DREYFUS MUNICIPAL INCOME INC

Form N-CSR/A

February 21, 2007

**UNITED STATES** 

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR/A

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT

INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-05652

DREYFUS MUNICIPAL INCOME, INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in charter)

c/o The Dreyfus Corporation

200 Park Avenue

New York, New York 10166

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

Mark N. Jacobs, Esq.

200 Park Avenue

New York, New York 10166

(Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (212) 922-6000

Date of fiscal year end:

Date of reporting period:

9/30

9/30/06

FORM N-CSR

Item 1. Reports to Stockholders.

### Dreyfus Municipal Income, Inc.

### **Protecting Your Privacy Our Pledge to You**

**THE FUND IS COMMITTED TO YOUR PRIVACY.** On this page, you will find the Fund□s policies and practices for collecting, disclosing, and safeguarding □nonpublic personal information,□ which may include financial or other customer information. These policies apply to individuals who purchase Fund shares for personal, family, or household purposes, or have done so in the past. This notification replaces all previous statements of the Fund□s consumer privacy policy, and may be amended at any time. We□ll keep you informed of changes as required by law.

**YOUR ACCOUNT IS PROVIDED IN A SECURE ENVIRONMENT.** The Fund maintains physical, electronic and procedural safeguards that comply with federal regulations to guard nonpublic personal information. The Fund sagents and service providers have limited access to customer information based on their role in servicing your account.

#### THE FUND COLLECTS INFORMATION IN ORDER TO SERVICE AND ADMINISTER YOUR ACCOUNT.

The Fund collects a variety of nonpublic personal information, which may include:

- Information we receive from you, such as your name, address, and social security number.
- Information about your transactions with us, such as the purchase or sale of Fund shares.
- Information we receive from agents and service providers, such as proxy voting information.

### THE FUND DOES NOT SHARE NONPUBLIC

### PERSONAL INFORMATION WITH ANYONE, EXCEPT AS PERMITTED BY LAW.

### Thank you for this opportunity to serve you.

The views expressed in this report reflect those of the portfolio manager only through the end of the period covered and do not necessarily represent the views of Dreyfus or any other person in the Dreyfus organization. Any such views are subject to change at any time based upon market or other conditions and Dreyfus disclaims any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied on as investment advice and, because investment decisions for a Dreyfus fund are based on numerous factors, may not be relied on as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any Dreyfus fund.

Not FDIC-Insured ☐ Not Bank-Guaranteed ☐ May Lose Value

# Contents

### THE FUND

	<del></del> -
2	Letter from the Chairman
3	Discussion of Fund Performance
6	Selected Information
7	Statement of Investments
19	Statement of Assets and Liabilities
20	Statement of Operations
21	Statement of Changes in Net Assets
22	Financial Highlights
24	Notes to Financial Statements
31	Report of Independent Registered
	Public Accounting Firm
33	Additional Information
36	Important Tax Information
36	Proxy Results
37	Information About the Review and Approval
	of the Fund□s Management Agreement
41	Board Members Information
43	Officers of the Fund
45	Officers and Directors
	FOR MORE INFORMATION

**Back Cover** 

### Dreyfus Municipal Income, Inc.

# The Fund

### LETTER FROM THE CHAIRMAN

Dear Shareholder:

We are pleased to present this annual report for Dreyfus Municipal Income, Inc., covering the 12-month period from October 1, 2005, through September 30, 2006.

After more than two years of steady and gradual increases, the Federal Reserve Board (the [Fed]) held short-term interest rates unchanged at its meetings in August and September. The Fed has indicated that the U.S. economy has moved to a slower-growth phase of its cycle, as evidenced by softening housing markets in many regions of the United States. Yet, energy prices have moderated from record highs, calming fears that the economy may fall into a full-blown recession.

Most sectors of the U.S. fixed-income market rallied in anticipation of and in response to the pause in the Fed\stightening campaign, including municipal bonds. Investors apparently are optimistic that higher borrowing costs and moderating home values may wring current inflationary pressures from the economy. In addition, most states and municipalities have continued to report higher-than-expected tax receipts as a result of the recovering economy, helping to support the credit quality of many municipal bond issuers. As always, we encourage you to talk with your financial advisor about these and other developments to help ensure that your portfolio remains aligned with your current tax-managed needs and future investment goals.

