOLIDATED SUBSIDIARIES

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Company--“Franklin Electric” or the “Company” shall refer to Franklin Electric Co., Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries.

Fiscal Year--The Company's fiscal year ends on the Saturday nearest December 31. The financial statements and accompanying notes are as of and for the years ended January 1, 2011 (52 weeks), January 2, 2010 (52 weeks), and January 3, 2009 (53 weeks), and referred to as 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively.

Principles of Consolidation--The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Franklin Electric Co., Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries. All intercompany transactions have been eliminated.

Revenue Recognition--Products are shipped utilizing common carriers direct to customers or, for consignment products, to customer specified warehouse locations. Sales are recognized when the Company's products are shipped direct or, in the case of consignment products, transferred from the customer specified warehouse location to the customer, at which time transfer of ownership and risk of loss pass to the customer. The Company records net sales revenues after discounts at the time of sale based on specific discount programs in effect, historical data, and experience.

Research and Development Expense--The Company's research and development activities are charged to expense in the period incurred. The Company incurred expenses of approximately \$7.5 million in 2010, \$6.9 million in 2009, and \$6.8 million in 2008.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments--The carrying amount of long-term debt was \$151.8 million at January 1, 2011 and \$150.7 million at January 2, 2010, respectively. The estimated fair value was \$162.0 million and \$153.4 million at January 1, 2011 and January 2, 2010, respectively. In the absence of quoted prices in active markets, considerable judgment is required in developing estimates of fair value. Estimates are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Company could realize in a current market transaction. In determining the fair value of its long-term debt the Company uses estimates based on rates currently available to the Company for debt with similar terms and remaining maturities. The Company's off-balance sheet instruments consist of operating leases, which are not significant.

Accounts Receivable, Earned Discounts, and Allowance for Uncollectible Accounts--Accounts receivable are stated at estimated net realizable value. Accounts receivable are comprised of balances due from customers, net of earned discounts and estimated allowances for uncollectible accounts. Earned discounts are based on specific customer agreement terms. In determining allowances for uncollectible accounts, historical collection experience, current trends, aging of accounts receivable, and periodic credit evaluations of customers' financial condition are reviewed. The Company believes that the allowance is appropriate; however, actual experience could differ from the original estimates, requiring adjustments to the reserve.

Inventories--Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. The majority of the cost of domestic and foreign inventories is determined using the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method; a portion of inventory costs is determined using the last-in, first-out (LIFO) method. Inventories stated on the LIFO method were approximately 10.8 percent and 14.9 percent of total inventories in 2010 and 2009, respectively. The Company has recognized immaterial LIFO liquidations for 2010, 2009 and 2008 primarily as a result of lower inventory balances overall. The Company reviews its inventories for excess or obsolete products or components. Based on an analysis of historical usage and management's evaluation of estimated future demand, market conditions and alternative uses for possible excess or obsolete parts, reserves are recorded.

Property, Plant and Equipment--Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation of plant and equipment is calculated on a straight line basis over the estimated useful lives of 5 to 20 years for land improvements and buildings, 5 to 10 years for machinery and equipment, and 5 years for furniture and fixtures.

Maintenance, repairs, and renewals of a minor nature are expensed as incurred. Betterments and major renewals which extend the useful lives of buildings, improvements, and equipment are capitalized. Accelerated methods are used for income tax purposes. The Company reviews its property, plant and equipment for impairment at the asset group level whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets may not be recoverable. The Company's depreciation expense was \$19.5 million, \$20.2 million, and \$19.5 million in 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets-- The Company performs goodwill impairment testing for its reporting units, which have been determined to be Water Systems and Fueling Systems. In compliance with FASB ASC Topic 350, Intangibles - Goodwill and Other, the Company has evaluated the aggregation criteria and determined that its components can be aggregated in 2010. Goodwill testing is performed on an annual basis in the fourth quarter or more frequently whenever events or a change in circumstances indicate that there may be impairment. In testing for impairment, the Company determines the fair value of its reporting units. Fair value is assessed using a combination of an income approach, which estimates fair value based upon future revenue, expenses and cash flows discounted to their present value, and a market approach, which estimates fair value using market multipliers of various financial measures compared to a set of comparable public companies.

If the carrying value of the reporting unit is higher than its fair value, there is an indication that impairment may exist and the second step of testing as outlined in FASB ASC Topic 350, must be performed to measure the amount of impairment loss. The amount of impairment is determined by comparing the implied fair value of reporting unit goodwill to its carrying value in the same manner as if the reporting units were being acquired in a business combination. Specifically, the Company would allocate the fair value to all of the assets and liabilities of the reporting unit, including any unrecognized intangible assets, in a hypothetical analysis that would calculate the implied fair value of goodwill. If the implied fair value of goodwill is less than the recorded goodwill, the Company would record an impairment charge for the difference.

The Company also tests its indefinite lived trademarks for impairment annually. Fair value is determined using an income approach, which estimates fair value based upon future revenue.

Amortization is recorded for other intangible assets with definite lives calculated on a basis that reflects cash flows over the estimated useful lives. The weighted average number of years over which each intangible class is amortized is 17 years for patents, 6 years for supply agreements, 15 years for technology, 17-20 years for customer relationships, and 8 years for all others.

Warranty Obligations--Warranty terms are generally two years from date of manufacture or one year from date of installation. The general warranty liability is recorded when revenue is recognized and is based on actual historical return rates from the most recent warranty periods. In 2007, the Company began offering an extended warranty program to certain Water Systems customers, which provides warranty coverage up to five years from the date of manufacture. Provisions for estimated expenses related to product warranty are made at the time products are sold or when specific warranty issues are identified. These estimates are established using historical information about the nature, frequency, and average cost of warranty claims, and expected customer returns. The Company actively studies trends of warranty claims and takes action to improve product quality and minimize warranty claims. The Company believes that the warranty reserve is appropriate; however, actual claims incurred could differ from the original estimates, requiring adjustments to the reserve.

Income Taxes --Income taxes are accounted for in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 740, Income Taxes. Under this guidance, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the difference between the financial statement and tax basis of assets and liabilities and net operating loss and credit carry forwards using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to

reduce deferred tax assets to the amounts expected to be realized. The Company records a liability for uncertain tax positions by establishing a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return.

Share-Based Compensation-- The Company accounts for compensation costs for all share-based payments based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with fair value provisions.

Pension--The Company makes its determination for pension, postretirement and post employment benefit plans liabilities based on management estimates and consultation with actuaries, incorporating estimates and assumptions of future plan service costs, future interest costs on projected benefit obligations, rates of compensation increases, employee turnover rates, anticipated mortality rates, expected investment returns on plan assets, asset allocation assumptions of plan assets, and other factors.

Earnings Per Common Share--Basic and diluted earnings per share are computed and disclosed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 260, Earnings Per Share. Earnings per share are based on the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted earnings per share is computed based upon earnings applicable to common shares divided by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period adjusted for the effect of other dilutive securities.

Translation of Foreign Currencies--All assets and liabilities of foreign subsidiaries in functional currency other than the U.S. dollar are translated at year end exchange rates. All revenue and expense accounts are translated at average rates in effect during the respective period. Adjustments for translating foreign currency assets and liabilities in U.S. dollars are included as a component of other comprehensive income. Transaction gains and losses that arise from exchange rate fluctuations are included in the results of operations in "Foreign exchange income/(loss)", as incurred.

Significant Estimates--The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make significant estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of expenses during the reporting periods. Significant estimates and assumptions by management affect allowance for uncollectible accounts, inventory valuation, business combinations, redeemable noncontrolling interest, trade names and goodwill, income taxes, pension and employee benefit obligations, and share-based compensation.

Although the Company regularly assesses these estimates, actual results could materially differ. The Company bases its estimates on historical experience and various other assumptions that it believes to be reasonable under the circumstances.

2. ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

FASB ASC Topic 810, Consolidation, required presentation changes to the Company's financial statements in 2009. The Company currently has two subsidiaries that are each 75 percent owned by the Company and 25 percent owned by minority shareholders (i.e., the noncontrolling interest). The change to the Statements of Income includes the separate presentation of net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest in its subsidiaries previously included in the "other income" line of the Statement of Income. The changes to the Balance Sheets include a separate presentation of noncontrolling interest previously included in "long-term liabilities" and the addition of a mezzanine equity item "redeemable noncontrolling interest" for an acquisition - related put option. The change to the Statements of Cash Flows includes net income before net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest in the presentation of cash flows from operating activities. The Statement of Equity and Comprehensive Income/(Loss) was updated to show separate columns for noncontrolling interest and redeemable noncontrolling interest.

3. ACQUISITIONS

In an agreement dated September 3, 2010, between Coverco S.r.l. (a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company) and PetroTechnik Limited and its subsidiaries ("Petrotechnik"), the Company acquired all of the outstanding shares of Petrotechnik, net of debt acquired, for approximately £8.4 million, \$12.9 million at the then current exchange rate, subject to certain terms and conditions. The consideration transferred to the sellers consisted of cash.

Petrotechnik, located in Suffolk, United Kingdom, manufactures lightweight and flexible underground pipe work systems which are used in a variety of fuel transfer applications and is also a designer and manufacturer of above and below ground fuel storage systems and pressure vessels. Petrotechnik's systems provide the Company access to a worldwide customer base and growth territories.

-36-

The intangible assets of \$8.6 million consist primarily of trade names, customer relationships, and goodwill. All of the goodwill was recorded as part of the Fueling Systems segment and is not expected to be deductible for tax purposes.

