## FLEMING COMPANIES INC /OK/ Form 10-K/A October 16, 2002

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

-----

FORM 10-K/A

(Mark One)

[X] Annual report pursuant to section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 29, 2001

OR

[ ] Transition report pursuant to section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 1-8140

FLEMING COMPANIES, INC. (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

OKLAHOMA (State of Incorporation)

48-0222760

(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

1945 LAKEPOINTE DRIVE PO BOX 299013 LEWISVILLE, TEXAS 75029 (972) 906-8000

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OF THE ACT:

TITLE OF EACH CLASS

-----

Common Stock, \$2.50 Par Value

NAME OF EACH EXCHANGE ON WHICH REGISTERED

-----

New York Stock Exchange Pacific Stock Exchange Chicago Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. [X] Yes [] No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to the Form 10-K. []

The aggregate market value of the common stock of Fleming Companies, Inc. held by nonaffiliates is \$801 million (based on the New York Stock Exchange closing

price on February 25, 2002).

As of February 25, 2002, 44,478,000 shares of common stock were outstanding.

Documents Incorporated by Reference

Part III of this report has been incorporated by reference from our 2002 proxy statement in connection with our 2002 annual meeting of shareholders, which the Registrant will file no later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this report.

#### EXPLANATORY NOTE

Following the review of the Registration Statement on Form S-4 (File No. 333-92262) (the "Registration Statement") of Fleming Companies, Inc. (the "Company") by the Staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission, this Amendment (the "Amendment") to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 29, 2001 (the "Form 10-K") is being filed to conform the Form 10-K to the Registration Statement by removing the disclosure regarding Adjusted EBITDA, making certain textual changes to the Company's presentation of EBITDAL under Item 7 ("Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations") on pages 20 and 21 of the Form 10-K and adding additional disclosure and making certain other textual changes to Item 1("Business"), Item 2 ("Properties"), Item 3 ("Legal Proceedings"), Item 7 ("Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations"), Item 10 ("Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant") and the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Item 14 ("Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules and Reports on Form 8-K") to conform to the Registration Statement on Form S-4. The Amendment contains no other changes to the Form 10-K.

2

#### PART I

#### ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Fleming is the industry leader in the wholesale distribution of consumable goods, and also has a growing presence in operating "price impact" supermarkets. Through our distribution segment, we distribute products to customers that operate approximately 3,000 supermarkets, 6,800 convenience stores and over 2,000 supercenters, discount stores, limited assortment stores, drug stores, specialty stores and other stores across the United States. At December 29, 2001, our retail segment operated 116 stores, predominantly supermarkets that focus on low prices and high quality perishables. In the fiscal year ended December 29, 2001, we generated total net sales of \$15.6 billion.

Our distribution segment net sales were \$13.3 billion for 2001, an 18.9% increase over the prior period. Distribution represented approximately 85% of total net sales in 2001. To supply our customers, we have a network of 24 full-line distribution centers and six general merchandise/specialty foods and five convenience store distribution centers that have a total of approximately 21 million square feet of warehouse space.

Our retail segment net sales were \$2.3 billion for 2001, which represented approximately 15% of total net sales. Of this amount, \$1.9 billion was attributable to continuing operations, which represents a 1.1% increase over the prior period. As of December 29, 2001, we owned and operated 94 price impact supermarkets and five additional supermarkets that we are converting to the price impact format. Price impact supermarkets offer everyday low prices that are typically below the prices of market-leading conventional supermarkets. These stores typically cost less to build, maintain and operate than conventional supermarkets. In addition, we operated 17 limited assortment stores under the yes!LESS(R) banner. Limited assortment stores offer a narrow selection of low-price, private label food and other consumable goods, as well as general merchandise at deep-discount prices.

In recent years, consumers have been shifting their purchases of food and other consumable goods away from conventional full-service grocery stores toward other retail channels, such as price impact supermarkets, discount stores, supercenters, convenience stores, drug stores and ethnic food stores. Since 1998, we have repositioned our distribution segment to become a highly efficient supplier to these retail channels. As a result, our distribution segment has experienced renewed sales growth. In addition, we believe price-sensitive consumers are underserved in the retail grocery market, and we have repositioned our retail segment to expand our presence in the price impact format.

#### REPOSITIONING OF FLEMING

Since 1998, in the course of implementing our strategic initiatives, we have, among other accomplishments:

- o closed or consolidated 12 distribution centers, which resulted in:
  - -- increased sales per full-line distribution center on a weighted average basis by more than 40% from \$389 million in 1998 to \$552 million in 2001, and
  - -- increased sales per full-line distribution center employee on a weighted average basis by 23% from 1998 to 2001;
- o currently centralized approximately 84% of our purchasing operations in our customer support center near Dallas, Texas;

3

- o centralized our accounting, human resources, information technology and other support services in our shared services center in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma;
- o sold or closed 238 conventional supermarkets through the end of 2001;
- o opened 40 additional price impact supermarkets; and
- o instituted a "culture of thrift" among our employees, in part through our Low Cost Pursuit Program.

We believe these initiatives have lowered our cost structure, improved the economics we can offer our traditional retail customers and strengthened our appeal to new channel retailers. We believe these improvements have been the key to our ability to increase distribution segment sales for the last eight

consecutive quarters (year-over-year comparisons). We added approximately \$1.6 billion (pro forma for acquisitions) in gross annualized distribution segment sales from both new channel retailers and our traditional supermarket customers in 2001.

#### OUR DISTRIBUTION SEGMENT

Our distribution segment sells food and non-food products to supermarkets, convenience stores, supercenters, discount stores, limited assortment stores, drug stores, specialty stores and other stores across the United States. Net sales for our distribution segment were \$13.3 billion for fiscal 2001, excluding sales to our own retail stores. Sales to our own retail stores totaled \$1.2 billion during fiscal 2001.

Customers Served. Our distribution segment serves a wide variety of retail operations located in all 50 states, the Caribbean and the South Pacific. The segment serves customers operating as conventional supermarkets (averaging approximately 23,000 total square feet), superstores (supermarkets of 30,000 square feet or more), supercenters (a combination of discount store and supermarket encompassing 110,000 square feet or more), warehouse stores ("no-frills" operations of various large sizes), combination stores (which have a high percentage of non-food offerings) and convenience stores (generally under 4,000 square feet and offering only a limited assortment of products).

Our top ten customers accounted for approximately 27% of our total net sales during 2001. Kmart Corporation, our largest customer, represented approximately 20% of our total net sales in 2001. No other single customer represented more than 2% of our 2001 net sales. In February 2001, we announced a ten-year distribution agreement under which we supply to Kmart substantially all of the food and consumable products in all current and future Kmart and Kmart supercenter stores in the United States and the Caribbean. Shipments under this new supply agreement began in April 2001, with full implementation in July 2001. This supply arrangement includes grocery, frozen, dairy, packaged meat and seafood, produce, bakery/deli, fresh meat, cigarettes, tobacco and candy.

Pricing. The distribution segment uses market research and cost analyses as a basis for pricing its products and services. We have three basic marketing programs for our distribution business: FlexMate, FlexPro and FlexStar. Most of our distribution divisions offer and many of their customers utilize the FlexMate plan.

The FlexMate marketing program prices product to customers at a quoted sell price, a selling price established by us that might include a mark-up. Under the FlexPro and FlexStar programs, grocery, frozen and dairy products are priced at their net acquisition value, which is generally comparable to the net cash price paid by the distribution segment. Customers pay fees for specific activities related to the selection and distribution of products. Certain vendor allowances and service income are passed through to the customer under the FlexPro and FlexStar programs, but service charges are different between the two programs.

4

Kmart product pricing for grocery, frozen, dairy, produce, packaged meat, bakery and deli products follows the FlexPro/FlexStar pricing methodology, using net acquisition value and passing through vendor allowances. Random weight meat and deli products are priced at our last received cost. Certain other items are priced at net acquisition value plus a negotiated fee. In addition, Kmart pays us a logistics fee equal to a percentage of purchases, based on volume, and a negotiated fixed annual procurement fee.

Private Label. Fleming's private label brands are Fleming-owned brands that we offer exclusively to our customers. Our predominant brand is BestYet, and we also market a small number of products under the Comida Sabrosa and Exceptional Value brands. Private label lines offer quality products that are equal or superior in quality to comparable nationally advertised brands and value brand products at more competitive prices. As part of our Kmart distribution agreement, Kmart has adopted our BestYet private label program in its Kmart and Kmart supercenter stores and pays fees to us to manage and advertise the BestYet brand. We believe our private label brands generate higher margins for us and for our customers than nationally advertised brands and other value brand products because we are able to acquire them at lower costs. Approximately 10% of the private label volume that we distribute is distributed to our own stores.

Controlled labels are offered only in stores operating under specific banners (which may or may not be controlled by us). Controlled labels are products for which we have exclusive distribution rights to a particular customer or in a specific region. We offer two controlled labels, IGA and Piggly Wiggly brands, which are national quality brands.

Procurement. We have currently centralized approximately 84% of our merchandise procurement in our customer support center near Dallas, Texas. This makes more efficient use of our procurement staff, improves buying efficiency for us and selling efficiency for our suppliers and reduces the cost of goods. We believe our customer support center near Dallas is one of the largest volume-buying locations of consumable goods in the United States. We believe that our centralized purchasing capabilities and the volume discount pricing we have achieved are valuable to national retailers as well as the smaller, independent retailers that make up our traditional customer base. We make a small percentage of our procurement decisions at the distribution center level where local market needs and trends can best be addressed, such as decisions regarding local brand or niche products, and where transportation costs may be minimized.

Retail Services and Franchising. Retail services are marketed, priced and delivered separately from other distribution operations. Our retail services marketing and sales personnel look for opportunities to cross-sell additional retail services as well as other distribution segment products to their customers. Through our retail account executive, or RAE, programs, we become closely involved in the strategic planning and long-term success of our customers. Incentive compensation for our RAEs is based on the performance of the customers they serve. We also license from third parties for our own use or grant franchises to retailers to use certain registered trade names.

Acquisitions. In April 2001, we acquired Minter-Weisman Co., a wholesale distribution company serving over 800 convenience stores in Minnesota, Wisconsin and surrounding states. In September 2001, we acquired certain assets and inventory of Miller & Hartman South, LLC, a wholesale distributor serving over 1,800 convenience stores in Kentucky and surrounding states. During August 2001, we facilitated the third-party purchase of 36 stores located in New Mexico and Texas from Furrs Supermarkets, most of which were purchased by Fleming-supplied independent operators.

Facilities and Transportation. Our distribution segment operates 24 full-line distribution centers which are responsible for the distribution of national brands and private label Fleming brands, including groceries, meat, dairy and delicatessen products, frozen foods, produce, bakery goods and a variety of related food and non-food items. Six general merchandise and specialty food operating units distribute health and beauty care

items and other items of general merchandise as well as specialty foods. Five warehouse facilities serve convenience stores. All facilities are equipped with modern material handling equipment for receiving, storing and shipping large quantities of merchandise. Our distribution centers comprise approximately 21 million square feet of warehouse space. Additionally, the distribution segment rents, on a short-term basis, approximately 904,000 square feet of off-site temporary storage space. Transportation arrangements and operations vary by distribution center and may vary by customer.

Capital Invested in Customers. As part of our services to retailers, we provide capital to certain customers by extending credit for inventory purchases, by becoming primarily or secondarily liable for store leases, by leasing equipment to retailers and by making secured loans to customers:

- o Extension of Credit for Inventory Purchases. Customary trade credit terms are usually the day following statement date for customers on FlexPro or FlexStar and up to seven days for other marketing plan customers. Convenience store trade credit terms average approximately 14 days.
- o Store and Equipment Leases. We lease stores for sublease to certain customers. At December 29, 2001, we were the primary lessee of approximately 600 retail store locations subleased to and operated by customers. We also lease a substantial amount of equipment to retailers.
- o Secured Loans and Lease Guarantees. We selectively make loans to customers primarily for store expansions or improvements. These loans are typically secured by inventory and store fixtures, have personal guarantees, bear interest at rates above the prime rate, and are for terms of five to ten years. Loans are approved by our business development committee following written approval standards. We believe our loans to customers are illiquid and would not be investment grade if rated. We have no loans to Kmart. From time to time, we also guarantee the lease obligations of certain of our customers.

#### Kmart Bankruptcy

On January 22, 2002, Kmart and certain of its U.S. subsidiaries filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. Kmart, our largest customer, accounted for 20% of our net sales in 2001. We have a ten-year written distribution agreement with Kmart. Pursuant to this bankruptcy, Kmart may, however, assume or reject our distribution agreement at any time. Kmart named us as a "critical vendor" in the bankruptcy proceeding and granted to us a second-priority lien on its inventory to secure the payment of weekly receivables to us arising after the date of the bankruptcy filing.

The impact of Kmart's bankruptcy filing on our future financial results will depend greatly upon whether Kmart assumes or rejects our distribution agreement and upon the success of Kmart's reorganization. Kmart has not filed its plan of reorganization with the bankruptcy court. If, for example, Kmart assumes our distribution agreement and obtains bankruptcy court approval of its plan of reorganization, then it is likely that our future financial results will not be adversely impacted. If, however, Kmart rejects our distribution agreement or, to the contrary, assumes our distribution agreement but fails to obtain bankruptcy court approval of a plan of reorganization, then we may lose our sales to Kmart, may choose to close or consolidate certain distribution facilities, may eliminate excess inventory, and suffer other damages, including the loss of a portion or all of our prepetition receivable. If certain of these circumstances

occur, we could assert a claim against Kmart for damages in addition to the claim we have already filed.

OUR RETAIL SEGMENT

As of December 29, 2001, our retail segment operated 116 supermarkets, including 99 price impact supermarkets primarily under the Food 4 Less and Rainbow Foods banners. In addition, we operated 17 limited assortment stores under the yes!LESS banner, 11 of which we opened in 2001.

6

As part of our strategic plan, we sold or closed 238 of our conventional format supermarkets to focus resources on growing our price impact and limited assortment stores. The following chart illustrates the number of supermarkets and limited assortment stores we operated as of the dates indicated:

	DECEMBER 29, 2001	DECEMBER 2000	30,	DECEMBER 1999	25 <b>,</b>	DECEMBER 1998	26,
CONTINUING STORES Price Impact(1)	99	-	74 6		71 		57 
Subtotal Non-Strategic Stores	110	 6 -	80 107		71 171		57 228
TOTAL	11(	5 = ========	187	=======	242		285

-----

(1) The number of price impact stores at December 29, 2001 includes five Sentry Foods stores that we are converting to the price impact format in early 2002.

Price Impact Supermarkets. As of December 29, 2001, our retail segment owned and operated 94 price impact supermarkets, of which 42 are located in Minnesota, 26 in Northern California, eight in Wisconsin, seven in the Salt Lake City, Utah area, six in Texas, four in the Phoenix, Arizona area, and one in Las Cruces, New Mexico. We also owned and operated five Sentry Food Stores in Wisconsin, two of which have been converted to the price impact format since year-end and three that we are converting in the next few months. Our price impact stores average approximately 45,000 square feet and offer deep-discount, everyday low prices well below those offered by conventional supermarkets and carry prices for grocery products that are also generally lower than supercenters. Our price impact supermarkets that have been open at least one year generated average weekly sales of approximately \$450,000 per store for the year ended December 29, 2001.

Our price impact supermarkets serve price-sensitive middle-income consumers who may have larger-than-average families. These stores have a wider trade area than conventional supermarkets yet are generally more convenient to shop than supercenters. Our price impact supermarkets offer name-brand food and consumable goods at significantly lower prices than conventional format retail store

operators because of the many low-cost features of our stores. These features include: offering a reduced number of product selections, focusing on popular, name-brand products and product categories; employing flow-through distribution methods that reduce product storage and handling expense; and minimizing store operating costs.

These stores do not cost as much as conventional stores to construct and maintain, as price impact stores typically feature cement floors, cinder block walls and exposed ceilings which combine the typically separate storage and display areas. In addition, the efficiencies in the store design and operations result in lower overall operating expenses. Because price impact stores cost less to build and maintain than conventional supermarkets, we expect to be able to grow our price impact supermarket operations while incurring lower capital expenditures.

We believe price-sensitive consumers are underserved on a nationwide basis. We believe the success of our price impact stores is based on an underserved trade area and does not require significant market share. As a result, we spend less on advertising and marketing for these stores compared to conventional format stores.

Acquisitions. In April 2001, we purchased seven Food 4 Less stores located in Central California from Whitco Foods, Inc. which we continue to operate as price impact stores under the Food 4 Less banner. In August 2001, we purchased five Smith's Food & Drug Stores located in New Mexico and Texas from Kroger Co. which we operate under the Rainbow Foods banner.

7

Limited Assortment Stores. In 2000, we began to develop our limited assortment retail concept operating under the yes!LESS trade name, operating stores averaging 12,000 to 15,000 square feet of selling space. Our yes!LESS concept is designed to appeal to a needs-based consumer, primarily with low-price private label food and other consumables and an attractive selection of general merchandise products at opening price points. Eleven stores were opened in 2001. As of December 29, 2001, there were 17 yes!LESS retail stores open, 16 in Texas and one in Louisiana.

#### PRODUCTS

We supply a full line of national brands and Fleming brands, including groceries, meat, dairy and delicatessen products, frozen foods, produce, bakery goods and a variety of general merchandise, health and beauty care and other related items. During 2001, the average number of stock keeping units, or SKUs, carried in full-line distribution centers was approximately 16,000. General merchandise and specialty food operating units carried an average of approximately 20,000 SKUs. SKU's carried by our distribution centers that primarily distribute to convenience stores was approximately 6,000. During 2001, our product mix as a percentage of sales was approximately 61% groceries, 33% perishables and 6% general merchandise.

#### SUPPLIERS

We purchase our products from numerous vendors and growers. As a large customer with centralized procurement, we are able to secure favorable terms and volume discounts on many of our purchases, leading to lower unit costs. We purchase products from a diverse group of suppliers and believe we have adequate sources of supply for substantially all of our products.

#### COMPETITION

Our distribution segment operates in a competitive market. Our primary competitors are national chains that self-distribute and national, regional and local food distributors. Our primary competitors in this regard are Supervalu, Inc., C&S Wholesale Grocers, Inc., Wakefern Food Corporation and Nash Finch Company. The principal factors on which we compete include price, quality and assortment of product lines, schedules and reliability of delivery and the range and quality of customer services.

The primary competitors of our retail segment supermarkets are national, regional and local grocery chains, as well as supercenters, independent supermarkets, convenience stores, drug stores, restaurants and fast food outlets. Principal competitive factors include price, quality and assortment, store location and format, sales promotions, advertising, availability of parking, hours of operation and store appeal.

#### INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

We or our subsidiaries use many trade names registered either by us or by third parties from whom we license the rights to use such trade names at either the federal or state level or a combination of both, such as Piggly Wiggly, PWPETRO, Piggly Wiggly xpress, Super 1 Foods, Festival Foods, Jubilee Foods, Jamboree Foods, MEGAMARKET, Shop 'N Kart, ABCO Desert Market, American Family, Big Star, Big T, Big Bear, Big Dollar, Buy for Less, County Pride Markets, Rainbow Foods, Red Fox, Sentry, Shop N Bag, Super Duper, Super Foods, Super Thrift, Thriftway and Value King.

We license the Food 4 Less service mark and trade name from Ralph's Grocery Company, a subsidiary of Kroger Co., and have the exclusive right to use and sublicense the name in California excluding certain areas of Southern California. We also have the exclusive license to use and sublicense the name in all other states, excluding certain areas in various states previously licensed to others by Ralph's or its predecessors. Additionally, should the rights to such a previously licensed area terminate, we would automatically obtain

8

the exclusive license for that area. The Food 4 Less license agreement generally provides for protected trade area status for five years after the date that we, our franchisees or Ralph's commit to entering a new market area under the Food 4 Less banner. However, we are not prohibited by the licensing agreement from opening stores under a different trade name in any of these areas.

#### EMPLOYEES

At December 29, 2001, we had approximately 23,000 full-time and part-time employees, with 11,000 employed by the distribution segment, 10,000 by the retail segment and 2,000 employed in shared services, customer support and other functions.

Approximately 42% of our employees are covered by collective bargaining agreements. Most of these agreements expire at various times throughout the next five years. We consider our employee relations in general to be satisfactory.

Governmental Regulation

Our facilities and operations are subject to various laws and

regulations and, from time to time, judicial and administrative orders. The material laws and regulations with which we comply include:

- o the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970, regarding employee working conditions;
- o the Perishable Agricultural Commodities Act, 1930, regarding our purchase and resale of fruits and vegetables;
- o the National Labor Relations Act, regarding our relationship with our employees who are represented by unions;
- o the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, regarding receipt, storage and distribution of applicable commodities;
- o the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, regarding our ownership or operation of properties at which hazardous substances have been stored or disposed; and
- o the Solid Waste Disposal Act, regarding petroleum products that we utilize from storage tanks.

We employ a number of associates who are responsible for staying informed about changes in laws and to review, from time to time, our compliance with these laws. Our costs to comply with these laws are not material, individually or in the aggregate.

