

CINCINNATI BELL INC
Form DEF 14A
March 17, 2016

SCHEDULE 14A
(RULE 14a-101)
INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION
PROXY STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 14(a) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Filed by the Registrant Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
 - Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
 - Definitive Proxy Statement
 - Definitive Additional Materials
 - Soliciting Material Pursuant to Section 240.14a-11c or Section 240.14a-12
- Cincinnati Bell Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Cincinnati Bell Inc.
221 East Fourth Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

March 18, 2016

Dear Fellow Shareholder:

You are cordially invited to attend the annual meeting of shareholders of Cincinnati Bell Inc. to be held at 11:00 a.m., Eastern Daylight Time, on Friday, April 29, 2016, at the Queen City Club, 331 East Fourth Street, Cincinnati, Ohio. This booklet includes the formal notice of the meeting as well as the proxy statement. The proxy statement gives you information about the formal items of business to be voted on at the meeting and other information relevant to your voting decisions.

We are providing our shareholders access to the proxy materials and our 2015 annual report over the internet. This allows us to provide you with the annual meeting information you need in a fast and efficient manner, while reducing the environmental impact of our annual meeting. On or about March 18, 2016, we will mail to shareholders a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the "Notice") containing instructions on how to access our proxy statement and 2015 annual report online and how to vote online. If you receive such a Notice by mail, you will not receive a printed copy of the materials unless you specifically request one. However, the Notice contains instructions on how to request printed copies of these materials and a proxy card by mail.

Your vote is very important to us. Regardless of the number of shares you own, please vote. You can vote your shares by internet, toll-free telephone call, or, if you request that the proxy materials be mailed to you, by completing, signing and returning the proxy card enclosed with those materials. Please see page 2 of the proxy statement for more detailed information about your voting options.

Very truly yours,

Phillip R. Cox
Chairman of the Board

Notice of 2016 Annual Meeting of Shareholders

Time and Date: 11:00 a.m., Eastern Daylight Time, Friday, April 29, 2016

Place: Queen City Club
331 East Fourth Street
Cincinnati, Ohio

Matters to be Voted upon:

Election as directors of the nine nominees named in the accompanying proxy statement for one-year terms expiring at the 2017 annual meeting of shareholders;

• Approval, by non-binding advisory vote, of our executive officers' compensation;

• Approval of an amendment to the Cincinnati Bell Inc. 2007 Stock Option Plan for Non-Employee Directors;

• Re-approval of the material terms of the performance goals under the Cincinnati Bell Inc. 2011 Short-Term Incentive Plan;

• Ratification of our Audit Committee's appointment of our independent registered public accounting firm for 2016; and

• Any other business properly brought before the meeting and any adjournments or postponements of the meeting.

Record Date: February 29, 2016

Only shareholders of record as of the close of business on this date are entitled to vote.

Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting, we encourage you to vote as promptly as possible by the internet or by telephone. If you request a printed copy of the proxy materials, you may complete and return by mail the proxy or voting instruction card you will receive in response to your request, or you can vote by the internet or by telephone. If you attend the meeting and wish to change your vote, you can do so by voting in person at the meeting.

Christopher J. Wilson
Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary

Proxy Statement for Annual Meeting of Shareholders

The annual meeting of shareholders of Cincinnati Bell Inc. ("Cincinnati Bell", "we", "our", "us", or the "Company") will be held at 11:00 a.m., Eastern Daylight Time, on Friday, April 29, 2016, at the Queen City Club, 331 East Fourth Street, Cincinnati, Ohio.

We are furnishing this proxy statement to our shareholders in connection with the solicitation of proxies by our Board of Directors for the 2016 annual meeting of shareholders on that date, and any adjournment or postponement of the meeting. Our 2015 annual report accompanies this proxy statement.

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON APRIL 29, 2016.

This proxy statement and the 2015 annual report are first being made available on the website at www.proxyvote.com, or mailed to shareholders who have requested paper copies, on or about March 18, 2016. Other information on our website does not constitute part of this proxy statement.

Table of Contents

	Page
<u>MEETING AND VOTING HIGHLIGHTS</u>	1
<u>GOVERNANCE</u>	3
<u>Board of Directors and Committees</u>	3
<u>Corporate Governance Overview</u>	3
<u>Director Independence</u>	4
<u>Committees of the Board</u>	4
<u>Other Responsibilities and Governance Process</u>	6
<u>Director Compensation</u>	8
<u>Annual Compensation Program</u>	8
<u>2015 Director Compensation</u>	11
<u>Board of Directors Selection Process</u>	12
<u>Director Qualifications and Nominations</u>	12
<u>ITEM 1 - ELECTION OF DIRECTORS</u>	13
<u>STOCK OWNERSHIP</u>	17
<u>Ownership of Equity Securities of the Company</u>	17
<u>Directors and Executive Officers</u>	17
<u>Principal Shareholders</u>	18
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	19
<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	19
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	19
<u>Compensation Committee Report</u>	33
<u>Compensation Tables</u>	34
<u>Summary Compensation Table</u>	34
<u>Grants of Plan-Based Awards</u>	36

<u>Discussion of Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table</u>	<u>37</u>
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End</u>	<u>38</u>
<u>Option Exercises and Stock Vested</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>Nonqualified Deferred Compensation</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control</u>	<u>41</u>
<u>ITEM 2 - ADVISORY APPROVAL OF THE COMPANY'S EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	<u>44</u>
<u>ITEM 3 - APPROVAL OF AN AMENDMENT TO THE CINCINNATI BELL INC. 2007 STOCK OPTION PLAN FOR NON-EMPLOYEE DIRECTORS</u>	<u>45</u>
<u>ITEM 4 - RE-APPROVAL OF THE MATERIAL TERMS OF THE PERFORMANCE GOALS UNDER THE CINCINNATI BELL INC. 2011 SHORT-TERM INCENTIVE PLAN</u>	<u>49</u>
<u>EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION</u>	<u>54</u>
<u>AUDIT MATTERS</u>	<u>55</u>
<u>Audit and Finance Committee Report</u>	<u>55</u>
<u>Other Audit Information</u>	<u>56</u>

<u>ITEM 5 - RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u>	<u>57</u>
<u>QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE PROXY MATERIALS AND THE ANNUAL MEETING</u>	<u>57</u>
<u>COMMUNICATIONS AND SHAREHOLDER'S PROPOSALS</u>	<u>62</u>
<u>ANNEX A - RECONCILIATION OF GAAP AND NON-GAAP MEASURES</u>	<u>64</u>
<u>SCHEDULE 1 - INDUSTRY PEER GROUP</u>	<u>67</u>
<u>SCHEDULE 2 - TELECOMMUNICATIONS PEER GROUP</u>	<u>68</u>
<u>APPENDIX I - CINCINNATI BELL INC. 2007 STOCK OPTION PLAN FOR NON-EMPLOYEE DIRECTORS</u>	<u>69</u>
<u>APPENDIX II - CINCINNATI BELL INC. 2011 SHORT-TERM INCENTIVE PLAN</u>	<u>79</u>

Meeting and Voting Highlights

The Annual Meeting

Time and Date: 11:00 a.m., Eastern Daylight Time, Friday, April 29, 2016

Queen City Club

Place: 331 East Fourth Street

Cincinnati, Ohio

Record Date: February 29, 2016

Purpose of Meeting

This is the annual meeting of the shareholders of Cincinnati Bell Inc. ("Cincinnati Bell", "we", "our", "us", or the "Company"). At the meeting, we will be voting upon:

		Board's Recommendation	Votes Required for Approval
Proposal 1:	Election of directors for one-year terms expiring in 2017.	FOR each nominee	Majority of votes cast
Proposal 2:	Approval, by a non-binding advisory vote, of our executive officers' compensation.	FOR	Majority of shares present and entitled to vote
Proposal 3:	Approval to an amendment to the Cincinnati Bell Inc. 2007 Stock Option Plan for Non-Employee Directors (the "2007 Directors Plan").	FOR	Majority of shares present and entitled to vote
Proposal 4:	Re-approval of the material terms of the performance goals under the Cincinnati Bell Inc. 2011 Short-Term Incentive Plan (the "2011 Short-Term Incentive Plan").	FOR	Majority of shares present and entitled to vote
Proposal 5:	Ratification of our Audit Committee's appointment of our independent registered public accounting firm for 2016.	FOR	Majority of shares present and entitled to vote

Our Board of Directors ("Board") strongly encourages you to exercise your right to vote on these matters. Your vote is important.

Who May Vote

Common and preferred stock shareholders of Cincinnati Bell Inc. whose shares are recorded directly in their names in our stock register ("shareholders of record") at the close of business on February 29, 2016 (the "Record Date"), may vote their shares on the matters to be acted upon at the meeting. Shareholders who hold shares of our common stock in "street name," that is, through an account with a bank, broker, or other holder of record, as of such date may direct the holder of record how to vote their shares at the meeting by following the instructions that the street name holders will receive from the holder of record.

How to Vote

If you meet the above qualification, you may vote in one of the following four ways:

BY INTERNET	BY PHONE	BY MAIL	ATTEND THE MEETING
:	(*	?
Go to www.proxyvote.com 24/7 and follow the instructions. You need the 12-digit control number included in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials, proxy card or voting instructions form sent to you. Voting will be available until 11:59 p.m., EDT, April 28, 2016.	Call toll-free 1-800-690-6903, 24/7, and follow the instructions. You need the 12-digit control number included in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials, proxy card or voting instructions form sent to you. Voting will be available until 11:59 p.m., EDT, April 28, 2016.	You can vote by marking, dating and signing your proxy card and returning it by mail in the postage-paid envelope provided. Please mail these items to allow delivery prior to the meeting.	Whether you are a shareholder of record or a street name holder, you may vote your shares at the annual meeting if you attend in person. See "How can I attend and vote my shares at the meeting?" on page 59.

To allow sufficient time for voting, your voting instructions must be received by 11:59 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time ("EDT"), on April 28, 2016.

Admission to the Meeting

If you are a shareholder of record, you will need to bring with you to the meeting either the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the "Notice") or any proxy card that is sent to you. Otherwise, you will be admitted only upon other verification of record ownership at the admission counter.

If you own shares held in street name, bring with you to the meeting either the Notice or any voting instruction form that is sent to you, or your most recent brokerage statement or a letter from your bank, broker, or other record holder indicating that you beneficially owned shares of our common stock on February 29, 2016. We can use that to verify your beneficial ownership of common stock and admit you to the meeting. If you intend to vote at the meeting, you also will need to bring to the meeting a signed proxy from your bank, broker, or other holder of record that authorizes you to vote the shares that the record holder holds for you in its name.

Additionally, all persons will need to bring a valid government-issued photo ID to gain admission to the meeting.

Additional Information

More detailed information about the 2016 annual meeting and voting can be found in "Questions and Answers" beginning on page 57.

Governance

Board of Directors and Committees

Corporate Governance Overview

Our business, property and affairs are managed under the direction of our Board. Members of our Board are kept informed of our business through discussions with our President and Chief Executive Officer and other officers, by reviewing materials provided to them, by visiting our offices and by participating in meetings of the Board and its committees.

The Company's Amended Regulations provide that the Board shall consist of not less than nine nor more than 17 persons, with the exact number to be fixed and determined by resolution of the Board or by resolution of the shareholders at any annual or special meeting of shareholders. At this time, the Board has determined that the Board shall consist of nine members.

On January 23, 2015, Mr. Alan R. Schriber informed the Company that he would not seek re-election to the Board when his term expired in 2015, and on April 30, 2015, Mr. Schriber's position on the Board became vacant. Effective July 2, 2015, Mr. Martin J. Yudkovitz was appointed to the Board to fill the vacancy resulting from Mr. Schriber's decision not to stand for re-election at last year's annual meeting.

