BADIE RONALD P Form 4/A

August 22, 2005

FORM 4

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF

SECURITIES

OMB Number:

3235-0287

Expires:

January 31, 2005

0.5

Estimated average

OMB APPROVAL

burden hours per response...

if no longer subject to Section 16. Form 4 or

Check this box

Form 5 obligations may continue. See Instruction

Filed pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Section 17(a) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 or Section

30(h) of the Investment Company Act of 1940

1(b).

(Last)

(City)

(Print or Type Responses)

1. Name and Address of Reporting Person * BADIE RONALD P

2. Issuer Name and Ticker or Trading

Issuer

Symbol

INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES INC [IES]

(Check all applicable)

(Middle) 3. Date of Earliest Transaction

(Month/Day/Year)

07/01/2005

X_ Director 10% Owner Officer (give title Other (specify below)

5. Relationship of Reporting Person(s) to

1800 WEST LOOP SOUTH, SUITE

(State)

(First)

500

(Street) 4. If Amendment, Date Original

Filed(Month/Day/Year)

07/01/2005

6. Individual or Joint/Group Filing(Check

Applicable Line)

X Form filed by One Reporting Person Form filed by More than One Reporting

HOUSTON, TX 77027

Table I - Non-Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned 1. Title of 2. Transaction Date 2A. Deemed Security (Month/Day/Year) Execution Date, if (Instr. 3)

(Zip)

4. Securities Acquired 5. Amount of Transaction(A) or Disposed of Code (D) (Instr. 3, 4 and 5) (Instr. 8)

Securities Beneficially (D) or Owned Following (Instr. 4) Reported

6. Ownership 7. Nature of Form: Direct Indirect Beneficial Ownership Indirect (I) (Instr. 4)

(A) Transaction(s) or Code V Amount

(D) Price

(Instr. 3 and 4)

Common Stock

07/01/2005

1,500

 $27,508 \frac{(1)}{2}$

D

Reminder: Report on a separate line for each class of securities beneficially owned directly or indirectly.

(Month/Day/Year)

Persons who respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control number.

SEC 1474 (9-02)

Table II - Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned (e.g., puts, calls, warrants, options, convertible securities)

1. Title of	2.	3. Transaction Date	3A. Deemed	4.	5.	6. Date Exerc	cisable and	7. Tit	le and	8. Price of	9. Nu
Derivative	e Conversion	(Month/Day/Year)	Execution Date, if	Transacti	onNumber	Expiration D	ate	Amou	unt of	Derivative	Deriv
Security	or Exercise		any	Code	of	(Month/Day/	Year)	Unde	rlying	Security	Secui
(Instr. 3)	Price of		(Month/Day/Year)	(Instr. 8)	Derivativ	e		Secur	rities	(Instr. 5)	Bene
	Derivative				Securities	3		(Instr	. 3 and 4)		Own
	Security				Acquired						Follo
	•				(A) or						Repo
					Disposed						Trans
					of (D)						(Instr
					(Instr. 3,						·
					4, and 5)						
									A		
									Amount		
						Date	Expiration	m: .1	or		
						Exercisable	Date	Title	Number		
				C 1 W	(A) (D)				of		
				Code V	(A) (D)				Shares		

Reporting Owners

Reporting Owner Name / Address	Relationships					
1	Director	10% Owner	Officer	Other		
BADIE RONALD P 1800 WEST LOOP SOUTH, SUITE 500 HOUSTON, TX 77027	X					

Signatures

Curt L. 08/22/2005 Warnock **Signature of Date Reporting Person

Explanation of Responses:

- If the form is filed by more than one reporting person, see Instruction 4(b)(v).
- Intentional misstatements or omissions of facts constitute Federal Criminal Violations. See 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 15 U.S.C. 78ff(a).
- (1) This amendment is filed to correct a mathematical error in total number of shares.

Note: File three copies of this Form, one of which must be manually signed. If space is insufficient, see Instruction 6 for procedure. Potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays SFAS No. 165, Subsequent Events, is effective for interim reporting periods ending after a currently valid OMB number. June 15, 2009. As this pronouncement is only disclosure-related, it did not have an impact on our financial position and results of operations. The disclosure requirements of this FSP are presented below, in Note K New York Stock Exchange Continued Listing Requirements and in

Note L Subsequent Event. FSP No. 157-4, Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly, is effective for interim reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009. We adopted the requirements of this accounting pronouncement without any impact upon our financial statements.

Changes in Classifications

The classification of certain prior period amounts in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets have been changed in order to conform to the current year presentation.

11

Reporting Owners 2

NOTE B MARKETABLE SECURITIES

We have historically invested excess cash balances in a highly rated enhanced cash fund managed by Columbia Management Advisers, LLC, a subsidiary of Bank of America, N.A. (Columbia Management). We refer to this investment fund as the Columbia Fund.

On December 6, 2007, Columbia Management initiated a series of steps which included the temporary suspension of all immediate cash distributions from the Columbia Fund and changed its method of valuation from a fixed asset valuation to a fluctuating asset valuation. Since that date, Columbia Management has commenced the liquidation of the Columbia Fund and is distributing cash to investors as quickly as practicable.

During the six-month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, we recorded a mark-to-market expense of \$2,100 and \$75,000, respectively.

During the six-month period ended June 30, 2009, we received cash distributions totaling \$1.4 million representing our remaining investment in the Columbia Fund at market value. This final distribution approximated the market value of the investment recorded as of December 31, 2008.

As of December 31, 2008, our remaining balance in the Columbia Fund was \$1.4 million which was net of a \$290,000 mark-to-market reserve.

NOTE C LONG-TERM DEBT

Long-term debt consists of our senior credit facility as follows (dollars in thousands):

	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008	
Long-term debt:	2009		2000
Senior credit facility current portion	\$ 8,080	\$	8,085
Senior credit facility long-term portion	787,769		792,295
Total long-term debt including current portion	\$ 795,849	\$	800,380
Borrowing ability under our senior credit facility	\$ 27,134	\$	12,262
Leverage ratio as defined under our senior credit facilty:			
Actual	7.98		7.14
Maximum allowed	8.25		7.25

Our senior credit facility consists of a term loan and a revolving loan. The amounts outstanding under our senior credit facility as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 were comprised solely of the term loan. The revolving loan did not have an outstanding balance as of June 30, 2009 or December 31, 2008. The commitment fee was 0.50% on the available credit under the senior credit facility. Our average debt balance was \$798.6 million and \$913.9 million during the six-month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The average interest rates on our total debt balances were 7.2% and 6.1% during the six-month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. These average interest rates include the effects of our interest rate swap agreements as described below.

Under the revolving loan of our amended senior credit facility, the maximum credit available under the facility is \$50.0 million. The amount that we can draw upon the revolving loan is limited by the restrictive covenants of our senior credit facility. As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we could have drawn \$27.1 million and \$12.3 million, respectively, of the \$50 million maximum amount under the revolving loan.

12

Table of Contents

NOTE C LONG-TERM DEBT (Continued)

Amendment of Senior Credit Facility

Effective as of March 31, 2009, we amended our senior credit facility. The terms of our amended senior credit facility include, but are not limited to, an increase in the maximum ratio allowed under our leverage ratio covenant for the year ending December 31, 2009, a general increase in the restrictiveness of our remaining covenants and increased interest rates. In order to obtain this amendment, we incurred loan issuance costs of approximately \$7.1 million including legal and professional fees. These fees were funded from our existing cash balances. The amendment of our senior credit facility was determined to be significant and as a result we recorded a loss on early extinguishment of debt of \$8.4 million. The amendment to our senior credit facility is included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal 2008 (the 2008 Form 10-K) as Exhibit 10.10.