For information about how the fund performed during the reporting period, as well as market perspectives, we have provided a Discussion of Fund Performance given by the fund  $\square$ s portfolio manager.

Thank you for your continued confidence and support.

2

### **DISCUSSION OF FUND PERFORMANCE**

Joseph P. Darcy, Senior Portfolio Manager

### How did Dreyfus Municipal Income perform during the reporting period?

For the 12-month period ended September 30, 2006, the fund achieved a total return of 5.65% (on a net asset value basis). During the same period, the fund provided income dividends of \$0.52 per share, which is equal to a distribution rate of 5.63%. 2

After occasional bouts of weakness earlier in the reporting period, municipal bonds rallied during the summer of 2006 as inflation and interest-rate concerns eased. However, rising short-term interest rates earlier in the reporting period resulted in higher borrowing costs for the fund and some of the fund seasoned, higher-coupon holdings were redeemed early by their issuers, which eroded the fund income. Consequently, the fund six dividend was reduced in February 2006.

#### What is the fund\( \sigma \) investment approach?

The fund seeks to maximize current income exempt from federal income tax to the extent consistent with the preservation of capital from a portfolio that, under normal market conditions, invests at least 80% of the value of its net assets in municipal obligations. Under normal market conditions, the fund invests in municipal obligations which, at the time of purchase, are rated investment-grade or the unrated equivalent as determined by Dreyfus in the case of bonds, and rated in the two highest rating categories or the unrated equivalent as determined by Dreyfus in the case of short-term obligations having, or deemed to have, maturities of less than one year.

We have constructed a portfolio by looking for income opportunities through analysis of each bond structure, including paying close attention to a bond syield, maturity and early redemption features. Over time, many of the fund srelatively higher yielding bonds mature or are

The Fund 3

#### DISCUSSION OF FUND PERFORMANCE (continued)

redeemed by their issuers, and we generally attempt to replace those bonds with investments consistent with the fund[s investment policies, albeit with yields that reflect the then-current interest-rate environment. When we believe that an opportunity presents itself, we seek to upgrade the portfolio[s investments with bonds that, in our opinion, have better structural or income characteristics than existing holdings. When such opportunities arise, we usually will look to sell bonds that are close to redemption or maturity.

### What other factors influenced the fund s performance?

Early in the reporting period, the U.S. economy continued to grow robustly despite headwinds such as volatile energy prices and rising interest rates. Still, at the time, fixed-income investors appeared relatively unconcerned about inflation, helping to support returns in the municipal bond market.

Investor sentiment appeared to change in the spring of 2006, when hawkish comments by members of the Federal Reserve Board (the <code>[Fed[]</code>) and rising energy prices raised renewed inflation concerns and sparked heightened market volatility. Inflation fears subsequently eased over the summer, when evidence of softening housing markets and less impressive employment gains suggested that the rate of economic growth might be moderating. The Fed lent credence to this view when it refrained from raising short-term interest rates further at its August and September meetings, the first pauses in its tightening campaign in more than two years. As a result of these factors, municipal bonds rallied over the summer, enabling the fund to post a solidly positive total return for the reporting period overall.

Supply-and-demand factors also supported municipal bond prices. Many states and municipalities have taken in more tax revenue than they originally projected, reducing their need to borrow. As a result, municipal bond issuance volume declined compared to the same period one year earlier, even as investor demand remained robust from individuals and institutions seeking competitive levels of tax-exempt

4

income. Consequently, investors have had more difficulty finding bonds that meet their criteria, especially in many of the higher tax states.

Throughout the reporting period, the fund continued to receive attractive levels of current income from its core holdings of seasoned securities. In addition, when making new purchases, we attempted to maximize the fund income by emphasizing premium-structured bonds with long-term maturities, including investment-grade bonds issued on behalf of health care facilities and securities backed by clearly identified sources of tax revenue. However, some of the fund higher-coupon holdings were redeemed early by their issuers, and we were unable to replace them with bonds with similar income characteristics. In addition, the fund income stream was reduced by higher borrowing costs related to its leveraging strategy. The fund consequently required an adjustment to its dividend in February 2006.

