

NEXTEL PARTNERS INC

Form 10-K

March 15, 2006

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
Form 10-K**

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

**Commission file number: 000-29633
NEXTEL PARTNERS, INC.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
*(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)*

91-1930918
*(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)*

**4500 Carillon Point
Kirkland, Washington 98033
(425) 576-3600**

(Address of principal executive offices, zip code and registrant's telephone number, including area code)

**Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:
None**

**Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:
Class A Common Stock, \$0.001 par value**

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No .

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No .

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Edgar Filing: NEXTEL PARTNERS INC - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No .

Based on the closing sales price on June 30, 2005, the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$4,143,558,871.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date:

Outstanding Title of Class	Number of Shares on February 28, 2006
Class A Common Stock	208,764,059 shares
Class B Common Stock	84,632,604 shares

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE:

None.

NEXTEL PARTNERS, INC.
FORM 10-K
Table of Contents

		Page
<u>PART I</u>		
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Business</u>	3
<u>Item 1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	28
<u>Item 1B.</u>	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	40
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Properties</u>	40
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	40
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	43
<u>PART II</u>		
<u>Item 5.</u>	<u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	44
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	45
<u>Item 7.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation</u>	50
<u>Item 7A.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	72
<u>Item 8.</u>	<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	75
<u>Item 9.</u>	<u>Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	113
<u>Item 9A.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	113
<u>Item 9B.</u>	<u>Other Information</u>	113
<u>PART III</u>		
<u>Item 10.</u>	<u>Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant</u>	113
<u>Item 11.</u>	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	119
<u>Item 12.</u>	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	132
<u>Item 13.</u>	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions</u>	135
<u>Item 14.</u>	<u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	138
<u>PART IV</u>		
<u>Item 15.</u>	<u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>	141
<u>Signatures</u>		142
<u>EXHIBIT 10.14(A)</u>		
<u>EXHIBIT 10.21(A)</u>		
<u>EXHIBIT 10.66(A)</u>		
<u>EXHIBIT 10.75(A)</u>		
<u>EXHIBIT 10.80(a)</u>		
<u>EXHIBIT 10.80(b)</u>		
<u>EXHIBIT 10.82</u>		
<u>Exhibit 10.84(a)</u>		
<u>Exhibit 10.85(a)</u>		
<u>EXHIBIT 10.86</u>		
<u>EXHIBIT 21</u>		
<u>EXHIBIT 23.1</u>		
<u>EXHIBIT 31.1</u>		

EXHIBIT 31.2

EXHIBIT 32.1

EXHIBIT 32.2

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. Business

As used in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, we, us and our refer to Nextel Partners, Inc., Sprint Nextel refers to Sprint Nextel Communications, Inc. (and/or, where appropriate, its subsidiaries including Nextel, referring to Nextel Communications, Inc.) and Nextel WIP refers to Nextel WIP Corp., an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Sprint Nextel.

On August 12, 2005, Nextel merged with Sprint Corporation. The merger constituted a Nextel sale pursuant to our charter and on October 24, 2005, our Class A common stockholders voted to exercise the put right set forth in our charter, to require Nextel WIP to purchase all of our outstanding shares of Class A common stock. On December 20, 2005, we announced, along with Sprint Nextel, that the put price at which Nextel WIP will purchase our outstanding Class A common stock was determined to be \$28.50 per share. The transaction is subject to the customary regulatory approvals, including review by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) and review under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act (Hart-Scott-Rodino Act), and is expected to be completed by the end of the second quarter of 2006. On February 6, 2006, the Federal Trade Commission and the Department of Justice provided early termination of the waiting period under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Act for Sprint Nextel to purchase our outstanding Class A common stock. This Annual Report on Form 10-K relates only to Nextel Partners, Inc. and its subsidiaries prior to the consummation of the transaction.

Overview

We provide fully integrated, wireless digital communications services using the Nextel® brand name in mid-sized and rural markets throughout the United States. We offer four distinct wireless services in a single wireless handset. These services include International and Nationwide Direct Connectsm, digital cellular voice, short messaging and cellular Internet access, which provides users with wireless access to the Internet and an organization's internal databases as well as other applications, including e-mail. We hold licenses for wireless frequencies in markets where approximately 54 million people, or Pops, live and work. We have constructed and operate a digital mobile network compatible with the iDEN digital mobile network constructed by Nextel and operated by Sprint Nextel (the Nextel Digital Wireless Network) in targeted portions of these markets, including 13 of the top 100 metropolitan statistical areas and 57 of the top 200 metropolitan statistical areas in the United States ranked by population. Our combined Nextel Digital Wireless Network constitutes one of the largest fully integrated digital wireless communications systems in the United States, currently covering 297 of the top 300 metropolitan statistical areas in the United States. As of December 31, 2005, our portion of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network covered approximately 42 million Pops and we had approximately 2,017,700 digital handsets in service in our markets.

Our relationship with Nextel was created to accelerate the build-out and expand the reach of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network. In January 1999, we entered into a joint venture agreement with Nextel WIP, pursuant to which Nextel, through Nextel WIP, contributed to us cash and licenses for wireless frequencies and granted us the exclusive right to use the Nextel brand name in exchange for ownership in us and our commitment to build out our compatible digital wireless network in selected markets and corridors, in most cases adjacent to operating Nextel markets. As of December 31, 2005, Nextel WIP owned 29.7% of our outstanding common stock and was our largest stockholder. By the end of 2002, we had successfully built all of the markets we were initially required to build under our 1999 agreement with Nextel. Since 1999 we have exercised options to expand our network into additional markets. By June 2003, we had completed the construction of all of these additional markets. Through our affiliation with Nextel, our customers have seamless nationwide coverage on the entire Nextel Digital Wireless Network.

Table of Contents

We offer a package of wireless voice and data services under the Nextel brand name. We currently offer the following four services, which are fully integrated and accessible through a single wireless handset:

digital cellular voice, including advanced calling features such as speakerphone, conference calling, voicemail, call forwarding and additional line service;

Direct Connect[®] service, the digital walkie-talkie service that allows customers to instantly connect with business associates, family and friends without placing a phone call;

short messaging, the service that utilizes the Internet to keep customers connected to clients, colleagues and family with text, numeric and two-way messaging; and

Nextel Online[®] services, which provide customers with Internet-ready handsets access to the World Wide Web and an organization's internal database, as well as web-based applications such as e-mail, address books, calendars and advanced Java[™] enabled business applications.

We were incorporated in the State of Delaware in July 1998. Our principal executive offices are located at 4500 Carillon Point, Kirkland, Washington 98033. Our telephone number is (425) 576-3600.

Strategic Alliance with Nextel

Our affiliation with Nextel is an integral part of our business strategy. Under our agreements with Nextel WIP, which are described in more detail below, we enjoy numerous important benefits, including:

Nextel Brand and Differentiated Marketing Programs. We have the exclusive right to build, operate and provide fully integrated digital wireless communication services using the integrated Digital Enhanced Network, or iDEN, platform developed by Motorola, Inc. (Motorola) and the Nextel brand name in all of our markets.

Integrated Nationwide Network. Our network is operationally seamless with Sprint Nextel's iDEN network, enabling our respective customers to utilize the same voice and data services when operating on either company's iDEN network.

Exclusive Roaming Arrangement. We have the exclusive right to provide wireless communication services to Sprint Nextel's iDEN customers who roam into our markets. Pursuant to our operating agreements with Nextel WIP, Sprint Nextel's iDEN subscribers generate revenue for us when they roam into our markets, and we pay Sprint Nextel when our subscribers roam into its markets. For the year ended December 31, 2005, we earned \$211.6 million in roaming revenues from Sprint Nextel customers who utilized our portion of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network.

Coordinated Infrastructure Development. In exchange for a fee, based on Sprint Nextel's cost to provide the service, we have the right to utilize portions of Sprint Nextel's iDEN network infrastructure, including certain switching facilities and network monitoring systems, until our customer volume makes it advantageous for us to build our own. The operating agreements with Nextel WIP also provide us access to technology improvements resulting from Sprint Nextel's research and development.

Supplier Relationships. Sprint Nextel assists us in obtaining substantially the same terms it receives from suppliers of equipment and services. We also have the ability to develop our own relationships with suppliers of our choice.

National Accounts. Numerous offices and branches of legacy Nextel's national accounts have become our customers when we have launched service in their area.

International Roaming. We have the ability to either operate under Nextel's international roaming agreements or, under certain circumstances, to require Nextel WIP to provide us with comparable international roaming capabilities under its agreements with international carriers. Accordingly, our customers are able to travel worldwide and still receive the benefits of their Sprint Nextel iDEN-

Table of Contents

based service. For example, in coordination with Sprint Nextel, our customers have the ability to roam in the Mexico market area where NII Holdings, Inc. offers iDEN-based services and also in the Canadian market areas where TELUS offers iDEN-based services. Furthermore, by using the Motorola i920 and i930 handsets, dual mode handsets that operate on both the iDEN technology and the GSM 900 MHz standard, our customers receive digital roaming services on iDEN 800 MHz and GSM 900 MHz networks in over 80 countries.

On August 12, 2005, Nextel merged with Sprint Corporation. The merger constituted a Nextel sale pursuant to our charter, and on October 24, 2005, our Class A common stockholders voted to exercise the put right set forth in our charter to require Nextel WIP to purchase all our outstanding shares of Class A common stock. On December 20, 2005, we announced, along with Sprint Nextel, that the put price at which Nextel WIP will purchase our outstanding Class A common stock was determined to be \$28.50 per share. The put price was determined after the two appraisers appointed pursuant to our charter, Morgan Stanley and Lazard, issued their reports that determined fair market value as defined in our charter. On February 6, 2006 the Federal Trade Commission and the Department of Justice provided early termination of the waiting period under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Act for Sprint Nextel to purchase our outstanding Class A common stock.

In addition, on January 24, 2006, Nextel Partners and Sprint Nextel filed a series of applications requesting FCC consent, pursuant to section 310(d) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, to the transfer of control of Nextel Partners to Nextel WIP. Nextel Partners and Sprint Nextel filed a series of minor amendments to these applications on February 2, 2006.

The wireless licenses held by Nextel Partners include approximately 6,500 Economic Area (EA) licenses and site-based licenses in the 800 MHz Specialized Mobile Radio (SMR) service, one Metropolitan Trading Area (MTA) license in the 900 MHz SMR service, and 21 common carrier fixed point-to-point microwave licenses, and an international 214 authorization.

It is our belief that the transfer of control is consistent with the FCC's rules and policies and will further the public interest. We believe the proposed transaction will yield incremental efficiencies and benefits, such as increased coverage and improved service quality, a more cost-effective migration path to new technologies, elimination of redundant administrative and back-office systems, and reduced reliance on outside networks for backhaul operations. We believe these benefits will promote competition in the CMRS marketplace and foster competition between the wireless and wireline industries, particularly in the small and medium-sized markets where Nextel Partners primarily operates. The proposed transfer should also facilitate 800 MHz band reconfiguration in the markets currently served by Nextel Partners.

FCC approval of the transfer of control application represents the final regulatory approval needed to complete the transaction.

You may find more information about the put right, including the definition of fair market value and the procedures pursuant to which fair market value was determined, in our charter, the December 20, 2005 agreement between us and Sprint Nextel, and the valuation letters from the two appraisers. These documents are available on our website at www.nextelpartners.com in the investor relations section, at the select corporate documents link.

Business Strategy

Our mission is to provide high quality, integrated wireless service that maximizes customer and investor value. To achieve this mission, we strive to build a corporate culture around five guiding principles:

Strive for 100% partner satisfaction.

Strive for 100% customer satisfaction.

Achieve targeted revenue growth with a low cost structure.

Table of Contents

Achieve win-win results through the power of teamwork.

Work smart while remaining humble.

Our mission statement and guiding principles serve as the bedrock for all of our business strategies. In addition to our relationship with Sprint Nextel, we believe the following elements of our business strategy will distinguish our wireless service offerings from those of our competitors and will enable us to compete successfully:

Provide Differentiated Package of Wireless Services. Along with Sprint Nextel, we offer fully integrated, wireless communications services – International and Nationwide Direct Connect, digital cellular voice, short messaging and Nextel Online – all in a single wireless device with no roaming charges nationwide. We believe this –four-in-one offering is particularly attractive to business users. We further believe that for customers who desire multiple wireless services, the convenience of combining multiple wireless communications options in a single handset for a single package price with a single billing statement is an important feature that helps distinguish us from many of our competitors.

A sizeable portion of business users – communications involves contacting others within the same organization or those within a community of interest (e.g., contractors, sub-contractors and suppliers). We believe that our Nationwide Direct Connect service is especially well suited to address the wireless communications needs of these customers. In 2005, Direct Connect minutes used by our customers comprised approximately 22% of the total minutes used by our customers on our network.

Direct Connect allows all of our customers and Sprint Nextel – s iDEN customers to instantly communicate with each other on private one-on-one calls on an international and nationwide basis. Nationwide Direct Connect provides full coast-to-coast availability of the push to talk feature to all of our customers and all of Sprint Nextel – s iDEN customers across the continental United States and Hawaii. In conjunction with Nextel, we expanded our Direct Connect service in July 2004 to include International Direct Connectsm in Canada, Argentina, Brazil, Peru and Mexico.

Deliver Unparalleled Customer Service. In addition to providing our four-in-one service offering, our goal is to differentiate ourselves by delivering the highest quality customer service in the industry, including low rates of dropped and blocked calls. In 2005, a significant part of our employees – bonus was tied to achieving a targeted level of customer satisfaction as measured in monthly surveys conducted by an outside vendor. We believe that this monetary bonus helped focus our entire company on achieving our customer service business objective, and we intend to provide a similar incentive to our employees in 2006.

Target Business Customers. We believe our Direct Connect service is particularly valuable to certain business segments. While we have a growing emphasis on consumer retail stores and customers, we continue to focus on business customers, particularly those customers who employ a mobile workforce. Initially, we have concentrated our sales efforts on a number of distinct groups of mobile workers, including personnel in the transportation, delivery, real property and facilities management, construction and building trades, landscaping, government, public safety and other service sectors. We have developed disciplined sales training procedures and strategies that are specifically tailored to a business-to-business sales process. In addition, we, along with Sprint Nextel, work with third-party vendors to develop unique data applications for our business customers.

We have begun to expand our target customer groups to include additional industry groups as well as consumer retail customers. We believe that our customers value our fully integrated services, including virtually instantaneous Direct Connect communications, and that this, along with our efforts to achieve 100% customer satisfaction, resulted in higher monthly average revenue per unit, or ARPU, and lower average monthly service cancellations than industry averages. Our ARPU for the year ended December 31, 2005 was \$68 (or \$78, including roaming revenues received from Sprint Nextel) compared to an industry average of \$53 as of September 30, 2005. In addition, the average monthly rate at which our customers canceled service with us, or – churn, was approximately 1.4% for 2005 compared to an industry average of 2.1% for the third quarter of 2005. Our ARPU and churn rate equated to lifetime revenue per subscriber, or LRS, of approximately \$4,857 for 2005, which we believe is one of the highest in the industry. See

Table of Contents

Selected Financial Data Additional Reconciliations of Non-GAAP Financial Measures (Unaudited) for more information regarding our use of ARPU and LRS as non-GAAP financial measures. Our monthly average minutes of use increased from 743 minutes per subscriber in 2004 to 826 minutes per subscriber in 2005, an increase of 11%. In addition, our customer base grew 26% from approximately 1,602,400 customers as of December 31, 2004 to approximately 2,017,700 customers as of December 31, 2005.

Maintain a Robust, Reliable Network. Our objective is to maintain a robust and reliable digital wireless network in our markets that covers all key population areas in those markets and operates seamlessly with Sprint Nextel's iDEN network. We have constructed our portion of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network using the same Motorola-developed iDEN technology used by Nextel. As required, we built and now operate our portion of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network in accordance with Nextel's standards, which enables both companies to achieve a consistent level of service throughout the United States. Our customers have access to digital quality and advanced features whether they are using our or Nextel's portion of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network. This contrasts with the hybrid analog/digital networks of cellular competitors, which do not support all features in the analog-only portions of their networks.

In January 1999 when we executed our agreements with Nextel WIP and obtained our initial financing, we acquired two operational markets in upstate New York and Hawaii. The remainder of our markets had not been fully constructed. By June 2003, we had completed construction and had successfully launched service in all of our markets. As of December 31, 2005, we had 4,630 cell sites fully constructed and operational throughout our markets and our network provided coverage to approximately 42 million Pops compared to 40 million Pops as of December 31, 2004.

To reduce the risk of zoning and other local regulatory delays, construction delays and site acquisition costs, we have located our cell sites on existing transmission towers or other structures such as building rooftops owned by third parties wherever possible. If necessary, we contract with third parties to construct transmission towers and, wherever possible, sell these towers and lease back space for our equipment. In addition, as of December 31, 2005, we had six mobile switching offices in service on our network and had successfully switched over 90% of all of our customers wireless interconnect traffic through these switches. The remaining 10% of our wireless interconnect traffic is routed to switches operated by Sprint Nextel in accordance with our switch sharing agreement. Operating our own switches and switching our own traffic have reduced the switch sharing fees we pay to Nextel WIP under our switch sharing agreement.

We believe our existing packet data service on the Nextel Digital Wireless Network is robust and far-reaching. Based on our current outlook, we anticipate eventually deploying advanced digital technology that will allow wireless voice and high-speed data transmission and potentially other advanced digital services. The technology that we would deploy to provide these types of broadband wireless services is sometimes referred to as a next-generation technology. Until we deploy a next-generation technology, we will continue to fully utilize our iDEN digital wireless network. In addition, we expect technology upgrades to continue to be made to our iDEN digital wireless network in 2006 based on developments being made by Motorola and Sprint Nextel.

Maintain Effective Pricing Strategy with Focus on Mid-Sized and Rural Markets. We operate in mid-sized and rural markets, which we believe have demographics similar to markets served by Nextel. We believe our business customer base in these markets has historically been underserved and thus finds our differentiated service offering very attractive. We believe our focus on high quality, underserved customers, coupled with our differentiated service offering, helps us to increase penetration within our targeted customer base while maintaining an effective pricing strategy.

Although we set our local service prices in each of our markets independently of Sprint Nextel, we are required to adopt Nextel's overall pricing strategies. We offer pricing options that we believe differentiate our services from those of many of our competitors. Our pricing packages offer our customers simplicity and predictability in their wireless telecommunications billing by combining Direct Connect minutes with a mix of cellular and long-distance minutes. Furthermore, no roaming charges are assessed

Table of Contents

for mobile telephone services provided to our customers traveling anywhere on our portion or Sprint Nextel's portion of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network in the United States. We also offer special pricing plans that allow some customers to aggregate the total number of account minutes for all of their handsets and reallocate the aggregate minutes among those handsets.

Markets

As of December 31, 2005, we had established digital wireless service in all of the following markets:

Region	Markets(1)	Licensed Pops
Northeast	Central PA (Wilkes-Barre/ Scranton/ Harrisburg/ York/ Lancaster)	2,958,580
	Syracuse/ Utica-Rome/ Binghamton/ Elmira, NY	2,064,814
	Buffalo/ Jamestown, NY	1,477,499
	Western PA (Altoona/ Johnstown/ State College/ Williamsport)	1,351,301
	Rochester, NY	1,215,557
	Albany/ Glens Falls, NY	1,196,059
	Burlington, VT	711,939
	Erie, PA	372,245
	Total	11,347,994
Midwest	Nebraska (Omaha/ Lincoln)	1,852,085
	Green Bay, WI	1,726,194
	Eastern Iowa (Waterloo/ Dubuque/ Davenport/ Cedar Rapids/ Iowa City)	1,683,014
	E. Minnesota/ W. Wisconsin (Duluth/ Rochester/ Eau Claire/ La Crosse)	1,502,128
	Central Iowa (Des Moines)	1,400,726
	Idaho (Idaho Falls/ Pocatello/ Boise/ Twin Falls)	1,087,188
	Western IL (Peoria/ Springfield/ Decatur)	1,060,400
	North Dakota/ Western Minnesota (Fargo/ Grand Forks)	987,494
	Sioux City/ Sioux Falls IA/ SD	844,418
	Central IL (Champaign/ Bloomington)	727,836
	Total	12,871,483
South	Arkansas (Fayetteville/ Fort Smith/ Pine Bluff/ Little Rock)	2,465,485
	South Texas (McAllen/ Harlingen/ Brownsville/ Corpus Christi/ Victoria)	2,126,321
	West Virginia (Charleston)	2,048,519
	East Texas/ Northern Louisiana (Tyler/ Longview/ Shreveport/ Monroe)	2,041,183
	Indiana (Terre Haute/ Evansville/ Owensboro)	1,972,669
	Louisville, KY	1,893,110
	West Texas (Amarillo/ Abilene/ Lubbock/ Odessa-Midland/ San Angelo)	1,800,983
	Virginia (Roanoke/ Lynchburg/ Charlottesville)	1,733,552
	Southern Louisiana (Lafayette/ Lake Charles)	1,663,877
	Lexington, KY	1,517,133
	Mississippi (Hattiesburg/ Jackson)	1,457,341

Edgar Filing: NEXTEL PARTNERS INC - Form 10-K

Georgia (Macon-Warner Robins/ Albany)
Pensacola, FL

1,338,108
1,206,948

Table of Contents

Region	Markets(1)	Licensed Pops
	Mobile, AL	1,067,069
	Central Texas (Temple-Killeen/ Waco/ Bryan-College Station)	927,875
	Tennessee (Bristol/ Johnson City/ Kingsport, VA/ TN)	794,304
	Tallahassee, FL	745,623
	Montgomery, AL	741,949
	Augusta, GA	610,480
	Columbus, GA	429,960
	Total	28,582,489
Noncontinental US	Hawaii (all islands)	1,262,840
	Total	54,064,806

(1) We may, from time to time, reconfigure our markets to take advantage of build-out and management synergies and marketing opportunities. Accordingly, the way we group our markets may increase or decrease the total number of markets and, correspondingly, increase or decrease the population estimates for the newly configured market.

We have calculated total Pops for a given market by utilizing Census 2004 data published by the U.S. Census Bureau, which lists population estimates by county.

In addition to medium-sized and rural markets, our markets include selected corridors along interstate and state highways. While these corridors do not always have large business or residential populations, we believe that revenues may be earned from travelers on the highways located in these markets. Accordingly, the population of a given area may not fully indicate the amount of the revenues that may be generated in such area.

General Business

Revenues. We operate in one reportable segment, wireless services. Our primary sources of revenues are service revenues and equipment revenues, with service revenues constituting approximately 94% of our total revenues in 2005. For more information about our revenues and other financial results, see our audited consolidated financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Distribution Channels. Our traditional methods of distribution have been through our direct and indirect sales force. While we will continue to support these approaches, in 2005 we opened 62 new company-owned retail stores throughout our markets for a total of 135 retail stores in operation at December 31, 2005. Initial sales and revenue results from these company-owned stores indicate that they attract high value customers with a lower acquisition cost than our traditional distribution channels. In addition, our telephone and website sales distribution channels that we implemented in 2002 also allow us to acquire new customers at relatively lower costs. For 2005, our low cost distribution channels, which include telesales, websales and company-owned stores, accounted for approximately 28% of our gross additional new subscribers as compared to approximately 22% during 2004.

Table of Contents**Business Developments*****Customer Products and Solutions.***

Products. We currently offer a wide variety of handsets, with a broad range of features and price points. In 2005, we greatly expanded our current product line to include over a dozen new wireless handsets manufactured by Motorola the i265, i325IS, v505, v180, i275, i355, i605, i836, i560, i760, i850, i930 and i870. In the fourth quarter of 2005 we also introduced the second-generation iDEN BlackBerry device, the 7100itm manufactured by Research in Motion, Inc. (RIM).

These new handsets expand our product line and allow customers to select a handset that best meets the demands of their work environment or lifestyle. All of our handsets offer an advanced, intuitive user interface, assignable ring tones, Internet access and global position satellite (GPS) receivers for E911 (the 911 emergency mobile telephone service) and other location-based services. Camera phones are popular with our customers, and we offer cameras in a number of different phone styles (i275, i850, i870 and i930). The i870 is noteworthy for its 1.3 mega-pixel camera and its ability to capture and playback video images. The i870 is also the first iDEN phone to include a built-in MP3 player and it is our first handset with Direct Sendsm Picture capability. This first-of-its-kind service allows our subscribers with Direct Sendsm capable phones to send and review pictures while on a Nextel walkie-talkie call.

Many of the handsets introduced in 2005 include three key features designed to help businesses be more productive: Direct Talk, Multimedia Messaging and Direct Sendsm. Direct Talk is the off-network walkie-talkie feature that provides back-up communications in times of emergency, network outage or when traveling to remote areas not under Nextel Digital Wireless Network coverage. Direct Talk operates by using the handsets to transmit and receive walkie-talkie service without using our cell sites or switches as long as the handsets are within a relatively short distance from each other. Multimedia Messaging allows customers to wirelessly send text, audio or pictures to email addresses or other Nextel handsets. Direct Sendsm, described above, also allows customers to instantly send contact information stored in their handset, via the push-to-talk button, to other Direct Sendsm capable handsets.

Also included in several of the new handsets is integrated Bluetooth technology, which provides freedom from tethered wires and cables by letting owners exchange information with other compatible Bluetooth enabled devices such as wireless phones, headsets, personal data assistants (PDAs) and computers.

To further expand our differentiated suite of products and services, we also offer BlackBerry[®] handheld devices manufactured by RIM with both voice and data capabilities. This PDA style handset operates on the Nextel Digital Wireless Network, integrates our four-in-one offering and supports Java 2 Micro Edition (J2ME) applications. We believe this product is an ideal tool for mobile professionals who need instant and constant access to their business email. In addition, it eliminates the need to carry separate PDAs, cellular phones and laptops. In 2005, we introduced the second-generation iDEN BlackBerry device the BlackBerry 7100i. The Blackberry 7100i builds on the success of earlier iDEN BlackBerry devices by featuring an enhanced color display, Bluetooth capability, Multimedia Messaging capability, a Sure Typetm keyboard and a smaller handset design.

Wireless Data Solutions. In 2005, we significantly increased sales of wireless data solutions based on Nextel's key differentiators. By partnering with key application providers and leveraging the GPS technology built into our handsets, we offer high value location-based services to business and individual customers. Specifically, we are offering asset tracking, workforce management, navigation and wireless payment solutions. We believe these location-based services are providing our customers with substantial increases in productivity. In 2005, we significantly enhanced our portfolio of GPS enabled solutions for our customers by making our asset tracking, workforce and navigation solutions available on the BlackBerry platform.

We also launched several solutions specifically targeted at the individual consumer including location enabled weather applications, applications converting a Nextel handset into a GPS receiver for outdoor enthusiasts and access to MapQuest.com on the mobile device. Our digital media solutions like

Table of Contents

downloadable ringtones, wallpapers and mobile games have been very popular with customers in 2005. We enhanced our messaging capabilities by allowing our customers to exchange picture messages with users on all the largest wireless networks in the United States. With a simplified pricing structure and a broad suite of consumer applications, we believe that we are well positioned to serve the wireless data needs of our individual customer base.

In addition to BlackBerry, we extended our email solutions to all handsets with one of the first Java-based email solutions available on mass market handsets. Our business customers also have greater options for access to the Internet via their laptops using an improved wireless PC connection card as well as a WiFi solution, which is conveniently bundled as part of their Nextel service.

For the year ended December 31, 2005, revenues we received from the sale of all data products and services contributed approximately \$2.67 to our ARPU – almost triple what we reported for our 2004 average data revenue per subscriber.

Walkie-Talkie Services. In May 2005, we expanded our walkie-talkie services with the introduction of Nationwide Group Walkie-Talkie. Nextel customers can instantly create talk groups of up to 20 individuals directly from their handset with other Nextel subscribers across the country. Previously Group Walkie-Talkie was limited to local walkie-talkie usage and talk groups were managed by us and not the customer.

Our International Walkie-Talkie service, introduced in 2004, gained popularity in our markets, especially those markets that are adjacent to Canada and Mexico. International Walkie-Talkie allows customers to instantly connect with other users in and between the United States and up to five countries including Peru, Brazil, Argentina, Canada and Mexico.

Our exclusive NextMail walkie-talkie service enables customers using the walkie-talkie Connect button on their handset to instantly send a voice message from their Nextel phone to any email address, even if the recipient is not a Sprint Nextel user. The recipient may retrieve the voice message from his or her laptop or PC.

Capital Structure Transactions. During 2005 we engaged in the following capital structure and de-leveraging transactions:

Debt Redemption. On April 29, 2005, we redeemed for cash the remainder of our outstanding 11% senior notes due 2010, representing approximately \$1.2 million aggregate principal amount at maturity. In addition, on November 15, 2005 we redeemed for cash the remainder of our outstanding 12¹/₂% senior discount notes due 2009, representing approximately \$146.2 million aggregate principal amount at maturity, for a total redemption price of approximately \$164.5 million.

Credit Facility Refinancing. On May 23, 2005, Nextel Partners Operating Corp. (OPCO) refinanced its existing \$700.0 million tranche C term loan with a new \$550.0 million tranche D term loan. The borrowings under the new term loan were used along with available funds to repay the existing tranche C term loan. The tranche D term loan has a maturity date of May 31, 2012.