9

#### ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The following table sets forth facilities information with respect to our distribution segment:

LOCATION	APPROXIMATE SQUARE FEET	OWNED OR LEASED
	(IN THOUSANDS)	
FULL-LINE DISTRIBUTION CENTERS:		
Ewa Beach, HI	361	Leased
Ft. Wayne, IN	1,043	Leased
Fresno, CA	828	Owned/Leased
Garland, TX	1,175	Owned
Geneva, AL	793	Leased
Kansas City, KS	937	Leased
La Crosse, WI	907	Owned
Lafayette, LA	443	Owned
Lincoln, NE	516	Leased
Lubbock, TX	762	Owned/Leased
Massillon, OH	874	Owned
Memphis, TN	1,071	Owned/Leased
Miami, FL	764	Owned
Milwaukee, WI	600	Owned
Minneapolis, MN	480	Owned
Nashville, TN	941	Leased

North East, MD Oklahoma City, OK Phoenix, AZ Sacramento, CA Salt Lake City, UT South Brunswick, NJ Superior, WI Warsaw, NC	591 671 1,033 787 555 526 371 672	Owned/Leased Owned/Leased Owned/Leased Leased
Total	17,701	
GENERAL MERCHANDISE DISTRIBUTION CENTERS:	,	
Dallas, TX	262	Owned/Leased
King of Prussia, PA	377	Leased
La Crosse, WI	163	Owned
Memphis, TN	495	Owned/Leased
Sacramento, CA	439	Leased
Topeka, KS	223	Leased
Total	1,959	
CONVENIENCE STORE DISTRIBUTION CENTERS:		
Altoona, PA	172	Owned
Leitchfield, KY	169	Owned/Leased
Marshfield, WI	157	Owned
Plymouth, MN	239	Leased
Romeoville, IL	125	Leased
Total	862	
TEMPORARY STORAGE:		
Outside storage facilities typically rented on		
a short-term basis	904	
Total for distribution	21,426	
	========	

In addition to the above, we have five closed distribution facilities in various states and we are actively marketing them.

As of December 29, 2001, our retail segment operated 116 supermarkets in a variety of formats in Arizona, California, Minnesota, New Mexico, Louisiana, Texas, Utah and Wisconsin. Our continuing chains included 94 price impact supermarkets, five supermarkets which we are converting to the price impact format in early 2002, and 17 limited assortment stores. For more information, see the subsection "Our Retail Segment."

Our shared service center office is located in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. The shared service center occupies leased office space totaling approximately 229,000 square feet. Our customer support center near Dallas, Texas occupies leased office space totaling approximately 153,000 square feet.

10

We own and lease other significant assets, such as inventories, fixtures and equipment and capital leases.

Our aggregate rental expense for fiscal 2001 was \$76 million, which included our distribution facilities, shared services center, customer support center and Fleming-operated retail stores.

#### ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The following paragraphs describe two recently resolved legal proceedings. For additional information see the Litigation Charges and the Contingencies footnotes in the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Class Action Suits. In 1996, we and certain of our present and former officers and directors were named as defendants in nine purported class action suits filed by certain stockholders. All cases were filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma and in 1997 were consolidated. The plaintiffs in the consolidated cases sought undetermined but significant damages, and asserted liability for our alleged "deceptive business practices," and our alleged failure to properly account for and disclose the contingent liability created by the David's Supermarkets case, a lawsuit we settled in April 1997 in which David's sued us for allegedly overcharging for products. The plaintiffs claimed that these alleged practices led to the David's case and to other material contingent liabilities, caused us to change our manner of doing business at great cost and loss of profit, and materially inflated the trading price of our common stock.

In February 2000, the court dismissed the plaintiffs' complaint with prejudice. In September 2001 the plaintiff lost their appeal to the Tenth Circuit and in October 2001 their petition for a rehearing to the court was denied. The plaintiffs did not request a review of the judgment of the lower courts to the United States Supreme Court. As a result, all appeals by the plaintiffs are exhausted and the judgment of the courts, as outlined above, will stand unchanged.

Storehouse Markets. On July 9, 2001, the parties executed a definitive settlement agreement which resolved all claims between the parties and included the payment by us of \$16\$ million. The court approved this settlement in September 2001.

Welsh. In April 2000, the operators of two grocery stores in Texas filed an amended complaint in the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas, Pecos Division (Welsh v. Fleming Foods of Texas, L.P.). The amended complaint alleged product overcharges, breach of contract, fraud, conversion, breach of fiduciary duty, negligent misrepresentation and breach of the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, and sought unspecified actual damages, punitive damages, attorneys' fees and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest. On December 31, 2001, the parties executed a settlement agreement that resolved all claims related to the case. Fleming is not required to pay any amounts to the plaintiffs pursuant to this settlement.

We are a party to or threatened with various other litigation and contingent loss situations arising in the ordinary course of our business including disputes with the following parties: customers; vendors; competitors; owners or creditors of financially troubled or failed customers; suppliers; landlords and lessees; employees regarding labor conditions, wages, workers' compensation matters and alleged discriminatory practices; insurance carriers; and tax assessors.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Statements in this report may be forward-looking. These statements are based on current expectations and assumptions that are subject to risks and uncertainties. Actual results could differ materially because of factors discussed in the Risk Factors included in this report.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR 2001, 2000 AND 1999

Set forth in the following table is information regarding our net sales and certain components of earnings expressed as a percent of sales which are referred to in the accompanying discussion:

	2001	2000	1999
Net sales	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Gross margin	7.61	9.33	10.07
Less:			
Selling and administrative	6.14	8.22	8.84
Interest expense	1.06	1.21	1.16
Interest income		(.23)	
Equity investment results	.01	.06	.07
Impairment/restructuring charge (credit)	(.15)	1.47	.72
Litigation charge (credit)	.31	(.01)	
Total expenses	7.21	10.72	10.51
Income (loss) before taxes and			
extraordinary charge	.40	(1.39)	(.44)
Taxes on income (loss)	.23	(.54)	(.13)
Income (loss) before extraordinary			
charge	.17%	(.85)%	(.31)%
	=======	=======	=======

Included in amounts reported under generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) are charges (credits) related to our strategic plan and certain other unusual items that affect the year-to-year comparisons of operating results. The following tables show which income statement caption these items affected and reconcile our reported GAAP amounts to adjusted amounts for 2001, 2000 and 1999. The adjusted amounts are not presentations made in accordance with GAAP and are not a better indicator of our operating performance. We believe the ability to compare GAAP amounts and adjusted amounts on a year-to-year basis is important to understand the impact of these items and the changes in our operations.

12

2001	GAAP	STF PI	RATEGIC LAN(1)	U	NUSUAL TEMS (2)	ADJUS
Net sales	\$ 15,627,744	\$	(2,740)	\$		\$ 15 <b>,</b> 62
Costs and expenses:						
Cost of sales	14,437,841		(32,781)		(2,500)	14,40
Selling and administrative	960,590		(17,501)		(17,300)	92
Interest expense	165,534				(2,833)	16
Interest income	(25,586)				1,102	(2
Equity investment results	1,533					
Impairment/restructuring credit						
Litigation charge	48,628				(48,628)	
Total costs and expenses	15,564,945		(26,687)		(70,159)	15 <b>,</b> 46
Income before taxes	\$ 62 <b>,</b> 799	\$	23,947	\$	70,159	\$ 15
2000	GAAP		RATEGIC LAN(1)		NUSUAL TEMS(3)	ADJUS
2000		PI	LAN (1)		TEMS (3)	
2000  Net sales	GAAP \$ \$ 14,443,815	PI	LAN (1)		TEMS (3)	
Net sales Costs and expenses:	\$ 14,443,815	PI  \$	LAN(1)  2,181		TEMS (3)	\$ 14,43
Net sales  Costs and expenses:  Cost of sales	\$ 14,443,815 13,096,915	PI  \$	LAN(1)  2,181 (56,990)	, I , \$	(8,636)	\$ 14,43
Net sales  Costs and expenses:  Cost of sales  Selling and administrative	\$ 14,443,815 13,096,915 1,186,919	PI  \$	2,181 (56,990) (36,550)	;	(8,636) (10,426)	\$ 14,43 13,03 1,13
Net sales  Costs and expenses: Cost of sales Selling and administrative Interest expense	\$ 14,443,815 13,096,915 1,186,919 174,569	PI  \$	2,181 (56,990) (36,550)	;	(8,636)	\$ 14,43 13,03 1,13
Net sales  Costs and expenses:     Cost of sales     Selling and administrative     Interest expense     Interest income	\$ 14,443,815 13,096,915 1,186,919 174,569 (32,662)	PI  \$	2,181 (56,990) (36,550)	;	(8,636) (10,426)	\$ 14,43 13,03 1,13
Net sales  Costs and expenses:     Cost of sales     Selling and administrative     Interest expense     Interest income     Equity investment results	\$ 14,443,815 13,096,915 1,186,919 174,569 (32,662) 8,034	PI  \$	2,181  (56,990) (36,550)  (315)	;	(8,636) (10,426)	\$ 14,43 13,03 1,13
Net sales  Costs and expenses:     Cost of sales     Selling and administrative     Interest expense     Interest income     Equity investment results     Impairment/restructuring charge	\$ 14,443,815 13,096,915 1,186,919 174,569 (32,662) 8,034	PI  \$	2,181 (56,990) (36,550)	;	(8,636) (10,426)	\$ 14,43 13,03 1,13
Net sales  Costs and expenses:     Cost of sales     Selling and administrative     Interest expense     Interest income     Equity investment results	\$ 14,443,815 13,096,915 1,186,919 174,569 (32,662) 8,034	PI  \$	2,181  (56,990) (36,550)  (315)	; \$	(8,636) (10,426)	\$ 14,43 13,03 1,13
Net sales  Costs and expenses:     Cost of sales     Selling and administrative     Interest expense     Interest income     Equity investment results     Impairment/restructuring charge	\$ 14,443,815 13,096,915 1,186,919 174,569 (32,662) 8,034 212,845 (1,916)	\$ \$	2,181  (56,990) (36,550)  (315)	; \$	(10,426) 	\$ 14,43 13,03 1,13

STRATEGIC UNUSUAL

GAAP		ITEMS(4)	ADJUS	
\$ 14,272,036	\$ 94	\$ (5,600)	\$ 14 <b>,</b> 26	
12,834,869	(17,806)		12,81	
1,261,631	(15,124)	(8,966)	1,23	
165,180			16	
(40,318)		9,157	(3	
10,243	(832)			
103,012	(103,012)			
14,334,617	(136,774)	191	14 <b>,</b> 19	
\$ (62,581)			\$ 6	
	\$ 14,272,036 12,834,869 1,261,631 165,180 (40,318) 10,243 103,012 	\$ 14,272,036 \$ 94 12,834,869 (17,806) 1,261,631 (15,124) 165,180 (40,318) 10,243 (832) 103,012 (103,012) 	\$ 14,272,036 \$ 94 \$ (5,600)  12,834,869 (17,806) 1,261,631 (15,124) (8,966) 165,180 (40,318) 9,157 10,243 (832) 103,012 (103,012)  14,334,617 (136,774) 191	

(1) See the Impairment/Restructuring Charge (Credit) and Related Costs footnote in the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

13

- (2) Includes \$19.8 million in charges related to the Kmart bankruptcy reorganization (\$2.5 million in cost of sales for inventory writedowns and \$17.3 million in selling and administrative for credit loss), net additional interest expense of \$1.7 million due to early retirement of debt (\$2.8 million in interest expense and \$1.1 million in interest income) and \$48.6 million in charges from litigation settlements (in litigation charge).
- (3) Includes \$8.6 million in gains from the sale of distribution facilities (in net sales), \$10.4 million in charges related to retail stores (in selling and administrative) and income of \$1.9 million relating to litigation settlements (in litigation credit).
- (4) Includes income of \$5.6 million in gains from the sale of distribution facilities (in net sales), \$31.0 million in charges to close certain retail stores and income of \$22.0 million from extinguishing a portion of the self-insured workers' compensation liability (both netted in selling and administrative) and interest income of \$9.2 million related to refunds of federal income taxes from prior years (in interest income).

Net Sales.

Our net sales increased by over 8% to \$15.63 billion in 2001, following a 1% increase to \$14.44 billion in 2000 from \$14.27 billion in 1999. 2001 and 1999 were 52-week years; 2000 was a 53-week year.

Distribution segment net sales increased 19% in 2001 and 6% in 2000. The net growth in 2001 was a result of several factors including increased activity with Kmart, which accounted for approximately 80% of the distribution segment sales growth, acquisitions of certain assets of Miller & Hartman South and the stock of Minter-Weisman Co. (combined annualized sales of approximately \$850 million) and growth in distribution sales from a wide variety of new-channel and conventional customers, offset by customer closings and the consolidation of

self-distributing chains. New-channel customers, including convenience stores, supercenters, limited assortment stores, drug stores and self-distributing chains, are an important part of our strategic growth plan. Sales to customers other than Kmart increased over 4% in 2001 compared to 2000 (over 6% on a 52-week comparable basis). In 2000, the increase in sales was primarily due to new business added from independent retailers, convenience stores, e-tailers, and supercenter customers, including Super Target stores. This increase was partially offset by a loss of previously announced sales from Randall's (in 1999) and United (in 2000). In 1999, sales to Randall's and United accounted for less than 4% of our total sales. We expect sales to customers other than Kmart to increase at least 5% in 2002, factoring in known losses due to bankruptcies, customer closings and the consolidation of self-distributing chains.

Kmart Corporation, our largest customer, accounted for 20% and 10% of our total net sales in 2001 and 2000, respectively. No single customer represented 5% or more of our 1999 sales. In 2001, we became the sole supplier of food and consumable products to Kmart Corporation's more than 2,100 stores and supercenters. We began shipments under the new ten-year agreement in April 2001, with full implementation in July 2001. Sales to Kmart increased to approximately \$3.1 billion in 2001 from \$1.4 billion in 2000. The impact of Kmart's bankruptcy filing on our future financial results will depend greatly upon whether Kmart assumes or rejects our distribution agreement and upon whether Kmart obtains bankruptcy court approval of a plan of reorganization. See "Business--Kmart Bankruptcy.

Retail segment sales decreased 28% in 2001, following a 12% decrease in 2000. The primary reasons for the decreases in both 2001 and 2000 relate to the divestiture of under-performing and non-strategic conventional retail stores to increase focus on our price impact retail stores partially offset by store acquisitions. The 28% decrease in 2001 is made up of a 35% decrease from our conventional retail stores offset by a 14% increase from our price impact retail stores. The number of price impact stores increased from 74 at the beginning of 2001 to 99 at the end of 2001. We operated 116, 187 and 242 retail stores at the end of 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively. Same store sales for continuing price impact retail operations in 2001 increased 1.1 % over 2000.

14

Gross Margin.

Gross margin as a percentage of net sales, decreased to 7.61% in 2001 from 9.33% in 2000 and 10.07% for 1999. The decrease was primarily due to a change in mix between the distribution and retail segments. The sales of the distribution segment represent a larger portion of total company sales in 2001 compared to 2000 and in 2000 compared to 1999 due to the continual increase in distribution sales as well as the divestiture of non-strategic retail. The distribution segment has lower margins as a percentage of sales versus the retail segment.

Distribution segment gross margin as a percentage of sales increased to 4.81% in 2001 from 4.70% in 2000 and decreased in 2000 from 4.93% in 1999. Adjusted gross margin as a percentage of sales decreased to 4.88% in 2001 from 4.93% in 2000 and 4.97% in 1999. The decrease in 2001 was primarily due to increased Kmart business that is at a lower margin, and the decrease in 2000 was due primarily to increased transportation costs due to the consolidation of distribution centers. Both years' decreases were partially offset by the centralization of procurement to support services.

Retail segment gross margin as a percentage of sales decreased to 21.58% in 2001 from 23.05% in 2000 and increased in 2000 from 22.26% in 1999. Adjusted gross

margin as a percentage of sales decreased to 22.50% in 2001 from 23.37% in 2000 but increased in 2000 from 22.47% in 1999. The decreasing margin in 2001 reflects our transition out of conventional retail and into price impact retail, which has lower shelf prices and gross margins. Improvements in 2000 compared to 1999 were primarily due to the divesting or closing of under-performing stores.

Selling and Administrative Expenses.

Selling and administrative expenses decreased as a percentage of net sales to 6.14% in 2001 from 8.22% in 2000 and 8.84% in 1999. The decreases were due to more efficient asset utilization as 12 distribution facilities were divested over the last three years transferring customer business to higher volume facilities. Additionally, our low cost pursuit program and the centralization of our administrative functions decreased expenses. A reduction in the volume of the retail segment through the divestiture of 126 stores since 1999 also decreased expense as selling and administrative expenses as a percentage of sales is historically higher for the retail segment versus the distribution segment.

Distribution segment selling and administrative expenses as a percentage of sales decreased to 1.77% in 2001 from 1.88% in 2000 and 2.12% in 1999. Adjusted selling and administrative expenses as a percentage of sales decreased to 1.61% in 2001 from 1.74% in 2000 and 2.05% in 1999. The primary reasons for the decreases during these years are due to sales growth without a corresponding increase in fixed operating costs, low cost pursuit initiatives and centralization of administrative functions to support services.

Retail segment selling and administrative expenses as a percentage of sales decreased to 21.13% in 2001 from 23.18% in 2000 and 33.01% in 1999. Adjusted selling and administrative expenses as a percentage of sales decreased to 20.78% in 2001 from 22.68% in 2000 and 23.17% in 1999. The decrease is primarily attributed to our shift in focus from conventional retail to price impact retail, a format that has lower operating expense levels than conventional retail.

Operating Earnings.

For distribution and retail segments, we measure operating earnings as sales less cost of sales less selling and administrative expenses. The change in operating earnings is a combination of the explanations included in sales, gross margin and selling and administrative expenses described above.

15

Operating earnings as a percentage of net sales for 2001 were 1.47%, up from 1.11% in 2000 and down in 2000 from 1.23% in 1999. Adjusted operating earnings as a percentage of net sales increased to 1.90% in 2001 from 1.78% in 2000 and 1.49% in 1999.

Distribution segment operating earnings as a percentage of net sales for 2001 were 2.98%, up from 2.66% in 2000 and down in 2000 from 2.75% in 1999. Adjusted operating earnings as a percentage of net sales increased to 3.23% in 2001 from 3.10% in 2000 and 2.86% in 1999.

Retail segment operating earnings as a percentage of sales for 2001 were 2.40%, up from 1.89% in 2000 and a loss of .04% in 1999. Adjusted operating earnings as a percentage of net sales increased to 3.67% in 2001 from 2.72% in 2000 and 1.14% for 1999.

Interest Expense.

Interest expense in 2001 was \$166 million, down from \$175 million in 2000 and 2000 was up from \$166 million in 1999. The decrease in 2001 was due primarily to lower average debt balances for revolver loans and capitalized lease obligations along with lower average interest rates for revolver and term loans. The increase in 2000 related to both higher average balances and interest rates. The \$166 million in 2001 included \$3 million of interest expense related to the early retirement of debt in the first quarter of 2001.

For 2001, interest rate hedge agreements resulted in a \$2.5 million reduction of net interest expense compared to additional expense of \$0.9 million in 2000 and \$4.8 million in 1999. The company enters into interest rate swap transactions to manage our debt portfolio and interest rate risks. See the Long-Term Debt footnote in the notes to the consolidated financial statements for further discussion of these transactions.

Interest Income.

Interest income of \$26 million in 2001 decreased from \$33 million in 2000 and \$40 million in 1999 due to reduced customer and other interest-bearing receivable balances, lower interest rates and an unusual item in 1999 related to interest on refunds of federal income taxes from prior years. The \$26 million in 2001 included \$1 million of interest income related to the early retirement of debt in the first quarter of 2001.

Equity Investment Results.

Equity investment results improved to a loss of \$1.5 million for 2001 compared to losses of \$8.0 million for 2000 and \$10.2 million for 1999. The improvement is due to the liquidation of investments resulting in a smaller portfolio.

Impairment/Restructuring Charge (Credit).

The pre-tax charge for our strategic plan totaled \$24 million for 2001, \$309 million for 2000 and \$137 million for 1999. Of these totals, a recovery of \$24 million in 2001 and charges of \$213 million and \$103 million in 2000 and 1999, respectively, were reflected in the impairment/restructuring charge (credit) line with the balance of the charges reflected in other financial statement lines. See the Impairment/Restructuring Charge (Credit) and Related Costs footnote in the notes to the consolidated financial statements, the Repositioning of Fleming in the Business section and the Contractual Obligations and Commitments in the Liquidity and Capital Resources section for further discussion of these charges.

Litigation Charge (Credit).

In 2001, we recorded litigation settlements and other related pre-tax expenses totaling \$49 million related to the settlement of the Storehouse Markets, Inc., et al., Don's United Super, et.al., Coddington Enterprises, Inc., et.al, J&A Foods, Inc. et. al., R&D Foods, Inc. et.al., and Robandee United Super, Inc., et.al., and other cases. In 2000, we recorded \$2 million of net income in settlements relating to other cases. See Item 3. Legal Proceedings and the Contingencies footnote in the notes to the consolidated financial statements for further discussion regarding these litigation charges. See Item 1. Business--Kmart Bankruptcy for discussion regarding potential litigation related to the Kmart bankruptcy.

Taxes on Income (Loss).

The effective tax rates used for 2001, 2000 and 1999 were 57.4%, 39.2% and 28.5%, respectively, with 2000 and 1999 representing a tax benefit. These are blended rates taking into account operations activity, strategic plan activity, write-offs of non-deductible goodwill and the timing of these transactions during the year. The effective tax rate for 2001 was high due to the impact of goodwill permanent differences from the sale of certain retail stores.

Extraordinary Charge.

We reflected an extraordinary after-tax charge of \$3 million (\$6 million pre-tax) in 2001 due to the early retirement of debt. See the Long-Term Debt footnote in the notes to the consolidated financial statements for further discussion regarding the debt retirement.

Certain Accounting Matters.

The Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 142 -- Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. One of the provisions of this standard is to require use of a non-amortization approach to account for purchased goodwill and other indefinite intangibles. Under that approach, goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives would not be amortized to earnings over a period of time. Instead, these amounts would be reviewed for impairment and expensed against earnings only in the periods in which the recorded values are more than implied fair value. We are currently testing for impairment and expect to have such testing defined by the end of the first quarter of 2002; the tests will be performed by the end of the second quarter of 2002. Goodwill amortization in 2001 was \$21.2 million. Our estimate of the impact that goodwill amortization had on the diluted per share amount for 2001, excluding the strategic plan charges, litigation charges, Kmart credit loss and net additional interest expense due to the early retirement of debt, was \$0.43 per share.