The Company has a long-standing policy that the positions of Chairman of the Board (currently held by Mr. Phillip R. Cox) and Chief Executive Officer (currently held by Mr. Theodore H. Torbeck) should be held by separate persons, as discussed in its Corporate Governance Guidelines. The Company continues to believe that this structure is in the best interest of shareholders because it facilitates the Board's oversight of management, allows the independent directors to be more actively involved in setting agendas and establishing priorities for the work of the Board, and is consistent with the principles of good corporate governance.

Our Board currently has the following four committees: (i) the Audit and Finance Committee, (ii) the Compensation Committee, (iii) the Governance and Nominating Committee, and (iv) the Executive Committee. The members and function of each committee are described below. During fiscal year 2015, the Board held eight meetings, and all directors attended at least 75% of all Board and applicable committee meetings during the period in which he or she served as a director.

Under the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines, directors are expected to attend the Annual Meeting of Shareholders. All of the directors, who were on the Board at the time and were seeking election, attended the 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

For information on how to obtain a copy of the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines, please see page 62.

Director Independence

In accordance with the rules and listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") and the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Board affirmatively evaluates and determines the independence of each director and each nominee for election. Based on an analysis of information supplied by the directors, the Board evaluates whether any director has any material relationship with the Company, either directly or as a partner, shareholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with the Company that might cause a conflict of interest in the performance of a director's duties.

Based on these standards, the Board determined that each of the following persons who served as a non-employee director in 2015 is (or was) independent and has (or had) no relationship with the Company, except as a director and shareholder:

- Phillip R. Cox
- John W. Eck
- Jakki L. Haussler
- Craig F. Maier
- Russel P. Mayer
- Alan R. Schriber*
- Lynn A. Wentworth
- Martin J. Yudkovitz**
- John M. Zrno

* Mr. Schriber did not stand for re-election at last year's annual meeting and his term ended April 30, 2015.

** Mr. Yudkovitz was appointed to the Board effective July 2, 2015.

In addition, based on these standards, the Board determined that Mr. Torbeck was not independent because he served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company in 2015.

The non-employee directors of the Company meet in executive session without management present at each regularly scheduled meeting of the Board. Mr. Cox presides at the meetings of the non-employee directors.

Committees of the Board

The Board has four committees: (i) Audit and Finance Committee, (ii) Compensation Committee, (iii) Governance and Nominating Committee and (iv) Executive Committee. For information on how to obtain a copy of each committee's charter (other than the Executive Committee), please see page 62.

The directors serving on each Committee are appointed by the Board at least annually for terms expiring at the next annual meeting of shareholders.

The following table lists the chairs (C) and members (M) of each standing committee as at the end of 2015:

Name of Director	Audit and Finance	Compensation	Governance and Nominating	Executive
Non-Employee Directors (a)				
Phillip R. Cox	M	M	M	C
John W. Eck		M	M	
Jakki L. Haussler	M	M		
Craig F. Maier	M	C		M
Russel P. Mayer	M		C	M
Lynn A. Wentworth	C	M		M
Martin J. Yudkovitz (b)				
John M. Zrno	M		M	
Employee Directors				
Theodore H. Torbeck				M

(a) All non-employee directors were determined by the Board to be independent directors.

(b) Effective July 2, 2015, Mr. Yudkovitz was appointed to fill a vacancy on the Board.

In addition, Mr. Alan R. Schriber served as a member of the Compensation Committee and the Governance and Nominating Committee until the expiration of his term on the Board on April 30, 2015.

Audit and Finance Committee: The Audit and Finance Committee currently consists of six persons, none of whom is an executive officer of the Company. The Audit and Finance Committee held five meetings during 2015. The purpose of the Audit and Finance Committee is, among other things, to assist the Board in its oversight of (i) the integrity of the financial statements of the Company, (ii) the Company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, (iii) the independence and qualifications of the independent registered public accounting firm ("Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm"), (iv) the Company's risk assessment and risk management policies, and (v) the performance of the Company's internal audit function and Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm. To this end, the Audit and Finance Committee meets in executive session with its own members and may also meet separately with the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, the Company's internal auditors, General Counsel or members of management. The Audit and Finance Committee Charter provides a more detailed description of the responsibilities and duties of the Audit and Finance Committee. For information on how to obtain a copy of the Audit and Finance Committee Charter, please see page 62.

While the Board has ultimate responsibility for risk oversight, it delegates many of these functions to the Audit and Finance Committee. The Audit and Finance Committee receives regular updates on the Company's existing and emerging risks from the Company's Internal Audit department. The updates are based upon interviews with senior management of the Company as well as other key employees. The updates include risk rankings and a general description of risk mitigation activities pertaining to each item. In addition, the Audit and Finance Committee receives regular updates from the Company's Chief Security Officer on cyber security risks and the actions being taken by his department to monitor and mitigate those risks. The Audit and Finance Committee also oversees the Company's Security Breach Response and Notification Plan, which sets forth the Company's plan for notifying affected persons and other stakeholders in the event a security breach involving personally identifiable information or protected health information triggers notification requirements under applicable law. The Audit and Finance Committee provides periodic updates to the full Board on risk oversight and cyber security matters.

In performing its duties, the Audit and Finance Committee meets as often as necessary and at least once each calendar quarter with members of management, the Company's internal audit staff and the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm. An agenda for each such meeting is provided in advance to the members of the Audit and Finance Committee.

The Board determined that each member of the Audit and Finance Committee satisfies the independence requirements of the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") and the independence and other requirements of the rules and listing standards of the NYSE. No member of the Audit and Finance Committee serves on the audit committees of more than three public companies. In addition, the Board determined that Ms. Wentworth and Ms. Haussler are audit committee financial experts as defined in the regulations of the SEC and that each member of the Audit and Finance Committee is financially literate as defined by the rules and listing standards of the NYSE. For Ms. Wentworth's and Ms. Haussler's relevant experience, please see pages 14 - 15.

Compensation Committee: The Compensation Committee currently consists of five persons, none of whom is an executive officer. The Compensation Committee held five meetings during 2015. The Compensation Committee is responsible for, among other things, ensuring that directors and certain key executives are effectively and competitively compensated in terms of base compensation and short- and long-term incentive compensation and benefits. In addition, the Compensation Committee evaluates the performance of the Chief Executive Officer and reviews with management the succession planning process for key executive positions. The Compensation Committee Charter provides a more detailed description of the responsibilities and duties of the Compensation Committee. For information on how to obtain a copy of the Compensation Committee Charter, please see page 62.

The Compensation Committee meets as often as necessary to perform its duties. The Compensation Committee also meets separately with the Company's Chief Executive Officer and other corporate officers, as it deems appropriate, to establish and review the performance criteria and compensation of the Company's executive officers. An agenda for each meeting is provided in advance to the members of the Compensation Committee.

The Board determined that each member of the Compensation Committee satisfies the independence requirements of the rules and listing standards of the NYSE.

Governance and Nominating Committee: In 2015, the Governance and Nominating Committee consisted of five persons, none of whom is an executive officer. The Governance and Nominating Committee held three meetings during 2015. The Governance and Nominating Committee, among other things, identifies individuals to become members of the Board, periodically reviews the size and composition of the Board, evaluates the performance of Board members, makes recommendations regarding the determination of a director's independence, recommends committee appointments and chairpersons to the Board, periodically reviews and recommends to the Board updates to the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines and related Company policies and oversees an annual evaluation of the Board and its committees. The Governance and Nominating Committee Charter provides a more detailed description of the responsibilities and duties of the Governance and Nominating Committee. For information on how to obtain a copy of the Governance and Nominating Committee Charter, please see page 62.

The Chief Executive Officer and the Secretary of the Company typically attend the meetings of the Governance and Nominating Committee. An agenda for each such meeting is provided in advance to the members of the Governance and Nominating Committee.

The Board determined that each member of the Governance and Nominating Committee satisfies the independence requirements of the rules and listing standards of the NYSE.

Executive Committee: The Executive Committee currently consists of five persons, one of whom is the President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company. The Executive Committee did not hold any meetings during 2015. The Executive Committee acts on behalf of the Board in certain matters, when necessary, between Board meetings.

Other Responsibilities and Governance Process

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

As of December 31, 2015, the members of the Compensation Committee included Ms. Wentworth, Ms. Haussler and Messrs. Cox, Eck and Maier. None of the Compensation Committee members have at any time been an officer or employee of the Company. None of the Company's executive officers serve, or in the past fiscal year served, as a member of the board of directors or compensation committee of any entity that has one or more executive officers serving on the Company's Board or Compensation Committee.

CODE OF BUSINESS CONDUCT AND CODES OF ETHICS

The Company has a Code of Business Conduct applicable to all officers and employees that describes requirements related to ethical conduct, conflicts of interest and compliance with laws. In addition to the Code of Business Conduct, the Chief Executive Officer and senior financial officers are subject to the Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers and the directors are subject to the Code of Ethics for Directors.

For information on how to obtain a copy of the Company's Code of Business Conduct, Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers or Code of Ethics for Directors, please see page 62.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Board is committed to upholding the highest legal and ethical conduct in fulfilling its responsibilities and recognizes that related party transactions can present a heightened risk of potential or actual conflicts of interest. Accordingly, as a general matter, it is the Company's preference to avoid related party transactions. Current SEC rules define a related party transaction to include any transaction, arrangement or relationship (i) in which the Company is a participant, (ii) in which the transaction has an aggregate value greater than \$120,000, and (iii) in which any of the following persons has or will have a direct or indirect material interest:

- an executive officer, director or director nominee of the Company;
- any person who is known to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of the Company's common and preferred shares;
- any person who is an immediate family member (as defined under Item 404 of Regulation S-K) of an executive officer, director or director nominee or beneficial owner of more than 5% of the Company's common or preferred shares; or
- any firm, corporation or other entity in which any of the foregoing persons is employed or is a partner or principal or in a similar position or in which such person, together with any other of the foregoing persons, has a 10% or greater beneficial ownership interest.

The Company's Code of Business Conduct, the Company's Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers and the Company's Code of Ethics for Directors require directors, officers and all other members of the workforce to avoid any relationship, influence or activity that would cause or even appear to cause a conflict of interest. The Company's Code of Business Conduct, Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers and Code of Ethics for Directors generally require (i) a director to promptly disclose to the Governance and Nominating Committee any potential or actual conflict of interest involving him or her and (ii) an employee, including the executive officers, to promptly disclose a conflict of interest to the General Counsel. The Governance and Nominating Committee (and, if applicable, the General Counsel) determines an appropriate resolution to actual or potential conflicts of interest on a case-by-case basis. All directors must recuse themselves from any discussion or decision affecting their personal, business or professional interests. All related party transactions shall be disclosed in the Company's applicable filings with the SEC as required under SEC rules. In 2015, there were no related party transactions requiring disclosure, except as follows: Prior to his appointment to the Board in 2013, Mr. Mayer served as an executive officer of General Electric Co. ("GE"), a significant client of the Company. In evaluating the transactions, the Governance and Nominating Committee considered the fact that (a) Mr. Mayer had retired from GE prior to his appointment to the Board and (b) no longer served in any capacity with GE and thus received no direct or indirect material benefit because of the Company's business relationship with GE. Further, the Board affirmatively determined that such transaction is an immaterial relationship that does not affect the independence of Mr. Mayer under the standards set forth in the NYSE rules and SEC rules. The Company believed that the transactions entered into between the Company and GE were on terms that are reasonable and in the best interests of the Company. The Board has determined that Mr. Mayer received no material benefit as a result of such transactions.