The Nextel WIP Operating Agreements

Our operating agreements with Nextel WIP define the relationship, rights and obligations between Nextel WIP and us. The agreements began on January 29, 1999 and have an initial term of ten years, which may be extended for up to two and a half years. At the end of the initial term, we have the right at our option to extend the agreements for up to four ten-year renewals.

Under these agreements, Nextel WIP is obligated to share with us Sprint Nextel's experience in operating iDEN networks by, among other things, granting us access to meetings and coordinating with us

Table of Contents

on network build-out and enhancements. In addition, Nextel WIP is obligated to provide specified services to us upon request. The most significant services Nextel WIP provides us are:

use of some of Sprint Nextel's switching facilities in exchange for a per-minute fee based on Nextel's national average cost for such service, including financing and depreciation costs;

monitoring of switches owned by us on a 24-hour per day basis by Sprint Nextel's network monitoring center in exchange for a fee based on pro-rata costs;

use of Sprint Nextel's back-office systems in order to support customer activation, billing and customer care for national accounts in exchange for fees based on Nextel's national average cost for such services;

use of the Nextel brand name and certain trademarks and service marks, and the marketing and advertising materials developed by Sprint Nextel, in exchange for a marketing services fee described below;

access to technology enhancements and improvements; and

assisting us in contracting with Sprint Nextel's suppliers on substantially the same terms as Sprint Nextel wherever possible.

To further support us in our efforts, Nextel WIP has also agreed that:

our marketing service fee, which started accruing in January 2003, was 0.5% of gross monthly service revenues, excluding roaming revenues, from January 1, 2003 through December 31, 2004 and increased to 1.0% of gross monthly service revenues, excluding roaming revenues, thereafter; and

when a Sprint Nextel subscriber roams on our portion of the network we receive a certain percentage of the service revenues generated by the roaming subscriber. That percentage was 90% of the service revenues in 2000, 85% in 2001 and 80% in 2002 and thereafter, subject to upward or downward adjustment based on the relative customer satisfaction levels of Sprint Nextel and us as measured by a customer satisfaction survey administered on a regular basis by a third-party vendor engaged by Sprint Nextel and us.

In addition, the operating agreements require that we adhere to certain key operating requirements, including the following:

we generally are required to offer the full complement of products and services offered by Sprint Nextel in comparable service areas;

we must abide by Sprint Nextel's standard pricing structure (principally home-rate roaming), but we need not charge the same prices as Sprint Nextel;

we must meet minimum network performance and customer care thresholds; and

we must adhere to standards in other operating areas, such as frequency design, site acquisition, construction, cell site maintenance and marketing and advertising.

Currently, our agreements with Nextel WIP also allow us access to Sprint Nextel's switches and switching facilities. Nextel WIP has agreed to cooperate with us to establish a switch facility for our network and to deploy switches in our territory in a manner which best meets the following criteria:

integration of our cell sites into Sprint Nextel's national switching infrastructure;

shared coverage of Direct Connect service to communities of interest;

minimized costs to us and to Sprint Nextel; and

maximized quality of service to our customers and to Sprint Nextel's customers.

12

Table of Contents

These criteria provide for a flexible construction schedule of switches to serve our territory, depending on the existing switches in Sprint Nextel's territory and the amount of customer traffic handled by any one switch. We have the option of installing our own switching facilities within our territory. However, our deployment of any switching facility requires coordination with Nextel WIP and may require Nextel WIP's approval. Our agreements with Nextel WIP require us to implement and install appropriate switch elements as the number of our subscribers and cell site levels increases. For example, we will need to establish a location and install switch equipment on our network for every 120,000 subscriber units or a base site controller for every 50 operational cell sites. We believe that we have sufficient funds for these installations under our current business plans. As of December 31, 2005, we had six switches in operation.

Overview of the U.S. Wireless Communications Industry

Mobile wireless communications systems use a variety of radio frequencies to transmit voice and data, and include cellular telephone services, ESMR, PCS and paging. ESMR stands for enhanced specialized mobile radio and is the regulatory term applied to the services, including those provided by the Nextel Digital Wireless Network, that combine wireless telephone service with a dispatch feature and paging. PCS stands for personal communications service and refers to digital wireless telephone service.

Since the first commercial cellular systems became operational in 1983, mobile wireless telecommunications services have grown dramatically as these services have become widely available and increasingly affordable. This growth has been driven by technological advances, changes in consumer preferences and increased availability of spectrum to new operators.

The provision of cellular telephone service began with providers utilizing the 800 MHz band of radio frequency in 1982 when the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) began issuing two licenses per market throughout the United States. In 1993, the FCC allocated a portion of the radio spectrum, 1850-1990 MHz, for a new wireless communications service commonly known as PCS. The FCC's stated objectives in auctioning bandwidth for PCS were to foster competition among existing cellular carriers, increase availability of wireless services to a broader segment of the public, and bring innovative technology to the U.S. wireless industry. Since 1995, the FCC has conducted auctions in which industry participants have been awarded PCS licenses for designated areas throughout the United States.

The demand for wireless telecommunications has grown rapidly, driven by the increased availability of services, technological advancements, regulatory changes, increased competition and lower prices. According to the Cellular Telecommunications & Internet Association, the number of wireless subscribers in the United States, including cellular, PCS and ESMR, has grown from approximately 200,000 as of June 30, 1985 to 194.5 million as of June 2005 which reflected a penetration rate of over 65% at that time.

In the U.S. wireless communications industry, there are three mobile wireless telephone services: cellular, ESMR and PCS. Cellular and ESMR services utilize radio spectrum in the 800 MHz band while PCS operates at higher frequencies of 1850 to 1990 MHz. Use of the 800 MHz band gives cellular and ESMR superior ability to penetrate buildings and other physical obstacles and spread or propagate through air, thereby reducing infrastructure costs since fewer base radios are needed to cover a given area.

All cellular service transmissions were originally analog-based, although most cellular providers have now overlaid digital systems alongside their analog systems in large markets. Analog cellular technology has the advantage of using a consistent standard nationwide, permitting nationwide roaming using a single-mode, single-band telephone. On the other hand, analog technology has several disadvantages, including less efficient use of spectrum, which reduces effective call capacity; inconsistent service quality; decreased privacy, security and reliability as compared to digital technologies; and the inability to offer services such as voice mail, call waiting or caller identification.

All PCS services, like ESMR, are all-digital systems that convert voice or data signals into a stream of binary digits that is compressed before transmission, enabling a single radio channel to carry multiple simultaneous signal transmissions. This enhanced capacity, along with improvements in digital signaling,

Table of Contents

allows digital-based wireless technologies to offer new and enhanced services and improved voice quality and system flexibility, as compared to analog technologies. Call forwarding, call waiting and greater call privacy are among the enhanced services that digital systems provide. In addition, due to the reduced power consumption of digital handsets, users benefit from an extended battery life.

The FCC has also assigned non-contiguous portions of the 800 MHz band to specialized mobile radio (SMR), which was initially dedicated to analog two-way radio dispatch services. This service only became viable in the mobile wireless telephone market with the introduction in 1993 of ESMR, which applies digital technology to make use of the 800 MHz spectrum band and its superior propagation characteristics to deliver the advantages of a digital wireless mobile telephone system while retaining and significantly enhancing the value of SMR s traditional dispatch feature.

Unlike analog cellular, which has been implemented in a uniform manner across the United States, several mutually incompatible digital technologies are currently in use in the United States. Roaming into different areas often requires multi-mode (analog/digital) and/or multi-band (PCS/cellular) handsets that function at both cellular and PCS frequencies and/or are equipped for more than one type of modulation technology. Time-division technologies, which include global system for mobile communications (GSM), time division multiple access (TDMA) and iDEN, break up each transmission channel into time slots that increase effective capacity. Code division multiple access (CDMA) technology is a spread-spectrum technology that transmits portions of many messages over a broad portion of the available spectrum rather than a single channel. Most iDEN handsets presently operate only in the iDEN mode within SMR frequencies and therefore cannot roam onto other digital or analog wireless networks.

The Nextel Digital Wireless Network

Nextel deployed a second generation of Motorola s iDEN technology beginning in the third quarter of 1996. The Nextel Digital Wireless Network combines the iDEN technology developed and designed by Motorola with a low-power, multi-site deployment of base radios similar to that used by cellular service that permits us to reuse the same frequency in different cells, increasing our system s effective capacity. We and Sprint Nextel currently use iDEN technology throughout our respective portions of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network. iDEN technology is a proprietary format for delivering signals over scattered, non-contiguous SMR frequencies.

The iDEN technology shares the same basic platform as the wireless standards underlying GSM and TDMA. iDEN shares many common components with the GSM technology that has been established as the digital cellular communications standard in Europe and is a variant of the GSM technology that is being deployed by certain cellular and PCS operators in the United States. iDEN differs in a number of significant respects from the GSM or TDMA technology versions being assessed or deployed by many cellular and PCS providers in the United States. The iDEN technology, when utilized for the two-way radio dispatch function, can be significantly more efficient than GSM or TDMA technology formats.

The design of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network is premised on dividing a service area into multiple sites. Each site will contain the base radio connected by landline facilities or a microwave to a computer-controlled switching center. Each cell site provides service on our licensed frequencies to a particular geographic area permitting the customer s telephone to communicate with our network. By designing our system with multiple cell sites, we are able to reuse the frequency channels many times throughout the same license area by placing our transmitters at low elevation sites and restricting the power of each transmitter to a directed geographic area, which may be less than one mile and up to 30 miles. This process avoids interference, while permitting significantly more customers to use the frequencies allotted to us. This system, combining digital compression technology with the reuse of spectrum throughout our license area, allows us to support more customer calls than would otherwise be the case with analog technologies.

In the case of mobile telephone calls, the switching center controls the automatic transfer of calls from site to site as a customer travels, coordinates calls to and from a customer s telephone and connects calls to the public switched telecommunications network. In the case of two-way dispatch calls, the

Table of Contents

switching center connects the customer initiating the call directly to the other customer in the case of a private call, and directly to a number of other customers in the case of a group call. Direct Connect dispatch capability allows any member of a mobile team to immediately communicate with the push of a button with another member on private one-to-one calls on an international and nationwide basis or on group calls with up to 100 other customers within a Direct Connect calling area. This push-to-talk feature works like a two-way radio, but in contrast to analog dispatch SMR radios, iDEN technology allows only the person or persons being called to hear the conversation.

Nationwide Direct Connect, together with other enhancements, including call alert, speakerphone capability and short messaging, differentiates our digital service from those of most cellular and PCS providers, and we believe it has been responsible for our strong appeal to business users in mobile occupations, including transportation, delivery, real property and facilities management, construction and building, landscaping, and other service sectors. In addition to its advantages to customers, Direct Connect uses only half the bandwidth that an interconnected call over an iDEN network would use, and this efficient use of spectrum gives us the opportunity to offer attractive pricing for Direct Connect.

Like Sprint Nextel, we have adapted the iDEN-based packet data network to enable wireless Internet connectivity and new digital two-way mobile data services, marketed as Nextel Online Services. We completed the rollout of these services in all of our operating markets by the end of 2001. Our customers may elect to access a broad array of content directly from their Internet-ready handsets, such as email, news, weather, travel, sports and leisure information and shopping. In 2003 we made available in our markets certain Nextel Industry Solutions that are currently available in Nextel's markets and included industry-specific applications such as fleet management applications, timesheet programs and customer service assistance applications, all designed to keep customers' businesses functioning smoothly through their mobile workforce.

Combined with Nextel, we have helped build one of the largest all-digital wireless networks in the country covering thousands of communities across the United States. Through this network, we, together with Nextel, currently serve 297 of the top 300 U.S. markets and the major transportation corridors between these markets.

Competition

In each of the markets where our portion of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network operates, we compete with at least two established cellular licensees and as many as five PCS licensees, including the legacy Sprint, Verizon Wireless, T-Mobile and Cingular Wireless. Our ability to compete effectively with other wireless communications service providers depends on a number of factors, including:

the continued satisfactory performance of the iDEN technology especially in relation to emerging next generation wireless technologies;

the maintenance and competitive coverage of areas throughout our markets;

the establishment and maintenance of roaming service among our market areas and those of Nextel; and

the development of cost-effective direct and indirect channels of distribution for our products and services on our portion of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network.

A substantial number of the entities that were awarded PCS licenses are current cellular communications service providers and joint ventures of current and potential wireless communications service providers, many of which have financial resources, customer bases and name recognition greater than ours. These operators compete with us in providing some or all of the services available through our network. Additionally, we expect that existing cellular service providers, some of which have been operational for a number of years and have significantly greater financial and technical resources, customer bases and name recognition than we have, will continue to upgrade their systems to provide digital wireless communications services competitive with those available on our network. Moreover, cellular and wireline

Table of Contents

companies are authorized to participate in dispatch and SMR services. We also expect our business to face competition from other technologies and services developed and introduced in the future.

Consolidation has and may continue to result in additional large, well-capitalized competitors with substantial financial, technical, marketing and other resources. For example, the acquisition of AT&T Wireless by Cingular Wireless, which closed in October 2004, created the largest wireless services provider in the United States, with significantly more resources and a larger customer base than we or any other competing company. In addition, in August 2005, Sprint acquired Nextel and Alltel Communications acquired regional wireless service provider Western Wireless. Late in 2005, Alltel also announced the acquisition of Midwest Wireless, a cellular and PCS licensee with about 400,000 subscribers, for \$1.075 billion. Some of our competitors are also creating joint ventures that will fund and construct a shared infrastructure that the venture participants will use to provide advanced services and are entering into roaming arrangements that provide similar benefits. By using joint ventures and roaming arrangements, these competitors may lower their cost of providing advanced services to their customers. In addition, we expect that in the future, providers of wireless communications services may compete more directly with providers of traditional wireline telephone services and, potentially, energy companies, utility companies and cable operators that expand their services to offer communications services. We also expect that we will face competition from other technologies and services developed and introduced in the future, including potentially those using unlicensed spectrum, including WiFi.

We believe that the mobile telephone service currently being provided on the Nextel Digital Wireless Network utilizing the iDEN technology is similar in function to and achieves performance levels competitive with those being offered by other current wireless communications service providers in our market areas. There are, however, and will in certain cases continue to be, differences between the services provided by us and by cellular and/or PCS system operators and the performance of our respective systems. The all-digital networks that we and Nextel operate provide customers with digital quality and advanced features wherever they roam on the Nextel Digital Wireless Network, in contrast to hybrid analog/digital networks of cellular competitors, which do not support these features in the analog-only portion of their networks. Nevertheless, our ability to provide roaming services will be more limited than that of carriers whose subscribers use wireless handsets that can operate on both analog and digital cellular networks and who have roaming agreements covering larger parts of the country. As the Nextel Digital Wireless Network has continued to expand to cover a greater geographic area, this disadvantage has been reduced, but we anticipate that the Nextel Digital Wireless Network may never cover the same geographic areas as other mobile telephone services. In addition, other two-way radio dispatch services offered by personal communication services providers or cellular operators, including Verizon Wireless push to talk service, Sprint's ReadyLink and Alltel's Touch2Talk, could impair our competitive advantage of being uniquely able to combine that service with our mobile telephone service. However, Direct Connect has been available for over 11 years and is a proven technology.

Wireless handsets used on the Nextel Digital Wireless Network are not compatible with those employed on cellular or PCS systems, and vice-versa. This lack of interoperability may impede our ability to attract cellular or PCS customers or those new mobile telephone customers that desire the ability to access different service providers in the same market.

In addition, digital handsets are likely to remain significantly more expensive than analog handsets, and are likely to remain somewhat more expensive than digital cellular or PCS handsets that do not incorporate a comparable multi-function capability. We therefore expect to continue to charge higher prices for our handsets than the prices charged by operators for analog cellular handsets and possibly than the prices charged by operators for digital cellular handsets. However, we believe that our multi-function handsets currently are competitively priced compared to multi-function mobile telephone service and short text messaging digital, cellular and PCS handsets.

During the transition to digital technology, certain participants in the U.S. cellular industry offer handsets with dual mode analog and digital compatibility. Additionally, certain analog cellular system operators that are also directly or through their affiliates constructing and operating digital PCS systems

Table of Contents

have made available to their customers dual mode/dual band 800 MHz cellular/1900 MHz PCS handsets, to combine the enhanced feature set available on digital PCS systems within their digital service coverage areas with the broader wireless coverage area available on their analog cellular network. We do not have comparable hybrid handsets available to our customers. We can give no assurances that potential customers will be willing to accept system coverage limitations as a trade-off for the enhanced multi-function wireless communications package we plan to provide on our portion of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network.

Over the past several years, as the number of wireless communications providers in our market areas have increased, the prices of such providers' wireless service offerings to customers in those markets have generally been decreasing. We may encounter market pressures to reduce our service offering prices or to restructure our service offering packages to respond to particular short-term, market-specific situations, such as special introductory pricing or packages that may be offered by new providers launching their service in a market, or to remain competitive in the event that wireless service providers generally continue to reduce the prices charged to their customers, particularly as PCS operators enter the smaller markets that we serve.

Because many of the cellular operators and certain of the PCS operators in our markets have substantially greater financial resources than we have, they may be able to offer prospective customers equipment subsidies or discounts that are substantially greater than those, if any, that could be offered by us and may be able to offer services to customers at prices that are below prices that we are able to offer for comparable services. Thus, our ability to compete based on the price of our digital handsets and service offerings will be limited. We cannot predict the competitive effect that any of these factors, or any combination thereof, will have on us.

The FCC mandated that wireless carriers provide for local number portability (LNP) by November 24, 2003 in the top 100 metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs) in the United States. In addition, wireless carriers in areas outside the top 100 MSAs were required to port a telephone number on request within six months, or by May 24, 2004, whichever was later. LNP allows subscribers to keep their wireless phone number when switching to a different service provider. We implemented LNP in our markets that are within the top 100 MSAs in the United States that were required to be completed by November 24, 2003 and implemented LNP in our remaining markets by the May 24, 2004 deadline. To date, we have not experienced an increase in churn, or the rate at which our customers leave our service and obtain service from a competitive carrier. However, number portability could increase churn. We may be required to subsidize product upgrades and/or reduce pricing to match competitors' initiatives and retain customers, which could adversely impact our revenue and profitability. Since the launch, the wireless industry has continued to work to improve its ability to support number portability.

Cellular operators and PCS operators and entities that have been awarded PCS licenses generally control more spectrum than is allocated for SMR service in each of the relevant market areas. Specifically, each cellular operator is licensed to operate 25 MHz of spectrum and certain PCS licensees have been licensed for between 10 MHz and 30 MHz of spectrum in the markets in which they are licensed, while only approximately 20 MHz is available to all competing SMR systems, including Nextel's and our systems, in those markets. The control of more spectrum gives cellular operators and many PCS licensees the potential for more system capacity and, therefore, the ability to serve more subscribers than SMR operators, including Nextel and us. We believe that we generally have adequate spectrum to provide the capacity needed on our portion of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network currently and for the reasonably foreseeable future, although we may need to acquire additional spectrum in some markets to ensure that the quality of our network keeps pace with anticipated growth in our customer base and to enable the implementation of new and innovative service offerings, some of which may require additional bandwidth.

We may also face new competition from licensees of new spectrum made available for mobile communications by the FCC. In July 2004, the FCC changed the rules and policies governing the Multipoint Distribution Service (MDS) and the Instructional Television Fixed Service (ITFS) in the 2500-2690 MHz band by providing licensees with greater flexibility and establishing a more functional

Table of Contents

band plan. As one part of this action, the FCC renamed the MDS service the Broadband Radio Service (BRS) and renamed the ITFS service the Educational Broadband Service (EBS). Importantly, the FCC created a new band plan for the 2495-2690 MHz band that eliminated the use of interleaved channels by MDS and ITFS licensees and created distinct band segments for high power operations, such as one-way video transmission, and low power operations, such as two-way fixed and mobile broadband applications. By grouping high and low power users into separate portions of the band, the new band plan reduces the likelihood of interference caused by incompatible uses and creates incentives for the development of low-power, cellularized broadband operations, which were inhibited by the prior band plan.

In February 2005, the FCC also issued rules for mobile satellite service (MSS) providers to add ancillary terrestrial components (ATC) to their service offerings. MSS operators could develop ATC offerings that would better enable them to compete with terrestrial mobile communications services like ESMR. Two MSS operators Mobile Satellite Ventures (MSV) in May 2005 and Globalstar in January 2006 have been authorized to offer ATC. At least two other MSS operators Inmarsat and New ICO have filed applications for ATC authorization. In addition, in December 2005, the FCC increased the spectrum holdings of the existing 2 GHz MSS licensees New ICO and TMI (an affiliate of MSV) by reassigning to them 2 GHz spectrum that was surrendered by previous MSS licensees such that each of them now have 20 GHz of spectrum in that band.

Since it received auction authority, the FCC has held more than 60 spectrum auctions. Generally, the auctions do not involve spectrum used to compete with our services. During 2004, the FCC held an auction of SMR spectrum in the 896-901 MHz and 935-940 MHz bands in various major trading areas (MTAs) throughout the United States. During 2005, the FCC held an auction of 234 broadband PCS spectrum licenses in the 1800 MHz and 1900 MHz bands in various basic trading areas (BTAs) throughout the United States. This auction included spectrum returned to the Commission through its settlements in bankruptcy litigation, including the settlement with NextWave Communications, Inc. and other license cancellations. In addition, the FCC has authorized a consortium of communications companies to provide nationwide mobile satellite services, which may compete with traditional mobile wireless services. Additionally, the FCC has reallocated frequencies in the 700 MHz band of the former analog television channels 52-69 to commercial services. The FCC auctioned some of this spectrum during 2002, and completed additional auctions of this spectrum in June 2003 and July 2005. It is possible that this spectrum will be used to offer services that are competitive with our service. In addition, the FCC will continue to auction spectrum in the future, and we cannot predict how these frequencies will be used, the technologies that will develop or what impact, if any, they will have on our ability to compete for wireless communications services customers.

On January 31, 2006, the FCC announced the auction of Advanced Wireless Services (third-generation, or 3G services) licenses in the 1710-1755 MHz and 2110-2155 MHz bands. The competitive bidding for Advance Wireless Services licenses is scheduled to commence on June 29, 2006.

It is impossible to predict the outcome or timeframe for FCC action on these matters. However, the outcome of these proceedings will likely affect the ability of all carriers, including us, to obtain additional spectrum to be used in offering both traditional and advanced wireless services.

Public Safety Spectrum Realignment. Our iDEN technology allows us to use scattered, non-contiguous spectrum frequencies in the 800 MHz band. Under the licensing scheme for SMR spectrum developed by the FCC during the 1970s, we occupy spectrum that is intermixed and adjacent to that used by other SMR licenses for commercial, business and industrial/land transportation, and for public safety users in the 800 MHz band. Different types of SMR licensees successfully coexisted for many years, but changes over the past few years to the network architecture necessary to support commercial digital technology have created isolated, intermittent situations where commercial and non-commercial licensees experience system interference. In particular, older analog networks used by public safety entities are experiencing system problems that have been traced to the digital operations of nearby commercial SMR and cellular licensees, even though all licensees are operating within the authorized parameters of their licenses and in compliance with FCC rules. Because the public safety interference issue is directly linked

Table of Contents

to the current SMR license allocations for public safety and commercial users, the FCC instituted a rulemaking proceeding, in response to a proposal filed by Nextel on November 21, 2001, that considered elimination of interference and more efficient use of spectrum by all parties through the realignment of spectrum licenses and spectrum allocations in the 800 MHz bands. This proceeding is referred to as the 800 MHz Rebanding Proceeding.

On August 6, 2004, the FCC released a Report and Order, Fifth Report and Order, Fourth Memorandum Opinion and Order, and Order in the 800 MHz Rebanding Proceeding. On December 22, 2004, the FCC released a Supplemental Order and Order on Reconsideration in the same proceeding. On October 5, 2005, the FCC released another Memorandum Opinion and Order clarifying its 800 MHz rebanding rules in response to petitions for reconsideration, declaratory ruling and wavier filed by various parties. These three orders are referred to as the 800 MHz Order, 800 MHz Supplemental Order, and 800 MHz Further Reconsideration Order, respectively, and are collectively referred to as the Orders. The Orders seek to reallocate spectrum in the 800 MHz band to provide public safety with a contiguous block of spectrum free from interference from other 800 MHz licensees. As part of the reallocation, the FCC has ordered Nextel to relinquish certain 700 MHz spectrum as well as all of its 800 MHz holdings below the 817/862 frequencies and to relocate certain of its systems to the portion of the 800 MHz band in the range of 817/862 to 824/869 (the ESMR Band). As part of the relocation, all other 800 MHz licensees will be required to relinquish certain 800 MHz holdings and to relocate their operations to new spectrum assignments. The Orders require Nextel to pay the full cost of relocation of all 800 MHz band public safety systems and other 800 MHz band incumbents to their new spectrum assignments with comparable frequencies.

In exchange for Nextel's surrendered spectrum rights and its financial responsibilities for 800 MHz relocation, the Orders assign Nextel nationwide authority to operate in the 1910/1915 MHz and 1990/1995 MHz bands (the 1.9 GHz Spectrum). Nextel must reimburse certain incumbent entities using the 1.9 GHz Spectrum for costs of clearing spectrum in and around those bands. In addition, in the event that the value of the 1.9 GHz Spectrum exceeds the value of the spectrum rights relinquished by Nextel plus the 800 MHz relocation and 1.9 GHz Spectrum clearing costs Nextel is obligated to pay, Nextel may be required to make an additional windfall avoidance payment to the U.S. Treasury.

The Orders require Nextel to obtain from Nextel Partners and submit to the FCC a Letter of Cooperation binding Nextel Partners to the obligations imposed on Nextel to the extent such obligations are necessary or desirable in the completion of reconfiguration of the 800 MHz band. Nextel Partners has supported Nextel's efforts with respect to the 800 MHz Rebanding Proceeding based on the understanding that Nextel would bear the costs associated with any spectrum relinquishment and relocation requirements ultimately placed on Nextel Partners, that Nextel would ensure that Nextel Partners is made whole with respect to any spectrum contributions made by Nextel Partners as part of the rebanding effort, and that the parties would otherwise cooperate in good faith to accomplish the requirements of the Orders in a manner that is mutually beneficial to both parties and without material disruption to either party's operations, rights or responsibilities under their respective operating agreements. We signed an agreement with Nextel dated March 7, 2005 that sets forth our respective rights and obligations with respect to the efforts needed to accomplish the requirements of the 800 MHz Rebanding Proceeding and we filed with the FCC the required Letter of Cooperation on March 7, 2005. We do not expect our cooperation with Nextel as part of the 800 MHz Rebanding Proceeding to materially disrupt our operations and we anticipate that upon completion of the rebanding efforts we would have essentially the same amount of spectrum as we hold currently and most of that spectrum will be in a contiguous block in the ESMR Band. We anticipate that the rebanding effort will take up to three years and potentially longer to be completed.

The Orders are subject to a petition for judicial review filed by Mobile Relay Services, Inc., an SMR provider affected by the rebanding process. Another SMR provider, Preferred Communications, Inc., has also indicated an intention to file a petition for judicial review, although its request for a stay of the Orders was denied by the FCC on January 30, 2006. We cannot be sure that a Court of Appeal will not overturn

Table of Contents

the Orders and, if this were to occur, we cannot be certain that the FCC would not take action adverse to us.

Regulation

Federal Regulation

SMR Regulation. We are an SMR operator regulated by the FCC. The FCC also regulates the licensing, construction, operation and acquisition of all other wireless telecommunications systems in the United States, including cellular and PCS operators. We are generally subject to the same FCC rules and regulations as cellular and PCS operators, but our status as an SMR operator creates some important regulatory differences.

Within the limitations of available spectrum and technology, SMR operators are authorized to provide mobile communications services to business and individual users, including mobile telephone, two-way radio dispatch, paging and mobile data services. SMR regulations have undergone significant changes during the last several years and continue to evolve as new FCC rules and regulations are adopted.

The first SMR systems became operational in 1974, but these early systems were not permitted or designed to provide interconnected telephone service competitive with that provided by cellular operators. SMR operators originally emphasized two-way dispatch service, which involves shorter duration communications than mobile telephone service and places less demand on system capacity. SMR system capacity and quality was originally limited by:

the smaller portion of the radio spectrum allocated to SMR;

the assignment of SMR frequencies on a non-contiguous basis;

regulations and procedures that initially served to spread ownership of SMR licenses among a large number of operators in each market, further limiting the amount of SMR spectrum available to any particular operator; and

older SMR technology, which employed analog transmission and a single site, high-power transmitter configuration, precluding the use of any given SMR frequency by more than one caller at a time within a given licensed service area.

The original analog SMR market was oriented largely to customers such as contractors, service companies and delivery services that have significant field operations and need to provide their personnel with the ability to communicate directly with one another, either on a one-to-one or one-to-many basis, within a limited geographic area. SMR licenses granted prior to 1997 have several unfavorable characteristics, as compared with cellular or PCS licenses. Because these SMR licenses were on a site-by-site basis, numerous SMR licenses were required to cover the metropolitan area typically covered by a single cellular or PCS license.