### What is the fund s current strategy?

In our view, the prospect of slower economic growth, benign inflation and favorable supply-and-demand dynamics may help support municipal bond prices over the foreseeable future. Accordingly, we generally have maintained the fund socus on long-term, income-oriented municipal securities.

### October 16, 2006

- Total return includes reinvestment of dividends and any capital gains paid, based upon net asset value per share. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Market price per share, net asset value per share and investment return fluctuate. Income may be subject to state and local taxes, and some income may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax (AMT) for certain investors. Capital gains, if any, are fully taxable.
- Distribution rate per share is based upon dividends per share paid from net investment income during the period, divided by the market price per share at the end of the period.

The Fund 5

#### STATEMENTSELECTED INFORMATIONOF INVESTMENTS

September 30, 2006 (Unaudited)

Market Price per share September 30, 2006 \$9.17
Shares Outstanding September 30, 2006 20,589,320
American Stock Exchange Ticker Symbol DMF

### **MARKET PRICE (AMERICAN STOCK EXCHANGE)**

Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2006

	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	
	Ended	Ended	Ended	Ended	
	December 31, 2005	March 31, 2006	June 30, 2006	September 30, 2006	
High	\$9.35	\$9.31	\$8.94	\$9.17	
Low	8.58	8.80	8.57	8.65	
Close	8.90	8.91	8.63	9.17	

### PERCENTAGE GAIN (LOSS) based on change in Market Price\*

October 24, 1988 (commencement of operations)	
through September 30, 2006	212.02%
October 1, 1996 through September 30, 2006	84.02
October 1, 2001 through September 30, 2006	46.85
October 1, 2005 through September 30, 2006	3.86
January 1, 2006 through September 30, 2006	7.41
April 1, 2006 through September 30, 2006	5.78
July 1, 2006 through September 30, 2006	7.70

### **NET ASSET VALUE PER SHARE**

October 24, 1988 (commencement of operations)	\$ 9.26
September 30, 2005	9.68
December 31, 2005	9.63
March 31, 2006	9.56
June 30, 2006	9.42
September 30, 2006	9.66

### PERCENTAGE GAIN (LOSS) based on change in Net Asset Value\*

October 24, 1988 (commencement of operations)

through September 30, 2006	254.88%
October 1, 1996 through September 30, 2006	93.05
October 1, 2001 through September 30, 2006	39.45
October 1, 2005 through September 30, 2006	5.65
January 1, 2006 through September 30, 2006	4.55
April 1, 2006 through September 30, 2006	3.84
July 1, 2006 through September 30, 2006	3.92

<sup>\*</sup> With dividends reinvested.

#### 6

### STATEMENT OF INVESTMENTS

September 30, 2006

Long-Term Municipal	Coupon	Maturity	Principal	
Investments 157.4%	Rate (%)	Date	Amount (\$)	Value (\$)
Alabama <b>□8.7</b> %				
Jefferson County,				
Limited Obligation School				
Warrants	5.50	1/1/21	4,000,000	4,354,640
Jefferson County,				
Sewer Revenue, Capital				
Improvement (Insured; FGIC)	5.75	2/1/09	7,500,000 <sup>a</sup>	7,941,450
The Board of Trustees of the				
University of Alabama, HR				
(University of Alabama at				
Birmingham) (Insured; MBIA)	5.88	9/1/10	4,620,000 <sup>a</sup>	5,052,478
Alaska <b></b> 3.5%				
Alaska Housing Finance Corp.,				
General Mortgage Revenue				
(Insured; MBIA)	6.05	6/1/39	6,845,000	7,053,225
Arkansas□1.5%				
Independence County.				

