NANOMETRICS INC Form 8-K September 07, 2004

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 8-K

CURRENT REPORT
Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

September 3, 2004
----Date of Report (date of earliest event reported)

NANOMETRICS INCORPORATED

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

> 1550 Buckeye Drive Milpitas, California 95035

(Address of principal executive offices)

(408) 435-9600

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)
Not Applicable

(Former name or former address, if changed since last report)

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions:

- [] Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)
- [] Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)
- [] Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))
- [] Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the

Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))

ITEM 4.01 Changes in Registrant's Certifying Accountant

On September 3, 2004, the audit committee of the board of directors of Nanometrics Incorporated (the "Company") engaged BDO Seidman, LLP ("BDO") as the Company's new independent accountant to audit the Company's financial statements.

During the Company's two most recent fiscal years ended January 3, 2004 and December 31, 2002, and through September 3, 2004, the Company has not consulted with BDO regarding either: (i) the application of accounting principles to a specified transaction, either completed or proposed, or the type of audit opinion that might be rendered on the Company's consolidated financial statements; or (ii) any matter that was either the subject of a disagreement (as that term is defined in paragraph 304(a)(1)(iv) of Regulation S-K and the related instructions to that Item) or a reportable event (as that term is defined in Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K).

The Company requested that BDO review this Form 8-K and provided BDO with the opportunity to furnish the Company with a letter addressed to the SEC containing any new information, clarification of the Company's expression of its views, or the respects in which it does not agree with the statements made by the Company. BDO has advised the Company that it has reviewed this filing and has no basis on which to submit a letter addressed to the SEC in response to Item 304 of Regulation S-K.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

Dated: September 3, 2004

NANOMETRICS INCORPORATED

By: /s/ PAUL B. NOLAN

Paul B. Nolan Chief Financial Officer

="bottom" STYLE="BORDER-BOTTOM:1px solid #000000"> Shares Amount

VRTP Shares redeemed

C-4 (1,080) \$(108,000,000) C-4 (310) \$(31,000,000) **Year Ended**

July 31, 2017 Year Ended

July 31, 2016 JRO Series Shares Amount Series Shares Amount

VRTP Shares redeemed

C-4 (750) \$(75,000,000) C-4 (230) \$(23,000,000)

5. Investment Transactions

Long-term purchases and sales (including maturities but excluding derivative transactions, where applicable) during the current fiscal period, were as follows:

	NSL	JFR	JRO	JSD	JQC
Purchases	\$210,946,717	\$ 526,289,963	\$ 358,548,215	\$ 151,440,303	\$877,744,473
Sales and maturities	202,393,306	513,673,942	347,792,931	145,770,374	888,661,868

6. Income Tax Information

Each Fund is a separate taxpayer for federal income tax purposes. Each Fund intends to distribute substantially all of its net investment income and net capital gain to shareholders and to otherwise comply with the requirements of Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code applicable to regulated investment companies. Therefore, no federal income tax provision is required.

For all open tax years and all major taxing jurisdictions, management of the Funds has concluded that there are no significant uncertain tax positions that would require recognition in the financial statements. Open tax years are those that are open for examination by taxing authorities (i.e., generally the last

four tax year ends and the interim tax period since then). Furthermore, management of the Funds is also not aware of any tax positions for which it is reasonably possible that the total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits will significantly change in the next twelve months.

The following information is presented on an income tax basis. Differences between amounts for financial statement and federal income tax purposes are primarily due to the treatment of timing differences in recognizing certain gains and losses on investment transactions and recognition of premium amortization (except for NSL). To the extent that differences arise that are permanent in nature, such amounts are reclassified within the capital accounts as detailed below. Temporary differences do not require reclassification. Temporary and permanent differences do not impact the NAVs of the Funds.

As of July 31, 2017, the cost and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments (excluding investments in derivatives, where applicable), as determined on a federal income tax basis, were as follows:

	NSL		JFR	JRO		JSD		JQC
Cost of investments	\$ 444,890,803	\$ 1	,086,163,442	\$758,426,328	\$ 2	298,121,747	\$ 2	2,076,529,163
Gross unrealized:								
Appreciation	\$ 11,959,935	\$	24,469,053	\$ 18,464,961	\$	7,078,394	\$	36,497,095
Depreciation	(27,689,669)		(60,417,720)	(45,792,050)		(15,599,218)		(124,318,672)
Net unrealized								
appreciation								
(depreciation) of								
investments	\$ (15,729,734)	\$	(35,948,667)	\$ (27,327,089)	\$	(8,520,824)	\$	(87,821,577)

Permanent differences, primarily due to expiration of capital loss carryforwards, bond premium amortization adjustments, treatment of notional principal contracts, nondeductible offering costs, foreign currency transactions, investments in partnerships and federal taxes paid, resulted in reclassifications among the Funds components of common share net assets as of July 31, 2017, the Funds tax year end, as follows:

	NSL	JFR	JRO	JSD	JQC
Paid-in-surplus	\$ (7,199,110)	\$ (10,215,386)	\$ (814,834)	\$ (192,952)	\$ (289,143,715)
Undistributed (Over-distribution					
of) net investment income	307,609	1,468,632	1,219,849	57,833	2,040,108
Accumulated net realized gain					
(loss)	6,891,501	8,746,754	(405,015)	135,119	287,103,607

The tax components of undistributed net ordinary income and net long-term capital gains as of July 31, 2017, the Funds tax year end, were as follows:

	NSL	JFR	JRO	JSD	JQC
Undistributed net ordinary					
income ¹	\$3,293,810	\$6,885,628	\$5,076,968	\$1,797,316	\$6,125,241
Undistributed net long-term					

capital gains

¹ Undistributed net ordinary income (on a tax basis) has not been reduced for the dividend declared on July 3, 2017, paid on August 1, 2017. Net ordinary income consists of net taxable income derived from dividends, interest and net short-term capital gains, if any.

The tax character of distributions paid during the Funds tax years ended July 31, 2017 and July 31, 2016, was designated for purposes of the dividends paid deduction as follows:

2017	NSL	JFR	JRO	JSD	JQC
Distributions from net					
ordinary income ²	\$ 18,847,638	\$46,717,216	\$ 34,204,030	\$ 13,046,562	\$84,784,370
Distributions from net					
long-term capital gains					

2016	NSL	JFR	JRO	JSD	JQC
Distributions from net					
ordinary income ²	\$ 17,339,780	\$42,391,135	\$31,080,403	\$ 12,297,700	\$83,039,736
Distributions from net					
long-term capital gains				93,282	

² Net ordinary income consists of net taxable income derived from dividends, interest, and net short-term capital gains, if any.

Notes to Financial Statements (continued)

As of July 31, 2017, the Funds tax year end, the Funds had unused capital loss carryforwards available for federal income tax purposes to be applied against future capital gains, if any. If not applied, the carryforwards will expire as shown in the following table. The losses not subject to expiration will be utilized first by a Fund.

	NSL	JFR	JRO	JSD	JQC
Expiration:					
July 31, 2018	\$ 29,264,459	\$67,020,214	\$46,332,843	\$	\$ 8,513,146
Not subject to expiration	4,797,932	7,999,822	5,175,330	1,782,858	35,107,702
Total	\$ 34,062,391	\$75,020,036	\$51,508,173	\$1,782,858	\$43,620,848
As of July 31 2017, the Funds	tax year end the fo	llowing Funds	capital loss carryt	forwards expired	l as follows:

As of July 31, 2017, the Funds—tax year end, the following Funds—capital loss carryforwards expired as follows:

	NSL	JFR	JRO	JQC
Expired capital loss carryforwards	\$6,925,213	\$ 9,819,992	\$ 503,687	\$ 289,143,715

During the Funds tax year ended, July 31, 2017, JSD utilized \$578,749 of its capital loss carryforward.

7. Management Fees

Management Fees

Each Fund s management fee compensates the Adviser for overall investment advisory and administrative services and general office facilities. Symphony is compensated for its services to the Funds from the management fees paid to the Adviser.

Each Fund s management fee consists of two components a fund-level fee, based only on the amount of assets within each individual Fund, and a complex-level fee, based on the aggregate amount of all eligible fund assets managed by the Adviser. This pricing structure enables Fund shareholders to benefit from growth in the assets within their respective Fund as well as from growth in the amount of complex-wide assets managed by the Adviser.

The annual Fund-level fee, payable monthly, for each Fund is calculated according to the following schedule:

NSL

JFR

JRO

JSD JOC

Fund-Level Fee Fund-Level Fee

For the first \$500 million	0.6500%	0.6800%
For the next \$500 million	0.6250	0.6550
For the next \$500 million	0.6000	0.6300
For the next \$500 million	0.5750	0.6050
For managed assets over \$2 billion	0.5500	0.5800

The annual complex-level fee, payable monthly, for each Fund is calculated by multiplying the current complex-wide fee rate, determined according to the following schedule by the Funds daily managed assets:

Complex-Level Managed Asset Breakpoint Level*	Effective Rate at Breakpoint Level
\$55 billion	0.2000%
\$56 billion	0.1996
\$57 billion	0.1989
\$60 billion	0.1961
\$63 billion	0.1931
\$66 billion	0.1900
\$71 billion	0.1851
\$76 billion	0.1806
\$80 billion	0.1773
\$91 billion	0.1691
\$125 billion	0.1599
\$200 billion	0.1505
\$250 billion	0.1469
\$300 billion	0.1445

^{*} For the complex-level fees, managed assets include closed-end fund assets managed by the Adviser that are attributable to certain types of leverage. For these purposes, leverage includes the funds—use of preferred stock and borrowings and certain investments in the residual interest certificates (also called inverse floating rate securities) in tender option bond (TOB) trusts, including the portion of assets held by a TOB trust that has been effectively financed by the trust—s issuance of floating rate securities, subject to an agreement by the Adviser as to certain funds to limit the amount of such assets for determining managed assets in certain circumstances. The complex-level fee is calculated based upon the aggregate daily managed assets of all Nuveen funds that constitute—eligible assets. Eligible assets do not include assets attributable to investments in other Nuveen funds or assets in excess of a determined amount (originally \$2 billion) added to the Nuveen fund complex in connection with the Adviser—s assumption of the management of the former First American Funds effective January 1, 2011. As of July 31, 2017, the complex-level fee for each Fund was 0.1602%.

8. Senior Loan Commitments

Unfunded Commitments

Pursuant to the terms of certain of the variable rate senior loan agreements, the Funds may have unfunded senior loan commitments. Each Fund will maintain with its custodian, cash, liquid securities and/or liquid senior loans having an aggregate value at least equal to the amount of unfunded senior loan commitments. As of the end of the reporting period, the following Funds—outstanding unfunded senior loan commitments were as follows:

	NSL	JFR	JRO	JSD
Outstanding unfunded senior loan commitments	\$ 22,844	\$45,687	\$ 34,266	\$ 22,844
D				

Participation Commitments

With respect to the senior loans held in each Fund s portfolio, the Funds may: 1) invest in assignments; 2) act as a participant in primary lending syndicates; or 3) invest in participations. If a Fund purchases a participation of a senior loan interest, the Fund would typically enter into a contractual agreement with the lender or other third party selling the participation, rather than directly with the borrower. As such, the Fund not only assumes the credit risk of the borrower, but also that of the selling participant or other persons interpositioned between the Fund and the borrower. As of the end of the reporting period, the Funds had no such outstanding participation commitments.

9. Fund Leverage

Borrowings

Each Fund has entered into a borrowing arrangement (Borrowings) as a means of leverage.

