

AMKOR TECHNOLOGY INC

Form 10-Q/A

June 06, 2005

**Table of Contents**

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549**

---

**FORM 10-Q/A**

---

**þ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the Quarterly Period Ended March 31, 2005**

**or**

**o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**Commission File Number 000-29472**

**AMKOR TECHNOLOGY, INC.**

**(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)**

Delaware  
**(State of incorporation)**

23-1722724  
**(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)**

**1900 South Price Road  
Chandler, AZ 85248  
(480) 821-5000**

**(Address of principal executive offices and zip code)**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required

to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes  No

The number of outstanding shares of the registrant's Common Stock as of June 1, 2005 was 176,714,357.

---

**EXPLANATORY NOTE**

We are filing this amendment on Form 10-Q/A to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q to restate our condensed consolidated financial statements for the three months ended March 31, 2005. In connection with finalizing the restatement of our previously filed financial statements for each of the three years in the period ended December 31,

2004, as included in our amended Annual Report on Form 10-K/A filed on June 6, 2005, we have revised the amount of unpaid capital expenditures utilized in preparing our condensed consolidated statement of cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2005 that was included in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on May 16, 2005. In conjunction with the restatement of our Annual Report, as described above, we restated our condensed consolidated financial statements included in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended March 31, June 30, and September 30 of 2004. We filed amendments on Form 10-Q/A to these Quarterly Reports on June 6, 2005.

No attempt has been made in this Form 10-Q/A to update other disclosures presented in the original report on Form 10-Q, except as required to reflect the effects of the restatement. This Form 10-Q/A does not reflect events occurring after the filing of the original Form 10-Q or modify or update those disclosures, including the exhibits to the Form 10-Q affected by subsequent events; however, this Form 10-Q/A includes as exhibits 31.1, 31.2 and 32 new certifications by our principal executive officer and principal financial officer as required by Rule 12b-15 promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Accordingly, this Form 10-Q/A should be read in conjunction with our filings made with the SEC subsequent to the filing of the original Form 10-Q, including any amendments to those filings. The following items have been amended as a result of the restatement:

Part I Item 1 Financial Statements

Part I Item 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Part I Item 4 Controls and Procedures

**QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q**  
**March 31, 2005**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page No.</b>
<b><u>PART I. Financial Information</u></b>	
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>	3
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	24
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	30
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	31
<b><u>PART II. Other Information</u></b>	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	32
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	36
<u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	36
<u>Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	36
<u>Item 5. Other Information</u>	36
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	37
<u>Signatures</u>	
<u>Exhibit 12.1</u>	
<u>Exhibit 31.1</u>	
<u>Exhibit 31.2</u>	
<u>Exhibit 32</u>	

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements**

**AMKOR TECHNOLOGY, INC.**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**  
(In thousands, except per share data)  
(unaudited)

	<b>For the Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
Net sales	\$ 417,481	\$ 464,646
Cost of sales	374,086	352,798
Gross profit	43,395	111,848
Operating expenses:		
Selling, general and administrative	58,492	52,178
Research and development	8,900	8,977
Amortization of intangibles	1,974	1,328
Provision for legal settlements and contingencies (Note 12)	50,000	1,500
Total operating expenses	119,366	63,983
Operating income (loss)	(75,971)	47,865
Other expense (income):		
Interest expense, net	40,513	33,290
Foreign currency exchange loss	2,232	75
Other expense (income), net	178	(923)
Debt retirement expense		2,720
Total other expense (income)	42,923	35,162
Income (loss) before income taxes and minority interest	(118,894)	12,703
Minority interest	1,011	(358)
Income (loss) before income taxes	(117,883)	12,345
Provision for income taxes	1,187	1,435
Net income (loss)	\$ (119,070)	\$ 10,910
Per share data:		
Basic and diluted net income (loss) per common share	\$ (0.68)	\$ 0.06

Shares used in computing basic income (loss) per common share	175,718	174,622
Shares used in computing diluted income (loss) per common share	175,718	180,202

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

**Table of Contents**

**AMKOR TECHNOLOGY, INC.**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**  
(In thousands)  
(unaudited)

	<b>March 31, 2005</b>	<b>December 31, 2004</b>
<b>Assets</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 286,760	\$ 372,284
Accounts receivable:		
Trade, net of allowance of \$5,115 in 2005 and \$5,074 in 2004	265,137	265,547
Other	5,912	3,948
Inventories, net (Note 3)	111,638	111,616
Other current assets	33,348	32,591
Total current assets	702,795	785,986
Property, plant and equipment, net (Note 4)	1,372,149	1,380,396
Goodwill (Note 5)	655,858	656,052
Intangibles, net (Note 5)	44,918	47,302
Investments (Note 6)	11,646	13,762
Other assets	78,971	81,870
Total assets	\$ 2,866,337	\$ 2,965,368
<b>Liabilities and Stockholders Equity</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Bank overdraft	\$	\$ 102
Short-term borrowings and current portion of long-term debt (Note 9)	42,245	52,147
Trade accounts payable	201,577	211,706
Accrued expenses (Note 7)	209,666	175,075
Total current liabilities	453,488	439,030
Long-term debt (Note 9)	2,042,411	2,040,813
Other non-current liabilities	117,663	109,317
Total liabilities	2,613,562	2,589,160
Commitments and contingencies (Note 12)		
Minority interest	5,807	6,679

Stockholders' equity:

Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, 10,000 shares authorized designated Series A,  
none issued

Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 500,000 shares authorized issued and  
outstanding of 175,718 in 2005 and 175,718 in 2004

Additional paid-in capital

Accumulated deficit

Accumulated other comprehensive income

Total stockholders' equity

Total liabilities and stockholders' equity

176	176
1,323,579	1,323,579
(1,088,142)	(969,072)
11,355	14,846
246,968	369,529
\$ 2,866,337	\$ 2,965,368

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

**Table of Contents**

**AMKOR TECHNOLOGY, INC.**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
(In thousands)  
(unaudited)

	<b>For the Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
	(restated)	
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income (loss)	\$ (119,070)	\$ 10,910
Depreciation and amortization	60,858	52,397
Other non-cash items	1,382	5,262
Changes in assets and liabilities excluding effects of acquisitions	50,388	28,769
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	(6,442)	97,338
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Payments for property, plant and equipment	(66,712)	(143,851)
Acquisition, net of cash acquired		(12,858)
Proceeds from the sale of property, plant and equipment	156	685
Proceeds from note receivable		18,627
Net cash used in investing activities	(66,556)	(137,397)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Net change in bank overdrafts	(102)	(2,155)
Borrowings under the revolving credit facility	55,603	65,646
Payments under the revolving credit facility	(63,813)	(71,041)
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt		252,159
Payments for debt issuance costs		(3,152)
Payments of long-term debt, including redemption premium payment in 2004	(3,504)	(171,551)
Proceeds from issuance of stock through stock compensation plans		1,846
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(11,816)	71,752
Effect of exchange rate fluctuations on cash and cash equivalents	(710)	544
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(85,524)	32,237
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	372,284	313,259
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 286,760	\$ 345,496



Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:

Cash paid during the period for:

Interest	\$ 40,170	\$ 27,519
Income taxes	\$ 2,733	\$ 11,781

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

**Table of Contents**

**AMKOR TECHNOLOGY, INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**1. Interim Financial Statements**

*Basis of Presentation.* The condensed consolidated financial statements and related disclosures as of March 31, 2005 and for the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004 are unaudited, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. In our opinion, these financial statements include all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) necessary for the fair statement of the results for the interim periods. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with our latest Annual Report as of December 31, 2004 filed on Form 10-K/A with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2005 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

*2005 restatement.* We are filing this amendment on Form 10-Q/A to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q to restate our condensed consolidated financial statements for the three months ended March 31, 2005. In connection with finalizing the restatement of our previously filed financial statements for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2004, as included in our amended Annual Report on Form 10-K/A filed on June 6, 2005, we have revised the amount of unpaid capital expenditures utilized in preparing our condensed consolidated statement of cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2005 that was included in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on May 16, 2005. In conjunction with the restatement of our Annual Report, as described above, we restated our condensed consolidated financial statements included in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended March 31, June 30, and September 30 of 2004. We filed amendments on Form 10-Q/A to these Quarterly Reports on June 6, 2005.

The following table sets forth the effects of the restatement on certain line items within our previously reported condensed consolidated statement of cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2005:

	<b>As Previously Reported</b>	<b>Adjustments (In thousands)</b>	<b>As Restated</b>
Changes in assets and liabilities excluding effects of acquisitions	\$ 48,962	\$ 1,426	\$ 50,388
Net cash used in operating activities	(7,868)	1,426	(6,442)
Payments for property, plant and equipment	(65,286)	(1,426)	(66,712)
Net cash used in investing activities	(65,130)	(1,426)	(66,556)

*Use of Estimates.* The condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ( U.S. ), using management's best estimates and judgments where appropriate. These estimates and judgments affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of the contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements. The estimates and judgments will also affect the reported amounts for certain revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ materially from these estimates and judgments.

*Income Taxes.* For the three months ended March 31, 2005, income tax expense was \$1.2 million, reflecting an effective tax rate of 1.0%. For the three months ended March 31, 2004, income tax expense was \$1.4 million, reflecting an effective tax rate of 11.3%. Our effective tax rates for the three months ended March 31, 2005 and March 31, 2004 differ significantly from the U.S. statutory tax rate of 35% primarily due to tax losses in the U.S. and certain foreign jurisdictions for which we can not take a benefit. The tax expense for the three months ended March 31, 2005 and March 31, 2004 related primarily to foreign withholding taxes and income taxes at our profitable foreign locations.

We operate in and file income tax returns in various U.S. and foreign jurisdictions which are subject to examination by tax authorities. For our larger operations, our tax returns have been examined through 1998 in the Philippines and the U.S., through 2000 in Taiwan, and through 2002 in Japan. The tax returns for open years in all jurisdictions in which we do business are subject to changes upon examination. During 2003, the Internal Revenue Service commenced an examination

**Table of Contents**

related to years 2000 and 2001. In February 2005, we verbally agreed to a settlement in principle with the IRS for these years. As a component of the settlement, we agreed to make certain income adjustments to our U.S. tax returns in the years 2000 through 2003 for local attribution of income resulting from significant inter-company transactions, including ownership and use of intellectual property, in various U.S. and foreign jurisdictions. These adjustments would effectively lower our U.S. net operating loss carry-forwards at December 31, 2004 by \$52.7 million. This settlement agreement is not final until review and approval by the Congressional Joint Committee on Taxation, the timing of which is uncertain. We believe that we have estimated and provided adequate accruals for the additional taxes and interest expense that will result from these adjustments. Our estimated tax liability is subject to change as examinations of specific tax years are completed in the respective jurisdictions. We believe that any additional taxes or related interest over the amounts accrued will not have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations, nor do we expect that examinations to be completed in the near term would have a material favorable impact. In addition, changes in the mix of income from our foreign subsidiaries, expiration of tax holidays and changes in tax laws or regulations could result in increased effective tax rates in the future.

*Recent Accounting Pronouncements.* In March 2004, the Emerging Issues Task Force ( EITF ) reached a consensus on Issue 03-01, *The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments*. EITF 03-01 provides guidance on other-than-temporary impairment models for marketable debt and equity securities accounted for under SFAS No. 115, *Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities*, and SFAS No. 124, *Accounting for Certain Investments Held by Not-for-Profit Organizations*, and non-marketable equity securities accounted for under the cost method. The EITF developed a basic three-step model to evaluate whether an investment is other-than temporarily impaired. On September 30, 2004, the FASB approved the issuance of the FASB Staff Position ( FSP ) EITF 03-01-1, which delays the effective date until additional guidance is issued for the application of the recognition and measurement provisions of EITF 03-01 to investments in securities that are impaired. We will apply the guidance in EITF 03-01 to our investments when it becomes effective. See Note 6 for the investments held by us which would be effected by EITF 03-01.

In December 2004, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ( SFAS ) No. 123R, *Share-Based Payment*. SFAS No. 123R is a revision of SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock Based Compensation* and supersedes APB 25. Among other items, SFAS No. 123R eliminates the use of APB 25 and the intrinsic value method of accounting and requires companies to recognize the cost of employee services received in exchange for awards of equity instruments, based on the grant date fair value of those awards, in the financial statements. On April 14, 2005, the Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ) amended the effective date of SFAS No. 123R to January 1, 2006, for calendar year companies. We intend to adopt this statement on the new effective date.

We currently utilize a standard option pricing model (Black-Scholes) to measure the fair value of stock options granted to employees. While SFAS No. 123R permits entities to continue to use such a model, the standard also permits the use of a lattice model. We have not yet determined which model we will use to measure the fair value of employee stock options upon the adoption of SFAS No. 123R.