Independence County,

PCR (Entergy Arkansas Inc.				
Project)	5.00	1/1/21	3,000,000	3,071,070
California□13.5%				
ABAG Financial Authority for				
Nonprofit Corps., Insured				
Revenue, COP (Odd Fellows				
Home of California)	6.00	8/15/24	5,000,000	5,128,850
California Department of Veteran				
Affairs, Home Purchase Revenue	5.20	12/1/28	5,000,000	5,003,250
California Educational Facilities				
Authority, Revenue				
(Mills College)	5.00	9/1/34	2,000,000	2,056,760
California Health Facilities				
Financing Authority, Revenue				
(Sutter Health)	6.25	8/15/35	2,500,000	2,756,075
California Housing Finance Agency,				
Home Mortgage Revenue	4.80	8/1/36	2,500,000	2,516,125
California Statewide Communities				
Development Authority, COP				
(Catholic Healthcare West)	6.50	7/1/10	3,545,000 <sup>a</sup>	3,946,436

The Fund 7

### ${\tt STATEMENT} \ OF \ {\tt INVESTMENTS} \ (continued)$

Long-Term Municipal	Coupon	Maturity	Principal	
Investments (continued)	Rate (%)	Date	Amount (\$)	Value (\$)
California (continued)				
California Statewide Communities				
Development Authority, COP				
(Catholic Healthcare West)	6.50	7/1/20	1,455,000	1,596,848
Golden State Tobacco				
Securitization Corp., Tobacco				
Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds	7.80	6/1/42	3,000,000	3,662,550
Colorado□10.6%				
Colorado Springs,				
HR	6.38	12/15/10	2,835,000 <sup>a</sup>	3,156,999
Colorado Springs,				
HR	6.38	12/15/30	2,890,000	3,152,007
Denver City and County,				
Special Facilities Airport				
Revenue (United Airlines				
Project)	6.88	10/1/32	2,480,000 <sup>b</sup>	3,168,200
University of Northern Colorado				
Board of Trustees, Auxiliary				