Borrowings Information for NSL, JFR and JRO

The following Funds have entered into a revolving credit and security agreement with certain banks and their affiliates. Each Fund s maximum commitment amount under its Borrowings is as follows:

	NSL	JFR	JRO
Maximum commitment amount	\$115,000,000	\$ 290,000,000	\$ 195,000,000

As of the end of the reporting period, each Fund s outstanding balance on its Borrowings was as follows:

	NSL	JFR	JRO
Outstanding balance on Borrowings	\$ 114,000,000	\$ 254,300,000	\$ 178,800,000

Notes to Financial Statements (continued)

For the period August 1, 2016 through January 30, 2017 for NSL and the period August 1, 2016 through December 23, 2016 for JFR and JRO, interest was charged on these Borrowings based on the bank s commercial paper issuance rate plus 0.75% per annum drawn fee on the amount borrowed and 0.15% per annum on the undrawn balance of the maximum commitment amount. The Funds also accrued an upfront fee of 0.15% per annum on the maximum commitment amount. Beginning on January 30, 2017 for NSL and December 23, 2016 for JFR and JRO, interest is charged at a rate equal to 1-Month LIBOR (London Inter-Bank Offered Rate) plus 0.80%. NSL accrues 0.15% per annum on the undrawn balance if less than 50% of the maximum commitment amount, however, if the undrawn portion of the Borrowings is greater than 50% of the maximum commitment amount the Fund will accrue 0.25% per annum on the undrawn portion. JFR accrues 0.25% per annum on the undrawn balance if the undrawn portion of the Borrowings on a particular day is more than 20% of the maximum commitment amount. JRO accrues 0.30% per annum on the undrawn balance if the undrawn portion of the Borrowings on a particular day is more than 10% of the maximum commitment amount. NSL and JRO also accrued an upfront fee of 0.05% and 0.025% per annum on the maximum commitment amount, respectively.

During the current fiscal period, the combined average daily balance outstanding and average annual interest rate on each Fund s Borrowings were as follows:

	NSL	JFR	JRO
Average daily balance outstanding	\$ 108,484,932	\$ 268,223,288	\$ 183,432,877
Average annual interest rate	1.70%	1.67%	1.66%
Borrowings Information for JSD			

The Fund has outstanding a 364-day revolving line of credit. The Fund s maximum commitment amount under its Borrowings is as follows:

JSD

Maximum commitment amount

\$75,000,000

As of the end of the reporting period, the Fund s outstanding balance on its Borrowings was as follows:

JSD

Outstanding balance on Borrowings

\$72,000,000

On August 4, 2016, JSD renewed its Borrowings through August 3, 2017. The interest charged on these Borrowings is at a rate per annum equal to the greater of 1-Month LIBOR or the Federal Funds Rate, plus 0.85%. The Fund also accrues an amendment fee of 0.10% per annum on the maximum commitment amount of the Borrowings and a 0.15% per annum on the undrawn portion if less than 50% of the maximum commitment, however, if the undrawn portion of the Borrowings is greater than 50% of the maximum commitment amount the Fund will accrue a 0.25% per annum on the undrawn portion of the Borrowings.

During the current fiscal period, the combined average daily balance outstanding and average annual interest rate on the Fund s Borrowings were as follows:

JSD

1.65%

Average daily balance outstanding

\$67,002,740

Average annual interest rate

Borrowings Information for JQC

The Fund has entered into a borrowing agreement with a bank and its affiliate. The Fund s maximum commitment amount under its Borrowings is as follows:

JQC

Maximum commitment amount

\$640,000,000

As of the end of the reporting period, the Fund s outstanding balance on its Borrowings was as follows:

JQC

Outstanding balance on Borrowings

\$561,000,000

Interest is charged on the Borrowings at a rate per annum equal to the 3-Month LIBOR plus 1.15%. The Fund also accrues a 1.15% per annum on any positive difference between 90% of the maximum commitment amount and the daily drawn amount.

During the current fiscal period, the combined average daily balance outstanding and average annual interest rate on the Fund s Borrowings were as follows:

JQC

Average daily balance outstanding

\$ 561,000,000

Average annual interest rate

2.10%

Reverse Repurchase Agreements

During the current fiscal period, JQC entered into reverse repurchase agreements as a means of leverage.

In a reverse repurchase agreement, the Fund sells to the counterparty a security or securities that it holds with a contemporaneous agreement to repurchase the same security at an agreed-upon price and date, with the Fund retaining the risk of loss that is associated with that security. The Fund will pledge assets determined to be liquid by the Adviser to cover its obligations under reverse repurchase agreements. Securities sold under reverse repurchase agreements are recorded and recognized as Reverse repurchase agreements on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities.

Payments made on reverse repurchase agreements are recognized as a component of Interest expense and amortization of offering costs on the Statement of Operations.

As of the end of the reporting period, the Fund s outstanding balances on its reverse repurchase agreements were as follows:

		Principal			Value and
Counterparty	Rate	Amount	Maturity*	Value A	Accrued Interest
Societe					
Generale	3-Month LIBOR plus 1.15%	\$ (145,000,000)	4/15/20	\$ (145,000,000)	\$ (145,311,678)

^{*} The Fund may repurchase the reverse repurchase agreement prior to the maturity date.

During the current fiscal period, the average daily balance outstanding and weighted average interest rate on the Fund s reverse repurchase agreements were as follows:

Average daily balance outstanding

Weighted average interest rate

\$145,000,000

JOC

2.10%

The following table presents the reverse repurchase agreements subject to netting agreements and the collateral delivered related to those reverse repurchase agreements.

	Reverse Repurchase	Collateral Pledged	Net
Counterparty	Agreements*	to Counterparty**	Exposure
Societe Generale	\$ (145,311,678)	\$ 145,311,678	\$

^{*} Represents gross value and accrued interest for the counterparty as reported in the preceding table.

Other Borrowings Information for the Funds

In order to maintain their Borrowings, the Funds must meet certain collateral, asset coverage and other requirements. Each Fund s Borrowings outstanding is fully secured by eligible securities held in its portfolio of investments.

^{**}As of the end of the reporting period, the value of the collateral pledged to the counterparty exceeded the value of the reverse repurchase agreements.

Each Funds Borrowings outstanding is recognized as Borrowings on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities. Interest charged on the amount borrowed and other fees incurred on the Borrowings are recognized as a component of Interest expense and amortization of offering costs on the Statement of Operations.

Inter-Fund Borrowing and Lending

The SEC has granted an exemptive order permitting registered open-end and closed-end Nuveen funds to participate in an inter-fund lending facility whereby the Nuveen funds may directly lend to and borrow money from each other for temporary purposes (e.g., to satisfy redemption requests or when a sale of securities fails, resulting in an unanticipated cash shortfall) (the Inter-Fund Program). The closed-end Nuveen funds, including the Funds covered by this shareholder report, will participate only as lenders, and not as borrowers, in the Inter-Fund Program because such closed-end funds rarely, if ever, need to borrow cash to meet redemptions. The Inter-Fund Program is subject to a number of conditions, including, among other things, the requirements that (1) no fund may borrow or lend money through the Inter-Fund Program unless it receives a more favorable interest rate than is typically available from a bank or other financial institution for a comparable transaction; (2) no fund may borrow on an unsecured basis through the Inter-Fund Program unless the fund s outstanding borrowings from all sources immediately after the inter-fund borrowing total 10% or less of its total assets; provided that if the borrowing fund has a secured borrowing outstanding from any other lender, including but not limited to another fund, the inter-fund loan must be secured on at least an equal priority basis with at least an equivalent percentage of collateral to loan value; (3) if a fund s total outstanding borrowings immediately after an inter-fund borrowing would be greater than 10% of its total assets, the fund may borrow through the inter-fund loan on a secured basis only; (4) no fund may lend money if the loan would cause its aggregate outstanding loans through the Inter-Fund Program to exceed 15% of its net assets at the time of the loan; (5) a fund s inter-fund loans to any one fund shall not exceed 5% of the lending fund s net assets; (6) the duration of inter-fund loans will be limited to the time required to receive payment for securities sold, but in no event more than seven days; and (7) each inter-fund loan may be called on one business day s notice by a lending fund and may be repaid on any day by a borrowing fund. In addition, a Nuveen fund

Notes to Financial Statements (continued)

may participate in the Inter-Fund Program only if and to the extent that such participation is consistent with the fund s investment objective and investment policies. The Board is responsible for overseeing the Inter-Fund Program.

The limitations detailed above and the other conditions of the SEC exemptive order permitting the Inter-Fund Program are designed to minimize the risks associated with Inter-Fund Program for both the lending fund and the borrowing fund. However, no borrowing or lending activity is without risk. When a fund borrows money from another fund, there is a risk that the loan could be called on one day s notice or not renewed, in which case the fund may have to borrow from a bank at a higher rate or take other actions to payoff such loan if an inter-fund loan is not available from another fund. Any delay in repayment to a lending fund could result in a lost investment opportunity or additional borrowing costs.

During May 2017, the Board approved the Nuveen funds participation in the Inter-Fund Program. During the current reporting period, none of the Funds have entered into any inter-fund loan activity.

10. New Accounting Pronouncements

Amendments to Regulation S-X

In October 2016, the SEC adopted new rules and amended existing rules (together, the final rules) intended to modernize the reporting and disclosure of information by registered investment companies. In part, the final rules amend Regulation S-X and require standardized, enhanced disclosure about derivatives in investment company financial statements, as well as other amendments. The compliance date of the amendments to Regulation S-X is August 1, 2017. Management is still evaluating the impact of the final rules, if any.

Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2017-08 (ASU 2017-08) Premium Amortization on Purchased Callable Debt Securities

During March 2017, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued ASU 2017-08, which shortens the premium amortization period for purchased non-contingently callable debt securities. ASU 2017-08 specifies that the premium amortization period ends at the earliest call date, for purchased non-contingently callable debt securities. ASU 2017-08 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2018. Management is currently evaluating the implications of ASU 2017-08, if any.

11. Subsequent Events

Borrowings Arrangements

On August 3, 2017, JSD renewed its Borrowings through November 1, 2017. All other terms remain unchanged.

Additional

Fund Information (Unaudited)

Board of Trustees

Jack B. Evans William C. Hunter David J. Kundert Albin F. John K. Nelson Margo Cook*

Carole E. Stone Terence J. Toth Margaret L Wolff Robert L.

William J. Schneider Judith M. Stockdale

^{*}Interested Board Member.

Fund Manager	Custodian	Legal Counsel	Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	Transfer Agent and Shareholder
Nuveen Fund	State Street Bank	Chapman and Cutler LLP	C	Services
Advisors, LLC	& Trust Company		KPMG LLP	
		Chicago, IL 60603		Computershare Trust
333 West Wacker	One Lincoln Street		200 East Randolph Drive	Company, N.A.
Drive				
	Boston, MA 02111		Chicago, IL 60601	250 Royall Street
Chicago, IL 60606				
-				Canton, MA 02021
				(800) 257-8787

Distribution Information

The following Fund hereby designates its percentage of dividends paid from net ordinary income as dividends qualifying for the 70% dividends received deduction (DRD) for corporations and its percentage as qualified dividend income (QDI) for individuals under Section 1 (h)(11) of the Internal Revenue Code as shown in the accompanying table. The actual qualified dividend income distributions will be reported to shareholders on Form 1099-DIV which will be sent to shareholders shortly after calendar year end.

	JQC
% QDI	2.1%
% DRD	0.0%

The Funds hereby designate their percentages of dividends paid from net ordinary income as dividends qualifying as Interest-Related Dividends as defined in Internal Revenue Code Section 871(k) for the taxable year ended July 31, 2017:

	NSL	JFR	JRO	JSD	JQC
% of Interest-Related Dividends	77.8%	63.2%	66.9%	83.3%	73.4%

Quarterly Form N-Q Portfolio of Investments Information

Each Fund is required to file its complete schedule of portfolio holdings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year on Form N-Q. You may obtain this information directly from the SEC. Visit the SEC on-line at http://www.sec.gov or in person at the SEC s Public Reference Room in Washington, D.C. Call the SEC toll-free at (800) SEC-0330 for room hours and operation.