The purchase price assigned to each major identifiable asset and liability was as follows:

(In millions)

Assets:

Current assets (including cash acquired)	\$ 12.1
Property, plant and equipment	4.6
Intangible assets	5.1
Goodwill	3.5
Total assets	\$25.4
Liabilities	(12.5)
Total purchase price	\$ 12.9

The fair value of the identifiable intangible assets and property, plant and equipment are final as of fiscal year end 2010 in conjunction with final valuations. The Company utilized management estimates and consultation with an independent third-party valuation firm to assist in the valuation.

The results of operations of Petrotechnik were included in the Company's consolidated statement of income, from its acquisition date through the year ended January 1, 2011. The difference between actual sales for the Company and proforma annual sales including Petrotechnik as if it were acquired at the beginning of the year was not material as a component of the Company's consolidated sales for the year ended January 1, 2011. Due to the immaterial nature of the acquisition, the Company has not included full year proforma statements of income for the acquisition year and previous year.

In the first quarter of 2009, the Company added to its Water Systems segment by completing the acquisition of 75 percent of the outstanding shares of Vertical S.p.A. ("Vertical"). Vertical specializes in the design, development and manufacture of pressed and welded stainless steel pumps and pump components. The Company has a strong global water systems distribution network and will partner with Vertical to address the growing worldwide demand for stainless steel water pumps. Vertical sales were not material as a component of the Company's consolidated sales for 2009. Due to the immaterial nature of the acquisition, the Company has not included full year proforma statements of income for the acquisition year and previous year.

The aggregate purchase price for the 75 percent share of Vertical was €15.0 million, \$19.9 million at the then current exchange rate, subject to certain terms and conditions. The fair value of the acquisition was estimated by applying the income approach and a market approach to identify the total enterprise value and then the proportionate acquisition percentage was applied. The Company utilized management estimates and consultation with an independent third-party valuation firm to assist in the valuation.

The aggregate purchase price was allocated to net assets acquired based on the preliminary fair market values. Final market values were determined within the measurement period. The excess purchase price over fair value of the net assets acquired, \$7.4 million, was recorded as goodwill, all of which is not deductible for tax purposes. A deferred tax adjustment of \$4.2 million was included in goodwill during 2009. The results of operations for the acquisition were included in the Company's consolidated statement of income, from its acquisition date through the fiscal year ended 2009.

The purchase price assigned to each major identifiable asset and liability of Vertical was as follows:

(In millions)

Assets:

Current assets (including cash acquired)	\$13.4
Property, plant and equipment	6.3
Intangible assets	11.6
Goodwill	7.4
Total assets	\$38.7
Liabilities	(12.2)
Total identifiable net assets	\$26.5
Noncontrolling interest	(6.6)
Total purchase price	\$19.9

During 2008, the Company added two pump manufacturers to its Water Systems segment. In the first quarter of 2008, the Company completed the acquisition of Industrias Schneider SA. Industrias Schneider is a leading Brazilian producer of pumps for the residential, agricultural, and light commercial markets. The acquisition advanced the Company's strategy to expand its business base in developing regions where the demand for its products is rapidly growing. In the second quarter of 2008, the Company acquired Western Pumps LLC ("Western") in Fresno, California. Western designs, develops, and manufactures centrifugal pumps specific to the water truck, agricultural irrigation, and center pivot industries and was targeted to expand growth on the west coast of the United States as well as broaden the Company's product offerings. Industrias Schneider sales were not material as a component of the Company's consolidated sales for 2008. On a pro forma annual basis, Western Pump sales were not material as a component of the Company's consolidated sales for 2008.

The aggregate purchase price for the two acquisitions was \$44.1 million. The Western Pump LLC purchase agreement provided for additional payments of 7.5 percent of business net sales for the two year period ending March 31, 2010. The transaction costs and the post-closing working capital adjustments were included in the total purchase accounting calculations. The Company utilized management estimates and consultation with an independent third-party valuation firm to assist in performing its fair market valuations in 2008. The aggregate purchase prices were allocated to net assets acquired based on the preliminary fair market values. Final market values were determined within the end of the respective measurement periods. The excess purchase price over fair value of the net assets acquired, \$11.8 million, was recorded as goodwill, all of which is deductible for tax purposes. The results of operations for the acquisitions were included in the Company's consolidated statement of income, from their respective acquisition dates through the fiscal year ended 2008.

The purchase price assigned to each major asset and liability of Industrias Schneider was as follows:

(In millions)

Assets:

Current assets (including cash acquired)	\$16.2
Property, plant and equipment	11.2
Intangible assets	13.8
Goodwill	11.1
Other assets	0.1
Total assets	\$52.4

Liabilities	(10.4)
Total purchase price	\$42.0

Transaction costs were expensed as incurred under the guidance of FASB ASC Topic 805, Business Combinations. Transaction costs included in selling, general, and administrative expense in the Company's statement of income were \$0.6 million and \$0.3 million for the fiscal years ended 2010 and 2009, respectively. There were no

acquisition related costs included in selling, general and administrative expense in the Company's income statement for the year ended 2008.

4. REDEEMABLE NONCONTROLLING INTEREST

In the first quarter 2009, the Company completed the acquisition of 75 percent of Vertical S.p.A. The 25 percent noncontrolling interest was recorded at fair value as of the acquisition date. The noncontrolling interest holders have the option, which is embedded in the noncontrolling interest, to require the Company to redeem their ownership interests between November 17, 2013 and January 16, 2014. The cash payment upon redemption will be derived using a specified formula based on an earnings multiple adjusted by the net debt position of Vertical, subject to a redemption floor value at the time of redemption. The combination of a noncontrolling interest and a redemption feature resulted in a redeemable noncontrolling interest. The put option is not separated from the noncontrolling interest as an embedded derivative, because the noncontrolling interest is not readily convertible to cash.

The noncontrolling interest is redeemable at other than fair value as the redemption value is determined based on a specified formula, as described above. The noncontrolling interest becomes redeemable after the passage of time, and therefore the Company records the carrying amount of the noncontrolling interest at the greater of 1) the initial carrying amount, increased or decreased for the noncontrolling interest's share of net income or loss and its share of other comprehensive income or loss and dividends ("carrying amount") or 2) the redemption value which is determined based on the greater of the redemption floor value or the then-current specified earnings multiple. According to FASB ASC Topic 810, Consolidation, the redeemable noncontrolling interest was classified outside of permanent equity, as a mezzanine item, in the balance sheet.

According to the authoritative accounting guidance for redeemable noncontrolling interests issued in the form of common securities, to the extent that the noncontrolling interest holder has a contractual right to receive an amount upon share redemption that is other than the fair value of such shares, then the noncontrolling interest holder has, in substance, received a dividend distribution that is different from other common shareholders. Therefore, adjustments to the noncontrolling interest to reflect the redemption amount should be reflected in the computation of earnings per share using the two-class method. Under the two-class method, the Company has elected to treat as a dividend only the portion of the periodic redemption value adjustment (if any) that reflects a redemption value in excess of fair value. No adjustment to the carrying amount of the noncontrolling interest was necessary in 2010 or 2009, and therefore, no adjustment to the earnings per share computation was necessary.

The carrying amount of the redeemable noncontrolling interest currently approximates its redemption value. Redemption value adjustments were not considered material in 2010 and were not required in 2009, and consequently, there were no incremental adjustments in the earnings per share computation.

5. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

FASB ASC Topic 825, Financial Instruments, provides a framework for measuring fair value under generally accepted accounting principles. Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Disclosures about instruments measured at fair value were expanded and a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value was established. The standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1 – Quoted prices for identical assets and liabilities in active markets;

Level 2 – Quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in markets that are not active; and model-derived valuations in which all significant inputs and significant value drivers are observable in active markets; and

Level 3 – Valuations derived from valuation techniques in which one or more significant inputs or significant value drivers are unobservable.

-39-

The Company designates the cash equivalents as Level 1, as they are Money Market accounts generally backed by Treasury Bills with fund prices readily observable. As of January 1, 2011, and January 2, 2010, assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis were as follows:

(In millions)	January 1, 2011	Quoted	Significant	Significant
		prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Cash Equivalents	\$20.0	\$20.0	\$-	\$ -

Cash Equivalents	January 2, 2010	Quoted	Significant	Significant
		prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
	\$11.1	\$11.1	\$-	\$ -

The following table summarizes information regarding the Company's non-financial assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis:

Assets held for sale	January 1, 2011	Quoted	Significant	Significant	Recognized Loss
		prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
	\$2.3	\$-	\$-	\$ 2.3	\$2.4

During the second quarter of 2010, the Company recorded the impairment as a restructuring expense on property, plant, and equipment relating to the Siloam Springs facility which is classified as held for sale. The fair value was based on appraised values and management estimates less costs to sell. See Note 19 (Restructuring) for discussion of the restructuring plans.

6. EQUITY INVESTMENTS

The Company holds a 35 percent equity interest in Pioneer Pump, Inc., which is accounted for using the equity method and included in "Other assets" on the consolidated balance sheet. The carrying amount of the investment is adjusted for the Company's proportionate share of earnings, losses, and dividends. The carrying value of the investment was \$8.8 million as of January 1, 2011, and \$7.7 million as of January 2, 2010. The Company's proportionate share of Pioneer Pump, Inc. earnings, included in "Other income/(expense)" in the Company's statements of income, was \$1.0 million, \$0.1 million and \$0.7 million, for 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively.

7. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The Company uses the purchase method of accounting for business combinations. Annual goodwill impairment testing and trade name impairment testing is performed during the fourth quarter of each year; unless events or circumstances indicate earlier impairment testing is required. No impairment loss was recognized for 2010, 2009, or 2008.

