

INTEL CORP  
Form 10-K  
February 22, 2010

**Table of Contents**

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549**

**FORM 10-K**

**(Mark One)**

**x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the fiscal year ended December 26, 2009.  
or**

**o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_.**

**Commission File Number 000-06217**

**INTEL CORPORATION**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Delaware**

State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization

**94-1672743**

(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

**2200 Mission College Boulevard, Santa Clara,  
California**

(Address of principal executive offices)

**95054-1549**

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code **(408) 765-8080**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

**Title of each class**

Common stock, \$0.001 par value

**Name of each exchange on which registered**

The NASDAQ Global Select Market\*

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company   
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes  No

Aggregate market value of voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 26, 2009, based upon the closing price of the common stock as reported by The NASDAQ Global Select Market\* on such date, was

\$91.1 billion

5,524 million shares of common stock outstanding as of February 5, 2010

**DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE**

Portions of the registrant's Proxy Statement related to its 2010 Annual Stockholders Meeting to be filed subsequently Part III of this Form 10-K.

---

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**FORM 10-K**  
**FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 26, 2009**

**INDEX**

	<b>Page</b>
<b><u>PART I</u></b>	
<u>Item 1.</u> Business	1
<u>Item 1A.</u> Risk Factors	15
<u>Item 1B.</u> Unresolved Staff Comments	21
<u>Item 2.</u> Properties	21
<u>Item 3.</u> Legal Proceedings	22
<u>Item 4.</u> Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	22
<b><u>PART II</u></b>	
<u>Item 5.</u> Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	22
<u>Item 6.</u> Selected Financial Data	24
<u>Item 7.</u> Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	25
<u>Item 7A.</u> Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	47
<u>Item 8.</u> Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	49
<u>Item 9.</u> Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	114
<u>Item 9A.</u> Controls and Procedures	114
<u>Item 9B.</u> Other Information	115
<b><u>PART III</u></b>	
<u>Item 10.</u> Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	116
<u>Item 11.</u> Executive Compensation	116
<u>Item 12.</u> Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	116
<u>Item 13.</u> Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	117
<u>Item 14.</u> Principal Accounting Fees and Services	117
<b><u>PART IV</u></b>	
<u>Item 15.</u> Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	118

---

**Table of Contents**

**PART I**

**ITEM 1. BUSINESS**

**Industry**

We are the world's largest semiconductor chip maker, based on revenue. We develop advanced integrated digital technology products, primarily integrated circuits, for industries such as computing and communications. Integrated circuits are semiconductor chips etched with interconnected electronic switches. We also develop platforms, which we define as integrated suites of digital computing technologies that are designed and configured to work together to provide an optimized user computing solution compared to components that are used separately. Our goal is to be the preeminent provider of semiconductor chips and platforms for the worldwide digital economy.

We were incorporated in California in 1968 and reincorporated in Delaware in 1989. Our Internet address is [www.intel.com](http://www.intel.com). On this web site, we publish voluntary reports, which we update annually, outlining our performance with respect to corporate responsibility, including environmental, health, and safety (EHS) compliance.

We use our Investor Relations web site, [www.intc.com](http://www.intc.com), as a routine channel for distribution of important information, including news releases, analyst presentations, and financial information. We post filings as soon as reasonably practicable after they are electronically filed with, or furnished to, the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), including our annual and quarterly reports on Forms 10-K and 10-Q (including related filings in XBRL format) and current reports on Form 8-K; our proxy statements; and any amendments to those reports or statements. All such postings and filings are available on our Investor Relations web site free of charge. In addition, our web site allows investors and other interested persons to sign up to automatically receive e-mail alerts when we post news releases and financial information. The SEC also maintains a web site, [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov), that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC. The content on any web site referred to in this Form 10-K is not incorporated by reference into this Form 10-K unless expressly noted.

**Company Organization**

At the end of 2009, we reorganized our business to better align our major product groups around the core competencies of Intel® architecture and our manufacturing operations. After the reorganization, we have nine operating segments:

*PC Client Group.* Delivering a high-quality computing and Internet experience through Intel architecture-based products and platforms, primarily for notebooks, netbooks, and desktops.

*Data Center Group.* Delivering server, storage, and workstation platforms for small, medium, and large enterprises.

*Embedded and Communications Group.* Delivering Intel architecture-based products as solutions for embedded applications through long life-cycle support, software and architectural scalability, and platform integration.

*Digital Home Group.* Delivering Intel architecture-based products for next-generation consumer electronics devices with interactive Internet content and traditional broadcast programming.

*Ultra-Mobility Group.* Building a business in the next-generation handheld market segment with low-power Intel architecture-based products.

*NAND Solutions Group.* Delivering advanced NAND flash memory products for use in a variety of devices.

*Wind River Software Group.* A wholly owned subsidiary delivering device software optimization products to the embedded and handheld market segments, serving a variety of hardware architectures.

*Software and Services Group.* Delivering software products and services, in addition to promoting Intel architecture as the platform of choice for software development.

*Digital Health Group.* Delivering technology-enabled products that are designed to reduce healthcare costs and connect people and information to improve patient care and safety.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Products**

We design and manufacture computing and communications components, such as microprocessors, chipsets, motherboards, and wireless and wired connectivity products, as well as platforms that incorporate these components. We strive to optimize the overall performance improvements of our products by balancing increased performance capabilities with improved energy efficiency. Increased performance can include faster processing performance and other improved capabilities, such as multithreading and multitasking. Performance can also be improved through enhanced connectivity, storage, security, manageability, utilization, reliability, ease of use, and interoperability among devices. Improved energy efficiency is achieved by lowering power consumption in relation to performance capabilities, which may extend utilization time for battery-powered form factors and reduce system heat output, thereby providing power savings and reducing the total cost of ownership.

We offer products at various levels of integration, to allow our customers flexibility in creating computing and communications systems. The substantial majority of our revenue is from the sale of microprocessors and chipsets.

### **Components**

#### *Microprocessors*

A microprocessor the central processing unit (CPU) of a computer system processes system data and controls other devices in the system, acting as the brains of the computer. We offer microprocessors with one or multiple processor cores designed for notebooks, netbooks, desktops, servers, workstations, storage products, embedded applications, communications products, consumer electronics devices, and handhelds. The following are characteristics of our microprocessors:

- Multi-core microprocessors contain two or more processor cores, which can enable improved multitasking and energy-efficient performance by distributing computing tasks across multiple cores.

- Cache is memory that can be located directly on the microprocessor. Incorporating additional amounts and/or levels of cache can enable higher performance by permitting quicker access to frequently used data and instructions.

- Some of our microprocessors also include an integrated memory controller or an integrated memory controller and integrated graphics functionality. Both an integrated memory controller and integrated graphics functionality can increase the speed at which data is transferred between system components.

Most of our microprocessors are based on the latest generation Intel® Core™ microarchitecture and are manufactured using our 45-nanometer (nm) Hi-k metal gate silicon process technology (45nm process technology) or our 32nm second-generation Hi-k metal gate silicon process technology (32nm process technology). These technologies are the first to use Hi-k metal gate transistors, which increase performance while simultaneously reducing the leakage of currents. Microarchitecture refers to the layout, density, and logical design of a microprocessor. The latest generation Intel Core microarchitecture incorporates features designed to increase performance and energy efficiency, such as:

- Intel® Turbo Boost Technology*, which increases processor frequency when applications demand more performance; and

- Intel® Hyper-Threading Technology*, which allows each processor core to process two software tasks or threads simultaneously.

We also offer, and are continuing to develop, System on Chip (SoC) products that integrate our core processing functionalities with other system components, such as graphics, audio, and video, onto a single chip to form a purpose-built solution. SoC products are designed to provide improved performance due to higher integration, lower power consumption, and smaller form factors.

*Chipsets*

The chipset operates as the nervous system in a PC or other computing device, sending data between the microprocessor and input, display, and storage devices, such as the keyboard, mouse, monitor, hard drive or solid-state drive, and CD, DVD, or Blu-ray\* drive. We offer chipsets designed for notebooks, netbooks, desktops, servers, workstations, storage products, embedded applications, communications products, consumer electronics devices, and handhelds. Chipsets extend the audio, video, and other capabilities of many systems and perform essential logic functions, such as balancing the performance of the system and removing bottlenecks. Some chipsets may also include graphics functionality or graphics functionality and a memory controller, for use with our microprocessors that do not integrate those system components.



## **Table of Contents**

### *Motherboards*

We offer motherboard products designed for our desktop, server, and workstation platforms. A motherboard is the principal board within a system, and typically contains the microprocessor, chipset, memory, and other components. The motherboard also has connectors for attaching devices to the bus, which is the subsystem that transfers data between various components of a computer.

### *Wireless and Wired Connectivity*

We offer wireless and wired connectivity products, including network adapters and embedded wireless cards, based on industry-standard protocols used to translate and transmit data across networks. Wireless connectivity products based on WiFi technology allow users to wirelessly connect to high-speed local area networks, typically within a close range. We have also developed wireless connectivity products for both mobile and fixed networks based on WiMAX, a standards-based wireless technology providing high-speed broadband connectivity that can link users and networks up to several miles apart.

### *Platforms*

A platform typically includes a microprocessor, chipset, and enabling software, and may include additional hardware, services, and support. In developing our platforms, we may include components made by other companies. Platforms based on our latest generation Intel Core microarchitecture using our 32nm process technology integrate a memory controller and graphics functionality into each microprocessor, and connect the microprocessor and other components with a high-speed interconnect. We refer to certain platform brands within our product offerings as processor technologies.

### *Microprocessor and Platform Technologies*

We offer features to improve microprocessor and platform capabilities that can enhance system performance and user experience. For example, we offer technologies that can help information technology managers maintain, manage, and protect enabled systems that are plugged into a power source and connected to a network, even if a computer is turned off or has a failed hard drive or operating system. Additional features can enable virtualization, in which a single computer system can function as multiple virtual systems by running multiple operating systems and applications. Virtualization can consolidate workloads and provide increased security and management capabilities. To take advantage of these and other features that we offer, a computer system must have a microprocessor that supports a chipset and BIOS (basic input/output system) that use the technology, and software that is optimized for the technology. Performance will vary depending on the system hardware and software used.

### *Additional Product Offerings*

*NAND flash memory* is a specialized type of memory component primarily used in portable memory storage devices, digital camera memory cards, solid-state drives, and other devices. NAND flash memory retains information even when the power is off, and provides faster access to data than traditional hard drives. Because flash memory does not have any moving parts, it tolerates bumps and shocks better than devices such as rapidly spinning disk drives.

*Network processors* are advanced, fully programmable processors used in networking equipment to rapidly manage and direct data moving across networks and the Internet.

*Software products* include operating systems, middleware, and tools used to develop, run, and manage a wide variety of enterprise, consumer, embedded, and handheld devices. In addition, we offer software development tools, designed

to complement our latest hardware technologies, that help enable the creation of applications.

*Healthcare products* are technology-enabled devices for healthcare providers and personal healthcare that are designed to connect people and information to improve patient care and safety.

**Table of Contents*****Revenue by Major Operating Segment***

Net revenue for the PC Client Group (PCCG) operating segment, the Data Center Group (DCG) operating segment, and the other Intel architecture operating segments (Other IA) is presented as a percentage of our consolidated net revenue. Other IA includes the Embedded and Communications Group, the Digital Home Group, and the Ultra-Mobility Group operating segments.

**Percentage of Revenue**

(Dollars in Millions)

Revenue from sales of microprocessors within the PCCG operating segment represented 57% of our consolidated net revenue in 2009 (57% in 2008 and 55% in 2007), and revenue from sales of microprocessors within the DCG operating segment represented 15% of our consolidated net revenue in 2009 (14% in 2008 and 13% in 2007).

Below, we discuss the key products and processor technologies, including some key introductions, of our operating segments. For a discussion of our strategy, see *Strategy* in Part II, Item 7 of this Form 10-K.

***PC Client Group***

The PC Client Group (PCCG) offers microprocessors and related chipsets designed for the notebook, netbook, and desktop market segments. In addition, PCCG offers motherboards designed for the desktop market segment, and wireless connectivity products.

***Notebooks and Netbooks***

Our current notebook and netbook microprocessor offerings include the:

Intel® Core™ i7 processor Extreme Edition	Intel® Core™2 Duo mobile processor
Intel® Core™ i7 mobile processor	Intel® Core™2 Solo processor
Intel® Core™ i5 mobile processor	Intel® Celeron® D processor
Intel® Core™ i3 mobile processor	Intel® Celeron® M processor
Intel® Core™2 Extreme mobile processor	Intel® Celeron® processor
Intel® Core™2 Quad mobile processor	Intel® Atom™ processor

We offer microprocessors for notebooks at a variety of price and performance points, from the Intel Core i7 processor Extreme Edition – a quad-core processor based on our latest generation Intel Core microarchitecture designed for processor-intensive tasks in demanding multitasking environments – to the Intel Celeron processor, designed to provide value, quality, and reliability for basic computing needs. In addition, we offer the Intel Atom processor, designed for netbooks. We offer these processors in various packaging options, including ultra-low-voltage processors designed for ultra-thin laptop computers, giving our customers flexibility for a wide range of system designs for notebook PCs. The related chipsets for our notebook and netbook microprocessor offerings primarily include Mobile Intel® 5 Series Express Chipsets, Mobile Intel® 4 Series Express Chipsets, Mobile Intel® 900 Series Express Chipsets, and the Intel® NM10 Express Chipset. In addition, we offer wireless connectivity products based on WiFi and WiMAX technologies.

**Table of Contents**

We also offer processor technologies designed to provide high performance with improved multitasking; and power-saving features to improve battery life, wireless network connectivity, and boot times, and to enable smaller form factors. The Intel® Core™ i5 vPro™ processor and the Intel® Core™ i7 vPro™ processor are designed to provide business notebook PCs with increased security, manageability, upgradeability, and energy-efficient performance.

Our new product offerings in 2009 and early 2010 include:

Intel Core i7 mobile processors, Intel Core i5 mobile processors, and Intel Core i3 mobile processors, the latest of which are manufactured using our 32nm process technology and include integrated high-definition graphics functionality. These processors are supported by the new Mobile Intel 5 Series Express Chipset family.

Intel® Centrino® Wireless adapters, designed to offer high-speed and reliable connectivity, and consistent coverage, while consuming minimal power.

An Intel Atom processor with integrated graphics functionality designed to enable improved performance and smaller, more energy-efficient netbooks. This processor is supported by the new, low-power Intel NM10 Express Chipset.

The Intel Core i7 processor Extreme Edition, based on our latest generation Intel Core microarchitecture, and designed for demanding applications such as high-performance gaming, high-definition content creation, and video encoding and editing.

Ultra-low-voltage processors and a chipset designed for ultra-thin laptop computers.

*Desktops*

Our current desktop microprocessor offerings include the:

Intel® Core™ i7 processor Extreme Edition

Intel® Core™ i7 processor

Intel® Core™ i5 processor

Intel® Core™ i3 processor

Intel® Core™2 Extreme processor

Intel® Core™2 Quad processor

Intel® Core™2 Duo processor

Intel® Pentium® processor

Intel® Celeron® processor

Intel® Atom™ processor

We offer desktop microprocessors at a variety of price/performance points, from the high-end Intel Core i7 processor Extreme Edition a quad-core processor based on our latest generation Intel Core microarchitecture, designed for processor-intensive tasks in demanding multitasking environments to the Intel Celeron processor, designed to provide value, quality, and reliability for basic computing needs. In addition, we offer the Intel Atom processor, designed for low-power and affordable Internet-focused devices. The related chipsets for our desktop microprocessor offerings primarily include Intel® 5 Series Express Chipsets, Intel® 4 Series Express Chipsets, Intel® 3 Series Express Chipsets, and the Intel® NM10 Express Chipset.

We also offer processor technologies based on our microprocessors, chipsets, and motherboard products that are optimized for the desktop market segment. For business desktop PCs, we offer the Intel® Core™2 Duo processor with vPro™ technology, the Intel® Core™2 Quad processor with vPro™ technology, the Intel® Core™ i5 vPro™ processor, and the Intel® Core™ i7 vPro™ processor, which are designed to provide manageability, upgradeability, energy-efficient performance, increased security, and lower cost of ownership.

Our new product offerings in 2009 and early 2010 include:

Intel Core i7 processors, Intel Core i5 processors, and Intel Core i3 processors, the latest of which are manufactured using our 32nm process technology and include integrated high-definition graphics functionality. These processors are supported by the new Intel 5 Series Express Chipset family.

An Intel Atom processor with integrated graphics functionality designed to enable improved performance and smaller, more energy-efficient entry-level desktops. This processor is supported by the new, low-power Intel

NM10 Express Chipset.

***Data Center Group***

The Data Center Group (DCG) offers products that are incorporated into servers, storage, workstations, and other products that help make up the infrastructure for data center and cloud computing environments. DCG's products include microprocessors and related chipsets, and motherboards and wired connectivity devices.

## **Table of Contents**

Our current server, workstation, and storage microprocessor offerings include the Intel® Xeon® processor and the Intel® Itanium® processor. Our Intel Xeon processor family of products supports a range of entry-level to high-end technical and commercial computing applications such as Internet Protocol data centers. Compared to our Intel Xeon processor family, our Intel Itanium processor family generally supports an even higher level of reliability and computing performance for data processing, handling high transaction volumes, and other compute-intensive applications for enterprise-class servers, as well as supercomputing solutions. Servers, which usually have multiple microprocessors or cores working together, manage large amounts of data, direct data traffic, perform complex transactions, and control central functions in local and wide area networks and on the Internet. Workstations typically offer higher performance than standard desktop PCs and are used for applications such as engineering design, digital content creation, and high-performance computing. With the large growth in digital content, external storage systems, such as storage area network (SAN) and network-attached storage (NAS), require higher bandwidth and improved processing performance.

Our new product offerings in 2009 and early 2010 include:

- Quad-core Intel Itanium processors with enhanced scalability and reliability features, designed for mission-critical computing.

- Dual- and quad-core Intel Xeon processors based on our latest generation Intel Core microarchitecture, including multiple quad-core Intel Xeon processors designed for use in entry-level servers for small businesses and educational settings.

- Server motherboards that offer a higher degree of integrated components.

### ***Other Intel Architecture Operating Segments***

#### ***Embedded and Communications Group***

The Embedded and Communications Group (ECG) offers highly scalable microprocessors, including Intel Atom processors, and chipsets for a growing number of embedded applications across numerous market segments, including industrial, medical, and in-vehicle infotainment. In addition, ECG offers network processors.

Our new product offerings in 2009 and early 2010 include:

- Embedded Intel Core i7 processors, Intel Core i5 processors, and Intel Core i3 processors, all using our 32nm process technology and with integrated high-definition graphics functionality. These processors are supported by the new Mobile Intel 5 Series Express Chipset family.

- Low-power Intel Xeon processors based on our latest generation Intel Core microarchitecture, designed for use in thermally constrained environments common to communications infrastructure products such as wireline phones and fax machines.

- Intel Atom processors designed for in-vehicle infotainment systems, media phones, and other industrial applications.

#### ***Ultra-Mobility Group***

The Ultra-Mobility Group offers energy-efficient Intel Atom processors and related chipsets designed for mobile Internet devices (MIDs) within the handheld market segment.

#### ***Digital Home Group***

The Digital Home Group offers products for use in consumer electronics devices designed to access and share Internet, broadcast, optical media (CD, DVD, or Blu-ray), and personal content through a variety of linked digital devices within the home. In addition, we offer components for consumer electronics devices such as digital TVs,

high-definition media players, and set-top boxes, which receive, decode, and convert incoming data signals. In 2009, we introduced the Intel® Atom™ processor CE4100, a SoC media processor designed to bring Internet content and services to digital televisions, DVD players, and advanced set-top boxes.

**Table of Contents*****Other Operating Segments******NAND Solutions Group***

The NAND Solutions Group offers NAND flash memory products primarily used in portable memory storage devices, digital camera memory cards, solid-state drives, and other devices. Our solid-state drives, available in densities ranging from 2 gigabytes (GB) to 160 GB, weigh less than standard hard disk drives and are designed to enable faster boot times, lower power consumption, increased reliability, and improved performance. Our NAND flash memory products are manufactured by IM Flash Technologies, LLC (IMFT). See Note 11: Non-Marketable Equity Investments in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K. In 2009, we introduced 80-GB and 160-GB solid-state drives based on 34nm NAND flash technology, designed for laptop and desktop computers.

***Wind River Software Group***

The Wind River Software Group develops and licenses device software optimization products, including operating systems, for the needs of customers in the embedded and handheld market segments.

**Manufacturing and Assembly and Test**

As of December 26, 2009, 64% of our wafer fabrication, including microprocessors and chipsets, was conducted within the U.S. at our facilities in Arizona, Oregon, New Mexico, and Massachusetts. The remaining 36% of our wafer fabrication was conducted outside the U.S. at our facilities in Ireland and Israel.

As of December 26, 2009, we primarily manufactured our products in wafer fabrication facilities at the following locations:

<b>Products</b>	<b>Wafer Size</b>	<b>Process Technology</b>	<b>Locations</b>
Microprocessors	300mm	32nm	Oregon
Microprocessors	300mm	45nm	Israel, New Mexico, Arizona
Chipsets and microprocessors	300mm	65nm	Arizona, Ireland
Chipsets and other products	300mm	90nm	Ireland
Chipsets and other products	200mm	130nm and above	Massachusetts, Oregon, Ireland

In addition to our current facilities, we are building a 300mm wafer fabrication facility in China that is expected to begin production on chipsets using our 65nm process technology in late 2010 or early 2011.

As of December 26, 2009, the substantial majority of our microprocessors were manufactured on 300mm wafers using our 45nm process technology. In the second half of 2009, we began manufacturing microprocessors using our 32nm process technology. As we move to each succeeding generation of manufacturing process technology, we incur significant start-up costs to prepare each factory for manufacturing. However, continuing to advance our process technology provides benefits that we believe justify these costs. The benefits of moving to each succeeding generation of manufacturing process technology can include using less space per transistor, reducing heat output from each transistor, and/or increasing the number of integrated features on each chip. These advancements can result in microprocessors that are higher performing, consume less power, and/or cost less to manufacture.



We use third-party manufacturing companies (foundries) to manufacture wafers for certain components, including networking and communications products. In addition, we primarily use subcontractors to manufacture board-level products and systems, and purchase certain communications networking products from external vendors in the Asia-Pacific region.

Our NAND flash memory products are manufactured by IMFT, a NAND flash memory manufacturing company that we formed with Micron Technology, Inc. Our NAND flash memory products are manufactured by IMFT using 34nm or 50nm process technology, and we expect to offer NAND flash memory products using 25nm process technology during the second quarter of 2010. We purchase 49% of the manufactured output of IMFT as of December 26, 2009. Assembly and test of NAND flash memory products is performed by Micron and other external subcontractors. See Note 11: Non-Marketable Equity Investments in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

During 2008, we completed the divestiture of our NOR flash memory business in exchange for an ownership interest in Numonyx B.V. We are leasing a wafer fabrication facility located in Israel to Numonyx. That facility is not shown in our above listing of wafer fabrication facilities.

## **Table of Contents**

Following the manufacturing process, the majority of our components are subject to assembly and test. We perform our components assembly and test at facilities in Malaysia, China, and Costa Rica. We are building a new assembly and test facility in Vietnam that is expected to begin production in the second half of 2010. To augment capacity, we use subcontractors to perform assembly of certain products, primarily chipsets and networking and communications products.

Our employment practices are consistent with, and we expect our suppliers and subcontractors to abide by, local country law. In addition, we impose a minimum employee age requirement as well as progressive EHS requirements, regardless of local law.

We have thousands of suppliers, including subcontractors, providing our various materials and service needs. We set expectations for supplier performance and reinforce those expectations with periodic assessments. We communicate those expectations to our suppliers regularly and work with them to implement improvements when necessary. We seek, where possible, to have several sources of supply for all of these materials and resources, but we may rely on a single or limited number of suppliers, or upon suppliers in a single country. In those cases, we develop and implement plans and actions to reduce the exposure that would result from a disruption in supply. We have entered into long-term contracts with certain suppliers to ensure a portion of our silicon supply.

Our products are typically produced at multiple Intel facilities at various sites around the world, or by subcontractors who have multiple facilities. However, some products are produced in only one Intel or subcontractor facility, and we seek to implement actions and plans to reduce the exposure that would result from a disruption at any such facility. See Risk Factors in Part I, Item 1A of this Form 10-K.

## **Research and Development**

We are committed to investing in world-class technology development, particularly in the design and manufacture of integrated circuits. Research and development (R&D) expenditures in 2009 were \$5.7 billion (\$5.7 billion in 2008 and \$5.8 billion in 2007).

Our R&D activities are directed toward developing the technology innovations that we believe will deliver our next generation of products and platforms, which will in turn enable new form factors and new usage models for businesses and consumers. Our R&D activities range from designing and developing products, to developing and refining manufacturing processes, to researching future technologies and products.

We are focusing our R&D efforts on advanced computing technologies, developing new microarchitectures, advancing our silicon manufacturing process technology, delivering the next generation of microprocessors and chipsets, improving our platform initiatives, and developing software solutions and tools to support our technologies. Our R&D efforts enable new levels of performance and address areas such as energy efficiency, scalability for multi-core architectures, system manageability and security, and ease of use. We continue to make significant R&D investments in the development of SoCs to enable growth in areas such as handhelds (including MIDs and smartphones), embedded applications, and consumer electronics. In addition, we continue to make significant investments in graphics and wireless technologies.

As part of our R&D efforts, we plan to introduce a new microarchitecture for our notebook, desktop, and Intel Xeon processors approximately every two years and ramp the next generation of silicon process technology in the intervening years. We refer to this as our tick-tock technology development cadence. In 2009, we started manufacturing microprocessors using our new 32nm second-generation Hi-k metal gate silicon process technology, and we expect to introduce a new microarchitecture using our 32nm process technology in 2010. We are currently developing 22nm process technology, our next-generation process technology, and expect to begin manufacturing

products using that technology in 2011. Our leadership in silicon technology has enabled us to make Moore's Law a reality. Moore's Law predicted that transistor density on integrated circuits would double about every two years. Our leadership in silicon technology has also helped expand on the advances anticipated by Moore's Law by bringing new capabilities into silicon and producing new products and platforms optimized for a wider variety of applications.

Our R&D model is based on a global organization that emphasizes a collaborative approach to identifying and developing new technologies, leading standards initiatives, and influencing regulatory policies to accelerate the adoption of new technologies. Our R&D initiatives are performed by various business groups within the company, and we centrally manage key cross-business group product initiatives to align and prioritize our R&D activities across these groups. In addition, we may augment our R&D initiatives by investing in companies or entering into agreements with companies that have similar R&D focus areas. For example, we have an agreement with Micron for joint development of NAND flash memory technologies.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Employees**

As of December 26, 2009, we had 79,800 employees worldwide, with 55% of those employees located in the U.S.

### **Sales and Marketing**

#### *Customers*

We sell our products primarily to original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) and original design manufacturers (ODMs). ODMs provide design and/or manufacturing services to branded and unbranded private-label resellers. In addition, we sell our products to other manufacturers, including makers of a wide range of industrial and communications equipment. Our customers also include PC and network communications products users who buy PC components and our other products through distributor, reseller, retail, and OEM channels throughout the world. In certain instances, we have entered into supply agreements to continue to manufacture and sell products of divested business lines to acquiring companies during certain transition periods.

Our worldwide reseller sales channel consists of thousands of indirect customers, systems builders that purchase Intel microprocessors and other products from our distributors. We have a boxed processor program that allows distributors to sell Intel microprocessors in small quantities to these systems-builder customers; boxed processors are also available in direct retail outlets.

In 2009, Hewlett-Packard Company accounted for 21% of our net revenue (20% in 2008 and 17% in 2007) and Dell Inc. accounted for 17% of our net revenue (18% in 2008 and 2007). No other customer accounted for more than 10% of our net revenue. For information about revenue and operating income by operating segment, and revenue from unaffiliated customers by geographic region/country, see Results of Operations in Part II, Item 7 and Note 29: Operating Segment and Geographic Information in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

#### *Sales Arrangements*

Our products are sold or licensed through sales offices throughout the world. Sales of our products are typically made via purchase orders that contain standard terms and conditions covering matters such as pricing, payment terms, and warranties, as well as indemnities for issues specific to our products, such as patent and copyright indemnities. From time to time, we may enter into additional agreements with customers covering, for example, changes from our standard terms and conditions, new product development and marketing, private-label branding, and other matters. Most of our sales are made using electronic and web-based processes that allow the customer to review inventory availability and track the progress of specific goods ordered. Pricing on particular products may vary based on volumes ordered and other factors. We also offer discounts, rebates, and other incentives to customers to increase acceptance of our products and technology.

Our products are typically shipped under terms that transfer title to the customer, even in arrangements for which the recognition of revenue and related costs of sales is deferred. Our standard terms and conditions of sale typically provide that payment is due at a later date, generally 30 days after shipment or delivery. Our credit department sets accounts receivable and shipping limits for individual customers to control credit risk to Intel arising from outstanding account balances. We assess credit risk through quantitative and qualitative analysis, and from this analysis, we establish credit limits and determine whether we will seek to use one or more credit support devices, such as obtaining some form of third-party guaranty or standby letter of credit, or obtaining credit insurance for all or a portion of the account balance if necessary. Credit losses may still be incurred due to bankruptcy, fraud, or other failure of the customer to pay. For information about our allowance for doubtful receivables, see Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts in Part IV of this Form 10-K.

Most of our sales to distributors are made under agreements allowing for price protection on unsold merchandise and a right of return on stipulated quantities of unsold merchandise. Under the price protection program, we give distributors credits for the difference between the original price paid and the current price that we offer. On most products, there is no contractual limit on the amount of price protection, nor is there a limit on the time horizon under which price protection is granted. The right of return granted generally consists of a stock rotation program in which distributors are able to exchange certain products based on the number of qualified purchases made by the distributor. Although we have the option to grant credit for, repair, or replace defective products, there is no contractual limit on the amount of credit granted to a distributor.

## **Table of Contents**

### ***Distribution***

Typically, distributors handle a wide variety of products, including those that compete with our products, and fill orders for many customers. We also utilize third-party sales representatives who generally do not offer directly competitive products but may carry complementary items manufactured by others. Sales representatives do not maintain a product inventory; instead, their customers place orders directly with us or through distributors. Several distribution warehouses are located in close proximity to key customers.

### ***Backlog***

We do not believe that backlog as of any particular date is meaningful, as our sales are made primarily pursuant to standard purchase orders for delivery of products. Only a small portion of our orders is non-cancelable, and the dollar amount associated with the non-cancelable portion is not significant.

### ***Seasonal Trends***

Our microprocessor sales generally have followed a seasonal trend. Historically, our sales have been higher in the second half of the year than in the first half of the year. Consumer purchases of PCs have historically been higher in the second half of the year, primarily due to back-to-school and holiday demand. In addition, purchases from businesses have also historically tended to be higher in the second half of the year.

### ***Marketing***

Our corporate marketing objectives are to build a strong Intel corporate brand that connects with consumers, and have a limited number of meaningful and valuable brands in our portfolio to aid businesses and consumers in making informed choices and to make technology purchase decisions easier for them. The Intel Core processor family and the Intel Atom, Intel Pentium, Intel Celeron, Intel Xeon, and Intel Itanium trademarks make up our processor brands.

We promote brand awareness and generate demand through our own direct marketing as well as co-marketing programs. Our direct marketing activities include television, print, and web-based advertising, as well as press relations, consumer and trade events, and industry and consumer communications. We market to consumer and business audiences, and focus on building awareness and generating demand for increased performance, power efficiency, and new capabilities.

Purchases by customers often allow them to participate in cooperative advertising and marketing programs such as the Intel Inside® Program. This program broadens the reach of our brands beyond the scope of our own direct advertising. Through the Intel Inside Program, certain customers are licensed to place Intel logos on computers containing our microprocessors and processor technologies, and to use our brands in marketing activities. The program includes a market development component that accrues funds based on purchases and partially reimburses the OEMs for marketing activities for products featuring Intel brands, subject to the OEMs meeting defined criteria. These marketing activities primarily include television, print, and an increased focus on web-based marketing. We have also entered into joint marketing arrangements with certain customers.

### ***Competition***

The semiconductor industry is dynamic, characterized by rapid advances in technology and frequent product introductions. As unit volumes of a product grow, production experience is accumulated and costs typically decrease, further competition develops, and prices decline. The life cycle of our products is very short, sometimes less than a year. These short product life cycles and other factors lead to frequent negotiations with our OEM customers, which

typically are large, sophisticated buyers who are also operating in very competitive environments. Our ability to compete depends on our ability to navigate this environment, by improving our products and processes faster than our competitors, anticipating changing customer requirements, developing and launching new products and platforms, pricing our products competitively, and reducing average unit costs. See Risk Factors in Part I, Item 1A of this Form 10-K.

Our products compete primarily based on performance, features, price, quality, reliability, brand recognition, and availability. We are focused on offering innovative products and worldwide support for our customers at competitive prices, including providing improved energy-efficient performance, enhanced security, manageability, and integrated solutions. We believe that our platform strategy provides us with a competitive advantage. We offer platforms that incorporate various components designed and configured to work together to provide an optimized user computing solution compared to components that are used separately.

**Table of Contents**

We believe that our network of manufacturing facilities and assembly and test facilities gives us a competitive advantage. This network enables us to have more direct control over our processes, quality control, product cost, volume, timing of production, and other factors. These facilities require significant up-front capital spending and therefore make it difficult for us to reduce our costs in the short-term. Many of our competitors do not own such facilities because they may not be able to afford to do so or because their business models involve the use of third-party foundries and assembly and test subcontractors for manufacturing and assembly and test. The third-party foundries and subcontractors may also offer intellectual property, design services, and other goods and services to our competitors. These fabless semiconductor companies include Broadcom Corporation, NVIDIA Corporation, QUALCOMM Incorporated, and VIA Technologies, Inc. (VIA). Some of our competitors own portions of such facilities through investment or joint-venture arrangements with other companies.

We plan to continue to cultivate new businesses and work with the computing and communications industries through standards bodies, trade associations, OEMs, ODMs, and independent software and operating system vendors to help align the industry to offer products that take advantage of the latest market trends and usage models. We frequently participate in industry initiatives designed to discuss and agree upon technical specifications and other aspects of technologies that could be adopted as standards by standards-setting organizations. Our competitors may also participate in the same initiatives and specification development. Our participation does not ensure that any standards or specifications adopted by these organizations will be consistent with our product planning.

***Microprocessors***

We continue to be largely dependent on the success of our microprocessor business. Our ability to compete depends on our ability to deliver new microprocessor products with increased performance capabilities and improved energy-efficient performance at competitive prices. Some of our microprocessor competitors, such as Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. (AMD), market software-compatible products that compete with our processors. We also face competition from companies offering rival architecture designs, such as Cell Broadband Engine Architecture developed jointly by International Business Machines Corporation (IBM), Sony Corporation, and Toshiba Corporation; Power Architecture\* offered by IBM; ARM\* architecture developed by ARM Limited; and Scalable Processor Architecture (SPARC\*) offered by Sun Microsystems, Inc. (a subsidiary of Oracle Corporation). In addition, NVIDIA is seeking to position its graphics processors to compete with microprocessors, by shifting some of a microprocessor's workload to its graphics processor.

While AMD has been our primary competitor in the market segments for microprocessors used in notebooks, desktops, and servers, QUALCOMM and other companies using ARM-based designs are our primary competitors in the growing market segment for microprocessors used in handhelds, including smartphones and MIDs. Our ability to compete with QUALCOMM and other competitors in this market segment depends on our ability to design and produce high-performance, energy-efficient microprocessors at competitive prices. It also requires us to develop a software ecosystem that appeals to end users and software developers. We have taken a number of steps to build this software ecosystem, including developing the Moblin™-based operating system and subsequently combining it with Nokia Corporation's Maemo\* software platform to create MeeGo\*, a Linux-based software platform that will run on multiple hardware platforms; acquiring Wind River Systems, Inc.; and creating the Intel® Atom™ Developer Program. In addition, in 2009 we entered into product development collaborations with LG Electronics, Inc. and Nokia.

The following is a list of our main microprocessor competitors by market segment:

Notebook: AMD and VIA

Netbook: AMD, NVIDIA, QUALCOMM, and VIA

Desktop: AMD and VIA

Server/Workstation: AMD, IBM, and Sun Microsystems

Embedded: AMD, Freescale Semiconductor, Inc., and Texas Instruments Incorporated



Handheld: QUALCOMM

*Chipsets*

Our chipsets compete with chipsets produced by companies such as AMD (including chipsets marketed under the ATI Technologies, Inc. brand), Broadcom, NVIDIA, Silicon Integrated Systems Corporation, and VIA. We also compete with companies offering graphics components and other special-purpose products used in the notebook, netbook, desktop, and server market segments. One aspect of our business model is to incorporate improved performance and advanced properties into our microprocessors and chipsets, for which demand may increasingly be affected by competition from companies whose business models are based on dedicated chipsets and other components, such as graphics controllers.

## **Table of Contents**

### ***Flash Memory***

Our NAND flash memory products currently compete with NAND products primarily manufactured by Hynix Semiconductor Inc., Micron, Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., SanDisk Corporation, and Toshiba.

### ***Connectivity***

We offer products designed for wireless and wired connectivity; the communications infrastructure, including network processors; and networked storage. Our WiFi and WiMAX products currently compete with products manufactured by Atheros Communications, Inc., Broadcom, QUALCOMM, and other smaller companies.

### ***Competition Lawsuits and Government Matters***

We are currently a party to a variety of lawsuits and government matters involving our competitive practices. See Note 28: Contingencies in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

### ***Acquisitions and Strategic Investments***

During 2009, we completed the acquisition of Wind River Systems, Inc., a vendor of software for embedded devices. The objective of the acquisition of Wind River Systems was to enable the introduction of products for the embedded and handheld market segments, resulting in benefits for our existing operations. See Note 15: Acquisitions in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

### ***Intellectual Property and Licensing***

Intellectual property rights that apply to our various products and services include patents, copyrights, trade secrets, trademarks, and maskwork rights. We maintain a program to protect our investment in technology by attempting to ensure respect for our intellectual property rights. The extent of the legal protection given to different types of intellectual property rights varies under different countries' legal systems. We intend to license our intellectual property rights where we can obtain adequate consideration. See Competition earlier in this section, Risk Factors in Part I, Item 1A, and Note 28: Contingencies in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

We have filed and obtained a number of patents in the U.S. and other countries. While our patents are an important element of our success, our business as a whole is not significantly dependent on any one patent. We and other companies in the computing, telecommunications, and related high-technology fields typically apply for and receive, in the aggregate, tens of thousands of overlapping patents annually in the U.S. and other countries. We believe that the duration of the applicable patents that we are granted is adequate relative to the expected lives of our products. Because of the fast pace of innovation and product development, our products are often obsolete before the patents related to them expire, and sometimes are obsolete before the patents related to them are even granted. As we expand our product offerings into new industries, we also seek to extend our patent development efforts to patent such product offerings. Established competitors in existing and new industries, as well as companies that purchase and enforce patents and other intellectual property, may already have patents covering similar products. There is no assurance that we will be able to obtain patents covering our own products, or that we will be able to obtain licenses from such companies on favorable terms or at all.

The majority of the software that we distribute, including software embedded in our component- and system-level products, is entitled to copyright protection. To distinguish Intel products from our competitors' products, we have obtained certain trademarks and trade names for our products, and we maintain cooperative advertising programs with certain customers to promote our brands and to identify products containing genuine Intel components. We also

protect certain details about our processes, products, and strategies as trade secrets, keeping confidential the information that we believe provides us with a competitive advantage. We have ongoing programs designed to maintain the confidentiality of such information.

### **Compliance with Environmental, Health, and Safety Regulations**

Our compliance efforts focus on monitoring regulatory and resource trends and setting company-wide performance targets for key resources and emissions. These targets address several parameters, including product design; chemical, energy, and water use; climate change; waste recycling; and emissions.

## **Table of Contents**

Intel focuses on reducing natural resource use, the solid and chemical waste by-products of our manufacturing processes, and the environmental impact of our products. We currently use a variety of materials in our manufacturing process that have the potential to adversely impact the environment and are subject to a variety of EHS laws and regulations. For example, lead and halogenated materials (such as certain flame retardants and plastics) have been used by the electronics industry for decades. Finding suitable replacements has been a technical challenge for the industry, and we have worked for years with our suppliers and others in the industry to develop lead-free and halogen-free solutions.

We work with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), non-governmental organizations, OEMs, and retailers to help manage e-waste (which includes electronic products nearing the end of their useful lives) and promote recycling. The European Union (EU) requires producers of certain electrical and electronic equipment to develop programs that allow consumers to return products for recycling. Many states in the U.S. have similar e-waste take-back laws. The inconsistency of many e-waste take-back laws and the lack of local e-waste management options in many areas pose a challenge for our compliance efforts. To mitigate these problems, we communicate with our distributors to determine available options for complying with e-waste laws.

Intel seeks to reduce our global greenhouse gas emissions by investing in energy conservation projects in our factories and working with suppliers to improve energy efficiency. We take a holistic approach to power management, addressing the challenge at the silicon, package, circuit, micro/macro architecture, platform, and software levels. We recognize that climate change may cause general economic risk. For further information on the risks of climate change, see **Risk Factors** in Part I, Item 1A of this Form 10-K. We routinely monitor energy costs to understand the long-range impacts that rising costs may have on our business. We see the potential for higher energy costs driven by climate change regulations. This could include items applied to utilities that are passed along to customers, such as carbon taxes or costs associated with emission cap and trade programs or renewable portfolio standards. In particular, regulations associated with the Western Climate Initiative could have an impact on our company, because a number of our large manufacturing facilities are located in the western U.S. Proposed regulations by the EPA could impact our ability to obtain modifications in a timely manner for existing air permits at our manufacturing facilities in the U.S. Similarly, our operations in Ireland are already subject to the EU's mandatory cap and trade scheme for global-warming emissions. All of our sites also may be impacted by utility programs directed by legislation or regulatory or other pressures that are targeted to pass costs through to users.

We maintain business recovery plans that are intended to ensure our ability to recover from natural disasters or other events that can be disruptive to our business. Many of our operations are located in semi-arid regions, such as Israel and the southwestern U.S. Some climate change scenarios predict that such regions can become even more vulnerable to prolonged droughts. We have had an aggressive water conservation program in place for many years. We believe that our water conservation and recovery programs will help reduce our risk if water availability becomes more constrained in the future. We further maintain long-range plans to identify potential future water conservation actions that we can take.

We are committed to sustainability and take a leadership position in promoting voluntary environmental initiatives and working proactively with governments, environmental groups, and industry to promote global environmental sustainability. We believe that technology will be fundamental to finding solutions to the world's environmental challenges, and we are joining forces with industry, business, and governments to find and promote ways that technology can be used as a tool to combat climate change.