The FASB Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) reached a consensus on EITF 00-25 - Vendor Income Statement Characterization of Consideration Paid to a Reseller of the Vendor's Products and EITF 01-9 - Accounting for Consideration Given by a Vendor to a Customer (Including a Reseller of the Vendor's Products). EITF 00-25 and EITF 01-9 provide guidance on income statement classification on consideration paid to a reseller of a vendor's products. They will be implemented in the first quarter of 2002, as required, and will provide for certain reclassifications of revenues and cost of sales within our financial statements totaling approximately \$70 million for 2001 with no effect on gross margin or earnings.

The FASB issued SFAS No. 143 - Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations. We are studying the impact that SFAS 143 has on our financial statements and planning to implement it in fiscal year 2003, as required. The FASB issued SFAS No. 144 - Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets. We will implement SFAS 144 as of the beginning of fiscal year 2002, as required. In December 2001, the AICPA's Accounting Standards Executive Committee issued Statement of Position (SOP) 01-6, Accounting by Certain Entities (Including Entities With Trade Receivables) That Lend to or Finance the Activities of Others. The SOP is effective for our 2002 fiscal year. This SOP provides guidance on the accounting for and disclosure of amounts due to us from customers included in our accounts and notes receivable. We do not expect the adoption of these new standards to have a significant effect on our results of operations or financial position.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates.

The preparation of our consolidated financial statements requires us to make

estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts. The estimates and assumptions are evaluated on an on-going basis and are based on historical experience and on various other factors that are believed to be reasonable. Estimates and assumptions include, but are not limited to, customer receivables, inventories, assets held for sale, fixed asset

17

lives, intangible assets, income taxes, self-insurance reserves, retirement benefits, and contingencies and litigation. We have also chosen certain accounting policies when options are available, including:

- o the last-in, first-out (LIFO) method to value a majority of our inventories; and
- o the intrinsic value method, or APB Opinion No. 25, to account for our common stock incentive awards.

These accounting policies are applied consistently for all years presented. Our operating results would be affected if other alternatives were used. Information about the impact on our operating results of using LIFO and APB Opinion No. 25 is included in the footnotes to our consolidated financial statements.

We believe that the following represent our more critical estimates and assumptions used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements, although not inclusive.

- We record estimates for certain health and welfare and workers' compensation and casualty insurance costs that are self-insured programs. Should a greater amount of claims occur compared to what was estimated or costs of the medical profession increase beyond what was anticipated, reserves recorded may not be sufficient and additional costs to the consolidated financial statements could be required.
- o We record allowance for credit losses based on estimates of customers' ability to pay and the fair value of collateral. If the financial condition of our customers or the fair value of the collateral were to deteriorate, additional allowances may be required.
- o We record reserves for closed stores based on future lease commitments, anticipated future subleases of properties and current risk-free interest rates. If interest rates or the real estate leasing markets change, additional reserves may be required.

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

In the fiscal year ended December 29, 2001, our principal sources of cash were cash flows from operating activities, the sale of certain assets and investments and debt offerings. During this period, sources of long-term capital, excluding shareholders' equity, were borrowings under our credit facility, lessors of equipment and retail locations, and the issuance of bonds in the capital market. On December 29, 2001, we had \$347 million available under the revolving portion of our credit facility and \$475 million of net working capital (including \$17 million of cash and cash equivalents).

NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES.

Net cash used in operating activities was \$32 million for the year ended December 29, 2001, compared to cash provided by operating activities of \$127

million for the same period in 2000. The use of cash in 2001 can be attributed to an increase in inventories of \$139 million and trade receivables of \$104 million as a result of growth in our distribution business. The growth can be attributed to a long-term supply agreement with Kmart Corporation and other new customers added during the year including new customers added through our acquisition of certain convenience store distributors. The Kmart contract alone required approximately \$150 million of additional working capital investment in order to purchase inventory for the two new distribution centers dedicated to Kmart, as well as to increase the level of inventory in 15 of our other distribution centers in order to supply Kmart stores. See Item 1. Business--Kmart Bankruptcy for more information on the impact of the Kmart bankruptcy.

The use of cash in 2001 was partially offset by lower cash payments for strategic plan expenditures of \$68 million compared to \$118 million in 2000. Although the strategic plan has been completed, cash requirements for recorded liabilities will continue for the next few years as closed-store leases and multi-employer pension obligations are paid.

18

We plan on improving operating cash flows in the future through the following initiatives: increasing the sales volume in each of our facilities; reducing cost of goods sold and improving inventory management through our centralized procurement; increasing inventory turns and warehouse productivity; and reducing transportation costs through the implementation of new technology.

NET CASH USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES.

Net cash used in investing activities totaled \$190 million in fiscal 2001 compared to \$48 million for 2000. Included in the 2001 net investment expenditures were \$238 million for capital expenditures and \$121 million for acquisitions of businesses. Significant capital expenditures were \$165 million in the distribution segment, primarily related to facilities costs (\$76 million) and upgrades to our information technology and distribution systems (\$83 million), and \$68 million for our price impact retail operations. Offsetting these expenditures in part were \$146 million in proceeds from the sale of property and equipment and conventional retail stores.

For fiscal 2002, capital expenditures are expected to be approximately \$200 million to maintain our distribution system, grow our price impact retail operations, and further upgrade our information technology systems. The anticipated source of funds for these capital expenditures are cash flows from operating activities and our ability to borrow under the revolving portion of our credit facility. Acquisitions of supermarket groups or chains or distribution operations will be made only on a selective basis and are not necessarily included in the \$200 million estimate above.

NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) FINANCING ACTIVITIES.

For fiscal 2001, net cash provided by financing activities was \$209 million compared to a use of \$55 million in 2000. Included in 2001 was a net increase in long-term debt of \$187 million. In March of 2001, we issued \$355 million of 10 1/8% senior notes that mature April 1, 2008 and \$150 million of 5 1/4% convertible senior subordinated notes that mature March 15, 2009. The proceeds were used to redeem all of the 10 5/8% notes due December 2001 and to pay down outstanding revolver loans. Also in March 2001, we sold \$50 million of common stock in a private placement. In October 2001, we sold an additional \$150 million of our existing 10 5/8% senior subordinated notes due 2007 and the

proceeds were used to pay down outstanding revolver loans. The net increase in debt can primarily be attributed to various new Kmart business from the long-term supply agreement and acquisitions in 2001. Also in 2001, capital lease obligations decreased \$46 million as a result of lease terminations and payments to lessors.

#### EBITDAL

We generated \$385 million, \$154 million and \$281 million of EBITDAL for fiscal 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively. EBITDAL is earnings before extraordinary items, interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization, equity investment results and LIFO provision. We present EBITDAL to help us describe our ability to generate cash flows that can be used to service our debt; however, conditions may require conservation of funds for other uses. EBITDAL is a non-GAAP liquidity measure commonly used in our industry and should not be considered as an alternative measure of our net income or cash flows from operations as computed in accordance with GAAP. Amounts presented may not be comparable to similar measures disclosed by other companies.

19

The following table sets forth our calculation of EBITDAL (in millions):

	20	01	 2000	 199
Net earnings (loss) before extraordinary charge	\$	27	\$ (122)	\$
Add back:				
Taxes on income (loss)		36	(79)	
Depreciation and amortization		166	169	
Interest expense		166	175	
Equity investment results		2	8	
LIFO		(12)	3	
EBITDAL		385	 154	 

For fiscal 2002, our principal sources of liquidity and capital are expected to be cash flows from operating activities, our ability to borrow under the revolving portion of our credit facility, and our ability to access the capital markets. In addition, lease financing may be employed for new retail stores, distribution facilities and certain equipment. Management believes the sources mentioned will be adequate to meet working capital needs, capital expenditures, expenditures for acquisitions (if any), strategic plan reserve payouts and other capital needs for the remainder of fiscal 2002.

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS AND COMMITMENTS.

We enter into certain obligations in the normal course of business with contractual future cash payments as summarized below:

Payments due in:

	SCAL )02	SCAL 003	SCAL 004	_	SCAL 005	SCAL 006
	 	 	 	(In mi	illions)	
Long-term debt (1)	\$ 30	\$ 240	\$ 299	\$		\$ 
Capital lease obligations (2)	62	61	60		59	55
Operating leases (2)	85	75	69		59	50
Closed store reserves	17	17	9		5	5
Pension withdrawals (3)	10	4				
Litigation settlement payout	11	11				

- (1) See Long-Term Debt in the notes to the consolidated financial statements.
- (2) See Lease Agreements in the notes to the consolidated financial statements.
- (3) See Impairment/Restructuring Charge (Credit) and Related Costs in the notes to the consolidated financial statements. Includes contingency reserves with payments estimated.

We are also contingently committed to certain off balance sheet obligations in the normal course of business with future expirations as summarized below:

Commitments expire in:

	FISC 200		_	CAL 03	FIS 20	CAL 04	FIS 20		SCAL 006	TH A
							(In mi	llions)		
Letters of credit *	\$	1	\$		\$		\$		\$ 	\$
Loan guarantees		2								
Lease guarantees		4		3		2		1	2	

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star}$  - Most of our letters of credit guarantee self-insurance reserves.

To the extent a change of control would occur, we could be required to pay significant amounts to current management in connection with change in control agreements.

20

#### RISK FACTORS

There are many factors that affect our business and results of operations. The following is a description of some of the important factors that may cause actual results of our operations in future periods to differ materially from those currently expected or desired.

WE HAVE A SUBSTANTIAL AMOUNT OF DEBT AND DEBT SERVICE OBLIGATIONS, WHICH COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR FINANCIAL HEALTH.

We have a substantial amount of debt outstanding. The following chart shows certain important credit statistics as of December 29, 2001.

Total debt (including capital leases)	\$ 1,811 million
Shareholders' equity	498 million
Total capitalization	2,309 million
Debt to capitalization	78%

Our debt could have important consequences to you. For example, it could:

- o make it more difficult to satisfy our long term debt obligations;
- require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow to payments on our debt;
- o increase our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions;
- o limit our ability to fund future working capital, capital expenditures and other general corporate requirements;
- o limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we operate; and
- o limit, along with the financial and other restrictive covenants in our debt, among other things, our ability to borrow additional funds.

Our subsidiaries and we may be able to incur substantial additional debt in the future, including secured debt. The terms of the indentures governing our outstanding debt do not fully prohibit us or our subsidiaries from doing so. As of December 29, 2001, our credit facility permitted additional borrowings of up to \$347 million. If new debt is added to our and our subsidiaries' current debt levels, the related risks that we and they now face could intensify.

Our ability to make payments on and to refinance our debt will depend on our financial and operating performance, which may fluctuate significantly from quarter to quarter and is subject to prevailing economic conditions and to financial, business and other factors beyond our control.

We cannot assure you that our business will generate sufficient cash flow from operations or that future borrowings will be available to us under our credit facility in an amount sufficient to enable us to pay our debt or to fund our other liquidity needs. We may need to refinance all or a portion of our debt on or before maturity. We cannot assure you that we will be able to refinance any of our debt on commercially reasonable terms or at all.

WE MAY BE MATERIALLY ADVERSELY AFFECTED BY THE BANKRUPTCY OF KMART CORPORATION.

Kmart Corporation is our largest customer, accounting for 20% of our net sales in 2001. We began shipments under a ten-year agreement in April 2001, with full implementation in July 2001. On January 22, 2002, Kmart and certain of its subsidiaries filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. Shortly thereafter, Kmart and Fleming entered into a critical vendor agreement under the

2.1

terms of which Kmart paid us \$76 million of outstanding accounts receivable and we agreed to continue to supply Kmart for two years. We will assert a prepetition claim in the bankruptcy proceeding for obligations under our ten-year distribution agreement.

The terms of our distribution agreement provide that Kmart can terminate if, among other things, the volume of Kmart's purchases declines by certain amounts, if we materially breach our obligations or if we experience certain types of changes of control. Either party can elect to terminate the distribution agreement on 12 months' written notice given after the fifth anniversary of its effective date, with the termination to take place at the end of a transition period of up to an additional 12 months at Kmart's discretion.

Subject to the effect of the critical vendor agreement, Kmart has the right to assume or reject the distribution agreement with us. If Kmart rejects it, a breach by Kmart will result, effective immediately prior to the bankruptcy filing date, but we may still have to supply Kmart for a transition period. If Kmart assumes the distribution agreement, it would be required to cure all defaults, including payment of our prepetition claim. Because Kmart represents a substantial portion of our business, negative information about Kmart's performance, financial condition, business prospects and progress through its bankruptcy may adversely affect the market for and prices of our securities.

We cannot predict at this date what affect this bankruptcy will have on us, but if Kmart chooses to close a large number of its stores it will result in the elimination of sales to those stores. Further, a failure by Kmart to successfully reorganize or to continue as a going concern would eliminate sales to Kmart. Also, although no material litigation is currently outstanding, we may become involved in litigation related to the Kmart bankruptcy, including litigation with vendors because we ordered product from the vendors for delivery to Kmart. For more information regarding the Kmart bankruptcy, see "Business - Kmart Bankruptcy."

THE INDENTURES GOVERNING OUR PUBLICLY TRADED NOTES, OUR CREDIT FACILITY AND OUR OTHER EXISTING INDEBTEDNESS CONTAIN PROVISIONS THAT COULD MATERIALLY RESTRICT OUR BUSINESS.

The indentures governing our publicly traded notes, our credit facility and our other existing indebtedness contain a number of significant covenants that, among other things, restrict our ability to:

- o dispose of assets;
- o incur additional debt;
- o guarantee third-party obligations;
- o repay other debt or amend other debt instruments;
- o create liens on assets;
- o enter into capital leases;
- o make investments, loans or advances;
- o make acquisitions or engage in mergers or consolidations;

- o make capital expenditures; and
- o engage in certain transactions with our subsidiaries and affiliates.

Under our credit facility and indentures, we are required to meet a number of financial ratios and tests. If we fail to achieve a ratio or test, it could result in an event of default and cause a cross-default under additional documents governing our other existing indebtedness. Such a default would permit our lenders to declare all amounts borrowed thereunder to be due and payable, together with accrued and unpaid interest, and our senior lenders could terminate their commitments to make further extensions of credit under our credit facility. If we were unable to repay debt to our secured lenders, they could proceed against the collateral securing the debt.

22

IF THE CUSTOMERS TO WHOM WE LEND MONEY OR SUBLEASE REAL PROPERTY OR FOR WHOM WE GUARANTEE STORE LEASE OBLIGATIONS FAIL TO REPAY US, IT COULD RESULT IN SUBSTANTIAL LOSSES.

We provide subleases, extend loans to and make investments in many of our retail store customers, often in conjunction with the establishment of long-term supply contracts. As of December 29, 2001, we had an aggregate of \$118 million in outstanding loans to our customers. Our loans to our customers are not investment grade and are highly illiquid. We also have investments in customers through direct financing leases of real property and equipment, lease quarantees, operating leases or credit extensions for inventory purchases.

Although we have credit policies and apply cost/benefit analyses to these investment decisions, we face the risk that a portion of these outstanding loans may result in credit losses. Our credit loss expense from receivables as well as from investments in customers was \$38 million in 2001 (including a \$17 million charge related to the Kmart bankruptcy) and \$29 million in 2000.

VARIOUS CHANGES IN THE DISTRIBUTION AND RETAIL MARKETS IN WHICH WE OPERATE HAVE LED AND MAY CONTINUE TO LEAD TO REDUCED SALES AND MARGINS AND LOWER PROFITABILITY FOR OUR CUSTOMERS AND, CONSEQUENTLY, FOR US.

The distribution and retail markets in which we operate are undergoing accelerated change as distributors and retailers seek to lower costs and provide additional services in an increasingly competitive environment. An example of this is the growing trend of large self-distributing chains consolidating to reduce costs and gain efficiencies. Eating away from home and alternative format food stores, such as warehouse stores and supercenters, have taken market share from traditional supermarket operators, including independent grocers, many of whom are our customers. Vendors, seeking to ensure that more of their promotional fees and allowances are used by retailers to increase sales volume, increasingly direct promotional dollars to large self-distributing chains. We believe that these changes have led to reduced sales, reduced margins and lower profitability among many of our customers and, consequently, for us. If the strategies we have developed in response to these changing market conditions are not successful, our net sales could decline.

CONSUMABLE GOODS DISTRIBUTION IS A LOW-MARGIN BUSINESS AND IS SENSITIVE TO ECONOMIC CONDITIONS.

We derive most of our revenues from the consumable goods distribution industry. This industry is characterized by a high volume of sales with relatively low profit margins. Significant portions of our sales are at prices that are based

on product cost plus a percentage markup. Consequently, our results of operations may be negatively impacted when consumable goods prices go down, even though our percentage markup may remain constant. The consumable goods industry is also sensitive to national and regional economic conditions, and the demand for our consumable goods has been diminished from time to time by economic downturns. Additionally, our distribution business is sensitive to increases in fuel and other transportation-related costs.

WE FACE INTENSE COMPETITION IN BOTH OUR DISTRIBUTION AND RETAIL MARKETS, AND IF WE ARE UNABLE TO COMPETE EFFECTIVELY IN THESE MARKETS, WE MAY LOSE MARKET SHARE AND SUFFER A DECLINE IN NET SALES.

Our distribution group operates in a highly competitive market. We face competition from local, regional and national food distributors on the basis of price, quality and assortment, schedules and reliability of deliveries and the range and quality of services provided. We also compete with retail supermarket chains that self-distribute, purchasing directly from vendors and distributing products to their supermarkets for sale to the consumer. Consolidation of self-distributing chains may produce even stronger competition for our distribution group.

Our retail group competes with other food outlets on the basis of price, quality and assortment, store location and format, sales promotions, advertising, availability of parking, hours of operation and store appeal. Traditional mass merchandisers have gained a growing foothold in food marketing and distribution with alternative store formats, such as warehouse stores and supercenters, which depend on concentrated buying power and low-cost distribution technology. We expect that stores with alternative formats will continue to increase their market share in the future. Retail consolidations not only produce stronger competition for our

23

retail group, but may also result in declining sales in our distribution group if our existing customers are acquired by self-distributing chains or if self-distributing chains are otherwise able to increase their market share.

Some of our competitors have greater financial and other resources than we do. In addition, consolidation in the industry, heightened competition among our vendors, new entrants and trends toward vertical integration could create additional competitive pressures that reduce our margins and adversely affect our business. If we fail to successfully respond to these competitive pressures or to implement our strategies effectively, we may lose market share and suffer a decline in net sales.

BECAUSE WE OWN AND OPERATE REAL ESTATE, WE FACE THE RISK OF BEING HELD LIABLE FOR ENVIRONMENTAL DAMAGES THAT MAY OCCUR ON OUR PROPERTIES.

Our facilities and operations are subject to various laws, regulations and judicial and administrative orders concerning protection of the environment and human health, including provisions regarding the transportation, storage, distribution, disposal or discharge of certain materials. In conformity with these provisions, we have a comprehensive program for testing, removal, and replacement or repair of our underground fuel storage tanks and for site remediation where necessary. Although we have established reserves that we believe will be sufficient to satisfy the anticipated costs of all known remediation requirements, we cannot assure you that these reserves will be sufficient.

WE ARE A PARTY TO OR THREATENED WITH VARIOUS LITIGATION AND CONTINGENT LOSS SITUATIONS ARISING IN THE ORDINARY COURSE OF OUR BUSINESS. IF ANY PROCEEDING IS RESOLVED AGAINST US, IT COULD RESULT IN SUBSTANTIAL PAYMENTS BY US AND A REDUCTION OF OUR EARNINGS.

We are a party to or threatened with various litigation and contingent loss situations arising in the ordinary course of our business including:

- o disputes with customers and vendors;
- o disputes with owners or creditors of financially troubled or failed customers;
- o disputes with employees;
- o disputes with insurance carriers;
- o disputes with landlords and lessees; and
- o disputes with tax authorities;

some of which may result in substantial payments. We incur the costs of defending any litigation whether or not a claim has merit or is material. We intend to vigorously defend against all lawsuits, but we cannot predict the outcome of any case. An unfavorable outcome in any material case could result in substantial payments by us and a reduction of our earnings.

BECAUSE WE SELL FOOD AND OTHER PRODUCTS, WE ARE SUBJECT TO PRODUCT LIABILITY CLAIMS.

Like any other seller of food and other products, we face the risk of exposure to product liability claims in the event that people who purchase products we sell become injured or experience illness as a result. We carry umbrella liability insurance but, this insurance may not continue to be available at a reasonable cost, or, even if it is available, it may not be adequate to cover our liabilities. We generally seek contractual indemnification and insurance coverage from parties supplying our products, but this indemnification or insurance coverage is limited, as a practical matter, to the creditworthiness of the indemnifying party and the policy limits of any insurance provided by suppliers. If we do not have adequate insurance or contractual indemnification to cover our liabilities, product liability claims relating to defective food and other products could materially reduce our earnings.

24

FROM TIME TO TIME, WE MAY MAKE STRATEGIC ACQUISITIONS, WHICH WOULD REQUIRE US TO INCUR SUBSTANTIAL COSTS AND POTENTIAL LIABILITIES FOR WHICH WE MAY NEVER REALIZE THE ANTICIPATED BENEFITS.

We may seek strategic acquisitions of other distribution centers on a selective basis. Since the beginning of 2001, we have acquired several different businesses. For more information on these acquisitions, see Item 1 Business--Our Distribution Segment--Acquisitions.