Nuveen Funds Proxy Voting Information

You may obtain (i) information regarding how each fund voted proxies relating to portfolio securities held during the most recent twelve-month period ended June 30, without charge, upon request, by calling Nuveen toll-free at (800) 257-8787 or on Nuveen s website at www.nuveen.com and (ii) a description of the policies and procedures that each fund used to determine how to vote proxies relating to portfolio securities without charge, upon request, by calling Nuveen toll free at (800) 257-8787. You may also obtain this information directly from the SEC. Visit the SEC on-line at http://www.sec.gov.

CEO Certification Disclosure

Each Fund s Chief Executive Officer (CEO) has submitted to the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) the annual CEO certification as required by Section 303A.12(a) of the NYSE Listed Company Manual. Each Fund has filed with the SEC the certification of its CEO and Chief Financial Officer required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

Common Share Repurchases

Each Fund intends to repurchase, through its open market share repurchase program, shares of its own common stock at such times and in such amounts as is deemed advisable. During the period covered by this report, each Fund repurchased shares of its common stock, as shown in the accompanying table. Any future repurchases will be reported to shareholders in the next annual or semi-annual report.

	NSL	JFR	JRO	JSD	JQC
Common shares repurchased					

FINRA BrokerCheck

The Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA) provides information regarding the disciplinary history of FINRA member firms and associated investment professionals. This information as well as an investor brochure describing FINRA BrokerCheck is available to the public by calling the FINRA BrokerCheck Hotline number at (800) 289-9999 or by visiting www.FINRA.org.

Glossary of Terms

Used in this Report (Unaudited)

Average Annual Total Return: This is a commonly used method to express an investment s performance over a particular, usually multi-year time period. It expresses the return that would have been necessary each year to equal the investment s actual cumulative performance (including change in NAV or offer price and reinvested dividends and capital gains distributions, if any) over the time period being considered.

Collateralized Loan Obligation (CLO): A security backed by a pool of debt, often low rated corporate loans. Collateralized loan obligations (CLOs) are similar to collateralized mortgage obligations, except for the different type of underlying loan.

Convexity: A tool used in risk management to measure the sensitivity of bond duration to interest rate changes. Higher convexity generally means higher sensitivity to interest rate changes.

Credit Suisse Leveraged Loan Index: A representative, unmanaged index of tradeable, senior, U.S. dollar-denominated leveraged loans. Index returns assume reinvestment of distributions, but do not include the effects of any applicable sales charges or management fees.

Effective Leverage: Effective leverage is a fund s effective economic leverage, and includes both regulatory leverage (see below) and the leverage effects of certain derivative investments in the fund s portfolio.

Gross Domestic Product (GDP): The total market value of all final goods and services produced in a country/region in a given year, equal to total consumer, investment and government spending, plus the value of exports, minus the value of imports.

Leverage: Leverage is created whenever a fund has investment exposure (both reward and/or risk) equivalent to more than 100% of the investment capital.

Net Asset Value (NAV) Per Share: A fund s Net Assets is equal to its total assets (securities, cash, accrued earnings and receivables) less its total liabilities. NAV per share is equal to the fund s Net Assets divided by its number of shares outstanding.

Regulatory Leverage: Regulatory leverage consists of preferred shares issued by or borrowings of a fund. Both of these are part of a fund s capital structure. Regulatory leverage is subject to asset coverage limits set forth in the Investment Company Act of 1940.

Reinvest Automatically,

Easily and Conveniently

Nuveen makes reinvesting easy. A phone call is all it takes to set up your reinvestment account.

Your Nuveen Closed-End Funds Automatic Reinvestment Plan

Nuveen Closed-End Fund allows you to conveniently reinvest distributions in additional Fund shares.

By choosing to reinvest, you Il be able to invest money regularly and automatically, and watch your investment grow through the power of compounding. Just like distributions in cash, there may be times when income or capital gains taxes may be payable on distributions that are reinvested.

It is important to note that an automatic reinvestment plan does not ensure a profit, nor does it protect you against loss in a declining market.

Easy and convenient

To make recordkeeping easy and convenient, each quarter you ll receive a statement showing your total distributions, the date of investment, the shares acquired and the price per share, and the total number of shares you own.

How shares are purchased

The shares you acquire by reinvesting will either be purchased on the open market or newly issued by the Fund. If the shares are trading at or above net asset value at the time of valuation, the Fund will issue new shares at the greater of the net asset value or 95% of the then-current market price. If the shares are trading at less than net asset value, shares for your account will be purchased on the open market. If the Plan Agent begins purchasing Fund shares on the open market while shares are trading below net asset value, but the Fund s shares subsequently trade at or above their net asset value before the Plan Agent is able to complete its purchases, the Plan Agent may cease open-market purchases and may invest the uninvested portion of the distribution in newly-issued Fund shares at a price equal to the greater of the shares net asset value or 95% of the shares market value on the last business day immediately prior to the purchase date. Distributions received to purchase shares in the open market will normally be invested shortly after the distribution payment date. No interest will be paid on distributions awaiting reinvestment. Because the market price of the shares may increase before purchases are completed, the average purchase price per share may exceed the market price at the time of valuation, resulting in the acquisition of fewer shares than if the distribution had been paid in shares issued by the Fund. A pro rata portion of any applicable brokerage commissions on open market purchases will be paid by Plan participants. These commissions usually will be lower than those charged on individual transactions.

Flexible

You may change your distribution option or withdraw from the Plan at any time, should your needs or situation change.

You can reinvest whether your shares are registered in your name, or in the name of a brokerage firm, bank, or other nominee. Ask your investment advisor if his or her firm will participate on your behalf. Participants whose shares are registered in the name of one firm may not be able to transfer the shares to another firm and continue to participate in the Plan.

The Fund reserves the right to amend or terminate the Plan at any time. Although the Fund reserves the right to amend the Plan to include a service charge payable by the participants, there is no direct service charge to participants in the Plan at this time.

Call today to start reinvesting distributions

For more information on the Nuveen Automatic Reinvestment Plan or to enroll in or withdraw from the Plan, speak with your financial advisor or call us at (800) 257-8787.

Annual Investment

Management Approval Process (Unaudited)

The Board of Trustees (each, a *Board*, and each Trustee, a *Board Member*) of each Fund, including the Board Members who are not parties to the applicable advisory or sub-advisory agreements or interested persons of any such parties (the *Independent Board Members*), oversees the management of its respective Fund, including the performance of Nuveen Fund Advisors, LLC, the Funds investment adviser (the *Adviser*), and Symphony Asset Management LLC, the Funds sub-adviser (the *Sub-Adviser*). As required by applicable law, after the initial term of the respective Fund following commencement of its operations, the Board is required to consider annually whether to renew the Fund s management agreement with the Adviser (the *Investment Management Agreement*) and its sub-advisory agreement with the Sub-Adviser (the *Sub-Advisory Agreement* and, together with the Investment Management Agreement, the *Advisory Agreements*). Accordingly, the Board met in person on April 11-12, 2017 (the *April Meeting*) and May 23-25, 2017 (the *May Meeting*) to consider the approval of each Advisory Agreement that was up for renewal for an additional one-year period.

The Board considered its review of the Advisory Agreements as an ongoing process encompassing the information received and the deliberations the Board and its committees have had throughout the year. The Board met regularly during the year and received materials and discussed topics that were relevant to the annual consideration of the renewal of the Advisory Agreements, including, among other things, overall market performance and developments; fund investment performance; investment team review; valuation of securities; compliance, regulatory and risk management matters; and other developments. The Board had also established several standing committees, including the Open-end Fund Committee and Closed-end Fund Committee, which met regularly throughout the year to permit the Board Members to delve deeper into the topics particularly relevant to the respective product line. The Board further continued its practice of seeking to meet periodically with the sub-advisers and their investment teams. The accumulated information, knowledge, and experience the Board Members had gained during their tenure on the Board governing the Funds and working with the Fund Advisers (as defined below) were taken into account in their review of the Advisory Agreements.

In addition to the materials received by the Board or its committees throughout the year, the Board reviewed extensive additional materials prepared specifically for its annual review of the Advisory Agreements in response to a request by independent legal counsel on behalf of the Independent Board Members. The materials addressed a variety of topics, including, but not limited to, a description of the services provided by the Adviser and Sub-Adviser (the Adviser and the Sub-Adviser are each a Fund Adviser); an analysis of fund performance including comparative industry data and a detailed focus on performance outliers; an analysis of the Sub-Adviser; an analysis of the fees and expense ratios of the Nuveen funds in absolute terms and in comparison to the fees and expenses of peers with a focus on any expense outliers; an assessment of shareholder services for the Nuveen funds and of the performance of certain service providers; a review of initiatives instituted or continued during the past year; a review of premium/discount trends and leverage management for the closed-end funds; and information regarding the profitability of the Fund Advisers, the compensation of portfolio managers, and compliance and risk matters. The materials provided in connection with the annual review included information compiled and prepared by Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc. (Broadridge or Lipper), an independent provider of investment company data, comparing, in relevant part, each Fund s fees and expenses with those of a comparable universe of funds (the *Peer Universe*), as selected by Broadridge (the *Broadridge* Report). The Independent Board Members also received a memorandum from independent legal counsel outlining their fiduciary duties and legal standards in reviewing the Advisory Agreements.

As part of its annual review, the Board met at the April Meeting to review the investment performance of the Funds and to consider the Adviser s analysis of the Sub-Adviser evaluating, among other things, the Sub-Adviser s assets

under management, investment team, performance, organizational stability, and investment approach. During the review, the Independent Board Members requested and received additional information from management. At the May Meeting, the Board, including the Independent Board Members, continued its review and ultimately approved the continuation of the Advisory Agreements for an additional year. Throughout the year and throughout their review of the Advisory Agreements, the Independent Board Members were assisted by independent legal counsel and met with counsel separately without management present. In deciding to renew the Advisory Agreements, the Independent Board Members did not identify a particular factor as determinative, but rather the

decision reflected the comprehensive consideration of all the information presented, and each Board Member may have attributed different weights to the various factors and information considered in connection with the approval process. The following summarizes the principal factors, but not all the factors, the Board considered in its review of the Advisory Agreements and its conclusions.

A. Nature, Extent and Quality of Services

In evaluating the renewal of the Advisory Agreements, the Independent Board Members received and considered information regarding the nature, extent and quality of the applicable Fund Adviser s services provided to the respective Fund and the resulting performance of each Fund. The Board recognized the myriad of services the Adviser and its affiliates provided to manage and operate the Nuveen funds, including (a) product management (such as managing distributions, positioning the product in the marketplace, maintaining and enhancing shareholder communications and reporting to the Board); (b) investment oversight, risk management and securities valuation (such as overseeing the sub-advisers and other service providers, analyzing investment performance and risks, overseeing risk management and disclosure, executing the daily valuation of securities, and analyzing trade execution); (c) fund administration (such as helping to prepare fund tax returns and complete other tax compliance matters and helping to prepare regulatory filings and shareholder reports); (d) fund board administration (such as preparing board materials and organizing and providing assistance for board meetings); (e) compliance (such as helping to devise and maintain the Nuveen funds compliance program and test for adherence); (f) legal support (such as helping to prepare registration statements and proxy statements, interpreting regulations and policies and overseeing fund activities); (g) with respect to certain closed-end funds, providing leverage, capital and distribution management services; and (h) with respect to certain open-end funds with portfolios that have a leverage component, providing such leverage management services.