For several years, we have been evaluating green design standards and incorporating green building concepts and practices into the construction of our buildings. We are in the process of obtaining Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) certification for an office building under construction in Israel and a newly constructed fabrication building in Arizona. We have been purchasing wind power and other forms of renewable energy at some

of our major sites for several years. At the beginning of 2008, we announced plans to purchase renewable energy certificates under a multi-year contract. The purchase placed Intel at the top of the EPA's Green Power Partnership for 2008 and 2009. The purchase was intended to help stimulate the market for green power, leading to additional generating capacity and, ultimately, lower costs.

**Table of Contents**

**Executive Officers of the Registrant**

The following sets forth certain information with regard to our executive officers as of February 22, 2010 (ages are as of December 26, 2009):

**Robert J. Baker**, age 54

2001 present, Senior VP, General Manager (GM), Technology and Manufacturing Group  
Joined Intel 1979

**Andy D. Bryant**, age 59

2009 present, Executive VP, Technology, Manufacturing, and Enterprise Services, Chief Administrative Officer  
2007 2009, Executive VP, Finance and Enterprise Services, Chief Administrative Officer  
2001 2007, Executive VP, Chief Financial and Enterprise Services Officer  
Member of Columbia Sportswear Company Board of Directors  
Member of McKesson Corporation Board of Directors  
Joined Intel 1981

**William M. Holt**, age 57

2006 present, Senior VP, GM, Technology and Manufacturing Group  
2005 2006, VP, Co-GM, Technology and Manufacturing Group  
1999 2005, VP, Director, Logic Technology Development  
Joined Intel 1974

**Thomas M. Kilroy**, age 52

2010 present, Senior VP, GM, Sales and Marketing Group  
2009 2010, VP, GM, Sales and Marketing Group  
2005 2009, VP, GM, Digital Enterprise Group  
2003 2005, VP, Sales and Marketing Group,  
Co-President of Intel Americas  
Joined Intel 1990

**Sean M. Maloney**, age 53

2009 present, Executive VP, GM, Intel Architecture Group  
2008 2009, Executive VP, Chief Sales and Marketing Officer  
2006 2008, Executive VP, GM, Sales and Marketing Group, Chief Sales and Marketing Officer  
2005 2006, Executive VP, GM, Mobility Group  
2001 2005, Executive VP, GM, Intel Communications Group  
Member of Autodesk, Inc. Board of Directors  
Member of Clearwire Corporation Board of Directors  
Joined Intel 1982

**A. Douglas Melamed**, age 64

2009 present, Senior VP, General Counsel  
2001 2009, Partner, Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP  
Joined Intel 2009

**Paul S. Otellini**, age 59

2005 present, President, Chief Executive Officer  
2002 2005, President, Chief Operating Officer  
Member of Intel Board of Directors since 2002  
Member of Google, Inc. Board of Directors  
Joined Intel 1974

**David Perlmutter**, age 56

2009 present, Executive VP, GM, Intel Architecture Group  
2007 2009, Executive VP, GM, Mobility Group  
2005 2007, Senior VP, GM, Mobility Group  
2005 VP, GM, Mobility Group  
2000 2005, VP, GM, Mobile Platforms Group  
Joined Intel 1980

**Stacy J. Smith**, age 47

2010 present, Senior VP, Chief Financial Officer  
2007 2010, VP, Chief Financial Officer  
2006 2007, VP, Assistant Chief Financial Officer  
2004 2006, VP, Finance and Enterprise Services, Chief Information Officer  
2002 2004, VP, Sales and Marketing Group, GM, Europe, Middle East, and Africa  
Joined Intel 1988

**Arvind Sodhani**, age 55

2007 present, Executive VP of Intel, President of Intel Capital  
2005 2007, Senior VP of Intel, President of Intel Capital  
1990 2005, VP, Treasurer  
Joined Intel 1981

**Table of Contents****ITEM RISK FACTORS****1A.*****Fluctuations in demand for our products may harm our financial results and are difficult to forecast.***

If demand for our products fluctuates as a result of economic conditions or for other reasons, our revenue and profitability could be harmed. Important factors that could cause demand for our products to fluctuate include:

- changes in business and economic conditions, including downturns in the semiconductor industry and/or the overall economy;
- changes in consumer confidence caused by changes in market conditions, including changes in the credit market, expectations for inflation, and energy prices;
- changes in the level of customers' components inventories;
- competitive pressures, including pricing pressures, from companies that have competing products, chip architectures, manufacturing technologies, and marketing programs;
- changes in customer product needs;
- strategic actions taken by our competitors; and
- market acceptance of our products.

If product demand decreases, our manufacturing or assembly and test capacity could be underutilized, and we may be required to record an impairment on our long-lived assets, including facilities and equipment as well as intangible assets, which would increase our expenses. In addition, if product demand decreases or we fail to forecast demand accurately, we could be required to write off inventory or record underutilization charges, which would have a negative impact on our gross margin. Factory-planning decisions may shorten the useful lives of long-lived assets, including facilities and equipment, and cause us to accelerate depreciation. In the long term, if product demand increases, we may not be able to add manufacturing or assembly and test capacity fast enough to meet market demand. These changes in demand for our products, and changes in our customers' product needs, could have a variety of negative effects on our competitive position and our financial results, and, in certain cases, may reduce our revenue, increase our costs, lower our gross margin percentage, or require us to recognize impairments of our assets.

***Litigation or regulatory proceedings could harm our business.***

We may be subject to legal claims or regulatory matters involving stockholder, consumer, competition, and other issues on a global basis. As described in Note 28: Contingencies in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K, we are currently engaged in a number of litigation and regulatory matters, particularly with respect to competition. Litigation and regulatory proceedings are subject to inherent uncertainties, and unfavorable rulings could occur. An unfavorable ruling could include monetary damages or, in cases for which injunctive relief is sought, an injunction prohibiting us from manufacturing or selling one or more products, precluding particular business practices, or requiring other remedies, such as compulsory licensing of intellectual property. If we were to receive an unfavorable ruling in a matter, our business and results of operations could be materially harmed.

***The semiconductor industry and our operations are characterized by a high percentage of costs that are fixed or difficult to reduce in the short term, and by product demand that is highly variable and subject to significant downturns that may harm our business, results of operations, and financial condition.***

The semiconductor industry and our operations are characterized by high costs, such as those related to facility construction and equipment, R&D, and employment and training of a highly skilled workforce, that are either fixed or difficult to reduce in the short term. At the same time, demand for our products is highly variable and there have been downturns, often in connection with maturing product cycles as well as downturns in general economic market conditions. These downturns have been characterized by reduced product demand, manufacturing overcapacity and



resulting underutilization charges, high inventory levels, and lower average selling prices. The combination of these factors may cause our revenue, gross margin, cash flow, and profitability to vary significantly in both the short and long term.

**Table of Contents*****We operate in intensely competitive industries, and our failure to respond quickly to technological developments and incorporate new features into our products could harm our ability to compete.***

We operate in intensely competitive industries that experience rapid technological developments, changes in industry standards, changes in customer requirements, and frequent new product introductions and improvements. If we are unable to respond quickly and successfully to these developments, we may lose our competitive position, and our products or technologies may become uncompetitive or obsolete. To compete successfully, we must maintain a successful R&D effort, develop new products and production processes, and improve our existing products and processes at the same pace or ahead of our competitors. Our R&D efforts are aimed at solving increasingly complex problems, and we do not expect that all of our projects will be successful. If our R&D efforts are unsuccessful, our future results of operations could be materially harmed. We may not be able to develop and market these new products successfully, the products we invest in and develop may not be well received by customers, and products developed and new technologies offered by others may affect demand for our products. These types of events could have a variety of negative effects on our competitive position and our financial results, such as reducing our revenue, increasing our costs, lowering our gross margin percentage, and requiring us to recognize impairments on our assets.

***We invest in companies for strategic reasons and may not realize a return on our investments.***

We make investments in companies around the world to further our strategic objectives and support our key business initiatives. Such investments include equity or debt instruments of public or private companies, and many of these instruments are non-marketable at the time of our initial investment. These companies range from early-stage companies that are often still defining their strategic direction to more mature companies with established revenue streams and business models. The success of these companies is dependent on product development, market acceptance, operational efficiency, and other key business factors. The companies in which we invest may fail because they may not be able to secure additional funding, obtain favorable investment terms for future financings, or take advantage of liquidity events such as public offerings, mergers, and private sales. If any of these private companies fail, we could lose all or part of our investment in that company. If we determine that an other-than-temporary decline in the fair value exists for an equity or debt investment in a public or private company in which we have invested, we write down the investment to its fair value and recognize the related write-down as an investment loss. We have significant investments in companies in the flash memory market segment, and declines in this market segment or changes in management's plans with respect to our investments in this market segment could result in significant impairment charges, impacting gains (losses) on equity method investments and gains (losses) on other equity investments.

Furthermore, when the strategic objectives of an investment have been achieved, or if the investment or business diverges from our strategic objectives, we may decide to dispose of the investment. Our non-marketable equity investments in private companies are not liquid, and we may not be able to dispose of these investments on favorable terms or at all. The occurrence of any of these events could harm our results. Additionally, for cases in which we are required under equity method accounting to recognize a proportionate share of another company's income or loss, such income or loss may impact our earnings. Gains or losses from equity securities could vary from expectations depending on gains or losses realized on the sale or exchange of securities, gains or losses from equity method investments, and impairment charges related to debt instruments as well as equity and other investments.

***Our results of operations could vary as a result of the methods, estimates, and judgments that we use in applying our accounting policies.***

The methods, estimates, and judgments that we use in applying our accounting policies have a significant impact on our results of operations (see "Critical Accounting Estimates" in Part II, Item 7 of this Form 10-K). Such methods, estimates, and judgments are, by their nature, subject to substantial risks, uncertainties, and assumptions, and factors may arise over time that lead us to change our methods, estimates, and judgments. Changes in those methods, estimates, and judgments could significantly affect our results of operations.

***Fluctuations in the mix of products sold may harm our financial results.***

Because of the wide price differences among and within notebook, netbook, desktop, and server microprocessors, the mix and types of performance capabilities of microprocessors sold affect the average selling price of our products and have a substantial impact on our revenue and gross margin. Our financial results also depend in part on the mix of other products that we sell, such as chipsets, flash memory, and other semiconductor products. In addition, more recently introduced products tend to have higher associated costs because of initial overall development and production ramp. Fluctuations in the mix and types of our products may also affect the extent to which we are able to recover the fixed costs and investments associated with a particular product, and as a result can harm our financial results.

**Table of Contents**

***Our global operations subject us to risks that may harm our results of operations and financial condition.***

We have sales offices, R&D, manufacturing, and assembly and test facilities in many countries, and as a result, we are subject to risks that may limit our ability to manufacture, assemble and test, design, develop, or sell products in particular countries, which could, in turn, harm our results of operations and financial condition, including:

- security concerns, such as armed conflict and civil or military unrest, crime, political instability, and terrorist activity;
- health concerns;
- natural disasters;
- inefficient and limited infrastructure and disruptions, such as large-scale outages or interruptions of service from utilities or telecommunications providers and supply chain interruptions;
- differing employment practices and labor issues;
- local business and cultural factors that differ from our normal standards and practices;
- regulatory requirements and prohibitions that differ between jurisdictions; and
- restrictions on our operations by governments seeking to support local industries, nationalization of our operations, and restrictions on our ability to repatriate earnings.

In addition, although substantially all of our products are sold in U.S. dollars, we incur a significant amount of certain types of expenses, such as payroll, utilities, tax, and marketing expenses, as well as conduct certain investing and financing activities, in local currencies. Our hedging programs reduce, but do not entirely eliminate, the impact of currency exchange rate movements, and therefore fluctuations in exchange rates could harm our results of operations and financial condition. In addition, changes in tariff and import regulations and in U.S. and non-U.S. monetary policies may harm our results of operations and financial condition by increasing our expenses and reducing our revenue. Varying tax rates in different jurisdictions could harm our results of operations and financial condition by increasing our overall tax rate.

We maintain a program of insurance coverage for various types of property, casualty, and other risks. We place our insurance coverage with various carriers in numerous jurisdictions. However, there is a risk that one or more of our insurance providers may be unable to pay a claim. The types and amounts of insurance that we obtain vary from time to time and from location to location, depending on availability, cost, and our decisions with respect to risk retention. The policies are subject to deductibles and exclusions that result in our retention of a level of risk on a self-insurance basis. Losses not covered by insurance may be substantial and may increase our expenses, which could harm our results of operations and financial condition.

***Failure to meet our production targets, resulting in undersupply or oversupply of products, may harm our business and results of operations.***

Production of integrated circuits is a complex process. Disruptions in this process can result from interruptions in our processes, errors, and difficulties in our development and implementation of new processes; defects in materials; disruptions in our supply of materials or resources; and disruptions at our fabrication and assembly and test facilities due to, for example, accidents, maintenance issues, or unsafe working conditions all of which could affect the timing of production ramps and yields. We may not be successful or efficient in developing or implementing new production processes. The occurrence of any of the foregoing may result in our failure to meet or increase production as desired, resulting in higher costs or substantial decreases in yields, which could affect our ability to produce sufficient volume to meet specific product demand. The unavailability or reduced availability of certain products could make it more difficult to implement our platform strategy. We may also experience increases in yields. A substantial increase in yields could result in higher inventory levels and the possibility of resulting underutilization charges as we slow production to reduce inventory levels. The occurrence of any of these events could harm our business and results of operations.

***We may have difficulties obtaining the resources or products we need for manufacturing, assembling and testing our products, or operating other aspects of our business, which could harm our ability to meet demand for our products and may increase our costs.***

We have thousands of suppliers providing various materials that we use in the production of our products and other aspects of our business, and we seek, where possible, to have several sources of supply for all of those materials. However, we may rely on a single or a limited number of suppliers, or upon suppliers in a single country, for these materials. The inability of such suppliers to deliver adequate supplies of production materials or other supplies could disrupt our production processes or could make it more difficult for us to implement our business strategy. In addition, production could be disrupted by the unavailability of the resources used in production, such as water, silicon, electricity, and gases. Future environmental regulations could restrict the supply or increase the cost of certain of the materials that we currently use in our business. The unavailability or reduced availability of the materials or resources that we use in our business may require us to reduce production of products or may require us to incur additional costs in order to obtain an adequate supply of those materials or resources. The occurrence of any of these events could harm our business and results of operations.

**Table of Contents**

***Costs related to product defects and errata may harm our results of operations and business.***

Costs associated with unexpected product defects and errata (deviations from published specifications) due to, for example, unanticipated problems in our manufacturing processes, include:

- writing off the value of inventory of defective products;
- disposing of defective products that cannot be fixed;
- recalling defective products that have been shipped to customers;
- providing product replacements for, or modifications to, defective products; and/or
- defending against litigation related to defective products.

These costs could be substantial and may therefore increase our expenses and lower our gross margin. In addition, our reputation with our customers or users of our products could be damaged as a result of such product defects and errata, and the demand for our products could be reduced. These factors could harm our financial results and the prospects for our business.

***We may be subject to claims of infringement of third-party intellectual property rights, which could harm our business.***

Third parties may assert against us or our customers alleged patent, copyright, trademark, or other intellectual property rights to technologies that are important to our business. As described in Note 28: Contingencies in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K, we are currently engaged in a number of litigation matters involving intellectual property rights. We may be subject to intellectual property infringement claims from certain individuals and companies who have acquired patent portfolios for the sole purpose of asserting such claims against other companies. Any claims that our products or processes infringe the intellectual property rights of others, regardless of the merit or resolution of such claims, could cause us to incur significant costs in responding to, defending, and resolving such claims, and may divert the efforts and attention of our management and technical personnel from our business. As a result of such intellectual property infringement claims, we could be required or otherwise decide that it is appropriate to:

- pay third-party infringement claims;
- discontinue manufacturing, using, or selling particular products subject to infringement claims;
- discontinue using the technology or processes subject to infringement claims;
- develop other technology not subject to infringement claims, which could be time-consuming and costly or may not be possible; and/or
- license technology from the third party claiming infringement, which license may not be available on commercially reasonable terms.

The occurrence of any of the foregoing could result in unexpected expenses or require us to recognize an impairment of our assets, which would reduce the value of our assets and increase expenses. In addition, if we alter or discontinue our production of affected items, our revenue could be harmed.

***We may not be able to enforce or protect our intellectual property rights, which may harm our ability to compete and harm our business.***

Our ability to enforce our patents, copyrights, software licenses, and other intellectual property rights is subject to general litigation risks, as well as uncertainty as to the enforceability of our intellectual property rights in various countries. When we seek to enforce our rights, we are often subject to claims that the intellectual property right is invalid, is otherwise not enforceable, or is licensed to the party against whom we are asserting a claim. In addition, our assertion of intellectual property rights often results in the other party seeking to assert alleged intellectual property rights of its own or assert other claims against us, which could harm our business. If we are not ultimately successful in defending ourselves against these claims in litigation, we may not be able to sell a particular product or family of products due to an injunction, or we may have to pay damages that could, in turn, harm our results of operations. In addition, governments may adopt regulations, and governments or courts may render decisions, requiring compulsory licensing of intellectual property to others, or governments may require that products meet specified standards that

serve to favor local companies. Our inability to enforce our intellectual property rights under these circumstances may harm our competitive position and our business.

**Table of Contents*****We may be subject to intellectual property theft or misuse, which could result in third-party claims and harm our business and results of operations.***

We regularly face attempts by others to gain unauthorized access through the Internet to our information technology systems by, for example, masquerading as authorized users or surreptitious introduction of software. These attempts, which might be the result of industrial or other espionage, or actions by hackers seeking to harm the company, its products, or end users, are sometimes successful. One recent and sophisticated incident occurred in January 2010 around the same time as the recently publicized security incident reported by Google. We seek to detect and investigate these security incidents and to prevent their recurrence, but in some cases we might be unaware of an incident or its magnitude and effects. The theft and/or unauthorized use or publication of our trade secrets and other confidential business information as a result of such an incident could adversely affect our competitive position and reduce marketplace acceptance of our products; the value of our investment in R&D, product development, and marketing could be reduced; and third parties might assert against us or our customers claims related to resulting losses of confidential or proprietary information or end-user data and/or system reliability. Our business could be subject to significant disruption, and we could suffer monetary and other losses, including the cost of product recalls and returns and reputational harm, in the event of such incidents and claims.

***Our licenses with other companies and our participation in industry initiatives may allow other companies, including our competitors, to use our patent rights.***

Companies in the semiconductor industry often rely on the ability to license patents from each other in order to compete. Many of our competitors have broad licenses or cross-licenses with us, and under current case law, some of the licenses may permit these competitors to pass our patent rights on to others. If one of these licensees becomes a foundry, our competitors might be able to avoid our patent rights in manufacturing competing products. In addition, our participation in industry initiatives may require us to license our patents to other companies that adopt certain industry standards or specifications, even when such organizations do not adopt standards or specifications proposed by us. As a result, our patents implicated by our participation in industry initiatives might not be available for us to enforce against others who might otherwise be deemed to be infringing those patents, our costs of enforcing our licenses or protecting our patents may increase, and the value of our intellectual property may be impaired.

***Decisions about the scope of operations of our business could affect our results of operations and financial condition.***

Changes in the business environment could lead to changes in our decisions about the scope of operations of our business, and these changes could result in restructuring and asset impairment charges. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations with regard to changing the scope of our operations include:

- timing and execution of plans and programs that may be subject to local labor law requirements, including consultation with appropriate work councils;
- changes in assumptions related to severance and postretirement costs;
- future divestitures;
- new business initiatives and changes in product roadmap, development, and manufacturing;
- changes in employment levels and turnover rates;
- changes in product demand and the business environment; and
- changes in the fair value of certain long-lived assets.

***Our acquisitions, divestitures, and other transactions could disrupt our ongoing business and harm our results of operations.***

In pursuing our business strategy, we routinely conduct discussions, evaluate opportunities, and enter into agreements regarding possible investments, acquisitions, divestitures, and other transactions, such as joint ventures. Acquisitions and other transactions involve significant challenges and risks, including risks that:

- we may not be able to identify suitable opportunities at terms acceptable to us;
- the transaction may not advance our business strategy;



we may not realize a satisfactory return on the investment we make;  
we may not be able to retain key personnel of the acquired business; or  
we may experience difficulty in integrating new employees, business systems, and technology.

When we decide to sell assets or a business, we may encounter difficulty in finding or completing divestiture opportunities or alternative exit strategies on acceptable terms in a timely manner, and the agreed terms and financing arrangements could be renegotiated due to changes in business or market conditions. These circumstances could delay the accomplishment of our strategic objectives or cause us to incur additional expenses with respect to businesses that we want to dispose of, or we may dispose of a business at a price or on terms that are less favorable than we had anticipated, resulting in a loss on the transaction.

## **Table of Contents**

If we do enter into agreements with respect to acquisitions, divestitures, or other transactions, we may fail to complete them due to:

- failure to obtain required regulatory or other approvals;
- intellectual property or other litigation;
- difficulties that we or other parties may encounter in obtaining financing for the transaction; or
- other factors.

Further, acquisitions, divestitures, and other transactions require substantial management resources and have the potential to divert our attention from our existing business. These factors could harm our business and results of operations.

### ***In order to compete, we must attract, retain, and motivate key employees, and our failure to do so could harm our results of operations.***

In order to compete, we must attract, retain, and motivate executives and other key employees. Hiring and retaining qualified executives, scientists, engineers, technical staff, and sales representatives are critical to our business, and competition for experienced employees in the semiconductor industry can be intense. To help attract, retain, and motivate qualified employees, we use share-based incentive awards such as employee stock options and non-vested share units (restricted stock units). If the value of such stock awards does not appreciate as measured by the performance of the price of our common stock, or if our share-based compensation otherwise ceases to be viewed as a valuable benefit, our ability to attract, retain, and motivate employees could be weakened, which could harm our results of operations.

### ***Our failure to comply with applicable environmental laws and regulations worldwide could harm our business and results of operations.***

The manufacturing and assembling and testing of our products require the use of hazardous materials that are subject to a broad array of EHS laws and regulations. Our failure to comply with any of those applicable laws or regulations could result in:

- regulatory penalties, fines, and legal liabilities;
- suspension of production;
- alteration of our fabrication and assembly and test processes; and
- curtailment of our operations or sales.

In addition, our failure to manage the use, transportation, emissions, discharge, storage, recycling, or disposal of hazardous materials could subject us to increased costs or future liabilities. Existing and future environmental laws and regulations could also require us to acquire pollution abatement or remediation equipment, modify our product designs, or incur other expenses associated with such laws and regulations. Many new materials that we are evaluating for use in our operations may be subject to regulation under existing or future environmental laws and regulations that may restrict our use of one or more of such materials in our manufacturing, assembly and test processes, or products. Any of these restrictions could harm our business and results of operations by increasing our expenses or requiring us to alter our manufacturing and assembly and test processes.

### ***Climate change poses both regulatory and physical risks that could harm our results of operations or affect the way we conduct our business.***

In addition to the possible direct economic impact that climate change could have on us, climate change mitigation programs and regulations can increase our costs. For example, the cost of perfluorocompounds (PFCs), a gas that we use in our manufacturing, could increase over time under some climate-change-focused emissions trading programs that may be imposed by government regulation. If the use of PFCs is prohibited, we would need to obtain substitute materials that may cost more or be less available for our manufacturing operations. In addition, air quality permit requirements for our manufacturing operations could become more burdensome and cause delays in our ability to

modify our facilities. We also see the potential for higher energy costs driven by climate change regulations. Our costs could increase if utility companies pass on their costs, such as those associated with carbon taxes, emission cap and trade programs, or renewable portfolio standards. While we maintain business recovery plans that are intended to allow us to recover from natural disasters or other events that can be disruptive to our business, we cannot be sure that our plans will fully protect us from all such disasters or events. Many of our operations are located in semi-arid regions, such as Israel and the southwestern U.S. Some scenarios predict that these regions may become even more vulnerable to prolonged droughts due to climate change.

**Table of Contents*****Changes in our effective tax rate may harm our results of operations.***

A number of factors may increase our future effective tax rates, including:

- the jurisdictions in which profits are determined to be earned and taxed;
- the resolution of issues arising from tax audits with various tax authorities;
- changes in the valuation of our deferred tax assets and liabilities, and changes in deferred tax valuation allowances;
- adjustments to income taxes upon finalization of various tax returns;
- increases in expenses not deductible for tax purposes, including write-offs of acquired in-process research and development and impairments of goodwill in connection with acquisitions;
- changes in available tax credits;
- changes in tax laws or the interpretation of such tax laws, and changes in U.S. generally accepted accounting principles; and
- our decision to repatriate non-U.S. earnings for which we have not previously provided for U.S. taxes.

Any significant increase in our future effective tax rates could reduce net income for future periods.

***Interest and other, net could be harmed by macroeconomic and other factors.***

Factors that could cause interest and other, net in our consolidated statements of operations to fluctuate include:

- fixed-income, equity, and credit market volatility;
- fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates;
- fluctuations in interest rates;
- changes in our cash and investment balances; and
- changes in our hedge accounting treatment.

**ITEM UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS**  
**1B.**

Not applicable.

**ITEM 2. PROPERTIES**

As of December 26, 2009, our major facilities consisted of:

<b>(Square Feet in Millions)</b>	<b>United States</b>	<b>Other Countries</b>	<b>Total</b>
Owned facilities <sup>1</sup>	25.8	18.7	44.5
Leased facilities <sup>2</sup>	1.7	2.8	4.5
<b>Total facilities</b>	<b>27.5</b>	<b>21.5</b>	<b>49.0</b>

<sup>1</sup> Leases on portions of the land used for these facilities expire at varying dates through 2062.

<sup>2</sup> Leases expire at varying dates through 2028 and generally include renewals at our option.

Our principal executive offices are located in the U.S. The majority of our wafer fabrication activities are also located in the U.S. Outside the U.S., we have wafer fabrication at our facilities in Ireland and Israel. In addition, we are building a new wafer fabrication facility in China that is expected to begin production in late 2010 or early 2011. Our assembly and test facilities are located in Malaysia, China, and Costa Rica. We are building a new assembly and test facility in Vietnam that is expected to begin production in the second half of 2010. In addition, we have sales and marketing offices worldwide. These facilities are generally located near major concentrations of users.

With the exception of certain facilities placed for sale and/or facilities included in our restructuring actions, we believe that our facilities detailed above are suitable and adequate for our present purposes (see Note 19: Restructuring and Asset Impairment Charges in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K). Additionally, the productive capacity in our facilities is substantially being utilized or we have plans to utilize it.

We do not identify or allocate assets by operating segment. For information on net property, plant and equipment by country, see Note 29: Operating Segment and Geographic Information in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

**Table of Contents****ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

For a discussion of legal proceedings, see Note 28: Contingencies in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

**ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS**

None.

**PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

Information regarding the market price range of Intel common stock and dividend information may be found in Financial Information by Quarter (Unaudited) in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

As of February 5, 2010, there were 175,000 registered holders of record of Intel's common stock. A substantially greater number of holders of Intel common stock are street name or beneficial holders, whose shares are held of record by banks, brokers, and other financial institutions.

**Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

We have an ongoing authorization, amended in November 2005, from our Board of Directors to repurchase up to \$25 billion in shares of our common stock in open market or negotiated transactions. As of December 26, 2009, \$5.7 billion remained available for repurchase under the existing repurchase authorization.

Common stock repurchases under our authorized plan in each quarter of 2009 were as follows (in millions, except per share amounts):

<b>Period</b>	<b>Total Number of Shares Purchased</b>	<b>Average Price Paid Per Share</b>	<b>Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans</b>
December 28, 2008 - March 28, 2009		\$	
March 29, 2009 - June 27, 2009		\$	
June 28, 2009 - September 26, 2009	88.2	\$ 18.95	88.2
September 27, 2009 - December 26, 2009		\$	
<b>Total</b>	<b>88.2</b>	<b>\$ 18.95</b>	<b>88.2</b>

Our purchases in 2009 were executed in privately negotiated transactions.

For the majority of restricted stock units granted, the number of shares issued on the date the restricted stock units vest is net of the minimum statutory withholding requirements that we pay in cash to the appropriate taxing authorities on behalf of our employees. These withheld shares are not included in the common stock repurchase totals in the table above. For further discussion, see Note 24: Common Stock Repurchases in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

**Table of Contents****Stock Performance Graph**

The line graph below compares the cumulative total stockholder return on our common stock with the cumulative total return of the Dow Jones U.S. Technology Index\* and the Standard & Poor's S&P 500\* Index for the five years ended December 26, 2009. The graph and table assume that \$100 was invested on December 23, 2004 (the last day of trading for the year ended December 25, 2004) in each of our common stock, the Dow Jones U.S. Technology Index, and the S&P 500 Index, and that all dividends were reinvested. Cumulative total stockholder returns for our common stock, the Dow Jones U.S. Technology Index, and the S&P 500 Index are based on our fiscal year.

**Comparison of Five-Year Cumulative Return for Intel,  
the Dow Jones U.S. Technology Index\*, and the S&P 500\* Index**

	<b>2004</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Intel Corporation	\$ 100	\$ 107	\$ 89	\$ 120	\$ 65	\$ 97
Dow Jones U.S. Technology Index	\$ 100	\$ 104	\$ 114	\$ 134	\$ 73	\$ 125
S&P 500 Index	\$ 100	\$ 105	\$ 122	\$ 129	\$ 78	\$ 103



**Table of Contents****ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

<b>(In Millions, Except Per Share Amounts)</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005<sup>1</sup></b>
Net revenue	\$ 35,127	\$ 37,586	\$ 38,334	\$ 35,382	\$ 38,826
Gross margin	\$ 19,561	\$ 20,844	\$ 19,904	\$ 18,218	\$ 23,049
Research and development	\$ 5,653	\$ 5,722	\$ 5,755	\$ 5,873	\$ 5,145
Operating income	\$ 5,711	\$ 8,954	\$ 8,216	\$ 5,652	\$ 12,090
Net income	\$ 4,369	\$ 5,292	\$ 6,976	\$ 5,044	\$ 8,664
Earnings per common share					
Basic	\$ 0.79	\$ 0.93	\$ 1.20	\$ 0.87	\$ 1.42
Diluted	\$ 0.77	\$ 0.92	\$ 1.18	\$ 0.86	\$ 1.40
Weighted average diluted common shares outstanding	5,645	5,748	5,936	5,880	6,178
Dividends per common share					
Declared	\$ 0.56	\$ 0.5475	\$ 0.45	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.32
Paid	\$ 0.56	\$ 0.5475	\$ 0.45	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.32
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 11,170	\$ 10,926	\$ 12,625	\$ 10,632	\$ 14,851
Additions to property, plant and equipment	\$ 4,515	\$ 5,197	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,860	\$ 5,871

<b>(Dollars in Millions)</b>	<b>Dec. 26, 2009</b>	<b>Dec. 27, 2008<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Dec. 29, 2007<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Dec. 30, 2006<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Dec. 31, 2005<sup>2</sup></b>
Property, plant and equipment, net	\$ 17,225	\$ 17,574	\$ 16,938	\$ 17,614	\$ 17,114
Total assets	\$ 53,095	\$ 50,472	\$ 55,664	\$ 48,372	\$ 48,309
Long-term debt	\$ 2,049	\$ 1,185	\$ 1,269	\$ 1,128	\$ 1,377
Stockholders equity	\$ 41,704	\$ 39,546	\$ 43,220	\$ 37,210	\$ 36,640
Employees (in thousands)	79.8	83.9	86.3	94.1	99.9

<sup>1</sup> Beginning in 2006, we adopted new standards that changed the accounting for employee equity incentive plans requiring the recognition of share-based compensation.

<sup>2</sup> As adjusted due to changes to the accounting for convertible debt instruments. See Note 3: Accounting Changes in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

The ratio of earnings to fixed charges for each of the five years in the period ended December 26, 2009 was as follows:

<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
44x	51x	72x	50x	169x

Fixed charges consist of interest expense, capitalized interest, and the estimated interest component of rental expense.

**Table of Contents****ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

Our Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) is provided in addition to the accompanying consolidated financial statements and notes to assist readers in understanding our results of operations, financial condition, and cash flows. MD&A is organized as follows:

*Overview.* Discussion of our business and overall analysis of financial and other highlights affecting the company in order to provide context for the remainder of MD&A.

*Strategy.* Overall strategy and the strategy for our major market segments.

*Critical Accounting Estimates.* Accounting estimates that we believe are most important to understanding the assumptions and judgments incorporated in our reported financial results and forecasts.

*Results of Operations.* Analysis of our financial results comparing 2009 to 2008 and comparing 2008 to 2007. At the end of 2009, we reorganized our business to better align our major product groups around the core competencies of Intel architecture and our manufacturing operations. The analysis of our major operating segments' financial results reflects this reorganization and prior-period analysis, and amounts have been adjusted retrospectively.

*Business Outlook.* Our expectations for selected financial items for 2010.

*Liquidity and Capital Resources.* An analysis of changes in our balance sheets and cash flows, and discussion of our financial condition and potential sources of liquidity.

*Fair Value of Financial Instruments.* Discussion of the methodologies used in the valuation of our financial instruments.

*Contractual Obligations and Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements.* Overview of contractual obligations and contingent liabilities and commitments outstanding as of December 26, 2009, including expected payment schedule, and explanation of off-balance-sheet arrangements.

The various sections of this MD&A contain a number of forward-looking statements. Words such as "expects," "goals," "plans," "believes," "continues," "may," "will," and variations of such words and similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. In addition, any statements that refer to projections of our future financial performance, our anticipated growth and trends in our businesses, and other characterizations of future events or circumstances are forward-looking statements. Such statements are based on our current expectations and could be affected by the uncertainties and risk factors described throughout this filing and particularly in the "Business Outlook" section (see also "Risk Factors" in Part I, Item 1A of this Form 10-K). Our actual results may differ materially, and these forward-looking statements do not reflect the potential impact of any divestitures, mergers, acquisitions, or other business combinations that had not been completed as of February 22, 2010.

**Overview**

Our goal is to be the preeminent provider of semiconductor chips and platforms for the worldwide digital economy. Our primary component-level products include microprocessors, chipsets, and flash memory. To better align our major product groups around the core competencies of Intel architecture and our manufacturing operations, we completed the reorganization of our business in the fourth quarter of 2009. Net revenue, gross margin, operating income, and net income for the fourth and third quarters of 2009, and fiscal year 2009 and 2008 were as follows:

(In Millions)	Three Months Ended		Twelve Months Ended	
	Dec. 26, 2009	Sept. 26, 2009	Dec. 26, 2009	Dec. 27, 2008
Net revenue	\$ 10,569	\$ 9,389	\$ 35,127	\$ 37,586

Edgar Filing: INTEL CORP - Form 10-K

Gross margin	\$ 6,840	\$ 5,404	\$ 19,561	\$ 20,844
Operating income	\$ 2,497	\$ 2,579	\$ 5,711	\$ 8,954
Net income	\$ 2,282	\$ 1,856	\$ 4,369	\$ 5,292

We started the year in one of the deepest recessions in our history and emerged from it with better products and technology in a strengthening market. Compared to the first quarter of 2008, revenue was down 26% in the first quarter of 2009, with the second and third quarters down 15% and 8%, respectively, compared to the second and third quarters of 2008. However, our fourth quarter results reflected a strengthening demand across all regions and all product categories, driven primarily by the notebook market segment. Fourth quarter revenue of \$10.6 billion was up 13% compared to the third quarter, nearly twice the seasonal average, and up 28% compared to the fourth quarter of 2008.

**Table of Contents**

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)**

The launch of our microprocessor products using 32nm process technology was strong in the fourth quarter and was one of the contributors to the increase in our overall microprocessor average selling prices compared to the third quarter. Our server products also had a strong quarter, and we saw a demand shift toward higher end products, which also contributed to the increase in our average selling prices. Despite these fourth quarter increases, our microprocessor average selling prices in 2009 were lower than in 2008, driven primarily by decreases in average selling prices in the notebook and desktop market segments.

With the launch of our 32nm products and fourth quarter record shipments of microprocessor units, we are entering 2010 in a strong competitive position as we continue delivering improvements in our product offerings through the tick-tock manufacturing process technology and product development cadence. Additionally, our Intel Atom processors and related chipsets continue their strong ramp, with revenue having increased nearly \$900 million in 2009 compared to 2008.

We believe our total inventory levels of \$2.9 billion, though up compared to the third quarter of 2009, are appropriate based on our forecasts. We believe that OEM component inventories are roughly flat compared to the third quarter and below levels at the end of 2008. Additionally, our distributors' inventories are down compared to the third quarter.

Our fourth quarter gross margin percentage of 64.7% set a new quarterly record. The fourth quarter gross margin percentage compared to the third quarter was positively impacted by lower inventory write-offs, higher microprocessor average selling prices and unit sales, the lack of excess capacity charges, and improving unit costs. In the first quarter of 2010, we expect our gross margin percentage to decrease due to higher unit costs as we continue to ramp our 32nm products, as well as seasonally lower microprocessor unit sales and lower microprocessor average selling prices.

In the fourth quarter of 2009, we made a \$1.25 billion payment to AMD as part of a settlement to end all outstanding litigation between the companies, including antitrust litigation and cross-license patent disputes. Also in the fourth quarter of 2009, the New York Attorney General and the U.S. Federal Trade Commission filed antitrust suits against Intel. For further information on our litigation matters, see Note 28: Contingencies in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

From a financial condition perspective, we ended 2009 with an investment portfolio of \$13.9 billion, consisting of cash and cash equivalents, debt instruments included in trading assets, and short-term investments. During 2009, we generated \$11.2 billion in cash from operations despite paying the 1.06 billion (\$1.447 billion) European Commission fine recorded in the second quarter of 2009, and the AMD settlement recorded in the fourth quarter of 2009. During 2009, we issued \$2.0 billion of convertible debt and utilized the proceeds from the convertible debt to repurchase \$1.7 billion of common stock through our common stock repurchase program. In addition, during 2009 we returned \$3.1 billion to stockholders through dividends. In January 2010, our Board of Directors declared a dividend of \$0.1575 per common share for the first quarter of 2010, an increase of 12.5% compared to our fourth quarter dividend.

In February 2010, we signed a definitive agreement with Micron and Numonyx under which Micron agreed to acquire Numonyx in an all-stock transaction. For further information, see Note 11: Non-Marketable Equity Investments in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

**Table of Contents****MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)****Strategy**

Our goal is to be the preeminent provider of semiconductor chips and platforms for the worldwide digital economy. As part of our overall strategy to compete in each relevant market segment, we use our core competencies in the design and manufacture of integrated circuits, as well as our financial resources, global presence, brand recognition, and software development. We believe that we have the scale, capacity, and global reach to establish new technologies and respond to customers' needs quickly.

Some of our key focus areas are listed below:

*Customer Orientation.* Our strategy focuses on developing our next generation of products based on the needs and expectations of our customers. In turn, our products help enable the design and development of new form factors and usage models for businesses and consumers. We offer platforms that incorporate various components designed and configured to work together to provide an optimized computing solution compared to components that are used separately.

*Architecture and Platforms.* We are focusing on improved energy-efficient performance for computing and communications systems and devices. Improved energy-efficient performance involves balancing improved performance with lower power consumption. We continue to develop multi-core microprocessors with an increasing number of cores, which enable improved multitasking and energy efficiency. In addition, to meet the demands of new and evolving netbook, consumer electronics, and various embedded market segments, we offer and are continuing to develop SoC products that are designed to provide improved performance due to higher integration, lower power consumption, and smaller form factors.

*Silicon and Manufacturing Technology Leadership.* Our strategy for developing microprocessors with improved performance is to synchronize the introduction of a new microarchitecture with improvements in silicon process technology. We plan to introduce a new microarchitecture approximately every two years and ramp the next generation of silicon process technology in the intervening years. This coordinated schedule allows us to develop and introduce new products based on a common microarchitecture quickly, without waiting for the next generation of silicon process technology. We refer to this as our "tick-tock" technology development cadence. In keeping with this cadence, we expect to introduce a new microarchitecture using our 32nm process technology in 2010.

*Strategic Investments.* We make investments in companies around the world that we believe will generate financial returns, further our strategic objectives, and support our key business initiatives. Our investments, including those made through our Intel Capital program, generally focus on investing in companies and initiatives to stimulate growth in the digital economy, create new business opportunities for Intel, and expand global markets for our products. Our current investments primarily focus on the following areas: advancing flash memory products, enabling mobile wireless devices, advancing the digital home, enhancing the digital enterprise, advancing high-performance communications infrastructures, and developing the next generation of silicon process technologies.

*Business Environment and Software.* We believe that we are well positioned in the technology industry to help drive innovation, foster collaboration, and promote industry standards that will yield innovation and improved technologies for users. We plan to continue to cultivate new businesses and work to encourage the industry to offer products that take advantage of the latest market trends and usage models. We frequently participate in industry initiatives designed to discuss and agree upon technical specifications and other aspects of technologies that could be adopted as standards by standards-setting organizations. Through our Software and Services Group, we help enable and advance the computing ecosystem by providing development tools and support to help software developers create software applications and operating systems that take advantage of

our platforms. Lastly, we believe that the software expertise of our Wind River Software Group in the embedded and handheld market segments will expedite our growth strategy in these market segments.

We believe that the proliferation of the Internet, including user demand for premium content and rich media, drives the need for greater performance in PCs and servers. Older PCs are increasingly incapable of handling the tasks that businesses and individual consumers demand, such as streaming video, web conferencing, online gaming, and other memory-intensive applications. As these tasks become even more demanding and require more computing power, we believe that businesses and individual consumers will need and want to buy new PCs. We also believe that increased Internet traffic and the increasing use of cloud computing, in which a group of linked servers provide a variety of applications and data to users over the Internet, create a need for greater server infrastructure, including server products optimized for energy-efficient performance and virtualization.

**Table of Contents****MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)**

We believe that the trend of mobile microprocessor unit growth outpacing the growth in desktop microprocessor units will continue and that the demand for mobile microprocessors will result in the increased development of products with form factors and uses that require low-power microprocessors. We also believe that these products will result in demand that is incremental to that of microprocessors designed for notebook and desktop computers, as a growing number of households have multiple devices for different computing functions. Our silicon and manufacturing technology leadership allows us to develop low-power microprocessors for these and other new uses and form factors. We believe that Intel Atom processors give us the ability to extend Intel architecture and drive growth in new market segments, including a growing number of products that require processors specifically designed for embedded applications, handhelds, consumer electronics devices, and netbooks. We expect that our Intel Atom Developer Program will spur new applications that run on products using Intel Atom processors, which will expedite our growth strategy in these new market segments. The common elements for products in these new market segments are low power consumption and the ability to access the Internet.

We are also focusing on the development of a new highly scalable, many-core architecture aimed at parallel processing, the simultaneous use of multiple cores to execute a computing task. This architecture will initially be used as a software development platform for graphics and throughput computing (the need for large amounts of computing performance consistently over a long period of time). Over time, this architecture may be utilized in the development of products for scientific and professional workstations as well as high-performance computing applications.