We regularly engage in evaluations of potential acquisitions. Any potential acquisitions may result in significant transaction expenses, increased interest expense and amortization of intangibles expense, increased depreciation expense and increased operating expense, any of which could have a material adverse

effect on our operating results.

Achieving the benefits of acquisitions will depend in part on our ability to integrate those businesses with our business in an efficient manner. We cannot assure you that this will happen or that it will happen in an efficient manner. Our consolidation of operations following these acquisitions may require substantial attention from our management. The diversion of management attention and any difficulties encountered in the transition and integration process could have a material adverse effect on our ability to achieve expected net sales, operating expenses and operating results for these acquired businesses. We cannot assure you that we will realize any of the anticipated benefits of any acquisition, and if we fail to realize these anticipated benefits, our operating performance could suffer

WE DEPEND ON OUR SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND KEY PERSONNEL.

We substantially depend on the continued services and performance of our senior management and other key personnel, particularly Mark S. Hansen, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. The loss of the services of any of our executive officers or key employees could harm our business. We have employment agreements with each of Messrs. Hansen, Rider and Northcutt, none of which expires prior to November 2003. We do not maintain "key person" life insurance policies on these individuals or any of our other executive officers.

WE OPERATE IN A COMPETITIVE LABOR MARKET, AND A SUBSTANTIAL NUMBER OF OUR EMPLOYEES ARE COVERED BY COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENTS.

Our continued success will depend on our ability to attract and retain qualified personnel in both our distribution and retail groups. We compete with other businesses in our markets with respect to attracting and retaining qualified employees. A shortage of qualified employees would require us to enhance our wage and benefits packages in order to compete effectively in the hiring and retention of qualified employees or to hire more expensive temporary employees. In addition, about 42% of our employees are covered by collective bargaining agreements, most of which expire at various times over the course of the next five years. We cannot assure you that we will be able to renew our collective bargaining agreements, that our labor costs will not increase, that we will be able to recover any increases through increased prices charged to customers or that we will not suffer business interruptions as a result of strikes or other work stoppages. If we fail to attract and retain qualified employees, to control our labor costs, or to recover any increased labor costs through increased prices charged to our customers, our earnings will be reduced accordingly.

TERRORIST ATTACKS AND OTHER ACTS OF VIOLENCE OR WAR MAY AFFECT THE MARKETS ON WHICH THE NOTES TRADE, THE MARKETS IN WHICH WE OPERATE, OUR OPERATIONS AND OUR PROFITABILITY.

Terrorist attacks may negatively affect our operations and your investment. There can be no assurance that there will not be further terrorist attacks against the United States or U.S. businesses. These attacks or armed conflicts may directly impact our physical facilities or those of our suppliers or customers. Furthermore, these attacks may make travel and the transportation of our supplies and products more difficult and more expensive and ultimately affect our sales.

Also as a result of terrorism, the United States has entered into an armed conflict which could have a further impact on our sales, our supply chain, and our ability to deliver product to our customers. Political and economic instability in some regions of the world may also result and could negatively impact our business.

25

The consequences of any of these armed conflicts are unpredictable, and we may not be able to foresee events that could have an adverse effect on our business or your investment.

More generally, any of these events could cause consumer confidence and spending to decrease or result in increased volatility in the United States and worldwide financial markets and economy. They also could result in economic recession in the United States or abroad. Any of these occurrences could have a significant impact on our operating results, revenues and costs and may result in the volatility of the market price for our securities and on the future price of our securities.

#### PART III

#### ITEM 10. DIRECTORS OF THE REGISTRANT

Information regarding Directors and Executive Officers appearing under the headings "Board of Directors" and "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" in our Proxy Statement relating to our 2002 Annual Meeting of the Shareholders (the "2002 Proxy Statement") is incorporated herein by reference, which we will file within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this report.

#### EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

The executive officers of Fleming Companies, Inc. as of March 1, 2002 were as follows:

NAME	AGE	PRESENT POSITION
Mark S. Hansen	47	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
J.R. Campbell	57	Executive Vice President, Merchandising
Thomas G. Dahlen	47	Executive Vice President and President, Marketing
E. Stephen Davis	61	Executive Vice President and President,
Ron Griffin	48	Executive Vice President and Chief Info
William H. Marquard	42	Executive Vice President, Business Deve Officer
Scott M. Northcutt	40	Executive Vice President, Human Resourc
Neal J. Rider	40	Executive Vice President and Chief Fina

Michael J. Carey...... 55 Senior Vice President, Western Operation

Carlos M. Hernandez	47	Senior Vice President, General Counsel
Matthew H. Hildreth	36	Senior Vice President, Finance and Tre
Leonard Kaye	63	Senior Vice President, Eastern Operati
Timothy R. LaBeau	47	Senior Vice President, Operations
William A. Merrigan	56	Senior Vice President, Logistics
Philip B. Murphy	53	Senior Vice President, Procurement
Mark D. Shapiro	42	Senior Vice President, Finance and Ope
Thomas A. Zatina	50	Senior Vice President, Northern Operat

26

Mark S. Hansen joined us as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer in November 1998. Prior to joining us, Mr. Hansen served as President and Chief Executive Officer of SAM'S Club, the nation's leading members-only shopping warehouse and a division of Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., from 1997 through 1998. Prior to joining Wal-Mart, Mr. Hansen served in multiple capacities at PETSMART, Inc., a national pet supply retailer, including as President and Chief Executive Officer from 1989 to 1997. Prior to 1989, Mr. Hansen served in various management capacities in the supermarket industry. He serves as an executive advisory board member of Swander Pace Capital and is a director of Applebee's Restaurants and Amazon.com.

J.R. Campbell joined us as our Executive Vice President, Merchandising and Supply and President of Retail Operations in January 2002. Prior to joining us, Mr. Campbell served for over 20 years in various capacities at Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., a national retailer, including President, Global Sourcing Division from 2000 until joining us, Senior Vice President of Merchandising for SAM's Club from 1998 to 2000, and prior to that, Senior Vice President and General Merchandise Manager.

Thomas G. Dahlen joined us as our Executive Vice President and President, Retail and Corporate Marketing in April 2001. From 1999 until joining us, Mr. Dahlen served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Furrs Supermarkets, Inc. From 1994 until 1999, Mr. Dahlen served in multiple capacities at Ralph's Supermarkets Division of the Yucaipa Companies, including Executive Vice President from 1998 to 1999, and Senior Vice President, Sales and Marketing from 1994 to 1998.

E. Stephen Davis joined us in 1960 and has served as our Executive Vice President and President, Wholesale since February 2000. Prior to that, Mr. Davis has served us in various positions, including Executive Vice President, Food Distribution from 1998 to February 2000, Executive Vice President, Operations from 1997 to 1998, Executive Vice President, Food Operations from 1996 to 1997 and Executive Vice President, Distribution from 1995 to 1996.

Ron Griffin joined us as Executive Vice President and Chief Information Officer in January 2002. Prior to joining us, Mr. Griffin served for over 10 years in various capacities at The Home Depot, Inc., a home improvement retailer, including Senior Vice President and Chief Information Officer since 1996.

William H. Marquard joined us as Executive Vice President, Business Development and Chief Knowledge Officer in June 1999. From 1991 until joining us, Mr.

Marquard was a partner in the consulting practice of Ernst & Young, a professional services company.

Scott M. Northcutt joined us as Senior Vice President, Human Resources in January 1999 and he became Executive Vice President, Human Resources in February 2000. From 1997 until joining us, Mr. Northcutt was Vice President-People Group at SAM's Club, the nation's leading members-only shopping warehouse and a division of Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. From 1988 to 1995, he served as Vice President-Human Resources and from 1995 to 1996, he served as Vice President-Store Operations at Dollar General Corporation, a retailer of consumable basics.

Neal J. Rider joined us as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer in January 2000. From June 1999 until joining us, Mr. Rider was Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer at Regal Cinemas, Inc., a motion picture exhibitor operator. From 1980 to 1999, Mr. Rider served in multiple capacities at American Stores Company, a grocery and drug retailer purchased by Albertson's, including Treasurer and Controller responsibilities from 1994 to 1997 before becoming Chief Financial Officer in 1998.

Michael J. Carey joined us in 1983 and has served as our Senior Vice President, Western Operations since June 2000. Prior to that, Mr. Carey served as our Operating Group President from 1998 to June 2000, our President, LaCrosse Division from 1996 to 1998, and our Director of IGA Marketing from 1994 to 1996.

Charles L. Hall joined us as Senior Vice President, Real Estate and Store Development in June 1999. From 1998 until joining us, he was Senior Vice President-Real Estate and Store Development at Eagle Hardware

27

and Garden, Inc., an operator of large-scale home improvement stores. From 1992 to 1998, he served as Vice President of Real Estate Development at PETsMART, Inc.

Carlos M. Hernandez joined us in March 2000 as Associate General Counsel and Assistant Secretary and has served as our Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary since February 2001. Prior to joining us, Mr. Hernandez was employed as an attorney at Armco Inc., a steel producer, from 1981 to 1999, and then as an attorney at AK Steel Holding Corporation, a producer of flat-rolled carbon and steel products, from October to December 1999.

Matthew H. Hildreth joined us as Senior Vice President, Finance and Treasurer in May 2001. Prior to joining us, Mr. Hildreth served in various positions at JPMorgan, an investment banking company, since 1989, including most recently as Vice President and Sector Head of North American Trucking for JPMorgan's Transportation and Logistics Group since April 2000 and Vice President from 1997 until April 2000.

Leonard Kaye joined us in 1963 and has served as our Senior Vice President, Eastern Operations since June 2000. Prior to that, Mr. Kaye served us in various positions, including Operating Group President, President, Memphis Division and Operations Manager.

Timothy R. LaBeau joined us in January 2002 as Senior Vice President of Operations. Prior to joining us, Mr. LaBeau served as President and Chief Executive Officer of American Sales Company, a purchasing and distributing company and a subsidiary of Royal Ahold, from 1998 to December 2001. Prior to that, Mr. LaBeau served as Executive Vice President of Merchandising and

Procurement for Ahold USA from 1994 to 1998.

William A. Merrigan joined us in November 2000 and has served as our Senior Vice President, Logistics since May 2001. Prior to joining us, Mr. Merrigan served as Senior Vice President of Logistics at Nash Finch Company, a wholesale distribution company, from December 1998 to November 2000. Prior to that, Mr. Merrigan served in various senior positions at Wakefern Food Corporation from 1986 to 1998, including Vice President of Logistics and Transportation since June 1996.

Philip B. Murphy joined us in October 2000 as Vice President of Grocery, and has served as our Senior Vice President, Procurement since May 2001. Prior to that, Mr. Murphy served as Senior Vice President and General Manager of Services at PETSMART, Inc., a national pet supply retailer, from 1995 to 2000.

Mark D. Shapiro joined us in June 2001 as Senior Vice President, Finance. Prior to joining us, Mr. Shapiro served in various positions at Big Lots, Inc., a national broadline closeout retailer, since 1992, including Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since June 2000 and, prior to that, Controller since 1994.

Thomas A. Zatina joined us in June 2001 as Senior Vice President, Northern Operations. Prior to joining us, Mr. Zatina served in various positions at Bozzuto's, Inc., a Connecticut-based wholesale distributor, including Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer since 1986.

28

#### PART IV

#### ITEM 14. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

#### (a) 1. Financial Statements:

- Consolidated Statements of Operations For the years ended December 29, 2001, December 30, 2000 and December 25, 1999
- Consolidated Balance Sheets At December 29, 2001 and December 30, 2000
- Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows For the years ended December 29, 2001, December 30, 2000 and December 25, 1999
- Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity For the years ended December 29, 2001, December 30, 2000 and December 25, 1999
- Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements For the years ended December 29, 2001, December 30, 2000 and December 25, 1999
- Independent Auditors' Report
- Quarterly Financial Information (Unaudited)

#### 2. Financial Statement Schedule:

Included in copies filed with the SEC. Schedule available on request.  $\,$ 

#### 3. Exhibits:

Included in copies filed with the SEC. Exhibits available on request.

#### (b) Reports on Form 8-K:

- On November 11, 2001, we disclosed a communication to certain of our institutional stockholders.
- 2. On October 15, 2001, we reported that (i) on September 5, 2001, we planned to issue new 10-year senior subordinated notes in a private placement, and (ii) on October 5, 2001, we entered into a purchase agreement for the private issuance and sale, pursuant to Rule 144A and Regulation S, of \$150 million of our 10 5/8% Series C Senior Subordinated Notes due 2007 and on October 15, 2001, we closed this transaction.

29

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 29, 2001, DECEMBER 30, 2000 AND DECEMBER 25, 1999
(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

	2001		1999	
Net sales Costs and expenses (income):		\$ 14,443,815		
Cost of sales Selling and administrative Interest expense	14,437,841 960,590 165,534	1,186,919	1,261,631	
Interest income Equity investment results	(25,586) 1,533	(32,662) 8,034	(40,318) 10,243	
<pre>Impairment/restructuring charge (credit) Litigation charge (credit)</pre>	(23,595) 48,628	212,845 (1,916)	103,012	
Total costs and expenses	15,564,945	14,644,704	14,334,617	
Income (loss) before taxes Taxes on income (loss)	62,799 36,022	(200,889) (78,747)	. , ,	

Net income (loss) before extraordinary charge	26 <b>,</b> 777	(122,142)	(44,728)
Extraordinary charge, net of tax	 (3,469)	 	 
Net income (loss)		(122,142)	
Basic net income (loss) per share: Before extraordinary charge Extraordinary charge, net of tax	0.63 (0.08)	(3.15)	
Net income (loss)	0.55	\$ (3.15)	\$ (1.17)
Diluted net income (loss) per share: Before extraordinary charge Extraordinary charge, net of tax	0.60	(3.15)	
Net income (loss)	\$ 0.52	\$ (3.15)	\$ (1.17)
Weighted average shares outstanding Basic		38 <b>,</b> 716	
Diluted	44,924	38,716	38,305

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

30

# CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS AT DECEMBER 29, 2001 AND DECEMBER 30, 2000 (IN THOUSANDS)

ASSETS	 2001		2000	
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 17 <b>,</b> 325	\$	30,380	
Receivables, net	588 <b>,</b> 269		509,045	

Inventories Assets held for sale	1,014,695 30,066	831,265 165,800
Other current assets		86,583
Total current assets	1,740,071	1,623,073
Investments and notes receivable, net	105,651	104,467
Investment in direct financing leases Property and equipment:	83,118	102,011
Land	39,644	40,242
Buildings	373,510	356 <b>,</b> 376
Fixtures and equipment	653,009	565,472
Leasehold improvements	219,058	210,970
Leased assets under capital leases	203,497	197,370
Construction in progress	135 <b>,</b> 781	57 <b>,</b> 039
	1,624,499	1,427,469
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(704,844)	(653,973)
Net property and equipment	919,655	773,496
Other assets	252 <b>,</b> 008	255,445
Goodwill, net	554 <b>,</b> 190	544 <b>,</b> 319
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 3,654,693	\$ 3,402,811
Current liabilities:	\$ 971 <sub>-</sub> 791	\$ 943.279
Accounts payable	\$ 971 <b>,</b> 791	\$ 943,279
Current maturities of long-term debt	29,865	38,171
Current obligations under capital leases Other current liabilities	21,410 242,061	21,666 229,272
Total current liabilities	1,265,127	1,232,388
Long-term debt	1,427,929	1,232,400
Long-term obligations under capital leases	331,836	377 <b>,</b> 239
Other liabilities	131,582	133,592
Commitments and contingencies Shareholders' equity:		
Common stock, \$2.50 par value, authorized - 100,000 shares, issued and outstanding -		
44,438 and 39,618 shares	111,095	99,044
Capital in excess of par value	567,720	513,645
Reinvested earnings (deficit)	(121,160)	(144, 468)
Accumulated other comprehensive income -		
additional minimum pension liability	(59,436)	(41,029)
Total shareholders' equity	498,219	427,192
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
TOTAL BIADIBITIES AND SHANBHOLDENS BOTTI	\$ 3,654,693	\$ 3,402,811

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

31

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 29, 2001, DECEMBER 30, 2000 AND DECEMBER 25, 1999 (IN THOUSANDS)

	2001	2000
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income (loss)	\$ 23,308	\$ (122,142)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss)		
to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	166,406	169,190
Amortization costs in interest expense	6,809	
Credit losses	37 <b>,</b> 795	28,872
Deferred income taxes	36,165	(65,538)
Equity investment results	1,533	8,034
Impairment/restructuring and related		
charges, net of impairment credit (not in other lines)	19,199	288,408
Cash payments on impairment/		
restructuring and related charges	(68,141)	(118, 190)
Change in assets and liabilities,		
excluding effect of acquisitions:		
Receivables	(104,458)	(26,005)
Inventories	(139,032)	65,639
Accounts payable	21,714	(49,121)
Other assets and liabilities	(40,140)	(63,198)
Other adjustments, net	6,697	5 <b>,</b> 779
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(32,145)	126,645
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Collections on notes receivable	30 691	32,943
Notes receivable funded		
Businesses acquired	(121, 373)	(35,841) (7,320)
Proceeds from sale of businesses	120 047	45,693
Purchase of property and equipment	120,347	(150,837)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment		
Investments in customers	24,093	50 <b>,</b> 957
Proceeds from sale of investments		3 <b>,</b> 552
Other investing activities	10,460	12 <b>,</b> 949
Net cash used in investing activities	(189,759) 	(47,904)
Cook flows from financing activities.		
Cash flows from financing activities:  Proceeds from long-term borrowings	793 <b>,</b> 742	185,000
Principal payments on long-term debt		(219,519)
Principal payments on long-term debt Principal payments on capital lease obligations	(597,389)	(219, 519)
	(20,903)	
Sale of common stock	59 <b>,</b> 794	4,051
Payments on cost of debt	(25,775)	(571)
Dividends paid	(3,410)	(3,117)

	===		===	
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$	17,325	\$	30,380
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year		30,380		6,683
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		(13,055)		23 <b>,</b> 697
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities		208,849		(55,044)
Other financing activities		2,790		

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

32

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 29, 2001, DECEMBER 30, 2000 AND DECEMBER 25, 1999
(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

	TOTAL		AMOUNT	CAPITAL IN EXCESS OF PAR VALUE	EARNINGS CO
BALANCE AT DECEMBER 27, 1998 Comprehensive income	\$ 569,931	38,542	\$ 96,356	\$ 509,602	\$ 23,155
Net loss Other comprehensive income, net of tax Minimum pension liability adjustment (net of \$21,049	(44,728)				(44,728)
of taxes)	31,573				
Comprehensive income					
Incentive stock and stock					
ownership plans			785	4,170	
Cash dividends, \$0.08 per share ESOP note payments	(3,078) 2,049			(2,325)	(753)
BALANCE AT DECEMBER 25, 1999 Comprehensive income	560,702	38 <b>,</b> 856	97,141	511,447	(22,326)
Net loss Other comprehensive income, net of tax	(122,142)				(122,142)

(15, 469)

Minimum pension liability adjustment (net of \$10,312 of taxes)

Comprehensive income

<pre>Incentive stock and stock   ownership plans Cash dividends, \$0.08 per share</pre>	7,210 (3,109)		1,903	5,307 (3,109)	
BALANCE AT DECEMBER 30, 2000 Comprehensive income	427,192	39,618	99,044	513,645	(144,468)
Net income Other comprehensive income Minimum pension liability adjustment (net of \$12,271	23,308				23,308
of taxes)	(18,407)				
Comprehensive income					
Stock sale Incentive stock and stock	47 <b>,</b> 479	3,850	9,626	37,853	
ownership plans Cash dividends, \$.08 per share	22,122 (3,475)		2 <b>,</b> 425	19,697 (3,475)	
BALANCE AT DECEMBER 29, 2001	\$ 498,219 ======	44,438	\$111 <b>,</b> 095	\$ 567,720 ======	\$ (121,160) =======

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

33

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 29, 2001, DECEMBER 30, 2000 AND DECEMBER 25, 1999

#### SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Nature of Operations: Fleming is an industry leader in the distribution of consumable goods, and also has a growing presence in operating "price impact" supermarkets. Our activities encompass two major businesses: distribution and retail operations.

Fiscal Year: Our fiscal year ends on the last Saturday in December. Fiscal 2001 was 52 weeks; 2000 was 53 weeks; 1999 was 52 weeks. The impact of the additional week in 2000 is not material to the results of operations or financial position.

Basis of Presentation: The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States

of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Principles of Consolidation: The consolidated financial statements include all subsidiaries. Material intercompany items have been eliminated. The equity method of accounting is usually used for investments in certain entities in which we have an investment in common stock of between 20% and 50% or such investment is temporary. Under the equity method, original investments are recorded at cost and adjusted by our share of earnings or losses of these entities and for declines in estimated realizable values deemed to be other than temporary. The cost method of accounting is used for investments where we own less than 20% of the equity of the entity.

Reclassifications: Certain reclassifications have been made to prior year amounts to conform to current year classifications.

Revenue Recognition: Sales are recognized at the point of sale for retail sales and upon shipment of the product for distribution sales, net of anticipated returns, which have not been significant. Net sales include retail services income and net rental income which have consistently been less than 1% of total net sales.

Advertising: Advertising costs are expensed the first time the advertising occurs and amounted to \$29 million, \$42 million and \$59 million in 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively. Advertising is incurred primarily by the retail segment and is included in selling and administrative expenses.

Taxes on Income: Deferred income taxes arise from temporary differences between financial and tax bases of certain assets and liabilities.

Basic and Diluted Net Income (Loss) Per Share: Both basic and diluted per share amounts are computed based on net income (loss) divided by weighted average shares as appropriate for each calculation subject to anti-dilution limitations.