SMR licenses granted in 1997 and later were granted to cover a large area (known as an economic area, or EA) rather than a specified contour around a particular antenna site. EA licenses therefore are more like cellular or PCS licenses in this regard, and eliminate one of the former regulatory disadvantages of SMR licenses. The FCC has held 800 SMR auctions for EA licenses, which include the frequencies on which we and Nextel operate in the 800 MHz band. In these auctions, Nextel, or a bidding consortium made up of Nextel and us, was the largest successful bidder, and as a result, we or a Nextel subsidiary hold most but not all of the EA licenses for the territories that we intend to serve.

The first EA licenses granted the licensee exclusive use of the frequencies in the EA territory. Three such licenses were issued in each EA, one for 20 channels, one for 60 channels, and one for 120 channels. To the extent that another SMR site-by-site licensee was operating in the same frequencies in the EA pursuant to another license, the EA licensee was given priority, but was also required to provide the incumbent site-by-site licensee with alternative spectrum and to compensate the incumbent for the cost of changing to the other frequency. To date, nearly all of the existing incumbents in 800 MHz spectrum have

Table of Contents

been moved through voluntary agreements. We, or a Nextel subsidiary, hold all of the EA licenses from the first auction that include our frequencies, except for a small number of the 20-channel licenses in various locations where we, or a Nextel subsidiary, hold much of the same spectrum through site-by-site licenses. Most of our EA licenses are free of incumbent carriers other than Nextel. Nextel WIP has transferred to us those site-by-site licenses located in our EA territories operating at the same frequencies.

In the second and third EA auctions, Nextel acquired almost all of the EA licenses that include frequencies that we operate on a site-by-site basis. As part of our relationship with Nextel, we have entered into long-term lease agreements with Nextel covering these EA licenses and the FCC has issued authorizations to us for operations under these EA licenses. As a result, we are able to provide service throughout the EA territory on those frequencies. Unlike the previous EA auction, however, the EA licensee does not have exclusive use of the frequencies in the EA territory. Therefore, in those limited areas where another entity may have acquired the EA license at auction but where we are an incumbent licensee operating on a site-by-site basis on the same frequency, we have the right to continue to operate under the existing site-by-site authorization.

EA licenses to operate on these frequencies are issued for ten years, after which we need to apply for renewal from the FCC. Under current rules, we expect to obtain renewal of our EA licenses if we are otherwise in good standing before the FCC. In addition, all of our SMR licenses are subject to FCC build-out requirements. The FCC has modified the build-out deadlines for our pre-1997 site-by-site SMR licenses permitting us to utilize the same build-out schedules as our EA licenses. Our EA licenses must provide coverage to at least one-third of the population of the license area within three years of the initial grant and two-thirds of the population within five years. Alternatively, the build-out requirement can be met by providing substantial service to the respective market within five years of the license grant. Failure to comply with the build-out requirements for both site-by-site licenses and EA licenses may result in a cancellation of these licenses by the FCC. We hold and utilize both site-by-site licenses and EA licenses. We have met all of the applicable time and population based build-out requirements and associated filings of licenses to date or have otherwise elected to meet the requirement by satisfying the five-year substantial service option.

In 2003, the FCC, as part of its efforts to establish secondary markets in spectrum, adopted rules that allow the leasing of spectrum that is authorized for exclusive commercial operations and that otherwise facilitates spectrum transfers among licensees. Previously, the FCC did not allow spectrum leasing, although the FCC did allow licensees to enter into management agreements providing for third parties to operate spectrum under certain circumstances as long as the licensee retained control over the operations. These new spectrum leasing rules became effective on January 26, 2004. These new rules facilitate agreements whereby system operators needing additional spectrum are able to lease or otherwise gain access to that spectrum from parties holding exclusive FCC licenses for that spectrum. Pursuant to these leasing rules, we have entered into FCC-approved leasing agreements with Nextel under which we have been able to gain access to additional spectrum throughout our service territory.

Federal Regulation of Wireless Operators. SMR regulations have undergone significant changes during the last eight years and continue to evolve as new FCC rules and regulations are adopted. Since 1996, SMR operators like us and Nextel have been subject to common carrier obligations similar to those of cellular and PCS operators. This regulatory change recognized the emergence of SMR service as competitive with the wireless service provided by cellular and PCS providers. As a result, SMR providers like us now have many of the same rights (such as the right to interconnect with other carriers) and are subject to many of the same obligations applicable to cellular and PCS operators.

The FCC and the Communications Act impose a number of mandates with which we must comply, and that may impose certain costs and technical challenges on our operations. For example, we must provide consumers the ability to manually roam on our network. In that regard, on August 31, 2005, the FCC initiated a proceeding to re-examine roaming obligations and to determine whether an automatic roaming requirement should be adopted. We and other wireless providers have filed comments and reply comments opposing such a requirement on both legal and policy grounds. Should the FCC adopt such a

Table of Contents

requirement or dictate the terms under which automatic roaming must be provided, such requirements could have a significant impact on our operations and may impose certain costs on us.

The FCC also has adopted requirements for commercial mobile radio service (or CMRS) providers, including covered SMR providers, to implement various enhanced 911 capabilities, including the ability to locate emergency callers and deliver that information to emergency responders. We were obligated to meet certain benchmark dates for deployment of handsets capable of providing such location information. To date, we have met all of the periodic benchmark dates established by the FCC, except for the final benchmark date of December 31, 2005, by which we were required to ensure that 95% of all subscriber handsets in service nationwide on our system can deliver location information. As a result, on October 17, 2005, we filed a Petition for Limited Waiver with the FCC seeking an additional limited period of 24 months, until December 31, 2007, to achieve 95% penetration of A-GPS handsets capable of completing Phase II calls to requesting public safety answering points (PSAPs). That Petition remains pending before the FCC. Meeting these requirements has imposed certain costs. In some states, we may not be able to recover our costs of implementing such enhanced 911 capabilities.

The FCC also requires CMRS providers to deploy LNP technology to allow customers to keep their telephone numbers when switching to another carrier. Covered SMR providers, including us, along with all other CMRS services providers, are required to offer this number portability service in all service territories. The LNP implementation requirement also includes enabling calls from our network to be delivered to telephone numbers that have been switched from one wireline carrier to another. In November 2003, the FCC issued clarification that wireline carriers must meet customer requests to port numbers to wireless carriers where the wireless carrier's geographic coverage area includes the rate center in which the wireline phone number is assigned. In order to recover our costs for implementing number portability, we have instituted a one-time charge applicable to all customers that port numbers off our system, which we believe to be in compliance with applicable FCC rules and policies. Number portability may make it easier for customers to switch among carriers.

In 2003, the FCC completely eliminated its wireless spectrum cap regulations which previously limited any entity from holding attributable interests in more than 55 MHz of licensed broadband PCS, cellular or covered SMR spectrum with significant overlap in any geographic area. The FCC has stated that rather than having a set spectrum cap, spectrum aggregation affecting competition will be handled on a case-by-case basis and through auction rules. These rules may affect our ability, as well as our competitors' ability, to obtain additional spectrum.

The FCC has an ongoing proceeding examining methods to facilitate the development and deployment of spectrum-based services in rural areas. During 2004, as part of this effort, the FCC promulgated rule changes intended to increase the flexibility of wireless service providers to use spectrum in rural areas, and to increase access to capital for rural buildout. The FCC also instituted a further inquiry examining methods for fostering the availability of spectrum in rural areas. As part of this inquiry, the FCC is considering whether to promulgate new keep-what-you-use re-licensing measures under which unused spectrum would revert to the FCC at the end of a license term without regard to whether applicable construction requirements have been timely satisfied. We and other wireless providers have filed comments in this proceeding opposing on both legal and policy grounds such a regulatory change. The outcome of this proceeding cannot be predicted, and if the FCC adopts such a change applicable to our existing spectrum licenses, we could be subject to increased costs or potential loss of a portion of our existing spectrum rights.

The FCC is responsible for other rules and policies, which govern the operations over the SMR spectrum necessary for the offering of our services. This includes the terms under which CMRS providers interconnect their networks and the networks of wireline and other wireless providers of interstate communications services. The FCC also has the authority to adjudicate, among other matters, complaints filed under the Communications Act with respect to service providers subject to its jurisdiction. Under its broad oversight authority with respect to market entry and the promotion of a competitive marketplace for wireless providers, the FCC regularly conducts rulemaking and other types of proceedings to determine

Table of Contents

rules and policies that could affect SMR operations, and the CMRS industry generally. These rules and policies are applicable to our operations and we could face fines or other sanctions if we do not comply.

The FCC imposes a number of obligations for local exchange carriers to interconnect their network to other carriers' networks that affect wireless service providers. Established local exchange carriers must provide for co-location of equipment necessary for interconnection, as well as any technically feasible method of interconnection requested by a CMRS provider. In addition, local exchange carriers and CMRS providers are obligated to negotiate with each other upon request to establish rates and terms governing the exchange of telecommunications between the parties' networks. If negotiations between an incumbent local exchange carrier and a CMRS provider are not successful, either party can ask the relevant state public utilities commission to establish terms and cost-based rates in a binding arbitration proceeding. These negotiations, and the outcome of state public utilities commission arbitration proceedings, may significantly affect the charges we pay to other carriers and receive from other carriers.

For several years, the FCC has considered amending its rules regarding the compensation that carriers must pay each other for both the transmission of local and non-local calls. In February 2005, the FCC adopted a Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking that seeks comment on seven comprehensive proposals for reforming the intercarrier compensation system. This proceeding is still ongoing. The outcome of this proceeding may significantly affect the charges we pay to other carriers and the compensation we receive for these services. We filed comments and reply comments recommending that the FCC eliminate payments between carriers so that carriers would recover their costs from their own customers. Other carriers have made recommendations regarding the rates charged, the scope of compensation obligations and the manner in which parties interconnect their networks that, if accepted, could impose additional costs on us.

Certain incumbent local exchange carriers, or ILECs, in rural areas have attempted to impose on wireless carriers, including us, charges to terminate traffic that we send to them by filing wireless termination tariffs with state public utility commissions. These rural ILEC tariffs feature high termination rates that are not based on the rural ILECs' cost of terminating the traffic we send. The rural ILECs justify termination tariffs as a legitimate means of recovering their costs for transport and termination of wireless traffic. On September 6, 2002 we, Nextel and other wireless carriers filed a petition for declaratory ruling with the FCC to have these tariffs declared unlawful. On February 24, 2005, the FCC denied this petition for declaratory ruling, finding that such tariffs were not necessarily unlawful; concurrently, the FCC adopted new rules prohibiting ILECs from filing such tariffs in the future. As a result, we may have liability for payment of previously filed tariffs that we refrained from paying during the pendency of the petition for declaratory ruling. In addition, a number of petitions for reconsideration of the FCC's order have been filed. While none of the petitions challenge the FCC's prospective prohibition on the filing of such tariffs, we cannot be certain that the FCC will not act on those petitions in a manner adverse to our interests.

In addition, the Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act of 1994 (or CALEA) requires all telecommunications carriers, including wireless carriers, to ensure that their equipment is capable of permitting the government, pursuant to a court order or other lawful authorization, to intercept any wire and electronic communications carried by the carrier to or from its subscribers. We have timely complied with implementation deadlines for our interconnected voice network and our digital dispatch network.

Wireless networks are also subject to certain FCC and Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) regulations regarding the relocation, lighting and construction of transmitter towers and antennas and are subject to regulation under the National Environmental Policy Act, the National Historic Preservation Act, and various environmental regulations. Compliance with these provisions could impose additional direct and/or indirect costs on us and other licensees. The FCC's rules require antenna structure owners to notify the FAA of structures that may require marking or lighting, and there are specific restrictions applicable to antennas placed near airports. In addition to our SMR licenses, we may also utilize other carriers' facilities to connect base radio sites and to link them to their respective main switching offices. These facilities may

Table of Contents

be separately licensed by the FCC and may be subject to regulation as to technical parameters, service, and transfer or assignment.

Pursuant to the Communications Act, all telecommunications carriers that provide interstate telecommunications services, including SMR providers such as ourselves, are required to make an equitable and non-discriminatory contribution to support the cost of federal universal service programs. These programs are designed to achieve a variety of public interest goals, including affordable telephone service nationwide, as well as subsidizing telecommunications services for schools and libraries. Contributions are calculated on the basis of each carrier's interstate end-user telecommunications revenue. The Communications Act also permits states to adopt universal service regulations not inconsistent with the Communications Act or the FCC's regulations, including requiring CMRS providers to contribute to their universal service funds. Additional costs may be incurred by us and ultimately by our subscribers as a result of our compliance with these required contributions.

Monies from the federal Universal Service Fund are used in part to provide several types of cost support to common carriers providing qualified services in high cost, rural and insular areas. Carriers entitled to receive support payments are termed Eligible Telecommunications Carriers or ETCs. In addition to the incumbent local exchange wireline carriers operating in high cost, rural and insular areas, the Communications Act and the FCC's implementing regulations allow in certain circumstances the designation of other competitive carriers, including wireless carriers like us, as ETCs for our qualified coverage areas in a given state jurisdiction. Determinations as to whether to grant ETC status are made in response to detailed petitions and showings on a state-by-state basis, either by a state regulatory commission, or, if the state declines jurisdiction, by the FCC. Pursuant to petitions filed by us during the past two years, we have been granted ETC designation in 15 of the states in which we operate. Several rural incumbent local exchange carriers are currently seeking FCC review of our ETC designations in seven of these states, and we cannot predict the outcome of that proceeding. We may file additional petitions in the future seeking new designations or to expand existing designations to cover additional service territory. Under current rules, we are entitled to receive the same universal service support payments (on a per-line basis) received by the incumbent wireline carrier in a given study area or wire center covered by our service. We are required by law to use the universal service support funds we receive within a given state for the provision, maintenance and upgrading of facilities and services for which the funds are earmarked under law. The precise terms and conditions applicable to universal service support payments to incumbent and competitive carriers are subject to change, and are being considered in an ongoing proceeding before the Joint Board on Universal Service.

In February 2005, the FCC adopted new rules, applicable to ETCs designated by the FCC, that changed the standards for designation of ETCs and imposed new ongoing regulatory obligations that must be met in order to maintain ETC status and receive universal service support payments. Similar, and in some cases identical, standards and requirements have been adopted by many states. These regulatory requirements include an obligation to provide annually a multi-year (up to 5 years) service improvement plan, progress updates on service improvements that have been completed, and certifications regarding our compliance with certain service provisioning and consumer protection standards. These new rules may impose some additional costs on us, and if the FCC or a state public utilities commission determines that we have not met the requirements that have been imposed, that could lead to the loss of some universal service fund payments that we currently receive. Several petitions for reconsideration, including one by us, have been filed in this proceeding. The petitions for reconsideration are still pending before the FCC.

The Communications Act also requires all telecommunications carriers, including SMR licensees, to ensure that their services are accessible to and useable by persons with disabilities, if readily achievable. Compliance with these provisions, and the regulations promulgated thereunder, could impose additional direct and/or indirect costs on us and other licensees. In August 2003, the FCC modified the statutory exemption of mobile telephones from hearing aid compatibility in its rules promulgated under the Hearing Aid Compatibility Act, and the FCC's rules now require that each digital wireless provider must offer at least two phones that are capable of being effectively used with hearing aids. In addition, there is a 2008 deadline requiring that half of all handsets offered to customers are hearing aid compliant. We are

Table of Contents

required to make periodic progress reports to the FCC and have met all applicable deadlines for hearing aid compatible handset deployment. These requirements may result in additional costs to us and other licensed mobile phone service providers.

In addition, other regulations may be promulgated pursuant to the Communications Act or other acts of Congress, which could significantly raise our cost of providing service. In response, we may be required to modify our business plans or operations in order to comply with any such regulations. Moreover, other federal or state government agencies having jurisdiction over our business may adopt or change regulations or take other action that could adversely affect our financial condition or results of operations.

State Regulation and Local Approvals. The states in which we operate generally have agencies or commissions charged under state law with regulating telecommunications companies, and local governments generally seek to regulate placement of transmitters and rights of way. While the powers of state and local governments to regulate wireless carriers are limited to some extent by federal law, we will have to devote resources to comply with state and local requirements. For example, state and local governments generally may not regulate our rates or our entry into a market, but are permitted to manage public rights of way, for which they can require fair and reasonable compensation. Nevertheless, some states, have attempted to assert certification requirements that we believe are in conflict with provisions of the Communications Act that prohibit states from regulating entry of wireless carriers. States may also impose certain surcharges on our customers that could make our service, and the service of other wireless carriers, more expensive.

Under the Communications Act, state and local authorities maintain authority over the zoning of sites where our antennas are located. These authorities, however, may not legally discriminate against or prohibit our services through their use of zoning authority. Therefore, while we may need approvals for particular sites or may not be able to choose the exact location for our sites we do not foresee significant problems in placing our antennas at sites in our territory.

In addition, a number of states and localities are considering banning or restricting the use of wireless phones while driving a motor vehicle. In 2001, New York enacted a statewide ban on driving while holding a wireless phone. Connecticut, New Jersey and the District of Columbia have enacted similar measures. Several states have also enacted partial bans for certain classes of drivers. Comparable legislation is pending in other states. A handful of localities also have enacted ordinances banning or restricting the use of handheld wireless phones by drivers. Should this become a nationwide initiative, all wireless carriers could experience a decline in the number of minutes of use by subscribers.

Employees

As of December 31, 2005, we had 2,905 employees. None of our employees is represented by a labor union or subject to a collective bargaining agreement, nor have we experienced any work stoppage due to labor disputes. We believe that our relations with our employees are good.

Available Information

Our Internet address is www.NextelPartners.com. We make available free of charge, on or through our Internet website, access to our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act) as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is filed with, or furnished to, the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). The information found on or through our website is not part of this or any other report we file with or furnish to the SEC.

Table of Contents**Executive Officers of the Registrant**

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to our executive officers:

Name	Age	Position
John Chapple	52	President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board
Barry Rowan	49	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer
David Aas	52	Vice President, Chief Technology Officer
Donald Manning	45	Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary
James Ryder	36	Vice President, Chief Operating Officer
Philip Gaske	47	Vice President, Customer Care

John Chapple worked to organize Nextel Partners throughout 1998 and has been the president, chief executive officer and chairman of the board of directors of Nextel Partners and our subsidiaries since August 1998. Mr. Chapple was elected to the board of directors pursuant to the terms of the amended and restated shareholders' agreement. Mr. Chapple, a graduate of Syracuse University and Harvard University's Advanced Management Program, has 22 years of experience in the wireless communications and cable television industries. From 1978 to 1983, he served on the senior management team of Rogers Cablesystems before moving to American Cablesystems as senior vice president of operations from 1983 to 1988. From 1988 to 1995, he served as executive vice president of operations for McCaw Cellular Communications and subsequently AT&T Wireless Services following the merger of those companies. From 1995 to 1997, Mr. Chapple was the president and chief operating officer for Orca Bay Sports and Entertainment in Vancouver, B.C. During Mr. Chapple's tenure, Orca Bay owned and operated Vancouver's National Basketball Association and National Hockey League sports franchises in addition to the General Motors Place sports arena and retail interests. Mr. Chapple is the past chairman of Cellular One Group and the Personal Communications Industry Association, past vice-chairman of the Cellular Telecommunications & Internet Association and has been on the Board of Governors of the NHL and NBA. Mr. Chapple currently serves on the Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Business Alliance board of governors, the Syracuse University board of trustees and the Advisory Board for the Maxwell School of Syracuse University. He is also on the board of directors of two private companies: Cbeyond Communications, Inc., a private VOIP (voice over internet protocol) company, and SeaMobile, Inc., a provider of wireless voice and data communications at sea.

Barry Rowan became executive vice president and chief financial officer of Nextel Partners and our subsidiaries in August 2005. Mr. Rowan joined Nextel Partners in 2003 as vice president and chief financial officer, and from 2003 to 2004 he also served as the company's treasurer. He has approximately 24 years of financial and operational experience in building technology and communications companies. Mr. Rowan earned his M.B.A. from Harvard Business School and his B.S., *summa cum laude*, in business administration and chemical biology from The College of Idaho. In 1992 he joined Fluke Corporation as vice president and chief financial officer. In 1995, he became vice president and general manager of the verification tools division, and in 1996, he became senior vice president and general manager of the networks division, a position he held until January 1999. From 1999 to 2001 Mr. Rowan was the chief financial officer at Velocom, Inc., during which time he served as chief executive officer of Vesper, the company's Brazilian subsidiary, for a period of six months. From 2002 to 2003, he was a principal at Rowan & Company, LLC. Mr. Rowan serves on the board of trustees of Seattle Pacific University and Bellevue Christian School.

David Aas has been the vice president and chief technology officer of Nextel Partners and our subsidiaries since August 1998. Prior to joining Nextel Partners, Mr. Aas served as vice president of engineering and operations of AT&T Wireless's Messaging Division. Mr. Aas has over 22 years of experience in the wireless industry and has held a number of senior technical management positions, including positions with Airsignal from 1977 to 1981, MCI from 1981 to 1986, and MobileComm from

Table of Contents

1986 to 1989. From 1989 to August 1998, he was with AT&T Wireless, where he led the design, development, construction and operation of AT&T Wireless's national messaging network.

Donald Manning has been the vice president, general counsel and secretary of Nextel Partners and our subsidiaries since August 1998. From July 1996 to July 1998, he served as regional attorney for the Western Region of AT&T Wireless Services, an 11-state business unit generating over \$400 million in revenues annually. Prior to joining AT&T Wireless Services, from September 1989 to July 1998, Mr. Manning was an attorney with Heller Ehrman White & McAuliffe specializing in corporate and commercial litigation. From September 1985 to September 1989, he was an attorney with the Atlanta-based firm of Long, Aldridge & Norman.

James Ryder has been the vice president and chief operating officer for Nextel Partners and our subsidiaries since April 2005. Prior to this position, Mr. Ryder served as the vice president—sales and marketing of Nextel Partners and our subsidiaries since July 2004. Previously he served as our director of sales since October 2001. He has approximately 10 years of wireless industry experience. Before joining Nextel Partners in 2000, he spent six years with Metrocall Paging, Inc., where he was most recently director of sales.

Philip Gaske has been the vice president—customer care for Nextel Partners and our subsidiaries since July 2004. Previously he served as our director of customer care, leading our customer service team since the company's founding and strengthening our focus on striving for 100% customer satisfaction. He has more than 22 years of management and operations experience. Prior to joining Nextel Partners, he served as vice president of customer operations for the California/ Nevada Region for McCaw Communications and director of business operations integration for AT&T Wireless. Mr. Gaske has a bachelor's degree in marketing from the University of Maryland and an MBA in Finance from George Washington University.

Our executive officers do not serve for any specified terms and, instead, are appointed by, and serve at the discretion of, our Board of Directors. However, the executive officers may from time to time be subject to employment agreements that provide such officers with severance payments in the event that they are terminated without cause, or in the event of a change in control of us, as defined in those agreements. There are no family relationships among our directors and officers.

Forward-Looking Statements

Our forward-looking statements are subject to a variety of factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from current expectations.

This report contains forward-looking statements. They can be identified by the use of forward-looking words such as believes, expects, plans, may, will, would, could, should or anticipates or other comparable words in discussions of strategy, plans or goals that involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those currently anticipated. You are cautioned that any forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve risks and uncertainties, including those set forth below in Item 1A, Risk Factors. Forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements with respect to the following:

our business plan, its advantages and our strategy for implementing our plan;

the success of efforts to improve and enhance, and satisfactorily address any issues relating to, our network performance;

the characteristics of the geographic areas and occupational markets that we are targeting in our portion of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network;

our ability to attract and retain customers;

our anticipated capital expenditures, funding requirements and contractual obligations, including our ability to access sufficient debt or equity capital to meet operating and financing needs;

Table of Contents

the availability of adequate quantities of system infrastructure and subscriber equipment and components to meet our service deployment, marketing plans and customer demand;

no significant adverse change in Motorola's ability or willingness to provide handsets and related equipment and software applications or to develop new technologies or features for us, or in our relationship with it;

our ability to achieve and maintain market penetration and average subscriber revenue levels;

our ability to successfully scale, in some circumstances in conjunction with third parties under our outsourcing arrangements, our billing, collection, customer care and similar back-office operations to keep pace with customer growth, increased system usage rates and growth in levels of accounts receivables being generated by our customers;

the development and availability of new handsets with expanded applications and features, including those that operate using the 6:1 voice coder, and market acceptance of such handsets and service offerings;

the availability and cost of acquiring additional spectrum;

the quality and price of similar or comparable wireless communications services offered or to be offered by our competitors, including providers of PCS and cellular services including, for example, two-way walkie-talkie services that have been introduced by several of our competitors;

future legislation or regulatory actions relating to SMR services, other wireless communications services or telecommunications services generally;

the potential impact on us of the reconfiguration of the 800 MHz band required by the Orders;

delivery and successful implementation of any new technologies deployed in connection with any future enhanced iDEN or next generation or other advanced services we may offer;

the costs of compliance with regulatory mandates, particularly the requirement to deploy location-based 911 capabilities and wireless number portability; and

the anticipated closing of the acquisition of our shares of Class A common stock by Sprint Nextel.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The following risk factors and other information included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K should be carefully considered. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial also may impair our business operations. If any of the following risks occur, our business, operating results and financial condition could be seriously harmed.

If Sprint Nextel experiences financial or operational difficulties, our business may be adversely affected.

Our business plan depends, in part, on Sprint Nextel continuing to build and sustain customer support of the Nextel brand and the Motorola iDEN technology. If Sprint Nextel encounters financial problems or operating difficulties relating to its portion of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network or experiences a significant decline in customer acceptance of its products or the Motorola iDEN technology or otherwise ceases to support the Motorola iDEN technology, the iDEN network or the Nextel brand, our affiliation with and dependence on Sprint Nextel may adversely affect our business, including the quality of our services, the ability of our customers to roam within the entire network and our ability to attract and retain customers. Additional information regarding Sprint Nextel, its domestic digital mobile network business and the risks associated with that business can be found in Sprint Nextel's

Edgar Filing: NEXTEL PARTNERS INC - Form 10-K

Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, as well as their other filings made under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), and the Exchange Act (SEC file number 1-04721).

28

Table of Contents***Nextel WIP is obligated to purchase all of our outstanding Class A common stock as a result of the Sprint Nextel transaction.***

On December 20, 2005, we entered into a letter agreement with Nextel WIP, Nextel and Sprint Nextel Corporation (collectively, the Sprint Parties). The letter agreement acknowledges that pursuant to the written reports of the First Appraiser and the Second Appraiser (as such terms are defined in our charter), our Fair Market Value (as defined in our charter) for purposes of the put right described in the charter is \$9,167,571,573, which results in a price per share of our Class A common stock of \$28.50. Each of the Sprint Parties agrees to complete the put transaction for cash. Each party agrees to use its reasonable best efforts to promptly consummate the put transaction and to obtain the necessary regulatory approvals to consummate the put transaction as promptly as practicable. We also agreed, among other things, to use commercially reasonable efforts to conduct business in the ordinary course of business and in a manner consistent with prior practice, and to restrictions with specified exceptions on changes in our capitalization and employee benefit arrangements. **The completion of the acquisition is subject to customary regulatory approvals including the approval of the FCC. If the FCC fails to approve the transfer of control of our licenses to Sprint Nextel or substantially delays its approval, our ability to successfully operate our business could be impaired.**

Our highly leveraged capital structure and other factors could limit both our ability to obtain additional financing and our growth opportunities and could adversely affect our business in several other ways.

The total non-current portion of our outstanding debt, including capital lease obligations, was approximately \$1.2 billion as of December 31, 2005 and greatly exceeds the level of our stockholders' equity. As of December 31, 2005, the non-current portion of total long-term debt outstanding included \$550.0 million outstanding under our credit facility, \$188.3 million of outstanding 1¹/₂% convertible senior notes due 2008, \$474.6 million of outstanding 8¹/₂% senior notes due 2011, and \$13.7 million of capital lease obligations. In aggregate, this indebtedness represented approximately 60% of our total book capitalization at that date.

Our large amount of outstanding indebtedness, and the fact that we may need to incur additional debt in the future, could significantly impact our business for the following reasons:

it limits our ability to obtain additional financing, if needed, to implement any enhancement of our portion of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network, including any enhanced iDEN services, to expand wireless voice capacity, enhanced data services or potential next-generation mobile wireless services, to cover our cash flow deficit or for working capital, other capital expenditures, debt service requirements or other purposes;

it will require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our operating cash flow to fund interest expense on our credit facility and other indebtedness, reducing funds available for our operations or other purposes;

it makes us vulnerable to interest rate fluctuations because our credit facility term loan bears interest at variable rates; and

it limits our ability to compete with competitors who are not as highly leveraged, especially those who may be able to price their service packages at levels below those which we can or are willing to match.

Our ability to make payments on our indebtedness and to fund planned capital expenditures will depend on our ability to generate cash in the future. This, to a certain extent, is subject to general economic, financial, competitive, legislative, regulatory and other factors that are beyond our control. Based on our current level of operations and anticipated cost savings and operating improvements, we believe our cash flow from operations, available cash and available borrowings under our credit facility will be adequate for the foreseeable future to meet our estimated capital requirements to build out and maintain our portion of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network using the current 800 MHz iDEN system.