Without this amendment, we would not have been in compliance with the leverage ratio covenant and such noncompliance would have caused a default under the agreement. This amendment increased our cash interest rate by 2% per annum (200 basis points) and beginning April 1, 2009, requires an additional 3% per annum (300 basis point) facility fee. For the period beginning April 4, 2009 and ending April 30, 2010, the annual facility fee for the term loan and the revolving loan will accrue and be payable on the respective term loan and revolving loan maturity dates. For the period beginning after April 30, 2010 and for the remaining term of the senior credit facility, the annual facility fee will be payable in cash on a quarterly basis and interest will accrue at an annual rate of 6.5% on the facility fee balance accrued as of April 30, 2010.

As stated above, our senior credit facility requires us to maintain our leverage ratio, as defined in the agreement, below certain maximum amounts. As of December 31, 2008, our leverage ratio was 7.14 compared to the maximum allowed by our senior credit facility of 7.25. As of March 31, 2009, our leverage ratio was 7.48 compared to the maximum ratio allowed by the amended senior credit facility of 8.00. As of June 30, 2009, our leverage ratio was 7.98 compared to the maximum ratio allowed by the amended senior credit facility of 8.25. Prior to the amendment, the maximum total net leverage ratio allowed would have been 7.25 as of March 31, 2009 and June 30, 2009.

The continuing general economic recession, including the significant decline in advertising by the automotive industry, has adversely impacted our ability to generate cash from operations during the current period and the recent past. If these general economic trends do not begin to gradually improve, then our ability to maintain adequate liquidity and/or compliance with our leverage ratio covenant will come under increased pressure. Compliance with the leverage ratio covenant on or after March 31, 2010 will depend on the interrelationship of our ability to reduce outstanding debt and/or the results of our operations during the intervening future periods. Based upon our financial projections as of the date of filing this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, we are likely not to be in compliance with our leverage ratio as of March 31, 2010.

In the future, if we are unable to maintain compliance with these covenants, including the leverage ratio test, we would use reasonable efforts to seek an amendment or waiver to our senior credit facility. However, in such circumstances, we could provide no assurances that any amendment or waiver would be obtained nor of its terms. In the future, if we are unable to obtain any required waivers or amendments, we would be in default under the senior credit facility and any such default could allow a majority of the lenders to demand an acceleration of the repayment of all outstanding amounts under our senior credit facility.

Interest Rate Swap Agreements

We entered into three swap agreements during fiscal 2007 for the purpose of converting \$465.0 million of our variable rate debt under our senior credit facility to fixed rate debt. These swap agreements continued to be in effect during the six-month period ended June 30, 2009. As of June 30, 2009, the swap agreements had a negative market value of \$17.6 million which was recorded as an other current liability. As of December 31, 2008, our swap agreements had a negative market value of \$24.6 million. For the six-month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, we recorded income, net of tax, on derivatives as other comprehensive income of \$4.7 million and \$473,000, respectively. Our three swap agreements are our only existing hedging activities and will expire in April of 2010.

Table of Contents 4

13

NOTE C LONG-TERM DEBT (Continued)

Interest Rate Swap Agreements (Continued)

Beginning in April of 2009, we chose to place our long-term debt, that is being hedged, into a one-month LIBOR contract that is renewed monthly rather than a three-month LIBOR contract that is renewed quarterly. By doing so, we have taken advantage of the lower one-month LIBOR rate. As a result, any hedge ineffectiveness resulting from selecting the one-month LIBOR rate has been recognized in our statement of operations.

See Note A Basis of Presentation for further disclosure of our policies regarding derivatives.

NOTE D FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENT

We are parties to financial instruments which are defined, with certain exceptions, as cash, evidence of an ownership interest in an entity and certain contracts. These financial instruments are listed below as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 as follows (in thousands):

	As of		As of		
	June 3	30 2009	December 31, 2008		
	Recorded	Fair	Recorded	Fair	
	Value	Value	Value	Value	
Assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 9,786	\$ 9,786	\$ 30,649	\$ 30,649	
Marketable securites	\$	\$	\$ 1,384	\$ 1,384	
Investment in broadcasting company	\$ 13,599	\$ 13,599	\$ 13,599	\$ 13,599	
Liabilities:					
Long-term debt including current portion	\$795,849	\$512,243	\$800,380	\$312,100	
Interest rate swap agreements	\$ 17,602	\$ 17,602	\$ 24,611	\$ 24,611	

Fair value of cash and cash equivalents is based upon quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets. These inputs are Level 1 in the fair value hierarchy.

Fair value of our marketable securities, long-term debt including current portion and interest rate swap agreements is based on quoted prices of similar assets in active markets. Valuation of these items does entail significant amount of judgment and the inputs that are significant to the fair value measurement are Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

Fair value of investment in broadcasting company is based on unobservable inputs that are considered Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

NOTE E PREFERRED STOCK

As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we had 1,000 shares of Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock outstanding. The no par value Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock has a liquidation value of \$100,000 per share for a total liquidation value of \$100.0 million as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008.

Dividends on our Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock accrued at 12.0% per annum through December 31, 2008 after which the dividend rate increased to 15.0% per annum. We did not fund the Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock cash dividend payments due on January 15, 2009, April 15, 2009 or July 15, 2009 that had accumulated for the three-month periods ended December 31, 2008, March 31, 2009 and June 30, 2009. Our accrued Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock dividend balance as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was \$10.5 million and \$3.0 million, respectively. Such deferral of dividend payments is allowable under the terms of the Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock. When three consecutive cash dividend payments with respect to the Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock

14

NOTE E PREFERRED STOCK (Continued)

remain unfunded, the dividend rate will increase from 15.0% per annum (or \$15 million) to 17.0% per annum (or \$17 million). Thus, our Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock dividend began accruing at 17.0% per annum on July 16, 2009 and will accrue at that rate as long as at least three consecutive cash dividend payments remain unfunded.

While any Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock dividend payments are in arrears, we are prohibited from repurchasing, declaring and/or paying any cash dividend with respect to any equity securities having liquidation preferences equivalent to or junior in ranking to the liquidation preferences of the Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock including our common stock and Class A common stock. We can provide no assurances when any future cash payments will be made on any accumulated and unpaid Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock cash dividends presently in arrears or that become in arrears in the future. The Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock has no mandatory redemption date but may be redeemed at the stockholders—option on or after June 30, 2015. The deferral of paying cash dividends on our Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock and the corresponding suspension of paying cash dividends on our common and Class A common stock was made to reallocate cash resources to support our ability to pay increased interest costs and/or fees associated with the amendment to our senior credit facility.

NOTE F RETIREMENT PLANS

The following table provides the components of net periodic benefit cost for our pension plans for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively (in thousands):

	Three Mon June	Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Service cost	\$ 774	\$ 671	\$ 1,551	\$ 1,456
Interest cost	550	482	1,100	962
Expected return on plan assets	(501)	(406)	(1,003)	(882)
Loss amortization	102	26	205	49
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 925	\$ 773	\$ 1,853	\$ 1,585

During the six-month period ended June 30, 2009, we contributed \$1.1 million to our pension plans. During the remainder of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 (fiscal 2009), we expect to contribute an additional \$3.2 million to our pension plans.

NOTE G STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

We recognize compensation expense for share-based payment awards made to our employees and directors including stock options and restricted shares under our 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan and the Directors Restricted Stock Plan. The following table provides our share-based compensation expense and related income tax benefit for the three-month and six-month periods ending June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively (in thousands).

		Three Mon June		Six Months Ended June 30,	
		2009	2008	2009	2008
Stock-based compensation expense, gross Income tax benefit associated with stock-based		\$ 345	\$ 395	\$ 698	\$ 689
compensation		(135)	(154)	(272)	(269)
Stock-based compensation expense, net		\$ 210	\$ 241	\$ 426	\$ 420
	15				

NOTE G STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION (Continued)

Long-term Incentive Plan

During the six-month period ended June 30, 2009, we did not grant any options to our employees to acquire our common stock. During the six-month period ended June 30, 2008, we granted options to our employees to acquire 1.3 million shares of our common stock. The common stock purchase price per the option agreements was equal to the common stock s closing market price on the date of the grant. The fair value for each stock option granted was estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model.