-40-

The carrying amounts of the Company's intangible assets were as follows:

(In millions)	2010		2009	
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization
Amortized intangibles:				
Patents	\$7.9	(\$4.8)	\$8.0	(\$4.3)
Supply agreements	4.4	(3.9)	7.2	(6.2)
Technology	7.5	(2.2)	7.2	(1.7)
Customer relationships	70.7	(13.1)	68.2	(9.5)
Other	1.1	(1.1)	2.1	(2.0)
Total amortized intangibles	91.6	(25.1)	92.7	(23.7)
Unamortized intangibles:				
Trade names	22.5	-	19.9	-
Total intangibles	\$114.1	\$(25.1)	\$112.6	\$(23.7)

The weighted average number of years over which each intangible class is amortized is as follows:

Class	Years
Patents	17
Supply agreements	6
Technology	15
Customer relationships	17 - 20
Other	8

Amortization expense related to intangible assets for fiscal years 2010, 2009, and 2008, was \$4.6 million, \$5.1 million, and \$4.7 million, respectively.

Amortization expense for each of the five succeeding years is projected as follows:

(In millions)	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Amortization expense	\$5.3	\$4.8	\$4.6	\$4.6	\$4.6

The change in the carrying amount of goodwill by reporting segment for 2010 and 2009 was as follows:

(In millions)	2010		Total
	Water	Fueling	
Balance as of January 2, 2010	\$108.4	\$53.4	\$161.8
Acquired	-	3.5	3.5
Adjustments to prior year acquisitions	-	1.3	1.3
Foreign currency translation	(1.4)	-	(1.4)

Balance as of January 1, 2011	\$107.0	\$58.2	\$165.2
-------------------------------	---------	--------	---------

(In millions)	2009		Total
	Water	Fueling	
Balance as of January 3, 2009	\$96.5	\$51.6	\$148.1
Acquired	7.4	-	7.4
Adjustments to prior year acquisitions	(0.3)	1.8	1.5
Foreign currency translation	4.8	-	4.8
Balance as of January 2, 2010	\$108.4	\$53.4	\$161.8

The 2010 acquired goodwill in the Fueling Systems segment related to the Company's acquisition of Petrotechnik. The 2009 acquired goodwill in the Water Systems segment was primarily related to the Company's acquisition of Vertical S.p.A.

The 2006 purchase agreement for Healy Systems provided for additional payments of 5 percent of certain Healy Systems product sales through 2011. Adjustments to prior year acquisitions primarily include those contingency commitments to Healy Systems, Inc.

8. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

Defined Benefit Plans - As of January 1, 2011, the Company maintains three domestic pension plans and three German pension plans. The Company used a December 31 measurement date for these plans.

The following table sets forth aggregated information related to the Company's pension benefits and other postretirement benefits, including changes in the benefit obligations, changes in plan assets, funded status, amounts recognized in the Balance Sheet, amounts recognized in Other Accumulated Comprehensive Income, and actuarial assumptions that the Company considered in its determination of benefit obligations and plan costs:

(In millions)	Pension Benefits		Other Benefits	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Accumulated benefit obligation, end of year	\$165.0	\$157.8	\$12.5	\$13.0
Change in benefit obligation:				
Projected benefit obligation, beginning of year	\$164.2	\$145.9	\$13.0	\$11.9
Service cost	2.9	3.1	0.1	0.1
Interest cost	8.9	9.7	0.7	0.8
Actuarial loss	7.6	15.7	0.3	1.4
Benefits paid	(11.8)	(10.5)	(1.3)	(1.2)
Liability (gain)/loss due to curtailment**	0.8	-	(0.3)	-
Foreign currency exchange	(0.8)	0.3	-	-
Projected benefit obligation, end of year	\$171.8	\$164.2	\$12.5	\$13.0

Change in plan assets:

Fair value of assets, beginning of year	\$100.7	\$87.2	\$-	\$-
Actual return on plan assets	13.0	19.5	-	-
Company contributions	14.5	4.4	1.3	1.2
Employee contributions	-	-	-	-
Settlements paid	-	-	-	-
Benefits paid	(11.8)	(10.5)	\$(1.3)	\$(1.2)
Foreign currency exchange	(0.4)	0.1	-	-
Plan assets, end of year	\$116.0	\$100.7	\$-	\$-

Funded status	(55.8)	(63.5)	(12.5)	(13.0)
---------------	---------	---------	---------	---------

Amounts Recognized in Balance Sheet:

Noncurrent assets	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Deferred tax asset	22.0	21.2	0.9	1.0
Current liabilities	(0.3)	(1.1)	(1.2)	(1.2)
Noncurrent liabilities	(55.5)	(62.4)	(11.3)	(11.8)
Net pension liability, end of year	\$(33.8)	\$(42.3)	\$(11.6)	\$(12.0)

Amount Recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income:

Net Transition Obligation	\$-	\$-	\$0.2	\$0.4
Prior Service Cost	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3
Net Actuarial Loss	36.8	35.1	1.0	1.0

Total Recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

	\$37.0	\$35.4	\$1.5	\$1.7
--	--------	--------	-------	-------

** These items are related to the headcount reduction at the Siloam Springs, Arkansas facility associated with the current realignment plan.

The following table sets forth Other Changes in Plan Assets and Benefit Obligation Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income for 2010 and 2009:

(In millions)	Pension Benefits		Other Benefits	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Net actuarial (gain)/loss	\$5.6	\$6.6	\$0.1	\$1.4
Amortization of:				
Net actuarial gain/(loss)	(3.0)	(0.1)	-	-
Prior service cost/(credit)	(0.2)	(0.3)	(0.1)	(0.1)
Transition (asset)/obligation	-	-	(0.3)	(0.2)
Deferred tax asset	(0.8)	(2.4)	0.1	(0.4)
Total recognized in other comprehensive income	\$1.6	\$3.8	\$(0.2)	\$0.7
Total recognized in net periodic benefit cost and other comprehensive income	\$6.3	\$6.8	\$1.0	\$1.9

Assumptions used to determine domestic benefit obligations:

	Pension Benefits		Other Benefits	
	2010	2009	2010	2009

Edgar Filing: FRANKLIN ELECTRIC CO INC - Form 10-K

Discount rate	5.25	%	5.75	%	5.00	%	5.50	%
Rate of increase in future compensation	3.00-8.00	%	3.00-8.00	%	3.00-8.00	%	3.00-8.00	%
	(Graded)		(Graded)		(Graded)		(Graded)	

-43-

Assumptions used to determine domestic periodic benefit cost:

	Pension Benefits				Other Benefits			
	2010		2009		2010		2009	
Discount rate	5.75	%	6.90	%	5.50	%	6.90	%
Rate of increase in future compensation	3.00-8.00	%	3.00-8.00	%	3.00-8.00	%	3.00-8.00	%
	(Graded)		(Graded)		(Graded)		(Graded)	
Expected long-term rate of return on plan assets	8.50	%	8.50	%	-		-	

The accumulated benefit obligation for the Company's qualified and German defined benefit pension plans was \$158.0 million and \$151.6 million for the years ended 2010 and 2009.

The following table sets forth the aggregated net periodic benefit cost for 2010, 2009, and 2008:

(In millions)

	Pension Benefits			Other Benefits		
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009	2008
Service cost	\$2.9	\$3.1	\$3.6	\$0.1	\$0.1	\$0.1
Interest cost	8.9	9.7	8.8	0.7	0.8	0.8
Expected return on assets	(10.2)	(10.3)	(10.8)	-	-	-
Amortization of transition obligation	-	-	-	0.2	0.2	0.3
Prior service cost	0.2	0.4	0.6	-	0.1	0.1
Loss	1.8	0.1	0.1	-	-	-
Net periodic benefit cost	\$3.6	\$3.0	\$2.3	\$1.0	\$1.2	\$1.3
Curtailment**	0.8	-	1.5	0.2	-	0.5
Settlement cost	0.3	-	0.5	-	-	-
Total net periodic benefit cost	\$4.7	\$3.0	\$4.3	\$1.2	\$1.2	\$1.8

** These items are related to the headcount reduction at the Siloam Springs, Arkansas facility associated with the current realignment plan.

The estimated net actuarial (gain)/loss, prior service cost/(credit), and transition (asset)/obligation that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive income into net periodic benefit cost during the 2011 fiscal year are \$3.1 million, \$0.1 million and \$0.0 million, respectively, for the pension plans and \$0.1 million, \$0.1 million, and \$0.2 million respectively, for all other benefits.

The Company has consulted with a third party investment manager for the funded domestic defined benefit plan assets. The plan assets are currently invested primarily in pooled funds, where each fund in turn is composed of mutual funds that have at least daily net asset valuations. Thus the Company's funded domestic defined benefit plan assets are invested in a "fund of funds" approach.

The Company's Board has delegated oversight and guidance to an appointed Employee Benefits Committee. The Committee has the tasks of reviewing plan performance and asset allocation; ensuring plan compliance with applicable laws; establishing plan policies, procedures, and controls; monitoring expenses; and other related activities.

The plans' investment policies and strategies focus on the ability to fund benefit obligations as they come due. Considerations include the plans' current funded level, plan design, benefit payment assumptions, funding regulations, impact of potentially volatile business results on the Company's ability to make certain levels of contributions, and interest rate and asset return volatility among other considerations. The Company currently attempts to maintain plan funded status at approximately 80 percent or greater. Given the plan's current funded status, the Company's cash on hand, cash historically generated from business operations, and cash available under committed credit facilities, the Company sees ample liquidity to achieve this goal.

Risk management and continuous monitoring requirements are met through monthly investment portfolio reports, quarterly Employee Benefits Committee meetings, annual valuations, asset/liability studies, and the annual

assumption process focusing primarily on the return on asset assumption and the discount rate assumption. As of January 1, 2011, funds were invested in equity, fixed income and other investments as follows:

Equity		
	o U.S. Large Cap	40%
	o U.S. Small / Mid Cap	7%
	o World Equity ex-U.S.	16%
	§ Subtotal	63%
Fixed Income		
	o U.S. Core Fixed Income	24%
	o High Yield Fixed Income	3%
	o Emerging Markets Debt	3%
	§ Subtotal	30%
Other		
	o Franklin Electric Stock	2%
	o Insurance Contracts	5%
TOTAL		100%

The Company does not see any particular concentration of risk within the plans, nor any plan assets that pose difficulties for fair value assessment. The Company currently has no allocation to potentially illiquid or potentially difficult to value assets such as hedge funds, venture capital, private equity, and real estate.