Table of Contents

We cannot be sure, however, that our business will generate sufficient cash flow from operations, that currently anticipated cost savings and operating improvements will be realized on schedule or that future borrowings will be available to us under our credit facility in an amount sufficient to enable us to pay our indebtedness and our obligations under our credit facility or our existing convertible senior notes and senior notes, or to fund our other liquidity needs. In addition, if our indebtedness cannot be repaid at maturity or refinanced, we will not be able to meet our obligations under our debt agreements, which could result in the cessation of our business.

If we default on our debt or if we are liquidated, the value of our assets may not be sufficient to satisfy our obligations. We have a significant amount of intangible assets, such as licenses granted by the FCC. The value of these licenses will depend significantly upon the success of our business and the growth of the SMR and wireless communications industries in general.

General conditions in the wireless communications industry or specific competitors' results, including potential decreases in new subscriber additions, declining ARPU or increased customer dissatisfaction, may adversely affect the market price of our notes and Class A common stock and, as a result, could impair our ability to raise additional capital through the sale of our equity or debt securities. In addition, the fundraising efforts of Sprint Nextel or any of its affiliates may also adversely affect our ability to raise additional funds.

We have a history of operating losses, may incur operating losses in the future and may not be able to generate the earnings necessary to fund our operations, sustain the continued growth of our business or repay our debt obligations.

We did not commence commercial operations until January 29, 1999, and the portion of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network we began operating on that date only had a few months of operating history. Since then, we have had a history of operating losses, and, as of December 31, 2005, we had an accumulated deficit of approximately \$545.5 million. Fiscal year 2004 was the first year that we achieved positive net income for the full year. We may incur operating losses in the future. We cannot assure you that we will sustain profitability in the future. If we fail to achieve significant and sustained growth in our revenues and earnings from operations, we will not have sufficient cash to fund our current operations, sustain the continued growth of our business or repay our debt obligations. Our failure to fund our operations or continued growth would have an adverse impact on our financial condition, and our failure to make any required payments would result in defaults under all of our debt agreements, which could result in the cessation of our business.

Our existing debt agreements contain restrictive and financial covenants that limit our operating flexibility.

The indentures governing our existing convertible senior notes, senior notes and the credit facility of OPCO, contain covenants that, among other things, restrict our ability to take specific actions even if we believe them to be in our best interest. These include restrictions on our ability to:

incur additional debt;

pay dividends or distributions on, or redeem or repurchase, capital stock;

create liens on assets;

make investments, loans or advances;

issue or sell capital stock of certain of our subsidiaries;

enter into transactions with affiliates; or

engage in any business other than telecommunications.

In addition, our credit facility imposes financial covenants that require our principal subsidiary to comply with specified financial ratios and tests, including minimum interest coverage ratios, maximum

Table of Contents

leverage ratios and minimum fixed charge coverage ratios. We cannot assure you that we will be able to meet these requirements or satisfy these covenants in the future, and if we fail to do so, our debts could become immediately payable at a time when we are unable to pay them, which would adversely affect our ability to carry out our business plan and would have a negative impact on our financial condition.

Our future performance will depend on our and Nextel's ability to succeed in the highly competitive wireless communications industry.

Our ability to compete effectively with established and prospective wireless communications service providers depends on many factors, including the following:

If the wireless communications technology that we and Nextel use does not continue to perform in a manner that meets customer expectations, or if Sprint Nextel abandons, sells or otherwise decreases or eliminates its support of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network and the iDEN technology, we will be unable to attract and retain customers. Customer acceptance of the services we offer is and will continue to be affected by technology-based differences and by the operational performance and reliability of system transmissions on the Nextel Digital Wireless Network. If we are unable to address and satisfactorily resolve performance or other transmission quality issues as they arise, including transmission quality issues on Sprint Nextel's portion of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network, we may have difficulty attracting and retaining customers, which would adversely affect our revenues.

As personal communication services and cellular operators, such as Verizon Wireless, Cingular and Alltel, begin to offer two-way radio dispatch services, our historical competitive advantage of being uniquely able to combine that service with our mobile telephone service may be impaired. Further, some of our competitors have attempted to compete with Direct Connect by offering unlimited mobile-to-mobile calling plan features and reduced rate calling plan features for designated groups. If these calling plan modifications are perceived by our existing and potential customers as viable substitutes for our differentiated services, our business may be adversely affected.

Because the Nextel Digital Wireless Network does not currently provide roaming or similar coverage on a nationwide basis that is as extensive as is available through most cellular and personal communication services providers, we may not be able to compete effectively against those providers.

In addition, some of our competitors provide their customers with handsets with both digital and analog capability, which expands their coverage, while we have only digital capability. We cannot be sure that we, either alone or together with Sprint Nextel, will be able to achieve comparable system coverage or that a sufficient number of customers or potential customers will be willing to accept system coverage limitations as a trade-off for our multi-function wireless communications package.

We do not have the extensive direct and indirect channels of products and services distribution that are available to some of our competitors. The lack of these distribution channels could adversely affect our operating results. Although we have expanded our distribution channels to include retail locations, we cannot assure you that these distribution channels will be successful. Moreover, many of our competitors have established extensive networks of retail locations, including locations dedicated solely to their products, and multiple distribution channels and, therefore, have access to more potential customers than we do.

Because of their greater resources and potentially greater leverage with multiple suppliers, some of our competitors may be able to offer handsets and services to customers at prices that are below the prices that we can offer for comparable handsets and services. If we cannot, as a result, compete effectively based on the price of our product and service offerings, our revenues and growth may be adversely affected.

Table of Contents

The wireless telecommunications industry is experiencing significant technological change. Our digital technology could become obsolete or it may not be a suitable platform for the implementation of new and emerging technologies and service offerings. We rely on digital technology that is not compatible with, and that competes with, other forms of digital and non-digital voice communication technology.

Competition among these differing technologies could result in the following: segment the user markets, which could reduce demand for specific technologies, including our technology; reduce the resources devoted by third-party suppliers, including Motorola, which supplies all of our current digital technology, to developing or improving the technology for our systems; and otherwise adversely affect market acceptance of our services.

We offer our subscribers access to digital two-way mobile data and Internet connectivity under the brand name Nextel Online. We cannot be sure that these services will continue to perform satisfactorily, be utilized by a sufficient number of our subscribers or produce sufficient levels of customer satisfaction or revenues. Because we have less spectrum than some of our competitors, and because we have elected to defer the implementation of next-generation services, any digital two-way mobile data and Internet connectivity services that we may offer could be significantly limited compared to those services offered by other wireless communications providers with larger spectrum positions. The success of these new services will be jeopardized if: we are unable to offer these new services profitably; these new service offerings adversely impact the performance or reliability of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network; we, Sprint Nextel or third-party developers fail to develop new applications for our customers; or we otherwise do not achieve a satisfactory level of customer acceptance and utilization of these services.

We expect that as the number of wireless communications providers in our market areas increases, including providers of both digital and analog services, our competitors' prices in these markets will decrease. We may encounter further market pressures to reduce our digital wireless network service offering prices; restructure our digital wireless network service offering packages to offer more value; or respond to particular short-term, market-specific situations, for example, special introductory pricing or packages that may be offered by new providers launching their services in a particular market. A reduction in our pricing would likely have an adverse effect on our revenues and operating results.

Because of the numerous features we offer and the fact that Motorola is our sole source of handsets (with the exception of our BlackBerry handsets, which are available only from RIM), our mobile handsets are, and are likely to remain, significantly more expensive than mobile analog telephones and are, and are likely to remain, somewhat more expensive than digital cellular or personal communication services telephones that do not incorporate a comparable multi-function capability. The higher cost of our equipment may make it more difficult or less profitable to attract customers who do not place a high value on our multi-service offering. This may reduce our growth opportunities or profitability.

Consolidation has and may continue to result in additional large, well-capitalized competitors with substantial financial, technical, marketing and other resources. For example, the acquisition of AT&T Wireless by Cingular Wireless, which closed in October 2004, created the largest wireless phone provider in the United States, with significantly more resources and a larger customer base than we or any other competing company. In addition, in August 2005, Sprint acquired Nextel and Alltel Communications acquired regional wireless service provider Western Wireless. Late in 2005, Alltel announced the acquisition of Midwest Wireless, a cellular and PCS licensee. This concentration of resources in the marketplace could result in increased cost efficiency for the acquiring companies, allowing them to obtain more favorable terms from their suppliers, which could enable them to discount their handsets or services to customers.

Table of Contents

Any failure to effectively integrate our portion of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network with Sprint Nextel's portion would have an adverse effect on our results of operations.

Pursuant to our operating agreements with Nextel WIP, Nextel WIP provides us with important services and assistance, including a license to use the Nextel brand name and the sharing of switches that direct calls to their destinations. Any interruption in the provision of these services, or any failure by Sprint Nextel to continue to provide the level of support consistent with past practices between us and Nextel prior to the merger of Nextel and Sprint, could delay or prevent the continued seamless operation of our portion of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network with Sprint Nextel's portion, which is essential to the overall success of our business.

Moreover, our business plan depends on our ability to implement integrated customer service, network management and billing systems with Sprint Nextel's systems to allow our respective portions of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network to operate together and to provide our and Sprint Nextel's customers with seamless service. Integration requires that numerous and diverse computer hardware and software systems work together. Any failure to integrate these systems effectively may have an adverse effect on our results of operations.

Difficulties in operating our portion of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network could increase the costs of operating the network, which would adversely affect our ability to generate revenues.

The continued operation of our portion of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network involves certain risks. Before we are able to build additional cell sites in our markets to expand coverage, fill in gaps in coverage or increase capacity, we will need to:

select and acquire appropriate sites for our transmission equipment, or cell sites;

purchase and install low-power transmitters, receivers and control equipment, or base radio equipment;

build out the physical infrastructure;

obtain interconnection services from local telephone service carriers on a timely basis; and

test the cell site.

Our ability to perform these necessary steps successfully may be hindered by, among other things, any failure to: lease or obtain rights to sites for the location of our base radio equipment;

obtain necessary zoning and other local approvals with respect to the placement, construction and modification of our facilities;

acquire additional necessary radio frequencies from third parties or exchange radio frequency licenses with Nextel WIP;

commence and complete the construction of sites for our equipment in a timely and satisfactory manner; or

obtain necessary approvals, licenses or permits from federal, state or local agencies, including land use regulatory approvals and approvals from the Federal Aviation Administration and Federal Communications Commission with respect to the transmission towers that we will be using.

Before fully implementing our portion of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network in a new market area or expanding coverage in an existing market area, we must complete systems design work, find appropriate sites and construct necessary transmission structures, receive regulatory approvals, free up frequency channels now devoted to non-digital transmissions and begin systems optimization. These processes may take weeks or months to complete and may be hindered or delayed by many factors, including unavailability of antenna sites at optimal locations, land use and zoning controversies and limitations of

Table of Contents

available frequencies. In addition, we may experience cost overruns and delays not within our control caused by acts of governmental entities, design changes, material and equipment shortages, delays in delivery and catastrophic occurrences. Any failure to construct our portion of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network on a timely basis may adversely affect our ability to provide the quality of services in our markets consistent with our current business plan, and any significant delays could have a material adverse effect on our business.

If we do not offer services that Nextel WIP requires us to offer or we fail to meet performance standards, we risk termination of our agreements with Nextel WIP, which would eliminate our ability to carry out our current business plan and strategy.

Our operating agreements with Nextel WIP require us to construct and operate our portion of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network in accordance with specific standards and to offer certain services by Nextel and its domestic subsidiaries. Our failure to satisfy these obligations could constitute a default under the operating agreements that would give Nextel WIP the right to terminate these agreements and would terminate our right to use the Nextel brand. The non-renewal or termination of the Nextel WIP operating agreements would eliminate our ability to carry out our current business plan and strategy and adversely affect our financial condition.

We may be required to implement material changes to our business operations to the extent these changes are adopted by Nextel, which may not be beneficial to our business.

If Nextel adopts material changes to its operations, our operating agreements with Nextel WIP give it the right to require us to make similar changes to our operations. The failure to implement required changes could, under certain circumstances, trigger the ability of Nextel WIP to terminate the operating agreements, which could result in the adverse effects described above. Even if the required change is beneficial to Nextel, the effect on our business may vary due to differences in markets and customers. We cannot assure you that such changes would not adversely affect our business plan.

The iDEN transmission technology used by us and Nextel is different from that used by most other wireless carriers, and, as a result, we might not be able to keep pace with industry standards if more widely used technologies advance.

The Nextel Digital Wireless Network uses scattered, non-contiguous radio spectrum near the frequencies used by cellular carriers. Because of their fragmented character, these frequencies traditionally were only usable for two-way radio calls, such as those used to dispatch taxis and delivery vehicles. Nextel became able to use these frequencies to provide a wireless telephone service competitive with cellular carriers only when Motorola developed a proprietary technology it calls iDEN. We, Sprint Nextel and Southern LINC are currently the only major U.S. wireless service providers utilizing iDEN technology on a nationwide basis, and iDEN handsets are not currently designed to roam onto other domestic wireless networks.

Our operating agreements with Nextel WIP require us to use the iDEN technology in our system and prevent us from adopting any new communications technologies that may perform better or may be available at a lower cost without Nextel WIP's consent.

Future technological advancements may enable other wireless technologies to equal or exceed our current levels of service and render iDEN technology obsolete. If Motorola is unable to upgrade or improve iDEN technology or develop other technology to meet future advances in competing technologies on a timely basis, or at an acceptable cost, because of the restrictive provisions in our operating agreements with Nextel WIP, we will be less able to compete effectively and could lose customers to our competitors, all of which would have an adverse effect on our business and financial condition. Moreover, if Sprint Nextel migrates its customers and network to a CDMA platform or otherwise concentrates its capital resources on its CDMA operations and not its iDEN operations, we will be less able to compete effectively and could lose our customers to competitors.

Table of Contents***We are dependent on Motorola for telecommunications equipment necessary for the operation of our business, and any failure of Motorola to perform would adversely affect our operating results.***

Motorola is currently our sole-source supplier of transmitters used in our network and wireless handset equipment used by our customers (with the exception of our Blackberry handsets, which are available only from RIM), and we rely, and expect to continue to rely, on Motorola to manufacture a substantial portion of the equipment necessary to construct our share of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network. We expect that for the next few years, Motorola will be the only manufacturer of wireless handsets, other than RIM, that are compatible with the Nextel Digital Wireless Network. If Motorola becomes unable to deliver such equipment, or refuses to do so on reasonable terms, then we may not be able to service our existing subscribers or add new subscribers and our business would be adversely affected. Motorola and its affiliates engage in wireless communications businesses and may in the future engage in additional businesses that do or may compete with some or all of the services we offer. If these or other factors affecting our relationship with Motorola were to result in a significant adverse change in Motorola's ability or willingness to provide handsets and related equipment and software applications, or to develop new technologies or features for us, or in Motorola's ability or willingness to do so on a timely, cost-effective basis, we may not be able to adequately service our existing subscribers or add new subscribers and may not be able to offer competitive services. We cannot assure you that any potential conflict of interest between us and Motorola will not adversely affect our ability to obtain equipment in the future.

In addition, the failure by Motorola to deliver necessary technology improvements and enhancements and system infrastructure and subscriber equipment on a timely, cost-effective basis would have an adverse effect on our growth and operations. For instance, we rely on Motorola to provide us with technology improvements designed to expand our wireless voice capacity and improve our services, such as the 6:1 voice coder software upgrade, and the handset-based A-GPS location technology solution necessary for us to comply with the FCC's E911 requirements. The failure by Motorola to deliver these improvements and solutions, or its inability to do so within our anticipated timeframe, could impose significant additional costs on us.

We generally have been able to obtain adequate quantities of base radios and other system infrastructure equipment from Motorola, and adequate volumes and mix of wireless telephones and related accessories from Motorola, to meet subscriber and system loading rates, but we cannot be sure that equipment quantities will be sufficient in the future. Additionally, in the event of shortages of that equipment, our agreements with Nextel WIP provide that available supplies of this equipment would be allocated proportionately between Sprint Nextel and us.

Costs and other aspects of a future deployment of advanced digital technology could adversely affect our operations and growth.

Based on our current outlook we anticipate eventually deploying advanced digital technology that will allow high capacity wireless voice and high-speed data transmission, and potentially other advanced digital services. The technology that we would deploy to provide these types of broadband wireless services is sometimes referred to as next-generation technologies. We are focusing activities on maximizing our ability to offer next-generation capabilities while continuing to fully utilize our iDEN digital wireless network. Significant capital expenditures may be required in implementing this next-generation technology, and we cannot assure you that we will have the financial resources necessary to fund these expenditures or, if we do implement this technology, that it would provide the advantages that we would expect. Moreover, it may be necessary to acquire additional frequencies to implement next-generation technologies, and we cannot be sure that we will be able to obtain such spectrum on reasonable terms, if at all. The actual amount of the funds required to finance and implement this technology may significantly exceed our current estimate. Further, any future implementation could require additional unforeseen capital expenditures in the event of unforeseen delays, cost overruns, unanticipated expenses, regulatory changes, engineering design changes, equipment unavailability and technological or other complications. In addition, there are several types of next-generation technologies that may not be fully compatible with each other or with other currently deployed digital technologies. If the type of technology that we either choose to

Table of Contents

deploy or are required to deploy to maintain compatibility with the technology chosen by Sprint Nextel does not gain widespread acceptance or perform as expected, or if our competitors develop next-generation technology that is more effective or economical than ours, our business would be adversely affected.

We may not be able to obtain additional spectrum, which may adversely impact our ability to implement our business plan.

We may seek to acquire additional spectrum, including through participation as a bidder, or member of a bidding group, in government-sponsored auctions of spectrum. We may not be able to accomplish any spectrum acquisition or the necessary additional capital for that purpose may not be available on acceptable terms, or at all. If sufficient additional capital is not available, to the extent we are able to complete any spectrum acquisition, the amount of funding available to us for our existing businesses would be reduced. Even if we are able to acquire additional spectrum, we may still require additional capital to finance the pursuit of any new business opportunities associated with our acquisition of additional spectrum, including those associated with the potential provision of any new next-generation wireless services. This additional capital may not be available on reasonable terms, or at all.

Our network may not have sufficient capacity to support our anticipated subscriber growth.

Our business plan depends on assuring that our portion of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network has adequate capacity to accommodate anticipated new subscribers and the related increase in usage of our network. This plan relies on:

our ability to economically expand the capacity and coverage of our network;

the ability to obtain additional spectrum when and where required;

the availability of wireless handsets of the appropriate model and type to meet the demands and preferences of our customers; and

the ability to obtain and construct additional cell sites and obtain other infrastructure equipment.

We cannot assure you that we will not experience unanticipated difficulties in obtaining these items, which could adversely affect our ability to build our portion of the network.

Potential systems limitations on adding subscribers may adversely affect our growth and performance.

Our success in generating revenues by attracting and retaining large numbers of subscribers to our portion of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network is critical to our business plan. In order to do so, we must maintain effective procedures for customer activation, customer service, billing and other support services. Even if our system is technically functional, we may encounter other factors that could adversely affect our ability to successfully add customers to our portion of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network, including:

inadequate or inefficient systems or business processes and related support functions, especially related to customer service and accounts receivable collection; and

an inappropriately long length of time between a customer's order and activation of service for that customer, especially because the current activation time for our new customers is longer than that of some of our competitors.

Customer reliance on our customer service functions may increase as we add new customers. Our inability to timely and efficiently meet the demands for these services could decrease or postpone subscriber growth, or delay or otherwise impede billing and collection of amounts owed, which would adversely affect our revenues.

Table of Contents

If an event constituting a change of control or fundamental change occurs under our indentures, we may be required to redeem or repurchase all of our outstanding notes even if our credit facility prohibits such redemption or repurchase or we lack the resources to make such redemption or repurchase.

Upon the occurrence of a defined change of control or fundamental change under the indentures governing our existing convertible senior notes and senior notes, other than a change of control involving certain of our existing stockholders, we could be required to redeem or repurchase our existing convertible senior notes and senior notes. However, our credit facility prohibits us, except under certain circumstances, from redeeming or repurchasing any of our outstanding notes before their stated maturity. In the event we become subject to a change of control at a time when we are prohibited from redeeming or repurchasing our outstanding notes our failure to redeem or repurchase such notes would constitute an event of default under the respective indentures, which would in turn result in a default under our credit facility. Any default under our indentures or credit facility would result in an acceleration of such indebtedness, which would harm our financial condition and adversely impact our ability to implement our business plan and could result in the cessation of our business. Moreover, even if we obtained consent under our credit facility, we cannot be sure that we would have sufficient resources to redeem or repurchase our outstanding notes and still have sufficient funds available to successfully pursue our business plan.

We are dependent on our current key personnel, and our success depends upon our continued ability to attract, train and retain additional qualified personnel.

The loss of one or more key employees could impair our ability to successfully operate our portion of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network. We believe that our future success will also depend on our continued ability to attract and retain highly qualified technical, sales and management personnel.

Concerns that the use of wireless telephones may pose health and safety risks may discourage the use of our wireless telephones.

Studies and reports have suggested that, and additional studies are currently being undertaken to determine whether, radio frequency emissions from ESMR, cellular and PCS wireless telephones may be linked with health risks, including cancer, and may interfere with various electronic medical devices, including hearing aids and pacemakers. The actual or perceived risk of wireless telephones could adversely affect us through a reduced subscriber growth rate, a reduction in subscribers, reduced network usage per subscriber or reduced financing available to the mobile communications industry generally.

Litigation by individuals alleging injury from health effects associated with radio frequency emissions from wireless telephones has been brought against us and other mobile wireless carriers and manufacturers. In addition, purported class action litigation has been filed seeking to require all wireless telephones to include an earpiece that would enable use of the telephones without holding them against the user's head. While it is not possible to predict the outcome of this litigation, circumstances surrounding it could increase the cost of our wireless telephones as well as increase other costs of doing business.

Due to safety concerns, some state and local legislatures have passed or are considering legislation restricting the use of wireless telephones while driving automobiles. Federal legislation has been proposed that would affect funding available to states that do not adopt similar legislation. The passage of this type of legislation could decrease demand for our services, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Regulatory authorities exercise considerable power over our operations, which could be exercised against our interests and impose additional unanticipated costs.

The FCC and state telecommunications authorities regulate our business to a substantial degree. The regulation of the wireless telecommunications industry is subject to constant change by legislation and by new rules and regulations of the FCC. We cannot predict the effect that any legislation or FCC rulemaking may have on our future operations. We must comply with all applicable regulations to conduct our business. Modifications of our business plans or operations to comply with changing regulations or

Table of Contents

actions taken by regulatory authorities might increase our costs of providing service and adversely affect our financial condition. In addition, we anticipate FCC regulation or Congressional legislation that creates additional spectrum allocations that may also have the effect of adding new entrants into the mobile telecommunications market.

If we fail to comply with the terms of our licenses or applicable regulations, we could lose one or more licenses or face penalties and fines. For example, we could lose a license if we fail to construct or operate facilities as required by the license. If we lose licenses, that loss could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial condition.

We were not able to meet a December 31, 2005 FCC deadline with respect to A-GPS handset subscriber penetration.

On October 17, 2005, we filed a Petition for Limited Waiver with the FCC seeking an additional limited period of 24 months, until December 31, 2007, to achieve 95% penetration of A-GPS handsets capable of completing Phase II calls to requesting PSAPs. The Petition remains pending before the FCC. The FCC could impose fines or take other regulatory action that could have an adverse effect on our business for failing to meet this requirement that could have an adverse effect on our business.

A-GPS capable handsets are used to locate customers placing emergency 911-telephone calls. The FCC required that by December 31, 2005, 95% of our subscriber base must use A-GPS capable handsets. On October 17, 2005, we filed a Petition for Limited Waiver with the FCC seeking an additional limited period of 24 months, until December 31, 2007, to achieve 95% penetration of A-GPS handsets capable of completing Phase II calls to requesting PSAPs. The Petition remains pending before the FCC. In our last quarterly Phase I and II E911 Quarterly Report to the FCC filed February 1, 2006, we notified the FCC that, as of January 31, 2006, we had attained an estimated 75.4% functional A-GPS handset penetration rate. The FCC could impose fines or take other regulatory action for failing to meet this requirement that could have an adverse effect on our business.

In addition, we may incur significant additional costs in order to satisfy the Phase II E911 requirement because our compliance requires that the non-A-GPS capable handsets in our subscriber base be replaced with A-GPS capable handsets. The amount of the costs we would incur is highly dependent on both the number of new subscribers added to our network who purchase an A-GPS capable handset, and the number of existing subscribers who upgrade from non-A-GPS capable handsets to A-GPS capable handsets. If our rate of churn remains low, unless substantial numbers of subscribers upgrade their handsets, we may have to incur significant costs to get a sufficient number of A-GPS capable handsets into our network.

Nextel WIP has contractual approval rights that allow it to exert significant influence over our operations, and it can acquire additional shares of our stock.

Pursuant to our amended and restated shareholders' agreement and Sprint Nextel operating agreements, the approval of the director designated by Nextel WIP, and/or of Nextel WIP itself, is required in order for us to:

make a material change in our technology;

modify our business objectives in any way that is inconsistent with our objectives under our material agreements, including our operating agreements with Nextel WIP;

dispose of all or substantially all of our assets;

make a material change in or broaden the scope of our business beyond our current business objectives; or

enter into any agreement the terms of which would be materially altered in the event that Nextel WIP either exercises or declines to exercise its rights to acquire additional shares of our stock

Table of Contents

under the terms of the amended and restated shareholders' agreement or our restated certificate of incorporation.

These approval rights relate to significant transactions, and decisions by the Nextel WIP-designated director could conflict with those of our other directors, including our independent directors.

In addition, the amended and restated shareholders' agreement does not prohibit Nextel WIP or any of our other stockholders or any of their respective affiliates from purchasing shares of our Class A common stock in the open market or in private transactions. In September 2004, Nextel purchased approximately 5.6 million shares of our Class A common stock from another stockholder. Such purchases increase the voting power and influence of the purchasing stockholder and could ultimately result in a change of control of us. Shares of Class A common stock are immediately and automatically convertible into an equal number of shares of Class B common stock upon the acquisition of such shares of Class A common stock by Sprint Nextel, by any of its majority-owned subsidiaries, or by any person or group that controls Nextel. Additionally, if we experience a change of control, Nextel WIP could purchase all of our licenses for \$1.00, provided that it enters into a royalty-free agreement with us to allow us to use the licenses in our territory for as long as our operating agreements with Nextel WIP remain in effect. Such an agreement would be subject to approval by the FCC.

Significant stockholders represented on our board of directors can exert significant influence over us and may have interests that conflict with those of our other stockholders.

As of December 31, 2005, our officers, directors and greater than 5% stockholders together controlled approximately 45% of our outstanding common stock. As a result, these stockholders, if they act together, will be able to greatly affect the management and affairs of our company and matters requiring stockholder approval, including the election of directors and approval of significant corporate transactions. This concentration of ownership may have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control of our company.

In addition, under our amended and restated shareholders' agreement, Nextel WIP and Madison Dearborn Capital Partners II, L.P. each have the right to designate a member to our board of directors. We cannot be certain that any conflicts that arise between our interests and those of these stockholders will always be resolved in our favor. Moreover, as described above, Nextel WIP has certain approval rights that allow it to exert significant influence over our operations.

Madison Dearborn Partners and Eagle River Investments, LLC each own significant amounts of our capital stock, and Madison Dearborn Partners currently has a representative on our board of directors. Each of these entities or their affiliates has significant investments in other telecommunications businesses, some of which may compete with us currently or in the future. We do not have a non-competition agreement with any of our stockholders, and thus their or their affiliates' current and future investments could create conflicts of interest with us.

Anti-takeover provisions could prevent or delay a change of control that stockholders may favor.

Provisions of our charter documents, amended and restated shareholders' agreement, operating agreements and Delaware law may discourage, delay or prevent a merger or other change of control of our company that stockholders may consider favorable. We have authorized the issuance of "blank check" preferred stock and have imposed certain restrictions on the calling of special meetings of stockholders. If we experience a change of control, Nextel WIP could purchase all of our licenses for \$1.00, provided that it enters into a royalty-free agreement with us to allow us to use the frequencies in our territory for as long as our operating agreements remain in effect. Such an agreement would be subject to approval by the FCC. Moreover, a change of control could trigger an event of default under our credit facility and the indentures governing our senior discount notes, convertible senior notes and senior notes. These provisions could have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change of control in our company, discourage bids for our Class A common stock at a premium over the market price, lower the market price of our

Table of Contents

Class A common stock, or impede the ability of the holders of our Class A common stock to change our management.

Regulations to which we are subject may affect the ability of some of our investors to have an equity interest in us. Additionally, our restated certificate of incorporation contains provisions that allow us to redeem shares of our securities in order to maintain compliance with applicable federal and state telecommunications laws and regulations.