Director Compensation

Annual Compensation Program

The Company uses a combination of cash and stock-based incentive compensation to attract and retain qualified candidates to serve on the Board. In setting director compensation, the Company considers the significant amount of time that Directors spend in fulfilling their duties to the Company as well as the skill level required.

Compensation for Employee Directors

Directors who are also employees of the Company (or any subsidiary of the Company) receive no additional compensation for serving on the Board or its committees during the period of their employment. If such directors continue on the Board after their employment ends, such directors may receive additional compensation in connection with such continued service.

General Compensation Policy for Non-Employee Directors

Directors who are not employees of the Company or any subsidiary of the Company (“non-employee directors”) while serving as directors of the Company receive compensation from the Company for their service on the Board. The table below sets forth the annual compensation for non-employee directors in 2015.

Compensation Element	2015
Chairman of the Board Annual Retainer (a)	\$320,000
Annual Board Retainer	\$70,000
Annual Board Equity Award (b)	\$80,000
Annual Audit and Finance Committee Chairman Retainer	\$27,000
Annual Audit and Finance Committee Member Retainer	\$15,000
Annual Compensation Committee Chairman Retainer	\$18,000
Annual Compensation Committee Member Retainer	\$10,000
Annual Governance and Nominating Committee Chairman Retainer	\$16,000
Annual Governance and Nominating Committee Member Retainer	\$10,000

(a) The Chairman is not entitled to receive any of the other annual Board or Committee retainers described above; however, the Chairman is eligible for the Annual Board Equity Award.

The Annual Board Equity Award is paid in the form of an award granted under the Cincinnati Bell Inc. 2007 Stock Option Plan for Non-Employee Directors. In 2015, the Annual Board Equity Award was paid to all non-employee directors, including the Chairman of the Board, in the form of restricted stock units with an aggregate value of (b) \$80,000 and a one-year vesting period. On July 29, 2015, the Board increased the value of the Annual Board Equity Award to \$90,000 beginning with the 2016 award grants and approved the use of pro-rated Annual Board Equity Awards to new directors. Because Mr. Yudkovitz was approved to the Board mid-year, he received a prorated Annual Board Equity Award in 2015.

Non-Employee Directors Deferred Compensation Plan

Effective October 30, 2015, the Board approved the termination of the Cincinnati Bell Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan for Outside Directors (the “Directors Deferred Compensation Plan”). The Directors Deferred Compensation Plan’s termination liquidation and distributions will be accomplished in compliance with Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code and the Treasury Regulations issued thereunder. In accordance with the Internal Revenue Code, no new deferrals are permitted and all outstanding account balances will be distributed to the participants on November 7, 2016.

The Directors Deferred Compensation Plan allowed each non-employee director of the Company to defer receipt of all or a part of his or her director fees and annual retainers and to have such deferred amounts credited to an account of the director under the plan. A non-employee director could also choose to have such deferrals assumed to be invested among a number of investment options that are designated for this purpose by the Compensation Committee of the Board, and his or her account under the plan is adjusted by the investment returns that would result if such amounts were invested in the investment options that he or she chooses.

The Board may have, in its discretion, also credited to the plan account of any non-employee director of the Company an amount equal to the value of a number of Company common shares determined by the Board. The Board exercised its discretion in crediting amounts to the plan accounts of the non-employee directors with the intent that such credits, together with other compensation that either is paid in the form of Company common shares or has its value determined in relation to the value of common shares (such grants and such other compensation referred to as “Company equity-based compensation”), is approximately equal to the median level of the value of equity-based compensation provided by comparable companies to their non-employee directors. In exercise of such discretion in 2015, no credits were made to the non-employee directors plan accounts. Any credit made by the Board in its discretion to a non-employee director’s account under the plan was also adjusted by the investment returns that would result if such amounts were invested exclusively in common shares of the Company. A non-employee director will generally be vested in the amounts credited to his or her account under the plan only if he or she completed at least five years of active service as a non-employee director of the Company (with a fraction of a year of service as a non-employee director being rounded up or down to the nearest whole year) or if he or she died while a member of the Board.

A non-employee director of the Company may also have had additional amounts credited to his or her account under the Directors Deferred Compensation Plan based on his or her deferral of director fees and annual retainers for earlier years or on other extra amounts that were credited by the Company to his or her account under the plan in prior years. The portion of a non-employee director’s account under the plan that is attributable to such earlier credited amounts was also adjusted by the investment returns that would result if such amounts were invested in investment options that he or she chooses, in common shares or in other investments, depending on the particular credits that are involved. Each payment made to a non-employee director of the vested amounts credited to his or her account under the Directors Deferred Compensation Plan is made in the form of cash to the extent such amounts are deemed to be invested under the plan other than in common shares and will be distributed in the form of common shares to the extent such amounts are deemed to be invested under the plan in such shares; except that (i) the vested portion of his or her account under the plan that is attributable to any credit that is or has been made by the Board in its discretion to his or her plan account (or that is attributable to certain Board designated annual credits made to his or her plan account in earlier years) and (ii) the value of any vested amount that is deemed to be invested in a fractional common share will, in each such case, only be paid in cash.

The Company will reimburse a non-employee director for all reasonable commissions or similar costs he or she incurs in selling any common shares he or she receives under the Directors Deferred Compensation Plan, or make arrangements to permit the director to have such shares sold without commissions or similar fees charged to him or her, if the director wants to sell such shares shortly (generally within two weeks) after he or she receives them. Until paid, all amounts credited to a non-employee director’s account under the Directors Deferred Compensation Plan are not funded or otherwise secured, and all payments under the plan are made from the general assets of the Company.

The Directors Deferred Compensation Plan must comply with the requirements of the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 in order to retain its ability to defer federal income tax on certain amounts credited to a non-employee director’s account under the plan. The Company has amended the plan to meet the requirements of the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004.

Non-Employee Directors Plan

The Company grants its non-employee directors time-based restricted shares and/or options to purchase common shares under the Cincinnati Bell Inc. 2007 Stock Option Plan for Non-Employee Directors, as amended (the “2007 Directors Plan”). Pursuant to the current terms of such plan, each non-employee director of the Company, at the discretion of the Board, may be granted a number of restricted common shares and/or a stock option for a number of common shares (as determined by the Board) on the date of each annual meeting, if such director first became a non-employee director of the Company before the date of such annual meeting and continues in office as a non-employee director after such meeting.

Currently under the 2007 Directors Plan, up to 1,000,000 common shares may in the aggregate be the subject of awards granted during the life of the plan, all of which could be subject to stock option awards or restricted stock awards. As described in more detail on pages 45 - 48, the shareholders of the Company are being asked to approve an

amendment to the 2007 Directors Plan. The amendment, if approved, would increase the aggregate number of common shares that may be awarded under the 2007 Directors Plan to 1,500,000 common shares. The Company has flexibility regarding the type of awards to issue. The Board will exercise its discretion in granting such options and/or time-based restricted shares with the intent that such grants, together with other Company equity-based compensation, provide Company equity-based compensation that is competitive with the value of equity-based compensation provided by comparable companies to their non-employee directors.

Under the 2007 Directors Plan, in 2015 the Company granted restricted stock unit awards that vest after one year and with an aggregate value of \$80,000 on the date of grant to each non-employee director. In July 2015, the Board approved granting pro-rated awards to newly elected or appointed directors. In 2016, the Company intends to again grant restricted stock units that vest after one year and with an aggregate value of \$90,000 on the date of grant to each incumbent non-employee director. For 2014 and earlier, the Company annually granted awards with an aggregate value of \$70,000 on the date of grant to each incumbent non-employee director. Awards granted in 2013 and earlier were in the form of time-based restricted shares and awards granted in 2014 were in the form of restricted stock units. The restricted shares issued in 2012 and prior vested on the third anniversary of the grant date, the restricted shares issued in 2013 vested on the second anniversary of the grant date, and the restricted stock units issued in 2014 vested on the first anniversary of the grant date.

Each stock option granted to a non-employee director under the 2007 Directors Plan, or a predecessor plan, requires that upon the exercise of the option, the price to be paid for the common shares that are being purchased under the option will be equal to 100% of the fair market value of such shares as determined at the time the option is granted. With certain exceptions provided in the 2007 Directors Plan, a non-employee director of the Company who is granted an option under the plan generally will have ten years from the date of the grant to exercise the option.

In general, each award will require that the restrictions not lapse in full unless the non-employee director continues to serve as a director of the Company for the vesting period after the applicable award grant date or ends service as a Company director under special circumstances (e.g., death, disability, or attaining retirement age).

2015 Director Compensation

The following table shows the compensation paid to our non-employee directors for the 2015 fiscal year:

Name	DIRECTOR COMPENSATION			Total (\$)
	Fees Earned or	Stock	Option	
	Paid in Cash (\$)	Awards (\$)	Awards (\$)	
(a)	(b) (c)	(c)		
Phillip R. Cox	320,000	80,000	—	400,000
John W. Eck	80,073	80,000	—	160,073
Jakki L. Haussler	95,000	80,000	—	175,000
Craig F. Maier	103,000	80,000	—	183,000
Russel P. Mayer	92,555	80,000	—	172,555
Alan R. Schriber (d)	52,417	—	—	52,417
Lynn A. Wentworth	107,000	80,000	—	187,000
Martin J. Yudkovitz (e)	19,239	67,000	—	86,239
John M. Zrno	95,000	80,000	—	175,000

(a) No Board member elected to defer fees or annual retainers in fiscal 2015.

The values reflect the aggregate grant-date fair value of the restricted stock units granted on April 30, 2015 to all directors except Mr. Yudkovitz, and of the restricted stock units granted on July 29, 2015 to Mr. Yudkovitz, computed in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718, “Compensation - Stock Compensation” (“ASC 718”) for all awards. For a discussion of the valuation assumptions and methodology, see Note 15 to the Company’s Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015.

No stock options were awarded in 2015. As of December 31, 2015, the non-employee directors and former (c) directors held an aggregate of 180,448 unvested stock awards and an aggregate of 84,400 option awards (granted in years prior to 2008), as set forth in the table below.

Name	Number of Unvested	Number of Option
	Stock Awards	Awards
	Outstanding	Outstanding
	as of	as of
	December 31,	December 31,
	2015	2015
Phillip R. Cox	23,324	18,000
John W. Eck	23,324	—
Jakki L. Haussler	23,324	—
Craig F. Maier	23,324	—
Russel P. Mayer	23,324	—
Lynn A. Wentworth	23,324	—
Martin J. Yudkovitz	17,180	—
John M. Zrno	23,324	66,400

(d) Mr. Schriber did not stand for re-election at the expiration of his term on April 30, 2015 and did not receive a grant in 2015.

(e) Mr. Yudkovitz was appointed to the Board on July 2, 2015 and received a pro-rated grant as a newly appointed director.

Board of Directors Selection Process

Director Qualifications and Nominations

The Governance and Nominating Committee will consider director candidates recommended by shareholders. The Governance and Nominating Committee did not receive, and therefore did not consider, any recommendations for director candidates by any shareholder for the 2016 Annual Meeting.

The Governance and Nominating Committee uses the following process to identify and evaluate director nominee candidates. Any qualified individual or group, including shareholders, incumbent directors and members of senior management, may at any time propose a candidate to serve on the Board. Background information on proposed candidates is forwarded to the Governance and Nominating Committee. For information on how to propose a candidate to serve on the Board, please see page 62. The Governance and Nominating Committee reviews forwarded materials relating to prospective candidates in the event of a director vacancy. A candidate selected from the review is interviewed by each member of the Governance and Nominating Committee, unless the member waives the interview requirement. If approved by the Governance and Nominating Committee, the candidate will be recommended to the full Board for consideration. The Governance and Nominating Committee evaluates shareholder-recommended candidates in the same manner that it evaluates all other candidates.