The Company works with actuaries and consultants in making its determination of the asset rate of return assumption and also the discount rate assumption.

Asset class assumptions are set using a combination of empirical and forward-looking analysis for long-term rate of return on plan assets. A variety of models are applied for filtering historical data and isolating the fundamental characteristics of asset classes. These models provide empirical return estimates for each asset class, which are then reviewed and combined with a qualitative assessment of long-term relationships between asset classes before a return estimate is finalized. This provides an additional means for correcting for the effect of unrealistic or unsustainable short-term valuations or trends, opting instead for return levels and behavior that is more likely to prevail over long periods. With that, the Company has assumed an expected long-term rate of return on plan assets of 8.25 percent for the 2011 net periodic benefit cost. This is the result of stochastic modeling showing the 50th percentile median return at or above 8.25 percent.

The Company uses the Hewitt Top Quartile curve to determine the discount rate. Basically all cash flow obligations under the plans are matched to bonds in the Hewitt Top Quartile of liquid, high-quality, non-callable / non-putable corporate bonds with outliers removed. From that matching exercise, a discount rate is determined. Recent volatility in credit markets prompted the Company to compare results of this method to results using another curve constructed by another source, the Citi Above Median Curve, and similar results were observed.

The Company's German pension plans are funded by insurance contract policies whereby the insurance company guarantees a fixed minimum return. Due to tax legislation, individual pension benefits can only be financed using direct insurance policies up to certain maximums. These maximum amounts in respect of each member are paid into such an arrangement on a yearly basis.

The Company designated the domestic plan assets as Level 1, as they are mutual funds and Company stock with prices that are readily available. The German plan assets are designated as Level 2 inputs as the fair value of the insurance contracts is measured by the reserve that is supervised by the German Federal Financial Supervisory Authority.

The fair values of the Company's pension plan assets for 2010 and 2009 by asset category are as follows:

	(In millions)	2010	Quoted prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Equity	U.S. Large Cap	\$46.4	\$46.4	\$-	\$ -
	U.S. Small/Mid Cap	8.2	8.2	-	-
	World Equity ex-U.S.	18.0	18.0	-	-
Stock	Franklin Electric Co., Inc.	1.5	1.5	-	-
Fixed Income	U.S. Core Fixed Income	28.1	28.1	-	-
	High Yield Fixed Income	3.7	3.7	-	-
	Emerging Markets Debt	3.7	3.7	-	-
Other	Insurance Contracts	5.6	-	5.6	-
	Cash and Equivalents	0.8	0.8	-	-
Total		\$116.0	\$110.4	\$5.6	\$ -

	(In millions)	2009	Quoted prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Equity	U.S. Large Cap	\$40.0	\$40.0	\$-	\$ -
	U.S. Small/Mid Cap	6.8	6.8	-	-
	World Equity ex-U.S.	15.5	15.5	-	-
Stock	Franklin Electric Co., Inc.	1.1	1.1	-	-
Fixed Income	U.S. Core Fixed Income	24.3	24.3	-	-
	High Yield Fixed Income	3.1	3.1	-	-
	Emerging Markets Debt	3.5	3.5	-	-
Other	Insurance Contracts	5.6	-	5.6	-
	Cash and Equivalents	0.8	0.8	-	-
Total		\$100.7	\$95.1	\$5.6	\$ -

Equity securities include Company stock of \$1.5 million (1.3 percent of total plan assets) and \$1.1 million (1.1 percent of total plan assets) at year end 2010 and 2009, respectively.

One of the Company's pension plans covers only certain management employees. The Company does not fund this plan, and its assets were zero in 2010 and 2009. The plan's projected benefit obligation and accumulated benefit obligation were \$7.2 million and \$6.9 million, respectively, for 2010, and \$6.7 million and \$6.2 million, respectively, for 2009.

The Company estimates total contributions to the plans of \$16.1 million in 2011.

The following benefit payments, which reflect expected future service, as appropriate, are expected to be paid:

(In millions)	Pension Benefits	Other Benefits
2011	\$10.5	\$1.2
2012	10.7	1.1
2013	13.3	1.1
2014	13.5	1.1
2015	13.5	1.0
Years 2016 through 2020	61.2	5.1

The Company's other postretirement benefit plans provide health and life insurance benefits to domestic employees hired prior to 1992. The Company effectively capped its cost for those benefits through plan amendments made in 1992, freezing Company contributions for insurance benefits at 1991 levels for current and future beneficiaries with actuarially reduced benefits for employees who retire before age 65.

Defined Contribution Plans - The Company maintained a 401(k) Plan and an Employee Stock Ownership Plan (ESOP) during 2010. As of August 5, 2010 the ESOP was merged into the 401(k) Plan. All ESOP balances were transferred into the Franklin Electric Common Stock investment within the 401(k) Plan. The Company's cash contributions are made to participants' accounts based on investment elections.

The following table sets forth Company contributions to the ESOP and 401(k) Plans.

(In millions)

	2010	2009	2008
Company contributions to the plan	\$1.7	\$0.9	\$1.8

9. ACCRUED EXPENSES

Accrued expenses consist of:

(In millions)	2010	2009
Salaries, wages, and commissions	\$25.4	\$13.7
Product warranty costs	9.4	8.8
Insurance	4.3	4.2
Employee benefits	6.2	5.3
Healy and Western Pump additional purchase price	7.0	4.6
Other	12.4	7.7
	\$64.7	\$44.3

10. INCOME TAXES

Income before income taxes consisted of:

(In millions)

	2010	2009	2008
Domestic	\$4.4	\$0.5	\$28.6
Foreign	50.7	38.4	39.0
	\$55.1	\$38.9	\$67.6

The income tax provision consisted of:

(In millions)

	2010	2009	2008
Current payable:			
Federal	\$(4.5)	\$4.4	\$(1.7)
Foreign	9.5	8.1	12.0
State	0.5	1.2	0.2
Deferred:			
Federal	2.4	(2.3)	11.8
Foreign	5.0	1.1	(1.1)

Edgar Filing: FRANKLIN ELECTRIC CO INC - Form 10-K

State	2.2	(0.3)	1.7
	\$15.1	\$12.2		\$22.9

-47-

Significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets and liabilities were as follows:

(In millions)

	2010	2009
Deferred tax assets:		
Accrued expenses and reserves	\$13.0	\$9.2
Compensation and employee benefits	29.6	34.7
Other items	11.5	10.0
	54.1	53.9
Valuation allowance on state deferred tax	(3.4)	-
Total deferred tax assets	50.7	53.9
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Accelerated depreciation on fixed assets	11.4	12.4
Amortization of intangibles	26.4	18.0
Other items	12.0	11.2
Total deferred tax liabilities	49.8	41.6
Net deferred tax assets	\$0.9	\$12.3

The portions of current and non-current deferred tax assets and liabilities were as follows:

(In millions)

	2010		2009	
	Deferred Tax Assets	Deferred Tax Liabilities	Deferred Tax Assets	Deferred Tax Liabilities
Current	\$22.8	\$4.0	\$18.3	\$2.7
Non-current	27.9	45.8	35.6	38.9
	\$50.7	\$49.8	\$53.9	\$41.6

	2010		2009		2008	
		%		%		%
U.S. Federal statutory rate	35.0	%	35.0	%	35.0	%
State income taxes, net of federal benefit	0.5		1.6		1.9	
Foreign operations	(5.9)		(5.2)		(1.2)	
R&D tax credits	(0.8)		(1.2)		(0.7)	
Other items	0.8		0.3		(0.7)	
Uncertain tax positions adjustments	(5.4)		0.8		(0.4)	
State deferred tax rate adjustments	(2.9)		-		-	
Valuation allowance on state deferred tax	6.1		-		-	
Effective tax rate	27.4	%	31.3	%	33.9	%

The Company recorded adjustments in 2010 for uncertain tax positions relating, for which the statute of limitations has expired, relating to acquisitions occurring prior to 2010 for which indemnification was provided for in the

respective purchase agreements. The tax liability was reversed to the income tax provision, a benefit of \$2.9 million and the indemnification asset was reserved and recorded in "Other income/(expense)" in the Company's statements of income. The Company also made adjustments to the state deferred tax rates due to domestic restructuring, a benefit of \$1.6 million included in the 2010 income tax provision.

The operations realignment to foreign jurisdictions, which is generating foreign tax benefits, also reduces the domestic taxable income in some of the Company's US jurisdictions. The Company is not likely to realize the benefit of a portion of the recorded deferred tax asset relating to state taxes in the foreseeable future. As such, a valuation allowance was recorded of \$3.4 million.

The Company considers earnings from Germany, The Netherlands, Mexico, Italy, and a portion of South Africa to be indefinitely reinvested. Approximately \$8.0 million of South Africa's unremitted earnings are considered indefinitely reinvested. The Company identified the accumulated earnings for the affiliates that were not indefinitely reinvested and computed the tax associated with the subsequent repatriation. This computation considered the impact of applicable withholding taxes and the availability of U.S. foreign tax credits. The Company has calculated the repatriation of all the accumulated earnings that are not indefinitely reinvested which resulted in a net tax liability of \$3.8 million recorded by the Company.