A summary of stock option activity related to our common stock for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 is as follows (option amounts in thousands):

		Six Months Ended June 30,				
		20	009	20	2008	
			Weighted-		Weighted-	
			Average		Average	
			Exercise		Exercise	
		Options	Price	Options	Price	
Common stock:		•		•		
Stock options outstanding	beginning of period	1,949	\$ 8.31	842	\$ 9.96	
Options granted			\$	1,323	\$ 7.53	
Options exercised			\$		\$	
Options expired		(12)	\$12.37	(41)	\$ 8.24	
Options forfeited		(11)	\$ 8.11	(34)	\$ 8.02	
Stock options outstanding	end of period	1,926	\$ 8.29	2,090	\$ 8.49	
Exercisable at end of period	d	643	\$ 9.87	738	\$10.16	
Weighted-average fair valu	e of ontions granted					
during the period	or options grunted		\$		\$ 1.77	

For the six-month period ended June 30, 2009, we did not have any options outstanding for our Class A common stock. As of June 30, 2009, the market price of our common stock was less than the exercise prices for all of our outstanding stock options. Therefore, as of that date, our options had no intrinsic value.

During the six-month period ended June 30, 2009, we did not grant any shares of restricted stock to our directors. During the six-month period ended June 30, 2008, we granted 55,000 shares of our common stock, in total, to our directors under the Directors Restricted Stock Plan. The unearned compensation is being amortized as an expense over the vesting period of the restricted common stock. The total amount of unearned compensation is equal to the market value of the shares at the date of grant net of accumulated amortization.

16

Table of Contents

NOTE G STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION (Continued)

Long-term Incentive Plan

The following table summarizes our non-vested restricted shares during the six-month period ended June 30, 2009 (shares in thousands):

		Weighted-	
	Number of Shares	Average Fair Value	
Restricted Stock:			
Non-vested common restricted shares, December 31, 2008	100	\$6.64	
Granted			
Vested			
Non-vested common restricted shares, June 30, 2009	100	\$6.64	

NOTE H COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Legal Proceedings and Claims

We are subject to legal proceedings and claims that arise in the normal course of our business. In our opinion, the amount of ultimate liability, if any, with respect to these actions, will not materially affect our financial position. *Sports Marketing Agreement*

On October 12, 2004, the University of Kentucky (UK) jointly awarded a sports marketing agreement to us and IMG World, Inc. (IMG). The agreement with UK commenced on April 16, 2005 and has an initial term of seven years with the option to extend for three additional years.

On July 1, 2006, the terms between us and IMG concerning the UK sports marketing agreement were amended. The amended agreement provides that we will share in profits in excess of certain amounts specified by the agreement, if any, but not losses. The agreement also provides that we would separately retain all local broadcast advertising revenue and pay all local broadcast expenses for activities under the agreement. Under the amended agreement, IMG agreed to make all license fee payments to UK. However, if IMG is unable to pay the license fee to UK, we will then pay the unpaid portion of the license fee to UK. As of June 30, 2009, the aggregate license fees to be paid by IMG to UK over the remaining portion of the full ten-year term (assuming, the option to extend is exercised) for the agreement is approximately \$49.3 million. If advances are made by us on behalf of IMG, IMG will then reimburse us for the amount paid within 60 days subsequent to the close of each contract year ending on June 30th. IMG has also agreed to pay interest on any advance at a rate equal to the prime rate. As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, no amounts were outstanding as an advance to UK on behalf of IMG under this agreement.

NOTE I GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Our intangible assets are primarily comprised of network affiliations and broadcast licenses. We did not acquire any network affiliation agreements or broadcast licenses or have any of our network affiliation agreements or broadcast licenses renew during the six-month period ended June 30, 2009. Upon renewal of such intangible assets, we expense all related fees as incurred. There were no triggering events that required a test of impairment of our intangible assets during the six-month period ended June 30, 2009.

17

NOTE J INCOME TAXES

Our recorded income tax expense (benefit) and incurred effective income tax rate are as follows for the respective periods (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Income tax (benefit) expense	\$(4,360)	\$2,184	\$(9,127)	\$(457)
Effective income tax rate	40%	40%	37%	42%

The effective income tax rate for the six-month period ended June 30, 2009 as compared to the six-month period ended June 30, 2008 decreased as a percentage of pre-tax loss primarily as a result of increases to our reserve for uncertain tax positions during the period ended June 30, 2008 and decreases to our reserve for uncertain tax positions during the period ended June 30, 2009.

NOTE K NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE CONTINUED LISTING REQUIREMENT

The New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) requires all listed companies to maintain compliance with certain criteria to remain listed on the NYSE. Prior to June 30, 2009, among these listing criteria were requirements for a minimum average market capitalization of \$25 million and a minimum average per share stock price of \$1.00 over the most recent 30 trading-day period. On June 30, 2009, the NYSE permanently reduced the minimum market capitalization requirement from \$25 million to \$15 million and temporarily suspended the minimum per share stock price requirement until July 31, 2009. As of June 30, 2009, our average market capitalization was \$33.2 million and our average per share stock price for our common stock and Class A common stock were \$0.49 and \$0.60, respectively. If our average per share stock price does not meet the minimum criteria as of July 31, 2009 and the criteria suspension is not extended beyond July 31, 2009, the NYSE could initiate delisting procedures against us on or about October 7, 2009. If that were to occur, we would seek an alternate exchange for trading of our common stock and Class A common stock.

NOTE L SUBSEQUENT EVENT

On July 30, 2009, we announced that Gray had been selected to be a management advisor for seven television stations that are currently operated by Young Broadcasting, Inc. as a Debtor in Possession under a Chapter 11 Bankruptcy filing.

Gray will provide operational management services for these television stations. We anticipate promptly entering into a definitive management agreement for these services. The Bankruptcy Court has already approved the agreement. The definitive agreement should provide Gray with a \$2.2 million per year management fee and further provide us an opportunity to earn additional specified incentive fees if certain performance targets are exceeded. The management services are currently expected to be provided through December 31, 2012.

18

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Executive Overview

Introduction

The following analysis of the financial condition and results of operations of Gray Television, Inc. (we, us or our should be read in conjunction with our financial statements contained in this report and in our Annual Report filed on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008, or the 2008 Form 10-K.

Overview

We own 36 television stations serving 30 television markets. Seventeen of the stations are affiliated with CBS, ten are affiliated with NBC, eight are affiliated with ABC and one is affiliated with FOX. The combined station group has 21 markets with stations ranked #1 in local news audience and 21 markets with stations ranked #1 in overall audience within their respective markets based on the results of the average of the Nielsen November, July, May and February, 2008 ratings reports. Of the 30 markets that we serve, we operate the #1 or #2 ranked station in 29 of those markets. The combined TV station group reaches approximately 6.1% of total U.S. TV households. In addition, we currently operate 38 digital second channels including one affiliated with ABC, four affiliated with FOX, seven affiliated with CW, 16 affiliated with MyNetworkTV and one affiliated with Universal Sports Network, plus eight local news/weather channels and one independent channel in certain of our existing markets. With 17 CBS affiliated stations, we are the largest independent owner of CBS affiliates in the United States.

Our operating revenues are derived primarily from broadcast and internet advertising and from other sources such as production of commercials, tower rentals and retransmission consent fees.

Broadcast advertising is sold for placement either preceding or following a television station—s network programming and within local and syndicated programming. Broadcast advertising is sold in time increments and is priced primarily on the basis of a program—s popularity among the specific audience an advertiser desires to reach, as measured by Nielsen. In addition, broadcast advertising rates are affected by the number of advertisers competing for the available time, the size and demographic makeup of the market served by the station and the availability of alternative advertising media in the market area. Broadcast advertising rates are the highest during the most desirable viewing hours, with corresponding reductions during other hours. The ratings of a local station affiliated with a major network can be affected by ratings of network programming.

Internet advertising is sold on our stations—websites. These advertisements are sold as banner advertisements on the websites, pre-roll advertisements or video and other types of advertisements.