SFAS No. 123R also requires the benefits associated with the tax deductions in excess of recognized compensation cost be reported as a financing cash flow, rather than as an operating cash flow as required under current literature. This requirement will reduce net operating cash flows and increase net financing cash flows in periods after the effective date. These future amounts cannot be estimated because they depend on when the employees exercise stock options, among other things.

We are currently reviewing the effect SFAS No. 123R will have on our financial statements; however, we believe the impact to our net income (loss) will be determined by the number of options that we grant in the future. In August 2004 we accelerated the vesting of all outstanding employee stock options, thereby eliminating charges to our future statements of operations related to these stock options. In addition to eliminating future compensation charges

upon the adoption of SFAS No. 123 R, we also undertook the acceleration to enhance employee morale and to help retain high-potential individuals in the face of a downturn in industry conditions.

*Stock Compensation.* We apply Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, and related Interpretations, to our stock option plans. No compensation expense has been recognized for our employee stock options that have been granted. If compensation costs for our stock option plans had been determined using the fair value method of accounting as set forth in SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, our reported net income (loss) and per share amounts would have been decreased (increased).

The following table illustrates the effect on net income (loss) and per share amounts if the fair value based method had been applied to all outstanding and unvested awards in each period.

**Table of Contents**

	<b>For the Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
	<b>(In thousands, except per share data)</b>	
Net income (loss):		
Net income (loss), as reported	\$ (119,070)	\$ 10,910
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation determined under fair value based method, net of related tax effects	(544)	(7,757)
Net income (loss), pro forma	\$ (119,614)	\$ 3,153
Earnings (loss) per share:		
Basic and diluted:		
As reported	\$ (0.68)	\$ 0.06
Pro forma	\$ (0.68)	\$ 0.02

In order to calculate the fair value of stock options at date of grant, we used the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The following assumptions were used to calculate weighted average fair values of the options granted:

	<b>For the Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
Expected life (in years)	4	4
Risk-free interest rate	3.8%	2.5%
Volatility	96%	56%
Dividend yield		

**2. Comprehensive Income (Loss)**

The following table summarizes comprehensive income (loss) for the periods presented:

	<b>For the Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
	<b>(In thousands)</b>	
Net income (loss)	\$ (119,070)	\$ 10,910
Unrealized gain (loss) on investments, net of tax	(2,108)	4,679
Foreign currency translation adjustment, net of tax	(1,383)	3,061
Total comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (122,561)	\$ 18,650

**3. Inventories**

Inventories consist of the following:

8

---

**Table of Contents**

	<b>March 31, 2005</b>	<b>December 31, 2004</b>
	<b>(In thousands)</b>	
Raw materials and purchased components	\$ 108,456	\$ 114,808
Work-in-process	25,333	21,150
Finished goods	1,497	960
	135,286	136,918
Inventory reserve	(23,648)	(25,302)
	\$ 111,638	\$ 111,616

**4. Property, Plant and Equipment**

Property, plant and equipment consist of the following:

	<b>March 31, 2005</b>	<b>December 31, 2004</b>
	<b>(In thousands)</b>	
Land	\$ 112,148	\$ 112,009
Land use rights	19,945	19,945
Buildings and improvements	641,974	633,528
Machinery and equipment	1,970,264	1,953,392
Furniture, fixtures and other equipment	172,512	165,446
Construction in progress	97,067	102,952
	3,013,910	2,987,272
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(1,641,761)	(1,606,876)
	\$ 1,372,149	\$ 1,380,396

The following table reconciles our activity related to property, plant and equipment payments as presented on the statement of cash flows to property, plant and equipment additions as reflected in the balance sheet:

	<b>For the Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
	<b>(In thousands)</b>	
Payments for property, plant and equipment	\$ 66,712	\$ 143,851
	(19,681)	26,987



Increase (decrease) in property, plant and equipment in accounts payable and accrued expenses

Property, plant and equipment additions	\$ 47,031	\$ 170,838
---	-----------	------------

## 5. Goodwill and Intangibles

Our goodwill balance relates to our packaging services reporting unit. The change in the carrying value of goodwill is as follows:

	<b>(In thousands)</b>
Balance as of December 31, 2004	\$ 656,052
Translation adjustments	(194)
Balance as of March 31, 2005	\$ 655,858

**Table of Contents**

Intangibles as of March 31, 2005 consist of the following:

	<b>Gross</b>	<b>Accumulated Amortization (In thousands)</b>	<b>Net</b>
Patents and technology rights	\$ 72,960	\$ (35,651)	\$ 37,309
Customer relationship and supply agreement	8,858	(1,249)	7,609
	<b>\$ 81,818</b>	<b>\$ (36,900)</b>	<b>\$ 44,918</b>

Intangibles as of December 31, 2004 consist of the following:

	<b>Gross</b>	<b>Accumulated Amortization (In thousands)</b>	<b>Net</b>
Patents and technology rights	\$ 72,973	\$ (33,595)	\$ 39,378
Customer relationship and supply agreement	8,858	(934)	7,924
	<b>\$ 81,831</b>	<b>\$ (34,529)</b>	<b>\$ 47,302</b>

Amortization expense was \$2.4 million and \$1.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Based on the amortizing assets recognized in our balance sheet at March 31, 2005, amortization expense for each of the next five fiscal years is estimated as follows:

	<b>(In thousands)</b>
2005 (remaining)	\$ 6,129
2006	8,186
2007	8,183
2008	8,183
2009	3,276

**6. Investments**

Investments consist of the following:

<b>March 31, 2005</b>	<b>December 31, 2004</b>
-------------------------------	----------------------------------

	<b>(In thousands)</b>	
Marketable securities classified as available for sale:		
ASI (ownership of 2% at March 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004)	\$ 10,841	\$ 12,940
Other marketable securities classified as available for sale	713	722
 Total marketable securities	 11,554	 13,662
Other investments	92	100
	 \$ 11,646	 \$ 13,762

## 7. Accrued Expenses

Accrued expenses consist of the following:

	<b>March 31, 2005</b>	<b>December 31, 2004</b>
	<b>(In thousands)</b>	
Accrued income taxes	\$ 35,014	\$ 35,387
Accrued payroll	26,810	25,648
Accrued interest	34,055	34,547
Accrued legal settlements (Note 12)	50,000	
Other accrued expenses	63,787	79,493
	 \$ 209,666	 \$ 175,075

**Table of Contents****8. Corporate Relocation Expenses**

During the third quarter of 2004, we commenced efforts to relocate certain corporate functions from our West Chester, Pennsylvania location to our Chandler, Arizona location. In connection with these efforts, we expect to pay \$1.2 million in severance and related costs. Of this \$1.2 million, we recorded a charge of \$0.9 million to selling, general and administrative expenses during 2004, and we charged the remaining \$0.3 million to selling, general and administrative expenses during the first quarter of 2005. For the three months ended March 31, 2005, we paid out \$0.8 million in severance benefits. As of March 31, 2005 we have \$0.4 million accrued in severance and related costs which we expect to be paid in the second quarter of 2005.

**9. Debt**

The major components of debt consist of the following:

	<b>March 31, 2005</b>	<b>December 31, 2004</b>
	<b>(In thousands)</b>	
Senior secured credit facilities:		
Term loan, LIBOR plus 4.5% due October 2010	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000
\$30.0 million revolving line of credit, LIBOR plus 3.5% due June 2007		
9.25% Senior notes due February 2008	470,500	470,500
7.75% Senior notes due May 2013	425,000	425,000
7.125% Senior notes due March 2011, net of unamortized discount of \$1.5 million	248,504	248,454
10.5% Senior subordinated notes due May 2009	200,000	200,000
5.75% Convertible subordinated notes due June 2006, convertible at \$35.00 per share	233,000	233,000
5% Convertible subordinated notes due March 2007, convertible at \$57.34 per share	146,422	146,422
Notes payable, net of unamortized discount of \$0.3 million	16,050	15,675
Other debt	45,180	53,909
	2,084,656	2,092,960
Less: Short-term borrowings and current portion of long-term debt	(42,245)	(52,147)
	<b>\$ 2,042,411</b>	<b>\$ 2,040,813</b>

We have a significant amount of indebtedness and expect this will continue for the foreseeable future. Our indebtedness requires us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to service debt and interest payments.

Our 5.75% Convertible subordinated notes ( Notes ) are due in June 2006. We are currently evaluating alternatives to meet this payment obligation.

*Senior Secured Credit Facilities*

On April 22, 2003, we entered into a new \$200.0 million senior secured credit facility consisting of a \$170.0 million term loan maturing January 31, 2006 (the 2006 Term Loan ) and a \$30.0 million revolving line of credit that was available through October 2005. The funds available under this new credit facility were used to repay a \$96.9 million term loan previously outstanding and for general corporate purposes. In March 2004, with the proceeds from our 7.125% senior notes (discussed further below), we satisfied in full the 2006 Term Loan, which carried a balance of \$168.7 million. In connection with the satisfaction of the 2006 Term Loan, we recorded charges during the first quarter of 2004 of \$1.7 million for the associated premiums paid and \$1.0 million for the associated unamortized deferred debt issuance costs.

In June 2004, we entered into a new \$30.0 million senior secured revolving credit facility (the Facility ). The Facility, which is available through June 2007, replaced our prior \$30.0 million secured revolving line of credit which was scheduled to mature on October 31, 2005. At March 31, 2005, there was \$29.7 million available under this Facility. As of March 31, 2005, we have outstanding \$0.3 million of standby letters of credit. Such standby letters of credit are used in our ordinary course of business and are collateralized by our cash balances.

**Table of Contents**

In October 2004, we entered into a new \$300.0 million term loan credit facility with a group of institutional lenders. The term loan bears interest at a rate of LIBOR plus 450 basis points and matures in October 2010. The net proceeds of \$288.8 million from the term loan were raised for working capital and general corporate purposes.

*Senior Notes*

In March 2004, we sold \$250.0 million of 7.125% senior notes due March 2011. The notes were priced at 99.321% of the \$250.0 million face value, yielding an effective interest rate of 7.25%. We sold these notes in a private placement and the notes were resold to qualified institutional investors. We used the net proceeds of the issuance to satisfy in full our outstanding term loan due 2006 of \$168.7 million and used the remainder of the proceeds for general corporate purposes, including working capital and capital expenditures. The notes have a coupon rate of 7.125% annually and interest payments are due semi-annually. In connection with the offering of these notes, we entered into a registration rights agreement with the purchasers. The registration rights agreement entitled the purchasers, within 210 days from the original issuance, to exchange their notes for registered notes with substantially identical terms as the original notes. We filed a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission for the exchange of the notes, and the exchange was completed in July 2004.

At March 31, 2005 we were in compliance with all debt covenants contained in our loan agreements and have met all debt payment obligations.

*Notes Payable and Other Debt*

At March 31, 2005 our notes payable balance primarily consists of a \$15.2 million note (net of a \$0.3 million unamortized debt discount) related to our Unitive acquisitions, of which \$15.5 million is due in the third quarter of 2005. At March 31, 2005 our other debt primarily relates to our foreign subsidiaries. The significant components of other debt include term debt and a revolving line of credit. One of our Japanese subsidiaries utilizes the revolving line of credit for working capital purposes and term debt for equipment financing. The revolving line of credit is due in the fourth quarter of 2005 and we expect to extend the agreement or refinance the amount owed. In addition, our Taiwanese subsidiaries have various amounts of term debt maturing between 2005 and 2010. These debt instruments do not include significant financial covenants.

**10. Pension and Severance Plans**

Our Philippine, Taiwan and Japanese subsidiaries sponsor defined benefit plans that cover substantially all of their respective employees who are not covered by statutory plans. Charges to expense are based upon costs computed by independent actuaries. The components of net periodic pension cost for these defined benefit plans are as follows:

	<b>For the Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
	<b>(In thousands)</b>	
Service cost	\$ 1,417	\$ 1,837
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	517	479
Expected return on plan assets	(309)	(303)
Amortization of transition obligation	36	28
Recognized loss	12	7

Total pension expense	\$ 1,673	\$ 2,048
-----------------------	----------	----------

For the three months ended March 31, 2005 \$0.3 million was contributed to fund the pension plans. We anticipate contributing an additional \$4.8 million in 2005 to fund the pension plans.

Our Korean subsidiary participates in an accrued severance plan that covers employees and directors with one year or more of service. Eligible plan participants are entitled to receive a lump-sum payment upon termination of their employment, based on their length of service and rate of pay at the time of termination. Accrued severance benefits are estimated assuming all eligible employees were to terminate their employment at the balance sheet date. The contributions to the national pension fund made under the National Pension Plan of the Republic of Korea are deducted from accrued severance benefit liabilities. For the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, the provision recorded for severance

**Table of Contents**

benefits was \$7.1 million and \$4.3 million, respectively. The balance recorded in long-term liabilities for accrued severance was \$99.5 million and \$92.0 million at March 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively.