11. ACCOUNTING FOR UNCERTAINTY IN INCOME TAXES

As of the beginning of fiscal year 2010, the Company had gross unrecognized tax benefits of \$6.8 million, excluding accrued interest and penalties. The unrecognized tax benefits were reduced by \$0.1 million for state income tax liabilities and \$3.5 million for federal tax liabilities, while increasing \$0.4 million for federal tax liabilities based on evaluations made during 2010. The Company had gross unrecognized tax benefits, excluding accrued interest and penalties, of \$3.6 million as of January 1, 2011.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of gross unrecognized tax benefits for 2010 (excluding interest and penalties) is as follows:

(In millions)	2010	2009	2008
Beginning balance	\$6.8	\$6.8	\$2.0
Additions based on tax positions related to the current year	0.1	1.0	2.9
Additions for tax positions of prior years	0.3	0.8	2.9
Reductions for tax positions of prior years	(3.6)	(1.8)	(0.7)
Settlements	-	-	(0.3)
Ending balance	\$3.6	\$6.8	\$6.8

If recognized, the effective tax rate would be affected by the net unrecognized tax benefits of \$3.2 million. Of the unrecognized tax benefits, \$1.0 million are related to acquisitions occurring prior to 2010 for which indemnification was provided for in the respective purchase agreements. Indemnification assets of \$2.9 million were reversed and recorded in "Other income/(expense)" in the Company's statements of income. The stock purchase agreements related to these acquisitions provide the Company rights to recover tax liabilities related to pre-acquisition tax years from the sellers. Other amounts are associated with domestic state tax issues, such as nexus, as well as other federal and state uncertain tax positions.

The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense. The Company has accrued interest and penalties as of January 1, 2011, January 2, 2010, and January 3, 2009 of approximately \$0.4 million, \$0.7 million, and \$0.4 million, respectively. Interest and penalties recorded during 2010 related to the federal and state tax positions.

The Company is subject to periodic audits by domestic and foreign tax authorities. Currently, the Company is undergoing routine periodic audits in both domestic and foreign tax jurisdictions. It is reasonably possible that the amounts of unrecognized tax benefits could change in the next twelve months as a result of the audits. Based on the current audits in process, the payment of taxes as a result of audit settlements could be from \$0.4 to \$0.8 million.

For the majority of tax jurisdictions, the Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal, state and local, or non-U.S. income tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2007.

12. DEBT

On December 14, 2006, the Company entered into an amended and restated unsecured, 60-month \$120.0 million revolving credit agreement (the "Agreement"). The Agreement provides for various borrowing rate options including interest rates based on the London Interbank Offered Rates (LIBOR) plus interest spreads keyed to the Company's ratio of debt to earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization ("EBITDA"). The

Agreement contains certain financial covenants with respect to borrowings, interest coverage, loans or advances and investments. The Company was in compliance with the covenants as of January 1, 2011 and January 2, 2010. The Company had zero borrowings under the Agreement at January 1, 2011 and January 2, 2010.

On April 9, 2007, the Company entered into the Amended and Restated Note Purchase and Private Shelf Agreement (the "Prudential Agreement") in the amount of \$175.0 million. Under the Prudential Agreement, the Company issued notes in an aggregate principal amount of \$110.0 million on April 30, 2007 (the "B-1 Notes") and \$40.0 million on September 7, 2007 (the "B-2 Notes"). The B-1 and B-2 Notes bear a coupon of 5.79 percent and have an average life of ten years with a final maturity in 2019. On July 22, 2010 the Company entered into Amendment No. 3 to the Prudential Agreement to increase its borrowing capacity by \$25 million. As of January 1, 2011, the Company has \$50 million borrowing capacity available under the Prudential Agreement. Principal installments of \$30.0 million are payable annually commencing on April 30, 2015 and continuing to and including April 30, 2019, with any unpaid balance due at maturity. The Prudential Agreement contains certain financial covenants with respect to borrowings, interest coverage, loans or advances and investments. The Company was in compliance with the covenants as of January 1, 2011 and January 2, 2010.

The Company also has certain overdraft facilities at its foreign subsidiaries, of which none were outstanding at January 1, 2011 and January 2, 2010.

Long-term debt consisted of:

(In millions)	2010	2009
Prudential Agreement - 5.79 percent.	\$150.0	\$150.0
Capital Leases	0.7	1.2
Other	1.8	0.7
	152.5	151.9
Less Current Maturities	(1.3)	(0.7)
Long-term debt:	\$151.2	\$151.2

The following debt payments are expected to be paid:

(In millions)	Total	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	More than 5 years
Debt	\$151.8	\$0.8	\$0.4	\$0.2	\$0.2	\$30.2	\$120.0
Capital Leases	\$0.7	0.5	0.2	-	-	-	-
	\$152.5	\$1.3	\$0.6	\$0.2	\$0.2	\$30.2	\$120.0

13. INTEREST RATE RISK

On September 24, 2003 the Company entered into a fixed-to-variable interest rate swap to achieve a desired proportion of variable vs. fixed rate debt. The fixed-to-variable interest rate swap was accounted for as a fair value hedge, with effectiveness assessed based on changes in the fair value of the underlying debt using incremental borrowing rates available on loans with similar terms and maturities. The gain or loss on the interest rate swap and that of the underlying debt were equal and offsetting resulting in no net effect to earnings.

The swap contract had a notional amount of \$10 million and matured on November 10, 2008 and was not renewed. Per the terms of the swap contract the Company received interest at a fixed rate of 6.31 percent and paid interest at a variable rate based on three month LIBOR plus a spread. The average variable rate paid by the Company in 2008 was 5.79 percent. The differential in interest rates on the swap was recognized as an adjustment of interest expense over the term of the swap.

14. SHAREOWNERS' EQUITY

The Company had 23,257,170 shares of common stock (65,000,000 shares authorized, \$.10 par value) outstanding at the end of 2010.

-50-

During 2010, 2009, and 2008, pursuant to a stock repurchase program authorized by the Company's Board of Directors, the Company repurchased and retired the following amounts and number of shares:

(Amounts in millions, except share amounts)

	2010	2009	2008
Repurchases	\$6.9	\$-	\$7.8
Shares	226,487	-	235,100

Of the 2010 repurchases, 68,587 shares were purchased in a privately negotiated transaction. The purchase price for the shares was determined pursuant to the Stock Redemption Agreement as the average of the highest and lowest closing price over the prior 20 trading days.

In 2010, the Company retired 12,255 shares that were received by employees as payment for taxes owed upon the exercise of their stock options and release of their restricted awards. The Company also retired 1,485 shares that had been previously granted as a stock award to employees, but were forfeited upon termination. In 2009, the Company retired 14,403 shares that were received by employees as payment for taxes owed upon the release of their restricted awards. During 2008, the Company retired 700 shares that had been previously granted as a stock award to an employee, but were forfeited upon termination. As well, the Company retired 106 shares that were received by employees as payment for taxes owed upon the release of their restricted awards.

In 2010, 2009, and 2008, the Company recorded \$1.3 million, \$0.1 million, and \$0.9 million, respectively, as a reduction in tax liability and an increase to shareowners' equity as a result of stock option exercises.

Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), consisted of the currency translation adjustment and the pension liability adjustment, \$19.1 million and (\$38.5) million, respectively, as of January 1, 2011 and \$18.4 million and (\$37.1) million, respectively, at January 2, 2010.

15. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share:

(In millions, except per share amounts)

	2010	2009	2008
Numerator:			
Net income attributable to Franklin Electric Co., Inc.	\$39.0	\$26.0	\$44.1
Denominator:			
Basic			
Weighted average common shares	23.2	23.1	23.0
Diluted			
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Employee and director incentive stock options and awards	0.3	0.2	0.2
Adjusted weighted average common shares	23.5	23.3	23.2
Basic earnings per share	\$1.68	\$1.13	\$1.92

Edgar Filing: FRANKLIN ELECTRIC CO INC - Form 10-K

Diluted earnings per share	\$1.66	\$1.12	\$1.90
Anti-dilutive stock options	0.8	1.0	0.8
Anti-dilutive stock options price range – low	\$28.82	\$29.95	\$32.19
Anti-dilutive stock options price range – high	\$48.87	\$48.87	\$48.87

-51-

16. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

On April 24, 2009, the Amended and Restated Franklin Electric Co., Inc. Stock Plan (the “Stock Plan”) was approved by the Company’s shareholders. Under the Stock Plan, employees and non-employee directors may be granted stock options or awards. The Stock Plan amended and restated the then-existing stock plan to, among other things, increase the number of shares available for issuance from 1,300,000 to 2,200,000 shares as follows:

	Authorized Shares
Options	1,600,000
Awards	600,000

The Company currently issues new shares from its common stock balance to satisfy option exercises under the Stock Plan and a similar, prior plan and stock awards under the Stock Plan.

The total share-based compensation expense recognized in 2010, 2009, and 2008 was \$4.3 million, \$5.0 million, and \$3.7 million, respectively.

Stock Options:

Under the above plans, the exercise price of each option equals the market price of the Company’s common stock on the date of grant and the options expire ten years after the date of the grant. Generally, options granted to non-employee directors vest 33 1/3 percent a year and become fully vested and exercisable after three years. Options granted to employees vest at 20 or 25 percent a year and become fully vested and exercisable after five years or four years, respectively. Subject to the terms of the plans, in general, the aggregate option price and any applicable tax withholdings may be satisfied in cash or its equivalent, by the plan participant’s delivery of shares of the Company’s common stock having a fair market value at the time of exercise equal to the aggregate option price and/or the applicable tax withholdings or, under the Stock Plan, by having shares otherwise subject to the award withheld by the Company or via cash-less exercise through a broker-dealer.

The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option valuation model with a single approach and amortized using a straight-line attribution method over the option’s vesting period. Options granted to retirement eligible employees are immediately expensed. The Company uses historical data to estimate the expected volatility of its stock; the weighted average expected life, the period of time options granted are expected to be outstanding; and its dividend yield. The risk-free rates for periods within the contractual life of the option are based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of the grant.