The Board further noted the Adviser s continued dedication to investing in its business to enhance the quality and breadth of the services provided to the Funds. The Board recognized the Adviser s investment in staffing over recent years to support the services provided to the Nuveen funds in key areas, including in investment services, product management, retail distribution and information technology, closed-end funds and structured products, as well as in fund administration, operations and risk management. The Board further noted the Adviser s continued commitment to enhancing its compliance program by, among other things, restructuring the compliance organization, developing a unified compliance program, adding compliance staff, and developing and/or revising policies and procedures as well as building further infrastructure to address new regulatory requirements or guidance and the growth of the complex. The Board also considered the enhancements to Nuveen s cybersecurity capabilities, systems and processes to value securities, stress test reporting and risk and control self-assessments.

In addition, the Independent Board Members considered information highlighting the various initiatives that the Adviser had implemented or continued over recent years to benefit the open-end fund and closed-end fund product lines and/or particular Nuveen funds. The Board noted the Adviser's continued efforts to rationalize the open-end fund and closed-end fund product lines through, among other things, mergers, liquidations and repositionings in seeking to provide enhanced shareholder value over the years through increased efficiency, reduced costs, improved performance and revised investment approaches that are more relevant to current shareholder needs. With respect to closed-end Nuveen funds, such initiatives included (a) an increased level of leverage management activities in 2016 and 2017 resulting from the rollover of existing facilities, the negotiation of improved terms and pricing to reduce leverage costs, the innovation of new leverage structures, the rebalancing of leverage of various funds as a result of mergers or new investment mandates, and the restructuring of tender option bonds to be compliant with new regulatory requirements; (b) an increased level of capital management activities (*i.e.*, the management of the issuance and repurchase of shares of certain closed-end funds) during 2016 as a result of market demand as well as an

implementation of a cross department review system for shares trading at certain discount levels; (c) continued refinements to a database to permit further analysis of the closed-end fund marketplace and shareholder base; (d) the development of enhanced secondary market board reporting and commentary; (e) the reconfiguration of the framework for determining and maintaining closed-end fund benchmarks to permit more consistency across the complex; and (f) the development of product innovations for new closed-end offerings, including target term funds. The Board also recognized the Adviser s continued commitment to supporting the closed-end product line through its award winning investor relations support program through which Nuveen seeks to educate investors and financial advisers regarding closed-end funds.

Annual Investment Management Agreement Approval Process (Unaudited) (continued)

In its review, the Board recognized that initiatives that attracted assets to the Nuveen family of funds generally benefited the Nuveen funds in the complex as fixed costs would be spread over a larger asset base and, as described below, through the complex-wide fee arrangement which generally provides that the management fees of the Nuveen funds (subject to limited exceptions) are reduced as asset levels in the complex reach certain breakpoints in the fee schedule.

Similarly, the Board considered the sub-advisory services provided by the Sub-Adviser to the Funds. The Sub-Adviser generally provided portfolio advisory services for the Funds. The Board reviewed the Adviser s analysis of the Sub-Adviser which evaluated, among other things, the investment team and any changes thereto, the stability and history of the organization, the assets under management, the investment approach and the performance of the Nuveen funds it sub-advises. The Board noted that the Adviser recommended the renewal of the Sub-Advisory Agreements.

Based on its review, the Board determined, in the exercise of its reasonable business judgment, that it was satisfied with the nature, extent and quality of services provided to the respective Funds under each applicable Advisory Agreement.

B. The Investment Performance of the Funds and Fund Advisers

As part of its evaluation of the services provided by the Fund Advisers, the Board reviewed Fund performance over the quarter, one-, three- and five-year periods ending December 31, 2016 as well as performance data for the first quarter of 2017 ending March 31, 2017. The Board reviewed performance on an absolute basis and in comparison to the performance of peer funds (the *Performance Peer Group*) and recognized and/or customized benchmarks (*i.e.*, generally benchmarks derived from multiple recognized benchmarks). For closed-end funds, the Board (or the Closed-end Fund Committee) also reviewed, among other things, the premium or discount to net asset value of the Nuveen closed-end funds as of a specified date and over various periods as well as in comparison to the premium/discount average in their respective Lipper peer category. The Independent Board Members continued to recognize the importance of secondary market trading for the shares of the closed-end funds and the evaluation of the premium and discount levels was a continuing priority for them. The review and analysis of performance information during the annual review of Advisory Agreements incorporated the discussions and performance information the Board Members have had at each of their quarterly meetings throughout the year.

In evaluating performance data, the Independent Board Members recognized some of the limitations of such data and the difficulty in establishing appropriate peer groups and benchmarks for certain of the Nuveen funds. They recognized that each fund operates pursuant to its own investment objective(s), parameters and restrictions which may differ from that of the Performance Peer Group or benchmark. Certain funds may also utilize leverage which may provide benefits or risks to their portfolio compared to an unlevered benchmark. The Independent Board Members had noted that management had classified the Performance Peer Groups as low, medium and high in relevancy to the applicable fund as a result of these differences or other factors. The Independent Board Members recognized that the variations between the Performance Peer Group or benchmark and the applicable Fund will lead to differing performance results and may limit the value of the comparative performance data in assessing the particular Fund s performance.

In addition, the Independent Board Members recognized that the performance data is a snapshot in time, in this case as of the end of the 2016 calendar year or end of the first quarter of 2017. A different period may generate significantly different results and longer term performance can be adversely affected by even one period of significant

underperformance. Further, a shareholder s experience in a Fund depends on his or her own holding period which may differ from that reviewed by the Independent Board Members.

In their review of performance, the Independent Board Members focused, in particular, on the Adviser s analysis of Nuveen funds determined to be underperforming performance outliers and the factors contributing to the respective fund s performance and any efforts to address performance concerns. With respect to any Nuveen funds for which the Board has identified performance issues, the Board monitors such funds closely until performance improves, discusses with the Adviser the reasons for such results, considers any steps necessary or appropriate to address such issues, and reviews the results of any efforts undertaken. The Board, however, acknowledged that shareholders chose to invest or remain invested in a fund knowing that the Adviser and applicable sub-adviser manage the fund, knowing the fund s investment strategy and seeking exposure to that strategy (even if the strategy was out of favor in the marketplace) and knowing the fund s fee structure.

For Nuveen Senior Income Fund, the Board noted that the Fund ranked in its Performance Peer Group in the third quartile in the three-year period, the second quartile in the one-year period and the first quartile in the five-year period. In addition, although the Fund underperformed its benchmark in the three-year period, the Fund outperformed its benchmark in the one- and five-year periods. The Board was satisfied with the Fund so verall performance.

For Nuveen Floating Rate Income Fund, the Board noted that the Fund ranked in its Performance Peer Group in the third quartile in the three-year period, the second quartile in the one-year period and the first quartile in the five-year period. In addition, although the Fund underperformed its benchmark in the three-year period, the Fund outperformed its benchmark in the one- and five-year periods. The Board was satisfied with the Fund s overall performance.

For Nuveen Floating Rate Income Opportunity Fund, the Board noted that the Fund ranked in its Performance Peer Group in the third quartile in the three-year period and the first quartile in the one- and five-year periods. In addition, the Fund outperformed its benchmark in the one-, three- and five-year periods. The Board was satisfied with the Fund s overall performance.

For Nuveen Short Duration Credit Opportunities Fund, the Board noted that the Fund had performed well against its Performance Peer Group, ranking in the second quartile over the one-, three- and five-year periods. The Fund also outperformed its benchmark over such periods. The Board was satisfied with the Fund so overall performance.

For Nuveen Credit Strategies Income Fund, the Board noted that, although the Fund ranked in the fourth quartile of its Performance Peer Group in the three-year period, the Fund performed in the third quartile in the one-year period and the first quartile in the five-year period. Similarly, although the Fund underperformed its benchmark in the three-year period, the Fund outperformed its benchmark in the one- and five-year periods. The Board was satisfied with the Fund s overall performance.

C. Fees, Expenses and Profitability

1. Fees and Expenses

The Board evaluated the management fees and other fees and expenses of each Fund. The Board reviewed and considered, among other things, the gross and net management fees paid by the Funds. The Board further considered the net total expense ratio of each Fund (expressed as a percentage of average net assets) as the expense ratio is most reflective of the investors of net experience in a Fund as it directly reflected the costs of investing in the respective Fund.

In addition, the Board reviewed the Broadridge Report comparing, in relevant part, each Fund s gross and net advisory fees and net total expense ratio with those of a Peer Universe. The Independent Board Members also reviewed the methodology regarding the construction of the applicable Peer Universe by Broadridge. In reviewing the comparative data, the Board was aware that various factors may limit some of the usefulness of the data, such as differences in size of the peers; the composition of the Peer Universe; changes each year of funds comprising the Peer Universe; levels of expense reimbursements and fee waivers; and differences in the type and use of leverage. Nevertheless, in reviewing a fund s fees and expenses compared to the fees and expenses of its peers (excluding leverage costs and leveraged assets), the Board generally considered a fund s expenses and fees to be higher if they were over 10 basis points higher, slightly higher if they were 6 to 10 basis points higher, in line if they were within approximately 5 basis points higher than the peer average and below if they were below the peer average of the Peer Universe. The Board noted that the substantial majority of the Nuveen funds had a net expense ratio that was near or below their respective peer average.

The Independent Board Members noted that the Funds had net management fees and net expense ratios below their respective peer averages.

In their evaluation of the management fee schedule, the Independent Board Members also reviewed the fund-level and complex-wide breakpoint schedules, as described in further detail below. With respect to closed-end funds, the Board considered the effects of leverage on fees and expenses, including the calculation of management fees for funds with tender option bonds.

Based on their review of the information provided, the Board determined that each Fund s management fees (as applicable) to a Fund Adviser were reasonable in light of the nature, extent and quality of services provided to the Fund.

Annual Investment Management Agreement Approval Process (Unaudited) (continued)

2. Comparisons with the Fees of Other Clients

The Board also reviewed information regarding the respective Fund Adviser s fee rates for providing advisory services to other types of clients. For the Adviser and/or the Sub-Adviser, such other clients may include: separately managed accounts (such as retail, institutional or wrap accounts), hedge funds and other investment companies that are not offered by Nuveen but are sub-advised by one of Nuveen s affiliated sub-advisers. The Board further noted that the Adviser also advises certain exchange-traded funds (*ETFs*) sponsored by Nuveen.

In reviewing the fee rates assessed to other clients, the Board reviewed, among other things, the range of fees assessed for managed accounts and hedge funds. With respect to hedge funds, the Board noted that the Sub-Adviser also assessed a performance fee for advising a hedge fund or account. The Board also reviewed the average fee rate for certain strategies offered by the Sub-Adviser.

The Board recognized the inherent differences between the Nuveen funds and the other types of clients. The Board considered information regarding these various differences which included, among other things, the services required, average account sizes, types of investors targeted, legal structure and operations, and applicable laws and regulations. The Independent Board Members recognized that the foregoing variations resulted in different economics among the product structures and culminated in varying management fees among the types of clients and the Nuveen funds. In general, the Board noted that higher fee levels reflected higher levels of service provided by the Fund Adviser, increased investment management complexity, greater product management requirements and higher levels of business risk or some combination of the foregoing. The Board recognized the breadth of services the Adviser provided to support the Nuveen funds as summarized above and noted that many of such administrative services may not be required to the same extent or at all for the institutional clients or other clients. The Board further recognized the passive management of ETFs compared to the active management required of other Nuveen funds would contribute to differing fee levels.

The Independent Board Members noted that the sub-advisory fees paid by the Adviser to the Sub-Adviser, however, were generally for portfolio management services. The Board noted such sub-advisory fees were more comparable to the fees of retail wrap accounts and other external sub-advisory mandates.

Given the inherent differences in the various products, particularly the extensive services provided to the Funds, the Board concluded that such facts justify the different levels of fees.