**11. Earnings Per Share**

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 128, Earnings Per Share, requires dual presentation of basic and diluted earnings per share on the face of the income statement. Basic EPS is computed using only the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period, while diluted EPS is computed assuming conversion of all dilutive securities, such as options, convertible debt and warrants. For the three months ended March 31, 2005, we excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share 17.4 million and 9.2 million for outstanding options and convertible notes for common stock, respectively, potentially dilutive securities which would have an antidilutive effect on EPS due to our net loss for the period. For the three months ended March 31, 2004, potentially dilutive securities related to outstanding options, our convertible notes and warrants of 10.4 million, 9.2 million and 3.9 million, respectively, were antidilutive and therefore excluded from the diluted earnings per share calculation.

	<b>For the Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
	<b>(In thousands)</b>	
Weighted average common shares	175,718	174,622
Effect of dilutive stock options		5,580
Weighted average shares applicable to diluted earnings per share	175,718	180,202

**12. Indemnifications, Guarantees and Contingencies****Indemnifications and Guarantees**

We have indemnified members of our board of directors and our corporate officers against any threatened, pending or completed action or proceeding, whether civil, criminal, administrative or investigative by reason of the fact that the indemnitee is or was a director or officer of the company. These officers and directors are indemnified, to the fullest extent permitted by law, against related expenses, judgments, fines and any amounts paid in settlement. We also maintain Directors and Officers insurance coverage in order to mitigate our exposure to these indemnification obligations. The maximum amount of future payments is generally unlimited. Due to the nature of this indemnification, it is not possible to make a reasonable estimate of the maximum potential loss or range of loss. No assets are held as collateral and no specific recourse provisions exist related to this indemnification.

We generally provide a standard ninety-day warranty on our services. Our warranty activity has historically been immaterial and is expected to continue to be immaterial in the foreseeable future.

**Litigation**

We are currently a party to various legal proceedings, including those noted below. If an unfavorable ruling were to occur, there exists the possibility of a material adverse impact on our net results in the period in which the ruling



occurs. The estimate of the potential impact from the following legal proceedings on our financial position or overall results of operations could change in the future. Attorney fees related to legal matters are expensed as incurred.

*Epoxy Mold Compound Litigation*

We have become party to an increased number of litigation matters relative to our historic levels. Much of our recent increase in litigation relates to an allegedly defective epoxy mold compound, formerly used in some of our packaging services, which is alleged to be responsible for certain semiconductor chip failures. In the case of each of the pending matters, we believe we have meritorious defenses, as well as valid third-party claims against Sumitomo Bakelite Co., Ltd. ( Sumitomo Bakelite ), the manufacturer of the challenged epoxy product, should the epoxy mold compound be found to be defective. We cannot be certain, however, that we will be able to recover any amount from Sumitomo Bakelite if we are held liable in these matters, or that any adverse result would not have a material impact upon us. Moreover, other customers of

**Table of Contents**

ours have made inquiries about the epoxy mold compound, which was widely used in the semiconductor industry, and no assurance can be given that claims similar to those already asserted will not be made against us by other customers in the future.

*Fujitsu Limited v. Cirrus Logic, Inc., et al.*

On April 16, 2002, we were served with a third-party complaint in an action entitled Fujitsu Limited v. Cirrus Logic, Inc., in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, San Jose Division. Subsequently, substantially the same case was filed in the Superior Court of California, Santa Clara County, and the United States District Court case was stayed. In this action, Fujitsu Limited ( Fujitsu ) alleged that semiconductor devices it purchased from Cirrus Logic, Inc. ( Cirrus Logic ) were defective in that a certain epoxy mold compound manufactured by Sumitomo Bakelite and used by Amkor in the manufacture of the chip causes a short circuit which renders Fujitsu disk drive products inoperable. Cirrus Logic, in response, denied the allegations of the complaint, counterclaimed against Fujitsu for unpaid invoices, and filed its third-party complaint against us alleging that any liability for chip defects should be assigned to us because we assembled the subject semiconductor devices. We filed a cross-complaint against Sumitomo Bakelite asserting claims for breach of warranties and indemnification.

On April 18 and 19, 2005, we participated in a private mediation with all parties involved. As a result of the mediation, on April 28, 2005 an agreement was reached among Fujitsu, Cirrus Logic, Sumitomo Bakelite and ourselves to settle this litigation; the parties entered the agreement into the record in Superior Court. We have agreed to pay \$40 million to Fujitsu in consideration of a release from and dismissal of all claims related to this litigation. This amount is reflected as part of the provision for legal settlements and contingencies in our Statement of Operations for the three months ended March 31, 2005. The \$40 million is expected to be paid during the second quarter of fiscal 2005.

*Seagate Technology LLC v. Atmel Corporation, et al.*

In March 2003, we were served with a cross-complaint in an action between Seagate Technology LLC and Seagate Technology International ( Seagate ) and Atmel Corporation and Atmel Sarl ( Atmel ) in the Superior Court of California, Santa Clara County. Atmel s cross-complaint seeks indemnification from us for any damages incurred from the claims by Seagate involving the allegedly defective epoxy mold compound manufactured by Sumitomo Bakelite. We answered Atmel s cross-complaint, denying all liability, and filed a cross-complaint against Sumitomo Bakelite. Atmel later amended its cross-complaint to include claims for negligence and negligent misrepresentation against us and added ChipPAC Inc. ( ChipPAC ) and Sumitomo Bakelite as cross-defendants. ChipPAC filed a cross-complaint against Sumitomo Bakelite and us.

On April 14, 2005 an agreement was reached among Seagate, Atmel, ChipPAC, Sumitomo Bakelite and ourselves to settle this litigation. We have agreed to pay \$5 million to Seagate in consideration of a release from and dismissal of all claims related to this litigation. This amount is reflected as part of the provision for legal settlements and contingencies in our Statement of Operations for the three months ended March 31, 2005. The \$5 million is expected to be paid during the second quarter of fiscal 2005.

*Maxtor Corporation v. Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V., et al.*

In April 2003, we were served with a cross-complaint in an action between Maxtor Corporation ( Maxtor ) and Koninklijke Philips Electronics ( Philips ) in the Superior Court of California, Santa Clara County. Philips cross-complaint sought indemnification from us for any damages incurred from the claims by Maxtor involving the allegedly defective epoxy mold compound manufactured by Sumitomo Bakelite. Philips subsequently filed a cross-complaint directly against Sumitomo Bakelite, alleging, among other things, that Sumitomo Bakelite breached

its contractual obligations to both us and Philips by supplying a defective mold compound resulting in the failure of certain Philips semiconductor devices. We denied all liability in this matter and also asserted a cross-complaint against Sumitomo Bakelite. Sumitomo Bakelite denied any liability. Maxtor and Philips reached a settlement of Maxtor's claims against Philips on or about April 28, 2004 in which, reportedly, Philips agreed to pay Maxtor \$24.8 million. On October 15, 2004, we and Sumitomo Bakelite reached a settlement agreement whereby Sumitomo Bakelite agreed to indemnify us for any damages awarded to Philips in excess of \$3.5 million. In exchange, we dismissed our cross-claims against Sumitomo Bakelite. Trial of this matter before a jury began on October 18, 2004 and closing arguments were heard on November 29, 2004. On December 1, 2004, the Court and the jury rendered verdicts in our favor related to all of Philips' claims against us. By those verdicts, we were exonerated of all alleged liability. The jury's verdict further determined Sumitomo Bakelite's share of liability to be 57% and Philips' share to be 43%. Philips

**Table of Contents**

has agreed not to appeal the judgment in our favor in return for our agreement not to seek costs of suit from Philips. In January 2005, Sumitomo Bakelite appealed the judgment against it.

We recorded a charge of \$1.5 million related to the above matter during the three months ended March 31, 2004. However, in response to the December 1, 2004 verdict, we reversed this charge during the three months ended December 31, 2004.

*Pending Epoxy Mold Litigation*

While the ultimate outcome is uncertain, as a result of the previously discussed epoxy mold compound litigation settlements, we have established a \$5 million loss accrual related to the following two pending claims. This amount is reflected as part of the provision for legal settlements and contingencies in our Statement of Operations for the three months ended March 31, 2005.

*Maxim Integrated Products, Inc. v. Amkor Technology, Inc., et al.*

In August 2003, we were served with a complaint filed by Maxim Integrated Products, Inc. ( Maxim ) against us, Sumitomo Bakelite and Sumitomo Plastics America, Inc. ( Sumitomo Plastics ) in the Superior Court of California, Santa Clara County. The complaint seeks damages related to our use of Sumitomo Bakelite s epoxy mold compound in assembling Maxim s semiconductor packages. Written discovery is ongoing, with depositions and expert discovery to follow. The Court has set a trial date of April 24, 2006. We have denied all liability. We intend to defend ourselves vigorously, pursue our cross-claims against Sumitomo Bakelite and seek judgment in our favor.

*Fairchild Semiconductor Corporation v. Sumitomo Bakelite Singapore Pte. Ltd., et al.*

In September 2003, we were served with an amended complaint filed by Fairchild Semiconductor Corporation ( Fairchild ) against us, Sumitomo Bakelite, Sumitomo Plastics and Sumitomo Bakelite Singapore Pte. Ltd. in the Superior Court of California, Santa Clara County. The amended complaint seeks damages related to our use of Sumitomo Bakelite s epoxy mold compound in assembling Fairchild s semiconductor packages. Trial is currently scheduled to commence on November 7, 2005, and to last 15 court days. We have denied all liability. We intend to defend ourselves vigorously, pursue our cross-claims against Sumitomo Bakelite and seek judgment in our favor.

*Other Litigation*

*Amkor Technology, Inc. v. Motorola, Inc.*

In August 2002, we filed a complaint against Motorola, Inc. ( Motorola ) seeking declaratory judgment relating to a controversy between us and Motorola concerning: (i) the assignment by Citizen Watch Co., Ltd. ( Citizen ) to us of a Patent License Agreement dated January 25, 1996 between Motorola and Citizen (the License Agreement ) and concurrent assignment by Citizen to us of Citizen s interest in U.S. Patents 5,241,133 and 5,216,278 (the 133 and 278 patents ); and (ii) our obligation to make certain payments pursuant to an immunity agreement (the Immunity Agreement ) dated June 30, 1993 between us and Motorola, pending in the Superior Court of the State of Delaware in and for New Castle County.

We and Motorola resolved the controversy with respect to all issues relating to the Immunity Agreement, and all claims and counterclaims filed by the parties in the case relating to the Immunity Agreement were dismissed or otherwise disposed of without further litigation. The claims relating to the License Agreement and the 133 and 278 Patents remained pending.

We and Motorola both filed motions for summary judgment on the remaining claims, and oral arguments were heard in September 2003. On October 6, 2003, the Superior Court of Delaware ruled in favor of us and issued an Opinion and Order granting our motion for summary judgment and denying Motorola's motion for summary judgment. On October 22, 2003, Motorola filed an appeal in the Supreme Court of Delaware. In May 2004, the Supreme Court reversed the Superior Court's decision, and remanded for further development of the factual record. A trial date has been set for October 17, 2005. We believe we will prevail on the merits at the Superior Court level. In addition, should Motorola prevail, we believe we will have recourse against Citizen.

*Citizen Watch Co. Ltd. v. Amkor Technology, Inc.*

## Table of Contents

We entered into an intellectual property assignment agreement ( IPAA ) with Citizen Watch Co., Ltd. ( Citizen ) with an effective date of March 28, 2002, pursuant to which Citizen assigned to us (i) its rights under a Patent License Agreement dated January 25, 1996 between Motorola and Citizen (the License Agreement ) and (ii) Citizen s interest in the 133 and 278 patents. The parties entered into the IPAA in conjunction with having entered into a Master Purchase Agreement under which we purchased substantially all of the assets of a division of Citizen in April 2002. Subsequent to that transaction, Motorola challenged the validity of Citizen s assignment of its rights under the License Agreement to us, which resulted in our litigation with Motorola, Inc., which is described above (the Motorola case ). Pending resolution of the Motorola case, and in accordance with the terms of the IPAA, we are withholding final payment of 1.4 billion yen (\$13.0 million based on the spot exchange rate at March 31, 2005).