Most advertising contracts are short-term and generally run only for a few weeks. Approximately 66.8% of the net revenues of our television stations for the six-month period ended June 30, 2009 were generated from local advertising (including political advertising revenues), which is sold primarily by a station s sales staff directly to local accounts, and the remainder was represented primarily by national advertising, which is sold by a station s national advertising sales representative. The stations generally pay commissions to advertising agencies on local, regional and national advertising and the stations also pay commissions to the national sales representative on national advertising.

Broadcast advertising revenues are generally highest in the second and fourth quarters each year, due in part to increases in advertising in the spring and in the period leading up to and including the holiday season. In addition, broadcast advertising revenues are generally higher during even numbered years due to spending by political candidates, whose spending typically is heaviest during the fourth quarter.

The primary broadcast operating expenses are employee compensation, related benefits and programming costs. In addition, the broadcasting operations incur overhead expenses, such as maintenance, supplies, insurance, rent and utilities. A large portion of the operating expenses of the broadcasting operations is fixed.

19

Revenue

Set forth below are the principal types of revenues, less agency commissions, earned by us for the periods indicated and the percentage contribution of each to our total revenues (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30,				
	200)9	2008 20		200	09		2008	
	Percent of		Percent of		Percent of			Percent of	
	Amount	Total	Amount	Total	Amount	Total	Amount	Total	
Revenue:									
Local	\$43,272	66.5%	\$49,495	62.9%	\$ 82,558	65.3%	\$ 95,214	63.6%	
National	12,373	19.0%	18,479	23.4%	25,248	20.0%	34,816	23.2%	
Internet	2,711	4.2%	3,048	3.9%	5,275	4.2%	5,677	3.8%	
Political	942	1.4%	4,951	6.3%	1,951	1.5%	8,024	5.4%	
Retransmission									
consent	3,959	6.1%	801	1.0%	7,599	6.0%	1,447	1.0%	
Production and									
other	1,628	2.5%	1,763	2.2%	3,470	2.7%	4,184	2.8%	
Network									
compensation	172	0.3%	206	0.3%	310	0.3%	380	0.2%	
Total	\$ 65,057	100.0%	\$ 78,743	100.0%	\$ 126,411	100.0%	\$ 149,742	100.0%	
Total	\$ 05,057	100.0%	\$ 10,143	100.0%	φ 120, 4 11	100.0%	J 149,/42	100.0%	

Results of Operations

Three Months Ended June 30, 2009 (2009 three-month period) Compared To Three Months Ended June 30, 2008 (2008 three-month Period)

Revenue. Total revenue decreased \$13.7 million, or 17%, to \$65.1 million in the 2009 three-month period due primarily to decreased local, national, internet and political advertising revenues, decreased production and other revenue and decreased network compensation revenue. These decreases were partially offset by increased retransmission consent revenue in the current period. Retransmission consent revenue increased \$3.2 million, or 394%, to \$4.0 million reflecting the more profitable terms of our recently renewed contracts. Local advertising revenues decreased approximately \$6.2 million, or 13%, to \$43.3 million. National advertising revenues decreased approximately \$6.1 million, or 33%, to \$12.4 million. Local and national advertising revenue decreased due to reduced spending by advertisers in the current economic recession. Historically, our industry s largest advertiser category has been the automotive industry. The current recession has significantly reduced the automotive industry s advertising expenditures. Our automotive advertising revenue decreased approximately 48% compared to the comparable period in the prior year. Internet advertising revenues decreased \$0.3 million, or 11%, to \$2.7 million due to the same factors affecting our local and national advertising revenue but to a lesser extent. Political advertising revenues decreased \$4.0 million, or 81%, to \$0.9 million reflecting decreased advertising from political candidates during the off year of the two-year political advertising cycle. Production and other revenue decreased \$0.1 million, or 8%, to \$1.6 million.

Broadcast expenses. Broadcast expenses (before depreciation, amortization and gain on disposal of assets) decreased \$3.3 million, or 7%, to \$45.2 million in the 2009 three-month period, due primarily to a reduction in compensation expense of \$2.3 million, facility fees of \$0.3 million and professional services of \$0.3 million, partially offset by an increase in bad debt expense of \$0.6 million. Payroll expense decreased primarily due to a reduction in the number of employees. As of June 30, 2009 and 2008, we employed 2,216 and 2,331 total employees, respectively, in our broadcast operations which included full-time and part-time employees. Professional services decreased primarily due to lower national representation fees which are paid based upon a percentage of our lower national revenue. Facility fees decreased primarily due to lower electricity expense resulting from the discontinuance of our

analog broadcasts. Bad debt expense increased primarily due to an increased reserve for receivables due from Chrysler LLC.

Corporate and administrative expenses. Corporate and administrative expenses (before depreciation, amortization and gain on disposal of assets) increased \$0.9 million, or 32%, to \$3.6 million in the 2009 three-month period due primarily to an increase in compensation expense of \$0.6 million and an increase in professional services expense of \$0.4 million. This increase in compensation expense was primarily due to increased incentive compensation-related expenses. During the first five months of 2008, we accrued compensation expense for

20

Table of Contents

executive officer bonuses. During the 2008 three-month period, we determined that these executive bonuses would not be paid and as a result the associated accrued expenses were reversed which resulted in a reduction of compensation expense of \$780,000. Executive bonuses have not been accrued in fiscal 2009 and as a result we did not have a reduction in fiscal 2009 which was similar to that of the three-month period ended June 30, 2008. Professional services increased primarily due to an increase in legal expenses of \$145,000 and an increase in consulting expenses of \$100,000 resulting from a consulting agreement with our former Chairman. During the 2009 and 2008 three-month periods, we recorded non-cash stock-based compensation expense of \$345,000 and \$395,000, respectively.

Depreciation. Depreciation of property and equipment decreased \$0.5 million, or 5%, to \$8.3 million during the 2009 three-month period. The decrease in depreciation was the result of reduced capital expenditures in recent years compared to that of prior years. As a result, more assets acquired in prior years have become fully depreciated than have been purchased in recent years.

(*Gain*) loss on disposal of assets. Gain on disposal of assets increased \$1.0 million to \$1.1 million during the 2009 three-month period as compared to the comparable period in the prior year. The Federal Communications Commission (the FCC) has mandated that all broadcasters operating microwave facilities on certain frequencies in the 2 GHz band relocate to other frequencies and upgrade their equipment. The spectrum being vacated by broadcasters has been reallocated to third parties who, as part of the overall FCC-mandated spectrum reallocation project, must provide affected broadcasters with new digital microwave replacement equipment at no cost to the broadcaster and also reimburse them for certain associated out-of-pocket expenses. During the three-month period ended June 30, 2009, we recognized a gain of \$1.7 million on the disposal of assets associated with the spectrum reallocation project. We did not recognize a similar gain in the three-month period ended June 30, 2008.

Interest expense. Interest expense increased \$6.6 million, or 49%, to \$20.0 million for the 2009 three-month period. This increase was attributable to an increase in average interest rates partially offset by a decrease in our average principal outstanding. Average interest rates have increased due to an increase in the margin that we pay over and above the market London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR). This margin increased as a result of our amendment of our senior credit facility on March 31, 2009. Our debt balance decreased as a result of repayments funded by our issuance in fiscal 2008 of the Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock. Our average debt balance was \$797.5 million and \$901.8 million during the 2009 and 2008 three-month periods, respectively. The average interest rates, including the effects of our interest rate swap agreements, on our total debt balances was 9.5% and 5.6% during the 2009 and 2008 three-month periods, respectively.