Cash and Cash Equivalents: Cash equivalents consist of liquid investments readily convertible to cash with an original maturity of three months or less. The carrying amount for cash equivalents is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

34

Receivables: Receivables include the current portion of customer notes receivable of \$30 million in 2001 and \$27 million in 2000. Receivables are shown net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$40 million in 2001 and \$34 million in 2000. We extend credit to our retail customers which are located over a broad geographic base. Regional concentrations of credit risk are limited. Interest income on impaired loans is recognized only when payments are received.

Inventories: Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market. Grocery and certain perishable inventories, aggregating approximately 75% and 70% of total inventories in 2001 and 2000, respectively, are valued on a last-in, first-out (LIFO) method. The cost for the remaining inventories is determined by the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method. Current replacement cost of LIFO inventories was greater than the carrying amounts by approximately \$46 million at year-end 2001 and \$58 million (\$13 million of which is recorded in assets held for sale in current assets) at year-end 2000. In 2001 and 2000, the liquidation of certain LIFO layers related to business closings decreased cost of sales by

approximately \$17 million and \$7 million, respectively.

Property and Equipment: Property and equipment are recorded at cost or, for leased assets under capital leases, at the present value of minimum lease payments. Depreciation, as well as amortization of assets under capital leases, is based on the estimated useful asset lives using the straight-line method. The estimated useful lives used in computing depreciation and amortization are: buildings and major improvements - 20 to 40 years; warehouse, transportation and other equipment - 3 to 10 years; and data processing equipment and software - 3 to 10 years.

Goodwill: The excess of purchase price over the fair value of net assets of businesses acquired prior to June 30, 2001 is amortized on the straight-line method over periods not exceeding 40 years. Goodwill is shown net of accumulated amortization of \$180 million and \$193 million in 2001 and 2000, respectively. We made several small acquisitions in 2001, and we are still finalizing the allocations of the purchase price.

The Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 142 -- Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. One of the provisions of this standard is to require use of a non-amortization approach to account for purchased goodwill and other indefinite intangibles. Under that approach, goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives would not be amortized to earnings over a period of time. Instead, these amounts would be reviewed for impairment and expensed against earnings only in the periods in which the recorded values are more than implied fair value. We are currently testing for impairment and expect to have such testing defined by the end of the first quarter of 2002; the tests will be performed by the end of the second quarter of 2002. Goodwill amortization in 2001 was \$21.2 million.

Impairment: Asset impairments are recorded when the carrying amount of assets are not recoverable. Impairment is assessed and measured, by asset type, as follows: notes receivable - expected cash collections plus the fair value of the collateral for each note; and, long-lived assets, goodwill and other intangibles - estimate of the future cash flows expected to result from the use of the asset and its eventual disposition aggregated to the operating unit level for distribution and store level for retail.

Financial Instruments: Interest rate hedge transactions and other financial instruments have been utilized to manage our debt portfolio and interest rate exposure. The methods and assumptions used to estimate the fair value of significant financial instruments are discussed in the Investments and Notes Receivable and Long-Term Debt footnotes.

Stock-Based Compensation: We apply APB Opinion No. 25 - Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees and related Interpretations in accounting for our plans.

35

Comprehensive Income: Comprehensive income is reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity. Other comprehensive income is comprised of minimum pension liability adjustments. The cumulative effect of other comprehensive income, net of taxes, is reflected in the Shareholders' Equity section of the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

IMPAIRMENT/RESTRUCTURING CHARGE (CREDIT) AND RELATED COSTS

In December 1998, we announced the implementation of a strategic plan designed to improve the competitiveness of the retailers we serve and improve our

performance by building stronger operations that can better support long-term growth. The four major initiatives of the strategic plan were to consolidate distribution operations, grow distribution sales, improve retail performance, and reduce overhead and operating expenses, in part by centralizing the procurement and other functions in the Dallas, Texas area. Additionally, in 2000, we decided to reposition certain retail operations into our price impact format and sell or close the remaining conventional retail chains. By mid-2001, we had sold or closed all of our conventional retail stores.

The strategic plan initially included closing 11 distribution operating units (El Paso, Texas; Portland, Oregon; Houston, Texas; Huntingdon, Pennsylvania; Laurens, Iowa; Johnson City, Tennessee; Sikeston, Missouri; San Antonio, Texas; Buffalo, New York; and two other operating units scheduled for closure, but not closed due to increased cash flows). Of the nine closings announced, all were completed by the end of 2000. Three additional closings were announced which were not originally part of the strategic plan bringing the total operating units closed to 12. The closing of Peoria was added to the plan in the first quarter of 1999 when costs associated with continuing to service customers during a strike coupled with costs of reopening the operating unit made closing the operating unit an economically sound decision. During the first quarter of 2000, the closings of York and Philadelphia were announced as part of an effort to grow in the northeast by consolidating distribution operations and expanding the Maryland facility. The last full year of operations for the 12 operating units closed was in 1998 with sales totaling approximately \$3.1 billion. Most of these sales have been retained by transferring customer business to our higher volume, better utilized facilities.

The original strategic plan also included the divestiture or closing of seven retail chains (Hyde Park, Consumers, Boogaarts, New York Retail, Pennsylvania Retail, Baker's Oklahoma, and Thompson Food Basket). The last full year of operations for these seven chains was in 1998 with sales totaling approximately \$844 million. The decision in 2000 to reposition certain retail operations resulted in divesting or closing the remaining conventional retail chains (Baker's Nebraska, Sentry Foods, and ABCO Foods). The last full year of operations for ABCO Foods, Baker's Nebraska and Sentry Foods was in 1999 with sales totaling approximately \$1.4 billion.

The plan, including the decision to sell or close our conventional retail chains in 2000, took three years to implement and is finished. Any remaining charges represent exit costs that cannot be expensed until incurred and are expected to be minimal.

The plan originally announced in December 1998 was an estimated pre-tax charge totaling \$782 million. Increases in the strategic plan of \$356 million (\$200 million cash and \$156 million non-cash) were due primarily to the following:

o Decisions to close Peoria, York and Philadelphia distribution divisions not in the original plan - \$111 million. Peoria was added to the plan in the first quarter of 1999 when costs associated with continuing to service customers during a strike coupled with costs of reopening the operating unit made closing the operating unit an economically sound decision. York and Philadelphia were added to the plan in the first quarter of 2000;

36

o Decreased costs to remove two distribution centers from the plan - \$18 million. Two distribution centers originally scheduled for closure were not closed due to increased cash flows resulting from new business;

- o Decisions in 2000 to divest or close additional conventional retail stores not in the original plan \$161 million (net of \$44 million recovery in 2001). Baker's Nebraska, Sentry Foods and ABCO Foods were added to the plan in 2000 to reposition certain retail operations into our price impact format;
- o Updates to the impairment amounts on divested retail stores in the original plan based on changes in market conditions - \$18 million. The updated impairment amounts related to Hyde Park, Consumers, Boogaarts, New York Retail and Pennsylvania Retail;
- o Increased costs related to our low cost pursuit program and centralization of administrative functions \$80 million. Costs included reducing workforce, centralizing administrative and procurement functions, reducing the number of management layers, reducing complexity in business systems and removing non-value-added costs from operations, such as removing the number of items carried and creating a single point of contact with customers; and
- o Increased costs to adjust estimates of union pension withdrawal liabilities and severance for employees at closed distribution centers \$4 million. Adjustments to union pension withdrawal liabilities occur as estimates of pension funding requirements change. Estimates of severance liabilities increased primarily due to a union labor settlement that increased amounts paid to terminated employees.

The costs of relocation and other periodic expenses related to our low cost pursuit program and centralization of administrative functions were expensed as incurred.

The pre-tax charge for 2001 was \$24 million. After tax, the expense for 2001 was \$25 million (which reflects the tax expense impact of goodwill permanent differences from the sale of certain retail stores) or \$0.55 per share. The \$24 million charge in 2001 was included on several lines of the Consolidated Statements of Operations as follows: \$3 million recovery was included in net sales related primarily to gains on the sale of conventional retail stores; \$33 million charge was included in cost of sales and was primarily related to inventory markdowns for clearance of closed operations; \$18 million charge was included in selling and administrative expense from disposition related costs recognized on a periodic basis (such as occupancy costs); and the remaining \$24 million recovery was included in the impairment/restructuring charge (credit) line for the recovery of previously recorded asset impairment resulting from the sale of some retail stores. The charge for 2001 consisted of the following components:

- Net impairment recovery of \$41 million. The components included recovering, through sales of the related operations, previously recorded goodwill impairment of \$15 million and long-lived asset impairment of \$29 million. The original impairments were measured in accordance with SFAS 121 for assets to be held and used in 1998. This method does not allow an upward adjustment to a new carrying amount. In 2000, we decided to sell these operations. This recovery of asset impairment was recorded in a manner similar to how the original charges were recorded. Also included was impairment expense of \$3 million related to other long-lived assets.
- Restructuring charges of \$17 million. The restructuring charges consisted primarily of severance related expenses for the sold or closed operating units and adjustments to pension withdrawal

37

liabilities of less than \$14 million, operating leases of less than \$3 million, and professional fees and other costs of approximately \$1 million expensed as incurred related to the restructuring process.

Other disposition and related costs of \$48 million. These costs consisted primarily of inventory markdowns for clearance for closed operations, disposition related costs recognized on a periodic basis and other costs, offset partially by gains on sales of conventional retail stores.

The net charge for 2001 related to our business segments as follows: \$24 million charge relates to the distribution segment and \$8 million recovery relates to the retail segment with the balance relating to support services expenses.

The pre-tax charge for 2000 was \$309 million. After tax, the expense for 2000 was \$183 million or \$4.72 per share. The \$309 million charge in 2000 was included on several lines of the Consolidated Statements of Operations as follows: \$2 million was included in net sales primarily to recognize an operating lease liability when we were the sub-lessor; \$57 million was included in cost of sales and was primarily related to inventory valuation adjustments, moving and training costs relating to procurement and product handling associates, and additional depreciation and amortization on assets to be disposed of but not yet held for sale; \$37 million was included in selling and administrative expense and equity investment results as disposition related costs recognized on a periodic basis (such as moving and training costs related to the consolidation of certain administrative functions); and the remaining \$213 million was included in the impairment/restructuring charge (credit) line. The charge for 2000 consisted of the following components:

- o Impairment of assets of \$91 million. The impairment components were \$3 million for goodwill and \$88 million for other long-lived assets relating to planned disposals and closures. All of the goodwill charge was related to a three-store retail acquisition.
- Restructuring charges of \$122 million. The restructuring charges consisted partly of severance related expenses and estimated pension withdrawal liabilities for the closings of the York and Philadelphia distribution centers which were announced during the first quarter of 2000 as part of an effort to grow in the northeast by consolidating distribution operations and expanding the Maryland facility. The charge included severance related expenses due to the consolidation of certain administrative departments announced during the second quarter of 2000. Additionally, the charge included severance related expenses, estimated pension withdrawal liabilities and operating lease liabilities for the divestiture and closing of certain conventional retail stores evaluated during the second and third quarters of 2000. In total, severance related expenses and estimated pension withdrawals were \$54 million and operating lease liabilities were \$37 million. The restructuring charges also consisted of professional fees and other costs of \$31 million expensed as incurred related to the restructuring process.
- Other disposition and related costs of \$96 million. These costs consisted primarily of inventory markdowns for clearance for closed operations, additional depreciation and amortization on assets to be disposed of but not yet held for sale, disposition related costs recognized on a periodic basis and other costs.

The charge for 2000 related to our business segments as follows: \$99 million relates to the distribution segment and \$164 million relates to the retail segment with the balance relating to support services expenses.

38

The pre-tax charge for 1999 was \$137 million. After tax, the expense for 1999 was \$92 million or \$2.39 per share. The \$137 million charge in 1999 was included on several lines of the Consolidated Statements of Operations as follows: \$18 million was included in cost of sales and was primarily related to inventory valuation adjustments; \$16 million was included in selling and administrative expense and equity investment results as disposition related costs recognized on a periodic basis; and the remaining \$103 million was included in the impairment/restructuring charge line. The 1999 charge consisted of the following components:

- o Impairment of assets of \$62 million. The impairment components were \$36 million for goodwill and \$26 million for other long-lived assets relating to planned disposals and closures. Of the goodwill charge of \$36 million, \$22 million related to the 1994 "Scrivner" acquisition with the remaining amount related to two retail acquisitions.
- o Restructuring charges of \$41 million. The restructuring charges consisted primarily of severance related expenses and estimated pension withdrawal liabilities of \$12 million for the divested or closed operating units announced during 1999. The restructuring charges also consisted of expenses to recognize operating lease liabilities of \$15 million and professional fees and other costs of \$14 million expensed as incurred related to the restructuring process.
- Other disposition and related costs of \$34 million. These costs consisted primarily of inventory markdowns for clearance for closed operations, impairment of an investment, disposition related costs recognized on a periodic basis and other costs.

The 1999 charge relates to our business segments as follows: \$48 million relates to the distribution segment and \$70 million relates to the retail segment with the balance relating to support services expenses.

The charges related to workforce reductions are as follows:

(\$ <b>'</b> S	IN THOUSANDS)	AMOUNT	HEADCOUNT
1999	Activity: Beginning Liability Charge Terminations	\$ 21,983 12,029 (24,410)	1,260 1,350 (1,950)
	Ending Liability	9,602	660
2000	ACTIVITY: Charge Terminations	53,906 (26,180)	5,610 (1,860)
	Ending Liability	37,328	4,410
2001	ACTIVITY: Charge Terminations	13,952 (33,189)	400 (4,730)

Ending	Liability	\$ 18,091	80

The ending liability of \$18 million consists of \$14 million in union pension withdrawal liabilities with the balance related to severance, most of which relates to associates already severed and being paid. The breakdown of the 400 headcount reduction recorded for 2001 is: 300 from the distribution segment; 50 from the retail segment; and 50 from support services.

39

Additionally, the strategic plan includes charges related to lease obligations which will be utilized as operating units or retail stores close, but ultimately reduced over remaining lease terms. The charges and utilization have been recorded to-date as follows:

(\$'S	IN THOUSANDS)	AMOUNT
1999	ACTIVITY: Beginning Liability Charge Utilized	\$ 27,716 15,074 (10,281)
	Ending Liability	32,509
2000	ACTIVITY: Charge Utilized	37,149 (48,880)
	Ending Liability	20,778
2001	ACTIVITY: Charge Utilized	2,685 (21,132)
	Ending Liability	\$ 2,331

Asset impairments were recognized in accordance with SFAS No. 121 - Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to be Disposed Of, and such assets were written down to their estimated fair values based on estimated proceeds of operating units to be sold or discounted cash flow projections. The operating costs of operating units to be sold or closed are treated as normal operations during the period they remain in use. Salaries, wages and benefits of employees at these operating units are charged to operations during the time such employees are actively employed. Depreciation expense is continued for assets that we are unable to remove from operations.

Assets held for sale, reflected on the balance sheet, consisted of \$18 million of distribution operating units and \$12 million of retail stores as of year-end 2001 and \$22 million of distribution operating units and \$144 million of retail

stores as of year-end 2000. Gains on the sale of facilities, which were included in net sales, totaled approximately \$5 million in 2001, \$9 million for 2000 and \$6 million for 1999.

#### LITIGATION CHARGES

In 2001, we recorded litigation settlements and other related pre-tax expenses totaling \$49 million related to settlement agreements regarding Storehouse Markets, Inc., et al., Don's United Super, et.al., Coddington Enterprises, Inc., et.al, J&A Foods, Inc. et. al., R&D Foods, Inc. et.al., and Robandee United Super, Inc., et.al., and other cases. In 2000, we recorded a \$2 million pre-tax gain in settlements relating to other cases. See Contingencies footnote for further discussion regarding these litigation charges.

40

#### PER SHARE RESULTS

(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)	2001	2000	1999
Numerator:			
Basic and diluted earnings (loss)			
before extraordinary charge	\$ 26 <b>,</b> 777	\$ (122,142)	\$ (44,728)
	=======	=======	=======
Denominator:			
Weighted average shares for			
basic earnings per share	42,588	38,716	38 <b>,</b> 305
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Employee stock options	1,936		
Restricted stock compensation	400		
Dilutive potential common shares	2,336		
Weighted average shares for			
diluted earnings per share	44,924	38,716	38,305
	=======		========
Basic earnings (loss) per share			
before extraordinary charge	\$ 0.63	\$ (3.15)	\$ (1.17)
	=======	=======	========
Diluted earnings (loss) per share			
before extraordinary charge	\$ 0.60	\$ (3.15)	\$ (1.17)
	========	========	========

In 2001, we did not reflect 3.9 million of weighted average shares or add back after-tax interest expense of \$4.1 million related to convertible debt due to antidilution. We did not reflect 1.2 million weighted average potential shares

for the 2000 diluted calculation or 0.4 million weighted average potential shares for the 1999 diluted calculation because they would be antidilutive. Other options with exercise prices exceeding market prices in both 2000 and 1999 consisted of 3.6 million potential shares of common stock that were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the effect would be antidilutive.

#### SEGMENT INFORMATION

Considering the customer types and the processes for meeting the needs of customers, senior management manages the business as two reportable segments: distribution and retail operations.

The distribution segment sells food and non-food products (e.g., food, general merchandise, health and beauty care, and Fleming Brands) to supermarkets, convenience stores, supercenters, discount stores, limited assortment stores, drug stores, specialty stores and other stores across the U.S. We also offer a variety of retail support services to independently-owned and company-owned retail stores. The aggregation is based primarily on the common customer base and the interdependent marketing and distribution efforts.

Our senior management utilizes more than one measurement and multiple views of data to assess segment performance and to allocate resources to the segments. However, the dominant measurements are consistent with our consolidated financial statements and, accordingly, are reported on the same basis herein. Some of our operations have been centralized into support services. Support services now includes procurement and certain administrative costs. These costs, previously incurred by the segments,

41

are not comparable to the current cost structure of support services making it impractical to revise prior year amounts to match the 2001 presentation; therefore, prior period amounts have not been restated. Interest expense, interest income, equity investments, LIFO adjustments, support services expenses, other unusual charges and income taxes are managed separately by senior management and those items are not allocated to the business segments. Intersegment transactions are reflected at cost.

The following table sets forth the composition of the segments' and total company's net sales, operating earnings, depreciation and amortization, capital expenditures and identifiable assets.

(IN MILLIONS)	2001	2000	1999		
NET SALES					
Distribution	\$ 14,490	\$ 12 <b>,</b> 926	\$ 12 <b>,</b> 718		
Intersegment elimination	(1,223)	(1,757)	(2,165)		
Net distribution	13,267	11,169	10,553		
Retail	2,361	3,275	3,719		
Total	\$ 15,628	\$ 14,444	\$ 14 <b>,</b> 272		

	====		=========		========	
OPERATING EARNINGS						
Distribution	\$	395	\$	297	\$	290
Retail		57		62		(2)
Support Services		(223)		(199)		(112)
Total operating earnings		229		160		176
Interest expense		(166)		(175)		(165)
Interest income		26		33		40
Equity investment results		(2)		(8)		(10)
<pre>Impairment/restructuring charge (credit)</pre>		24		(213)		(103)
Litigation charge (credit)		(48)		2		
Income (loss) before taxes	\$	63	\$	(201)	\$	(62)
	====	=====	====	=====	====	=====

42

(IN MILLIONS, CONTINUED)	2001		2000		1999	
DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION Distribution Retail Support Services	\$	113 50 10	\$	105 57 12	\$	88 64 10
Total	 \$ ===	173	\$ ===:	174		162
CAPITAL EXPENDITURES Distribution Retail Support Services	\$	165 68 5	\$	99 45 7	\$	53 112 1
Total	\$	238	\$	151 ======	\$	166
IDENTIFIABLE ASSETS Distribution Retail Support Services	\$	2,838 609 208	\$	2,499 681 223	\$	2,546 848 179
Total	\$ ===	3,655 ======		3,403		3 <b>,</b> 573

Kmart is our largest customer, representing approximately 20% of our total sales in 2001 and 10% in 2000. No other single customer represented more than 2% of

our net sales in 2001 or 2000.

INCOME TAXES

Components of taxes on income (loss) are as follows:

(IN THOUSANDS)	2001		2000		1999	
Current: Federal State	\$	643 (3,104)	\$	(23,291) 10,082	\$	(17,287) (3,924)
Total current		(2,461)		(13,209)		(21,211)
Deferred: Federal State		26,048 10,117		(41,123) (24,415)		2,552 806
Total deferred		36 <b>,</b> 165		(65 <b>,</b> 538)		3 <b>,</b> 358
Taxes on income (loss)	\$	33 <b>,</b> 704	\$	(78 <b>,</b> 747)	\$	(17,853)

Taxes on income in the above table includes a tax benefit of \$2.3\$ million in 2001 which is reported net in the extraordinary charge from the early retirement of debt in the consolidated statement of operations.