In addition, we offer, and are continuing to develop, advanced NAND flash memory products, focusing on system-level solutions for Intel architecture platforms such as solid-state drives. In support of our strategy to provide advanced flash memory products, we continue to focus on the development of innovative products designed to address the needs of customers for reliable, non-volatile, low-cost, high-density memory.

***Strategy by Major Market Segment***

The strategy for our ***PC Client Group*** operating segment is to offer products that are incorporated into notebook, netbook, and desktop computers for consumers and businesses. Our strategy for the notebook computing market segment is to offer notebook PC products designed to improve performance, battery life, and wireless connectivity, as well as to allow for the design of smaller, lighter, and thinner form factors. We are also increasing our focus on notebook products designed to offer technologies that provide increased manageability and security, and we continue to invest in the build-out of WiMAX. Our strategy for the netbook computing market segment is to offer products that enable affordable, Internet-focused devices with small form factors. Our strategy for the desktop computing market segment is to offer products that provide increased manageability, security, and energy-efficient performance while lowering total cost of ownership for businesses. For consumers in the desktop computing market segment, we also focus on the design of components for high-end enthusiast PCs and mainstream PCs with rich audio and video capabilities.

The strategy for our ***Data Center Group*** operating segment is to offer products that provide leading performance, energy-efficiency, and virtualization technology for server, workstation, and storage platforms. We are also increasing our focus on products designed for high-performance and mission-critical computing, cloud computing services, and emerging markets. In addition, we offer wired connectivity devices that are incorporated into products that make up the infrastructure for the Internet.

The strategies for our ***other Intel architecture operating segments*** include:

driving Intel architecture as a solution for embedded applications by delivering long life-cycle support, software and architectural scalability, and platform integration;  
continuing to develop and offer products that enable handhelds to deliver digital content and the Internet to users in new ways; and  
offering products and solutions for use in consumer electronics devices designed to access and share Internet, broadcast, optical media, and personal content through a variety of linked digital devices within the home.



**Table of Contents**

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)**

**Critical Accounting Estimates**

The methods, estimates, and judgments that we use in applying our accounting policies have a significant impact on the results that we report in our consolidated financial statements. Some of our accounting policies require us to make difficult and subjective judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates regarding matters that are inherently uncertain. Our most critical accounting estimates include:

- the valuation of non-marketable equity investments and the determination of other-than-temporary impairments, which impact gains (losses) on equity method investments, net, or gains (losses) on other equity investments, net when we record impairments;
- the assessment of recoverability of long-lived assets, which primarily impacts gross margin or operating expenses when we record asset impairments or accelerate their depreciation;
- the recognition and measurement of current and deferred income taxes (including the measurement of uncertain tax positions), which impact our provision for taxes; and
- the valuation of inventory, which impacts gross margin.

Below, we discuss these policies further, as well as the estimates and judgments involved.

***Non-Marketable Equity Investments***

We regularly invest in non-marketable equity instruments of private companies, which range from early-stage companies that are often still defining their strategic direction to more mature companies with established revenue streams and business models. The carrying value of our non-marketable equity investment portfolio, excluding equity derivatives, totaled \$3.4 billion as of December 26, 2009 (\$4.1 billion as of December 27, 2008). The majority of this balance as of December 26, 2009 was concentrated in companies in the flash memory market segment. Our flash memory market segment investments include our investment in IMFT and IM Flash Singapore, LLP (IMFS) of \$1.6 billion (\$2.1 billion as of December 27, 2008). For further information, see Note 11: Non-Marketable Equity Investments in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Our non-marketable equity investments are recorded using adjusted cost basis or the equity method of accounting, depending on the facts and circumstances of each investment (see Note 2: Accounting Policies in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K). Our non-marketable equity investments are classified in other long-term assets on the consolidated balance sheets.

Non-marketable equity investments are inherently risky, and a number of the companies in which we invest could fail. Their success is dependent on product development, market acceptance, operational efficiency, and other key business factors. Depending on their future prospects, the companies may not be able to raise additional funds when the funds are needed or they may receive lower valuations, with less favorable investment terms than in previous financings, and our investments would likely become impaired. Additionally, financial markets and credit markets are volatile, which could negatively affect the prospects of the companies we invest in, their ability to raise additional capital, and the likelihood of our being able to realize value in our investments through liquidity events such as initial public offerings, mergers, and private sales. For further information about our investment portfolio risks, see Risk Factors in Part I, Item 1A of this Form 10-K.

We determine the fair value of our non-marketable equity investments quarterly for disclosure purposes; however, the investments are recorded at fair value only when an impairment charge is recognized. We determine the fair value of

our non-marketable equity investments using the market and income approaches. The market approach includes the use of financial metrics and ratios of comparable public companies, such as projected revenues, earnings, and comparable performance multiples. The selection of comparable companies requires management judgment and is based on a number of factors, including comparable companies' sizes, growth rates, industries, development stages, and other relevant factors. The income approach includes the use of a discounted cash flow model, which may include one or multiple discounted cash flow scenarios and requires the following significant estimates for the investee: revenue, based on assumed market segment size and assumed market segment share; expenses, capital spending, and other costs; and discount rates based on the risk profile of comparable companies. Estimates of market segment size, market segment share, expenses, capital spending, and other costs are developed by the investee and/or Intel using historical data and available market data. The valuation of our non-marketable investments also takes into account variables such as conditions reflected in the capital markets, recent financing activities by the investees, the investees' capital structure, and differences in seniority and rights associated with the investees' capital.

**Table of Contents****MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)**

For non-marketable equity investments, the measurement of fair value requires significant judgment and includes quantitative and qualitative analysis of identified events or circumstances that impact the fair value of the investment, such as:

- the investee's revenue and earnings trends relative to pre-defined milestones and overall business prospects;
- the technological feasibility of the investee's products and technologies;
- the general market conditions in the investee's industry or geographic area, including adverse regulatory or economic changes;
- factors related to the investee's ability to remain in business, such as the investee's liquidity, debt ratios, and the rate at which the investee is using its cash; and
- the investee's receipt of additional funding at a lower valuation.

If the fair value of an investment is below our carrying value, we determine if the investment is other than temporarily impaired based on our quantitative and qualitative analysis, which includes assessing the severity and duration of the impairment and the likelihood of recovery before disposal. If the investment is considered to be other than temporarily impaired, we write down the investment to its fair value. Impairments of non-marketable equity investments were \$221 million in 2009. Over the past 12 quarters, including the fourth quarter of 2009, impairments of non-marketable equity investments ranged from \$11 million to \$896 million per quarter. This range included impairments of \$896 million during the fourth quarter of 2008, primarily related to a \$762 million impairment charge on our investment in Clearwire Communications, LLC (Clearwire LLC).

***IMFT/IMFS***

IMFT and IMFS are variable interest entities that are designed to manufacture and sell NAND products to Intel and Micron at manufacturing cost. We determine the fair value of our investment in IMFT/IMFS using the income approach based on a weighted average of multiple discounted cash flow scenarios of our NAND Solutions Group business, which requires the use of unobservable inputs. Unobservable inputs that require us to make our most difficult and subjective judgments are the estimates for projected revenue and discount rate. Changes in management estimates for these unobservable inputs have the most significant effect on the fair value determination. We did not have an other-than-temporary impairment on our investment in IMFT/IMFS in 2009, 2008, or 2007. It is reasonably possible that the estimates used in the fair value determination could change in the near term, which could result in an impairment of our investment.

***Long-Lived Assets***

We assess the impairment of long-lived assets when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the assets or the asset grouping may not be recoverable. Factors that we consider in deciding when to perform an impairment review include significant under-performance of a business or product line in relation to expectations, significant negative industry or economic trends, and significant changes or planned changes in our use of the assets. We measure the recoverability of assets that will continue to be used in our operations by comparing the carrying value of the asset grouping to our estimate of the related total future undiscounted net cash flows. If an asset grouping's carrying value is not recoverable through the related undiscounted cash flows, the asset grouping is considered to be impaired. The impairment is measured by comparing the difference between the asset grouping's carrying value and its fair value. Fair value is the price that would be received from selling an asset in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Long-lived assets such as goodwill; intangible assets; and property, plant and equipment are considered non-financial assets, and are recorded at fair value only when an impairment charge is

recognized.

Impairments of long-lived assets are determined for groups of assets related to the lowest level of identifiable independent cash flows. Due to our asset usage model and the interchangeable nature of our semiconductor manufacturing capacity, we must make subjective judgments in determining the independent cash flows that can be related to specific asset groupings. In addition, as we make manufacturing process conversions and other factory planning decisions, we must make subjective judgments regarding the remaining useful lives of assets, primarily process-specific semiconductor manufacturing tools and building improvements. When we determine that the useful lives of assets are shorter than we had originally estimated, we accelerate the rate of depreciation over the assets' new, shorter useful lives. Over the past 12 quarters, including the fourth quarter of 2009, impairments and accelerated depreciation of long-lived assets ranged from \$40 million to \$300 million per quarter. For further discussion on these asset impairment charges, see Note 19: Restructuring and Asset Impairment Charges in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

**Table of Contents**

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)**

***Income Taxes***

We must make estimates and judgments in determining income tax expense for financial statement purposes. These estimates and judgments occur in the calculation of tax credits, benefits, and deductions, and in the calculation of certain tax assets and liabilities that arise from differences in the timing of recognition of revenue and expense for tax and financial statement purposes, as well as the interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions. Significant changes in these estimates may result in an increase or decrease to our tax provision in a subsequent period.

We must assess the likelihood that we will be able to recover our deferred tax assets. If recovery is not likely, we must increase our provision for taxes by recording a valuation allowance against the deferred tax assets that we estimate will not ultimately be recoverable. We believe that we will ultimately recover a majority of the deferred tax assets recorded on our consolidated balance sheets. However, should there be a change in our ability to recover our deferred tax assets, our tax provision would increase in the period in which we determined that the recovery was not likely. Recovery of a portion of our deferred tax assets is impacted by management's plans with respect to holding or disposing of certain investments; therefore, changes in management's plans with respect to holding or disposing of investments could affect our future provision for taxes.

The calculation of our tax liabilities involves dealing with uncertainties in the application of complex tax regulations. We recognize liabilities for uncertain tax positions based on a two-step process. The first step is to evaluate the tax position for recognition by determining if the weight of available evidence indicates that it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained on audit, including resolution of related appeals or litigation processes, if any. If we determine that a tax position will more likely than not be sustained on audit, the second step requires us to estimate and measure the tax benefit as the largest amount that is more than 50% likely to be realized upon ultimate settlement. It is inherently difficult and subjective to estimate such amounts, as we have to determine the probability of various possible outcomes. We re-evaluate these uncertain tax positions on a quarterly basis. This evaluation is based on factors such as changes in facts or circumstances, changes in tax law, new audit activity, and effectively settled issues. Determining whether an uncertain tax position is effectively settled requires judgment. Such a change in recognition or measurement would result in the recognition of a tax benefit or an additional charge to the tax provision.

***Inventory***

The valuation of inventory requires us to estimate obsolete or excess inventory as well as inventory that is not of saleable quality. The determination of obsolete or excess inventory requires us to estimate the future demand for our products. The estimate of future demand is compared to work-in-process and finished goods inventory levels to determine the amount, if any, of obsolete or excess inventory. As of December 26, 2009, we had total work-in-process inventory of \$1,469 million and total finished goods inventory of \$1,029 million. The demand forecast is included in the development of our short-term manufacturing plans to enable consistency between inventory valuation and build decisions. Product-specific facts and circumstances reviewed in the inventory valuation process include a review of the customer base, the stage of the product life cycle of our products, consumer confidence, and customer acceptance of our products, as well as an assessment of the selling price in relation to the product cost. If our demand forecast for specific products is greater than actual demand and we fail to reduce manufacturing output accordingly, we could be required to write off inventory, which would negatively impact our gross margin.

In order to determine what costs can be included in the valuation of inventory, we must determine normal capacity at our manufacturing and assembly and test facilities, based on historical loadings of wafers compared to total available

capacity. If the factory loadings are below the established normal capacity level, a portion of our manufacturing overhead costs would not be included in the cost of inventory, and therefore would be recognized as cost of sales in that period, which would negatively impact our gross margin. We refer to these costs as excess capacity charges. Over the past 12 quarters, excess capacity charges ranged from zero to \$680 million per quarter.

### **Accounting Changes and Recent Accounting Standards**

For a description of accounting changes and recent accounting standards, including the expected dates of adoption and estimated effects, if any, on our consolidated financial statements, see Note 3: Accounting Changes and Note 4: Recent Accounting Standards in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

**Table of Contents****MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)****Results of Operations**

The following table sets forth certain consolidated statements of operations data as a percentage of net revenue for the periods indicated:

(Dollars in Millions, Except Per Share Amounts)	2009		2008		2007	
	Dollars	% of Revenue	Dollars	% of Revenue	Dollars	% of Revenue
<b>Net revenue</b>	<b>\$ 35,127</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>\$ 37,586</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>\$ 38,334</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
Cost of sales	15,566	44.3%	16,742	44.5%	18,430	48.1%
<b>Gross margin</b>	<b>19,561</b>	<b>55.7%</b>	<b>20,844</b>	<b>55.5%</b>	<b>19,904</b>	<b>51.9%</b>
Research and development	5,653	16.1%	5,722	15.2%	5,755	15.0%
Marketing, general and administrative	7,931	22.6%	5,452	14.6%	5,401	14.1%
Restructuring and asset impairment charges	231	0.6%	710	1.9%	516	1.3%
Amortization of acquisition-related intangibles	35	0.1%	6	%	16	0.1%
<b>Operating income</b>	<b>5,711</b>	<b>16.3%</b>	<b>8,954</b>	<b>23.8%</b>	<b>8,216</b>	<b>21.4%</b>
Gains (losses) on equity method investments, net	(147)	(0.4)%	(1,380)	(3.7)%	3	%
Gains (losses) on other equity investments, net	(23)	(0.1)%	(376)	(1.0)%	154	0.4%
Interest and other, net	163	0.4%	488	1.3%	793	2.1%
<b>Income before taxes</b>	<b>5,704</b>	<b>16.2%</b>	<b>7,686</b>	<b>20.4%</b>	<b>9,166</b>	<b>23.9%</b>
Provision for taxes	1,335	3.8%	2,394	6.3%	2,190	5.7%
<b>Net income</b>	<b>\$ 4,369</b>	<b>12.4%</b>	<b>\$ 5,292</b>	<b>14.1%</b>	<b>\$ 6,976</b>	<b>18.2%</b>
<b>Diluted earnings per common share</b>	<b>\$ 0.77</b>		<b>\$ 0.92</b>		<b>\$ 1.18</b>	

The following graphs set forth revenue information of geographic regions for the periods indicated:

**Geographic Breakdown of Revenue**

Our net revenue for 2009 decreased 7% compared to 2008. Average selling prices for microprocessors and chipsets decreased and microprocessor and chipset unit sales increased, compared to 2008, primarily due to the ramp of Intel Atom processors and chipsets, which generally have lower average selling prices than our other microprocessor and chipset products. Revenue from the sale of NOR flash memory products and communications products declined \$740 million, primarily as a result of business divestitures. Additionally, an increase in revenue from the sale of NAND flash memory products was mostly offset by a decrease in revenue from the sale of wireless connectivity products.





**Table of Contents****MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)**

Revenue in the Asia-Pacific region increased 2% compared to 2008, while revenue in the Europe, Japan, and Americas regions decreased by 26%, 15%, and 4%, respectively, compared to 2008.

Our overall gross margin dollars for 2009 decreased \$1.3 billion, or 6%, compared to 2008. Our overall gross margin percentage increased slightly to 55.7% in 2009 from 55.5% in 2008. The slight increase in gross margin percentage was primarily attributable to the gross margin percentage increases in the NAND Solutions Group and Data Center Group operating segments offset by the gross margin percentage decrease in the PC Client Group operating segment. We derived a substantial majority of our overall gross margin dollars in 2009, and most of our overall gross margin dollars in 2008, from the sales of microprocessors in the PC Client Group and Data Center Group operating segments. See *Business Outlook* for a discussion of gross margin expectations.

Our net revenue for 2008 decreased 2% compared to 2007. Higher revenue from the sale of microprocessors and chipsets was more than offset by the impacts of divestitures and lower revenue from the sale of motherboards. Revenue from the sale of NOR flash memory and cellular baseband products declined \$1.7 billion, primarily as a result of divestiture of these businesses. Revenue in the Americas region decreased 4% in 2008 compared to 2007. Revenue in the Asia-Pacific, Europe, and Japan regions remained approximately flat in 2008 compared to 2007.

Our overall gross margin dollars for 2008 were \$20.8 billion, an increase of \$940 million, or 5%, compared to 2007. Our overall gross margin percentage increased to 55.5% in 2008 from 51.9% in 2007. The increase in gross margin percentage was primarily attributable to the gross margin percentage increase in the PC Client Group operating segment and, to a lesser extent, the gross margin percentage increase in the Data Center Group operating segment. In addition, our gross margin percentage increased due to the divestiture of our NOR flash memory business. We derived most of our overall gross margin dollars in 2008 and 2007 from the sale of microprocessors in the PC Client Group and Data Center Group operating segments.

***PC Client Group***

The revenue and operating income for the PC Client Group (PCCG) for the three years ended December 26, 2009 were as follows:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>
Microprocessor revenue	\$ 19,914	\$ 21,516	\$ 21,053
Chipset, motherboard, and other revenue	6,261	6,450	6,077
<b>Net revenue</b>	<b>\$ 26,175</b>	<b>\$ 27,966</b>	<b>\$ 27,130</b>
<b>Operating income</b>	<b>\$ 7,585</b>	<b>\$ 9,419</b>	<b>\$ 8,535</b>

Net revenue for the PCCG operating segment decreased by \$1.8 billion, or 6%, in 2009 compared to 2008. Microprocessors and chipsets within PCCG include those designed for the notebook, netbook, and desktop computing market segments. The decrease in microprocessor revenue was primarily due to lower notebook microprocessor average selling prices, and lower desktop microprocessor unit sales and average selling prices. These decreases were partially offset by a significant increase in netbook microprocessor unit sales due to the ramp of Intel Atom processors. The decrease in chipset, motherboard, and other revenue was primarily due to lower chipset average selling prices and lower unit sales of wireless connectivity products, partially offset by higher chipset unit sales.

Operating income decreased by \$1.8 billion, or 19%, in 2009 compared to 2008. The decrease was primarily due to lower revenue and approximately \$810 million of higher factory underutilization charges, partially offset by lower chipset and microprocessor unit costs.

For 2008, net revenue for the PCCG operating segment increased slightly by \$836 million, or 3%, compared to 2007. The increase in microprocessor revenue was primarily due to significantly higher notebook microprocessor unit sales, partially offset by significantly lower notebook microprocessor average selling prices. In addition, lower desktop microprocessor unit sales were partially offset by the ramp of Intel Atom processors. The increase in chipset, motherboard, and other revenue was primarily due to higher chipset unit sales, partially offset by lower desktop motherboard unit sales.

Operating income increased by \$884 million, or 10%, in 2008 compared to 2007. The increase in operating income was primarily due to lower microprocessor and chipset unit costs, partially offset by lower desktop microprocessor and chipset revenue and higher operating expenses. In addition, approximately \$230 million of lower start-up costs were offset by sales in 2007 of desktop microprocessors that had previously been written off, and higher write-offs of desktop microprocessor inventory in 2008.

**Table of Contents****MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)*****Data Center Group***

The revenue and operating income for the Data Center Group (DCG) for the three years ended December 26, 2009 were as follows:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>
Microprocessor revenue	\$ 5,301	\$ 5,126	\$ 4,796
Chipset, motherboard, and other revenue	1,149	1,464	1,659
<b>Net revenue</b>	<b>\$ 6,450</b>	<b>\$ 6,590</b>	<b>\$ 6,455</b>
<b>Operating income</b>	<b>\$ 2,299</b>	<b>\$ 2,135</b>	<b>\$ 2,105</b>

Net revenue for the DCG operating segment decreased slightly by \$140 million, or 2%, in 2009 compared to 2008. The increase in microprocessor revenue was due to higher microprocessor average selling prices, partially offset by lower microprocessor unit sales. The decrease in chipset, motherboard, and other revenue was primarily due to lower chipset average selling prices.

Operating income increased by \$164 million, or 8%, in 2009 compared to 2008. The increase in operating income was primarily due to higher microprocessor revenue and lower operating expenses, partially offset by approximately \$150 million of higher start-up costs as well as lower chipset revenue.

For 2008, net revenue for the DCG operating segment increased slightly by \$135 million, or 2%, compared to 2007. The increase in microprocessor revenue was due to higher microprocessor average selling prices. The decrease in chipset, motherboard, and other revenue was primarily due to lower motherboard unit sales.

Operating income was flat in 2008 compared to 2007. Higher revenue was mostly offset by higher operating expenses.

***Other Intel Architecture Operating Segments***

The revenue and operating income for the other Intel architecture operating segments (Other IA) for the three years ended December 26, 2009 were as follows:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>
<b>Net revenue</b>	<b>\$ 1,402</b>	<b>\$ 1,763</b>	<b>\$ 1,908</b>
<b>Operating income (loss)</b>	<b>\$ (179)</b>	<b>\$ (63)</b>	<b>\$ 47</b>

Net revenue for the Other IA operating segments decreased by \$361 million, or 20%, in 2009 compared to 2008, and operating loss for the Other IA operating segments increased by \$116 million in 2009 compared to 2008. The changes were primarily due to lower revenue from the sale of communications products within the Embedded and Communications Group (ECG), primarily as a result of business divestitures.

For 2008, net revenue for the Other IA operating segments decreased by \$145 million, or 8%, compared to 2007. The decrease was primarily due to lower revenue from the sale of communications products within ECG, primarily as a

result of business divestitures, partially offset by higher ECG microprocessor unit sales. Operating income (loss) decreased by \$110 million in 2008 compared to 2007. The decrease was primarily due to higher operating expenses within the Ultra-Mobility Group and, to a lesser extent, within the Digital Home Group.

**Table of Contents****MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)*****Operating Expenses***

Operating expenses for the three years ended December 26, 2009 were as follows:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>
Research and development	\$ 5,653	\$ 5,722	\$ 5,755
Marketing, general and administrative	\$ 7,931	\$ 5,452	\$ 5,401
Restructuring and asset impairment charges	\$ 231	\$ 710	\$ 516
Amortization of acquisition-related intangibles	\$ 35	\$ 6	\$ 16

*Research and Development.* R&D spending was flat in 2009 compared to 2008, and was flat in 2008 compared to 2007. In 2009 compared to 2008, we had lower process development costs as we transitioned from R&D to manufacturing using our 32nm process technology. This decrease was offset by higher profit-dependent compensation expenses. In 2008 compared to 2007, we had lower product development expenses resulting from our divested businesses and slightly lower profit-dependent compensation. These decreases were offset by higher process development costs as we transitioned from manufacturing start-up costs related to our 45nm process technology to R&D of our 32nm process technology.

*Marketing, General and Administrative.* Marketing, general and administrative expenses increased \$2.5 billion, or 45%, in 2009 compared to 2008, and were flat in 2008 compared to 2007. The increase in 2009 compared to 2008 was due to the charge of \$1.447 billion incurred as a result of the fine imposed by the European Commission (EC) and the \$1.25 billion payment to AMD as part of a settlement agreement (see Note 28: Contingencies in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K). To a lesser extent, we had higher profit-dependent compensation expenses that were partially offset by lower advertising expenses, including cooperative advertising expenses. In 2008 compared to 2007, we had higher legal expenses that were offset by lower profit-dependent compensation and lower advertising expenses.

R&D, combined with marketing, general and administrative expenses, were 39% of net revenue in 2009, 30% of net revenue in 2008, and 29% of net revenue in 2007.

*Restructuring and Asset Impairment Charges.* The following table summarizes restructuring and asset impairment charges by plan for the three years ended December 26, 2009:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>
2009 restructuring program	\$ 215	\$	\$
2008 NAND plan		215	
2006 efficiency program	16	495	516
<b>Total restructuring and asset impairment charges</b>	<b>\$ 231</b>	<b>\$ 710</b>	<b>\$ 516</b>

**2009 Restructuring Program**

In the first quarter of 2009, management approved plans to restructure some of our manufacturing and assembly and test operations. These plans included closing two assembly and test facilities in Malaysia, one facility in the Philippines, and one facility in China; stopping production at a 200mm wafer fabrication facility in Oregon; and ending production at our 200mm wafer fabrication facility in California. We do not expect significant future charges related to the 2009 plan. The following table summarizes charges for the 2009 restructuring plan during 2009:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>2009</b>
Employee severance and benefit arrangements	\$ 208
Asset impairments	7
<b>Total restructuring and asset impairment charges</b>	<b>\$ 215</b>

**Table of Contents****MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)**

The following table summarizes the restructuring and asset impairment activity for the 2009 restructuring plan during 2009:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>Employee Severance and Benefits</b>	<b>Asset Impairments</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Accrued restructuring balance as of December 27, 2008</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>
Additional accruals	223	7	230
Adjustments	(15)		(15)
Cash payments	(182)		(182)
Non-cash settlements		(7)	(7)
<b>Accrued restructuring balance as of December 26, 2009</b>	<b>\$ 26</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 26</b>

The net charges above include \$208 million that relate to employee severance and benefit arrangements for 6,500 employees, of which 5,400 employees had left the company as of December 26, 2009. Most of these employee actions occurred within manufacturing.

We estimate that these employee severance and benefit charges will result in gross annual savings of approximately \$290 million. The substantial majority of the savings will be realized within cost of sales.

**2008 NAND Plan**

In the fourth quarter of 2008, management approved a plan with Micron to discontinue the supply of NAND flash memory from the 200mm facility within the IMFT manufacturing network. The agreement resulted in a \$215 million restructuring charge, primarily related to the IMFT 200mm supply agreement. The restructuring charge resulted in a reduction of our investment in IMFT/IMFS of \$184 million, a cash payment to Micron of \$24 million, and other cash payments of \$7 million. The 2008 NAND plan was completed at the end of 2008.

**2006 Efficiency Program**

In the third quarter of 2006, management approved several actions as part of a restructuring plan designed to improve operational efficiency and financial results. The following table summarizes charges for the 2006 efficiency program for the three years ended December 26, 2009:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>
Employee severance and benefit arrangements	\$ 8	\$ 151	\$ 289
Asset impairments	8	344	227
<b>Total restructuring and asset impairment charges</b>	<b>\$ 16</b>	<b>\$ 495</b>	<b>\$ 516</b>

During 2006, as part of our assessment of our worldwide manufacturing capacity operations, we placed for sale our fabrication facility in Colorado Springs, Colorado. As a result of placing the facility for sale, in 2006 we recorded a \$214 million impairment charge to write down to fair value the land, building, and equipment. We incurred \$54 million in additional asset impairment charges as a result of market conditions related to the Colorado Springs facility during 2007 and additional charges in 2008. We sold the Colorado Springs facility in 2009.

In addition, during 2007 we recorded land and building write-downs related to certain facilities in Santa Clara, California. We also incurred \$85 million in asset impairment charges related to assets that we sold in conjunction with the divestiture of our NOR flash memory business in 2007 and an additional \$275 million in 2008. We determined the impairment charges based on the fair value, less selling costs, that we expected to receive upon completion of the divestiture in 2007, and determined the impairment charges using the revised fair value of the equity and note receivable that we received upon completion of the divestiture, less selling costs, in 2008. For further information on this divestiture, see Note 16: Divestitures in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.



**Table of Contents****MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)**

The following table summarizes the restructuring and asset impairment activity for the 2006 efficiency program during 2008 and 2009:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>Employee Severance and Benefits</b>	<b>Asset Impairments</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Accrued restructuring balance as of December 29, 2007</b>	<b>\$ 127</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 127</b>
Additional accruals	167	344	511
Adjustments	(16)		(16)
Cash payments	(221)		(221)
Non-cash settlements		(344)	(344)
<b>Accrued restructuring balance as of December 27, 2008</b>	<b>\$ 57</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 57</b>
Additional accruals	18	8	26
Adjustments	(10)		(10)
Cash payments	(65)		(65)
Non-cash settlements		(8)	(8)
<b>Accrued restructuring balance as of December 26, 2009</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>

We recorded the additional accruals, net of adjustments, as restructuring and asset impairment charges. The 2006 efficiency plan is complete.

From the third quarter of 2006 through 2009, we incurred a total of \$1.6 billion in restructuring and asset impairment charges related to this program. These charges included a total of \$686 million related to employee severance and benefit arrangements for 11,300 employees. A substantial majority of these employee actions affected employees within manufacturing, information technology, and marketing. The restructuring and asset impairment charges also included \$896 million in asset impairment charges.

We estimate that the total employee severance and benefit charges incurred as part of the 2006 efficiency program result in gross annual savings of approximately \$1.1 billion. We are realizing these savings within marketing, general and administrative; cost of sales; and R&D.

*Amortization of acquisition-related intangibles.* The increase of \$29 million was due to amortization of intangibles primarily related to the acquisition of Wind River Systems completed in the third quarter of 2009. See Note 15: Acquisitions in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

***Share-Based Compensation***

Share-based compensation totaled \$889 million in 2009, \$851 million in 2008, and \$952 million in 2007. Share-based compensation was included in cost of sales and operating expenses.

As of December 26, 2009, unrecognized share-based compensation costs and the weighted average periods over which the costs are expected to be recognized were as follows:

<b>(Dollars in Millions)</b>	<b>Unrecognized Share-Based Compensation Costs</b>	<b>Weighted Average Period</b>
Stock options	\$ 282	1.3 years
Restricted stock units	\$ 1,196	1.4 years
Stock purchase plan	\$ 9	1 month

**Table of Contents****MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)*****Gains (Losses) on Equity Method Investments, Net***

Gains (losses) on equity method investments, net were as follows:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>
Equity method losses, net	\$ (131)	\$ (316)	\$ (103)
Impairment charges	(42)	(1,077)	(28)
Other, net	26	13	134
<b>Total gains (losses) on equity method investments, net</b>	<b>\$ (147)</b>	<b>\$ (1,380)</b>	<b>\$ 3</b>

Impairment charges in 2008 included a \$762 million impairment charge recognized on our investment in Clearwire LLC and a \$250 million impairment charge recognized on our investment in Numonyx. We recognized the impairment charge on our investment in Clearwire LLC to write down our investment to its fair value, primarily due to the fair value being significantly lower than the cost basis of our investment in the fourth quarter of 2008. The impairment charge on our investment in Numonyx was due to a general decline in 2008 in the NOR flash memory market segment. Our equity method losses were primarily related to Numonyx (\$31 million in 2009 and \$87 million in 2008), Clearwire LLC (\$27 million in 2009), and Clearwire Corporation (\$184 million in 2008 and \$104 million in 2007). See Note 11: Non-Marketable Equity Investments in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K. During 2007, we recognized \$110 million of income due to the reorganization of one of our investments, included within Other, net in the table above.

***Gains (Losses) on Other Equity Investments, Net***

Gains (losses) on other equity investments, net were as follows:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>
Impairment charges	\$ (179)	\$ (455)	\$ (92)
Gains on sales, net	55	60	204
Other, net	101	19	42
<b>Total gains (losses) on other equity investments, net</b>	<b>\$ (23)</b>	<b>\$ (376)</b>	<b>\$ 154</b>

Impairment charges in 2008 included a \$176 million impairment charge recognized on our investment in Clearwire Corporation and \$97 million of impairment charges on our investment in Micron. The impairment charge on our investment in Clearwire Corporation was due to the fair value being significantly lower than the cost basis of our investment at the end of the fourth quarter of 2008. The impairment charges on our investment in Micron reflected the difference between our cost basis and the fair value of our investment in Micron at the end of the second and third quarters of 2008. In addition, we recognized higher gains on equity derivatives in 2009 compared to 2008.

***Interest and Other, Net***

The components of interest and other, net were as follows:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>
Interest income	\$ 168	\$ 592	\$ 804
Interest expense	(1)	(8)	(15)
Other, net	(4)	(96)	4
<b>Total interest and other, net</b>	<b>\$ 163</b>	<b>\$ 488</b>	<b>\$ 793</b>

We recognized lower interest income in 2009 compared to 2008 as a result of lower interest rates. The average interest rate earned during 2009 decreased by 2.4 percentage points compared to 2008. In addition, lower gains on divestitures (zero in 2009 and \$59 million in 2008) were more than offset by \$70 million of fair value gains in 2009 on our trading assets, compared to \$130 million of fair value losses in 2008.

**Table of Contents****MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)**

Interest and other, net decreased in 2008 compared to 2007 due to lower interest income and fair value losses that we experienced in 2008 on our trading assets. Interest income was lower in 2008 compared to 2007 as a result of lower interest rates, partially offset by higher average investment balances. The average interest rate earned during 2008 decreased by 1.9 percentage points compared to 2007.

***Provision for Taxes***

Our provision for taxes and effective tax rate were as follows:

<b>(Dollars in Millions)</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>
Income before taxes	\$ 5,704	\$ 7,686	\$ 9,166
Provision for taxes	\$ 1,335	\$ 2,394	\$ 2,190
Effective tax rate	23.4%	31.1%	23.9%

We generated a higher percentage of our profits from lower tax jurisdictions in 2009 compared to 2008. In addition, the 2009 tax rate was positively impacted by the reversal of previously accrued taxes of \$366 million on settlements, effective settlements, and related remeasurements of various uncertain tax positions compared to a reversal of \$103 million for such matters in 2008. These impacts were partially offset by the recognition of the EC fine of \$1.447 billion with no associated tax benefit. In addition, our 2008 effective tax rate was negatively impacted by the recognition of a valuation allowance on our deferred tax assets due to the uncertainty of realizing tax benefits related to impairments of our equity investments.

Our effective income tax rate increased in 2008 compared to 2007, primarily due to the recognition of a valuation allowance on our deferred tax assets due to the uncertainty of realizing tax benefits related to impairments of our equity investments. In addition, the rate increased in 2008 compared to 2007, due to the reversal of previously accrued taxes of \$481 million (including \$50 million of accrued interest) related to settlements with the U.S. Internal Revenue Service (IRS) in the first and second quarters of 2007.

**Business Outlook**

Our future results of operations and the topics of other forward-looking statements contained in this Form 10-K, including this MD&A, involve a number of risks and uncertainties in particular:

- changes in business and economic conditions;
- revenue and pricing;
- gross margin and costs;
- pending legal proceedings;
- our effective tax rate (including changes in unrecognized tax positions);
- marketing, general and administrative expenses;
- our goals and strategies;
- new product introductions;

- plans to cultivate new businesses;
- R&D expenses;

divestitures or investments;  
net gains (losses) from equity investments;  
interest and other, net;  
capital spending;  
depreciation; and  
impairment of investments.

In addition to the various important factors discussed above, a number of other important factors could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations. See the risks described in **Risk Factors** in Part I, Item 1A of this Form 10-K.

Our expectations for 2010 are as follows:

*Gross Margin Percentage.* 61%, plus or minus three points. The 61% midpoint is higher than our 2009 gross margin of 55.7%, primarily due to lower manufacturing period costs, mostly factory underutilization charges.

In addition, we expect lower unit costs and higher unit sales, partially offset by lower average selling prices.

*Total Spending.* We expect spending on R&D, plus marketing, general and administrative expenses, in 2010 to be approximately \$11.8 billion, plus or minus \$100 million, compared to \$13.6 billion in 2009. Total spending in 2009 included charges of \$1.447 billion incurred as a result of the fine imposed by the EC and \$1.25 billion as a result of our legal settlement with AMD.

*Research and Development Spending.* Approximately \$6.2 billion compared to \$5.7 billion in 2009.

*Capital Spending.* Approximately \$4.8 billion, plus or minus \$100 million, compared to \$4.5 billion in 2009.

*Depreciation.* Approximately \$4.4 billion, plus or minus \$100 million, compared to \$4.7 billion in 2009.

*Tax Rate.* Approximately 30%, compared to 23% in 2009. The estimated effective tax rate is based on tax law in effect as of December 26, 2009 and expected income.

**Table of Contents**

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)**

**Status of Business Outlook**

We expect that our corporate representatives will, from time to time, meet privately with investors, investment analysts, the media, and others, and may reiterate the forward-looking statements contained in the Business Outlook section and elsewhere in this Form 10-K, including any such statements that are incorporated by reference in this Form 10-K. At the same time, we will keep this Form 10-K and our most current business outlook publicly available on our Investor Relations web site at [www.intc.com](http://www.intc.com). The public can continue to rely on the business outlook published on the web site as representing our current expectations on matters covered, unless we publish a notice stating otherwise. The statements in the Business Outlook section and other forward-looking statements in this Form 10-K are subject to revision during the course of the year in our quarterly earnings releases and SEC filings and at other times.

From the close of business on February 26, 2010 until our quarterly earnings release is published, presently scheduled for April 13, 2010, we will observe a quiet period. During the quiet period, the Business Outlook section and other forward-looking statements first published in our Form 8-K filed on January 14, 2010, as reiterated or updated as applicable in this Form 10-K, should be considered historical, speaking as of prior to the quiet period only and not subject to update. During the quiet period, our representatives will not comment on our business outlook or our financial results or expectations. The exact timing and duration of the routine quiet period, and any others that we utilize from time to time, may vary at our discretion.

**Table of Contents****MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)****Liquidity and Capital Resources**

<b>(Dollars in Millions)</b>	<b>Dec. 26, 2009</b>	<b>Dec. 27, 2008</b>
Cash and cash equivalents, debt instruments included in trading assets, and short-term investments	\$ 13,920	\$ 11,544
Loans receivable and other long-term investments	\$ 4,528	\$ 2,924
Short-term and long-term debt	\$ 2,221	\$ 1,287
Debt as % of stockholders' equity	5.3%	3.3%

**Sources and Uses of Cash**  
(In Millions)

In summary, our cash flows were as follows:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 11,170	\$ 10,926	\$ 12,625
Net cash used for investing activities	(7,965)	(5,865)	(9,926)
Net cash used for financing activities	(2,568)	(9,018)	(1,990)
<b>Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents</b>	<b>\$ 637</b>	<b>\$ (3,957)</b>	<b>\$ 709</b>



**Table of Contents**

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)**

***Operating Activities***

Cash provided by operating activities is net income adjusted for certain non-cash items and changes in certain assets and liabilities. For 2009 compared to 2008, the \$244 million increase in cash provided by operating activities was primarily due to changes in assets and liabilities, partially offset by lower net income. Income taxes paid, net of refunds in 2009 compared to 2008 were \$3.1 billion lower on lower income before taxes and timing of payments.

Changes in assets and liabilities for 2009 compared to 2008 included the following:

*Inventories* decreased due to lower chipset and raw materials inventory.

*Accounts payable* decreased due to timing of payments, despite higher production spending.

*Accounts receivable* increased due to higher revenue and a higher proportion of sales at the end of the fourth quarter of 2009.

*Accrued compensation and benefits* increased due to higher accrued profit-dependent compensation.

For 2009 and 2008, our two largest customers accounted for 38% of our net revenue, with one of these customers accounting for 21% of our net revenue in 2009 (20% in 2008), and another customer accounting for 17% of our net revenue in 2009 (18% in 2008). These two largest customers accounted for 41% of our accounts receivable as of December 26, 2009 and December 27, 2008.

For 2008 compared to 2007, the \$1.7 billion decrease in cash provided by operating activities was primarily due to the \$1.7 billion decrease in net income, while total adjustments to reconcile net income to cash provided by operating activities, including net changes in assets and liabilities, were approximately flat. Effective 2008, cash flows related to marketable debt instruments classified as trading assets are included in investing activities.

***Investing Activities***

Investing cash flows consist primarily of capital expenditures, net investment purchases, maturities, disposals, and cash used for acquisitions.

The increase in cash used for investing activities in 2009 compared to 2008 was primarily due to an increase in net purchases of available-for-sale investments and trading assets, and higher cash paid for acquisitions. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in investments in non-marketable equity investments. Our investments in non-marketable equity investments in 2008 included \$1.0 billion for an ownership interest in Clearwire LLC.

Our capital expenditures were \$4.5 billion in 2009 (\$5.2 billion in 2008 and \$5.0 billion in 2007). Capital expenditures for 2010 are currently expected to be higher than our 2009 expenditures and are expected to be funded by cash flows from operating activities.

The decrease in cash used in investing activities in 2008 compared to 2007 was primarily due to a decrease in purchases of available-for-sale debt investments. In addition, the related cash flows for marketable debt instruments classified as trading assets were included in investing activities for 2008, and previously they had been included in operating activities.

***Financing Activities***

Financing cash flows consist primarily of repurchases and retirement of common stock, payment of dividends to stockholders, issuance of long-term debt, and proceeds from the sale of shares through employee equity incentive plans.

**Table of Contents****MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)**

The decrease in cash used in financing activities in 2009 compared to 2008 was primarily due to a decrease in repurchases and retirement of common stock and the issuance of long-term debt, partially offset by lower proceeds from sales of shares through employee equity incentive plans. We used the majority of the proceeds from the 2009 issuance of long-term debt to repurchase and retire common stock. During 2009, we repurchased \$1.8 billion of common stock compared to \$7.2 billion in 2008. As of December 26, 2009, \$5.7 billion remained available for repurchase under the existing repurchase authorization of \$25 billion. We base our level of common stock repurchases on internal cash management decisions, and this level may fluctuate. Proceeds from the sale of shares through employee equity incentive plans totaled \$400 million in 2009 compared to \$1.1 billion in 2008 as a result of a lower volume of employee exercises of stock options. Our total dividend payments in 2009 remained flat from 2008 at \$3.1 billion. We have paid a cash dividend in each of the past 69 quarters. In January 2010, our Board of Directors declared a cash dividend of \$0.1575 per common share for the first quarter of 2010. The dividend is payable on March 1, 2010 to stockholders of record on February 7, 2010.

The higher cash used in financing activities in 2008 compared to 2007 was primarily due to an increase in repurchases and retirement of common stock, and lower proceeds from the sale of shares pursuant to employee equity incentive plans.

***Liquidity***

Cash generated by operations is used as our primary source of liquidity. As of December 26, 2009, cash and cash equivalents, debt instruments included in trading assets, and short-term investments totaled \$13.9 billion. In addition to the \$13.9 billion, we have \$4.5 billion in loans receivable and other long-term investments that we include when assessing our investment portfolio.

The credit quality of our investment portfolio remains high, with credit-related other-than-temporary impairment losses on our available-for-sale debt instruments limited to less than \$55 million cumulatively since the beginning of 2008. In addition, we continue to be able to invest in high-credit-quality investments. Substantially all of our investments in debt instruments are with A/A2 or better rated issuers, and a substantial majority of the issuers are rated AA-/Aa3 or better.