All nominees to the Board should possess the following attributes:

- Established leadership reputation in his/her field;
- Known for good business judgment;
- Active in business;
- Knowledge of business on a national/global basis;
- Meets high ethical standards; and
- Commitment to regular board/committee meeting attendance.

In addition, the Board will consider the following factors:

- The nominee's familiarity with the field of telecommunications; and
- Whether the nominee would contribute to the gender, racial and/or geographical diversity of the Board.

While the Company has not adopted a formal process or policy for making sure that diversity exists on the Board, the selection criteria used by the Governance and Nominating Committee when considering director nominees, as noted above, includes as a factor whether a nominee would contribute to the gender, racial and/or geographical diversity of the Board.

Mr. Martin J. Yudkovitz was appointed to the Board effective July 2, 2015. He is the only director nominee at the 2016 Annual Meeting who is standing for election by the shareholders for the first time. The Governance and Nominating Committee, based upon the suggestion of Messrs. Eck and Mayer, recommended Mr. Yudkovitz as a nominee to fill the vacancy created by Mr. Alan R. Schriber's decision to not seek re-election at last year's annual meeting.

Item 1 - Election of Directors

The Company's Amended Regulations provide that the Board shall consist of not less than nine nor more than 17 persons, with the exact number to be fixed and determined by resolution of the Board or by resolution of the shareholders at any annual or special meeting of shareholders. The Board has determined that the Board shall consist of nine members.

The directors will serve until their respective successors are elected and qualified.

Based upon the recommendations of the Governance and Nominating Committee, the Board has nominated Phillip R. Cox, John W. Eck, Jakki L. Haussler, Craig F. Maier, Russel P. Mayer, Lynn A. Wentworth, Martin J. Yudkovitz, John M. Zrno and Theodore H. Torbeck to serve until the 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. Each of the nominees is standing for re-election, except for Mr. Yudkovitz, who is standing for election for the first time. Mr. Yudkovitz was appointed to the Board on July 2, 2015 to fill a vacancy resulting from the decision of Mr. Alan R. Schriber not to seek re-election at the expiration of his term on April 30, 2015. The Board has determined all director nominees, other than Mr. Torbeck, are independent and have no relationship with the Company other than as a shareholder and director.

If, at the time of the Annual Meeting, one or more of the nominees should be unavailable or unable to serve as a candidate, the shares represented by the proxies will be voted to elect the remaining nominees, if any, and any substitute nominee or nominees designated by the Board. The Board knows of no reason why any of the nominees will be unavailable or unable to serve.

Information regarding the business experience of each nominee is provided on pages 14 - 16.

Majority Vote Requirements; Holdover Directors

A director nominee who receives a majority of the votes cast will be elected to the Board. If a director nominee is an incumbent director and does not receive a majority of the votes cast, the Company's Amended Regulations require that such "holdover director" promptly tender his or her resignation to the Board, subject to acceptance by the Board. The Governance and Nominating Committee will make a recommendation to the Board as to whether to accept or reject the holdover director's resignation or whether other action should be taken. The Board will act on the tendered resignation by the holdover director, taking into account the Governance and Nominating Committee's recommendation, and publicly disclose its decision regarding the tendered resignation of the holdover director and the rationale behind the decision within 90 days from the date of the certification of the election results by the Inspector of Elections. The Governance and Nominating Committee in making its recommendation and the Board in making its decision may consider any factors or other information that they consider appropriate and relevant. The holdover director who tenders his or her resignation shall not participate in the recommendation of the Governance and Nominating Committee or the decision of the Board with respect to his or her tendered resignation.

If a holdover director's resignation is accepted by the Board pursuant to the Company's Amended Regulations, the Board may either fill the resulting vacancy or, if permitted, may decrease the size of the Board in accordance with law and the Company's Amended Regulations.

Vote Required

A director nominee must receive a majority of the votes cast to be elected to the Board. Since neither abstentions nor broker non-votes will be considered as votes cast in the election of directors, they will not have an effect on the outcome of the election.

Our Recommendation

The Board recommends election of each of the nominees.

The following are brief biographies of each person nominated for election as a director of the Company.

NOMINEES FOR DIRECTORS

(Terms Expire in 2017)

Mr. Cox has been President and Chief Executive Officer of Cox Financial Corporation (a financial planning services company) since 1972. He is a current director of The Timken Company, Diebold Inc., and Touchstone Mutual Funds. He is a former director of the Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland and Duke Energy Corporation. Director since 1993. Age 68.

With his years of entrepreneurial and managerial experience in the development and growth of Cox Financial Corporation, coupled with the experience he has gained from serving on the audit and compensation committees of several public company boards, Mr. Cox brings a valuable perspective to the Company's Board. In addition, having served as Chairman of the Company's Board since 2003, Mr. Cox has demonstrated an effective management style and the ability to facilitate the Board's primary oversight functions.

Phillip R. Cox

Mr. Eck is currently the Chief Local Media Officer at Univision Communications, Inc., the leading Hispanic media company in the United States. Prior to joining Univision Communications, Inc. in 2011, Mr. Eck worked at NBC Universal ("NBCU") for 18 years, most recently serving as President, Media Works, where he oversaw NBCU's information, broadcasting and production technology, and NBCU's television and film studio operations. Prior to joining NBCU, Mr. Eck held various other executive and financial positions at General Electric. Director since 2014. Age 56.

John W. Eck

With over 33 years of media, finance and information technology experience at Univision, NBCU and General Electric, Mr. Eck brings relevant industry experience from the perspective of a producer and distributor of media content. This experience makes him a very valuable asset to the Board, the Compensation Committee and the Governance and Nominating Committee.

Jakki L. Haussler

Ms. Haussler has served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Opus Capital Group (a registered investment advisory firm) since 1996. She is a director of Morgan Stanley Funds. She is a former director of Capvest Venture Fund, LP, Adena Ventures, LP (a venture capital fund), and The Victory Funds. Director since 2008. Age 58.

With more than 30 years of experience in the financial services industry, including her years of entrepreneurial and managerial experience in the development and growth of Opus Capital Group, Ms. Haussler brings a valuable perspective to the Company's Board. Through her role at Opus Capital and her service as a director of several venture capital funds and other boards, Ms. Haussler has gained valuable experience dealing with accounting principles and evaluating financial results of large corporations. She is a certified public accountant (inactive), an attorney in the State of Ohio (inactive), and an audit committee financial expert under SEC regulations. This experience, coupled with her educational background, makes her a valuable asset to the Board, the Audit and Finance Committee and the Compensation Committee.

Mr. Maier recently retired from his role as President and Chief Executive Officer of Frisch's Restaurants, Inc. (operator of family style restaurants), a position he held from 1989 to 2015. He was also a director of Frisch's Restaurants, Inc. from 2008 to 2015. Director since 2008. Age 66.

With over 20 years of experience as the chief executive officer of a large, publicly-traded corporation, Mr. Maier brings to the Board demonstrated management and leadership ability. In addition, Mr. Maier has valuable experience dealing with accounting principles, financial reporting regulations and evaluating financial results of large corporations. This experience makes him a valuable asset to the Board as Chairman of the Compensation Committee and a member of the Audit and Finance Committee and Executive Committee.

Craig F. Maier

Mr. Mayer is retired, and is now working part time with several consulting companies in information technology and business process improvement. Prior to joining the Board, Mr. Mayer held several executive-level information technology and business process improvement positions at General Electric. Most recently, he was Executive Vice President, CIO, and Quality Leader at GE Healthcare from 2009 to 2012. Prior to that, he was Executive Vice President and CIO at GE Healthcare from 2005 to 2008; Vice President and CIO at GE Aircraft Engines and GE Transportation from 2000 to 2005; and CIO and Chief Quality Officer at NBC from 1998 to 2000. He held various other information technology and business process improvement positions at GE from 1986 to 1998. Prior to that he held multiple positions at Chiquita Brands, Republic Steel and Enduro Stainless. Director since 2013. Age 62.

Russel P. Mayer

With over 35 years of information technology and business process improvement experience at large, global organizations, Mr. Mayer brings relevant industry experience from the customer's perspective. This experience makes him a very valuable asset to the Board as well as the Chairman of the Governance and Nominating Committee and a member of the Executive Committee and Audit and Finance Committee. He also serves as a valuable resource to the Company's management team.

Ms. Wentworth is the former Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of BlueLinx Holdings Inc. (a building products distributor) from 2007 to 2008. Prior to joining BlueLinx, she was, most recently, Vice President and Chief Financial Officer for BellSouth Corporation's Communications Group and held various other positions at BellSouth from 1985 to 2007. She is a certified public accountant licensed in the state of Georgia. She is a director, chair of the Audit Committee and member of the Nominating & Governance Committee of Graphic Packaging Holding Company and a director and member of the Audit & Finance and Compensation Committees of CyrusOne Inc. Director since 2008. Age 57.

Lynn A. Wentworth

Ms. Wentworth's experience as Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of BlueLinx Holdings Inc. as well as her 22 years of telecommunications industry experience at BellSouth makes her a valuable asset to the Board, Chairwoman of the Audit and Finance Committee, and member of the Compensation Committee and Executive Committee. Ms. Wentworth qualifies as an audit committee financial expert under applicable SEC regulations. Ms. Wentworth's prior experience has provided her with a wealth of knowledge in dealing with complex financial and accounting matters affecting large corporations in the telecommunications industry.

Mr. Yudkovitz is retired. He was head of The Walt Disney Company's Strategic Innovation Group (2010 through 2015). He also served as the Senior Vice President for Corporate Strategy and Business Development at Disney (2005-2010) and as President of TiVo (2003-2005). Previously, as a long-time senior executive at NBC, Mr. Yudkovitz was a key member of the teams that developed and launched the CNBC and MSNBC networks. Director since 2015. Age 61.

Martin J. Yudkovitz

With over 30 years of experience in the broadcast and media entertainment industries, Mr. Yudkovitz brings to the Board relevant industry experience, which makes him a valuable asset to the Board as a member of the Governance and Nominating Committee. In addition, Mr. Yudkovitz's previous experience leading large strategic business innovation initiatives at Disney makes him a valuable advisor to the Company's management team on key areas of growth.

Mr. Zrno is retired. He was President and Chief Executive Officer of IXC Communications, Inc. (a telecommunications company) from June 1999 through November 1999. He served as President and Chief Executive Officer of ALC Communications Corporation from 1988 through 1995. Director since 1999. Age 77.

John M. Zrno

With over 30 years of experience in the telecommunications industry and his past experience as the chief executive officer of two large telecommunications corporations, Mr. Zrno brings to the Board demonstrated management and leadership ability. In addition, Mr. Zrno has gained valuable experience dealing with accounting principles, financial reporting regulations and evaluating financial results of large corporations. This experience makes him a valuable asset to the Board as a member of the Audit and Finance Committee and Governance and Nominating Committee.

Theodore H. Torbeck

Mr. Torbeck was named President and Chief Executive Officer of Cincinnati Bell Inc. effective January 31, 2013. He joined Cincinnati Bell in 2010 as President and General Manager of Cincinnati Bell Communications Group. Prior to joining Cincinnati Bell, Mr. Torbeck was Chief Executive Officer of the Freedom Group and also worked more than 25 years for the General Electric Co. ("GE"), where he served as the Vice President of Operations for GE Industrial Business, President and CEO of GE's Rail Services business as well as Vice President of Global Supply Chain for GE Aviation. Director since January 2013. Age 59.