43

Deferred tax expense (benefit) relating to temporary differences includes the following components:

(IN THOUSANDS)	2001	2000	1999
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 41,263	\$ (39,106)	\$ (9,603)
Asset valuations and reserves	(12,368)	29,495	(18, 114)
Associate benefits	11,962	(7, 187)	31,700
Credit losses	(6,571)	1,924	(4,527)
Equity investment results	(1,291)	8,837	(172)
Lease transactions	28,269	(4,887)	7,996
Inventory	4,791	4,313	7,019
Acquired loss carryforwards		67	4,929
Capital losses	5,815	452	(4,825)

Note sales		105		(41)		(139)
Net operating loss carryforwards		(45,478)		(62,951)		
Other		10,328		3,936		(10,753)
Prepaid expenses		(660)		(390)		(153)
Deferred tax expense (benefit)	\$	36 <b>,</b> 165	\$	(65,538)	\$	3,358
	===:	======	===	======	====	

44

Temporary differences that give rise to deferred tax assets and liabilities as of year-end 2001 and 2000 are as follows:

(IN THOUSANDS)	2001	2000
Deferred tax assets:		
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 71 <b>,</b> 053	\$ 57,740
Asset valuations and reserves	59,983	
Associate benefits	140,439	81,172
Credit losses	30,401	24,927
Equity investment results	3,140	2,522
Lease transactions	50,163	45,208
Inventory	34,656	26,918
Capital losses	6 <b>,</b> 972	8,152
Note sales	3,017	3,017
Net operating loss carryforwards	108,429	62 <b>,</b> 951
Other	30,848	25,941
Prepaid expenses	1,683	400
Total deferred tax assets	540,784	360 <b>,</b> 720
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Depreciation and amortization	102,310	47,734
Asset valuations and reserves	31,324	5,480
Associate benefits	97,596	38,639
Credit losses	17,064	18,162
Equity investment results	4,185	4,857
Lease transactions	34,753	1,528
Inventory	74 <b>,</b> 285	61,757
Capital losses	4,954	320
Note sales	2,358	2,253
Other	27,635	12,400
Prepaid expenses	3,900	3 <b>,</b> 277
Total deferred tax liabilities	400,364	196,407

Net deferred tax asset

\$ 140,420 \$ 164,313 \_\_\_\_\_

=========

The change in net deferred tax asset from 2000 to 2001 is allocated \$36.2 million to deferred income tax expense and \$12.3 million benefit to stockholders' equity.

We have federal net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$215 million and state net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$393 million that are due to expire at various times through the year 2022. We also have charitable contribution carryforwards of approximately \$2.5 million that will begin to expire in 2005. We believe it is more likely than not that all of our deferred tax assets will be realized.

45

The effective income tax rates are different from the statutory federal income tax rates for the following reasons:

35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
4.9	5.4	5.1
(0.2)	(0.5)	!
0.7	2.5	(3.1)
40.4	42.4	37.0
17.0	(3.2)	(8.5)
57 10	20.2%	28.5%
J1.4.0	39.23	
	4.9 (0.2) 0.7 40.4	4.9

INVESTMENTS AND NOTES RECEIVABLE

Investments and notes receivable consist of the following:

(IN THOUSANDS)	2001	2000

Investments in and advances to customers	\$	4,506	\$	7,452
Notes receivable from customers		88,288		85 <b>,</b> 522
Other investments and receivables		12,857		11,493
Investments and notes receivable	\$	105,651	\$	104,467
	===		===	

Investments and notes receivable are shown net of reserves of \$31 million and \$26 million in 2001 and 2000, respectively. Sales to customers accounted for under the equity method were approximately \$0.1 billion, \$0.2 billion and \$0.3 billion in 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively. Receivables include \$5 million and \$4 million in 2001 and 2000, respectively, due from customers accounted for under the equity method.

We extend long-term credit to certain retail customers. Loans are primarily collateralized by inventory and fixtures. Interest rates are above prime with terms up to 10 years.

46

Impaired notes receivable (including current portion) are as follows:

	===		===	
Net impaired notes receivable	\$	49,037	\$	30,403
Impaired notes with related allowances Credit loss allowance on impaired notes Impaired notes with no related allowances	\$	55,377 (19,061) 12,721	\$	45,711 (20,101) 4,793
(IN THOUSANDS)		2001		2000

Average investments in impaired notes were as follows: 2001-\$70 million; 2000-\$52 million; and 1999-\$65 million.

Activity in the allowance for credit losses is as follows:

(IN THOUSANDS)	 2001	 2000	 1999
Balance, beginning of year Charged to costs and expenses	\$ 59,718 37,795	\$ 55 <b>,</b> 528 28 <b>,</b> 872	\$ 47,232 25,394
Uncollectible accounts written off, net of recoveries	 (25 <b>,</b> 860)	 (24,682)	 (17,098)

Balance, end of year

\$ 71,653 =========

=========

\$ 59,718 \$ 55,528 ========

The ending balance of allowance for credit losses includes amounts related to current receivables of \$40 million, \$34 million and \$32 million for the years 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively. We sold certain notes receivable at face value with limited recourse in years prior to 1998. The outstanding balance at year-end 2001 on all notes sold is \$2 million, for which we are contingently liable if the notes become uncollectible.

47

#### LONG-TERM DEBT

Long-term debt consists of the following:

(IN THOUSANDS)		2001		2000
10 1/8% senior notes due 2008 10 5/8% senior notes due 2001	\$	345,870	\$	 300,000
10 1/2% senior subordinated notes due 2004		250,000		250,000
10 5/8% senior subordinated notes due 2007		400,000		250,000
5 1/4% convertible senior subordinated notes due 2009 Revolving credit, average interest rates of		150,000		
5.8% for 2001 and 7.7% for 2000, due 2003 Term loans, due 2001 to 2004, average interest		200,000		300,000
rate of 6.7% for 2001 and 7.8% for 2000		118,637		154,421
Other debt (including discounts)		(6 <b>,</b> 713)		16,150
		1,457,794		1,270,571
Less current maturities		(29 <b>,</b> 865)		(38,171)
Long-term debt	\$	1,427,929		1,232,400
	===		==	

Five-year maturities: Aggregate maturities of long-term debt for the next five years are approximately as follows: \$30 million in 2002, \$240 million in 2003, \$299 million in 2004, \$0 in 2005, and \$0 in 2006.

On March 15, 2001, we issued \$355 million of 10 1/8% senior notes that mature on March 15, 2008. Most of the net proceeds were used to redeem all of the \$300 million 10 5/8% senior notes due 2001, including an amount to cover accrued interest and the redemption premium. In connection with this redemption, we recognized a \$3.5 million after-tax extraordinary charge from early retirement of debt during the first quarter of 2001. The balance of the net proceeds was used to pay down outstanding revolver loans. The new senior notes are unsecured senior obligations, ranking the same as all other existing and future senior

indebtedness and senior in right of payment to our senior subordinated notes. The senior notes are effectively subordinated to secured senior indebtedness with respect to assets securing such indebtedness, including loans under our senior secured credit facility. The 10 1/8% senior notes are guaranteed by substantially all of our subsidiaries (see Subsidiary Guarantee of Senior Notes and Senior Subordinated Notes below). See Derivatives below for an explanation of the mark-to-market adjustment to record these notes at fair value.

On October 15, 2001, we sold an additional \$150 million of our existing 10 5/8% senior subordinated notes due 2007. The proceeds were used to pay down our revolver loans. The senior subordinated notes consist of two issues: \$250 million of 10 1/2% notes due December 1, 2004 and \$400 million of 10 5/8% notes due July 31, 2007. The subordinated notes are general unsecured obligations, subordinated in right of payment to all existing and future senior indebtedness, and senior to or of equal rank with all of our existing and future subordinated indebtedness.

On March 15, 2001, we issued \$150 million of 5 1/4% convertible senior subordinated notes that mature on March 15, 2009 and have a conversion price of \$30.27 per share. The net proceeds were used to pay down outstanding revolver loans. The convertible notes are general unsecured obligations, subordinated in right of payment to all existing and future senior indebtedness, and rank senior to or of equal rank with all of our existing and future subordinated indebtedness.

48

In July 1997, we developed a senior secured credit facility which consists of a \$600 million revolving credit facility, with a final maturity of July 25, 2003, and an amortizing term loan with a maturity of July 25, 2004. The term loan was originally \$250 million but has been paid down to \$119 million at December 29, 2001. Up to \$300 million of the revolver may be used for issuing letters of credit. Borrowings and letters of credit issued under the new credit facility may be used for general corporate purposes and are secured by a first priority security interest in the accounts receivable and inventories of Fleming and our subsidiaries and in the capital stock or other equity interests we own in our subsidiaries. In addition, this credit facility is guaranteed by substantially all subsidiaries. The stated interest rate on borrowings under the credit agreement is equal to a referenced index interest rate, normally the London interbank offered interest rate ("LIBOR"), plus a margin. The level of the margin is dependent on credit ratings on our senior secured bank debt.

The credit agreement and the indentures under which other debt instruments were issued contain customary covenants associated with similar facilities. The credit agreement currently contains the following more significant financial covenants: maintenance of a fixed charge coverage ratio of at least 1.7 to 1, based on adjusted earnings, as defined, before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization and net rent expense and maintenance of a ratio of inventory-plus-accounts receivable to funded bank debt (including letters of credit) of at least 1.4 to 1. The credit agreement, senior notes and senior subordinated notes contain a limitation on restricted payments, including dividends, based on a formula tied to net earnings and equity issuances. Under our most restrictive covenant, these payments are limited to \$61 million at year-end 2001. Under the credit agreement, new issues of certain kinds of debt must have a maturity after January 2005. Covenants contained in our indentures under which other debt instruments were issued are generally less restrictive than those of the credit agreement. We are in compliance with all financial covenants under the credit agreement and its indentures.

The credit facility may be terminated in the event of a defined change of control. Under the indentures, noteholders may require us to repurchase notes in the event of a defined change of control coupled with a defined decline in credit ratings.

At year-end 2001, borrowings under the credit facility totaled \$119 million in term loans and \$200 million of revolver borrowings, and \$53 million of letters of credit had been issued. Letters of credit are needed primarily for insurance reserves associated with our normal risk management activities. To the extent that any of these letters of credit would be drawn, payments would be financed by borrowings under the credit agreement.

At year-end 2001, we would have been allowed to borrow an additional \$347 million under the revolving credit facility contained in the credit agreement based on the actual borrowings and letters of credit outstanding.

The other debt in the table above included \$17 million of medium term notes at year-end 2000. These notes were paid off during the first quarter of 2001. The remaining balance for year-end 2001 and 2000 consists primarily of discounts on various debt instruments.

Weighted Average Interest Rates: The weighted average interest rate for total debt (including capital lease obligations) was 8.7% and 9.5% for 2001 and 2000, respectively.

49

Interest Expense: Components of interest expense are as follows:

(IN THOUSANDS)	2001	2000	1999
Interest costs incurred:			
Long-term debt	\$ 134,473	\$ 135 <b>,</b> 474	\$ 127 <b>,</b> 271
Capital lease obligations	37 <b>,</b> 491	39 <b>,</b> 609	36 <b>,</b> 768
Other	1,520	1,537	2,258
Total incurred	173,484	176,620	166,297
Less interest capitalized	(7,950)	(2,051)	(1,117)
Interest expense	\$ 165,534	\$ 174,569	\$ 165,180

Derivatives: In July 2001, we entered into three interest rate swap agreements with a combined notional amount of \$200 million. The swaps were tied to our 10 5/8% senior subordinated notes due 2007. The maturity, call dates, and call premiums mirrored those of the notes. The swaps were designed for us to receive a fixed rate of 10 5/8% and pay a floating rate based on a spread plus the 3-month LIBOR. The floating rates reset quarterly beginning July 31, 2001. We documented and designated these swaps to qualify as fair value hedges. On October 26, 2001, we unwound all outstanding swap agreements and in turn received \$9 million in cash. Of which, \$1 million was interest we earned on the swap since the prior payment date, and the remaining \$8 million was recorded as

a deferred gain that is being amortized to reduce interest expense over the remaining life of the related subordinated notes.

In November and December 2001, we entered into five new interest rate swap agreements with a combined notional amount of \$210 million. These swaps are tied to our 10 1/8% senior notes due 2008. The maturity, call dates, and call premiums mirror those of the notes. The swaps are designed for us to receive a fixed rate of 10 1/8% and pay a floating rate based on a spread plus the 3-month LIBOR. The floating rates reset quarterly beginning January 1, 2002. We have documented and designated these swaps to qualify as fair value hedges. For the year ended December 29, 2001, in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, the mark-to-market value of these swaps was recorded as a long-term liability of \$9 million offset by a change in fair value to the senior subordinated notes due 2008.

We adopted SFAS 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, as amended, on December 31, 2000. In accordance with SFAS 133, on the date we enter into a derivative contract, management designates the derivative as a hedge of the identified exposure (fair value, cash flow, foreign currency, or net investment in foreign operations). If a derivative does not qualify in a hedging relationship, the derivative is recorded at fair value and changes in its fair value are reported currently in earnings. We formally document all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as our risk-management objective and strategy for undertaking various hedge transactions.

For all qualifying and highly effective fair value hedges, the changes in the fair value of a derivative and the loss or gain on the hedged asset or liability relating to the risk being hedged are recorded currently in earnings. These amounts are recorded to interest income and provide offset of one another. For the year ended December 29, 2001, there was no net earnings impact relating to our active fair value hedges.

50

Fair Value of Financial Instruments: The fair value of long-term debt was determined using valuation techniques that considered market prices for actively traded debt, and cash flows discounted at current market rates for management's best estimate for instruments without quoted market prices. At year-end 2001, the fair value of the total debt (excluding capital leases) was lower than the carrying value by \$32 million, or 2.2%. The fair value was lower for two reasons. First, the interest rates on the senior subordinated notes, which were set in 1997, were below market levels at year-end 2001. Second, our 5 1/4% convertible senior subordinated notes that mature on March 15, 2009 have an implied trading value based on the price of our common stock. The market price for our stock on December 28, 2001 was below the price used to determine the bond conversion price, causing the bonds to trade at a discount.

The fair value of notes receivable is comparable to the carrying value because of the variable interest rates charged on certain notes and because of the allowance for credit losses.

Subsidiary Guarantee of Senior Notes and Senior Subordinated Notes: The senior notes, convertible senior subordinated notes, and senior subordinated notes are guaranteed by substantially all of Fleming's wholly-owned direct and indirect subsidiaries. The guarantees are joint and several, full, complete and unconditional. There are currently no restrictions on the ability of the subsidiary guarantors to transfer funds to Fleming (the parent) in the form of cash dividends, loans or advances.

The following condensed consolidating financial information depicts, in separate columns, the parent company, those subsidiaries which are guarantors, those subsidiaries which are non-guarantors, elimination adjustments and the consolidated total. The financial information may not necessarily be indicative of the results of operations or financial position had the subsidiaries been operated as independent entities.

51

#### CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET INFORMATION

			December 29,	2001
	Parent Company Guarantors			
			(In thousands)	
ASSETS				
Current assets: Cash and cash equivalents Receivables, net Inventories Other current assets	\$ 10,175 483,007 816,309 114,733	\$ 6,876 105,250 198,386 4,950	\$ 274 12 99	\$
Total current assets  Investment in subsidiaries  Intercompany receivables  Property and equipment, net  Goodwill, net  Other assets	1,424,224 93,241 470,545 622,647 401,180 379,503	315,462 5,356  287,826 153,010 47,861	385   9,182  13,413	
	\$ 3,391,340 =======	\$ 809,515	\$ 22,980	\$ ===
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY (DEFICIT)				
Current liabilities: Accounts payable Intercompany payables	\$ 861,445  264,743	\$ 109,311 443,066 27,880	\$ 1,035 27,479 713	\$
Total current liabilities Obligations under capital leases Long-term debt and other liabilities Equity (deficit)	1,126,188 213,293 1,553,640 498,219	580,257 118,543 5,871 104,844	29,227   (6,247)	
	\$ 3,391,340 =======	\$ 809,515	\$ 22,980 =======	\$ ===

	December 30, 2000					
	Parent	Guarantors	Non-	Eli		
			(In thousands)			
ASSETS						
Current assets:						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 22,487	\$ 6,753	\$ 1,140	\$		
Receivables, net	406,203	101,884	958			
Inventories	635 <b>,</b> 227	192,499	3,539			
Other current assets	247,400	4,943	40			
Total current assets	1,311,317	306,079	5,677			
Investment in subsidiaries	65 <b>,</b> 475	5,356				
Intercompany receivables	372,356					
Property and equipment, net	481,360	285,117	7,019			
Goodwill, net	411,094	129,440	3,785			
Other assets	405,969	42,918	13,036			
	\$ 3,047,571	\$ 768,910		\$		
	========	========	========	===		
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY (DEFICIT)						
Current liabilities:						
Accounts payable	\$ 821,407	\$ 120 <b>,</b> 145	\$ 1,727	\$		
Intercompany payables		339,688	32,668			
Other current liabilities	244,524	43,275	1,310			
Total current liabilities	1,065,931	503,108	35,705			
Obligations under capital leases	214,611	162,628				
Long-term debt and other liabilities	1,339,837	26,096	59			
Equity (deficit)	427,192	77,078	(6,247)			
	\$ 3,047,571		\$ 29,517	\$		
	========	========	========	===		

52

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING OPERATING STATEMENT INFORMATION

52 Weeks Ended December

	Parent Company Guarantors		Non- Guarantors	
			(In thousands)	
Net sales Costs and expenses:	\$ 13,098,853	\$ 3,625,313	\$ 49,873	
Cost of sales	12,451,554	3,096,974	35,608	
Selling and administrative	442,511	501 <b>,</b> 579	16,500	
Other	146,641	45 <b>,</b> 570	(2,102)	
<pre>Impairment/restructuring charge</pre>	8,513	(32,108)		
Equity income from subsidiaries	(7,667)			
Total costs and expenses	13,041,552	3,612,015	50,006	
Income (loss) before taxes	57,301	13,298	(133)	
Taxes on income (loss)	30 <b>,</b> 524	5 <b>,</b> 553	(55)	
Income (loss) before extraordinary charge	\$ 26 <b>,</b> 777	\$ 7,745	\$ (78)	

# 53 Weeks Ended December

	53 Weeks Ended December						
	Parent Company	G	Guarantors		Non- larantors	E	
				(In	thousands)		
Net sales	\$ 12,013,293	\$	3,768,333	\$	70,022	\$	
Costs and expenses: Cost of sales	11,349,595		3,102,660		52,493		
Selling and administrative	575,408		591,144		18,451		
Other	100,721		46,796		2,424		
Impairment/restructuring charge	155,813		56 <b>,</b> 971		61		
Equity loss from subsidiaries	20,108						
Total costs and expenses	12,201,645		3,797,571		73,429	_	
Loss before taxes	(188, 352)		(29,238)		(3,407)	_	
Taxes on loss	(66,210)		(11,095)		(1,442)		
Net loss	\$ (122,142)	\$	(18,143)	\$	(1,965)	\$	
	=========	==		===		=	

# 52 Weeks Ended December 2

Parent		Non-	
Company	Guarantors	Guarantors	E
			_
		(In thousands)	

12 434 048			
12 /3/ 0/8			
12,434,040	821 <b>,</b> 782	116,084	:
1,012,393	224,572	24,666	; ;
112,593	19,400	3,112	
101,058	1,954		-
16,896			
13,676,988	1,067,708	143,862	. –
(52,716)	(24,599)	(2,162	
(7,988)	(8,949)	(916	;)
(44,728)	\$ (15,650)	\$ (1,246	5) \$
	112,593 101,058 16,896  13,676,988  (52,716) (7,988)	1,012,393 224,572 112,593 19,400 101,058 1,954 16,896 13,676,988 1,067,708 (52,716) (24,599) (7,988) (8,949)	1,012,393     224,572     24,666       112,593     19,400     3,112       101,058     1,954        16,896         13,676,988     1,067,708     143,862       (52,716)     (24,599)     (2,162       (7,988)     (8,949)     (916

53

### CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING CASH FLOW INFORMATION

52 Weeks Ended I								
	Parent				Non- Guarantors Guarantors		lon-	 E
				(In th	nousands)	_		
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 22,909	\$	(56,131)	\$	3,077	Ş		
Cash flows from investing activities: Purchases of property and equipment Other			4,350		80	_		
Net cash used in investing activities			(85,430)		(8,050)			
Cash flows from financing activities: Repayments on capital lease obligations Advances (to) from parent	(11,798) (154,896)		(9,105) 150,789 		 4,107 	_		
Net cash provided by financing activities			141,684		4,107	_		
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(12,312)		123		(866)	_		
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 10,175	 \$	6,876	 \$	274	5		
	=========	===		====		=		

Parent Company

(15,398) 60.912

11,358

77**,**290

(54,803)

\_\_\_\_\_

60**,**912

(34,156)

Guarantors Guarantors (In thousands) Net cash provided by operating activities ... \$ 40,039 \$ 86,008 \$ 598 (60,221) (15,262) (75,354) 101,247 1,686 25,893 (58,535) (15,262)

(76,537) 15,625

15,625

961

179

\_\_\_\_\_

(5,490)

(82**,**027)

(54,554)

61,307

53 Weeks Ended December 30, 2

54

Cash and cash equivalents at end of year .... \$ 22,487 \$ 6,753 \$ 1,140

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING CASH FLOW INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

Cash flows from investing activities:

Cash flows from financing activities:

Net cash provided by (used in) investing

Purchases of property and equipment ...... Other .....

activities .....

Repayments on capital lease obligations ....

Advances (to) from parent ......

Other .....

activities .....

equivalents .....

Net cash provided by (used in) financing

Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash

Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year .....

52	Weeks	Ended	December	25,	1

	Parent Company		Gua:	rantors	Non- Guarantors		
					(In t	housands)	
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	86 <b>,</b> 780	\$	25 <b>,</b> 659	\$	5,178	
Cash flows from investing activities: Purchases of property and equipment		(121,414)		(42,482)		(2,443)	

Other	(51,214)	4,209	
Net cash used in investing activities	(172,628)	(38,273)	(2,443)
Cash flows from financing activities: Repayments on capital lease obligations Advances (to) from parent Other	(18,101) (76,668) 117,976		(320) (2,185) 
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	23,207	75,741	(2,505)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(62,641) 7,838	63 <b>,</b> 127 (1,820)	230
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year		\$ 61,307	\$ 179 \$

55

#### LEASE AGREEMENTS

Capital And Operating Leases: We lease certain distribution facilities with terms generally ranging from 20 to 35 years, while lease terms for other operating facilities range from 1 to 15 years. The leases normally provide for minimum annual rentals plus executory costs and usually include provisions for one to five renewal options of five years each.