As of December 26, 2009, cumulative unrealized gains, net of corresponding hedging activities, related to debt instruments classified as trading assets, and cumulative unrealized gains related to debt instruments classified as available-for-sale, were insignificant. As of December 27, 2008, our cumulative unrealized losses, net of corresponding hedging activities, related to debt instruments classified as trading assets were \$145 million. As of December 27, 2008, our cumulative unrealized losses related to debt instruments classified as available-for-sale were \$215 million.

Our commercial paper program provides another potential source of liquidity. We have an ongoing authorization from our Board of Directors to borrow up to \$3.0 billion, including through the issuance of commercial paper. Maximum borrowings under our commercial paper program during 2009 were \$610 million, although no commercial paper remained outstanding as of December 26, 2009. Our commercial paper was rated A-1+ by Standard & Poor's and P-1 by Moody's as of December 26, 2009. We also have an automatic shelf registration statement on file with the SEC pursuant to which we may offer an unspecified amount of debt, equity, and other securities.

We believe that we have the financial resources needed to meet business requirements for the next 12 months, including capital expenditures for worldwide manufacturing and assembly and test, working capital requirements, and potential dividends, common stock repurchases, and acquisitions or strategic investments.

### **Fair Value of Financial Instruments**

Fair value is the price that would be received from selling an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. When determining fair value, we consider the principal or most advantageous market in which we would transact, and we consider assumptions that market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability. See Note 5: Fair Value in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Credit risk is factored into the valuation of financial instruments that we measure and record at fair value on a recurring basis. When fair value is determined using observable market prices, the credit risk is incorporated into the market price of the financial instrument. When fair value is determined using pricing models, such as a discounted cash flow model, the issuer's credit risk and/or Intel's credit risk is factored into the calculation of the fair value, as appropriate.

**Table of Contents**

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)**

***Marketable Debt Instruments***

As of December 26, 2009, our assets measured and recorded at fair value on a recurring basis included \$17.5 billion of marketable debt instruments. Of these instruments, \$657 million was classified as Level 1, \$15.8 billion as Level 2, and \$1.1 billion as Level 3.

When available, we use observable market prices for identical securities to value our marketable debt instruments. If observable market prices are not available, we use non-binding market consensus prices that we seek to corroborate with observable market data, if available, or unobservable market data. When prices from multiple sources are available for a given instrument, we use observable market quotes to price our instruments, in lieu of prices from other sources.

Our balance of marketable debt instruments that are measured and recorded at fair value on a recurring basis and classified as Level 1 was classified as such due to the usage of observable market prices for identical securities that are traded in active markets. Marketable debt instruments in this category generally include certain of our corporate bonds, government bonds, and money market fund deposits. Management judgment was required to determine the levels at which sufficient volume and frequency of transactions are met for a market to be considered active. Our assessment of an active market for our marketable debt instruments generally takes into consideration activity during each week of the one-month period prior to the valuation date of each individual instrument, including the number of days each individual instrument trades and the average weekly trading volume in relation to the total outstanding amount of the issued instrument.

Approximately 10% of our balance of marketable debt instruments that are measured and recorded at fair value on a recurring basis and classified as Level 2 was classified as such due to the usage of observable market prices for identical securities that are traded in less active markets. When observable market prices for identical securities are not available, we price our marketable debt instruments using non-binding market consensus prices that are corroborated with observable market data; quoted market prices for similar instruments; or pricing models, such as a discounted cash flow model, with all significant inputs derived from or corroborated with observable market data. Non-binding market consensus prices are based on the proprietary valuation models of pricing providers or brokers. These valuation models incorporate a number of inputs, including non-binding and binding broker quotes; observable market prices for identical and/or similar securities; and the internal assumptions of pricing providers or brokers that use observable market inputs and, to a lesser degree, unobservable market inputs. We corroborate the non-binding market consensus prices with observable market data using statistical models when observable market data exists. The discounted cash flow model uses observable market inputs, such as LIBOR-based yield curves, currency spot and forward rates, and credit ratings. Approximately 40% of our balance of marketable debt instruments that are measured and recorded at fair value on a recurring basis and classified as Level 2 was classified as such due to the usage of non-binding market consensus prices that are corroborated with observable market data, and approximately 50% due to the usage of a discounted cash flow model. Marketable debt instruments classified as Level 2 generally include commercial paper, bank time deposits, municipal bonds, certain of our money market fund deposits, and a majority of corporate bonds and government bonds.

Our marketable debt instruments that are measured and recorded at fair value on a recurring basis and classified as Level 3 were classified as such due to the lack of observable market data to corroborate either the non-binding market consensus prices or the non-binding broker quotes. When observable market data is not available, we corroborate the non-binding market consensus prices and non-binding broker quotes using unobservable data, if available. Marketable

debt instruments in this category generally include asset-backed securities and certain of our corporate bonds. All of our investments in asset-backed securities were classified as Level 3, and substantially all of them were valued using non-binding market consensus prices that we were not able to corroborate with observable market data due to the lack of transparency in the market for asset-backed securities.

***Equity Securities***

As of December 26, 2009, our portfolio of assets measured and recorded at fair value on a recurring basis included \$773 million of marketable equity securities. Of these securities, \$676 million was classified as Level 1 because the valuations were based on quoted prices for identical securities in active markets. Our assessment of an active market for our marketable equity securities generally takes into consideration activity during each week of the one-month period prior to the valuation date for each individual security, including the number of days each individual equity security trades and the average weekly trading volume in relation to the total outstanding shares of that security. The remaining marketable equity securities of \$97 million were classified as Level 2 because their valuations were either based on quoted prices for identical securities in less active markets or adjusted for security-specific restrictions.

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)****Contractual Obligations**

The following table summarizes our significant contractual obligations as of December 26, 2009:

(In Millions)	Total	Payments Due by Period			
		Less Than 1 Year	1 3 Years	3 5 Years	More Than 5 Years
Operating lease obligations	\$ 349	\$ 102	\$ 149	\$ 60	\$ 38
Capital purchase obligations <sup>1</sup>	1,836	1,760	76		
Other purchase obligations and commitments <sup>2</sup>	866	290	403	48	125
Long-term debt obligations <sup>3</sup>	7,253	284	238	238	6,493
Other long-term liabilities <sup>4, 5</sup>	593	230	153	97	113
<b>Total<sup>6</sup></b>	<b>\$ 10,897</b>	<b>\$ 2,666</b>	<b>\$ 1,019</b>	<b>\$ 443</b>	<b>\$ 6,769</b>

<sup>1</sup> Capital purchase obligations represent commitments for the construction or purchase of property, plant and equipment. They were not recorded as liabilities on our consolidated balance sheets as of December 26, 2009, as we had not yet received the related goods or taken title to the property. Capital purchase obligations decreased from \$2.9 billion as of December 27, 2008 to \$1.8 billion as of December 26, 2009, primarily due to the timing of the ramp of our latest silicon process technology.

<sup>2</sup> Other purchase obligations and commitments include payments due under various types of licenses and agreements to purchase raw materials or other goods, as well as payments due under non-contingent funding obligations. Funding obligations include, for example, agreements to fund various projects with other companies.

<sup>3</sup> Amounts represent principal and interest cash payments over the life of the debt obligations, including anticipated interest payments that are not recorded on our consolidated balance sheets. Any future settlement of convertible debt would impact our cash payments.

<sup>4</sup> We are unable to reliably estimate the timing of future payments related to uncertain tax positions; therefore, \$193 million of long-term income taxes payable has been excluded from the table above. However, long-term income taxes payable, included on our consolidated balance sheets, included these uncertain tax positions, reduced by the associated federal deduction for state taxes and U.S. tax credits arising from non-U.S. income.

<sup>5</sup> Amounts represent future cash payments to satisfy other long-term liabilities recorded on our consolidated balance sheets, including the short-term portion of these long-term liabilities. Expected contributions to our U.S. and non-U.S. pension plans and other postretirement benefit plans of \$60 million to be made during 2010 are also included; however, funding projections beyond 2010 are not practical to estimate.

<sup>6</sup> *Total generally excludes contractual obligations already recorded on our consolidated balance sheets as current liabilities.*

Contractual obligations for purchases of goods or services generally include agreements that are enforceable and legally binding on Intel and that specify all significant terms, including fixed or minimum quantities to be purchased; fixed, minimum, or variable price provisions; and the approximate timing of the transaction. The table above also includes agreements to purchase raw materials that have cancellation provisions requiring little or no payment. The amounts under such contracts are included in the table above because management believes that cancellation of these contracts is unlikely and expects to make future cash payments according to the contract terms or in similar amounts for similar materials. For other obligations with cancellation provisions, the amounts included in the table above were limited to the non-cancelable portion of the agreement terms and/or the minimum cancellation fee.

We have entered into certain agreements for the purchase of raw materials or other goods that specify minimum prices and quantities based on a percentage of the total available market or based on a percentage of our future purchasing requirements. Due to the uncertainty of the future market and our future purchasing requirements, obligations under these agreements are not included in the table above. We estimate our obligation under these agreements as of December 26, 2009 to be approximately as follows: less than one year \$364 million; one to three years \$3 million; more than three years zero. Our purchase orders for other products are based on our current manufacturing needs and are fulfilled by our vendors within short time horizons. In addition, some of our purchase orders represent authorizations to purchase rather than binding agreements.



**Table of Contents**

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)**

Contractual obligations that are contingent upon the achievement of certain milestones are not included in the table above. These obligations include contingent funding/payment obligations and milestone-based equity investment funding. These arrangements are not considered contractual obligations until the milestone is met by the third party. Assuming that all future milestones are met, additional required payments related to these obligations were not significant as of December 26, 2009.

For the majority of restricted stock units granted, the number of shares issued on the date the restricted stock units vest is net of the minimum statutory withholding requirements that we pay in cash to the appropriate taxing authorities on behalf of our employees. The obligation to pay the relative taxing authority is not included in the table above, as the amount is contingent upon continued employment. In addition, the amount of the obligation is unknown, as it is based in part on the market price of our common stock when the awards vest.

We have a contractual obligation to purchase the output of IMFT in proportion to our investments, 49% as of December 26, 2009. We also have several agreements with Micron related to intellectual property rights, and R&D funding related to NAND flash manufacturing and IMFT. The obligation to purchase our proportion of IMFT's inventory was \$100 million as of December 26, 2009. See Note 11: Non-Marketable Equity Investments in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

The expected timing of payments of the obligations above are estimates based on current information. Timing of payments and actual amounts paid may be different, depending on the time of receipt of goods or services, or changes to agreed-upon amounts for some obligations.

**Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements**

As of December 26, 2009, with the exception of a guarantee for the repayment of \$275 million in principal of the payment obligations of Numonyx under its senior credit facility, as well as accrued unpaid interest, expenses of the lenders, and penalties, we did not have any significant off-balance-sheet arrangements, as defined in Item 303(a)(4)(ii) of SEC Regulation S-K. In February 2010, we signed a definitive agreement with Micron and Numonyx under which Micron agreed to acquire Numonyx in an all-stock transaction. The senior credit facility that is supported by Intel's guarantee is expected to be repaid in full following the closing of this transaction. See Note 11: Non-Marketable Equity Investments in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

**Table of Contents****ITEM QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK  
7A.**

We use derivative financial instruments primarily to manage currency exchange rate and interest rate risk, and to a lesser extent, equity market and commodity price risk. All of the potential changes noted below are based on sensitivity analyses performed on our financial positions as of December 26, 2009 and December 27, 2008. Actual results may differ materially.

**Currency Exchange Rates**

We generally hedge currency risks of non-U.S.-dollar-denominated investments in debt instruments and loans receivable with offsetting currency forward contracts, currency options, or currency interest rate swaps. Gains and losses on these non-U.S.-currency investments would generally be offset by corresponding losses and gains on the related hedging instruments, resulting in a negligible net exposure to loss.

Substantially all of our revenue and a majority of our expense and capital purchasing activities are transacted in U.S. dollars. However, certain operating expenditures and capital purchases are incurred in or exposed to other currencies, primarily the Japanese yen, the euro, and the Israeli shekel. We have established balance sheet and forecasted transaction currency risk management programs to protect against fluctuations in fair value and the volatility of future cash flows caused by changes in exchange rates. We generally utilize currency forward contracts and, to a lesser extent, currency options in these hedging programs. Our hedging programs reduce, but do not always entirely eliminate, the impact of currency exchange rate movements (see Risk Factors in Part I, Item 1A of this Form 10-K). We considered the historical trends in currency exchange rates and determined that it was reasonably possible that a weighted average adverse change of 20% in currency exchange rates could be experienced in the near term. Such an adverse change, after taking into account hedges and offsetting positions, would have resulted in an adverse impact on income before taxes of less than \$40 million as of December 26, 2009 (less than \$55 million as of December 27, 2008).

**Interest Rates**

We are exposed to interest rate risk related to our investment portfolio and debt issuances. The primary objective of our investments in debt instruments is to preserve principal while maximizing yields. To achieve this objective, the returns on our investments in debt instruments are generally based on the U.S.-dollar three-month LIBOR. A hypothetical decrease in interest rates of 1.0% would have resulted in an increase in the fair value of our debt issuances of approximately \$205 million as of December 26, 2009 (an increase of approximately \$150 million as of December 27, 2008). A hypothetical decrease in interest rates of up to 1.0% would have resulted in an increase in the fair value of our investment portfolio of approximately \$10 million as of December 26, 2009 (an increase of approximately \$15 million as of December 27, 2008). These hypothetical decreases in interest rates, after taking into account hedges and offsetting positions, would have resulted in a decrease in the fair value of our net investment position of approximately \$195 million as of December 26, 2009 and \$135 million as of December 27, 2008. The fluctuations in fair value of our debt issuances and investment portfolio reflect only the direct impact of the change in interest rates. Other economic variables, such as equity market fluctuations and changes in relative credit risk, could result in a significantly higher decline in our net investment portfolio. For further information on how credit risk is factored into the valuation of our investment portfolio and debt issuances, see Fair Value of Financial Instruments in Part II, Item 7 of this Form 10-K.

**Equity Prices**

Our marketable equity investments include marketable equity securities and equity derivative instruments such as warrants and options. To the extent that our marketable equity securities have strategic value, we typically do not attempt to reduce or eliminate our equity market exposure through hedging activities; however, for our investments in strategic equity derivative instruments, including warrants, we may enter into transactions to reduce or eliminate the equity market risks. For securities that we no longer consider strategic, we evaluate legal, market, and economic factors in our decision on the timing of disposal and whether it is possible and appropriate to hedge the equity market risk.

We hold derivative instruments that seek to offset changes in liabilities related to the equity market risks of certain deferred compensation arrangements. The gains and losses from changes in fair value of these derivatives are designed to offset the gains and losses on the related liabilities, resulting in an insignificant net exposure to loss.

**Table of Contents**

As of December 26, 2009, the fair value of our marketable equity securities and our equity derivative instruments, including hedging positions, was \$805 million (\$362 million as of December 27, 2008). Our marketable equity securities include our investments in Clearwire Corporation and Micron, carried at a fair market value of \$250 million and \$148 million, respectively, as of December 26, 2009. To determine reasonably possible decreases in the market value of our marketable equity investments, we analyzed the expected market price sensitivity of our marketable equity investment portfolio. Assuming a loss of 50% in market prices, and after reflecting the impact of hedges and offsetting positions, the aggregate value of our marketable equity investments could decrease by approximately \$405 million, based on the value as of December 26, 2009 (a decrease in value of approximately \$220 million, based on the value as of December 27, 2008 using an assumed loss of 60%). The decrease in the assumed loss percentage from December 27, 2008 to December 26, 2009 is due to lower expected overall equity market volatility.

Many of the same factors that could result in an adverse movement of equity market prices affect our non-marketable equity investments, although we cannot always quantify the impact directly. Financial markets and credit markets are volatile, which could negatively affect the prospects of the companies we invest in, their ability to raise additional capital, and the likelihood of our being able to realize value in our investments through liquidity events such as initial public offerings, mergers, and private sales. These types of investments involve a great deal of risk, and there can be no assurance that any specific company will grow or become successful; consequently, we could lose all or part of our investment. Our non-marketable equity investments, excluding investments accounted for under the equity method, had a carrying amount of \$939 million as of December 26, 2009 (\$1.0 billion as of December 27, 2008). As of December 26, 2009, the carrying amount of our non-marketable equity method investments was \$2.5 billion (\$3.0 billion as of December 27, 2008). A substantial majority of this balance as of December 26, 2009 was concentrated in companies in the flash memory market segment. Our flash memory market segment investments include our investment of \$1.6 billion in IMFT/IMFS (\$2.1 billion as of December 27, 2008) and \$453 million in Numonyx (\$484 million as of December 27, 2008).

In February 2010, we signed a definitive agreement with Micron and Numonyx under which Micron agreed to acquire Numonyx in an all-stock transaction. The value of the Micron common stock that we would receive upon the closing of the transaction is subject to equity market risk. For further information, see Note 11: Non-Marketable Equity Investments in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

**Table of Contents**

**ITEM FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA**  
**8.**

**INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations</u>	50
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	51
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	52
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity</u>	53
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	54
<u>Reports of Ernst &amp; Young LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	111
<u>Supplemental Data: Financial Information by Quarter</u>	113

**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

**Three Years Ended December 26, 2009****(In Millions, Except Per Share Amounts)**

	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>
<b>Net revenue</b>	<b>\$ 35,127</b>	<b>\$ 37,586</b>	<b>\$ 38,334</b>
Cost of sales	15,566	16,742	18,430
<b>Gross margin</b>	<b>19,561</b>	<b>20,844</b>	<b>19,904</b>
Research and development	5,653	5,722	5,755
Marketing, general and administrative	7,931	5,452	5,401
Restructuring and asset impairment charges	231	710	516
Amortization of acquisition-related intangibles	35	6	16
<b>Operating expenses</b>	<b>13,850</b>	<b>11,890</b>	<b>11,688</b>
<b>Operating income</b>	<b>5,711</b>	<b>8,954</b>	<b>8,216</b>
Gains (losses) on equity method investments, net	(147)	(1,380)	3
Gains (losses) on other equity investments, net	(23)	(376)	154
Interest and other, net	163	488	793
<b>Income before taxes</b>	<b>5,704</b>	<b>7,686</b>	<b>9,166</b>
Provision for taxes	1,335	2,394	2,190
<b>Net income</b>	<b>\$ 4,369</b>	<b>\$ 5,292</b>	<b>\$ 6,976</b>
<b>Basic earnings per common share</b>	<b>\$ 0.79</b>	<b>\$ 0.93</b>	<b>\$ 1.20</b>
<b>Diluted earnings per common share</b>	<b>\$ 0.77</b>	<b>\$ 0.92</b>	<b>\$ 1.18</b>
<b>Weighted average common shares outstanding:</b>			
<b>Basic</b>	<b>5,557</b>	<b>5,663</b>	<b>5,816</b>
<b>Diluted</b>	<b>5,645</b>	<b>5,748</b>	<b>5,936</b>

*See accompanying notes.*

Table of Contents

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

**December 26, 2009 and December 27, 2008**

**(In Millions, Except Par Value)**

	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008<sup>1</sup></b>
<b>Assets</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3,987	\$ 3,350
Short-term investments	5,285	5,331
Trading assets	4,648	3,162
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$19 (\$17 in 2008)	2,273	1,712
Inventories	2,935	3,744
Deferred tax assets	1,216	1,390
Other current assets	813	1,182
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b>21,157</b>	<b>19,871</b>
<b>Property, plant and equipment, net</b>	<b>17,225</b>	<b>17,574</b>
<b>Marketable equity securities</b>	<b>773</b>	<b>352</b>
<b>Other long-term investments</b>	<b>4,179</b>	<b>2,924</b>
<b>Goodwill</b>	<b>4,421</b>	<b>3,932</b>
<b>Other long-term assets</b>	<b>5,340</b>	<b>5,819</b>
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 53,095</b>	<b>\$ 50,472</b>
<b>Liabilities and stockholders' equity</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Short-term debt	\$ 172	\$ 102
Accounts payable	1,883	2,390
Accrued compensation and benefits	2,448	2,015
Accrued advertising	773	807
Deferred income on shipments to distributors	593	463
Other accrued liabilities	1,636	1,901
Income taxes payable	86	140
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<b>7,591</b>	<b>7,818</b>
<b>Long-term income taxes payable</b>	<b>193</b>	<b>736</b>
<b>Long-term debt</b>	<b>2,049</b>	<b>1,185</b>
<b>Long-term deferred tax liabilities</b>	<b>555</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>Other long-term liabilities</b>	<b>1,003</b>	<b>1,141</b>
<b>Commitments and contingencies (Notes 22 and 28)</b>		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, 50 shares authorized; none issued		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 10,000 shares authorized; 5,523 issued and outstanding (5,562 in 2008) and capital in excess of par value	14,993	13,402
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	393	(393)

Retained earnings	26,318	26,537
<b>Total stockholders' equity</b>	<b>41,704</b>	<b>39,546</b>
<b>Total liabilities and stockholders' equity</b>	<b>\$ 53,095</b>	<b>\$ 50,472</b>

<sup>1</sup> As adjusted due to changes to the accounting for convertible debt instruments. See Note 3: Accounting Changes.

See accompanying notes.



**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

**Three Years Ended December 26, 2009****(In Millions)**

	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>
<b>Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year</b>	<b>\$ 3,350</b>	<b>\$ 7,307</b>	<b>\$ 6,598</b>
Cash flows provided by (used for) operating activities:			
Net income	4,369	5,292	6,976
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation	4,744	4,360	4,546
Share-based compensation	889	851	952
Restructuring, asset impairment, and net loss on retirement of assets	368	795	564
Excess tax benefit from share-based payment arrangements	(9)	(30)	(118)
Amortization of intangibles and other acquisition-related costs	308	256	252
(Gains) losses on equity method investments, net	147	1,380	(3)
(Gains) losses on other equity investments, net	23	376	(154)
(Gains) losses on divestitures		(59)	(21)
Deferred taxes	271	(790)	(443)
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Trading assets	299	193	(1,429)
Accounts receivable	(535)	260	316
Inventories	796	(395)	700
Accounts payable	(506)	29	102
Accrued compensation and benefits	247	(569)	354
Income taxes payable and receivable	110	(834)	(248)
Other assets and liabilities	(351)	(189)	279
Total adjustments	6,801	5,634	5,649
<b>Net cash provided by operating activities</b>	<b>11,170</b>	<b>10,926</b>	<b>12,625</b>
Cash flows provided by (used for) investing activities:			
Additions to property, plant and equipment	(4,515)	(5,197)	(5,000)
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(853)	(16)	(76)
Purchases of available-for-sale investments	(8,655)	(6,479)	(11,728)
Maturities and sales of available-for-sale investments	7,756	7,993	8,011
Purchases of trading assets	(4,186)	(2,676)	
Maturities and sales of trading assets	2,543	1,766	
Loans receivable	(343)		
Investments in non-marketable equity investments	(250)	(1,691)	(1,459)
Return of equity method investment	449	316	
Proceeds from divestitures		85	32
Other investing activities	89	34	294
<b>Net cash used for investing activities</b>	<b>(7,965)</b>	<b>(5,865)</b>	<b>(9,926)</b>

## Cash flows provided by (used for) financing activities:

Increase (decrease) in short-term debt, net	(87)	(40)	(39)
Proceeds from government grants		182	160
Excess tax benefit from share-based payment arrangements	9	30	118
Issuance of long-term debt	1,980		125
Proceeds from sales of shares through employee equity incentive plans	400	1,105	3,052
Repurchase and retirement of common stock	(1,762)	(7,195)	(2,788)
Payment of dividends to stockholders	(3,108)	(3,100)	(2,618)
<b>Net cash used for financing activities</b>	<b>(2,568)</b>	<b>(9,018)</b>	<b>(1,990)</b>
<b>Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents</b>	<b>637</b>	<b>(3,957)</b>	<b>709</b>
<b>Cash and cash equivalents, end of year</b>	<b>\$ 3,987</b>	<b>\$ 3,350</b>	<b>\$ 7,307</b>

## Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:

## Cash paid during the year for:

Interest, net of amounts capitalized of \$86 in 2009 (\$86 in 2008 and \$57 in 2007)	\$ 4	\$ 6	\$ 15
Income taxes, net of refunds	\$ 943	\$ 4,007	\$ 2,762

*See accompanying notes.*

Table of Contents

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY**

	Common Stock and Capital in Excess of Par Value		Accumulated Other		
	Number of Shares	Amount	Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Retained Earnings	Total
<b>Three Years Ended December 26, 2009</b>					
<b>(In Millions, Except Per Share Amounts)</b>					
<b>Balance as of December 30, 2006 (prior to adoption of convertible debt accounting standards)</b>	<b>5,766</b>	<b>\$ 7,825</b>	<b>\$ (57)</b>	<b>\$ 28,984</b>	<b>\$ 36,752</b>
Cumulative-effect adjustment, net of tax <sup>1</sup> : Adoption of convertible debt accounting standards		458			458
<b>Balance as of December 30, 2006 (post-adoption of convertible debt accounting standards)</b>	<b>5,766</b>	<b>8,283</b>	<b>(57)</b>	<b>28,984</b>	<b>37,210</b>
Cumulative-effect adjustments, net of tax <sup>1</sup> : Adoption of sabbatical leave accounting standards				(181)	(181)
Adoption of uncertain tax positions accounting standards				181	181
Components of comprehensive income, net of tax:					
Net income				6,976	6,976
Other comprehensive income (loss)			318		318
Total comprehensive income					7,294
Proceeds from sales of shares through employee equity incentive plans, net excess tax benefit, and other	165	3,170			3,170
Share-based compensation		952			952
Repurchase and retirement of common stock	(113)	(294)		(2,494)	(2,788)
Cash dividends declared (\$0.45 per common share)				(2,618)	(2,618)
<b>Balance as of December 29, 2007</b>	<b>5,818</b>	<b>12,111</b>	<b>261</b>	<b>30,848</b>	<b>43,220</b>
Components of comprehensive income, net of tax:					
Net income				5,292	5,292
Other comprehensive income (loss)			(654)		(654)
Total comprehensive income					4,638

Proceeds from sales of shares through employee equity incentive plans, net excess tax benefit, and other	72	1,132		1,132
Share-based compensation		851		851
Repurchase and retirement of common stock	(328)	(692)	(6,503)	(7,195)
Cash dividends declared (\$0.5475 per common share)			(3,100)	(3,100)
<b>Balance as of December 27, 2008</b>	<b>5,562</b>	<b>13,402</b>	<b>(393)</b>	<b>26,537</b>
Components of comprehensive income, net of tax:				
Net income			4,369	4,369
Other comprehensive income (loss)			786	786
Total comprehensive income				5,155
Proceeds from sales of shares through employee equity incentive plans, net tax deficiency, and other	55	381		381
Issuance of convertible debt		603		603
Share-based compensation		889		889
Repurchase and retirement of common stock	(94)	(282)	(1,480)	(1,762)
Cash dividends declared (\$0.56 per common share)			(3,108)	(3,108)
<b>Balance as of December 26, 2009</b>	<b>5,523</b>	<b>\$ 14,993</b>	<b>\$ 393</b>	<b>\$ 26,318</b>
				<b>\$ 41,704</b>

<sup>1</sup> For further discussion of the cumulative-effect adjustments, see Note 3: Accounting Changes.

See accompanying notes.

**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**Note 1: Basis of Presentation**

We have a 52- or 53-week fiscal year that ends on the last Saturday in December. Fiscal years 2009, 2008, and 2007 were all 52-week years. Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Intel Corporation and our wholly owned subsidiaries. Intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. We use the equity method to account for equity investments in instances in which we own common stock or similar interests, and have the ability to exercise significant influence, but not control, over the investee. The U.S. dollar is the functional currency for Intel and our subsidiaries; therefore, we do not have a translation adjustment recorded through accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).

Customer credit balances are included in other accrued liabilities and were \$293 million as of December 26, 2009 (\$447 million as of December 27, 2008).

We have evaluated subsequent events through the date that the financial statements were issued on February 22, 2010.

**Note 2: Accounting Policies**

*Use of Estimates*

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the amounts reported in our consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes. The accounting estimates that require our most significant, difficult, and subjective judgments include:

- the valuation of non-marketable equity investments and the determination of other-than-temporary impairments;
- the assessment of recoverability of long-lived assets;
- the recognition and measurement of current and deferred income taxes (including the measurement of uncertain tax positions); and
- the valuation of inventory.

The actual results that we experience may differ materially from our estimates.

*Trading Assets*

Marketable debt instruments are designated as trading assets when the interest rate or foreign exchange rate risk is hedged at inception with a related derivative instrument. Investments designated as trading assets are reported at fair value. The gains or losses of these investments arising from changes in fair value due to interest rate and currency market fluctuations and credit market volatility, offset by losses or gains on the related derivative instruments, are recorded in interest and other, net. We also designate certain floating-rate securitized financial instruments, primarily asset-backed securities purchased after December 30, 2006, as trading assets.

During 2009, we sold our equity securities offsetting deferred compensation and entered into derivative instruments that seek to offset changes in liabilities related to these deferred compensation arrangements. Gains or losses from changes in fair value of these equity securities were offset against losses or gains on the related liabilities and included in interest and other, net. See Note 8: Derivative Financial Instruments for further information on our equity market risk management programs.

***Available-for-Sale Investments***

We consider all liquid available-for-sale debt instruments with original maturities from the date of purchase of approximately three months or less to be cash and cash equivalents. Available-for-sale debt instruments with original maturities at the date of purchase greater than approximately three months and remaining maturities of less than one year are classified as short-term investments. Available-for-sale debt instruments with remaining maturities beyond one year are classified as other long-term investments.

**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Investments that we designate as available-for-sale are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses, net of tax, recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), except as noted in the Other-Than-Temporary Impairment section below. We determine the cost of the investment sold based on the specific identification method. Our available-for-sale investments include:

*Marketable debt instruments* when the interest rate and foreign currency risks are not hedged at inception of the investment or when our designation for trading assets is not met. We hold these debt instruments to generate a return commensurate with the U.S.-dollar three-month LIBOR. We record the interest income and realized gains and losses on the sale of these instruments in interest and other, net.

*Marketable equity securities* when the investments are considered strategic in nature at the time of original classification or there are barriers to mitigating equity market risk through the sale or use of derivative instruments at the time of original classification. We acquire these equity investments for the promotion of business and strategic objectives. To the extent that these investments continue to have strategic value, we typically do not attempt to reduce or eliminate the equity market risks through hedging activities. We record the realized gains or losses on the sale or exchange of marketable equity securities in gains (losses) on other equity investments, net.

***Non-Marketable Equity Investments***

Our non-marketable equity investments are included in other long-term assets. We account for non-marketable equity investments for which we do not have control over the investee as:

*Equity method investments* when we have the ability to exercise significant influence, but not control, over the investee. Gains (losses) on equity method investments, net may be recorded with up to a one-quarter lag.

*Cost method investments* when the equity method does not apply. We record the realized gains or losses on the sale of non-marketable cost method investments in gains (losses) on other equity investments, net.

***Other-Than-Temporary Impairment***

All of our available-for-sale investments and non-marketable equity investments are subject to a periodic impairment review. Investments are considered impaired when the fair value is below the investment's cost basis/amortized cost. Impairments affect earnings as follows:

*Marketable debt instruments* when the fair value is below amortized cost and (1) we intend to sell the instrument, (2) it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the instrument before recovery of its amortized cost basis, or (3) we do not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the instrument (that is, a credit loss exists). Other-than-temporary impairments are separated into amounts representing credit losses, which are recognized in earnings, and amounts related to all other factors, which are recognized in other comprehensive income (loss).

*Marketable equity securities* based on the specific facts and circumstances present at the time of assessment, which include the consideration of general market conditions, the duration and extent to which the fair value is below cost, and our intent and ability to hold the investment for a sufficient period of time to allow for recovery in value in the foreseeable future. We also consider specific adverse conditions related to the financial health of, and business outlook for, the investee, which may include industry and sector performance, changes in technology, operational and financing cash flow factors, and changes in the investee's credit rating. We record other-than-temporary impairment charges on marketable equity securities in gains (losses) on other equity investments, net.

*Non-marketable equity investments* based on our assessment of the severity and duration of the impairment, and qualitative and quantitative analysis, including:

- the investee's revenue and earning trends relative to pre-defined milestones and overall business prospects;
- the technological feasibility of the investee's products and technologies;
- the general market conditions in the investee's industry or geographic area, including adverse regulatory or economic changes;
- factors related to the investee's ability to remain in business, such as the investee's liquidity, debt ratios, and the rate at which the investee is using its cash; and
- the investee's receipt of additional funding at a lower valuation.

We record other-than-temporary impairment charges in gains (losses) on equity method investments, net for non-marketable equity method investments and in gains (losses) on other equity investments, net for non-marketable cost method investments.



**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

***Derivative Financial Instruments***

Our primary objective for holding derivative financial instruments is to manage currency exchange rate and interest rate risk, and to a lesser extent, equity market and commodity price risk. Our derivative financial instruments are recorded at fair value and are included in other current assets, other long-term assets, other accrued liabilities, or other long-term liabilities.

Our accounting policies for derivative financial instruments are based on whether they meet the criteria for designation as cash flow or fair value hedges. A designated hedge of the exposure to variability in the future cash flows of an asset or a liability, or of a forecasted transaction, is referred to as a cash flow hedge. A designated hedge of the exposure to changes in fair value of an asset or a liability, or of an unrecognized firm commitment, is referred to as a fair value hedge. The criteria for designating a derivative as a hedge include the assessment of the instrument's effectiveness in risk reduction, matching of the derivative instrument to its underlying transaction, and the assessment of the probability that the underlying transaction will occur. For derivatives with cash flow hedge accounting designation, we report the after-tax gain or loss from the effective portion of the hedge as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and reclassify it into earnings in the same period or periods in which the hedged transaction affects earnings, and within the same line item on the consolidated statements of operations as the impact of the hedged transaction. For derivatives with fair value hedge accounting designation, we recognize gains or losses from the change in fair value of these derivatives, as well as the offsetting change in the fair value of the underlying hedged item, in earnings. Derivatives that we designate as hedges are classified in the consolidated statements of cash flows in the same section as the underlying item, primarily within cash flows from operating activities.

We recognize gains and losses from changes in fair values of derivatives that are not designated as hedges for accounting purposes within the line item on the consolidated statements of operations most closely associated with the economic underlying, primarily in interest and other, net. As part of our strategic investment program, we also acquire equity derivative instruments, such as warrants and equity conversion rights associated with debt instruments, that we do not designate as hedging instruments. We recognize the gains or losses from changes in fair values of these equity derivative instruments in gains (losses) on other equity investments, net. Gains and losses from derivatives not designated as hedges are classified in cash flows from operating activities.

***Measurement of Effectiveness***

*Effectiveness for forwards* is generally measured by comparing the cumulative change in the fair value of the hedge contract with the cumulative change in the present value of the forecasted cash flows of the hedged item. For currency forward contracts used in cash flow hedging strategies related to capital purchases, forward points are excluded, and effectiveness is measured using spot rates to value both the hedge contract and the hedged item. For currency forward contracts used in cash flow hedging strategies related to operating expenditures, forward points are included and effectiveness is measured using forward rates to value both the hedge contract and the hedged item.

*Effectiveness for currency options and equity options with hedge accounting designation* is generally measured by comparing the cumulative change in the intrinsic value of the hedge contract with the cumulative change in the intrinsic value of an option instrument representing the hedged risks in the hedged item for cash flow hedges. For cash flow and fair value hedges, time value is excluded and effectiveness is measured based on spot rates to value both the hedge contract and the hedged item.

*Effectiveness for interest rate swaps and commodity swaps* is generally measured by comparing the change in fair value of the hedged item with the change in fair value of the swap.

If a cash flow hedge is discontinued because it is no longer probable that the original hedged transaction will occur as anticipated, the unrealized gain or loss on the related derivative is reclassified into earnings. Subsequent gains or losses on the related derivative instrument are recognized in interest and other, net in each period until the instrument matures, is terminated, is re-designated as a qualified hedge, or is sold. Ineffective portions of cash flow hedges and fair value hedges, as well as amounts excluded from the assessment of effectiveness, are recognized in earnings in interest and other, net. For further discussion of our derivative instruments, see Note 8: Derivative Financial Instruments.

**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

***Loans Receivable***

We make loans to third parties that are classified within other current assets or other long-term assets. We may elect the fair value option for loans when the interest rate or foreign exchange rate risk is hedged at inception with a related derivative instrument. We record the gains or losses on these loans arising from changes in fair value due to interest rate, currency market fluctuations, and credit market volatility, offset by losses or gains on the related derivative instruments, in interest and other, net. Loans that are denominated in U.S. dollars and have a floating-rate coupon are carried at amortized cost. We measure interest income for all loans receivable using the interest method, which is based on the effective yield of the loans rather than the stated coupon rate.

***Inventories***

We compute inventory cost on a currently adjusted standard basis (which approximates actual cost on an average or first-in, first-out basis). Inventories at year-ends were as follows:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
Raw materials	\$ 437	\$ 608
Work in process	1,469	1,577
Finished goods	1,029	1,559
<b>Total inventories</b>	<b>\$ 2,935</b>	<b>\$ 3,744</b>

***Property, Plant and Equipment***

Property, plant and equipment, net at year-ends was as follows:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008<sup>1</sup></b>
Land and buildings	\$ 16,687	\$ 16,557
Machinery and equipment	28,339	28,831
Construction in progress	2,796	2,730
<b>Total property, plant and equipment, gross</b>	<b>47,822</b>	<b>48,118</b>
<i>Less: accumulated depreciation</i>	(30,597)	(30,544)
<b>Total property, plant and equipment, net</b>	<b>\$ 17,225</b>	<b>\$ 17,574</b>

<sup>1</sup> As adjusted due to changes to the accounting for convertible debt instruments. See Note 3: Accounting Changes.

We compute depreciation for financial reporting purposes using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives: machinery and equipment, 2 to 4 years; buildings, 4 to 40 years.

We capitalize interest on borrowings related to eligible capital expenditures. Capitalized interest is added to the cost of qualified assets and amortized over the estimated useful lives of the assets. We record capital-related government grants earned as a reduction to property, plant and equipment.

**Table of Contents****INTEL CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)*****Goodwill***

We record goodwill when the purchase price of an acquisition exceeds the fair value of the net tangible and intangible assets as of the date of acquisition. We perform a quarterly review of goodwill for indicators of impairment. During the fourth quarter of each year, we perform an impairment review for each reporting unit using a fair value approach. We do not identify manufacturing and assembly and test assets with individual reporting units because of the interchangeable nature of our manufacturing and assembly and test assets. In determining the carrying value of the reporting unit, we make an allocation of our manufacturing and assembly and test assets based on each reporting unit's relative percentage utilization of the manufacturing and assembly and test assets. For further discussion of goodwill, see Note 17: Goodwill.

***Identified Intangible Assets***

Intellectual property assets primarily represent rights acquired under technology licenses and are generally amortized on a straight-line basis over the periods of benefit, ranging from 3 to 17 years. We amortize acquisition-related developed technology based on economic benefit over the estimated useful life, ranging from 4 to 7 years. We amortize other intangible assets over periods ranging from 4 to 7 years. We amortize acquisition-related in-process research and development over the estimated useful life once the research and development efforts are completed. In the quarter following the period in which identified intangible assets become fully amortized, the fully amortized balances are removed from the gross asset and accumulated amortization amounts.

We perform a quarterly review of identified intangible assets to determine if facts and circumstances indicate that the useful life is shorter than we had originally estimated or that the carrying amount of assets may not be recoverable. If such facts and circumstances exist, we assess the recoverability of identified intangible assets by comparing the projected undiscounted net cash flows associated with the related asset or group of assets over their remaining lives against their respective carrying amounts. Impairments, if any, are based on the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of those assets. If the useful life is shorter than originally estimated, we accelerate the rate of amortization and amortize the remaining carrying value over the new shorter useful life.

For further discussion of identified intangible assets, see Note 18: Identified Intangible Assets.

***Product Warranty***

The vast majority of our products are sold with a limited warranty on product quality and a limited indemnification for customers against intellectual property infringement claims related to our products. The accrual and the related expense for known issues were not significant during the periods presented. Due to product testing, the short time typically between product shipment and the detection and correction of product failures, and the historical rate of payments on indemnification claims, the accrual and related expense for estimated incurred but unidentified issues were not significant during the periods presented.

***Revenue Recognition***

We recognize net product revenue when the earnings process is complete, as evidenced by an agreement with the customer, transfer of title, and acceptance, if applicable, as well as fixed pricing and probable collectability. We record

pricing allowances, including discounts based on contractual arrangements with customers, when we recognize revenue as a reduction to both accounts receivable and net revenue. Because of frequent sales price reductions and rapid technology obsolescence in the industry, we defer product revenue and related costs of sales from sales made to distributors under agreements allowing price protection and/or right of return until the distributors sell the merchandise. The right of return granted generally consists of a stock rotation program in which distributors are able to exchange certain products based on the number of qualified purchases made by the distributor. Under the price protection program, we give distributors credits for the difference between the original price paid and the current price that we offer. We record the net deferred income from product sales to distributors on our balance sheet as deferred income on shipments to distributors. We include shipping charges billed to customers in net revenue, and include the related shipping costs in cost of sales.

Sales of software, primarily through our Wind River Software Group, are made through term licenses that are generally 12 months in length, or perpetual licenses. Revenue is generally recognized ratably over the course of the license.

**Table of Contents****INTEL CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)*****Advertising***

Cooperative advertising programs reimburse customers for marketing activities for certain of our products, subject to defined criteria. We accrue cooperative advertising obligations and record the costs at the same time that the related revenue is recognized. We record cooperative advertising costs as marketing, general and administrative expenses to the extent that an advertising benefit separate from the revenue transaction can be identified and the fair value of that advertising benefit received is determinable. We record any excess in cash paid over the fair value of the advertising benefit received as a reduction in revenue. Advertising costs, including direct marketing costs, recorded within marketing, general and administrative expenses were \$1.39 billion in 2009 (\$1.86 billion in 2008 and \$1.90 billion in 2007).

***Employee Equity Incentive Plans***

We have employee equity incentive plans, which are described more fully in Note 23: Employee Equity Incentive Plans. We use the straight-line attribution method to recognize share-based compensation over the service period of the award. Upon exercise, cancellation, forfeiture, or expiration of stock options, or upon vesting or forfeiture of restricted stock units, we eliminate deferred tax assets for options and restricted stock units with multiple vesting dates for each vesting period on a first-in, first-out basis as if each vesting period were a separate award.

**Note 3: Accounting Changes*****2007***

In 2007, we adopted standards that required companies to accrue the cost of compensated absences for sabbatical leave over the service period. We adopted these standards through a cumulative-effect adjustment at the beginning of 2007, resulting in an additional liability of \$280 million, additional deferred tax assets of \$99 million, and a reduction to retained earnings of \$181 million. We also adopted standards that changed the accounting for uncertain tax positions. For further discussion, see Note 27: Taxes.