3. Profitability of Fund Advisers

In conjunction with their review of fees, the Independent Board Members also considered Nuveen's level of profitability for its advisory services to the Nuveen funds for the calendar years 2016 and 2015. In considering profitability, the Independent Board Members considered the level of profitability realized by Nuveen before the imposition of any distribution and marketing expenses incurred by the firm from its own resources. In evaluating the profitability, the Independent Board Members evaluated the analysis employed in developing the profitability figures, including the assumptions and methodology employed in allocating expenses. The Independent Board Members recognized the inherent limitations to any cost allocation methodology as different and reasonable approaches may be used and yet yield differing results. The Independent Board Members further reviewed an analysis of the history of the profitability methodology used explaining any changes to the methodology over the years. The Board has appointed

two Independent Board Members, who along with independent legal counsel, helped to review and discuss the methodology employed to develop the profitability analysis each year and any proposed changes thereto and to keep the Board apprised of such changes during the year.

In their review, the Independent Board Members evaluated, among other things, Nuveen s adjusted operating margins, the gross and net revenue margins (pre-tax and after-tax) for advisory activities for the Nuveen funds, and the revenues, expenses, and net income (pre-tax and after-tax) of Nuveen for each of the last two calendar years. The Independent Board Members also reviewed an analysis of the key drivers behind the changes in revenues and expenses that impacted profitability in 2016 versus 2015. The Board, however, observed that Nuveen s operating margins for its advisory activities in 2016 were similar to that of 2015.

In addition to reviewing Nuveen s profitability in absolute terms, the Independent Board Members also reviewed the adjusted total company margins of other advisory firms that had publicly available information and comparable assets under management (based on asset size and asset composition). The Independent Board Members, however, noted that the usefulness of the comparative data may be limited as the other firms may have a different business mix and their profitability data may be affected by numerous other factors such as the types of funds managed, the cost allocation methodology used, and their capital structure. Nevertheless, the Board noted that Nuveen s adjusted operating margins appeared comparable to the adjusted margins of the peers.

Further, the Adviser is a subsidiary of Nuveen, LLC, the investment management arm of Teachers Insurance and Annuity Association of America (*TIAA*). To have a fuller picture of the financial condition and strength of the TIAA complex, together with Nuveen, the Board reviewed a balance sheet for TIAA reflecting its assets, liabilities and capital and contingency reserves for the 2016 and 2015 calendar years.

In addition to the Adviser s profitability, the Independent Board Members also considered the profitability of the Sub-Adviser from its relationship with the Nuveen funds. The Independent Board Members reviewed the Sub-Adviser s revenues, expenses and revenue margins (pre- and post-tax) for its advisory activities for the calendar year ended December 31, 2016.

In evaluating the reasonableness of the compensation, the Independent Board Members also considered other amounts paid to a Fund Adviser for its services to the Funds as well as indirect benefits (such as soft dollar arrangements), if any, the Fund Adviser and its affiliates received or were expected to receive that were directly attributable to the management of a Fund. See Section E below for additional information on indirect benefits a Fund Adviser may receive as a result of its relationship with the Funds.

Based on a consideration of all the information provided, the Board noted that Nuveen s and the Sub-Adviser s level of profitability was acceptable and not unreasonable in light of the services provided.

D. Economies of Scale and Whether Fee Levels Reflect These Economies of Scale

When evaluating the level of the advisory fees, the Independent Board Members considered whether there will be any economies of scale that may be realized by the Fund Adviser as a Fund grows and the extent to which these economies were shared with the Funds and shareholders. The Board recognized that economies of scale are difficult to measure with precision; however, the Board considered that there were several ways the Fund Adviser may share the benefits of economies of scale with the Nuveen funds, including through breakpoints in the management fee schedule reducing the fee rates as asset levels grow, fee waivers and/or expense limitation agreements and the Adviser s investment in its business which can enhance the services provided to the Nuveen funds. With respect to the fee structure, the Independent Board Members have recognized that economies of scale may be realized when a particular fund grows, but also when the total size of the fund complex grows (even if the assets of a particular fund in the complex have not changed or have decreased). Accordingly, subject to certain exceptions, the funds in the Nuveen complex pay a management fee to the Adviser which is generally comprised of a fund-level component and complex-level component, each of which has a breakpoint schedule. Subject to certain exceptions, the fund-level fee component declines as the assets of the particular fund grow and the complex-level fee component declines when eligible assets of all the Nuveen funds (except for Nuveen ETFs which are subject to a unitary fee) in the Nuveen complex combined grow. In addition, with respect to closed-end funds, the Independent Board Members noted that, although such funds may from time-to-time make additional share offerings, the growth of their assets would occur primarily through the appreciation of such funds investment portfolios.

The Independent Board Members reviewed the breakpoint and complex-wide schedules and any savings achieved from fee reductions as a result of the fund-level and complex-level breakpoints for the 2016 calendar year.

In addition, the Independent Board Members recognized the Adviser s ongoing investment in its business to expand or enhance the services provided to the benefit of all of the Nuveen funds.

Based on their review, the Board concluded that the current fee structure was acceptable and reflected economies of scale to be shared with shareholders when assets under management increase.

Annual Investment Management Agreement Approval Process (Unaudited) (continued)

E. Indirect Benefits

The Independent Board Members received and considered information regarding other benefits the respective Fund Adviser or its affiliates may receive as a result of their relationship with the Nuveen funds, including compensation paid to affiliates of a Fund Adviser for services rendered to the funds and research services received by a Fund Adviser from broker-dealers that execute fund trades. The Independent Board Members noted that affiliates of the Adviser may receive compensation for serving as a co-manager for initial public offerings of new Nuveen closed-end funds and as underwriter on shelf offerings for certain existing funds. The Independent Board Members considered the compensation paid for such services in 2016.

In addition to the above, the Independent Board Members considered that the Funds portfolio transactions are allocated by the Sub-Adviser and the Sub-Adviser may benefit from research received from broker-dealers that execute Fund portfolio transactions. The Board noted, however, that with respect to transactions in fixed income securities, such securities generally trade on a principal basis and do not generate soft dollar credits. Although the Board recognized the Sub-Adviser may benefit from a soft dollar arrangement if it does not have to pay for this research out of its own assets, the Board also recognized that the research may benefit the Funds to the extent it enhances the ability of the Sub-Adviser to manage the Funds.

Based on their review, the Board concluded that any indirect benefits received by a Fund Adviser as a result of its relationship with the Funds were reasonable and within acceptable parameters.

F. Other Considerations

The Board Members did not identify any single factor discussed previously as all-important or controlling. The Board Members, including the Independent Board Members, concluded that the terms of each Advisory Agreement were fair and reasonable, that the respective Fund Adviser s fees were reasonable in light of the services provided to each Fund and that the Advisory Agreements be renewed.

Board

Members & Officers (Unaudited)

The management of the Funds, including general supervision of the duties performed for the Funds by the Adviser, is the responsibility of the Board of Trustees of the Funds. The number of trustees of the Funds is set at twelve. None of the trustees who are not interested persons of the Funds (referred to herein as independent trustees) has ever been a director or employee of, or consultant to, Nuveen or its affiliates. The names and business addresses of the trustees and officers of the Funds, their principal occupations and other affiliations during the past five years, the number of portfolios each oversees and other directorships they hold are set forth below.

Name,	Position(s) Held with the Funds	Year First Elected or	Principal	Number
Year of Birth		Appointed	Occupation(s)	of Portfolios
		and Term ⁽¹⁾		in Fund Complex
& Address			Including other	Overseen by
				Board Member
			Directorships	
			During Past 5 Years	

Independent Board Members:

WILLIAM J. SCHNEIDER 1944 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Chairman and Board Member	1996 Class III	Chairman of Miller-Valentine Partners, a real estate investment company; Board Member of WDPR Public Radio station; formerly, Senior Partner and Chief Operating Officer (retired (2004) of Miller-Valentine Group; formerly, Board member, Business Advisory Council of the Cleveland Federal Reserve Bank and University of Dayton Business School Advisory Council; past Chair and Director, Dayton Development Coalition.	176
JACK B. EVANS			President, The Hall-Perrine Foundation,	
1948	Board Member	1999 Class III	a private philanthropic corporation (since 1996); Director and Chairman,	176
333 W. Wacker		Cluss III	United Fire Group, a publicly held	
Drive Chicago, IL			company; Director, Public Member,	
60606			American Board of Orthopaedic Surgery	
			(since 2015); Life Trustee of Coe	
			College and the Iowa College	

Class I

Foundation; formerly, President Pro-Tem of the Board of Regents for the State of Iowa University System; formerly, Director, Alliant Energy; formerly, Director, Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago; formerly, President and Chief Operating Officer, SCI Financial Group, Inc., a regional financial services firm.

WILLIAM C. HUNTER

1948 Board Member **2003**

333 W. Wacker Drive

Chicago, IL 60606

DAVID J. KUNDERT

1942 Board Member 2005 Class

333 W. Wacker Drive

Chicago, IL 60606

Dean Emeritus, formerly, Dean, Tippie College of Business, University of Iowa (2006-2012); Director (since 2004) of Xerox Corporation; past Director (2005-2015), and past President (2010-2014) Beta Gamma Sigma, Inc., The International Business Honor Society; Director of Wellmark, Inc. (since 2009); formerly, Dean and Distinguished Professor of Finance, School of Business at the University of Connecticut (2003-2006); previously, Senior Vice President and Director of Research at the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago (1995-2003); formerly, Director (1997-2007), Credit Research Center at Georgetown University.

176

176

Formerly, Director, Northwestern Mutual Wealth Management Company (2006-2013), retired (since 2004) as Chairman, JPMorgan Fleming Asset Management, President and CEO, Banc One Investment Advisors Corporation, and President, One Group Mutual Funds; prior thereto, Executive Vice President, Banc One Corporation and Chairman and CEO, Banc One Investment Management Group; Regent Emeritus, Member of Investment Committee, Luther College; member of the Wisconsin Bar Association; member of Board of Directors and Chair of Investment Committee, Greater Milwaukee Foundation: member of the Board of Directors (Milwaukee), College Possible; Board member of Milwaukee Repertory Theatre (since 2016).

Board Members & Officers (continued)

Name, Year of Birth	Position(s) Held with the Funds	Elected or	Principal Occupation(s)	Number of Portfolios
rear of birth		Appointed and Term ⁽¹⁾	Occupation(s)	in Fund Complex
& Address		and Term	Including other	Overseen by Board Member
			Directorships	
			During Past 5 Years	

Independent Board Members (continued):

Independent Board M	embers (continued	d):		
ALBIN F. MOSCHNER ⁽²⁾			Founder and Chief Executive Officer, Northcroft Partners, LLC, a	
1952	Board Member	2016	management consulting firm (since 2012); previously, held positions at	176
333 W. Wacker Drive		Class III	Leap Wireless International, Inc., including Consultant (2011-2012),	
Chicago, IL 60606			Chief Operating Officer (2008-2011), and Chief Marketing Officer (2004-2008); formerly, President, Verizon Card Services division of Verizon Communications, Inc. (2000-2003); formerly, President, One Point Services at One Point Communications (1999- 2000); formerly, Vice Chairman of the Board, Diba, Incorporated (1996-1997); formerly, various executive positions with Zenith Electronics Corporation (1991- 1996). Director, USA Technologies, Inc., a provider of solutions and services to facilitate electronic payment transactions (since 2012); formerly, Director, Wintrust Financial Corporation (1996-2016).	
JOHN K. NELSON 1962	Board Member	2013	Member of Board of Directors of Core12 LLC (since 2008), a private	176
333 W. Wacker Drive		Class II	firm which develops branding, marketing and communications strategies for clients; Director of The	
Chicago, IL 60606			Curran Center for Catholic American Studies (since 2009) and The	

President s Council, Fordham University (since 2010); formerly, senior external advisor to the financial services practice of Deloitte Consulting LLP (2012- 2014): formerly, Chairman of the Board of Trustees of Marian University (2010 as trustee, 2011-2014 as Chairman); formerly, Chief Executive Officer of ABN AMRO N.V. North America, and Global Head of its Financial Markets Division (2007-2008); prior senior positions held at ABN AMRO include Corporate Executive Vice President and Head of Global Markets-the Americas (2006-2007), CEO of Wholesale Banking North America and Global Head of Foreign **Exchange and Futures Markets** (2001-2006), and Regional Commercial Treasurer and Senior Vice President Trading-North America (1996-2001); formerly, Trustee at St. Edmund Preparatory School in New York City.