Income tax expense or benefit. We recognized an income tax benefit of \$4.4 million in the 2009 three-month period compared to an income tax expense of \$2.2 million in the 2008 three-month period. The effective income tax rate was 40% for each of the three-month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 (2009 six-month period) Compared To Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 (2008 six-month period)

Revenue. Total revenue decreased \$23.3 million, or 16%, to \$126.4 million in the 2009 six-month period due primarily to decreased local, national, internet and political advertising revenue, decreased production and other revenue and decreased network compensation revenue. These decreases were partially offset by increased retransmission consent revenue in the current period. Retransmission consent revenue increased \$6.2 million, or 425%, to \$7.6 million reflecting the more profitable terms of our recently renewed contracts. Local advertising revenue decreased approximately \$12.7 million, or 13%, to \$82.6 million. National advertising revenue decreased approximately \$9.6 million, or 27%, to \$25.2 million. Local and national advertising revenue decreased due to reduced spending by advertisers in the current economic recession. Historically, our industry s largest advertiser category has been the automotive industry. The current recession has significantly reduced the automotive industry s advertising expenditures. Our automotive advertising revenue decreased approximately 45% compared to comparable period of the prior year. The negative effects of the recession were partially offset by increased advertising during the Super Bowl. Net advertising revenue associated with broadcast of the 2009 Super Bowl on our ten NBC affiliated stations approximated \$750,000 which is an increase from the approximate \$130,000 of Super Bowl revenues earned in 2008 on our then six FOX affiliated channels. Internet advertising revenue

Table of Contents

decreased \$0.4 million, or 7%, to \$5.3 million due to the same factors affecting our local and national advertising revenue but to a lesser extent. Political advertising revenue decreased \$6.1 million, or 76%, to \$2.0 million reflecting decreased advertising from political candidates during the off year of the two-year political advertising cycle. Production and other revenue decreased \$0.7 million, or 17%, to \$3.5 million.

Broadcast expenses. Broadcast expenses (before depreciation, amortization and gain on disposal of assets) decreased \$7.7 million, or 8%, to \$90.8 million in the 2009 six-month period, due primarily to a reduction in compensation expense of \$4.5 million, professional services of \$0.7 million, facility fees of \$0.2 million and supply fees of \$0.4 million, partially offset by an increase in bad debt expense of \$0.1 million. Compensation expense decreased primarily due to a reduction in the number of employees. As of June 30, 2009 and 2008, we employed 2,216 and 2,331 total employees, respectively, in our broadcast operations which included full-time and part-time employees. Professional services decreased primarily due to lower national representation fees which are paid based upon a percentage of our lower national revenue. Facility fees decreased primarily due to lower electricity expense resulting from the discontinuance of our analog broadcasts. Supply fees decreased due to lower gasoline costs and controls on supply purchases. Bad debt expense increased primarily due to an increased reserve for receivables due from Chrysler LLC.

Corporate and administrative expenses. Corporate and administrative expenses (before depreciation, amortization and gain on disposal of assets) increased \$1.4 million, or 22%, to \$7.6 million. The increase was due primarily to increases in compensation expense and professional service expense. This increase in compensation expense was primarily due to an increase in severance expense of \$135,000 and an increase in relocation expense of \$350,000. Professional services increased primarily due to an increase in legal expenses of \$547,000 and an increase in consulting expense of \$200,000 resulting from a consulting agreement with our former Chairman. During the 2009 six-month period and the 2008 six-month period, we recorded non-cash stock-based compensation expense of \$698,000 and \$689,000, respectively.

Depreciation. Depreciation of property and equipment decreased \$1.1 million, or 6%, to \$16.5 million for the 2009 six-month period. The decrease in depreciation was the result of reduced capital expenditures in recent years compared to that of prior years. As a result, more assets acquired in prior years have become fully depreciated than have been purchased in recent years.

(Gain) loss on disposal of assets. Gain on disposal of assets increased \$1.6 million to \$2.6 million during the 2009 six-month period as compared to the comparable period in the prior year. The FCC has mandated that all broadcasters operating microwave facilities on certain frequencies in the 2 GHz band relocate to other frequencies and upgrade their equipment. The spectrum being vacated by broadcasters has been reallocated to third parties who, as part of the overall FCC-mandated spectrum reallocation project, must provide affected broadcasters with new digital microwave replacement equipment at no cost to the broadcaster and also reimburse them for certain associated out-of-pocket expenses. During the six-month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, we recognized gains of \$3.3 million and \$1.0 million, respectively, on the disposal of assets associated with the spectrum reallocation project.

Interest expense. Interest expense increased \$0.9 million, or 3%, to \$30.1 million for the 2009 six-month period. This increase was attributable to an increase in average interest rates partially offset by a decrease in our average principal outstanding. Average interest rates have increased due to an increase in the margin that we pay over and above the market LIBOR. This margin increased as result of our amendment of our senior credit facility on March 31, 2009. Our debt balance decreased as a result of repayments funded by our issuance in fiscal 2008 of the Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock. Our average debt balance was \$798.6 million and \$913.9 million during the 2009 six-month period and the 2008 six-month period, respectively. The average interest rates on our total debt balances was 7.2% and 6.1% during the 2009 and 2008 six-month periods, respectively. These interest rates include the effects of our interest rate swap agreements.

Loss on early extinguishment of debt. On March 31, 2009, we amended our senior credit facility. In order to obtain this amendment, we incurred loan issuance costs of approximately \$7.1 million including legal and professional fees. These fees were funded from our existing cash balances. In connection with this transaction, we reported a loss on early extinguishment of debt of \$8.4 million in the 2009 six-month period.

Table of Contents

Income tax expense or benefit. We recognized an income tax benefit of \$9.1 million in the 2009 six-month period compared to an income tax benefit of \$0.5 million in the 2008 six-month period. The effective income tax rate was 37% for the 2009 six-month period and 42% in the 2008 six-month period. The effective income tax rate decreased as a percentage of pre-tax loss primarily as a result of increases to our reserve for uncertain tax positions during the period ended June 30, 2008 and decreases to our reserve for uncertain tax positions during the period ended June 30, 2009.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

General

The following table presents data that we believe is helpful in evaluating our liquidity and capital resources (in thousands).

Six Months Ended June 30			l June 30,
	2009		2008
\$	377	\$	17,237
	(9,598)		(6,277)
	(11,642)		(3,730)
\$	(20,863)	\$	7,230
		2009 \$ 377 (9,598) (11,642)	2009 \$ 377 \$ (9,598) (11,642)

	As of		
		December 31,	
	June 30, 2009	2008	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 9,786	\$ 30,649	
Long-term debt including current portion	\$795,849	\$ 800,380	
Preferred stock	\$ 92,785	\$ 92,183	
Borrowing ability under senior credit facility	\$ 27,134	\$ 12,262	
Leverage ratio as defined under our senior credit facilty:			
Actual	7.98	7.14	
Maximum allowed	8.25	7.25	
Senior Credit Facility			

Effective as of March 31, 2009, we amended our senior credit facility. The terms of our amended senior credit facility include, but are not limited to, an increase in the maximum ratio allowed under our leverage ratio covenant for the year ending December 31, 2009, a general increase in the restrictiveness of our remaining covenants and increased interest rates. In order to obtain this amendment, we incurred loan issuance costs of approximately \$7.1 million including legal and professional fees. These fees were funded from our existing cash balances. The amendment of our senior credit facility was determined to be significant and as a result we recorded a loss on early extinguishment of debt of \$8.4 million. The amendment to our senior credit facility is included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal 2008 (the 2008 Form 10-K) as Exhibit 10.10.

Without this amendment, we would not have been in compliance with the leverage ratio covenant and such noncompliance would have caused a default under the agreement. This amendment increased our cash interest rate by 2% per annum (200 basis points) and beginning April 1, 2009, requires an additional 3% per annum (300 basis point) facility fee. Our senior credit facility is comprised of a revolving loan and a term loan. For the period beginning April 4, 2009 and ending April 30, 2010, the annual facility fee for the term loan and the revolving loan will accrue and be payable on the respective term loan and revolving loan maturity dates. For the period beginning after April 30, 2010 and for the remaining term of the senior credit facility, the annual facility fee will be payable in cash on a quarterly basis and interest will accrue at an annual rate of 6.5% on the facility fee balance accrued as of April 30, 2010.