In March 2004, Citizen submitted a Demand for Arbitration in the International Chamber of Commerce ( ICC ), claiming breach of our obligation to make the deferred payment of 1.4 billion yen. In May 2004, we filed our Answer to Request for Arbitration, Counterclaim and Request for Abeyance of Proceedings. In our Answer, we contend, among other things, that we do not have an obligation to make the deferred payment due to (i) our inability to perfect the rights assigned by Citizen under the License Agreement, and (ii) Citizen s breach of its representations and warranties that it had all right and authority to assign its rights under the License Agreement to us.

The arbitration hearing before the ICC on this matter was held in May 2005. A ruling by the ICC is expected by July 31, 2005.

### *Alcatel Business Systems v. Amkor Technology, Inc., Anam Semiconductor, Inc.*

On November 5, 1999, we agreed to sell certain semiconductor parts to Alcatel Microelectronics, N.V. ( AME ), a subsidiary of Alcatel S.A. The parts were manufactured for us by Anam Semiconductor, Inc. ( ASI ) and delivered to AME. AME transferred the parts to another Alcatel subsidiary, Alcatel Business Systems ( ABS ), which incorporated the parts into cellular phone products. In early 2001, a dispute arose as to whether the parts sold by us were defective. On March 18, 2002, ABS and its insurer filed suit against us and ASI in the Paris Commercial Court of France, claiming damages of approximately 50.4 million Euros (approximately \$65.1 million based on the spot exchange rate at March 31, 2005). We have denied all liability and intend to vigorously defend ourselves and have not established a loss accrual associated with this claim. Additionally, we have entered into a written agreement with ASI whereby ASI has agreed to indemnify us fully against any and all loss related to the claims of AME, ABS and ABS insurer. The Paris Commercial Court commenced a special proceeding before a technical expert to report on the facts of the dispute. The report of the court-appointed expert was put forth on December 31, 2003. The report does not specifically allocate liability to any particular party. On May 18, 2004, the Paris Commercial Court of France declared that it did not have jurisdiction over the matter. The Court of Appeal of Paris heard the appeal regarding jurisdiction during October 2004, confirmed the first tier ruling and dismissed the appeal. A motion was recently filed by ABS and its insurer before the French Supreme Court to challenge the lack of jurisdiction ruling.

In response to the French lawsuit, on May 22, 2002, we filed a petition to compel arbitration in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania (the Court ) against ABS, AME and ABS insurer, claiming that the dispute is subject to the arbitration clause of the November 5, 1999 agreement between us and AME. ABS and ABS insurer have refused to arbitrate and continue to challenge the lack of jurisdiction ruling.

### *Amkor Technology, Inc. v. Carsem (M) Sdn Bhd, Carsem Semiconductor Sdn Bhd, and Carsem Inc.*

In November 2003, we filed complaints against Carsem (M) Sdn Bhd, Carsem Semiconductor Sdn Bhd, and Carsem Inc. (collectively Carsem ) with the International Trade Commission ( ITC ) in Washington, D.C. and subsequently in the Northern District of California. The complaints allege infringement of our United States Patent Nos. 6,433,277, 6,455,356, and 6,630,728 (collectively the Amkor Patents ). We allege that by making, using, selling,

offering for sale, or importing into the U.S. the Carsem Dual and Quad Flat No-Lead Package, Carsem has infringed on one or more of our *MicroLeadFrame*® packaging technology claims in the Amkor Patents. The District Court action had been stayed pending resolution of the ITC case. The ITC Administrative Law Judge conducted an evidentiary hearing during July and August of 2004 in Washington D.C. and issued an initial determination that Carsem infringed some of our patent claims relating to our *MicroLeadFrame*® package technology, that some of our 21 asserted patent claims are valid, and that all of our asserted patent claims are enforceable. However, the judge did not find a statutory violation of the Tariff Act. We filed a petition in November 2004 to have the judge's ruling reviewed by the full International Trade Commission. The Commission has ordered a new claims construction related to various disputed claim terms and has remanded the case to the Administrative

**Table of Contents**

Law Judge for further proceedings. The Commission has set a new date of November 21, 2005 for completion of the investigation. The District court action remains stayed pending completion of the ITC investigation.

**Other Matters**

In June 2004, the Securities and Exchange Commission informed us that it was conducting an informal inquiry into certain trading in Amkor securities. The focus of the inquiry appears to be activities during the first half of 2004. We have cooperated with the inquiry by voluntarily producing documents to the Commission and providing testimony. The Commission staff has not informed us of any conclusions of wrongdoing by any person or entity.

**13. Related Party Transactions**

Mr. JooHo Kim is an executive officer of Amkor and a brother of James J. Kim, our Chairman and CEO. Mr. JooHo Kim owns with his children 19.2%, at March 31, 2005, of Anam Information Technology, Inc., a company that provides computer hardware and software components to Amkor Technology Korea, Inc. (a subsidiary of Amkor). For the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, purchases from Anam Information Technology, Inc. were \$0.1 million and \$1.1 million, respectively. Amounts due to Anam Information Technology, Inc. at March 31, 2005, and December 31, 2004 were not significant.

Mr. JooHo Kim, together with his wife and children, own 96.1%, at March 31, 2005, of Jesung C&M, a company that provides cafeteria services to Amkor Technology Korea, Inc. For the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004 purchases from Jesung C&M were \$1.6 million and \$1.6 million, respectively. Amounts due to Jesung C&M at March 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004 were \$0.6 million and \$0.6 million, respectively.

Dongan Engineering Co., Ltd. is 100% owned by JooCheon Kim, a brother of James J. Kim. Mr. JooCheon Kim is not an employee of Amkor. Dongan Engineering Co., Ltd. provides construction and maintenance services to Amkor Technology Korea, Inc. and Amkor Technology Philippines, Inc., both subsidiaries of Amkor. For the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004 purchases from Dongan Engineering Co., Ltd were \$0.2 million and \$0.7 million, respectively. Amounts due to Dongan Engineering Co., Ltd. at March 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004 were \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively.

We purchase leadframe inventory from Acqutek Semiconductor & Technology Co., Ltd. James J. Kim is, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, ownership in Acqutek Semiconductor & Technology Co., Ltd. is approximately 17.7% at March 31, 2005. For the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004 purchases from Acqutek Semiconductor & Technology Co., Ltd. were \$2.9 million and \$3.5 million, respectively. Amounts due to Acqutek Semiconductor & Technology Co., Ltd. at March 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004 were \$0.5 million and \$0.6 million, respectively.

We lease office space in West Chester, Pennsylvania from trusts related to James J. Kim. The lease expires in 2006. Amounts paid for this lease for the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004 were \$0.3 million and \$0.3 million, respectively. For the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004 our sublease income includes \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million respectively, from related parties.



**Table of Contents****14. Subsidiary Guarantors**

Payment obligations under our senior and senior subordinated notes (see Note 9), totaling \$1,344 million, are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by certain of our wholly-owned subsidiaries. The subsidiaries that guarantee our senior and senior subordinated notes consist of Unitive, Inc., Unitive Electronics, Inc., Amkor International Holdings, LLC, Amkor Technology Limited, P-Four, LLC and Amkor/Anam Pilipinas, L.L.C.

Presented below is condensed consolidating financial information for the parent, the guarantor subsidiaries and the non-guarantor subsidiaries. Investments in subsidiaries are accounted for by the parent and subsidiaries on the equity method of accounting. Earnings of subsidiaries are, therefore, reflected in the parent's and guarantor subsidiaries' investments in subsidiaries' accounts. The elimination columns eliminate investments in subsidiaries and inter-company balances and transactions. Separate financial statements and other disclosures concerning the guarantor subsidiaries are not presented because the guarantor subsidiaries are wholly-owned and have unconditionally guaranteed the senior notes and senior subordinated notes on a joint and several basis. There are no significant restrictions on the ability of any guarantor subsidiary to directly or indirectly make distributions to us.

**Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet**  
**March 31, 2005**  
(In thousands)

	<b>Parent</b>	<b>Guarantor Subsidiaries</b>	<b>Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries</b>	<b>Eliminations</b>	<b>Consolidated</b>
<b>Current assets:</b>					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 198,599	\$ 21,650	\$ 66,511	\$	\$ 286,760
Accounts receivable	126,110	42,265	102,674		271,049
Inventories	75,846	7,902	27,890		111,638
Other current assets	2,866	1,695	28,787		33,348
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b>403,421</b>	<b>73,512</b>	<b>225,862</b>		<b>702,795</b>
Inter-company	1,217,442	(98,857)	(1,118,585)		
Property, plant and equipment, net	49,863	327,307	994,979		1,372,149
Investments	730,728	339,366	845,768	(1,904,216)	11,646
Goodwill and acquired intangibles, net	37,188	24,288	594,382		655,858
Other assets	80,764	6,808	36,317		123,889
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 2,519,406</b>	<b>\$ 672,424</b>	<b>\$ 1,578,723</b>	<b>\$ (1,904,216)</b>	<b>\$ 2,866,337</b>
<b>Current liabilities:</b>					
Short term borrowings and current portion of long-term debt	\$ 15,177	\$ 508	\$ 26,560	\$	\$ 42,245
Other current liabilities	232,079	36,119	143,045		411,243
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<b>247,256</b>	<b>36,627</b>	<b>169,605</b>		<b>453,488</b>
Long-term debt	2,024,300		18,111		2,042,411

Edgar Filing: AMKOR TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-Q/A

Other non-current liabilities	882	11,564	105,217		117,663
Total liabilities	2,272,438	48,191	292,933		2,613,562
Minority interest			5,807		5,807
Total stockholders equity	246,968	624,233	1,279,983	(1,904,216)	246,968
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 2,519,406	\$ 672,424	\$ 1,578,723	\$ (1,904,216)	\$ 2,866,337

**Table of Contents****14. Subsidiary Guarantors (continued)**

**Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet**  
**December 31, 2004**  
(In thousands)

	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Current assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 267,692	\$ 26,217	\$ 78,375	\$	\$ 372,284
Accounts receivable	125,927	30,835	112,733		269,495
Inventories	76,162	7,614	27,840		111,616
Other current assets	3,445	2,601	26,545		32,591
Total current assets	473,226	67,267	245,493		785,986
Inter-company	1,163,793	(88,206)	(1,075,587)		
Property, plant and equipment, net	51,912	336,438	992,046		1,380,396
Investments	776,393	355,828	860,960	(1,979,419)	13,762
Goodwill	37,188	24,280	594,584		656,052
Other assets	84,436	6,888	37,848		129,172
Total assets	\$ 2,586,948	\$ 702,495	\$ 1,655,344	\$ (1,979,419)	\$ 2,965,368
Current liabilities:					
Short term borrowings and current portion of long-term debt	\$ 14,965	\$ 965	\$ 36,217	\$	\$ 52,147
Other current liabilities	177,339	32,680	176,864		386,883
Total current liabilities	192,304	33,645	213,081		439,030
Long-term debt	2,024,244		16,569		2,040,813
Other non-current liabilities	871	10,307	98,139		109,317
Total liabilities	2,217,419	43,952	327,789		2,589,160
Minority interest			6,679		6,679
Total stockholders equity	369,529	658,543	1,320,876	(1,979,419)	369,529
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 2,586,948	\$ 702,495	\$ 1,655,344	\$ (1,979,419)	\$ 2,965,368

**Table of Contents****14. Subsidiary Guarantors (continued)**

**Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations**  
**For the three months ended March 31, 2005**

	(In thousands)				
	<b>Parent</b>	<b>Guarantor Subsidiaries</b>	<b>Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries</b>	<b>Eliminations</b>	<b>Consolidated</b>
Net sales	\$ 280,912	\$ 111,445	\$ 229,021	\$ (203,897)	\$ 417,481
Cost of sales	249,396	113,323	212,472	(201,105)	374,086
Gross profit (loss)	31,516	(1,878)	16,549	(2,792)	43,395
Operating expenses:					
Selling, general and administrative	30,184	11,581	19,519	(2,792)	58,492
Research and development	1,068	1,869	5,963		8,900
Amortization of acquired intangibles	777	118	1,079		1,974
Provision for legal settlements and contingencies	50,000				50,000
Total operating expenses	82,029	13,568	26,561	(2,792)	119,366
Operating income (loss)	(50,513)	(15,446)	(10,012)		(75,971)
Other expense (income):					
Interest expense, net	24,543	1,019	14,951		40,513
Foreign currency loss (gain)	650	820	762		2,232
Equity investment losses (income)	42,178	16,996	15,146	(74,326)	(6)
Other expense (income), net	877	665	(1,358)		184
Total other expense (income)	68,248	19,500	29,501	(74,326)	42,923
Income (loss) before income taxes and minority interest	(118,761)	(34,946)	(39,513)	74,326	(118,894)
Minority interest			1,011		1,011
Income (loss) before income taxes	(118,761)	(34,946)	(38,502)	74,326	(117,883)
Provision for income taxes (benefit)	309	(26)	904		1,187
Net income (loss)	\$ (119,070)	\$ (34,920)	\$ (39,406)	\$ 74,326	\$ (119,070)