Board Member Land Trust Alliance

STOCKDALE			(since 2013) and U.S. Endowment for	
1947	Board Member	1997	Forestry and Communities (since	176
			2013); formerly, Executive Director	
333 W. Wacker Drive		Class I	(1994-2012), Gaylord and Dorothy	
			Donnelley Foundation; prior thereto,	
Chicago, IL 60606			Executive Director, Great Lakes	
			Protection Fund (1990-1994).	
CAROLE E.			Director, Chicago Board Options	
STONE			Exchange, Inc. (since 2006); Director,	
1947	Board Member	2007	C2 Options Exchange, Incorporated	176
			(since 2009); Director, CBOE	
333 W. Wacker Drive		Class I	Holdings, Inc.(since 2010); formerly,	
			Commissioner, New York State	
Chicago, IL 60606			Commission on Public Authority	
			Reform (2005-2010).	
TERENCE J.			Co-Founding Partner, Promus Capital	
TOTH			(since 2008); Director, Fulcrum IT	
1959	Board Member	2008	Service LLC (since 2010) and Quality	176
			Control Corporation (since 2012);	
333 W. Wacker Drive		Class II	member: Catalyst Schools of Chicago	
			Board (since 2008) and Mather	
Chicago, IL 60606			Foundation Board (since 2012), and	

IIIDITH M

chair of its Investment Committee; formerly, Director, Legal & General Investment Management America, Inc.(2008-2013); formerly, CEO and President, Northern Trust Global Investments (2004-2007): Executive Vice President, Quantitative Management & Securities Lending (2000-2004); prior thereto, various positions with Northern Trust Company (since 1994); formerly, Member, Northern Trust Mutual Funds Board (2005-2007), Northern Trust Global Investments Board (2004-2007), Northern Trust Japan Board (2004-2007), Northern Trust Securities Inc. Board (2003-2007) and Northern Trust Hong Kong Board (1997-2004).

Name, Year of Birth	Position(s) Held with the Funds	Year First Elected or Appointed and Term ⁽¹⁾	Principal Occupation(s)	Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex
& Address			Including other	Overseen by
				Board Member
			Directorships	
			•	
			During Past 5 Years	

Independent Roard Members (continued):

Independent Board M	lembers (continue	d):		
MARGARET L. WOLFF			Member of the Board of Directors (since 2013) of Travelers Insurance	
1955	Board Member	2016	Company of Canada and The	176
			Dominion of Canada General	
333 W. Wacker Drive		Class I	Insurance Company (each, a part of	
Chicago, IL 60606			Travelers Canada, the Canadian	
			operation of The Travelers	
			Companies, Inc.); formerly, Of	
			Counsel, Skadden, Arps, Slate,	
			Meagher & Flom LLP (Mergers &	
			Acquisitions Group) (2005-2014);	
			Member of the Board of Trustees of	
			New York-Presbyterian Hospital	
			(since 2005); Member (since 2004)	
			and Chair (since 2015) of the Board	
			of Trustees of The John A. Hartford	
			Foundation (a philanthropy dedicated	
			to improving the care of older adults);	
			formerly, Member (2005-2015) and	
			Vice Chair (2011-2015) of the Board	
			of Trustees of Mt. Holyoke College.	
ROBERT L.			Formerly, Chief Operating Officer	
YOUNG ⁽³⁾			and Director I P Morgan Investment	

YOUNG(3) 1963 **Board Member** 2017

333 W. Wacker Drive Class II Chicago, IL 60606

Interested Board Member:

and Director, J.P. Morgan Investment Management Inc. (2010-2016); 174 formerly, President and Principal Executive Officer (2013-2016), and Senior Vice President and Chief Operating Officer (2005-2010), of J.P. Morgan Funds; formerly, Director and various officer positions for J.P. Morgan Investment Management Inc. (formerly, JPMorgan Funds Management, Inc.

and formerly, One Group Administrative Services) and JPMorgan Distribution Services, Inc. (formerly, One Group Dealer Services, Inc.) (1999-2017).

MARGO L. $COOK^{(2)(4)}$

1964

Board Member

2006

President (since April 2017), formerly, Co-Chief Executive Officer

and Co-President (2016-2017),

176

333 W. Wacker Drive

Chicago, IL 60606

Class III

formerly, Senior Executive Vice President of Nuveen Investments,

Inc.; President, Global Products and Solutions (since July 2017), and,

Co-Chief Executive Officer, formerly,

Executive Vice President

(2013-2015), of Nuveen Securities, LLC; Executive Vice President (since February 2017) of Nuveen, LLC; President (since August 2017), formerly, Co-President (since October 2016), formerly Senior Executive Vice President of Nuveen Fund Advisors, LLC (Executive Vice President since 2011); formerly,

Commodities Asset Management, LLC (2011-2016); Chartered

Managing Director of Nuveen

Name,

Position(s) Held Year First

Elected or

Principal

Number

75

Year of Birth

with the Funds

Appointed⁽⁵⁾

Occupation(s)

Financial Analyst.

of Portfolios

& Address

During Past 5 Years

in Fund Complex Overseen by Officer

Officers of the Funds:

CEDRIC H. **ANTOSIEWICZ**

1962

Chief

Administrative

Officer

2007

January 2017), formerly, Managing Director (2004-2017) of Nuveen

Senior Managing Director (since

Securities, LLC; Senior Managing

Director (since February 2017), formerly, Managing Director (2014-2017) of Nuveen Fund

Advisors, LLC.

Chicago, IL 60606

333 W. Wacker Drive

Senior Managing Director (since

February 2017), formerly, Managing

LORNA C. **FERGUSON**

41

1945 Vice President **1998** Director (2004-2017) of Nuveen. **177**

333 W. Wacker Drive

Chicago, IL 60606

Board Members & Officers (continued)

Name,	Position(s) Held with the Funds	Year First Elected or	Principal	Number
Year of Birth		Appointed ⁽⁵⁾	Occupation(s)	of Portfolios
& Address			During Past 5 Years	in Fund Comple Overseen by Officer
Officers of the Funds	(continued):			
STEPHEN D. FOY 1954 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606	Vice President and Controller	1998	Managing Director (since 2014), formerly, Senior Vice President (2013- 2014) and Vice President (2005-2013) of Nuveen Fund Advisors, LLC; Chief Financial Officer of Nuveen Commodities Asset Management, LLC (since 2010); Managing Director (since 2016) of Nuveen Securities, LLC; Certified Public Accountant.	177
NATHANIEL T. JONES 1979 333 W. Wacker Drive	Vice President and Treasurer	2016	Managing Director (since January 2017), formerly, Senior Vice President (2016-2017), formerly, Vice President (2011-2016) of Nuveen.; Chartered Financial Analyst.	177
Chicago, IL 60606 WALTER M. KELLY 1970 333 W. Wacker Drive	Chief Compliance Officer and Vice President	2003	Managing Director (since January 2017), formerly, Senior Vice President (2008-2017) of Nuveen.	177
Chicago, IL 60606 DAVID J. LAMB 1963 333 W. Wacker Drive	Vice President	2015	Managing Director (since January 2017), formerly, Senior Vice President of Nuveen Investments Holdings, Inc. (since 2006), Vice President prior to 2006.	75

Chicago, IL 60606

Chicago, IL 60606				
TINA M. LAZAR 1961	Vice President	2002	Managing Director (since January 2017), formerly, Senior Vice President (2014-2017) of Nuveen	177
333 W. Wacker Drive			Securities, LLC.	
Chicago, IL 60606				
KEVIN J. MCCARTHY 1966	Vice President and Assistant Secretary	2007	Senior Managing Director (since February 2017) and Secretary and General Counsel (since 2016) of Nuveen Investments, Inc., formerly,	177
333 W. Wacker Drive	·		Executive Vice President (2016-2017) and Managing Director	
			Vice President (2016-2017); Vice President (since 2007) and Secretary (since 2016), formerly, Assistant Secretary, of NWQ Investment	
			Management Company, LLC, Symphony Asset Management LLC, Santa Barbara Asset Management, LLC and Wingley Conital	

LLC and Winslow Capital

Management, LLC (since 2010); Vice President (since 2010) and Secretary (since 2016) of Nuveen Commodities Asset Management, LLC, formerly Assistant Secretary (2010-2016).

MICHAEL A. PERRY

1967 Vice President **2017**

333 W. Wacker

Drive

Chicago, IL 60606

Executive Vice President since
February 2017, previously Managing
Director from October 2016), of
Nuveen Fund Advisors, LLC;
Executive Vice President (since
2017), formerly, Managing Director
(2015-2017), of Nuveen Securities,
LLC; formerly, Managing Director
(2010-2015) of UBS Securities,
LLC.

Name, Position(s) Held **Year First Principal** Number with the Funds Elected or Year of Birth Appointed⁽⁵⁾ Occupation(s) of Portfolios & Address **During Past 5 Years** in Fund Complex

Overseen by

Officer

176

Officers of the Funds (continued):

KATHLEEN L. PRUDHOMME

Vice President and 2011

Assistant Secretary

901 Marquette Avenue

Minneapolis, MN

1953

55402

CHRISTOPHER M. ROHRBACHER

1971 Vice President and 2008

Assistant Secretary

333 W. Wacker

Drive

Chicago, IL 60606

WILLIAM A. **SIFFERMANN**

Vice President 1975 2017

333 W. Wacker

Drive

Chicago, IL 60606

Managing Director, Assistant

Secretary and Co-General Counsel 177

(since 2011) of Nuveen Fund

Advisors, LLC; Managing Director, Assistant Secretary and Associate General Counsel (since 2011) of Nuveen Asset Management, LLC; Managing Director and Assistant Secretary (since 2011) of Nuveen Securities, LLC; formerly, Deputy

General Counsel, FAF Advisors, Inc.

(2004-2010).

Managing Director (since January 2017) of Nuveen Securities, LLC; 177

Managing Director (since January 2017), formerly, Senior Vice

President (2016-2017) and Assistant Secretary (since October 2016) of Nuveen Fund Advisors, LLC; Vice

President and Assistant Secretary (since 2010) of Nuveen

Commodities Asset Management,

LLC.

Managing Director (since February

2017), formerly Senior Vice President (2016-2017) and Vice

President (2011-2016) of Nuveen.

JOEL T. **SLAGER**

1978 Vice President and 2013

Assistant Secretary

(since 2013); previously, Vice President of Morgan Stanley

177

Fund Tax Director for Nuveen Funds

Investment Management, Inc., Assistant Treasurer of the Morgan Stanley Funds (from 2010 to 2013).