23

Table of Contents

The amount outstanding under our senior credit facility as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was \$795.8 million and \$800.4 million, respectively, comprised solely of the term loan. The revolving credit facility did not have an outstanding balance as of June 30, 2009 or December 31, 2008. Under the revolving loan of our amended senior credit facility, the maximum credit available under the facility is \$50.0 million. The amount that we can draw upon the revolving loan is limited by the restrictive covenants of our senior credit facility. As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we could have drawn \$27.1 million and \$12.3 million, respectively, of the \$50 million maximum amount under the revolving loan.

As stated above, our senior credit facility requires us to maintain our leverage ratio, as defined in the agreement, below certain maximum amounts. As of December 31, 2008, our leverage ratio was 7.14 compared to the maximum allowed by our senior credit facility of 7.25. As of March 31, 2009, our leverage ratio was 7.48 compared to the maximum ratio allowed by the amended senior credit facility of 8.00. As of June 30, 2009, our leverage ratio was 7.98 compared to the maximum ratio allowed by our amended senior credit facility of 8.25. Prior to the amendment, the maximum total net leverage ratio allowed would have been 7.25 as of March 31, 2009 and June 30, 2009.

Assuming we maintain compliance with our senior credit facility leverage ratio covenant, we believe that current cash balances, cash flows from operations and any available funds under the revolving credit line of our senior credit facility will be adequate to provide for our capital expenditures, debt service and working capital requirements through June 30, 2010. As of June 30, 2009, we are in compliance with all covenants under the senior credit facility.

The continuing general economic recession, including the significant decline in advertising by the automotive industry, has adversely impacted our ability to generate cash from operations during the current period and the recent past. If these general economic trends do not begin to gradually improve, then our ability to maintain adequate liquidity and/or compliance with our leverage ratio covenant will come under increased pressure. Compliance with the leverage ratio covenant on or after March 31, 2010 will depend on the interrelationship of our ability to reduce outstanding debt and/or the results of our operations during the intervening future periods. Based upon our financial projections as of the date of filing this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, we are likely not to be in compliance with our leverage ratio as of March 31, 2010.

In the future, if we are unable to maintain compliance with these covenants, including the leverage ratio test, we would use reasonable efforts to seek an amendment or waiver to our senior credit facility. However, in such circumstances, we could provide no assurances that any amendment or waiver would be obtained nor of its terms. In the future, if we are unable to obtain any required waivers or amendments, we would be in default under the senior credit facility and any such default could allow a majority of the lenders to demand an acceleration of the repayment of all outstanding amounts under our senior credit facility.

Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock

Dividends on our Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock accrued at 12.0% per annum through December 31, 2008 after which the dividend rate increased to 15.0% per annum. We did not fund the Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock cash dividend payments due on January 15, 2009, April 15, 2009 or July 15, 2009 that had accumulated for the three-month periods ended December 31, 2008, March 31, 2009 and June 30, 2009. Our accrued Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock dividend balance as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was \$10.5 million and \$3.0 million, respectively. Such deferral of dividend payments is allowable under the terms of the Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock. When three consecutive cash dividend payments with respect to the Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock remain unfunded, the dividend rate will increase from 15.0% per annum (or \$15 million) to 17.0% per annum (or \$17 million). Thus, our Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock dividend began accruing at 17.0% per annum on July 16, 2009 and will accrue at that rate as long as at least three consecutive cash dividend payments remain unfunded.

While any Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock dividend payments are in arrears, we are prohibited from repurchasing, declaring and/or paying any cash dividend with respect to any equity securities having liquidation preferences equivalent to or junior in ranking to the liquidation preferences of the Series D Perpetual Preferred

Table of Contents

Stock including our common stock and Class A common stock. We can provide no assurances when any future cash payments will be made on any accumulated and unpaid Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock cash dividends presently in arrears or that become in arrears in the future. The Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock has no mandatory redemption date but may be redeemed at the stockholders option on or after June 30, 2015. The deferral of paying cash dividends on our Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock and the corresponding suspension of paying cash dividends on our common and Class A common stock was made to reallocate cash resources to support our ability to pay increased interest costs and/or fees associated with the amendment to our senior credit facility.

Net Cash Provided By (Used In) Operating, Investing and Financing Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$0.4 million in the 2009 six-month period compared to \$17.2 million in the 2008 six-month period. The decrease in cash provided by operations is primarily due to a decrease in revenue and an increase in corporate expenses partially offset by a decrease in broadcast expenses.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$9.6 million in the 2009 six-month period compared to net cash used in investing activities of \$6.3 million for the 2008 six-month period. The increase in cash used in investing activities was largely due to increased spending for equipment resulting from our transition to digital from analog broadcasting.

Net cash used in financing activities in the 2009 six-month period was \$11.6 million. Net cash used in financing activities in the 2008 six-month period was \$3.7 million. This increase in cash used was due primarily to payments in 2009 of \$7.1 million for the amendment of our senior credit facility. Also, we did not pay any common stock or preferred stock dividends in the six-month period ended June 30, 2009. In the 2008 six-month period, we issued Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock and used the net proceeds to reduce our outstanding long-term debt balance. We did not issue any Series D Perpetual Preferred Stock in the 2009 six-month period. *Income Taxes*

We file a consolidated federal income tax return and such state or local tax returns as are required. Although we may earn taxable operating income in future years, as of June 30, 2009, we anticipate that through the use of our available loss carryforwards we will not pay significant amounts of federal or state income taxes in the next several years. However, we estimate that we will pay state income taxes in certain states over the next several years. *Capital Expenditures*

Capital expenditures in the 2009 six-month period and the 2008 six-month period were \$10.2 million and \$6.2 million, respectively. The 2009 six-month period included, in part, capital expenditures relating to the conversion of analog broadcasts to digital broadcasts upon the final cessation of analog transmissions while the 2008 six-month period did not contain comparable projects.

Other

During the 2009 six-month period, we contributed \$1.1 million to our pension plans. During the remainder of fiscal 2009, we expect to contribute an additional \$3.2 million to our pension plans.

We do not believe that inflation has had a significant impact on our results of operations nor is inflation expected to have a significant effect upon our business in the near future.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires us to make judgments and estimations that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates. We consider our accounting policies relating to intangible assets and income taxes to be critical policies that require judgments or estimations in their application where variances in those judgments or estimations could make a significant difference to future reported results. Our policies concerning intangible assets are disclosed below. See our 2008 Form 10-K for a full disclosure of our policies concerning income taxes.

25

Table of Contents

Annual Impairment Testing of Broadcast Licenses and Goodwill

The annual impairment testing of broadcast licenses and goodwill for each individual television station requires an estimation of the fair value of each broadcast license and the fair value of the entire television station for evaluating goodwill. Such estimations generally rely on analysis of public and private comparative sales data as well as discounted cash flow analysis that inherently requires multiple assumptions relating to the future prospects of each individual television station including, but not limited to, the long-term market growth characteristics, a station s viewing audience, station revenue shares within a market, future operating expenses, costs of capital and appropriate discount rates. We believe that the assumptions we utilize in analyzing potential impairment of broadcast licenses and/or goodwill for each of our television stations are reasonable individually and in the aggregate. However, these assumptions are highly subjective and changes in any one assumption, or a combination of assumptions, could produce significant differences in the calculated outcomes.

To estimate the fair value of our reporting units, we utilize a discounted cash flow model. We believe that a discounted cash flow analysis is the most appropriate methodology to test the carrying value of long-term assets with a demonstrated long-lived / enduring franchise value. We believe the results of the discounted cash flow and market multiple approaches provide reasonable estimates of the fair value of our reporting units because these approaches are based on our actual results and best estimates of fiscal 2009 annual performance, as well as considering a number of other factors, including but not limited to, future market revenue growth, market revenue shares and operating profit margins in future periods. We have consistently used these approaches in determining the value of our goodwill. We also consider a market approach utilizing market multiples to corroborate the discounted cash flow analysis. We believe that this methodology is consistent with the approach that any strategic market participant would utilize if they were to value one of our television stations.

As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the book value of our broadcast licenses and goodwill was approximately \$819.0 million and \$170.5 million, respectively. During the fourth quarter of 2008, we recorded a non-cash impairment expense of \$338.7 million resulting from a write-down of \$98.6 million in the carrying value of our goodwill and a write-down of \$240.1 million in the carrying value of our broadcast licenses.