**Table of Contents****14. Subsidiary Guarantors (continued)**

**Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations**  
**For the three months ended March 31, 2004**  
(In thousands)

	<b>Parent</b>	<b>Guarantor Subsidiaries</b>	<b>Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries</b>	<b>Eliminations</b>	<b>Consolidated</b>
Net sales	\$ 300,119	\$ 151,847	\$ 260,419	\$ (247,739)	\$ 464,646
Cost of sales	286,103	121,401	189,680	(244,386)	352,798
Gross profit (loss)	14,016	30,446	70,739	(3,353)	111,848
Operating expenses:					
Selling, general and administrative	32,150	6,831	16,550	(3,353)	52,178
Research and development	2,630	1,315	5,032		8,977
Amortization of acquired intangibles	761		567		1,328
Provision for legal settlements and contingencies	1,500				1,500
Total operating expenses	37,041	8,146	22,149	(3,353)	63,983
Operating income (loss)	(23,025)	22,300	48,590		47,865
Other expense (income):					
Interest expense, net	19,802	515	12,973		33,290
Foreign currency loss (gain)	(1,003)	(211)	1,289		75
Equity investment losses (income)	(55,129)	(28,368)	(20,469)	103,966	
Other expense (income), net	2,313	144	(660)		1,797
Total other expense (income)	(34,017)	(27,920)	(6,867)	103,966	35,162
Income (loss) before income taxes and minority interest	10,992	50,220	55,457	(103,966)	12,703
Minority interest			(358)		(358)
Income (loss) before income taxes	10,992	50,220	55,099	(103,966)	12,345
Provision for income taxes (benefit)	82	1,365	(12)		1,435
Net income (loss)	\$ 10,910	\$ 48,855	\$ 55,111	\$ (103,966)	\$ 10,910

**Table of Contents****14. Subsidiary Guarantors (continued)**

**Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows (restated)**  
**For the three months ended March 31, 2005**  
**(In thousands)**

	<b>Parent</b>	<b>Guarantor Subsidiaries</b>	<b>Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries</b>	<b>Eliminations</b>	<b>Consolidated</b>
Net cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ (26,435)	\$ 1,671	\$ 18,322	\$	\$ (6,442)
Cash flows from investing activities:					
Payments for plant, property and equipment	(2,503)	(7,762)	(56,447)		(66,712)
Other investing activities	(40,053)	480	(293)	40,022	156
Net cash used in investing activities	(42,556)	(7,282)	(56,740)	40,022	(66,556)
Cash flows from financing activities:					
Net change in bank overdrafts and revolving credit facility	(102)		(8,210)		(8,312)
Payments of long-term debt		(456)	(3,048)		(3,504)
Other financing activities		1,500	38,522	(40,022)	
Net cash from (used in) financing activities	(102)	1,044	27,264	(40,022)	(11,816)
Effect of exchange rate fluctuations on cash and cash equivalents			(710)		(710)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(69,093)	(4,567)	(11,864)		(85,524)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	267,692	26,217	78,375		372,284
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 198,599	\$ 21,650	\$ 66,511	\$	\$ 286,760

**Table of Contents****14. Subsidiary Guarantors (continued)**

**Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows**  
**For the three months ended March 31, 2004**  
(In thousands)

	<b>Parent</b>	<b>Guarantor Subsidiaries</b>	<b>Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries</b>	<b>Eliminations</b>	<b>Consolidated</b>
Net cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ (48,104)	\$ 54,671	\$ 90,771	\$	\$ 97,338
Cash flows from investing activities:					
Payments for plant, property and equipment	(6,027)	(31,287)	(106,537)		(143,851)
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(12,858)				(12,858)
Proceeds from note receivable			18,627		18,627
Other investing activities	(34,644)	(1,054)	1,723	34,660	685
Net cash used in investing activities	(53,529)	(32,341)	(86,187)	34,660	(137,397)
Cash flows from financing activities:					
Net change in bank overdraft and revolving credit facility	(2,155)		(5,395)		(7,550)
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	248,315		3,844		252,159
Payments for debt issuance costs	(3,152)				(3,152)
Payments of long-term debt, including redemption premium payment	(170,429)		(1,122)		(171,551)
Net proceeds from issuance of common stock	1,846				1,846
Other financing activities			34,660	(34,660)	
Net cash provided by financing activities	74,425		31,987	(34,660)	71,752
Effects of exchange rate fluctuations on cash and cash equivalents			544		544
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(27,208)	22,330	37,115		32,237
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	203,840	26,190	83,229		313,259
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 176,632	\$ 48,520	\$ 120,344	\$	\$ 345,496

**Table of Contents**

**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS  
OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following discussion contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws, including but not limited to statements regarding: (1) the condition and growth of the industry in which we operate, including trends toward increased outsourcing, reductions in inventory and demand and selling prices for our services, (2) our anticipated capital expenditures and financing needs, (3) our belief as to our future capacity utilization rates, revenue, gross margins and operating performance and (4) other statements that are not historical facts. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as may, will, should, expects, plans, anticipa believes, estimates, predicts, potential, continue, or the negative of these terms or other comparable terminology. Because such statements include risks and uncertainties, actual results may differ materially from those anticipated in such forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors, including those set forth in the following discussion as well as in Risk Factors that May Affect Future Operating Performance. The following discussion provides information and analysis of our results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2005 and our liquidity and capital resources. You should read the following discussion in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the related notes, included elsewhere in this quarterly report as well as other reports we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

**2005 Restatement**

We are filing this amendment on Form 10-Q/A to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q to restate our condensed consolidated financial statements for the three months ended March 31, 2005. In connection with finalizing the restatement of our previously filed financial statements for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2004, as included in our amended Annual Report on Form 10-K/A filed on June 6, 2005, we have revised the amount of unpaid capital expenditures utilized in preparing our condensed consolidated statement of cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2005 that was included in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on May 16, 2005. In conjunction with the restatement of our Annual Report, as described above, we restated our condensed consolidated financial statements included in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended March 31, June 30, and September 30 of 2004. We filed amendments on Form 10-Q/A to these Quarterly Reports on June 6, 2005.

See Note 1 to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included within Part I, Item 1 of this report.

**Company Overview**

Amkor is one of the world's largest subcontractors of semiconductor packaging and test services. The company has built a leading position by:

Providing a broad portfolio of packaging and test technologies and services;

Maintaining a leading role in the design and development of new package and test technologies;

Cultivating long-standing relationships with customers, including many of the world's leading semiconductor companies;

Developing expertise in high-volume manufacturing; and



Diversifying our operational scope by establishing production capabilities in China, Japan, Taiwan and Singapore, in addition to long-standing capabilities in Korea and the Philippines.

The semiconductors that we package and test for our customers ultimately become components in electronic systems used in communications, computing, consumer, industrial, automotive and military applications. Our customers include, among others, Agilent Technologies, Atmel Corporation, Conexant Systems, Inc., Infineon Technologies AG, Intel Corporation, Philips Electronics N.V., Samsung Electronics Corporation LTD, ST Microelectronics PTE, Texas Instruments Inc. and Toshiba Corporation. The outsourced semiconductor packaging and test market is very competitive. We also compete with the internal semiconductor packaging and test capabilities of many of our customers, some of whom can use us as a source of overflow capacity.

## **Table of Contents**

Packaging and test are an integral part of the semiconductor manufacturing process. Semiconductor manufacturing begins with silicon wafers and involves the fabrication of electronic circuitry into complex patterns, thus creating individual chips on the wafers. The packaging process creates an electrical interconnect between the semiconductor chip and the system board. In packaging, the fabricated semiconductor wafers are cut into individual chips which are then attached to a substrate and encased in a protective material to provide optimal electrical and thermal performance. Increasingly, packages are custom designed for specific chips and specific end-market applications. The packaged chips are then tested using sophisticated equipment to ensure that each packaged chip meets its design specifications.

## **Risk Factors That May Affect Future Operating Performance**

Our future results of operations involve a number of risks and uncertainties. Factors that could affect future results and cause actual results to vary materially from historical results include, but are not limited to, dependence on the highly cyclical nature of the semiconductor industry, fluctuation in operating results, the decline in average selling prices, our high leverage and the restrictive covenants contained in the agreements governing our indebtedness, the absence of significant backlog in our business, our dependence on international operations and sales, difficulties integrating acquisitions, our dependence on materials and equipment suppliers, capital expenditure requirements, the increased litigation incident to our business, rapid technological change, competition, our need to comply with existing and future environmental regulations, the enforcement of intellectual property rights by or against us and continued control by existing stockholders.

Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us, or that we currently deem immaterial, may also impair our business operations. We cannot assure you that any of the events contemplated by the risks above will not occur. If they do, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected. You should refer to Risk Factors That May Affect Future Operating Performance in our 2004 Annual Report on Form 10-K for a more detailed discussion of known material risks facing our company.

## **Our Expectations Regarding Future Business Conditions**

Our business is tied to market conditions in the semiconductor industry, which is highly cyclical. In considering industry growth estimates, we look at a group of nine leading industry analysts. These analysts have forecasted 2005 industry growth to range from negative 6% to positive 9%, with a median growth rate of 0%. These analysts have also forecasted 2006 industry growth to range from negative 9% to positive 16%, with a median growth rate of 5%. The strength of the semiconductor industry is dependent primarily upon the strength of the computer and communications systems markets as well as the strength of the worldwide economy.

In addition to the historical trend in the semiconductor industry as a whole, the trend towards increased outsourcing of packaging and test services in the semiconductor industry has been a primary factor for our historical annual growth in revenues. We expect this trend to continue into the foreseeable future as we believe technological advances are driving our customers to outsource more of their packaging requirements.

We currently expect sales for the second quarter of 2005 to be approximately 10% to 13% higher than sales for the first quarter of 2005 based on rising customer forecasts for a broad range of existing package products and also for turnkey flipchip and wafer level packaging programs that have resulted from our Unitive acquisition and IBM collaboration. We expect second quarter of 2005 gross margin in the range of 12% to 14% and net loss in the range of 28 to 32 cents per share. Profitability remains constrained by the combined effects of continued pricing pressure and a significant ramp in capital and factory resources being deployed to support third and fourth quarter business expansion in 2005. We are budgeting second quarter capital additions of approximately \$145 million, primarily in key growth areas associated with the strategic initiatives we put in place in 2004.

Our profitability is dependent upon the utilization of our capacity, product mix and the average selling price of our services. Because a substantial portion of our costs at our factories is fixed, relatively minor increases or decreases in capacity utilization rates can have a significant effect on our profitability. Prices for packaging and test services have declined over time. Historically, we have been able to partially offset the effect of price declines by successfully developing and marketing new packages, by negotiating lower prices with our material vendors, and by driving engineering and technological changes in our packaging and test processes which resulted in reduced manufacturing costs. We expect that average selling prices for our packaging and test services will continue to decline in the future. If our semiconductor package mix does not shift to new technologies with higher prices or we cannot reduce the cost of our packaging and test services to

**Table of Contents**

offset a decline in average selling prices, our future operating results will suffer. Supply shortages for critical components may occur in the future and in such an event, component prices could increase. If we continue to absorb component price increases, gross margin could be negatively impacted. In addition, the average price of gold has been increasing over the past few years. Although we have been able to partially offset the effect of gold price increases through price adjustments to customers and changes in our product designs, gold prices may continue to increase. To the extent that we are unable to offset these increases in the future, our gross margins could be negatively impacted.

**Results of Operations****Overview**

The first quarter is generally a weak quarter for us due to a seasonal decline in orders from electronic and telecommunications customers following the holiday season and a shorter manufacturing calendar because of traditional holidays in several Asian countries where we have factories. Sales for the first quarter of 2005 were down 8% sequentially, as expected. Sales were also down 10% from the first quarter of 2004. First quarter results for 2004 were unusually high and first quarter 2005 is more in line with our seasonal expectations. The 2005 first quarter gross margin was lower than the first quarter of 2004 due to the impact of an increased fixed cost structure attributable to our 2004 capacity expansion and growth initiatives, seasonally lower revenues and erosion of average selling prices for our products. We will continue to see the effects of the increased cost structure on our margins until we realize the revenue growth we anticipate from these investments.