***2008***

In the first quarter of 2008, we adopted new standards for fair value measurements for all financial assets and liabilities recognized or disclosed at fair value in the consolidated financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). The standards defined fair value, established a framework for measuring fair value, and enhanced fair value measurement disclosures. The adoption of these new standards did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements, and the resulting fair values calculated after adoption were not significantly different from the fair values that would have been calculated under previous guidance. As discussed below, we adopted the fair value measurement standards for our non-financial assets and liabilities in the first quarter of 2009. For further discussion of our fair value measurements, see Note 5: Fair Value.

In the fourth quarter of 2008, we adopted new standards that clarified the application of fair value in a market that is not active, and addressed application issues such as the use of internal assumptions when relevant observable data does not exist, the use of observable market information when the market is not active, and the use of market quotes when assessing the relevance of observable and unobservable data. The adoption of these new standards did not have

a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements or the fair values of our financial assets and liabilities.



**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

In the first quarter of 2008, we adopted new standards that permitted companies to choose to measure certain financial instruments and other items at fair value using an instrument-by-instrument election. The new standards required unrealized gains and losses to be reported in earnings for items measured using the fair value option. For further discussion, see Note 5: Fair Value. These new standards also required cash flows from purchases, sales, and maturities of trading securities to be classified based on the nature and purpose for which the securities were acquired. We assessed the nature and purpose of our trading assets and determined that our marketable debt instruments will be classified on the statement of cash flows as investing activities, as they are held with the purpose of generating returns. Activity related to equity securities offsetting deferred compensation remained classified as operating activities, as they were maintained to offset changes in liabilities related to the equity market risk of certain deferred compensation arrangements. These standards did not allow for retrospective application to periods prior to 2008; therefore, all trading asset activity for prior periods will continue to be presented as operating activities on the statement of cash flows.

In the first quarter of 2008, amended views of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on the use of the simplified method in developing estimates of the expected lives of share options became effective for us. The amendment, in part, allowed the continued use, subject to specific criteria, of the simplified method in estimating the expected lives of share options granted after December 31, 2007. We will continue to use the simplified method until we have the historical data necessary to provide reasonable estimates of expected lives.

**2009**

In the first quarter of 2009, we adopted new standards that changed the accounting for convertible debt instruments with cash settlement features. As of adoption, these new standards applied to our junior subordinated convertible debentures issued in 2005 (the 2005 debentures). Under the previous standards, our 2005 debentures were recognized entirely as a liability at historical value. In accordance with adopting these new standards, we retrospectively recognized both a liability and an equity component of the 2005 debentures at fair value. The liability component is recognized as the fair value of a similar instrument that does not have a conversion feature at issuance. The equity component, which is the value of the conversion feature at issuance, is recognized as the difference between the proceeds from the issuance of the 2005 debentures and the fair value of the liability component, after adjusting for the deferred tax impact. The 2005 debentures were issued at a coupon rate of 2.95%, which was below that of a similar instrument that did not have a conversion feature (6.45%). Therefore, the valuation of the debt component, using the income approach, resulted in a debt discount. The debt discount is reduced over the expected life of the debt, which is also the stated life of the debt. These new standards are also applicable in accounting for our convertible debt issued during 2009. See Note 20: Borrowings for further discussion.

As a result of applying these new standards retrospectively to all periods presented, we recognized the following incremental effects on individual line items on the consolidated balance sheets:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>December 27, 2008</b>		
	<b>Before Adoption</b>	<b>Adjustments</b>	<b>After Adoption</b>
Property, plant and equipment, net	\$ 17,544	\$ 30	\$ 17,574
Other long-term assets <sup>1</sup>	\$ 6,092	\$ (273)	\$ 5,819

Edgar Filing: INTEL CORP - Form 10-K

Long-term debt	\$ 1,886	\$ (701)	\$ 1,185
Common stock and capital in excess of par value	\$ 12,944	\$ 458	\$ 13,402

<sup>1</sup> Primarily related to the adjustment made to the net deferred tax asset.

The adoption of these new standards did not result in a change to our prior-period consolidated statements of operations, as the interest associated with our debt issuances is capitalized and added to the cost of qualified assets. The adoption of these new standards did not result in a significant change to depreciation expense or earnings per common share for 2009.

**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

In the first quarter of 2009, we adopted revised standards for business combinations. These revised standards generally require an entity to recognize the assets, liabilities, contingencies, and contingent consideration at their fair value on the acquisition date. For circumstances in which the acquisition-date fair value for a contingency cannot be determined during the measurement period and it is concluded that it is probable that an asset or liability exists as of the acquisition date and the amount can be reasonably estimated, a contingency is recognized as of the acquisition date based on the estimated amount. It further requires that acquisition-related costs be recognized separately from the acquisition and expensed as incurred, restructuring costs generally be expensed in periods subsequent to the acquisition date, and changes in estimates for accounting of deferred tax asset valuation allowances and acquired income tax uncertainties that occur subsequent to the measurement period be reflected in income tax expense (benefit). In addition, acquired in-process research and development is capitalized as an intangible asset. These new standards became applicable to business combinations on a prospective basis beginning in the first quarter of 2009. Our acquisitions completed during 2009 have been accounted for using these revised standards. See Note 15: Acquisitions.

In the first quarter of 2009, we adopted new standards that specify the way in which fair value measurements should be made for non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities that are not measured and recorded at fair value on a recurring basis, and specify additional disclosures related to these fair value measurements. The adoption of these new standards did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In the second quarter of 2009, we adopted new standards that provide guidance on how to determine the fair value of assets and liabilities when the volume and level of activity for the asset/liability have significantly decreased. These new standards also provide guidance on identifying circumstances that indicate a transaction is not orderly. In addition, we are required to disclose in interim and annual periods the inputs and valuation techniques used to measure fair value and a discussion of changes in valuation techniques. The adoption of these new standards did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In the second quarter of 2009, we adopted new standards for the recognition and measurement of other-than-temporary impairments for debt securities that replaced the pre-existing intent and ability indicator. These new standards specify that if the fair value of a debt security is less than its amortized cost basis, an other-than-temporary impairment is triggered in circumstances in which (1) an entity has an intent to sell the security, (2) it is more likely than not that the entity will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, or (3) the entity does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security (that is, a credit loss exists). Other-than-temporary impairments are separated into amounts representing credit losses, which are recognized in earnings, and amounts related to all other factors, which are recognized in other comprehensive income (loss). The adoption of these new standards did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements. See Note 7: Available-for-Sale Investments for further discussion.

In the third quarter of 2009, we adopted amended standards for the fair value measurement of liabilities. These amended standards clarify that in circumstances in which a quoted price in an active market for the identical liability is not available, we are required to use the quoted price of the identical liability when traded as an asset, quoted prices for similar liabilities, or quoted prices for similar liabilities when traded as assets. If these quoted prices are not available, we are required to use another valuation technique, such as an income approach or a market approach. These amended standards became effective for us beginning in the fourth quarter of 2009 and did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

**Note 4: Recent Accounting Standards**

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued new standards for the accounting for transfers of financial assets. These new standards eliminate the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity; remove the scope exception from applying the accounting standards that address the consolidation of variable interest entities to qualifying special-purpose entities; change the standards for de-recognizing financial assets; and require enhanced disclosure. These new standards are effective for us beginning in the first quarter of 2010, and are not expected to have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued amended standards for determining whether to consolidate a variable interest entity. These amended standards eliminate a mandatory quantitative approach to determine whether a variable interest gives the entity a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity in favor of a qualitatively focused analysis, and require an ongoing reassessment of whether an entity is the primary beneficiary. These amended standards are effective for us beginning in the first quarter of 2010 and are not expected to have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****INTEL CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

In October 2009, the FASB issued new standards for revenue recognition with multiple deliverables. These new standards impact the determination of when the individual deliverables included in a multiple-element arrangement may be treated as separate units of accounting. Additionally, these new standards modify the manner in which the transaction consideration is allocated across the separately identified deliverables by no longer permitting the residual method of allocating arrangement consideration. These new standards are required to be adopted in the first quarter of 2011; however, early adoption is permitted. We do not expect these new standards to significantly impact our consolidated financial statements.

In October 2009, the FASB issued new standards for the accounting for certain revenue arrangements that include software elements. These new standards amend the scope of pre-existing software revenue guidance by removing from the guidance non-software components of tangible products and certain software components of tangible products. These new standards are required to be adopted in the first quarter of 2011; however, early adoption is permitted. We do not expect these new standards to significantly impact our consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB issued amended standards that require additional fair value disclosures. These amended standards require disclosures about inputs and valuation techniques used to measure fair value as well as disclosures about significant transfers, beginning in the first quarter of 2010. Additionally, these amended standards require presentation of disaggregated activity within the reconciliation for fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3), beginning in the first quarter of 2011. We do not expect these new standards to significantly impact our consolidated financial statements.

**Note 5: Fair Value**

Fair value is the price that would be received from selling an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. When determining fair value, we consider the principal or most advantageous market in which we would transact, and we consider assumptions that market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability. Our financial instruments are measured and recorded at fair value, except for equity method investments, cost method investments, cost method loans receivable, and most of our liabilities.

***Fair Value Hierarchy***

The three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value are as follows:

*Level 1.* Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

*Level 2.* Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices in markets with insufficient volume or infrequent transactions (less active markets), or model-derived valuations in which all significant inputs are observable or can be derived principally from or corroborated with observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities. Level 2 inputs also include non-binding market consensus prices that can be corroborated with observable market data, as well as quoted prices that were adjusted for security-specific restrictions.

*Level 3.* Unobservable inputs to the valuation methodology that are significant to the measurement of the fair value of assets or liabilities. Level 3 inputs also include non-binding market consensus prices or non-binding broker quotes that we were unable to corroborate with observable market data.

**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

**Assets/Liabilities Measured and Recorded at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis**

Assets and liabilities measured and recorded at fair value on a recurring basis, excluding accrued interest components, consisted of the following types of instruments as of December 26, 2009 and December 27, 2008:

(In Millions)	December 26, 2009				December 27, 2008			
	Fair Value Measured and Recorded at Reporting Date Using				Fair Value Measured and Recorded at Reporting Date Using			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
<b>Assets</b>								
Commercial paper	\$	\$ 6,326	\$	\$ 6,326	\$	\$ 4,387	\$	\$ 4,387
Corporate bonds	579	3,894	369	4,842	152	5,987	555	6,694
Government bonds <sup>1</sup>	17	3,549		3,566		604		604
Bank time deposits		1,582		1,582		633		633
Marketable equity securities	676	97		773	308	44		352
Asset-backed securities			754	754			1,083	1,083
Municipal bonds		390		390		383		383
Loans receivable		249		249				
Derivative assets		137	31	168		158	15	173
Money market fund deposits	61	17		78	373	49		422
Equity securities offsetting deferred compensation					299			299
<b>Total assets measured and recorded at fair value</b>	<b>\$ 1,333</b>	<b>\$ 16,241</b>	<b>\$ 1,154</b>	<b>\$ 18,728</b>	<b>\$ 1,132</b>	<b>\$ 12,245</b>	<b>\$ 1,653</b>	<b>\$ 15,030</b>
<b>Liabilities</b>								
Derivative liabilities	\$	\$ 161	\$ 65	\$ 226	\$	\$ 274	\$ 25	\$ 299
Long-term debt			123	123			122	122
<b>Total liabilities measured and recorded at fair value</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 161</b>	<b>\$ 188</b>	<b>\$ 349</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 274</b>	<b>\$ 147</b>	<b>\$ 421</b>

<sup>1</sup> Includes bonds issued or deemed to be guaranteed by non-U.S. governments, Federal Deposit Insurance Company (FDIC)-insured corporate bonds, U.S. agency securities, and U.S. Treasury securities.





Table of Contents

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The tables below present reconciliations for all assets and liabilities measured and recorded at fair value on a recurring basis, excluding accrued interest components, using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for 2009 and 2008:

(In Millions)	<b>Fair Value Measured and Recorded Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)</b>						<b>Total Gains (Losses)</b>
	<b>Government Bonds</b>	<b>Corporate Bonds</b>	<b>Asset-Backed Securities</b>	<b>Derivative Assets</b>	<b>Derivative Liabilities</b>	<b>Long-Term Debt</b>	
<b>Balance as of December 27, 2008</b>	\$	\$ 555	\$ 1,083	\$ 15	\$ (25)	\$ (122)	
Total gains or losses (realized and unrealized):							
Included in earnings <sup>1</sup>		4	25	(2)	18	(1)	44
Included in other comprehensive income (loss)	1	36	20				57
Purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements, net	300	279	(374)	18			
Transfers in and/or out of Level 3	(301) <sup>2</sup>	(505) <sup>2</sup>			(58)		
<b>Balance as of December 26, 2009</b>	\$	\$ 369	\$ 754	\$ 31	\$ (65)	\$ (123)	
Changes in unrealized gains or losses included in earnings related to assets and liabilities still held as of December 26, 2009 <sup>1</sup>	\$	\$	\$ 53	\$	\$ 18	\$ (1)	\$ 70

<sup>1</sup> Gains and losses (realized and unrealized) included in earnings are primarily reported in interest and other, net on the consolidated statements of operations.

<sup>2</sup> Transferred from Level 3 to Level 2 due to a greater availability of observable market data and/or non-binding market consensus prices to value or corroborate the value of these instruments.

	<b>Fair Value Measured and Recorded Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)</b>					<b>Total Gains</b>
	<b>Corporate</b>	<b>Asset-Backed</b>	<b>Derivative</b>	<b>Derivative</b>	<b>Long-Term</b>	

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>Bonds</b>	<b>Securities</b>	<b>Assets</b>	<b>Liabilities</b>	<b>Debt</b>	<b>(Losses)</b>
<b>Balance as of December 29, 2007</b>	<b>\$ 733</b>	<b>\$ 1,840</b>	<b>\$ 18</b>	<b>\$ (15)</b>	<b>\$ (125)</b>	
Total gains or losses (realized and unrealized):						
Included in earnings <sup>1</sup>	3	(108)	4	(13)	3	(111)
Included in other comprehensive income (loss)	(26)	(23)				(49)
Purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements, net	526	(626)	(10)	3		
Transfers in and/or out of Level 3	(681) <sup>2</sup>		3			
<b>Balance as of December 27, 2008</b>	<b>\$ 555</b>	<b>\$ 1,083</b>	<b>\$ 15</b>	<b>\$ (25)</b>	<b>\$ (122)</b>	
Changes in unrealized gains or losses included in earnings related to assets and liabilities still held as of December 27, 2008 <sup>1</sup>	\$ 3	\$ (108)	\$ 4	\$ (13)	\$ 3	\$ (111)

<sup>1</sup> Gains and losses (realized and unrealized) included in earnings are primarily reported in interest and other, net on the consolidated statements of operations.

<sup>2</sup> Transferred from Level 3 to Level 2 due to a greater availability of observable market data and/or non-binding market consensus prices to value or corroborate the value of these instruments.

**Table of Contents****INTEL CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)*****Fair Value Option for Financial Assets/Liabilities***

Under accounting standards issued in 2008, all of our non-convertible long-term debt was eligible to be accounted for at fair value. However, we elected this fair value option only for the bonds issued in 2007 by the Industrial Development Authority of the City of Chandler, Arizona (2007 Arizona bonds). In connection with the 2007 Arizona bonds, we entered into a total return swap agreement that effectively converts the fixed rate obligation on the bonds to a floating U.S.-dollar LIBOR-based rate. As a result, changes in the fair value of this debt are largely offset by changes in the fair value of the total return swap agreement, without the need to apply hedge accounting provisions. We did not elect this fair value option for our Arizona bonds issued in 2005, since the bonds were carried at amortized cost and were not eligible to apply hedge accounting provisions due to the use of non-derivative hedging instruments. The 2007 Arizona bonds are included within the long-term debt balance on our consolidated balance sheets. As of December 26, 2009 and December 27, 2008, no other instruments were similar to the long-term debt instrument for which we elected fair value treatment.

The fair value of the 2007 Arizona bonds approximated carrying value at the time that we elected the fair value option; therefore, we did not record a cumulative-effect adjustment to the beginning balance of retained earnings or to the deferred tax liability. As of December 26, 2009, the fair value of the 2007 Arizona bonds did not significantly differ from the contractual principal balance. The fair value of the 2007 Arizona bonds was determined using inputs that are observable in the market or that can be derived from or corroborated with observable market data, as well as unobservable inputs that were significant to the fair value. Gains and losses on the 2007 Arizona bonds are recorded in interest and other, net on the consolidated statements of operations. We capitalize interest associated with the 2007 Arizona bonds. We add capitalized interest to the cost of qualified assets and amortize it over the estimated useful lives of the assets.

We elected the fair value option for loans made in the second quarter of 2009. These loans receivable are denominated in euros and mature in 2012 and 2013. In connection with these loans receivable, we entered into a currency interest rate swap agreement that effectively converts the euro-denominated fixed-rate loans receivable to a floating U.S.-dollar LIBOR-based rate. As a result, changes in the fair value are largely offset by changes in the fair value of the currency interest rate swap agreement, without the need to apply hedge accounting provisions. We made a loan in the fourth quarter of 2009 that is denominated in U.S. dollars and has a floating-rate coupon. Since the loan matched our investment objectives, we did not enter into any derivative instruments and did not elect the fair value option for the loan.

As of December 26, 2009, the fair value of our loans receivable for which we elected the fair value option did not significantly differ from the contractual principal balance. These loans receivable are classified within other long-term assets. Fair value is determined using a discounted cash flow model with all significant inputs derived from or corroborated with observable market data. Gains and losses from changes in fair value, as well as interest income, are recorded in interest and other, net on the consolidated statements of operations. During 2009, gains from fair value changes of our loans receivable were largely offset by losses from fair value changes of the currency interest rate swap, resulting in a negligible net impact on our consolidated statements of operations. Gains and losses attributable to changes in credit risk are determined using observable credit default spreads for comparable companies and were insignificant during 2009.



Table of Contents

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

*Assets Measured and Recorded at Fair Value on a Non-Recurring Basis*

The following table presents the financial instruments and non-financial assets that were measured and recorded at fair value on a non-recurring basis during 2009, and the gains (losses) recorded during 2009 on those assets:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>Net Carrying Value as of Dec. 26, 2009</b>	<b>Fair Value Measured and Recorded Using</b>			<b>Total Gains (Losses) for 12 Months Ended Dec. 26, 2009</b>
		<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Level 3</b>	
Non-marketable equity investments	\$ 208	\$	\$	\$ 211	\$ (187)
Property, plant and equipment	\$ 27	\$	\$ 27	\$	\$ (16)
<b>Total gains (losses) for assets held as of December 26, 2009</b>					<b>\$ (203)</b>
Gains (losses) for non-marketable equity investments no longer held					\$ (34)
Gains (losses) for property, plant and equipment no longer held					\$ (136)
<b>Total gains (losses) for recorded non-recurring measurement</b>					<b>\$ (373)</b>

The following table presents the financial instruments that were measured and recorded at fair value on a non-recurring basis during 2008, and the gains (losses) recorded during 2008 on those assets:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>Net Carrying Value as of Dec. 27, 2008</b>	<b>Fair Value Measured and Recorded Using</b>			<b>Total Gains (Losses) for 12 Months Ended Dec. 27, 2008</b>
		<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Level 3</b>	
Clearwire Communications, LLC	\$ 238	\$	\$ 238	\$	\$ (762)
Numonyx B.V.	\$ 484	\$	\$	\$ 503	\$ (250)
Other non-marketable equity investments	\$ 84	\$	\$	\$ 84	\$ (200)

<b>Total gains (losses) for assets held as of December 27, 2008</b>	<b>\$ (1,212)</b>
---	-------------------

The carrying value of our impaired non-marketable equity investments may not equal our fair value measurement at the time of impairment due to the subsequent recognition of equity method adjustments.

A portion of our non-marketable equity investments were measured and recorded at fair value in 2009 and 2008 due to events or circumstances that significantly impacted the fair value of those investments, resulting in other-than-temporary impairment charges.

During 2008, we recorded a \$762 million impairment charge on our investment in Clearwire Communications, LLC (Clearwire LLC) to write down our investment to its fair value, primarily due to the fair value being significantly lower than the cost basis of our investment. The impairment charge was included in gains (losses) on equity method investments, net. We determine the fair value of our investment in Clearwire LLC primarily using the quoted prices for its parent company, Clearwire Corporation. The effects of adjusting the quoted price for premiums that we believe market participants would consider for Clearwire LLC, such as tax benefits and voting rights associated with our investments, were mostly offset by the effects of discounts to the fair value, such as those due to transfer restrictions, lack of liquidity, and differences in dividend rights that are included in the value of Clearwire Corporation stock. We classified our investment in Clearwire LLC as Level 2, as the unobservable inputs to the valuation methodology were not significant to the measurement of fair value. For further information about Clearwire LLC and Clearwire Corporation, see Note 11: Non-Marketable Equity Investments.

**Table of Contents****INTEL CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

We recorded a \$250 million impairment charge on our investment in Numonyx B.V. during 2008 to write down our investment to its fair value. Estimates for revenue, earnings, and future cash flows were revised lower due to a general decline in the NOR flash memory market segment in 2008. We measured the fair value of our investment in Numonyx using a combination of the income approach and the market approach. The income approach included the use of a weighted average of multiple discounted cash flow scenarios of Numonyx, which required the use of unobservable inputs, including assumptions of projected revenue, expenses, capital spending, and other costs, as well as a discount rate calculated based on the risk profile of the flash memory market segment comparable to our investment in Numonyx. The market approach included the use of financial metrics and ratios, such as multiples of revenue and earnings of comparable public companies. The impairment charge was included in gains (losses) on equity method investments, net on the consolidated statements of operations.

We also measured and recorded other non-marketable equity investments at fair value during 2009 and 2008 when we recognized other-than-temporary impairment charges. We classified these measurements as Level 3, as we used unobservable inputs to the valuation methodologies that were significant to the fair value measurements, and the valuations required management judgment due to the absence of quoted market prices. We calculated these fair value measurements using the market and income approaches. The market approach includes the use of financial metrics and ratios of comparable public companies. The selection of comparable companies requires management judgment and is based on a number of factors, including comparable companies' sizes, growth rates, industries, development stages, and other relevant factors. The income approach includes the use of a discounted cash flow model, which requires the following significant estimates for the investee: revenue, based on assumed market segment size and assumed market segment share; costs; and discount rates based on the risk profile of comparable companies. Estimates of market segment size, market segment share, and costs are developed by the investee and/or Intel using historical data and available market data. The valuation of these non-marketable equity investments also takes into account variables such as conditions reflected in the capital markets, recent financing activities by the investees, the investees' capital structure, and differences in seniority and rights associated with the investees' capital.

Additionally, certain of our property, plant and equipment were measured and recorded at fair value during 2009 due to events or circumstances we identified that indicated that the carrying value of the assets or the asset grouping was not recoverable, resulting in other-than-temporary impairment charges. Most of these asset impairments related to manufacturing assets.

***Financial Instruments Not Recorded at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis***

We measure our equity method investments, cost method investments, and cost method loans receivable at fair value quarterly; however, they are recorded at fair value only when an impairment charge is recognized. Our non-financial assets, such as intangible assets and property, plant and equipment, are measured at fair value when the carrying amount exceeds the undiscounted cash flows, and are recorded at fair value only when an impairment charge is recognized.

Financial instruments that are not recorded at fair value are measured at fair value quarterly for disclosure purposes. The carrying amounts and fair values of financial instruments not recorded at fair value as of December 26, 2009 and December 27, 2008 were as follows:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>2009</b>		<b>2008</b>	
	<b>Carrying Amount</b>	<b>Fair Value</b>	<b>Carrying Amount</b>	<b>Fair Value</b>
Non-marketable equity investments	\$ 3,411	\$ 5,723	\$ 4,053	\$ 4,391
Loans receivable	\$ 100	\$ 100	\$	\$
Long-term debt	\$ 2,083	\$ 2,314	\$ 1,065	\$ 1,030

The carrying amount and fair value of loans receivable exclude \$249 million of loans measured and recorded at fair value as of December 26, 2009. The carrying amount and fair value of long-term debt exclude \$123 million of long-term debt measured and recorded at fair value as of December 26, 2009 (\$122 million as of December 27, 2008). In addition, the carrying amount and fair value of the current portion of long-term debt are included in long-term debt in the table above.



Table of Contents

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Our non-marketable equity investments include our investment in Numonyx. In February 2010, we signed a definitive agreement with Micron Technology, Inc. and Numonyx under which Micron agreed to acquire Numonyx in an all-stock transaction. The fair value of our investment in Numonyx was based on management's assessment as of December 26, 2009, and therefore the value implied by the pending sale was not included in that assessment. For further information, see Note 11: Non-Marketable Equity Investments. As of December 26, 2009, we had non-marketable equity investments in an unrealized loss position of \$30 million that had a fair value of \$205 million (unrealized loss position of \$100 million on non-marketable equity investments with a fair value of \$270 million as of December 27, 2008).

The fair value of our loans receivable is determined using a discounted cash flow model with all significant inputs derived from or corroborated with observable market data. The fair value of our long-term debt takes into consideration variables such as credit-rating changes and interest rate changes.

**Note 6: Trading Assets**

Trading assets outstanding as of December 26, 2009 and December 27, 2008 were as follows:

(In Millions)	2009		2008	
	Net Unrealized Gains (Losses)	Fair Value	Net Unrealized Gains (Losses)	Fair Value
Marketable debt instruments	\$ 47	\$ 4,648	\$ (96)	\$ 2,863
Equity securities offsetting deferred compensation			(41)	299
<b>Total trading assets</b>	<b>\$ 47</b>	<b>\$ 4,648</b>	<b>\$ (137)</b>	<b>\$ 3,162</b>

During 2009, we sold our equity securities offsetting deferred compensation and entered into derivative instruments that seek to offset changes in liabilities related to these deferred compensation arrangements. These deferred compensation liabilities were \$511 million as of December 26, 2009 (\$332 million as of December 27, 2008) and are included in other accrued liabilities. See Note 8: Derivative Financial Instruments for further information on our equity market risk management programs. Net losses on equity securities offsetting deferred compensation arrangements still held at the reporting date were \$209 million in 2008 (gains of \$28 million in 2007).

Net gains on marketable debt instruments that we classified as trading assets held at the reporting date were \$91 million in 2009 (losses of \$132 million in 2008 and gains of \$19 million in 2007). Net gains on the related derivatives were \$18 million in 2009 (losses of \$5 million in 2008 and \$37 million in 2007).

**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

**Note 7: Available-for-Sale Investments**

Available-for-sale investments as of December 26, 2009 and December 27, 2008 were as follows:

(In Millions)	2009				2008			
	Adjusted Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses <sup>1</sup>	Fair Value	Adjusted Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
Commercial paper	\$ 5,444	\$	\$	\$ 5,444	\$ 3,244	\$ 4	\$	\$ 3,248
Corporate bonds	3,688	38	(14)	3,712	6,323	5	(139)	6,189
Government bonds <sup>2</sup>	2,205	11	(1)	2,215	546	2		548
Bank time deposits <sup>3</sup>	1,317	1		1,318	606	2		608
Marketable equity securities	387	386		773	393	2	(43)	352
Asset-backed securities	154		(18)	136	374		(43)	331
Money market fund deposits	65			65	419			419
<b>Total available-for-sale investments</b>	<b>\$ 13,260</b>	<b>\$ 436</b>	<b>\$ (33)</b>	<b>\$ 13,663</b>	<b>\$ 11,905</b>	<b>\$ 15</b>	<b>\$ (225)</b>	<b>\$ 11,695</b>

<sup>1</sup> As of December 26, 2009, unrealized non-credit components of other-than-temporary impairments recognized on available-for-sale investments were insignificant.

<sup>2</sup> Includes bonds issued or deemed to be guaranteed by non-U.S. governments, FDIC-insured corporate bonds, U.S. agency securities, and U.S. Treasury securities.

<sup>3</sup> Bank time deposits were primarily issued by institutions outside the U.S. as of December 26, 2009 and December 27, 2008.

As of December 26, 2009, we had \$33 million of gross unrealized losses on available-for-sale investments, which included \$26 million of gross unrealized losses related to individual securities that had been in a continuous loss position for 12 months or more. The available-for-sale investments that were in an unrealized loss position as of December 27, 2008, aggregated by the length of time that individual securities had been in a continuous loss position, were as follows:

**2008**

**Total**

(In Millions)	Less Than 12 Months		12 Months or Greater		Gross Unrealized	
	Gross Unrealized		Gross Unrealized		Gross Unrealized	
	Losses	Fair Value	Losses	Fair Value	Losses	Fair Value
Corporate bonds	\$ (71)	\$ 2,939	\$ (68)	\$ 1,778	\$ (139)	\$ 4,717
Marketable equity securities	(43)	322			(43)	322
Asset-backed securities			(43)	312	(43)	312
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ (114)</b>	<b>\$ 3,261</b>	<b>\$ (111)</b>	<b>\$ 2,090</b>	<b>\$ (225)</b>	<b>\$ 5,351</b>

As of December 26, 2009, a majority of our available-for-sale investments in an unrealized loss position were rated AA-/Aa3 or better. With the exception of a limited number of investments for which we have recognized other-than-temporary impairments, we have not seen significant liquidation delays, and for those that have matured we have received the full par value of our original debt investments.

**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The amortized cost and fair value of available-for-sale debt investments as of December 26, 2009, by contractual maturity, were as follows:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>Cost</b>	<b>Fair Value</b>
Due in 1 year or less	\$ 8,617	\$ 8,619
Due in 1 2 years	1,887	1,892
Due in 2 5 years	2,150	2,178
Instruments not due at a single maturity date <sup>1</sup>	219	201
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 12,873</b>	<b>\$ 12,890</b>

<sup>1</sup> Includes asset-backed securities and money market fund deposits.

We sold available-for-sale investments, primarily marketable equity securities, for proceeds of \$192 million in 2009 (\$1.2 billion in 2008 and \$1.7 billion in 2007, primarily marketable debt instruments). The gross realized gains on sales of available-for-sale investments totaled \$43 million in 2009 (\$38 million in 2008 and \$138 million in 2007) and were primarily related to our sales of marketable equity securities. We recognized gains of \$56 million on third-party merger transactions during 2009 (insignificant during 2008 and 2007).

Impairment charges recognized on available-for-sale investments were \$9 million in 2009 (\$354 million in 2008 and insignificant in 2007). The 2008 impairment charges were primarily related to a \$176 million impairment charge on our investment in Clearwire Corporation and \$97 million of impairment charges on our investment in Micron. Gross realized losses on sales were \$64 million during 2009 (insignificant during 2008 and 2007) and were primarily related to asset-backed securities. We had previously recognized other-than-temporary impairments totaling \$34 million during 2008 and 2009 on these investments that were sold.

**Note 8: Derivative Financial Instruments**

Our primary objective for holding derivative financial instruments is to manage currency exchange rate risk and interest rate risk, and to a lesser extent, equity market risk and commodity price risk. We currently do not hold derivative instruments for the purpose of managing credit risk since we limit the amount of credit exposure to any one counterparty and generally enter into derivative transactions with high-credit-quality counterparties. For further discussion, see Note 9: Concentrations of Credit Risk.

**Currency Exchange Rate Risk**

We are exposed to currency exchange rate risk on our non-U.S.-dollar-denominated investments in debt instruments and loans receivable, which are generally hedged with offsetting currency forward contracts, currency options, or currency interest rate swaps. Substantially all of our revenue and a majority of our expense and capital purchasing activities are transacted in U.S. dollars. However, certain operating expenditures and capital purchases are incurred in or exposed to other currencies, primarily the Japanese yen, the euro, and the Israeli shekel. We have established balance sheet and forecasted transaction currency risk management programs to protect against fluctuations in fair

value and the volatility of future cash flows caused by changes in exchange rates. These programs reduce, but do not always entirely eliminate, the impact of currency exchange movements.

Our currency risk management programs include:

*Currency derivatives with cash flow hedge accounting designation* that utilize currency forward contracts and currency options to hedge exposures to the variability in the U.S.-dollar equivalent of anticipated non-U.S.-dollar-denominated cash flows. These instruments generally mature within 12 months. For these derivatives, we report the after-tax gain or loss from the effective portion of the hedge as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and reclassify it into earnings in the same period or periods in which the hedged transaction affects earnings, and within the same line item on the consolidated statements of operations as the impact of the hedged transaction.

*Currency derivatives without hedge accounting designation* that utilize currency forward contracts, currency options, or currency interest rate swaps to economically hedge the functional currency equivalent cash flows of recognized monetary assets and liabilities and non-U.S.-dollar-denominated debt instruments classified as trading assets. The maturity of these instruments generally occurs within 12 months, except for derivatives associated with certain long-term equity-related investments and our loans receivable that generally mature within five years. Changes in the U.S.-dollar-equivalent cash flows of the underlying assets and liabilities are approximately offset by the changes in fair values of the related derivatives. We record net gains or losses in the line item on the consolidated statements of operations most closely associated with the economic underlying, primarily in interest and other, net, except for equity-related gains or losses, which we primarily record in gains (losses) on other equity investments, net.

**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

***Interest Rate Risk***

Our primary objective for holding investments in debt instruments is to preserve principal while maximizing yields. We generally swap the returns on our investments in fixed-rate debt instruments with remaining maturities longer than six months into U.S.-dollar three-month LIBOR-based returns, unless management specifically approves otherwise.

Our interest rate risk management programs include:

*Interest rate derivatives with cash flow hedge accounting designation* that utilize interest rate swap agreements to modify the interest characteristics of debt instruments. For these derivatives, we report the after-tax gain or loss from the effective portion of the hedge as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and reclassify it into earnings in the same period or periods in which the hedged transaction affects earnings, and within the same line item on the consolidated statements of operations as the impact of the hedged transaction.

*Interest rate derivatives without hedge accounting designation* that utilize interest rate swaps and currency interest rate swaps in economic hedging transactions, including hedges of non-U.S.-dollar-denominated debt instruments classified as trading assets. Floating interest rates on the swaps are reset on a monthly, quarterly, or semiannual basis. Changes in fair value of the debt instruments classified as trading assets are generally offset by changes in fair value of the related derivatives, both of which are recorded in interest and other, net.

***Equity Market Risk***

Our marketable investments include marketable equity securities and equity derivative instruments. To the extent that our marketable equity securities have strategic value, we typically do not attempt to reduce or eliminate our equity market exposure through hedging activity. We may enter into transactions to reduce or eliminate the equity market risks for our investments in strategic equity derivative instruments, including warrants. For securities that we no longer consider strategic, we evaluate legal, market, and economic factors in our decision on the timing of disposal and whether it is possible and appropriate to hedge the equity market risk. Our equity market risk management program includes equity derivatives without hedge accounting designation that utilize equity derivatives, such as warrants, equity options, or other equity derivatives. We recognize changes in the fair value of such derivatives in gains (losses) on other equity investments, net. We also utilize total return swaps to offset changes in liabilities related to the equity market risks of certain deferred compensation arrangements. Gains and losses from changes in fair value of these total return swaps are generally offset by the gains and losses on the related liabilities, both of which are recorded in interest and other, net.

As of December 27, 2008, we held equity securities, which were classified as trading assets, to generate returns that sought to offset changes in liabilities related to the equity market risk of certain deferred compensation arrangements. During 2009, we sold these securities and began utilizing derivative instruments to offset the equity market risks of these deferred compensation arrangements. The gains and losses on the derivative instruments are intended to more closely offset changes in the liabilities related to the deferred compensation arrangements than our previous method of investing in equity securities.

***Commodity Price Risk***

We operate facilities that consume commodities, and have established forecasted transaction risk management programs to protect against fluctuations in fair value and the volatility of future cash flows caused by changes in commodity prices, such as those for natural gas. These programs reduce, but do not always entirely eliminate, the impact of commodity price movements.

Our commodity price risk management program includes commodity derivatives with cash flow hedge accounting designation that utilize commodity swap contracts to hedge future cash flow exposures to the variability in commodity prices. These instruments generally mature within 12 months. For these derivatives, we report the after-tax gain (loss) from the effective portion of the hedge as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and reclassify it into earnings in the same period or periods in which the hedged transaction affects earnings, and within the same line item on the consolidated statements of operations as the impact of the hedged transaction.

**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

***Volume of Derivative Activity***

Total gross notional amounts for outstanding derivatives (recorded at fair value) as of December 26, 2009 and December 27, 2008 were as follows:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
Currency forwards	\$ 5,732	\$ 4,331
Embedded debt derivative	3,600	1,600
Interest rate swaps	1,698	1,209
Currency interest rate swaps	1,577	612
Total return swaps	530	125
Currency options	375	
Other	130	163
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 13,642</b>	<b>\$ 8,040</b>

The gross notional amounts for currency forwards, currency interest rate swaps, and currency options (presented by currency) as of December 26, 2009 and December 27, 2008 were as follows:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
Euro	\$ 3,330	\$ 1,819
Japanese yen	1,764	909
Israeli shekel	707	680
British pound sterling	563	366
Chinese yuan	434	491
Malaysian ringgit	310	326
Other	576	352
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 7,684</b>	<b>\$ 4,943</b>

We utilize a rolling hedge strategy for the majority of our currency forward contracts with cash flow hedge accounting designation that hedges exposures to the variability in the U.S.-dollar equivalent of anticipated non-U.S.-dollar-denominated cash flows. All of our currency forward contracts are single delivery that are settled at maturity involving one cash-payment exchange.

We use interest rate swaps and currency interest rate swaps to hedge interest rate and currency exchange rate risk components for our fixed-rate debt instruments with remaining maturities longer than six months and for debt instruments denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. These swaps have multiple deliveries that are settled at various interest payment times involving cash payments at each interest and principal payment date, with the majority of the contracts having quarterly payments.



***Credit-Risk-Related Contingent Features***

An insignificant amount of our derivative instruments contain credit-risk-related contingent features, such as provisions that require our debt to maintain an investment-grade credit rating from each of the major credit-rating agencies. As of December 26, 2009 and December 27, 2008, we did not have any derivative instruments with credit-risk-related contingent features that were in a significant net liability position.

Table of Contents

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

*Fair Values of Derivative Instruments in the Consolidated Balance Sheets*

The fair values of our derivative instruments as of December 26, 2009 and December 27, 2008 were as follows:

(In Millions)	2009				2008			
	Other Current Assets	Other Long-Term Assets	Other Accrued Liabilities	Other Long-Term Liabilities	Other Current Assets	Other Long-Term Assets	Other Accrued Liabilities	Other Long-Term Liabilities
<b>Derivatives designated as hedging instruments</b>								
Currency forwards	\$ 81	\$ 1	\$ 20	\$ 1	\$ 83		\$ 122	\$ 2
Other	1		4		1		4	
<b>Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments</b>	<b>\$ 82</b>	<b>\$ 1</b>	<b>\$ 24</b>	<b>\$ 1</b>	<b>\$ 84</b>		<b>\$ 126</b>	<b>\$ 2</b>
<b>Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments</b>								
Currency forwards	\$ 40		\$ 11		\$ 38		\$ 38	
Interest rate swaps			81				62	
Currency interest rate swaps	5		47	9	38		25	
Embedded debt derivatives				39				36
Total return swaps	4	3	4			2		
Other	5	28	10		1	10	10	
<b>Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments</b>	<b>\$ 54</b>	<b>\$ 31</b>	<b>\$ 153</b>	<b>\$ 48</b>	<b>\$ 77</b>	<b>\$ 12</b>	<b>\$ 135</b>	<b>\$ 36</b>
<b>Total derivatives</b>	<b>\$ 136</b>	<b>\$ 32</b>	<b>\$ 177</b>	<b>\$ 49</b>	<b>\$ 161</b>	<b>\$ 12</b>	<b>\$ 261</b>	<b>\$ 38</b>

*Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships*

The before-tax effects of derivative instruments in cash flow hedging relationships for the years ended December 26, 2009 and December 27, 2008 were as follows:

**Gains (Losses)****Recognized in****Gains (Losses) Recognized in  
Income**

(In Millions)	OCI on Derivatives (Effective Portion)		Gains (Losses) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion)			on Derivatives (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing) <sup>1</sup>		
	2009	2008	Location	2009	2008	Location	2009	2008
Currency forwards	\$ 43	\$ 26	Cost of sales	\$ (12)	\$ 59	Interest and other, net	\$ 1	\$ (11)
			R&D	(30)	39			
			MG&A	(12)	6			
Other	(12)	(6)	Cost of sales	(13)	(3)	Interest and other, net	1	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 31</b>	<b>\$ 20</b>		<b>\$ (67)</b>	<b>\$ 101</b>		<b>\$ 2</b>	<b>\$ (11)</b>

<sup>1</sup> Gains (losses) related to the ineffective portion of the hedges were insignificant in 2009 and 2008.

We estimate that we will reclassify approximately \$85 million (before taxes) of net derivative gains included in other accumulated comprehensive income (loss) into earnings within the next 12 months. For all periods presented, there was an insignificant impact on results of operations from discontinued cash flow hedges as a result of forecasted transactions that did not occur.

**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

***Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments***

The effects of derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments on the consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 26, 2009 and December 27, 2008 were as follows:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>Location of Gains (Losses) Recognized in Income on Derivatives</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
Currency forwards	Interest and other, net	\$ 37	\$ 82
Interest rate swaps	Interest and other, net	15	(27)
Currency interest rate swaps	Interest and other, net	(7)	47
Total return swaps	Interest and other, net	51	2
Other	Interest and other, net	2	(11)
Other	Gains (losses) on other equity investments, net	17	(7)
<b>Total</b>		<b>\$ 115</b>	<b>\$ 86</b>

**Note 9: Concentrations of Credit Risk**

Financial instruments that potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of investments in debt instruments, derivative financial instruments, loans receivable, and trade receivables. We also enter into master netting arrangements with counterparties when possible to mitigate credit risk in derivative transactions. A master netting arrangement may allow counterparties to net settle amounts owed to each other as a result of multiple, separate derivative transactions.

We generally place investments with high-credit-quality counterparties and, by policy, limit the amount of credit exposure to any one counterparty based on our analysis of that counterparty's relative credit standing. Substantially all of our investments in debt instruments are with A/A2 or better rated issuers, and a substantial majority of the issuers are rated AA-/Aa3 or better. Our investment policy requires substantially all investments with original maturities at the time of investment of up to six months to be rated at least A-1/P-1 by Standard & Poor's/Moody's, and specifies a higher minimum rating for investments with longer maturities. For instance, investments with maturities of greater than three years require a minimum rating of AA-/Aa3 at the time of investment. Government regulations imposed on investment alternatives of our non-U.S. subsidiaries, or the absence of A rated counterparties in certain countries, result in some minor exceptions. Credit-rating criteria for derivative instruments are similar to those for other investments. The amounts subject to credit risk related to derivative instruments are generally limited to the amounts, if any, by which a counterparty's obligations exceed our obligations with that counterparty. As of December 26, 2009, the total credit exposure to any single counterparty did not exceed \$500 million. We obtain and secure available collateral from counterparties against obligations, including securities lending transactions, when we deem it appropriate.