We test for impairment of broadcast licenses and goodwill on an annual basis on the last day of each fiscal year. However, we will test for impairment during any reporting period if certain triggering events were to occur. No such triggering events occurred in the six-month period ended June 30, 2009. Therefore, the two most recent impairment testing dates were as of December 31, 2008 and 2007. A summary of the significant assumptions used in our impairment analysis of broadcast licenses and goodwill as of December 31, 2008 and 2007 are presented below. Following the summary of assumptions is a sensitivity analysis of those assumptions. Our reporting units, allocations of our broadcast licenses and goodwill and our methodologies were consistent as of both testing dates.

26

Table of Contents

Summary:

	As of December 31, 2008 2007 (dollars in millions)			
Pre-tax impairment charge:		•		,
Broadcast licenses	\$	240.1	\$	
Goodwill	\$	98.6	\$	
Significant assumptions:				
Forecast period		10 years		10 years
Increase or (decrease) in market advertising revenue for projection year 1		(15.8)% to		9.7% to
compared to latest historical period (1)		(2.3)%		26.1%
Positive or (negative) advertising revenue growth rate for forecast period				(2.6)% to
(1)	1.1	1% to 3.4%		(1.1)%
Operating cash flow margin:				
		11.0% to		9.7% to
Broadcast licenses		50.0%		58.7%
		11.5% to		9.7% to
Goodwill		50.0%		58.7%
Discount rate:				
Broadcast licenses		10.50%		8.00%
Goodwill		11.50%		8.00%

(1) Assumptions

relating to

advertising

growth rates can

vary

significantly

from year to

year depending

on if the first

year of the

respective

projection

period is an

even or odd

numbered year

reflecting the

significant

cyclical impact

of political

advertising in

even numbered

years. The fiscal

2007 analysis

anticipated

substantial

increases in revenues for fiscal 2008. As a result, overall future revenue growth rates, throughout the projection period for each station, are low given the cyclical high starting point of these projections. Conversely, since the fiscal 2008 analysis anticipated cyclically low revenues for fiscal 2009, the subsequent growth rates are higher given this low starting point for the projections.

	Recorded Impairment Charge		
	As of December 31, 2008		
	Broadcast		
	License	Goodwill	
	(in millions)		
Hypothetical change:			
A 100 basis point decrease in advertising revenue growth rate throughout the			
forecast period	\$ 111.3	\$ 22.7	
A 100 basis point decrease in operating cash flow margin throughout the			
forecast period	\$ 22.6	\$ 3.6	
A 100 basis point increase in the applicable discount rate	\$ 107.2	\$ 23.8	
A 5% reduction in the fair value of broadcast licenses and enterprise values	\$ 33.6	\$ 8.1	
A 10% reduction in the fair value of broadcast licenses and enterprise values	\$ 69.3	\$ 16.2	

Hypothetical Increase In

These non-cash impairment charges do not have any direct impact on our liquidity, senior credit facility compliance or future results of operations. Our historical operating results may not be indicative of our future operating results. Our future ten-year discounted cash flow analysis which fundamentally supports our fiscal 2008 impairment charges reflected assumptions relating to the impact of the current general economic recession and dislocation of the credit markets. As a result, we lowered our projected overall advertising revenues available in each of our markets throughout our ten-year projection period and we in turn lowered our projections for individual

television station revenues, operating cash flow margins and net cash flows for our impairment testing in fiscal 2008 27

Table of Contents

compared to fiscal 2007. The current general economic climate has also caused us to assume that the general economic conditions would begin a gradual recovery in the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 but that future growth rates in advertising revenues would be lower than rates assumed in previous years.

In addition, the current dislocation of the credit markets caused us to increase our assumed discount rate to 10.5% for valuing broadcast licenses and to 11.5% for valuing goodwill in fiscal 2008 as compared to the 8.0% discount rate used to value both broadcast licenses and goodwill in fiscal 2007. The discount rates used in our impairment analysis were based upon the after-tax rate determined using a weighted-average cost of capital calculation for media companies. In calculating the discount rates, we considered estimates of the long-term mean market return, industry beta, corporate borrowing rate, average industry debt to capital ratio, average industry equity capital ratio, risk free rate and the tax rate. We believe using a discount rate based on a weighted-average cost of capital calculation for media companies is appropriate because it would be reflective of rates active participants in the media industry would utilize in valuing broadcast licenses and/or broadcast enterprises.

Valuation of Broadcast Licenses

Broadcast licenses of television stations acquired prior to January 1, 2002 are valued using a residual basis methodology (analogous to goodwill) where the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of all identified tangible and intangible assets was attributed to the broadcast license. This residual basis approach will generally produce higher valuations of broadcast licenses when compared to applying an income method as discussed below. The book value of these broadcast licenses as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was approximately \$341.0 million. The pre-tax impairment charge recorded as of December 31, 2008 for these broadcast licenses approximated \$129.6 million. We did not record a similar impairment charge during the six-month period ended June 30, 2009.

Broadcast licenses of television stations acquired after December 31, 2001 are valued using an income approach. Under this approach, a broadcast license is valued based on analyzing the estimated after-tax discounted future cash flows of the station, assuming an initial hypothetical start-up operation maturing into an average performing station in a specific television market and giving consideration to other relevant factors such as the technical qualities of the broadcast license and the number of competing broadcast licenses within that market. This income approach will generally produce lower valuations of broadcast licenses when compared to applying a residual method as discussed above. The book value of these broadcast licenses as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was approximately \$478.0 million. The pre-tax impairment charge recorded as of December 31, 2008 for these broadcast licenses approximated \$110.5 million. We did not record a similar impairment charge during the six-month period ended June 30, 2009.

At the September 2004 meeting of the Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF), the SEC Observer clarified the SEC Staff s position on the use of the residual method for valuation of acquired assets other than goodwill which is referred to as topic D-108. The SEC Staff believed that the residual method did not comply with the requirements of SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations (SFAS No. 141) when used to value certain intangible assets that arise from legal or contractual rights. Accordingly, the SEC Staff believed that the residual method should no longer be used to value intangible assets other than goodwill. Registrants were required to apply the income approach to such assets acquired in business combinations completed after September 29, 2004, and perform impairment tests using an income approach on all intangible assets that were previously valued using the residual method no later than the beginning of their first fiscal year beginning after December 15, 2004.

Effective January 1, 2005, we adopted the provisions of this announcement and performed a valuation assessment of its broadcast licenses using the income approach. The implementation of this pronouncement did not require us to record an impairment charge in the first quarter of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005. However, applying the income approach to value broadcast licenses originally valued using a residual method may place a greater possibility of future impairment charges on those broadcast licenses due to the inherent miss-match of the fundamental assumptions between the current valuation method (a hypothetical start-up value) in comparison to the method utilized to first establish the initial value of the broadcast license (a mature station s residual enterprise value).

Table of Contents 25

28

Table of Contents

Valuation of Goodwill

For purposes of testing goodwill impairment, each of our individual television stations is a separate reporting unit. We review each television station for possible goodwill impairment by comparing the estimated market value of each respective reporting unit to the carrying value of that reporting unit s net assets. If the estimated market values exceed the net assets, no goodwill impairment is deemed to exist. If the fair value of the reporting unit does not exceed the carrying value of that reporting unit s net assets, we then perform, on a notional basis, a purchase price allocation applying the guidance of SFAS No. 141 by allocating the reporting unit s fair value to the fair value of all tangible and identifiable intangible assets with residual fair value representing the implied fair value of goodwill of that reporting unit. The carrying value of goodwill for the reporting unit is written down to this implied value.