Our first quarter results were significantly impacted by \$50 million in charges related to the settlements of two mold compound litigation cases and the establishment of a loss provision for the remaining two cases. As part of a broader settlement agreement reached among Fujitsu, Cirrus Logic Inc. and Sumitomo Bakelite Co., we agreed to pay Fujitsu \$40 million in consideration of a release of all claims. In addition, as part of a broader settlement reached among all parties in the Seagate case, and in consideration of a release of all claims, we agreed to pay Seagate \$5 million. We have accrued an additional \$5 million loss contingency in connection with the two remaining epoxy mold litigation cases. While the remaining cases are in the early stages of discovery, we believe these cases involve substantially smaller damage claims than the Fujitsu case. We will not realize any tax benefit from these charges as we currently establish a full valuation allowance for our net operating loss carry-forwards.

The following table sets forth certain continuing operating data as a percentage of net revenues for the periods indicated:

	<b>For the Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
Net sales	100.0%	100.0%
Gross profit	10.4	24.1
Operating income (loss)	(18.2)	10.3
Income (loss) before income taxes and minority interest	(28.5)	2.7
Net income (loss)	(28.5)	2.3

**Three Months Ended March 31, 2005 Compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2004**

*Net Sales* Packaging and test net sales decreased \$47.2 million, or 10.2% to \$417.5 million in the three months ended March 31, 2005 from \$464.6 million in the three months ended March 31, 2004. This decrease in net sales was

principally attributed to a decrease in overall unit volume (6.7%) and assembly price erosion (4.9%). The decrease in unit volume was driven by a 14.5% decrease in leadframe and a 7.4% decrease in laminate packages. Average selling prices in the three months ended March 31, 2005 declined approximately 4.9% as compared to average selling prices in the three months ended March 31, 2004. The decrease in sales is partially offset by the 5% increase in product line mix.

*Gross Profit.* Gross profit decreased \$68.5 million, or 61.2% to a gross profit of \$43.4 million in the three months ended March 31, 2005 from \$111.8 million in the three months ended March 31, 2004. Our cost of revenues principally consists of materials, labor and manufacturing overhead.

Gross margin decreased to 10.4% in the three months ended March 31, 2005 from 24.1% in the three months ended

**Table of Contents**

March 31, 2004. The decrease in margin is principally a result of the following:

Decrease of average selling price erosion across our product lines decreased gross margin by approximately 4.4 percentage points.

Decreased unit volumes contributed approximately 6.7 percentage points to the decrease in gross margin.

Increased labor, depreciation, and other costs of goods sold costs contributed approximately 6.4 percentage points to the decrease in gross margin.

The unfavorable impacts on gross margin were partially offset by the following:

Material cost savings contributed approximately 0.4 percentage points to the increase in gross margin.

Product mix increased gross margin by approximately 2.3 percentage points.

Test sales of \$5.4 million increase gross margins by 1.1 percentage points.

*Selling, General and Administrative Expenses* Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$6.3 million, or 12.1%, to \$58.5 million or 14.0% of net sales, in the three months ended March 31, 2005 from \$52.2 million, or 11.2% of net sales, in the three months ended March 31, 2004. Approximately \$3.0 million of the increase in selling, general and administrative expenses is the result of the acquisitions made in the second half of 2004. The remaining \$3.3 million increase is due to additional headcount, compensation costs and general business activity to support our overall business growth development in the amount of \$6.2 million, partially offset by a decrease in legal costs related to the mold compound litigation. Legal costs associated with the mold compound litigation were \$6.1 million for the first quarter of 2004 compared to \$3.2 million for the first quarter of 2005.

*Research and Development* Research and development expenses decreased \$0.1 million to \$8.9 million, or 2.1% of net sales, in the three months ended March 31, 2005 from \$9.0 million, or 1.9% of net sales, in the three months ended March 31, 2004. Our research and development efforts support our customers' needs for smaller packages and increased functionality. We continue to invest our research and development resources to further the development of flipchip interconnection solutions, chip scale packages that are nearly the size of the semiconductor die, MEMS devices used in a variety of end markets including automotive, industrial and personal entertainment, our stacked chip packages that stack as many as three semiconductor dies in a single package, and System-in-Package technology, that uses both advanced packaging and traditional surface mount techniques to enable the combination of technologies in a single chip.

*Provision for Legal Settlements and Contingencies* For the three months ended March 31, 2005 we recorded a \$50.0 million provision for legal settlements and contingencies related to the mold compound litigation, as discussed in the Overview above. For the three months ended March 31, 2004, we reached legal resolution with a customer regarding their claims against us related to mold compound and recorded a provision of \$1.5 million associated with this settlement (see Part II, Item 1. Legal Proceedings for further discussion).

*Other Expense* Other expense increased \$7.7 million, or 22.1%, to \$42.9 million in the three months ended March 31, 2005 from \$35.2 million in the three months ended March 31, 2004. The net increase was primarily the result of an increase in interest expense of \$7.2 million related to incurring additional debt in 2004 to finance our acquisitions. Foreign currency exchange loss increased \$2.1 million due to the weakening of the US dollar against the various Asian currencies. In addition, for the three months ended March 31, 2004, other expense included \$2.7 million of debt retirement expense associated with our refinancing in the first quarter of 2004.

*Income Taxes* For the three months ended March 31, 2005, we recorded income tax expense of \$1.2 million reflecting an effective tax rate of 1.0%, as compared to an income tax expense of \$1.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004, reflecting an effective tax rate of 11.3%. The tax expense for the three months ended March 31, 2005 and March 31, 2004 related to foreign withholding taxes and income taxes generated in our profitable foreign tax jurisdictions. During 2005, we continue to record a valuation allowances for substantially all of our deferred tax assets generated, including tax losses in the U.S. and certain foreign jurisdictions for which we do not anticipate a benefit. We will resume the recognition of deferred tax assets when we return to sustained profitability in our various tax jurisdictions. As of March 31, 2005, we had U.S. net

## **Table of Contents**

operating loss carry-forwards totaling \$330 million expiring through 2025. Additionally, as of March 31, 2005, we had \$66 million of non-U.S. net operating losses available for carry-forward, expiring through 2010.

The tax returns for open years in all jurisdictions in which we do business are subject to changes upon examination. During 2003, the Internal Revenue Service commenced an examination related to years 2000 and 2001. In February 2005, we verbally agreed to a settlement in principle with the IRS for these years. As a component of the settlement, we agreed to make certain income adjustments to our U.S. tax returns in the years 2000 through 2003 for local attribution of income resulting from significant inter-company transactions, including ownership and use of intellectual property, in various U.S. and foreign jurisdictions. These adjustments would effectively lower our U.S. net operating loss carry-forwards at December 31, 2004 by \$52.7 million. This settlement agreement is not final until review and approval by the Congressional Joint Committee on Taxation, the timing of which is uncertain. We believe that we have estimated and provided adequate accruals for the additional taxes and interest expense that will result from these adjustments. Our estimated tax liability is subject to change as examinations of specific tax years are completed in the respective jurisdictions. We believe that any additional taxes or related interest over the amounts accrued will not have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations, nor do we expect that examinations to be completed in the near term would have a material favorable impact. In addition, changes in the mix of income from our foreign subsidiaries, expiration of tax holidays and changes in tax laws or regulations could result in increased effective tax rates in the future.

## **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Our ongoing primary cash needs are for debt service, equipment purchases and working capital. Our cash and cash equivalents balance as of March 31, 2005 was \$286.8 million, and we had \$29.7 million available under our \$30.0 million senior secured credit facility. The amount available under our senior secured credit facility at March 31, 2005 was reduced by \$0.3 million related to outstanding letters of credit. Cash flow from operations for the first quarter of 2005 was negative due to generating inadequate gross profit to cover operating expenses, as described in the Results from Operations above. In addition, we are obligated to payout \$45.0 million in legal settlements in the second quarter of 2005. Even with the negative impact of these items, we believe that our existing cash balances, available credit lines, future cash flow from operations and available equipment lease financing will be sufficient to meet our debt service obligations, working capital requirements and litigation and settlement expenses for at least the next twelve months. However, during fiscal 2006 we will be required to make \$238.9 million in principal payments primarily due to the maturity of our 5.75% Convertible Subordinated Notes in the amount of \$233.0 million. These convertible notes will be classified as a current liability in our financial statements at the end of the second quarter of 2005. We are currently evaluating our alternatives to meet the principal payment obligation.

We cannot assure you that additional financing will be available when we need it or, if available, that it will be available on satisfactory terms. In addition, the terms of the senior notes and senior subordinated notes significantly reduce our ability to incur additional debt. Failure to obtain any such required additional financing could have a material adverse effect on us. In May 2005 our liquidity and debt ratings were lowered reflecting heightened liquidity concerns and weak operating results.

We are currently budgeting second quarter capital additions of approximately \$145 million and project 2005 capital additions in the range of \$250 million and \$300 million. Ultimately, the amount of our 2005 capital additions will depend on several factors including, among others, the performance of our business, the need for additional capacity to service anticipated customer demand and the availability of suitable financing.

## **Cash Flows**



Net cash provided by (used in) operating, investing and financing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004 were as follows:

	<b>For the Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
	<b>(In thousands)</b>	
Operating activities	\$ (6,442)	\$ 97,338
Investing activities	(66,556)	(137,397)
Financing activities	(11,816)	71,752

**Table of Contents**

*Cash flows from operating activities* Our cash flows from operating activities for the three months ended March 31, 2005 decreased \$103.8 million to net cash used of (\$6.4) million over the comparable prior year period. Our cash flows from operating activities decreased as a result of a decrease in net income of \$130.0 million, offset by a decrease in our working capital and an increase in depreciation expense of \$8.5 million over the comparable prior year period. Our working capital decreased primarily due to the accrual for legal settlements and contingencies of \$50.0 million offset by a decrease in accounts payable of \$10.1 million.

*Cash flows from investing activities* Our cash flows used in investing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2005 decreased by \$70.8 million over the comparable prior year period, to \$66.6 million, primarily due to a \$77.1 million decrease in payments for property, plant and equipment from \$143.9 million in the three months ended March 31, 2004 to \$66.7 million in the three months ended March 31, 2005. During the three months ended March 31, 2004 payments for property, plant and equipment were significantly higher as we added production capacity and a new building in Taiwan in order to achieve an increase in sales. In addition, we paid \$12.9 million during the three months ended March 31, 2004 to acquire the minority interest ownership in Amkor Iwate, bringing our ownership to 100%. These cash outflows were offset by cash proceeds from the collection of \$18.6 million of notes receivable from Dongbu during the three months ended March 31, 2004.

*Cash flows from financing activities* Our net cash used in financing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2005 was \$11.8 million, as compared to \$71.8 million of cash provided by financing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2004. The decrease in cash flows from financing activities during the three months ended March 31, 2004 primarily related to our March 2004 issuance of \$250.0 million of senior notes due 2011. The proceeds to us were \$245.2 million, net of related discounts and debt issuance costs and were used to repay the balance outstanding under our senior secured term loan of \$168.7 million. During the three months ended March 31, 2005 we repaid \$11.7 million, net of borrowings related to our short and long-term debt obligations.

We provide the following supplemental data to assist our investors and analysts in understanding our liquidity and capital resources. Free cash flow represents net cash provided by (used in) operating activities less investing activities related to the acquisition of property, plant and equipment. Free cash flow is not defined by generally accepted accounting principles ( GAAP ), and our definition of free cash flow may not be comparable to similar companies. We believe free cash flow provides our investors and analyst s useful information to analyze our liquidity and capital resources.

	<b>March 31, 2005</b>	<b>March 31, 2004</b>
	<b>(In thousands)</b>	
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	\$ (6,442)	\$ 97,338
Less: Payments for property, plant and equipment	(66,712)	(143,851)
Free cash flow	\$ (73,154)	\$ (46,513)

**Debt and Related Covenants**

Debt decreased to \$2,084.7 million at March 31, 2005, compared to \$2,093.0 million at December 31, 2004. This decrease primarily reflects payments on our Japanese revolving credit facility and on other term debt.

We were in compliance with all debt covenants contained in our loan agreements at March 31, 2005, and have met all debt payment obligations. Additional details about our debt is available in Note 9 accompanying the unaudited condensed consolidating financial statements included within Part I, Item 1 of this report.

### **Capital Additions**

Our first quarter capital additions were \$47 million and we have budgeted second quarter capital additions of approximately \$145 million. We expect that our full year 2005 capital additions will be in the range \$250 million and \$300 million. Ultimately, the amount of our 2005 capital additions will depend on several factors including, among others, the performance of our business, the need for additional capacity to service anticipated customer demand and the availability of suitable financing. The following table reconciles our activity related to property, plant and equipment payments as presented on the statement of cash flows to property, plant and equipment additions as reflected in the balance sheet:

**Table of Contents**

	<b>For the Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
	<b>(In thousands)</b>	
Payments for property, plant and equipment	\$ 66,712	\$ 143,851
Increase (decrease) in property, plant and equipment in accounts payable and accrued expenses	(19,681)	26,987
Property, plant and equipment additions	\$ 47,031	\$ 170,838

**Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

We had no off-balance sheet guarantees or other off-balance sheet arrangements as of March 31, 2005.