Our business is subject to regulation by the FCC and state regulatory commissions or similar state regulatory agencies in the states in which we operate. This regulation may prevent some investors from owning our securities, even if that ownership may be favorable to us. The FCC and some states have statutes or regulations that would require an investor who acquires a specified percentage of our securities or the securities of one of our subsidiaries to obtain approval from the FCC or the applicable state commission to own those securities. Moreover, our restated certificate of incorporation allows us to redeem shares of our stock from any stockholder in order to maintain compliance with applicable federal and state telecommunications laws and regulations.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

We own no material real property. We lease our headquarters located in Kirkland, Washington. This facility is approximately 22,600 square feet, and we have a lease commitment on the facility through July 2009. We lease additional administrative office space of approximately 59,000 square feet in Eden Prairie, Minnesota under a lease expiring October 2007. To operate our customer service call centers, we lease approximately 67,000 square feet of office space in Las Vegas, Nevada under a lease expiring October 2006. In addition, in March 2005 we began leasing warehouse space in Las Vegas of approximately 16,000 square feet under a lease expiring February 2007. We operate another customer service call center in Panama City Beach, Florida where we lease approximately 67,000 square feet of office space under a lease expiring July 2013 and we lease additional space in Panama City Beach, Florida of approximately 45,000 square feet under a lease expiring July 2010. In addition, we lease space for our retail stores under various operating lease agreements with terms ranging from one to seven years. As of December 31, 2005, we had 135 retail stores.

We lease cell sites for the transmission of radio service under various master site lease agreements as well as individual site leases. The terms of these leases generally range from five to 25 years at monthly rents ranging from \$300 to \$3,200. As of December 31, 2005, we had 4,630 operational cell sites.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

On December 5, 2001, a purported class action lawsuit was filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York against us, two of our executive officers and four of the underwriters involved in our initial public offering. The lawsuit is captioned *Keifer v. Nextel Partners, Inc., et al*, No. 01 CV 10945. It was filed on behalf of all persons who acquired our common stock between February 22, 2000 and December 6, 2000 and initially named as defendants us, John Chapple, our president, chief executive officer and chairman of the board, John D. Thompson, our chief financial officer and treasurer until August 2003, and the following underwriters of our initial public offering: Goldman Sachs & Co., Credit Suisse First Boston Corporation (predecessor of Credit Suisse First Boston LLC), Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated and Merrill Lynch Pierce Fenner & Smith Incorporated. Mr. Chapple and Mr. Thompson have been dismissed from the lawsuit without prejudice. The complaint alleges that the defendants violated the Securities Act and the Exchange Act by issuing a registration statement and offering circular that were false and misleading in that they failed to disclose that: (i) the defendant underwriters allegedly had solicited and received excessive and undisclosed commissions from certain

Table of Contents

investors who purchased our common stock issued in connection with our initial public offering; and (ii) the defendant underwriters allegedly allocated shares of our common stock issued in connection with our initial public offering to investors who allegedly agreed to purchase additional shares of our common stock at pre-arranged prices. The complaint seeks rescissory and/or compensatory damages. We dispute the allegations of the complaint that suggest any wrongdoing on our part or by our officers. However, the plaintiffs and the issuing company defendants, including us, have reached a settlement of the issues in the lawsuit. The court granted preliminary approval of the settlement on February 15, 2005, subject to certain modifications. On August 31, 2005, the court issued a preliminary order further approving the modifications to the settlement and certifying the settlement classes. The court also appointed the Notice Administrator for the settlement and ordered that notice of the settlement be distributed to all settlement class members beginning on November 15, 2005. The settlement fairness hearing has been set for April 24, 2006. Following the hearing, if the court determines that the settlement is fair to the class members, the settlement will be approved. There can be no assurance that this proposed settlement would be approved and implemented in its current form, or at all. The settlement would provide, among other things, a release of us and of the individual defendants for the conduct alleged to be wrongful in the amended complaint. We would agree to undertake other responsibilities under the partial settlement, including agreeing to assign away, not assert, or release certain potential claims we may have against the underwriters. Any direct financial impact of the proposed settlement is expected to be borne by our insurance carriers. Due to the inherent uncertainties of litigation and because the settlement approval process is at a preliminary stage, we cannot accurately predict the ultimate outcome of the matter.

On April 1, 2003, a purported class action lawsuit was filed in the 93rd District Court of Hidalgo County, Texas against us, Nextel and Nextel West Corp. The lawsuit is captioned Rolando Prado v. Nextel Communications, *et al*, Civil Action No. C-695-03-B. On May 2, 2003, a purported class action lawsuit was filed in the Circuit Court of Shelby County for the Thirtieth Judicial District at Memphis, Tennessee against us, Nextel and Nextel West Corp. The lawsuit is captioned Steve Strange v. Nextel Communications, *et al*, Civil Action No. 01-002520-03. On May 3, 2003, a purported class action lawsuit was filed in the Circuit Court of the Second Judicial Circuit in and for Leon County, Florida against Nextel Partners Operating Corp. d/b/a Nextel Partners and Nextel South Corp. d/b/a Nextel Communications. The lawsuit is captioned Christopher Freeman and Susan and Joseph Martelli v. Nextel South Corp., *et al*, Civil Action No. 03-CA1065. On July 9, 2003, a purported class action lawsuit was filed in Los Angeles Superior Court, California against us, Nextel, Nextel West, Inc., Nextel of California, Inc. and Nextel Operations, Inc. The lawsuit is captioned Nick s Auto Sales, Inc. v. Nextel West, Inc., *et al*, Civil Action No. BC298695. On August 7, 2003, a purported class action lawsuit was filed in the Circuit Court of Jefferson County, Alabama against us and Nextel. The lawsuit is captioned Andrea Lewis and Trish Zruna v. Nextel Communications, Inc., *et al*, Civil Action No. CV-03-907. On October 3, 2003, an amended complaint for a purported class action lawsuit was filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri. The amended complaint named us and Nextel Communications, Inc. as defendants; Nextel Partners was substituted for the previous defendant, Nextel West Corp. The lawsuit is captioned Joseph Blando v. Nextel West Corp., *et al*, Civil Action No. 02-0921 (the Blando Case). All of these complaints alleged that we, in conjunction with the other defendants, misrepresented certain cost-recovery line-item fees as government taxes. Plaintiffs sought to enjoin such practices and sought a refund of monies paid by the class based on the alleged misrepresentations. Plaintiffs also sought attorneys fees, costs and, in some cases, punitive damages. We believe the allegations are groundless. In October 2003, the court in the Blando Case entered an order granting preliminary approval of a nationwide class action settlement that encompasses most of the claims involved in these cases. In April 2004, the court approved the settlement. Various objectors and class members appealed to the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit, and in February 2005 the appellate court affirmed the settlement. One of the objectors petitioned for a rehearing and in March 2005, the Eighth Circuit denied the petition for rehearing and rehearing en banc. Thereafter, one of the objectors filed a motion to stay the mandate for 90 days. The Eighth Circuit denied that motion in April and in June 2005 that objector filed with the United States Supreme Court a petition for writ of certiorari. On October 3, 2005, the Supreme Court denied the objector s writ of certiorari, which constitutes a final order resolving all appeals in these cost recovery fee

Table of Contents

cases. In accordance with the terms of the settlement, we began distributing settlement benefits within 90 days from the final order. The Rolando Prado v. Nextel Communications, *et al*, Civil Action No. C-695-03-B was dismissed with prejudice in November 2005. The remaining cases are subject to immediate dismissal according to the terms of the final order, which directs the plaintiffs to dismiss their actions. In conjunction with the settlement, we recorded an estimated liability during the third quarter of 2003, which did not materially impact our financial results.

On December 27, 2004, Dolores Carter and Donald Fragnoli filed purported class action lawsuits in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware against us, Nextel WIP Corp., Nextel Communications, Inc., Sprint Corporation, and several of the members of our board of directors. The lawsuits are captioned Dolores Carter v. Nextel WIP Corp., *et al*. and Donald Fragnoli v. Nextel WIP., *et al*, Civil Action No. 955-N. On February 1, 2005, Selena Mintz filed a purported class action lawsuit in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware against us, Nextel WIP Corp., Nextel Communications, Inc., Sprint Corporation, and several of the members of our board of directors. The lawsuit is captioned Selena Mintz v. John Chapple, *et al*, Civil Action No. 1065-N. In all three lawsuits, the plaintiffs seek declaratory and injunctive relief declaring that the announced merger transaction between Sprint Corporation and Nextel is an event that triggers the put right set forth in our restated certificate of incorporation and directing the defendants to take all necessary measures to give effect to the rights of our Class A common stockholders arising therefrom. We believe that the allegations in the lawsuits to the effect that the Nextel Partners defendants may take action, or fail to take action, that harms the interests of our public stockholders are without merit.

On July 5, 2005, we delivered a Notice Invoking Alternate Dispute Resolution Process to Nextel and Nextel WIP under the joint venture agreement dated January 29, 1999 among us, OPCO and Nextel WIP. In the Notice, we asserted that certain elements of the merger integration process involving Nextel and Sprint violated several of Nextel's and Nextel WIP's obligations under the joint venture agreement and related agreements, including, without limitation, the following:

The changes that Nextel and Sprint had announced they were planning to make with respect to branding after the close of the Sprint Nextel merger would violate the joint venture agreement if we could not use the same brand identity that Nextel used after the merger, i.e., the Sprint brand.

Other operational changes that we believed Nextel and Sprint planned to implement after the Sprint Nextel merger (including, without limitation, changes with respect to marketing and national accounts) would violate the joint venture agreement.

The operations of the combined Sprint Nextel could violate our exclusivity rights under the joint venture agreement.

Nextel and Nextel WIP had not complied with their obligation to permit us to participate in and contribute to discussions regarding branding and a variety of other operational matters.

The parties subsequently agreed that the dispute would be resolved by arbitration. On September 2, 2005, the arbitration panel issued a ruling denying our request for a preliminary injunction against violations of the joint venture agreement, but finding that we were likely to prevail on our claim that the use of the new Sprint Nextel brand by Nextel's operating subsidiaries, without making the new brand available to us, violated the non-discrimination provisions of the joint venture agreement and that we could seek damages in the event that the put price established by the appraisal process was negatively impacted by that violation. With respect to our remaining claims, the panel reserved these matters for future ruling if necessary.

On October 7, 2005, Nextel and Nextel WIP filed a lawsuit against us in Delaware Chancery Court. The lawsuit is captioned Nextel Communications, Inc. and Nextel WIP Corp. v. Nextel Partners, Inc., Civil Action No. 1704-N. The lawsuit sought to prohibit us from disclosing to our public shareholders the valuation reports of the first two appraisers to be appointed under our put process. The suit also sought to require us to provide Nextel and its appraiser with certain financial information, and asked the court to concur with certain positions that Nextel had previously taken with respect to the definition of fair market

Table of Contents

value under our charter. On October 18, 2005, Nextel WIP filed a second lawsuit against us in Delaware Chancery Court, seeking certain information pursuant to Section 220 of the Delaware General Corporation Law. The lawsuit is captioned Nextel WIP Corp. v. Nextel Partners, Inc., Civil Action No. 1722-N.

On October 19, 2005, we filed an answer and counterclaims in the first lawsuit in Delaware Chancery Court, which we amended on October 25, 2005. In our counterclaims, among other things, we asked the court to order that the parties comply with the put process time frames required by our charter and to require Nextel to provide us and our appraiser with certain information. We also asked the court to require full disclosure of the first two appraisers reports to our Class A common stockholders as required by our charter, and we asked the court to reject Nextel's views of the definition of fair market value under our charter.

The court conducted a two-day trial of certain of the claims asserted by the parties on November 17 and 18, 2005. Prior to the trial, we voluntarily provided Sprint Nextel with the information that it had sought the court to compel us to provide, and Sprint Nextel provided our appraiser with some of the information that it had requested. At the conclusion of the trial, the court denied all of Sprint Nextel's claims, and ruled that the two appraisal reports should be disclosed to the company's stockholders as required by our charter. The court also rejected one of the interpretations of fair market value offered by Sprint Nextel, and otherwise left the interpretation of the fair market value determination to the appraisers.

As described under *Business Strategic Alliance with Nextel*, on December 20, 2005, we entered into an agreement with Nextel and Sprint Nextel with respect to the put process. As part of that agreement, the parties agreed to dismiss the Delaware litigation and the arbitration upon consummation of the put transaction.

We are subject to other claims and legal actions that may arise in the ordinary course of business. We do not believe that any of these other pending claims or legal actions will have a material effect on our business, financial position or results of operations.

Item 4. *Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders*

On September 22, 2005 we sent notice to our Class A common stockholders of a special meeting that was held on October 24, 2005 in Bellevue, Washington. Only holders of record of our Class A common stock on the record date of September 9, 2005 were entitled to vote at the special meeting. Each holder of record of Class A common stock at the close of business on the record date was entitled to one vote per share on each matter voted upon by the stockholders at the special meeting.

A proposal on whether to exercise the put right, as defined in our charter, was approved and received the following votes:

	Votes
For	158,199,276
Against	57,691
Abstain	99,286
Broker Non-votes	27,379,260

Because the proposal to exercise the put right was approved, a separate proposal on whether to adjourn the special meeting until a date no later than February 8, 2007 was not considered.

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities****Market for Common Stock**

Our Class A common stock is traded on the Nasdaq National Stock Market under the symbol NXTP. The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low per share stock prices of our Class A common stock as reported on the Nasdaq National Stock Market:

Quarterly Common Stock Price Ranges for the Year Ended:

	High	Low
December 31, 2005		
First Quarter	\$ 21.99	\$ 19.08
Second Quarter	\$ 26.81	\$ 21.76
Third Quarter	\$ 27.40	\$ 23.76
Fourth Quarter	\$ 28.00	\$ 24.29
December 31, 2004		
First Quarter	\$ 15.20	\$ 11.65
Second Quarter	\$ 16.80	\$ 12.47
Third Quarter	\$ 17.50	\$ 13.70
Fourth Quarter	\$ 20.32	\$ 15.82

Number of Stockholders of Record

As of February 28, 2006, there were approximately 226 holders of record of outstanding shares of our Class A common stock and a single stockholder of record holding all 84,632,604 outstanding shares of our Class B common stock.

Dividends

We have never paid cash dividends on any of our capital stock, including our Class A common stock. We currently intend to retain any future earnings to fund the development and growth of our business. Therefore, we do not currently anticipate paying any cash dividends on any of our capital stock in the foreseeable future. In addition, our credit facility prohibits us from paying dividends without our lender's consent. Furthermore, the indentures pursuant to which our senior notes and senior discount notes were issued each prohibit us from declaring a cash dividend unless, after giving effect to such dividend, we would not be in default under such indentures, we remained in compliance with certain financial ratios and the amount of the dividend did not exceed the limits set forth in the indentures.

Equity Compensation Plan

See Item 12, Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management for additional information regarding our equity compensation plans.

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Financial Data**

Please read this table together with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and our audited consolidated financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The selected financial data for the periods ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 are derived from our consolidated financial statements that have been included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The selected financial data as of December 31, 2002 and 2001 and for the periods then ended are derived from consolidated financial statements that have not been included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The historical operating data presented as of December 31, 2005, 2004, 2003, 2002 and 2001 and for the periods then ended are derived from our records.

	For the Year Ended December 31,				
	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001
	(Unaudited)				
(In thousands, except per share amounts)					
Consolidated Statements of Operations Data:					
Operating revenues:					
Service revenues(1)	\$ 1,695,017	\$ 1,291,352	\$ 964,386	\$ 646,169	\$ 363,573
Equipment revenues(1)	106,634	85,784	60,826	28,229	15,735
Total revenues	1,801,651	1,377,136	1,025,212	674,398	379,308
Operating expenses:					
Cost of service revenues (excludes depreciation of \$137,488, \$121,644, \$109,572, \$85,750, and \$62,899, respectively)	432,248	361,059	322,475	271,420	196,002
Cost of equipment revenues(1)	178,261	152,557	121,036	90,840	61,146
Selling, general and administrative	615,944	492,335	402,300	313,668	210,310
Stock-based compensation (primarily selling, general and administrative related)	639	755	1,092	12,670	30,956
Depreciation and amortization(2)	170,133	149,708	135,417	101,185	76,491
Total operating expenses	1,397,225	1,156,414	982,320	789,783	574,905
Income (Loss) from operations	404,426	220,722	42,892	(115,385)	(195,597)
Other income (expense):					
Interest expense, net of capitalized interest(3)	(94,901)	(106,500)	(152,294)	(164,583)	(126,096)
Interest income	7,759	2,891	2,811	7,091	32,473
	(17,707)	(54,971)	(95,093)	4,427	

Gain (loss) on early retirement of debt						
Income (Loss) before income tax provision and cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	299,577	62,142	(201,684)	(268,450)	(289,220)	
Income tax (provision) benefit	305,775	(8,396)	(7,811)	(18,188)		
Income (Loss) before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	605,352	53,746	(209,495)	(286,638)	(289,220)	
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle						(1,787)
Net Income (Loss)	605,352	53,746	(209,495)	(286,638)	(291,007)	
Mandatorily redeemable preferred stock dividends(3)			(2,141)	(3,950)	(3,504)	
Net Income (Loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$ 605,352	\$ 53,746	\$ (211,636)	\$ (290,588)	\$ (294,511)	
Net Income (Loss) per share attributable to common stockholders						
Basic	\$ 2.24	\$ 0.20	\$ (0.84)	\$ (1.19)	\$ (1.21)	
Diluted	\$ 1.96	\$ 0.19	\$ (0.84)	\$ (1.19)	\$ (1.21)	
Weighted average number of shares outstanding						
Basic	270,462	263,671	252,440	244,933	242,472	
Diluted	309,440	304,985	252,440	244,933	242,472	

Table of Contents**As of December 31,**

	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001
	(Unaudited)				
	(In thousands)				
Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:					
Cash and cash equivalents, and short-term investments	\$ 165,027	\$ 264,579	\$ 268,811	\$ 195,029	\$ 557,285
Property, plant and equipment, net	1,079,050	1,042,718	1,025,096	1,000,076	845,934
FCC operating licenses, net	376,254	375,470	371,898	348,440	283,728
Total assets	2,293,928	1,975,699	1,889,310	1,735,925	1,821,721
Current liabilities	208,432	205,659	185,425	161,567	127,972
Long-term debt	1,226,608	1,632,518	1,653,539	1,424,600	1,327,829
Series B redeemable preferred stock(3)				34,971	31,021
Total stockholders equity (deficit)	819,197	51,315	(27,205)	66,907	314,186
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 2,293,928	\$ 1,975,699	\$ 1,889,310	\$ 1,735,925	\$ 1,821,721

For the Year Ended December 31,

	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001
	(Unaudited)				
	(In thousands)				
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Data:					
Net cash from operating activities	\$ 379,812	\$ 202,466	\$ 87,154	\$ (116,469)	\$ (153,894)
Net cash from investing activities	\$ (132,815)	\$ (131,620)	\$ (214,504)	\$ (201,648)	\$ (260,249)
Net cash from financing activities	\$ (244,078)	\$ (45,982)	\$ 182,448	\$ 81,280	\$ 224,950

For the Year Ended December 31,

	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001
	(Unaudited)				
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Other Data:					
	42	40	38	36	33

Covered Pops (end of period)(millions)

Subscribers (end of period)	2,017,700	1,602,400	1,233,200	877,800	515,900
Adjusted EBITDA(4)	\$ 575,198	\$ 371,185	\$ 179,401	\$ (1,530)	\$ (88,150)
Net capital expenditures(5)	\$ 199,975	\$ 164,584	\$ 161,845	\$ 250,841	\$ 374,001

- (1) Effective July 1, 2003, we adopted Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF), Issue No. 00-21, *Accounting for Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables*, and elected to apply the provisions prospectively to our existing customer arrangements. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Policies for a more detailed description of the impact of our adoption of this policy.
- (2) Effective January 2002, we no longer amortize the cost of FCC licenses as a result of implementing Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations-Critical Accounting Policies and Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere herein under the caption FCC Licenses for a more detailed description of the impact and adoption of SFAS No. 142.
- (3) In May 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, *Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity*. This statement establishes standards for how an issuer classifies and measures in its statement of financial position certain financial instruments with

Table of Contents

characteristics of both liabilities and equity. It requires that an issuer classify a financial instrument that is within the scope of the statement as a liability (or an asset in some circumstances) because that financial instrument embodies an obligation of the issuer. This statement was effective for all freestanding financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003; otherwise it was effective at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. We identified that our Series B mandatorily redeemable preferred stock was within the scope of this statement and reclassified it to long-term debt and began recording the Series B mandatorily redeemable preferred stock dividends as interest expense beginning July 1, 2003. We redeemed all of our outstanding Series B mandatorily redeemable preferred stock on November 21, 2003 and currently have no other preferred stock outstanding.

- (4) The term **EBITDA** refers to a financial measure that is defined as earnings (loss) before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization; we use the term **Adjusted EBITDA** to reflect that our financial measure also excludes cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, loss from disposal of assets, gain (loss) from early extinguishment of debt and stock-based compensation. Adjusted EBITDA is commonly used to analyze companies on the basis of leverage and liquidity. However, Adjusted EBITDA is not a measure determined under generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP, in the United States of America and may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies. Adjusted EBITDA should not be construed as a substitute for operating income or as a better measure of liquidity than cash flow from operating activities, which are determined in accordance with GAAP. We have presented Adjusted EBITDA to provide additional information with respect to our ability to meet future debt service, capital expenditure and working capital requirements. The following schedule reconciles Adjusted EBITDA to net cash from operating activities reported on our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, which we believe is the most directly comparable GAAP measure:

	For the Year Ended December 31,				
	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001
	(Unaudited)				
	(In thousands)				
Net cash from operating activities (as reported on Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows)	\$ 379,812	\$ 202,466	\$ 87,154	\$ (116,469)	\$ (153,894)
Adjustments to reconcile to Adjusted EBITDA:					
Cash paid interest expense, net of capitalized amount	95,167	114,815	103,485	98,777	70,138
Interest income	(7,759)	(2,891)	(2,811)	(7,091)	(32,473)
Change in working capital and other	107,978	56,795	(8,427)	23,253	28,079
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 575,198	\$ 371,185	\$ 179,401	\$ (1,530)	\$ (88,150)

- (5) Net capital expenditures exclude capitalized interest and are offset by net proceeds from the sale and leaseback transactions of telecommunication towers and related assets to third parties accounted for as operating leases. Net capital expenditures as defined are not a measure determined under GAAP in the United States of America and may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies. Net capital expenditures should

not be construed as a substitute for capital expenditures reported on the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, which is determined in accordance with GAAP. We report net capital expenditures in this manner because we believe it reflects the net cash used by us for capital expenditures and to satisfy the reporting requirements for our debt covenants. The following schedule reconciles net capital expenditures to capital expenditures

47

Table of Contents

reported on our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, which we believe is the most directly comparable GAAP measure:

	For the Year Ended December 31,				
	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001
	(Unaudited)				
	(In thousands)				
Capital expenditures (as reported on Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows)	\$ 233,853	\$ 156,843	\$ 179,794	\$ 274,911	\$ 398,611
Less: cash paid portion of capitalized interest	(1,620)	(1,242)	(1,283)	(1,993)	(5,449)
Less: cash proceeds from sale and lease-back transactions accounted for as operating leases	(15,439)	(1,939)	(6,860)	(2,562)	(10,425)
Change in capital expenditures accrued or unpaid	(16,819)	10,922	(9,806)	(19,515)	(8,736)
Net capital expenditures	\$ 199,975	\$ 164,584	\$ 161,845	\$ 250,841	\$ 374,001

Additional Reconciliations of Non-GAAP Financial Measures (Unaudited)

The information presented in this Annual Report on Form 10-K includes financial information prepared in accordance with GAAP, as well as other financial measures that may be considered non-GAAP financial measures. Generally, a non-GAAP financial measure is a numerical measure of a company's performance, financial position or cash flows that either excludes or includes amounts that are not normally excluded or included in the most directly comparable measure calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP. As described more fully below, management believes these non-GAAP measures provide meaningful additional information about our performance and our ability to service our long-term debt and other fixed obligations and to fund our continued growth. The non-GAAP financial measures should be considered in addition to, but not as a substitute for, the information prepared in accordance with GAAP.

ARPU Average Revenue Per Unit

ARPU is an industry term that measures service revenues per month from our subscribers divided by the average number of subscribers in commercial service during the period. ARPU itself is not a measurement under GAAP in the United States of America and may not be similar to ARPU measures of other companies; however, ARPU uses GAAP measures as the basis for calculation. We believe that ARPU provides useful information concerning the appeal of our rate plans and service offerings and our performance in attracting high value customers. The following schedule reflects the ARPU calculation and

Table of Contents

reconciliation of service revenues reported on the Consolidated Statements of Operations to service revenues used for the ARPU calculation:

	For the Year Ended December 31,				
	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001
	(In thousands, except ARPU)				
ARPU (without roaming revenues)					
Service revenues (as reported on Consolidated Statements of Operations)	\$ 1,695,017	\$ 1,291,352	\$ 964,386	\$ 646,169	\$ 363,573
Adjust: activation fees deferred and recognized for SAB No. 101	(2,036)	(3,748)	(1,006)	3,197	2,398
Add: activation fees reclassified for EITF No. 00-21(1)	20,966	11,310	4,256		
Less: roaming and other revenues	(236,176)	(163,022)	(115,893)	(80,452)	(58,545)
Service revenues for ARPU	\$ 1,477,771	\$ 1,135,892	\$ 851,743	\$ 568,914	\$ 307,426
Average units (subscribers)	1,809	1,410	1,051	694	360
ARPU	\$ 68	\$ 67	\$ 68	\$ 68	\$ 71

	For the Year Ended December 31,				
	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001
	(In thousands, except ARPU)				
ARPU (including roaming revenues)					
Service revenues (as reported on Consolidated Statements of Operations)	\$ 1,695,017	\$ 1,291,352	\$ 964,386	\$ 646,169	\$ 363,573
Adjust: activation fees deferred and recognized for SAB No. 101	(2,036)	(3,748)	(1,006)	3,197	2,398
Add: activation fees reclassified for EITF No. 00-21(1)	20,966	11,310	4,256		
Less: other revenues	(24,548)	(4,312)		(981)	(458)
Service revenues for ARPU	\$ 1,689,399	\$ 1,294,602	\$ 967,636	\$ 648,385	\$ 365,513

Average units (subscribers)	1,809	1,410	1,051	694	360
ARPU	\$ 78	\$ 77	\$ 77	\$ 78	\$ 85

- (1) As described below under Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations-Critical Accounting Policies, on July 1, 2003, we adopted EITF Issue No. 00-21, *Accounting for Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables*, and elected to apply the provisions prospectively to our existing customer arrangements. Subsequent to that date, each month we recognize the activation fees, handset equipment revenues and equipment costs that had been previously deferred in accordance with Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 101. Based on EITF Issue No. 00-21, we now recognize the activation fees that were formerly deferred and recognized as services revenues as equipment revenues.

Table of Contents**LRS Lifetime Revenue per Subscriber**

LRS is an industry term calculated by dividing ARPU (see above) by the subscriber churn rate. The subscriber churn rate is an indicator of subscriber retention and represents the monthly percentage of the subscriber base that disconnects from service. Subscriber churn is calculated by dividing the number of handsets disconnected from commercial service during the period by the average number of handsets in commercial service during the period. LRS itself is not a measurement determined under GAAP in the United States of America and may not be similar to LRS measures of other companies; however, LRS uses GAAP measures as the basis for calculation. We believe that LRS is an indicator of the expected lifetime revenue of our average subscriber, assuming that churn and ARPU remain constant as indicated. We also believe that this measure, like ARPU, provides useful information concerning the appeal of our rate plans and service offering and our performance in attracting and retaining high value customers. The following schedule reflects the LRS calculation:

For the Year Ended December 31,

	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001
ARPU	\$ 68	\$ 67	\$ 68	\$ 68	\$ 71
Divided by: churn	1.4%	1.4%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%
Lifetime revenue per subscriber (LRS)	\$ 4,857	\$ 4,786	\$ 4,250	\$ 4,250	\$ 4,438

In addition, see Notes 4 and 5 above for reconciliations of Adjusted EBITDA and net capital expenditures as non-GAAP financial measures.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation

The following is a discussion of our consolidated financial condition and results of operations for each of the three years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003. Some statements and information contained in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations are not historical facts but are forward-looking statements. For a discussion of these forward-looking statements and of important factors that could cause results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, see Item 1, Business Forward-Looking Statements and Item 1A, Risk Factors.

Please read the following discussion together with Item 6, Selected Financial Data, the consolidated financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

On August 12, 2005, Nextel merged with Sprint Corporation. The merger constituted a Nextel sale pursuant to our charter, and on October 24, 2005, our Class A common stockholders voted to exercise the put right set forth in our charter to require Nextel WIP to purchase all of our outstanding shares of Class A common stock. On December 20, 2005, we announced, along with Sprint Nextel, that the put price at which Nextel WIP will purchase our outstanding Class A common stock was determined to be \$28.50 per share. The transaction is subject to the customary regulatory approvals, including review by the FCC and review under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Act, and is expected to be completed by the end of the second quarter of 2006. On February 6, 2006, the Federal Trade Commission and the Department of Justice provided early termination of the waiting period under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Act for Sprint Nextel to purchase our outstanding Class A common stock. This Annual Report on Form 10-K relates only to Nextel Partners, Inc. and its subsidiaries prior to the consummation of the transaction. Upon completion of this transaction NXTP will no longer be traded on the Nasdaq National Stock Market and Nextel Partners will cease to exist as a separate public company.