To estimate the fair value of our reporting units, we utilize a discounted cash flow model. We believe that a discounted cash flow analysis is the most appropriate methodology to test the carrying value of long-term assets with a demonstrated long-lived / enduring franchise value. We believe the results of the discounted cash flow and market multiple approaches provide reasonable estimates of the fair value of our reporting units because these approaches are based on our actual results and best estimates of fiscal 2009 annual performance, as well as considering a number of other factors, including but not limited to, future market revenue growth, market revenue shares and operating profit margins in future periods. We have consistently used these approaches in determining the value of our goodwill. We also consider a market approach utilizing market multiples to corroborate the discounted cash flow analysis. We believe that this methodology is consistent with the approach that any strategic market participant would utilize if they were to value one of our television stations.

Valuation of Network Affiliation Agreements

Some broadcast companies may use methods to value acquired network affiliations different than those that we use. These different methods may result in significant variances in the amount of purchase price allocated to these assets among broadcast companies.

Some broadcasting companies account for network affiliations as a significant component of the value of a station. These companies believe that stations are popular because they have generally been affiliating with networks from the inception of network broadcasts, stations with network affiliations have the most successful local news programming and the network affiliation relationship enhances the audience for local syndicated programming. As a result, these broadcast companies allocate a significant portion of the purchase price for any station that they may acquire to the network affiliation relationship.

We ascribe no incremental value to the incumbent network affiliation relationship in a market beyond the cost of negotiating a new agreement with another network and the value of any terms of the affiliation agreement that were more favorable or unfavorable than those generally prevailing in the market. Instead, we believe that the value of a television station is derived primarily from the attributes of its broadcast license. These attributes have a significant impact on the audience for network programming in a local television market compared to the national viewing patterns of the same network programming.

We have acquired a total of 19 television stations since 2002. The methodology we used to value these stations was based on our evaluation of the broadcast licenses acquired and the characteristics of the markets in which they operated. Given our assumptions and the specific attributes of the stations we acquired during 2002 through 2009, we ascribed no incremental value to the incumbent network affiliation relationship in each market beyond the cost of negotiating a new agreement with another network and the value of any terms of the affiliation agreement that were more favorable or unfavorable than those generally prevailing in the market.

Certain other broadcasting companies have valued network affiliations on the basis that it is the affiliation and not the other attributes of the station, including its broadcast license, which contributes to the operational performance of that station. As a result, we believe that these broadcasting companies include in their network affiliation valuation amounts related to attributes which we believe are more appropriately reflected in the value of the broadcast license or goodwill.

29

Table of Contents

If we were to assign higher values to all of our network affiliations and less value to our broadcast licenses or goodwill and if it is further assumed that such higher values of the network affiliations are definite-lived intangible assets, this reallocation of value might have a significant impact on our operating results. It should be noted that there is a diversity of practice and some broadcast companies have considered such network affiliation intangible assets to have a life ranging from 15 to 40 years depending on the specific assumptions utilized by those broadcast companies.

The following table reflects the hypothetical impact of the hypothetical reassignment of value from broadcast licenses to network affiliations for all our prior acquisitions (the first acquisition being in 1994) and the resulting increase in amortization expense assuming a hypothetical 15-year amortization period as of our most recent impairment testing date of December 31, 2008 (in thousands, except per share data):

Percentage of Total

		Value reassigned to Network Affiliation Agreements		
	As			
	Reported	50%	25%	
Balance Sheet (As of December 31, 2008):				
Broadcast licenses	\$ 818,981	\$ 487,969	\$ 653,475	
Other intangible assets, net (including network affiliation				
agreements)	1,893	332,905	167,399	
Statement of Operations (For the year ended				
December 31, 2008):				
Amortization of intangible assets	792	37,884	19,338	
Operating loss	(258,895)	(295,987)	(277,441)	
Net loss	(202,016)	(224,642)	(213,329)	
Net loss available to common stockholders	(208,609)	(231,235)	(219,922)	
Net loss available to common stockholders, per share basic				
and diluted	\$ (4.32)	\$ (4.79)	\$ (4.55)	

In future acquisitions, the valuation of the network affiliations may differ from the values of previous acquisitions due to the different characteristics of each station and the market in which it operates.

Market Capitalization

When we test our broadcast licenses and goodwill for impairment, we also give consideration to our market capitalization. During 2008, we experienced a decline in our market capitalization due to a decline in our stock price. As of December 31, 2008, our market capitalization was less than our book value and it remains less than book value as of the date of this filing. We believe the decline in our stock price has, in part, been influenced by the current national credit crises and national economic recession. We believe that it is appropriate to view the current credit crisis and recession as relatively temporary in relation to reporting units that have demonstrated long-lived / enduring franchise value. Accordingly, we believe that a variance between market capitalization and fair value can exist and that difference could be significant at points in time due to intervening macroeconomic influences.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Various authoritative accounting organizations have issued accounting pronouncements that we will be required to adopt at a future date. Either we have reviewed these pronouncements and concluded that their adoption will not have a material affect upon our liquidity or results of operations or we are continuing to evaluate the pronouncements. See Note A. Description of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies of our audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere herein for further discussion of recent accounting principles.

Table of Contents

Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. When used in this Quarterly Report, the words believes, expects, anticipates, estimates and similar words and expressions are generally intended to identify forward-looking statements. Statements that describe our projected senior credit facility compliance, our anticipated contract to manage Young Broadcasting stations and NYSE continued listing compliance are also forward-looking statements. Readers of this Quarterly Report are cautioned that any forward-looking statements, including those regarding the intent, belief or current expectations of our management, are not guarantees of future performance, results or events and involve risks and uncertainties, and that actual results and events may differ materially from those contained in the forward-looking statements as a result of various factors including, but not limited to, those listed in Item 1A of our 2008 Form 10-K and the other factors described from time to time in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). The forward-looking statements included in this Quarterly Report are made only as of the date hereof. We undertake no obligation to update such forward-looking statements to reflect subsequent events or circumstances, except as required by law.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk

We believe that the market risk of our financial instruments as of June 30, 2009 has not materially changed since December 31, 2008. The market risk profile on December 31, 2008 is disclosed in our 2008 Form 10-K.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, an evaluation was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and the Chief Financial Officer (CFO), of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, the CEO and the CFO have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or furnish under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms, and to ensure that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the CEO and CFO, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the six months ended June 30, 2009 identified in connection with this evaluation that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The information contained in Note H Commitments and Contingencies to our unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements filed as part of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Please refer to Part I, Item 1A in our 2008 Form 10-K for a complete description of our risk factors. There have been no subsequent material changes in our risk factors.

31

Table of Contents

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

The following matters were voted upon at our 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, on June 10, 2009, and votes were cast as indicated:

(a) Our shareholders voted on the election of the following nominees for director and votes were cast as indicated. Each nominee was elected as a director:

	Class A Votes and	
	Common St	tock Votes
Nominee	For	Withheld
Richard L. Boger	86,423,618	914,300
Ray M. Deaver	86,237,909	1,100,009
T. L. Elder	86,445,885	892,033
Hilton H. Howell, Jr.	86,359,277	978,641
William E. Mayher, III	86,451,185	886,733
Zell B. Miller	86,474,879	863,039
Howell W. Newton	86,461,978	875,940
Hugh E. Norton	85,367,268	1,970,650
Robert S. Prather, Jr.	86,494,066	843,852
Harriett J. Robinson	86,448,371	889,547
J. Mack Robinson	86,375,006	962,912

⁽b) Our shareholders voted on a proposal to amend our Employee Stock Purchase Plan, or ESPP, to increase by 600,000 the number of shares of our common stock reserved for issuance under our ESPP. The proposal was approved.

Class A Votes and Common Stock Votes

				Broker
	For	Against	Abstain	Non-Votes
Amendment of our ESPP	61,671,887	458,869	313,052	24,894,109

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit 31.1 Rule 13(a) 14(a) Certificate of Chief Executive Officer

Exhibit 31.2 Rule 13(a) 14(a) Certificate of Chief Financial Officer

Exhibit 32.1 Section 1350 Certificate of Chief Executive Officer

Exhibit 32.2 Section 1350 Certificate of Chief Financial Officer

32

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

GRAY TELEVISION, INC. (Registrant)

Date: August 7, 2009

By: /s/ James C. Ryan

James C. Ryan,

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial

Officer 33