The assumptions used for the Black-Scholes model to determine the fair value of options granted during 2010, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

	2010	2009	2008
Risk-free interest rate	1.61 – 3.20%	0.70 – 3.55%	2.91 – 3.15%
Dividend yield	0.65 – 1.72%	1.32 – 2.04%	1.11 – 1.12%
Weighted-average dividend yield	0.950 %	1.670 %	1.119 %
Volatility factor	0.3550 – 0.3980	0.3766 – 0.5478	0.3552 – 0.3714
Weighted-average volatility	0.3960	0.3982	0.3691
Expected term	6.3 years	5.6 years	5.0-6.0 years
Forfeiture rate	2.70 %	2.58 %	3.61 %

A summary of the Company's stock option plans activity and related information is as follows:

(Shares in thousands)

	Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (000's)
Stock Options:				
Outstanding at beginning of 2008	1,252	\$29.99		
Granted	347	32.45		
Exercised	(147)	23.45		
Forfeited	(13)	39.15		
Outstanding at beginning of 2009	1,439	\$31.17		
Granted	665	17.34		
Exercised	(36)	18.49		
Forfeited	(89)	28.28		
Outstanding at beginning of 2010	1,979	\$26.84		
Granted	157	29.03		
Exercised	(271)	18.63		
Forfeited	(48)	35.64		
Outstanding at end of period	1,817	\$27.95	5.64	\$22,091
Expected to vest after applying forfeiture rate	1,797	\$28.02	5.61	\$21,739
Vested and exercisable at end of period	1,103	\$30.89	4.07	\$10,764
	2010	2009	2008	
Weighted average grant-date fair value of options	\$6.29	\$5.64	\$11.64	
(In millions)				
Intrinsic value of options exercised	\$3.9	\$0.4	\$2.9	
Cash received from the exercise of options	5.0	0.7	3.4	
Fair value of shares vested	4.2	2.8	4.0	
Tax benefit	1.3	0.1	0.9	

There were no share-based liabilities paid during the 2010 and 2009 fiscal years.

A summary of the Company's nonvested stock option activity and related information follows:

(Shares in thousands)	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant- Date Fair Value
Nonvested at beginning of 2009	536	\$ 37.06
Granted	665	17.34
Vested	(225)	36.70
Forfeited	(66)	24.66
Nonvested at beginning of 2010	910	\$ 23.62
Granted	157	29.03
Vested	(342)	25.99
Forfeited	(11)	23.42
Nonvested at end of period	714	\$ 23.40

As of January 1, 2011 there was \$3.5 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested share-based compensation arrangements granted under the plans related to stock options. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.99 years.

Stock Awards:

Under the Stock Plan, non-employee directors and employees may be granted stock awards, including grants of restricted shares of the Company's common stock.

Stock awards to non-employee directors are fully vested when made. Stock awards to employees cliff vest over either 1, 4 or 5 years and may be contingent on the attainment of certain performance goals. Dividends are paid to the recipient prior to vesting, except that dividends on performance-based stock awards under the Stock Plan will be paid only to the extent the performance goals are met. Stock awards granted to retirement eligible employees were immediately expensed in 2010 and 2009.

A summary of the Company's restricted stock award activity and related information follows:

(Shares in thousands)	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant- Date Fair Value
Nonvested at beginning of 2009	63	\$ 44.06
Awarded	88	19.04
Vested	(75)	18.41
Forfeited	(4)	48.59
Nonvested at beginning of 2010	72	\$ 39.86
Awarded	101	29.89
Vested	(44)	41.61
Forfeited	(1)	32.84
Nonvested at end of period	128	\$ 31.86

As of January 1, 2011, there was \$2.3 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested share-based compensation arrangements granted under the Stock Plan related to stock awards. That cost is expected

to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.5 years.

17. SEGMENT AND GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

The Company's business consists of the following reportable segments, based on the principal end market served: Water Systems and Fueling Systems. The Company includes unallocated corporate expenses and inter-company eliminations in an "Other" segment that together with Water and Fueling represent the Company.

-54-

The Water Systems segment designs, manufactures and sells motors, pumps, electronic controls and related parts and equipment primarily for use in submersible water and other fluid system applications. The Fueling Systems segment designs, manufactures and sells pumps, electronic controls and related parts and equipment primarily for use in submersible fueling system applications. The Fueling Systems segment integrates and sells motors and electronic controls produced by the Water Systems segment.

The accounting policies of our operating segments are the same as those described in Note 1 (Summary of Significant Accounting Policies). Performance is evaluated based on the sales and operating income of the segments and a variety of ratios to measure performance. These results are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations that would have occurred had each segment been an independent, stand-alone entity during the periods presented.

Financial information by reportable business segment is included in the following summary:
(In millions)

	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009	2008
	Net sales to external customers			Operating income (loss)		
Water Systems	\$583.3	\$504.8	\$557.0	\$84.1	\$60.2	\$68.4
Fueling Systems	\$130.5	\$121.2	\$188.6	\$17.4	\$20.9	\$49.4
Other	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	(\$37.4)	(\$33.1)	(\$41.1)
Consolidated	\$713.8	\$626.0	\$745.6	\$64.1	\$48.0	\$76.7
	Total assets			Depreciation		
Water Systems	\$450.2	\$431.9		\$16.2	\$17.6	\$16.7
Fueling Systems	\$221.1	\$279.9		\$1.4	\$1.2	\$1.1
Other	\$108.6	\$ 6.5		\$ 1.9	\$1.4	\$1.7
Consolidated	\$779.9	\$718.3		\$19.5	\$20.2	\$19.5
	Amortization			Capital Expenditures		
Water Systems	\$3.2	\$3.3	\$2.8	\$10.8	\$9.5	\$17.6
Fueling Systems	\$1.4	\$1.8	\$1.9	\$0.1	\$0.3	\$2.7
Other	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$1.9	\$4.1	\$6.6
Consolidated	\$4.6	\$5.1	\$4.7	\$12.8	\$13.9	\$26.9

Cash is the major asset group in "Other" of total assets. Prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to current segment presentation.

Total Company Geographic Information

(In millions)	Net Sales			Long-lived assets	
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009
United States	\$319.9	\$279.0	\$392.1	\$258.5	\$266.5
Foreign	393.9	347.0	353.5	150.9	140.2
Total	\$713.8	\$626.0	\$745.6	\$409.4	\$406.7

No single customer accounted for more than 10 percent of the Company's consolidated sales in 2010, 2009, or 2008.

18. CONTINGENCIES AND COMMITMENTS

In August 2010, the California Air Resources Board (“CARB”) filed a civil complaint in the Los Angeles Superior Court against the Company and Franklin Fueling Systems, Inc. (a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company). The complaint relates to a third-party-supplied component part of the Company’s Healy 900 Series nozzle, which is part of the Company’s Enhanced Vapor Recovery (“EVR”) Systems installed in California gasoline filling stations. This part, a diaphragm, was the subject of a retrofit during the first half of 2008. As the Company has previously

-55-

reported, in October 2008 CARB issued a Notice of Violation to the Company alleging that the circumstances leading to the retrofit program violated California statutes and regulations. The Company and CARB have worked since 2008 to resolve the diaphragm matter without court action, but were unable to reach agreement.

The claims in the complaint mirror those that CARB presented to the Company in the Notice of Violation, and include claims that the Company negligently and intentionally sold nozzles with a modified diaphragm without required CARB certification. The Company believes that, throughout the period to which the complaint relates, it acted in full cooperation with CARB and in the best interests of CARB's vapor emissions control program. Although the complaint seeks penalties of at least \$25 million, it is the Company's position that there is no reasonable basis for penalties of this amount.

In addition, as the Company has previously reported, the Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District ("SMAQMD") issued a Notice of Violation to the Company concerning the diaphragm matter in March 2008. Discussions with that agency about the circumstances leading to the retrofit in its jurisdiction and the resolution of the agency's concerns did not result in agreement, and in November 2010 SMAQMD filed a civil complaint in the Sacramento Superior Court, mirroring the claims brought by CARB with respect to the diaphragm issue and also alleging violation of SMAQMD rules. SMAQMD's suit asks for at least \$5 million in penalties for the violations claimed in its jurisdiction.

In July 2010, the Company entered into a tolling agreement with the South Coast Air Quality Management District ("SCAQMD") and began discussions with that agency about the circumstances leading to the retrofit in its jurisdiction and the resolution of the agency's concerns. Those discussions did not result in agreement and in December 2010, SCAQMD filed a civil complaint against the Company in Los Angeles Superior Court. The complaint alleges violations of California statutes and regulations, similar to the complaint filed by CARB, as well as violation of SCAQMD rules, and seeks penalties of at least \$12.5 million. The SCAQMD complaint does not allege an intentional violation of any statute, rule, or regulation.

The Company believes that there is no reasonable basis for the amount of penalties claimed in the SMAQMD and SCAQMD suits. The Company has answered the SMAQMD and SCAQMD complaints, as well as the CARB complaint, denying liability and asserting affirmative defenses.

Neither CARB's filing of its suit nor the air district suits have any effect on CARB's certification of the Company's EVR System or any other products of the Company or its subsidiaries, and so do not interfere with continuing sales. CARB has never decertified the Company's EVR System and does not propose to do so now.

The Company remains willing to discuss these matters and work toward resolving them. The Company cannot predict the ultimate outcome of discussions to resolve these matters or any proceedings with respect to them. Penalties awarded in the CARB or any air district proceedings or payments resulting from a settlement of these matters, depending on the amount, could have a material effect on the Company's results of operations.