A substantial majority of our trade receivables are derived from sales to original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) and original design manufacturers. We also have accounts receivable derived from sales to industrial and retail

distributors. Our two largest customers accounted for 38% of net revenue for 2009 and 2008, and 35% of net revenue for 2007. Additionally, these two largest customers accounted for 41% of our accounts receivable as of December 26, 2009 and December 27, 2008. We believe that the receivable balances from these largest customers do not represent a significant credit risk based on cash flow forecasts, balance sheet analysis, and past collection experience.

We have adopted credit policies and standards intended to accommodate industry growth and inherent risk. We believe that credit risks are moderated by the financial stability of our major customers. We assess credit risk through quantitative and qualitative analysis, and from this analysis, we establish credit limits and determine whether we will seek to use one or more credit support devices, such as obtaining some form of third-party guaranty or standby letter of credit, or obtaining credit insurance for all or a portion of the account balance if necessary.

We continually monitor the credit risk in our portfolio and mitigate our credit and interest rate exposures in accordance with the policies approved by our Board of Directors. We intend to continue to closely monitor future developments in the credit markets and make appropriate changes to our investment policies as deemed necessary.

**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

**Note 10: Other Long-Term Assets**

Other long-term assets as of December 26, 2009 and December 27, 2008 were as follows:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
Non-marketable equity method investments	\$ 2,472	\$ 3,032
Non-marketable cost method investments	939	1,021
Identified intangible assets	883	775
Non-current deferred tax assets <sup>1</sup>	278	511
Loans receivable	249	
Other	519	480
<b>Total other long-term assets</b>	<b>\$ 5,340</b>	<b>\$ 5,819</b>

<sup>1</sup> December 27, 2008 balance is as adjusted due to changes to the accounting for convertible debt instruments.  
See Note 3: Accounting Changes.

**Note 11: Non-Marketable Equity Investments*****Equity Method Investments***

Equity method investments as of December 26, 2009 and December 27, 2008 were as follows:

<b>(In Millions, Except Percentages)</b>	<b>2009</b>		<b>2008</b>	
	<b>Carrying Value</b>	<b>Ownership Percentage</b>	<b>Carrying Value</b>	<b>Ownership Percentage</b>
IMFT/IMFS	\$ 1,622	49%	\$ 2,071	49%
Numonyx B.V.	453	45%	484	45%
Clearwire Communications, LLC	261	7%	238	8%
Other equity method investments	136		239	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 2,472</b>		<b>\$ 3,032</b>	

**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

*Summarized Financial Information of Equity Method Investees*

The following is the aggregated summarized financial information of our equity method investees, which includes summary results of operations information for 2009, 2008, and 2007 and summary balance sheet information as of December 26, 2009 and December 27, 2008:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>
Operating results:			
Net revenue	\$ 3,307	\$ 3,456	\$ 1,484
Gross margin	\$ 305	\$ 444	\$ 67
Operating income (loss)	\$ (1,216)	\$ (702)	\$ (490)
Net income (loss)	\$ (1,302)	\$ (932)	\$ (674)

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>Dec. 26, 2009</b>	<b>Dec. 27, 2008</b>
Balance sheet:		
Current assets	\$ 3,835	\$ 3,257
Non-current assets	\$ 11,174	\$ 7,322
Current liabilities	\$ 1,048	\$ 1,316
Non-current liabilities	\$ 2,690	\$ 2,469
Redeemable preferred stock	\$ 16	\$ 50
Non-controlling interests	\$ 7	\$ 10

Summarized financial information for our equity method investees is presented on the basis of up to a one-quarter lag and is included for the periods in which we held an equity method ownership interest.

*IMFT/IMFS*

Micron and Intel formed IM Flash Technologies, LLC (IMFT) in January 2006 and IM Flash Singapore, LLP (IMFS) in February 2007. We established these joint ventures to manufacture NAND flash memory products for Micron and Intel. We own a 49% interest in each of these ventures. Initial production at the IMFS fabrication facility, including the purchase and installation of manufacturing equipment, remains on hold. IMFT and IMFS are each governed by a Board of Managers, with Micron and Intel initially appointing an equal number of managers to each of the boards. The number of managers appointed by each party adjusts depending on the parties' ownership interests. These ventures will operate until 2016 but are subject to prior termination under certain terms and conditions.

These joint ventures are variable interest entities. All costs of the joint ventures will be passed on to Micron and Intel through our purchase agreements. IMFT and IMFS are dependent upon Micron and Intel for any additional cash requirements. Our known maximum exposure to loss approximated our investment balance as of December 26, 2009, which was \$1.6 billion in IMFT/IMFS (\$2.1 billion as of December 27, 2008). Our investment in these ventures is classified within other long-term assets. As of December 26, 2009, except for the amount due to IMFT/IMFS for product purchases and services, we did not incur any additional liabilities in connection with our interests in these

joint ventures. In addition to the potential loss of our existing investment, our actual losses could be higher, as Intel and Micron are liable for other future operating costs and/or obligations of IMFT/IMFS. In addition, future cash calls could increase our investment balance and the related exposure to loss. Finally, as we are currently committed to purchasing 49% of IMFT's production output and production-related services, we may be required to purchase products at a cost in excess of realizable value.

Our portion of IMFT costs, primarily related to product purchases and start-up costs, was \$735 million during 2009 (\$1.1 billion during 2008 and \$790 million during 2007). The amount due to IMFT for product purchases and services provided was \$75 million as of December 26, 2009 and \$190 million as of December 27, 2008. During 2009, \$419 million was returned to Intel by IMFT, which is reflected as a return of equity method investment within investing activities on the consolidated statements of cash flows (\$298 million during 2008).



**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Micron and Intel are considered related parties under the accounting standards for consolidating variable interest entities. As a result, the primary beneficiary is the entity that is most closely associated with the joint ventures. To make that determination, we reviewed several factors. The most important factors were consideration of the size and nature of the joint ventures' operations relative to Micron and Intel, and which party had the majority of economic exposure under the purchase agreements. Based on those factors, we have determined that we are not most closely associated with the joint ventures; therefore, we account for our interests using the equity method of accounting and do not consolidate these joint ventures.

*Numonyx*

In 2008, we divested our NOR flash memory business in exchange for a 45.1% ownership interest in Numonyx. For further discussion, see Note 16: Divestitures. Our initial ownership interest, comprising common stock and a note receivable, was recorded at \$821 million. Our investment is accounted for under the equity method of accounting, and our proportionate share of the income or loss is recognized on a one-quarter lag. During 2009, we recorded \$31 million of equity method losses (\$87 million in 2008) within gains (losses) on equity method investments, net. In 2008, we also recorded a \$250 million impairment charge on our investment in Numonyx within gains (losses) on equity method investments, net. For further discussion, see Note 5: Fair Value.

As of December 26, 2009, our investment balance in Numonyx was \$453 million and is classified within other long-term assets (\$484 million as of December 27, 2008). The carrying amount of our investment in Numonyx as of December 26, 2009 was \$274 million below our share of the book value of the net assets of Numonyx. Most of this difference has been assigned to specific Numonyx long-lived assets, and our proportionate share of Numonyx income or loss will be adjusted to recognize this difference over the estimated remaining useful lives of those long-lived assets.

Additional terms of our investment in Numonyx include:

We are leasing a facility in Israel to Numonyx for a period of up to 24 years under a fully paid, up-front operating lease. Upon completion of the divestiture, we recorded \$82 million of deferred income representing the value of the prepaid operating lease. The deferred income will generally offset the related depreciation over the lease term.

We entered into supply and service agreements that involve the manufacture and the assembly and test of NOR flash memory products for Numonyx through 2008. The fair value of these agreements was \$110 million and was recorded in other accrued liabilities upon completion of the transaction. This amount was recognized during 2008, primarily as a reduction of cost of sales. Subsequently, we agreed with Numonyx to continue certain supply and service agreements, and these agreements ended at the end of 2009.

We entered into a transition services agreement that involved providing certain services, such as information technology, supply chain, and finance support, to Numonyx. The reimbursement from Numonyx for these services offset the related cost of sales and operating expenses. Most of the services provided under the agreement ended during 2009.

Numonyx entered into an unsecured, four-year senior credit facility of up to \$550 million, comprising a \$450 million term loan and a \$100 million revolving loan. Intel and STMicroelectronics N.V. have each provided the lenders with a guarantee of 50% of the payment obligations of Numonyx under the senior credit facility. A demand on our guarantee can be triggered if Numonyx is unable to meet its obligations under the credit facility. Acceleration of the obligations of Numonyx under the credit facility could be triggered by a monetary

default of Numonyx or, in certain circumstances, by events affecting the creditworthiness of STMicroelectronics or Intel. The maximum amount of future undiscounted payments that we could be required to make under the guarantee is \$275 million plus accrued interest, expenses of the lenders, and penalties. As of December 26, 2009, the carrying amount of the liability associated with the guarantee was \$79 million, unchanged from the amount initially recorded in 2008, and is included in other accrued liabilities. Our note receivable is subordinated to the senior credit facility and the preferential payout of Francisco Partners L.P., and will be deemed extinguished in liquidation events that generate proceeds insufficient to repay the senior credit facility and Francisco Partners preferential payout.

**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Subsequent to the end of 2009, in February 2010, we signed a definitive agreement with Micron and Numonyx under which Micron agreed to acquire Numonyx in an all-stock transaction. Under the terms of the agreement, Intel, STMicroelectronics, and Francisco Partners would sell their financial interest in Numonyx for 140 million shares of Micron common stock plus, under certain circumstances, up to an additional 10 million shares of Micron common stock.

*Clearwire LLC*

In 2008, we invested \$1.0 billion in Clearwire LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Clearwire Corporation. In the fourth quarter of 2009, we invested an additional \$50 million. Our investment in Clearwire LLC is accounted for under the equity method of accounting, and our proportionate share of the income or loss is recognized on a one-quarter lag. During 2009, we recorded \$27 million of equity method losses, which was net of a gain of \$37 million as a result of a dilution of our ownership interest from the additional investment. Due to the one-quarter lag, we did not record equity method adjustments related to Clearwire LLC during 2008. During 2008, we recorded a \$762 million impairment charge on our investment in Clearwire LLC to write down our investment to its fair value. The impairment charge was included in gains (losses) on equity method investments, net. For further discussion, see Note 5: Fair Value.

As of December 26, 2009, our investment balance in Clearwire LLC was \$261 million and is classified within other long-term assets (\$238 million as of December 27, 2008). As of December 26, 2009, the carrying value of our investment in Clearwire LLC was \$323 million below our share of the book value of the net assets of Clearwire Corporation, and a substantial majority of this difference has been assigned to Clearwire Corporation spectrum assets, a majority of which have an indefinite life.

*Cost Method Investments*

The carrying value of our non-marketable cost method investments was \$939 million as of December 26, 2009 and \$1.0 billion as of December 27, 2008. In 2009, we recognized impairment charges on non-marketable cost method investments of \$179 million within gains (losses) on other equity investments (\$135 million in 2008 and \$90 million in 2007).

**Note 12: Gains (Losses) on Equity Method Investments, Net**

Gains (losses) on equity method investments, net included:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>
Equity method losses, net	\$ (131)	\$ (316)	\$ (103)
Impairment charges	(42)	(1,077)	(28)
Other, net	26	13	134
<b>Total gains (losses) on equity method investments, net</b>	<b>\$ (147)</b>	<b>\$ (1,380)</b>	<b>\$ 3</b>

**Note 13: Gains (Losses) on Other Equity Investments, Net**

Gains (losses) on other equity investments, net included:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>
Impairment charges	\$ (179)	\$ (455)	\$ (92)
Gains on sales, net	55	60	204
Other, net	101	19	42
<b>Total gains (losses) on other equity investments, net</b>	<b>\$ (23)</b>	<b>\$ (376)</b>	<b>\$ 154</b>

**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

**Note 14: Interest and Other, Net**

The components of interest and other, net were as follows:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>
Interest income	\$ 168	\$ 592	\$ 804
Interest expense	(1)	(8)	(15)
Other, net	(4)	(96)	4
<b>Total interest and other, net</b>	<b>\$ 163</b>	<b>\$ 488</b>	<b>\$ 793</b>

**Note 15: Acquisitions**

Consideration for acquisitions that qualify as business combinations includes the net cash paid and the fair value of any vested share-based awards assumed. During the third quarter of 2009, we completed two acquisitions qualifying as business combinations for total consideration of \$885 million (net of \$59 million cash acquired). Substantially all of this amount related to the acquisition of Wind River Systems, Inc., a vendor of software for embedded devices, completed by acquiring all issued and outstanding Wind River Systems common shares. The objective of the acquisition of Wind River Systems was to enable the introduction of products for the embedded and handheld market segments, resulting in benefits for our existing operations.

The combined consideration for acquisitions completed during 2009 was allocated as follows:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	
Fair value of net tangible assets acquired	\$ 47
Goodwill	489
Acquired developed technology	148
Other identified intangible assets	169
Share-based awards assumed	32
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 885</b>

During 2008, we completed two acquisitions qualifying as business combinations in exchange for aggregate net cash consideration of \$16 million, plus certain liabilities. We allocated all of this consideration to goodwill. During 2007, we completed one acquisition qualifying as a business combination in exchange for net cash consideration of \$76 million, plus assumption of certain liabilities. We allocated a substantial majority of this consideration to goodwill. During 2008 and 2007, the acquired business and related goodwill were recorded within the other operating segments category for segment reporting purposes.

The completed acquisitions in 2009, 2008, and 2007 were not significant to our consolidated results of operations.

**Note 16: Divestitures**

During the first quarter of 2008, we completed the divestiture of a portion of the telecommunications-related assets of our optical platform division. Consideration for the divestiture was \$85 million, including \$75 million in cash and common shares of the acquiring company, with an estimated value of \$10 million at the date of purchase. We entered into an agreement with the acquiring company to provide certain manufacturing and transition services for a limited time that has since been completed. During the first quarter of 2008, as a result of this divestiture, we recorded a net gain of \$39 million within interest and other, net. During the second quarter of 2008, we completed the sale of the remaining portion of our optical platform division for common shares of the acquiring company with an estimated value of \$27 million at the date of purchase. Overall, approximately 100 employees of our optical products business became employees of the acquiring company.

Table of Contents

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

During the second quarter of 2008, we completed the divestiture of our NOR flash memory business. We exchanged certain NOR flash memory assets and certain assets associated with our phase change memory initiatives with Numonyx for a note receivable with a contractual amount of \$144 million and a 45.1% ownership interest in the form of common stock, together valued at \$821 million. We retain certain rights to intellectual property included within the divestiture. Approximately 2,500 employees of our NOR flash memory business became employees of Numonyx. STMicroelectronics contributed certain assets to Numonyx for a note receivable with a contractual amount of \$156 million and a 48.6% ownership interest in the form of common stock. Francisco Partners paid \$150 million in cash in exchange for the remaining 6.3% ownership interest in the form of preferred stock and a note receivable with a contractual amount of \$20 million. In addition, they received a payout right that is preferential relative to the investments of Intel and STMicroelectronics. We did not incur a gain or loss upon completion of the transaction in the second quarter of 2008, as we had recorded asset impairment charges in quarters prior to deal closure. For further discussion, see Note 19: Restructuring and Asset Impairment Charges. Subsequent to the divestiture, in the third quarter of 2008 we recorded a \$250 million impairment charge on our investment in Numonyx within gains (losses) on equity method investments. In February 2010, we signed a definitive agreement with Micron and Numonyx under which Micron agreed to acquire Numonyx in an all-stock transaction. For further information, see Note 11: Non-Marketable Equity Investments.

**Note 17: Goodwill**

At the end of 2009, we reorganized our business to better align our major product groups around the core competencies of Intel architecture and our manufacturing operations. See Note 29: Operating Segment and Geographic Information for further discussion. Due to this reorganization, goodwill was allocated from our prior operating segments to our new operating segments, as shown below under Transfers. The allocation was based on the fair value of each business group within its original operating segment relative to the fair value of that operating segment.

Goodwill activity for the years ended December 26, 2009 and December 27, 2008 was as follows:

(In Millions)	Digital		PC Client Group	Data Center Group	Other Intel Architecture Other		Total
	Enterprise Group	Mobility Group			Operating Segments	Operating Segments	
<b>Goodwill, net</b>							
<b>December 29, 2007</b>	\$ 3,385	\$ 248	\$	\$	\$	\$ 283	\$ 3,916
Additions due to business combinations	9					9	18
Transfers	123					(123)	
Other	(2)						(2)
<b>December 27, 2008</b>	\$ 3,515	\$ 248	\$	\$	\$	\$ 169	\$ 3,932
Additions due to							

business combinations	192	142				155	489
Transfers	(3,707)	(390)	2,220	1,459	507	(89)	
<b>December 26, 2009</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 2,220</b>	<b>\$ 1,459</b>	<b>\$ 507</b>	<b>\$ 235</b>	<b>\$ 4,421</b>

During 2009, prior to our reorganization, we completed two acquisitions, including the acquisition of Wind River Systems (see Note 15: Acquisitions for further discussion). Goodwill recognized from the Wind River Systems acquisition was assigned to our Digital Enterprise Group, our Mobility Group, our Digital Home Group, and our Wind River Software Group based on the relative expected fair value provided by the acquisition. The assignment of goodwill to our Digital Enterprise Group, our Mobility Group, and our Digital Home Group was based on the proportionate benefits expected to be generated for each group resulting from enhanced market presence for existing businesses.

During 2008, we completed two acquisitions that resulted in goodwill of \$18 million.



Table of Contents

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

After completing our annual impairment reviews during the fourth quarter of 2009, 2008, and 2007, we concluded that goodwill was not impaired in any year. As of December 26, 2009, accumulated impairment losses in total were \$713 million: \$355 million associated with our PC Client Group, \$279 million associated with our Data Center Group, and \$79 million associated with other Intel architecture operating segments.

**Note 18: Identified Intangible Assets**

We classify identified intangible assets within other long-term assets on the consolidated balance sheets. Identified intangible assets consisted of the following as of December 26, 2009:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>Gross Assets</b>	<b>Accumulated Amortization</b>	<b>Net</b>
Intellectual property assets	\$ 1,190	\$ (616)	\$ 574
Acquisition-related developed technology	166	(34)	132
Other intangible assets	509	(332)	177
<b>Total identified intangible assets</b>	<b>\$ 1,865</b>	<b>\$ (982)</b>	<b>\$ 883</b>

During 2009, we acquired intellectual property assets for \$99 million with a weighted average life of six years. During 2009, as a result of our acquisition of Wind River Systems, we recorded acquisition-related developed technology for \$148 million with a weighted average life of four years, and additions to other intangible assets of \$169 million with a weighted average life of seven years. The substantial majority of other intangible assets recorded were associated with customer relationships and the Wind River Systems trade name. The remaining amount of other intangible assets was related to acquired in-process research and development.

Identified intangible assets consisted of the following as of December 27, 2008:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>Gross Assets</b>	<b>Accumulated Amortization</b>	<b>Net</b>
Intellectual property assets	\$ 1,206	\$ (582)	\$ 624
Acquisition-related developed technology	22	(8)	14
Other intangible assets	340	(203)	137
<b>Total identified intangible assets</b>	<b>\$ 1,568</b>	<b>\$ (793)</b>	<b>\$ 775</b>

During 2008, we acquired intellectual property assets for \$68 million with a weighted average life of 10 years.

We recorded the amortization of identified intangible assets on the consolidated statements of operations as cost of sales, amortization of acquisition-related intangibles, or a reduction of revenue.

Amortization expenses for the three years ended December 26, 2009 were as follows:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>
Intellectual property assets	\$ 149	\$ 164	\$ 159
Acquisition-related developed technology	\$ 30	\$ 5	\$ 1
Other intangible assets	\$ 129	\$ 87	\$ 92

Based on identified intangible assets recorded as of December 26, 2009, and assuming that the underlying assets will not be impaired in the future, we expect amortization expenses for each period to be as follows:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2014</b>
Intellectual property assets	\$ 147	\$ 95	\$ 84	\$ 67	\$ 56
Acquisition-related developed technology	\$ 54	\$ 45	\$ 24	\$ 9	\$
Other intangible assets	\$ 26	\$ 21	\$ 24	\$ 23	\$ 20

**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

**Note 19: Restructuring and Asset Impairment Charges**

The following table summarizes restructuring and asset impairment charges by plan for the three years ended December 26, 2009:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>
2009 restructuring program	\$ 215	\$	\$
2008 NAND plan		215	
2006 efficiency program	16	495	516
<b>Total restructuring and asset impairment charges</b>	<b>\$ 231</b>	<b>\$ 710</b>	<b>\$ 516</b>

**2009 Restructuring Program**

In the first quarter of 2009, management approved plans to restructure some of our manufacturing and assembly and test operations. These plans included closing two assembly and test facilities in Malaysia, one facility in the Philippines, and one facility in China; stopping production at a 200mm wafer fabrication facility in Oregon; and ending production at our 200mm wafer fabrication facility in California. We do not expect significant future charges related to the 2009 plan. The following table summarizes charges for the 2009 restructuring plan during 2009:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>2009</b>
Employee severance and benefit arrangements	\$ 208
Asset impairments	7
<b>Total restructuring and asset impairment charges</b>	<b>\$ 215</b>

The following table summarizes the restructuring and asset impairment activity for the 2009 restructuring plan during 2009:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>Employee Severance and Benefits</b>	<b>Asset Impairments</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Accrued restructuring balance as of December 27, 2008</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>
Additional accruals	223	7	230
Adjustments	(15)		(15)
Cash payments	(182)		(182)
Non-cash settlements		(7)	(7)

**Accrued restructuring balance as of December 26, 2009**    \$                    26    \$                    \$                    26

We recorded the additional accruals, net of adjustments, as restructuring and asset impairment charges. The remaining accrual as of December 26, 2009 was related to severance benefits that are recorded within accrued compensation and benefits.

The charges above include \$208 million related to employee severance and benefit arrangements for 6,500 employees.

***2008 NAND Plan***

In the fourth quarter of 2008, management approved a plan with Micron to discontinue the supply of NAND flash memory from the 200mm facility within the IMFT manufacturing network. The agreement resulted in a \$215 million restructuring charge, primarily related to the IMFT 200mm supply agreement. The restructuring charge resulted in a reduction of our investment in IMFT/IMFS of \$184 million, a cash payment to Micron of \$24 million, and other cash payments of \$7 million. The 2008 NAND plan was completed at the end of 2008.

Table of Contents

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

**2006 Efficiency Program**

In the third quarter of 2006, management approved several actions as part of a restructuring plan designed to improve operational efficiency and financial results. The following table summarizes charges for the 2006 efficiency program for the three years ended December 26, 2009:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>
Employee severance and benefit arrangements	\$ 8	\$ 151	\$ 289
Asset impairments	8	344	227
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 16</b>	<b>\$ 495</b>	<b>\$ 516</b>

During 2006, as part of our assessment of our worldwide manufacturing capacity operations, we placed for sale our fabrication facility in Colorado Springs, Colorado. As a result of placing the facility for sale, in 2006 we recorded a \$214 million impairment charge to write down to fair value the land, building, and equipment. We incurred \$54 million in additional asset impairment charges as a result of market conditions related to the Colorado Springs facility during 2007 and additional charges in 2008. We sold the Colorado Springs facility in 2009.

In addition, during 2007 we recorded land and building write-downs related to certain facilities in Santa Clara, California. We also incurred \$85 million in asset impairment charges related to assets that we sold in conjunction with the divestiture of our NOR flash memory business in 2007 and an additional \$275 million in 2008. We determined the impairment charges based on the fair value, less selling costs, that we expected to receive upon completion of the divestiture in 2007 and determined the impairment charges based on the revised fair value of the equity and note receivable that we received upon completion of the divestiture, less selling costs, in 2008. For further information on this divestiture, see Note 16: Divestitures.

The following table summarizes the restructuring and asset impairment activity for the 2006 efficiency program during 2008 and 2009:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>Employee Severance and Benefits</b>	<b>Asset Impairments</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Accrued restructuring balance as of December 29, 2007</b>	<b>\$ 127</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 127</b>
Additional accruals	167	344	511
Adjustments	(16)		(16)
Cash payments	(221)		(221)
Non-cash settlements		(344)	(344)
<b>Accrued restructuring balance as of December 27, 2008</b>	<b>\$ 57</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 57</b>
Additional accruals	18	8	26

Adjustments	(10)		(10)
Cash payments	(65)		(65)
Non-cash settlements		(8)	(8)
<b>Accrued restructuring balance as of December 26, 2009</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>

We recorded the additional accruals, net of adjustments, as restructuring and asset impairment charges. The 2006 efficiency plan is complete.

From the third quarter of 2006 through 2009, we incurred a total of \$1.6 billion in restructuring and asset impairment charges related to this program. These charges included a total of \$686 million related to employee severance and benefit arrangements for 11,300 employees, and \$896 million in asset impairment charges.

Table of Contents

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

**Note 20: Borrowings***Short-Term Debt*

Short-term debt included the current portion of long-term debt of \$157 million and drafts payable of \$15 million as of December 26, 2009 (drafts payable of \$100 million and the current portion of long-term debt of \$2 million as of December 27, 2008). We have an ongoing authorization from our Board of Directors to borrow up to \$3.0 billion, including through the issuance of commercial paper. Maximum borrowings under our commercial paper program during 2009 were \$610 million. We did not have outstanding commercial paper as of December 26, 2009 and December 27, 2008. Maximum borrowings under our commercial paper program during 2008 were \$1.3 billion. Our commercial paper was rated A-1+ by Standard & Poor's and P-1 by Moody's as of December 26, 2009.

*Long-Term Debt*

Our long-term debt as of December 26, 2009 and December 27, 2008 was as follows:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008<sup>1</sup></b>
2009 junior subordinated convertible debentures due 2039 at 3.25%	\$ 1,030	\$
2005 junior subordinated convertible debentures due 2035 at 2.95%	896	886
2005 Arizona bonds due 2035 at 4.375%	157	158
2007 Arizona bonds due 2037 at 5.3%	123	122
Other debt		21
	<b>2,206</b>	<b>1,187</b>
<i>Less: current portion of long-term debt</i>	<i>(157)</i>	<i>(2)</i>
<b>Total long-term debt</b>	<b>\$ 2,049</b>	<b>\$ 1,185</b>

<sup>1</sup> As adjusted due to changes to the accounting for convertible debt instruments. See Note 3: Accounting Changes.

*Convertible Debentures*

In 2005, we issued \$1.6 billion of junior subordinated convertible debentures (the 2005 debentures) due in 2035. In 2009, we issued \$2.0 billion of junior subordinated convertible debentures (the 2009 debentures) due in 2039. Both the 2005 and 2009 debentures pay a fixed rate of interest semiannually. We capitalized all interest associated with these debentures during the periods presented.

	<b>2005 Debentures</b>	<b>2009 Debentures</b>
Coupon interest rate	2.95%	3.25%
Effective interest rate <sup>1</sup>	6.45%	7.20%
Maximum amount of contingent interest that will accrue per year <sup>2</sup>	0.40%	0.50%

<sup>1</sup> *The effective rate is based on the rate for a similar instrument that does not have a conversion feature.*

<sup>2</sup> *Both the 2005 and 2009 debentures have a contingent interest component that will require us to pay interest based on certain thresholds and for certain events commencing on December 15, 2010 and August 1, 2019, for the 2005 and 2009 debentures, respectively, as outlined in the indentures governing the 2005 and 2009 debentures. The fair value of the related embedded derivative was \$24 million and \$15 million as of December 26, 2009 for the 2005 and 2009 debentures, respectively (\$36 million as of December 27, 2008 for the 2005 debentures).*



Table of Contents

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Both the 2005 and 2009 debentures are convertible, subject to certain conditions, into shares of our common stock. Holders can surrender the 2005 debentures for conversion at any time. Holders can surrender the 2009 debentures for conversion if the closing price of Intel common stock has been at least 130% of the conversion price then in effect for at least 20 trading days during the 30 trading-day period ending on the last trading day of the preceding fiscal quarter. We can settle any conversion or repurchase of the 2005 debentures in cash or stock at our option. However, we will settle any conversion or repurchase of the 2009 debentures in cash up to the face value, and any amount in excess of face value will be settled in cash or stock at our option. On or after December 15, 2012, we can redeem, for cash, all or part of the 2005 debentures for the principal amount, plus any accrued and unpaid interest, if the closing price of Intel common stock has been at least 130% of the conversion price then in effect for at least 20 trading days during any 30 consecutive trading-day period prior to the date on which we provide notice of redemption. On or after August 5, 2019, we can redeem, for cash, all or part of the 2009 debentures for the principal amount, plus any accrued and unpaid interest, if the closing price of Intel common stock has been at least 150% of the conversion price then in effect for at least 20 trading days during any 30 consecutive trading-day period prior to the date on which we provide notice of redemption. If certain events occur in the future, the indentures governing the 2005 and 2009 debentures provide that each holder of the debentures can, for a pre-defined period of time, require us to repurchase the holder's debentures for the principal amount plus any accrued and unpaid interest. Both the 2005 and 2009 debentures are subordinated in right of payment to our existing and future senior debt and to the other liabilities of our subsidiaries. We have concluded that both the 2005 and 2009 debentures are not conventional convertible debt instruments and that the embedded stock conversion options qualify as derivatives. In addition, we have concluded that the embedded conversion options would be classified in stockholders' equity if they were freestanding derivative instruments. As such, the embedded conversion options are not accounted for separately as derivatives.

<b>(In Millions, Except Per Share Amounts)</b>	<b>2005 Debentures</b>		<b>2009</b>
	<b>Dec. 26, 2009</b>	<b>Dec. 27, 2008</b>	<b>Dec. 26, 2009</b>
Outstanding principal	\$ 1,600	\$ 1,600	\$ 2,000
Equity component carrying amount	\$ 466	\$ 466	\$ 613
Unamortized discount <sup>1</sup>	\$ 691	\$ 701	\$ 953
Net debt carrying amount	\$ 896	\$ 886	\$ 1,030
Conversion rate (shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of debentures) <sup>2</sup>	32.12	31.72	44.09
Effective conversion price (per share of common stock)	\$ 31.14	\$ 31.53	\$ 22.68

<sup>1</sup> The remaining amortization periods for the 2005 and 2009 debentures are approximately 26 and 30 years, respectively, as of December 26, 2009.

<sup>2</sup> The conversion rate adjusts for certain events outlined in the indentures governing the 2005 and 2009 debentures, such as quarterly dividend distributions in excess of \$0.10 and \$0.14 per share, for the 2005 and 2009 debentures, respectively, but does not adjust for accrued interest. In addition, the conversion rate will

*increase for a holder of either the 2005 or 2009 debentures who elects to convert the debentures in connection with certain share exchanges, mergers, or consolidations involving Intel, as described in the indentures governing the 2005 and 2009 debentures.*

*Arizona Bonds*

In 2005, we guaranteed repayment of principal and interest on bonds issued by the Industrial Development Authority of the City of Chandler, Arizona, which constitutes an unsecured general obligation for Intel. The principal amount, excluding the premium, of the bonds issued in 2005 (2005 Arizona bonds) was \$157 million. The bonds are due in 2035 and bear interest at a fixed rate of 4.375% until 2010. The 2005 Arizona bonds are subject to mandatory tender on November 30, 2010, at which time we can re-market the bonds as either fixed-rate bonds for a specified period or as variable-rate bonds until their final maturity on December 1, 2035. As such, the 2005 Arizona bonds were classified as short-term debt as of December 26, 2009.

**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

In 2007, we guaranteed repayment of principal and interest on bonds issued by the Industrial Development Authority of the City of Chandler, Arizona, which constitute an unsecured general obligation for Intel. The aggregate principal amount of the bonds issued in December 2007 (2007 Arizona bonds) is \$125 million due in 2037, and the bonds bear interest at a fixed rate of 5.3%. The 2007 Arizona bonds are subject to mandatory tender, at our option, on any interest payment date beginning on or after December 1, 2012 until their final maturity on December 1, 2037. Upon such tender, we can re-market the bonds as either fixed-rate bonds for a specified period or as variable-rate bonds until their final maturity. We also entered into a total return swap agreement that effectively converts the fixed-rate obligation on the bonds to a floating U.S.-dollar LIBOR-based rate. At the beginning of the first quarter of 2008, we elected to account for the 2007 Arizona bonds at fair value. For further discussion, see Note 5: Fair Value.

As of December 26, 2009, our aggregate debt maturities based on outstanding principal were as follows (in millions):

<b>Year Payable</b>	
2010	\$ 157
2011	
2012	
2013	
2014	
2015 and thereafter	3,725
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 3,882</b>

Substantially all of the difference between the total aggregate debt maturities above and the total carrying amount of our debt is due to the equity component of our convertible debentures.

**Note 21: Retirement Benefit Plans*****Profit Sharing Plans***

We provide tax-qualified profit sharing retirement plans for the benefit of eligible employees, former employees, and retirees in the U.S. and certain other countries. The plans, which are funded by annual discretionary contributions by Intel, are designed to provide employees with an accumulation of funds for retirement on a tax-deferred basis. Our Chief Executive Officer (CEO) determines the amounts to be contributed to the U.S. Profit Sharing Plan under delegation of authority from our Board of Directors, pursuant to the terms of the Profit Sharing Plan. As of December 26, 2009, 51% of our U.S. Profit Sharing Fund was invested in equities, and 49% was invested in fixed-income instruments. Most assets are managed by external investment managers.

For the benefit of eligible U.S. employees, we also provide a non-tax-qualified supplemental deferred compensation plan for certain highly compensated employees. This plan is designed to permit certain discretionary employer contributions and to permit employee deferral of a portion of compensation in excess of certain tax limits. This plan is unfunded.

We expensed \$260 million for the qualified and non-qualified U.S. profit sharing retirement plans in 2009 (\$289 million in 2008 and \$302 million in 2007). In the first quarter of 2010, we funded \$265 million for the 2009 contribution to the qualified U.S. Profit Sharing Plan.

***Pension and Postretirement Benefit Plans***

*U.S. Pension Benefits.* We provide a tax-qualified defined-benefit pension plan for the benefit of eligible employees, former employees, and retirees in the U.S. The plan provides for a minimum pension benefit that is determined by a participant's years of service and final average compensation (taking into account the participant's social security wage base), reduced by the participant's balance in the U.S. Profit Sharing Plan. If we do not continue to contribute to, or significantly reduce contributions to, the U.S. Profit Sharing Plan, the projected benefit obligation of the U.S. defined-benefit plan could increase significantly.

**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

*Non-U.S. Pension Benefits.* We also provide defined-benefit pension plans in certain other countries. Consistent with the requirements of local law, we deposit funds for certain plans with insurance companies, with third-party trustees, or into government-managed accounts, and/or accrue for the unfunded portion of the obligation. The assumptions used in calculating the obligation for the non-U.S. plans depend on the local economic environment.

*Postretirement Medical Benefits.* Upon retirement, eligible U.S. employees are credited with a defined dollar amount based on years of service. These credits can be used to pay all or a portion of the cost to purchase coverage in an Intel-sponsored medical plan. If the available credits are not sufficient to pay the entire cost of the coverage, the remaining cost is the responsibility of the retiree.

*Funding Policy.* Our practice is to fund the various pension plans in amounts sufficient to meet the minimum requirements of U.S. federal laws and regulations or applicable local laws and regulations. Additional funding may be provided as deemed appropriate. Depending on the design of the plan, local customs, and market circumstances, the liabilities of a plan may exceed qualified plan assets.

**Benefit Obligation and Plan Assets**

The changes in the benefit obligations and plan assets for the plans described above were as follows:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>U.S. Pension Benefits</b>		<b>Non-U.S. Pension Benefits</b>		<b>Postretirement Medical Benefits</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
<b>Change in projected benefit obligation:</b>						
Beginning benefit obligation	\$ 542	\$ 291	\$ 691	\$ 794	\$ 173	\$ 213
Service cost	12	14	47	64	12	12
Interest cost	35	16	37	42	11	12
Plan participants' contributions			9	10	4	3
Actuarial (gain) loss	(10)	244	(74)	(157)	6	(60)
Currency exchange rate changes			4	13		
Plan amendments			(19)			
Plan curtailments <sup>1</sup>			(7)	(20)		
Plan settlements <sup>1</sup>			(16)	(27)		
Benefits paid to plan participants	(12)	(23)	(19)	(28)	(6)	(7)
<b>Ending projected benefit obligation</b>	<b>\$ 567</b>	<b>\$ 542</b>	<b>\$ 653</b>	<b>\$ 691</b>	<b>\$ 200</b>	<b>\$ 173</b>

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>U.S. Pension Benefits</b>		<b>Non-U.S. Pension Benefits</b>		<b>Postretirement Medical Benefits</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
<b>Change in plan assets:</b>						

Edgar Filing: INTEL CORP - Form 10-K

Beginning fair value of plan assets	\$ 303	\$ 227	\$ 457	\$ 548	\$ 1	\$ 1
Actual return on plan assets	20	(6)	58	(132)	(1)	(1)
Employer contributions	100	105	54	80	4	5
Plan participants contributions			9	10	4	3
Currency exchange rate changes			3	22		
Plan settlements <sup>1</sup>			(10)	(43)		
Benefits paid to plan participants	(12)	(23)	(19)	(28)	(6)	(7)
<b>Ending fair value of plan assets</b>	<b>\$ 411</b>	<b>\$ 303</b>	<b>\$ 552</b>	<b>\$ 457</b>	<b>\$ 2</b>	<b>\$ 1</b>

<sup>1</sup> 2008 curtailments and settlements were primarily related to the divestiture of our NOR flash memory business for employees at our Israel and Philippines facilities.

**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The following table summarizes the amounts recognized on the consolidated balance sheets as of December 26, 2009 and December 27, 2008:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>U.S. Pension Benefits</b>		<b>Non-U.S. Pension Benefits</b>		<b>Postretirement Medical Benefits</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
Other long-term assets	\$	\$	\$ 85	\$ 39	\$	\$
Accrued compensation and benefits			(5)	(4)	(4)	(4)
Other long-term liabilities	(156)	(239)	(181)	(269)	(194)	(168)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss (income)	268	307	21	167	(42)	(49)
<b>Net amount recognized</b>	<b>\$ 112</b>	<b>\$ 68</b>	<b>\$ (80)</b>	<b>\$ (67)</b>	<b>\$ (240)</b>	<b>\$ (221)</b>

The following table summarizes the amounts recorded to accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) before taxes, as of December 26, 2009 and December 27, 2008:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>U.S. Pension Benefits</b>		<b>Non-U.S. Pension Benefits</b>		<b>Postretirement Medical Benefits</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
Net prior service cost	\$	\$	\$ 16	\$	\$ (12)	\$ (16)
Net actuarial gain (loss)	(268)	(307)	(36)	(165)	54	65
Reclassification adjustment of transition obligation			(1)	(2)		
<b>Defined benefit plans, net</b>	<b>\$ (268)</b>	<b>\$ (307)</b>	<b>\$ (21)</b>	<b>\$ (167)</b>	<b>\$ 42</b>	<b>\$ 49</b>

As of December 26, 2009, the accumulated benefit obligation was \$270 million for the U.S. defined-benefit pension plan (\$251 million as of December 27, 2008) and \$511 million for the non-U.S. defined-benefit pension plans (\$556 million as of December 27, 2008). Included in the aggregate data in the following tables are the amounts applicable to our pension plans, with accumulated benefit obligations in excess of plan assets, as well as plans with projected benefit obligations in excess of plan assets. Amounts related to such plans were as follows:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>U.S. Pension Benefits</b>		<b>Non-U.S. Pension Benefits</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>

**Plans with accumulated benefit obligations in excess of plan assets:**

Accumulated benefit obligations	\$	\$	\$ 198	\$ 447
Plan assets	\$	\$	\$ 68	\$ 255

**Plans with projected benefit obligations in excess of plan assets:**

Projected benefit obligations	\$ 567	\$ 542	\$ 258	\$ 531
Plan assets	\$ 411	\$ 303	\$ 70	\$ 258

*Assumptions*

Weighted average actuarial assumptions used to determine benefit obligations for the plans were as follows:

	U.S. Pension		Non-U.S. Pension		Postretirement Medical Benefits	
	Benefits		Benefits		Benefits	
	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008
Discount rate	6.1%	6.7%	5.7%	5.6%	6.3%	6.8%
Rate of compensation increase	5.1%	5.0%	3.6%	3.5%	n/a	n/a



**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Weighted average actuarial assumptions used to determine costs for the plans were as follows:

	U.S. Pension Benefits			Non-U.S. Pension Benefits			Postretirement Medical Benefits		
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	2007
Discount rate	6.7%	5.6%	5.5%	5.5%	5.2%	5.2%	6.8%	5.6%	5.5%
Expected long-term rate of return on plan assets	4.5%	5.1%	5.6%	6.7%	6.5%	6.2%	n/a	n/a	n/a
Rate of compensation increase	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	3.4%	4.3%	4.5%	n/a	n/a	n/a

For the U.S. plans, we developed the discount rate by calculating the benefit payment streams by year to determine when benefit payments will be due. We then matched the benefit payment streams by year to the AA corporate bond rates to match the timing and amount of the expected benefit payments and discounted back to the measurement date to determine the appropriate discount rate. For the non-U.S. plans, we used two approaches to develop the discount rate. In certain countries, we used a model consisting of a theoretical bond portfolio for which the timing and amount of cash flows approximated the estimated benefit payments of our pension plans. In other countries, we analyzed current market long-term bond rates and matched the bond maturity with the average duration of the pension liabilities. The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets assumptions take into consideration both duration and risk of the investment portfolios, and are developed through consensus and building-block methodologies. The consensus methodology includes unadjusted estimates by the fund manager on future market expectations by broad asset classes and geography. The building-block approach determines the rates of return implied by historical risk premiums across asset classes. In addition, we analyzed rates of return relevant to the country where each plan is in effect and the investments applicable to the plan, expectations of future returns, local actuarial projections, and the projected long-term rates of return from external investment managers. The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets shown for the non-U.S. plan assets is weighted to reflect each country's relative portion of the non-U.S. plan assets.

**Net Periodic Benefit Cost**

The net periodic benefit cost for the plans included the following components:

(In Millions)	U.S. Pension Benefits			Non-U.S. Pension Benefits			Postretirement Medical Benefits		
	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	2007
Service cost	\$ 12	\$ 14	\$ 18	\$ 47	\$ 64	\$ 70	\$ 12	\$ 12	\$ 6
Interest cost	35	16	17	37	42	37	11	12	11
Expected return on plan assets	(13)	(11)	(10)	(31)	(39)	(29)			

Amortization of prior service cost			(25)	(4)		1	4	4	4
Amortization of transition obligation				1					
Recognized net actuarial loss (gain)	22	1	7	8	6	11	(4)		
Recognized curtailment gains <sup>1</sup>				(6)	(4)				
Recognized settlement losses <sup>1</sup>				6	17				
<b>Net periodic benefit cost</b>	<b>\$ 56</b>	<b>\$ 20</b>	<b>\$ 7</b>	<b>\$ 58</b>	<b>\$ 86</b>	<b>\$ 90</b>	<b>\$ 23</b>	<b>\$ 28</b>	<b>\$ 21</b>

<sup>1</sup> 2008 curtailments and settlements were primarily related to the divestiture of our NOR flash memory business for employees at our Israel and Philippines facilities.