We lease company-owned store facilities with terms generally ranging from 15 to 20 years. These agreements normally provide for contingent rentals based on sales performance in excess of specified minimums. The leases usually include provisions for one to four renewal options of two to five years each. Certain equipment is leased under agreements ranging from two to eight years with no renewal options.

Accumulated amortization related to leased assets under capital leases was \$38 million at year-end 2001 and 2000.

Future minimum lease payment obligations for leased assets under capital leases as of year-end 2001 are set forth below:

(IN THOUSANDS)	LEASE
YEARS	OBLIGATIONS
2002	\$ 32,631
2003	32,537
2004	32,304
2005	32,610
2006	30,139

Later	 103,426
Total minimum lease payments Less estimated executory costs	 263,647 (33,502)
Net minimum lease payments Less interest	 230,145 (48,740)
Present value of net minimum lease payments Less current obligations	 181,405 (8,994)
Long-term obligations	\$ 172 <b>,</b> 411

Direct Financing Leases: We lease retail store facilities with terms generally ranging from 15 to 20 years which are subsequently subleased to customers. Most leases provide for a percentage rental based on sales performance in excess of specified minimum rentals. The leases usually contain provisions for one to four renewal options of five years each. The sublease to the customer is normally for an initial five-year term with automatic five-year renewals at our discretion, which corresponds to the length of the initial term of the prime lease.

56

The following table shows the future minimum rentals receivable under direct financing leases and future minimum lease payment obligations under capital leases in effect at year-end 2001:

THOUSANDS)  LEASE RENTALS  RECEIVABLE				
2002	\$	27,630	\$	29,214
2003		20,825		28,256
2004		17,704		27,438
2005		15 <b>,</b> 185		26,913
2006		13,762		24,998
Later		41,885		83 <b>,</b> 356
Total minimum lease payments		136,991		220,175
Less estimated executory costs		(11,345)		(14,884)
Net minimum lease payments		125,646		205,291
Less interest		(30,500)		(33,450)
Present value of net minimum lease payments		95.146		171,841
Less current portion		•		(12,416)

Long-term portion	\$ 83,118	\$	159,425	
	 	====		

The following table shows the composition of annual net rental expense under noncancelable operating leases and subleases with initial terms of one year or greater:

(IN THOUSANDS)		2001		2000	19	
Operating activity:						
Rental expense	\$	•	\$	90,018	\$	11
Contingent rentals		392		902		
Less sublease income		(5,233)		(9,014)		(
		70,924		81,906		10
Financing activity:						
Rental expense		63 <b>,</b> 524		54 <b>,</b> 847		4
Less sublease income		(80,041)		(66,757)		(6
		(16,517)		(11,910)		(2
Net rental expense	\$	54,407	\$	69 <b>,</b> 996	\$	8
	===:		===		===	

We reflect net financing activity, as shown above, as a component of net sales.

57

Future minimum lease payments required at year-end 2001 under operating leases that have initial noncancelable lease terms exceeding one year are presented in the following table:

(IN THOUSANDS)

YEARS	RENTALS	SUBLEASED	RENTALS	RENTALS
	FACILITY	FACILITIES	EQUIPMENT	NET

2002	\$	151 <b>,</b> 237	\$	(78,435)	\$	12,271	\$	85 <b>,</b> 073
2003		135,470		(67 <b>,</b> 580)		6,651		74,541
2004		121,137		(56,004)		3,647		68 <b>,</b> 780
2005		108,084		(50,617)		1,841		59,308
2006		91,644		(43,125)		1,236		49,755
Later		259,093		(115,048)				144,045
Total lease								
payments	\$	866,665	\$	(410,809)	\$	25,646	\$	481,502
	==		===		===:		===	

Contingent rental income and contingent rental expense are not material.

#### SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

Fleming offers a Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan which provides shareholders the opportunity to automatically reinvest their dividends in common stock at a 5% discount from market value. Shareholders also may purchase shares at market value by making cash payments up to \$5,000 per calendar quarter. Such programs resulted in issuing 17,000 and 31,000 new shares in 2001 and 2000, respectively.

We primarily issue shares of restricted stock to key employees under plans approved by the stockholders. Periods of restriction and/or performance goals are established for each award.

The fair value of the restricted stock at the time of the grant is recorded as unearned compensation - restricted stock which is netted against capital in excess of par within shareholders' equity. Compensation is amortized to expense when earned. At year-end 2001, 289,546 shares remained available for award under all plans. Subsequent to year-end, approximately 5,000 shares were granted.

Information regarding restricted stock balances is as follows (in thousands):

	2001			2000
Awarded restricted shares outstanding	====	637	===:	746
Unearned compensation - restricted stock	\$	2 <b>,</b> 893		1,232

We may grant stock options to key employees through stock option plans, providing for the grant of incentive stock options and non-qualified stock options. The stock options have a maximum term of 10 years and have time and/or performance based vesting requirements. At year-end 2001, there were

approximately 274,325 shares available for grant under the unrestricted stock option plans. Subsequent to year-end, approximately 7,000 stock options were granted.

To induce two senior executive officers to join Fleming as associates, we granted an aggregate of 200,000 nonqualified stock options in 2001 and 100,000 nonqualified stock options subsequent to 2001. These options are not granted pursuant to a shareholder-approved plan, but the terms of the options are comparable to award agreements issued under our shareholder-approved plans.

Stock option transactions for the three years ended December 29, 2001 are as follows:

(SHARES IN THOUSANDS)	SHARES	 ED AVERAGE ISE PRICE	PRICE RANGE
Outstanding, year-end 1998 Granted Canceled and forfeited	2,410 2,339 (968)	19.35 9.80 16.53	\$ 7.53 - 12.25
Outstanding, year-end 1999 Granted Exercised Canceled and forfeited	3,781 1,586 (59) (897)	14.19 12.79 9.69 18.13	\$ 8.94 - 17.22 \$ 7.53 - 11.72
Outstanding, year-end 2000 Granted Exercised Canceled and forfeited	4,411 2,135 (695) (753)	12.94 23.80 13.96 15.11	\$11.22 - 35.98 \$ 7.53 - 24.94
Outstanding, year-end 2001	5 <b>,</b> 098	17.04	\$ 7.53 - 38.38 ========

59

Information regarding options outstanding at year-end 2001 is as follows:

ALL OPTIONS
OUTSTANDING CURRENTLY
(SHARES IN THOUSANDS)
OPTIONS EXERCISABLE

Option price \$28.38 - \$35.98:		
Number of options	137	2
Weighted average exercise price	31.22	28.38
Weighted average remaining life in years	9	
Option price \$19.55 - \$26.46:		
Number of options	2,227	126
Weighted average exercise price	23.53	24.33
Weighted average remaining life in years	9	
Option price \$7.53 - \$17.50:		
Number of options	2,733	1,299
Weighted average exercise price	11.03	10.70
Weighted average remaining life in years	8	

In the event of a change of control, all awards will vest immediately.

We apply APB Opinion No. 25 - Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related Interpretations in accounting for our plans. Total compensation cost recognized in income for stock based employee compensation awards was \$5.1 million, \$3.2 million and \$1.4 million for 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively. If compensation cost had been recognized for the stock-based compensation plans based on fair values of the awards at the grant dates consistent with the method of SFAS No. 123 - Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, reported net earnings (loss) and earnings (loss) per share would have been \$21.8 million and \$.51 for 2001, \$(124.7) million and \$(3.22) for 2000 and \$(46.6) million and \$(1.22) for 1999, respectively. The weighted average fair value on the date of grant of the individual options granted during 2001, 2000 and 1999 was estimated at \$12.93, \$7.90 and \$5.08, respectively.

Significant assumptions used to estimate the fair values of awards using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions for 2001, 2000 and 1999 are: risk-free interest rate - 3.62% to 6.83%; expected lives of options - 10 years; expected volatility - 30% to 50%; and expected dividend yield of 0.2% to 0.9%.

In 2001, we issued a warrant for the purchase of additional common stock in connection with a private placement sale. The warrant represents the right to purchase up to \$50 million worth of additional shares of our common stock, based on a per share exercise price equal to the average closing price of our common stock on the New York Stock Exchange for the 30 consecutive trading days immediately preceding the applicable exercise date. The warrant expires on March 22, 2002 and has been included in our diluted weighted average shares calculation.

#### ASSOCIATE RETIREMENT PLANS AND POSTRETIREMENT BENEFITS

Fleming sponsors pension and postretirement benefit plans for substantially all non-union and some union associates.

60

Benefit calculations for our defined benefit pension plans are primarily a function of years of service and final average earnings at the time of retirement. Final average earnings are the average of the highest five years of compensation during the last 10 years of employment. We fund these plans by contributing the actuarially computed amounts that meet funding requirements. Substantially all the plans' assets are invested in listed securities,

short-term investments, bonds and real estate.

We also have unfunded nonqualified supplemental retirement plans for selected associates.

We offer a comprehensive major medical plan to eligible retired associates who meet certain age and years of service requirements. This unfunded defined benefit plan generally provides medical benefits until Medicare insurance commences.

61

The following table provides a reconciliation of benefit obligations, plan assets and funded status of the plans mentioned above.

(IN THOUSANDS)			POSTRETIREM	
	2001	2000	2001	
Change in benefit obligation:				
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 405,404	\$ 375,603	\$ 13,093	\$ 1
Service cost	9,021	9,940	114	
Interest cost	30,400	28,924	877	
Plan participants' contributions		20 <b>,</b> 118	889	
Actuarial gain/loss Amendments	6,075 224	20,118	1,516	
Benefits paid	(20 830)	(29,181)	(4 577)	(
benefits pard				
Balance at end of year	\$ 421,294	\$ 405,404	\$ 11 <b>,</b> 912	\$ 1
	=======	=======	======	===
Change in plan assets:				
Fair value at beginning of year			\$	\$
Actual return on assets	(6 <b>,</b> 365)	(10,968) 28,535		
Employer contribution	24,448	28 <b>,</b> 535	4,577	
Benefits paid	(29,830)	(29,181)	(4,577) 	(
Fair value at end of year	\$ 308,501 ======	\$ 320,248 ======	\$ ======	\$ ===
	¢ (110 702)	ć (OF 156)	¢ (11 010)	Ć / 1
Funded status		\$ (85,156)		\$(1
Unrecognized actuarial loss Unrecognized prior service cost	139,984	109 <b>,</b> 585 899		
Unrecognized net transition asset	60	(53)		
onrecognized nee cranoreron asset				
Net amount recognized	\$ 28,163	\$ 25,275	\$ (4,808)	\$ (
		=======		===

Amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheet:				
Prepaid benefit cost	\$ 9,331	\$ 8,302	\$	\$
Accrued benefit liability	(81,039)	(52 <b>,</b> 181)	(4,808)	(
Intangible asset	812	773		1
Accumulated other				,
comprehensive income	99,059	68 <b>,</b> 381		
Net amount recognized	\$ 28,163	\$ 25,275	\$ (4,808)	\$ (
	=======			===

The following assumptions were used for the plans mentioned above.

	PENSION B	ENEFITS	OTHER POSTRETIREMENT	BENEFITS
	2001	2000	2001	2000
Discount rate	7.50%	7.50%	7.50%	7.50%
Expected return on plan assets	9.00%	9.00%		
Rate of compensation increase	4.00%	4.50%		

62

Net periodic pension and other postretirement benefit costs include the following components:

		PENSION BENEFITS						OTHER POSTRETIREMENT BENEFI				S
(IN THOUSANDS)	2001	2001		2000		1999		2001		2000		1999 
Service cost Interest cost Expected return		021		9,940 28,924	\$	14,163 26,511	\$	113 877	\$	124 964	\$	1,
on plan assets Amortization of	(28)	259)	(	(29,527)		(29,257)						
actuarial loss Amortization of prior service	10,	301		4,429		11,134		349		231		
cost Amortization of		210		292		291						
net transition asset		(113)		(268)		(268)						

	========	========	========	=======	=======	======
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 21,560	\$ 13 <b>,</b> 790	\$ 22,574	\$ 1,339	\$ 1 <b>,</b> 319	\$ 1 <b>,</b>
National adda						

The projected benefit obligation, accumulated benefit obligation, and fair value of plan assets for the pension plans with accumulated benefit obligations in excess of plan assets were \$421 million, \$387 million and \$309 million, respectively, as of December 29, 2001 and \$405 million, \$370 million, and \$320 million, respectively, as of December 30, 2000.

For measurement purposes in 2001 and 2000, a 9.0% annual rate of increase in the per capita cost of covered medical care benefits was assumed. For the year 2000, the rate was assumed to remain constant for both the measurement year and following year, then grade down by 0.5% per year until reaching 5.0%, then remain constant thereafter. For the 2001 and 2000 measurement years, the ultimate trend rate was realized at the year 2009.

The effect of a one-percentage point increase in assumed medical cost trend rates would have increased the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation as of December 31, 2001 from \$11.9 to \$12.6 million, and increased the total of the service cost and interest cost components of the net periodic cost from \$0.99 million to \$1.04 million. The effect of a one-percentage point decrease in assumed medical cost trend rates would have decreased the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation as of December 31, 2001 from \$11.9 to \$11.3 million, and decreased the total of the service cost and interest cost components of the net periodic cost from \$0.99 million to \$0.95 million.

In some of the retail operations, contributory profit sharing plans were maintained for associates who meet certain types of employment and length of service requirements. These plans were discontinued at the beginning of 2000. Contributions under these defined contribution plans were made at the discretion of the Board of Directors and totaled \$3 million in 1999.

Beginning in 2000, we changed our benefit plans to offer a matching 401(k) plan to associates in addition to the pension plan previously offered. The pension plan was continued, but with a reduced benefit formula. The new plan was also offered to an increased number of associates. Under the plan, we

63

annually commit to a minimum funding into the plan, match 100% of the first 2% of the employee's contribution, and match 25% of the next 4% of the employee's contribution for a maximum match contribution of 3% of the employee's base salary.

At the end of 2001, associates participating in the Fleming Pension Plan were given a one-time choice between two retirement programs. Option one offered the current retirement program, where employees continue to earn benefits in the Fleming Pension Plan and have the Fleming Matching Contribution in the 401(k) Plan. Option two offered a new retirement program where the employees' pension benefit is frozen as of the end of 2001, begin receiving a Fleming Retirement Contribution to the 401(k) Plan, and continue to receive the Fleming Matching Contribution to the 401(k) Plan. The future benefits between option one and option two will vary among associates based on pay, current and future service with Fleming, participation rate in the 401(k) Plan, and age. Balances at

retirement may be different depending on future service with Fleming, pay increases, and investment returns. Associates hired after December 1, 2000 automatically receive option two, thus, there will be no new associates participating in the Fleming Pension Plan.

Certain associates have pension and health care benefits provided under collectively bargained multi-employer agreements. Expenses for these benefits were \$60 million, \$76 million and \$77 million for 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOWS INFORMATION

(IN THOUSANDS)	2001	2000	1999
Acquisitions:			
Fair value of assets acquired	\$ 141,143	\$ 18 <b>,</b> 529	\$ 78,607
Less:			
Liabilities assumed or created	19,512	11,181	
Cash acquired	258	28	167
	å 101 272	â 7 200	â 70 440
Cash paid, net of cash acquired	\$ 121 <b>,</b> 373	\$ 7,320 =====	\$ 78,440 ======
Cash paid during the year for:			
Interest, net of amounts capitalized	\$ 149,332	\$ 175 <b>,</b> 246	\$ 165 <b>,</b> 676
Income taxes, net of refunds	\$ (18,378)		
	=======	=======	=======
Property and equipment additions			
by capital leases	\$ 14,721	\$ 47,010	\$ 45,220
	=======	=======	

#### CONTINGENCIES

In accordance with applicable accounting standards, we record a charge reflecting contingent liabilities when we determine that a material loss is "probable" and either "quantifiable" or "reasonably estimable." Additionally, we disclose material loss contingencies when the likelihood of a material loss is deemed to be greater than "remote" but less than "probable." Set forth below is information regarding certain material litigation loss contingencies that were settled in 2001.

Stockholder Class Action Suit. In February 2000, the court dismissed the plaintiffs' amended complaint with prejudice and in September 2001 the Tenth Circuit affirmed the district court decision. In October 2001, the Tenth Circuit denied the plaintiffs' petition for a full bench rehearing. The plaintiffs did not request a review of the judgment of the lower courts to the United States Supreme Court. As a result, all

64

appeals by the plaintiffs are exhausted and the judgment of the courts, as outlined above, will stand unchanged.

Noteholder Class Action Suit. On May 25, 2001, we and the noteholder plaintiffs executed a settlement agreement and such settlement became final on September 5, 2001. The settlement agreement includes a full release of Fleming from liability to the plaintiffs in this case and Fleming and its insurer paid \$2.5 million.

Don's United Super (and related cases). On September 6, 2001, the parties executed a settlement agreement in the Don's United Super, Coddington Enterprises, Inc., J&A Foods, Inc., R&D Foods, Inc., and Robandee United Super, Inc. cases. The settlement agreement includes a full release of Fleming from liability to the plaintiffs in these cases and we recorded a \$21 million after-tax charge in the second quarter of 2001 to reflect the total estimated cost of the settlement and other related expenses. The plaintiffs in these cases were current and former customers of ours. The plaintiffs alleged product overcharges, breach of contract, breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud and RICO violations.

Storehouse Markets. On July 9, 2001, the parties executed a settlement agreement that was subsequently approved by the court on September 10, 2001. The settlement agreement resolved all claims between the parties in exchange for a total payment of \$16 million by us and our insurer, which settlement we recorded in the second quarter of 2001. The plaintiffs in this case were current and former customers of ours. The plaintiffs alleged product overcharges, breach of contract, breach of fiduciary duty, misrepresentation, fraud and RICO violations.

Welsh. On December 31, 2001, the parties executed a settlement agreement that resolved all claims in this case between the parties. Fleming is not required to pay any amounts to the plaintiffs pursuant to this settlement.

In the ordinary course of our business, various legal actions, governmental proceedings and other claims are pending or threatened or may be instituted or asserted in the future against Fleming and its subsidiaries. For some of these matters, Fleming has indemnifications from its vendors. Litigation is subject to many uncertainties, the outcome of individual litigated matters is not predictable with assurance, and it is reasonably possible that some of the matters could be decided unfavorably to Fleming or the subsidiary involved. Although the amount of liability, if any, at December 29, 2001 with respect to these matters cannot be ascertained, Fleming believes that any resulting liability should not materially affect the consolidated financial position or results of operations for Fleming and its subsidiaries.

65

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders Fleming Companies, Inc.  $\,$ 

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Fleming Companies, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 29, 2001 and December 30, 2000, and the related consolidated statements of operations, cash flows, and shareholders' equity for each of the three years in the period ended December

29, 2001. These financial statements are the responsibility of the company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Fleming Companies, Inc. and subsidiaries at December 29, 2001, and December 30, 2000, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 29, 2001, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Dallas, Texas February 13, 2002

66

# QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION (IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS) (UNAUDITED)

2001	FIRST	SECOND	THIRD	FOURTH	
Net sales	\$ 4,161,191	\$ 3,457,279	\$ 4,022,085	\$ 3,987,189	\$
Costs and expenses (income):					
Cost of sales	3,794,947	3,193,922	3,748,895	3,700,077	
Selling and administrative	315,285	211,092	209,928	224,285	
Interest expense	57 <b>,</b> 502	34,435	35 <b>,</b> 370	38,227	
Interest income	(9,272)	(5 <b>,</b> 788)	(5,494)	(5,032)	
Equity investment results	351	(279)	689	772	
<pre>Impair/restruct charge (credit)</pre>	(26,859)	(117)	1,415	1,966	
Litigation charge (credit)	2,028	46,600			
Total costs and expenses	4,133,982	3,479,865	3,990,803	3,960,295	
Income (loss) before taxes					
and extraordinary charge	27,209	(22,586)	31,282	26,894	
Taxes on income (loss)	11,743	(9,128)	12,207	21,200	