The Company acquired the Healy product line in September 2006 when it purchased Healy Systems, Inc. As previously reported, the Company withheld a portion of the purchase price and the earn-out payments otherwise due to James Healy (the principal former owner of Healy Systems) against its claim for indemnification with respect to the diaphragm matter. Mr. Healy sued the Company in U.S. District Court in New Hampshire, claiming these funds. In December 2010, the Court ruled, after trial, that the Company was not entitled to indemnification for the diaphragm matter. The Court also ruled that Mr. Healy is entitled to prejudgment interest on certain of the withheld funds.

Without prejudicing the Company's right to appeal the Court's rulings (which have not yet been reduced to judgment), the Company released the funds withheld on account of the diaphragm matter in January 2011, and in December 2010 recognized a charge of \$1.2 million reflecting attorneys' fees for Mr. Healy, on which the Court has not yet ruled, and

prejudgment interest. The Company is discussing with Mr. Healy a final resolution of their disputes, including Mr. Healy's indemnification obligations with respect to a separate patent matter, and the parties have agreed to defer further action in the case for a time to allow those discussions to proceed.

-56-

On July 31, 2009, Sta-Rite Industries, LLC and Pentair, Inc. filed an action against the Company in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Ohio, alleging breach of the parties' 2004 Settlement Agreement and tortious interference with contract based on the Company's pricing of submersible electric products and seeking damages in excess of \$10 million for each claimant. The Company has denied liability, is defending the case vigorously, and has filed a counterclaim alleging Sta-Rite and Pentair's breach of the same Settlement Agreement. Discovery has concluded and each side has filed a motion for summary judgment, seeking dismissal of the other's claims. Those motions are currently pending. The Company cannot predict the ultimate outcome of this litigation, and any settlement or adjudication of this matter, depending on the amount, could have a material effect on the Company's results of operations.

The Company is defending various other claims and legal actions, including environmental matters, which have arisen in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, based on current knowledge of the facts and after discussion with counsel, these claims and legal actions can be successfully defended or resolved without a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations, and net cash flows.

Total rent expense charged to operations for operating leases including contingent rentals was \$7.9 million, \$7.6 million, and \$8.3 million for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

The future minimum rental payments for non-cancelable operating leases as of January 1, 2011 are as follows:

(In millions)

	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Future minimum rental payments	\$6.6	\$4.4	\$3.8	\$1.0	\$1.0

Rental commitments subsequent to 2015 are not significant by year, but aggregated are \$2.7 million in total.

At January 1, 2011, the Company had \$2.2 million of commitments primarily for the purchase of machinery and equipment, and building expansions.

Below is a table that shows the activity in the warranty accrual accounts:

(In millions)

	2010	2009
Beginning balance	\$8.8	\$9.3
Accruals related to product warranties	7.8	9.8
Reductions for payments made	(7.2)	(10.3)
Ending balance	\$9.4	\$8.8

19. RESTRUCTURING

As a follow up to Phase 3 of the Global Manufacturing Realignment Program, the Company announced its plan to close its Siloam Springs, Arkansas manufacturing facility. The Company has incurred pre-tax restructuring costs related to the facility closing including severance expenses, pension charges, and asset write-off costs of \$3.5 million as of January 1, 2011. While the closure was substantially complete as of the end of the fourth quarter 2010, there are assets held for sale of \$2.3 million that may incur future write-offs if they are not sold as anticipated.

Assets held for sale as of year-end include the Siloam Springs, Arkansas manufacturing facility and certain production assets at this facility. In June 2010, management committed to a formal plan to sell these assets, reduced the carrying amount of the assets to their estimated fair value less costs to sell and suspended depreciation on the assets, all in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 360-10 Property, Plant and Equipment. The impairment of the manufacturing

facility and production assets resulted in a restructuring expense of \$2.4 million in the second quarter of 2010 and a remaining fair value estimated at \$2.3 million. If the assets are not sold by the end of the second quarter of 2011 (i.e., one year from the initial plan for sale), the Company will evaluate the assets further for financial impairment.

-57-

Costs incurred in the twelve months ended January 1, 2011, included in the “Restructuring expenses” line of the income statement, are as follows:

(In millions)	Twelve Months Ended January 1, 2011			
	Water	Fueling	Other	Total
Severance and other employee assistance costs	\$0.8	\$-	\$0.9	\$1.7
Equipment relocations	0.2	-	-	0.2
Asset impairment	2.4	-	-	2.4
Pension curtailment	1.0	-	-	1.0
Total	\$4.4	\$-	\$0.9	\$5.3

Restructuring expenses of \$6.2 million were incurred in 2009 primarily for the Water Systems segment realignment. As of January 1, 2011 and January 2, 2010, there was \$0.2 million and \$0.3 million in restructuring reserves, primarily for severance.

20. SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

Unaudited quarterly financial information for 2010 and 2009, from continuing operations, is as follows:

(In millions, except per share amounts)

	Net Sales	Gross Profit	Net Income	Net Income Attributable to Franklin Electric Co., Inc.	Basic Earnings Per Share (a)	Diluted Earnings Per Share
2010						
1st Quarter	\$160.0	\$50.4	\$7.4	\$7.2	\$0.31	\$0.31
2nd Quarter	190.4	64.5	11.3	11.0	0.47	0.47
3rd Quarter	188.4	59.3	12.5	12.2	0.53	0.52
4th Quarter	175.0	56.1	8.8	8.6	0.37	0.36
	\$713.8	\$230.3	\$40.0	\$39.0	\$1.68	\$1.66
2009						
1st Quarter	\$149.8	\$43.2	\$4.1	\$3.8	\$0.17	\$0.17
2nd Quarter	165.3	49.2	6.0	5.8	0.25	0.25
3rd Quarter	166.0	50.2	8.8	8.6	0.37	0.37
4th Quarter	144.9	45.2	7.8	7.8	0.33	0.33
	\$626.0	\$187.8	\$26.7	\$26.0	\$1.13	\$1.12

(a) Earnings per common share amounts are computed independently for each of the quarters presented. Therefore, the sum of the quarterly earnings per share may not equal the annual earnings per share.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Shareowners and Directors, Franklin Electric Co., Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Franklin Electric Co., Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of January 1, 2011 and January 2, 2010, and the related consolidated statements of income, equity and comprehensive income/(loss), and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended January 1, 2011. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Franklin Electric Co., Inc. and subsidiaries as of January 1, 2011 and January 2, 2010, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended January 1, 2011, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of January 1, 2011, based on the criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, and our report dated March 2, 2011, expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP
Chicago, Illinois
March 2, 2011

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of the end of the period covered by this report (the "Evaluation Date"), the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and the Company's Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-15. Based upon that evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and the Company's Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of the Evaluation Date, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

There have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation required by Rules 13a-15 under the Exchange Act during the last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

System of Internal Control over Financial Reporting:

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining an adequate system of internal control over financial reporting of the Company. This system is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The Company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and may not prevent or detect misstatements. Further, because of changes in conditions, effectiveness of internal controls over financial reporting may vary over time.

Management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the system of internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control-Integrated Framework (the "Framework") issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Tread way Commission (COSO). Management did not include in the scope of this evaluation PetroTechnik Limited, which was acquired during 2010 and whose financial statements constitute 3.2 percent of net assets, 3.3 percent of total assets, 1.4 percent of revenues, and 1.3 percent of net income attributable to Franklin Electric Co., Inc. of the consolidated financial statement amounts as of and for the year ended January 1, 2011. Based on its evaluation, management concluded that the Company's system of internal control over financial reporting was effective as of January 1, 2011.

Our independent registered accounting firm has issued an audit report on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. This report appears on page 61.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Shareowners and Directors, Franklin Electric Co., Inc.:

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Franklin Electric Co., Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of January 1, 2011 based on criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. As described in Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting, management excluded from its assessment the internal control over financial reporting at PetroTechnik Limited, which was acquired September 3, 2010 and whose financial statements constitute 3.2 percent of net assets, 3.3 percent of total assets, 1.4 percent of revenues, and 1.3 percent of net income attributable to Franklin Electric Co., Inc. of the consolidated financial statement amounts as of and for the year ended January 1, 2011. Accordingly, our audit did not include the internal control over financial reporting at PetroTechnik Limited. The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of January 1, 2011, based on the criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule as of and for the year ended January 1, 2011 of the Company and our report dated March 2, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements and financial statement schedule.

/s/DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Chicago, Illinois

March 2, 2011

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information concerning directors and director nominees required by this Item 10 is set forth in the Company's Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 6, 2011, under the headings of "ELECTION OF DIRECTORS" and "INFORMATION CONCERNING NOMINEES AND CONTINUING DIRECTORS," and is incorporated herein by reference.

The information concerning executive officers required by this Item 10 is contained in Part I of this Form 10-K under the heading of "EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT," and is incorporated herein by reference.

The information concerning Regulation S-K, Item 405 disclosures of delinquent Form 3, 4 or 5 filers required by this Item 10 is set forth in the Company's Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 6, 2011, under the heading of "SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE," and is incorporated herein by reference.

The information concerning the procedures for shareholders to recommend nominees to the Company's board of directors, the Audit Committee of the board of directors, and the Company's code of conduct and ethics required by this Item 10 is set forth in the Company's Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 6, 2011 under the heading "INFORMATION ABOUT THE BOARD AND ITS COMMITTEES," and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by Item 11 is set forth in the Company's Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 6, 2011, under the headings of "INFORMATION ABOUT THE BOARD AND ITS COMMITTEES," "MANAGEMENT ORGANIZATION AND COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT," "COMPENSATION, DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS," "SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE," "GRANT OF PLAN BASED AWARDS TABLE," "OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END TABLE," "OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED TABLE," "PENSION BENEFITS TABLE," "NON-QUALIFIED DEFERRED COMPENSATION," "POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE IN CONTROL OF THE COMPANY," and "DIRECTOR COMPENSATION," and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by Item 12 is set forth in the Company's Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 6, 2011, under the headings of "SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS," "SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF MANAGEMENT" and "SECURITIES AUTHORIZED FOR ISSUANCE UNDER EQUITY COMPENSATION PLANS," and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by Item 13 is set forth in the Company's Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 6, 2011, under the heading "INFORMATION ABOUT THE BOARD AND ITS COMMITTEES," and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by Item 14 is set forth in the Company's Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 6, 2011, under the heading "PROPOSAL 2: RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP AS THE COMPANY'S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR THE 2011 FISCAL YEAR," and is incorporated herein by reference.