Facilities System Revenue (Insured; FSA)  District of Columbia 1.6%	6.02		6/1/35	11,000,000 <sup>c,d</sup>	11,588,500
District of Columbia,					
Revenue (Catholic University					
America Project)					
(Insured; AMBAC)	5.63		10/1/29	2,080,000	2,206,048
District of Columbia Housing					
Finance Agency, SFMR					
(Collateralized: FHA, FNMA,					
GNMA and GIC; Trinity Funding)	7.45		12/1/30	895,000	901,328
Florida 1.4%					
Orange County Health Facilities					
Authority, HR (Orlando					
Regional Healthcare System)	6.00		10/1/09	30,000 <sup>a</sup>	32,281
Orange County Health Facilities					
Authority, HR (Orlando					
Regional Healthcare System)	6.00		10/1/26	1,470,000	1,560,376
South Lake County Hospital					
District, Revenue (South Lake					
Hospital Inc.)	5.80		10/1/34	1,095,000	1,143,552
8					
Long-Term Municipal		Coupon	Maturity	Dulu -lu - I	
zong reim mameipai		Coupon	Maturity	Principal	
Investments (continued)	_	Rate (%)	Date	Amount (\$)	Value (\$)
	_				Value (\$)
Investments (continued)	-				Value (\$)
Investments (continued)  Georgia [].5%	_				Value (\$)
Georgia .5% Milledgeville and Baldwin County	-				Value (\$)
Georgia .5% Milledgeville and Baldwin County Development Authority, Revenue	-				Value (\$)
Georgia .5% Milledgeville and Baldwin County Development Authority, Revenue (Georgia College and State	-				Value (\$)
Georgia .5% Milledgeville and Baldwin County Development Authority, Revenue (Georgia College and State University Foundation Property	-				Value (\$)
Georgia .5% Milledgeville and Baldwin County Development Authority, Revenue (Georgia College and State University Foundation Property III, LLC Student Housing	-	Rate (%)	Date	Amount (\$)	
Georgia .5%  Milledgeville and Baldwin County  Development Authority, Revenue  (Georgia College and State  University Foundation Property  III, LLC Student Housing  System Project)	_	Rate (%)	Date	Amount (\$)	
Georgia .5%  Milledgeville and Baldwin County Development Authority, Revenue (Georgia College and State University Foundation Property III, LLC Student Housing System Project) Illinois 10.4%	_	Rate (%)	Date	Amount (\$)	
Investments (continued)  Georgia .5%  Milledgeville and Baldwin County  Development Authority, Revenue  (Georgia College and State  University Foundation Property  III, LLC Student Housing  System Project)  Illinois 10.4%  Chicago	_	Rate (%) 5.25	9/1/19	Amount (\$)	1,064,420
Georgia .5%  Milledgeville and Baldwin County Development Authority, Revenue (Georgia College and State University Foundation Property III, LLC Student Housing System Project) Illinois 10.4% Chicago (Insured; FGIC)	-	Rate (%) 5.25	9/1/19	Amount (\$)	1,064,420
Georgia .5%  Milledgeville and Baldwin County Development Authority, Revenue (Georgia College and State University Foundation Property III, LLC Student Housing System Project) Illinois 10.4% Chicago (Insured; FGIC) Chicago	-	5.25 6.13	9/1/19 7/1/10	1,000,000 3,685,000 <sup>a</sup>	1,064,420
Investments (continued)  Georgia[].5%  Milledgeville and Baldwin County Development Authority, Revenue (Georgia College and State University Foundation Property III, LLC Student Housing System Project) Illinois[]10.4% Chicago (Insured; FGIC) Chicago (Insured; FGIC)	_	5.25 6.13	9/1/19 7/1/10	1,000,000 3,685,000 <sup>a</sup>	1,064,420
Georgia .5%  Milledgeville and Baldwin County Development Authority, Revenue (Georgia College and State University Foundation Property III, LLC Student Housing System Project) Illinois 10.4% Chicago (Insured; FGIC) Chicago (Insured; FGIC) Illinois Development Finance	-	5.25 6.13	9/1/19 7/1/10	1,000,000 3,685,000 <sup>a</sup>	1,064,420
Investments (continued)  Georgia .5%  Milledgeville and Baldwin County Development Authority, Revenue (Georgia College and State University Foundation Property III, LLC Student Housing System Project) Illinois 10.4% Chicago (Insured; FGIC) Chicago (Insured; FGIC) Illinois Development Finance Authority, Revenue (Community	_	5.25 6.13	9/1/19 7/1/10	1,000,000 3,685,000 <sup>a</sup>	1,064,420
Investments (continued)  Georgia .5%  Milledgeville and Baldwin County Development Authority, Revenue (Georgia College and State University Foundation Property III, LLC Student Housing System Project) Illinois 10.4% Chicago (Insured; FGIC) Chicago (Insured; FGIC) Illinois Development Finance Authority, Revenue (Community Rehabilitation Providers		5.25 6.13 6.13	9/1/19 7/1/10 7/1/10	1,000,000 3,685,000 <sup>a</sup> 315,000 <sup>a</sup>	1,064,420 4,048,599 346,081
Georgia .5%  Milledgeville and Baldwin County Development Authority, Revenue (Georgia College and State University Foundation Property III, LLC Student Housing System Project) Illinois 10.4% Chicago (Insured; FGIC) Chicago (Insured; FGIC) Illinois Development Finance Authority, Revenue (Community Rehabilitation Providers Facilities Acquisition Program)		5.25 6.13 6.13	9/1/19 7/1/10 7/1/10	1,000,000 3,685,000 <sup>a</sup> 315,000 <sup>a</sup>	1,064,420 4,048,599 346,081
Georgia .5%  Milledgeville and Baldwin County Development Authority, Revenue (Georgia College and State University Foundation Property III, LLC Student Housing System Project) Illinois 10.4% Chicago (Insured; FGIC) Chicago (Insured; FGIC) Illinois Development Finance Authority, Revenue (Community Rehabilitation Providers Facilities Acquisition Program) Illinois Health Facilities		5.25 6.13 6.13	9/1/19 7/1/10 7/1/10	1,000,000 3,685,000 <sup>a</sup> 315,000 <sup>a</sup>	1,064,420 4,048,599 346,081
Investments (continued)  Georgia .5%  Milledgeville and Baldwin County Development Authority, Revenue (Georgia College and State University Foundation Property III, LLC Student Housing System Project) Illinois 10.4% Chicago (Insured; FGIC) Chicago (Insured; FGIC) Illinois Development Finance Authority, Revenue (Community Rehabilitation Providers Facilities Acquisition Program) Illinois Health Facilities Authority, Revenue (Advocate		5.25 6.13 6.13	9/1/19 7/1/10 7/1/10	1,000,000 3,685,000 <sup>a</sup> 315,000 <sup>a</sup>	1,064,420 4,048,599 346,081 65,667
Georgia .5%  Milledgeville and Baldwin County Development Authority, Revenue (Georgia College and State University Foundation Property III, LLC Student Housing System Project) Illinois 10.4% Chicago (Insured; FGIC) Chicago (Insured; FGIC) Illinois Development Finance Authority, Revenue (Community Rehabilitation Providers Facilities Acquisition Program) Illinois Health Facilities Authority, Revenue (Advocate Health Care Network)	_	5.25 6.13 6.13	9/1/19 7/1/10 7/1/10	1,000,000 3,685,000 <sup>a</sup> 315,000 <sup>a</sup>	1,064,420 4,048,599 346,081 65,667