Overview

We are a FORTUNE 1000 company that provides fully integrated, wireless digital communications services using the Nextel brand name in mid-sized and rural markets throughout the United States. We offer four distinct wireless services in a single wireless handset. These services include International and

Table of Contents

Nationwide Direct Connect, digital cellular voice, short messaging and cellular Internet access, which provides users with wireless access to the Internet and an organization's internal databases as well as other applications, including e-mail. We hold licenses for wireless frequencies in markets where approximately 54 million people, or Pops, live and work. We have constructed and operate a digital mobile network compatible with the Nextel Digital Wireless Network in targeted portions of these markets, including 13 of the top 100 metropolitan statistical areas and 57 of the top 200 metropolitan statistical areas in the United States ranked by population. Our combined Nextel Digital Wireless Network constitutes one of the largest fully integrated digital wireless communications systems in the United States, currently covering 297 of the top 300 metropolitan statistical areas in the United States.

We offer a package of wireless voice and data services under the Nextel brand name targeted to business users, but with an increasing retail or consumer presence as well. We currently offer the following four services, which are fully integrated and accessible through a single wireless handset:

digital cellular voice, including advanced calling features such as speakerphone, conference calling, voicemail, call forwarding and additional line service;

Direct Connect service, the digital walkie-talkie service that allows customers to instantly connect with business associates, family and friends without placing a phone call;

short messaging, the service that utilizes the Internet to keep customers connected to clients, colleagues and family with text, numeric and two-way messaging; and

Nextel Online services, which provide customers with Internet-ready handsets access to the World Wide Web and an organization's internal database, as well as web-based applications such as e-mail, address books, calendars and advanced Java enabled business applications.

As of December 31, 2005, we had approximately 2,017,700 digital subscribers. Our network provides coverage to approximately 42 million Pops in 31 different states, which include markets in Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, New York, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, Vermont, West Virginia, Wisconsin and Wyoming. We also hold licenses in Kansas but currently do not have any cell sites operational in that state.

We believe our markets are relatively young as compared to those of the national carriers who had been in operation for several years prior to our inception. Approximately 85% of our covered Pops are in markets that are less than 6¹/₄ years old. Based on our historical results, we believe that as our markets mature, covered Pop penetration will continue to increase. As of December 31, 2005, our covered Pop penetration was approximately 4.8%. Our historical results also support our belief that as our markets mature, the increase in penetration will continue to drive higher service revenue margins as we benefit from growing economies of scale.

During 2005 we continued to focus on our key financial and operating performance metrics, including increasing our growth in subscribers, service revenues, Adjusted EBITDA, net cash from operating activities and LRS. Our subscriber growth has been driven by increasing market penetration resulting in substantial part from ongoing development of our distribution channels (including company-owned stores) and by continuing to tailor programs that meet the needs of our customers, including consumer-credit customers, that we believe provide attractive economics to the company.

Accomplishments during 2005 which we believe are important indicators of our overall performance and financial well being include:

Growing our subscriber base approximately 26% by adding approximately 415,300 net new customers to end the year with over 2,017,700 customers compared to 1,602,400 as of December 31, 2004.

Table of Contents

Growing our service revenues approximately 31% to \$1,695.0 million for 2005 compared to \$1,291.4 million for 2004.

Increasing Adjusted EBITDA 55% to \$575.2 million for 2005 compared to \$371.2 million for 2004.

Generating \$379.8 million net cash from operating activities during 2005 compared to \$202.5 million during 2004.

Remaining one of the industry leaders in LRS with an LRS of \$4,857 for 2005 compared to \$4,786 for 2004.

Refinancing and reducing our senior credit facility and receiving credit rating upgrades, including an investment grade rating of BBB- from S&P on our credit facility.

Redeeming for cash the remainder of our outstanding 11% senior notes due 2010 in April 2005 and our 12 1/2% senior discount notes due 2009 in November 2005.

Please see Item 6, Selected Financial Data and Additional Reconciliations of Non-GAAP Financial Measures (Unaudited) for more information regarding our use of Adjusted EBITDA and LRS as non-GAAP financial measures. Our operations are primarily conducted by OPCO.

During the year ended December 31, 2005, we also accomplished the following:

Opened 62 new company-owned stores bringing the total stores operating throughout the country to 135 at December 31, 2005 compared to 73 stores at December 31, 2004.

Implemented redesigned customer invoices to improve the look and feel of the invoice in order to better serve the customers' needs.

In conjunction with Nextel, launched service which enables instant group walkie-talkie conversations nationwide; Nextel WiFi HotSpotsm service, which delivers features focused on remote-access needs to business travelers; Mobile Email Enhanced, the first email service for Java-enabled mobile phones with full synchronization and a PDA-like feel; MapQuest Find Me GPS-enabled wireless service; and Nextel Direct Send Picture which allows picture sharing on walkie-talkie calls.

Introduced over a dozen new wireless handsets by Motorola the i265, i325IS, v505, v180, i275, i355, i605, i836, i560, i760, i850, i930 and i870.

Launched the 7100itm Blackberry handset featuring phone, wireless e-mail, Bluetooth and GPS navigation.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Year Ended December 31, 2005 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2004

Revenues

Total revenues for 2005 were \$1,801.7 million, an increase of \$424.6 million, or 31%, compared to \$1,377.1 million generated in the same period in 2004. This growth in revenues was due mostly to the increase in our subscriber base and a higher ARPU in 2005 than 2004. Subject to the risk and uncertainties described under Item 1A, Risk Factors and Item 1, Business Forward-Looking Statements above, we expect our revenues to continue to increase as we add more subscribers and continue to introduce new products and data services.

For 2005 our ARPU was \$68, which is an increase of \$1 compared to \$67 in 2004 (or \$78, including roaming revenues from Sprint Nextel, which is an increase of \$1 compared to the same period in 2004). We expect to continue to achieve ARPU levels above the industry average and anticipate our ARPU to be in the mid to high \$60s for 2006. Subject to the risk and uncertainties described under Item 1A, Risk Factors and Item 1, Business Forward-Looking Statements above, we expect to continue the strong growth of our data services revenues from both business and individual customers, specifically GPS

Table of Contents

services, workforce management tools, navigation tools and wireless payment solutions. We believe growth in these services and solutions will continue to enhance our ARPU during the year.

The following table illustrates service and equipment revenues as a percentage of total revenues for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 and our ARPU for those periods.

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2005	% of Consolidated Revenues	For the Year Ended December 31, 2004	% of Consolidated Revenues	2005 vs 2004?	
					\$ Change	% Change
(Dollars in thousands, except ARPU)						
Service and roaming revenues	\$ 1,695,017	94%	\$ 1,291,352	94%	\$ 403,665	31%
Equipment revenues	106,634	6%	85,784	6%	20,850	24%
Total revenues	\$ 1,801,651	100%	\$ 1,377,136	100%	\$ 424,515	31%
ARPU(1)	\$ 68		\$ 67			

(1) See Item 6, Selected Financial Data Additional Reconciliations of Non-GAAP Financial Measures (Unaudited) for more information regarding our use of ARPU as a non-GAAP financial measure.

Our primary sources of revenues are service revenues and equipment revenues. Service revenues increased 31% to \$1,695.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2005 as compared to \$1,291.4 million for the same period in 2004. Our service revenues consist of charges to our customers for airtime usage and monthly network access fees from providing integrated wireless services within our territory, specifically digital cellular voice services, Direct Connect services, text messaging and Nextel Online services. Service revenues also include roaming revenues from Sprint Nextel subscribers using our portion of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network. Roaming revenues for 2005 accounted for approximately 12% of our service revenues, which was the same percentage for 2004. Although we continue to see growth in roaming revenues due to an increase in coverage and on-air cell sites, we expect roaming revenues as a percentage of our service revenues to remain flat or decline due to the anticipated revenue growth that we expect to achieve from our own customer base.

Table of Contents

Under EITF Issue No. 00-21, *Accounting for Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables*, we are no longer required to consider whether a customer is able to realize utility from the phone in the absence of the undelivered service. A more detailed description of this policy is described below under Critical Accounting Policies. The following table shows the reconciliation of the reported service revenues, equipment revenues and cost of equipment revenues to the adjusted amounts that exclude the adoption of EITF No. 00-21 and SAB No. 101 for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004. We believe the adjusted amounts best represent the actual service revenues and the actual subsidy on equipment costs when equipment revenues are netted with cost of equipment revenues that we use to measure our operating performance.

	For the Year Ended December 31,	
	2005	2004
	(In thousands)	
Revenues:		
Service revenues (as reported on Consolidated Statements of Operations)	\$ 1,695,017	\$ 1,291,352
Previously deferred activation fees recognized (SAB No. 101)	(2,036)	(3,748)
Activation fees to equipment revenues (EITF No. 00-21)	20,966	11,310
<i>Total service revenues without SAB No. 101 and EITF No. 00-21</i>	<i>\$ 1,713,947</i>	<i>\$ 1,298,914</i>
Equipment revenues (as reported on Consolidated Statements of Operations)	\$ 106,634	\$ 85,784
Previously deferred equipment revenues recognized (SAB No. 101)	(11,427)	(20,258)
Activation fees from service revenues (EITF No. 00-21)	(20,966)	(11,310)
<i>Total equipment revenues without SAB No. 101 and EITF No. 00-21</i>	<i>\$ 74,241</i>	<i>\$ 54,216</i>
Cost of equipment revenues (as reported on Consolidated Statements of Operations)	\$ 178,261	\$ 152,557
Previously deferred cost of equipment revenues recognized (SAB No. 101)	(13,463)	(24,006)
<i>Total cost of equipment revenues without SAB No. 101 and EITF No. 00-21</i>	<i>\$ 164,798</i>	<i>\$ 128,551</i>

Equipment revenues reported for 2005 were \$106.6 million as compared to \$85.8 million reported for the same period in 2004, representing an increase of \$20.8 million. Of the \$20.8 million increase from 2004 to 2005, \$9.6 million was for additional activation fees recorded as equipment revenues based on EITF No. 00-21 and \$20.0 million was due to growth in our subscriber base offset by \$8.8 million of equipment revenues previously deferred pursuant to SAB No. 101. Our equipment revenues consist of revenues received for wireless handsets and accessories purchased by our subscribers.

Cost of Service Revenues

Cost of service revenues consists primarily of network operating costs, which include site rental fees for cell sites and switches, utilities, maintenance and interconnect and other wireline transport charges. Cost of service revenues also includes the amounts we must pay Nextel WIP when our customers roam onto Sprint Nextel's portion of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network. These expenses depend mainly on the number of operating cell sites, total minutes of use and the mix of minutes of use between interconnect and Direct Connect. The use of Direct Connect is more efficient than interconnect and, accordingly, less costly for us to provide.

For the year ended December 31, 2005, our cost of service revenues was \$432.2 million as compared to \$361.1 million for the same period in 2004, representing an increase of \$71.1 million, or 20%. The increase in costs was partially the result of bringing on-air approximately 546 additional cell sites during

Table of Contents

2005. In addition, several hurricanes affecting the Gulf Coast region for the year ended December 31, 2005 increased our costs by \$5.2 million. Furthermore, our number of customers grew 26% compared to 2004, and we experienced an increase in average airtime usage by our customers, both of which resulted in higher network operating costs. Compared to 2004, the average monthly minutes of use per subscriber increased by 11%, to 826 average monthly minutes of use per subscriber for 2005 from 743 average monthly minutes of use per subscriber for 2004. Our roaming fees paid to Sprint Nextel also increased as our growing subscriber base roamed on Sprint Nextel's compatible network.

We expect cost of service revenues to increase as we place more cell sites in service and the usage of minutes increases as our customer base grows. However, we expect our cost of service revenues as a percentage of service revenues and cost per average minute of use to decrease as economies of scale continue to be realized. From 2004 to 2005 our cost of service revenues as a percentage of service revenues declined from 28% to 26%.

Cost of Equipment Revenues

Cost of equipment revenues includes the cost of the subscriber wireless handsets and accessories sold by us. Our cost of equipment revenues for the year ended December 31, 2005 was \$178.3 million as compared to \$152.6 million for the same period in 2004, or an increase of \$25.7 million. The increase in costs relates mostly to \$36.2 million of costs resulting from the increased volume of subscribers offset by recognizing \$10.5 million less of equipment costs that were previously deferred in accordance with SAB No. 101.

Due to the "push to talk" functionality of our handsets, the cost of our equipment tends to be higher than that of our competitors. As part of our business plan, we often offer our equipment at a discount or as part of a promotion as an incentive to our customers to commit to contracts for our higher priced service plans and to compete with the lower priced competitor handsets. The table below shows that the gross subsidy (without the effects of SAB No. 101 and EITF No. 00-21) between equipment revenues and cost of equipment revenues was a loss of \$90.6 million for 2005, as compared to a loss of \$74.3 million for the same period in 2004. We expect to continue to employ these discounts and promotions in an effort to grow our subscriber base. Therefore, for the foreseeable future, we expect that cost of equipment revenues will continue to exceed our equipment revenues.

	For the Year Ended December 31,	
	2005	2004
	(In thousands)	
Equipment revenues billed	\$ 74,241	\$ 54,216
Cost of equipment revenues billed	(164,798)	(128,551)
Total gross subsidy for equipment	\$ (90,557)	\$ (74,335)

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses consist of sales and marketing expenses, expenses related to customer care services and general and administrative costs. For the year ended December 31, 2005, selling, general and administrative expenses were \$615.9 million compared to \$492.3 million for the same period in 2004, representing an increase of 25%.

Sales and marketing expenses for the year ended December 31, 2005 were \$237.2 million, an increase of \$31.2 million, or 15%, from the same period in 2004 due to the following:

\$7.6 million increase in advertising and media expenses as a result of general marketing campaigns and additional expenses incurred as the result of Sprint Nextel's refusal to allow us to use the Sprint Nextel brand;

Table of Contents

\$2.8 million increase in facility and lease costs primarily for the additional company-owned stores put in operation during 2005; and

\$20.8 million increase in commissions, commission bonuses and residuals paid to account representatives and the indirect sales channel due primarily to the indirect sales channel adding 20% more customers in 2005 than in 2004. The indirect sales channel will remain a vital part of our distribution and we expect to see continued growth from this channel in 2006 coupled with significant growth from company-owned stores.

General and administrative costs include costs associated with customer care center operations and service and repair for customer handsets along with corporate personnel including billing, collections, legal, finance, human resources and information technology. For the year ended December 31, 2005, general and administrative costs were \$378.7 million, an increase of \$92.4 million, or 32%, compared to the same period in 2004 due to the following:

\$43.2 million increase in support of our information systems, facilities and corporate expenses, including approximately \$12.4 million in expenses incurred in conjunction with the put process and legal proceedings with Sprint Nextel and Nextel WIP (see Item 3, Legal Proceedings above for additional information);

\$2.4 million increase due to hiring additional staff and operating expenses to support our growing customer base and related activities in Las Vegas, Nevada and Panama City Beach, Florida; and

\$46.8 million increase in expenses for service and repair, billing, bad debt expense, collection and customer retention expenses including handset upgrades to support a larger and growing customer base. Bad debt expense as a percent of service revenues for 2005 was 1.9% compared to 1.0% for the same period in 2004 due mainly to higher activation rates from consumer-credit customers. The programs tailored for these consumer-credit customers include prescribed spending limits, enrollment fees and upfront deposits, as well as additional monthly recurring charges that in aggregate help to limit the potential bad debt exposure from customer-credit customers, which we expect to continue to add during 2006.

We expect the aggregate amount of selling, general and administrative expenses to continue increasing due to the following factors, including but not limited to:

increased costs to support our growing customer base, including costs associated with billing, bad debt expense, collections, customer retention, customer care activities and handset upgrades;

increased marketing and advertising expenses to offset the loss of national advertising of the Nextel brand name exclusively;

increased costs associated with opening additional retail stores; and

costs related to the pending acquisition of us by Sprint Nextel.

Stock-Based Compensation Expense

For the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, we recorded non-cash, stock-based compensation expense associated with our grants of restricted stock and employee stock options of \$0.6 million and \$0.8 million, respectively. We expect stock-based compensation expense to increase upon mandatory adoption of SFAS No. 123R beginning on January 1, 2006. See additional discussion under Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements.

Depreciation and Amortization Expense

For 2005 our depreciation and amortization expense was \$170.1 million compared to \$149.7 million for the same period in 2004, representing an increase of 14%. The \$20.4 million increase in depreciation and amortization expense was due to adding approximately 546 cell sites in 2005. We also acquired furniture and equipment for the expansion of our existing customer call center in Panama City Beach,

Table of Contents

Florida, which became operational during the third quarter of 2004, and 62 new company-owned stores. We expect depreciation and amortization to continue to increase due to additional cell sites we plan to place in service along with furniture and equipment for new company-owned stores.

Interest Expense and Interest Income

Interest expense, net of capitalized interest, declined \$11.6 million, or 11%, from \$106.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 to \$94.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. This decline was due mostly to the debt reduction activity related to our repurchase for cash of our 11% senior notes due 2010 and 12¹/₂% senior discount notes due 2009 and the refinance of our credit facility. In addition, for our interest rate swap agreements we recorded non-cash fair market value gains of \$1.1 million and \$3.4 million for 2005 and 2004, respectively. For 2005, interest income was \$7.8 million compared to \$2.9 million for 2004. The increase was due mostly to improved rates of return as well as a larger investment portfolio.

Loss on Early Retirement of Debt

During 2005 we recorded approximately a \$17.7 million loss on early retirement of debt representing approximately a \$9.2 million premium paid to purchase for cash our 12¹/₂% senior discount notes due 2009 and our remaining 11% senior notes due 2010 and approximately \$8.5 million to write-off the deferred financing costs and discount for the 12¹/₂% senior discount notes due 2009, the 11% senior notes due 2010 and the tranche C term loan of the credit facility that was refinanced in May 2005.

During 2004 we recorded a \$55.0 million loss on early retirement of debt related to our repurchase for cash of the remaining \$1.8 million (principal amount at maturity) of our 14% senior discount notes due 2009 and \$366.3 million (principal amount at maturity) of our 11% senior notes due 2010. Of the \$55.0 million loss, \$45.2 million represents a premium paid to repurchase our 11% senior notes due 2010 for cash and \$9.8 million relates to the write-off of the deferred financing costs for the 14% senior discount notes due 2009, the 11% senior notes due 2010 and the tranche B term loan of the credit facility that was refinanced in May 2004.

Income Tax Provision

We recorded a tax benefit of \$305.8 million for 2005 compared to a tax provision of \$8.4 million for income taxes for 2004. The change from a provision for income taxes to an income tax benefit is related to the release of a significant portion of our valuation allowance on our federal and certain state deferred tax assets. The majority of these federal and state deferred tax assets are related to net operating loss carryforwards which now are more likely than not to be realized. See Note 7 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements for additional information. With the release of a significant portion of the valuation allowance we will record income tax expense at the estimated annual federal and state effective tax rate of approximately 40%. Income tax provisions for interim periods are based on estimated effective annual tax rates. Income tax expense varies from federal statutory rates primarily because of state taxes. We do not expect to pay cash taxes, other than the required alternative minimum tax (AMT) and state tax payments, until the net operating loss and tax credits have been fully utilized.

For 2005, there was \$431.6 million of net tax benefit related to the release of the valuation allowance on deferred tax assets.

Net Income Attributable to Common Stockholders

For 2005, we had net income attributable to common stockholders of \$605.4 million compared to \$53.7 million for 2004, representing an improvement of \$551.7 million. The year ended December 31, 2005 included a tax benefit related to the release of the valuation allowance of \$431.6 million. We expect to continue generating positive net income in 2006.

Table of Contents**Year Ended December 31, 2004 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2003****Revenues**

Total revenues for 2004 were \$1,377.1 million, an increase of \$351.9 million, or 34%, compared to \$1,025.2 million generated in the same period in 2003. Of the \$351.9 million growth in revenues, \$334.3 million was due to the 30% increase in our subscriber base during 2004, while \$17.6 million of the growth was from recognizing revenue previously deferred in accordance with SAB No. 101, *Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements*.

Service revenues increased 34% to \$1,291.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 as compared to \$964.4 million for the same period in 2003. Roaming revenues for 2004 accounted for approximately 12% of our service revenues, which was the same percentage as for 2003.

The following table illustrates service and equipment revenues as a percentage of total revenues for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003 and our ARPU for those periods.

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2004	% of Consolidated Revenues	For the Year Ended December 31, 2003	% of Consolidated Revenues	2004 vs 2003	
					\$ Change	% Change
(Dollars in thousands, except ARPU)						
Service and roaming revenues	\$ 1,291,352	94%	\$ 964,386	94%	\$ 326,966	34%
Equipment revenues	85,784	6%	60,826	6%	24,958	41%
Total revenues	\$ 1,377,136	100%	\$ 1,025,212	100%	\$ 351,924	34%
ARPU(1)	\$ 67		\$ 68			

(1) See Item 6, Selected Financial Data - Additional Reconciliations of Non-GAAP Financial Measures (Unaudited) for more information regarding our use of ARPU as a non-GAAP financial measure.

Table of Contents

The following table shows the reconciliation of the reported service revenues, equipment revenues and cost of equipment revenues to the adjusted amounts that exclude the adoption of EITF No. 00-21 and SAB No. 101 for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003.

	For the Year Ended December 31,	
	2004	2003
(In thousands)		
Revenues:		
Service revenues (as reported on Consolidated Statements of Operations)	\$ 1,291,352	\$ 964,386
Activation fees deferred (SAB No. 101)		3,319
Previously deferred activation fees recognized (SAB No. 101)	(3,748)	(4,325)
Activation fees to equipment revenues (EITF No. 00-21)	11,310	4,256
<i>Total service revenues without SAB No. 101 and EITF No. 00-21</i>	<i>\$ 1,298,914</i>	<i>\$ 967,636</i>
Equipment revenues (as reported on Consolidated Statements of Operations)	\$ 85,784	\$ 60,826
Equipment revenues deferred (SAB No. 101)		19,947
Previously deferred equipment revenues recognized (SAB No. 101)	(20,258)	(25,380)
Activation fees from service revenues (EITF No. 00-21)	(11,310)	(4,256)
<i>Total equipment revenues without SAB No. 101 and EITF No. 00-21</i>	<i>\$ 54,216</i>	<i>\$ 51,137</i>
Cost of equipment revenues (as reported on Consolidated Statements of Operations)	\$ 152,557	\$ 121,036
Cost of equipment revenues deferred (SAB No. 101)		23,266
Previously deferred cost of equipment revenues recognized (SAB No. 101)	(24,006)	(29,705)
<i>Total cost of equipment revenues without SAB No. 101 and EITF No. 00-21</i>	<i>\$ 128,551</i>	<i>\$ 114,597</i>

Equipment revenues reported for 2004 were \$85.8 million as compared to \$60.8 million reported for the same period in 2003, representing an increase of \$25.0 million. Of the \$25.0 million increase from 2003 to 2004, \$14.8 million relates to recognizing equipment revenues previously deferred pursuant to SAB No. 101 and recording \$7.1 million as activation fees to equipment revenues based on EITF No. 00-21. The remaining \$3.1 million increase in equipment revenues are due to the growth in our subscriber base.

Cost of Service Revenues

For the year ended December 31, 2004, our cost of service revenues was \$361.1 million as compared to \$322.5 million for the same period in 2003, representing an increase of \$38.6 million, or 12%. The increase in costs was partially due to adding approximately 478 cell sites during 2004 to our wireless network. Furthermore, our number of customers grew 30% compared to 2003, and we experienced an increase in average airtime usage by our customers, both of which resulted in higher network operating costs. Compared to 2003, the average monthly minutes

of use per subscriber increased by 9%, to 743 average monthly minutes of use per subscriber for 2004 from 680 average monthly minutes of use per subscriber for 2003. Our roaming fees paid to Nextel also increased as our growing subscriber base roamed on Nextel's compatible network.

Table of Contents*Cost of Equipment Revenues*

Our cost of equipment revenues for the year ended December 31, 2004 was \$152.6 million as compared to \$121.0 million for the same period in 2003, or an increase of \$31.6 million. The increase in costs relates mostly to recognizing \$17.6 million of equipment costs that was previously deferred in accordance with SAB No. 101 and \$14.0 million due to the increased volume of subscribers.

The table below shows that the gross subsidy (without the effects of SAB No. 101 and EITF No. 00-21) between equipment revenues and cost of equipment revenues was a loss of \$74.3 million for 2004, as compared to a loss of \$63.5 million for the same period in 2003.

	For the Year Ended December 31,	
	2004	2003
	(In thousands)	
Equipment revenues billed	\$ 54,216	\$ 51,137
Cost of equipment revenues billed	(128,551)	(114,597)
Total gross subsidy for equipment	\$ (74,335)	\$ (63,460)

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

For the year ended December 31, 2004, selling, general and administrative expenses were \$492.3 million compared to \$402.3 million for the same period in 2003, representing an increase of 22%.

Sales and marketing expenses for the year ended December 31, 2004 were \$206.0 million, an increase of \$28.1 million, or 16%, from the same period in 2003 due to the following:

\$14.5 million increase in advertising and media expenses as a result of general marketing campaigns along with sponsorship of NASCAR in conjunction with Nextel;

\$2.1 million increase in facility and lease costs primarily for the more than 30 new company-owned stores put in operation during 2004; and

\$11.5 million increase in commissions, commission bonuses and residuals paid to account representatives and the indirect sales channel.

For the year ended December 31, 2004, general and administrative costs were \$286.3 million, an increase of \$61.9 million, or 28%, compared to the same period in 2003 due to the following:

\$10.5 million increase due to hiring additional staff and operating expenses to support our growing customer base and related activities in Las Vegas, Nevada and Panama City Beach, Florida;

\$50.3 million increase in expenses for service and repair, billing, collection and customer retention expenses including handset upgrades to support a larger and growing customer base, offset by a \$24.3 million decrease in bad debt due to improved collection activity; and

\$25.4 million increase in support of our information systems, facilities and corporate expenses.

Stock-Based Compensation Expense

For the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003, we recorded non-cash, stock-based compensation expense associated with our grants of restricted stock and employee stock options of approximately \$0.8 million and \$1.1 million, respectively.

Depreciation and Amortization Expense

For 2004 our depreciation and amortization expense was \$149.7 million compared to \$135.4 million for the same period in 2003, representing an increase of 11%. The \$14.3 million increase in depreciation

Table of Contents

expense was due to adding approximately 478 cell sites in 2004. We also acquired furniture and equipment for our offices and more than 30 new company-owned stores.

Interest Expense and Interest Income

Interest expense, net of capitalized interest, declined \$45.8 million, or 30%, from \$152.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 to \$106.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2004. This decline was due mostly to our repurchase for cash of our 14% senior discount notes due 2009, 12¹/₂% senior notes due 2009 and 11% senior notes due 2010 during 2003 and 2004. In addition, for our interest rate swap agreements we recorded a non-cash fair market value gain of approximately \$3.4 million and \$4.1 million for 2004 and 2003, respectively. For 2004, interest income was \$2.9 million compared to \$2.8 million for 2003.

Loss on Early Retirement of Debt

During 2004 we recorded a \$55.0 million loss on early retirement of debt related to our repurchase for cash of the remaining \$1.8 million (principal amount at maturity) of our 14% senior discount notes due 2009 and \$366.3 million (principal amount at maturity) of our 11% senior notes due 2010. Of the \$55.0 million loss, \$45.2 million represents a premium paid to repurchase our 11% senior notes due 2010 for cash and \$9.8 million related to the write-off of the deferred financing costs for the 14% senior discount notes due 2009, the 11% senior notes due 2010 and the tranche B term loan of the credit facility that was refinanced on May 19, 2004.

During 2003 we recorded a \$95.1 million loss on early retirement of debt related to our repurchase for cash of \$483.2 million (principal amount at maturity) of our 14% senior discount notes due 2009, \$22.6 million (principal amount at maturity) of our 11% senior notes due 2010, \$78.8 million (principal amount at maturity) of our 12¹/₂% senior notes due 2009, and \$38.9 million of our Series B mandatorily redeemable preferred stock. We also refinanced our \$475.0 million credit facility and exchanged \$8.0 million of our 14% senior discount notes due 2009 for shares of our Class A common stock. Of the \$95.1 million loss, \$79.2 million represented a premium paid to repurchase or exchange the notes and \$15.9 million related to the write-off of the deferred financing costs for the debt reduction activities.

Income Tax Provision

As a result of adopting SFAS No. 142 *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, we recorded a deferred non-cash income tax provision during 2004 and 2003 of \$8.4 million and \$7.8 million, respectively, relating to our FCC licenses.

Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Common Stockholders

For 2004, we had a net income attributable to common stockholders of \$53.7 million compared to a net loss attributable to common stockholders of \$211.6 million for 2003, representing a \$265.3 million improvement. The \$211.6 million net loss in 2003 included a \$95.1 million loss for early retirement of debt that pertains to an aggregate of debt reduction and refinancing transactions totaling \$1.1 billion (principal amount at maturity).

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of December 31, 2005, our cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments balance was approximately \$165.0 million, a decrease of \$99.6 million compared to the balance of \$264.6 million as of December 31, 2004. In addition, we had access to an undrawn line of credit of \$100.0 million for a total liquidity position of \$265.0 million as of December 31, 2005. The \$99.6 million decrease in our liquidity position from December 31, 2004 was, in part, a result of our additional capital spending and debt repayments offset by positive cash from operating activities, stock options exercised and proceeds from sale lease-back transactions.