-62-

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

	Form 10-K Annual Report (page)
(a) 1. Financial Statements - Franklin Electric Co., Inc.	
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	59
Consolidated Statements of Income for the three years ended January 1, 2011	27
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of January 1, 2011 and January 2, 2010	28 – 29
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three years ended January 1, 2011	30 – 31
Consolidated Statements of Equity for the three years ended January 1, 2011	32 – 33
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements(including quarterly financial data)	34 - 58
2. Financial Statement Schedules - Franklin Electric Co., Inc.	
II. Valuation and Qualifying Accounts	63

Schedules other than those listed above are omitted for the reason that they are not required or are not applicable, or the required information is disclosed elsewhere in the financial statements and related notes.

3. Exhibits

See the Exhibit Index located on pages 66-69. Management Contract, Compensatory Plan, or Arrangement is denoted by an asterisk (*).

SCHEDULE II - VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

For the years 2010, 2009, and 2008

(In millions)

Description	Balance at beginning of period	Additions charged to costs and expenses	Deductions (A)	Other (B)	Balance at end of period
Allowance for doubtful accounts:					
2010	\$2.5	\$(0.2)	\$0.1	\$0.1	\$2.3
2009	\$2.1	\$0.3	\$0.2	\$0.3	\$2.5
2008	\$2.6	\$0.3	\$0.8	\$0.0	\$2.1

NOTES:

- (A) Uncollectible accounts written off, net of recoveries.
- (B) Allowance for doubtful accounts related to accounts receivable of acquired companies at date of acquisition.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Shareowners and Directors, Franklin Electric Co., Inc.:

We have audited the consolidated financial statements of Franklin Electric Co., Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of January 1, 2011 and January 2, 2010, and for each of the three years in the period ended January 1, 2011, and the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of January 1, 2011, and have issued our reports thereon dated March 2, 2011; such reports are included elsewhere in this Form 10-K. Our audits also included the consolidated financial statement schedule of the Company listed in Item 15. The consolidated financial statement schedule is the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion based on our audits. In our opinion, such consolidated financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

/s/DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP
Chicago, Illinois
March 2, 2011

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Franklin Electric Co., Inc.

/s/ R. SCOTT TRUMBULL
R. Scott Trumbull
Chairman of the Board and Chief
Executive Officer

Date: March 2, 2011

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities indicated on March 2, 2011.

/s/ R. SCOTT TRUMBULL
R. Scott Trumbull
Chairman of the Board and Chief
Executive Officer (Principal
Executive Officer)

/s/ JOHN J. HAINES
John J. Haines
Vice President, Chief
Financial Officer and Secretary
(Principal Financial and Accounting
Officer)

/s/ JEROME D. BRADY
Jerome D. Brady
Director

/s/ DAVID T. BROWN
David T. Brown
Director

/s/ DAVID A. ROBERTS
David A. Roberts
Director

/s/ THOMAS R. VERHAGE
Thomas R. VerHage
Director

s/ DAVID M. WATHEN
David M. Wathen
Director

/s/ THOMAS L. YOUNG
Thomas L. Young
Director

FRANKLIN ELECTRIC CO., INC.
EXHIBIT INDEX TO THE ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JANUARY 1, 2011

Exhibit Number	Description
3.1	Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Franklin Electric Co., Inc. (incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K filed on May 3, 2007)
3.2	By-Laws of Franklin Electric Co., Inc. as amended July 25, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Company's Form 8-K filed on July 29, 2008)
4.1	Rights Agreement, dated as of October 15, 1999, by and between Franklin Electric Co., Inc. and Illinois Stock Transfer Company, as Rights Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form 8-A dated October 19, 1999, File No. 000-00362).
4.2	First Amendment to Rights Agreement, dated as of December 1, 2006, between Franklin Electric Co., Inc. and LaSalle Bank National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of the Company's Form 8-A/A filed on December 8, 2006)
4.3	Second Amendment to Rights Agreement, dated as of July 11, 2007, between Franklin Electric Co., Inc. and LaSalle Bank National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Company's Form 8-K filed on July 16, 2007)
4.4	Third Amendment to Rights Agreement between Franklin Electric Co., Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Rights Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 of the Company's Form 8-A/A filed on September 23, 2008)
4.5	Shareholder's Agreement, dated as of July 11, 2007, between Franklin Electric Co., Inc., and Select Equity Group, Inc. and Select Offshore Advisors, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of the Company's Form 8-K filed on July 16, 2007)
10.1	Franklin Electric Co., Inc. Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 3, 2004)*
10.2	Franklin Electric Co., Inc. Stock Plan (incorporated by reference to the Company's 2005 Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting held on April 29, 2005, and included as Exhibit A to the Proxy Statement)*
10.3	Franklin Electric Co., Inc. Amended and Restated Stock Plan (incorporated by reference to the Company's 2009 Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting held on April 24, 2009, and included as Exhibit A to the Proxy Statement)*
10.4	Franklin Electric Co., Inc. Non-employee Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended on April 1, 2006)*
10.5	First Amendment to the Franklin Electric Co., Inc. Nonemployee Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan dated February 19, 2010 (filed herewith)*
10.6	Amended and Restated Franklin Electric Co., Inc. Pension Restoration Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Company's Form 10-K filed for the fiscal year ended January 3, 2009)*
10.7	Franklin Electric Co., Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan effective December 12, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Form 8-K filed on December 17, 2008)*
	Employment Agreement dated December 3, 2002 between the Company and Scott Trumbull and amended on February 18, 2009 and March 2, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 of the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 28, 2002; Exhibit 10.6

10.8	Employment Agreement dated December 3, 2002 between the Company and Scott Trumbull and amended on February 18, 2009 and March 2, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 of the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 28, 2002; Exhibit 10.6 of the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 3, 2009; and Exhibit 10.7 of the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 3, 2010)*
10.9	Amended Employment Agreement dated December 20, 2002 between the Company and Gregg C. Sengstack and amended on July 25, 2008 and February 20, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 28, 2008; Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Form 8-K dated July 23, 2005; and Exhibit 10.7 of the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 3, 2009)*
10.10	Employment Agreement dated as of April 14, 2008 between the Company and John J. Haines and amended on February 18, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Form 8-K dated April 7, 2008 and Exhibit 10.8 of the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 3, 2009)*
10.11	Managing Director Service Contract dated August 1, 2003 between Franklin Electric Europa GmbH and Mr. Peter-Christian Maske (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 of the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 1, 2005)*
10.12	Form of Confidentiality and Non-Compete Agreement between the Company and R. Scott Trumbull, Gregg C. Sengstack, Daniel J. Crose, Robert J. Stone, Thomas J. Strupp, Delancey W. Davis and John J. Haines (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 of the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 1, 2005)*
10.13	Form of Employment Security Agreement between the Company and DeLancey W. Davis, Daniel J. Crose, Robert J. Stone, and Thomas J. Strupp (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Form 8-K filed on December 17, 2008)*
10.14	Executive Officer Annual Incentive Cash Bonus Program (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 of the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 1, 2005)*
10.15	Long Term Bonus Program (incorporated by reference to Item 5.02 of the Company's Form 8-K filed on March 5, 2009)*
10.16	Franklin Electric Co., Inc. Management Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit A to the Company's Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders held April 30, 2010)*
10.17	Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement for Non-Director Employees (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended April 2, 2005)*
10.18	Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement for Director Employees (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended April 2, 2005)*
10.19	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement for Non-Director Employees (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 of the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005)*
10.20	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement for Director Employees (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 of the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005)*
10.21	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement for Non-Employee Directors (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 of the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 30, 2006)*

10.22	Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement for Non-Director Employees (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended April 4, 2009)*
10.23	Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement for Director Employees (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended April 4, 2009)*
10.24	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement for Non-Director Employees (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended April 4, 2009)*
10.25	\$120,000,000 Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated December 14, 2006, between the Company and JPMorgan Chase, as Administrative Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.04 of the Company's Form 8-K filed on December 21, 2006)
10.26	Amendment No. 1 to the \$120,000,000 Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated February 26, 2008, between the Company and JPMorgan Chase, as Administrative Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 of the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 3, 2009)
10.27	Second Amended and Restated Note Purchase and Private Shelf Agreement dated September 9, 2004 between the Company and the Prudential Insurance Company of America and others (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 of the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended October 2, 2004)
10.28	Amendment and PruShelf Renewal and Extension, dated April 9, 2007, between the Company and Prudential Insurance Company of America and others (incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K filed on May 3, 2007)
10.29	Amendment No. 2 to the Second Amended and Restated Note Purchase and Private Shelf Agreement, dated February 26, 2008, between the Company and the Prudential Insurance Company of America and others (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 of the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 3, 2009)
10.30	Amendment No. 3 to Second Amended and Restated Note Purchase and Private Shelf Agreement, dated July 22, 2010 between the Company and Prudential Insurance Company of America and others (incorporated by reference to Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July, 3, 2010)
21	Subsidiaries of the Registrant
23	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
99.1	Forward-Looking Statements

101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase

* Management Contract, Compensatory Plan, or Arrangement