333 W. Wacker Drive

Chicago, IL 60606

GIFFORD R. **ZIMMERMAN**

1956 1988 Vice President and

Secretary

333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606

Managing Director (since 2002), and Assistant Secretary of Nuveen Securities, LLC; Managing Director 177 (since 2004) and Assistant Secretary (since 1994) of Nuveen Investments, Inc.; Managing Director (since 2002), Assistant Secretary (since 1997) and Co-General Counsel (since 2011) of Nuveen Fund Advisors, LLC; Managing Director, Assistant Secretary and Associate General Counsel of Nuveen Asset Management, LLC (since 2011); Vice President (since February 2017), formerly, Managing Director (2003-2017) and Assistant Secretary (since 2003) of Symphony Asset Management LLC; Managing Director and Assistant Secretary (since 2002) of Nuveen Investments Advisers, LLC; Vice President and Assistant Secretary of NWO Investment Management Company, LLC (since 2002), Santa Barbara Asset Management, LLC (since 2006), and of Winslow Capital Management, LLC, (since 2010);

Chartered Financial Analyst.

(4)

⁽¹⁾ The Board of Trustees is divided into three classes, Class I, Class II, and Class III, with each being elected to serve until the third succeeding annual shareholders meeting subsequent to its election or thereafter in each case when its respective successors are duly elected or appointed, except two board members are elected by the holders of Preferred Shares, when applicable, to serve until the next annual shareholders meeting subsequent to its election or thereafter in each case when its respective successors are duly elected or appointed. The year first elected or appointed represents the year in which the board member was first elected or appointed to any fund in the Nuveen Complex.

⁽²⁾ On June 22, 2016, Ms. Cook and Mr. Moschner were appointed as Board members, effective July 1, 2016.

⁽³⁾ On May 25, 2017, Mr. Young was appointed as a Board Member, effective July 1, 2017. He is a Board Member of each of the Nuveen Funds, except Nuveen Diversified Dividend and Income Fund and Nuveen Real Estate Income Fund.

Interested person as defined in the 1940 Act, by reason of her position with Nuveen, LLC. and certain of its subsidiaries, which are affiliates of the Nuveen Funds.

(5) Officers serve one year terms through August of each year. The year first elected or appointed represents the year in which the Officer was first elected or appointed to any fund in the Nuveen Complex.

Notes

Notes

Nuveen:

Serving Investors for Generations

Since 1898, financial advisors and their clients have relied on Nuveen to provide dependable investment solutions through continued adherence to proven, long-term investing

principles. Today, we offer a range of high quality solutions designed to be integral components of a well-diversified core portfolio.

Focused on meeting investor needs.

Nuveen is the investment management arm of TIAA. We have grown into one of the world s premier global asset managers, with specialist knowledge across all major asset classes and particular strength in solutions that provide income for investors and that draw on our expertise in alternatives and responsible investing. Nuveen is driven not only by the independent investment processes across the firm, but also the insights, risk management, analytics and other tools and resources that a truly world-class platform provides. As a global asset manager, our mission is to work in partnership with our clients to create solutions which help them secure their financial future.

Find out how we can help you.

To learn more about how the products and services of Nuveen may be able to help you meet your financial goals, talk to your financial advisor, or call us at (800) 257-8787. Please read the information

provided carefully before you invest. Investors should consider the

investment objective and policies, risk considerations, charges and

expenses of any investment carefully. Where applicable, be sure

to obtain a

prospectus, which contains this and other relevant information. To obtain

a prospectus, please contact your securities representative or Nuveen,

333 W. Wacker Dr., Chicago, IL 60606. Please read the prospectus carefully before you invest or send money.

Learn more about Nuveen Funds at: www.nuveen.com/cef

Nuveen Securities, LLC, member FINRA and SIPC | 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 | www.nuveen.com

EAN-A-0717D 258847-INV-Y-09/18

ITEM 2. CODE OF ETHICS.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the registrant has adopted a code of ethics that applies to the registrant s principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions. There were no amendments to or waivers from the Code during the period covered by this report. The registrant has posted the code of ethics on its website at www.nuveen.com/CEF/Shareholder/FundGovernance.aspx. (To view the code, click on Code of Conduct.)

ITEM 3. AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT.

The registrant s Board of Directors or Trustees (Board) determined that the registrant has at least one audit committee financial expert (as defined in Item 3 of Form N-CSR) serving on its Audit Committee. The registrant s audit committee financial experts are Carole E. Stone and Jack B. Evans, who are independent for purposes of Item 3 of Form N-CSR.

Ms. Stone served for five years as Director of the New York State Division of the Budget. As part of her role as Director, Ms. Stone was actively involved in overseeing the development of the State s operating, local assistance and capital budgets, its financial plan and related documents; overseeing the development of the State s bond-related disclosure documents and certifying that they fairly presented the State s financial position; reviewing audits of various State and local agencies and programs; and coordinating the State s system of internal audit and control. Prior to serving as Director, Ms. Stone worked as a budget analyst/examiner with increasing levels of responsibility over a 30 year period, including approximately five years as Deputy Budget Director. Ms. Stone has also served as Chair of the New York State Racing Association Oversight Board, as Chair of the Public Authorities Control Board, as a Commissioner on the New York State Commission on Public Authority Reform and as a member of the Boards of Directors of several New York State public authorities. These positions have involved overseeing operations and finances of certain entities and assessing the adequacy of project/entity financing and financial reporting. Currently, Ms. Stone is on the Board of Directors of CBOE Holdings, Inc., of the Chicago Board Options Exchange, and of C2 Options Exchange. Ms. Stone s position on the boards of these entities and as a member of both CBOE Holdings Audit Committee and its Finance Committee has involved, among other things, the oversight of audits, audit plans and preparation of financial statements.

Mr. Evans was formerly President and Chief Operating Officer of SCI Financial Group, Inc., a full service registered broker-dealer and registered investment adviser (SCI). As part of his role as President and Chief Operating Officer, Mr. Evans actively supervised the Chief Financial Officer (the CFO) and actively supervised the CFO s preparation of financial statements and other filings with various regulatory authorities. In such capacity, Mr. Evans was actively involved in the preparation of SCI s financial statements and the resolution of issues raised in connection therewith. Mr. Evans has also served on the audit committee of various reporting companies. At such companies, Mr. Evans was involved in the oversight of audits, audit plans, and the preparation of financial statements. Mr. Evans also formerly chaired the audit committee of the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago.

ITEM 4. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES.

Nuveen Floating Rate Income Opportunity Fund

The following tables show the amount of fees that KPMG LLP, the Fund s auditor, billed to the Fund during the Fund s last two full fiscal years. For engagements with KPMG LLP the Audit Committee approved in advance all audit services and non-audit services that KPMG LLP provided to the Fund, except for those non-audit services that were subject to the pre-approval exception under Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X (the pre-approval exception). The pre-approval exception for services provided directly to the Fund waives the pre-approval requirement for services other than audit, review or attest services if: (A) the aggregate amount of all such services provided constitutes no

more than 5% of the total amount of revenues paid by the Fund to its accountant during the fiscal year in which the services are provided; (B) the Fund did not recognize the services as non-audit services at the time of the engagement; and (C) the services are promptly brought to the Audit Committee s attention, and the Committee (or its delegate) approves the services before the audit is completed.

The Audit Committee has delegated certain pre-approval responsibilities to its Chairman (or, in his absence, any other member of the Audit Committee).

SERVICES THAT THE FUND S AUDITOR BILLED TO THE FUND

	Audit-Related Fees							
	Audit	Fees Billed	В	illed to	Tax	Fees	All C	Other Fees
Fiscal Year Ended	to	Fund ¹]	Fund ²	Billed to	o Fund ³	Billed	l to Fund ⁴
July 31, 2017	\$	32,850	\$	51,000	\$	0	\$	18,000
Percentage approved pursuant to								
pre-approval exception		0%		0%)	0%		0%
July 31, 2016	\$	29,480	\$	0	\$	0	\$	18,000
Percentage approved pursuant to								
pre-approval exception		0%		0%)	0%		0%

SERVICES THAT THE FUND S AUDITOR BILLED TO THE

ADVISER AND AFFILIATED FUND SERVICE PROVIDERS

The following tables show the amount of fees billed by KPMG LLP to Nuveen Fund Advisors, LLC (formerly Nuveen Fund Advisors, Inc.) (the Adviser), and any entity controlling, controlled by or under common control with the Adviser that provides ongoing services to the Fund (Affiliated Fund Service Provider), for engagements directly related to the Fund s operations and financial reporting, during the Fund s last two full fiscal years.

Audit Fees are the aggregate fees billed for professional services for the audit of the Funds annual financial statements and services provided in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements.

² Audit Related Fees are the aggregate fees billed for assurance and related services reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of financial statements that are not reported under Audit Fees . These fees include offerings related to the Fund s common shares and leverage.

³ Tax Fees are the aggregate fees billed for professional services for tax advice, tax compliance, and tax planning. These fees include: all global withholding tax services; excise and state tax reviews; capital gain, tax equalization and taxable basis calculation performed by the principal accountant.

⁴ All Other Fees are the aggregate fees billed for products and services other than Audit Fees , Audit-Related Fees and Tax Fees . These fees represent all Agreed-Upon Procedures engagements pertaining to the Fund s use of leverage.

The tables also show the percentage of fees subject to the pre-approval exception. The pre-approval exception for services provided to the Adviser and any Affiliated Fund Service Provider (other than audit, review or attest services) waives the pre-approval requirement if: (A) the aggregate amount of all such services provided constitutes no more than 5% of the total amount of revenues paid to KPMG LLP by the Fund, the Adviser and Affiliated Fund Service Providers during the fiscal year in which the services are provided that would have to be pre-approved by the Audit Committee; (B) the Fund did not recognize the services as non-audit services at the time of the engagement; and (C) the services are promptly brought to the Audit Committee s attention, and the Committee (or its delegate) approves the services before the Fund s audit is completed.

r Fees Adviser ed Fund ce lers
0
0%
0
0%

NON-AUDIT SERVICES

The following table shows the amount of fees that KPMG LLP billed during the Fund s last two full fiscal years for non-audit services. The Audit Committee is required to pre-approve non-audit services that KPMG LLP provides to the Adviser and any Affiliated Fund Services Provider, if the engagement related directly to the Fund s operations and financial reporting (except for those subject to the pre-approval exception described above). The Audit Committee requested and received information from KPMG LLP about any non-audit services that KPMG LLP rendered during the Fund s last fiscal year to the Adviser and any Affiliated Fund Service Provider. The Committee considered this information in evaluating KPMG LLP s independence.

			Total		
			Non-Audit		
			Fees		
			billed to		
			Adviser and	Total	
			Affiliated	Non-Audit	
			Fund Service	Fees	
			Providers	billed to	
			(engagements	Adviser and	
			related	Affiliated	
			directly to the	Fund	
			operations	Service	
			and financial	Providers (all	
	Total Non	n-Audit Fe	esreporting of	other	
Fiscal Year Ended	Billed	to Fund	the Fund)	engagements)	Total
July 31, 2017	\$	69,000	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$69,000
July 31, 2016	\$	18,000	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$18,000

Non-Audit Fees billed to Fund for both fiscal year ends represent Tax Fees and All Other Fees billed to Fund in their respective amounts from the previous table.

Less than 50 percent of the hours expended on the principal accountant s engagement to audit the registrant s financial statements for the most recent fiscal year were attributed to work performed by persons other than the principal accountant s full-time, permanent employees.

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures. Generally, the Audit Committee must approve (i) all non-audit services to be performed for the Fund by the Fund s independent accountants and (ii) all audit and non-audit services to be performed by the Fund s independent accountants for the Affiliated Fund Service Providers with respect to operations and financial reporting of the Fund. Regarding tax and research projects conducted by the independent accountants for the Fund and Affiliated Fund Service Providers (with respect to operations and financial reports of the Fund) such engagements will be (i) pre-approved by the Audit Committee if they are expected to be for amounts greater than \$10,000; (ii) reported to the Audit Committee chairman for his verbal approval prior to engagement if they are expected to be for amounts under \$10,000 but greater than \$5,000; and (iii) reported to the Audit Committee at the next Audit Committee meeting if they are expected to be for an amount under \$5,000.