Mr. Torbeck brings to the Board critical knowledge and understanding of the products and services offered by the Company and a strong understanding of the telecommunications industry. Mr. Torbeck's prior business and management experience also provides the Board with a valuable perspective on managing a successful business.

Stock Ownership

Ownership of Equity Securities of the Company

Directors and Executive Officers

The following table sets forth the beneficial ownership of common shares and 6 3/4% Cumulative Convertible Preferred Shares as of February 29, 2016 (except as otherwise noted) by (i) each current director and each executive officer named in the Summary Compensation Table on page 34, and (ii) all directors and executive officers of the Company as a group.

Unless otherwise indicated, the address of each named director and executive officer is c/o Cincinnati Bell Inc. at the Company's address.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Common Shares Beneficially Owned as of February 29, 2016 (a)	Percent of Common Shares (b)	6 3/4% Convertible Preferred Shares Beneficially Owned as of February 29, 2016 (c)	Percent of 6 3/4% Cumulative Convertible Preferred Shares (c)
Phillip R. Cox	54,185	*	—	*
Joshua T. Duckworth	12,828	*	—	*
John W. Eck	23,324	*	—	*
Leigh R. Fox	83,848	*	—	*
Jakki L. Haussler	122,368	*	—	*
Craig F. Maier	120,794	*	—	*
Russel P. Mayer	45,267	*	—	*
Thomas E. Simpson	10,912	*	—	*
Theodore H. Torbeck (d)	1,180,227	*	—	*
Lynn A. Wentworth	119,209	*	—	*
Christopher J. Wilson	288,415	*	—	*
Martin J. Yudkovitz	17,180	*	—	*
John M. Zrno (e)	220,934	*	—	*
All directors and executive officers as a group (consisting of the 13 persons named above)	2,299,491	1.1%	—	*

* indicates ownership of less than 1% of issued and outstanding shares.

Includes common shares subject to outstanding options under the Cincinnati Bell Inc. 2007 Long Term Incentive Plan, the 2007 Directors Plan and the Cincinnati Bell Inc. 1997 Stock Option Plan for Non-Employee Directors that are exercisable as of February 29, 2016. The following options are included in the totals: 18,000 common shares for Mr. Cox; 1,800 common shares for Mr. Duckworth; 1,500 common shares for Mr. Fox; 119,389 common shares for Mr. Torbeck; 95,511 common shares for Mr. Wilson; and 66,400 common shares for Mr. Zrno. Effective January 31, 2013, the Company updated its Insider Trading Policy to expressly bar ownership of financial instruments or participation in investment strategies that hedge the economic risk of owning the Company's common shares and to prohibit officers and directors from pledging Company securities as collateral for loans.

(a) These percentages are based upon 210,018,609 common shares outstanding as of February 29, 2016, the Record Date.

(b) These numbers represent 6 3/4% Cumulative Convertible Preferred Shares. In the aggregate, the 155,250 issued and (c) outstanding 6 3/4% Cumulative Convertible Preferred Shares are represented by 3,105,000 depositary shares, and each 6 3/4% Cumulative Convertible Preferred Share is represented by 20 depositary shares.

(d) Amount includes 5,960 common shares held by Mr. Torbeck's daughter.

(e) Amount includes 25,000 common shares held by the Zrno Family Limited Partnership.

Principal Shareholders

The following table sets forth the beneficial ownership of common shares as of December 31, 2015 (except as otherwise noted) by each beneficial owner of more than five percent (5%) of the common shares and 6 3/4% Cumulative Convertible Preferred shares outstanding known by the Company.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Common Shares Beneficially Owned	Percent of Common Shares	6 3/4% Convertible Preferred Shares Beneficially Owned	Percent of 6 3/4% Convertible Preferred Shares
GAMCO Investors, Inc. and affiliates One Corporate Center Rye, NY 10580	25,926,170	(a) 12.37%	11,002	(b) 7.09%
BlackRock, Inc. 55 East 52nd Street New York, NY 10055	24,751,244	(c) 11.80%	*	*
The Vanguard Group 100 Vanguard Blvd. Malvern, PA 19355	22,962,406	(d) 10.94%	*	*
Pinnacle Associates, Ltd. 335 Madison Avenue, Suite 1100 New York, NY 10017	11,698,338	(e) 5.50%	*	*

*Indicates ownership of less than 1% of the issued and outstanding class of shares

(a) As reported on Schedule 13D/A filed on December 4, 2014 by GAMCO Investors, Inc., as of December 3, 2014, Gabelli Funds, LLC has sole voting and dispositive power for 10,846,849 common shares, GAMCO Asset Management Inc. has sole voting power for 13,227,914 common shares and sole dispositive power for 13,982,365 common shares, MJG Associates, Inc. has sole voting and dispositive power for 30,000 common shares, Mario J. Gabelli has sole voting and dispositive power for 7,000 common shares, Teton Advisors Inc. has sole voting and dispositive power for 750,005 common shares, Gabelli Securities, Inc. has sole voting and dispositive power for 301,551 common shares and GAMCO Investors Inc. has sole voting and dispositive power for 8,400 common shares. The amounts reported on Schedule 13D/A include a number of shares with respect to which Gabelli Funds, LLC and GAMCO Asset Management Inc. have the right to beneficial ownership upon the conversion of the Company's 6 3/4% Cumulative Convertible Preferred Shares.

(b) As indicated in Schedule 13D/A filed on December 4, 2014 by GAMCO Investors, Inc., as of December 3, 2014, GAMCO Asset Management Inc. and Gabelli Funds, LLC owned in the aggregate a number of 6 3/4% Cumulative Convertible Preferred Shares that would convert into 220,049 common shares if converted. Based upon the conversion rate of 20 to 1, the Schedule 13D/A filing indicated ownership of approximately 11,002 6 3/4% Cumulative Convertible Preferred Shares. The Company has 155,250 6 3/4% Cumulative Convertible Preferred Shares outstanding. As noted on page 60, each 6 3/4% Cumulative Convertible Preferred Share is entitled to one vote.

(c) As reported on Schedule 13G/A filed on January 8, 2016 by BlackRock, Inc., as of December 31, 2015, BlackRock, Inc. has sole voting power for 24,228,787 common shares and sole dispositive power for 24,751,244 common shares.

(d) As reported on Schedule 13G/A filed on February 11, 2016 by The Vanguard Group, as of December 31, 2015, The Vanguard Group has sole voting power for 274,221 common shares and sole dispositive power for 22,672,814 common shares. The Vanguard Group has shared voting power for 27,800 common shares and shared dispositive power for 289,592 common shares.

(e) As reported on Schedule 13G filed on February 10, 2016 by Pinnacle Associates, Ltd., as of December 31, 2015, Pinnacle Associates Ltd. has shared voting and dispositive power for 11,698,338 common shares.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires the Company's directors, executive officers and persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of the Company's equity securities to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the SEC. Directors, executive officers and greater than 10% shareholders are required by regulations of the SEC to furnish the Company with copies of all Section 16(a) reports that they file. Such reports are filed on Forms 3, 4 and 5 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Based solely on the Company's review of the copies of such forms received by it, the Company believes that, during the period commencing January 1, 2015 and ending December 31, 2015, all such persons complied on a timely basis with the filing requirements of Section 16(a).

Executive Compensation

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Introduction

The material on the following pages sets forth an overview and discussion of the Company's executive compensation philosophy and how it functions to create alignment between its shareholders and its executives.

Our goal is to continue the transformation of Cincinnati Bell from a legacy copper-based telecommunications company into a technology company with state of the art fiber assets servicing customers with data, video, voice and IT solutions to meet their evolving needs. To this end, leveraging our past and future investments creates a company with a healthy balance sheet, growing revenue, growing profitability and sustainable cash flows. To accomplish our objectives, management identified three key initiatives: (i) continue the expansion of our local fiber network, (ii) evaluate opportunities to monetize the Company's investment in CyrusOne Inc. (NASDAQ: CONE) ("CyrusOne"), and (iii) manage wireless operations for cash flow and profitability while seeking strategic alternatives for the business. Over the past three years, the Company has made considerable progress in its transformational efforts and has accomplished each of its financial and operational objectives established for 2015:

- Strategic revenue was up more than 20% year-over-year - consolidated revenue increased by 1% compared to the prior year

- Entertainment and Communications segment of our business generated annual revenue growth for the second consecutive year - with Fioptics revenue increasing 34% compared to 2014

- Adjusted EBITDA totaled \$302 million - at the high-end of our 2015 guidance range

- Record-high 40,000 Fioptics internet and 23,000 video net activations in 2015

- Strategic IT Services and Hardware segment revenue increased by 29% year-over-year

- Proceeds from the monetization of our CyrusOne investment totaled \$644 million in 2015 and

- Successfully completed the sale of our wireless spectrum and closed our wireless operations as of March 31, 2015.

The investments made in fiber and other strategic products have significantly improved the Company's financial trajectory and perception in the marketplace. In 2015, we continued the build out of our fiber network to achieve our long-term goal.

Our long-term performance based awards for 2015 continued our 2014 changes to award parameters which aligned executive compensation with shareholder interest. The 2015 awards focused on driving strategic revenue growth, strong Adjusted EBITDA and unlevered cash returns on average assets. Payouts for the 2015 plan are based on the aggregate three-year performance period rather than utilizing interim payouts, increasing the focus on long-term results and talent retention. In addition, the Company will continue to adjust the final payout using a total shareholder return ("TSR") factor based on the Company's TSR performance as compared to the Russell 2000 Index.

This alignment of executive compensation to the current award parameters continues to demonstrate the Company's ongoing commitment to best practices and a pay-for-performance culture. The award parameters are detailed below and include: (i) using general industry market data as the primary information source for setting executive compensation; (ii) adoption of a prohibition on cash buyouts of underwater options in the absence of shareholder approval; (iii) implementation of double-trigger equity vesting in the event of the change in control of the Company; and (iv) updated peer groups used to benchmark executive compensation to eliminate companies that are substantially larger than the Company.

We continue to believe that our current year results confirm that the Company's executive compensation program is effective in focusing our key executive talent on driving the attainment of strategic revenue and Adjusted EBITDA goals, delivering sustained cash flow performance over multiple years, and aligning executive long-term incentive rewards with the interests of shareholders. The mix of base pay (the "fixed cost" of the program) and both annual and long-term incentive plans promote achievement of current-year goals and longer-term business strategies while driving appropriate business behavior without inducing executives to take undue business risks.

Named Executive Officers

The Company's 2015 named executive officers (“NEOs”) were:

Theodore H. Torbeck	President and Chief Executive Officer
Leigh R. Fox	Chief Financial Officer
Thomas E. Simpson	Chief Technology Officer
Christopher J. Wilson	Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary
Joshua T. Duckworth	Vice President, Investor Relations and Controller

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis (the “CD&A”) discusses in more detail below the elements of the executive compensation program and the reasons why the Compensation Committee selected those particular elements, the performance metrics and goals under certain of those elements, the compensation that the executives might earn, and how each element encourages the Company's achievement of its business objectives and strategy.

Executive Summary

Financial Results

Consolidated revenue totaling \$1,167.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 increased compared to the prior year as strategic revenue growth more than offset the impact of no longer providing backhaul service to our discontinued wireless operations and declines from legacy and integration products. Revenue from our strategic products totaled \$536.6 million in 2015, up 21% compared to 2014. Operating income in 2015 was \$128.0 million, down from the prior year due in large part to increased costs associated with accelerating the construction of our fiber network and costs absorbed as a result of winding down wireless operations.