1

15,466 (13,458) 19,075 5,694

Income (loss) before taxes and
 extraordinary charge

Basic net income (loss) per share:   Before extraordinary charge   Extraordinary charge   Extraordinary charge   Extraordinary charge   Extraordinary charge   Extraordinary charge   Society   So	Extraordinary charge, net of tax		(3,469)							
Before extraordinary charge   \$ 0.38   \$ (0.31)   \$ 0.44   \$ 0.13   \$	Net income (loss)									\$
Net income (loss)   S	Before extraordinary charge		(0.09)					\$		\$
Before extraordinary charge   S	Net income (loss)	\$	0.30	\$	(0.31)	\$	0.44			\$
Net income (loss)   \$ 0.29	Before extraordinary charge		(0.08)					\$		\$
Weighted average shares outstanding:   40,190	Net income (loss)	\$	0.29	\$	(0.31)	\$	0.40			\$ ===
Net sales	Dividends paid per share			-						\$
Diluted 42,245 43,276 51,032 50,733 2000 5000 5000 5000 5000 5000 5000 50			40,190		43,276		43,728		43 <b>,</b> 907	
2000 FIRST SECOND THIRD FOURTH  Net sales  Cost of sales Selling and administrative Selling and Agenty Selling S	Diluted		42,245		43,276		51,032		50,733	
Costs and expenses (income):  Cost of sales Selling and administrative 372,307 261,374 260,019 293,219 Interest expense 53,101 38,447 40,111 42,910 Interest income (9,505) Equity investment results 1,891 Litigation charge (credit) Litigation charge (credit)  Loss before taxes (43,265) Taxes on loss  (21,934)  Net loss  \$ (25,873) \$ (13,349) \$ (45,559) \$ (37,361) \$  Basic and diluted net loss per share  \$ (0.67) \$ (0.35) \$ (1.17) \$ (0.96) \$		F	IRST				THIRD	F(	OURTH	
Costs and expenses (income):  Cost of sales Selling and administrative 372,307 261,374 260,019 293,219 Interest expense 53,101 38,447 40,111 42,910 Interest income (9,505) Equity investment results 1,891 Litigation charge (credit) Litigation charge (credit)  Loss before taxes (43,265) Taxes on loss  (21,934)  Net loss  \$ (25,873) \$ (13,349) \$ (45,559) \$ (37,361) \$  Basic and diluted net loss per share  \$ (0.67) \$ (0.35) \$ (1.17) \$ (0.96) \$		F 	IRST				THIRD	F(	OURTH	
Interest expense Interest income Interest inco	Costs and expenses (income):									\$ 1
Interest expense Interest income Interest inco		3	372,307	2	2,998,624	•	2,894,341	3	,289,126 293,219	1
Equity investment results Impair/restruct charge (credit) Litigation charge (credit)  Total costs and expenses  4,374,763  Loss before taxes  (43,265)  (17,392)  Net loss  \$ (25,873) \$ (13,349) \$ (45,559) \$ (37,361) \$  Basic and diluted net Loss per share  \$ (0.67) \$ (0.35) \$ (1.17) \$ (0.96) \$	-		53,101		38,447		10 111		42,910	
Impair/restruct charge (credit) 42,145 21,013 83,356 66,331 Litigation charge (credit) (1,916)  Total costs and expenses 4,374,763 3,311,812 3,271,686 3,686,443 2  Loss before taxes (43,265) (21,934) (74,031) (61,659) Taxes on loss (17,392) (8,585) (28,472) (24,298)  Net loss \$ (25,873) \$ (13,349) \$ (45,559) \$ (37,361) \$  Basic and diluted net loss per share \$ (0.67) \$ (0.35) \$ (1.17) \$ (0.96) \$										
Litigation charge (credit) ————————————————————————————————————			1 001				(6,322)			
Total costs and expenses 4,374,763 3,311,812 3,271,686 3,686,443 2  Loss before taxes (43,265) (21,934) (74,031) (61,659) (17,392) (8,585) (28,472) (24,298)  Net loss \$ (25,873) \$ (13,349) \$ (45,559) \$ (37,361) \$   Basic and diluted net loss per share \$ (0.67) \$ (0.35) \$ (1.17) \$ (0.96) \$	-				1,694		(6,322) 2,097		2,352	
Loss before taxes  Taxes on loss  (43,265) (21,934) (74,031) (61,659)  (17,392) (8,585) (28,472) (24,298)  Net loss  \$ (25,873) \$ (13,349) \$ (45,559) \$ (37,361) \$  Basic and diluted net loss per share  \$ (0.67) \$ (0.35) \$ (1.17) \$ (0.96) \$			42 <b>,</b> 145		1,694 21,013 		(6,322) 2,097 83,356 (1,916)		2,352 66,331 	
Taxes on loss (17,392) (8,585) (28,472) (24,298)  Net loss \$ (25,873) \$ (13,349) \$ (45,559) \$ (37,361) \$ ===================================	Total costs and expenses	 4	42,145		1,694 21,013  3,311,812		(6,322) 2,097 83,356 (1,916)  3,271,686		2,352 66,331  ,686,443	1
Basic and diluted net   \$ (0.67) \$ (0.35) \$ (1.17) \$ (0.96) \$   ==================================	-	 4	42,145		1,694 21,013  3,311,812		(6,322) 2,097 83,356 (1,916)  3,271,686	3	2,352 66,331  ,686,443	 1 
loss per share \$ (0.67) \$ (0.35) \$ (1.17) \$ (0.96) \$ ===================================	Loss before taxes	4 	42,145  ,374,763  (43,265) (17,392)		1,694 21,013  3,311,812 (21,934) (8,585)		(6,322) 2,097 83,356 (1,916)  3,271,686  (74,031) (28,472)	3	2,352 66,331  ,686,443  (61,659) (24,298)	 1 
	Loss before taxes Taxes on loss	 4 	42,145 ,374,763 	 \$	1,694 21,013 	  \$	(6,322) 2,097 83,356 (1,916)  3,271,686  (74,031) (28,472)  (45,559)	3	2,352 66,331  ,686,443  (61,659) (24,298) 	 1   \$ ===
	Loss before taxes Taxes on loss  Net loss  Basic and diluted net	 4  \$ ===	42,145 ,374,763 	\$	1,694 21,013 3,311,812 (21,934) (8,585) (13,349) (0.35)	 \$ ===:	(6,322) 2,097 83,356 (1,916)  3,271,686  (74,031) (28,472)  (45,559)  (1.17)	 3  \$ ===:	2,352 66,331  ,686,443  (61,659) (24,298)  (37,361) ======	 \$ ===

Weighted average shares outstanding:

	========	========	========	========	===
Diluted	38,515	38,576	38,902	38,934	
	========	========	========	========	===
Basic	38,515	38 <b>,</b> 576	38,902	38,934	

67

Each quarter of 2001 included charges related to our strategic plan: quarter 1 -\$1 million of income pre-tax, \$.01 per share; quarter 2 - \$14 million pre-tax, \$8 million after-tax, \$.17 per share; quarter 3 - \$6 million pre-tax, \$4 million after-tax, \$.07 per share; quarter 4 - \$5 million pre-tax, \$14 million after-tax, \$.27 per share; full year - \$24 million pre-tax, \$25 million after-tax (due to the impact of goodwill permanent differences from the sale of certain retail stores), \$.55 per share. The first quarter also included unusual items (\$2 million in charges from litigation settlements and net additional interest expense of approximately \$2 million due to the early retirement of debt) netting to \$3 million (\$2 million after-tax or \$.05 per share). The second quarter included an unusual item charge for litigation settlements of \$47 million (\$28 million after-tax or \$.65 per share). The fourth quarter included an unusual item charge related to the Kmart reorganization of \$20 million (\$12 million after-tax or \$.23 per share) consisting of \$17 million of credit losses and \$3 million of inventory writedowns for Kmart product that is expected to be liquidated. The full year impact of the strategic plan charge and unusual items is \$94 million pre-tax, \$67 million after-tax or \$1.49 per share (includes a \$.01 per share impact due to converting from basic to diluted weighted average shares).

Each quarter of 2000 included charges related to our strategic plan: quarter 1 - \$64 million pre-tax, \$38 million after-tax, \$.98 per share; quarter 2 - \$46 million pre-tax, \$27 million after-tax, \$.71 per share; quarter 3 - \$101 million pre-tax, \$60 million after-tax, \$1.53 per share; quarter 4 - \$98 million pre-tax, \$58 million after-tax, \$1.49 per share; full year - \$309 million pre-tax, \$183 million after-tax, \$4.72 per share. The third quarter also included unusual items (\$10 million charge related primarily to asset impairment on retail stores, income of \$2 million relating to litigation settlements, and \$9 million in gains from the sale of distribution facilities) netting to less than \$1 million of income (\$1 million after-tax or \$.04 per share). The full year impact of the strategic plan charge and unusual items is \$309 million pre-tax, \$184 million after-tax or \$4.71 per share (includes a \$.05 per share impact due to converting from basic to diluted weighted average shares).

The first quarter of both years consists of 16 weeks; all other quarters are 12 weeks, except for quarter 4, 2000 which is 13 weeks.

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized on the 11th day of October, 2002.

FLEMING COMPANIES, INC.

/s/ NEAL J. RIDER

By: Neal J. Rider
Executive Vice President
and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

69

#### CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER

- I, Mark S. Hansen, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Fleming Companies, Inc., certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Fleming Companies, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this annual report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report; and
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report.

Date: October 10, 2002

/s/ MARK S. HANSEN

\_\_\_\_\_

Mark S. Hansen

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

70

#### CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL OFFICER

I, Neal J. Rider, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Fleming Companies, Inc., certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Fleming Companies, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this annual report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report; and
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report.

Date: October 10, 2002

/s/ NEAL J. RIDER

\_\_\_\_\_

Neal J. Rider

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

71

#### SCHEDULE II

# FLEMING COMPANIES, INC. AND CONSOLIDATED SUBSIDIARIES

SCHEDULE II - VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 29, 2001 DECEMBER 30, 2000, AND DECEMBER 25, 1999

(In thousands)

	 lowance for it Losses	(	Current	No	ncurrent
BALANCE, December 26, 1998	\$ 47,232	\$	19,979	\$	27,253
Charged to cost and expenses	25,394		21,024		4,370
Uncollectible accounts written-off, less recoveries	 (17,098)		(8,802)		(8,296)
BALANCE, December 25, 1999	\$ 55,528	\$	32,201	\$	23,327
Charged to cost and expenses	28 <b>,</b> 872		15 <b>,</b> 454		13,418

	====		===		====	
BALANCE, December 29, 2001	\$	71,653	\$	40,314	\$	31,339
Uncollectible accounts written-off, less recoveries		(25 <b>,</b> 860)		(21,742)		(4,118)
Charged to cost and expenses		37 <b>,</b> 795		28,130		9,665
BALANCE, December 30, 2000	\$	59,718	\$	33,926	\$	25 <b>,</b> 792
Uncollectible accounts written-off, less recoveries		(24,682)		(13,729)		(10,953)

72

#### INDEX TO EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NUMBER INCORPORATIO
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation	Exhibit 3.1 ended April
3.2	By-Laws	Exhibit 3.2 ended April
4.0	Credit Agreement, dated as of July 25, 1997, among Fleming Companies, Inc., the Lenders party thereto, BancAmerica Securities, Inc., as syndication agent, Societe Generale, as documentation agent, and The Chase Manhattan Bank, as administrative agent	Exhibit 4.16 ended July 1
4.1	First Amendment, dated as of October 5, 1998, to Credit Agreement dated July 25, 1997	Exhibit 4.8 ended Octobe
4.2	Second Amendment, dated as of December 21, 1999, to the Credit Agreement dated July 25, 1997	Exhibit 4.9 ended April
4.3	Third Amendment dated as of February 26, 2001 to the Credit Agreement dated July 25, 1997	Exhibit 4.9 Registration
4.4	Fourth Amendment, dated as of September 7, 2001, to the Credit Agreement dated July 25, 1997	Exhibit 4.16 ended Octobe
4.5	Security Agreement dated as of July 25, 1997, between Fleming Companies, Inc., the company subsidiaries party thereto and	Exhibit 4.17 ended July 1

The Chase Manhattan Bank, as collateral agent

The Chase Manhattan Bank, as collateral agent

Pledge Agreement, dated as of July 25, 1997, among Fleming

Guarantee Agreement dated as of July 25, 1997, among the

company subsidiaries party thereto and The Chase Manhattan

Companies, Inc., the company subsidiaries party thereto and

4.6

4.7

4.17

		Bank, as collateral agent	ended July 1
	4.8	Indenture, dated as of July 25, 1997, among Fleming Companies, Inc., the Subsidiary Guarantors named therein and Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company, as Trustee, regarding 10-5/8% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2007	Exhibit 4.20 ended July 1
		73	
EXHIBIT NUMBER		DESCRIPTION	PAGE NUMBER INCORPORATIO
	4.9	Indenture, dated as of July 25, 1997, among Fleming Companies, Inc., the Subsidiary Guarantors named therein and Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company regarding 10-1/2% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2004	Exhibit 4.21 ended July 1
	4.10	Supplement, dated as of September 20, 2001, to the Indenture dated as of July 25, 1997, among Fleming, the Subsidiary Guarantors named therein and Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company, as Trustee, regarding 10 5/8% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2007	Exhibit 4.18 ended Octobe
	4.11	Supplement, dated as of September 20, 2001, to the Indenture dated as of July 25, 1997, among Fleming, the Subsidiary Guarantors named therein and Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company, as Trustee, regarding 10 1/2% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2004	Exhibit 4.19 ended Octobe
	4.12	Agreement to furnish copies of other long-term debt instruments	**
	4.13	Stock and Warrant Purchase Agreement by and between the Registrant and U.S. Transportation, LLC dated February 6, 2001	Exhibit 4.1. No. 333-6017
	4.14	Amendment No. 1 dated as of October 17, 2001 to Stock and Warrant Purchase Agreement by and between Fleming and U.S. Transportation, LLC dated February 6, 2001	Exhibit 4.17 ended Octobe
	4.15	Registration Rights Agreement by and between Fleming and U.S. Transportation, LLC dated March 22, 2001	Exhibit 4.2 No. 333-6017
	4.16	Indenture, dated as of March 15, 2001, among Fleming Companies, Inc., the Subsidiary Guarantors named therein and Bankers Trust Company, as Trustee, regarding the 10 1/8% Senior Notes due 2008	Exhibit 4.9 No. 333-6018

Indenture, dated as of March 15, 2001, among Fleming

Companies, Inc., the Subsidiary Guarantors named therein and

Exhibit 4.3

No. 333-6017

Exhibit 4.18

ended July 1

Exhibit 4.19

ended July 1

Bank One, N.A., as Trustee, regarding the 5.25% Convertible Senior Subordinated Notes due 2009  $\,$ 

74

EXHIBIT

NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	INCORPORATIO
4.18	Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of March 15, 2001, among Fleming Companies, Inc., the Subsidiary Guarantors named therein, Deutsche Bank Alex. Brown Inc., Bear, Sterns and Co. Inc, Lehman Brothers Inc., JPMorgan Securities Inc. and UBS Warburg LLC	Exhibit 4.4 No. 333-6017
4.19	Indenture, dated as of October 15, 2001, among Fleming, the Subsidiary Guarantors named therein and Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company, as Trustee, regarding the 10 5/8% Series C Senior Subordinated Notes due 2007	Exhibit 4.20 ended Octobe
10.1*	Fleming Companies, Inc. Associate Stock Purchase Plan	Exhibit 10.2 ended Decemb
10.2*	Amendment to the Associate Stock Purchase Plan	Exhibit 10.7 quarter ende
10.3	Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan, as amended	Exhibit 28.1 No. 33-26648 Registration
10.4*	1990 Stock Option Plan	Exhibit 28.2 No. 33-36586
10.5*	Amendment No. One to 1990 Stock Option Plan	Exhibit 10.4 ended Decemb
10.6*	Form of Option Agreement for 1990 Stock Option Plan	Exhibit 10.2 ended Decemb
10.7*	Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement for 1990 Stock Option Plan	Exhibit 10.5 ended Decemb
10.8*	1990 Stock Incentive Plan (as amended)	Exhibit 10.4 ended Decemb
10.9*	Amendment to 1990 Stock Incentive Plan	Exhibit 10.4 ended April
10.10*	Phase III of the 1990 Stock Incentive Plan	Exhibit 10.8 ended Decemb
10.11*	Corporate Officer Incentive Plan	Exhibit 10.4 ended Decemb

PAGE NUMBER

75

DESCRIPTION

EXHIBIT

NUMBER

10.12*	Executive Deferred Compensation Plan (November 1997)	Exhibit 10.2 ended Decemb
10.13*	Amendment No. 1 to the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan	Exhibit 10.7 ended April
10.14*	Form of Agreement for Executive Deferred Compensation Plan (November 1997)	Exhibit 10.2 ended Decemb
10.15*	Form of Amended and Restated Agreement for the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan	Exhibit 10.3 ended Octobe
10.16*	Executive Deferred Compensation Trust (November 1997)	Exhibit 10.2 ended Decemb
10.17*	Executive Past Service Benefit Plan (November 1997)	Exhibit 10.2 ended Decemb
10.18*	Form of Agreement for Executive Past Service Benefit Plan (November 1997)	Exhibit 10.2 ended Decemb
10.19*	Form of Amended and Restated Agreement for the Executive Past Service Benefit Plan	Exhibit 10.3 ended Octobe
10.20*	Fleming Companies, Inc. 1996 Stock Incentive Plan	Exhibit A to ended Decemb
10.21*	Amendment No. 1 to the 1996 Stock Incentive Plan	Exhibit 10.9 ended Decemb
10.22*	Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement for 1996 Stock Incentive Plan (1997)	Exhibit 10.1 ended Decemb
10.23*	Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement for 1996 Stock Incentive Plan	Exhibit 10.8 ended July 1
10.24*	Form of First Amendment to Restricted Stock Award Agreement for the 1996 Stock Incentive Plan	Exhibit 10.3 ended Octobe
10.25*	Form of Amended and Restated Restricted Stock Award Agreement for the 1996 Stock Incentive Plan	Exhibit 10.3 ended Octobe
10.26*	Form of Amended and Restated Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement under the 1996 Stock Incentive Plan	Exhibit 10.3 ended Octobe

PAGE NUMBER

INCORPORATIO

EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NUMBER INCORPORATIO
10.27*	Supplemental Income Trust	Exhibit 10.1 ended Decemb
10.28*	First Amendment to the Supplemental Income Trust	Exhibit 10.1 ended Decemb
10.29*	Amended and Restated Supplemental Retirement Income Agreement for Robert E. Stauth	Exhibit 10.2 ended Decemb
10.30	Settlement Agreement between Fleming Companies, Inc. and Furr's Supermarkets, Inc. dated October 23, 1997	Exhibit 10.2 ended Octobe
10.31*	Form of Amendment to Employment Agreement between Registrant and certain executives dated as of March 2, 1999	Exhibit 10.4 ended Decemb
10.32*	Form of Amendment to Certain Employment Agreements	Exhibit 10.3 ended Octobe
10.33*	Form of Change of Control Employment Agreement between Registrant and certain of the employees	Exhibit 10.1 ended Decemb
10.34*	Form of Amended and Restated Severance Agreement between Fleming and certain of its officers	Exhibit 10.5 ended Decemb
10.35*	Fleming Companies, Inc. Amended and Restated Directors' Compensation and Stock Equivalent Unit Plan	Exhibit 10.4 ended Decemb
10.36*	1999 Stock Incentive Plan	Exhibit 10.3 ended Decemb
10.37*	Second Amendment to the 1999 Stock Incentive Plan	Exhibit 10.7 ended April
10.38*	Form of Non-Qualified Stock Incentive Agreement for 1999 Stock Incentive Plan	Exhibit 10.3 ended Decemb
10.39*	Form of Non-qualified Stock Incentive Agreement for 1999 Stock Incentive Plan - Corporate	Exhibit 10.5 ended Decemb
10.40*	Form of Non-qualified Stock Incentive Agreement for 1999 Stock Incentive Plan - Distribution	Exhibit 10.5 ended Decemb

EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NUMBER INCORPORATIO
10.41*	Form of Non-qualified Stock Incentive Agreement for 1999 Stock Incentive Plan - Retail	Exhibit 10.5 ended Decemb

10.42*	Form of Loan Agreement Pursuant to Executive Stock Ownership Program	Exhibit 10.5 ended July 1
10.43*	Letter Agreement for William H. Marquard dated as of May 26, 1999	Exhibit 10.5 ended April
10.44*	Employment Agreement for William H. Marquard dated as of June 1, 1999	Exhibit 10.5 ended July 1
10.45*	Restricted Stock Agreement for William H. Marquard dated as of June 1, 1999	Exhibit 10.5 ended July 1
10.46*	Restricted Stock Award Agreement for William H. Marquard dated as of December 21, 1999	Exhibit 10.5 ended Decemb
10.47*	Employment Agreement for Dennis C. Lucas dated as of July 28, 1999	Exhibit 10.5 ended July 1
10.48*	Restricted Stock Agreement for Dennis C. Lucas dated as of July 28, 1999	Exhibit 10.5 ended July 1
10.49*	Restricted Stock Agreement for E. Stephen Davis dated as of July 20, 1999	Exhibit 10.5 ended July 1
10.50*	Amendment to Restricted Stock Award Agreement for E. Stephen Davis dated as of February 29, 2000	Exhibit 10.6 quarter ende
10.51*	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement for Scott M. Northcutt effective as of January 26, 1999	Exhibit 10.6 ended Decemb
10.52*	Employment Agreement for Neal J. Rider dated as of January 18, 2000	Exhibit 10.6 quarter ende
10.53*	Restricted Stock Award Agreement for Neal J. Rider dated as of January 18, 2000	Exhibit 10.6 quarter ende
10.54*	Employment Agreement for Mark Hansen dated as of November 30, 1998	Exhibit 10.4 ended Decemb
10.55*	Restricted Stock Agreement under 1990 Stock Incentive Plan for Mark Hansen dated as of November 30, 1998	Exhibit 10.4 ended Decemb

78

10.58\*

EXHIBIT NUMBER 	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NUMBER INCORPORATIO
10.56*	Restricted Stock Award Agreement for Mark S. Hansen dated as of February 29, 2000	Exhibit 10.6 quarter ende
10.57*	Restricted Stock Award Agreement for David R. Almond dated dated as of February 29, 2000	Exhibit 10.6 quarter ende

Amendment to the Amended and Restated Restricted Award

Amendment to the Amended and Restated Restricted Award Exhibit 10.6 Agreement for David R. Almond dated as of February 29, 2000 quarter ended

10.59*	Amendment to Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement for David R. Almond dated as of February 29, 2000	Exhibit 10.6 quarter ende
10.60*	2000 Stock Incentive Plan for Fleming Companies, Inc.	Exhibit 10.7 quarter ende
10.61*	Form of Indemnification Agreement for Directors	Exhibit 10.7 quarter ende
10.62*	Form of Indemnification Agreement for Executive Officers	Exhibit 10.7 quarter ende
10.63*	Fleming Companies, Inc. Key Executive Retention Plan	Exhibit A to Statement da
10.64*	Fleming Companies, Inc. 2001 Corporate Officer Long-Term Incentive Plan	Exhibit 10.7 ended April
10.65*	Agreement dated as of February 2, 2001 by Fleming Companies, Inc. and Kmart Corporation	Exhibit 10.8 ended April
12	Statement setting forth the Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges	**
21	Subsidiaries of Fleming	**
23	Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP	**
24	Power of Attorney	**

Management contract, compensatory plan or arrangement.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Included in copies filed with the SEC. Available upon request.