Table of Contents*Statement of Cash Flows Discussion***?For the Year Ended
December 31,**

	2005	2004	\$ Change	% Change
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Net cash from operating activities	\$ 379,812	\$ 202,466	\$ 177,346	88%
Net cash from investing activities	\$ (132,815)	\$ (131,620)	\$ (1,195)	(1)%
Net cash from financing activities	\$ (244,078)	\$ (45,982)	\$ (198,096)	(431)%

For 2005, we generated \$379.8 million in cash from operating activities, as compared to \$202.5 million in cash for the same period in 2004. The \$177.3 million increase in funds from operating activities was due to the following:

a \$214.1 million increase in income generated from operating activities, excluding changes in current assets and liabilities; and

a \$20.0 million increase in accounts payable, accrued expenses, other current liabilities, other current and long-term assets and advances to Nextel WIP due to the timing of payments; offset by

a \$22.8 million increase in our on-hand subscriber equipment inventory due primarily to adding new phone models; and

a \$34.0 million increase in our accounts receivable balance from customers due to 26% growth in number of subscribers in 2005 compared to the same period in 2004.

Net cash used from investing activities for 2005 was \$132.8 million compared to \$131.6 million used for the same period in 2004. The \$1.2 million increase in net cash used from investing activities was primarily due to:

a \$77.0 million increase in capital expenditures as a result of adding 546 cell sites in 2005 compared to 478 cell sites during 2004; offset by

a \$1.5 million net decrease in FCC licenses acquired and other investing activities; and

a \$339.4 million decrease in purchases of short-term investments offset by a \$265.1 million decrease in cash proceeds from the sale and maturities of short-term investments used to fund the increase in capital expenditures and to redeem the 12¹/₂% senior discount notes due 2009 and refinance the tranche C term loan.

Net cash used from financing activities for 2005 totaled \$244.1 million compared to \$46.0 million used in the same period in 2004. The \$198.1 million increase in net cash from financing activities was due to:

a \$174.6 million decrease in proceeds from refinancing the credit facility and issuing \$25.0 million of 8¹/₈% senior notes due 2011 in May 2004; and

a \$67.7 million increase in cash used in 2005 for refinancing the credit facility, repurchase for cash in open market purchases of our 11% senior notes due 2010, and redemption of our outstanding 12¹/₂% senior notes due 2009; offset by

a \$28.6 million increase in proceeds from stock options exercised and employee purchases of stock;

a \$13.5 million increase in proceeds from sale-leaseback transactions; and

a \$2.1 million net decrease in debt and equity issuance costs and other financing activities.

Capital Needs and Funding Sources

Our primary liquidity needs arise from the capital requirements necessary to expand and enhance coverage in our existing markets that are part of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network. Other liquidity

Table of Contents

needs include the future acquisition of additional frequencies, the installation of new or additional switch equipment, the introduction of new technology and services, and debt service requirements related to our long-term debt and capital leases. Without limiting the foregoing, we expect capital expenditures to include, among other things, the purchase of switches, base radios, transmission towers and antennae, radio frequency engineering, cell site construction, and information technology software and equipment.

Based on our ten-year operating and capital plan, we believe that our cash flow from operations, existing cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments and access to our line of credit, as necessary, will provide sufficient funds for the foreseeable future to finance our capital needs and working capital requirements to build out and maintain our portion of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network using the current 800 MHz iDEN system as well as provide the necessary funds to acquire any additional FCC licenses required to operate the current 800 MHz iDEN system.

To the extent we are not able to generate positive cash from operating activities, we will be required to use more of our available liquidity to fund operations or we would require additional financing. We may be unable to raise additional capital on acceptable terms, if at all. Furthermore, our ability to generate positive cash from operating activities is dependent upon the amount of revenue we receive from customers, operating expenses required to provide our service, the cost of acquiring and retaining customers and our ability to continue to grow our customer base.

Additionally, to the extent we decide to expand our digital wireless network or deploy next generation technologies, we may require additional financing to fund these projects. In the event that additional financing is necessary, such financing may not be available to us on satisfactory terms, if at all, for a number of reasons, including, without limitation, restrictions in our debt instruments on our ability to raise additional funds, conditions in the economy generally and in the wireless communications industry specifically, and other factors that may be beyond our control.

The following table provides details regarding our contractual obligations subsequent to December 31, 2005:

Contractual Obligations	Payments Due by Period						
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Thereafter	Total
	(In thousands)						
Long-term debt(1)	\$	\$ 4,125	\$ 193,810	\$ 5,500	\$ 5,500	\$ 1,004,375	\$ 1,213,310
Interest on long-term debt(2)	75,630	76,038	75,694	73,031	72,676	76,563	449,632
Operating leases	103,650	89,702	78,737	67,953	38,442	53,901	432,385
Capital lease obligations(3)	5,227	5,227	5,227	5,227			20,908
Purchase obligations(4)	292						292
Total contractual obligations	\$ 184,799	\$ 175,092	\$ 353,468	\$ 151,711	\$ 116,618	\$ 1,134,839	\$ 2,116,527

(1) Includes \$550.0 million of the tranche D term loan outstanding as of December 31, 2005 and does not reflect the principal repayment of \$50 million we made in March 2006.

(2) Includes interest on the remaining \$100 million in swaps of approximately (\$832,000) for 2006. These amounts include estimated payments based on management's expectation as to future interest rates.

(3) Includes interest.

- (4) Included in the Purchase obligations caption above are minimum amounts due under our most significant agreements for telecommunication services required for back-office support. Amounts actually paid under some of these agreements may be higher due to variable components of these agreements. In addition to the amounts reflected in the table, we expect to pay significant amounts to Motorola for infrastructure, handsets and related services in future years. Potential amounts payable to Motorola are not shown above due to the uncertainty surrounding the timing and extent of these payments. See notes to the consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for amounts paid to Motorola for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004.

Table of Contents

Sources of Funding

To date, third-party financing activities and cash flow from operations have provided all of our funding. Listed below are the sources of funding, including our refinancing activities:

proceeds from cash equity contributions and commitments of \$202.8 million in January and September of 1999;

the offering of 14% senior discount notes due 2009 for initial proceeds of \$406.4 million in January 1999, less \$167.7 million (principal amount at maturity) for the partial redemption of these notes in April 2000, \$86.1 million (principal amount at maturity) repurchased for cash in May and June 2003, \$375.8 million (principal amount at maturity) as of June 30, 2003 repurchased pursuant to a tender offer commenced in June 2003, \$16.5 million (principal amount at maturity) and \$4.8 million (principal amount at maturity) repurchased for cash in July 2003 and December 2003, respectively, and \$1.8 million (principal amount at maturity) redeemed in March 2004;

term loans incurred in the aggregate principal amount of \$375.0 million in January and September 1999 and January 2002, which we refinanced in May 2004 and increased to \$700.0 million and reduced to \$550.0 million in May 2005;

the contribution by Nextel WIP of FCC licenses valued at \$178.3 million, in exchange for Class B common stock and Series B preferred stock in January and September 1999 and September 2000, less redemption of all the Series B preferred stock for \$38.9 million in November 2003;

the contribution by Motorola of a \$22.0 million credit to use against our purchases of Motorola-manufactured infrastructure equipment in exchange for Class A common stock, all of which had been used by December 31, 1999;

net proceeds from the sale of Class A common stock in our initial public offering of \$510.8 million in February 2000;

the offering of 11% senior notes due 2010 for \$200.0 million in March 2000 and an additional \$200.0 million in 11% senior notes due 2010 in July 2000, less \$22.6 million (principal amount at maturity) repurchased for cash in August 2003, \$10.5 million (principal amount at maturity) repurchased for cash in March 2004, \$355.8 million (principal amount at maturity) repurchased for cash through a tender offer that expired in May 2004 and \$1.2 million (principal amount at maturity) repurchased for cash in April 2005;

the offering of 12¹/₂% senior discount notes due 2009 for \$210.4 million in December 2001, less \$11.1 million (principal amount at maturity) repurchased for cash in August 2003, redemption of \$67.7 million (principal amount at maturity) in December 2003 and redemption of \$146.2 million (principal amount at maturity) in November 2005;

net proceeds totaling \$28.0 million from the sale of switches in Kentucky and Florida in July and October 2002;

cancellation of existing indebtedness in November and December 2002 and January and February 2003 in the aggregate amount of \$45.0 million (principal amount at maturity) in exchange for the issuance of shares of our Class A common stock;

the offering of 1¹/₂% convertible senior notes due 2008 for \$150.0 million in May 2003 and an additional \$25.0 million in June 2003 pursuant to the exercise of an over-allotment option held by the initial purchasers of the notes, less \$88.3 million conversions during 2005;

the offering of 8¹/₈% senior notes due 2011 for \$450.0 million in June 2003 and an additional \$25.0 million in May 2004 for proceeds of \$24.6 million;

Table of Contents

the offering of 1¹/₂% convertible senior notes due 2008 for \$125.0 million in August 2003, less \$23.4 million conversions during 2005; and

net proceeds of \$104.5 million from our sale of Class A common stock in November 2003.

Our funding sources from debt are described below in more detail:

Bank Credit Facility

On May 23, 2005, OPCO refinanced its existing \$700.0 million tranche C term loan with a new \$550.0 million tranche D term loan. JPMorgan Chase & Co. acted as sole bookrunner and arranger on the transaction. The new credit facility includes a \$550.0 million tranche D term loan, a \$100.0 million revolving credit facility and an option to request an additional \$200.0 million of incremental term loans. Such incremental term loans shall not exceed \$200.0 million or have a final maturity date earlier than the maturity date for the tranche D term loan. The tranche D term loan matures on May 31, 2012. The revolving credit facility will terminate on the last business day falling on or nearest to November 30, 2009. The incremental term loans, if any, shall mature on the date specified on the date the respective loan is made, provided that such maturity date shall not be earlier than the maturity date for the tranche D term loan. As of December 31, 2005, \$550.0 million of the tranche D term loan was outstanding and no amounts were outstanding under either the \$100.0 million revolving credit facility or the incremental term loans. The borrowings under the new term loan were used along with company funds to repay OPCO's existing tranche C term loan.

The tranche D term loan bears interest, at our option, at the administrative agent's alternate base rate or reserve-adjusted LIBOR plus, in each case, applicable margins. The initial applicable margin for the tranche D term loan is 1.50% over LIBOR and 0.50% over the base rate. For the revolving credit facility, the initial applicable margin is 3.00% over LIBOR and 2.00% over the base rate and thereafter will be determined on the basis of the ratio of total debt to annualized EBITDA and will range between 1.50% and 3.00% over LIBOR and between 0.50% and 2.00% over the base rate. As of December 31, 2005, the interest rate on the tranche D term loan was 5.91%.

Borrowings under the term loans are secured by, among other things, a first priority pledge of all assets of OPCO and all assets of the subsidiaries of OPCO and a pledge of their respective capital stock. The credit facility contains financial and other covenants customary for the wireless industry, including limitations on our ability to pay dividends and incur additional debt or create liens on assets. The credit facility also contains covenants requiring that we maintain certain defined financial ratios. The credit facility does not restrict the sale of our outstanding shares of Class A common stock to Nextel WIP, as approved by our Class A common stockholders on October 24, 2005 following the merger of Nextel with Sprint. In addition, we are not required to obtain the consent of the lenders under our credit facility prior to completing the sale of our outstanding shares of Class A common stock to Nextel WIP.

14% Senior Discount Notes Due 2009

Our 14% senior discount notes due 2009 were issued in January 1999. The notes were issued at a discount to their aggregate principal amount at maturity and generated aggregate gross proceeds to us of approximately \$406.4 million. In July 1999 we exchanged these notes for registered notes having the same financial terms and covenants as the notes issued in January 1999. Cash interest began accruing on the notes on February 1, 2004. On April 18, 2000, we redeemed 35% of the accreted value of these outstanding notes for approximately \$191.2 million with proceeds from our initial public offering. The redemption payment of \$191.2 million included \$167.7 million of these outstanding notes (principal amount at maturity) plus a 14% premium of approximately \$23.5 million. In November and December 2002 we exchanged approximately \$27.0 million (principal amount at maturity) of the notes for shares of our Class A common stock and again in January and February 2003 exchanged an additional \$8.0 million (principal amount at maturity) of the notes for shares of our Class A common stock. From May 13, 2003 through June 4, 2003, we repurchased for cash approximately \$86.1 million (principal amount at maturity) of the notes outstanding for \$87.9 million. On June 11, 2003 we commenced a tender offer that expired

Table of Contents

July 11, 2003 to repurchase all of the remaining notes outstanding, which through June 30, 2003 we purchased approximately \$375.8 million (principal amount at maturity) of the 14% senior discount notes due 2009 for \$398.1 million. From July 1, 2003 through July 11, 2003, we repurchased for cash an additional \$16.5 million (principal amount at maturity) of the 14% senior discount notes due 2009 for \$17.5 million. On December 1, 2003 we repurchased for cash approximately \$4.8 million (principal amount at maturity) of the notes outstanding for \$5.1 million. During March 2004, we redeemed the remaining \$1.8 million (principal amount at maturity) of our outstanding 14% senior discount notes due 2009 for a total redemption price of approximately \$1.9 million.

11% Senior Notes Due 2010

On March 10, 2000, we issued \$200.0 million of 11% senior notes due 2010, and on July 27, 2000, we issued an additional \$200.0 million of 11% senior notes due 2010, each in a private placement. We subsequently exchanged all of the March 2000 and July 2000 notes for registered notes having the same financial terms and covenants as the privately placed notes. Interest accrues for these notes at the rate of 11% per annum, payable semi-annually in cash in arrears on March 15 and September 15 of each year, which commenced on September 15, 2000. In November 2002 we exchanged \$10.0 million (principal amount at maturity) of the notes for shares of our Class A common stock. During August 2003 and March 2004, we repurchased for cash \$22.6 million and \$10.5 million (principal amounts at maturity), respectively, of our 11% senior notes due 2010 in open-market purchases for \$25.0 million and \$11.8 million, respectively, including accrued interest. On April 28, 2004, we commenced a tender offer and consent solicitation relating to all of our outstanding 11% senior notes due 2010. In connection with the tender offer, which expired on May 25, 2004, we purchased approximately \$355.8 million (principal amount at maturity) of the 11% senior notes due 2010 for approximately \$406.6 million including accrued interest. During April 2005, we redeemed the remaining \$1.2 million (principal amount at maturity) of our outstanding 11% senior notes due 2010.

12¹/₂% Senior Discount Notes Due 2009

On December 4, 2001 we issued in a private placement \$225.0 million of 12¹/₂% senior discount notes due 2009. These notes were issued at a discount to their aggregate principal amount at maturity and generated aggregate gross proceeds to us of approximately \$210.4 million. We subsequently exchanged all of these 12¹/₂% senior discount notes due 2009 for registered notes having the same financial terms and covenants as the privately placed notes. Interest accrues for these notes at the rate of 12¹/₂% per annum, payable semi-annually in cash in arrears on May 15 and November 15 of each year, which commenced on May 15, 2002. During August 2003, we repurchased for cash \$11.1 million (principal amount at maturity) of our 12¹/₂% senior discount notes due 2009 in open-market purchases. On December 31, 2003 we completed the redemption of \$67.7 million (principal amount at maturity) of the notes outstanding with net proceeds from our public offering in November 2003. On November 15, 2005 we redeemed the remaining \$146.2 million (principal amount at maturity) of our 12¹/₂% senior discount notes for a total redemption price of \$164.5 million.

8¹/₈% Senior Notes Due 2011

On June 23, 2003, we issued \$450.0 million of 8¹/₈% senior notes due 2011 in a private placement. We subsequently exchanged all of the 8¹/₈% senior notes due 2011 for registered notes having the same financial terms and covenants as the privately placed notes. Interest accrues for these notes at the rate of 8¹/₈% per annum, payable semi-annually in cash in arrears on January 1 and July 1 of each year, which commenced on January 1, 2004. The proceeds from this offering were used primarily to fund the purchase of the 14% senior discount notes due 2009 in our June 2003 tender offer.

On May 19, 2004, we issued an additional \$25.0 million of 8¹/₈% senior notes due 2011 under a separate indenture in a private placement for proceeds of \$24.6 million. We subsequently exchanged all of these 8¹/₈% senior notes for registered notes having the same financial terms and covenants as the privately placed notes. Interest accrues for these notes at the rate of 8¹/₈% per annum, payable semi-annually in cash

Table of Contents

in arrears on January 1 and July 1 of each year, which commenced on July 1, 2004. The proceeds were used to fund a portion of the purchase of the 11% senior notes due 2010 in our tender offer. Our obligations under the indentures governing our 8¹/₈% senior notes shall continue following the closing of the put transaction with Sprint Nextel.

1¹/₂% Convertible Senior Notes Due 2008

On May 13, 2003, we closed a private placement of \$150.0 million of 1¹/₂% convertible senior notes due 2008. On June 11, 2003, we closed a private placement of an additional \$25.0 million of these notes pursuant to the exercise of an over-allotment option held by the initial purchasers of these notes, increasing the total proceeds to \$175.0 million. At the option of the holders or upon change of control, the notes are convertible into shares of our Class A common stock at an initial conversion rate of 131.9087 shares per \$1,000 principal amount of notes, which represents a conversion price of \$7.58 per share, subject to adjustment. Interest accrues for these notes at the rate of 1¹/₂% per annum, payable semi-annually in cash in arrears on May 15 and November 15 of each year, which commenced on November 15, 2003. We subsequently filed a registration statement with the SEC to register the resale of the 1¹/₂% convertible senior notes due 2008 and the shares of our Class A common stock into which the 1¹/₂% convertible senior notes due 2008 are convertible. As of December 31, 2005, \$88.3 million of these 1¹/₂% convertible senior notes due 2008 had been converted into 11,642,918 shares of our Class A common stock.

On August 6, 2003, we closed a private placement of \$125.0 million of 1¹/₂% convertible senior notes due 2008. At the option of the holders or upon change of control, the notes are convertible into shares of our Class A common stock at an initial conversion rate of 78.3085 shares per \$1,000 principal amount of notes, which represents a conversion price of \$12.77 per share, subject to adjustment. Interest accrues for these notes at the rate of 1¹/₂% per annum, payable semi-annually in cash in arrears on May 15 and November 15 of each year, which commenced on November 15, 2003. We subsequently filed a registration statement with the SEC to register the resale of the 1¹/₂% convertible senior notes due 2008 and the shares of our Class A common stock into which the 1¹/₂% convertible senior notes due 2008 are convertible. As of December 31, 2005, \$23.4 million of these 1¹/₂% convertible senior notes due 2008 had been converted into 1,834,357 shares of our Class A common stock.

Pursuant to the put right set forth in our charter, Sprint Nextel has an obligation to purchase all of our outstanding shares of Class A common stock, which will constitute a fundamental change under the indentures governing our outstanding 1¹/₂% convertible senior notes due 2008. As a result, each holder of our outstanding 1¹/₂% convertible senior notes due 2008 will have the right, at each such holder's option, to require us to redeem all of such holder's notes subsequent to the closing of the put transaction at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount thereof, together with accrued interest to, but excluding, the redemption date.

As discussed in more detail in Item 1A, Risk Factors, if we fail to satisfy the financial covenants and other requirements contained in our credit facility and the indentures governing our outstanding notes, our debts could become immediately payable at a time when we are unable to pay them, which could adversely affect our liquidity and financial condition.

In the future, we may opportunistically repurchase additional outstanding notes if the financial terms are sufficiently attractive.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The SEC requires registrants to disclose off-balance sheet arrangements. As defined by the SEC, an off-balance sheet arrangement includes any contractual obligation, agreement or transaction arrangement involving an unconsolidated entity under which a company 1) has made guarantees, 2) has a retained or a contingent interest in transferred assets, 3) has an obligation under derivative instruments classified as equity, or 4) has any obligation arising out of a material variable interest in an unconsolidated entity that

Table of Contents

provides financing, liquidity, market risk or credit risk support to the company, or that engages in leasing, hedging or research and development services with the company.

We have examined our contractual obligation structures that may potentially be impacted by this disclosure requirement and have concluded that no arrangements of the types described above exist with respect to our company.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The SEC has defined a company's most critical accounting policies as the ones that are most important to the portrayal of the company's financial condition and results of operations, and which require the company to make its most difficult and subjective judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates of matters that are inherently uncertain. Based on this definition, we have identified the critical accounting policies and judgments addressed below. We also have other key accounting policies, which involve the use of estimates, judgments and assumptions. For additional information see the notes to the consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Although we believe that our estimates and assumptions are reasonable, they are based upon information presently available. Actual results may differ significantly from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Revenue Recognition

We recognize service revenues for access charges and other services charged at fixed amounts ratably over the service period, net of credits and adjustments for service discounts. These discounts typically relate to promotional service campaigns in which customers will receive a monthly discount on their service plan for a limited period depending on the features of the rate plan. Additionally, for situations where customers may have a billing dispute, coverage dispute or service issue, we may grant a one-time credit or adjustment to the customer's bill. We recognize excess usage and long distance revenue at contractual rates per minute as minutes are used. To account for the service revenues, including adjustments and discounts, that are unbilled at month-end, we make an estimate of unbilled service revenues from the end of the billed service period to the end of the month. This estimate, which is subjective, is based on a number of factors, including: the increase in net subscribers, number of business days from the billed service period to the end of the month and the average revenue per subscriber, after taking partial billing periods into consideration. This estimate is accrued monthly and reversed the following month when the actual service period is billed again. The actual service revenues could be greater or lower than the amounts estimated due to rate plans in effect and the minutes of use differing from the factors used for the estimate. Historically, our differences between the estimated and actual service revenues have not been material.

Equipment revenues include the sale of handset and accessory equipment. We recognize revenues for handset and accessory equipment when title passes to the customer, which is upon shipping the item to the customer.

In November 2002, the EITF issued a final consensus on Issue No. 00-21, *Accounting for Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables*. Issue No. 00-21 provides guidance on how and when to recognize revenues on arrangements requiring delivery of more than one product or service. We adopted EITF Issue No. 00-21 on July 1, 2003 and elected to apply the provisions prospectively to our existing customer arrangements, as described below.

Prior to the adoption of EITF No. 00-21, we followed the guidance of SAB No. 101, *Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements*, and accounted for the sale of our handset equipment and the subsequent service to the customer as a single unit of accounting as our wireless service is essential to the

Table of Contents

functionality of our handsets. Accordingly, we recognized revenues from the handset equipment sales and an equal amount of the cost of handset equipment revenues over the expected customer relationship period when title to the handset passed to the customer. Under EITF Issue No. 00-21, we are no longer required to consider whether a customer is able to realize utility from the handset in the absence of the undelivered service. Given that we meet the criteria stipulated in EITF Issue No. 00-21, we account for the sale of a handset as a unit of accounting separate from the subsequent service to the customer. Accordingly, we recognize revenues from handset equipment sales and the related cost of handset equipment revenues when title to the handset equipment passes to the customer for all arrangements entered into beginning in the third quarter of 2003. This resulted in the classification of amounts received for the sale of the handset equipment, including any activation fees charged to the customer, as equipment revenues at the time of the sale. For arrangements entered into prior to July 1, 2003, we continue to amortize the revenues and costs previously deferred as was required by SAB No. 101. In December 2003, the SEC staff issued SAB No. 104, *Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements* which updated SAB No. 101 to reflect the impact of the issuance of EITF No. 00-21.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable are recorded at the invoiced amount and include late payment fee charges for unpaid balances. The allowance for doubtful accounts is our best estimate of the amount of probable credit losses that will occur in our existing accounts receivable balance. We review our allowance for doubtful accounts monthly. We determine the allowance based on the age of the balances and our experience of collecting on certain account types such as corporate, small business, government, consumer or education, and also, in some cases, the credit classification of the customer. Account balances are charged off against the allowance after all means of collection have been exhausted internally and the potential for recovery is considered remote or delegated to a third-party collection agency.

Subscriber Equipment Inventory

Subscriber equipment is valued at the lower of cost or market. Cost for the equipment is determined by the weighted average method. Equipment costs in excess of the revenue generated from equipment sales, or equipment subsidies, are expensed at the point of sale. We do not recognize the expected equipment subsidy prior to the point of sale due to the fact that we expect to recover the equipment subsidy through service revenues and the marketing decision to sell the equipment at less than cost is confirmed at the point of sale.

Capitalization and Depreciation of Fixed Assets

Our business is inherently capital intensive due to the build out and continual expansion of our digital network. Thus, we record our system (digital network) and non-system fixed assets, including improvements that extend useful lives, at cost, while maintenance and repairs are charged to operations as incurred. Depreciation and amortization are computed using the straight-line method with estimated useful lives of up to 31 years for cell site shelters, three to ten years for digital mobile network equipment, and three to seven years for furniture and fixtures. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the respective lease term or the useful lives of the improvements. As we continue to track the utilization of our system and non-system fixed assets, we may find that the actual economic lives may be different than our estimated useful lives, thus resulting in different carrying values of our fixed assets or property, plant and equipment. This could also result in a change of our depreciation expense in future periods. To date, we have not changed the estimated useful lives that we employ, but we will continue to conduct periodic evaluations to confirm that they are appropriate, taking into consideration such factors as changes in our technology and the industry.

Construction in progress includes costs of labor (internal and external), materials, transmission and related equipment, engineering, site design, interest and other costs relating to the construction and development of our digital mobile network. Assets under construction are not depreciated until placed into

Table of Contents

service. In capitalizing costs related to the construction of our digital network, we include costs that are required to activate the network.

Leases

We lease various cell sites, equipment and office and retail facilities under operating leases. Leases for cell sites are typically five years with renewal options. The leases normally provide for the payment of minimum annual rentals and certain leases include provisions for renewal options of up to five years. Certain costs related to our cell sites are depreciated over a ten-year period on a straight-line basis, which represents the lesser of the lease term or economic life of the asset. We calculate straight-line rent expense over the initial lease term and renewals that are reasonably assured. Office facilities and equipment are leased under agreements with terms ranging from one month to twenty years. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the respective lives of the leases or the useful lives of the improvements.

Intangible Assets

SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, requires the use of a non-amortization approach to account for purchased goodwill and certain intangibles. Under a non-amortization approach, goodwill and certain intangibles are not amortized into results of operations, but instead are reviewed at least annually for impairment, and written down as a charge to results of operations only in the periods in which the recorded value of goodwill and certain intangibles exceeds fair value.

During the third quarter of 2005, we acquired intangible assets related to an immaterial asset acquisition that are subject to straight-line amortization over a 24-month term. In addition, we recorded goodwill for which we will perform an annual asset impairment analysis.

FCC operating licenses are recorded at historical cost. Our FCC licenses and the requirements to maintain the licenses are similar to other licenses granted by the FCC, including PCS and cellular licenses, in that they are subject to renewal after the initial 10-year term. Historically, the renewal process associated with these FCC licenses has been perfunctory. The accounting for these licenses has historically not been constrained by the renewal and operational requirements.

We have determined that FCC licenses have indefinite lives; therefore, as of January 1, 2002, we no longer amortize the cost of these licenses. We performed an annual asset impairment analysis on our FCC licenses in accordance with SFAS No. 142 and to date we have determined there has been no impairment related to our FCC licenses as the fair values of the licenses are greater than their carrying values. For our impairment analysis, we used the aggregate of all our FCC licenses, which constitutes the footprint of our portion of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network, as the unit of accounting for our FCC licenses based on the guidance in Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 02-7, *Unit of Accounting for Testing Impairment of Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets* to estimate the fair value of our licenses using a discounted cash flow model. The estimates that we use in the cash flow model were based on our long-range cash flow projections, discounted at our corporate weighted average cost of capital. The use of different estimates or assumptions within our discounted cash flow model when determining the fair value of our FCC licenses may result in different values for our FCC licenses and any related impairment charge.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Our long-lived assets consist principally of property, plant and equipment. It is our policy to assess impairment of long-lived assets pursuant to SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*. This includes determining if certain triggering events have occurred, including significant decreases in the market value of specified assets, significant changes in the manner in which an asset is used, significant changes in the legal climate or business climate that could affect the value of an asset, or current period or continuing operating or cash flow losses or projections that demonstrate continuing losses associated with certain assets used for the purpose of producing revenue that might be an indicator of impairment. When we perform the SFAS No. 144 impairment tests, we identify the

Table of Contents

appropriate asset group to be our network system, which includes the grouping of all of our assets required to operate our portion of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network and provide service to our customers. We based this conclusion of asset grouping on the revenue dependency, operating interdependency and shared costs to operate our network. Thus far, none of the above triggering events has resulted in any material impairment charges.

Income Taxes Valuation Allowance

We maintain a valuation allowance that includes reserves against certain of our deferred tax asset amounts in instances where we determine that it is more likely than not that a tax benefit will not be realized. Our valuation allowance has historically included reserves primarily for the tax benefit of net operating loss carryforwards. Prior to September 30, 2005, we had recorded a full reserve against the tax benefits relating to our net operating loss carryforwards because, at that time, we did not have a sufficient history of taxable income to conclude that it was more likely than not that we would be able to realize the tax benefits of the net operating loss carryforwards. Accordingly, we recorded in our consolidated statement of operations only a small provision for income taxes, as our net operating loss carryforwards resulting from losses generated in prior years offset virtually all of the taxes that we would have otherwise incurred.