ITEM 5. AUDIT COMMITTEE OF LISTED REGISTRANTS.

The registrant s Board has a separately designated Audit Committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (15 U.S.C. 78c(a)(58)(A)). The members of the audit

committee are Jack B. Evans, David J. Kundert, John K. Nelson, Carole E. Stone and Terence J. Toth.

ITEM 6. SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS.

- (a) See Portfolio of Investments in Item 1.
- (b) Not applicable.

ITEM 7. DISCLOSURE OF PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES FOR CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

Nuveen Fund Advisors, LLC, formerly known as Nuveen Fund Advisors, Inc., is the registrant s investment adviser (also referred to as the Adviser). The Adviser is responsible for the on-going monitoring of the Fund s investment portfolio, managing the Fund s business affairs and providing certain clerical, bookkeeping and administrative services. The Adviser has engaged Symphony Asset Management, LLC (Symphony or Sub-Adviser) as Sub-Adviser to provide discretionary investment advisory services. As part of these services, the Adviser has delegated to the Sub-Adviser the full responsibility for proxy voting on securities held in the registrant s portfolio and related duties in accordance with the Sub-Adviser s policies and procedures. The Adviser periodically monitors the Sub-Adviser s voting to ensure that it is carrying out its duties. The Sub-Adviser s proxy voting policies and procedures are summarized as follows:

SYMPHONY

Symphony has adopted and implemented proxy voting guidelines to ensure that proxies are voted in the best interest of its Clients. These are merely guidelines and specific situations may call for a vote which does not follow the guidelines. In determining how to vote proxies, Symphony will follow the Proxy Voting Guidelines of the independent third party which Symphony has retained to provide proxy voting services (Symphony s Proxy Guidelines).

Symphony has created a Proxy Voting Subcommittee to periodically review Symphony s Proxy Guidelines, address conflicts of interest, specific situations and any portfolio manager s decision to deviate from Symphony s Proxy Guideline, (including the third party s guidelines). Under certain circumstances, Symphony may vote one way for some Clients and another way for other Clients. For example, votes for a Client who provides specific voting instructions may differ from votes for Clients who do not provide proxy voting instructions. However, when Symphony has discretion, proxies will generally be voted the same way for all Clients. In addition, conflicts of interest in voting proxies may arise between Clients, between Symphony and its employees, or a lending or other material relationship. As a general rule, conflicts will be resolved by Symphony voting in accordance with Symphony s Proxy Guidelines when:

Symphony manages the account of a corporation or a pension fund sponsored by a corporation in which Clients of Symphony also own stock. Symphony will vote the proxy for its other Clients in accordance with Symphony s Proxy Guidelines and will follow any directions from the corporation or the pension plan, if different than Symphony s Proxy Guidelines;

An employee or a member of his/her immediate family is on the Board of Directors or a member of senior management of the company that is the issuer of securities held in Client s account;

Symphony has a borrowing or other material relationship with a corporation whose securities are the subject of the proxy.

Proxies will always be voted in the best interest of Symphony s Clients. Those situations that do not fit within the general rules for the resolution of conflicts of interest will be reviewed by the Proxy Voting Subcommittee. The Proxy Voting Subcommittee, after consulting with senior management, if appropriate, will determine how the proxy should be voted. For example, when a portfolio manager decides not to follow Symphony s Proxy Guidelines, the Proxy Voting Subcommittee will review a portfolio manager s recommendation and determine how to vote the proxy.

Decisions by the Proxy Voting Subcommittee will be documented and kept with records related to the voting of proxies. A summary of specific votes will be retained in accordance with Symphony s Books and Records Requirements which are set forth Symphony s Compliance Manual and Code of Ethics.

ITEM 8. PORTFOLIO MANAGERS OF CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

Nuveen Fund Advisors, LLC is the registrant s investment adviser (also referred to as the Adviser). The Adviser is responsible for the selection and on-going monitoring of the Fund s investment portfolio, managing the Fund s business affairs and providing certain clerical, bookkeeping and administrative services. The Adviser has engaged Symphony Asset Management LLC (Symphony, also referred to as Sub-Adviser) as Sub-Adviser to provide discretionary investment advisory services. The following section provides information on the portfolio managers of the Sub-Adviser.

Item 8(a)(1). PORTFOLIO MANAGER BIOGRAPHIES

The following individuals have primary responsibility for the day-to-day implementation of the registrant s investment strategies:

Gunther Stein, Chief Investment Officer and Chief Executive Officer, Portfolio Manager

Scott Caraher, Portfolio Manager

Gunther Stein, Chief Executive Officer and Chief Investment Officer at Symphony, is responsible for leading Symphony s fixed-income and equity investments strategies and research efforts. Mr. Stein has over 30 years of investment experience. Mr. Stein was named Chief Investment Officer of Symphony in 2009 and Chief Executive Officer in 2010. Prior to joining Symphony in 1999, Mr. Stein spent six years at Wells Fargo where he managed a high-yield portfolio, was responsible for investing in public high yield bonds and bank loans and managed a team of credit analysts.

Scott Caraher, Co-Portfolio Manager of the Fund, is a member of Symphony s fixed-income team and his responsibilities include portfolio management and trading for Symphony s bank loan strategies and research for its fixed-income strategies. Prior to joining Symphony in 2002, Mr. Caraher was an Investment Banking Analyst in the industrial group at Deutsche Banc Alex Brown in New York.

Item 8(a)(2). OTHER ACCOUNTS MANAGED BY PORTFOLIO MANAGERS

OTHER ACCOUNTS MANAGED BY Gunther Stein as of 7/31/17	
(a) Registered Investment Companies	
Number of accounts	17
Assets	\$7.31 billion
(b) Other Pooled Accounts	
Non-performance fee Accounts	
Number of Accounts	39
Assets	\$8.07 billion
Performance fee Accounts	
Number of Accounts	5
Assets	\$877 million
(c) Other Accounts	

Non-performance fee Accounts	
Number of accounts	10
Assets	\$ 1.12 billion
Performance fee Accounts	
Number of Accounts	0
Assets	\$ 0

OTHER ACCOUNTS MANAGED BY Scott Caraher as of 7/31/17	
(a) Registered Investment Companies	
Number of accounts	6
Assets	\$4.49 billion
(b) Other pooled accounts	
Non-performance fee accounts	
Number of accounts	4
Assets	\$1.28 billion
Performance fee accounts	
Number of accounts	0
Assets	\$ 0
(c) Other	
Non-performance fee accounts	
Number of accounts	6
Assets	\$ 1.04 billion
Performance fee accounts	
Number of accounts	0
Assets	\$ 0
POTENTIAL MATERIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST	

As described above, the portfolio managers may manage other accounts with investment strategies similar to the Fund, including other investment companies and separately managed accounts. Fees earned by the Sub-adviser may vary among these accounts and the portfolio managers may personally invest in some but not all of these accounts. These factors could create conflicts of interest because a portfolio manager may have incentives to favor certain accounts over others, resulting in other accounts outperforming the Fund. A conflict may also exist if a portfolio manager identified a limited investment opportunity that may be appropriate for more than one account, but the Fund is not able to take full advantage of that opportunity due to the need to allocate that opportunity among multiple accounts. In addition, the portfolio managers may execute transactions for another account that may adversely impact the value of securities held by the Fund. However, the Sub-adviser believes that these risks are mitigated by the fact that accounts with like investment strategies managed by a particular portfolio manager are generally managed in a similar fashion, subject to exceptions to account for particular investment restrictions or policies applicable only to certain accounts, differences in cash flows and account sizes, and other factors. In addition, the Sub-adviser has adopted trade allocation procedures so that accounts with like investment strategies are treated fairly and equitably over time.

Item 8(a)(3). FUND MANAGER COMPENSATION

Symphony investment professionals receive compensation based on three elements: fixed-base salary, participation in a bonus pool and certain long-term incentives.

The fixed-base salary is set at a level determined by Symphony and is reviewed periodically to ensure that it is competitive with base salaries paid by similar financial services companies for persons playing similar roles.

Each portfolio manager is also eligible to receive an annual bonus from a pool based on Symphony s aggregate asset-based and performance fees after all operating expenses. Bonus compensation for each individual is based on a variety of factors, including the performance of Symphony, the Fund, the team and the individual. Fund performance is assessed on a pre-tax total return risk-adjusted basis, and generally measured relative to the Fund s primary benchmark and/or industry peer group for one, three or five year periods as applicable.

Finally, certain key employees of Symphony, including the portfolio managers, have received profits interests in Symphony which entitle their holders to participate in the firm s growth over time.

Item 8(a)(4). OWNERSHIP OF JRO SECURITIES AS OF JULY 31, 2017

Name of Portfolio		\$1 -	\$10,001-	\$50,001-	\$100,00\$500,001-
Manager	None	\$10,000	\$50,000	\$100,000	\$500,0 \$0 ,0 @ 3 @ 0\$1,000,000
Gunther Stein				X	
Scott Caraher					X

ITEM 9. PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANY AND AFFILIATED PURCHASERS.

Not applicable.

ITEM 10. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

There have been no material changes to the procedures by which shareholders may recommend nominees to the registrant s Board implemented after the registrant last provided disclosure in response to this Item.

ITEM 11. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

- (a) The registrant s principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, have concluded that the registrant s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 30a-3(c) under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act) (17 CFR 270.30a-3(c))) are effective, as of a date within 90 days of the filing date of this report that includes the disclosure required by this paragraph, based on their evaluation of the controls and procedures required by Rule 30a-3(b) under the 1940 Act (17 CFR 270.30a-3(b)) and Rules 13a-15(b) or 15d-15 (b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act) (17 CFR 240.13a-15(b) or 240.15d-15 (b)).
- (b) There were no changes in the registrant s internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 30a-3(d) under the 1940 Act (17 CFR 270.30a-3(d)) that occurred during the second fiscal quarter of the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant s internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 12. EXHIBITS.

File the exhibits listed below as part of this Form.

- (a)(1) Any code of ethics, or amendment thereto, that is the subject of the disclosure required by Item 2, to the extent that the registrant intends to satisfy the Item 2 requirements through filing of an exhibit: Not applicable because the code is posted on registrant s website at www.nuveen.com/CEF/Shareholder/FundGovernance.aspx and there were no amendments during the period covered by this report. (To view the code, click on Code of Conduct.)
- (a)(2) A separate certification for each principal executive officer and principal financial officer of the registrant as required by Rule 30a-2(a) under the 1940 Act (17 CFR 270.30a-2(a)) in the exact form set forth below: Ex-99.CERT Attached hereto.
- (a)(3) Any written solicitation to purchase securities under Rule 23c-1 under the 1940 Act (17 CFR 270.23c-1) sent or given during the period covered by the report by or on behalf of the registrant to 10 or more persons. Not applicable.
- (b) If the report is filed under Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, provide the certifications required by Rule 30a-2(b) under the 1940 Act (17 CFR 270.30a-2(b)); Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13a-14(b) or 240.15d-14(b)), and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code (18 U.S.C. 1350) as an exhibit. A certification furnished pursuant to this paragraph will not be deemed filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. 78r), or otherwise subject to the liability of that section. Such certification will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that the registrant specifically incorporates it by reference. Ex-99.906 CERT attached hereto.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

(Registrant) Nuveen Floating Rate Income Opportunity Fund

By (Signature and Title) /s/ Gifford R. Zimmerman Gifford R. Zimmerman

Vice President and Secretary

Date: October 6, 2017

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By (Signature and Title) /s/ Cedric H. Antosiewicz

Cedric H. Antosiewicz Chief Administrative Officer (principal executive officer)

Date: October 6, 2017

By (Signature and Title) /s/ Stephen D. Foy

Stephen D. Foy

Vice President and Controller (principal financial officer)

Date: October 6, 2017