Healthcare System)	6.25	11/15/09	7,000,000 <sup>a</sup>	7,609,630
Illinois Health Facilities				
Authority, Revenue (Swedish				
American Hospital)	6.88	5/15/10	2,000,000 <sup>a</sup>	2,215,080
Indiana□1.4%				
Franklin Township School Building				
Corp., First Mortgage	6.13	7/15/10	2,500,000 <sup>a</sup>	2,771,475
Kansas∏1.3%				
Unified Government of Wyandotte				
County/Kansas City, Tax-Exempt				
Sales Tax Special Tax				
Obligation Revenue				
(Redevelopment Project Area B)	5.00	12/1/20	2,500,000	2,607,250
Maryland <b>□4.9</b> %				
Maryland Economic Development				
Corp., Student Housing Revenue				
(University of Maryland,				
College Park Project)	5.63	6/1/13	2,000,000 <sup>a</sup>	2,219,680

The Fund 9

### STATEMENT OF INVESTMENTS (continued)

Long-Term Municipal	Coupon	Maturity	Principal	
Investments (continued)	Rate (%)	Date	Amount (\$)	Value (\$)
Maryland (continued)				
Maryland Health and Higher				
Educational Facilities				
Authority, Revenue (The John				
Hopkins University Issue)	6.00	7/1/09	7,000,000 <sup>a</sup>	7,514,290
Massachusetts 19.1%				
Massachusetts Bay Transportation				
Authority, Assessment	5.00	7/1/14	5,000,000 <sup>a</sup>	5,457,400
Massachusetts Development Finance				
Agency, SWDR (Dominion Energy				
Brayton Point Issue)	5.00	2/1/36	2,000,000	2,052,260
Massachusetts Health and				
Educational Facilities				
Authority, Healthcare System				
Revenue (Covenant Health)	6.00	7/1/31	2,500,000	2,712,900
Massachusetts Housing Finance				
Agency, SFHR	5.00	12/1/31	2,500,000	2,559,875
Massachusetts Industrial Finance				
Agency, Water Treatment				
Revenue				

(Massachusetts-American				
Hingham Project)	6.95	12/1/35	5,235,000	5,274,838
Michigan <sub>□</sub> 3.5%				
Hancock Hospital Finance				
Authority, Mortgage Revenue				
(Portgage Health)				
(Insured; MBIA)	5.45	8/1/47	2,200,000	2,261,094
Michigan Strategic Fund,				
SWDR (Genesee Power				
Station Project)	7.50	1/1/21	4,785,000	4,784,569
Minnesota ☐ 1.4%				
Minnesota Agricultural and				
Economic Development Board,				
Health Care System Revenue				
(Fairview Health Care Systems)	6.38	11/15/10	2,420,000 <sup>a</sup>	2,696,219
Minnesota Agricultural and				
Economic Development Board,				
Health Care System Revenue				
(Fairview Health Care Systems)	6.38	11/15/29	80,000	