**Contingencies, Indemnifications and Guarantees**

Details about the company's contingencies, indemnifications and guarantees are available in Note 12 accompanying the unaudited condensed consolidating financial statements included within Part I, Item 1 of this report.

**Critical Accounting Policies**

Our critical accounting policies are disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004. During the three months ended March 31, 2005, there have been no significant changes in our critical accounting policies.

**New Accounting Pronouncements**

For information regarding recent accounting pronouncements, see Note 1 to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements within Part I, Item 1 of this report.

**Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk****Market Risk Sensitivity**

We are exposed to market risks, primarily related to foreign currency and interest rate fluctuations. In the normal course of business, we employ established policies and procedures to manage the exposure to fluctuations in foreign currency values and changes in interest rates. Our use of derivatives instruments, including forward exchange contracts, has been insignificant throughout 2005 and 2004, and it is expected that our use of derivative instruments will continue to be minimal.

***Foreign Currency Risks***

Our primary exposures to foreign currency fluctuations are associated with transactions and related assets and liabilities denominated in Philippine pesos, Korean won, Japanese yen, Taiwanese dollar and Chinese renminbi. The objective in managing these foreign currency exposures is to minimize the risk through minimizing the level of activity and financial instruments denominated in those currencies. Our foreign currency financial instruments

primarily consist of cash, trade receivables, investments, deferred taxes, trade payables and accrued expenses.

For an entity with various financial instruments denominated in a foreign currency in a net asset position, an increase in the exchange rate would result in less net assets when converted to U.S. dollars. Conversely, for an entity with various financial instruments denominated in a foreign currency in a net liability position, a decrease in the exchange rate would result in more net liabilities when converted to U.S. dollars. Based on our portfolio of foreign currency based financial instruments at March 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, a 20% increase (decrease) in the foreign currency to U.S. dollar spot exchange rate would result in the following foreign currency risk for our entities in a net asset (liability) position:

**Table of Contents**

	<b>Chart of Foreign Currency Risk</b>				
	<b>Philippine Peso</b>	<b>Korea Won</b>	<b>Taiwanese Dollar (In thousands)</b>	<b>Japanese Yen</b>	<b>Chinese Renminbi</b>
As of March 31, 2005	\$ (2,752)	\$ 3,090	\$ (12,710)	\$ 1,955	\$ (1,151)
As of December 31, 2004	\$ (2,266)	\$ 1,878	\$ (2,740)	\$ 304	\$ (1,980)

**Interest Rate Risks**

Our company has interest rate risk with respect to our long-term debt. As of March 31, 2005, we had a total of \$2,084.7 million of debt of which 84.4% was fixed rate debt and 15.6% was variable rate debt. Our variable rate debt principally consists of short-term borrowings and amounts outstanding under our \$30.0 million revolving line of credit; of which no amounts were drawn as of March 31, 2005, but which had been reduced by \$0.3 million related to outstanding letters of credit at that date. The fixed rate debt consists of senior notes, senior subordinated notes, convertible subordinated notes and foreign debt. As of December 31, 2004, we had a total of \$2,093.0 million of debt of which 84.2% was fixed rate debt and 15.8% was variable rate debt. Changes in interest rates have different impacts on our fixed and variable rate portions of our debt portfolio. A change in interest rates on the fixed portion of the debt portfolio impacts the fair value of the instrument but has no impact on interest incurred or cash flows. A change in interest rates on the variable portion of the debt portfolio impacts the interest incurred and cash flows but does not impact the fair value of the instrument. The fair value of the convertible subordinated notes is also impacted by the market price of our common stock.

The table below presents the average interest rates, maturities and fair value of our fixed and variable rate debt as of March 31, 2005.

	<b>March 31, 2005</b>							<b>Fair Value</b>
	<b>(In thousands)</b>							
	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>Thereafter</b>	<b>Total</b>	
Long-term debt:								
Fixed rate debt	\$ 24,706	\$ 238,024	\$ 149,663	\$ 472,354	\$ 200,627	\$ 674,091	\$ 1,759,465	\$ 1,579,036
Average interest rate	5.2%	5.7%	5.0%	9.2%	10.5%	7.5%	7.8%	
Variable rate debt	\$ 17,541	\$ 2,151	\$ 1,420	\$ 1,193	\$ 1,229	\$ 301,657	\$ 325,191	\$ 340,191
Average interest rate	1.0%	3.4%	3.8%	4.1%	4.1%	7.9%	7.4%	

**Equity Price Risks**

Our outstanding 5.75% convertible subordinated notes due 2006 and 5% convertible subordinated notes due 2007 are convertible into common stock at \$35.00 per share and \$57.34 per share, respectively. We currently intend to repay our remaining convertible subordinated notes upon maturity, unless converted or refinanced. If investors were to decide to convert their notes to common stock, our future earnings would benefit from a reduction in interest expense and our common stock outstanding would be increased. If we paid a premium to induce such conversion, our earnings

would include an additional charge.

Further, the trading price of our common stock has been and is likely to continue to be highly volatile and could be subject to wide fluctuations. Such fluctuations could impact our decision or ability to utilize the equity markets as a potential source of our funding needs in the future.

#### **Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

##### *Restatement of Previously Issued Financial Statements*

We are filing this amendment on Form 10-Q/A to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q to restate our condensed consolidated financial statements for the three months ended March 31, 2005. In connection with finalizing the restatement of our previously filed financial statements for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2004, as included in our amended Annual Report on Form 10-K/A filed on June 6, 2005, we have revised the amount of unpaid capital expenditures utilized in preparing our condensed consolidated statement of cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2005 that was included in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on May 16, 2005. In conjunction with the restatement of

## **Table of Contents**

our Annual Report, as described above, we restated our condensed consolidated financial statements included in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended March 31, June 30, and September 30 of 2004. We filed amendments on Form 10-Q/A to these Quarterly Reports on June 6, 2005.

### *Disclosure Controls and Procedures*

Amkor maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our SEC filings is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure based on the definition of disclosure controls and procedures in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) promulgated under the Exchange Act. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management is required to apply judgment in evaluating our controls and procedures. Based on this evaluation and because of the material weakness described below, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that Amkor's disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of March 31, 2005.

Management, under the supervision and with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of Amkor's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Management has concluded that as of March 31, 2005, Amkor did not maintain effective controls over the preparation and review of our condensed consolidated statement of cash flows. Specifically, Amkor did not maintain effective controls to appropriately exclude from capital expenditures reported in the condensed consolidated statement of cash flows, capital expenditures that were unpaid and included in accounts payable or accrued expenses at the end of the reporting period. Thus capital expenditures were reported in the condensed consolidated statement of cash flows on an accrual basis rather than on a cash basis. This error resulted in a misstatement of cash flows from investing and operating activities. This control deficiency resulted in the restatement of the Company's consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 and for the interim periods in 2004 and 2003 and the first quarter of March 31, 2005. Further, if not remediated, this control deficiency could result in a misstatement of the consolidated statement of cash flows that would result in a material misstatement to annual or interim financial statements that would not be prevented or detected. Accordingly, management has determined this control deficiency constitutes a material weakness. As a result, Amkor's management has restated its report, included in its amended Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the Company's fiscal year ended December 31, 2004 filed on June 6, 2005, on management's assessment of the effectiveness of Amkor's internal control over financial reporting and has disclosed that Amkor's internal control over financial reporting was not effective as of December 31, 2004.

### **Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting.**

During the most recently completed fiscal quarter there were no changes in our internal controls, over financial reporting that occurred during the last fiscal quarter that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. We are implementing a new Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) system at certain locations, and in that process, we expect that there could be future changes at these locations that will materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

In an effort to remediate the material weakness in Amkor's internal control over financial reporting described above, management has implemented a process to identify the amount of unpaid capital expenditures at the end of the reporting period to ensure capital expenditures are properly reflected in the condensed consolidated statement of cash flows in accordance with SFAS 95. Accordingly, management believes this process will remediate the material



weakness discussed above.

## **PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**

### **Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

We are currently a party to various legal proceedings, including those noted below. If an unfavorable ruling were to occur, there exists the possibility of a material adverse impact on our net results in the period in which the ruling occurs. The estimate of the potential impact from the following legal proceedings on our financial position or overall results of operations could change in the future. Attorney fees related to legal matters are expensed as incurred.

#### *Epoxy Mold Compound Litigation*

**Table of Contents**

We have become party to an increased number of litigation matters relative to our historic levels. Much of our recent increase in litigation relates to an allegedly defective epoxy mold compound, formerly used in some of our packaging services, which is alleged to be responsible for certain semiconductor chip failures. In the case of each of the pending matters, we believe we have meritorious defenses, as well as valid third-party claims against Sumitomo Bakelite Co., Ltd. ( Sumitomo Bakelite ), the manufacturer of the challenged epoxy product, should the epoxy mold compound be found to be defective. We cannot be certain, however, that we will be able to recover any amount from Sumitomo Bakelite if we are held liable in these matters, or that any adverse result would not have a material impact upon us. Moreover, other customers of ours have made inquiries about the epoxy mold compound, which was widely used in the semiconductor industry, and no assurance can be given that claims similar to those already asserted will not be made against us by other customers in the future.

*Fujitsu Limited v. Cirrus Logic, Inc., et al.*

On April 16, 2002, we were served with a third-party complaint in an action entitled Fujitsu Limited v. Cirrus Logic, Inc., in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, San Jose Division. Subsequently, substantially the same case was filed in the Superior Court of California, Santa Clara County, and the United States District Court case was stayed. In this action, Fujitsu Limited ( Fujitsu ) alleged that semiconductor devices it purchased from Cirrus Logic, Inc. ( Cirrus Logic ) were defective in that a certain epoxy mold compound manufactured by Sumitomo Bakelite and used by Amkor in the manufacture of the chip causes a short circuit which renders Fujitsu disk drive products inoperable. Cirrus Logic, in response, denied the allegations of the complaint, counterclaimed against Fujitsu for unpaid invoices, and filed its third-party complaint against us alleging that any liability for chip defects should be assigned to us because we assembled the subject semiconductor devices. We filed a cross-complaint against Sumitomo Bakelite asserting claims for breach of warranties and indemnification.

On April 18 and 19, 2005, we participated in a private mediation with all parties involved. As a result of the mediation, on April 28, 2005 an agreement was reached among Fujitsu, Cirrus Logic, Sumitomo Bakelite and ourselves to settle this litigation; the parties entered the agreement into the record in Superior Court. We have agreed to pay \$40 million to Fujitsu in consideration of a release from and dismissal of all claims related to this litigation. This amount is reflected as part of the provision for legal settlements and contingencies in our Statement of Operations for the three months ended March 31, 2005. The \$40 million is expected to be paid during the second quarter of fiscal 2005.

*Seagate Technology LLC v. Atmel Corporation, et al.*

In March 2003, we were served with a cross-complaint in an action between Seagate Technology LLC and Seagate Technology International ( Seagate ) and Atmel Corporation and Atmel Sarl ( Atmel ) in the Superior Court of California, Santa Clara County. Atmel's cross-complaint seeks indemnification from us for any damages incurred from the claims by Seagate involving the allegedly defective epoxy mold compound manufactured by Sumitomo Bakelite. We answered Atmel's cross-complaint, denying all liability, and filed a cross-complaint against Sumitomo Bakelite. Atmel later amended its cross-complaint to include claims for negligence and negligent misrepresentation against us and added ChipPAC Inc. ( ChipPAC ) and Sumitomo Bakelite as cross-defendants. ChipPAC filed a cross-complaint against Sumitomo Bakelite and us.

On April 14, 2005 an agreement was reached among Seagate, Atmel, ChipPAC, Sumitomo Bakelite and ourselves to settle this litigation. We have agreed to pay \$5 million to Seagate in consideration of a release from and dismissal of all claims related to this litigation. This amount is reflected as part of the provision for legal settlements and contingencies in our Statement of Operations for the three months ended March 31, 2005. The \$5 million is expected to be paid during the second quarter of fiscal 2005.