Income from continuing operations totaled \$290.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, primarily due to the \$449.2 million gain on the sale of a portion of our CyrusOne investment. Income from discontinued operations, net of tax, for the year ended December 31, 2015 was \$62.9 million. As of March 31, 2015, there were no subscribers remaining on the wireless network, and we no longer required the use of the wireless spectrum being leased.

Therefore, the \$112.6 million gain on the sale of the wireless spectrum licenses, which had been previously deferred, was recognized in our financial results during the first quarter of 2015. In addition, on April 1, 2015, we transferred certain other assets related to our wireless business to the purchaser, including leases to certain wireless towers and related equipment and other assets, which resulted in a gain of \$15.9 million in the second quarter of 2015.

The Company sold a combined 21.7 million CyrusOne partnership units and common shares for cash totaling \$643.9 million during 2015. The cash generated from these transactions was primarily used to manage our debt. During 2015, debt repayments totaled \$531.7 million reducing interest payments by approximately \$42 million annually. As a result, our consolidated debt leverage as defined by our Corporate Credit Agreement was 4.3x as of December 31, 2015. If our leverage was further adjusted for our remaining 9.5% ownership in CyrusOne, which was valued at \$257.9 million, as of December 31, 2015, our leverage would be 3.4x.

We ceased operations of our wireless business in March 2015. As a result, wireless financial results are now presented as discontinued operations. Therefore, we have recast the financial information for all periods presented. See “Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for further details on the Company's 2015 financial results.

Executive Compensation Program

The Company's executive compensation program ties a significant portion of an executive's realized annual compensation to the Company's achievement of financial and strategic goals. For 2015, the key financial measures utilized to assess annual performance are revenue and Adjusted EBITDA and the key financial measures utilized to assess long-term performance are strategic revenue, Adjusted EBITDA, and unlevered cash return on assets. See pages 25 - 28 for a detailed discussion of the payments made under the annual and long-term incentive plans for 2015 performance.

The following chart summarizes the key elements of our compensation program, which are discussed in more detail later in the CD&A.

Component	Purpose	Key Characteristics	2015 Key Actions
Base Salary	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Allows Company to attract and retain executives 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fixed annual cash compensation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> With the exception of Messrs. Simpson and Wilson, the NEOs received increases in January
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recognizes individual performance through merit increases 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increases primarily driven by individual performance and by market positioning 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mr. Simpson received a merit increase in February and an additional increase in November to reflect his increased responsibilities and for retention purposes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recognizes individual work experience and level of responsibility 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Used to calculate other components of compensation 	
Annual Incentives	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Motivate achievement of Company annual financial goals and strategic objectives 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Performance-based annual cash incentive compensation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The revenue and Adjusted EBITDA performance metrics, which affect 80% of incentive payout, were attained at approximately 103% of target. Together with the individual performance portion, NEO total annual incentive payouts ranged from 113% to 123% of target
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Motivate achievement of individual annual performance goals 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bonus target set as a percentage of base salary 	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Align executive interests with shareholder interests 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Performance-based long-term equity incentive compensation 	
Non-qualified Stock Options and Stock Appreciation Rights (“SARs”)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Motivate achievement of Company long-term financial goals and strategic objectives 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vest over three-year period based on continued service and the achievement of performance goals 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No stock options or stock appreciation rights were granted to any NEO in 2015
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Facilitate executive equity ownership thereby further aligning executive and shareholder interests 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not have value unless stock price increases following date of grant 	
Performance Share and Unit Awards	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Motivate achievement of Company long-term financial goals and strategic objectives 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Performance-based long-term equity incentive compensation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grants were made in the form of performance-based shares or units
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Facilitate executive equity ownership thereby further aligning executive and shareholder interests 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Granted annually with cumulative three-year performance cycles 	

The Company also provides certain retirement benefits and post-termination compensation to the NEOs, as described in more detail later in this CD&A.

Compensation Practices

The Company reviews and modifies its executive compensation program and practices regularly to address changes in the Company's short- and long-term business objectives and strategies, new regulatory standards and to implement evolving best practices. Listed below are compensation practices that the Company has adopted in support of its pay-for-performance philosophy:

Performance-based Compensation. The Company believes that a significant percentage of each NEO's total compensation should be performance-based or "at-risk." Base salary was only 24% of the Chief Executive Officer's 2015 target compensation and 39% of the other NEOs' 2015 target compensation.

Stock Ownership Guidelines. The Company believes that equity ownership creates alignment between executive and shareholder interests. In support of this objective, we maintain stock ownership guidelines under which our NEOs are expected to accumulate specified ownership stakes over time. In May, 2014, the Compensation Committee approved substantial increases to the stock ownership guidelines applicable to the NEOs. See page 31 for a more detailed discussion.

Compensation Risk Assessment. The Company conducts annual compensation risk assessments to ensure that our policies and programs do not unintentionally encourage inappropriate behaviors or lead to excessive risk taking. We have concluded that our compensation plans, policies and practices do not encourage excessive or unnecessary risk-taking and are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Repricing Prohibition. We maintain prohibitions against the repricing of underwater stock options in the absence of shareholder approval. Effective January 28, 2014, the Company amended its existing policy to expand the definition of a repricing to include cash buyouts of underwater stock options and stock appreciation rights. This change applies to all grants, including existing grants.

Double-Trigger Equity Vesting. Existing employment agreements with executives incorporate a "double-trigger" requirement for vesting equity grants in the event of a change in control ("CIC"). Effective January 28, 2014, the Company amended the Cincinnati Bell Inc. 2007 Long Term Incentive Plan (the "2007 Long Term Incentive Plan") and revised award agreements for all future grants, beginning with the 2014 equity grants, to provide that in the event of a CIC, an employee must be involuntarily terminated without cause by the Company during the 24-month period following a CIC for previously granted equity awards that are continued, assumed or substituted to vest.

Executive Compensation Benchmarking. Effective January 28, 2014, the Compensation Committee approved the Company's recommendations to (i) use the general industry peer group as the primary source of market data for competitive assessments of executive pay, (ii) use the telecommunications peer group as a secondary reference for assessing market pay and industry compensation practices, and (iii) modify the telecommunications peer group to eliminate the four largest companies and add two new companies with annual revenue below that of Cincinnati Bell. We target each pay component and total pay at the 50th percentile.

Hedging and Pledging Policy. The Company's Insider Trading Policy expressly prohibits ownership of derivative financial instruments or participation in investment strategies that hedge the economic risk of owning the Company's common stock and prohibits officers and directors from pledging Company securities as collateral for loans.

Clawback Policy. The Company has a clawback policy that allows it to recover incentive payments to or realized by executive officers in the event that the incentive compensation was based on the achievement of financial results that are subsequently restated to correct any accounting error due to material noncompliance with any financial reporting requirement under the federal securities laws, and such restatement results in a lower payment or award.

Independent Compensation Committee. Each member of the Compensation Committee is independent as defined in the corporate governance listing standards of the NYSE and the Company's director independence standards mirror those of the NYSE.

Independent Compensation Consultant. The Compensation Committee utilizes the services of an outside independent compensation consultant to assist in its duties. The Compensation Committee's consultant performs no other services for the Company or its management.

Elimination of Gross-Ups. The Compensation Committee has a policy in place that any new or materially amended employment agreement with any NEO will not contain any excise tax gross-up provisions with respect to payments contingent on a CIC. In addition, current employment agreements have been amended to remove excise tax gross-up provisions.

2015 Say-on-Pay Vote and Shareholder Outreach

In 2015, approximately 91% of the shares voted with respect to the Company's say-on-pay proposal voted "for" approval of the Company's executive compensation.

Annually, one or more of the Chairman of the Board, the Chairman of the Compensation Committee, the Compensation Committee's independent compensation consultant, and certain members of senior management meet directly with many of the Company's major shareholders to obtain feedback on the Company's strategic direction as well as its executive compensation program. In addition, the Compensation Committee considered the concerns expressed by the proxy advisory firms in their 2015 reports on the Company's say-on-pay proposal.

In response to the feedback from shareholders and the proxy advisory firms, the Compensation Committee implemented a number of changes to the 2007 Long Term Incentive Plan and to the terms of the awards granted under the Plan in 2014. Based on discussions with shareholders, the Company believes that these changes have been well received and are generally seen as a more balanced approach to aligning management compensation with shareholder return so the same terms were used for the 2015 grants.

We have engaged with our investors and based on the 2015 say-on-pay vote and our engagement, we have not taken any actions in response to our say-on-pay vote. The Compensation Committee will continue to consider results from annual shareholder advisory votes when reviewing the Company's executive compensation practices. In addition, the Company's management and the Board believe that it is important to continue their shareholder outreach efforts and intend to continue to engage and communicate with the Company's major shareholders.

Compensation Program Objectives

The executive compensation program's primary objectives are:

• To attract and retain high-quality executives by offering competitive compensation packages;

To motivate and reward executives for the attainment of financial and strategic goals, both short-term and long-term, thereby increasing the Company's value while at the same time discouraging unnecessary or excessive risk-taking; and

To align the interests of the executives and the shareholders by attributing a significant portion of total executive compensation to the achievement of specific short-term and long-term performance goals set by the Compensation Committee.

Elements of Compensation

Base Salary

Base salaries are provided to the Company's NEOs for performing their day-to-day responsibilities. The base salaries of our NEOs are based on a review of the competitive market median for comparable executive positions, assessment by the Chief Executive Officer (or in the case of the Chief Executive Officer's base salary, by the Compensation Committee and entire Board) of the executive's performance as compared to his or her individual job responsibilities, the salary level required to attract and retain the executive and such other factors as the Chief Executive Officer or the Compensation Committee deems relevant for such executive. Generally, no one factor is given more weight than another, nor does the Company and the Compensation Committee use a formulaic approach in setting executive pay. Additionally, while the Company looks at 50th percentile total compensation, it also considers the executive's individual performance as well in determining salary adjustments.

Messrs. Torbeck, Fox and Duckworth received increases in their base salary and annual incentive targets effective January 1, 2015. Mr. Simpson received increases in his base salary and annual incentive target effective February 8, 2015 and again on November 1, 2015 in recognition of his performance, his expanded responsibilities and as part of a retention effort.

Annual Incentives

Annual incentives are intended to motivate and reward senior executives for achieving the short-term business objectives of the Company. Annual incentives are payable for the achievement of annual financial performance goals established by the Compensation Committee and for individual performance. For the NEOs, financial performance goals represent 80% of the annual incentive determination and individual performance evaluation represents 20%. Payouts, if any, can range from 0% to 150% of the total target incentive, depending on the level of achievement of financial goals between threshold and superior levels of performance and evaluations of individual performance and contributions for the year. The Board and Compensation Committee approve financial goals annually which reflect their belief that achievement of these goals drives the Company's strategic success.

The Company used the following goals having the indicated weights in 2015:

• 60% on Adjusted EBITDA;

• 20% on revenue; and

• 20% on individual performance.

The Company has selected Adjusted EBITDA and revenue as its performance measures. Investors have identified these metrics as key indicators of current financial performance and the Company's ability to execute on its strategy of creating a technology company with state of the art fiber assets servicing customers with data, video, voice and IT solutions to meet their evolving needs. Adjusted EBITDA is given a significantly higher weighting than revenue and individual performance because it is a key measure of profitability of the Company that eliminates the effects of accounting and financing decisions. In addition, investors view it as an effective barometer of how well a company can service its debt.

The Board and Compensation Committee review and approve the annual bonus attainment percentages for both Adjusted EBITDA and revenue. In conjunction with such review, they may adjust the actual result or goal amount to reflect a change in business direction, reallocation of Company resources or an unanticipated event.