Based on our cumulative operating results through September 30, 2005 and an assessment of our expected future operations, we concluded as of September 30, 2005 that it was more likely than not that we would be able to realize certain tax benefits of our net operating loss carryforwards. Therefore, we decreased a significant portion of the valuation allowance attributable to our net operating loss carryforwards as a credit to tax expense in the third quarter of 2005.

Significant changes in our assessment of the future realization of our deferred tax assets would require us to reconsider the need for a valuation allowance associated with the deferred tax assets in amounts that could be material. The valuation allowance balance as of December 31, 2005 of approximately \$10.5 million is comprised primarily of the tax effect of state net operating losses incurred in prior years for which an allowance is still required.

Asset Retirement Obligations

During 2003, we adopted SFAS No. 143, *Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations*, which addresses financial accounting and reporting for obligations associated with the reporting of obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets and associated asset retirement obligations (ARO). Under the scope of this pronouncement, we have ARO associated with our removal of equipment from the cell sites and towers that we lease from third parties.

Stock-Based Compensation

In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148, *Accounting for Stock Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure*. This statement amends SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, to provide alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. We continue to apply the intrinsic value method for stock-based compensation to employees prescribed by Accounting Principles Board Opinion (APB) No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*. We have provided the disclosures required by SFAS No. 148.

Interest Rate Risk Management

See Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk below for a full description on our interest rate risk management policy.

Table of Contents***Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements***

See Note 1 Operations and Significant Accounting Policies in the notes to consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for a full description of recently issued accounting pronouncements.

Related Party Transactions

See Item 13, Certain Relationships and Related Transactions for a full description of our related party transactions.

Item 7A. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk*

We are subject to market risks arising from changes in interest rates. Our primary interest rate risk results from changes in LIBOR or the prime rate, which are used to determine the interest rate applicable to the term loan of OPCO under our credit facility. Our potential loss over one year that would result from a hypothetical, instantaneous and unfavorable change of 100 basis points in the interest rate of all our variable rate obligations would be approximately \$4.0 million.

As of December 31, 2005, we had 8¹/₈% senior notes due 2011 and 1¹/₂% convertible senior notes due 2008 outstanding. While fluctuations in interest rates may affect the fair value of these notes, causing the notes to trade above or below par, interest expense will not be affected due to the fixed interest rate of these notes.

We use derivative financial instruments consisting of interest rate swap and interest rate protection agreements in the management of our interest rate exposures. We will not use financial instruments for trading or other speculative purposes, nor will we be a party to any leveraged derivative instrument. The use of derivative financial instruments is monitored through regular communication with senior management. We will be exposed to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the counter parties. This credit risk is minimized by dealing with a group of major financial institutions with whom we have other financial relationships. We do not anticipate nonperformance by these counter parties.

SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, as amended by SFAS No. 138, establish accounting and reporting standards requiring that every derivative instrument (including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts) be recorded on the balance sheet as either an asset or liability measured at fair value. These statements require that changes in the derivative's fair value be recognized currently in earnings unless specific hedge accounting criteria are met. If hedge accounting criteria are met, the changes in a derivative's fair value (for a cash flow hedge) are deferred in stockholders' equity as a component of other comprehensive income. These deferred gains and losses are recognized as income in the period in which hedged cash flows occur. The ineffective portions of hedge returns are recognized as earnings.

Non-Cash Flow Hedging Instruments

In April 1999 and 2000, we entered into interest rate swap agreements for \$60 million and \$50 million, respectively, to partially hedge interest rate exposure with respect to our term B and C loans. In April 2004 and 2005, the \$60 million and \$50 million, respectively, interest rate swap agreements expired in accordance with their original terms and paid approximately \$639,000 and \$520,000, respectively, for the final settlement. We did not record any realized gain or loss with this expiration since this swap did not qualify for cash flow hedge accounting and we recognized changes in its fair value up to the termination date as part of our interest expense.

For the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, we recorded non-cash, non-operating gains of approximately \$1.1 million and \$3.4 million, respectively, related to the change in market value of the interest rate swap agreements in interest expense.

Table of Contents**Cash Flow Hedging Instruments**

In September 2004 we entered into a series of interest rate swap agreements for \$150 million, which had the effect of converting certain of our variable interest rate loan obligations to fixed interest rates. The commencement date for the swap transactions was December 1, 2004 and the expiration date is August 31, 2006. In December 2004 we entered into similar agreements to hedge an additional \$50 million commencing March 1, 2005 and expiring August 31, 2006. The term C loan obligation was replaced with the refinancing of our credit facility in May 2005; however, we maintained the existing swap agreements.

These interest rate swap agreements qualify for cash flow accounting under SFAS 133. Both at inception and on an ongoing basis we must perform an effectiveness test using the change in variable cash flows method. In accordance with SFAS 133, the fair value of the swap agreements at December 31, 2005 was included in other current assets on the balance sheet. The change in fair value was recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income on the balance sheet since the instruments were determined to be perfectly effective at December 31, 2005. There were no amounts reclassified into current earnings due to ineffectiveness during 2005 or 2004.

In January 2006, we cancelled \$100 million of these interest rate swap agreements, which will be accounted for in the first quarter of 2006.

A summary of our long-term debt obligations, including scheduled principal repayments and weighted average interest rates, as of December 31, 2005 follows:

	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Thereafter	Total	Fair Value
(Dollars in thousands)								
Long-term debt obligations:								
Fixed-rate debt	\$	\$	\$ 188,310	\$	\$	\$ 475,000	\$ 663,310	\$ 1,050,064
Average interest rate	6.2%	6.2%	6.4%	8.1%	8.1%	8.1%	7.0%	
Variable-rate debt(1)	\$	\$ 4,125	\$ 5,500	\$ 5,500	\$ 5,500	\$ 529,375	\$ 550,000	\$ 550,000
Average interest rate (LIBOR + 1.5%)								

- (1) As of December 31, 2005, variable-rate debt consisted of our bank credit facility. The bank credit facility includes a \$550.0 million tranche D term loan, a \$100.0 million revolving credit facility and an option to request an additional \$200.0 million of incremental term loans and does not reflect the principal repayment of \$50 million we made in March 2006. The tranche D term loan bears interest, at our option, at the administrative agent's alternate base rate or reserve-adjusted LIBOR plus, in each case, applicable margin. The initial applicable margin for the tranche D term loan is 1.50% over LIBOR and 0.50% over the base rate. For the revolving credit facility, the initial applicable margin is 3.00% over LIBOR and 2.00% over the base rate and thereafter will be determined on the basis of the ratio of total debt to annualized EBITDA and will range between 1.50% and 3.00% over LIBOR and between 0.50% and 2.00% over the base rate. As of December 31, 2005, the average interest rate on the tranche D term loan was 5.91%.

Aggregate notional amounts associated with interest rate swaps in place as of December 31, 2005 were as follows (listed by maturity date):

**Fair
Value**

	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Thereafter	Total	Asset
--	------	------	------	------	------	------------	-------	-------

(Dollars in thousands)

Interest rate swaps:

Notional amount(1)	\$ 200,000	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 200,000	\$ 1,671
Weighted-average fixed rate payable(2)	3.5%							
Weighted-average fixed rate receivable(3)								

(1) Includes notional amounts of \$200.0 million that will expire in August 2006. In January 2006, we cancelled \$100 million of the interest rate swap agreements.

Table of Contents

- (2) Represents the weighted-average fixed rate based on the contract notional amount as a percentage of total notional amounts in a given year.
- (3) The initial applicable margin for the tranche D term loan is 1.50% over LIBOR and 0.50% over the base rate. For the revolving credit facility, the applicable margin is 3.00% over LIBOR and 2.00% over the base rate and thereafter will be determined on the basis of the ratio of total debt to annualized EBITDA and will range between 1.50% and 3.00% over LIBOR and between 0.50% and 2.00% over the base rate. As of December 31, 2005, the interest rate on the tranche D term loan was 5.91%.

Table of Contents

Item 8. *Financial Statements and Supplementary Data*

NEXTEL PARTNERS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements

	Page
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2005 and 2004</u>	76
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003</u>	77
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003</u>	78
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003</u>	79
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	80
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	111
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Internal Control over Financial Reporting</u>	112

Table of Contents

NEXTEL PARTNERS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Consolidated Balance Sheets

	December 31, 2005	December 31, 2004
(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)		
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 150,403	\$ 147,484
Short-term investments	14,624	117,095
Accounts and notes receivable, net of allowance \$27,267 and \$15,874, respectively	265,720	190,954
Subscriber equipment inventory	98,003	49,595
Deferred current income taxes	78,027	
Prepaid expenses	18,560	21,175
Other current assets	19,529	10,213
Total current assets	644,866	536,516
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT, at cost	1,744,633	1,546,685
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(665,583)	(503,967)
Property, plant and equipment, net	1,079,050	1,042,718
OTHER NON-CURRENT ASSETS:		
FCC licenses, net of accumulated amortization of \$8,744	376,254	375,470
Deferred non-current income taxes	181,252	
Debt issuance costs and other, net of accumulated amortization of \$7,575 and \$6,456, respectively	10,909	20,995
Goodwill	1,514	
Other intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$22 and \$0, respectively	83	
Total non-current assets	570,012	396,465
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 2,293,928	\$ 1,975,699
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Accounts payable	\$ 84,024	\$ 82,833
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	117,446	115,447
Due to Nextel WIP	6,962	7,379
Total current liabilities	208,432	205,659
LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS:		

Edgar Filing: NEXTEL PARTNERS INC - Form 10-K

Long-term debt	1,226,608	1,632,518
Deferred income taxes		53,964
Other long-term liabilities	39,691	32,243
Total long-term obligations	1,266,299	1,718,725
TOTAL LIABILITIES	1,474,731	1,924,384
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (See Note 8)		
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY:		
Preferred stock, no par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued and outstanding		
Series B Preferred stock, par value \$.001 per share, 13,110,000 shares authorized, no shares outstanding		
Common stock, Class A, par value \$.001 per share, 500,000,000 shares authorized, 200,072,729 and 181,557,105 shares, respectively, issued and outstanding, and paid-in capital	1,190,586	1,029,193
Common stock, Class B, par value \$.001 per share convertible, 600,000,000 shares authorized, 84,632,604 shares, issued and outstanding, and paid-in capital	172,697	172,697
Accumulated deficit	(545,454)	(1,150,806)
Deferred compensation	(64)	(440)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	1,432	671
Total stockholders equity	819,197	51,315
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	\$ 2,293,928	\$ 1,975,699

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NEXTEL PARTNERS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Consolidated Statements of Operations

For the Years Ended December 31,

2005 2004 2003

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

REVENUES:				
Service revenues (earned from Nextel WIP \$211,628, \$158,710 and \$115,894, respectively)	\$	1,695,017	\$ 1,291,352	\$ 964,386
Equipment revenues		106,634	85,784	60,826
Total revenues		1,801,651	1,377,136	1,025,212
OPERATING EXPENSES:				
Cost of service revenues (excludes depreciation of \$137,488, \$121,644 and \$109,572, respectively) (incurred from Nextel WIP \$139,928, \$117,491 and \$96,612; American Tower \$11,784, \$10,866 and \$10,381 and Sprint Nextel \$1,452, \$0, \$0, respectively)		432,248	361,059	322,475
Cost of equipment revenues		178,261	152,557	121,036
Selling, general and administrative (incurred from Nextel WIP \$40,672, \$22,140 and \$13,292 and Sprint Nextel \$327, \$0, \$0, respectively)		615,944	492,335	402,300
Stock based compensation (primarily selling, general and administrative related)		639	755	1,092
Depreciation and amortization		170,133	149,708	135,417
Total operating expenses		1,397,225	1,156,414	982,320
INCOME FROM OPERATIONS		404,426	220,722	42,892
Interest expense, net of capitalized interest		(94,901)	(106,500)	(152,294)
Interest income		7,759	2,891	2,811
Loss on early retirement of debt		(17,707)	(54,971)	(95,093)
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAX PROVISION		299,577	62,142	(201,684)
Income tax (provision) benefit		305,775	(8,396)	(7,811)
NET INCOME (LOSS)		605,352	53,746	(209,495)
Mandatorily redeemable preferred stock dividends				(2,141)

NET INCOME (LOSS) ATTRIBUTABLE TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS	\$	605,352	\$	53,746	\$	(211,636)
---	----	---------	----	--------	----	-----------

NET INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE ATTRIBUTABLE TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS, BASIC AND DILUTED:

Basic	\$	2.24	\$	0.20	\$	(0.84)
Diluted	\$	1.96	\$	0.19	\$	(0.84)

Weighted average number of shares outstanding

Basic	270,462,109	263,670,839	252,439,876
Diluted	309,439,683	304,985,247	252,439,876

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NEXTEL PARTNERS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income
For the Years Ended December 31, 2003, 2004 and 2005

	Class A Common Stock and Paid-In Capital		Class B Common Stock and Paid-In Capital		Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income		Totals
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Deficit	Compensation	
(Dollars in thousands)							
BALANCE	170,797,589	\$ 897,756	79,056,228	\$ 163,312	\$ (992,916)	\$ (1,245)	\$ 66,907
Series B redeemable preferred stock dividend					(2,141)		(2,141)
Net Loss					(209,495)		(209,495)
Issuance of stock options and restricted stock	50,000	1,688				(1,188)	500
Conversion of long-term debt to common stock, net	1,076,000	6,896					6,896
Vesting of deferred compensation						1,092	1,092
Stock options exercised	921,192	3,512					3,512
Stock issued for employee stock purchase plan	341,653	1,799					1,799
Class A common stock issued, net of equity issuance costs	10,000,000	103,725					103,725
BALANCE							
December 31, 2003	183,186,434	\$ 1,015,376	79,056,228	\$ 163,312	\$ (1,204,552)	\$ (1,341)	\$ (27,205)
Net Income					53,746		53,746
Other comprehensive income, net of tax:							
						671	671

Unrealized
gain on cash
flow hedge,
net of tax of
\$0

Comprehensive Income										54,417
Forfeitures of deferred compensation		(265)						265		
Class A common stock acquired by Nextel WIP	(5,576,376)	(9,385)	5,576,376	9,385						
Vesting of deferred compensation								755		755
Modification of stock options		119						(119)		
Stock options exercised	3,743,441	20,816								20,816
Stock issued for employee stock purchase plan	203,606	2,532								2,532
BALANCE										
December 31, 2004	181,557,105	\$ 1,029,193	84,632,604	\$ 172,697	\$(1,150,806)	\$ (440)	\$ 671	\$ 51,315		
Net Income					605,352					605,352
Other comprehensive income, net of tax:										
Unrealized gain on investments, net of tax of \$21								33		33
Unrealized gain on cash flow hedge, net of tax of \$888								728		728
Comprehensive Income										606,113

Vesting of deferred compensation						588			588
Restricted stock grant	10,000	212				(212)			
Conversion of long-term debt to common stock, net	13,477,275	109,582							109,582
Stock options exercised	4,887,668	48,869							48,869
Stock issued for employee stock purchase plan	140,681	2,730							2,730
BALANCE									
December 31, 2005	200,072,729	\$ 1,190,586	84,632,604	\$ 172,697	\$ (545,454)	\$ (64)	\$ 1,432	\$ 819,197	

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NEXTEL PARTNERS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

For the Years Ended December 31,

2005 **2004** **2003**

(Dollars in thousands)

**CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING
ACTIVITIES:**

Net income (loss)	\$ 605,352	\$ 53,746	\$ (209,495)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash from operating activities			
Deferred income tax provision (benefit)	(314,177)	8,396	7,811
Depreciation and amortization	170,133	149,708	135,417
Amortization of debt issuance costs	3,347	3,888	5,173
Interest accretion for senior discount notes		20	28,975
Bond discount amortization	990	980	1,252
Loss on early retirement of debt	17,707	54,971	95,093
Fair value adjustments of derivative instruments and investments	(2,263)	(4,018)	(4,100)
Stock based compensation	639	755	1,092
Other, net	1,178	279	3,416
Changes in current assets and liabilities:			
Accounts and notes receivable, net	(74,766)	(40,735)	(19,760)
Subscriber equipment inventory	(48,394)	(25,588)	(7,594)
Other current and long-term assets	(2,475)	(12,482)	(3,894)
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities	23,122	14,954	52,853
Operating advances due to (from) Nextel WIP	(581)	(2,408)	915
Net cash from operating activities	379,812	202,466	87,154

**CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING
ACTIVITIES:**

Capital expenditures	(233,853)	(156,843)	(179,794)
FCC licenses	(669)	(3,873)	(16,026)
Proceeds from maturities of short-term investments	139,703	107,985	58,253
Proceeds from sales of short-term investments	19,660	316,499	1,205,104
Purchases of short-term investments	(55,994)	(395,388)	(1,282,041)
Other	(1,662)		
Net cash from investing activities	(132,815)	(131,620)	(214,504)

**CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING
ACTIVITIES:**

Proceeds from borrowings	550,000	724,565	1,125,000
Stock options exercised	48,869	20,453	3,512

Edgar Filing: NEXTEL PARTNERS INC - Form 10-K

Proceeds from stock issued for employee stock purchase plan	2,730	2,532	1,799
Proceeds from sale lease-back transactions	15,439	1,939	6,860
Debt repayments	(856,612)	(788,909)	(1,034,930)
Capital lease payments	(3,499)	(3,209)	(2,617)
Class A common stock issued			104,500
Debt and equity issuance costs	(1,005)	(3,353)	(21,676)
Net cash from financing activities	(244,078)	(45,982)	182,448
NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	2,919	24,864	55,098
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of year	147,484	122,620	67,522
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of year	\$ 150,403	\$ 147,484	\$ 122,620
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES			
Cash paid for income taxes	\$ 4,615	\$	\$
Capitalized interest on accretion of senior discount notes	\$	\$	\$ 379
Accretion of mandatorily redeemable preferred stock dividends	\$	\$	\$ 2,141
Retirement of long-term debt with common stock	\$ 111,690	\$	\$ 6,973
Cash paid for interest, net of capitalized amount	\$ 95,167	\$ 114,815	\$ 103,485

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NEXTEL PARTNERS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
for the Years Ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003

1. OPERATIONS AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Description of Business

Nextel Partners provides a wide array of digital wireless communications services throughout the United States, primarily to business users, utilizing frequencies licensed by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). Our operations are primarily conducted by Nextel Partners Operating Corp. (OPCO), a wholly owned subsidiary. Substantially all of our assets, liabilities, operating income (losses) and cash flows are within OPCO and our other wholly owned subsidiaries.

Our digital network (Nextel Digital Wireless Network) has been developed with advanced mobile communication systems employing digital technology developed by Motorola, Inc. (Motorola) (such technology is referred to as the integrated Digital Enhanced Network or iDEN) with a multi-site configuration permitting frequency reuse. Our principal business objective is to offer high-capacity, high-quality, advanced communication services in our territories throughout the United States targeted towards mid-sized and rural markets. Various operating agreements entered into by our subsidiaries and Nextel WIP Corp. (Nextel WIP), an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Sprint Nextel Corporation (Sprint Nextel) following the merger of Nextel Communications, Inc. (Nextel) and Sprint Corporation (Sprint) on August 12, 2005, govern the support services to be provided to us by Nextel WIP (see Note 11).

The merger constituted a Nextel sale pursuant to our charter. On October 24, 2005 our Class A common stockholders voted to exercise the put right, as defined in our charter, to require Nextel WIP to purchase all our outstanding shares of Class A common stock. On December 20, 2005, we announced, along with Sprint Nextel, that the put price at which Nextel WIP will purchase our outstanding Class A common stock was determined to be \$28.50 per share. The transaction is subject to the customary regulatory approvals, including review by the FCC and review under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Act, and is expected to be completed by the end of the second quarter of 2006. On February 6, 2006, the Federal Trade Commission and the Department of Justice provided early termination of the waiting period under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Act for Sprint Nextel to purchase our outstanding Class A common stock. These financial statements relate only to Nextel Partners, Inc. and its subsidiaries prior to the consummation of the transaction.

Concentration of Risk

We believe that the geographic and industry diversity of our customer base minimizes the risk of incurring material losses due to concentration of credit risk.

We are a party to certain equipment purchase agreements with Motorola. For the foreseeable future we expect that we will need to rely on Motorola for the manufacture of a substantial portion of the infrastructure equipment necessary to construct and make operational our portion of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network as well as for the provision of digital mobile telephone handsets and accessories.

As discussed in Note 11, we rely on Nextel WIP for the provision of certain services. For the foreseeable future, we intend to continue to rely on Nextel WIP for the provision of these services, as we will not have the infrastructure to support those services. We may begin to build the infrastructure needed to support some or all of these services to the extent that Nextel WIP will no longer provide them to us as a result of the merger between Sprint and Nextel. To the extent that Nextel WIP's failure or refusal to provide us with these services is a violation of our joint venture or other agreements with Nextel WIP, we will pursue appropriate legal and equitable remedies available to us.

Table of Contents

NEXTEL PARTNERS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

If Sprint Nextel encounters financial or operating difficulties relating to its portion of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network, or experiences a significant decline in customer acceptance of its services and products, or refuses to provide us with these services in violation of our agreements, and we are unable to replace these services timely, our business may be adversely affected, including the quality of our services, the ability of our customers to roam within the entire network and our ability to attract and retain customers.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include our accounts and those of our wholly owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

Net Income (Loss) per Share Attributable to Common Stockholders, Basic and Diluted

In accordance with SFAS No. 128, *Computation of Earnings Per Share*, basic earnings per share is computed by dividing income (loss) attributable to common stockholders by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share adjust basic earnings per common share for the effects of potentially dilutive common shares. Potentially dilutive common shares primarily include the dilutive effects of shares issuable under our stock option plan and outstanding unvested restricted stock using the treasury stock method and the dilutive effects of shares issuable upon the conversion of our convertible senior notes using the if-converted method.

Table of Contents

NEXTEL PARTNERS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

The following schedule is our net income (loss) per share attributable to common stockholders calculation for the periods indicated:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2005	2004	2003
	(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)		
Income (loss) attributable to common stockholders (numerator for basic)	\$ 605,352	\$ 53,746	\$ (211,636)
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Convertible Senior Notes	2,494	4,500	
Adjusted Income (loss) attributable to common stockholders (numerator for diluted)	\$ 607,846	\$ 58,246	\$ (211,636)
Gross weighted average common shares outstanding	270,535,369	263,807,868	252,609,931
Less: Weighted average shares subject to repurchase	(73,260)	(137,029)	(170,055)
Weighted average common shares outstanding basic (denominator for basic)	270,462,109	263,670,839	252,439,876
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Stock options	7,387,544	8,338,967	
Convertible Senior Notes	31,527,391	32,872,584	
Restricted stock (unvested)	62,639	102,857	
Weighted average common shares outstanding diluted (denominator for diluted)	309,439,683	304,985,247	252,439,876
Net income (loss) per share attributable to common stockholders, basic	\$ 2.24	\$ 0.20	\$ (0.84)
Net income (loss) per share attributable to common stockholders, diluted	\$ 1.96	\$ 0.19	\$ (0.84)

For the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, approximately 11,500 and 2.8 million options, respectively, were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per common share as their exercise prices exceeded the average market price of our class A common stock.

For the year ended December 31, 2003, approximately 32.9 million shares issuable upon the assumed conversion of our 1¹/₂% senior convertible notes, 170,000 shares of restricted stock and approximately 18.2 million options were excluded from the calculation of common equivalent shares, as their effects were antidilutive.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents include time deposits and highly liquid investments with remaining maturities of three months or less at the time of purchase.

Short-Term Investments

Marketable debt and equity securities with original purchase maturities greater than three months are classified as short-term investments. We classify our investment securities as available-for-sale because the securities are not intended to be held-to-maturity and are not held principally for the purpose of selling them in the near term. Available-for-sale securities are recorded at fair value. Unrealized holding gains and losses on available-for-sale securities are included in other comprehensive income.

Table of Contents

NEXTEL PARTNERS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

The fair value of our investment securities by type at December 31, 2005 and 2004 were as follows:

	As of December 31,	
	2005	2004
	(In thousands)	
U.S. government agency securities	\$ 5,069	\$ 87,241
Commercial paper	4,557	9,213
Corporate notes and bonds	4,365	
Other	633	
Other asset backed securities		15,939
U.S. Treasury securities		3,001
Auction rate securities		1,701
 Total short-term investments	 \$ 14,624	 \$ 117,095

These investments are subject to price volatility associated with any interest-bearing instrument. Net realized gains/(losses) during the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 were approximately \$(98,000), \$68,000 and \$258,000, respectively, and were included in interest income. Net unrealized holding gains for the year ended December 31, 2005 were approximately \$33,000, net of tax, and were included in other comprehensive income. There were no amounts reclassified into current earnings during 2005. For the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003, unrealized holding gains/(losses) were approximately \$(137,000) and \$149,000, respectively, and were included in interest income because such securities were designated as trading securities.

The contractual maturities for the agency securities were an average of approximately 12 months as of December 31, 2005 and 10 months as of December 31, 2004. The contractual maturities for corporate notes and bonds were an average of seven months as of December 31, 2005. The contractual maturities for the U.S. Treasuries were an average of approximately 13 months as of December 31, 2004. As of December 31, 2005 and 2004, the commercial paper contractual maturities were an average of approximately four months.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable are recorded at the invoiced amount and include late payment fee charges for unpaid balances. The allowance for doubtful accounts is our best estimate of the amount of probable credit losses that will occur in our existing accounts receivable balance. We review our allowance for doubtful accounts monthly. We determine the allowance based on the age of the balances and our experience of collecting on certain account types such as corporate, small business, government, consumer or education, and also, in some cases, the credit classification of the customer. Account balances are charged off against the allowance after all means of collection have been exhausted internally and the potential for recovery is considered remote or delegated to a third-party collection agency.

Subscriber Equipment Inventory

Subscriber equipment is valued at the lower of cost or market. Cost for the equipment is determined by the weighted average method. Equipment costs in excess of the revenue generated from equipment sales, or equipment subsidies, are expensed at the point of sale. We do not recognize the expected equipment subsidy prior to the point of sale due to the fact that we expect to recover the equipment subsidy through service revenues and the marketing decision to sell the equipment at less than cost is confirmed at the point of sale.

Table of Contents

NEXTEL PARTNERS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment, including the assets under capital lease and improvements that extend useful lives, are recorded at cost, while maintenance and repairs are charged to operations as incurred. Depreciation and amortization, including the assets under capital lease, are computed using the straight-line method based on estimated useful lives of up to thirty-one years for cell site shelters, three to ten years for equipment and three to seven years for furniture and fixtures. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the respective lease term or the useful lives of the improvements.

We follow the Accounting Standards Executive Committee Statement of Position (SOP) 98-1, *Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software Developed or Obtained for Internal Use*. This SOP requires the capitalization of certain costs incurred in developing or obtaining software for internal use. The majority of our software costs are amortized over three years, with the exception of the costs pertaining to our billing system, which is amortized over the term of the license. As of December 31, 2005 and 2004, we had a net book value of \$19.7 million and \$22.7 million, respectively, of capitalized software costs. During the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, we recorded \$8.5 million, \$7.7 million and \$7.1 million, respectively, in amortization expense for capitalized software.

Construction in progress includes labor, materials, transmission and related equipment, engineering, site design, interest and other costs relating to the construction and development of our digital mobile network. Assets under construction are not depreciated until placed into service.

Our long-lived assets consist principally of property, plant and equipment. It is our policy to assess impairment of long-lived assets pursuant with SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*. This includes determining if certain triggering events have occurred, including significant decreases in the market value of certain assets, significant changes in the manner in which an asset is used, significant changes in the legal climate or business climate that could affect the value of an asset, or current period or continuing operating or cash flow losses or projections that demonstrate continuing losses associated with certain assets used for the purpose of producing revenue that might be an indicator of impairment. When we perform the SFAS No. 144 impairment tests, we identify the appropriate asset group to be our network system, which includes the grouping of all our assets required to operate our portion of the Nextel Digital Wireless Network and provide service to our customers. We base this conclusion of asset grouping on the revenue dependency, operating interdependency and shared costs to operate our network. Thus far, none of the above triggering events has resulted in any material impairment charges.

Sale-Leaseback Transactions

We periodically enter into transactions whereby we transfer specified switching equipment and telecommunication towers and related assets to third parties, and subsequently lease all or a portion of these assets from these parties. During 2005, 2004 and 2003 we received cash proceeds of approximately \$15.4 million, \$1.9 million and \$6.9 million, respectively, for assets sold to third parties. Gains on sale-leaseback transactions are deferred and recognized over the lease term. Losses are recognized immediately into current earnings.

Capitalized Interest

Our wireless communications systems and FCC licenses represent qualifying assets pursuant to SFAS No. 34, *Capitalization of Interest Cost*. For the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, we capitalized interest of approximately \$1.6 million, \$1.2 million and \$1.7 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

NEXTEL PARTNERS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

Leases

We lease various cell sites, equipment and office and retail facilities under operating leases. Leases for cell sites are typically five years with renewal options. The leases normally provide for the payment of minimum annual rentals and certain leases include provisions for renewal options of up to five years. Certain costs related to our cell sites are depreciated over a ten-year period on a straight-line basis, which represents the lesser of the lease term or economic life of the asset. We calculate straight-line rent expense over the initial lease term and renewals that are reasonably assured. Office and retail facilities and equipment are leased under agreements with terms ranging from one month to twenty years. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the respective lease term or the useful lives of the improvements.

Intangible Assets

SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and O*