**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

***Fair Value of Plan Assets***

Fair value is the price that would be received from selling an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value of plan assets are as follows:

*Level 1.* Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets.

*Level 2.* Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets, quoted prices in markets with insufficient volume or infrequent transactions (less active markets), or model-derived valuations in which all significant inputs are observable or can be derived principally from or corroborated with observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets. Level 2 inputs also include non-binding market consensus prices that can be corroborated with observable market data.

*Level 3.* Unobservable inputs to the valuation methodology that are significant to the measurement of the fair value of assets.

***U.S. Pension Plan Assets***

In general, the investment strategy for U.S. pension plan assets is to maximize risk-adjusted returns, taking into consideration the investment horizon and expected volatility, to ensure that there are sufficient assets available to pay pension benefits as they come due. When deemed appropriate, we may invest a portion of the funds in futures contracts for the purpose of acting as a temporary substitute for an investment in a particular equity security. The fund does not engage in speculative futures transactions. The allocation to each asset class will fluctuate with market conditions, such as volatility and liquidity concerns, and will typically be rebalanced when outside the target ranges, which are 80% to 90% for fixed-income debt instrument investments and 10% to 20% for domestic and international equity fund investments. The fixed-income debt instrument portion is invested largely in common collective trust funds that invest in one- to three-year U.S. government bonds and investment-grade credit, and to a lesser extent, in non-U.S., asset-backed, and non-investment-grade debt. The expected long-term rate of return for the U.S. pension plan assets is 4.5%.

U.S. pension plan assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis consisted of the following investment categories as of December 26, 2009:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>Fair Value Measured at Reporting Date Using</b>			
	<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Level 3</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Equity securities:</b>				
U.S. Large Cap Stock Fund	\$	\$ 25	\$	\$ 25
U.S. Small Cap Stock Fund		7		7
International Stock Fund		31		31
<b>Fixed income:</b>				

U.S. Treasuries <sup>1</sup>		182		182
U.S. corporate bonds		65		65
Global Bond Fund Common Collective Trusts <sup>2</sup>		53		53
Global Bond Fund Other <sup>2</sup>	15	33		48
<b>Total U.S. pension plan assets at fair value</b>		<b>\$ 15</b>	<b>\$ 396</b>	<b>\$ 411</b>

<sup>1</sup> This category represents two common collective trust funds that seek to replicate the performance of the Barclays Capital 1-3 Year Treasury Bond Index and Barclays Capital 1-3 Year Agency Bond Index over the long term.

<sup>2</sup> The fund's target allocation is approximately 50% of assets in government and high-quality corporate bonds and asset-backed securities to mitigate risks related to deflation, 10% in global inflation-indexed bonds to provide protection from inflation, and another 10% in international government and corporate bonds. The residual 30% of the fund is allocated to other fixed-income investments, which may include exposures to the aforementioned sectors as well as emerging markets, high-yield investments, and mortgage-backed securities.

**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

**Non-U.S. Plan Assets**

The investments of the non-U.S. plans are managed by insurance companies, third-party trustees, or pension funds, consistent with regulations or market practice of the country where the assets are invested. The investment manager makes investment decisions within the guidelines set by us or local regulations. The investment manager evaluates performance by comparing the actual rate of return to the return on other similar assets. Investments managed by qualified insurance companies or pension funds under standard contracts follow local regulations, and we are not actively involved in their investment strategies. For the assets that we have discretion to set investment guidelines, the assets are invested in developed country equities and fixed-income debt instruments, either through index funds or direct investment. In general, the investment strategy is designed to accumulate a diversified portfolio among markets, asset classes, or individual securities in order to reduce market risk and assure that the pension assets are available to pay benefits as they come due. The average expected long-term rate of return for the non-U.S. plan assets is 6.1%.

Non-U.S. plan assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis consisted of the following investment categories as of December 26, 2009:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>Fair Value Measured at Reporting Date Using</b>			
	<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Level 3</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Equity securities:</b>				
Global equities <sup>1</sup>	\$ 149	\$ 60	\$	\$ 209
Real estate		7	14	21
Non-U.S. venture capital			2	2
<b>Fixed income:</b>				
Non-U.S. government bonds		116		116
Investments held by insurance companies <sup>2</sup>		167		167
Insurance contracts <sup>2</sup>			25	25
<b>Total assets measured at fair value</b>	<b>\$ 149</b>	<b>\$ 350</b>	<b>\$ 41</b>	<b>\$ 540</b>
Cash				12
<b>Total non-U.S. plan assets at fair value</b>				<b>\$ 552</b>

<sup>1</sup> The majority of the assets in this category are invested in a diversified mix of equities of developed countries, including the U.S., and emerging markets throughout the world.

<sup>2</sup> Investment assets managed by qualified insurance companies are invested as part of the insurance companies general fund. We do not have control over the target allocation or visibility of the investment strategies of these

*investments. Insurance contracts and investments held by insurance companies made up 35% of total non-U.S. plan assets as of December 26, 2009 (36% as of December 27, 2008).*

The table below presents a reconciliation for the non-U.S. plan assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for 2009:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>Real Estate</b>	<b>Non-U.S. Venture Capital</b>	<b>Insurance Contracts</b>
<b>Balance as of December 27, 2008</b>	\$ 16	\$ 4	\$ 23
Realized and unrealized return on plan assets	(4)	(2)	1
Purchases, sales, and settlements, net	2		1
<b>Balance as of December 26, 2009</b>	<b>\$ 14</b>	<b>\$ 2</b>	<b>\$ 25</b>

The target allocation of the non-U.S. plan assets that we have control over is 65% equity securities and 35% fixed-income instruments.

**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

***Concentration of Risk***

We manage a variety of risks, including market, credit, and liquidity risks, across our plan assets through our investment managers. We define a concentration of risk as an undiversified exposure to one of the above-mentioned risks that increases the exposure of the loss of plan assets unnecessarily. We monitor exposure to such risks in both the U.S. and non-U.S. plans by monitoring the magnitude of the risk in each plan and diversifying our exposure to such risks across a variety of instruments, markets, and counterparties. As of December 26, 2009, we did not have concentrations of risk in any single entity, manager, counterparty, sector, industry, or country.

***Funding Expectations***

Under applicable law for the U.S. Pension Plan, we are not required to make any contributions during 2010. Our expected funding for the non-U.S. plans during 2010 is approximately \$55 million. We expect employer contributions to the postretirement medical benefits plan to be approximately \$5 million during 2010.

***Estimated Future Benefit Payments***

We expect the benefits to be paid through 2019 from the U.S. and non-U.S. pension plans and other postretirement benefit plans to be on average approximately \$75 million annually.

**Note 22: Commitments**

A portion of our capital equipment and certain facilities are under operating leases that expire at various dates through 2028. Additionally, portions of our land are under leases that expire at various dates through 2062. Rental expense was \$120 million in 2009 (\$141 million in 2008 and \$154 million in 2007).

Minimum rental commitments under all non-cancelable leases with an initial term in excess of one year were as follows as of December 26, 2009 (in millions):

<b>Year Payable</b>	
2010	\$ 102
2011	83
2012	66
2013	40
2014	20
2015 and thereafter	38
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 349</b>

Commitments for construction or purchase of property, plant and equipment totaled \$1.8 billion as of December 26, 2009 (\$2.9 billion as of December 27, 2008), substantially all of which will be due within the next year. Other purchase obligations and commitments totaled approximately \$900 million as of December 26, 2009 (\$1.2 billion as

of December 27, 2008). Other purchase obligations and commitments include payments due under various types of licenses, agreements to purchase raw materials or other goods, and payments due under non-contingent funding obligations. Funding obligations include, for example, agreements to fund various projects with other companies. In addition, we have various contractual commitments with Micron and IMFT/IMFS. For further information on these contractual commitments, see Note 11: Non-Marketable Equity Investments.



**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

**Note 23: Employee Equity Incentive Plans**

Our equity incentive plans are broad-based, long-term retention programs intended to attract and retain talented employees and align stockholder and employee interests.

In May 2009, stockholders approved an extension of the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (the 2006 Plan). Stockholders approved 134 million additional shares for issuance, increasing the total shares of common stock available for issuance as equity awards to employees and non-employee directors to 428 million shares. The approval also extended the expiration date of the 2006 Plan to June 2012. The maximum number of shares to be awarded as non-vested shares (restricted stock) or non-vested share units (restricted stock units) increased to 253 million shares. As of December 26, 2009, 226 million shares remained available for future grant under the 2006 Plan. We may assume the equity incentive plans and the outstanding equity awards of certain acquired companies. Once they are assumed, we do not grant additional shares under these plans.

Also in May 2009, stockholders approved an employee stock option exchange program (Option Exchange) to give employees (not listed officers) the opportunity to exchange eligible stock options for a lesser number of new stock options that have approximately the same fair value as the options surrendered, as of the date of the exchange. The Option Exchange commenced on September 28, 2009 and expired on October 30, 2009. Eligible options included stock options granted under any Intel stock option or equity incentive plan between October 1, 2000 and September 28, 2008 that had an exercise price above \$20.83, which was the 52-week closing-price high as of October 30, 2009. A total of 217 million eligible stock options were tendered and cancelled in exchange for 83 million new stock options granted. The new stock options have an exercise price of \$19.04, which is equal to the market price of Intel common stock (defined as the average of the high and low trading prices) on October 30, 2009. The new stock options were issued under the 2006 Plan and are subject to its terms and conditions. The new stock options vest in equal annual increments over a four-year period from the date of grant and will expire seven years from the grant date. Using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, we determined that the fair value of the surrendered stock options on a grant-by-grant basis was approximately equal, as of the date of the exchange, to the fair value of the eligible stock options exchanged, resulting in insignificant incremental share-based compensation.

In 2009, we began issuing restricted stock units with both a market condition and a service condition (market-based restricted stock units), which were referred to in our 2009 Proxy Statement as outperformance stock units, to a small group of senior officers and non-employee directors. The number of shares of Intel common stock to be received at vesting will range from 33% to 200% of the target amount, based on total stockholder return (TSR) on Intel common stock measured against the benchmark TSR of a peer group over a three-year period. TSR is a measure of stock price appreciation plus any dividends paid in this performance period. As of December 26, 2009, there were 2 million market-based restricted stock units outstanding. These market-based restricted stock units accrue dividend equivalents and vest three years and one month from the grant date.

In connection with our completed acquisition of Wind River Systems, we assumed their equity incentive plans and issued replacement awards in the third quarter of 2009. The stock options and restricted stock units issued generally retain the terms and conditions of the respective plans under which they were originally granted. We will not grant additional shares under these plans.

Equity awards granted to employees in 2009 under our equity incentive plans generally vest over 4 years from the date of grant, and options expire 7 years from the date of grant with the exception of market-based restricted stock units and replacement awards related to acquisitions. Equity awards granted to key officers, senior-level employees, and key employees in 2009 may have delayed vesting beginning 3 to 5 years from the date of grant, and options expire 7 to 10 years from the date of grant.

The 2006 Stock Purchase Plan allows eligible employees to purchase shares of our common stock at 85% of the value of our common stock on specific dates. Under the 2006 Stock Purchase Plan, we made 240 million shares of common stock available for issuance through August 2011. As of December 26, 2009, 157 million shares were available for issuance under the 2006 Stock Purchase Plan.

**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

**Share-Based Compensation**

Share-based compensation recognized in 2009 was \$889 million (\$851 million in 2008 and \$952 million in 2007).

We adjust share-based compensation on a quarterly basis for changes to our estimate of expected equity award forfeitures based on our review of recent forfeiture activity and expected future employee turnover. We recognize the effect of adjusting the forfeiture rate for all expense amortization after January 1, 2006 in the period that we change the forfeiture estimate. The effect of forfeiture adjustments in 2007, 2008, and 2009 was not significant.

The total share-based compensation cost capitalized as part of inventory as of December 26, 2009 was \$33 million (\$46 million as of December 27, 2008 and \$41 million as of December 29, 2007). During 2009, the tax benefit that we realized for the tax deduction from option exercises and other awards totaled \$119 million (\$147 million in 2008 and \$265 million in 2007).

We estimate the fair value of restricted stock unit awards with time-based vesting using the value of our common stock on the date of grant, reduced by the present value of dividends expected to be paid on our common stock prior to vesting. We estimate the fair value of market-based restricted stock units using a Monte Carlo simulation model on the date of grant. We based the weighted average estimated values of restricted stock unit grants, as well as the weighted average assumptions that we used in calculating the fair value, on estimates at the date of grant, as follows:

	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>
Estimated values	\$ 14.63	\$ 19.94	\$ 21.13
Risk-free interest rate	0.9%	2.1%	4.7%
Dividend yield	3.5%	2.6%	2.0%
Volatility	46%	n/a	n/a

We use the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate the fair value of options granted under our equity incentive plans and rights to acquire common stock granted under our stock purchase plan. We based the weighted average estimated values of employee stock option grants (excluding stock option grants in connection with the Option Exchange) and rights granted under the stock purchase plan, as well as the weighted average assumptions used in calculating these values, on estimates at the date of grant, as follows:

	<b>Stock Options</b>			<b>Stock Purchase Plan</b>		
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>
Estimated values	\$ 4.72	\$ 5.74	\$ 5.79	\$ 4.14	\$ 5.32	\$ 5.18
Expected life (in years)	4.9	5.0	5.0	.5	.5	.5
Risk-free interest rate	1.8%	3.0%	4.5%	0.4%	2.1%	5.2%
Volatility	46%	37%	26%	44%	35%	28%
Dividend yield	3.6%	2.7%	2.0%	3.6%	2.5%	2.0%

We base the expected volatility on implied volatility, because we have determined that implied volatility is more reflective of market conditions and a better indicator of expected volatility than historical volatility. We use the

simplified method of calculating expected life, due to significant differences in the vesting terms and contractual life of current option grants compared to our historical grants.

Table of Contents

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

*Restricted Stock Unit Awards*

Information with respect to outstanding restricted stock unit activity is as follows:

<b>(In Millions, Except Per Share Amounts)</b>	<b>Number of Shares</b>	<b>Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value</b>	<b>Aggregate Fair Value<sup>1</sup></b>
<b>December 30, 2006</b>	<b>27.4</b>	<b>\$ 18.71</b>	
Granted	32.8	\$ 21.13	
Vested <sup>2</sup>	(5.9)	\$ 18.60	\$ 131
Forfeited	(3.2)	\$ 19.38	
<b>December 29, 2007</b>	<b>51.1</b>	<b>\$ 20.24</b>	
Granted	32.9	\$ 19.94	
Vested <sup>2</sup>	(12.1)	\$ 19.75	\$ 270
Forfeited	(4.6)	\$ 20.12	
<b>December 27, 2008</b>	<b>67.3</b>	<b>\$ 20.18</b>	
Granted	60.0	\$ 14.63	
Assumed in acquisition	1.6	\$ 17.52	
Vested <sup>2</sup>	(20.1)	\$ 20.24	\$ 320
Forfeited	(3.4)	\$ 18.19	
<b>December 26, 2009</b>	<b>105.4</b>	<b>\$ 17.03</b>	
<b>Expected to vest as of December 26, 2009<sup>3</sup></b>	<b>96.2</b>	<b>\$ 17.10</b>	

<sup>1</sup> Represents the value of Intel common stock on the date that the restricted stock units vest. On the grant date, the fair value for these vested awards was \$407 million in 2009 (\$239 million in 2008 and \$111 million in 2007).

<sup>2</sup> The number of restricted stock units vested includes shares that we withheld on behalf of employees to satisfy the statutory tax withholding requirements.

<sup>3</sup> Restricted stock units that are expected to vest are net of estimated future forfeitures.

As of December 26, 2009, there was \$1.2 billion in unrecognized compensation costs related to restricted stock units granted under our equity incentive plans. We expect to recognize those costs over a weighted average period of 1.4 years.

**Stock Option Awards**

Options outstanding that have vested and are expected to vest as of December 26, 2009 are as follows:

	Number of Shares (In Millions)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (In Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value <sup>1</sup> (In Millions)
Vested	297.7	\$ 28.44	2.4	\$ 166
Expected to vest <sup>2</sup>	140.8	\$ 18.57	6.2	280
<b>Total</b>	<b>438.5</b>	<b>\$ 25.27</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>\$ 446</b>

<sup>1</sup> Amounts represent the difference between the exercise price and \$20.33, the closing price of Intel common stock on December 24, 2009, as reported on The NASDAQ Global Select Market\*, for all in-the-money options outstanding.

<sup>2</sup> Options outstanding that are expected to vest are net of estimated future option forfeitures.

Options with a fair value of \$288 million completed vesting during 2009 (\$459 million during 2008 and \$1.4 billion during 2007). As of December 26, 2009, there was \$282 million in unrecognized compensation costs related to stock options granted under our equity incentive plans. We expect to recognize those costs over a weighted average period of 1.3 years.

Table of Contents

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Additional information with respect to stock option activity is as follows:

(In Millions, Except Per Share Amounts)	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value <sup>1</sup>
<b>December 30, 2006</b>	<b>839.5</b>	<b>\$ 26.98</b>	
Grants	24.6	\$ 22.63	
Exercises	(132.8)	\$ 19.78	\$ 552
Cancellations and forfeitures	(65.4)	\$ 31.97	
<b>December 29, 2007</b>	<b>665.9</b>	<b>\$ 27.76</b>	
Grants	24.9	\$ 20.81	
Exercises	(33.6)	\$ 19.42	\$ 101
Cancellations and forfeitures	(42.8)	\$ 31.14	
Expirations	(2.4)	\$ 22.84	
<b>December 27, 2008</b>	<b>612.0</b>	<b>\$ 27.70</b>	
Grants <sup>2</sup>	118.5	\$ 18.01	
Assumed in acquisition	9.0	\$ 15.42	
Exercises	(3.6)	\$ 15.90	\$ 13
Cancellations and forfeitures	(29.6)	\$ 28.16	
Exchanged	(217.4)	\$ 26.75	
Expirations	(37.6)	\$ 31.92	
<b>December 26, 2009</b>	<b>451.3</b>	<b>\$ 25.08</b>	
Options exercisable at:			
December 29, 2007	528.2	\$ 29.04	
December 27, 2008	517.0	\$ 28.78	
December 26, 2009	297.7	\$ 28.44	

<sup>1</sup> Amounts represent the difference between the exercise price and the value of Intel common stock at the time of exercise.

<sup>2</sup> Includes new stock options granted in connection with the Option Exchange.

The following table summarizes information about options outstanding as of December 26, 2009:

**Outstanding Options**

**Exercisable Options**

<b>Range of Exercise Prices</b>	<b>Number of Shares (In Millions)</b>	<b>Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (In Years)</b>	<b>Weighted Average Exercise Price</b>	<b>Number of Shares (In Millions)</b>	<b>Weighted Average Exercise Price</b>
\$0.30 \$15.00	6.6	5.0	\$ 12.83	4.5	\$ 12.83
\$15.01 \$20.00	199.2	5.3	\$ 18.20	70.3	\$ 18.47
\$20.01 \$25.00	164.6	2.8	\$ 21.93	143.0	\$ 21.99
\$25.01 \$30.00	25.0	2.9	\$ 27.22	24.5	\$ 27.23
\$30.01 \$72.88	55.9	0.5	\$ 59.32	55.4	\$ 59.57
<b>Total</b>	<b>451.3</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>\$ 25.08</b>	<b>297.7</b>	<b>\$ 28.44</b>

These options will expire if they are not exercised by specific dates through January 2019. Option exercise prices for options exercised during the three-year period ended December 26, 2009 ranged from \$0.05 to \$27.27.



**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

***Stock Purchase Plan***

Approximately 80% of our employees were participating in our stock purchase plan as of December 26, 2009. Employees purchased 30.9 million shares in 2009 for \$344 million under the 2006 Stock Purchase Plan (25.9 million shares for \$453 million in 2008 and 26.1 million shares for \$428 million in 2007). As of December 26, 2009, there was \$9 million in unrecognized compensation costs related to rights to acquire common stock under our stock purchase plan. We expect to recognize those costs over a weighted average period of one month.

**Note 24: Common Stock Repurchases*****Common Stock Repurchase Program***

We have an ongoing authorization, amended in November 2005, from our Board of Directors to repurchase up to \$25 billion in shares of our common stock in open market or negotiated transactions. As of December 26, 2009, \$5.7 billion remained available for repurchase under the existing repurchase authorization. During 2009, we utilized the majority of the proceeds from the issuance of the 2009 debentures to repurchase 88.2 million shares of common stock at a cost of \$1.7 billion (for further information on the issuance of the 2009 debentures, see Note 20: Borrowings ). We repurchased 324 million shares at a cost of \$7.1 billion during 2008 and 111 million shares at a cost of \$2.75 billion during 2007. We have repurchased and retired 3.4 billion shares at a cost of \$69 billion since the program began in 1990. Our repurchases in 2009 and a portion of our purchases in 2008 and 2007 were executed in privately negotiated transactions.

***Restricted Stock Unit Withholdings***

We issue restricted stock units as part of our equity incentive plans. For the majority of restricted stock units granted, the number of shares issued on the date the restricted stock units vest is net of the minimum statutory withholding requirements that we pay in cash to the appropriate taxing authorities on behalf of our employees. During 2009, we withheld 5.8 million shares (3.5 million shares during 2008 and 1.7 million shares during 2007) to satisfy \$92 million (\$78 million during 2008 and \$38 million during 2007) of employees' tax obligations. Although shares withheld are not issued, they are treated as common stock repurchases in our consolidated financial statements, as they reduce the number of shares that would have been issued upon vesting.

**Note 25: Earnings Per Share**

We computed our basic and diluted earnings per common share as follows:

<b>(In Millions, Except Per Share Amounts)</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>
<b>Net income available to common stockholders<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>\$ 4,369</b>	<b>\$ 5,292</b>	<b>\$ 6,976</b>
<b>Weighted average common shares outstanding basic</b>	<b>5,557</b>	<b>5,663</b>	<b>5,816</b>
Dilutive effect of employee equity incentive plans	37	34	69
Dilutive effect of convertible debt	51	51	51

<b>Weighted average common shares outstanding diluted</b>	<b>5,645</b>	<b>5,748</b>	<b>5,936</b>
<b>Basic earnings per common share</b>	<b>\$ 0.79</b>	<b>\$ 0.93</b>	<b>\$ 1.20</b>
<b>Diluted earnings per common share</b>	<b>\$ 0.77</b>	<b>\$ 0.92</b>	<b>\$ 1.18</b>

<sup>1</sup> Net income available to participating securities was insignificant in 2009.

**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

We computed our basic earnings per common share using net income available to common stockholders and the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. We computed diluted earnings per common share using net income available to common stockholders and the weighted average number of common shares outstanding plus potentially dilutive common shares outstanding during the period. Potentially dilutive common shares from employee incentive plans are determined by applying the treasury stock method to the assumed exercise of outstanding stock options, the assumed vesting of outstanding restricted stock units, and the assumed issuance of common stock under the stock purchase plan. Potentially dilutive common shares are determined by applying the if-converted method for the 2005 debentures. However, as our 2009 debentures require settlement of the principal amount of the debt in cash upon conversion, with the conversion premium paid in cash or stock at our option, potentially dilutive common shares are determined by applying the treasury stock method for these debentures. For further discussion on the specific conversion features of our 2005 and 2009 debentures, see Note 20: Borrowings.

For 2009, we excluded 486 million outstanding weighted average stock options (484 million in 2008 and 417 million in 2007) from the calculation of diluted earnings per common share because the exercise prices of these stock options were greater than or equal to the average market value of the common shares. These options could be included in the calculation in the future if the average market value of the common shares increases and is greater than the exercise price of these options. We also excluded our 2009 debentures from the calculation of diluted earnings per common share because the conversion option of these debentures was anti-dilutive. In the future, we could have potentially dilutive shares if the average market price is above the conversion price.

**Note 26: Comprehensive Income**

The components of total comprehensive income were as follows:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>
Net income	\$ 4,369	\$ 5,292	\$ 6,976
Other comprehensive income (loss)	786	(654)	318
<b>Total comprehensive income</b>	<b>\$ 5,155</b>	<b>\$ 4,638</b>	<b>\$ 7,294</b>

**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The components of other comprehensive income (loss) and related tax effects were as follows:

(In Millions)	Before Tax	2009 Tax	Net of Tax	Before Tax	2008 Tax	Net of Tax	Before Tax	2007 Tax	Net of Tax
Change in unrealized holding gain (loss) on investments	\$ 578	\$ (210)	\$ 368	\$ (764)	\$ 279	\$ (485)	\$ 420	\$ (155)	\$ 265
Less: adjustment for (gain) loss on investments included in net income	50	(18)	32	34	(12)	22	(85)	31	(54)
Change in deferred tax asset valuation allowance <sup>1</sup>		146	146						
Change in unrealized holding gain (loss) on derivatives	75	(4)	71	(23)	8	(15)	80	(21)	59
Less: adjustment for amortization of (gain) loss on derivatives included in net income	22	(1)	21	(58)	21	(37)	(55)	16	(39)
Change in prior service costs	20	(7)	13	5	(2)	3	4	(1)	3
Change in actuarial loss	157	(23)	134	(220)	78	(142)	106	(22)	84
Change in transition obligation	1		1						
<b>Total other comprehensive income (loss)</b>	<b>\$ 903</b>	<b>\$ (117)</b>	<b>\$ 786</b>	<b>\$ (1,026)</b>	<b>\$ 372</b>	<b>\$ (654)</b>	<b>\$ 470</b>	<b>\$ (152)</b>	<b>\$ 318</b>

<sup>1</sup> Amount is related to the reversal of a portion of our deferred tax asset valuation allowance attributed to changes in unrealized holding gains on our available-for-sale investments. The amount will be relieved as these investments are sold or mature.

The components of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax, were as follows:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
Accumulated net unrealized holding gain (loss) on available-for-sale investments <sup>1</sup>	\$ 261	\$ (139)
Accumulated net change in deferred tax asset valuation allowance	146	
Accumulated net unrealized holding gain on derivatives	140	48
Accumulated net prior service costs	3	(10)
Accumulated net actuarial losses	(156)	(290)
Accumulated transition obligation	(1)	(2)
<b>Total accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)</b>	<b>\$ 393</b>	<b>\$ (393)</b>

<sup>1</sup> As of December 26, 2009, accumulated unrealized non-credit-related other-than-temporary impairment losses on available-for-sale debt instruments were insignificant.

The estimated net prior service cost, actuarial loss, and transition obligation for the defined benefit plan that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) into net periodic benefit cost during 2010 are \$2 million, \$21 million, and zero, respectively.

Table of Contents

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

**Note 27: Taxes**

Income before taxes and the provision for taxes consisted of the following:

<b>(Dollars in Millions)</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>
Income before taxes:			
U.S.	\$ 3,229	\$ 6,117	\$ 6,520
Non-U.S.	2,475	1,569	2,646
<b>Total income before taxes</b>	<b>\$ 5,704</b>	<b>\$ 7,686</b>	<b>\$ 9,166</b>
Provision for taxes:			
Current:			
Federal	\$ 604	\$ 2,781	\$ 1,865
State	(2)	(38)	111
Non-U.S.	336	345	445
<b>Total current provision for taxes</b>	<b>\$ 938</b>	<b>\$ 3,088</b>	<b>\$ 2,421</b>
Deferred:			
Federal	355	(668)	(140)
Other	42	(26)	(91)
<b>Total deferred provision for taxes</b>	<b>\$ 397</b>	<b>\$ (694)</b>	<b>\$ (231)</b>
<b>Total provision for taxes</b>	<b>\$ 1,335</b>	<b>\$ 2,394</b>	<b>\$ 2,190</b>
<b>Effective tax rate</b>	<b>23.4%</b>	<b>31.1%</b>	<b>23.9%</b>

The 2009 deferred provision for income taxes includes \$46 million of charges due to a change in assessment of the realizability of deferred tax assets of non-U.S. subsidiaries recognized prior to 2009.

The difference between the tax provision at the statutory federal income tax rate and the tax provision as a percentage of income before income taxes (effective tax rate) was as follows:

<b>(In Percentages)</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>
Statutory federal income tax rate	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
Increase (reduction) in rate resulting from:			
Non-U.S. income taxed at different rates	(12.4)	(4.2)	(4.7)
European Commission fine	8.9		

Edgar Filing: INTEL CORP - Form 10-K

Settlements, effective settlements, and related remeasurements	(6.4)	(1.3)	(5.3)
Research and development tax credits	(2.0)	(1.4)	(1.3)
Domestic manufacturing deduction benefit	(1.5)	(1.7)	(1.1)
Deferred tax asset valuation allowance unrealized losses	0.2	3.4	
Other	1.6	1.3	1.3
<b>Effective tax rate</b>	<b>23.4%</b>	<b>31.1%</b>	<b>23.9%</b>

During 2009, net income tax deficiencies attributable to equity-based compensation transactions that were allocated to stockholders equity totaled \$41 million (net benefits of \$8 million in 2008 and \$123 million in 2007).

Table of Contents

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts for income tax purposes. Significant components of our deferred tax assets and liabilities at year-ends were as follows:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008<sup>1</sup></b>
<b>Deferred tax assets</b>		
Accrued compensation and other benefits	\$ 568	\$ 529
Deferred income	228	160
Share-based compensation	774	669
Inventory	340	602
Unrealized losses on investments and derivatives	407	762
State credits and net operating losses	187	138
Investment in foreign subsidiaries	129	50
Capital losses	150	
Other, net	386	337
<b>Gross deferred tax assets</b>	<b>3,169</b>	<b>3,247</b>
Valuation allowance	(329)	(358)
<b>Total deferred tax assets</b>	<b>\$ 2,840</b>	<b>\$ 2,889</b>
<b>Deferred tax liabilities</b>		
Property, plant and equipment	\$ (817)	\$ (507)
Convertible debt	(708)	(332)
Licenses and intangibles	(129)	(54)
Other, net	(247)	(141)
<b>Total deferred tax liabilities</b>	<b>\$ (1,901)</b>	<b>\$ (1,034)</b>
<b>Net deferred tax assets</b>	<b>\$ 939</b>	<b>\$ 1,855</b>
<b>Reported as:</b>		
Current deferred tax assets	\$ 1,216	\$ 1,390
Non-current deferred tax assets <sup>2</sup>	278	511
Non-current deferred tax liabilities	(555)	(46)
<b>Net deferred tax assets</b>	<b>\$ 939</b>	<b>\$ 1,855</b>

<sup>1</sup> As adjusted due to changes to the accounting for convertible debt instruments. See Note 3: Accounting Changes.



<sup>2</sup> *Included within other long-term assets on the consolidated balance sheets.*

The valuation allowance is based on our assessment that it is more likely than not that certain deferred tax assets will not be realized in the foreseeable future. The valuation allowance as of December 26, 2009 included allowances related to unrealized state credit carry forwards of \$135 million, investment asset impairments of \$118 million, and depreciation expense and other matters related to our non-U.S. subsidiaries of \$76 million.

As of December 26, 2009, we had not recognized U.S. deferred income taxes on a cumulative total of \$10.1 billion of undistributed earnings for certain non-U.S. subsidiaries. Determining the unrecognized deferred tax liability related to investments in these non-U.S. subsidiaries that are indefinitely reinvested is not practicable. We currently intend to reinvest those earnings in operations outside the U.S.

Effective at the beginning of 2007, we adopted standards that changed the accounting for uncertain tax positions. As a result of the implementation of these standards, we reduced the liability for net unrecognized tax benefits by \$181 million, and accounted for the reduction as a cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle that resulted in an increase to retained earnings of \$181 million.

**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Long-term income taxes payable include uncertain tax positions, reduced by the associated federal deduction for state taxes and non-U.S. tax credits, and may also include other long-term tax liabilities that are not uncertain but have not yet been paid.

The aggregate changes in the balance of gross unrecognized tax benefits were as follows:

**(In Millions)**

<b>Beginning balance as of December 31, 2006 (date of adoption)</b>	<b>\$ 1,896</b>
Settlements and effective settlements with tax authorities and related remeasurements	(1,243)
Increases in balances related to tax positions taken during prior periods	106
Decreases in balances related to tax positions taken during prior periods	(26)
Increases in balances related to tax positions taken during current period	61
 <b>December 29, 2007</b>	 <b>\$ 794</b>
Settlements and effective settlements with tax authorities and related remeasurements	(154)
Increases in balances related to tax positions taken during prior periods	72
Decreases in balances related to tax positions taken during prior periods	(84)
Increases in balances related to tax positions taken during current period	116
 <b>December 27, 2008</b>	 <b>\$ 744</b>
Settlements and effective settlements with tax authorities and related remeasurements	(526)
Increases in balances related to tax positions taken during prior periods	28
Decreases in balances related to tax positions taken during prior periods	(58)
Increases in balances related to tax positions taken during current period	32
 <b>December 26, 2009</b>	 <b>\$ 220</b>

During 2007, the U.S. Internal Revenue Service (IRS) closed its examination of our tax returns for the years 1999 through 2002, resolving issues related to the tax benefits for export sales as well as a number of other issues. Additionally, we reached a settlement with the IRS for years 2003 through 2005 with respect to the tax benefits for export sales. In connection with the \$739 million settlement with the IRS, we reversed long-term income taxes payable, which resulted in a \$276 million tax benefit in 2007.

Also during 2007, we effectively settled with the IRS several other matters related to the audit for the 2003 and 2004 tax years, despite the fact that the IRS audit for those years remains open. The result of effectively settling those positions and the process of re-evaluating, based on all available information and certain required remeasurements, was a reduction of \$389 million in the balance of our gross unrecognized tax benefits, \$155 million of which resulted in a tax benefit in 2007.

During 2008, we reached a settlement with the IRS and certain state tax authorities related to prior years. The result of the settlements and related remeasurements was a reduction of \$154 million in the balance of our gross unrecognized tax benefits, \$103 million of which resulted in a tax benefit in 2008.



**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

During 2009, we settled and effectively settled matters with the IRS and certain state tax authorities related to tax positions taken during prior periods. The result of the settlements, effective settlements, and resulting remeasurements was a reduction of \$526 million in the balance of our gross unrecognized tax benefits, \$366 million of which resulted in a tax benefit in 2009.

If the remaining balance of \$220 million of unrecognized tax benefits as of December 26, 2009 (\$744 million as of December 27, 2008) were realized in a future period, it would result in a tax benefit of \$101 million and a reduction of the effective tax rate (\$590 million as of December 27, 2008).

During all years presented, we recognized interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits within the provision for taxes on the consolidated statements of operations. In 2009, we recognized a net benefit of \$62 million, primarily due to the reversal of accrued interest and penalties related to settled and effectively settled matters described above (\$6 million of expense in 2008 and a net benefit of \$142 million in 2007). As of December 26, 2009, we had \$55 million of accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits (\$153 million as of December 27, 2008).

Although the timing of the resolution and/or closure on audits is highly uncertain, it is reasonably possible that the balance of gross unrecognized tax benefits could significantly change in the next 12 months. However, given the number of years remaining subject to examination and the number of matters being examined, we are unable to estimate the full range of possible adjustments to the balance of gross unrecognized tax benefits.

We had state tax credits of \$219 million as of December 26, 2009 that will expire between 2010 and 2020. We file U.S. federal, U.S. state, and non-U.S. tax returns. For U.S. state and non-U.S. tax returns, we are generally no longer subject to tax examinations for years prior to 1996. For U.S. federal tax returns, we are no longer subject to tax examination for years prior to 2003.

**Note 28: Contingencies**

***Legal Proceedings***

We are currently a party to various legal proceedings, including those noted in this section. While management presently believes that the ultimate outcome of these proceedings, individually and in the aggregate, will not materially harm the company's financial position, cash flows, or overall trends in results of operations, legal proceedings and related government investigations are subject to inherent uncertainties, and unfavorable rulings could occur. An unfavorable ruling could include substantial money damages, and in matters for which injunctive relief or other conduct remedies are sought, an injunction or other order prohibiting us from selling one or more products at all or in particular ways, precluding particular business practices, or requiring other remedies such as compulsory licensing of intellectual property. Were unfavorable final outcomes to occur, there exists the possibility of a material adverse impact on our business, results of operations, financial position, and overall trends. Except as may be otherwise indicated, the outcomes in these matters are not reasonably estimable.

A number of proceedings, described below, generally challenge certain of our competitive practices, contending generally that we improperly condition price rebates and other discounts on our microprocessors on exclusive or near-exclusive dealing by some of our customers. We believe that we compete lawfully and that our marketing

practices benefit our customers and our stockholders, and we will continue to vigorously defend ourselves. While we have settled some of these matters, the distractions caused by challenges to our business practices from the remaining matters are undesirable, and the legal and other costs associated with defending our position have been and continue to be significant. We assume that these challenges could continue for a number of years and may require the investment of substantial additional management time and substantial financial resources to explain and defend our position.

**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

*Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. (AMD) and AMD International Sales & Service, Ltd. v. Intel Corporation and Intel Kabushiki Kaisha, and Related Consumer Class Actions and Government Investigations*

In June 2005, AMD filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware alleging that we and our Japanese subsidiary engaged in various actions in violation of the Sherman Act and the California Business and Professions Code, including, among other things, providing discounts and rebates to our manufacturer and distributor customers conditioned on exclusive or near-exclusive dealing that allegedly unfairly interfered with AMD's ability to sell its microprocessors, interfering with certain AMD product launches, and interfering with AMD's participation in certain industry standards-setting groups. AMD's complaint sought unspecified treble damages, punitive damages, an injunction requiring Intel to cease any conduct found to be unlawful, and attorneys' fees and costs. AMD's Japanese subsidiary also filed suits in the Tokyo High Court and the Tokyo District Court against our Japanese subsidiary, asserting violations of Japan's Antimonopoly Law and alleging damages in each suit of approximately \$55 million, plus various other costs and fees.

On November 12, 2009, Intel Corporation and AMD announced a comprehensive agreement to end all outstanding legal disputes between the companies, including antitrust litigation and patent cross-license disputes. Under terms of the agreement, AMD and Intel obtained patent rights from a new five-year cross-license agreement, Intel and AMD gave up any claims of breach from the previous license agreement, and Intel paid AMD \$1.25 billion. We recorded the related charge within marketing, general and administrative on the consolidated statements of operations. Intel also agreed to abide by a set of business practice provisions. As a result, AMD dropped all pending litigation, including the case in the U.S. District Court in Delaware and two cases pending in Japan. AMD also withdrew all of its regulatory complaints worldwide.

In addition, at least 82 separate class actions have been filed in the U.S. District Courts for the Northern District of California, Southern District of California, District of Idaho, District of Nebraska, District of New Mexico, District of Maine, and District of Delaware, as well as in various California, Kansas, and Tennessee state courts. These actions generally repeat AMD's allegations and assert various consumer injuries, including that consumers in various states have been injured by paying higher prices for computers containing our microprocessors. All of the federal class actions and the Kansas and Tennessee state court class actions have been consolidated by the Multidistrict Litigation Panel to the District of Delaware. In January 2010, the plaintiffs in the Delaware action filed a motion for sanctions for Intel's failure to preserve evidence. This motion largely copies a motion previously filed by AMD in the AMD litigation, which has settled. The putative class in the coordinated actions has moved for class certification, which we are in the process of opposing. All California class actions have been consolidated to the Superior Court of California in Santa Clara County. The plaintiffs in the California actions have moved for class certification, which we are in the process of opposing. At our request, the court in the California actions has agreed to delay ruling on this motion until after the Delaware Federal Court rules on the similar motion in the coordinated actions. We dispute the class-action claims, and intend to defend the lawsuits vigorously.

We are also subject to certain antitrust regulatory inquiries. In 2001, the European Commission (EC) commenced an investigation regarding claims by AMD that we used unfair business practices to persuade clients to buy our microprocessors. Since that time, we have received numerous requests for information and documents from the EC, and we have responded to each of those requests. The EC issued a Statement of Objections in July 2007 and held a hearing on that Statement in March 2008. The EC issued a Supplemental Statement of Objections in July 2008.

On May 13, 2009, the EC issued a decision finding that we had violated Article 82 of the EC Treaty and Article 54 of the European Economic Area Agreement. In general, the EC found that we violated Article 82 (later renumbered as Article 102 by a new treaty) by offering alleged conditional rebates and payments that required Intel customers to purchase all or most of their x86 microprocessors from us. The EC also found that we violated Article 82 by making alleged payments to prevent sales of specific rival products. The EC imposed a fine on us in the amount of 1.06 billion (\$1.447 billion as of May 13, 2009), which we paid during the third quarter of 2009, and also ordered us to immediately bring to an end the infringement referred to in the EC decision. We recorded the related charge within marketing, general and administrative on the consolidated statements of operations. We strongly disagree with the EC's decision, and we have appealed the decision to the General Court (formerly the Court of First Instance). The EC announced that it would actively monitor Intel's compliance with its decision. We have taken steps, which are subject to the EC's ongoing review, to comply with that decision pending appeal.

**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

In June 2005, we received an inquiry from the Korea Fair Trade Commission (KFTC) requesting documents from our Korean subsidiary related to marketing and rebate programs that we entered into with Korean PC manufacturers. In February 2006, the KFTC initiated an inspection of documents at our offices in Korea. In September 2007, the KFTC served us an Examination Report alleging that sales to two customers during parts of 2002-2005 violated Korea's Monopoly Regulation and Fair Trade Act. In December 2007, we submitted our written response to the KFTC. In February 2008, the KFTC's examiner submitted a written reply to our response. In March 2008, we submitted a further response. In April 2008, we participated in a pre-hearing conference before the KFTC, and we participated in formal hearings in May and June 2008. In June 2008, the KFTC announced its intent to fine us approximately \$25 million for providing discounts to Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and TriGem Computer Inc. In November 2008, the KFTC issued a final written decision concluding that Intel's discounts had violated Korean antitrust law and imposing a fine on Intel of approximately \$20 million, which Intel paid in January 2009. In December 2008, Intel appealed this decision by filing a lawsuit in the Seoul High Court seeking to overturn the KFTC's decision. The KFTC through its attorneys filed its answer to Intel's complaint in March 2009. Thereafter Intel and the KFTC will provide arguments to the court in sequential briefs.