The Adjusted EBITDA and revenue goals are assessed independently of each other and are scaled above and below their respective targets. The scale for 2015 targets is set forth below:

Percentage of Criterion Achieved	Adjusted EBITDA Goal		Revenue Goal		Percentage of Total Annual Incentive Paid	
	Percentage of Target Incentive Goal	Percentage of Total Annual Incentive Paid	Percentage of Target Incentive Goal	Percentage of Total Annual Incentive Paid		
Below 95%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
95%	50	% 30	% 50	% 10		%
100%	100	% 60	% 100	% 20		%
110%	125	% 75	% 125	% 25		%
120% or greater	150	% 90	% 150	% 30		%

The 2015 target annual incentives for each of the NEOs at year-end are set forth below:

Named Executive Officer	Target Annual Incentive as a Percentage of Base Salary
Theodore H. Torbeck	100%
Leigh R. Fox	100%
Thomas E. Simpson	70%
Christopher J. Wilson	100%
Joshua T. Duckworth	50%

In 2015, for annual incentive purposes, the chart below sets out the Adjusted EBITDA and revenue target goals and actual results, which produced a weighted-average payout for the financial portion of approximately 103% of target:

Financial Objective	2015 Threshold Performance Level	2015 Adjusted Target	2015 Superior Performance Level	2015 Actual Results
Adjusted EBITDA	95%	\$300 M	120%	\$302 M
Revenue	95%	\$1.14 B	120%	\$1.17 B

The Chief Executive Officer provides the Compensation Committee with his assessment of each other executive officer's individual performance. The Chief Executive Officer reviews, for each executive officer, the performance of the executive's department, the quality of the executive's advice and counsel on matters within the executive's purview, qualitative peer feedback and the effectiveness of the executive's communication with the organization and with the Chief Executive Officer on matters of topical concern. These factors are evaluated subjectively and are not assigned specific individual weight. The Chief Executive Officer then recommends an award for the individual performance-based portion for each of the other NEO's annual incentive, which can range from 0% to 200% of the target award for such portion.

The Compensation Committee meets in executive session to consider the Chief Executive Officer's individual performance. The Compensation Committee evaluates the information obtained from the other directors concerning the Chief Executive Officer's individual performance, based on a discussion led by the Chairman of the Board. Factors considered include: operational and financial performance, succession planning, development of the Company leadership team, development of business opportunities and community involvement/relationships. The Compensation Committee has discretion in evaluating the Chief Executive Officer's performance and may recommend to the full Board a discretionary increase or decrease to the Chief Executive Officer's final incentive award as the Compensation Committee believes is warranted.

The table below shows the percentage of target annual incentive earned by each NEO for 2015 for each performance measure and in total as well as the actual award payment:

Named Executive Officer	Total Company Revenue	Total Company Adjusted EBITDA	Individual Performance	Total Annual Incentive Award	Total Annual Incentive Award Payment
Theodore H. Torbeck	103%	103%	200%	123%	\$951,080
Leigh R. Fox	103%	103%	200%	123%	\$472,472
Thomas E. Simpson (a)	103%	103%	200%	123%	\$248,835
Christopher J. Wilson	103%	103%	150%	113%	\$398,578
Joshua T. Duckworth	103%	103%	175%	118%	\$121,252

(a) Mr. Simpson's award reflects the prorated payout based on his incentive targets in 2015.

Long-term Incentives

The long-term incentives granted to NEOs in 2015 consist of performance shares or units. Long-term incentives are intended to encourage the Company's executives to focus on and achieve the long-term (three-year) business goals of the Company and to aid their development and retention through share ownership and recognition of future performance. An executive's realization of his or her long-term incentive means that the Company has also performed in accordance with its plan over a long-term period. The total annual long-term incentive opportunity for each NEO is established by the Compensation Committee in terms of dollars. In administering the long-term incentive program, the Compensation Committee considers competitive market data (as discussed below) and the recommendations of the Chief Executive Officer regarding each executive's performance and specific individual accomplishments. For each type of award, the number of performance shares/units to grant is determined by dividing the approved award amount by the closing price of a share of common stock on the day the Board approves the financial results. The Compensation Committee's policy is not to grant more than 2,000,000 shares per year in connection with long-term incentive awards under the 2007 Long Term Incentive Plan. To the extent that the settlement of the long-term incentive awards in any year exceeds 2,000,000 shares, the excess portion of the incentives are settled in cash.

Stock Options/SARs

No stock options or SARs were granted to any NEO in 2015.

Performance Plan

Performance share or unit awards, which may be paid in common shares, cash, or a combination thereof, are based on the achievement of specific Company quantitative goals over a three-year performance period. Such awards are granted during the first quarter of each calendar year following finalization and approval by the full Board (for awards granted prior to 2014) of the one-year, two-year cumulative and three-year cumulative financial goal(s) for the next three-year performance period. Starting with the 2014 awards and continuing with the 2015 awards, performance goal attainment will be based on the achievement of the specific Company quantitative goals for the respective aggregate three-year performance period as approved by the full Board.

The threshold, target and superior performance levels are the same for each of the NEOs. For the 2013 performance cycle, actual adjusted free cash flow and actual unlevered cash return on assets achieved must be at least 90% of the target goal in order to generate a threshold level payout equal to 75% of the target award for each executive. Adjusted free cash flow and unlevered cash return one-year, two-year cumulative, and three-year cumulative financial target goals and actual results for the performance periods beginning in 2013 are shown in the table below:

Performance Cycle	Threshold Performance Level	Cumulative Target	Superior Performance Level	Actual Results (*)	Percentage of Target (a)
2013-2015					
2013	15.5%	17.0%	18.5%	16.7%	95%
2013 - 2014	15.5%	17.0%	18.5%	18.4%	147%
2013 - 2015	15.5%	17.0%	18.5%	17.8%	127%

(a) The maximum payout on any interim performance cycle is 100%; the maximum payout for the full 3-year performance cycle is 150%

Actual free cash flow was adjusted for special items not contemplated when the cumulative three-year target was approved by the Compensation Committee. Similarly, unlevered cash flows were adjusted for special items not contemplated when the cumulative three-year target was approved by the Compensation Committee. For the 2014 three-year performance cycle ending December 31, 2016 and the 2015 three-year performance cycle ending December 31, 2017, strategic revenue, Adjusted EBITDA and unlevered cash return on assets are equally weighted. For strategic revenue and Adjusted EBITDA, achievement must be at least 95% of the target goal in order to generate a threshold level payout equal to 50% of the target award for each executive. For unlevered cash return on asset for the 2014-2016 and 2015-2017 performance cycles, achievement must be at least 16.0% in order to generate a threshold level payout equal to 75% of the target award for each executive. The final payout calculation for the 2014-2016 and 2015-2017 performance cycles is subject to a +/- 15% adjustment based on the Company's Total Shareholder Return ("TSR") over the three-year performance period compared to the Russell 2000 Index. Achievement less than the 35th percentile of the Russell 2000 Index will result in a 15% reduction while achievement greater than the 65th percentile will result in a 15% increase. For TSR results greater than the 35th percentile and less than the 65th percentile of the Russell 2000 index, the +/- 15% adjustment will be determined based on interpolation.

Benefits

NEOs hired prior to January 1, 2009 participate in the Cincinnati Bell Management Pension Plan (the "Management Pension Plan") as all other eligible salaried and certain non-union hourly employees. The Management Pension Plan is a qualified defined benefit plan with a nonqualified provision that applies to the extent that eligible earnings or benefits exceed the applicable Internal Revenue Code limits for qualified plans. The Company makes all required contributions to this plan. However, as described on page 37, the Management Pension Plan is now frozen and no further credits, other than interest, are made to the plan. The executives, along with all other salaried employees, also participate in a 401(k) savings plan, which includes a Company matching contribution feature that vests 100% of such matching contributions in the employee's account as they are made to the plan.

The value of the Company's retirement program is not considered in any of the compensation decisions made with respect to other elements of NEO compensation, because the Company believes that the alignment of the interests of executives and shareholders is most effectively accomplished through its short- and long-term incentive compensation programs.

Compensation Determination Process

Role of the Compensation Committee and Management in Recommending Compensation

As described in greater detail below, individual base salaries, annual cash incentive awards and long-term incentive grant amounts are determined within the framework of the executive's position and responsibility, individual performance and future leadership potential, as determined by the Chief Executive Officer in consultation with the Compensation Committee, or by the Compensation Committee and the full Board in the case of the Chief Executive Officer, as well as with regard to the external marketplace.

The Chief Executive Officer presents compensation recommendations for the senior executives, including the other NEOs, to the Compensation Committee for its review and approval. The Compensation Committee evaluates the performance of the Chief Executive Officer, determines his compensation, and discusses its recommendation with the Board in executive session before the Board grants its approval.

Determination of the Target Compensation Levels

In determining pay levels, the Company established a philosophy to target each component - base salary, target bonus and target long-term incentive - at the market 50th percentile appropriate to the revenue size of the Company. In implementing this philosophy, the Compensation Committee considers and evaluates the following information:

- An annual study of market compensation practices conducted by Willis Towers Watson, the Company's compensation consultant, at the Company's request, whereby it obtains, compiles and supplies to the Company and the Compensation Committee competitive compensation information concerning the companies in each of the two peer groups described below.

- Pay practices for executive officers of a peer group consisting of 126 companies across various industries with annual revenue between \$1 billion and \$3 billion (the "General Industry Peer Group"). The list of these companies is set forth in Schedule 1 attached to this proxy statement. These companies were chosen because they have annual revenue that is closely aligned with the Company's revenue size, and they provide the Company and the Compensation Committee with insight into general industry executive compensation practices. Since executive compensation correlates a company's annual revenue (i.e., the higher a company's revenue, generally the higher the executive's market compensation), to neutralize this effect, the Company, in consultation with Willis Towers Watson, uses a statistical technique called "regression analysis¹." Effective January 28, 2014, the Compensation Committee approved the use of the General Industry Peer Group information as the primary source for market competitive assessments of NEO pay levels. The key reasons for this change are:

The ever-changing landscape of the telecommunications industry and the difficulty in assessing year-over-year changes in executive compensation within these companies due to mergers, acquisitions, etc.

The lack of a sufficient number of suitable telecommunications companies within the Willis Towers Watson database to secure adequate pay survey data, resulting in the need to use proxy data for some telecommunications companies, and

The absence of pay data in the proxies for certain NEO positions.

- Pay practices for executive officers of a peer group consisting of 18 telecommunications companies (the "Telecommunications Peer Group"). The list of these companies is set forth in Schedule 2 attached to this proxy statement. Because of the reasons noted above, the Compensation Committee uses the information about the Telecommunications Peer Group as a secondary source for monitoring compensation trends as a check to make certain that using the General Industry Peer Group data for comparative analysis does not cause an aberration of the Company's executive compensation at the 50th percentile.

- The Compensation Committee annually reviews the list of companies in each peer group to make certain the list is appropriate, and, after review, the Compensation Committee approved the Telecommunications Peer Group. The Telecommunications Peer Group used in 2015 is shown in Schedule 2. For 2014, the Telecommunications Peer Group included AT&T, Comcast, Verizon and Sprint and did not include Atlantic Tele-Network or General Communications.