*Maxtor Corporation v. Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V., et al.*

In April 2003, we were served with a cross-complaint in an action between Maxtor Corporation ( Maxtor ) and Koninklijke Philips Electronics ( Philips ) in the Superior Court of California, Santa Clara County. Philips cross-complaint sought indemnification from us for any damages incurred from the claims by Maxtor involving the allegedly defective epoxy mold compound manufactured by Sumitomo Bakelite. Philips subsequently filed a cross-complaint directly against Sumitomo Bakelite, alleging, among other things, that Sumitomo Bakelite breached its contractual obligations to both us and Philips by supplying a defective mold compound resulting in the failure of certain Philips semiconductor devices. We denied all liability in this matter and also asserted a cross-complaint against Sumitomo Bakelite. Sumitomo Bakelite denied any liability. Maxtor and

## **Table of Contents**

Philips reached a settlement of Maxtor's claims against Philips on or about April 28, 2004 in which, reportedly, Philips agreed to pay Maxtor \$24.8 million. On October 15, 2004, we and Sumitomo Bakelite reached a settlement agreement whereby Sumitomo Bakelite agreed to indemnify us for any damages awarded to Philips in excess of \$3.5 million. In exchange, we dismissed our cross-claims against Sumitomo Bakelite. Trial of this matter before a jury began on October 18, 2004 and closing arguments were heard on November 29, 2004. On December 1, 2004, the Court and the jury rendered verdicts in our favor related to all of Philips' claims against us. By those verdicts, we were exonerated of all alleged liability. The jury's verdict further determined Sumitomo Bakelite's share of liability to be 57% and Philips share to be 43%. Philips has agreed not to appeal the judgment in our favor in return for our agreement not to seek costs of suit from Philips. In January 2005, Sumitomo Bakelite appealed the judgment against it.

We recorded a charge of \$1.5 million related to the above matter during the three months ended March 31, 2004. However, in response to the December 1, 2004 verdict, we reversed this charge during the three months ended December 31, 2004.

### *Pending Epoxy Mold Litigation*

While the ultimate outcome is uncertain, as a result of the previously discussed epoxy mold compound litigation settlements, we have established a \$5 million loss accrual related to the following two pending claims. This amount is reflected as part of the provision for legal settlements and contingencies in our Statement of Operations for the three months ended March 31, 2005.

#### *Maxim Integrated Products, Inc. v. Amkor Technology, Inc., et al.*

In August 2003, we were served with a complaint filed by Maxim Integrated Products, Inc. (Maxim) against us, Sumitomo Bakelite and Sumitomo Plastics America, Inc. (Sumitomo Plastics) in the Superior Court of California, Santa Clara County. The complaint seeks damages related to our use of Sumitomo Bakelite's epoxy mold compound in assembling Maxim's semiconductor packages. Written discovery is ongoing, with depositions and expert discovery to follow. The Court has set a trial date of April 24, 2006. We have denied all liability. We intend to defend ourselves vigorously, pursue our cross-claims against Sumitomo Bakelite and seek judgment in our favor.

#### *Fairchild Semiconductor Corporation v. Sumitomo Bakelite Singapore Pte. Ltd., et al.*

In September 2003, we were served with an amended complaint filed by Fairchild Semiconductor Corporation (Fairchild) against us, Sumitomo Bakelite, Sumitomo Plastics and Sumitomo Bakelite Singapore Pte. Ltd. in the Superior Court of California, Santa Clara County. The amended complaint seeks damages related to our use of Sumitomo Bakelite's epoxy mold compound in assembling Fairchild's semiconductor packages. Trial is currently scheduled to commence on November 7, 2005, and to last 15 court days. We have denied all liability. We intend to defend ourselves vigorously, pursue our cross-claims against Sumitomo Bakelite and seek judgment in our favor.

### *Other Litigation*

#### *Amkor Technology, Inc. v. Motorola, Inc.*

In August 2002, we filed a complaint against Motorola, Inc. (Motorola) seeking declaratory judgment relating to a controversy between us and Motorola concerning: (i) the assignment by Citizen Watch Co., Ltd. (Citizen) to us of a Patent License Agreement dated January 25, 1996 between Motorola and Citizen (the License Agreement) and concurrent assignment by Citizen to us of Citizen's interest in U.S. Patents 5,241,133 and 5,216,278 (the 133 and 278 patents); and (ii) our obligation to make certain payments pursuant to an immunity agreement (the Immunity Agreement) dated June 30, 1993 between us and Motorola, pending in the Superior Court of the State of Delaware in

and for New Castle County.

We and Motorola resolved the controversy with respect to all issues relating to the Immunity Agreement, and all claims and counterclaims filed by the parties in the case relating to the Immunity Agreement were dismissed or otherwise disposed of without further litigation. The claims relating to the License Agreement and the 133 and 278 Patents remained pending.

We and Motorola both filed motions for summary judgment on the remaining claims, and oral arguments were heard in September 2003. On October 6, 2003, the Superior Court of Delaware ruled in favor of us and issued an Opinion and Order granting our motion for summary judgment and denying Motorola's motion for summary judgment. On October 22, 2003,

**Table of Contents**

Motorola filed an appeal in the Supreme Court of Delaware. In May 2004, the Supreme Court reversed the Superior Court's decision, and remanded for further development of the factual record. A trial date has been set for October 17, 2005. We believe we will prevail on the merits at the Superior Court level. In addition, should Motorola prevail, we believe we will have recourse against Citizen.

*Citizen Watch Co. Ltd. v. Amkor Technology, Inc.*

We entered into an intellectual property assignment agreement ( IPAA ) with Citizen Watch Co., Ltd. ( Citizen ) with an effective date of March 28, 2002, pursuant to which Citizen assigned to us (i) its rights under a Patent License Agreement dated January 25, 1996 between Motorola and Citizen (the License Agreement ) and (ii) Citizen's interest in the 133 and 278 patents. The parties entered into the IPAA in conjunction with having entered into a Master Purchase Agreement under which we purchased substantially all of the assets of a division of Citizen in April 2002. Subsequent to that transaction, Motorola challenged the validity of Citizen's assignment of its rights under the License Agreement to us, which resulted in our litigation with Motorola, Inc., which is described above (the Motorola case ). Pending resolution of the Motorola case, and in accordance with the terms of the IPAA, we are withholding final payment of 1.4 billion yen (\$13.0 million based on the spot exchange rate at March 31, 2005).

In March 2004, Citizen submitted a Demand for Arbitration in the International Chamber of Commerce ( ICC ), claiming breach of our obligation to make the deferred payment of 1.4 billion yen. In May 2004, we filed our Answer to Request for Arbitration, Counterclaim and Request for Abeyance of Proceedings. In our Answer, we contend, among other things, that we do not have an obligation to make the deferred payment due to (i) our inability to perfect the rights assigned by Citizen under the License Agreement, and (ii) Citizen's breach of its representations and warranties that it had all right and authority to assign its rights under the License Agreement to us.

The arbitration hearing before the ICC on this matter was held in May 2005. A ruling by the ICC is expected by July 31, 2005.

*Alcatel Business Systems v. Amkor Technology, Inc., Anam Semiconductor, Inc.*

On November 5, 1999, we agreed to sell certain semiconductor parts to Alcatel Microelectronics, N.V. ( AME ), a subsidiary of Alcatel S.A. The parts were manufactured for us by Anam Semiconductor, Inc. ( ASI ) and delivered to AME. AME transferred the parts to another Alcatel subsidiary, Alcatel Business Systems ( ABS ), which incorporated the parts into cellular phone products. In early 2001, a dispute arose as to whether the parts sold by us were defective. On March 18, 2002, ABS and its insurer filed suit against us and ASI in the Paris Commercial Court of France, claiming damages of approximately 50.4 million Euros (approximately \$65.1 million based on the spot exchange rate at March 31, 2005). We have denied all liability and intend to vigorously defend ourselves and have not established a loss accrual associated with this claim. Additionally, we have entered into a written agreement with ASI whereby ASI has agreed to indemnify us fully against any and all loss related to the claims of AME, ABS and ABS' insurer. The Paris Commercial Court commenced a special proceeding before a technical expert to report on the facts of the dispute. The report of the court-appointed expert was put forth on December 31, 2003. The report does not specifically allocate liability to any particular party. On May 18, 2004, the Paris Commercial Court of France declared that it did not have jurisdiction over the matter. The Court of Appeal of Paris heard the appeal regarding jurisdiction during October 2004, confirmed the first tier ruling and dismissed the appeal. A motion was recently filed by ABS and its insurer before the French Supreme Court to challenge the lack of jurisdiction ruling.

In response to the French lawsuit, on May 22, 2002, we filed a petition to compel arbitration in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania (the Court ) against ABS, AME and ABS' insurer, claiming that the dispute is subject to the arbitration clause of the November 5, 1999 agreement between us and AME. ABS and ABS' insurer have refused to arbitrate and continue to challenge the lack of jurisdiction ruling.

*Amkor Technology, Inc. v. Carsem (M) Sdn Bhd, Carsem Semiconductor Sdn Bhd, and Carsem Inc.*

In November 2003, we filed complaints against Carsem (M) Sdn Bhd, Carsem Semiconductor Sdn Bhd, and Carsem Inc. (collectively Carsem ) with the International Trade Commission ( ITC ) in Washington, D.C. and subsequently in the Northern District of California. The complaints allege infringement of our United States Patent Nos. 6,433,277, 6,455,356, and 6,630,728 (collectively the Amkor Patents ). We allege that by making, using, selling, offering for sale, or importing into the U.S. the Carsem Dual and Quad Flat No-Lead Package, Carsem has infringed on one or more of our

**Table of Contents**

*MicroLeadFrame*® packaging technology claims in the Amkor Patents. The District Court action had been stayed pending resolution of the ITC case. The ITC Administrative Law Judge conducted an evidentiary hearing during July and August of 2004 in Washington D.C. and issued an initial determination that Carsem infringed some of our patent claims relating to our *MicroLeadFrame*® package technology, that some of our 21 asserted patent claims are valid, and that all of our asserted patent claims are enforceable. However, the judge did not find a statutory violation of the Tariff Act. We filed a petition in November 2004 to have the judge's ruling reviewed by the full International Trade Commission. The Commission as ordered a new claims construction related to various disputed claim terms and has remanded the case to the Administrative Law Judge for further proceedings. The Commission has set a new date of November 21, 2005 for completion of the investigation. The District court action remains stayed pending completion of the ITC investigation.

**Other Matters**

In June 2004, the Securities and Exchange Commission informed us that it was conducting an informal inquiry into certain trading in Amkor securities. The focus of the inquiry appears to be activities during the first half of 2004. We have cooperated with the inquiry by voluntarily producing documents to the Commission and providing testimony. The Commission staff has not informed us of any conclusions of wrongdoing by any person or entity.

**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**

None.

**Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities**

None.

**Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders**

None.

**Item 5. Other Information**

None.

**Item 6. Exhibits**

The following exhibits are filed as part of this report:

**Exhibit**

**Number**

**Description of Exhibit**

10.1	Supplemental Indenture, dated as of January 5, 2005, among Amkor Technology, Inc. ( Amkor ), Amkor International Holdings, LLC ( AIH ), P-Four, LLC ( P-Four ), Amkor Technology Limited ( ATL ), Amkor/Anam Pilipinas, L.L.C. ( AAP ) and U.S. Bank National
------	---



- Association ( U.S. Bank ), as Trustee, to Indenture, dated as of May 13, 1999, among Amkor and U.S. Bank (as successor to State Street Bank and Trust Company), regarding Amkor s 10 1/2% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2009. (1)
- 10.2 Supplemental Indenture, dated as of January 5, 2005, among Amkor, AIH, P-Four, ATL, AAP and U.S. Bank, as Trustee, to Indenture, dated as of February 20, 2001, among Amkor and U.S. Bank (as successor to State Street Bank and Trust Company), regarding Amkor s 9 1/4% Senior Notes due 2008. (1)
- 10.3 Supplemental Indenture, dated as of January 5, 2005, among Amkor, AIH, P-Four, ATL, AAP and U.S. Bank, as Trustee, to Indenture, dated as of May 8, 2003, among Amkor and U.S. Bank, regarding Amkor s 7.75% Senior Notes due 2013. (1)
- 10.4 Supplemental Indenture, dated as of January 5, 2005, among Amkor, AIH, P-Four, ATL, AAP and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as Trustee, to Indenture, dated as of March 12, 2004,

**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Description of Exhibit</b>
	among Amkor and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., regarding Amkor's 7 1/8% Senior Notes due 2011. (1)
12.1	Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges
31.1	Certification of James J. Kim, Chief Executive Officer of Amkor Technology, Inc., Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
31.2	Certification of Kenneth T. Joyce, Chief Financial Officer of Amkor Technology, Inc., Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
32	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

(1) Incorporated by reference to the Company's current report on Form 8-K dated January 5, 2005 (filed as of January 10, 2005; SEC File Number 000-29472).

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this amended report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereto duly authorized.

AMKOR TECHNOLOGY, INC.

By: /s/ KENNETH T. JOYCE  
Kenneth T. Joyce  
Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial, Chief Accounting  
Officer and Duly Authorized Officer)

Date: June 6, 2005