In November 2009, the State of New York filed a lawsuit against Intel in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware. The lawsuit alleges that Intel violated federal antitrust laws; the New York Donnelly Act, which prohibits contracts or agreements to monopolize; and the New York Executive Law, which proscribes underlying violations of federal and state antitrust laws. The lawsuit alleges that Intel has engaged in a systematic worldwide campaign of illegal, exclusionary conduct to maintain its monopoly power and prices in the market for x86 microprocessors through the use of various alleged actions, including exclusive or near-exclusive agreements from large computer makers in exchange for loyalty payments and bribes, and other alleged threats and retaliation. The plaintiff claims that Intel's alleged actions harmed consumers, competition, and innovation. The lawsuit seeks a declaration that Intel's alleged actions have violated the federal and New York antitrust laws and the New York Executive Law, an injunction to prevent further alleged unlawful acts, unspecified damages in an amount to be proven at trial, trebled as provided for by law, restitution, disgorgement, \$1 million for each violation of the Donnelly Act proven by the plaintiff, and attorneys' fees and costs. In January 2010, Intel filed its answer. Intel disagrees with the plaintiff's allegations and claims, and intends to conduct a vigorous defense of the lawsuit.

In December 2009, the New York Attorney General's staff served a subpoena on Intel. That subpoena calls for production of documents and information related to various aspects of Intel's notebook computer business, including products that offer graphics capabilities and/or potentially compete with graphic processing units (GPUs). It also calls for production of all documents concerning Intel's notebook computer business that Intel previously produced to other U.S. and foreign antitrust agencies in connection with their antitrust investigations of Intel.

In June 2008, the U.S. Federal Trade Commission (FTC) announced a formal investigation into our sales practices. In June 2009, the FTC staff asked for additional information and testimony by some Intel witnesses. During the months that followed, the FTC staff broadened its inquiry and gave Intel only limited opportunities to address staff concerns. Settlement discussions were unsuccessful. In December 2009, three FTC commissioners voted to issue an administrative complaint alleging that Intel had violated Section 5 of the FTC Act by engaging in unfair methods of competition and unfair acts or practices in markets for CPUs and GPUs. This administrative proceeding will lead to a hearing before Chief Administrative Law Judge Chappell that is set to begin in September 2010. Any initial decision rendered by Judge Chappell can be appealed to the Commissioners by both the FTC staff supporting the complaint and by Intel. If the FTC ultimately issues a decision adverse to Intel, that decision can be appealed to a Federal Circuit



of Intel's choosing. Intel disagrees with the FTC's allegations and claims, and intends to conduct a vigorous defense.

*Intel/AMD Cross-License Agreement*

Intel and AMD entered into a patent cross-license in January 2001. Under that license, Intel granted AMD a limited license to certain Intel patents, subject to the terms of that agreement. In October 2008, AMD announced its intention to form a joint venture called The Foundry Company (later renamed GlobalFoundries Inc.) with two investment entities of the Emirate of Abu Dhabi. In March 2009, AMD announced that it had closed this transaction. AMD has claimed that GlobalFoundries is entitled to a license to Intel patents under the 2001 Intel/AMD cross-license. Intel disagreed with that claim and also claimed that AMD had breached the Intel/AMD 2001 cross-license. In November 2009, Intel and AMD resolved these disputes as part of a comprehensive settlement and entered into a new five-year cross-license agreement.

**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

*Antitrust Derivative Litigation and Related Matters*

In February 2008, Martin Smilow, an Intel stockholder, filed a putative derivative action in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware against members of our Board of Directors. The complaint alleges generally that the Board allowed the company to violate antitrust and other laws, as described in AMD's antitrust lawsuits against us, and that those Board-sanctioned activities have harmed the company. The complaint repeats many of AMD's allegations and references various investigations by the EC, the KFTC, and others. In February 2008, a second plaintiff, Evan Tobias, filed a derivative suit in the same court against the Board containing many of the same allegations as in the Smilow suit. On July 30, 2008, the District Court entered an order directing Smilow and Tobias to file a single, consolidated complaint by August 7, 2008 and directing us to respond within 30 days thereafter. An amended consolidated complaint was filed on August 7, 2008. In June 2009, the Court granted the defendants' motion to dismiss the plaintiffs' consolidated complaint, with prejudice.

In June 2008, a third plaintiff, Christine Del Gaizo, filed a derivative suit in the Santa Clara County Superior Court against the Board, a former director of the Board, and six of our officers, containing many of the same allegations as in the Smilow and Tobias suits. In August 2008, the parties in the California derivative suit entered into a stipulation to stay the action pending further order of the court, and the court entered an order to that effect in September 2008.

In November 2009, a fourth plaintiff, Charles Gilman, filed a stockholder derivative suit in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware against Intel's current Board members as well as three former Board members. Gilman's complaint makes many of the same allegations raised in the earlier suits, additionally cites a number of excerpts from the EC's ruling, and points to the settlement of the AMD litigation as supposed evidence of damage to Intel.

In December 2009, a fifth plaintiff, Louisiana Municipal Police Employee Retirement System (LMPERS), filed a stockholder derivative suit in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware against Intel's current Board members as well as three former Board members. LMPERS's complaint makes many of the same allegations raised in the earlier suits, and additionally incorporates by reference the allegations made in the lawsuit filed against Intel by the New York Attorney General. In January 2010, Delaware District Court Judge Farnan signed a stipulated order consolidating the Gilman and LMPERS actions under the name *In re Intel Corp. Derivative Litigation*. Gilman and LMPERS filed a consolidated complaint in February 2010. We deny the allegations in all of these derivative suits and intend to defend the lawsuits vigorously.

Intel stockholders Martin Smilow and the Rosenfeld Family Foundation filed an action in Delaware Chancery Court in November 2009 to enforce an inspection demand that they had previously made pursuant to section 220 of the Delaware General Corporation Law. Intel denies the allegations and intends to defend the lawsuit vigorously.

*Intel Corporation v. Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO)*

In May 2005, Intel filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California against CSIRO, an Australian research institute. CSIRO had sent letters to Intel customers claiming that products compliant with the IEEE 802.11a and 802.11g standards infringe CSIRO's U.S. Patent No. 5,487,069 (the '069 patent). Intel's lawsuit sought a declaration that the CSIRO patent is invalid and that no Intel product infringes it. Dell Inc. was a co-declaratory judgment plaintiff with Intel; Microsoft Corporation, Netgear Inc., and Hewlett-Packard Company filed

a similar, separate lawsuit against CSIRO. In its amended answer, CSIRO claimed that various Intel products compliant with the IEEE 802.11a, 802.11g, and/or draft 802.11n standards infringe the 069 patent. In 2009, we entered into a settlement agreement with CSIRO pursuant to which, among other things, we made payments to CSIRO in exchange for a license to certain patents. The settlement agreement did not significantly impact our results of operations or cash flows.

**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

*Lehman Matter*

In November 2009, representatives of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. advised Intel informally that the Lehman bankruptcy estate was considering a claim against Intel arising from a 2008 forward-share purchase contract. The transaction at issue was between Intel and Lehman Brothers OTC Derivatives Inc. (together with its affiliate Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc., Lehman ), which entered into a \$1.0 billion forward-purchase agreement to purchase shares of Intel common stock. Under the terms of the agreement, Intel provided a \$1.0 billion pre-payment to Lehman, in exchange for which Lehman was required to purchase \$1.0 billion in shares of Intel common stock, calculated at a volume weighted average price from August 26, 2008 to September 26, 2008. Intel received an equivalent \$1.0 billion of cash collateral from Lehman. Lehman was obligated to deliver approximately 50 million shares of Intel common stock to Intel on September 29, 2008. Lehman failed to deliver any shares of Intel common stock, and Intel foreclosed on the \$1.0 billion collateral. No specific information has been provided by Lehman regarding the nature or scope of the potential claims, other than the assertion that Lehman contends that it suffered damages in a range between \$130 million and \$380 million. In February 2010, Lehman served a subpoena on Intel in connection with this transaction, but Lehman has not initiated any action against Intel to date. We believe that Intel acted appropriately under its agreement with Lehman, in light of Lehman's bankruptcy filing, and we intend to defend any claim to the contrary.

*Saxon Innovations, LLC v. Intel Corporation*

In August 2008, Saxon Innovations, LLC filed an action for patent infringement against six personal computer OEMs (Apple Inc., Gateway, Inc., Acer Inc., Hewlett-Packard Company, Dell Inc., and ASUSTeK Computer Inc.) in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas. The asserted patents are U.S. Patent No. 5,592,555, entitled Wireless Communications Privacy Method and System ; U.S. Patent No. 5,502,689, entitled Clock Generator Capable of Shut-Down Mode and Clock Generation Method ; U.S. Patent No. 5,530,597, entitled Apparatus and Method for Disabling Interrupt Masks in Processors or the Like ; U.S. Patent No. 5,247,621, entitled System and Method for Processor Bus Use ; and U.S. Patent No. 5,235,635, entitled Keypad Monitor with Keypad Activity-Based Activation. The complaint sought unspecified damages and a permanent injunction. In September 2008, Intel filed an unopposed motion to intervene in the case. In response, Saxon Innovations filed a counterclaim against Intel, accusing Intel of infringing the patents listed above, and asserting two additional patents against Intel: U.S. Patent No. 5,422,832, entitled Variable Thermal Sensor, and U.S. Patent No. 5,829,031, entitled Microprocessor Configured to Detect a Group of Instructions and to Perform a Specific Function upon Detection. In January 2010, we entered into a settlement agreement with Saxon Innovations pursuant to which, among other things, we made a payment to Saxon Innovations in exchange for a license to certain patents. The settlement agreement did not significantly impact our results of operations or cash flows.

*Frank T. Shum v. Intel Corporation, Jean-Marc Verdiell, and LightLogic, Inc.*

Intel acquired LightLogic, Inc. in May 2001. Frank Shum has sued Intel, LightLogic, and LightLogic's founder, Jean-Marc Verdiell, claiming that much of LightLogic's intellectual property is based on alleged inventions that Shum conceived while he and Verdiell were partners at Radiance Design, Inc. Shum has alleged claims for fraud, breach of fiduciary duty, fraudulent concealment, and breach of contract. Shum also seeks alleged correction of inventorship of seven patents acquired by Intel as part of the LightLogic acquisition. In January 2005, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California denied Shum's inventorship claim, and thereafter granted Intel's motion for summary judgment on Shum's remaining claims. In August 2007, the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

vacated the District Court's rulings and remanded the case for further proceedings. In October 2008, the District Court granted Intel's motion for summary judgment on Shum's claims for breach of fiduciary duty and fraudulent concealment, but denied Intel's motion on Shum's remaining claims. A jury trial on Shum's remaining claims took place in November and December 2008. In pre-trial proceedings and at trial, Shum requested monetary damages against the defendants in amounts ranging from \$31 million to \$931 million, and his final request to the jury was for as much as \$175 million. Following deliberations, the jury was unable to reach a verdict on most of the claims. With respect to Shum's claim that he is the proper inventor on certain LightLogic patents now assigned to Intel, the jury agreed with Shum on some of those claims. But the jury was unable to reach a verdict on the breach of contract, fraud, or unjust enrichment claims. In April 2009, the court granted defendants' motions for judgment as a matter of law. Shum has appealed that ruling to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.

**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

*Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation v. Intel Corporation*

In February 2008, the Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation filed an action for patent infringement against Intel in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Wisconsin. The complaint generally alleged that Intel infringed U.S. Patent No. 5,781,752 by making, using, offering for sale, importing, and/or selling certain of Intel's microprocessors, including the Intel® Core™2 Duo processor family with Smart Memory Access and any other microprocessor using the same or a similar memory disambiguation technique. In 2009, we entered into a settlement agreement pursuant to which, among other things, we made a payment to the Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation in exchange for a license to certain patents. The settlement agreement did not significantly impact our results of operations or cash flows.

**Note 29: Operating Segment and Geographic Information**

At the end of 2009, we reorganized our business to better align our major product groups around the core competencies of Intel architecture and our manufacturing operations. After the reorganization, we have nine operating segments, including PC Client Group, Data Center Group, Embedded and Communications Group, Digital Home Group, Ultra-Mobility Group, NAND Solutions Group, Wind River Software Group, Software and Services Group, and Digital Health Group. All prior-period amounts have been adjusted retrospectively to reflect the new organizational structure.

The Chief Operating Decision Maker (CODM) is our President and Chief Executive Officer. The CODM allocates resources to and assesses the performance of each operating segment using information about its revenue and operating income (loss).

Our PC Client Group and our Data Center Group are reportable operating segments. We also aggregate and disclose the financial results of the following non-reportable operating segments, whose product lines are based on Intel architecture: Embedded and Communications Group, Digital Home Group, and Ultra-Mobility Group. These non-reportable operating segments are aggregated, as they have similar economic characteristics and their operations are similar in nature. These aggregated operating segments do not meet the quantitative thresholds to qualify as reportable operating segments; however, we have chosen to disclose the aggregation of these non-reportable operating segments into the other Intel architecture operating segments category. Revenue for our reportable and aggregated non-reportable operating segments is primarily related to the following product lines:

*PC Client Group.* Includes microprocessors and related chipsets and motherboards designed for the desktop (including high-end enthusiast PCs), notebook, and netbook market segments; and wireless connectivity products.

*Data Center Group.* Includes microprocessors and related chipsets and motherboards designed for the server, workstation, and storage computing market segments; and wired network connectivity products.

*Other Intel architecture operating segments.* Includes microprocessors and related chipsets for embedded applications and products designed for the ultra-mobile market segment, which includes various handheld devices; and products for the consumer electronics market segments.

Our NAND Solutions Group, Wind River Software Group, Software and Services Group, and Digital Health Group operating segments do not meet the quantitative thresholds to qualify as reportable segments and are included within the other operating segments category.

Revenue within the corporate category is primarily related to divested businesses for which discrete operating results are not reviewed by our CODM to assess performance and allocate resources. This includes revenue related to our NOR flash memory and cellular and handheld businesses, as well as revenue and expenses related to supply and service agreements that were entered into as part of these divestitures (see Note 16: Divestitures ).

We have sales and marketing, manufacturing, finance, and administration groups. Expenses for these groups are generally allocated to the operating segments, and the expenses are included in the operating results reported below.

Table of Contents

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

During 2009, we incurred charges of \$1.447 billion ( 1.06 billion) as a result of the fine from the EC and \$1.25 billion as a result of our legal settlement with AMD. For further information, see Note 28: Contingencies. These charges were included in the corporate category, which also includes expenses and charges such as:

amounts included within restructuring and asset impairment charges;  
a portion of profit-dependent compensation and other expenses not allocated to the operating segments;  
results of operations of seed businesses that support our initiatives; and  
acquisition-related costs, including amortization and any impairment of acquisition-related intangibles and goodwill.

The CODM does not evaluate operating segments using discrete asset information. Operating segments do not record inter-segment revenue, and, accordingly, there is none to be reported. We do not allocate gains and losses from equity investments, interest and other income, or taxes to operating segments. Although the CODM uses operating income to evaluate the segments, operating costs included in one segment may benefit other segments. Except as discussed above, the accounting policies for segment reporting are the same as for Intel as a whole.

Net revenue and operating income (loss) for the three years ended December 26, 2009 were as follows:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>
<b>Net revenue</b>			
<b>PC Client Group</b>			
Microprocessor revenue	\$ 19,914	\$ 21,516	\$ 21,053
Chipset, motherboard, and other revenue	6,261	6,450	6,077
	<b>26,175</b>	<b>27,966</b>	<b>27,130</b>
<b>Data Center Group</b>			
Microprocessor revenue	5,301	5,126	4,796
Chipset, motherboard, and other revenue	1,149	1,464	1,659
	<b>6,450</b>	<b>6,590</b>	<b>6,455</b>
<b>Other Intel architecture operating segments</b>	<b>1,402</b>	<b>1,763</b>	<b>1,908</b>
<b>Other operating segments</b>	<b>970</b>	<b>579</b>	<b>447</b>
<b>Corporate</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>688</b>	<b>2,394</b>
<b>Total net revenue</b>	<b>\$ 35,127</b>	<b>\$ 37,586</b>	<b>\$ 38,334</b>
<b>Operating income (loss)</b>			
PC Client Group	\$ 7,585	\$ 9,419	\$ 8,535
Data Center Group	2,299	2,135	2,105
Other Intel architecture operating segments	(179)	(63)	47
Other operating segments	(284)	(1,042)	(864)
Corporate	(3,710)	(1,495)	(1,607)
<b>Total operating income</b>	<b>\$ 5,711</b>	<b>\$ 8,954</b>	<b>\$ 8,216</b>



In 2009, one customer accounted for 21% of our net revenue (20% in 2008 and 17% in 2007), while another customer accounted for 17% of our net revenue (18% in 2008 and 2007). The majority of the revenue from these customers was from the sale of microprocessors, chipsets, and other components by the PC Client Group and the Data Center Group operating segments.

**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Geographic revenue information for the three years ended December 26, 2009 is based on the location of the customer. Revenue from unaffiliated customers was as follows:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>
<b>Asia-Pacific (geographic region/country)</b>			
Taiwan	\$ 10,574	\$ 9,868	\$ 8,606
China (including Hong Kong)	5,835	4,974	5,295
Other Asia-Pacific	2,933	4,202	5,531
	<b>19,342</b>	<b>19,044</b>	<b>19,432</b>
<b>Americas (geographic region/country)</b>			
United States	5,280	5,462	6,015
Other Americas	1,838	1,981	1,700
	<b>7,118</b>	<b>7,443</b>	<b>7,715</b>
<b>Europe</b>	<b>5,278</b>	<b>7,116</b>	<b>7,262</b>
<b>Japan</b>	<b>3,389</b>	<b>3,983</b>	<b>3,925</b>
<b>Total net revenue</b>	<b>\$ 35,127</b>	<b>\$ 37,586</b>	<b>\$ 38,334</b>

Revenue from unaffiliated customers outside the U.S. totaled \$29,847 million in 2009 (\$32,124 million in 2008 and \$32,319 million in 2007).

Net property, plant and equipment by country was as follows:

<b>(In Millions)</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>2007<sup>1</sup></b>
United States	\$ 11,644	\$ 11,254	\$ 10,667
Israel	2,567	2,965	2,473
Ireland	1,092	1,536	2,076
Other countries	1,922	1,819	1,722
<b>Total property, plant and equipment, net</b>	<b>\$ 17,225</b>	<b>\$ 17,574</b>	<b>\$ 16,938</b>

<sup>1</sup> As adjusted due to changes to the accounting for convertible debt instruments. See Note 3: Accounting Changes.

Net property, plant and equipment outside the U.S. totaled \$5,581 million in 2009 (\$6,320 million in 2008 and \$6,271 million in 2007).



**Table of Contents**

**REPORT OF ERNST & YOUNG LLP, INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

*The Board of Directors and Stockholders, Intel Corporation*

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Intel Corporation as of December 26, 2009 and December 27, 2008, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 26, 2009. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Part IV, Item 15. These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Intel Corporation at December 26, 2009 and December 27, 2008, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 26, 2009, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

As discussed in Notes 3 and 27 to the consolidated financial statements, Intel Corporation changed its method of accounting for convertible debt instruments with cash settlement features during 2009, its method of accounting for sabbatical leave as of December 31, 2006, and its method of accounting for uncertain tax positions as of December 31, 2006.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Intel Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as of December 26, 2009, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 22, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

San Jose, California  
February 22, 2010

**Table of Contents**

**REPORT OF ERNST & YOUNG LLP, INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

***The Board of Directors and Stockholders, Intel Corporation***

We have audited Intel Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as of December 26, 2009, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Intel Corporation's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Intel Corporation maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 26, 2009, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the 2009 consolidated financial statements of Intel Corporation and our report dated February 22, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

San Jose, California  
February 22, 2010



**Table of Contents**

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**FINANCIAL INFORMATION BY QUARTER (UNAUDITED)**

**2009 for Quarter Ended**

<b>(In Millions, Except Per Share Amounts)</b>	<b>December 26</b>	<b>September 26</b>	<b>June 27</b>	<b>March 28</b>
Net revenue	\$ 10,569	\$ 9,389	\$ 8,024	\$ 7,145
Gross margin	\$ 6,840	\$ 5,404	\$ 4,079	\$ 3,238
Net income (loss)	\$ 2,282 <sup>1</sup>	\$ 1,856	\$ (398) <sup>2</sup>	\$ 629
Basic earnings (loss) per common share	\$ 0.41 <sup>1</sup>	\$ 0.34	\$ (0.07) <sup>2</sup>	\$ 0.11
Diluted earnings (loss) per common share	\$ 0.40 <sup>1</sup>	\$ 0.33	\$ (0.07) <sup>2</sup>	\$ 0.11
Dividends per common share				
Declared	\$	\$ 0.28	\$	\$ 0.28
Paid	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.14
Market price range common stock <sup>3</sup>				
High	\$ 20.83	\$ 20.32	\$ 16.66	\$ 15.82
Low	\$ 18.50	\$ 15.94	\$ 14.72	\$ 12.08

**2008 for Quarter Ended**

<b>(In Millions, Except Per Share Amounts)</b>	<b>December 27</b>	<b>September 27</b>	<b>June 28</b>	<b>March 29</b>
Net revenue	\$ 8,226	\$ 10,217	\$ 9,470	\$ 9,673
Gross margin	\$ 4,369	\$ 6,019	\$ 5,249	\$ 5,207
Net income	\$ 234 <sup>4</sup>	\$ 2,014	\$ 1,601	\$ 1,443
Basic earnings per common share	\$ 0.04 <sup>4</sup>	\$ 0.36	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.25
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.04 <sup>4</sup>	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.25
Dividends per common share				
Declared	\$	\$ 0.28	\$	\$ 0.2675
Paid	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.1275
Market price range common stock <sup>3</sup>				
High	\$ 18.73	\$ 24.52	\$ 25.00	\$ 26.66
Low	\$ 12.23	\$ 18.50	\$ 20.69	\$ 18.63

<sup>1</sup> During the fourth quarter of 2009, we recorded a charge of \$1.25 billion as a result of a settlement agreement with AMD. For further information, see Note 28: Contingencies in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements of this Form 10-K.

<sup>2</sup> During the second quarter of 2009, we recorded a charge of \$1.447 billion ( 1.06 billion) as a result of the fine imposed by the EC. For further information, see Note 28: Contingencies in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements of this Form 10-K.

<sup>3</sup> Intel's common stock (symbol INTC) trades on The NASDAQ Global Select Market and is quoted in the Wall Street Journal and other newspapers. All stock prices are closing prices per The NASDAQ Global Select Market.

<sup>4</sup> *During the fourth quarter of 2008, we recorded a total of \$938 million in impairment charges related to our Clearwire investments. \$762 million was related to our investment in Clearwire LLC and \$176 million was related to our investment in Clearwire Corporation. For further information, see Note 11: Non-Marketable Equity Investments and Note 7: Available-for-Sale Investments, respectively, in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements of this Form 10-K.*



**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE**

Not applicable.

**ITEM CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES  
9A.**

**Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

Based on management's evaluation (with the participation of our CEO and Chief Financial Officer (CFO)), as of the end of the period covered by this report, our CEO and CFO have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)), are effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms, and is accumulated and communicated to management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

**Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

There were no changes to our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**Management Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of our financial reporting and the preparation of consolidated financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Management assessed our internal control over financial reporting as of December 26, 2009, the end of our fiscal year. Management based its assessment on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Management's assessment included evaluation of elements such as the design and operating effectiveness of key financial reporting controls, process documentation, accounting policies, and our overall control environment.

Based on our assessment, management has concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of the end of the fiscal year to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of consolidated financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. We reviewed the results of management's assessment with the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors.

Our independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP, independently assessed the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting, as stated in their attestation report, which is included at the end of Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.



**Table of Contents**

**Inherent Limitations on Effectiveness of Controls**

Our management, including the CEO and CFO, does not expect that our disclosure controls or our internal control over financial reporting will prevent or detect all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the control system's objectives will be met. The design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Further, because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that misstatements due to error or fraud will not occur or that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, have been detected. The design of any system of controls is based in part on certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of controls to future periods are subject to risks. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or deterioration in the degree of compliance with policies or procedures.

**ITEM OTHER INFORMATION**

**9B.**

None.

**Table of Contents**

**PART III**

**ITEM DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE  
10.**

The information in our 2010 Proxy Statement regarding directors and executive officers appearing under the headings Proposal 1: Election of Directors and Other Matters Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance is incorporated by reference in this section. The information under the heading Executive Officers of the Registrant in Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-K is also incorporated by reference in this section. In addition, the information under the heading Corporate Governance in our 2010 Proxy Statement is incorporated by reference in this section.

The Intel Code of Conduct is our code of ethics document applicable to all employees, including all officers, and including our independent directors, who are not employees of the company, with regard to their Intel-related activities. The Code incorporates our guidelines designed to deter wrongdoing and to promote honest and ethical conduct and compliance with applicable laws and regulations. The Code also incorporates our expectations of our employees that enable us to provide accurate and timely disclosure in our filings with the SEC and other public communications. In addition, the Code incorporates guidelines pertaining to topics such as complying with applicable laws, rules, and regulations; reporting Code violations; and maintaining accountability for adherence to the Code.

The full text of our Code is published on our Investor Relations web site at [www.intc.com](http://www.intc.com). We intend to disclose future amendments to certain provisions of our Code, or waivers of such provisions granted to executive officers and directors, on the web site within four business days following the date of such amendment or waiver.

**ITEM EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION  
11.**

The information appearing in our 2010 Proxy Statement under the headings Director Compensation, Compensation Discussion and Analysis, Report of the Compensation Committee, and Executive Compensation is incorporated by reference in this section.

**ITEM SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND  
12. RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS**

The information appearing in our 2010 Proxy Statement under the heading Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management is incorporated by reference in this section.

Table of Contents**Equity Compensation Plan Information**

Information as of December 26, 2009 regarding equity compensation plans approved and not approved by stockholders is summarized in the following table (shares in millions):

<b>Plan Category</b>	<b>(A) Number of Shares to Be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options and Rights</b>	<b>(B) Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>(C) Number of Shares Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Incentive Plans (Excluding Shares Reflected in Column A)</b>
Equity incentive plans approved by stockholders	376.6 <sup>2</sup>	\$ 20.68	381.0 <sup>3</sup>
Equity incentive plans not approved by stockholders <sup>4</sup>	181.6	\$ 31.63	
<b>Total</b>	<b>558.2<sup>5</sup></b>	<b>\$ 25.09</b>	<b>381.0</b>

<sup>1</sup> The weighted average exercise price does not take into account the shares issuable upon vesting of outstanding restricted stock units, which have no exercise price.

<sup>2</sup> Includes 102.5 million shares issuable upon vesting of restricted stock units granted under the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan, including a maximum of 3.8 million market-based restricted stock units that could be awarded at the end of the requisite period. Also includes 4.8 million shares issuable upon vesting of restricted stock units granted under the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan.

<sup>3</sup> Maximum of 253 million shares that can be awarded as restricted stock or restricted stock units under the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan. Amount also includes 157.1 million shares available under our 2006 Stock Purchase Plan.

<sup>4</sup> Consists of shares available upon exercise of options granted under our 1997 Stock Option Plan, which was not required to be approved by stockholders. The 1997 Stock Option Plan was terminated as to future grants in May 2004.

<sup>5</sup> Total excludes 350,000 shares issuable under outstanding options, with a weighted average exercise price of \$11.33, originally granted under plans that we assumed in connection with acquisitions.

The 1997 Stock Option Plan (1997 Plan) provided for the granting of stock options to employees other than officers and directors. The 1997 Plan, which was not approved by stockholders, was terminated as to future grants when the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan was approved by stockholders in May 2004. The 1997 Plan is administered by the Compensation Committee, which has the power to determine matters related to outstanding option awards under the 1997 Plan, including conditions of vesting and exercisability. Options granted under the 1997 Plan expire no later than ten years from the grant date. Options granted before 2003 under the 1997 Plan generally vest in five years, and options granted under the 1997 Plan in 2003 and 2004 generally vest in increments over four or five years from the date of grant. Grants to key employees may have delayed vesting, generally beginning six years from the date of grant.

### **ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE**

The information appearing in our 2010 Proxy Statement under the headings *Corporate Governance* and *Certain Relationships and Related Transactions* is incorporated by reference in this section.

### **ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES**

The information appearing in our 2010 Proxy Statement under the headings *Report of the Audit Committee* and *Proposal 2: Ratification of Selection of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm* is incorporated by reference in this section.

**Table of Contents**

**PART IV**

**ITEM EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES**

**15.**

1. Financial Statements: See Index to Consolidated Financial Statements in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.
2. Financial Statement Schedule: See Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts in this section of this Form 10-K.
3. Exhibits: The exhibits listed in the accompanying index to exhibits are filed or incorporated by reference as part of this Form 10-K.

Certain of the agreements filed as exhibits to this Form 10-K contain representations and warranties by the parties to the agreements that have been made solely for the benefit of the parties to the agreement. These representations and warranties:

- may have been qualified by disclosures that were made to the other parties in connection with the negotiation of the agreements, which disclosures are not necessarily reflected in the agreements;
- may apply standards of materiality that differ from those of a reasonable investor; and
- were made only as of specified dates contained in the agreements and are subject to subsequent developments and changed circumstances.

Accordingly, these representations and warranties may not describe the actual state of affairs as of the date that these representations and warranties were made or at any other time. Investors should not rely on them as statements of fact.

*Intel, Intel logo, Intel Inside, Intel Atom, Celeron, Intel Centrino, Intel Core, Intel vPro, Intel Xeon, Itanium, Moblin, and Pentium are trademarks of Intel Corporation in the U.S. and other countries.*

*\* Other names and brands may be claimed as the property of others.*

**Table of Contents**

## Schedule Of Valuation And Qualifying Accounts Disclosure

**INTEL CORPORATION**  
**SCHEDULE II VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS**

**December 26, 2009, December 27, 2008, and December 29, 2007**  
(In Millions)

	<b>Balance at Beginning of Year</b>	<b>Additions Charged (Credited) to Expenses</b>	<b>Net (Deductions) Recoveries</b>	<b>Balance at End of Year</b>
Allowance for doubtful receivables <sup>1</sup>				
2009	\$ 17	\$ 3	\$ (1)	\$ 19
2008	\$ 27	\$ (4)	\$ (6)	\$ 17
2007	\$ 32	\$ (6)	\$ 1	\$ 27
Valuation allowance for deferred tax assets				
2009	\$ 358	\$ 91	\$ (120)	\$ 329
2008	\$ 133	\$ 267	\$ (42)	\$ 358
2007	\$ 87	\$ 46	\$	\$ 133

<sup>1</sup> Deductions represent uncollectible accounts written off, net of recoveries.



**Table of Contents****INDEX TO EXHIBITS**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Exhibit Description</b>	<b>Incorporated by Reference</b>			<b>Filing Date</b>	<b>Filed Herewith</b>
		<b>Form</b>	<b>File Number</b>	<b>Exhibit</b>		
3.1	Intel Corporation Third Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Intel Corporation dated May 17, 2006	8-K	000-06217	3.1	5/22/06	
3.2	Intel Corporation Bylaws, as amended on November 12, 2008	8-K	000-06217	3.1	11/13/08	
4.2.1	Indenture for the Registrant s 2.95% Junior Subordinated Convertible Debentures due 2035 between Intel Corporation and Citibank N.A., dated as of December 16, 2005 (the Convertible Note Indenture )	10-K	000-06217	4.2	2/27/06	
4.2.2	Indenture dated as of March 29, 2006 between Intel Corporation and Citibank, N.A. (the Open-Ended Indenture )	S-3ASR	333-132865	4.4	3/30/06	
4.2.3	First Supplemental Indenture to Convertible Note Indenture, dated as of July 25, 2007	10-K	000-06217	4.2.3	2/20/08	
4.2.4	First Supplemental Indenture to Open-Ended Indenture, dated as of December 3, 2007	10-K	000-06217	4.2.4	2/20/08	
4.2.5	Indenture for the Registrant s 3.25% Junior Subordinated Convertible Debentures due 2039 between Intel Corporation and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, dated as of July 27, 2009	8-K	000-06217	4.1	7/27/09	
10.1**	Intel Corporation 1984 Stock Option Plan, as amended and restated effective July 16, 1997	10-Q	333-45395	10.1	8/11/98	
10.2		10-K	000-06217	10.7	3/11/03	

Intel Corporation 1997 Stock  
Option Plan, as amended and  
restated effective July 16, 1997

10.3**	Intel Corporation 2004 Equity Incentive Plan, effective May 19, 2004	10-Q	000-06217	10.3	8/2/04
10.4**	Notice of Grant of Non-Qualified Stock Option under the Intel Corporation 2004 Equity Incentive Plan	10-Q	000-06217	10.7	8/2/04
10.5**	Standard Terms and Conditions Relating to Non-Qualified Stock Options granted to U.S. employees on and after May 19, 2004 under the Intel Corporation 2004 Equity Incentive Plan	10-Q	000-06217	10.5	8/2/04
10.6**	Standard International Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement under the Intel Corporation 2004 Equity Incentive Plan	10-Q	000-06217	10.6	8/2/04
10.7**	Intel Corporation Non-Employee Director Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement under the Intel Corporation 2004 Equity Incentive Plan	10-Q	000-06217	10.4	8/2/04
10.8**	Form of ELTSOP Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement under the Intel Corporation 2004 Equity Incentive Plan	8-K	000-06217	10.1	10/12/04
10.9**	Intel Corporation 2004 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended and restated, effective May 18, 2005	8-K	000-06217	10.1	5/20/05
10.10**	Form of Notice of Grant of Restricted Stock Units	8-K	000-06217	10.5	2/9/06
10.11**	Form of Intel Corporation Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement under the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan	10-K	000-06217	10.16	2/27/06

10.12**	Standard Terms and Conditions relating to Restricted Stock Units granted to U.S. employees under the Intel Corporation 2004 Equity Incentive Plan	10-Q	000-06217	10.2	5/8/06
---------	---	------	-----------	------	--------

**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Exhibit Description</b>	<b>Incorporated by Reference</b>			<b>Filing Date</b>	<b>Filed Herewith</b>
		<b>Form</b>	<b>File Number</b>	<b>Exhibit</b>		
10.13**	Standard International Restricted Stock Unit Agreement under the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan	10-Q	000-06217	10.4	5/8/06	
10.14**	Standard Terms and Conditions relating to Non-Qualified Stock Options granted to U.S. employees on and after February 1, 2006 under the Intel Corporation 2004 Equity Incentive Plan (other than grants made under the SOP Plus or ELTSOP programs)	10-Q	000-06217	10.6	5/8/06	
10.15**	Standard Terms and Conditions relating to Restricted Stock Units granted to U.S. employees under the Intel Corporation 2004 Equity Incentive Plan (for grants under the ELTSOP Program)	10-Q	000-06217	10.9	5/8/06	
10.16**	Standard International Restricted Stock Unit Agreement under the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan (for grants under the ELTSOP Program)	10-Q	000-06217	10.11	5/8/06	
10.17**	Terms and Conditions relating to Nonqualified Stock Options granted to U.S. employees on and after February 1, 2006 under the Intel Corporation 2004 Equity Incentive Plan for grants formerly known as ELTSOP Grants	10-Q	000-06217	10.13	5/8/06	
10.18**	Standard International Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement under the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan (for grants after February 1, 2006 under the ELTSOP Program)	10-Q	000-06217	10.15	5/8/06	
10.19**	Amendment of Stock Option and Restricted Stock Unit Agreements with the Elimination of Leave of	10-Q	000-06217	10.5	5/2/08	

## Absence Provisions

10.20**	Intel Corporation 2006 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended and restated, effective May 17, 2006	8-K	000-06217	10.1	5/22/06
10.21**	Form of Notice of Grant Restricted Stock Units	8-K	000-06217	10.13	7/6/06
10.22**	Form of Notice of Grant Nonqualified Stock Options	8-K	000-06217	10.24	7/6/06
10.23**	Standard Terms and Conditions relating to Restricted Stock Units granted to U.S. employees on and after May 17, 2006 under the Intel Corporation 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (for grants under the standard program)	8-K	000-06217	10.1	7/6/06
10.24**	Standard International Restricted Stock Unit Agreement under the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (for grants under the standard program after May 17, 2006)	8-K	000-06217	10.2	7/6/06
10.25**	Terms and Conditions relating to Restricted Stock Units granted on and after May 17, 2006 to U.S. employees under the Intel Corporation 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (for grants under the ELTSOP Program)	8-K	000-06217	10.7	7/6/06
10.26**	International Restricted Stock Unit Agreement under the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (for grants under the ELTSOP program after May 17, 2006)	8-K	000-06217	10.8	7/6/06
10.27**	Intel Corporation 2006 Equity Incentive Plan Terms and Conditions Relating to Restricted Stock Units Granted to Paul S. Otellini on April 17, 2008 under the Intel Corporation 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (under the ELTSOP RSU Program)	8-K	000-06217	99.1	4/17/08
10.28**	Standard Terms and Conditions relating to Non-Qualified Stock	8-K	000-06217	10.14	7/6/06

Options granted to U.S. employees  
on and after May 17, 2006 under  
the Intel Corporation 2006 Equity  
Incentive Plan (for grants under the  
standard program)

121

---

**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Exhibit Description</b>	<b>Form</b>	<b>Incorporated by Reference</b>		<b>Filing Date</b>	<b>Filed Herewith</b>
			<b>File Number</b>	<b>Exhibit</b>		
10.29**	Standard International Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement under the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (for grants under the standard program after May 17, 2006)	8-K	000-06217	10.15	7/6/06	
10.30**	Form of Stock Option Agreement with Continued Post-Retirement Exercisability	10-Q	000-06217	10.3	5/2/08	
10.31**	Terms and Conditions relating to Nonqualified Stock Options granted to U.S. employees on and after May 17, 2006 under the Intel Corporation 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (for grants under the ELTSOP Program)	8-K	000-06217	10.19	7/6/06	
10.32**	International Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement under the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (for grants after May 17, 2006 under the ELTSOP Program)	8-K	000-06217	10.20	7/6/06	
10.33**	Amendment of Stock Option and Restricted Stock Unit Agreements with the Elimination of Leave of Absence Provisions and the Addition of the Ability to Change the Grant Agreement as Laws Change	10-Q	000-06217	10.6	5/2/08	
10.34**	Form of Non-Employee Director Restricted Stock Unit Agreement under the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (for RSUs granted after May 17, 2006)	8-K	000-06217	10.2	7/14/06	
10.35**	Terms and Conditions Relating to Nonqualified Options Granted to Paul Otellini on January 18, 2007 under the Intel Corporation 2006 Equity Incentive Plan	10-K	000-06217	10.42	2/26/07	

Edgar Filing: INTEL CORP - Form 10-K

10.36**	Intel Corporation 2006 Equity Incentive Plan As Amended and Restated effective May 16, 2007	8-K	000-06217	10.1	5/16/07
10.37**	Intel Corporation 2007 Executive Officer Incentive Plan, effective as of January 1, 2007	8-K	000-06217	10.2	5/16/07
10.38**	Intel Corporation Deferral Plan for Outside Directors, effective July 1, 1998	10-K	333-45395	10.6	3/26/99
10.39**	Intel Corporation Sheltered Employee Retirement Plan Plus, as amended and restated effective January 1, 2006	S-8	333-141905	99.1	4/5/07
10.40**	First Amendment to the Intel Corporation Sheltered Employee Retirement Plan Plus, executed November 6, 2007	10-K	000-06217	10.37	2/20/08
10.41**	Second Amendment to the Intel Corporation Sheltered Employee Retirement Plan Plus, executed November 6, 2007	10-K	000-06217	10.38	2/20/08
10.42**	Form of Indemnification Agreement with Directors and Executive Officers	10-K	000-06217	10.15	2/22/05
10.43**	Listed Officer Compensation	10-Q	000-06217	10.1	5/3/07
10.44**	Intel Corporation 2006 Stock Purchase Plan, effective May 17, 2006	S-8	333-135178	99.1	6/21/06
10.45**	Amendment to the Intel Corporation 2006 Stock Purchase Plan, effective February 20, 2009	10-K	000-06217	10.45	2/23/09
10.46**	Summary of Intel Corporation Non-Employee Director Compensation	8-K	000-06217	10.1	7/14/06
10.47**	Intel Corporation 2006 Deferral Plan for Outside Directors, effective November 15, 2006	10-K	000-06217	10.41	2/26/07



**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Exhibit Description</b>	<b>Incorporated by Reference</b>			<b>Filing Date</b>	<b>Filed Herewith</b>
		<b>Form</b>	<b>File Number</b>	<b>Exhibit</b>		
10.48**	Standard Terms and Conditions relating to Restricted Stock Units granted on and after January 22, 2010 under the Intel Corporation Equity Incentive Plan (standard OSU program)					X
10.49**	Intel Corporation Restricted Stock Unit Agreement under the Intel Corporation 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (for RSUs granted after January 22, 2010 under the standard OSU program)					X
10.50**	Standard Terms and Conditions relating to Non-Qualified Stock Options granted to A. Douglas Melamed on January 22, 2010 under the Intel Corporation 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (standard option program)					X
10.51	Settlement Agreement Between Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. and Intel Corporation, dated November 11, 2009	8-K	000-06217	10.1	11/12/09	
12.1	Statement Setting Forth the Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges					X
21.1	Intel Corporation Subsidiaries					X
23.1	Consent of Ernst & Young LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm					X
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)					X
31.2						X

Certification of Chief Financial  
Officer and Principal Accounting  
Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)  
of the Exchange Act

32.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) of the Exchange Act and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	X
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document	X
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document	X
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document	X
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document	X
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document	X
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document	X

\*\* *Management contracts or compensation plans or arrangements in which directors or executive officers are eligible to participate.*

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

INTEL CORPORATION  
Registrant

By: /s/ Stacy J. Smith

Stacy J. Smith  
Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, and  
Principal Accounting Officer  
February 22, 2010

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

/s/ Charlene Barshefsky

Charlene Barshefsky  
Director  
February 22, 2010

/s/ Susan L. Decker

Susan L. Decker  
Director  
February 22, 2010

/s/ John J. Donahoe

John J. Donahoe  
Director  
February 22, 2010

/s/ Reed E. Hundt

Reed E. Hundt  
Director  
February 22, 2010

/s/ Paul S. Otellini

Paul S. Otellini  
President, Chief Executive Officer, Director, and

/s/ David S. Pottruck

David S. Pottruck  
Director  
February 22, 2010

/s/ Jane E. Shaw

Jane E. Shaw  
Chairman of the Board and Director  
February 22, 2010

/s/ Stacy J. Smith

Stacy J. Smith  
Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, and  
Principal Accounting Officer  
February 22, 2010

/s/ John L. Thornton

John L. Thornton  
Director  
February 22, 2010

/s/ Frank D. Yeary

Frank D. Yeary  
Director

Principal Executive Officer  
February 22, 2010

February 22, 2010

/s/ James D. Plummer

/s/ David B. Yoffie

James D. Plummer  
Director  
February 22, 2010

David B. Yoffie  
Director  
February 22, 2010