¹ Linear regression analysis is a statistical tool for determining the relationship between a dependent variable (in this case, target compensation levels) and an independent variable (in this case, revenue). The technique correlates median predicted pay for companies by taking into consideration their revenues (i.e., smaller revenue companies would have pay predicted based on their revenues rather than by a simple median of pay for all companies in the General Industry Peer Group). For each executive position whose compensation is assessed and set by the Compensation Committee (or the full Board, in the case of the Chief Executive Officer), Willis Towers Watson produces a predicted level for each pay component at the 50th percentile of companies based on Cincinnati Bell's revenues. The use of regression analysis allows the Compensation Committee to compare each executive's pay, both by pay component and in total, to the market 50th percentile of similar revenue-sized companies

- To provide additional context for the Compensation Committee in making its decisions, the Compensation Committee reviews "tally sheets" prepared for each of the executives. Tally sheets provide the Compensation Committee with detailed information, as of a given date, about each executive's current compensation (including the value of any applicable benefit programs) and wealth accumulation, including the value of accrued and vested pay, such as shares of Company stock, vested stock options and other equity awards owned by the executive, the value of any retirement benefits provided by the Company and any pay and benefits triggered under a variety of employment termination scenarios.

- Input from the Compensation Committee's independent compensation consultant, Mr. Charles J. Mazza.

- Input from Company management (primarily the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer) and the Company's independent compensation consultant, Willis Towers Watson.

- Each NEO's individual performance and current/future potential with the Company.

The Compensation Committee considers, as one of the many factors, each component of executive officer compensation compared to the revenue size-adjusted market 50th percentile for two reasons:

- Benchmarking target compensation at the 50th percentile is consistent with the practice followed by a majority of companies and is considered "best practice," and

- Above-median compensation should be on a delivered actual basis, rather than a target basis, for overachievement of target performance goals consistent with the Company's pay-for-performance philosophy.

In determining the appropriate compensation levels in a particular year, the Company evaluates the following from both peer groups' data:

- Base salary;

- Total target cash compensation - the sum of base salary plus target annual bonus opportunity; and

- Total target direct compensation - the sum of base salary plus target annual bonus opportunity plus target long-term incentive opportunity.

The Compensation Committee compares each NEO's pay, both by pay component and in total, to the market 50th percentile of similar revenue-sized companies set forth in the peer groups. The Company does not review pay levels at individual companies or the specific structure of other companies' short- or long-term incentive plans. Instead, the Compensation Committee considers the predicted pay levels in both peer groups as an indication of market pay practice relating to each pay component and the relevant mixture among pay components. Thus, the Compensation Committee is able to validate that each NEO's compensation package is market competitive and that an appropriate portion of it is "at risk;" that is, subject to payment only if the Company attains certain quantitative results and the individual achieves certain qualitative results.

For 2015, the charts below reflect that each executive has a significant percentage of compensation "at risk" as it reflects the allocation of total target direct compensation among base salary, annual bonus and long-term incentive compensation.

Based on market practices, combined with the Compensation Committee members' collective experience, the Compensation Committee believes that the foregoing allocation of pay among base salary and short- and long-term incentive compensation provides appropriate motivation to achieve objectives set for the current year while also providing a significant incentive that requires the executives to make decisions that are intended to sustain attainment of business objectives over the longer term.

Role of Compensation Consultants

Both the Compensation Committee and the Company have engaged a consultant to advise on compensation-related matters. Neither the Compensation Committee nor the Company has identified any conflicts of interest with respect to their respective compensation consultant that would impair the advice provided by such compensation consultant.

The Compensation Committee retains Mr. Charles J. Mazza, an independent compensation consultant, who performs no other services for the Company or its management, to assist in its deliberations regarding executive compensation. Pursuant to the Committee's instructions, Mr. Mazza analyzes and comments on various compensation proposals made by the Company and on various topics specified by the Committee and opines and reports on these matters in open sessions of Compensation Committee meetings. In executive sessions of the Compensation Committee meetings, Mr. Mazza addresses subjects of particular interest to the Compensation Committee, such as compensation of the Chief Executive Officer, and presents his analysis of such subjects including the pros and cons of certain compensation elements and his recommendations. Pursuant to the Compensation Committee Chair's request, Mr. Mazza contacts each member of the Compensation Committee annually as part of the Compensation Committee's self-evaluation and reports his conclusions to the Compensation Committee.

The Company retains Willis Towers Watson to assist with various compensation-related projects during the course of the year. Typically, the Company has a discussion with Willis Towers Watson about a project, outlining the project's objectives, and discusses Willis Towers Watson's approach to the project before requesting them to complete the project. The projects range from requests for general compensation data or information to requests for specific guidance and recommendations, such as designing specific incentive plans.

Other Compensation Policies

Stock Ownership Guidelines

The Compensation Committee recognizes that executive stock ownership is an important means of aligning the interests of the Company's executives with those of its shareholders. In May, 2014, the Compensation Committee approved an increase in the stock ownership guidelines for the NEOs as follows:

Chief Executive Officer - increased from 3 times base salary to 5 times base salary (as adjusted each year)

Other NEOs - increased from 1.5 times base salary to 2 times base salary (as adjusted each year)

The Compensation Committee established May 2019 as the target date for the NEOs to reach the new guidelines. To the extent possible, future long-term incentive awards will be made in shares based on share availability to assist the executives in meeting the guidelines. Aside from the Company's actual performance from one year to the next, the price of the Company's stock may vary due to the general condition of the economy and the stock market. Therefore, the Compensation Committee may measure an executive's progress more on the basis of the year-over-year increase in the number of shares owned rather than the overall market value of the shares owned in relation to the executive's ownership goal. For purposes of measuring ownership, only shares owned outright or beneficially by the executive (including shares owned by the executive's spouse or dependent children and shares owned through the Company's savings plan or deferred compensation plan) are included. Shares represented by unvested stock options or any other form of equity for which a performance or vesting condition remains to be completed before the executive earns a right to and receives the shares (except for shares that have been electively deferred to a future date) are not counted in determining the executive's level of ownership.

Using the new stock ownership guidelines, as of February 29, 2016, Mr. Torbeck, owned shares valued at approximately 95% of his ownership target; Mr. Fox has achieved approximately 37% of his ownership goal; Mr. Simpson has achieved 5% of his ownership goal; Mr. Wilson has achieved approximately 94% of his ownership goal; and Mr. Duckworth has achieved approximately 9% of his ownership goal.

Prohibition on Hedging and Pledging

The Company's Insider Trading Policy expressly prohibits ownership of derivative financial instruments or participation in investment strategies that hedge the economic risk of owning the Company's common stock and prohibits officers and directors from pledging Company securities as collateral for loans.

Employment Agreements, Severance and Change in Control Payments and Benefits

The Company generally enters into employment agreements with the named executive officers for several reasons. Employment agreements give the Company flexibility to make changes in key executive positions with or without a showing of cause, if terminating the executive is determined by the Company or the Board to be in the best interests of the Company. The agreements also minimize the potential for litigation by establishing separation terms in advance and requiring that any dispute be resolved through an arbitration process. The severance, change in control payments and benefits provided under the employment agreements as described in more detail beginning on page 41 are important to ensure the retention of the NEOs.

Depending on the circumstances of their termination, the NEOs are eligible to receive severance benefits in the form of a multiple of annual base salary as a lump sum payment, continued access to certain Company-provided benefits for a defined period post-employment, healthcare benefits and accelerated vesting of all equity as determined by the provisions in their employment agreements, which are discussed in detail starting on page 37. Under a dismissal without cause or constructive discharge following a change of control, the Company provides the severance benefits because it serves the best interest of the Company and its shareholders to have executives focus on the business merits of possible change in control situations without undue concern for their personal financial outcome. In the case of a without cause termination or constructive discharge absent a change in control, the Company believes it is appropriate to provide severance at these levels to ensure the financial security of these executives, particularly in view of the non-compete provisions which state that, for 12 months following termination, the executive will not compete with the Company or solicit customers or employees of the Company. Because these potential payments are triggered under very specific circumstances, such payments are not considered in setting pay or other elements of executive compensation. The Compensation Committee has a policy that the Company will not enter into any new or materially amended employment agreements with NEOs providing for excise tax gross-up provisions with respect to payments contingent upon a change in control, and no NEO has an excise tax gross-up provision.

Adjustments and Recovery of Award Payments and Clawback Policy

The Company is subject to the requirements of Section 304 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Therefore, if the Company were required to restate its financial results due to any material noncompliance of the Company, as a result of misconduct, with any financial reporting requirement under the securities laws, the Securities and Exchange Commission could act to recover from the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer any bonus or other incentive-based or equity-based compensation received during the 12-month period following the date the applicable financial statements were issued and any profits from any sale of securities of the Company during that 12-month period.

In addition, the Board has adopted an interim executive compensation recoupment/clawback policy with the intention that the policy will be modified when final regulations required by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act") are adopted by the SEC. The policy was effective as of January 1, 2011, for any current executive officer or former executive officer that terminates employment after January 1, 2011 and applies to cash and equity-based compensation that is approved, granted or awarded on or after January 1, 2011. The policy allows the Company to recover incentive payments to, or realized by, certain executive officers in the event that the incentive compensation was based on the achievement of financial results that were subsequently restated to correct any accounting error due to material noncompliance with any financial reporting requirement under federal securities laws and such restatement results in a lower payment or award.

Compensation Limitation

Section 162(m) of the Code generally limits to \$1,000,000 the available deduction to the Company for compensation paid to any of the Company's NEOs, excluding the Chief Financial Officer, except for performance-based compensation that meets certain requirements. Although the Compensation Committee considers the anticipated tax treatment to the Company of its compensation payments, the Compensation Committee has determined that it will not necessarily seek to limit executive compensation to amounts deductible under Section 162(m) of the Code.

Any general statement that incorporates this Proxy Statement into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 shall not be deemed to incorporate by reference this Compensation Committee Report on Executive Compensation and related disclosure. Except to the extent the Company specifically incorporates such Report and related disclosure by reference, this information shall not otherwise be deemed to have been filed under such Acts.

Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis included in the Proxy Statement with management. Based on our review and discussions with management, we have recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement and incorporated by reference in Cincinnati Bell Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

Craig F. Maier, Chairman

Phillip R. Cox

John W. Eck

Jakki L. Haussler

Lynn A. Wentworth

Compensation Tables

Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth information concerning the compensation of any person who served as the principal executive officer (Theodore H. Torbeck) or principal financial officer (Leigh R. Fox) during the year ended December 31, 2015, the three most highly compensated persons who served as executive officers (Christopher J. Wilson, Joshua T. Duckworth and Thomas E. Simpson) at the end of the year ended December 31, 2015 (collectively, the “NEOs”).

Summary Compensation Table — Fiscal 2015

Name, Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$) (a)	Option Awards (\$) (b)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$) (c)	Change in Pension Value and Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$) (d)	All Other Compensation (\$) (e)	Total (\$)
Theodore H. Torbeck (f)	2015	775,000	—	1,750,000	—	951,080	—	9,805	3,485,885
President and Chief Executive Officer	2014	750,000	—	1,650,000	—	928,800	—	10,200	3,339,000
	2013	746,954	—	1,150,000	250,000	3,181,790	—	10,000	5,338,744
Leigh R. Fox (g)	2015	385,000	—	375,000	—	472,472	(8,413)	10,400	1,234,459
Chief Financial Officer	2014	350,000	—	350,000	—	497,031	29,072	10,200	1,236,303
	2013	303,846	—	286,500	—	1,056,876	(22,420)	3,566	1,628,368
Thomas E. Simpson (h)	2015	370,000	—	200,000	—	248,835	(7,038)	468	812,265
Chief Technology Officer	2014	241,778	—	—	—	145,051	26,870	7,139	420,838
Christopher J. Wilson	2015	353,600	—	320,000	—	398,578	(22,106)	10,400	1,060,472
Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary	2014	353,600	—	—	—	252,456	95,689	10,200	711,945
	2013	353,600	—	—	—	—	—	—	—